Tank Waste Remediation System Multi-Year Work Plan

Date Published
September 1994

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Environmental Restoration and
Waste Management

Westinghouse
Hanford Company

P.O. Box 1970
Richland, Washington

Hanford Operations and Engineering Contractor for the
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-87RL10930

Approved for Public Release

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

870

LEGAL DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.
Available in paper copy and microfiche.

Available to the U.S. Department of Energy
and its contractors from
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831
(615) 576-8401

Available to the public from the U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
(703) 487-4650

Printed in the United States of America

DISCLM-1 CHP (1-91)

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

RELEASE AUTHORIZATION

Document Number: WHC-SP-1101, Volume 1 - 5

Document Title: Tank Waste Remediation System Multi-Year Work Plan

Release Date: September 29, 1994

* * * * *

**This document was reviewed following the
procedures described in WHC-CM-3-4 and is:**

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

* * * * *

WHC Information Release Administration Specialist:

Kara Broz

(Signature)

September 29, 1994

(Date)

TANK WASTE REMEDIATION SYSTEM - WBS 1.1
MULTI YEAR WORK PLAN APPROVAL SHEET

T. R. Sheridan,
Acting Program Manager
Tank Waste Remediation System
Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office

9/23/94

Date

W. T. Alumkal,
Executive Vice President
Tank Waste Remediation System
Westinghouse Hanford Company

9/23/94

Date

R. D. Wojtasek, Director
Tank Waste Remediation System
Program Office

9/23/94

Date

This page intentionally left blank.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) Multi-Year Work Plan (MYWP) documents the detailed total Program baseline and was constructed to guide Program execution. The TWRS MYWP is one of two elements that comprise the TWRS Program Management Plan (see document hierarchy in Section 7.2.1.1.6, Program Management and Administration Assumptions). The TWRS MYWP fulfills the Hanford Site Management System requirement for a Multi-Year Program Plan and a Fiscal-Year Work Plan.

The MYWP addresses program vision, mission, objectives, strategy, functions and requirements, risks, decisions, assumptions, constraints, structure, logic, schedule, resource requirements, and waste generation and disposition. Sections 1 through 6, Section 8, and the appendixes provide program-wide information. Section 7 includes a subsection for each of the nine program elements that comprise the TWRS Program.

The foundation of any program baseline is base planning data (e.g., defensible product definition, logic, schedules, cost estimates, and bases of estimates). The TWRS Program continues to improve base data. As data improve, so will program element planning, integration between program elements, integration outside of the TWRS Program, and the overall quality of the TWRS MYWP.

The MYWP establishes the TWRS baseline objectives to store, treat, and immobilize highly radioactive Hanford waste in an environmentally sound, safe, and cost-effective manner. The TWRS Program will complete the baseline mission in 2040 and will incur costs totalling approximately 40 billion dollars. The primary legal driver is the *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*¹. Other drivers include all local, State, and Federal laws and regulations.

The summary strategy is to meet the above objectives by using a robust systems engineering effort, placing the highest possible priority on safety and environmental protection; encouraging "out sourcing" of the work to the extent practical; and managing significant but limited resources to move toward final disposition of tank wastes, while openly communicating with all interested stakeholders.

The TWRS Program baseline established by this MYWP assumes that funding will be available to support an expanding effort with annual total funding growth rates ranging from four to sixteen percent. This baseline projects peak annual funding levels of approximately \$1.3 billion in the 2001 to 2005 time frame. Annual funding levels would then begin to gradually decline beginning in the 2006 to 2010 time frame.

The TWRS Program baseline established by this MYWP assumes all tank waste, including the cesium and strontium capsules, will be retrieved for treatment and disposal.

¹*Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, Fourth Amendment, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington (January 1994).

Program risks include technical uncertainties and the associated potential impacts on projected resource requirements, institutional uncertainties and the associated potential impacts on overall schedule, and funding uncertainties.

The appropriate technical work is underway to support decision making, reduce uncertainty, and improve base data. The MYWP will be updated annually to take advantage of improving base data.

CONTENTS

1.0	VISION AND MISSION	1-1
1.1	VISION	1-1
1.2	MISSION	1-1
2.0	MISSION STRATEGY	2-1
2.1	OBJECTIVES	2-1
2.2	STRATEGY	2-3
2.3	FUNCTIONS AND REQUIREMENTS	2-4
2.4	RISKS	2-4
2.5	MAJOR DECISIONS	2-7
2.6	ASSUMPTIONS	2-8
2.7	CONSTRAINTS	2-9
3.0	STRUCTURE	3-1
3.1	WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE	3-1
3.2	ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES	3-1
4.0	LOGIC AND SCHEDULES	4-1
4.1	LOGIC	4-1
4.2	SCHEDULES	4-1
5.0	RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS	5-1
5.1	COST	5-1
5.1.1	Basis of Cost Estimates	5-1
5.1.2	Total Program Cost by Year by Program Element	5-1
5.1.3	FY 1995 Cost by Month by Program Element	5-8
5.2	STAFFING	5-8
5.2.1	Basis of Staffing Projections	5-8
5.2.2	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Program Element by Major Participant through 2000	5-8
5.3	WORK SCOPE CARRYOVER	5-8
6.0	WASTE TYPE DATA	6-1

CONTENTS (continued)

7.0 PROGRAM ELEMENT BASELINES	7-1
7.1 INTRODUCTION	7-1
7.2 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION BASELINE	7.2-1
7.2.1 Technical Bases	7.2-1
7.2.1.1 Mission Strategy	7.2-1
7.2.1.1.1 Objectives	7.2-1
7.2.1.1.2 Strategy	7.2-1
7.2.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements	7.2-1
7.2.1.1.4 Risks	7.2-2
7.2.1.1.5 Major Decisions	7.2-2
7.2.1.1.6 Assumptions	7.2-3
7.2.1.1.7 Constraints	7.2-3
7.2.1.2 Structure	7.2-3
7.2.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure	7.2-4
7.2.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility	7.2-4
7.2.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed	7.2-5
7.2.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed	7.2-7
7.2.1.5 Approach	7.2-8
7.2.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables	7.2-9
7.2.2 Schedule	7.2-10
7.2.2.1 Program Management and Administration Summary Schedule	7.2-10
7.2.2.2 Program Management and Administration Expanded Baseline (FY 1995-FY 1997)	7.2-10
7.2.3 Resource Requirements	7.2-10
7.2.3.1 Cost	7.2-10
7.2.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates	7.2-10
7.2.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account)	7.2-11
7.2.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.2-11
7.2.3.2 Staffing	7.2-11
7.2.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections	7.2-11
7.2.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)	7.2-11
7.2.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.2-11
7.2.3.3 Work Scope Carryover	7.2-11
7.2.4 Waste Type Data	7.2-12
7.2.5 Revisions	7.2-12

CONTENTS (continued)

7.3	TANK FARM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE BASELINE	7.3-1
7.3.1	Technical Bases	7.3-1
7.3.1.1	Mission Strategy	7.3-1
7.3.1.1.1	Objectives	7.3-1
7.3.1.1.2	Strategy	7.3-1
7.3.1.1.3	Functions and Requirements	7.3-2
7.3.1.1.4	Risks	7.3-3
7.3.1.1.5	Major Decisions	7.3-4
7.3.1.1.6	Assumptions	7.3-4
7.3.1.1.7	Constraints	7.3-5
7.3.1.2	Structure	7.3-6
7.3.1.2.1	Work Breakdown Structure	7.3-6
7.3.1.2.2	Organization and Responsibility	7.3-6
7.3.1.3	FY 1995 Work to be Performed	7.3-6
7.3.1.4	FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed	7.3-11
7.3.1.5	Approach	7.3-15
7.3.1.6	Drivers and Deliverables	7.3-17
7.3.2	Schedule	7.3-30
7.3.2.1	Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance Summary Schedule	7.3-30
7.3.2.2	Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance Expanded Baseline (FY 1995-FY 1997)	7.3-30
7.3.3	Resource Requirements	7.3-30
7.3.3.1	Cost	7.3-30
7.3.3.1.1	Basis of Cost Estimates	7.3-30
7.3.3.1.2	Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account)	7.3-30
7.3.3.1.3	FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.3-31
7.3.3.2	Staffing	7.3-31
7.3.3.2.1	Basis of Staffing Projections	7.3-31
7.3.3.2.2	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)	7.3-31
7.3.3.2.3	FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.3-31
7.3.3.3	Work Scope Carryover	7.3-31
7.3.4	Waste Type Data	7.3-32
7.3.5	Revisions	7.3-32

CONTENTS (continued)

7.4 WASTE TANK SAFETY BASELINE	7.4-1
7.4.1 Technical Bases	7.4-1
7.4.1.1 Mission Strategy	7.4-1
7.4.1.1.1 Objectives	7.4-2
7.4.1.1.2 Strategy	7.4-2
7.4.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements	7.4-2
7.4.1.1.4 Risks	7.4-3
7.4.1.1.5 Major Decisions	7.4-5
7.4.1.1.6 Assumptions	7.4-5
7.4.1.1.7 Constraints	7.4-8
7.4.1.2 Structure	7.4-8
7.4.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure	7.4-8
7.4.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility	7.4-8
7.4.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed	7.4-10
7.4.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed	7.4-10
7.4.1.5 Approach	7.4-10
7.4.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables	7.4-11
7.4.2 Schedule	7.4-12
7.4.2.1 Waste Tank Safety Summary Schedule	7.4-12
7.4.2.2 Waste Tank Safety Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)	7.4-12
7.4.3 Resource Requirements	7.4-12
7.4.3.1 Cost	7.4-12
7.4.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates	7.4-13
7.4.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account)	7.4-13
7.4.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.4-13
7.4.3.2 Staffing	7.4-13
7.4.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections	7.4-13
7.4.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)	7.4-14
7.4.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.4-14
7.4.3.3 Work Scope Carryover	7.4-14
7.4.4 Waste Type Data	7.4-14
7.4.5 Revisions	7.4-14

CONTENTS (continued)

7.5 TANK FARM UPGRADES BASELINE	7.5-1
7.5.1 Technical Bases	7.5-1
7.5.1.1 Mission Strategy	7.5-1
7.5.1.1.1 Objectives	7.5-1
7.5.1.1.2 Strategy	7.5-2
7.5.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements	7.5-7
7.5.1.1.4 Risks	7.5-9
7.5.1.1.5 Major Decisions	7.5-10
7.5.1.1.6 Assumptions	7.5-11
7.5.1.1.7 Constraints	7.5-16
7.5.1.2 Structure	7.5-19
7.5.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure	7.5-19
7.5.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility	7.5-20
7.5.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed	7.5-20
7.5.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed	7.5-28
7.5.1.5 Approach	7.5-33
7.5.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables	7.5-37
7.5.2 Schedule	7.5-41
7.5.2.1 Tank Farms Upgrades Summary Schedule	7.5-41
7.5.2.2 Tank Farms Upgrades Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)	7.5-42
7.5.3 Resource Requirements	7.5-42
7.5.3.1 Cost	7.5-42
7.5.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates	7.5-42
7.5.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account)	7.5-42
7.5.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.5-43
7.5.3.2 Staffing	7.5-43
7.5.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections	7.5-43
7.5.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)	7.5-43
7.5.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.5-43
7.5.3.3 Work Scope Carryover	7.5-43
7.5.4 Waste Type Data	7.5-44
7.5.5 Revisions	7.5-44

CONTENTS (continued)

7.6 CHARACTERIZATION BASELINE	7.6-1
7.6.1 Technical Bases	7.6-1
7.6.1.1 Mission Strategy	7.6-1
7.6.1.1.1 Objectives	7.6-1
7.6.1.1.2 Strategy	7.6-1
7.6.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements	7.6-1
7.6.1.1.4 Risks	7.6-2
7.6.1.1.5 Major Decisions	7.6-2
7.6.1.1.6 Assumptions	7.6-2
7.6.1.1.7 Constraints	7.6-3
7.6.1.2 Structure	7.6-3
7.6.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure	7.6-3
7.6.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility	7.6-3
7.6.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed	7.6-4
7.6.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed	7.6-10
7.6.1.5 Approach	7.6-12
7.6.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables	7.6-17
7.6.2 Schedule	7.6-20
7.6.2.1 Characterization Summary Schedule	7.6-20
7.6.2.2 Characterization Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)	7.6-21
7.6.3 Resource Requirements	7.6-21
7.6.3.1 Cost	7.6-21
7.6.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates	7.6-21
7.6.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account)	7.6-21
7.6.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.6-21
7.6.3.2 Staffing	7.6-21
7.6.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections	7.6-21
7.6.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)	7.6-22
7.6.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.6-22
7.6.3.3 Work Scope Carryover	7.6-22
7.6.4 Waste Type Data	7.6-23
7.6.5 Revisions	7.6-24

CONTENTS (continued)

7.7 WASTE RETRIEVAL BASELINE	7.7-1
7.7.1 Technical Bases	7.7-1
7.7.1.1 Mission Strategy	7.7-1
7.7.1.1.1 Objectives	7.7-1
7.7.1.1.2 Strategy	7.7-1
7.7.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements	7.7-1
7.7.1.1.4 Risks	7.7-2
7.7.1.1.5 Major Decisions	7.7-2
7.7.1.1.6 Assumptions	7.7-3
7.7.1.1.7 Constraints	7.7-3
7.7.1.2 Structure	7.7-3
7.7.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure	7.7-3
7.7.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility	7.7-4
7.7.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed	7.7-4
7.7.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed	7.7-8
7.7.1.5 Approach	7.7-12
7.7.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables	7.7-13
7.7.2 Schedule	7.7-13
7.7.2.1 Waste Retrieval Summary Schedule	7.7-13
7.7.2.2 Waste Retrieval Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)	7.7-13
7.7.3 Resource Requirements	7.7-13
7.7.3.1 Cost	7.7-13
7.7.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates	7.7-13
7.7.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account)	7.7-13
7.7.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.7-13
7.7.3.2 Staffing	7.7-14
7.7.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections	7.7-14
7.7.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)	7.7-14
7.7.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.7-14
7.7.3.3 Work Scope Carryover	7.7-14
7.7.4 Waste Type Data	7.7-15
7.7.5 Revisions	7.7-15

CONTENTS (continued)

7.8 WASTE PRETREATMENT BASELINE	7.8-1
7.8.1 Technical Bases	7.8-1
7.8.1.1 Mission Strategy	7.8-1
7.8.1.1.1 Objectives	7.8-1
7.8.1.1.2 Strategy	7.8-1
7.8.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements	7.8-4
7.8.1.1.4 Risks	7.8-4
7.8.1.1.5 Major Decisions	7.8-7
7.8.1.1.6 Assumptions	7.8-9
7.8.1.1.7 Constraints	7.8-11
7.8.1.2 Structure	7.8-11
7.8.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure	7.8-11
7.8.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility	7.8-11
7.8.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed	7.8-13
7.8.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed	7.8-15
7.8.1.5 Approach	7.8-18
7.8.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables	7.8-19
7.8.2 Schedule	7.8-22
7.8.2.1 Waste Pretreatment Summary Schedule	7.8-22
7.8.2.2 Waste Pretreatment Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)	7.8-22
7.8.3 Resource Requirements	7.8-22
7.8.3.1 Cost	7.8-22
7.8.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates	7.8-22
7.8.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account)	7.8-23
7.8.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.8-23
7.8.3.2 Staffing	7.8-23
7.8.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections	7.8-23
7.8.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)	7.8-23
7.8.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.8-24
7.8.3.3 Work Scope Carryover	7.8-24
7.8.4 Waste Type Data	7.8-25
7.8.5 Revisions	7.8-26

CONTENTS (continued)

7.9 LOW-LEVEL WASTE BASELINE	7.9-1
7.9.1 Technical Bases	7.9-1
7.9.1.1 Mission Strategy	7.9-1
7.9.1.1.1 Objectives	7.9-1
7.9.1.1.2 Strategy	7.9-1
7.9.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements	7.9-2
7.9.1.1.4 Risks	7.9-3
7.9.1.1.5 Major Decisions	7.9-4
7.9.1.1.6 Assumptions	7.9-5
7.9.1.1.7 Constraints	7.9-7
7.9.1.2 Structure	7.9-7
7.9.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure	7.9-8
7.9.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility	7.9-8
7.9.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed	7.9-9
7.9.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed	7.9-15
7.9.1.5 Approach	7.9-19
7.9.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables	7.9-21
7.9.2 Schedule	7.9-22
7.9.2.1 Low-Level Waste Summary Schedule	7.9-22
7.9.2.2 Low-Level Waste Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)	7.9-22
7.9.3 Resource Requirements	7.9-22
7.9.3.1 Cost	7.9-22
7.9.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates	7.9-22
7.9.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account)	7.9-23
7.9.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.9-23
7.9.3.2 Staffing	7.9-23
7.9.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections	7.9-23
7.9.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)	7.9-23
7.9.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.9-23
7.9.3.3 Work Scope Carryover	7.9-24
7.9.4 Waste Type Data	7.9-24
7.9.5 Revisions	7.9-24

CONTENTS (continued)

7.10 HIGH-LEVEL WASTE BASELINE	7.10-1
7.10.1 Technical Bases	7.10-1
7.10.1.1 Mission Strategy	7.10-1
7.10.1.1.1 Objectives	7.10-1
7.10.1.1.2 Strategy	7.10-1
7.10.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements	7.10-1
7.10.1.1.4 Risks	7.10-2
7.10.1.1.5 Major Decisions	7.10-3
7.10.1.1.6 Assumptions	7.10-7
7.10.1.1.7 Constraints	7.10-8
7.10.1.2 Structure	7.10-8
7.10.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure	7.10-8
7.10.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility	7.10-8
7.10.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed	7.10-9
7.10.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed	7.10-10
7.10.1.5 Approach	7.10-10
7.10.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables	7.10-11
7.10.2 Schedule	7.10-12
7.10.2.1 High-Level Waste Summary Schedule	7.10-12
7.10.2.2 High-Level Waste Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)	7.10-12
7.10.3 Resource Requirements	7.10-12
7.10.3.1 Cost	7.10-12
7.10.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates	7.10-12
7.10.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account)	7.10-13
7.10.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.10-13
7.10.3.2 Staffing	7.10-13
7.10.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections	7.10-13
7.10.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)	7.10-13
7.10.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.10-13
7.10.3.3 Work Scope Carryover	7.10-13
7.10.4 Waste Type Data	7.10-14
7.10.5 Revisions	7.10-14
8.0 REVISIONS	8-1
9.0 REFERENCES	9-1

CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDIXES	VOLUME
A WBS INDEX AND DICTIONARY	2 & 3
B RESPONSIBILITY ASSIGNMENT MATRIX	3
C PROGRAM ELEMENT SCHEDULES	3
D MILESTONE LOG AND DESCRIPTION SHEETS	4 & 5
E BASES OF ESTIMATE	5
F MAJOR DECISIONS LOGIC/SCHEDULE	5
G INTEGRATED OPERATIONS SCHEDULE	5
H WASTE TYPE DATA	5
I PROGRAM MASTER BASELINE SCHEDULE	5

This page intentionally left blank.

LIST OF FIGURES

2-1	Tank Waste Remediation System Program Logic	2-2
2-2	Tank Waste Remediation System Program Summary Work Breakdown Structure	2-5
7.2-1	Risk of Inadequate Resource Availability in FY 1995	F7.2-1
7.2-2	TWRS Program Management System Document Hierarchy	F7.2-3
7.2-3	Program Management and Administration Work Breakdown Structure	F7.2-4
7.2-4	Program Management and Administration Summary Schedule	F7.2-5
7.2-5	The Baseline Pyramid	F7.2-6
7.3-1	Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance Work Breakdown Structure	F7.3-1
7.3-2	Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance Summary Schedule	F7.3-2
7.4-1	Organization Chart	F7.4-1
7.4-2	Waste Tank Safety Work Breakdown Structure	F7.4-2
7.4-3	Waste Tank Safety Responsibility Assignment Matrix	F7.4-3
7.4-4	Waste Tank Safety Work to be Performed FY 1995 through FY 1997	F7.4-4
7.4-5	Waste Tank Safety Approach	F7.4-5
7.4-6	Waste Tank Safety Summary Schedule	F7.4-6
7.4-7	Waste Tank Safety Cost	F7.4-7
7.4-8	Waste Tank Safety Staffing	F7.4-8
7.5-1	Tank Farm Upgrades Work Breakdown Structure	F7.5-1
7.5-2	Tank Farm Upgrades Summary Schedule	F7.5-3
7.6-1	Characterization Work Breakdown Structure	F7.6-1
7.6-2	Characterization Summary Schedule	F7.6-2
7.7-1	Waste Retrieval Work Breakdown Structure	F7.7-1
7.7-2	Waste Retrieval Summary Schedule	F7.7-3
7.8-1	TWRS Function Tree	F7.8-1

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

7.8-2 Waste Pretreatment Work Breakdown Structure	F7.8-2
7.8-3 Waste Pretreatment Summary Schedule	F7.8-4
7.9-1 Low-Level Waste Work Breakdown Structure	F7.9-1
7.9-2 Low-Level Waste Summary Schedule	F7.9-3
7.10-1 High-Level Waste Work Breakdown Structure	F7.10-1
7.10-2 High-Level Waste Summary Schedule	F7.10-2

LIST OF TABLES

2-1	Tri-Party Agreement Major Milestones for the Tank Waste Remediation System Program	2-2
2-2	Top-Level Requirements Defining Tank Waste Remediation System Technical Scope	2-5
4-1	Tank Waste Remediation System Summary Schedule	4-3
5-1	Total Program Cost by Year by Program Element (\$K)	5-2
5-2	FY 1995 Cost by Month by Program Element	5-9
5-3	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Program Element by Major Participant through FY 2000	5-10
5-4	Tank Waste Remediation System Work Scope Carryover	5-11
7.2-1	Total Program Element Cost Baseline by Year	7.2-1
7.2-2	FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.2-2
7.2-3	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect(Including all Subcontractors)	7.2-3
7.2-4	FY 1995 through 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.2-4
7.3-1	Total Program Element Cost Baseline by Year	7.3-1
7.3-2	FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.3-2
7.3-3	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)	7.3-3
7.3-4	FY 1995 through 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.3-4
7.4-1	Total Program Element Cost Baseline by Year	7.4-1
7.4-2	FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.4-2
7.4-3	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)	7.4-3
7.4-4	FY 1995 through 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.4-4

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

7.5-1	TWRS Organizational Breakdown	7.5-1
7.5-2	FY 1995 - 1997 Deliverables and Milestones for Project W-314	7.5-2
7.5-3	Total Program Element Cost Baseline by Year	7.5-3
7.5-4	FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.5-4
7.5-5	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)	7.5-5
7.5-6	FY 1995 through 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.5-6
7.6-1	Total Program Element Cost Baseline by Year	7.6-1
7.6-2	FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.6-2
7.6-3	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)	7.6-3
7.6-4	FY 1995 through 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.6-4
7.7-1	Total Program Element Cost Baseline by Year	7.7-1
7.7-2	FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.7-2
7.7-3	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)	7.7-3
7.7-4	FY 1995 through 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.7-4
7.8-1	Total Program Element Cost Baseline by Year	7.8-1
7.8-2	FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.8-2
7.8-3	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)	7.8-3
7.8-4	FY 1995 through 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.8-4
7.9-1	Total Program Element Cost Baseline by Year	7.9-1
7.9-2	FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.9-2

LIST OF TABLE (continued)

7.9-3	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)	7.9-3
7.9-4	FY 1995 through 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.9-4
7.10-1	Total Program Element Cost Baseline by Year	7.10-1
7.10-2	FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account	7.10-2
7.10-3	Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)	7.10-3
7.10-4	FY 1995 through 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories	7.10-4

This page intentionally left blank.

LIST OF TERMS

A/E	Architect/Engineer
ALARA	As Low As Reasonably Achievable
ASME	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
AWF	Aging Waste Facility
BACT	Best Available Control Technology
BARCT	Best Available Radionuclide Control Technology
BNFL	British Nuclear Fuels, Ltd.
BOA	Basic Ordering Agreement
CASS	Computer Automated Surveillance System
CBRS	Component Based Recall System
CDR	Conceptual Design Report
CENTRC	Capital Equipment Not Related to Construction
CM	Corrective Maintenance
CPAF	Cost Plus Award Fee
CST	Cesium Resin Technology
CWC	Central Waste Complex
D&D	Decontamination and Decommissioning
DCRT	Double-Contained Receiver Tank
DNFSB	Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOE-HQ	U.S. Department of Energy-Headquarters
DQO	Data Quality Objective
DRD	Design Requirement Document
DST	Double-Shell Tank
DWPF	Defense Waste Processing Facility
EA	Environmental Assessment
EAC	Estimate at Completion
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EM	Environmental Management
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ER	Environmental Restoration
ERMC	Environmental Restoration and Management Contract
ESPIP	Efficient Separations Processing Integrated Program
ETFO	East Tank Farm Operations
ETP	Engineering Task Plan
F&OR	Functions and Operational Requirement
F&R	Functions and Requirement
FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
FSAR	Final Safety Analysis Report
FTE	Full-Time Equivalent
FY	Fiscal Year
GAO	General Accounting Office
GPP	General Plant Project
HLW	High-Level Waste
HPT	Health Physics Technician

LIST OF TERMS (continued)

HTCE	Historical Tank Contents Estimate
HVAC	Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning
I&C	Instrumentation and Control
ICF KH	ICF Kaiser Hanford
ICP	Ion Coupled Plasma
ILLW	Immobilized Low-Level Waste
INEL	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
IOSR	Interim Operational Safety Requirements
IPM	Initial Pretreatment Module
ISB	Interim Safety Basis
ISEL	Interim Safety Equipment List
IX	ion exchange
JMN	Justification of Mission Need
LDUA	Light Duty Utility Arm
LERF	Liquid Effluent Retention Facility
LETF	Liquid Effluent Treatment Facility
LIMS	Laboratory Information Management System
LLCE	Long-Length Contaminated Equipment
LLMW	Low-Level Mixed Waste
LLW	Low-Level Waste
LOI	Letter of Instruction
LOW	Liquid Observation Well
M&O	Management and Operating
MCS	Management Control System
MPS	Multi-Purpose Canister
MSA	Major System Acquisition
MWTF	Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility
MYWP	Multi-Year Program Plan
NCAW	Neutralized Current Acid Waste
NCR	Non-Conformance Report
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NOAS	Noxious Odor Advisory System
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
O&M	Operations and Maintenance
OCRWM	Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
OSD	Operating Specification Document
OSR	Operating Safety Requirement
OTP	Operational Test Procedure
PA	Performance Assessment
PMP	Project Management Plan
PNL	Pacific Northwest Laboratory
PSAR	Preliminary Safety Analysis Report
PSE	Preliminary Safety Evaluation
QA	Quality Assurance
QC	Quality Control
RAM	Responsibility Assignment Matrix

LIST OF TERMS (continued)

RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RD&D	Research, Development, and Demonstration
RL	U.S. Department of Energy-Richland Operations Office
RMW	Radioactive Mixed Waste
ROD	Record of Decision
SACS	Surveillance Analysis Computer System
SDD	System Design System
S/RID	Standards/Requirements Identification Document
SSC	Structures, Systems, and Components
SST	Single-Shell Tank
TCAP	Tank Characterization Advisory Panel
TCD	Tank Characterization Database
TCR	Tank Characterization Report
TDPO	Technology Development Program Office
TEC	Total Estimated Cost
TMACS	Tank Monitoring and Control System
TPC	Total Project Cost
Tri-Party Agreement	<i>Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order</i>
TRU	Transuranic
TSD	Treatment, Storage, and Disposal
TWAP	Tank Waste Analysis Plan
TWRS	Tank Waste Remediation System
USQ	Unreviewed Safety Question
USTID	Underground Storage Tank Integrated Demonstration
UT	Ultrasonic Testing
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WBS	Work Breakdown Structure
WHC	Westinghouse Hanford Company
WR	Waste Retrieval
WTFO	West Tank Farm Operations
WTSP	Waste Tank Safety Program
WVR	Waste Volume Reduction

This page intentionally left blank.

1.0 VISION AND MISSION

The Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) Program is described by two elements, the Program Vision and the Program Mission. The Program Vision defines the desired end state of the Program along with its primary policies. The Program Mission is a statement of the Program's purpose. The vision and mission combine to provide the envelope within which the Program Strategy is developed.

1.1 VISION

The TWRS Program Vision represents the desired end state for the remediation of tank wastes, as expressed by the stakeholders. The TWRS Program Vision is to clean up the Hanford tank wastes by the year 2028 and complete shipment of waste capsules to an offsite geologic repository by the year 2040. At the end of the Program, the TWRS facilities and systems will be ready for turnover to the agency responsible for the decommissioning, decontamination, and disposition processes. This vision will be achieved through the safe retrieval, treatment, and immobilization of the wastes in the tanks and the eventual disposal of the high-level wastes in a geologic repository.

1.2 MISSION

The TWRS Program Mission represents the stakeholders' desires for implementing the TWRS Program Vision. The mission of the TWRS Program is to store, treat, and immobilize the highly radioactive Hanford Site waste in a safe, environmentally sound, and cost-effective manner. Highly radioactive Hanford waste is defined as current and future tank waste and the cesium/strontium capsules.

To achieve this mission the TWRS Program will be dedicated to the minimization of radiological impacts to onsite and offsite personnel and the environment and the safe operation of the Site and TWRS facilities. The Program will be managed to minimize or eliminate the inherent risks by providing a safer work place, improve the management system so the Program is under managerial and financial control, become more outcome oriented, and develop a stronger partnership with all Program interested parties (e.g., U. S. Department of Energy [DOE], regulatory agencies, and other stakeholders).

This page intentionally left blank.

2.0 MISSION STRATEGY

The proposed process flow for the TWRS Program is depicted on Figure 2-1. This proposed flow forms the basis for the Program Mission Strategy. This section describes the connection of the various elements that make up the Mission Strategy: objectives, strategy, functions and requirements, risks, decisions, assumptions, and constraints.

The Mission Strategy is designed to integrate the major Program Objectives into a cohesive whole to ensure that these objectives are reached in the most cost-effective manner. The Program Objectives provide the framework for the Program Strategy, which in turn provides sufficient detail to ensure that all the Program's Functions have been accounted for and that the associated requirements have been considered and met.

Program-wide integration and planning have identified a number of Program uncertainties. To reduce or eliminate these uncertainties, certain Program decisions must be made. To make these decisions requires making some assumptions. Assumptions cover areas where there is presently a lack or shortage of information and provide the bridges necessary to make informed decisions. Program-wide integration has also identified a number of Program constraints. Constraints range from programmatic through the full gamut of technical issues. Typical constraints include funding, technological development, and inherent limits to technical processes.

These preceding subjects are addressed at a summary level in the following subsections. Specific details for each program element are contained in the appropriate subsection of Section 7.

2.1 OBJECTIVES

The seven main objectives of the TWRS Program are listed below.

1. Operate all facilities and store existing and future wastes in a safe and environmentally sound manner.
2. Provide the technologies necessary to achieve the Program's mission in a timely and cost-effective manner.
3. Process the wastes in keeping with established environmental, safety, and budgetary guidelines.
4. Continually improve the Program's involvement with the public.
5. Enhance the Program's management and technical staff capabilities.
6. Develop an effective risk management and decision making process.
7. Ensure continued compliance to all applicable Federal, State, and local regulations.

These Program objectives form the nucleus around which the Program's strategy is developed.

Figure 2-1. Tank Waste Remediation System Program Logic.

79304041.98 Rev. Date 8/17/94

A summary follows of each program element's objectives, compiled from the program element descriptions in Section 7.

1. **Program Management and Administration.** Improve management and control capabilities.
2. **Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance.** Provide safe operations of tanks; establish a final safety bases in fiscal year (FY) 2001; and prepare tanks for closure and turnover.
3. **Waste Tank Safety.** Mitigate and solve waste tank safety issues.
4. **Tank Farm Upgrades.** Provide enhancements for the safe operations of the tanks; replace deficient equipment and instrumentation.
5. **Characterization.** Provide tank waste characterization data in a timely and cost-effective manner.
6. **Waste Retrieval.** Remove wastes from aging single-shell tanks and transfer to double-shell tanks; mobilize sludges in double-shell tanks for in-tank treatment or transfer to treatment facilities; and retrieve wastes from miscellaneous underground tanks.
7. **Waste Pretreatment.** Separate tank wastes into appropriate streams for low-level waste and high-level waste; construct pretreatment facility.
8. **Low-Level Waste.** Vitrify all low-level wastes by the end of 2028; maintain Grout Facility in standby condition.
9. **High-Level Waste.** Vitrify all high-level waste, and store the immobilized waste until shipped to a Federal geologic repository.

2.2 STRATEGY

The TWRS Program strategy is the selected path for achieving the Program's objectives and for converting the Program's functions into reality. The Program's strategy consists of the following three main elements: technical strategy, management strategy, and acquisition strategy. The Program's strategy is consistent with the Secretary of Energy's complex-wide strategy as well as the more focused Hanford Mission Plan. The TWRS Program's strategy is incorporated in Program approaches, policies, and processes, and is reflected in the Program baseline. Figure 2-1 provides a schematic process flow which demonstrates the Program's strategy.

A summary follows of each program element's strategy, compiled from the program element descriptions in Section 7.

1. **Program Management and Administration.** Use systems engineering to define and develop the Program's baseline; take actions to improve the data supporting the Program's baseline.
2. **Operations and Maintenance.** Improve conduct of operations; expand evaporation capability; expedite closure of single-shell tanks; and shut down and turn over all tank farm facilities.

3. **Waste Tank Safety.** Improve the safety mitigation and solution process; accelerate implementation through streamlining the review process; improve the management of the safety issue tanks; and incorporate improved technology development and applied engineering practices.
4. **Tank Farm Upgrades.** Improve the tank farm infrastructure through implementation of planned projects (e.g., W-030, W-058, W-028, and W-188); and improve the technical integration and planning processes.
5. **Characterization.** Establish and implement the Data Quality Objectives process; increase sampling and analytical laboratory capabilities.
6. **Waste Retrieval.** Utilize known technical solutions to the maximum extent possible (e.g., Savannah River mixer pumps); develop and implement new technologies as required.
7. **Waste Pretreatment.** Develop and implement a phased program for the design and construction of pretreatment facilities; minimize the volume of the high-level waste fraction; and maximize the radionuclides inventory of the high-level waste fraction.
8. **Low-Level Waste.** Utilize commercially available melter and other key processing technologies as much as possible; complete the vitrification of most low-level waste within 3 years of the completion of single-shell tank retrieval.
9. **High-Level Waste.** Vitrify the high-level waste; and place the vitrified waste in stainless steel canisters.

2.3 FUNCTIONS AND REQUIREMENTS

The upper-level functions and requirements for the TWRS Program have been defined using a systems engineering approach. This systems engineering approach will continue to be used to provide ever more detailed levels of functions and requirements down to operational activities. A detailed exhibit of the Program's functions and requirements is contained in *Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements* (DOE/RL-92-60).

The Program's functions and requirements are mapped to the Program's work breakdown structure (WBS), thereby providing yet another crosscut of the Program. The TWRS Program Summary WBS is shown on Figure 2-2. The TWRS Program WBS Dictionary is provided in Appendix A.

2.4 RISKS

Several significant risks currently exist in the TWRS Program as a result of program uncertainties. Uncertainties range from technical issues to resource estimates to schedules and include interfaces with other government entities.

Technical uncertainties are typified by the lack of knowledge concerning the tank waste characteristics and the implications that has on safe tank operations as well as the processing of the wastes for immobilization and disposal.

Figure 2-2. Tank Waste Remediation System Program
Summary Work Breakdown Structure.

The primary uncertainties having to do with resource estimates revolve around the lack of present day knowledge on the exact processes and facilities that will be required to accomplish the Program's mission as well as when much of this work will be done. The further out in the future work is done, the more uncertain any estimate of required resources will be.

The schedule uncertainties are really a reflection of the inexactitude of estimates of resources required for future actions. As knowledge of the Program is increased, the preciseness of estimates will improve, which will lead in turn to less uncertainty in the Program's schedules.

Interfaces with other government entities entail uncertainty because these other entities need not consult with the DOE before changing requirements applicable to the TWRS Program. An example of an ongoing interface uncertainty is the Program's dependence on the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission to make a ruling on the waste type classification to be used on any low-level waste generated by the processing of high-level waste.

Some of these uncertainties are pointed up on Figure 2-1 by the large questions marks contained on the figure.

A summary follows of each program element's uncertainties/risks, compiled from the program element descriptions in Section 7.

1. **Program Management and Administration.** Uncertainty as to the TWRS Program baseline documentation requirements, uncertainty associated with estimating resource requirements and developing schedules for presently fuzzily defined activities.
2. **Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance.** Uncertainty as to future demands for tank storage space; uncertainty as to the need for cross site transfer lines.
3. **Waste Tank Safety.** Uncertainty as to the characteristics and composition of the wastes in the tanks, uncertainty of the ability to achieve the level of technical competence required within available funding and schedule constraints.
4. **Tank Farm Upgrades.** Uncertainty as to future usefulness of installed electronic instrumentation and controls; uncertainty as to funds available for implementing upgrade projects; and uncertainties as to the documentation required by the systems engineering process.
5. **Characterization.** Uncertainty as to availability of access to tanks.
6. **Waste Retrieval.** Uncertainty as to ability to resolve tank safety questions sufficiently to allow retrieval; uncertainty as to closure requirements and if a single retrieval system can meet those closure requirements; uncertainty as to ability to complete retrieval of all single-shell tanks by the end of 2018; and uncertainty as to the need to remove technetium.
7. **Waste Pretreatment.** Lack of a Performance Assessment for near-surface disposal of low-level waste; lack of a definitive limit on the amount of Hanford high-level waste, destined for geologic disposal, considered to be "reasonable."

8. **Low-Level Waste.** Uncertainty as to the resource requirements developed in concert with the *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (Tri-Party Agreement) negotiations; uncertainties as to the availability of sufficient funds to implement the necessary actions to comply with Tri-Party Agreement milestones; and uncertainty as to the ability of commercially available melters to meet the program requirements.
9. **High-Level Waste.** Uncertainty as to the adequacy of TWRS Program integration of requirements and constraints; uncertainty as to the specifications for melter feed and repository acceptance.

2.5 MAJOR DECISIONS

Decisions are required to accomplish each step of the Program's strategy, to mitigate each Program uncertainty, and to establish each Program assumption. Some of the Program's major decision points are highlighted on Figure 2-1, and schedule for actions necessary to make all known major decisions is contained in Appendix F.

A summary follows of each program element's major decisions, compiled from the program element descriptions in Section 7.

1. **Program Management and Administration.** Determine disposition of Hanford tank waste (Decision 4.2); completion of the Environmental Impact Statement is required to make Decision 4.2.
2. **Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance.** Determine tank waste management strategy (Decision 4.2.1); determine double-shell storage space required to support TWRS activities (Decision 4.2.1.1.a); determine single-shell tank storage concept (Decision 4.2.1.1.b); determine waste concentration mechanism (Decision 4.2.1.5); determine excess facilities interface requirement (Decision 4.2.3.5); determine recycle/reuse concept (Decision 4.2.3.6)
3. **Waste Tank Safety.** Determine the functional responsibility for miscellaneous underground storage tanks.
4. **Tank Farm Upgrades.** Determine the need and priority for the Tank Farm Upgrades Program; pursue Project W-314 as a single project rather than four separate projects.
5. **Characterization.** Use historical tank waste characterization information where available.
6. **Waste Retrieval.** Determine waste transfer mechanism(s); determine excess facilities interface requirements.
7. **Waste Pretreatment.** Determine waste separations process; select supernate pretreatment facility concept.
8. **Low-Level Waste.** Determine low-level waste form and processes, determine whether immobilized low-level waste can be disposed of in the 200 Areas; determine if the Nuclear Regulatory Commission supports disposal of SST incidental waste onsite; and determine immobilized low-level waste disposal configuration.

9. **High-Level Waste.** Determine acceptability of alternative containers for the Federal geologic repository; determine disposition of cesium and strontium capsules; determine if transuranic (TRU) and high-level wastes can be processed in same facility; determine high-level waste vitrification facility concept; and determine the disposal container configuration.

2.6 ASSUMPTIONS

Assumptions are necessary to bridge gaps in Program knowledge. Assumptions associated with technology, regulations, required resources, and future conditions must be made if any reasonable planning, long-range or short-range, is to be made. The TWRS Program's major assumptions have to do with programmatic and technical knowledge gaps.

The following are TWRS Program major programmatic assumptions.

1. The mission of the TWRS Program will not be appreciably changed.
2. The funding necessary to accomplish the mission will be made available in a timely manner.
3. The safety and health of the public and workers will continue to be the highest priority.

The following are TWRS Program major technical assumptions.

1. The technologies necessary to achieve the Program's mission will be available when needed at a reasonable cost.
2. All tank waste, including the cesium and strontium capsules, will be retrieved for treatment and disposal.
3. The tank waste will be separated into high-level and low-level activity fractions.
4. Glass will be the immobilization medium for both high-level and low-level waste fractions.
5. Tank safety issues will be resolved and not impede the treatment and disposal of the wastes.

A summary follows of each program element's assumptions, compiled from the program element descriptions in Section 7.

1. **Program Management and Administration.** Program Management and Administration will achieve its objectives; the Environmental Impact Statement will be developed within 24 months, as directed by the Secretary of Energy; and the Program's baseline will not be changed as a result of future Management and Operating (M&O) contract negotiations.
2. **Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance.** A fifth shift will be established; on-shift engineers will be provided; interim stabilization of the single-shell tanks will be complete by September 2000; six new double-shell tanks will be constructed; and safety issues will be resolved to allow single-shell pumping.

3. **Waste Tank Safety.** No new major safety issues will be identified that will require a diversion of resources; the structural integrity of the waste tanks will not be found wanting; safety issues will be mitigated or resolved; and the contents of the Watch List tanks will be characterized by September 1995.
4. **Tank Farm Upgrades.** Systems engineering will validate the need for proposed upgrades to the tank farms; the final safety basis of the waste tanks will not appreciably change from that presently defined.
5. **Characterization.** Tank safety concerns will not prevent sampling of the tanks; an adequate number of tank access spots meeting the requirements of the Data Quality Objectives program will be available; and historical information on tank waste contents will provide suitable information on the key analytes.
6. **Waste Retrieval.** Waste retrieval will be required for all single-shell tanks and the identified miscellaneous underground storage tanks; two mixer pumps will successfully mobilize all sludge in each double-shell tank; and sluicing will not provide all the capabilities to retrieve the single-shell tank waste in conformance with the Tri-Party Agreement objectives.
7. **Waste Pretreatment.** Current characterization information is sufficient as a basis for pretreatment planning; sludge washing, leaching, and blending will be adequate to achieve an acceptably low high-level waste volume and at the same time meet high-level waste feed composition requirements.
8. **Low-Level Waste.** Vitrified low-level waste can be disposed of in the 200 area; the Nuclear Regulatory Commission will concur with the U.S. Department of Energy plans for treatment and disposal of the single-shell tank waste; other program elements will proceed on a schedule that supports Low-Level Waste activities; and low-level waste vitrification will be complete by 2022.
9. **High-Level Waste.** The high-level waste vitrification plant will start hot operations in 2009.

2.7 CONSTRAINTS

Major constraints to the TWRS Program include milestones contained in the Tri-Party Agreement; the projected availability of funding; and regulatory requirements. A listing of Tri-Party Agreement milestones is shown on Table 2-1, and a listing of top-level Program requirements is presented in Table 2-2. A more detailed listing and description of Program constraints is contained in *Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements* (DOE/RL-92-60).

A summary follows of each program element's constraints, compiled from the program element descriptions in Section 7.

1. **Program Management and Administration.** Procurement by the U.S. Department of Energy of the Environmental Impact Statement contractor.
2. **Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance.** The Tri-Party Agreement schedule milestones and the present approved funding profile.

3. **Waste Tank Safety.** Evaluation and definition of safety issues.
4. **Tank Farm Upgrades.** The present approved funding profile, acquisition regulations, and lack of sufficient staff to manage or procure work.
5. **Characterization.** Tri-Party Agreement milestones; safety consideration as to accessibility of tanks.
6. **Waste Retrieval.** Tri-Party Agreement milestones; safety considerations as to accessibility of tanks; lack of an overall integrated TWRS physical model.
7. **Waste Pretreatment.** Lack of an established Performance Assessment document; lack of an established limit on the volume of high-level waste to be sent to the Federal geologic repository.
8. **Low-Level Waste.** Regulatory constraints, in particular the 40 *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) 200 series of regulations.
9. **High-Level Waste.** The ability to successfully start up the high-level waste vitrification plant with only limited test data from which to develop a design.

Table 2-1. Tri-Party Agreement Major Milestones for the
Tank Waste Remediation System Program.

Milestone Title/Number	Scheduled Completion Date
Mitigate/resolve tank safety issues / M-40-00	9/2001
Complete single-shell tank interim stabilization / M-41-00	9/2000
Provide additional double-shell tank capacity / M-42-00	12/1998
Complete tank farm upgrades / M-43-00	6/2005
Tank Waste Characterization / M-44-00 - Issue Tank Characterization Reports for all single- and double-shell tanks (177)	9/1999
Complete closure of all single-shell tank farms (M-45-00) - Complete evaluation and demonstration testing of small scale sub-surface barriers - Initiate full-scale demonstration of waste retrieval - Initiate tank waste retrieval from one single-shell tank - Complete waste retrieval from all single-shell tanks	9/2024 9/1997 10/1997 12/2003 9/2018
Double-shell tank space evaluation (M-46-00A)	9/1994 (annually thereafter)
Complete pretreatment processing of Hanford tank waste (M-50-00) - Start construction of Low-Level Waste Pretreatment Facility - Start hot operations of Low-Level Waste Pretreatment Facility - Start hot operation of High-Level Waste Pretreatment Facility	12/2028 11/1998 12/2004 6/2008
Complete vitrification of Hanford high-level tank waste (M-51-00) - Initiate construction of the High-Level Waste Vitrification Facility - Initiate hot operations of the High-Level Waste Vitrification Facility	12/2028 6/2002 12/2009
Complete vitrification of Hanford low-level tank waste (M-60-00) - Select reference melter - Initiate construction of the Low-Level Waste Vitrification Facility - Initiate hot operations of the Low-Level Waste Vitrification Facility	12/2028 6/1996 12/1997 6/2005

<p align="center">Table 2-2 Top-Level Requirements Defining TWRS Technical Scope</p>		
FUNCTION OR INPUT / OUTPUT	REQUIREMENT	SOURCE DOCUMENT
REMEDiate TANK WASTE	"...the exposure of members of the public to radiation sources as a consequence of all routine DOE activities shall not cause, in a year, an effective dose equivalent greater than 100 mrem."	DOE Order 5400.5, Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment, Chapter II, 1.a
REMEDiate TANK WASTE	"...the exposure of members of the public to radioactive materials released to the atmosphere as a consequence of routine DOE activities shall not cause members of the public to receive, in a year, an effective dose equivalent greater than 10 mrem."	DOE Order 5400.5, Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment, Chapter II, 1.b
REMEDiate TANK WASTE	"...provide a level of protection for persons consuming water from a public drinking supply... these systems shall not cause persons consuming the water to receive an effective dose equivalent greater than 4 mrem in a year."	DOE Order 5400.5, Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment, Chapter II, 1.d
REMEDiate TANK WASTE	Federal Facility Compliance Agreement establishing plans, procedures and schedules for CERCLA and RCRA implementation at the Hanford Site.	EPA Docket Number 1089-03-04-120: Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order
REMEDiate TANK WASTE	<p>"The high-level fraction of existing double-shell tank wastes would be disposed of in a geological repository according to the reference alternative (Section 3.3.3.1), with the low-activity fraction being converted to a cementitious grout."</p> <p>"Single-shell tank wastes would continue to be stored until sufficient information is available to support a future decision for geological, in-place stabilization or other disposal method."</p>	<p>52 FR 12449: Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Hanford Defense High-Level, Transuranic and Tank Waste, Hanford Site, Richland Washington, Record of Decision.</p> <p>Section 3.3.5.1 Preferred Alternative for Existing Tank Waste</p>

<p align="center">Table 2-2 Top-Level Requirements Defining TWRS Technical Scope</p>		
FUNCTION OR INPUT / OUTPUT	REQUIREMENT	SOURCE DOCUMENT
Closed Immobilized Low-Level Waste Sites	<p>"DOE low-level waste shall be disposed of on the site at which it is generated, if practical, or if on-site disposal capability is not available, at another DOE facility."</p> <p>"...external exposure to the waste and concentrations of radioactive materials which may be released into surface water, ground water, soil, plants and animals results in an effective dose equivalent that does not exceed 25 mrem/yr to any member of the public."</p> <p>"...the committed effective dose equivalent received by individuals who inadvertently may intrude into the facility after loss of active institutional control (100 years) will not exceed 100 mrem/yr for continuous exposure or 500 mrem for a single acute exposure."</p>	<p>DOE Order 5820.2A, Radioactive Waste Management, Chapter III, 2</p> <p>DOE Order 5820.2A, Radioactive Waste Management, Chapter III, 3.a</p>
Closed Immobilized Low-Level Waste Sites	Immobilization low-level waste shall be accomplished by vitrification.	EPA Docket Number 1089-03-04-120: Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order, milestone M-60-00
Immobilized High-Level Waste	Immobilized High-Level Waste (IHLW) shall be borosilicate glass meeting the acceptance criteria of the mined geological disposal system (MGDS). The candidate MGDS site being investigated is Yucca Mountain, Nevada.	<p>DOE/RW-0351P, Waste Acceptance System Requirements Document</p> <p>Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1987, section 160, as amended</p>
Immobilized TRU Waste	"Transuranic waste shall be certified in compliance with the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant waste Acceptance Criteria (DOE/WIPP-069), placed in interim storage and sent to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant."	DOE Order 5820.2A, Radioactive Waste Management, Chapter II, 2
Overpacked Capsules	"The preferred alternative would provide for storage of the strontium and cesium capsules in water basins until a repository becomes available. The strontium and cesium capsules would be packaged in accordance with repository waste acceptance specifications and shipped to a commercial repository."	<p>52 FR 12449: Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Hanford Defense High-Level, Transuranic and Tank Waste, Hanford Site, Richland Washington, Record of Decision.</p> <p>Section 3.3.5.3 Preferred Alternative for Strontium and Cesium Capsules.</p>

This page intentionally left blank.

3.0 STRUCTURE

The TWRS Program is structured in both a functional and physical configuration. This structure is reflected in the TWRS Program WBS, which is necessary to provide critical tools for planning and communication and enhanced cost performance. This organization of program elements distinguishes between existing and planned facilities, institutional and programmatic interfaces, and technical and administrative requirements. The TWRS Program structure is continually under review and revision based on evolving systems engineering analyses and program requirements. Significant changes in the WBS are anticipated over the 40-year life of this Program.

3.1 WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE

The structure illustrated in Figure 2-2, Tank Waste Remediation System Program Summary Work Breakdown Structure, shows the logical division of program elements (Level IV). This structure also illustrates the top-level Program functions determined through the application of systems engineering.

The WBS for each program element is included in the appropriate subsections of Section 7, Program Element Baselines. Each program element WBS is broken down to the level necessary to provide effective management of the work. The near-term planning window for any given 3-year period is summarized in the program element's WBS at Level VI. Summarization to higher levels of the TWRS Program Summary WBS are provided as required.

3.2 ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Organizational responsibilities are determined for all levels of the TWRS Program WBS. The Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC) responsibilities flow through line management starting at the President Office level. The day-to-day management of the TWRS Program is vested with the WHC TWRS Program Office at Level III of the WBS. TWRS program element managers have responsibility for the management of their work scope at Level IV of the WBS and below. The DOE-Richland Operations Office (RL) responsibilities for oversight of these program areas flow through the RL Site Managers Office to the appropriate line managers or their designated representatives. The RL TWRS Program Office is closely aligned with the WHC TWRS Program Office.

This page intentionally left blank.

4.0 LOGIC AND SCHEDULES

The logical progression of work for the TWRS Program comes from the integration of critical activities within the many program elements and the desired end state of major physical facilities. A program of this size and duration must address numerous requirements and constraints, which occur at many levels. This logical progression of work is then transformed into more detailed plans and schedules.

4.1 LOGIC

The Tri-Party Agreement milestones and TWRS Program functions and requirements are the principal drivers for the Program logic. The TWRS Program Hanford Site Tank Waste Remediation System Technical Strategy (1994) was developed to address these major drivers at the integrated Program level. This technical strategy is illustrated in Figure 2-1. Program element logics were also developed to address these and other activity specific requirements. These program element logics have been incorporated into program element schedules. The program element schedules have been integrated into an overall TWRS Program Master Schedule (refer to Appendix I).

The program element logics used initially are on file for record purposes. However, the logics that represent the baseline in any given program element are now an integral part of the schedule database. Logics and critical path information are drawn exclusively from this database.

4.2 SCHEDULES

The TWRS Program schedule, a critical path method network, reflects the technical strategy contained in the TWRS Program technical strategy and conforms to the constraints contained in the Tri-Party Agreement. The Program's schedule integrates the activities of each of the elements and interfaces between these elements as well as the major interfaces with other Hanford Site programs and external organizations, such as regulatory agencies. The Program's schedule uses the resource information developed by the activity-based estimating efforts to transform the logic into a schedule. The information necessary to construct or reproduce the schedule is contained in an integrated database. Graphical representation of the overall Program is illustrated in the Figure 4-1, Tank Waste Remediation System Program Summary Schedule. More detailed schedules for the program elements are included in Appendix C, Program Element Schedules. The milestones on these schedules are further detailed by the information included in Appendix D, Milestone Log and Milestone Description Sheets.

Two additional schedules are included because of their significance to the overall TWRS Program. The first schedule illustrates the work required to make all known major decisions and is included as Appendix F, Major Decisions Logic/Schedule. This schedule cuts across the total Program to examine planned engineering analyses needed to confirm the strategy alternatives that form the baseline. All activities/milestones on this schedule are already a part of the integrated schedule database and appear on the appropriate program element schedules. The second schedule addresses the integration of tank operations with key program elements such as Waste Tank Safety, Characterization, Tank Farm Upgrades, and Waste Retrieval. This cross-cut schedule is included as Appendix G, Integrated Operations Schedule. This cross-cut schedule illustrates the importance of interactive (i.e., integration) planning among the various program elements.

This page intentionally left blank.

Tank Waste Remediation System Program Summary

Calendar

Figure 4-1.
Tank Waste Remediation System
Program Summary Schedule.

ary Schedule

For Information Only Draft

ar Years

08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40

5.0 RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS

The cost bases (resource requirements) provide a quantification of the resources needed to accomplish the technical bases of the baseline. The cost bases of the TWRS Program were developed using the guidelines and precepts provided in EM-30's Cost and Schedule Estimating Guide and in conjunction with the Program's scheduling efforts.

The TWRS Program's cost estimate is a compilation of the cost estimate made for each activity in the Program's schedule (i.e., activity-based cost estimating). These activities encompass the full scope of the TWRS Program. The cost estimate for each activity is, for the most part, an extension and summation of the basic resource estimates prepared for each activity. Various methodologies were used in the preparation of the estimates, but the methodology chosen was appropriate for each activity dependent on the information available.

The activity resource estimates were prepared by subject matter experts. These experts broke direct manpower requirements down into 88 labor categories through the year 1997. Additional differentiation was supplied in the resultant cost estimates by providing both direct and indirect costs, three categories of funds, four cost types, and inclusion of the WBS as an identifier.

These rough-order-of-magnitude cost estimates are expected to change as systems engineering analyses proceed and more cost-effective alternatives are determined. The TWRS Program life-cycle costs identified in Table 5-1 will be updated annually as MYWP revisions are scheduled.

5.1 COST

5.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates

The TWRS Program cost estimates were developed using the guidelines and precepts provided in EM-30's Cost and Schedule Estimating Guide and in conjunction with the Program's scheduling efforts. The TWRS Program's cost estimate is a compilation of the cost estimates made for each activity in the Program's schedule, consistent with the activity-based cost estimating approach. Summary-level activities were estimated to produce an estimate for the full scope over the life of the Program (i.e., life-cycle costs). More detailed estimates were performed for a greater number of activities in the near-term 3-year planning cycle.

The activity resource estimates were prepared by subject matter experts, who focused on quantities and rates to the extent that these were available. The details of these activity estimates are supported by worksheets and other documentation. The cost estimating methodology and additional discussion regarding bases of estimates are included in Appendix E, Bases of Estimate.

5.1.2 Total Program Cost by Year by Program Element

Table 5-1 establishes the baseline cost for the TWRS Program. The baseline cost is exhibited in annual costs for FY 1994 through FY 2000 and 5-year totals for FY 2001 through completion down to the program element level (Level IV).

Table 5-1. Total Program Cost by Year by Program Element (6 sheets).

WBS	Program Element	FY 94	FY 95	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00
1.1	Tank Waste Remediation Mission Level I Productivity Challenge	655,180	722,823 (62,917) ===== 659,906	786,995 (72,628) ===== 714,367	823,328 (38,032) ===== 785,296	980,618	1,100,959	1,153,534
1.1.1	Tank Waste Remediation System Program Level II Productivity Challenge	655,180	722,823 (62,917) ===== 659,906	786,995 (72,628) ===== 714,367	823,328 (38,032) ===== 785,296	980,618	1,100,959	1,153,534
1.1.1.1	Manage Program Level III	49,318	48,202	46,564	43,640	45,973	46,353	47,786
1.1.1.2	Manage Tank Waste Level III	465,496	530,965	585,580	614,193	681,227	709,044	666,125
1.1.1.3	Process Tank Waste Level III	140,366	129,057	134,695	142,346	253,418	345,562	439,623

Table 5-1. Total Program Cost by Year by Program Element (\$K) (6 sheets).

WBS	Program Element	FY 94	FY 95	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00
1.1.1.1	Manage Program Level III	49,318	48,202	46,564	43,640	45,973	46,353	47,786
1.1.1.1.01	Program Management & Administration Level IV	49,318	48,202	46,564	43,640	45,973	46,353	47,786
1.1.1.2	Manage Tank Waste Level III	465,496	530,965	585,580	614,193	681,227	709,044	666,125
1.1.1.2.01	Tank Farm Operations Level IV	162,070	149,803	158,025	166,765	182,761	187,163	185,240
1.1.1.2.02	Waste Tank Safety Level IV	79,142	82,226	87,102	95,696	75,153	68,136	46,629
1.1.1.2.03	Tank Farm Upgrades Level IV	94,862	154,538	186,131	210,651	258,099	284,346	247,256
1.1.1.2.04	Waste Tank Characterization Level IV	76,084	85,045	94,161	71,550	56,608	55,485	40,862
1.1.1.2.05	Waste Retrieval Level IV	53,338	59,353	60,161	69,531	108,606	113,914	146,138

Table 5-1. Total Program Cost by Year by Program Element (\$K) (6 sheets).

WBS	Program Element	FY 94	FY 95	FY 96	FY 97	FY 98	FY 99	FY 00
1.1.1.3	Process Tank Waste Level III	140,366	129,057	134,695	142,346	253,418	345,562	439,623
1.1.1.3.01	Waste Pretreatment Level IV	56,395	62,490	65,609	74,003	132,298	137,774	123,607
1.1.1.3.02	Low Level Waste Level IV	33,344	49,626	46,218	40,054	81,271	149,087	203,085
1.1.1.3.03	High Level Waste Level IV	50,627	16,941	22,868	28,289	39,849	58,701	112,931
	Program Reserve	0	14,599	20,156	23,149	0	0	0

Table 5-1. Total Program Cost by Year by Program Element (\$K) (6 sheets).

WBS	Program Element	01 / 05	06 / 10	11 / 15	16 / 20	21 / 25	26 / 30	31 / 35	36 / 40
1.1	Tank Waste Remediation Mission Level I	6,374,688	6,123,648	6,098,887	5,328,246	4,459,361	1,893,696	569,690	2,825,790
1.1.1	Tank Waste Remediation System Program Level II	6,374,688	6,123,648	6,098,887	5,328,246	4,459,361	1,893,696	569,690	2,825,790
1.1.1.1	Manage Program Level III	229,828	210,766	193,334	179,145	145,505	98,727	23,691	13,996
1.1.1.2	Manage Tank Waste Level III	2,624,130	2,281,533	2,225,943	1,625,299	851,641	332,429	0	0
1.1.1.3	Process Tank Waste Level III	3,520,730	3,631,349	3,679,610	3,523,802	3,462,215	1,462,540	545,999	2,811,794

Table 5-1. Total Program Cost by Year by Program Element (\$K) (6 sheets).

WBS	Program Element	01 / 05	06 / 10	11 / 15	16 / 20	21 / 25	26 / 30	31 / 35	36 / 40
1.1.1.1	Manage Program Level III	229,828	210,766	193,334	179,145	145,505	98,727	23,691	13,996
1.1.1.1.01	Program Management & Administration Level IV	229,828	210,766	193,334	179,145	145,505	98,727	23,691	13,996
1.1.1.2	Manage Tank Waste Level III	2,627,822	2,275,183	2,174,134	1,509,284	851,641	332,429	0	0
1.1.1.2.01	Tank Farm Operations Level IV	936,805	803,344	714,950	650,257	574,107	325,249	0	0
1.1.1.2.02	Waste Tank Safety Level IV	17,656	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03	Tank Farm Upgrades Level IV	455,995	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04	Waste Tank Characterization Level IV	174,174	167,824	116,015	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05	Waste Retrieval Level IV	1,043,192	1,304,015	1,343,169	859,027	277,534	7,180	0	0

Table 5-1. Total Program Cost by Year by Program Element (\$K) (6 sheets).

WBS	Program Element	01 / 05	06 / 10	11 / 15	16 / 20	21 / 25	26 / 30	31 / 35	36 / 40
1.1.1.3	Process Tank Waste Level III	3,520,730	3,631,349	3,679,610	3,523,802	3,462,215	1,462,540	545,999	2,811,794
1.1.1.3.01	Waste Pretreatment Level IV	844,762	812,200	717,895	706,711	79,199	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02	Low Level Waste Level IV	1,006,873	1,435,713	1,456,661	1,327,213	1,908,283	33,254	0	0
1.1.1.3.03	High Level Waste Level IV	1,669,095	1,383,436	1,505,054	1,489,878	1,474,733	1,429,286	545,999	2,811,794
	Program Reserve	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

5.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Program Element

Future updates of the MYWP will include Table 5-2, which will establish the month-by-month cost baseline for the current fiscal year.

5.2 STAFFING

5.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections

Manpower projections are determined by compiling the labor resource requirements at the lowest level of planned activities, converting the manhours into Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), and summarizing the information at the appropriate level of the TWRS Program WBS. An FTE is equivalent to 1,812 hours in any given fiscal year. The labor manhour estimates are included in the Estimating Work Sheets supporting each Activity Planning Form. Near-term plans divide the labor into 88 distinct categories. Intermediate planning groups labor into nine categories, and the long-range planning identifies three categories. Manpower projections are also summarized by major Program participants. The planning of labor resources is reviewed and refined with each annual revision of the TWRS MYWP.

The labor resource projections are first summarized at Level VI of the TWRS WBS. Collection at this level supports the preparation and review of the performance measurement baseline at the Cost Account level. The information is further summarized to Level IV, which is the TWRS program element level. The summary at this level supports the annual preparation and submission of the Activity Data Sheets. These labor resources are contained in the Primavera¹ schedule/resource database.

5.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Program Element by Major Participant through FY 2000

Future updates of the MYWP will include Table 5-3, which exhibits total FTEs by Year by Program Element by Major Participant through 2000.

5.3 WORK SCOPE CARRYOVER

The TWRS work scope carryover is identified on Table 5-4 by each program element. The work scope is broken into two parts. The first part is the carryover amounts that were identified at Mid-Year and includes approximately \$10M worth of work scope that was formally deferred into FY 1995 as part of the Mid-Year Review. The second part of the carryover incorporates any changes to the original estimates and identifies any additional items that may be carried into FY 1995. These carryover amounts are best estimates at this time and will be reevaluated for accuracy and validity at the start of FY 1995.

¹Primavera is a registered trademark of Primavera Systems, Inc., Bala Cynwyd, PA.

Table 5-2. FY 1995 Cost by Month by Program Element

Future updates of the MYWP will include Table 5-2, which will establish the month-by-month cost baseline for the current fiscal year.

Table 5-3. Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Program Element
by Major Participant through FY 2000

Future updates of the MYWP will include Table 5-3, which exhibits total
FTEs by Year by Program Element by Major Participant through 2000.

Table 5-4. Tank Waste Remediation System Work Scope Carryover (3 sheets).

<u>Program Element</u>	<u>Task</u>	Mid-Year Review Work Scope <u>Carryover</u>	<u>▲</u>	<u>Total Carryover</u>
Waste Tank Safety	SY-101 Spark-Resistant fan	.3	--	.3
	FeCN T/C Tree Installation	.8	--	.8
	Organic T/C Tree Install	.5	--	.5
	107-AN Hydroxide	.6	--	.6
	FeCN Studies	.4	--	.4
	Core Sample Data Interpretation	.4	--	.4
Tank Farm Upgrades	CR MCC Replacement	.1	--	.1
	Change Trailer mod	.1	--	.1
	Contaminated Zone Reduction	.3	--	.3
	Design Basis Reconstitution	.1	--	.1
	701-A MCC	.2	--	.2
	701-A Compressor	.3	--	.3
	242-S Evaporator Air Wash	.3	--	.3
Characterization	12 to 16 of 24 core samples/analyses	4.6	1.5	6.1
	Deployment of 3rd & 4th RMCS System	1.0	--	1.0

Table 5-4. Tank Waste Remediation System Work Scope Carryover (3 sheets).

<u>Program Element</u>	<u>Task</u>	Mid-Year Review Work Scope <u>Carryover</u>	<u>▲</u>	<u>Total Carryover</u>
Characterization (Cont'd)	325-A Hot Cell	.5	--	.5
	Sample performance evaluation (PNL)	.7	--	.7
Waste Retrieval	SST Retrieval Tech Proj Def.	.3	--	.3
	Long Reach Arm Project Conceptual Design	.6	--	.6
	Subsurface barrier procurement/construction	1.5	--	1.5
	W-320-106C Sluicing	1.0	--	1.0
	W-211 DST Tank Retrieval System	.2	--	.2
	W-211 DST Uniformity/Slurry Mobilization Test	.3	--	.3
	SST Test & Training Facility Requirements	.4	--	.4
Waste Pretreatment	AZ-101 decontamination pump	.1	--	.1
	Calcine Dissolution Vendor	.4	--	.4
	Transportation Study - Sandia	.2	--	.2
	325 Lab Shutdown	.5	--	.5
	LANL Organic Support	.5	--	.5

Table 5-4. Tank Waste Remediation System Work Scope Carryover (3 sheets).

<u>Program Element</u>	<u>Task</u>	Mid-Year Review Work Scope <u>Carryover</u>	<u>Δ</u>	<u>Total Carryover</u>
Low-Level Waste	Melter testing & simulant procurement for melter selec. and misc. support	4.8	(.8)	4.0
	Research Glass Quality	.2	--	.2
	TDPO Melter Support	.4	.1	.5
Tank Farm Operations and Management	Lab Analysis Task	.3	--	.3
	UT testing	.2	--	.2
	S-Farm	.3	--	.3
	Vent & Balance	.5	--	.5
	LDUA	.2	--	.2
Program Management and Administration	Program Management - Review of the General Management System documents and supporting Data.	--	.2	.2
	QA - Review of the documents associated w/ the Quality Management Plan, Management System Description and Annexes.	--	.2	.2
	PNL - System engineering decision analysis support to prioritize technology development activities.	--	.2	.2
High Level Waste	Stirred Melter/Pilot Scale Melter Testing & Rheology	--	<u>2.4</u>	<u>2.4</u>
TOTAL		<u>24.1</u>	<u>3.8</u>	<u>27.9</u>

This page intentionally left blank.

6.0 WASTE TYPE DATA

Data on the different waste types encountered on the TWRS Program are collected and summarized to fulfill the Hanford Site requirement for long-range strategic planning. The data include the projected quantities of different waste types (e.g., liquids, solids, and soils), which are contaminated and uncontaminated, and are categorized with regard to level of radioactivity. Categories such as high-level waste, low-level waste, transuranic waste, and others are important in determining plans for dealing with the projected volumes and/or masses. The waste type data are collected by program element by year for the 3-year planning window and then in total for FY 1998 through 2025. These data identify key functions required to generate, receive, transfer, and store the different waste types. Plans for performing these functions are also summarized in conjunction with the projections. Issues regarding any aspect of these principal waste functions are identified for resolution.

The waste projection data and associated planning activities are prepared by each program element on standard forms used by all Hanford Site programs. The waste type data from each program element are summarized for the TWRS Program in Appendix H, Waste Type Data. These data are expected to change significantly from one planning cycle to another until an integrated process flow diagram has been defined and approved as a baseline [TWRS Program Process Flow Diagram(s)] In the meantime, the function of maintaining a strict accountability of the critical waste types on hand is carried out by the Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance Program Element. The tank waste data are officially reported in the *Tank Farm Surveillance and Waste Status Summary Report for (Month) 1994* (WHC-EP-0182-XX).

This page intentionally left blank.

7.0 PROGRAM ELEMENT BASELINES

7.1 INTRODUCTION

This section of the MYWP documents the multi-year baseline for each of the nine program elements that comprise the TWRs Program. Strategy, structure, work to be performed, approach, drivers and deliverables, schedule, resource requirements, waste type data, and baseline revisions are addressed. In some cases, baseline information is provided in the section using text, graphics, and tables as appropriate. In other cases, information is provided through a reference to one of the appendices to the MYWP or to a separate document. The program element sections are organized with graphics and tables appearing at the end of the baseline narrative.

This page intentionally left blank.

7.2 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION BASELINE

The Program Management and Administration (PM&A) Program Element baseline consists of the technical bases, schedule, resource requirements, and waste type data for FY 1995 through completion of the mission. The major emphasis is on deliverables, schedule, and resource requirements for FY 1995, 1996, and 1997.

7.2.1 Technical Bases

This section provides the mission strategy; work breakdown structure and organization responsibility; work to be performed in FY 1995, 1996 and 1997; technical approach; and drivers and deliverables.

7.2.1.1 Mission Strategy

7.2.1.1.1 Objectives

The overall objectives of the PM&A Program Element are to continue to improve and deploy TWRS baselining and management control capabilities and effectively manage compliance with environmental requirements and, in so doing, improve Program credibility. More specific objectives are documented in the Level IV, V, and VI WBS dictionary sheets found in Appendix A.

7.2.1.1.2 Strategy

The above objectives will be met through the use of a robust systems engineering effort. Systems engineering will be coupled with an effort to develop and gain approval of an initial multi-year program baseline. Actions will be identified and taken to move toward more disciplined baseline management. Actions will be identified and taken to continually improve the data that support the multi-year baseline. Formal change control will be used to revise the baseline to take advantage of improved baseline support data. The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process will be utilized to formally make and document important decisions associated with processing and dispositioning Hanford tank waste.

7.2.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements

The PM&A Program Element provides the resources necessary to produce and maintain the *Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements* document (DOE/RL-92-60) as the first step in the systems engineering process. By presenting a comprehensive description of the top levels of functions, requirements, and architectural concepts necessary to accomplish the TWRS mission, the document provides a firm foundation for establishing the TWRS functional requirements baseline and for allowing the systems engineering process to continue.

7.2.1.1.4 Risks

The PM&A Program Element has identified three summary-level risks specifically linked to meeting the objectives identified in Section 7.2.1.1.1 above. These risks are listed below.

1. Uncertainty associated with TWRS Program baseline and management control documentation requirements. See Assumption 1 in Section 7.2.1.1.6.

Mitigation: DOE approval of the MYWP will baseline the TWRS document hierarchy. Changes to the hierarchy will require formal change control actions including justification, consideration of cost and schedule impacts, and provision of additional resources or reduction of current resources as appropriate.

2. Inadequate resource availability to implement the PM&A Program Element strategy documented in Section 7.2.1.1.2 above. See Assumption 2 in Section 7.2.1.1.6. Refer to Figure 7.2-1 for specific information associated with this risk in FY 1995.

Mitigation: PM&A deliverables, task durations, and resource requirements will be documented in Sections 7.2.1.6, 7.2.2 and 7.2.3. DOE approval of the MYWP will baseline deliverables, task duration, and resource requirements; thereby providing approval to incur costs, adequate human resources, and adequate time to produce the deliverables identified in Section 7.2.1.6. Changes that impact resource availability will require formal change control actions including justification. See Figure 7.2-1 for specific information associated with the mitigation of this risk in FY 1995.

3. Uncertainty associated with the predicted duration for the various tasks that comprise the EIS schedule. See Assumption 3 in Section 7.2.1.1.6.

Mitigation: The EIS schedule will be baselined in Section 7.2.2. Progress against the baseline schedule will be measured and corrective action will be taken as appropriate per the reporting requirements, processes, and procedures documented in the TWRS Program Management System Description and Annexes (DOE/RL-93-0106) and the WHC TWRS Management Plan.

7.2.1.1.5 Major Decisions

All major TWRS Program decisions and the tasks required to be completed to make the decisions are identified in Appendix F, Major Decisions Logic/Schedule. Decision 4.2, Determine Disposition of Hanford Tank Waste, is the responsibility of the PM&A Program Element. As indicated in Appendix F, completion of the EIS is required to make decision 4.2. Major Decision 4.2 is required to meet the objectives of the Waste Retrieval, Waste Pretreatment, Low-Level Waste and High-Level Waste Program Elements. Sections 7.2.1.3, 7.2.1.4, and 7.2.2 and supporting data document the work to be done to make Decision 4.2. Although PM&A is responsible for making a major decision, no major decisions are required to meet the PM&A objectives identified in Section 7.2.1.1.1.

7.2.1.1.6 Assumptions

The PM&A Program Element has identified five summary-level assumptions specifically linked to meeting the objectives identified in Section 7.2.1.1.1 above. These assumptions are listed below.

1. TWRS baseline and management control documentation will be as depicted on the document hierarchy represented on Figure 7.2-2.
2. PM&A objectives will be met; and specific PM&A deliverables will be provided as described in Appendix D, Milestone Log and Description Sheets, using the resources baselined in Section 7.2.3.
3. The EIS schedule can be completed in 24 months (accelerated from 36 months) in accordance with direction from the Secretary of Energy to shorten DOE EIS schedules.
4. The Hanford Dangerous Waste Permit will be approved in FY 1995.
5. No impacts to the baseline documented in the approved TWRS MYWP will result from future Maintenance and Operations contract renegotiations and/or revisions.

Other more detailed assumptions (WBS Level VII and below) are documented on TWRS Program Activity Planning Forms.

7.2.1.1.7 Constraints

The PM&A Program Element has identified three summary-level constraints specifically linked to meeting the objectives identified in Section 7.2.1.1.1 above. These constraints are listed below:

1. Receipt of baseline support data from each of the other eight program elements that comprise the TWRS Program such that PM&A deliverables can be produced per the schedule documented in Section 7.2.2
2. Pre-validation reviews, validation reviews, and subsequent actions associated with the Energy Systems Acquisition Advisory Board occurring as scheduled in Section 7.2.2
3. DOE procurement of the EIS contractor to support the schedule documented in Section 7.2.2.

Additional constraints (at lower levels of detail) are depicted in the schedules discussed in Section 7.2.2 and provided in Appendix C.

7.2.1.2 Structure

The PM&A Program Element is the focal point for the management of the overall TWRS Program. This program element represents the WHC TWRS Program Office with all internal and external customers and maintains all integrated program-level products that make up the baseline. This program element is also structured to accommodate numerous support areas that cut across all or many different TWRS Program elements and provide continuity over the life of the Program. This program element addresses the top-level systems engineering requirement to "Manage Program."

7.2.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure

The PM&A Program Element is further broken down into activities entitled Formulation, Execution, Evaluation, and Program Management Systems. This breakdown resulted from the application of systems engineering analysis to the repetitive program management functional drivers such as the Congressional budget cycle and the DOE requirements. A further breakdown to the levels required to manage discrete tasks is shown in Figure 7.2-3, Program Management and Administration Work Breakdown Structure.

The Formulation Activity includes all program management and administration activities, program strategic planning, baseline development, and systems engineering activities at all levels. The Execution Activity covers the Program Administration activities at the total Program level; program management activities of the Pacific Northwest Laboratory Technology Development Program Office; program management and control of all TWRS Program projects; the Program-level support for regulatory compliance and permitting, including coordination of the preparation of the TWRS EIS; and numerous support activities including environmental, safety, and health; quality assurance; qualification and training; information resources management; acquisition management; reengineering; and communications and building management. The Evaluation Activity includes analyses of the Program from both an administrative and technical point of view. The technical evaluations will be performed by organizations other than the systems engineering organizations formulating the TWRS Program. This activity also includes DOE support through the Customer Support Service Contract. The Program Management Systems Activity was identified to provide enhanced program management initiatives, tools, and products.

7.2.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility

The PM&A Program Element is responsible for the overall formulation, execution, and continuous evaluation of performance for the TWRS Program. The activities within PM&A are focused on the total integration of the Program. Eight other program elements exist to manage specific logical divisions of the overall TWRS Program. Each of these program elements is covered in a separate section of this document. The roles and responsibilities of those organizations performing through the resources of the PM&A Program Element are described below.

The Formulation Activity is performed primarily by three organizations. The Program Management and Integration organization has the lead responsibility for planning and total Program integration and for developing and controlling the TWRS Program baseline. The Baseline Development and Management Systems organization, a part of the overall Business Systems organization, has the responsibility for developing and maintaining all of the programmatic and administrative products required by the TWRS Program. The development of the technical portion of the total Program baseline through the application of systems engineering is the responsibility of the Strategic Planning organization. This organization also serves as a primary interface with external organizations on technical issues and coordinates public involvement activities for the TWRS Program as a whole.

The Execution Activity has a diversity of organizational contributors. The Business Management organization handles the working products for day-to-day financial and administrative management. This organization also handles the communications and facilities administration tasks for two major office complexes. Program management of a fully integrated technology development activity is the responsibility of the Pacific Northwest Laboratory Technology Development Program Office organization. The management and integration of all TWRS Projects is the responsibility of the Central Projects Systems organization. The TWRS Projects are fully integrated into the TWRS

program elements for which they were justified, but are managed by a dedicated project organization. The Waste Tanks Environmental Compliance Officer (and organization) is responsible for total TWRS Program regulatory compliance and permitting activities, including the coordination of the preparation of the TWRS EIS. The functional areas of Quality Assurance, Information Resources Management, and Acquisition Management are the responsibility of full-time matrixed managers assigned to the TWRS Program from their respective WHC organizations. The responsibility for reengineering initiatives resides with the Reengineering organization. This organization reports to the Executive Vice President and Director of TWRS.

The evaluation activity is the responsibility of two primary organizations, with support from a third. The Business Management organization has the primary responsibility for evaluation of routine program management and financial data. The responsibility for performance assessment against the baseline and periodic reporting rests with this organization. The evaluation of specific technical aspects of the Program is a shared responsibility. The Program Management and Integration organization is responsible for evaluating specific areas related to either programmatic or technical performance. The Engineering Systems Support organization is responsible for evaluating specific technical performance primarily in the engineering areas. The Customer Support Services Contractor, MACTEC, is responsible for supporting the DOE TWRS Program Office in any area required.

The Program Management Systems activity was identified to cover enhancement of the overall program management and administrative activities across the entire TWRS Program including production of the WHC TWRS Management Plan. The Business Management Organization is the primary performing organization for this activity.

Program-wide information on the responsibilities for specific activities and tasks is included in Appendix B, Responsibility Assignment Matrix.

7.2.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed

All four activities that comprise the PM&A Program Element will be active in FY 1995. In general, systems engineering, planning, scheduling, estimating, baselining, management systems documentation, execution and evaluation, EIS, and permit efforts will be conducted to support the objectives and strategy summarized in Section 7.2.1.1. Activity-level work statements are provided below. It should be noted that more detailed, cost account, task and subtask scope statements are documented in Level VI WBS Dictionary Sheets (refer to Appendix A), and Level VII and VIII Activity Planning Forms.

Formulation (WBS 1.1.1.1.01.01)

- Provide overall guidance to the TWRS Program in the area of planning, scheduling, cost estimating, and baselining.
- Improve cost baseline data by establishing a multi-organizational team to conduct cost engineering; completing a cost estimating status/recommendation paper; scheduling and conducting cost estimates; initiating construction of a cost database; writing a cost engineering/estimating system description.

- Improve technical baseline data by ensuring that the issues and enabling assumptions in the baseline documents (and supporting documents) are addressed and resolved through the required associated analyses. Obtain approval of the *Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements* document (DOE/RL-92-60). Begin the development of other documents required by the TWRS Systems Engineering Management Plan and the TWRS Systems Engineering Working Plan.
- Prepare the Technical Requirements Specification documents for the three functional areas: Manage Waste; Process Waste; and Manage Systems Generated Waste and Excess Facilities. Incorporate trade studies for the FY 1994 and FY 1995 activities. Begin the preparation of the Design Requirements documents for each of the projects.
- Establish a risk management approach and perform risk assessments on the priority risk areas. Provide training and tools to implement this discipline within the TWRS Program. Assess and provide alternatives to the TWRS Program Strategy with emphasis on cost-effective use of authorized budgets.
- Perform baseline verifications, at both the Program level and the Project level, to ensure that the products satisfy the respective functions and requirements. Perform Program and Project reviews to ensure that action items and open issues related to systems engineering functions and interfaces are properly dispositioned.
- Improve schedule baseline data by making use of improved planning information developed through cost engineering and systems engineering work summarized above.
- Improve TWRS baseline validity and credibility by completing a TWRS RL multi-year baseline internal validation effort, completing a DOE-HQ EM-36 pre-validation review, completing a DOE-HQ FM validation review, and submitting an updated MYWP for DOE approval.

Execution (WBS 1.1.1.1.01.02)

- Provide overall TWRS Program management services and operate management control and program administration systems.
- Provide overall TWRS coordination and funding for preparation of the TWRS EIS and data packages needed by the EIS contractor to support submission of the EIS to DOE-HQ.
- Provide environmental permit, communications, quality assurance, safety and health, qualification and training, information management, and acquisition support to the TWRS Program.
- Provide necessary expertise, integration, and coordination of techniques to identify and pursue work process improvements.

Evaluation (WBS 1.1.1.1.01.03)

- Issue program analysis procedures, schedule and conduct selected program analyses, and report results to program management.
- Collect and report data related to performance against approved baselines.

- Provide customer support including review of incoming documents, preparation of briefing material.
- Schedule and conduct reviews of engineering documentation to ascertain level of compliance with DOE Orders, industry standards and codes, WHC engineering procedures.

Program Management Systems (WBS 1.1.1.1.01.04)

- Complete program management/management systems documentation including submittal of a WHC TWRS Management Plan to RL.
- Prepare a change management system status/recommendation white paper.

7.2.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work To Be Performed

All four activities that comprise the PM&A Program Element will be active in the FY 1996 - FY 1997 time frame. In general, systems engineering, planning, scheduling, estimating, baselining, management systems documentation, execution and evaluation, EIS, and permit efforts will be conducted to support the objectives and strategy summarized in Section 7.2.1.1. Activity-level work statements are provided below. It should be noted that more detailed, cost account, task and subtask scope statements are documented in Level VI WBS Dictionary Sheets (refer to Appendix A) and Level VII and VIII Activity Planning Forms.

Formulation (WBS 1.1.1.1.01.01)

- Provide overall guidance to the TWRS Program in the area of planning, scheduling, cost estimating, and baselining.
- Improve cost baseline data by scheduling and conducting cost engineering and estimating tasks and continuing to improve the cost data base initially established in FY 1995.
- Improve technical baseline data by driving the definition of functions and requirements to successively lower levels. Continue to assess the engineering analyses for impacts to the strategies and enabling assumptions. Continue to assess the risks and provide recommendations on technical alternatives which provide more cost-effective program baseline. Continue to preform Program and Project reviews to verify compliance with established functions and satisfactory resolution to action items and open issues in this area. Additional information on this work scope and deliverables can be found in Appendix A, WBS Index and Dictionary; Appendix C, Program Element Schedules; and in Appendix D, Milestone Log and Descriptions Sheets.
- Improve schedule baseline data by making use of improved planning information developed through cost engineering and systems engineering work summarized above.
- Improve TWRS baseline validity and credibility by annually completing a TWRS RL multi-year baseline internal validation effort; completing a DOE-HQ EM-36 pre-validation review; completing a DOE-HQ FM validation review; and submitting an updated MYWP for DOE approval.

Execution (WBS 1.1.1.1.01.02)

- Provide overall TWRS Program management services and operate management control and program administration systems.
- Provide overall TWRS coordination and funding for preparation of the TWRS EIS and data packages needed by the EIS contractor to support completion of the EIS and issue of the Record of Decision no later than October 1997.
- Provide environmental permit, communications, quality assurance, safety and health, qualification and training, information management, and acquisition support to the TWRS Program.
- Continue to provide expertise, integration, and coordination of techniques to identify and pursue work process improvements.

Evaluation (WBS 1.1.1.1.01.03)

- Schedule and conduct selected program analyses and report results to program management.
- Collect and report data related to performance against approved baselines.
- Provide customer support including review of incoming documents, preparation of briefing material.
- Schedule and conduct reviews of engineering documentation to ascertain level of compliance with DOE Orders, industry standards and codes, and WHC engineering procedures.

Program Management Systems (WBS 1.1.1.1.01.04)

- Review and update program management/management systems documentation including updating the WHC TWRS Management Plan to RL.

7.2.1.5 Approach

Formulation (WBS 1.1.1.1.01.01)

The Formulation Activity within the PM&A Program Element will utilize existing Automated Data Processing (ADP) technologies to complete the work identified in Sections 7.2.1.3 and 7.2.1.4; however, additional application capability is required. Additional capability will be needed principally in the Cost Engineering tasks. Additional capability will be provided through a moderate increase in WHC TWRS Program Office staff as well as three sources which are new to the PM&A Program Element. These sources include ICF Kaiser Hanford Project Cost Estimating Department and their subcontractors; the existing support contract with Los Alamos Technical Associates (LATA), which includes access to several other subcontractors (e.g., Project Time and Cost, Inc.); and the WHC Planning and Integration Basic Ordering Agreement (BOA).

The overall approach to execution of the work scope within the Formulation Activity is to plan, schedule, and fund work to improve TWRS technical, cost, and schedule data on a continual basis for the next several years using distinct scope statements and deliverables. This overall approach is coupled with the planned update of the baseline "package" (e.g., MYWP) on an annual basis.

Execution (WBS 1.1.1.1.01.02)

The Execution Activity within the PM&A Program Element will utilize existing ADP technologies to complete the work identified in Sections 7.2.1.3 and 7.2.1.4.

The overall approach to execution of the work scope within the Execution Activity is to operate the existing management control and program administration systems using data improvements provided by the Formulation Activity and system improvements recommended by the Evaluation Activity and provided by the Formulation and Program Management Systems Activities.

Evaluation (WBS 1.1.1.1.01.03)

The Evaluation Activity within the PM&A Program Element will utilize existing WHC and RL staff to complete the work identified in Sections 7.2.1.3 and 7.2.1.4.

The overall approach to execution of the work scope within the Evaluation Activity in the near term is to establish and implement program analysis procedures to provide WHC directed program evaluation capability.

Program Management Systems (WBS 1.1.1.1.01.04)

The Program Management Systems Activity within the PM&A Program Element will need additional application capability in the Program Documentation Development Cost Account (1.1.1.1.01.04.01). Additional capability will be provided through two sources that are new to the PM&A Program Element. These sources include the existing support contract with LATA, which includes access to several other subcontractors (e.g., Project Time and Cost, Inc.), and the WHC Planning and Integration Basic Ordering Agreement (BOA).

The overall approach to execution of the work scope within the Program Management Systems Activity in the near term is to establish and implement program management systems documentation and in the ensuing years upgrade and/or revise management systems documentation as required by TWRS stakeholders.

7.2.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables

PM&A drivers and deliverables are documented in Level IV, V, and VI WBS Dictionary Sheets (refer to Appendix A), Level VII and VIII Activity Planning Forms, and Milestone Description Sheets (refer to Appendix D).

7.2.2 Schedule

7.2.2.1 Program Management and Administration Summary Schedule

As depicted in Figure 7.2-4, Program Management and Administration Summary Schedule, PM&A workload is at its heaviest in the near to medium term with some of the decision making, planning, and baselining efforts leveling off and concluding in the later stages of the TWRS Program schedule. Examples include conclusion of EIS support and the eventual completion of the efforts associated with systems engineering. During the later stages of the overall TWRS schedule, the Execution and Evaluation Activities within PM&A will be the principal efforts.

7.2.2.2 Program Management and Administration Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)

As depicted in the PM&A Program Element Schedule in Appendix C, the FY 1995 portion of the schedule is dominated by improving the data that are the foundation for the TWRS baseline during the first half of the year and utilizing the second half of the year to package, validate, and gain approval of the updated baseline while base data are continually improved. Figure 7.2-5, The Baseline Pyramid, graphically portrays the TWRS baseline pyramid and the baseline process and its relationship to the packaging requirement. Packaging or production and approval of an updated MYWP are assumed to be an annual requirement to support the Federal budget cycle and the Hanford Site Management System. Management system documentation will be improved throughout the year.

During FY 1996 and FY 1997, the base data continue to be improved and baseline capability enhancements and products pursued in FY 1995 (e.g., the TWRS cost estimating database and approval of the TWRS Functions and Requirements document) begin to be utilized. The TWRS Record of Decision (ROD) is scheduled to be issued in early FY 1997.

More detailed schedule information is provided in Appendix C, Program Element Schedules.

7.2.3 Resources Requirements

7.2.3.1 Cost

7.2.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates

Cost estimates for the work scope represented by the PM&A Program Element are improving. Better, more defensible estimates are needed, however, as the overall TWRS baseline matures. At present, the bases for cost estimates are the product definitions addressed in Section 7.2.1 as well as the WBS Dictionary Sheets (Appendix A) and the associated Activity Planning Forms. Also providing a basis for estimates are the assumptions documented in Section 7.2.1.1.6 and the Activity Planning Forms, as well as the logic construction and task identification represented in Section 7.2.2. Several sources of cost data are currently available to the PM&A Program Element: Industry standard (such as the recent Hanford Site Environmental Restoration Project baselining experience); TWRS cost history; and Hanford cost history. A TWRS cost database is needed, and its development and use are planned in this baseline.

For more information on basis of estimates see Appendix E. For more detailed basis of cost estimates, see backup TWRS Cost Estimate Sheets.

7.2.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Table 7.2-1 establishes the baseline cost for this program element. The baseline cost is exhibited in annual costs for FY 1994 through FY 2000, and 5-year totals for FY 2001 through program element completion. Where the data are available, these baseline costs are exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. At present, the program element cost baseline is the program element cost totals exhibited on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.2.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.2-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

7.2.3.2 Staffing

7.2.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projection

Staffing projections for the PM&A Program Element are based on the same product definition, assumption, logic construction, and task identification summarized in Section 7.2.3.1.1. FY 1995 cost engineering work will begin to improve the tools available to TWRS program element planners to match specific tasks with the appropriate staff levels and qualifications. The overall thrust of PM&A staff planning, however, is to "out source" or utilize subcontractors rather than Management & Operating contractor employees to the extent practical.

7.2.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.2-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

7.2.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.2-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.2.3.3 Work Scope Carryover

There is no significant work scope carryover in the PM&A Program Element.

7.2.4 Waste Type Data

The PM&A Program Element is comprised of program management and administrative activities and does not generate or receive any wastes requiring direct funding to disposition. TWRS waste type data are provided in Appendix H.

7.2.5 Revisions

In future updates of the MYWP, this section will include a summary of the revision that has occurred in this program element since the last issue of the MYWP.

Figure 7.2-1. Risk of Inadequate Resource Availability in FY 1995.
(2 Sheets)

RISK	MITIGATION
SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	
1. Authorized \$10889K against a need of \$11230K. Some minor adjustments in schedule may be required. DOE-RL staff believe that another \$1500K are necessary to satisfy all DNSFB requirements.	Program management will review activities throughout the year and make adjustments as funds become available to fund the highest priority activities.
2. Technical Strategic Analysis (\$906K) is new scope and unfunded. This activity was formally established during the reorganization which established the Strategic Planning and Systems Engineering function.	Program management will review activities throughout the year and make adjustments as funds become available to fund the highest priority activities.
3. Public involvement is funded at \$173K against a request of \$1007K.	This activity was funded through G&A in FY 1994. It must be funded through G&A in FY 1995 until a mechanism to transfer funds from cost savings through rate changes can be implemented.
PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION	
1 Authorized \$1184K against a request of \$3772K. All major systems upgrades to the business management system are new scope above the Program Master Schedule (PMS).	Program management will review activities throughout the year and make adjustments as funds become available to fund the highest priority activities.
PROJECT BUSINESS MANAGEMENT/SITE INTEGRATION	
1. Authorized \$3572K against a request of \$3730K. The need for the added \$158K results from work scope carryover from FY 1994 into FY 1995. Lack of the added funding could preclude completion of the Site Integration Plan.	If carryover funding is not available, Project Business Management will be expected to adjust their activities to address the shortfall in funding.

QUALITY ASSURANCE

1. Development of the Quality Assurance Management Plan (QAMP) to comply with 10 CFR 183.120 is new scope for the baseline and unfunded. Noncompliance can have legal implications.	Since this new activity is a must, it is being considered as an item to be funded by the TWRS overhead.
2. The QA assessment program (\$1025K) is not funded by this Program Element. In FY 1994, this activity was funded by the QA overhead. The lack of independent overview is noncompliant with DOE orders.	This activity was funded in FY 1994 by the QA overhead. It must be funded by the same source until a mechanism can be established to recover savings from reduced rates and funds transferred to the appropriate program element to fund the new work scope.
3. DOE-RL (GSSC and Support) authorized \$5334K against a stated need of \$8800K. The shortfall of \$3466K affects ability to perform Independent Cost Estimates (ICE), Validation Reviews, benchmark development, and pay facility rent.	This is scope above that defined in the Program Master Schedule. Program Management (DOE-RL and WHC) will review overall priorities of these activities against activities currently funded and make appropriate adjustments.

PROGRAM DOCUMENTATION DEVELOPMENT

1. Since all program management documentation was to have been completed in FY 1994, any new work is classified as new scope and is currently unfunded. The scope of the work will not be known until thirty days after the Management System Description is issued as an approved Document.	Funding for this activity will be determined after the scope is defined and understood.

Figure 7.2-2. TWRS Program Management System Document Hierarchy.

Figure 7.2-3 Program Management and Administration Work Breakdown Structure.

1.1.1.01 Program Management and Administration Summary Schedule

Figure 7.2.4. Program Management and Administration Summary Schedule.

Figure 7.2-5. The Baseline Pyramid.

The Baseline Pyramid

The Baseline Process

BTF080094.2

TABLE 7.2-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.1.01 Level IV	<u>Program Management & Administration</u> ADS 1200-0	OE	49,319	48,202	46,564	43,640	45,973	46,353	47,786
	TOTAL TARGET		49,319	48,202	46,564	43,640	45,973	46,353	47,786
	<i>Delta to TARGET.</i> <i>(See notes at end of Table.)</i>	OE	NA	0	(1)	0			
1.1.1.1.01.01 Level V	<u>Formulation</u> ADS 1200-0-AA	OE	NA	14,445	15,061	15,544	0	0	0
1.1.1.1.01.01.01 Level VI	Prog. Planning / Integration & Baseline Mgmt.	OE		2,883	3,238	3,336			
1.1.1.1.01.01.02 Level VI	Systems Engineering	OE		10,889	11,216	11,552			
1.1.1.1.01.01.03 Level VI	External Interface	OE		500	412	424			
1.1.1.1.01.01.04 Level VI	Public Involvement	OE		173	195	232			
1.1.1.1.01.02 Level V	<u>Execution</u> ADS 1200-0-AB	OE	NA	26,605	24,388	20,930	0	0	0
1.1.1.1.01.02.01 Level VI	Program Administration	OE		1,879	2,694	1,468			

Fig. 2-1.1

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.2-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.1.01.02.02 Level VI	TDPO – PNL	OE		7,440	7,123	7,172			
1.1.1.1.01.02.03 Level VI	Project Buseness Mgmt./ Site Integration	OE		3,572	3,496	2,890			
1.1.1.1.01.02.04 Level VI	TWRS Environ. Impact Statment	OE		6,322	4,299	1,914			
1.1.1.1.01.02.05 Level VI	Permits	OE		448	274	88			
1.1.1.1.01.02.07 Level VI	Communications & Admin.	OE		786	709	734			
1.1.1.1.01.02.08 Level VI	Quality Assurance	OE		598	929	942			
1.1.1.1.01.02.09 Level VI	Safety / Health / Environ. Compliance	OE		2,522	2,831	3,232			
1.1.1.1.01.02.10 Level VI	Reengineering	OE		626	381	401			
1.1.1.1.01.02.11 Level VI	Qualification & Training	OE		407	247	254			
1.1.1.1.01.02.12 Level VI	Information Resource Mgmt	OE		2,005	1,405	1,835			
1.1.1.1.01.02.13 Level VI	Acquisition Management	OE		0	0	0			

T7.2-1.2

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.2-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.1.01.03 Level V	<u>Evaluation</u> ADS 1200-0-AC	OE	NA	7,152	7,116	7,166	0	0	0
1.1.1.1.01.03.01 Level VI	Program Analyses	OE		53	0	0			
1.1.1.1.01.03.02 Level VI	Program Data	OE		1,640	1,690	1,740			
1.1.1.1.01.03.03 Level VI	Customer Support (CSSC)	OE		5,334	5,426	5,426			
1.1.1.1.01.03.04 Level VI	Engineering Assessment	OE		125	0	0			
1.1.1.1.01.04 Level VI	Program Mgmt. System ADS 1200-0-AD	OE	NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.1.01.04.01 Level VI	Program Documentation Development	OE		0	0	0			

T7.2-1.3

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.2-1

**TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR**

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.1.01 Level IV	<u>Program Management & Administration</u> ADS 1200-0	OE	229,828	210,766	193,334	179,145	145,505	98,727	23,691	13,996
	TOTAL		229,828	210,766	193,334	179,145	145,505	98,727	23,691	13,996
	<i>Delta Required to Balance P3 to TARGET</i>	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.01 Level V	<u>Formulation</u> ADS 1200-0-AA	OE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.1.01.01.01 Level VI	Prog. Planning / Integration & Baseline Mgmt.	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.01.02 Level VI	Systems Engineering	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.01.03 Level VI	External Interface	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.01.04 Level VI	Public Involvement	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.02 Level V	<u>Execution</u> ADS 1200-0-AB	OE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.1.01.02.01 Level VI	Program Administration	OE								

T7.2-1.4

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.2-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.1.01.02.02 Level VI	TDPO – PNL	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.02.03 Level VI	Project Buseness Mgmt./ Site Integration	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.02.04 Level VI	TWRS Environ. Impact Statment	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.02.05 Level VI	Permits	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.02.07 Level VI	Communications & Admin.	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.02.08 Level VI	Quality Assurance	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.02.09 Level VI	Safety / Health / Environ. Compliance	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.02.10 Level VI	Reengineering	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.02.11 Level VI	Qualification & Training	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.02.12 Level VI	Information Resource Mgmt.	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.02.13 Level VI	Acquisition Management	OE								

T7.2-1.5

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.2-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.1.01.03 Level V	<u>Evaluation</u> ADS 1200-0-AC	OE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.1.01.03.01 Level VI	Program Analyses	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.03.02 Level VI	Program Data	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.03.03 Level VI	Customer Support (CSSC)	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.03.04 Level VI	Engineering Assessment	OE								
1.1.1.1.01.04 Level VI	Program Mgmt. System ADS 1200-0-AD	OE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.1.01.04.01 Level VI	Program Documentation Development	OE								

T7.2-1.6

WHC-SP-1101

NOTES
TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

The cost exhibit tables in each of the Program Element Baselines (Sections 7.2 through 7.10) identify the program element target funding by year by fund type. The tables also provide details of the baseline allocation of funding down to activity levels (level V) and cost account levels (level VI) of the WBS for Fiscal Year 1995 through 1997. The details exhibited on these tables are taken from the baseline WBS Dictionary Sheets. Deltas exist between the details and the annual target totals. For Fiscal Years 1996 and 1997 the deltas will be addressed in the future, following the completion of the resolution of expected differences between current Fiscal Year 1995 baseline funding and expected actual Fiscal Year 1995 funding. Deltas for Fiscal Year 1995 are noted below by program element:

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION:

No deltas.

TANK FARM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE:

No overall delta. This baseline requires revised fund type mix.

WASTE TANK SAFETY:

Delta is due to need to revise an overhead pricing rate in the P3 system.

TANK FARM UPGRADES:

Delta is due to differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (BO) in the P3 system.

CHARACTERIZATION:

No significant deltas.

WASTE RETRIEVAL:

Delta is primarily due to need to resolve issues associated with expense funding support to capital projects and differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (BO) in the P3 system.

WASTE PRETREATMENT:

Delta is due to the sum of the detail cost estimates being lower than the target total as a result of reestimation of resource requirements to complete baseline scope.

LOW LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is primarily due to carryover expense funded workscope on melter testing addressed in June 9, 1994 RL letter.

T7.2-1.7

HIGH LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is due to carryover of capital funding, PNL expense funding carryover, and ADS corrections submitted but not reflected in target totals.

Table 7.2-2. FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.2-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

Table 7-2-3. Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant
(Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.2-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

Table 7.2-4. FY 1995 and FY 1996 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company Full-Time Equivalents
by 88 Labor Categories

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.2-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.3 TANK FARM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE BASELINE

The Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Program Element baseline consists of the technical bases, schedule, resource requirements, and waste type data for FY 1995 through completion of the mission. The major emphasis is on deliverables, schedule, and resource requirements for FY 1995, 1996, and 1997.

7.3.1 Technical Bases

This section provides the mission strategy; work breakdown structure and organization responsibility; work to be performed in FY 1995, 1996 and 1997; technical approach; and drivers and deliverables.

7.3.1.1 Mission Strategy

7.3.1.1.1 Objectives

The O&M Program Element supports the operations of all waste tank storage, concentration, and transfer facilities. Continued safe operation of these facilities requires near- and long-term upgrades in safety bases and hardware restoration. Planning anticipates achieving a final safety bases in FY 2001 following numerous upgrades, Unreviewed Safety Question (USQ) resolution, and tank characterization.

Major end-item deliverables include, but are not limited to, the following: maintain the safe operating configuration within tank farms; operate the 242-A Evaporator, achieving a yearly waste volume reduction goal; complete M-41-00, single-shell tank (SST) interim stabilization; complete M-46-00, double-shell tank (DST) space evaluation; release waste tanks for retrieval and eventual turnover for closure; and turnover all tank farm facilities to decontamination and decommissioning for closure.

Complete interim stabilization and intrusion prevention for SSTs with pumpable liquid (except 241-C-106). Prepare for emergency pumping leaking SSTs and pump leaking SSTs. Procure Light Duty Utility Arm (LDUA) and obtain and maintain ability to operate LDUA. Install liquid observation wells (LOWs) in all SSTs with sufficient material to function. Deliver functional LOW monitoring systems.

The O&M Program Element has become "standards-driven" and committed to continuous improvement. TWRS Plant has adopted standards for Conduct of Operations against which performance will be measured. Operating crews are staffed for five shifts to better support training requirements for nuclear process operators. Training will be comprehensive and formal and will use auditable certification examinations. Configuration control of all waste tank operation facilities will be maintained to modern standards through a commitment by line management and formal procedures to manage updates to drawings, documents, and labels.

7.3.1.1.2 Strategy

The strategy for operating and maintaining storage, transfer, and concentration functions for tank waste is based on four elements: significantly improved conduct of operations, evaporation capability, expedited closure of existing SSTs, and the shutdown and turnover for decontamination and decommissioning of all tank farm facilities.

Managing tank waste safely in a cost-effective manner requires operating to modern standards for nuclear facilities. The strategy to upgrade conduct of operations in the tank farms has four components. Success in managing tank waste depends on changing to a "standards based" culture; operations and maintenance actions are based on (and traceable to) specific regulations, standards, and good practices. Tank farm facilities must be upgraded and maintained to an acceptable material condition. This includes accurate as-built drawings, labeling of equipment, proper operating and maintenance procedures, and a complete safety basis. Operations personnel must be upgraded in terms of experience, training, and numbers so that facilities can be properly operated and maintained. A credible and effective training and qualification process is required to maintain a disciplined, knowledgeable operating staff and supporting infrastructure. Implementation of a "fifth" shift will ensure continuing training for operating personnel. In the period from 1995 to 2000, operations will build to a stable operating staff and improved infrastructure. Beyond 2000, mature safe operations will be established and maintained; however, attention to conduct of operations issues will be necessary for the entire life cycle of the tank farms.

Tank volume cannot be managed effectively without evaporation capability. Liquid waste to be stored will increase due to stabilization of waste stored in the SSTs and the final "cleanout" of former facilities at the Hanford Site. Evaporation will reduce the waste volume and allow operations to manage available DST space. The 242-A Evaporator facilities will perform this function.

The SSTs have aged beyond their useful life. In addition, these underground tanks cannot be properly monitored to ensure integrity as required by the State of Washington. A high priority is placed on interim stabilization of these tanks and then on closure. Closure will require transferring the interim stabilized waste from SSTs to available DST storage. The Waste Retrieval Program Element will determine the technology to be used; operations personnel will be responsible for conducting field activities. Closure of SSTs will be completed in 2018.

The final phase in the life cycle of the tank farms is the closure of all remaining facilities under the control of operations. As the last of the tank waste is processed into glass, unneeded DSTs will be cleaned out and prepared for turnover for decontamination and decommissioning. West Area facilities will be closed first; the last of the East Area tanks will close in 2028. Timely closure and turnover of unneeded facilities will reduce operating costs in this period.

7.3.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements

The functional product of O&M is management of existing and generated tank farm waste and facilities until waste is removed from all tanks for final disposal processing and facilities have been turned over to the Environmental Restoration (ER) Decontamination & Decommissioning (D&D) Program. This program element is currently scheduled to end in the year 2028. The D&D turnover deliverable standard will be as established by EM-40 in the Office of Environmental Restoration Decontamination and Decommissioning Guidance Document. Standards to which waste must be removed from the waste tanks will be established by final closure plans developed by the Waste Retrieval Program Element.

Technical requirements are to receive, contain, and monitor radioactive liquid wastes from Hanford facilities in an environmentally safe and cost-effective manner. This will continue until all waste is received and processed for final disposal. This element includes performance of routine surveillance, operations, maintenance, and stabilization activities necessary for safe and compliant underground waste storage and waste concentration activities. Continued safe operation of these facilities requires hardware restoration and both near- and long-term upgrades in the safety bases. Planning anticipates

achieving a final safety bases in the beginning of FY 2001 following numerous upgrades, USQ resolution, and tank characterization. This will achieve a significantly improved infrastructure, allowing a mature safe operation for the planned life cycle (through 2028) of tank farms.

The SSTs (149) have aged beyond their useful life. In addition, these underground waste storage tanks cannot be properly monitored to ensure integrity as required by the State of Washington. Pumpable liquid is being removed from these tanks (interim stabilization) with retrieval operations and final closure to follow. This program element will complete interim stabilization activities by the year 2000 allowing Retrieval activities (4.2.1.3) to be performed. This activity is of low programmatic risk, 107 of 149 SSTs have been interim stabilized and numerous safety studies have been or are nearing completion to allow pumping of Watch List SSTs.

The final phase in the life cycle of the tank farms is the closure of all remaining facilities under the control of operations. As the last of the tank waste is processed into glass, unneeded waste tanks will be cleaned out and prepared for turnover to ER for D&D. The 242-A Evaporator will be the first "major" facility earmarked for turnover (initial work on turnover will start in the year 2007), followed by farms in West Area and finally East Area. Timely closure and turnover of unneeded facilities will reduce operating costs in the Tank Farm life cycle. This final phase of Tank Farms has the highest uncertainty factor from a planning standpoint. To date, a major tank farm facility has not been prepared for turnover to ER for D&D activities. Planning is preliminary and will be better defined following preparation of study and detail cost estimates. Activity for turnover preparations is not scheduled to begin until FY 1997. On a smaller scale, the first tank farm facilities scheduled for turnover to ER for D&D will be the 242-T and 242-S Evaporators.

7.3.1.1.4 Risks

There are two risk elements that dominate the O&M Program Element. The first is related to the management of storage space and the need for a new cross-site transfer line. The second is associated with achieving a stable disciplined operating organization.

A method to transfer liquid waste from the West to the East Area is needed to ensure completion of SST stabilization in West Area. Existing cross site transfer lines (2) are non-compliant with State of Washington regulations and are not active. If these lines can not be used to transfer waste from the West Area to the 242-A Evaporator in the East Area, then the Interim Stabilization West Area SSTs will be impacted until a compliant cross site transfer line can be completed. This will delay completion of some Tri-Party Agreement milestones. In addition, Evaporator operation may also be temporary impacted by any delays in unrestricted operation of the 200 Area Liquid Effluent Treatment Facility (LETf), now scheduled to begin operation in June 1995. If planned Evaporator operations have to be curtailed because LETf can not process liquids from the Evaporator, space for liquids from SSTs may not be available in time to meet the current Tri-Party Agreement timetable.

Considerable progress has been made in strengthening Conduct of Operations in Tank Farms. The corner stone of this improvement has been the implementation of a 5th shift coupled with upgraded personnel and rigorous training/certification program. If funding is not sufficient to maintain these improvements, then rigor of operations will regress to unacceptable levels. A falloff in operation performance could affect successful completion of the entire TWRS Program, in that storage and retrieval goals would be impacted.

7.3.1.1.5 Major Decisions

The key decisions for the TWRS Program are described in *Tank Waste Remediation System Program Decisions and Risk Assessment*, WHC-EP-0786 DRAFT (July 20, 1994). This document provides information on each key decision. Each decision is described, alternative solutions discussed and information available or necessary for evaluating alternative solutions identified.

The key decisions supported by the O&M Program Element are found in EP-0786, Table 2-1, Decisions 4.2.1, 4.2.1.1.a, 4.2.1.1.b, 4.2.1.5, 4.2.3.5, and 4.2.3.6.

7.3.1.1.6 Assumptions

The assumptions on which planning for this program element has been based are describe below. The program sensitivity to most of these assumptions is low over the next 2 to 3 years. However, the longer term sensitivity increases rapidly after that time.

1. **Implement 5th shift (Training Shift).** The training shift is required to maintain the identified qualifications of the operators while minimizing the overtime required and maximizing the effectiveness of the training. Failure to staff the 5th shift would result in missed training and increased overtime costs.
2. **Emergency pumping will be required.** There will be one new "assumed leaking" SST annually until interim stabilization is complete..
3. **Interim Stabilization of SSTs will be complete by September 2000.** Failure to interim stabilize the SSTs on an aggressive schedule increases the risk of additional leaking tanks, causing environmental insult. Space will be available in DSTs for SST liquids. A cross site transfer will be made.
4. **Retrieval will be initiated by December 2003.** Failure to initiate retrieval on schedule would compromise the schedule for getting out of the SSTs and turning them over to EM-40 for D&D. Delays in the schedule would increase the cost of operating the tank farms.
5. **Evaporator operations will be used to manage/optimize DST liquid volume.** The evaporator is required to achieve the Waste Volume Reduction (WVR) required to avoid the need for additional DST storage tanks at a greatly increased cost.
6. **Four new DSTs will be built in 200 East Area and two new DSTs will be built in 200 West Area.** Delay in the construction of the new DSTs will cause delays in the retrieval schedule and delays in the eventual removal of waste from all tanks. This would cause an increase in cost.
7. **Surveillance/maintenance costs for a retired facility will be transferred to EM-40 when custody of the facility is transferred to EM-40.** Additional staff and funding would be required to retain the responsibility to support maintenance and surveillance beyond the planned date of turnover to EM-40.

8. **The Grout facilities surveillance and maintenance costs will be assumed by operations beginning in FY 1997 under the Retired Facilities Activity.** The Retired Facilities Activity receives initial funding in FY 1997 and assumes responsibility for those facilities no longer required for operation, preparing them for turnover to EM-40.
9. **Liquids from SST retrieval and new liquid waste will go to DSTs.** The SSTs are beyond design life and the risk of leaking is unacceptable. All pumpable liquid is to be removed from the SSTs by 2000, and all new liquid waste is to be added to the DSTs. Evaporation will be used to achieve the WVR needed to ensure adequate DST space for projected new waste and retrieval volumes.
10. **The 242-A Evaporator will cease operations in 2007.** This assumption is based on the Pretreatment Modules incorporating evaporation in their design. A major upgrade would be required to operate 242-A beyond 2007.
11. **Low-Level Waste Pretreatment Facility will have evaporation capability to replace the 242-A function.** Major upgrades to the 242-A facility will be required to operate beyond 2007.
12. **Safety issues will be resolved to allow SST pumping in accordance with Tri-Party Agreement interim milestones.** Flammable gas monitoring equipment will be installed on flammable gas Watch List SSTs before interim stabilization work is scheduled to start on these tanks. Liquid grab samples are taken and laboratory analysis completed prior to scheduled start of pumping.
13. **There will be improved capability for in-tank surveillance and measurement.** LDUA will be delivered and tested on schedule. Operation of LDUA for other programs is funded by the requesting program.
14. **LOW installations will be complete in FY 1998.** LOW material is mild steel, and installation is with current lancing procedures. There will be no major changes in safety or environmental requirements or approvals. Core sampling will be completed on schedule to support LOW installation.

7.3.1.1.7 Constraints

The major constraints on the O&M Program Element are time, cost, and the regulatory requirements.

1. **Time Constraints.** The O&M Program Element has been defined in the Baseline schedule with corresponding deliverables.
2. **Cost Constraints.** The O&M Program Element duration continues well into the next century. The program element is characterized by significant technical and programmatic uncertainties, which will lead to fluctuating costs in the out years.
 - The program element life cycle is currently estimated at \$4.31 Billion in FY 1994 dollars.

- The 3-year program element cost (FY 1995 through FY 1997) is based on meeting or exceeding all scheduled commitments within the funding of \$492 million, which has been requested.
- The 7-year program element cost (FY 1995 through FY 2001) is based on meeting or exceeding all scheduled commitments within the funding of \$1.14 billion, which has been requested.

7.3.1.2 Structure

This program element is structured to effectively provide program management and direction to TWRS Operations. In order to accomplish this, the element has been designed to provide Administrative and Technical Support, Compliance and Corrective Action management, East and West Tank Farm and Evaporator operations management and engineering support, and support to the Interim Stabilization of the SSTs. Beginning in FY 1997, this program element will be expanded to include management and support to turnover of retired facilities to EM-40. The WBS providing this management and support is discussed below.

7.3.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure

The O&M Program Element is composed of seven activities, of which one, Retired Facilities and Turnover Support, is not funded until FY 1997. The WBS is shown in Figure 7.3-1.

7.3.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility

Programmatic direction for this effort shall in all cases and at all times be provided by the RL TWRS Office. A lead RL staff member will be appointed to oversee work in a specific activity and, in some cases, specific cost accounts. This individual is responsible for ensuring that sufficient clear guidance and direction is at all times available to the contractor to perform the requested work.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT FOLLOW GUIDANCE OR DIRECTION PROVIDED BY ANY OTHER RL OFFICE. The contractor will refer any conflicts between the RL TWRS office guidance and guidance offered by another RL office to the RL TWRS office for resolution.

7.3.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed

FY 1995 work scope includes all direct and indirect work required to operate and maintain the plant systems necessary for the safe storage and transfer of wastes at the SST and DST farms. This includes operation of the 242-A Evaporator for concentrating low-heat-generating liquid wastes and performing SST stabilization activities.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.01)

The Technical Integration and Planning activity provides the support needed to achieve and maintain the continued safe receipt, storage, and monitoring of radioactive mixed wastes in tank farms. This WBS element consists of numerous activities in FY 1995. These activities include the following:

1. Program element and baseline management support
2. Utilities and services including electricity, steam/water, laundry services, and vehicle/equipment maintenance

3. Planning and administration support. Deliverables will include revising the Administration manual and updating emergency preparedness manuals/plans.
4. Tank farm training that focuses on initial and continuing training for operations, maintenance, and support staff. The major deliverable will be completing the recertification of incumbent tank operators (supervisors) using newly developed training material.
5. Quality Assurance (QA)/Quality Control (QC) support, confirming the attainment of quality through independent verification
6. Configuration management support
7. Tank farm security, which in FY 1995 will complete upgrades identified as "high risk" by the just completed Tank Farms Vulnerability Assessment
8. Tank farms operations procedures that will review/revise approximately 180 operational procedures to support continued tank farm operations including routines and transfers
9. Nuclear safety oversight function to ensure that operations are performed within the established safety envelope
10. Computer Automated Surveillance System (CASS)/Tank Monitoring and Control System (TMACS)/Surveillance Analysis Computer System (SACS) maintenance and development with TMACS software release 6.0 and 7.0 and CASS release 3.0 planned in FY 1995.

Tank Farm Compliance and Corrective Activities (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.02)

FY 1995 work scope will include the activities required to attain and/or ensure continued compliance with regulatory requirements. Specific activities in FY 1995 will include the following:

1. DST integrity assessments. This work will focus on completing procurement and testing of the ultrasonic testing (UT) device used for inspections in the DST annulus. One DST annulus UT inspection is scheduled to be completed in FY 1995.
2. Permitting and regulatory compliance with chapter revisions for the DST Part B RCRA permit application and the combining of the 242-A Evaporator, Liquid Effluent Retention Facility (LERF), and the LETF permit application into one application
3. Environmental engineering including surface contamination reduction, and waste minimization/pollution prevention
4. Radioactive/hazardous solid waste shipping support, which will prepare/ship approximately 35,000 ft³ of low-level waste (LLW) and 4,000 ft³ of radioactive mixed waste (RMW) to the Central Waste Complex (CWC)/burial grounds for final disposal
5. Radioactive and hazardous solid waste characterization, which performs analytical analysis and required documentation for shipping of solid waste. FY 1995 efforts include solid waste delisting of tank farm waste streams and special solid waste disposal projects
6. Criticality engineering support for maintaining the established criticality safety bases

7. DST emergency pumping preparations, which will issue the design drawings and procurement document for needed equipment to expedite transfers
8. Implementation of OSRs. Phase 2 and 3 of the interim OSRs will be implemented, and Phase 4 through 6 will be developed.
9. Safety documentation upgrades will continue. Significant efforts will be spent on incorporating comments and revising the tank farms Accident Analysis as well as the Interim Safety Bases (ISB) document. In addition, aging waste facility (AWF)/DST chapter writing will continue.
10. Compliance management and As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) support will be provided. This will include initial development of an integrated compliance management module.
11. Industrial Health and Safety support, including baseline hazard assessments of 16 farms and 5 facilities and chemical vapor monitoring
12. Radiological control completing RADCON manual compliance reviews, emergency drills in RADCON, and developing/implementing radiological work permit training.

Tank Farm East Storage Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.03)

Specific FY 1995 work scope will include the following.

1. Provide Preventative and Corrective Maintenance support in accordance with the priorities established by Operations and the Integrated Schedule. Activities include support staff for maintenance, planning, scheduling, technical services, material services, ventilation and balance services, fabrications services, non-destructive examination services, Component Based Recall System (CBRS) procedure and database support services, and maintenance program development.
2. Perform receipt, storage, transfer, and surveillance of wastes in Tank Farm East Storage Facilities. These activities include Routine Surveillance, Facility Operations, Industrial Hygiene and Safety support, Engineering and Analysis support and Preventative and Corrective Maintenance necessary for the safe and compliant underground waste storage.
3. Provide administrative, technical, and field-related work activities within the limits of established specifications, standards, budgets, and procedural guidelines, and ensure compliance to policies and procedures or as directed by RL. Additionally, adequate staffing needs are identified and implemented to support completion of scheduled milestones and end-item deliverables. All work activities will be conducted in support of the overall safe and cost-effective operation of the tank farm facilities. The primary support functions include Facility Operations, Health Physics, Conduct of Operations, Herbicide Spraying, Crane and Rigging and Custodial Services, and miscellaneous Site Services support.

4. Provide Engineering and Analysis support for Tank Farm East Storage Facilities. This includes technical support for preventative/corrective maintenance, facility modifications, repairs, configuration control, work packages, Non-Conformance Reports (NCRs), procedure development/revisions, waste transfer compatibility assessments, OSR/OSD reviews, CBRS system, occurrence reports, system design descriptions/technical basis document development, and maintenance of essential drawings.

Tank Farm West Storage Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.04)

Specific FY 1995 work scope will include the following.

1. Provide Preventative and Corrective Maintenance support in accordance with the priorities established by Operations and the integrated schedule. Activities include support staff for maintenance, planning, scheduling, technical services, material services, ventilation and balance services, fabrications services, non-destructive examination services, CBRS procedure and database support services, and maintenance program development.
2. Perform receipt, storage, transfer and surveillance of wastes in Tank Farm West Storage Facilities. These activities include Routine Surveillance, Facility Operations, Industrial Hygiene and Safety support, Engineering and Analysis support, and Preventative and Corrective Maintenance necessary for safe and compliant underground waste storage.
3. Provide administrative, technical, and field-related work activities within the limits of established specifications, standards, budgets, and procedural guidelines, and ensure compliance to policies and procedures or as directed by RL. Additionally, adequate staffing needs are identified and implemented to support completion of scheduled milestones and end-item deliverables. All work activities will be conducted in support of the overall safe and cost-effective operation of the tank farm facilities.
4. Provide Engineering and Analysis support for Tank Farm West Storage Facilities. This includes technical support for preventative/corrective maintenance, facility modifications, repairs, configuration control, work packages, NCRs, procedure development/revisions, waste transfer compatibility assessments, OSR/OSD reviews, CBRS system, occurrence reports, system design descriptions/technical basis document development, and maintenance of essential drawings.

Tank Farm SST Program (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.05)

Specific FY 1995 work scope will include the following.

1. Complete interim stabilization of five SSTs.
2. Complete emergency pumping of tank 241-T-111.
3. Prepare to interim stabilize one non-Watch List and three organic Watch List tanks in 241-U farm.
4. Partially complete interim stabilization preparation for seven non-Watch List tanks in 241-S tank farm.

5. Partially complete interim stabilization preparations for four flammable gas Watch List tanks in 241-U tank farm.
6. Partially complete interim stabilization preparations for one flammable gas Watch List tank in 241-A farm and one flammable gas Watch List tank in 241-AX farm.
7. Complete safety studies for interim stabilization of Watch List SSTs.
8. Complete intrusion prevention for seven SSTs.
9. Complete emergency pumping procedures for remaining SSTs with pumpable liquid.
10. Prepare for emergency pumping of SSTs.
11. Procure high-level liquid waste cask and design loading facility.
12. Provide technical studies to support SST interim stabilization and emergency pumping improvements.
13. Complete emergency pumping of tank 241-T-111.
14. Emergency pump additional leaking SSTs, as required.
15. Install 12 LOWs.
16. Restore capability to measure interstitial liquid level in tank 241-SX-104.
17. Provide technical support for existing drywell vans until spectral gamma logging system is available.
18. Provide a fully functional interstitial liquid level monitoring system for 4 new LOW vans.
19. Provide operations and cognizant engineering support for design and procurement of LDUA.
20. Complete the Operational Readiness Review package for LDUA.
21. Prepare training plans and procedures for operation of LDUA.
22. Complete the safety and environmental reviews for LDUA.
23. Participate in preparation and conducting of the acceptance test procedure for LDUA.
24. Participate in the cold demonstration of LDUA with EM-50.

Tank Farm Evaporator/AW and AP Tank Farm Operations (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.06)

Specific FY 1995 work scope will include the following.

1. The 242-A Evaporator will complete Campaign 94-2 and run Campaign 95-1 during FY 1995. There will be an outage in preparation for 95-1. All technical, administrative, and field support for the two campaigns and outage will be provided.

2. All surveillance routine activities required to ensure that the facilities operate within the approved safety and environmental envelopes will be performed.
3. The identified Corrective and Preventive Maintenance activities will be performed on schedule in accordance with priorities established by Operations and within the Integrated Schedule.
4. A 5th shift for training will be implemented.
5. All design and engineering support to plant modifications and maintenance, technical input to operation and transfer procedures, and safety analysis documents will be provided.
6. Process control plans, post-campaign reports, and sample schedules will be developed.
7. Data quality objectives will be implemented to reduce Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) required process control samples, reducing cost during FY 1995.
8. Engineering support of operations will be provided as required around the clock.

Tank Farm Facilities and Turnover Support (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.07)

A minimal planning effort will be conducted to develop the WBS structure, estimate resources, and define strategy for the activity in preparation for FY 1997 receipt of funding.

7.3.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed

FY 1996 through FY 1997 work scope will include all direct and indirect work required to operate and maintain the plant systems necessary for the safe storage and transfer of wastes at the SST and DST farms. This includes operation of the 242-A Evaporator for concentrating low-heat-generating liquid wastes and performing SST stabilization activities.

Beginning in FY 1997, funded activities will be directed at identifying and preparing retired facilities for turnover to EM-40.

7.3.1.4.1 Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.01)

The Technical Integration and Planning activity provides the support needed to achieve and continue safe operations and maintenance in tank farm facilities. This WBS element consists of many activities. In FY 1996 and FY 1997, the work scope will include the following:

1. Program element and baseline management support
2. Utilities and services including electricity, steam, water, laundry services, and vehicle/equipment maintenance
3. Planning and administration support. Deliverables will include reviewing/revising administration procedures and updating emergency preparedness manuals/plans as required.
4. The major deliverable for tank farm training, which focuses on initial and continuing training for operations, maintenance, and support staff, will be completing development of a support staff certification program and implementing the newly developed program.

5. QA/QC support, confirming the attainment of quality through independent verification
6. Configuration management support
7. Tank farm security, which in FY 1996 through FY 1997 will complete upgrades identified as "medium/low risk" by the Tank Farms Vulnerability Assessment and implement improved access control improvements
8. Tank farms operations procedures, which will review/revise approximately 200 operational procedures to support continued tank farm operations including routines and transfers
9. Nuclear safety oversight function to ensure that operations are performed within the established safety envelope
10. CASS/TMACS/SACS maintenance and development, with two TMACS software releases and retirement of the CASS system in FY 1997.

Tank Farm Compliance and Corrective Activities (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.02)

Work scope will include the activities required to attain and/or ensure continued compliance with regulatory requirements. Specific activities in FY 1996 through FY 1997 will include the following:

1. Completing initial DST integrity assessments and issuing Integrity Assessment Reports by farm
2. Permitting and regulatory compliance with issuance in FY 1997 of a revised DST Part B RCRA permit application and completion of the combining 242-A Evaporator, LERF, and LETF permit application into one application
3. Environmental engineering, including continuing maintenance in the surface contamination reduction program and full implementation of a waste minimization/pollution prevention program
4. Radioactive/hazardous solid waste shipping support, which will per year prepare/ship approximately 20,000 ft³ of LLW and 2,000 ft³ of RMW to the CWC/burial grounds for final disposal
5. Radioactive and hazardous solid waste characterization, which performs analytical analysis and required documentation for shipping of solid waste, and continuing special solid waste disposal projects
6. Criticality engineering support for maintaining the established criticality safety bases
7. DST emergency pumping preparations, which will complete implementation of a plan including equipment procurement for responding to an emergency DST leaker within the prescribed (regulatory) 24 hour response time
8. Implementation of OSRs. Phase 4 through 6 will be implemented.

9. Safety documentation upgrades will continue with a draft final AWF/DST safety analysis report prepared
10. Compliance management and ALARA support will be provided. This will include completing development and implementation of a integrated compliance management module.
11. Industrial Health and Safety support, including continuing hazard assessments of tank farms and identified facilities and chemical vapor monitoring
12. Radiological control continuing RADCON manual compliance reviews, emergency drills in RADCON, and radiological work permit training.

Tank Farm East Storage Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.03)

Specific activities in FY 1996 through FY 1997 will include the following:

1. Provide Preventative and Corrective Maintenance support in accordance with the priorities established by Operations and the Integrated Schedule. Activities include support staff for maintenance, planning, scheduling, technical services, material services, ventilation and balance services, fabrications services, non-destructive examination services, CBRS procedure and database support services and maintenance program development.
2. Perform receipt, storage, transfer, and surveillance of wastes in Tank Farm East Storage Facilities. These activities include Routine Surveillance, Facility Operations, Industrial Hygiene and Safety support, Engineering and Analysis support, and Preventative and Corrective Maintenance necessary for the safe and compliant underground waste storage.
3. Provide administrative, technical, and field-related work activities within the limits of established specifications, standards, budgets and procedural guidelines, and ensure compliance to policies and procedures or as directed by RL. Additionally, adequate staffing needs are identified and implemented to support completion of scheduled milestones and end-item deliverables. All work activities will be conducted in support of the overall safe and cost-effective operation of the tank farm facilities. The primary support functions include Facility Operations, Health Physics, Conduct of Operations, Herbicide Spraying, Crane and Rigging and Custodial Services, and miscellaneous Site Services support.
4. Provide Engineering and Analysis support for Tank Farm East Storage Facilities. This includes technical support for preventative/corrective maintenance, facility modifications, repairs, configuration control, work packages, NCRs, procedure development/revisions, waste transfer compatibility assessments, OSR/OSD reviews, CBRS system, occurrence reports, system design descriptions/technical basis document development, and maintenance of essential drawings.

Tank Farm West Storage Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.04)

Specific activities in FY 1996 through FY 1997 will include the following:

1. Provide Preventative and Corrective Maintenance support in accordance with the priorities established by Operations and the Integrated Schedule. Activities include support staff for maintenance, planning, scheduling, technical services, material services, ventilation and balance services, fabrications services, non-destructive examination services, CBRS procedure and database support services, and maintenance program development.
2. Perform receipt, storage, transfer, and surveillance of wastes in Tank Farm West Storage Facilities. These activities include Routine Surveillance, Facility Operations, Industrial Hygiene and Safety support, Engineering and Analysis support and Preventative and Corrective Maintenance necessary for the safe and compliant underground waste storage.
3. Provide administrative, technical, and field-related work activities within the limits of established specifications, standards, budgets, and procedural guidelines, and ensure compliance to policies and procedures or as directed by RL. Additionally, adequate staffing needs are identified and implemented to support completion of scheduled milestones and end-item deliverables. All work activities will be conducted in support of the overall safe and cost-effective operation of the tank farm facilities. The primary support functions include Facility Operations, Health Physics, Conduct of Operations, Herbicide Spraying, Crane and Rigging and Custodial Services, and miscellaneous Site Services support.
4. Provide Engineering and Analysis support for Tank Farm West Storage Facilities. This includes technical support for preventative/corrective maintenance, facility modifications, repairs, configuration control, work packages, NCRs, procedure development/revisions, waste transfer compatibility assessments, OSR/OSD reviews, CBRS system, occurrence reports, system design descriptions/technical basis document development, and maintenance of essential drawings.

Tank Farm SST Program (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.05)

Specific activities in FY 1996 through FY 1997 will include the following:

1. Complete interim stabilization of one non-Watch List tank in 241-U farm (Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-41-08-T01) and three organic Watch List tanks in 241-U farm (Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-41-13-T01).
2. Complete interim stabilization preparations and start interim stabilization of seven non-Watch List tanks in 241-S farm (Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-41-09), four flammable gas Watch List tanks in 241-U farm (Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-41-11), two flammable gas tanks in 241-A and 241-AX farms (Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-41-10), four ferrocyanide Watch List tanks in 241-BX and 241 -BY farms (Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-41-12), seven flammable gas tanks in 241-S and 241-SX farms (Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-41-14), and two organic Watch List tanks in 241-S and 241-SX farms (Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-41-15); and complete interim stabilization of 11 of these tanks (Tri-Party Agreement milestones M-41-09-T01 and M-41-11-T01). Complete installation of 30 LOWs. Complete the field testing of the LDUA.

Tank Farm Evaporator/AW and AP Tank Farm Operations (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.06)

The plan for FY 1996 and FY 1997 is to perform one to two evaporation campaigns each year, with preparatory operational and maintenance activities performed between each campaign. All required surveillances, corrective maintenance, and preventive maintenance tasks will be completed. Engineering and Analysis will provide all technical support for the campaigns, outages, and ongoing operational and safety requirements. The Washington State Department of Ecology/U.S. Environmental Protection Agency-approved 242-A and DST Part B Permits will be issued by September 30, 1997.

Tank Farm Facilities and Turnover Support (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.07)

During FY 1996, the planning will continue to perform the detailed planning in preparation for receipt of funds in FY 1997. In FY 1997 the engineering and field work required prior to turnover of retired facilities to EM-40 will begin.

7.3.1.5 Approach

The bases for O&M are the safe receipt, storage, and monitoring of the liquid radioactive wastes from Hanford facilities until equipment and processes for cleanup and disposal are implemented. Because the 149 SSTs have aged beyond their useful life and cannot be properly monitored to ensure integrity as required by the State of Washington, these tanks will have pumpable liquid removed, with retrieval and final closure following.

Continued safe operation of these facilities requires near- and long-term upgrades in safety bases and hardware restoration. Planning anticipates achieving a final safety basis in the beginning of FY 2001, following numerous upgrades, USQ resolution, and tank characterization. These actions will achieve a significantly improved infrastructure allowing a mature, safe operation for the planned life cycle (through 2028) of tank farms.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.01)

Provide the technical management and planning support needed to continue safe and compliant operations and maintenance in tank farm facilities. This element will continue the day-to-day interaction ensuring continued support in near-term and long-range goals and objectives. Overall, the element will provide the foundation of which operations and maintenance field activities are performed.

Tank Farm Compliance and Corrective Activities (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.02)

Provide the technical management and planning support needed to continue safe and compliant operations and maintenance in tank farm facilities. This element will continue the day-to-day interaction ensuring continued support in near-term and long-range goals and objectives. Overall, the element will provide the foundation of which operations and maintenance field activities are performed.

Tank Farm East Storage Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.03)

Provide Preventative and Corrective Maintenance support in accordance with the priorities as established by Operations and the integrated schedule.

Provide Facility Operations support for the receipt, storage, and transfer operations of 200 East Tank Farms Operations (ETFO). Conduct all activities pertaining to operation of a permitted treatment, storage, and disposal (TSD) facility within the boundary of current ISBs and in a manner that ensures compliance with all applicable Federal, State, and local environmental regulations. Provide routine surveillance to 200 East Tank Farms (DST/SST farms). Includes facility data acquisition/analysis, LOW/drywell van operation, SST photo/video mission, and Health Physics surveys/checks. Supporting organizations include Facility Operations, and Health Physics.

The major activities of ETFO include corrective and preventative maintenance; waste transfers; monitoring and data acquisition; administrative and technical expertise to 241-A, AX, AN, AY, AZ, BX, BY, B, and C-Complex; waste transfer/routing piping and associated catch tanks, purge water facilities, ponds, cribs, ditches, and the 204-/244-AR facilities. This entails maintenance and development of technical basis for the receipt, storage, and transfer operations of East Tank Storage Facilities.

Tank Farm West Storage Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.04)

Provide Preventative and Corrective Maintenance support in accordance with the priorities as established by Operations and the Integrated Schedule.

Provide Facility Operations support for the receipt, storage, and transfer operations of 200 West Tank Farms Operations (WTFO). Conduct all activities pertaining to operation of a permitted TSD facility within the boundary of current ISBs and in a manner that ensures compliance with all applicable Federal, State, and local environmental regulations. Provide routine surveillance to 200 West Tank Farms (DST/SST farms). Includes facility data acquisition/analysis, LOW/drywell van operation, SST photo/video mission, and Health Physics surveys/checks. Supporting organizations include Facility Operations and Health Physics.

The major activities of WTFO include corrective and preventative maintenance; waste transfers; monitoring and data acquisition; administrative and technical expertise to 241-S, SX, SY, U, T, TX, TY, T-Complex; waste transfer/routing piping and associated catch tanks, purge water facilities, ponds, cribs, ditches, and the 242-T/242-S facilities. This entails maintenance and development of technical basis for the receipt, storage, and transfer operations of West Tank Storage Facilities.

Tank Farm SST Program (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.05)

Completion of SST interim stabilization and intrusion prevention is based on definition in the Tri-Party Agreement. Complete installation of the LOWs.

Tank Farm Evaporator/AW and AP Tank Farm Operations (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.06)

The 242-A Evaporator was upgraded in 1992/1993 and restarted in FY 1994. It will be operated as needed until 2007, concentrating diluted low-heat-generating radioactive liquids in order to minimize tank space requirements. It is planned that it will run two evaporation campaigns per year, but the actual number of runs will depend on the amount of waste generated each year and the actual schedule of other activities, such as retrieval.

Tank Farm Facilities and Turnover Support (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.07)

All waste will be removed from tanks, pipes, and equipment prior to turnover to EM-40 for final decontamination and disposal. To the extent possible, equipment and technology used for waste removal for treatment will be employed to prepare the retired facilities for turnover to EM-40. Schedules will be developed to permit the Management and Operating contractor and the ER contractor to effectively coordinate resources and planning, thus minimizing funding requirements.

7.3.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables

The safe receipt, storage, and monitoring of radioactive and RMWs is required by Federal and State Environmental and Health and Safety regulations, as well as DOE Orders. The major deliverables of the O&M Program Element are operation of the 242-A Evaporator until 2007, interim stabilization of all SST storage tanks by 2000, and safe storage and monitoring of the waste in the tanks until all waste is removed and the facilities have been turned over to ER (2028).

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.01)

This element is required to support the continued safe operation and maintenance of the tank farm facilities through the year 2027. The element provides the base (i.e. utilities/services, QA, training) for which to perform work scope described in the other elements.

Tank Farm Compliance and Corrective Activities (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.02)

The primary driver of the element and program is the *Washington Administrative Code* (WAC) Chapter 4, pages 173-303, "Dangerous Waste Regulations." This regulation implements Chapter 70.105 RCW, the Hazardous Waste Management Act of 1976 as amended in 1980 and 1983; implements, in part, Chapter 70.105A RCW; and Subtitle C of Public Law 94-580, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, which the legislature has empowered the department to implement. The purposes of this regulation are to (1) designate those solid wastes that are dangerous or extremely hazardous to the public health and environment; (2) provide for surveillance and monitoring of dangerous and extremely hazardous wastes until they are detoxified, reclaimed, neutralized, or disposed of safely; (3) provide the form and rules necessary to establish a system of manifesting, tracking, reporting, monitoring, recordkeeping, sampling, and labeling dangerous and extremely hazardous wastes; (4) establish the siting, design, operation, closure, post closure, financial, and monitoring requirements for the transfer, treatment, storage, and disposal facilities required for dangerous and extremely hazardous waste; (5) establish design, operation, and monitoring requirements for managing the State's (Hanford) extremely hazardous waste disposal facility; (6) establish and administer a program for permitting dangerous and extremely hazardous waste management facilities; and (7) encourage recycling, reuse, reclamation, and recovery to the maximum extent possible.

Tank Farm East Storage Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.03)

1. Drivers:

Department of Energy Established:

- Standards/Requirements Identification Documents (S/RIDs)

Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements:

- Section 2, Table F4.2.1.1, item V, paragraph B
- Section 3, Table A4.2.1.1, item VIII, paragraph C

WAC 173-303, "Dangerous Waste Requirements"

2. Deliverables: (Tank Farm East Storage Facilities)

- Plan, schedule, and perform all required Preventative Maintenance (PM) work packages for the A, AN, AX, AY, AZ, B, BX, BY and C 200 East Tank Farms in accordance with East Operations' priorities.
- Review the current PM work packages for applicability and reduce the number of scheduled PM work packages where available at a rate of 2 per quarter and provide documentation on the packages removed from the performance schedule.
- Provide monthly progress reports identifying PMs scheduled and completed, and craft production rates for PMs completed.
- Eliminate the 200 East Tank Farm preventative maintenance work package backlog through elimination of unneeded work packages and increased productivity in completion of needed work packages.
- Write and approve 24 new Vent and Balance procedures to increase productivity of maintenance and operations personnel.
- Perform three quarterly and one annual cathodic protection surveys at A, AN, AX, and AZ 200 East Tank Farms and make necessary system adjustments. Procure pulse generators and wave form analyzer for survey use by the second quarter.
- Group PMs with other packages by farm to reduce meeting, suit-ups, transportation, entry into tank farms, and support requirements. Success in grouping packages will result in increases in work package completions per month.
- Provide CBRS database updates and procedure revisions on a timely basis to prevent performance of superseded CBRS procedures.

- Plan, schedule, and perform all required work packages to repair FICs, manual tapes, CASS alarms, exhausters, compressors, lighting and electrical systems, in-tank instrumentation, and for summer and winter preparation in the A, AN, AX, AY, AZ, B, BX, BY, and C 200 East Tank Farms in accordance with the priorities established by East Tank Farm Operations. Increase the monthly Corrective Maintenance (CM) work package completion rate from 125 to 140.
- Provide monthly progress reports identifying CMs scheduled and completed, and craft production rates for the CMs completed.
- Group CMs with other packages by tank farm or facility to reduce suit-up, transportation, tank farm entry, and support requirements to increase productivity of the crafts in the field.
- Design, fabricate, and install new waste tank pump storage stands to satisfy a safety concern over the high probability of tip-overs with the current stands.
- Provide component labelling, master equipment list updates, and drawing revisions for 200 East Tank Farms beyond the scope funded by the 1N3 Upgrades Program.
- Develop a Maintenance Work Plan for quality and productivity improvement, which will address what will be done, who will do it, when they will do it, and what the expected results will be.
- Perform a maintenance self assessment, prepare and internally approve a Maintenance Improvement Plan for Waste Tanks Maintenance.
- Remove failed and degraded FIC level measuring devices; purchase and install ENRAF level measuring instruments.
- Rebuild eight air compressors in East Tank Farms to assure more reliable air system operation.
- Complete selected work packages to restore operating conditions in the AN Tank Farm.
- Employ heavy duty drivers/equipment and laborers to remove contaminated soil, gravel, and paving to reduce surface contamination which will result in reduced personnel radiation exposures and eliminate some of the suit-up and air pack requirements.
- Provide support for modifications to fire systems at 271-CR and in A Tank Farm.
- Remove and dispose of all East Tank Farm RAMPS.
- Reduce the East Tank Farm Corrective Maintenance work package backlog by 25 percent from approximately 700 to 525 by review of work packages for applicability and through increased work package productivity.

- Reduce the work package Plan/Schedule/Field Work cycle by approximately 20 percent from the current 110-day duration by implementing planning standardizations and grouping of work packages.
- Incorporate the East Tank Farm Operations Safety Equipment List into the Master Equipment list database and meet or exceed an availability rate of 95 percent for safety equipment through prompt identification of problems and work package execution.
- Provide short-term (POD, POW, and POM) scheduling services, technical service reviews of procedures and work packages, material ordering, receiving and staging, carpenter/light and heavy driver/other craft support, vent and balance craft support, shop fabrication craft and material support, and non-destructive examination support.

Administration

- Self-Assessment S/RIDs support - provide support to 18 teams.
- Ensure that all Off-Normal/Unusual Occurrence Reports (Notification, 10-day, Finals) generated in East Tank Farms are submitted in accordance with established procedural time constraints.
- Manage Facility Operations and Routine Surveillance Cost Accounts. Provide monthly status to Program Office/RL.
- Issue/revise FY 1996 multi-year plan by August 30, 1995.
- Track and maintain ETFO personnel training in compliance with the Tank Farms Dangerous Waste Training Plan and WHC Radiation Area Management (WRAM) access requirements.
- Secure funding and issue requisition for the procurement of CO2 mobile decontamination unit by March 31, 1995.

Transfers

- Conduct 45 internal (double-contained receiver tanks [DCRTs], catch tank, tank-to-tank) waste transfers allowing sufficient tank space to accommodate contents of largest pumpable liquid inventory of any SST in East Area.
 - 20 catch tank transfers
 - 10 DCRT transfers
 - 15 miscellaneous tank-to-tank
- Support 13 external (222-S, 300 Area, T-Plant) High-Level Waste (HLW)/LLW from other Hanford Site facilities in operation or cleanup phases to avoid noncompliance or operation interruptions at those facilities.

Restore Cross-Site Transfer Capability

- Support the development of work plans/packages to restore cross-site transfer capability.
- Conduct all jumper changes necessary to support the transfer deliverables.

Field Activities

- Complete all FY 1995 scheduled Operational Test Procedures (OTPs).

Technical Support

- Complete Phase III Interim Operational Safety Requirements (IOSR) implementation.
- Provide quarterly Rad Con Manual field assessments.
- Provide quarterly Conduct of Operations assessments.

Conduct of Operations

- Conduct monthly inspections of ETFO facilities.

Health Physics

NOTE: All deliverables herein will be supported by Health Physics.

- ETFO to obtain full compliance with new DOE Radiological Control Manual in FY 1995.
- Health Physics shall be in full compliance with all Articles of the DOE Radiological Control Manual, Rev. O, by March 31, 1995.
- Health Physics shall complete all annual Radiological Control Manual compliance reviews by December 31, 1995.

Herbicide Control and Custodial Services

- Complete herbicide spraying per the FY 1995 herbicide spray schedule.
- Issue FY 1996 herbicide schedule by September 30, 1995.
- Custodial Services - LOE.

Routine Surveillance

- Obtain daily and quarterly liquid level readings of DST/SST/catch tanks in accordance to OSD-T-151-00031.
- Prepare/provide engineering analysis of liquid levels, drywells, LOW, temperatures, pressures and other DST/SST data within specified frequencies to assure detection of tank leaks and unsafe or non-compliant conditions of waste in (83) HLW tanks.

- Obtain the ability to photograph the interior of any SST within 7 working days of the need to do so.

Technical Support

- Issue Surveillance Alert List monthly per WHC-IP-0842.
- Issue Surveillance Discrepancy Reports as necessary when anomalies occur per WHC-IP-0842.
- Provide data analysis within 24 hours.

Data Analysis

- Issue two tank evaporation analysis.
- Issue sensitivity plots for future tank evaporation analysis.
- Issue 8-monthly system performance assessments for six systems.
- Issue East Tank Farm Annual System Assessment Reports for six systems.

Surveillance Operations

- Obtain drywells, LOW, temperatures, pressures, and other DST/SST data within specified frequencies to ensure detection of tank leaks and unsafe or non-compliant conditions of waste in (83) HLW tanks.

In-Tank Photography/Pit Inspections

- Complete 11 in-tank photography missions.
- Complete 20 pit inventory and/or inspection videos.

Health Physics

- Provide Operations/Health Physics support to obtain all required DST/SST data within specified frequencies.
- Health Physics will perform required radiological surveys according to established frequencies as stated in current task descriptions, maintaining a 95 percent completion rate for scheduled radiological surveys.
- Health Physics will issue Monthly Radiological Status Report.
- Health Physics will maintain and update Tank Farm status maps.

Corrective Maintenance

- Provide engineering documentation for 30 work packages per quarter.

- The disposition of 50 NCRs.

Operating Documents

- Development, revision, review and approval of 60 operating procedures supporting east tank farm systems.

Waste Transfer Support to 45 internal tank farm transfers and 13 transfers from generators

- Compatibility assessments as required by the compatibility program document to support the transfer and receipt of tank waste
- Technical input to the development and issuance of transfer procedures.

Preventative Maintenance

- Technical reviews of preventative maintenance work packages and data sheets
- Complete the review and revision of OSR/OSD CBRS data and input forms
- Review of CBRS data for non-OSR/OSD instrumentation
- Resolution of CAR 92-1
- Issuance of annual corrosion program review.

System Evaluation

- Issue 8 monthly system performance assessments for six systems.
- Issue East Tank Farm Annual System Assessment Report for six systems.

Shift Engineering Coverage

- Provided on an on-call basis.

Response to Occurrences/Abnormal Operation

- Issue Occurrence Reports as needed.
- Provide corrective actions in a timely manner.

Review and modify the spare parts inventory for east tank farm systems

- Review OSR/OSD instruments and provide input to the spare parts program.

Technical Basis Documentation development

- Develop four System Design Systems (SDDs) first half of FY 1995.
- Develop four SDDs second half of FY 1995.
- Review and validate equipment tracking system.
- Develop DST leak detection technical basis.

Maintain Cognizant Engineering training requirements and professional development associated with areas of responsibilities

- Maintain training requirements for Tank Farm Dangerous Waste Training Plan.

Co-locate East Systems Engineering personnel near the facility

- Relocate East Systems Engineering Group to Operations complex.

Provide management of the cost account

- Provide monthly status to RL lead for this cost account.
- Develop FY 1996 budget.

Tank Farm West Storage Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.04)

1. Drivers:

Department of Energy Established:

- Standards/Requirements Identification Documents (S/RIDs)

Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements

- Section 2, Table F4.2.1.1, item V, paragraph B
- Section 3, Table A4.2.1.1, item VIII, paragraph C

WAC 173-303, "Dangerous Waste Requirements"

2. Deliverables: (Tank Farm West Storage Facilities)

- Plan, schedule, and perform all required PM work packages for the U, T, TX, TY, S, SX, and SY 200 West Tank Farms in accordance with West Tank Farms Operations' priorities.
- Review the current PM work packages for applicability and reduce the number of scheduled PM work packages where available at a rate of 2 per quarter and provide documentation on the packages removed from the performance schedule.
- Provide monthly progress reports identifying PMs scheduled and completed, and craft production rates for the PMs completed.
- Eliminate the 200 West Tank Farm preventative maintenance work package backlog through elimination of unneeded work packages and increased productivity in completion of needed work packages.
- Perform three quarterly and one annual cathodic protection surveys at U, TX, and S 200 West Tank Farms and make necessary system adjustments.

- Group PMs with other packages by farm to reduce suit-ups, transportation, tank farm entry and support requirements. Success in grouping packages will result in increases in the craft productivity rate.
- Provide CBRS database updates and procedure revisions on a timely basis to prevent performance of superseded CBRS procedures.
- Plan, schedule, and perform all required work packages to repair FICs, manual tapes, CASS alarms, exhausters, compressors, lighting and electrical systems, in-tank instrumentation, and for summer and winter preparation in the U, T, TX, TY, S, SX, and SY 200 West Tank Farms in accordance with the priorities established by West Tank Farms Operations. Increase the monthly CM work package completion rate from 162 to 190.
- Provide monthly progress reports identifying CMs scheduled and completed, and craft production rates for the CMs completed.
- Group CM work packages with other CM and PM work packages by tank farm to reduce suit-up, transportation, and support requirements to increase the productivity of crafts in the field.
- Provide component labelling, master equipment list updates, and drawing revisions for 200 West Tank Farms beyond the scope funded by the 1N3 Upgrades Program.
- Remove all failed or degraded FIC level measuring devices; procure and install ENRAF level measuring instruments.
- Rebuild four air compressors in West Tank Farms to assure more reliable air system operations.
- Provide upgrades for the P-28 exhauster.
- Support modification of fire systems at 241-T and 2707-SX.
- Restore the 241-SX sludge cooler north and south fans.
- Remove the gantry crane at 241-SX Tank Farm.
- Stabilize and shotcrete 242-S.
- Install 272-S connex lighting and electrical outlets.
- Repair 241-S yard lighting.
- Remove and dispose of all West Tank Farm RAMPS.
- Employ heavy-duty drivers and equipment and laborers to remove contaminated soil, gravel, and paving to reduce surface contamination. This reduction will reduce personnel radiation exposure and lessen suit-up and air pack requirements.

- Reduce the West Tank Farm Corrective Maintenance work package backlog by 25 percent from approximately 400 to 300 by review of work packages for applicability and through increased work package productivity.
- Reduce the work package Plan/Schedule/Field Work cycle by approximately 20 percent from the current 110-day duration by standardized planning implementation and work package grouping by area.
- Incorporate the West Tank Farm Safety Equipment List as defined by Operations into the Master Equipment List database and meet or exceed a 95 percent availability rate for safety equipment through prompt problem identification and work package execution.
- Provide POD, POW, and POM short-term scheduling services, technical service procedure and work package reviews, material ordering, receipt and staging for field work, carpenter/driver/other craft support, vent and balance support, shop fabrication support, and non-destructive examination services.

Administration

- Ensure that all Off-Normal/Unusual Occurrence Reports (Notification, 10-day, Final) generated in West Tank Farms are submitted in accordance with established procedural time constraints.
- Provide support to 18 teams during their assessment of West Area.
- Manage Facility Operations and Routine Surveillance Cost Accounts. Provide monthly status to Program Office/RL.
- Issue/revise FY 1996 multi-year plan by August 30, 1995.
- Track and maintain WTFO personnel training in compliance with the Tank Farms Dangerous Waste Training Plan and WRAM access requirements.
- Secure funding and issue requisition for procurement of a RADROVER radiation monitoring vehicle by March 31, 1994.

Waste Transfers

- Support five external (PFP) HLW/LLW from other Hanford Site facilities in operation or cleanup phases to avoid interruptions in operations caused by compliance waste storage time constraints.

Restore Cross-site Transfer Capability

- Restore Cross-site Transfer Capability
- Sample and pump related catch tanks
- Pressure test cross-site transfer line
- Develop and approve procedures
- Support CBRS/PMs of related instrumentation.

Field Activities

- The deliverable for corrective/preventive maintenance is covered in the maintenance CAPs.
- Complete acceptance OTPs for 12 major maintenance upgrades and projects.
- Perform seasonal equipment and facility surveillances and preparations.

Removal of Waste

- Removal of equipment classified as waste
- P-29 exhauster
- 241-S duct work and two skid mounted exhausters
- Slurry distributor at 241-SY
- Vacuum truck
- SX-Farm water tank.

Technical Support

- Complete phase III Interim Operational Safety Requirement (IOSR) implementation
- Transfer custody of 101-SY mixer pump operations to WTFO
- Write and approve 80 procedures for WTFO.

Conduct of Operations

- Conduct monthly inspections of WTFO facilities
- Support implementation of the new DOE Radiological Control Manual.

Health Physics

- TWRHP shall complete all annual Radiological Control Manual compliance reviews, by December 31, 1994.
- TWRHP shall be in full compliance with all of the DOE Radiological Control Manual, Rev. O, by March 31, 1995.
- Support the Surface Contamination Area zone reduction program.

Pesticide Control and Custodial Services

- Perform pesticide control services as requested by WTFO
- Custodial Services - LOE.

Project Management

- This task will provide overall management of the WTFO facilities, which includes management of the above activities.

Routine Surveillance

- Obtain daily and quarterly liquid level readings of DST/SST/catch tanks in accordance to OSD-T-151-00031
- Monitor and acquire daily surveillance data on 200 West ventilation systems in support of Waste Tank Storage tanks (in accordance with OSD-T-151-00007, OSD-T-151-00011, and OSD-T-151-00013)
- Obtain weekly breather filter data (in accordance with OSD-T-151-00013)
- Obtain dome surveys (in accordance with OSD-T-151-00013)
- Obtain swab riser readings as requested
- Perform investigations related to tank temperature/level/pressures/anomalies and intrusions as requested.

Surveillance Operations

- Obtain drywell, LOW other DST/SST data within specified frequencies to assure detection of tank leaks and unsafe or non-compliant conditions of waste in (87) TX tanks.

In-Tank Photography/Pit Inspections

- Obtain in-tank photographs from 13 SSTs
- Complete 11 pit inventory and/or inspection videos.

Health Physics

- Perform required radiological surveys according to established frequencies, as stated in current task descriptions, maintaining a 95 percent completion rate for scheduled radiological surveys.
- Write/revise task descriptions as necessary.
- Provide Health Physics support to routine surveillance milestones identified by TWRS.
- Maintain and update Tank Farm status maps.

Engineering & Analysis (Tank Farms West Storage Facilities)

- Schedules, Estimates at Completion, mid-year changes and budgets
- Letter reporting status of training completed
- Letter stating that four engineers have been Certified Phase II
- Phase III Certification Packages
- Letter stating Certification of 3 Phase III
- Applicable Engineering Change Notices and Interface with Configuration Control

- Provide technical input to procedures
- Updated CBRs
- Support corrective maintenance and modification activities
- Support preventative maintenance and calibration activities
- Timely responses to Technical Requests
- Provide technical support to waste transfers
- Applicable Process Memos
- Review/Comment Records on H-14 Drawings
- West Storage Engineering Responsibility Bounding Document
- West Storage Engineering Performance Guidelines
- 50 Updated Tank Top Drawings
- Assessment/Surveillance/NCR Responses
- Reports stating number NCRs Dispositioned
- Quarterly Reports of 200-W System Performance
- Engineering Study for Steam Elimination
- Design(s) for Steam Elimination
- 50 Updated Critical Support Drawings
- Input Gathered from System Walkdowns
- Applicable Process Tests
- Engineering Study for Compressed Air Elimination
- Design(s) for compressed Air Elimination
- Revised Essential Drawing List

Tank Farm SST Program (WBS 1.1.2.01.05)

The primary drivers for this activity are:

- Tri-Party Agreement, Fourth Amendment, January 1994
- Washington Administrative Code (WAC) Chapter 4 173-303, Dangerous Waste Regulations
- Standards/Requirements Identification Documents

The major end-item deliverables are:

- Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-41-00, complete SST Interim Stabilization. Complete Interim Stabilization activities and intrusion prevention for all SSTs except 241-C-106.
- Removal of pumpable liquid from leaking SSTs.
- Complete installation of LOWs in SSTs. (Proposed Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-43-09)
- Deliver functional LOW monitoring systems.
- Provide a functional LDUA system.

Tank Farm Evaporator/AW and AP Tank Farm Operations (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.06)

The 242-A Evaporator is required to operate to maintain the ability to accept waste transfers from facilities during their operation and terminal cleanout. WVR is much cheaper and environmentally preferable to building additional DSTs.

Tank Farm Facilities and Turnover Support (WBS 1.1.1.2.01.07)

Following removal of waste from the SSTs and DSTs and end of useful life of facilities such as the evaporators, underground pipes, tanks, and equipment, additional preparations are required before they can be released to ER for D&D. Engineering, planning, and field work will be performed to safely release the facilities and equipment to ER.

7.3.2 Schedule**7.3.2.1 Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance Summary Schedule**

The Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance Summary Schedule is shown on Figure 7.3-2.

7.3.2.2 Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)

More detailed schedule information for FY 1995 through FY 1997 is provided in Appendix C, Program Element Schedules.

7.3.3 Resources Requirements**7.3.3.1 Cost****7.3.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates**

Cost estimates for the work scope represented by the O&M program element are improving. Better, more defensible estimates are needed; however, as the overall TWRS baseline matures. At present, the basis for cost estimates are the product definitions addressed in section 7.3.1 as well as the WBS Dictionary Sheets (Appendix A) and the associated Activity Planning Forms. Also providing a basis for estimates are the assumptions documented in section 7.3.1.1.6 and the Activity Planning Forms as well as the logic construction and task identification represented in Section 7.3.2.

For more information on basis of estimates see Appendix E. For more detailed basis of cost estimates see backup TWRS Cost Estimate Sheets.

7.3.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Table 7.3-1 establishes the baseline cost for this program element. The baseline cost is exhibited in annual costs for FY 1994 through FY 2000 and 5-year totals for FY 2001 through program element completion. Where the data are available, these baseline costs are exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. At present, the program element cost baseline is the program element cost totals exhibited on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

7.3.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.3-2, which establishes the month by month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

7.3.3.2 Staffing

7.3.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections

Staffing projections for the O&M Program Element are based on the same product definition, assumption, logic construction, and task identification summarized in section 7.3.3.1.1. FY 1995 cost engineering work will begin to improve the tools available to TWRS program element planners to match specific tasks with the appropriate staff levels and qualifications. The overall thrust of Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance staff planning, however, is to "out source" or utilize subcontractors rather than Management and Operating contractor employees to the extent practical.

7.3.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.3-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect FTEs, including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

7.3.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.3-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.3.3.3 Work Scope Carryover

There are work scope carryovers identified, mostly driven by funding issues. The items that are being carried over into FY 1995 have been identified in the TWRS Mid-Year Change Request TWR-94-042. The key items include:

- Take the 3rd evaporator campaign samples
- Complete 6 System Design Descriptions for the Evaporator
- Issue System Status Report Plan for the Evaporator
- Perform DST Integrity Assessment
- Prepare DST Emergency Pumping Plan
- Implement Intrusion Investigation Plan
- Complete development of Waste Volume Projections System Upgrades
- Dispose of portable exhausters and duct work
- Issue annual report of East System performance

- Issue annual report of West System performance
- Complete implementation of surveillance improvements
- Issue four System Design Descriptions for West
- Restore SX and A Farm laterals.

7.3.4 Waste Type Data

Waste type data are provided in Appendix H.

7.3.5 Revisions

In future updates of the MYWP, this section will include a summary of the revision that has occurred in this program element since the last issue of the MYWP.

Figure 7.3-1. Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance Work Breakdown Structure.

F7.3-2

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.01 Level IV	<u>Tank Farm Operations & Maintenance</u>	OE C/E	156,525 5,545 -----	146,756 3,047 -----	155,089 2,936 -----	163,908 2,857 -----	179,966 2,795 -----	184,376 2,787 -----	182,443 2,797 -----
	TOTAL TARGET		162,070	149,803	158,025	166,765	182,761	187,163	185,240
	<i>Delta to TARGET. (See notes at end of Table.)</i>	OE C/E	NA	(807) 807	(4,083) 2,046	1,648 2,657			
	TOTAL			==== 0	==== (2,037)	==== 4,305			
1.1.1.2.01.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1100-0-ND	OE C/E	NA	25,138 0 -----	28,687 200 -----	30,670 200 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Sub Total			25,138	28,887	30,870	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.01 Level VI	Program Element & Baseline Management	OE C/E		3,327 0 -----	3,597 0 -----	3,705 0 -----			
	Total			3,327	3,597	3,705	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.02 Level VI	Tank Farm Program Assessment	OE C/E		6,721 0 -----	7,112 0 -----	7,208 0 -----			
	Total			6,721	7,112	7,208	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.03 Level VI	Administration	OE C/E		1,930 0 -----	2,072 0 -----	2,137 0 -----			
	Total			1,930	2,072	2,137	0	0	0

T 7.3-1.1

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.01.01.04 Level VI	Tank Farm Training	OE C/E		6,300 0 ----	7,377 0 ----	8,312 0 ----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			6,300	7,377	8,312	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.05 Level VI	QA / QC Support	OE C/E		2,031 0 ----	2,399 0 ----	2,471 0 ----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			2,031	2,399	2,471	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.06 Level VI	Configuration Management	OE C/E		400 0 ----	771 0 ----	795 0 ----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			400	771	795	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.07 Level VI	Tank Farm Security	OE C/E		279 0 ----	704 0 ----	726 0 ----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			279	704	726	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.08 Level VI	Tank Farm Operations and Procedures	OE C/E		1,700 0 ----	1,909 0 ----	1,966 0 ----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			1,700	1,909	1,966	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.09 Level VI	Nuclear Safety Oversight	OE C/E		1,150 0 ----	1,207 0 ----	1,243 0 ----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			1,150	1,207	1,243	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.11 Level VI	CASS / TMACS / SACS Maintenance Dvlpmnt.	OE C/E		1,300 0 ----	1,539 200 ----	2,107 200 ----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			1,300	1,739	2,307	0	0	0

T7.3-1.2

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.01.02 Level V	<u>Tank Farm Compliance & Corrective Actions</u> ADS 1100-0-NC	OE C/E	NA	32,269 1,000 -----	33,012 0 -----	33,666 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Sub Total			33,269	33,012	33,666	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.01 Level VI	Dbl. Shell Tank Integrity Assessment	OE C/E		1,747 1,000 -----	1,827 0 -----	1,628 0 -----			
	Total			2,747	1,827	1,628	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.02 Level VI	Permits and Regulatory Compliance	OE C/E		2,310 0 -----	2,781 0 -----	2,834 0 -----			
	Total			2,310	2,781	2,834	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.03 Level VI	Environmental Engin.	OE C/E		4,915 0 -----	5,494 0 -----	5,629 0 -----			
	Total			4,915	5,494	5,629	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.04 Level VI	Waste Shipping Support	OE C/E		7,630 0 -----	7,734 0 -----	7,966 0 -----			
	Total			7,630	7,734	7,966	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.05 Level VI	Radioactive / Hazardous Solid Waste Characterization	OE C/E		3,317 0 -----	3,883 0 -----	3,146 0 -----			
	Total			3,317	3,883	3,146	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.06 Level VI	Criticality Engin.	OE C/E		400 0 -----	276 0 -----	284 0 -----			
	Total			400	276	284	0	0	0

T7.3-1.3

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.01.02.07 Level VI	Dbl. Shell Tank Emerg. Pumping Preparation	OE C/E		300 0 ----	255 0 ----	269 0 ----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			300	255	269	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.08 Level VI	IOSR Implementation	OE C/E		2,948 0 ----	500 0 ----	1,175 0 ----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			2,948	500	1,175	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.09 Level VI	Safety Documentation	OE C/E		3,455 0 ----	3,964 0 ----	4,084 0 ----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			3,455	3,964	4,084	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.10 Level VI	Compliance Mgmt. & ALARA	OE C/E		3,200 0 ----	3,479 0 ----	3,618 0 ----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			3,200	3,479	3,618	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.11 Level VI	Industrial Hygiene	OE C/E		867 0 ----	1,367 0 ----	1,567 0 ----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			867	1,367	1,567	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.12 Level VI	Radiological Control	OE C/E		1,180 0 ----	1,452 0 ----	1,466 0 ----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			1,180	1,452	1,466	0	0	0

T7.3-1.4

WHE-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.01.03 Level V	<u>Tank Farm 200 East</u> <u>Storage Facility</u> ADS 1100-0-NF	OE C/E	NA	34,950 700 ----- 35,650	38,060 0 ----- 38,060	38,034 0 ----- 38,034	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.01.03.01 Level VI	200 E Preventive Maintenance	OE C/E		1,870 0 ----- 1,870	1,926 0 ----- 1,926	1,930 0 ----- 1,930			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.03.02 Level VI	200 E Corrective Maintenance	OE C/E		11,030 0 ----- 11,030	12,490 0 ----- 12,490	12,495 0 ----- 12,495			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.03.03 Level VI	Tank Farm East Facility Operations	OE C/E		11,720 700 ----- 12,420	12,077 0 ----- 12,077	12,080 0 ----- 12,080			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.03.04 Level VI	Tank Farm East Routine Surveillance	OE C/E		7,400 0 ----- 7,400	7,622 0 ----- 7,622	7,625 0 ----- 7,625			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.03.06 Level VI	East Systems Engineering	OE C/E		2,930 0 ----- 2,930	3,945 0 ----- 3,945	3,904 0 ----- 3,904			
	Total						0	0	0

T7.3-1.5

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.01.03.07 Level VI	New 200 E Tank Farm	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.03.08 Level VI	East Tank Farm Improvements	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.04 Level V	<u>Tank Farm 200 West Storage Facility</u> ADS 1100-0-NG	OE C/E	NA	26,630	28,415	30,020	0	0	0
				400	0	0	0	0	0
	Sub Total			27,030	28,415	30,020	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.04.01 Level VI	200 W Preventive Maintenance	OE C/E		1,260	1,498	1,505			
				0	0	0			
	Total			1,260	1,498	1,505	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.04.02 Level VI	200 W Corrective Maintenance	OE C/E		7,700	7,927	7,993			
				0	0	0			
	Total			7,700	7,927	7,993	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.04.03 Level VI	Tank Farm West Facility Operations	OE C/E		9,300	9,584	9,872			
				400	0	0			
	Total			9,700	9,584	9,872	0	0	0

T7.3-1.6

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.01.04.04 Level VI	Tank Farm West Routine Surveillance	OE C/E		5,800 0 ----- 5,800	6,037 0 ----- 6,037	6,202 0 ----- 6,202	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.01.04.06 Level VI	West Systems Engineering	OE C/E		2,570 0 ----- 2,570	3,369 0 ----- 3,369	4,448 0 ----- 4,448	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.01.04.07 Level VI	New 200 West Tank Farm	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.01.04.08 Level VI	West Tank Farm Improvements	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.01.05 Level V	<u>Tank Farm Single Shell</u> <u>Tank (SST) Stabilization</u> ADS 1100-0-NE	OE C/E	NA	12,973 140 ----- 13,113	15,726 690 ----- 16,416	14,384 0 ----- 14,384	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.01.05.01 Level VI	SST Internal Stabilization	OE C/E		7,127 0 ----- 7,127	7,719 0 ----- 7,719	6,621 0 ----- 6,621	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								

T7.3-1.7

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.01.05.02 Level VI	SST Intrusion Prevention	OE C/E		282 0 -----	1,019 0 -----	599 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			282	1,019	599	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.05.03 Level VI	SST Emergency Pumping Preparation	OE C/E		1,214 0 -----	1,267 690 -----	1,100 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			1,214	1,957	1,100	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.05.04 Level VI	SST Stabilization Support	OE C/E		3,051 0 -----	3,105 0 -----	3,395 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			3,051	3,105	3,395	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.05.05 Level VI	SST Emergency Pumping	OE C/E		260 0 -----	1,000 0 -----	1,000 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			260	1,000	1,000	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.05.06 Level VI	Light Duty Utility Arm	OE C/E		293 140 -----	898 0 -----	925 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			433	898	925	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.05.07 Level VI	SST Monitoring Improvements	OE C/E		746 0 -----	718 0 -----	744 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			746	718	744	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.05.08 Level VI	Vadose Zone Characterization	OE C/E		0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.3-1.8

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.01.06 Level V	<u>Tank Farm Evaporator /</u> <u>AW / AP Prevent. Maint.</u> ADS 1100-0-NA	OE C/E	NA	15,603 0	15,272 0	15,486 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total			15,603	15,272	15,486	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.06.02 Level VI	Evaporator & AW / AP Preventative Maintenance	OE C/E		673 0	692 0	700 0			
	Total			673	692	700	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.06.03 Level VI	Evaporator & AW / AP Corrective Maintenance	OE C/E		3,584 0	3,600 0	3,686 0			
	Total			3,584	3,600	3,686	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.06.04 Level VI	Evaporator & AW / AP Base Operations	OE C/E		3,825 0	3,488 0	3,600 0			
	Total			3,825	3,488	3,600	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.06.05 Level VI	Evaporator & AW / AP Routine Surveillance	OE C/E		2,421 0	2,492 0	2,500 0			
	Total			2,421	2,492	2,500	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.06.06 Level VI	Outage / Restart Planning	OE C/E		0 0	0 0	0 0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.06.07 Level VI	242A AW & AP Tank Farm Engineering & Analysis	OE C/E		5,100 0	5,000 0	5,000 0			
	Total			5,100	5,000	5,000	0	0	0

T7.3-1.9

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.01.07 Level V	<u>Tank Farm Retired Facilities</u> ADS 1100-0-NH	OE C/E	NA	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Sub Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.07.01 Level VI	Retired Facility Surveillance	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.07.02 Level VI	Retired Facility Maintenance	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.07.03 Level VI	Retired Facility Plant Engineering	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.07.04 Level VI	Retired Facility – Prepare for Turn-Over to R&R	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.3-1.10

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.01 Level IV	<u>Tank Farm Operations & Maintenance</u>	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	GRAND TOTAL		936,805	803,344	714,950	650,257	574,107	325,249	0	0
	<i>Delta Required to Balance to TARGET Budget.</i>	OE C/E								
	TOTAL									
1.1.1.2.01.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1100-0-ND	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.01 Level VI	Program Element & Baseline Management	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.02 Level VI	Tank Farm Program Assessment	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.03 Level VI	Administration	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.3-1.11

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.01.01.04 Level VI	Tank Farm Training	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.05 Level VI	QA / QC Support	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.06 Level VI	Configuration Management	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.07 Level VI	Tank Farm Security	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.08 Level VI	Tank Farm Operations and Procedures	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.09 Level VI	Nuclear Safety Oversight	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.01.11 Level VI	CASS / TMACS / SACS Maintenance Dvlpmnt.	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.3-1.12

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.01.02 Level V	<u>Tank Farm Compliance & Corrective Actions</u> ADS 1100-0-NC	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.01 Level VI	Dbl. Shell Tank Integrity Assessment	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.02 Level VI	Permits and Regulatory Compliance	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.03 Level VI	Environmental Engin.	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.04 Level VI	Waste Shipping Support	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.05 Level VI	Radioactive / Hazardous Solid Waste Characterization	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.02.06 Level VI	Criticality Engin.	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.3-1.13

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.01.02.07 Level VI	Dbl. Shell Tank Emerg. Pumping Preparation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.01.02.08 Level VI	IOSR Implementation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.01.02.09 Level VI	Safety Documentation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.01.02.10 Level VI	Compliance Mgmt. & ALARA	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.01.02.11 Level VI	Industrial Hygiene	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.01.02.12 Level VI	Radiological Control	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									

T7.3-1.14

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.01.03 Level V	Tank Farm 200 East Storage Facility ADS 1100-0-NF	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.03.01 Level VI	200 E Preventive Maintenance	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.03.02 Level VI	200 E Corrective Maintenance	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.03.03 Level VI	Tank Farm East Facility Operations	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.03.04 Level VI	Tank Farm East Routine Surveillance	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.03.06 Level VI	East Systems Engineering	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.3-1.15

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.01.03.07 Level VI	New 200 E Tank Farm	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.03.08 Level VI	East Tank Farm Improvements	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.04 Level V	<u>Tank Farm 200 West</u> <u>Storage Facility</u> ADS 1100-0-NG	OE C/E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.04.01 Level VI	200 W Preventive Maintenance	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.04.02 Level VI	200 W Corrective Maintenance	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.04.03 Level VI	Tank Farm West Facility Operations	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.3-1.16

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

[R1]

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.01.04.04 Level VI	Tank Farm West Routine Surveillance	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.01.04.06 Level VI	West Systems Engineering	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.01.04.07 Level VI	New 200 West Tank Farm	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.01.04.08 Level VI	West Tank Farm Improvements	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.01.05 Level V	<u>Tank Farm Single Shell</u> <u>Tank (SST) Stabilization</u> ADS 1100-0-NE	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.05.01 Level VI	SST Internal Stabilization	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.3-1.17

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.01.05.02 Level VI	SST Intrusion Prevention	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.01.05.03 Level VI	SST Emergency Pumping Preparation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.01.05.04 Level VI	SST Stabilization Support	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.01.05.05 Level VI	SST Emergency Pumping	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.01.05.06 Level VI	Light Duty Utility Arm	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.01.05.07 Level VI	SST Monitoring Improvements	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.01.05.08 Level VI	Vadose Zone Characterization	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.3-1.18

WHE-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.01.06 Level V	Tank Farm Evaporator / AW / AP Prevent. Maint. ADS 1100-0-NA	OE C/E	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.06.02 Level VI	Evaporator & AW / AP Preventative Maintenance	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.06.03 Level VI	Evaporator & AW / AP Corrective Maintenance	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.06.04 Level VI	Evaporator & AW / AP Base Operations	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.06.05 Level VI	Evaporator & AW / AP Routine Surveillance	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.06.06 Level VI	Outage / Restart Planning	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.06.07 Level VI	242A AW & AP Tank Farm Engineering & Analysis	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.3-1.19

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.3-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.01.07 Level V	<u>Tank Farm Retired Facilities</u> ADS 1100-0-NH	OE C/E	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.07.01 Level VI	Retired Facility Surveillance	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.07.02 Level VI	Retired Facility Maintenance	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.07.03 Level VI	Retired Facility Plant Engineering	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.01.07.04 Level VI	Retired Facility – Prepare for Turn-Over to R&R	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.3-1.20

WAC-SP-1101

NOTES**TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT COST BASELINE - BY YEAR**

The cost exhibit tables in each of the Program Element Baselines (Sections 7.2 through 7.10) identify the program element target funding by year by fund type. The tables also provide details of the baseline allocation of funding down to activity levels (level V) and cost account levels (level VI) of the WBS for Fiscal Year 1995 through 1997. The details exhibited on these tables are taken from the baseline WBS Dictionary Sheets. Deltas exist between the details and the annual target totals. For Fiscal Years 1996 and 1997 the deltas will be addressed in the future, following the completion of the resolution of expected differences between current Fiscal Year 1995 baseline funding and expected actual Fiscal Year 1995 funding. Deltas for Fiscal Year 1995 are noted below by program element:

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION:

No deltas.

TANK FARM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE:

No overall delta. This baseline requires revised fund type mix.

WASTE TANK SAFETY:

Delta is due to need to revise an overhead pricing rate in the P3 system.

TANK FARM UPGRADES:

Delta is due to differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (BO) in the P3 system.

CHARACTERIZATION:

No significant deltas.

WASTE RETRIEVAL:

Delta is primarily due to need to resolve issues associated with expense funding support to capital projects and differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (BO) in the P3 system.

WASTE PRETREATMENT:

Delta is due to the sum of the detail cost estimates being lower than the target total as a result of reestimation of resource requirements to complete baseline scope.

LOW LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is primarily due to carryover expense funded workscope on melter testing addressed in June 9, 1994 RL letter.

7.3-1.21

HIGH LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is due to carryover of capital funding, PNL expense funding carryover, and ADS corrections submitted but not reflected in target totals.

Table 7.3-2. FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account.

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.3-2, which establishes the month by month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

**Table 7.3-3. Total FTEs by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)
(Including all Subcontractors).**

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.3-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect FTEs, including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

Table 7.3-4. FY 1995 and FY 1996 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company FTEs
by 88 Labor Categories.

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.3-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.4 Waste Tank Safety Baseline

The Waste Tank Safety Program (WTSP) baseline consists of the technical basis; schedule; resource requirements; and waste type data for FY 1995 through completion of the mission. The major emphasis on this MYWP is on the technical basis, milestones and deliverables, resource loaded schedules, and resource requirements for periods of FY 1995 to FY 1997.

The WTSP was established in mid-1990 to address the hazards associated with storage of radioactive mixed waste in the large underground radioactive waste storage double-shell tanks (DST) and single-shell tanks (SST) at the Hanford Site.

Functional areas of responsibility are:

1. Technical Integration and Planning
2. Hydrogen Mitigation
3. Waste Tank Ferrocyanide Safety Program
4. Waste Tank Flammable Gas Safety Program
5. Waste Tank Organic Safety Program
6. Waste Tank High-Heat Safety Program
7. Miscellaneous Underground Storage Tanks
8. Waste Tank Vapor Resolution
9. Waste Tank Nuclear Criticality
10. Other Waste Tank Safety Issues (inactive)
11. Waste Tank Hydroxide Control

See Figure 7.4-1 for respective Organization Charts.

7.4.1 Technical Basis

This section provides the mission strategy; program element structure; work to be performed in FY 1995; work to be performed in FY 1996 and 1997; approach; and drivers and deliverables.

The U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE's) most pressing safety problem nationwide is resolution of the safety concerns and system deficiencies relating to the Hanford Site waste tanks. As a result of past processes and aging of waste within approximately 56 Watch List tanks, safety concerns have arisen over the potential for release of high-level waste (HLW) from the tanks to the environment.

The six most serious safety concerns are the potential for high flammable gas concentrations in 25 tanks, potentially explosive mixtures of ferrocyanide in 20 tanks, potential for runaway organic-nitrate reactions in twenty tanks, high heat generation in SST 241-C-106, tank vapor releases, and potential for nuclear criticality in all high-level radioactive waste tanks.

7.4.1.1 Mission Strategy

This section provides the program objectives; program strategy; technical functions and requirements; program risk; major decisions; assumptions; and constraints.

7.4.1.1.1 Objectives

The WTSP will meet the applicable functions and requirements and will deliver the products and end items necessary to achieve the Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) Program mission. The purpose of the WTSP is to mitigate and/or resolve the waste tank safety issues at the Hanford Site and the objectives are:

1. Serve as a focal point to identify and resolve selected high-priority waste tank safety issues
2. Ensure safe storage of waste during the interim period until retrieval or disposal operations begin.

7.4.1.1.2 Strategy

The WTSP will successfully mitigate and/or resolve tank safety issues in-tank to the fullest extent practical, and will effectively implement the following overall strategy:

1. Evaluate the in-tank hazard, develop criteria to define the safety issue, evaluate adequacy of safety basis, close any Unreviewed Safety Questions (USQs), monitor the hazard, ensure interim safe storage conditions exist, mitigate the hazard (if necessary), and resolve the safety issue
2. Accelerate implementation schedules by streamlining the review and approval processes and developing safety initiatives to quantify the work requirements; and
3. Assess technologies and applied engineering strategies for applicability.

7.4.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements

Technical functions and requirements (F&R) have been established for the WTSP. The F&R requirements lie within Level 4 of the functional hierarchy of TWRS. The functional definition of this respective level is: "Contain and monitor SST waste, waste in miscellaneous tanks, and cesium and strontium capsules; receive, contain and monitor DST waste and in-process waste; and define and initiate actions for mitigation / resolution of safety issues".

The F&R levels are:

1. Level 0 is "Clean Up Hanford" (0);
2. Level 1 is "Remedy Unsafe and Unacceptable Conditions" (4);
3. Level 2 is "Remediate Tank Waste" (4.2);
4. Level 3 is "Manage Tank Waste" (4.2.1); and
5. Level 4 is "Store Waste" (4.2.1.1).

This program element supports the 4.2.1.1 "Store Waste" function by defining and initiating actions for mitigation/resolution of safety issues with the potential for offsite release during storage. This element does not address resolution of safety issues for or during disposal.

This program element provides data requirements and objectives to the 4.2.1.2 "Characterize Waste" function; and 4.2.1.2 provides characterization reports necessary to evaluate and resolve safety issues.

This program element provides waste data to the 4.2.1.3 "Retrieve Waste" function and ensures that resolution of safety issues does not alter the waste in a manner that will adversely affect retrieval operations; and 4.2.1.3 retrieves tank waste as part of the actions necessary to resolve safety issues.

This program element shares waste data with the 4.2.2.1 "Pretreat Waste" function and ensures that resolution of safety issues does not alter the waste in a manner that will adversely affect pretreatment operations.

This program element installs monitoring and mitigation equipment in the Watch List waste tanks and provides solid waste in the form of contaminated equipment removed from the tanks to the 4.2.3.4 "Disposition Solid Waste" function; and the 4.2.3.4 function disposes of the solid waste.

See *Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements*, DOE/RL-92-60 Rev. 1, dated March 28, 1994: Section 2.0 Tank Waste Remediation System Function and Requirement Descriptions, Table 2-1 Function Definitions and Table F4.2.1.1 Store Waste (Item V Function Requirements, Paragraphs A and B); and Section 3 Tank Waste Remediation System Architecture, Table A4.2.1.1 Waste Storage System (Item VIII Requirements Satisfied, Paragraphs B and C).

7.4.1.1.4 Risk

Risk management for the WTSP is composed of identifying, assessing, and mitigating technical and programmatic risks. Technical risks for the WTSP are caused by uncertainties in the characteristics and composition of stored tank waste. Programmatic risks involve achieving the required level of technical excellence within available funding and schedule constraints and managing the WTSP to properly consider values of public interest groups, Tribes, local and regional governments, and State agencies; institutional influences; and the merging of new facilities with existing operations and facilities, both within the TWRS and sitewide.

Risks are not generally independent of each other. As risks are identified, the impact of one type of risk on other types of risks will be determined. Identified risks will be described in detail and include both technical and programmatic risks.

Technical Risks

1. Assumptions that underlie technical analyses
2. Degree to which selected technologies are mature for a given WTSP application
3. Range of uncertainty surrounding quantitative values used in analyses and models
4. Depth, breadth, and completeness of analyses used to support the technical bases
5. The extent that mixing and/or dilution will be required to successfully mitigate the five double-shell flammable gas-containing Watch List tanks (besides tank 241-SY-101) has not yet been determined
6. For the twenty organic/nitrate-containing tanks (other than tank 241-C-103), none are assumed to require pretreatment. This is largely based on the similarity of these wastes to the wastes in the ferrocyanide tanks

7. The chemistry and thermal hydraulics of the waste contents in tank 241-C-106 are not understood, thus raising the uncertainty of work completion
8. The evaluation and definition effort conducted to-date for organic tanks has been limited
9. A certain level of moisture may need to be assured during storage, retrieval, and treatment of ferrocyanide-containing wastes to prevent the possibility of exothermic reactions; and
10. If aging of the waste is proven as the solution to organic- and ferrocyanide-containing tanks through the studies described in this plan, the uncertainty will be reduced.

Programmatic Risks

1. Operational records are incomplete, thus making data analysis and the removal of tanks from the Watch List, difficult
2. Chemical analysis of in-tank contents is lacking key information, thus making data interpretation difficult
3. The regulatory acceptability of mitigation strategies is not certain, especially if more stringent environmental and safety regulations are implemented in the future. Thus the impact of increased safety and hazardous waste requirements on the time and cost to mitigate the tanks is uncertain (e.g., disposal costs for pumps and equipment);
4. Although integration of field work activities is in progress, the availability of tank risers may impact installation of mitigating equipment and tank monitoring instrumentation
5. The methods required to mitigate or resolve waste tank safety issues have not yet been fully developed. For example, the proposed in tank dilution for tank 241-SY-101 may not be adequate, and additional DST space for the removal and treatment of waste from some tanks may be required
6. The technical risks associated with tank 241-C-106 will challenge funding levels and milestone dates associated with the High Heat Program
7. The process and procedure for removing tanks from the Watch List has not been fully established
8. Unscheduled events may impact scheduled work
9. Availability of an adequate number of qualified resources in the time frame required
10. Depth of planning, including maturity of cost estimates
11. Perception of stakeholder and institutional oversight agencies regarding progress and the effective use of available resources
12. Funding stability

13. Stability of technical and programmatic strategy.

7.4.1.1.5 Major Decisions

There is one specific major decision associated with the WTSP. This decision is, "Determine Functional Responsibility for Miscellaneous Underground Storage Tanks."

There are approximately 50 miscellaneous underground storage tanks located in the 200 Area of the Hanford Site which potentially contain waste similar to other TWRS tank waste. These miscellaneous underground storage tanks were previously used in association with processing of defense wastes. A decision is needed whether the remediation of the waste within these tanks is or is not within the WTSP scope. A Class 1 Change Request will document this decision.

7.4.1.1.6 Assumptions

The assumptions listed below are for the current planning cycle and are based on current knowledge. Assumptions will be revised as necessary and as new information becomes available for future planning cycles.

Key assumptions to the WTSP are as follows.

1. All the major waste tank safety issues have been identified, approved plans are in place for resolving these issues, and no new major safety issue and/or concerns will be identified that will require a diversion of resources.
2. Safety issues will be mitigated and/or resolved. Resolution of safety issues for some tanks may be delayed if out-of-tank treatment is required.
3. Activities to resolve waste tank safety issues shall be based on continued in-situ safe storage of the waste until the waste is retrieved for treatment and/or disposal.
4. Safe interim storage of waste shall support continued operations, waste retrieval, characterization, pretreatment, vitrification of high-level and low-level waste fractions, and other cleanup activities.
5. The program element funds developmental instrumentation, modular ventilation exhausters, mitigation upgrades, and operation/maintenance of installed mitigation equipment, as required, for all Watch List tanks.
6. SSTs and DSTs requiring characterization to address safety issues shall be identified in a timely manner. This program element will fund specific analyses to interpret the characterization data as the data relate to resolution of the issues.
7. All Watch List tanks will be sampled to categorize waste tanks as a Watch List tank or as a safe tank.
8. In general, no new breakthroughs in technology are considered to be a prerequisite to the safety issues resolution, however, lab research may be necessary to resolve the issues.

9. Technology applications shall be identified, and research, development, demonstration, test and evaluation funds shall be available as needs are identified.
10. There shall be no major discoveries related to structural integrity of waste tanks that require an accelerated approach to close a safety issue.
11. Priorities shall be established consistent with the legal requirements of protecting human health, safety and the environment.
12. The high heat safety issue of tank 241-C-106 will be resolved by transferring the waste to a double-shell tank that has better leak resistance and heat dissipation capacity.
13. The Retrieval and Disposal Programs shall fund development of the technical basis necessary to support the safety considerations of the waste under conditions other than storage as it relates to these safety issues.

Specific assumptions by Activity are as follows.

Technical Integration and Planning (1.1.1.2.02.01)

1. Tri-Party Agreement Safety Issue Overview Milestone M-40-00, "Mitigate/Resolve Tank Safety Issues for High Priority Watch List Tanks, 9/2001," assumes that mitigation activities, if applicable, will be implemented in all Watch List tanks to ensure safe storage of waste during the interim period until the safety issue can be resolved (e.g., retrieval for treatment and/or disposal operations begin).
2. All tank waste retrieval costs, including understanding the safety impacts of changing the waste conditions, will be paid by the Waste Retrieval Program.
3. More Watch List tanks may be identified as a result of characterization and historical review. These potential increased costs have not been factored into the current planning cycle.

Hydrogen Mitigation (1.1.1.2.02.02)

1. All six DSTs on the Watch List (241-SY-101, 241-SY-103, 241-AW-101, 241-AN-103, 241-AN-104, and 241-AN-105) will have mixer pumps installed to mitigate the waste hazard until the waste has been retrieved.
2. The retrieval operation of the six DSTs will be initiated in the 1999 time frame.

Ferrocyanide Safety Program (1.1.1.2.02.03)

1. Four ferrocyanide tanks (241-BX-110, 241-BX-111, 241-BY-101, and 241-T-101) were removed from the ferrocyanide Watch List in July 1993, and the USQ for these tanks was closed in March 1994. It is assumed that two other tanks (241-BX-102 and 241-BX-106) will be removed from the ferrocyanide Watch List by FY 1995.
2. The remaining 18 ferrocyanide tanks on the ferrocyanide Watch List will not require retrieval or pretreatment of the waste to enable the ferrocyanide safety issue to be resolved.

3. If needed to resolve the safety issue for any of the ferrocyanide tanks, moisture monitoring and control will be installed.

Flammable Gas Program (1.1.1.2.02.04)

1. All six flammable gas DSTs on the Watch List (241-SY-101, 241-SY-103, 241-AW-101, 241-AN-103, 241-AN-104, and 241-AN-105) will be retrieved to enable the safety issue to be resolved.
2. No single-shell flammable gas tank will be retrieved to enable the flammable gas safety issue to be resolved for those tanks.

Organic Safety Program (1.1.1.2.02.05)

1. Nineteen organic-containing tanks (241-C-103 not included) will not require retrieval or pretreatment to enable resolution of the organic safety issue.
2. Moisture monitoring and control will be installed in organic tanks requiring mitigating actions.
3. The organic liquid layer floating on top of the waste in tank 241-C-103 may be pumped out of the tank to resolve the flammability issue related to that layer as well as potentially the noxious vapor safety issue.
4. Disposal costs for the organic liquid will be funded by the Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance Program.

High-Heat Safety (1.1.1.2.02.06)

1. The single-shell high-heat tank 241-C-106 will be retrieved to resolve the high-heat tank safety issue.

Miscellaneous Underground Storage Tanks (1.1.1.2.02.07)

1. There are only 50 miscellaneous underground storage tanks.
2. A Class 1 Change Request will be approved by RL to provide funding to the WTSP to identify and evaluate the priority of safety issues associated with the miscellaneous underground storage tanks.

Vapor Issue Resolution (1.1.1.2.02.08)

1. Tank vapor sampling in support of the Noxious Odor Advisory System (NOAS) will be funded by the Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance Program.
2. Sampling and analysis of tank vapors will be funded by the Characterization Program.

Nuclear Criticality (1.1.1.2.02.09)

1. Passive and active neutron detection equipment will be installed on selected tanks to better understand the quantity and distribution of fissile material in order to resolve the criticality safety issue.
2. Additional analytical data obtained through the characterization program will be evaluated to assure continued subcriticality and refine criticality safety analyses.

Other Safety Issues (1.1.1.2.02.10)

Currently not active.

Hydroxide Control (1.1.1.2.02.11)

1. Tanks 241-AN-107, 241-AZ-102, and 241-AN-102 are the only tanks requiring hydroxide controls.

7.4.1.1.7 Constraints

The primary constraint within the WTSP is the evaluation and definition of safety issues. This type of effort leaves one to establish assumptions within an ever changing environment, thus leaving many challenges for work plan implementation.

7.4.1.2 Structure

The WTSP work breakdown structure (WBS), responsibility assignment matrix (RAM), and the organization and responsibility are provided in this section.

7.4.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure

Refer to Figure 7.4-2 for the WTSP Work Breakdown Structure.

7.4.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility

The WTSP is responsible for providing TWRS with leadership and management direction in order to ensure the successful resolution of waste tank safety issues. This role requires work by functional work groups and work by interfacing work groups.

Refer to Figure 7.4-1 for Organization Charts of the WTSP, TWRS Program Office, and TWRS. Refer to Figure 7.4-3 for the FY 1995 WTSP Responsibility Assignment Matrix.

Functional Organizations of Responsibility

The functional work groups follow.

1. **Technical Integration and Planning.** Provides a leadership role in the development and implementation of work plans, budgets, and reviews.

2. **Hydrogen Mitigation.** Provides a leadership role in the development and implementation of work plans to mitigate hazards associated with flammable gas tanks.
3. **Waste Tank Ferrocyanide Safety Program.** Provides a leadership role in the development and implementation of work plans to mitigate and/or resolve the safety issue associated with tanks containing ferrocyanide waste.
4. **Waste Tank Flammable Gas Safety Program.** Provides a leadership role in the development and implementation of work plans to mitigate and/or resolve the safety issue associated with tanks containing flammable gases.
5. **Waste Tank Organic Safety Program.** Provides a leadership role in the development and implementation of work plans to mitigate and/or resolve the safety issue associated with tanks containing organic.
6. **Waste Tank High-Heat Safety Program.** Provides a leadership role in the development and implementation of work plans to mitigate and/or resolve the high heat safety issue associated with tank 241-C-106.
7. **Miscellaneous Underground Storage Tanks.** Provides a leadership role in the development and implementation of work plans to mitigate and/or resolve the concerns associated with 50 miscellaneous underground storage tanks.
8. **Waste Tank Vapor Resolution.** Provides a leadership role in the development and implementation of work plans to mitigate and/or resolve the tank vapor issues.
9. **Waste Tank Nuclear Criticality.** Provides a leadership role in the development and implementation of work plans to mitigate and/or resolve concerns associated with a possible in-tank criticality.
10. **Other Waste Tank Safety Issues.** Currently inactive and provides no function.
11. **Waste Tank Hydroxide Control.** Provides a leadership role in the development and implementation of work plans to mitigate and/or resolve concerns associated with insufficient hydroxide concentration in several tanks.
12. **Safety Initiatives Management.** Provides a leadership role in the development and implementation of work plans to complete TWRS safety initiatives on or ahead of schedule.

Interfacing Organizations of Responsibility

The work groups that interface with the WTSP follow.

1. Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance provides field work support, operation of equipment, monitoring of waste tank parameters, and required surveillance checks.
2. Characterization provides actual waste sample material for process development, waste characterization data from ongoing tank sampling, and characterization information from historical data review.

3. Tank Farm Upgrades maintains the infrastructure (pipe lines, diversion boxes, pump pits, Project 236-A, New Tank Farm, etc.) needed to support in-tank processing and operation.
4. Waste Retrieval provides the retrieval of tank waste.
5. Low-Level Waste and High-Level Waste provide disposal of low-level and high-level waste.
6. Pretreatment provides the pretreatment of tank waste for subsequent storage and/or disposal.
7. Program Management and Administration provides overall integration of the TWRS program elements in preparing Multi-Year Program Plans, Multi-Year Work Plans, budget submittal, monthly reviews, and quarterly reviews.
8. Engineering and Projects provide project management for capital construction work efforts, manages the onsite construction forces, procurement, construction contractors for expense and capital construction activities, safety analysis and regulations, and NEPA services to support all WTSP work.
9. WHC's Process Engineering and Systems Engineering provides for engineering support for trade studies, systems definition, functions and requirements (F&Rs), engineering evaluations, testing, and demonstrations.
10. The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), stakeholder, advisory panels, and the Department of Energy (DOE) provide recommendations, comments and inputs to the WTSP as to work scope, priorities, and strategies.
11. The U.S. Department of Energy provides overall programmatic guidance, and approves decision making documents and recommendations prepared by WHC.
12. Los Alamos National Laboratory, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and Pacific National Laboratory provide scientific analyses and studies, provides recommendations to WHC, and equipment development tasks for the WTSP.

7.4.1.3 Work to be Performed in FY 1995

Refer to Figure 7.4-4 for this information.

7.4.1.4 Work to be Performed in FY 1996 - FY 1997

Refer to Figure 7.4-4 for this information.

7.4.1.5 Approach

This section provides a summary of the technical approach, method of performance and acquisition strategy for achieving the WTSP objectives. Safety issue resolutions will be completed in a priority order. Tanks with the higher risk will be evaluated and mitigated and/or resolved first.

Approach to work is completed in logic blocks called functions. A function is a simple statement that defines what type of work must be done in a specific logic path. Functions form the basis for identifying work to be accomplished within the Waste Tank Safety Program.

Refer to Figure 7.4-5 for a block logic diagram. The work requirements to resolve the safety issue are planned, scheduled, and implemented by the following major functions:

1. **Evaluate and Define Safety Issue.** This function establishes the work to be done based on historical data, sampling and analysis, simulant waste studies, laboratory tests, monitoring data, mathematical modeling, and sensitivity analysis. It defines safe conditions for operation and decides on the appropriate path to resolve the safety issues. In addition, it includes the choice of the "no-action" option if it is determined no safety issue exists for a single waste type or a group of similar wastes or tanks.
2. **Close Unreviewed Safety Question (USQ).** This function establishes the technical bases for closure of the USQs. The safety envelope will be redefined, operating procedures modified, control limits established, and documentation completed for recommendation of USQ closure.
3. **Mitigate Condition.** This function develops corrective actions for safety issues that address possible treatment to reduce the severity of the safety issues. Work includes development, design, fabrication, installation, testing, and demonstration of the equipment for moisture control, mixing wastes, heating and diluting wastes, and vapor treatment.
4. **Store and Monitor.** This function provides monitoring systems to ensure safe storage until the safety issue is resolved. Work includes developing, procuring, and installing additional equipment to continuously monitor tank parameters for temperature, pressure, gas concentration, neutron flux, and surface level.
5. **Resolve Safety Issue.** This function implements corrective actions to eliminate the hazard and resolve the safety issue.

Each of these major functions have supporting functions of some combination of monitoring, mathematical analyses, laboratory studies, and in-tank testing.

7.4.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables

This section provides the drivers and deliverables.

1. **Drivers:**
 - *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order, Tri-Party Agreement Fourth Amendment, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington, January, 1994, Milestones M-40-00, "Mitigate/Resolve Tank Safety Issues for High Priority Watch List Tanks by 2001"*
 - *Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements, DOE/RL-92-60, Rev 1, March 1994.*

2. Deliverables:

- Develop plans to close USQs/resolve safety issues
- Upgrade monitoring equipment
 - Temperature monitoring
 - Gas monitoring
 - Moisture monitoring
 - Liquid level and/or surface level monitoring
 - Neutron monitoring
- Install mitigation pumps
- Close Unreviewed Safety Questions
 - Ferrocyanide
 - Criticality
 - Organic Layer/Flammability
 - Flammable Gas
- Resolve safety issues.

For specific deliverables, refer to the respective WBS Dictionary Sheets (Appendix A) and Milestone Description Sheets (Appendix D).

7.4.2 Schedule

This section provides the program element schedule; FY 1995 schedule and logic; and FY 1996 - 1997 schedule and logic.

7.4.2.1 Waste Tank Safety Summary Schedule

Refer to Figure 7.4-6.

7.4.2.2 Waste Tank Safety Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)

Refer to Appendix C for this information.

7.4.3 Resource Requirements

The WTSP cost, staffing, and FY 1994 work scope carryover are provided in this section.

7.4.3.1 Cost

The cost base for the WTSP is based on the past four year history of costs required to effectively manage this program. Empirical cost data exists for field work support, technical studies, and construction activities. In addition, construction estimates exist for major capital activities. All cost

estimates have been validated by the performing organization and by the respective management function responsible for the work activity.

7.4.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates

The basis of cost estimates for WTSP is based on the past four year history of costs for resource quantity and type. The resource usage profile is based on an estimate of the apportionment of these resources to the work scope within the cost accounts based on FY 1994 resource usage and WTSP Program Office changes planned for in FY 1995 - FY 1997.

7.4.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account)

Table 7.4-1 establishes the baseline cost for this program element. The baseline cost is exhibited in annual costs for FY 1994 through FY 2000, and 5-year totals for FY 2001 through program element completion. Where the data are available, these baseline costs are exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. At present, the program element cost baseline is the program element cost totals exhibited on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995 through FY 1997. Also, refer to Figure 7.4-7 for this information.

7.4.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity by Cost Account

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.4-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995. Also refer to Figure 7.4-7 for this information.

7.4.3.2 Staffing

The staffing base for the WTSP is based on the past four year history of manpower required to effectively manage this program. Empirical staffing data exists for field work support, technical studies, and construction activities. In addition, construction estimates exist for major capital activities. All staffing estimates have been validated by the performing organization and by the respective management function responsible for the work activity.

7.4.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projection

The basis of staffing estimates for WTSP is based on the past four year history of manpower quantity and type. The resource usage profile is based on an estimate of the apportionment of these resources to the work scope within the cost accounts based on FY 1994 resource usage and WTSP Program office changes planned for in FY 1995 - FY 1997.

7.4.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.2-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided. Also, refer to Figure 7.4-8 for this information.

7.4.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.2-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997. Also, refer to Figure 7.4-8 for this information.

7.4.3.3 Work Scope Carryover

Completion of several pieces of work in FY 1994 were impacted due to tank riser availability issues, and the 325 laboratory shutdown event. The work scope carryover into FY 1995 is as follows: 1) Installation of thermocouple trees in ferrocyanide tanks; 2) Installation of thermocouple trees in organic tanks; 3) Field work in support of hydroxide control of tank 241-AN-107; 4) Laboratory studies of ferrocyanide waste samples; 5) Core sample data interpretation of samples from ferrocyanide tanks; and 6) Installation of ventilation and monitoring equipment in flammable gas tanks.

7.4.4 Waste Type Data

Small quantities of tank waste will be supplied to laboratories for process development testing, waste samples, and decontaminating solutions. The waste will be returned to the underground waste tanks at the completion of work activities where it will be stored until it is retrieved.

In addition, equipment will be removed from tank waste and decontaminated. Decontamination procedures will remove sufficient contaminated material to permit disposal.

Refer to Appendix H for this information.

7.4.5 Revisions

In future updates of the MYWP, this section will include a summary of the revision that has occurred in this program element since the last issue of the MYWP.

Tank Waste Remediation System

Figure 7.4-1. Organization Chart.

Waste Tank Safety Program

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM
F7-97 WBS
MA PAYNE
7R200

Figure 7.4-2. Waste Tank Safety Work Breakdown Structure.

F7.4-2

WHC-SP-1101

Figure 7.4-3. Waste Tank Safety Responsibility Assignment Matrix.

WBS	DESCRIPT	WHC RESP	RL RESP
1.1.1.2.02.....	WASTE TANK SAFETY.....	M.A. PAYNE.....	R. E. GERTON
1.1.1.2.02.01.....	WT TECH INTEG & PLANNING...	G.A. HANSON.....	R.F. CHRISTENSEN
1.1.1.2.02.01.01..	INTEGRATION & PLANNING.....	G.A. HANSON.....	
1.1.1.2.02.01.02..	WT SAFETY QA.....	G.A. HANSON.....	
1.1.1.2.02.02.....	WT HYDROGEN MITIGATION.....	J.W. LENTSCH.....	
1.1.1.2.02.02.01..	MITIGATION PROG/PROJ MGM'T..	J.W. LENTSCH.....	
1.1.1.2.02.02.02..	101-SY MITIGATION.....	J.W. LENTSCH.....	
1.1.1.2.02.02.03..	103-SY MITIGATION.....	J.W. LENTSCH.....	
1.1.1.2.02.02.04..	101-AW MITIGATION.....	J.W. LENTSCH.....	
1.1.1.2.02.02.05..	104-AW MITIGATION.....	J.W. LENTSCH.....	
1.1.1.2.02.02.06..	103-AW MITIGATION.....	J.W. LENTSCH.....	
1.1.1.2.02.02.07..	105-AW MITIGATION.....	J.W. LENTSCH.....	
1.1.1.2.02.03.....	WT FERROCYANIDE SAF. PROG..	G.T. DUKELOW.....	W.F. HENDRICKSON
1.1.1.2.02.03.01..	EVAL & DEFINE TECH DEV.....	R.J. CASH.....	
1.1.1.2.02.03.02..	NUMBER PREVIOUSLY USED.....		
1.1.1.2.02.03.03..	FERROCY SAFETY ISSUE RESO..	R.J. CASH.....	
1.1.1.2.02.03.04..	EVAL & DEFINE FOR 18 FeCN..	G.T. DUKELOW.....	
1.1.1.2.02.04.....	WT FLAM.GAS SAFE.PROG.....	G.D. JOHNSON.....	GRAY/ROSENWALD
1.1.1.2.02.04.01..	EVAL & DEFINE TECH DEV.....	N.G. MCDUFFIE.....	
1.1.1.2.02.04.02..	EVAL & DEFINE FLAM GAS TK..	D.J. SHERWOOD.....	
1.1.1.2.02.04.03..	CLOSE USQs FOR FLAM GAS T..	G.M. CHRISTENSEN..	
1.1.1.2.02.04.04..	STORE & MONITOR F.GAS TKS..	C.P. SCHROEDER...	
1.1.1.2.02.05.....	WT ORGANIC SAFE. PROG.....	D.A. TURNER.....	S.O. BRANCH
1.1.1.2.02.05.01..	TECH FOR EVAL ORGANIC TKS..	D.A. TURNER.....	
1.1.1.2.02.05.02..	EVAL & DEFINE ORGANIC TKS..	D.A. TURNER.....	
1.1.1.2.02.05.03..	PUMP & DISP C-103 ORG LAY..	G.T. DUKELOW.....	
1.1.1.2.02.05.04..	IN-TK RES OF ORG.W/O TM'T..	G.T. DUKELOW.....	
1.1.1.2.02.05.05..	RESOL.OF USQ FRO ORG.TKS...	D.A. TURNER.....	
1.1.1.2.02.05.06..	RESOLVE SAFETY ISSUES O.T..	D.A. TURNER.....	
1.1.1.2.02.06.....	WT HIGH-HEAT SAFE. PROG...	O.S. WANG.....	R.G. HARWOOD
1.1.1.2.02.06.01..	EVAL & DEFINE HI HEAT TKS..	O.S. WANG.....	
1.1.1.2.02.07.....	MISC.UNDERGROUND STOR.TKS..	O.S. WANG.....	
1.1.1.2.02.07.01..	EVAL.MISC.UNDERGR. TANKS...	O.S. WANG.....	
1.1.1.2.02.08.....	WT VAPOR RESOLUTION.....	J.W. OSBORNE.....	S.O. BRANCH
1.1.1.2.02.08.01..	EVAL & DEFINE FOR TK VAP...	J.W. OSBORNE.....	
1.1.1.2.02.08.02..	RES.INTER.TANK VAP.ISSUES..	J.W. OSBORNE.....	
1.1.1.2.02.08.03..	MITIGATE TECH DEV FOR VAP..	J.W. OSBORNE.....	
1.1.1.2.02.09.....	WT NUCLEAR CRITICALITY.....	G.M. CHRISTENSEN..	K. COADY
1.1.1.2.02.09.01..	STORE & MON. WASTE FOR CR..	G.M. CHRISTENSEN..	
1.1.1.2.02.10.....	OTHER WST TK SAFE. ISSUES..	M.A. PAYNE.....	
1.1.1.2.02.11.....	WST TK HYDROXIDE CONTROL...	D.A. TURNER.....	
1.1.1.2.02.11.01..	MITIGATE HYDROX. CONSUMP...	K.G. CAROTHERS....	

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

Figure 7.4-4. Waste Tank Safety Work to be Performed in FY 1995 through FY 1997.

WHC-SP-1101

	<u>FY95 EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96 EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97 EXP/CAP</u>
A. TECHNICAL INTEGRATION AND PLANNING (Hanson)			
1. Integration and Planning (Hanson)			
a. Baseline Planning (Hanson)			
(1) WTSP Planning (Hanson)	508/0	524/0	540/0
(2) PRA (PNL/Fassbender)	478/0		
(3) Financial Analysts (Lenseigne)	305/0	314/0	323/0
(4) Program Schedulers (Black)	294/0	303/0	312/0
(5) Program BOA (Hanson)	1302/500	1030/515	2122/531
(6) Integrated Scheduling Support (Nelson)	214/0	220/0	227/0
b. Management and Integration (Payne)			
(1) WTSP Management (Payne)	651/0	672/0	692/0
(2) TPA/SI Management (Wilson)	107/0	111/0	114/0
(3) PNL Program Management (PNL/Bean)	800/0	824/0	849/0
(4) Technical Editor Support (BCSR/Conner)	63/0	65/0	67/0
(5) Secretarial Support (Payne)	168/0	173/0	178/0
(6) Temporary Support (Payne)	44/0	45/0	47/0
c. Advisory Support (Payne)			
(1) External Advisory Committee (Wodrich)	341/0	352/0	362/0
(2) Environmental Interface (Landon)	32/0	33/0	34/0
Sub-Total	5307/500	4666/515	5867/531
2. Waste Tank Safety QA (Hanson)			
a. Waste Tank Safety QA Support (Weber)			
(1) TWRS QE (Board)	359/0	370/0	381/0
(2) TWRS QC (Logston)	518/0	532/0	549/0
(3) TWRS Quality Oversight (Hogan)	241/0	249/0	256/0
(4) Nuclear Safety, Procurement & Overhead (Weber)	701/0	722/0	744/0
(5) Waste Tank Safety Assurance (Islam)	493/0	508/0	523/0
(6) Fab Shop QC (Scott)	100/0	103/0	106/0
Sub-Total	2412/0	2484/0	2559/0
ACTIVITY TOTAL	7719/500	7150/515	8426/531

F7.4-4.1

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

		<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
B. WASTE TANK HYDROGEN MITIGATION				
1. Mitigation Program/Project Support				
a. Program Management		843/0	571/0	882/0
b. Project Management		918/0	737/0	974/0
c. PNL Engineering Services (PNL)		2017/260	1890/57	2777/64
(1) PNL Dilution Support		591/0	309/0	371/0
	PNL Total	2608/260	2199/57	3148/64
d. LANL Engineering Services (LANL)				
(1) DACS 2A SY Farm		108/4165	515/1002	265/750
(2) DACS 2B 101-AW		0/0	0/1357	381/181
(3) DACS 2C AN Farm		0/0	/0	616/597
(4) Gas Monitoring		400/0	309/0	318/0
(5) SA/TECH SPT 101-SY		518/0	309/0	309/0
(6) SA/TACH SPT 103-SY		2200/0	/0	531/0
a. Dilution Support		440/0	284/0	0/0
(7) SA/TACH SPT 101-AW		0/0	1231/0	154/0
(8) SA/TACH SPT 104-AN		0/0	0/0	564/0
(9) SA/TACH SPT 105-AN		0/0	515/0	488/0
(10) SA/TACH SPT 103-AN		0/0	0/0	0/0
	(LANL Total)	3666/4165	3163/2359	3626/1528
Sub-Total		8035/4425	6670/2416	8630/1592
2. 101-SY Mitigation				
a. Mixer Pump Operations		656/0		
b. DACS Maintenance & Operation		885/150		
c. Gas Monitoring Maintenance & Operation		962/100	694/103	1020/106
d. Instrumentation				
(1) Void/Viscometer Testing		517/0		
(2) Transport container		25/172		
e. Test Pump Removal Prep		218/0		
Sub-Total		3263/422	694/103	1020/106

F7.4-4.2

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

	<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
3. 103-SY Mitigation			
a. Design Pump	/3480	0/1092	
b. Procure and Fab Pump	0/2222	249/55	
c. Install Pump	0/169	261/682	
d. DACS 2A System	313/1713	344/458	
e. DACS Maintenance & Ops	0/0	440/103	666/0
f. Gas Characterization System (GCS)	/1035	96/82	170/0
g. Instrumentation (Void/Viscometer)	516/0	535/0	
h. Mixer Pump Operations	0/0	282/0	
i. Removal/Disposal System	301/721		
j. In-Tank Dilution Test			
(1) Sampling	1277/0	1099/0	85/0
(2) Material	586/0	0/0	0/0
Sub-Total	2993/9340	3306/2472	921/0
4. 101-AW Mitigation			
a. Design Pump	0/0	0/2453	0/0
b. Procure and Fab Pump	0/0	0/1221	
c. Install Pump		0/0	995/915
d. DACS 2B System	0/	0/2130	691/0
e. DACS 2B Maintenance & Ops		0/0	618/78
f. Gas Characterization System (GCS)	00/338	0/301	
g. Instrumentation			
(1) Void/Viscometer	519/0	535/0	
h. Mixer Pump Operations		67/0	173/0
j. Removal/Disposal System			
(1) Removal	0/0	0/0	
(2) Fences & Roads			210/675
Sub-Total	519/338	535/6105	2687/1668

F7.4-4.3

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

	<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
5. 104-AN Mitigation			
a. Design Pump	0/0	0/657	0/3059
b. Procure and Fab Pump		0/1197	0/1126
c. Install Pump		74/25	355/1056
d. DACS 2C System		0/0	739/599
e. DACS 2C Maintenance & Ops			396/129
f. Gas Characterization System (GCS)	0/0	0/0	0/502
g. Instrumentation (Void/Viscometer)		532/0	548/0
h. Mixer Pump Operations			171/0
i. Removal/Disposal System			
(1) Removal		0/0	
(2) Fences & Roads			0/0
Sub-Total	0/0	606/1879	2209/6471
6. 103-AN Mitigation			
a. Design Pump		0/0	0/0
b. Procure and Fab Pump		0/0	0/0
c. Install Pump		0/0	0/0
d. Gas Characterization System (GSC)	0/0	0/0	0/0
e. Instrumentation (Void/Viscometer)			548/0
f. Mixer Pump Operations			0/0
g. Removal/Disposal System			
(1) Removal			0/0
(2) Fences & Roads			191/0
Sub-Total	0/0	0/0	739/0

F7.4-4.4

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

	<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
7. 105-AN Mitigation			
a. Design Pump		74/0	0/617
b. Procure and Fab Pump		0/0	0/2358
c. Install Pump		0/0	811/790
d. Gas Characterization System (GCS)	0/	0/0	0/601
e. Instrumentation (Void/Viscometer)		532/0	0/0
f. Mixer Pump Operations			455/0
g. Removal/Disposal System			165/0
(1) Removal			180/106
(2) Fences & Roads			0/0
Sub-Total	0/0	606/	1611/4472
ACTIVITY TOTAL	14810/14525	11811/12975	17817/14309

F7,4-4.5

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

		<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
C. WASTE TANK FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM				
1.	Evaluate & Define Technology Development for 18 FeCN Tanks (Cash)			
a.	FeCN Simulated Waste Studies (Fauske)	377/0		
b.	Mossbauer Spectrometer (Lockrem)	48/22		
c.	Project Management (PNL/Schiefelbein)	225/0		
d.	Aging Waste (PNL/Lilga)	535/25		
e.	Cesium Uptake (PNL/Burgeson)	65/0		
f.	Special Studies/Consultation (PNL/Schiefelbein)	225/0		
g.	Compare Real Waste w/Simulated Waste (PNL/Scheele)	160/30		
j.	Infrared/ICP Speciation Transfer (PNL/Bryan)	65/0		
h.	Cesium Nickel Ferrocyanide Solubility (PNL/Rai)	65/0		
i.	Sludge Moisture Modeling (PNL/Simmons)	135/0		
k.	DNFSB/CAP Management (Cash)	132/0		
l.	In-Situ Relative Humidity Instrumentation (Schroeder)	116/29		
m.	Infrared Speciation Transfer (Winkelman)	192/53		
n.	Scanning Electron Microscope Investigation Support (Lockrem)	365/0		
	Sub-Total	2706/159	0/0	0/0
2.	Evaluate & Define for 18 FeCN Tanks (Dukelow)			
a.	Engineering Document Control (SV Cook)	15/0	15/0	16/0
b.	CAP Management FeCN Tanks (Dukelow)	86/0	88/0	91/0
c.	Installation of TC Trees/Hookup TMACS (Dukelow)	1013/184		
d.	Pressure Monitoring Design & Installation (Blanchard)	446/1020	227/429	266/193
e.	Surface Moisture Measuring (CPAC) (Winkelman)	323/165	345/244	233/251
f.	Neutron Probe Moisture Monitor LOW Engineering (Toffer)	542/180	202/0	208/0
g.	Neutron Probe Moisture Monitoring LOW Install (Toffer)	396/893	391/757	341/0
h.	Infrared Scan Device Modification & Scans (Moore)		130/231	148/109
i.	ISB Amendment (Grigsby)	42/0		
j.	Electromagnetic Induction Probe (Toffer)	336/283	119/547	196/566
	Sub-Total	3199/2725	1517/2208	1498/1120

F7.4-4.6

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

	<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
3. Ferrocyanide Safety Issue Resolved (Cash)			
a. CAP Management FeCN Tank Safety (Cash)	222/0	269/0	277/0
b. Update Safety Documentation (Grigsby)	114/0	113/0	117/0
c. Resolve SI for 4 C Farm Tanks (Grigsby)	211/0	51/0	
d. Tech Support - SI Resolution (Hunter)	388/0	398/0	376/0
e. Resolve SI for 14 FeCN Tanks (Grigsby)		204/0	210/0
f. Core Sample/Data Interpretation (Haller)	660/0	643/0	222/0
g. Fauske Contract - SI Resolution (Cash)		259/0	372/0
Sub-Total	1594/0	1936/0	1574/0
ACTIVITY TOTAL	7499/2884	3452/2208	3073/1120

F7.4-4.7

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

		<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
D. WASTE TANK FLAMMABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM				
1.	Eval & Define Technology Dev for Flam Gas			
a.	Program Management			
	(1) Program/CAP Management (McDuffie)	107/0	110/0	114/0
	(2) Project Management (PNL/Brothers)	300/0	330/0	318/0
b.	Gas Retention Mechanisms			
	(1) Phase Equilibria Studies - Gases (PNL/Pederson/Liu)	215/60	196/26	202/0
	(2) Gas Reaction Studies (PNL/Pederson)	216/25	196/0	95/0
	(3) Gas Bubble Retention Studies (PNL/UT/Gauglitz)	368/20	232/0	95/0
c.	Waste Behavior Modeling			
	(1) Waste Tank Physics Modeling (PNL/Trent)	326/50	515/154	584/106
	(2) Thermal/Hydraulic Analysis (Ogden & Contracts)	422/0	446/0	470/0
	(3) Gas Release Mechanism/Waste Modeling (LANL)	0/0	515/0	
	(4) Theory Development (PNL/Schienbein)	234/0	0/0	
d.	Gas Generation Mechanisms			
	(1) 222-S Gas Generation Tests (Jewett)	331/0	343/0	282/0
	(2) 222-S Analytical Support (Marshall)	116/0		
	(3) Gas Generation Studies (PNL/GIT/Pederson)	502/75	371/21	
e.	Gas Reaction Studies			
	(1) USBM Tests for Mixtures/Detonation Sensitiv (LANL)	368/0		
	(2) Benchmark USBM Experiments/Modeling (LANL)	0/0	436/0	106/0
f.	Organic Characterization			
	(1) Organic Analysis and Methods Dev (PNL/Campbell)	238/0	232/0	
Sub-Total		3743/230	3920/201	2267/106
2.	Eval & Define Flammable Gas Tanks			
a.	Vapor Space Monitoring (M-40-10)			
	(1) Program/CAP Management (Sherwood)	107/0	110/0	113/0
	(2) Vapor Sampling (Zuroff)	107/0	110/0	114/0
	(3) Vapor Sample Analysis w/Mass Spec (PNL/M.Goheen)	337/0	412/52	239/53
	(4) Vapor Sample Data Analysis (Kirch)	161/0	276/0	340/0

F7.4-4.8

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

	<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
b. Retained Gas Evaluation			
(1) Develop Retained Gas Sampler System (Bauer)	501/0	129/0	
(2) RGS Sample Analysis (Jewett)	208/0		
(3) 222-S Analytical Support (Marshall)	15/0		
(4) RGS Data Analysis (Kirch)	0/0	134/0	159/0
(5) Retained Gas Sampler Safety Assesement (LANL)	132/0		
(6) Retained Gas Sampler Studies (PNL/Shekarritz)	101/20		
c. Waste Behavior Evaluation			
(1) Waste Behavior Data Evaluation (PNL/Schienbein)	188/0	464/52	690/212
(2) DQOs/Technical Support (Sherwood)	25/0		
(3) Auger/Core Sample Data Analysis (Kirch)	140/0	170/0	182/0
(4) Radioactive Waste Sample Analysis (PNL/Tingey)	0/0	293/128	212/106
(5) Tank Behavior Interpretive Reports (Kirch)	130/28	200/0	200/0
(6) Data Analysis (LANL)	264/0		
Sub-Total	2416/48	2298/232	2249/371
3. Close USQs for Flammable Gas Tanks			
a. Close SY Farm USQ (SI 21)			
(1) USQ Closure SY Farm (Fein)	187/0		
USQ Closure			
Hazard Evaluation			
Safety Issue Resolution			
LANL Oversight			
(2) DST Bounding Analysis (LANL)	250/0		
b. Close Remaining USQs (M-40-09)			
(1) Program/CAP Management (Christensen)	0/0	110/0	114/0
(2) Safety Documentation (Fein)	187/0	650/0	547/0
USQ Closure			
Hazard Evaluation			
Safety Issue Resolution			
LANL Oversight			

F7.4-4.9

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

	<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
(3) Environmental Support/EA (Engelmann)	0/0	15/0	16/0
(4) SST Bounding Analysis (LANL)	250/0	824/0	637/0
Sub-Total	874/0	1599/0	1314/0
4. Store & Monitor Flam Gas Tanks			
a. SY Farm Exhauster (SI 2f)			
(1) Install SY Farm Exhauster (Reed)	102/0		
b. SY Farm Spark-Resistant Fan (SI 2k)			
(1) Procure and Install Spark-Proof Fan (Reed)	46/0		
c. Gas Monitors (SI 2h)			
(1) DST Gas Monitors Auxiliary Equip (Bauer)	176/198		
(2) SST Gas Monitors including 107-U (Witt/KEH)	236/647		
(3) Gas Monitoring Support (LANL)	140/0		
d. Program Management			
(1) Program/CAP Management (Johnson)	107/0	110/0	114/0
(2) Engineering Management Support (Popielarczyk)	59/0	61/0	62/0
(3) Operations Management Support (Dunford)	59/0	61/0	63/0
e. Gas Monitor Upgrades			
(1) SST Gas Monitors Follow On (Witt/KEH)		0/164	
(2) Gas Monitoring Support (LANL)	135/0	309/0	159/0
(3) Gas Monitor Follow On Support (Bauer)	100/0		
(4) Fab 6 Spare Gas Monitors (KEH)	0/552		
f. Temperature Upgrades			
(1) MITs for DSTs and 101-A (Blanchard)	165/0		
(2) Temperature Trees for SSTs (Moore)	0/261	267/660	276/680
(3) Monitoring Upgrades Support (Schroeder)	155/0	266/0	276/0
(4) Validation Probes for MITs (LANL)	0/300		
g. Video System Upgrades			
(1) Video Cameras for DSTs (Moore)	125/2024		
(2) Portable Camera Systems for SSTs (Moore)	0/586	0/377	
h. Surface Level Upgrades			
(1) Surface Level Upgrades for 16 Tanks (Moore)	59/277		

FY 94-4.10

WHC-SP-1101

**Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)**

	<u>FY95 EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96 EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97 EXP/CAP</u>
i. Ventilation Upgrades			
(1) AW Farm Vent Upgrades (Reed)	100/598	149/880	
(2) Facility Systems Support (Jenkins)	90/0		
(3) Fabrication Services (Hay)	35/0		
(4) AN Farm Vent Upgrades (Reed)		360/2010	446/1035
(5) Portable Exhauster for SSTs (Jenkins)	50/549		
(6) NEPA Permitting Support (Engelmann)	50/0		
j. Ammonia Upgrades			
(1) Ammonia Monitors AW & AN Farms (Bauer)	172/153		
k. Misc Tank Farm Equipment & Upgrades			
(1) Multi-Port Risers & Elec Upgrds (Popielarczyk)	0/0	178/1382	217/1424
l. Equipment Disposal			
(1) Waste Disposal of Old Exhausters (Geier)		2678/0	3395/0
(2) Equipment Removal, Storage & Disposal		1081/0	1167/0
Sub-Total	2161/6145	5521/5473	6175/3139
5. Integrated Field Support for Flammable Gas Tanks			
a. Integrated Field Support (Wilder)			
(1) West Area Operations (Wicks)	175/0	257/0	186/0
(2) Maintenance (Legg)	250/0	330/0	265/0
(3) West Area Planning (Jennings)	100/0	155/0	106/0
(4) HPT Support (Lueck)	203/0	209/0	184/0
(5) IH Support (Held)	86/0	88/0	60/0
(6) East Area Operations (Ni)	225/0	257/0	186/0
(7) East Area Planning (Kimbrough)	150/0	155/0	106/0
(8) Test Engineering (Reed)	555/0	623/0	642/0
(9) AW Farm Operations (Ross)	100/0		
(10) Major Maintenance (Bryant)	53/0		
Sub-Total	1897/0	2074/0	1735/0
ACTIVITY TOTAL	11091/6423	15412/5906	13740/3616

F7.4-4.11

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

	<u>FY95 EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96 EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97 EXP/CAP</u>
E. WASTE TANK ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM			
1. Technology for Evaluating Organic Tanks (Turner)			
a. Project Management (PNL/Bean)	300/115	278/0	287/0
b. Organic Analytical Methods Development (PNL/Campbell)	450/0	464/0	239/0
c. Waste Aging Studies (PNL/Camaioni)	270/0	278/0	143/0
d. Organic Concentrations Mechanisms (PNL/Gerber)	225/0	232/0	96/0
e. Organic Laydown Model (PNL/Gerber)	180/0	93/0	48/0
f. Waste Energetics (PNL/Scheele)	450/155	464/0	239/0
h. SST Waste Carbon and Moisture Study (PNL/Toth)	180/0	93/0	48/0
i. Analysis Tank T-111 Core Sample (PNL/Scheele)	290/0		
j. Special Studies (PNL/Bean)	180/0	185/0	48/0
k. Organic Analytical Chemistry Support (PNL/Campbell)	180/200	185/0	96/0
l. Waste Sample Analysis (Work Order) (PNL/Bean)	101/0	246/0	253/0
Sub-Total PNL	2806/470	2518/0	1497/0
m. Waste Energetics w/Simulants (FAI) (Grigsby)	400/0	412/0	212/0
n. Waste Moisture w/Simulants (FAI) (Grigsby)	100/0	103/0	54/0
o. Basis Safe Ops SSTs - Organic/Nitrate (Grigsby)	299/0	515/0	212/0
p. Basis Safe Ops SSTs - Organic Layer (Grigsby)	100/0		
q. Basis Safe Ops SSTs - Absorbed Organic (Grigsby)	102/0	52/0	
r. Organic Tanks Safety Criteria (Grigsby)	100/0	103/0	106/0
s. Organics Solubility Study (Turner)	75/0	77/0	82/0
Sub-Total WHC	1176/0	1262/0	666/0
Sub-Total	3982/470	3779/0	2161/0

F-7.4-4.12

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

	<u>FY95 EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96 EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97 EXP/CAP</u>
2. Evaluate and Define Organic Tanks (Turner)			
a. CAP Management (Turner)	412/0	231/0	238/0
b. Program Plan (Turner)	50/0	52/0	53/0
c. Organic Tanks Watch List (Grigsby)	25/0	26/0	26/0
d. Core Data Interpretation (Kirch)	100/0	103/0	106/0
Sub-Total	588/0	411/0	424/0
3. Resolve USQ for Organic Tanks (Turner)			
a. USQ Closure Documentation (Grigsby)		97/0	212/0
Sub-Total	0/0	97/0	212/0
4. In-Tank Resolution of Organic Tanks w/o Treatment (Dukelow)			
a. CAP Management (Dukelow)	21/0	22/0	23/0
b. Install TC Trees/TMACS (Dukelow)	0/0	819/328	843/338
c. Pressure Monitoring Installation (Blanchard)	0/0	257/3736	265/133
d. Moisture Monitor Engineering & (LOW) Install (Toffer)	268/184	1114/276	1000/285
e. Moisture Monitoring/Control Demonstration (Jenkins)		2000/5529	5608/15501
f. Safety Evaluation/LOA (Grigsby)	90/0	93/0	
Sub-Total	379/184	4305/9869	7739/16257

F7.4-4.13

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

	<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
5. Pump & Dispose C-103 Organic Layer/Resolve Issue (Dukelow)			
a. Organic Receiver Tank (C. Hanson)	0/214		
b. Separations Equipment Fabrication (Hay)	400/0		
c. Separations Equipment Install/Operations (Leliefeld/Klos)	901/0	154/0	
d. Organic Storage Support (Ni)	135/0	371/0	287/0
e. Laboratory Analysis (Dukelow) (PNL work order)	424/0		
f. Engineering Support (C. Hanson)	635/0	360/0	179/0
g. Separations Equipment Burial (C. Hanson)	0/400	515/0	318/0
h. Safety & Permitting (Grigsby)		253/0	
i. Treatment System Design/Fabrication (C. Hanson/Leliefeld)	400/0	1051/0	53/0
j. Treatment System Install/Operations (Klos)		309/0	318/0
k. Treatment System Burial		82/103	0/106
Subtotal	2896/613	3095/103	1155/106
6. Resolve Safety Issue Organic Tanks (Turner)			
a. Moisture Monitoring/Control Engineering (Turner)	676/0	103/0	0/0
b. Safety Issue Closure Documentation (Grigsby)		106/0	109/0
Sub-Total	676/0	208/0	109/0
ACTIVITY TOTAL	8521/1267	11895/9972	11800/16364

F7.4-4.14

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

	<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
F. WASTE TANK HIGH HEAT SAFETY PROGRAM (Wang)			
1. Evaluate and Define High Heat Tanks (Wang)			
a. Conduct 106-C Process Test (TPA M-40-05, SI 2x) (Wang)			
(1) Program/CAP Management (Wang)	54/0		
(2) Plant Engineering Support (Dodd)	64/0		
(3) Operations Support (Ni)	45/0		
(4) Video Surveillance/Equipment (Smet)	107/0		
(5) Contingency Action Planning (Jenkins)	100/0		
(6) Thermal Model/Analysis (McCall)	47/0		
(7) Safety & Environmental Support (Hinckley)	41/0		
(8) Core Sample Data Interpretation (PNL/Eyler)	90/0		
(9) Investigate 106-C Anomalies (Wang)	260/0		
b. Resolve High-Heat Safety Issue (Wang)			
(1) Program/CAP Management (Wang)		55/0	57/0
(2) Contingency Action Planning (Jenkins)		174/0	122/0
(3) 105-C Process Test Support (Engelman)		110/0	85/0
(4) Safety Issue Resolution (Hinckley)			44/0
Sub-Total	808/0	339/0	308/0
ACTIVITY TOTAL	808/0	339/0	308/0

F-7.4-4.15

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

		<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
G.	MISCELLANEOUS UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS (Wang)			
1.	Evaluate Misc Underground Tanks (Wang)			
a.	MUST Hazards and Safety Analyses (Wang)			
	(1) Program/CAP Management (Wang)	54/0		
	(2) Identify Tanks (KEH)	79/0		
	(3) Evaluate and Define (Powers)	136/0		
	Obtain Historical Data/Info			
	Identify Potential Safety Issues			
	Technical Support			
	(4) Effect of Geometry on Criticality (Chiao)	68/0		
	(5) Safety Analysis (Smith/Jason Assoc-Almodavar)	264/0		
	Id Applicable Authorization Basis Documents			
	Perform Discovery USQ Screening			
	Perform Addit Prelim Hazard Analysis			
	Complete USQ Evaluation			
	DQO Review/Prepare Necessary Safety Docmntn			
	(6) Review Safety Eval & Risk Assmt (UW-McCormack)	50/0		
	(7) Establish Controls (Kennedy/Foster)	79/0		
	Establish Immediate Controls			
	Establish Addit Contrls, if Needed			
b.	Vapor Sampling (Mahon)			
	(1) Vapor Sampling (Mahon)	32/0	40/0	41/0
	(2) Vapor Sample Analysis (PNL)	315/0	623/0	642/0
c.	Safety Screening Sampling (Zuroff)			
	(1) Auger/Scoop Sampling (Zuroff)	140/0	285/0	294/0
	(2) Auger/Scoop Sample Analysis (Jewett)	620/0	778/0	1316/0

F7.4-4.16

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

	<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
d. Monitoring Equipment Upgrades (Schroeder)			
(1) Design Engineering (Schroeder)		0/45	0/46
(2) Equipment (Schroeder)		0/31	0/32
(3) Plant Engineering (Raymond)		15/0	16/0
(4) Field Support/Installation (Lee)		19/0	19/0
e. In-Tank Video/Photos (Smet)			
(1) Design Engr (Smet)	16/0	33/0	34/0
(2) Ops Support (Wright)	8/0	16/0	16/0
(3) HPT Support (Lueck)	4/0	9/0	10/0
(4) Plant Engr (Raymond)	5/0	11/0	11/0
(5) Equip/Mtl (Smet)	61/0	122/0	125/0
f. Riser Accessibility (Raymond)			
(1) Construction (Witt/KEH)	63/0	131/0	135/0
(2) Field Support/Installation (Lee)	148/0	304/0	313/0
(3) Plant Engr (Raymond)	107/0	220/0	227/0
g. MUST Safety Issue Resolution (Wang)			
(1) Program/CAP Management (Wang)		110/0	113/0
(2) Safety Issue Confirmation/Verification (Kirch)		110/0	114/0
(3) Safety Issue Resolution (Shannon)		141/0	145/0
Sub-Total	2249/0	2967/76	3571/78
ACTIVITY TOTAL	2249/0	2967/76	3571/78

F 7.4-4.17

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

	<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
H. WASTE TANK VAPOR RESOLUTION			
1. Mitigate - Technology Development for Tank Vapor Safety (Osborne)			
a. Vapor Flow Monitoring Device (Moore)	40/0		
Sub-Total	40/0	0/0	0/0
2. Resolve Internal Tank Vapor Issues (Osborne)			
a. Execute Recommendations from EEA (Design baseline)(Moore)	98/0		
b. Fabricate, Install Vapor Treatment System (Moore)	368/603		
c. Vapor Flow Monitoring Device (Engrg support)(Moore)	40/0		
d. Vapor Flow Monitor Characterization Analysis (Moore)	30/0		
Sub-Total	536/603	0/0	0/0
3. Evaluate & Define for Tank Vapor Safety (Osborne)			
a. WHC Program Management (Osborne)	458/0	351/0	
b. PNL Toxicology Consultation (PNL) (Mahlum)	325/0	258/0	
c. Weather Station Ops/Maint/Calibration (PNL) (Allwine)	55/0	57/0	
d. Atmospheric Pathways (PNL) (Allwine)	120/0	309/0	
Sub-Total	958/0	974/0	0/0
ACTIVITY TOTAL	1534/603	974/0	0/0

7.4-4.18

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

		<u>FY95 EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96 EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97 EXP/CAP</u>
I.	N/A			
J.	WASTE TANK NUCLEAR CRITICALITY			
1.	Store and Monitor Waste for Criticality			
a.	Nuclear Criticality Integration			
	(1) Program Mgmt/Safety Issue Resolution	107/0	109/0	111/0
	(2) Maintenance of Data Base	68/0	35/0	36/0
	(3) Material/Supplies/Travel/Computer	24/0	25/0	27/0
	Sub-Total	199/0	169/0	174/0
b.	Neutron Detection/Evaluation			
	(1) Passive Neutron Detection	284/0	311/0	299/0
	(2) Active Neutron Detection Source	0/248	0/112	
	(3) LOW Installation & Measurements		200/300	212/318
	(4) Surveillance/Data Acquisition	170/62	100/0	115/0
	(5) Contracts (LANL/ORNL)	116/0	119/0	82/0
	Sub-Total	570/310	730/412	708/318
c.	Criticality Detection/Evaluation			
	(1) Noise Analysis	210/0	245/0	205/0
	(2) Pulsed System Studies		0/77	0/317
	(3) Criticality Event Characterization	105/0	78/0	125/0
	(4) Contract (LANL/ORNL)	116/0	119/0	82/0
	Sub-Total	431/0	442/77	412/317
	ACTIVITY TOTAL	1200/310	1341/489	1294/635
K.	N/A			

F7.4-4.19

WHC-SP-1101

Waste Tank Safety Program
Fiscal Year 1995 Planning
(Program \$000 - Escalated)

		<u>FY95</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY96</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>	<u>FY97</u> <u>EXP/CAP</u>
L.	WASTE TANK HYDROXIDE CONTROL (Turner)			
1.	Mitigate Hydroxide Consumption (Carothers)			
a.	Mitigate 107-AN Hydroxide (Carothers)			
	(1) 107-AN Lab Process/Sample Analysis (Jewett/Marshall)	113/0	46/0	
	(2) 107-AN DQO (Raymond)	43/0		
	(3) 107-AN Procedures/Trng/RR (Reed/Fox/Grantham)	128/0		
	(4) 107-AN Monitoring Instr (Moore/Smet/Bauer)	107/534		
	(5) 107-AN Install Mixing System (Ni/Mohre/Lueck)	294/0		
	(6) 107-AN Caustic Addit Op (Ni/Mohre/Raymond)	70/0		
	(7) 107-AN Conduct Mix Ops/Eval Perf (Ni/Mohre/Raymond)	215/0	302/0	
	(8) 107-AN Disposal Cask (Raymond)	246/0		
b.	Mitigate 102-AZ Hydroxide (Carothers)			
	(1) 102-AZ Grab Sampling (Klos)	21/0		57/0
	(2) 102-AZ Lab Process/Sample Analysis (Jewett/Marshall)	56/0		108/0
	(3) 102-AZ Engr Eval of Mit Alternatives (Raymond)		44/0	
	(4) 102-AZ DQO (Raymond)		44/0	
	(5) 102-AZ Design Caustic Sys (Raymond/Jenkins/Towne)		115/0	
	(6) 102-AZ Install Caustic Sys (Mohre/Ni/Lueck)		113/0	
	(7) 102-AZ Conduct Caustic Addition Op (Ni/Raymond/Mohre)			142/0
	(8) 102-AZ Conduct Mixing Op/Eval Perf (Ni/Raymond/Mohre)			105/0
c.	Mitigate 102-AN Hydroxide (Carothers)			
	(1) 102-AN Grab Sampling (Klos)	21/0		
	(2) 102-AN Lab Process/Sample Analysis (Jewett/Marshall)	68/0	35/0	
	(3) 102-AN Design/Install Corrosion Probe (Raymond)		158/0	
	(4) 102-AN Engr Eval of Mit Alternatives (Raymond)		44/0	
	(5) 102-AN Procure Mixer Pump (Jenkins)		173/0	
	(6) 102-AN Design Caustic Sys (Jenkins/Towne/Raymond)		495/437	307/0
	(7) 102-AN DQO (Raymond)			57/0
	(8) 102-AN Install Caustic Sys (Mohre/Ni/Lueck/Raymond)			742/0
	Sub-Total	1382/534	1569/437	1518/0
	ACTIVITY TOTAL	1382/534	1569/437	1518/0

FY 1.4-4.20

WHC-SP-1101

Figure 7.4-5 Approach.

Waste Tank Safety

Figure 7.4-7. Waste Tank Safety Cost.

ESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY		PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 415 16:27		COST LOADING REPORT		START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05	
COST LOADING - SUMMARY BY YEAR - EXPENSE		TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR		DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1	
CT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	
A11 -					
:2A110A02	BASLINE PLANNING FY95	2566.5	56.6		
:2A110A04	ISSUE FINAL WTS PROGRAMMATIC RISK ASSMT (PHL)	478.0			
:2A110A08	BASLINE PLANNING FY96		2391.0		
:2A110A12	BASLINE PLANNING FY97			3524.0	
:2A110B04	MANAGEMENT & INTEGRATION FY95	1832.9			
:2A110B08	MANAGEMENT & INTEGRATION FY96		1890.4		
:2A110B12	MANAGEMENT & INTEGRATION FY97			1947.2	
:2A110E00	SYSTEMS ENGR & RISK MANAGEMENT	62.3			
:2A110K04	ADVISORY SUPPORT FY95	373.5			
:2A110K06	ADVISORY SUPPORT FY96		384.7		
:2A110K08	ADVISORY SUPPORT FY97			396.3	
TOTAL	A11	5313.2	4722.7	5867.5	
A12 -					
N2A120A04	WASTE TANK SAFETY QA FY95	2411.6			
N2A120A06	WASTE TANK SAFETY QA FY96		2484.2		
N2A120A08	WASTE TANK SAFETY QA FY97			2558.8	
TOTAL	A12	2411.6	2484.2	2558.8	
B21 -					
N2B210A04	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY95	843.5			
N2B210A06	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY96		570.5		
N2B210A08	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY97			882.0	
N2B210B04	PROJECT MANAGEMENT FY95	917.6			
N2B210B06	PROJECT MANAGEMENT FY96		737.2		
N2B210B08	PROJECT MANAGEMENT FY97			973.6	
N2B210D04	PHL HYDROGEN MITIGATION PROJ MSMT	355.0			
N2B210D06	PHL TANK SPECIFIC MITIGATION	677.0			
N2B210D08	PHL COMPUTATIONAL MODELING BASELINE	323.0			
N2B210D09	PHL ISSU TEST PLAN DILUTION TESTING 103-SY	591.0			
N2B210D11	DATA MANAGEMENT BASELINE	202.0			
N2B210D12	DATA ANALYSIS BASELINE	190.0			
N2B210D14	PHL INSTRUMENTATION DEVELOPMENT	200.0			
N2B210D15	LTR REPT VOID/VIDSCOMETER CHARACTER 101-SY	70.0			
N2B210D17	PHL DILUTION STUDIES		309.0		
N2B210D18	PHL DILUTION STUDIES			371.4	
N2B210D19	PHL ENGINEERING SERVICES FY96		1890.1		
N2B210D20	PHL ENGINEERING SERVICES FY97			2776.6	
N2B210E00	SAFETY ANALYSIS UPDATE SY-101 (LAHL)	518.0			
N2B210E02	LAHL BUILD DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM	108.0			
N2B210E06	LAHL SAFETY ANALYSIS 103-SY/IN-TANK DILUTION	2200.0			
N2B210E10	LAHL TECHNICAL SUPPORT 103-SY			530.5	
N2B210E12	LAHL GAS MONITORING SUPPORT	400.0			
N2B210E14	IN-TANK DILUTION SUPPORT (LAHL)	440.0			
N2B210E16	IN-TANK DILUTION SUPPORT (LAHL)		284.3		
N2B210E21	ISSUE TANK 101-AW SA (LAHL)		1230.9		
N2B210E24	LAHL DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM MAINTENANCE		515.0		
N2B210E25	LAHL 101-SY TECHNICAL SUPPORT		309.0		
N2B210E27	GAS MONITORING SUPPORT (LAHL)		309.0		
N2B210E29	ISSUE TANK 105-AW SA (LAHL)			488.1	
N2B210E30	LAHL TECHNICAL SUPPORT 101-AW			117.9	
N2B210E31	LAHL DACS 2C FOR AW FARM MAINTENANCE			616.4	
N2B210E32	LAHL TECHNICAL SUPPORT 104-AW			564.5	
N2B210E34	LAHL DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM MAINTENANCE			265.3	
N2B210E35	TECHNICAL SUPPORT 105-AW (LAHL)		515.0		
N2B210E36	LAHL DACS 2B SYS FOR SY FARM MAINTENANCE			380.9	
N2B210E38	LAHL 101-SY TECHNICAL SUPPORT			308.8	
N2B210E38	GAS MONITORING SUPPORT (LAHL)			318.3	

WHC-SP-1101

ESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY	PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER	WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 415 16:27	COST LOADING REPORT	START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
COST LOADING - SUMMARY BY YEAR - EXPENSE	TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR	DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

ACT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
--------	------	------------	------------	------------

B21 -				
TOTAL	B21	8035.2	6669.9	8594.1

B22 -				
N2B220E00	DACS MAINTENANCE & OPERATION FY95	884.5		
N2B220F00	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY95	961.9		
N2B220F04	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY96		693.5	
N2B220F08	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY97			1020.5
N2B220G10	INSTRUMENTATION TRANSPORT CONTAINER FY95	25.2		
N2B220G16	VISCOMETER 101-SY SUPPORT	149.2		
N2B220G18	VOIDMETER 101-SY SUPPORT	367.2		
N2B220H00	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS FY95	654.7	1.8	
N2B220K00	TEST PUMP REMOVAL PREP	218.1		
TOTAL	B22	3260.7	695.3	1020.5

B23 -				
N2B230C00	WORK PLAN/WORK PKG 103-SY		239.2	
N2B230C04	TRAINING/READINESS REVIEW		552.6	
N2B230D00	DACS 2A SYSTEM MGMT	313.4		
N2B230D02	DACS 2A SYSTEM PROC & FAB 103-SY		344.1	
N2B230E00	DACS MAINTENANCE & OPS 103-SY		357.8	82.7
N2B230E04	DACS MAINTENANCE & OPS 103-SY			665.7
N2B230F04	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)103-SY		96.5	
N2B230F08	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS) 103-SY			170.0
N2B230G00	VISCOMETER 103-SY SUPPORT	149.2		
N2B230G04	VOIDMETER 103-SY SUPPORT	367.2		
N2B230G08	VOIDMETER 103-SY TESTING SUPT		106.5	47.1
N2B230G10	VISCOMETER 103-SY TESTING SUPT		257.5	128.8
N2B230J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM 103-SY	301.3		
N2B230K00	IN-TANK DILUTION TEST PREP 103-SY	1862.9		
N2B230K04	IN-TANK DILUTION SAMPLING 103-SY		1098.6	
N2B230K08	IN-TANK DILUTION SAMPLING 103-SY			85.2
TOTAL	B23	2994.0	3052.8	1179.5

B24 -				
N2B240C00	INSTALL PUMP 101-AW			881.8
N2B240C02	COMPL 101-AW INSTALL READINESS REV			76.1
N2B240C08	COMPLETE IN TANK TESTING AW-101			37.5
N2B240D04	DACS 2B SYSTEM 101-AW			691.8
N2B240E04	DACS 2B OPERATIONS 101-AW			210.2
N2B240E08	DACS 2B MAINTENANCE & OPS 101-AW			407.7
N2B240G02	VOIDMETER 101-AW INSTL SUPPORT	147.8		
N2B240G06	VISCOMETER 101-AW INSTL SUPT	371.3		
N2B240G08	VOIDMETER 101-AW INSTL SUPPORT		152.3	
N2B240G10	VISCOMETER 101-AW INSTL SUPT		207.9	179.9
N2B240H08	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS 101-AW			120.7
N2B240J06	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM 101-AW			210.3
TOTAL	B24	519.1	360.2	2816.0

B26 -				
N2B260C04	INSTALL PUMP 103-AW			
N2B260C10	COMPL PUMP AW-103 READINESS REVIEW			
N2B260F00	GCS MATERIAL PURCHASES AW-103			
N2B260G00	VISCOMETER 103-AW SUPPORT			158.3
N2B260G04	VOIDMETER 103-AW SUPPORT			389.6

F7.4-7.2

WHC-SP-1101

ESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 415 COST LOADING REPORT START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

16:27

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3

05. JWG - SUMMARY BY YEAR - EXPENSE

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

ACT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
E26 -				
N2B260H06	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS			
N2B260J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM 103-AH			191.4
TOTAL	B26			739.3

E27 -				
N2B270C00	INSTALL PREPARATION PUMP 104-AH		73.8	
N2B270C02	INSTALL PREPARATION PUMP 104-AH			214.7
N2B270C04	COMPL TANK 104-AH PUMP INSTALL RR			76.1
N2B270C06	INSTALL TANK 104-AH HIT PUMP			64.4
N2B270D06	DACS 2C SYSTEM MGMT			739.3
N2B270E00	DACS 2C MAINTENANCE & OPS			395.7
N2B270G00	VISCOMETER 104-AH SUPPORT		153.7	
N2B270G04	VOIDMETER 104-AH SUPPORT		378.2	
N2B270G06	VISCOMETER 104-AH SUPPORT			158.3
N2B270G08	VOIDMETER 104-AH SUPPORT			389.6
N2B270H00	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS			170.7
TOTAL	B27		605.8	2208.9

B28 -				
N2B280C04	INSTALL PUMP 105-AH			811.4
N2B280G08	VOIDMETER 105-AH SUPPORT			268.6
N2B280G10	VISCOMETER 105-AH SUPPORT			186.5
N2B280H00	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS			165.4
N2B280J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM			179.7
TOTAL	B28			1611.5

C31 -				
N2C310A04	FeCN SIMULATED WASTE STUDIES (FAUSKE)	377.0		
N2C310D05	PHL MANAGEMENT	225.0		
N2C310D07	PHL AGING WASTE STUDIES	535.0		
N2C310D15	PHL CESIUM UPTAKE	65.0		
N2C310D18	PHL SPECIAL STUDIES/CONSULTATION	225.0		
N2C310D19	PHL COMPARE REAL WASTE W/SIMULATED WASTE	160.0		
N2C310D21	INFRARED/ICP SPECIATION TRANSFER (PHL)	65.0		
N2C310D24	PHL CESIUM NICKEL FeCN SOLUBILITY	65.0		
N2C310D28	SLUDGE MOISTURE MODELING	135.0		
N2C310E04	DHFSB/CAP MANAGEMENT	132.0		
N2C310G02	HOSSBAUER SPECTROMETER	48.3		
N2C310H04	IN-SITU RELATIVE HUMIDITY INSTRUMENTATION	116.3		
N2C310J04	INFRARED SPECIATION TRANSFER	192.2		
N2C310L00	SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPE INVESTG SUPT	365.2		
TOTAL	C31	2705.9		

C33 -				
N2C330A06	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANK SAFETY FY95	221.7		
N2C330A24	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANK SAFETY FY96		268.7	
N2C330A30	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANK SAFETY FY97			276.8
N2C330B04	UPDATE SAFETY DOCUMENTATION FY95	114.1		
N2C330B06	UPDATE SAFETY DOCUMENTATION FY96		113.4	
N2C330B08	UPDATE SAFETY DOCUMENTATION FY97			116.8
N2C330C00	TRANSMIT DOC TO RESOLVE SI C FARM TANKS	211.0		
N2C330C08	DOE REVIEW/COMMENT - WHC DISPOSITION		51.0	
N2C330D14	TECHNICAL SUPPORT SI RESOLUTION FY95	387.8		
N2C330D16	TECHNICAL SUPPORT SI RESOLUTION FY96		398.0	

WHC-SP-1101

TESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY		PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 415 16:27		COST LOADING REPORT		START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05	
COST LOADING - SUMMARY BY YEAR - EXPENSE		TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR		DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 4	
CT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	
33 -					
2C330D18	TECHNICAL SUPPORT SI RESOLUTION FY97			376.4	
2C330E06	PREPARE SAFETY SUPT/SI RESOLUTION DOCUMENTS		203.5		
2C330E08	TRANS DOC RESOLVE FeCN SI ALL TANKS			209.6	
2C330F00	CORE SAMPLE/DATA INTERPRETATION	659.5			
2C330F20	CORE SAMPLE/DATA INTERPRETATION		642.8		
2C330F30	CORE SAMPLE/DATA INTERPRETATION			222.4	
2C330G00	FAUSKE CONTRACT - SI RESOLUTION FY96		258.9		
2C330G04	FAUSKE CONTRACT - SI RESOLUTION FY97			372.4	
TOTAL	C33	1594.1	1936.2	1574.4	
34 -					
2C340A04	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANKS FY95	85.6			
2C340A06	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANKS FY96		88.2		
2C340A08	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANKS FY97			90.9	
2C340B14	INSTALLATION OF TC TREES/HOOKUPS TMACS	1013.3			
2C340C12	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW ENGR	542.4			
2C340C20	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW ENGR		202.2		
2C340C30	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW ENGR			208.2	
2C340D02	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING	323.1			
2C340D20	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING (CPAC) FY96		344.5		
2C340D30	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING (CPAC) FY97			232.8	
2C340E06	ENGINEERING DOCUMENT CONTROL	14.6			
2C340E08	ENGINEERING DOCUMENT CONTROL FY96		15.1		
2C340E10	ENGINEERING DOCUMENT CONTROL FY97			15.5	
2C340F10	PRESSURE MONITORING DESIGN & ENGR FeCN TANKS	445.7			
2C340F14	PRESSURE MONITORING ENGINEERING & INSTALLATION		227.0		
2C340F18	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION FeCN TANKS			265.6	
2C340G00	ISSU STATUS REPT ON LOW INSTALL ACTIVITIES	396.2			
2C340G20	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW INSTALL		390.7		
2C340G30	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW INSTALL			341.1	
2C340H04	IR SCAN DEVICE MOD & SCANS		129.8		
2C340H20	IR SCAN DEVICE MOD & SCANS			147.8	
2C340J00	ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)	336.4			
2C340J20	ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)		118.8		
2C340J30	070CTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)			196.3	
2C340K00	ISB AMENDMENT	41.7			
TOTAL	C34	3199.0	1516.1	1498.2	
41 -					
2D410A00	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY95	982.0			
2D410A06	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY96		1476.2		
2D410A08	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY97			1053.7	
2D410B10	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY95	407.0			
2D410B11	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY96		439.8		
2D410B12	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY97			431.8	
2D410C00	GAS GENERATION MECHANISMS FY95	948.8			
2D410C20	GAS GENERATION MECHANISMS FY96		713.6		
2D410C30	GAS GENERATION MECHANISMS FY97			282.5	
2D410E00	GAS RETENTION MECHANISMS FY95	799.0			
2D410E06	GAS RETENTION MECHANISMS FY96		623.2		
2D410E08	GAS RETENTION MECHANISMS FY97			392.6	
2D410H00	GAS REACTION STUDIES FY95	368.0			
2D410H20	GAS REACTION STUDIES FY96		435.7		
2D410H30	GAS REACTION STUDIES FY97			106.1	
2D410J00	ORGANIC CHARACTERIZATION	238.0			
2D410J04	DEV & TRANSFER OF METHODS ORGANIC ANALYSIS		231.8		

F7.4-7.4

WHC-SP-1101

ESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY		PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94	RUN NO. 415	COST LOADING REPORT		START DATE 01OCT92	FIN DATE 30SEP05
	16:27			DATA DATE 01OCT93	PAGE NO. 5
POS	NG - SUMMARY BY YEAR - EXPENSE	TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR			
ACT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	
D41 -					
TOTAL	D41	3742.9	3920.1	2266.7	
D42 -					
N2D420A00	VAPOR SPACE MONITORING FY95	711.6			
N2D420A20	VAPOR SPACE MONITORING FY96		908.0		
N2D420A30	VAPOR SPACE MONITORING FY97			806.4	
N2D420E00	ISSU INTERPRETIVE REPT 103-SY CORE SAMPLE DATA	747.0			
N2D420E20	WASTE BEHAVIOR EVALUATION FY96		1126.8		
N2D420E30	WASTE BEHAVIOR EVALUATION FY97			1283.8	
N2D420G04	RETAINED GAS EVALUATION FY95	957.1			
N2D420G20	RETAINED GAS EVALUATION FY96		263.2		
N2D420G30	COMPL DATA ANALY ON SAMPLS USING RGS			159.2	
TOTAL	D42	2415.7	2298.1	2249.4	
D43 -					
N2D430A06	CLOSE SY FARM USQs (SI 21)	437.0			
N2D430B10	CLOSE AM FARM USQ FLAM GAS TANKS	437.0			
N2D430B14	CLOSE AM FARM USQs FLAM GAS TANKS		1598.6		
N2D430B18	CLOSE REMAINING USQ FLAM GAS TANKS			1313.9	
TOTAL	D43	874.0	1598.6	1313.9	
D44 -					
N2D440A06	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY95	225.1			
N2D440A06	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY96		231.9		
N2D440A10	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY97			238.9	
N2D440B12	SY FARM SPARK RESISANT FAN (SI 2k)	46.0			
N2D440C12	EXPEDITE DESG/CONSTRU BACKUP PORTB EXH SY-101	102.0			
N2D440D02	VIDEO SYSTEM UPGRADES FY95	124.8			
N2D440E10	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY95	320.0			
N2D440E20	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY96		532.5		
N2D440E30	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY97			551.7	
N2D440F02	SURFACE LEVEL UPGRADES FY95	58.9			
N2D440G02	AM FARM VENT UPGRADES FY95	225.3			
N2D440G14	AM FARM VENT UPGRADES		149.3		
N2D440G20	AM FARM VENT UPGRADES		360.5		
N2D440G24	AM FARM VENT UPGRADES			445.6	
N2D440G30	PORTABLE EXHAUSTER FOR SSTs	100.0			
N2D440H06	GAS MONITOR UPGRADES FY95	235.2			
N2D440H10	GAS MONITOR UPGRADES FY96		309.0		
N2D440H14	GAS MONITOR UPGRADES FY97			159.2	
N2D440K02	INSTL AMMONIA MONITORS 241-AM/AN FARMS	172.4			
N2D440L02	MISC TANK FARM EQUIPMENT UPGRADES		178.2		
N2D440L06	MISC TANK FARM EQUIPMENT UPGRADES			217.5	
N2D440M00	INSTALL DST/SST GAS MONITORS (SI 2n)	551.7			
N2D440N00	EQUIPMENT DISPOSAL FY96		3759.4		
N2D440N04	EQUIPMENT DISPOSAL FY97			4562.0	
TOTAL	D44	2161.4	5520.8	6174.7	
D45 -					
N2D450J02	FIELD SUPPORT FOR FLAMMABLE GAS FY95	1896.9			
N2D450J06	FIELD SUPPORT FOR FLAMMABLE GAS FY96		2074.0		
N2D450J10	FIELD SUPPORT FOR FLAMMABLE GAS FY97			1735.7	

F7.4-7.5

WHC-SP-1101

ESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 415
16:27

COST LOADING REPORT

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

COST LOADING - SUMMARY BY YEAR - EXPENSE

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 6

CT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
45 -				
TOTAL	D45	1896.9	2074.0	1735.7
51 -				
2E510A2	PHL PROJECT MANAGEMENT	300.0		
2E510A4	PHL PROJECT MANAGEMENT		278.1	
2E510A6	PHL PROJECT MANAGEMENT			286.5
2E510B2	ORGANIC WASTE ENERGETICS	450.0		
2E510B4	ORGANIC WASTE ENERGETICS		463.5	
2E510B6	ORGANIC WASTE ENERGETICS			238.7
2E510C2	WASTE ENERGETICS W/SIMULANTS - FAI	400.2		
2E510C4	WASTE ENERGETICS W/SIMULANTS - FAI		412.2	
2E510C6	WASTE ENERGETICS W/SIMULANTS - FAI			212.3
2E510D2	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL METHODS DEVELOPMENT	450.0		
2E510D4	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL METHODS DEVELOPMENT		463.5	
2E510D6	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL METHODS DEVELOPMENT			238.7
2E510E2	ORGANIC WASTE AGING STUDIES	270.0		
2E510E4	ORGANIC WASTE AGING STUDIES		278.1	
2E510E6	ORGANIC WASTE AGING STUDIES			143.2
2E510F2	ORGANIC CONCENTRATION MECHANISMS	225.0		
2E510F4	ORGANIC CONCENTRATION MECHANISMS		231.8	
2E510F6	ORGANIC CONCENTRATION MECHANISMS			95.5
2E510G2	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY SUPPORT	180.0		
2E510G4	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY SUPPORT		185.4	
2E510G6	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY SUPPORT			95.5
2E510H2	SST ORGANIC CARBON & MOISTURE STUDY	180.0		
2E510H4	SST ORGANIC CARBON & MOISTURE STUDY		92.7	
2E510H6	SST ORGANIC CARBON & MOISTURE STUDY			47.7
2E510J2	ANALYSIS OF TANK T-111 CORE SAMPLE ANALYSIS	290.0		
2E510K2	SPECIAL STUDIES	180.0		
2E510K4	SPECIAL STUDIES		185.4	
2E510K6	SPECIAL STUDIES			47.7
2E510L2	ORGANICS SOLUBILITY STUDY	75.0		
2E510L4	ORGANICS SOLUBILITY STUDY		77.3	
2E510L6	ORGANICS SOLUBILITY STUDY			82.2
2E510M2	WASTE SAMPLE ANALYSIS (WO)	100.8		
2E510M4	WASTE SAMPLE ANALYSIS (WO)		245.9	
2E510M6	WASTE SAMPLE ANALYSIS (WO)			253.3
2E510N2	ORGANIC LAYDOWN MODEL (PNL)	180.0		
2E510N4	ORGANIC LAYDOWN MODEL (PNL)		92.7	
2E510N6	ORGANIC LAYDOWN MODEL (PNL)			47.7
2E510P2	WASTE MOISTURE W/SIMULANTS - FAI	100.0		
2E510P4	WASTE MOISTURE W/SIMULANTS - FAI		103.0	
2E510P6	WASTE MOISTURE W/SIMULANTS - FAI			54.4
2E510R2	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ORGANIC/NITRATE	299.4		
2E510R4	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ORGANIC/NITRATE		514.8	
2E510R6	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ORGANIC/NITRATE			211.5
2E510T2	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ORGANIC LAYER	100.0		
2E510U2	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ABSORBED ORGANIC	101.9		
2E510U4	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ABSORBED ORGANIC		51.5	
2E510V2	ORGANICS TANKS SAFETY CRITERIA	100.0		
2E510V4	ORGANICS TANKS SAFETY CRITERIA		103.0	
2E510V6	ORGANICS TANKS SAFETY CRITERIA			106.1
TOTAL	E51	3982.3	3778.9	2161.1
62 -				
2E520A2	CAP MANAGEMENT	412.4		
2E520A4	CAP MANAGEMENT		230.9	
2E520A6	CAP MANAGEMENT			237.8

F7.4-7.6

WHC-SP-1101

ESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM

EXPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 415
16:27

COST LOADING REPORT

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

OS NG - SUMMARY BY YEAR - EXPENSE

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 7

CT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
E52 -				
2E520B2	PROGRAM PLAN FOR ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM	50.2		
2E520B4	PROGRAM PLAN FOR ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM		51.7	
2E520B6	PROGRAM PLAN FOR ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM			53.3
2E520C2	ORGANIC TANKS WATCH LIST	24.9		
2E520C4	ORGANIC WATCH LIST TANKS		25.7	
2E520C6	ORGANIC WATCH LIST TANKS			26.4
2E520E2	CORE DATA INTERPRETN	100.1		
2E520E4	CORE DATA INTERPRETN		103.1	
2E520E6	CORE DATA INTERPRETN			106.2
TOTAL	E52	587.6	411.3	423.7
E54 -				
2E54012	CAP MANAGEMENT	21.4		
2E54014	CAP MANAGEMENT		22.0	
2E54016	CAP MANAGEMENT			22.7
2E540B4	INSTALL TC TREES/THACS		818.7	
2E540B6	INSTALL TC TREES/THACS			843.3
2E540C4	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION		257.4	
2E540C6	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION			265.1
2E540D2	MOISTURE MONITOR ENG & (LOW) INSTALL	267.8		
2E540D4	MOIST MONITOR ENG & LOW INSTALLATION		1114.2	
2E540D6	MOISTURE MONITOR & (LOW) INSTALL			1000.6
2E540E4	MOISTURE MONITOR/CONTROL		2000.2	
2E540E6	MOISTURE MONITOR/CONTROL DEMONSTRATION			5607.8
2E540F2	SAFETY EVALUATION/LOA	90.0		
2E540F4	SAFETY EVALUATION/LOA		92.7	
TOTAL	E54	379.2	4305.1	7739.4
E55 -				
2E550A4	USQ CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION		96.6	
2E550A6	USQ CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION			211.9
TOTAL	E55		96.6	211.9
E56 -				
2E560A2	MOISTURE MONITORING/CONTROL ENG.	676.1		
2E560A4	MOISTURE MONITORING/CONTROL ENG.		102.6	
2E560B4	SAFETY ISSUE CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION		105.6	
2E560B6	SAFETY ISSUE CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION			108.8
TOTAL	E56	676.1	208.2	108.8
E57 -				
2E570B2	SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT FABRICATION	400.1		
2E570C1	SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT INSTALL/OPER	901.0		
2E570C4	SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT INSTALL/OPER		153.8	
2E570D2	SEPARATIONS & ORGANIC STORAGE SUPPORT	135.3		
2E570D4	SEPARATIONS & ORGANIC STORAGE SUPPORT		370.6	
2E570D6	SEPARATIONS & ORGANIC STORAGE SUPPORT			287.0
2E570E2	LABORATORY ANALYSIS	424.4		
2E570F2	ENGINEERING SUPPORT	635.0		
2E570F4	ENGINEERING SUPPORT		360.4	
2E570F6	ENGINEERING SUPPORT			178.9
2E570H4	FAB SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT BURIAL CONTAINERS		514.6	
2E570H6	SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT BURIAL/STORAGE			318.3
2E570G4	SAFETY & PERMITTING		253.4	

F7.4-7.7

WHC-SP-1101

ESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 415 COST LOADING REPORT START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

16:27

COST LOADING - SUMMARY BY YEAR - EXPENSE TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 8

ACT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
E57 -				
N2E570J2	TREATMENT SYSTEM DESIGN	400.1		
N2E570J4	TREATMENT SYSTEM FABRICATION		1050.7	
N2E570J6	TREATMENT SYSTEM FINALIZATION			52.9
N2E570K4	TREATMENT SYSTEM INSTALL/OPERATIONS		309.0	
N2E570K6	TREATMENT SYSTEM INSTALL/OPERATIONS			318.2
N2E570L4	TREAT.SYSTEM BURIAL CONTAINERS-ENG DSN/EQUIP		82.4	
TOTAL	E57	2895.0	3095.0	1155.3

F61 -				
N2F610A1	INVESTIGATE C-106 ANOMALIES	259.9		
N2F610A2	CONDUCT 106-C PROCESS TEST (S1-2X)	412.2		
N2F610A3	DOCUMENT 106-C PROCESS TEST (TPA M-40-05)	135.5		
N2F610B4	RESOLVE HIGH-HEAT SAFETY ISSUE		339.3	
N2F610B6	RESOLVE HIGH-HEAT SAFETY ISSUE			307.8
TOTAL	F61	807.6	339.3	307.8

G71 -				
N2G710A1	MUST HAZARDS & SAFETY ANALYSES	526.6		
N2G710A2	MUST HAZARDS & SAFETY ANALYSES	203.1		
N2G710B2	VAPOR SAMPLING	346.5		
N2G710B4	VAPOR SAMPLING OF 5 MUSTs		662.5	
N2G710B6	VAPOR SAMPLING OF 10 MUSTs			682.5
N2G710C2	SAFETY SCREENING SAMPLING	760.0		
N2G710C4	SAFETY SCREENING SAMPLING		1062.7	
N2G710C6	SAFETY SCREENING SAMPLING			1609.4
N2G710D4	MONITORING EQUIPMENT UPGRADES		34.3	
N2G710D6	MONITORING EQUIPMENT UPGRADES			35.3
N2G710E2	IN-TANK VIDEO/PHOTOS	94.7		
N2G710E4	IN-TANK VIDEO/PHOTOS		190.5	
N2G710E6	IN-TANK VIDEO/PHOTOS			196.3
N2G710F2	RISER ACCESSIBILITY	318.3		
N2G710F4	RISER ACCESSIBILITY		655.5	
N2G710F6	RISER ACCESSIBILITY			675.2
N2G710G4	MUST SAFETY ISSUE RESOLUTION		361.3	
N2G710G6	MUST SAFETY ISSUE RESOLUTION			372.2
TOTAL	G71	2249.3	2966.9	3570.9

H81 -				
N2H810A1	TANK VAPOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	458.0		
N2H810A4	TANK VAPOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT		351.1	
N2H810B2	PNL TOXICOLOGY CONSULTATION	325.0		
N2H810B4	PNL TOXICOLOGY CONSULTATION		257.5	
N2H810C2	WEATHER STATION OPS/MAINT/CALIBRATION (PNL)	55.0		
N2H810C4	WEATHER STATION OPS/MAINT/CALIBRATION (PNL)		56.7	
N2H810D2	ATMOSPHERIC PATHWAYS (PNL)	120.0		
N2H810D4	ATMOSPHERIC PATHWAYS (PNL)		309.0	
TOTAL	H81	958.0	974.3	

H82 -				
N2H820A2	VAPOR FLOW MONITOR CHARACTERIZATION ANALYSIS	30.0		
N2H820B2	VAPOR FLOW MONITORING DEVICE (ENG SUPPT)	40.0		
N2H820C2	EXECUTE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM EEA (DSN BASELINE)	98.0		
N2H820D2	FABRICATE,INSTALL VAPOR TREATMENT SYSTEM	368.1		

F7.4-7.8

WHC-SP-1101

WESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 415
16:27

COST LOADING REPORT

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 9

COST SUMMARY BY YEAR - EXPENSE

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

CT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
32 -				
TOTAL	H82	536.1		
33 -				
2H830A2	VAPOR FLOW MONITORING DEVICE	40.0		
TOTAL	H83	40.0		
91 -				
2J910A2	NUCLEAR CRITICALITY INTEGRATION	253.1		
2J910A4	NUCLEAR CRITICALITY INTEGRATION		230.0	
2J910A6	NUCLEAR CRITICALITY INTEGRATION			236.9
2J910B2	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION	584.7		
2J910B4	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION		617.6	
2J910B6	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION			645.1
2J910C2	CRITICALITY DETECTION/EVALUATION	497.9		
2J910C4	CRITICALITY DETECTION/EVALUATION		566.1	
2J910C6	CRITICALITY DETECTION/EVALUATION			432.9
TOTAL	J91	1335.7	1413.7	1315.0
11 -				
2L110A2	MITIGATE 107-AN HYDROXIDE	1215.3		
2L110A4	MITIGATE 107-AN HYDROXIDE		348.5	
2L110A6	MITIGATE 102-AZ HYDROXIDE	77.8		
2L110B2	MITIGATE 102-AZ HYDROXIDE		316.1	
2L110B6	MITIGATE 102-AZ HYDROXIDE			412.4
2L110C2	MITIGATE 102-AN HYDROXIDE	89.0		
2L110C4	MITIGATE 102-AN HYDROXIDE		904.7	
2L110C6	MITIGATE 102-AN HYDROXIDE			1105.9
TOTAL	L11	1382.1	1569.3	1518.3
REPORT TOTAL		56953.6	56613.2	61921.1

F7.4-7.9

COST LOADING - SUMMARY BY YEAR - CAPITAL

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

WHC-SP-1101

CT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
11 -				
2A110A02	BASELINE PLANNING FY95	500.0		
2A110A08	BASELINE PLANNING FY96		515.0	
2A110A12	BASELINE PLANNING FY97			530.5
TOTAL	A11	500.0	515.0	530.5
121 -				
2B210D08	PML COMPUTATIONAL MODELING BASELINE	260.0		
2B210D19	PML ENGINEERING SERVICES FY96		56.7	
2B210D20	PML ENGINEERING SERVICES FY97			63.7
2B210E02	LANL BUILD DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM	4165.0		
2B210E04	LANL INSTALL DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM		170.0	
2B210E23	LANL BUILD DACS 2C SYSTEM AN FARM			541.1
2B210E24	LANL DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM MAINTENANCE		832.2	
2B210E26	LANL BUILD DACS 2B SYSTEM 101-AW		1357.5	
2B210E31	LANL DACS 2C FOR AN FARM MAINTENANCE			55.5
2B210E34	LANL DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM MAINTENANCE			750.1
2B210E36	LANL DACS 2B SYS FOR SY FARM MAINTENANCE			181.4
TOTAL	B21	4425.0	2416.4	1591.8
122 -				
2B2220E00	DACS MAINTENANCE & OPERATION FY95	150.0		
2B2220F00	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY95	100.0		
2B2220F04	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY96		103.0	
2B2220F08	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY97			106.1
2B2220G10	INSTRUMENTATION TRANSPORT CONTAINER FY95	172.4		
TOTAL	B22	422.4	103.0	106.1
123 -				
2B230A05	AS BUILT PIT PUMP & RISER 103-SY	227.4		
2B230A06	SITE ELECTRICAL/COVER BLOCK DESIGN	227.4		
2B230A08	PREP PROC SPEC/RFP/REVIEW BIDS	227.4		
2B230A12	ENGINEERING DESIGN SUPPORT	2850.0		
2B230A20	ENGINEERING DESIGN SUPPORT		1091.8	
2B230B00	AWARD BID FOR PUMP MANUFACTURE SY-103	366.0		
2B230B02	FAB & POUR CVR BLKS/FAB LOAD FRAME	1803.7		
2B230B04	ASSEMBLE FAB PUMP 103-SY		54.6	
2B230C02	PUMP INSTALLATION SUPPORT	168.7		
2B230C04	TRAINING/READINESS REVIEW		682.2	
2B230D00	DACS 2A SYSTEM MGMT	1713.0		
2B230D02	DACS 2A SYSTEM PROC & FAB 103-SY		458.5	
2B230E00	DACS MAINTENANCE & OPS 103-SY		103.0	
2B230F00	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)103-SY	1034.9		
2B230F04	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)103-SY		82.0	
2B230J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM 103-SY	721.5		
TOTAL	B23	9340.0	2472.1	
124 -				
2B240A06	DESIGN PUMP 101-AW		2306.8	
2B240A08	ENGINEERING DESIGN SUPPORT		146.6	
2B240B06	PROCURE PUMP 101-AW		170.0	
2B240B08	FAB PUMP 101-AW		1051.3	
2B240C00	INSTALL PUMP 101-AW			864.4
2B240C08	COMPLETE IN TANK TESTING AW-101			50.7
2B240D06	DACS 2B SYSTEM 101-AW		2130.2	

F7.4-7.10

WHC-SP-1101

WESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 414
16:25

COST LOADING REPORT

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

C0. ING - SUMMARY BY YEAR - CAPITAL

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

ACT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
--------	------	------------	------------	------------

B24 -

N2B240E04	DACS 2B OPERATIONS 101-AW			77.6
N2B240F00	GAS CHARACTER SYS MATERIAL - 101-AW	337.9		
N2B240F04	FAB & INSTALL GCS 101-AW		300.5	
N2B240J08	ENGINEERING & FENCE MODIFICATIONS			675.4
TOTAL	B24	337.9	6105.4	1668.1

B26 -

N2B260A10	DESIGN INSTALLATION SUPT 103-AW			
N2B260C04	INSTALL PUMP 103-AW			
N2B260F00	GCS MATERIAL PURCHASES AW-103			
N2B260F04	FAB & INSTALL (GCS)			
N2B260F10	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS) 103-AW			
N2B260J04	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM 103-AW			
TOTAL	B26			

B27 -

N2B270A00	DESIGN PUMP 104-AW		657.3	
N2B270A04	DESIGN PUMP 104-AW			3059.5
N2B280A04	DESIGN SUPPORT PUMP 105 AW			616.8
N2B270B00	PROCURE & FAB PUMP 104-AW		1196.6	
N2B270B06	FAB & ASSEMBLE PUMP 104-AW			1125.7
N2B270C00	INSTALL PREPARATION PUMP 104-AW		25.1	
N2B270C02	INSTALL PREPARATION PUMP 104-AW			574.1
N2B270C04	INSTALL TANK 104-AW HIT PUMP			481.6
N2B270E00	DACS 2C SYSTEM HGHT			599.1
N2B270E04	DACS 2C MAINTENANCE & OPS			129.4
N2B270F00	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)			502.2
TOTAL	B27		1879.1	7088.5

B28 -

N2B280B00	PROCURE PUMP 105-AW			1232.6
N2B280B04	FAB PUMP 105-AW			1125.7
N2B280C04	INSTALL PUMP 105-AW			600.0
N2B280C08	COMPL TANK 105-AW PUMP INSTALL REVIEW			189.6
N2B280F00	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)			309.6
N2B280F04	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)			291.8
N2B280J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM			106.1
TOTAL	B28			3855.4

C31 -

N2C310D07	PHL AGING WASTE STUDIES	25.0		
N2C310D19	PHL COMPARE REAL WASTE W/SIMULATED WASTE	30.0		
N2C310G02	HOSSBAUER SPECTROMETER	21.9		
N2C310H04	IN-SITU RELATIVE HUMIDITY INSTRUMENTATION	29.0		
N2C310J04	INFRARED SPECIATION TRANSFER	53.4		
TOTAL	C31	159.4		

C34 -

N2C340B14	INSTALLATION OF TC TREES/HOOKUPS THACS	184.2		
N2C340C12	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW ENGR	179.7		
N2C340D02	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING	164.9		
N2C340D02	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING (CPAC) FY96		243.7	

F7.4-7.11

WHC-SP-1101

ESTIMATINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY	PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER	WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 414 16:25	COST LOADING REPORT	START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
COST LOADING - SUMMARY BY YEAR - CAPITAL	TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR	DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3

CT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
41 -				
2C340D30	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING (CPAC) FY97			251.3
2C340F10	PRESSURE MONITORING DESIGN & ENGR FeCH TANKS	1020.0		
2C340F14	PRESSURE MONITORING ENGINEERING & INSTALLATION		429.3	
2C340F18	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION FeCH TANKS			193.4
2C340G00	ISSU STATUS REPT ON LOW INSTALL ACTIVITIES	893.5		
2C340G20	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW INSTALL		756.7	
2C340H04	IR SCAN DEVICE MOD & SCANS		230.6	
2C340H20	IR SCAN DEVICE MOD & SCANS			109.3
2C340J00	ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)	282.6		
2C340J20	ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)		547.3	
2C340J30	070CTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)			565.9
TOTAL	C34	2724.9	2207.7	1119.9

41 -				
2D410A00	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY95	50.0		
2D410A06	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY96		154.5	
2D410A08	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY97			106.1
2D410C00	GAS GENERATION MECHANISMS FY95	75.0		
2D410C20	GAS GENERATION MECHANISMS FY96		20.6	
2D410E00	GAS RETENTION MECHANISMS FY95	105.0		
2D410E06	GAS RETENTION MECHANISMS FY96		25.8	
TOTAL	D41	230.0	200.9	106.1

42 -				
2D420A20	VAPOR SPACE MONITORING FY96		51.5	
2D420A30	VAPOR SPACE MONITORING FY97			53.1
2D420E20	WASTE BEHAVIOR EVALUATION FY96		180.2	
2D420E30	WASTE BEHAVIOR EVALUATION FY97			318.3
2D420G04	RETAINED GAS EVALUATION FY95	20.0		
TOTAL	D42	20.0	231.7	371.4

44 -				
2D440D02	VIDEO SYSTEM UPGRADES FY95	2610.4		
2D440D20	VIDEO SYSTEM UPGRADES FY96		377.0	
2D440E10	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY95	561.1		
2D440E20	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY96		659.7	
2D440E30	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY97			679.6
2D440F02	SURFACE LEVEL UPGRADES FY95	276.9		
2D440G02	AW FARM VENT UPGRADES FY95	597.8		
2D440G14	AW FARM VENT UPGRADES		879.6	
2D440G20	AN FARM VENT UPGRADES		2010.6	
2D440G24	AN FARM VENT UPGRADES			1035.5
2D440G30	PORTABLE EXHAUSTER FOR SSTs	549.0		
2D440H06	GAS MONITOR UPGRADES FY95	551.7		
2D440H10	GAS MONITOR UPGRADES FY96		163.9	
2D440K02	INSTL AMMONIA MONITORS 241-AW/AN FARMS	152.5		
2D440L02	MISC TANK FARM EQUIPMENT UPGRADES		1382.3	
2D440L06	MISC TANK FARM EQUIPMENT UPGRADES			1423.9
2D440M00	INSTALL DST/SST GAS MONITORS (SI 2h)	845.3		
TOTAL	D44	6144.8	5473.1	3139.0

51 -				
2E510A2	PNL PROJECT MANAGEMENT	115.0		
2E510B2	ORGANIC WASTE ENERGETICS	155.0		

F7.4-7.12

WHC-SP-1101

ESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 414
16:25

COST LOADING REPORT

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 4

0. WING - SUMMARY BY YEAR - CAPITAL

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

CT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997
E51 -				
N2E510G2	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY SUPPORT	200.0		
TOTAL	E51	470.0		
E54 -				
N2E540B4	INSTALL TC TREES/THACS		327.9	
N2E540B6	INSTALL TC TREES/THACS			337.8
N2E540C4	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION		3736.1	
N2E540C6	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION			132.8
N2E540D2	MOISTURE MONITOR ENG & (LOW) INSTALL	183.6		
N2E540D4	MOIST MONITOR ENG & LOW INSTALLATION		275.8	
N2E540D6	MOISTURE MONITOR & (LOW) INSTALL			285.4
N2E540E4	MOISTURE MONITOR/CONTROL		5529.4	
N2E540E6	MOISTURE MONITOR/CONTROL DEMONSTRATION			15501.2
TOTAL	E54	183.6	9869.3	16257.3
E57 -				
N2E570A2	ORGANIC RECEIVER TANK	213.5		
N2E570H2	DESIGN SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT BURIAL CONTAINERS	399.8		
N2E570L4	TREAT.SYSTEM BURIAL CANTAINERS-ENG DSH/EQUIP		103.0	
N2E570L6	TREATMENT SYSTEM BURIAL CONTAIN. EQUIP			106.3
TOTAL	E57	613.3	103.0	106.3
G71				
N2G71004	MONITORING EQUIPMENT UPGRADES		75.5	
N2G71006	MONITORING EQUIPMENT UPGRADES			77.8
TOTAL	G71		75.5	77.8
H82 -				
N2H820D2	FABRICATE,INSTALL VAPOR TREATMENT SYSTEM	602.5		
TOTAL	H82	602.5		
J91 -				
N2J910B2	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION	310.0		
N2J910B4	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION		412.1	
N2J910B6	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION			318.3
N2J910C4	CRITICALITY DETECTION/EVALUATION		77.5	
N2J910C6	CRITICALITY DETECTION/EVALUATION			318.3
TOTAL	J91	310.0	489.5	636.7
L11 -				
N2L110A2	MITIGATE 107-AH HYDROXIDE	534.4		
N2L110C4	MITIGATE 102-AH HYDROXIDE		437.3	
TOTAL	L11	534.4	437.3	
REPORT TOTAL		27018.1	32579.0	36654.8

F7.4-7.13

IN2 EXPENSE FY95		TECHNICAL INTEGRATION & PLANNING	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94	WORK PACKAGE TOTALS	START DATE 01OCT92	FIN DATE 07JUL00
11:33			
PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587		DATA DATE 01OCT93	PAGE NO. 1
ITS PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & QA			

F7.4-7.14

WHC-SP-1101

2 EXPENSE FY95

TECHNICAL INTEGRATION & PLANNING

REPORT DATE 15SEP94

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

11:33

BY NL SIMON 373-2587

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

AM MANAGEMENT & QA

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DLR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
-------------	-------------	----------	-------------	--------------	--------------	----------	-----------------	-------------

12

0A

12A120A04	WASTE TANK SAFETY QA FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2A120A02 L 38240000		5960	228017
					1N2A120A02 L 38240010		3805	253908
					1N2A120A02 L 38240020		216	12686
					1N2A120A02 L 38240030		96	6983
					1N2A120A02 M 38240130		4	4392
					1N2A120A07 L 31440010		6795	475718
					1N2A120A02 M 38240140		9	10980
					1N2A120A07 L 31440000		453	17486
					1N2A120A03 L 38230000		161	6902
					1N2A120A03 L 38230010		3443	229751
					1N2A120A03 M 38230140		3	3660
					1N2A120A03 O 38230600		1	1464
					1N2A120A01 L 38220000		161	6902
					1N2A120A01 L 38220010		5254	350599
					1N2A120A01 M 38220140		1	1220
					1N2A120A04 L 38200010		5436	362744
					1N2A120A04 L 38200000		3624	136183
					1N2A120A04 L 38200010		1812	120915
					1N2A120A04 L 38200000		725	27644
					1N2A120A04 L 38200010		725	46379
					1N2A120A04 M 38200100		0	244
					1N2A120A04 M 38200140		2	2928
					1N2A120A05 L 51310000		1268	65188
					1N2A120A05 L 51310010		544	34713

40558 2411606

40558 2411606

64588 7719100

64588 7719100

F7.4-7.15

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY96

TECHNICAL INTEGRATION & PLANNING

REPORT DATE 15SEP94

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

11:36

PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2567

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & QA

ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION	ORIG	EARLY	EARLY	COST	RESOURCE	BUDGET	BUDGET
ID		DUR	START	FINISH	ACCOUNT		QUANTITY	COST

01

04

04110A06 BASELINE PLANNING FY96

251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2A110A01	L	7R210010		1612	110224
			1N2A110A02	O	7R21021C		1000	1030000
			1N2A110A03	L	7M610010		4983	303116
			1N2A110A06	L	7CK00010		3624	220446
			1N2A110A01	L	7R210010		6795	413340
			1N2A110A04	L	7M400000		453	10790
			1N2A110A04	L	7M400010		4963	303116
							23650	2391034

05

05110B06 MANAGEMENT & INTEGRATION FY96

251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2A110B01	L	7R200010		1620	110711
			1N2A110B02	L	7R2A0010		1820	110711
			1N2A110B03	O	7R2003DC		800	824000
			1N2A110B04	L	67021010		1620	64810
			1N2A110B05	L	7R200000		7272	173219
			1N2A110B06	L	7R200040		2273	45278
			1N2A110B01	L	7R200010		1620	110711
			1N2A110B01	M	7R200140		40	50264
			1N2A110B01	M	7R200190		100	125660
			1N2A110B01	O	7R2002T0		20	25132
			1N2A110B01	O	7R2004C0		35	43961
			1N2A110B01	O	7R2006P0		120	150792
			1N2A110B01	L	7R200010		906	55112
							18846	1890380

06

06110K06 ADVISORY SUPPORT FY96

251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2A110K01	O	7E900210		280	351648
			1N2A110K03	L	86100010		540	32654
							820	364702
							43316	4666116

F7.4-7.16

WHC-SP-1101

WASTE EXPENSE FY96

TECHNICAL INTEGRATION & PLANNING

REPORT DATE 15SEP94
11:38

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

PPF BY NL SIMON 373-2567
AM MANAGEMENT & QA

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
0A								
0A								
0A120A06	WASTE TANK SAFETY QA FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2A120A02 L 38240000		5980	234894
					1N2A120A02 L 38240010		3805	261556
					1N2A120A02 L 38240020		216	13066
					1N2A120A02 L 38240030		96	7192
					1N2A120A02 M 38240130		4	4524
					1N2A120A07 L 31440010		6795	469987
					1N2A120A02 M 38240140		9	11309
					1N2A120A07 L 31440000		453	16011
					1N2A120A03 L 38230000		181	7110
					1N2A120A03 L 38230010		3443	235672
					1N2A120A03 M 38230140		3	3770
					1N2A120A03 O 38230600		1	1506
					1N2A120A01 L 38220000		181	7110
					1N2A120A01 L 38220010		5254	361160
					1N2A120A01 M 38220140		1	1257
					1N2A120A04 L 38200010		5436	373671
					1N2A120A04 L 38200000		3624	142351
					1N2A120A04 L 38200010		1812	124557
					1N2A120A04 L 38200000		725	28476
					1N2A120A04 L 38200010		725	49637
					1N2A120A04 M 38200100		0	251
					1N2A120A04 M 38200140		2	3016
					1N2A120A05 L 51310000		1268	67153
					1N2A120A05 L 51310010		544	35757
							40558	2484196
							40558	2484196
							83674	7150312
							83674	7150312

F7.4-7.17

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY9Y				TECHNICAL INTEGRATION & PLANNING			
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 11-41				START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00			
PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2567				DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1			
S PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & QA							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG EARLY DUR START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST	
0A							
0A110A12	BASELINE PLANNING FY97	251 01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2A110A01 L 7R210010	1812	113540	
				1N2A110A02 O 7R21021C	2000	2122000	
				1N2A110A03 L 7M610010	4963	312235	
				1N2A110A06 L 7C000010	3624	227080	
				1N2A110A01 L 7R210010	6795	425775	
				1N2A110A04 L 7M400000	453	11112	
				1N2A110A04 L 7M400010	4963	312235	
					24650	3523976	
0B							
0B110B12	MANAGEMENT & INTEGRATION FY97	251 01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2A110B01 L 7R200010	1820	114041	
				1N2A110B02 L 7R2A0010	1820	114041	
				1N2A110B03 O 7R2003DC	800	848800	
				1N2A110B04 L 67021010	1820	66758	
				1N2A110B05 L 7R200000	7272	178362	
				1N2A110B06 L 7R200040	2273	46642	
				1N2A110B01 L 7R200010	1820	114041	
				1N2A110B01 M 7R200140	40	51777	
				1N2A110B01 M 7R200190	100	129442	
				1N2A110B01 O 7R200270	20	25888	
				1N2A110B01 O 7R2004C0	35	45305	
				1N2A110B01 O 7R2006P0	120	155330	
				1N2A110B01 L 7R200010	906	56770	
					18846	1947218	
0K							
0K110K06	ADVISORY SUPPORT FY97	251 01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2A110K01 O 7E900210	280	362438	
				1N2A110K03 L 88100010	540	33842	
					820	396279	
					44316	5867473	

F7.4-7.18

WHC-SP-1101

DEFENSE FY97

TECHNICAL INTEGRATION & PLANNING

REPORT DATE 15SEP94

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

11:41

BY HL SIMON 373-2587

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

WTS AM MANAGEMENT & QA

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
412								
0A								
W2A120A08	WASTE TANK SAFETY QA FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2A120A02 L 36240000		5980	241951
					1N2A120A02 L 36240010		3805	269394
					1N2A120A02 L 36240020		216	13459
					1N2A120A02 L 36240030		96	7409
					1N2A120A02 M 36240130		4	4660
					1N2A120A07 L 31440010		6795	504733
					1N2A120A02 M 36240140		9	11650
					1N2A120A07 L 31440000		453	18555
					1N2A120A03 L 36230000		181	7323
					1N2A120A03 L 36230010		3443	243764
					1N2A120A03 M 36230140		3	3883
					1N2A120A03 O 36230600		1	1553
					1N2A120A01 L 36220000		181	7323
					1N2A120A01 L 36220010		5254	371963
					1N2A120A01 M 36220140		1	1294
					1N2A120A04 L 36200010		5436	384869
					1N2A120A04 L 36200000		3624	146627
					1N2A120A04 L 36200010		1812	128290
					1N2A120A04 L 36200000		725	29334
					1N2A120A04 L 36200010		725	51330
					1N2A120A04 M 36200100		0	259
					1N2A120A04 M 36200140		2	3107
					1N2A120A05 L 51310000		1268	69169
					1N2A120A05 L 51310010		544	36834
							40558	2558753
							40558	2558753
							84874	8426226
							84874	8426226

F7.4-7.19

FY92 CAPITAL FY95 - FY97				PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER		TECHNICAL INTEGRATION & PLANNING	
REPORT DATE	29AUG94	RUN NO	67	WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE	01OCT92
	18-11					FIN DATE	29SEP99
PREPARED BY	HL SIMON X-3-2587					DATA DATE	01OCT93
	CIS PROGRAM MANAGEMENT & QA					PAGE NO.	1

51

32

02A110A02	BASLINE PLANNING FY95
02A110A06	BASLINE PLANNING FY96
02A110A12	BASLINE PLANNING FY97

030CT94	29SEP95	2N2A510A01	7R21011C	500	500000
020CT95	30SEP95	2N2A610A01	7R21011C	500	515000
010CT96	30SEP97	2N2A710A01	7R21011C	500	530500

				1500	1545500

				1500	1545500

				1500	1545500

				1500	1545500

F 7.4-7.20

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY95 HYDROGEN MITIGATION

PORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 12:22
 EP NL SIMON 373-2567 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1
 DR. MITIGATION

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
-------------	-------------	----------	-------------	--------------	--------------	----------	-----------------	-------------

0A								
25210A04	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210A01 L 7R240010		1812	107017
					1N25210A01 O 7R2403R0		103	109601
					1N25210A03 L 8D210010		2718	198849
					1N25210A04 L 7E310010		3624	214033
					1N25210A05 L 7E870010		3624	214033
							11881	643534

0B								
25210B04	PROJECT MANAGEMENT FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210B01 L 7E620010		1812	107017
					1N25210B01 L 7E620010		9060	535084
					1N25210B02 L 8D220010		1812	132566
					1N25210B02 L 8D220000		4530	142967
							17214	917633

0C								
25210C04	PHL HYDROGEN MITIGATION PROJ MGMT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210C01 O 7R2403DC		355	355000
25210C06	PHL TANK SPECIFIC MITIGATION	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210C01 O 7R2403DC		677	677000
25210C08	PHL COMPUTATIONAL MODELING BASELINE	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210C01 O 7R2403DC		323	323000
25210C09	PHL ISSU TEST PLAN DILUTION TESTING 103-SY	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210C01 O 7R2403DC		591	591000
25210C11	DATA MANAGEMENT BASELINE	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210C01 O 7R2403DC		202	202000
25210C12	DATA ANALYSIS BASELINE	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210C01 O 7R2403DC		190	190000
25210C13	PHL INSTRUMENTATION DEVELOPMENT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210C01 O 7R2403DC		200	200000
25210C14	LTR REPT VOID/VIDSCOMETER CHARACTER 101-SY	144	03OCT94	28APR95	1N25210C01 O 7R2403DC		70	70000
							2608	2608000

0E								
25210E00	SAFETY ANALYSIS UPDATE SY-101 (LANL)	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210E05 O 7R24021C		518	518000
25210E02	LANL BUILD DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210E01 O 7R24021C		108	108000
25210E06	LANL SAFETY ANALYSIS 103-SY/IN-TANK DILUTION	231	03OCT94	01SEP95	1N25210E06 O 7R24021C		2200	2200000
25210E12	LANL GAS MONITORING SUPPORT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210E04 O 7R24021C		400	400000
25210E14	IN-TANK DILUTION SUPPORT (LANL)	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25210E04 O 7R24021C		440	440000
							3666	3666000
							35369	8035167

0F								
25220E00	DACS MAINTENANCE & OPERATION FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N25220E01 L 8D210010		7248	530264
					1N25220E02 L 8D620000		3624	199356
					1N25220E03 L 7C930060		3624	154854

F 7.4 7.21

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY95				HYDROGEN MITIGATION				
REPORT DATE 15SEP94				START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00				
12.22								
PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587				DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2				
HYDROGEN MITIGATION								
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST

E22								
OE								
							14496	884473
OF								
N2B220F00	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2B220F01 L 8D210010		9060	662830
					1N2B220F02 L 8D520000		5436	299034
							14496	961864
OG								
N2B220G10	INSTRUMENTATION TRANSPORT CONTAINER FY95	104	23NOV94	25APR95	1N2B220G01 L 64100010		423	25152
N2B220G16	VISCOMETER 101-SY SUPPORT	209	01DEC94	29SEP95	1N2B210G01 L 8D210010		435	31825
					1N2B210G02 L 7CH30010		145	8564
					1N2B210G03 L 7CC60010		70	4134
					1N2B210G03 L 7CC60060		70	2991
					1N2B210G04 L 33340060		104	5147
					1N2B210G04 L 33340010		37	2241
					1N2B210G05 L 7C930010		37	2185
					1N2B210G05 L 7C930060		70	2991
					1N2B210G05 O 7C9303R0		84	89124
N2B220G18	VOIDMETER 101-SY SUPPORT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2B210G01 L 8D210010		378	27654
					1N2B210G01 L 8D210000		189	5965
					1N2B210G02 L 7CH30010		189	11162
					1N2B210G03 L 7CC60010		210	12403
					1N2B210G03 L 7CC60000		210	4855
					1N2B210G04 L 33340060		314	15540
					1N2B210G04 L 33340010		111	6722
					1N2B210G05 L 7C930010		111	6556
					1N2B210G05 L 7C930060		210	8973
					1N2B210G05 O 7C9303R0		252	267372
							3649	541556
OH								
N2B220H00	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS FY95	251	03OCT94	02OCT95	1N2B220H01 L 7CH30010		4506	266156
					1N2B220H03 L 7CF30010		3624	214033
					1N2B220H01 L 7CH30010		1046	61784
					1N2B220H01 O 7CH30210		50	61007
					1N2B220H02 L 7C940010		906	53515
							10132	656496
OK								
N2B220K00	TEST PUMP REMOVAL PREP	37	03OCT94	22NOV94	1N2B220K01 L 8D220010		848	62040
					1N2B220K02 L 67820010		1355	46842
					1N2B220K02 L 57530010		525	30697
					1N2B220K01 L 8D2203R0		74	78514

F7.4-7.22

WHC-SP-1101

HYDROGEN MITIGATION

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3

RESOURCE	BUDGET	BUDGET
	QUANTITY	COST

84

2802 218093

45575 3262482

89

250	030CT94	295EP55	1N2B230D01 L	6D210010	2643	193362
			1N2B230D01 O	8D2103R0	92	97612
			1N2B230D02 L	7CH30010	380	22443
					3115	313417

OG

23	01NOV94	03MAR95	1N2B230G01 L	80210010	435	31825
			1N2B230G02 L	7C430010	145	8564
			1N2B230G03 L	7CC60010	70	4134
			1N2B230G03 L	7CC60060	70	2991
			1N2B230G04 L	33340060	104	5147
			1N2B230G04 L	33340010	37	2241
			1N2B230G05 L	7C930010	37	2185
			1N2B230G05 L	7C930060	70	2991
			1N2B230G05 L	7C9303R0	84	89124
106	03OCT94	07MAR95	1N2B230G01 L	80210010	378	27654
			1N2B230G01 L	80210000	189	5965
			1N2B230G02 L	7C430010	189	11162
			1N2B230G03 L	7CC60010	210	12403
			1N2B230G03 L	7CC60000	210	4855
			1N2B230G04 L	33340060	314	15540
			1N2B230G04 L	33340010	111	6722
			1N2B230G05 L	7C930010	111	6556
			1N2B230G05 L	7C930060	210	8973
			1N2B230G05 O	7C9303R0	252	267372

					3226	516404

106	030CT94	07MAR95	1N2B230G01	L	8D210010	378	27654
			1N2B230G01	L	8D210000	189	5965
			1N2B230G02	L	7C430010	189	11162
			1N2B230G03	L	7CC60010	210	12403
			1N2B230G03	L	7CC60000	210	4855
			1N2B230G04	L	33340060	314	15540
			1N2B230G04	L	33340010	111	6722
			1N2B230G05	L	7C930010	111	6556
			1N2B230G05	L	7C930060	210	8973
			1N2B230G05	O	7C9303R0	252	267372

						3226	516404

F7.4-7.23

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE FY95				HYDROGEN MITIGATION			
REPORT DATE 15SEP94				WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00	
12:22						DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 4	
PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587							
HYDROGEN MITIGATION							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
23							
0J							
2B230J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM 103-SY	99	03OCT94	24FEB95	1N2B230J01 O 7R240210	90	109800
					1N2B230J02 L 8D220010	320	23411
					1N2B230J03 L 8D530010	1200	91704
					1N2B230J04 L 8D520010	154	11769
					1N2B230J05 L 15370030	270	15614
					1N2B230J06 L 57530010	380	22219
					1N2B230J07 L 8D430010	40	2926
					1N2B230J08 L 85430010	120	0
					1N2B230J09 L 84200010	120	7763
					1N2B230J11 L 8D330010	75	5467
					1N2B230J12 L 52200010	75	3281
					1N2B230J13 L 8D320010	77	5633
					1N2B230J14 L 67620010	50	1729
						2971	301325
OK							
2B230K00	IN-TANK DILUTION TEST PREP 103-SY	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2B230K01 L 7E620010	14496	856134
					1N2B230K01 M 7E620100	480	585600
					1N2B230K01 L 7E620210	250	305000
					1N2B230K02 L 7C900060	2718	116140
						17944	1862674
						27256	2994030
24							
0G							
2B240G02	VOIDMETER 101-AW INSTL SUPPORT	188	03OCT94	30JUN95	1N2B240G01 L 8D210010	435	31825
					1N2B240G02 L 7CH30010	145	8564
					1N2B240G03 L 7CC60010	70	4134
					1N2B240G03 L 7CC60000	70	1618
					1N2B240G04 L 33340060	104	5147
					1N2B240G04 L 33340010	37	2241
					1N2B240G05 L 7C930010	37	2185
					1N2B240G05 L 7C930060	70	2991
					1N2B240G05 O 7C9303R0	84	89124
2B240G06	VISCOMETER 101-AW INSTL SUPT	166	02NOV94	30JUN95	1N2B240G01 L 8D210010	378	27654
					1N2B240G01 L 8D210000	189	5965
					1N2B240G02 L 7CH30010	189	11162
					1N2B240G03 L 7CC60010	210	12403
					1N2B240G03 L 7CC60060	210	8973
					1N2B240G04 L 33340060	314	15540
					1N2B240G04 L 33340010	111	6722
					1N2B240G05 L 7C930010	111	6556
					1N2B240G05 L 7C930060	210	8973
					1N2B240G05 L 7C9303R0	252	267372

F7.4-7.24

WHC-SP-1101

AN2 EXPENSE FY95 HYDROGEN MITIGATION

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 12:22
 PRE BY HL SIMON 373-2587 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 5
 TITLE MITIGATION

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
-------------	-------------	----------	-------------	--------------	--------------	----------	-----------------	-------------

224
 06

3226	519149
3226	519149
111426	14610828
111426	14610828

F7.4-7.25

WHC-SP-1101

NO CAPITAL FY95

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 158
12-46

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2567

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1

Project Name: N203

PROPOSED MITIGATION

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
01							
02							
02E10D06	PNL COMPUTATIONAL MODELING BASELINE	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2B510D01	7R2403DC	260	260000
						260	260000
03							
03E10E02	LANL BUILD DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2B510E01	7R24021C	4165	4165000
						4165	4165000
						4425	4425000
04							
04E220E00	DACS MAINTENANCE & OPERATION FY95	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2B520E01	8D21010C	150	150000
						150	150000
05							
05E220F00	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY95	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2B520F01	8D21010C	100	100000
						100	100000
06							
06E220G10	INSTRUMENTATION TRANSPORT CONTAINER FY95	23NOV94	25APR95	2N2B520G21	84100010	1270	75514
				2N2B520G22	52700060	2127	96842
						3397	172357
						3647	422357
07							
07E230A05	AS BUILT PIT PUMP & RISER 103-SY	03OCT94	30NOV94	2N2B530A01	7E620010	3220	190173
				2N2B530A02	1B510010	501	25035
				2N2B530A01	7E6202T0	10	12200
07E230A06	SITE ELECTRICAL/COVER BLOCK DESIGN	31OCT94	27FEB95	2N2B530A01	7E620010	3220	190173
				2N2B530A02	1B510010	501	25035
				2N2B530A01	7E6202T0	10	12200
07E230A06	PREP PROC SPEC/RFP/REVIEW BIDS	03OCT94	10FEB95	2N2B530A01	7E620010	3220	190173
				2N2B530A01	1B510010	501	25035
				2N2B530A01	7E6202T0	10	12200

F7.4-7.26

WHC-SP-1101

2 CAPITAL FY95

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 158 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 12:48
 REF HL SIMON 373-2587 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2
 PROJ. NO: N203
 DROGEN MITIGATION

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
03							
04							
22230A12	ENGINEERING DESIGN SUPPORT	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2B530A01 2N2B530A01	7E6203RC 7E63021C	2600 250	2600000 250000
						14043	3532225
08							
22230B00	AWARD BID FOR PUMP MANUFACTURE SY-103	13FEB95	27FEB95	2N2B530B01	7R240100	300	366000
22230B02	FAB & POUR CVR BLKS/FAB LOAD FRAME	14MAR95	29SEP95	2N2B530B01	7R2403R0	1700	1803700
						2000	2169700
0C							
22230C02	PUMP INSTALLATION SUPPORT	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2B530C03	7R2403R0	159	168699
						159	168699
0D							
22230D00	DACS 2A SYSTEM MGMT	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2B530D01 2N2B530D01 2N2B530D01 2N2B530D01 2N2B530D02	8D210010 8D2103R0 8D210100 8D210010 5Z700060	3231 884 300 471 3036	236380 937924 366000 34458 136229
						7922	1712991
0F							
22230F00	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)103-SY	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2B530F01 2N2B530F01 2N2B530F01 2N2B530F01 2N2B530F01	8D210100 8D2103R0 8D2102T0 8D2103DC 8D2103R0	338 292 25 70 200	412360 309812 30500 70000 212200
						925	1034872
0J							
22230J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM 103-SY	03OCT94	24FEB95	2N2B530J01	7R2403R0	680	721480
						680	721480
						25729	9339967

F7.4-7.27

WHC-SP-1101

 NO CAPITAL FY95
 REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 158 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 12:48
 PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3
 Project Name: N203
 CROGEN MITIGATION

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
ED4							
CF							
132240F00	GAS CHARACTER SYS MATERIAL - 101-AW	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2B540F01	80210110	277	337940
						277	337940
						277	337940
						34078	14525263
						34078	14525263

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE FY96				HYDROGEN MITIGATION			
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 13:09				WORK PACKAGE TOTALS			
BY NL SIMON 373-2587				START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00			
MITIGATION				DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1			
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
B21							
0A							
N2B210A06	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B210A01 L 7R240010	1812	110224
					1N2B210A02 L 50440010	1812	103284
					1N2B210A03 L 80210010	1812	136552
					1N2B210A04 L 7E310010	1812	110224
					1N2B210A05 L 7E670010	1812	110224
						9060	570508
0B							
N2B210B06	PROJECT MANAGEMENT FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B210B01 L 7E620010	1812	110224
					1N2B210B01 L 7E620010	7248	440896
					1N2B210B02 L 80220010	906	68276
					1N2B210B02 L 80220000	3624	117816
						13590	737212
0D							
N2B210D17	PHL DILUTION STUDIES	120	02OCT95	25MAR96	1N2B210D01 O 7R2403DC	300	309000
N2B210D19	PHL ENGINEERING SERVICES FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B210D01 O 7R2403DC	1635	1890050
						2135	2199050
0E							
N2B210E21	IN-TANK DILUTION SUPPORT (LANL)	250	02OCT95	27SEP96	1N2B210E04 O 7R24021C	276	284260
N2B210E21	ISSUE TANK 101-AH SA (LANL)	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B210E07 O 7R24021C	1195	1230850
N2B210E24	LANL DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM MAINTENANCE	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B210E01 O 7R24021C	500	515000
N2B210E25	LANL 101-SY TECHNICAL SUPPORT	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B210E05 O 7R24021C	300	309000
N2B210E27	GAS MONITORING SUPPORT (LANL)	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B210E04 O 7R24021C	300	309000
N2B210E35	TECHNICAL SUPPORT 105-AH (LANL)	220	02OCT95	15AUG96	1N2B210E09 O 7R24021C	500	515000
						3071	3163130
						27856	6669900
B22							
0F							
N2B220F04	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B220F01 L 80210010	6342	477933
					1N2B220F02 L 80620000	3805	215591
						10147	693524
						10147	693524

F7.4-7.29

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE FY96				HYDROGEN MITIGATION							
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 13:09				WORK PACKAGE TOTALS				START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00			
PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587								DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2			
HYDROGEN MITIGATION											
ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION				ORIG	EARLY	EARLY	COST	RESOURCE	BUDGET	BUDGET	
ID				DUR	START	FINISH	ACCOUNT		QUANTITY	COST	

B23											
OC											
N2B230C00	WORK PLAN/WORK PKG	103-SY		64	02OCT95	04JAN96	1N2B230C01 L 7CH30010		2573	156516	
							1N2B230C02 L 7C900010		1359	82668	
N2B230C04	TRAINING/READINESS REVIEW			102	05JAN96	29MAY96	1N2B230C04 L 33300010		478	29818	
							1N2B230C05 L 52200010		841	37867	
							1N2B230C01 L 7CH30010		2174	132244	
							1N2B230C02 L 7C900010		4595	279514	
							1N2B230C06 L 7N000010		1203	73176	
									13223	791825	
OD											
N2B230D02	DACS 2A SYSTEM PROC & FAB	103-SY		84	02OCT95	01FEB96	1N2B230D01 L 8D210010		926	69783	
							1N2B230D01 O 8D2103R0		93	101633	
							1N2B230D01 L 52700060		1379	64661	
							1N2B230D02 L 7CH30010		405	24636	
							1N2B230D03 L 13610010		1214	83353	
									4017	344067	
OE											
N2B230E00	DACS MAINTENANCE & OPS	103-SY		167	02FEB96	30SEP96	1N2B230E01 L 8D210010		3350	252456	
							1N2B230E02 L 8D620000		1263	73654	
							1N2B230E03 L 7CB51060		2566	114419	
									7199	440529	
OF											
N2B230F04	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)	103-SY		251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B230F01 L 8D210010		1280	96461	
									1280	96461	
OG											
N2B230G08	VOIDMETER 103-SY TESTING SUPT			251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B230G01 L 8D210010		435	32782	
							1N2B230G02 L 7CH30010		145	8820	
							1N2B230G03 L 7CC60010		70	4258	
							1N2B230G03 L 7CC60000		70	1667	
							1N2B230G04 L 33340060		104	5302	
							1N2B230G04 L 33340010		37	2308	
							1N2B230G05 L 7C930010		37	2251	
							1N2B230G05 L 7C930060		70	3081	
							1N2B230G05 O 7C9303R0		84	91798	

F 7.4-7.30

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE FY96 HYDROGEN MITIGATION

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 13:09
 BY Y HL SIMON 373-2567 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3
 FOR MITIGATION

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
23								
OS								
N2B230G10	VISCOMETER 103-SY TESTING SUPT	231	30OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B230G01 L 8D210010		378	26486
					1N2B230G01 L 8D210000		189	6144
					1N2B230G02 L 7CH30010		189	11497
					1N2B230G03 L 7CC60010		210	12774
					1N2B230G03 L 7CC60060		210	9242
					1N2B230G04 L 33340060		314	16008
					1N2B230G04 L 33340010		111	6924
					1N2B230G05 L 7C930010		111	6752
					1N2B230G05 L 7C930060		210	9242
					1N2B230G05 L 7C9303R0		252	275393
							3226	534730
OK								
N2B230K04	IN-TANK DILUTION SAMPLING 103-SY	74	02OCT95	16JAN96	1N2B230K01 L 7E620010		7103	432075
					1N2B230K01 L 7E620210		175	219905
					1N2B230K02 L 7C930060		10147	446569
							17425	1098550
							46370	3306162
B24								
OL								
N2B240G06	VOIDMETER 101-AW INSTL SUPPORT	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B240G01 L 8D210010		435	32762
					1N2B240G02 L 7CH30010		145	8820
					1N2B240G03 L 7CC60010		70	4258
					1N2B240G03 L 7CC60000		70	1657
					1N2B240G04 L 33340060		104	5302
					1N2B240G04 L 33340010		37	2308
					1N2B240G05 L 7C930010		37	2251
					1N2B240G05 L 7C930060		70	3081
					1N2B240G05 O 7C9303R0		84	91798
N2B240G10	VISCOMETER 101-AW INSTL SUPT	166	05FEB96	30SEP96	1N2B240G01 L 8D210010		376	26486
					1N2B240G01 L 8D210000		189	6144
					1N2B240G02 L 7CH30010		189	11497
					1N2B240G03 L 7CC60010		210	12774
					1N2B240G03 L 7CC60060		210	9242
					1N2B240G04 L 33340060		314	16008
					1N2B240G04 L 33340010		111	6924
					1N2B240G05 L 7C930010		111	6752
					1N2B240G05 L 7C930060		210	9242
					1N2B240G05 L 7C9303R0		252	275393
							3226	534730

F7.4-7.31

WHC-SP-1101

NO EXPENSE FY96 HYDROGEN MITIGATION

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
13:09

PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 4

HYDROGEN MITIGATION

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
224							3226	534730
227								
22								
22270C00	INSTALL PREPARATION PUMP 104-AN	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B270C01 L 7CH30010 1N2B270C02 L 7C900010	7CH30010 7C900010	453 761	27556 46292
							1214	73848
23								
23270G00	VISCOMETER 104-AN SUPPORT	231	30OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B270G01 L 8D210010 1N2B270G02 L 7CH30010 1N2B270G03 L 7CC60010 1N2B270G03 L 7CC60060 1N2B270G04 L 33340060 1N2B270G04 L 33340010 1N2B270G05 L 7C930010 1N2B270G05 L 7C930060 1N2B270G05 L 7C9303R0	8D210010 7CH30010 7CC60010 7CC60060 33340060 33340010 7C930010 7C930060 7C9303R0	435 145 70 70 104 37 37 70 84	32782 8820 4258 3081 5302 2308 2251 3061 91798
23270G04	VOIDMETER 104-AN SUPPORT	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2B270G01 L 8D210010 1N2B270G01 L 8D210000 1N2B270G02 L 7CH30010 1N2B270G03 L 7CC60010 1N2B270G03 L 7CC60000 1N2B270G04 L 33340060 1N2B270G04 L 33340010 1N2B270G05 L 7C930010 1N2B270G05 L 7C930060 1N2B270G05 O 7C9303R0	8D210010 8D210000 7CH30010 7CC60010 7CC60000 33340060 33340010 7C930010 7C930060 7C9303R0	378 189 189 210 210 314 111 111 210 252	28486 6144 11497 12774 5002 16008 6924 6752 9242 275393
							3226	531903
							4440	605751
							92039	11810067
							92039	11810067

F 7.4-7.32

WHC-SP-1101

HC CAPITAL FY96

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 151 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 12:14
 RE Y HL SIMON 373-2567 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1
 re, ame: H203
 /DROGEN MITIGATION

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
01							
00							
02B210D19	PWL ENGINEERING SERVICES FY96	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2B610D01	7R2403DC	55	56650
						55	56650
0E							
02B210E04	LAWL INSTALL DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM	02OCT95	01FEB96	2N2B610E01	7R24021C	165	169950
02B210E24	LAWL DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM MAINTENANCE	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2B610E01	7R24021C	808	832240
02B210E26	LAWL BUILD DACS 2B SYSTEM 101-AW	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2B610E02	7R24021C	1318	1357540
						2291	2359730
						2346	2416380
522							
0F							
02B220F04	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY96	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2B620F01	8D21010C	100	103000
						100	103000
						100	103000
823							
0A							
02B230A20	ENGINEERING DESIGN SUPPORT	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2B630A01	7E6203R0	555	606521
				2N2B630A01	7E620110	50	62630
				2N2B630A01	18510010	2718	139895
				2N2B630A02	18510010	308	15853
				2N2B630A02	18510060	6342	266745
						9973	1091843
0B							
02B230B04	ASSEMBLE FAB PUMP 103-SY	02OCT95	29DEC95	2N2B630B01	7R2403R0	50	54642
						50	54642
0C							
02B230C04	TRAINING/READINESS REVIEW	05JAN96	29MAY96	2N2B630C02	7C900060	3026	133174
				2N2B630C03	7R2403RC	533	548990

F 7.4-7.34

COST CAPITAL FY96									
PROJECT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 151									
PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2567									
12:14									
PROJECT Name: N203									
CROOKEN MITIGATION									
CT ID	ACT	DES	EARLY	FINISH	ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET	BUDGET	

COST QUANTITY COST									

3559 682164									

02			02OCT95	01FEB96	2N2B630D01	8D2103R0	309	33764	120802
DACS 2A SYSTEM PROC & FAB 103-SY									

1912 458467									

03			02FEB96	30SEP96	2N2B630E01	8D21010C	100	103000	
DACS MAINTENANCE & OPS 103-SY									

100 103000									

04			02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2B630F01	8D2103R0	75	81962	
GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)103-SY									

75 81962									

15669 2472098									

05			02OCT95	04MAR96	2N2B640A01	7E620010	4506	274100	
DESIGN PUMP 101-AW									

2N2B640A02 18510010 1287 66242									
2N2B640A02 18510060 4506 189522									
2N2B640A01 7E620100 14 17592									
2N2B640A02 18510010 219 11272									
2N2B640A02 18510060 3218 135349									

15328 2453446									

06			02OCT95	02AUG96	2N25740B01	7E24010C	165	169950	
PROCURE PUMP 101-AW									

05MAR96 30SEP96 2N25740B01 7E2403R0 962 1051302									
FAB PUMP 101-AW									

1127 1221252									

WHC-SP-1101

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS
START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

WHC-SP-1101

N2 CAPITAL FY96

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 151

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

12:14
BY NY HL SIMON 373-2587
PROJECT NAME: N203
HYDROGEN MITIGATION

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
B24							
OD							
N2B240D06	DACS 2B SYSTEM 101-AW	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2B740D01	8D210010	5268	396996
				2N2B740D01	8D210000	1616	59038
				2N2B740D01	8D2103R0	1167	1297189
				2N2B740D01	8D210100	300	376980
						8571	2130204
OF							
N2B240F04	FAB & INSTALL GCS 101-AW	02OCT95	15MAY96	2N2B640F01	8D2103R0	275	300528
						275	300528
						25301	6105431
B27							
OA							
N2B270A00	DESIGN PUMP 104-AW	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2B670A01	7E6203R0	544	594500
				2N2B670A01	7E620210	50	62630
						594	657330
O.							
N2B270B00	PROCURE & FAB PUMP 104-AW	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2B670B01	7R240100	300	376980
				2N2B670B01	7R2403R0	750	819623
						1050	1196603
OC							
N2B270C00	INSTALL PREPARATION PUMP 104-AW	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2B670C01	7CH303R0	23	25135
						23	25135
						1667	1879057
						45083	12975976
						45083	12975976

F7.4-7.35

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY97				HYDROGEN MITIGATION			
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 12:12				WORK PACKAGE TOTALS			
PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587				START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00			
HYDROGEN MITIGATION				DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1			
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DLR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
0A							
25210A08	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B210A01 L 7R240010	1812	113540
					1N2B210A02 L 50440010	1812	103284
					1N2B210A03 L 8D210010	2718	210971
					1N2B210A04 L 7E310010	3624	227080
					1N2B210A05 L 7E870010	3624	227080
						13590	861955
0B							
25210B06	PROJECT MANAGEMENT FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B210B01 L 7E620010	1812	113540
					1N2B210B01 L 7E620010	9060	567700
					1N2B210B02 L 6D220010	1812	140647
					1N2B210B02 L 6D220000	4530	151710
						17214	973597
0C							
25210C18	PNL DILUTION STUDIES	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B210C01 O 7R2403DC	350	371350
25210C20	PNL ENGINEERING SERVICES FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B210C01 O 7R2403DC	2617	2776637
						2967	3147987
0E							
25210E10	LANL TECHNICAL SUPPORT 103-SY	246	01OCT96	23SEP97	1N2B210E06 O 7R24021C	500	530500
25210E29	ISSUE TANK 105-AN SA (LANL)	79	01OCT96	24JAN97	1N2B210E09 O 7R24021C	460	488060
25210E30	LANL TECHNICAL SUPPORT 101-AW	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B210E07 O 7R24021C	145	153845
25210E31	LANL DACS 2C FOR AN FARM MAINTENANCE	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B210E03 O 7R24021C	581	616441
25210E32	LANL TECHNICAL SUPPORT 104-AN	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B210E08 O 7R24021C	532	564452
25210E34	LANL DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM MAINTENANCE	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2S210E01 O 7R24021C	250	265250
25210E36	LANL DACS 2B SYS FOR SY FARM MAINTENANCE	246	08OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B210E02 O 7R24021C	359	380899
25210E38	LANL 101-SY TECHNICAL SUPPORT	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B210E05 O 7R24021C	291	306751
25210E39	GAS MONITORING SUPPORT (LANL)	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B210E04 O 7R24021C	300	316300
						3418	3626498
						37189	8630036
0F							
252220F08	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B220F01 L 8D210010	9060	703237
					1N2B220F02 L 6D520000	5436	317245
						14496	1020462

F7.4-7.36

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY97				HYDROGEN MITIGATION			
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 12:12		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 07JUL00	
BY HL SIMON 373-2587				DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 2	
MITIGATION							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
B22						14496	1020482
B23							
OE							
N2B230E04	DACS MAINTENANCE & OPS 103-SY	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B230E01 L 8D210010 1N2B230E02 L 8D620000 1N2B230E03 L 7CB51060	3624 1604 6416 11644	281295 93609 290837 665742
OF							
N2B230F08	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS) 103-SY	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B230F01 L 8D210010	2190	169988
						2190	169988
OK							
N2B230K08	IN-TANK DILUTION SAMPLING 103-SY	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B230K01 L 7E620010	1359	85155
						1359	85155
						15193	920884
B24							
OC							
N2B240C00	INSTALL PUMP 101-AW	42	14MAR97	12MAY97	1N2B240C01 L 33300010 1N2B240C02 L 52200010 1N2B240C03 L 7CH30010 1N2B240C04 L 7C900010	453 1051 5889 6940	29110 48777 369005 434860
N2B240C02	COMPL 101-AW INSTALL READINESS REV	154	01OCT96	12MAY97	1N2B240C05 L 7N000010	1214	76069
N2B240C08	COMPLETE IN TANK TESTING AW-101	33	30JUN97	15AUG97	1N2B240C03 L 7CH30010	598	37471
						16145	995292
OD							
N2B240D04	DACS 2B SYSTEM 101-AW	79	01OCT96	24JAN97	1N2B240D01 L 52700060 1N2B240D01 L 8D210010 1N2B240D02 L 13610010 1N2B240D03 L 7CH30010 1N2B240D01 L 8D2103R0	2628 3799 1577 1523 56	126932 294676 111541 95431 63040
						9583	691623

F7.4-7.37

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY97

HYDROGEN MITIGATION

REPORT DATE 15SEP94

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

12.12

PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3

HYDROGEN MITIGATION

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
24								
0E								
25240E04	DACS 2B OPERATIONS 101-AW	94	01OCT96	14FEB97	1N2B240E01 L 8D210010		942	73118
					1N2B240E02 L 8D620000		942	54975
					1N2B240E03 L 7CB51060		1812	82138
25240E06	DACS 2B MAINTENANCE & OPS 101-AW	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B240E01 L 8D210010		1812	140647
					1N2B240E02 L 8D620000		1812	105748
					1N2B240E03 L 7CB51060		3559	161329
							10879	617956
0H								
25240H08	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS 101-AW	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B240H01 L 7CH30010		2754	172566
							2754	172566
0J								
25240J06	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM 101-AW	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B240J02 L 8D220010		320	24838
					1N2B240J03 L 8D530010		460	37297
					1N2B240J04 L 8D520010		229	18567
					1N2B240J05 L 15370030		270	16567
					1N2B240J06 L 57530010		730	45282
					1N2B240J01 O 7R240210		20	25888
					1N2B240J07 L 8D330010		75	5822
					1N2B240J08 L 8D320010		150	11643
					1N2B240J09 L 67820010		150	5502
					1N2B240J10 L 84100010		300	18927
							2704	210334
							42065	2687971
26								
0G								
25260G00	VISCOMETER 103-AW SUPPORT	226	01NOV96	30SEP97	1N2B260G01 L 8D210010		435	33765
					1N2B260G02 L 7CH30010		145	9086
					1N2B260G03 L 7CC60010		70	4386
					1N2B260G03 L 7CC60060		70	3173
					1N2B260G04 L 33340060		104	5461
					1N2B260G04 L 33340010		37	2378
					1N2B260G05 L 7C930010		37	2318
					1N2B260G05 L 7C930060		70	3173
					1N2B260G05 L 7C9303R0		84	94560

F7.4-7.38

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE FY97					HYDROGEN MITIGATION				
REPORT DATE 15SEP94					WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00		
12:12									
BY Y HL SIMON 373-2587					DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 4				
VOID MITIGATION									

ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION	ORIG	EARLY	EARLY	COST	RESOURCE	BUDGET	BUDGET	
ID		DUR	START	FINISH	ACCOUNT		QUANTITY	COST	

26									
06									
22B260G04	VOIDMETER 103-AN SUPPORT	250	01OCT96	29SEP97	1N2B260G01 L 80210010		378	29340	
					1N2B260G01 L 80210000		189	6330	
					1N2B260G02 L 7CH30010		189	11843	
					1N2B260G03 L 7CC60010		210	13159	
					1N2B260G03 L 7CC60000		210	5151	
					1N2B260G04 L 33340060		314	16488	
					1N2B260G04 L 33340010		111	7133	
					1N2B260G05 L 7C930010		111	6955	
					1N2B260G05 L 7C930060		210	9519	
					1N2B260G05 O 7C9303R0		252	263681	
							-----	-----	
							3226	547900	
0J									
22B260J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM 103-AN	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B260J02 L 80220010		320	24838	
					1N2B260J03 L 80530010		460	37297	
					1N2B260J04 L 80520010		229	18567	
					1N2B260J05 L 15370030		270	16567	
					1N2B260J06 L 57530010		730	45282	
					1N2B260J01 O 7R240210		20	25888	
					1N2B260J11 L 80330010		75	5822	
					1N2B260J13 L 80320010		150	11643	
					1N2B260J14 L 67820010		150	5502	
							-----	-----	
							2404	191407	
							-----	-----	
							5630	739306	
B27									
0C									
22B270C02	INSTALL PREPARATION PUMP 104-AN	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B270C01 L 33300010		145	9318	
					1N2B270C02 L 52200010		145	6729	
					1N2B270C03 L 7CH30010		3171	198695	
22B270C04	COMPL TANK 104-AN PUMP INSTALL RR	152	24FEB97	29SEP97	1N2B270C04 L 7H000010		1214	76069	
22B270C06	INSTALL TANK 104-AN MIT PUMP	41	04AUG97	30SEP97	1N2B270C01 L 33300010		453	29110	
					1N2B270C02 L 52200010		761	35318	
							-----	-----	
							5889	355239	
0D									
22B270D06	DACS 2C SYSTEM MGMT	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2B270D01 L 80210010		3644	282847	
					1N2B270D01 O 802103R0		52	58537	
					1N2B270D01 L 80210000		1857	62191	
					1N2B270D01 L 52700060		2648	127898	
					1N2B270D02 L 7CH30010		1523	95431	
					1N20270D03 L 13610010		1589	112390	

F7.4-7.39

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY97

HYDROGEN MITIGATION

REPORT DATE 15SEP94

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

12.12

PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2567

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 5

HYDROGEN MITIGATION

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
00							11313	739295
01								
02								
03								
04								
05								
06								
07								
08								
09								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								
31								
32								
33								
34								
35								
36								
37								
38								
39								
40								
41								
42								
43								
44								
45								
46								
47								
48								
49								
50								
51								
52								
53								
54								
55								
56								
57								
58								
59								
60								
61								
62								
63								
64								
65								
66								
67								
68								
69								
70								
71								
72								
73								
74								
75								
76								
77								
78								
79								
80								
81								
82								
83								
84								
85								
86								
87								
88								
89								
90								
91								
92								
93								
94								
95								
96								
97								
98								
99								
100								

F7.4-7.4D

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY97

HYDROGEN MITIGATION

REPORT DATE 15SEP94
12:12

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

BY NL SIMON 373-2587
MITIGATION

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 6

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
026								
0C								
026260C04	INSTALL PUMP 105-AN	155	01OCT96	13MAY97	1N26280C01 L 7CH30010		3624	227080
					1N26280C02 L 7C900010		5744	359919
					1N26280C02 L 7C900060		3026	137169
					1N26280C03 L 33300010		598	38427
					1N26280C02 L 52200010		1051	46777
							14043	611372
03								
026260G06	VOIDMETER 105-AN SUPPORT	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N26280G01 L 80210010		908	70479
					1N26280G01 M 80210100		10	12944
					1N26280G02 L 7CH30010		337	21116
					1N26280G03 L 7CC60010		105	6579
					1N26280G03 L 7CC60000		105	2576
					1N26280G04 L 33340060		200	10502
					1N26280G04 L 33340010		133	8547
					1N26280G05 L 7C930010		289	18109
					1N26280G05 L 7C930060		186	8522
					1N26280G05 O 7C9303R0		97	109195
026260G10	VISCOMETER 105-AN SUPPORT	228	01NOV96	30SEP97	1N26280G01 L 80210010		1671	129703
					1N26280G01 O 802103R0		17	19137
					1N26280G02 L 7CH30010		525	32897
					1N26280G04 L 33340060		90	4726
							4675	455031
04								
026260H00	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS	75	14MAY97	29AUG97	1N26280H01 L 7CH30010		2640	165422
							2640	165422
0J								
026260J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N26280J02 L 7R24001C		3498	179657
							3498	179657
							24856	1611483
							166709	17819014
							166709	17619014

F 7.4-7.41

WHC-SP-1101

W2 CAPITAL FY97

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 162
13:37

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1

Project Name: N203

HYDROGEN MITIGATION

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
00							
N2B210020	PNL ENGINEERING SERVICES FY97	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2B710D01	7R2403DC	60	63660
						60	63660
0E							
N2B210E23	LANL BUILD DACS 2C SYSTEM AN FARM	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2B710E03	7R24021C	510	541110
N2B210E31	LANL DACS 2C FOR AN FARM MAINTENANCE	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2B710E03	7R24001C	1081	55520
N2B210E34	LANL DACS 2A SYS FOR SY FARM MAINTENANCE	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2B710E01	7R24021C	707	750127
N2B210E36	LANL DACS 2B SYS FOR SY FARM MAINTENANCE	08OCT96	30SEP97	2N2B710E02	7R24021C	171	181431
						2469	1528188
						2529	1591848
0F							
N2B220F06	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY97	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2B720F01	8D21010C	100	106100
						100	106100
						100	106100
0G							
N2B240C00	INSTALL PUMP 101-AW	14MAR97	12MAY97	2N2B740C04	7C900060	3026	137169
				2N2B740C03	7CH303R0	646	727215
N2B240C08	COMPLETE IN TANK TESTING AX-101	30JUN97	15AUG97	2N2B740C03	7CH303R0	45	50657
						3717	915041
0E							
N2B240E04	DACS 2B OPERATIONS 101-AW	01OCT96	14FEB97	2N2B740E01	8D210010	1000	77620
						1000	77620
0J							
N2B240J06	ENGINEERING & FENCE MODIFICATIONS	07APR97	30SEP97	2N2B740J01	7R2403R0	600	675432
						600	675432

F 7.4-7.42

WHC-SP-1101

2 CAPITAL FY97

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO 162 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 13:37
 BY HL SIMON 373-2587 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2
 Name: N203
 HYDROGEN MITIGATION

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
24						5317	1668093
27							
0A							
N2B270A04	DESIGN PUMP 104-AN	01OCT95	30SEP97	2N2B770A01	7E620010	2881	180523
				2N2B770A02	18510060	3624	156992
				2N2B770A01	7E6203R0	1904	2143371
				2N2B770A01	7E620210	250	323605
				2N2B770A01	7E6202T0	30	38833
				2N2B770A01	7E620100	20	25888
				2N2B770A02	18510010	1812	96072
				2N2B770A01	7E620010	1504	94241
N2B280A04	DESIGN SUPPORT PUMP 105 AN	01OCT95	27JUN97	2N2B780A01	7E620010	3099	194183
				2N2B780A02	18510010	1612	96072
				2N2B780A02	18510060	6342	274735
				2N2B780A01	7E620100	20	25888
				2N2B780A01	7E620210	20	25888
						23318	3676293
0B							
N2B270A06	FAB & ASSEMBLE PUMP 104-AN	29OCT95	26JUL97	2N2B770B01	7R2403R0	1000	1125720
						1000	1125720
0C							
N2B270C02	INSTALL PREPARATION PUMP 104-AN	01OCT95	30SEP97	2N2B770C01	7R2403R0	510	574117
N2B270C06	INSTALL TANK 104-AN MIT PUMP	04AUG97	30SEP97	2N2B770C01	7R2403R0	306	344470
				2N2B770C02	7C900060	3026	137169
						3842	1055756
0D							
N2B270D06	DACS 2C SYSTEM MGMT	01OCT95	30SEP97	2N2B770D01	8D210010	1488	115499
				2N2B770D01	8D2103R0	333	374865
				2N2B770D01	8D210100	84	108731
						1905	599095
0E							
N2B270E00	DACS 2C MAINTENANCE & OPS	01OCT95	27AUG97	2N2B770E01	8D210100	100	129442
						100	129442

F7.4-7.43

WHC-SP-1101

2 CAPITAL FY97

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 162 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 13:37
 PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3
 Project Name: N203
 PROGEN MITIGATION

W ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
07							
0F							
25270F00	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N26770F01	7R240100	388	502235
						388	502235
						30553	7088540
0S							
0B							
25260500	PROCURE PUMP 105-AN	30JUN97	30SEP97	2N26780B01	7R240110	300	388326
				2N26780B01	7R2403R0	750	844290
25260B04	FAS PUMP 105-AN	01OCT96	13JAN97	2N26780B01	7R2403R0	1000	1125720
						2050	2358336
0C							
25260C04	INSTALL PUMP 105-AN	01OCT96	13MAY97	2N26780C01	7CH303R0	533	600009
25260C06	COMPL TANK 105-AN PUMP INSTALL REVIEW	01OCT96	12MAY97	2N26780C05	7N000010	3026	189609
						3559	789618
0F							
25260F00	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N26780F01	7R2403R0	275	309573
25260F04	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)	01OCT96	12FEB97	2N26780F01	7R24010C	275	291775
						550	601348
0J							
25260J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N26780J01	7R24010C	100	106100
						100	106100
						6259	3855402
						44758	14309984
						44758	14309984

F7.4-7.44

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE FY95				WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM			
REPORT DATE 15SEP94		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 07JUL00	
11:03							
BY NL SIMON 373-2567				DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 1	
CYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
C31							
OA							
N2C310A04	FeCN SIMULATED WASTE STUDIES (FAUSKE)	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C310A01 O 7R260210	290	353800
					1N2C310A01 O 7R2604C0	19	23180
						309	376980
OD							
N2C310D05	PNL MANAGEMENT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C310D01 O 7R2603DC	225	225000
N2C310D07	PNL AGING WASTE STUDIES	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C310D01 O 7R2603DC	535	535000
N2C310D15	PNL CESIUM UPTAKE	120	03OCT94	27MAR95	1N2C310D01 O 7R2603DC	65	65000
N2C310D18	PNL SPECIAL STUDIES/CONSULTATION	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C310D01 O 7R2603DC	225	225000
N2C310D19	PNL COMPARE REAL WASTE W/SIMULATED WASTE	183	03OCT94	23JUN95	1N2C310D01 O 7R2603DC	160	160000
N2C310D21	INFRARED/ICP SPECIATION TRANSFER (PNL)	120	03OCT94	27MAR95	1N2C310D01 O 7R2603DC	65	65000
N2C310D24	PNL CESIUM NICKEL FeCN SOLUBILITY	120	03OCT94	27MAR95	1N2C310D01 O 7R2603DC	65	65000
N2C310D28	SLUDGE MOISTURE MODELING	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C310D01 O 7R2603DC	135	135000
						1475	1475000
OE							
N2C310E04	DNFSB/CAP MANAGEMENT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C310E01 L 7R260010	1812	107017
					1N2C310E01 M 7R260100	18	22204
					1N2C310E01 O 7R2604C0	2	2806
						1833	132027
OH							
N2C310G02	MOSSBAUER SPECTROMETER	230	03OCT94	31AUG95	1N2C310G01 L 8H130000	54	1989
					1N2C310G01 L 8H130010	920	46267
						974	48256
OH							
N2C310H04	IN-SITU RELATIVE HUMIDITY INSTRUMENTATION	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C310H01 L 7EA10000	1200	27744
					1N2C310H01 L 7EA10000	255	5896
					1N2C310H01 L 7EA10010	165	9745
					1N2C310H01 L 7EA10010	770	45476
					1N2C310H01 M 7EA10100	19	22570
					1N2C310H01 O 7EA104C0	4	4880
						2413	116311

F7.4-7.45

WHC-SP-1101

NO EXPENSE FY95

MTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

11:03

PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2567

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

MTS FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
031								
03								
1N2C310J04	INFRARED SPECIATION TRANSFER	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C310J01 L 8D632010		200	14832
					1N2C310J01 L 8D632010		400	29664
					1N2C310J01 L 8E400000		180	5584
					1N2C310J01 L 8E400010		180	10285
					1N2C310J01 L 8E400010		1812	103536
					1N2C310J01 M 8E400100		14	17446
					1N2C310J01 O 8E4002T0		8	9760
					1N2C310J01 O 8E4004C0		1	1098
							2795	192206
04								
1N2C310L00	SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPE INVESTG SUPT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C310L01 L 8E920000		362	13332
					1N2C310L01 L 8E920010		3262	164046
					1N2C310L01 L 8E920010		362	18205
					1N2C310L01 M 8E920100		59	71980
					1N2C310L01 O 8E920210		57	69540
					1N2C310L01 O 8E9202T0		12	14640
					1N2C310L01 O 8E9204C0		11	13420
							4125	365163
							13923	2705943
05								
0A								
1N2C330A06	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANK SAFETY FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C330A01 L 7R260010		1612	107017
					1N2C330A01 O 7R260210		88	107360
					1N2C330A01 O 7R2604C0		6	7320
							1906	221697
05								
1N2C330B04	UPDATE SAFETY DOCUMENTATION FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C330B01 L 8D112000		184	5991
					1N2C330B01 L 8D112010		160	12075
					1N2C330B01 L 8D112010		1192	89960
					1N2C330B01 M 8D112100		4	4880
					1N2C330B01 O 8D1124C0		1	1220
							1541	114126

F7.4-7.46

WHC-SP-1101

2 EXPENSE FY95 WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

PORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 11:03
 EF 9Y HL SIMON 373-2587 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3
 S YANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
2C330C00	TRANSHIT DOC TO RESOLVE SI C FARM TANKS	250	030CT94	29SEP95	1N2C330C01 L 8D112000		181	5893
					1N2C330C01 L 8D112010		1537	115997
					1N2C330C01 L 8D112010		272	20528
					1N2C330C01 M 8D112100		4	4392
					1N2C330C01 O 8D112210		38	45872
					1N2C330C01 O 8D112270		12	14640
					1N2C330C01 O 8D1124C0		3	3660
							2046	210983

2C330D14	TECHNICAL SUPPORT SI RESOLUTION FY95	250	030CT94	29SEP95	1N2C330D01 L 8D670000		906	42292
					1N2C330D01 L 8D670000		181	8449
					1N2C330D01 L 8D670010		2718	195723
					1N2C330D01 L 8D670010		181	13034
					1N2C330D01 M 8D670100		18	22204
					1N2C330D01 O 8D670270		12	14640
					1N2C330D01 O 8D6704C0		2	2806
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400000		80	3021
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400000		450	16992
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400010		320	19914
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400010		80	4978
					1N2C330D02 M 8A400100		11	13298
					1N2C330D02 O 8A4004C0		0	573
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400010		80	4978
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400010		400	24892
							5440	387795

2C330F00	CORE SAMPLE/DATA INTERPRETATION	250	030CT94	29SEP95	1N2C330F01 L 7E720010		600	35436
					1N2C330F01 L 7E720000		800	18496
					1N2C330F01 L 7E720010		1750	103355
					1N2C330F01 L 7E720010		4900	289394
					1N2C330F01 M 7E720100		11	13420
					1N2C330F01 O 7E720210		115	140300
					1N2C330F01 O 7E720270		10	12200
					1N2C330F01 O 7E7204C0		9	11468
					1N2C330F02 L 7E360010		600	35436
							6795	659505
							19728	1594106

F7.4-7.47

WHC-SP-1101

NO EXPENSE FY95 WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 11:03
 PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 4
 WTS FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
034								
04								
1N2C340A04	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANKS FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C340A01 L	7R230010	1450	85637
							1450	85637
0E								
1N2C340B14	INSTALLATION OF TC TREES/HOOKUPS THACS	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C340B02 L	7CB40060	1680	71786
					1N2C340B02 L	7CB40060	1008	43072
					1N2C340B02 L	7CB40060	1008	43072
					1N2C340B02 L	7CB40060	168	7179
					1N2C340B02 L	7CB40010	3584	211671
					1N2C340B03 L	31110000	1006	37606
					1N2C340B03 L	31110010	504	34101
					1N2C340B06 L	7CH30010	1862	109970
					1N2C340B08 L	7CH30010	453	26754
					1N2C340B09 L	33300060	1568	77500
					1N2C340B10 L	7CC00060	3920	167502
					1N2C340B11 L	7CC00010	764	46303
					1N2C340B08 H	7CH30100	100	122000
					1N2C340B08 O	7CH304C0	12	14640
							17659	1013258

F7.4-7.48

WHC-SP-1101

NO EXPENSE FY95 WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 11:03
 BY NL SIMON 373-2587 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 5
 CYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
034								
00								
02C340C12	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW ENGR	231	03OCT94	01SEP95	1N2C340C02 L 7EA30010		734	43350
					1N2C340C04 L 52720060		196	8924
					1N2C340C04 L 52720060		76	3460
					1N2C340C05 L 8A200060		415	28008
					1N2C340C03 L 8A400010		231	14375
					1N2C340C03 L 8A400010		246	15309
					1N2C340C06 L 8D340010		260	19022
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520000		78	2572
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520000		523	17243
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520000		208	6858
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520000		374	12331
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520000		2095	69072
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520010		347	26518
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520010		608	46463
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520040		490	12064
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520050		116	6583
					1N2C340C01 M 8D520100		94	114070
					1N2C340C01 M 8D520100		9	10980
					1N2C340C01 M 8D520150		7	7930
					1N2C340C01 M 8D520150		3	4148
					1N2C340C01 M 8D520190		6	7320
					1N2C340C01 O 8D520210		20	24400
					1N2C340C01 O 8D520210		2	1952
					1N2C340C01 O 8D520270		8	9150
					1N2C340C01 O 8D520270		3	3050
					1N2C340C01 O 8D520270		3	3050
					1N2C340C01 L 8D5204C0		14	17080
					1N2C340C01 L 8D5204C0		1	1708
					1N2C340C01 L 8D5204P0		1	1342
					1N2C340C01 L 8D5206P0		2	2664
					1N2C340C01 L 8D5206P0		1	1342
							7169	542357

03								
00								
02C340D02	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C340D01 L 8D832010		2900	215064
					1N2C340D01 O 8D832210		42	51240
					1N2C340D01 O 8D8324C0		3	3660
					1N2C340D02 L 8E400000		1712	53106
							4657	323070

0E								
00								
02C340E06	ENGINEERING DOCUMENT CONTROL	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C340E01 L 8D730010		200	14632
							200	14632

F7.4-7.49

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY95 WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 11:03
 PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 6
 WTS FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
2C340F10	PRESSURE MONITORING DESIGN & ENGR FeCN TANKS	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C340F01 L 7CB40060		2100	89733
					1N2C340F01 L 7EA40000		1946	44992
					1N2C340F02 L 7EA40010		4515	266656
					1N2C340F01 L 7EA40010		750	44295
							9311	445675

2C340G00	ISSU STATUS REPT ON LOW INSTALL ACTIVITIES	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C340G02 L 7C500060		136	5611
					1N2C340G02 L 7C500060		272	11623
					1N2C340G02 L 7C500060		961	41076
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520000		8	247
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520000		262	8636
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520010		785	59964
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520010		568	44935
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520010		196	14976
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520010		97	7413
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520040		676	21567
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520050		67	4919
					1N2C340G01 M 8D520190		8	9760
					1N2C340G01 O 8D520270		8	9760
					1N2C340G01 O 8D520640		12	14640
					1N2C340G01 O 8D520690		4	4270
					1N2C340G01 M 8D520100		100	122000
					1N2C340G01 O 8D5204C0		12	14640
							4411	396243

2C340J00	ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2C340J03 L 52720060		192	6742
					1N2C340J03 L 52720060		48	2185
					1N2C340J02 L 8D000010		268	13429
					1N2C340J02 L 8D000010		804	40268
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520000		695	22914
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520000		170	5605
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520000		8	247
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520010		97	7413
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520040		10	246
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520040		364	8962
					1N2C340J01 M 8D520100		23	27572
					1N2C340J01 M 8D520150		5	5856
					1N2C340J01 O 8D520210		80	97600
					1N2C340J01 O 8D520270		3	3050
					1N2C340J01 O 8D520270		5	6344
					1N2C340J01 O 8D5203D0		75	79575
					1N2C340J01 O 8D5204C0		5	6100
					1N2C340J01 O 8D520690		0	244

F7.4-7.50

WHC-SP-1101

1/2 EXPENSE FY95

MTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

EXPORT DATE 15SEP94

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

11:03

BY NY HL SIMON 373-2587

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 7

TO CYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
-------------	-------------	----------	-------------	--------------	--------------	----------	-----------------	-------------

34

63

2851 336373

OK

42C340K00 ISB AMENDMENT

251	03OCT94	02OCT95	1N2C340K01 L 8D112010	500	37735
			1N2C340K01 L 8D112010	53	4000

553 41735

48261 3198981

61912 7499029

61912 7499029

F7.4-7.51

WHC-SP-1101

NO EXPENSE FY96

WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94
11:04

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

PREPARED BY HL SIMON 373-2587
WTS FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
----------------	-------------	-------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------	--------------------	----------------

03

04

03030424	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANK SAFETY FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C330A01 L 7R260010		1812	110224
					1N2C330A01 L 7R260010		1812	110224
					1N2C330A01 O 7R260210		36	45238
					1N2C330A01 O 7R2604C0		2	3016
							3662	268701

05

03030506	UPDATE SAFETY DOCUMENTATION FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C330B01 L 8D112000		180	6037
					1N2C330B01 L 8D112010		180	13991
					1N2C330B01 L 8D112010		1120	87058
					1N2C330B01 M 8D112100		4	5026
					1N2C330B01 O 8D1124C0		1	1257
						1485	113369	

06

03030608	DOE REVIEW/COMMENT - WHC DISPOSITION	63	02OCT95	31JAN96	1N2C330C01 L 8D112000		44	1476
					1N2C330C01 L 8D112010		576	44772
					1N2C330C01 L 8D112010		61	4742
						681	50990	

07

03030706	TECHNICAL SUPPORT SI RESOLUTION FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C330D01 L 8D670000		906	43560
					1N2C330D01 L 8D670000		181	8702
					1N2C330D01 L 8D670010		2663	198998
					1N2C330D01 L 8D670010		181	13425
					1N2C330D01 M 8D670100		18	22870
					1N2C330D01 O 8D670210		12	15079
					1N2C330D01 O 8D6704C0		2	2890
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400000		450	17501
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400010		80	5128
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400010		320	20512
					1N2C330D02 O 8A4004C0		1	1759
					1N2C330D03 L 8A400010		80	5128
					1N2C330D03 L 8A400010		400	25640
					1N2C330D02 M 8A400100		11	13697
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400000		80	3111
							5406	398001

F7.4-7.52

EXPENSE		FY96	WORK PACKAGE TOTALS				START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00	
							WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM	
FOR DATE 15SEP94		11:04						
BY HL SIMON 373-2567							DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2	
SUBJECT CYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM								
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
2C330E06	PREPARE SAFETY SUPT/SI RESOLUTION DOCUMENTS	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C330E01 L 8D112000		161	6071
					1N2C330E01 L 8D112010		1314	102137
					1N2C330E01 L 8D112010		272	21143
					1N2C330E01 O 8D112210		38	48253
					1N2C330E01 O 8D1122T0		10	12315
					1N2C330E01 O 8D1124C0		3	3895
					1N2C330E01 M 8D112100		8	9676
							<u>1826</u>	<u>203490</u>
2C330F20	CORE SAMPLE/DATA INTERPRETATION	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C330F01 L 7E540010		600	0
					1N2C330F01 L 7E720000		800	19056
					1N2C330F01 L 7E720010		1750	106453
					1N2C330F01 L 7E720010		5500	334565
					1N2C330F01 M 7E720100		11	13823
					1N2C330F01 O 7E720210		115	144509
					1N2C330F01 O 7E7202T0		10	12566
					1N2C330F01 O 7E7204C0		9	11812
							<u>8795</u>	<u>642783</u>
2C340A96	FAUSKE CONTRACT - SI RESOLUTION FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C340G01 O 7R260210		193	242524
					1N2C340G01 O 7R2604C0		13	16336
							<u>206</u>	<u>258860</u>
							<u>22062</u>	<u>1936194</u>
2C340A96	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANKS FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C340A01 L 7R230010		1450	86204
							<u>1450</u>	<u>86204</u>

F7.4-7.53

WHC-SP-1101

NO EXPENSE FY96

WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

11-04

PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2567

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3

WTS FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
02								
02C340C20	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW ENGR	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C340C02 L 7EA30010		611	37167
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520000		523	17761
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520000		1720	58411
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520010		506	39827
					1N2C340C01 O 8D520150		5	6283
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520210		1	1257
					1N2C340C07 L 52720060		196	9190
					1N2C340C07 L 52720060		415	19459
					1N2C340C07 L 52720060		76	3564
					1N2C340C03 L 8A400010		144	9230
							4197	202150
03								
02C340D20	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING (CPAC) FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C340D01 L 8D632010		2831	216260
					1N2C340D01 L 52720060		1475	69163
					1N2C340D01 O 8D632210		44	55290
					1N2C340D01 O 8D6324C0		3	3770
							4353	344463
0E								
02C340E06	ENGINEERING DOCUMENT CONTROL FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C340E01 L 8D730010		200	15072
							200	15072
0F								
02C340F14	PRESSURE MONITORING ENGINEERING & INSTALLATION	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C340F02 L 7CB40060		580	25526
					1N2C340F03 L 7C500060		415	18264
					1N2C340F02 L 7EA40000		330	7861
					1N2C340F01 L 7EA40000		320	7622
					1N2C340F01 L 7EA40010		170	10341
					1N2C340F01 L 7EA40010		920	55964
					1N2C340F01 L 7EA40010		1667	101404
							4402	226981

F7.4-7.54

WHC-SP-1101

42 EXPENSE FY96

WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

EXPORT DATE 15SEP94

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

11:04

BY HL SIMON 373-2587

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 4

TS CYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DLR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
-------------	-------------	----------	-------------	--------------	--------------	----------	-----------------	-------------

34

OG

2C340G20	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW INSTALL	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C340G02 L 7C500060		136	5985
					1N2C340G02 L 7C500060		961	42308
					1N2C340G02 L 7C500060		272	11971
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520000		8	255
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520000		262	8898
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520000		374	12701
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520010		196	15427
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520010		588	46281
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520010		347	27312
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520010		785	61761
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520040		876	22215
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520040		264	6695
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520040		10	254
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520050		67	5066
					1N2C340G01 M 8D520100		30	37698
					1N2C340G01 M 8D520150		7	8796
					1N2C340G01 M 8D520150		1	1257
					1N2C340G01 M 8D520190		8	10053
					1N2C340G01 O 8D5202L0		4	5026
					1N2C340G01 O 8D5202T0		12	15079
					1N2C340G01 O 8D5204C0		5	6283
					1N2C340G01 O 8D5204P0		9	11309
					1N2C340G01 O 8D5206P0		12	15079
					1N2C340G01 O 8D5206P0		10	12943
							5263	390654

OH

2C340H04	IR SCAN DEVICE MOD & SCANS	190	02JAN96	30SEP96	1N2C340H01 L 7EA30010		2133	129750
							2133	129750

OJ

2C340J20	ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2C340J01 L 52720060		437	20491
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520000		8	255
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520000		151	5128
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520010		97	7635
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520010		521	41008
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520040		10	254
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520040		264	6695
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520060		437	0
					1N2C340J01 M 8D520100		10	12566
					1N2C340J01 M 8D520150		6	7540
					1N2C340J01 O 8D5202L0		2	2513
					1N2C340J01 O 8D5202T0		7	8168
					1N2C340J01 O 8D5204P0		3	4021
					1N2C340J01 O 8D5204C0		2	2513

F7.4-7.55

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY96

WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94
11:04

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2567
WTS FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 5

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
-------------	-------------	----------	-------------	--------------	--------------	----------	-----------------	-------------

34

62

1954 116786

23953 1516080

46014 3452274

46014 3452274

F7.4-7.56

WHC-SP-1101

42 EXPENSE FY97

WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

10:19

BY NL SIMON 373-2567
CYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
0A								
12C330A30	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANK SAFETY FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2C330A01 L 7R260010		1812	113540
					1N2C330A01 L 7R260010		1812	113540
					1N2C330A01 O 7R260210		36	46599
					1N2C330A01 O 7R2604C0		2	3107
							3662	276786
0B								
12C330B06	UPDATE SAFETY DOCUMENTATION FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2C330B01 L 8D112000		180	6219
					1N2C330B01 L 8D112010		180	14413
					1N2C330B01 L 8D112010		1120	89678
					1N2C330B01 M 8D112100		4	5176
					1N2C330B01 O 8D1124C0		1	1294
							1485	116762
0D								
12C330D18	TECHNICAL SUPPORT SI RESOLUTION FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2C330D01 L 8D670000		181	8965
					1N2C330D01 L 8D670000		906	44874
					1N2C330D01 M 8D670100		18	23558
					1N2C330D01 L 8D670010		2663	205008
					1N2C330D01 O 8D6702T0		12	15533
					1N2C330D01 O 8D6704C0		2	2977
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400010		80	5262
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400000		80	3205
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400010		320	21126
					1N2C330D02 L 8A400000		450	18027
					1N2C330D01 L 8A400010		181	11950
					1N2C330D02 M 8A400100		11	14109
					1N2C330D02 O 8A4004C0		1	1612
							4926	376427
0E								
12C330E08	TRANS DOC RESOLVE FeCN SI ALL TANKS	124	01OCT96	31MAR97	1N2C330E01 L 8D112000		181	6254
					1N2C330E01 L 8D112010		1314	105212
					1N2C330E01 L 8D112010		272	21779
					1N2C330E01 O 8D112210		38	49706
					1N2C330E01 O 8D1122T0		10	12685
					1N2C330E01 O 8D1124C0		3	4013
					1N2C330E01 M 8D112100		8	9967
							1826	209615

F7.4-7.57

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY97				WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM			
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 10:19				WORK PACKAGE TOTALS			
PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587				START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00			
WTS FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM				DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2			
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE QUANTITY	BUDGET BUDGET COST
03							
05							
0330F30	CORE SAMPLE/DATA INTERPRETATION	187	01OCT96	27JUN97	1N2C330F02 L 7E610010	60	3760
					1N2C330F01 L 7E720000	60	1472
					1N2C330F01 L 7E720010	400	25064
					1N2C330F01 L 7E720010	2865	179521
					1N2C330F01 M 7E720100	5	6472
					1N2C330F01 O 7E7202T0	4	5178
					1N2C330F01 O 7E7204C0	1	906
						3395	222372
05							
0330G04	FAUSKE CONTRACT - SI RESOLUTION FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2C330G01 O 7R260210	270	348976
					1N2C330G01 O 7R2604C0	18	23429
						288	372405
						15582	1574386
34							
0A							
0330A08	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANKS FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2C340A01 L 7R230010	1450	90857
						1450	90857
0C							
0330C30	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW ENGR	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2C340C02 L 7EA30010	611	38285
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520000	523	18295
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520000	1720	60166
					1N2C340C01 L 8D520010	506	41026
					1N2C340C01 O 8D520150	5	6472
					1N2C340C01 L 8D5202L0	1	1294
					1N2C340C07 L 52720060	196	9467
					1N2C340C07 L 52720060	415	20045
					1N2C340C07 L 52720060	76	3671
					1N2C340C03 L 8A400010	144	9507
						4197	208227
0D							
0330D30	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING (CPAC) FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2C340D01 L 8D632010	1855	145970
					1N2C340D01 L 52720060	966	46658
					1N2C340D01 O 8D632210	29	37536
					1N2C340D01 O 8D6324C0	2	2589

F7.4-7.58

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE FY97 WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

PCPT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 10:19
 Y HL SIMON 373-2567 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3
 YANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION	ORIG	EARLY	EARLY	COST	RESOURCE	BUDGET	BUDGET
ID		DUR	START	FINISH	ACCOUNT		QUANTITY	COST
04							2852	232755
05								
0540E10	ENGINEERING DOCUMENT CONTROL FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2C340E01 L 8D730010		200	15524
							200	15524
06								
0640F18	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION FeCN TANKS	186	01OCT96	30JUN97	1N2C340F01 L 7CB40060		500	22665
					1N2C340F01 L 7CB40060		500	22665
					1N2C340F01 L 7EA40000		400	9812
					1N2C340F01 L 7EA40000		150	3680
					1N2C340F01 L 7EA40010		200	12532
					1N2C340F01 L 7EA40010		1100	68926
					1N2C340F01 L 7EA40010		2000	125320
							4850	265600
07								
07C340G30	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW INSTALL	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2C340G02 L 7C500060		961	43577
					1N2C340G02 L 7C500060		272	12330
					1N2C340G02 L 7C500060		136	6165
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520000		151	5282
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520000		262	9165
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520010		785	63621
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520010		405	32837
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520010		588	47675
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520010		195	15892
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520040		876	22890
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520040		198	5174
					1N2C340G01 L 8D520050		87	5218
					1N2C340G01 M 8D520100		8	10355
					1N2C340G01 M 8D520150		5	6472
					1N2C340G01 M 8D520150		1	1294
					1N2C340G01 M 8D520190		8	10355
					1N2C340G01 O 8D520210		2	2589
					1N2C340G01 O 8D520270		5	6472
					1N2C340G01 O 8D5204C0		1	1294
					1N2C340G01 O 8D5204P0		7	9061
					1N2C340G01 O 8D5206M0		12	15533
					1N2C340G01 O 8D5206P0		5	6472
					1N2C340G01 O 8D5206P0		1	1424
							4972	341148

F7.4-7.59

WHC-SP-1101

NO EXPENSE FY97

WTS FERROCYANIDE PROGRAM

REPORT DATE 15SEP94

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

10:19

PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 4

WTS FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
34								
OH								
2C340H20	IR SCAN DEVICE MOD & SCANS	187	01OCT96	27JUN97	1N2C340H01 L 7EA30010		525	32897
					1N2C340H01 L 7EA30010		254	15916
					1N2C340H02 L 7C500060		702	31822
					1N2C340H03 L 33300060		303	15911
					1N2C340H04 L 31N20000		309	10617
					1N2C340H04 L 7CB00060		468	21214
					1N2C340H05 O 7R280440		15	19416
							2576	147792
0J								
2C340J30	070CTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2C340J01 L 8D520000		113	3953
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520010		347	28135
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520040		132	3449
					1N2C340J01 L 52720060		327	15794
					1N2C340J01 L 52720060		327	15794
					1N2C340J01 M 8D520100		5	6472
					1N2C340J01 M 8D520150		3	3883
					1N2C340J01 O 8D5202L0		1	1294
					1N2C340J01 O 8D5204C0		49	63168
					1N2C340J01 O 8D5206P0		1	1294
					1N2C340J01 L 8D520010		654	53026
							1959	196263
							23056	1498166
							38637	3072553
							38637	3072553

F7.4-7.60

WHC-SP-1101

42 CAPITAL FY95

EPGRT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 142

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

11:20

FE' BY NL SIMON 373-2587

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1

rc. me: N203

TS FERRUCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
C31							
OD							
N2C310D07	PHL AGING WASTE STUDIES	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2C510D01	7R2603DC	25	25000
N2C310D19	PHL COMPARE REAL WASTE W/SIMULATED WASTE	03OCT94	23JUN95	2N2C510D01	7R2603DC	30	30000
						55	55000
OG							
N2C310G02	MOSSBAUER SPECTROMETER	03OCT94	31AUG95	2N2C510G01	8H130210	21	20500
				2N2C510G01	8H1304C0	1	1400
						22	21900
OH							
N2C310H04	IN-SITU RELATIVE HUMIDITY INSTRUMENTATION	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2C510H01	7EA10210	24	29036
						24	29036
OJ							
N2C310J04	INFRARED SPECIATION TRANSFER	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2C510J02	8D632210	41	50020
				2N2C510J02	8D6324C0	3	3416
						44	53436
						145	159372
C34							
OB							
N2C340B14	INSTALLATION OF TC TREES/HOOKUPS THACS	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2C540B04	52120010	46	4024
				2N2C540B04	52120010	46	4024
				2N2C540B04	52120060	360	23083
				2N2C540B04	52120060	360	23083
				2N2C540B04	52120110	19	23180
				2N2C540B04	521204C0	3	3660
				2N2C540B05	57570010	560	32743
				2N2C540B05	57570100	5	6100
				2N2C540B05	575704C0	1	1220
				2N2C540B06	7EA10010	560	33074
				2N2C540B12	7R260440	25	30012
						1985	184203

F7.4-7.61

WHC-SP-1101

NO CAPITAL FY95

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 142

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

11:20

PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2567

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

Project Name: N203

THE FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
00							
00340C12	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW ENGR	03OCT94	01SEP95	2N2C540C07	52720010	208	12919
				2N2C540C04	52720060	1442	65654
				2N2C540C06	8D340010	260	19022
				2N2C540C01	8D520010	174	13297
				2N2C540C01	8D5204C0	7	9028
				2N2C540C01	8D520110	49	59780
						2140	179700
00							
00340D02	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2C540D01	8D632010	602	44644
				2N2C540D01	8D632110	39	47580
				2N2C540D01	8D6324C0	5	6100
				2N2C540D02	52100060	346	22186
				2N2C540D02	52100060	346	22186
				2N2C540D02	52100060	346	22186
						1684	164881
00							
00340F10	PRESSURE MONITORING DESIGN & ENGR FECH TANKS	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2C540F01	7EA40010	3250	191945
				2N2C540F02	7EA40100	153	186660
				2N2C540F02	7EA403R0	585	620685
				2N2C540F02	7EA404C0	17	20740
						4005	1020030
00							
00340G00	ISSU STATUS REPT ON LOW INSTALL ACTIVITIES	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2C540G04	7EA30010	734	43350
				2N2C540G01	8D520010	796	60830
				2N2C540G01	8D520050	260	14755
				2N2C540G01	8D520110	490	597800
				2N2C540G01	8D520150	3	3782
				2N2C540G01	8D520210	32	39040
				2N2C540G01	8D5204C0	52	63074
				2N2C540G05	52700060	546	24859
				2N2C540G05	52700060	109	4963
				2N2C540G05	52700060	218	9926
				2N2C540G05	52700060	218	9926
				2N2C540G03	57530010	362	21166
						3620	893471

F7.4-7.62

WHC-SP-1101

DND CAPITAL FY95

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 142

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

11:20

BY NL SIMON 373-2587

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3

NAME: N203

W'S FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
034							
CJ							
12C340J00	ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2C540J03	52720060	196	8924
				2N2C540J03	52720060	786	35787
				2N2C540J04	8D340010	260	19022
				2N2C540J01	8D520110	63	101260
				2N2C540J01	8D520110	76	92720
				2N2C540J01	8D5204C0	11	13908
				2N2C540J01	8D5204C0	9	10980
						1421	262600
						15055	2724885
						15200	2884257
						15200	2884257

F7.4-7.63

WHC-SP-1101

N2 CAPITAL FY96

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 132 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 10:35
 PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1
 Project Name: N203
 N2S FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
<hr/>							
00							
2C340D20	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING (CPAC) FY96	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2C640D01	8D832010	602	45987
				2N2C640D01	8D832110	66	82936
				2N2C640D01	8D8324C0	4	5026
				2N2C640D02	52100060	554	36592
				2N2C640D02	52100060	554	36592
				2N2C640D02	52100060	554	36592
						2334	243724
01							
2C340F14	PRESSURE MONITORING ENGINEERING & INSTALLATION	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2C640F01	7EA40010	1300	79079
				2N2C640F02	7EA40100	63	79166
				2N2C640F01	7EA403R0	240	262279
				2N2C640F01	7EA404C0	7	8796
						1610	429320
02							
2C340G20	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW INSTALL	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2C640G06	52720060	2622	122946
				2N2C640G06	52720060	2622	122946
				2N2C640G01	8D520000	2279	77395
				2N2C640G01	8D520010	1521	119718
				2N2C640G01	8D520040	132	3348
				2N2C640G01	8D520110	15	18849
				2N2C640G01	8D520110	200	251320
				2N2C640G01	8D5204C0	32	40211
						9423	756732
03							
2C340H04	IR SCAN DEVICE MOD & SCANS	02JAN96	30SEP96	2N2C640H01	7EA30010	423	25731
				2N2C640H01	7EA30110	39	49007
				2N2C640H07	52100060	292	19267
				2N2C640H07	52100060	292	19267
				2N2C640H07	52100060	292	19267
				2N2C640H01	7EA30110	55	69113
				2N2C640H01	7EA30110	16	20106
				2N2C640H01	7EA304C0	2	2513
				2N2C640H01	7EA304C0	5	6263
						1416	230613

F7.4-7.64

WHC-SP-1101

12 CAPITAL FY96

EXPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 132
10:35

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00

FILE Y HL SIMON 373-2567

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

PROJECT NAME: N203

US FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
-------	---------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------	--------------------	----------------

34

03

2C340J20 ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)

02OCT95

30SEP96

2N2C640J01

8D520000

304

10324

2N2C640J01

8D520010

86

6769

2N2C640J01

8D5204C0

24

30158

2N2C640J01

8D520210

357

448606

2N2C640J01

8D520010

654

51476

1425

547334

16208

2207723

16208

2207723

16208

2207723

F7.4-7.65a

WHC-SP-1101

2 CAPITAL FY97

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 133 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 07JUL00
 10.37
 PREPARED BY NL SIMON 373-2587 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1
 Project Name: K203
 K203 FERROCYANIDE SAFETY PROGRAM

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
2C340D30	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING (CPAC) FY97	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2C740D01	8D832010	602	47371
				2N2C740D01	8D832000	101	3429
				2N2C740D01	8D8324C0	13	16827
				2N2C740D02	52100060	900	61227
				2N2C740D02	52100060	900	61227
				2N2C740D02	52100060	900	61227
						3416	251309

OF

2C340F18	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION FeCN TANKS	01OCT96	30JUN97	2N2C740F02	7EA40010	580	36343
				2N2C740F02	7EA40100	27	34949
				2N2C740F02	7EA403R0	105	118201
				2N2C740F02	7EA404C0	3	3883
						715	193376

OH

2C340H20	IR SCAN DEVICE MOD & SCANS	01OCT96	27JUN97	2N2C740H01	7EA30010	288	18046
				2N2C740H06	57640010	171	10607
				2N2C740H01	7EA30110	27	34949
				2N2C740H01	7EA304C0	3	4272
				2N2C740H07	52100060	203	13810
				2N2C740H07	52100060	203	13810
				2N2C740H07	52100060	203	13810
						1096	109304

OJ

2C340J30	070CTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2C740J01	8D520000	379	13257
				2N2C740J01	8D520010	654	53026
				2N2C740J01	8D520210	362	468580
				2N2C740J01	8D5204C0	24	31066
						1419	565930
						6648	1119919
						6648	1119919
						6648	1119919

F7.4-7.65b

WASTE TASK SAFETY PROGRAM RUN NO. 408

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP95

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 14:11
BY LA YEATER 373-1903
BLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION	ORIG	EARLY	FINISH	ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET	QUANTITY	BUDGET	COST
----------	-------------	------	-------	--------	---------	----------	--------	----------	--------	------

20410A00	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D410A01 L 7E880010	1298	30010	4572	270022	30010
					1N2D410A01 L 7E880010			100	122000	
					1N2D410A01 O 7E880210			326	326000	
					1N2D410A02 O 7R25030C			234	234000	
						6530	982032			

20410B10	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D410B01 L 7R250010	1812	107017	300	300000	
					1N2D410B01 O 7R25030C					
						2112	407017			

1N2D410C00	GAS GENERATION MECHANISMS FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D410C06 O 7R25030C	502	502000	2310	87226	502000
					1N2D410C01 L 8E110000			2566	159682	
					1N2D410C01 L 8E110010			34	41480	
					1N2D410C01 M 8E110100			40	42440	
					1N2D410C01 O 8E110300			2634	116001	
					1N2D410C02 L 8E400060			8086	948829	

1N2D410E00	GAS RETENTION MECHANISMS FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D410E01 O 7R25030C	216	216000	215	215000	
					1N2D410E01 O 7R25030C			368	368000	
						799	799000			

1N2D410H00	GAS REACTION STUDIES FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D410H01 O 7R25021C	368	368000	368	368000	
						368	368000			

1N2D410J00	ORGANIC CHARACTERIZATION	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D410J01 O 7R25030C	238	238000	238	238000	
						238	238000			

1N2D420A00	VAPOR SPACE MONITORING FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D420A01 L 7R250010	1812	107017	1812	107017	
					1N2D420A02 L 7CF20010			1812	107017	
					1N2D420A01 O 7R25030C			337	337000	
					1N2D420A03 L 7E310010			2718	160525	
						6679	711559			

F7.4-7.66

WHC-SP-1101

2 EXPENSE	WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM						RUN NO. 408	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 14:11	WORK PACKAGE TOTALS				START DATE 01OCT92	FIN DATE 30SEP05		
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903					DATA DATE 01OCT93	PAGE NO.	2	
US FLAMMABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM								
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST	
2								
OE								
20420E00	ISSU INTERPRETIVE REPT 103-SY CORE SAMPLE DATA	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D420E02 L 7E310010	4572	270022	
					1N2D420E01 O 7R25030C	188	188000	
					1N2D420E01 L 7R25021C	264	264000	
					1N2D420E03 L 7R250010	423	24982	
						5447	747005	
05								
20420G04	RETAINED GAS EVALUATION FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D420G02 L 8D210000	1730	54599	
					1N2D420G02 L 8D210010	6095	445910	
					1N2D420G04 O 7R25021C	132	132000	
					1N2D420G03 L 8E110000	906	34211	
					1N2D420G03 L 8E110010	1631	101497	
					1N2D420G04 O 7R25030C	101	101000	
					1N2D420G03 M 8E110100	42	51240	
					1N2D420G03 O 8E110300	20	21220	
					1N2D420G05 L 8E400060	350	15414	
						11007	957091	
						23133	2415654	
03								
0A								
20430A06	CLOSE SY FARM USQs (SI 21)	124	03OCT94	31MAR95	1N2D430A03 L 7R25021C	250	250000	
					1N2D430A02 L 8D114000	544	17713	
					1N2D430A02 L 8D114010	2243	169279	
						3037	436992	
08								
20430B10	CLOSE AW FARM USQ FLAM GAS TANKS	42	05JUL95	31AUG95	1N2D430B01 O 7R25021C	250	250000	
					1N2D430B03 L 8D114000	544	17713	
					1N2D430B03 L 8D114010	2243	169279	
						3037	436992	
						6074	873984	
14								
0A								
20440A02	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D440A01 L 7R250010	1812	107017	
					1N2D440A02 L 7EA00010	1000	59060	
					1N2D440A03 L 7CH00010	1000	59060	
						3812	225137	
08								
20440B12	SY FARM SPARK RESISANT FAN (SI 2k)	230	03OCT94	31AUG95	1N2D440B01 L 7CH30010	779	46008	

F7.4-7.67

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 408		
EPORT DATE 15SEP94 14:11		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS				START DATE 01OCT92	FIN DATE 30SEP05	
BY LA YEATER 373-1903						DATA DATE 01OCT93	PAGE NO. 3	
ABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM								
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
44								
OB							779	46008
OC								
N2D440C12	EXPEDITE DESG/CONSTRU BACKUP PORTB EXH SY-101	61	03OCT94	30DEC94	1N2D440C01 L 7CH30010		1727	101997
							1727	101997
OD								
N2D440D02	VIDEO SYSTEM UPGRADES FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D440D01 L 7EA30000 1N2D440D01 L 7EA30010		455 1935	10520 114281
							2390	124801
OE								
N2D440E10	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D440E02 L 7EA10010 1N2D440E01 L 7EA40010		2624 2794	154973 165014
							5418	319987
OF								
N2F	SURFACE LEVEL UPGRADES FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D440F01 L 7EA30010		997	58883
							997	58883
OG								
N2D440G02	AM FARM VENT UPGRADES FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D440G01 L 7CH30010 1N2D440G02 L 7EA50010 1N2D440G03 L 52100060		1693 1529 546	99989 90303 35010
N2D440G30	PORTABLE EXHAUSTER FOR SSTs	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D440G02 L 7EA50010 1N2D440G04 L 88800010		847 847	50024 50024
							5462	325348
OH								
N2D440H06	GAS MONITOR UPGRADES FY95	126	03APR95	29SEP95	1N2D440H02 L 80210010 1N2D440H03 O 7R25021C		1370 135	100229 135000
							1505	235229
OK								
N2D440K02	INSTL AMMONIA MONITORS 241-AW/AH FARMS	124	03OCT94	31MAR95	1N2D440K01 L 80210010		2356	172365
							2356	172365
OM								
N2D440M00	INSTALL DST/SST GAS MONITORS (SI 2h)	124	03OCT94	31MAR95	1N2D440M01 L 7F510010 1N2D440M03 L 80210010 1N2D440M02 O 7R25021C		4000 2398 140	236240 175438 140000
							6538	551678

F7.4-7.68

WHC-SP-1101

N2 CAPITAL
 REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 382 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
 08:28
 PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1
 Project Name: N202
 N2 FLAMMABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
41							
0A							
2D410A00	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY95	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N20510A02	7R2503DC	50	50000
						50	50000
0C							
2D410C00	GAS GENERATION MECHANISMS FY95	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N20510C06	7R2503DC	75	75000
						75	75000
0E							
2D410E00	GAS RETENTION MECHANISMS FY95	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N20510E01	7R2503DC	25	25000
				2N20510E01	7R2503DC	20	20000
				2N20510E01	7R2503DC	60	60000
						105	105000
						230	230000
42							
0E							
2D420E00	WASTE BEHAVIOR EVALUATION FY95	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N20520E02	7E310110	23	28060
						23	28060
0G							
2D420G04	RETAINED GAS EVALUATION FY95	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N20520G04	7R2503DC	20	20000
						20	20000
						43	48060

F7.4-7.69

N2 CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 382
08:28

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP95

REF BY LA YEATER 373-1903

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

PROJECT NAME: N202

TS FLAMMABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
-------	---------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------	--------------------	----------------

44

00

N2D440D02 VIDEO SYSTEM UPGRADES FY95

03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2D540D11	31111010	80	5413
		2N2D540D13	31440010	160	11202
		2N2D540D16	31440010	112	7841
		2N2D540D11	31111010	24	1624
		2N2D540D12	38220010	160	10677
		2N2D540D12	38220010	112	7474
		2N2D540D07	52000010	256	23316
		2N2D540D05	52700010	5160	320488
		2N2D540D14	52700010	720	44719
		2N2D540D05	57500010	567	33152
		2N2D540D05	57500010	1600	93552
		2N2D540D06	7C900010	900	53154
		2N2D540D03	7CF80000	385	8901
		2N2D540D03	7CF80000	230	5318
		2N2D540D03	7CF80010	3665	216455
		2N2D540D03	7CF80010	1890	111623
		2N2D540D08	7CH30010	790	46657
		2N2D540D01	7EA30000	560	12947
		2N2D540D01	7EA30000	120	2774
		2N2D540D01	7EA30010	2860	168912
		2N2D540D01	7EA30010	1665	98335
		2N2D540D14	7E640000	140	3237
		2N2D540D14	7E640010	955	56402
		2N2D540D02	7EA30110	709	864980
		2N2D540D02	7EA30110	165	201300
		2N2D540D10	88800000	60	1398
		2N2D540D10	88800000	130	3029
		2N2D540D10	88800010	415	24510
		2N2D540D10	88800010	275	16242
		2N2D540D09	8D110000	40	1302
		2N2D540D09	8D110000	80	2605
		2N2D540D09	8D110010	450	33962
		2N2D540D09	8D110010	250	18868
		2N2D540D04	8D200010	100	7316
		2N2D540D04	8D200010	1240	90718
				27025	2610402

0E

N2D440E10 TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY95

03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2D540E03	7EA30110	214	261080
		2N2D540E04	7R25021C	300	300000
				514	561080

0F

N2D440F02 SURFACE LEVEL UPGRADES FY95

03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2D540F03	7EA30110	227	276940
				227	276940

0G

N2D440G02 AW FARM VENT UPGRADES FY95

03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2D540G01	7CH30110	490	597800
---------	---------	------------	----------	-----	--------

N2D440G30 PORTABLE EXHAUSTER FOR SSTs

03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2D540G02	7EA50110	450	549000
				940	1146800

WHC-SP-1101

WHE CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 382
08:28

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3

Project Name: N2O2

WHE FLAMMABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
044							
OH							
02D440H06	GAS MONITOR UPGRADES FY95	03APR95	29SEP95	2N2D540H01	7F5103R0	520	551720
						520	551720
OK							
02D440K02	INSTL AMMONIA MONITORS 241-AW/AN FARMS	03OCT94	31MAR95	2N2D540K01	8D210110	125	152500
						125	152500
OH							
02D440M00	INSTALL DST/SST GAS MONITORS (SI 2h)	03OCT94	31MAR95	2N2D540M01	7F510010	906	53508
				2N2D540M02	8D210110	162	197640
				2N2D540M01	7F5103R0	560	594160
						1628	845308
						30979	6144751
						31252	6422811
						31252	6422811

F7.4-7.71

WHC-SP-1101

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM		RUN NO. 408
REPORT DATE 15SEP94	WORK PACKAGE TOTALS	START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
14:11		
PRE BY LA YEATER 373-1903		DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 4
TS BLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM		

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
144							30984	2161432
145								
03								
1N2D450J02	FIELD SUPPORT FOR FLAMMABLE GAS FY95	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2D450J04 L 31100010		1270	85928
					1N2D450J05 L 7C500060		5266	225016
					1N2D450J08 L 33300060		4095	202662
					1N2D450J01 L 7C900060		4095	174979
					1N2D450J02 L 7CB90060		5851	250013
					1N2D450J06 L 7CC40010		2540	150012
					1N2D450J03 L 7CC60010		1690	99811
					1N2D450J07 L 7CH30010		9397	554987
					1N2D450J09 L 7CB00060		2340	99988
					1N2D450J10 L 7CH20010		906	53508
							37450	1896906
							37450	1896906
							115774	11090853
							115774	11090853

F7.4-7.72

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 386	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS				START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05	
09:03						DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1	
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903							
TANKS FLAMMABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
41							
0A							
2D410A06	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D410A02 L 7E880000	1330	31681
					1N2D410A02 L 7E880010	4686	285049
					1N2D410A02 O 7E880210	103	129430
					1N2D410A03 O 7R25021C	500	515000
					1N2D410A03 O 7R25030C	500	515000
						7119	1476160
0B							
2D410B11	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D410B01 O 7R2503RC	320	329600
					1N2D410B01 L 7R250010	1812	110224
						2132	439824
0C							
2D410C20	GAS GENERATION MECHANISMS FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D410C06 O 7R25030C	360	370800
					1N2D410C01 L 8E110000	4866	189239
					1N2D410C01 L 8E110010	1905	122111
					1N2D410C01 M 8E110100	25	31415
						7156	713564
0E							
2D410E06	GAS RETENTION MECHANISMS FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D410E01 O 7R25030C	225	231750
					1N2D410E01 O 7R25030C	190	195700
					1N2D410E01 O 7R25030C	190	195700
						605	623150
0H							
2D410H20	GAS REACTION STUDIES FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D410H02 O 7R25021C	423	435690
						423	435690
0J							
2D410J04	DEV & TRANSFER OF METHODS ORGANIC ANALYSIS	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D410J01 O 7R25030C	225	231750
						225	231750
						17660	3920138
12							
0A							
2D420A20	VAPOR SPACE MONITORING FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D420A01 L 7R250010	1812	110224
					1N2D420A02 L 7CF20010	1812	110224
					1N2D420A03 O 7R25030C	400	412000
					1N2D420A03 L 7E310010	4530	275560
						8554	908008

F7.4-7.73

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 386	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 09:03		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS				START DATE 01OCT92	FIN DATE 30SEP05
R 3Y LA YEATER 373-1903						DATA DATE 01OCT93	PAGE NO. 2
.TS ABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
D42							
OE							
N2D420E20	WASTE BEHAVIOR EVALUATION FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D420E02 L 7E310000	973	23177
					1N2D420E03 L 7E310010	5715	347643
					1N2D420E01 O 7R2503DC	450	463500
					1N2D420E03 O 7R2503DC	284	292520
						7422	1126840
OS							
N2D420G20	RETAINED GAS EVALUATION FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D420G02 L 80210000	433	14077
					1N2D420G01 L 7E310000	433	10314
					1N2D420G02 L 80210010	1524	114849
					1N2D420G01 L 7E310010	1524	92705
					1N2D420G01 L 7E310000	762	18151
					1N2D420G01 L 7E310010	216	13139
						4892	263235
						20868	2298083
D43							
OB							
N2	CLOSE AN FARM USQs FLAM GAS TANKS	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D430B01 L 7R250010	1812	110224
					1N2D430B01 O 7R25021C	800	824000
					1N2D430B02 L 88800010	254	15453
					1N2D430B03 L 80114000	2111	70803
					1N2D430B03 L 80114010	7437	578078
						12414	1598558
						12414	1598558
D44							
OA							
N2D440A06	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D440A01 L 7R250010	1812	110224
					1N2D440A02 L 7EA00010	1000	60830
					1N2D440A03 L 7CH00010	1000	60830
						3812	231884
OE							
N2D440E20	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D440E02 L 7EA10010	4377	266253
					1N2D440E03 L 7EA30010	4377	266253
						8754	532506
OG							
N2D440G14	AN FARM VENT UPGRADES	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D440G01 L 7CH30010	2455	149338
N2D440G20	AN FARM VENT UPGRADES	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D440G01 L 7CH30010	5926	360479
						8381	509816

F7.4-7.74

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 386	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 09:03		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS				START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05	
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903						DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3	
TS FLAMMABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
24							
04							
2D440H10	GAS MONITOR UPGRADES FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D440H01 O 7R25021C	300	309000
						300	309000
0L							
2D440L02	MISC TANK FARM EQUIPMENT UPGRADES	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D440L01 L 7EA00010	2929	178171
						2929	178171
0N							
2D440N00	EQUIPMENT DISPOSAL FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D440N02 L 7C900060	24573	1081458
					1N2D440N01 L 7R250010	44023	2677919
						68596	3759377
						92772	5520754
15							
0J							
2D450J06	FIELD SUPPORT FOR FLAMMABLE GAS FY96	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2D450J04 L 31100010	1270	88506
					1N2D450J05 L 7C500060	5851	257503
					1N2D450J08 L 33300060	4095	208763
					1N2D450J01 L 7C900060	5851	257503
					1N2D450J02 L 7CB90060	7489	329591
					1N2D450J06 L 7CC40010	2540	154508
					1N2D450J03 L 7CC60010	2540	154508
					1N2D450J07 L 7CH30010	10244	623143
						39880	2074024
						39880	2074024
						183594	15411557
						183594	15411557

F7.4-7.75

[illegible]

WHC-SP-1101

2N2 CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 379 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
 08:20
 PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2
 Project Name: N202
 NTS FLAMMABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
D44							
OH							
N2D440H10	GAS MONITOR UPGRADES FY96	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2D640H01	7F5103R0	150	163925
						150	163925
OL							
N2D440L02	MISC TANK FARM EQUIPMENT UPGRADES	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2D640L01	7EA00100	1100	1382260
						1100	1382260
						4375	5473060
						4795	5905660
						4795	5905660

F7.4-7.77

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 383		
EXPORT DATE 15SEP94 08:31		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS			START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05			
REF. LA YEATER 373-1903 TS FLAMMABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM				DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1				
CTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
41								
0A								
2D410A08	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D410A02 L 7E880000		1364	33459
					1N2D410A02 L 7E880010		4800	300768
					1N2D410A02 O 7E880210		105	135914
					1N2D410A03 O 7R2503DC		550	583550
							6819	1053691
0B								
2D410B12	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D410B01 O 7R2503DC		300	318300
					1N2D410B01 L 7R250010		1812	113540
							2112	431840
0C								
2D410C30	GAS GENERATION MECHANISMS FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D410C01 L 8E110000		3893	155954
					1N2D410C01 L 8E110010		1524	100614
					1N2D410C01 M 8E110100		20	25888
							5437	282456
0E								
2D410E01	GAS RETENTION MECHANISMS FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D410E01 O 7R2503DC		90	95490
					1N2D410E01 O 7R2503DC		190	201590
					1N2D410E01 O 7R2503DC		90	95490
							370	392570
0H								
2D410H30	GAS REACTION STUDIES FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D410H02 O 7R25021C		100	106100
							100	106100
							14838	2266657
D42								
0A								
2D420A30	VAPOR SPACE MONITORING FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D420A01 L 7R250010		1812	113540
					1N2D420A02 L 7CF20010		1812	113540
					1N2D420A03 O 7R2503DC		225	238725
					1N2D420A03 L 7E310010		5436	340620
							9285	806425
0E								
2D420E30	WASTE BEHAVIOR EVALUATION FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D420E02 L 7E310000		973	23868
					1N2D420E02 L 7E310010		5715	358102
					1N2D420E03 O 7R2503DC		200	212200
					1N2D420E01 O 7R2503DC		650	689650
							7538	1283820

F7.4-7.78

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 383	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 08:31		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05			
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903		DATA DATE 01OCT93				PAGE NO. 2	
T5 FLAMMABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE QUANTITY	BUDGET BUDGET COST
42							
OG							
20420G30	COMPL DATA ANALY ON SAMPLS USING RGS	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D420G01 L 7E310000 1N2D420G01 L 7E310010	649 2286	15920 143241
						2935	159161
						19758	2249405
43							
OB							
20430B18	CLOSE REMAINING USQ FLAM GAS TANKS	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D430B01 L 7R250010 1N2D430B01 O 7R25021C 1N2D430B02 L 88800010 1N2D430B03 L 8D114000 1N2D430B03 L 8D114010	1812 600 254 1730 6095	113540 636600 15918 59772 488027
						10491	1313856
						10491	1313856
44							
OA							
20440A10	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D440A01 L 7R250010 1N2D440A02 L 7EA00010 1N2D440A03 L 7CH00010	1812 1000 1000	113540 62660 62660
						3812	238860
OE							
20440E30	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D440E02 L 7EA10010 1N2D440E01 L 7EA30010	4402 4402	275829 275829
						8804	551659
OG							
20440G24	AN FARM VENT UPGRADES	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D440G01 L 7CH30010	7111	445575
						7111	445575
OH							
20440H14	GAS MONITOR UPGRADES FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D440H01 L 7R25021C	150	159150
						150	159150
OL							
20440L06	MISC TANK FARM EQUIPMENT UPGRADES	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D440L01 L 7EA00010	3471	217493
						3471	217493

F7.4-7.79

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM		RUN NO. 383	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94	08:31	WORK PACKAGE TOTALS	START DATE 01OCT92	FIN DATE 30SEP05	
RF 7Y LA YEATER 373-1903			DATA DATE 01OCT93	PAGE NO. 3	
BLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM					

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG	EARLY	EARLY	COST	RESOURCE	BUDGET	BUDGET
		DUR	START	FINISH	ACCOUNT		QUANTITY	COST
44								
0H								
20440N04	EQUIPMENT DISPOSAL FY97	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2D440N02 L 7C900060		25743	1166930
					1N2D440N01 L 7R250010		54182	3395044
							79925	4561974
							103273	6174711

45								
0J								
20450J10	FIELD SUPPORT FOR FLAMMABLE GAS FY97	250	01OCT96	29SEP97	1N2D450J04 L 31100010		847	60806
					1N2D450J05 L 7C500060		4095	185626
					1N2D450J01 L 7C900060		4095	185626
					1N2D450J08 L 33300060		3510	184310
					1N2D450J02 L 7C890060		5851	265226
					1N2D450J06 L 7CC40010		1693	106083
					1N2D450J03 L 7CC60010		1693	106083
					1N2D450J07 L 7C430010		10244	641889
							32028	1735651
							32028	1735651
							180388	13740280
							180388	13740280

F7.4-7.80

WHC-SP-1101

CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 378
08:19

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1

Project Name: N202

IS FLAMMABLE GAS SAFETY PROGRAM

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
41							
0A							
2D410A08	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY97	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2D710A03	7R2503DC	100	106100
						100	106100
						100	106100
42							
0A							
2D420A30	VAPOR SPACE MONITORING FY97	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2D720A03	7R2503DC	50	53050
						50	53050
0E							
2D420E30	WASTE BEHAVIOR EVALUATION FY97	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2D720E01	7R2503DC	200	212200
				2N2D720E01	7R2503DC	100	106100
						300	318300
						350	371350
44							
0E							
2D440E30	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY97	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2D740E01	7EA30110	525	679571
						525	679571
0G							
2D440G24	AN FARM VENT UPGRADES	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2D740G01	7CH30100	800	1035536
						800	1035536
0L							
2D440L06	MISC TANK FARM EQUIPMENT UPGRADES	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2D740L01	7EA00100	1100	1423862
						1100	1423862
						2425	3138969
						2875	3616419
						2875	3616419

F7.4-7.81

WHC-SP-1101

2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM					RUN NO. 410	
POST DATE 15SEP94 14:42		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS			START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 30SEP05	
BY LA YEATER 373-1903						DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 1
ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM								
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
51								
0A								
2E510A2	PHL PROJECT MANAGEMENT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510A01 0 7R2703DC		300	300000
							300	300000
0B								
2E510B2	ORGANIC WASTE ENERGETICS	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510B01 0 7R2703DC		450	450000
							450	450000
0C								
2E510C2	WASTE ENERGETICS WASIMULANTS - FA1	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510C02 0 8D112210 1N2E510C02 0 8D1124C0	80112210 801124C0	308 20	375760 24400
							328	400160
0D								
2E510D2	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL METHODS DEVELOPMENT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510D01 0 7R2703DC		450	450000
							450	450000
0E								
2E510E2	ORGANIC WASTE AGING STUDIES	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510E01 0 7R2703DC		270	270000
							270	270000
0F								
2E510F2	ORGANIC CONCENTRATION MECHANISMS	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510F01 0 7R2703DC		225	225000
							225	225000
0G								
2E510G2	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY SUPPORT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510G01 0 7R2703DC		180	180000
							180	180000
0H								
2E510H2	SST ORGANIC CARBON & MOISTURE STUDY	61	03OCT94	30DEC94	1N2E510H01 0 7R2703DC		180	180000
							180	180000
0J								
2E510J2	ANALYSIS OF TANK T-111 CORE SAMPLE ANALYSIS	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510J01 0 7R2703DC		290	290000
							290	290000
0K								
2E510K2	SPECIAL STUDIES	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510K01 0 7R2703DC		180	180000
							180	180000

F7.4-7.82

WHC-SP-1101

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM		RUN NO. 410						
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 14:42		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 30SEP05		
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903				DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 2		
T ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM								
CTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
51								
OL								
2E510L2	ORGANICS SOLUBILITY STUDY	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510L01 L 8E120010		362	22527
					1N2E510L01 L 8E120000		1100	41536
					1N2E510L01 M 8E120100		8	9760
					1N2E510L01 O 8E1204C0		1	1220
							1471	75043
OM								
2E510M2	WASTE SAMPLE ANALYSIS (WO)	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510M01 O 7R2703D0		95	100795
							95	100795
ON								
2E510N2	ORGANIC LAYDOWN MODEL (PNL)	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510N01 O 7R2703DC		180	180000
							180	180000
OP								
2E510P2	WASTE MOISTURE W/SIMULANTS - FAI	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510P01 O 8D112210		77	93940
					1N2E510P01 O 8D1124C0		5	6100
							82	100040
OR								
2E510R2	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ORGANIC/NITRATE	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E510R01 L 8D112010		725	54716
					1N2E510R01 L 8D112010		1520	114714
					1N2E510R01 L 8D112000		460	14978
					1N2E510R01 L 8D112000		460	14978
					1N2E510R01 O 8D112210		77	93940
					1N2E510R01 O 8D1124C0		5	6100
							3247	299425
OT								
2E510T2	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ORGANIC LAYER	124	03OCT94	31MAR95	1N2E510T01 L 8D112010		1325	99998
							1325	99998
OU								
2E510U2	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ABSORBED ORGANIC	124	03OCT94	31MAR95	1N2E510U01 L 8D112010		1350	101885
							1350	101885
OV								
2E510V2	ORGANICS TANKS SAFETY CRITERIA	62	05JUL95	29SEP95	1N2E510V01 L 8D112010		1325	99998
							1325	99998
							11928	3982344

F7.4-7.83

WHC-SP-1101

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM		RUN NO. 410						
REPORT DATE 15SEP94		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 30SEP05		
14:42								
RE Y LA YEATER 373-1903				DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 3		
T SAFETY PROGRAM								
CTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
52								
0A								
2E520A2	CAP MANAGEMENT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E520A01 L 7R270010		1812	107017
					1N2E520A01 O 7R270210		244	297680
					1N2E520A01 O 7R2704C0		6	7686
							2062	412383
0B								
2E520B2	PROGRAM PLAN FOR ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM	63	30JUN95	29SEP95	1N2E520B01 L 7R270010		169	9981
					1N2E520B01 O 7R270210		30	36600
					1N2E520B01 O 7R2704C0		3	3660
							202	50241
0C								
2E520C2	ORGANIC TANKS WATCH LIST	61	03OCT94	30DEC94	1N2E520C01 L 8D112010		330	24905
							330	24905
0E								
2E520E2	CORE DATA INTERPRETN	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E520E01 L 7E310010		185	10926
					1N2E520E01 L 7E310010		1425	84161
					1N2E520E01 L 7E310000		215	4971
							1825	100057
							4419	587586
E54								
01								
2E54012	CAP MANAGEMENT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E540101 L 7R280010		362	21380
							362	21380
0D								
2E540D2	MOISTURE MONITOR ENG & (LOW) INSTALL	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E540D01 L 52720000		1512	42260
					1N2E540D01 L 52720010		1121	69625
					1N2E540D02 L 8D520010		730	55787
					1N2E540D02 L 8D520000		100	3297
					1N2E540D02 M 8D520100		5	6100
					1N2E540D02 M 8D520140		0	244
					1N2E540D02 M 8D520150		1	1098
					1N2E540D02 M 8D520190		5	6100
					1N2E540D02 O 8D520210		15	18300
					1N2E540D02 O 8D5202L0		0	488
					1N2E540D02 O 8D5206A0		30	36600
					1N2E540D02 O 8D5204C0		15	18300
					1N2E540D02 O 8D5202T0		8	9150
					1N2E540D02 O 8D5204P0		0	488
							3542	267837

F7.4-7.84

WHC-SP-1101

NO EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 410	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 14:42		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS				START DATE 01OCT92	FIN DATE 30SEP05
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903						DATA DATE 01OCT93	PAGE NO. 4
ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
54							
0F							
2E540F2	SAFETY EVALUATION/LOA	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E540F01 L 8D112010	1192	89960
						1192	89960
						5096	379177
55							
0A							
2E560A2	MOISTURE MONITORING/CONTROL ENG.	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E560A01 L 57640010	180	10525
					1N2E560A01 L 57640000	180	5276
					1N2E560A06 O 7R270210	192	234240
					1N2E560A01 L 57640010	3450	201722
					1N2E560A02 L 7CH30010	846	49965
					1N2E560A03 L 8D112010	663	50037
					1N2E560A04 L 88800010	846	49965
					1N2E560A05 L 7EA40010	180	10631
					1N2E560A05 L 7EA40010	810	47839
					1N2E560A06 O 7R2704C0	13	15860
						7360	676057
						7360	676057
57							
0B							
2E570B2	SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT FABRICATION	124	03OCT94	31MAR95	1N2E570B01 L 52100010	230	20120
					1N2E570B01 L 52100060	5926	379975
						6156	400096
0C							
2E570C1	SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT INSTALL/OPER	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E570C01 L 7C600010	508	30002
					1N2E570C01 L 7C600060	8660	370042
					1N2E570C02 L 7CB00010	423	24982
					1N2E570C02 L 7CB00060	5570	238006
					1N2E570C02 L 7CB00060	5570	238006
						20731	901039
0D							
2E570D2	SEPARATIONS & ORGANIC STORAGE SUPPORT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E570D01 L 7C500010	120	7087
					1N2E570D01 L 7C500060	3000	128190
						3120	135277
0E							
2E570E2	LABORATORY ANALYSIS	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E570E01 O 7R2703D0	400	424400
						400	424400

F7.4-7.85

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM	RUN NO. 410
REPORT DATE 15SEP94	14:42	WORK PACKAGE TOTALS	START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
P BY LA YEATER 373-1903			DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 5
T C SAFETY PROGRAM			

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
57								
OF								
2E570F2	ENGINEERING SUPPORT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E570F01 L 7E620010		542	32011
					1N2E570F01 L 7E620010		10210	603003
							10752	635013
QJ								
2E570J2	TREATMENT SYSTEM DESIGN	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2E570J01 L 7E620010		340	20080
					1N2E570J01 L 7E620010		6435	380051
							6775	400132
							47934	2895956
							76738	8521121
							76738	8521121

F7.4-7.86

WHC-SP-1101

N2 CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 381 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP95
 08:26
 PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1
 Project Name: N202
 T ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
51							
DA							
2E510A2	PML PROJECT MANAGEMENT	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2E510A01	7R2703DC	115	115000
						115	115000
OS							
2E510B2	ORGANIC WASTE ENERGETICS	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2E510B01	7R2703DC	155	155000
						155	155000
OG							
2E510G2	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY SUPPORT	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2E510G01	7R2703DC	200	200000
						200	200000
						470	470000
54							
OD							
2E540D2	MOISTURE MONITOR ENG & (LOW) INSTALL	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2E500D03	52720060	630	28684
				2N2E500D02	8D520110	120	146400
				2N2E500D02	8D5204C0	7	8540
						757	183624
						757	183624
57							
DA							
2E570A2	ORGANIC RECEIVER TANK	03OCT94	29DEC94	2N2E5G0A01	7E620110	158	192760
				2N2E5G0A01	7E6204C0	17	20740
						175	213500
OG							
2E570H2	DESIGN SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT BURIAL CONTAINERS	03JAN95	30JUN95	2N2E5G0H01	7E620010	340	20080
				2N2E5G0H01	7E620010	6430	379756
						6770	399836
						6945	613336
						8172	1266960
						8172	1266960

F 7.4-7.87

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 411	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 30SEP95	
14:43							
RE: JY LA YEATER 373-1903				DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 1	
T ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
51							
0A							
N2E510A4	PHL PROJECT MANAGEMENT	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510A01 O 7R2703DC	270	278100
						270	278100
0B							
N2E510B4	ORGANIC WASTE ENERGETICS	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510B01 O 7R2703DC	450	463500
						450	463500
0C							
N2E510C4	WASTE ENERGETICS MISIMULANTS - FAI	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510C02 O 8D112210	308	387033
					1N2E510C02 O 8D1124C0	20	25132
						328	412165
0D							
N2E510D4	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL METHODS DEVELOPMENT	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510D01 O 7R2703DC	450	463500
						450	463500
0E							
N2E510E4	ORGANIC WASTE AGING STUDIES	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510E01 O 7R2703DC	270	278100
						270	278100
0F							
N2E510F4	ORGANIC CONCENTRATION MECHANISMS	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510F01 O 7R2703DC	225	231750
						225	231750
0G							
N2E510G4	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY SUPPORT	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510G01 O 7R2703DC	180	185400
						180	185400
0H							
N2E510H4	SST ORGANIC CARBON & MOISTURE STUDY	61	02OCT95	29DEC95	1N2E510H01 O 7R2703DC	90	92700
						90	92700
0K							
N2E510K4	SPECIAL STUDIES	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510K01 O 7R2703DC	180	185400
						180	185400
0L							
N2E510L4	ORGANICS SOLUBILITY STUDY	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510L01 L 8E120010	362	23204
					1N2E510L01 L 8E120000	1100	42779
					1N2E510L01 M 8E120100	8	10053
					1N2E510L01 O 8E1204C0	1	1257

F 7.4-7.88

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 411	
EXPORT DATE 15SEP94 14:43		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS				START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05	
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903						DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2	
-T ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
ES1							
OL						1471	77293
OM							
N2E510M4	WASTE SAMPLE ANALYSIS (WO)	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510M01 O 7R2703D0	225	245887
						225	245887
ON							
N2E510N4	ORGANIC LAYDOWN MODEL (PNL)	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510N01 O 7R2703D0	90	92700
						90	92700
OP							
N2E510P4	WASTE MOISTURE W/SIMULANTS - FAI	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510P01 O 8D112210	77	96758
					1N2E510P01 O 8D1124C0	5	6283
						82	103041
OR							
N2E510R4	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ORGANIC/NITRATE	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E510R01 L 8D112010	725	56354
					1N2E510R01 L 8D112010	2850	221531
					1N2E510R01 L 8D112000	460	15428
					1N2E510R01 L 8D112000	460	15428
					1N2E510R01 O 8D112210	154	193516
					1N2E510R01 O 8D1124C0	10	12566
						4659	514824
OU							
N2E510U4	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ABSORBED ORGANIC	124	02OCT95	29MAR96	1N2E510U01 L 8D112010	663	51535
						663	51535
OV							
N2E510V4	ORGANICS TANKS SAFETY CRITERIA	63	01JUL96	30SEP96	1N2E510V01 L 8D112010	1325	102992
						1325	102992
						10958	3778887
ES2							
OA							
N2E520A4	CAP MANAGEMENT	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E520A01 L 7R270010	1812	110224
					1N2E520A01 O 7R270210	90	113094
					1N2E520A01 O 7R2704C0	6	7540
						1908	230858

F 7.4-7.89

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 411	
PORT DATE 15SEP94 14:43		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 30SEP05	
EP LA YEATER 373-1903 SAFETY PROGRAM				DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 3	
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
2							
08							
2E520B4	PROGRAM PLAN FOR ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM	62	01JUL96	27SEP96	1N2E520B01 L 7R270010 1N2E520B01 O 7R270210 1N2E520B01 O 7R2704C0	169 30 3	10280 37698 3770
						202	51748
0C							
2E520C4	ORGANIC WATCH LIST TANKS	61	02OCT95	29DEC95	1N2E520C01 L 8D112010	330	25651
						330	25651
0E							
2E520E4	CORE DATA INTERPRETN	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E520E01 L 7E310010 1N2E520E01 L 7E310010 1N2E520E01 L 7E310000	185 1425 215	11254 86683 5121
						1825	103058
						4265	411314
54							
01							
2E54014	CAP MANAGEMENT	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E540101 L 7R280010	362	22020
						362	22020
08							
2E540B4	INSTALL TC TREES/THACS	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E540B02 L 33300060 1N2E540B21 L 7CC00060 1N2E540B21 L 7CC00010 1N2E540B22 L 7CB40060 1N2E540B22 L 7CB40060 1N2E540B22 L 7CB40060 1N2E540B22 L 7CB40060 1N2E540B22 L 7CB40010 1N2E540B25 L 31110000 1N2E540B25 L 31110010 1N2E540B18 L 7CH30010 1N2E540B18 L 7CH30010	1394 3510 694 1498 889 889 468 3200 911 443 1659 406	71066 154475 42216 65927 39125 39125 20597 194656 35010 30873 100917 24697
						15961	818683
0C							
2E540C4	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E540C01 L 7EA40010 1N2E540C01 L 7EA40010 1N2E540C01 L 7EA40000 1N2E540C02 L 7CB40060 1N2E540C03 L 7C500060 1N2E540C04 L 7EA40000 1N2E540C04 L 7EA40010	931 1693 324 585 585 1081 210	56633 102985 7718 25746 25746 25749 12774
						5409	257351

F7.4-7.90

WHC-SP-1101

2 EXPENSE WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM RUN NO. 411

PORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
 14:43

PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 4

ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
2E54004	MOIST MONITOR ENG & LOW INSTALLATION	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E540002 L 80520000		68	2309
					1N2E540002 L 80520010		1826	143724
					1N2E540002 L 80520000		202	6860
					1N2E540002 M 80520100		10	12566
					1N2E540002 M 80520140		1	1257
					1N2E540002 M 80520150		5	6283
					1N2E540002 M 80520190		5	6283
					1N2E540002 O 80520210		100	125660
					1N2E540002 O 80520210		2	2513
					1N2E540002 O 805206A0		48	60317
					1N2E540002 L 805202T0		8	9425
					1N2E540002 L 805204C0		9	11309
					1N2E540002 L 805204P0		1	1257
					1N2E540002 L 805206H0		12	15079
					1N2E540002 L 805206P0		1	1257
					1N2E540004 L 80340010		219	16504
					1N2E540005 L 80610010		250	21850
					1N2E540006 L 80630010		501	43787
					1N2E540007 L 80530010		730	57458
					1N2E540008 L 52100060		2092	138177
					1N2E540008 L 52100060		2092	138177
					1N2E540008 L 52100060		2092	138177
					1N2E540009 L 7C500060		583	25658
					1N2E540009 L 7C500060		583	25658
					1N2E540009 L 7C500060		583	25658
					1N2E540010 L 7C900060		583	25658
					1N2E540010 L 7C900060		583	25658
							13772	1114175

2E540E4	MOISTURE MONITOR/CONTROL	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E540E01 L 7EA50010		2540	154508
					1N2E540E02 L 52100010		172	15499
					1N2E540E02 L 52100060		4445	293592
					1N2E540E01 O 7EA50210		230	289018
					1N2E540E01 O 7EA504C0		16	20106
					1N2E540E01 L 7EA50010		5080	309016
					1N2E540E02 L 52100010		1430	128857
					1N2E540E02 M 52100100		182	228701
					1N2E540E02 O 521004C0		23	28902
					1N2E540E02 L 52100060		2730	180317
					1N2E540E01 O 7EA50210		58	72883
					1N2E540E01 O 7EA504C0		4	5026
					1N2E540E04 L 7CB40010		169	10280
					1N2E540E04 L 7CB40060		936	41193
					1N2E540E01 L 7EA50010		423	25731
					1N2E540E04 L 7CB40060		725	31907
					1N2E540E04 L 7CB40060		1474	64671
					1N2E540E01 L 7EA50010		525	31936
					1N2E540E05 L 48003060		890	29690
					1N2E540E04 L 7CB40060		445	19584
					1N2E540E01 L 7EA50010		305	18553
							22802	2000172

2E540F4	SAFETY EVALUATION/LOA	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E540F01 L 80112010		1192	92654
---------	-----------------------	-----	---------	---------	-----------------------	--	------	-------

74-7.91

WHC-SP-1101

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM		RUN NO. 411						
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 14:43		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS						
START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 30SEP05						
RE LA YEATER 373-1903		DATA DATE 01OCT93						
T SAFETY PROGRAM		PAGE NO. 5						
CTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
54								
OF								
							1192	92654
							59498	4305055
55								
QA								
W2E550A4	USQ CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION	127	01APR96	30SEP96	1N2E550A01 L 8D112010		1165	90555
					1N2E550A01 L 8D112000		180	6037
							1345	96593
							1345	96593
E56								
QA								
W2E560A4	MOISTURE MONITORING/CONTROL ENG.	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E560A03 L 8D112010		331	25729
					1N2E560A04 L 88800010		423	25735
					1N2E560A05 L 7EA40010		180	10949
					1N2E560A05 L 7EA40010		660	40148
							1594	102561
OB								
W2E560B4	SAFETY ISSUE CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION	127	01APR96	30SEP96	1N2E560B01 L 8D112010		1320	102604
					1N2E560B01 L 8D112000		90	3019
							1410	105622
							3004	208183
E57								
OC								
W2E570C4	SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT INSTALL/OPER	124	02OCT95	29MAR96	1N2E570C01 L 7C600010		67	4076
					1N2E570C01 L 7C600060		1780	78338
					1N2E570C02 L 7CB00010		60	3650
					1N2E570C02 L 7CB00060		770	33888
					1N2E570C02 L 7CB00060		770	33888
							3447	153639
OD								
W2E570D4	SEPARATIONS & ORGANIC STORAGE SUPPORT	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E570D01 L 7C500010		305	18553
					1N2E570D01 L 7C500060		8000	352080
							8305	370633

F7.4-7.92

WHC-SP-1101

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM		RUN NO. 411					
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 14:43		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05			
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903		DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 6			
ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
07							
0F							
2E570F4	ENGINEERING SUPPORT	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E570F01 L 7E620010 1N2E570F01 L 7E620010	305 5620	18553 341865
						5925	360418
0G							
2E570H4	FAB SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT BURIAL CONTAINERS	63	02JAN96	29MAR96	1N2E570H01 L 7E620010 1N2E570H01 L 7E620010	420 8040	25549 489073
						8460	514622
0H							
2E570G4	SAFETY & PERMITTING	127	02JAN96	28JUN96	1N2E570G01 L 8D112010 1N2E570G01 L 8D112010	160 3100	12437 240963
						3260	253400
0J							
2E570J4	TREATMENT SYSTEM FABRICATION	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2E570J01 L 7E620010 1N2E570J01 L 7E620010 1N2E570J01 L 7E620060 1N2E570J01 L 7E620060	340 6435 7255 7255	20682 391441 319293 319293
						21285	1050708
0K							
2E570K4	TREATMENT SYSTEM INSTALL/OPERATIONS	63	01JUL96	30SEP96	1N2E570K01 L 7CB00010 1N2E570K01 L 7CB00060 1N2E570K02 L 7C600010 1N2E570K02 L 7C600060	170 4445 85 2223	10341 195624 5171 97834
						6923	308970
0L							
2E570L4	TREAT.SYSTEM BURIAL CONTAINERS-ENG DSN/EQUIP	190	02OCT95	02JUL96	1N2E570K01 L 7E620010	1354	82364
						1354	82364
						58959	3094954
						138029	11894986
						138029	11894986

F7.4-7.93

N2 CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 412

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP95

BY LA YEATER 3/3-1903

PROJECT Name: H202
ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY	START	FINISH	ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET	QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
-------	---------	-------	-------	--------	---------	----------	--------	----------	-------------

54

08

2E540B4 INSTALL TC TREES/THACS

02OCT95 30SEP96

2N2E640B01 7R2B0440 50 62830

2N2E640B01 52120010 80 7209

2N2E640B01 52120010 80 7209

2N2E640B01 52120060 593 39168

2N2E640B01 52120060 593 39168

2N2E640B01 52120110 32 40211

2N2E640B01 521204C0 5 6283

2N2E640B02 7E410010 931 56633

2N2E640B17 57570010 941 56667

2N2E640B17 57570110 8 10053

2N2E640B17 575704C0 2 2513

3315 327943

0C

2E540C4 PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION

02OCT95 30SEP96

2N2E640C04 7E4A0100 423 25731

2N2E640C04 7E4A0100 18 23121

2N2E640C04 7E4A04C0 2 2513

2N2E640C04 7E4A03R0 71 77591

2N2E640C05 7R270000 1812 43162

2N2E640C05 7R270010 3624 220448

2N2E640C05 7R270110 500 628300

2N2E640C05 7R270210 750 942450

2N2E640C05 7R2703R0 1500 1639245

2N2E640C05 7R270230 80 100528

2N2E640C06 5210060 500 33025

9280 3736114

0D

2E540D4 MOIST MONITOR ENG & LOW INSTALLATION

02OCT95 30SEP96

2N2E640D04 80340010 219 16504

2N2E640D02 80520010 487 38332

2N2E640D11 80320010 243 18312

2N2E640D02 80520110 100 125660

2N2E640D02 80520440 55 69113

6 7917

1110 275838

0E

2E540E4 MOISTURE MONITOR/CONTROL

02OCT95 30SEP96

2N2E500E01 7E4504C0 50 62830

2N2E500E05 7R270000 3624 86324

2N2E500E05 7R270010 7248 440896

2N2E500E05 7R270110 800 1005280

2N2E500E05 7R270210 750 942450

2N2E500E05 7R2704C0 85 106811

2N2E500E05 7R270230 500 628300

2N2E500E05 7R2703R0 1134 1239269

5210060 8000 528400

22580 5529377

36286 9869272

F74-7.94

WHC-SP-1101

 N2 CAPITAL
 REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 412 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
 14:46
 PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2
 Project Name: N202
 T ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
57							
OL							
N2E570L4	TREAT.SYSTEM BURIAL CONTAINERS-ENG DSH/EQUIP	02OCT95	02JUL96	2N2E6G0K01	7E620010	1693	102985
						1693	102985
						1693	102985
						37979	9972257
						37979	9972257

F7.4-7.95

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 384	
EPORT DATE 15SEP94 08:58		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS				START DATE 01OCT92	FIN DATE 30SEP05
RE Y LA YEATER 373-1903						DATA DATE 01OCT93	PAGE NO. 1
T ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM							
CTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
51							
0A							
N2E510A6	PNL PROJECT MANAGEMENT	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510A01 O 7R27030C	270	286470
						270	286470
0B							
N2E510B6	ORGANIC WASTE ENERGETICS	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510B01 O 7R27030C	225	238725
						225	238725
0C							
N2E510C6	WASTE ENERGETICS WASIMULANTS - FAI	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510C02 O 8D112210 1N2E510C02 O 8D1124C0	154 10	199341 12944
						164	212285
0D							
N2E510D6	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL METHODS DEVELOPMENT	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510D01 O 7R27030C	225	238725
						225	238725
0E							
N2E510E6	ORGANIC WASTE AGING STUDIES	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510E01 O 7R27030C	135	143235
						135	143235
0F							
N2E510F6	ORGANIC CONCENTRATION MECHANISMS	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510F01 O 7R27030C	90	95490
						90	95490
0G							
N2E510G6	ORGANIC ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY SUPPORT	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510G01 O 7R27030C	90	95490
						90	95490
0H							
N2E510H6	SST ORGANIC CARBON & MOISTURE STUDY	60	01OCT96	27DEC96	1N2E510H01 O 7R27030C	45	47745
						45	47745
0K							
N2E510K6	SPECIAL STUDIES	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510K01 O 7R27030C	45	47745
						45	47745
0L							
N2E510L6	ORGANICS SOLUBILITY STUDY	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510L01 L 8E120010 1N2E510L01 L 8E120000 1N2E510L01 M 8E120100 1N2E510L01 O 8E1204C0	362 1100 10 1	23899 44066 12944 1294

F7.4-7.96

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM RUN NO. 384

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
08:58

PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
51								
OL							1473	82204
OM								
2E510H6	WASTE SAMPLE ANALYSIS (WO)	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510M01 O 7R2703D0		225	253287
							225	253287
ON								
2E510N6	ORGANIC LAYDOWN MODEL (PHL)	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510N01 O 7R2703DC		45	47745
							45	47745
OP								
2E510P6	WASTE MOISTURE W/SIMULANTS - FAI	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510P01 O 8D112210 1N2E510P01 O 8D1124C0		39 3	50482 3883
							42	54366
OR								
2E510R6	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ORGANIC/NITRATE	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E510R01 L 8D112010 1N2E510R01 L 8D112010 1N2E510R01 L 8D112000 1N2E510R01 L 8D112000		725 1520 460 460	58051 121706 15893 15893
							3165	211543
OV								
2E510V6	ORGANICS TANKS SAFETY CRITERIA	64	30JUN97	30SEP97	1N2E510V01 L 8D112010		1325	106093
							1325	106093
							7564	2161147
ES2								
OA								
2E520A6	CAP MANAGEMENT	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E520A01 L 7R270010 1N2E520A01 O 7R270210 1N2E520A01 O 7R2704C0		1812 90 6	113540 116498 7767
							1908	237804
OB								
2E520B6	PROGRAM PLAN FOR ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM	62	30JUN97	26SEP97	1N2E520B01 L 7R270010 1N2E520B01 O 7R270210 1N2E520B01 O 7R2704C0		169 30 3	10590 38833 3883
							202	53305

F7.4-7.97

WHC-SP-1101

NO EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 384	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 30SEP05	
08:58							
BY LA YEATER 373-1903				DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 3	
C SAFETY PROGRAM							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
52							
0C							
2E520C6	ORGANIC WATCH LIST TANKS	62	01OCT96	31DEC96	1N2E520C01 L 80112010	330	26423
						330	26423
0E							
2E520E6	CORE DATA INTERPRETN	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E520E01 L 7E310010	185	11592
					1N2E520E01 L 7E310010	1425	89291
					1N2E520E01 L 7E310000	215	5274
						1825	106157
						4265	423689
E54							
01							
N2E54016	CAP MANAGEMENT	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E540101 L 7R280010	362	22683
						362	22683
0B							
N2E	INSTALL TC TREES/THACS	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E540809 L 33300060	1394	73199
					1N2E540821 L 7CC00060	3510	159108
					1N2E540821 L 7CC00010	694	43486
					1N2E540822 L 7CB40060	1498	67904
					1N2E540822 L 7CB40060	889	40298
					1N2E540822 L 7CB40060	889	40298
					1N2E540822 L 7CB40060	468	21214
					1N2E540822 L 7CB40010	3200	200512
					1N2E540825 L 31110000	911	36057
					1N2E540825 L 31110010	443	31803
					1N2E540818 L 7CH30010	1659	103953
					1N2E540818 L 7CH30010	406	25440
						15961	843274
0C							
N2E540C6	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E540C01 L 7EA40010	931	58336
					1N2E540C01 L 7EA40010	1693	106083
					1N2E540C01 L 7EA40000	324	7948
					1N2E540C02 L 7CB40060	585	26518
					1N2E540C03 L 7C500060	585	26518
					1N2E540C02 L 7EA40000	1081	26517
					1N2E540C04 L 7EA40010	210	13159
						5409	265079

F 7.4-7.98

WHC-SP-1101

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM RUN NO. 384

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 08:58 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 4

ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
54								
00								
2E540D6	MOISTURE MONITOR & (LOW) INSTALL	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E540D02 L 80520000		68	2379
					1N2E540D02 L 80520010		1461	118458
					1N2E540D02 L 80520000		262	9165
					1N2E540D02 M 80520100		10	12944
					1N2E540D02 M 80520140		1	1294
					1N2E540D02 M 80520150		4	5178
					1N2E540D02 M 80520190		5	6472
					1N2E540D02 O 80520210		197	255001
					1N2E540D02 O 80520210		2	2589
					1N2E540D02 O 805206A0		48	62132
					1N2E540D02 L 805202T0		8	9708
					1N2E540D02 L 805204C0		33	42328
					1N2E540D02 L 805204P0		1	1294
					1N2E540D02 L 805206H0		12	15533
					1N2E540D02 L 805206P0		1	1294
					1N2E540D04 L 80340010		273	21190
					1N2E540D07 L 80530010		262	21243
					1N2E540D06 L 80630010		236	21247
					1N2E540D08 L 52100060		1015	69050
					1N2E540D08 L 52100060		1015	69050
					1N2E540D08 L 52100060		1015	69050
					1N2E540D09 L 7C500060		583	26427
					1N2E540D09 L 7C500060		583	26427
					1N2E540D09 L 7C500060		583	26427
					1N2E540D10 L 7C900060		583	26427
					1N2E540D10 L 7C900060		583	26427
					1N2E540D10 L 7C900060		583	26427
					1N2E540D02 O 805203DC		24	25464
							9450	1000629

0E								
2E540E6	MOISTURE MONITOR/CONTROL DEMONSTRATION	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E540E05 L 48003060		725	24911
					1N2E540E04 L 7CB40060		1475	66862
					1N2E540E01 L 7EA50010		525	32897
					1N2E540E05 L 48003060		1170	40201
					1N2E540E04 L 7CB40060		585	26518
					1N2E540E05 L 7EA50010		423	26505
					1N2E540E04 O 7R2703RC		5080	5389880
							9983	5607774
							41165	7739439

55								
0A								
2E550A6	USQ CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION	128	31MAR97	30SEP97	1N2E550A01 L 80112010		1165	93282
					1N2E550A01 L 80112000		360	12438
					1N2E550A01 O 80112210		77	99670
					1N2E550A01 O 801124C0		5	6472
							1607	211862
							1607	211862

F 7.4-7.99

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 384	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 08:58		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS				START DATE 01OCT92	FIN DATE 30SEP05
BY LA YEATER 373-1903						DATA DATE 01OCT93	PAGE NO. 5
SAFETY PROGRAM							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
56							
08							
2E560B6	SAFETY ISSUE CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION	127	01APR97	30SEP97	1N2E560B01 L 8D112010 1N2E560B01 L 8D112000	1320 90	105692 3110
						1410	108802
						1410	108802
57							
00							
2E570D6	SEPARATIONS & ORGANIC STORAGE SUPPORT	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E570D01 L 7C500010 1N2E570D01 L 7C500060	240 6000	15038 271980
						6240	287018
0F							
N2E570F6	ENGINEERING SUPPORT	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2E570F01 L 7E620010 1N2E570F01 L 7E620010	305 2550	19111 159783
						2855	178894
0G							
N2E.	SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT BURIAL/STORAGE	63	01JUL97	30SEP97	1N2E570H01 L 7E620010 1N2E570H01 L 7E620010	254 4825	15916 302335
						5079	318250
0J							
N2E570J6	TREATMENT SYSTEM FINALIZATION	63	01OCT96	02JAN97	1N2E570J01 L 7E620010 1N2E570J01 L 7E620010	50 795	3133 49815
						845	52948
OK							
N2E570K6	TREATMENT SYSTEM INSTALL/OPERATIONS	187	01OCT96	27JUN97	1N2E570K01 L 7C800010 1N2E570K01 L 7C800060 1N2E570K02 L 7C600010 1N2E570K02 L 7C600060	85 2223 170 4445	5326 100769 10652 201492
						6923	318239
						21942	1155349
						77953	11800289
						77953	11800289

F7.4-7.100

WHC-SP-1101

N2 CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 377 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
 08:17
 PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1
 Project Name: N202
 T ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
54							
08							
2E540B6	INSTALL TC TREES/TMACS	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2E740B01	7R280440	50	64721
				2N2E740B01	52120010	80	7426
				2N2E740B01	52120010	80	7426
				2N2E740B01	52120060	593	40342
				2N2E740B01	52120060	593	40342
				2N2E740B01	52120110	32	41421
				2N2E740B01	521204C0	5	6472
				2N2E740B02	7EA10010	931	58336
				2N2E740B17	57570010	941	58370
				2N2E740B17	57570100	8	10355
				2N2E740B17	575704C0	2	2589
						3315	337800
0C							
2E540C6	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2E740C04	7EA40010	423	26505
				2N2E740C04	7EA40100	18	23817
				2N2E740C04	7EA404C0	2	2589
				2N2E740C04	7EA403R0	71	79926
						514	132837
0D							
2E540D6	MOISTURE MONITOR & (LOW) INSTALL	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2E740D04	8D340010	219	16999
				2N2E740D02	8D520010	487	39486
				2N2E740D11	8D320010	243	18862
				2N2E740D02	8D520110	100	129442
				2N2E740D02	8D520440	56	72488
				2N2E740D02	8D5204C0	6	8155
						1111	285431
0E							
2E540E6	MOISTURE MONITOR/CONTROL DEMONSTRATION	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2E740E04	7R2703RC	7305	7750605
				2N2E740E04	7R2703RC	7305	7750605
						14610	15501210
						19551	16257278
57							
0L							
2E540L6	TREATMENT SYSTEM BURIAL CONTAIN. EQUIP	01JUL97	30SEP97	2N2E7G0L02	52100010	60	5569
				2N2E7G0L02	52100060	1480	100684
						1540	106254
						1540	106254
						21091	16363532

F7.4-7.101

WHC-SP-1101

N2 CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 377 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
 08:17
 REF BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2
 NO. Name: N202
 AT ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
						21091	16363532

F7.4-7.102

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM RUN NO. 371

PORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
 07:55
 PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1
 HIGH-HEAT SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
0A								
2F610A1	INVESTIGATE C-106 ANOMALIES	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2F610A01 O 7R290210		200	244000
					1N2F610A01 O 7R2904C0		13	15860
2F610A2	CONDUCT 106-C PROCESS TEST (S1-2X)	188	03OCT94	30JUN95	1N2F610A01 L 7R290010		680	40131
					1N2F610A02 L 7C500060		408	17412
					1N2F610A02 L 7C500010		272	16035
					1N2F610A03 L 7CF10010		816	48163
					1N2F610A04 L 7EA50010		1223	72201
					1N2F610A04 L 7EA50000		166	3838
					1N2F610A05 L 7E870010		544	32114
					1N2F610A05 L 7E870000		166	3838
					1N2F610A06 L 8D160010		407	30735
					1N2F610A01 O 7R29030C		68	67500
					1N2F610A07 L 7CF80010		1359	80263
2F610A3	DOCUMENT 106-C PROCESS TEST (TPA M-40-05)	62	05JUL95	29SEP95	1N2F610A01 L 7R290010		227	13377
					1N2F610A02 L 7C500060		136	5801
					1N2F610A02 L 7C500010		91	5345
					1N2F610A03 L 7CF10010		272	16035
					1N2F610A04 L 7EA50010		408	24067
					1N2F610A04 L 7EA50000		15	347
					1N2F610A05 L 7E870010		181	10705
					1N2F610A05 L 7E870000		15	347
					1N2F610A06 L 8D160010		136	10245
					1N2F610A01 O 7R29030C		23	22500
					1N2F610A07 L 7CF80010		453	26754
							8273	807612
							8273	807612
							8273	807612

F7.4-7.103

WHC-SP-1101

2 EXPENSE	WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM	RUN NO. 372
PORT DATE 15SEP94	WORK PACKAGE TOTALS	START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
07:58		
EP / LA YEATER 373-1903		DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1
HIGH-HEAT SAFETY PROGRAM		

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
01								
08								
2F610B4	RESOLVE HIGH-HEAT SAFETY ISSUE	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2F610B01 L 7R290010		906	55112
					1N2F610B02 L 7EA50010		2718	165336
					1N2F610B02 L 7EA50000		362	8623
					1N2F610B03 L 7CF70010		1812	110224
							5798	339295
							5798	339295
							5798	339295

F7.4-7.104

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM RUN NO. 373

PORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
08:01

PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1

HIGH-HEAT SAFETY PROGRAM

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
DB								
1N2F610B6	RESOLVE HIGH-HEAT SAFETY ISSUE	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2F610B01 L 7R290010		906	56770
					1N2F610B02 L 7EA50010		1812	113540
					1N2F610B02 L 7EA50000		362	8880
					1N2F610B03 L 7CF70010		1359	85155
					1N2F610B04 L 8D160010		543	43478
							4982	307823
							4982	307823
							4982	307823

F7.4-7.105

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 371	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 07:55		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS				START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05	
PRE Y LA YEATER 373-1903 AT H. UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS						DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2	
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
371							
0A							
N2G710A1	MUST HAZARDS & SAFETY ANALYSES	124	03OCT94	31MAR95	1N2G710A01 L 7R290010	453	26754
					1N2G710A02 L 8D160010	906	68376
					1N2G710A03 L 7C630060	1850	79051
					1N2G710A05 O 582503R0	74	78514
					1N2G710A04 O 8D1134C0	9	10370
					1N2G710A04 O 8D113210	160	195200
					1N2G710A06 L 8D150010	906	68376
N2G710A2	MUST HAZARDS & SAFETY ANALYSES	126	03APR95	29SEP95	1N2G710A01 L 7R290010	453	26754
					1N2G710A02 L 8D160010	906	68376
					1N2G710A04 O 8D1134C0	9	10370
					1N2G710A04 O 8D113210	80	97600
						5805	729740
0B							
N2G710B2	VAPOR SAMPLING	126	03APR95	29SEP95	1N2G710B01 L 8E910010	362	18205
					1N2G710B01 L 8E910000	362	13332
					1N2G710B02 O 7R29030C	315	315000
						1039	346537
0C							
N2G710C1	SAFETY SCREENING SAMPLING	126	03APR95	29SEP95	1N2G710C01 L 7CF20010	1450	85637
					1N2G710C01 L 7CF20060	1268	54182
					1N2G710C02 L 8E110010	9966	620184
						12684	760003
0E							
N2G710E2	IN-TANK VIDEO/PHOTOS	126	03APR95	29SEP95	1N2G710E01 L 7CF80010	272	16064
					1N2G710E02 L 7C550060	182	7777
					1N2G710E03 L 33300060	91	4504
					1N2G710E04 L 7CF00010	91	5374
					1N2G710E01 M 7CF80100	45	54900
					1N2G710E01 O 7CF804C0	5	6100
						686	94719
0F							
N2G710F2	RISER ACCESSIBILITY	126	03APR95	29SEP95	1N2G710F01 O 7F5103R0	60	63660
					1N2G710F02 L 7C000060	1450	61959
					1N2G710F02 L 7C000010	1450	85637
					1N2G710F03 L 7CF00010	1812	107017
						4772	318272
						24986	2249272
						24986	2249272

F7.4-7.106

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 372	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 07:58		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 30SEP05	
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903				DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 2	
T MISC UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
03							
N2G710B4	VAPOR SAMPLING OF 5 MUSTS	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2G710B01 L 8E910010 1N2G710B01 L 8E910000 1N2G710B02 O 7R29030C	363 544 605	18778 20615 623150
						1511	662542
0C							
N2G710C4	SAFETY SCREENING SAMPLING	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2G710C01 L 7CF20010 1N2G710C01 L 7CF20060 1N2G710C02 L 8E110010	2718 2718 12134	165336 119619 777789
						17570	1062745
0D							
N2G710D4	MONITORING EQUIPMENT UPGRADES	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2G710D02 L 7CF00010 1N2G710D02 L 7CF00000 1N2G710D03 L 7C000060 1N2G710D03 L 7C000010	181 181 181 181	11010 4311 7966 11010
						724	34298
0E							
N2G710E4	IN-TANK VIDEO/PHOTOS	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2G710E01 L 7CF80010 1N2G710E02 L 7C550060 1N2G710E03 L 33300060 1N2G710E04 L 7CF00010 1N2G710E01 H 7CF80100 1N2G710E01 O 7CF804C0	544 363 181 181 88 9	33092 15954 9227 11010 110581 10681
						1365	190545
0F							
N2G710F4	RISER ACCESSIBILITY	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2G710F01 O 7F5103R0 1N2G710F02 L 7C000060 1N2G710F02 L 7C000010 1N2G710F03 L 7CF00010	120 2899 2899 3624	131140 127585 176346 220448
						9542	655519
0G							
N2G710G4	MUST SAFETY ISSUE RESOLUTION	251	02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2G710G01 L 7R290010 1N2G710G02 L 7E310010 1N2G710G03 L 8D110010	1812 1812 1812	110224 110224 140847
						5436	361295
						36148	2966943
						36148	2966943

F7.4-7.107

WHC-SP-1101

N2 CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 374
 08:04
 RE JY LA YEATER 373-1903
 Project Name: N202
 AT MISC UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
371							
00							
12671004	MONITORING EQUIPMENT UPGRADES	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2G610001	7EA10010	725	44102
				2N2G610001	7EA10110	22	27645
				2N2G610001	7EA104C0	3	3770
						750	75517
						750	75517
						750	75517

F7.4-7.108

WHC-SP-1101

EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 373	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 30SEP05	
08:01							
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903				DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 2	
MISC UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
OB							
2G710B6	VAPOR SAMPLING OF 10 MUSTs	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2G710B01 L 8E910010	363	19339
					1N2G710B01 L 8E910000	544	21240
					1N2G710B02 O 7R2903DC	605	641905
						1511	682484
OC							
2G710C6	SAFETY SCREENING SAMPLING	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2G710C01 L 7CF20010	2718	170310
					1N2G710C01 L 7CF20060	2718	123207
					1N2G710C02 L 8E110010	19932	1315911
						25368	1609427
OD							
2G710D6	MONITORING EQUIPMENT UPGRADES	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2G710D02 L 7CF00010	181	11341
					1N2G710D02 L 7CF00000	181	4440
					1N2G710D03 L 7C000060	181	8205
					1N2G710D03 L 7C000010	181	11341
						724	35328
OE							
2G710E6	IN-TANK VIDEO/PHOTOS	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2G710E01 L 7CF80010	544	34087
					1N2G710E02 L 7C550060	363	16432
					1N2G710E03 L 33300060	181	9504
					1N2G710E04 L 7CF00010	181	11341
					1N2G710E01 M 7CF80100	88	113909
					1N2G710E01 O 7CF804C0	9	11003
						1365	196276
OF							
2G710F6	RISER ACCESSIBILITY	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2G710F01 O 7F5103R0	120	135086
					1N2G710F02 L 7C000060	2899	131412
					1N2G710F02 L 7C000010	2899	181651
					1N2G710F03 L 7CF00010	3624	227080
						9542	675229
OG							
2G710G6	MUST SAFETY ISSUE RESOLUTION	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2G710G01 L 7R290010	1812	113540
					1N2G710G03 L 8D110010	1812	145087
					1N2G710G02 L 7E310010	1812	113540
						5436	372167
						43946	3570912
						43946	3570912

F7.4-7.109

WHC-SP-1101

42 CAPITAL

POST DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 376
 08:08
 RE: JY LA YEATER 373-1903
 Project Name: H202
 7 MISC UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
-------	---------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------	--------------------	----------------

71

03

2671006	MONITORING EQUIPMENT UPGRADES	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2G710D01	7EA10010	725	45429
				2N2G710D01	7EA10110	22	28477
				2N2G710D01	7EA104C0	3	3883
						750	77789
						750	77789
						750	77789

F7.4-7.110

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 371	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 30SEP05	
07:55							
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903				DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 3	
T VAPOR RESOLUTION							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
0A							
1N2H810A1	TANK VAPOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2H810A01 L 7R230010	1812	107017
					1N2H810A01 L 7R230010	1812	107017
					1N2H810A01 O 7R230270	40	48800
					1N2H810A02 L 7EA30010	445	26282
					1N2H810A03 L 31N20000	39	1263
					1N2H810A01 O 7R2304C0	8	9760
					1N2H810A01 O 7R230210	122	148840
					1N2H810A04 L 7CB40060	78	3333
					1N2H810A05 L 8D340010	78	5706
						4434	458017
0B							
1N2H810B2	PNL TOXICOLOGY CONSULTATION	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2H810B01 O 7R23030C	325	325000
						325	325000
0C							
1N2H810C2	WEATHER STATION OPS/MAINT/CALIBRATION (PNL)	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2H810C01 O 7R23030C	55	55000
						55	55000
0D							
1N2H810D2	ATMOSPHERIC PATHWAYS (PNL)	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2H810D01 O 7R23030C	120	120000
						120	120000
						4934	958017
0A							
1N2H820A2	VAPOR FLOW MONITOR CHARACTERIZATION ANALYSIS	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2H820A01 L 7EA30010	508	30002
						508	30002
0B							
1N2H820B2	VAPOR FLOW MONITORING DEVICE (ENG SUPPT)	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2H820B01 L 7EA30010	677	39984
						677	39984
0C							
1N2H820C2	EXECUTE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM EEA (DSN BASELINE)	61	03OCT94	30DEC94	1N2H820C01 L 7EA30010	854	50437
					1N2H820C02 L 88300010	280	16537
					1N2H820C03 L 8D350010	178	13022
					1N2H820C04 L 57570010	308	18009
						1620	98005

F7.4-7.111

WHC-SP-1101

2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 371	
PORT DATE 15SEP94 07:55		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 30SEP05	
EP LA YEATER 373-1903				DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 4	
VA SOLUTION							
TIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
2							
00							
182002	FABRICATE,INSTALL VAPOR TREATMENT SYSTEM	188	03OCT94	30JUN95	1N2H820001 L 7EA30010	1058	62485
					1N2H820002 L 80850010	153	12268
					1N2H820003 L 57570010	305	17833
					1N2H820004 L 7CC50010	474	27994
					1N2H820005 L 7CC00010	474	27994
					1N2H820006 L 7CB40060	1324	56575
					1N2H820006 L 7CB40010	310	18309
					1N2H820007 L 7C500010	310	18309
					1N2H820008 L 80112010	291	21962
					1N2H820009 L 88800010	291	17186
					1N2H820010 L 7N000010	183	10808
					1N2H820011 L 31N20000	35	1133
					1N2H820012 L 80340010	772	56480
					1N2H820006 L 7CB40000	810	18727
						6790	368053
						9595	536055
183							
0A							
12H83000	VAPOR FLOW MONITORING DEVICE	82	03OCT94	31JAN95	1N2H830A01 L 7EA30010	677	39984
						677	39984
						677	39984
						15206	1534056

F7.4-7.112

WHC-SP-1101

N2 CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 375 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
08:06

PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 1

Project Name: N202
T VAPOR RESOLUTION

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
B2							
00							
2H82002	FABRICATE,INSTALL VAPOR TREATMENT SYSTEM	03OCT94	30JUN95	2N2H520D01	7EA30110	8	9516
				2N2H520D01	7EA304C0	30	36600
				2N2H520D06	7CB40060	37	1581
				2N2H520D06	7CB40060	148	6324
				2N2H520D06	7CB40060	148	6324
				2N2H520D01	7EA30210	434	529480
				2N2H520D06	7CB40060	296	12648
						1101	602473
						1101	602473
						1101	602473

WHC-SP-1101

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM		RUN NO. 372					
REPORT DATE 15SEP94		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS					
07:58		START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05					
RE Y LA YEATER 373-1903		DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3					
ST V. RESOLUTION							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG EARLY DUR START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
01							
0A							
12H810A4	TANK VAPOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	251 02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2H810A01 L 7R230010		1812	110224
				1N2H810A01 L 7R230010		1812	110224
				1N2H810A01 O 7R2304C0		6	7540
				1N2H810A01 O 7R230210		98	123147
						3728	351134
0B							
12H810B4	PHL TOXICOLOGY CONSULTANTION	251 02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2H810B01 O 7R23030C		250	257500
						250	257500
0C							
12H810C4	WEATHER STATION OPS/MAINT/CALIBRATION (PHL)	251 02OCT95	30SEP96	1N2H810C01 O 7R23030C		55	56650
						55	56650
0D							
12H810D4	ATMOSPHERIC PATHWAYS (PHL)	250 02OCT95	27SEP96	1N2H810D01 O 7R23030C		300	309000
						300	309000
						4333	974284
						4333	974284

F7.4-7.114

42 EXPENSE	WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM	RUN NO.	371
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 07:55	WORK PACKAGE TOTALS	START DATE 01OCT92	FIN DATE 30SEP05
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903		DATA DATE 01OCT93	PAGE NO. 5
NUCLEAR CRITICALITY			

F7.4-7.115

WHC-SP-1101

W2 CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 375
08:06

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

BY JY LA YEATER 373-1903

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

PROJECT NAME: H202

AT NUCLEAR CRITICALITY

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
J91							
08							
H2J91082	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION	03OCT94	29SEP95	2H2J510801	80520010	3246	248059
				2H2J510801	80520000	1880	61984
						5126	310043
						5126	310043
						5126	310043

F7.4-7.116

2 EXPENSE	WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM	RUN NO.	372
REPORT DATE 15SEP94	WORK PACKAGE TOTALS	START DATE 01OCT92	FIN DATE 30SEP05
07:58			
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903		DATA DATE 01OCT93	PAGE NO. 4
NUCLEAR CRITICALITY			

[illegible]

F7.4-7.117

N2 CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 374

WORK PACKAGE TOTALS

START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

08:04

R: Y LA YEATER 373-1903

DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2

FC: ame: N202

T NUCLEAR CRITICALITY

ACT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
--------	---------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------	--------------------	----------------

91

08

2J91084	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2J610B01	80520010	4188	329637
				2N2J610B01	80520000	2427	82421
						6615	412058

0C

2J910C4	CRITICALITY DETECTION/EVALUATION	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2J610C01	80520010	785	61787
				2N2J610C01	80520000	462	15690
						1247	77477
						7862	489535
						7862	489535

WHC-SP-1101

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM		RUN NO. 373						
REPORT DATE 15SEP94	WORK PACKAGE TOTALS	START DATE 01OCT92	FIN DATE 30SEP05					
08:01								
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903		DATA DATE 01OCT93	PAGE NO. 3					
T NUCLEAR CRITICALITY								
CTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
91								
0A								
2J910A6	NUCLEAR CRITICALITY INTEGRATION	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2J910A01 L 7R220010		2080	130333
					1N2J910A02 L 8D160010		670	53647
					1N2J910A03 M 7R220100		22	28607
					1N2J910A01 M 7R220140		4	4789
					1N2J910A01 O 7R2204C0		3	3754
					1N2J910A01 O 7R2202T0		10	13203
					1N2J910A01 M 7R220190		2	2589
							2791	236921
0B								
2J910B6	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2J910B01 L 8D520010		5496	445616
					1N2J910B01 L 8D520000		3184	111376
					1N2J910B01 O 8D52021C		77	81803
					1N2J910B01 O 8D5204C0		5	6343
							8762	645138
0C								
2J910C6	CRITICALITY DETECTION/EVALUATION	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2J710C01 L 8D520010		3402	275834
					1N2J710C01 L 8D520000		1971	68946
					1N2J910C01 O 8D52021C		77	81803
					1N2J910C01 O 8D5204C0		5	6343
							5455	432926
							17008	1314985
							17008	1314985

F7.4-7.119

WHC-SP-1101

.....

VC CAPITAL

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 376 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
 08:08
 REF * LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 2
 PROJ. No: N202
 T NUCLEAR CRITICALITY

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
01							
05							
0910B6	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2J710B01	80520010	3141	254672
				2N2J710B01	80520000	1820	63664
						4961	318336
0C							
0910C6	CRITICALITY DETECTION/EVALUATION	01OCT96	30SEP97	2N2J710C01	80520010	3141	254672
				2N2J710C01	80520000	1820	63664
						4961	318336
						9922	636672
						9922	636672
						10572	714461

F7.4-7.120

WHC-SP-1101

N2 EXPENSE		WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM				RUN NO. 371	
REPORT DATE 15SEP94 07:55		WORK PACKAGE TOTALS		START DATE 01OCT92		FIN DATE 30SEP05	
PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903				DATA DATE 01OCT93		PAGE NO. 6	
HYDROXIDE CONTROL							
ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
11							
0A							
2L110A2	MITIGATE 107-AN HYDROXIDE	250	03OCT94	29SEP95	1N2L110A01 L 8E110010	1812	112761
					1N2L110A02 M 7CF00100	110	134200
					1N2L110A02 L 7CF00010	2717	160466
					1N2L110A03 L 7CH30010	1500	88590
					1N2L110A04 L 7EA30010	1812	107017
					1N2L110A05 L 7C500060	3986	170322
					1N2L110A05 L 7C500060	1812	77427
					1N2L110A05 M 7C500100	36	43920
					1N2L110A05 L 7C500010	3442	203285
					1N2L110A05 L 7C500060	362	15468
					1N2L110A03 M 7CH30100	30	36600
					1N2L110A02 L 7CF00010	725	42819
					1N2L110A02 O 7CF004C0	12	15128
					1N2L110A05 O 7C5004C0	4	4880
					1N2L110A03 O 7CH304C0	2	2440
						18362	1215321
0B							
2L110B2	MITIGATE 102-AZ HYDROXIDE	90	23MAY95	29SEP95	1N2L110B01 L 7C600010	362	21380
					1N2L110B05 L 8E110010	906	56380
						1268	77760
0C							
2L110C2	MITIGATE 102-AN HYDROXIDE	85	31MAY95	29SEP95	1N2L110C01 L 7C600010	362	21380
					1N2L110C02 L 8E110010	1087	67644
						1449	89024
						21079	1382105
						21079	1382105
						86624	7308763

F7.4-7.121

WHC-SP-1101

N2 CAPITAL
 REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 375 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
 08:06
 RE LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3
 Project Name: N202
 T HYDROXIDE CONTROL

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
11							
0A							
2L110A2	MITIGATE 107-AN HYDROXIDE	03OCT94	29SEP95	2N2L510A03	7EA30110	394	480680
				2N2L510A03	7EA304C0	44	53680
						438	534360
						438	534360
						438	534360
						6665	1446876

F7.4-7.122

WHC-SP-1101

N2 CAPITAL
 REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 374 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05
 08:04
 PREPARED BY LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 3
 Project Name: N202
 T HYDROXIDE CONTROL

CT ID	ACT DES	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
11							
OC							
2L110C4	MITIGATE 102-AN HYDROXIDE	02OCT95	30SEP96	2N2L610C04 2N2L610C04	7EA50110 7EA504C0	314 34	394572 42724
						348	437297
						348	437297
						348	437297
						8960	1002349

F7.4-7.123

WHC-SP-1101

WASTE TANK SAFETY PROGRAM RUN NO. 373

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 WORK PACKAGE TOTALS START DATE 01OCT92 FIN DATE 30SEP05

08:01

RE Y LA YEATER 373-1903 DATA DATE 01OCT93 PAGE NO. 4

h DE CONTROL

ACTIVITY ID	DESCRIPTION	ORIG DUR	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	COST ACCOUNT	RESOURCE	BUDGET QUANTITY	BUDGET COST
11								
08								
2L110B6	MITIGATE 102-AZ HYDROXIDE	251	01OCT96	30SEP97	1N2L110B01 L 7C600010		906	56770
					1N2L110B02 L 7CF00010		906	56770
					1N2L110B01 L 7C500010		1087	68111
					1N2L110B04 L 7C500060		2717	123162
					1N2L110B05 L 8E110010		1630	107613
							7246	412426

0C								
2L110C6	MITIGATE 102-AH HYDROXIDE	250	01OCT96	29SEP97	1N2L110C03 L 7CF00010		906	56770
					1N2L110C04 L 7EA50010		4892	306533
					1N2L110C05 L 7C500010		3986	249763
					1N2L110C05 L 7C500060		5436	246414
					1N2L110C05 L 7C500060		5436	246414
							20656	1105893
							27902	1518319
							27902	1518319
							93838	6712038

F 7.4-7.124

Figure 7.4-8. Waste Tank Safety Staffing.

ESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 417
16:46

RESOURCE LOADING REPORT

TE - TOTAL YEARLY SUMMARY

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

CT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	TOTAL
11 -									
2A110A02	BASLINE PLANNING FY95	12.5							12.5
2A110A08	BASLINE PLANNING FY96		12.5						12.5
2A110A12	BASLINE PLANNING FY97			12.5					12.5
50A101	Perf Waste Tank Safety Program Management				18.9	18.9	18.9	18.8	75.6
2A110B04	MANAGEMENT & INTEGRATION FY95	9.8							9.8
2A110B08	MANAGEMENT & INTEGRATION FY96		9.8						9.8
2A110B12	MANAGEMENT & INTEGRATION FY97			9.8					9.8
2A110K04	ADVISORY SUPPORT FY95	0.3							0.3
2A110K06	ADVISORY SUPPORT FY96		0.3						0.3
2A110K08	ADVISORY SUPPORT FY97			0.3					0.3
TOTAL	A11	22.5	22.6	22.6	18.9	18.9	18.9	18.8	143.3
12 -									
2A120A04	WASTE TANK SAFETY QA FY95	22.4							22.4
2A120A06	WASTE TANK SAFETY QA FY96		22.4						22.4
2A120A08	WASTE TANK SAFETY QA FY97			22.4					22.4
550A120	Waste Tank Safety Quality Assurance				48.5	48.5	48.6	48.4	194.0
TOTAL	A12	22.4	22.4	22.4	48.5	48.5	48.6	48.4	261.1
B21 -									
N2B210A04	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY95	6.5							6.5
N2B210A06	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY96		5.0						5.0
N2B210A08	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY97			7.5					7.5
N2B210B04	PROJECT MANAGEMENT FY95	9.5							9.5
N2B210B06	PROJECT MANAGEMENT FY96		7.5						7.5
N2B210B08	PROJECT MANAGEMENT FY97			9.5					9.5
N2B210E31	LANL DACS 2C FOR AN FARM MAINTENANCE			0.6					0.6
TOTAL	B21	16.0	12.5	17.6					46.1
B22 -									
N2B220E00	DACS MAINTENANCE & OPERATION FY95	8.0							8.0
N2B220F00	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY95	8.0							8.0
N2B220F04	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY96		5.6						5.6
N2B220F08	GAS MONITORING MAINT & OPERATION FY97			8.0					8.0
N2B220G10	INSTRUMENTATION TRANSPORT CONTAINER FY95	2.1							2.1
N2B220G16	VISCOSMETER 101-SY SUPPORT	0.5							0.5
N2B220G18	VOIDMETER 101-SY SUPPORT	1.1							1.1
N2B220G22	INSTALL CAMERA 101-SY	0.4							0.4
N2B220H00	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS FY95	5.5	0.0						5.6
N2B220K00	TEST PUMP REMOVAL PREP	1.5							1.5
TOTAL	B22	27.2	5.6	8.0					40.8
B23 -									
N2B230A05	AS BUILT PIT PUMP & RISER 103-SY	2.1							2.1
N2B230A06	SITE ELECTRICAL/COVER BLOCK DESIGN	2.1							2.1
N2B230A08	PREP PROC SPEC/RFP/REVIEW BIDS	2.1							2.1
N2B230A20	ENGINEERING DESIGN SUPPORT		5.2						5.2
N2B230C00	WORK PLAN/WORK PKG 103-SY		2.2						2.2
N2B230C04	TRAINING/READINESS REVIEW		6.8						6.8
N2B230D00	DACS 2A SYSTEM MGMT	5.4							5.4
N2B230D02	DACS 2A SYSTEM PROC & FAB 103-SY		3.1						3.1
N2B230E00	DACS MAINTENANCE & OPS 103-SY		3.0	0.9					4.0
N2B230F04	DACS MAINTENANCE & OPS 103-SY			6.4					6.4
N2P	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS)103-SY		0.7						0.7

F7.4-8.1

WHC-SP-1101

ESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 417
16:46

RESOURCE LOADING REPORT

TE - TOTAL YEARLY SUMMARY

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

ACT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	TOTAL
B23 -									
N2B230F08	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS) 103-SY			1.2					1.2
N2B230G00	VISCOMETER 103-SY SUPPORT	0.6							0.6
N2B230G04	VOIDMETER 103-SY SUPPORT	1.1							1.1
N2B230G08	VOIDMETER 103-SY TESTING SUPT		0.4	0.2					0.5
N2B230G10	VISCOMETER 103-SY TESTING SUPT		0.8	0.4					1.2
N2B230J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM 103-SY	1.6							1.6
N2B230K00	IN-TANK DILUTION TEST PREP 103-SY	9.6							9.6
N2B230K04	IN-TANK DILUTION SAMPLING 103-SY		9.6						9.6
N2B230K08	IN-TANK DILUTION SAMPLING 103-SY			0.8					0.8
TOTAL	B23	24.4	31.7	9.9					66.0

B24 -

N2B240A06	DESIGN PUMP 101-AW		6.4						6.4
N2B240A08	ENGINEERING DESIGN SUPPORT		1.9						1.9
N2B240C00	INSTALL PUMP 101-AW			9.6					9.6
N2B240C02	COMPL 101-AW INSTALL READINESS REV			0.7					0.7
N2B240C08	COMPLETE IN TANK TESTING AW-101			0.3					0.3
N2B240D04	DACS 2B SYSTEM 101-AW			5.3					5.3
N2B240D06	DACS 2B SYSTEM 101-AW		3.9						3.9
N2B240E04	DACS 2B OPERATIONS 101-AW			2.6					2.6
N2B240E08	DACS 2B MAINTENANCE & OPS 101-AW			4.0					4.0
N2B240G02	VOIDMETER 101-AW INSTL SUPPORT	0.5							0.5
N2B240G06	VISCOMETER 101-AW INSTL SUPT	1.2							1.2
N2B240G08	VOIDMETER 101-AW INSTL SUPPORT		0.5						0.5
N2B240G10	VISCOMETER 101-AW INSTL SUPT		0.6	0.6					1.2
N2B240H08	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS 101-AW			1.1	0.5				1.5
N2B240J06	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM 101-AW			1.5					1.5
TOTAL	B24	1.7	13.4	25.5	0.5				41.1

B26 -

N2B260A10	DESIGN INSTALLATION SUPT 103-AN			4.5					4.5
N2B260C04	INSTALL PUMP 103-AN			6.0					6.0
N2B260C10	COMPL PUMP AN-103 READINESS REVIEW			0.6					0.6
N2B260F10	GAS CHARACTERIZATION SYSTEM (GCS) 103-AN					0.8			0.8
N2B260G00	VISCOMETER 103-AN SUPPORT		0.6						0.6
N2B260G04	VOIDMETER 103-AN SUPPORT		1.1						1.1
N2B260H06	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS			0.3					0.3
N2B260J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM 103-AN			1.3					1.3
TOTAL	B26			3.0	11.4	0.8			15.1

B27 -

N2B270A04	DESIGN PUMP 104-AN			5.4					5.4
N2B270A04	DESIGN SUPPORT PUMP 105 AN			6.2					6.2
N2B270C00	INSTALL PREPARATION PUMP 104-AN	0.7							0.7
N2B270C02	INSTALL PREPARATION PUMP 104-AN		1.9						1.9
N2B270C04	COMPL TANK 104-AN PUMP INSTALL RR		0.7						0.7
N2B270C06	INSTALL TANK 104-AN MIT PUMP		2.3						2.3
N2B270D06	DACS 2C SYSTEM MGMT		7.0						7.0
N2B270E00	DACS 2C MAINTENANCE & OPS		3.4						3.4
N2B270G00	VISCOMETER 104-AN SUPPORT	0.6							0.6
N2B270G04	VOIDMETER 104-AN SUPPORT	1.1							1.1
N2B270G06	VISCOMETER 104-AN SUPPORT		0.6						0.6
N2B270G08	VOIDMETER 104-AN SUPPORT		1.1						1.1
N2B270H00	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS		1.5						1.5

F74-8.2

WHC-SP-1101

ESTIMATINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 417
16:46

RESOURCE LOADING REPORT

TE 1 YEARLY SUMMARY

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

CT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	TOTAL
27 -									
TOTAL	B27		2.3	30.1					32.4
28 -									
28280C04	INSTALL PUMP 105-AH			7.8					7.8
28280C08	COMPL TANK 105-AH PUMP INSTALL REVIEW			1.7					1.7
28280G00	Install Mitigation Pumps in AH Farm				51.6	54.4	50.0		156.0
28280G08	VOIDMETER 105-AH SUPPORT			1.3					1.3
28280G10	VISCOMETER 105-AH SUPPORT			1.3					1.3
28280H00	MIXER PUMP OPERATIONS			1.5					1.5
28280J00	REMOVAL/DISPOSAL SYSTEM			1.9					1.9
TOTAL	B28			15.3	51.6	54.4	50.0		171.4
31 -									
2310E04	DNFSB/CAP MANAGEMENT	1.0							1.0
2310G02	MOSSBAUER SPECTROMETER	0.5							0.5
2310H04	IN-SITU RELATIVE HUMIDITY INSTRUMENTATION	1.3							1.3
2310J04	INFRARED SPECIATION TRANSFER	1.5							1.5
2310L00	SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPE INVESTG SUPT	2.2							2.2
TOTAL	C31	6.6							6.6
33 -									
2330C00	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANK SAFETY FY95	1.0							1.0
2330C08	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANK SAFETY FY96		2.0						2.0
2330D00	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANK SAFETY FY97			2.0					2.0
2330B04	UPDATE SAFETY DOCUMENTATION FY95	0.8							0.8
2330B06	UPDATE SAFETY DOCUMENTATION FY96		0.8						0.8
2330B08	UPDATE SAFETY DOCUMENTATION FY97			0.8					0.8
2330C00	TRANSMIT DOC TO RESOLVE SI C FARM TANKS	1.1							1.1
2330C08	DOE REVIEW/COMMENT - WHC DISPOSITION		0.4						0.4
2330D04	TECHNICAL SUPPORT SI RESOLUTION FY95	3.0							3.0
2330D16	TECHNICAL SUPPORT SI RESOLUTION FY96		3.0						3.0
2330D18	TECHNICAL SUPPORT SI RESOLUTION FY97			2.7					2.7
2330E06	PREPARE SAFETY SUPT/SI RESOLUTION DOCUMENTS		1.0						1.0
2330E08	TRANS DOC RESOLVE FeCN SI ALL TANKS			1.0					1.0
2330F00	CORE SAMPLE/DATA INTERPRETATION	4.8							4.8
2330F20	CORE SAMPLE/DATA INTERPRETATION		4.8						4.8
2330F30	CORE SAMPLE/DATA INTERPRETATION			1.9					1.9
TOTAL	C33	10.7	11.9	8.4					31.0
34 -									
2340A04	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANKS FY95	0.8							0.8
2340A06	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANKS FY96		0.8						0.8
2340A08	CAP MANAGEMENT FeCN TANKS FY97			0.8					0.8
2340B14	INSTALLATION OF TC TREES/HOOKUPS TMACS	10.7							10.7
2340C12	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW ENGR	5.1							5.1
2340C20	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW ENGR		2.3						2.3
2340C30	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW ENGR			2.3					2.3
2340D02	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING	3.5							3.5
2340D20	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING (CPAC) FY96		3.6						3.6
2340D30	SURFACE MOISTURE MEASURING (CPAC) FY97			3.4					3.4
2340E06	ENGINEERING DOCUMENT CONTROL	0.1							0.1
2340E08	ENGINEERING DOCUMENT CONTROL FY96		0.1						0.1
2340E10	ENGINEERING DOCUMENT CONTROL FY97			0.1					0.1
2340F10	PRESSURE MONITORING DESIGN & ENGR FeCN TANKS	6.9							6.9

F7.4-8.3

WHC-SP-1101

WESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 417
16:46

RESOURCE LOADING REPORT

FTE - TOTAL YEARLY SUMMARY

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

ACT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	TOTAL
C34 -									
N2C340F14	PRESSURE MONITORING ENGINEERING & INSTALLATION		3.3						3.3
N2C340F18	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION FeCN TANKS			3.0					3.0
N2C340G00	ISSU STATUS REPT ON LOW INSTALL ACTIVITIES	4.4							4.4
N2C340G20	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW INSTALL		7.9						7.9
N2C340G30	NEUTRON PROBE MOISTURE MONITOR LOW INSTALL			2.7					2.7
N2C340H04	IR SCAN DEVICE MOD & SCANS		1.9						1.9
N2C340H20	IR SCAN DEVICE MOD & SCANS			2.0					2.0
N2C340J00	ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)	2.2							2.2
N2C340J20	ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)		1.6						1.6
N2C340J30	D70CTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION (EMIP)			1.6					1.6
N2C340K00	ISB AMENDMENT	0.3							0.3
TOTAL	C34	33.9	21.6	15.9					71.5
D41 -									
N2D410A00	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY95	3.2							3.2
N2D410A06	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY96		3.3						3.3
N2D410A08	WASTE BEHAVIOR MODELING FY97			3.4					3.4
SS00120	Eval & Define Tech Dev for Flammable Gas Tanks				2.1	1.6	1.1	0.9	5.6
N2D410B10	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY95	1.0							1.0
N2D410B11	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY96		1.0						1.0
N2D410B12	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY97			1.0					1.0
N2D410C00	GAS GENERATION MECHANISMS FY95	4.1							4.1
N2D410C20	GAS GENERATION MECHANISMS FY96		3.7						3.7
N2D410C30	GAS GENERATION MECHANISMS FY97			3.0					3.0
TOTAL	D41	8.4	8.1	7.4	2.1	1.6	1.1	0.9	29.5
D42 -									
N2D420A00	VAPOR SPACE MONITORING FY95	3.5							3.5
N2D420A20	VAPOR SPACE MONITORING FY96		4.5						4.5
N2D420A30	VAPOR SPACE MONITORING FY97			5.0					5.0
SS00100	Eval & Define Flammable Gas Tanks				2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	10.3
N2D420E00	ISSU INTERPRETIVE REPT 103-SY CORE SAMPLE DATA	2.9							2.9
N2D420E20	WASTE BEHAVIOR EVALUATION FY96		3.7						3.7
N2D420E30	WASTE BEHAVIOR EVALUATION FY97			3.7					3.7
N2D420G04	RETAINED GAS EVALUATION FY95	5.9							5.9
N2D420G20	RETAINED GAS EVALUATION FY96		2.7						2.7
N2D420G30	COMPL DATA ANALY ON SAMPLS USING RGS			1.6					1.6
TOTAL	D42	12.3	10.9	10.3	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	43.9
D43 -									
N2D430A06	CLOSE SY FARM USQs (SI 21)	1.7							1.7
SS00200	Close USQs for Flammable Gas Tanks				2.1				2.1
N2D430B10	CLOSE AW FARM USQ FLAM GAS TANKS	1.5							1.5
N2D430B14	CLOSE AH FARM USQs FLAM GAS TANKS		6.4						6.4
N2D430B18	CLOSE REMAINING USQ FLAM GAS TANKS			5.5					5.5
TOTAL	D43	3.2	6.4	5.5	2.1				17.2
D44 -									
N2D440A02	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY95	2.1							2.1
N2D440A06	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY96		2.1						2.1
N2D440A10	PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FY97			2.1					2.1
N2D440B12	SY FARM SPARK RESISANT FAN (SI 2k)	0.4							0.4
N2D440C12	EXPEDITE DESG/CONSTRU BACKUP PORTB EXH SY-101	1.0							1.0
N2D440D02	VIDEO SYSTEM UPGRADES FY95	15.8							15.8

F7.4-8.4

WHC-SP-1101

ESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

EPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 417
16:46

RESOURCE LOADING REPORT

TE YEARLY SUMMARY

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

CT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	TOTAL
D44 -									
500400	Store & Monitor Flammable Gas Tanks				27.4	12.2			39.6
N2D440E10	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY95	3.0							3.0
N2D440E20	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY96		5.1						5.1
N2D440E30	TEMPERATURE UPGRADES FY97			5.1					5.1
N2D440F02	SURFACE LEVEL UPGRADES FY95	0.6							0.6
N2D440G02	AH FARM VENT UPGRADES FY95	2.1							2.1
N2D440G14	AH FARM VENT UPGRADES		1.4						1.4
N2D440G20	AH FARM VENT UPGRADES		3.3						3.3
N2D440G24	AH FARM VENT UPGRADES			3.9					3.9
N2D440G30	PORTABLE EXHAUSTER FOR SSTs	0.9							0.9
N2D440H06	GAS MONITOR UPGRADES FY95	0.8							0.8
N2D440H14	GAS MONITOR UPGRADES FY97			0.1					0.1
N2D440K02	INSTL AMMONIA MONITORS 241-AH/AH FARMS	1.3							1.3
N2D440L02	MISC TANK FARM EQUIPMENT UPGRADES		1.6						1.6
N2D440L06	MISC TANK FARM EQUIPMENT UPGRADES			1.9					1.9
N2D440M00	INSTALL DST/SST GAS MONITORS (SI 2h)	4.0							4.0
N2D440N00	EQUIPMENT DISPOSAL FY96		37.9						37.9
N2D440N04	EQUIPMENT DISPOSAL FY97			44.1					44.1
TOTAL	D44	31.9	51.3	57.3	27.4	12.2			180.1
D45 -									
N2D450J02	FIELD SUPPORT FOR FLAMMABLE GAS FY95	20.7							20.7
N2D450J06	FIELD SUPPORT FOR FLAMMABLE GAS FY96		22.0						22.0
N2D450J10	FIELD SUPPORT FOR FLAMMABLE GAS FY97			17.7					17.7
TOTAL	D45	20.7	22.0	17.7					60.4
E51 -									
SS0E110	Eval & Define Tech Dev for Org Tanks				2.8				2.8
N2E510L2	ORGANICS SOLUBILITY STUDY	0.8							0.8
N2E510L4	ORGANICS SOLUBILITY STUDY		0.8						0.8
N2E510L6	ORGANICS SOLUBILITY STUDY			0.8					0.8
N2E510R2	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ORGANIC/NITRATE	1.7							1.7
N2E510R4	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ORGANIC/NITRATE		2.5						2.5
N2E510R6	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ORGANIC/NITRATE			1.7					1.7
N2E510T2	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ORGANIC LAYER	0.7							0.7
N2E510U2	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ABSORBED ORGANIC	0.7							0.7
N2E510U4	BASIS FOR SAFE OPS SSTs - ABSORBED ORGANIC		0.4						0.4
N2E510V2	ORGANICS TANKS SAFETY CRITERIA	0.7							0.7
N2E510V4	ORGANICS TANKS SAFETY CRITERIA		0.7						0.7
N2E510V6	ORGANICS TANKS SAFETY CRITERIA			0.7					0.7
TOTAL	E51	4.8	4.4	3.3	2.8				15.2
E52 -									
N2E520A2	CAP MANAGEMENT	1.0							1.0
N2E520A4	CAP MANAGEMENT		1.0						1.0
N2E520A6	CAP MANAGEMENT			1.0					1.0
N2E520B2	PROGRAM PLAN FOR ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM	0.1							0.1
N2E520B4	PROGRAM PLAN FOR ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM		0.1						0.1
N2E520B6	PROGRAM PLAN FOR ORGANIC SAFETY PROGRAM			0.1					0.1
N2E520C2	ORGANIC TANKS WATCH LIST	0.2							0.2
N2E520C4	ORGANIC WATCH LIST TANKS		0.2						0.2
N2E520C6	ORGANIC WATCH LIST TANKS			0.2					0.2
N2E520E2	CORE DATA INTERPRETH	1.0							1.0
N2E520E4	CORE DATA INTERPRETH		1.0						1.0
N2E520E6	CORE DATA INTERPRETH			1.0					1.0

F7.4-8.5

WHC-SP-1101

WESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 417
16:46

RESOURCE LOADING REPORT

TE - TOTAL YEARLY SUMMARY

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

ACT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	TOTAL
E52 -									
TOTAL	E52	2.3	2.3	2.3					6.8
E54 -									
42E54012	CAP MANAGEMENT	0.2							0.2
42E54014	CAP MANAGEMENT		0.2						0.2
42E54016	CAP MANAGEMENT			0.2					0.2
42E540B4	INSTALL TC TREES/THACS		10.6						10.6
42E540B6	INSTALL TC TREES/THACS			10.6					10.6
42E540C4	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION		6.5						6.5
42E540C6	PRESSURE MONITORING INSTALLATION			3.2					3.2
42E540D2	MOISTURE MONITOR ENG & (LOW) INSTALL	2.3							2.3
42E540D4	MOIST MONITOR ENG & LOW INSTALLATION		8.0						8.0
42E540D6	MOISTURE MONITOR & (LOW) INSTALL			5.6					5.6
450E500	Resolve Intern - Organic Tanks w/o Treatment				65.5	58.5			124.1
42E540E4	MOISTURE MONITOR/CONTROL		22.7						22.7
42E540E6	MOISTURE MONITOR/CONTROL DEMONSTRATION			2.7					2.7
42E540F2	SAFETY EVALUATION/LOA	0.7							0.7
42E540F4	SAFETY EVALUATION/LOA		0.7						0.7
TOTAL	E54	3.1	48.7	22.3	65.5	58.5			198.1
E55 -									
42E550A4	USQ CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION		0.7						0.7
42E550A6	USQ CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION			0.8					0.8
TOTAL	E55		0.7	0.8					1.6
E56 -									
42E560A2	MOISTURE MONITORING/CONTROL ENG.	3.9							3.9
42E560A4	MOISTURE MONITORING/CONTROL ENG.		0.9						0.9
42E560B4	SAFETY ISSUE CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION		0.8						0.8
42E560B6	SAFETY ISSUE CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION			0.8					0.8
TOTAL	E56	3.9	1.7	0.8					6.4
E57 -									
42E570B2	SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT FABRICATION	3.4							3.4
42E570C1	SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT INSTALL/OPER	11.4							11.4
42E570C4	SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT INSTALL/OPER		1.9						1.9
42E570D2	SEPARATIONS & ORGANIC STORAGE SUPPORT	1.7							1.7
42E570D4	SEPARATIONS & ORGANIC STORAGE SUPPORT		4.6						4.6
42E570D6	SEPARATIONS & ORGANIC STORAGE SUPPORT			3.4					3.4
42E570F2	ENGINEERING SUPPORT	5.9							5.9
42E570F4	ENGINEERING SUPPORT		3.3						3.3
42E570F6	ENGINEERING SUPPORT			1.6					1.6
42E570H2	DESIGN SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT BURIAL CONTAINERS	3.7							3.7
42E570H4	FAB SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT BURIAL CONTAINERS		4.7						4.7
42E570H6	SEPARATIONS EQUIPMENT BURIAL/STORAGE			2.8					2.8
42E570G4	SAFETY & PERMITTING		1.8						1.8
42E570J2	TREATMENT SYSTEM DESIGN	3.7							3.7
42E570J4	TREATMENT SYSTEM FABRICATION		11.7						11.7
42E570J6	TREATMENT SYSTEM FINALIZATION			0.5					0.5
42E570K4	TREATMENT SYSTEM INSTALL/OPERATIONS		3.8						3.8
42E570K6	TREATMENT SYSTEM INSTALL/OPERATIONS			3.8					3.8
42E570L4	TREAT.SYSTEM BURIAL CONTAINERS-ENG DSH/EQUIP		1.7						1.7
42E570L6	TREATMENT SYSTEM BURIAL CONTAIN. EQUIP			0.8					0.8

F7.4-8.6

WHC-SP-1101

WESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

REPORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 417
16:46

RESOURCE LOADING REPORT

F7. TOTAL YEARLY SUMMARY

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

ACT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	TOTAL
E57 -	:								
TOTAL	E57	30.0	33.5	13.0					76.4
F61 -									
N2F610A2	CONDUCT 106-C PROCESS TEST (S1-2X)	3.3							3.3
N2F610A3	DOCUMENT 106-C PROCESS TEST (TPA M-40-05)	1.1							1.1
N2F610B4	RESOLVE HIGH-HEAT SAFETY ISSUE		3.2						3.2
N2F610B6	RESOLVE HIGH-HEAT SAFETY ISSUE			2.7					2.7
TOTAL	F61	4.4	3.2	2.7					10.3
G71 -									
N2G710A1	MUST HAZARDS & SAFETY ANALYSES	2.3							2.3
N2G710A2	MUST HAZARDS & SAFETY ANALYSES	0.8							0.8
N2G710B2	VAPOR SAMPLING	0.4							0.4
N2G710B4	VAPOR SAMPLING OF 5 MUSTs		0.5						0.5
N2G710B6	VAPOR SAMPLING OF 10 MUSTs			0.5					0.5
N2G710C2	SAFETY SCREENING SAMPLING	7.0							7.0
N2G710C4	SAFETY SCREENING SAMPLING		9.7						9.7
N2G710C6	SAFETY SCREENING SAMPLING			14.0					14.0
N2G710D4	MONITORING EQUIPMENT UPGRADES		0.8						0.8
N2G710D6	MONITORING EQUIPMENT UPGRADES			0.8					0.8
N2G710E2	IN-TANK VIDEO/PHOTOS	0.4							0.4
N2G710E4	IN-TANK VIDEO/PHOTOS		0.7						0.7
N2G710F6	IN-TANK VIDEO/PHOTOS			0.7					0.7
N2	RISER ACCESSIBILITY	2.6							2.6
N2L	RISER ACCESSIBILITY		5.2						5.2
N2G710F6	RISER ACCESSIBILITY			5.2					5.2
N2G710G4	MUST SAFETY ISSUE RESOLUTION		3.0						3.0
N2G710G6	MUST SAFETY ISSUE RESOLUTION			3.0					3.0
SS0G100	Evaluate and Define Misc. Underground Tanks				26.0	18.6	11.2	3.4	59.2
TOTAL	G71	13.4	19.9	24.2	26.0	18.6	11.2	3.4	116.7
H81 -									
N2H810A1	TANK VAPOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	2.4							2.4
N2H810A4	TANK VAPOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT		2.0						2.0
TOTAL	H81	2.4	2.0						4.4
H82 -									
N2H820A2	VAPOR FLOW MONITOR CHARACTERIZATION ANALYSIS	0.3							0.3
N2H820B2	VAPOR FLOW MONITORING DEVICE (ENG SUPPT)	0.4							0.4
N2H820C2	EXECUTE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM EEA (DSN BASELINE)	0.9							0.9
N2H820D2	FABRICATE, INSTALL VAPOR TREATMENT SYSTEM	4.1							4.1
TOTAL	H82	5.6							5.6
H83 -									
N2H830A2	VAPOR FLOW MONITORING DEVICE	0.4							0.4
TOTAL	H83	0.4							0.4
J91 -									
N2J910A2	NUCLEAR CRITICALITY INTEGRATION	1.7							1.7
N2J910A4	NUCLEAR CRITICALITY INTEGRATION		1.5						1.5

F7.4-8.7

WHC-SP-1101

STINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY

PRIMAVERA PROJECT PLANNER

PORT DATE 15SEP94 RUN NO. 417
16:46

RESOURCE LOADING REPORT

E - TOTAL YEARLY SUMMARY

TOTAL USAGE FOR YEAR

ACT ID	DESC	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001	TOTAL
<hr/>									
1 -									
J910A6	NUCLEAR CRITICALITY INTEGRATION			1.5					1.5
J910B2	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION	7.0							7.0
J910B4	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION		8.0						8.0
J910B6	NEUTRON DETECTION/EVALUATION			7.5					7.5
J910C2	CRITICALITY DETECTION/EVALUATION	3.4							3.4
J910C4	CRITICALITY DETECTION/EVALUATION		4.6						4.6
J910C6	CRITICALITY DETECTION/EVALUATION			5.7					5.7
QJ101	Waste Tank Nuclear Criticality				6.4	6.4			12.9
<hr/>									
TOTAL	J91	12.1	14.1	14.7	6.4	6.4			53.8
1 -									
L110A2	MITIGATE 107-AN HYDROXIDE	10.0							10.0
L110A4	MITIGATE 107-AN HYDROXIDE		3.5						3.5
L110B2	MITIGATE 102-AZ HYDROXIDE	0.7							0.7
L110B4	MITIGATE 102-AZ HYDROXIDE		3.1						3.1
L110B6	MITIGATE 102-AZ HYDROXIDE			4.0					4.0
L110C2	MITIGATE 102-AN HYDROXIDE	0.8							0.8
L110C4	MITIGATE 102-AN HYDROXIDE		6.6						6.6
L110C6	MITIGATE 102-AN HYDROXIDE			11.4					11.4
OL300	Mitigate Hydroxide Consumption				2.6				2.6
<hr/>									
TOTAL	L11	11.5	13.2	15.4	2.6				42.7
<hr/>									
REPORT TOTAL		335.8	386.3	376.3	268.4	221.7	133.4	74.0	1795.8

F7.4-8.8

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.02 Level IV	<u>Waste Tank Safety</u> ADS 1110-0	OE C/E	58,642 20,500 -----	56,742 25,484 -----	56,524 30,578 -----	61,028 34,668 -----	49,124 26,029 -----	43,718 24,418 -----	34,584 12,045 -----
	TOTAL TARGET		79,142	82,226	87,102	95,696	75,153	68,136	46,629
	<i>Delta to TARGET.</i> (See notes at end of Table.)	OE C/E	NA	(64) (1,564) -----	(24) (2,001) -----	82 (1,986) -----	-----	-----	-----
	TOTAL			(1,628)	(2,025)	(1,904)			
1.1.1.2.02.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1110-0-AA	OE C/E	NA	7,718 500 -----	7,150 515 -----	8,426 531 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Sub Total			8,218	7,665	8,957	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.01.01 Level VI	Integration and Planning	OE C/E		5,306 500 -----	4,666 515 -----	5,867 531 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			5,806	5,181	6,398	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.01.02 Level VI	Waste Tank Safety QA	OE C/E		2,412 0 -----	2,484 0 -----	2,559 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			2,412	2,484	2,559	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.02 Level V	<u>Hydrogen Mitigation</u> ADS 1110-0-AB	OE C/E	NA	14,819 14,525 -----	11,808 12,975 -----	17,819 14,309 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Sub Total			29,344	24,783	32,128	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.02.01 Level VI	Mitigation Program / Project Management	OE C/E		8,035 4,425 -----	6,670 2,416 -----	8,630 1,592 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			12,460	9,086	10,222	0	0	0

T7.4-1-1

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.02.02.02 Level VI	Tank 101-SY Mitigation	OE C/E		3,262 422 -----	693 103 -----	1,021 106 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			3,684	796	1,127	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.02.03 Level VI	Tank 103-SY Mitigation	OE C/E		3,003 9,340 -----	3,304 2,472 -----	921 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			12,343	5,776	921	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.02.04 Level VI	Tank 101-AW Mitigation	OE C/E		519 338 -----	535 6,105 -----	2,688 1,668 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			857	6,640	4,356	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.02.05 Level VI	Tank 104-AN Mitigation	OE C/E		0 0 -----	606 1,879 -----	2,209 6,471 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			0	2,485	8,680	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.02.06 Level VI	Tank 103-AN Mitigation	OE C/E		0 0 -----	0 0 -----	739 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			0	0	739	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.02.07 Level VI	Tank 105-AN Mitigation	OE C/E		0 0 -----	0 0 -----	1,611 4,472 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			0	0	6,083	0	0	0

T7.4-1.2

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.02.03 Level V	<u>Waste Tank Ferrocyanide Safety Program</u> ADS 1110-0-AC	OE C/E	NA	7,498 2,886 ----- 10,384	3,488 2,208 ----- 5,696	3,043 1,120 ----- 4,163	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.02.03.01 Level VI	Evaluate & Define Technical Development	OE C/E		2,704 161 ----- 2,865	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	----- ----- ----- 0	----- ----- ----- 0	----- ----- ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.02.03.03 Level VI	FeCn Safety Issues Resolved	OE C/E		1,595 0 ----- 1,595	1,972 0 ----- 1,972	1,607 0 ----- 1,607	----- ----- ----- 0	----- ----- ----- 0	----- ----- ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.02.03.04 Level VI	Evaluate & Define 18 FeCn Tanks	OE C/E		3,199 2,725 ----- 5,924	1,516 2,208 ----- 3,724	1,436 1,120 ----- 2,556	----- ----- ----- 0	----- ----- ----- 0	----- ----- ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.02.04 Level V	<u>Waste Tank Flammable Gas Safety Program</u> ADS 1110-0-AD	OE C/E	NA	11,091 6,423 ----- 17,514	15,412 5,906 ----- 21,318	13,741 3,616 ----- 17,357	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.02.04.01 Level VI	Evaluate & Define Technical Development	OE C/E		3,743 230 ----- 3,973	3,920 201 ----- 4,121	2,267 106 ----- 2,373	----- ----- ----- 0	----- ----- ----- 0	----- ----- ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.02.04.02 Level VI	Evaluate & Define Flammable Gas Tanks	OE C/E		2,416 48 ----- 2,464	2,298 232 ----- 2,530	2,249 371 ----- 2,620	----- ----- ----- 0	----- ----- ----- 0	----- ----- ----- 0
	Total								

T7.4-1.3

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.02.04.03 Level VI	Close USQs for Flammable Gas Tanks	OE C/E		874 0 -----	1,599 0 -----	1,314 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			874	1,599	1,314	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.04.04 Level VI	Store & Monitor Flammable Gas Tank	OE C/E		2,161 6,145 -----	5,521 5,473 -----	6,175 3,139 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			8,306	10,994	9,314	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.04.05 Level VI	Integrated Field Support for Flammable Gas Tanks	OE C/E		1,897 0 -----	2,074 0 -----	1,736 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			1,897	2,074	1,736	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.05 Level V	<u>Waste Tank Organic Safety Program</u> ADS 1110-0-AE	OE C/E	NA	8,521 1,267 -----	11,895 9,972 -----	11,800 16,363 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Sub Total			9,788	21,867	28,163	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.05.01 Level VI	Technology for Evaluating Organic Tanks	OE C/E		3,982 470 -----	3,779 0 -----	2,161 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			4,452	3,779	2,161	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.05.02 Level VI	Evaluate & Define Organic Tanks	OE C/E		588 0 -----	411 0 -----	424 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			588	411	424	0	0	0

T7.4-1.4

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.02.05.03 Level VI	Pump & Dispose of Tank C-103 Organic Layer	OE C/E		0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.05.04 Level VI	In-Tank Resolution of Organic Tanks Without Treatment	OE C/E		379 184 ----	4,305 9,869 ----	7,739 16,257 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----
	Total			563	14,174	23,996	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.05.05 Level VI	Resolve USQ for Organic Tanks	OE C/E		0 0 ----	97 0 ----	212 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----
	Total			0	97	212	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.05.06 Level VI	Resolve Safety Issue Organic Tanks	OE C/E		676 0 ----	208 0 ----	109 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----
	Total			676	208	109	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.05.07 Level VI	Pump and Dispose C-103 Organic Layer / Resolve Issue	OE C/E		2,896 613 ----	3,095 103 ----	1,155 106 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----
	Total			3,509	3,198	1,261	0	0	0

T7.4-1.5

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.02.06 Level V	<u>Waste Tank High-Heat Safety Program</u> ADS 1110-0-AF	OE C/E	NA	808 0 ----- 808	339 0 ----- 339	308 0 ----- 308	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.02.06.01 Level VI	Evaluate & Define High-Heat Tanks	OE C/E		808 0 ----- 808	339 0 ----- 339	308 0 ----- 308			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.07 Level V	<u>Misc. Underground Storage Tanks</u> ADS 1110-0-AG	OE C/E	NA	2,249 0 ----- 2,249	2,967 76 ----- 3,043	3,571 78 ----- 3,649	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.02.07.01 Level VI	Evaluate Misc. Underground Tanks	OE C/E		2,249 0 ----- 2,249	2,967 76 ----- 3,043	3,571 78 ----- 3,649			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.08 Level V	<u>Waste Tank Vapor Resolution</u> ADS 1110-0-AH	OE C/E	NA	1,534 603 ----- 2,137	974 0 ----- 974	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.02.08.01 Level VI	Evaluate & Define for Tank Vapor / Safety	OE C/E		958 0 ----- 958	974 0 ----- 974	0 0 ----- 0			
	Total						0	0	0

T7.4-1.6

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.02.08.02 Level VI	Resolve Internal Tank Vapor Issues	OE C/E		536 603 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			1,139	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.08.03 Level VI	Mitigate Tech. Dvlpmnt. for Tank Vapor / Safety	OE C/E		40 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			40	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.09 Level V	<u>Waste Tank Nuclear Criticality</u> ADS 1110-0-AJ	OE C/E	NA	1,186 310 -----	946 490 -----	720 637 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Sub Total			1,496	1,436	1,357	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.09.01 Level VI	Store & Monitor Waste for Criticality	OE C/E		1,186 310 -----	946 490 -----	720 637 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			1,496	1,436	1,357	0	0	0

7.4-1.7

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.02.10 Level V	<u>Other Waste Tank Safety Issues</u> ADS 1110-0-AK Sub Total	OE C/E	NA	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.02.11 Level V	<u>Waste Tank Hydroxide Control</u> ADS 1110-0-AL Sub Total	OE C/E	NA	1,382 534 ----- 1,916	1,569 437 ----- 2,006	1,518 0 ----- 1,518	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.02.11.01 Level VI	<u>Mitigate Hydroxide Consumption</u> Total	OE C/E		1,382 534 ----- 1,916	1,569 437 ----- 2,006	1,518 0 ----- 1,518	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

8.1-1.8

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.02 Level IV	<u>Waste Tank Safety</u> ADS 1110-0	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	TOTAL		17,656	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Delta Required to Balance P3 to TARGET</i> TOTAL	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
1.1.1.2.02.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planninf</u> ADS 1110-0-AA	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.01.01 Level VI	Integration and Planning	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.01.02 Level VI	Waste Tank Safety QA	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.02 Level V	<u>Hydrogen Mitigation</u> ADS 1110-0-AB	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.02.01 Level VI	Mitigation Program / Project Management	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.4-1.9

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.02.02.02 Level VI	Tank 101–SY Mitigation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.02.02.03 Level VI	Tank 103–SY Mitigation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.02.02.04 Level VI	Tank 101–AW Mitigation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.02.02.05 Level VI	Tank 104–AN Mitigation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.02.02.06 Level VI	Tank 103–AN Mitigation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.02.02.07 Level VI	Tank 105–AN Mitigation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									

T7.4-1.10

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.02.03 Level V	Waste Tank Ferrocyanide	OE C/E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<u>Safety Program</u>		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ADS 1110-0-AC Sub Total		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.03.01 Level VI	Evaluate & Define Technical Development	OE C/E								
	Total		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.03.03 Level VI	FeCn Safety Issues Resolved	OE C/E								
	Total		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.03.04 Level VI	Evaluate & Define 18 FeCn Tanks	OE C/E								
	Total		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.04 Level V	Waste Tank Flammable	OE C/E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<u>Gas Safety Program</u>		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ADS 1110-0-AD Sub Total		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.04.01 Level VI	Evaluate & Define Technical Development	OE C/E								
	Total		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.04.02 Level VI	Evaluate & Define Flammable Gas Tanks	OE C/E								
	Total		---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.4-1.11

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.02.04.03 Level VI	Close USQs for Flammable Gas Tanks	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.04.04 Level VI	Store & Monitor Flammable Gas Tank	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.04.05 Level VI	Integrated Field Support for Flammable Gas Tanks	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.05 Level V	<u>Waste Tank Organic</u> <u>Safety Program</u> ADS 1110-0-AE	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.05.01 Level VI	Technology for Evaluating Organic Tanks	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.05.02 Level VI	Evaluate & Define Organic Tanks	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.4.1.12

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.02.05.03 Level VI	Pump & Dispose of Tank C-103 Organic Layer	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.02.05.04 Level VI	In-Tank Resolution of Organic Tanks Without Treatment	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.02.05.05 Level VI	Resolve USQ for Organic Tanks	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.02.05.06 Level VI	Resolve Safety Issue Organic Tanks	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.02.05.07 Level VI	Pump and Dispose C-103 Organic Layer / Resolve Issue	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.4.1.13

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.02.06 Level V	<u>Waste Tank High-Heat Safety Program</u> ADS 1110-0-AF	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.06.01 Level VI	Evaluate & Define High-Heat Tanks	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.07 Level V	<u>Misc. Underground Storage Tanks</u> ADS 1110-0-AG	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.07.01 Level VI	Evaluate Misc. Underground Tanks	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.08 Level V	<u>Waste Tank Vapor Resolution</u> ADS 1110-0-AH	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.02.08.01 Level VI	Evaluate & Define for Tank Vapor / Safety	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.4-1.14

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.02.08.02 Level VI	Resolve Internal Tank Vapor Issues	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.02.08.03 Level VI	Mitigate Tech. Dvlpmnt. for Tank Vapor / Safety	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.02.09 Level V	<u>Waste Tank Nuclear Criticality</u> ADS 1110-0-AJ	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.02.09.01 Level VI	Store & Monitor Waste for Criticality	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.4-1.15

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.4-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.02.10 Level V	<u>Other Waste Tank Safety Issues</u> ADS 1110-0-AK Sub Total	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.02.11 Level V	<u>Waste Tank Hydroxide Control</u> ADS 1110-0-AL Sub Total	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.02.11.01 Level VI	<u>Mitigate Hydroxide Consumption</u> Total	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.4-1.16

WHC-SP-1101

NOTES
TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

The cost exhibit tables in each of the Program Element Baselines (Sections 7.2 through 7.10) identify the program element target funding by year by fund type. The tables also provide details of the baseline allocation of funding down to activity levels (level V) and cost account levels (level VI) of the WBS for Fiscal Year 1995 through 1997. The details exhibited on these tables are taken from the baseline WBS Dictionary Sheets. Deltas exist between the details and the annual target totals. For Fiscal Years 1996 and 1997 the deltas will be addressed in the future, following the completion of the resolution of expected differences between current Fiscal Year 1995 baseline funding and expected actual Fiscal Year 1995 funding. Deltas for Fiscal Year 1995 are noted below by program element:

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION:

No deltas.

TANK FARM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE:

No overall delta. This baseline requires revised fund type mix.

WASTE TANK SAFETY:

Delta is due to need to revise an overhead pricing rate in the P3 system.

TANK FARM UPGRADES:

Delta is due to differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (B0) in the P3 system.

CHARACTERIZATION:

No significant deltas.

WASTE RETRIEVAL:

Delta is primarily due to need to resolve issues associated with expense funding support to capital projects and differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (B0) in the P3 system.

WASTE PRETREATMENT:

Delta is due to the sum of the detail cost estimates being lower than the target total as a result of reestimation of resource requirements to complete baseline scope.

LOW LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is primarily due to carryover expense funded workscope on melter testing addressed in June 9, 1994 RL letter.

T7.4-1.17

HIGH LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is due to carryover of capital funding, PNL expense funding carryover, and ADS corrections submitted but not reflected in target totals.

T7.4-1.18

Table 7.4-2. FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.4-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

Table 7.4-3. Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant
(Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.4-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

Table 7.4-4. FY 1995 and FY 1996 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company Full-Time Equivalents
by 88 Labor Categories

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.4-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.5 TANK FARM UPGRADES BASELINE

The Tank Farm Upgrades Program Element baseline consists of the technical bases, schedule, resource requirements, and waste type data for FY 1995 through completion of the mission. The major emphasis is on deliverables, schedule, and resource requirements for the period FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.5.1 Technical Basis

This section provides the mission strategy; work breakdown structure (WBS) and organization responsibility; work to be performed in FY 1995, 1996, and 1997; technical approach; and drivers and deliverables.

7.5.1.1 Mission Strategy

7.5.1.1.1 Objectives

The objective of the Tank Farm Upgrades Program Element is to provide specific enhancements to the safe operation of the Tank Farms, pursuant to the store waste (4.2.1.1) and the transfer waste (4.2.1.4) functions, where cost effective. In performing those enhancements, several other functions will be supported: disposition gaseous effluent (4.2.3.2), disposition liquid effluent (4.2.3.3), and disposition solid waste (4.2.3.4). The referenced function numbers are derived from the Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) Functional Hierarchy shown in Figure 2-1 of DOE/RL-92-60, Revision 1.

The selected upgrades are necessary, but may not be sufficient to completely and safely store or transfer waste. The reason only certain systems, equipment, and infrastructure were chosen for upgrades was built primarily around the timing of upgrade completion and remaining service life.

The need for other upgrades not currently in the identified program element scope may result from completion of the Tank Farms Safety Equipment List. Once that list is established and the corresponding safety function of each system is assigned, the performance of each system must be evaluated against its assigned safety functions. Equipment identified as deficient will then be evaluated for upgrade. See Assumptions, Section 7.5.1.1.6, for a more detailed description of safety class and its impact on the Tank Farm Upgrades Program Element.

Programmatic funding constraints also drove the timing of upgrades (refer to Section 7.5.1.1.7, Constraints).

For example, instrumentation systems for single-shell tanks (SSTs) were considered to be included in the scope of Project W-314. However, because W-314 systems will come on line in 2001, and under the current TWRS technical strategy these same SSTs will have all their waste removed by 2018, it was not cost effective to invest significant capital in improvements to tank systems with service lives of less than 16 years. This assumes that the current level of risk due to the deteriorating condition of the systems will be acceptable during that time.

7.5.1.1.2 Strategy

Tank Farm upgrades include enhancements to electrical, mechanical, instrumentation, waste storage, and waste transfer systems.

The Tank Farm Upgrades program works closely with Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance so that major policies, work philosophy, training, conduct of operations, facility support activities, and capital projects are coordinated with the Tank Farm Operations Management.

Tank Farm upgrades enhance the safety of facility operations in the interim period until waste is retrieved to meet future mission objectives. The upgrades include a broad spectrum of activities from small, expense-funded component replacement activities; to a very large major systems acquisition (MSA) replacing electrical, instrumentation, and ventilation systems. The MSA also augments other waste transfer projects.

To improve the Tank Farm infrastructure and to contribute to a safe waste storage condition until disposal, the following line item projects are planned: Project W-030, AY/AZ Primary Ventilation System Replacement; Project W-058, Cross-Site Transfer System; Project W-028, Aging Waste Transfer System; Project W-188, Radiological Support Facility; Project W-236A, Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility; and Project W-314, Tank Farm Restoration and Safe Operations MSA.

Expense and General Plant Project (GPP) funded activities include the following categories of work: application of systems engineering to the program, drawing and configuration management improvements, selected procedure improvements, double-shell tank (DST) life extension and qualification, tank instrumentation improvements, electrical safety problem resolution, tank farm lighting, long-length waste disposal infrastructure, and facility operational improvements.

The Upgrades program addresses hardware improvements to Tank Farms in two ways. First, using expense and Capital Equipment Not Related to Construction (CENRTC) funding, components in existing systems are replaced as required. In some cases, component replacement is all that is required to meet the TWRS interim storage mission. Interim storage here is defined as that storage of waste before the waste is retrieved to be processed for disposal (long-term storage).

Second, the Upgrades program will replace entire systems through projects like W-314. Because of the safety function of the equipment, selected components may need to be replaced, on a limited interim basis, to adequately preserve the safety margin until Project W-314 comes on line in 2001. In those cases only, the Upgrades program will be forced to replace selected components making up part of complete systems that will eventually also be completely replaced. However, the need to do this is rare, and the option of continuing until system replacement with existing components will be thoroughly evaluated prior to component replacement.

Once Project W-314 is complete, the Tank Farms will have adequate DST instrumentation, electrical, ventilation, and transfer systems to meet the interim or safe storage mission as it is currently defined in the Hanford Site TWRS Technical Strategy. However, if this strategy is revised in the future to significantly extend the interim storage time, the design life of W-314 systems must be reevaluated. To reduce costs and free as many funds as possible for retrieval and disposal activities, the design life of W-314 systems will be closely matched to the Technical Strategy, Revision 0.

Also, to support the safe interim storage mission, the Tank Farm Upgrades Program Element has invested in existing drawing and procedure improvements to aid in the safe operation of the Tank Farms. These improvements are made under the Configuration Management activity.

To support the TWRS Technical Strategy of storing waste in existing DSTs through 2028, the Life Management activity is analyzing and establishing a strategy for out-year management of the DSTs. The existing DSTs are presently at, or approaching, the end of their original design life. The Life Management activity will determine and document whether these tanks, based on their current condition and operating history, can be safely used to support the Technical Strategy.

In FY 1995 and FY 1996, a self assessment of the project and non-project upgrades scope and logic will be conducted starting with four key elements: ventilation, instrumentation and control, electrical, and transfer lines. Assessments will be made of existing plant system condition, aging effects, and actions necessary to provide cost-effective upgrades to support the store tank waste (4.2.1.1) functions and requirements. These first four elements will be carried out concurrently with the design and construction sequence priority study for Project W-314 during FY 1995. This will ensure integration of project and non-project activities through a systems engineering process. Strategies will be developed and implemented for actions resulting from the assessments. Follow-on assessments at the program element level will then be conducted annually for the duration of the program. This will provide the management process necessary to track the evaluation of systems engineering and identify follow-on corrections to the Upgrades program work.

Specifically, each of the following activities are authorized to perform the following work in FY 1995, FY 1996, and FY 1997:

Technical Integration and Planning (1.1.1.2.03.01)

This activity is in support of the TWRS Mission and is comprised of three segments of work: program management, baseline management, and systems engineering.

The program management work supports the Upgrades program office and is required for the overall management of this TWRS program.

The baseline management effort supports the Upgrades program office and manages the program baselines (cost, schedule, technical) including cost analysis and reporting, schedule and milestone development and reporting, and technical progress and status reporting in support of the program element mission and the overall TWRS Mission. Also, this activity ensures that the program baseline work scope will be done within the budget as authorized by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE).

The systems engineering effort guides the programmatic efforts in the implementation of systems engineering within the Upgrades program and projects and in the overall support of the TWRS Mission.

Configuration Management (1.1.1.2.03.02)

The Configuration Management activity is comprised of configuration management and procedure upgrades.

The Upgrades program strategy for configuration management is to upgrade TWRS Configuration Management to meet the *Guide for Operational Configuration Management Program*, DOE-STD-1073-93. Only deficiencies in the existing program will be addressed. Existing compliant systems and processes will continue to be used, and will be integrated into the upgrades configuration management program. In addition, the essential and support drawing verification effort and the component labeling effort will continue, as they are essential to an effective configuration management program. The first step in implementing the DOE Standard was to prepare a program plan, finished in FY 1994, to define the appropriate level of implementation for TWRS. The next major step is to develop an implementation engineering task plan that defines the remaining activities and timing for completing the configuration management upgrades.

The strategy for procedure upgrades is to prepare procedures for equipment and systems upgrades by the program sufficient for turnover to Operations. The planning for procedures is being performed in conjunction with the planning for systems and equipment installation to ensure proper integration.

Life Management (1.1.1.2.03.03)

The Life Management activity will provide information necessary to calculate an expected useful life for the existing DST storage and transfer systems. This activity will also provide information necessary to manage degradation of the existing DST storage and transfer systems in a cost-effective environmentally sound manner. This will maximize flexibility in use of the entire system, thus minimizing replacement costs and less-than-optimal retrieval/disposal strategies. Specific activities include (WHC-SD-WM-PLN-068, Revision 0, *Plan for the TWRS Life Management/Aging Management Program*):

- Compliance with codes and standards
- Evaluation of degradation mechanisms under aging conditions
- Interface with Safety Analysis and Configuration Management
- Structural acceptance and service life calculation
- Testing, surveillances, and inspections
- Component screening process
- Mitigation, upgrades, and retirement strategy development.

Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility W-236A (1.1.1.2.03.04)

The Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility (MWTF) will provide a facility capable of safe, environmentally acceptable tank capacity for wastes from SSTs and DSTs tank safety issues/mitigation and tank remediation/retrieval activities. This project is currently scoped to provide two tanks in the 200 West Area and four tanks in the 200 East Area.

Instrumentation & Control/Electrical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.06)

Instrumentation & Control (I&C)/Electrical Upgrades will provide electrical support and waste monitoring until new facilities are provided, as defined by the Project W-314 MSA. The FY 1995 through FY 1997 tasks will develop I&C/Electrical elements that can be integrated as part of the overall power distribution and instrumentation monitoring systems being designed by Project W-314.

Tank Farm Restoration and Safe Operations MSA, Project W-314 (1.1.1.2.03.07)

In FY 1995, Project W-314 will prepare the basis for requesting, and request Key Decision (KD)-1. The Design Requirements Baseline will be completed as described by the TWRS systems engineering approach and documentation for Projects. The appropriate safety analysis, which will be prepared in FY 1995, is also required for the KD-1 request.

Additionally in FY 1995, W-314 will select and place a contract with an Architect/Engineer (A/E) for definitive design. Advanced Conceptual Design activities will begin with the selected A/E in FY 1995, and be completed in FY 1996. Through the TWRS Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), support to the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) documentation and decision-making process will be provided during FY 1995. This will be completed with a Record of Decision (ROD) on the EIS in FY 1996.

A plan for the sequencing of both design and construction will be prepared during FY 1995. Work to develop characterization information to support design of the ventilation systems will begin in FY 1995 and be completed in FY 1996. As-building of critical drawings to support definitive design will begin in FY 1995 and be completed in FY 1996. Permitting planning and permit support activities will begin in FY 1995 and continue through FY 1996. These activities will be completed in FY 1997 with the timely issuance of all necessary permits, including but not limited to, Clean Air Act permits from the State of Washington. Title I design will begin in FY 1996, upon receipt of KD-1, and will be completed in FY 1997. Title II design will begin in FY 1997 upon receipt of KD-2, and will continue beyond FY 1997.

Completion of the above work will meet *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, (Tri-Party Agreement) milestones series M-43-02, M-43-04, M-43-05, and M-43-06 (as it is presently written). A change request will be prepared in FY 1994 to consolidate all four of these series of Tri-Party Agreement milestones into a single series to reflect the current project management approach of W-314. When Project W-314 is completed, Tank Farms will have the safe, functional, reliable, and compliant instrumentation, ventilation, electrical, and waste transfer systems it needs to store waste in DSTs to meet the mission as described in the TWRS Technical Strategy. These systems will have a service life matched to the mission life as described in the TWRS Technical Strategy.

Not completing this project, or delaying it, results in prolonged periods of operation under the present level of risk. Further, the already worn and difficult-to-maintain equipment ages during the time it takes to build the project, thereby decreasing the present safety margin and adversely increasing risk.

Mechanical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.09)

Mechanical Upgrades will undertake design and construction activities to improve the physical condition of numerous systems in FY 1995, FY 1996, and FY 1997. Areas of primary improvement involve compressed air; heating, ventilating, and air conditioning (HVAC); and waste transfer system upgrades. An additional effort is underway for the development of protocol and hardware for the cradle-to-grave disposal of long-length contaminated equipment removed from the waste tanks.

All mechanical upgrades are considered necessary to support the safe storage mission of TWRS. Many tasks are DOE-identified safety initiatives and some are Tri-Party Agreement milestone related; these will be addressed with the highest priority.

Ventilation Upgrades, W-030 (1.1.1.2.03.10)

This project supports the number two priority placed on ventilation upgrade projects by DOE-Headquarters (DOE-HQ), Office of Environmental Management (EM)-30. The project replaces a cracked ventilation header and provides a new ventilation/cooling system for the AY/AZ Tank Farms to meet DOE and American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) requirements. The current ventilation system has exceeded its design life and is unreliable. The new system will extend the useful life of the system, eliminate cooling water discharge to the soil column, minimize risks of single-point critical component failure, and provide seismic and high wind hardening of the critical systems. The project strategy includes meeting all Tri-Party Agreement milestones on or ahead of schedule: start construction before October 31, 1994; complete construction by October 31, 1996; and begin operation by December 31, 1996.

Cross-Site Transfer System, W-058 (1.1.1.2.03.11)

Project W-058 is being managed and worked in conjunction with Project W-028, Aging Waste Transfer Line. The two projects will share common design features, and will be worked to the same schedule. They were initially validated as separate projects, but as their missions have evolved, it has become advantageous to work them in conjunction with each other. Therefore, even though the budget amounts and schedules are carried separately, both must be reviewed as an integrated system to gain a complete understanding of this activity. This project has received KD-2 and is in Title II design in FY 1994.

Permitting issues, primarily Clean Air Act permits, will continue through FY 1995. A Notice of Construction is scheduled to be sent to DOE Richland Operations Office (RL) in FY 1995, who will then submit it to the Washington State Department of Health. Subsequent environmental support and reviews continue through FY 1996 to support start of construction.

Title II design and the corresponding Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (PSAR) continue in FY 1995, supporting KD-3 in early FY 1996. Construction contractor selection will occur in late FY 1995 and end in early FY 1996. Based on timely receipt of KD-3, construction, corresponding Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), and Engineering Inspection will begin in early FY 1996 and continue through early FY 1998. Project reviews, procurement, and support activities will occur to support the above primary project activities.

Aging Waste Transfer Line, W-028 (1.1.1.2.03.12)

Project W-028 is being managed and worked in conjunction with Project W-058. The two projects will share common design features, and will be worked to the same schedule. They were initially validated as separate projects, but as their missions have evolved it has become advantageous to work them in conjunction with each other. [See Cross-Site Transfer System, W-058, (1.1.1.3.03.11)].

Facility Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.16)

Facility Upgrades consists entirely of GPPs for FY 1995, FY 1996, and FY 1997. Facility Upgrades GPPs provide for capital work in support of urgent needs of Tank Farm Operations. Facility Upgrade GPPs scheduled to begin in FY 1995 through FY 1997 include several tank farm lighting upgrades, which will install lighting systems to provide a minimum of 5-foot-candle light within the farms, a Tank Farm Repackaging Facility, Solid Waste Management Facility, East/West Central

Monitoring Facility, and a Core Sampling and Storage Facility. Engineering studies, functional design criteria, and conceptual designs for all Tank Farm Upgrades Program Element GPPs will be performed in this activity as well as annual Candidate Project Support Sheets and Project Data Sheets.

Radiological Support Facility, W-188 (1.1.1.2.03.17)

Project W-188, Radiological Support Facility, was proposed to construct compliant change facilities and decontamination showers, personnel access monitoring and control areas, and support offices for Tank Farm personnel. Although the project was identified as an important element in Tank Farm Operations' ability to provide a safe and efficient working environment for the Tank Farm work force, it has not received the necessary funding priority from DOE-HQ to continue as currently scoped.

Based on a July 1994 memorandum from DOE-HQ (EM-30) to RL, which prioritized project funding, it was determined that the need for Project W-188 is not of a critical nature and, therefore, not urgent enough to justify funding given the numerous higher priority projects. Project W-188 ranked 74, with the current funding cutoff at 70. The seven criteria used for prioritization included: Safety and health risk, compliance with requirements, disruption of EM-30's mission beyond that included in the other criteria, environmental impact, waste minimization, waste management costs, and technology assurance.

The functional design criteria and conceptual design report for W-188 are complete, so deferring work at this point in the project cycle is appropriate until funding priorities change and the ongoing systems engineering effort identifies the need.

A budget of \$15K is planned for FY 1995 to develop a position paper on how to disposition scope identified for Project W-188.

7.5.1.1.3 Function and Requirements

Functional Basis

The TWRS Systems Engineering development (DOE/RL-92-60, Revision 1) identifies no separate "upgrades" function. Upgrades derives its purpose from the store waste (4.2.1.1) and transfer waste (4.2.1.4) functions. Upgrades are needed only when the condition of the systems, equipment, or infrastructure (drawings and procedures) is not adequate to meet the functional requirements allocated to Operations.

As many of the systems being upgraded perform multiple functions, as defined in the TWRS Systems Engineering Architecture (DOE/RL-92-60, Revision 1), the Tank Farm Upgrades Program Element must address those functions and their allocated requirements. In particular, many of the systems being upgraded to support the store waste function do so by monitoring and controlling a waste stream (e.g., tank ventilation systems). Further, the field activities to perform the hardware improvements will generate waste, not only from the operations themselves but also from the existing hardware being replaced. Therefore, Tank Farm Upgrades must also meet the requirements allocated to applicable sub-functions to each of the following functions: disposition gaseous effluent (4.2.3.2), disposition liquid effluent (4.2.3.3), and disposition solid waste (4.2.3.4). There may be applications

of upgrades to disposition excess facilities (4.2.3.5), but this is to be determined as further definition of that function evolves and requirements are reviewed.

Existing deficiencies have been documented in numerous audits, reports, and findings. Hardware deficiencies are caused by many reasons: old age of equipment (well past service life), unavailability of repair parts, poor maintenance, or non-compliant original design. When a deficiency is noted, if its correction is beyond the normal scope of operational plant maintenance, then the improvements become candidates to be upgrades. Candidate upgrades are then scoped, prioritized, and an acquisition strategy outlined, and if high enough in priority to receive limited funds, the work is pursued. If the work does not make it past the priority funding process, it does not become an upgrade.

Program Participation in System Engineering Development

The Tank Farm Upgrades Program Element has provided staff to support the development of the TWRS Systems Engineering Architecture for both the store waste and transfer waste functions at the TWRS level. In addition to continued support for development of the TWRS architecture and documentation, this activity will provide resources to address and begin creating the systems engineering architecture and basis for the small expense- and capital-funded (non-line item) work funded by the program. This effort will provide the documentation and basis for continuing this work on a categorical basis as the TWRS Systems Engineering Architecture evolves. As each step of the TWRS Systems Engineering Architecture and documentation is developed, the programmatic categorical systems engineering documentation will be reviewed and revised as necessary to be consistent with the TWRS documentation.

Because of the parallel development of the TWRS Systems Engineering architecture, infrastructure, and processes with the development of the W-314 project, several unique approaches had to be taken. TWRS Systems Engineering is in the process of developing functions and requirements. However, at this time, TWRS has developed its functions and requirements only through Level 4. Eventually TWRS will develop and provide optimization down through the level necessary to initiate projects. However, as this is not done today, the project basis will be established by building a credible path from the bottom up. Then, as each step of the TWRS Systems Engineering Architecture is built from the top down, the project-specific basis will be reviewed and revised as necessary to link up with the TWRS architecture.

Pursuant to that goal, Project W-314 has issued a project-specific functions and operations requirements document which establishes the project functions and requirements and traces them up to the existing TWRS Level IV Architecture, WHC-SD-W314-FRD-001. Additionally, the project has begun work on project-specific Mission Analysis, Systems Engineering Management Plan, Interface Control Document (WHC-SD-W314-ICD-001), and Design Requirements Document (DRD). As TWRS Systems Engineering evolves and generates the TWRS level design requirements documents and interface control documents, the Project W-314-specific documents will be reviewed and revised as necessary to mesh with the TWRS documents. As the design requirements baseline is developed for Project W-314, each of the project-specific systems engineering documents mentioned above will be revised and expanded in detail.

7.5.1.1.4 Risks

Technical Risk

The program, in general, has very low technical risk. There are no emerging technologies being applied. All technologies being selected are proven applications of commercially available technology, using "off-the-shelf" components.

The rapidly evolving nature of electronics and instrumentation/control technology, and funding and project management time constraints make it difficult to select for use any technology in this area. Any technology selected must be available today and be optimal by the time it is installed.

Financial Risk and Funding Mix

The area of highest risk is financial, as balanced with safety risk. The questions exist both at the TWRS level with how much funding the Tank Farm Upgrades program should receive, and within the Tank Farm Upgrades with what the best mix of expense activities and capital activities is. Both questions reduce to balancing the investment in mitigating near-term risks, with investing limited resources in resolving long-term risks.

At the TWRS level, given limited resources, the crucial question is: How much should be invested in improving the infrastructure of the tank farms, thereby reducing the current level of risk from interim storage, with the investment in infrastructure to dispose of (long-term store) the waste? As the current and future risks have not been quantified (or better, their quantification has not been widely accepted), these judgements remain somewhat subjective.

Similar questions arise at the Upgrades program level. Given the DOE property accounting and capitalization rules, Upgrades is faced with the choice between selective component replacement with expense and capital funds, and system replacement using the major project (line item) management approach. The expense-funded approach tends to be less costly and much quicker than the line item approach. This is balanced with replacing components that are at or beyond their intended service life when constructed, and extending that service to, in many cases, more than double their service life; or, simply replacing the entire system with one designed to meet the interim storage mission life. Further, many of the existing systems are not compliant with current requirements. The question here becomes: Is the benefit of coming into compliance over the interim storage life appropriate to the investment required to achieve that compliance?

The Tank Farm Upgrades Program Element is managing that risk through a number of avenues. First, the engineering studies to determine how the work should be done have involved a number of outside experts in study analysis sessions. These were intensive several-day efforts to kick off the various aspects of Project W-314, using both academic and industrial experts. These sessions ensured that a wide variety of options were reviewed, that cost-effective and technically optimal options would result from the studies.

Second, the Upgrades program has done a great deal of internal planning, including use of offsite consulting and Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC) forces teamed to assist in planning. The Upgrades program is also performing a self-assessment by a team of WHC experts to ensure that the expense and capital mix and acquisition strategies are appropriate. (See 7.5.1.1.2, Program Strategy)

Also, the Upgrades program is investing heavily in systems engineering, both within Project W-314 and at the programmatic level. The TWRS Systems Engineering architecture and processes are evolving. In the interim between the present and the full establishment of systems engineering at the TWRS level, Upgrades is developing the application of systems engineering at the program level. Project W-314 is the prototype for developing systems engineering at the project level. Given the constraints of the existing equipment in the field and the TWRS mission, this program-level systems engineering will ensure that all work planned is both necessary and sufficient to meet the safe interim storage mission.

Systems Engineering Parallel Evolution Risk

The final programmatic risk is both schedule and cost. The Tri-Party Agreement was negotiated at a time when the TWRS Systems Engineering approach was in its early stages of development. Since that time, the impact to the program and to each project has been more fully understood. Significant time and resources have been spent in tracking this evolution and developing the implementation concept.

The current Tri-Party Agreement milestones do not reflect the project documentation that the evolving systems engineering paradigm requires. The basic approach to project development is in transition. Project W-314 will be the first TWRS project to develop that implementation and will require more funds and perhaps take longer than the same project would, once the systems engineering process is fully developed and well understood. Given the fixed nature of both the milestones and the budget, this creates both a cost and schedule risk. Further, the risk is accentuated by the direction received during the Tri-Party Agreement negotiations to negotiate milestones as currently scheduled, with no contingency. This has heightened the risk that unknowns or uncertainties due to systems engineering development will become problematic.

7.5.1.1.5 Major Decisions

The TWRS Decision Analysis Report (WHC-EP-0617) in Appendix B 5.2.2 refers the reader to the Upgrades Program Plan. The decision analysis assumes that the cost and schedule for upgrades is the same for the various alternative technical strategies. Therefore, it identifies upgrades as being required to support the safe interim storage of waste, regardless of the disposal methodology. Even though the Upgrades Program Plan no longer represents the current strategy, schedule, or funding, the need for upgrades, as captured in the Decision Analysis Report, is unchanged.

Revision 0 of the Hanford Site TWRS Technical Strategy (March 18, 1994), Section IV.A. last bullet, calls for Tank Farm facilities and systems to be restored and upgraded to improve facility operations and surveillance (ensure safe storage and transfer of the wastes).

Within the program, the most significant decision made was to pursue Project W-314 as a single project. This project consolidates the scopes of four former sub-projects into one project. This was done to provide better design integration and to achieve significant efficiency gains during construction.

7.5.1.1.6 Assumptions

The Need for Upgrades and Systems Engineering Evolution

A central assumption to the program element is that the existing Tank Farm infrastructure must be restored to meet the safe storage mission. Numerous audits, both internal and external, have pointed out the physical and documentation deficiencies of the plant.

Systems engineering at TWRS is not yet fully developed, but it is the fundamental assumption that TWRS systems engineering, once complete, will validate the need to restore Tank Farms to a minimally safe condition to meet the store waste function. For example, if a particular tank ventilation system is operating beyond its service life, spare parts cannot be obtained, and it is non-compliant with current codes. At the outset, it would be assumed that once systems engineering is fully developed it will confirm the need to upgrade the system.

Therefore, the Upgrades program is proceeding with the infrastructure upgrade process, since waiting for systems engineering to be fully developed will prolong the time at risk. This is based on the assumption that systems engineering will confirm the need to do the planned work.

To help manage the risk, the Upgrades Program is participating, both in dedicated staff and funding, in developing the TWRS Systems Engineering Architecture. Further, as each new product comes out of the systems engineering process, Upgrades as a program intends to review planned work and document traceability back to the Systems Engineering Architecture.

Safety Class, Interim Safety Basis, and Final Safety Basis (Technical Safety Requirements)

Another pivotal assumption made by the Upgrades program deals with safety classification of the Tank Farm systems and hardware.

Tank Farms is currently operating under the Interim Safety Basis (ISB). In that safety basis, several systems are currently classified as Interim Operational Safety Requirements [IOSR]*, as established in the Interim Safety Equipment List (ISEL). The ISEL states:

"The notation [IOSR]* has been designated for those systems, components, and structures important to health, safety, and environmental protection, but, for which current analyses are not sufficient to establish the safety classification. Such items shall be treated as safety class 3, with the additional designation an Operational Safety Requirements (OSR) related. The additional OSR designation will ensure the priority and funding for the continued operation and maintenance of these items, consistent with OSR requirements IOSR, where applicable).

The [IOSR]* safety classification will be replaced to be consistent with the requirements of [WHC Controlled Manual WHC-CM-1-3, *Management Requirements and Procedures*, MRP 5.46, "Safety Classification of Systems, Components, and Structures",] as analyses are completed to establish definite safety classifications. In the interim, approval requirements shall include Nuclear Safety and Quality Assurance (SQ), as a minimum, and Environmental Assurance (E) where deemed appropriate."

The DOE responded to the submittal of the ISEL with a letter (J. H. Anttonen to T. M. Anderson, "Single-Shell Tank Interim Safety Equipment List," dated September 16, 1993), which states:

"Sections 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 of the present version of the ISEL contain numerous inaccuracies. The discussions provided in these sections to justify the safety classifications are not credible."

The letter goes on to say:

"RL is further disturbed by the [IOSR] designation. This designation does not appear in the definitive basis for safety classification, DOE Order 6430.1A, "General Design Criteria". RL notes that this designation also does not appear in WHC procedure MRP 5.46. As such, WHC appears to have failed to provide for such a classification within its own system. RL further believes, there is sufficient information to perform an analyses at the present time, which would provide a basis for determination of safety class for the systems."

The current WHC position concerning safety classification of tank farm instrumentation is reflected in a letter (M. A. Payne to R. E. Gerton, "In-Tank Instrument Safety Classification", dated October 14, 1993), which states:

- For tank number C-106 and 101-SY

WHC will delete the notation [IOSR]* for in-tank monitoring instruments for tank numbers C-106 and 101-SY in the SST ISEL and the DST ISEL respectively, and replace it with SC-1*. The asterisk will refer to a note that states, "The notation SC-1 is founded on conservative assumptions and not necessarily on system safety analysis. Safety class 1 or 2 seismic, environmental, redundancy, separation, power supply, procurement and quality assurance requirements will not be imposed. Liquid level gages, thermocouple trees, tank dome pressure gages, and associated items, are required to meet SC-3 design, procurement, installation, maintenance, and quality assurance requirements. Approval requirements shall include Nuclear Safety and Quality Assurance (SQ), as a minimum, and Environmental Assurance (E) where deemed appropriate. Accident based safety classifications for in-tank monitoring instruments will be determined only after appropriate system safety analyses are performed." The deviation to DOE 6430.1A will discuss the reasons for not imposing SC-1 criteria and will include: (1) instruments that cannot be purchased to Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Power Plants (NQA-1) standards, (2) design basis seismic accident criteria, and (3) existing equipment infrastructure does not support SC-1 items.

- For all other tanks

WHC will delete the notation [IOSR]* for all in-tank monitoring instruments and associated items for all other tanks in the SST, DST, and Aging Waste Tank ISELs and replace it with TBD*. The asterisk will refer to a note that states, "Until such time as the TBDs are removed, in-tank monitoring instruments, and associated items, are only required to meet SC-3 design, procurement, installation, maintenance, and quality assurance requirements. Approval requirements shall include Nuclear Safety and Quality Assurance (SQ), as a minimum, and Environmental Assurance (E) where deemed appropriate. Accident based safety classifications for in-tank monitoring instruments will be determined only after appropriate system safety analyses are performed." This is justified due to the waiver being processed to the environmental hazard safety class criteria of MRP 5.46. WHC will revise the ISELs and

provide copies to RL 90 days after RL approval of the deviation to DOE 6430.1A. WHC will resolve the TBDs by March 1995."

The systems noted as IOSR* will be designated a safety class 1, 2, or 3 upon completion of the safety analyses.

When a system moves from being treated as a safety class 3 to a safety class 1, the cost of the system more than doubles. When a system moves from being treated as a safety class 3 to a safety class 2, the general cost of replacing the system can be expected to go up by at least 50%. Therefore, the program total cost is very sensitive to changes in the safety classification from the interim safety basis to the final safety basis (or technical safety requirements).

Current budgeting exercises have been adjusting funds, and therefore, no schedule is available for completion of the final Safety Equipment List. The planned status of that activity can be found in Section 7.3, Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance, Cost Account 1N1C38.

The following table provides a summary of the various systems' safety classification taken from the interim safety basis for systems being replaced by W-314.

System	Aging Waste Tanks	Double Shell Tanks
Primary Ventilation	SC 2	SC 2
Annulus Ventilation	SC 2	SC 2
Waste Transfer	SC 1	SC 1
Primary Instrumentation	N/A	IOSR
Secondary Tank Instrumentation	N/A	SC 2
Leak Detection Instrumentation	N/A	SC 3
Ventilation System Instrumentation	N/A	SC 2
Miscellaneous Instrumentation	N/A	SC 3
Primary Liquid Level Instrumentation	IOSR	N/A
Primary Pressure/Vacuum Instrumentation	IOSR	N/A
Primary Leak Detection	SC 2	N/A
Primary Ventilation Radiation Monitor	SC 2	N/A
Secondary Pressure/Vacuum Instrumentation	SC 2	N/A
Electrical Power Distribution	IOSR/SC 2/SC 3 depending on system being supplied	IOSR

The Upgrades program is proceeding with all work, both line-item project and expense/CENRTC hardware upgrades on the existing (interim) safety basis. If the final safety basis changes a system's safety classification from the interim assigned (or assumed) value, the program budget associated with that system (and its corresponding schedule) can be expected to be significantly impacted.

Technical Integration and Planning (1.1.1.2.03.01)

This activity is based on the assumption that a program office is both required and necessary for the overall management of the Upgrades program. The program office provides guidance and support for the program plan execution in support of the TWRS Mission. The Upgrades program office develops the work priorities within the program and coordinates the program priorities with the Operations and Maintenance program, Retrieval, Safety, and other programs as required. This coordination ensures cost-effective utilization of TWRS resources to upgrade Tank Farms infrastructure and facilities.

Configuration Management (1.1.1.2.03.02)

Configuration Management, as required by DOE-STD-1073-93, *Guide For Operational Configuration Management Program*, with its five program elements and two adjunct programs (see Approach, Section 7.5.1.5), provides a vital function to improve conduct of operations and assures excellence in facility conditions. This is accomplished by providing programmatic assurance that the Tank Farms physical configuration is consistent with its design requirements and documentation and that this consistency is maintained throughout the life cycle of the farm.

The Configuration Management program for Tank Farms and the 242-A Evaporator meets the intent of the following WHC and DOE documents.

- *Hanford Strategic Plan*, draft July 11, 1994, "Work Force Effectiveness".
- Hanford Site Tank Waste Remediation System Technical Strategy.
- DOE/RL-93-102, *Fiscal Year 1995 Hanford Mission Plan*, Volume 1, Site Guidance, DRAFT.

Procedure development will be required prior to initiating the upgrade turnover to the plant. Therefore, procedures that have been identified as required for operation (plant operating procedures, operator rounds procedures, alarm response procedures, and operational safety documents) and that have been affected by the upgrade, will be developed. The timing for completing the procedure package will be tied to completing the upgrade installation.

Life Management (1.1.1.2.03.03)

The TWRS Technical Strategy has assumed that the existing DSTs are fundamentally sound and capable of unrestricted use through the end of the TWRS storage mission. The DST life management strategy will address the existing inter-area transfer system associated with the DSTs (from double-contained receiver tanks, evaporator and unloading facilities, to DSTs). Life Management will provide physical upgrades, such as purchase of instrumentation and hardware.

Examination of DSTs, DST transfer piping, 242-A Evaporator, and unloading facilities is driven by WAC 173-303-640 and is controlled by WHC-SD-WM-AP-017, Revision 1, *Double-Shell Tank Integrity Assessment Program Plan*. Implementation of mitigation strategies and suggested engineering practices (e.g., corrosion monitoring and control) are provided by TWRS Operations and Maintenance (O&M) in accordance with appropriate priority system(s) (WHC-SD-WM-PAP-60, DRAFT, *TWRS Corrosion Monitoring Program Plan*).

The TWRS Life Management program will be required for the duration of the store waste and transfer waste functions (assumed to be 40 years).

Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility, W-236A (1.1.1.2.03.04)

The MWTF Justification of Mission Need (JMN), approved in January 1993, constituted endorsement of the stated project need, technical objectives, and management approach. This consisted of additional waste storage capacity in support of resolution of all safety issues, day-to-day tank farm operations, retrieval, and management of waste in the SSTs. In addition, this was further substantiated in the project plan, which was approved by DOE-HQ in November 1992. The project plan stated, "The MWTF will provide additional high-level waste (HLW) interim confinement (storage) to support resolution/remediation of the tank safety issues and continued retrieval and operations activities."

Instrumentation & Control/Electrical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.06)

It is assumed requirements for upgrades in the area of electrical support and waste monitoring will continue until future needs are met through the Project W-314 MSA. Current and planned near-term activities will utilize major maintenance, I&C installation, and Tank Monitor and Control System (TMACS) funding to meet these requirements and provide a bridge to the MSA. The FY 1995 through FY 1997 I&C/Electrical Upgrades activities are an extension of work currently in progress.

Tank Farm Restoration and Safe Operations MSA, Project W-314 (1.1.1.2.03.07)

No additional assumptions exist other than those already discussed in this section.

Mechanical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.09)

It is assumed that safety initiatives and Tri-Party Agreement related work are considered highest priority. O&M, via the integrated schedule, will have the resources and provide the priority to support field work.

Ventilation Upgrades, W-030 (1.1.1.2.03.10)

It is assumed that Ventilation Upgrades activities will be funded to support the schedule to complete Tri-Party Agreement milestones, and that startup approval will be obtained from State regulators in FY 1996. Operations support (health physics technicians [HPTs], operators, person-in-charge, planners) will be provided to support the construction schedule.

Cross-Site Transfer System, W-058 (1.1.1.2.03.11)

A critical assumption is that an offsite construction contractor will be able to resolve interface issues with site personnel in a timely manner. As the Conduct of Operations standards have taken significant strides forward, the potential may have been created for more complex interface issues with off-site construction forces, and the necessary site support forces. As the improvements to the Conduct of Operations process are very new, no experience is available with large project construction performed by offsite forces and the interfaces with onsite forces.

Another critical assumption is the timely approval of the ROD from the combined Project W-236a and W-028/058 Environmental Impact Statement. In the interests of cost effectiveness, it was decided to combine the above projects together into one EIS. Prompt approval of the ROD for this EIS is critical to proceeding with construction. Approval must be received in September 1994. An Environmental Assessment (EA) was originally prepared for this scope of work, and the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) resulting from that EA was at DOE-HQ for final approval when the decision was made to go back and perform an EIS.

Timely approval of KD-3 is critical to maintaining the schedule and meeting Tri-Party Agreement milestones. Long delays were experienced in the receipt of KD-2, which caused schedule contingency to be eaten up for definitive design, placing Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-43-07-T01 in severe jeopardy. Delays of a similar nature, now that schedule contingency is gone, will have an even greater effect on meeting the next Tri-Party Agreement Milestone, M-43-07A, "Start Construction", an enforceable Tri-Party Agreement milestone.

Aging Waste Transfer Line, W-028 (1.1.1.2.03.12)

See Cross-Site Transfer System, W-058 (1.1.1.2.03.11).

Facility Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.16)

Facility Upgrades planning is based on the current priorities, which reflect a strong management emphasis on improving the lighting levels in the Tank Farms. As priorities change within Tank Farms, the sequencing of projects will also change. All other facility upgrades will be provided by the O&M Program.

Radiological Support Facility, W-188 (1.1.1.2.03.17)

N/A, see Program Strategy (Section 7.5.1.1.2).

7.5.1.1.7 Constraints

Funding

The first constraint to accomplishing Tank Farm upgrades is funding limits. Today, if more funding were made available, the Upgrades Program could be completed sooner and the time at risk from the present condition of the Tank Farms could be reduced.

Systems Engineering Evolution

Because systems engineering is in the early stages of development, both the program and Project W-314 are investing significant resources building reasonable paths up to the Level 4 Systems Engineering Architecture as it exists today. If the TWRS process were mature, optimal paths for functions and requirements would be built to lower levels. Were that the case, program and project funds could be focused on work and less diluted by pre-building the systems engineering basis from such a high level.

Acquisition Regulations

In order, the next lower constraints to Upgrades program implementation are the project management processes detailed in DOE 4700.1, *Project Management System*, and RLIP-4700.1A, *Project Management System*. If these constraints were removed, the completion of upgrades could be accomplished both faster and cheaper.

Staff to Manage the Work

Finally, if all the above constraints were removed or relaxed or if significant new funds were made available, there is some level at which staff would become the constraint. Today, much of the upgrades work is being done by offsite forces. More work could be done offsite, but at some point the onsite force's ability to effectively manage work performed offsite becomes limited. That limit is not yet reached and would not be reached with significant budget relaxation of the above constraints.

Technical or Logic

In general terms, no logic or technical constraints to doing upgrades exist. Many more deficiencies have been identified than there are resources to fix them. These deficiencies do not require technology or process development. They are, in general, hardware or configuration documentation in nature and could be resolved faster with greater resource allocation.

Specific constraints are listed below by activity.

Technical Integration and Planning (1.1.1.2.03.01)

The primary constraints within Technical Integration and Planning are funding and resources. The amount of upgrades planned and executed within a given year is primarily driven by these two constraints. However, other constraints may surface on occasion such as the TWRS Administrative Hold, which began in August 1993 and continued for several months. This administrative action was necessary to mitigate the safety issues surrounding the execution of work and conduct of operations within the Tank Farms and the potential impact on worker safety. This action caused a serious delay in the field work activities the Upgrades Program had planned for FY 1994. In addition, facility upgrades resulting from evolutions in other programs will be coordinated with this work to ensure consistency. Theoretically, the Upgrades Program will work itself out of existence by completing the Program Mission of upgrading the Tank Farm plant equipment and infrastructure by the year 2005.

Configuration Management (1.1.1.2.03.02)

An implementation plan is required for the successful execution of the configuration management program. This implementation plan will be based on the initial assessments and audits (identified in WHC-SD-WM-CM-007, *Configuration Compliance Matrix for the Waste Tank Farms and the 242-A Evaporator of Tank Waste Remediation System*), Standards/Requirements Identification Documents (S/RIDs), and existing WHC procedures that are identified in WHC-SD-WM-CM-008.

The constraints have been identified as assumptions in Configuration Management, 1.1.1.2.03.02, specifically, funding and resource availability. Funding will be a constraint if inadequate to prepare the procedure packages for the identified upgrades. Resources will be a constraint if the upgrades are

time phased in a manner that makes the procedure writer a critical resource and results in procedure preparation as the critical path to achieving turnover of the upgrade project.

Life Management (1.1.1.2.03.03)

The primary constraints within the Life Management activity are priority and resource availability. Some constraints also exist that are associated with the timeliness of the DST ultrasonic test inspection program and installation of corrosion monitoring and control strategies by TWRS O&M.

Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility W-236A (1.1.1.2.02.04)

See Assumptions (Section 7.5.1.1.6).

Instrumentation & Control/Electrical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.06)

The constraints that define the scope of the Instrumentation & Control/Electrical Upgrades activities include the need to continue to support high priority operational requirements while interfacing with the schedule and scope of Project W-314. The FY 1995 through FY 1997 tasks will use staff resources and funding of approximately \$7.7M per year to complete the work.

Tank Farm Restoration and Safe Operations MSA, Project W-314 (1.1.1.2.03.07)

None other than already discussed in this section.

Mechanical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.09)

The resource-loaded schedule may place limitations on the amount of field work that can be completed. Also, the Davis-Bacon and work turndown processes must be adhered to and will very likely increase fabrication costs of equipment.

Long-length contaminated equipment is developing a standardized generic system for the retrieval, transport, storage, treatment, and disposal of waste equipment. This system is essential to the timely and cost-effective continued operations of Tank Farms and future retrieval activities.

Ventilation Upgrades, W-030 (1.1.1.2.03.10)

Specific critical constraints of Ventilation Upgrades are limited expense funding, limited health physics technician (HPT)/operations support, and marginal contingency for uncertainties associated with construction. The Tri-Party Agreement milestones define schedule constraints. The critical path to completion of the work is the in-farm construction. Startup approval from state regulators will be required before proceeding with tank tie-ins and suspending the old system.

Cross-Site Transfer System, W-058 (1.1.1.2.03.11)

See Assumptions (Section 7.5.1.1.6).

Aging Waste Transfer Line, W-028 (1.1.1.2.03.12)

See Assumptions (Section 7.5.1.1.6).

Facility Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.16)

In FY 1995, General Plant Projects (GPP) are severely constrained by funding. The August 1994 RL budget submittal reflects \$2.9M GPP Capital as opposed to a \$5.6M Activity Data Sheet (ADS) submittal. Funding for FY 1996 and FY 1997 is assumed to remain at the ADS submittal level.

Radiological Support Facility, W-188 (1.1.1.2.03.17)

N/A, See Program Strategy (Section 7.5.1.1.2).

7.5.1.2 Structure

The Tank Farm Upgrades Program Element provides specific systems and infrastructure for the safe operation of Tank Farms in support of the TWRS mission. This program element is structured into well-defined technically related areas, which ensure proper integration, consistency, and technical strategy.

7.5.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure

The Tank Farm Upgrades Program Element is composed of ten activities. Their scope is generally described as follows:

- Technical Integration and Planning. Program element management, performance monitoring and reporting, and systems engineering.
- Configuration Management. Drawing field verification and procedure upgrades.
- Life Management. Analysis of the existing DST condition and their ability to support the TWRS Technical Strategy.
- I&C/Electrical, Mechanical, and Facility Upgrades. Expense/CENRTC- and GPP Capital-funded activities to improve the hardware condition of the Tank Farms.
- Project W-314. Major Systems Acquisition to replace instrumentation, ventilation, electrical, and transfer systems to support the store waste function.
- Project W-030. Replacement of the AY/AZ primary ventilation system
- Project W-058 Cross-Site Transfer System and Project W-028 Aging Waste Ventilation System. Two projects worked concurrently to provide compliant waste transfer capability.
- Project W-188 Radiological Support Facility. Replace existing temporary change trailers and provide operational support at the Tank Farms.

The Tank Farm Upgrades Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) is shown on Figure 7.5-1. The WBS dictionary is found in Appendix A.

7.5.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility

Relationship with RL

Programmatic direction for this effort shall in all cases and at all times be provided by the RL-TWRS office. A lead RL staff member will be appointed to oversee work in a specific account. This individual is responsible for ensuring that sufficient clear guidance and direction is available at all times to the contractor to perform the requested work.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOT FOLLOW GUIDANCE OR DIRECTION PROVIDED BY ANY OTHER RL OFFICE. The contractor will refer any conflicts between the guidance from the RL TWRS office and guidance offered by another RL office to the RL TWRS office for resolution.

The RL lead for this cost account shall be apprised, as soon as reasonably possible, of any impacts to work costs or schedules in this cost account that occur as the fiscal year progresses.

General Responsibilities

The following general responsibilities were summarized from the TWRS Systems Engineering Work Plan Draft C, May 9, 1994. The Upgrades program office is responsible for ensuring that tasks are scoped, planned, scheduled, and funded. In Operations, the Waste Tanks Upgrade Installation/Testing organization is responsible for performing major maintenance activities. TWRS Systems Engineering is responsible for developing the TWRS Systems Engineering Infrastructure. Engineering is responsible for defining projects. Once defined, Projects is responsible for the design and execution of capital-funded projects.

Organization and Cost Account Management

Cost account managers are responsible for assuring that their assigned scopes of work are carried out on schedule and within budget. Table 7.5-1 depicts the organization breakdown, the Upgrades cost accounts, and the cost account managers. The chart only shows part of the TWRS organization, but it does trace each cost account manager back to the TWRS level.

7.5.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed

The FY 1995 work scope is summarized in this section based on the FY 1995-1997 Multi-Year Work Plan.

Technical Integration and Planning (1.1.1.2.03.01)

The work to be performed by this activity is comprised of three segments, as shown in Section 7.5.1.1.2. of this plan. These are: (1) program management, (2) baseline management, and (3) systems engineering. This work is necessary to plan, coordinate, and manage the Upgrades program and provide support to the O&M Program by facilities and infrastructure.

The Program Management segment, in coordination with O&M, develops priorities for the upgrades necessary to support the Tank Farm restoration and upgrades to the aging facilities and equipment. Also, Program Management ensures that the Upgrades program work is integrated with other Tank Farm programs in support of the overall TWRS Mission.

Baseline Management is necessary to plan, develop, coordinate, and report on the baseline (cost, schedule, technical) in support of the Upgrades Program Mission for the Multi-Year Work Plan for FY 1995. This work includes developing, monitoring, and reporting of the baseline status on a monthly, quarterly, and annual basis, as required by DOE. The deliverables for this segment of program management are:

- Annual update of the Multi-Year Work Plan (MYWP)
- Annual update of the Activity Data Sheets (ADS)
- Annual update of the Program Validation for Upgrades Program.

The Systems Engineering segment of this activity guides the programmatic strategy and development of the systems engineering approach to the Upgrades program and projects in support of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) initiative on the systems engineering approach to program management. This activity supports the programmatic aspect of systems engineering and works closely with the TWRS Systems Engineering group in support of the TWRS Mission. The deliverables for FY 1995 are:

- Prepare a Technical Strategy for Instrumentation Upgrades
- Prepare a Technical Strategy for Ventilation Upgrades
- Prepare a Technical Strategy for Electrical Upgrades
- Prepare a Technical Strategy for Transfer Lines.

The above mentioned strategies will be developed, and updated annually, using both WHC engineering resources and external consultants.

The productivity challenge will be achieved in this activity by utilizing some of the following methods listed below. It should be noted that while this activity plans to utilize these methods, plans may change at the time the work is executed (i.e., who does the work and how the work is done).

- ICF Kaiser Hanford Company (ICF KH) versus WHC versus outsourcing of work
- Strategic alliances with vendors
- Sub-contractor Technology Infusion (STI)
- Upfront review of methods/approach (fund work, not people)
- Equipment standardization (size, type, manufacturer, spares)
- Utilize fixed price contracts and incentive clauses
- Eliminate non-productive capabilities (i.e., seldom used labor types)
- Value Engineering on projects
- Government-Owned Contractor-Operated (GOCO) Savings-Through-Sharing program
- Information exchange and integration (i.e., mixer pumps).

Configuration Management (CM) (1.1.1.2.03.02)

The work to be performed by the Field Verification activity is to computer-aided design and drafting (CADD) convert, field verify, and release into the site-wide drawing archive system Essential and Support drawings identified in the Tank Farm Essential Drawing Plan, WHC-SD-WM-PC-002. Drawings are field verified in accordance with Engineering Drawing Field Verification program, WHC-SD-WM-WP-072.

The work to be performed by the CM Database segment of this activity is to initiate a facilitated session with representatives from Configuration Management, Engineering, Maintenance, and others to determine user needs and which data sets are required to establish a CM database. This includes determining requirements for the CM Database and data sets. The CM Database will link component numbers with Engineering Change Notices (ECNs), Master Equipment Lists (MELs), Safety Analysis Reports (SARs), Operational Safety Requirements (OSRs), vendor files, procedures, spare parts, etc.

The work to be performed by the CM Implementation segment of this activity is to issue a Configuration Management Implementation Action Plan that:

- Implements a Configuration Management (CM) program for Tank Farms and the 242-A Evaporator Facility.
- Meets the intent of WHC-SD-WM-CM-008, *Configuration Management Plan for Waste Tank Farms and 242-A Evaporator of TWRS*, which is based upon DOE-STD-1073-93, *Guide For Operation Configuration Management Program*.

Also, work to be performed by the CM Implementation segment of this activity is to issue a Supporting Document (SD) that lists identified systems (process, transfer, water, air, etc.) with their boundaries (beginning and end points) for Tank Farms and the 242-A Evaporator.

Work to be performed by the Design Basis Reconstitution segment of this activity is to issue a Design Base Reconstitution (DR) Program Implementation Action Plan that:

- Implements a Design Base Reconstitution (DR) program for Tank Farms and the 242-A Evaporator Facility.
- Meets the intent of WHC-SD-WM-CM-009, *Design Reconstitution Program Plan for Waste Tank Farms and the 242-A Evaporator of Tank Waste Remediation System*, which is based on DOE-STD-1073-93, *Guide for Operation Configuration Management Program*.

Other work to be performed under the CM activity is to prepare or revise all required operating procedures for turnover of upgraded equipment for approved upgrade projects identified in the TWRS baseline. This cost account only funds the actual preparation and approval of the procedures including the preparation/revision of the first procedure draft, the table-top discussion and comment incorporation, writer field verification and comment incorporation, and the approval cycle of the procedure. This cost account does not fund activity or reviews by engineering or oversight organizations required to support the issuance of the procedure.

The following upgrade projects have been identified that will require procedure support for FY 1995: CR Motor Control Center, BX/BY Compressor, SY Diesel Generator, TX/TY Compressor, 701-A Motor Control Center, 701-A Compressor, 242-S Liquid Waste Monitoring System, 241-AP Closed Loop Cooling, 242-S Alarms, 241-T Compressor, 241-U Compressor, and 241-T Alarms.

Life Management (1.1.1.2.03.03)

Detailed descriptions of the work to be done over the life of the program can be found in WHC-SD-WM-PLN-068, Rev. 0, *Plan for the TWRS Life Management/Aging Management Program*. This program plan describes the work to be performed for each of the seven program tasks identified earlier in Section 7.5.1.1.2. In FY 1995, the work will focus on:

- Evaluation of Degradation Mechanisms
 - Corrosion program management
 - DST crack growth rate experiments
 - DST pitting/waterline attack experiments
 - Technical reports (hydrogen embrittlement, uniform, microbiologically-induced corrosion, etc.)
 - Support facilities assessment
- Mitigation Upgrades and Retirement Strategy Development
 - DST Corrosion Control Upgrades - Cathodic Protection System.

Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility, W-236A (1.1.1.2.03.04)

Work to be performed during FY 1995 is as follows:

- Continue project management
- Continue Title II Design
- Initiate Title III Inspection
- Initiate KD-3A construction contracts:
 - 200 East demolition
 - 200 East/West construction trailers
 - 200 East civil site preparation
 - 200 East administration building
 - 200 East/West tank erection
 - 200 East/West construction fence
- Initiate KD-3B construction contracts:
 - 200 East/West construction substation and site electrical
 - 200 East Tank Farm steam and condensation
 - 200 West support facilities, tank farm completion and weather enclosure
- Initiate all government-furnished equipment (GFE) procurement
- Complete systems design descriptions

- Continue the operations/regulatory overview of detailed design
- Continue support of the environmental/safety documentation
- Support outside agency reviews
- Continue preparation of operating documentation procedures
 - Continue selected materials testing.

Instrumentation & Control/Electrical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.06)

The FY 1995 tasks to be performed by the I&C/Electrical Upgrades include the following:

- Perform electrical assessments and resolve safety issues.
- Upgrade the 242-S Evaporator control room alarm panels.
- Install new ENRAF level monitors on 64 tanks.
- Expand TMACS to the A, AX, and U Tank Farms.
- Automate data collection rounds in C, S, SX, SY, and TY Tank Farms.
- Install, test, and turn over the 701-A Motor Control Center.

Tank Farm Restoration and Safe Operations MSA, Project W-314 (1.1.1.2.03.07)

Engineering studies and the consolidated functions and operating requirements (F&OR) for this project have been completed. Work has begun through a Basic Ordering Agreement (BOA) contract with a team of off-site A/E personnel to develop the design requirements baseline for the project. The design requirements baseline is now required, under the TWRS systems engineering process, to request KD-1. KD-0 has been requested for design only, and has been withheld pending approval of the JMN by DOE-HQ. There are no open comments against the JMN, and approval has been recommended.

WHC will proceed with pre-concept development work prior to receipt of KD-0, but delays in receipt of KD-0 beyond October 1, 1994, will jeopardize completion of the Tri-Party Agreement milestones for the completion of conceptual design (M-43-02A and M-43-04A, May 1995).

The largest activity for Project W-314 will be to create the design requirements baseline for the project. This baseline is necessary in preparation for requesting KD-1, to be in compliance with the TWRS systems engineering process and documentation, and in taking the next step toward this project development. This design requirements baseline will consist of a number of supporting deliverable documents:

- Further development and expansion of detail in the existing Project Functions and Operational Requirements Document (a revision to the document)
- The concept development package, including drawings, sketches, analyses, calculations, and specifications
- A logistics plan

- Energy/mass flow sheets
- An expanded and detailed development of the existing Project-Specific Interface Control Document, by revision to the document
- Design specification, a specification, which with proper procurement documentation could be used to select an A/E for definitive design
- Trade studies and decision analysis
- Test and Evaluation Plan
- Waste Management Plan
- Cost estimates and schedules for the balance of the project
- WBS for the balance of the project.

A formal design requirements review will also be performed on the design requirements baseline. An A/E will be selected for the definitive design process, a contract will be awarded, and advanced conceptual design will begin in FY 1995. Safety analysis and documentation will be developed and released to accompany the design requirements baseline developed by the BOA team, in support of request for KD-1. This analysis work will be performed by WHC forces. NEPA documentation will be accomplished as a part of the TWRS EIS, and subsequent ROD on the EIS. Permitting plans under the Clean Air Act will also be developed in FY 1995. For a specific and complete list of deliverables, see Section 7.5.1.6.

Specifically not authorized in the activity (and cost account) is the development of Programmatic Systems Engineering documentation. This project will be the prototype project and must interface with the rest of the program-specific systems engineering documentation. Interface and liaison activities from Project W-314 are part of the scope, but creating the program-specific documentation is not. Those activities are a part of the Technical Integration and Planning Activity.

Mechanical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.09)

The FY 1995 tasks to be performed by Mechanical Upgrades include the following:

1. Mechanical Upgrades (N3K95)
 - 241-A-701 compressed air system upgrades
Install, conduct testing, and turn over
 - 241-TX/TY modular compressed air system upgrade
Design, install, conduct testing, and turn over
 - 241-AP closed loop cooling system
Install, conduct acceptance testing, and turn over

- 242-S Liquid waste minimization
Install effluent elimination measures, conduct testing, and turn over
 - BX/BY compressor
Conduct operational testing and turn over
 - 241-T Compressor
Conduct operational testing and turn over
 - 241-U Compressor
Conduct operational testing and turn over
2. Long-Length Contaminated Equipment Disposal (N3K94)
- FY 1995--Develop waste generator characterization plan
 - FY 1995--Develop LLCE process path document
 - FY 1995--Prepare cold test facility
 - Component removal equipment modifications
FY 1995--Design, fabricate, and write operating procedures
 - LLCE Packaging Equipment (Containers)
FY 1995--Design, fabricate, develop Safety Analysis Report for packaging
 - LLCE Transport system
FY 1995--Procure and test
 - Evaluate Regulatory Alternatives
FY 1995--Treatment by generator
 - Assess and modify safety documents
FY 1995--Complete
3. Engineered Tank Farm Hardware (N3K97)
- Transfer pump development
FY 1995--Design, fabricate prototype, off-site Acceptance Test Procedure
 - Sponsor GOCO pump users technology exchange
 - Partner with Washington State University to develop the Adjustable Pump Intake Level Device (APILD)

4. Long-Length Waste Equipment Storage Facility (W-373)

In FY 1995, Upgrades program will provide capital work order funding to assist the Solid Waste Disposal program in the construction of a permitted greater-than-90-day storage pad. This pad will provide enough space for near-term storage needs of long-length contaminated equipment removed from waste tanks.

5. Long-Length Contaminated Equipment Empty Container Storage Facility (W-374)

In FY 1995, a fenced storage yard will be constructed to provide a regulated storage area for empty LLCE transport/storage containers, retrieval equipment, and transport equipment.

Ventilation Upgrades, W-030 (1.1.1.2.03.10)

FY 1995 work to be performed by this activity includes completing MICON (monitor and control) system logic diagrams and initiating software development.

A fixed-price construction contract will be awarded and construction initiated for a ventilation building, diesel generator building, control building, evaporative cooling towers, and four recirculation modules.

Construction will be initiated in AY/AZ Tank Farms to lay yard piping for the ventilation and recirculation system, complete the trench system for power and water, install inlet air stations on all four tanks, and set the recirculation modules on concrete pads. Utility and waste disposal support will be provided. A draft Final Safety Analysis Report will be completed and safety questions answered. Startup, ALARA, operational readiness review, and tie-in plans will be prepared; a records system established; and startup/operations procedures initiated. Engineering and inspection will be provided, including field support. Project management will provide integration for startup, scheduling/cost control, and quality assurance.

Cross-Site Transfer System, W-058 (1.1.1.2.03.11)

FY 1995 work to be performed by this activity includes environmental support and Notice of Construction reviews, as a part of permitting activities. Title II (detailed definitive) design, and its corresponding activities, software development, PSAR amendments, design reviews, and project management will be performed. EIS interface activities, construction contractor selection, and long-lead procurement will occur in preparation for construction and KD-3, which authorizes construction. KD-3 support activities occur in late FY 1995.

Aging Waste Transfer Line, W-028 (1.1.1.2.03.12)

Definitive design and supporting activities including PSAR Amendments will occur in FY 1995.

See Cross-Site Transfer System W-058 (1.1.1.2.03.11).

Facility Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.16)

Ongoing projects to be completed in FY 1995 include: Project W-322, Replace 242-S Substation; Project W-364, Upgrade 209-E Septic Tank System; Project W-280, Install Lighting System to Provide 5-foot Candle Light Within SY and C Tank Farms. The design work for these three GPPs will be completed in FY 1994.

Design and construction of Project W-414 will begin in FY 1995 to install a lighting system for BX and BY Tank Farms. The design work for Project W-415 will be completed in FY 1995 to install a lighting system for S and SX Tank Farms. Also to be completed in FY 1995 are the FY 1996 Candidate Project Support Sheets, FY 1997 Project Data Sheets, FY 1996 Functional Design Criteria and Conceptual Design Reports, and FY 1997 Engineering Studies.

Radiological Support Facility, W-188 (1.1.1.2.03.17)

N/A, see Program Strategy (Section 7.5.1.1.2).

7.5.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed

Technical Integration and Planning (1.1.1.2.03.01)

The activities in Section 7.5.1.3 are ongoing in subsequent fiscal years and will be continued and improved through lessons learned and productivity improvement. Additional deliverables which have been identified for FY 1996 for Systems Engineering are:

- Prepare a Technical Strategy for Life Extension
- Prepare a Technical Strategy for Configuration Management

Additional deliverables will be developed once the initial systems engineering effort is implemented and specific actions are identified to effect improved integration both within the program and within TWRS.

Configuration Management (1.1.1.2.03.02)

Field Verification

Work to be performed for the Field Verification segment of this activity in FY 1996 is to CADD convert, field verify, and release into the site-wide drawing archive system Essential and Support drawings identified in the *Tank Farm Essential Drawing Plan*, WHC-SD-WM-PC-002. Drawings are field verified in accordance with *Engineering Drawing Field Verification Program*, WHC-SD-WM-WP-072.

In FY 1997, this activity will conduct an assessment to determine the effectiveness of the program. The Field Verification activity had been tasked with CADD converting and field verifying tank farm Essential and Support drawings listed in WHC-SD-WM-PC-002.

CM Database

Work to be performed for the CM Database requirements segment of this activity in FY 1996 is to develop an action plan derived from the results and recommendations of the facilitated session in FY 1995.

In FY 1997, a working CM Database will be established based on the FY 1995 facilitated session recommendations and the action plan developed in FY 1996.

CM Implementation

Work to be performed for CM Implementation segment of this activity in FY 1996 is to issue a Structures, Systems and Components (SSC) List Supporting Document (SD) that:

- Meets the requirements of WHC-SD-WM-CM-008, *Configuration Management Plan for Waste Tank Farms and 242-A Evaporator of TWRS*.
- Meets the requirements of WHC-SD-WM-CM-009, *Design Reconstitution Program Plan for Waste Tank Farms and the 242-A Evaporator of Tank Waste Remediation System*.
- Provides a listing of the structures, systems and components (SSC).
- Grades the SSC list by applying the "Grading Criteria" developed in the supporting document from the FY 1995 Milestone Control Number N3C310707M to grade the SSCs.

The FY 1997 scope of work will be performed to the resource loaded schedule of the Implementation Configuration Management (CM) Action Plan developed under FY 1996 Milestone Control Number N3C312506M. This milestone will implement WHC-SD-WM-CM-008, *Configuration Management Plan for Waste Tanks and 242-A Evaporator of TWRS*.

Design Base Reconstitution Implementation

Work to be performed for the Design Base Reconstitution segment of this activity for FY 1996 is to issue revisions to the Implementation Action Plans for:

- The Design Base Reconstitution Program Implementation Action Plan developed from the FY 1995 Milestone Control Number N3C312503M.
- The CM Program Implementation Action Plan developed from the FY 1995 Milestone Control Number N3C310130M.
- Resolve any "To Be Determined" (TBD) actions.

The FY 1997 scope of work will be performed to the resource loaded schedule of the Implementation Design Reconstitution (DR) Implementation Action Plan developed under FY 1996 Milestone Control Number N3C312506M. This milestone will implement WHC-SD-WM-CM-009, *Design Reconstitution Program Plan for Waste Tank Farms and the 242-A Evaporator of Tank Waste Remediation System*.

Life Management (1.1.1.2.03.03)

Work to be performed during FY 1996 and FY 1997, will largely be a continuation of the work initiated in FY 1995. This activity can expect more work under the heading of Mitigation Upgrades and Retirement Strategy Development as more information regarding the existing condition of separate tank system components is discovered through examination and analysis.

Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility, W-236A (1.1.1.2.03.04)

Work to be performed during FY 1996-1997 will largely be a continuation of work initiated in FY 1994 and FY 1995:

- Continue project management
- Complete detailed design
- Continue Title III Design/Acceptance Inspection
- Continue procurement actions
- Continue construction activities
- Initiate construction contracts on:
 - 200 East/West jumper fabrication
 - 200 East support facility, tank farm completion, and weather enclosure

Instrumentation & Control/Electrical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.06)

Work to be performed during FY 1996 - FY 1997 includes:

- Resolve remaining TWRS electrical safety issues.
- Install instrument and alarm panel upgrades.
- Install new ENRAF level monitors on remaining tanks.
- Expand TMACS to the remaining tank farms.
- Automate data collection rounds in remaining farms.
- Install, conduct testing, and turn over the 101-SY Diesel Generator.
- Install, conduct testing, and turn over the T-complex alarm upgrade.
- Install, conduct testing, and turn over the 271-CR motor control center.

Tank Farm Restoration and Safe Operations MSA, Project W-314 (1.1.1.2.03.07)

Work to be performed includes completion of advanced conceptual design and as-building of selected drawings in FY 1996. Title I design will begin in FY 1996 and be completed in FY 1997, pending approval of KD-1. Title II design will begin in FY 1997, pending receipt of KD-2.

Mechanical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.09)

Work to be performed during FY 1996 and FY 1997 includes:

1. Mechanical Upgrades
 - East area steam line upgrades
FY 1997--Design starts
 - West area steam line upgrades
FY 1997--Design starts
 - East/West change trailer modifications
FY 1996--Complete modifications
2. Long-Length Contaminated Equipment (LLCE) Disposal
 - Component removal equipment modifications
FY 1996--Complete fabrication and operating procedures, test and turn over
 - Field cut-up equipment
FY 1997--Design
 - LLCE Packaging Equipment (Containers)
FY 1996--Complete fabrication and SARPs, test
 - LLCE Transport system
FY 1996--Complete testing
 - Evaluate Regulatory Alternatives
FY 1996--Additional alternatives
3. Engineered Tank Farm Hardware
 - Transfer pump development

FY 1996--Complete fabrication and ATP, perform on-site QTP, begin procurement of plant units

FY 1997--Procure plant units
 - Sponsor GOCO pump users technology exchange

Ventilation Upgrades, W-030 (1.1.1.2.03.10)

The MICON monitor and control system software will be completed in FY 1996. Revisions to the draft FSAR will continue through FY 1996, and in FY 1997, the final FSAR will be issued. In FY 1996, the new stacks and sources will be registered with the state regulators and a notice of startup provided.

Fixed-price construction will continue and be completed in FY 1996. Construction forces will continue construction activities and complete work in FY 1996, except final tie-ins which will continue through early FY 1997. Operational construction support (HPTs, operator, person-in-charge, planners) will continue through FY 1996 and be completed in early FY 1997.

Engineering and inspection will include field support during construction and completion of acceptance test procedure documents in FY 1996. In FY 1997, all documents and as-builts will be completed and turned over to operations.

Startup testing will be initiated in FY 1996 and completed in FY 1997. The operational test procedures, operational readiness reviews, maintenance and operating procedures, and tie-in plan will be completed in FY 1996. Operational tests will continue through FY 1996 and complete in FY-97. In FY 1997, the system will be turned over to operations, and the project will assist with training.

Project management will provide integration for startup, scheduling/cost control, and quality assurance.

Cross-Site Transfer System, W-058 (1.1.1.2.03.11)

Final safety analysis, engineering inspection, and construction will occur in the FY 1996 and 1997 time frame. Project management and other necessary supporting activities to construction will also occur.

Aging Waste Transfer Line, W-028 (1.1.1.2.03.12)

See Cross-Site Transfer System, W-058 (Section 1.1.1.2.03.11).

Facility Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.16)

Construction of Project W-415 to upgrade the S and SX Tank Farm lighting and design, and construction of T Farm lighting upgrade (Project TBD) are scheduled for FY 1996. Design of the A-complex lighting upgrade (Project TBD) will be completed in FY 1996. Design and construction of the Tank Farm Repackaging Facility at 209 E (Project W-416) and the Solid Waste Management Facility at 209 E (Project TBD) will take place in FY 1996.

Construction of A-complex lighting is scheduled for FY 1997, as well as, design and construction of TX and TY Farm lighting upgrade (Project TBD) and U Farm lighting upgrade (Project TBD). The design and construction of the East/West Central Control Facility Monitoring (Project TBD) and the Core Sampling and Storage Facility (Project TBD) is also scheduled for FY 1997.

Radiological Support Facility, W-188 (1.1.1.2.03.17)

N/A, see Program Strategy (Section 7.5.1.1.2).

7.5.1.5 Approach

Technical Integration and Planning (1.1.1.2.03.01)

The Upgrades Program is responsible for developing and implementing an approach for cost-effective upgrades and enhancements to the safe operation of the Tank Farms, pursuant to the store waste function (4.2.1.1) and the transfer waste function (4.2.1.4).

Prioritized upgrades will be performed for systems, equipment, and infrastructure in support of the Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance mission and needs. All upgrades are coordinated with the plant and are carried out on an integrated basis within TWRS for a safe waste storage condition until disposal.

Configuration Management (1.1.1.2.03.02)

The Tank Farm and Evaporator Configuration Management activities defined in this document including WHC-SD-WM-CM-008, *Configuration Management Plan for Waste Tank Farms and the 242-A Evaporator of TWRS*, comply with the WHC configuration management requirements established in WHC-CM-1-3, *Management Requirements Procedures*, MRP N.N, "Configuration Management" (Draft). In addition, the WHC Configuration Management program of WHC-CM-1-3 aligns with the criteria established in DOE-STD-1073-93, while complimenting the requirements of DOE 4700.1, *Project Management System*, DOE 5700.6C, *Quality Assurance*, RLIP-4700.1A, *Project Management System*, and RLID 5000.12, *Richland Field Office-Hanford Site Management System*.

The intrinsic purpose of configuration management is to provide programmatic assurance that facility documents support facility physical configuration for the life of the facility, increases productivity and efficiency, and reduces project, operating, and maintenance costs.

DOE-STD-1073-93 defines the configuration management program by the five basic program elements of program management, design requirements, document control, change control, and assessments; and the two adjunct recovery programs of design reconstitution and material condition and aging management. The CM model of five elements and two adjunct programs strengthens the necessary technical and administrative controls to establish and maintain a consistent technical relationship among the requirements, physical configuration, and documentation.

The configuration management activities that have been presented in this document endorse DOE-STD-1073-93. They have been tailored specifically to address the technical relationship of requirements, physical configuration, and documentation during the full life cycle of the Tank Farms and 242-A Evaporator of TWRS.

The configuration management activities thus presented are administrative in nature and may require the services of a CM consultant who is conversant with CM databases. Otherwise, the field verification of drawings, labeling, Master Equipment List, configuration management, and design reconstitution implementation plans are being done by WHC personnel.

The approach to be used in the preparation of procedure packages will be to use logic ties to the start of the project turnover. Start dates and resource requirements will then be managed to achieve the best available productivity. By tying the procedure preparation to the upgrade project logic, resources

will be focused and a team concept can be utilized to produce a specific product that can be tied to a critical path and managed accordingly. Productivity will also come about when procedures are prepared for similar types of equipment (compressors being upgraded at different tank farms) due to the inherent similarities and reduced learning curve that will be required to initially produce the procedures.

Life Management (1.1.1.2.03.03)

Life Management activities will be performed by technical experts and panels; contracted services for structural analysis, cathodic protection upgrade design and laboratory experiments; and through interfacing with Safety Analysis and Configuration Management.

Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility, W-236A (1.1.1.2.03.04)

WHC has established a Project Office to accomplish its work on the MWTF Project in an expeditious and cost-effective manner, with appropriate attention to quality and safety. The Project Office, in its capacity as the Integrating Contractor, is responsible for, and supports the RL Multi-Function Waste Remediation Facility (MRF) Project Office by supplying technical direction, cost and schedule management, control, integration, quality assurance, applied technology, safety/regulatory compliance support, and startup and operations activities. The Project Office manages and integrates the A/E, Technology, Construction Management, and WHC support organizations.

The work performed by each participant will be coordinated, guided, technically monitored, and reviewed for compliance to requirements by the Project Office as the Integrating Contractor. The Project Office will be responsible for preparing Letters of Instruction (LOI), or other appropriate documentation for the A/E, Construction Management, and other participants. This is further detailed in the *Project W-236A, Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility Management Plan*, WHC-SD-W236A-MP-001, Rev.0.

WHC, who will ultimately have responsibility for operation of the MWTF, has been assigned the responsibility for successfully managing the project within cost, schedule, and technical baselines. RL has a Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF) contract (#DE-AC-06-87RL10930) with WHC. ICF KH, the onsite Engineering/Construction Contractor (E/C), is the A/E and Construction Manager for the MWTF. RL has a Cost Plus Award Fee (CPAF) contract (#DE-AC-06-87RL10900) with ICF KH. ICF KH performs constructibility reviews to determine the most efficient and cost-effective method of packaging design into construction packages for subcontracting. To the maximum extent possible, subcontracts will be awarded on a fixed-price competitive bid basis. Small business, disadvantaged business, and labor surplus area concerns will be given appropriate consideration consistent with DOE policy.

Acquisition of certain project equipment will be initiated soon after the start of construction to ensure compliance with schedule baselines. These procurements include standard equipment and engineered equipment. Contracts for these items will be awarded by the E/C on the basis of competitive fixed-price bids whenever practicable.

Instrumentation & Control/Electrical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.06)

TWRS Engineering is the lead organization responsible for developing the technical strategy and assuring individual activities are implementing the strategy. Since the I&C and Electrical technical

work must integrate into the overall plan being developed by Project W-314, the engineering staff will remain closely cognizant of the MSA design and provide "lessons learned" feedback to the MSA organization.

Tank Farm Restoration and Safe Operations MSA, Project W-314 (1.1.1.2.03.07)

WHC Projects is the lead organization responsible for accomplishing this work, in accordance with the TWRS Draft Systems Engineering Work Plan. In accordance with the Project acquisition strategy, a team of off-site A/E personnel under a basic ordering agreement has been retained to develop the Project systems engineering documentation and the Design Requirements Baseline. Both WHC and ICF KH personnel will work closely with the A/E to prepare the baseline.

Advanced Conceptual Design, Title I, and Title II design will be performed by an off-site A/E to be selected through the competitive bid process. Again, WHC and ICF KH personnel will work closely with the A/E to assure the design is well managed, cost-effective, and technically adequate. Safety analysis support will be provided by WHC personnel. NEPA documentation will be provided by being included with the TWRS Environmental Impact Statement. As-building of selected drawings to support Title I and II design will be performed by a joint team of A/E and WHC personnel. For profiles of the staff totals and skills mixes, see Section 7.5.3.2.

Mechanical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.09)

Mechanical Upgrades is approaching the system upgrades by first writing ETPs to identify and negotiate agreement on cost, scope, schedule, responsibilities, and deliverables for an upgrade task. Next, design basis information is established since much of it is either non-existent or outdated due to after-construction system modifications. Designs for new installations are being developed both by WHC design engineers and by ICF KH. Installation is performed by ICF KH, and testing by a combination of Westinghouse and ICF KH personnel. Turn over to facility operations is accomplished through the "Acceptance for Beneficial Use" process.

Thorough up-front planning increases productivity by eliminating un-needed and redundant work, reducing errors, assuring that the product delivered is the product needed, and assuring optimal use of concurrent engineering.

Ventilation Upgrades, W-030 (1.1.1.2.03.10)

The organizational responsibilities and baseline controls for management of the project are contained in the Project Management Plan (PMP), WHC-SD-W030-PMP-030. Specific sections have been taken from the PMP to assist the reader of this work plan:

Designs provided by this project shall comply with ALARA principles and with current state, federal, and DOE regulations governing the monitoring, release, and disposal of liquid and gaseous effluents. The W-030 upgrades shall be designed and installed to provide maximum protection to construction and operating personnel while safely maintaining the AY and AZ Tank Farm operations. The technical basis for the work is the Functional Design Criteria (FDC), SD-600-FDC-001, and the Supplemental Definition of Requirements, WHC-SD-W030-RD-001. Approved drawings, specifications, and other design media developed and approved during definitive design supplement the FDC as controlling technical documents.

The following actions have been specifically taken to increase productivity, increase efficiency, and reduce costs:

- Combined the Project W-320 and this project's fixed-price work scope into one contract to accelerate the procurement schedule and reduce procurement and construction costs.
- Startup activities are centrally coordinated within WHC Construction Projects. Startup activities for this project will be coordinated with the central group. Utilizing the startup administrative procedures developed by Project W-320 will assist this project with its startup plans and reduce cost.

Principal project participants are the following:

<u>WBS Element</u>	<u>Method of performance</u>
1.2 Engineering/Inspection	ICF KH
3.1 Construction Forces	ICF KH CPAF
3.2 Fixed Price Construction	Fixed price contractors
3.3 Plant Forces Construction	WHC
4.0 Project Management	WHC

RL Tank Waste Projects and WHC Tank Farm Upgrades is apprised of any impacts to work costs or schedules that occur via monthly management review meetings. Operations support (HPTs, operator, PIC, planners) is being coordinated via WHC project and operations management. RL will be required to support operational readiness and assist with obtaining state regulator approval for startup.

For profiles of the staff totals and skill mixes, see Section 7.5.3.2.

Cross-Site Transfer System, W-058 (1.1.1.2.03.11)

Design is being performed by a team of WHC and ICF KH personnel, with construction being performed by an off-site contractor. Engineering inspection and site forces support activities will also be performed by WHC and ICF KH personnel.

Aging Waste Transfer Line, W-028 (1.1.1.2.03.12)

See Cross-Site Transfer System, W-058 (Section 1.1.1.2.03.11).

Facility Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.16)

WHC Projects is the lead organization responsible for accomplishing this work. The method of performance for each General Plant Project will be developed during the project planning phase. To the extent practical, construction and procurement will be accomplished by fixed-price contract, and subcontracts will be awarded on the basis of competitive bid.

For profiles of the staff totals and skills mixes, see Section 7.5.3.2.

Radiological Support Facility, W-188 (1.1.1.2.03.17)

N/A, see Program Strategy (Section 7.5.1.1.2).

7.5.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables

Technical Integration and Planning (1.1.1.2.03.01)

The drivers for this activity are centered around DOE Order 4700.1, RLIP 4700.1A, and the WHC Management Control System (MCS). The MCS calls for program and project management which fosters objectives, accountability, stewardship, visibility and performance assessment. Other drivers do drive the Upgrades program, but are not specific to this activity (i.e., Tri-Party Agreement, Safety Initiatives).

The deliverables for this activity were covered in the aforementioned Sections 7.5.1.3, FY 1995 Work to be Performed and 7.5.1.4, FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed.

Configuration Management (1.1.1.2.03.02)

The drivers for this activity are centered around WHC-SD-WM-CM-008, "Configuration Management Plan for Waste Tank Farms and the Evaporator of Tank Waste Remediation System." This CM plan complies with the WHC Configuration Management requirements established in WHC-CM-1-3, *Management Requirements and Procedures*, MRP N.N, "Configuration Management."

The following deliverables will be provided by the Configuration Management activity:

- FY 1995 Field Verification Activity
 - Complete Scope Planning
 - Complete Task Planning
 - Field Verify Minimum of 150 Essential/Support Drawings
- FY 1996 Field Verification Activity
 - Field Verify Minimum of 175 Essential/Support Drawings
 - Provide Letter of Completion to DOE-HQ
- FY 1997 Field Verification Activity
 - Program Assessment and Ongoing Support
 - Issue White Paper
- FY 1995 Configuration Management Database Activity
 - Conduct Facilitated Evaluation Session To Determine:
 - User Needs
 - CM Database Requirements
 - Data Set Requirements
 - Issue "Results" Supporting Document
- FY 1996 Configuration Management Database Activity
 - Issue CM Database "Action Plan"

- FY 1997 Configuration Management Database Activity
 - Develop CM Database
- FY 1995 Configuration Management/Design Reconstitution Implementation
 - Develop CM Implementation Action Plan
 - Develop CM Systems and Boundaries List
 - Develop CM and DR Grading Criteria
 - Review CM Implementation Action Plan
 - Issue CM Implementation Action Plan
 - Review CM Systems and Boundaries List
 - Issue CM Systems and Boundaries List
 - Review CM and DR Grading Criteria
 - Issue CM and DR Grading Criteria
 - Develop DR Implementation Action Plan
 - Review DR Implementation Action Plan
 - Issue DR Implementation Action Plan
 - Budget Planning for FY 1996, 1997, 1998
- FY 1996 Configuration Management/Design Reconstitution Implementation
 - Review Current SSC SD Documents
 - Identify Components To Add To SSC SD
 - Develop SSC SD or ECN Existing Systems-Structures Document
 - Issue SSC SD
 - Update/Revise CM and DR Implementation Action Plan
 - Review Updated/Revised CM and DR Implementation Action Plan
 - Issue Updated/Revised CM and DR Implementation Action Plan
 - Budget Planning for FY 1997, 1998, 1999
- FY 1997 Configuration Management/Design Reconstitution Implementation
 - Work CM Implementation Action Plan
 - Work DR Implementation Action Plan
 - Budget Planning for FY 1998, 1999, 2000

Procedures for upgrades equipment will be prepared using DOE Order 5480.19, *Conduct of Operations*, standards and have been identified by TWRS Plant Engineering as impacted by the upgrade project. The procedure packages will be deliverables which are finish-to-start logic tied to the start of the upgrade project turnover. No separate milestone will be developed; however, critical path analysis will be used to manage the delivery of the procedure packages to prevent impacting project turnover.

Life Management (1.1.1.2.03.03)

TWRS Systems Engineering and Tri-Party Agreement productivity improvement goals drive the Life Management activity.

This activity will provide the following deliverables:

- Corrosion monitoring and control systems
- Analyses of Record for each DST, support facility, and transfer system
- Useful life estimates

Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility, W-236A (1.1.1.2.03.04)

The following major schedule objectives are the primary drivers for the project:

<u>Activity</u>	<u>Start</u>	<u>Complete</u>
Preliminary Design (Title I)	January 1993	4th Qtr FY 1994
Detail Design (Title II)	2nd Qtr FY 1994	2nd Qtr FY 1996
Construction		
200 West Area	4th Qtr FY 1994	2nd Qtr FY 1997
200 East Area	3rd Qtr FY 1995	1st Qtr FY 1999
Operations Test		
200 West Area	2nd Qtr FY 1997	2nd Qtr FY 1998
200 East Area	1st Qtr FY 1999	1st Qtr FY 2000
Hot Startup		
200 West Area	2nd Qtr FY 1998	
200 East Area	1st Qtr FY 2000	

Instrumentation & Control/Electrical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.06)

Operational and safety considerations produce requirements which define the scope of the I&C and Electrical Upgrades. In addition, external oversight organizations have a need to review the continuing status of the farms. The data acquisition and information display systems supplied through the I&C/Electrical Upgrades activities provide consolidated information and analysis results to interested parties. As new instruments and additional monitoring or control requirements are developed, the I&C/Electrical Upgrades maintains an integrated structure to furnish needed information to requesting organizations.

Tank Farm Restoration and Safe Operations MSA, Project W-314 (1.1.1.2.03.07)

Refer to Table 7.5-2 for FY 1995 - 1997 Deliverables and Milestones for Project W-314.

Mechanical Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.09)

The majority of Mechanical Upgrades work is needed to resolve outstanding safety initiatives and Tri-Party Agreement milestones.

The exception to these drivers is the long-length contaminated equipment disposal initiative. This work will turn disposal of waste equipment removed from tanks from costly case-specific engineered tasks into standard operations. Cost savings are anticipated to be in excess of \$1 billion over the life of Tank Farms.

Ventilation Upgrades, W-030 (1.1.1.2.03.10)

Ventilation Upgrades work is being performed to meet Tri-Party Agreement milestones; eliminate discharges to the soil column; provide a longer reliable operational life; provide a ventilation treatment system that supports retrieval efforts; and provide compliance with current waste storage criteria.

The primary deliverable for this project is a fully operational ventilation system. This project will provide the following:

- A new primary ventilation treatment system. This will include a ventilation building designed to meet moderate hazard design basis accident conditions.
- A new recirculating cooling system for each of the four AY/AZ Farm DSTs.
- A distributed control system which will be controlled from a new control building.
- A new standby power system will be housed in a building designed to meet seismic and high wind design bases accident conditions.

For a complete list of deliverables, see Sections 7.5.1.4 and 7.5.1.5.

Cross-Site Transfer System, W-058 (1.1.1.2.03.11)

Project W-058 will provide the following deliverables:

FY-1995

- W-058 Complete Definitive Design (M-43-07-T-01)
- Transmit NOC to WA Department of Health
- Obtain Water and Steam Utilities Concurrence
- Award Transfer Pump Contract
- Issue Title II Design Report for Approval

FY-1996

- W-058 Start Construction (M-43-07A)
- Obtain KD-3
- Obtain NOC Approval
- Issue letter of award for fixed price construction contract

FY-1997

- W-058 Complete Construction (M-34-07B)
- Issue FSAR for approval

Aging Waste Transfer Line, W-028 (1.1.1.2.03.12)

Project W-028 will provide the following deliverables:

FY-1995

- Transmit NOC to WA Department of Health
- Obtain Water and Steam Utilities Concurrence
- Issue revised FDC
- Issue revised PSAR

FY-1996

- W-028 Start Construction (M-43-07A)
- Complete Definitive Design Activities
- Issue letter for fixed price construction contract

FY-1997

- W-028 Complete Construction (M-34-07B)
- Issue FSAR for approval

Facility Upgrades (1.1.1.2.03.16)

The drivers for Facility Upgrades are the urgent needs and priorities of Tank Farm Operations. No Tri-Party Agreement milestones are associated with this activity.

Radiological Support Facility, W-188 (1.1.1.2.03.17)

N/A, see Program Strategy (Section 7.5.1.1.2).

7.5.2 Schedule

7.5.2.1 Tank Farm Upgrades Summary Schedule

See Figure 7.5-2 for the Tank Farm Upgrades Summary Schedule.

The Upgrades program utilizes resource loaded, logic-driven schedules at the cost account level to determine monthly status and performance assessment for the program. Several work packages and tasks within the cost accounts are developed at a lower level of detail in order to monitor and control the detailed work being performed.

The Life Management program will be in place throughout the duration of the TWRS store waste and transfer waste functions. Development and implementation of life management documentation and corrective actions will be completed by the end of FY 1999 requiring only program review and maintenance activities from FY 2000 through completion of the TWRS store waste (Target 2029) and transfer waste (Target 2039) functions.

Project W-314 is awaiting approval of KD-0. The Tri-Party Agreement, by direction from RL, was negotiated without any slack or schedule contingency. This means that any slippage in either requesting or receiving KDs can place Tri-Party Agreement interim and target milestones in jeopardy. A feature of the re-negotiated Tri-Party Agreement is that target milestones which become critical path to accomplishing enforceable milestones, also become enforceable. As no schedule contingency was built into the negotiated dates, any early slippage, will place target milestones on critical path and elevate them to enforceable status. Therefore, it is critical that the WHC/RL/DOE-HQ team function in a timely manner to accomplish these milestones.

The key activities for the Cross-Site Transfer System W-058 and Aging Waste Transfer Line W-028 are definitive design, safety analysis, and EIS approval, which are prerequisites to KD-3 approval. Then prompt, timely KD-3 approval is required, along with procurement activities to begin construction.

7.5.2.2 Tank Farm Upgrades Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)

See Appendix C for the Tank Farm Upgrades Expanded Baseline.

The text to support the schedule and logic ties for FY 1995 - FY 1997 is contained in the supporting Cost Estimating Sheets (CESSs), Activity Planning Forms (APFs), and other supporting documents to this work plan.

Logic ties are reviewed within the program, within TWRS, and with all Hanford programs managed by the current Maintenance and Operations Contractor, WHC, to ensure proper logic ties to other programs on the Hanford Site.

7.5.3 Resource Requirements

7.5.3.1 Cost

Program costs are based largely on the cost of past program performance, labor, materials, and other costs associated with performing the work.

For more information on basis of estimates, see Appendix E. For more detailed basis of cost estimates, see backup TWRS Cost Estimate Sheets.

7.5.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimate

7.5.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

The budget for each fund type represents a program total for the Upgrades program and was developed using detailed Cost Estimating Sheets and Activity Planning Forms for each year, beginning in FY 1995 and through the life of the program, by fund type. The FY 1995 budgets are in more detail, as cost estimates in the near term are more detailed and precise than those used for estimates in out years.

Table 7.5-3 establishes the baseline cost for this program element. The baseline cost is exhibited in annual costs for FY 1994 through FY 2000, and 5-year totals for FY 2001 through program element completion. Where the data are available, these baseline costs are exhibited at the cost account level

with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. At present, the program element cost baseline is the program element cost totals exhibited on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.5.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.5-4, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

7.5.3.2 Staffing

7.5.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections

Technical Integration and Planning (1.1.1.2.03.01)

The staffing basis for the Upgrades program is derived from the schedules, logic, APFs, and cost estimates utilized in developing this Multi-Year Work Plan. These estimates and deliverables have been reviewed with the RL staff prior to submitting this work plan.

7.5.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalent by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.5-5, which establishes staffing projections for this program element. Included are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1994 through FY 2000. The projections include all direct and indirect FTEs, including all subcontractor personnel. Where data is available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

7.5.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.5-6, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.5.3.3 FY 1994 Work Scope Carryover

Some activities which were planned in FY 1994 were not completed on schedule and will slip into FY 1995. These activities and the related milestones are reflected in the schedule and are planned for completion in FY 1995. Specific activities and milestones are provided in Appendix C and Appendix D.

The following FY 1994 work scope for Project W-030 has been delayed and will be carried over into FY 1995:

- Completion of the MICON monitoring and control system logic diagrams was delayed until December 1994 due to a late start. The logic diagrams started three months late. The engineering resources needed to start the logic diagrams were allocated to the definitive design effort. Since these diagrams would not affect the overall schedule, this was an acceptable decision and allowed the project team to concentrate on completing definitive design, meeting a Tri-Party Agreement milestone.

7.5.4 Waste Type Data

TWRS Waste Type Data are provided in Appendix H.

7.5.5 Revisions

A change request will be prepared to remove scope from Project W-314 and transfer that scope and associated funding to the Retrieval program. That scope is the portion of the former sub-project W-314c, Waste Transfer Systems, which supplies waste receiver facilities and transfer lines in direct support of waste retrieval. The portion of the former sub-project W-314c which supports the store waste (4.2.1.1) or transfer waste (4.2.1.4) functions from the TWRS Functions and Requirements DOE/RL-92-60, will remain a part of the W-314 scope.

This realignment of work scope will more closely link the transfer lines with the effort they support, and will allow clearer funding and scheduling linkage.

The I&C/Electrical Upgrades for FY 1995 through FY 1997 were scoped in the previous years' baseline budget. Future changes will add planning to move the TMACS data acquisition communication lines to a network compatible with the Project W-314 data network.

In future updates of the MYWP, this section will include a summary of the revision that has occurred in this program element since the last issue of the MYWP.

Figure 7.5-1. Tank Farm Upgrades Work Breakdown Structure (2 sheets).

TANK FARM UPGRADES (PAGE 1 OF 2)
WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE

F7.5-1

Figure 7.5-1. Tank Farm Upgrades Work Breakdown Structure (2 sheets).

TANK FARM UPGRADES (PAGE 2 OF 2) WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE

F7.5-2

F7.5-3

Work Breakdown Structure						
Activity	Cost Account	TWRS Engineering, LK Severud				
		Waste Tanks Upgrades Support Manager, CW Dunbar				
		Corrosion Engineering, PC Chl	Waste Tanks Upgrades Sup, E Biebesheimer	Configuration Management, T Moleff	Special Projects, CA Hanson	Upgrade Project Definition, JL Hor
Technical Integration & Planning	Technical Integration & Planning					
Configuration Management	Configuration Management			X		
	Procedure Upgrades		X			
Life Management	DST Qualification Life Extension	X				
MWTF	MWTF, Project W-236a					
Instrumentation & Control/Electrical Upgrades	Instrument/Electrical Upgrades		X			
	Site Electrical Assessments					
	In Tank Instrumentation					

Table 7.5-1. TWRS Organizational Breakdown (4 sheets)

		Construction Projects. R. A. Smith				TWRS Program Office. RD Wojtasek	
on.	Waste Tanks Design Engineering Manager. RS Poofelarczyk		MTF. RL Fritz	Tank Waste Projects. MA Cahill			Upgrades Program. JD Thomson
	Electrical Systems. CH Towne	Instrumentation & Control. CP Schroeder		Tank Farm Projects. WW Rutherford	Waste Transfer Projects. GL Parsons		General Support Projects. JB Witt
					GL Parsons	KA Colossi	Technical Integration & Planning. EC Ladd
							X
			X				
	X						
		X					

Work Breakdown Structure						
Activity	Cost Account	TwRS Engineering. LK Severud				
		Waste Tanks Upgrades Support Manager. CW Dunbar				
		Corrosion Engineering. PC Chl	Waste Tanks Upgrades Sup. E Biebesheimer	Configuration Management. T Moleff	Special Projects. CA Hanson	Up Pr De JL
	Electrical/I&C GPPs					
TF Restoration & Safe Operations MSA	W-314					
Mechanical Upgrades	W-373 LLCESF					
	W-374 LLCEECF					
	Mechanical Upgrades		X			
	Long Length Contam. Equip. Disposal				X	
	Engineered Tank Farm Hardware				X	
	Mechanical GPPs					

Table 7.5-1. TWRS Organizational Breakdown (4 sheets)

			Construction Projects. R. A. Smith				TWRS Program Office. RD Wojtasek	
	Waste Tanks Design Engineering Manager. RS Podielarczyk		M&TF. RL Fritz	Tank Waste Projects. MA Cahill			Upgrades Program. JD Thomson	
	Electrical Systems. CH Towne	Instrumentation & Control. CP Schroeder		Tank Farm Projects. WW Rutherford	Waste Transfer Projects. GL Parsons		General Support Projects. JB Witt	Technical Integration & Planning. EC Ladd
					GL Parsons	KA Colossi		
							X	
				X				
							X	
							X	
							X	

Work Breakdown Structure						
Activity	Cost Account	TWRS Engineering, LK Severud				
		Waste Tanks Upgrades Support Manager. CW Dunbar				
		Corrosion Engineering. PC Ohl	Waste Tanks Upgrades Sup. E Biebesheimer	Configuration Management. T Moleff	Special Projects. CA Hanson	Upgr Proj Defi JL H
	W-230 SST Ventilation					
Ventilation Upgrades	W-030					
Cross Site Transfer System	W-058					
Aging Waste Transfer System	W-028					
Facility Upgrades	W-322 242-S Substation					
	W-364 209E Septic					
	W-280 Lighting					
	Facility GPPs					

Table 7.5-1. TWRS Organizational Breakdown (4 sheets)

			Construction Projects. R. A. Smith				TWRS Program Office. RD Wojtasek	
	Waste Tanks Design Engineering Manager. RS Popielarczyk		MWTF. RL Fritz	Tank Waste Projects. MA Cahill			Upgrades Program. JD Thomson	
	Electrical Systems. CM Towne	Instrumentation & Control. CP Schroeder		Tank Farm Projects. WW Rutherford	Waste Transfer Projects. GL Parsons		General Support Projects. JB Witt	Technical Integration & Planning. EC Ladd
					GL Parsons	KA Colossi		
						X		
					X			
					X			
							X	
							X	
							X	
							X	

Work Breakdown Structure						
Activity	Cost Account					
		TWRS Engineering, LK Severud				
		Waste Tanks Upgrades Support Manager, CW Dunbar				
		Corrosion Engineering, PC Onl	Waste Tanks Upgrades Sup. E Biebesheimer	Configuration Management, T Moleff	Special Projects, CA Hanson	Upg Pro Def JL
	W-226 Central Maint. Facility					
	Rad Zone Lighting GPPs					
Radiological Support Facility	W-188					

Table 7.5-1. TWRS Organizational Breakdown (4 sheets)

			Construction Projects. R. A. Smith				TWRS Program Office. RD Wojtasek	
	Waste Tanks Design Engineering Manager. RS Popielarczyk		MTF. RL Fritz	Tank Waste Projects. MA Cahill			Upgrades Program. JD Thomson	
es t tion. an	Electrical Systems. CM Towne	Instrumentation & Control. CP Schroeder		Tank Farm Projects. WW Rutherford	Waste Transfer Projects. GL Parsons		General Support Projects. JB Witt	Technical Integration & Planning. EC Ladd
					GL Parsons	KA Colossi		
X								
							X	
				X				

Table 7.5-2. FY 1995 - 1997 Deliverables and Milestones for Project W-314 (4 sheets)

DUE DATE	DESCRIPTION	DELIVERABLE	MILESTONE	MAX. COST	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA
5/31/95	W-314D CDR complete (M-43-06A)	x	x	---	TPA change request eliminating this m/s is pending
5/30/95	Complete W-314 Permitting Plan	x		\$15K	WHC approval of plan documenting permitting actions req'd to support W-314 construction and startup
5/31/95	Issue W-314 QAPP to RL for approval	x	x	\$10K	WHC approved QAPP formally submitted to RL for approval
2/15/95	Approve W-314 Tank Farm Prioritization Study	x		\$85K	WHC approval of plan documenting the criteria and analysis used to determine W-314 construction sequence
1/31/95	Issue W-314 Hazard Classification	x		\$84K	WHC approval of Hazard Classification document for W-314 upgrades
3/24/95	Issue W-314 Preliminary Safety Equipment List (PSEL)	x		\$60K	WHC approval of W-314 PSEL document
4/30/95	Issue W-314 Preliminary Safety Evaluation (PSE)	x	x	\$239K	WHC approval of completed PSE for W-314 upgrades
9/30/95	Issue W-314 Construction Plan/Schedule	x		\$107K	WHC approval of W-314 construction plan and schedule

T7.5-2.1

Table 7.5-2. FY 1995 - 1997 Deliverables and Milestones for Project W-314 (4 sheets)

DUE DATE	DESCRIPTION	DELIVERABLE	MILESTONE	MAX. COST	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA
5/31/95	Issue Final W-314 Project Plan to RL	x	x	\$18K	WHC approved Project Plan, prepared in accordance with DOE 4700.1, submitted to RL for approval
7/31/95	Issue W-314 Project Management Plan to RL	x	x	\$18K	WHC approved Project Management Plan, prepared in accordance with DOE 4700.1, submitted to RL for approval
9/1/95	Approve/issue A-E/CM Contract for W-314 ACD and Title I Design	x	x	\$312K	Final approval of W-314 A-E/CM contract by all required signatories
6/30/95	Complete W-314 DRB	x	x	\$6111K	RL approval of the W-314 DRB documentation, including incorporation of DRR comments
6/30/95	Receive DOE-HQ Project Validation		x	N/A	Receive formal notification from DOE-HQ of validation approval
1/31/96	Start Definitive Design for W-314A (M-43-04-T06)		x	N/A	Authorize A-E/CM contractor to begin W-314 Title I design work
12/31/95	Issue W-314 Advanced Conceptual Design Report	x		\$4194K	WHC approval of A-E/CM's ACDR
12/31/95	Issue W-314 Title I Design Plan	x		Included in ACDR	WHC approval of A-E/CM's Title I design plan and schedule documentation

Table 7.5-2. FY 1995 - 1997 Deliverables and Milestones for Project W-314 (4 sheets)

DUE DATE	DESCRIPTION	DELIVERABLE	MILESTONE	MAX. COST	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA
6/30/95	Receive DOE-HQ Validation W-314B (M-43-02-T06)		x	---	TPA change request modifying this m/s to include validation for all of W-314 is pending
5/31/95	Provide WDOE CDR W-314B (M-43-02A)	x	x	---	TPA change request eliminating this m/s is pending
5/31/95	W-314A CDR Complete (M-43-04-T04)	x	x	---	TPA change request modifying this m/s (change CDR to DRB, and consolidate all W-314 conceptual design) is pending
5/31/95	Provide W-314A CDR (M-43-04A)	x	x	---	TPA change request modifying this m/s (change CDR to DRB, and consolidate all W-314 conceptual design) is pending
4/30/95	Receive HQ Project Validation to request funds (M-43-05-T06)		x	---	TPA change request eliminating this m/s is pending
5/31/95	W-314C CDR Complete (M-43-05A)	x	x	---	TPA change request eliminating this m/s is pending
6/30/95	Receive HQ validation to request funds W-314D (M-43-06-T06)		x	---	TPA change request eliminating this m/s is pending

Table 7.5-2. FY 1995 - 1997 Deliverables and Milestones for Project W-314 (4 sheets)

DUE DATE	DESCRIPTION	DELIVERABLE	MILESTONE	MAX. COST	ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA
9/30/96	Issue draft W-314 Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (PSAR)	x		\$2400K	Issue draft W-314 PSAR for WHC review
1/31/97	Start Definitive Design for W-314B (M-43-02-T07)		x	N/A	TPA change request eliminating this m/s is pending
1/31/97	Complete W-314 Title I Design	x	x	\$13,703K	WHC approval of W-314 Title I Design documentation
2/1/97	Start W-314 Title II Design		x	N/A	Authorize A-E/CM contractor to begin W-314 Title II design work
6/30/97	Issue Final W-314 PSAR	x	x	\$2132K	WHC approval of final W-314 PSAR documentation

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.03 Level IV	<u>Tank Farm Upgrades</u> ADS 1120-0	OE C/E GPP LI	38,347 5,155 2,000 49,360 -----	37,962 7,277 5,596 103,704 -----	28,279 4,093 6,527 147,231 -----	28,259 6,482 6,822 169,089 -----	30,463 5,927 6,822 214,887 -----	38,484 5,377 6,822 233,663 -----	27,018 4,206 6,822 209,210 -----
	TOTAL TARGET		94,862	154,539	186,130	210,652	258,099	284,346	247,256
	<i>Delta to TARGET.</i> <i>(See notes at end of Table.)</i>	OE C/E GPP LI	NA	(7) 0 (980) 20,038 =====	286 (33) 22 1,900 =====	134 32 119 (7,254) =====			
	Total			19,051	2,175	(6,969)			
1.1.1.2.03.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1120-0-OC	OE C/E	NA	2,244 0 -----	1,711 0 -----	3,094 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Sub Total			2,244	1,711	3,094	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03.01.01 Level VI	Technical Integration and Planning	OE C/E		2,244 0 -----	1,711 0 -----	3,094 0 -----	0 -----	0 -----	0 -----
	Total			2,244	1,711	3,094	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03.02 Level VI	<u>Configuration Management</u> ADS 1120-0-OC	OE C/E	NA	3,364 0 -----	3,212 0 -----	8,237 0 -----	0 -----	0 -----	0 -----
	Sub Total			3,364	3,212	8,237	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03.02.01 Level VI	Configuration Management	OE C/E		2,325 0 -----	3,002 0 -----	8,058 0 -----	0 -----	0 -----	0 -----
	Total			2,325	3,002	8,058	0	0	0

T7.5-3.1

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.03.02.02 Level VI	Tank Farm Procedure Upgrades	OE C/E		1,039 0 ----- 1,039	210 0 ----- 210	179 0 ----- 179	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.03.03 Level VI	<u>Life Management</u> ADS 1120-0-OR	OE C/E	NA	1,000 0 ----- 1,000	1,016 0 ----- 1,016	1,143 0 ----- 1,143	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.03.03.01 Level VI	Dbl. Shell Tank (DST) Qualif. Life Ext.	OE C/E		1,000 0 ----- 1,000	1,016 0 ----- 1,016	1,143 0 ----- 1,143	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.03.04 Level VI	<u>W236A Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility</u> ADS 1280-0-AA	OE C/E	NA	969 68,009 ----- 68,978	890 97,820 ----- 98,710	840 93,890 ----- 94,730	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.03.04.01 Level VI	W236A Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility	OE LI		969 68,009 ----- 68,978	890 97,820 ----- 98,710	840 93,890 ----- 94,730	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.03.06 Level VI	<u>Instr. & Control Elect. Upgrades</u> ADS 1120-0-OA	OE C/E	NA	6,567 3,063 ----- 9,630	6,246 2,634 ----- 8,880	6,779 4,150 ----- 10,929	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.03.06.01 Level VI	Instr. and Elect. Upgrades	OE C/E		1,874 0 ----- 1,874	1,278 0 ----- 1,278	1,226 0 ----- 1,226	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								

T7.5-3.2

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.03.06.02 Level VI	Site Electrical Assessment	OE C/E		1,598 0 ----- 1,598	2,584 0 ----- 2,584	2,020 0 ----- 2,020	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.03.06.03 Level VI	In-Tank Instrumentation	OE C/E		3,095 3,063 ----- 6,158	2,384 2,634 ----- 5,018	3,533 4,150 ----- 7,683	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.03.06.05 Level VI	Electrical / I&C GPP's	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.03.07 Level VI	<u>W314 Tank Farm</u> <u>Rest. / Safe Operations</u> ADS 1120-6-AA	OE LI	NA	12,417 0 ----- 12,417	5,398 23,125 ----- 28,523	1,042 63,779 ----- 64,821	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.03.07.01 Level VI	W314 Tank Farm Rest. / Safe Operations	OE LI		12,417 0 ----- 12,417	5,398 23,125 ----- 28,523	1,042 63,779 ----- 64,821	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								

T7.5-3.3

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.03.09 Level VI	<u>Mechanical Upgrades</u> ADS 1120-6-OA	OE C/E GPP	NA	7,107 4,214 980 ----- 11,321	3,905 1,492 0 ----- 5,397	3,607 2,300 0 ----- 5,907	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.01 Level VI	W372 LL. Contr. Equip. / T Plant	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.02 Level VI	W372 LL. Contr. Equip. Storage	OE C/E GPP		0 0 490 ----- 490	0 0 0 ----- 0	0 0 0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.03 Level VI	W-375 LL. Contr Equip / Mockup	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.04 Level VI	W-374 LL. Contr Equip Empty Container	OE C/E GPP		0 0 490 ----- 490	0 0 0 ----- 0	0 0 0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.05 Level VI	Mechanical Upgrades	OE C/E		3,599 69 ----- 3,668	627 0 ----- 627	1,153 0 ----- 1,153	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.5-3.4

WHE-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.03.09.07 Level VI	Long Length Control Equip. Disposal	OE C/E		3,200 2,590 ----- 5,790	2,469 0 ----- 2,469	934 1,006 ----- 1,940	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.08 Level VI	Engin. Tank Farm Hardware	OE C/E		308 1,555 ----- 1,863	809 1,492 ----- 2,301	383 1,294 ----- 1,677	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.10 Level VI	W-230 SST Ventilation	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	1,137 0 ----- 1,137	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.10 Level VI	<u>W030 Ventilation Upgrades</u> ADS 1120-2-OG	OE C/E	NA	1,954 0 ----- 1,954	1,950 0 ----- 1,950	594 0 ----- 594	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.10.01 Level VI	W-030 Ventilation Upgrades	OE C/E		1,954 0 ----- 1,954	1,950 0 ----- 1,950	594 0 ----- 594	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.11 Level VI	<u>W058 Xsite Transfer System</u> ADS 1120-4-OH	OE LI	NA	841 14,843 ----- 15,684	1,023 19,388 ----- 20,411	540 13,168 ----- 13,708	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.11.01 Level VI	W-058 Xsite Transfer System	OE LI		841 14,843 ----- 15,684	1,023 19,388 ----- 20,411	540 13,168 ----- 13,708	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0

T7.5-3.5

W-HC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.03.12 Level VI	<u>W028 Aging Waste</u> <u>Xfer Lines</u> ADS 1120-7-OM	OE LI	NA	502 814 ----- 1,316	687 4,998 ----- 5,685	424 3,706 ----- 4,130	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.12.01 Level VI	W028 Aging Waste Xfer Lines Total	OE LI		502 814 ----- 1,316	687 4,998 ----- 5,685	424 3,706 ----- 4,130	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.16 Level V	<u>Facility Upgrades</u> ADS 1120-0-OA	OE C/E GPP	NA	989 0 4,616 ----- 5,605	1,886 0 6,505 ----- 8,391	1,801 0 6,703 ----- 8,504	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.16.02 Level VI	W-322 242-S Sub Station Upgrade Total	OE C/E		989 0 ----- 989	1,886 0 ----- 1,886	1,801 0 ----- 1,801	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.16.03 Level VI	W364 209E Septic Tank Upgrade Total	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.16.04 Level VI	W-280 SY&C Tank Farm Lighting Total	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.5-3.6

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.03.16.05 Level VI	Facility Upgrades (GPP's)	OE C/E GPP		0 0 4,616 ----- 0	0 0 6,505 ----- 0	0 0 6,703 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.16.06 Level VI	W-226 Central Maint. Facility Upgrade	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.16.07 Level VI	Radiation Zone Lighting	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.17 Level V	<u>W188 Radiological Support Facility</u> ADS 1120-1-OJ	OE C/E	NA	15 0 ----- 15	69 0 ----- 69	24 1,800 ----- 1,824	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.01.17.01 Level VI	W188 Radiological Support Facility	OE LI		15 0 ----- 15	69 0 ----- 69	24 1,800 ----- 1,824	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.5-3.7

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.03 Level IV	<u>Tank Farm Upgrades</u> ADS 1120-0	OE C/E GPP LI	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
	GRAND TOTAL		455,995	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Delta Required to Balance to Target Budget.</i> <i>Total</i>	OE C/E GPP LI								
1.1.1.2.03.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1120-0-OC	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03.01.01 Level VI	Technical Integration and Planning	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03.02 Level VI	<u>Configuration Management</u> ADS 1120-0-OC	OE C/E								
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03.02.01 Level VI	Configuration Management	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.5-3.8

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.03.02.02 Level VI	Tank Farm Procedure Upgrades	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03.03 Level VI	<u>Life Management</u> ADS 1120-0-OR	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03.03.01 Level VI	Dbl. Shell Tank (DST) Qualif. Life Ext.	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03.04 Level VI	<u>W236A Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility</u> ADS 1280-0-AA	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03.04.01 Level VI	W236A Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility	OE LI	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03.06 Level VI	<u>Instr. & Control Elect. Upgrades</u> ADS 1120-0-OA	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.03.06.01 Level VI	Instr. and Elect. Upgrades	OE C/E	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.5-3.9

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.03.06.02 Level VI	Site Electrical Assessment	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.06.03 Level VI	In-Tank Instrumentation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.06.05 Level VI	Electrical / I&C GPP's	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.07 Level VI	<u>W314 Tank Farm</u> <u>Rest. / Safe Operations</u> ADS 1120-6-AA	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.07.01 Level VI	W314 Tank Farm Rest. / Safe Operations	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.5-3.10

WHE-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.03.09 Level VI	<u>Mechanical Upgrades</u> ADS 1120-6-OA	OE C/E GPP	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.01 Level VI	W372 LL. Contr. Equip. / T Plant	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.02 Level VI	W372 LL. Contr. Equip. Storage	OE C/E GPP	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.03 Level VI	W-375 LL. Contr Equip / Mockup	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.04 Level VI	W-374 LL. Contr Equip Empty Container	OE C/E GPP	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.05 Level VI	Mechanical Upgrades	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.5-3.11

WAG-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.03.09.07 Level VI	Long Length Control Equip. Disposal	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.08 Level VI	Engin. Tank Farm Hardware	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.09.10 Level VI	W-230 SST Ventilation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.10 Level VI	<u>W030 Ventilation Upgrades</u> ADS 1120-2-OG	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.10.01 Level VI	W-030 Ventilation Upgrades	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.11 Level VI	<u>W058 Xsite Transfer System</u> ADS 1120-4-OH	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.11.01 Level VI	W-058 Xsite Transfer System	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.5-3.12

W4C-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.03.12 Level VI	<u>W028 Aging Waste</u> <u>Xfer Lines</u> ADS 1120-7-OM	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.12.01 Level VI	W028 Aging Waste Xfer Lines	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.16 Level V	<u>Facility Upgrades</u> ADS 1120-0-OA	OE C/E GPP	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.16.02 Level VI	W-322 242-S Sub Station Upgrade	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.16.03 Level VI	W364 209E Septic Tank Upgrade	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.16.04 Level VI	W-280 SY&C Tank Farm Lighting	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.5-3.13

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.5-3

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.03.16.05 Level VI	Facility Upgrades (GPP's)	OE C/E GPP	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.16.06 Level VI	W-226 Central Maint. Facility Upgrade	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.16.07 Level VI	Radiation Zone Lighting	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.03.17 Level V	<u>W188 Radiological</u> <u>Support Facility</u> ADS 1120-1-OJ	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.01.17.01 Level VI	W188 Radiological Support Facility	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.5-3.14

WHC-SF-1101

NOTES**TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT COST BASELINE - BY YEAR**

The cost exhibit tables in each of the Program Element Baselines (Sections 7.2 through 7.10) identify the program element target funding by year by fund type. The tables also provide details of the baseline allocation of funding down to activity levels (level V) and cost account levels (level VI) of the WBS for Fiscal Year 1995 through 1997. The details exhibited on these tables are taken from the baseline WBS Dictionary Sheets. Deltas exist between the details and the annual target totals. For Fiscal Years 1996 and 1997 the deltas will be addressed in the future, following the completion of the resolution of expected differences between current Fiscal Year 1995 baseline funding and expected actual Fiscal Year 1995 funding. Deltas for Fiscal Year 1995 are noted below by program element:

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION:

No deltas.

TANK FARM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE:

No overall delta. This baseline requires revised fund type mix.

WASTE TANK SAFETY:

Delta is due to need to revise an overhead pricing rate in the P3 system.

TANK FARM UPGRADES:

Delta is due to differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (B0) in the P3 system.

CHARACTERIZATION:

No significant deltas.

WASTE RETRIEVAL:

Delta is primarily due to need to resolve issues associated with expense funding support to capital projects and differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (B0) in the P3 system.

WASTE PRETREATMENT:

Delta is due to the sum of the detail cost estimates being lower than the target total as a result of reestimation of resource requirements to complete baseline scope.

LOW LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is primarily due to carryover expense funded workscope on melter testing addressed in June 9, 1994 RL letter.

T7.5-3.15

HIGH LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is due to carryover of capital funding, PNL expense funding carryover, and ADS corrections submitted but not reflected in target totals.

T7.5-3.16

Table 7.5.4. FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.5-4, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

**Table 7.5-5. Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant
(Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)**

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.5-5, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

**Table 7.5-6. FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company
Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories**

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.5-6, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.6 CHARACTERIZATION BASELINE

The Characterization Program Element baseline consists of the technical bases, schedule, resource requirements, and waste type data for FY 1995 through completion of the mission. The major emphasis is on deliverables, schedule, and resource requirements for FY 1995, 1996, and 1997.

7.6.1 Technical Bases

This section provides the mission strategy; work breakdown structure and organization responsibility; work to be performed in FY 1995, 1996 and 1997; technical approach; and drivers and deliverables.

7.6.1.1 Mission Strategy

7.6.1.1.1 Objectives

The Characterization Program's overall objective is to provide, in a timely and cost-effective manner, required characterization data of appropriate quality to TWRS program elements responsible for tank farm process control; tank waste safety issue resolution; and waste treatment, storage, and disposal decisions.

7.6.1.1.2 Strategy

The strategy for meeting the Program objectives includes the following. The Data Quality Objectives (DQO) process, as defined by the Environmental Protection Agency, will be utilized to define requirements of characterization data users. Historical processing and analytical data will be used to meet as much of the data requests as possible. Sampling and analytical laboratory capabilities, including use of offsite laboratories, will be increased to supplement historical data. Priority will be given to sampling for safety issue closure and ensuring safe operations in the near term. Tank sampling will be scheduled consistent with systems engineering and planning for all TWRS program elements (e.g., Waste Retrieval, Waste Pretreatment, and Waste Tank Safety).

7.6.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements

Systems engineering is being applied to the TWRS Program. This is a structured process to identify and implement a complete, traceable solution to a mission need for complex programs or projects.

Characterize Waste (4.2.1.2) is the function that is the Characterization Program's contribution to completing the TWRS Mission. It involves providing physical, chemical, and radiological characterization information of material stored in waste tanks at TWRS in support of process control; safety issue resolution; treatment, storage, and disposal decisions; or other TWRS needs. Tank waste characterization activities include historical data review and evaluation, determination of what supplemental data are needed to meet DQOs, sample acquisition and transfer to laboratories, analysis of samples, in situ measurements, and data management in a centrally accessible database.

The Characterize Waste function is divided into the following subfunctions:

- 4.2.1.2.1, Perform DQO Activities
- 4.2.1.2.2, Prepare Analysis Plans
- 4.2.1.2.3, Acquire Physical Samples for Analysis
- 4.2.1.2.4, Analyze Samples
- 4.2.1.2.5, Conduct In Situ Analysis
- 4.2.1.2.6, Manage Data
- 4.2.1.2.7, Evaluate Generator Knowledge and Prepare Tank Characterization Reports.

7.6.1.1.4 Risks

Access to the tanks to take samples that best represent the tank's content is a potential impedance to timely characterization of wastes.

7.6.1.1.5 Major Decisions

The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) recognized the importance of obtaining accurate tank waste physical and chemical properties information to resolve safety issues with tank waste storage. A methodology for obtaining tank waste characterization information was evaluated during negotiations of the *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (Tri-Party Agreement). Stakeholder groups, Washington State Department of Ecology, the Yakama Indian Nation, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) all participated in the formulation and review of proposed revisions to the Tri-Party Agreement. The alternative selected for obtaining tank waste characterization information is, where appropriate, to utilize historical information, obtain and analyze samples of tank waste, and analyze tank waste in situ to obtain necessary information. In response to DNFSB concerns, DOE-Richland Operations Office (RL) developed a plan for obtaining the necessary tank waste physical and chemical properties information (DOE/RL-94-0001).

7.6.1.1.6 Assumptions

1. Tank safety concerns will not prevent sampling and characterization. No unforeseen safety concerns arise requiring pause and/or standdown of field sampling or laboratory operation.
2. Two additional push/rotary mode core sampling systems will be deployed in FY 1995.
3. A consistent level of quality and content will be achieved within the DQOs addressing safety, operations, and disposal issues.
4. An adequate number of tank access spots (risers) will be available to meet requirements in DQOs.
5. Historical information on tank waste contents will provide information on the key analyses and parameters required for disposal programs.
6. Availability of operations crews and laboratory staff is a crucial element. Once all new operations crews are qualified/certified there will be enough crews available to perform all sampling activities. Laboratory capabilities are being expanded by adding hot cells, personnel, and offsite (Idaho National Engineering Laboratory [INEL]) capability.

7. It will be possible to verify historical information in order to determine its utility.

7.6.1.1.7 Constraints

The scope of the Characterization Program is limited to sampling and characterization of wastes located within underground storage tanks under control of TWRS and is defined by specified needs documented in DQOs.

Certain physical properties change during the sampling process. If these properties need to be measured as they currently exist in the tanks, in-situ measurement methods must be developed.

Tank sampling is currently restricted to locations directly beneath risers and the number of risers that can be used are very limited in most single-shell tanks (SSTs). Access to additional locations will require development of new sampling technology or addition of new risers.

Sample size is currently limited, which may restrict analytical protocols.

7.6.1.2 Structure

7.6.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure

The Characterization program element is part of the Manage Tank Waste WBS Level III and consists of the following Level V elements: Technical Integration and Planning, Technology Development and Applied Engineering, Field Sampling and Measurement, Analytical Integration, and Data Evaluation and Reporting. A further breakdown to the levels required to manage discrete tasks is shown in Figure 7.6-1. The Characterization Program WBS Dictionary is found in Appendix A.

7.6.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility

The Characterization Program is responsible for managing the tank waste characterization efforts necessary to support safe operations, storage, and disposal of tank wastes. The Characterization Program provides leadership to establish technical bases, identify field sampling needs, evaluate data, recommend actions, provide laboratory analysis guidance, and manage technical activities associated with tank waste characterization, all of which are needed to implement the TWRS Program Mission.

Specific responsibilities of the Characterization Program include the following.

- Ensure the capture, integration, and reporting of known tank waste information, including process history (e.g., transfer records, historical assays); field data taken specifically for characterization; and sampling and analysis data and information.
- Ensure that program element waste characterization requirements for the TWRS Program are formally specified through the DQO process.
- Identify, integrate, and prioritize additional sampling and analysis needs of the TWRS program elements.
- Ensure development of technologies required to satisfy the tank waste sampling and analysis needs of the TWRS program elements.

- Conduct tank waste historical data review, sampling, analysis, and data reporting responsive to:
 - specifications in the *Recommendation 93-5 Implementation Plan* (DOE/RL-0001)
 - prompt resolution of the waste tank safety issues
 - the schedule and performance needs for selection, design, and development of processes for waste retrieval, waste classification, and waste pretreatment and vitrification.
- Issue Tank Characterization Reports based on process knowledge, prior characterization data, and recent laboratory data, as defined in Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-44-00.

The Characterization Program has both internal and external customers. The internal customers, identified as Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC) organizations and other Hanford Site contractors, need tank characterization data to manage tank waste operations related activities. The external customers are composed mostly of agencies and oversight groups. These groups sometimes focus on the Characterization Program; but, in general, they view characterization as key input to be able to evaluate other TWRS activities, such as retrieval, pretreatment, or disposal.

7.6.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed

The scope of the Characterization Program includes acquisition of tank waste samples and transfer of the samples to laboratories, laboratory analysis of samples, in situ measurements, review of historical data and laboratory results, and the integration and reporting of characterization information. Characterization information may be in paper and/or electronic form. This information includes, but is not limited to, the following: historical tank contents estimates; Tank Characterization Reports; laboratory analysis results; in situ analysis results; integrated schedules; Tank Waste Analysis Plans; Tank Characterization Plans; Waste Analysis Plans; operational data for characterization; and historical data.

Each TWRS characterization need is validated through formal DQO methodology for analyses required to meet specific Operational Safety Requirement (OSR)/Operating Specification Document (OSD) requirements (e.g., pH limits/hydroxide limits), as well as end-user program needs from which sampling requirements, schedules that support program decisions, and data specifications are formally documented. Samples taken for development work (not analyses) will be specified in approved test plan(s). These validated needs provide the basis for planning and integration, resource use (i.e., sampling systems, laboratories) and modeling, and prioritization. Systems engineering techniques were used to develop the sampling requirements and schedules for FY 1995 through 1997 with a focus on gathering the right information at the right time. The Characterization Program will provide guidance to other TWRS program elements in the development of DQOs or test plans to address specific issues. Based on the DQOs, guidance will be provided to the Characterization Program for quality assurance/quality control protocols required for sampling and analysis activities. The Tank Characterization Plans will use this information, when available, and provide direction as appropriate. Prior to collection of samples, the Laboratory and the Characterization Program must agree on the data format and validation criteria by approving the Tank Characterization Plan. For OSR/OSD requirements for operational control, letters of instruction referencing the OSR/OSD requirement can substitute for DQO/Tank Characterization Plan requirements. Data format and validation criteria will be specified for each tank in the respective Tank Characterization Plan.

The Tank Waste Analysis Plan provides direction for sampling activities, which include Part B Permit sampling for double-shell tanks (DSTs) and tank sampling to support 242-A Evaporator campaigns per permit requirements. Sampling and analysis needs for safety screening, safety resolution, disposal characterization needs as specified, compatibility, and grab sampling are defined by the Waste Analysis Plan and DQOs via emergency request to support OSD/OSR requirements. Tank Characterization Plans will cover all types of sampling. Individual tank samples are under the umbrella of the Characterization Program. Blended samples needing analysis are considered process development samples and are not Characterization samples.

The deliverable for data packages or data reports (i.e., laboratory analysis and quality assurance documentation), including validation (if required) and transmittal to the regulators (if required), shall be specified in the Tank Waste Analysis Plans and Tank Characterization Plans.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.01)

The objective of this activity for FY 1995 is to administratively support the planning, scheduling, and implementation of waste tank sampling and analysis within the Characterization Program and oversee and coordinate the following activities to ensure timely completion of activities, good communication among participants, and effective utilization of funds. Deliverables that will accomplish this support are:

- Financial Planning - prepare budgets and Estimates at Completion (EACs) for this activity.
- Establish milestones and development of a milestone control log.
- Support meeting and presentations concerning stakeholders outside the Characterization Program.
- Provide support to prepare and maintain necessary quality assurance documents that are specifically applicable to the Characterization Program (all activities).

Support TWRS baseline and systems engineering activities.

- Prepare and issue quarterly status reports for DNFSB 93-5
- Support Tri-Party Agreement quarterly unit manager meetings and other special Tri-Party Agreement meetings as requested.

Included in this activity is the budget for the Tank Characterization Advisory Panel (TCAP), which will provide review and recommendations for the Characterization Program. The TCAP will interface with WHC and Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL) staff. They will also provide technical recommendations for the various associated areas such as laboratory, retrieval, and pretreatment.

Also included within this activity is budget for PNL Characterization Program management support and SST Riser demonstration support.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.02)

This activity encompasses all tasks needed to acquire new technology (equipment, instruments, and procedures) to achieve requirements specified in DQO and Tank Characterization Plans. Justification for developing these new technologies falls into three categories -- capability to perform sampling or analytical tasks for DQOs that cannot be fulfilled with current technology; substantial improvements in cost effectiveness of sampling or analytical operations; and increased worker safety. In FY 1995, additional efforts will be focussed on confirming the justification of ongoing tasks in this activity by rigorous evaluation of capabilities relative to published DQO reports and projections of future needs by TWRS Programs.

Activity is underway in developing spectroscopic scanning techniques for hot cell analysis of core samples. Original justification for these techniques focussed on safety issues related to the concentration of ferrocyanide compounds in the waste. Subsequent actions in the Safety Program have reduced the need for this type of analysis; however, there are potential time and worker exposure reductions from employing core scanning techniques in the hot cells. Continuation of the Raman spectroscopy, Laser ablation with mass spectroscopy and infrared spectroscopy is planned for FY 1995 contingent on documentation of needs and benefits by the end of FY 1994. (Preparation of this documentation is underway.) Specific actions in FY 1995 are demonstration of scanning analyses on archived waste samples and preparation of conceptual designs for implementation in hot cell arrangements.

Analytical procedures development began in FY 1994 to acquire capability to meet published DQO needs at the onsite laboratories (222-S and 325). That development will continue in FY 1995, principally for organic complexants, thermal properties, and physical properties. In addition, a rigorous evaluation of currently used procedures and instrumentation will be performed to identify areas for improvement. That evaluation, along with evaluations of new or revised DQO reports, will supply the basis for future analytical procedures development.

Parallel to the hot cell and analytical procedure development, implementation of in situ measurement technologies will be developed. The most likely platform for deploying sensors in waste tanks is the cone penetrometer. Testing and design, which started in FY 1994, will continue. A key uncertainty for this development is the availability of sensors for deployment in a time frame that is beneficial to the TWRS Programs. Physical property measurements are needed by the Retrieval Program; however, those needs are being developed and documented at this time. The cone penetrometer testing and design are being managed in a phased approach with decision points at key intervals to ensure that system design and operability are beneficial to Characterization needs.

In FY 1995 tasks related to improving field sampling methods are consolidated. The major tasks in FY 1995 are development of temperature monitoring for the sampler core bit, development of an instrumented cask to determine the success of individual sampling events at the tank site, evaluation of sampling tools used at Hanford, and evaluation of large volume sampling devices used at other DOE sites for possible applications at Hanford. The bit temperature monitoring task is a continuation of development that began in FY 1994 at Sandia National Laboratories. Prototypes fabricated in FY 1994 and early FY 1995 will be tested and adapted for installation on the core sampling truck systems. A subcontractor has been selected to design and fabricate the instrumented cask. Probable instrumentation includes a load cell and gamma and neutron probes. The sampler performance evaluation will begin in FY 1995 to establish the operating envelope for existing samplers. This evaluation will help identify further needs. The Savannah River Site has used samplers with larger volume capacity than those used at Hanford. TWRS Engineering and Operations staff will evaluate

the feasibility of using those samplers at Hanford. If they are feasible, the necessary physical and procedural modifications will be made for their use.

Field Sampling and Measurement (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.03)

The scope of this activity is a composite of all sampling events necessary for the safe collection and transportation of representative physical waste samples (solids, liquids, gasses) from high level waste tanks to an onsite laboratory for analysis. This sampling supports areas of safety issue resolution; process control; treatment, storage, and disposal (TSD) decisions; and other TWRS Program issue resolutions. Physical waste sampling methods include core sampling, auger sampling, vapor sampling, and grab sampling (both supernate and sludge waste types). Sampling activities will be conducted as scheduled in the Characterization Integrated Sampling Schedule and as directed by Tank Characterization Plans. Additionally, the procurement and/or fabrication of all major sampling equipment is included.

Core samples will be collected from tank waste surface to tank bottom in both SSTs and DSTs that have solids greater than 25 inches deep. The method in which the cores are collected is waste type dependent. In general, the rotary mode core sampling system will be used to sample salt cake predominant waste types and the push mode core sampling system on sludges. At the initiation of FY 1995, there will be one push mode only capable core sampling system and one push/rotary capable core sampling system. Two additional push/rotary mode core sampling systems will be deployed prior to FY 1996.

Subactivities required for the core sampling effort include, but are not limited to, tank riser preparation, engineering, sampling operations, planning, scheduling, procedure writing, equipment maintenance, equipment fabrication, equipment decontamination, drafting, packaging support, riser preparation, safety, and quality assurance.

Auger samples will be collected from tank waste surfaces in both SSTs and DSTs and for any tank with less than 25 inches of solid waste. These samples are gathered to support programmatic issue resolutions and occasionally as a prerequisite for push mode core sampling. No auger sampling has been requested after FY 1996. Subactivities required for the auger sampling effort include, but are not limited to, engineering, sampling operations, planning, scheduling, procedure writing, equipment maintenance, equipment fabrication, equipment decontamination, drafting, packaging support, safety, and quality assurance.

Vapor samples will be collected from tank dome spaces in SSTs. Vapor samples are collected as a prerequisite to some core sampling activities and thermocouple tree installations. Additionally, these samples are necessary for the support of vapor safety resolution issues. Subactivities required for the vapor sampling effort include, but are not limited to, engineering, sampling operations, planning, scheduling, procedure writing, equipment maintenance, equipment fabrication, drafting, packaging support, safety, and quality assurance.

Grab supernate and sludge samples will be collected from SSTs and DSTs. Grab samples are collected to provide information in support of tank safety screening, safety resolution, waste compatibility issues, operational control, and pretreatment/retrieval requirements. Subactivities required for the core sampling effort include, but are not limited to, engineering, sampling operations, planning, scheduling, procedure writing, equipment fabrication, equipment decontamination, drafting, packaging support, safety, and quality assurance.

Activities associated with the PAS-1 transport cask and Neutralized Current Acid Waste (NCAW) transport cask will be pursued in FY 1995. In specific, WHC will follow Nuclear Regulatory Council progress toward the issuance of a Certificate of Compliance amendment for the PAS-1 cask. Secondly, the fabrication of three NCAW casks all be completed. Lastly, the Sample Verification Instrumented will be deployed into field activities, thus providing a means to verify core sampler waste fill.

Analytical Integration (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.04)

The Analytical Integration activity is responsible for ensuring that all tank samples are analyzed in accordance with analytical requirements defined in DQOs, tank specific Tank Characterization Plans, and Waste Analysis Plans (as part of RCRA Part B for DSTs or Evaporator) or Letters of Instruction to support OSD/OSR compliance. Specific laboratory analysis functions include sample preparation (sample receipt, sample extrusion/breakdown, preparation of subsamples and any required repackaging and shipment of samples for offsite analysis), sample analysis, and data reporting. In addition, the Analytical Integration activity will include vapor sample analyses and data reporting beginning in FY 1995 at Pacific Northwest Laboratory and Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

As required for DST and SST core samples, auger samples, and supernatant samples, letter reports documenting results of selected high-priority safety screening analyses shall be reported within 45 days of receipt at the laboratory of the last segment from the last core. The 45-day reports for grab and/or auger (noncore) samples will be from the time received at the laboratory. Data reports will be issued per requirements of tank specific Tank Characterization Plans for DST and SST core samples within 216 days of the sampling of the last segment of the last core from the tank and within 176 days for auger samples.

Program management functions for this activity will include program-related laboratory guidance via the Tank Waste Analysis Plan and Tank Characterization Plans, definition of performance requirements, selecting analytical laboratories to conduct the analyses, estimating and planning laboratory capacities and workload, and monitoring analytical progress against schedule.

The laboratory readiness cost account includes a basic lab assessment to maintain the laboratories in a ready-to-serve mode to perform analyses, the sample exchange program to enhance laboratory quality assurance and control, alternative tasks in the event of a stand down, reanalysis of samples, and archiving and disposal of samples. In the event of a stand down, which would result in a reduction in laboratory workload to the point that laboratory readiness cannot be maintained (such as the inability to provide the laboratories with samples), alternative tasks have been defined. Alternative tasks include such activities as housekeeping, cross training, and procedure development/review.

The offsite laboratory cost account includes completion of upgrades to the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL) for the receipt, analysis, and data reporting on samples in support of the Characterization Program. The work direction for INEL will be defined via tank specific Tank Characterization Plans or letters of instructions, as identified in the statement of work.

The 222-S Laboratory upgrades and Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) development cost account will provide upgrades to meet analytical capabilities and capacities as requested by the TWRS Characterization Program. This cost account also includes continuing implementation of new LABCORE data management systems. Data management systems will provide the 222-S Laboratory with electronic data transfer of sample analysis data to the Tank Characterization Database (TCD).

The 325 Laboratory upgrades cost account will provide upgrades to meet analytical capabilities and capacities as requested by the TWRS Characterization Program.

The offsite repackaging and shipping cost account will provide the capability to repackage samples at either the 222-S or 325 Laboratory to send offsite to the INEL laboratory for analysis. This activity is required because it is not possible to ship off site existing sample casks as received from the field sampling program element.

Data Evaluation and Reporting (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.05)

Activities for Data Evaluation and Reporting will cover the following.

Determine the requirements for characterization as defined by other TWRS program elements and support the definition of a characterization strategy to meet those requirements. Provide documentation of the Characterization Program technical basis, including the necessary analysis of existing data packages and historical data. Support other programs in the development of DQOs defining their needs. Provide statistical support during the development of DQOs and training to DQO teams as needed. Review and update the DQO Strategy and Guidance documents to ensure that they continue to address the needs of the TWRS DQO Program.

Provide an overall plan to obtain the required analytic information (Tank Waste Analysis Plan) as well as specific Tank Characterization Plans to support each tank sampling and analysis event. Complete the compilation and analysis of historical information to provide Historical Tank Contents Estimate reports for the 68 tanks in the northwest and southeast quadrants. Include tank layering models and estimates of the content of each waste layer in the input to these reports. Provide qualitative and quantitative models for the waste layering in tanks. Determine the sampling and analysis requirements to support verification (or modification) of the historic tank layering models. Develop methods for grouping and sorting of tanks to expedite characterization.

Provide Tank Characterization Reports, which combine the historical information with any new sample analysis information, to provide the best current description of waste tank contents. Use existing data packages, statistical methods, and chemical knowledge to develop methods for integrating historical information with new analytic data and determining the resulting confidence levels. Determine the appropriate statistical approach for combining multiple data sources into the reports in a defensible manner.

Enter the appropriate historical, analytical, and Tank Characterization Report information into an online database for ready data accessibility. Ensure characterization information (paper and electronic) is properly archived and readily accessible to users. Inform users regarding data availability. Provide summary information about existing data. Review user needs for the Tank Characterization Database. Maintain the database and add new features to address user needs.

Oversee and coordinate all above activities to ensure timely completion of activities, good communication among participants, and effective utilization of funds.

This activity does not include the actual preparation of DQOs for other programs (which will be supported by the requesting program), the performance of sampling activities, the performance of laboratory analytic activities, or the validation of analytic data.

7.6.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed**Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.01)**

The integration of characterization activities, including program management and administration, planning, and characterization support activities continues in FY 1996 and 1997. Program Management and Administration includes the development of baseline plans, reporting/statusing, and Characterization schedules and budgets as well as program business activities. Support for TCAP and oversight activities, both internal and external, will also be performed.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.02)

Technology Development and Applied Engineering work scope for FY 1996 and 1997 will be based on the outcome of FY 1995 tasks. Implementation of developed technologies will continue. Those spectroscopic scanning technologies that are shown to be feasible and beneficial to the Characterization mission will be transferred to routine analytical use by preparing appropriate procedures, completing safety and readiness reviews, and conducting training for the laboratory personnel. Deployment of operating systems is anticipated in FY 1997. Based on the preliminary schedule for testing, design, and fabrication of a cone penetrometer system, deployment is planned for FY 1996.

Rigorous evaluations of sampling and analytical practices relative to needs as stated in DQOs and Tank Characterization Plans will continue each year to form the basis for detailed annual planning.

Field Sampling and Measurement (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.03)

This scope of this activity is a composite of all sampling events necessary for the safe collection and transportation of representative physical waste samples (solids, liquids, gasses) from high-level waste tanks to an onsite laboratory for analysis. This sampling supports areas of safety issue resolution, process control, TSD decisions, and other TWRS Program issue resolutions. Physical waste sampling methods include core sampling, auger sampling, vapor sampling, and grab sampling (both supernate and sludge waste types). Sampling activities will be conducted as prescribed by the Characterization Integrated Sampling Schedule and as directed by Tank Characterization Plans. Additionally, the procurement and/or fabrication of all major sampling equipment is included.

Core samples will be collected from tank waste surface to tank bottom in both SSTs and DSTs. The method in which the cores are collected is waste type dependent. In general, the rotary mode core sampling system will be used to sample salt cake predominant waste types and the push mode core sampling system on sludges. At the initiation of FY 1996 there will be one push mode only capable core sampling system and three push/rotary capable core sampling systems. No push mode core sampling will be required after FY 1996. Subactivities required for the core sampling effort include, but are not limited to, engineering, sampling operations, planning, scheduling, procedure writing, equipment maintenance, equipment fabrication, equipment decontamination, drafting, packaging support, safety, and quality assurance.

Auger samples will be collected from tank waste surfaces in both SSTs and DSTs. These samples are gathered to support programmatic issue resolutions as well as a prerequisite for push mode core sampling. No auger sampling has been requested after FY 1996. Subactivities required for the auger sampling effort include, but are not limited to, engineering, sampling operations, planning,

scheduling, procedure writing, equipment maintenance, equipment fabrication, equipment decontamination, drafting, packaging support, safety, and quality assurance.

Vapor samples will be collected primarily from tank dome spaces in SSTs. Vapor samples are collected as a prerequisite to core and auger sampling activities and thermocouple tree installations. Additionally, these samples are necessary for the support of vapor safety resolution issues. Subactivities required for the vapor sampling effort include, but are not limited to, engineering, sampling operations, planning, scheduling, procedure writing, equipment maintenance, equipment fabrication, drafting, packaging support, safety, and quality assurance.

Grab supernate and sludge samples will be collected from SSTs and DSTs. Grab samples are collected primarily to provide information in support of tank safety screening, waste compatibility issues, operational control, and pretreatment/retrieval requirements. Subactivities required for the core sampling effort include, but are not limited to, engineering, sampling operations, planning, scheduling, procedure writing, equipment fabrication, equipment decontamination, drafting, packaging support, safety, and quality assurance.

Analytical Integration (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.04)

At the start of FY 1996, samples will continue to be received from auger, grab, push, and vapor mode sampling operations. The emphasis of laboratory-related work to be performed in FY 1996 through FY 1997, however, will primarily focus on sample analysis and data reporting in support of rotary mode sampling operations (including vapor sampling required to support rotary mode sampling). In addition, it is expected that analytical support may increase in support of Retrieval and Disposal Programs (inclusive of Pretreatment, Low-Level Waste, and High-Level Waste Programs), notably in support of the receipt and repackaging of samples at onsite laboratories for shipment to offsite laboratories to support disposal development work.

Data Evaluation and Reporting (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.05)

Data Evaluation and Reporting activities for FY 1996 through FY 1997 will include the following.

Review the requirements for characterization as defined by other programs and determine if revisions to the characterization strategy are required to meet those requirements. Update documentation of the Characterization Program technical basis, including the necessary analysis of existing data packages and historical data. Support other programs in the development and revision of DQOs defining their needs. Provide statistical support during the development of DQOs and training to DQO teams as needed. Review and update the DQO Strategy and Guidance documents to ensure that they continue to address the needs of the TWRS DQO Program.

Provide an update to the overall plan to obtain the required analytic information (Tank Waste Analysis Plan) and provide specific Tank Characterization Plans to support each tank sampling and analysis event. Review the Historical Tank Contents Estimate reports for all 177 tanks and revise and update the reports based on new information and model verification results. Apply the quantitative waste layering model to all tanks to improve historical evaluation. Integrate the results of sampling and analysis done to support verification (or modification) of the historic tank layering models. Complete development of methods for grouping of tanks, compare results of sampling and analysis to predicted results and refine methods.

Provide Tank Characterization Reports, which combine the historical information with any new sample analysis information to provide the best current description of waste tank contents. Apply methods for integrating historical information with new analytic data and determining the resulting confidence levels. Apply the appropriate statistical approach for combining multiple data sources into the reports in a defensible manner.

Enter the appropriate historical, analytical, and Tank Characterization Report information into an online database for ready data accessibility. Ensure that characterization information (paper and electronic) is properly archived and readily accessible to users. Inform users regarding data availability. Provide summary information about existing data. Review user needs for the Tank Characterization Database. Maintain the database and add new features to address user needs. Move the database software and data to a new host computer for simpler maintenance and support.

Oversee and coordinate all above activities to ensure timely completion of activities, good communication among participants, and effective utilization of funds.

This activity does not include the actual preparation of DQOs for other programs (which will be supported by the requesting program), the performance of sampling activities, the performance of laboratory analytic activities, or the validation of analytic data.

7.6.1.5 Approach

The Characterization Program strategy is based on the DQO process to develop and define the data needs. The strategy depends on first determining which data needs can be met with historical information and which require acquisition of new samples. The DQO process supports the development of detailed analytical requirements in cases where new sampling and analysis are necessary. These detailed analytical requirements include the following: the number and type of samples per unit time and per time period, the suite of analyses for field samples, the types of sample matrices, and analytical performance objectives (e.g., detection limit, accuracy, and precision).

In evaluating the data requirements as part of the systems engineering efforts, different program elements require data at different times. This allows the Characterization Program to focus on certain near-term issues and to defer other issues to more opportune times for sampling and analysis. Data gathering needed to support safety issue resolution is being accelerated. The Waste Pretreatment Program Element is likely to be based on a small set of alternative treatments and data can focus on the average composition of a small number of analyses to establish bounding conditions for interfering substances in the waste. All other pretreatment data requirements can wait until the material from several single-shell tanks has been retrieved and blended. Sampling can occur during the transfer steps, which is less complicated than coring. This approach establishes the following four phases:

- Phase I. Define present conditions
- Phase II. Ensure interim safe storage
- Phase III. Characterize disposal feed
- Phase IV. Final waste form qualification.

Defining present conditions (Phase I) focuses on resolving tank safety issues and performing tank screening on all the tanks. Any data (e.g., bounding tank data) required for retrieval and pretreatment design will also be obtained during this phase. Samples are obtained to ensure interim safe storage (Phase II) during transfer from the SSTs to the receiving DSTs or from well mixed interim storage double-shell tanks. These samples are more easily obtained and will be more

representative of the overall material. This phase is the optimal time for performing any extensive characterization required to support pretreatment and ultimate disposal. Samples supporting data requirements to characterize feed for disposal operations (Phase III) are obtained in the later stages of the program. Samples are obtained during transfers into the feed tanks and while material is in the feed tank.

The final form will be qualified through characterization (Phase IV) to establish that the final disposal forms meet regulatory requirements. Sampling here is done in transfer lines to the final disposal waste form (e.g., high-level waste glass canisters).

The near-term effort involves establishing a priority listing of tanks to be core sampled. This priority list (Tank Farm Sampling Schedule) includes those tanks that will be auger, supernate, vapor, and core sampled. Using the Environmental Protection Agency's DQO process, the Characterization Program is assisting the other TWRS program elements in identifying critical data required for each program to make decisions. The near-term effort is focused primarily on resolving safety issues in compliance with the DNFSBs Recommendation 93-5 and screening tanks to validate earlier decisions to put tanks on the Watch List. Based on the results of the screening analyses, further analyses will be conducted on each tank sampled to address any safety concerns that were identified. Data collected during the near-term effort will form a database that will be used as an input to the DQO processes to define requirements for the long-term effort. The number of samples per tank will be limited by the number of risers that are available and readily accessible. The data from the analyses of cores from each tank will be compared to the requirements stated in the DQO to see if the requirements were met or whether more data will be required. If additional samples are required to meet the DQO requirements, further sampling of the tanks sampled during this period will be deferred until alternatives become available to allow additional sampling, either through new risers or alternate sampling techniques. In addition, as more information is made available through activities such as improved historical data analyses and improved databases and modeling, reassessment of the near-term DQOs will be needed.

The long-term effort involves using additional information as it becomes available to update the DQO and the Tank Characterization Reports. Extensive historical data analyses of the tank farms will be performed during the near-term effort. The historical data include process data, transfer records, and previous characterization data. Near-term data collection efforts will include analyses to verify historical information and provide estimates of confidence in historical results. The historical analysis will be used in part to segregate tanks into groups based on chemical and physical similarities. The strategy will be to sample a few tanks within a group. The optimum number of cores needed to describe the vertical and horizontal homogeneity will be determined using standard statistical models (e.g., the existing tank 241-B-110 statistical model database) and the most appropriate database developed during the near-term effort. The database selected to predict the number of samples from a specific group of tanks will match the group of tanks to be sampled. The data from the first few tanks sampled within a group will be compared to the DQO requirements. Additional samples will be collected, if necessary, to meet the requirements. When the requirements have been met, the next tank within this group will be sampled. The data from the analyses from this tank will be compared statistically to the data from previous tanks to validate the grouping. In addition, the data will be evaluated against the objectives and a determination will be made as to whether additional samples are needed. When the data indicate that the tank matches the grouping and the data quality meets the objectives, the next tank in the series will be sampled for the optimal number of samples and the previous steps will be repeated. The iterative process of sampling or resampling a tank, evaluating the aggregate data, comparing results to the objectives, and collecting more samples and data will be

repeated until all objectives have been met. The goal is to collect the optimum number of samples to meet the DQO and characterize the tanks to resolve safety issues.

A parallel effort is to establish overall TWRS Program priorities. The priority listing will be revised as the methodology for estimating the number of cores to be taken is improved, and the definition of bounding tanks becomes clearer. The revised priority list will establish the sampling schedule for all types of samples and will be input to the continuing and iterative DQOs processes for each of the program elements.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.01)

Technical Integration and Planning is the administrative and business support for the Characterization Program. It involves management and administration, planning, and all characterization support functions. It includes, but is not limited to, the development of baseline plans, reporting/statusing, Characterization schedules, and budget preparation and tracking as well as the support for the TCAP and quality assurance.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.02)

The approach to technology development requires a double focus. First, a thorough examination of the sampling and analytical needs must be completed. This evaluation will identify what new capabilities are needed to satisfy the TWRS Program requirements as documented in DQO reports and will identify areas where substantial improvement in time, cost, or safety are possible. The second focus is locating sources of commercially available new technology that may benefit TWRS tank characterization. The Characterization Program maintains ties with the Office of Technology Development, the National Laboratories, universities, and private industry to stay aware of development activities that can be leveraged to achieve greater benefits at lower costs.

Field Sampling and Measurement (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.03)

The approach for the sampling activity can be divided into four categories:

1. **Solicit potential sampling requirements.** The Characterization Program will solicit other TWRS end functions at least annually for potential sampling requirements. Requirements for sampling will be provided to the Characterization Program in the form of DQOs or test plans (for process development samples) as well as program element plans and strategies developed via systems engineering to determine the time to get samples. The DQO will not provide explicit sampling instruction, rather it identifies what types and to what quality the output data from sample analysis needs to be. Using the DQO, a Tank Characterization Plan is then developed to identify explicit sampling instruction. This explicit instruction will ensure that the DQO requirements are satisfactorily met.
2. **Schedule and prioritize events.** Once all sampling requirements are determined, an integrated sampling schedule will be developed. Sampling activities will be categorized based on the method in which the sample must be gathered. Current sampling methods include full depth core, auger (surfaced up to 25" deep), grab supernate and sludge and vapor dome space. The sampling events will be prioritized to reflect the urgency of the information needed. With the prioritization and sampling method identified, resources will be allocated as required.

3. **Conduct sampling activity and deliver sample to laboratory.** Upon receipt of the integrated sampling schedule, detailed planning of the field activities will be initiated. These activities include, but are not limited to, field walk downs, work package preparation, surveillance preparation, field activity planning/coordination, equipment decontamination, and equipment fabrication. Completion of the sampling activity occurs when the waste sample is released to the laboratory via a chain-of-custody document.
4. **Implement in situ data gathering techniques when available (transferred from Technology Development and Applied Engineering).** In situ techniques, such as cone penetrometer, will be used to gather key data (e.g., physical properties) or supplement other sampling and analytical techniques.

Analytical Integration (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.04)

The approach for the Analytical Integration activity can be defined by the following six general DQOs, as identified in the Analytical Integration work breakdown structure. These DQOs are also prioritized as follows in terms of how analytical costs are collected for laboratory analyses.

1. **Safety Screening DQO Analyses.** As defined by the Safety Screening DQO, analyses results are used to classify the SSTs and DSTs into specific safety categories for safety issues dealing with the presence of ferrocyanide, organics, flammable gases, and criticality. The Safety Screening DQO includes two primary analyses with additional secondary analyses required if primary analyte threshold limits are exceeded. The results of primary analyses are required to be reported within 45 days of receipt of each sample.
2. **Safety Resolution Related DQO Analyses.** The safety resolution cost account includes sample analysis and data reporting/validation as required to support the organic, ferrocyanide, flammable gas, and high-heat DQOs. A validated data report is required 216 days from the receipt of the last sample from the last core to the laboratory.
3. **Compatibility DQO Analyses.** The Compatibility DQO cost account includes sample preparation, analysis, and data reporting/validation required to support waste compatibility decisions. The Compatibility DQO will require a data report for each sampling event 136 days from receipt of the last segment of the last core at the laboratory, as identified by approved tank-specific Tank Characterization Plans.
4. **Operations Related DQO Analyses.** The Operations Related DQO cost account includes the DQOs prepared to meet the Waste Analysis Plan requirements for DSTs or the Evaporator. This cost account includes the sample preparation and analysis data reporting/validation required to support the operations decision criteria. Data reports from each tank also will encompass analysis (usually supernate) to ensure that tanks will continue to be operated within OSR/OSD limits (e.g., OH and pH) sampling event are required 172 days after receipt of the last sample from the last core from the tank.
5. **Disposal Related DQO Analyses.** The Disposal Related DQO cost account includes the retrieval, pretreatment, low-level waste, and high-level waste DQO requirements. This cost account includes the sample preparation, analysis, and data reporting/validation required to support the disposal related decision criteria. A validated data report is required 216 days from receipt of the last sample from the last core at the laboratory.

6. **Vapor DQO Analyses.** The Vapor DQO cost account includes vapor analysis and data reporting for SUMMA¹ canisters, sorbent tubes, and OSHA versatile samplers (OVSS) at the PNL as well as analysis and data reporting of triple sorbent traps and carbotrap/carbotrap C samplers at the Oak Ridge National Laboratories. Vapor analysis results are required to support decision criteria identified in the DQOs for Generic In-Tank Health and Safety Issue Resolution.

Data Evaluation and Reporting (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.05)

The work scope falls into four broad categories.

1. **Data definition and planning.** WHC will lead this activity with support from PNL. Development of strategy and technical basis will be performed with support from outside contractors and consultants, with emphasis on using the needs of other TWRS program elements to drive the strategy. Statistical support from WHC and PNL statisticians will be used to verify the technical basis for planning. DQO development will be the primary responsibility of the TWRS program element requiring the data. The role of this task will be to oversee the DQO activities; provide guidance, strategy documents, and information; and participate in the process to ensure quality product results. This task will provide statistical support for DQO development. Facilitators will be provided separately by MAC Technical Services. The Tank Waste Analysis Plan will be developed following the content specifications identified in Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-44-01 and M-44-02. WHC will lead this activity with support from an outside contractor. The Tank Characterization Plans will be developed to support the sampling schedule as specified by the Field Sampling and Measurement element of the Characterization WBS. These plans will integrate the analytic needs specified in all applicable DQOs to ensure all needs are met cost effectively. Details of the Tank Characterization Plan requirements are also found in Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-44-01 and M-44-02.
2. **Historical Information Development.** LANL will complete compilation of historic records regarding tank contents. LANL use records on waste transfers to develop estimates of layers in each tank, combined with information regarding waste types to provide estimates of the chemical and physical properties of each layer. Kaiser Engineers will combine the LANL information with tank operations information, photographs, and other data to provide the Historic Tank Contents Estimate Reports. LANL will use existing and new sampling information to improve the models and estimates. Statisticians at WHC, PNL and LANL will work together to determine the best methods for using historical data combined with analytic information to increase confidence in characterization results.
3. **Characterization Reporting.** WHC will work with a subcontractor to provide Tank Characterization Reports (TCRs) in the format that has been agreed to by the Washington State Department of Ecology. The TCRs use the information derived from the historic reports above, combined with the analytic results specified in the Tank Characterization Plans (as noted in Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-44-08). WHC statisticians will support TCR development. A subcontract will also be placed to determine the appropriate statistical approach for combining multiple data sources into the reports in a defensible manner. The resulting approach will be implemented in TCR analysis.

¹SUMMA is a trademark of Molectrics, Incorporated.

4. **Data Dissemination.** The historic data, analytic results, and TCRs will be made available in a standard format as part of the TCD. This will be in accordance with Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-44-08. PNL will provide and support the TCD software. WHC will be responsible for entering the data. The new analytic data entered into the LIMS at WHC will be electronically transferrable to the TCD. Data not entered into the LIMS will require hand entry into the TCD. WHC will also maintain (in cooperation with Information Resource Management) archive copies of all relevant reports and provide catalogs to potential users indicating available information.

7.6.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.01)

1. Drivers:

- DOE/RL 94-0001, Recommendation 93-5 Implementation Plan, January 1994
- Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-44

2. Deliverables:

- Issue DNFSB 93-05 Quarterly Reports

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.02)

1. Drivers: There are three drivers for developing new technology or importing technology to the Characterization Program:

- Acquiring capability to satisfy documented data needs
- Substantially improving cost:benefit ratios
- Improving worker operational safety

2. Deliverables: All deliverables for this activity will be directly related to the three drivers listed above.

Field Sampling and Measurement (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.03)

1. Drivers:

- 40 CFR 265.196 - Response to Leaks & Spills and Disposition of Leaking of Unfit-for-Use Tank Systems
- WAC 173-303-640 - Tank System
- DOE/RL 94-0001, Recommendation 93-5 Implementation Plan, January 1994
- DOE Order 5820.2A - Radioactive Waste Management
- *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, Fourth Amendment, January 1994 (Milestone M-44)

- Defense Authorization Act, Public Law 101-520, Section 3137, "Safety Measures for Waste Tanks at Hanford Nuclear Reservation"

2. Deliverables:

- DNFSB 93.5 Commitment 3.12 "Hire train, and qualify four additional rotary mode crews"
- DNFSB 93.5 Commitment 3.18 "Develop means for measuring complete sample recovery. Complete engineering study of alternatives, select method and complete design/fabrication/testing of technique."
- DNFSB 93.5 Commitment 5.14 "Two PAS-1 transfer casks will be ready for use"
- DNFSB 93.5 Commitment 3.13 "Deploy prototype cone penetrometer"
- DNFSB 93.5 Commitment 2.3 "Complete sampling and analysis of all Watch List tanks per the DQOs established in commitments 2.1 and 2.2."

In addition to the above deliverables, sampling activities directly support the completion of Tank Characterization Reports and thus support the completion of Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-44-08.

Analytical Integration (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.04)

1. Drivers:

- Defense Authorization Act, Public Law 101-510, Section 3137, "Safety Measures for Waste Tanks at Hanford Nuclear Reservation"
- WAC 173-303- Dangerous Waste Regulations
- *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, Fourth Amendment, January 1994 (M-44)
- DOE/RL 94-0001, Recommendation 93-5 Implementation Plan, January 1994

2. Deliverables:

- DNFSB commitment "Complete Sampling and Analysis of all Watch List tanks per the Data Quality Objectives" (9/30/95)
- DNFSB commitment "Complete Sampling and Analysis of all Non Watch List tanks per the Data Quality Objectives" (9/30/96)
- Transmit a 45-day data report for all sampling events based on Safety Screening DQOs as identified in the tank specific Tank Characterization Plans (09/30/95, 09/30/96, 09/30/97)

- Transmit a 216-day validated data report for all sampling events based on Safety Resolution DQOs as identified in the tank specific Tank Characterization Plans (09/30/95, 09/30/96, 09/30/97)
- Issue Analytical Data Packages from each sampling event within 136 days specific to the Compatibility DQO (09/30/95, 09/30/96)
- Transmit a 172-day validated data report from each sampling event specific to Operation related DQOs (09/30/95, 09/30/96)
- Transmit a 216-day validated data report from each sampling event specific to the Disposal Related DQOs (09/30/95, 09/30/96, 09/30/97)
- DNFSB Commitment 5.12, Complete upgrades of INEL Laboratory to ready-to-serve mode for Characterization support (10/31/94)
- Transmit data reports and complete emergency notification per requirements of Tank Characterization Plan or Letter of Instruction (09/30/95, 09/30/96, 09/30/97)
- Complete DNFSB commitment 5.2, Cleanout and Refurbishing of "A-Cell" (05/01/95)

Data Evaluation and Reporting (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.05)

1. Drivers:

- Tri-Party Agreement milestones M-44-01 and M-44-02 drive the development of the Tank Waste Analysis Plan and Tank Characterization Plans.
- The Tri-Party Agreement specifies that characterization activities will be specified through the DQO process (M-44).
- DNFSB commitments require the development of a technical basis and statistical methods to determine sample numbers (1.19).
- DNFSB commitment 1.18 drives the delivery of the Historical Tank Contents Estimate (HTCE) reports. Supporting documents are required to develop these reports.
- Modeling and grouping results are needed to support the technical basis for characterization. Historical and modeling information are required as inputs to the Tank Characterization Reports as specified in Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-44-08.
- Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-44-08 specifies the requirements for TCRs.
- Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-44-08 specifies the requirements for TCD information entry.
- The Data Management Improvement Plan specifies activities to increase control of characterization data and make the data more accessible to all users.

2. Deliverables:

- Provide Draft Tank Waste Analysis Plan (TWAP) (5/31/95)
- Provide Final TWAP (8/31/95)
- Provide Tank Characterization Plans as they are issued
- Provide draft basis strategy (2/28/95)
- Provide final basis strategy (5/31/95)
- Provide technical basis document (9/30/95)
- Deliver draft DQO training module (11/93/94)
- Demonstrate statistical tools (12/30/94)
- Determine bounding tanks (11/30/94)
- Provide historical verification DQO (4/30/95)
- Provide TCR statistical analysis strategy (9/30/95)
- Transactions, models, inventory: NW quadrant (12/1/94)
- Transactions, models, inventory: SE quadrant (4/1/95)
- Statistical QA review for transaction records (9/30/95)
- Qualitative layering model (1/15/95)
- Quantitative Layering model (9/30/95)
- Revise defined waste document (9/30/95)
- Deliver HTCE for NW quadrant (3/31/95)
- Deliver HTCE for SE quadrant (3/31/95)
- Report on tank grouping analysis (8/31/95)
- Issue 30 Tank Characterization Reports (9/30/95)
- Enter Characterization Information for 30 tanks into TCD (9/30/95)
- Establish Data Control Measures (2/28/95)
- Provide Data Access Improvement Plan (9/30/95)
- Provide summary pages/screens (5/31/95)
- Release Data Catalog update (1/31/95)
- Provide TCD User survey (1/31/95)
- Release enhanced TCD software #3 (6/15/95)
- Release enhanced TCD software #4 (9/30/95)

7.6.2 Schedule

7.6.2.1 Characterization Summary Schedule

The Characterization Program Element summary schedule is provided in Figure 7.6-2. This schedule accurately portrays in graphic format the summary-level work scope for the life of the Characterization Program. Significant activities and milestones for the Characterization Program are identified from a TWRS Program integrated and controlled database. All Tri-Party Agreement major and selected interim milestones applicable to this program element are included on the summary schedule. The milestone description sheets are located in Appendix D. There is at least one activity for each Level V WBS element. Logical ties within and between other program elements are provided where they offer clarification.

The principal activities within the Characterization Program are those required to develop the capability to sample all of the tanks; perform the sampling activities; and provide the data evaluation, reporting, and database management to maintain the DQOs for the TWRS Program. The Characterization Program is responsible for the completion of Tri-Party Agreement major

milestone M-44-00, Issue tank characterization reports for all single- and double-shell tanks to be completed by September 1999.

7.6.2.2 Characterization Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)

Refer to Appendix C for the Characterization expanded baseline for FY 1995 - FY 1997.

7.6.3 Resource Requirements

7.6.3.1 Cost

7.6.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates

Cost estimates for the work scope represented by the Characterization Program Element are improving. Cost estimates for Characterization work scope were based on 1994 fiscal year work estimates. At present, the cost estimates are the product definitions found in the Activity Planning Forms, as well as the WBS Dictionary Sheets, and are found in Table 7.6-1. Also, providing a basis for estimates are the assumptions documented in Section 7.6.1.1.6.

7.6.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account)

Table 7.6-1 establishes the baseline cost for this program element. The baseline cost is exhibited in annual costs for FY 1994 through FY 2000, and 5-year totals for FY 2001 through program element completion. Where the data are available, these baseline costs are exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. At present, the program element cost baseline is the program element cost totals exhibited on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.6.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future updates of the MYWP will include Table 7.6-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

7.6.3.2 Staffing

7.6.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections

Staffing projections are based on actual staffing to perform identical or similar work in FY 1994. Staff is on board or on contract and is available and trained. Crew sizes for all types of sampling are based on the FY 1994 actual required crew sizes. In addition, time/sampling is based on a WESTIP™ process that evaluated historic down-time (for maintenance, weather, repairs) on an annual basis. Laboratory staffing requirements are based on actual expected staff time for completing work specified in DQOs issued by August 15, 1994. They are broken down to extrusion/sample prep and by each instrument. Staff projection per instrument (TGA) is based on the previous 2-year's

laboratory experience at the 222-S and 325 Laboratories. Staff time to prepare TCRs was based on the time required to prepare the last of the 20 TCRs due in FY 1994, after the initial learning curve was past.

7.6.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.6-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

7.6.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.6-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.6.3.3 Work Scope Carryover

1. **325 Building Standdown.** Radiological Operations within the 325 Building have been suspended since April 1994. All activities are focused on a potential restart date of October 19, 1994. If restart does occur at that time, only those activities directly related to the 45-day tank characterization samples will begin initially. Other activities will be phased in after that. Anticipated restart for laboratory and hot cell renovation activities is presently approximately November 1, 1994.
2. **Renovation of 325 Facility 'A-Cell.'** All activities that can be performed without re-entering the hot cells and performing radiological work have been completed. A formal request for carryover of funds has been submitted. A draft Change Request to move the September 30, 1994, milestone for completing the cleanout of 'A-Cell' has been prepared.
3. **Reconfiguration of 325 Facility 'Special Analytical Laboratory (SAL)' Operating Gallery.** Work on this activity has been delayed until FY 1995 due to anticipated manpower limitations after restart of the 325 Laboratory is authorized.
4. **Installation of Hot Ion Coupled Plasma (ICP) Instrumentation in 325 Facility 'SAL' Operating Gallery.** Drafting for the glove box design has been completed. ICF Kaiser Hanford Company is fabricating a mock-up of the portion of the glovebox to which the ICP will attach; this mock-up will be shipped to the instrument vendor to begin interface design prior to receiving the actual glovebox. All construction-related work on this activity has been delayed until FY 1995 due to anticipated manpower limitations after restart is authorized.

5. **Trucks 3 and 4.** The completion of trucks #3 and #4 will slip into FY 1995 because changes from the original design forced equipment substitutions for obsolete items. The major alteration was a design modification to accommodate a 6-cylinder gas engine (the drill motor) since the original design, a 4-cylinder motor, is no longer made. Other hardware, certain hydraulic motors, is now obsolete, requiring location of alternate suppliers and corresponding design changes by engineering change notice to accommodate the replacement hardware. Contingency plans are being developed and evaluated.
6. **Rotary Core Sampling.** X core samples, originally scheduled for completion in FY 1994, have slipped into FY 1995, due to the later-than-planned start of the new rotary truck system.

7.6.4 Waste Type Data

Field Sampling and Measurement (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.03)

Waste generated during field sampling operations is handled in two different methods. Depending on other activities in the farms where sampling is occurring, waste may be accumulated in drums set up for the tank farm or Sampling can establish their own waste drum.

Analytical Integration (WBS 1.1.1.2.04.04)

Waste types generated by the laboratories in support of the Analytical Integration activity include solid, liquid, mixed, and gaseous wastes. These waste types are produced by both 222-S and 325 Laboratories, which currently receive, extrude, and analyze high-level waste samples from both SSTs and DSTs.

Solid wastes are generated by the laboratories through the handling and analyses of waste tank samples. Solid low-level wastes are packaged at the laboratories for disposal at appropriate low-level waste disposal facilities.

Liquid wastes are generated in the laboratories from the extrusion, preparation, and analysis of waste tank samples. Primary wastes from unused waste tank samples are disposed of in the laboratory hot cells. Secondary wastes are generated at the laboratories from the preparation and analyses of waste tank samples. Ultimately, both primary and secondary liquid waste from the laboratories are returned to double-shell receiving tanks.

Mixed wastes are produced by the laboratories primarily from the analyses of tank samples. Mixed wastes are packaged and stored onsite at mixed waste storage facilities for eventual treatment and disposal.

Gaseous waste is produced at the laboratories (including gaseous waste from hot cells and analytical hoods) and eventually processed through HEPA filters prior to discharge.

With the use of the INEL starting in FY 1995 to support the Analytical Integration activity, primary wastes (i.e., unused waste tank samples) will be returned to Hanford and returned to one of the onsite laboratories, which will in turn be returned to the waste tanks. Secondary waste generated by INEL from the handling and analyses of Hanford waste tank samples will be disposed of at INEL along with other laboratory wastes since this waste can not be separated in the existing INEL laboratory facilities.

7.6.5 Revisions

In future updates of the MYWP, this section will include a summary of the revision that has occurred in this program element since the last issue of the MYWP.

CHARACTERIZATION WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE

Figure 7.6-1. Characterization Work Breakdown Structure.

WHC-SP-1101

F7.6-2

Figure 7.6-2. Characterization Summary Schedule.

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.04 Level IV	<u>Characterization</u> ADS 1130-0	OE C/E	65,284 10,800 ----- 76,084	79,176 5,869 ----- 85,045	86,714 7,447 ----- 94,161	66,187 5,363 ----- 71,550	52,754 3,854 ----- 56,608	52,838 2,647 ----- 55,485	38,545 2,317 ----- 40,862
	TOTAL TARGET								
	<i>Delta to TARGET.</i> <i>(See notes at end of Table.)</i>	OE C/E	NA	1 (98) ==== (97)	20 1,283 ==== 1,303	300 612 ==== 912			
1.1.1.2.04.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1130-0-OA	OE C/E	NA	1,600 0 ----- 1,600	1,759 0 ----- 1,759	1,584 0 ----- 1,584	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.04.01.01 Level VI	Program Management & Administration	OE C/E		1,600 0 ----- 1,600	1,759 0 ----- 1,759	1,584 0 ----- 1,584			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02 Level V	<u>Tech. Development & Applied Engineering</u> ADS 1130-0-OB	OE C/E	NA	4,692 2,321 ----- 7,013	5,608 6,164 ----- 11,772	4,673 4,751 ----- 9,424	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.04.02.01 Level VI	Activity Program Management	OE C/E		205 0 ----- 205	260 0 ----- 260	268 0 ----- 268			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.02 Level VI	Hot Cell Instrument Development	OE C/E		465 177 ----- 642	1,485 182 ----- 1,667	1,067 97 ----- 1,164			
	Total						0	0	0

T7.6-1.1

WHC-SP-1101

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6--1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.04.02.03 Level VI	Analytical Procedures Development	OE C/E		463 85 ---	766 189 ---	648 65 ---	---	---	---
	Total			548	955	713	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.04 Level VI	In-Situ Methods Development	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	---	---	---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.05 Level VI	Field Samples Improvement	OE C/E		805 0 ---	904 0 ---	1,025 0 ---	---	---	---
	Total			805	904	1,025	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.06 Level VI	Direct Bit Temp. Monitor Dvlp.	OE C/E		600 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	---	---	---
	Total			600	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.07 Level VI	Charact. Methods Dvlp. (PNL)	OE C/E		1,153 815 ---	1,504 2,832 ---	1,665 4,589 ---	---	---	---
	Total			1,968	4,336	6,254	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.08 Level VI	Analytical Procedures Development (PNL)	OE C/E		325 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	---	---	---
	Total			325	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.09 Level VI	TDPO PNL Final Planned	OE C/E		676 1,244 ---	689 2,961 ---	0 0 ---	---	---	---
	Total			1,920	3,650	0	0	0	0

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.04.02.10 Level VI	Hot Cell Instrument Development	OE C/E		0 0	0 0	0 0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.11 Level VI	Analysis Procedures Development	OE C/E		0 0	0 0	0 0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.12 Level VI	In-Situ Methods Development	OE C/E		0 0	0 0	0 0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.13 Level VI	Charact. Methods Dvlp. (PNL)	OE C/E		0 0	0 0	0 0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.14 Level VI	Analytical Procedures Development (LLNL)	OE C/E		0 0	0 0	0 0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.03 Level V	<u>Field Sampling & Measurement</u> ADS 1130-0-OC	OE C/E	NA	24,248 3,427	26,781 0	24,626 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total			27,675	26,781	24,626	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.03.01 Level VI	Activity Program Management	OE C/E		1,692 0	1,774 0	1,827 0			
	Total			1,692	1,774	1,827	0	0	0

T7.6-1.3

WHE-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR [R1]

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.04.03.02 Level VI	Core Sampling	OE C/E		12,956 0 -----	16,722 0 -----	18,162 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			12,956	16,722	18,162	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.03.03 Level VI	Auger Sampling	OE C/E		2,403 0 -----	2,436 0 -----	0 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			2,403	2,436	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.03.04 Level VI	Vapor Sampling	OE C/E		3,264 0 -----	3,545 0 -----	3,630 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			3,264	3,545	3,630	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.03.05 Level VI	Supernate Sampling	OE C/E		1,823 0 -----	1,356 0 -----	0 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			1,823	1,356	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.03.06 Level VI	Riser Preparation	OE C/E		432 0 -----	499 0 -----	514 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			432	499	514	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.03.07 Level VI	Equipment Replacement	OE C/E		600 2,826 -----	449 0 -----	493 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			3,426	449	493	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.03.08 Level VI	Field Sampling Readiness	OE C/E		0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.6-1.4

WHE-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.04.03.09 Level VI	3 rd & 4 th Sampling Trucks	OE C/E		1,078 601 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			1,679	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04 Level V	<u>Analytical Integration</u> ADS 1130-0-OD	OE C/E	NA	39,527 219 -----	42,064 0 -----	26,871 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Sub Total			39,746	42,064	26,871	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.01 Level VI	Activity Program Management	OE C/E		551 0 -----	568 0 -----	585 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			551	568	585	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.02 Level VI	Lab Readiness	OE C/E		6,270 0 -----	6,786 0 -----	4,064 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			6,270	6,786	4,064	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.03 Level VI	Safety Screening	OE C/E		9,357 0 -----	12,010 0 -----	12,955 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			9,357	12,010	12,955	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.04 Level VI	Safety Resolution	OE C/E		3,550 0 -----	4,320 0 -----	5,236 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			3,550	4,320	5,236	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.05 Level VI	Compatibility DQO Analysis	OE C/E		848 0 -----	1,289 0 -----	0 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			848	1,289	0	0	0	0

T7.6-1.5

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.04.04.06 Level VI	Operations DQO Analysis	OE C/E		2,268 0 -----	2,683 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			2,268	2,683	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.07 Level VI	Disposal DQO Analysis	OE C/E		3,559 0 -----	3,912 0 -----	4,031 0 -----			
	Total			3,559	3,912	4,031	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.08 Level VI	Vapor Analysis Final Planned (PNL)	OE C/E		1,300 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			1,300	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.09 Level VI	Off Site Labs – Final Planned	OE C/E		5,150 0 -----	4,995 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			5,150	4,995	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.10 Level VI	300 Area Waste Assessment	OE C/E		380 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			380	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.11 Level VI	222–S Lab Upgrades / Lims.	OE C/E		2,631 0 -----	2,361 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			2,631	2,361	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.12 Level VI	325 Lab Upgrades Final Planned	OE C/E		2,735 0 -----	2,523 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			2,735	2,523	0	0	0	0

T7.6-1.6

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.04.04.13 Level VI	Off-Site Repackaging / Shipping	OE C/E		428 0 -----	617 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			428	617	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.14 Level VI	Organic Analysis Support (ORNL)	OE C/E		500 219 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			719	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.15 Level VI	Vapor Analysis (PNL)	OE C/E		0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.16 Level VI	ORNL CENRTC Activity (Enhance Trap Lab.)	OE C/E		0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.05 Level V	<u>Data Evaluation & Reporting</u> ADS 1130-0-OE	OE C/E	NA	9,108 0 -----	10,482 0 -----	8,133 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Sub Total			9,108	10,482	8,133	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.05.01 Level VI	Activity Program Management	OE C/E		123 0 -----	127 0 -----	131 0 -----			
	Total			123	127	131	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.05.02 Level VI	Data Definition & Planning	OE C/E		193 0 -----	252 0 -----	197 0 -----			
	Total			193	252	197	0	0	0

T7.6-1.7

WHE-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.04.05.03 Level VI	Historical Data Development (LANL)	OE C/E		1,800 0 -----	1,906 0 -----	212 0 -----			
	Total			1,800	1,906	212	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.05.04 Level VI	Tank Characterization Reports	OE C/E		1,674 0 -----	2,905 0 -----	3,540 0 -----			
	Total			1,674	2,905	3,540	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.05.05 Level VI	Data Dissemination	OE C/E		631 0 -----	450 0 -----	475 0 -----			
	Total			631	450	475	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.05.06 Level VI	Data Access Improvement (PNL)	OE C/E		957 0 -----	749 0 -----	721 0 -----			
	Total			957	749	721	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.05.07 Level VI	Tank Characterization Planning	OE C/E		1,159 0 -----	1,504 0 -----	1,550 0 -----			
	Total			1,159	1,504	1,550	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.05.08 Level VI	Data Definition Support (PNL)	OE C/E		580 0 -----	798 0 -----	822 0 -----			
	Total			580	798	822	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.05.09 Level VI	Historical Data Development	OE C/E		1,991 0 -----	1,791 0 -----	485 0 -----			
	Total			1,991	1,791	485	0	0	0

T7.6-1.8

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

**TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR**

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.04 Level IV	<u>Characterization</u> ADS 1130-0	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	TOTAL		174,174	167,824	116,015	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Delta Required to Balance to TARGET</i>	OE C/E								
1.1.1.2.04.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1130-0-OA	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.01.01 Level VI	Program Management & Administration	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02 Level V	<u>Tech. Development & Applied Engineering</u> ADS 1130-0-OB	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.01 Level VI	Activity Program Management	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.02.02 Level VI	Hot Cell Instrument Development	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.6-1.9

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.04.02.03 Level VI	Analytical Procedures Development	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.02.04 Level VI	In-Situ Methods Development	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.02.05 Level VI	Field Samples Improvement	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.02.06 Level VI	Direct Bit Temp. Monitor Dvlp.	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.02.07 Level VI	Charact. Methods Dvlp. (PNL)	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.02.08 Level VI	Analytical Procedures Development (PNL)	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.02.09 Level VI	TDPO PNL Final Planned	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.6-1.10

UHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.04.02.10 Level VI	Hot Cell Instrument Development	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.02.11 Level VI	Analysis Procedures Development	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.02.12 Level VI	In-Situ Methods Development	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.02.13 Level VI	Charact. Methods Dvlp. (PNL)	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.02.14 Level VI	Analytical Procedures Development (LLNL)	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.03 Level V	<u>Field Sampling & Measurement</u> ADS 1130-0-OC	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.03.01 Level VI	Activity Program Management	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.6-1.11

WHC-SF-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.04.03.02 Level VI	Core Sampling	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.03.03 Level VI	Auger Sampling	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.03.04 Level VI	Vapor Sampling	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.03.05 Level VI	Supernate Sampling	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.03.06 Level VI	Riser Preparation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.03.07 Level VI	Equipment Replacement	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.03.08 Level VI	Field Sampling Readiness	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.6-1.12

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.04.03.09 Level VI	3 rd & 4 th Sampling Trucks	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04 Level V	<u>Analytical Integration</u> ADS 1130-0-OD	OE C/E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.01 Level VI	Activity Program Management	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.02 Level VI	Lab Readiness	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.03 Level VI	Safety Screening	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.04 Level VI	Safety Resolution	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.04.04.05 Level VI	Compatibility DQO Analysis	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.6-1.13

WHC-SP-1101

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.04.04.06 Level VI	Operations DQO Analysis Total	OE C/E	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0
1.1.1.2.04.04.07 Level VI	Disposal DQO Analysis Total	OE C/E	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0
1.1.1.2.04.04.08 Level VI	Vapor Analysis Final Planned (PNL) Total	OE C/E	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0
1.1.1.2.04.04.09 Level VI	Off Site Labs - Final Planned Total	OE C/E	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0
1.1.1.2.04.04.10 Level VI	300 Area Waste Assessment Total	OE C/E	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0
1.1.1.2.04.04.11 Level VI	222-S Lab Upgrades / Lims. Total	OE C/E	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0
1.1.1.2.04.04.12 Level VI	325 Lab Upgrades Final Planned Total	OE C/E	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0	--- 0

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.04.04.13 Level VI	Off-Site Repackaging / Shipping	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.04.14 Level VI	Organic Analysis Support (ORNL)	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.04.15 Level VI	Vapor Analysis (PNL)	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.04.16 Level VI	ORNL CENRTC Activity (Enhance Trap Lab.)	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.05 Level V	<u>Data Evaluation & Reporting</u> ADS 1130-0-OE	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.05.01 Level VI	Activity Program Management	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.05.02 Level VI	Data Definition & Planning	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.6-1.15

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.6-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.04.05.03 Level VI	Historical Data Development (LANL)	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.05.04 Level VI	Tank Characterization Reports	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.05.05 Level VI	Data Dissemination	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.05.06 Level VI	Data Access Improvement (PNL)	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.05.07 Level VI	Tank Characterization Planning	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.05.08 Level VI	Data Definition Support (PNL)	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.04.05.09 Level VI	Historical Data Development	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.6-1.16

WHC-SP-1101

NOTES**TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT COST BASELINE - BY YEAR**

The cost exhibit tables in each of the Program Element Baselines (Sections 7.2 through 7.10) identify the program element target funding by year by fund type. The tables also provide details of the baseline allocation of funding down to activity levels (level V) and cost account levels (level VI) of the WBS for Fiscal Year 1995 through 1997. The details exhibited on these tables are taken from the baseline WBS Dictionary Sheets. Deltas exist between the details and the annual target totals. For Fiscal Years 1996 and 1997 the deltas will be addressed in the future, following the completion of the resolution of expected differences between current Fiscal Year 1995 baseline funding and expected actual Fiscal Year 1995 funding. Deltas for Fiscal Year 1995 are noted below by program element:

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION:

No deltas.

TANK FARM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE:

No overall delta. This baseline requires revised fund type mix.

WASTE TANK SAFETY:

Delta is due to need to revise an overhead pricing rate in the P3 system.

TANK FARM UPGRADES:

Delta is due to differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (B0) in the P3 system.

CHARACTERIZATION:

No significant deltas.

WASTE RETRIEVAL:

Delta is primarily due to need to resolve issues associated with expense funding support to capital projects and differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (B0) in the P3 system.

WASTE PRETREATMENT:

Delta is due to the sum of the detail cost estimates being lower than the target total as a result of reestimation of resource requirements to complete baseline scope.

LOW LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is primarily due to carryover expense funded workscope on melter testing addressed in June 9, 1994 RL letter.

T7.6-1.17

HIGH LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is due to carryover of capital funding, PNL expense funding carryover, and ADS corrections submitted but not reflected in target totals.

T7.6-1.18

Table 7.6-2. FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account (\$K)

Future updates of the MYWP will include Table 7.6-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

**Table 7.6-3. Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant
(Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)**

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.6-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

**Table 7.6-4. FY 1995 and FY 1996 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company Full-Time Equivalents
by 88 Labor Categories**

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.6-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.7 WASTE RETRIEVAL BASELINE

The Waste Retrieval (WR) Program Element baseline is described through the strategy, the work breakdown structure, the schedule and milestones to be achieved, and the resources required to perform the work. This document provides a focus on a 3-year planning period, FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.7.1 Technical Bases

The program technical bases are derived from the TWRS Mission Analysis and the Functions and Requirements allocated to the function titled "Retrieve Waste."

7.7.1.1 Mission Strategy

7.7.1.1.1 Objectives

The WR Program's primary objectives are to support resolution of waste tank safety issues; remove waste from aging single-shell tanks (SSTs) and transfer to double-shell tanks (DSTs) for safe interim storage; mobilize sludge in DSTs for in-tank treatment or transfer; provide for the transfer of wastes for consolidation in DSTs or to pretreatment and immobilization facilities. The program will also provide for retrieval of miscellaneous underground storage tanks and small volumes of retrieved waste for pretreatment and immobilization development testing, and facilitate operational activities of retrieval equipment.

7.7.1.1.2 Strategy

The WR program strategy is to begin with known technical solutions; develop enhancements; develop new technologies to improve safety, environmental safeguards, and cost effectiveness; and implement enhancements and new technologies if they demonstrate significant improvements.

This strategy is realized through the use of known technologies such as mixer pumps, which have proven effectiveness in retrieving tank wastes at West Valley and Savannah River facilities, and through a sluicing process that has been successfully demonstrated at the Hanford Site from the 1950's through the 1970's.

The program anticipates enhancements to both mixer pumps and the sluicing process in areas such as reduced exposures, extended equipment service life, and reuse capability.

The program works actively with the Office of Technology Development Program (EM-50) in areas such as robotics and the development of an arm-based retrieval system. Industry, academia, and national laboratories are involved in developing and evaluating alternatives to the reference retrieval systems.

7.7.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements

The WR program is assigned the functional responsibility for "Retrieve Waste" (4.2.1.3), as identified in the TWRS function hierarchy.

7.7.1.1.4 Risks

The WR program has identified the following major areas of risk associated with meeting the program objectives as stated above.

The ability to resolve safety issues through the currently planned deployment of mixer pumps and/or sluicing equipment and the process of mixing through agitation and/or fluid addition may cause conditions that fall outside of the bounding safety limitations on any given tank. In this case, alternates to equipment other than reference systems may be required to be designed, fabricated, installed, and operated to effect retrieval of the waste and resolution of the safety issue.

The requirements for closure of SSTs are not developed at this time and the assumption that a single system will be adequate to retrieve an adequate amount of waste to meet closure requirements is a risk.

The ability to remove waste from SSTs using a single retrieval system carries a high level of uncertainty. While a high level of confidence exists in the capability of sluicing systems to dislodge and convey salt cake and sludges in the SSTs, other waste forms (i.e., solids, debris, and failed equipment) will require alternate means of retrieval. Robotics systems using mechanical arms with a variety of end effectors are currently being developed and tested for use in retrieval of SSTs. It is yet to be determined that this system will be capable of removing sufficient quantities of waste and waste forms to meet the undetermined closure requirements.

Some uncertainty exists in the capability of mixer pumps to adequately mobilize sludges and existing waste heels in DSTs. Simulant development and testing at a one-quarter scale may provide adequate assurance that the mixer pumps will prove successful. Questions regarding the adequacy of simulant use will most likely remain until actual full-scale operations are conducted.

There is a schedule requirement to complete retrieval of SSTs by 2018. Simultaneous deployment and operation of a number of retrieval systems will be necessary to meet this date. The uncertainty associated with the number of systems to be deployed at any given time will be resolved through the development and use of systems models and the process flow sheets.

7.7.1.1.5 Major Decisions

All major TWRS program decisions and a description of the tasks required to arrive at the decisions are identified in Appendix F, Major Decisions Logic/Schedule. The following four decisions are the responsibility of the WR program.

- 4.2.1.3 Determine Waste Mobilization (Retrieval) Strategy
- 4.2.1.4 Determine Waste Transfer Mechanism (partial - limited to transfer of tank wastes)
- 4.2.3.5 Determine Excess Facilities Interface Requirement
- 4.2.3.6 Determine Recycle/Reuse Concept.

The program has developed a detailed decision logic for the mobilization strategy which further identifies decisions, alternates, and studies required to reach the decisions. This work is included in the program element schedules.

7.7.1.1.6 Assumptions

1. The resolution of safety issues will require waste retrieval from all Watch List tanks.
2. The retrieval program will meet the requirements of the *Hanford Federal Facility and Consent Order* (Tri-Party Agreement).
3. WR will be required for all SSTs and miscellaneous underground storage tanks.
4. Two mixer pumps will successfully mobilize all sludge in each DST.
5. Sluicing is the reference SST retrieval method; additional methods will be required to achieve Tri-Party Agreement objectives.
6. The Hanford Defense Waste Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Record of Decision provides sufficient National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation for the retrieval of DST waste.
7. An environmental assessment is sufficient NEPA documentation for retrieval of the first SST (241-C-106). The TWRS EIS will be necessary for retrieval of the remaining SSTs.
8. WR will perform all activities necessary to transfer DSTs, SSTs, and miscellaneous underground storage tanks to the Environmental Restoration (ER) Program (EM-40) for closure, including the preparation of a closure plan and EIS.
9. Subsurface barriers will be evaluated for use, but will not be included in the baseline work scope.
10. There is no requirement to provide tank waste samples for large-scale pretreatment and immobilization development. System(s) to provide small volumes of retrieved salt cake and sludges are included in the baseline.

Additional details may be found in activity planning forms.

7.7.1.1.7 Constraints

The WR program is constrained by the Tri-Party Agreement to meet certain milestones. Safety considerations regarding access to tanks and process restrictions provide a constraint. The program long-range plans are constrained by the lack of a TWRS dynamic model which, along with a current process flow sheet, will provide the bases for a retrieval sequence and provide information for the transport system. Precise knowledge of the content of each tank to be retrieved would permit an optimization of system design.

7.7.1.2 Structure

7.7.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure

The WR program is one of nine TWRS program elements. The program employs a matrix organization and attempts to close couple the organizational and work breakdown structures. The program has five basic elements: Program Management (Technical Integration and Planning);

Technology Development and Applied Engineering; Facility Operations; System Definition; and Projects. The WR program element Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) is shown in Figure 7.7-1. A WBS dictionary is included as Appendix A.

7.7.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility

The WR program is organized along four major functions: Programs, Engineering, Projects, and Operations. Responsibilities are assigned to various organizational elements, internal and external to TWRS, for discrete activities identified in the WBS. A responsibility assignment matrix (RAM) is developed to assist in the management and control of the work assigned to WR.

The program office provides direction to the performing organizations in the form of a WBS and a schedule. Each performing organization is responsible for developing a lower level WBS, schedules, and estimates for the work to be performed. Constraints are applied to the proposed schedules and estimates and the plans are established.

Technology Development for the WR program is largely performed by EM-50. The Technology Development Program Office (TDPO) is responsible for establishing the technical requirements for EM-50 activities that relate to WR and monitoring progress against those requirements. The TDPO will also manage the technology development work performed by EM-30 in the WR program.

7.7.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.01)

The routine activities associated with planning and reporting will continue throughout FY 1995. Preparation of work plans and activity data sheets (ADSs) are included in the FY 1995 work scope. A significant portion of the work in FY 1994 was associated with the reporting to ad-hoc requirements and one-of-a-kind reports. These requirements deter from the preparation and development of performance measures and productivity measures, which are fundamental to the success of the program. A waste retrieval strategic plan was issued in draft form in 1994. This document includes the decision logic for program work and will be completed and issued in 1995. Systems Engineering studies at the program level (as identified in 7.7.1.1.5 above) will be completed.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.02)

Conduct all activities necessary to develop and demonstrate methodologies to retrieve the waste contained in DSTs, SSTs, and the designated miscellaneous underground storage tanks in compliance with tank closure requirements. Focus of the efforts will be on developing fundamental relationships between waste properties and physical performance parameters of waste retrieval equipment/processes. Identify physical parameters that characterize performance requirements for waste retrieval equipment and processes. Develop simulants that match the selected physical and/or chemical properties selected to characterize the performance of retrieval equipment/processes.

DST technologies in FY 1995 include continuing the test program to demonstrate mixer pump performance, including the ability to mobilize waste in DSTs and to maintain a uniform waste slurry mixture after the waste is mobilized; developing analytical models to provide the bases for predicting performance of waste retrieval equipment for various types of waste; and identifying and/or developing instrumentation systems necessary to monitor and control the retrieval operation. This encompasses defining requirements for retrieval of high-shear strength DST sludges and identifying

candidate systems to retrieve the high-shear strength sludges, as well as candidate systems to improve the performance, cost, or operational efficiency over existing mixer pump-based systems, as part of the continuing effort to develop/test enhanced and alternate DST retrieval systems.

SST technologies in FY 1995 will identify, develop, and evaluate enhancements to the reference SST retrieval systems to proceed with the recovery of wastes from SSTs that present greater challenges than 241-C-106. The need for sluicing enhancements will be evaluated to improve sluicing retrieval performance, reduce cost, and reduce tank leakage potential during sluicing operations. Activities to develop and evaluate the feasibility of arm-based retrieval technologies will be performed; these activities include deployment systems, control systems, sensor systems, and evaluation of software modeling packages. Development results will be incorporated into the W-340 project. Alternative retrieval technologies will be assessed by establishing a competitive development of system concepts through an industry fly off concept/development versus concepts established by onsite engineering, versus concepts from other the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) laboratories and universities.

In FY 1995, an activity will identify the leak detection and monitoring system functions and requirements, evaluate the available technologies, and select the most promising technologies for engineering development/testing. It will also test the selected technologies to confirm their ability to meet the requirements and to provide engineering data needed to evaluate and select a technology and find a design for the leak detection and monitoring system through a series of case studies in which 241-C-106 will be the first case study.

For FY 1995, the principal tasks will be to assist the DOE and Washington State Department of Ecology review of the barrier feasibility assessment and reach a decision on whether or not to proceed with the barrier demonstration test. Once the decision is reached, planning is in place for the design, development, procurement, site modification, construction, installation, and performance testing of small-scale subsurface barriers in order to demonstrate the performance of barriers as a leak confinement system for SSTs. The preparation of test plans, safety analysis, environmental permitting, construction inspection, quality assurance, training, test data analysis, and documentation are also included in this work scope.

Facility Operations (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.03)

Facility operations will perform miscellaneous work under the project WBS.

System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.04)

FY 1995 activities include the following. Prepare engineering trade studies, assessments, project definition, and project-level functions and requirements for initial DST and SST retrieval systems designs and operation. Perform systems engineering assessments of needs and interfaces with other TWRS elements. Assess available technologies, and issue a trade study that provides the bases for the chosen technology. Prepare a test and evaluation plan that outlines the determination of needed testing during project design, construction, and startup. Prepare project documentation required to obtain Key Decision 0.

An activity will be performed to define the tank farm supporting infrastructure requirements necessary to support the retrieval mission and objectives. These requirements will be provided and integrated with the Tank Farms Upgrades Program. The requirements will include electrical power, lighting, compressed air, tank ventilation systems, waste transfer interfaces, and other systems needed to support WR.

Additional activities planned include the definition and development of the SST retrieval systems training and test requirements to support retrieval of all 149 SSTs. A functions and requirements document will be prepared, facility options will be evaluated against the requirements, trade studies will be performed, and an engineering study will be completed to establish a recommended approach for the SST retrieval training and test facilities.

Also in FY 1995 are the starting activities necessary to define and establish the initial retrieval project. The scope includes the engineering trade studies necessary to bound project scope, establish functional requirements, and complete the engineering study and project documentation necessary to obtain Key Decision O. This project will provide the SST retrieval system maintenance and support retrieval operations for the first tank farm or equivalent number of tanks. This project(s) will provide the maintenance facilities, laydown/setup areas, and support buildings necessary to maintain/support SST retrieval systems for one of the 200 Areas (East or West). The resultant project will include a tank waste transfer vault to accumulate waste in batches prior to transferring the waste to DSTs. It also includes support systems that are necessary to remove the waste from the tanks and support the retrieval operations.

An analysis will be prepared of Federal and State regulations to identify issues requiring additional regulatory definition. Included would be regulations providing sufficient bases for categorizing residual waste in SSTs as to high-level waste, low-level waste, TRU, mixed, and hazardous; promulgation of disposal criteria for each applicable waste type; and definition of jurisdictional authority of Federal and State agencies. Informational exchanges with regulators will be supported, as required. This activity will likely be level of effort based, until issues are resolved.

W-151 101-AZ Retrieval (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.05)

The effort will continue to provide two 300-horsepower mixer pumps in tank 241-AZ-101 for the purposes of demonstrating sludge mobilization in Hanford's DSTs. This includes the following: project management; technology development specific to this project; conceptual design; definitive design; safety and environmental permitting analysis and documentation; procurement of equipment and materials; modifications to the tanks and their service systems to support installation and operation of the retrieval systems; installation of the retrieval systems; startup preparations, training, and testing; inspection; and quality assurance. Disposal of solid waste generated during the tank modifications and installation of the retrieval hardware is also included.

W-211 Initial Retrieval System (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.06)

This project provides retrieval systems for the retrieval of radioactive wastes stored in 10 underground DSTs. FY 1995 work will focus on the first phase, which includes tanks 241-SY-101 and 241-SY-103 only, and includes the following: project management; technology development specific to this project; conceptual design; definitive design; safety and environmental permitting analysis and documentation; procurement of equipment and materials; modifications to the tanks and their service systems to support installation and operation of the retrieval systems; installation of the retrieval systems; startup preparations, training, and testing; inspection; and quality assurance. Disposal of solid waste generated during the tank modifications and installation of the retrieval hardware is also included.

Final DST Retrieval System (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.07)

No work scope is planned for FY 1995.

W-315 Pilot Scale Retrieval (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.08)

This activity provides the engineering studies; trade studies; and design, procurement, and testing of the small-volume retrieval systems (provide 25- to 50-liter samples of tank wastes). The scope of this project includes the following: project management; technology development specific to this project; conceptual design; definitive design; safety and environmental permitting analysis and documentation; procurement of equipment and materials; modifications to the tanks and their service systems to support installation and operation of the retrieval systems; installation of the retrieval systems; startup preparations, training, and testing; inspection; and quality assurance.

W-320 241-C-106 Sluicing (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.09)

Project W-320 will continue in FY 1995 to provide a water sluicing-based retrieval system to remove the high-heat generating sludge from tank 241-C-106 to resolve the associated tank safety issue. The equipment provided by this project will also demonstrate and verify the performance of high-volume waste sluicing systems for retrieval of SST waste. The scope of this project includes the following: project management; technology development specific to this project; definitive design; safety and environmental permitting analysis and documentation; procurement of equipment and materials; modifications to the tank and its service systems to support installation and operation of the sluicing retrieval system; installation of the retrieval system; startup preparations, training, and testing; inspection; and quality assurance. This project will also provide transfer lines as required to transport the retrieved wastes to a DST, as well as upgrades to the DST receipt tank as required to receive and store the retrieved sludge from 241-C-106. Disposal of solid waste generated during the tank modifications and installation of the retrieval hardware is also included.

SST Training and Test Facility (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.10)

All FY 1995 work scope consists of System Definition and is planned as part of WBS 1.1.1.2.05.04.

W-340 Long Reach Arm (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.11)

All FY 1995 work scope consists of System Definition and is planned as part of WBS 1.1.1.2.05.04.

SST Closure Demonstration (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.12)

All FY 1995 work scope consists of System Definition and is planned as part of WBS 1.1.1.2.05.04. Baseline effort will not initiate project until FY 1997.

SST Closure (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.13)

No activities are planned in the FY 1995-1997 planning window.

Miscellaneous Underground Storage Tanks Retrieval Systems (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.14)

No activities are planned in the FY 1995-1997 planning window.

7.7.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed

The work scope for FY 1996-1997 continues the efforts to establish and implement technologies to mobilize and remove waste from the underground storage tanks (USTs) and to enable transport of the waste to various facilities for storage, treatment, or disposal. This includes conducting all activities necessary to develop and demonstrate methodologies to retrieve the waste; retrieving the waste contained in DSTs, SSTs, and the designated miscellaneous underground storage tanks in compliance with tank closure requirements; and designing, installing, and operating the equipment required to remove waste from the USTs. Also included are related upgrades and/or replacement of the tank farm infrastructure, and local transfer lines not provided by the Tank Farm Upgrades Program (WBS 1.1.1.2.03) when required to support retrieval.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.01)

The routine activities associated with planning and reporting will continue throughout FY 1996 and 1997. Preparation of work plans and ADSs are included in this work scope. Updates will be completed to the waste retrieval strategic plan, which will be issued each fiscal year and will identify the strategy to be employed by the systems engineering studies at the program level (as identified in 7.7.1.1.5 above).

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.02)

Activities will continue in FY 1996-1997 to complete development and demonstration of methodologies to retrieve the waste contained in DSTs, SSTs, and the designated miscellaneous underground storage tanks in compliance with tank closure requirements. With the formulation of fundamental relationships between waste properties and physical performance parameters of waste retrieval equipment/processes, finalization of equipment/processes will be done. Performance testing will be done with developed simulants which match the selected physical and/or chemical properties selected to characterize the performance of retrieval equipment/processes.

DST technologies in FY 1996-1997 include completing the test program to demonstrate mixer pump performance, including the ability to mobilize waste in DSTs and the ability to maintain a uniform waste slurry mixture after the waste is mobilized; developing analytical models to provide a bases for predicting performance of waste retrieval equipment for various types of waste; and developing instrumentation systems necessary to monitor and control the retrieval operation. This encompasses defining candidate systems to improve the performance, cost, or operational efficiency over existing mixer pump based systems, as part of the continuing effort to develop/test enhanced and alternate DST retrieval systems.

SST technologies in FY 1996-1997 will continue the identification, development, and evaluation of enhancements to the reference SST retrieval systems. With the FY 1995 needs assessment for sluicing, enhancements will formulate the bases for continued trade studies to assess methods to improve sluicing retrieval performance, reduce cost, and reduce tank leakage potential during sluicing operations. Activities to develop and evaluate the feasibility of arm-based retrieval technologies will continue; these activities include deployment systems, control systems, sensor systems, and evaluation of software modeling packages. Development results will be incorporated into the proposed W-340 project. Alternative retrieval technologies will continue to be assessed.

In FY 1996-1997 the leak detection and monitoring system evaluations into the appropriate and available technologies will continue, as well as the selections of the most promising technologies for engineering development/testing. Tests will be conducted to confirm a methods ability to meet the requirements and to provide engineering data needed to evaluate and select a technology and find a design for the leak detection and monitoring system through a series of case studies.

In FY 1996-1997 the principal tasks will be, should the decision be made to proceed with the barrier demonstration test, to start performance testing and complete for other technologies the design, development, procurement, site modification, construction, installation, and performance testing of small-scale subsurface barriers. A September 30, 1997, Tri-Party Agreement milestone, complete evaluation and demonstration testing of small scale subsurface barriers (M-45-07), will be met.

Facility Operations (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.03)

FY 1996-1997 activities will include the following. Prepare the test plan for the retrieval process test in tank 101-AZ. Determine the test objectives in relation to retrieval program needs, and develop test procedures to achieve the test objectives. Train the personnel that will perform the tests. Perform the operational readiness reviews and other activities necessary to support the testing activity. Correlate the test results with the results from the analytical model development and simulant testing results (development demonstration testing) to validate these programs. Assess the test results to identify additional model development and/or simulant testing necessary to extrapolate results to retrieval of other waste types.

Perform operations necessary to sluice the high-heat-generating sludge from tank 241-C-106. These operations are expected to resolve the high heat safety issue associated with this tank. Activities include preparing procedures and training operating personnel to perform the sluicing retrieval demonstration from tank 241-C-106, completing the operational readiness review, and performing operations and maintenance activities necessary to remove the high-heat sludge from the tank. This activity also includes removal of the retrieval system and decontamination of the hardware once the waste retrieval operations are completed. Disposal of solid waste generated during retrieval operations and maintenance is also included.

System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.04)

FY 1996-1997 work scope continues the engineering trade studies, assessments, project definition, and project-level functions and requirements for initial DST and SST retrieval systems designs and operation. Perform systems engineering assessments of needs and interfaces with other TWRS project elements. Complete the assessments of available technologies, and issue all trade studies that provide the bases for the chosen technology. Prepare a test and evaluation plan that outlines the determination of needed testing during project design, construction, and startup. Prepare project documentation required to obtain Key Decision 0.

Complete the activity to define the tank farm supporting infrastructure requirements necessary to support the retrieval mission and objectives. Establishing the requirements will include electrical power, lighting, compressed air, tank ventilation systems, waste transfer interfaces, and other systems needed to support WR.

Additional activities planned include the definition and development of the SST retrieval systems training and test requirements to support retrieval of all 149 SSTs. From the functions and requirements document prepared in FY 1995, facility options will be evaluated against the

requirements, trade studies will be performed, and an engineering study will be completed to establish a recommended approach for the SST Retrieval training and test facilities.

The activities necessary to define and establish the initial retrieval project will be completed. The scope includes the engineering trade studies necessary to bound project scope, establish functional requirements, and complete the engineering study and project documentation necessary to obtain Key Decision O. This project will provide the SST retrieval system maintenance and support retrieval operations for the first tank farm or equivalent number of tanks. This project(s) will provide the maintenance facilities, laydown/setup areas, and support buildings necessary to maintain/support SST retrieval systems for one of the 200 Areas (East or West). The resultant project will include a tank waste transfer vault to accumulate waste in batches prior to transferring the waste to DSTs. It also includes support systems that are necessary to remove the waste from the tanks and support the retrieval operations.

Tank closure requirements assessment will be completed. The annual update to the initial SST Closure Plan and the subsequent annual update will be prepared. Planning will be initiated to conduct closure trade studies and alternatives. Work will be completed on the analysis of Federal and State regulations to identify issues requiring additional regulatory definition. Informational exchanges with regulators will be supported, as required. This activity will likely be level of effort-based, until issues are resolved.

W-151 101-AZ Retrieval (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.05)

Complete the effort to provide two 300-horsepower mixer pumps in tank 241-AZ-101 for the purposes of demonstrating sludge mobilization in Hanford's DSTs.

W-211 Initial Retrieval System (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.06)

This project will continue to provide retrieval systems for the retrieval of radioactive wastes stored in 10 underground DSTs, with retrieval system construction for tanks 241-SY-101 and 241-SY-103. The Title II Design for tank 241-AW-101 will start. FY 1996-1997 scope includes the following: project management; technology development specific to this project; conceptual design; definitive design; safety and environmental permitting analysis and documentation; procurement of equipment and materials; modifications to the tanks and their service systems to support installation and operation of the retrieval systems; installation of the retrieval systems; startup preparations, training, and testing; inspection; and quality assurance. Disposal of solid waste generated during the tank modifications and installation of the retrieval hardware is also included.

Final DST Retrieval System (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.07)

No work scope is planned for FY 1996-1997.

W-315 Pilot Scale Retrieval (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.08)

The engineering studies; trade studies; and design, procurement, and testing of the small volume retrieval systems (provide 25- to 50-liter samples of tank wastes) will be completed. FY 1996-1997 scope includes the following: project management; definitive design; safety and environmental permitting analysis and documentation; procurement of equipment and materials; modifications to the tanks and their service systems to support installation and operation of the retrieval systems; installation of the retrieval systems; startup preparations, training, and testing; inspection; and quality assurance

W-320 241-C-106 Sluicing (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.09)

Project W-320 will be completed in FY 1996-1997, which is to provide a water sluicing-based retrieval system to remove the high-heat generating sludge from tank 241-C-106 to resolve the associated tank safety issue. A demonstration will be performed, and the performance of high-volume waste sluicing systems for retrieval of SST waste will be verified. The modifications will be completed to the tank and its service systems to support installation and operation of the sluicing retrieval system; installation of the retrieval system; startup preparations, training, and testing; and inspection.

SST Training and Test Facility (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.10)

All FY 1996-1997 work scope consists of System Definition and is planned as part of WBS 1.1.1.2.05.04.

W-340 Long Reach Arm (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.11)

All FY 1996 work scope consists of System Definition and is planned as part of WBS 1.1.1.2.05.04.

FY 1997 work scope is to initiate the design of this project to demonstrate and verify the performance of long-reach manipulator systems for retrieval of SST waste. This project will provide the retrieval hardware and support systems necessary to remove the hard sludge heel that is expected to remain in tank 241-C-106 after completion of sluicing retrieval operations. The scope of this project includes the following: project management; technology development specific to this project; conceptual design; definitive design; safety and environmental permitting analysis and documentation; procurement of equipment and materials; modifications to the tank and its service systems to support installation and operation of the arm-based retrieval system; installation of the retrieval system; startup preparations, training, and testing; inspection; and quality assurance. This system is intended to demonstrate the capability to meet or exceed the Tri-Party Agreement criteria to remove a minimum of 99 percent of the waste from this tank. Disposal of solid waste generated during the tank modifications and installation of the retrieval hardware is also included.

SST Closure Demonstration (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.12)

FY 1997 work scope is to provide the systems necessary to retrieve the waste from all tanks in an SST farm or an equivalent number of tanks in multiple farms. This project will provide the retrieval hardware and support systems necessary to remove the waste from the tanks to a sufficient level to meet or exceed the 99 percent retrieval criteria specified in the Tri-Party Agreement. The scope of this project includes the following: project management; technology development specific to this project; conceptual design; definitive design; safety and environmental permitting analysis and documentation; procurement of equipment and materials; modifications to the tanks and their service systems to support installation and operation of the retrieval systems; installation of the retrieval systems; startup preparations, training, and testing; inspection; and quality assurance. Disposal of solid waste generated during the tank modifications and installation of the retrieval hardware is also included.

SST Closure (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.13)

No activities are planned in the FY 1995-1997 planning window.

Miscellaneous Underground Storage Tanks Retrieval Systems (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.14)

No activities are planned in the FY 1995-1997 planning window.

7.7.1.5 Approach**Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.01)**

The management approach for the WR program is to maintain a focus on safety, work performance, and product quality. The program office will continue to develop and implement reporting methods that segregate accounting performance from work performance to allow accurate assessments of the work in progress and provide early indicators and forecasts. The systems engineering approach will continue, and outside contractor staff will be used as necessary to develop a clear product path from technology development through system definition to projects.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.02)

There is an acute need to provide a proper balance between technology development and applied engineering. The application of decision-making tools like the program decision logic, functional flow diagrams, and interface control documents will address this need. Emphasis is placed on establishing and maintaining justification for new and continuing development activities. TWRS engineering staff will be product and outcome oriented. The TDPO or an equivalent program office representative will coordinate EM-50 activities, and the TWRS engineering staff will provide technical liaison for requirements and deliverables.

EM-50 will provide as much of the technology for waste retrieval as possible. Pacific Northwest Laboratories will continue their work on DST technology as long as products/projects can benefit from this work. Outside sources of technical expertise may be required from time to time, and these will be obtained through the TWRS Basic Ordering Agreement (BOA).

Facility Operations (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.03)

WR projects have established an approach to retrieval operations which will be further developed over the next few years. Current plans include the training and qualification of project resources in operational areas to provide coordination and direction of the operations work associated with retrieval projects. Long-range plans may include commercialization or privatization concepts where outside sources will provide operators for equipment and facilities. Near-term work will continue to be coordinated through projects and the integrated operations schedule.

System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.04)

In order to properly transition from technology development and applied engineering into systems definition, a set of agreed upon deliverables for handover must be established. The DOE order requirements for project definition will govern this work, and systems engineering products will be prepared to meet these requirements. TWRS engineering staff will perform this definition work and transfer to projects engineering with their products. Outside sources may be required and will be obtained through the TWRS BOA. The concept of competitive down selection of vendors will be applied to areas of SST alternate retrieval methods, advanced mixer pumps, and the arm-based retrieval system. Other program elements are also using this concept and an evaluation of its effectiveness will determine future use.

Waste Retrieval Projects (WBS 1.1.1.2.05.05-13)

WR Projects will continue with the current approach of employing ICF Kaiser Engineers to design, procure, and manage the construction of the projects.

7.7.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables

WR drivers and deliverables are documented in the WBS Dictionary Sheets (Appendix A), Activity Planning Forms, and Milestone Description Sheets (Appendix D).

7.7.2 Schedule**7.7.2.1 Waste Retrieval Summary Schedule**

Refer to Figure 7.7-2 for the WR Summary Schedule.

7.7.2.2 Waste Retrieval Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)

The WR expanded baseline schedule is located in Appendix C.

7.7.3 Resource Requirements**7.7.3.1 Cost****7.7.3.1.1 Bases of Cost Estimates**

Cost estimates for the WR program element represent a full spectrum of bases. Projects that have been validated have cost estimates commensurate with the design level of the project. Management and engineering tasks other than projects are estimated at various levels of confidence ranging from detailed bottoms up estimates to planning feasibility estimates.

7.7.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Table 7.7-1 establishes the baseline cost for this program element. The baseline cost is exhibited in annual costs for FY 1994 through FY 2000, and 5-year totals for FY 2001 through program element completion. Where the data are available, these baseline costs are exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. At present, the program element cost baseline is the program element cost totals exhibited on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.7.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.7-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

7.7.3.2 Staffing

7.7.3.2.1 Bases of Staffing Projections

7.7.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.7-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

7.7.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.7-4, which establishes direct Westinghouse Hanford Company staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.7.3.3 Work Scope Carryover

The following work scope will be carried over from FY 1994 to FY 1995:

- Technology Development and Applied Engineering DSTs - (\$300K)
 - pipe loop construction
 - mixer pump fluid jet behavior investigation
 - 1/12 scale uniformity tests
 - simulant development
- Technology Development and Applied Engineering SSTs - (\$300K)
 - industry concept design spec
 - past practice sluicing reviews
- Technology Development and Applied Engineering Barriers - (\$1.5M)
 - site preparation
 - procurement
 - construction
- W-211 Initial Retrieval System Design - (\$200K)
 - permitting
 - site characterization
 - Clean Air Act estimate of emissions
- W-320 241-C-106 Sluicing Construction - (\$1.0M)
 - equipment removal
 - transfer line
- SST Test and Training Facility Engineering - (\$400K)
 - functions and requirements
 - architecture selection

- W-340 Long Reach Arm Engineering - (\$400K)
-conceptual design

7.7.4 Waste Type Data

All waste that can be transported as a liquid will be done so. Solid waste will be packaged for storage and disposal and includes the large items of equipment from tanks 101-AZ, 102-AY, and 241-C-106 (pumps and various "In-Tank Hardware"), which may be remote handled hazardous waste that will be shielded as necessary to permit contact handled storage and disposal. All waste will be packaged in accordance with the Hanford Solid Waste Acceptance Criteria.

7.7.5 Revisions

In future updates of the MYWP, this section will include a summary of the revision that has occurred in this program element since the last issue of the MYWP.

This page intentionally left blank.

Figure 7.7-1.

WASTE RETRIEVAL (PAGE 1 OF 2)
WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE

F7.7-1

WFO-SF-1101

Figure 7.7-1.

WASTE RETRIEVAL (PAGE 2 OF 2)
WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE

F7.7-2

WHC-SP-1101

F7.7-3

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

**TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR**

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05 Level IV	<u>Waste Retrieval</u> ADS 1210-0/2/3/4	OE C/E LI	41,138 3,200 9,000 -----	43,373 3,525 12,455 -----	42,049 569 17,543 -----	34,831 0 34,700 -----	66,006 0 42,600 -----	69,214 0 44,700 -----	103,238 0 42,900 -----
	TOTAL TARGET		53,338	59,353	60,161	69,531	108,606	113,914	146,138
	<i>Delta to TARGET. (See notes at end of Table.)</i>	OE C/E LI	NA	(2,150) 191 (2,994) =====	(3,238) (387) 2,586 =====	1,475 (474) 4,021 =====			
	Total			(4,953)	(1,039)	5,022			
1.1.1.2.05.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1210-0-OA	OE C/E	NA	4,411 320 -----	4,081 320 -----	3,950 320 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Sub Total			4,731	4,401	4,270	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.01.01 Level VI	Program Element Management	OE C/E		3,420 320 -----	3,440 320 -----	3,437 320 -----			
	Total			3,740	3,760	3,757	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.01.02 Level VI	Retrieval Systems Engineering	OE C/E		991 0 -----	641 0 -----	513 0 -----			
	Total			991	641	513	0	0	0

10/1-10/1

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05.02 Level V	<u>Tech. Development. and Applied Engineering</u> ADS 1110-0-OB	OE C/E	NA	8,471 184 ----- 8,655	19,756 185 ----- 19,941	6,911 154 ----- 7,065	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.05.02.01 Level VI	Double Shell Tanks	OE C/E		3,889 184 ----- 4,073	3,002 185 ----- 3,187	1,932 154 ----- 2,086	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.05.02.02 Level VI	Single Shell Tanks	OE C/E		1,756 0 ----- 1,756	3,548 0 ----- 3,548	2,656 0 ----- 2,656	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.05.02.04 Level VI	Leak Detection	OE C/E		1,429 0 ----- 1,429	1,893 0 ----- 1,893	2,191 0 ----- 2,191	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.05.02.05 Level VI	Barriers	OE C/E		1,397 0 ----- 1,397	11,313 0 ----- 11,313	132 0 ----- 132	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.05.03 Level V	<u>Facility Operations</u> ADS 1210-0-OC	OE C/E	NA	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	1,175 0 ----- 1,175	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.05.03.01 Level VI	Double Shell Tanks	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	1,144 0 ----- 1,144	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total								

T7.7-1.2

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

[R1]

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05.03.02 Level VI	Single Shell Tanks	OE C/E		0 0 ----	0 0 ----	31 0 ----			
	Total			0	0	31	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.04 Level V	<u>System Definition</u> ADS 1210-0-OD	OE C/E	NA	3,150 0 ----	2,813 0 ----	1,854 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----
	Sub Total			3,150	2,813	1,854	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.04.01 Level VI	Double Shell Tanks	OE C/E		427 0 ----	357 0 ----	0 0 ----			
	Total			427	357	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.04.02 Level VI	Single Shell Tanks	OE C/E		2,318 0 ----	2,049 0 ----	1,447 0 ----			
	Total			2,318	2,049	1,447	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.04.04 Level VI	Tank Closure	OE C/E		405 0 ----	407 0 ----	407 0 ----			
	Total			405	407	407	0	0	0

T7.7-1.3

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05.05 Level V	<u>W-151 101 AZ Retrieval</u> ADS 1210-0-OE	OE C/E LI	NA	468 2,230 9,085 ----- 11,783	114 451 776 ----- 1,341	0 0 0 ----- 0	0 0 0 ----- 0	0 0 0 ----- 0	0 0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.2.05.05.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E		468 0 ----- 468	114 0 ----- 114	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.05.05.02 Level VI	Detail Design	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.05.05.03 Level VI	Field Engineering and Inspection	OE LI		0 1,332 ----- 1,332	0 325 ----- 325	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.05.05.04 Level VI	Procurement	OE C/E LI		0 2,230 3,624 ----- 5,854	0 0 0 ----- 0	0 0 0 ----- 0	0 0 0 ----- 0	0 0 0 ----- 0	0 0 0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.2.05.05.05 Level VI	Construction	OE LI		0 3,884 ----- 3,884	0 42 ----- 42	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total								

T7.7-1.4

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05.05.06 Level VI	Start-Up	OE LI		0 245 ----- 245	0 409 ----- 409	0 0 ----- 0			
	Total			245	409	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.06 Level V	<u>W-211 Initial Retrieval System</u> ADS 1210-0-OF	OE LI		1,863 6,364 ----- 8,227	1,660 14,181 ----- 15,841	2,730 27,679 ----- 30,409			
	Sub Total			8,227	15,841	30,409	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.06.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE LI		1,863 2,124 ----- 3,987	1,660 1,040 ----- 2,700	2,235 1,332 ----- 3,567			
	Total			3,987	2,700	3,567	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.06.02 Level VI	Design	OE LI		0 3,810 ----- 3,810	0 10,105 ----- 10,105	0 7,608 ----- 7,608			
	Total			3,810	10,105	7,608	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.06.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE LI		0 430 ----- 430	0 3,036 ----- 3,036	0 3,573 ----- 3,573			
	Total			430	3,036	3,573	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.06.04 Level VI	Construction	OE LI		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 15,166 ----- 15,166			
	Total			0	0	15,166	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.06.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	495 0 ----- 495	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total			0	0	495	0	0	0

T7.7-1.5

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05.07 Level V	<u>Final Dbl. Shell Tank Retrieval System</u> ADS 1210-0-OG	OE C/E	NA	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.07.01 Level VI	Project Management Total	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.07.02 Level VI	Engineering Total	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.07.03 Level VI	Procurement Total	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.07.04 Level VI	Construction Total	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.07.05 Level VI	Start-Up Total	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.08 Level V	<u>W-315 Pilot Scale Retrieval</u> ADS 1210-0-OH	OE C/E	NA	1,242 0 ----- 1,242	729 0 ----- 729	562 0 ----- 562	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0

T7.7-1.6

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05.08.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E	NA	1,242 0 -----	729 0 -----	562 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Total			1,242	729	562	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.08.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E		0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.08.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE C/E	NA	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.08.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E	NA	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.08.05 Level VI	Start-Up Operations	OE C/E		0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.09 Level V	<u>W-320 106-C Sluicing</u> ADS 1210-0-CJ	OE C/E	NA	21,918 600 -----	7,694 0 -----	1,743 0 -----			
	Sub Total			22,518	7,694	1,743	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.09.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E		2,142 0 -----	1,122 0 -----	620 0 -----			
	Total			2,142	1,122	620	0	0	0

T7.7-1.7

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05.09.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E		4,975 0 -----	101 0 -----	33 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			4,975	101	33	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.09.03 Level VI	CENRTC	OE C/E		0 600 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			600	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.09.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E		11,685 0 -----	4,132 0 -----	1,086 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			11,685	4,132	1,086	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.09.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E		3,116 0 -----	2,339 0 -----	4 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			3,116	2,339	4	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.10 Level V	<u>Sgl. Shell Tank Training</u> <u>and Test Facility</u> ADS 1210-0-OK	OE C/E	NA	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Sub Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.10.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E		0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.10.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E		0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.7-1.8

W H C - S P - 1101

TABLE 7.7-1

**TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR**

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05.10.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.10.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.10.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.11 Level V	<u>W-340 Long Reach</u> <u>Arm</u> ADS 1210-0-OL	OE C/E LI	NA	4,000	8,440	14,431			
				0	0	0			
				0	0	3,000			
	Sub Total			4,000	8,440	17,431	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.11.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E LI		4,000	8,440	14,431			
				0	0	0			
				0	0	3,000			
	Total			4,000	8,440	17,431	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.11.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE LI		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.7-1.9

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05.11.03 Level VI	Regulatory / Compliance	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.11.04 Level VI	LRM Procurement	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.11.05 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.11.06 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.12 Level V	<u>Single-Shell Tank</u> <u>Closure Demo.</u> ADS 1210-0-OM	OE C/E	NA	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Sub Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.12.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.12.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.7-1.10

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05.12.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.12.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.12.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.13 Level V	<u>Single-Shell Tank</u> <u>Closure</u> ADS 1210-0-ON	OE C/E	NA	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Sub Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.13.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.13.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.13.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE C/E		0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.7-1.11

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05.13.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.13.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.14 Level V	<u>Misc. Underground</u> <u>Stor. Tnks. Retrieval Sys.</u> ADS 1210-0-OP	OE C/E	NA	0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
	Sub Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.14.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.14.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.14.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.7-1.12

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.2.05.14.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
				---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.14.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
				---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.7-1.13

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05 Level IV	<u>Waste Retrieval</u> ADS 1210-0/2/3/4	OE C/E LI	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	TOTAL		1,043,192	1,304,015	1,343,169	859,027	277,534	7,180	0	0
	<i>Delta required to Balance to TARGET Budget.</i> <i>Total</i>	OE C/E LI								
1.1.1.2.05.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1210-0-OA	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.01.01 Level VI	Program Element Management	OE C/E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.01.02 Level VI	Retrieval Systems Engineering	OE C/E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.7-1.14

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05.02 Level V	<u>Tech. Development. and Applied Engineering</u> ADS 1110-0-OB	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total									
1.1.1.2.05.02.01 Level VI	Double Shell Tanks	OE C/E								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.02.02 Level VI	Single Shell Tanks	OE C/E								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.02.04 Level VI	Leak Detection	OE C/E								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.02.05 Level VI	Barriers	OE C/E								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.03 Level V	<u>Facility Operations</u> ADS 1210-0-OC	OE C/E								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.03.01 Level VI	Double Shell Tanks	OE C/E								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.7-1.15

WCHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05.03.02 Level VI	Single Shell Tanks	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.05.04 Level V	<u>System Definition</u> ADS 1210-0-OD	OE C/E	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
	Sub Total									
1.1.1.2.05.04.01 Level VI	Double Shell Tanks	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.05.04.02 Level VI	Single Shell Tanks	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.05.04.04 Level VI	Tank Closure	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									

T7.7-1.16

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05.05 Level V	<u>W-151 101 AZ Retrieval</u> ADS 1210-0-OE	OE C/E LI	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.05.01 Level VI	Project Management Total	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.05.02 Level VI	Detail Design Total	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.05.03 Level VI	Field Engineering and Inspection Total	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.05.04 Level VI	Procurement Total	OE C/E LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.05.05 Level VI	Construction Total	OE LI	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0

T7.7-1.17

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05.05.06 Level VI	Start-Up	OE LI	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.06 Level V	<u>W-211 Initial Retrieval System</u> ADS 1210-0-OF	OE LI	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.06.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE LI	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.06.02 Level VI	Design	OE LI	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.06.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE LI	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.06.04 Level VI	Construction	OE LI	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.06.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.7-1.18

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05.07 Level V	<u>Final Dbl. Shell Tank Retrieval System</u> ADS 1210-0-OG	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.07.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.07.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.07.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.07.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.07.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.08 Level V	<u>W-315 Pilot Scale Retrieval</u> ADS 1210-0-OH	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.7-1.19

WJHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05.08.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.08.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.08.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.08.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---	0 0 ---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.08.05 Level VI	Start-Up Operations	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.09 Level V	<u>W-320 106-C Sluicing</u> ADS 1210-0-OJ	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.09.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.7-1.20

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05.09.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.09.03 Level VI	CENRTC	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.09.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.09.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.10 Level V	<u>Sgl. Shell Tank Training and Test Facility</u> ADS 1210-0-OK	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Sub Total									
1.1.1.2.05.10.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.10.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									

T7.7-1.21

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05.10.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.05.10.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.05.10.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.05.11 Level V	<u>W-340 Long Reach Arm</u> ADS 1210-0-OL	OE C/E LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Sub Total									
1.1.1.2.05.11.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.2.05.11.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									

T7.7-1.22

WHC-SP-1161

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05.11.03 Level VI	Regulatory / Compliance	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.11.04 Level VI	LRM Procurement	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.11.05 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.11.06 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.12 Level V	<u>Single-Shell Tank</u> <u>Closure Demo.</u> ADS 1210-0-OM Sub Total	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.12.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.12.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.7-1.23

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05.12.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.12.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.12.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.13 Level V	<u>Single-Shell Tank Closure</u> ADS 1210-0-ON Sub Total	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.13.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.13.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.13.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.7-1.24

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05.13.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.13.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.14 Level V	Misc. Underground Stor. Tnks. Retrieval Sys. ADS 1210-0-OP	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.14.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.14.02 Level VI	Engineering	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.2.05.14.03 Level VI	Procurement	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.7-1.25

WHC-SP-1161

TABLE 7.7-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.2.05.14.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.2.05.14.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T 7.7-1.26

WHC-SP-1101

NOTES
TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

The cost exhibit tables in each of the Program Element Baselines (Sections 7.2 through 7.10) identify the program element target funding by year by fund type. The tables also provide details of the baseline allocation of funding down to activity levels (level V) and cost account levels (level VI) of the WBS for Fiscal Year 1995 through 1997. The details exhibited on these tables are taken from the baseline WBS Dictionary Sheets. Deltas exist between the details and the annual target totals. For Fiscal Years 1996 and 1997 the deltas will be addressed in the future, following the completion of the resolution of expected differences between current Fiscal Year 1995 baseline funding and expected actual Fiscal Year 1995 funding. Deltas for Fiscal Year 1995 are noted below by program element:

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION:

No deltas.

TANK FARM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE:

No overall delta. This baseline requires revised fund type mix.

WASTE TANK SAFETY:

Delta is due to need to revise an overhead pricing rate in the P3 system.

TANK FARM UPGRADES:

Delta is due to differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (BO) in the P3 system.

CHARACTERIZATION:

No significant deltas.

WASTE RETRIEVAL:

Delta is primarily due to need to resolve issues associated with expense funding support to capital projects and differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (BO) in the P3 system.

WASTE PRETREATMENT:

Delta is due to the sum of the detail cost estimates being lower than the target total as a result of reestimation of resource requirements to complete baseline scope.

LOW LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is primarily due to carryover expense funded workscope on melter testing addressed in June 9, 1994 RL letter.

T7.7-1.27

HIGH LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is due to carryover of capital funding, PNL expense funding carryover, and ADS corrections submitted but not reflected in target totals.

T7.7-1.28

Table 7.7-2. FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.7-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

**Table 7-7-3. Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant
(Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)**

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.7-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

**Table 7.7-4. FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company
Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories**

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.7-4, which establishes direct Westinghouse Hanford Company staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.8 WASTE PRETREATMENT BASELINE

The Waste Pretreatment Program Element baseline consists of the technical bases, schedule, resource requirements, and waste type data for FY 1995 through completion of the mission. The major emphasis is on deliverables, schedule, and resource requirements for FY 1995, 1996, and 1997.

7.8.1 Technical Bases

This section provides the mission strategy; work breakdown structure and organization responsibility; work to be performed in FY 1995, 1996 and 1997; technical approach; and drivers and deliverables.

7.8.1.1 Mission Strategy

7.8.1.1.1 Objectives

The overall goals and objectives for the Waste Pretreatment Program Element are to meet the applicable functions and requirements and to deliver the products and end items necessary to achieve the TWRs Program Mission. Waste pretreatment will be required for partitioning high-level waste (HLW) and transuranic (TRU) wastes from low-level wastes (LLW) and may also be required for resolution of safety issues for some of the double-shell tanks (DST) and single-shell tanks (SST). Product streams from waste pretreatment provide feed for LLW and HLW waste immobilization facilities. Waste pretreatment will develop required technologies, operate pretreatment facilities, and provide and operate hot test facilities for pretreatment technology development as well as provide feed materials for HLW vitrification waste form qualification. This program element will provide technical planning and integration, technology development and applied engineering, facility operations, systems definition, construction of pretreatment facility (Project W-236B), and pretreatment of HLW. Solid wastes and liquid and gaseous effluents will be produced and processed as a part of this program element.

7.8.1.1.2 Strategy

The overall strategy of Waste Pretreatment is to deliver phased pretreatment capability, including solids and liquids separation; liquid treatment for LLW immobilization; and solids treatment for HLW immobilization. A general goal is to have the LLW fraction contain the maximum volume of the tank waste and the minimum inventory of radionuclides. The HLW fraction shall contain the minimum volume of the tank waste and the maximum inventory of the radionuclides.

The solids and liquids separation will be done by decanting off liquids from DSTs (including salt cake that has been dissolved in SSTs and transferred to the DSTs). The solids treatment will consist of in-tank processing (sludge washing with water and sodium hydroxide solution) to remove solubles, including some of the aluminum and chromium to reduce the volume of solids going to the HLW immobilization facility. To the extent that additional facilities are needed for the solids treatment, they will be provided by a HLW pretreatment facility, which may be a standalone facility or may be combined with the LLW pretreatment facility or with the HLW immobilization facility. The HLW Pretreatment Facility is scheduled to be operational by June 2008 (Tri-Party Agreement Milestone 50-04) to support HLW vitrification.

The liquid treatment for LLW immobilization consists of feed clarification (to remove solids from the supernatant to avoid fouling of ion exchange columns) and radionuclide removal. The base case identifies cesium ion exchange and strontium, if necessary, as the only required radionuclide removal operations. If strontium removal is required, it probably is tied up with an organic complexant; therefore, a complexant destruction step would be added. Technology development is underway to support this activity. These supernatant pretreatment functions will be provided by Project W-236B, Initial Pretreatment Module, and is referred to as the LLW Pretreatment Facility. In order to flatten the funding profile, the LLW Pretreatment Facility will be achieved in two modules. Module I is scheduled to be operational by December 2004 (Tri-Party Agreement Milestone 50-02). Evaporation capability will be included in Module I.

If the LLW performance assessment determines that other radionuclides need to be removed, the capability to remove these will be added to the second module of LLW pretreatment. (An exception to this may be technetium, which will be discussed later.) The LLW Pretreatment Module II, is scheduled to be operational in January 2006. It provides additional capacity for pretreatment and may be an integral part of or co-located with Module I.

Development will continue for processes to remove long-lived radionuclides (e.g., ^{99}Tc) from the LLW stream until implemented or determined not to be needed. All SST waste will be processed as it is retrieved to provide feed to LLW and HLW immobilization facilities. HLW volumes will be reduced by using processes requiring limited development. These processes include sludge washing, selective leaching, and blending. Technology development to enhance these processes will be pursued. Technology development for sludge acid dissolution processes will be conducted on a selective basis as a contingency. These processes will be needed if the simpler processes do not result in a "reasonable" volume of vitrified waste acceptable to the geologic repository program or if there is great economic justification for reducing the waste volume. Laboratory- and bench-scale process testing will be conducted using actual waste.

Project W-236A, the Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility, will provide carbon steel waste storage tanks for in-tank processing or storage of pretreatment feed or pretreated feed awaiting transfer to the LLW and HLW immobilization facilities.

The technology development and applied engineering strategy for waste pretreatment is to identify, evaluate, and test processes for development, which will reduce the volume of vitrified HLW produced and also meet both the HLW and LLW vitrification feed requirements. The approach is to validate the basic assumption that relatively simple in-tank processes for pretreating the sludge will result in a reasonable volume of HLW. In-tank processes include sludge washing using mild caustic solutions, enhanced sludge washing using caustic solutions (e.g., 4M caustic), and blending of feeds to the HLW and LLW vitrification facilities.

To validate the above assumption it is necessary to conduct laboratory-, bench-, and full-scale sludge washing and caustic washing (enhanced sludge washing) tests using actual sludges. Results of these tests are also needed to verify enhanced sludge washing as the selected baseline, with advanced pretreatment technologies requiring acid dissolution as a contingency in case enhanced sludge washing was unsuccessful (Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-50-03).

The strategy for making the decision embodied in Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-50-03 also includes evaluating technology for advanced sludge separation processes as an alternative to the approach of simple in-tank sludge processing. The approach is to focus technology development on

the simpler advanced sludge separations processes (dissolution) with the objective of removing inorganic chemical species from the feed to the HLW vitrification facility. These simpler advanced sludge separation processes include: thermal reconstitution of sludges (e.g., calcination of sludge); non-thermal reconstitution of sludges (e.g., acidification and reneutralization); and acid leaching of selected sludges (e.g., neutralized cladding removal waste to remove plutonium).

With respect to the more advanced sludge separation processes (e.g., radionuclide removal using solvent extraction or transuranic extraction) the strategy is to apply the results of existing studies and the results of future studies funded by others (e.g., U.S. Department of Energy EM-50 programs) in making the decision embodied in Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-50-03 by March 1998.

A second basic assumption requiring validation is that an acceptable LLW vitrification facility feed can be produced in the LLW pretreatment facility using feed clarification and ion exchange to remove cesium from the liquid stream. The general requirements of an acceptable LLW vitrification facility feed include the following:

1. Results in a LLW glass that does not exceed the comparable limits for commercial LLW.
2. Meets the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's "incidental waste" classification for the Hanford Site.
3. Meets the As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) policy.
4. Meets the LLW disposal system performance requirements.

These requirements will define the levels of cesium that must be removed from the liquid streams and will determine if other radionuclides (such as strontium and technetium) need to be removed and to what levels. The strategy for cesium removal is to continue development of the Savannah River Resorcinol-Formaldehyde (R-F) resin and the Sandia National Laboratory cesium resin technology (CST) as alternatives to the CS-100 resin. With respect to other radionuclides, the strategy is to first process, to the greatest extent possible, waste requiring only cesium removal. This will allow additional time to develop technology for removal of other radionuclides from the liquid streams if needed.

If technetium needs to be removed as a requirement resulting from the LLW Program performance assessment, this removal step may have to be in Module I. This is because the current waste processing plans call for double-shell slurry feed to be processed first. Initial indications are that technetium may be in this waste type. The conceptual design for the LLW Pretreatment Facility will include provision for technetium and strontium/TRU removal and organic destruction. This robustness in the strategy ensures that if these processes are subsequently determined to be required they can be incorporated in Title I and Title II design activities for the project without schedule delays or funding shortfalls.

Pretreatment of in-tank waste to provide acceptable feeds to the LLW and HLW vitrification facilities may require destruction of organic complexants in some waste. The strategy is to defer further technology development for complexant destruction for five years following fiscal year 1995. This will allow time to establish pretreatment product requirements, obtain additional characterization data, and complete laboratory- and bench-scale tests of separations efficiencies using actual waste. This strategy may require staging complexed waste for processing later in the pretreatment schedule.

7.8.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements

The TWRS Function Hierarchy within TWRS Functions and Requirements is shown in Figure 7.8-1 to the fifth level of decomposition. The work scope within the Waste Pretreatment Program falls under two of the Level III functions identified. These are 4.2.2, 'Process Waste' and 4.2.3, 'Manage System Generated Waste & Excess Facilities'. Of the six Level IV functions under 4.2.2, only Pretreat Waste (4.2.2.1) applies to the Waste Pretreatment Program Element. The Pretreat Waste function is further subdivided into three functions: Pretreat Sludges/Solids (4.2.2.1.1), Pretreat Supernatants (4.2.2.1.2), and Blend High-Activity Streams (4.2.2.1.3).

The Pretreat Sludges/Solids function includes organic destruction, selective blending, simple solid/liquid separation (settle/decant), chemical leaching and water washing as well as general monitoring and waste handling functions. A separate functions and requirements document will be prepared for the Pretreat Sludges/Solids function.

The Pretreat Supernatants function receives supernatants for separation into a low-activity and high-activity fractions. This function decomposes (sixth level decomposition) into Separate Radionuclides, Treat Vessel Offgas, Analyze Samples, Monitor and Control Process, Prepare Solid Waste, Prepare Liquid Waste, and Support Pretreatment Process. These Level VI functions are needed to perform the parent function Pretreat Supernatants. Further decomposition of these seven functions (i.e., beyond Level VI) is performed as part of Project W-236B, Initial Pretreatment Module. The supporting document, *Functions and Requirements for Project W-236B, Initial Pretreatment Module*, WHC-SD-236B-FRD-002 Rev. 0, documents the functional analysis for Pretreat Supernatant.

The Blend High-Activity Streams function definition is: combine the high-activity waste fractions separated from pretreatment of sludges/solids (function 4.2.2.1.1) and pretreatment of supernatants (function 4.2.2.1.2) to produce a mixture suitable for HLW immobilization. The high-activity waste fraction separated from pretreatment of sludges/solids is a solids slurry contained in a relatively small volume stream compared to the volume of the separated LLW stream (e.g., supernatants). The high-activity waste fraction separated from pretreatment of supernatants consists of a concentrated cesium solution with a small fraction of solids. These high-activity waste fractions may be combined for interim storage before immobilization.

7.8.1.1.4 Risks

A preliminary list of decisions affecting the technical requirements and/or scope of the TWRS Program has been prepared from information contained in the *Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements* (DOE/RL-92-60 draft). The TWRS Technical Scope Definition Decision Logic from that document identifies a series of top-level technical decisions that must be specified for completion of the overall TWRS Program Mission:

1. The TWRS Program is developing and evaluating alternative technologies for separating tank waste into fractions for immobilization and disposal.

Mitigation: The TWRS program is not scheduled to engage in the detailed design of any major facility needed for tank waste separations until after the completion of the TWRS environmental impact statement. Therefore, the financial exposure is low. Schedule risks arise if the environmental impact statement record of decision is significantly different than the planning basis.

2. High-level waste geological repository volume limitations have not been established; thus uncertainty exists as to the acceptability of either alternative process for separation of tank waste.

Mitigation: By utilizing the proposed DOE-Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM) multi-purpose canister (MPC) concept and optimizing packaging of immobilized HLW within the MPC, the risk associated with proceeding with the minimum separations pretreatment concept and acceptance of the projected immobilized HLW volume by the geological repository can be managed.

3. Limits for acceptable radionuclides concentrations and volume of immobilized LLW have not been established. Therefore, uncertainty exists as to the acceptability of either alternative process for separation of tank waste.

Mitigation: The limits for acceptable radionuclides in the low-activity solution can be understood by conducting a parametric evaluation of radionuclide separations versus LLW system performance. Once the inter-relationship between the pretreatment process and the LLW disposal system environmental performance is understood, management of this uncertainty can be achieved.

4. Additional radionuclides (e.g. technetium or strontium) may need to be separated from the Hanford tank wastes to achieve a lightly shielded LLW vitrification facility or achieve the desired environmental performance of the LLW disposal system. Production scale deployment of technetium and strontium separation processes may not be achievable in all candidate facility concepts (e.g. dispersed supernate pretreatment modules).

Mitigation: The approach to mitigating these concerns is to re-evaluate the selection of the supernate pretreatment facility concept after the environmental performance of the LLW disposal system is understood.

5. The TWRS Program technical scope, cost estimate, and schedule have been defined assuming programmatic responsibility for treatment and disposition of system generated waste and excess facilities. If a decision is reached to assign programmatic responsibility to another Hanford Site program, the TWRS Program must be able to be readily modified to remove scope and cost associated with these activities.

Mitigation: Regardless of the organization assigned programmatic responsibility for treatment and disposition of these wastes, interface acceptance requirements need to be established to determine the extent of treatment required prior to transfer of these wastes to these other Hanford Site functions.

6. A strategy for liquid effluent treatment needs to be selected to establish necessary functions and interface requirements. All liquid effluents presently generated from TWRS activities are treated and discharged to the atmosphere in accordance with applicable Federal, State, and local regulations. This decision will effect the designs of future facilities for remediation of tank waste.

Mitigation: If the decision on the strategy is made prior to finalizing facility design, no programmatic impacts are anticipated.

7. Two of the uncertainties from the Characterization Program concern whether sludge samples required for pretreatment can be provided in a timely manner (this includes both taking samples from the tank and doing the initial extrusion from the sampler, and mixing segment extrusions from a core as necessary), and whether the samples can be studied and/or investigated to develop the necessary process technology baseline in a timely manner.

Mitigation: The Characterization Program has developed a schedule that considers several different modes of sampling sludge, incorporates new sampling hardware as it is planned to become operational, and personnel qualification training. The schedule has some flexibility built in to recover from minor slippages. The Characterization Program has identified issues and proposed actions to address these issues, and is currently evaluating options that will provide the necessary technical baseline to allow realistic assessments of the proposed pretreatment processes.

8. Characterization information is needed to determine the range of conditions for operation of the LLW pretreatment processes. This poses a risk to both cost and schedule. The selected process design may be inadequate to process a particular waste tank stream at an acceptable rate with acceptable separation factors.

Mitigation: This risk will be managed by providing a more conservative conceptual design and by working with the characterization program to try and determine the full range of tank compositions.

9. In order to obtain certain process design information, some testing with actual tank waste must be performed. There is a schedule risk to the LLW Pretreatment project in obtaining these samples. Pretreatment priorities are competing with other TWRS priorities for a limited sampling capability. This will impact the project definitive design schedule. If it is determined that the various TWRS end functions are required to fund the sampling activities additional resources will be required. since sufficient current resources are not included in the multi-year planning schedules.

Mitigation: The LLW Pretreatment project is working to develop its data requirements and inputting that information into the characterization DQO requirements. Project sampling requirements have been forwarded to the pretreatment and characterization programs for inclusion into the sampling schedule.

10. Certain infrastructure requirements have been identified (ie., electrical power, transfer piping, and process water) that are expected to be provided by other TWRS projects. At this time, projects are not underway to support the development of the needed infrastructure. Risks to the project involve both cost and schedule. Currently the IPM project does not include funding for the required infrastructure, and TWRS planning does not include the resources for the expected projects. As these infrastructure projects are identified and funded, competition for existing resources may cause a reallocation of TWRS project funds. A reallocation of funds and/or untimely completion of needed infrastructure projects can cause significant project schedule delays.

Mitigation: TWRS is performing a series of trade studies to identify project infrastructure requirements. Identification of needs will be followed by creation of projects and by the appropriate planning to determine what funds impact may be present.

11. Interfaces between the LLW pretreatment facility and other TWRS programs and projects have not been completely identified. Liquid process streams that will require pretreatment from LLW and HLW vitrification processes have not been completely defined. Based on the Savannah River Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF), it has been determined that these streams will be significant in quantity and impact. The lack of defined interfaces may cause a delay in schedule due to insufficient information to support process capacity determination.

Mitigation: In order to reduce this risk, planning efforts started in FY 1994 will be continued during FY 1995 to identify the required interfaces and to include them within the integrated TWRS schedules. An interface control system will also be put in place to make sure that satisfactory and timely progress is made on the interface issues.

12. Pilot-scale testing facilities are not readily available on the Hanford site to meet identified testing needs. This can result in cost and schedule risks. Cost risks involve insufficient resources to provide testing facilities if existing facilities cannot be utilized. Schedule risks involve delays in project definitive design and construction due to the inability to provide pilot-scale testing results with actual tank wastes. Current schedules show that the preparation and performance of pilot-scale testing is a critical path activity leading to definitive plant design and construction.

Mitigation: An evaluation of testing needs and strategy and an assessment of facilities to perform testing with actual wastes will be conducted in FY 1995. Pending the issuance of these evaluations, activities to support hot testing are planned which assume a facility location. This should reduce the cost and schedule impact for pretreatment based on the facility assessment report.

7.8.1.1.5 Major Decisions

Major decisions as identified by Systems Engineering are included in Section 7.8.1.1.4, **Risks**, since they are the basis of studies to mitigate the risks involved, and develop a consistent rationale for the decision required. Additional details on the more prominent decisions are summarized below.

Determine Waste Processing Strategy (4.2.2):

A strategy must be selected for processing the Hanford tank waste for disposal. The decision to select a waste processing strategy is predicated upon selecting retrieval of "all waste" from all Hanford radioactive tanks (or the majority of waste) as the preferred alternative for the decision "Determine Disposition of Hanford Tank Waste". A part of this decision is:

Determine Waste Separations Process (4.2.2.1):

A strategic alternative for separating Hanford Site tank waste into a low-activity and high-activity fraction for disposal has been selected.

Formal evaluation of this decision will be conducted through NEPA. A record of decision will be issued to document this decision. Public and stakeholder groups' participation in the selection and evaluation of alternatives will be obtained while preparing this environmental impact statement.

Two alternative approaches considered for separation process include:

- Minimum separations using existing technologies
- Minimize immobilized HLW/TRU waste volume.

The fourth amendment to the *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (Tri-Party Agreement) specifies completion of the evaluation of enhanced sludge washing (i.e., minimum separations alternative) to determine whether advanced sludge separation processes (i.e., minimize immobilized HLW/TRU waste alternative) are required (Milestone M-50-03). This evaluation is scheduled for completion by March 1998. Criteria and a decision methodology, which reflect public and stakeholder values, will be developed to aid in the evaluation of these two alternatives (Milestone M-50-03A) by September 1994.

Separation of Hanford tank waste into a low-activity and high-activity fractions using the minimum separations approach has been adopted as the planning basis for the TWRS Program.

The establishment of acceptable volume limits for disposal of immobilized HLW in a deep geological repository may necessitate incorporation of additional processes for separation of tank waste. Geological repository volume limitations have not been established; thus, uncertainty exists as to the acceptability of either alternative process for separation of tank waste. Similarly, limits for acceptable radionuclides concentrations and volume of immobilized LLW have not been established. Therefore, uncertainty exists as to the acceptability of either alternative process for separation of tank waste.

The limits for acceptable radionuclides in the low-activity solution can be understood by conducting a parametric evaluation. Once the inter-relationship between the pretreatment process and the LLW disposal system environmental performance is understood, management of this uncertainty can be achieved.

Select Supernate Pretreatment Facility Concept (4.2.2.1.1):

The Tri-Party Agreement specifies a target milestone (M-60-03-T01) for completing an engineering study evaluating alternative TWRS facility concepts. The public will be provided access to this study and informed of its results. Solicitation of views from stakeholders and the public will be pursued. A recommended supernate pretreatment facility alternative will be based on all received comments and technical considerations.

Alternative facility concepts include:

- A standalone supernate pretreatment facility
- Combined with LLW vitrification facility
- Combined with HLW vitrification facility
- Combined with both vitrification facilities.

A standalone supernate pretreatment facility concept with centralized pretreatment components has been reflected in the TWRS planning basis. On July 18, 1994, WHC recommended to DOE to combine the supernate pretreatment process and the LLW vitrification process into a common facility and to have the solids/sludge HLW processing in a separate facility.

The selection of the supernate pretreatment facility concept is inter-dependent on the selection of facility concepts for vitrification of both HLW and LLW (functions 4.2.2.2 and 4.2.3.1). As such, selection of facility concepts for all three functions should be made simultaneously, to ensure the interactions (or lack thereof) if separate facilities are considered.

Radionuclides Separation Requirements. The selected supernate pretreatment facility concept may not support deployment of all required candidate (minimum separations) radionuclides separations technologies. Cesium has been identified as the primary radionuclides requiring separations from the Hanford Site tank wastes. However, a thorough evaluation of all cesium separation processes has not been conducted. Production scale deployment of all candidate cesium separation processes may not be achievable in all candidate facility concepts. Additional radionuclides (e.g., technetium or strontium) may need to be separated from the Hanford tank wastes to achieve the desired environmental performance of the LLW disposal system. Production scale deployment of technetium and strontium separation processes may not be achievable in all candidate facility concepts (e.g., dispersed supernate pretreatment modules).

The approach to mitigating these concerns is to re-evaluate the selection of the supernate pretreatment facility concept after the selection of the cesium separation process is made and after the environmental performance of the LLW disposal system is understood. The decision criteria used to select a preferred supernate pretreatment facility concept will define acceptable parameters which all acceptable concepts must meet (i.e., solution space). If the selected cesium separation process or LLW vitrification facility concept causes the preferred supernate pretreatment facility concept to exceed the previously defined solution space, the supernate pretreatment facility concept decision must be re-evaluated. A similar re-evaluation is necessary after the environmental performance of the LLW disposal system is understood. Since the environmental performance of the LLW disposal system may not be defined for some time, the selected supernate pretreatment facility concept should be a robust concept, which allows for future addition of technetium (or other radionuclides) separation if deemed necessary.

Determine System Generated Waste Disposal Strategy (4.2.3):

A decision is needed whether the treatment and disposition of system generated waste (e.g. low-activity fraction of tank waste, gaseous effluents, liquid effluents and solid waste) and excess facilities is part of the Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) scope. If treatment and disposition of system generated waste and excess facilities is not part of the TWRS scope, then this scope needs to be assigned to other Hanford Site programs. Determine Liquid Effluent Treatment Concept (4.2.3.3) is part of this strategy, and determines the strategy for liquid effluent treatment needs to be selected to establish necessary functions and interface requirements.

A decision must be made concerning Determine Waste Concentration Mechanism (4.2.1.5), whereby a process needs to be selected for reducing the volume of radioactive liquid wastes requiring storage in the Hanford Site underground radioactive liquid waste tank system. The TWRS Operations group has been assigned responsibility for this decision, however the Waste Pretreatment Program Element will interface with them on this issue. This decision will have an impact on the Program.

7.8.1.1.6 Assumptions

Several key assumptions have been made to assemble the Multi-Year Work Plan for the Waste Pretreatment Program Element.

It is assumed that the current characterization information about the tank wastes is sufficient as a basis for pretreatment planning. The basis of this assumption is being validated by the Characterization Program Element in conjunction with meeting the requirements of Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) Recommendation 93-5, which requires characterization of tank waste by 1997. In addition, plans are being implemented to acquire hot testing capabilities and sufficient quantities of actual waste for process development. If planned hot testing using actual waste is implemented on the current schedule, the risk of proceeding before this assumption has been validated is low.

It is also assumed that sludge washing, enhanced sludge washing, and blending will be adequate to achieve an acceptably low HLW volume and meet HLW feed composition requirements. Acid dissolution and advanced separations of sludge will not be required, nor will organic destruction for organic content reduction. This assumption is based on the selection of sludge washing as the lowest cost option evaluated in the *Tank Waste Technical Options Report*, WHC-EP-0616, Rev. 0. To further reduce the volume of HLW produced, caustic leaching of sludge (enhanced sludge washing) was included as part of the technical baseline in the Fourth Amendment of the Tri-Party Agreement in January 1994. The assumption that caustic leaching of sludge will result in an acceptably low volume of HLW is being validated by conducting aggressive laboratory process development testing in FY 1995-1998 using actual waste samples. In addition, a full-scale in-tank sludge washing demonstration in tank 241-AZ-101 (101-AZ) is planned for FY 1996-1997. The risk of proceeding with this assumption is minimal because of the aggressive development activities planned using actual waste and the relatively long lead time before HLW feed is needed (FY 2009).

For radionuclides to be removed in the LLW pretreatment facility, it is assumed to meet ALARA policy, that the feed stream specification to the LLW immobilization facility will be met if ^{137}Cs is reduced to 1 Ci/m³ in the resulting LLW glass and ^{90}Sr is removed when necessary. Removal of other radionuclides will not be required. This is an enabling assumption, which allows development of functions and requirements and conceptual design of the LLW pretreatment facility prior to having a final LLW vitrification feed specification. This assumption is being validated by the ongoing Low-Level Waste Program Element funded LLW disposal performance assessment (PA). To minimize the risk of proceeding with this enabling assumption, the Waste Pretreatment Program Element is developing technology for technetium and strontium removal until the LLW feed specification is finalized. In addition, the capability to remove technetium and strontium is planned for inclusion in the conceptual and definitive design of the LLW pretreatment facility. This decision will be reviewed prior to initiation of definitive design and again prior to start of construction.

Organic destruction will not be required to achieve an adequate level of safety for continued safe storage in the tanks. Funding of technology development for this application will cease at the end of FY 1994. In June 1994 a representative technology was selected for incorporation in the conceptual design of the Initial Pretreatment Module as a contingency should such a capability later be determined to be needed. This assumption is a change from last year's Work Plan and is based on the success of the tank 241-SY-101 mixer pump in mitigating the release of flammable gases and closure of the unreviewed safety question for the tanks containing unsafe quantities of ferrocyanide compounds. The risk of employing this assumption is that changes in the conceptual and definitive design would be needed and the completion of construction of the LLW pretreatment facility would be delayed if organic destruction became necessary. This risk is of short duration because Project W-236A, New DST Farm, will provide tank space to store waste from the highest risk flammable gas tanks by 1999.

The TWRS Environmental Impact Statement and resulting Record of Decision will provide the required NEPA documentation for pretreatment of DST and SST wastes, will not significantly change the Tri-Party Agreement strategy and milestones, and will be timely to support construction of the necessary facilities.

7.8.1.1.7 Constraints

The lack of hot testing capabilities and supporting infrastructure constrain the availability of technical data based on process development testing using actual radioactive waste. To proceed into definitive design without this technical data will result in excessive program risk based on the recommendations of the Waste Pretreatment Sub-TAP, the A/E, Process Engineering, and others. The availability of technical data is being addressed by including a capability to perform hot testing in the Waste Pretreatment Program Element baseline. Acquisition of sufficient actual waste from tanks for use in hot testing is also included in the Waste Pretreatment and Characterization Program Elements' baselines.

7.8.1.2 Structure

The Waste Pretreatment Program Element work breakdown structure and organization and responsibility are provided in this section.

7.8.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure

The Waste Pretreatment Program Element work breakdown structure (WBS) for FY 1995-1997 is provided in Figure 7.8-2 down to the sixth level of work breakdown. It is assumed that the WBS will remain constant through the life of the program/project. The Waste Pretreatment WBS dictionary is located in Appendix A.

7.8.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility

The Waste Pretreatment Program Office is responsible for providing direction and input to ensure the success of the Waste Pretreatment Program Element in support of the TWRS Program Mission to "Process Waste" (4.2.2). The Waste Pretreatment Program Element interfaces with other TWRS program elements, the Technology Development Program Office (TDPO), Efficient Separations Processing Integrated Program (ESPIP), Underground Storage Tank Integrated Demonstration (USTID) and others, to ensure that ongoing and planned activities are integrated.

The TWRS Program Office consists of nine program elements, all of which have interface ties with the Waste Pretreatment Program Element. The other eight program elements are:

- Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance provides support to in-tank sludge washing, enhanced sludge washing, and waste blending demonstrations as well as operational support needed to operate the LLW and HLW pretreatment facilities and in-tank processing once they are on-line.

- Waste Tank Safety provides requirements for early pretreatment of waste stored in Watch List tanks should mitigation measures prove unsuccessful in providing adequate safety for continued storage of the waste. In addition, information is provided on factors that may impact future processing of the waste (e. g., chemical speciation, thermodynamic properties, existence of liquid organics).
- Characterization provides actual waste sample material for process development, waste characterization data from ongoing tank sampling, and characterization information from historical data review. It is necessary for Waste Pretreatment to provide Characterization with data requirements (number of samples and types of analyses, and dates needed).
- Tank Farm Upgrades provides the infrastructure (pipe lines, diversion boxes, pump pits, Project 236-A, New Tank Farm) needed to support in-tank processing and operation of the LLW and HLW pretreatment facilities.
- Waste Retrieval plans the retrieval of tank waste based on input from Waste Pretreatment, as well as large samples of actual waste for pretreatment process development. Waste retrieval processes and the blending of waste from different tanks need to be defined and data compiled. Waste Retrieval will coordinate the recovery of tank waste material based on the requirements from the Waste Pretreatment, Low-Level Waste, and High-Level Waste Program Elements.
- The Low-Level Waste and High-Level Waste Program Elements provide the specifications for the waste feed and the immobilization facility requirements. Updates to those documents will need to be made as studies and tests are completed. A critical input to Waste Pretreatment is a LLW vitrification feed specification based the final performance assessment for disposal of LLW.
- Program Management and Administration provides overall integration of the TWRS program elements in preparing Multi-Year Program Plans, Multi-Year Work Plans, budget submittals, monthly and quarterly reviews. Program Management and Administration also supports the TWRS systems engineering effort (overall functional logic, program decisions, assessment of risk).

The following organizations provide direct support to Waste Pretreatment.

- TWRS Projects provides project management for capital construction projects needed to meet the Waste Pretreatment mission. This organization manages the A/E, procurement, and construction contractors for expense and capital construction activities and has the responsibility for the design, construction, and startup of the pretreatment facilities.
- WHC Process Engineering Center of Excellence and Systems Engineering provide for engineering support for trade studies, systems definition, functions and requirements, engineering evaluations, testing, and demonstrations. These engineering activities feed directly into the requirements needed by the Projects organization. These organizations also recommend work scope to be done by Waste Pretreatment to support decisions that need to be made within TWRS and Waste Pretreatment.

- TWRS Technology Development Program Office (TDPO) is managed by Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL). The TDPO integrates the technology development activities required by Waste Pretreatment and other TWRS program elements; identifies technology needs and issues; develops strategies for addressing these issues; develops logic for implementing the strategies; and identifies alternate architectures for meeting these needs. This office also manages the technology development work done by other contractors, national laboratories, and universities which support the Waste Pretreatment program element.
- Ebasco Services Inc./British Nuclear Fuels Ltd. (BNFL) is serving as the architect-engineer (A/E) for the LLW pretreatment facility, W-236B, Initial Pretreatment Module.

Organizations and programs that interface with Waste Pretreatment are:

- Solid Waste receives the secondary solid LLW wastes generated by the Waste Pretreatment in the course of performing technology development, process development and demonstration, and performance of pretreatment operations. Liquid effluents resulting from Waste Pretreatment activities will be received by the Liquid Effluents Program at the 200 Areas Effluent Treatment Facility. After Waste Pretreatment operations are completed, the facilities will be turned over to the appropriate organization for decontamination and decommissioning (D&D). At this time, that responsibility is within the scope of the Environmental Restoration and Management Contract (ERMC), Bechtel.
- The Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), stakeholders, and advisory panels provide recommendations, comments and inputs to the Waste Pretreatment program element as to work scope, priorities, and strategies.
- The Waste Pretreatment program element responsibilities are included in the Responsibility Assignment Matrix (RAM) in Appendix B.
- The U.S. Department of Energy provides direction and approves decision making documents and recommendations prepared by the M&O contractor.

7.8.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed

The FY 1995 work scope is summarized in this section based upon the FY 1995-1997 Multi-Year Work Plan.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.01)

The integration of waste pretreatment activities, including program element management, planning, and pretreatment support activities, are included in this work plan. Program element management includes the development of baseline plans, schedules, and budgets for program business management activities. Support to technology working groups and oversight committees as well as various internal and external reviews will also be performed. In addition, program planning activities such as the development of the programmatic risk assessment and development of a basis of cost estimate study will be performed, based on staffing of plants at other DOE sites (eg., the Defense Waste Processing Facility [DWPF] at Savannah River, etc.).

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.02)

A major portion of this work plan includes technology and applied engineering work scope to provide technology development, evaluation, selection, and demonstrations. These activities support the pretreatment functions to pretreat LLW and HLW and to prepare separated radionuclides.

Studies continue on in-tank processing operations, focusing on sludge washing, sludge separations from solutions, and alkaline and acid leaching. Data from these test will be used in trade studies that determine the acceptability of enhanced sludge washing.

Laboratory studies on strontium and technetium removal using ion exchange and TRU removal using precipitation with ferric hydroxide will be conducted in FY 1995. Evaluation of cesium resin technology (CST) for the removal of cesium using ion exchange will also be conducted.

The development of technologies for destruction of organic complexants will be limited to LLW processing and is scheduled for completion in FY 1995. No work will be carried out for organic destruction for safety issue resolution or for treatment of sludge for potential HLW vitrification requirements.

Work will be initiated to evaluate non-thermal technologies for reconstituting tank sludges in order to remove inorganic chemical species and reduce the volume of feed to the HLW vitrification facility.

Facility Operations (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.03)

Ongoing waste pretreatment operations to separate HLW and transuranic wastes from LLW is also provided for in this work plan. No work scope is planned in this activity for the planning period FY 1995 - FY 1997. All preparation for initial startup of the LLW Pretreatment Facility in this time frame has been included in WBS 1.1.1.3.01.05.

System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.04)

An integral portion of this work plan is the system definition activity. FY 1995 work scope continues with engineering studies, development of functions and requirements, and development of the engineering basis for pretreatment projects.

Work will continue on developing functions and requirements for LLW and will begin on HLW and in-tank processing pretreatment processes and facilities, including facility studies for HLW. Work scope also includes identification and performance of engineering studies for conceptual design of the HLW pretreatment facility. Engineering support will be provided for the evaluation and implementation of in-tank washing, including trade activities and technical evaluations.

Process studies will continue and process flowsheets will be prepared for pretreatment of tank wastes to provide feed streams to the LLW and HLW vitrification plants and for removing either radionuclides or chemicals from supernatant or sludges.

W-236B LLW Pretreatment Facility (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.05)

Work will begin on the development of the conceptual design report for the LLW pretreatment facilities and on the Preliminary Safety Evaluation (PSE) for the LLW Pretreatment Facility Module I. Work scope associated with project engineering, project management, safety analysis, and permitting support for these activities will continue.

To support design activities, additional applied engineering will be performed to develop engineering information required to support the architect-engineer. In FY 1995 laboratory-scale testing will be performed to supply information that will support process and equipment selection and sizing. In addition, initial phases of larger-scale hot testing preparation will be initiated. This testing will support detailed project design, but due to long lead times in hot plant design and construction, these activities must be initiated well in advance of the need date. The design may include in its scope, a standalone facility and/or a processing module or series of modules which may be housed in existing facilities or as a standalone facility. Initially the project will issue reports to identify testing needs and then will follow up with an assessment of DOE facilities and their applicability to support hot testing. Expected hot testing capabilities would include the ability to perform continuous pretreatment process tests, such as ion exchange, with actual tank wastes. Additional test modules would be able to check performance of individual unit operations or whole processes as required to support project engineering data needs.

The Cesium Demonstration Unit (CDU) design is discontinued. However, portions of this design may be utilized to support hot testing needs.

HLW Pretreatment (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.06)

This work plan also includes activities to develop the facilities required to provide pretreated HLW feed to the HLW immobilization facility. This includes facility design, construction, and startup; and technology application for HLW pretreatment. HLW pretreatment may require an advanced sludge washing process, an organic destruction process, a strontium removal (acid) process, a sludge leaching process and/or a sludge sorption process. Facilities to house and safely confine these processes are required as well as utility and offgas systems to operate the processes.

7.8.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed

The FY 1996-1997 work scope is summarized in this section based on the FY 1995-1997 Multi-Year Work Plan.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.01)

The integration of waste pretreatment activities, including program element management, planning, and pretreatment support activities, continues in FY 1996 and 1997. Program element management includes the development of baseline plans, schedules, and budgets and for program business management activities. Support to technology working groups and oversight committees as well as various internal and external reviews will also be performed. In addition, program planning activities, such as the update to the programmatic risk assessment and program plan, will be performed. Activities to support the development of a planned cost estimating model and historical data base for use in long-range planning for TWRS will continue.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.02)

Studies continue on the separation of radionuclides, specifically studies on cesium removal from alkaline solutions by ion exchange (IX), using laboratory batch and column tests of CST IX resins with simulated waste and laboratory-scale column tests using actual wastes. Evaluation of CSTs is scheduled for completion in FY 1997. Studies also continue on strontium and technetium removal by ion exchange using batch and column tests with simulated wastes. Column tests using actual wastes with candidate IX resins will be performed in the laboratory. Carrier precipitation laboratory tests using actual wastes will be performed to investigate the removal of TRU from alkaline supernatant.

Studies on in-tank processing processes to develop and evaluate various solid liquid separations alternatives, including separation of sludge from solutions, sludge washing, and alkaline and acid leaching, continue from FY 1995. Data from these tests will be used in trade studies that determine the acceptability of enhanced sludge washing.

Work scope continues on the evaluation of non-thermal technologies for reconstituting tank sludge. Large-scale equipment tests of calcination processes will be used to evaluate thermal reconstitution of sludge using synthetic waste, while non-thermal reconstitution processes (acidification and reneutralization) of sludge will be evaluated using laboratory-scale tests with actual waste.

Nitrate destruction, which would be used to generate a caustic soda stream to be recycled for alkaline leaching, will be pursued in FY 1997.

Facility Operations (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.03)

Work scope associated with preparation for startup of the LLW pretreatment facility Module I is covered in WBS 1.1.1.3.01.05. There is no work scope in this activity for the planning period FY 1995 - FY 1997. Support to preparation for AZ-101 processing activities are included in WBS 1.1.1.3.01.04.

System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.04)

In FY 1996 and FY 1997 System Definition work scope continues with activities to develop functions and requirements for LLW, HLW, and in-tank processing pretreatment processes and facilities, including engineering studies, process and facility design criteria, and applicable technology development needs.

The study of alternate facility configuration options for HLW pretreatment and vitrification processes continues. Included in this study are design advantages and disadvantages of the various options and recommended preferred options. In addition, assessments of specific systems, subsystems, and component implementations will be conducted to optimize plant performance and adapt to changing operating conditions. Evaluation of alternative methods of sludge washing is included in this study in FY 1996.

Work also continues on the preparation of process flowsheets to provide feed streams to the LLW and HLW vitrification plants and for removing radionuclides or chemicals from supernatant liquids or sludges. Ongoing pretreatment technology development work will be followed to ensure that the information needed for the process studies and process flowsheets is obtained.

Proposed new technologies for the pretreatment of Hanford tank waste will be evaluated and incorporated into the appropriate portion of the pretreatment process strategy or rejected. The proposed technologies will be evaluated technically using data from EM-30 and EM-50 development work to prepare process flowsheets and perform engineering trade studies. The process flowsheets will show how effective the proposed technology is at performing the desired separation. The engineering trade studies will evaluate alternative technologies and processes to see which satisfy pretreatment functions and requirements and compare their performance based on facility and operating costs, secondary waste generation impact, implementation schedule, and other criteria.

Further development will be pursued for feasible and attractive technologies until sufficient technical maturity is reached to recommend use of the technology or until an alternate technology is chosen. Recommended processes and technologies will be incorporated into the TWRS Reference Flowsheet and into functions and requirements documents, both of which guide pretreatment and project conceptual and title design efforts.

W-236B LLW Pretreatment Facility (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.05)

Conceptual design activities for the LLW Pretreatment Facility, begun in FY 1995, will be completed in FY 1996 and advanced conceptual design activities are initiated and scheduled for completion in FY 1996. The PSE for the LLW Pretreatment Facility will also be completed. In FY 1997 Title I design for the LLW Pretreatment Facility will be initiated along with the Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (PSAR).

Project engineering, project management, safety evaluation, and permitting support for these design activities continue in FY 1996 and FY 1997, to include operations support of facility designs and initiation of preparation of operations documentation and training preparation.

Facility design, procurement, and installation for hot testing will continue during this time frame. Cesium ion exchange testing facilities should be completed during FY 1997. Additional testing capabilities for solid/liquid separations and for other radionuclide separations will be completed after FY 1997. These testing facilities will satisfy applied technology needs involving continuous testing on simulated and actual tank wastes.

Laboratory-scale testing of LLW pretreatment processes will continue to investigate process and equipment operations over a variety of tank waste types. Whereas FY 1995 testing activities will concentrate on early expected feeds to LLW pretreatment, FY 1996 and FY 1997 activities will include testing of additional waste types such as SST saltcake liquors and the products from sludge washing.

HLW Pretreatment (1.1.1.3.01.06)

Conceptual design activities, including trade studies to support the preparation of facility design and technology application for HLW pretreatment, continue in FY 1996 and FY 1997. The processes currently expected for HLW pretreatment are sludge washing and caustic leaching. Work will also begin on the preparation of the preliminary safety evaluation for the HLW pretreatment facility. This activity includes preliminary hazard identification and assessment and evaluation of the barriers for the identified hazards.

7.8.1.5 Approach

This section provides a summary of the technical approach, method of performance, and acquisition strategy for achieving the Waste Pretreatment mission.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.01)

TWRS contractor staff will be used to manage the program element and program activities. Contract staff will be used as needed to supplement the contractor staff for completing specified deliverables (e.g., program plans, special crosscuts, cost estimating validation). Specialized tasks, such as public involvement support and program risk assessments, will be contracted to qualified contractors.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.02)

Technology development for the TWRS Program is managed by the TWRS TDPO. Technology development work scope is contracted to various National Laboratories by the TDPO. These include Pacific National Laboratory; Los Alamos National Laboratory; Sandia National Laboratory; and Argonne National Laboratory. Waste Pretreatment Program Element technology development is managed by TDPO staff assigned to Waste Pretreatment.

Facility Operations (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.03)

The MYWP is based on the assumption that the LLW pretreatment, HLW pretreatment, and in-tank processing facilities will all be operated by the M&O contractor staff. An option under consideration is that these facilities would be operated and maintained by a private commercial contractor instead of a government contractor.

System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.04)

The method of performance of this activity is to use the contractor "Center of Excellence" Process Engineering organization to provide technical recommendations to the program and project organizations. This is accomplished through issuance of trade studies, functions and requirements, flowsheets, and technical evaluations. Contractor assigned staff are used to perform key tasks. Onsite and offsite contractors are used to supplement contractor staff on larger tasks, when needed.

W-236B LLW Pretreatment Facility (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.05)

This activity is managed by a contractor project organization. Design is planned to be performed by an offsite A/E and construction by an offsite construction contractor. An option under consideration is that the design, construction, and operation of the LLW Pretreatment Facility would be performed by a single private commercial contractor.

HLW Pretreatment (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.06)

This activity will be managed by a contractor project organization. Design is planned to be performed by an offsite A/E and construction by an offsite construction contractor. An option under consideration is that the design, construction, and operation of the HLW pretreatment facility would be performed by a single private commercial contractor.

7.8.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables

The key drivers for the Waste Pretreatment Program which must be considered for the Program Element decisions include health and safety issues; Tri-Party Agreement milestones; Federal and State requirements and related DOE Orders; performance assessment requirements; stakeholder values; other external oversight groups; and cost.

- **Health and Safety Issues.** Safe operations and elimination of urgent risks or any inherent threats to the public or Hanford employees is the top priority of the TWRS Program. This priority drives Waste Pretreatment Program Element efforts to reduce and eliminate safety problems in the waste pretreatment process.
- **Tri-Party Agreement Milestones.** *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, Fourth Amendment, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington, January 1994, Milestones M-50-00, "Complete pretreatment processing of Hanford Tank Waste, December 2028."
- **Federal Requirements, their State counterparts, and related DOE Orders.** These may directly or indirectly affect the Waste Pretreatment Program. Some of the key requirements that may impact Program activities include WAC 173-400, "General Regulations for Air Pollution Sources;" 40 CFR 264.xxx, "Miscellaneous Units, Environmental Performance Standards," "Miscellaneous Units, Monitoring, Analysis, Inspection, Response, Reporting, and Corrective Action," and "Miscellaneous Units, Post-Closure Care;" 10 CFR 260-270, "RCRA Hazardous Waste Regulations;" the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA); DOE Order 6430.1A, *General Design Criteria*; and other Federal, State, and county permitting and waste minimization requirements. These requirements are detailed in the *Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements* (DOE/RL-92-60, Rev. 1, 31 March 1994).
- **Performance Assessment Requirements.** DOE Order 5820.2A requires that a site-specific radiological performance assessment be conducted for a LLW disposal site to demonstrate compliance with performance objectives.
- **Stakeholder Values.** Stakeholder concerns about the approaches taken on various issues need to be considered in the decision making process. Stakeholder involvement enhances technology acceptance.
- **Other External Oversight Groups.** These groups include the HLW Tanks Advisory Panel (TAP), Government Accounting Office (GAO), National Academy of Sciences (NAS), Hanford Advisory Board (HAB), and others.
- **Cost.** Competing demands for limited resources (budget constraints) are a reality. The current cost projection for cleaning up the Hanford site is very high, and an aggressive initiative to achieve productivity gains, improve products and services, and reduce costs is required. An additional cost driver is the anticipated cost of disposing of HLW in a national geological repository, which is expected to cost significantly more per unit volume than near-surface disposal of LLW at the Hanford Site.

Deliverables are listed below.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.01)

- Prepare Waste Pretreatment program element inputs to ADS budget submittals
- Prepare Waste Pretreatment facility operations cost study
- Develop and update the Waste Pretreatment programmatic risk assessments
- Prepare updates to the Multi-Year Program Plan
- Prepare updates to the Multi-Year Work Plan
- Prepare updates to the Waste Pretreatment Program Plan.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.02)

- Report on non-thermal sludge reconstitution
- Report on laboratory tests of heat and digest process for in-tank complexant destruction
- Report on batch and column testing of candidate resins for strontium and technetium removal using actual and simulant waste
- Report on carrier precipitation laboratory tests
- Delivery of engineered form of CST to PNL from UOP
- Report on sludge dissolution of actual sludges
- Report on results of continuation bench scale solvent extraction tests
- Report on batch CST IX media for cesium removal using actual waste
- Report on sludge washing and alkaline leaching of actual waste
- Report on sludge settling of actual waste.

Facility Operations (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.03)

There are no deliverables for this Activity level of the WBS in the planning window of FY 1995-FY 1997.

System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.04)

- Functions and requirements for Hot Testing, LLW Pretreatment Facility, HLW Pretreatment Facility, and In-Tank Processing
- Evaluation of enhanced sludge washing to determine if advanced sludge separations processes are required
- Complete AZ-101 Sludge Washing Process Test and Issue Report
- Trade studies to support process and facility selection
- Flowsheets for Cs, Sr, Tc, TRU, Al, Cr, PO₄ removal, for sludge washing, for enhanced sludge washing associated with selected tanks, waste groups, and overall.
- Preliminary Retrieval Sequence Report Issued, Sequences a & b selected.
- Issue Prototype Decision Model for Waste Pretreatment Alternatives.
- Select Supernate Pretreatment Facility Concept
- Determine Liquid Effluent Treatment Concept

W-236B Pretreatment Facility (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.05)

- Prepare Preliminary Safety Evaluation (PSE)
- Complete Conceptual Design and initiate Definitive Design of LLW Pretreatment Facility
- Prepare project validation package
- Submit request for Project Authorization.
- Issue the IPM Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP)
- Issue approved Project Specific Training Plan
- Produce LLW Pretreatment Project Design Criteria (PDC)

HLW Pretreatment (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.06)

- Prepare Preliminary Safety Evaluation (PSE)
- Complete 60% conceptual design.
- Issue RFQ for Publication in Commerce Business Daily
- Select HLW Pretreatment A/E

Additional deliverables are detailed on the Level 6 WBS Dictionary Sheets in Appendix A.

7.8.2 Schedule

7.8.2.1 Waste Pretreatment Summary Schedule

Refer to Figure 7.8-3.

7.8.2.2 Waste Pretreatment Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)

Waste Pretreatment expanded baseline for FY 1995 - FY 1997 is located in Appendix C.

7.8.3 Resource Requirements

7.8.3.1 Cost

7.8.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates

Technical Integration and Planning (1.1.1.3.01.01)

The cost estimate for this activity is based on historical data from FY 1993 and FY 1994 for resource quantity and type. The resource usage profile is based on an estimate of the apportionment of these resources to the work scope within the cost accounts based on FY 1994 resource usage and Waste Pretreatment Program Office changes planned for in FY 1995-FY 1997.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (1.1.1.3.01.02)

Cost estimates for this activity for the FY 1995 - FY 1997 period are based on contractor proposals to perform the work scope identified in the Request For Proposals. Some work scope estimates are based on past experience and identified as planning packages awaiting the evaluation of competitive bids from the various performing contractors. The proposals are costed at the subtask level, which are two or more levels below the Cost Account (Level VI). This permits using a "building block" approach for estimating the resources needed to accomplish the work scope for a given Cost Account.

Facility Operations (1.1.1.3.01.03)

Cost estimates for this activity are based on historical operations costs of B Plant, PUREX, and the 242-A Evaporator. A study will be conducted in FY 1995 within the Technical Integration and Planning activity to review present operations cost estimates in order to incorporate new facility concepts and technology improvements. Results of this study may show that outyear operating cost estimates need to be revised.

Systems Definition (1.1.1.3.01.04)

Cost estimates for this activity are based on engineering judgement, perceived complexity of the work scopes, and past experience. Where comparable work scope was previously performed, estimates are based on the resource requirements from prior years. Estimates for work scope performed by other performing contractors or an A/E are based on the proposals or detailed estimates provided.

W-236B Pretreatment Facility (1.1.1.3.01.05)

Cost estimates for this activity are based on the Kaiser Engineers Hanford report to WHC, Job No. W-236B/ER2535, File No. W236B2, 05/26/93.

HLW Pretreatment (1.1.1.3.01.06)

Work scope to be performed in the period covered by this work plan will be conducted within the projects department (PSE, CDR). Cost estimates for this work scope are based on estimates of like work being performed for the LLW Pretreatment Facility (Project W-236B). Cost estimates for work scope beyond FY 1997 are based on the case beta assumptions from the Multi-Year Program Plan.

7.8.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Table 7.8-1 establishes the baseline cost for this program element. The baseline cost is exhibited in annual costs for FY 1994 through FY 2000, and 5-year totals for FY 2001 through program element completion. Where the data are available, these baseline costs are exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. At present, the program element cost baseline is the program element cost totals exhibited on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.8.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future updates of the MYWP will include Table 7.8-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

7.8.3.2 Staffing**7.8.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections****7.8.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)**

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.8-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

7.8.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.8-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.8.3.3 Work Scope Carryover

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.02)

- **Radionuclides Separations.** Separations will be performed which are essential to the success of the extensive separations option. The tests will be conducted on 10- to 20-gm portions of actual Hanford tank sludge. Also, alternative methods for separating TRUs from acidified dissolved Hanford tank sludges will be performed. This involves small-scale testing of TRU separation processes using both waste simulants and actual waste. The data generated in this task will support the decision on sludge pretreatment strategy to be made in December 1998. Work scope to be carried over involves one test of the alternative TRU extractant DHDECMP and several tests using TRUEX and SREX extractants. (\$100K)
- **Sludge Dissolution Laboratory Studies.** Small scale (1-2 g of sludge) tests will be performed to determine the conditions required to dissolve the tank sludges for processing. The data generated in this task will support the decision on sludge pretreatment strategy to be made in March 1998. Work scope to be carried over (\$150K) includes all work using radioactive wastes examining sludge dissolution approaches to waste treatment.
- **Sludge Pretreatment Chemistry Evaluation.** This task will evaluate available data and provide analysis of sludge samples generated in the Sludge Treatment Technology Development tasks to interpret sludge chemical and dissolution/leaching characteristics. Efforts in FY 1994 focused on activities that (1) evaluate existing data for determining sludge wash factors and any dissolution trends, and (2) analyze samples and data for interpreting results from wash/leach tests performed in the Sludge Treatment Technology Development tasks. Work scope to be carried over (\$30K) includes analysis of the sludge wash factors and dissolution trends of existing data.
- **Hydrothermal Processing Development.** This activity includes laboratory-scale experiments to determine chemical kinetic and thermodynamic data needed for reactor design and operation of both a high temperature hydrothermal process (LANL) and a low temperature hydrothermal process (PNL), and the assembly and testing of a 300-gallon-per-day pilot-scale high temperature hydrothermal processing unit. The 300-gallon-per-day unit will be used to evaluate process performance, design and control, and component corrosion, wear and maintainability. A smaller pilot system will be assembled and used to process radioactive simulants. A process model and process control system will be developed and integrated to produce a process simulator. A Research, Development, and Demonstration (RD&D) application will be prepared for the State of New Mexico for the use of high temperature hydrothermal processing to treat mixed wastes. This activity was deleted because it was decided not to pursue this technology at this time. Costs incurred to close out and shut down and dismantle the pilot-plant (\$405K) are being deferred to FY 1995. Close out costs of the PNL laboratory work are estimated to be \$100K.

System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.3.01.04)

- **Calcination/Dissolution Equipment Development.** This activity was to conduct a test of alternative thermal reconstitution technology vendor equipment with simulant Hanford tank waste. Vendor proposals had higher costs and longer schedules than originally planned. Due to FY 1994 budget constraints, the contract to do the work was not able to be placed and the work scope (\$400K) is deferred to FY 1995.
- **Tank 101-AZ Decant Pump.** This activity included purchase, design for the pump and jumper to support installation of a decant pump in Tank 101-AZ. Due to Operations constraints, the field support personnel will not be available to install the pump in FY 1994. Work scope associated with installation of the pump (\$100K) is deferred to FY 1995.

All carryover work listed here is planned to be funded and completed in FY 1995 unless noted otherwise on the Level 6 WBS Dictionary sheets included in Appendix A.

7.8.4 Waste Type Data

Except for very small quantities of tank waste supplied to laboratories for process development testing, no waste will be generated in the FY 1995-1997 time period. The amount of actual waste sample material used for laboratory testing will vary from less than 10 gal. to 20 gal. per year during FY 1995-1997. This amount will increase to 100 gal. or more per year starting in FY 1998 when bench/pilot-scale hot testing is scheduled to start. The waste will be returned to the underground waste tanks at the completion of testing, where it will be stored until it is retrieved for pretreatment. No new liquid LLW or HLW will be generated from these activities; however, small amounts of solid LLW and low-level mixed waste (LLMW) will be generated. It is assumed that laboratory equipment will be decontaminated to remove sufficient TRU material to permit disposal as LLW/LLMW.

During the period FY 1998-2025 all of the waste stored in the SST and DSTs will be retrieved, pretreated, and vitrified as either a LLW or HLW. No new HLW is expected to be generated because it is assumed that no fuel reprocessing will take place. All of the liquid LLW/LLMW generated as a result of pretreatment activities will be routed to the LLW pretreatment facility for removal of radioactivity (primarily cesium). The resulting liquids will then be sent to an evaporator for volume reduction and additional cleanup and then transferred to the 200 Areas Effluent Treatment Facility for final cleanup prior to disposal to the soil column. It is assumed that failed equipment will be decontaminated to remove sufficient TRU material to permit disposal as LLW/LLMW. Estimates of solid LLW/LLMW, hazardous waste, and sanitary waste are not available. No asbestos waste is expected to be generated.

The following processing rates and amounts are based on the integrated "TWRS Process Flowsheet", WHC-SD-WM-TI-613, Rev. 0, dated June 29, 1994. The liquid effluent values are the totals for all disposal operations (waste retrieval, waste pretreatment, LLW vitrification and HLW vitrification).

- Waste Pretreatment Processing Rates: 116,500 l/day liquids and 3,365 kg/day solids
- Waste Pretreatment Totals: 595 million liters of 5 molar sodium liquids and 17.2 million kg solids

- Liquid Effluent Treatment Rate: 250,00 l/day
- Liquid Effluent Total: 1.24 billion l of process condensate.

7.8.5 Revisions

In future updates of the MYWP, this section will include a summary of the revision that has occurred since the last issue of the MYWP.

- Functions Allocated to Waste Pretreatment Program Element
- Functions not Allocated to Waste Pretreatment Program Element
- Functions Partially Allocated to Waste Pretreatment Program Element

Figure 7.8-1. TWRS Function Tree.

Figure 7.8-2. Waste Pretreatment Work Breakdown Structure.
(Sheet 1 of 2)

WASTE PRETREATMENT (PAGE 2 OF 2)
WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE

Figure 7.8-2. Waste Pretreatment Work Breakdown Structure.
(Sheet 2 of 2)

1.1.1.3.01 Waste Pretreatment Summary Schedule

Figure 7-8-3. Waste Pretreatment Summary Schedule.

TABLE 7.8-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.01 Level IV	<u>Waste Pretreatment</u> ADS 1220-0	OE C/E LI	45,995 400 10,000 ----- 56,395	56,315 0 6,175 ----- 62,490	33,346 0 32,263 ----- 65,609	40,121 0 33,882 ----- 74,003	60,746 0 71,552 ----- 132,298	51,286 0 86,488 ----- 137,774	44,613 0 78,994 ----- 123,607
	TOTAL TARGET								
	<i>Delta to TARGET.</i> <i>(See notes at end of Table.)</i>	OE C/E LI	NA	(14) (5,538) 6,175 =====	(25,450) (453) 32,263 =====	(7,900) (93) 11,099 =====			
	Total			623	6,360	3,106			
1.1.1.3.01.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1220-0-AA	OE C/E	NA	1,916 0 ----- 1,916	1,969 0 ----- 1,969	2,028 0 ----- 2,028	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.3.01.01.01 Level VI	Pretreatment Program Management	OE C/E		1,916 0 ----- 1,916	1,969 0 ----- 1,969	2,028 0 ----- 2,028			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.01.02 Level VI	Program Management Accounting Adjustments	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.02 Level V	<u>Tech. Development, and Applied Engineering</u> ADS 1220-0-AB	OE C/E	NA	16,702 560 ----- 17,262	16,026 93 ----- 16,119	15,493 93 ----- 15,586	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.3.01.02.01 Level VI	Low-Level Waste Pretreat Dvlpmt. for Refer. Process	OE C/E		2,493 0 ----- 2,493	1,045 0 ----- 1,045	435 0 ----- 435			
	Total						0	0	0

T7.8-1.1

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.8-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.01.02.02 Level VI	LLW Pretreat. Dvlpmnt. for Enhanc. & Alternatives	OE C/E		3,984 50 ----- 4,034	2,411 93 ----- 2,504	1,467 93 ----- 1,560	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.01.02.03 Level VI	Hi-Level Waste Pretreat Dvlpmnt. for Refer. Process	OE C/E		8,920 510 ----- 9,430	7,267 0 ----- 7,267	7,275 0 ----- 7,275	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.01.02.04 Level VI	HLW Pretreat. Dvlpmnt. for Enhanc. & Alternatives	OE C/E		1,305 0 ----- 1,305	5,303 0 ----- 5,303	6,316 0 ----- 6,316	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.01.03 Level V	<u>Facility Operations</u> ADS 1220-0-AC	OE C/E	NA	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.01.04 Level V	<u>Systems Definition</u> ADS 1220-0-AD	OE C/E	NA	7,111 0 ----- 7,111	6,685 0 ----- 6,685	10,828 0 ----- 10,828	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.01.04.01 Level VI	NEPA Flowsht. & Applied Tech. Evaluation	OE C/E		341 0 ----- 341	301 0 ----- 301	100 0 ----- 100	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.01.04.02 Level VI	Engin. Study & Functions and Requirements (F&R)	OE C/E		4,468 0 ----- 4,468	4,528 0 ----- 4,528	8,747 0 ----- 8,747	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total								

T7.8-1.2

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.8-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.01.04.03 Level VI	Develop Flowshts. & Applied Tech. Evaluation	OE C/E		2,302 0 ----	1,856 0 ----	1,981 0 ----			
	Total			2,302	1,856	1,981	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05 Level V	<u>W-236B Prettrmt. Fclty.</u> <u>Initial Prtmt. Module</u>	OE C/E LI	NA	30,600 4,978 0 ----	33,265 360 0 ----	19,672 0 22,783 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----
	ADS 1280-0-AB Sub Total			35,578	33,625	42,455	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE LI		3,616 0 ----	4,068 0 ----	733 5,051 ----			
	Total			3,616	4,068	5,784	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.02 Level VI	Applied Technology	OE C/E		12,849 4,978 ----	18,243 360 ----	18,702 0 ----			
	Total			17,827	18,603	18,702	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.03 Level VI	Design Engineering	OE LI		13,858 0 ----	10,563 0 ----	0 16,882 ----			
	Total			13,858	10,563	16,882	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E		0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E		0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.8-1.3

WCHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.8-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.01.05.06 Level VI	Regulatory Compliance & Safety	OE LI		277 0	391 0	237 850			
	Total			277	391	1,087	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.07 Level VI	Technology Demonstration	OE C/E		0 0	0 0	0 0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.08 Level VI	Hot Pilot Plant	OE C/E		0 0	0 0	0 0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.06 Level V	<u>Hi-Level Waste Pretreatment</u> ADS 1220-0-AF	OE C/E	NA	0 0	851 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total			0	851	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.06.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E		0 0	134 0	0 0			
	Total			0	134	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.06.02 Level VI	Applied Technology	OE C/E		0 0	0 0	0 0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.06.03 Level VI	Design Engineering	OE C/E		0 0	717 0	0 0			
	Total			0	717	0	0	0	0

T7.8-1.4

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.8-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.01.06.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
				---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.06.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
				---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.06.06 Level VI	Regulatory Compliance & Safety	OE C/E		0	0	0			
				0	0	0			
				---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.8-1.5

W HC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.8-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.01 Level IV	<u>Waste Pretreatment</u> ADS 1220-0	OE C/E LI	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	TOTAL		844,762	812,200	717,895	706,711	79,199	0	0	0
	<i>Delta Required to Balance to TARGET Budget.</i> <i>Total</i>	OE C/E LI								
1.1.1.3.01.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1220-0-AA	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.01.01 Level VI	Pretreatment Program Management	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.01.02 Level VI	Program Management Accounting Adjustments	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.02 Level V	<u>Tech. Development. and Applied Engineering</u> ADS 1220-0-AB	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.02.01 Level VI	Low-Level Waste Pretreat Dvlpmnt. for Refer. Process	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.8-1.6

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.8-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.01.02.02 Level VI	LLW Pretreat. Dvlpmt. for Enhanc. & Alternatives	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.01.02.03 Level VI	Hi-Level Waste Pretreat Dvlpmt. for Refer. Process	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.01.02.04 Level VI	HLW Pretreat. Dvlpmt. for Enhanc. & Alternatives	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.01.03 Level V	<u>Facility Operations</u> ADS 1220-0-AC	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.01.04 Level V	<u>Systems Definition</u> ADS 1220-0-AD	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.3.01.04.01 Level VI	NEPA Flowsht. & Applied Tech. Evaluation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.01.04.02 Level VI	Engin. Study & Functions and Requirements (F&R)	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.8-1.7

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.8-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.01.04.03 Level VI	Develop Flowshts. & Applied Tech. Evaluation	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05 Level V	<u>W-236B Prettrmt. Fclty.</u> <u>Initial Prtmt. Module</u>	OE C/E LI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ADS 1280-0-AB		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE LI	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.02 Level VI	Applied Technology	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.03 Level VI	Design Engineering	OE LI	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.8-1.8

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.8-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.01.05.06 Level VI	Regulatory Compliance & Safety	OE LI	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.07 Level VI	Technology Demonstration	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.05.08 Level VI	Hot Pilot Plant	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.06 Level V	<u>Hi-Level Waste Pretreatment</u> ADS 1220-0-AF	OE C/E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.06.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.06.02 Level VI	Applied Technology	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.01.06.03 Level VI	Design Engineering	OE C/E	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.8-1.9

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.8-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.01.06.04 Level VI	Construction	OE C/E								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.01.06.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE C/E								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.01.06.06 Level VI	Regulatory Compliance & Safety	OE C/E								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.8-1.10

WAC-SP-1101

NOTES
TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

The cost exhibit tables in each of the Program Element Baselines (Sections 7.2 through 7.10) identify the program element target funding by year by fund type. The tables also provide details of the baseline allocation of funding down to activity levels (level V) and cost account levels (level VI) of the WBS for Fiscal Year 1995 through 1997. The details exhibited on these tables are taken from the baseline WBS Dictionary Sheets. Deltas exist between the details and the annual target totals. For Fiscal Years 1996 and 1997 the deltas will be addressed in the future, following the completion of the resolution of expected differences between current Fiscal Year 1995 baseline funding and expected actual Fiscal Year 1995 funding. Deltas for Fiscal Year 1995 are noted below by program element:

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION:

No deltas.

TANK FARM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE:

No overall delta. This baseline requires revised fund type mix.

WASTE TANK SAFETY:

Delta is due to need to revise an overhead pricing rate in the P3 system.

TANK FARM UPGRADES:

Delta is due to differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (BO) in the P3 system.

CHARACTERIZATION:

No significant deltas.

WASTE RETRIEVAL:

Delta is primarily due to need to resolve issues associated with expense funding support to capital projects and differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (BO) in the P3 system.

WASTE PRETREATMENT:

Delta is due to the sum of the detail cost estimates being lower than the target total as a result of reestimation of resource requirements to complete baseline scope.

LOW LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is primarily due to carryover expense funded workscope on melter testing addressed in June 9, 1994 RL letter.

T7.8-1.11

HIGH LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is due to carryover of capital funding, PNL expense funding carryover, and ADS corrections submitted but not reflected in target totals.

T7.8-1.12

Table 7.8-2. FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future updates of the MYWP will include Table 7.8-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

**Table 7-8-3. Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant
(Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)**

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.8-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

Table 7.8-4. FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company
Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.8-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.9 LOW-LEVEL WASTE BASELINE

The Low-Level Waste (LLW) Program Element baseline consists of the technical bases, schedule, resource requirements, and waste type data for FY 1995 through completion of the mission. The major emphasis is on deliverables, schedule, and resource requirements for FY 1995, 1996, and 1997.

7.9.1 Technical Bases

This section provides the mission strategy; work breakdown structure and organization responsibility; work to be performed in FY 1995, 1996, and 1997; technical approach; and drivers and deliverables.

7.9.1.1 Mission Strategy

7.9.1.1.1 Objectives

The primary objective of the TWRS LLW Program is to manage the receipt, immobilization, packaging, storage/disposal, and closure (disposal site) of low-level Hanford tank waste in an environmentally sound, safe, and cost-effective manner. This objective has been further defined through the *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (Tri-Party Agreement) as vitrify the low-level waste fraction of the tank waste and dispose of it onsite and near-surface in a retrievable form. The vitrification processing capability is to be available for startup in FY 2005, and the mission must be complete by 2028. The LLW facilities must be sized to handle an estimated $3.2\text{E}+8$ liters of waste feed over the life of the program.

In addition to the above objective, the program shall maintain the Grout Facility in a standby condition to relieve double-shell tank (DST) space should tank space be required to resolve tank safety issues.

7.9.1.1.2 Strategy

The current LLW life-of-program strategy involves the following.

- Utilize commercially available melter and other key processing technologies to the extent practical.
- Develop functional and interface design criteria and key recommendations for an Architect/Engineer (A/E).
- Contract with an independent A/E and constructor for design and construction services.
- Evaluate the safety and environmental consequences of processing and disposal of vitrified LLW.
- Operate the facility by the Management and Operating (M&O) contractor.
- Complete most LLW vitrification processing several years (~ 3) after single-shell tank (SST) retrieval completion.

- Turn over the cleaned out facility and closed burial/storage facility to the Decontamination and Decommissioning (D&D) Program or other monitoring program.
- Conduct open public discussion at key decision points for the program.

Alternative strategies for privatization or contracting will be explored in an effort to reduce the total program costs and/or improve the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) budget restrictions.

For FY 1995 - FY 1997, the strategy emphasizes completion of those tasks required to initiate construction (FY 1998) of the LLW processing and disposal facilities. Many of these tasks are required to secure line item funding for the Project and ensure that the scope is consistent with current regulations. These activities include:

- Melter testing and recommendation
- Facility functions and operational requirements definition
- Preliminary disposal system performance assessment calculations
- A/E selection
- Conceptual design completed
- Preliminary design initiated
- Preliminary permit preparations
- Continued public involvement
- Obtaining approval to start construction of the LLW Vitrification Plant
- Maintaining the Grout facility in standby until this contingency capability is no longer required.

7.9.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements

The TWRS Program is applying systems engineering principles to the LLW Program. The scope and interfaces of the LLW Program are currently defined in *Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements* (DOE/RL-92-60, Rev 1). The critical LLW functions and requirements include the following.

- Process and dispose/store the Hanford low-level tank waste.
- Provide processing capacity for approximately $3.2E+8$ liters of retrieved waste.
- Complete the mission by 2028.
- Protect the public from exposure not to exceed 25 mrem/yr.
- Provide the capability to retrieve vitrified waste for approximately 50 years.

For FY 1995 - FY 1997, the LLW Program will focus considerable attention on further defining the functions and requirements. This will include:

- Supporting continued detailed development of TWRS Functions and Requirements
- Defining melter performance requirements
- Preparing functions and operational requirements for the A/E
- Supporting coordination of waste retrieval sequences and schedules
- Developing LLW feed specifications
- Analyzing waste disposal system performance and supporting barrier, packaging and waste form performance requirements.

7.9.1.1.4 Risks

During the FY 1995 - FY 1997 period of this multi-year work plan, the LLW Program will encounter several known uncertainties and issues. Each issue presents some risk to the program cost, scope, and/or schedule.

Program Cost Uncertainties:

1. There is considerable uncertainty with the Tri-Party Agreement and programmatic cost estimate for the LLW vitrification facility. There is no documented basis for the Tri-Party Agreement LLW cost estimate.

Mitigation: Conceptual design activities will prepare the budget cost estimate basis. Project costs will be updated using the Facility Configuration Study cost estimates as the preconceptual estimate basis.

2. FY 1994 funding (\$4.7M Functional) was transferred from the LLW Program to TWRS to resolve TWRS variance issues. LLW Program work scope associated with those funds (including melter testing) is critical path.

Mitigation: Carry over or supplemental FY 1995 funding is required to complete planned work scope to minimize risk.

Program Schedule Uncertainties:

1. A procurement protest was initiated in FY 1994 that must be ruled on by the General Accounting Office (GAO). The protest requests that contract awards be canceled and a new round of Best and Final Offers be requested. This would extend the time period for meeting Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-60-02 by several months and delay recommendation of melter technology to the A/E.

Mitigation: The protest is currently being argued by WHC and DOE legal. DOE approval has been obtained to proceed with several contracts during the GAO protest review period.

2. The request for qualifications for the LLW vitrification A/E has been delayed pending consideration of privatization strategies for the vitrification and disposal facility.

Mitigation: WHC and DOE are discussing strategies for project initiation and scope.

Program Scope Uncertainties:

1. The LLW vitrification program and the cesium ion exchange portion of pretreatment have been recommended for consolidation into one facility.

Mitigation: The facility consolidation recommendation is currently being reviewed by DOE and the interested public stakeholders. A path forward is being developed by both the LLW Program Office and the Waste Pretreatment Program Office. The programs will reflect the final decision of DOE .

2. The TWRS Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) has five different options to present to the public for treatment and disposal of LLW. Several of the options present significant differences from the current baseline LLW Program.

Mitigation: Approval to initiate construction of the LLW vitrification facility is constrained by DOE order to the TWRS EIS record of decision. The program will reflect the final decision of DOE.

3. The Tri-Party Agreement basis for the LLW Program scope is predicated upon being able to vitrify low-level tank waste using commercial industrial-scale vitrification technology. Vitrification of radioactive waste at this scale and with these waste compositions is unprecedented in the vitrification industry.

Mitigation: Multiple commercial vendors are being contracted to test for demonstration of vitrification capability. Consideration is also being given to a range of glass formulations and waste forms for constituents not readily vitrifiable.

4. The ability of the program to demonstrate compliance with long-term radiological performance objectives is dependant upon the ability to agree with stakeholders and engineers on modeling scenarios, waste form performance, disposal system, and barrier performance.

Mitigation: A series of performance assessment discussions are planned with stakeholders and include development of several stages of performance assessment model results through the life of the program.

7.9.1.1.5 Major Decisions

Cataloging major TWRS Program decisions and the tasks required to make those decisions is an ongoing task with TWRS System Engineering, RL, and the LLW Program Office. Currently, Systems Engineering has issued four major decisions for the LLW vitrification program. Additional decisions have been identified by Systems Engineering, but are currently in draft form only. In addition, the LLW Program has identified major decisions that impact the program but are not reflected in the functional logic of Systems Engineering. The LLW Program baseline plans are based on those decisions where the four major decisions which have been formally identified in Systems Engineering and Program planning documents. Additional decision points are listed below for information and will be incorporated into the program logic as they are reviewed and accepted in the Systems Engineering logic and program logic.

The four specific decisions for the LLW Program, arising from the System Engineering analyses, include:

- Determine LLW form/processes(4.2.3.1.a)
- Determine whether immobilized low-level waste can be disposed in 200 Areas (4.2.3.b).
- Determine immobilized Low-Level Waste disposal configuration (4.2.3.1.b).
- Determine if the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) supports disposal of SST incidental waste onsite.

Additional decisions drafted by Systems Engineering and currently under review include:

- Determine immobilized LLW (ILLW) formulation and disposal system features
- Determine LLW Vitrification Facility concept
- Determine LLW glass form
- Determine LLW melt concept
- Determine ILLW waste package
- Determine cask disposal configuration
- Determine ILLW conveyance method.

Decisions resulting from LLW Program analysis include the above decisions and the following:

- Determine public acceptance of TWRS-EIS for LLW
- Obtain approval to initiate conceptual design
- Obtain approval to initiate construction
- Obtain approval to initiate operations.

7.9.1.1.6 Assumptions

The key assumptions for the LLW Program follow.

1. The 200 Area plateau will be acceptable to stakeholders for storage and the ultimate disposal site of LLW.

This assumption is to be addressed in the TWRS-EIS. (4.2, P1, Issue 1)

2. The NRC will concur with DOE plans for treatment and disposal of the SST waste.

This issue will be addressed as integrated flowsheets are developed and the TWRS-EIS is issued for review. (4.2 O1, Closed Site)

3. An acceptable glass formulation will be developed to meet regulatory and performance criteria.

This activity is being addressed through multiple engineering activities involving melter testing, glass formulation development, and performance assessment.

4. Essentially all (99+ %) of the tank waste will be retrieved and processed.

The LLW facility is being designed to handle $3.2\text{E}+8$ liters of waste, the majority of all tank waste.

5. The immobilized LLW will be packaged and stored onsite to allow future movement if deemed necessary.

This assumption will be addressed in engineering studies and again during conceptual design.

6. Other program element activities (Waste Pretreatment, Waste Retrieval, etc.) will proceed on a schedule that supports the needs/inputs of the LLW Program.

This assumption is being addressed through TWRS Program and Systems Engineering integration.

7. Blending and pretreatment of tank waste can provide feed that meets the feed specifications required for vitrification, resulting in a product that meets specifications for disposal.

This assumption is being addressed through the TWRS Waste Retrieval and Waste Pretreatment Programs' activities.

9. The LLW vitrification process will be based on vendor testing of simulants, laboratory- and bench-scale testing of radioactive waste samples, and cold testing of facility components. A hot pilot plant will not be required for verification of the design concept.

This assumption is built into the program logic and funded technology activities.

10. The LLW vitrification facility will have adequate throughput capacity to complete its vitrification mission by 2022, using a LLW feed stream resulting from cesium ion exchange. Based on this operating scenario, it is estimated that approximately 1.3×10^6 metric tons of vitrified LLW will be produced.

This assumption will be analyzed in the LLW Vitrification Design Requirement Document and Systems Engineering integration analysis.

11. The LLW vitrification project will be authorized as an FY 1997 design only Major Systems Acquisition. The following tasks must be completed to support start of design:

- Completion of the conceptual design report by November 1996
- Completion of phase 1 melter vendor tests by February 1995.

This assumption is being addressed between WHC and DOE project organizations.

12. The LLW Vitrification Facility will use high throughput melter(s) developed for application in the glass industry. The LLWVP planned processing capacity of 200-metric-tons-per-day production will minimize the need for additional DST storage. High throughput melter technology developed for the commercial industry will prove to be adequate for the LLW immobilization mission.

This assumption is incorporated in technology testing activities and will be included in Functions and Operating Requirements presented to the A/E.

13. All permits, Safety Analyses, EISs, and Performance Assessments (PAs) will be approved in time to support facility construction and startup schedules.

This assumption is incorporated into program logic with time allowances for review and comment resolution.

14. During the development and implementation of the LLW Program, no waivers for regulatory requirements (e.g., DOE Orders, *Code of Federal Regulations*, *Washington Administrative Codes*), are anticipated or requested.

This assumption is incorporated into program logic for compliance activities.

15. Budget authority will support the LLW vitrification program schedule; and capital cost increases/decreases as required as additional engineering documentation/estimates are completed.

This assumption will be addressed each fiscal year as cost estimates, schedules, and work scope are prepared.

7.9.1.1.7 Constraints

The LLW Program and processing facility must work within the constraints of DOE, WHC, and TWRS technical, regulatory, and administrative framework. These constraints provide guidance for the execution of the program. There is an entire series of administrative and procedural requirements for DOE, WHC, and TWRS. Those constraints that are most relevant to, but not limited to, the LLW Program include the following:

- 40 CFR 268, "Land Disposal Restrictions," *Code of Federal Regulations*
- 40 CFR 264, "Standards for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities," *Code of Federal Regulations*
- DOE Order 4700.1, *Project Management System*
- DOE Order 5400.3, *Hazardous and Radioactive Mixed Waste Program*
- DOE Order 5400.5, *Radiation Protection of the Public and Environment*
- DOE 5820.2A, *Radioactive Waste Management*
- *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, Fourth Amendment, January 1994, Tri-Party Agreement Change Control Form M-60-93-01
- WAC 173-303, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," *Washington Administrative Code*.

Additional constraints can be found in DOE/RL-92-60 Rev 1, *Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements*.

7.9.1.2 Structure

The LLW Program work breakdown structure and organization and responsibilities are provided in this section.

7.9.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure

The Low-Level Waste Program WBS element is part of the Process Tank Waste WBS Level III element and consists of the following WBS Level V elements:

- Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.01)
- Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.02)
- Facility Operations (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.03)
- System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.04)
- LLW Facility (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.05)
- Grout Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.06).

The LLW Program WBS is shown in Figure 7.9-1. The LLW Program WBS Dictionary is found in Appendix A.

7.9.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility

The LLW Program is responsible for ensuring the safe treatment and disposal of the low-level tank waste. This includes obtaining and utilizing the resources required to design, construct, permit, and operate the LLW Vitrification Plant and storage/disposal facilities. The roles and responsibilities of those organizations performing through the resources of the LLW Program are described below.

Technical Integration and Planning is directed by an activity manager within the LLW Program Office. This organization has responsibility for development of program cost, schedule, and scope baseline information. In addition, this organization is responsible for ensuring integration of the LLW Program with other TWRS, WHC, and Hanford programs.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering is directed by an activity manager within the LLW Program Office, with technical support from several engineering and technical organizations, including the following: WHC Engineering, Technology Development Program Office, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, nationally known universities, contracts with private industry, and private consultants.

This organization is responsible for fundamental data development required to design, permit and operate the LLW processing plant. Activities include process equipment testing, equipment development, waste form development, and waste analysis.

Facility Operations activities are accomplished by WHC TWRS Operations and Engineering staff during conceptual design activities. As the program progresses through construction, system turnovers, and startup, the Operations organization will evolve to accept responsibility for facility operations and production.

System Definition activities are directed by an activity manager within the LLW Program Office. These activities are accomplished with TWRS Engineering and subcontracted engineering organizations. This organization is responsible for analysis and preparation of technical requirements to be implemented by the LLW processing facility. This includes preliminary process flowsheet development, engineering trade studies, performance assessments, and preparation of a Design Requirements Document (DRD).

LLWVP design, construction, and startup activities are directed by the Project manager and are accomplished by the WHC Projects organization. Functions and Operational Requirement (F&OR) will be developed from the DRD and provided to a subcontracted A/E and a construction contractor. This organization has responsibility for design and construction of the LLW processing and disposal facilities.

Grout Facilities activities are accomplished by the WHC Grout Facilities staff. This staff of management and operators is responsible for maintaining the Grout facility in a standby mode until a final decision is made to operate or shut down.

Numerous other organizations will be involved in the activities and goals assigned as responsibilities to the LLW Program. Interfacing will be required to accomplish the exchange of ideas, assignment/identification of tasks, budget and schedule agreements, and finalizing of work scope. The following organizations, both internal and external, interface with the LLW Program.

- TWRS Program Offices (Characterization, Waste Pretreatment, High-Level Waste, Tank Farm Operations and Maintenance, and Waste Tank Safety)
- Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, stakeholders, advisory panels, and DOE.

7.9.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed

The FY 1995 work scope is summarized in this section.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.01)

This activity includes the following.

- **Activity ID L1010100-Fiscal Year Work Plan Maintenance.** This activity will focus on the resolution of minor comments on the FY 1995 work plan plus monthly statusing of the schedule, which the program will use for reporting requirements.
- **Activity ID L1010200-Multi Year Program Plan/Baseline.** This activity will focus on the following: review the previous baseline; prepare any changes to the baseline as a result of other planning documents/changes to systems engineering approach, etc.; input the changes to the baseline data base and reconcile variances from previous baseline; submit the baseline documentation to RL for approval; and status the baseline schedule.
- **Activity ID L1010300-Fiscal Year Activity Data Sheet (ADS).** This activity is for the preparation of the ADS narrative; all other data will be from the baseline. This is a minimal effort activity but vital to the program because the ADS supports the Congressional Budget Cycle.

- **Activity ID L1010400-Fiscal Year Work Plan.** This activity is for the development of the FY 1996 Work Plan. This will involve the following: review the baseline (because the development of the work plan should mirror the baseline documentation); prepare documentation required for the work plan; input the data to the database; generate the schedule, schedule logic, and other applicable information as required; submit the work plan to RL for review and approval; incorporate changes from RL (these would be major changes prior to the FY 1996 work plan being approved by September 31, 1995).
- **Activity ID L1020100-Update LLW Strategy Documents.** This activity is for the review and updating of the LLW Program Strategy document on an annual basis. This document will take input from various arenas (systems engineering) and provide overall direction to the program.
- **Activity ID L1040100-Support Systems Engineering.** This activity will provide the resources from the LLW Program in support of the formal systems engineering process, as required by the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. This process will develop the Functions and Requirements documents and the subsequent hierarchial order of the documents.
- **Activity ID L1050100-Program Management Support.** This activity will provide overall management of the LLW Program with respect to programmatic technical direction, analysis of engineering studies, attending various meeting (staff, status), required support from Quality Assurance and Safety, and financial/schedule/estimating support.
- **Activity ID L1060100-Support Public Involvement.** This activity will support the systems engineering process as well as making this program-successful from a technical basis.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.02)

This activity includes the following.

- **Melter Selection.** Commercial melter vendors will be performing Phase I testing to determine if their melter types can be adapted to the LLW Program. After Phase I testing, a downselecting process will determine those vendors who will continue in Phase II testing. The testing will be a phased testing with the first phase as proof of principle. The second phase will allow for enhancements of melter systems to handle progressively difficult simulants. A primary melter technology and an alternate will be chosen at the end of testing.
- **Simulant Development and Characteristics.** Simulants will be developed for melter testing and supplied to the potential vendors. The first simulant to be developed will be a double-shell simulant as this will most likely be the first feed to a melter. This simulant is based on double-shell tank waste characterization information. Later, simulants for Phase II testing will be based on the best information available from tank inventories.

- **Glass Formulation.** Formulations will be developed to support melter testing and selection in the early phases of the Program. Formulations to support the Program will continue to be developed as characterization information becomes available. There will be a range of formulations developed for variations in waste as tanks are retrieved. The characteristics of the various glasses to be produced can then be used in modeling on the entire disposal package (and site) to determine the effects on the environment. This work will proceed concurrently as the design work on the waste package and disposal site is developed. Durability testing will be carried on for glass formulations. Work in predicting off gas and non-vitrifiable products will support design of the Vitrification Facility. All work will follow closely with melter selection.
- **Ancillary Process Requirements.** Development of process needs will be as identified through melter testing, flowsheet development, and engineering studies. These will include feed systems, melter off gas system development, and glass product handling. In addition, non-vitrifiable products will be identified, and methods of disposing or recycling these products will be developed.
- **Disposal Matrix/Container Selection.** Disposal of vitrified LLW on the Hanford Site will require meeting DOE Order 5820.2A. This is currently envisioned to require a matrix or container around the glass product as part of the disposal system. The compatibility of a matrix/container will be determined to ensure it will meet the long-term performance requirements.
- **Disposal System Selection.** Studies are necessary to support disposal system engineering and design. These include developing and documenting a technical basis for disposal systems, data needs for the PA to eliminate conservatism and provide a defensible PA and modeling to ensure that the overall disposal system will perform.
- **Glass Quality Performance.** The need for certifying the quality of glass waste form integrity and integrity of melter refractory will be approached from a non-destructive testing avenue. An important lesson learned from Grout was that this is a long-lead item that should be worked upfront.
- **Feed Specification.** Development of a waste feed specification will be an interactive process with Pretreatment. Feed specifications will be a combination of pretreatment technologies for radionuclide removal, waste retrieval sequence, and melter/formulation ability to capture wastes.

The Technology Development and Applied Engineering work element will provide information to support the following.

- **Performance Assessment.** During the design and development of the project, the basis of the PA will be used to guide decisions. Scoping PAs will be run to guide decisions as glass quality disposal concepts, siting of disposal system, and matrix/packaging materials. This will allow for determining appropriate disposal methods for ensuring successful passing of the PA.
- **Permitting Activities.** These activities include development and application of methods for the quality verification of the glass waste form and the matrix and disposal system, along with providing data on the integrity of the disposal system.

- **Safety Analysis.** Technology activities will focus on development of specific needs for operating within the specified safety envelope. These could include enhancements of formulation, refractory development and buildup of constituents, development of off gas treatment, melter stabilization, and product-handling techniques.
- **Design, Construction, and Operations of the LLW Facility.** Selection of melter technologies, feed systems, formulations, off gas systems, refractories, and filtration systems for the design and construction will be supported by Technology Development and Applied Engineering. As operations progress, needs will be identified as changes in formulation or melter system improvements to meet the needs of changing feeds due to retrieval sequence of the tanks.

Facility Operations (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.03)

This activity includes the following.

- **Activity ID L3010100-Baseline Development Support.** Provide support to Systems Engineering and LLW Program during baseline development to ensure that operations and maintenance needs are incorporated into the design of the LLWVP.
- **Activity ID L3020100-M&O Management and Planning.** Prepare Operational Readiness roles and responsibilities definition document. Initiate early operations planning for the LLWVP. Manage personnel involved in other work packages in FY 1995.

System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.04)

This activity includes the following.

- **Define LLW Functions and Requirements (F&R):**
 - Develop LLW F&R and revisions as needed to serve as a technical baseline for project execution.
 - Define LLW feed waste acceptance criteria reflecting process flowsheet developments and key interface points between waste pretreatment and LLW vitrification. Prepare initial criteria document and update as warranted by program developments.
 - Define ILLW disposal product criteria factoring in PA developments, glass formulation studies, tank waste retrieval sequences, processing constraints, degree of radionuclide separations, and waste composition variability.
 - Define and develop process and disposal system trade studies including updating the Facility Configuration Options Study, Phase II trade studies, and further refinement and development of disposal systems concepts. Perform a decision analysis for a recommended disposal system concept.

- Prepare LLW PA:
 - Identify and develop experimental data needs for the PA, including objectives, disposal scenarios, waste source terms, hydrologic and recharge data, chemical and radionuclide transport data, groundwater data, disposal systems impacts, dosimetry data, computer models, and integration of these items.
 - Prepare plans for site sampling and characterization.
- Define LLW Technical Baseline:
 - Prepare, summarize, and document a LLW Program technical baseline to provide uniform technical guidance to program and project participants. Update document as new developments emerge.
 - Perform LLWVP queuing and lag storage requirements study.
- Technical Basis Document Maintenance:
 - Define and develop a project media handling system.
- Engineering Review and Program Support:
 - Support LLW Systems Definition planning, reporting, and special requests. Develop detailed LLW decision logic charts to guide technical developments.
 - Compliance
 - Continue safety assessments supporting trade studies and provide guidance to designers for development of the Conceptual Design Report (CDR) and design initiation.
 - Perform Best Available Radionuclide Control Technology (BARCT), Best Available Control Technology (BACT), and T-BACT analysis and support the development of facility permits.

LLW Facility (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.05)

This activity includes the following.

- Plan and manage all project activities including project plans and procedures.
- Provide project reporting system, including written reports and status presentations.
- Prepare and maintain project schedules.
- Ensure preparation and maintenance of project estimates--Total Estimated Cost (TEC) and Total Project Cost (TPC).
- Support DOE in yearly budget validations and key decision process.

- Develop Quality Assurance (QA) plans; perform audits and surveillances.
- Establish QA requirements: records, trend analyses, training.
- Establish project-wide training program.
- Support public involvement activities initiatives established by the Program Office.
- Prepare and approve all safety analyses. Ensure independent reviews.
- Prepare and approve all permit applications. Ensure internal reviews and regulator reviews are accomplished.
- Prepare preliminary and detailed design in compliance with the F&OR and the project design criteria (AE/CM).
- Provide day-to-day direction of the contracted design agent (WHC).
- Review and approve design products (WHC).
- Provide as-built documentation required to operate and maintain the facilities (AE/CM).
- Prepare operational and maintenance procedures (WHC and AE/CM).

Grout Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.06)

This activity includes the following.

- Complete remaining activities to deactivate the Grout Facilities.
- Maintain the Facilities in a standby condition as specified by the January 25, 1994, Tri-Party Agreement.
- Provide the capability to restart the Facilities within a two year window as specified by DOE-RL letter no. 93-DSB-044, L. Erickson to President, Westinghouse Hanford Company, dated 21 December 1993.

To meet these expectations:

- Pursue the removal and replacement of the failed mixer in tank 241-AP-102.

7.9.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed

The FY 1996 - 1997 work scope is summarized in this section.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.01)

This activity includes the following.

- **Activity ID L10101XX-Fiscal Year Work Plan Maintenance.** This activity will focus on the resolution of minor comments on the FY 1996 work plan plus monthly statusing of the schedule, which the program will use for reporting requirements.
- **Activity ID L10102XX-Multi Year Program Plan/Baseline.** This activity will focus on the following: review the previous baseline; prepare any changes to the baseline as a result of other planning documents/changes to systems engineering approach, etc.; input the changes to the baseline database and reconcile variances from previous baseline; submit the baseline documentation to RL for approval; and status the baseline schedule.
- **Activity ID L10103XX-Fiscal Year ADS.** This activity is for the preparation of the ADS narrative; all other data will be from the baseline. This is a minimal effort activity but vital to the program because the ADS supports the Congressional Budget Cycle.
- **Activity ID L10104XX-Fiscal Year Work Plan.** This activity is for the development of the FY 1997 and FY 1998 Work Plan. This will involve the following: review the baseline (the development of the work plan should mirror the baseline documentation); prepare documentation required for the work plan; input the data to the database; generate the schedule, schedule logic, and other applicable information as required; submit the work plan to RL for review and approval; incorporate changes from RL (these would be major changes prior to the FY 1996 work plan being approved by September 31, 1995).
- **Activity ID L10201XX-Update LLW Strategy Documents.** This activity is for the review and updating of the LLW Program Strategy document on an annual basis. This document will take input from various arenas (systems engineering) and provide overall direction to the program.
- **Activity ID L10401XX-Support Systems Engineering.** This activity will provide the resources from the LLW Program to the formal systems engineering process.
- **Activity ID L10501XX-Program Management Support.** This activity will provide overall management of the LLW Program with respect to programmatic technical direction, analysis of engineering studies, attending various meeting (staff, status), required support from Quality Assurance, Safety, and financial/schedule/estimating support.
- **Activity ID L10601XX-Support Public Involvement.** This activity will support the systems engineering process as well as making this program successful from a technical basis.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.02)

This activity includes the following.

- **Melter Selection.** Commercial melter vendors will be performing Phase II testing to determine if their melter types can be adapted to the LLW Program as the reference melter for the LLWVP. After phase II testing, a reference melter will be selected to be included with the design of the LLWVP.
- **Simulant Development and Characteristics.** Simulants will be developed for melter testing and supplied to the potential vendors. Later, simulants for Phase II testing will be based on the best information available from tank inventories.
- **Glass Formulation.** Formulations will be developed to support melter testing and selection. Formulations to support the Program will continue to be developed as characterization information from pretreatment becomes available. There will be a range of formulations developed for variations in waste as tanks are retrieved. The characteristics of the various glasses to be produced can then be used in modeling on the entire disposal package (and site) to determine the effects on the environment. This work will proceed concurrently as the design work on the waste package and disposal site is developed. Durability testing will be carried on for glass formulations. Work in predicting off gas and non-vitrifiable products will support design of the Vitrification Facility. All work will follow closely with melter selection. Formulations will be analyzed in a cold pilot plant to optimize waste loadings and enhance performance characteristics.
- **Ancillary Process Requirements.** Development of process needs as identified through melter testing, flowsheet development, and engineering studies. These will include feed systems for melter off gas system development, and glass product handling. In addition, non-vitrifiable products will be identified, and methods of disposing or recycling these products will be developed.
- **Disposal Matrix/Container Selection.** Disposal of glass on the Hanford Site will require meeting DOE Order 5820.2A. Performance Assessment work scope is covered in WBS element 1.1.1.3.02.04. This is currently envisioned to require a matrix or container around the glass product as part of the disposal system. The compatibility of a matrix/container will be developed to ensure it will meet the long-term performance requirements.
- **Disposal System Selection.** Technology studies are necessary to support disposal system engineering and design. These include developing and documenting a technical basis for disposal systems, data needs for the PA to eliminate conservatism and provide a defensible PA, and modeling to ensure that the overall disposal system will perform adequately to meet performance criteria.
- **Glass Quality Performance.** The need for certifying the quality of glass waste form integrity and integrity of refractory will be approached from a non-destructive testing avenue. An important lesson learned from Grout was that this is a long-lead item that should be worked upfront. Product quality will be arrived at through cold pilot plant testing by using simulated pretreated product specification, pretreatment output to the LLWVP, combined with glass formers to optimize the product quality. This will be an iterative process when tailoring to specific waste streams from pretreatment.

- **Feed Specification.** Development of a feed specification will be an interactive process with Pretreatment. Feed specifications will be a combination of pretreatment technologies for removal, retrieval sequence and melter/formulation ability to capture wastes.

The Technology Development and Applied Engineering work element will provide information to the support the following.

- **Performance Assessment.** During the design and development of the project, the basis of the PA will be used to guide decisions. Scoping PAs will be run to guide decisions as glass quality disposal concepts, siting of disposal system, and matrix/packaging materials. This will allow for determining the best methods and ensuring successful passing of the PA.
- **Permitting Activities.** These activities include development and application of methods for the quality verification of the glass waste form and the matrix and disposal system, along with providing data on the integrity of the disposal system.
- **Safety Analysis.** Technology activities will focus on development of specific needs for operating within the specified safety envelope. These could include enhancements of formulation, refractory development and buildup of constituents, development of off gas treatment, melter stabilization, and product-handling techniques.
- **Design, Construction, and Operations of the LLW Facility.** Selection of melter technologies, feed systems, formulations, off gas systems, refractories, and filtration systems for the design and construction will be supported by Technology Development and Applied Engineering. As construction and operations progress, needs will be identified as changes in formulation or melter system improvements to meet the needs of changing feeds due to retrieval sequence of the tanks.

Facility Operations (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.03)

This activity includes the following.

- **Activity ID L3020100 M&O Management and Planning.** Prepare Operational Readiness roles and responsibilities definition document. Initiate early operations planning for the LLWVP. Manage personnel involved in other work packages in FY 1996 and FY 1997.

System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.04)

This activity includes the following.

- **Define LLW Functions and Requirements (F&R):**
 - Develop LLW F&R and revisions as needed to serve as a technical baseline for project execution.
 - Update LLW feed waste acceptance criteria reflecting process flowsheet changes as warranted by program developments.

- Define ILLW disposal product criteria factoring in PA developments, glass formulation studies, tank waste retrieval sequences, processing constraints, degree of radionuclide separations, and waste composition variability.
- Prepare LLW PA:
 - Develop experimental data needs for the PA including objectives, disposal scenarios, waste source terms, hydrologic and recharge data, chemical and radionuclide transport data, groundwater data, disposal systems impacts, dosimetry data, computer models, and integration of these items.
 - Develop a soil baseline for the new TWRS complex with emphasis on the LLW disposal area. Develop the information to support a site environmental baseline.
 - Provide management and write the interim PA. Review the interim PA and initiate preparation of the preliminary PA. The interim PA is intended to show that there is a reasonable expectation that the disposal of vitrified LLW can meet required performance objectives.
- Define LLW Technical Baseline:
 - Update the LLW Program technical baseline document as new developments emerge.
- Technical Basis Document Maintenance:
 - Implement a project media handling system.
- Engineering Review and Program Support:
 - Support LLW Systems Definition planning, reporting, and special requests. Update LLW decision logic charts to guide technical developments.
- Compliance:
 - Provide guidance to designers for development of the CDR and design initiation.
 - Complete BARCT, BACT, and T-BACT analysis and support the development of facility permits.

LLW Facility (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.05)

This activity includes the following.

- Plan and manage all project activities including project plans and procedures.
- Provide project reporting system, including written reports and status presentations.
- Prepare and maintain project schedules.
- Ensure preparation and maintenance of project estimates--TEC and TPC.

- Support DOE in yearly budget validations and key decision process.
- Develop QA plans; perform audits and surveillances.
- Establish QA requirements: records, trend analyses, training.
- Establish project-wide training program.
- Support public involvement activities initiatives established by the Program Office.
- Prepare and approve all safety analyses. Ensure independent reviews.
- Prepare and approve all permit applications. Ensure internal reviews and regulator reviews are accomplished.
- Prepare preliminary and detailed design in compliance with the F&OR and the project design criteria (AE/CM).
- Provide day-to-day direction of the contracted design agent (WHC).
- Review and approve design products (WHC).
- Provide as-built documentation required to operate and maintain the facilities (AE/CM).
- Prepare operational and maintenance procedures (WHC and AE/CM).

Grout Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.06)

This activity includes the following.

- Maintain the Facilities in a standby condition as specified by the January 25, 1994, Tri-Party Agreement.
- Provide the capability to restart the Facilities within a two-year window, as specified by DOE-RL letter no. 93-DSB-044, L. Erickson to President, Westinghouse Hanford Company, dated December 21, 1993.

7.9.1.5 Approach

The overall major approach for the LLW Program has been outlined in the January 1994 Tri-Party Agreement update, which outlined the strategy utilizing a LLWVP. In addition, the LLW Program has developed a technical strategy document to "chart" a successful path forward.

The approach shown below is discussed by WBS elements.

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.02)

The approach for this WBS element is continually to improve the technical, cost, and schedule for the LLW Program for the next several years by more concisely using scoping statements and deliverables. Vendors will be utilized in developing a estimating database. The vast majority of work will be performed by subcontractors with M&O contractor oversight.

LLW Facility (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.05)

Early melter development tests will demonstrate the viability of vitrification as a suitable tank low-level tank waste treatment. These development tests will use commercial glass/vitrification vendors, who will compete using a waste simulant. The testing is planned to be broken into two phases. The first will focus on using a generic simulant and making a vitrified product in any scale. The products will be tested by an independent laboratory (vendor) to determine the quality of product compared to product quality specifications. It should be noted that at the end of Phase I, information will be provided to the conceptual design effort. After the first phase, a structured downselection process will be established to determine those vendors who will continue to Phase II. Phase II will be of a larger scale, using Hanford tanks' specific simulants. The same process will occur and then a downselection to a reference high-output melter design/concept. This reference melter will be a part of the Title I design effort.

The current baseline contracting approach is to use fixed price subcontracts to the maximum extent practical. Fixed price contracting will be considered for detail design (Title I, Title II, etc) and construction management. Alternative contracting approaches are being considered. These include commercialization of privatization. The commercialization contracting approach would entail hiring a single contractor to design, build, and operate the LLWVP. This would be government funded, with fixed price incentive fee contracts based on performance. A privatization approach involves a single contractor to design, build, and operate. The contractor would provide all project funding (design, build, startup). The privatization contractor would recoup this up front investment with fee payment tied to product deliveries during the operational phase.

Operation of LLW vitrification will use pretreated double-shell slurry feed as early feed, as well as SST saltcake. Operations activities will be evaluated of being privatized or operated by the M&O contractor. The baseline shows the M&O contractor being the operator.

As part of conceptual design, a LLW Vitrification Facility radiation shielding requirement will be established, predicated upon removal of cesium and strontium from the tank waste by the pretreatment function.

The LLWVP will be designed based on testing using simulants, laboratory- and bench-scale testing of radioactive waste samples, and cold testing of facility components. A hot pilot plant will not be required for verification of design concept. The alternate approach is that an engineering study will be prepared and the design/build contractor will evaluate the study and recommend whether a hot pilot plant is required to guarantee success of the plant.

This facility will be granted interim status to allow construction. A final dangerous waste permit will be required prior to initiation of hot operations. Prior to construction, DOE will be required to obtain a letter from Ecology authorizing construction. This authorization will be based on Ecology's ongoing review of melter development and facility design to ensure compliance with appropriate

environmental regulatory requirements. Concurrently with this approach, development of permits will be ongoing.

Grout Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.06)

The M&O contractor is to provide the expertise to develop a comprehensive and detailed level of planning to ensure that the facility is transitioned to a safe standby condition in a controlled and orderly manner with minimal impact to plant personnel. The plan (and its implementation) must support a safe restart of the facility within two years in the event its operation is necessary to provide tank space for safety reasons prior to construction of additional double-shell tanks.

- Proceed with the deactivation of the Grout Facilities
- Maintain the Facilities in a standby condition as specified by the January 25, 1994, Tri-Party Agreement
- Provide the capability to restart the Facilities within a two-year window, as specified by DOE-RL letter no. 93-DSB-044, L. Erickson to President, Westinghouse Hanford Company, dated December 21, 1993.

7.9.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables

The drivers for the LLW Program are defined in *Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements*, DOE/RL-92-60, Rev 1, Functions Requirements, Table F4.2.3.1, Item V,; systems Architecture, Table A4.2.3.1, Item VIII, paragraph C, Tables A-1, B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-5 where applicable.

One of the key drivers for separating the tank waste into an optimally reduced volume of high-level waste (HLW) with the remaining volume as LLW is the anticipated cost of disposing of defense HLW in the national geological repository, which is projected to cost significantly more per unit volume than near-surface disposal of LLW at the Hanford Site.

Another driver for the LLW Program is the urgency by stakeholders and regulators to see real progress in cleanup of the Hanford Site, particularly to initiate retrieval, pretreatment, and disposal of the tank waste. Specifically, retrieving these wastes from tanks that are leaking or have the potential to leak waste to the groundwater and transforming those wastes into a more chemically stable form is highly desirable, even if it is necessary to store the canistered HLW at the Hanford Site for several years while a repository is sited and built.

The LLW Program deliverables are documented in Level IV, V, and VI WBS dictionary sheets (refer to Appendix A) and in the LLW Program Milestone Description Sheets (refer to Appendix D).

Grout Facilities (WBS 1.1.1.3.02.06)

The drivers for this activity are defined in the Tri-Party Agreement, signed January 25, 1994, and the *Grout Treatment Facility Standby Plan*, WHC-SD-WM-SSP-005, January 1994, Tables A-1, B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-5 where applicable.

Provide the capability to restart the Facilities within a two-year window as specified by DOE-RL letter no. 93-DSB-044, L. Erickson to President, Westinghouse Hanford Company, dated December 21, 1993.

7.9.2 Schedule

7.9.2.1 Low-Level Waste Summary Schedule

The LLW Program summary schedule is provided in Figure 7.9-2. This schedule accurately portrays in graphic format the summary-level work scope for the life of the LLW Program. Significant activities and milestones for the program are identified from a TWRS Program integrated and controlled database. All Tri-Party Agreement major and selected interim milestones applicable to this program element are included on the summary schedule. The LLW Program Milestone Description Sheets and Log are found in Appendix D. There is at least one activity for each Level V WBS element. Logical ties within and between other program elements are provided where they offer clarification.

The principal activities critical path within the LLW Program are those required for the selection of a reference melter, conceptual design, design, construction of LLWVP, and startup of the LLWVP. Other requirements are establishing product quality requirements, meeting performance criteria, factoring in public values, with the ultimate objective of designing, constructing, and operating the LLWVP for safe processing of Hanford's low-level waste inventory. This includes development of the required technology and closeout of the Grout facilities. The LLW Program is responsible for the completion of Tri-Party Agreement Major Milestone M-60-00, Complete Vitrification of Hanford Low-Level Tank Waste to be completed by December 2028.

The program element schedule is a demand schedule and one of risk (as shown in baseline documentation). Many critical activities are being worked in parallel to meet this demand schedule. Hot operations in June of 2005 is the critical path through the design, construction, and startup of the LLWVP. Selection of the reference melter in June 1996 is a critical path activity. With this critical path, it is paramount that there is no delay in approval of DOE Key Decisions. It is critical that the DOE-HQ/RL and the M&O contractor focus and operate as a team. It should be noted that Key Decision process may need to be evaluated based on experience with KDO.

7.9.2.2 Low-Level Waste Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)

Low-Level Waste expanded baseline for FY 1995 - FY 1997 is located in Appendix C.

7.9.3 Resource Requirements

7.9.3.1 Cost

7.9.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates

The costs basis for the LLW Program utilizes historical data, experience of other glass plants proposed at Hanford, rough order of magnitude estimates and evolving engineering studies. The major cost item to this program is the LLWVP, which is envisioned to cost between \$0.9 billion and

\$1.5 billion to design, construct, and start up. The wide variation of costs are the difference between a lightly shielded and heavy shielded facility. See program uncertainties for additional data.

7.9.3.1.2 Total Program Element Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account)

The basis for the LLWVP in the baseline has minimum basis and will increase significantly as a result of ongoing engineering studies in FY 1994. The estimates will continue to fluctuate until the conceptual design is completed and the LLWVP is validated in FY 1996.

Table 7.9-1 establishes the baseline cost for this program element. The baseline cost is exhibited in prior year cost, annual cost for FY 1995 through FY 2000, and 5-year totals for FY 2001 through program element completion. Also exhibited is the total program element cost including prior years through program element completion. Where the data are available, these baseline costs are exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. At present, the program element cost baseline is the program element cost totals exhibited on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.9.3.1.3 FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.9-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

7.9.3.2 Staffing

7.9.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections

The basis of staffing estimates shown in the MYWP for the LLW Program is based on a bottoms up approach to estimating.

7.9.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.9-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

7.9.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.2-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.9.3.3 Work Scope Carryover

Carryover work scope is as follows:

1. Melter Testing. Phase I testing originally planned in FY 1994 will require funding of \$4.0 million in FY 1995. It should be noted that this amount of carryover work scope and no carryover funding, due to TWRS FY 1994 funding issues will create a risk for the LLW Program. Naturally, the program will continue to work the critical path of the program as its priorities, but eventually the bow wave will have to be paid for. This assumes funding is not restored in FY 1995 for funding reallocated to other ADS in FY 1994.
2. Product Quality studies of \$.2 million were deferred in FY 1994. This was work planned to be performed with universities.
3. TDPO/PNL. \$.5 million to issue product/package laboratory studies investigation plan, volatility letter report, small scale meter letter report, cesium migration letter report. In addition to melter testing, glass formulation, off gas development work will be deferred.
4. PNL. \$.1 million to resolve final Grout Treatment Facility PA comments.

7.9.4 Waste Type Data

The LLW Program is comprised of activities that do generate and receive waste requiring direct funding to disposition. The LLW Program's primary mission is to dispose of the low-level tank waste from Hanford. In the accomplishment of this mission, certain quantities of solid, liquid, and gaseous wastes will be received and/or generated that will require treatment and/or disposal. Current inventory of Hanford's waste tanks is approximately 63 Mgal, of which 90 percent of the volume is expected to be immobilized in the low-level vitrified waste product. This does not account for any dilution factors. These will be defined in the processing flowsheets and part of the overall TWRS systems engineering approach.

The waste type data for construction of the LLWVP have yet to be identified. On completion of the conceptual design, the waste type data will be available.

Waste type data are found in Appendix H.

7.9.5 Revisions

In future updates of the MYWP, this section will include a summary of the revision that has occurred in this program element since the last issue of the MYWP.

LOW LEVEL WASTE (PAGE 1 OF 2) WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE

Figure 7.9-1. Low-Level Waste Work Breakdown Structure.
(Sheet 1 of 2)

WHC-SP-1101

F7.9-1

LOW LEVEL WASTE (PAGE 2 OF 2) WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE

Figure 7.9-1. Low-Level Waste Work Breakdown Structure.
(Sheet 2 of 2)

WHC-SP-1101

F7.9-2

F7.9-3

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

44,210

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.02 Level IV	<u>Low Level Waste</u> ADS 1230-0	OE C/E LI	32,944 400 0	49,057 569 0	45,081 1,137 0	33,812 899 5,343	47,835 1,006 32,430	65,016 1,006 83,065	80,521 1,006 121,558
	TOTAL TARGET		33,344	49,626	46,218	40,054	81,271	149,087	203,085
	<i>Delta to TARGET.</i> <i>(See notes at end of Table.)</i>	OE C/E LI	NA	(2,761) 243 0 ==== (2,518)	(10,161) 837 0 ==== (9,324)	6,637 561 (11,354) ==== (4,156)			
	Total								
1.1.1.3.02.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1230-0-AA/AC	OE C/E	NA	1,444 12 ----- 1,456	1,684 0 ----- 1,684	1,581 0 ----- 1,581	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.3.02.01.01 Level VI	Program Management: Business Plans	OE C/E		443 0 ----- 443	593 0 ----- 593	472 0 ----- 472	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.02.01.02 Level VI	Program Management: Mgmt. Plans / Guidance	OE C/E		3 0 ----- 3	3 0 ----- 3	3 0 ----- 3	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.02.01.04 Level VI	Program Management: Systems Engineering	OE C/E		29 0 ----- 29	28 0 ----- 28	29 0 ----- 29	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
	Total								

WHC-SP-1101

T7.9-1.1

TABLE 7.9-1

44,210

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.02.01.05 Level VI	Program Management: Prog. Administration	OE C/E		940 12 ----- 952	1,030 0 ----- 1,030	1,046 0 ----- 1,046	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.01.06 Level VI	Program Management: Public Involvement	OE C/E		29 0 ----- 29	30 0 ----- 30	31 0 ----- 31	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02 Level V	<u>Tech. Development, and</u> <u>Applied Engineering</u> ADS 1230-0-AC Sub Total	OE C/E	NA	25,626 287 ----- 25,913	23,577 300 ----- 23,877	11,390 338 ----- 11,728	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02.01 Level VI	Melter Selection	OE C/E		14,432 0 ----- 14,432	11,726 0 ----- 11,726	1,326 0 ----- 1,326	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02.02 Level VI	LLW Simulant Dvlpmnt. and Specifications	OE C/E		717 0 ----- 717	1,183 0 ----- 1,183	172 0 ----- 172	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02.03 Level VI	Integrated Process System	OE C/E		3,577 234 ----- 3,811	2,252 0 ----- 2,252	2,211 0 ----- 2,211	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.9-1.2

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

44,210

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.02.02.04 Level VI	Product Qualification Verification	OE C/E		479 0 -----	466 0 -----	449 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			479	466	449	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.02.05 Level VI	Misc. Technology Studies	OE C/E		572 0 -----	557 0 -----	541 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			572	557	541	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.02.06 Level VI	LLW Pilot Scale System	OE C/E		108 0 -----	982 0 -----	3,128 338 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			108	982	3,466	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.02.07 Level VI	LLW Packaging & Matls.	OE C/E		3,043 0 -----	3,581 0 -----	1,373 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			3,043	3,581	1,373	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.02.08 Level VI	Non-Vitrifiable Waste	OE C/E		764 0 -----	493 0 -----	0 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			764	493	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.02.09 Level VI	Mat'ls Property Handbook / Database	OE C/E		419 53 -----	321 0 -----	226 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			472	321	226	0	0	0

T7.9-1.3

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

44,210

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.02.02.10 Level VI	Disposal System	OE C/E		1,373 0 -----	1,810 300 -----	1,699 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			1,373	2,110	1,699	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.02.11 Level VI	Oversite & Planning	OE C/E		142 0 -----	206 0 -----	265 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			142	206	265	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.03 Level V	<u>Facility Operations</u> ADS 1230-0-AC	OE C/E	NA	322 0 -----	144 0 -----	135 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----
	Total			322	144	135	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.03.01 Level VI	Project Definition Support	OE C/E		322 0 -----	0 0 -----	0 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			322	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.03.02 Level VI	Prep. of LLW Operations	OE C/E		0 0 -----	144 0 -----	135 0 -----	-----	-----	-----
	Total			0	144	135	0	0	0

T7.9-1.4

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

44,210

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.02.04 Level V	<u>System Definition</u> ADS 1230-0-AC	OE C/E	NA	9,728 27 ----- 9,755	9,485 0 ----- 9,485	7,197 0 ----- 7,197	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total			9,755	9,485	7,197	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.01 Level VI	Compliance	OE C/E		3,277 0 ----- 3,277	379 0 ----- 379	56 0 ----- 56	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			3,277	379	56	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.02 Level VI	Prepare LLW Performance Assessment	OE C/E		4,130 27 ----- 4,157	7,570 0 ----- 7,570	5,938 0 ----- 5,938	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			4,157	7,570	5,938	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.03 Level VI	Define LLW Tech. Baseline	OE C/E		1,015 0 ----- 1,015	710 0 ----- 710	731 0 ----- 731	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			1,015	710	731	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.05 Level VI	Maintain LLW Technical Basis Documentation	OE C/E		197 0 ----- 197	237 0 ----- 237	285 0 ----- 285	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			197	237	285	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.06 Level VI	Engineering Reviews & Program Support	OE C/E		149 0 ----- 149	108 0 ----- 108	111 0 ----- 111	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			149	108	111	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.07 Level VI	Compliance	OE C/E		960 0 ----- 960	481 0 ----- 481	76 0 ----- 76	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total			960	481	76	0	0	0

T7.9-1.5

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

44,210

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.02.05 Level V	<u>Low-Level Waste Vitrification Facility</u> ADS 1230-0-AC	OE LI	NA	13,075 0 ----- 13,075	20,090 0 ----- 20,090	6,726 16,697 ----- 23,423	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.3.02.05.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE LI		2,637 0 ----- 2,637	3,104 0 ----- 3,104	1,018 2,079 ----- 3,097			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.05.02 Level VI	Safety Analysis Report/ Permitting	OE LI		391 0 ----- 391	1,489 0 ----- 1,489	1,944 0 ----- 1,944			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.05.03 Level VI	Design Engineering	OE LI		10,047 0 ----- 10,047	15,497 0 ----- 15,497	3,764 14,618 ----- 18,382			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.05.04 Level VI	Construction	OE LI		0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0			
	Total						0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.05.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE LI		0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0			
	Total						0	0	0

T7.9-1.6

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

44,210

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.02.05.06 Level VI	Applied Engineering	OE LI		0	0	0			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.06 Level V	<u>Grout Facility</u> ADS 1230-0-AA	OE C/E	NA	1,623	262	146	0	0	0
	Total			1,623	262	146	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.06.02 Level VI	Two Year Restart Compliance Activity	OE C/E		1,172	0	0			
	Total			1,172	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.06.03 Level VI	Maintain Grout Fclty. in Cold Standby (FY 96, 97)	OE C/E		0	156	146			
	Total			0	156	146	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.06.05 Level VI	Fabricate Container for Failed AP102 Mixer Pump	OE C/E		451	106	0			
	Total			451	106	0	0	0	0

T7.9-1.7

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.02 Level IV	<u>Low Level Waste</u> ADS 1230-0	OE C/E LI	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	TOTAL		1,006,873	1,435,713	1,456,661	1,327,213	1,908,283	33,254	0	0
	<i>Delta Required to Balance to TARGET Budget.</i> <i>Total</i>	OE C/E LI								
1.1.1.3.02.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1230-0-AA/AC	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.01.01 Level VI	Program Management: Business Plans	OE C/E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.01.02 Level VI	Program Management: Mgmt. Plans / Guidance	OE C/E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.01.04 Level VI	Program Management: Systems Engineering	OE C/E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.9-1.8

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.02.01.05 Level VI	Program Management: Prog. Administration	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.01.06 Level VI	Program Management: Public Involvement	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02 Level V	<u>Tech. Development, and</u> <u>Applied Engineering</u> ADS 1230-0-AC	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.02.01 Level VI	Melter Selection	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02.02 Level VI	LLW Simulant Dvlpmt. and Specifications	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02.03 Level VI	Integrated Process System	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.9-1.9

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.02.02.04 Level VI	Product Qualification Verification	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02.05 Level VI	Misc. Technology Studies	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02.06 Level VI	LLW Pilot Scale System	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02.07 Level VI	LLW Packaging & Matls.	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02.08 Level VI	Non-Vitrifiable Waste	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02.09 Level VI	Mat'ls Property Handbook / Database	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.9-1.10

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.02.02.10 Level VI	Disposal System	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.02.11 Level VI	Oversite & Planning	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.03 Level V	<u>Facility Operations</u> ADS 1230-0-AC	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.03.01 Level VI	Project Definition Support	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.03.02 Level VI	Prep. of LLW Operations	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.9-1.11

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

**TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR**

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.02.04 Level V	<u>System Definition</u> ADS 1230-0-AC	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.01 Level VI	Compliance	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.02 Level VI	Prepare LLW Performance Assessment	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.03 Level VI	Define LLW Tech. Baseline	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.05 Level VI	Maintain LLW Technical Basis Documentation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.06 Level VI	Engineering Reviews & Program Support	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.07 Level VI	Compliance	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.9-1.12

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.02.05 Level V	<u>Low-Level Waste</u> <u>Vitrification Facility</u> ADS 1230-0-AC	OE LI	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total									
1.1.1.3.02.05.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.3.02.05.02 Level VI	Safety Analysis Report/ Permitting	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.3.02.05.03 Level VI	Design Engineering	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.3.02.05.04 Level VI	Construction	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									
1.1.1.3.02.05.05 Level VI	Start-Up	OE LI	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
	Total									

T7.9-1.13

WJHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.9-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.02.05.06 Level VI	Applied Engineering	OE LI								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.06 Level V	<u>Grout Facility</u> ADS 1230-0-AA	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.06.02 Level VI	Two Year Restart Compliance Activity	OE C/E								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.06.03 Level VI	Maintain Grout Fclty. in Cold Standby (FY 96, 97)	OE C/E								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.06.05 Level VI	Fabricate Container for Failed AP102 Mixer Pump	OE C/E								
	Total		----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.9-1.14

WHC-SP-1101

NOTES
TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

The cost exhibit tables in each of the Program Element Baselines (Sections 7.2 through 7.10) identify the program element target funding by year by fund type. The tables also provide details of the baseline allocation of funding down to activity levels (level V) and cost account levels (level VI) of the WBS for Fiscal Year 1995 through 1997. The details exhibited on these tables are taken from the baseline WBS Dictionary Sheets. Deltas exist between the details and the annual target totals. For Fiscal Years 1996 and 1997 the deltas will be addressed in the future, following the completion of the resolution of expected differences between current Fiscal Year 1995 baseline funding and expected actual Fiscal Year 1995 funding. Deltas for Fiscal Year 1995 are noted below by program element:

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION:

No deltas.

TANK FARM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE:

No overall delta. This baseline requires revised fund type mix.

WASTE TANK SAFETY:

Delta is due to need to revise an overhead pricing rate in the P3 system.

TANK FARM UPGRADES:

Delta is due to differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (B0) in the P3 system.

CHARACTERIZATION:

No significant deltas.

WASTE RETRIEVAL:

Delta is primarily due to need to resolve issues associated with expense funding support to capital projects and differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (B0) in the P3 system.

WASTE PRETREATMENT:

Delta is due to the sum of the detail cost estimates being lower than the target total as a result of reestimation of resource requirements to complete baseline scope.

LOW LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is primarily due to carryover expense funded workscope on melter testing addressed in June 9, 1994 RL letter.

T7.9-1.15

HIGH LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is due to carryover of capital funding, PNL expense funding carryover, and ADS corrections submitted but not reflected in target totals.

T7-9-1.16

Table 7.9-2. FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.9-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

Table 7.9-3. Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant
(Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors)

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.2-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

**Table 7.9-4. FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company
Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories**

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.2-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.10 HIGH-LEVEL WASTE BASELINE

The High-Level Waste (HLW) Program baseline consists of the technical bases, schedule, resource requirements, and waste type data for FY 1995 through completion of the mission. The major emphasis is on deliverables, schedule, and resource requirements for FY 1995, 1996, and 1997.

7.10.1 Technical Bases

This section provides the mission strategy; work breakdown structure and organization responsibility; work to be performed in FY 1995, 1996, and 1997; technical approach; and drivers and deliverables.

7.10.1.1 Mission Strategy

7.10.1.1.1 Objectives

The primary objective of the TWRS HLW Program is to immobilize the HLW/transuranic (TRU) fraction of the tank waste and seal it in containers that can be temporarily stored at Hanford until such time as they are shipped to a geologic repository for final disposal. The cesium and strontium capsules will also be dispositioned for disposal as part of this program.

7.10.1.1.2 Strategy

The strategy for achieving this objective is to vitrify the waste in a glass matrix that is sealed in stainless steel canisters. In order to achieve this objective, processes and equipment are being identified, designed, tested, and evaluated; and technologies are being developed that would lead to the design, construction, and operation of a government-owned and operated vitrification facility. As an alternative to this traditional DOE approach, DOE is also evaluating the technical and economic advantages and disadvantages of a plan to privatize the vitrification facility.

Currently the HLW Program is performing equipment development activities on both high-temperature and stirred melter concepts. A melter assessment task is also in progress to identify and evaluate other melter technologies that have potential application for vitrifying HLW. Glass formulation and other process development activities that support the melter evaluation (near-term) and conceptual design of the HLW vitrification facility (long-term) are also in progress.

7.10.1.1.3 Functions and Requirements

Systems engineering, which is a structured process to identify and implement a complete, traceable solution to a mission need for complex programs or projects, is being applied to TWRS. Work is currently underway using this approach to develop a consistent set of functions and requirements that satisfy the mission needs.

Immobilize HLW and TRU Waste (4.2.2.2), prepare Cs/Sr Capsules for Disposal (4.2.2.3), Interim Store Immobilized HLW (4.2.2.4), and Interim Store and Transport TRU Waste (4.2.2.5) are the four Level IV systems engineering functions that comprise the HLW Programs contribution to completing the TWRS mission. They involve the vitrification and interim onsite storage of the HLW and TRU wastes, as well as the retrieval and packaging of cesium and strontium capsules currently stored at Hanford. For program planning purposes it has been assumed that HLW and TRU wastes

can be blended, vitrified, and disposed at a single repository. Therefore, the functions 4.2.2.4 and 4.2.2.5 have been combined into a single function called Interim Store Immobilized HLW/TRU Waste.

The Vitrify HLW/TRU Waste function is divided into five subfunctions:

1. **Prepare Melter Feed (4.2.2.2.1).** Combine pretreated HLW/TRU waste and glass frit and/or glass forming additives and chemicals to provide a stream suitable for vitrification.
2. **Vitrify HLW and TRU Waste (4.2.2.2.2).** Vitrify prepared melter feed consisting of pretreated HLW/TRU waste, glass frit, and/or glass forming additives and chemicals.
3. **Encapsulate Immobilized HLW and TRU Waste (4.2.2.2.3).** Pour the vitrified HLW/TRU waste into a canister, seal the canister with a closure weld, and decontaminate the outer surfaces. Canisters that do not satisfy the requirements of the Verify Immobilized HLW and TRU Canisters function (4.2.2.2.4) are corrected by this function as well.
4. **Verify Immobilized HLW and TRU Canisters (4.2.2.2.4).** Verify the integrity of sealed HLW/TRU canisters and measure removable surface contamination. Return failed canisters to the Encapsulate Immobilized HLW and TRU Waste function (4.2.2.2.3) for correction of deficiencies.
5. **Support Immobilization Process (4.2.2.2.5).** Provides balance of plant for the vitrification process, including maintenance, utilities services, and process support services (e.g., analytical laboratories, shipping and receiving, storage, equipment repair, gaseous effluent treatment, cold chemical preparation, solid waste handling, liquid waste treatment). Receives, collects, stores, and disseminates data and processes directives.

At this time none of the other Level IV functions have been further decomposed.

7.10.1.1.4 Risks

The following three primary risks are associated with this scope.

1. Inadequate integration with other TWRS program elements that might lead to the inability to adequately characterize tank waste, pretreat HLW to its feed specifications, transfer feed at the required rate, or adequately disposition system generated waste.
2. Inability to adequately define the required inputs, functions, and outputs of the HLW system. This includes, but is not limited to, the definition of the HLW feed characteristics, volume of HLW to be processed, repository requirements for acceptable waste products, plant system generated waste treatment requirements, and length of the interim storage period.
3. Inability to develop the required technology for a high-capacity vitrification system. The primary risk involves the selection, development, and testing of the melter system within program cost and schedule constraints. Nearly a factor of two reduction in the near-term budget from a "robust" program has resulted in a melter system development and testing program that has little flexibility to respond to "unknowns" or budget cuts. Impacts to Tri-Party Agreement milestones may result.

Actions being taken to reduce these risks include comprehensive integration and analysis of interfaces with other TWRS program elements, a series of trade studies and DOE Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (RW) negotiations leading to complete system definition, and a rigorous technology development program to identify and develop the most viable vitrification technologies.

7.10.1.1.5 Major Decisions

Major technical decisions and the risks associated with them are summarized below.

Determine Disposition of Transuranic Tank Waste

A disposition approach for Hanford tank waste which may be designated as TRU (instead of HLW) is required, defining the waste form criteria which will be imposed. Alternatives for separate disposition of TRU tank waste are predicated on the assumption that the selected tank waste types can be designated as TRU waste instead of high-level waste. Assuming that TRU tank waste is determined to exist, the following three options have been identified as alternatives for the disposition of TRU tank waste.

1. Dispose in the geologic repository
2. Dispose in the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP)
3. Disposition in either the WIPP or geologic repository is unacceptable.

The primary impact of alternatives for this decision is the potential for variations of the waste form, depending on the selected disposition. The impact on process selection will be either no impact (i.e., waste acceptance criteria variations have minimal impact on the waste form and immobilization process selection) or two waste forms (one for TRU waste and one for HLW) must be developed, qualified and manufactured. The alternatives selection will also impact staging of the waste form manufacturing.

For planning purposes, the HLW Program has assumed that any TRU tank waste that may exist at Hanford can be disposed in the geologic repository.

Determine Acceptability of Alternative Containers for Geologic Repository

A reference waste canister for disposal of Hanford waste in the geologic repository is required. Canister alternatives for the geologic repository are derived from current acceptance criteria for the repository and interpretation of the recent evolutions in the geologic repository reference design. The alternatives for canister sizing are:

1. The Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF) standard canister
2. A larger canister that uses the geologic repository Multi-Purpose Canister (MPC) design envelope for development of an optimum canister size.

The HLW canister selection defines the envelope for the HLW form to be disposed in the geologic repository. Canister size selection impacts:

1. The number of packages that must be generated by the immobilization facility

2. The dimension and weight of packages that must be positioned and moved inside the facility process cell
3. The size of package that would be used as a basis for selecting the interim storage concept
4. The physical form of the HLW glass product.

The time required to establish an alternative to the DWPF standard canister may result in this decision being on the critical path for initiating conceptual design.

Current program planning cost estimates are based on a 0.6 meter diameter by 4.5 meter canister as an initial estimate for a canister that utilizes the MPC design envelope.

Determine Disposition of Cs/Sr Capsules

The disposition of the encapsulated cesium chloride and strontium fluoride salts is required. This evaluation should determine whether the geologic repository will accept overpacked capsules and, if not, whether pretreatment processing of the halide salts is necessary. Based on the Hanford Defense Waste-Environmental Impact Statement (HDW-EIS) Record of Decision, cesium and strontium capsules will be disposed of in the geologic repository. Preliminary discussions with personnel at the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office (YMSCO) indicate that uncertainties may exist in the acceptance of the existing material. The primary uncertainty is related to the potential for the halides to accelerate corrosion of nearby waste packages.

The following process alternatives have been identified for supporting disposition of the capsules in the geologic repository:

1. Overpack and ship directly to the geologic repository
2. Reprocess capsules and blend with other retrieved tank waste for vitrification and disposal in the geologic repository
3. Embed the existing capsules in glass.

The selection of a disposition option will likely influence which facility is used for capsule processing. Direct overpacking of capsules is more likely to be included in the HLW vitrification system scope since overpacking primarily requires package-handling steps. Alternatively, capsule reprocessing might be better suited to be combined with pretreatment activities, if solution handling capabilities are required. This decision may also impact tank processing schedules if a disposition decision is deferred for many years, as well as the selection of an interim storage concept for the capsules.

The record of decision for the HDW-EIS, which directs that cesium and strontium capsules be disposed of in a geologic repository, is used for program planning purposes.

Determine whether TRU and HLW can be processed in same Plant

While the current planning basis is to vitrify HLW and TRU wastes together rather than separately, a trade study that determines whether a single plant will be developed to immobilize both TRU and HLW types is required. Two alternatives exist for providing the capability to immobilize HLW and TRU waste:

1. Combined TRU/HLW facility
2. Separate facilities.

This decision can have significant impacts on the projected cost and schedule of HLW and TRU waste immobilization. Separate facilities represent the upper bound of facility construction and operating costs for the alternatives identified, while processing the two waste types separately in a single facility without segregation would result in somewhat lower costs. Current program planning cost estimates are based on an implementation strategy that does not segregate TRU from HLW prior to vitrification. Current cost estimates will escalate if an alternative to the current planning basis is selected.

If segregated TRU tank waste processing is required and the single facility concept using equipment changeout is selected as an implementation strategy, then some increase in schedule risk may occur as the result of incorporating downtime in the overall facility program schedule to allow for equipment changeout activities.

For planning purposes, the HLW Program has assumed that both HLW and TRU waste can be processed in the same facility without segregating the TRU from the HLW.

Determine HLW Vitrification Facility Concept

Alternatives exist for developing the TWRS disposal system as individual facilities supporting pretreatment, low-level waste (LLW) vitrification, and HLW vitrification, or combining two or more of these functions into a single facility. A decision on whether the HLW vitrification facility is a standalone or should be combined with one or both of the facilities is required.

Facility concept alternatives for integrating the HLW vitrification facility with other TWRS disposal system facilities have been proposed as a means of reducing the overall construction costs supporting Hanford tank waste disposal. The proposed alternatives include the following combinations:

1. Standalone HLW vitrification facility
2. HLW vitrification combined with pretreatment
3. HLW vitrification combined with LLW vitrification
4. HLW vitrification combined with pretreatment and LLW vitrification.

Current program planning assumptions are based on standalone configurations for three major facilities in the TWRS disposal system. This configuration decision has the potential to impact the number of discrete major construction projects proposed for the TWRS disposal system. Alternatives that combine two or more of the disposal functions will reduce the number of projects and, therefore, are expected to reduce the total costs as well. While reduced construction and operating costs may be achieved by combining the HLW vitrification with other disposal elements, further evaluation needs to verify that the resulting construction cost profile does not exceed projected available funding during the construction period as the project scope is increased.

Combining the HLW vitrification facility with other disposal facilities would likely accelerate the initiation and completion of the HLW processing such that compliance with current Tri-Party Agreement milestones are satisfied ahead of schedule. However, current development and trade study activities needed to define the HLW Program would be compressed or be completed at later stages in the design/construction process, thereby increasing programmatic risk. This will either compress funding requirements or require initiation of detailed design activities for the HLW portion of combined facilities with more alternatives to be evaluated.

The HLW Program is based on a standalone HLW vitrification facility.

Determine Immobilized High-Level/Transuranic Waste Container Facility Concept

A reference facility concept for temporary onsite storage of immobilized HLW and TRU waste in containers is required.

Immobilized HLW and TRU waste will be stored in canisters for an interim time period on site prior to shipment to an offsite repository. A storage system must be selected to accomplish this function. Alternative facility concepts to be evaluated for the storage system are as follows:

1. Enclosed facility
2. Open (pad) facility.

The selected canister size will likely impact the viability of enclosed facility concepts for interim onsite waste canister storage. The standard DWPF canister is more readily amenable to storage in an enclosed facility. Alternatively, the 10 m³ canister dimensions are significantly larger than those of the standard canister; as a result, the open facility concept may be more attractive from an economic point-of-view.

No decision has been made on the storage facility configuration.

Determine Capsule Overpack Facility Concept

A reference facility concept for overpacking the capsule is required.

Alternative facility configurations are available for providing a capsule overpack installation. Potential cost savings may be available for installing the overpack system if it is incorporated in a facility that has similar characteristics. The alternatives proposed for the overpack facility configurations are as follows:

1. Combine with HLW vitrification
2. Combine with pretreatment facility
3. Separate capsule overpacking facility
4. Combine with immobilized HLW interim storage facility.

Assuming that overpacking of cesium and strontium capsules is acceptable, the immobilized HLW canister storage facility concept potentially impacts consideration of the capsule overpack facility alternative, which combines overpack systems with the interim storage facility. A reasonable opportunity for considering this combination option exists if the enclosed facility option is selected for HLW container storage. However, if the open facility concept is selected for container storage, there

would be no facility capabilities that would be attractive for combining with the capsule overpack systems.

Current planning assumes combining the cesium and strontium overpack systems into the HLW vitrification facility.

7.10.1.1.6 Assumptions

Several key assumptions have been used as the basis for the current multi-year program planning of HLW vitrification at the Hanford Site.

- The HLW vitrification plant will start up in 2009 and will be a government-designed, constructed, and operated facility.
- The HLW fraction from all waste storage tanks (both double-shell tanks [DSTs] and single-shell tanks [SSTs]) will be vitrified.
- The sealed canisters of vitrified waste will be disposed at the geologic repository.
- Shipment of HLW canisters to the offsite geological repository will begin some time after the last canister of vitrified waste is produced.
- The HLW form will be a glass monolith in a canister that is larger than those currently designed for use at Savannah River and West Valley.
- Any TRU tank waste (e.g., Plutonium Finishing Plant wastes) will be processed through the same vitrification facility as the HLW.
- The HLW vitrification facility will have adequate throughput capacity to complete its vitrification mission by 2028, using a slightly caustic sludge washing pretreatment and assuming a nominal waste oxide loading of 25 percent. Based on this operating scenario, it is estimated that as much as 28,000 cubic meters of vitrified HLW will be produced.
- The HLW vitrification facility will be a standalone, dual melter line facility that has a footprint approximately 25 percent larger than that of the Hanford Waste Vitrification Plant (HWVP) design.
- The HLW Program will build and operate a canister storage facility sufficient to store the entire volume of glass produced by the vitrification facility for a minimum of 30 years.
- Waste streams sent to the vitrification facility will meet feed specification(s) jointly developed by the Waste Pretreatment, Low-Level Waste, and High-Level Waste Program Elements
- Overpack of the cesium and strontium capsules will be acceptable to the geologic repository as a waste form for disposal and will be performed in the HLW vitrification facility. Prior to overpacking, these capsules will continue to be stored at Hanford until the HLW storage facility is built.

- Two vitrification system candidates will be selected for further testing and evaluation; the reference melter system that is carried into conceptual design will be one of these two candidates and a modified or new pilot-scale system will be needed for further testing.
- Approximately ten basic blended feed stream types will require waste form qualification.
- The HLW Program will include feed lag storage in its scope.
- The HLW Program will either rework or overpack out-of-specification canistered waste. In the event that neither rework nor overpacking is feasible, a nonconformance waiver would be requested from the repository.

7.10.1.1.7 Constraints

The ability to successfully start up the HLW vitrification plant is predicated on pilot-scale test data using waste simulants with only limited test data from radioactive testing on a laboratory scale.

7.10.1.2 Structure

The HLW Program WBS and organization and responsibility are provided in this section.

7.10.1.2.1 Work Breakdown Structure

The HLW Program WBS for FY 1995 through 1997 is provided in Figure 7.10-2 down to the sixth level of work breakdown. The HLW Program WBS dictionary is located in Appendix A.

7.10.1.2.2 Organization and Responsibility

The HLW Program interfaces with several of the other program elements: Characterization, Waste Retrieval, Waste Pretreatment, and Low-Level Waste.

Characterization provides waste characterization data, from both recent sampling and historical data review. To support this need, the HLW Program, in concert with the Waste Pretreatment and Low-Level Waste Program Elements, will prepare a set of Data Quality Objectives identifying the need for data, number of samples needed, and particular analyses required.

Waste Retrieval provides sufficient quantities of waste to the HLW Program, via Waste Pretreatment, in order to verify the glass formulation and melter development testing performed with cold chemical simulants and to qualify the waste forms for disposal.

Waste Pretreatment provides chemically pretreated feed streams that will require HLW vitrification, from both the insoluble sludges that remain after sludge washing and the cesium, strontium, and other radionuclides that are removed from the supernatant and dissolved saltcake by the ion exchange process.

The Low-Level Waste Program Element receives radioactive LLW liquid effluents from the HLW process for immobilization and onsite disposal through the end of its operating life.

WHC Process Engineering Center of Excellence and its Systems Engineering group are two engineering organizations that are performing key roles in defining what work will be done by the HLW Program and what the preferred alternatives are to support decisions that need to be made within TWRS.

Pacific Northwest Laboratory's (PNL) TWRS Technology organization performs much of the technology development activities required to support the HLW Program. As part of that support, the Technology Development Program Office coordinates and integrates TWRS technology development activities to ensure that technology solutions are directly coupled to TWRS needs.

Fluor Daniels, as the former architect/engineer for the HWVP, and EBASCO/British Nuclear Fuels Ltd. (BNFL) are performing facility option trade studies in support of the disposal program. These studies are evaluating various combinations of pretreatment, LLW vitrification and HLW vitrification facilities that will be required to complete the tank waste disposal mission. They will serve as the basis for early design activities (i.e., conceptual design) and eventually for definitive (Title II) design.

The TWRS Projects organization is responsible for design, construction, and startup of the vitrification facility, if a government-owned facility is the selected option. They will use the results of trade studies, technology development activities, and engineering evaluations, testing, and demonstrations as inputs to the conceptual and definitive designs of the facility. Additional testing and evaluation will be performed during the design phases to confirm design features and systems in support of TWRS Projects.

DOE-RW and its YMSCO provide technical and programmatic guidance to the waste form producers (e.g., Hanford's HLW Program) in terms of the acceptability of the waste form(s) for final disposal and the calculation of fees associated with same.

The secondary wastes generated by the HLW Program in the course of performing technology development, process and equipment development and verification, and waste form qualification will be transferred to the Liquid Waste and Solid Waste Programs for processing.

Once the HLW vitrification and storage facilities are no longer needed for the tank waste disposal mission, they will be transferred to the Environmental Restoration Program for decontamination and decommissioning.

7.10.1.3 FY 1995 Work to be Performed

See Activity Planning Forms for FY 1995.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.3.03.01)

See the HLW Program Level V dictionary sheets, Section C, Statement of Work (Appendix A).

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.3.03.02)

See the HLW Program Level V dictionary sheets, Section C, Statement of Work (Appendix A).

HLW Facility Operations (1.1.1.3.03.03)

See the HLW Program Level V dictionary sheets, Section C, Statement of Work (Appendix A).

System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.3.03.04)

See the HLW Program Level V dictionary sheets, Section C, Statement of Work (Appendix A).

HLW Facility (WBS 1.1.1.3.03.05)

See the HLW Program Level V dictionary sheets, Section C, Statement of Work (Appendix A).

HWVP Project Closeout (WBS 1.1.1.3.03.06)

See the HLW Program Level V dictionary sheets, Section C, Statement of Work (Appendix A).

7.10.1.4 FY 1996 - FY 1997 Work to be Performed

See Activity Planning Forms for FY 1996 - FY 1997.

Technical Integration and Planning (WBS 1.1.1.3.03.01)

See the HLW Program Level V dictionary sheets, Section C, Statement of Work (Appendix A).

Technology Development and Applied Engineering (WBS 1.1.1.3.03.02)

See the HLW Program Level V dictionary sheets, Section C, Statement of Work (Appendix A).

HLW Facility Operations (1.1.1.3.03.03)

See the HLW Program Level V dictionary sheets, Section C, Statement of Work (Appendix A).

System Definition (WBS 1.1.1.3.03.04)

See the HLW Program Level V dictionary sheets, Section C, Statement of Work (Appendix A).

HLW Facility (WBS 1.1.1.3.03.05)

See the HLW Program Level V dictionary sheets, Section C, Statement of Work (Appendix A).

7.10.1.5 Approach

Reference Melter System Selection

The majority of testing and evaluation to determine basic performance of candidate melter systems will be based on bench-scale melters using cold simulants. Limited pilot-scale melter testing to confirm scale-up performance will be performed on the two selected candidate systems using cold simulants. Limited laboratory-scale melter testing using pretreated radioactive waste will be performed to confirm the results of testing performed with cold simulants. Glass formulation and feed processability, composition variability studies, and waste form qualification testing will also be performed to the extent necessary to support the melter system evaluation and selection activities.

An initial evaluation of candidate melter technologies will be performed by an independent Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) in September 1994. Assuming the committee can recommend two melter

systems, bench-scale and pilot-scale testing of those two systems will be initiated. If the TAC cannot clearly recommend two candidates, a higher risk path will be pursued, consisting of bench-scale testing of three or more melter technologies in FY 1995 with a selection of two melter systems occurring during FY 1996. Pilot-scale testing of the two selected candidates would be deferred to late FY 1996.

Testing and evaluation of the two selected melter systems will be conducted through FY 1998. A single system will be carried forward as the reference concept into the definitive design phase, while the second vitrification system concept will be maintained as a backup.

Reference Melter System Testing

Following selection of the reference melter system in September 1998, a second generation pilot-scale melter system will be procured. Testing of this system using the reference flowsheet and cold simulants will be conducted to support definitive design, start up and operations, and waste form qualification. Bench-scale testing using pretreated radioactive waste will be performed to confirm pilot-scale system performance and to support waste form qualification.

Design of the High-Level Waste Vitrification Facility

Assuming that the existing contract with Fluor-Daniel for Hanford Waste Vitrification Plant design can be extended, conceptual design will begin in March 1997 and will be completed in September 1998. However, there are several key activities that must be performed prior to the start of conceptual design. Using the systems engineering approach, decomposition of the HLW Program functions will be performed to ensure that the HLW vitrification system is necessarily and sufficiently defined. Trade studies to verify or modify enabling assumptions used in the functions and requirements and reference flowsheets will be completed. Preliminary waste acceptance criteria will be developed in conjunction with DOE-RW. The balance of plant evaluations and flowsheet development will provide input to support conceptual design.

Definitive design will begin in December 1998 and will be supported by pilot-scale testing of the reference melter system, bench-scale radioactive system testing, baseline flowsheet development, additional product qualification criteria, and permitting and safety analysis documentation.

Facility Capabilities Added After the Tri-Party Agreement Negotiations

The following capabilities were added to the HLW vitrification facility scope following the completion of the Tri-Party Agreement negotiations: a second melter, a cesium/strontium capsule processing line, solid waste processing, HLW feed lag storage, and a close-coupled analytical laboratory. As a result of these added capabilities within the HLW vitrification plant, facility operating costs are now estimated to be \$100M per year higher than was assumed for the funding profile developed to support the Tri-Party Agreement. This difference has resulted in a \$2,000M increase in the current baseline costs over the original Tri-Party Agreement estimate.

7.10.1.6 Drivers and Deliverables

The requirements to address each of these functions are also being developed through the systems engineering process. A critical requirement for the HLW Program and for its supporting technical activities to proceed effectively is to establish product specifications for the pretreated feed streams that will be immobilized as HLW. Preliminary feed specifications are being prepared for use in the

TWRS technical baseline. A clear understanding of the tank waste characteristics is also critical to defining the requirements for the process flowsheet. Data Quality Objectives for HLW vitrification are being prepared as part of the Waste Pretreatment Program Element. Technology evaluation in the laboratory using simulated and actual wastes is another element of developing the process requirements.

One of the key drivers for separating the tank waste into an optimally reduced volume of HLW with the remaining volume as LLW is the anticipated cost of disposing of defense HLW in the national geological repository, which is projected to cost significantly more per unit volume than near-surface disposal of LLW at Hanford.

Another driver for the disposal program is an urgency to make progress in cleanup of the Hanford Site, particularly to initiate retrieval, pretreatment, and disposal of the tank waste. Specifically, retrieving these wastes from tanks that are leaking or have the potential to leak waste to the groundwater and transforming those wastes into a more chemically stable form is highly desirable, even if it is necessary to store the canistered HLW at the Hanford Site for several years while a repository is sited and built. This urgency is captured in the aggressive schedule of Tri-Party Agreement milestones agreed to by the DOE, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and the Washington State Department of Ecology in January 1994.

7.10.2 Schedule

7.10.2.1 High-Level Waste Summary Schedule

The HLW summary schedule is shown on Figure 7.10-2.

7.10.2.2 High-Level Waste Expanded Baseline (FY 1995 - FY 1997)

The HLW expanded baseline can be found in Appendix C.

7.10.3 Resource Requirements

See Cost Estimating Sheets/Basis for Cost Estimates.

7.10.3.1 Cost

7.10.3.1.1 Basis of Cost Estimates

The basis of cost estimates for the HLW Program is primarily from two sources. For technology development and project-related activities, cost estimates are based on similar experience from the Hanford Waste Vitrification Plant project. For trade studies and systems engineering-related activities, cost estimates are based on similar HLW Program activities that are either currently in progress or recently completed (e.g., the Facility Configuration Study).

7.10.3.1.2 Total Program Cost by Year by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Table 7.10-1 establishes the baseline cost for this program element. The baseline cost is exhibited in annual costs for FY 1994 through FY 2000, and 5-year totals for FY 2001 through program element completion. Where the data are available, these baseline costs are exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. At present, the program element cost baseline is the program element cost totals exhibited on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.10.3.1.3 FY 1995 Funding by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.10-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

7.10.3.2 Staffing

7.10.3.2.1 Basis of Staffing Projections

7.10.3.2.2 Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant (Direct and Indirect)

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.10-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

7.10.3.2.3 FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.10-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

7.10.3.3 Work Scope Carryover

Carryover work scope from FY 1994 totals \$3,076K. This work scope is all external commitments that have been contractually obligated. It includes glass formulation work at Ecole Polytechnic Institute and Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (\$240K); feed preparation/rheology investigations being performed by the University of Georgia (\$40K); close out of melter testing (\$132K) and design support for a melter bottom drain (\$44K) being performed by KfK in Germany; development work on the mechanically stirred melter at Clemson University (\$850K); and \$970K of CENRTC for procurement of a pilot-scale stirred melter, which will be installed at the Savannah River Technology Center in FY 1995.

7.10.4 Waste Type Data

For the execution years FY 1995, FY 1996, and FY 1997, the only waste type that will be generated by the HLW Program is sanitary (landfill) waste. The estimated amounts in each of those three years is 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6 cubic meters, respectively. The sanitary waste from offices will be sent to landfill through janitorial services.

For the life of the HLW Program, the following waste types are expected to be generated, received, transferred and/or stored: HLW (7000 cubic meters received, 28,000 cubic meters stored); solid low-level waste (28,000 cubic meters generated, 28,000 cubic meters transferred); liquid low-level waste (910 million kilograms generated, 910 million kilograms transferred); low-level mixed waste (600 cubic meters generated, 600 cubic meters transferred to Solid Waste Disposal); hazardous waste (2400 cubic meters generated, 2400 cubic meters transferred to Solid Waste Disposal); and sanitary (landfill) waste (14,400 cubic meters generated, 14,400 cubic meters transferred to landfill).

The HLW volume assumes a glass-to-waste ratio of 3:1, or 25 percent waste oxide loading of the glass matrix. It further assumes that all of the canistered glass will be stored on site at Hanford for some period of time beyond the life of the program until such time as the geologic repository can begin receiving the waste. It also assumes that all of the liquid low-level waste generated as a result of the treatment of HLW will be transferred to the TWRS pretreatment facility for low-level waste prior to its vitrification and disposal onsite. This assumption raises a major long-term issue for the TWRS Program, since the current planning assumption is that the LLW pretreatment and vitrification facilities will cease to operate 8-years prior to the completion of the HLW mission.

7.10.5 Revisions

In future updates of the MYWP, this section will include a summary of the revision that has occurred in this program element since the last issue of the MYWP.

Figure 7.10-1. High-Level Waste Work Breakdown Structure.

F7.10-1

Figure 7.10-2. High-Level Waste Summary Schedule.

1.1.1.3.03 High Level Waste Summary Schedule

TABLE 7.10-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.03 Level IV	<u>High Level Waste</u> ADS 1230-0	OE C/E LI	20,626 0 30,000 ----- 50,626	12,961 3,980 0 ----- 16,941	18,387 4,481 0 ----- 22,868	25,170 3,119 0 ----- 28,289	37,279 2,570 0 ----- 39,849	32,162 0 26,539 ----- 58,701	34,516 0 78,415 ----- 112,931
	TOTAL TARGET								
	<i>Delta to TARGET.</i> <i>(See notes at end of Table.)</i>	OE C/E LI	NA	(4,374) 2,157 (6,201) =====	(2,962) 1,864 0 =====	(2,561) 1,439 0 =====			
	Total			(8,418)	(1,098)	(1,122)			
1.1.1.3.03.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1240-0-AA	OE C/E	NA	1,476 0 ----- 1,476	1,243 0 ----- 1,243	1,123 0 ----- 1,123	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
	Sub Total								
1.1.1.3.03.01.01 Level VI	Program Planning, Integration & Management	OE C/E		180 0 ----- 180	112 0 ----- 112	141 0 ----- 141	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.03.01.02 Level VI	Program Business Management	OE C/E		781 0 ----- 781	916 0 ----- 916	939 0 ----- 939	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.03.01.03 Level VI	Pre-Project Documentation and Support	OE C/E		515 0 ----- 515	215 0 ----- 215	43 0 ----- 43	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0	0 ----- 0
	Total								

T7.10-1-1

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.10-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

[R1]

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.03.02 Level V	Technical Dvlpmt. & Applied Engineering ADS 1240-0-AB	OE C/E	NA	11,193 1,823 ----- 13,016	14,876 2,617 ----- 17,493	14,165 1,680 ----- 15,845	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.02.01 Level VI	Vitrification Tech. Dvlpmt. Management	OE C/E		1,031 0 ----- 1,031	1,085 0 ----- 1,085	883 0 ----- 883	 ----- 0	 ----- 0	 ----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.02.02 Level VI	Hi-Level Waste Process / Product Development	OE C/E		3,655 103 ----- 3,758	3,301 117 ----- 3,418	3,324 180 ----- 3,504	 ----- 0	 ----- 0	 ----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.02.03 Level VI	Hi-Level Waste Process / Equip. Dvlpmt. & Testing	OE C/E		3,487 1,720 ----- 5,207	8,451 2,500 ----- 10,951	7,574 1,500 ----- 9,074	 ----- 0	 ----- 0	 ----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.02.04 Level VI	Tech. Dvlpmt. Requirements and Evaluation	OE C/E		443 0 ----- 443	569 0 ----- 569	581 0 ----- 581	 ----- 0	 ----- 0	 ----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.02.05 Level VI	Process Equipment Evaluation HOLD	OE C/E		2,328 0 ----- 2,328	795 0 ----- 795	715 0 ----- 715	 ----- 0	 ----- 0	 ----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.02.06 Level VI	Feed / Process Evaluation	OE C/E		249 0 ----- 249	675 0 ----- 675	643 0 ----- 643	 ----- 0	 ----- 0	 ----- 0

T7.10-1.2

WCHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.10-1

**TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR**

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.03.02.07 Level VI	Plant / Process Engineering Design Support	OE C/E		0 0 ----	0 0 ----	445 0 ----			
	Total			0	0	445	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.03 Level V	Facility Operations ADS 1240-0-AC	OE C/E	NA	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	419 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----
	Total			0	0	419	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.03.01 Level VI	Operations Hi-Low Waste Proj. Definition Support	OE C/E		0 0 ----	0 0 ----	419 0 ----			
	Total			0	0	419	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.03.02 Level VI	High-Level Waste Ops. Preparation	OE C/E		0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.03.03 Level VI	High-Level Waste Rad. Operations	OE C/E		0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.03.04 Level VI	Prep. Hi-Low Waste Fclty. for Decon. & Decommis.	OE C/E		0 0 ----	0 0 ----	0 0 ----			
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.10-1.3

W HC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.10-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.03.04 Level V	<u>Systems Definition</u> ADS 1240-0-AD / AE	OE C/E	NA	4,578 0	3,702 0	3,221 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Total			4,578	3,702	3,221	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.01 Level VI	Define Feed Basis for System Definition	OE C/E		187 0	220 0	142 0			
	Total			187	220	142	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.02 Level VI	Define Product for System Definition	OE C/E		726 0	717 0	61 0			
	Total			726	717	61	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.02.04.03 Level VI	Define Process System Requirements & Concepts	OE C/E		3,665 0	2,765 0	3,018 0			
	HOLD Total			3,665	2,765	3,018	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.05 Level V	<u>High-Level Waste Fclty.</u> ADS 1240-0-AE	OE C/E	NA	88 0	1,528 0	8,803 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total			88	1,528	8,803	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.05.01 Level VI	Project Management	OE C/E		0 0	1,058 0	1,116 0			
	Total			0	1,058	1,116	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.05.02 Level VI	Safety Analysis / Permitting	OE C/E		0 0	2 0	514 0			
	Total			0	2	514	0	0	0

T7.10-1.4

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.10-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

(K\$)

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	TOTAL FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00
1.1.1.3.03.05.03 Level VI	Waste Form Qualification	OE C/E		88 0 ----- 88	468 0 ----- 468	791 0 ----- 791	0	0	0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.03.05.04 Level VI	Conceptual Design / Adv. Conceptual Design	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	6,382 0 ----- 6,382	0	0	0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.03.05.05 Level VI	Design	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0	0	0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.03.05.06 Level VI	Construction / Procurement	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0	0	0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.03.05.07 Level VI	Startup	OE C/E		0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0	0	0
	Total								
1.1.1.3.03.06 Level V	<u>Hanford Waste Vitrif.</u> <u>Plant Proj. Closeout</u> ADS 1240-1-XX	OE LI	NA	0 6,201 ----- 6,201	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0	0	0
	Sub Total								

T7.10-1.5

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.10-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.03 Level IV	<u>High Level Waste</u> ADS 1230-0	OE C/E LI	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	TOTAL		1,669,095	1,383,436	1,505,054	1,489,876	1,474,733	1,429,286	545,999	2,811,794
	<i>Delta Required to Balance to TARGET Budget.</i> <i>Total</i>	OE C/E LI								
1.1.1.3.03.01 Level V	<u>Technical Integration and Planning</u> ADS 1240-0-AA	OE C/E	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.01.01 Level VI	Program Planning, Integration & Management	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.01.02 Level VI	Program Business Management	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.01.03 Level VI	Pre-Project Documentation and Support	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

17.10-1.6

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.10-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.03.02 Level V	Technical Dvlpmt. & Applied Engineering ADS 1240-0-AB	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.02.01 Level VI	Vitrification Tech. Dvlpmt. Management	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.02.02 Level VI	Hi-Level Waste Process / Product Development	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.02.03 Level VI	Hi-Level Waste Process / Equip. Dvlpmt. & Testing	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.02.04 Level VI	Tech. Dvlpmt. Requirements and Evaluation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.02.05 Level VI	Process Equipment Evaluation HOLD	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.02.06 Level VI	Feed / Process Evaluation	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.10-1.7

WCHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.10-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.03.02.07 Level VI	Plant/Process Engineering Design Support	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.03 Level V	Facility Operations ADS 1240-0-AC	OE C/E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.03.01 Level VI	Operations Hi-Low Waste Proj. Definition Support	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.03.02 Level VI	High-Level Waste Ops. Preparation	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.03.03 Level VI	High-Level Waste Rad. Operations	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.03.04 Level VI	Prep. Hi-Low Waste Fclty. for Decon. & Decommis.	OE C/E	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.10-1.8

WHC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.10-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.03.04 Level V	<u>Systems Definition</u> ADS 1240-0-AD / AE	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.04.01 Level VI	Define Feed Basis for System Definition Total	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.04.02 Level VI	Define Product for System Definition Total	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.02.04.03 Level VI	Define Process System Requirements & Concepts HOLD Total	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.05 Level V	<u>High-Level Waste Fclty.</u> ADS 1240-0-AE Sub Total	OE C/E	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0	0 0 ----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.05.01 Level VI	Project Management Total	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0
1.1.1.3.03.05.02 Level VI	Safety Analysis / Permitting Total	OE C/E	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 0

T7.10-1.9

WAC-SP-1101

TABLE 7.10-1

TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT [R1]
COST BASELINE – BY YEAR

WBS / LEVEL	ACTIVITY TITLE / ADS	FUND TYPE	FY01 / FY05	FY06 / FY10	FY11 / FY15	FY16 / FY20	FY21 / FY25	FY26 / FY30	FY31 / FY35	FY36 / FY40
1.1.1.3.03.05.03 Level VI	Waste Form Qualification	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.05.04 Level VI	Conceptual Design / Adv. Conceptual Design	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.05.05 Level VI	Design	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.05.06 Level VI	Construction / Procurement	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.05.07 Level VI	Startup	OE C/E								
	Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.1.1.3.03.06 Level V	<u>Hanford Waste Vitrif.</u> <u>Plant Proj. Closeout</u> ADS 1240-1-XX	OE LI								
	Sub Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T7.10-1.10

WHC-SF-1101

NOTES
TOTAL PROGRAM ELEMENT COST BASELINE - BY YEAR

The cost exhibit tables in each of the Program Element Baselines (Sections 7.2 through 7.10) identify the program element target funding by year by fund type. The tables also provide details of the baseline allocation of funding down to activity levels (level V) and cost account levels (level VI) of the WBS for Fiscal Year 1995 through 1997. The details exhibited on these tables are taken from the baseline WBS Dictionary Sheets. Deltas exist between the details and the annual target totals. For Fiscal Years 1996 and 1997 the deltas will be addressed in the future, following the completion of the resolution of expected differences between current Fiscal Year 1995 baseline funding and expected actual Fiscal Year 1995 funding. Deltas for Fiscal Year 1995 are noted below by program element:

PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION:

No deltas.

TANK FARM OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE:

No overall delta. This baseline requires revised fund type mix.

WASTE TANK SAFETY:

Delta is due to need to revise an overhead pricing rate in the P3 system.

TANK FARM UPGRADES:

Delta is due to differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (BO) in the P3 system.

CHARACTERIZATION:

No significant deltas.

WASTE RETRIEVAL:

Delta is primarily due to need to resolve issues associated with expense funding support to capital projects and differences between Budget Authority (BA) in the target and Budget Obligation (BO) in the P3 system.

WASTE PRETREATMENT:

Delta is due to the sum of the detail cost estimates being lower than the target total as a result of reestimation of resource requirements to complete baseline scope.

LOW LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is primarily due to carryover expense funded workscope on melter testing addressed in June 9, 1994 RL letter.

T7.10-1.11

HIGH LEVEL WASTE:

Delta is due to carryover of capital funding, PNL expense funding carryover, and ADS corrections submitted but not reflected in target totals.

T7.10-1.12

Table 7.10-2. FY 1995 Cost by Month by Fund Type by Activity and Cost Account.

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.10-2, which establishes the month-by-month cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element. The FY 1995 cost baseline is exhibited at the cost account level with fund type totals provided. Cost data are then rolled up to the activity and program element levels. Also exhibited is the total cost baseline for the program element for FY 1995. At present, the program element cost baseline for FY 1995 for this program element is the total provided on this table less a productivity challenge for FY 1995.

Table 7-10-3. Total Full-Time Equivalents by Year by Activity by Major Participant
(Direct and Indirect) (Including all Subcontractors).

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.10-3, which establishes total staffing projections for this program element. Included in the table are FY 1994 staffing data as well as projections by year for FY 1995 through FY 2000. The projections in this table include all direct and indirect Full-Time Equivalents (FTEs), including all subcontractor personnel. Where data are available, projections are exhibited at the activity level with major program participant totals provided.

Table 7.10-4. FY 1995 through FY 1997 Direct Westinghouse Hanford Company
Full-Time Equivalents by 88 Labor Categories.

Future MYWP updates will include Table 7.10-4, which establishes direct WHC staffing projections for this program element. Projections do not include subcontractor support and are provided by 88 labor categories for FY 1995 through FY 1997.

8.0 REVISIONS

Changes to the TWRS Program baseline will be summarized in this section when the MYWP is revised annually. The revisions summarized in this section will be a compilation of baseline changes that have occurred in each of the program elements. Changes in the individual program elements are covered in the program element baseline sections (refer to Section 7). These changes provide traceability to the actions taken in the Change Control process as well as directed changes from DOE or other regulatory agencies.

This page intentionally left blank.

9.0 REFERENCES

Tri-Party Agreement, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent*, Fourth Amendment, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington (January 1994).

CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

40 CFR 260, "Hazardous Waste Management System: General," *Code of Federal Regulations*, as amended.

40 CFR 261, "Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste," *Code of Federal Regulations*, as amended.

40 CFR 262, Standards Applicable to Generators of Hazardous Waste," *Code of Federal Regulations*, as amended.

40 CFR 263, "Standards Applicable to Transporters of Hazardous Waste," *Code of Federal Regulations*, as amended.

40 CFR 264, "Standards for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal (TSD) Facilities," *Code of Federal Regulations*, as amended.

- "Miscellaneous Units, Environmental Performance Standards"
- "Miscellaneous Units, Monitoring Analysis, Inspection, Response, Reporting, and Corrective Action"
- Miscellaneous Units, Post-Closure Care"

40 CFR 265, "Interim Status Standards for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities," *Code of Federal Regulations*, as amended.

- CFR 265.196, "Response to Leaks and Spills and Disposition of Leaking of Unfit-for-Use Tank Systems."

40 CFR 266, "Standards for the Management of Specific Hazardous Waste and Specific Types of Hazardous Waste Management Facilities," *Code of Federal Regulations*, as amended.

40 CFR 268, "Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR)," *Code of Federal Regulations*, as amended.

40 CFR 270, EPA Administered Permit Programs: The Hazardous Waste Permit Program," *Code of Federal Regulations*, as amended.

FEDERAL REGISTER

52 FR 12449, "Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal of Hanford Defense High-Level, Transuranic and Tank Waste, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington; Record of Decision," *Federal Register*, Vol. 52, pp. 12449-12453.

PUBLIC LAWS

Defense Authorization Act, Public Law 101-520, Section 3137, "Safety Measures for Waste Tanks at Hanford Nuclear Reservation."

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, 42 USC 4321, et seq. .

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended, 42 USC 6901 et seq.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DOCUMENTS

DOE/EIS-0113, *Final Environmental Impact Statement, Disposal of Hanford Defense High-Level, Transuranic and Tank Wastes, Hanford Site, Richland, Washington*, 5 vols., U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. (1987).

DOE-STD-1073-93, *Guide for Operational Configuration Management Program*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. (1993).

DOE/RL-92-60, Draft, *Tank Waste Remediation System Functions and Requirements*, Rev. 1, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington (March 28, 1994).

DOE/RL-93-102, Draft, *Fiscal Year 1995 Hanford Mission Plan*, Volume 1, Site Guidance, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL-93-0106, Draft, *Tank Waste Remediation System Program Management System Description*, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

DOE/RL 94-0001, *Recommendations 93-5 Implementation Plan*, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington (1994).

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ORDERS

DOE Order 4700.1, *Project Management System*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

DOE Order 5400.3, *Hazardous and Radioactive Mixed Waste Program*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

DOE Order 5400.5, *Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

DOE Order 5480.19, *Conduct of Operations Requirements for DOE Facilities*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

DOE Order 5700.6C, *Quality Assurance*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

DOE Order 5820.2A, *Radioactive Waste Management*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington D.C.

DOE Order 6430.1A, *General Design Criteria*, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, RICHLAND OPERATIONS OFFICE ORDERS AND IMPLEMENTING PROCEDURES

RLIP 4700.1A, *Project Management System*, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

RLID 5000.12, *Richland Field Office - Hanford Site Management System*, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE

WAC 173-303, "Dangerous Waste Requirements," *Washington Administrative Code*, as amended.

WAC 173-303-640, "Tank System"

WAC 173-400, "Washington Clean Air Act - General Regulations for Air Pollution Sources," *Washington Administrative Code*, as amended.

WESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY DOCUMENTS

Hanford Site Tank Waste Remediation System Technical Strategy, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1994).

WHC-CM-1-3, *Management Requirements and Procedures*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

WHC-EP-0063-3, *Hanford Site Solid Waste Acceptance Criteria*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1991).

WHC-EP-0182-XX, *Tank Farm Surveillance and Waste Status Summary Report for (Month) 1994*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1994).

WHC-EP-0616, *Tank Waste Technical Options Report*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1993).

WHC-EP-0617, *TWRS Decision Analysis Report*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

WHC-EP-0786, *Draft, Tank Waste Remediation System Program Decisions and Risk Assessment*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1994).

- WHC-SD-WM-AP-017, Rev. 1, *Double-Shell Tank Integrity Assessment Program Plan*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC-SD-WM-CM-007, Rev. 0, *Configuration Management Compliance Matrix for Waste Tank Farms and the 242-A Evaporator of TWRS*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1994).
- WHC-SD-WM-CM-008, Rev. 0, *Configuration Management Plan for Waste Tank Farms and the 242-A Evaporator of TWRS*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1994).
- WHC-SD-WM-CM-009, Rev. 0, *Design Reconstitution Program Plan for Waste Tank Farms and the 242-A Evaporator of Tank Waste Remediation System*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1994).
- WHC-SD-WM-PAP-60, Draft, *TWRS Corrosion Monitoring Program Plan*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1994).
- WHC-SD-WM-PC-002, Rev. 5, *Tank Farm Essential Drawing Plan*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1993).
- WHC-SD-WM-PLN-068, Rev. 0, *Plan for the TWRS Life Management/Aging Management Program*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1994).
- WHC-SD-WM-SEL-020, Rev. 2, *Aging Waste Facility Safety Equipment List*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1993).
- WHC-SD-WM-SEL-026, Rev. 1, *Double-Shell Tank Interim Safety Equipment List*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1993).
- WHC-SD-WM-SEL-027, Rev. 1, *Single-Shell Tanks Interim Safety Equipment List*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1993).
- WHC-SD-WM-SSP-005, *Grout Treatment Facility Standby Plan*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1994).
- WHC-SD-WM-WP-072, Rev. 2, *Engineering Drawing Field Verification Program*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1993).
- WHC-SD-W030-PMP-001, Rev. 1, *Project Specific Project Management Plan, Tank Farm Ventilation Upgrade*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1992).
- WHC-SD-W030-RD-001, Rev. 2A, *Supplemental Definition of Requirements, Project W-030, Tank Farm Ventilation Upgrade*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1992).
- WHC-SD-W314-FRD-001, Rev. 2, *Functions and Requirements for Tank Farm Restoration and Safe Operations Project W-314*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1994).
- WHC-SD-W314-ICD-001, Rev. 0, *Interface Control Document Project W-314*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1994).

WHC-SD-W236A-MP-001, Rev. 0, *Project 236A Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility Management Plan*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

WHC-SD-236B-FRD-002, Rev. 0, *Functions and Requirements for Project W-236B, Initial Pretreatment Module*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

WHC-SD-600-FDC-001, Rev. 3B, *Functional Design Criteria, Project W-030 Tank Farm Ventilation Upgrade*, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington (1992).

This page intentionally left blank.

DISTRIBUTIONNumber of CopiesOFFSITE

1	<u>Washington State Department of Ecology</u> R. F. Stanley / T. M. Michelena P.O. Box 47600 Olympia, WA 98504-7600	
1	<u>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</u> D. R. Sherwood 712 Swift Boulevard, Suite 5 Richland, WA 99352	B5-01

ONSITE

5	<u>U.S. Department of Energy</u> <u>Richland Operations Office</u> S. T. Burnum K. D. Cameron W. F. Edwards R. L. Long B. L. Nicoll	S7-53 A5-58 S7-51 S7-53 S7-53
1	<u>Pacific Northwest Laboratory</u> V. P. Ostrander	B1-40
9	<u>Westinghouse Hanford Company</u> D. J. Berryman D. M. Eder K. N. Jordan S. M. O'Toole M. W. Rosenberry A. F. Waite Central Files Office of Science and Technology Information (2)	B2-35 B3-62 S7-57 B2-30 S7-57 S7-57 L8-04 L8-07

This page intentionally left blank.