USING BENCHMARKING TO MINIMIZE COMMON DOE WASTE STREAMS Volume II. Used Motor Oil

Prepared for
**U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Waste Management
Environmental Management
Waste Minimization Division**

Prepared by
Victoria Levin
Environmentally Conscious Life Cycle Systems Department
Sandia National Laboratories
Albuquerque, NM 87185 and Livermore, CA 94550

This work was supported by the U.S. DOE under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

Abstract

Finding innovative ways to reduce waste streams generated at U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) sites by 50% by the year 2000 is a challenge for DOE's waste minimization efforts. A team composed of members from several DOE facilities used the quality tool benchmarking to improve waste minimization efforts. First the team examined used motor oil generation and handling processes at their sites. Then team members developed telephone and written questionnaires to help identify potential "best-in-class" industry partners willing to share information about their best waste minimization techniques and technologies. No industry partners were found that met the team's criteria and would agree to partner with the team, which is an acceptable outcome in benchmarking. Instead, the team performed an internal evaluation of the best management practices and technology to minimize used motor oil.

MASTER

gp
DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This report represents the efforts of many participants who shared their talents, time, and resources to further DOE's waste minimization efforts. We thank the following for their contributions:

DOE sponsors

- Kent Hancock and Ker-Chi Chang at DOE EM-334, and Oren Critchfield at DOE/AL
- DOE support staff Patricia Robinson, Paul Deltete, and Troy Eshleman

DOE used motor oil process experts

- James Bennett, Waste Minimization Coordinator, Environmental Office, Martin Marietta Energy Systems(MMES)/Y-12, Oak Ridge, TN
- Gary Bowling, Maintenance Supervisor, MMES/Y-12, Oak Ridge, TN
- Dave Dahlquist, Bus Maintenance Supervisor, former Group Environmental Coordinator of Fleet Management, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), Idaho Falls, ID
- Wendy Faler, Group Environmental Coordinator of Fleet Management, INEL, Idaho Falls, ID
- Daniel Gonzales, Fleet Operations Superintendent, Reynolds Electrical Engineering Company (REECO), Las Vegas, NV
- Bill Rose, Project Manager for the motor pool, Sandia National Laboratories (SNL), Albuquerque, NM
- Reggie Tibbets, Maintenance Supervisor, SNL/NM, Albuquerque, NM
- Melissa Armijo, ES&H Coordinator for Motor Pool Services, SNL/NM, Albuquerque, NM

Sandia National Laboratories Process Improvement/Benchmarking Department facilitators

- Lynn Ritchie
- Ken Ronquillo
- Teresa Torres

Mark Boylan, of Wastren, Inc., for information from the DOE Pollution Prevention Vehicle Maintenance Handbook, 1994.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Executive Summary

Mission	Recent Executive Orders are challenging U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) facilities to prevent pollution at its source and to use recycled products. DOE continues to seek innovative ways to reduce waste streams generated at DOE sites by 50% by the year 2000.
Project Focus	<p>Sponsored by the DOE's Waste Minimization Division (EM-334), the Benchmarking for Waste Minimization project examines waste minimization techniques and technologies that have been used successfully to minimize used motor oil and provides this information to affected sites within DOE. Benchmarking was the methodology used for analyzing the internal processes and seeking partners that have successfully improved their waste minimization processes.</p> <p>This report describes the team findings of the best waste minimization practices for used motor oil.</p>
Benchmarking Definition	<p>Benchmarking is the continuous process of improving products, services, and practices by identifying and understanding the current process, exchanging information with recognized leaders in the field, and implementing meaningful improvements.</p> <p>Benchmarking is used by a variety of companies and organizations as a quality improvement tool. For this project, the following 12-step benchmarking process was used:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Identify process to be benchmarked2. Establish management commitment3. Identify and establish benchmarking team4. Define and understand the process to be benchmarked5. Identify metrics6. Evaluate current performance7. Identify potential benchmarking partners8. Collect process data from potential partners9. Analyze potential partners' data and choose partners10. Conduct site visits11. Communicate results12. Continue to benchmark the process

Continued on the next page...

Executive Summary

Benchmarking Team

A benchmarking team evaluated the current internal processes used at several DOE facilities for used motor oil. The team created a process flow chart and defined process metrics. Using telephone surveys and written questionnaires, the team searched for industry partners with similar working environments that had addressed the problems that the team was investigating. On occasion, benchmarking teams do not find partners that can provide innovative information. The team was unable to find appropriate partners that met the team's criteria and were willing to participate. The team decided to perform an internal evaluation at DOE sites with established used motor oil waste minimization programs.

Results

The team visited the fleet maintenance operations at the Nevada Test Site and Idaho National Engineering Laboratory to learn about their waste minimization practices.

Waste minimization practices included the following:

- Extend the frequency of oil changes by using the upper limit of time and mileage defined by manufacturers' warranties.
 - Replace disposable oil filters on buses with permanent filters with removable mesh screens that can be cleaned and reused.
 - Purchase motor oil in bulk and dispense oil from a centrally located tank. The pumping system sends oil directly to the maintenance station, allowing quantities dispensed to be monitored and overhead charge-back to the correct accounts.
 - Drain and crush oil filters before disposal. (Each state has unique regulations.)
 - Instead of rags or paper, use cloth towels that can be leased, laundered, and reused. Work with a vendor that has equipment that captures oil from wash water and recycles or reuses the oil.
 - Avoid releases to sewer systems or to groundwater by closing all floor drains, using containment berms in storage areas, and disallowing field work on vehicles.
 - Use biodegradable detergents in automatic parts washers and steam cleaners instead of manually cleaning with solvents. The machines can recapture oil for recycling.
-

Contents

Executive Summary	iii
Acronyms	vi
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Purpose	2
1.3 Report Structure	2
2.0 Benchmarking Methodology	4
2.1 Defining the Benchmarking Process	5
3.0 Used Motor Oil Benchmarking Results	9
3.1 Step 1: Identify Process to be Benchmarked	10
3.2 Step 2: Establish Management Commitment	12
3.3 Step 3: Identify and Establish Benchmarking Team	13
3.4 Step 4: Define and Understand the Process to be Benchmarked	15
3.5 Step 5: Identify Metrics	19
3.6 Step 6: Evaluate Current Performance	20
3.7 Step 7: Identify Potential Benchmarking Partners	22
3.8 Step 8: Collect Process Data from Potential Partners	23
3.9 Step 9: Analyze Potential Partners' Data and Choose Partners	27
3.10 Step 10: Conduct Site Visits	28
3.10.1 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Site Visit	28
3.10.2 Nevada Test Site Site Visit	28
3.11 Step 11: Communicate Results	33
3.11.1 Waste Minimization Practices	33
3.12 Step 12: Continue to Conduct Benchmarking of Process	41
4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations	42
4.1 Lessons Learned by the Project Leader	42
4.2 Lessons Learned from the Process Experts	42
References	44
Appendix A Telephone and Written Questionnaires	
Appendix B Interview Question Set	
Distribution	

Figures

2-1 12-Step Benchmarking Methodology	4
3-1 Waste-Generating Activities in DOE	10
3-2 Used Motor Oil Generation and Handling Process	18

Tables

3.1 Description of Work Environment	29
3.2 Description of Oil Usage and Disposal	29
3.3 Description of Filter Usage and Disposal	31
3.4 Miscellaneous Process Information	31
3.5 Source Reduction	34
3.6 Recycle/Recovery	34
3.7 Solid Waste Related to Used Motor Oil Process	35
3.8 Spills and Leaks	37
3.9 Cleaning	38
3.10 Miscellaneous Waste Minimization Practices	39

Acronyms

BMP	Best Management Practices
COO	Conduct of Operations
D&D	Decommissioning and Decontamination
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
ES&H	Environment, Safety and Health
FY	Fiscal Year
GPP	Guides to Pollution Prevention
HAZWRAP	Hazardous Waste Remedial Actions Program
INEL	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
M&O	Management and Operation
MMES	Martin Marietta Energy Systems
NTS	Nevada Test Site
OP	Operating Procedure
PHA	Preliminary Hazard Assessment
PP	Pollution Prevention
REECO	Reynolds Electrical and Engineering Company
SNL/CA	Sandia National Laboratories, California
SNL/NM	Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
VMF	Vehicle Maintenance Fleet
WMin	Waste Minimization
WMIS	Waste Management Information System
WS	Waste Stream

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background

Executive Orders Executive Orders signed by President Clinton require federal government agencies to prevent pollution at its source and to use recycled products. Executive Order 12856 states that "It is the national policy of the United States that whenever feasible, pollution should be prevented or reduced at the source." On October 20, 1993, President Clinton signed Executive Order 12873 requiring all executive agencies to buy recycled products, including re-refined lubricating oil. The order focuses on federal acquisition, recycling, and waste prevention and is intended "to strengthen the role of the Federal Government as an enlightened, environmentally conscious and concerned consumer." By giving preference to recycled products and eliminating virgin material requirements, the federal government's intention is to expand markets for recovered materials.

**DOE Waste
Minimization
Mission**

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) has placed a high priority on waste minimization and pollution prevention, encouraging waste generators to develop programs and request adequate resources to effect long-term savings. To provide a strategy for meeting these priorities, the DOE created the Waste Minimization/Pollution Prevention Crosscut Plan (DOE, 1994). The plan states that DOE's waste minimization (WMin) mission is

"To reduce generation and release of DOE multi-media wastes and pollutants by implementing cost-effective waste minimization and pollution prevention technologies, practices, and policies, with partners in government and industry while conducting the Department's operations in compliance with applicable environmental requirements."

DOE Objective

This benchmarking project helps to accomplish one of the major DOE Crosscut Plan Strategic Objectives which is "to identify and develop technologies and exchange information." The DOE can enhance the effectiveness of WMin efforts by exchanging applicable technologies and information with companies or organizations that are already successful in their WMin/Pollution Prevention approach. A secondary DOE objective is to work closer with U.S. industry.

Sponsor

The sponsor of this project is the DOE Waste Minimization Division, EM-334. The division's mission is to plan, coordinate, and develop a DOE-wide Waste Minimization and Pollution Prevention Program that results in a decrease in the amount of wastes produced by the DOE.

Benchmarking Approach

Benchmarking was chosen as the project approach because it

- has proven capabilities as a quality improvement tool,
- provides flexibility,
- may be applied to many different processes, and
- increases ties with U.S. industry.

For a complete definition of benchmarking and an explanation of the process, refer to *Using Benchmarking to Minimize Common DOE Waste Streams, Volume I, Methodology and Liquid Photographic Waste*, SAND93-3992, April 1994.

1.2 Purpose

Project Purpose

The project's purpose is to

- identify common waste streams throughout the DOE,
- provide a forum for the waste generators who produce the same waste stream at different DOE facilities,
- partner with private industry to learn the best waste minimization technologies that have been applied successfully to these waste streams, and
- provide this information to the DOE.

Benchmarking, a quality tool, provided the methodology for analyzing the internal processes and for seeking industry partners that have successfully improved their own waste minimization efforts.

Report Purpose

This report describes the results of the benchmarking effort to identify the best waste minimization practices for managing used motor oil.

1.3 Report Structure

This document is Volume II in a planned series of waste minimization benchmarking project reports. Volume I includes the background, full project scope, benchmarking methodology, project details such as training and survey techniques, and results of the liquid photographic waste case study. The results of the used motor oil team are included in this report. Additional volumes will be added as other waste streams are studied.

Continued on the next page...

1.3 Report Structure, continued

The following table describes the report structure:

Report Section	Description
1	Project background and purpose.
2	The generic 12-step benchmarking methodology.
3	Project details and results. See Section 3.11 for waste minimization practices, techniques, and recommendations.
Appendices	Questionnaires used in the project and a list of resources for more information.

**Volume I Has
Benchmarking
Details**

For details on the benchmarking methodology used for this project, read *Volume I, Methodology and Liquid Photographic Waste*. For a copy of Volume I, contact the author at (505) 844-8956 or through the Environmentally Conscious Life Cycle Systems Department, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

2.0 Benchmarking Methodology

Introduction This section is a brief overview of the generic process of benchmarking, as defined by Sandia's Process Improvement/Benchmarking Team.

Benchmarking Definition *Benchmarking* is the continuous process of improving products, services, and practices by

- identifying and understanding customer requirements and process performance,
- exchanging information with recognized leaders (internal and external to the organization),
- implementing meaningful improvements, and
- recalibrating the process by assessing the progress and monitoring the trends and results.

Author Robert Camp has defined benchmarking as "the search for industry 'best practices' that lead to superior performance" (Camp, 1989).

Benchmarking Steps Figure 2-1 is a flow chart of the 12-step benchmarking methodology used at Sandia.

Figure 2-1. 12-Step Benchmarking Methodology

2.1 Defining the Benchmarking Process

Benchmarking Process

The following table shows the steps that comprise the benchmarking process. Steps 1 through 6 reflect internal process improvement. Steps 7 through 12 reflect external activities.

Step	Activity
1	<p>Identify Process to be Benchmarked</p> <p>The process selected must be narrow enough in scope that it is manageable. The process must be important to the work or business function and be customer-focused because a substantial amount of resources (i.e., personnel, time, and funds) will be required to conduct the benchmark. The result must improve the process and add value.</p>
2	<p>Establish Management Commitment</p> <p>Management is defined as the person(s) who has the authority to allocate resources (personnel, time, and funds) and who is ultimately responsible for the outcome of the benchmarking activity.</p> <p>Management</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has the responsibility to make the effort to understand the fundamentals of benchmarking and to demonstrate a willingness to implement the results; • needs to support the team and its recommendations with resources, encouragement, and commitment; and • has the right to expect frequent updates from the benchmarking team (e.g., verbal reports, meeting minutes, reports, periodic presentations).
3	<p>Identify and Establish Benchmarking Team</p> <p>The benchmarking team members include</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • process experts who have extensive knowledge of the process through their daily jobs; these are the people impacted by any changes. • resource personnel such as facilitators, trainers, quality or benchmarking consultants, information specialists, technical writers, and statisticians. • a project leader who guides the benchmarking process. <p>The team may need training in benchmarking techniques, including process definition, the benchmarking process, quality tools, questionnaire design, and interviewing techniques. The team members must understand their roles and responsibilities and commit to a common team purpose or goal. The members must attend and participate in all meetings and complete assignments.</p> <p><i>Continued on the next page...</i></p>

Section 2—Benchmarking Methodology

Step	Activity
4	<p>Define and Understand the Process to be Benchmarked</p> <p>The team defines the process through an understanding of important process elements: inputs, outputs, suppliers, and customers. The customer drives the business, and therefore the team needs to understand the customers' wants, needs, and expectations. The team's final output for this step includes a process flow chart depicting the work flow and the relationships between people and organizations. The output from this step will lay the foundation for the remainder of the benchmarking activity.</p>
5	<p>Identify Metrics</p> <p>The metrics must be meaningful to the process. Example metrics include customer requirements, cost, cycle time, and quality. Metrics, when possible, should be consistent with established standards (i.e., industrial, national, international). The process metrics will aid in evaluating and assessing the current process. Strength and weakness trends developed from the metrics can identify areas for improvement and provide guidance and direction for selecting improvements to be implemented. Effective metrics will provide guidance for developing survey tools for benchmarking partners.</p>
6	<p>Evaluate Current Performance</p> <p>The metrics help to identify the process areas to be improved and the nature of the improvements. The team may need to develop a decision matrix for ranking the improvements. A cost/benefit or return-on-investment analysis may be required to evaluate whether the benchmarking process should be continued. If the recommendation for implementation of the appropriate process improvements is made, it will be necessary to monitor the trends and results. Benchmarking does not automatically assume that outside partners are required.</p>
7	<p>Identify Potential Benchmarking Partners</p> <p>Based on the metrics collected from the internal process, the team needs to identify and establish criteria for "best in class" partner selection criteria. The team can identify potential partners through numerous resources: database searches and contacts with external organizations, knowledgeable individuals, suppliers, and customers. The team needs to identify a sufficient pool of partners to determine the final few they will visit. Partners that have better processes are not always easily found. A team may discover that their own processes are better than the potential partners' processes.</p> <p><i>Continued on the next page...</i></p>

Step	Activity
8	<p>Collect Process Data from Potential Partners</p> <p>The team develops surveys to obtain preliminary information from potential partners. Surveys may consist of questionnaires, telephone interviews, or face-to-face interviews. (Normally, site interviews are reserved for Step 10.) The survey questions are based on the process metrics and criteria established for selecting partners. Up-front planning on how to analyze the quantitative and qualitative data is essential for developing good surveys.</p>
9	<p>Analyze Data and Choose Partners</p> <p>The preliminary data are used to select partners for site visits and interviews. The project leader compares the data gathered from the potential partners to the metrics and criteria set by the team. The final partner(s) must have a process that is applicable to various DOE sites. The project leader should make direct comparisons of the data, process parameters, and constraints. The team will analyze the data and determine weighting and ranking criteria in order to select the final partners.</p> <p>If the team cannot find a partner that can provide substantial process improvements, the team needs to rethink the project. The team may decide</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to repeat several steps, which includes revising the criteria, expanding the pool of potential partners, collecting new process data, and re-analyzing the data in the search to find appropriate partners; or • to conduct an internal evaluation; or • to terminate the benchmarking effort.
10	<p>Conduct Site Visits and Reanalyze Data</p> <p>To gain the maximum benefit from partner site visits, careful and thorough preparation is essential. Preparation includes, but is not limited to, determining appropriate interviewees, assigning team interviewing roles, developing a list of questions and a meeting agenda, and determining how to handle the interview data.</p> <p>The site visit is an opportunity for two-way communication between the benchmarking team and each partner. During the site visit, the team will conduct an in-depth interview. It is essential that the team develop an effective interview guide for each partner before the site visit. After all partners' information is collected, the quantitative and qualitative data are analyzed. A decision matrix may be used to identify and select the partners' practices to be incorporated.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Continued on the next page...</i></p>

Section 2—Benchmarking Methodology

Step	Activity
11	Communicate Results The team reports results to upper management and all involved parties and develops an action plan that describes the team's recommendations, methods for implementation, and implementation costs and schedule. The findings need to be adaptable to the process and the organization's culture and constraints. The improvements need to be monitored and evaluated.
12	Continue to Conduct Benchmarking of Process The best process today may not be the best process tomorrow. Depending on the amount of change in the process, customer requirements, competition, technological advances, and changing business practices, it is important to revisit the process, or specific aspects of the process, periodically.

Reference

This section is an adaptation of Section 2 of the report, *Benchmarking the Property Inventory Process at Sandia National Laboratories*, SAND92-2565 (Ramirez and Hill, 1993). It describes the generic process of benchmarking, as defined by Sandia's Process Improvement/Benchmarking Department.

3.0 Used Motor Oil Benchmarking Results

Adaptation of Benchmarking Methodology

The 12 steps of the benchmarking methodology listed in Section 2 provide the framework for this project.

Benchmarking is a flexible process that lets each team adapt the standard procedure to the unique needs of the project.

The following describes how the used motor oil team used the benchmarking process to collect information on Best Management Practices and other techniques and technologies for minimizing used motor oil within DOE.

3.1

Step 1: Identify Process to be Benchmarked

DOE's Waste-Generating Activities

Figure 3-1 illustrates four major types of waste-generating activities within the DOE, including:

- mission-related,
- waste management,
- environmental remediation, and
- infrastructure-related.

Infrastructure-related activities are the DOE's "landlord" activities as shown in the lower portion of Figure 3-1. Infrastructure-related activities were chosen because they have not yet received the same DOE-wide attention that the other three waste-generating activities have received. These activities produce DOE-wide waste streams that are also produced in outside industry. Therefore, they are ideal activities for benchmarking because appropriate industry partners should be easy to identify and locate.

Figure 3-1. Waste-Generating Activities in DOE

**Identification of
Common Waste
Streams**

Initial activities centered on collecting information on as many DOE waste streams as possible. Refer to Volume I for the detailed rationale for selecting used motor oil as one of the first waste streams for benchmarking.

OUTCOME OF BENCHMARKING STEP 1:

Process chosen for benchmarking:

- Used motor oil generation

3.2 Step 2: Establish Management Commitment

Strong DOE Commitment

Because of DOE's emphasis on waste minimization, management commitment was a positive element in this project. The DOE sponsor for this project is the Waste Minimization Division, EM-334. Management support included the following:

- Headquarters provided project funding and guidance.
 - The Albuquerque Field Office provided support through the WMin coordinator.
 - Site management allowed the process experts the time to participate.
 - Sandia management provided benchmarking expertise and trainers.
-

OUTCOME OF BENCHMARKING STEP 2:

DOE management committed resources at local, regional, and national levels.

3.3

Step 3: Identify and Establish Benchmarking Team

Team Members

A benchmarking team usually consists of a project leader, process experts, management, and support personnel. Not all team members are required to participate at all times. Some team members may perform more than one role, as needed, for the team at large and smaller subteams.

Finding Team Members

The project leader used the following sources to find benchmarking team members:

- Networking
- Contacts within the DOE
- Proceedings from waste minimization conferences
- Discussions with site waste minimization coordinators

Roles and Responsibilities

The following table outlines suggested roles and responsibilities needed for a benchmarking effort.

Role	Responsibilities
Project Leader	Plan, organize, assign tasks, and oversee the benchmarking project.
Process Experts	Provide professional expertise on the target process during the workshops, contact industry partners, and conduct site interviews.
DOE Management	Set policy and provide support, personnel, time, and funding.
Trainers/Facilitators	Teach participants benchmarking techniques and lead workshops and work sessions to accomplish goals.
Information Specialist	Aid the search for potential benchmarking partners through database searches.
Writer/Recorder	Document the benchmarking process by recording workshop activities and provide support for project leader, as needed.

Continued on the next page...

Section 3—Used Motor Oil Benchmarking Results

Team Roster

The following table lists the used motor oil team members:

Team Member	Title	Location
Melissa Armijo	ES&H Coordinator for Motor Pool Services	SNL/NM, Albuquerque, NM
James Bennett	Waste Minimization Coordinator, Environmental Office	MMES/Y-12, Oak Ridge, TN
Gary Bowling	Maintenance Supervisor	MMES/Y12, Oak Ridge, TN
Dave Dahlquist	Group Environmental Coordinator, now Bus Maintenance Supervisor	EG&G INEL, Idaho Falls, ID
Daniel Gonzales	Operations Supervisor of Motor Pools, ES&H Coordinator	REECO, Las Vegas, NV
Victoria Levin	Project Leader, Environmentally Conscious Life Cycles Systems	SNL/NM, Albuquerque, NM
Bill Rose	Project Manager for the Motor Pool	SNL/NM, Albuquerque, NM
Reggie Tibbetts	Maintenance Supervisor	SNL/NM, Albuquerque, NM

OUTCOME OF BENCHMARKING STEP 3:

Planning team, benchmarking team, and interview team successfully assembled.

3.4

Step 4: Define and Understand the Process to be Benchmarked

Process Foundation

Step 4 lays the foundation for all future activity. The team must define and understand the existing process before examining another's process. This step establishes the baseline from which to measure performance gaps.

Workshop Activities and Goals

The project leader, benchmarking consultants, process experts, information specialist, and support staff attended a workshop that provided training and a work session for the entire team, covering several benchmarking steps.

The goals of the first workshop were to

- Define and understand the process to be benchmarked (Step 4),
- Create a flow chart of the generic process (Step 4),
- Define the metrics of the process (Step 5), and
- Define the criteria for choosing potential partners (Step 7).

The table below summarizes the workshop activities. A detailed description of the activities follows the table.

Stage	Activity
1	Workshop facilitators directed team-building exercises to integrate the team into a cooperative, working unit.
2	Workshop facilitators trained the team in the benchmarking methodology so that team members understood the group process, the task, the commitment, and the work involved to complete the project.
3	Team members developed a flow chart of the process to be benchmarked.

Stage 1 — Team Building

Team Building

The team-building exercise resulted in a team name, motto, and mission statement.

Team Name	The Slick Team
Motto	Used Oil is a resource.
Mission Statement	The Slick Team will provide customers with an environmentally responsible and economical way to reduce, reuse, or recycle used motor oil.

Stage 2 — Train the Process Experts

The process experts were chosen for their knowledge of their fields and the tasks they perform in their daily jobs. However, they needed training in the benchmarking process.

Stage 3 — Create a Consensus Flow Chart

Process Flow Chart The process experts came from a variety of sites that used different procedures to accomplish the same task: changing oil for their customers. The team needed to create a flow chart that expressed the process "big picture." The facilitator helped the group define the process parameters.

Process Parameters All processes have the following common parameters:

- Inputs
- Suppliers
- Outputs
- Customers

The team used the parameters above to help them define the particular process that produces the used motor oil waste stream. For each parameter, the team brainstormed for ideas. After making a list for each parameter, the group reviewed each listed component to confirm that it was directly related to the used motor oil waste stream, not a side issue. The mission statement provided a reminder for keeping the group focused. The final lists are shown below.

Inputs Inputs for the used motor oil waste stream include:

- New oil
 - Filter
 - Work/labor
 - Equipment
 - Time interval between oil changes
 - Preventative maintenance systems
 - Oil analysis
 - Manufacturers' specifications
 - Warranties
 - Training
-

Suppliers/Drivers Suppliers/drivers for the used motor oil waste stream include:

- Oil manufacturers
 - NAPA (oil supplier)
 - Equipment manufacturers
 - DOE (Regulation 5700.6C)
 - Vehicle fleet maintenance staff
-

Continued on the next page...

Customers

Customers of the used motor oil waste stream include:

- Vehicle operators
 - Owners of the fleet
 - Regulatory agencies (the public, environmental)
 - Site management
-

Outputs

Outputs of the used motor oil waste stream include:

- Used oil
 - Vehicle with clean oil
 - Documentation/reports
-

Flow Chart

After the lists were finalized, the team created a flow chart (Figure 3-2) that diagrams the used motor oil generation and handling process.

OUTCOME OF BENCHMARKING STEP 4:

Used motor oil process inputs, outputs, customers, and suppliers were identified.
A flow chart of the process was completed.

Figure 3-2. Used Motor Oil Generation and Handling Process

3.5 Step 5: Identify Metrics

Definition Metrics are the measures of the internal process. Metrics allow evaluation and assessment of existing performance and provide points of contrast after the lessons learned from the benchmarking activity have been applied.

Metrics After the process flow chart was created (see Step 4), the facilitator led the team through a discussion of the metrics that applied to its process and defined a list of metrics.

The group decided that the following metrics were relevant:

- Volume of oil—bulk in and bulk out
- Usage conditions that determine frequency of oil changes:
 - Vehicles may run 24 hours a day, all week
 - Severe service conditions
 - State requirements for specialized vehicles such as ambulances
 - Mileage or time frame
- Oil characterization
 - TCLP (Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure)
 - Moisture
 - Metals
 - pH
 - Radioactivity
- Oils
 - Type
 - Brand
 - Viscosity
- Trend analysis
 - FTE hours per vehicle
 - Materials used, such as filters and containers
 - Engine failures
 - Operating conditions
- Equipment type
- Maintenance service records
- Miles driven vs. volume of oil used
- Efficiency—How quickly is the vehicle serviced and returned to the customer?

NOTE: Not all the metrics are easily obtainable within DOE.

OUTCOME OF BENCHMARKING STEP 5:

The team defined used motor oil metrics that provide the measures of the internal process.

3.6 Step 6: Evaluate Current Performance

Information Exchange

The team performed an informal evaluation of each site's performance by exchanging information and comparing activities and processes. Each process expert had the opportunity to discuss and explain his or her site process during the first workshop.

Value of Workshop

The participants identified how the workshop helped them to:

- learn new ideas through hearing about other sites' processes
 - gain a networking opportunity for sharing ideas
 - understand differences among state environmental laws and regulations. For example, a practice that was followed in one state might not be allowed in another state.
-

Learning to Ask "Why?"

One of the actions that benchmarking proposes is asking "Why?" Participants are encouraged to ask, "Why do we perform this step in the process in this way?" Often, the answer is "Because that's the way we've always done it in the past." Benchmarking tries to train participants to "think outside of the box" and find new ways to accomplish the same task more efficiently and cost effectively.

Nevada Test Site Success Story

After the first workshop, Daniel Gonzales, the participant from the Nevada Test Site (NTS), reported that he successfully applied the principles learned in the workshop, resulting in a monetary and resource savings. The success story follows:

NTS purchased three new transformers that had 15,000 gallons of oil already in the machines. Originally, the site planned to dispose of the oil as hazardous waste at a cost of \$10.22 per gallon, just as it had done in the past.

Gonzales questioned the need for disposing of the oil and suggested that most of the oil could be utilized in the transformers as part of normal usage. He suggested that management reevaluate some of the practices that had been followed in the past. Most of the oil did not have to be disposed of because it could still be used in the transformers. The oil that did require disposal did not require hazardous waste treatment because the level of contamination was below regulatory levels. Gonzales suggested that NTS could dispose of the oil in keeping with regulatory and environmental concerns at less cost.

After notifying his supervisor, he began to investigate alternatives for using some of the transformer oil and disposing of the remainder. One of his current vendors, a Part B-permitted vendor with cradle-to-grave disposition, removed 6,500 gallons of the oil at a cost of 15 cents per gallon.

The cost savings was \$64,455. Later in the year, another 3,500 gallons was removed, bringing the total savings to \$100,700.

OUTCOME OF BENCHMARKING STEP 6:

- Individual team members shared information on each site's process and established network contacts for future problem solving.
- NTS reevaluated disposal processes and was able to cut costs in transformer oil disposal.

3.7 Step 7: Identify Potential Benchmarking Partners

Search Parameters

Criteria are defined as standards on which a judgment or decision may be based (Webster's, 1985). The team developed criteria to be used to identify appropriate potential partners.

Defining the criteria limited the search to partners that fit the team's needs. The used motor oil team wanted to find a partner that serviced a variety of vehicles and equipment that operated under extreme weather and service conditions.

Criteria

The used motor oil team defined the following criteria for potential partners:

- Willing to participate
- Vehicles similar to those at the DOE sites
 - Light
 - One ton
 - Greater than one ton
- Heavy equipment, such as cranes and construction equipment
- Multiple service areas
- At least 500 pieces of equipment
- Mixed fuel use
 - Propane
 - Natural gas
 - Gasoline
 - Diesel
 - Electric
- Fleet maintenance service company with an oil reclamation program
- Oil reused in a closed-loop system (preferable but not required)
- Alternate means of reusing oil
- Synthetic oil used successfully (preferable but not required)
- Lowest volume of oil used per vehicle mile
- Successful in extending their service frequencies

Information Sources for Identifying Potential Partners

A variety of methods and sources for identifying potential partners, including the following, were used:

- Literature search by an information specialist
- Process experts' suggestions
- Contacts through customers or suppliers
- Trade associations or publications

OUTCOME OF BENCHMARKING STEP 7:

A list of 16 potential partners was finalized.

3.8

Step 8: Collect Process Data from Potential Partners

Data Collection Methods

In benchmarking, the main tool for gathering initial process data from potential partners is a questionnaire, either verbal or written. Both types were used for this project.

Questionnaire Development Training

The benchmarking team reconvened to learn questionnaire development techniques and to define the questions to pose to potential partners.

Refer to Volume I, Appendix B, for an abbreviated training guide on questionnaire development techniques. Refer to Appendix A in this volume for the final telephone and written questionnaires used in this project for used motor oil.

Questionnaire Development Process

The group discussed questions that would help them find the benchmarking partners. The group needed two questionnaires:

- a telephone questionnaire to act as a filter to determine industry partner interest and broad suitability and
- a written questionnaire that would elicit detailed information to help determine the final candidates for site visits.

Telephone Questionnaire Purpose

The telephone questionnaire served as a brief means to determine whether a company was interested in participating and whether it was suitable for benchmarking. The telephone questionnaire provided a filter, focusing on major processes rather than the details of the company's operations.

Written Questionnaire Purpose

The purpose of the written questionnaire was to collect the needed information to choose the best partner.

The written questionnaire incorporated most of the metrics defined in the first workshop on process definition. The written questionnaire was sent only to the companies contacted by telephone that

- met some of the criteria and
- expressed an interest in participating

Continued on the next page...

Section 3—Used Motor Oil Benchmarking Results

Metrics and Criteria Provide Foundation

The metrics and criteria provided the basis for the questions. Reviewing the metrics helped the team create the questions.

Questionnaire Issues

- One of the first questions to be answered was whether or not the industry partner would be **interested in participating** in a benchmarking project.
- Should the group base the questions on the **volume** of used oil or purchased oil? One person suggested a trend analysis of oil purchased over the last five years. Several team members noted that the amount of oil purchased is almost the same as the used amount produced.
- The group discussed a **minimum fleet size or volume of oil purchased** as a possible criterion for a partner. Another member remarked that the group might limit themselves if they overlooked an independent operator with 300 vehicles who might be doing a terrific job of used oil minimization.
- The group discussed the **recycling options** currently available, such as oil burners for heating and mixing used motor oil with diesel fuel (used motor oil can provide up to 10% of the volume of diesel fuel through 1995).
- The group discussed whether the **interval between oil changes** should be judged on time elapsed or frequency of vehicle use (miles or hours driven).
- **Service conditions** were important to the group. Environmental metrics included:
 - dusty, corrosive conditions
 - extreme cold/extreme heat (temperature) fluctuations
 - water in the oil pan

Continued on the next page...

Questionnaire Process

The following table describes the process for developing and using both telephone and written questionnaires:

Step	Team Member	Action
1	Process Experts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use the metrics to develop rough questions • Using process knowledge, make sure the questions will help identify good partners and are not just "nice to know" information
2	Project Leader and Technical Writer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use the rough questions developed at the workshop to create the telephone questionnaire and the written questionnaire
3	Project Leader	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Divide the names and telephone numbers of potential partners among the process experts (2 or 3 per process expert) • Fax the final version of the telephone questionnaire to the process experts • Send a rough draft of the written questionnaire to the process experts for comment
4	Process Expert	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review and edit telephone and written questionnaires • Call the companies and conduct the telephone questionnaire • Report the results to the project leader • Review the written questionnaire and send the suggestions to the project leader
5	Project Leader	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate results of telephone questionnaire and compare company information to original criteria • Select companies for written inquiry
6	Project Leader, Technical Writer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Write final draft of written questionnaire • Write cover letter
7	Project Leader	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Send written questionnaire to the industry partners that match the criteria and are willing to participate

Results

Of the 16 initial contacts made by the used motor oil team by telephone, none of the companies seemed to be a good match for a benchmarking partnership. For example, only two of the potential partners maintained their own fleet, which automatically excluded 7 of the 12 criteria. These 7 criteria were:

- Vehicles similar to those at the DOE sites
- Heavy equipment, such as cranes and construction equipment
- Multiple service areas
- At least 500 pieces of equipment
- Fleet maintenance service company with an oil reclamation program
- Overall lowest volume of oil used per vehicle mile
- Successful in extending their service frequencies

Continued on the next page...

Section 3—Used Motor Oil Benchmarking Results

**Results,
continued**

Three companies had processes that seemed adequate for comparison to the DOE's process as defined by the process experts, but they did not meet more than half of the criteria. Other companies were not willing to participate further.

Written questionnaires were sent to three companies; none were returned. (This return rate is well below the average return rate of 30-60% for prescreened written questionnaires.) The team did not pursue a follow-up interview because the companies would not be ideal partners.

OUTCOME OF BENCHMARKING STEP 8:

The team conducted 16 telephone questionnaires. Three written questionnaires were sent out. None were returned.

3.9 Step 9: Analyze Potential Partners' Data and Choose Partners

No Potential Partners Match Criteria

The limited process data collected from potential partners through questionnaires did not produce any appropriate candidates for benchmarking.

At the time of the telephone questionnaire, it was apparent that none of the potential partners was suitable. None of the telephone interviews had produced candidates that had practices or technologies that were not already in use at several of the DOE facilities. Three written questionnaires were sent out, even though the team members did not expect to discover new waste minimization data.

Team Considers Options

Because of the lack of appropriate external partners, the team faced a dilemma. When the team convened for training on interview techniques for the site visit, they discussed alternatives, including widening the search for potential partners. The team proposed a variety of partners, including several cities and large corporations, but rejected them, because they did not have enough process data to make a decision. Also, many cities and large corporations that have vehicle fleets contract the maintenance and are not responsible for handling used oil. The process expert from Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL) mentioned that representatives of fleets at major metropolitan centers and local municipalities have contacted INEL for information about processing used oil. The team members felt that strict regulations for government facilities and DOE's emphasis on waste minimization have encouraged DOE facilities to become proactive in solving waste minimization problems.

Team Chooses Internal Evaluation

The team decided to perform an internal evaluation at two of the DOE facilities, INEL and NTS. The intent of the internal evaluation would be to gather information about key waste minimization techniques and best management practices that could be applied to other DOE sites. These two sites were chosen for the internal evaluation because during the benchmarking workshops, the INEL and NTS process experts described a variety of machines and practices that were already reducing used motor oil. The team decided to visit these two sites.

OUTCOME OF BENCHMARKING STEP 9:

No industry partners matched the criteria set by the used motor oil team. The team decided to perform an internal evaluation at the

- Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
- Nevada Test Site

3.10 Step 10: Conduct Site Visits

Introduction

The interview team, a subset of the benchmarking team, received training on interview techniques, rules of conduct, and agenda development skills. The interview team traveled to the INEL and the NTS to gather information on best management practices and processing techniques for used motor oil.

- For an abbreviated training guide on on-site interviewing techniques, refer to Volume I, Appendix D.
- For the motor oil team's final interview question set, refer to Appendix B of this document.

Tables containing comparisons of INEL and NTS processes follow the summary paragraphs below.

3.10.1 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Site Visit

The first site visit was performed at INEL near Idaho Falls, Idaho. Dave Dahlquist, previous Group Environmental Coordinator, conducted a tour of the facilities and answered the interview questions.

Summary of INEL Visit

The agenda for the INEL visit covered the following:

- Tour of main fleet maintenance shop at Scoville, Idaho. The vehicle maintenance shop provides all fleet maintenance services, including fueling systems, painting, body work, welding, fabrication, tire work, lubrication, upholstery, and engine rebuilding. The site also has 14 satellite areas that provide some of the same services.
 - Interview of Dave Dahlquist, previous Group Environmental Coordinator
 - Closeout
-

3.10.2 Nevada Test Site Site Visit

The second site visit was performed at the Nevada Test Site near Las Vegas, Nevada. Daniel Gonzales, Fleet Maintenance Supervisor, conducted a tour of the facilities and answered the interview questions.

Summary of Nevada Test Site Site Visit

The agenda for the NTS site visit covered the following:

- Tour of fleet maintenance operations. The operations have two buildings that provide light duty (vehicles one ton and under) and heavy duty (over one ton) maintenance. The shops have capabilities to provide all maintenance, including painting, upholstery, prefabrication, modifications, and engine rebuilding. The team visited the following shops in Building 751: body; fuel, lubrication, and oil; tire; welding; and engine rebuild
 - Interviews of key personnel in fleet maintenance operations
 - Closeout
-

Process Comparison of INEL and NTS

The following tables provide comparisons of the working environments, motor oil and oil filter usage and disposal practices, and related information at INEL and NTS.

Table 3.1 Description of Working Environment

Category	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory	Nevada Test Site
Number of work areas	14 satellite areas, 1 central maintenance shop	10 shops in 2 main areas
Severe service conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dust, extreme heat and cold from snow and ice, volcanic ash, sand, some 24-hour vehicle duty 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wind, dust, extreme heat and cold, snow, rain
Number of vehicles and equipment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Buses 179 Light duty (one ton or less) 842 Heavy duty (> one ton) 464 Total 1575 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Light duty (one ton or less) 1944 Heavy duty (> one ton) 393 Total 2337
Types of light-duty vehicles serviced	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vans, cars, light trucks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Station wagons, cars, light trucks
Types of heavy equipment serviced	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Buses, road equipment, helicopters, tractors, generators, trailers, snowplows, forklifts, cranes, utility trucks, dump trucks, belly dumps, scrapers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Buses, tractors, generators, trailers, snowplows, forklifts, cranes, utility trucks, dump trucks, belly dumps, scrapers

Table 3.2 Description of Oil Usage and Disposal

Category	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory	Nevada Test Site
Type of motor oil used	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Various, low-bid, vendor-supplied 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Various, low-bid, vendor-supplied
Annual purchases of new motor oil	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 17,000 gallons (other purchases of oil may be made by the crafts groups, which the shop does not control) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 65,000 gallons (NTS has experienced recent downsizing. At one time, NTS purchased 120,000-150,000 gallons per year.)

Continued on the next page...

Table 3.2 Description of Oil Usage and Disposal, continued

Category	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory	Nevada Test Site
Annual used motor oil produced	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 12,000-15,000 gallons 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 58,500 gallons
Disposal of used motor oil	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vendor picks up used oil and transports it to another customer for use in furnaces for energy recovery. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Holds oil in storage tanks for recycler pick-up. NTS has four 2,000-gallon tanks and two 5,000-gallon tanks placed near shops for collecting used oil. The tanks have dedicated plumbing to siphon the oil directly from the shop to the tank. Oil is re-refined by vendor.
Costs for storage and disposal of used motor oil	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vendor charges \$.01 per gallon to pick up used oil at an annual cost of approximately \$150 per year 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approximately \$10,000 per year
Interval for oil changes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Severe service, every 3,000 miles Normal service, buses, every 12,000 miles Normal service, light vehicles, 6,000 miles or 6 months 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6,000 miles or 24 months
Oil Characterization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analyzes for residuals to predict and thereby prevent engine problems Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) analyticals can provide trend information. Conducted biannually. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chlordetect (checks for the presence and percentage of chlorine in the used oil.) Gamma (checks for radioactivity.)

Table 3.3 Description of Filter Usage and Disposal

Category	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory	Nevada Test Site
Type of filters used	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Canister • Spin-on filters • Low-bid vendor • Permanent filters with washable screens (on approximately 50 buses) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Canister • Spin-on filters • Low-bid vendor
Interval for filter changes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Same as oil change schedule 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Same as oil change schedule
Disposal of used filters (State regulations determine most disposal procedures.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drain 48 hours, crush, landfill 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drain 24 hours, crush, drain 24 hours, landfill

Table 3.4 Miscellaneous

Category	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory	Nevada Test Site
Manufacturer's warranty issues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stays at the upper limit of manufacturer's recommendations and works with the manufacturer • Manufacturer signs an agreement at the time of vehicle purchase that allows INEL to determine oil change frequencies while maintaining the warranty 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stays at the upper limit of manufacturer's recommendations and works with the manufacturer
Regulatory constraints	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Same as other DOE sites, but more stringent than private industry 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State regulators have rigorous requirements and have sometimes had incorrect information. At one point, the regulators were concerned that NTS was land-filling oil filters with lead content. However, by performing research and supplying documentation, NTS was able to prove they were not using lead-containing filters, which had not been manufactured for two years. <p><i>Continued on the next page...</i></p>

Table 3.4 Miscellaneous, continued

Category	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory	Nevada Test Site
Using re-refined oil?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not presently, but is pursuing information 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not presently, but has submitted a request for information and a cost analysis form to a vendor to determine feasibility.
Waste minimization techniques and technologies that the site would like to implement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retrofit more vehicles with washable filters • Buy a heater to burn used oil • Sell waste metal • Substitute blotter paper for cloth or sponge products to absorb spills • Acquire a sampling unit for in-house oil analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Install permanent filters with washable screens to eliminate the need to drain and landfill disposable filters.

OUTCOME OF BENCHMARKING STEP 10:

The interview team completed site visits at

- Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
- Nevada Test Site

3.11

Step 11: Communicate Results**Overview**

This section presents what was learned from the site visits. Both key minimization options and Best Management Practices (BMPs) are included.

Normally, Step 11 of the benchmarking methodology includes implementing improvements and monitoring the results. In this case, implementation is not within the project scope. However, because of the ideas shared in this study, another participating site is planning to incorporate some of the techniques that may be applied to its process.

This section provides results and offers options so that individual sites may create their own implementation plans.

NOTE: A portion of the information in this section was adapted from the *DOE Pollution Prevention Vehicle Maintenance Handbook*, 1994.

3.11.1 Waste Minimization Practices

The following tables provide information and a comparison of the various best management practices, techniques, and key minimization options in use at INEL and NTS. Key minimization options discussed were (1) source reduction and (2) recycle/recovery. The tables include:

Table Number	Table Description
3.5	Source Reduction
3.6	Recycle/Recovery
3.7	Solid Waste Related to Used Motor Oil Process
3.8	Spills and Leaks
3.9	Cleaning
3.10	Miscellaneous

Continued on the next page...

Motor Pool Services Waste Minimization Practices

Table 3.5 Source Reduction

Description	INEL	NTS
<p>Routine oil changes are the largest source of used oil for both facilities.</p> <p>Solution: Reduce the number of oil changes.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses upper limit of manufacturer's warranty specifications. • INEL requires vehicle vendors to sign an agreement at the time of purchase, allowing INEL to use its own oil change schedule. Oil analysis helps INEL diagnose engine problems before they result in failures. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses upper limit of manufacturer's warranty specifications.

Table 3.6 Recycle/Recovery

Description	INEL	NTS
<p>Collect oil for pickup by a recycler</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oil vendor removes used oil for energy recovery. Cement factory uses oil as fuel for furnaces. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vendor removes used oil from central collection tanks and re-refines it.

Motor Pool Services Waste Minimization Practices, continued

Table 3.7 Solid Waste Related to Used Motor Oil Process

Type of Waste	INEL	NTS
Plastic Oil Bottles	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refills plastic oil bottles instead of throwing them away. Quart- and gallon-sized oil bottles provide convenience at fueling stations, but do not generate waste because they are refilled from centrally located 55-gallon drums of bulk products. Bottles can be used for years. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Plastic bottles have been almost eliminated. Purchases motor oil in bulk and stores in centrally located tanks. Mechanics can move the oil into smaller containers or dispense it directly into vehicles. NTS has a pumping system that sends it directly to the maintenance station from a central location, allowing quantities dispensed to be monitored and overhead charge-back to the correct accounts.
Oil Filters (A used oil filter holds approximately 10 ounces of used oil.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Replaced disposable oil filters on 50 buses with permanent Oberg filters with removable mesh screens. The screen is cleaned and reused. The residue captured in the screen may also be analyzed for indications of future engine problems. The cost of retrofitting a bus with a permanent filter is roughly \$500. This strategy reduces solid waste caused by disposable filters, saves labor costs associated with standard oil and filter changes, and enhances the engine cooling process. Drains filters for 48 hours, crushes them in a filter press, accumulates them in 55-gallon drums, and sends drums to a landfill. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drains filters for 24 hours, crushes them in a filter press, and drains them for another 24 hours. Specially installed plumbing pumps the drained oil directly to an above-ground used oil storage tank. The crushed filters are accumulated in 55-gallon drums and eventually sent to a landfill. The cost of a filter press is approximately \$3,000.
Shock absorbers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drills holes in used shock absorbers and drains the oil 48 hours before recycling the metal. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable

Continued on the next page...

Table 3.7 Solid Waste Related to Used Motor Oil Process, continued

Type of Waste	INEL	NTS
Towels, rags, or paper wipes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To reduce paper sent to landfill, INEL leases cloth towels from a supplier that will also launder them. The cloth towels eliminate oily paper towels that were previously disposed of as hazardous waste. The same vendor launders work coveralls. INEL has made sure that the service vendor is using proper procedures to prevent discharge of oil to the sewer system. Instead of using vermiculite or blotter paper to soak up spills in the shop, INEL uses a squeegee and a wet/dry vacuum that has been made explosion-proof, then recycles the captured oil. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uses biodegradable towels that are like paper, but decompose quicker in landfills. Local regulations do not permit washable towels.
Hydrocarbon soils	<p>The soil is analyzed and, based on the degree of contamination, it may be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> sent to the landfill as backfill dirt, farmed (lay the soil out and periodically turn it over to let it evaporate), or placed in 55-gallon drums and handled as hazardous material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydrocarbon soil is placed in a dumpster-type container and periodically hauled to the Class 3 hydrocarbon landfill on site. By using the dumpster, NTS has eliminated buying drums and avoided more solid waste in the landfill.
Plastic hoses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> INEL has a sampling process for oil and has installed valves in some vehicle engines that let mechanics draw a small oil sample. This process avoids spills and contamination of plastic hoses normally used for oil sampling. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable

Table 3.8 Spills and Leaks

Circumstances	INEL	NTS
Spills and Spill Avoidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses drip pans to catch oil. • Has spill kits available at all service areas and on all service trucks • On some vehicles, engine valves have been installed that allow mechanics to draw small oil samples, avoiding spill opportunities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses drip pans to catch oil. • Follows mandated general spill response procedure and in-house guidance documents for specific situations.
Avoiding discharges to sewer system	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Closes all floor drains to avoid accidental releases of oil to the sewer system. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Closes all floor drains to avoid accidental releases of oil to the sewer system.
Avoiding releases to groundwater	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses secondary containments; for example, all tanks are double-walled and all 55-gallon drums are in secondary 85-gallon overpacks. • Does not allow any field work on vehicles. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modified fittings on the used oil storage tanks to specifically match the recycler's fittings on the transport truck. At NTS, the couplings on the storage tank were modified to reduce the chances of spills or leaks. • Uses containment berms to prevent accidental spills from running off cement floors or asphalt areas or from being washed by rainwater onto the ground where groundwater contamination could occur. • Does not allow any field work on vehicles.

Table 3.9 Cleaning

Category	INEL	NTS
Engines	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Steam cleans engines. Uses a grease/water separator to remove oil. Residual sludge is tested before it is solidified and taken to local landfill. Uses hot water and biodegradable soap in an area designated for this purpose. The runoff is collected in a sump that separates the water and sludge. The sludge is analyzed for hazards, solidified, and sent to a landfill. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Steam cleans engines with a sump pump and steam cleaner at a steam pad. This closed-loop system captures all runoff, preventing releases to the environment. Water is separated and reused. After analysis for any hazardous waste, residual sludge is sent to a hydrocarbon landfill.
Floors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses a closed-loop floor cleaning system that filters and reuses its own water. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses a closed-loop floor cleaning system. The spill is mopped up and cleaned with a biodegradable soap. The water is separated and reused and the oil is skimmed and sent to recycle.
Parts	<p>INEL uses two parts washers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The small-parts cleaner is a hood-type, operated from outside of the mechanism. Built-in gloves eliminate worker exposure to hazards. • The large-parts washer uses a rotary-type tray (such as a dishwasher). <p>Both systems use non-toxic cleaners and hot water. The accumulated residue is analyzed, solidified, and sent to the landfill.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uses an automatic parts washer to cut worker exposure to hazardous chemicals. Used oil is recovered from the cleaning fluid with oil separators. Sludge is removed, tested, and landfilled. Water is reused.

Table 3.10 Miscellaneous

Methods	INEL	NTS
Electronic database	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An electronic database tracks maintenance, service, and vehicle condition reports. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An electronic database at NTS provides on-line repair and maintenance information.
Training	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trains all personnel in proper used oil handling and spill-avoidance techniques. Uses a recognition program that rewards and recognizes individuals that seek innovative pollution prevention strategies. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Trains all personnel in proper used oil handling and spill-avoidance techniques Uses a recognition program that rewards and recognizes individuals that seek innovative pollution prevention strategies.
Visit vendors to ensure that the promises made are kept	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Works with their laundry contractor (for towels and coveralls) to ensure that no oil is discharged to the Snake River. The contractor uses a filtration and skimming device to remove oil and sediments before releasing waste water. The contractor is monitored three times weekly to ensure continued compliance. Intense cradle-to-grave records are kept as proof. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To ensure that vendors are properly handling used motor oil, NTS visits prospective vendors to review their operations area. NTS makes sure there are audit trails to ensure cradle-to-grave tracking.
Know your process thoroughly	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Operators evaluate each of the tasks that generates a waste stream to determine if there is another way to perform that task to reduce the amount and/or toxicity of the waste generated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Research, documentation, vendor information, and process knowledge are used to reduce the amount of and/or toxicity of the waste generated.
Communication	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communicates with other fleet managers to share waste minimization ideas and learn new techniques. Shares technology with surrounding communities, DOE sites, and municipalities. Uses resources provided by resident environmental experts, including training, technical knowledge and databases, recycling, processed waste assessments, presentations, and waste minimization plans. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shares ideas with other DOE sites. Uses EPA hotlines for interpretation of regulations. Communicates with the DOE and the site Environmental Coordinator.

Continued on the next page...

Table 3.10 Miscellaneous, continued

Methods	INEL	NTS
Oil procurement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Working toward a closed-loop system. An ideal system would incorporate buying re-refined motor oil, using it for oil changes, recapturing the used oil, and selling it back to the re-refining vendor. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Working toward a closed-loop system. An ideal system would incorporate buying re-refined motor oil, using it for oil changes, recapturing the used oil, and selling it back to the re-refining vendor.
Synthetic oil	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Investigating the use of petroleum-based synthetic oil instead of standard motor oil. The advantages of petroleum-based synthetic oils are that they: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - have longer life between oil changes - can still be recycled. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable.

OUTCOME OF BENCHMARKING STEP 11:

Source reduction, recycle/recovery techniques, and best management practices were documented for improved waste minimization of DOE fleet maintenance operations.

3.12 Step 12: Continue to Conduct Benchmarking of Process

Ongoing Process

Normally, benchmarking is an ongoing process. The best waste minimization technology today may be outmoded and outclassed by new developments. This step is not currently being pursued because of cost and schedule constraints, but would be necessary for actual process improvements.

Changes Made by Participants

Through the benchmarking project, some of the participants learned new techniques and renewed their efforts to minimize waste streams at their facilities.

At the Y-12 plant, the Waste Minimization Coordinator is

- investigating the use of permanent filters with washable screens on several test vehicles
- investigating the use of synthetic oil
- reevaluating policies on oil change frequencies, with the long-range goal of cutting back on oil changes.

"We're more aware of our volume and looking at ways to reduce it," said the waste minimization coordinator. He also said that they are using benchmarking techniques to look at other waste streams generated in the vehicle maintenance area.

4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

Results and Recommendations

Because results and recommendations are an integral part of the benchmarking effort, they are included in the main body of the report.

See Section 3.11 for the results of the benchmarking project for used motor oil and recommendations for best management practices.

Learning Process

The benchmarking process is also a learning process. As the project progresses, the most important quality for a team to have is the ability to be flexible, to shift gears, and to handle the unexpected. This section is written for benchmarking project leaders or team members to help them anticipate and hopefully avoid pitfalls in future benchmarking efforts.

4.1 Lessons Learned by the Project Leader

Modifying the Methodology

A full benchmark is a long and rigorous process; the team had to modify the benchmarking process to accommodate the needs of the customer, DOE management. Several steps of the benchmark process can be successfully modified but none can be eliminated. Implementation, which is a major part of traditional benchmarking, could not be accomplished with this project because the team used a consensus process rather than a specific process. The process information was gathered from a variety of sites so there was no way to write an implementation plan that would apply to more than one site.

Benchmarking teams need to be flexible and factor in lessons learned from previous benchmarking studies. For example, this project was done in parallel with the liquid photographic waste benchmarking project. Therefore, the team was not able to benefit from previous lessons learned. The liquid photographic waste project did not encounter the same difficulties as this project did in finding external partners. The used motor oil team had to rethink the process for the motor oil project. However, both projects were successful.

Broaden the Criteria and Potential Partner Pool

During Steps 8 and 9, when the team was gathering and analyzing process data from potential partners, the team discovered that it had made the criteria too specific to the DOE complex, which severely limited the possible pool of potential partners. In future projects, the project leader plans to make sure the criteria are broad enough to encompass partners that may **not** have similar day-to-day working environments, but may still have techniques and technologies that may be applied to DOE facilities.

Continued on the next page...

**Broaden the
Criteria and
Potential Partner
Pool, continued**

The benchmarking process is not a "turn-the-crank" process. Following the roadmap provided by the steps does not guarantee a specific outcome. This was illustrated by the inability to find the right partners in the first cut of the partner search. To find more partners, the team would have had to repeat steps 5, 7, 8, and 9. Time and budget constraints did not allow for these steps to be repeated.

4.2 Lessons Learned from the Process Experts

Greatest Benefit

The process experts felt that the greatest benefit of the benchmarking process was the opportunity to network with their peers and share process and operations information.

Greatest Value

Process experts reported the following as the greatest value of the workshop to the DOE complex:

"Hearing the processes and experience of the other participants as far as regulation, state, federal, DOE, and their own company's procedures."

One process expert noted that waste minimization efforts are contagious and the effort in one area or process spreads to other areas. For example, one site is now directing efforts to buy all biodegradable materials and remove all aerosol products.

"It's made me into a tyrant. I get things done now. I feel like I've really accomplished something."

"You can see money being saved and less waste being generated. Knowledge is the key here. You have to know everything you possibly can about your process and then keep pushing to make it better."

The benchmarking process lets people from across the DOE brainstorm and exchange ideas. "You can find out how other people are running their program, you can express your own ideas, and you don't have to worry about getting knocked down by upper management," said another participant. "Benchmarking gets you thinking about 'Why do we have to do it this way?' and lets you try new ways."

**Biggest
Problems**

The biggest problems encountered by the process experts included

- Logistics: getting to scheduled meetings
 - Conflicting priorities with other work
 - Understanding a generic process in light of differing regulatory requirements
 - Thinking outside of the box—seeing things from a different viewpoint
-

References

Boylan, Mark, DOE Pollution Prevention Vehicle Maintenance Handbook, U.S. Department of Energy, Waste Minimization Division 334, 1994.

Camp, Robert C., Benchmarking: The Search for Industry Best Practices That Lead to Superior Performance. ASQC Press, 1989.

Ramirez, Shirley, and Hill, S. Gayle, Benchmarking the Property Inventory Process at Sandia National Laboratories, SAND92-2565, UC-9000, Printed July 1993.

U.S. Department of Energy, Office of the Secretary, Waste Minimization/Pollution Prevention Crosscut Plan 1994 (WM/PPCP), February 1994.

Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary, Merriam-Webster Inc., Springfield, MA, 1985.

Appendix A

Telephone and Written Questionnaires

PREFACE: The following pages are copies of the

- telephone questionnaire used by the process experts to conduct the telephone interviews to narrow the field of potential partners. The first page of the telephone questionnaire contains information for the process expert about the purpose of the questionnaire. The second page provides a suggested "script" for the process expert to use to introduce him/herself. The telephone questionnaire follows, with a section for a table of contacts.
- written questionnaire sent to the potential partners selected through the telephone interviews. A cover letter tailored to each company accompanied the written questionnaire.

Telephone Survey for Motor Oil Subgroup

Overview For the Person Making the Calls

The purpose of this questionnaire is to narrow the field of potential partners for benchmarking the process of handling used motor oil.

Main Points--What are the questions trying to determine?

The company

- must have a waste minimization process for motor oil in place
- must maintain service records
- may service their own fleet (may not be a requirement, because if we go to visit 2 sites, one could be great at internal service systems and one could be a commercial quick-lube kind of place that might have a great system for recycling

Additional information:

- Would the company agree to participate in benchmarking?
- What are the main methods for disposal?
- Does company have complementary systems for related waste minimization?

Identification Statement

State who we are and what we are trying to do.

Please feel free to prepare your own script. This script suggests the kinds of things you may want to include.

Script

I am _____ with _____.
I belong to a group that is trying to find the best ways to minimize used motor oil. This group is a team of people from facilities across the country (Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, Tennessee.) We already work in fleet maintenance and we are contacting companies in U.S. industry that have been recognized as being the best in the field for motor oil waste minimization. We are trying to get good ideas about waste minimization that we can incorporate into our practices. We are concentrating on liquid waste only at this time.

Instructions

Find out if you are speaking to the right person. Use the table on the next page to record all the people you had to talk to and write down the "final" or "right" person.

Script

I am calling to find out if your company would be interested in participating in a telephone survey. This involves answering a few questions today with the possibility of being sent a written, more detailed questionnaire. We will eventually select two companies that deal effectively with used motor oil to visit in a benchmarking-type visit to do an information exchange in the area of motor oil waste minimization.

Instructions

Answer any questions about who we are and what we are doing. Refer them to Victoria Levin (505) 844-8956, fax (505) 844-1723 if there are any questions you can't answer.

Telephone Questions for Motor Oil Subgroup

Name of the company I am calling: _____

Location of the company: _____

Date	Person Contacted	Title	Phone Number

Person that is the final contact (and phone and title):

_____**Telephone Questionnaire -- Actual Questions**

1. Does your company have a process in place that helps minimize used motor oil?

- ☐ No. (If no, graciously conclude the interview.)
- ☐ Yes. (If yes, go to question 1A)

1A. In general, what kinds of processes do you use to reduce the amount of used motor oil at your facility? (Check all that apply and do not worry about a lot of detail at this point.)

- ☐ Recycling (Circle one: Onsite or Offsite?)
- ☐ Burn for energy
- ☐ Additive to diesel fuel
- ☐ Other, including _____

2. Do you maintain service records?

- ☐ No. If no, conclude the interview.
☐ Yes. If yes, ask the following related questions:

2.A. In what form do you keep your records? Check all that apply:

- ☐ Paper files
☐ Computer files
☐ Other. Please list: _____

2.B. What kind of information is available in your records? (Check all that apply)

- ☐ Volume of motor oil purchased
☐ Volume of used oil disposed of
☐ Miles driven between oil changes
☐ Hours the vehicle or engine has been in service since the last oil change
☐ Other. Please list the types of data you feel are important in your system:

3. Do you service your own fleet of vehicles?

- ☐ No. If no, ask related question 3A:

3.A What company does the service?

- ☐ Yes. If yes, ask questions 3B through 3D:

3B. What is the size of your fleet?

- ☐ 1-500 vehicles
☐ 500-999 vehicles
☐ 1000-1999 vehicles
☐ 2000-2999 vehicles
☐ 3000-3999 vehicles
☐ 4000-4999 vehicles
☐ 5000 or more vehicles

3C. What types of vehicles do you service? Check all that apply

- ☐ Light vehicles up to 1 ton, including passenger cars
☐ Any truck series 1 ton and larger
☐ Construction equipment, such as bulldozers or cranes
☐ Special duty vehicles, such as ambulances, helicopters, etc.
☐ Railroad engines
☐ Other, including: _____

4. Do you have any additional waste minimization processes or programs that complement the used motor oil minimization process?

For example, you might purchase motor oil in 55-gallon drums instead of buying 55 1-gallon cans. Or, you may have a supplier that fills a storage tank so that container disposal problems are eliminated at the source.

- ☐ No. If no, go to question 5.
☐ Yes. If yes, complete question 4A.

4.A. Please tell us a little more about these processes. (Describe the steps the company takes to cut down on waste generation, such as purchasing in bulk to avoid having a lot of little containers.)

5. Would your company be interested in participating in a benchmarking project with us to help us improve our used motor oil minimization process? We would like to exchange information that may be mutually beneficial to both facilities.

- ☐ No. If no, thank them for their time and conclude the interview.
☐ Yes. If yes, tell them about future plans (a written questionnaire may follow, as well as the possibility of a site visit.)

Closing Remarks

In any event, thank them for their time.

Ask for the correct and detailed mailing address so that you can:

- ☐ send results of the telephone questionnaire.
☐ send a written questionnaire, if they are chosen to be part of the process.

Name:

Position:

Address

City, State, ZIP:

Questionnaire for Sandia National Laboratories Motor Oil Waste Minimization Benchmarking Project

Please fill out the following questionnaire and **return by fax** to:

Victoria Levin, FAX: (505) 844-1723

For more information, call Victoria Levin at (505) 844-8956.

Mailing address:

Sandia National Laboratories

Organization 6625, Environmentally Conscious Life Cycle Systems Department

P.O. Box 5800

Albuquerque, NM 87185

This questionnaire is from:

Name: _____

Company Name: _____

Address: _____

City, State, ZIP Code: _____

Phone: _____ FAX: _____

Definitions

For the purposes of this questionnaire, **used motor oil** is defined as the spent oil that comes directly from the engine, before any recycling or treatment.

1. Policy

1. Does your company have a written waste minimization mission statement or policy?

- ☐ No.
- ☐ Yes. If yes, please write the mission statement/policy below, or attach a copy to this questionnaire.

2. Service Conditions

2.1 What service conditions does your facility deal with?

- ☐ Dusty (includes volcanic ash)
- ☐ Corrosive
- ☐ Extreme temperatures
- ☐ Vehicles run continuously (7 days a week)
- ☐ Other. Please describe: _____

3. Volume and Types of Motor Oil

3.1 How many gallons of motor oil does your facility purchase annually?

Please give a specific number: _____

However, if you are not sure of the amount, select one of the ranges below.

- ☐ 0-9,999 gallons
- ☐ 10,000-19,999 gallons
- ☐ 20,000-29,999 gallons
- ☐ 30,000-39,999 gallons
- ☐ 40,000-49,999 gallons
- ☐ 50,000-59,999 gallons
- ☐ 60,000 gallons and over

3.2 How many gallons of used motor oil does your facility produce annually?

Please give a specific number: _____

However, if you are not sure of the amount, select one of the ranges below.

- ☐ 0-9,999 gallons
- ☐ 10,000-19,999 gallons
- ☐ 20,000-29,999 gallons
- ☐ 30,000-39,999 gallons
- ☐ 40,000-49,999 gallons
- ☐ 50,000-59,999 gallons
- ☐ 60,000 gallons and over

3.3 What types of motor oil do you use? (Check all that apply)

- ☐ True synthetic
- ☐ Petroleum-based mixed synthetic
- ☐ Refined (new)
- ☐ Re-refined (recycled)

4. Filters

4.1 What kind of filters do you use? (Check all that apply)

- ☐ Washable, reusable
☐ 1-time use, disposable
☐ Other. Please explain: _____

4.2 Do you ever follow the practice of changing the filter and adding a quart of fresh oil in lieu of a complete oil change?

- ☐ Yes--because _____
☐ No-- because _____

5. Changing Oil

5.1 Our company's policy for changing oil is driven by: (Check all that apply)

- ☐ The company follows the vehicle manufacturers' guidelines only.
☐ The company follows the vehicle manufacturers' guidelines, combined with other factors.
 The factors are:

- ☐ Depends on the miles driven.
 Miles: _____ (example, every 6,000 miles)
☐ Depends on the time frame.
 Time frame: _____ (example, every 6 months)
☐ Depends on an oil sample taken from the particular vehicle. If the oil is still good, the oil change is postponed until:

(name the criteria that must be met)

- ☐ Other. Please describe below:

6. Disposal Methods

6.1 How do you dispose of your used motor oil? Check all that apply.

- ☐ Recycle
 - ☐ On site. Please attach a brief description of your process.
 - ☐ Off site. Recycling company's name: _____
- ☐ Burn for heating _____
- ☐ Mix with diesel fuel
- ☐ Other. Please describe: _____

6.2 If you are recycling your used motor oil, please list the ways your used motor oil is recycled, for example, used in highway construction, making roofing shingles, and so on:

7. Regulatory Effects

7.1 What regulations affect your waste minimization plan? (Check all that apply)

- ☐ Clean Air Act
- ☐ Clean Water Act
- ☐ Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)
- ☐ Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
- ☐ State laws (Please attach a brief description of the things your company must do to comply with state laws)
- ☐ County laws (Please attach a brief description of the things your company must do to comply with county laws)
- ☐ City or municipal laws (Please attach a brief description of the things your company must do to comply with county laws)
- ☐ None
- ☐ Other: _____

7.2 What regulatory agencies do you work with? (Check all that apply)

- ☐ Federal Environmental Protection Agency
- ☐ State environmental protection agency
- ☐ County agency. Name: _____
- ☐ City agency. Name: _____
- ☐ None
- ☐ Other: _____

7.3 Do you have any regulations that determine the frequency of the oil change for some or all of your vehicles?

- ☐ No
- ☐ Yes. Please describe the regulation; for example, in some states, ambulance or emergency vehicles must have the oil changed every 3 months whether the vehicle has been on the road or not.

8. New Technology

8.1 Does your company perform any original research and development to minimize used motor oil?

- ☐ No.
- ☐ Yes. If yes, please explain briefly:

8.2 How did you find out about the advanced processes and procedures you use in your facility?

8.3 What publications or organizations are good sources for information on used oil minimization?

8.4 Can you give us information about:

- ☐ Portable oil reclaimers
- ☐ Alternate filtration systems
- ☐ Additives that minimize the number of oil changes
- ☐ Other new technology. (List:)

9. Costs

9.1 Would you be willing to share waste minimization process cost information?

- ☐ No, not at all.
- ☐ Yes, with the understanding that the information is completely confidential and will not be published, except for internal memos.
- ☐ Yes, with the understanding that the information will be circulated through a Sandia National Laboratories report. (This report is available to the public through the Freedom of Information Act.)

10. Interest in Improvement:

10.1 Are you interested in sharing your future plans to improve your motor oil waste management?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

10.2 Would you be interested in receiving input from us on improving motor oil waste management?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

11. Willingness to Participate:

11.1 Are you willing to have visitors at your site? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Any Additional Comments You May Have:

Appendix B

Interview Question Set

PREFACE: The following list of questions was used by the interview team during site visits.

Oil Interview Questions

1. Policy	What is your waste min mission statement?	
1	Who makes wmin decisions for motor oil at your site? Do they buy in?	
2. Volume/ type of oil and vehicles	Have you used synthetic oil successfully? How?	
2	How many pieces of equipment do you have?	
2	How much motor oil does your facility purchase annually?	
2	How much used motor oil does your facility produce annually?	
2	What is the volume of oil used per vehicle mile?	
2	What types of heavy equipment does your facility use?	
2	What types of motor oil do you use?	
2	What types of vehicles does your facility use?	

Appendix B - Interview Question Set

3. Filters	How often do you change filters?	
3	What kind of filters do you use?	
3	How do you dispose of your used filters?	
4. Service conditions and area	How many service areas do you have?	
4	What service conditions does your facility deal with?	
5. Oil Change	Have you extended your service frequencies? How?	
5	Have you found a way to get around manuf. warranty problems from extending service frequency?	
5	How do you analyze your oil to determine if it needs changing?	
5	Time: How often do you change the oil in vehicles?	
5	What drives your oil change policy?	

6. Disposal	Do you have a closed-loop system? Explain.	
6	Do you reuse oil? How?	
6	How do you dispose of your used motor oil?	
6	How do you recycle?	
7. Regulatory effects	Do you feel you are under any special regulatory constraints? If so, what are they?	
7	What kind of monitoring do you do?	
7	What regulations affect your waste min plan?	

Appendix B - Interview Question Set

7	What regulatory agencies do you work with?	
8. Other BMP	Any suggestions for solid waste associated with motor oil?	
8	Are there any wmin techniques or technologies that you would like to implement but do not now have the funds to do so?	
8	Do you have any ideas for minimizing used motor oil that have not yet been covered?	
8	Do you purchase reclaimed/re-refined oil? Who are your sources?	
8	How do you increase the efficiency of your motor pool operations?	

8	How can other DOE facilities handle their used motor oil in an environmentally responsible and economical way?	
8	What are all the different ways you have tried to handle used motor oil, both successfully and unsuccessfully?	
8	What BMPs do you implement?	
8	What BMP would you like to implement in the future?	
8	What do other DOE fleet managers need to know?	
9. Misc.	Can I publish this information and distribute it both within the DOE and outside?	

Appendix B - Interview Question Set

9	Should I publish inputs, outputs, customers, suppliers, generic process flow charts in my report?	
9	Do you do any bioremediation?	
9	Do you use any new technology?	
9	Do you have any WMin success stories you want to share?	
10. Costs	Cost and volume data for treatment, storage and disposal for used motor oil	
10	How much does treatment, storage, and disposal cost for your used motor oil?	
11. Trends	Trend analysis	

Distribution

<p>2 Frank Adams
EG&G/Mound
P.O. Box 3000
1 Mound Rd.
Miamisburg OH 45343-3000</p> <p>2 Susan Anderson
EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc.
WMin Prog B130-C
P.O. Box 464
Golden CO 80402-0464</p> <p>1 Tom Baillieu
Battelle Columbus Lab Decommissioning
Project
505 King Ave
Columbus OH 43201-2693</p> <p>2 James Bennett
Y-12 MMES
MS 8053
P.O. Box 2009
Oak Ridge TN 37831</p> <p>1 P. Berglund
DOE - Los Alamos Area Office
528 35th St.
Los Alamos NM 87544</p> <p>2 Laura Bingham
U.S. DOE
Idaho Operations Office
785 DOE Place
Idaho Falls ID 83402</p> <p>2 Pat Cannon
EG&G - INEL
MS 3930
P.O. Box 1625
Idaho Falls ID 83415</p> <p>12 Karen Catlett
U.S. DOE EW-921
Operations Office, Oak Ridge
200 Administration Road
Federal Building
Oak Ridge TN 37830</p> | <p>1 David Caughey
DOE - Kansas City Area Office
ES&H Branch - ER -WM
2000 East 95th St.
Kansas City MO 64141-0202</p> <p>1 Sam Chang
DOE/Miamisburg Area Office
P.O. Box 66
1 Mound Rd.
Miamisburg OH 45343</p> <p>2 Angela Colarusso
U.S. DOE
Nevada Operations Office
2765 S. Highland Avenue
Las Vegas NV 89193-8518</p> <p>5 Oren Critchfield
U.S. DOE
Albuquerque Operations Office
P.O. Box 5400
Albuquerque NM 87115</p> <p>1 Dave Dahlquist
EG&G/Idaho
MS 4103
P.O. Box 1625
Idaho Falls ID 83415-4103</p> <p>8 Ellen Dagan
U.S. DOE
Operations Office, Richland
825 Jadwin, MSIN-A5-19
Richland WA 99352</p> <p>1 Micheline Devaurs
Los Alamos National Lab
J-552
P.O. Box 1663
Los Alamos NM 87545</p> <p>1 Miles Dionisio
EM-552
Trevion II, 404
US DOE
Washington DC 20505-0002</p> |
|--|--|

Distribution

2	Cindy Dutro
REECO
3271 S. Highland Ave. #702
P.O. Box 98521
Las Vegas NV 89193-8521	1	Anita Gorski
Envir Serv Grand Junction Project Office
Chem-Nuclear Geotech, Inc.
2597 B 3/4 Road
Grand Junction CO 81502
1	Arnie Edelman
ER-8.2
Germantown, E-227
US DOE
Washington DC 20505-0002	3	Roy Grant
Argonne National Lab
P.O. Box 2528
Idaho Falls ID 83403-2528
3	Wendy Faler
MS 4101
INEL
P.O. Box 1625
Idaho Falls ID 83415-4103	1	Mary Hall
Inhalation Toxicology Research
Institute/NM
P.O. Box 5890
Albuquerque NM 87185
1	Herb Feely
Environmental Measurements Lab
376 Hudson St.
New York NY 10014-3621	10	Kent Hancock
EM-334
US DOE
TRV 11, Room 435
1000 Independence Ave NW
Washington DC 20585-0002
3	Dale Fennell
MMES - Waste Mgmt. Div-PORTS
P.O. Box 628, MS-7570
Piketon OH 45661	3	Kay Hannasch
Ames Laboratory
115 Spedding Hall
Ames IA 50011-3020
2	Debbie Finrock
SWEC-AL
DOE/AL	1	Bob Hill
MMES - Y-12 Plant
Bldg. 9704-2, MS 8010
Oak Ridge TN 37831
1	Robert Fleming
EM-431
Trevion II, 206
US DOE
Washington DC 20505-0002	1	Jafar Imam
RW-33
Forrestal, 7F-070
US DOE
Washington DC 20505-0002
3	Terry Fletcher
WMin - MMES E5
Bldg. C-270
P.O. Box 1410
Paducah KY 42001	3	Ken Isakson
Fermilab
Kirk & Pine Streets
P.O. Box 500
Batavia IL 60510
3	Daniel Gonzales
REECO/LV, NTS
MS 970
PO Box 98521
Las Vegas NV 89193-8521	1	Dan Kettleborough
Martin Marietta Spec. Comp.
Pinellas Plant
P.O. Box 2908
Largo FL 34649
2	George Goode
Brookhaven Nat. Lab
Bldg. 535-A
Upton NY 11973-5000		

<p>2 Karin King U.S. DOE Operations Office, San Francisco 7000 East Avenue P.O. Box 808 MS-L-574 Livermore CA 94550</p> <p>1 George Klipa EM-323 Trevion II, 345 US DOE Washington DC 20505-0002</p> <p>2 Ray Lang U.S. DOE Chicago Operations Office 9800 South Cass Avenue Bldg. 201 Argonne IL 60439</p> <p>3 Diane Leek Tech Reps, Inc. 5000 Marble NE Albuquerque NM 87110</p> <p>1 Jim Luginbyhi Pantex Plant PO Box 30020 Amarillo TX 79177</p> <p>1 John Marchetti DP-64 Germantown, C-407 US DOE Washington DC 20505-0002</p> <p>3 Michael Meltzer LLNL LC-26 P.O. Box 808 Livermore CA 94550</p> <p>1 Maxcine Miles U.S. DOE Operations Office, Savannah River P.O. Box A Aiken SC 29808</p> <p>1 Hilary Noskin LANL MS-K572 P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos NM 87545</p>	<p>1 Ted Pietrok DOE-KAO Pennsylvania & H Street Kirtland AFB - ER Albuquerque NM 87116</p> <p>1 Jane Powers EH-231 Forrestal, 7A-085 US DOE Washington DC 20505-0002</p> <p>1 Bill Prymak U.S. DOE Operations Office, Rocky Flats Building 116 P.O. Box 928 Golden CO 80402</p> <p>2 Becky Redeker Bonneville Power Admin. P.O. Box 3621 -AJ Portland OR 97208</p> <p>1 Todd Rockfeller MS 080 WIPP P.O. Box 3090 Jal Highway Carlsbad NM 88221</p> <p>2 Bill Schlosberg Allied Signal Inc. KC D/922, SC-8 P.O. Box 419159 Kansas City MO 64141-6159</p> <p>1 Gary Schmidtke DOE - Pinellas Area Office Waste Management 11400 South Belcher Road Largo FL 34643</p> <p>1 Scott Larson Princeton Univ. Plasma Physics Lab Forrestal Campus, Receiving 3 US Rt. 1 North Princeton NJ 08543</p> <p>1 Pyrtle Seabaugh EG&G - Mound Plant Mound Applied Technologies P.O. Box 3000. OSE-2 Miamisburg OH 45343-3000</p>
--	---

Distribution

1	Raj Sharma
NE-47
Germantown, F-416A
US DOE
Washington DC 20505-0002	1	Terry Tyborowski
CR-145
Forrestal, 4A-178
US DOE
Washington DC 20505-0002
1	Raj Sheth
Batelle Pantex
Waste Management Dept. T9-061
PO Box 30020
Amarillo TX 79177	1	Bob Vallario
PO-63
US DOE
RM 7H082
1000 Independence Ave SW
Washington DC 20585
2	Behram Shroff
U.S. DOE
Fernald Operations Office
P.O. Box 398705
Cincinnati OH 45239-8705	1	Curt Valle
Kansas City Plant
Box 419159
Kansas City MO 64141-6159
1	Douglas Smith
EM-62
Forrestal, IH-088-22
US DOE
Washington DC 20505-0002	1	A. Vollmer
Regul. Oversight & Compl. Supp.
Jacobs Engineering
5301 Central Ave. NE
Albuquerque NM 87108
1	Jody Stelmach
DOE Grand Junction Project Office
2597 B & 3/4 Road
Grand Junction CO 81503	1	Don Walter
EE-22
Forrestal, 5F-067
US DOE
Washington DC 20505-0002
1	Dan Stoltz
Allied Signal Inc. KCP
Environmental & Health Protection
2000 East 59th St.
Kansas City MO 64141-6159	3	Tom Wantland
Oak Ridge Institute for Science and
Education
P.O. Box 117
Oak Ridge TN 37831-0117
1	Keith Stone
Westinghouse Savannah River
PO Box 616
Aiken SC 29802	1	Keith Warwick
DOE-Oakland
L-575
7000 East Ave
Livermore CA 94330
1	James Thompson
ITRI
P.O. Box 5890
Albuquerque NM 87119	3	Shelly Worsham
LBL - Waste Mgmt. Dept.
#1 Cyclotron Rd
MS 875B-101
Berkeley CA 94720
2	James Thout
Argonne Nat. Lab East
9700 South Cass
Argonne IL 60439	1	Cindi Wright
MS 170
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant site
P.O. Box 2078
Jal Highway
Carlsbad NM 88220
1	J. Thurston
DOE - Amarillo Area Office
Pantex Plant - OS Mgmt. Branch
U.S. Highway 60, FM 2373
Anarukki TX 79120		

- 1 G. Todd Wright
Westinghouse Savannah River Co.
Savannah River Site
Aiken SC 29808

INTERNAL:

- 5 6625 Ted Wheelis, MS 0730
120 6625 Victoria Levin, MS 0730
1 7574 Jim Fish, MS 1305
3 7576 Robyn Davis, MS 1305
10 7613-2 Document Processing for
DOE/OSTI, MS 0100
3 8642 Sally Raubfogel, MS 9222
2 8642 Alice Johnson-Duarte,
MS 9222
1 8523-2 Central Technical Files,
MS 9018
6 12913 Ken Ronquillo, MS 1357
7 13414 Technical Library, MS 9211
1 13416 Technical Publications, MS 0619