IS-T 1709

Photodissociation and Photoionization of Organosulfur Radicals

by

Hsu, Chia-Wei

PHD Thesis submitted to Iowa State University

Ames Laboratory, U.S. DOE

Iowa State University

Ames, Iowa 50011

Date Transmitted: May 27, 1994

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

UNDER CONTRACT NO. W-7405-Eng-82.

MASTER

Is
DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Photodissociation and photoionization of organosulfur radicals

Chia-Wei Hsu

Major Professor: Cheuk-Yiu Ng
Iowa State University

The dynamics of $S(^3P_{2,1,0}, ^1D_2)$ production from the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 , H_2S and CH_3SH have been studied using 2+1 resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization (REMPI) techniques. The 193 nm photodissociation cross sections for the formation of S from CH_3S and HS initially prepared in the photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 and H_2S are estimated to be 1×10^{-18} and $1.1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, respectively. The dominant product from CH_3S is $S(^1D)$, while that from HS is $S(^3P)$. Possible potential energy surfaces involved in the 193 nm photodissociation of $CH_3S(\tilde{X})$ and $SH(X)$ have been also examined theoretically. Threshold photoelectron (PE) spectra for SH and CH_3S formed in the ultraviolet photodissociation of H_2S and CH_3SH , respectively, have been measured using the nonresonant two-photon pulsed field ionization (N2P-PFI) technique. The rotationally resolved N2P-PFI-PE spectrum obtained for SH indicates that photoionization dynamics favors the rotational angular momentum change $\Delta N < 0$ with the ΔN value up to -3, an observation similar to that found in the PFI-PE spectra of OH (OD) and NO. The ionization energies for $SH(X^2\Pi_{3/2})$ and $CH_3S(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ are determined to be $84,057.5 \pm 3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($10.4219 \pm 0.0004 \text{ eV}$) and $74,726 \pm 8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($9.2649 \pm 0.0010 \text{ eV}$), respectively. The spin-orbit splittings for $SH(X^2\Pi_{3/2,1/2})$ and $CH_3S(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ are found to be 377 ± 2 and

$257 \pm 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively, in agreement with previous measurements. The C-S stretching frequency for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2)$ is $733 \pm 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. This study illustrates that the PFI-PE detection method can be a sensitive probe for the nascent internal energy distribution of photoproducts.

Photodissociation and photoionization of organosulfur radicals

by

Chia-Wei Hsu

A Thesis submitted to the
Graduate Faculty in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department: Chemistry
Major: Physical Chemistry

Approved:

In Charge of Major Work

For the Major Department

For the Graduate College

Iowa State University
Ames, Iowa

1994

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
PAPER 1. A STUDY OF THE $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2)$ PRODUCTION IN THE 193 nm PHOTODISSOCIATION OF $CH_3S(\tilde{X})$	3
ABSTRACT	4
INTRODUCTION	5
EXPERIMENTAL	8
<i>AB INITIO</i> CALCULATION	22
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	26
CONCLUSION	37
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	38
REFERENCES	39
PAPER 2. A STUDY OF THE $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2)$ PRODUCTION IN THE 193 nm PHOTODISSOCIATION OF HS AND H ₂ S	42
ABSTRACT	43
INTRODUCTION	44
EXPERIMENTAL	47
RESULTS	53
DISCUSSION	57
CONCLUSION	63
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	64

REFERENCES	65
PAPER 3. A STUDY OF THE $S(^2P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2; ^1S_0)$ PRODUCTION IN THE 193 nm PHOTODISSOCIATION OF CH_3SH	68
ABSTRACT	69
INTRODUCTION	70
EXPERIMENTAL	74
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	78
CONCLUSION	87
REFERENCES	88
PAPER 4. ROTATIONALLY RESOLVED NONRESONANT TWO-PHOTON IONIZATION OF SH	90
ABSTRACT	91
INTRODUCTION	92
EXPERIMENTAL	96
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	103
CONCLUSION	122
REFERENCES	123
PAPER 5. NONRESONANT TWO-PHOTON PULSED FIELD IONIZATION OF CH_3S FORMED IN PHOTO- DISSOCIATION OF CH_3SH AND CH_3SSCH_3	128
ABSTRACT	129
INTRODUCTION	130
EXPERIMENTAL	133
RESULTS	136

DISCUSSION	141
CONCLUSION	158
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	159
REFERENCES	160
GENERAL CONCLUSION	164
REFERENCES	166
APPENDIX. COMPUTER PROGRAMS	168

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have helped me during my Ph.D. studies at Iowa State University. In these past five years, a great deal of assistance from many people has led to my success in graduate school. At this time, I would like to express my cordial appreciation to them.

First, I deeply thank Dr. Ng for his support, understanding, assistance and encouragement in my research. To be frank, I received a lot pressure from Dr. Ng ever since I joined his group. The most frequent words he said to me were " Are you doing O.K? ". Whenever I heard these words I felt I needed to accomplish something before I met him the next time. It was this motivation that really inspired me and had a tremendous impact on my life. His past guidance will continue to benefit me for the rest of my life.

I would also like to thank Chung-Lin Liao for his assistance in my academic studies. He also taught me how to do the experiments from the very beginning. He is very thorough and knowledgeable, and always comes up with ingenious ideas to solve experimental difficulties. I improved my skill and knowledge in science through work and discussion with him. I acknowledge Jerry Flesch for helping me to safely pass some very difficult times during my work in Ames Lab. He also took care of every detailed work in our lab. Without him, my work would surely have been delayed. I acknowledge Chu-Xiong Liao for helping me in fixing the apparatus. He patiently explained problems to me whenever I came to ask him for help. I also thank Eldon Ness, Terry Soseman, and Dick Egger in the chemistry machine shop for their invaluable service. They were always helpful and friendly and did an excellent job in the construction of my apparatus. I

especially thank Eldon for his understanding and suggestions as well as for rapidly completing my job whenever it was urgent.

Finally, I deeply thank my family for their support and understanding. My wife, I-Quei Wang, has given me a lot of support and encouragement. She took care of the family very well so that I could concentrate on my work. She always encouraged me to do my best whenever I encountered difficulties. Her support for my study keeps me striving for success.

This work was performed at Ames Laboratory under contract no. W-7405-eng-82 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The United States government has assigned the DOE Report number 1709 to this thesis.

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Explanation of the Thesis Format

The thesis is composed of five papers prepared in a format ready for publication. The tables, figures, and references mentioned in each paper pertain only to that paper. The specific experimental conditions used in each study are described in each paper. The references quoted in the general introduction and general conclusion are listed after the general conclusion. The appendix contains the computer programs used for the simulation of the experimental data.

Background

Sulfur, which occurs naturally in crude oil and coal in the range from 0.2% to 10%, is an important species contributing to air pollution in the atmosphere. The modelings of the combustion and oxidation of sulfur compounds represent important steps for the control of both the production and the elimination of sulfur-containing pollutants¹. The studies of the photodissociation and photoionization of H₂S and organosulfides like CH₃SH and CH₃SSCH₃, etc., have been major subjects in our group²⁻⁶. They have provided valuable information on the energetics and photochemical processes of these molecules. However, the study of the intermediate reactive radicals, such as SH and CH₃S, remains an open area in research, mainly due to their instability. In a continuing effort to study organosulfur compounds, I focused my attention primarily on the studies of these two radicals.

The first three papers of the thesis contain the photodissociation studies of CH₃S,

SH, CH₃SH, and H₂S. Basically, these experiments directly measure the nascent electronic state distributions of S(³P_{2,1,0}; ¹D₂) atoms formed in the 193 nm photodissociation of CH₃SCH₃, H₂S, and CH₃SH using 2+1 resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization (REMPI) detection schemes⁷⁻⁹. Using the rate equation scheme, we have estimated the 193 nm photodissociation cross section of the nascent radicals CH₃S and SH initially formed in the photodissociation of CH₃SCH₃, H₂S, and CH₃SH. To our knowledge, this is the first report on the absolute photodissociation cross sections at 193 nm for these radicals. The dominant product from CH₃S is S(¹D), while that from SH is S(³P).

The fourth and fifth papers focus on the photoionization studies of SH and CH₃S. The experiments use pulsed field ionization (PFI)¹⁰, which is a version of the zero-kinetic-energy (ZEKE)^{11,12} photoelectron (PE) technique. The ZEKE/PFI detection scheme relies on delayed PFI of long-lived high-n-Rydberg states populated by laser excitation at a few wavenumbers below the ionization threshold. In the experiments, a one-color three-photon process is involved. That is, the SH [CH₃S] radical is first prepared from H₂S [CH₃SH] by the absorption of a signal photon. The subsequent ionization of SH [CH₃S] is accomplished by the nonresonant two-photon (N2P) excitation of SH [CH₃S] to the high-n-Rydberg state SH^{*}(n) [CH₃S^{*}(n)] followed by PFI of SH^{*}(n) [CH₃S^{*}(n)]. This is the first report on rotationally resolved PE spectrum of SH and vibrationally resolved PE spectrum of CH₃S¹³⁻¹⁵.

PAPER 1.

**A STUDY OF THE S($^3P_{2,1,0}$; 1D_2) PRODUCTION IN THE 193 nm
PHOTODISSOCIATION OF CH₃S($\tilde{X}$)**

ABSTRACT

The dynamics of $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2)$ production from the 193nm photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 has been studied using 2+1 REMPI techniques. The 193nm photodissociation cross section for the formation of S from CH_3S initially prepared in the photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 is estimated to be $1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$. The branching ratio for $S(^3P)/S(^1D)$ is found to be 0.15/0.85. The fine-structure distribution observed for product $S(^3P_{2,1,0})$ is nearly statistical. Possible potential energy surfaces involved in the 193nm photodissociation of $CH_3S(\tilde{X})$ have been examined theoretically along the CH_3 -S dissociation coordinate in C_{3v} symmetry. These calculations suggest that predissociation of $CH_3S(\tilde{C}^2A_2)$ via the repulsive $CH_3S(\tilde{E}^2E)$ surface is most likely responsible for the efficient production of $S(^1D)$. For vibrationally excited $CH_3S(\tilde{X})$, a viable mechanism for the dominant production of $S(^1D)$ may involve direct dissociation via the $CH_3S(\tilde{E}^2E)$ state formed in the 193nm photoexcitation.

INTRODUCTION

Unlike unimolecular dissociation on the ground electronic potential energy surface, molecular dissociation in excited electronic states often involves predissociation due to nonadiabatic interactions with other electronic states. Translational energy distributions, internal state distributions, and final product branching ratios can be critically sensitive to the predissociating excited potential energy surfaces. In the past decade, tremendous progress has been made in understanding the photodissociation dynamics of triatomic molecules.¹⁻³ However, the photodissociation study of radicals, especially polyatomic radicals, has remained essentially an unexplored research area. Detailed state-to-state photodissociation cross sections for radicals in the ultraviolet (UV) and vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) provide challenges not only for dynamical calculations, but also for *ab initio* quantum chemical studies.

Recent photofragmentation studies on a series of organosulfur compounds⁴⁻⁸ performed in our laboratory have yielded valuable information on the energetics and the photodissociation dynamics of these molecules. Based on the kinetic energy distributions observed for S,^{5,6} the photodissociation studies of CH₃SSCH₃ and CH₃SCH₃ suggest that the CH₃S photofragment can further dissociate by the absorption of a second 193nm photon to produce S predominantly in the ¹D state. In order to examine this suggestion, we have measured directly the nascent electronic state distributions of S(³P_{2,1,0}; ¹D₂) atoms formed in the 193nm photodissociation of CH₃SCH₃ [processes (1) and (2)] using 2+1 resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization (REMPI) detection schemes.^{9,10}

The previous 193nm laser photofragmentation time-of-flight (TOF) studies^{5,6} indicate that $\text{S}({}^3\text{P}_J, {}^1\text{D}_2)$ atoms are produced from CH_3SCH_3 via processes (1) and (2).

Many kinetic¹¹⁻¹⁴ and spectroscopic¹⁵⁻²¹ investigations have been made involving CH_3S radicals produced by excimer laser photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 and CH_3SSCH_3 . Also, the energetics and geometries of CH_3S in the ground and excited electronic states have been the subject of many *ab initio* calculations.²²⁻²⁸

The measurements of absolute UV and VUV photodissociation cross sections of organosulfur radicals are relevant to the modeling of atmospheric sulfur chemistry. To our knowledge, absolute UV and VUV photodissociation cross sections for organosulfur radicals have not been reported. By using 2+1 REMPI detection schemes to monitor the formation of $\text{S}({}^3\text{P}_{2,1,0}; {}^1\text{D}_2)$, and by calibrating the S^+ intensities due to $\text{S}({}^3\text{P}_{2,1,0}; {}^1\text{D}_2)$ from process (2) to those from process (3), we have obtained an estimate of the absolute photodissociation cross section for the formation of $\text{S}({}^3\text{P}_{2,1,0}; {}^1\text{D}_2)$ from the 193nm photodissociation of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$.

The absolute cross sections for process (3) are known.²⁹ The branching ratio for $\text{S}({}^3\text{P})/\text{S}({}^1\text{D})$ (=2.78) and the fine-structure distribution of $\text{S}({}^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$ resulting from the

193nm photodissociation of CS_2 have also been measured previously by the VUV laser-induced fluorescence³⁰ and time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometric³¹ methods.

In order to rationalize the experimental observations, we have examined the *ab initio* multi-configuration-self-consistence-field (MCSCF) potential energy surfaces of CH_3S along the $\text{CH}_3\text{-S}$ dissociation coordinate. In addition, the geometrical parameters for the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{A})$ have been optimized by MCSCF calculations.

EXPERIMENTAL

The experiment basically involves the delayed 2+1 REMPI detection of $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2)$ produced by processes (1) and (2). The schematic diagram of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. A home-built TOF mass spectrometer of the two-stage Wiley-McLaren design³² is used to detect S^+ ions [see Fig. 2]. A pulsed beam of neat CH_3SCH_3 is produced by supersonic expansion through a commercial pulsed valve (General Valve No. 9, nozzle diameter = 0.5 mm, temperature ≈ 298 K, stagnation pressure ≤ 150 Torr). The molecular beam is skimmed (7 cm from the nozzle) by a conical skimmer (1 mm dia.) and intersects with both the dissociation and ionization lasers 8.3 cm downstream from the skimmer. The molecular beam source chamber is pumped by a liquid-nitrogen trapped 6 in. diffusion pump (pumping speed $\approx 2,000$ ℓ/s), while the photodissociation and detection chamber is evacuated by a 50 ℓ/s turbomolecular pump. For a pulsed valve repetition rate of 17 Hz, the beam source and photodissociation chambers are maintained at pressures of $\approx 1 \times 10^{-4}$ and 2×10^{-6} Torr, respectively. The ArF photodissociation laser (Questek 2460) is operated in the constant pulse energy mode. The laser beam is attenuated by layers of stainless steel wire mesh and is spatially filtered by two irises before being focused by a (200 mm f.l.) fused-silica lens to a spot of $\approx 2 \times 2$ mm² in the intersection region. The laser energies used are in the range of 160 to 1000 $\mu J/pulse$.

The ionization of $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2)$ is accomplished with an excimer laser (Lambda Physik EMG 201 MSC) pumped dye laser (FL 3002) system. Rhodamine 6G and Kiton

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup.

Figure 2. Cross section view of the TOF mass spectrometer. (1)photodissociation photoionization chamber; (2) photodissociation and photoionization region; (3) beam source chamber; (4) pulsed valve; (5) skimmer; (6) TOF tube; and (7) microchannel plate detector.

Red are used to produce the fundamental in the 570-630 nm region. The UV second harmonic is generated using a temperature stabilized angle-tuned BBO crystal (FL-37-1). The pulse energy, typically $200\mu\text{J}/\text{pulse}$, is monitored with a pyroelectric detector (Molelectron J3-05). The dye laser beam propagates coaxially with the ArF laser beam into the reaction chamber and intersects with the molecular beam at 90° .

The firing of the dissociation excimer laser is delayed by $570\mu\text{s}$ with respect to the triggering pulse for opening the pulsed valve. We find that the S^+ intensity remains nearly constant when the delay between the photodissociation and ionization lasers is varied in the range of 30-100 ns. Therefore, a delay of 50 ns between the two lasers is set for all the experiments. The firing sequence of the pulsed valve and the two lasers is controlled by two digital delay units (Stanford Research, Model DG 535). The ion signal from the microchannel plate (MCP) and the excimer laser signal from the pyroelectric detector are fed into two identical boxcar integrators (Stanford Research, SR-250), which are interfaced to an IBM AT computer.

The liquid CS_2 and CH_3SCH_3 samples (99% purity) were obtained from Aldrich. The liquid CH_3SCH_3 sample was degassed by a series of freeze-and-thaw-cycles before use in the experiment.

A. Detection of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$ and $\text{S}(^1\text{D}_2)$

Probing of the $\text{S}(3^3\text{P}_j)$ and $\text{S}(3^1\text{D}_2)$ atomic states is accomplished by 2-photon absorption, $\text{S}(3^3\text{P}_j) \rightarrow \text{S}(4^3\text{P}_j)$ and $\text{S}(3^1\text{D}_2) \rightarrow \text{S}(4^1\text{F}_3)$, followed by absorption of a third photon to produce S^+ in the $^4\text{S}^\circ$ and $^2\text{D}^\circ$ states, respectively (Fig. 3). These schemes have been used previously for the detection of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0}; ^1\text{D}_2)$ formed in the photodissociation of

Figure 3. 2+1 REMPI detection schemes for $S(^3P_{2,1,0})$ and $S(^1D_2)$.

sulfur-containing molecules.^{10,11} The ionization peaks corresponding to the detection of $S(^3P_{2,1,0})$ and $S(^1D_2)$ appear in the wavelength region of 308-311 nm and at 288.19 nm, respectively.

Ideally, the S^+ signal formed in the 2+1 REMPI of $S(^3P_2; ^1D_2)$ should have an E^n dependence with $n=3$, where E is the ionization dye laser power. For $S(^3P_2)$ and $S(^1D_2)$ formed by the 193nm photodissociation of CS_2 in a dissociation excimer laser power range of 0.18-1.2 mJ, we observe that $n = 2.3-2.4$ in an E range of 0.01-0.40 mJ. This compares with $n = 2.7-3.0$ observed for the S^+ signal produced from CH_3SCH_3 in an E range of 0.03-0.40 mJ and a dissociation excimer laser power range of 0.54-5.7 mJ. The higher power dependence observed for S^+ from CH_3SCH_3 is interpreted that S atoms are also produced from the dissociation of CH_3S by the dye laser. The ionization of these S atoms by the dye laser contributes to the observed S^+ signal from processes (1) and (2). Using the observed ionization laser power dependence of S^+ from CS_2 as a normalization factor, we have subtracted the background S^+ signal attributed to dye laser photodissociation of CH_3S .

The fine-structure distribution of $S(^3P_{2,1,0})$ is measured by summation over the peak intensities corresponding to transitions to the upper $S(4^3P_{2,1,0})$ fine-structure levels.³³ Figure 4 shows a typical spectrum for the ionization of $S(^3P_{2,1,0})$ formed by process (3). The fine-structure distribution has been determined to be $^3P_2 : ^3P_1 : ^3P_0 = 0.71 \pm 0.02 : 0.20 \pm 0.02 : 0.09 \pm 0.04$, in excellent agreement with the result obtained by Waller and Hepburn³⁰ using the VUV laser-induced fluorescence technique.

Figure 4. Typical 2+1 REMPI spectrum for $S(^3P_{2,1,0})$ formed in the 193nm photodissociation of CS_2 .

B. S(³P)/S(¹D) branching ratio and absolute cross section for process (2)

The rate equations applicable to processes (1) and (2) are:

$$d[\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3]/dt = -I\sigma_1[\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3], \quad (4)$$

$$d[\text{CH}_3\text{S}]/dt = I\sigma_1[\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3] - I\sigma_2[\text{CH}_3\text{S}], \quad (5)$$

$$d[\text{S}]/dt = I\sigma_2[\text{CH}_3\text{S}]. \quad (6)$$

Here, I is the ArF laser photon intensity [# of photons/(cm²·sec)] and σ_1 and σ_2 represent the absolute cross sections for processes (1) and (2), respectively. The number densities for CH₃SCH₃ ([CH₃SCH₃]), CH₃S ([CH₃S]), and S ([S]) due to one laser pulse are related to σ_1 , σ_2 , the laser flux (F), and the initial number density of CH₃SCH₃ ([CH₃SCH₃]₀) by the relations:

$$[\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3] = [\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3]_0 \exp(-\sigma_1 F), \quad (7)$$

$$[\text{CH}_3\text{S}] = [\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3]_0 [\sigma_1 / (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)] [\exp(-\sigma_1 F) - \exp(-\sigma_2 F)], \quad (8)$$

$$[\text{S}] = [\text{CH}_3\text{SCH}_3]_0 [\sigma_1 \sigma_2 / (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)] [-(1/\sigma_1) \exp(-\sigma_1 F) + (1/\sigma_2) \exp(-\sigma_2 F) + 1/\sigma_1 - 1/\sigma_2]. \quad (9)$$

The laser flux F (# of photons/cm²) is the intensity integrated over the laser pulse width. The S⁺ signal resulting from the 2+1 REMPI is directly proportional to the number density of S. Since σ_1 is known to be 1×10⁻¹⁷ cm²,³⁴ the S(³P)/S(¹D) branching ratio and the value for σ_2 can be determined by calibrating the S⁺ signals due to the formation of S(³P_{2,1,0}; ¹D₂) from CH₃SCH₃ to those from CS₂.

In principle, the best method for calibrating the S^+ signal of $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2)$ from the photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 to that from process (3) is to measure the S^+ signal due to CS_2 formed in the pulsed beam using the same expansion conditions as that used for the production of the CH_3SCH_3 beam. However, the S^+ signal from CS_2 observed in such an experiment saturates the microchannel plate detector. The S^+ signal from CS_2 is much higher than that from CH_3SCH_3 because process (3) is proportional to the dissociation excimer laser power, whereas the formation of S from processes (1) and (2) is proportional to the second power of the dissociation excimer laser power. For this reason, a slight contamination of the nozzle by CS_2 can affect significantly the measurement of the relative signals for $S(^3P_{2,1,0})$ and $S(^1D_2)$. We find that the most reliable measurement of the S^+ signal from CS_2 is obtained in a calibration experiment under gas cell conditions. This is achieved by leaking CS_2 through a leak valve into the photodissociation (and photoionization) chamber to a pressure of 2×10^{-6} Torr. The CS_2 calibration experiment can be performed immediately after the measurement of the S^+ from CH_3SCH_3 with all the other experimental conditions unchanged.

The number density of CH_3SCH_3 in the photodissociation region is needed to estimate the absolute cross section for process (2). The number density of CH_3SCH_3 at the photodissociation region is calculated by using a standard molecular beam flow formula³⁵ based on the known nozzle diameter and distance between the nozzle and the photodissociation region. The temporal profile for the pulsed beam is sufficiently broad that its intensity is essentially flat in the central portion used in this experiment.

In the estimation of the dissociation excimer laser flux, we assume that the mildly

focused laser beam has a Gaussian beam profile.³⁶ The photodissociation laser spot size has also been checked by examining the burn spots on thermal papers at various distances from the focusing lens. The variation of the laser beam spot size with distance from the focusing lens is consistent with that predicted by the Gaussian beam profile. In the photodissociation region the ionization laser beam spot is smaller than the photodissociation laser beam spot.

The volumes of product S atoms sampled by the ionization detector in the pulsed beam mode and in the gas cell mode are different. The determination of the intersection volume of the ionization laser beam and the CH_3SCH_3 beam, as well as that of the ionization laser beam and the CS_2 molecules in the gas cell, is necessary for the calibration. Here, the ionization dye laser beam profile is again assumed to conform with the Gaussian profile. The size of the CH_3SCH_3 beam is determined by the skimmer opening and the nozzle-skimmer distance.

AB INITIO CALCULATION

All calculations on the electronic structures of CH₃S are performed using of the GAMESS program.³⁷ The calculations are based on the MCSCF and the first order configuration interaction (FOCI) methods. The standard 6-31G** basis set is used. In order to properly describe the excited states of CH₃S, we have extended the basis set to include the Rydberg functions with $\alpha_{4s} = 0.023$, $\alpha_{4p} = 0.020$ and $\alpha_{3d} = 0.015$ for the sulfur atom and $\alpha_{3s} = \alpha_{3p} = 0.040$ for the carbon atom.

The electronic configuration for the CH₃S($\tilde{X}^2E$) ground state in C_{3v} symmetry is

The 1a₁, 2a₁, 3a₁, 4a₁, and 1e orbitals are the core orbitals corresponding to the 1s, 2s, and 2p orbitals of the sulfur atom and the 1s orbital of the carbon atom. The 5a₁ and 2e orbitals are C-H bonding orbitals associated with the methyl group. The 6a₁ and 3e orbitals correspond essentially to the 3s and (3p_x, 3p_y) orbitals of sulfur, respectively. The 7a₁ orbital is the C-S bonding orbital, while the 8a₁ orbital is the C-S anti-bonding orbital. In the calculation of the potential energy curves along the CH₃-S dissociation coordinate, we restrict CH₃S to C_{3v} symmetry and we freeze the core and the 5a₁ and 2e C-H bonding orbitals. The active space includes the 6a₁, 7a₁, 8a₁, and 3e orbitals and the number of active electrons is seven. In the calculation of the excited states, we use the state-averaged MCSCF with equal weights for the three lowest states. The FOCI calculations are carried

out using the molecular orbitals obtained in the MCSCF calculations. The potential energy curves for CH_3S thus calculated are depicted in Fig. 5. The figure only includes the doublet excited states, which may be populated by spin-allowed transitions from the ground $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2\text{E})$ state.

The $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2\text{E})$ state is subjected to the Jahn-Teller distortion, and the actual structure for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X})$ is expected to have a C_s symmetry. The previous studies, however, indicate that the Jahn-Teller stabilization is small²² and is substantially smaller than the spin-orbit splitting (259 cm^{-1})²⁰ for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2\text{E}_{3/2,1/2})$. In a lower C_s symmetry, a_1 transforms as a' and the e-orbitals are split into orbitals transformed as a' and a'' . The $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2\text{A}')$ ground state has the electronic configuration,

$$1a'^2 2a'^2 3a'^2 4a' 5a'^2 1a''^2 6a'^2 7a'^2 2a''^2 8a'^2 9a'^2 3a''^2 10a'^1 11a'^0 \dots$$

We have also optimized the ground $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2\text{A}', ^2\text{A}'')$ state and the first excited $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{A}^2\text{A}_2)$ state using the MCSCF method.

Figure 5. *Ab Initio* potential energy curves for CH₃S in C_{3v} symmetry plotted as a function of the CH₃-S distance (see text).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Comparison of theoretical and experimental geometrical parameters for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{A})$

Using the standard Gaussian program, the geometry of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X})$ was examined by Janousek and Brauman in a restricted C_{3v} symmetry at the STO-3G and 4-31G levels.²² Most recently, the structure of CH_3S in the ground $\tilde{X}^2A'$ state has been optimized using the density function (DF) method²⁷ and using the Gaussian program at the HF/6-31G*,²⁶ MP2/6-31G*,²³ and the MP2/6-31G**²⁸ levels. All these higher level *ab initio* calculations predict that CH_3S in the ground state has a C_s symmetry with the distance of one of the C-H bonds [$r(\text{C-H}(1))$] slightly longer than those for the other two C-H bonds [$r(\text{C-H}(2))=r(\text{C-H}(3))$]. In Table I, the geometrical parameters for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2A')$ predicted by the previous calculations are compared to those obtained here. The θ values shown in the table are the bond angles. The MCSCF parameters of this work are in fair agreement with those obtained from the MP2/6-31G* and MP2/6-31G** calculations.

Despite many previous experimental studies, detailed structural information for CH_3S is still lacking. In a recent high resolution laser-induced fluorescence study of jet cooled CH_3S , Hsu et al.¹⁷ have analyzed the rotationally resolved spectra obtained in their experiment by considering a C_{3v} symmetry for CH_3S . By assuming a distance of 1.10 Å for $r(\text{C-H}(1))$ [$=r(\text{C-H}(2))=r(\text{C-H}(3))$], they have obtained estimates of 1.767 Å and 115.8° for $r(\text{C-S})$ and $\theta(\text{S-C-H}(1))$ [$=\theta(\text{S-C-H}(2))=\theta(\text{S-C-H}(3))$], respectively. The $\theta(\text{S-C-H})$ value thus deduced from the experiment is greater than the theoretical predictions^{23,26-}

Table I. Optimized geometrical parameters for CH₃S in the X²A', ²A'', A²A' states.

Methods	r(C-S) (Å)	r(C-H(1)) (Å)	r(C-H(2)) (Å)	θ(S-C-H(1)) (degree)	θ(S-CH(2)) (degree)
CH ₃ S($\tilde{X}^2A'$)					
UHF/4-31G ^a	1.76	1.10	1.10	110.9	110.9
MP2/6-31G* ^b	1.799	1.095	1.090	107.0	111.7
MP2/6-31G** ^c	1.797	1.090	1.085	106.9	111.7
DF ^d	1.762	1.116	1.107	106.0	113.0
MCSCF ^e	1.808	1.084	1.081	107.0	110.5
Expt ^f	1.767	1.10	1.10	115.8	115.8
CH ₃ S($^2A''$)					
MCSCF ^e	1.808	1.080	1.083	111.3	108.3
CH ₃ S($\tilde{A}^2A'$)					
MCSCF ^e	2.191	1.073	1.073	99.1	99.3
Expt ^f	2.057	1.100	1.100	111.7	111.7

a) Reference 22.

b) Reference 23.

c) Reference 28.

d) Reference 27.

e) This work.

f) Reference 17. In the derivation of r(C-S) from experimental data, CH₃S is assumed to have a C_{3v} symmetry and r(C-H(1)) [=r(C-H(2))=r(C-H(3))] is assumed to have a value of 1.10 Å.

²⁸ by $\approx 6^\circ$. The geometrical parameters for the first excited $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{A}}^2\text{A}_1)$ state have also been derived using similar procedures. These values are also included in Table I.

As a result of Jahn-Teller distortion, the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\text{C}_{3v}; \tilde{\text{X}}^2\text{E})$ state is split into the $^2\text{A}'$ and $^2\text{A}''$ states. The geometrical parameters predicted by the MCSCF calculation for the $^2\text{A}''$ state are also listed in Table I. We find that the $^2\text{A}''$ state is higher than the $^2\text{A}'$ state by only 9 cm^{-1} . Therefore, these two states essentially remain degenerate. The Jahn-Teller barrier between these states is $< 50\text{ cm}^{-1}$. These theoretical results are consistent with previous calculations.^{22,25}

The geometrical parameters predicted by the MCSCF calculation for the first excited $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{A}})$ correspond to a structure deviating only slightly from a C_{3v} symmetry. Because of this, we have labelled the first excited state for CH_3S as $\tilde{\text{A}}^2\text{A}_1$. Since the $\tilde{\text{A}}^2\text{A}_1$ state corresponds to the excitation of an electron from the C-S bonding $7a_1$ orbital to the non-bonding $3e$ orbital, the C-S bond in $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{A}}^2\text{A}_1)$ is expected to be substantially weaker than that in $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$. The geometrical parameters for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{A}}^2\text{A}_1)$ obtained in the experiment of Hsu et al.¹⁷ and in the MCSCF calculation are in qualitative agreement. The experimental and theoretical values for $r(\text{C-S})$ in $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{A}}^2\text{A}_1)$ are $> 2\text{ \AA}$, significantly longer than $r(\text{C-S})$ in the ground state. As a result of this, the structure of the methyl group in this state comes close to that of the methyl radical. This is manifested by the observation that the bond angle $\theta(\text{S-C-H})$ in $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{A}}^2\text{A}_1)$ is smaller than that in $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$. We note that the theoretical value for $r(\text{C-S})$ is greater than the experimental estimate by $\approx 0.13\text{ \AA}$, while the theoretical bond angle $\theta(\text{S-C-H})$ is smaller than deduced from the experiment by more than 11° .

Based on the MCSCF total energies of the optimized $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}}, \tilde{\text{A}})$ states, the energy difference between the potential wells of the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{A}})$ states is 3.34 eV, a value in good agreement with the transition energy of 3.29 eV for $\tilde{\text{X}}^2\text{E}_{3/2} \rightarrow \tilde{\text{A}}^2\text{A}_1$ obtained in a recent laser-induced fluorescence experiment.²⁰ The MCSCF calculation predicts that the well depth for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{A}})$ with respect to $\text{CH}_3(\tilde{\text{X}}^2\text{A}_2'') + \text{S}(^1\text{D})$ is 0.31 eV.

B. Potential energy curves for CH_3S calculated along the $\text{CH}_3\text{-S}$ dissociation coordinate

The vertical arrow in Fig. 5 corresponds to the excitation energy of 6.41 eV in the absorption of a 193nm photon by $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}}^2\text{E})$ in its ground vibrational state. Table II summarizes some properties of selected excited states for CH_3S ($\tilde{\text{A}}^2\text{A}_1$, $\tilde{\text{B}}^2\text{A}_2$, $\tilde{\text{C}}^2\text{A}_2$, $\tilde{\text{D}}^2\text{E}$, $\tilde{\text{E}}^2\text{E}$, $\tilde{\text{F}}^2\text{E}$), which may be involved in the 193nm photodissociation of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$. Considering the internal excitation of CH_3S initially formed by process (1),⁶ the excitation to the $\tilde{\text{F}}^2\text{E}$ state, which has a vertical transition energy (T_e) of 7.16 eV, is possible. Note that the potential energy curves plotted as a function of $r(\text{C-S})$ in Fig. 5 are calculated assuming a C_{3v} symmetry for CH_3S . It is expected that the T_e values corresponding to optimized CH_3S structures may be slightly different from those given in the table. The $\tilde{\text{C}}$ and $\tilde{\text{D}}$ states are Rydberg in nature and the $\tilde{\text{B}}$ and $\tilde{\text{E}}$ states are repulsive. Mouflih et al.²⁵ report the energies for CH_3S in the excited $\tilde{\text{A}}$, $\tilde{\text{B}}$, and $\tilde{\text{D}}$ states to be 4.02, 5.70, and 6.45 eV, respectively, relative to the ground CH_3S state. Their SCF molecular orbital calculations used a moderately large basis set, and configuration interaction calculations were included for all the electronic states. Their predictions are in reasonable accord with the corresponding T_e values obtained here. The $\tilde{\text{G}}$ (repulsive) and $\tilde{\text{H}}$ (repulsive) states,

Table II. Predicted spectroscopic properties for selected excited states which may be involved in the 193nm photodissociation of CH₃S.

Excited state CH ₃ S (C _{3v})	Vertical transition energy (eV) (From $\tilde{X}^2E$ state)	Oscillator strength (From $\tilde{X}^2E$ state)	Adiabatic product S(³ P, ¹ D) states
$\tilde{A}^2A_1$	3.72	0.0035	S(¹ D)
$\tilde{B}^2A_2$	5.82	0.0073	S(³ P)
$\tilde{C}^2A_2$	6.17	0.0408	...
$\tilde{D}^2E$	6.81	0.0014	...
$\tilde{E}^2E$	6.86	0.0006	S(¹ D)
$\tilde{F}^2E$	7.12	...	...

which have theoretical T_e values greater than 8.0 eV, may not be directly populated in the 193nm excitation of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X})$.

The radiative lifetimes measured for the $\nu_3 = 0, 1$, and 2 vibrational states of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{A}^2A_1)$ indicate that the $\nu_3 = 1$ and 2 states of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{A}^2A_1)$ are predissociative.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ This observation is consistent with the crossing of the $\tilde{A}^2A_1$ and $\tilde{B}^2A_2$ states as shown in Fig. 5. The crossing occurs at 746 cm^{-1} above the potential well of the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{A}^2A_1)$ state. Since the ν_3 spacing for the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{A}^2A_1)$ state is measured to be $401 \pm 2\text{ cm}^{-1}$, we expect that $\nu_3 = 0$ is stable, whereas $\nu_3 > 0$ states are predissociative, a prediction consistent with the experimental observation. Predissociation of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{A}^2A_1)$ via $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{B}^2A_2)$, due to nonadiabatic interactions, should lead to the formation of $\text{CH}_3(\tilde{X}^2A_2'') + \text{S}^3\text{P}$.

C. Fine-structure distribution of $\text{S}^3\text{P}_{2,1,0}$ and $\text{S}^3\text{P}/\text{S}^1\text{D}$ branching ratio

The fine-structure distribution of S^3P_1 produced in the 193nm photodissociation of CH_3S [process (2)] is determined to be $^3\text{P}_2 : ^3\text{P}_1 : ^3\text{P}_0 = 0.59 \pm 0.02 : 0.32 \pm 0.02 : 0.09 \pm 0.04$, which is close to the statistical distribution of $5/9 : 3/9 : 1/9$.

The S^+ intensities observed in the 2+1 REMPI of S^3P_2 and S^1D_2 are plotted as a function of the 193nm photodissociation laser photon flux in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b), respectively. The similarity of the photon flux dependencies for S^+ due to S^3P_2 and S^1D_2 is consistent with the conclusion that both S^3P_2 and S^1D_2 originate from CH_3S formed in process (1). Based on the fine-structure distribution and the overall $\text{S}^3\text{P}/\text{S}^1\text{D}$ ratio known for process (3),^{30,31} the $\text{S}^3\text{P}/\text{S}^1\text{D}$ ratio for process (2) is determined to be 0.15/0.85. According to six independent measurements, the fraction of S^1D_2 formed in the 193nm photodissociation of CH_3S is found to be 0.85 ± 0.05 . This finding is in accord

Figure 6. Photodissociation laser flux (# photons/cm²) dependence of S⁺ signal due to the ionization of (a) S(³P₂) and (b) S(¹D₂) formed by processes (1) and (2). (o) experimental data; (•) best fit using Eq. (9).

with the suggestion of the TOF experiment that S atoms formed in process (2) are predominantly in the ^1D state.^{5,6}

The theoretical photodissociation study of Freed and co-workers³⁸ shows that photodissociation may proceed in two limiting cases, depending on the relative kinetic energy of the dissociation process. At low recoil energy, the fine-structure distribution is governed by the adiabatic potential surfaces. In this limit, symmetry correlation determines the fine-structure distribution. At the high energy limit a frame transformation would result in a statistical fine-structure distribution. The potential curves shown in Fig. 5 suggest that the formation of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_j)$ proceeds most likely via the repulsive $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{B}}^2\text{A}_2)$ state and that the dissociation is a case of the high energy regime.

As shown in Table II, the transition $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}}^2\text{E}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{C}}^2\text{A}_2)$ has by far the highest oscillator strength. This, together with the good match of the T_e value and the photon energy, the absorption of a 193nm photon by $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}}^2\text{E})$ is expected to produce $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{C}}^2\text{A}_2)$. We note that $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{C}}^2\text{A}_2)$ is crossed by the repulsive $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{E}}^2\text{E})$ state and that an avoided crossing exists between the $\tilde{\text{B}}$ and $\tilde{\text{C}}$ states. Due to nonadiabatic couplings, $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{C}}^2\text{A}_2)$ may predissociate via the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{E}}^2\text{E})$ state and lead to product $\text{S}(^1\text{D}_2) + \text{CH}_3(\tilde{\text{X}}^2\text{A}'')$, while predissociation via the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{B}}^2\text{A}_2)$ state yields $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_j) + \text{CH}_3(\tilde{\text{X}}^2\text{A}'')$. The dominant formation of $\text{S}(^1\text{D}_2)$ by process (2) may be attributed to more favorable couplings of the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{E}})$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{C}})$ states. The previous 193nm laser photofragmentation TOF experiment indicates that the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}}) + \text{CH}_3$ produced by process (1) may be excited internally by 1.3 eV. Assuming that the internal energies are partitioned according to the internal degrees of freedom of the photofragments, CH_3S may

be internally excited by ≈ 0.7 eV, and the direct 193nm excitation to $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{E}})$ from $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$ formed in process (1) is possible. The direct formation of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{E}})$ should favor the $\text{S}({}^1\text{D}_2) + \text{CH}_3(\tilde{\text{X}}^2\text{A}'')$ dissociation channel, a conclusion in accord with the experimental observation. We note that the inclusion of the interactions with potential curves of other multiplicities adds to the complexity of the photodissociation mechanism of this system.

We have also searched for the production of $\text{S}({}^1\text{S})$ from the 193nm photolysis of CH_3SCH_3 . Within the sensitivity of our experiment, S^+ resulting from REMPI of $\text{S}({}^1\text{S})$ was not observed.

D. Absolute cross section for process (2)

Following the procedures described in the experimental section, we have obtained an estimate of $1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$ for σ_2 . The open circles shown in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) are the experimental S^+ intensities from CH_3SCH_3 measured at specific ionization laser flux and the solid dots represent the best fits obtained using Eq. (9) and the cross sections for the formation of $\text{S}({}^3\text{P}_2)$ and $\text{S}({}^1\text{D}_2)$ by process (2). Due to the uncertainties involved in the calibration of the S^+ signals from CS_2 and CH_3SCH_3 as described above, we consider that the absolute value for σ_2 is a rough estimate. Furthermore, the absolute photodissociation cross section determined here for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$ is characteristic of the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$ radicals prepared by process (1), which contains significant internal excitations. The photodissociation cross section for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$ may depend on its internal excitations. Nevertheless, we find that the $\text{S}({}^3\text{P})/\text{S}({}^1\text{D})$ branching ratios and absolute cross sections observed in the 193nm photodissociation of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$ prepared by the photolysis of

CH_3SSCH_3 and CH_3SH ³⁹ are consistent with those determined in this experiment. It will be interesting to examine the $\text{S}(^3\text{P})/\text{S}(^1\text{D})$ branching ratio due to the photodissociation of supersonically cooled CH_3S .⁴⁰

According to energetic considerations, the 193nm photodissociation of CH_3S may lead to many exothermic channels other than process (2), for example

For process (10), the exothermicities are -100, -59, and -53 kcal/mol for the formation of CH_2S in the $\tilde{\text{X}}^1\text{A}_1$, $\tilde{\text{a}}^3\text{A}_2$, and $\tilde{\text{A}}^1\text{A}_2$ states,^{41,42} respectively. The exothermicity for process (11) is ≈ -104 kcal/mol.⁴² Although the good fits to the experimental data observed in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) using Eq. (9) can be taken as evidence that process (2) is the major channel for the 193nm photodissociation of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$, we cannot, however, eliminate other channels such as processes (10) and (11).

CONCLUSION

The distribution of $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2)$ resulting from the 193nm photodissociation of $CH_3S(\tilde{X})$ prepared by process (1) has been determined by using 2+1 REMPI detection schemes and by calibrating the $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2)$ formation from process (3). The fine-structure distribution of product $S(^3P_{2,1,0})$ is found to be nearly statistical, while the $S(^3P) : S(^1D)$ branching ratio is determined to be 0.15 : 0.85. Based on the potential energy curves calculated along the CH_3 -S dissociation coordinate using the MCSCF and FOCI methods, we have rationalized the observed branching ratio for product S atoms. The MCSCF calculation shows that the curve crossing between $CH_3S(\tilde{A})$ and $CH_3S(\tilde{B})$ provides an efficient predissociation pathway for $CH_3S(\tilde{A})$ to form $CH_3(\tilde{X}) + S(^3P)$.

The geometries for $CH_3S(\tilde{X}, \tilde{A})$ have been optimized using the MCSCF method. The geometrical parameters thus obtained are compared with those determined in previous calculations and experimental results.

The calibration of the S^+ signals from CH_3SCH_3 to that from CS_2 makes possible the estimation of the absolute 193nm photodissociation cross section of $CH_3S(\tilde{X})$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank Drs. M. W. Schmidt and T. S. Elbert for making available to us the GAMESS program, which is used for performing all the calculations presented in this article. Funds in the form of a Research Opportunity Award from NSF, which provided partial support for P.J.H.T. during his stay in Ames, are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- (1) See extensive references cited in *Dynamics of Molecular Photofragmentation*, Faraday Discussions of Chem. Soc., No. 82, 1986.
- (2) M. N. R. Ashfold and J. E. Baggott, eds., *Molecular Photodissociation Dynamics*, Royal Society of Chemistry 1987.
- (3) J. W. Hepburn in, *Vacuum Ultraviolet Photoionization and Photodissociation of Molecules and Clusters*, edited by C. Y. Ng (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991), p. 435.
- (4) W. B. Tzeng, H.-M. Yin, W.-Y. Leung, J.-Y. Luo, S. Nourbakhsh, G. D. Flesch, and C. Y. Ng, J. Chem. Phys. 88, 1658 (1986).
- (5) S. Nourbakhsh, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, J. Chem. Phys. 92, 6587 (1989).
- (6) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, H.-M. Yin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, J. Chem. Phys. 95, 5014 (1991).
- (7) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, H.-M. Yin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, J. Chem. Phys. 95, 946, (1991).
- (8) S. Nourbakhsh, H.-M. Yin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, Chem. Phys. Lett. 183, 348 (1991).
- (9) P. Brewer, N. van Veen, and R. Bersohn, Chem. Phys. Lett. 91, 126 (1982).
- (10) G. Black and L. E. Jusinski, J. Chem. Phys. 82, 789 (1985).
- (11) G. Black and L. E. Jusinski, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 2 82, 2143 (1986).
- (12) R. J. Balla, H. H. Nelson, and J. R. McDonald, Chem. Phys. 109, 101 (1986).

- (13) R. J. Balla, B. R. Weiner, and H. H. Nelson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 109, 4804 (1987).
- (14) G. S. Tyndall and A. R. Ravishankara, *J. Phys. Chem.* 93, 2426, 4707 (1989).
- (15) K. Ohbayashi, H. Akimoto, and I. Tanaka, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 52, 47 (1977).
- (16) M. Suzuki, G. Inoue, and H. Akimoto, *J. Chem. Phys.* 81, 5405 (1984).
- (17) Y.-C. Hsu, X. Liu, and T. A. Miller, *J. Chem. Phys.* 90, 6852 (1989).
- (18) G. Black and L. E. Jusinski, *J. Chem. Phys.* 85, 5379 (1986).
- (19) Y.-Y. Lee, S.-Y. Chiang, and Y. P. Lee, *J. Chem. Phys.* 93, 4487 (1990).
- (20) S.-Y. Chiang and Y.-P. Lee, *J. Chem. Phys.* 95, 66 (1991).
- (21) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, G.-Z. He, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 113, 6311 (1991).
- (22) B. K. Janousek and J. I. Brauman, *J. Chem. Phys.* 72, 694 (1980).
- (23) R. H. Nobes and L. Radom, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 189, 554 (1992).
- (24) K. K. Baldridge, M. S. Gordon, and D. E. Johnson, *J. Chem. Phys.* 91, 4145 (1987).
- (25) B. Mouflih, C. Larrieu, and M. Chaillet, *Chem. Phys.* 119, 221 (1988).
- (26) J. Fossey and J. Sorba, *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)*, 186, 305 (1989).
- (27) R. Fournier and A. E. DePristo, *J. Chem. Phys.* 96, 1183 (1992).
- (28) C. Y. Ng, S.-W. Chiu, and W.-K. Li, *J. Chem. Research*, accepted.
- (29) V. R. McCrary, R. Lu, D. Zakheim, J. A. Russell, J. B. Halpern, and W. M. Jackson, *J. Chem. Phys.* 83, 3481 (1985); J. W. Rabalais, J. M. McDonald, V. Scherr, and S. P. McGlynn, *Chem. Rev.* 71, 73 (1971).

- (30) I. M. Waller and W. J. Hepburn, J. Chem. Phys. 87, 3261 (1987).
- (31) W.-B. Tzeng, H.-M. Yin, W.-Y. Leung, J.-Y. Luo, S. Nourbakhsh, G. D. Flesch, and C. Y. Ng, J. Chem. Phys. 88, 1658 (1988).
- (32) W. C. Wiley and I. H. McLaren, Rev. Sci. Instr. 26, 1150 (1955).
- (33) D. J. Bamford, M. J. Dyer, and W. K. Bishel, Phys. Rev. A, 36, 3497 (1987).
- (34) J. G. Calvert and J. N. Pitts, Jr., *Photochemistry* (Wiley, New York, 1966).
- (35) H. Beijerinck and N. Verster, Physica 111C, 327 (1981).
- (36) A. Yariv, *Quantum Electronics* (Wiley, New York, 1975).
- (37) M. W. Schmidt, K. K. Baldridge, J. A. Boatz, J. H. Jensen, S. Koseki, M. S. Gordon, K. A. Nguyen, T. L. Windus, T. S. Elbert, QCPE Bulletin, 10, 52 (1990).
- (38) S. J. Singer, K. F. Freed, and Y. B. Band, J. Chem. Phys. 79, 6060 (1983).
- (39) C.-W. Hsu, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, SPIE Conference Proceedings, *Optical Methods for Time- and State-Resolved Selective Chemistry*, edited by C. Y. Ng, Vol. 1638 (1992), in press.
- (40) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, G.-Z. He, and C. Y. Ng, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113, 6311 (1991).
- (41) R. H. Judge, D. C. Moule, and G. W. King, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 81, 37 (1980).
- (42) S. G. Lias, J. E. Bartmess, J. F. Liebman, J. L. Holmes, R. D. Levin, and W. G. Mallard, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 17, Suppl. 1 No. 1, 65 (1988).

PAPER 2.**A STUDY OF THE S($^3P_{2,1,0}$; 1D_2) PRODUCTION IN THE 193 nm
PHOTODISSOCIATION OF HS and H₂S**

ABSTRACT

The dynamics of the photodissociation processes, $\text{HS} + h\nu(193\text{nm}) \rightarrow \text{H}(^2\text{S}) + \text{S}(^3\text{P}_J, ^1\text{D}_2)$ [process (A)] and $\text{H}_2\text{S} + h\nu(193\text{nm}) \rightarrow \text{H}_2(\text{X}) + \text{S}(^3\text{P}_J, ^1\text{D}_2)$ [process (B)] have been studied using 2+1 resonance-enhanced-multiphoton-ionization techniques. By using the rate equation model and by calibrating the $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_J, ^1\text{D}_2)$ formation from processes (A) and (B) with that from the 193nm photodissociation of CS_2 , we have determined the $\text{S}(^3\text{P}) : \text{S}(^1\text{D})$ branching ratios, the fine-structure distributions of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$, and the absolute cross sections for processes (A) and (B). The branching ratio $\text{S}(^3\text{P}) : \text{S}(^1\text{D}) = 0.87 : 0.13$ observed for process (A) supports the direct photodissociation mechanism for HS at 193nm via the excited repulsive $\text{HS}(^2\Sigma^-, ^2\Delta)$ potential energy surfaces. The fine-structure distribution $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_2) : \text{S}(^3\text{P}_1) : \text{S}(^3\text{P}_0) = 0.68 : 0.24 : 0.08$ for process (A) is colder than the statistical distribution. The absolute cross section for process (A) is estimated to be $1.1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$. Process (B), which is estimated to have an absolute cross section of $0.3 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, is minor compared to the formation $\text{HS} + \text{H}$ in the 193nm photodissociation of H_2S .

INTRODUCTION

The ultraviolet and vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) photodissociation of H_2S has received considerable experimental¹⁻¹² and theoretical¹³⁻¹⁸ attention. Most of the experimental studies^{1-5,7,8} have focused on the photodissociation process,

Because of the rapid dissociation of vibrationally excited HS radicals in the $\text{A}^2\Sigma^+$ state, the determination of the $\text{HS}(\text{X})$ vibrational distribution has not been made by the laser-induced fluorescence method.¹ Previous laser photofragmentation time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometric^{2,4,5} and Doppler spectroscopic³ experiments indicate that $\text{HS}(\text{X})$ formed by process (1) is vibrationally excited up to $\nu=6$ with the HS vibrational distribution peaked strongly at $\nu=0$. Recently, Continetti et al.⁵ have observed the 193nm photodissociation of $\text{HS}(\text{X},\nu)$ [process (2)] formed in process (1) at high photodissociation laser powers.

By measuring the TOF distribution for H from process (2), they estimate that the branching ratio $\text{S}({}^3\text{P}) : \text{S}({}^1\text{D})$ for process (2) is 3 : 1. Referring to the *ab initio* calculation¹⁷, they suggest that $\text{S}({}^3\text{P})$ and $\text{S}({}^1\text{D})$ are produced by direct dissociation following the 193nm photoexcitation of HS from the ground $\text{X}^2\Pi$ state to the excited ${}^2\Sigma$

and $^2\Delta$ repulsive states, respectively. The 193nm photodissociation of HS to form S + H has also been reported in the recent photodissociation TOF mass spectrometric study of CH_3SH .¹⁹

As suggested by Roberge and Salahub,¹⁸ the photodissociation process

is a feasible channel which may be induced by the $2b_1 \rightarrow 6a_1$ excitation of H_2S . Below 2000 Å, S atoms have been observed in the flash photolysis of H_2S .²⁰ Recent multiphoton ionization studies^{10,11} show that H_2S dissociates to form $\text{H}_2 + \text{S}(^3\text{P}, ^1\text{D}, ^1\text{S})$ by two-photon absorption in the wavelength range of 285-297nm. However, process (3) has not been identified in previous photodissociation experiments. Thus, it may be concluded that the $\text{H}_2 + \text{S}$ channel is of minor significance in the 193nm photodissociation of H_2S .

Using the 2+1 resonance-enhanced-multiphoton-ionization (REMPI) scheme to detect $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_j; ^1\text{D}_2)$,²¹ we have recently examined the 193nm photodissociation of the CH_3S radical.^{22,23} By using the S^+ intensity from the 193nm photodissociation of CS_2 to calibrate the S^+ intensity from CH_3S , we have estimated the absolute photodissociation cross section for CH_3S at 193nm. The absorption cross section for CS_2 at 193nm is known.²⁴ The branching ratio $\text{S}(^3\text{P}) : \text{S}(^1\text{D})$ and the fine-structure distribution $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_2) : \text{S}(^3\text{P}_1) : \text{S}(^3\text{P}_0)$ resulting from the 193nm photodissociation of CS_2 have been determined previously in VUV laser-induced fluorescence²⁵ and TOF mass spectrometric²⁶ experiments. Here, we report the application of the 2+1 REMPI scheme for detecting $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0}; ^1\text{D}_2)$ formed by

processes (2) and (3). By careful analysis of the 193nm photodissociation laser power dependencies for the $S(^3P_2, ^1D_2)$ formation from the 193nm photolysis of H_2S , we have determined the branching ratio $S(^3P) : S(^1D)$ and the fine-structure distribution $S(^3P_2) : S(^3P_1) : S(^3P_0)$ for process (2) as well as those for process (3).

EXPERIMENTAL

The schematic diagram of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. A home-built TOF mass spectrometer of the two-stage Wiley-McLaren design (not shown here) is used to detect S^+ ions. The details of the experimental procedures have been described previously.²² Briefly, a pulsed molecular beam of neat H_2S is produced by supersonic expansion through a pulsed valve (nozzle diameter = 0.5 mm, temperature $\approx 298K$, stagnation pressure ≈ 125 Torr). The molecular beam is skimmed by a conical skimmer and intersects with both the dissociation and ionization lasers 15.3 cm downstream from the pulsed nozzle. The molecular beam source chamber and the dissociation chambers are pumped by a 2000 ℓ/s diffusion pump and a 50 ℓ/s turbomolecular pump, respectively. For a pulsed valve repetition rate of 17 Hz, the beam source and photodissociation chambers are maintained at pressures of $\approx 1 \times 10^{-4}$ and 2×10^{-6} Torr, respectively.

The ArF photodissociation laser (Questek 2460) is operated in the constant pulse energy mode. The laser beam is attenuated by layers of stainless steel wire mesh and is spatially filtered by two irises before being focused by a fused-silica lens (200 mm f.l.) to an $\approx 2 \times 2$ mm² spot in the intersection region. The ArF laser energies used are in the range of 160 to 1000 $\mu J/pulse$.

The ionization of $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2)$ is accomplished with an excimer laser (Lambda Physik EMG 201 MSC) pumped dye laser (FL 3002) system. The pulse energy, typically 200 $\mu J/pulse$, is monitored with a pyroelectric detector (Molelectron J3-05). The dye laser beam propagates coaxially with the ArF laser beam into the reaction chamber and

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup.

intersects with the molecular beam at 90° .

The firing of the dissociation excimer laser is delayed by $630\ \mu\text{s}$ with respect to the triggering pulse for opening the pulsed valve. The S^+ intensity remains nearly constant when the delay between the photodissociation and ionization lasers is varied in the range of 30-100 ns. Therefore, a delay of 50 ns between the two lasers is set for all the experiments. The firing sequence of the pulsed valve and the two lasers is controlled by two digital delay units (Stanford Research, Model DG 535). The ion signal from the microchannel plate (MCP) and the dye laser signal from the pyroelectric detector are fed into two identical boxcar integrators (Stanford Research, SR-250), which are interfaced to an IBM AT computer.

The CS_2 sample (99% purity) was obtained from Aldrich and the H_2S ($\geq 99.6\%$ purity) was obtained from Matheson.

A. Detection of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$ and $\text{S}(^1\text{D}_2)$

Probing of the $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_j)$ and $\text{S}(^1\text{D}_2)$ atomic states is accomplished by 2-photon absorption, $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_j) \rightarrow \text{S}(^4\text{P}_j)$ and $\text{S}(^1\text{D}_2) \rightarrow \text{S}(^4\text{F}_3)$, followed by absorption of a third photon to produce S^+ in the $^4\text{S}^\circ$ and $^2\text{D}^\circ$ states, respectively. The ionization peaks corresponding to the detection of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$ and $\text{S}(^1\text{D}_2)$ appear in the wavelength region of 308-311 nm and at 288.19 nm, respectively.

The fine-structure distribution of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$ is measured by summation over the peak intensities corresponding to transitions to the upper $\text{S}(^4\text{P}_{2,1,0})$ fine-structure levels.²⁷ The fine-structure distribution for $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$ from the 193nm photodissociation of CS_2 determined here using the 2+1 REMPI detection schemes is in excellent agreement with

that obtained using the VUV laser-induced fluorescence technique.²⁵

B. S(³P)/S(¹D) branching ratio and absolute cross section for processes (1)-(3)

The rate equations applicable to processes (1), (2), and (3) are:

$$d[H_2S]/dt = -I(\sigma_1 + \sigma_3)[H_2S], \quad (4)$$

$$d[HS]/dt = I\sigma_1[H_2S] - I\sigma_2[HS], \quad (5)$$

$$d[S(2)]/dt = I\sigma_2[HS], \quad (6)$$

$$d[S(3)]/dt = I\sigma_3[H_2S]. \quad (7)$$

Here, I is the ArF laser photon intensity [# of photons/(cm²·sec)] and σ_1 , σ_2 , and σ_3 represent the absolute cross sections for processes (1), (2) and (3), respectively. For each laser pulse, the number densities for H₂S ([H₂S]), HS ([HS]), and S from process (2) ([S(2)]) and process (3) [S(3)] are related to σ_1 , σ_2 , σ_3 , $\sigma_t (= \sigma_1 + \sigma_3)$, the laser flux (F) (# of photons/cm²), and the initial number density of H₂S ([H₂S]₀) by the relations:

$$[H_2S] = [H_2S]_0 \exp[-(\sigma_t)F], \quad (8)$$

$$[HS] = [H_2S]_0 [\sigma_1/(\sigma_t - \sigma_2)] [\exp(-\sigma_2 F) - \exp(-\sigma_t F)], \quad (9)$$

$$[S(2)] = [H_2S]_0 [\sigma_1 \sigma_2/(\sigma_t - \sigma_2)] [-(1/\sigma_2) \exp(-\sigma_2 F) + (1/\sigma_t) \exp(-\sigma_t F) + 1/\sigma_2 - 1/\sigma_t]. \quad (10)$$

$$[S(3)] = [H_2S]_0 (\sigma_3/\sigma_t) [1 - \exp(-\sigma_t F)]. \quad (11)$$

The S⁺ signal resulting from the 2+1 REMPI is directly proportional to the number density of S, and σ_1 is known to be 6.8×10^{-18} cm².^{6,20} In order to determine the S(³P)/S(¹D)

branching ratio and the values for σ_2 and σ_3 , the CS₂ calibration experiment is performed immediately after the measurement of the S⁺ from H₂S.

In the estimation of the dissociation excimer laser flux, we assume that the mildly focused laser beam has a Gaussian beam profile.²⁸ The photodissociation laser spot size has also been checked by examining the burn spots on thermal paper at various distances from the focusing lens. The variation of the laser beam spot size with distance from the focusing lens is consistent with that predicted by the Gaussian beam profile. In the photodissociation region the ionization laser beam spot is smaller than the photodissociation laser beam spot.

RESULTS

The S^+ intensities (open circles) resulting from the REMPI of $S(^3P_2)$ and $S(^1D)$ formed by processes (1)-(3) are plotted as a function of the ArF photodissociation laser flux in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively. The slope for the S^+ intensity curve for $S(^3P_2)$ increases as F is increased, while the S^+ intensity curve for $S(^1D_2)$ shows a nearly linear dependency on F . The fraction of $S(^3P)$ is found to increase from 0.6 to 0.8 as F is increased in the range of $0.5\text{-}40 \times 10^{16}$ photons/cm². The different laser flux dependencies observed for $S(^3P)$ and $S(^1D)$ indicate that $S(^3P, ^1D)$ atoms are produced by more than one process, and that the $S(^3P) : S(^1D)$ branching ratios due to these processes are different. We note that in the photodissociation experiment of CH_3S , where $S(^3P)$ and $S(^1D)$ are formed from CH_3S , the F dependencies for S^+ due to $S(^3P_2)$ and $S(^1D_2)$ are found to be the same.^{21,22}

The cross sections and the $S(^3P_2) : S(^1D_2)$ branching ratios for processes (2) and (3) can be determined uniquely because process (3), which is linearly dependent on the photodissociation laser power, dominates at low F values, while process (2), which is proportional to the square of the photodissociation laser power, is expected to dominate at high F values. The simulations for the S^+ intensities due to ionization of $S(^3P_2)$ and $S(^1D_2)$ formed in processes (2) and (3) using Eqs. (10) and (11) are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) [Δ for process (2) and ∇ for process (3)]. The solid circles represent the sums of the contributions due to processes (2) and (3). The cross section values corresponding to the best fit are: $\sigma_1 = 6.5 \times 10^{-18}$ cm², $\sigma_2 = 1.1 \times 10^{-18}$ cm², and $\sigma_3 = 0.3 \times 10^{-18}$ cm². The

Figure 2. Photodissociation laser flux (# photons/cm²) dependence of S⁺ signal due to the ionization of (a) S(³P₂) and (b) S(¹D₂) formed by processes (2) (Δ) and (3) (▽). (○) experimental data; (●) best fits using Eqs. (11) and (12).

absorption cross section for H_2S ($6.8 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$)^{6,20} is assumed to be $\sigma_t = \sigma_1 + \sigma_3$. This assumption is supported by the VUV photoabsorption and fluorescence experiment.⁶ The $\text{S}(^3\text{P}) : \text{S}(^1\text{D})$ branching ratios for processes (2) and (3) are determined to be 0.87 : 0.13 and 0.6 : 0.4, respectively.

The determination of the $\text{S}(^3\text{P}) : \text{S}(^1\text{D})$ branching ratio from the $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_2) : \text{S}(^1\text{D})$ ratio requires the values for the fine-structure distribution $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_2) : \text{S}(^3\text{P}_1) : \text{S}(^3\text{P}_0)$. The fine-structure distribution measured at $F = 10 \times 10^{16} \text{ photons/cm}^2$ is $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_2) : \text{S}(^3\text{P}_1) : \text{S}(^3\text{P}_0) = 0.68 \pm 0.03 : 0.24 \pm 0.03 : 0.08 \pm 0.03$. However, since the S^+ signal due to $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_0)$ is small, the population of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_0)$ relative to $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_2)$ cannot be determined accurately at low F values. Based on this observation, we assume that the fine-structure distributions for both processes (2) and (3) are equal to that measured at $F = 10 \times 10^{16} \text{ photons/cm}^2$. Because the populations of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1})$ are overwhelmingly greater than that of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_0)$, the fine-structure distribution used has a very minor effect on the values reported here for the $\text{S}(^3\text{P}) : \text{S}(^1\text{D})$ branching ratios of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}, ^1\text{D})$ formed in processes (2) and (3).

DISCUSSION

In order to gain some insight about the photodissociation process of HS, we show in Fig. 3 the *ab initio* potential energy curves calculated by Bruna and Hirsch¹⁷ as a function of the H-S distance [$r(\text{H-S})$] in atomic units (Bohr radius = a_0). The $\text{HS}(^2\Sigma^-)$ and $\text{HS}(^2\Delta)$ potential curves are repulsive and correlate asymptotically with the formation of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}) + \text{H}(^2\text{S})$ and $\text{S}(^1\text{D}) + \text{H}(^2\text{S})$, respectively. The *ab initio* calculation of Bruna and Hirsch does not report the oscillator strengths for transitions from the ground $\text{HS}(X^2\Pi)$ state to the $\text{HS}(A^2\Sigma^+, ^2\Sigma^-, ^2\Delta)$ states. In order to obtain estimates for these oscillator strengths, we have performed MCSCF calculations on HS using the GAMESS program.²⁸ In this calculation, the standard 6-31G** basis set with extended Rydberg functions is used to properly describe the excited states of HS. The MCSCF calculations predict that the vertical transition energies for $\text{HS}(X)$ to $\text{HS}(A)$, $\text{HS}(^2\Sigma^-)$, and $\text{HS}(^2\Delta)$ are 4.17, 5.99, and 7.05 eV, in good agreement with the values of 4.05, 6.19, and 7.05 eV, respectively, obtained by Bruna and Hirsch. The corresponding oscillator strengths for these transitions are predicted by the MCSCF calculations to be 0.0025, 0.0496, and 0.0167.

The branching ratio $\text{S}(^3\text{P}) : \text{S}(^1\text{D}) = 0.87 : 0.13$ determined here for process (2) is in qualitative agreement with the value of 3 : 1 deduced from the H-atom TOF mass spectrometric study.⁵ If the 193nm photodissociation of $\text{HS}(X, v)$ is direct via the repulsive $\text{HS}(^2\Sigma^-, ^2\Delta)$ states, as suggested in Ref. 5,⁵ the branching ratio $\text{S}(^3\text{P}) : \text{S}(^1\text{D})$ for process (2) should reflect the relative vibrational population of $\text{HS}(X^2\Pi)$ initially formed by process (1). The literature values for the relative vibrational populations for $\text{HS}(X, v)$ formed in

Figure 3. *Ab initio* potential energy curves for HS plotted as a function of the H-S bond distance $[r(\text{H-S})]$ in atomic units (Bohr radius = a_0). Taken from Ref. 17.

process (1) have been examined and compared by Continetti et al.⁵ They find that the H-TOF mass spectrometric results^{2,5} agree within experimental uncertainties. Since the H-TOF⁴ and the velocity-aligned Doppler shift³ experiments are possibly complicated by a slow H signal, we quote here the relative populations, $(v=0) : (v=1) : (v=2) : (v=3) : (v=4) : (v=5) : (v=6) = 0.728 : 0.056 : 0.051 : 0.037 : 0.040 : 0.018 : 0.001$ determined by the H-TOF mass spectrometric experiment of Continetti et al.⁵ According to the potential energy surfaces shown in Fig. 3, $\text{HS}(X, v=0)$ can only be excited to $\text{HS}(^2\Sigma^-)$ by the absorption of a 193nm photon, the dissociation of which leads to the formation of $\text{S}(^3\text{P})$. The 193nm photoexcitation of $\text{HS}(X, v \geq 1)$ can populate both the $\text{HS}(^2\Sigma^-)$ and $\text{HS}(^2\Delta)$ states. However, because of the favorable oscillator strength for the $\text{HS}(X) \rightarrow \text{HS}(^2\Sigma^-)$ transition, the population of $\text{HS}(^2\Sigma^-)$ from $\text{HS}(X, v \geq 1)$ by 193nm photoexcitation should also be significant, favoring the formation of $\text{S}(^3\text{P})$. Therefore, the high $\text{S}(^3\text{P})/\text{S}(^1\text{D})$ ratio observed for process (2) can be attributed to the vibrational distribution of $\text{HS}(X)$ formed in process (1) and the favorable oscillator strength for the $\text{HS}(X) \rightarrow \text{HS}(^2\Sigma^-)$ transition.

Based on the energetic consideration, $\text{HS}(X, v \geq 2)$ can be excited by the absorption of a 193nm photon to the repulsive $\text{HS}(^2\Sigma^+)$ state, which asymptotically correlates with $\text{S}(^1\text{S}) + \text{H}(^2\text{S})$. We have searched for $\text{S}(^1\text{S})$ using the REMPI detection scheme. The S^+ signal due to $\text{S}(^1\text{S})$ is not found, within the sensitivity of our experiment, indicating that a negligible intensity of $\text{S}(^1\text{S})$ atoms is formed by process (2). The excitation from $\text{HS}(X, v)$ to $\text{HS}(A)$ should be negligible except at $v \geq 4$.

The fine-structure distribution $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_2) : \text{S}(^3\text{P}_1) : \text{S}(^3\text{P}_0) = 0.68 \pm 0.03 : 0.24 \pm 0.03$

: 0.08 ± 0.03 measured for process (2) is colder than the statistical distribution of $0.56 : 0.33 : 0.11$. The experimental distribution may have a finite contribution from process (3). However, since the distribution is measured at a high F value, at which process (2) dominates, we may conclude that the experimental distribution represents mostly the fine-structure distribution for process (2). In a theoretical photodissociation study, Freed and co-workers show that photodissociation may proceed in two limiting cases,³⁰ depending on the relative available kinetic energy of the dissociation process. At low recoil energies, the fine-structure distribution is governed by the adiabatic potential surfaces and by the symmetry correlation. At the high energy limit, it is shown that a frame transformation will result in a statistical fine-structure distribution. According to the diagram^{31,32} correlating the projections Ω of the total electronic angular momentum vectors of the separated atoms along the internuclear axis with the corresponding Ω values for the electronic states of the molecule, the $HS(^2\Sigma)$ state adiabatically correlates to $S(^3P_1) + H(^2S)$. Since the major product observed is $S(^3P_2)$, we may conclude that the photodissociation process (2) is not a case of the low recoil energy which is governed by the adiabatic correlation.

The available recoil kinetic energy of $22,585 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the formation of $S(^3P)$ from process (2) is much higher than the spin-orbit splitting $\Delta E_{so}(^3P_2 - ^3P_1) = 396.93 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.^{21,22} The translational adiabaticity parameter for spin-orbit transition is given as: $\zeta_t = \alpha \Delta E_{so} / \hbar v$, where α is the "range" of interatomic force and v is the recoil velocity. For $\zeta_t \leq 1$, the spin-orbit transition becomes efficient. For the recoil velocity corresponding to the available kinetic energy and the typical range parameter^{31,33} $\alpha \approx 1\text{-}2 \text{ \AA}$, ζ_t for this system

is significantly less than one. Therefore, we expect that nonadiabatic transitions between spin-orbit levels of $S(^3P_j)$ are efficient during the dissociation via the $HS(^2\Sigma^-)$ state. The fine structure distribution for $S(^3P_j)$ observed for process (2), although not statistical, may be close to the case of the high energy limit.

Process (3) was not observed in the H-atom TOF experiments of van Veen et al.² and Continetti et al.⁶ In order to observe the secondary dissociation process (2), a high photodissociation laser power of 250 mJ/pulse was used in the experiment of Continetti et al. This, together with the small cross section for process (3) ($0.3 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$) compared to that for process (1) ($6.5 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$), may hinder the observation of process (3).

Due to the uncertainties involved in the calibration of the S^+ signals from CS_2 and H_2S , the absolute cross section $\sigma_2 = 1.1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$ obtained here is considered a rough estimate.

CONCLUSION

Using 2+1 REMPI detection schemes for $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2)$, we have examined the 193nm photodissociation of $HS(X,v)$ prepared by process (1). The branching ratio $S(^3P) : S(^1D)$ measured for process (2) is consistent with the direct photodissociation mechanism for $HS(X,v)$ via the excited repulsive $HS(^2\Sigma)$ and $HS(^2\Delta)$ surfaces, as proposed by Continetti et al.⁵ This experiment has identified the formation of $S(^3P_J, ^1D_2)$ by the one-photon process (3). Process (3) is shown to be a minor channel compared to process (1). By calibrating the formation of $S(^3P_J; ^1D_2)$ atoms from H_2S to those from CS_2 , we have obtained estimates for the photodissociation cross sections of processes (2) and (3).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

A Research Opportunity Award from NSF, which provided partial support for P.J.H.T. during his stay in Ames, is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- (1) W. G. Hawkins and P. L. Houston, J. Phys. Chem. 73, 297 (1980).
- (2) G. N. A. van Veen, K. A. Mohamed, T. Baller, and A. E. de Vries, Chem. Phys. 74, 261 (1983).
- (3) Z. Xu, B. Koplitz, and C. Wittig, J. Chem. Phys. 87, 1062 (1987).
- (4) X. Xie, L. Schnieder, H. Wallmeier, R. Boettner, K. H. Welge, and M. N. R. Ashfold, J. Chem. Phys. 92, 1608 (1990).
- (5) R. E. Continetti, B. A. Balko, and Y. T. Lee, Chem. Phys. Lett. 185, 400 (1991).
- (6) L. C. Lee, X. Wang, and M. Suto, J. Chem. Phys. 86, 4353 (1987).
- (7) M. D. Person, K. Q. Lao, B. J. Eckholm, and L. J. Butler, 91, 812 (1989).
- (8) B. R. Weiner, H. B. Levene, J. J. Valentini, and A. P. Baronavski, J. Chem. Phys. 90, 1403 (1989).
- (9) R. J. Brudzynski, R. J. Sension, and B. Hudson, Chem. Phys. Lett. 165, 487 (1990).
- (10) J. Steadman and T. Baer, J. Chem. Phys. 89, 5507 (1988),
- (11) J. Steadman and T. Baer, J. Chem. Phys. 91, 6113 (1989).
- (12) K. Kleinermmanns, E. Linnebach, and R. Suntz, J. Phys. chem. 91, 5543 (1987).
- (13) B. Heumann, R. Duren, and R. Schinke, Chem. Phys. Lett. 180, 583 (1991).
- (14) S.-K. Shih, S. D. Peyerimhoff, and R. J. Buenker, Chem. Phys. 17, 391 (1976).
- (15) K. C. Kulander, Chem. Phys. Lett. 103, 373 (1984).
- (16) K. Weide, V. Staemmler, and R. Schinke, J. Chem. Phys. 93, 861 (1990).

- (17) P. J. Bruna and G. Hirsch, *Mol. Phys.* 61, 1359 (1987).
- (18) R. Roberge and O. R. Salahub, *J. Chem. Phys.* 70, 1177 (1979).
- (19) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, H.-M. Yin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 95, 946 (1991).
- (20) J. G. Calvert and J. N. Pitts, Jr., *Photochemistry* (Wiley, New York, 1966).
- (21) P. Brewer, van Veen, and R. Bershon, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 91, 126 (1982); G. Black and Jusinski, *J. Chem. Phys.* 82, 789 (1985).
- (22) C.-W. Hsu, C.-L. Liao, Z.-X. Ma, P. J. H. Tjossem, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.*, submitted.
- (23) C.-W. Hsu, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *SPIE Conference Proceedings, Optical Methods for Time- and State-Resolved Selective Chemistry*, edited by C. Y. Ng, Vol. 1638 (1992), in press.
- (24) V. R. McCrary, R. Lu, D. Zakheim, J. A. Russell, J. B. Halpern, and W. M. Jackson, *J. Chem. Phys.* 83, 3481 (1985); J. W. Rabalais, J. M. McDonald, V. Scherr, and S. P. McGlynn, *Chem. Rev.* 71, 73 (1971).
- (25) I. M. Waller and W. J. Hepburn, *J. Chem. Phys.* 87, 3261 (1987).
- (26) W.-B. Tzeng, H.-M. Yin, W.-Y. Leung, J.-Y. Luo, S. Nourbakhsh, G. D. Flesch, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 88, 1658 (1988).
- (27) D. J. Bamford, M. J. Dyer, and W. K. Bishel, *Phys. Rev. A* 36, 3497 (1987).
- (28) A. Yariv, *Quantum Electronics* (Wiley, New York, 1975).
- (29) M. W. Schmidt, K. K. Baldridge, J. A. Boatz, J. H. Jensen, S. Koseki, M. S. Gordon, K. A. Nguyen, T. L. Windus, and S. T. Elbert, *QCPE Bulletin*, 10, 52

(1990).

- (30) S. J. Singer, K. F. Freed, and Y. B. Band, J. Chem. Phys. 79, 6060 (1983).
- (31) Y. L. Huang and R. J. Gordon, J. Chem. Phys. 94, 2640 (1991).
- (32) G. Herzberg, *Spectra of Diatomic Molecules* (van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1950).
- (33) M. M. Graff and A. F. Wagner, J. Chem. Phys. 92, 2423 (1990).

PAPER 3.

**A STUDY OF S($^3P_{2,1,0}$; 1D_2 ; 1S_0) PRODUCTION IN THE 193 nm
PHOTODISSOCIATION OF CH₃SH**

ABSTRACT

The dynamics of $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2; ^1S_0)$ production from the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SH has been examined by 2+1 resonance-enhanced-multiphoton-ionization (REMPI) techniques. Using the rate equation scheme, we have rationalized the intensities of $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2; ^1S_0)$ observed according to the sequential two-photon dissociative pathways, (A): $CH_3SH + h\nu (193nm) \rightarrow CH_3S + h\nu (193 nm) \rightarrow S$ and (B): $CH_3SH + h\nu (193 nm) \rightarrow HS + h\nu (193 nm) \rightarrow S$, as the major mechanisms for S production. We have satisfactorily fitted the photodissociation laser power dependencies for $S(^3P)$ and $S(^1D)$ produced from CH_3SH by invoking photodissociation cross sections and branching ratios $S(^3P)/S(^1D)$ for CH_3S and HS similar to those determined previously in the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 and H_2S . This observation supports that the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3S and HS prepared from CH_3SH yield predominantly $S(^1D)$ and $S(^3P)$, similar to the cases for CH_3S prepared from CH_3SCH_3 and for HS prepared from H_2S , respectively. A small amount of $S(^1S_0)$ observed from the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SH is attributed to pathway (B).

INTRODUCTION

Knowledge about the formation of radical species from solar ultraviolet (UV) and vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) photolysis of organosulfur compounds, and about the subsequent photochemistry involving these radical species, is relevant to the modeling of atmospheric sulfur chemistry.¹ The previous experimental and theoretical studies of the UV and VUV photochemistry of CH₃SH have focussed on the primary photodissociation of CH₃SH according to the single-photon processes (1) and (2).²⁻⁹

Detailed examination has not been made on the subsequent photodissociation of product CH₃S and HS [processes (3) and (4)] initially formed by processes (1) and (2), respectively.

The dominance of process (1) over process (2) has been suggested in the UV and VUV flash photolysis of CH₃SH. The relative degree of S-H to C-S bond cleavage in the photodissociation of CH₃SH has been estimated to be 3:1 at 214 nm,³ 0.63:0.37 at 195

nm,² and 0.79:0.21 at 185 nm.⁴ At 193 nm, the exothermicity at 0 K for processes (1) is -62 kcal/mol, while that for process (2) is -75.4 kcal/mol.⁹ Based on the kinetic energy release measurement in the 193 nm photodissociation of CH₃SH,^{7,8} the most probable internal energy for photo-fragments of process (2) corresponds to $\approx 30\%$ of the available energy. According to the time-of-flight (TOF) spectrum of CH₃S measured by Kelly et al.,⁸ the kinetic energy release for process (1) is peaked at ≈ 56 kcal/mol, indicating that the most probable internal excitation of CH₃S is ≈ 6 kcal/mol, about 10% of the available energy. This observation is consistent with the conclusion that the formation of CH₃S and H by process (1) at 193 nm involves the direct recoil of fragments on a repulsive energy surface. The recent *ab initio* calculation of the adiabatic electronic states by Mauflieh et al.⁶ has shown that the CH₃SH(2¹A") potential energy surface accessed by a 193 nm excitation is bound in the S-H coordinate. Nevertheless, the observation of efficient S-H bond cleavage may be attributed to a strong coupling between the bound CH₃SH(2¹A") state and the dissociative CH₃SH(1¹A") state.⁸

The kinetic energy distribution observed for S in the 193 nm photodissociation TOF mass spectrometric study of CH₃SH by Nourbakhsh et al.⁷ suggests that HS initially formed by process (2) may further dissociate by absorbing a second 193 nm photon according to process (4). The further photodissociation of HS has also been observed in the 193 nm photodissociation TOF mass spectrometric studies of H₂S. The results of these studies suggest that S atoms produced by process (4) are predominantly in the S(³P) ground state.^{7,11} Similarly, 193 nm photodissociation studies of CH₃SCH₃ (Ref. 12) and

CH_3SSCH_3 (Ref. 13) reveal that the primary SCH_3 fragments may dissociate by the absorption of a second photon to produce S predominantly in the excited $\text{S}(^1\text{D})$ state.

Direct measurements of the nascent electronic state distribution of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0}; ^1\text{D}_2)$ resulting from the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 and H_2S have been made recently in our laboratory using 2 + 1 resonance-enhanced-multiphoton-ionization (REMPI) detection techniques.^{10,14} By calibrating the S^+ intensities due to $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0}; ^1\text{D}_2)$ formed from CH_3SCH_3 and H_2S at 193 nm to those from CS_2 [process (5)], we obtain estimates of $\approx 1.0 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$ and $1.1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$ for the absolute photodissociation cross sections for processes (3) and (4) at 193 nm, respectively.

The absolute cross section for process (5) is known; and the branching ratio for $\text{S}(^3\text{P})/\text{S}(^1\text{D})$ ($=2.78$) and the fine structure distribution of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$ formed by process (5) has been measured in detail by VUV laser-induced fluorescence¹⁵ and TOF mass spectrometric methods.¹⁶ The branching ratio $\text{S}(^3\text{P})/\text{S}(^1\text{D})$ determined for process (3) is 0.15/0.85, while that for process (4) is 0.87/0.13. We note that the detailed photodissociation dynamics of CH_3S and HS depend on their internal excitations and thus these cross sections and branching ratios are characteristic of CH_3S and HS prepared in the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 and H_2S .

We have extended our investigation of the $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0}; ^1\text{D}_2; ^1\text{S}_0)$ production from the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SH using the 2 + 1 REMPI detection method. In this

article, we analyze the production of S atoms from the photodissociation of CH₃SH at 193 nm according to the stepwise two-photon pathways, (A): CH₃SH + hν (193nm) → CH₃S + hν (193 nm) → S and (B): CH₃SH + hν (193 nm) → HS + hν (193 nm) → S, which are comprised of processes (1) and (3) and processes (2) and (4), respectively. Considering that the energetics for the formation of CH₃S and HS from the 193 nm photodissociation of CH₃SH are similar to the formation of CH₃S from CH₃SCH₃ and the formation of HS from H₂S, respectively,^{9,17} we expect that the knowledge gained in previous studies about the photodissociation dynamics of CH₃S prepared from CH₃SCH₃ and of HS prepared from H₂S is useful for the data analysis of the S(³P_{2,1,0}; ¹D₂) production in the photodissociation of CH₃SH.

Based on the measurement of the photodissociation laser power dependence for the S(³P)/S(¹D) branching ratio, we have also considered the single-photon photodissociation process (6) as a possible channel for the S production from CH₃SH.

EXPERIMENTAL

The experimental setup and procedures have been described in detail.^{10,14} A home-built TOF spectrometer of the two-stage Wiley-McLaren design is used to detect S^+ ions. Briefly, a pulsed molecular beam of neat CH_3SH is produced by supersonic expansion through a pulsed valve (nozzle diameter = 0.5 mm, temperature $\approx 298^\circ$ K, stagnation pressure = 120 torr). The molecular beam is skimmed by a conical skimmer before intersecting with both the photodissociation and ionization lasers 8.3 cm downstream from the skimmer. The molecular beam source chamber and the photodissociation chamber are differentially pumped by a diffusion pump and a turbomolecular pump, respectively. For the pulse valve operating at a repetition rate of 17 Hz, the beam source chamber and the photodissociation chamber are maintained at pressures of $\approx 1 \times 10^{-4}$ and 2×10^{-6} Torr, respectively.

The ArF photodissociation laser (Questek 2460) is operated in a constant pulse energy mode. The laser beam is attenuated by layers of stainless steel wire mesh and is spatially filtered by two irises before being focused by a fused-silica lens (200 mm f.l.) to a spot of $\approx 2 \times 2$ mm² in the interaction region. The ArF laser energies used are in the range of 100-1000 μ J/pulse.

The ionization of $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2; ^1S_0)$ is accomplished with an excimer laser (Lambda Physik EMG 201 MSC) pumped dye laser (Lambda Physik FL3002) system. The pulse energy, typically ≈ 200 μ J/pulse, is monitored with a pyroelectric detector (Molelectron J3-

05). The dye laser beam propagates coaxially with the ArF laser beam into the reaction chamber and intersects with the molecular beam at 90° .

The firing of the photodissociation laser is delayed by $640\ \mu\text{s}$ with respect to the trigger pulse for opening the pulsed valve. A delay of $50\ \text{ns}$ between the photodissociation and ionization lasers is set throughout all the experiments. The firing sequence of the pulsed valve and the two lasers is controlled by two digital delay units (Stanford Research, DG 535). The ion signal from the microchannel plate detector and the dye laser signal from the pyroelectric detector are fed into two identical boxcar integrators (Stanford Research, SR250), which are interfaced to an IBM AT computer.

The CS_2 sample (Aldrich, 99% purity) is degassed by a series of freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The CH_3SH (Matheson, 99% purity) is used without further purification.

A. Detection of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$, $\text{S}(^1\text{D}_2)$, and $\text{S}(^1\text{S}_0)$

Probing of $\text{S}(3^3\text{P}_J)$, $\text{S}(3^1\text{D}_2)$ and $\text{S}(3^1\text{S}_0)$ atomic states is accomplished by two-photon absorption $\text{S}(3^3\text{P}_J) \rightarrow \text{S}(4^3\text{P}_J)$, $\text{S}(3^1\text{D}_2) \rightarrow \text{S}(4^1\text{F}_3)$, and $\text{S}(3^1\text{S}_0) \rightarrow \text{S}(4^1\text{D}_2)$, followed by absorption of a third photon to produce S^+ ion in the $^4\text{S}^0$, $^2\text{D}^0$, and $^2\text{P}^0$ states, respectively.^{10,14,18-21} The S^+ peaks corresponding to the ionization of $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$, $\text{S}(^1\text{D}_2)$, and $\text{S}(^1\text{S}_0)$ appear at the respective wavelength regions of 308-311, 288.19, and 299.575 nm.²¹

The fine-structure distribution of $\text{S}(3^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$ is measured by summation over the peak intensities corresponding to transitions to the upper $\text{S}(4^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$ fine-structure levels.²² The fine-structure distribution for $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$ formed in the 193 nm photodissociation of CS_2 is determined here using 2+1 REMPI detection schemes and is in excellent agreement with that obtained using the VUV laser-induced fluorescence technique.¹⁵

B. $S(^3P)/S(^1D)$ branching ratio and absolute cross section for processes (1)-(4) and (6)

The rate equations applicable to CH_3SH , CH_3S , HS , and S according to processes (1)-(4) and (6) are:

$$d[CH_3SH]/dt = -I\sigma_t[CH_3SH], \quad (7)$$

$$d[CH_3S]/dt = I\sigma_1[CH_3SH] - I\sigma_3[CH_3S], \quad (8)$$

$$d[HS]/dt = I\sigma_2[CH_3SH] - I\sigma_4[HS], \quad (9)$$

$$d[S(3)]/dt = I\sigma_3[CH_3S], \quad (10)$$

$$d[S(4)]/dt = I\sigma_4[HS], \quad (11)$$

$$d[S(6)]/dt = I\sigma_6[CH_3SH]. \quad (12)$$

Here, I is the ArF laser photon flux [# of photons/(cm².sec)] and σ_1 , σ_2 , σ_3 , σ_4 , σ_6 , and σ_t represent the absolute cross sections for process (1), (2), (3), (4), (6), and the total absorption cross section of CH_3SH , respectively. For each laser pulse the number densities for CH_3SH ($[CH_3SH]$), CH_3S ($[CH_3S]$), HS ($[HS]$), and S ($[S(3)]$, $[S(4)]$, and $[S(6)]$ from processes (3), (4), and (6), respectively), are related to σ_1 , σ_2 , σ_3 , σ_4 , σ_6 , σ_t , the laser fluence (F) (# of photons/cm²) and the initial number density of CH_3SH ($[CH_3SH]_0$) by the relations:

$$[CH_3SH] = [CH_3SH]_0 \exp[-\sigma_t F], \quad (13)$$

$$[CH_3S] = [CH_3SH]_0 [\sigma_1/(\sigma_t - \sigma_3)] [\exp(-\sigma_3 F) - \exp(-\sigma_t F)], \quad (14)$$

$$[HS] = [CH_3SH]_0 [\sigma_2/(\sigma_t - \sigma_4)] [\exp(-\sigma_4 F) - \exp(-\sigma_t F)], \quad (15)$$

$$[S(3)] = [CH_3SH]_0 [\sigma_3 \sigma_1 / (\sigma_3 - \sigma_1)] [-\exp(-\sigma_1 F) / \sigma_1 + \exp(-\sigma_3 F) / \sigma_3 + 1 / \sigma_1 - 1 / \sigma_3], \quad (16)$$

$$[S(4)] = [CH_3SH]_0 [\sigma_4 \sigma_2 / (\sigma_4 - \sigma_2)] [-\exp(-\sigma_2 F) / \sigma_2 + \exp(-\sigma_4 F) / \sigma_4 + 1 / \sigma_2 - 1 / \sigma_4], \quad (17)$$

$$[S(6)] = [CH_3SH]_0 (\sigma_6 / \sigma_1) [1 - \exp(-\sigma_1 F)]. \quad (18)$$

The intensity of S^+ resulting from the $2 + 1$ REMPI is directly proportional to the number density of S . In order to determine the $S(^3P)/S(^1D)$ branching ratio for $S(^3P_{2,1,0})$ formed from CH_3SH , calibrations are made between the S^+ signals due to the formation of $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2)$ from CH_3SH and those from CS_2 . Detailed calibration procedures have been described previously.¹⁴

The absolute total cross section σ_t is known to be $5.6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$,²³. Averaging the results of the previous UV photolysis studies,¹⁻³ we obtain an estimate of about 3/1 for the ratio σ_1/σ_2 . In the data analysis, we assume that the branching ratios $S(^3P)/S(^1S)$ and absolute cross sections for the 193 nm photodissociation of HS and CH_3S prepared by processes (1) and (2) are similar to those formed from the 193 nm photodissociation of H_2S and CH_3SCH_3 , respectively. That is, we fit the observed intensities for $S(^3P)$ and $S(^1D)$ from the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SH by using $\sigma_3 \approx 1.0 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, $\sigma_4 \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, $S(^3P)/S(^1D) \approx 0.15/0.85$ for process (3), and $S(^3P)/S(^1D) \approx 0.87/0.13$ for process (4). The values for σ_1 , σ_2 , and σ_6 are also varied to fit the photodissociation laser power dependencies of the $S(^3P)$ and $S(^1D)$ intensities under the constraints that $\sigma_t \geq \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_6$ and $\sigma_1/\sigma_3 \approx 1/3$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The S^+ intensities observed in the $2 + 1$ REMPI of $S(^3P)$ and $S(^1D)$ are plotted as a function of 193 nm photodissociation laser photon fluence in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. The branching ratio $S(^3P)/S(^1D)$ from CH_3SH is found to change from 0.59/0.41 at $F = 1 \times 10^{16}$ photons/cm² to 0.43/0.57 at $F = 8 \times 10^{16}$ photons/cm². This observation, together with the relatively slow change for the slope of the S^+ intensity, especially the S^+ intensity due to $S(^3P)$ at low photon fluxes, supports that $S(^3P)$ and $S(^1D)$ atoms may also be produced by the single-photon process (6). For $h\nu = 147.9$ kcal/mol (193 nm), process (6) is highly exothermic ($\Delta H_{r0} = -95.4$ kcal/mol).¹⁷ However, the potential barrier for process (6) may be high.

The determination of the $S(^3P)/S(^1D)$ branching ratio from the $S(^3P_2)/S(^1D)$ ratio requires knowledge of the fine-structure distribution $S(^3P_2) : S(^3P_1) : S(^3P_0)$. At $F = 8 \times 10^{16}$ photons/cm², the fine-structure distribution for $S(^3P_j)$ from CH_3SH is determined to be $^3P_2 : ^3P_1 : ^3P_0 = 0.66 \pm 0.04 : 0.23 \pm 0.04 : 0.11 \pm 0.04$, which is slightly colder than that expected from a statistical distribution. This distribution is slightly colder than that observed from CH_3SCH_3 , but slightly hotter than that from H_2S . The small S^+ signal due to $S(^3P_0)$ prevents us from accurately determining the population of $S(^3P_0)$ relative to that of $S(^3P_2)$ at lower photon fluxes. Here, we assume that the fine-structure distribution measured at $F = 8 \times 10^{16}$ photons/cm² remains the same throughout the entire range of photon flux. Because the population of $S(^3P_2)$ is dominant in the production of

Figure 1. Photodissociation laser fluence (# photons/cm²) dependence of S⁺ signal due to the ionization of (a) S(¹D) and (b) S(³P) formed by process (3) (▼), process(4) (Δ), and process (6) (□), (○) Experimental data; (●) best fits using Eqs. (16)-(18). The fitting requires that $\sigma_1 = 5.6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, $\sigma_1/\sigma_2 = 3/1$, $\sigma_3 = 1.0 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, and $\sigma_4 = 1.1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$.

$S(^3P)$, the fine-structure distribution used should have a minor effect on the values reported for the branching ratios $S(^3P)/S(^1D)$ and for the photodissociation cross sections.

A typical simulation for the S^+ intensity due to the ionization of $S(^3P)$ and $S(^1D)$ formed in processes (1)-(4) and (6) using Eqs.(13)-(18) are also shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) [∇ for process (3), Δ for process (4), and $\square$ for process (6)]. The solid circles represent the sum of best fitted values due to processes (3), (4), and (6). In this simulation, we assume that $\sigma_t = 5.6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, $\sigma_1/\sigma_2 = 3/1$, $\sigma_3 = 1.0 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, and $\sigma_4 = 1.1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$. The cross sections corresponding to the best fit are: $\sigma_1 = 3.7 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, $\sigma_2 = 1.2 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, and $\sigma_6 = 3.5 \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2$. The branching ratio $S(^3P)/S(^1D)$ due to the secondary processes (3) and (4) are 0.22/0.78 and 0.8/0.2, respectively. As shown in the figures, the overwhelming intensity of $S(^1D)$ produced at high photodissociation laser fluxes is attributable to the photodissociation process $\text{CH}_3\text{S} + h\nu \rightarrow S(^1D) + \text{CH}_3$, while the dissociation process $\text{HS} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{H} + S(^3P)$ accounts for the major intensity of $S(^3P)$ produced at high photon fluxes. The higher σ_t value, compared to $4.94 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$ for the sum $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_6$, may be interpreted that the absorption of a 193 nm photon also induces other processes, such as rearrangement and/or dissociation, which do not lead to the production of S atoms.

Since the previous experimental values for σ_1/σ_2 in the vicinity of 193 nm fall in the range from 1.7/1 to 4/1, it is desirable to examine the effect of σ_1/σ_2 by adjusting its value in our fittings. By varying the value for σ_1/σ_2 in the range from 1.7/1 to 4/1 and by setting $\sigma_3 = 1.0 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$ and $\sigma_4 = 1.1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, we find that the best fitted value

for $S(^3P)/S(^1D)$ of process (3) varies from 0.14/0.86 to 0.25/0.75, while that for process (4) is in the range from 0.72/0.28 to 0.87/0.13.

Attempts have also been made to fit the observed the photodissociation laser power dependencies for $S(^3P)$ and $S(^1D_2)$ from CH_3SH by setting the branching ratios $S(^3P)/S(^1D) = 0.15/0.85$ and $0.87/0.13$ for processes (3) and (4) respectively. The best fitted values for σ_3 and σ_4 are found to fall in the respective ranges of $0.9-1.2 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$ and $0.9-1.6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$ when σ_1/σ_2 is varied in the range from 1.7/1 to 4/1.

According to the fittings described above, we may conclude that the values for σ_3 and σ_4 are most likely in the respective range of $0.9-1.2 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$ and $0.9-1.6 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$. The branching ratio $S(^3P)/S(^1D)$ for process (3) may be in the range from 0.14/0.86 to 0.25/0.75 and that for process (4) from 0.72/0.28 to 0.87/0.13. Because σ_6 is very small compared to other cross sections, its value is not sensitive to the fittings. Process (6) is important only at low photodissociation laser power. Further experiments are required to confirm the involvement of this process.

Based on the *ab initio* multiconfiguration self-consistence-field (MCSCF) potential energy surfaces for CH_3S calculated along the CH_3-S distance, Hsu et al.¹⁴ have pointed out that the formation of $S(^3P_i)$ proceeds most likely by predissociation of $CH_3S(\tilde{C}^2A_2)$ via the repulsive $CH_3S(\tilde{B}^2A_2)$ state, while the formation of $S(^1D_2)$ may result from predissociation of $CH_3S(\tilde{C}^2A_2)$ via the $CH_3S(\tilde{E}^2E)$ repulsive state. The dominant production of $S(^1D_2)$ observed from CH_3S initially formed in the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 has been attributed to the more favorable couplings of $CH_3S(\tilde{E})$ and $CH_3S(\tilde{C})$ state. The previous 193 nm photofragmentation study of CH_3SCH_3 ¹³ indicates

that about 45% of the available energy appears as the most probable internal energies for product $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}}) + \text{CH}_3$. The direct excitation to the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{E}})$ state from rovibrationally excited $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$ state is possible. The direct formation of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{E}})$ radicals is expected to favor the $\text{S}({}^1\text{D}_2) + \text{CH}_3(\tilde{\text{X}}^2\text{A}')$ dissociation channel. Assuming that the internal energies are equally distributed to all internal degrees of freedom, the most probable internal excitation for CH_3S prepared in the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 is about 18 kcal/mol. This compares to the most probable internal excitation of ≈ 6 kcal/mol for CH_3S formed by process (1) at 193 nm. The extent of excitation to the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{E}})$ state at 193 nm for colder CH_3S radicals prepared from CH_3SH is expected to be less, which in turn should lower the relative production of $\text{S}({}^1\text{D})$ compared to that observed from CH_3SCH_3 . That is, the branching ratio for $\text{S}({}^3\text{P})/\text{S}({}^1\text{D})$ of process (3) at 193nm is most likely more than 0.15/0.85.

The *ab initio* potential energy curves calculated by Bruna and Hirsch²⁴ show that the $\text{HS}({}^2\Sigma^-)$ and $\text{HS}({}^2\Delta)$ potential surfaces are repulsive and correlate asymptotically with the formation of $\text{S}({}^3\text{P}) + \text{H}({}^2\text{S})$ and $\text{S}({}^1\text{D}) + \text{H}({}^2\text{S})$, respectively. The direct dissociation mechanism for the 193 nm photodissociation of $\text{HS}(\text{X},\text{v})$ has been suggested previously in the H-atom TOF mass spectrometric¹¹ and REMPI studies.¹⁰ The $\text{HS}(\text{X},\text{v})$ radicals formed in the 193 nm photodissociation of H_2S are found to be overwhelmingly in the $\text{v}=0$ state. The dominant formation of $\text{S}({}^3\text{P})$ from $\text{HS}(\text{X})$ thus formed may be accounted for by the excitation $\text{HS}(\text{X},\text{v}=0) \rightarrow \text{HS}({}^2\Sigma^-)$. Energetically, a 193 nm photon can excite $\text{HS}(\text{X}^2\Pi, \text{v} \geq 1)$ to the $\text{HS}({}^2\Delta)$ state which is expected to lead to the formation of $\text{S}({}^1\text{D})$. The previous kinetic energy release study of H_2S ¹¹ indicates that the most probable internal

excitation for HS corresponds to $\approx 5\%$ (about 3 kcal/mol) of the available energy. Assuming that internal excitations are distributed equally to all internal degrees of freedom, we estimated that the most probable vibrational energy for HS(X) from CH_3SH is ≈ 7.5 kcal/mol. A higher population of HS(X, $v \geq 1$) formed in the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SH should lead to a $\text{S}(^3\text{P})/\text{S}(^1\text{D}_2)$ branching ratio lower than 0.87/0.13 for process (4) at 193 nm.

The formation of S atoms in the $^1\text{S}_0$ state is observed here at high photodissociation laser power. The S^+ peak due to ionization of $\text{S}(^1\text{S})$ at 299.575 nm can only be observed at high photon fluxes and is estimated to be ≈ 12 times less than that for $\text{S}(^1\text{D})$ at 288.19 nm. However, the intensity for $\text{S}(^1\text{S}_0)$ relative to those for $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_j; ^1\text{D})$ cannot be quantified because $\text{S}(^1\text{S})$ atoms are not produced in the calibration reaction (5). The formation of $\text{S}(^1\text{S})$ was not found in the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 and H_2S . It is unlikely that $\text{S}(^1\text{S})$ can be produced from the photodissociation of CH_3S radicals because the product internal energy for CH_3S prepared from CH_3SH is expected to be lower than that from CH_3SCH_3 . According to the *ab initio* potential energy curves of HS,¹⁷ the excited $\text{HS}(^2\Sigma^+)$ state is repulsive and correlate asymptotically with the dissociation channel $\text{S}(^1\text{S}_0) + \text{H}(^2\text{S})$. Since the formation of the $\text{HS}(^2\Sigma^+)$ state due to 193 nm excitation is energetically feasible for $\text{HS}(X^2\Pi, v \geq 2)$, the formation of $\text{S}(^1\text{S}_0)$ may be attributed to $\text{HS}(X^2\Pi, v \geq 2)$ formed in process (2) at 193 nm. We note that the 193 nm photoexcitation of H_2S can populate $\text{HS}(X, v)$ states up to $v = 6$. However, the fact that $\text{S}(^1\text{S})$ is not found may result from the very low population of $\text{HS}(X, v \geq 2)$ from H_2S . The detection of $\text{S}(^1\text{S})$ is consistent

with the conclusion that the population of $\text{HS}(\text{X}, v \geq 2)$ radicals is increased in the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SH .

State-to-state photodissociation cross sections for molecules are expected to depend on their internal energy distributions. The photodissociation cross sections for CH_3S and HS , estimated in the photodissociation studies of H_2S , CH_3SH , and CH_3SCH_3 , represent cross sections averaged over the internal state distributions of CH_3S and HS characteristic of the photodissociation processes. We note that the width of the internal energy distributions for CH_3S and HS formed in the photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 and CH_3SH are quite broad (≈ 20 kcal/mol). The relatively broad internal energy distributions might suppress the variation of photodissociation cross sections for CH_3S and HS prepared from different precursor molecules. The MCSCF calculation indicates that the 193 nm excitation of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}}, v=0)$ to $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{C}})$ has overwhelmingly the highest oscillator strength. If the subsequent predissociation processes from $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{C}})$ dictate the relative populations of $\text{S}({}^3\text{P})$ and $\text{S}({}^1\text{D})$, the minor excitation from vibrationally excited $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{X}})$ to $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{\text{E}})$ would only have a minor effect on the $\text{S}({}^3\text{P})/\text{S}({}^1\text{D})$ branching ratio. In the case of HS , the oscillator strength for the transition $\text{HS}(\text{X}) \rightarrow \text{HS}({}^2\Sigma)$ is the highest, and thus the formation of $\text{S}({}^3\text{P})$ should dominate if the vibrational distributions of HS formed in the photodissociation of H_2S and CH_3SH do not differ significantly. The good fitting obtained for the 193 nm photon flux dependencies for the formation of $\text{S}({}^3\text{P})$ and $\text{S}({}^1\text{D})$ from CH_3SH , using the σ_3 and σ_4 values and the $\text{S}({}^3\text{P})/\text{S}({}^1\text{D})$ branching ratios determined from H_2S and CH_3SCH_3 , can be taken as support of the conclusion that the internal energy distributions of CH_3S and HS prepared from CH_3SH are not significantly different from

those from CH_3SCH_3 and H_2S . The similar fine-structure distributions for $\text{S}(^3\text{P}_{2,1,0})$ observed from H_2S , CH_3SH , and CH_3SCH_3 support that the photodissociation dynamics of HS and CH_3S are mostly governed by repulsive excited states.

In order to further examine the dependencies of the branching ratios $\text{S}(^3\text{P})/\text{S}(^1\text{D})$ and the photodissociation cross sections on the internal energy distributions of CH_3S and HS, it would be interesting to compare the cross sections and branching ratios $\text{S}(^3\text{P})/\text{S}(^1\text{D})$ for processes (3) and (4) measured using supersonically cooled CH_3S and HS beams.

CONCLUSION

Using 2 + 1 REMPI detection schemes for $S(^3P_{2,1,0}; ^1D_2; ^1S_0)$, we have examined the 193 nm photodissociations of $CH_3S(\tilde{X})$ and $HS(X, v)$ prepared by processes (1) and (2), respectively. Although the cross sections for processes (1)-(4) and (6) and the $S(^3P)/S(^1D)$ branching ratios for processes (3) and (4) at 193 nm cannot be uniquely determined in this experiment, we find that good fits for the photodissociation laser power dependencies for $S(^3P)$ and $S(^1D)$ can be obtained by using similar values of σ_3 and σ_4 and the $S(^3P)/S(^1D)$ branching ratios determined in the previous 193 nm photodissociation experiments of CH_3SCH_3 and H_2S . This observation can be taken as evidence that the cross sections, as well as the relative intensities, for $S(^3P_j; ^1D_2)$ formed in the photodissociation of CH_3S and HS prepared in the photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 and H_2S at 193 nm, respectively, are similar to those from CH_3SH . The $S(^1S)$ observed in this experiment is attributed to the higher population of $HS(X, v \geq 2)$ resulting from the photodissociation of CH_3SH compared to that from H_2S . The one-photon process (6) for the formation of $S(^3P_j, ^1D)$ is also suggested in this experiment as a minor channel in the 193 nm photodissociation of CH_3SH .

REFERENCES

- (1) B. J. Finlayson-Pitts and J. N. Pitts, Jr., "Atmospheric Chemistry" (Wiley, New York, 1986).
- (2) A. B. Callear and D. R. Dickson, Trans. Faraday Soc. 66 1987 (1970).
- (3) L. Bridges and J. M. White, J. Phys. Chem. 77, 295 (1973).
- (4) D. Kamra and J. M. White, J. Photochem. 4, 361 (1975).
- (5) I. Tokue, A. Hiraya and K. Shobatake, Chem. Phys. 116, 449 (1987).
- (6) B. Mouflih, C. Larrieu and M. Chaillet, Chem. Phys. 119, 221 (1988).
- (7) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, H.-M. Yin, C.-L. Liao and C. Y. Ng, J. Chem. Phys. 95, 946 (1991).
- (8) J. S. Keller, P. W. Kash, E. Jensen and L. J. Butler, J. Chem. Phys. 96, 4324 (1992).
- (9) S.-W. Chiu, W.-K. Li, W.-B. Tzeng, and C. Y. Ng, J. Chem. Phys. 97, 6557 (1992).
- (10) C.-W. Hsu, C.-L. Liao, Z.-X. Ma, P. J. H. Tjossem, and C. Y. Ng, Chem. Phys. Lett. 199, 78 (1992).
- (11) R. E. Continetti, B. A. Balko and Y. T. Lee, Chem. Phys. Lett., 185, 400 (1991).
- (12) S. Nourbakhsh, C.-L. Liao and C. Y. Ng, J. Chem. Phys. 92, 6587 (1989).
- (13) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, H.-M. Yin, C.-L. Liao and C. Y. Ng, J. Chem. Phys. 95, 5014 (1991).

- (14) C.-W. Hsu, C.-L. Liao, Z.-X. Ma, P. J. H. Tjossem and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 97, 6283 (1992).
- (15) I. M. Waller and W. J. Hepburn, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 87, 3261 (1987).
- (16) W.-B. Tzeng, H.-M. Yin, W.-Y. Leung, J.-Y. Luo, S. Nourbakhsh, G. J. Flesch, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 88, 1658 (1988).
- (17) S. G. Lias, J. E. Bartmess, J. F. Liebman, J. L. Holmes, R. D. Levin, and W. G. Mallard, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* 17, Suppl. No. 1 (1988).
- (18) P. Brewer, N. van Veen, and R. Bershon, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 91, 126 (1982).
- (19) G. Black and L. E. Jusinski, *J. Chem. Phys.* 82, 789 (1985)
- (20) G. Black and L. E. Jusinski, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 2* 82, 2143 (1986).
- (21) T. V. Venkitachalam and A. S. Rao, *Appl. Phys. B* 52, 102 (1991).
- (22) D. J. Bamford, M. J. Dyer and W. K. Bishel, *Phys. Rev. A* 36, 3497 (1987).
- (23) J. G. Calvert and J. N. Pitts., *Photochemistry* (Wiley, New York, 1966).
- (24) P. J. Bruna and G. Hirsch, *Mol. Phys.*, 61, 1359 (1987).

PAPER 4

**ROTATIONALLY RESOLVED NONRESONANT TWO-PHOTON
IONIZATION OF SH**

ABSTRACT

The threshold photoelectron (PE) spectrum for nascent SH formed in the ultraviolet photodissociation of H₂S has been measured using the nonresonant two-photon pulsed field ionization (N2P-PFI) technique. The rotationally-resolved N2P-PFI-PE spectrum of SH indicates that photoionization dynamics favors the rotational angular momentum change $\Delta N \leq 0$ with the ΔN values up to -3, an observation similar to that found in the PFI-PE spectra of OH (OD) and NO. The ionization energy for SH($X^2\Pi_{3/2}$) is determined to be $84,057.5 \pm 3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($10.4219 \pm 0.0004 \text{ eV}$). The spin-orbit splitting for SH($X^2\Pi_{3/2,1/2}$) is $377 \pm 2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, in agreement with the literature value. This study illustrates that the PFI-PE detection method can be a sensitive probe for the nascent internal energy distribution of photoproducts.

INTRODUCTION

The study of molecular photoionization by high resolution photoelectron (PE) spectroscopy has been most valuable for the understanding of detailed photoionization dynamics and for obtaining accurate spectroscopic and energetic information about neutral and ionic species.¹ However, the typical energy resolution of 5-25 meV attained by conventional PE spectroscopic techniques is generally insufficient for obtaining rovibronically-resolved PE spectra of polyatomic species. With the recent development of the laser pulsed field ionization (PFI)² method, a version of the zero-kinetic-energy (ZEKE) PE technique,^{3,4} the energy resolution for PE spectroscopy has improved to sub-wavenumbers, approaching that achieved in optical spectroscopy. Rotationally and vibrationally resolved PFI-PE spectra have been reported for many diatomic molecules⁵⁻¹⁵ and for polyatomic species.¹⁶⁻²⁹ Due to the simplicity and the high resolution achieved by the PFI method, its application is expected to expand rapidly, covering many more molecular species in the near future.

Most of previous PFI-PE studies applied the resonance-enhanced^{23,25,28} two-color laser excitation, or the single vacuum ultraviolet (VUV)^{5-9, 14-19,21} laser excitation schemes. Recently, Bondybey and co-workers have demonstrated that PFI-PE spectra for NO (Ref. 13), H₂S (Ref. 20), CH₃I (Ref. 24), CS₂ (Ref. 22), and (NO)₂ (Ref. 29) can be obtained with good sensitivity by using the one-color nonresonant two-photon (N2P) excitation. In many cases, the N2P-PFI-PE spectra are found to be surprisingly similar to those acquired by the single VUV photon ionization scheme.

Since the single VUV photon and two-photon excitation schemes are governed by different selection rules, we expect differences in the resulting PFI-PE spectra. When molecular species under investigation are excited directly from their molecular ground states in the N2P scheme, and the excitation process is mediated by an intermediate repulsive state, the PFI-PE spectra are free from modulated structures observed in a resonant experiment. The recent N2P-PFI study on CH_3I indicates that the involvement of a dissociative intermediate state along the C-I dissociation coordinate allows the observation of a longer C-I stretching vibrational progression of CH_3I^+ compared to that found in a HeI PE spectroscopic study.²⁴ The N2P-PFI scheme is very attractive because of its high sensitivity and the availability of commercial pulsed dye lasers with an output range of 200-400 nm, as required for the ionization of most polyatomic species at their thresholds.

An exciting development of PFI-PE spectroscopy is that it allows high resolution PE studies for not only stable molecules, but also transient radicals. Elegant PFI-PE experiments on radicals such as OH (OD) (Ref. 14) and CH_3 (Ref. 21) and $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_2$ (benzyl radical)²⁸ have been made recently by the groups of White and Weisshaar, respectively. The PE studies of transient molecules and radicals is traditionally a difficult field, partly because of the difficulties involved in the preparation of specific transient species of interest. Transient species prepared by pyrolysis, discharge, and fast chemical reactions are often internally excited. This, coupled with the relatively poor energy resolution used in conventional PE spectroscopic studies, makes the assignment of the PE features and ionization threshold difficult.

It has been well-demonstrated that many rotationally and vibrationally cold radicals can be prepared with high purity and intensity using a pulsed laser photodissociation radicals source.^{30,31} The ideal combination of the PFI-PE detection and pulsed radical beam source provides the sensitivity needed for high resolution PE studies of radicals. The application of high resolution PFI-PE spectroscopy to the study of transient species has just begun, and its impact on the energetic and spectroscopic measurements of transient radicals is expected to continue for many years to come.

As part of the effort in our laboratory to characterize the structure and energetics of combustion-related polyatomic molecules and radicals by photoionization and photodissociation methods,³⁰⁻³⁷ we have undertaken N2P-PFI studies of selected organosulfur species. Here, we present the N2P-PFI-PE spectrum of SH and its assignment. In this experiment, a one-color three-photon process is involved. That is, the SH radicals are first prepared by the single-photon dissociation process,

The subsequent ionization of SH is accomplished by N2P excitations of SH to high- n Rydberg states $[\text{SH}^*(n)]$ followed by PFI of $\text{SH}^*(n)$.

The two additional photons absorbed by SH in process (2) are furnished by the same laser pulse which induced the dissociation of H_2S in process (1).

EXPERIMENTAL

A schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus is shown in Fig. 1. The experimental apparatus is modified from the laser ionization time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer used in previous photodissociation studies.^{38,39} A two-stage microchannel plate detector and a set of simple aperture lenses have been added for PE detection below the photoionization region and opposite to the ion TOF tube.

For this experiment, H₂S (Union Carbide, 99% purity) is used without further purification. The H₂S sample (7%) seeded in Ar carrier gas (total stagnation pressure $\approx$ 2.5 bar) is introduced into the photoionization region by supersonic expansion through a pulsed valve with a nozzle diameter of 0.5 mm. The molecular beam is skimmed by a conical skimmer (1 mm in diameter, 3.8 cm from the nozzle) before intersecting with a tunable laser beam (90°, 8.3 cm downstream from the skimmer). Both the pulsed valve and the dye laser are operated at a repetition rate of 13 Hz.

The molecular beam source chamber is pumped by a freon-trapped, 6 in. diffusion pump (pumping speed $\approx$ 2,000 ℓ /s), while the photoionization chamber and the ion-TOF tube are evacuated by two 50 ℓ /s turbomolecular pumps. During the experiment, the beam source chamber and the photoionization chamber are maintained at pressures of about 1×10^{-4} and 2×10^{-6} Torr, respectively.

The second harmonic output of an excimer (Lambda Physik EMG 201 MSC) pumped-dye laser (Lambda Physik FL 3002) is focused into the photoionization region by a 200 mm focal length fused-silica lens. Coumarin 102 dye is used to produce the

Figure 1. Cross sectional view of the ion-TOF and PFI-PE apparatus:
(1) photodissociation and photoionization chamber; (2) photodissociation and photoionization region; (3) beam source chamber; (4) pulsed valve; (5) skimmer; (6) ion TOF tube; (7) ion microchannel plate detector; and (8) electron microchannel plate detector.

fundamental output in the 468-481 nm region. Typical laser pulse energies used in the second harmonic output range of 234-240 nm are 1.2 mJ, as monitored with a pyroelectric detector. The wavelength calibration is made using the known ZEKE spectrum of atomic sulfur,⁴⁰ which is produced by the multiphoton laser photodissociation of H₂S.

Ion detection using the ion TOF mass spectrometer has been described in detail previously.^{38,39} Here, a constant electric field of 280 V/cm is used to extract the ions formed in the photoionization region. Since the N2P ionization processes compete with many multiphoton ionization and fragmentation channels, it is necessary to optimize the SH⁺ ion signal by varying the dye laser power. As indicated above, the SH⁺ formation is expected to be the result of a three-photon process. This expectation is confirmed by the observed laser power dependence of the SH⁺ signal.

The ZEKE/PFI detection scheme relies on delayed PFI of long-lived high-*n* Rydberg states populated by laser excitation at a few wavenumbers below the ionization threshold. In this experiment, the firing of the photodissociation and excitation laser is delayed by 650 μ s with respect to the triggering pulse for opening the pulsed valve. A pulsed field of 1.1 V/cm with a width of 1 μ s is applied to the repeller plate 4.5 μ s after firing the dye laser. The pulsed field serves to field-ionize the molecular species in high-*n* Rydberg states as well as to extract the electrons thus formed to the microchannel plate electron detector. The firing sequence of the pulsed valve, dye laser, and pulsed electric field is controlled by two digital delay units (Stanford Research DG535). The electron signal from the electron detector and the laser energy signal from the pyroelectric detector are fed into two identical boxcar integrators (Stanford Research SR250), which are

interfaced to an IBM/AT computer. The electron and laser energy signals are averaged for 30 shots at each laser wavelength.

The bandwidth of the dye laser is 0.2 cm^{-1} for the fundamental and $\approx 0.4\text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the second harmonic outputs. For a two-photon ionization process, the resolution due to the ionization laser is expected to be $\approx 0.8\text{ cm}^{-1}$. In order to examine the PE energy resolution of this experiment, we have reproduced the N2P-PFI-PE spectrum for H_2S reported previously.²⁰ Figure 2 shows a section of the N2P-PFI-PE spectrum for H_2S , together with the assignment, in the region of $84,388\text{--}84,496\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($2\times 42,194\text{--}2\times 42,248\text{ cm}^{-1}$) obtained using a pulsed field of 0.55 V/cm . In this experiment, the excitation laser is delayed by $450\text{ }\mu\text{s}$ ⁴¹ with respect to the triggering pulse for opening the pulsed valve. Since the spectrum of Fig. 2 is similar to that obtained by Bondybey and co-workers,²⁰ we conclude that the PE energy resolution achieved in this experiment is similar to that used in the previous experiment.

Figure 2. N2P-PFI-PE spectrum for H₂S in the region of 42,194-42,248 cm⁻¹, together with the rotational assignment (see Ref. 20), obtained using a pulsed field of 0.55 V/cm. The PE signals [I(e⁻)] have not been normalized with the photoionization laser pulse energy.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The photoionization efficiency (PIE) spectrum for SH^+ observed in the region $83,000\text{--}85,080\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($2\times 41,500\text{--}2\times 42,540\text{ cm}^{-1}$) is depicted in Fig. 3. Here, we note that SH^+ ions are formed by N2P ionization instead of PFI. Since SH^+ is produced by a three-photon process, the SH^+ signals have been normalized by the cubic power of the laser energy. The N2P-PIE spectrum is roughly similar to the PIE spectrum for SH^+ measured in a VUV PIE experiment.⁴²

The ionization energy (IE) for H_2S is $10.4683\pm 0.0002\text{ eV}$ ($84,432\pm 2\text{ cm}^{-1}$)²⁰, which is higher than that for SH ($10.43\pm 0.03\text{ eV}$)⁴³. As shown in Fig. 3, the PIE for SH^+ rises above the background level at $\approx 83,320\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($2\times 41,660\text{ cm}^{-1}$), an energy lower than the IE of SH . The gradual increase in the PIE for SH^+ is consistent with the interpretation that some of the SH radicals formed by process (1) in the energy range of $41,500\text{--}42,540\text{ cm}^{-1}$ are internally excited. The previous photodissociation study of H_2S by Weiner et al.⁴⁴ indicates that the excited $\text{SH}(^2\Pi_{1/2})$ spin-orbit state, which lies 376.96 cm^{-1} (Ref. 45) above the ground $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_{3/2})$ state, is significantly populated. The population ratios $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_{3/2})/\text{SH}(^2\Pi_{1/2})$ are measured to be 1.48 and 1.18 at the photolysis wavelengths of 222 and 248 nm, respectively. The same study also found that the rotational state distributions of SH formed by process (1) at 193, 222, and 248 nm are nearly identical and can be characterized by a rotational temperature of $\approx 300\text{ K}$.⁴⁴ Although vibrationally excited SH radicals are also produced, it is well known from previous photodissociation experiments that $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi)$ radicals of process (1) at these

Figure 3. N2P-PIE spectrum for SH^+ in the region of $41,500\text{--}42,540\text{ cm}^{-1}$. The SH^+ ion signals $[\text{I}(\text{SH}^+)]$ have been normalized by the cubic power of the laser pulse energy.

photolysis wavelengths are predominantly in the $\nu=0$ vibrational ground state.⁴⁶

Figures 4(a) and 5(a) show the N2P-PFI-PE spectra for SH observed in the regions 83,100-83,800 and 83,800-84,500 cm^{-1} , respectively. The pulsed field of 1.1 V/cm used here corresponds to a Stark shift⁴⁷ of $\approx 3.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for a PFI-PE peak center. This shift has not been corrected for in the figures. The PE signals plotted in the figures have been normalized to the cubic power of the laser energy. We expect that the structures at energies below 84,432 cm^{-1} , the IE for H_2S , result predominantly from the N2P-PFI of SH formed in process (1). Two strong PE peaks at 41,579.7 cm^{-1} and 41,778.1 cm^{-1} [marked ($\blacktriangledown$) in Fig. 4(a)] are identified as the PFI-PE peaks for sulfur atom, corresponding to the ionization transitions $\text{S}^+(\text{}^4\text{S}^{\circ}_{3/2}) \leftarrow \text{S}(3p^4 \text{}^3\text{P}_1)$ and $\text{S}^+(\text{}^4\text{S}^{\circ}_{3/2}) \leftarrow \text{S}(3p^4 \text{}^3\text{P}_2)$, respectively.⁴⁰ The latter peak is found to overlap with PE peaks of SH.

Some features observed close to the IE of H_2S may be due to the hot band ionization of H_2S . Since H_2S^+ and SH^+ are formed by two-photon and three-photon processes, respectively, it is possible to examine the influence of H_2S ionization on the PFI-PE spectrum of SH by measuring the spectrum at different laser pulse energies. Figures 6(a) and 6(b) show the spectra taken at laser pulse energies of 1.2 and 0.7 mJ, respectively. As shown in these figures, the relative intensities for the PE peaks observed in the region of 41,600-42,100 cm^{-1} remain essentially unchanged, whereas the intensities for most of the PE features in the region greater than 42,100 cm^{-1} increase significantly with respect to those for PE peaks in the 41,600-42,100 cm^{-1} region as the laser energy is decreased. At the lower laser energy of 0.7 mJ, the PE band in the region greater than 42,100 cm^{-1} becomes dominant compared to those at lower energies. This observation

Figure 4. (a) N2P-PFI-PE spectrum for $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_{3/2,1/2})$ in the region of 41,550-41,900 cm^{-1} . The PE signals $[I(e^-)]$ have been normalized by the cubic power of the laser pulse energy. The two peaks marked by triangles at 41,579.7 cm^{-1} and 41,778.1 cm^{-1} are identified as the PFI-PE peaks for sulfur atom, corresponding to the ionization transitions $\text{S}^+(^4S^{\circ}_{3/2}) \leftarrow \text{S}(3p^4\ ^3P_1)$ and $\text{S}^+(^4S^{\circ}_{3/2}) \leftarrow \text{S}(3p^4\ ^3P_2)$, respectively.

(b) Simulated PE spectrum for $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_{3/2,1/2})$ in the region of 41,550-41,900 cm^{-1} . The positions of the S_{i2} and T_{i2} ($i = F_1^+, F_2^+$, and F_3^+) rotational branches (dashed lines) are not included in the simulation.

$I(e^-)/[I(h\nu)]^3$ (arb. units)

Figure 5. (a) N2P-PFI-PE spectrum for $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_{3/2,1/2})$ in the region of 41,900-42,250 cm^{-1} . The PE signals $[I(e^-)]$ have been normalized by the cubic power of the laser pulse energy. The PE peaks marked by asterisks are attributable to hot band ionization of H_2S .
 (b) Simulated PE spectra for $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_{3/2,1/2})$ in the region of 41,900-42,250 cm^{-1} . The position for the S_{i1} , T_{i1} , S_{i2} , and T_{i2} [$i = 1$ (F_1^+), 2 (F_2^+), and 3 (F_3^+)] rotational branches are shown by dashed lines. These branches are not included in the simulation.

Figure 6. PFI-PE spectra in the region of 41,520-42,300 cm^{-1} obtained at a laser pulse energy (a) 1.2 mJ and (b) 0.7 mJ. The PE signals $[I(e^-)]$ have not been normalized by the laser pulse energy.

indicates that the prominent features in the region greater than $\approx 42,100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ are attributable to hot band ionization of H_2S .

The neutral $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi)$ ground state can be characterized by the Hund's case (a) coupling scheme.⁴⁵ This is justified because of the large spin-orbit splitting and the relatively low rotational state population (with the maximum rotational level $N'' \approx 10$) for $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi)$ formed in this experiment. The F_1 ($J'' = N'' + 1/2$) and F_2 ($J'' = N'' - 1/2$) levels refer to the $^2\Pi_{3/2}$ and $^2\Pi_{1/2}$ spin-orbit states of SH, respectively. The energy expression for the Hund's case (b) coupling is used to describe the $\text{SH}^+(X^2\Sigma)$ ionic ground state. The ionic rotational level labelled by N^+ represents the core angular momentum excluding electron spin. When the interaction with electron spin is taken into account, each rotational level splits into three spin-rotation fine structure components with the total angular momentum $J^+ = N^+ + 1$ (F_1^+ level), $J^+ = N^+$ (F_2^+ level), and $J^+ = N^+ - 1$ (F_3^+ level).

The π -valence molecular orbitals in the $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_i)$ state are pure $3p_u$ atomic-like species.⁴⁸ The simultaneous absorption of two photons should favor the ejection of a p or an f electron within the sudden approximation. The electron angular momentum ℓ for an odd partial wave (p or f) has negative parity. Most of the peaks observed are assigned to the transitions with the angular momentum transfer $|\Delta J| \leq 7/2$ (see discussion below). Therefore, it is likely that the ionization process involves the emission of a p partial wave photoelectron. Nevertheless, this cannot exclude the possibility for the emission of an f partial wave photoelectron. McKoy and co-workers^{49,50} have shown that the rescattering

of the photoelectron within the ion core is very important and can lead to a significant change in angular momentum of the final continuum channel. The rescattering could alter the primary odd ℓ electron into a partial wave channel of even ℓ .

Figure 7 shows a transition diagram for the N, O, P, Q, R, and S rotational branches from (N'', J'') levels of $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_{3/2})$. Each rotational level for $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_{3/2})$ is split into the positive parity (Π^+) and negative parity (Π^-) components. The average ratio Π^+/Π^- was found to be about one for SH formed at 193, 222, and 248 nm.⁴⁴ Based on this result, we estimated that the Π^+ and Π^- components of SH are produced with equal intensities by process (1) at 234-240 nm in this experiment. The small Λ -doubling cannot be resolved using our experimental energy resolution. The angular momentum selection rules for two-photon ionization of a diatomic molecule limit the allowed transitions to $\Delta J = J^+ - J'' = \ell + 5/2, \dots, -\ell - 5/2$, where ℓ is the angular momentum for the photoelectron. If we assume that photoionization predominantly involves the ejection of an odd (p or f) ℓ partial wave photoelectron, we could associate the transition ending on $N^+ = 0, 2, \dots$ (even) states from the Π^+ state of SH and $N^+ = 1, 3, \dots$ (odd) from the Π^- state of SH.

The rotational branches (N, O, P, Q, R, S, and T) designate the changes in the core angular momentum apart from spin, $\Delta N (= N^+ - N'') = -3, -2, -1, 0, +1, +2$, and $+3$, respectively. The first and second subscripts attached to the rotational branches [see Figs. 4(a) and 5(a)] denote the F^+ (F_1^+, F_2^+ , or F_3^+) levels for $\text{SH}^+(X^3\Sigma)$ and the F (F_1 or F_2) levels for $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_i)$, respectively. For example, the $P_{21}(2)$ line represents the transition originating from the $N'' = 2$ and $J'' = 5/2$ (F_1 level) of the $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_{3/2})$ state and

Figure 7. Schematic diagram for the energy levels and symmetry labels for two-photon ionization transitions from the F_1 ($X^2\Pi_{3/2}$) manifold of SH to the F_1^+ , F_2^+ , and F_3^+ levels of $SH^+(X^3\Sigma)$. Typical transitions for the N, O, P, Q, R, and S branches are shown. The subscript $i = 1$ (F_1^+), 2 (F_2^+), and 3 (F_3^+).

$l=\text{odd}$ $l=\text{even}$

N^+ J^+
 3 3
 4 4
 2 2
 2 2
 3 3
 1 1
 1 1
 2 2
 0 0
 0 0
 1 1

N'' J''
 3 7/2
 2 5/2
 1 3/2

ending on the $N^+ = 1$ and $J^+ = 1$ (F_2^+ level) of the $SH^+(X^3\Sigma^-)$ state.

In order to understand the photoionization dynamics of SH, it is necessary to simulate the relative intensities for PE peaks observed in the spectra of Figs. 4(a) and 5(a). Xie and Zare⁵¹ have derived electric-dipole-allowed photoionization selection rules for the interpretation of rotationally resolved PE spectra for diatomic molecules. However, the theoretical treatment for photoionization out of a valence $^2\Pi$ state [Hund's case (a)] has not yet been worked out in detail. We have attempted to simulate the experimental PE spectrum of SH semi-empirically by adjusting the relative intensities [r_B] for the rotational branches ($B = N, O, P, Q, R, S$, or T). For a given spin-orbit ($^2\Pi_{3/2}$ or $^2\Pi_{1/2}$) manifold, the relative PE peak intensities [$I_{PE}(B, J'')$] are calculated as,

$$I_{PE}(B, J'') = r_B [(2J'' + 1)/Q_{rot}] \exp(-\Delta E_{rot}/kT_r), \quad (3)$$

where ΔE_{rot} is the rotational energy measured with respect to the energy for the lowest J'' level of the spin-orbit states [-170 cm^{-1} for $SH(X^2\Pi_{3/2})$ and $+198 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for $SH(^2\Pi_{1/2})$] and Q_{rot} is the rotational partition function. We assume that the probabilities are identical for the ionization transitions from a specific Π^+ or Π^- state of SH to the F^+ (F_1^+ , F_2^+ , F_3^+) levels of a given N^+ ionic state. The probabilities for transitions from different J'' levels within a rotational branch are also assumed to be uniform. Based on the previous photodissociation study of Weiner et al.,⁴⁴ we have assumed that the rotational distribution for SH formed here by process (1) is characterized by a temperature of 300 K for both of the spin-orbit states. The calculated intensities are combined with a Gaussian line shape

with a full-width-at-half-maximum of 1 cm^{-1} . The rotational, fine structure, and centrifugal constants for SH^+ are taken from Horani et al.⁵² (Ref. 53), and the rotational and centrifugal constants for SH are obtained from Ramsey⁵⁴ (Ref. 55). The transition frequencies calculated based on these constants agree with the experimental PE peak positions to within 2 cm^{-1} . Although the energy resolution is not sufficient to resolve the small Λ -doubling, many of the spin-rotation components for $\text{SH}^+(X^3\Sigma^-)$ are resolved in the spectra shown in Figs. 4(a) and 5(a). In the simulation, we found that the intensities for the S and T branches are small compared to the N, O, P, Q, and R branches. The simulated spectra shown in Figs. 4(b) and 5(b) are obtained using the relative rotational branch intensities, $r_N : r_O : r_P : r_Q : r_R = 0.19 : 0.23 : 0.37 : 0.14 : 0.07$ and $0.32 : 0.36 : 0.11 : 0.16 : 0.05$ for ionization from the $\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_{3/2})$ and $\text{SH}(^2\Pi_{1/2})$ states, respectively. The simulated spectra reproduce roughly the general profile of relative experimental peak intensities. The differences in detail between the simulated and experimental spectra can be attributed partly to local autoionization mechanisms. For example, the relative intensities of the two peaks observed at $84,057.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [$P_1(1)$] and $83,660.3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [$O_{12}(2)$] are significantly stronger than those predicted by the simulated spectra. The strong intensities observed for the $P_1(1)$ and $O_{12}(2)$ lines may be due to near resonance autoionization processes. Furthermore, the characterization of the rotational distribution of SH produced by process (1) using an equilibrium rotational temperature is an crude assumption. The deviation of the actual rotational distribution of SH from the assumed equilibrium distribution will also limit the ability of Eq. (3) to reproduce the experimental PE spectrum of SH. We note that a PE peak at $41,770.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [Fig. 4(a)] coincides with

the expected $M_{12}(4)$ transition. Since no other structures can definitely be correlated with the M branch transitions, the existence of the M branch must be considered as uncertain.

Although the contributions for the S_{i2} and T_{i2} ($i = 1-3$) rotational branches are neglected in the simulation of the spectrum in the energy region lower than $42,100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, we have marked (dashed lines) the positions of these branches in Figs. 4(b) and 5(b) to illustrate the lack of correlations of the predicted and experimental PE peaks. The predicted positions of the S_{i1} and T_{i1} ($i = 1-3$) branches fall in the region greater than $42,080 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and are also marked (dashed lines) in Figs. 5(b). As pointed out above, the intensities of the major features [marked in asterisks in Fig. 5(a)], observed in this region increases significantly relative to PE peaks at lower energies as the laser pulsed energy is decreased, supporting the conclusion that these features are attributable to hot band ionization of H_2S . Due to the interference of these prominent PE features of H_2S , the analysis of the remaining minor PE features are difficult. It is possible that some of these weak PE structures are attributable to the S_{i1} and T_{i1} ($i = 1-3$) rotational branches. However, by comparing the intensities of PE peaks in this region and those in lower energies, we conclude that the S_{i1} and T_{i1} ($i = 1-3$) branches are weak with intensities lower than that of the R_{i1} ($i = 1-3$) branch. For this reason, we have not included the S_{i1} and T_{i1} ($i = 1-3$) branches in the simulation.

Based on the simulation shown in Figs. 4(b) and 5(b), we conclude that the intensities for the rotational branches with $\Delta N \leq 0$ are significantly stronger than those with $\Delta N > 0$. The rotational angular momentum transfer ΔN up to -3 is observed. Similar observations of enhanced intensities for $\Delta N \leq 0$ rotational branches have been

reported in the studies of NO (Refs. 11-13), OH (OD) (Ref. 14), N₂O (Ref. 16), and HCl (Refs. 15, 56). This phenomenon is rationalized by electric-field-induced rotational autoionization processes.¹⁴ This propensity can be accounted for by the interaction of the high-*n*-Rydberg ($n > 150$) states close to a rotational ionization limit of the ion with the lower-*n*-Rydberg ($20 < n < 80$) states converging to a higher rotational ionization limit.¹⁴ It is plausible that the application of the pulsed electric field ionizes the high-*n*-Rydberg molecules and simultaneously allows the isoenergetic low-*n*-Rydberg states to autoionize. Since the rotational spacing is smaller for lower N^+ levels than for higher N^+ levels, the density of available isoenergetic lower-*n* Rydberg states is greater for field-induced autoionization into lower N^+ levels. Furthermore, the optical excitation to lower-*n* Rydberg states converging to higher N^+ levels is limited by the relatively cold rotational distribution of SH. Because of the greater number of optically accessible lower-*n* Rydberg state converging to lower N^+ levels, field-induced rotational autoionization favors the $\Delta N \leq 0$ rotational branches.

Another possible mechanism involves a heterogeneous perturbation of the Rydberg state.⁵⁷ It is generally found that in heterogeneous interaction, the P branches for transitions to high-*n*-Rydberg states are enhanced and the corresponding R branches are weakened, while the opposite holds for transitions to the lower-*n*-Rydberg states. Since electrons are excited to the high-*n*-Rydberg states before the application of field ionization, the perturbation by the Rydberg state may favor the transition amplitude for the branches with $\Delta N \leq 0$ relative to those with $\Delta N > 0$.

After taking into account the Stark shift correction, the ionization energy IE for

$\text{SH}(X^2\Pi_{3/2})$ is $84,057.5 \pm 3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($10.4219 \pm 0.0004 \text{ eV}$), as determined by the lowest possible ionization transition, i.e., the $P_1(1)$ line. This value favorably compares to a value of $10.43 \pm 0.03 \text{ eV}$ obtained from the extrapolation of a short Rydberg series.⁴³

Since the sampling of SH by PFI takes place in a collision free environment, knowing the photoionization oscillator strengths would have allowed the estimation of the nascent SH product state distribution. Although the oscillator strengths for photoionization transitions of interest to the present experiment are unknown, preventing a detailed simulation of the PE spectrum for SH, we may conclude from the semi-empirical analysis that SH radicals are produced in both the $X^2\Pi_{3/2}$ and $^2\Pi_{1/2}$ states by process (1), and that the rotational distributions of SH in these two spin-orbit states can be characterized by a rotational temperature of $\approx 300 \text{ K}$, in accordance with previous observations.

CONCLUSION

Using the N2P-PFI scheme, we have obtained the threshold PE spectra for SH at high resolution. The assignment of the rotationally-resolved N2P-PFI-PE spectrum allows highly accurate determination of the IE for SH. A propensity favoring the rotational branches with $\Delta N \leq 0$ is observed for SH. Pulsed field ionization PE detection can be a sensitive and quantitative means for probing the nascent state distribution of photoproducts when the oscillator strengths for photoionization are known. We hope that this study will stimulate a detailed theoretical interpretation of the observed PE spectrum for SH.

REFERENCES

- (1) J. W. Rabalais, "Principles of Ultraviolet Photoelectron Spectroscopy" (Wiley, New York, 1977).
- (2) G Reiser, W. Habenicht, K. Müller-Dethlefs, and E. W. Schlag, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 152, 119 (1988).
- (3) K. Müller-Dethlefs, M. Sander, and E. W. Schlag, *Z. Naturforsch. A* 39, 1089 (1984).
- (4) K. Müller-Dethlefs and E. W. Schlag, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 42, 109 (1991).
- (5) R. G. Tonkyn, J. W. Winniczek, and M. G. White, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 164, 137 (1989).
- (6) M. Braunstein, V. McKoy, S. N. Dixit, R. G. Tonkyn, and M. G. White, *J. Chem. Phys.* 93, 5345 (1990).
- (7) W. Kong, D. Rogers, and J. W. Hepburn, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 203, 497 (1993).
- (8) W. Kong, D. Rogers, J. W. Hepburn, K. Wang, and V. McKoy, *J. Chem. Phys.* 99, 3159 (1993).
- (9) R. T. Wiedmann, M. G. White, K. Wang, and V. Mckoy, *J. Chem. Phys.* 98, 7673 (1993).
- (10) K. Müller-Dethlefs, M. Sander, and E. W. Schlag, *Chem Phys. Lett.* 112, 291 (1984).
- (11) G. Reiser and K. Müller-Dethlefs, *J. Phys. Chem.* 96, 9 (1992).
- (12) M. Sander, L. A. Chewter, K. Müller-Dethlefs, and E. W. Schlag, *Phys. Rev. A*

- 36, 4543 (1987).
- (13) A. Stribel, I. Fischer, J. Staecker, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, K. Müller-Dethlefs and C. E. Bondybey, *J. Chem. Phys.* 97, 2332 (1992).
- (14) R. T. Wiedmann, R. G. Tonkyn, M. G. White, K. Wang, and V. McKoy, *J. Chem. Phys.* 97, 768 (1992).
- (15) R. G. Tonkyn, R. T. Wiedmann, and M. G. White, *J. Chem. Phys.* 96, 3696 (1992).
- (16) R. T. Wiedmann, E. R. Grant, R. G. Tonkyn, and M. G. White, *J. Chem. Phys.* 95, 746 (1991).
- (17) R. G. Tonkyn, R. Wiedemann, E. R. Grant, and M. G. White, *J. Chem. Phys.* 95, 7033 (1991).
- (18) M.-T. Lee, K. Wang, V. McKoy, R. G. Tonykn, R. T. Wiedmann, E. R. Grant, and M. G. White, *J. Chem. Phys.* 96, 7848 (1992).
- (19) R. T. Wiedmann and M. G. White, "Optical Methods for Time- and State-Resolved Chemistry", C. Y. Ng, Ed., *SPIE Proc.* 1638 (1992), p. 273.
- (20) I. Fischer, A. Lochschmidt, A. Strobel, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, K. Müller-Dethlefs, and V. E. Bondybey, *J. Chem. Phys.* 98, 3592 (1993).
- (21) J. A. Blush, P. Chen, R. T. Wiedman, and M. G. White, *J. Chem. Phys.* 98, 3557 (1993).
- (22) I. Fischer, A. Lochschmidt, A. Strobel, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, K. Müller-Dethlefe, and V. E. Bondybey, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 202, 542 (1993).
- (23) S. T. Pratt, P. M. Dehmer, and J. L. Dehmer, *J. Chem. Phys.* 99, 6233 (1993).

- (24) A. Strobel, A. Lochschmidt, I. Fisher, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, and V. E. Bondybey, *J. Chem. Phys.* 99, 733 (1993).
- (25) W. Habenicht, G. Reiser, and K. Müller-Dethlefs, *J. Chem. Phys.* 95, 4809 (1991).
- (26) G. Reiser, W. Habenicht, and K. Müller-Dethlefs, *J. Chem. Phys.* 98, 8462 (1993).
- (27) L. A. Chewter, M. Sander, K. Müller-Dethlefs, and E. W. Schlag, *J. Chem. Phys.* 86, 4737 (1987).
- (28) G. C. Eiden, F. Weinhold, and J. C. Weisshaar, *J. Chem. Phys.* 95, 8665 (1991).
- (29) I. Fischer, A. Strobel, J. Staecher, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, K. Müller-Dethlefs, and V. E. Bondybey, *J. Chem. Phys.* 96, 7171 (1992).
- (30) K. Norwood, S. Nourbakhsh, G.-Z. He, and C. Y. Ng, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 184, 147 (1991).
- (31) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, G.-Z. He, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Am. Chem. Phys.* 113, 6311 (1991).
- (32) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, H.-M. Yin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 95, 946 (1991).
- (33) S. Nourbakhsh, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 92, 6587-93 (1990).
- (34) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, H.-M. Yin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 95, 5014-5023 (1991).
- (35) Z.-X. Ma, C.-L. Liao, H.-M. Yin, C. Y. Ng, S.-W. Chiu, I. Ma, and W.-K. Li, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 213, 250 (1993).

- (36) W.-K. Li, S.-W. Chiu, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 99, 8440 (1993).
- (37) N. L. Ma, W.-K. Li, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 99, 6470 (1993).
- (38) C.-W. Hsu, C.-L. Liao, Z.-X. Ma, P. J. H. Tjossem, and C. Y. Ng, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 199, 78 (1992).
- (39) C. W. Hsu, C.-L. Liao, Z.-X. Ma, P.J. H. Tjossem, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 97, 6283 (1992).
- (40) C. E. Moore, "Atomic Energy Levels", *Natl. Bur. Stand. (U.S.)* Circ. No. 467 (U.S. GPO, Washington, D.C. 1949), Vol. I.
- (41) The N2P-PFI-PE signal for SH is found to be maximized at a delayed time of 650 μ s. We found that the N2P-PFI-PE spectrum for H₂S obtained at a delay of 450 μ s has the highest resolution and corresponds to the lowest H₂S rotational temperature.
- (42) J. Berkowitz, private communication.
- (43) B. A. Morrow, *J. Phys.* 44, 2447 (1966).
- (44) B. R. Weiner, H. B. Levene, J. J. Valentini, and A. P. Baronavski, *J. Chem. Phys.* 90, 1403 (1989).
- (45) G. Herzberg, "Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure, Vol.1: Spectra of Diatomic Molecules" (van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1950).
- (46) R. E. Continetti, B. A. Balko, and Y. T. Lee, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 182, 400 (1991); and references therein.
- (47) W. A. Chupka, *J. Chem. Phys.* 98, 4520 (1993).
- (48) P. J. Bruna and G. Hirsch, *Mol. Phys.* 61, 1359 (1987).

- (49) H. Rudolph, V. McKoy, and S. N. Dixit, *J. Chem. Phys.* 90, 2570 (1989).
- (50) K. Wang and V. McKoy, *J. Chem. Phys.* 95, 8718 (1991).
- (51) J.-C. Xie and R. N. Zare, *J. Chem. Phys.* 93, 3033 (1990).
- (52) M. Horani, S. Leach, and J. Rostas, *J. Mol. Spect.* 23, 115 (1967).
- (53) The rotational constant $B = 9.134 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, the spin splitting constants $\lambda = 5.71 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\gamma = -0.165 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and the centrifugal constant $D = 0.000489 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The energies for the F^+ levels are: $F_1^+(N^+) = BN^+(N^+ + 1) + (2N^+ + 3)B - \lambda - [(2N^+ + 3)^2B^2 + \lambda^2 - 2\lambda B]^{1/2} + \gamma(N^+ + 1) - D[N^+(N^+ + 1)]^2$; $F_2^+(N^+) = BN^+(N^+ + 1) - D[N^+(N^+ + 1)]^2$; and $F_3^+(N^+) = BN^+(N^+ + 1) - (2N^+ - 1)B - \lambda + [(2N^+ - 1)^2B^2 + \lambda^2 - 2\lambda B]^{1/2} - \gamma N^+ - D[N^+(N^+ + 1)]^2$. See Ref. 45.
- (54) D. A. Ramsey, *J. Chem. Phys.* 20, 1920 (1952).
- (55) The rotational constant $B = 9.461 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and the centrifugal constant $D = 0.00048 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The energies for F (F_1 and F_2) levels are: $F_1(J'') = B\{(J'' + 1/2)^2 - \Lambda^2 - (1/2)[4(J'' + 1/2)^2 + Y(Y - 4)\Lambda^2]^{1/2}\} - DJ''^4$ and $F_2(J'') = B\{(J'' + 1/2)^2 - \Lambda^2 + (1/2)[4(J'' + 1/2)^2 + Y(Y - 4)\Lambda^2]^{1/2}\} - D(J'' + 1)^4$. Here, $\Lambda = 1$ for a Π state and $Y = A_o/B$, where A_o (-376.96 cm^{-1}) is the spin-orbit splitting. See Ref. 45.
- (56) K. S. Haber, Y. Jiang, G. P. Bryant, E. R. Grant, and H. Lefebvre-Brion, *Phys. Rev. A* 44, 5331 (1991).
- (57) C. Jungen, *J. Chem. Phys.* 53, 4168 (1970).

PAPER 5.

**NONRESONANT TWO-PHOTON PULSED FIELD IONIZATION OF CH_3S
FORMED IN PHOTODISSOCIATION OF CH_3SH AND CH_3SSCH_3**

ABSTRACT

Threshold photoelectron (PE) spectra for CH_3S formed in the photodissociation of CH_3SH and CH_3SSCH_3 in the photon energy range of 36,850-38,150 cm^{-1} have been measured using the nonresonant two-photon pulsed field ionization (N2P-PFI) technique. Both spin-orbit states $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2})$ are observed from CH_3SH and CH_3SSCH_3 in this photodissociation energy range. However, negligible intensities of vibrationally excited CH_3S radicals are produced from CH_3SH . In the case of CH_3S from CH_3SSCH_3 , the population ratio $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\nu_3 = 1)/\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\nu_3 = 0)$ is estimated to be ≈ 0.18 . The simulation of the N2P-PFI-PE spectra reveals that the rotational temperature for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ formed by photodissociation of CH_3SH is ≈ 200 -250 K and the branching ratio $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2})/\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ is 0.5 ± 0.1 . For $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ produced from CH_3SSCH_3 , the rotational temperature for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ is ≈ 800 -900 K and the branching ratio $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2})/\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ is 1.1 ± 0.2 . This experiment demonstrates that the PFI-PE spectroscopic method can be a sensitive probe for nascent rovibronic state distributions of photoproducts. Furthermore, the simulation also shows that the photoionization dynamics of CH_3S may involve rotational angular momentum changes up to ± 4 . The ionization energy and C-S stretching frequency for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2)$ are determined to be $74,726 \pm 8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($9.2649 \pm 0.0010 \text{ eV}$) and $733 \pm 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively. The spin-orbit splitting for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ is $257 \pm 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, in agreement with the literature values.

INTRODUCTION

Photoelectron (PE) spectroscopy performed at a sufficiently high resolution provides quantitative information of the rovibronic populations of the molecular species under investigation. With the recent development of the pulsed field ionization (PFI) technique,¹ a version of the zero-kinetic-energy (ZEKE) PE technique,^{2,3} the attainable resolution of PE spectroscopy has approached that of optical spectroscopy. The possibility of PFI-PE as an alternative for the laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) technique to probe nascent product rovibronic state distributions has become a reality.⁴

In a series of recent investigations,⁵⁻⁹ Bondybey and co-workers have demonstrated that PFI-PE spectra for molecular species can be obtained with good sensitivity by using the one-color nonresonant two-photon (N2P) excitation. In many cases, the N2P-PFI-PE spectra are surprisingly similar to those acquired by the single vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) photon ionization scheme.⁶ The N2P-PFI scheme is very attractive because of its high sensitivity and the availability of commercial pulsed dye lasers with an output range of 200-400 nm, as required for the ionization of most polyatomic species at their thresholds.

We have recently succeeded in employing the N2P-PFI-PE technique for studying SH radicals produced in the photodissociation of H₂S.⁴ The rotationally-resolved N2P-PFI-PE spectrum for SH provides estimates for the nascent rotational population of SH and the branching ratio for SH($X^2\Pi_{3/2}$)/SH($^2\Pi_{1/2}$). Highly accurate ionization energies (IE) for SH($X^2\Pi_{3/2,1/2}$) have been determined by simulation of the N2P-PFI-PE spectrum of SH. We have extended the one-color N2P-PFI-PE technique to include the investigation of

polyatomic radicals.¹⁰

Here we present the results and analysis of the N2P-PFI-PE spectra of CH₃S formed in the photodissociation of CH₃SH [process (1)] and CH₃SSCH₃ [process (2)]. In this experiment, a one-color three-photon process is involved. That is, the CH₃S radicals are first prepared by the single-photon dissociation process in the wavelength range of 262-271 nm.

The subsequent ionization of CH₃S is accomplished by N2P excitations of CH₃S to high-*n* Rydberg states [CH₃S^{*}(*n*)], followed by PFI of CH₃S^{*}(*n*).

The two additional photons absorbed by CH₃S in process (3) are furnished by the same laser pulse which induced the dissociation of CH₃SH in process (1) or CH₃SSCH₃ in process (2). In addition to providing information on the spin-orbit and rovibronic state distributions of processes (1) and (2), the simulation of the observed N2P-PFI-PE spectra of CH₃S($\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2}$) obtained in this experiment also yields a highly accurate value for the IE of CH₃S($\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2}$) and the rotational constants for CH₃S⁺($\tilde{X}^3A_2$).

The photodissociation of CH_3SH and CH_3SSCH_3 at higher photon energies (193 and 248 nm) have been the subject of several recent experimental¹¹⁻¹⁵ and theoretical¹⁶⁻²⁰ investigations. For CH_3SH , the experiments indicate that process (1) is favored at lower photon energies, whereas the cleavage of the $\text{H}_3\text{C-SH}$ bond becomes more important at shorter wavelengths. The role of conical intersections in the photodissociation of CH_3SH has been the subject of a recent theoretical study by Yarkony.²⁰ The studies of CH_3SSCH_3 indicate that CH_3S is the predominant product at 248 nm,¹⁵ whereas both CH_3SS and CH_3S are produced at 193nm, with CH_3SS being the predominant photoproduct.^{12,15} Since the photodissociation wavelength range of interest here is 262-271 nm, processes (1) and (2) are expected to be the predominant channels for the respective photodissociations of CH_3SH and CH_3SSCH_3 .

Two recent VUV photoionization mass spectrometric studies of CH_3S have been reported.^{21,22} The IE values for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ determined in this study are significantly more accurate than those obtained in the previous VUV photoionization mass spectrometric experiments. The present N2P-PFI-PE study also provides an accurate measure for the C-S stretching (ν_3^+) vibrational frequency for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2)$.

EXPERIMENTAL

The experimental apparatus used in this study has been described in detail.⁴ Briefly, it is modified from the laser ionization time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer used in previous photodissociation studies.^{23,24} A two-stage microchannel plate detector and a set of simple aperture lenses have been added for PE detection below the photoionization region and opposite to the ion TOF tube.

For this experiment, CH_3SH (99.5 % pure) and CH_3SSCH_3 (99 % pure) are obtained from Aldrich and are used without further purification. The CH_3SH (8 %) or CH_3SSCH_3 (vapor pressure ≈ 40 Torr at 298 K) sample is seeded in Ar carrier gas to a total stagnation pressure of ≈ 2.5 bar or ≈ 1.3 bar, respectively. The gas mixture is introduced into the photoionization region by supersonic expansion through a pulsed valve with a nozzle diameter of 0.5 mm. The molecular beam is skimmed by a conical skimmer (1 mm in diameter, 3.8 cm from the nozzle) before intersecting with a tunable laser beam (90° , 8.3 cm down stream from the skimmer). Both the pulsed valve and the dye laser are operated at a repetition rate of 13 Hz.

The molecular beam source chamber is pumped by a freon-trapped, 6 in. diffusion pump (pumping speed $\approx 2,000$ ℓ/s), while the photoionization chamber and the ion-TOF tube are evacuated by two 50 ℓ/s turbomolecular pumps. During the experiment, the beam source chamber and the photoionization chamber are maintained at pressures of about 1×10^{-4} and 2×10^{-6} Torr, respectively.

The second harmonic output of an excimer (Lambda Physik EMG 201 MSC)

pumped-dye laser (Lambda Physik FL 3002) is focused into the photoionization region by a 200 mm focal length fused-silica lens. Coumarin 153 dye is used to produce the fundamental output in the 524-542 nm region. Typical laser pulse energies used in the second harmonic output range of 262-271 nm are 1.2 mJ, as monitored with a pyroelectric detector. The wavelength calibration uses the known resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization spectrum of atomic sulfur,²⁵ which is produced by the multiphoton laser photodissociation of CH_3SH and CH_3SSCH_3 .

Ion detection using the ion TOF mass spectrometer has been described in detail previously.^{23,24} Here, a constant electric field of 280 V/cm is used to extract the ions formed in the photoionization region. Since the N2P ionization processes compete with many multiphoton ionization and fragmentation channels, it is necessary to optimize the CH_3S^+ ion signal by varying the dye laser power.

The ZEKE/PFI detection scheme relies on delayed PFI of long-lived high- n Rydberg states populated by laser excitation at a few wavenumbers below the ionization threshold. In this experiment, the firing of the photodissociation and excitation laser is delayed by 750 μs with respect to the triggering pulse for opening the pulsed valve. A 1 μs pulsed field of 3.1 V/cm is applied to the repeller plate 3 μs after firing the dye laser. The pulsed field field-ionizes the molecular species in high- n Rydberg states as well as extracts the electrons thus formed to the microchannel plate electron detector. The firing sequence of the pulsed valve, dye laser, and pulsed electric field is controlled by two digital delay units (Stanford Research DG535). The electron signal from the electron detector and the laser energy signal from the pyroelectric detector are fed into two

identical boxcar integrators (Stanford Research SR250), which are interfaced to an IBM/AT computer. The electron and laser energy signals are averaged for 30 shots at each laser wavelength.

The bandwidth of the dye laser is 0.2 cm^{-1} for the fundamental and $\approx 0.4\text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the second harmonic outputs. For a two-photon ionization process, the resolution due to the ionization laser is expected to be $\approx 0.8\text{ cm}^{-1}$. We have reproduced the N2P-PFI-PE spectrum for H_2S reported previously.^{4,6} This spectrum suggests that the PE energy resolution achieved in this experiment is similar to that obtained by Bondybey and co-workers⁶ using the same value of ionization pulsed field.

RESULTS

A. CH_3S from CH_3SH

Figure 1(a) shows the N2P-PFI-PE spectrum in the region of 36,850-38,060 cm^{-1} for nascent $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ radicals formed by process (1). This spectrum is the average of four independent and reproducible scans. The pulsed field of 3.1 V/cm used in this measurement corresponds to a Stark shift of 5.3 cm^{-1} . We note that PE signals at photon energies $> 37,810 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ are mostly due to the ionization of CH_3SH , the IE of which is 76,302 cm^{-1} ($2 \times 38,151 \text{ cm}^{-1}$).¹⁰ The N2P ion spectrum for CH_3S measured in the region of 36,900-37,460 cm^{-1} is depicted in Fig. 1(b) for comparison with the PFI-PE spectrum. Since the ionization of CH_3S from CH_3SH is the result of a three photon process, the PE and CH_3S^+ ion signals of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) have been normalized by the cube of the laser pulse energy.

B. CH_3S from CH_3SSCH_3

The N2P-PFI-PE spectrum in the region of 36,850-38,150 cm^{-1} for CH_3S formed by the photodissociation of CH_3SSCH_3 [process (2)] is shown in Fig 2(a). This PFI-PE spectrum of CH_3S is the average of three independent and reproducible scans. The corresponding N2P ion spectrum in the region of 36,900-37,520 cm^{-1} for CH_3S is depicted in Fig. 2(b). Similar to the spectra shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), the PE and CH_3S^+ ion signals of Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) have been normalized by the cube of the laser pulse energy.

Figure 1 (a) The N2P-PFI-PE spectrum in the region of 36,850-38,150 cm^{-1} for nascent $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ radicals formed by process (1). This spectrum is the average of four independent scans. A pulsed field of 3.1 V/cm was used in this measurement. The PE signals $[I(e^-)]$ have been normalized with the cube of the laser pulse energy. Note that the PFI-PE signals at photon energies $> 37,810 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ are due to ionization of CH_3SH . The dip marked by $\blacktriangledown$ is caused by the resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization of S atoms. See the text.

(b) The N2P ion spectrum for CH_3S^+ from CH_3SH in the region of 36,900-37,460 cm^{-1} . The CH_3S^+ ion signals have been normalized with the cube of the laser pulse energy.

Figure 2 (a) The N2P-PFI-PE spectrum in the region of 36,850-38,150 cm^{-1} for nascent $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ radicals formed by process (2). This spectrum is the average of three independent scans. A pulsed field of 3.1 V/cm was used in the measurement. The PE signals $[\text{I}(\text{e}^-)]$ have been normalized with the cube of the laser pulse energy. The hot PE band attributable to the ionization of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}, \nu_3=1)$ is marked by an arrow.

(b) The N2P ion spectrum for CH_3S^+ from CH_3SSCH_3 in the region of 36,900-37,520 cm^{-1} . The CH_3S^+ ion signals have been normalized with the cube of the laser pulse energy. The dips marked by $\blacktriangledown$ in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) are caused by the resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization of S atoms.

DISCUSSION

Two resolved peaks centered at 74,459 and 74,716 cm^{-1} (2x37,229.5 and 2x37,358 cm^{-1}) are observed in the PFI-PE spectrum of Fig. 1(a). These structures correlate well with the two step-like features observed in the N2P CH_3S^+ ion spectrum shown in Fig. 1(b). The spacing between these two peaks is $257 \pm 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, which is in excellent agreement with the spin-orbit splitting of 255.5 and 259.1 cm^{-1} determined in previous laser induced fluorescence studies.^{26,27} Based on this observation, we assign the peaks centered at 74,459 and 74,716 cm^{-1} to ionization transitions, $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2, \nu_3^+ = 0)$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2, \nu_3^+ = 0)$, respectively. The widths for these PE bands are similar and have a value of $\approx 50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM). The profiles of these PE bands are mostly determined by the rotational populations of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$. The rotational populations of the precursor CH_3SH molecules may also have a finite contribution to the observed widths of these PE bands.

Two weaker PE bands observed at 75,189 and 75,446 cm^{-1} (2x37,594.5 and 2x37,723 cm^{-1}), have structures and relative intensities similar to the two strong PE bands at 74,459 and 74,716 cm^{-1} . The *ab initio* calculations¹⁶ indicate that the equilibrium geometries for CH_3S and CH_3S^+ are similar. A noticeable difference is that the C-S bond distance in CH_3S^+ is shorter than that in CH_3S by 0.04 Å, suggesting that the ν_3^+ mode of CH_3S^+ may be excited in the ionization transition. For this reason, we assign the PE bands at 75,189 and 75,446 cm^{-1} to ionization transitions, $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2, \nu_3^+ = 1)$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2, \nu_3^+ = 1)$, respectively.

The bond dissociation energy for $\text{CH}_3\text{S-H}$ is in the range of 86-90 kcal/mol^{1, 12, 14, 22}. Thus, for a photodissociation energy of 37,300 cm⁻¹ (107 kcal/mol), the available energy (E_{av}) for process (1) is ≈ 19 kcal/mol. Energetically, it is possible that the formation of CH_3S from CH_3SH results in the excited ν_3 mode of CH_3S , the vibrational quantum of which is 727 ± 3 cm⁻¹.²⁷ As shown in the PFI-PE and N2P ion spectra of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), negligible signals are found below 37,160 cm⁻¹, indicating that the formation of vibrationally excited CH_3S radicals is not important in this energy range. At higher photodissociation energies, previous experiments show that the formation of CH_3S in excited vibrational states by process (1) is possible.¹²⁻¹⁴ The photodissociation study of CH_3SH at 248 nm (40,323 cm⁻¹) indicates that CH_3S radicals may be produced in vibrationally excited states with a C-S stretching vibrational progression that extends to $\nu_3 = 2$, while ν_3 levels up to 17 are observed at 193 nm (51,813 cm⁻¹).¹⁴

Four PFI-PE bands in positions similar to those resolved in Fig. 1(a) are observed in the N2P-PFI-PE spectrum for CH_3S from CH_3SSCH_3 [Fig. 2(a)]. It is thus logical to conclude that $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ radicals are also produced by process (2), and that their ionization produces $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^2A_2, \nu_3^+ = 0, 1)$. The broader PE bands observed for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ from CH_3SSCH_3 are consistent with the conclusion that $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ radicals formed in process (2) are rotationally hotter than those produced in process (1). The CH_3S radicals produced from CH_3SSCH_3 are expected to be internally hotter than those produced from CH_3SH , partly because of the weaker S-S bond energy. That is, at the same photodissociation energy, process (2) is more exothermic than process (1). As described below, the simple impulsive dissociation model predicts that the CH_3S fragments

from CH_3SSCH_3 are rotationally excited to a greater extent than those from CH_3SH .

A careful examination of the PFI-PE spectrum of CH_3S from CH_3SSCH_3 reveals a small PE band at $73,987\text{ cm}^{-1}$ [marked by an arrow in Fig. 2(a)], which is about 729 cm^{-1} below the main PE bands observed at $74,716\text{ cm}^{-1}$. Since the spacing between these bands is comparable to the literature value²⁷ of $727 \pm 3\text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the ν_3 mode of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$, we assign the small peak at $73,987$ to be a hot PE band due to ionization of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2}, \nu_3=1)$. Comparing the intensities of these bands, we estimate that the population ratio $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2}, \nu_3=1)/\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2}, \nu_3=0)$ is about 0.18.

The more gradual rise at the ionization threshold of the CH_3S^+ ion spectrum of Fig. 2(b) compared to that depicted in Fig. 1(b) is also consistent with the higher rotational temperature (T_r)²⁸ for CH_3S and the formation of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}, \nu_3=1)$ from process (2). Because of the higher T_r for CH_3S from CH_3SSCH_3 , the step-like feature corresponding to the onset for the ionization transition $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{1/2}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2)$, which is resolved in the spectrum of Fig. 1(b), is not discernible in Fig. 2(b).

In order to gain insight into the photoionization dynamics, and to determine the IE and spectroscopic constants for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2)$, it is necessary to simulate the observed N2P-PFI-PE spectra for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$. Since the equilibrium geometry for CH_3S in its ground state is predicted to be distorted only slightly from the C_{3v} symmetry by the Jahn-Teller effect,¹⁶ we assume C_{3v} structures for both $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2)$. That is, the rotational spectrum for CH_3S is treated as a symmetric top case in the simulation. The nuclear spin statistical weights for the a and e rovibronic levels of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ are 2 and 1, respectively.²⁹ Rotational branches labelled as $B = M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, T$, and

U corresponding to rotational angular momentum changes of $\Delta N = N^+ - N'' = -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$, and 4 , respectively, for $\Delta K = \pm 1$; and P , Q , and R corresponding to $\Delta N = -1, 0$, and 1 , respectively, for $\Delta K = \pm 2$, are assumed to be the probable ionization transitions. The transition probabilities for all these branches are assumed to be equal. The probabilities for transitions from different J'' levels within each branch are also assumed to be identical. For a given spin-orbit manifold (${}^2E_{3/2}$ or ${}^2E_{1/2}$), we assume that the relative PE peak intensities $[I(B, J'')]$ are calculated as

$$I(B, J'') = [(2J'' + 1)/Q_{\text{rot}}] \exp(-\Delta E_{\text{rot}}/kT_r), \quad (4)$$

where ΔE_{rot} is the rotational energy measured with respect to the lowest J'' level of the spin-orbit states [-127.15 cm^{-1} for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ and $+127.86 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}({}^2E_{1/2})$] and Q_{rot} is the rotational partition function.

The energy expressions used are from Endo et al.³⁰ and ignore the Jahn-Teller effect. Taking into account the laser line width and the PFI effect on the width, the calculated line intensities are combined using a Gaussian lineshape with a FWHM of 4 cm^{-1} . Pertinent parameters used in the simulation of the spectra of Figs. 1(a) and 2(a) are summarized in Table I. The uncertainties for these parameters are determined by careful comparisons of the experimental and simulated PE spectra. When a given parameter used is within the range of the indicated uncertainties, the resulting simulated spectrum is indistinguishable from the best fit to the experimental spectrum.

The rotational constants, $A = 5.68 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $B = 0.45 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, for CH_3S in its ground

Table I Parameters for CH_3S and CH_3S^+ used in the simulation of the N2P-PFI-PE spectra of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ formed in the photodissociation of CH_3SH and CH_3SSCH_3 .^a

	$\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$		${}^2E_{1/2}/{}^2E_{3/2}$	$T_r({}^2E_{3/2})(\text{K})$	$T_r({}^2E_{1/2})(\text{K})$	$\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2)$	
	$A(\text{cm}^{-1})^b$	$B(\text{cm}^{-1})^b$				$A^+(\text{cm}^{-1})$	$B^+(\text{cm}^{-1})$
CH_3SH	5.68	0.45	0.5 ± 0.1	200 ± 100	250 ± 100	5.578 ± 0.10^c 5.547 ± 0.10^d	0.478 ± 0.005^c 0.470 ± 0.010^d
CH_3SSCH_3	5.68	0.45	1.1 ± 0.2	800 ± 200	900 ± 200	5.578 ± 0.10^c 5.547 ± 0.10^d	0.478 ± 0.005^c 0.470 ± 0.010^d

- a) Other parameters used include $\text{IE}[\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})] = 74,726 \pm 8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($9.2649 \pm 0.0010 \text{ eV}$), the spin-orbit splitting for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2}) = 255.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Ref. 26), and the relative Franck-Condon factors for ionization transitions, $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}, \nu_3=0) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}, \nu_3^+=0) : \text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}, \nu_3=0) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}, \nu_3^+=1) = 1.00 : 0.46$.
- b) Values determined in a LIF study (Ref. 26).
- c) Values for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2, \nu_3^+ = 0)$.
- d) Values for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2, \nu_3^+ = 1)$.

state are obtained from Miller and co-workers.²⁶ The rotational constants A^+ and B^+ for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2)$ are unknown. Based on the *ab initio* geometries for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X})$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X})$ obtained by the second order Møller Plesset (MP2) perturbation calculations using the 6-31G(d) basis set,¹⁶ values for the rotational constants of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X})$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X})$ are found to be ($A = 5.305 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $B = 0.445 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and ($A^+ = 5.181 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $B^+ = 0.466 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), respectively. Since the experimental and theoretical values for the rotational constants A and B are very close, we assume that the theoretical predictions for A^+ and B^+ have uncertainties similar to those observed experimentally. We find that the best fit for the PE bands centered at 74,459 and 74,716 cm^{-1} is observed for rotational constants $A^+ = 5.55 \pm 0.20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $B^+ = 0.478 \pm 0.005 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2, \nu_3^+=0)$, which are obtained essentially by scaling the theoretical A^+ and B^+ values by factors of 1.071 and 1.026, respectively. Note that the latter factors are comparable to the ratios of 1.071 and 1.011 observed for the experimental to theoretical values of A and B , respectively. For $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2, \nu_3^+=1)$, the rotational constants used are $A^+ = 5.55 \pm 0.20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $B^+ = 0.470 \pm 0.010 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The widths of the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ and $\text{CH}_3(^2E_{1/2})$ PE bands resolved in Fig. 1(a) are found to be well-characterized by $T_r = 200 \pm 100$ and $250 \pm 100 \text{ K}$, respectively.

A population ratio of 0.5 ± 0.1 for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2})/\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ yields the best fit for the PFI-PE spectrum of Fig. 1(a). The absorption cross section for CH_3SH at around 265 nm (i.e., the photon energies of interest here) is unstructured and nearly constant. As pointed out above, the formation of $\text{CH}_3\text{S} + \text{H}$ from the photodissociation of CH_3SH in this photon energy region is the dominant product channel.³¹ Assuming that the PFI

detection efficiencies are the same for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}({}^2E_{1/2})$, we conclude that for process (1) the relative populations are $\text{CH}_3\text{S}({}^2E_{1/2}) : \text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2}) = 0.5 : 1.0$.

The energy of $74,726 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ used in the simulation for the lowest possible transition, $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^2A_2)$, is taken to be the IE of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$. After taking into account the resolution of the ionization laser, the Stark shift effect, and the effects on the IE due to the uncertainties of A^+ ($\pm 0.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), B^+ ($\pm 0.005 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), and T_r ($\pm 100 \text{ K}$), we assign an uncertainty of $\pm 8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the IE's of CH_3S . Using the spin-orbit splitting of 255.5 cm^{-1} , we obtain $\text{IE}[\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})] = 74,726 \pm 8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($9.2649 \pm 0.0010 \text{ eV}$) and $\text{IE}[\text{CH}_3\text{S}({}^2E_{1/2})] = 74,471 \pm 8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($9.2333 \pm 0.0010 \text{ eV}$). The $\text{IE}[\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})]$ value is in excellent agreement with the value of $9.262 \pm 0.005 \text{ eV}$ obtained in a gas cell VUV photoionization mass spectrometric experiment in which the CH_3S radicals were prepared by the reaction of F with CH_3SH . The value of $9.225 \pm 0.014 \text{ eV}$ determined in the experiment of Nourbakhsh et al.²¹, which used a laser photodissociation supersonic radical source, agrees with the value for $\text{IE}[\text{CH}_3\text{S}({}^2E_{1/2})]$. In the latter experiment, CH_3S radicals were prepared by 193nm photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 in the high pressure region of a free jet. The agreement of the IE value obtained by Nourbakhsh et al. with the $\text{IE}[\text{CH}_3\text{S}({}^2E_{1/2})]$ determined here indicates that spin-orbit excited $\text{CH}_3\text{S}({}^2E_{1/2})$ radicals are formed by 193nm photodissociation of CH_3SCH_3 , and that the relaxation of spin-orbit excited $\text{CH}_3\text{S}({}^2E_{1/2})$ radicals is inefficient in a supersonic expansion. This conclusion is in accord with the observation of the previous LIF studies of CH_3S .^{26,27}

The relative Franck-Condon factors for ionization transitions, $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}, \nu_3=0) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}, \nu_3^+=0) : \text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}, \nu_3=0) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}, \nu_3^+=1)$ is determined to be $1.00 : 0.46$.

Using the IEs for the transitions from $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ to the $\nu_3^+ = 0, 1$ states of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2)$, we obtain a value of $733 \pm 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the ν_3^+ vibrational spacing of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2)$. The latter value is higher than the *ab initio* prediction of 698 cm^{-1} .¹⁶

The simulated PE spectrum for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ from CH_3SH is shown in Fig. 3(b). The experimental PE bands [Fig. 3(a)] for the ionization transitions $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2, \nu_3^+=0)$ are well reproduced by the theoretical fit. The experimental PE bands for the ionization transition $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2}) \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{S}^+(\tilde{X}^3A_2, \nu_3^+=1)$ are also in good agreement with the theoretical fit, except for some minor details. Minor disagreements between the experimental and simulated spectra can be attributed to local perturbations of autoionization processes. The good fit observed between the experimental and simulated spectra suggests that the characterization of the rotational populations of nascent $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ radicals formed by process (1) by equilibrium T_r 's is a good approximation and that the autoionization dynamics for CH_3S are not particularly sensitive to the rotational levels of CH_3S .

In order to shed light on the photoionization dynamics of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$, the simulated spectrum is decomposed into the five components shown as five curves in Fig. 3(b). Four components are due to $\Delta K = \pm 1$ with $|\Delta N| \leq 1$, $|\Delta N| = 2$, $|\Delta N| = 3$, and $|\Delta N| = 4$, respectively, and one component results from $\Delta K = \pm 2$ and $|\Delta N| \leq 1$. The PE band for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ exhibits more structure than that for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{1/2})$, as confirmed by the simulated spectrum. The simulation suggests that the two strong peaks at $37,355$ and $37,367 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ PE band are attributable to $\Delta N = -1$ and $\Delta N = 0, 1$, respectively, for $\Delta K = \pm 1$. The shoulders at $37,325$; $37,334$; $37,345$; and

Figure 3 (a) Enlarged view of the N2P-PFI-PE spectrum in the region of 37,100-37,800cm⁻¹ for nascent CH₃S($\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2}$) radicals formed by the photodissociation of CH₃SH.

(b) The best simulated spectrum for Fig. 3(a). The parameters used in the simulation are summarized in Table I. The simulated spectrum is decomposed into five components as shown. Four components are due to $\Delta K = \pm 1$ with $|\Delta N| \leq 1$ [(1)], $|\Delta N| = 2$ [(2)], $|\Delta N| = 3$ [(3)], and $|\Delta N| = 4$ [(4)], respectively, and one component is from $\Delta K = \pm 2$ and $|\Delta N| \leq 1$ [(5)].

37,372 cm^{-1} may be assigned to the respective transition of $\Delta N = -4, -3, -2$, and $+2$, with $\Delta K = \pm 1$. The shoulders at 37,378 and 37,384 cm^{-1} resolved in the experimental band are also reproduced in the simulated spectrum, which suggests that these features may be due to the transitions $\Delta N = 3, 4$ for $\Delta K = \pm 1$. In addition, note that two of the peaks from $|\Delta N| \leq 1$ with $\Delta K = \pm 2$ are predicted to appear at the same position and thus may also contribute to these observed features. The rotational branches $|\Delta N| > 1$ with $\Delta K = \pm 2$ contribute nearly constant intensities throughout the spectrum. For this reason, they are not included in the simulation.

The simulation is also performed for the N2P-PFI-PE spectrum of CH_3S produced from photodissociation of CH_3SSCH_3 . The parameters used are the same as those for simulating the spectrum of Fig. 1(a), except for the T_r values and relative populations for the spin-orbit states $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2})$. We find that the widths of the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2})$ PE bands observed in Fig. 1(a) correspond $T_r = 800 \pm 200$ and 900 ± 200 K, respectively, while the relative intensities for the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2})$ PE bands are consistent with a value of 1.1 ± 0.2 for the population ratio $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2})/\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$.

The simulated spectrum for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ from CH_3SSCH_3 is shown in Fig. 4(b). The general profile of the experimental spectrum [Fig. 4(a)] is roughly reproduced by the simulated spectrum. Similar to the analysis of the N2P-PFI-PE spectrum for CH_3S from CH_3SH , the contributions to the simulated spectrum for CH_3S from CH_3SSCH_3 are also decomposed into the five components shown in Fig. 4(b). A noticeable peak at 37,316 cm^{-1} may be attributed to the rotational branch $\Delta N = -3$ for $\Delta K = \pm 1$. This feature is

Figure 4 (a) Enlarged view of the N2P-PFI-PE spectrum in the region of 37,100-37,800cm⁻¹ for nascent CH₃S($\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2}$) radicals formed by the photodissociation of CH₃SSCH₃.
 (b) The best simulated spectrum for Fig. 4(a). The parameters used in the simulation are summarized in Table I. The simulated spectrum is decomposed into five components as shown. Four components are due to $\Delta K = \pm 1$ with $|\Delta N| \leq 1$ [(1)], $|\Delta N| = 2$ [(2)], $|\Delta N| = 3$ [(3)], and $|\Delta N| = 4$ [(4)], respectively, and one component is from $\Delta K = \pm 2$ and $|\Delta N| \leq 1$ [(5)].

very sensitive to variations in A^+ and B^+ . Contrary to the simulated spectrum which shows three resolved peaks in the region 37,340-37,380 cm^{-1} , the experimental spectrum exhibits only two partially resolved broad peaks. We find a similar observation when comparing the simulated and experimental PE band in the region of 37,710-37,750 cm^{-1} . The conclusion that the autoionization processes for CH_3S are relatively insensitive to the rotational levels obtained in the simulation of the PE spectrum of CH_3S from CH_3SH should also apply here. If this conclusion is valid, the difference between the experimental and simulated spectra is most likely due to the non-equilibrium rotational distributions for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ from CH_3SSCH_3 .

One of the conclusions obtained from the simulation of the N2P-PFI-PE spectra of CH_3S from processes (1) and (2) is that T_r for nascent $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ radicals formed from CH_3SH is significantly lower than for those formed from CH_3SSCH_3 . This can be qualitatively explained using the impulsive model^{32,33} of molecular dissociation. This model assumes that the molecule breaks apart due to vibrational motion without general equilibration of internal energies. For a triatomic molecule $\alpha\beta\gamma$ that dissociates into $\alpha + \beta\gamma$, this model implies that the atoms of dissociating bond $\alpha-\beta$ recoil sharply before atom γ has time to respond. Thus, E_{av} is partitioned between the recoiling atoms α and β according to the conservation of linear momentum. The energy acquired by β manifests as translation (E_t), rotation (E_r), and vibration (E_v) of the $\beta\gamma$ fragment through the inelastic collision of β and γ .³⁴

This model has been generalized to include dissociation of polyatomic molecules AB into fragments A and B, which may also be polyatomic species.³³ Assuming that AB

is bonded through atom α (in A) and atom β (in B), it can be shown that the energy partitioned into B [E_B] is³⁵

$$E_B = (\mu/m_\beta) E_{av}, \quad (5)$$

where μ is the reduced mass of α and β and m_β is the mass of β . E_B is further partitioned into E_t , E_r , and E_v of B according to the equations:³⁵

$$E_t(B) = (m_\beta/m_B) E_B \quad (6)$$

$$E_r(B) + E_v(B) = (1 - m_\beta/m_B) E_B \quad (7)$$

Considering further that B is a diatom β - γ , the internal energy can be separated into the rotational and vibrational components, as in the case of a triatomic molecule.

$$E_r(B) = (1 - m_\beta/m_B) E_B \sin^2\phi, \quad (8)$$

$$E_v(B) = (1 - m_\beta/m_B) E_B \cos^2\phi, \quad (9)$$

where ϕ is the A(α)- β - γ bond angle.

If we consider that the CH_3 group is a pseudo-atom of mass = 15 a.m.u., the rotational energies acquired by nascent CH_3S from CH_3SH and CH_3SSCH_3 can be

calculated using equation (8), provided that the geometries for the dissociating molecules are known. For the purpose of estimating the E_r value for CH_3S , we assume that the geometries for the dissociating CH_3SH and CH_3SSCH_3 are the same as those for their ground states. The ground state geometries for CH_3SH and CH_3SSCH_3 are obtained from *ab initio* calculations at the MP2/6-31G(d) level of theory.^{20,36} In the case of CH_3SH , the theoretical and experimental structures are in good agreement.³⁷ Using the S-H bond energy of 86-90 kcal/mol^{12,14,38} in CH_3SH , together with the *ab initio* geometry for $\text{CH}_3\text{SH}(\tilde{X}^1A')$, we obtain a value of $\approx 63 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for E_r of the CH_3S fragment at a photodissociation energy of $37,300 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. This E_r value of 63 cm^{-1} corresponds to $T_r \approx 63 \text{ K}$, which is lower than the experimental T_r values of 200-250 K for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ from CH_3SH . The cleavage of the S-S bond in CH_3SSCH_3 requires 74.8 kcal/mol.¹¹ Equation (7) predicts a value of $\approx 1709 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for E_r of CH_3S from CH_3SSCH_3 , which translates into a $T_r \approx 1660 \text{ K}$, significantly greater than the experimental T_r of 800-900 K for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$. The difference between experimental and predicted E_r 's may be partly attributed to unrealistic assumption that the dissociation geometries for CH_3SH and CH_3SSCH_3 are the same as their equilibrium ground state structures. While the impulsive dissociation model gives predictions in qualitative agreement with experimental results, the model is not expected to yield accurate internal energy partitions because product electronic excitations have not been taken into account.

In IE measurements of polyatomic radicals, it is advantageous to prepare a cold radical beam. This experiment confirms the theoretical expectation that relatively cold polyatomic radicals can be prepared by photodissociation of molecular precursors when

the photodissociation involves the ejection of a light fragment, such as the hydrogen atom in process (1).

CONCLUSION

The N2P-PFI-PE spectra for CH_3S formed in the photodissociation of CH_3SH and CH_3SSCH_3 have been obtained near the ionization threshold of CH_3S . Both the $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2})$ spin-orbit states are formed in processes (1) and (2) with values of 0.5 ± 0.1 and 1.1 ± 0.2 , respectively, for the ratio $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2})/\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$. From the simulation of the PFI-PE spectra for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$, we obtain estimates for the rovibronic state distributions of nascent $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$ formed in the photodissociation wavelength range of 262-271 nm. As a good approximation, the rotational populations of CH_3S from CH_3SH and CH_3SSCH_3 can be characterized by $T_r = 200\text{-}250$ and $800\text{-}900$ K, respectively. This experiment, together with the N2P-PFI-PE experiment of SH from H_2S , demonstrates that the PFI-PE technique can be used to probe the rovibronic state distribution of nascent photofragments.

The simulation also shows that the pulsed electric field induced autoionization processes for CH_3S are not very sensitive to the rotational level of $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2,1/2})$. The IEs for $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(\tilde{X}^2E_{3/2})$ ($74,726 \pm 8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ or $9.2649 \pm 0.0010 \text{ eV}$) and $\text{CH}_3\text{S}(^2E_{1/2})$ ($74,471 \pm 8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ or $9.2333 \pm 0.0010 \text{ eV}$) and the C-S stretching frequency ($733 \pm 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) are determined with high accuracy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

CYN acknowledge the Donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for the partial support of this research. The authors are grateful to helpful discussions with Prof. T. A. Miller and Dr. M. G. White.

REFERENCES

- (1) G. Reiser, W. Habenicht, K. Müller-Dethlefs, and E. W. Schlag, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 152, 119 (1988).
- (2) K. Müller-Dethlefs, M. Sander, and E. W. Schlag, *Z. Naturforsch. A* 39, 1089 (1984); K. Müller-Dethlefs and E. W. Schlag, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 42, 109 (1991).
- (3) I. Powis, T. Baer, and C. Y. Ng, Eds., "High Resolution Laser Photoionization and Photoelectron Studies" (Wiley, Chichester, 1994), in press.
- (4) C.-W. Hsu, D. P. Baldwin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 100, xxxx (1994).
- (5) A. Stribel, I. Fischer, J. Staecker, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, K. Müller-Dethlefs and C. E. Bondybey, *J. Chem. Phys.* 97, 2332 (1992).
- (6) I. Fischer, A. Lochschmidt, A. Strobel, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, K. Müller-Dethlefs, and V. E. Bondybey, *J. Chem. Phys.* 98, 3592 (1993).
- (7) I. Fischer, A. Lochschmidt, A. Strobel, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, K. Müller-Dethlefs, and V. E. Bondybey, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 202, 542 (1993).
- (8) A. Strobel, A. Lochschmidt, I. Fisher, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, and V. E. Bondybey, *J. Chem. Phys.* 99, 733 (1993).
- (9) I. Fischer, A. Strobel, J. Staecher, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, K. Müller-Dethlefs, and V. E. Bondybey, *J. Chem. Phys.* 96, 7171 (1992).
- (10) C.-W. Hsu, D. P. Baldwin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, "Laser Techniques for State-

- Selected and State-to-State Chemistry II", J. W. Hepburn, ed., *SPIE Proc.* 2124 (1994), in press.
- (11) S. Nourbakhsh, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 92, 6587 (1990).
- (12) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, H.-M. Yin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 95, 946 (1991).
- (13) J. S. Keller, P. W. Kash, E. Jensen, and L. J. Butler, *J. Chem. Phys.* 96, 4324 (1992).
- (14) J. Segall, Y. Wen, R. singer, M. Dulligan, and C. Wittig, *J. Chem. Phys.* 99, 6600 (1993).
- (15) Y. R. Lee, C. L. Chiu, and S. M. Lin, *J. Chem. Phys.*, in press.
- (16) S.-W. Chiu, W.-K. Li, W.-B. Tzeng, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 97, 6557-6568 (1992).
- (17) D. P. Chong and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 98, 759-760 (1993).
- (18) L. A. Curtiss, R. H. Nobes, J. A. Pople, and L. Radom, *J. Chem. Phys.* 97, 6766 (1992).
- (19) R. H. Nobes and L. Radom, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 189, 554 (1992).
- (20) D. R. Yarkony, *J. Chem. Phys.* 100, 3639 (1994) and references therein.
- (21) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, G.-Z. He, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Am. Chem. Phys.* 113, 6311 (1991).
- (22) B. Ruscic and J. Berkowitz, *J. Chem. Phys.* 97, 1818 (1992).
- (23) C.-W. Hsu, C.-L. Liao, Z.-X. Ma, P. J. H. Tjossem, and C. Y. Ng, *Chem. Phys.*

- Lett.* 199, 78 (1992).
- (24) C. W. Hsu, C.-L. Liao, Z.-X. Ma, P.J. H. Tjossem, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 97, 6283 (1992).
- (25) C. E. Moore, "Atomic Energy Levels", *Natl. Bur. Stand. (U.S.) Circ. No. 467* (U.S. GPO, Washington, D.C. 1949), Vol. I.
- (26) Y.-C. Hsu, X. Liu, and T. A. Miller, *J. Chem. Phys.* 90, 6852 (1989).
- (27) S.-Y. Chiang and Y.-P. Lee, *J. Chem. Phys.* 95, 66 (1991).
- (28) Using a rotational temperature (T_r) to characterize the rotational population of nascent CH_3S radicals is an approximation, in which equilibrium among the rotational levels is assumed.
- (29) X.-M. Liu, C. P. Damo, T.-Y. Lin, S. C. Foster, P. Misra, L. Yu, and T. A. Miller, *J. Phys. Chem.* 93, 2266 (1989).
- (30) Y. Endo, S. Saito, and E. Hirota, *J. Chem. Phys.* 81, 122 (1984).
- (31) J. G. Calvert and J. N. Pitts, Jr., "Photochemistry" (Wiley, New York, 1966).
- (32) G. E. Busch and K. R. Wilson, *J. Chem. Phys.* 56, 3626 (1972).
- (33) K. A. Trentelman, S. H. Kable, D. B. Moss, and P. L. Houston, *J. Chem. Phys.* 91, 7498 (1989).
- (34) Reference 32. The values for E_t , E_r , and E_v of fragment $\beta\gamma$ are calculated as: $E_t = (\mu_{\alpha\beta}/m_{\beta\gamma}) E_{av}$, $E_r = (1 - \mu_{\alpha\beta}/\mu_f) E_{av} \sin^2\phi$, and $E_v = (1 - \mu_{\alpha\beta}/\mu_f) E_{av} \cos^2\phi$, where $\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ and μ_f are the reduced mass of atoms α and β and atom α and fragment $\beta\gamma$, respectively, and ϕ is the bond angle of α - β - γ .
- (35) The expressions for the energies partitioned to A [E_A , $E_t(A)$, $E_r(A)$, and $E_v(A)$] are

similar to equations (5), (6) and (7).

- (36) W.-K. Li, S.-W. Chiu, and Z.-X. Ma, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* 99, 8440 (1993).
- (37) G. Herzberg, "Electronic Spectra and Electronic Structures of Polyatomic Molecules" (Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1966).
- (38) J. M. Nicovich, K. D. Kruetter, C. A. van Dijk, and P. H. Wine, *J. Phys. Chem.* 96, 2518 (1992).

GENERAL CONCLUSION

Using the 2+1 REMPI detection scheme for $S(^3P_{2,1,0};^1D_2)$, we have examined the 193 nm photodissociation of $CH_3S(\tilde{X})$ and $SH(X,v)$ prepared from processes (1) and (3), respectively.

The absolute photodissociation cross sections for CH_3S and SH at 193 nm are estimated to be 1×10^{-18} and $1.1 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^2$, respectively. The branching ratio $S(^3P):S(^1D)$ measured for process (2) is rationalized based on the potential energy curves along the CH_3-S dissociation coordinate using the MCSCF and FOCI methods. The branching ratio $S(^3P):S(^1D)$ measured for process (4) is consistent with the direct photodissociation mechanism for $SH(X,v)$ via the excited repulsive $SH(^2\Sigma^-)$ and $SH(^2\Delta)$ surfaces, as proposed by Continetti et al¹⁶. The fine structure distributions $S(^3P_2):S(^3P_1):S(^3P_0)$ have been measured for processes (2) and (4) and are found to be 0.59 : 0.32 : 0.09 and 0.68 : 0.24 : 0.08, respectively.

The 193 nm photodissociation of $CH_3S(\tilde{X})$ and $SH(X,v)$ prepared from CH_3SH (processes (5) and (6)) have also been examined.

The small difference in the branching ratios observed for CH_3S and SH , prepared from different parents, suggests the dependency of the branching ratios on the internal energy distributions of the intermediate radicals.

Using the N2P-PFI scheme, we have obtained the threshold PE spectra for SH and CH_3S at high resolution. The absorption cross section for the first-photon excitation for H_2S is continuous around 235 nm¹⁷. Therefore, the spectrum obtained by scanning the UV energy over this region reflects the cross section for the two-photon threshold photoionization of SH . Similarly, the spectrum obtained by scanning the UV energy over 267 nm for CH_3SH (Ref. 17) reflects the cross section for the two-photon threshold photoionization of CH_3S . The assignment of the rotationally resolved N2P-PFI-PE spectrum allows a highly accurate determination of the IE for SH . The improved value for the IE for CH_3S is also obtained from the simulation spectrum. To our knowledge, this is the first application of N2P threshold photoionization to the study of free radical species.

REFERENCES

- (1) A. Levy, E. L. Merryman, and W. T. Reid, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **4**, 653 (1970).
- (2) W. B. Tzeng, H.-M. Yin, W.-Y. Leung, J.-Y. Luo, S. Nourbakhsh, G. D. Flesch, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* **88**, 1658 (1986).
- (3) S. Nourbakhsh, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* **92**, 6587(1989).
- (4) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, H.-M. Yin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* **95**, 5014 (1991).
- (5) S. Nourbakhsh, K. Norwood, H.-M. Yin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* **95**, 946 (1991).
- (6) S. Nourbakhsh, H.-M. Yin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **183**, 348 (1991).
- (7) C.-L. Liao, C.-W. Hsu, and C. Y. Ng, *Optical Methods for Time- and State-Resolved Selective Chemistry*, C. Y. Ng, Editor, *Proc.SPIE* **1638**, p. 245-253 (1992).
- (8) C.-W. Hsu, C.-L. Liao, Z.-X. Ma, P. J. H. Tjossem, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.* **97**, 6283 (1992).
- (9) C.-W. Hsu, C.-L. Liao, Z.-X. Ma, P. J. H. Tjossem, and C. Y. Ng, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **199**, 78 (1992).
- (10) G. Resiser, W. Habenicht, K. Müller-Dethlefs, and E. W. Schlag, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **152**, 119 (1988).
- (11) K. Müller-Dethlefs, M. Sander, and E. W. Schlag, *Z. Naturforsch. Teil A* **39**,

1089 (1984).

- (12) K. Müller-Dethlefs and E. W. Schlag, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 42, 109 (1991).
- (13) C.-W. Hsu, D. P. Baldwin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *Optical Methods for Time- and State-Resolved Selective Chemistry*, C. Y. Ng, Editor, Proc.SPIE, in press.
- (14) C.-W. Hsu, D. P. Baldwin, C.-L. Liao, and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.*, in press.
- (15) C.-W. Hsu and C. Y. Ng, *J. Chem. Phys.*, to be submitted.
- (16) R. E. Continetti, B. A. Balko, and Y. T. Lee, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 185, 400 (1991).
- (17) J. G. Calvert and J. N. Pitts, Jr., "*Photochemistry*" (Wiley, New York, 1966).

APPENDIX.
COMPUTER PROGRAMS

```

c *****
c This program is designed to analyze the rotationally resolved
c photoionization spectrum of HS. Rotational constants for neutral
c (HS) are obtained from Ramsey. Rotational constants for ion (HS+)
c are taken from Horani et al.
c *****

```

Program HSrot3

```

Real*4 HSn1(15,2),HSn2(15,2),HSi1(15,1),HSi2(15,1),HSi3(15,1)
Real*4 TP1(15,2),TP2(15,2),TP3(15,2)
Real*4 A0,Bvn,Dvn,Lambda,Beta,Bvi,Dvi,gamma,Lamb,Q1,Q2,IP,kB
Integer*2 K,i,jj,NPoints
Parameter (Lambda=1.,Bvn=9.461,Dvn=0.00048)
Parameter (Bvi=9.134,Dvi=.000489)
Parameter (IP=83888.7,NPoints=2101,kB=0.69503)
Real*4 width,J,step,temp1,temp2,cc,nrgmin,spect(NPoints)
Character Fname*10,Tfname*10,Filename*8
common/BL1/step,nrgmin
common/BL2/spect,Filename
common/BL3/TP1,TP2,TP3,cc,width,K

```

```

c *** main program *****
c HSn1(*,0..1) : F1 levels (pi 3/2 states) of neutral (HS)
c               J=K+1/2
c HSn2(*,0..1) : F2 levels (pi 1/2 states) of neutral (HS)
c               J=K-1/2
c HSi1(*,0),HSi2(*,0), and HSi3(*,0) : F1, F2, and F3 levels
c               (sigma states) of ion (HS+)
c TP1(*,0..1), TP2(*,0..1), and TP3(*,0..1) : transition energies and
c               transtion probabilities from neutral ground state to the
c               F1, F2, and F3 levels of ion ground state, respectively.
c 2nd subscripts for above arrays : 0 -> energy
c                                   1 -> intensity
c Neutral rotational constants : A,Bvn,Dvn,Lambda,Beta,Y
c ion rotational constants : Bvi,Dvi,gamma,Lamb
c IP : ionization potential
c step : step size (nm) in the simulation
c nrgmin : minimun wavenumber (cm-1)
c currentnrg : current wavenumber (cm-1)
c width : Gaussian FWHM (cm-1)
c NPoints : number of points in a scan

```



```

c temp1,temp2 : neutral rotational temperature (K) ( $\text{Pi}3/2$  &  $1/2$ )
c kB : Boltzmann constant (cm-1)
c Q1, Q2 : Rotational partition functions
c deltaw : the energy difference between currentnrg and peak position
c spect(j) : spectrum for each branch
c P11..R23 : 18 sub branches  $\Delta K = 0, -1, +1$ 
c O11..S23 : 12 sub branches  $\Delta K = -2, +2$ 
c N11..T23 : 12 sub branches  $\Delta K = -3, +3$ 
c M11..M23 : 6 sub branches  $\Delta K = -4$ 
c There are 16 rotational branches in the simulation (3 sub branches for
c each branch) shown as follows:
c P1..R2 : 6 branches
c O1..S2 : 4 branches
c N1..T2 : 4 branches
c M1..M2 : 2 branches

```

```

Fname='hszar1.eng'
Tfname='hstran.eng'
step=.002
nrgmin=41550.
width=1.0
gamma=-.165
Lamb=5.71
A0=-376.96
cc=-0.693147/(width*width)
write(*, '(e9.3)') nrgmin
write(*, *) ' enter the temperature for  $\text{Pi}(3/2)$  and  $\text{Pi}(1/2)$ :'
read(*, '(2f10.3)') temp1, temp2

```

```

c Calculate  $\text{Pi}(3/2)$  state energy

```

```

Q1=0.
write(*, *) ' J K rotational Boltzmann'
do 211 K=1,15
  J=K+.5
  Y=A0/Bvn
  Beta=0.5*sqrt(4*(J+0.5)*(J+0.5)+Y*(Y-4)*lambda*lambda)
  HSn1(K,0)=Bvn*((J+.5)*(J+.5)-lambda*lambda-Beta)
  * -Dvn*J*J*J*J
  HSn1(K,1)=(2*J+1)*exp((-HSn1(K,0)+HSn1(1,0))/(kB*temp1))
  Q1=Q1+HSn1(K,1)
211 continue
write(*, *)

```

c Calculate $\text{Pi}(1/2)$ state energy

```

Q2=0.
do 212 K=1,15
  J=K-.5
  Y=A0/Bvn
  Beta=0.5*sqrt(4*(J+0.5)*(J+0.5)+Y*(Y-4)*lambda*lambda)
  HSn2(K,0)=Bvn*((J+.5)*(J+.5)-lambda*lambda+Beta)
  *      -Dvn*(J+1)*(J+1)*(J+1)*(J+1)
  HSn2(K,1)=(2*J+1)*exp((-HSn2(K,0)+HSn2(1,0))/(kB*temp2))
  Q2=Q2+HSn2(K,1)
212  continue
do 231 K=1,15
  HSn1(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)/Q1
  write(*,220)J,K,HSn1(K,0),HSn1(K,1)
220  format(f6.1,i4,2e12.5)
231  continue
do 232 K=1,15
  HSn2(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)/Q2
  write(*,220)J,K,HSn2(K,0),HSn2(K,1)
232  continue
write(*,*)

```

c Calculate $\text{Sigma}(F1,F2,F3)$ state energy

```

write(*,*)' J K rotational '
do 213 K=0,15
  J=K+1
  HSi1(K,0)=Bvi*K*(K+1)+Bvi*(2*K+3)-lamb*sqrt((2*K+3)*
  * (2*K+3)*Bvi*Bvi+lamb*lamb-2*lamb*Bvi)+gamma*(K+1)
  * -Dvi*K*K*(K+1)*(K+1)+IP
  write(*,221)J,K,HSi1(K,0)
221  format(f6.1,i4,e12.5)
213  continue
write(*,*)
do 214 K=1,15
  J=K
  HSi2(K,0)=Bvi*K*(K+1)-Dvi*K*K*(K+1)*(K+1)+IP
  write(*,221)J,K,HSi2(K,0)
214  continue
do 215 K=1,15
  J=K-1
  HSi3(K,0)=Bvi*K*(K+1)-Bvi*(2*K-1)-lamb+sqrt((2*K-1)*

```

```

*      (2*K-1)*Bvi*Bvi+lamb*lamb-2*lamb*Bvi)-gamma*K
*      -Dvi*K*K*(K+1)*(K+1)+IP
      write(*,221)J,K,HSi3(K,0)
215    continue
      open(3,File=Fname,status='new')
      do 111 K=1,15
        J=K+.5
        write(3,220)J,K,HSn1(K,0),HSn1(K,1)
111    continue
      do 112 K=1,15
        J=K-.5
        write(3,220)J,K,HSn2(K,0),HSn2(K,1)
112    continue
      do 113 K=1,15
        J=K+1
        write(3,221)J,K,HSi1(K,0)
113    continue
      do 114 K=0,15
        J=K
        write(3,221)J,K,HSi2(K,0)
114    continue
      do 115 K=1,15
        J=K-1
        write(3,221)J,K,HSi3(K,0)
115    continue
      close(3)
      write(*,*)
      write(*,*)' writing the energy levels file into HStran.ENG'
      open(3,File=Tfname,status='new')

```

c now calculate the P1 branch:

```

      write(*,*)'Constructing P1 spectrum'
      write(3,*)' P11 branch, delta J=-1/2,delta K=-1'
      write(3,*)' J K Energy Intensity'
      do 301 K=1,10
        J=K+.5
        TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K-1,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP1(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
301    continue
411    format(f6.1,i4,2f14.5)
      write(3,*)' P12 branch, delta J=-3/2,delta K=-1'

```

```

do 302 K=2,10
  J=K+.5
  TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K-1,0)-HSn1(K,0)
  TP2(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
302  continue
write(3,*)' P13 branch, delta J=-5/2,delta K=-1'
do 303 K=2,10
  J=K+.5
  TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K-1,0)-HSn1(K,0)
  TP3(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
303  continue
call HS
Filename='HS01.wei'
call WriteFile
call zero

```

c now calculate the Q1 branch:

```

write(*,*)'Constructing Q1 spectrum'
write(3,*)' Q11 branch, delta J=1/2,delta K=0'
do 304 K=1,10
  J=K+.5
  TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K,0)-HSn1(K,0)
  TP1(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
304  continue
write(3,*)' Q12 branch, delta J=-1/2,delta K=0'
do 305 K=1,10
  J=K+.5
  TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K,0)-HSn1(K,0)
  TP2(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
305  continue
write(3,*)' Q13 branch, delta J=-3/2,delta K=0'
do 306 K=1,10
  J=K+.5
  TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K,0)-HSn1(K,0)
  TP3(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
306  continue
call HS

```

```

Filename='HS02.wei'
call WriteFile
call zero

```

c now calculate the R1 branch:

```

write(*,*)'Constructing R1 spectrum'
write(3,*)' R11 branch, delta J=3/2,delta K=+1'
do 307 K=1,10
  J=K+.5
  TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K+1,0)-HSn1(K,0)
  TP1(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
307  continue
write(3,*)' R12 branch, delta J=1/2,delta K=+1'
do 308 K=1,10
  J=K+.5
  TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K+1,0)-HSn1(K,0)
  TP2(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
308  continue
write(3,*)' R13 branch, delta J=-1/2,delta K=+1'
do 309 K=1,10
  J=K+.5
  TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K+1,0)-HSn1(K,0)
  TP3(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
309  continue
call HS
Filename='HS03.wei'
call WriteFile
call zero

```

c now calculate the P2 branch:

```

write(*,*)'Constructing P2 spectrum'
write(3,*)' P21 branch, delta J=1/2,delta K=-1'
do 310 K=1,13
  J=K-.5
  TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K-1,0)-HSn2(K,0)
  TP1(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
310  continue

```

```

write(3,*)' P22 branch, delta J=-1/2,delta K=-1'
do 311 K=2,13
  J=K-.5
  TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K-1,0)-HSn2(K,0)
  TP2(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
311  continue
write(3,*)' P23 branch, delta J=-3/2,delta K=-1'
do 312 K=2,13
  J=K-.5
  TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K-1,0)-HSn2(K,0)
  TP3(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
312  continue
call HS
Filename='HS04.wei'
call WriteFile
call zero

```

c now calculate the Q2 branch:

```

write(*,*)'Constructing Q2 spectrum'
write(3,*)' Q21 branch, delta J=3/2,delta K=0'
do 313 K=1,10
  J=K-.5
  TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K,0)-HSn2(K,0)
  TP1(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
313  continue
write(3,*)' Q22 branch, delta J=1/2,delta K=0'
do 314 K=1,10
  J=K-.5
  TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K,0)-HSn2(K,0)
  TP2(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
314  continue
write(3,*)' Q23 branch, delta J=-1/2,delta K=0'
do 315 K=1,10
  J=K-.5
  TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K,0)-HSn2(K,0)
  TP3(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
315  continue

```

```

call HS
Filename='HS05.wei'
call WriteFile
call zero

```

c now calculate the R2 branch:

```

write(*,*)'Constructing R2 spectrum'
write(3,*)' R21 branch, delta J=5/2,delta K=+1'
do 316 K=1,10
  J=K-.5
  TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K+1,0)-HSn2(K,0)
  TP1(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
316  continue
write(3,*)' R22 branch, delta J=3/2,delta K=+1'
do 317 K=1,10
  J=K-.5
  TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K+1,0)-HSn2(K,0)
  TP2(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
317  continue
write(3,*)' R23 branch, delta J=1/2,delta K=+1'
do 318 K=1,10
  J=K-.5
  TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K+1,0)-HSn2(K,0)
  TP3(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
318  continue
call HS
Filename='HS06.wei'
call WriteFile
call zero

```

c now calculate the O1 branch:

```

write(*,*)'Constructing O1 spectrum'
write(3,*)' O11 branch, delta J=-3/2,delta K=-2'
do 319 K=2,10
  J=K+.5
  TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K-2,0)-HSn1(K,0)
  TP1(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
  write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)

```

```

319  continue
    write(3,*)' O12 branch, delta J=-5/2,delta K=-2'
    do 320 K=3,10
        J=K+.5
        TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K-2,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP2(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
320  continue
    write(3,*)' O13 branch, delta J=-7/2,delta K=-2'
    do 321 K=3,10
        J=K+.5
        TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K-2,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP3(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
321  continue
    call HS
    Filename='HS07.wei'
    call WriteFile
    call zero

```

c now calculate the S1 branch:

```

    write(*,*)'Constructing S1 spectrum'
    write(3,*)' S11 branch, delta J=5/2,delta K=+2'
    do 322 K=1,10
        J=K+.5
        TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K+2,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP1(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
322  continue
    write(3,*)' S12 branch, delta J=3/2,delta K=+2'
    do 323 K=1,10
        J=K+.5
        TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K+2,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP2(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
323  continue
    write(3,*)' S13 branch, delta J=1/2,delta K=+2'
    do 324 K=1,10
        J=K+.5
        TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K+2,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP3(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)

```



```

324  continue
      call HS
      Filename='HS08.wei'
      call WriteFile
      call zero

```

c now calculate the O2 branch:

```

      write(*,*)'Constructing O2 spectrum'
      write(3,*)' O21 branch, delta J=-1/2,delta K=-2'
      do 325 K=2,10
        J=K-.5
        TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K-2,0)-HSn2(K,0)
        TP1(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
325    continue
      write(3,*)' O22 branch, delta J=-3/2,delta K=-2'
      do 326 K=3,10
        J=K-.5
        TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K-2,0)-HSn2(K,0)
        TP2(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
326    continue
      write(3,*)' O23 branch, delta J=-5/2,delta K=-2'
      do 327 K=3,10
        J=K-.5
        TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K-2,0)-HSn2(K,0)
        TP3(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
327    continue
      call HS
      Filename='HS09.wei'
      call WriteFile
      call zero

```

c now calculate the S2 branch:

```

      write(*,*)'Constructing S2 spectrum'
      write(3,*)' S21 branch, delta J=7/2,delta K=+2'
      do 328 K=1,10
        J=K-.5
        TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K+2,0)-HSn2(K,0)
        TP1(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)

```

```

      write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
328  continue
      write(3,*)' S22 branch, delta J=5/2,delta K=+2'
      do 329 K=1,10
        J=K-.5
        TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K+2,0)-HSn2(K,0)
        TP2(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
329  continue
      write(3,*)' S23 branch, delta J=3/2,delta K=+2'
      do 330 K=1,10
        J=K-.5
        TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K+2,0)-HSn2(K,0)
        TP3(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
330  continue
      call HS
      Filename='HS10.wei'
      call WriteFile
      call zero

```

c now calculate the N1 branch:

```

      write(*,*)'Constructing N1 spectrum'
      write(3,*)' N11 branch, delta J=-5/2,delta K=-3'
      do 331 K=3,10
        J=K+.5
        TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K-3,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP1(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
331  continue
      write(3,*)' N12 branch, delta J=-7/2,delta K=-3'
      do 332 K=4,10
        J=K+.5
        TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K-3,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP2(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
332  continue
      write(3,*)' N13 branch, delta J=-9/2,delta K=-3'
      do 333 K=4,10
        J=K+.5
        TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K-3,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP3(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)

```

```

    write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
333  continue
    call HS
    Filename='HS11.wei'
    call WriteFile
    call zero

```

c now calculate the T1 branch:

```

    write(*,*)'Constructing T1 spectrum'
    write(3,*)' T11 branch, delta J=7/2,delta K=+3'
    do 334 K=1,10
        J=K+.5
        TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K+3,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP1(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
334  continue
    write(3,*)' T12 branch, delta J=5/2,delta K=+3'
    do 335 K=1,10
        J=K+.5
        TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K+3,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP2(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
335  continue
    write(3,*)' T13 branch, delta J=3/2,delta K=+3'
    do 336 K=1,10
        J=K+.5
        TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K+3,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP3(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
336  continue
    call HS
    Filename='HS12.wei'
    call WriteFile
    call zero

```

c now calculate the N2 branch:

```

    write(*,*)'Constructing N2 spectrum'
    write(3,*)' N21 branch, delta J=-3/2,delta K=-3'
    do 337 K=3,10
        J=K-.5
        TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K-3,0)-HSn2(K,0)

```

```

    TP1(K,1)=Hsn2(K,1)
    write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
337  continue
    write(3,*)' N22 branch, delta J=-5/2,delta K=-3'
    do 338 K=4,10
        J=K-.5
        TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K-3,0)-Hsn2(K,0)
        TP2(K,1)=Hsn2(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
338  continue
    write(3,*)' N23 branch, delta J=-7/2,delta K=-3'
    do 339 K=4,10
        J=K-.5
        TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K-3,0)-Hsn2(K,0)
        TP3(K,1)=Hsn2(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
339  continue
    call HS
    Filename='HS13.wei'
    call WriteFile
    call zero

```

c now calculate the T2 branch:

```

    write(*,*)'Constructing T2 spectrum'
    write(3,*)' T21 branch, delta J=9/2,delta K=+3'
    do 340 K=1,10
        J=K-.5
        TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K+3,0)-Hsn2(K,0)
        TP1(K,1)=Hsn2(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
340  continue
    write(3,*)' T22 branch, delta J=7/2,delta K=+3'
    do 341 K=1,10
        J=K-.5
        TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K+3,0)-Hsn2(K,0)
        TP2(K,1)=Hsn2(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
341  continue
    write(3,*)' T23 branch, delta J=5/2,delta K=+3'
    do 342 K=1,10
        J=K-.5
        TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K+3,0)-Hsn2(K,0)

```

```

    TP3(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
    write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
342  continue
    call HS
    Filename='HS14.wei'
    call WriteFile
    call zero

```

c now calculate the M1 branch:

```

    write(*,*)'Constructing M1 spectrum'
    write(3,*)' M11 branch, delta J=-7/2,delta K=-4'
    do 343 K=4,10
        J=K+.5
        TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K-4,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP1(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
343  continue
    write(3,*)' M12 branch, delta J=-9/2,delta K=-4'
    do 344 K=5,10
        J=K+.5
        TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K-4,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP2(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
344  continue
    write(3,*)' M13 branch, delta J=-11/2,delta K=-4'
    do 345 K=5,10
        J=K+.5
        TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K-4,0)-HSn1(K,0)
        TP3(K,1)=HSn1(K,1)
        write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
345  continue
    call HS
    Filename='HS15.wei'
    call WriteFile
    call zero

```

c now calculate the M2 branch:

```

    write(*,*)'Constructing M2 spectrum'
    write(3,*)' M21 branch, delta J=-5/2,delta K=-4'
    do 346 K=4,10
        J=K-.5

```

```

      TP1(K,0)=HSi1(K-4,0)-HSn2(K,0)
      TP1(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
      write(3,411)J,K,TP1(K,0),TP1(K,1)
346   continue
      write(3,*)' M22 branch, delta J=-7/2,delta K=-4'
      do 347 K=5,10
         J=K-.5
         TP2(K,0)=HSi2(K-4,0)-HSn2(K,0)
         TP2(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
         write(3,411)J,K,TP2(K,0),TP2(K,1)
347   continue
      write(3,*)' M23 branch, delta J=-9/2,delta K=-4'
      do 348 K=5,10
         J=K-.5
         TP3(K,0)=HSi3(K-4,0)-HSn2(K,0)
         TP3(K,1)=HSn2(K,1)
         write(3,411)J,K,TP3(K,0),TP3(K,1)
348   continue
      call HS
      Filename='HS16.wei'
      call WriteFile
      close(3)
      End

```

```

c      *****
c      This subroutine is used to save a spectrum (a rotational branch)
c      into a data file ( HSxx.wei ).
c      *****

```

```

Subroutine WriteFile
Integer*2 NPoints,I
Parameter (NPoints=2101)
Real*4 Y(NPoints)
Character*8 Filename
common/BL2/Y,Filename
write(*,520) Filename
520  format(' writing spectrum to this directory as ',a8)
      open(5,File=Filename,status='new')
      do 110 i=0,NPoints-1
         write(5,'(e11.4)')Y(I)
110  continue
      write(*,*)

```

```

close(5)
return
end

```

```

c *****
c   This subroutine is used to calculate a spectrum (a rotational branch)
c   for a transition HS+ <- HS.
c *****

```

Subroutine HS

Integer*2 K,i,jj,NPoints

parameter (NPoints=2101)

Real*4 TP1(15,2),TP2(15,2),TP3(15,2),spect(NPoints)

Real*4 cc,nrgmin,currentnrg,Deltaw,sum1,sum2,sum3,width,J,step

character Filename*8

common/BL1/step,nrgmin

common/BL2/spect,Filename

common/BL3/TP1,TP2,TP3,cc,width,K

do 515 i=0,NPoints-1

 sum1=0.0

 sum2=0.0

 sum3=0.0

 currentnrg=1.0e7/(-step*i+1.0e7/nrgmin)*2

 do 516 jj=1,K

 Deltaw=currentnrg-TP1(jj,0)

 If (abs(Deltaw).lt.(5.0*width)) then

 sum1=sum1+10.0*TP1(jj,1)*exp(cc*Deltaw*Deltaw)

 endif

 Deltaw=currentnrg-TP2(jj,0)

 If (abs(Deltaw).lt.(5.0*width)) then

 sum2=sum2+10.0*TP2(jj,1)*exp(cc*Deltaw*Deltaw)

 endif

 Deltaw=currentnrg-TP3(jj,0)

 If (abs(Deltaw).lt.(5.0*width)) then

 sum3=sum3+10.0*TP3(jj,1)*exp(cc*Deltaw*Deltaw)

 endif

516 continue

 spect(i)=sum1+sum2+sum3

515 continue

return

end

```

c *****
c   This subroutine is used to reset the transition energies and transition
c   probabilities of all rotational branches to zero.
c *****

```

Subroutine zero

Real*4 TP1(15,2),TP2(15,2),TP3(15,2),cc,width

Integer*2 K

common/BL3/TP1,TP2,TP3,cc,width,K

do 1 i=1,5

TP1(i,0)=0.

TP1(i,1)=0.

TP2(i,0)=0.

TP2(i,1)=0.

TP3(i,0)=0.

TP3(i,1)=0.

1 continue

return

end


```

c *****
c This program is designed to analyze the photoionization spectrum
c of SCH3. Rotational constants for neutral (E state) are obtained
c from T.A. Miller et.al. Rotational constants for ion (A state) are
c deduced from simulation.
c *****
c Please read the file 'Readme.txt' listed on page 196 in order to
c understand the structure of the program

```

Program SCH3rot1

```

$include:'sch31.txt'
c *** main program *****
  An=5.68
  Bn=.45
  ALG=3.523
  Eaa=-3.809
  Ebc=-.2583
  nkLG=.00714
  neLG=.0000151
  DNn=.0000006372
  DNKn=.000008456
  DKn=.00008873
  aSO=-255.463
  aDSO=-.00281
  DNi=.0000006372
  DNKi=.000008456
  DKi=.00008873
  step=.002
  open(1,file='sch3.par',status='old')
  read(1,'(f10.3)')IP
  read(1,'(f10.3)')Ai
  read(1,'(f10.3)')Bi
  read(1,'(f10.3)')temp1
  read(1,'(f10.3)')temp2
  read(1,'(f10.3)')width
  read(1,'(f10.3)')ratio
  read(1,'(f10.3)')nrgmin
  close(1)
  cc=-0.693147/(width*width)

c Calculate A state energy

```

```

do 215 K=0,Kp
  do 216 N=K,Kp+8
    SCHi(N,K)=Bi*N*(N+1)+(Ai-Bi)*K*K-DNi*N*N*(N+1)*(N+1)
  * -DNKi*N*(N+1)*K*K-DKi*K*K*K*K+IP
216   continue
215   continue

```

c Calculate E(3/2) state energy

```

Q1=0.
sigma=.5
P=.5
J=.5
K=0
SCHn0(0,0)=aSO*sigma+aDSO*K*K*sigma-2*ALG*K+neLG*K*(J*(J+1)-
* 2*P*sigma+.75)+nkLG*K*K*K+An*K*K+Bn*(J*(J+1)-P*P+.5)-DNn*(J+.5)
* *(J+.5)*((J+.5)*(J+.5)-4*P*sigma+2)-DNKn*K*K*(J*(J+1)-2*P*sigma
* +.75)-DKn*K*K*K*K+Eaa*K*sigma-Ebc*.5
do 211 K=-Kp,Kp
  P=K+sigma
  i=abs(K)
  do 212 N=i,Kp+8
    J=N+.5
    SCHn0(N,K)=aSO*sigma+aDSO*K*K*sigma-2*ALG*K+neLG*K*(J*(J+1)-
  * 2*P*sigma+.75)+nkLG*K*K*K+An*K*K+Bn*(J*(J+1)-P*P+.5)-DNn*(J+.5)
  * *(J+.5)*((J+.5)*(J+.5)-4*P*sigma+2)-DNKn*K*K*(J*(J+1)-2*P*sigma
  * +.75)-DKn*K*K*K*K+Eaa*K*sigma-Ebc*.5
    SCHn1(N,K)=(2*J+1)*exp((-SCHn0(N,K)+SCHn0(0,0))/(kB*temp1))
    if(mod(K,3).eq.1) SCHn1(N,K)=2*SCHn1(N,K)
    Q1=Q1+SCHn1(N,K)
212   continue
211   continue
do 111 K=-Kp,Kp
  i=abs(K)
  do 121 N=i,Kp+8
    SCHn1(N,K)=SCHn1(N,K)/Q1
121   continue
111   continue
m=1
call SCH311
Filename='SCH301.CWH'
call WriteFile
call SCH312

```

```
Filename='SCH302.CWH'
call WriteFile
```

c Calculate $E(1/2)$ state energy

```

Q2=0.
sigma=-.5
P=-.5
J=-.5
K=0
SCHn0(0,0)=aSO*sigma+aDSO*K*K*sigma-2*ALG*K+neLG*K*(J*(J+1)-
* 2*P*sigma+.75)+nkLG*K*K*K+An*K*K+Bn*(J*(J+1)-P*P+.5)-DNn*(J+.5)
* *(J+.5)*((J+.5)*(J+.5)-4*P*sigma+2)-DNKn*K*K*(J*(J+1)-2*P*sigma
* +.75)-DKn*K*K*K*K+Eaa*K*sigma-Ebc*.5
do 213 K=-Kp,Kp
  P=K+sigma
  i=abs(K)
  do 214 N=i,Kp+8
    J=N-.5
    SCHn0(N,K)=aSO*sigma+aDSO*K*K*sigma-2*ALG*K+neLG*K*(J*(J+1)-
    * 2*P*sigma+.75)+nkLG*K*K*K+An*K*K+Bn*(J*(J+1)-P*P+.5)-DNn*(J+.5)
    * *(J+.5)*((J+.5)*(J+.5)-4*P*sigma+2)-DNKn*K*K*(J*(J+1)-2*P*sigma
    * +.75)-DKn*K*K*K*K+Eaa*K*sigma-Ebc*.5
    SCHn1(N,K)=(2*J+1)*exp((-SCHn0(N,K)+SCHn0(0,0))/(kB*temp2))
    if(mod(K,3).eq.1) SCHn1(N,K)=2*SCHn1(N,K)
    Q2=Q2+SCHn1(N,K)
214   continue
213   continue
do 112 K=-Kp,Kp
  i=abs(K)
  do 122 N=i,Kp+8
    SCHn1(N,K)=(SCHn1(N,K)/Q2)*ratio
122   continue
112   continue
call SCH311
Filename='SCH303.CWH'
call WriteFile
call SCH312
Filename='SCH304.CWH'
call WriteFile
End
```

```

      Subroutine WriteFile
$include:'sch32.txt'
      write(*,521) Filename
521   format(' writing spectrum to this directory as ',a10)
      open(1,File=Filename,status='new')
      do 110 i=0,NPoints-1
        write(1,'(e12.5)')Y(I)
110   continue
      write(*,*)
      close(1)
      return
      end

      Subroutine SCH311
$include:'sch33.txt'
      If(m.eq.1) then
        Tfname='SCHtran1.eng'
        write(*,*)'Constructing p1(PQR) (delta |K| = -1) spectrum'
        open(3,File=Tfname,status='new')
      else
        Tfname='SCHtran3.eng'
        write(*,*)'Constructing p2(PQR) (delta |K| = -1) spectrum'
        open(3,File=Tfname,status='new')
      endif
c   now calculate the pP branch:
      do 301 K=1,Kp-1
        i=abs(K)
        do 1 N=i,Kp-1+8
          TP0(N,K)=SCHi(N-1,K-1)-SCHn0(N,K)
          TP1(N,K)=SCHn1(N,K)
          write(3,411)N,K,TP0(N,K),TP1(N,K)
1       continue
301    continue
411    format(2i5,2e12.5)
      do 501 i=0,NPoints-1
        sum=0.0
        currentnrg=1.0e7/(-step*i+1.0e7/nrgmin)*2
        do 601 iii=1,Kp-1
          ii=abs(iii)
          do 701 jj=ii,N
            Deltaw=currentnrg-TP0(jj,iii)
            If (abs(Deltaw).lt.(5.0*width)) then
              sum=sum+100.0*TP1(jj,iii)*exp(cc*Deltaw*Deltaw)

```

```

        endif
701    continue
601    continue
    spect(i)=sum
501    continue
c now calculate the pQ branch:
    do 302 K=1,Kp-1
        i=abs(K)
        do 2 N=i,Kp-1+8
            TP0(N,K)=SCHi(N,K-1)-SCHn0(N,K)
            TP1(N,K)=SCHn1(N,K)
            write(3,411)N,K,TP0(N,K),TP1(N,K)
2        continue
302    continue
    do 502 i=0,NPoints-1
        sum=0.0
        currentnrg=1.0e7/(-step*i+1.0e7/nrgmin)*2
        do 602 iii=1,Kp-1
            ii=abs(iii)
            do 702 jj=ii,N
                Deltaw=currentnrg-TP0(jj,iii)
                If (abs(Deltaw).lt.(5.0*width)) then
                    sum=sum+100.0*TP1(jj,iii)*exp(cc*Deltaw*Deltaw)
                endif
702    continue
602    continue
        spect(i)=sum+spect(i)
502    continue
c now calculate the pR branch:
    do 303 K=1,Kp-1
        i=abs(K)
        do 3 N=i,Kp-1+8
            TP0(N,K)=SCHi(N+1,K-1)-SCHn0(N,K)
            TP1(N,K)=SCHn1(N,K)
            write(3,411)N,K,TP0(N,K),TP1(N,K)
3        continue
303    continue
    do 503 i=0,NPoints-1
        sum=0.0
        currentnrg=1.0e7/(-step*i+1.0e7/nrgmin)*2
        do 603 iii=1,Kp-1
            ii=abs(iii)
            do 703 jj=ii,N

```

```

        Deltaw=currentnrg-TP0(jj,iii)
        If (abs(Deltaw).lt.(5.0*width)) then
            sum=sum+100.0*TP1(jj,iii)*exp(cc*Deltaw*Deltaw)
        endif
703    continue
603    continue
        spect(i)=sum+spect(i)
503    continue
        close(3)
        m=m+1
        return
        end

Subroutine SCH312
$include:'sch33.txt'
        If(m.eq.2) then
            Tfname='SCHtran2.eng'
            write(*,*)'Constructing r1(PQR) (delta |K| = +1) spectrum'
            open(3,File=Tfname,status='new')
        else
            Tfname='SCHtran4.eng'
            write(*,*)'Constructing r2(PQR) (delta |K| = +1) spectrum'
            open(3,File=Tfname,status='new')
        endif
c  now calculate the rP branch:
        do 304 K=-Kp+3,0
            i=abs(K)
            do 4 N=i+2,Kp-1+8
                TP0(N,K)=SCHi(N-1,i+1)-SCHn0(N,K)
                TP1(N,K)=SCHn1(N,K)
                write(3,411)N,K,TP0(N,K),TP1(N,K)
4            continue
304    continue
411    format(2i5,2e12.5)
        do 504 i=0,NPoints-1
            sum=0.0
            currentnrg=1.0e7/(-step*i+1.0e7/nrgmin)*2
            do 604 iii=-Kp+3,0
                ii=abs(iii)
                do 704 jj=ii+2,N
                    Deltaw=currentnrg-TP0(jj,iii)
                    If (abs(Deltaw).lt.(5.0*width)) then
                        sum=sum+100.0*TP1(jj,iii)*exp(cc*Deltaw*Deltaw)

```

```

endif
704 continue
604 continue
spect(i)=sum
504 continue
c now calculate the rQ branch:
do 305 K=-Kp+3,0
  i=abs(K)
  do 5 N=i+1,Kp-1+8
    TP0(N,K)=SCHi(N,i+1)-SCHn0(N,K)
    TP1(N,K)=SCHn1(N,K)
    write(3,411)N,K,TP0(N,K),TP1(N,K)
5 continue
305 continue
do 505 i=0,NPoints-1
  sum=0.0
  currentnrg=1.0e7/(-step*i+1.0e7/nrgmin)*2
  do 605 iii=-Kp+3,0
    ii=abs(iii)
    do 705 jj=ii+1,N
      Deltaw=currentnrg-TP0(jj,iii)
      If (abs(Deltaw).lt.(5.0*width)) then
        sum=sum+100.0*TP1(jj,iii)*exp(cc*Deltaw*Deltaw)
      endif
705 continue
605 continue
spect(i)=sum+spect(i)
505 continue
c now calculate the rR branch:
do 306 K=-Kp+3,0
  i=abs(K)
  do 6 N=i,Kp-1+8
    TP0(N,K)=SCHi(N+1,i+1)-SCHn0(N,K)
    TP1(N,K)=SCHn1(N,K)
    write(3,411)N,K,TP0(N,K),TP1(N,K)
6 continue
306 continue
do 506 i=0,NPoints-1
  sum=0.0
  currentnrg=1.0e7/(-step*i+1.0e7/nrgmin)*2
  do 606 iii=-Kp+3,0
    ii=abs(iii)
    do 706 jj=ii,N

```

```
Deltaw=currentnrg-TP0(jj,iii)
If (abs(Deltaw).lt.(5.0*width)) then
    sum=sum+100.0*TP1(jj,iii)*exp(cc*Deltaw*Deltaw)
endif
706    continue
606    continue
    spect(i)=sum+spect(i)
506    continue
close(3)
m=m+1
return
end
```


Readme.txt

```

c SCHn0(N,K) : F1 levels (E 3/2 states) of neutral (SCH3) ,J=N+1/2
c               or F2 levels (E 1/2 states) of neutral (SCH3) ,J=N-1/2
c   SCHn0 -> energy
c   SCHn1 -> intensity
c SCHi(N,K) : A state of ion(SCH3+), ignoring spin-rotation splitting
c TP0(N,K) : Transition energy from neutral to ion
c TP1(N,K) : Transition probability for TP0(N,K), It is determined by
c             Boltzmann distribution for nascent SCH3 and nuclear statistics
c Neutral rotational constants : An,Bn,ALG,Eaa,Ebc,nkLG,neLG,DNn,
c                               DNKn,DKn,aSO,aDSO
c Ion rotational constants : Ai,Bi,DNi,DNKi,DKi
c IP : ionization potential
c ratio : (E3/2)/(E1/2)
c step : step size (nm) in the simulation
c nrgmin : minimum wavenumber (cm-1)
c currentnrg : current wavenumber (cm-1)
c width : Gaussian FWHM (cm-1)
c NPoints : number of points in a scan
c temp1,temp2 : neutral rotational temperature (K) (E 3/2 & 1/2)
c kB : Boltzmann constant (cm-1)
c Q1, Q2 : Rotational partition functions
c deltaw : the energy difference between currentnrg and peak position
c spect(j) : spectrum for each branch
c The rotational branches are designated as follows:
c   p(small p) -> delta K=-1
c   R(big R)   -> delta N=+1
c i.e. pR represents the branch : delta K=-1 and delta N=+1.
c *****
c   This program only calculates the branches pP, pQ, pR, rP, rQ, and
c   rR. The calculation for the other branches can be easily modified
c   by changing the delta N or delta K.
c *****

```

```

sch31.txt
Real*4 An,Bn,ALG,Eaa,Ebc,nkLG,neLG,DNn,DNKn,DKn,aSO,aDSO
Real*4 Ai,Bi,DNi,DNKi,DKi,IP,sigma,width,ratio,temp1,temp2
Real*4 cc,nrgmin,currentnrg,Deltaw,J,step,P,kB
Integer*2 K,i,jj,ii,iii,N,m
parameter(kB=0.69503)
parameter(Ni=65,Ki=113,Kp=56,NPoints=2001)
Real*4 SCHn0(Ni,Ki),SCHn1(Ni,Ki),SCHi(Ni,Ki)
Real*4 TP0(Ni,Ki),TP1(Ni,Ki),spect(NPoints)
Character Filename*10,Tfname*12
common/BL1/spect,Filename
common/BL2/SCHn0,SCHn1,SCHi,TP0,TP1
common/BL3/cc,currentnrg,Deltaw,m,step,nrgmin,width

```

```

sch32.txt
Parameter (NPoints=2001)
Real*4 Y(NPoints)
Character*10 Filename
common/BL1/Y,Filename

```

```

sch33.txt
parameter(Ni=65,Ki=113,Kp=56,NPoints=2001)
Real*4 SCHn0(Ni,Ki),SCHn1(Ni,Ki),SCHi(Ni,Ki)
Real*4 TP0(Ni,Ki),TP1(Ni,Ki),spect(NPoints)
Real*4 cc,width,currentnrg,Deltaw,step,sum,nrgmin
integer*2 m,i,ii,iii,jj
character Tfname*12,Filename*10
common/BL1/spect,Filename
common/BL2/SCHn0,SCHn1,SCHi,TP0,TP1
common/BL3/cc,currentnrg,Deltaw,m,step,nrgmin,width

```

- c Typical parameter file 'sch3.par' for simulating PE spectrum of
c CH3S from CH3SH is shown as follows:

```

74594.
5.547
.478
200.
250.
4.
0.5
37060.

```