	44	GBT A	li/wri	MU GIN	NEWDOCKO BILLI	. Ari serso	and the same and t								
(16/40		007L-0				ROW OF	T BANTOIC	a							
		w bevo		□ □			uo.	nezi	webio bi	IIAIBABU IOI	T .				
Cognizant Manager Date Date						Sygnature of EDT \ Date Determined Representative Date Date Originator									
		pe.	Approv	' 🗆	15/8//3	TINI					16/21/3	belled	UU	55	
				דיני וא							ľ ′	· ·			
	iupai î) JAVO	899A	Z1. DOE		20.		7 5	1-87	.er		1150		.81	
						···			>-87		(8)	1730		٤	
							1	40	-87	S	커너 1	CENTRA		3	
				, /		1 - 2						Env.			
		12/	6/2	048	03 4/	7			01-1	ES T	MG DIF	√ YJela2	1	7	
												σv	·		
		PE-1	2 [16/81	18 -811		<u> </u>		12-12L	MANON	9 A.J	Cog. Mgr.]		
		-CE-)	, , ,	66/1/	1x man	· 11.	7							7	
	uos	4-1		rojer	10 1900) /4 ()		~E- —	h_	MORLEY	MT.	Cog. Eng.	1	2	
.qsiQ	-BeA	NISM (I	M) e.	tsQ (J)	erutangi2 (X)	emeM (L)	NISM (M)	e)a((r) D	enutengi2 ((k	emsM (L)	.qsiQ	Rea-	
(H)	(e)					FEADISTRIBUTED IN 1991		99S))	***************************************		۲۲.	(H)	(9)	
		on adio				1									
		/ beweiv las Igie:			2. Approved w/comn 3. Disapproved w/coi	(beninne 8	w ipt Acknow.		A-tao9 .		2. Releasesmoth	(54)	.a arm		
Juemi	mos/or	1 bewei	4. Rev	7	bevorqqA .f			,	weiveA .	b 18.	1. Approv	1	10 ,5 ,5	1	
		(H) & (I	l) noitieoqe	!a		(G) letti	wsu	a₁T 101 n	oseey		(F)	pact Le	w _j	
						KEA						<u></u>		.91	
	T							Т		<u> </u>					
								-				-			
	-	_				····									
								_							
	1														
	T							T							
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SISIOH	WIAH.	5			L'	30 - WE	73 L		
	+	_ _	7	SE	ONAH	NOTON	-P HHKK	11	0		+)HM			
noitie	uoi	18 6	nitt	WAS TONE	3. 7.11		0001, 03					-dD-05-DH			
-oqsiQ	-ods	iQ -8	101 na1T	Level	bettimenesT sted t	Description o	10 eltiT (3)		(D) Rev. No.	(C) Sheet	.oN gaiwe	nd\Inemuoo	a (8)	meal No.	
-vieceA	-i8i-		Reas		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>			10 (0)				(A)	
(1)	(н)	(ව)	(円)			GATTIME	SNA	AT ATA	3			-	.Sr	
		41													
	e Date		····	14. Req											
:'ON U	01183110	//√ Julk ∀bi		13. Pem						•					
	.,	4/			*********	·						gemerks:	eceiver	a .rr	
	:.oN .:			isM .Sr											
	ZS	i-hEi	7	117		,				SL	SIOH V	MAHD C	3UAH	1	
				ays .or	NOTONIS	R HARI	NT FO	3W	noch	EEW [T 40	BONAC	SSI	-	
9L'SL		(1200				1						. Bemarks:	ıosanigi	8. Or	
	:.oN			9. Equip	ORLEY	J.M. M.	og. Engr.:		9 8	KPCH	01551 :	.VDept./Div.			
	;		7//V 0 9881	7. Purch			CINEER								
			AIN		SYELVS	S YLIT					SNOLL	AN39C	de de	19	
				4. Relat		esineg10 gniti					(noitasi	S+A+S	рое у) :	20/08	
7	lqí	007	ōΝ	1 EDT								5.45	3	22	
न्द्रित	ا ما	Раде				IATTI	MSNAAT	L A	TAG :	EEBING	ENGIN	4661	16	DVA	
				1								1007	· • `		

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

UNREVIEWED SAFETY QUESTION CHANGES SCREENING FORM									
Doc	ument Number EDT 700517 2 of 4								
Title	PFP Harrington Hand Hoists; WHC-SD-CP-TE	067 PW EM-D68 PW							
QUE	STIONS:								
Doe	s the referenced item:		N/A	No	Yes/Maybe				
Α.	Make PROPOSED CHANGES to the facility or procede conditions described in the safety basis?								
В.	Make PROPOSED CHANGES that represent conditionanalyzed in the safety basis?	ns that have not been							
C.	Describe tests or experiments which differ from thosafety basis?	se described in the							
D.	Is a change to the TSRs involved?								
	A. The system affected by this change 021. This change does not affect B/C/D There are no procedures, tests or No tests or experiments are involv	the authorization bas experiments affected	is.						
USC				')	ach additional Output Date				

RECEIVED

			ern an	4004	12/19
UNREVIEWED SAI SCREENING AND			OS	1954 T 1 - 2	00 H
1. Identification Number: EDT 700517	Completion of pag satisfies the Scre		Pag	ge <u>Z</u> o	18
2. Title: PFP HARRINGTON HAND HOISTS; WHC-SD-C	P-TEEM-067				
3. Qualified USQ Evaluator: G.P. WILSON			Dat	e: 08/17	/94
4. Peer Reviewer: R.J. THOMAS					
5. Is the matter being evaluated a CHANGE or a DISCOVERY? (Circle One)	CHANGE			······	
6. USQ Screening (Answer the appropriate set of questions.)				·	
Does the proposed change:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
A. Make changes in the facility as described in approved safety analyses?			No	Yes/M	aybe
B. Make changes in procedures as described in approved safety analyses?			X No	Yes/M	aybe
C. Involve tests or experiments not described in approved safety analyses?			X No	Yes/M	aybe
			_	_	
Will response to the discovery:					
A. Require changes to the facility as described in the approved safety analyses?			X No	Yes/M	aybe
B. Require changes in procedures described in the approved safety analyses?			X No	☐ Yes/M	aybe
C. Require tests or experiments not described in the approved safety analyses?			X No	☐ Yes/M	aybe
D. Require revision or addition to SAR beyond annual update?			[X] No	☐ Yes/M	
WHC-SD-CP-SAR-021. THIS CHANGE DOES 6B/C PROCEDURES, TESTS, OR EXPERIMENTS ARE INVOVLVED.					
7. Safety Analyses					
A. Will the proposed change or response to the discovery require a change to the Operational Safety Requirements?	e existing Technical Spec	ifications or	X No	Yes/M	aybe
3. Will the proposed change or discovery require additional Technical Specification	on or Operational Safety	Requirements?	No No	Yes/Ma	aybe
BASIS (supporting information is required for each question, attach additional pag dequirements reviewed to come to conclusion): 7A/B. NO CHANGES TO THE OSR'S OR AE				Operational Safe	ty
3. Post Review (Required if all questions answered "NO")					
	Date:				
Reviewer: Safety Qualified USQ Evaluator (Print name, sign and date)		If any question or "Yes", completion Safety Evaluation	n of page two		

			do 2/2-1	
Identification Number:EDT 700517	SAFETY EVALUATION	DN	Page	3 of 3
1. USQ Safety Evaluation:				
These questions shall be answered if any question in block 6 or 7 is answered	"YES."			
A. Will the probability of an accident previously evaluated in approved safety a	nalyses be increased?	X No	Yes	Maybe
B. Will the consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the approved	safety analyses be increased?	X No	Yes	Maybe
C. Will the probability of a malfunction of equipment important to safety be inc	creased?	X No	Yes	Maybe
D. Will the consequences of a malfunction of equipment important to safety be	e increased?	X No	Yes	Maybe
E. Will the possibility of an accident of a different type than any previously evalue be created?	aluated in approved safety analyses	X No	Yes	Maybe
F. Will the possibility of a malfunction of a different type than any previously e analyses be created?	valuated in the approved safety	 No	Yes	Maybe
G. Will the margin of safety as defined in the basis for any technical specificat reduced?	ion or safety analysis report be	⊠ Nο	Yes	Maybe
BASIS (supporting information is required for each question, attach additional p 1A/B/C/D. THE PROBABILITY/CONSEQUEN THIS CHANGE WILL NOT BE INCREASED ON 1E/F/G. THIS CHANGE DOES NOT CREATE ACCIDENT/MALFUNCTION OF A DIFFERENT WHC-SD-HS-SAR-021, CHAPTER 9. THE N	NCES OF ACCIDENTS/MAL /ER THAT ANALYZED IN E THE POSSIBILITY OF A TYPE THAT THAT ANALY	THE FSAR. AN ZED IN		-
			JUCED.	
THIS CHANGE DOES NOT REPRESENT AN UN	REVIEWED SAFETY QUES	TION.		
2. Plant Review Committee (PRC)*	3. Safety Review**			
Meeting No. NA Date: PRC Chairman Concurrence	Qualified USQ Eval	ator	Date:	
(Print name, sign and date)	(Print name, sign and			

RELEASE AUTHORIZATION Document Number: WHC-SD-CP-TEEM-067 **Document Title:** PFP HARRINGTON HAND CHAIN HOISTS Release Date: 08-30-94 This document was reviewed following the procedures described in WHC-CM-3-4 and is: APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE WHC Information Release Administration Specialist: Jamara John for Chris Willingham c. Willingham 08-30-94

(Date)

(Signature)

constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the

United States Government or any agency thereof.

35

9. Impact Level

TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE WHC-SD-CP-TEEM-067 PFP HARRINGTON HAND CHAIN HOISTS

Issued by WESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

for the

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY RICHLAND OPERATIONS OFFICE RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

	Name (print or type)	Signature	Date
PREPARED BY:	K.M. BLACK Name/Title	K.M. Black	8 / 17 / 94
WHC APPROVALS:	J.M. Morley Cognizant Engineer	In Morley	9117194
	GA Glover Manager, COG Eng.	Ja Hong	81/8194
• ^ .	Safety- HSF	Myres	8122194

Note: Harrington Hand Chain Hoists are a Trade Mark of Harrington Hoists

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 -	APPLI	CABLE	EQUIPN	1ENT		•	• •		•		•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	1	-2
2.0 -	PREVEN 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6	NTATIVI DAILY WEEKL' SEMI-/ 5 YEAI ACTIVI VENDOI	OR BE Y CHEC ANNUAL R CHEC ITIES	FORE KS. CHEC K. NOT I	USE CKS PERF	CH : ORM	ECKS · · · · ED P	ER '	: :	· · · RE		 MMEI	ND/	: \TI	·	S	•		•						3 4 4 7 7
3.0 -	REFER																								
APPEND	OIX A -	- EQUII	PMENT	HISTO	DRY	• .			•	•	•		•	÷	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		9
APPEND	OIX B -	- NAMEI	PLATE	DATA																			1	0-	11

1.0 - APPLICABLE EQUIPMENT

This Technical Evaluation of Equipment Maintenance (TEEM) is provided principally to document vendor suggested maintenance requirements and deviations from vendor suggested requirements, and provide documentation to support PM procedures. As additional maintenance activities are identified, they will be documented in later revisions.

This equipment is Safety Class 3. Safety class is provided here for reference only. (See WHC-SD-CP-TI-108 Rev 12 for verification)

This TEEM is applicable to the following hoists:

IDENTIFICATION	EQUIPMENT									
NUMBER										
H0044	2 1/2 Ton capacity chain hoist, serial number 702922, located in the tool crib, Room 194 of the 234-5Z building.									
H0045	2 1/2 Ton capacity chain hoist, serial number 702920, located in the tool crib, Room 194 of the 234-5Z building.									
H0046	2 Ton capacity chain hoist, serial number 664292, located in the tool crib, Room 194 of the 234-5Z building.									
H0047	2 Ton capacity chain hoist, serial number 664290, located in the tool crib, Room 194 of the 234-5Z building.									
H0048	1 1/2 Ton capacity chain hoist, serial number 664266, located in the tool crib, Room 194 of the 234-5Z building.									
H0049	1 1/2 Ton capacity chain hoist, serial number 664265, located in the tool crib, Room 194 of the 234-5Z building.									
H0050	1 Ton capacity chain hoist, serial number 664237, located in the tool crib, Room 194 of the 234-5Z building.									

H0051		chain hoist, serial tool crib, Room 194		
H0075	3 Ton capacity located in the building.	chain hoist, serial tool crib, Room 194	number of the	664303, 234-5Z
H0076		chain hoist, serial tool crib, Room 194		

Equipment Description:
These are HARRINGTON hoists, Model M3.

2.0 - PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE EVALUATIONS

2.1 DAILY OR BEFORE USE CHECKS

2.1.1 FUNCTIONAL OPERATION CHECK

Activity - Check that all possible motions are smooth and regular for all speed steps with no hesitations, vibration, binding, weaving, unusual noise, or other irregularity. If chain binds, jumps or is excessively noisy, clean and lubricate with Lubrease Chain and Cable Spray. Check that hand chain moves freely and without binding, and that the hook stops moving when the hand chain stops moving.

Evaluation - Per REF's 3.2, DOE-RL-92-36, Section 12.12.4.1, and 3.1 VI 22568, pgs 11-16.

2.1.2 HOOK INSPECTION

Activity - Ensure that hooks/hook latches show no noticeable damage, wear or deformation.

Evaluation - Per REF's 3.2, DOE-RL-92-36, Section 12.12.4.1, and 3.1 VI 22568, pgs 11 & 12.

2.1.3 BRAKE OPERATION

Activity - Ensure that brakes operate smoothly.

Evaluation - Per REF's 3.2, DOE-RL-92-36, Section 12.12.4.1, and 3.1 VI 22568, pgs 13 & 14.

2.1.4 HOIST CHAINS

Activity - Visually ensure that hoisting chains are in good condition with no bends, cracks or pitting, and hoist chain feeds smoothly into and away from sprockets. Inspect chain for excessive wear or stretching by measuring 5 links in accordance with vendor instructions.

Evaluation - Per REF's 3.2, DOE-RL-92-36, Section 12.12.4.1, and 3.1 VI 22568, pg 12.

2.1.5 LUBRICANT LEAKAGE

Activity - Ensure that there is no visible leakage of lubricant.

Evaluation - Per REF 3.2, DOE-RL-92-36, Section 12.16.6.

2.1.6 CHAIN REEVING

Activity - Inspect load sheave and replace if damaged or worn. Make sure that the welds in standing links are away from load sheave, and that the chain is not capsized, twisted or kinked.

Evaluation - Per REF 3.1 VI 22568, pg 15.

2.2 WEEKLY CHECKS

2.2.1 CHAIN LUBRICATION

Activity - Lubricate load chain, hook, and safety latch pivot points. Use Lubrease Chain and Cable Spray.

Evaluation - Per REF 3.1 VI 22568, pg 17.

2.3 SEMI-ANNUAL CHECKS

2.3.1 GENERAL INSPECTION

Activity - Inspect the hoist for the following:

- deformed, cracked, or corroded members
- loose or missing bolts or rivets
- cracked or worn sheaves, drums, and load or idler sprockets

 worn, cracked or distorted parts such as pins, bearings, shafts, gears, rollers, locking and clamping devices

Evaluation - As Per REF's 3.1, VI 22568, pgs 11-16, and 3.2, DOE-RL-92-36, Section 12.12.4.1.

2.3.2 HOOK INSPECTION

Activity - Dye penetrant, magnetic particle or other suitable crack detecting method should be used for this inspection at the discretion of the COG Engineer. Visually inspect for cracks, increased throat openings, twists, damage to hook retaining nuts, collars, or pins, and welds or rivets used to secure retaining members. Check latch engagement and operation to assure latch is not damaged or bent. Hooks that allow the latch to disengaged the tip must be replaced. Look for evidence of heat damage. Causes for rejection include:

- 15% or greater increase in the throat opening
- 10 degree or greater twist of the point away from the shank
- 10% or greater wear in the throat section
- 5% or greater elongation of shank

Note: Actual hook size can be found in the initial JCS work package for new installations. An established base line will have been recorded in the user procedure of PISCES for older equipment.

Any hook that is twisted or has excessive throat opening indicates abuse or over-loading of the unit. Other load sustaining components of the hoist should be inspected for damage.

Evaluation - Per REF 3.2, DOE-RL-92-36, Section 5.4.4, and REF 3.1 VI 22568, pgs 11 & 12.

2.3.3 LOAD CHAIN INSPECTION

Activity - Visually inspect end connections of wire ropes or chains. Check for stiffening or poor hinging of linkage. Look for evidence of heat damage. Causes for rejection include:

- link wear to less than 90% of the original bar diameter
- nicking, cracking, or corrosion of a link that, when ground out to a smooth surface, leaves less than 90% of the original bar diameter

Note: Original bar diameter can be found in the hoist history file.

Evaluation - Per REF 3.2, DOE-RL-92-36, Section 12.14.1

2.3.4 FUNCTIONAL OPERATION

Activity - Check functional operating mechanisms.

Evaluation - Per REF 3.2, DOE-RL-92-36, Section 12.12.4.1.

2.3.5 BRAKE CHECK

Activity - Check brake system parts, pawls, and ratchets. Inspect brake components for worn, glazed, or oil-contaminated friction discs and scoring of the handwheel hub, ratchet, and friction hub. Look for corroded, stretched or broken pawl spring. Ensure free movement of pawl on pawl stud. Apply a thin coat of WD-40 (WD-40 Co.) to the pawl stud before reassembly.

Evaluation - Per REF 3.2, DOE-RL-92-36, Section 12.9.2.

2.3.6 LUBRICATION

Activity - When the hoist is disassembled for inspection or cleaning the gears should be lubricated using Calcium soap grease or equivalent.

Be sure to thoroughly clean the old grease from the part before re-lubricating.

Evaluation - Per REF 3.2, DOE-RL-92-36, Section 12.16.6, and REF 3.1 VI 22568, pg 17.

2.4 5 YEAR CHECK

2.4.1 LOAD TEST

Activity - The hoist shall be load tested at 125% of its rated capacity. The test load shall be lifted and held for 5 minutes. Raise the load an additional 2 wraps on the load drum and at least 3 feet, for 5 minutes. Lower the load and suspend for an additional 5 minutes. Throughout the procedure, verify that no slippage of more than 1/2 inch occurs. Following the load test, verify that no load bearing part or hook has been damaged.

Evaluation - Per REF 3.2, DOE-RL-92-36, Section 12.17.2.

- 2.5 ACTIVITIES NOT PERFORMED PER VI RECOMMENDATIONS
 None.
- 2.6 VENDOR RECOMMENDATIONS THAT ARE NOT APPLICABLE None.

3.0 - REFERENCES

- 3.1 VI file 22568, dated 3/30/94, titled "Operation and Safety Instructions for Harrington Peerless CB Chain Hoist Model M3," equipment manufacturer Harrington Hoists Inc.
- 3.2 DOE-RL-92-36, Hanford Site Hoisting and Rigging Manual, Westinghouse Hanford Company, latest revision.

APPENDIX A

EQUIPMENT HISTORY

As a result of an audit performed by Stone & Webster for the Richland Operations Office of the Department of Energy, equipment history files for the cranes and hoists at PFP were given a base start date of April 1, 1991. These files are maintained by the Cognizant Engineer with responsibility for the oversight of system 41B.

APPENDIX B

NAMEPLATE DATA

The nameplate for these hoists should include the following information:

H0044:	Manufacturer:	Harrington
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Model #:	M3
	S/N:	702922

Weight: 2 1/2 Ton Type: Hand chain

HOO45: Manufacturer: Harrington Model #: M3

S/N: 702920
Weight: 2 1/2 Ton
Type: Hand chain

H0046: Manufacturer: Harrington

Model #: M3
S/N: 664292
Weight: 2 Ton
Type: Hand chain

H0047: Manufacturer: Harrington

Model #: M3
S/N: 664290
Weight: 2 Ton
Type: Hand chain

HO048: Manufacturer: Harrington

Model #: M3
S/N: 664266
Weight: 1 1/2 Ton
Type: Hand chain

H0049: Manufacturer: Harrington

Model #: M3
S/N: 664265
Weight: 1 1/2 Ton
Type: Hand chain

H0050: Manufacturer: Harrington

Model #: M3
S/N: 664237
Weight: 1 Ton
Type: Hand chain

Type: Hand chair

H0051: Manufacturer: Harrington Model #: M3

Model #: M3
S/N: 663643
Weight: 1 Ton
Type: Hand chain

H0075: Manufacturer: Harrington

Model #: M3
S/N: 664303
Weight: 3 Ton
Type: Hand chain

H0076: Manufacturer: Harrington

Model #: M3
S/N: 664301
Weight: 3 Ton
Type: Hand chain