PHYSICS OF THE L-MODE TO H-MODE TRANSITION IN TOKAMAKS

by

K.H. BURRELL, T.N. CARLSTROM, E.J. DOYLE,[†] D. FINKENTHAL,[‡]
P. GOHIL, R.J. GROEBNER, D.L. HILLIS,[#] J. KIM,
H. MATSUMOTO,[‡] R.A. MOYER,[†] T.H. OSBORNE, C.L. RETTIG,[†]
W.A. PEEBLES,[†] T.L. RHODES,[†] H. St. JOHN, R.D. STAMBAUGH,
M.R. WADE,[#] and J.G. WATKINS[△]

This is a preprint of an invited paper to be presented
at the Nineteenth European Conference on Controlled
Fusion and Plasma Heating, June 29 through July 3,
1992, Innsbruck, Austria, and to be printed in the
Proceedings.

Work supported by
U.S. Department of Energy
Contract DE-AC03-89ER51114

[†] University of California at Los Angeles.

[‡] University of California at Berkeley.

[#] Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

[‡] Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute.

[△] Sandia National Laboratories.

GENERAL ATOMICS PROJECT 3466
JULY 1992

MASTER

GENERAL ATOMICS

PHYSICS OF THE L-MODE TO H-MODE TRANSITION IN TOKAMAKS

K.H. Burrell, T.N. Carlstrom, E.J. Doyle,¹ D. Finkenthal,² P. Gohil,
R.J. Groebner, D.L. Hillis,³ J. Kim, H. Matsumoto,⁴ R.A. Moyer,¹
T.H. Osborne, C.L. Rettig,¹ W.A. Peebles,¹ T.L. Rhodes,¹ H. StJohn,
R.D. Stambaugh, M.R. Wade,³ and J.G. Watkins⁵

General Atomics, San Diego, California USA

¹University of California at Los Angeles, USA

²University of California at Berkeley, USA

³Oak Ridge National Laboratory, USA

⁴Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, JAPAN

⁵Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque USA

ABSTRACT

Combined theoretical and experimental work has resulted in the creation of a paradigm which has allowed semi-quantitative understanding of the edge confinement improvement that occurs in the H-mode. Shear in the $E \times B$ flow of the fluctuations in the plasma edge can lead to decorrelation of the fluctuations, decreased radial correlation lengths and reduced turbulent transport. Changes in the radial electric field, the density fluctuations and the edge transport consistent with shear stabilization of turbulence have been seen in several tokamaks. The purpose of this paper is to discuss the most recent data in the light of the basic paradigm of electric field shear stabilization and to critically compare the experimental results with various theories.

KEYWORDS

Fusion; tokamak; confinement; divertor; H-mode; L-mode; L to H transition; DIII-D

INTRODUCTION

Understanding and improving energy confinement remains one of the major goals of the tokamak fusion program, since improved confinement can lead to lower development costs and a more economically attractive fusion reactor. Since its original discovery in ASDEX (Wagner *et al.*, 1982), the H-mode has proven to be one of the most robust and ubiquitous of the improved confinement regimes seen over the last few years. Comparisons with other improved confinement regimes have shown the H-mode to be the most reactor compatible (Wagner *et al.*, 1991). Accordingly, to ensure that the H-mode can be utilized in a reactor, it is important to understand how the H-mode develops out of the poorer confinement L-mode. In addition, since there are indications that the same phenomena causing edge confinement improvement at the L to H transition may also lead to confinement improvement in the bulk of the discharge (Jackson *et al.*, 1992; Kurki-Suonio *et al.*, 1992; Philippon *et al.*, 1991a, 1991b), this understanding may also provide a route to further improvement in bulk confinement.

Over the last few years, combined theoretical and experimental work has resulted in the creation of a paradigm which has allowed semi-quantitative understanding of the edge confinement improvement that occurs in the H-mode. As has been discussed by Biglari *et al.*, (1990) and Shaing *et al.*, (1990), shear in the $E \times B$ flow of the fluctuations in the plasma edge can lead to decorrelation of the fluctuations, decreased radial correlation lengths and reduced turbulent transport. Behavior consistent with effects of shear in the radial electric field E_r have been seen in several tokamaks. At the L to H transition, the electric field shear develops in a localized region near the plasma edge (Doyle *et al.*, 1991; Groebner *et al.*, 1990a, 1991; Ida *et al.*, 1990, 1992; Matsumoto *et al.*, 1992; Van Nieuwenhove *et al.*, 1991; Taylor *et al.*, 1991). Turbulent density fluctuations decrease in the same region (Doyle *et al.*, 1991; Groebner *et al.*, 1991; Matsumoto *et al.*, 1992; Tynan *et al.*, 1992). Particle and energy transport decrease there also, as indicated by the increase in the local gradients (Doyle *et al.*, 1991; Gohil *et al.*, 1991a; Groebner *et al.*, 1991; Ida

et al., 1990, 1992; Tynan *et al.*, 1992; Van Nieuwenhove *et al.*, 1991) and by direct measurements of the local, fluctuation-driven particle flux (Moyer *et al.*, 1992; Taylor *et al.*, 1991; Tynan *et al.*, 1992). In addition, as will be shown more clearly in the present work, a significant change in the electric field occurs right at the transition, before the radial profiles of density and temperature have had a chance to change appreciably (Doyle *et al.*, 1991; Groebner *et al.*, 1990a). This indicates that the initial change in E_r is not caused by the improvement in confinement altering the radial profiles of density and temperature, although E_r may change further while the profiles continue to evolve (Burrell *et al.*, 1990; Field *et al.*, 1991, 1992; Groebner *et al.*, 1990a, 1992). Furthermore, the radial correlation length of the density fluctuations decreases from L-mode to H-mode (Rhodes *et al.*, 1991; Taylor *et al.*, 1991; Tynan, 1991), as is expected from theory (Biglari *et al.*, 1990). Finally, information on the question of causality is also provided by experiments with H-modes produced by direct biasing of the plasma in CCT (Taylor *et al.*, 1989, 1991), TEXTOR (Weynants *et al.*, 1991) and TUMAN3 (Askinazi *et al.*, 1992). These show that altering the radial electric field causes the transition to occur. In addition to the data from L to H transitions, electric field shear stabilization effects have also been seen in the edge of Ohmically heated limiter discharges in TEXT (Ritz *et al.*, 1990).

The purpose of this paper is to discuss the most recent data in the light of the basic paradigm of electric field shear stabilization and to compare the experimental results with various theories which consider the effect of E_r shear on the fluctuations or which attempt to explain how the sheared E_r field is created.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE BASIC PARADIGM

In 1988, theoretical work by Itoh and Itoh (1988, 1989a, 1989b) and Shaing *et al.*, (1989a), predicted that the radial electric field might play an important role in the physics of the L to H transition. [There had been previous deductions (Hinton, 1985; Ohkawa and Hinton, 1987) that a negative electric field would exist near but inside the separatrix.] Experimental work, which started independently about the same time, led later to publications which indeed showed the importance of the electric field (Burrell *et al.*, 1989b; Groebner *et al.*, 1989; Taylor *et al.*, 1989), although some of the detailed predictions of the original two theories were shown to require modification (Burrell *et al.*, 1989b; Groebner *et al.*, 1990a, 1991).

A continuing experiment-theory interaction led to progress in both areas. Exposure of theorists to experimental results lead to further refinements in the theories (Itoh and Itoh, 1990; Shaing *et al.*, 1989b, 1990), including clarification of the bifurcation mechanism predictions, and the creation of new formulations of electric field shear stabilization (Biglari *et al.*, 1990). In addition, competing models have been developed based on spontaneous poloidal spin-up (Hassam *et al.*, 1991a), nonlinear transport equations (Hinton, 1991), and fluctuation-generated electric fields (Diamond and Kim, 1991). There has also been considerable theoretical discussion of whether linear stability calculations are sufficient (Hassam *et al.*, 1991b; Staebler and Dominguez, 1991) or whether nonlinear effects will allow the plasma to still produce unstable modes even when the electric field shear is nominally sufficient to linearly stabilize the mode in question (Carreras *et al.*, 1992; Diamond and Kim, 1991).

Exposure to theories led experimentalists to improve the spatial resolution and time response of their rotation measurements (Field *et al.*, 1991, 1992; Gohil *et al.*, 1990, 1991b; Groebner *et al.*, 1991; Hawkes *et al.*, 1992; Ida and Hidekuma, 1989). In addition, greater focus on the detailed physics of the edge layer was given to the work with reflectometry (Doyle *et al.*, 1990; Lehecka *et al.*, 1988; Manso *et al.*, 1991), correlation reflectometry (Costley *et al.*, 1990; Cripwell *et al.*, 1989; Rhodes *et al.*, 1992b), far infra-red (FIR) scattering (Holzhauer *et al.*, 1990; Peebles *et al.*, 1990; Philippona *et al.*, 1990, 1991a, 1991b; Rettig *et al.*, 1990) and Langmuir probes (Taylor *et al.*, 1989, 1991; Tynan *et al.*, 1991, 1992).

Because most early theory models were based on slab or cylindrical approximations, there initially was some confusion among experimentalists whether the shear in the poloidal rotation or the shear in the radial electric field was the important quantity in stabilizing turbulence. (In slab and cylindrical geometries, the $E \times B$ flow is same as the poloidal ion mass flow.) In a torus, especially at low aspect ratio, the poloidal and $E_r \times B$ directions can be quite different (Groebner *et al.*, 1990a). Work by Kim *et al.*, (1991a) makes clear that, for extended, flute-like modes, the $E \times B$ convection is the only term that appears in the stability equation. Measured parallel wavelengths for tokamak edge turbulence exceed poloidal wavelengths by more than a factor of 100 (Kim *et al.*, 1991c), indicating that the assumption of flute-like modes is reasonable. Accordingly, it is the shear in the $E_r \times B$ flow that is important in stabilizing turbulence.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The latest results from DIII-D clearly show that the region just inside the separatrix where the electric field shear develops is also the region where the density fluctuations decrease and where the transport improves. This behavior is illustrated in Fig. 1. In Fig. 1(a), E_r and the poloidal rotation v_θ for C VII change right at the transition in a localized region just inside the separatrix. The E_r value is inferred from the radial force balance equation for C VII as discussed by (Groebner *et al.*, 1991). Also shown in Fig. 1(a) is a curve showing the change in the density fluctuations as revealed by the reflectometer system. The locations of the reflectometer points are determined by using electron density profiles from the multipulse Thomson scattering system (Carlstrom *et al.*, 1990). Although interpretation of reflectometer signals can have significant complications (Rhodes *et al.*, 1992a), the plot in Fig. 1(a) indicates a significant change in the density fluctuations in the same narrow region inside the separatrix where the electric field shear develops. FIR scattering results (Philippona *et al.*, 1991a, 1991b; Rettig *et al.*, 1992a, 1992b) have confirmed that the density fluctuations just inside the separatrix decrease right at the L to H transition.

As is illustrated in Fig. 1(b) and (c), both the electron and ion particle and energy confinement improve in the region where the electric field shear is created and where the fluctuations decrease. Density and temperature profiles all steepen in this region after the transition, indicating a confinement improvement. In addition, initial measurements with a fast, moveable Langmuir probe system have shown a definite decrease in the fluctuation-driven particle flux at the separatrix in H-mode relative to L-mode (Moyer *et al.*, 1992). This change in the fluctuation-driven flux is similar to that seen on CCT (Taylor *et al.*, 1991; Tynan *et al.*, 1992).

Although the DIII-D data are from the most complete set of measurements, results from several other machines are consistent with the picture of electric field shear stabilization. Measurements on JFT2-M (Ida *et al.*, 1990, 1992) have shown an increase in electric field shear and an increase in density and temperature gradients in the same region of the plasma after the L to H transition. The ASDEX group has reported a decrease in density fluctuations in H-mode as measured by reflectometry (Manso *et al.*, 1991) and FIR scattering (Dodel *et al.*, 1991, 1992) as well as some measurements detailing changes in the electric field (Field *et al.*, 1991, 1992). Unfortunately, use of emission spectroscopy measurements along a single spatial chord did not permit (Field *et al.*, 1991, 1992) to determine the spatial structure of the radial electric field. A sheared electric field structure has also been seen in TEXTOR (Van Nieuwenhove *et al.*, 1991) in an H-mode produced by directly biasing the plasma.

Not only is there a spatial correlation between increased shear in E_r , decreased density fluctuations and improved transport, there is also a temporal correlation. As is shown in Fig. 2, on DIII-D, at the edge, the poloidal and toroidal rotation speeds of C VII, the inferred E_r , and the reflectometer fluctuation power all show dramatic changes at the time that the drop in the divertor D_α signal begins. [The decrease in the D_α signal is one of the most reliable indicators of the L to H transition (Wagner *et al.*, 1982).] Reflectometer data show that the fluctuation decrease and the beginning of the D_α drop are coincident within 0.1 ms. Within the 0.5 ms measurement accuracy of the spectroscopic system (Gohil *et al.*, 1991b), the initial step in E_r also occurs at the same time.

The time sequence of events at the L to H transition demonstrates that the change in E_r is not a consequence of the change in edge profiles caused by the confinement improvement. As is illustrated in Fig. 3, a substantial change in the electric field takes place prior to the time when the first change occurs in the local gradients of ion temperature, carbon density, and carbon pressure. In other words, the edge profiles have barely begun to change at a time when a significant E_r is already established. This sequence is what one would expect from a model in which the change in E_r stabilizes fluctuations, which then leads to a change in the particle and heat fluxes. The usual conservation equations for particle and heat demonstrate that a change in the cross-field fluxes must accumulate for a certain time before the radial profiles exhibit an appreciable response. A model in which the actual changes in the density and temperature profiles produce the change in E_r is not consistent with the measured time history.

Although the initial, substantial change in E_r seen in DIII-D precedes appreciable changes in the density and temperature profiles, both E_r and those profiles can continue to evolve for many 10s of milliseconds after the transition. This evolution has been noted on several tokamaks (Burrell *et al.*, 1990; Field *et al.*, 1991, 1992; Groebner *et al.*, 1990a, 1992; Ida *et al.*, 1990, 1992).

Information on the causality question is also provided by the H-modes produced by plasma biasing in CCT (Taylor *et al.*, 1989), TEXTOR (Van Nieuwenhove *et al.*, 1991; Weynants *et al.*, 1991) and TUMAN-3 (Askinazi *et al.*, 1992). In these machines, the bias is applied first and E_r in the plasma evolves to the point where the bifurcation occurs and the H-mode develops. This sequence clearly shows the causal role of E_r .

Fig. 1. Plots of various plasma profiles in DIII-D near the plasma edge at times relative to the L to H transition. The shaded region gives the best estimate of the separatrix location as determined from MHD equilibrium analysis. In addition to the profiles labeled on the left-hand vertical axis, each box contains a plot showing the change in the fluctuation power detected by the O-mode and X-mode reflectometers (right-hand vertical scale). This is the ratio of the reflectometer power just after the transition to that measured just before the transition. The reflectometer power is the amplitude of the signal integrated from 75 kHz to the maximum detected frequency (400 to 800 kHz). The frequency integration is based on Fourier analysis over consecutive 0.1 ms intervals. (a) Profiles of the poloidal rotation speed of C VII and the radial electric field E_r inferred from the C VII radial force balance equation as measured by charge exchange recombination spectroscopy. Integration time for the spectroscopic signal was 3 ms. (b) Profiles of the ion temperature and C VII density measured using the same technique as in (a). (c) Profiles of electron density and electron temperature measured by Thomson scattering.

Fig. 2. Time history across the L to H transition in DIII-D of the divertor D_α signal; edge poloidal rotation, toroidal rotation and inferred E_r from charge exchange spectroscopy; and reflectometer power. The D_α signal and the reflectometer power have effective time resolutions of 0.1 ms; the spectroscopic signals have a 0.5 ms integration time. The points for the spectroscopic results are plotted at a time which corresponds to the midpoint of the integration time interval. The spectroscopic signals come from the spatial location which corresponds to the minimum of E_r [see Fig. 1(a)].

Fig. 3. Time history across the L to H transition in DIII-D of edge ion temperature gradient, C VII density gradient, C VII pressure gradient and most negative edge E_r . The spatial location for all the curves is at the point just inside the separatrix where the most negative E_r is seen and where, as shown in Fig. 1(a), the transport barrier forms. The negative jump in E_r occurs prior to any significant change in the edge ion gradients.

Theory predicts changes in the correlation lengths of fluctuations (Biglari *et al.*, 1990); changes consistent with theory have been seen in several machines. Radial and poloidal correlation lengths of the turbulent fluctuations have been measured in the plasma edge by Langmuir probes in CCT (Taylor *et al.*, 1991; Tynan *et al.*, 1991), the radial coherence length has been determined by correlation reflectometry in DIII-D (Rhodes *et al.*, 1991, 1992b), and poloidal correlation lengths have been inferred from FIR scattering (Rettig *et al.*, 1992b) in DIII-D and from multipin Langmuir probe arrays in ASDEX (Niedermeyer *et al.*, 1991). The Langmuir probe and reflectometer measurements both show a decrease in the radial coherence length by about a factor of two after the L to H transition. For example, in DIII-D, preliminary measurements of the radial coherence length of density fluctuations in the plasma edge ($\rho \geq 0.98$) show changes from roughly 0.4 cm in L-mode to 0.2 cm in H-mode (Rhodes *et al.*, 1991). (This work is still in a preliminary stage, since the radial coherence length only gives an upper limit for the radial correlation length; a future publication will directly address this issue.) Qualitatively, this trend is what one would expect from the effect of sheared electric fields (Biglari *et al.*, 1990). The poloidal correlation length, inferred from the $S(k_\theta)$ spectrum in DIII-D (taking account of $E \times B$ drifts), shows no significant change between L-mode and H-mode in DIII-D (Rettig *et al.*, 1992b). In addition, in ASDEX, the $S(k_\theta, \omega)$ spectrum in H-mode shows no change except those due to differing $E \times B$ drifts when measured on either side of the separatrix (Niedermeyer *et al.*, 1991). This lack of a change in the poloidal wavenumber spectrum is also what is predicted by theory for a measurement in the plasma frame. Poloidal correlation lengths in CCT measured in the laboratory frame increase substantially in H-mode (Taylor *et al.*, 1991; Tynan, 1991). Transforming the theory to the laboratory frame, where the turbulent fluctuations are moving with the $E \times B$ velocity, should lead a substantial apparent increase in poloidal correlation length. Measurements of the turbulent decorrelation times in a shear layer in an Ohmically heated limiter discharge (Ritz *et al.*, 1990) are also consistent with the theory.

Because of diagnostic difficulties, there has been much less work on edge localized magnetic fluctuations across the L to H transition. As has been reported by (Burrell *et al.*, 1989b, 1990; Malacarne *et al.*, 1987; Matsumoto *et al.*, 1992; Toi *et al.*, 1989), there are significant decreases in both broadband magnetic fluctuations and in coherent MHD modes within a few cm of the separatrix. Recent theoretical calculations (Strauss, 1992) have indicated that sheared flow can stabilize drift tearing modes at the plasma edge. Accordingly, the magnetic fluctuation measurements are also consistent with the idea of electric field shear stabilization of turbulence.

COMPARISONS WITH THEORY

Theories of the L to H transition that are discussed in this section meet two criteria. First, since previous comparisons of theory and experiment have been done (Burrell *et al.*, 1989b, 1990), new theoretical work had to be done since 1990 or new experimental evidence bearing on the theories had to be available before a theory would be included. Second, diagnostic measurements that could test key features of the theories had to be available. In some cases, potentially viable theories are not discussed because key quantities [e.g. turbulent Reynold's stress (Diamond and Kim, 1991)] could not yet be measured.

The work of (Biglari *et al.*, 1990) does not discuss an H-mode bifurcation condition as such but shows concisely how electric field shear can nonlinearly stabilize a broad class of general, flute-like turbulent modes in the plasma. The criterion for shear decorrelation to be important can be written

$$\left| \frac{\nabla E_r}{B_T} \right| > \frac{\Delta\omega_t}{k_\theta \Delta r_t}, \quad (1)$$

where B_T is the toroidal field, $\Delta\omega_t$ is the turbulent decorrelation frequency, Δr_t is the radial correlation length and k_θ is the poloidal wave number of the turbulence. $\Delta\omega_t$ and Δr_t are the values in the absence of the electric field shear. Previous estimates have shown that the electric field shear measured in DIII-D is sufficient for shear decorrelation to be important in the turbulence dynamics (Groebner *et al.*, 1990a; Matsumoto *et al.*, 1992). Using the profiles in Fig. 1, and typical values for $\Delta\omega_t = 2\pi \times 100$ kHz (Matsumoto *et al.*, 1992) and the radial coherence length of 0.4 cm as an estimate of Δr_t (Rhodes *et al.*, 1991), shear decorrelation would be important for $k_\theta \gtrsim 1$ cm⁻¹. FIR scattering results show the dominant fluctuation levels for this range of k -vectors.

An important feature of the theory by (Biglari *et al.*, 1990) is that turbulence stabilization is independent of the sign of ∇E_r . Accordingly, this theory can explain the H-mode with a positive hill structure in E_r seen in TEXTOR biasing experiments (Weynants *et al.*, 1991) as well as the more usual, spontaneous H-modes, which have a negative well in E_r .

As was discussed in the previous section, the theoretical predictions of how the radial and poloidal correlation lengths should change across the L to H transition agree with experimental measurements made at the outer (large major radius) midplane of the plasma. There are some measurements that indicate that behavior at the inner and outer midplane of the plasma is different. Previous work has shown that significantly more heat (Burrell *et al.*, 1989b; Keilhacker *et al.*, 1981) and particles (Tynan, 1991) flow through the large major radius side of the plasma in L-mode. In addition, heat flux measurements in the divertor (Burrell *et al.*, 1989b) show changes across the L to H transition which are consistent with a marked decrease in heat flux through the outer major radius side of the plasma. Furthermore, radial correlation lengths measured near the inner midplane in CCT actually increase after the L to H transition (Taylor *et al.*, 1991).

The theory of (Biglari *et al.*, 1990) can account for different behavior at the large and small major radius sides of the plasma if one considers the large variation in electric field shear caused by the tokamak's toroidal geometry. If we assume that the electrostatic potential is constant on a flux surface to lowest order, then the left hand side of Eq. (1) can be written as

$$\left| \frac{\nabla E_r}{B_T} \right| = \frac{(RB_p)^2}{|B_T|} \left| \frac{d^2\Phi}{d\psi^2} \right|, \quad (2)$$

where Φ is the electrostatic potential, ψ the poloidal flux function (Hinton and Hazeltine, 1976), B_p the poloidal field and R the major radius. Since $d^2\Phi/d\psi^2$ is constant on a flux surface, the electric field shear term varies as $(RB_p)^2/B_T$. This quantity is significantly smaller on the inner

side of the plasma than the outer, varying crudely as R^3 . The actual difference depends on the poloidal beta β_p of the plasma, with the value on the inner midplane approaching zero as β_p approaches the equilibrium limit. However, even for low β_p , typical values for DIII-D show that the E_r shear term is at least a factor of 6 smaller at the inner midplane than at the outer. Utilizing the previous estimate, this would say that only fluctuations with $k_\theta \geq 6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ would be stabilized at the inner midplane. FIR scattering data show that most of the fluctuation power exists at smaller k_θ . Accordingly, for a given electrostatic potential profile, stabilization of turbulence on the large major radius side should be much easier than on the small major radius side.

The work by Shaing and various colleagues (Shaing *et al.*, 1989b, 1990, 1992a, 1992b) gives a clear bifurcation condition as well as a discussion of electric field shear stabilization of turbulence (Shaing *et al.*, 1990). The theory has two main parts. First, a bifurcation in the main ion poloidal rotation causes a change in the radial electric field. Second, negative E_r or more positive ∇E_r causes stabilization of turbulence and gives the confinement improvement (Shaing *et al.*, 1990). A weaker H-mode may be possible with positive E_r . The physical basis for the bifurcation is the need for the plasma to maintain zero net radial current in steady state. Accordingly, the nonambipolar radial current driven by poloidal rotation [Shaing *et al.*, 1989b, 1990] is balanced by an extra radial current which can either be the directly driven current in biased plasmas, as in CCT, TEXTOR and TUMAN 3, or the current caused by loss of epithermal trapped particles scattering into the loss cone at the plasma edge. In the non-biased case, the ion collisionality ν_{*i} near the plasma edge must approach unity for a significant loss of trapped, epithermal particles to occur. However, even for high ν_{*i} , multiple solutions exist for the plasma rotation near the edge. In the absence of any other force, the need for significant ion orbit loss gives ν_{*i} near unity as an approximate bifurcation condition. This condition on ν_{*i} is unimportant if the driving current is provided by another means. In addition, if ion orbit loss is important, the width of the ion banana orbit at the plasma edge sets the scale length for the radial electric field (Shaing, 1992a).

The general picture of the development of the H-mode edge shown in Figs. 1 through 3 is in agreement with Shaing's theory. In addition, the form of the relationship between the radial current and radial electric field seen in biased TEXTOR plasmas (Weynants *et al.*, 1991) agrees with the theory. Furthermore, the size of the shear layer at the plasma edge depends weakly on plasma current (Doyle *et al.*, 1991; Groebner *et al.*, 1991), in agreement with the theory including the effects of shear in the radial electric field on the banana orbit width (Shaing, 1992a).

In all spontaneously triggered H-modes studied to date, E_r is negative near the plasma edge (Burrell *et al.*, 1990; Doyle *et al.*, 1991; Field *et al.*, 1991, 1992; Gohil *et al.*, 1991a, 1991b; Groebner *et al.*, 1990a, 1991, 1991; Ida *et al.*, 1990, 1992). This is in accord with the stated prediction of the theory. However, the simple approximation $E_r = \text{constant}$ which is used in the basic stability derivation (Shaing *et al.*, 1990) is clearly too crude; where measurements exist of the electric field structure, (Doyle *et al.*, 1991; Gohil *et al.*, 1991a, 1991b; Groebner *et al.*, 1990b, 1991; Ida *et al.*, 1990, 1992; Van Nieuwenhove *et al.*, 1991), they show a very rapid variation in E_r near but inside the separatrix. This assumption of constant E_r in the primary stability calculation may be one reason why the publication (Shaing *et al.*, 1990) states turbulence stabilization should occur primarily for $\nabla E_r > 0$ even though the fundamental equations are independent of the sign of the poloidal shearing rate (Shaing *et al.*, 1990). If the poloidal shearing is dominated by ∇E_r , then, as discussed by (Biglari *et al.*, 1990), turbulence stabilization for either sign of ∇E_r should be possible. Such a prediction would be in accord with the experiments, which see negative well in E_r in spontaneous H-mode (Doyle *et al.*, 1991; Gohil *et al.*, 1991a; Groebner *et al.*, 1990a, 1991; Ida *et al.*, 1990, 1992) and both signs of E_r in biased H-mode (Taylor *et al.*, 1989, 1991; Van Nieuwenhove *et al.*, 1991; Weynants *et al.*, 1991).

The published theory has ν_{*i} around one as an approximate bifurcation condition. In addition, the theory predicts (Shaing *et al.*, 1989, 1990) that the normalized poloidal rotation parameter

$$U_{pm} = \frac{1}{v_{th} B_p} \left[v_\theta B_T - \left(\frac{1}{Z_i e n_i} \right) \nabla p_i \right] , \quad (3)$$

should be significantly below unity in L-mode and above unity in H-mode. Here, v_θ is the poloidal rotation speed of the main ions, n_i is the ion density, Z_i is the ion charge, p_i is the ion pressure and $v_{th} = (2T_i/m_i)^{1/2}$ is the ion thermal speed. As is shown in Fig. 4, the theoretically expected values of ν_{*i} and U_{pm} agree with what is seen in DIII-D. The measurements of U_{pm} shown in Fig. 4 are the first in which this quantity has been computed by directly measuring the poloidal rotation and pressure gradient for the main (helium) ions. Previous measurements of associated quantities (Ida *et al.*, 1990, 1992) have used the poloidal rotation speed of impurity ions. The theoretical expectations for ν_{*i} are not born out in JFT2-M (Ida *et al.*, 1990, 1992). There L to H transitions are seen in plasmas which have ν_{*i} around 50 in both L-mode and H-mode.

Fig. 4. Normalized poloidal rotation parameter for main plasma ions U_{pm} from Eq. (3) plotted as a function of ν_{*i} for helium plasmas in DIII-D with $I_p = 1.0$ MA, $B_T = 2.1$ T and with a time-averaged deuterium neutral injection power of 4.8 MW. These plasmas are single-null divertor plasmas with the ion ∇B drift towards the X-point. The general geometry definition of ν_{*i} used is given in (Kim, 1991) and does not include the effect of impurity-ion collisions. The helium ion density needed in evaluating ν_{*i} is determined from charge exchange recombination spectroscopy.

One of the problems in matching the theoretical bifurcation condition $\nu_{*i} \approx 1$ with the experimental measurements is the lack of a proper definition for ν_{*i} for a finite aspect ratio divertor plasma with multiple ion species. The standard definition $\nu_{*i} = \nu_{ii} R q / \epsilon^{3/2} v_{th}$ is for a large aspect ratio limiter plasma with one ion species. This definition is, unfortunately, singular on the separatrix of a divertor plasma. The JFT2-M work (Ida *et al.*, 1990, 1992) utilizes this definition but evaluates it 0.7 cm inside the separatrix. The DIII-D work shown in Fig. 4 uses a general geometry definition for ν_{*i} (Kim *et al.*, 1991b) which also does not include impurity effects.

The work of the Itoh (1988, 1989a, 1989b, 1990) has been through several iterations. The latest version (Itoh and Itoh, 1990) includes the effects of ion orbit loss, similar to the Shaing model, but also includes the possibility of anomalous electron loss. This electron loss takes the place of the viscosity driven radial current in Shaing's model. A nonambipolar loss in either the electron or ion channel (or both) is required to balance the loss of banana trapped particles at the plasma edge, thus maintaining zero net radial current in steady state. The latest version of both models (Itoh and Itoh, 1990; Shaing, 1992a) include the effects of ∇E_r in squeezing the ion orbits, as was first discussed by Hazeltine (1989). Unfortunately, because of the form that they employ for the ion orbit loss, the Itoh's model is really only applicable when the plasma edge is in the extreme banana regime, $\nu_{*i} \ll 1$. At the time of the L to H transition, the edge of the plasma in most tokamaks has $\nu_{*i} \gtrsim 1$ (Burrell *et al.*, 1989b; Ida *et al.*, 1990, 1992; Wagner *et al.*, 1985); this difference renders quantitative comparisons with the theory moot. The quantitative comparisons that have been done for JFT2-M show reasonable agreement for the bifurcation condition and for the electric

field shear (Ida *et al.*, 1990, 1992). The agreement with the DIII-D data is not as good. For the data in Fig. 1, the bifurcation parameter

$$\lambda = - \left(\frac{T_e}{T_i} \right) \rho_{pi} \left(\frac{\nabla n_e}{n_e} + \frac{\alpha \nabla T_e}{T_e} \right) ,$$

does change from 0.8 in L-mode to 2.7 in H-mode, in agreement with the theoretical boundary at $\lambda \approx 1$. Here, ρ_{pi} is the poloidal ion gyro-radius. However, the electric field shear parameter $u_g = (\rho_{pi} / v_{ti} B_p) \nabla E_r$ is zero in L-mode and about -0.5 in H-mode; this disagrees with a theoretical L-mode value of +1 and H-mode value of -2. The theory is capable of having either sign of E_r in the H-mode; however, to obtain $E_r < 0$ requires $|\nabla T_i / T_i| \geq |5.9 \nabla n_i / n_i|$. [The numerical value 5.9 comes from the relationship between toroidal rotation speed and ∇T_i given by (Hazeltine, 1974).] This large a T_i gradient is not seen in present experiments. Thus, the theory would predict positive electric fields in most cases, in disagreement with what is seen experimentally. The Itoh's model has been extended from a point model to a one dimensional model to confront edge localized modes (ELMs) (Itoh *et al.*, 1991); use of the one dimensional equations to confront the basic transition would perhaps lead to a better match with experiment.

A theory to explain the L to H transition based on a bifurcation in the ion heat transport equation has been proposed by Hinton (1991). This model has several functional dependences which agree with experimental results. For example, the model has an H-mode power threshold which increases with density and toroidal field, in agreement with experiment (Burrell *et al.*, 1989a; Carlstrom, 1989). In addition, the theory predicts a lower density limit for the H-mode transition, in agreement with ASDEX measurements (Wagner *et al.*, 1982). However, although the theory predicts a steep temperature gradient region inside the separatrix, it would also predict a rapid variation of the width of this region with input power, which is not seen experimentally. This lack of dependence on input power is shown in Fig. 5. The problem with the existing version of the theory is that the transport coefficients are taken to be constant. Because the theory has a bifurcation character, there is a minimum heat flux required for the ion heat transport equation to stay on the steep gradient branch. The constant transport coefficients cause this minimum to also be constant. Accordingly, if the input power is increased substantially (e.g. by a factor of four as

Fig. 5. Time sequence of ion temperature profiles near the plasma edge discharges with 2.5 MW and 10 MW input neutral beam power. Basic discharge conditions are single-null divertor, $I_p = 1.0$ MA, $B_T = 2.1$ T, minor radius 0.65 m, and vertical elongation of 1.9. Times in the graphs are given relative to the L to H transition. Positions are plotted relative to the separatrix location determined from MHD equilibrium analysis. Note the width of the region of steep gradients does not increase from the low power to the high power case.

H-mode; the radial gradient of the poloidally asymmetric portion of the radial particle flux required by Hassam *et al.*, (1991a) is similarly inaccessible at present. Both of these quantities can probably be measured using Langmuir probes; however, the poloidally distributed measurements required by the latter will be a technological challenge. Both of these theories are based on the idea that the (turbulent) flows in the plasma edge are unstable to the creation of significant sheared $E \times B$ flow. However, the plasma in the H-mode appears to be much less turbulent and much more poloidally symmetric than the L-mode. The fundamental question then is: How does the plasma maintain the more quiescent, more symmetric H-mode state when the driving terms from turbulence or poloidal asymmetry that existed in L-mode have disappeared? When plasma heating is turned off, or when the plasma bias voltage is turned off, tokamak plasmas always go back to L-mode. Accordingly, the H-mode state requires a certain driving term to maintain it. These theories of spontaneously excited spin-up need to identify the driving terms and specify how they continue to exist in H-mode.

CONCLUSIONS

Combined theoretical and experimental work has resulted in the the creation of a paradigm which has allowed qualitative understanding of many features of the H-mode. Shear in the $E \times B$ flow of the fluctuations in the plasma edge can lead to decorrelation of the fluctuations, decreased radial correlation lengths and reduced turbulent transport. Behavior consistent with effects of shear in E_r have been seen in several tokamaks. At the L to H transition, the electric field shear develops in a localized region near the plasma edge. Turbulent density fluctuations decrease in the same region. Particle and energy transport decrease there also, as indicated by the increase in the local gradients and by direct measurements of the local, fluctuation-driven particle flux. In addition, a significant change in the electric field occurs right at the transition, before the radial profiles of density and temperature have had a chance to change appreciably. This indicates that the initial change in E_r is not caused by the improvement in confinement altering the radial profiles of density and temperature, although E_r may change further while the profiles continue to evolve. Furthermore, the radial correlation length of the density fluctuations decreases at the transition while the poloidal correlation length remains unchanged, as is expected from theory. Finally, experiments with H-modes produced by direct biasing of the plasma provide further information on causality by showing that altering the radial electric field causes the transition to occur.

Although we now have a basic model which allows us to understand the confinement improvement that occurs at the L to H transition, we are still lacking a well tested theory of how the crucial electric field shear is created. Producing and testing this model is the next challenge in L to H transition physics. Since the turbulence stabilization theories (Biglari *et al.*, 1990; Shaing *et al.*, 1990) indicate that the radial structure of E_r is important in stabilizing turbulence, we need to move from theories which consider only a point in space to theories that are at least one dimensional, so that $E_r(r)$ and, hence, ∇E_r can be computed. Testing the various theories will require continuing diagnostic improvement.

in Fig. 5), then the position in normalized minor radius r/a where the heat flux matches the minimum decreases by at least the same factor and possibly much more, depending on the actual heat flux profile. For example, if the lower heat flux had the boundary of the steep gradient region at $r/a = 0.95$, then a factor of four increase would place the boundary inside of $r/a = 0.24$. A steep gradient region that extends this far into the plasma is inconsistent with Fig. 5 and has never been seen in any H-mode experiment. Although the model has several functional dependence that match experiment, the constant transport coefficient assumption requires improvement.

As mentioned previously, there are theories for which the crucial diagnostic measurements are beyond the state of the current diagnostic art. For example, the turbulent Reynolds stress needed by Diamond and Kim (1991) has not been measured in L-mode and

The authors would like to acknowledge fruitful discussions with P.H. Diamond, A.R. Field, G. Fussmann, F.L. Hinton, K. Ida, S.-I. and K. Itoh, Y.B. Kim, T.K. Kurki-Suonio, K.C. Shaing, R.J. Taylor, G. Tynan and F. Wagner. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Nos. DE-AC03-89ER51114, DE-FG03-89ER51121, DE-FG03-86ER53225, DE-AC05-84OR21400, and DE-AC03-76DP00789.

REFERENCES

- Askinazi, L. G., V. E. Golant, S. V. Lebedev, *et al.*, (1992). *Nucl. Fusion* **32**, 271.
- Biglari, H., P. H. Diamond, and P. W. Terry (1990). *Phys. Fluids* **B2**, 1.
- Burns, S. R., T. L. Rhodes, W. A. Peebles, *et al.*, (1991). *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **36**, 2499.
- Burrell, K. H., S. L. Allen, G. Bramson, *et al.*, (1989a). *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1988* (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1989), Vol. 1, p. 193.
- Burrell, K. H., S. L. Allen, G. Bramson, *et al.*, (1989b). *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion* **31**, 1649.
- Burrell, K. H., T. N. Carlstrom, E. J. Doyle, *et al.*, (1990). *Phys. Fluids* **B2**, 1405.
- Carlstrom, T. N., M. Shimada, K. H. Burrell, *et al.*, (1989). *Proc. 16th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Venice*, Vol. 13B, p. 241.
- Carlstrom, T. N., G. L. Campbell, J. C. DeBoo, *et al.*, (1990). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **61**, 3016.
- Carreras, B. A., K. Sidikman, P. H. Diamond *et al.*, (1992), ORNL report P-91/51278, submitted to *Phys. Fluids B*.
- Costley, A. E., P. Cripwell, R. Prentice, and A. C. C. Sips (1990), *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **61**, 2823.
- Cripwell, P., A. E. Costley, and A. E. Hubbard (1989), *Proc. 16th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Venice*, Vol. 13B, Part I p. 75.
- Diamond, P. H., and Y. B. Kim (1991), *Phys. Fluids B* **3**, 1626.
- Dodel, G., E. Holzhauser, H. Niedermeyer, *et al.*, (1991). *Proc. 18th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Berlin*, Vol. 15C, Part I, p. 273.
- Dodel, G., E. Holzhauser, and the ASDEX Team (1992). *Proc. 19th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Innsbruck* (to be published).
- Doyle, E. J., T. Lehecka, N. C. Luhmann, Jr., *et al.*, (1990), *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **61**, 3016.
- Doyle, E. J., R. J. Groebner, K. H. Burrell, *et al.*, (1991). *Phys. Fluids B* **3**, 2300.
- Field, A. R., G. Fussmann, J. V. Hofmann (1991). *Proc. 18th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Berlin*, Vol. 15C, Part III, p. 113.
- Field, A. R., G. Fussmann, J. V. Hofmann (1992). *Nucl. Fusion* (to be published).
- Gohil, P., K. H. Burrell, R. J. Groebner, and R. P. Seraydarian (1990). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **61**, 2949.
- Gohil, P., K. H. Burrell, E. J. Doyle, *et al.*, (1991a). *Proc. 18th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Berlin*, Vol. 15C, Part I, p. 289.
- Gohil, P., K. H. Burrell, R. J. Groebner, *et al.*, (1991b). *Proc. 14th IEEE/NPSS Symposium on Fusion Technology*, Vol. II, p. 1199.
- Groebner, R. J., P. Gohil, K. H. Burrell, T. H. Osborne, R. P. Seraydarian, H. St. John (1989). *Proc. 16th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Venice*, Vol. 13B, Part I, p. 245.
- Groebner, R. J., K. H. Burrell, and R. P. Seraydarian (1990a). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 3015.
- Groebner, R. J., K. H. Burrell, P. Gohil, and R. P. Seraydarian (1990b). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **61**, 2920.
- Groebner, R. J., W. A. Peebles, K. H. Burrell, *et al.*, (1991). *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1990* (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1991) Vol. 1, p. 453.
- Groebner, R. J., K. H. Burrell, P. Gohil, J. Kim, and R. P. Seraydarian (1992). *Proc. 19th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Innsbruck* Vol. 16C, Part I, p. 183.
- Hassam, A. B., T. M. Antonsen, Jr., J. F. Drake, and C. S. Liu (1991a). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 309.
- Hassam, A. B. (1991b). *Comments Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion* **14**, 275.
- Hawkes, N., *et al.*, (1992). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* (to be published)
- Hazeltine, R. D (1974). *Phys. Fluids* **17**, 961.
- Hazeltine, R. D (1989). *Phys. Fluids B* **1**, 2031.
- Hinton, F.L., and R. D. Hazeltine (1976). *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48**, 239, Eq. (2.45).
- Hinton, F. L., (1985). *Nucl. Fusion* **25**, 1457.
- Hinton, F. L. (1991). *Phys. Fluids B* **3**, 696.
- Holzhauser, E., G. Dodel, and the ASDEX Team (1990). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **61**, 2817.
- Ida, K., and S. Hidekuma (1989). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **60**, 867.
- Ida, K., S. Hidekuma, Y. Miura, *et al.*, (1990). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 1364.
- Ida, K., S. Hidekuma, M. Kojima, *et al.*, (1992). *Phys. Fluids B* **4** (to be published).
- Itoh, S.-I., and K. Itoh (1988). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 2276.
- Itoh, S.-I., K. Itoh, T. Ohkawa, *et al.*, (1989a). *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1988* (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1989) Vol. 2, p. 23.

- Itoh, S.-I., and K. Itoh (1989b). *Nucl. Fusion* **29**, 1031.
- Itoh, S.-I., and K. Itoh (1990). *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **59**, 3815.
- Itoh, S.-I., K. Itoh, A. Fukuyama, Y. Miura, *et al.*, (1991). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 2485.
- Jackson, G. L., J. Winter, T. S. Taylor, *et al.*, (1992). *Phys. Fluids* **B4** (to be published).
- Keilhacker, M., and the ASDEX Team (1981). *Proc. IAEA Technical Committee Meeting on Divertors and Impurity Control*, M. Keilhacker and U. Daybelge, Ed. (Garching, Germany), 23.
- Kim, Y. B., P. H. Diamond, H. Biglari, and J. D. Callen (1991a). *Phys. Fluids* **B3**, 384.
- Kim, Y. B., P. H. Diamond, and R. J. Groebner (1991b). *Phys. Fluids* **B3**, 2050.
- Kim, Y. J., K. W. Gentle, Ch. P. Ritz, T. L. Rhodes, and R. D. Bengston (1991c). *Phys. Fluids* **B3**, 674.
- Kurki-Suonio, T. K., R. J. Groebner, K. H. Burrell (1992). *Nucl. Fusion* **32**, 133.
- Lehecka, T., *et al.*, (1988). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **59**, 1620.
- Malacarne, M., P. Cripwell, P. A. Duperrex, *et al.*, (1987). *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion* **29** 1675.
- Manso, M. E., F. Wagner, J. Matias, *et al.*, (1991). *Proc. 18th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Berlin*, Vol. 15C, Part I, p. 393.
- Matsumoto, H., K. H. Burrell, T. N. Carlstrom, *et al.*, (1992). *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion* **34**, 615.
- Moyer, R. A., J. G. Watkins, R. W. Conn, *et al.*, (1992). " $E \times B$ Transport in the DIII-D Boundary Plasma," University of California Report UCLA-PPG-1433, to be published in *J. Nucl. Mater.*
- Niedermeyer, H., A. Carlson, M. Endler, *et al.*, (1991). *Proc. 18th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Berlin*, Vol. 15C, Part I, p. 301.
- Ohkawa, T., F. L. Hinton (1987). *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1986* (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1987) Vol. 2, p. 221.
- Peebles, W. A., S. Baang, D. L. Brower, *et al.*, (1990). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **61**, 3509.
- Philipona, R., E. J. Doyle, N. C. Luhmann, Jr., *et al.*, (1990). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **61**, 3007.
- Philipona, R., R. J. Groebner, K. H. Burrell, *et al.*, (1991a). "Two-Step Turbulence Suppression and $E \times B$ Plasma Flow Measured at the L-H Transition," General Atomics Report GA-A20516, submitted to *Phys. Fluids*.
- Philipona, R., E. J. Doyle, N. C. Luhmann, Jr., *et al.*, (1991b). *Proc. 18th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Berlin*, Vol. 15C, Part I, p. 281.
- Rettig, C. L., S. Burns, R. Philipona, *et al.*, (1990). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **61**, 3010.
- Rettig, C. L., W. A. Peebles, K. H. Burrell, *et al.*, (1992a). *Proc. 19th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Innsbruck* Vol. 16C, Part I, p. 179.
- Rettig, C. L., W. A. Peebles, K. H. Burrell, *et al.*, (1992b). Submitted to *Nucl. Fusion*.
- Ritz, Ch. P., H. Lin, T. L. Rhodes, and A. J. Wooten (1990) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 2543.
- Rhodes, T. L., W. A. Peebles, E. J. Doyle, and C. Rettig (1991). *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **36**, 2474.
- Rhodes, T. L., S. Baang, A. E. Chou, C. W. Domier, N. C. Luhmann, Jr. (1992a). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* (to be published).
- Rhodes, T. L., W. A. Peebles, and E. J. Doyle (1992b). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* (to be published).
- Shaing, K. C., G. S. Lee, B. A. Carreras, W. A. Houlberg, E. C. Crume, Jr. (1989a). *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1988* (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1989) Vol. 2, p. 13.
- Shaing, K. C., and E. C. Crume, Jr. (1989b). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 2369.
- Shaing, K. C., E. C. Crume, Jr., and W. A. Houlberg (1990). *Phys. Fluids* **B2**, 1492.
- Shaing, K. C., (1992a). *Phys. Fluids* **B4**, 290.
- Shaing, K. C., R. D. Hazeltine, H. Sanuki (1992b). *Phys. Fluids* **B4**, 404.
- Staebler, G. M., and R. R. Dominguez (1991). *Nucl. Fusion* **31**, 1891.
- Strauss, H. R., (1992). *Phys. Fluids* **B4**, 3.
- Taylor, R. J., M. L. Brown, B. D. Fried, *et al.*, (1989). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63**, 2365.
- Taylor, R. J., R. W. Conn, B. D. Fried, *et al.*, (1991). *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1990* (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1990) Vol. 1, p. 463.
- Toi, K., J. Gernhardt, O. Klueber, and M. Kornherr (1989). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 430.
- Tynan, G. R., (1991). PhD Thesis, University of California Los Angeles Report UCLA-PPG-1369.
- Tynan, G. R., L. Schmitz, R. W. Conn, *et al.*, (1992). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 3032.
- Van Nieuwenhove, R., G. Van Oost, R. R. Weynants, *et al.*, (1991). *Proc. 18th European Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics, Berlin*, Vol. 15C, Part I, p. 405.
- Wagner, F., G. Becker, K. Behringer, *et al.*, (1982). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49**, 1408.
- Wagner, F., R. Bartiromo, G. Becker, *et al.*, (1985). *Nucl. Fusion* **25**, 1490.
- Wagner, F., F. Ryter, A. R. Field, *et al.*, (1991). *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1990* (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1991), Vol. 1, p. 277.
- Weynants, R. R., D. Bora, T. Delvigne, *et al.*, (1991). *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1990* (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1991), Vol. 1, p. 473.

END

**DATE
FILMED**

11 / 12 / 92