A COMPARISON OF WORLD-WIDE USES OF SEVERE REACTOR ACCIDENT SOURCE TERMS

M. L. Ang
NNC Ltd.
Knutsford, United Kingdom

A. Meyer-Heine
Comissariat a l'Energie Atomique
Centre d'Etudes de Cadarache, France

W. Frid
Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate
Stockholm, Sweden

D. A. Powers
Sandia National Laboratories
Albuquerque, NM

E. J. Kersting, H-G. Friederichs
Gesellschaft fuer Anlagen und Reaktorsicherheit (GRS) mbh
Cologne, Germany

K. Soda
Japanese Atomic Research Institute
Tokyo, Japan

R. Y. Lee
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C.

D. Sweet
AEA Technology
Winfrith, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

The definitions of source terms to reactor containments and source terms to the environment are discussed. A comparison is made between the TID-14844 example source term and the alternative source term described in NUREG-1465. Comparisons of these source terms to the containments and those used in France, Germany, Japan, Sweden, and the United Kingdom are made. Source terms to the environment calculated in NUREG-1500 and WASH-1400 are discussed. Again, these source terms are compared to those now being used in France, Germany, Japan, Sweden, and the United Kingdom.

It is concluded that source terms to the containment suggested in NUREG-1465 are not greatly more conservative than those used in other countries. Technical bases for the source terms are similar. The regulatory use of the current understanding of radionuclide behavior varies among countries.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

CONTENTS

I.	BACKGROUND	1
II.	SOURCE TERMS TO THE CONTAINMENT	4
	A. France	4
	B. Germany	4
	C. Japan	5
	D. Sweden	5
	E. United Kingdom	5
	F. United States	5
III.	SOURCE TERMS TO THE ENVIRONMENT	5
	A. France	6
	B. Germany	6
	C. Japan	6
	D. Sweden	6
	E. United Kingdom	9
IV.	REFERENCES	9

TABLES

1	Source Terms to the Containment	3
2	Severe Accident Source Terms to the Environment	7
3	French Source Terms to the Environment	8
4	Source Terms to the Environment for Various Accidents in German Pressurized Water Reactors	8

I. Background

Regulatory and public concerns about the use of nuclear reactors for power production focus on the potential for release of radioactive materials from the nuclear plant during normal operations or as the result of accidents. The early development of nuclear reactors involved relatively small amounts of fuel and, consequently, small inventories of radioactive materials. There was a great deal of uncertainty about the safe performance of the early developmental reactors. A safety strategy pursued during those pioneering development activities was to locate the reactors on large government-owned reservations well isolated from the public. In the case of accidental release of radioactivity, the radioactive material would be so diluted by the time it reached the site boundary that it would pose no significant threat to the public.

The formulae used for the calculation of the dilution and deposition of radioactive materials release from a reactor included a term that described the magnitude and the duration of radioactive material release from the reactor. This term, usually designated by the symbol S or $\dot{S}$ in the equations, became known as the "source term." It has come to include a description of the physical and chemical forms of the released materials as well as the magnitude and duration of the release. A document produced in the early 1960s, Calculation of Distance Factors for Power and Test Reactors[1], provided an example source term that could be used in deterministic calculations to demonstrate that a reactor was sufficiently isolated from the public. This example source term has achieved greater significance as it has been adopted and adapted for the regulation of commercial power reactors in the USA [2,3]. The source term focuses on the radioactive noble gases, typically xenon and krypton, and radioactive iodine. One hundred percent of the noble gases are assumed to be released. Only 50% of the iodine is taken to be released from reactor fuel. Of this, only half is taken to be available for release from the plant. The released radioactive iodine is 91% gaseous I_2 , 5% iodine-bearing particulate, and 4% a gaseous organic iodine compound.

Improvements in nuclear technology and the economies of scale led to the development of large nuclear power plants with large inventories of radionuclides. No sites in the USA could satisfy the isolation requirements for public safety based on distance factor formulae developed for the early research reactors. Additional safety measures were imposed. Among these measures was a requirement that nuclear power reactors be enclosed in stout containments, that would act as barriers to the release of radioactive materials into the environment where they would pose threats to the public. Deterministic analyses of radionuclide retention within containments also involved formulae with terms describing the magnitude and duration of radionuclide releases from the reactor and fuel into the containment. These terms were also called "source terms." Unlike the original source terms, these source terms refer only to the releases of radioactivity into the containment.

When very simplified source terms are used, such as those in references 1-3, there is not much confusion associated with source terms to the containment and source terms to the environment. The technical understanding of radionuclide release and behavior has advanced greatly and the source terms are now much more complicated. A persistent confusion exists concerning "source terms to the containment" and "source terms to the environment." This confusion has been magnified as interests have developed in evaluating public consequences of

severe or beyond-design-basis reactor accidents. The Reactor Safety Study[4] demonstrated that public risks associated with the use of commercial nuclear power were due predominantly to these beyond-design-basis accidents. First attempts were made in the Reactor Safety Study to mechanistically calculate the release of radioactive materials from reactor fuel and the subsequent behaviors of these materials. These calculations demonstrated that natural and engineered processes could substantially attenuate the magnitudes of radionuclide releases to the environment even if containments were, eventually, ruptured in a reactor accident.

The technology first articulated in the Reactor Safety Study has been developed further since 1975--spurred, perhaps, by reactor accidents at Three Mile Island and Chernobyl. For representative accidents, it is now possible to make quite detailed, mechanistic calculations of both the source term to the containment and the source term to the environment[5]. Results of these representative calculations can be grouped and correlated for more routine, generic uses. The substantial body of technical knowledge has been assembled and examined to formulate an alternative to the source term to the containment described in reference 1. This alternative [6] describes more elements than does the older source term, as is shown by the comparison in Table 1. Different source terms are described for boiling water reactors and for pressurized water reactors. Because natural and engineered processes take time to attenuate releases to the containment, timing of the releases is a critical feature of the alternative source term. Beyond-design-basis accidents are divided into four phases:

- gap release phase,
- in-vessel release phase,
- ex-vessel release phase, and
- late in-vessel release phase.

Releases of radioactive materials are specified for each of these accident phases. Except for iodine and the noble gases, the radioactive materials released to the containment are taken to be aerosol particles. Iodine entering the containment is taken to be 95% particulate and 5% gaseous I or HI.

The alternative source terms to the containment described in reference 6 were chosen to somewhat conservatively bound source terms calculated for severe reactor accidents. As such, they represent one assessment of a conservative source term to use for regulatory purposes. It is of interest then to compare this source term to source terms used for regulation in other countries.

There has been active development of severe accident analyses in countries outside the USA. Attention can be drawn to Phase B of the German Risk Study[7], the Public Inquiry for the Sizewell B plant in the United Kingdom[8], the RAMA Study in Sweden [9], development of the Thales computer codes in Japan[10], and the experimental studies and code development work in France[11]. In a qualitative sense, these various analyses of severe accident source terms to the containment and to the environment employ methods similar to those used in the USA. Of interest are quantitative differences.

In the subsections below, source terms being used in various countries are compared. In Section II source terms to the containment are discussed. In Section III source terms to the environment are described.

Table 1. Source Terms to the Containment

Release	Req. Guide**** 1.3, 1.4 (1,2,3)	NUREG-1465* Boiling Water Reactors (6)				NUREG-1465* Pressurized Water Reactors (6)			
		gap	in-vessel	ex-vessel	late in-vessel	gap	in-vessel	ex-vessel	late in-vessel
Duration(s)	0	3600	5400	10800	36,000	1800	4680	7200	36,000
Radionuclide									
Xe, Kr	100	5	95	0	0	5	95	0	0
Iodine									
-gas	23.75	0.25	1.1	1.8	0.4	0.2	1.75	1.4	0.4
-particle	1.25	4.75	20.9	35.2	6.6	4.8	33.25	27.6	6.6
-total	25	5	22	37	7	5	35	29	7
Cesium	0	5	15	45	3	5	25	39	6
Tellurium	0	0	11	38	1	0	15	29	2.5
Strontium	0	0	3	24	0	0	3	12	0
Barium	0	0	3	21	0	0	4	10	0
Ruthenium	0	0	0.7	0.4	0	0	0.8	0.4	0
Cerium	0	0	0.9	1.0	0	0	1.0	2.0	0
Lanthanum	0	0	0.2	1.0	0	0	0.2	1.5	0

*Releases are given as percent of core inventory.

**Reference 1 calls for release of 1 percent of other radionuclides in particulate form.

II. Source Terms to the Containment

Information that has been assembled on the regulatory source terms to the containment used in various countries are summarily described in the subsections below:

A. France

The source term to the containment is not as much a focus of regulatory attention as source terms to the environment (see discussion, below). The current source term to the containment used for regulatory evaluations is:

<u>Radionuclide Group</u>	<u>Release</u> (% of Core Inventory)
Kr, Xe	100
I, Br, Cs, Rb	100
Te, Sb, Ag, Sn	100
Sr, Ba, Mo, Tc	10
Ru, Rh, As, In, Cd, Pd	10
Y, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, Eu, Gd	0.1
Np, Pu, Am, Cm	0.1

With the exception of the Te, Sb, Ag, Sn group release, this source term is quite comparable to that specified in NUREG-1465[6]. The release of the Te, Sb, Ag, Sn group is somewhat higher than what is specified in NUREG-1465.

B. Germany

Releases to the containment for the dominant accident sequence (total loss of feedwater) with subsequent opening of the pressurizer valves (primary bleed) and total failure of all active injection systems are:

<u>Radionuclide</u>	<u>In-Vessel Phase</u> (% of core inventory)	<u>Ex-vessel Phase</u> (% of core inventory)
Xe, Kr	100	-
I, Cs	85	-
Te	22	50
Sr	0.044	-
Ba	1.0	-
others	<0.001	-

C. Japan

Regulatory approaches to the source term to the containment are based on the so-called TID-14844 source term described in references 1, 2, and 3. There are plans to re-examine this approach in light of technical developments over the past several years.

D. Sweden

Sweden's regulatory authorities do not prescribe a radionuclide source term to the containment. The accident scenario chosen as a design basis for the 9 boiling water reactors and 3 pressurized water reactors is a total loss of power for 24 hours. Active accident management is taken to be possible 8 hours after accident initiation. In addition, boiling water reactors have a design basis accident involving a pipe break loss of coolant combined with failure of pressure suppression. Radionuclide source terms to the containment are calculated with the MAAP code. The MAAP code was validated for these purposes in the RAMA project [9].

E. United Kingdom

The United Kingdom is in the process of licensing a single pressurized water reactor. It is feasible, then, to apply mechanistic models to the prediction of source terms to the containment. The basic code being used for these analyses is MAAP. The analyses with MAAP are being supported by modified versions of VICTORIA, for in-vessel release phases, and CORCON, for ex-vessel release phases. The approach toward source terms is rather similar to that used in the NUREG-1150 study of five representative U.S. nuclear power plants[5].

F. United States

As of now, the source term to the containment for regulatory use is that specified in TID-14844 and modified as described in references 2 and 3. There is a proposal [6] of an alternative source term to be used for safety analyses of advanced reactors. This alternative source term may be adopted by operators of existing nuclear power plants.

III. Source Terms to the Environment

Source terms to the environment are, of course, critical inputs to the analyses of accident consequences. Typically, these source terms are cast in probabilistic risk analysis formulations so there is no single bounding source term. Rather, there are source term categories. The source term categories defined in the Reactor Safety Study[4] are shown in Table 2. The analyses that yielded these source term categories have been updated by the NUREG-1150 study[5]. This study defined quite a few more categories. For comparison purposes only, a risk dominant (individual risk at 1 mile from the plant) source term for the pressurized water reactor Surry (NUREG-1150, SUR-10-2) is shown in Table 2.

Source terms to the environment considered in other countries are described below:

A. France

France employs three bounding source terms to the environment, S1, S2, and S3. These source terms to the environment are shown in Table 3. The source term S1 involves the performance of no engineered safety features and is probably comparable to the PWR2 source term from the Reactor Safety Study or the risk dominant source term for Surry shown in Table 2. The S3 source term corresponds to release through a filtered venting system. The filtration reduces the magnitude of radionuclide release to the environment substantially.

B. Germany

Within the context of the German Risk Study[7], five source terms for various accident sequences are defined. These accident source terms to the environment are shown in Table 4. The source term for early containment failure is comparable to the more severe source terms considered for U. S. commercial nuclear power plants such as the PWR2 source term. Very much tighter restrictions on source terms to the environment are being imposed on future reactors.

C. Japan

Publicly available information on severe accident source terms to the environment calculated for Japanese reactors is scarce. Calculations are being done with the MAAP and MELCOR codes.

D. Sweden

A decree by the government of Sweden concerning accidental releases of radionuclides states:

- land contamination, which impedes the use of large areas for a long period, shall be prevented,
- acute radiation fatalities shall not occur,
- the specified maximum release of radioactive substances shall apply to all reactors irrespective of site or power, and
- extremely improbable events and scenarios need not be considered for meeting these requirements.

To comply with this decree, it is required that radionuclide releases must be limited to noble gases and at most 0.1% of the inventories of ^{134}Cs and ^{137}Cs in a reactor core of 1800 MW thermal power. It is assumed that other radionuclides of significance with regard to land contamination are released to a lesser, or at most equal, extent.

Table 2. Severe Accident Source Terms to the Environment

Release Category	Time(s)	Xe, Kr	Release*						
			Organic I	Inorganic I	Cs	Te	Ba, Sr	Ru	La
(Reference 4)									
PWR2	9000	90	0.7	70	50	30	6	2	0.3
PWR3	18000	80	0.6	20	20	30	2	3	0.4
PWR4	7200	60	0.2	9	4	3	0.5	0.3	0.3
PWR5	7200	30	0.2	3	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.06	0.04
PWR6	43200	30	0.2	0.08	0.08	0.1	9 x 10 ⁻³	7 x 10 ⁻³	7 x 10 ⁻³
PWR7	3600	0.6	2 x 10 ⁻³	2 x 10 ⁻³	1 x 10 ⁻³	2 x 10 ⁻³	1 x 10 ⁻⁴	1 x 10 ⁻⁴	2 x 10 ⁻⁵
PWR8	1800	0.2	5 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.01	0.05	1 x 10 ⁻⁴	1 x 10 ⁻⁶	0	0
PWR9	1800	3 x 10 ⁻⁴	7 x 10 ⁻⁷	1 x 10 ⁻⁵	6 x 10 ⁻⁵	1 x 10 ⁻⁷	1 x 10 ⁻⁹	0	0
BWR1	1800	100	0.7	40	40	70	5	50	0.5
BWR2	10800	100	0.7	90	50	30	10	3	0.4
BWR3	10800	100	0.7	10	10	30	1	2	0.4
BWR4	7200	60	0.07	0.08	0.5	0.4	0.06	0.06	0.01
BWR5	18000	0.05	2 x 10 ⁻⁷	6 x 10 ⁻⁹	4 x 10 ⁻⁷	8 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	8 x 10 ⁻¹²	0	0
NUREG-1150 SUR-10-2		76	0.8	15.2	16	31	20	5.2	2.2

*Percent of initial core inventory

Table 3. French Source Terms to the Environment

Radionuclide	Source Term*		
	S1	S2	S3
Noble Gases	80	75	75
Iodine			
Organic	0.7	0.55	0.55
Inorganic	60	2.7	0.3
Cesium	40	5.5	0.35
Tellurium	8	5	0.4
Strontium	5	0.6	0.04
Ruthenium	2	0.5	0.03
Lanthanum	0.3	0.08	0.005
Cerium	0.3	0.08	0.005

*Percent of core inventory

Table 4. Source Terms to the Environment for Various Accidents in German Pressurized Water Reactors

Radionuclide	Source Term*		
	Early Containment Failure	Small Leak in Containment	Filtered Venting From Containment
Xe, Kr	100	100	90
Iodine	>50	0.8	0.2
Cesium	>50	0.04	3×10^{-5}
Tellurium	>50	0.2	4×10^{-4}
Strontium	40	0.02	2×10^{-5}
Start of Release(s)	>7200	21600	$>3.46 \times 10^5$

*Percent of core inventory.

E. United Kingdom

A full scope probabilistic risk assessment of the Sizewell B pressurized water reactor has been completed[12, 13, 14]. Results of the sequence analyses are collected together to define a variety of source term categories. These source term categories are defined based on the characteristics of radioactive material released from the containment such as the release duration and timing as well as the amount of radioactive material released. These source term categories are then grouped into a set of 22 release categories based on the effective dose at 80 meters from the plant (4 release categories) or the effective dose at 3 kilometers from the plant (18 release categories). The release categories involve doses of up to 1000 Sv at 3 km. Again, these release categories include more information than just the radionuclide makeup of the material released to the environment. Radionuclide compositions of the releases have not been published.

IV. Comparisons Among Source Terms

There is a broad comparability among the source terms being used in France, Germany, Japan, Sweden, the United Kingdom, and the USA. The technical bases for estimating the releases of radioactive materials to the reactor containment and from the nuclear plant into the environment are quite similar. It appears likely that for comparable accident scenarios, the predicted source terms would be rather similar. Differences among the estimated releases of radionuclides to the reactor containment are probably not as significant as are the differences between the TID-14844[1] source term which emphasized gaseous iodine and more modern estimates such as those in NUREG-1465[2] which emphasize particulate material. Comparison of predicted releases of radionuclides to the environment are more difficult to make because of differences in plant designs and the elaborate probabilistic framework surrounding the estimates. It is likely that predicted releases to the environment would be quite comparable for comparable accident scenarios at comparable plants.

V. References

1. J. J. DiNunno et al., Calculation of Distance Factors for Power and Test Reactor Sites, TID-14844, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C., 1962.
2. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Assumptions Used for Evaluating the Potential Consequences of a Loss of Coolant Accident for Boiling Water Reactors, Regulatory Guide 1.3, Revision 2, June 1974.
3. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Assumptions Used for Evaluating the Potential Consequences of a Loss of Coolant Accident for Pressurized Water Reactors, Regulatory Guide 1.4, Revision 2, June 1974.
4. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Reactor Safety Study-An Assessment of Accident Risks in U.S. Commercial Nuclear Power Plants, WASH-1400, NUREG-75/14, Washington, D.C., October 1975.

5. U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Severe Accident Risks: An Assessment of Five U.S. Nuclear Power Plants, NUREG-1150 Volumes 1 and 2, December 1990.
6. L. Soffer, S. B. Burson, C. M. Ferrell, R. Y. Lee and J. N. Rightly, Accident Source Terms for Light-Water-Nuclear Power Plants, NUREG-1465, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., June 1992.
7. Gesellschaft fuer Reaktorsicherheit mbh (GRS), German Risk Study Nuclear Power Plants, Phase B, GRS-74, Cologne, Germany, July 1990.
8. G. Pettit, M. L. Ang, and N. E. Buttery, "The Radiological Categorization Methodology for the Sizewell 'B' Probabilistic Safety Assessment," presentation, IAEA Workshop on Procedures for Level 2 PSA, Vienna, May 25-29, 1992.
9. E. Soderman, L. Hammar, W. Frid, J. O. Liljenzin, O. Sandervag, and K. Johansson, Severe Accident Analysis in Sweden - Methods and Results: RAMA III Final Report, RAMA III 89-02, December 1989.
10. M. Kajimoto et al., "Development of THALES-2, A Computer Code for Coupled Thermal--Hydraulics and Fission Product Analysis for Severe Accidents at LWRs and Its Application to Analysis of Fission Product Revaporization Phenomena," Proc. Int'l Topical Mtg. on Safety of Thermal Reactors, Portland, Oregon, 1991.
11. J. Gauvin, G. L'Hraubet, J. P. L'Heriteau, G. Ginereno, J. M. Dumas, and E. Studer, ESCADRE - A System of Computer Codes for Realistic Assessment of Severe Accidents in PWRs, Rapport DPEI/91-03, 1991.
12. P. J. Ross and C. Dawson, "Results of the Sizewell B probabilistic safety analysis", Proceedings of the British Nuclear Energy Society Conference on Thermal Reactor Safety Assessment, Manchester, U.K., May 1994.
13. M. L. Ang, N. E. Buttery, S. H. M. Jones and D. B. Utton, "Sizewell B Level 2 PSA Analysis", Proceedings of the British Nuclear Energy Society Conference on Thermal Reactor Safety Assessment, Manchester, U.K., May 1994.
14. M. L. Ang, N. E. Buttery, L. M. C. Dutton, and E. Grindon, "Severe Accident Source Term Categorization for the Sizewell B Probabilistic Safety Assessment", Proceedings of the British Nuclear Energy Society Conference on Thermal Reactor Safety Assessment, Manchester, U.K., May 1994.

DISTRIBUTION:

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (4)
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Regulation
Attn.: R. Barrett, O8H7

J. H. Flack, T10F13

L. Soffer, T10F13

A. C. Thadani, 12G18

Washington, D.C. 20555

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (10)
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

Attn.: E. S. Beckjord, T10F12

F. Eltawila, T10K8

N. Grossman, T10K8

T. L. King, T10E37

R. Y. Lee, T10K8

R. L. Palla, Jr., 10 E 4

A. M. Rubin, T10K8

M. W. Hodges, T10E37

T. P. Speis, T10F12

C. G. Tinkler, T10K8

Washington, D.C. 20555

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of ACRS

Attn.: M. D. Houston, T2E26

Washington, D.C. 20555

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Information Resources Management
End User Support Services
Branch T2C8

Washington, D.C. 20555

U.S. Department of Energy (3)
Albuquerque Operations Office
P.O. Box 5400

Attn.: R. N. Holton

J. A. Morley

J. R. Roeder

Albuquerque, NM 87185

U.S. Department of Energy
Idaho Operations Office
785 DOE Place
Attn.: W. H. Rettig
Idaho Falls, ID 83401-1134

ABB/CE
1000 Prospect Mill Road
CEP 9612-2207

Attn.: R. Schneider

Winsor, CT 06095

Argonne National Laboratory
9700 South Cass Avenue
Attn.: B. Spencer
Argonne, IL 60439

Battelle Columbus Laboratory
505 King Avenue
Attn.: C. Alexander
Columbus, OH 43201

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Reactor Systems, Fuels & Matls. P8-35
P.O. Box 999
Attn.: F. E. Panisko
Richland, WA 99352

Brookhaven National Laboratory
130 BNL
Attn.: T. Pratt
Upton, NY 11973

Electric Power Research Institute
P.O. Box 10412
3412 Hillview Avenue
Attn.: M. Merilo
Palo Alto, CA 94303

Strategic Technology and Resources
Attn: Phil Holzman
195 High Street
Winchester, MA 01890

General Atomics
P.O. Box 85608
Attn.: S. Inamati
San Diego, CA 92138-5608

G. E. Knolls Atomic Power Lab
Box 1072
Attn.: J. Conine, D2-221
Schenectady, NY 12501

Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
EG&G Idaho, Inc.
Willow Creek Building, W-3
P.O. Box 1625
Attn.: C. M. Allison
Idaho Falls, ID 83415

International Technical Services
420 Lexington Avenue
Attn.: P. Abramson
New York, New York 10170

Los Alamos National Laboratory (3)
P.O. Box 1663
Attn.: F. Dearing
L. Trock
B. Boyack
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2)
Nuclear Engineering Dept.
77 Massachusetts Avenue
Attn.: M. Kazimi
N. Todreas
Cambridge, MA 02139

Oak Ridge National Laboratory (3)
P.O. Box Y
Attn.: E. Beahm
T. Kress
A. L. Wright
Oak Ridge, TN 37830

PLG Inc.
191 Calle Magdalena
Suite 240
Attn.: D. Buttermer
Encinitas, CA 92024

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (2)
Department of Nuclear Engineering
and Engineering Physics
Attn.: R. T. Lahey Jr.
M. Podowski
Troy, NY 12180-3590

Rice University
Department of Chemistry
6100 Main Street
Attn.: J. L. Margrave
Houston, TX 77251

Stone and Webster
245 Summer Street
Attn.: J. Metcalf, MS 245-2
Boston, MA 02107

University of California, Berkeley (2)
Attn.: D. Olander
S. Prussin
Berkeley, CA 94720

University of California, Los Angeles
Nuclear Energy Laboratory
405 Hilgaard Avenue
Attn.: I. Catton
Los Angeles, CA 90024

University of Maryland
Nuclear Engineering Department
Attn.: F. Munno
College Park, MD 20742

Westinghouse Bettis Atomic Laboratory
P.O. Box 79
Attn.: J. W. Wolfe
ZAP 34N
West Mifflin, PA 15122-0079

U.S. Department of Energy
Attn: P. Worthington, EH-12
19901 Germantown Road
Germantown, MD 20585

University of California, Los Angeles (3)
Nuclear Energy Laboratory
Attn: D. Okrent
W. Kastenber
G. Apostolakis
405 Hilgaard Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
Attn: D. Petti
Willow Creek Building, W-3
P.O. Box 1625
Idaho Falls, ID 83415

FOREIGN DISTRIBUTION:

AECL CANDU
Sheridan Park Research Community
2251 Speakman Avenue
Attn.: V. J. Nath
Mississauga, Ontario L5K 1B2
CANADA

AECL Research (5)
Chalk River Research Laboratories
Attn.: L. N. Carlucci
D. S. Cox
L. W. Dickson
R. S. Dickson
B. H. McDonald
Chalk River, Ontario KOJ 1J0
CANADA

AECL Research (2)
Whiteshell Laboratories
Attn.: S. R. Mulpuru
L. A. Simpson
Pinawa, Manitoba R0E 1L0
CANADA

Consejo de Seguidad Nuckan
SOR Angela de la Cruz No. 3
Attn.: J. Bagues
28056 Madrid
SPAIN

AERE Harwell (4)
Didcot
Attn.: R. G. J. Ball
N. E. Beatham
M. A. Mignanelli
A. L. Nichols
Oxfordshire OX11 ORA
UNITED KINGDOM

Cadarache Center for Nuclear Studies (5)
Attn.: B. Andre
P. Dumaz
M. Schwartz
A. Meyer-Heine
F. Serre
F-13108 Saint Paul-Lez-Durance Cedex
FRANCE

Consijo de Seguidad Nuclear
Justo Dorado 11
Attn.: J. A. Martinez
J. E. de Carlos
28040 Madrid
SPAIN

Committee on the Use of Atomic Energy
for Peaceful Purposes
69 Shipchenski
Prokhod Blvd.
Attn.: Y. Yanev
1574, Sofia
BULGARIA

Director Research, Science Education CEC
Rue De La Loi 200
Attn.: B. Tolley
W. Balz
1049 Brussels
BELGIUM

Finnish Centre for Radiation and Nuclear Safety
Department of Nuclear Safety
P.O. Box 268
Attn.: J. V. Sandberg
SF-00101 Helsinki
FINLAND

ENEL-CRTN
Via Monfalcone 15
Attn.: E. Borioli
20132 Milan
ITALY

Belgonucleaire SA
Rue du Champ de Mars 25
Attn: H. Bairiot
J. Basselier
B-1050 Brussels
BELGIUM

TRACTEBEL
Avenue Ariane 7
Attn: E.J. Stubbe
B-1200 Brussels
BELGIUM

E. T. S. Ingenieros Industriales
Jost Gutierrez Abascal, 2
Attn.: A. Alonso
28006 Madrid
SPAIN

Hungarian Atomic Energy Commission
H-1374 Budapest, P.O. Box 565
Attn.: S. Elo
Budapest
HUNGARY

Institut de Protection et de Surete Nucleaire (6)
CEN/FAR-B.P. No. 6
F-92265
Fontenay-aux-Roses
Attn.: J. Bardelay M. LiVolant
J. Duco M. LeComte
M. Gomolinski R. Pochard
Cedex
FRANCE

Institute of Nuclear Energy Research
P.O. Box 3
Attn.: Sen-I Chang
Lung-Tan
TAIWAN 325

Jozef Stefan Institute
Jamova 39
Attn.: B. Mavko
61111 Ljubljana
SLOVENIA

Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute (4)
Tokai-mura
Naku-gun
Attn.: Y. Maruyama
K. Soda
J. Sugimoto
N. Yamano
Ibaraki-ken, 319-11
JAPAN

JRC Ispra Establishment (5)
Thermodynamics and Radiation Physics
Attn.: J. Capitao
P. Fasoli-Stella
A. V. Jones
I. Shepherd
Y. Drossinos
1-201020 Ispra (Varese)
ITALY

Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe (3)
P.O. Box 3640
Attn.: S. Hagen
P. Hofmann
B. Kuczera
75 Karlsruhe
GERMANY

Korea Atomic Energy Research Insitute
150 Dukjin-dong, Yoosung-gu
Attn.: Hee-Dong Kim
Taejeon 305-353
KOREA

Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz
Sesener Str. 5-11
Attn: L. Weil
38239 Salzgitter-Immendorf
GERMANY

Netherlands Energy Research Foundation (6)
P.O. Box 1
Attn.: A. S. Booiij R. Konings
E. Cordfunke S.
Spoelstra
M. E. Huntelaar P. Stoop
1755 ZG Petten (NH)
THE NETHERLANDS

Nuclear Power Engineering Corporation
Fujitakanko Building
17-1, 3-Chrome, Toranomon, Minato-Ku
Attn.: Kenji Takumi
Tokyo, 105
JAPAN

Nuclear Electric (3)
Berkeley Nuclear Laboratory, Berkeley
Attn.: C. Chapman
J. Eccles
J. Gwyther
Gloucestershire GL 13 9PB
UNITED KINGDOM

Nucleare e della Protezione Sanitaria (DISP)
Ente Nazionnle Energie Alternative (ENEA)
Viale Regina Margherita, 125
Casella Postale M. 2358
Attn.: G. Petrangeli
M. Pezzilli
G. Saponaro
I-00144 Roma A. D.
ITALY

Nuclear Regulatory Authority
Slovak Republic
Bajkalska 27
Attn.: J. Misak
827 21 Bratislave
SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Nuclear Research Institute (2)
Attn.: J. Kujal
F. Pazdera
250 68 Rez
CZECH REPUBLIC

Nuclear Safety Institute (4)
Russian Research Center KI
1 Kurchatov Square
Attn.: V. Asmolov
E. G. Basanski
V. Demin
S. B. Dorofeev
123182 Moscow
RUSSIA

N. V. Kema
P.O. Box 9035
Attn.: P. Kloeg
6800 ET Arnhem
THE NETHERLANDS

Ontario Hydro (2)
700 University Avenue
Attn.: F. C. Iglesias
O. Akalin
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1X6
CANADA

Paul Scherrer Institute
Attn.: P. Hosemann
CH-5232 Villigen, PSI
SWITZERLAND

Polytechnical University of Madrid
Nuclear Engineering Department
Jose Gutierrez Abascal, 2
Attn.: A. Alonzo-Santos
28006 Madrid
SPAIN

Power Reactor Nuclear Fuel Development
Corporation (PNC)
9-13, 1-Chome
Akasaka
Attn.: A. Watanabe
Minato-Ku, Tokyo
JAPAN

Reactor Centrum Nederland
Attn.: K. J. Brinkman
1755 ZG Petten
THE NETHERLANDS

Research Centre Rossendorf Inc.
Forschungszentrum Rossendorf
Postfach 19
Attn.: Dr. H. Funke
DO-8051 Dresden
GERMANY

Royal Institute of Technology
Department of Nuclear Reactor Engineering
Attn.: K. M. Becker
B. R. Sehgal
S-10044 Stockholm
SWEDEN

State Office for Nuclear Safety
Slezska 9
Attn.: J. Stuller
120 00 Prague 2
CZECH REPUBLIC

Russian Academy of Sciences
Nuclear Safety Institute
52, B. Tuskaya
Attn.: L. Bolshov
V. Chudanov
V. G. Strizhov
113191 Moscow
RUSSIA

Statens Karnkraftinspektion
P.O. Box 27106
Attn.: W. Frid
L. Hammar
S-10252 Stockholm
SWEDEN

Swiss Federal Nuclear Safety Inspectorate
Attn.: S. Chakraborty
U. Schmocker
Ch-5232 Villigen - HSK
SWITZERLAND

Ruhr University of Bochum
Dept. of Nuclear & New Energy Systems
Attn: U. Brockmeier
GERMANY

UKAEA, Risley Nuclear Laboratories (4)
Risley, Warrington
Attn.: A. T. D. Butland
I. H. Dunbar
G. F. Holford
C. D. Wheatley
Cheshire WA3 4NE
UNITED KINGDOM

UKAEA, Winfrith Technology Centre (7)
Attn.: B. R. Bowsher
T. J. Haste
S. R. Kinnersly
J. N. Lillington
A. Mason
D. Sweet
D. A. Williams
Dorchester Dorset DT2 8DH
UNITED KINGDOM

Gesellschaft Fuer Reaktorsicherheit (3)
Forschungsgelände
Attn: M. Firrhober
M. Sonnenkalb
Klaus Trambauer
D-8046 Garching
GERMANY

University of Stuttgart (2)
IKE
Pfaffenwaldring 31
Attn.: U. Bieder
N. Kourti
D-7000 Stuttgart 80
GERMANY

VATESI (2)
Gediminis Prospect 36
Attn.: A. Kaliatka
P. Vaisnys
Vilnius
LITHUANIA

Com. Nacional de Seguridad Nucl. Salvag
Colonia Narvarte Delegation B. Juarez
Attn: Miguel Medina Vaillard
Dr. Abrragan #779
C.P. 03020
MEXICO

Technical Research Centre of Finland
Vuorimiehentie 5
Attn: L. Mattila
SF-02150 ESP00
FINLAND

Division of Nuclear & Power Engineering
Institute for Electric Power Research, POB 233
Attn: Z. Techy
L. Voross
H-1368
HUNGARY

Toshiba Corporation
8. Shinsugita-Cho, Isogo-Ku
Attn: M. Naito
Yokohama 235
JAPAN

Safety Review and Assessment Division
Korea Institute of Nuclear Safety
P.O. Box 15, Daeduk-Danji
Attn: J. J. Lee
Taejon, 305-353
KOREA

Nuclear Regulatory Authority
Bajkalska 27
Attn: J. Misak
820 07 Bratislava
SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Studsvik Nuclear
S-611 82, Nykoping
Attn: K. O. Johansson
SWEDEN

Atomic Energy Council
Attn: Y. Chen
S. J. Shieh
67, Lane 144
Keedling Road, Section 4
Taipei, Taiwan 106
REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Universitat Stuttgart IKE
Postfach 180 11 40
Attn: K. D. Hocke
D-7000 Stuttgart 80]
GERMANY

CEA/Cadarache/Semar (9)
Attn: M. Arnaud M. Park
M. Adroguer Mme. Dickinson
M. Mailliat M. Hueber
M. Serre M. Wadworth
M. Hidaka
13108 Saint Paul Lez Durance
FRANCE

CEA/Cadarache/SEA (3)
Attn: M. Clement
M. Gronnier
Mme. Ktorza
13108 Saint Paul Lez Durance
FRANCE

AEA Technology
Attn: J. Mitchell, 210/A32
Winfrith, Dorchester
Dorset DT2 8DH, England
UNITED KINGDOM

Nuclear Power Engineering Center
Equipment and Components Dept.
Attn: Akira Nonaka, Manager
Shuwa-kamigacho Bldg.
3-13, 4-Chome, Toranomom Minato-ku
Tokyo 105
JAPAN

Severe Accident Assessment Dept.
Attn: Kim, Han Chul, Senior Researcher
P.O. Box 16
Kaeduk-Danji
Tajon, KOREA 305-606

Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH
Postfach 3640
Attn: Dr. Siegfried Hagen
D-7500 Karlsruhe 1
GERMANY

CEA/Cadarache/DRS (2)

Attn: M. Zeyen
M. VonderHardt
13108 Saint Paul Lez Durance
FRANCE

Kemforschungszentrum Karlsruhe GmbH
P.O. Box 3640
Attn: B. Kuczera
P. Hofmann
K. Burkart
46021 Karlsruhe
GERMANY

Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH
P.O. Box 1913
Attn: E. F. Hicken
52405 Jülich
GERMANY

University of Bochum
Universitätsstr. 150
Attn: H. Unger
44801 Bochum
GERMANY

University of Stuttgart, IKE
Pfaffenwaldring 31
Attn: A. Schatz
70569 Stuttgart
GERMANY

Siemens AG
UB KWU
Hammerbacher Str. 12/14
Attn: H. Fabian
H. Weisshaeupl
W. Morell
91058 Erlangen
GERMANY

SANDIA DISTRIBUTION: (60)

MS0100 Document Processing for DOE/OSTI,
7613-2 (10)
MS0619 Technical Publications, 7151 (3)
MS0736 N. R. Ortiz, 6400
MS0722 K. Washington, 6913
MS0744 D. A. Powers, 6404 (20)
MS0744 W. A. von Riesenmann, 6403
MS0745 R. C. Smith, 6418
MS0745 R. M. Summers, 6418
MS0745 T. J. Tautges, 6418
MS0834 J. E. Brockmann, 1512
MS0899 Technical Library, 7141 (7)
MS1137 M. D. Allen, 6422
MS1137 N. E. Bixler, 6422
MS1137 T. Y. Chu, 6422
MS1137 R. M. Elrick, 6422
MS1137 T. J. Heames, 6422
MS1139 K. O. Reil, 6423
MS1139 R. C. Schmidt, 6423
MS1144 J. V. Walker, 6501
MS1151 K. E. Boyack, 6515
MS9211 Central Technical Files, 8523-2