Polycrystalline Thin Film Materials and Devices

Annual Subcontract Report 16 January 1991 – 15 January 1992

NREL/TP--451-5094

DE93 000022

B. N. Baron, R. W. Birkmire,
J. E. Phillips, W. N. Shafarman,
S. S. Hegedus, B. E. McCandless
*Institute of Energy Conversion
Newark, Delaware*

NREL technical monitor: B. von Roedern

National Renewable Energy Laboratory
1617 Cole Boulevard
Golden, Colorado 80401-3393
A Division of Midwest Research Institute
Operated for the U.S. Department of Energy
under Contract No. DE-AC02-83CH10093

Prepared under Subcontract No. XN-0-10023-1

October 1992

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

On September 16, 1991 the Solar Energy Institute was designated a national laboratory, and its name was changed to the National Renewable Energy Laboratory.

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

Printed in the United States of America
Available from:
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

Price: Microfiche A01
Printed Copy A06

Codes are used for pricing all publications. The code is determined by the number of pages in the publication. Information pertaining to the pricing codes can be found in the current issue of the following publications which are generally available in most libraries: *Energy Research Abstracts (ERA)*; *Government Reports Announcements and Index (GRA and I)*; *Scientific and Technical Abstract Reports (STAR)*; and publication NTIS-PR-360 available from NTIS at the above address.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

Preface

Results and conclusions of Phase II of a multi-year research program on polycrystalline thin film heterojunction solar cells are presented. The research consisted of the investigation of the relationships between processing, materials properties and device performance. This relationship was quantified by device modeling and analysis. The analysis of thin film polycrystalline heterojunction solar cells explains how minority carrier recombination at the metallurgical interface and at grain boundaries can be greatly reduced by the proper doping of the window and absorber layers. Additional analysis and measurements show that the present solar cells are limited by the magnitude of the diode current which appears to be caused by recombination in the space charge region.

Developing an efficient commercial scale process for fabricating large area polycrystalline thin film solar cells from a research process requires detailed understanding of the individual steps in making the solar cell and their relationship to device performance and reliability. The complexities involved in characterizing a process are demonstrated with results from our research program on CuInSe_2 and CdTe processes.

SUMMARY

Objectives

The objectives of this research are to obtain the understanding of the materials processing, properties and performance of polycrystalline thin-film CuInSe_2 and CdTe solar cells that are needed to achieve the goals for efficiency, reliability and cost for flat plate thin-film photovoltaic systems set by DOE for the National Photovoltaics Program. A further objective of this program is to support the development of a competitive U.S. photovoltaic industry through collaboration with engineers and scientists at other laboratories.

Discussion

This is a report on Phase II of a three year phased research program of integrated investigations of processing, properties, and performance of polycrystalline thin film CuInSe_2 and CdTe based heterojunction solar cells.

Research on the mode(s) of operation of these devices has given the following indications.

The effect of interface recombination on the light generated current in a thin film heterojunction solar cell can be reduced by making sure that most of the junction built-in voltage (or diffusion voltage) is across the absorber layer at the interface.

The loss of minority carriers to grain boundary recombination in the polycrystalline absorber can be reduced if the grain boundary edges are more heavily doped than the bulk.

Because of the two dimensional problem created by the grain boundaries, simple one dimensional modeling will only give "averaged" values for the electronic properties of the absorber.

From current-voltage measurements, it appears that recombination in the space charge region of the absorber controls the diode current during solar cell operation.

Analysis of the J-V characteristics as a function of temperature has shown that the CuInSe_2 cells are controlled by a single current mechanism, SRH recombination. There is no evidence of a transition to a lower A factor at forward bias as had been predicted by a standard interface recombination model. And the diode behavior at a given intensity is described by the standard temperature dependence with no evidence of tunnelling or other mechanisms with different temperature dependence.

The light intensity dependence of A, G, and J_0 indicates further that photoactivated states in the CuInSe_2 affect the diode

current. In the case of CdTe; if the voltage dependent current collection ($J_L(V)$) as shown by spectral response measurements is included in the diode analysis of current-voltage measurements made under illumination, then both the dark and light analysis give a barrier height (ϕ) near 1.3 eV with a diode quality factor (A) of about 1.8. These are indications that the CdTe/CdS solar cell operates as a p-n heterojunction and has a current transport mechanism dominated by Shockley-Read-Hall recombination in the space charge region of the CdTe.

Analysis of the process used for fabricating research solar cells and its relationship to material properties and device performance will become increasingly important as thin film polycrystalline solar cells are commercialized. In order to effectively characterize a process, an integrated research approach is required so that material properties and device performance can be coupled with the process analysis. In the case of CdTe solar cells, we have used evaporated CdTe solar cells as a model system and developed a self consistent picture, based on materials and devices measurements, of the effects of processing on the evolution of a CdTe cell which can be applied to other processing methods. For CuInSe₂ formation by selenization, a clear understanding of the process is only beginning to emerge.

The reaction pathways to CuInSe₂ formation using either H₂Se or Se proceed through the formation of the Cu₁₁In₉ phase and the indium selenide phases (In₂Se and InSe). The only different precursor in the two schemes is the copper selenide phase observed in the selenization in Se vapor. The reaction with Se also proceeds faster than the reaction with H₂Se. Below 400°C the phases observed in the reaction with H₂Se at a temperature T are observed in the reaction with Se at a temperature T-50°C.

It appears, on a preliminary examination, that the binary selenides which form the CuInSe₂ precursors govern the final film morphology.

Analysis of CdTe/CdS devices fabricated by vacuum evaporation has led to a consistent picture of the effects of processing on the evolution of the cells which is extendable to devices fabricated by other methods. High temperature processing or post deposition annealing in the presence of CdCl₂ results in both CdTe grain growth and interdiffusion of the CdS and CdTe films. The amount of the diffusion of Te into the CdS depends on the CdS thickness and structure. The device structure is most likely CdS_{1-y}Te_y/CdTe_{1-x}S_x with the CdS_{1-y}Te_y reducing the short wavelength spectral response and the CdTe_{1-x}S_x enhancing the long wavelength spectral response. Cu or other acceptor dopants used in most fabricating processes convert the CdTe from intrinsic or n-type to p-type. A quantitative analysis of the relation between deposition and post-deposition processing to

optimized device performance will be required to develop an efficient commercial process.

CdTe solar cells that have been tested over periods ranging in time from three months to nearly three years have been found to change in efficiency (both up and down). This change is associated with a change in the effective series resistance. We would expect this type of change to be associated with the contacting. However, the changes do not necessarily correlate with cells on the same sample which should have had the same processing. It is suspected that the preparation or treatment of the CdTe surface prior to contacting is involved in these changes. Further work along these lines is continuing.

List of Contributors

Bill N. Baron	Project Director
Robert W. Birkmire	Principal Investigator
James E. Phillips	Principal Investigator
William N. Shafarman	Principal Researcher
Steven S. Hegedus	Principal Researcher
Brian E. McCandless	Principal Researcher
Takashi Yoshida (Fuji)	Visiting Scientist
Satoshi Yamanaka	Post Doctoral Fellow
Anup Mondal	Post Doctoral Fellow
Sandeep Verma	Graduate Student
Robert D. Varrin, Jr.	Graduate Student
Wayne A. Buchanan	Technical Support
Herbert O. Wardell	Technical Support
Sarah K. Buchanan	Technical Support
Sally I. Gordon	Technical Support
Ronald Dozier	Technical Support
David A. Fardig	CdTe Research
Laurie Adkins	Student
Elaine A. Koronik	Document Preparation
David Albin (NREL)	
Scot Albright (Photon Energy Inc.)	
Rajeewa Arya (Solarex Corp.)	
Anthony Catalano (Solarex Corp.)	
Ting Chu (Univ. of South Florida)	
Chris Eberspacher (Siemens Solar Industries)	
Kim Mitchell (Siemens Solar Industries)	
Keith Emery (NREL)	
Fouad Abou-Elfotouh (NREL)	
Zolton Kiss (EPV)	
Peter Meyers (Solar Cells Inc.)	
Alvin Compaan (Univ. of Toledo)	
Terry Peterson (EPRI)	
Angus Rockett (Univ. of Illinois)	
Ajeet Rohatgi (Georgia Tech)	
Axel Schonecker (Fraunhofer Institute, Germany)	
James Sites (Colorado State Univ.)	
Jarmo Skarp (Microchemistry, Finland)	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
Preface	iii
Summary	iv
List of Contributors	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xii
SECTION 1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Technical Approach	2
1.3 Outline of Report	3
SECTION 2.0 Device Modeling, Characterization and Analysis	4
2.1 Requirements of a Polycrystalline Heterojunction	4
2.2 Modes of Operation and Limitations	11
2.3 CuInSe ₂ /(CdZn)S Device Operation	14
2.4 CdTe Device Operation	30
SECTION 3.0 Materials and Device Fabrication	46
3.1 CuInSe ₂ Films	46
3.2 Processing of CdTe Devices	60
SECTION 4.0 References	81
SECTION 5.0 Abstract	83
Appendix A	85
Appendix B	86

LIST OF FIGURES

page

Figure 1	Energy band diagram of an AlGaAs/GaAs heteroface cell.	5
Figure 2	Energy band diagram of an AlGaAs/GaAs heterojunction cell.	7
Figure 3	Energy band diagram of an n-p heterojunction cell .	8
Figure 4	Cross section of a polycrystalline cell	9
Figure 5	Energy band diagrams of polycrystalline grain boundaries	10
Figure 6	Energy band schematic of the diode current in a heterojunction cell.	12
Figure 7	Model of currents controlled by SRH ($A=1.7$) and interface ($A=1$) recombination and their sum. . . .	16
Figure 8	Fill factor calculated from standard diode equation 3 with no resistance or shunt losses at $T=25^{\circ}\text{C}$. . .	17
Figure 9	J-V curves of cell 2 at four temperatures. A back diode is seen at forward bias for $T<25^{\circ}\text{C}$	20
Figure 10	$\ln(J)$ -V of cell 2 at $T=55^{\circ}\text{C}$ and four intensities. .	21
Figure 11	Determination of G at reverse bias for cell 2 at $T=55^{\circ}\text{C}$. The four curves are for $J_L=0, 1.7, 5.9,$ and 23 mA/cm^2 with G (slope) increasing as J_L increases.	23
Figure 12	Slope dV/dJ of cell 2 at forward bias giving upper bound to series resistance.	23
Figure 13	$\ln(J')$ vs $V-R_s J$ used to determine A for cell 2, showing a single A factor at a given intensity and in the dark.	24
Figure 14	A factor at $J_L = 0, 1.7, 5.9,$ and 23 mA/cm^2 (from bottom at $T=25^{\circ}\text{C}$). Uncertainty in A is ± 0.05 . . .	26
Figure 15	V_{oc} vs. T for cell 1 showing $\phi=0.99 \text{ eV}$ at three intensities.	27
Figure 16	J_0 vs. $1/T$ for cell 1. Slope gives $\phi=0.99\pm 0.02 \text{ eV}$ at all intensities.	28

	page
Figure 17 Current-voltage characteristics of a CdTe/CdS device with a semitransparent Cu/Au contact before air heat treatment.	32
Figure 18 Q.E. measurements of a CdTe/CdS device with a semitransparent Cu/Au contact before air heat treatment.	33
Figure 19 Current-voltage characteristics of a CdTe/CdS device with a semitransparent Cu/Au contact after air heat treatment.	34
Figure 20 Q.E. measurements of a CdTe/CdS device with a semitransparent contact after air heat treatment.	35
Figure 21 Spectral response in reverse bias normalized to zero bias.	37
Figure 22 Comparison of measured J to calculated from integrated spectral response.	38
Figure 23 Slope of J-V curve (dJ/dV) (Dark $T=301$ K).	39
Figure 24 J' (corrected for shunt conductance) vs. V (Line is for $A=1.7$).	41
Figure 25 J' (corrected for shunt conductance and short circuit current) vs. V. (Line is for $A=1.9$).	42
Figure 26 V_{oc} vs. Temperature (Intercept= 1.35 eV).	44
Figure 27 Change in light generated current ($\delta J_L(V)$ =difference between measured and predicted J' for $A=1.9$).	45
Figure 28 Relative ratio of Cu/In ratio determined by EDS	49
Figure 29 SEM micrographs of Mo/In/Cu layer	50
Figure 30 SEM micrograph of Mo/Te/In/Cu layer	51
Figure 31 Schematic of H_2Se reaction system	53
Figure 32 Normalized spectral response curve for a $CuInSe_2/CdS$ cell using a $CuInSe_2$ film grown by selenization using elemental Se	56
Figure 33 Schematic cross-section diagram of superstrate CdTe/CdS device.	61

Figure 34	XRD scans before and after heat treatment at 400°C with CdCl ₂ . The CdTe and CdS thicknesses were 2 μm and 1 μm, respectively.	63
Figure 35	SEM photograph of the as-deposited CdTe surface. .	64
Figure 36	SEM photograph of the CdTe surface after heat treatment at 400°C with CdCl ₂	65
Figure 37	Comparison of the CdTe absorption edge in CdTe/CdS samples before and after heat treatment at 400°C with CdCl ₂	66
Figure 38	Normalized transmission, T/(1-R), for 800Å thick CdS and spectral response of a CdTe/CdS device fabricated on the same CdS sample.	68
Figure 39	Comparison of spectral response of CdTe/CdS devices with different CdS thicknesses.	69
Figure 40	Normalized transmission, T/(1-R), for 0.24 μm thick CdS films heat treated at 400°C with different thicknesses of CdCl ₂	71
Figure 41	Spectral response of CdTe/CdS devices fabricated on the CdS samples of Figure 40, having been heat treated with different thicknesses of CdCl ₂	72
Figure 42	Quantum efficiency measurements (NREL) for CdTe/CdS solar cells fabricated by various deposition methods (from reference 7): Photon Energy, Inc. (PE) - Spray Pyrolysis; Georgia Institute of Technology (GIT) - M.O.C.V.D.; Microchemistry, LTD (MC) - A.L.E.; University of South Florida (USF) - C.S.V.T.; Ametek - Electron deposition.	74
Figure 43	Photon losses in CdTe/CdS solar cells	76
Figure 44	Spectral response of high efficiency CdTe/CdS cells using evaporated CdTe and CdS layers. Sample 40723.11 used CdS which was heat treated at 400°C with CdCl ₂ prior to CdTe deposition. . . .	78

LIST OF TABLES

	page
Table 1 ϕ and A for the possible diode currents	13
Table 2 Cells Used for J-V Analysis	19
Table 3 Diode Parameters at 55°C and Different Intensities	25
Table 4 Comparison of cells showing that the difference in V_{oc} is due to different barrier height	29
Table 5 Diode Parameters that are a Function of Temperature	40
Table 6 Summary of I-V results for CuInSe ₂ /CdS cells using a CuInSe ₂ film grown by selenization with elemental Se	57
Table 7 Summary of phases identified by XRD for Cu/In layers selenized in elemental Se and H ₂ Se for temperatures from 150 to 400°C	59
Table 8 XRD analysis of CdTe (111) peak before and after heat treatment at 400°C with CdCl ₂ . The CdTe thickness was 2 μ m	67
Table 9 Effect of restructuring thin (0.24 μ m) CdS layers with 400°C CdCl ₂ anneal prior to CdTe deposition .	73
Table 10 NREL Test Results for Cells in Figure 44	77
Table 11 J-V device parameters for ITO/CdS/CdTe cells with different contacts. Measurements made under ELH illumination, normalized to 100 mW/cm ² @ 32°C . . .	79

SECTION 1.0

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

Modules utilizing copper-indium-selenide (CuInSe_2) and cadmium telluride (CdTe) have emerged as promising candidates for meeting the DOE long range efficiency, reliability and cost targets for flat plate photovoltaic energy systems (1).

Under the NREL Polycrystalline Thin-Film Task, the Institute of Energy Conversion (IEC) has been conducting an integrated program of investigation of CuInSe_2 -solar cells (2). IEC has established a two-step physical vapor deposition (PVD) process and characterization capabilities for fabricating and analyzing state-of-art CuInSe_2 materials and devices. In addition, IEC has also established processes to produce device quality CuInSe_2 by the selenization of copper and indium layers with both H_2Se and elemental Se.

IEC also initiated a chemical reaction engineering analysis of the formation of device quality CuInSe_2 by selenization of copper and indium metal layers, a process which promises to be more readily translated from the laboratory scale solar cells to large area module manufacturing.

These results, along with those obtained by others under the NREL Polycrystalline Thin-Film Task indicate that further improvements in performance and cost potential of CuInSe_2 modules can be expected from continued investigations of processing, material properties and device behavior.

Research conducted by IEC on CdTe has also shown that PVD is capable of producing near state-of-art CdTe materials and devices and that sequential post-deposition treatments are critical for achieving high efficiencies regardless of CdTe deposition technique. Recent results obtained by IEC and others under the NREL Polycrystalline Thin-Film Task indicate that further research is likely to lead to achievement of practical efficiencies greater than 15% and long term stability for thin-film CdTe modules.

Accordingly, a continued research effort with the objective of obtaining the understanding of materials processing, properties and performance of polycrystalline thin-film solar cells needed to achieve the goals for performance, cost and reliability set by DOE for the National Photovoltaics Program is needed. A further objective of such a program is to support the development of competitive U.S. photovoltaic industry through collaboration with other research groups and the training of engineers and scientists in photovoltaic technology.

1.2 TECHNICAL APPROACH

In order to achieve these objectives IEC has undertaken a phased three year program to carry out the following integrated research tasks:

Task 1 - CuInSe₂ Based Materials and Devices

- A. Characterize and optimize selenization of Cu/In films with a primary goal of establishing capability to fabricate state-of-art CuInSe₂ materials and devices by selenization and explore use of alternatives of H₂Se.
- B. Increase open circuit voltage in CuInSe₂-based solar cells, through investigations of reducing space charge recombination by alloying with gallium and/or sulfur and reducing the space charge width in the CuInSe₂ by optimizing doping levels in the CuInSe₂ and window layers.
- C. Examine interface recombination as a limiting mechanism for open circuit voltage by fabricating and characterizing CuInSe₂ heterojunctions with ZnSe, ZnO, or other thin, n-type materials.
- D. Identifying the controlling mechanisms and demonstrate approaches for improving open circuit voltage in superstrate CuInSe₂ devices.
- E. Quantify losses due to multidimensional junction effects and relate them to material processing and properties.

Task 2 - CdTe Based Materials and Device

- A. Characterize and optimize fabrication of state-of-art CdTe material and devices using physical vapor deposition to deposit CdTe.
- B. Develop and characterize thermally stable, transparent contacts to CdTe.
- C. Develop a quantitative model of the effects of sequential post-deposition processing, from systematic studies of the following relationships: CdCl₂/high temperature heat treatment step and restructuring of CdTe; contacting/low temperature heat treatment and type conversion of CdTe; chemical treatments with bromine-methanol (or hydrazine).
- D. Develop and optimize high transparency n-type window layer/heterojunction partners for increased short circuit current. Tin oxide and thin (<50nm) CdS will be investigated for realizing short circuit currents in excess of 25 mA/cm². Other window layers, including ZnCdS, will be

used to study heterojunction interface mechanisms limiting open circuit voltage.

Task 3 - CuInSe₂ and CdTe Cells with Thin Absorber Layers

- A. Fabricate and characterize CuInSe₂ solar cells with CuInSe₂ absorber layers 1 micron or less in thickness and CdTe solar cells with CdTe absorber layers 0.3 micron or less in thickness. The effects of thin absorber layers on cell efficiency will be investigated. Losses in short circuit current, open circuit voltage and fill factor will be investigated. Losses in short circuit current, open circuit voltage and fill factor due to reduced thickness will be quantified with particular emphasis on recombination losses at ohmic contacts, optical losses due to decreased optical path length and shunts.
- B. Develop and assess approaches for minimizing thickness-related losses, with particular emphasis on use of back surface reflection and texture to enhance optical absorption in thin CuInSe₂ and CdTe cells.
- C. Fabricate and optimize the efficiency of thin absorber layer CuInSe₂ and CdTe solar cells.

1.3 OUTLINE OF REPORT

In this report we describe the significant results and conclusions reached at the end of the second year of the program. Section 2 describes the work done in increasing our understanding as to how both the CuInSe₂ and CdTe thin film polycrystalline solar cells operate. Section 2.1 is devoted to describing how thin film polycrystalline heterojunction solar cells are designed to reduce minority carrier recombination at both the metallurgical interface and grain boundaries. Section 2.2 then describes the various modes of diode or junction behavior that are possible with these devices. Section 2.3 then reports on the CuInSe₂ device measurements and analysis that determines the type of junction behavior. Section 2.4 reports on the CdTe in the same fashion.

Section 3 is devoted to explaining how the materials that compose the devices are made and analyzed as well as the device fabrication steps for both CuInSe₂ and CdTe solar cells. Section 3.1 covers the CuInSe₂ cells with the emphasis on selenization techniques and Section 3.2 is devoted to the processing of CdTe devices.

SECTION 2.0

DEVICE MODELING, CHARACTERIZATION AND ANALYSIS

2.1 REQUIREMENTS OF A POLYCRYSTALLINE HETEROJUNCTION

Analysis of thin film polycrystalline heterojunction solar cells explains how minority carrier recombination at the metallurgical interface and at grain boundaries can be greatly reduced by the proper doping of the window and absorber layers. When this is done, these devices exhibit the high quantum efficiencies and J_{sc} 's measured in high efficiency CdTe and CuInSe₂ based solar cells. The analysis also shows that any quantitative modeling of these devices which relates the device performance to the bulk electronic properties of the material must consider the additional geometric dimension introduced by the polycrystallinity. Additional analysis and measurements show that the present solar cells are limited by the magnitude of the diode current which appears to be caused by recombination in the space charge region.

Various polycrystalline thin film configurations of the II-VI and I-III-VI compounds as well as their alloys, such as CdTe/CdS (3) and Cu(In,Ga)(Se,S)₂/CdS (4-6), have been made into very promising photovoltaic devices. They are promising both because of the ease with which they can be manufactured and their rapidly improving efficiency. However, it sometimes appears as if the basic solar cell operating principles that have been developed and applied to photovoltaic devices made of silicon and the III-V compounds do not apply to the polycrystalline thin films. For example, even though these thin film devices are composed of polycrystalline grains with dimensions on the order of one micron and it appears as if no special care is taken to electrically passivate the grain boundaries, the recombination of minority carriers at the grain boundaries does not appear to be a problem. Also, although again it seems that no special care is taken to match lattices at the junctions, minority carrier recombination at the semiconductor interfaces does not seem to limit device performance. In spite of this puzzling behavior, we hope to show that polycrystalline thin film solar cells not only behave in the same manner as more familiar semiconducting photovoltaic devices; but, by understanding the similarities in operation to more familiar devices, many of the design and operating principles already learned by engineers and scientists can be applied to the thin film cells.

In order to understand how the problem of minority carrier recombination at the metallurgical interface is overcome, it will be helpful to look at the operation of a better understood heterostructure, the AlGaAs/GaAs solar cell. An energy band diagram of this device is shown in Figure 1 (7). The AlGaAs

Figure 1. Energy band diagram of an AlGaAs/GaAs heteroface cell.

window is added to reduce electron recombination at the surface of the p-type GaAs. The addition of the window layer has changed the problem of surface state recombination to one of interface recombination. It has also added another junction between the p-type AlGaAs and the p-type GaAs which, if made correctly, creates a potential barrier that prevents the electrons from reaching the interface states. In this case, the proper doping of the AlGaAs/GaAs heteroface structure has helped to reduce the electron recombination through midgap states at the metallurgical interface.

The AlGaAs/GaAs heteroface structure can then be converted to a heterojunction structure by shrinking the thickness of the emitter layer to zero as shown in Figure 2. Most of the change in electron potential occurs in the n-type GaAs because the effective acceptor concentration (or space charge density) in the p-type AlGaAs is much larger than the effective donor concentration in the n-type GaAs. By removing the p-type emitter layer, a p-n, or actually a p^+-n , heterojunction has been made where minority carrier recombination at the metallurgical interface has been greatly reduced by using the built-in electron potential to prevent electrons from reaching the interface. This has been done without resorting to reducing the density of interface states by atomic lattice matching. This example demonstrates that, as long as the window layer of a p-n or n-p heterojunction (see Figure 3) has a sufficiently larger concentration of charge in the junction region, recombination of minority carriers, generated in the absorber layer, by the metallurgical interface will not be a problem. (Note that the base layer is now referred to as the absorber layer since it is the remaining active part of the photovoltaic device.)

In addition to explaining why thin film polycrystalline solar cells are not limited by minority carrier recombination at the interface, it is also necessary to show how these devices circumvent the difficulty of recombination at the grain boundaries. The devices that are being considered are assumed to have only columnar type grains in the thin film absorber, which would not impede carrier transport in the direction of carrier collection (see Figure 4) (8). Cross-sections of polycrystalline thin film photovoltaic devices appear to confirm this assumption. In looking at the band diagram of a grain perpendicular to the flow of carriers (see Figure 5), it is evident that the recombination of electrons can be strongly reduced by making the grain boundaries more p-type than the bulk. This is usually accomplished by treating the material after it is made. Because the treatment could preferentially affect the grain boundaries, its concentration, and hence its "doping" effect, could be larger at the grain boundaries as also shown in Figure 5. Care must be taken in "doping" so that the maximum "effective" acceptor

Figure 2. Energy band diagram of an AlGaAs/GaAs heterojunction cell.

Figure 3. Energy band diagram of an n-p heterojunction cell.

Figure 4. Cross section of a polycrystalline cell.

Figure 5. Energy band diagrams of polycrystalline grain boundaries.

density at the grain boundary edges does not exceed the "effective" donor density in the window material, or the electrons will "see" the recombination states at the metallurgical interface. This reduction of grain boundary recombination only works for window-absorber heterojunctions because it depends on the current generating material being of only one carrier type (either p or n-type). However, because of the inclusion of grain boundaries, care must be taken in modeling and analysis because the device is now two dimensional.

This discussion shows that it is possible to collect most of the carriers generated in the absorber layer of a thin film polycrystalline heterojunction solar cell. The difficulties of interface and grain boundary minority carrier losses can be reduced, at least in principle, by the controlled "doping" of the semiconductors and grain boundaries. Carrier or current collections are also aided by absorber materials that have large absorption coefficients. In this case the carriers are generated close to the metallurgical interface where they are collected.

2.2 MODES OF OPERATION AND LIMITATIONS

It is useful to examine a general type of n-p heterojunction in order to determine the features necessary for good photovoltaic device operation. Figure 3 shows the band structures of an n-type window material and a p-type absorber. The subscripts (w) and (a) denote the window layer and absorber layer respectively. The previous example has already shown that the effective donor concentration of the window layer must be larger than the effective acceptor concentration of the absorber layer for good minority carrier collection (high J_{sc} or J_l). To have a high efficiency solar cell, a high V_{oc} is needed as well. To achieve this, the diode current of the heterojunction (J_0) must be made as small as possible.

As shown in Figure 6, the main contributions to J_0 come from the injected electron current, the recombination current in the space charge region, and the recombination current at the metallurgical interface. The form of the three currents can be expressed as (9,10):

$$J_0 = qvn = qvNe^{-q(\phi-V)/AKT} \quad (1)$$

In this case, each of the currents has a different form for carrier "velocity" (v), barrier height, (ϕ), and diode quality factor (A) as shown in Table 1. Note that all the parameters shown are only "effective" parameters "averaged" over the grain boundaries. The largest of these currents will control J_0 and hence the V_{oc} of the device. The differences in A and ϕ enable one to determine which of the current mechanisms is controlling J_0 by measuring J_0 as a function of temperature (T) and voltage

Figure 6. Energy band schematic of the diode current in a heterojunction cell.

Table 1. ϕ and A for the possible diode currents.

J_D	ϕ	A
diode current type	barrier height	diode quality factor
Injection	$E_g - \delta n - \delta p - \Delta E_c$	1
space charge recombination	E_g	1-2
interface recombination	$E_g = \delta n - \Delta E_c$ $\leq E_g$	1

(V). The barrier height can usually be found by measuring V_{oc} as a function of temperature since:

$$V_{oc} = \phi + (AkT/q) \ln(J_L/qvN) \quad (2)$$

With CuInSe₂/CdS solar cells, current-voltage measurements made as a function of temperature yield diode quality factors in the range from about 1.5 to 2.0 with barrier heights near 1 eV, the bandgap of the CuInSe₂ absorber (11-14). Additional measurements made on solar cells where the bandgap of the CuInSe₂ absorber is increased with the addition of Ga, show the barrier height changing with the bandgap of the absorber (13). However, almost no change in barrier height is seen when the bandgap of the CdS window material is increased with the addition of Zn (12,13). These measurements indicate that the mechanism controlling the diode current is recombination in the space charge region of the CuInSe₂.

Fewer high efficiency CdTe/CdS devices have been measured and analyzed compared to those made from CuInSe₂/CdS, but the results are quite similar. The diode quality factor is between 1.5 and 2.0, but with a barrier height of 1.35 eV (15). The barrier height value of 1.35 eV is about 0.1 eV less than the bandgap of CdTe. However, other measurements of the device indicate that the bandgap of the CdTe is reduced near the metallurgical interface due to the interdiffusion of Te and S (16,17). Thus, the diode current of the CdTe/CdS solar cell is also apparently controlled by recombination in the space charge region of the CdTe.

Some of the one dimensional numerical modeling of the current-voltage behavior of CuInSe₂/CdS and CdTe/CdS devices have also arrived at similar conclusions (18,19). However, the failure to

take into account the effects of the grain boundaries makes the electronic properties used in the modeling only "averaged" values.

The effect of interface recombination on the light generated current in a thin film heterojunction solar cell can be reduced by making sure that most of the junction built-in voltage (or diffusion voltage) is across the absorber layer at the interface.

The loss of minority carriers to grain boundary recombination in the polycrystalline absorber can be reduced if the grain boundary edges are more heavily doped than the bulk.

Because of the two dimensional problem created by the grain boundaries, simple one dimensional modeling will only give "averaged" values for the electronic properties of the absorber.

From current-voltage measurements, it appears that recombination in the space charge region of the absorber controls the diode current during solar cell operation.

2.3 CuInSe₂/(CdZn)S DEVICE OPERATION

Analysis of CuInSe₂/CdS cells by several groups has shown previously that V_{oc} is primarily limited by Shockley-Read-Hall (SRH) recombination in the CuInSe₂ (11,12,18). A standard diode equation is normally used to describe the cell operation:

$$J = J_0 \{ \exp[q(V - R_s J)/AkT] - 1 \} - J_L + GV. \quad (3)$$

with,

$$J_0 = J_{00} \exp(-qE_a/kT). \quad (4)$$

The diode quality factor, A, has been found to be 1.5-2.0 for CuInSe₂ with $J_0 \sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-5} \text{ mA/cm}^2$. At $J=0$,

$$V_{oc} = AE_a + (AkT/q) \ln(J_L/J_{00}) \quad (5)$$

so measurements of V_{oc} versus temperature extrapolated to $T=0$ give the product AE_a . This is found to equal 0.9-1.0eV, the CuInSe₂ bandgap, for the range of A factors observed. Therefore, the activation energy is written $E_a = \phi/A$ with $\phi \sim 1.0\text{eV}$ the barrier height for recombination. V_{oc} and ϕ do not increase as the bandgap of the window layer is increased by adding Zn to the CdS, indicating that the recombination takes place in the CuInSe₂ (12) and not at the CuInSe₂/(CdZn)S interface.

While considerable progress has been made in determining the dominant recombination mechanisms in CuInSe₂ solar cells, several questions remain and the current mechanisms in the device

need to be better understood to enable further increases in V_{oc} . Detailed analysis of the current voltage characteristics as a function of temperature and light intensity has been used to investigate the role of different diode recombination mechanisms.

2.3.1 Heterojunction and Interface Effects

The role of recombination at the $\text{CuInSe}_2/\text{CdS}$ interface is not established. It is expected that interface recombination will result in the diode obeying eqn. 3 with $A=1$. The lack of lattice matching in this and other thin film heterojunction cells should give a high density of interface recombination states so the interface recombination will be limited only by the supply of holes to the interface. The interface, then, should behave as a metal-semiconductor junction so the Richardson constant gives $J_{\infty} \sim 10^{10} \text{mA/cm}^2$ (19). This will limit V_{oc} for CuInSe_2 cells to $\sim 0.52\text{V}$ unless the density of interface states is reduced significantly or the bandgap of the CuInSe_2 is increased near the interface. Recent analysis of $\text{ZnO}/\text{thin CdS}/\text{CuInSe}_2$ cells indicated that a tunnelling mechanism must be considered as well as the SRH recombination to describe the temperature dependence of the dark J-V data (20). Finally, it is not known what the light intensity dependence of the diode characteristics is and to what extent, if any, superposition of light to dark J-V characteristics holds.

Analysis of J-V data will be used to determine A and whether the device is controlled by more than one current mechanism. Figure 7 shows $\ln(J)$ vs V calculated for SRH (with $A=1.7$, $J_{\infty}=10^6 \text{mA/cm}^2$, and $\phi=1.0\text{eV}$) and interface (with $A=1.0$, $J_{\infty}=10^{10} \text{mA/cm}^2$, and $\phi=0.95\text{eV}$) currents. The sum of these currents shows that a contribution from interface recombination should be evident from the J-V analysis which can be used to determine whether the device is controlled by more than one current mechanism.

The A factor gives an upper limit to the fill factor which is calculated from equation 3 with $R_s=G=0$ for 3 values of V_{oc} at 25°C and shown in Figure 8. With $V_{oc}=0.45\text{V}$, $A=2$ limits the fill factor to $<66.7\%$. Therefore, cells with higher fill factors will have a lower value of A and may show evidence of interface recombination with $A=1$.

2.3.2 I-V Analysis

Three methods of analysis are used to determine the diode parameters from J-V data as a function of temperature and light intensity:

Figure 7. Model of currents controlled by SRH ($A=1.7$) and interface ($A=1$) recombination and their sum.

Figure 8. Fill factor calculated from standard diode equation 3 with no resistance or shunt losses at $T=25^{\circ}\text{C}$.

- 1) The barrier height, ϕ , is determined from the intercept of V_{oc} versus temperature according to eqn. 5. Data at different intensities shows that ϕ is independent of light intensity (2).
- 2) The diode factor, A , and prefactor, J_0 , are determined from the J-V data at a given temperature and intensity according to eqn. 3. In this work G is first determined by a linear fit to the data at reverse bias. Then an upper bound on the series resistance is determined from dV/dJ in forward bias. Finally, defining $J' = J - J_L + GV$, a fit of $\ln(J')$ vs $V - R_s J$ is done to determine A , J_0 , and R_s . Other methods are used to fit the data to eqn. 3, including non-linear least squares fitting procedures. In any case, it is critical to evaluate the temperature dependence of this analysis to determine if the form of the temperature dependence is sufficient. In particular, the A factor is defined as a constant.
- 3) Measurements of J_{sc} and V_{oc} at fixed temperature and varying light intensity are used to determine the diode parameters A and J_0 . The slope of $\ln(J_{sc})$ vs V_{oc} is q/AkT if a shunt term is neglected and J_0 and A have no intensity dependence according to eqn. 3, with $J_{sc} \approx J_L$. Again this analysis should give a constant value of A at all temperatures. Previous results of this analysis (2) showed curvature in the intensity variation in A for $CuInSe_2/(CdZn)S$ cells which was attributed to either an admixture of interface and SRH recombination terms or a voltage dependent A factor. However, as will be shown below, G and J_0 have an intensity dependence which causes the curvature in the $J_{sc}-V_{oc}$ data so the result for A is not correct.

The cells used in this work had evaporated $CuInSe_2$ and $(CdZn)S:In$ layers. The cells were fabricated with $2\mu m$ Mo sputtered onto 7059 glass, then a $2\mu m$ $CuInSe_2$ layer was deposited followed by a $1.5\mu m$ $(CdZn)S$ layer with 10%Zn and sheet resistance $\sim 35\Omega/\square$. The top contact had a $0.2\mu m$ sputtered ITO layer with Ni contacts. Cells were defined by photolithography with area $= 0.08cm^2$.

Two cells were measured and their J-V characteristics under AM1.5 illumination at $25^\circ C$ are listed in Table 2. The cells both had fill factors $> 67\%$ and the only significant difference in the two cells was V_{oc} with the difference 24mV at $25^\circ C$. The results will be compared to determine the cause of this difference.

Table 2 Cells Used for J-V Analysis

Cell #	CuInSe ₂ run #	V _{oc} (V)	J _{sc} (mA/cm ²)	FF (%)	Eff. (%)
1	32187.22	0.440	33.8	67.6	10.1
2	32220.22	0.417	33.3	67.2	9.3

J-V measurements were made in the dark and at 3 intensities, up to -60mW/cm^2 , under ELH illumination. The temperature ranged from -28 to 85°C .

The J-V curves at 4 temperatures for cell 2 are shown in Fig. 9. At the lowest temperature a contribution due to a back diode is clearly evident in forward bias. This back diode, which has been discussed previously (21), does not affect V_{oc} but prevents analysis of the J-V curve to determine the diode parameters. Thus analysis is restricted to $T > 25^\circ\text{C}$ for cell 2 and $T > 10^\circ\text{C}$ for cell 1. Attempts to fit the J-V curve to eqn. 3 when there is even a small contribution from this back diode will give a lower A factor to compensate for the increased R_s needed to fit the forward bias data.

The J-V curves at 4 intensities and $T = 55^\circ\text{C}$ are replotted on a log scale in Fig. 10. Procedure 2 above was used to analyze the data with the results for sample 2 given in Table 3. The values of G and J_L were determined as shown in Fig. 11. G increases from 0.05 to 0.5mS/cm^2 as J_L increased from 0 to 23mA/cm^2 . At the highest intensity, J_L differed from J_{sc} by only 1%. A bound on the series resistance of $< 1\Omega\text{-cm}^2$ was determined from the derivative dV/dJ in forward bias shown in Fig. 12. In this case there is only a small intensity dependence with R_s decreasing as the intensity increases, probably due to photoconductivity of the (CdZn)S. Finally, $\ln(J')$ vs $V - R_s$ is shown in Fig. 13 at $T = 55^\circ\text{C}$ for $J_L = 0$ and 23mA/cm^2 where the lines have slope q/kT . R_s is determined to give the best fit at far forward bias. The difference between J' and the fit at low current is $\sim 0.2\text{mA/cm}^2$ at 0.1V for $J_L = 23\text{mA/cm}^2$ and $< 0.1\text{mA/cm}^2$ for $J_L = 5.9\text{mA/cm}^2$. This discrepancy is probably due to a voltage dependent J_L but, because it is small, it has not been verified by spectral response measurements.

The results of this procedure, given in Table 3, show that, for cell 2, $A = 1.5$ and is constant for $J_L < 6\text{mA/cm}^2$ but increases to 1.75 at 23mA/cm^2 . Similarly, J_0 increases at the highest intensity. The results for cell 1 show similar results with $A = 1.6$ for $J_L < 6\text{mA/cm}^2$ and also increasing to 1.75 at 23mA/cm^2 . In all cases there is no change at higher currents to $A \sim 1$ which

Figure 9. J-V curves of cell 2 at four temperatures. A back diode is seen at forward bias for $T < 25^{\circ}\text{C}$.

Figure 10. $\ln(J)$ - V of cell 2 at $T=55^{\circ}\text{C}$ and four intensities.

Figure 11. Determination of G at reverse bias for cell 2 at $T=55^{\circ}\text{C}$. The four curves are for $J_L=0, 1.7, 5.9$, and 23 mA/cm^2 with G (slope) increasing as J_L increases.

Figure 12. Slope dV/dJ of cell 2 at forward bias giving upper bound to series resistance.

Figure 13. $\ln(J')$ vs $V - R_s J$ used to determine A for cell 2, showing a single A factor at a given intensity and in the dark.

Table 3. Diode Parameters at 55°C and Different Intensities

Cell #	J_L (mA/cm ²)	G (mS/cm ²)	R_s (Ω -cm ²)	A	J_o (mA/cm ²)
1	0	0.05	0.6	1.50	4×10^{-3}
	1.7	0.1	0.6	1.49	4×10^{-3}
	5.9	0.2	0.6	1.53	5×10^{-3}
	23	0.5	0.5	1.73	1×10^{-2}
2	0	0.08	0.6	1.60	3×10^{-3}
	1.7	0.1	0.6	1.61	5×10^{-3}
	5.9	0.2	0.6	1.64	6×10^{-3}
	23	0.6	0.5	1.76	1×10^{-2}

would indicate an interface recombination current. A fit to the data with an $A=1$ component would require a series resistance much larger than the bound imposed by dV/dJ at forward bias.

The temperature dependence of the A factor determined by the diode analysis is shown in Fig. 14 for cell 1 (which had a larger temperature range unaffected by the back diode). While there is some scatter in the values of A there is no evidence of any temperature dependence other than that predicted by eqn. 2.3(1) and therefore no evidence of a tunnelling recombination current.

The temperature dependence of V_{oc} is shown in Fig. 15 over the entire range since the back diode does not affect V_{oc} . Extrapolation to $T=0$ gives $\phi=0.99$ eV at the three intensities for sample 1 and 0.91eV for sample 2. $\ln(J_o)$, determined by the above procedure, is plotted against $1/T$ in Fig. 16. The slopes of the regression lines equal $-\phi/AkT$ according to eqn. 4 and give $\phi=0.98$ -1.00eV using average values of $A=1.60$ for $J_L < 6$ mA/cm² and $A=1.75$ for 23mA/cm². For sample 2 this gives $\phi=0.89$ -0.95eV with $A=1.51$ and 1.75. While there is more uncertainty in determining the barrier height, ϕ , from J_o due to the fitting procedures and the smaller temperature range, the results give good agreement with the intercept from the V_{oc} -T data. Again, ϕ is constant while A increases confirming that the activation energy of J_o is $E_a=\phi/A$.

Figure 14. A factor of $J_L = 0, 1.7, 5.9$ and 23 mA/cm^2 (from bottom $T=25^\circ\text{C}$). Uncertainty in A is ± 0.05 .

Figure 15. V_{oc} vs. T for cell 1 showing $\phi=0.99$ eV at three intensities.

Figure 16. J_0 vs $1/T$ for cell 1. Slope gives $\phi = 0.99 \pm 0.02$ eV at all intensities.

The results of the J-V analysis for the two cells studied are compared in Table 4. The cells had similar behavior in terms of the intensity dependence and each had $A=1.75$ at $J_L=23\text{mA/cm}^2$. The difference, 24mV, in V_{oc} under standard measuring conditions is primarily due to the difference in ϕ . This suggests that different states may be controlling the recombination of the CuInSe_2 layers. The change in ϕ may also be associated with the resistivity as previous work has shown that hydrogen heat treatments lower the barrier height of $\text{CuInSe}_2/\text{CdS}$ cells, as determined from V_{oc} -T measurements, and increase the resistivity of CuInSe_2 films (21).

Table 4 Comparison of Cells Showing that the Difference in V_{oc} Is Due to Different Barrier Height

	<u>Cell #1</u>	<u>Cell #2</u>
V_{oc} (V)	0.440V	0.417V
ϕ (eV)	0.99eV	0.91eV
A [dark]	1.6	1.5
A [23mA/cm^2]	1.75	1.75
J_{oo} [dark] (mA/cm^2)	1×10^7	7×10^6
J_{oo} [23mA/cm^2] (mA/cm^2)	6×10^6	2×10^6

The main conclusions of this analysis of the J-V characteristics of $\text{CuInSe}_2/(\text{CdZn})\text{S}$ cell are that V_{oc} is controlled by a single current mechanism, SRH recombination in the CuInSe_2 , with no evidence for interface recombination, tunnelling or other mechanisms. Superposition of the dark and light J-V characteristics does not hold with light intensity dependent G, A, and J_o , indicating that photo-activated states play a role in the recombination.

2.3.3 V_{oc} Limitations and Solutions

Analysis of the J-V characteristics as a function of temperature has shown that the CuInSe_2 cells are controlled by a single current mechanism, SRH recombination. There is no evidence of a transition to a lower A factor at forward bias as had been predicted by a standard interface recombination model. And the diode behavior at a given intensity is described by the standard temperature dependence of eqn. 3 with no evidence of tunnelling or other mechanisms with different temperature dependence.

The light intensity dependence of A , G , and J_0 indicates further that photoactivated states in the CuInSe_2 affect the diode current. Reducing the A factor with a constant ϕ and J_{∞} would give an increase in V_{oc} . Therefore, it would be beneficial to determine what states control the light dependent effects by comparing models of the recombination in the CuInSe_2 with measurements of defect state energies and densities.

Other current paths are expected to affect J_0 and V_{oc} if the SRH recombination through states in the CuInSe_2 is reduced. The interface recombination should finally limit V_{oc} . However, a more comprehensive model of the recombination is needed to account for grain boundary and photoactivated states in the CuInSe_2 and at the interface.

2.3.4 Future Work

Improvements in V_{oc} will result from decreasing the SRH recombination by either reducing the density of recombination centers N_R , lowering the A factor, or increasing the bandgap of the CuInSe_2 in the region near the interface. Lowering J_{∞} by reducing N_R will require determining which defect states control the recombination and how they can be controlled by modifying the CuInSe_2 deposition. This will be difficult since the films have a wide variety of both bulk and grain boundary defects. Further work will be needed to attempt to identify the energies of states controlling the diode effects and to which physical defects they correspond.

Efforts to introduce a thin Cu(InGa)Se_2 layer to increase the bandgap near the interface and increase V_{oc} have not resulted in significant improvements (2). This approach should work if the electrical properties of the bandgap modified layer can be controlled to keep the increase in the valence band and prevent a barrier to photogenerated carrier collection in the conduction band.

Incorporation of a thin Ga layer at the back of the Cu layer in Cu/In layers used for selenization has been reported to increase V_{oc} (27). We have previously investigated the effect of a thin Ga layer at back of evaporated CuInSe_2 (2) with no improvement due to incomplete or non-uniform reaction with the Ga. We will re-examine this structure with selenized CuInSe_2 which should form more continuous layers.

2.4 CdTe DEVICE OPERATION

After a CdTe/CdS solar cell has been fabricated and contacted, (described in Section 3.2) further treatments are required to dope the CdTe p-type and improve cell performance. The final

aspect of fabricating high efficiency CdTe/CdS cells is type conversion of the CdTe to p-type. In general a p-type dopant, typically Cu, is diffused from the contact to the CdTe. The contact may be Cu/Au (23), graphite/Cu (24) or ZnTe:Cu (25,26). The evolution of device performance is summarized below. A more complete discussion with supporting optical, Auger and SIMS data appears in reference 23. CdTe/CdS samples made at IEC were prepared with a semi-transparent Cu/Au contact using the procedure described above. The Cu/Au contact was deposited after the 400°C, CdCl₂ heat treatment in air. Figures 17 and 18 show the initial I-V curve and quantum efficiency measurements for illumination through both the CdS and Cu/Au contact. Initially, V_{oc} is less than 400 mV, J_{sc} is less than 10 mA/cm², FF less than 40%, and the J-V curve has pronounced light-to-dark crossover. The quantum efficiency measurements show nearly uniform collection, independent of the illumination direction, indicating that there is a photovoltaic junction both at the contact and CdS.

Figures 19 and 20 show the I-V curve and quantum efficiency measurements for illumination through the CdS and Cu/Au after air heat treatment at 150°C for 30 minutes. J_{sc} increased to 19 mA/cm², and V_{oc} increased to 670 mV for illumination through the CdS. The curvature in forward bias is due to the remaining non-ohmic behavior of the Cu/Au contact. The dark I-V characteristic was unchanged by the heat treatment. The quantum efficiency for illumination through the CdS increased uniformly while that for illumination through the Cu/Au contact decreased overall, with the maximum response near the CdTe absorption edge. The quantum efficiency results suggest a shift from a p-i-n type junction to a p-n heterojunction between CdTe_{1-x}S_x and CdS_{1-y}Te_y. Evolution from p-i-n to p-n behavior is consistent with the CdTe becoming more p-type throughout its bulk, probably resulting from Cu diffusion into the CdTe from the contact during the 150°C heat treatment.

Cell performance improves significantly following immersion in Br₂CH₃OH for 5 seconds at room temperature. The bromine reacts with Cd in the near-surface region, producing excess Te which may react with Cu, to form Cu₂Te, and with Au, forming an ohmic contact with p-type CdTe (27). Devices up to 11% efficiency have been achieved using this optimization. V_{oc} increases by 50 mV to 100 mV with no change in J_{sc} or spectral response. Because of the speed and temperature of the reaction, the increase in V_{oc} is attributed to a chemical interaction along the CdTe grain boundaries. Curvature in the forward bias portion of the I-V curves was also eliminated by the treatment. This indicates a decrease in the effective series resistance which results in an increase in FF. Similar device results have been obtained using an electrodeposited ZnTe:Cu contact instead of the Cu/Au, although the chemical treatment was not required to obtain high V_{oc} (26).

Figure 17. Current-voltage characteristics of a CdTe/CdS device with a semitransparent Cu/Au contact before air heat treatment.

Figure 18. Q.E. measurements of a CdTe/CdS device with a semitransparent Cu/Au contact before air heat treatment.

Figure 19. Current-voltage characteristics of a CdTe/CdS device with a semitransparent Cu/Au contact after air heat treatment.

Figure 20. Q.E. measurements of a CdTe/CdS device with a semitransparent contact after air heat treatment.

Additional current-voltage and spectral response measurements have been made on high efficiency CdTe/CdS thin film solar cells prepared by vacuum evaporation ($\eta=9.6\%$). Analysis of the measurements indicate that these solar cells operate as p-n heterojunctions and the current transport in the junction region is dominated by Shockley-Read-Hall recombination in the CdTe. The analysis also indicates that the light generated current varies with the applied voltage.

Frontwall spectral response measurements made as a function of voltage bias and normalized with respect to the spectral response made at J_{sc} [$QE(-V, \lambda)/QE(0V, \lambda)$] are shown in Figure 21. The preferentially increasing spectral response at larger wavelengths suggests that current collection may be a function of the width of the space charge region of the CdTe. In any case, this variation will cause a change in the collected current (J_L) as a function of voltage bias is indicated ($J_L(V)$). The integrated effect of this for reverse bias is shown in Figure 20.

Measurements of $J_L(V)$ in forward bias could not be made because of device series resistance effects and particularly photoconductive effects in the CdS and CdTe (28,29).

Current-voltage measurements in the dark and under AM1.5 illumination were made at five temperatures from 301°K to 343°K (Figure 22). Lower temperature current-voltage traces were not used in the analysis because of hysteresis in the trace going from forward to reverse scans. Temperatures above about 70°C were avoided due to irreversible heat treatment effects.

Measurements of V_{oc} and J_{sc} were recorded (Table 5) as well as the slope or derivative of the current-voltage curve (Figure 23).

The current-voltage measurements in the dark were fitted to the equation:

$$J - GV = J_0 \exp[q(V - RJ)/AkT] \quad (6)$$

with:

$$J_0 = J_{00} \exp(-q\phi/AkT) \quad (7)$$

$$\text{If: } J' = J - GV \quad (8)$$

$$\text{and: } V' = V - RJ \quad (9)$$

then equation 6 reduces

$$\text{to: } J' = J_0 \exp(qV'/AkT) \quad (10)$$

Figure 21. Spectral response in reverse bias normalized to zero bias.

Figure 22. Comparison of measured J to calculated from integrated spectral response.

Figure 23. Slope of J-V curve (dJ/dV) (Dark $T=301$ K)

The maximum value of the shunt conductance (G) can be determined from the minimum value of dJ/dV as shown in Figure 23. In the case of the dark current voltage measurements, this was a negligible value of 0.2 mS/cm^2 .

The values of the series resistance (R), the diode quality factor (A), and the reverse saturation current J_0 are chosen to best linearize the $\ln J'$ vs. V curve as shown in Figure 24. The best values gave a temperature dependent R as shown in Table 5 with a diode quality factor of about 1.7. A plot of J_0 vs. $1/T$ yielded ϕ of about 1.3 eV and a J_{00} on the order of 10^7 mA/cm^2 .

Table 5

Diode Parameters that are a Function of Temperature

Temp (°K)	V_{oc} (V)	J_{sc} (mA/cm ²)	Light R (Ω-cm ²)	Dark R (Ω-cm ²)
301	0.700	18.8	1.3	2.3
312	0.678	19.0	0.8	1.2
323	0.654	19.1	0.6	0.8
334	0.628	19.2	0.5	0.6
343	0.610	19.3	0.4	0.4

Because of the voltage dependent current collection ($J_L(V)$) shown by the spectral response measurements, it is not as easy to analyze the illuminated current-voltage measurements as the dark ones. However, it is still possible to fit to a similar set of equations where the change in J_L can be either neglected or measured. This time the equations can be written

$$J + J_{sc} - GV = J_0 \exp[q(V - RJ/AkT)] + \delta J_L(V) \quad (11)$$

$$\text{with } J' = J + J_{sc} - GV \quad (12)$$

The maximum value of the shunt conductance (G) can be determined as in the dark. In this case G was again a negligible value of 0.6 mS/cm^2 .

The values of the series resistance (R) and the diode quality factor (A) can still be determined by linearizing the $\ln J'$ vs V curve in far forward bias where the effect of ($\delta J_L(V)$) on the slope is negligible. This is shown in Figure 25. Again, the best values gave a temperature dependent R as shown in Table 5. The diode quality factor (A) was found to be about 1.9.

Figure 24. J' (corrected for shunt conductance) vs. V
(Line is for $A=1.7$).

Figure 25. J' (corrected for shunt conductance and short circuit current) vs. V. (Line is for A-1.9).

The reverse saturation current (J_0) cannot be determined accurately in this case because of the unknown effect of δJ_L on the intercept of $\ln J'$ vs. V .

However, this can be gotten around by examining V_{oc} . At $V=V_{oc}$ equation 11 becomes:

$$V_{oc} = \phi + AkT/q [\ln(J_{sc}/J_{00}) + \ln(1 - \delta J_L/J_{sc})] \quad (13)$$

Because $\delta J_L(V_{oc})$ has a small variation with respect to temperature, the barrier height under illumination can be determined from V_{oc} vs. T as shown in Figure 26. Knowing the diode quality factor ($A \approx 1.9$) J_{00} can also be determined.

In this case the barrier height ϕ is about 1.35 eV and J_{00} is also on the order of 10^7 mA/cm². Finally, the diode part of the current-voltage characteristic can be subtracted from the measured J - V data giving $\delta J_L(V)$ as shown in Figure 27. The increasing $\delta J_L(V)$ in forward bias is qualitatively consistent with the spectral response measurements.

If the voltage dependent current collection ($J_L(V)$) as shown by spectral response measurements is included in the diode analysis of current-voltage measurements made under illumination, then both the dark and light analysis give a barrier height (ϕ) near 1.3 eV with a diode quality factor (A) of about 1.8. These are indications that the CdTe/CdS solar cell operates as a p-n heterojunction and has a current transport mechanism dominated by Shockley-Read-Hall recombination in the space charge region of the CdTe.

Figure 26. V_{oc} vs. Temperature (Intercept=1.35 eV).

Figure 27. Change in light generated current ($\delta J_l(V)$ =difference between measured and predicted J' for $A=1.9$).

SECTION 3.0

MATERIALS AND DEVICE FABRICATION

3.1 CuInSe₂ Films

CuInSe₂ films were deposited by three source evaporation and selenization of Cu/In layers using either elemental Se or H₂Se. Typically, devices were fabricated in a substrate configuration by depositing CdS/ITO as a window layer as described previously (2).

Additionally, several approaches to fabricating CuInSe₂ thin film solar cells in a superstrate configuration have been explored. The CuInSe₂ films have been grown on glass/TCO/CdS substrates by both 3-source elemental evaporation and selenization, i.e. the reaction of Cu, In and Se layers in a selenium atmosphere. The structure and properties of the CdS films were varied by changing deposition conditions and post-deposition annealing in the presence of Cl. The results of these exploratory experiments are summarized below:

- 1) Annealing CdS in air at 400 to 500°C with CdCl₂ improves the optical properties and enhances the grain size of the CdS as discussed in ref. (6).
- 2) The diffusion of Cu into the CdS during growth of the CuInSe₂ is reduced when using the annealed CdS. This is inferred from devices measurements where there is a reduction in the light to dark cross-over in the I-V curves, suggesting a less resistive/photoconductive CdS layer.
- 3) Several Cu-In-Se layer structures were evaluated using glass/TCO/CdS superstrates: Cu/In, In/Cu, Se/Cu/In, and Se/In/Cu. The samples were reacted with evaporated Se at a substrate temperature of 400°C. The Se/Cu/In resulted in the formation of Cu₃Se₂ at room temperature, while there was no reaction observed for the Se-In-Cu. Device results, only obtained with the Se/In/Cu structures, showed voltages of about 500 mV but low currents, ~6 mA/cm². The Se between CdS and In may act as a buffer for Cu diffusion as the I-V curve had small light to dark cross over. However, the Se may have alloyed with the CdS layer modifying its properties by forming a Cd(SSe) alloy.
- 4) All structures adhered to the substrates with the exception of Se/Cu/In where Cu₃Se₂ was formed at room temperature.
- 5) Several back contacts have been examined with promising results obtained with a carbon contact.

The majority of the research, however, was focused on selenization as discussed below. Cu-In bilayers were reacted with both elemental Se and H_2Se gas to form $CuInSe_2$ films. The chemical pathways to the formation of the $CuInSe_2$ using Se and H_2Se were evaluated and compared. An ultra clean H_2Se system was designed and built and will be used to evaluate the effect of impurities on the selenization reaction. Thermodynamic calculations for the dissociation of H_2Se were performed and a kinetic model developed based on pyrolysis experiments and literature data. Finally, devices with efficiency near 10% were made.

3.1.1 Glass Substrate

We have previously shown that $CuInSe_2/CdS$ devices on soda lime glass have better cell performance than devices on 7059 glass. This difference was attributed to a better thermal expansion match. We have evaluated both drawn and float soda lime glass. Drawn soda-lime glass was originally used as substrates for Cu/In layers for selenization. Hazy films appeared on the glass slides and in Mo and $CuInSe_2$ films deposited on the glass as little as one week after deposition or cleaning, and became more apparent over time. This drawn glass is rich in sodium, which is very mobile and reactive. It was speculated that the sodium was migrating to the glass surface, possibly reacting to form sodium halides, and leeching into deposited films, causing their cloudy and non-uniform appearance.

Soda-lime float glass is presently being used and has eliminated this problem. This type of soda-lime glass has a much more durable surface than the drawn type, and sodium migration should be minimal. No haze on the glass or films has been observed. During fabrication of the glass, it is floated on a tin bath, causing one side of the glass to be tin-rich. The tin side of the glass glows 'whiter' than the non-tin side when viewed on a dark surface with a short-wave ultraviolet lamp. This method is used to identify the different sides of the glass, and sputtered Mo films deposited on the non-tin rich surface for substrates are stable.

Some adherence problems have been encountered, mainly with thicker ($> 1\mu m$) Mo films. For this reason, an intermediate layer of 2000 Å ITO is first deposited on the glass, followed by the Mo film. Sputtering conditions for Mo have also been modified to reduce apparent stress-related defects in the films (30). Some unavoidable surface defects of the glass can be seen on the glass/ITO/Mo substrates, but films appear smooth, continuous, relatively pin-hole free, and adhere well to the glass during standard processing procedures.

3.1.2. Deposition and analysis of Cu-In layers for Selenization

Cu-In layers with molar ratios from 0.85 to 1.1 were deposited by

electron beam evaporation on glass/Mo substrates. The Cu deposition rate, 15 Å/sec, was chosen to minimize spits from the source which resulted in localized regions of high Cu on the substrate. The In was deposited at 20 Å/sec. The thickness, and thus the Cu to In ratio, was monitored with a quartz crystal monitor. Additionally, the following procedure was developed to evaluate the Cu/In ratio of Cu/In/Mo films after the e-beam deposition:

1. The Cu thickness was fixed at about 250 nm (based on Kronos thickness) and the Cu/In ratio was varied by changing the In thickness.
2. A Cu-In substrate was heat treated in flowing Ar for 30 min to insure that the Cu and In are intermixed and have formed an alloy.
3. Electron Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) measurements were performed at an electron beam energy of 30 keV so that the Cu-K, In-L, Mo-K&L x-ray lines were detected.
4. The relative Cu/In ratio was determined from the analysis of the Cu and In x-ray lines. The ratio of the Mo K and L x-ray lines provides a secondary measure of the relative thickness of the Cu-In film from run to run since these x-ray lines are separated by ~15 keV.

Figure 28 is a plot of the relative EDS Cu/In ratio vs. the Cu/In ratio based on the quartz crystal monitor used during the deposition. There is a reasonable linear correlation between the two ratios. The samples in the set shown in Figure 28 were also analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy to check the Cu/In ratio in each of the layers. The final, and perhaps best, check of the Cu-In films was to selenize the films using elemental Se under a set of standard conditions. The composition of the resulting film was then evaluated. For selenization experiments discussed in the following sections, the Cu thickness was 250 nm and the In thickness was varied to control the Cu/In ratio.

Preliminary experiments were conducted to determine the effect of a Te inter-layer on metal precursor species and configuration as suggested in ref. 31. Thin, ~1 nm, Te layers were deposited electrochemically onto Mo/glass substrates followed sequentially by In and Cu layers, as suggested in the patent application. SEM and EDS evaluation (see Figures 29 and 30) showed that the Te/In/Cu films were more uniform and defect free compared to In/Cu layers deposited directly on Mo. Selenization of the Te/In/Cu films in Se and subsequent fabrication of devices showed no difference in performance compared to a Mo/Cu/In configuration.

Figure 28. Relative ratio of Cu/In ratio determined by EDS

Figure 29. SEM micrographs of Mo/In/Cu layer.

Figure 30. SEM micrograph of Mo/Te/In/Cu layer.

Further work is needed to improve the reproducibility and spatial uniformity of the thin Te layer deposition. The effects of a Te inter-layer on devices with CuInSe_2 formed by selenization will then be investigated further. The inverted bi-layers and a Te inter-layer are expected to be of interest in connection with fabrication of superstrate CuInSe_2 devices.

3.1.3. H_2Se Reaction System

A new selenization reaction system using H_2Se was designed and built and a schematic drawing of the system is shown in Fig. 31. The reaction takes place in a 2" diameter heated quartz tube with a ~4" uniform temperature zone. The system was designed to be 'ultra clean' so that the effects of gas impurities, such as O_2 and H_2O , could be studied. The system is equipped with a turbo-molecular pump and a residual gas analyzer (RGA). Baseline data on the cleanliness of the system was obtained from RGA measurements with the system pumped below 2×10^{-6} torr under the following conditions:

1. With the reactor empty and heated to 400°C , this data will be used as the "signature" for a clean system.
2. With a graphite substrate holder, the RGA data showed significant amounts of high molecular species evolving from the graphite. Based on these results and problems encountered in the past with the graphite, we have decided not to use a substrate holder.
3. With Cu/In substrates, out-gassing of the substrates, primarily water, is initially high but after an overnight pumping the RGA spectrum returns to background levels. For selenization experiments, the substrates can be pumped overnight to insure a "clean" system before starting.

The effects of heating Cu/In layers in the clean turbular reactor with flowing H_2/Ar were evaluated for temperatures of 200 and 400°C for 20 min. At 200°C , the surface morphology of the Cu/In layer

Figure 31. Schematic of H_2Se reaction system.

was unchanged but the phases present were changed from initially Cu, In, and CuIn₂ to In and Cu₁₁In₉. After the system was at 200°C for ~20 min the RGA spectrum was comparable to that following an overnight pump down suggesting that heat treatment of the films can be used instead of on overnight pump down. A dramatic change was seen in the surface morphology after heating at 400°C. Islands of Cu-In formed, exposing the Mo in the regions between the islands. From XRD, the structure consisted of In and Cu₁₁In₉. This is significantly different from what we reported previously in our old system where the starting morphology of the films was preserved. We speculate that this difference in morphology between the two systems is due to the amount of oxide on the film surface. That is, in the new system, either surface oxides are reduced or are not formed during the heat treatment as a result of pumping to remove residual water and the use of H₂/Ar. The old system may have had oxygen in the Ar gas or leaks in the system to atmosphere.

3.1.4. H₂Se Chemistry

In order to quantitatively evaluate CuInSe₂ film growth when using H₂Se gas and to design an efficient commercial scale reaction system it is necessary to know the gas phase species and relative concentrations due to H₂Se pyrolysis. To determine this, thermodynamic calculations for the dissociation of H₂Se were performed and a kinetic model developed based on our pyrolysis experiments and literature data. A complete discussion of these calculation and results is included in Appendix A.

H₂Se is a colorless, extremely toxic gas, whose decomposition products have been identified as polymeric Se_x species (35,36). When H₂Se is used for selenization, both H₂Se and the product selenium species are available for reaction with the Cu/In layer to form CuInSe₂. The general consensus in the literature dealing with the thermolysis or pyrolysis of hydrogen selenide is that the following overall reaction governs its decomposition (36,37):

The reaction is endothermic with an enthalpy change $\Delta H_{298}^\circ = 9.7$ kcal/mole and a free energy change $\Delta G_{298}^\circ = 14.46$ kcal/mole (32). Only a limited amount of research on the reaction order and rate constants has been performed (17).

At equilibrium, over the temperature range important for selenization of copper indium bilayers, the gas phase consists of about 50% Se₂ and Se₆ and 50% H₂Se. At 450°C, it takes about 10 minutes to reach equilibrium, which is about the same time that it takes to form CuInSe₂. At short times (less than 10 minutes) the rate of dissociation of H₂Se is directly proportional to its concentration. The reverse reaction becomes increasingly important as time increases. The forward rate constant k_f was calculated to be $1.0 \times 10^9 \exp(-E_a/RT)$ where $E_a = 39.96$ kcal/mol. Kinetic

experiments on the pyrolysis of H_2Se show that a reasonable fit to the data can be obtained by assuming Se_6 as the decomposition product.

3.1.5. Se Reaction System

A process was developed for selenizing Cu-In layers to form CuInSe_2 using elemental Se. A vacuum system was set-up with a open quartz crucible to evaporate Se and a substrate heater system which holds an array of nine one inch substrates. Initial experiments consisted of heating Cu-In/Mo/glass substrates to 400°C without Se for approximately 30 minutes. The substrates, still at 400°C , were then exposed for 60 minutes to a flux of Se. Micrographic examination of the resulting films revealed unconnected islands of CuInSe_2 . These findings are similar to those discussed above for Cu-In films reacted with H_2Se under conditions where superficial oxides were eliminated.

When the Se is deposited at room temperature, continuous films of CuInSe_2 are produced. A set of Cu-In layers with ratios from 0.8 to 0.9 were selenized in a vacuum system using the following deposition sequence: 1) $1\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ of Se was deposited at $10\text{ }\text{\AA}/\text{sec}$ with the substrates at room temperature, 2) the samples were then heated to an intermediate reaction temperature in the presence of Se vapor and held at this temperature for 15 min, 3) the samples were heated to 400°C in the presence of Se and reacted for 1 hr., and 4) samples were cooled to room temperature ($\sim 3\text{ hr}$). For this set of experiments, four different intermediate reaction temperatures were used - 150°C (below In melting temperature), 200°C (below Se melting temperature) 250°C (above In and Se melting temperatures and 400°C . The samples were evaluated by EDS, SEM and XRD prior to fabricating devices. The results can be summarized as follows:

1. The composition of the films was independent of the intermediate reaction temperature and ranged from Cu=22 to 24%, In=25 to 28% and Se=48 to 53%. XRD scans (not done on all samples) showed only peaks due to CuInSe_2 .
2. With the exception of the films reacted using the 200°C intermediate temperature, the appearance of the films were similar having a regular morphology similar to the starting Cu/In layer. The films reacted at 200°C (above the melting point of In), had smaller and irregular grain structures.
3. Films selenized using either a 200 or 250°C intermediate temperature tend to have adherence problems which were apparent either when removing the samples from the deposition chamber or during subsequent cell fabrication.

Several $\text{CuInSe}_2/(\text{CdZn})\text{S}$ cells with efficiencies $> 9\%$ have been made using this procedure. Cell results are summarized in Table 6 and the spectral response of cell 61067-11 is shown in Fig. 32. The CuInSe_2 films in these devices were formed with the Se deposited on the substrate at room temperature, followed by a 15 min.

Figure 32. Normalized spectral response curve for a CuInSe₂/CdS cell using a CuInSe₂ film grown by selenization using elemental Se.

intermediate reaction with the substrate temperature $T_{ss}=150^{\circ}\text{C}$, and then reaction for 60 min. at $T_{ss}=400$ or 450°C . At each reaction temperature, Cu/In layers with different compositions were included. Comparable cell results were obtained from the films with Cu/In=0.85 and 0.90. These cells all required 16 hour, 200°C heat treatments in air to optimize the cell performance similar to cells with CuInSe_2 deposited by elemental evaporation.

Table 6

Summary of I-V Results for $\text{CuInSe}_2/\text{CdS}$ Cells
Using CuInSe_2 Films Grown by Selenization

#	initial Cu/In	T_{ss} ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	V_{oc} (V)	J_{sc} (mA/cm^2)	FF (%)	Eff. (%)
61066-12	0.85	400	0.420	34.7	59.6	8.7
61066-11	0.90	400	0.424	30.3	60.7	7.8
61067-12	0.85	450	0.403	33.7	62.9	8.5
61067-11	0.90	450	0.404	35.4	61.0	8.7
61067-13	0.95	450	0.28	29.4	53.3	4.4

3.1.6 Chemical Pathways to Formation of CuInSe_2

The reaction pathways and the chemical precursors to formation of CuInSe_2 are evaluated by reacting single layers of Cu and In and Cu-In bilayers with flowing H_2Se at atmospheric pressure and in the evaporator using an elemental Se source. In both systems, the selenizations were carried out at temperatures ranging from 150°C to 400°C for 60 minutes. The reacted films were then analyzed and the reaction schemes were evaluated for the two reacting systems.

Copper and indium single layers with thicknesses of 250nm and 560nm, respectively, and copper-indium bilayers with a total thickness of 810 nm with a molar ratio of about 0.9 were used as substrates for the experiments. When using H_2Se , the substrates were annealed in vacuum for 20 minutes at 150°C prior to selenization to alloy the Cu and In and "clean the system". The operating conditions in the tubular reactor were selected based on results on the H_2Se chemistry to ensure that only H_2Se was present, i.e., there was no decomposition to Se species. When using elemental Se, 1000nm of Se were deposited on the Cu-In layer at room temperature prior to the reaction. The two selenization methods provide a comparison of the reaction with H_2Se and Se vapor and its effect on the film morphology. The samples were examined by optical microscopy, SEM and XRD.

Both Se and H_2Se react with copper at room temperatures and above to form $\text{Cu}_{(2-x)}\text{Se}$. A single indium layer undergoes reaction in H_2Se only at temperatures greater than 150°C . An indium layer selenized at 250°C is converted to In_2Se and InSe . Above 350°C , In_2Se_3 is the dominant phase.

Table 7 summarizes the phases identified at various temperatures for Cu-In bilayers in the two reacting systems. The key features are:

1. From 150°C to 300°C , films contain $\text{Cu}_{11}\text{In}_9$, independent of the method of selenization, suggesting that the reaction has not gone to completion.
2. From 200°C to 350°C , the films contain In_2Se and InSe , independent of the method of selenization.
3. Copper selenide is only formed when using Se at temperatures above 170°C .
4. CuInSe_2 is formed above 170°C in Se and above 250°C in H_2Se_x , implying the rate of formation of CuInSe_2 is faster in Se.
5. At 400°C , the Cu-In bilayers are completely converted to CuInSe_2 in either reacting system.
6. The Cu-In bilayers selenized in the evaporator appear to retain the peanut configuration (of the as-deposited indium on copper) at temperatures less than 250°C .
7. The Cu-In bilayers selenized in the tubular reactor using H_2Se do not retain the peanut configuration at temperatures greater than 250°C as previously.

Table 7
Summary of Phases Identified by XRD for Cu/In Layers
Selenized in Elemental Se and H₂Se for Temperatures
From 150 to 400°C

Reaction Temperature	Reaction in H ₂ Se	Reaction in Se
As Deposited	Cu, In, CuIn	Cu, In, CuIn (Se amorphous)
150°C	Cu ₁₁ In ₉ , In, In ₂ Se	
170°C		Cu ₁₁ In ₉ , In, In ₂ Se, CuInSe ₂
200°C		Cu ₁₁ In ₉ , In ₂ Se, InSe, CuInSe ₂
250°C	Cu ₁₁ In ₉ , In ₂ Se, CuInSe ₂ , In	Cu ₁₁ In ₉ , In ₂ Se, InSe, CuInSe ₂ , Cu ₇ Se ₄
300°C		CuInSe ₂ , InSe, Cu ₁₁ In ₉
350°C	CuInSe ₂ , InSe, In ₂ Se, Cu ₁₁ In ₉	
400°C	CuInSe ₂	CuInSe ₂

The postulated chemistry of selenization of the bilayers in H_2Se is,

The proposed chemistry for selenization in Se vapor is largely similar to the sequence of reactions noted above except that the reactant is Se instead of H_2Se . Additionally, the following reactions may be occurring in the film:

where the copper selenide phase (which may be $Cu_{(2-x)}Se$ or Cu_7Se_4) is formed.

The reaction pathways to $CuInSe_2$ formation using either H_2Se or Se proceed through the formation of the $Cu_{11}In_9$ phase and the indium selenide phases (In_2Se and $InSe$). The only different precursor in the two schemes is the copper selenide phase observed in the selenization in Se vapor. The reaction with Se also proceeds faster than the reaction with H_2Se . Below $400^\circ C$ the phases observed in the reaction with H_2Se at a temperature T are observed in the reaction with Se at a temperature $T-50^\circ C$.

It appears, on a preliminary examination, that the binary selenides which form the $CuInSe_2$ precursors govern the final film morphology.

3.2 PROCESSING OF CdTe DEVICES

In this section, the relationship between individual processing steps used to fabricate CdTe/CdS solar cells and material and device properties are discussed. This can then be extended to other methods of fabricating CdTe/CdS solar cells. Previously reported work on the effects of high temperature processing and doping of CdTe will be reviewed and more recent work on the effects of CdS thickness will be discussed.

The CdTe/CdS devices were prepared in a superstrate configuration as shown in Figure 33. Undoped CdS films from 0.1 to 1.5 μm thick were deposited by physical vapor deposition onto a glass/ITO or glass/ SnO_2 substrate. In some cases, the CdS was heat treated at $400^\circ C$ for 20 to 30 min. in air, with and without $CdCl_2$ present prior to the deposition of the CdTe. Two μm thick CdTe films were deposited by physical vapor deposition at a

Figure 33. Schematic cross-section diagram of superstrate CdTe/CdS device.

substrate temperature of 250°C. The samples were then heat treated in the presence of CdCl_2 at 400°C for 20 to 30 min. in air. The devices were completed by depositing a Cu/Au contact followed by a 150°C 30 min. heat treatment in air and a $\text{Br}_2\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ dip to optimize the device. A detailed description of the processing is presented in reference (23).

In fabricating the evaporated CdTe/CdS solar cells, the 400°C CdCl_2 heat treatment recrystallizes the CdTe and interdiffuses the CdS and CdTe layers. This interdiffusion changes the bandgap of the CdTe and CdS which affects the spectral response of the solar cell. After this heat treatment a contacting/doping procedure is used which converts the CdTe conductivity to p-type by diffusion of Cu using a 150°C heat treatment as discussed in Section 2.4. Finally, the devices receive a $\text{Br}_2\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ treatment increases both V_{oc} and FF. These process steps and results are discussed in detail below.

3.2.1 400°C CdCl_2 Heat Treatment

A key step in fabricating high efficiency cells using a low temperature CdTe deposition process such as evaporation is the post deposition heat treatment at 400°C in air with CdCl_2 . This step increases the CdTe grain size and promotes interdiffusion of the CdS-CdTe films (16,24). The extent of the interdiffusion of the two films depends on the properties and thickness of the CdS, as will be discussed below.

Figure 34 shows the XRD scans of a CdTe/CdS sample before and after the 400°C CdCl_2 heat treatment for 30 min. and Table 8 summarizes analysis of the CdTe (111) peak. The shift and sharpening of the (111) XRD peak of the CdTe after heat treatment indicates that the majority of the diffracting layer was uniformly altered. The reduction in lattice parameter of the CdTe is consistent with the formation of a $\text{CdTe}_{1-x}\text{S}_x$ solid solution with approximately 3% S as we have previously reported (16,24). The change in full width half maximum (FWHM) of the (111) peak is consistent with an increase in grain size by a factor of 4 which was supported by scanning electron micrographs shown in Figures 35 and 36. Similar results have been observed by others (35).

The relative absorption coefficient of the CdTe in a CdTe/CdS sample before and after the 400°C heat treatment is shown in Figure 37. The decrease in the bandgap of the CdTe after the heat treatment is also consistent with the formation of a $\text{CdTe}_{1-x}\text{S}_x$ layer where the CdTe bandgap decreases with the addition of S for $x < 0.1$ (36). Thus, the 400°C heat treatment with CdCl_2 results in the formation of a $\text{CdTe}_{1-x}\text{S}_x$ layer with

Figure 34. XRD scans before and after heat treatment at 400°C with CdCl₂. The CdTe and CdS thicknesses were 2 μm and 1 μm, respectively.

Figure 35. SEM photograph of the as-deposited CdTe surface.

Figure 36. SEM photograph of the CdTe surface after heat treatment at 400°C with CdCl₂.

Figure 37. Comparison of the CdTe absorption edge in CdTe/Cds samples before and after heat treatment at 400°C with CdCl₂.

Table 8. XRD analysis of CdTe (111) peak before and after heat treatment at 400°C with CdCl₂. The CdTe thickness was 2 μm.

CdTe/CdS	Lattice Parameter (Å)	FWHM (deg)	Particle Size (Å)
As-deposited	6.497	0.065	1400
CdCl ₂ HT	6.475	0.011	8200

larger grain size and a decrease in the bandgap. Such changes do not occur at 400°C without CdCl₂ (24).

Also consistent with formation of a CdTe_{1-x}S_x layer is a decrease in effective CdS thickness during processing. This is inferred from comparing the optical transmission of a glass/SnO₂/CdS sample to the spectral response of the same sample following CdTe deposition and CdCl₂ treatment. Figure 38 shows the transmission (normalized by reflection losses) for an 800Å CdS film and the spectral response of a device fabricated on the same sample. The response below 500 nm is significantly greater than the as-deposited CdS transmission, which can be explained by a reduction of CdS thickness during processing. For our 2.5 cm x 2.5 cm substrates, a 2 μm thick CdTe_{0.984}S_{0.016} layer, and a CdS density of 4.8 S/cm³, the 1.6% S corresponds to an equivalent CdS film thickness of about 25 nm.

The change in the CdS film properties due to the 400°C heat treatment depends on the thickness of the CdS layer and its 'structural' properties. Figure 39 shows the spectral response curves of three CdTe/CdS devices with different CdS thicknesses. For the device with 1.5 μm of CdS, a moderately well defined CdS bandedge is seen at about 520 nm (2.4 eV). For the thinner CdS, there is an increase in response at wavelengths below the CdS bandedge as expected. However, the maximum in spectral response shifts to between 550 and 620 nm, suggesting the formation of a lower bandgap window layer. This loss in response between 520 and 620 nm in cells with thin CdS is not recoverable with reverse bias suggesting that the reduction is not due to recombination but to generation. The shape of the spectral response curve is consistent with diffusion of Te into CdS and formation of a CdS_{1-y}Te_y layer having a bandgap narrower than CdS. Similar results were reported by Nakayama et al. (37) and Clemminck et al. (17) for screen printed materials. Thin evaporated CdS films (<0.30 μm) consist mostly of small grains (<0.1 μm) while thick CdS films (>1.0 μm) have a columnar grain structure with grain size of ~0.5 μm. The increased surface area due to small grains could enhance the diffusion of Te into the CdS and the formation of a CdS_{1-y}Te_y layer.

Figure 38. Normalized transmission, $T/(1-R)$, for 800 Å thick Cds and spectral response of a CdTe/Cds device fabricated on the same Cds sample.

Figure 39. Comparison of spectral response of CdTe/CdS devices with different CdS thicknesses.

Additionally, the diffusion of Te into thin ($0.25\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) CdS could be modified by post deposition heat treatment of the CdS prior to the CdTe deposition. From previous work (16), a 400°C air heat treatment of the CdS films with from 1.5 to $8\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, in the presence of CdCl_2 , resulted in an improved crystal structure and sharpening of the CdS optical bandedge. The effects of post deposition heat treatments of $0.24\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ CdS on optical properties and subsequent device properties were evaluated for the following conditions:

- 1) For air heat treatments at 400°C , the thickness of the CdCl_2 layer was varied from 0.05 to $0.5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. For all samples, the CdCl_2 heat treatment improved the optical bandedge as can be seen in Figure 40. However, the spectral response curves of devices fabricated using these samples, shown in Figure 41 had different shapes in the 500 to 600 nm indicating that the amount of interdiffusion depends on the pre-treatment of the CdS and that the improvement in the optical bandedge does not correspond to shape of the spectral response curve between 500 to 600 nm . For the $0.5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ CdCl_2 treatment, the shape of the spectral response curve is similar to thick CdS, see Figure 38. There is also a shift in the long wavelength response with the device made with the thickest CdCl_2 layer having the smallest shift the CdTe bandedge. Thus, the CdCl_2 treatment of the CdS affects both the CdS and the diffusion of S into CdTe. Table 9 summarizes the device results for these samples. The J_{sc} for all devices are essentially the same with the V_{oc} and FF decreasing as the amount of CdCl_2 is increased. Thus, the pre-treatment of the CdS appears to affect the junction formation.

Figure 40. Normalized transmission, $T/(1-R)$, for 0.24 μm thick CdS films heat treated at 400°C with different thicknesses of CdCl_2 .

Figure 41. Spectral response of CdTe/CdS devices fabricated on the CdS samples of Figure 40, having been heat treated with different thicknesses of CdCl_2 .

Table 9. Effect of restructuring thin ($0.24\ \mu\text{m}$) CdS layers with 400°C CdCl_2 anneal prior to CdTe deposition.

Piece	CdCl_2 Thickness (μm)	V_{oc} (V)	J_{sc} (mA/cm^2)	FF (%)	η (%)
40720-11	0.5	0.64	17.6	59	6.6
40721-32	0.1	0.67	18.2	61	7.4
40721-31	0.05	0.72	18.3	72	9.5
40720-31	0	0.74	18.1	66	8.9

2) For a CdCl_2 thickness of $0.05\ \mu\text{m}$, the air heat treatment temperature was varied from 400 to 600°C and the times varied from 15 to 30 min. All samples showed an improvement in the optical bandedge after heat treatment. The spectral response curves of devices made on samples treated at 400°C for 30 min. and 600°C for 15 to 30 min., had a moderately sharp CdS bandedge while the sample treated at 400°C for 15 min. similar to that shown in Figure 41 had its spectral response maximum at $\sim 600\text{nm}$.

3) Argon, hydrogen and air heat treatments at 400°C without CdCl_2 . All samples treated without CdCl_2 showed an improvement in the CdS optical bandedge which did not translate into device spectral response, that is there was a reduced spectral response between 500 and 600 nm.

To summarize, heat treatment from 400 to 600°C of the CdS after deposition improves the optical bandedge but is only correlated with the spectral response when the heat treatment includes CdCl_2 .

The results of others, using different fabrication approaches, high and low temperature processes, for the CdTe and thin CdS can be interpreted similarly. For example, Ullal et al. (38) reported the J-V and spectral response measurements made at NREL for CdTe/CdS solar cells fabricated by a variety of techniques. Figure 42 shows the spectral response of these cells. For all devices, with the exception of GIT, the spectral response appears to peak around 600 nm which is consistent with the existence of a $\text{CdS}_{1-y}\text{Te}_y$ layer. Additionally, there is considerable variation in the long wavelength response edge, which is indicative of varying degrees of S diffusion into CdTe.

Nunoue et al. (39) presented an equilibrium phase diagram for the CdTe-CdS system based on single crystal data which shows a wide miscibility gap below 760°C . Although their results extend to only 650°C , the miscibility gap is expected to exist at lower temperatures. In contrast, the results of Ohata et al. (40), based on thin films of CdTe-CdS grown at low temperature, suggest

Figure 42. Quantum efficiency measurements (NREL) for CdTe/CdS solar cells fabricated by various deposition methods (from reference 7): Photon Energy, Inc. (PE) - Spray Pyrolysis; Georgia Institute of Technology (GIT) - M.O.C.V.D.; Microchemistry, LTD (MC) - A.L.E.; University of South Florida (USF) - C.S.V.T.; Ametek - Electron deposition.

that a continuous solid solution is formed over the entire composition range which could be either a metastable or a kinetically controlled phase. These results allow a self consistent interpretation to be made of the effects of high temperature and CdCl_2 processing on CdTe/CdS films:

1. For CdTe/CdS cells deposited at low temperature, initially there is a well defined $\text{CdS} - \text{CdTe}$ interface.
2. The heat treatment of the CdTe at 400°C or higher in the presence of CdCl_2 results in an interdiffusion of the CdTe and CdS , which, from the phase diagram, results in the formation of discrete $\text{CdS}_{1-y}\text{Te}_y$ and $\text{CdTe}_{1-x}\text{S}_x$ layers when using thin ($0.25\ \mu\text{m}$) and small grain CdS . This is opposed to a continuously graded layer, which has not been observed in samples treated at high temperature.
3. The variation in short wavelength spectral response with CdS thickness seems to indicate that Te diffuses slowly resulting in incomplete conversion of the CdS layer and that CdCl_2 treatments of the CdS inhibits the diffusion of Te into the CdS . However, sulfur always diffuses into the CdTe .
4. For CdTe/CdS cells deposited at high temperature, there is initially a discrete CdS layer and interdiffusion occurs during CdTe growth.
5. The primary effect of the interdiffusion is to reduce the spectral response between 520 and 600 nm and extend the spectral response to longer wavelengths. The narrowing of the CdTe bandgap necessarily implies a lower theoretical limit to V_{oc} .

3.2.2 Best Efficiency Device with Evaporated CdTe/CdS Materials

The optical losses limiting J_{sc} (AM1.5 global spectrum) in our glass/ITO/ CdS/CdTe devices were evaluated and the results are shown graphically in Figure 43. Increases in J_{sc} of 1.5, 3.0, and $4.0\ \text{mA/cm}^2$ are possible as the CdS thickness is reduced to 0.24, 0.15, and $0.08\ \mu\text{m}$, respectively. Reflection losses account for $2.5\ \text{mA/cm}^2$ primarily due to the specular ITO. Use of textured SnO_2 substrates can reduce this loss to $1.5\ \text{mA/cm}^2$. Collection losses at J_{sc} were typically less than $0.5\ \text{mA/cm}^2$, indicating very high quality junction and CdTe transport properties.

Based on the above, devices were fabricated using moderately thin CdS ($0.24\ \mu\text{m}$) on lightly textured SnO_x and the results from testing these two devices at NREL are summarized in Table 10. The CdS of 40723.11 was heat treated at 400°C for 30 min with $0.05\ \mu\text{m}$ CdCl_2 while the other sample use as deposited CdS . The effect of the CdCl_2 treatment on the spectral response between

Figure 43. Photon losses in CdTe/CdS solar cells.

Table 10 - NREL Test Results for Cells in Figure 44

Cell	CdS Treatment	V _{oc}	J _{sc}	FF	—
40723.11.2	CdCl ₂ , 400°C	789	20.1	69.4	11.0
40723.12.1	none	799	18.4	72.0	10.6

500 to 600 nm is shown in Figure 44 is the SR of the cells from the same CdS and CdTe evaporations. The CdS of one received a 0.05 μ m anneal prior to CdTe deposition. The device with as-deposited CdS (40723.12) shows considerable interdiffusion evidenced by the broadening of the CdS edge and subsequent reduction in J_{sc}.

3.2.3 Contacts

The devices discussed above were fabricated using evaporated Cu/Au contacts. Over the past two years, alternatives to this contact have been investigated, and a novel contacting process using ZnTe was developed under a separate NREL subcontract #ZM-0-18110-1. Alternatives to Cu/Au were evaporated Cu/Mo and Cu/Pt, and galvanically deposited Cu-doped ZnTe plus a robust metal layer. In the case of Cu/Au, Cu/Mo, and Cu/Pt the CdTe surface was not etched prior to contacting, and cell optimization consisted of a 150°C air heat treatment followed by immersion in Br₂CH₃OH. For devices with ZnTe:Cu contacts, the CdTe surface was etched in Br₂CH₃OH prior to ZnTe deposition and cell optimization consisted only of an air heat treatment at 150°C. Promising J-V results were obtained for the alternative contacts as shown in Table 11 for ITO/CdS/CdTe cells. In most cases, the devices with alternative contacts to Cu/Au had crossover between light and dark J-V curves and slightly higher slope at V_{oc} (dV/dJ) on the light curve. These differences are likely due to non-optimal processing conditions for the alternative materials such as thickness and optimization temperature, time, and atmosphere.

Also, the difference in performance between devices with different contacts is that the optimization steps used do not necessarily result in an "end-point" condition for the device, which is manifest at later dates by a change in the device J-V curve and device parameters.

Figure 44. Spectral response of high efficiency CdTe/CdS cells using evaporated CdTe and CdS layers. Sample 40723.11 used CdS which was heat treated at 400°C with CdCl₂ prior to CdTe deposition.

Table 11. J-V device parameters for ITO/CdS/CdTe cells with different contacts. Measurements made under ELH illumination, normalized to 100 mW/cm² @ 32°C.

Device #	Contact	V _{oc} (mV)	J _{sc} (mA/cm ²)	FF (%)	dV/dJ @ V _{oc} ohm-cm ²
40689.12-5	100Å Cu/500Å Au	782	17.3	73.5	4.3
40688.232-1	100Å Cu/1500Å Mo	683	17.4	61.9	5.9
40682.122-2	100Å Cu/500Å Pt	660	18.0	63.2	4.3
40690.221-1	500Å ZnTe:Cu/Ni	616	15.2	74.8	4.0
40691.231-2	500Å ZnTe:Cu/Cu/Au	703	16.8	69.0	3.9
40690.232-2	500Å ZnTe:Cu/Cu/Au	754	17.4	60.5	7.6
40691.13-2	500Å ZnTe:Cu/ITO/Ni	709	16.3	68.3	5.3
40694.23-1	500Å ZnTe:Cu/ITO/Ni	766	17.9	54.6	11.2

3.2.4 Stability of CdTe Devices

Because some of the CdTe devices made have shown changes in current-voltage behavior over time, IEC has been regularly retesting some of the higher efficiency solar cells made. Between current voltage tests, the devices have been stored in ordinary cabinets or desiccators. So far 678 solar cells from 52 different samples have been subject to a number of retests over periods ranging from 100 to over 1000 days. Among the samples are: one made at Ametek; one made with Ametek CdTe and contacted with Cu/Au at IEC; one made with IEC CdTe and contacted with ZnTe at Ametek; and 48 made at IEC. The 48 samples made completely at IEC have the following back contacts; one Cu/Pt, two Cu/Mo, eight with a ZnTe layer, and 37 with Cu/Au. A brief summary of the parameters measured during the current voltage tests of thirteen of the samples which have been retested over periods greater than 300 days or, which represent one of the unusual contacts is shown in Appendix B.

As can be seen in Appendix B, most of the devices change in output over time. Although most of the cells decrease in efficiency, some do increase. Because on the same sample, where each cell has been processed together, some cells increase in efficiency while others decrease (compare cells 5 and 6 on sample 40689-12 for example); it is not possible to ascribe the changes to any specific part of the device processing. But, most of the change in the output occurs because of a change in fill factor. When examined more closely, the change in fill factor is caused by a change in effective series resistance, as is shown by the slope of the current voltage curve at V_{oc} (i.e. (dV/dJ) V=V_{oc}).

To summarize, solar cells that have been tested over periods ranging in time from three months to nearly three years have been found to change in efficiency (both up and down). This change is associated with a change in the effective series resistance. We would expect this type of change to be associated with the contacting. However, the changes do not necessarily correlate with cells on the same sample which should have had the same processing. It is suspected that the preparation or treatment of the CdTe surface prior to contacting is involved in these changes. Further work along these lines is continuing.

3.2.5 Future Work

Fabrication and analysis of CdTe/CdS cells using evaporated CdTe layers has led to 11% efficient cells and to an improved understanding of the role of processing steps on device structure and performance. The performance of these cells is limited by $J_{sc} \sim 20 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ and $V_{oc} \sim 800 \text{ mV}$. We will increase J_{sc} by further reducing the CdS thickness, using solution growth techniques, and by optimizing the post deposition process for thinner CdS.

The influence of CdTe-CdS interdiffusion during post processing heat treatments on device behavior will be investigated. In particular, the extent of interdiffusion will be quantified and the relationship between the finished device structure to existing CdTe-CdS phase diagrams will be established.

The operating model for V_{oc} in these devices is based on grain boundary geometry and electronic properties. Alternative chemical and thermal reactions will be investigated at the recrystallization step in the processing. We will quantify the extent of CdTe grain restructuring (size and orientation) due to different treatment conditions.

The interrelationship between the post deposition processing treatments, the CdTe surface after treatment, the contact to CdTe, and device performance and stability will be further investigated.

SECTION 4.0

REFERENCES

- 1 K. Zweibel, H.S. Ullal, R.L. Mitchell, and R. Noufi, Proc. 22nd IEEE PVSC, Las Vegas, NV, 1057 (1991).
- 2 B.N. Baron, R.W. Birkmire, J.E. Phillips, W.N. Shafarman, S.S. Hegedus, and B.E. McCandless, Annual Report under SERI Subcontract No. XN-0-10023-1 for the period 1/16/90 to 1/15/91 (March 1991).
- 3 T.L. Chu, S.S. Chu, J. Britt, C. Ferekides, C. Wang, and C.Q. Wu, IEEE Elect. Dev. Letters 13, #5, 303 (1992).
- 4 K.W. Mitchell, C. Eberspacher, J. Ermer and D. Pier, Proc. 20th IEEE Photov. Spec. Conf., 1384 (1988).
- 5 W.E. Devaney, W.S. Chen, J.M. Stewart and R.A. Mickelsen, IEEE Trans. Elect. Devices, 37, #21, 428 (1990).
- 6 T. Walter, R. Menner, M. Ruckh, L. Käser and H.W. Schock, Proc. 22nd IEEE Photov. Spec. Conf., 924 (1991).
- 7 A.L. Fahrenbruch and R.H. Bube, Fundamentals of Solar Cells (Academic Press, N.Y., 1983), p. 312.
- 8 Ibid p. 408.
- 9 Ibid p. 131.
- 10 A. Rose and E.D. Johnson, Proc. IRE 47, 407 (1959).
- 11 K.W. Mitchell and H.I. Liu, Proc. 20th IEEE Photov. Spec. Conf., 1461 (1988).
- 12 M. Roy, S. Damaskinos, and J.E. Phillips, Proc. 20th IEEE Photov. Spec. Conf., 1618 (1988).
- 13 M. Roy, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Del., Newark, DE (1989).
- 14 W.N. Shafarman and J.E. Phillips, Proc. 22nd IEEE Photov. Spec. Conf., 934 (1991).
- 15 D.A. Fardig and J.E. Phillips, Proc. 22nd IEEE Photov. Spec. Conf., 1146 (1991).
- 16 B.E. McCandless and S.S. Hegedus, Proc. 22nd IEEE Photov. Spec. Conf., 967 (1991).
- 17 I. Clemminck, M. Burgelman, M. Casteleyn, J. DePoorter and A. Vervaet, Proc. 22nd IEEE Photov. Spec. Conf., 1114 (1991).
- 18 G.B. Turner, R.J. Schwartz and J.L. Gray, Proc. 20th IEEE Photov. Spec. Conf., 1457 (1988).
- 19 Y.J. Lee and J.L. Gray, Proc. 22nd IEEE Photov. Spec. Conf., 1151 (1991).
- 19 A. Fahrenbruch and R. Bube, "Fundamentals of Solar Cells", (Academic Press, NY, 1983), p. 163.
- 20 J.B. Yoo, A. Fahrenbruch, and R. Bube, J. Appl. Phys. 68, 4694 (1990).
- 21 M. Roy and J. Phillips 21st IEEE PVSC, 743 (1990).
- 22 G.A. Pollock, K.W. Mitchell, and J.H. Ermer, European Patent Application, #89308108.3, Aug. 9, 1989.
- 23 B.E. McCandless and R.W. Birkmire, Solar Cells 31, 527 (1991).

- 24 N. Suyama, T. Arita, Y. Nishiyama, N. Veno, S. Kitamura,
and M. Murozono, Proc. 21st IEEE PVSC, 498 (1990).
- 25 P.V. Meyers, Solar Cells 23, 59 (1988).
- 26 A. Mondal, B.E. McCandless, R.W. Birkmire, Proc. 22nd
IEEE PVSC, 1126 (1991).
- 27 J.P. Ponpon, Solid State Electronics, 28(7), 689 (1985).
- 28 J.E. Phillips, M. Roy, Proc. 20th IEEE PVSC, 1614
(1988).
- 29 J.E. Phillips, Proc. 21st IEEE PVSC, 782 (1990).
- 30 D.W. Hoffman, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 20(3), 355 (1982).
- 31 B.M. Basol, V.K. Kapur and R.J. Matson, Proc. 22nd IEEE
PVSC, Las Vegas, NV, 1179 (1991).
- 32 K.C. Mills, Thermodynamic Data for Inorganic Sulphides,
Selenides and Tellurides, Butterworth (1974).
- 33 Gmelin Handbook of Inorganic Chemistry, 10, Spring
Verlag (1988).
- 34 R.K. Pearson and G.R. Haugen, Int. J. of Hydrogen
Energy, 6(5), 509 (1981).
- 35 S.A. Ringel, A.W. Smith, M.H. MacDougal, and A. Rohatgi,
J. Appl. Phys. 70(2), 881 (1991).
- 36 K. Ohata, J. Saraie, and T. Tanaka, Jap. J. Appl. Phys.
12(10), 1641 (1973).
- 37 N. Nakayama, H. Matsumoto, A. Nakano, S. Ikegami, H.
Uda, T. Yamashita, Jap. J. Appl. Phys. 19, 703 (1980).
- 38 H. Ullal, J. Stone, K. Zweibel, T. Surek, R. Mitchell,
6th Int'l PVSEC, New Delhi, 81 (1992).
- 39 S. Nunoue, T. Hemmi, E. Kato, J. Electrochem. Soc. 137,
1248 (1990).
- 40 J. Saraie, H. Kato, N. Yamada, Sh. Kaida, and T. Tanaka,
Phys. Stat. Sol. (a)39, 331 (1977).

SECTION 5.0

ABSTRACT

Results and conclusions of Phase II of a multi-year research program on polycrystalline thin film heterojunction solar cells are presented. The research consisted of the investigation of the relationships between processing, materials properties and device performance. This relationship was quantified by device modeling and analysis. The analysis of thin film polycrystalline heterojunction solar cells explains how minority carrier recombination at the metallurgical interface and at grain boundaries can be greatly reduced by the proper doping of the window and absorber layers. When this is done, these devices exhibit the high quantum efficiencies and J_{sc} 's measured in high efficiency CdTe and CuInSe₂ based solar cells. The analysis also shows that any quantitative modeling of these devices which related the device performance to the bulk electronic properties of the material must consider the additional geometric dimension introduced by the polycrystallinity. Additional analysis and measurements show that the present solar cells are limited by the magnitude of the diode current which appears to be caused by recombination in the space charge region.

Analysis of the J-V characteristics of CuInSe₂/(CdZn)S cells have been used to examine the role of different current mechanisms in the device. No evidence was found for interface recombination or other mechanisms, although interface recombination is expected to limit V_{oc} to ~0.52V. The light intensity dependence was also examined and it is shown that the diode quality factor (A), reverse saturation current density (J_0), and shunt conductance (G) all vary with the intensity. Finally, ways to improve V_{oc} are discussed.

Current-voltage and spectral response measurements have also been made on high efficiency CdTe/CdS thin film solar cells prepared by vacuum evaporation. Analysis of the measurements indicate that these solar cells operate as p-n heterojunctions and the current transport in the junction region is dominated by Shockley-Read-Hall recombination in the CdTe. The analysis also indicates that the light generated current varies with the applied voltage.

Cu-In bilayers were reacted with both elemental Se and H₂Se gas to form CuInSe₂ films. An ultra clean H₂Se system was designed and built and is being used to evaluate the effect of impurities on the selenization reaction. Thermodynamic calculations for the dissociation of H₂Se were performed and a kinetic model developed based on pyrolysis experiments and literature data.

The reaction pathways and the precursors to formation of CuInSe₂ using Se and H₂Se were evaluated and compared. The growth of

CuInSe_2 using either H_2Se or Se vapor proceeds through the formation of a $\text{Cu}_{11}\text{In}_9$ alloy and indium selenide phases (In_2Se_3 and InSe). The only different precursor in the two reacting systems is the formation of a copper selenide phase observed only when using Se vapor. The reaction with Se vapor proceeds faster than the selenization of a copper-indium bilayer in the tubular reactor with H_2Se at a temperature T are observed in the evaporator (using Se vapor) at a temperature $T-50^\circ\text{C}$.

Additionally, several approaches to fabricating CuInSe_2 thin film solar cells in a superstrate configuration have been explored. The CuInSe_2 films were grown on glass/TCO/CdS substrates by both 3-source elemental evaporation and selenization.

By analyzing CdTe/CdS devices fabricated by vacuum evaporation, a self consistent picture of the effects of processing on the evolution of CdTe cells was developed which can be applied to other fabrication methods. In fabricating CdTe/CdS solar cells by evaporation, a 400°C CdCl_2 heat treatment is used which recrystallizes the CdTe and interdiffuses the CdS and CdTe layers. The interdiffusion can change the bandgap of both the CdTe and CdS which modifies the spectral response of the solar cell. After this heat treatment a contacting/doping procedure is used which converts the CdTe conductivity to p-type by diffusion of Cu from the contact. Finally, the cell is treated with $\text{Br}_2\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ which improves both V_{oc} and FF. Analogous process steps are used in most fabrication processes for CdTe/CdS solar cells.

Appendix A

"Critical Progress Issues in Selenization"

S. Verma, R.D. Varrin, Jr., R.W. Birkmire and TWF Russell

CRITICAL PROCESS ISSUES IN SELENIZATION

S. Verma, R. D. Varrin, Jr., R. W. Birkmire, and TWF Russell

Institute of Energy Conversion
University of Delaware
Newark, Delaware 19716-3820

ABSTRACT

Both H_2Se and elemental selenium are used to form copper indium diselenide. Equilibrium thermodynamic calculations for H_2Se dissociation show that the higher order Se_x species (Se_3 , Se_6 , Se_7) are important at temperatures below 800°K whereas Se_2 becomes the predominant species at temperatures above 900°K. A kinetic model is presented for the decomposition of H_2Se . The reaction is found to be first order at short times, but the reverse reaction of Se_x with H_2 assumes importance at higher conversions and longer times. The constants in the kinetic model are calculated from the data available in literature⁴ and our experiments.

INTRODUCTION

The formation of $CuInSe_2$ thin films by selenization has been accomplished in a variety of reaction systems including closed ampoules, open reaction tubes, and furnace reactors. Previously, we reported on the development of a closed reaction system (Fig. 1) where either Se or H_2Se is transported via thermosiphon.¹ In the design of this system, we identified critical areas requiring additional experimental data. In particular, the reaction chemistry leading to the formation of $CuInSe_2$ films had not been well characterized. Further, when using H_2Se , the gas phase species and relative concentrations due to H_2Se pyrolysis were not identified. In this paper, we present thermodynamic and kinetic data on the decomposition of H_2Se . This data is useful in evaluating $CuInSe_2$ film growth and in the design of efficient commercial scale reactors.

H_2Se is a colorless, extremely toxic gas which has received wide attention as a selenium source in the formation of $CuInSe_2$ thin films. The decomposition products of H_2Se have been identified as polymeric Se_x species.^{2,3} If H_2Se is used for selenization, both H_2Se and the product selenium species could be available for reaction with the copper/indium layer to form $CuInSe_2$. The general consensus in the literature dealing with the thermolysis or pyrolysis of hydrogen selenide is that the following overall reaction governs its decomposition.

The reaction is endothermic with an enthalpy change ΔH_{298}° of 9.7 kcal/mole and a free energy change ΔG_{298}° of 14.46 kcal/mole.³ Only a limited amount of research on the reaction order and rate constants for this reaction has been performed.⁴

Thermodynamics of H_2Se Dissociation

Whereas the stoichiometry and structure of gas phase Se species in equilibrium with molten selenium has been measured by mass spectroscopy techniques,² the products of the decomposition of hydrogen selenide have not been measured. The dissociation of H_2Se may be considered as occurring by the following series of independent chemical reactions.

Where x is 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, and 7.

Tabulated free energies of formation⁵ are used to calculate the decomposition of H_2Se at equilibrium. The equilibrium constant K_y is related to the Gibbs free energy change of the reaction by:

$$K_y = \frac{y_{H_2}^x y_{Se_x}}{y_{H_2Se}^x} = \exp(-\Delta G_{rxn}^\circ / RT) \quad (2)$$

where:

y = gas phase mole fraction.

Equation 2 assumes ideal gas behavior at the temperatures and pressures of interest and at a total pressure of 1 atmosphere. If we select as a basis 1 mole of undissociated H_2Se , then n_x number of moles will react in the x^{th} reaction. We can set up the equilibrium relations based on equation 2 for each of the chemical equations.

It is helpful to define:

$$n_{H_2} = \sum x n_x \quad (3)$$

$$n_{H_2Se} = 1 - \sum x n_x \quad (4)$$

$$n_c = 1 + \sum n_x \quad (5)$$

where, $x = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7$;

e.g.

$$y_{H_2Se} = \frac{n_{H_2Se}}{n_c}$$

The general relationship is:

$$\exp \left(\frac{-\Delta G_{rxn}}{RT} \right) = \frac{n_x}{n_c} \frac{(n_{H_2})^x}{(n_{H_2Se})^x} \quad (6)$$

The Gibbs free energy change for each reaction is calculated from the elemental Gibbs free energy and the enthalpy of formation data tabulated in K.C. Mills.² The six non-linear equations are numerically solved at temperatures from 300° to 1400°K. The resultant fraction of H_2Se dissociated is plotted as a function of temperature in Figure 2 for:

- Se_2 as the sole selenium species;
- Se_2 , Se_3 and Se_6 as product selenium species;
- Se_2 , Se_3 , Se_6 , Se_7 as the product selenium species;
- Se_2 , Se_3 , Se_5 , Se_6 , Se_7 as the product selenium species.

Our data and that of Pearson is shown on the plot as well as some much older data from Flogel and Preuner.³ Over the range of typical selenization temperatures (600°K - 800°K), there is satisfactory agreement with experimental data.

The thermodynamics of H_2Se dissociation suggests that 80% of the H_2Se species decomposes to primarily Se_7 , Se_6 and Se_5 around 300°K. Se_2 becomes increasingly important at temperatures above 800°K and is the dominant species present at temperatures above 950°K. Analysis of the data at 700°K suggests that Se_2 and Se_6 are the dominant species.

The thermodynamic analysis does not give any information as to the rate of dissociation of H_2Se . In the next section, we present a model to consider this issue.

Kinetics of H_2Se Dissociation

We have performed pyrolysis experiments in the temperature range 673°K - 723°K using the apparatus drawn in Figure 3.⁵ The experimental procedure was similar to that used by Pearson.⁴ In a typical experiment the system was pumped down to pressures less than 10 μ m. The 15 wt% H_2Se mix was admitted to the vacuum manifold through a mass flow controller to a pressure of about 700 torr. The valves to the preheated pyrolysis bulb were then opened for about 5 sec.

and the amount of H_2Se charged was obtained from the difference in pressure measurements. The H_2Se remaining in the vacuum manifold was pumped out. The reaction time was started when the H_2Se was charged to the pyrolysis bulb and stopped when the gas was released through the traps. The H_2Se and its dissociation products are pumped through the two traps. H_2Se and the selenium species are condensed in liquid N_2 traps. Argon and H_2 are removed from the system by pumping. The pyrolysis system was then isolated and the cold traps were dropped. The pressure of unreacted H_2Se trapped in the cold traps was measured after about five minutes to allow the H_2Se to evaporate. The primary difference between our experiments and Pearson's was that Pearson used triple distilled vacuum outgassed H_2Se where as we used the 15 wt% H_2Se in Argon gas as received from the manufacturer.

Since we (or Pearson) could not measure concentrations of Se_x species as a function of time, we based our analysis on the following chemical equations:

The thermodynamic analysis predicts the importance of both these species in the temperature ranges examined in the pyrolysis experiments.

Applying the law of conservation of mass to the batch pyrolysis reactor and assuming the simplest possible form for the rate expressions yields:

$$dC_{H_2Se}/dt = k_f C_{H_2Se} - k_r C_{H_2} C_{Se_2}^{1/2} \quad (9)$$

$$dC_{H_2Se}/dt = k_f C_{H_2Se} - k_r C_{H_2} C_{Se_6}^{1/6} \quad (10)$$

At short times, the reverse reaction terms will be small so the rate of change of H_2Se conversion may be assumed to be first order in the H_2Se conversion.

$$dC_{H_2Se}/dt = -k_f C_{H_2Se} \quad (11)$$

Integrating (11) gives:

$$\ln \frac{C_{H_2Se}(t)}{C_{H_2Se}(t=0)} = -k_f t \quad (12)$$

Figure 4 presents the short time best fit to the above functional form for H_2Se dissociation at 723°K using Pearson's and our experimental data. A similar set of plots at 673°K and 698°K allows us to evaluate the forward reaction rate constant, k_f , for both independently obtained data sets and also for the combined data set. The values are shown in

Table 1. At 673°K there is a large discrepancy in the value of k_f between our data and Pearson's.

If we assume an Arrhenius form for k_f , its temperature dependence is:

$$k_f = k_{fo} \exp(-E_a/RT) \quad (13)$$

Figure 5 is a plot of $\ln(k_f)$ vs $1/T$. This leads to a value of $k_{fo} = 1.0 \times 10^9 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, $E_a = 39.96 \text{ kcal/mole}$ which compares well with Pearson's reported value of $k_{fo} = 3.8 \times 10^{10} \text{ sec}^{-1}$, $E_a = 46.4 \text{ kcal/mole}$.

To explain the data behavior at longer times, the reverse reaction of H_2 with Se_x needs to be considered.

If we assume the initial concentration of hydrogen and the Se_x species to be zero, we can express equations 9 and 10 in terms of conversion of the reaction, α .

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \frac{2}{3} k_f (1-\alpha) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) - k_r \frac{2}{3} \alpha^{3/2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^{1/2} \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \frac{6}{7} k_f (1-\alpha) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{6}\right) - \frac{6}{7} k_r \alpha^{7/6} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{6}\right)^{5/6} \quad (15)$$

$$\text{where } \alpha = \frac{n_{H_2Se}(t=0) - n_{H_2Se}(t)}{n_{H_2Se}(t=0)}$$

k_r may be estimated from the following relation:

$$\frac{k_f}{k_r} = k_y$$

where k_y is given by equation (2).

The above differential equation was then numerically solved to generate α as a function of time at a fixed temperature. Figure 6 shows the model curve generated at 450°C. The bold curve is generated considering Se_2 as the product species and the dashed curve was generated for Se_6 being the sole selenium product. The model curves overestimate the fraction H_2Se , suggesting that more than one Se species may be present in the product.

CONCLUSIONS

At equilibrium, over the temperature range important for selenization of copper indium bilayers, the gas phase consists of about 50% Se_2 and Se_6 and 50% H_2Se .

At 450°C, it takes about 10 minutes to reach equilibrium, which is about the same time that it takes to form $CuInSe_2$.

At short times (less than 10 minutes) the rate of dissociation of H_2Se is directly

proportional to its concentration. The reverse reaction becomes increasingly important as time increases.

The forward rate constant k_f was calculated to be $1.0 \times 10^9 \exp(-E_a/RT)$ where $E_a = 39.96 \text{ kcal/mole}$.

Kinetic experiments on the pyrolysis of H_2Se show that a reasonable fit to the data can be obtained by assuming Se_6 as the decomposition product.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Department of Energy through the SERI Subcontract No. XN-0-10023-1. We appreciate helpful discussion with B. N. Baron and the editorial efforts of P. H. Newton.

REFERENCES

1. R. W. Birkmire, W. N. Shafarman, R. D. Varrin, "Options for Fabrication and Design of $CuInSe_2$ Based Solar Cells," Proc. 21st IEEE Photovoltaic Specialists Conf., Kissimmee, Florida, May 21-25, 1990, p. 529-534 (1990).
2. K. C. Mills, Thermodynamic Data for Inorganic Sulphides, Selenides and Tellurides, Butterworth (1974).
3. Gmelin Handbook of Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 10, Springer Verlag, (1988).
4. R. K. Pearson and G. R. Haugen, Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy, Vol 6, No. 5, p. 509-519, (1981).
5. R. D. Varrin, Jr., Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Delaware (1991).

Figure 1: Closed loop selenization reactor using thermosiphon for transport of selenium or hydrogen selenide.

Figure 2: The predicted equilibrium dissociation of H_2Se assuming 1) Se_2 as the product species, 2) Se_2 , Se_3 , Se_5 as the product species, 3) Se_2 , Se_3 , Se_5 , Se_7 as the product species and, 4) Se , Se_2 , Se_3 , Se_5 , Se_5 , Se_6 , Se_7 , as the product species.

Figure 3: A schematic of the batch pyrolysis reactor used for our experiments.

Figure 4: Determination of k_f by the short time best fit to equation 12 for the two data sets.

Figure 5: Determination of the activation energy for the forward rate constant k_f by a best fit to equation 13.

Figure 6: The model curves generated by consideration of the reverse reaction: 1) the bold curve considers Se_2 as the dissociation product, 2) the dashed curve considers Se_6 as the dissociation product.

Table 1: A summary of the forward rate constant k_f calculated for the two data sets.

k_f	Varrins' data	Pearsons' data	Combined
673 K	0.0152	0.0047	0.0068
698 K	0.023	0.013	0.016
723 K	0.051	0.056	0.054

Appendix B

CdTe Stability Tests

Basic Current Voltage Parameters

Note 1: All Eff. and Jsc values are normalized to
100 mW/cm² ELH equivalent

Note 2: Numbers given for back contact are the
thicknesses of the layer(s) in angstroms

Cell no.	Elapsed time days	Eff. %	Jsc mA/cm2	Voc volts	FF %	dV/dJ- @ Voc ohm-cm2
-------------	-------------------------	-----------	---------------	--------------	---------	----------------------------

Sample no. 40579-23-1

Date made 28NOV89

Back Contact Cu/Au:100/500

1		6.4	15.0	.631	58.9	5.2
	73	6.4	14.9	.644	58.1	5.6
	314	6.2	14.7	.644	57.0	6.7
	507	5.7	15.0	.644	52.2	9.5
2		9.8	17.4	.707	69.9	3.6
	73	8.7	17.0	.680	66.1	4.1
	314	7.9	16.0	.683	63.5	5.1
	507	7.4	16.5	.666	59.3	6.2
3		11.1	18.1	.757	71.1	3.1
	73	8.3	16.7	.677	64.6	4.6
	314	7.5	15.6	.681	61.9	5.8
	507	6.9	15.6	.664	58.5	6.8
4		10.5	17.1	.750	71.2	3.2
	73	9.6	17.7	.692	68.3	3.8
	314	7.8	16.3	.680	61.6	6.1
	507	7.9	16.5	.666	63.4	4.2

Sample no. 40688-11

Date made 25MAY90

Back Contact Cu/Au:100/500

1		9.1	17.7	.700	63.9	4.8
	90	8.6	17.1	.680	64.8	5.1
	117	7.9	15.9	.730	59.4	10.5
	329	9.0	16.7	.757	62.2	7.3
2		9.3	17.7	.745	61.3	5.2
	90	8.8	16.8	.729	62.8	5.8
	117	7.3	14.7	.772	56.6	11.0
	329	7.7	16.4	.770	53.1	12.4
3		9.6	18.0	.714	65.4	4.4
	90	9.0	17.1	.694	66.4	4.7
	117	7.6	16.1	.731	56.8	13.3
	329	9.4	17.3	.763	62.2	6.8
4		10.2	18.2	.735	66.9	4.0
	90	9.5	17.3	.736	65.5	4.9
	117	7.3	14.5	.745	59.0	7.5
	329	7.5	15.8	.743	56.0	6.5

Cell no.	Elapsed time days	Eff. %	Jsc mA/cm2	Voc volts	FF %	dV/dJ... @ Voc ohm-cm2
-------------	-------------------------	-----------	---------------	--------------	---------	------------------------------

Sample no. 40688-11 (continued)

Date made 25MAY90

Back Contact Cu/Au:100/500

5		10.3	18.5	.724	67.5	3.8
	90	9.8	17.5	.729	67.0	4.4
	117	7.9	16.1	.737	58.1	10.5
	329	8.9	17.2	.756	60.1	6.1
6		10.2	18.5	.710	68.3	3.6
	90	9.5	17.5	.718	66.4	4.6
	117	7.7	15.4	.724	60.0	6.3
	329	7.7	16.2	.726	57.3	5.7
8		9.8	18.3	.693	67.2	4.0
	90	8.6	15.8	.702	68.0	4.4
	117	6.5	14.0	.707	57.9	6.7
	329	7.0	15.1	.698	58.4	5.5

Sample no. 40689-12

Date made 05JUN90

Back Contact Cu/Au:100/500

1		11.2	18.2	.739	73.0	3.4
	27	2.2	16.1	.393	30.8	14.1
	56	2.7	15.7	.458	32.7	16.2
	106	3.7	15.2	.624	33.8	16.0
	318	4.6	17.0	.658	35.7	12.3
2		11.6	18.1	.764	73.4	3.4
	27	9.0	18.8	.724	58.2	4.8
	56	9.1	18.7	.723	58.7	4.8
	106	9.8	17.9	.761	63.0	5.5
	318	8.3	18.6	.740	53.0	5.8
3		10.3	18.1	.735	67.7	4.4
	27	9.6	17.8	.698	67.6	4.8
	56	9.5	17.6	.682	69.1	4.1
	106	9.7	16.7	.758	67.2	5.5
	318	10.4	17.3	.769	68.4	4.4
4		10.9	17.4	.746	73.0	3.5
	27	2.7	16.7	.519	27.2	20.3
	56	2.6	16.5	.512	26.9	20.9
	106	2.2	15.5	.466	26.1	22.9
	318	2.6	17.4	.502	26.5	21.2

Cell no.	Elapsed time days	Eff. %	Jsc mA/cm2	Voc volts	FF %	dV/dJ @ Voc ohm-cm2
-------------	-------------------------	-----------	---------------	--------------	---------	---------------------------

Sample no. 40689-12 (continued)

Date made 05JUN90

Back Contact Cu/Au:100/500

5		11.3	17.3	.782	73.5	4.3
	27	10.3	17.6	.742	69.4	5.0
	56	10.1	17.3	.734	69.5	4.8
	106	8.1	15.5	.760	60.1	10.0
	318	7.8	17.1	.753	53.0	9.1
6		9.3	17.0	.735	64.7	5.1
	27	8.8	16.8	.690	66.7	5.2
	56	8.8	16.6	.676	68.9	4.5
	106	9.3	15.8	.756	68.3	5.8
	318	10.7	17.7	.774	68.3	4.9

Sample no. 50245-13-2

Date made 11MAY89

Back Contact Cu/Au:100/500

1		10.6	18.0	.736	69.9	3.3
	11	9.4	17.3	.725	65.3	4.0
	123	7.7	17.5	.693	55.8	5.1
	207	7.9	18.0	.693	55.6	5.3
	515	7.5	17.2	.688	55.3	5.8
2		11.0	18.1	.746	71.2	3.0
	11	10.3	17.6	.740	69.2	3.5
	123	9.1	17.8	.705	63.2	4.2
	207	8.6	18.2	.693	59.4	4.9
	515	7.3	17.2	.673	55.5	5.7
3		10.8	17.9	.746	70.9	3.1
	11	9.6	17.3	.731	66.6	3.8
	123	8.5	17.7	.687	61.3	4.7
	207	8.4	18.2	.689	58.5	5.0
	515	7.8	17.1	.677	59.0	5.4
4		10.9	18.2	.742	70.6	3.1
	11	10.4	17.4	.744	70.1	3.5
	123	8.9	17.9	.693	62.7	4.5
	207	6.4	18.2	.657	47.1	6.5
	515	5.4	16.5	.648	44.5	7.6

Cell no.	Elapsed time days	Eff. %	Jsc mA/cm2	Voc volts	FF %	dV/dJ @ Voc ohm-cm2
-------------	-------------------------	-----------	---------------	--------------	---------	---------------------------

Sample no. AM404-11

Date made 13SEP89

Back Contact Cu/Au(IEC) CdTe(Ametek)

1		8.9	16.5	.761	62.3	7.9
	1	8.3	15.9	.762	59.6	11.2
	149	7.0	16.1	.712	53.3	14.1
2		8.9	16.2	.758	63.3	8.5
	1	7.0	15.7	.706	55.5	8.1
	149	6.3	15.6	.705	50.4	13.7
3		9.3	16.4	.754	65.5	8.1
	1	8.6	15.9	.772	61.0	13.2
	149	7.2	16.5	.715	53.5	15.6
4		9.5	16.7	.761	65.4	7.6
	1	8.8	15.8	.774	63.0	11.0
	149	8.0	16.7	.718	58.5	13.7

Sample no. 40688-23-2

Date made 01JUN90

Back Contact Cu/Mo:100/1500

1		8.4	17.4	.683	61.9	5.9
	4	8.2	17.1	.692	60.8	5.9
	31	9.2	17.0	.711	66.7	5.6
	329	10.4	17.8	.721	70.7	3.1
	558	9.5	16.6	.727	69.2	3.7

Sample no. 40682-12-2

Date made 23MAR90

Back Contact Cu/Pt:100/500

2		8.6	18.0	.660	63.2	4.3
	6	6.8	17.6	.600	56.6	7.7
	54	7.4	17.9	.623	58.0	7.1
	153	5.4	14.2	.617	53.8	8.2
3		8.5	17.7	.669	62.6	4.1
	6	6.7	17.4	.600	56.2	7.6
	54	7.0	17.5	.621	56.6	7.4
	153	3.3	16.1	.346	51.7	7.2
4		7.6	17.6	.651	58.2	4.6
	6	6.5	17.1	.600	55.6	7.8
	54	7.4	17.4	.634	58.3	6.8
	153	3.3	15.3	.441	42.2	13.0

Cell no.	Elapsed time days	Eff. %	Jsc mA/cm2	Voc volts	FF %	dV/dJ... @ Voc ohm-cm2
-------------	-------------------------	-----------	---------------	--------------	---------	------------------------------

Sample no. 40708-13

Date made 06MAR91

Back Contact ZnTe with Au:500

3		8.0	18.0	.682	57.1	6.6
	44	7.4	18.1	.667	53.6	7.7
	308	6.8	17.0	.656	53.2	7.5
4		8.6	18.1	.715	58.4	8.5
	44	7.6	18.0	.677	54.6	9.0
	308	6.8	17.0	.655	53.8	8.7
7		8.4	17.9	.699	58.9	7.5
	44	8.5	18.5	.685	58.8	8.3
	308	8.1	17.1	.674	61.4	7.2

Sample no. 40690-23-2

Date made 22OCT90

Back Contact ZnTe with Cu/Au:100/500

1		8.9	16.7	.753	62.1	8.7
	105	10.1	18.7	.733	64.3	7.5
	178	10.0	18.6	.739	63.5	7.6
2		9.1	17.4	.754	60.5	7.7
	105	9.9	18.2	.750	63.3	7.0
	178	10.1	18.4	.750	63.9	6.4

Sample no. 40691-23-1

Date made 17OCT90

Back Contact ZnTe with Cu/Au:100/500

2		9.3	16.8	.703	69.0	3.9
	56	9.7	17.9	.712	66.4	4.7
	100	9.5	17.9	.701	66.5	4.3
	183	9.2	18.0	.701	64.0	5.2

Cell no.	Elapsed time days	Eff. %	Jsc mA/cm2	Voc volts	FF %	dV/dJ @ Voc ohm-cm2
-------------	-------------------------	-----------	---------------	--------------	---------	---------------------------

Sample no. 40579-21

Date made 17MAR89

Back Contact Ametek ZnTe:Cu with IEC ITO

1		8.3	19.0	.692	55.4	5.1
	241	8.0	17.4	.694	58.0	6.0
	332	7.8	17.0	.696	57.8	6.1
	525	7.7	16.7	.699	57.5	6.4
	768	8.2	17.5	.707	57.9	6.2
	1028	7.9	16.8	.701	58.5	5.9
2		9.1	19.9	.686	58.1	4.9
	241	8.7	18.4	.696	59.8	6.0
	332	8.4	17.9	.699	59.0	6.4
	525	8.1	17.5	.696	58.3	6.8
	768	8.6	18.4	.697	58.7	6.4
	1028	8.0	17.7	.697	56.5	7.7
3		9.2	20.9	.694	55.8	5.0
	241	8.9	18.8	.712	57.9	6.2
	332	8.7	18.7	.706	57.4	6.4
	525	8.3	18.1	.706	57.1	6.6
	768	8.7	19.0	.703	57.3	6.2
	1028	8.5	18.3	.696	58.5	5.6
4		9.5	19.6	.700	60.7	4.1
	241	9.3	18.6	.702	62.5	4.9
	332	8.8	18.0	.702	60.9	5.8
	525	8.6	17.5	.708	60.9	5.9
	768	9.1	18.5	.707	61.0	5.4
	1028	8.5	17.3	.709	61.0	5.7
5		9.1	20.2	.663	59.3	4.6
	241	8.4	18.7	.680	57.8	7.0
	332	8.0	17.9	.671	58.3	6.8
	525	7.8	17.6	.671	58.0	7.1
	768	8.2	18.6	.670	57.9	6.7
	1028	7.5	17.1	.666	57.4	7.5
6		8.5	19.4	.692	55.3	5.3
	241	8.2	18.1	.702	56.0	6.4
	332	7.9	17.7	.706	55.5	6.6
	525	7.7	17.4	.701	55.4	6.8
	768	7.9	17.8	.704	55.3	6.7
	1028	7.7	16.8	.699	57.0	6.0

Cell no.	Elapsed time days	Eff. %	Jsc mA/cm2	Voc volts	FF %	dV/dJ @ Voc ohm-cm2
-------------	-------------------------	-----------	---------------	--------------	---------	---------------------------

Sample no. 40579-21

Date made 17MAR89

Back Contact Ametek ZnTe:Cu with IEC ITO (continued)

7		9.5	19.9	.678	61.8	4.1
	241	9.0	19.0	.689	60.3	5.9
	332	8.8	18.5	.685	60.6	6.1
	525	8.4	18.1	.681	59.3	6.6
	768	8.9	19.0	.681	60.0	6.6
	1028	8.2	17.7	.677	59.8	6.6
8		9.0	19.6	.675	59.7	4.8
	241	8.5	18.9	.670	58.6	6.3
	332	8.3	18.4	.682	57.9	6.9
	525	8.0	18.0	.677	57.4	7.2
	768	8.3	18.7	.674	57.7	7.1
	1028	7.8	17.7	.679	57.0	7.6
9		9.4	19.8	.717	57.8	4.7
	241	9.0	18.3	.718	59.8	5.4
	332	9.1	18.4	.726	59.5	5.7
	525	8.8	18.1	.718	59.5	6.1
	768	9.1	18.7	.719	59.0	6.2
	1028	8.5	17.6	.717	59.1	6.4
10		8.8	19.0	.678	60.1	4.6
	241	8.6	18.5	.679	59.9	5.9
	332	8.4	17.9	.688	59.5	6.4
	525	8.0	17.3	.685	58.9	7.1
	768	8.4	18.2	.688	58.6	7.2
	1028	8.0	17.1	.689	59.3	7.1
11						
	241	9.1	18.6	.708	60.6	4.8
	332	8.9	18.1	.712	60.2	5.2
	525	8.7	17.8	.708	60.5	5.1
	768	9.1	18.6	.711	60.3	5.2
	1028	8.8	17.4	.711	61.8	4.5

Sample no. 40690-22-1

Date made 25OCT90

Back Contact ZnTe with Ni:2000

1		8.0	15.2	.616	74.8	4.0
	6	7.2	15.0	.586	71.7	4.8
	183	7.8	15.5	.679	64.6	4.5
	412	1.6	11.6	.445	27.3	16.7

Cell no.	Elapsed time days	Eff. %	Jsc mA/cm2	Voc volts	FF %	dV/dJ @ Voc ohm-cm2
Sample no. MW96A-1						
Date made 14APR89						
Back Contact	ZnTe with Ni/Al		Made entirely by Ametek			
1		10.1	18.8	.733	64.2	5.2
	314	10.3	19.1	.747	63.1	5.6
	742	9.3	18.1	.723	61.9	5.9
	1000	9.9	19.1	.725	62.3	5.5
2		9.2	18.3	.696	62.8	6.5
	314	9.2	18.9	.677	63.2	6.1
	742	9.0	19.6	.650	61.9	6.1
	1000	9.2	19.0	.672	62.7	6.2
3		10.1	18.5	.749	63.5	5.9
	314	10.3	19.0	.759	62.7	6.5
	742	10.9	19.7	.756	64.3	5.7
	1000	10.0	18.8	.752	62.0	7.0
4		9.7	18.1	.720	65.2	6.1
	314	9.6	18.7	.710	63.4	6.1
	742	10.3	19.5	.703	65.8	5.2
	1000	9.5	18.8	.698	63.6	6.2
5		7.9	18.0	.628	61.0	6.5
	314	7.8	18.9	.610	59.1	6.1
	742	8.2	19.8	.601	60.6	5.0
	1000	7.5	18.6	.591	59.9	5.5
6		8.1	18.7	.634	59.4	6.4
	314	8.2	19.6	.627	58.7	5.7
	742	8.4	19.9	.622	59.1	4.9
	1000	7.9	19.5	.611	57.8	6.0

Document Control Page	1. NREL Report No. NREL/TP-451-5094	2. NTIS Accession No. DE93000022	3. Recipient's Accession No.
4. Title and Subtitle Polycrystalline Thin Film Materials and Devices			5. Publication Date October 1992
			6.
7. Author(s) B.N. Baron, R.W. Birkmire, J.E. Phillips, W.N. Shafarman, S.S. Hegedus, B.E. McCandless			8. Performing Organization Rept. No.
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Institute of Energy Conversion University of Delaware Newark, Delaware 19716			10. Project/Task/Work Unit No. PV231101
			11. Contract (C) or Grant (G) No. (C) XN-0-10023-1 (G)
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Blvd. Golden, CO 80401-3393			13. Type of Report & Period Covered Technical Report 16 January 1991 - 15 January 1992
			14.
15. Supplementary Notes NREL technical monitor: B. von Roedern			
16. Abstract (Limit: 200 words) This report describes research to obtain an understanding of the materials processing, properties, and performance of polycrystalline thin-film CuInSe ₂ and CdTe solar cells. Such an understanding is needed to achieve the goals for efficiency, reliability, and cost for flat-plate thin-film photovoltaic (PV) systems as defined in the national PV program, and to support the development of a competitive U.S. PV industry through collaboration with engineers and scientists at other laboratories. The report presents results and conclusions of Phase II of a multiyear research program on polycrystalline thin-film heterojunction solar cells. The research consisted of investigating the relationships between processing, materials properties, and device performance. The relationship was quantified by device modeling and analysis. The analysis of thin-film polycrystalline heterojunction solar cells explains how minority carrier recombination at the metallurgical interface and at grain boundaries can be greatly reduced by the proper doping of the window and absorber layers. Additional analysis and measurements show that the present solar cells are limited by the magnitude of the diode current that appears to be caused by recombination in the space-charge region.			
17. Document Analysis a. Descriptors polycrystalline ; thin films ; electronic materials ; electronic devices ; photovoltaics ; solar cells b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms c. UC Categories 273			
18. Availability Statement National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161			19. No. of Pages 113
			20. Price A06