Manuscript Submitted
to the United States Atomic Energy Commission
on Contract No. AT(1101)-1707

Report No. COO-1707-4

unclass
Kahn 6/6/69

QUASI-LATTICE INTERPRETATION OF THE
THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF MIXING
OF BINARY ALKALI METAL SYSTEMS

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

by

J. Bevan Ott
Professor of Chemistry

and

J. Rex Goates
Professor of Chemistry

Brigham Young University
Provo, Utah

May 1, 1969

P1531

fy

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Quasi-Lattice Interpretation of the Thermodynamic Properties
of Mixing of Binary Alkali Metal Systems

by J. R. Goates and J. B. Ott

Department of Chemistry
Brigham Young University
Provo, Utah

ABSTRACT

Quasi-lattice theory was applied to heats of mixing in the six systems formed from the binary combinations of Na, K, Rb, and Cs. The alkali metal systems are particularly well suited for a critical test of the quasi-crystalline assumption since the theoretical model for these systems is relatively simple and the thermodynamic data are fairly complex. Very good fits of the heat of mixing data were achieved by assuming that the larger atom in the binary system covered multiple sites on the quasi-lattice. The values of the empirically determined constants appear to fit the physical significance accorded to them by the quasi-lattice model. Values for the excess free energies of the Na-K system, calculated from the parameters of heat of mixing data, were in semi-quantitative agreement with experimental data.

The generalized quasi-lattice model described by Barker^{1,2} has been

-
1. J. A. Barker, J. Chem. Phys., 20, 1526 (1952).
 2. J. A. Barker, J. Chem. Phys., 21, 1391 (1953).
-

used with some success in our laboratory^{3,4,5} to correlate and semi-quantitatively

-
3. J. R. Goates, R. L. Snow, and M. R. James, J. Phys. Chem. 65, 335 (1961).
 4. J. R. Goates, R. L. Snow, and J. B. Ott, J. Phys. Chem., 66, 1301 (1962).
 5. J. B. Ott, J. R. Goates, and R. L. Snow, J. Phys. Chem., 67, 515 (1963).
-

predict values for the thermodynamic properties of mixing of simple organic molecules. The use of molecular species, especially those with more than one type of interaction site, generates so many arbitrarily adjustable parameters that the extent to which the quasi-lattice assumption may be valid is obscured. Binary solutions of alkali metals, on the other hand, because of the simplicity of the model and the difference in size of the atoms are particularly well suited for a critical test of the theory.

Adding to the interest of these systems is the variation found in the symmetry of their heat of mixing vs. mole fraction curves, which vary from the almost perfectly symmetrical K-Rb system to the badly skewed curve of the Na-Cs system. We have with the alkali metal systems, therefore, a case where a relatively simple model must account for fairly complex thermodynamic information.

QUASI-LATTICE MODEL

In general, application of quasi-lattice theory requires a knowledge of the number and types of contact sites on each molecule and the energies for all possible interactions of these sites. Three lattice parameters and one energy of interaction need to be specified to provide this information for the systems under discussion. The lattice parameters are z , the coordination number of the quasi-lattice; r_s , the number of lattice sites occupied by the smaller atoms in the binary system; and r_L , the number of sites occupied by the larger atom. The number of interaction sites, Q , of the atom can then be

calculated from the relation

$$Q = rz - 2r + 2 \quad (1)$$

The lattice model that we have used assigns one site on a four-fold coordinated lattice to the smaller atom in each system; i.e., $z = 4$, $r_s = 1$. The value of r_L , as will be explained more fully later, was determined from experimental heat of mixing data.

The energy of interaction required in the theory is the energy of the quasi-chemical process $\frac{1}{2}(i-i) + \frac{1}{2}(j-j) \longrightarrow (i-j)$, in which $\underline{i}$ and $\underline{j}$ represent contact sites on unlike atoms. Hence, for binary mixtures of the alkali metals, a single interaction energy, $\underline{U}$, is all that needs to be considered. Its value, as with r_L , was obtained empirically from experimental heat of mixing data.

Computations: The quasi-lattice equations that apply to the alkali-metal systems are given below:

$$\Delta H_x^m \cong \Delta E_x^m = -2RT \left[x_{Na} x_K \eta_{Na-K} \ln \eta_{Na-K} \right] \quad (2)$$

$$\Delta G_x^E \cong \Delta A_x^E = x_s \mu_s^E + x_L \mu_L^E \quad (3)$$

$$\mu_s^E = RT \left[4 \ln \frac{x_s}{x_s x'_s} + \ln (r_L x_L + x_s) \right] \quad (4)$$

$$\mu_L^E = RT \left[Q_L \ln \frac{x_L}{x_L x'_L} + r_L \ln \left(\frac{x_s + r_L x_L}{r_L} \right) \right] \quad (5)$$

The heat of mixing per mole of solution, ΔH_x^m , is so nearly equal to the energy of mixing (which is actually calculated from the theory) that the two can be used interchangeably in these systems. The same applies for the excess Gibbs free energy ΔG_x^E , and the excess Helmholtz free energy, ΔA_m^E . $\underline{L}$ and $\underline{s}$ signify the larger and smaller atom respectively in the mixture. The quantities μ_L^E and μ_s^E then are the excess partial molal Helmholtz free energies or approximately excess chemical potentials of the large and small atoms, η is $e^{-U/RT}$, x_L and

x_s are mole fractions, and Q and r are as described earlier. The x functions are obtained from the simultaneous solution of the following equations:

$$x_s \left[x_s + x_L \eta \right] = \frac{Q_s x_s}{2} \quad (6)$$

$$x_L \left[x_L + x_s \eta \right] = \frac{Q_L x_L}{2} \quad (7)$$

The values for U and r_L were determined by curve fitting, using the experimental heat of mixing data of Yokokawa and Kleppa.⁶ Since the value

6. Yokokawa, T. and O. J. Kleppa, J. Chem. Phys. 40, 46 (1964).

of r_L affects only the height of the heat of mixing-composition curve, it was possible to obtain a unique "best fit" for any specified set of lattice parameters. The computations were made with an IBM 360 computer, which was programmed to include in its out-put a plot of the heat of mixing curve showing both experimental and calculated data points. This made a quick visual analysis all that was necessary to bracket a narrow range of possible best values for U and r_L . Final selection of "best fit" was made on the basis of the root mean square deviation from the experimental curve at nine points spaced at 0.1 mole fraction intervals. To make this comparison the experimental points of ref. (6) were smoothed with a least squares plot of $\frac{\Delta H^M}{x_1 x_2}$ vs. x_1 . The values of ΔH at the 0.1 mole fraction intervals were then calculated from the least squares equation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table I lists the values of the lattice parameters and the energies of interaction obtained for the six binary mixtures of Na, K, Rb, and Cs.

Table I

Parameters for the Quasi-Lattice Model

<u>System</u>	<u>r_L</u>	<u>Q_L</u>	<u>U</u>
Na-K	2.0	6	164
Na-Rb	3.5	9	244
Na-Cs	5.0	12	161
K-Rb	1.0	4	31.4
K-Cs	2.0	6	22.0
Rb-Cs	1.0	4	-29.0

$z = 4$, $r_s = 1$, and $Q_s = 4$ apply to all six systems.

Figures 1 and 2 are plots of the heats of mixing calculated from the data of Table I. The theory is represented by the solid line, and the smoothed experimental data are plotted as circles. The agreement between theory and experiment is good, in most cases the fit being well within the limits of the scattering of actual experimental data points.

We next checked the reasonableness of the empirically measured values. The number of lattice sites covered by the larger atom, r_L , should bear some relationship to the size of the atom if the empirically determined constant is to have the physical significance assigned to it by the theory. In Fig. 3 we have plotted the ratio of the number of sites covered by the unlike atoms against the ratios of the volumes of the atoms. The slope of the line is too steep for a 1:1 correspondence; but, qualitatively, the relationship is correct. Since all errors inherent in the theory that affect the symmetry of the heat of mixing data are absorbed into the single empirically determined constant, r_L ,

the straight-line relationship is rather satisfying.

The values of the interaction energies in Table I fall roughly into two groups: (a) relatively high endothermic values in the three systems containing sodium, and (b) low values (both exothermic and endothermic) for those systems composed of the elements in the lower part of Group IA of the Periodic Table.

This grouping is in line with what one might expect from a consideration of the variations in physical properties of the four metals. An abrupt break in the otherwise gradual change in properties as one goes down the IA Group from Na to Cs occurs between Na and K. For example, the bond energies in kcal/mole are Na-Na, 17.3; K-K, 11.8; Rb-Rb, 10.8; and Cs-Cs, 10.4. A similar relationship can be seen with the melting points, heats of fusion, boiling points, and heats of vaporization. Since the physical properties that are related to attractive forces are quite similar for K, Rb, and Cs, one might expect their mixtures to have low interaction energies. On the other hand, energies for interactions between less similar atoms, the condition that exists in the Na-containing systems, would be expected to be relatively high. Thus, from at least a qualitative point of view, the values found for $\underline{U}$ are also reasonable.

After the parameters of Table I had been established from the heat of mixing studies, the same data were used to calculate excess chemical potentials and excess free energies of mixing. The results are shown in Fig. 4 for the one system for which we had experimental data.⁷

7. R. C. Vogel, Division Director; M. Levenson, Associate Director; J. H. Schroidt; J. Royal; Argonne National Laboratory Research Highlights, Chemical Engineering Division; ANL-7175 (May 1965-April 1966).

In-as-much as no consideration was given to the free energy data in establishing the values of the constants in Table I, the agreement between theory and experiment is considered satisfactory. The calculated curve is low at its peak by 12-15 per cent and appears to be skewed to the right.

Acknowledgment: The authors gratefully acknowledge the support given this project by the United States Atomic Energy Commission under contract #AT(11-1)-1707. The assistance of H. T. Hall, Jr. with the computer calculations is very much appreciated.

Fig. 1, Comparison of Quasilattice Theory (solid lines) and smoothed experimental heats of mixing (data points).

- O, Sodium-rubidium system
- Δ , Sodium-cesium system
- $\square$, Sodium-potassium system

Fig. 2 Comparison of Quasi-Lattice Theory (solid line) and smoothed experimental heats of mixing (data points)

○, Potassium-rubidium system

△, Potassium-cesium system

□, Rubidium-cesium system

Fig. 3 Comparison of occupied sites vs. atomic volumes

Fig. 4 Comparison of experimental free energies of mixing (data points) and Quasi-lattice Theory prediction (solid line)