Submitted to: *International Journal of Radiation Biology*
June, 1993

Protection against radiation-induced mutations at the *hprt* locus by spermine and *N,N'*-(dithiodi-2,1-ethanediyl)bis-1,3-propanediamine (WR-33278)

D. J. GRDINA,^{*†‡} N. SHIGEMATSU^{*} and J. L. SCHWARTZ^{*†}

^{*}Center for Mechanistic Biology and Biotechnology, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA.

[†]Department of Radiation and Cellular Oncology, University of Chicago, 5841 South Maryland Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA.

[‡]All correspondence should be addressed to: David J. Grdina, Center for Mechanistic Biology and Biotechnology, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois 60439-4833, USA. Telephone: (708)252-4235. Facsimile: (708)252-3387.

The submitted manuscript has been authored by a contractor of the U. S. Government under contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38. Accordingly, the U. S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for U. S. Government purposes.

AUG 09 1993

Running title: *WR-33278 and spermine protect against mutation induction*

Indexing phrases: aminothiols, disulfides, polyamines, protection, radiation

MASTER

yp

Abstract. The polyamine spermine and the disulfide *N,N'*-(dithiodi-2,1-ethanediyl)bis-1,3-propanediamine (WR-33278) are structurally similar agents capable of binding to DNA. WR-33278 is the disulfide moiety of the clinically studied radioprotective agent S-2-(3-aminopropylamino)ethylphosphorothioic acid (WR-2721). Because of their reported structural and functional similarities, it was of interest to characterize and compare their radioprotective properties using the endpoints of cell survival and mutation induction at the hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (*hprt*) locus in Chinese hamster AA8 cells. In order to facilitate both the uptake of WR-33278 into cells and the direct comparison between the protective properties of WR-33278 and spermine, these agents (at concentrations of 0.01 mM and 0.001 mM) were electroporated into cells. Electroporation, 300 V and 125 μ F, was performed either 30 min prior to or 3 h following exposure of cells to 750 cGy of ionizing radiation. Electroporation alone reduced cell survival to 75% but had no effect on *hprt* mutation frequency. The electroporation of either spermine or WR-33278 at concentrations greater than 0.01 mM was extremely toxic and, therefore, precluded the study of higher concentrations of these agents. The exposure of cells to both electroporation and irradiation gave rise to enhanced cell killing and mutation induction, with the sequence of irradiation followed 3 h later by electroporation being the more toxic protocol. Cell survival values at a radiation dose of 750 cGy were enhanced by factors of 1.3 and 1.8 following electroporation of 0.01 mM of spermine and WR-33278, respectively, 30 min prior to irradiation. Neither agent was protective at a concentration of 0.001 mM. Protection against radiation-induced *hprt* mutations was observed for both spermine and WR-33278 under all experimental conditions tested. Spermine at concentrations of 0.01 mM and 0.001 mM administered 30 min before or 3 h after irradiation reduced mutation frequencies by 2.2, 1.2, 1.9 and 2.2, respectively. WR-33278 at concentrations of 0.01 mM and 0.001 mM administered 30 min before or 3 h after

irradiation lowered mutation frequencies by factors of 1.8, 1.3, 1.4 and 2.0, respectively. The close agreement in the magnitudes of effect induced by spermine and WR-33278 against both radiation-induced cell killing and mutagenesis is consistent with their known structural and functional similarities. These data suggest that the properties of radioprotection and chemoprevention exhibited by the phosphorothioate (WR-2721) and associated aminothiols (WR-1065) and disulfide (WR-33278) metabolites may be mediated in part via endogenous spermine-like polyamine processes. Such a mechanism would have important implications with respect to the design and development of new generation drugs for use in radioprotection and chemoprevention.

1. Introduction

The phosphorothioate S-2-(3-aminopropylamino)ethylphosphorothioic acid (WR-2721) has been investigated in a number of clinical trials as a radiation (Kligerman et al. 1988, Glover et al. 1988) and chemical (Woolley et al. 1983, Glover et al. 1986a, 1986b) protector. Early studies have suggested that WR-2721 is highly effective in protecting normal cells from the lethal effects of radiation and chemotherapy but is relatively ineffective in protecting tumor cells (Yuhás and Storer 1969, Yuhás 1979). Thus, it has been proposed that WR-2721 would be a useful adjuvant for selected cancer therapies, since it would confer a differential protection to dose-limiting normal tissues.

Interest in this agent has been further stimulated by reports that it possesses anticarcinogenic (Milas et al. 1984, Grdina et al. 1991a) and antimutagenic (Kataoka et al. 1992, Grdina et al. 1992) properties. Protection against the induction of mutations at the *hprt* locus in mouse T lymphocytes has been reported for WR-2721, even when it was administered to animals up to 3 h following irradiation (Grdina et al. 1992).

WR-2721 is a prodrug which requires dephosphorylation before its protective properties are observable. Following dephosphorylation with alkaline phosphatase, both free thiol 2-[(aminopropyl)amino]ethanethiol (WR-1065) and disulfide *N,N'*-(dithiodi-2,1-ethanediyl)bis-1,3-propanediamine (WR-33278) moieties are formed (Calabro-Jones et al. 1985), with the thiol form being the most closely correlated with protection against cell lethality (Smoluk et al. 1988).

The phosphorothioate WR-2721 and its free thiol WR-1065 and disulfide WR-33278 are structurally similar to polyamines. WR-2721 has been shown to be effective in competitively inhibiting the uptake of putrescine into rat lung tissue (Wyatt et al. 1989) as well as being a substrate for polyamine oxidase (Gaugas 1982). When compared to polyamines having the same net charge, both the disulfide WR-33278 and free thiol

WR-1065 are found to bind to DNA in a similar fashion (Smoluk et al. 1986, Braulin et al. 1982). WR-33278 has a binding site of approximately ten nucleotides, while WR-1065 has a binding site of about five nucleotides (Smoluk et al. 1986). WR-33278 has also been reported to be capable of stimulating topoisomerase-I-mediated unwinding of supercoiled DNA (Holwitt et al. 1990) in a manner similar to that described for the polyamine spermine (Braulin et al. 1982).

The close structural and functional similarities between these two classes of agents suggests that they may also possess similar activities with regard to their ability to protect against radiation-induced lethality and mutagenesis. To assess this possibility, the polyamine spermine and the disulfide WR-33278, two structurally similar agents, were compared as to their respective abilities to protect mammalian cells from radiation-induced mutagenesis at the *hprt* locus and cell killing.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cells and culture conditions

Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) AA8 cells were maintained as stock cultures in α -Minimal Essential Medium (Gibco) with 10% fetal calf serum (α -MEM-10; Biologos) in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ and 95% air at 37 °C. All cell cultures were treated with medium containing hypoxanthine (5×10^{-5} M), aminopterin (3.2×10^{-6} M), and thymidine (5×10^{-6} M) for 24 h to reduce the background of spontaneous *hprt* mutations.

2.2. Drug treatment

WR-33278 used in these studies was a gift from Dr. C. E. Swenberg, Radiation Biochemistry Department, Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute, Bethesda, MD

(Holwitt et al. 1990). Spermine, anhydrous, was obtained from Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO (lot 59F07367). Both agents were made up fresh for each experiment in PBSA (7 mM KH_2PO_4 ; 1 mM MgCl_2 ; and 272 mM sucrose) at pH 7.4 to concentrations of 0.01 mM and 0.001 mM.

2.3. Electroporation

Because of the inability of the disulfide WR-33278 to be taken up by cells (Calabro-Jones et al. 1985), it was necessary to introduce the drug into cells by the method of electroporation. To facilitate a comparison between the protecting properties of WR-33278 and spermine, both agents (at concentrations of 0.01 mM or 0.001 mM) were electroporated into cells following a method described in detail elsewhere (Winegar et al. 1989). In each instance, 5×10^6 cells were suspended into 800 μl of ice cold PBSA and added to a 0.4 cm electroporation cuvette. Electroporation was performed using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser, Richland, CA. After electroporation, samples were held on ice for 30 min and resuspended into 15 ml centrifuge tubes containing 5 ml of medium. The relative efficiency of transfer of material into cells by electroporation was determined using β -galactosidase as a marker. After electroporation, β -galactosidase activity was measured in cells by the method of Winegar and Lutze (1990) using histological staining. β -Galactosidase activity was detected in 100% of the electroporated cells measured but was not observed in control cells which were not exposed to electroporation.

2.4. Survival and mutation studies

Exponentially growing AA8 cells were irradiated in air with ^{60}Co γ rays from a γ -beam 650 irradiator (Atomic Energy of Canada) at a dose rate of 0.5 Gy/min, either 30 min following or 3 h prior to electroporation. Radiation conditions included the exposure of non-electroporated control cells as well as cells electroporated in the presence of 0.01 mM

or 0.001 mM spermine or WR-33278. Cell survival was determined by plating appropriate numbers of cells to give between 80 and 200 colonies per dish, 6 dishes per experimental point. Mutation induction at the *hprt* locus was assayed by seeding at least 10^6 surviving cells per experimental point into roller bottles for culture for 9 days to allow for expression (Nagy et al. 1986). To determine mutation frequencies, cells were exposed to 6-thioguanine (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) at a concentration of 5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ in nucleoside-free α -MEM-10 medium for 7 days and then stained with 0.5% methylene blue. Mutation frequency was expressed as mutants per 10^6 cells following a correction for the cloning efficiency at the time of selection. All experiments were repeated 3 times. Error bars were determined and represent standard error of the mean. Comparisons of data sets were performed using student's two-tailed *t* test.

3. Results

3.1. Electroporation effects on CHO AA8 cells exposed to WR-33278 and spermine

Electroporation conditions were developed empirically so as to minimize toxicity while facilitating the cellular uptake of WR-33278 and spermine. Using electroporation conditions of 300 V and 125 μF , cell survival was reduced to about 74% of untreated controls. Electroporation of either WR-33278 or spermine into cells did not, however, affect their viability (Figure 1A). In contrast to the effect of electroporation on cell survival, no effect was observed by this procedure on either the direct induction of *hprt* mutants or the induction of *hprt* mutants in cells exposed to WR-33278 or spermine (Figure 1B). Electroporation of WR-33278 or spermine at concentrations greater than 0.01 mM was toxic to cells. All experiments were, therefore, limited to drug concentrations of 0.01 mM and 0.001 mM.

3.2. Electroporation effects on the radiation response of CHO AA8 cells

The procedure of electroporation significantly affected the response of CHO cells to radiation exposure. At a dose of 750 cGy, cell survival was reduced to $2.9\% \pm 0.3\%$. When cells were electroporated in PBSA and then irradiated 30 min later, cell survival was reduced to $2.0\% \pm 0.2\%$. The most lethal effect was observed when cells were irradiated first and then subjected to electroporation 3 h later (Figure 2A). Under these conditions cell survival was reduced to $1.5\% \pm 0.1\%$. Mutation induction in irradiated cells was also significantly enhanced if cells were also subjected to electroporation (Figure 2B). The sequence of electroporation versus irradiation did not, however, significantly affect mutation induction. A dose of 750 cGy gave rise to 75 mutants per 10^6 surviving cells, as compared to 155 and 166 per 10^6 surviving cells for cells electroporated before or following irradiation, respectively.

3.3. WR-33278 and spermine effects on radiation response

When contrasted to the level of response of cells exposed to electroporation and 750 cGy of radiation, cells electroporated with 0.01 mM WR-33278 prior to irradiation exhibited a somewhat enhanced survival level (Figure 3A). WR-33278 was ineffective as a radioprotector when administered at the lower concentration of 0.001 mM or when it was administered following irradiation (Figure 3B). WR-33278, at a concentration of 0.01 mM administered prior to irradiation, increased the surviving fraction from $2 \pm 0.2\%$ to $3.7 \pm 0.5\%$ ($P = 0.006$). Spermine was protective at a concentration of 0.01 mM, regardless of whether it was administered before or after the exposure of cells to radiation (Figure 3; $P = 0.013$ and $P = 0.011$, respectively). At a concentration of 0.001 mM, radioprotection by spermine was not as evident for either pre- or post-radiation exposure regimens ($P = 0.257$ and $P = 0.239$, respectively). Both WR-33278 and spermine were effective, regardless of the sequence of administration, in protecting against the induction

of *hprt* mutations by radiation (Figure 4). When administered at a concentration of 0.01 mM, either before or after irradiation, protection by WR-33278 and spermine were highly significant ($P \geq 0.0009$). Even at the lower concentration, both agents conferred protection against the induction of *hprt* mutants ($P \geq 0.04$).

4. Discussion

It has been suggested that one mechanism underlying the radioprotective effects of aminothiols involves the direct binding of their corresponding disulfide forms to DNA (Brown 1967). This mechanism would involve the localization of the disulfide moiety to DNA by ionic binding or by the formation of disulfides with protein thiol groups (Brown 1968, Eldjarn and Phil 1956). A study of this action of disulfides on the processes leading to cellular lethality and mutagenesis is made difficult by the limited ability of these agents to be taken up directly by cells (Calabro-Jones et al. 1988). Furthermore, if cells are exposed to the free thiol WR-1065, the intracellular thiol to disulfide ratio is approximately 10:1 (Smoluk et al. 1988), making it difficult to effectively study the role of the disulfide in radiation protection.

With the development of the technique of electroporation, it is possible to render cell membranes temporarily permeable to macromolecules such as the disulfide WR-33278. To better investigate the role of WR-33278 in radioprotection and to facilitate a comparison of its radioprotective properties with the polyamine spermine, both agents were introduced under identical conditions into CHO AA8 cells by electroporation. Electroporation by itself has been found to be relatively nontoxic to cells and to be ineffective in producing chromosome aberrations (Winegar et al. 1989). However, it has been reported that mammalian cells can be sensitized to the lethal effects of ionizing radiation by electroporation (West 1992). It has been suggested that this induced radiosensitization is

due to changes in cellular toxicity induced by electroporation (West 1992). Our experiments confirmed the radiosensitization effects of electroporation with respect to lethality (Figure 2A) and extended these observations to include the induction of mutations at the *hprt* locus (Figure 2B). The sequence of exposing cells to electroporation and radiation appeared to have no effect on the magnitude of mutants induced per 10^6 surviving cells (Figure 2B). However, irradiation of cells followed 3 h later by electroporation was the more lethal sequence of treatments (Figure 2A). While the reason for this discrepancy is at present unclear, these findings are consistent with the hypothesis that the mechanisms leading to mutagenesis and lethality are different. Evidence suggesting such a difference comes, in part, from work with the aminothiols WR-1065. Administration of WR-1065 following irradiation is effective in protecting against radiation-induced mutagenesis but not cell lethality (Grdina et al. 1985). It has also been reported that differential protection can be afforded to DNA repair-deficient cell lines against either radiation-induced mutagenesis or radiation-induced cell lethality (Grdina et al. 1991b).

The conclusion that the disulfide WR-33278 is ineffective as a radioprotector is based on an analysis of thiol and disulfide contents in WR-1065-treated cells exposed to ionizing radiation (Smoluk et al. 1988). Attempts to correlate intracellular WR-1065 contents with levels of cell survival have been highly successful. Disulfide levels, in contrast, remain flat and do not appear to directly correlate with this endpoint. No attempts have been made, however, to correlate amounts of thiol or disulfide moieties bound to DNA with corresponding levels of radiation protection. If the number of potential binding sites on DNA are limited, such as attachment sites to the nuclear matrix, and become saturated as a result of the high concentration of WR-1065 used, a variation in intracellular thiol and disulfide contents as a function of time might not be indicative of their relative

importance in conferring radiation protection. Data presented in Figure 3A suggest that cells electroporated with 0.01 mM of WR-33278 30 min prior to exposure to 750 cGy can be protected. Although the levels of cell survival were relatively low, survival protection was enhanced 1.8 fold over control levels and was found to be significant ($P = 0.006$). Consistent with previous reports on aminothiols radioprotection (Grdina et al. 1985, Grdina et al. 1989), no protection against cell lethality was observed when WR-33278 was administered following irradiation.

The electroporation of spermine, 0.01 mM, into cells afforded protection, regardless of whether the polyamine was administered before or following irradiation (1.4-fold protection, $P = 0.013$; and 1.4-fold protection, $P = 0.011$, respectively).

Studies on the possible radioprotective properties of polyamines have focused on assessing radiation response in cells treated with agents such as α -difluoromethyl-ornithine to deplete endogenous polyamine levels (Snyder and Lachmann 1989, Snyder 1989, Gerner et al. 1988). Under these conditions, there appeared to be an effect on DNA repair (Snyder and Lachmann 1989, Snyder 1989), but it was cell line dependent (Gerner et al. 1988). It was suggested that radiosensitization following the depletion of endogenous polyamines was due to a suppression of cellular recovery processes involved in sublethal and potentially lethal damage repair (Gerner et al. 1988). The addition of exogenous polyamines to these cells gave rise to an increased repair capacity (Gerner et al. 1988), suggesting an important role for polyamines in cellular radioresistance. While both the disulfide WR-33278 and spermine were somewhat similar in their relative abilities to protect cells against the lethal effects of radiation, both agents appeared to be equally effective with respect to their antimutagenic properties. In contrast to the requirement that aminothiols/disulfides be present before radiation exposure to afford protection against lethality, the administration of WR-33278 either before or following

irradiation was found to be effective in protecting against radiation-induced mutagenesis at the *hprt* locus (Figure 4). Spermine also afforded protection regardless of the sequence of treatments of electroporation and radiation used (Figure 4).

The inability of aminothiols and disulfides to affect cell survival when administered after irradiation suggests that under this condition these agents exerted no effect on the magnitude of repair processes. Rather, the ability of these agents to protect against *hprt* mutation induction under post-radiation exposure conditions suggests that they were affecting the fidelity of repair. Such a model of radiation protection was predicted by Brown (1967). Through an electrostatic binding of disulfides and/or polyamines to DNA (Smoluk et al. 1986, Braulin et al. 1982) not covered by histones, these regions of chromatin would be stabilized to allow for a decreased DNA replication rate and subsequent enhanced period of time for DNA damage repair processes to be completed. Presumably, the binding of these agents to exposed DNA would also facilitate recombinational repair processes which could lead to lower mutational rates.

Mechanisms of radioprotection attributed to aminothiol compounds include free radical scavenging, chemical repair via donation of hydrogen atoms, auto oxidation, and modification of intracellular enzymatic activities. While each of these processes can account in part for protection against cell killing, only the latter mechanism could account for the antimutagenic effects observed when aminothiols are administered to cells 3 h following radiation exposure (Grdina et al. 1992, Grdina et al. 1985, Grdina et al. 1989). Protection against mutation induction and carcinogenesis are the relevant properties possessed by chemopreventative agents. WR-2721 and its associated metabolites, both the free thiol WR-1065 and the disulfide WR-33278, exhibit these properties (Milas et al. 1984, Grdina et al. 1991a, Kataoka et al. 1992, Grdina et al. 1992) and thereby offer significant potential for use in the chemoprevention of cancer. The similarities in

chemical structure and antimutagenic properties between the aminothiols, their corresponding disulfides, and the polyamines further suggest that they all may share in a similar mechanism of action for chemoprevention. If so, the design of new generations of chemopreventative agents could be facilitated by a better understanding of the role(s) of endogenous polyamines in the process(es) leading to genetic stability and, conversely, mutagenesis.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank P. Dale and D. Stella for their technical assistance. We also thank Dr. W. F. Morgan (University of California at San Francisco) for his assistance in designing the electroporation experiments, Drs. E. Clark and C. E. Swenberg (Armed Forces Radiobiological Institute) for supplying WR-33278, Dr. B. Carnes for his assistance in data analysis and statistics, and J. Lear for help in the preparation of this manuscript. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Health and Environmental Research, under Contract W-31-109-ENG-38; by NIH/National Cancer Institute Grant CA-37435, and by the Center for Radiation Therapy (D.J.G.). Recipient of an American Cancer Society Faculty Research Award (J.L.S.).

References

- BRAULIN, W. H., STRICK, T. J., and RECORD, M. T., JR., 1982, Equilibrium dialysis studies of polyamine binding to DNA. *Biopolymers*, **21**, 1301–1314.
- BROWN, P. E., 1967, Mechanism of action of aminothiols radioprotectors. *Nature*, **213**, 363–364.
- BROWN, P. E., 1968, Some effects of binding agents on the X-irradiation of DNA. *Radiation Research*, **34**, 24–35.
- CALABRO-JONES, P. M., AGUILERA, J. A., WARD, J. F., SMOLUK, G. D., and FAHEY, R. C., 1988, Uptake of WR-2721 derivatives by cells in culture: identification of the transported form of the drug. *Cancer Research*, **48**, 3634–3640.
- CALABRO-JONES, P. M., FAHEY, R. C., SMOLUK, G. D., and WARD, J. F., 1985, Alkaline phosphatase promotes radioprotection and accumulation of WR-1065 in V79–171 cells incubated in medium containing WR-2721. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, **47**, 23–27.
- ELDJARN, L., and PHIL, A., 1956, Fixation in vivo of cystamine and cysteamine to proteins. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **223**, 341–352.
- GAUGAS, J. M., 1982, Possible association of radioprotective and chemoprotective aminophosphorothioate drug activity with polyamine oxidase susceptibility. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, **69**, 329–332.
- GERNER, E. W., TOME, M. E., FRY, S. E., and BOWDEN, G. T., 1988, Inhibition of ionizing radiation recovery processes in polyamine-depleted Chinese hamster cells. *Cancer Research*, **48**, 4881–4885.

- GLOVER, D., FOX, K. R., WEILER, C., KLIGERMAN, M. M., TURRISI, A., and GLICK, H. H., 1988, Clinical trials of WR-2721 prior to alkylating agent chemotherapy and radiotherapy. *Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, **39**, 3–7.
- GLOVER, D., GLICK, H. H., WEILER, C., FOX, K., TURRISI, A., and KLIGERMAN, M. M., 1986a Phase I/II trials of R and cis-platinum. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology and Physics*, **12**, 1509–1512.
- GLOVER, D., GLICK, H. H., WEILER, C., HUROWITZ, S., and KLIGERMAN, M. M., 1986b, WR-2721 protects against the hematologic toxicity of cyclophosphamide; a controlled Phase II trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, **4**, 584–588.
- GRDINA, D. J., CARNES, B. A., GRAHN, D., and SIGDESTAD, C. P., 1991a, Protection against late effects of radiation by S-2-(3-aminopropylamino)ethylphosphorothioic acid. *Cancer Research*, **51**, 4125–4130.
- GRDINA, D. J., KATAOKA, Y., BASIC, I., and PERRIN, J., 1992, The radioprotector WR-2721 reduces neutron-induced mutations at the hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase locus in mouse splenocytes when administered prior to or following irradiation. *Carcinogenesis*, **13**, 811–814.
- GRDINA, D. J., NAGY, B., HILL, C. K., WELLS, R. L., and PERAINO, C., 1985, The radioprotector WR-1065 reduces radiation-induced mutations at the hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl transferase locus in V79 cells. *Carcinogenesis*, **6**, 929–931.
- GRDINA, D. J., NAGY, B., and MEECHAN, P., 1991b, Effect of an aminothiols (WR-1065) in radiation-induced mutagenesis and cytotoxicity in two repair-deficient mammalian cell lines. In: *Anticarcinogenesis and Radiation Protection 2*. Edited by O. F. Nygaard and A. C. Upton. (Plenum Press, New York), pp. 287–295.

- GRDINA, D. J., SIGDESTAD, C. P., and CARNES, B. A., 1989, Protection by WR-1065 and WR-151326 against fission-neutron induced mutations at the HGPRT locus in V79 cells. *Radiation Research*, **117**, 500–510.
- HOLWITT, E. A., KODA, E., and SWENBERG, C.E. 1990, Enhancement of topoisomerase I-mediated unwinding of supercoiled DNA by the radioprotector WR-33278. *Radiation Research*, **124**, 107–109.
- KATAOKA, Y., BASIC, I., PERRIN, J., and GRDINA, D. J., 1992, Antimutagenic effects of the radioprotector WR-2721 against fission-spectrum neutrons and ^{60}Co γ rays in mice. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, **61**, 387–392.
- KLIGERMAN, M. M., TURRISI, A. T., URTASUN, R. C., NORFLEET, A. L., PHILLIPS, T. L., BARKLEY, T., and RUBIN, P., 1988, Final report on Phase I trial of WR-2721 before protracted fractionated radiation therapy. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology and Physics* **14**, 1119–1122.
- MILAS, L., HUNTER, N., STEPHENS, C. L., and PETERS, L. J., 1984, Inhibition of radiation carcinogenesis by S-2-(3-aminopropylamino)ethylphosphorothioic acid. *Cancer Research*, **44**, 5567–5569.
- NAGY, B., DALE, P. J., and GRDINA, D. J., 1986, Protection against *cis*-diammine-dichloroplatinum cytotoxicity and mutagenicity in V79 cells by 2-[(aminopropyl)amino]ethanethiol. *Cancer Research*, **46**, 1132–1135.
- SMOLUK, G. D., FAHEY, R. C., CALABRO-JONES, P. M., AGUILERA, J. A., and WARD, J. F., 1988, Radioprotection of cells in culture by WR-2721 and derivatives: form of the drug responsible for protection. *Cancer Research*, **48**, 3641–3647.
- SMOLUK, G. D., FAHEY, R. C., and WARD, J. F., 1986, Equilibrium dialysis studies of the binding of radioprotector compounds to DNA. *Radiation Research*, **107**, 194–204.

- SNYDER, R. D., 1989, Inhibition of X-ray induced DNA strand break repair in polyamine depleted HeLa cells. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, **55**, 773-782.
- SNYDER, R. D., and LACHMANN, P. J., 1989, Hyperthermia, polyamine depletion, and inhalation of X-ray-induced DNA strand break repair. *Radiation Research*, **120**, 121-128.
- WEST, C. M. L., 1992, A potential pitfall in the use of electroporation: cellular radiosensitization by pulsed high-voltage electric fields. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, **61**, 329-334.
- WINEGAR, R. A., and LUTZE, L. H., 1990, Introduction of biologically active proteins into viable cells by electroporation. *Focus*, **12**, 34-37.
- WINEGAR, R. A., PHILLIPS, J. W., YOUNGBLOM, J. H., and MORGAN, W. F., 1989, Cell electroporation is a highly efficient method for introducing restriction endonucleases into cells. *Mutation Research*, **225**, 49-53.
- WOOLLEY, P. E. V., III, AYOUB, M. J., SMITH, F. P., and DRITSCHILO, A., 1983, Clinical trial of the effect of S-2-(3-aminopropylamino)ethylphosphorothioic acid (WR-2721) (NSC296961) on the toxicity of cyclophosphamide. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, **1**, 198-203.
- WYATT, I., MOORE, R. B., and SMITH, Q. L., 1989, Competition for polyamine uptake into rat lung slices by WR-2721 and analogues. *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, **55**, 463-472.
- YUHAS, J. M., 1979, Differential protection of normal and malignant tissue against the cytotoxic effects of mechlorethamine. *Cancer Treatment Report*, **63**, 971-976.
- YUHAS, J. M., and STORER, J. B., 1969, Differential chemoprotection of normal and malignant tissues. *Journal of National Cancer Institute*, **42**, 331-335.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Effects of WR-33278 (open bar) and spermine (solid bar) on CHO AA8 cell survival (panel A) and mutation induction at the *hprt* locus (panel B). Drug-only bars represent the effects of 0.01 mM WR-33278 or 0.01 mM spermine on these processes. Panel A: compared with its corresponding drug exposure only group, all cell survivals in each of the electroporated groups are significantly reduced (student's two-tailed *t* test, $P \geq 0.001$). Panel B: compared with its corresponding drug exposure only group, the number of mutants per 10^6 surviving cells in each of the electroporated groups is not significantly different ($P \leq 0.01$). Data presented are from a minimum of 3 replicate experiments. Error bars represent one standard error of the mean.

Figure 2. Effect of electroporation on radiation-induced cell killing (panel A) and mutagenesis at the *hprt* locus (panel B). Panel A: as compared with cell killing by radiation only, cell survival was significantly reduced by electroporation performed 30 min before ($P = 0.007$) or 3 h after ($P > 0.001$) irradiation. Panel B: mutation induction was significantly enhanced by electroporation performed 30 min before ($P > 0.001$) or 3 h after ($P > 0.001$) irradiation. Experiments were repeated a minimum of 3 times. Error bars represent one standard error of the mean.

Figure 3. Effect of electroporation with either WR-33278 (open bar) or spermine (solid bar) on the survival of cells irradiated with 750 cGy either 30 min after (panel A) or 3 h before (panel B) electroporation. Panel A: comparing electroporation with no drug 30 min prior to irradiation, electroporation of 0.01 mM WR-33278 or spermine 30 min prior to irradiation significantly protected against cell killing ($P = 0.006$ and

$P = 0.013$, respectively). Electroporation of 0.001 mM WR-33278 or spermine was less effective ($P = 0.25$ and $P = 0.02$, respectively). Panel B: comparing electroporation with no drug 3 h after irradiation, electroporation of WR-33278 did not affect cell survival (0.01 mM, $P = 0.1$; and 0.001 mM, $P = 0.1$). Electroporation of spermine at a concentration of 0.01 mM was more effective ($P = 0.01$) than a concentration of 0.001 mM ($P = 0.23$). All experiments were repeated a minimum of 3 times. Error bars equal one standard error of the mean.

Figure 4. Effect of electroporation with either WR-33278 (open bar) or spermine (solid bar) on *hprt* mutation induction in cells irradiated with 750 cGy either 30 min after (panel A) or 3 h before (panel B) electroporation. Panel A: comparing electroporation with no drug 30 min prior to irradiation, electroporation of both 0.01 mM and 0.001 mM WR-33278 or spermine were highly effective in protecting against the induction of *hprt* mutants ($P > 0.001$, $P = 0.015$, $P > 0.001$, $P = 0.04$, respectively). Panel B: comparing electroporation with no drug 3 h following irradiation, electroporation of both 0.01 mM and 0.001 mM WR-33278 or spermine were highly effective in protecting against the induction of *hprt* mutants (all P values > 0.001). All experiments were repeated a minimum of 3 times. Error bars represent one standard error of the mean.

END

**DATE
FILMED**

12 / 8 / 93