CERCLA Treatability Test Report

**In Situ Vitrification of a Mixed-
Waste Contaminated Soil Site:
The 116-B-6A Crib at Hanford**

J. Luey
S. S. Koegler
W. L. Kuhn

P. S. Lowery
R. G. Winkelman

September 1992

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Operated for the U.S. Department of Energy
by Battelle Memorial Institute

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor Battelle Memorial Institute, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or Battelle Memorial Institute. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST LABORATORY
operated by
BATTELLE MEMORIAL INSTITUTE
for the
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Printed in the United States of America

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the
Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831;
prices available from (615) 576-8401. FTS 626-8401.

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161.

CERCLA TREATABILITY TEST REPORT

IN SITU VITRIFICATION OF A MIXED-WASTE CONTAMINATED
SOIL SITE: THE 116-B-6A CRIB AT HANFORD

J. Luey
S. S. Koegler^a
W. L. Kuhn
P. S. Lowery
R. G. Winkelman^b

September 1992

Prepared for
the U.S. Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Richland, Washington

a Currently with Siemens Nuclear Power Company, Richland, Washington.

b Currently with Geosafe Corporation, Richland, Washington.

SUMMARY

The first large-scale mixed-waste test of in situ vitrification (ISV) has been completed. The large-scale test was conducted at an actual contaminated soil site, the 116-B-6A crib, on the Department of Energy's Hanford Site. The large-scale test was a demonstration of the ISV technology and not an interim action for the 116-B-6A crib. Performance of the test was done under Section 6 of the Office of Solid Waste Emergency Response (OSWER) Directive 9335.3-01 [Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidance for Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) treatability tests under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)]. The test had four primary objectives:

- 1) Demonstrate at least 99% immobilization efficiency of hazardous metals and radionuclides in the vitrified, contaminated soil.
- 2) Demonstrate the ability of the ISV off-gas treatment system to process a soil containing significant quantities of combustible materials.
- 3) Demonstrate the ability of ISV to vitrify the site to depths that are practical within the context of actual waste site remediation work.
- 4) Produce a high-quality glass product comparable in leach resistance to previous ISV glass products and high-level waste glass.

This demonstration has provided technical data to evaluate the ISV process for its potential in the final disposition of mixed-waste contaminated soil sites at Hanford. Because of the test's successful completion, technical data on the vitrified soil are available on how well the process incorporates transuranics and heavy metals into the waste form, how well the form resists leaching of transuranics and heavy metals, how well the process handles sites with high combustible loadings, and the important site parameters which may affect the achievable process depth.

The ISV demonstration on the 116-B-6A crib was completed in April 1990 after 288 hours of operation. The demonstration consumed 550 MWh of electrical energy and resulted in a 850 ton block of vitrified soil. The primary contaminants vitrified during the demonstration were chromium, lead, and cesium-137. Data show that the retention in the vitrified block of chromium and lead was greater than 99.99% and the retention for cesium-137 was greater than 99.98%. This high retention is similar to previous ISV tests and

illustrates the efficiency of the process. Due to the extremely high immobilization efficiency, the off-gas treatment system is required to process only minor concentrations of contaminants in the gas stream.

Data show that the off-gas treatment system performed within design criteria and successfully handled the high-combustible loadings (the wooden crib timbers) of the contaminated soil site. The processing of the wooden timbers did not increase temperatures within the off-gas hood and treatment system above normal operating conditions observed for previous large-scale tests. The vacuum within the off-gas hood was maintained throughout the demonstration, indicating that the off-gas system compensated for the increased off-gassing from the processing of the wooden timbers.

Results of core drilling conducted in April 1991 revealed that the melt growth during processing was more lateral than predicted. The depth achieved during the 116-B-6A crib ISV demonstration was 4.3 m, which was 1.8 m short of the depth necessary to vitrify the contaminated soil below the crib. The 4.3-m vitrified depth coincided with a cobble layer detected below the crib during the core drilling (pre-test characterization of the crib did not indicate such a layer). Prior to encountering the cobble layer, the rate of melt progression was satisfactory, indicating that greater depths are achievable if the site is homogenous and/or devoid of stratification.

Analysis of the vitrified core samples collected in April 1991 indicate a homogeneous distribution of elements within the ISV block. Product quality tests on the core samples show the product to be comparable to previously produced ISV products and comparable in chemical durability to natural analogs. TCLP analysis of the core samples show a low leachability for chromium and lead (quantities of chromium and lead in the leach solution were below detection limits).

This report describes the 116-B-6A crib site, the objectives of the ISV demonstration, the results in terms of the objectives, and the overall process performance.

CONTENTS

SUMMARY	iii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 DESCRIPTION OF ISV TECHNOLOGY	2
2.0 DESCRIPTION OF 116-B-6A CRIB SITE	7
2.1 ESTIMATED CHEMICAL AND RADIOLOGICAL INVENTORY	9
2.2 SITE CHARACTERIZATION DATA	9
2.3 SITE GROUNDWATER CHARACTERIZATION	17
2.4 SITE GEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY	20
3.0 OBJECTIVES	23
4.0 RESULTS	25
4.1 OBJECTIVE 1 - IMMOBILIZATION EFFICIENCY	27
4.1.1 Compositional Analysis of the Glass Product	28
4.1.2 Compositional Analysis of the Scrub Solution	35
4.1.3 Analysis of Off-Gas-Treatment HEPA Filters	36
4.1.4 Overall Immobilization Efficiency	37
4.2 OBJECTIVE 2 - EFFECT OF COMBUSTIBLES ON OFF-GAS TREATMENT SYSTEM	39
4.3 OBJECTIVE 3 - ISV PROCESSING DEPTH	44
4.3.1 Engineering-Scale Testing of the 116-B-6A Crib	45
4.3.2 Depth for the 116-B-6A Large-Scale Test	47
4.3.3 Factors Affecting Melt Depth: Particle Size	48
4.3.4 Factors Affecting Melt Depth: Composition	51
4.3.5 Factors Affecting Melt Depth: Moisture Content	51
4.4 OBJECTIVE 4 - PRODUCT QUALITY	53

5.0	PROCESS PERFORMANCE	57
5.1	OFF-GAS TREATMENT SYSTEM	57
5.2	LARGE-SCALE POWER SYSTEM	58
5.2.1	Power Limitation: Carbon Monoxide Levels	59
5.2.2	Power Limitation: Upper Current Limit	61
5.2.3	Power Limitation: Transformer Temperature Limit	62
5.3	CONTROL OF LATERAL GROWTH: BARRIER WALL	64
6.0	REFERENCES	69
APPENDIX A - 100 AREA MONITORING WELLS, GEOLOGY, HYDROLOGY, AND WATER		
	CHEMISTRY	A.1
APPENDIX B - EVALUATION OF FACTORS THAT MAY INFLUENCE MELT DEPTH AND		
	SHAPE	B.1

FIGURES

1.1 ISV Operating Progression	2
1.2 Aerial View of the Large-Scale ISV Unit	3
1.3 Process Trailers for the Large-Scale ISV Unit	4
1.4 Large-Scale In Situ Vitrification Off-Gas System	5
2.1 116-B-6A Crib in Relation to the Site Marker	7
2.2 Representative Timber Crib Structure	8
2.3 Map of the 116-B-6A Crib Site Showing Borehole and Monitoring Well Locations	11
2.4 Lead Analysis for Borehole 2	16
2.5 X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis for Lead - Boreholes 1, 2, 3	16
2.6 Location of Probes for 1989 Soil Gas Monitoring	18
2.7 Location of 1990 Soil Gas Monitoring Probes Relative to the Off-Gas Hood	19
2.8 Water Table Map of the 100-B Area Measured on February 7, 1989 . . .	21
4.1 Initial Boring Points for Samples from the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Block . . .	29
4.2 Approximate Locations of Samples Taken for Analysis	30
4.3 Temperature Profile of the Off-Gas Hood Roof	40
4.4 Temperature Profile of the Off-Gas Hood Wall	41
4.5 Temperature Profile for the Off-Gas Exit - 45-second Average	42
4.6 Temperature Profile for the Off-Gas Hood Plenum	43
4.7 Off-Gas Hood Vacuum - 45-second Average	44
4.8 Engineering-Scale Test Setup - 116-B-6A Crib Test	46
4.9 Depth Profile for the 116-B-6A Crib Engineering-Scale Test Conducted in January 1989	47
4.10 Depth Profile for 116-B-6A Crib ISV Treatability Test	48
4.11 Cobble Layer Detected During Core Sampling of the ISV Block	50
4.12 Water Balance for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Treatability Test	52

5.1 Standard Volumetric Flowrate through the Off-Gas Treatment Stack .	58
5.2 Power Profile for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Treatability Test	59
5.3 Cumulative Energy Profile for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Treatability Test	60
5.4 Carbon Monoxide Concentration Profile: Off-Gas Stack	61
5.5 Current Profile for the "A" Phase Scott-Tee Transformer Output . .	62
5.6 Air Space Temperature Profile for the Scott-Tee Transformer Winding	63
5.7 Barrier Wall and Cooling System Installation - Plan View	65
5.8 Barrier Wall and Cooling System Installation - Elevation View . . .	66

TABLES

2.1	116-B-6A Crib Waste Inventory	10
2.2	Drill Log Data and Radionuclide Analysis	10
2.3	Drill Log and Field Sample Measurements for the 116-B-6A Crib Characterization	13
2.4	Borehole Chemical Analyses	14
2.5	In Situ Radionuclide Analyses for Boreholes	15
2.6	Soil Gas Samples - Compounds Analyzed	19
4.1	Summary of 116-B-6A ISV Treatability Test Events	25
4.2	Compositional Analysis for Core #2, Run #2, Sample #1	31
4.3	Compositional Analysis for Core #1, Run #14, Sample #3	32
4.4	Compositional Analysis for Core #2, Run #5, Sample #1A	33
4.5	Estimated Content of Nonradioactive Inorganic Elements in the 116-B-6A ISV Block	34
4.6	Radionuclide Concentrations Within the 116-B-6A ISV Block	35
4.7	Pre- and Post-Test Analytical Results for 116-B-6A Scrub Solution .	37
4.8	Selected Immobilization Efficiencies for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Demonstration	38
4.9	MCC-1 Test Results for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Block	54
4.10	TCLP Results for 116-B-6A Block Samples	56

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Pacific Northwest Laboratory^(a) (PNL) conducted a treatability test of the in situ vitrification (ISV) process in April 1990 at the 116-B-6A Crib site in Hanford's 100-B Area. The Hanford Site is located in south-central Washington state. The test was performed under Section 6 of the Office of Solid Waste Emergency Response (OSWER) Directive 9335.3-01 [Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidance for Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) treatability tests under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)]. This ISV treatability test was a technology demonstration and not a remedial action; in accordance with this designation, work to characterize the site was limited to those activities necessary to successfully implement and evaluate the demonstration and to ensure minimal impact of the test on the environment.

In situ vitrification technology was developed by PNL as a means to stabilize contaminated soils in situ without exhuming the soils. More than 100 tests of the ISV process, ranging from laboratory-scale to full-scale tests, have been conducted, generating vitrified blocks from 1 to 720,000 kg. These tests have been conducted on a wide range of actual and simulated waste types and soils, and have repeatedly demonstrated the utility of the ISV process as an effective means of immobilizing hazardous chemical and radioactive contaminated soils. The large-scale test at the 116-B-6A site extends the ISV technology by demonstrating the ISV process on a site containing soil contaminated with heavy metals (chrome and lead) and radionuclides, plus combustible wooden timbers. The objectives of this treatability test were to demonstrate immobilization of the radioactive and hazardous materials, verify the ISV system's capacity for handling combustible material, determine the depth capability of the ISV process, and produce a high-quality glass product comparable in leach resistance to other ISV glass products and high-level waste glass.

(a) Pacific Northwest Laboratory is operated by Battelle Memorial Institute for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830.

1.1 DESCRIPTION OF ISV TECHNOLOGY

In situ vitrification is a thermal treatment process that converts contaminated soil into a chemically inert and stable glass and crystalline product. PNL uses three processing systems (engineering, pilot, and large scale) in their developmental work. New applications for the ISV process and modifications to the operating systems are first tested on the engineering-scale system, then on the pilot- and large-scale systems.

Figure 1.1 illustrates the ISV process. Four electrodes are inserted into the ground to the desired treatment depth. Because soil is not electrically conductive once its moisture is driven off, a conductive mixture of flaked graphite and glass frit is placed among the electrodes to act as a conductive starter path. An electrical current is established in the starter path by applying an electrical potential to the electrodes. The resultant power heats the starter path and surrounding soil up to 2000°C, well above the typical initial melting temperatures of soil (1100°C to 1400°C). The graphite starter path is eventually consumed by oxidation, and the current is transferred to the molten soil, which is now electrically conductive. As the molten zone grows, it incorporates nonvolatile hazardous elements, such as heavy metals, and destroys organic compounds by pyrolysis. The pyrolyzed

FIGURE 1.1. ISV Operating Progression

by-products migrate to the surface of the vitrified zone where they burn in the presence of oxygen. A stainless steel hood placed over the area being vitrified directs the gaseous effluent to an off-gas treatment system.

Figure 1.2 shows the large-scale process equipment used for ISV. Controlled electrical power is distributed to the electrodes, and multiple stage off-gas treatment equipment processes the gaseous effluent. The process equipment required to perform these functions can be divided into six major components consisting of an electrical power supply, off-gas hood, off-gas treatment system, glycol cooling system, process control station, and off-gas support equipment.

Except for the off-gas hood, all the components are contained in three transportable trailers. Figure 1.3 illustrates the three different trailers used in the large-scale ISV system (an off-gas treatment trailer, a process control trailer, and a trailer containing the electrical equipment and glycol cooling system). All three trailers can be transported to any site over graded surfaces. The off-gas hood and off-gas line are dismantled and placed on a flatbed trailer for transport. The entire process is monitored and controlled from the process control station in the process control trailer.

FIGURE 1.2. Aerial View of the Large-Scale ISV Unit

38912018.3

FIGURE 1.3. Process Trailers for the Large-Scale ISV Unit

Figure 1.4 illustrates the systems contained within the off-gas trailer. The system contains dual off-gas treatment trains that can be operated individually or in parallel. The off-gas system is fitted with a gas cooler, two quench towers, hydrosonic tandem nozzle scrubbers, two heat exchangers, three vane-separated mist eliminators, two scrub solution tanks, two pumps, a condenser, a heater, and high-efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters. The

FIGURE 1.4. Large-Scale In Situ Vitrification Off-Gas System

quenchers remove 90% of the particulate and semi-volatile radionuclides; the scrubbers are designed to remove 90% of particulate greater than $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter and condenses the remaining semi-volatile components. The off-gas system is continuously monitored for radionuclides and chemicals at several locations and is controlled from the process control trailer. Typically, operation of the off-gas treatment system, along with the post-test decontamination operations, result in 12 used HEPA filters and approximately 6000 L of scrub solution that will require disposal.

The areas occupied by operating personnel in the off-gas and control trailers are ventilated by their own heating, ventilating, and air conditioning (HVAC) systems and duct work designed to maintain comfortable work conditions. The access aisles in the off-gas trailer are monitored by a continuous air monitor (CAM), both for alpha-bearing contaminants and beta/gamma-bearing contaminants. The process effluent stack is monitored continuously by a CAM unit. In addition, the process off-gas system contains environmental monitors that detect NO_x , SO_x , CO and O_2 .

Figure 1. Large-scale air conditioning system.

The system is designed to provide a constant temperature and humidity environment for the process. The air is circulated by a fan system, and the temperature is controlled by a heating coil. The humidity is controlled by a water spray system. The system is designed to handle a flow rate of 10,000 cfm. The air is drawn from the process area and is then filtered and conditioned before being returned to the process. The system is designed to be flexible and can be adjusted to meet the requirements of different processes. The system is also designed to be energy efficient and to have a long service life.

The system is controlled by a computer system that monitors the temperature and humidity of the air. The computer system can adjust the fan speed and the heating coil output to maintain the desired conditions. The system is also equipped with safety features to prevent overheating and to protect the equipment. The system is designed to be easy to maintain and to have a low operating cost. The system is a key component of the process and is essential for the production of high-quality products.

2.0 DESCRIPTION OF 116-B-6A CRIB SITE

Figure 2.1 shows the crib location in relation to the site marker and the 111-B foundation and pad. The 116-B-6A Crib, located in the 100-B Area of the Hanford Site at Hanford coordinates 68620 north by 80335 west, is an inactive, mixed waste site that historically received liquid radioactive wastes from the 111-B Building. The waste resulted from the decontamination of equipment and fuel element spacers. The 111-B Building has subsequently been leveled and the demolition debris removed, so that only a concrete foundation and loading dock area remain. The waste site was operated from 1951 through 1968, when it was retired.

FIGURE 2.1. 116-B-6A Crib in Relation to the Site Marker

A crib is defined as "an earth-covered structure for liquid disposal, with box(es), horizontal pipe, or uniform gravel to provide distribution and surge space" (Clukey 1956). Initial investigations found conflicting information on the geometry of the 116-B-6A Crib, and detailed drawings could not be located. In a 1954 document on radioactive liquid waste disposal facilities (Clukey 1954), the structure was described as 12-ft square by 8-ft high, buried 6 ft underground. The surface elevation was reported as 474 ft and the bottom elevation as 460 ft (a difference of 14 ft), measurements that agree with the 8-ft crib height plus the 6-ft burial depth. Because of the lack of detailed characterization information, a preliminary site survey using ground-penetrating radar (GPR) was performed to verify the crib boundaries, size, and materials of construction. The GPR survey confirmed the crib dimensions and depth and showed the crib marker to be on the northeast corner of the crib. The crib appeared to be constructed of wooden timbers with rocky backfill, as shown in Figure 2.2. Site characterization drilling in April

FIGURE 2.2. Representative Timber Crib Structure

1989 yielded small pieces of wood in the drilling samples, confirming the presence of timbers.

A search of the records found no conclusive information about the buried pipes that are shown in Figure 2.1. A review of engineering drawings for the adjacent facilities indicated that the lines running in a north-south direction and located just east of the crib are most likely inactive 1.5-in.-diameter water service lines. The pipe that appears to connect the loading dock sump with the crib is probably a drain line used to drain contaminated sump water into the crib. The "dry well" indicated in the figure is actually a steam drain, formerly used to collect and drain process steam and condensate from the building.

2.1 ESTIMATED CHEMICAL AND RADIOLOGICAL INVENTORY

It is estimated that approximately 5000 L of chemical wastes were disposed of in the crib. The principal chemicals disposed are sodium dichromate, sodium oxalate, and sodium sulfamate. The inventory of chemicals and radionuclides, based on historical records, is presented in Table 2.1.

2.2 SITE CHARACTERIZATION DATA

In 1976, a sample hole was drilled into the site about 2-ft south of the crib marker; results from sampling activities are presented in Table 2.2. Essentially no radioactivity was detected in samples obtained from less than 15-ft deep. The lack of radioactivity in those samples supports the fact that the bottom of the crib is reportedly 14 ft below the surface, as activity is typically concentrated in the soil below the crib. Radionuclides were found from 15 to 22.5 ft, and the activity at 25 ft was at background levels.

In April 1989, two additional characterization boreholes were drilled into the crib, and a third was drilled approximately 10-ft north of the crib. The locations of these boreholes (labeled BH-1, BH-2, and BH-3) are shown in Figure 2.3. The boreholes were used to characterize the type, concentration, and vertical and lateral extent of contamination within the zone to be vitrified and to determine the lithology of the area affected by ISV operations. The cable-tool drilling method was used for drilling the three characterization boreholes. Soil samples were collected from the three boreholes at 2-ft intervals. Surface samples were also collected at boreholes

TABLE 2.1. 116-B-6A Crib Waste Inventory

<u>Chemical</u>	<u>Inventory (kg)</u>
Sodium Dichromate ($\text{Na}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$)	50
Sodium Oxalate ($\text{Na}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$)	100
Sodium Sulfamate (NaNH_2SO_3)	100

<u>Radionuclide</u>	<u>Inventory (Ci) (a)</u>
Sr - 90	0.9000
Cs - 137	0.1490
Eu - 155	0.0023
Co - 60	0.0022
Pu - 239	0.0018
U - 238	0.0009
Pu - 240	0.0002
Eu - 152	0.0001
Eu - 154	<u>0.0001</u>
Total -	1.0566

(a) Decayed through 04/01/86.

TABLE 2.2. Drill Log Data and Radionuclide Analysis (1976)

<u>Depth Count</u>		<u>Radionuclides (pCi/g)(a)</u>							
(ft)	(c/m)	⁹⁰ Sr	¹³⁷ Cs	¹⁵⁵ Eu	⁶⁰ Co	^{239/240} Pu	²³⁸ U	¹⁵² Eu	¹⁵⁴ Eu
0	<100	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
5	<100	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
10	<100	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
15	1000	1400	210	15	6.1	3.6	1.0	0.29	0.41
17.5	800	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
20	600	2200	370	8.6	15	3.0	--	--	0.18
22.5	200	34	21	0.87	0.78	0.19	--	--	0.27
25	<100	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

(a) Samples with (--) were not analyzed.

FIGURE 2.3. Map of the 116-B-6A Crib Site Showing Borehole and Monitoring Well Locations

BH-2 and BH-3 using a drive barrel or, during hard-tool drilling, a bailer. The coarseness of the material encountered precluded the use of split-spoon sampling methods. Differences between Tables 2.2 and 2.3 may be attributed to the different sampling locations and the fact that the two sets of data were collected approximately 13 years apart.

The lithology and texture encountered was relatively homogenous at all of the characterization boreholes, consisting of an unconsolidated, poorly sorted, sandy gravel to silty sandy gravel, averaging approximately 50-60% gravel, 30-45% sand, and 5-10% mud (silt and clay). The approximate average petrologic composition was 60-90% basalt and other volcanics, and 10-40% quartz, quartzite, and other silicon-rich rock fragments. Moisture content

analysis of the soil samples did not identify any significant moist zones. The percent moisture ranged from 4% (BH-2, 4 ft) to 15% (BH-2, 8 ft).

Table 2.3 shows the field-measured radioactivity (in counts per minute) and pH of soil samples taken during the 1989 characterization drilling. Geiger-Mueller (GM) instrument readings of the samples indicate that the bulk of the contamination occurs between 6- and 18-ft deep, between the upper and lower confines of the crib. These readings confirm the 1976 results shown in Table 2.2. Table 2.4 gives results from the inorganic chemical analysis for the soil samples that received full EPA Level IV characterization. Selected analysis for radioactive strontium and cesium are also shown in Table 2.5. The concentrations of strontium-90 and cesium-137 are close to that for the 1976 analyses shown in Table 2.2.

Results from in situ gamma-ray logging performed on boreholes 1 and 2 before the ISV test was begun are presented in Table 2.5. This procedure applies to the in situ measurement of radionuclide concentrations in sediments by measuring the intensity of monochromatic photons emitted by those radionuclides. In addition, measurement of the intensity of bremsstrahlung radiation (photon radiation emitted when an electron or beta particle is accelerated in the electric field of a nucleus) from strontium-90 can be used to quantitatively determine its concentration in situ.

Although the waste inventory (see Table 2.1) indicates that chromium should be the primary hazardous waste concern at the site, the soil analyses show that chromium levels in the crib soil were close to the background concentrations of chromium in soil. Nevertheless, the chromium concentrations were high enough to provide data on chromium retention during ISV processing and in the glass product. The soil analyses did indicate, however, that lead is present in concentrations above those found in background soil samples. As with the radioactivity measurements, the lead analyses showed lead to be deposited mainly within the confines of the crib. Analyses of soil samples for sulfamate indicated levels below 3 ppm for the boreholes at all depths, and sulfamate levels below 300 ppm for the "dry well" soil samples. Analyses for oxalate in soil samples from the boreholes indicated levels below 0.5 ppm except between 6 and 12 ft from borehole 3, where levels average 2 ppm. Samples from the "dry well" indicated oxalate levels below 50 ppm. The soil

TABLE 2.3. Drill Log and Field Sample Measurements for the 116-B-6A Crib Characterization (1989)

Depth (ft)	GM Measurements (cpm)	pH	Depth (ft)	GM Measurements (cpm)	pH
BH-1			BH-3		
2.0(a)	Background (<100)	--	Surface	Background	--
4.0	Background	--	2.0(b)	Background	7-8
7.0	150	--	4.0	Background	8
9.5	150	--	6.0	Background	7-8
11.5(c)	2000	8.2	8.0	Background	7-8
14.0	1000	7.8	10.0	Background	7-8
16.0	500	8.3	12.0	Background	--
18.0	500	7.9	14.0	Background	8
20.0	200	8	16.0	Background	8
22.0	200	8.1	18.0	Background	8
24.0	100	7.8	20.0	Background	7-8
			22.0	Background	7-8
			24.0	Background	8
			26.0	background	8
			28.0	Background	8
			30.0	Background	8
BH-2					
Surface	Background	--			
2.0	Background	--			
4.0	Background	--			
6.0	5500	7.3			
8.0	2000	7.2			
10.0(d)	500	7			
12.0	700	8			
14.0	1000	8			
16.0	300	7-8			
18.0	800	9			
20.0	400	7			
22.0	200	12			
24.0	300	11			
26.0	150	10			
28.0	Background	9			

- (a) All pH measurements from BH-1, and the 6- and 8-ft measurements from BH-2, were taken using a portable pH meter. The remaining pH measurements were taken using pH paper.
- (b) Switched to hard tool after 2-ft sample.
- (c) Switched to hard tool after 9-ft sample.
- (d) Switched to hard tool after 11.5-ft sample.

TABLE 2.4. Borehole Chemical Analyses (1989)

	Chemical Analysis (ug/g)								Near-Surface Soil
	BH-1		BH-2				BH-3		
	11.5 ft	6 ft	8 ft	18 ft	22 ft	36 ft	0 ft	14 ft	
Cyanide	<25.0	<25.0	<25.0	<25.0	--				
Silver	2.0	2.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Aluminum	5580	4480	3980	3750	3770	3810	4480	4600	4100
Arsenic	1.2	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Barium	106	70	60	50	50	60	80	70	50
Beryllium	0.3	0.2	0.1	<1.0	0.2	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Calcium	6520	4840	4960	5350	6020	5750	3800	6780	3460
Cadmium	0.21	0.92	0.35	0.19	<0.1	<0.1	0.23	<0.1	<0.1
Cobalt	10	8.0	7.0	9.0	6.0	9.0	8.0	11	6.0
Chromium (hexavalent)	0.1	<0.1	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.2	<0.1	0.1
Chromium	7.0	24.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	7.0	2.0	5.0
Copper	26	92	26	38.0	19	24	16	26	12
Iron	22800	18400	16100	22800	20300	24600	10600	23300	11200
Mercury	0.1	0.13	0.07	0.09	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	0.11
Potassium	980	964	825	569	439	585	968	771	982
Magnesium	3260	2640	2350	2980	2340	2680	2400	3150	2210
Manganese	355	293	259	298	231	282	282	359	311
Sodium	321	247	297	478	405	474	1.9	686	141
Nickel	20	10	<10	10	<10	<10	10	<10	10
Lead	48.0	94.0	56.0	21.0	3.5	1.6	23.0	4.2	16.0
Antimony	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Selenium	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Tin	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
Thallium	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Vanadium	15	13	13	9.0	9.0	16	10	12	12
Zinc	60	2500	1140	40	30	30	70	30	42

TABLE 2.5. In Situ Radionuclide Analyses for Boreholes (pCi/g)

	<u>Depth (ft)</u>	<u>Cs-137</u>	<u>Sr-90</u>	<u>Co-60</u>
Borehole 1	11.5	11	N/A ^(a)	122
	14	574	138	0.76
	16	450	-- ^(b)	N/A
	20	140	--	N/A
Borehole 2	4	3.9	N/A	N/A
	6	3402	N/A	N/A
	8	245	N/A	N/A
	12	N/A	N/A	0.58
	14	787	162	N/A
	18	394	N/A	N/A
	20	169	--	N/A
	26	16	--	N/A
Borehole 3	28	10.4	N/A	N/A
	20	2.3	--	N/A

(a) N/A indicates not analyzed.

(b) -- indicates below detection limit.

analyses for oxalate and sulfamate confirmed the disposal of oxalate and sulfamate into the crib, and suggested that oxalate has migrated outside of the crib over time.

Figure 2.4 shows results from the lead analysis for borehole 2. The highest lead concentration is near the top of the crib (6 to 8 ft), and then the concentration drops to background levels below 20 ft. There also appears to be a concentration spike at 18 ft, near the bottom of the crib. The figure shows both PNL x-ray fluorescence results and results for the Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) Method 239.2. The x-ray fluorescence method measures total lead (both soluble and insoluble), whereas the CLP analysis measures only acid-soluble lead. Figure 2.5 illustrates a comparison of the lead concentration values for each of the three borehole locations. Except for a spike at the surface, lead concentrations for borehole 3 are at background (the lead concentration spike at the surface may be attributed to other characterization activities under way in the area).

FIGURE 2.4. Lead Analysis for Borehole 2

FIGURE 2.5. X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis for Lead - Boreholes 1, 2, 3

The lateral distribution of the 116-B-6A plume appears to be limited. Borehole 3, which was placed 10 ft outside the crib, produced soil samples that did not show any radioactive contamination or elevated concentrations of chemical constituents to the maximum depth of 36 ft.

Soil samples were also taken at the steam trap and background soil samples were taken from two near-surface locations near the site. Samples were collected from the steam trap using a manually operated hand auger. The background soil samples collected from the near surface were obtained at depths of 1 to 1.4 ft at the locations using a shovel. None of these samples showed radioactive contamination or elevated levels of hazardous chemicals.

Soil gas monitoring was performed on the area surrounding the crib to monitor for volatile organic compounds in the soil. Samples were taken in June 1989, February and March 1990, and during the April 1990 test. Figure 2.6 illustrates the location of the soil gas probes used for the sampling performed in 1989. The probes were placed at the perimeter; 40 and 80 ft from the crib on the north, south, and east sides of the crib; and at the perimeter and at 40 ft from the crib on the west side of the crib. The soil gas was analyzed for the ten chemicals shown in Table 2.6. Results from the 1989 sampling show that concentrations in all four samples adjacent to the crib were below the detection limits for all ten chemicals. Traces of trichloroethylene (TCE) at concentrations slightly above detection limits were found in three soil samples north and south of the crib and in one sample east of the crib. The soil gas samples collected in 1989 indicate that no significant amounts of volatile organics are present at the site. Figure 2.7 illustrates the location of the soil gas probes used for the sampling performed in 1990. TCE was observed in samples from the north probe locations and from the two east probe locations closest to the off-gas containment hood. The TCE may be due to surface contamination from other activities in the area.

2.3 SITE GROUNDWATER CHARACTERIZATION

Prior to the ISV technology demonstration test, there were eight existing groundwater monitoring wells in the 100-B Area. All but one of these wells monitor the upper portion of the unconfined aquifer. The locations and monitoring intervals of these wells are provided in Appendix A. The well nearest the 116-B-6A Crib is Well 199-B4-4, approximately 350 ft away from the crib. Wells 199-B9-1 and 199-B4-3 are approximately 1200 and 1250 ft away

FIGURE 2.6. Location of Probes for 1989 Soil Gas Monitoring

Detection Limit

Compound	(µg/ml)
Benzene	0.2
Toluene	0.2
Xylenes	0.2
Octane	0.2
1,1,1. Trichloroethane	0.01
1,1 Dichloroethane	0.05
Trichloroethylene	0.01
Perchloroethylene	0.0002
Trans Dichloroethylene	0.5
Cis Dichloroethylene	0.3
1,1 Dichloroethylene	1.0
Carbon tetrachloride	0.002
Chloroform	0.05
Methylene Chloride	2.0

FIGURE 2.7. Location of 1990 Soil Gas Monitoring Probes Relative to the Off-Gas Hood

from the crib, respectively. Appendix A also gives a summary of the groundwater quality data from these monitoring wells from 1984 to 1988.

In addition to the existing groundwater monitoring wells, three groundwater monitoring wells were installed in the immediate vicinity of the test site. These wells, labeled MW-1, MW-2, and MW-3 and shown in Figure 2.3, were used to monitor the effects (if any) of the ISV process on the chemical composition of the groundwater immediately adjacent to the vitrification site. The wells were installed prior to the ISV demonstration and were developed according to Washington State Department of Ecology guidelines for groundwater monitoring wells. Samples taken prior to the ISV demonstration, along with historical groundwater quality data collected over the past five years from existing wells in the 100-B Area, were used to establish baseline water quality levels. Analyses of groundwater samples conducted following vitrification were compared to these baseline levels to determine the impact of vitrification processing on the groundwater. This comparison is discussed in Section 5.0.

2.4 SITE GEOLOGY AND HYDROLOGY

The site geology consists of three distinct geologic units: the Columbia River Basalt Group, the Ringold Formation, and the Hanford Formation. Geologic cross sections and characterization data obtained during installation of the monitoring wells are shown in Appendix A.

The unconfined aquifer in the 100-B Area is contained in the sands and gravel of the undifferentiated Ringold Formation/Hanford Formation. The depth to the water table in the 100-B Area ranges from approximately 41 to 93 ft below land surface. The elevation of the water table ranges from approximately 395 to 401 ft above mean sea level. A water table map of the 100-B Area and surrounding 600 Area was constructed using data collected on February 7, 1989, and is shown in Figure 2.8. The precise direction of groundwater flow beneath the 116-B-6A Crib is unknown. Figure 2.8 suggests that it is predominantly northward.

FIGURE 2.8. Water Table Map of the 100-B Area Measured on February 7, 1989

3.0 OBJECTIVES

A treatability test demonstration of the large-scale ISV process was performed at the 116-B-6A Crib site in April 1990 using the existing DOE large-scale ISV equipment. This test was a technology demonstration, not a remedial action. The objectives of the test were as follows:

1. Demonstrate at least 99% immobilization efficiency of hazardous metals and radionuclides in the vitrified, contaminated soil. This efficiency was to be determined on the basis of the total waste inventory in the site that is vitrified. Specifically, the efficiency of the process was to be determined by comparing the amount of contaminant in the final vitrified block with the total inventory processed (the sum of levels in the ISV block and in the off-gas treatment system).
2. Demonstrate the ability of the ISV off-gas treatment system to process a soil containing significant quantities of combustible materials. Performance against this objective was to be determined by the ability of the system to perform within equipment design specifications during vitrification of the wooden crib support structure. The site contained an estimated 190 to 250 ft³ (6000 to 9000 lb) of wood.
3. Demonstrate the ability of ISV to vitrify the site to depths that are practical within the context of actual waste site remediation work. Performance was to be determined on the basis of post-test drilling and analysis to determine both the vertical and lateral extent of the vitrified zone. The primary measure of success was to vitrify the site to a depth at which radionuclide contamination dropped to a level below background soil concentrations, i.e., about 20-ft deep.
4. Produce a high-quality glass product comparable in leach resistance to previous ISV glass products and high-level waste glass. The ISV test was to be considered successful if the normalized element release rates for strontium, cesium, lead, and chromium from the glass product were in the range of rates for other ISV glasses.

A preliminary test demonstration of the proposed test was performed at the 1000-psi level in April 1950 using the existing CO₂ test cell. This test was a failure, no demonstration, and a detailed report of the test was as follows:

The purpose of this test was to determine the effect of the test cell on the test results. The test was performed at the 1000-psi level using the existing CO₂ test cell. The test was a failure, no demonstration, and a detailed report of the test was as follows:

The purpose of this test was to determine the effect of the test cell on the test results. The test was performed at the 1000-psi level using the existing CO₂ test cell. The test was a failure, no demonstration, and a detailed report of the test was as follows:

The purpose of this test was to determine the effect of the test cell on the test results. The test was performed at the 1000-psi level using the existing CO₂ test cell. The test was a failure, no demonstration, and a detailed report of the test was as follows:

The purpose of this test was to determine the effect of the test cell on the test results. The test was performed at the 1000-psi level using the existing CO₂ test cell. The test was a failure, no demonstration, and a detailed report of the test was as follows:

4.0 RESULTS

Table 4.1 provides a chronological summary of events associated with this test. Processing of the 116-B-6A Crib began 11 April 1990 at 2:30 p.m. and ended 23 April 1990 at 2:25 p.m., a total run time of about 288 hours. As shown in Table 4.1, there were a significant number of time periods for the test during which power was not supplied to the electrodes. The impact of these down times will be discussed in greater detail in the following sections. However, it should be noted that many of the causes for these down times have been remedied, either through changes in the operating procedures or improvements in the system itself.

The large-scale run reached a depth of 14 ft from grade, producing an ISV block between 35 and 40 ft in diameter, approximately 12 ft high, and weighing between 800 and 900 tons. After cooling for a year, two sets of core samples from the block were collected and used for compositional analyses and product quality testing. The following sections summarize the test results in terms of the objectives described in section 3.0.

TABLE 4.1. Summary of 116-B-6A ISV Treatability Test Events

Date	Time	Run Time, hours	Event
4/11/90	1432	0.00	Start of 116-B-6A Crib ISV treatability test. Power to the electrodes initially at 40 kW per phase.
	2017	5.75	First observation of molten soil around electrodes.
	2220	7.80	Process control system shut down scrub system 1 and started scrub system 2. High DP recorded in filter housing. Power shut down for 20 minutes before restart.
	2320	8.80	The stack CO level exceeded 500 ppm for approximately 1 hour. Concentration then decreased to 425 ppm.
4/12/90	0200	11.47	CO level up to 3000 ppm. Total power decreased from 440 kW to 300 kW to slow event causing high levels.
	0945	19.22	HEHF personnel provided CO sampling. No CO found in off-gas trailer, control trailer, office trailer, or outside electrical trailer.
4/13/90	1826	27.90	Total power increased to 2.6 MW.
	0020	33.83	CO levels up to 5000 ppm, power shut down.

TABLE 4.1. (contd)

Date	Time	Run Time, hours	Event
4/13/90	0610	39.67	Concluded that CO readings were artificially high due to miscalibration of equipment. CO levels to be monitored by a portable unit. Power back on at 720 kW.
	1000	43.50	Power off to electrodes to replace scrub pump number one.
	1115	44.75	Power on at 823 kW.
	2315	56.75	CO levels increased to 7200. Power to electrodes shut down. Primary blower automatically shut down, causing back-up blower to engage. Back-up blower disengaged when primary blower back on line. When the CO levels decreased to 1666 ppm, power re-established to electrodes.
4/14/90	0118	58.80	CO levels reached 9000 ppm, power off to electrodes.
	0325	60.92	Power on at 1500 kW after providing fresh air to stack monitors.
	0440	62.17	High filter OP alarm disengaged power to electrodes. Power back on within five minutes.
	0451	62.35	Power off to electrode to change HEPA filters.
	1230	70.00	Power on to electrodes.
	1332	71.03	Main breaker tripped twice when closed at the operator interface unit. Racked out breaker and went to a lower power tap. No explanation why the main breaker tripped.
	1725	74.92	CO levels reached 9341 ppm, reduced power to 800 kW per phase.
	1755	75.42	Increased total power to 2.3 MW after CO levels decreased to 7500 ppm.
4/15/90	2124	78.90	B-Phase tripped. Power down for five minutes. Decision made to limit power input.
	0015	81.75	Total power level increased to 3.0 MW.
	0328	84.80	Strong flaring observed in the off-gas hood. Melt surface appears more dynamic. CO levels at 7800 ppm.
	0600	87.33	Electrodes observed to be oxidizing down at the ground interface about 2-4 in.
	1410	95.50	B Phase tripped, shutting down power. Power re-established after breakers closed.
4/16/90	1945	101.08	Electrodes observed to have oxidized down to approximately a 2-in. dia. at about 1-2 in. above the melt interface.
	1640	122.00	3750 kVA transformer racked out to perform temperature check. All temperatures within limits.
	1745	123.08	B-Phase tripped, power down. Breaker reset and power on within five minutes.

TABLE 4.1. (contd)

<u>Date</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Run Time, hours</u>	<u>Event</u>
4/17/90	0335	130.92	Batch logic de-energized electrodes and started parallel scrubbing system. Hood vacuum increased to 1.3-in. water column. Power restored within five minutes.
	0340	131.00	Process control system de-energized electrodes due to wet HEPA alarm. Power back on at 0440.
	0800	135.33	All electrodes observed to be showing bare molybdenum. Graphite collar has been oxidized away.
	1045	138.08	Power shut down to replace NW electrode. HEPA filters were also changed.
	1745	145.08	Power back on at 2.7 MW, total.
	2145	149.08	Power shut down to electrodes due to high OP across the HEPAs. Power back on at 2200.
4/18/90	0400	155.33	Process control unit 2, multi-functional controller 3 temporarily failed, causing false data reading in almost every area. All alarms acknowledged and in about 30 seconds, all data values appeared normal. Power turned back on to electrodes.
	0900	160.33	Power reduced to 2.0 MW due to high C Phase amperage. Tap changes made and power increased.
	1100	162.33	Powered down temporarily to lower NW electrode. Power increased at 1230.
	1523	166.72	Cold cap observed over the entire melt.
4/19/90	1050	189.17	Power off to electrodes. Started replacement activities for SW electrode.
	1650	195.17	Power back on at 3.1 MW, total.
	1700	195.34	Lowered NW electrode approximately 17 in., electrode now at 11 ft depth.
4/20/90	1329	215.82	Power off to electrodes to place metal shoring plates on the north and east sides of the off-gas hood to prevent subsidence.
4/21/90	1930	221.84	Power on to electrodes.
4/22/90	1054	261.23	Power off to electrodes to change out gas bubbler and lower NW and SW electrodes.
	1300	263.33	Power on to electrodes.
4/23/90	1010	284.50	Power off to lower electrodes.
	1142	286.03	Power on to electrodes.
	1425	288.75	Power off to electrodes. End of test.

4.1 OBJECTIVE 1 - IMMOBILIZATION EFFICIENCY

An advantage of ISV over other in situ technologies is its ability to treat inorganic materials such as hazardous metals and radionuclides. The ISV process encapsulates the majority of hazardous metals and radionuclides within

the glass matrix and minimizes the extent to which these materials enter the off-gas treatment system. To this end, an objective of this test was to demonstrate at least 99% immobilization efficiency of hazardous metals and radionuclides in the vitrified contaminated soil--specifically, of the total amount of metals and radionuclides treated by the ISV process, at least 99% of the material would be retained in the ISV block.

Samples from the ISV melt were collected for use in compositional and durability analyses of the vitrified material. The Environmental Field Services Group of Westinghouse Hanford Company obtained samples from the vitrified mass during April 1991. Figure 4.1 illustrates the two drilling locations for the cores drilled into the ISV block. Samples of the vitrified mass were taken from selected points along the cores. Diamond bits were used during drilling operations, and drilling progressed at an average penetration rate of 0.5 in./min for both cores. A split barrel assembly was used to allow easy recovery of the ISV block samples.

Figure 4.2 illustrates the approximate locations from which various ISV block samples were collected for use in compositional and/or durability testing. The run numbers depicted in the figure correspond to individual penetrations of the drill into the vitrified block. Core number 1 required more runs in the early stages of the drilling operation because of unusually slow cutting. Observations of the actual samples did not indicate any apparent difference between samples collected during the early runs and those of later runs. Core #1 was 19.1-ft long and core #2 was 19.13-ft long.

4.1.1 Compositional Analysis of the Glass Product

Tables 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 contain results from the compositional analysis for samples taken from core #2, run #2; core #1, run #14; and core #2, run #5, respectively. The samples were analyzed by PNL's Analytical Chemistry Laboratory. Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) spectroscopy was used to identify the metals present within the samples. Prior to measurement by ICP, the samples were first prepared using either a KOH fusion or acid digestion technique. The KOH fusion technique provides a better identification of the major constituents, while the acid digestion technique leads to better resolution of minor impurities. As shown by the data from Tables 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4, silicon is the most prevalent element present in the ISV block.

NOT TO SCALE

LEGEND

- Electrodes
- △ Sample Boring Location (arrow designates direction)
- 1 Sample boring is 40.5 degrees from horizontal with a vitrified mass penetration depth of 19.10 feet
- 2 Sample boring is 30.5 degrees from horizontal with a vitrified mass penetration depth of 19.13 feet
- A - 3.2 ft; B - 5.5 ft
- C - 2.4 ft; D - 5.0 ft
- E - 3.0 ft

FIGURE 4.1. Initial Boring Points for Samples from the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Block. ISV block contour shown is observed boundary at the surface. Detail of the melt boundary below grade is not available.

FIGURE 4.2. Approximate Locations of Samples Taken for Analysis

The prevalence of silicon within the block is not surprising since it is the major constituent of the soil and rocks incorporated into the ISV melt. In addition, elemental weight percentages for the three samples were very similar, indicating a relatively homogeneous distribution of material within the block.

Two elements of particular interest were lead and chromium. Both are included on the EPA's target analyte list and were found within the confines of the 116-B-6A Crib during pre-test site characterization activities. PNL's Analytical Chemistry Laboratory analyzed the samples for lead and chromium using atomic adsorption (AA) spectroscopy for the metal analysis after preparing the samples by an acid digestion technique (interference by other metals during an ICP analysis makes AA the method of choice when analyzing for chromium and lead). Results from the AA analysis indicate metal weight percents of 0.0018% and 0.0040% for lead and chromium, respectively. In addition, the amounts of lead and chromium in the three samples analyzed were consistent with each other, indicating a homogeneous distribution of these elements in the ISV block.

TABLE 4.2. Compositional Analysis for Core #2, Run #2, Sample #1

	KOH Fusion	Normalized Weight %	HF:HNO ₃ Digestion	Normalized Weight %	Combined Results	Normalized Weight %
Al ₂ O ₃	15	14.91	15	14.12	15	14.507
B ₂ O ₃	0.01	0.010	- -	- -	0.01	0.010
BaO	0.061	0.061	0.072	0.068	0.067	0.064
CaO	5.9	5.865	6.2	5.838	6.05	5.851
CeO ₂	0.008	0.008	- -	- -	0.008	0.008
Co ₂ O ₃	0.008	0.008	0.006	0.006	0.007	0.007
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.004	0.004	- -	- -	0.004	0.004
CuO	0.002	0.002	- -	- -	0.002	0.002
Fe ₂ O ₃	9.3	9.245	9.3	8.757	9.3	8.994
Li ₂ O	0.017	0.017	- -	- -	0.017	0.016
MgO	2.9	2.883	3.1	2.919	3.0	2.901
MnO ₂	0.16	0.159	0.18	0.169	0.17	0.164
Na ₂ O	4.4	4.374	3.4	3.202	3.9	3.772
P ₂ O ₅	0.3	0.298	0.3	0.282	0.3	0.290
PbO	0.02	0.020	- -	- -	0.02	0.019
SiO ₂	61	60.63	67	63.089	64	61.89
SrO	0.047	0.047	0.055	0.052	0.051	0.049
TiO ₂	1.4	1.392	1.5	1.412	1.45	1.402
V ₂ O ₃	0.03	0.030	0.03	0.028	0.03	0.029
Y ₂ O ₃	0.003	0.003	- -	- -	0.003	0.003
ZnO	0.01	0.010	- -	- -	0.01	0.010
ZrO ₂	0.03	0.030	0.02	0.019	0.025	0.024
SUM	100.6	100.0	106.2	100.0	103.4	100.0

- - Indicates below detection limits.

TABLE 4.3. Compositional Analysis for Core #1, Run #14, Sample #3

	KOH Fusion	Normalized Weight %	HF:HNO ₃ Digestion	Normalized Weight %	Combined Results	Normalized Weight %
Al ₂ O ₃	15	15	15	13.575	15	14.27
B ₂ O ₃	0.023	0.023	- -	- -	0.023	0.022
BaO	0.058	0.058	0.075	0.068	0.067	0.063
CaO	7.4	7.4	8.8	7.964	8.1	7.700
CeO ₂	0.01	0.01	- -	- -	0.01	0.010
Co ₂ O ₃	0.008	0.008	0.01	0.009	0.009	0.009
Cr ₂ O ₃	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
CuO	0.004	0.004	- -	- -	0.004	0.004
Fe ₂ O ₃	13	13	14	12.670	13.5	12.84
K ₂ O			1	0.905	0.905	0.905
Li ₂ O	0.015	0.015	0.001	0.001	0.008	0.008
MgO	3.5	3.5	4.2	3.801	3.85	3.660
MnO ₂	0.2	0.2	0.26	0.235	0.23	0.219
MoO ₃	0.003	0.003	- -	- -	0.003	0.003
Na ₂ O	3.7	3.7	3	2.715	3.35	3.184
P ₂ O ₅	0.41	0.41	0.5	0.452	0.455	0.433
PbO	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.018	0.02	0.019
SiO ₂	54.3	54.3	61	55.204	57.65	54.83
SrO	0.034	0.034	0.044	0.040	0.037	0.035
TiO ₂	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.262	2.231	2.122
V ₂ O ₃	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.045	0.043	0.041
Y ₂ O ₃	0.004	0.004	- -	- -	0.004	0.004
ZnO	0.02	0.02	- -	- -	0.02	0.019
ZrO ₂	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.027	0.029	0.028
SUM	100.0	100.0	110.5	100.0	105.2	100.0

- - Indicates below detection limit.

TABLE 4.4. Compositional Analysis for Core #2, Run #5, Sample #1A

	KOH Fusion	Normalized Weight %	HF:HNO ₃ Digestion	Normalized Weight %	Combined Results	Normalized Weight %
Al ₂ O ₃	15	15	14	13.646	14.500	14.285
B ₂ O ₃	0.029	0.029	0	0.000	0.029	0.029
BaO	0.062	0.062	0.072	0.070	0.067	0.066
CaO	7.5	7.5	8.2	7.993	7.850	7.734
CeO ₂	0.01	0.01	- -	- -	0.010	0.010
Co ₂ O ₃	0.008	0.008	0.01	0.010	0.009	0.009
Cr ₂ O ₃	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
CuO	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.004
Fe ₂ O ₃	14	14	13	12.671	13.500	13.300
K ₂ O			1	0.975	.975	0.975
Li ₂ O	0.036	0.036	- -	- -	0.036	0.037
MgO	3.6	3.6	3.9	3.801	3.750	3.695
MnO ₂	0.21	0.21	0.24	0.234	0.225	0.222
MoO ₃	0.003	0.003	- -	- -	0.003	0.003
Na ₂ O	4	4	2.8	2.729	3.400	3.350
P ₂ O ₅	0.42	0.42	0.5	0.487	0.460	0.453
PbO	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.029	0.020	0.020
Sb ₂ O ₃	- -	- -	0.01	0.010	0.010	0.010
SiO ₂	52.8	52.8	56.3	54.876	54.150	53.349
SrO	0.036	0.036	0.041	0.040	0.039	0.038
TiO ₂	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.339	2.300	2.266
V ₂ O ₃	0.045	0.045	0.05	0.049	0.048	0.047
Y ₂ O ₃	0.004	0.004	- -	- -	0.004	0.004
ZnO	0.02	0.02	- -	- -	0.020	0.020
ZrO ₂	0.039	0.039	0.03	0.029	0.035	0.034
SUM	100.0	100.0	102.6	100.0	101.5	100.0

- - Indicates below detection limit.

Table 4.5 provides an estimate of the total nonradioactive element content of the 116-B-6A ISV block. This estimate is based on the average weight percent for each element within the three glass samples and an estimated mass for the monolith of 750,000 kg, or about 850 tons. The estimated mass for each element listed in Table 4.5 is based on the element being in the oxide form. Because of the nature of the ISV block, the oxide form is the most probable state for the elements contained within the block.

Radionuclide concentrations were not used in the listings in Tables 4.2 through 4.4 for normalization of the weight percent for each element within the ISV block. Radiochemical analysis of samples from the ISV block was performed by PNL's Analytical Chemistry Laboratory. Concentrations were determined as follows: total uranium by laser fluorimetry, total plutonium by

TABLE 4.5. Estimated Content of Nonradioactive Inorganic Elements in the 116-B-6A ISV Block

<u>Inorganic Element</u>	<u>Estimated Amount of Oxide Form (kg)</u>
Aluminum	107,655
Boron	128
Barium	483
Calcium	53,213
Cesium	70
Cobalt	63
Chromium	14
Copper	25
Iron	87,835
Lead	30
Lithium	152
Magnesium	25,640
Manganese	1,513
Molybdenum	15
Phosphorous	2,940
Potassium	3,670
Silicon	425,173
Sodium	25,765
Strontium	305
Titanium	14,475
Vanadium	293
Yttrium	28
Zinc	123
Zirconium	215
TOTAL	749,823

liquid extraction followed by alpha analysis, strontium-90 by liquid extraction and beta analysis, and cesium-137 and cobalt-60 by gamma analysis. Results from the radiochemical analysis are presented in Table 4.6. Except for uranium, the concentrations of radionuclides detected were below, or very close to, instrumentation detection limits. In addition, the radionuclides present were distributed uniformly in the ISV block.

Based on a mass of 750,000 kg for the ISV block, the estimated radioactive content within the ISV block would be as follows: 0.54 mCi of U, 80.63 mCi of Cs-137, and 2,157.50 mCi of K-40. Estimated values were not determined for Pu, Sr-90, and Co-60 since the measured values were below detection limits.

4.1.2 Compositional Analysis of the Scrub Solution

Because the ISV process operates at a high temperature (typical melt temperatures are about 1700°C), a portion of the volatile and semi-volatile inorganic elements is released to off-gas stream. In addition, inorganic material may attach to particulate that becomes entrained in the off-gas stream. The off-gas treatment system collects the inorganic material from the off-gas stream by scrubbing the gas stream with a caustic water solution. The water both cools the off-gas stream so that volatilized material is condensed into the liquid phase and traps particulate into the liquid phase. Water containing the inorganic material, referred to as a scrub solution, is collected in two tanks within the off-gas treatment system and then disposed of at the end of the test.

TABLE 4.6. Radionuclide Concentrations Within the 116-B-6A ISV Block

<u>Sample Identification</u>	<u>Uranium μg/g</u>	<u>Plutonium DPM/g</u>	<u>Sr-90 DPM/g</u>	<u>Cs-137 μCi/g</u>	<u>K-40 μCi/g</u>	<u>Co-60 μCi/g</u>
Core #2, Run #2, Sample #1	1.8	<2.2E2	<3.1E4	<2.9E-5	2.77E-3	<2.8E-5
Core #1, Run #14, Sample #3	2.5	<2.0E2	<3.2E4	9.5E-5	2.85E-3	<1.8E-5
Core #2, Run #5, Sample #1A	2.1	<2.5E2	<3.1E4	1.2E-4	3.01E-3	<3.3E-5
Blank	<0.6	<3.1E4	<2.6E2	<2.5E-5	2.82E-3	<2.2E-5

The scrub solution was analyzed before and after the 116-B-6A Crib test by Controls for Environmental Pollution (CEP, Sante Fe, NM) so the amount of material collected during the test could be determined. Results from CEP's analysis for nonradioactive metals are presented in Table 4.7. In terms of mass, only the amount of sodium increased appreciably between pre- and post-test sampling. The sodium increase is not unexpected since sodium hydroxide is added to the water to make the solution caustic (acid gases from the ISV melt make the scrub solution acidic). The accumulation of the other metals present, except arsenic, likely can be attributed to the ISV melt. The source of arsenic is unclear at this time since soil samples and samples from the ISV block were not found to contain arsenic.

CEP also performed the radiochemical analysis of the final scrub solution samples taken after the completion of the test. Based on a final scrub solution volume of 3754 L, the final radioactive content of the scrub solution was: Cs-137, 6.83 μCi ; Co-60, 0.04 μCi ; tritium, 8.87 μCi ; alpha, 0.19 μCi ; and beta, 10.36 μCi . Relative to historical records for the 116-B-6A site, the amount of radioactivity that was volatilized or otherwise transported to the scrub solution was minimal (see Table 2.1 for the historically based estimate of the 116-B-6A Crib contents). In addition, the amount of radioactivity in the scrub solution is negligible compared to estimates of the amount of radioactivity retained in the ISV block.

4.1.3 Analysis of Off-Gas-Treatment HEPA Filters

As a final control to prevent the release of radioactive or hazardous metal-laden particulate to the environment, the off-gas treatment system is equipped with HEPA filters before the point at which the off-gas stream exits the system. Nondestructive assays using high-purity germanium detectors were used to measure the radioactivity contained within the filters. Each HEPA filter was scanned on both sides and the activity recorded was averaged for the two measurements. Cs-137 was the only radionuclide present above background. The total estimated amount of Cs-137 contained within the HEPA filters was 1.67 μCi . Of the radionuclides present in the 116-B-6A Crib, cesium is the most volatile, so it is not surprising that only cesium was detected above background levels.

TABLE 4.7. Pre- and Post-Test Analytical Results for 116-B-6A Scrub Solution

Inorganic Metal	Pre-test		Post-test		Accumulated grams
	Conc. mg/L	Amount ¹ grams	Conc. mg/L	Amount ² grams	
Aluminum	0.1	0.22	0.1	0.18	(0.04)
Arsenic	2.3	4.97	15.4	28.47	23.50
Barium	0.1	0.22	<0.1	0.18	(0.04)
Calcium	8.4	18.14	7.8	14.42	(3.72)
Cobalt	<0	0.00	0.2	0.36	0.36
Chromium	0.0	0.00	0.2	0.36	0.36
Copper	0.0	0.00	1.5	2.77	2.77
Iron	0.4	0.86	91.4	169.00	168.14
Lead	3.4	7.34	5.2	9.61	2.27
Magnesium	2.9	6.26	1.7	3.14	(3.12)
Manganese	0.1	0.22	0.7	1.29	1.07
Mercury	0.1	0.22	1.3	2.40	2.18
Nickel	0.1	0.22	1.1	2.03	1.81
Potassium	7.8	16.85	9.3	17.20	0.35
Selenium	0.1	0.22	0.1	0.18	(0.04)
Silver	<0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00
Sodium	86.5	186.84	12600.0	23297.40	23110.56
Thallium	0.5	1.08	4.8	8.88	7.80
Tin	<0.1	0.22	2.2	4.07	3.85
Vanadium	<0.1	0.22	2.2	4.07	3.85
Zinc	45.1	97.42	920.0	1701.08	1603.66

¹ Based on 2160 L scrub solution.

² Based on 1849 L scrub solution.

4.1.4 Overall Immobilization Efficiency

Using the results from the analysis of the final vitrified product, the off-gas treatment scrub solutions, and the HEPA filters, the immobilization efficiency of the ISV process for contaminants of interest may be determined. The immobilization efficiency is determined by comparing the amount of a contaminant immobilized in the ISV block to the total amount present in the block, scrub solution, and HEPA filters. Table 4.8 illustrates the immobilization efficiency for compounds that showed a significant inventory in the final ISV block. Of note is the immobilization efficiency observed for lead, chromium, and cesium-137 (>99.9%). These elements were of concern

TABLE 4.8. Selected Immobilization Efficiencies for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Demonstration. Percent immobilized equals the amount present in the ISV block divided by the total amount found in the ISV block, scrub solution, and HEPA filters.

Compound	ISV Block	Scrub Solution	HEPA	% Immobilized
Chromium	9.58 (kg)	0.00036 (kg)	NA	99.996
Iron	61434.78 (kg)	0.16814 (kg)	NA	99.999
Lead	27.85 (kg)	0.00227 (kg)	NA	99.992
Manganese	956.11 (kg)	0.00107 (kg)	NA	99.999
Zinc	98.83 (kg)	1.60366 (kg)	NA	98.403
Cesium-137	80.60 (mCi)	0.00683 (mCi)	0.00167 (mCi)	99.989

because of the potential toxicity of lead and chromium and the volatile nature of cesium-137.

The relatively low immobilization efficiency (=98%) for zinc is unexpected since zinc compounds are of a nonvolatile nature. The calculated amounts of zinc in the ISV block and scrub solution as listed in Table 4.8 suggest that this low efficiency value is due to the unusually high amount of zinc found in the scrub solution. The source for this zinc is not believed to be the ISV melt, and may be the impurities in the caustic solution or the material resolubilized from the scrub tanks present from previous tests after the demonstration was initiated.

The immobilization efficiencies listed in Table 4.8 demonstrate the utility of the ISV process as a remedial action tool that effectively captures inorganic contaminants in a final waste form, the ISV block. The quality of the final waste form will be discussed in the section on product quality (section 4.4). However, although the calculated immobilization efficiencies are very good, the amount of contaminants captured in the ISV block is only a fraction of the total estimated inventory of the 116-B-6A Crib. The crib contents were not completely processed because the vitrification depth was only 14 ft rather than the targeted 20 ft. The reasons for this shortfall will be discussed in the section on processing depth (section 4.3). Based on the estimated waste inventory for the 116-B-6A Crib as shown in Table 2.1, it is estimated that 57.5% of the chromium and 54.1% of the cesium-137 was treated by the ISV process.

4.2 OBJECTIVE 2 - EFFECT OF COMBUSTIBLES ON OFF-GAS TREATMENT SYSTEM

The off-gas confinement hood for the ISV process is designed to direct gases and particulate evolved from the melt surface into an off-gas treatment system (see Figures 1.3 and 1.4 for details). An objective of this treatability test was to demonstrate the ability of the ISV off-gas treatment system to process soil containing significant quantities of combustible materials. Since the equipment has upper temperature and off-gas flowrate limits, dictated by the design specifications, there is a potential to reach those limits when processing a region with significant amounts of combustibles. The 116-B-6A Crib was an ideal test for the system since the crib is composed of a significant amount of timbers and has a 6-in. solid layer of timbers that acts as a lid (see Figure 2.2).

Pretest calculations estimated that the highest temperature in the off-gas hood would occur during processing of the crib lid, which was located approximately 6 ft below grade. Based on a rate of destruction of 8.19 cm/hr (determined by engineering-scale studies), it was estimated that processing of the crib lid would require 2 hours and would result in off-gas and hood temperatures of 290°C and 285°C, respectively. These calculated temperatures are well within the 500°C design criteria for the off-gas hood and the 750°C upper limit for the off-gas temperature.

Figure 4.3 illustrates the average^(a) roof temperature for the off-gas hood and shows that predictions were accurate and that there was no significant rise in temperature during the time period that the crib roof was being processed. This confirms the ability of the ISV process to handle contaminated soils with high combustible loadings.

Depth monitoring devices placed into the boreholes before beginning the treatability test indicated that the ISV melt reached 6 ft in depth (the top of the 116-B-6A Crib lid) about 62 hours into the test. Section 4.3 discusses the methods for measuring depth in greater detail. The temperature of the off-gas hood roof did not increase during this time period but did approach 320°C later in the test, probably because of the normal steady-state heat transfer of the process.

(a) The roof temperature of the off-gas hood is measured at four different locations. The value illustrated in Figure 4.3 is the average of these four measurements.

FIGURE 4.3. Temperature Profile of the Off-Gas Hood Roof

Before processing the wooden crib lid, the temperature of the off-gas hood roof approached 300°C twice, but subsequently decreased to 100°C. A comparison of the events listed in Table 4.1 with the increases and decreases in temperature of the off-gas hood roof shows a strong correlation between the trend in power level and the trend in hood roof temperature. Figure 4.4 illustrates the average^(a) temperature of the off-gas hood wall and supports the trends observed for the off-gas hood roof. Temperatures on the off-gas hood walls were generally lower because of their distance from the melt and the lesser influence of radiant heat transfer from the surface of the ISV melt.

(a) The wall temperatures of the off-gas hood are measured at four different locations. The average is illustrated in Figure 4.4.

FIGURE 4.4. Temperature Profile of the Off-Gas Hood Wall

Figure 4.5 illustrates the temperature profile for the off-gas exiting the off-gas confinement hood. The temperature profile trends in a similar manner to that of the off-gas hood roof and wall temperatures. Temperatures for the exiting off-gas do not show an increase as a result of processing the crib lid or of processing the crib in general. Although temperatures for the off-gas do approach those calculated to occur from processing the wooden crib timbers, the fact that the temperature approached the calculated value before the crib was processed suggests that it is a result of normal processing operations^(a).

Figure 4.6 illustrates the average temperature profile for the off-gas in the hood plenum and supports the conclusion that the spike observed in the

(a) The temperature spike observed in the data 192 hours into the test is likely due to a spurious signal from the thermocouple at that point. No similar spikes are observed in Figures 4.3 and 4.4, as would be expected if the temperature spike was due to an actual occurrence in the off-gas hood.

FIGURE 4.5. Temperature Profile for the Off-Gas Exit - 45-second Average

exiting off-gas temperature does not represent a real temperature. The temperatures in the off-gas hood plenum were slightly higher than those measured in the exiting off-gas stream. The higher temperatures in the off-gas hood plenum can be attributed to the relative positioning of the thermocouples used for measuring at the respective locations. The thermocouple measuring temperature at the off-gas exit was located downstream from the exit port of the off-gas hood. This location shielded the thermocouple from the effects of radiant heat from the melt surface. In addition, the off-gas cooled somewhat because of heat loss through the piping connecting the off-gas hood with the off-gas treatment trailer. Four thermocouples were used to measure the off-gas plenum temperature, and they could have been influenced by radiant heat from the ISV melt surface.

FIGURE 4.6. Temperature Profile for the Off-Gas Hood Plenum

The off-gas system is designed to maintain the off-gas hood at a slightly negative pressure (vacuum) so that gases and particulate evolved from the melt surface are directed into the off-gas treatment system (see Figure 1.4 for an illustration of the off-gas treatment system). Because the off-gas system has an upper off-gas flowrate that is governed by the capacity of the off-gas blower(s) and the pressure drops through the off-gas treatment components, there is the potential that the rate of gas evolution from the melt surface could exceed this capacity. Using a simple balance between what is entering the off-gas hood and what is leaving the off-gas hood, the possibility of more entering the hood than leaving could lead to the off-gas hood becoming positively pressurized. This situation is not desirable because of the possibility that untreated material could then escape from the off-gas hood instead of being directed into the off-gas treatment system.

Figure 4.7 illustrates the vacuum within the off-gas hood during the test. As shown in the figure, a vacuum was maintained within the off-gas hood during the entire test. An apparent pressure spike 156 hours into the test

has been attributed to an instrument problem because there is no other evidence supporting a conclusion that this signal is real.(a)

4.3 OBJECTIVE 3 - ISV PROCESSING DEPTH

Although the bottom of the 116-B-6A Crib is physically 14 feet below grade, site characterization indicated that contamination had leached into the soil to a depth of approximately 20 feet. Actual site remediation using ISV would require treatment of the contamination found at 20 feet. An objective of the treatability test was to demonstrate the ability of the ISV process to vitrify the site to depths that are practical within the context of actual waste site remediation. The final depth reached in this treatability test was

FIGURE 4.7. Off-Gas Hood Vacuum - 45-second Average

- (a) The magnitude of such a pressure spike would have damaged the off-gas hood and/or potentially lifted portions of the hood off the ground (not observed). In addition, a sudden release of gas from the melt would have resulted in a corresponding increase in off-gas temperatures (not observed).

14 feet. The following sections discuss the processing depth issues that pertain to this test.

4.3.1 Engineering-Scale Testing of the 116-B-6A Crib

Prior to this large-scale treatability test, an engineering-scale test was performed to estimate the probable effect of the 116-B-6A Crib structure and construction material on the ability of the ISV process to meet the target processing depth of 20 ft. Figure 4.8 shows the setup for this engineering-scale test, which was performed in January 1989. The relative electrode positions, plus the order of material encountered through the first rock layer, were in proportion to the actual layout for the large-scale 116-B-6A treatability test.

Figure 4.9 illustrates the depth profile of the engineering-scale test. The downward melt rate was approximately constant until the melt approached the rock layer that did not contain frit. This observation is consistent with those made during previous ISV tests on Hanford soils during which the melt progression decreased when the melt encountered an unmodified rocky sublayer. A more detailed discussion of the influence of rocky sublayers can be found in section 4.3.3 and Appendix B. Frit containing glass frit sodium carbonate, and smectite was added to the first rock layer as a water suspension. The positive results observed with the use of this frit during the engineering-scale test prompted its use in the large-scale treatability test. Figure 4.9 also indicates that the wooden material was processed at a slightly faster rate than were the soil and rock layers.

Figure 4.8 indicated a frit layer just beneath the wooden structure. This layer was a Hanford soil/suspended frit mixture. The objective in including this layer was to evaluate the effect of the frit on ISV melt growth and processing rates. As Figure 4.9 illustrates, the rate at which depth was achieved was not affected by the presence of the frit material. This result prompted the use of frit to fill the void spaces of the 116-B-6A Crib.

FIGURE 4.8. Engineering-Scale Test Setup - 116-B-6A Crib Test

FIGURE 4.9. Depth Profile for the 116-B-6A Crib Engineering-Scale Test Conducted in January 1989

4.3.2 Depth for the 116-B-6A Large-Scale Test

During engineering-scale and staged pilot- and large-scale testing, growth of the vitrification zone is monitored by thermocouples placed in the soil at designated positions. However, the installation of the thermocouples at a radioactive and/or hazardous waste site is not feasible. Therefore, depth monitoring using optical fiber sensors was developed and tested successfully in intermediate- and large-scale tests prior to the 116-B-6A Crib treatability test. The optical fiber sensors were used to monitor depth during the 116-B-6A demonstration.^(a)

The depth monitoring system consists of a signal processor and transmitter package mounted below one or more of the electrodes. For operation, a series of fiber optic sensors runs from the signal processor

(a) Recent tests with the ISV system have shown the advantage of feeding electrodes into the melt as opposed to pre-placing the electrodes. Depth is now monitored via the length of electrode that has been fed into the melt.

upward to varying depth levels on the electrode(s). As the ISV process melts downward, the sensors transmit light from the molten soil to the signal processor to indicate that the molten soil has reached that depth. The depth data are then passed to the transmitter, which sends the data on to the receiver located at the ground surface. The receiver then sends the depth data to a second processor that decodes the data and displays it for the operations personnel. Figure 4.10 illustrates the depth profile for the 116-B-6A large-scale test as determined from the fiber optic depth sensors.

As shown by Figure 4.10, a melt depth of approximately 14 ft was achieved. This depth corresponds to the bottom of the 116-B-6A crib, but was short of the target depth of 20 ft.

4.3.3 Factors Affecting Melt Depth: Particle Size

A task force was convened in 1988 to postulate causes of the lateral growth observed during a large-scale ISV test conducted in 1987 on Hanford's 216-Z-12 Crib, and to determine the cause of the lateral growth through

FIGURE 4.10. Depth Profile for 116-B-6A Crib ISV Treatability Test

analyses and theoretical studies. Some of the task force's findings are applied in the following sections to identify potential factors that may have affected the downward growth rate of the melt during the 116-B-6A Crib test. The task force's findings are summarized in Appendix B.

The cause for the lateral growth during the 1987 test was determined to be the presence of an artificial rock layer placed in the bottom of the 216-Z-12 Crib during its construction (the 216-Z-12 Crib differs from the 116-B-6A Crib in that it did not contain large amounts of wooden timbers and was composed almost entirely of graded sizes of gravel). The different particle size of this rock layer relative to the soil at the sides of the melt was the main contributor to preferential lateral growth rather than downward growth. When a large particle size (greater than 2 cm) layer or a higher fusion temperature layer is encountered, a higher equilibrium temperature is needed to achieve the same downward progression rate. Otherwise, the molten zone will grow preferentially into soil that allows a lower equilibrium melting temperature.

As shown in Figure 4.10, the downward melt rate for the 116-B-6A Crib test progressed at a relatively constant rate of 1.01 in./hr until the melt reached a depth of $\approx$ 11 ft. A comparison between Figures 4.9 and 4.10 would suggest that the slowed melt rate was due to the presence of a rocky layer within the crib. However, as indicated in section 2.3, when the characterization boreholes were placed in 1989 it was noted that the lithology and texture of the soil layers encountered was relatively homogeneous. The composition consisted of an unconsolidated, poorly sorted, sandy gravel to silty sandy gravel, approximately averaging 50-60% gravel, 30-45% sand, and 5-10% mud (silt and clay). The pre-test characterization suggested homogeneity within the 116-B-6A Crib, greatly reducing the expectations for depth problems (i.e., no rocky cobble layer) during this treatability test.

Subsequent to the test, core samples of the ISV block were taken for compositional and product quality analyses (see Figure 4.2 for locations of core samples). Figure 4.11 illustrates the penetration of core 1 to an area immediately under the 116-B-6A Crib, whereupon it encountered a cobble layer consisting of rocks 1-in. to 3-in. in diameter. Based upon the experience from the 216-Z-12 large-scale demonstration and engineering analysis, the presence of the rocky cobble layer was considered to have contributed significantly to the reduced depth. Other potential mechanisms will be discussed in the following sections.

FIGURE 4.11. Cobble Layer Detected During Core Sampling of ISV Block

4.3.4 Factors Affecting Melt Depth: Composition

The findings associated with encountering layers of larger size rocks also can be applied to heterogenous layers of varying soil compositions. Even if the particle size of the soil throughout the region to be vitrified is uniform, encountering a layer of higher melting temperature soil at the bottom of the melt after a melt has been established with a lower melting point soil will slow the downward growth of the melt. Just as it does upon encountering a rock layer, the ISV melt will preferentially grow laterally instead of downward in this instance.

For the 116-B-6A Crib test, fluxing agents similar to those used for the engineering-scale 116-B-6A test were added to the actual crib to reduce the amount of void space and to minimize any effects that a rocky layer may have on the ISV melt rate. Three 4-in.-diameter grout injection wells were placed in the 116-B-6A Crib to a depth of 20 ft. A water slurry containing frit, sodium silicate, and smectite was then injected to fill any voids between the 10-ft and 20-ft depths. Compositionally, this material should melt at a lower temperature than would typical Hanford soil; therefore, progression through this region should have been favored over progression through regular soil. The addition of the flux in the crib resulted in an acceptable downward melting rate up to when the cobble layer was reached. However, the addition of the flux ultimately increased the alkali content of the molten soil which makes the molten soil more conductive and effectively lowers the temperature of the melt (Stanek 1977). As a result, the lower melt temperature combined with the presence of the cobble layer retarded the downward melt rate.

4.3.5 Factors Affecting Melt Depth: Moisture Content

The presence of a layer of material at the bottom of the ISV melt that has a higher moisture content than that of material on the lateral edges of the melt may also influence melt shape and growth, especially if the water within this layer is recharged from an outside source. Power input for the ISV process must first be used to evaporate the water present in these layers before the ISV melt can progress into the layer of actual material. A layer of material with a higher moisture content requires more time to drive off the moisture before the melt can advance; meanwhile, progression through layers with lower moisture content commences. However, if the water content in a layer is recharged from an outside source (e.g., an aquifer or pipeline), then

the melt progression into the region of high moisture content can be stalled indefinitely.

As discussed in section 2.0, site characterization and a review of the engineering drawings of adjacent facilities indicated that buried pipes running in a north-south direction and located just east of the crib are most likely inactive 1.5-in.-diameter water service lines (see Figure 2.1). It is possible that one of the buried pipes was breached either by the melt or through thermal-induced stress during the 116-B-6A Crib test and that water flowed into a region near the bottom of the crib. However, analysis of the data does not support the presence of such an amount of moisture over and above that estimated to be contained within the crib and surrounding soil.

Figure 4.12 illustrates the water balance for the 116-B-6A Crib test. The output curve is generated based on the off-gas flowrate out of the off-gas treatment stack and the water content of this stream. This curve is not a straight line because the off-gas treatment system was operated to

FIGURE 4.12. Water Balance for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Treatability Test

evaporate water from the scrub solution tanks only when the need arose. In addition, the water removed from the scrub solution tanks at the end of the test is included in the curve as an output. The only directly measurable amount of water input to the ISV system is the amount added to the scrub solution tanks. Water input from ambient air drawn into the system is based on the calculated humidity of ambient air and a flowrate equivalent to that exiting the stack (inlet air flowrate is not currently measured on the large-scale ISV system). However, these two sources of water are minimal compared to the water input from the soil.

The primary source of water is the water evaporated from the soil (or other material) being melted. Computer models of the ISV process show that energy use equivalent to 0.9 kWh is sufficient to melt 1 kg of soil. Using the energy profile for the 116-B-6A Crib test, the ratio of 0.9 kWh/kg soil, and an average soil moisture content of 7.8%, the water input profile from the ISV melt was developed. The input curve shown in Figure 4.12 is the sum of water input contributions from additions to the scrub solution tanks, ambient air, and from the ISV melt. This water balance shows that the amount of water in the output is 108% of the calculated amount input. This difference is not considered great enough to suggest that a water pipe was breached. Therefore, water recharge is not considered a viable cause for the slowed downward melt rate and the accompanying increase in lateral growth.

4.4 OBJECTIVE 4 - PRODUCT QUALITY

The ISV process converts contaminated soil into a durable glass and crystalline waste form in place. The final waste form, the ISV block, has a chemical durability that is greater than that of commercial high-level waste (HLW) glass. Durability properties of the vitrified block were determined using PNL's Materials Characterization Center (MCC) leach procedures (MCC 1986). The following samples were sent to PNL laboratories for MCC-1 testing: core #1, run #11, sample #3; core #2, run #4, sample #4; core #2, run #6, sample #3; and core #2, run #9, sample #2. The MCC-1 procedure is a static leach test that measures the elemental mass loss of a monolith sample of glass as a function of time. The glass sample is suspended in deionized water inside of a sealed container and maintained at 90°C. At the end of a predetermined time period (28 days for the 116-B-6A samples), the leachant is analyzed using ICP spectroscopy. Results for normalized elemental loss are

presented in Table 4.9. Results from leach tests of previous ISV blocks and a commercial HLW glass are also tabulated for comparison. As shown in the table, the 116-B-6A block is comparable in durability to previously tested ISV glass and crystalline products. The 116-B-6A block also compares favorably to the commercial HLW glass.

In addition to MCC-1 testing, the samples from the 116-B-6A block were subjected to the Product Consistency Test (PCT). The PCT was originated at the Westinghouse Savannah River Company (WSRC) by C. M. Jantzen and N. R. Bibler (1989). It was designed to require a shorter time period than the MCC-1 test and still be able to demonstrate product quality and composition homogeneity of the glass. The test is a modified MCC-3 test, which runs for 7 days and uses crushed glass in the size range -100 to +200 mesh. The

TABLE 4.9. MCC-1 Test Results for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Block

Element	Normalized Elemental Loss, g/m ² (a)			
	116-B-6A	ISV Glass (b)	ISV Crystals (b)	PNL 76-68 (c)
Al	2.72	4	2	- -
B	13.72			
Ba	0.82	2	2	<0.05
Ca	1.82	4	3	0.3
Cr				
Fe	0.05	0.1	0.5	<0.01
K	21.16			
Mg	1.51	4	3	- -
Mn	0.13			
Na	5.57	5	3	55
Si	3.97	4	3	36
Sr	1.31	3	3	0.3
Ti	0.02			
Cs		6	4	64
La		<0.01	<0.01	- -
Nd		<0.02	<0.02	- -

(a) MCC-1 leach test in 90°C deionized water. SA/V = 10 m⁻¹ for 28 days.

(b) Data from Buelt et al. (1987).

(c) Data from Strachan, Turcotte, and Barnes (1980).

difference between the modified MCC-3 and PCT is that there is no agitation during the PCT test. Approximately 3 g of crushed glass, in the size range -100 to +200 mesh, is placed in a Teflon test container with approximately 30 ml of deionized water. The Teflon containers are placed in an oven at 90°C, and the lids are tightened within 24 hours after being placed in the oven. The PCT results confirm the high chemical durability of the 116-B-6A ISV block seen with the MCC-1 test results.

In addition to testing the chemical durability of the 116-B-6A block, testing was performed to determine the toxicity of the final waste form. Samples of the 116-B-6A block were sent to CEP (Sante Fe, NM) for Toxicity Characteristic Leach Procedure (TCLP) testing. TCLP is used by the EPA to determine the toxicity of a given waste form for classification. Results from the TCLP test are used to classify the waste form as either hazardous or non-hazardous.

For the TCLP test, the 116-B-6A block samples are subsampled to approximately 100-g amounts and are then size reduced so that the surface area per gram of sample is equal to or greater than 3.1 cm². The crushed samples are then placed into an extraction vessel containing a weak acid extraction fluid. Analysis of the leachate is performed at the end of the extraction period. Results from the TCLP testing of the 116-B-6A block are provided in Table 4.10. The elements appearing in Table 4.10 are all part of the EPA's target analyte list for metals. As illustrated by Table 4.10, the 116-B-6A block is a stable waste form that passes the EPA's TCLP test criteria.

TABLE 4.10. TCLP Results for 116-B-6A Block Samples. All values mg/L.

<u>Sample</u>	<u>As</u>	<u>Ba</u>	<u>Cd</u>	<u>Cr</u>	<u>Pb</u>	<u>Hg</u>	<u>Se</u>	<u>Ag</u>
Core #1								
Run #11	<0.01	0.06	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.0004	<0.01	<0.05
Core #1								
Run #14	<0.01	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.0004	<0.01	<0.05
Core #2								
Run #9	<0.01	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.0004	<0.01	<0.05
Core #2								
Run #4	<0.01	0.06	0.07	0.08	<0.05	<0.0004	<0.01	<0.05
Core #2								
Run #4	<0.01	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.0004	<0.01	<0.05
Core #2								
Run #5	<0.01	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.0004	<0.01	<0.05
Detection								
Limit	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.0004	0.01	0.05
TCLP								
Limit		100	1.0	5.0	5.0	1.0		

5.0 PROCESS PERFORMANCE

Section 4.0 discussed the various objectives and corresponding results for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV treatability test. To this end, many aspects of the test were discussed as they were directly related to the test objectives. The following sections discuss aspects of the treatability test that, although not directly related to the test objectives, were necessary for ensuring that the test was completed and that the safety of the workers and environment was secure.

5.1 OFF-GAS TREATMENT SYSTEM

The off-gas treatment system, including the off-gas confinement hood, is the key element in ensuring that gases and particulate evolved from the ISV melt are not released to the environment until the effluent is adequately treated. As was shown in Figure 4.7, the vacuum within the off-gas confinement hood was maintained within acceptable limits throughout the test. Although the amount of vacuum maintained during the test was lower than desired, it was sufficient to direct the evolved gases and particulate from the melt into the off-gas treatment system. A vacuum is established within the off-gas hood via a primary blower within the off-gas treatment trailer. In the event that the vacuum within the off-gas confinement hood is critically low or lost (either caused by conditions evolving from the melt or difficulties in the off-gas treatment system), a backup blower is engaged to maintain a minimal vacuum in the off-gas hood. In addition, the power to the electrodes is disengaged to slow down or eliminate off-gas evolution from the ISV melt.

Figure 5.1 illustrates the standard volumetric flowrate generated by the primary blower out through the stack of the off-gas treatment trailer. As shown by Figure 5.1, the flowrate out through the stack averaged 76 standard m³/min. The time periods when the off-gas flowrate was higher than the average flowrate denote times when both of the scrubbing systems were engaged (as discussed previously in section 1.1, the large-scale ISV system uses a parallel off-gas treatment system for added capacity when needed and as a backup). At approximately 56 hours into the test, the primary blower shut down, causing the backup blower to engage (see Table 4.1 for event summary). When the primary blower came back on line, the backup blower disengaged. The

FIGURE 5.1. Standard Volumetric Flowrate through the Off-Gas Treatment Stack

success of this "backup" system is best illustrated by Figure 4.7, which does not show a loss of hood vacuum at this point in time. At approximately 63 hours into the test, the backup system was again engaged and it performed as designed.

5.2 LARGE-SCALE POWER SYSTEM

The power system performed adequately for this test. Several factors affected the level of power that could be supplied to the ISV melt (e.g., apparent high CO levels, downtime to replace electrodes, and administrative controls on transformer temperatures), but did not affect the level of control that the operators had on the power system. Figure 5.2 illustrates the power input profile for the treatability test. A peak power input of approximately 3200 kW was achieved with an average power input, including downtime, of 1921 kW. The average power input excluding downtime was

FIGURE 5.2. Power Profile for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Treatability Test

approximately 2290 kW. Figure 5.3 illustrates the cumulative energy profile for the treatability test and shows that the total energy consumed for the 2-week test was 550 MWh. The level portions of the energy profile correspond to periods when power was not being applied to the electrodes (see Table 4.1 for a test event summary, including causes of downtime). An electrode feed system has been developed and successfully tested on all scales of ISV equipment and will aid in reducing the downtime that results from replacing electrodes.

5.2.1 Power Limitation: Carbon Monoxide Levels

Although the downtime to replace electrodes was significant relative to the total downtime for the test (approximately 14 hours out of 40 hours), other factors also affected the amount of power input to the ISV melt. As Table 4.1 illustrates, on numerous occasions during the 116-B-6A test power

FIGURE 5.3. Cumulative Energy Profile for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Treatability Test

was either reduced to, or completely disengaged from, the electrodes because of apparent high levels of carbon monoxide (CO) (the CO levels in the off-gas stream are measured after the stream passes through all of the treatment stages). Figure 5.4 illustrates the CO profile measured at the off-gas treatment trailer stack. Difficulties with the online CO monitor and discrepancies between the online monitor and hand held monitors make the absolute CO concentration levels shown in Figure 5.4 suspect. Figure 5.4 also illustrates the fact that the ISV process is controllable to the point where undesired changes in the off-gas stream can be compensated for by adjusting the operating parameters (in this case, the amount of power input). If the gas had been another material instead of CO, the off-gas treatment process would have been versatile enough to allow compensatory changes (e.g., pH changes in the scrub tanks, varying gas flows, and varying temperatures).

FIGURE 5.4. Carbon Monoxide Concentration Profile: Off-Gas Stack

5.2.2 Power Limitation: Upper Current Limit

As the ISV melt progresses, it becomes more conductive because of the increase in the amount of molten soil (molten soil is a significantly greater conductor of electricity than nonmolten soil). Because of this fact, power input into the melt becomes a function of the amount of current that can be supplied to the melt. Figure 5.5 illustrates the current profile for the "A"-phase output of the Scott-Tee transformer (the profile for B-phase follows the same trend as that for A-phase and, as a matter of practice, control of the power system attempts to keep the two phases balanced). Comparing Figures 5.2 and 5.5 shows a relationship early in the test (less than 60 hours) where a current up to 2500 amps was sufficient for a power level of up to 3000 kW. At 84 hours into the test, the A-phase current approached the administratively set upper level of 3200 amps, whereupon operators controlled the power system to maintain the current below this upper limit. During the approximately 8 hours at which the current was maintained at 3200 amps, the power input level into the ISV melt steadily decreased from 3100 kW to 2700 kW, indicating

FIGURE 5.5. Current Profile for the "A" Phase Scott-Tee Transformer Output

that the melt required more than the 3200 amps if power levels were to be increased. Discussions with safety personnel at PNL led to an increase in the allowed current to the nameplate value of 4000 amps at approximately 100 hours into the test. This increase is reflected in Figures 5.5 and 5.2 by an increase in current and an increase in power input, respectively. Another point of current limitation did not occur until near the end of the test at hour 252. The upper current limit for subsequent large-scale tests has been raised to 3900-4000 amps to avoid current limitations early in a test run.

5.2.3 Power Limitation: Transformer Temperature Limit

In addition to an upper limit on the output current from the transformer, an upper temperature limit existed for the air space around the transformer windings. Thermocouples were placed in the air space between the windings of the transformer to give an indication of whether the transformer was overheating. Figure 5.6 illustrates the temperature profile for the air

space between a set of transformer windings. The apparent equilibrium temperature for the winding of the air space appears to be about 65°C; however, the administratively set upper limit was 55°C. The elevated temperatures seen prior to hour 72 are surprising given the fact that power levels were just beginning to be increased (this observation was a factor in establishing the new monitoring procedure of attaching the thermocouple directly to the winding). After 72 hours, sufficient power was being applied to the melt to warrant concern about the elevated temperatures, and power levels were reduced accordingly to bring the temperature below 55°C. The 55°C upper limit, as with the 3200 amp limit, restricted the amount of power the operating staff could input into the ISV melt. Because of this operational limit, discussions were held with laboratory safety and the temperature limit was raised to 75°C. As Figure 5.6 illustrates, the change in the upper limit did not affect the temperature in the windings, which equilibrated at approximately 65°C. However, the change in the upper limit gave the operating staff more latitude in applying power to the ISV melt.

FIGURE 5.6. Air Space Temperature Profile for the Scott-Tee Transformer Winding

5.3 CONTROL OF LATERAL GROWTH: BARRIER WALL

During the normal processing of contaminated soils, the ISV melt progresses downward and laterally. The lateral growth is normally uniform, leading to a final melt boundary that retains a square-like shape with slightly rounded corners. This lateral growth is not usually an unwanted feature, for it leads to the vitrification of a greater volume of soil for a given setting, a factor that affects the number of settings (and cost) required for a given contaminated soil site. However, there are situations where the lateral growth of the ISV melt needs to be controlled to protect adjacent structures.

Figure 5.7 presents a plan view, and Figure 5.8 an elevation view, of the barrier wall and cooling system installed for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV treatability test. This system was installed to protect an underground concrete storage tank that was previously used to store contaminated material. The alumina-silica barrier wall was designed to impede the growth of the ISV melt toward the concrete tank. However, the barrier wall itself was not sufficient to prevent the tank temperature from rising as a result of thermal diffusion. Therefore, a cooling system was installed to prevent the tank from reaching temperatures in excess of 200°F.

The barrier wall consisted of a plywood form and a 1-ft-thick ceramic wall poured to a depth of 15 ft. The area between the concrete tank and the barrier wall was filled with large (2- to 3-in.-diameter) rocks. A distribution (cooling) pipe extended the length of the barrier wall at the base of the rocks (approximately 6 ft below the bottom of the concrete tank). A blower located outside of the ISV hood supplied ambient air to the distribution pipe. The air percolates up through the bed of rocks, cooling the protective zone between the barrier wall and concrete tank. Thermocouples were placed at various locations in the system (see Figures 5.7 and 5.8) to monitor the approach of the ISV melt and establish the need for, and effectiveness of, the startup of the cooling system.

The cooling system blower was engaged for the first time at approximately 124 hours into the test. Thermocouples 13, 14, and 15 were reading 50, 70, and 22°C, respectively. After slightly more than an hour, the temperatures had all fallen 2°C or more, indicating that the cooling system was having an effect on the thermal diffusion from the ISV melt. Review of the thermocouple data (recorded on a chart recorder) indicated that the ISV

FIGURE 5.7. Barrier Wall and Cooling System Installation - Plan View

FIGURE 5.8. Barrier Wall and Cooling System Installation - Elevation View

melt did reach the barrier wall but did not cause a temperature increase to 200°F outside of the concrete tank (thermocouples 13, 14, and 15 on Figure 5.8). Thermocouples placed on the rock side of the barrier wall indicated that the ISV melt may have penetrated the ceramic barrier in various locations. Confirmation of this hypothesis requires excavation of the rocks, an activity that is not planned.

6.0 REFERENCES

- Buelt, J. L., C. L. Timmerman, K. H. Oma, V. F. Fitzpatrick, and J. G. Carter. 1987. In Situ Vitrification of Transuranic Waste: An Update System Evaluation and Application Assessment. PNL-4800, Supplement 1, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Buelt, J. L., and J. H. Westsik. 1988. "In Situ Vitrification - Preliminary Results from the First Large-Scale Radioactive Test." PNL-SA-15277. Presented at Waste Management '88 Conference, Tucson, Arizona.
- Campbell, B. E., S. S. Koegler, R. S. Butner, and S. S. Teel. 1990. Treatability Investigation Work Plan for the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Demonstration Project. PNL-7284, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland Washington.
- Carlsaw, H. S., and J. C. Jarger. 1959. Conduction of Heat in Solids. Second Edition, Oxford University Press, London.
- Clukey, H. V. 1954. Tabulation of Radioactive Liquid Waste Disposal Facilities. HW-33305. General Electric Company, Richland, Washington.
- Clukey, H. V. 1956. Tabulation of Radioactive Liquid Waste Disposal Facilities. HW-43121. General Electric Company, Richland, Washington.
- Stanek, J. 1977. Electric Melting of Glass. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Touloukian, Y. S. and C. H. Ho. 1970. Thermophysical Properties of Matter. Thermophysical Properties Research Center (TPRL), Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana.
- Trent, D. S., L. L. Eyler and M. J. Budden. 1985. A Three-Dimensional Time-Dependent Computer Program for HydroThermal Analysis. Volume 1: Numerical Methods and Input Instructions. PNL-4348, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

 

APPENDIX A(a)

100 AREA MONITORING WELLS, GEOLOGY, HYDROLOGY, AND WATER CHEMISTRY

(a) Adapted from Campbell et al. 1990.

A.1 MONITORING WELLS

There are eight existing ground-water monitoring wells in the 100-B Area: 199-B3-1; 199-B3-2P,Q; 199-B-4-1; 199-B4-2; 199-B4-3; 199-B4-4; 199-B5-1; and 199-B9-1. All of these wells, except well 199-B3-2P,Q, monitor the upper portion of the unconfined aquifer. Well 199-B3-2 is composed of piezometers "P" and "Q" which monitor the base of the unconfined aquifer (Q) and the upper confined aquifer (P). The locations of these wells is shown in Figure A.1. The monitoring intervals of these wells are shown in Table A.1.

The wells are all constructed with 8-in carbon steel casing (except for well 199-B4-2 which is constructed with 6-in casing). Perforations in the casing allow water to enter the well from the aquifer. The 1.5-in screened piezometer pipes were later installed in well 199-B3-2. Only one well, 199-B3-2, penetrates deeper than 151 ft. This well was drilled to a 790 ft depth and encountered basalt at a depth of 656 ft. The nearest existing well to the

FIGURE A.1. Locations of Existing Wells in the 100-B Area and Locations of Geologic Cross Sections A-A' and B-B'

TABLE A.1. Monitoring Intervals of Existing Wells, ft

<u>Well No.</u>	<u>Monitoring Interval</u>	<u>Approx. Depth to Water</u>	<u>Height of Water Column</u>	<u>Date Drilled</u>
199-B3-1	20-60	44	19	3/53
199-B3-2P	758-778	39	739	8/53
199-B3-2Q	632-642	34	608	8/53
199-B4-1	50-90	63	27	2/49
199-B4-2	62-86	63	27	2/49
199-B4-3	60-86	63	27	2/49
199-B4-4	49-102	74	28	9/60
199-B5-1	40-100*	57	43	8/62
199-B9-1	80-110	97	13	7/52

* Drilled and screened to 151 ft, cement plug placed at 100 ft

116-B-6A crib is well 199-B4-4, which is approximately 350 ft away. Wells 199-B9-1 and 199-B4-3 are approximately 1200 and 1250 ft away, respectively, from the crib.

A.2 SITE GEOLOGY

The Hanford site geology consists of three distinct geologic units: the Columbia River Basalt Group, the Ringold Formation, and the Hanford formation. Well 199-B3-2 is the only well within the 100-B Area which encountered basalt; all other wells were drilled to depths between 63 ft and 151 ft. Geologic cross sections for the area shown in Figure A.1 are given in Figures A.2 and A.3.

The Columbia River Basalt Group forms the bedrock beneath 100-B Area. It was encountered at 656 ft below ground surface in well 199-B3-2. Overlying the basalt are the sediments of the Ringold Formation. The Hanford formation unconformably overlies the Ringold Formation. An unconformity is an erosional surface which separates strata of different ages. Detailed textural and lithologic information would be necessary to accurately distinguish the

Vertical Expansion = 4X

contact between these formations. This information does not exist, and therefore the location of this contact in the 100-B area is unknown.

Textures as described on the drillers' logs and shown on the cross sections consist of: sandy gravel and silty sandy gravel mixed with cobbles and boulders from 0-25 ft; silty sandy gravel/sandy gravel with some sand layers from 25-300 ft; alternating layers of sandy gravel, silt/clay, and sand to approximately 385 ft; clay from 390-425 ft; alternating layers of sand and clay (or sandy clay) to 480 ft; clay from 485-590 ft; silty sandy gravel/sandy gravel with some sand and silt/clay layers to 648; and blue clay from 648 to the top of the Columbia River basalt at 656 ft.

Well 199-B9-1 was originally located in a pit that was approximately 25 ft deep. A 24.5-ft section of 8-in carbon steel casing was added to the upper portion of the well in May 1974, and the pit was backfilled. The characteristics of the backfill material are undocumented, but visual observations indicate that the material consists of the sandy gravel and cobble mixture present elsewhere in the 100-B Area. The casing elevation was originally surveyed in 1967. There is no evidence that the well was resurveyed after the casing was added. This may explain why water level data from this well are consistently 10 to 12 ft below local water table trends (see Figure A.4).

A.3 SITE HYDROLOGY

The unconfined aquifer in the 100-B Area is contained in the sands and gravels of the undifferentiated Ringold Formation/Hanford formation. The depth to the water table in the 100-B Area ranges from approximately 41 to 93 ft below land surface. The elevation of the water table ranges from approximately 395 to 401 ft above mean sea level. A water table map of the 100-B Area and surrounding 600 Area was constructed using data collected on February 7, 1989, and is shown in Figure A.4. Due to uncertainty in the casing elevation of well 199-B9-1 (see previous section), this well was omitted from Figure A.4. The placement of the 400 ft contour south of well 699-63-90 was inferred after examination of the Hanford Site water-table map (Evans, et al. 1988).

The precise direction of ground-water flow beneath the 116-B-6A crib is unknown. Figure A.4 suggests that it is predominantly northward. However, the extent of influence that changes in river stage have on the water table beneath the 100-B Area is not known. Pressure transducers, which measure

FIGURE A.4. Water Table Map of the 100-B Area Measured on February 7, 1989

changes in water level, have been installed in wells 199-B3-1 (the 100-B Area well closest to the river) and 199-B4-4 (the well closest to the crib). Data from these transducers is shown in Figure A.5. This figure demonstrates that well 199-B4-4 is not affected by short-term river stage fluctuations. Therefore, there is no reason to believe that there will be any short term reversals of the regional ground water flow direction (ie, northward) due to changes in river stage in the vicinity of the 116-B-6A crib. Further hydrologic data collection will be done as part of the 100-BC-1 RI/FS.

A.4 WATER CHEMISTRY

Table A.2 summarizes the water chemistry data in the 100-B Area for the past 5 years. As the table indicates (by the symbol *), most contaminants were found to be below detection limits. However, six constituents (as shown by the symbol $\diamond$), exceeded standards in samples taken during this period.

FIGURE A.5 Effect of River Stage (well 199-B3-1) on Aquifer Level as Measured at Well 199-B4-4

The National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations (40 CFR 141, July, 1987 as amended by 52 FR 25690) standards for these constituents were gross beta, 50 pCi/L; strontium-90, 8 pCi/L; tritium, 20,000 pCi/L; chromium, 50 ppb; nitrate, 45,000 ppb ; and coliform bacteria, 1 count/100 ml MPN (most probable number). The table also gives statistical information including mean, median, maximum, and standard deviation for each constituent which was detectable. The well number at the maximum concentration was measured is also given.

- Gross beta values above the standard occurred in wells 199-B3-1, 199-B3-2, 199-B4-1, 199-B4-2, 199-B4-3, and 199-B4-4. (See Figure A.6.) The maximum concentration observed was 179 pCi/L in well 199-B3-1 (4/4/88).
- Strontium-90 values above the standard occurred in wells 199-B3-1, 199-B4-1, 199-B4-2, 199-B4-3, and 199-B4-4. The maximum concentration observed was 57.5 pCi/L in well 199-B3-1 (4/4/88). Values for well 199-B4-4 ranged from 31.0 (7/30/87) to 35.4 (10/19/87).

- Tritium concentrations above the 20,000 pCi/L standard were exceeded in wells 199-B4-1 and 199-B4-3. The maximum concentration observed was 75,500 pCi/L in well 199-B4-1 (1/12/87)
- Chromium (filtered) was above the standard in one sampling event in one well, 199-B3-1 (62 ppb, 5/21/87).
- Nitrate concentrations above the standard occurred in wells 199-B3-1, 199-B4-1, 199-B4-2, and 199-B9-1. The maximum concentration observed was 73,000 ppb in well 199-B3-1 (5/22/84).
- Two of the samples collected were analyzed for coliform bacteria: 199-B4-1 (8/5/85) and 199-B9-1 (9/13/85). At least one of these samples was above the drinking water standard of 10/1 MPN (40 CFR Part 141, July 1987). The values reported were <30/1 MPN and 40/1 MPN, respectively. The contract required quantification limit (CRQL) was 30/1 MPN.

No volatile organic constituents were detected at any well, except possibly 199-B4-4, where a value of 2.4 ppb of trichloroethylene (TCE) was reported on 7/30/87. However, the CRQL for this constituent was 10 ppb at that time, and the reported value was less than the CRQL. That a result was reported indicates that the laboratory tentatively identified this constituent, but since the value is below the CRQL, the value cannot be confirmed. The maximum contaminant level (MCL) for this constituent is 5 ppb (52 FR 25690-717).

Table A.2. Summary of Ground Water Samples Taken January 1, 1984 to December 31, 1988 in the 100-B Area.

-----Constituent List - Primary Drinking Water Standards-----

Constituent Name	Detection Units	Limit	Samples	Below Detection	Drinking Water Standard	Agency	Exceeded	Full name
TRITIUM	pCi/L	500	118	0	20000	EPA	xxx	Tritium (H-3)
COLIFRM	MPN	3	2	1	1	EPA	xxx	Coliform bacteria
BETA	pCi/L	8	29	0	60	EPA	xxx	Gross beta
NITRATE	mg/L	0.5	4	0	46	EPA		Nitrate (phenoldisulfonic acid method)
NO3-ION	mg/L	0.5	55	0	46	EPA	xxx	Nitrate (ion specific electrode)
SR-90	pCi/L	5	16	0	8	EPA	xxx	Strontium-90
RADIUM	pCi/L	1	6	0	5	EPA		Total radium
ALPHA	pCi/L	4	17	0	15	EPA		Gross Alpha
BARIUM	ppb	6	2	0	1000	EPA		Barium
CADMIUM	ppb	2	2	1	10	EPA		Cadmium
CHROMIUM	ppb	10	2	0	50	EPA		Chromium
SILVER	ppb	10	2	2	50	EPA		Silver
ARSENIC	ppb	5	2	2	50	EPA		Arsenic
MERCURY	ppb	0.1	2	2	2	EPA		Mercury
SELENIUM	ppb	5	2	2	10	EPA		Selenium
ENDRIN	ppb	1	2	2	0.2	EPA		Endrin
METHLOR	ppb	1	2	2	100	EPA		Methoxychlor
TOXAENE	ppb	1	2	2	6	EPA		Toxaphene
a-BHC	ppb	1	2	2	4	EPA		Lindane, alpha-BHC
b-BHC	ppb	1	2	2	4	EPA		Lindane, beta-BHC
g-BHC	ppb	1	2	2	4	EPA		Lindane, gamma-BHC
d-BHC	ppb	1	2	2	4	EPA		Lindane, delta-BHC
TETRANE	ppb	10	13	13	5	EPA		Tetrachloromethane [Carbon Tetrachloride]
BENZENE	ppb	10	6	6	5	EPA		Benzene
1,1,1-T	ppb	10	13	13	200	EPA		1,1,1-Trichloroethane
TRICENE	ppb	10	13	12	5	EPA		Trichloroethylene [1,1,2-Trichloroethene]
CHLFORM	ppb	10	13	13	100	EPA		Chloroform [Trichloromethane]
1,2-DIC	ppb	10	6	6	5	EPA		1,2-Dichloroethane
DICETHY	ppb	10	6	6	7	EPA		1,1-Dichloroethylene
BROMORN	ppb	10	6	6	100	EPA		Bromoform [Tribromomethane]
VINYIDE	ppb	10	6	6	2	EPA		Vinyl chloride
NITRATE	ppb	500	40	0	45000	EPA	xxx	Nitrate
FLUORID	ppb	500	15	15	4000	EPA		Fluoride
2,4-D	ppb	1	2	2	100	EPA		2,4-D [2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid]
2,4,5TP	ppb	1	2	2	10	EPA		2,4,6-TP silvex
FBARIUM	ppb	6	13	0	1000	EPA		Barium, filtered
FCADMIU	ppb	2	13	11	10	EPA		Cadmium, filtered
FCHROMI	ppb	10	13	0	50	EPA	xxx	Chromium, filtered
FSILVER	ppb	10	13	13	50	EPA		Silver, filtered
FARSENT	ppb	5	13	13	50	EPA		Arsenic, filtered
FMERCUR	ppb	0.1	13	13	2	EPA		Mercury, filtered
FSELENI	ppb	5	13	13	10	EPA		Selenium, filtered
FLEAD	ppb	5	13	13	50	EPA		Lead, filtered
LFLUORD	ppb	20	6	0	4000	EPA		Fluoride, low DL
HNITRAT	ppb	2500	26	2	45000	EPA	xxx	Nitrate, high DL

Table A.2 (con't). Summary of Ground Water Samples Taken January 1, 1984 to December 31, 1988 in the 100-B Area.

-----Constituent List - Contamination Indicators-----

Constituent Name	Units	Detection Limit	Samples	Below Detection	Drinking Water Standard	Agency Exceeded	Full name
CONOFLD	umho	1	14	0	700	WDOE	Specific conductance, field
PHFIELD		0.1	13	0	8.5	EPAS	pH, field
PH-LAB		0.01	13	0	8.5	EPAS	pH, Laboratory
TOX	ppb	100	2	0	.		Total organic halogen
TOC	ppb	1000	15	0	.		Total organic carbon
TOXLDL	ppb	20	13	7	.		Total organic halogens, low DL

-----Constituent List - Quality Characteristics-----

Constituent Name	Units	Detection Limit	Samples	Below Detection	Drinking Water Standard	Agency Exceeded	Full name
SODIUM	ppb	200	2	0	.		Sodium
MANGESE	ppb	5	2	1	50	EPAS	Manganese
IRON	ppb	50	2	1	300	EPAS	Iron
PHENOL	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.		Phenol
SULFATE	ppb	500	16	0	250000	EPAS	Sulfate
CHLORID	ppb	500	16	0	250000	EPAS	Chloride
FSODIUM	ppb	200	13	0	.		Sodium, filtered
FMANGAN	ppb	5	13	9	50	EPAS	Manganese, filtered
FIRON	ppb	50	13	12	300	EPAS	Iron, filtered

-----Constituent List - Other Constituents-----

Constituent Name	Units	Detection Limit	Samples	Below Detection	Drinking Water Standard	Agency Exceeded	Full name
CO-60	pCi/L	22.5	44	0	100	EPAR	Cobalt-60
CS-137	pCi/L	20	37	0	200	EPAR	Cesium-137
RU-108	pCi/L	172.5	37	0	30	EPAR	Ruthenium-106
U	pCi/L	0.5	12	0	600	DOE	Uranium
TC-99	pCi/L	15	9	0	900	EPAR	Technetium-99
LEAD	ppb	30	2	2 ***	.		Lead (discontinued ICP, use A51-LEADGF)
NICKEL	ppb	10	2	2 ***	.		Nickel
COPPER	ppb	10	2	2 ***	1300	EPAP	Copper
VANADIUM	ppb	5	2	1	.		Vanadium
ANTIMONY	ppb	100	2	2 ***	.		Antimony
ALUMINUM	ppb	150	2	2 ***	.		Aluminum
POTASSIUM	ppb	100	2	0	.		Potassium
DIOXANE	ppb	500	6	6 ***	.		Dioxane
METHONE	ppb	10	13	13 ***	.		Methyl ethyl ketone
PYRIDINE	ppb	500	6	6 ***	.		Pyridine
TOLUENE	ppb	10	6	6 ***	2000	EPAP	Toluene
1,1,2-T	ppb	10	13	13 ***	.		1,1,2-Trichloroethane
PERCENE	ppb	10	13	13 ***	.		Perchloroethylene [Tetrachloroethene]
OPXYLE	ppb	10	13	13 ***	440	EPAP	Xylene-o,p

TABLE A.2 (Con't). Summary of Ground Water Samples Taken January 1, 1984 to December 31, 1988 in the 100-B Area.

-----Constituent List - Other Constituents-----

	Constituent Detection			Samples	Below Detection	Drinking Water Standards			Full name
	Name	Units	Limit			Standard	Agency	Exceeded	
A.10	ACROLIN	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Acrolein
	ACRYILE	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Acrylonitrile
	BISTHER	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Bis(chloromethyl) ether
	BROMONE	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Bromoacetone
	METHBRO	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Methyl bromide
	CARBIDE	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Carbon disulfide
	CHLBENZ	ppb	10	6	6 ***	60	EPAP		Chlorobenzene
	CHLTER	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether
	METHCHL	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Methyl chloride [Chloromethane]
	CHMTHER	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Chloromethyl methyl ether
	CROTONA	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Crotonaldehyde
	DIBRCHL	ppb	10	6	6 ***	0	EPAP		1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane
	DIBRETH	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			1,2-Dibromoethane
	DIBRMET	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Dibromomethane
	DIBUTEN	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			1,4-Dichloro-2-butene
	DICDIFM	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Dichlorodifluoromethane
	1,1-DIC	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			1,1-Dichloroethane
	TRANDE	ppb	10	6	6 ***	70	EPAP		trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
	METHYCH	ppb	10	13	13 ***	.			Methylene chloride [Dichloromethane]
	DICPANE	ppb	10	6	6 ***	6	EPAP		1,2-Dichloropropane
	DICPENE	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			1,3-Dichloropropane
	NNDIEHY	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			N,N-diethylhydrazine
	1,1-DIM	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.			1,1-Dimethylhydrazine
	1,2-DIM	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.			1,2-Dimethylhydrazine
	HYDRSUL	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Hydrogen sulfide
	IODOMET	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Iodomethane
	METHACR	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Methacrylonitrile
	METHTHI	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Methanethiol
	PENTACH	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Pentachloroethane
	1112-tc	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			1,1,1,2-Tetrachlorethane
	1122-tc	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			1,1,2,2-Tetrachlorethane
	TRCMEOL	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Trichloromethanethiol
	TRCMFLM	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Trichloromonofluoromethane
	TRCPANE	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Trichloropropane
	123-trp	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			1,2,3-Trichloropropane
	M-XYLE	ppb	10	13	13 ***	440	EPAP		Xylene-m
	DIETHY	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Diethylarsine
	ACETILE	ppb	3000	7	7 ***	.			Acetonitrile
	12-dben	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.			1,2-Dichlorobenzene
	13-dben	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.			1,3-Dichlorobenzene
	14-dben	ppb	10	8	8 ***	76	PA		1,4-Dichlorobenzene
	HEXC BEN	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.			Hexachlorobenzene
	METACRY	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.			Methyl methacrylate
	PENTCHB	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.			Pentachlorobenzene
	TETRCHB	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.			1,2,4,6-Tetrachlorobenzene

TABLE A.2 (Con't). Summary of Ground Water Samples Taken January 1, 1984 to December 31, 1988 in the 100-B Area.

-----Constituent List - Other Constituents-----

Constituent Name	Units	Detection Limit	Samples	Below Detection	Drinking Water Standards Standard Agency Exceeded	Full name
TRICHLB	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene
HYDRAZI	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Hydrazine
HEXACHL	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.	Hexachlorophene
NAPHTHA	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.	Naphthalene
123TRI	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.	1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene
136TRI	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.	1,3,6-Trichlorobenzene
1234TE	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.	1,2,3,4-Tetrachlorobenzene
1236TE	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.	1,2,3,6-Tetrachlorobenzene
CYANIDE	ppb	10	8	8 ***	.	Cyanide
FORMALN	ppb	600	6	6 ***	.	Formalin
PHOSPHA	ppb	1000	16	16 ***	.	Phosphate
KEROSEN	ppb	10000	8	8 ***	.	Kerosene
AMMONIU	ppb	60	13	12	.	Ammonium ion
CYANBRD	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Cyanogen bromide
CYANCHL	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Cyanogen chloride
PARALDE	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Paraldehyde
ACRYIDE	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	0 EPAP	Acrylamide
ALLYLAL	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Allyl alcohol
CHLORAL	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Chloral
CHLACET	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Chloroacetaldehyde
CHLPROP	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	3-Chloropropionitrile
CYANOCN	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Cyanogen
DICPROP	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Dichloropropanol
ETHCARB	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Ethyl carbamate
ETHCYAN	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Ethyl cyanide
ETHOXID	ppb	3000	7	7 ***	.	Ethylene oxide
ETHMETH	ppb	10	6	6 ***	.	Ethyl methacrylate
FLUOROA	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Fluoroacetic acid
GLYCIDY	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Glycidylaldehyde
ISOBUTY	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Isobutyl alcohol
METZINE	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	Methyl hydrazine
PROPYLA	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	n-Propylamine
PROPYNO	ppb	3000	4	4 ***	.	2-Propyn-1-ol
TC	ppb	1000	6	0	.	Total carbon
FZINC	ppb	6	13	7	5000 EPAS	Zinc, filtered
FCALCIU	ppb	60	13	0	.	Calcium, filtered
FNICKEL	ppb	10	13	13 ***	.	Nickel, filtered
FCOPPER	ppb	10	13	13 ***	1300 EPAP	Copper, filtered
FVANADI	ppb	6	13	4	.	Vanadium, filtered
FALUMIN	ppb	160	13	13 ***	.	Aluminum, filtered
F POTASS	ppb	100	13	0	.	Potassium, filtered
FMAGNES	ppb	60	13	0	.	Magnesium, filtered
FBERYLL	ppb	6	13	13 ***	.	Beryllium, filtered
FOSMIUM	ppb	300	10	10 ***	.	Osmium, filtered

TABLE A.2 (Con't). Summary of Ground Water Samples Taken January 1, 1984 to December 31, 1988 in the 100-B Area.

-----Constituent List - Other Constituents-----

Constituent Name	Units	Detection Limit	Samples	Below Detection	Drinking Water Standards Standard Agency Exceeded	Full name
FSTRONT	ppb	300	13	10	.	Strontium, filtered
FANTIMD	ppb	100	13	13 ***	.	Antimony, filtered
ALKALIN	ppb	20000	13	0	.	Total alkalinity, as CaCO3
LHYDRAZ	ppb	30	1	1 ***	.	Hydrazine, low DL
BISMUTH	ppb	6	3	3 ***	.	Bismuth
HEXONE	ppb	10	3	3 ***	.	Hexone
ACETONE	ppb	10	1	0	.	Acetone
TRIBUPH	ppb	10	3	3 ***	.	Tributylphosphoric acid

*** - Indicates all samples were reported as below contractual detection limits

xxx - Indicates that Drinking Water Standards were exceeded

EPA - Based on Maximum Contaminant Levels given in 40 CFR Part 141 (July, 1987)

National Primary Drinking Water Regulations as amended by 52 FR 25690

EPAR - Based on National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations,

Appendix IV, EPA-570/9-76-003

EPAS - Based on Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels given in 40 CFR Part 143

National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations

WDOE - Based on additional Secondary Maximum Contaminant Levels given in

WAC 248-54, Public Water Supplies

APPENDIX B

EVALUATION OF FACTORS THAT MAY INFLUENCE MELT DEPTH AND SHAPE

APPENDIX B

EVALUATION OF FACTORS THAT MAY INFLUENCE MELT DEPTH AND SHAPE

Results from core drilling of the vitrified glass and crystalline product from a 1987 large-scale test of In Situ Vitrification (ISV) showed that insufficient depth and extensive lateral growth was obtained. As a result of this observation, a task force was convened to determine the causes of lateral growth and decreased downward growth. The findings of the task force in regard to postulating and identifying the cause of lateral growth of the ISV block are discussed here.

Based on evaluations conducted by the task force, it was determined that numerous factors can contribute to lateral growth of the vitrified product. However, the cause for insufficient depth during the 1987 test was attributed primarily to the presence of an artificial rock layer near the bottom of the setting. Although not primary contributors during the 1987 large-scale test, the following factors have the potential to contribute to lateral growth of an ISV block:

- heterogenous layers of higher melting soil or sludge compositions,
- scale-up effects,
- use of graphite collars,
- presence of a permeable water recharge layer, and
- broken electrodes.

The task force also determined that the following factors have no potential for creating a lateral growth effect:

- differences in thermal conductivity of varying soil or rock layers,
- presence of disturbed, noncompacted soil,
- effects of downtime during an operation, and
- suspension of particles in the glass during processing.

All of these potential factors have been evaluated and determined not to have had an influence on melt shape during the 1987 large-scale test. In addition, these factors were considered and found not to have had an influence during the 116-B-6A Crib ISV Demonstration.

The follow sections discuss each of the postulated factors identified by the task force.

PARTICLE SIZE EFFECTS

The ISV process has been observed routinely to melt into soil heavily laden with large stones and gravel. Electrical energy is converted to heat, which accumulates in the melt. The temperature rises until the melting rate proceeds fast enough to consume the heat as fast as it is generated. This result is attained irrespective of the loading of stones or gravel because an equilibrium must ensue between the rate of heat generation, the rate of consumption (by melting) of heat, and the rate of heat loss to the surrounding soil and off gas hood (through the melt top surface).

However, the large-scale radioactive test conducted in 1987 at Hanford had the unexpected result of the downward melting process being impeded at a layer of large gravel (on the order of 2.5-cm dia.). At the same time, the process continued to melt laterally into the sandy soil above the gravel. This evaluation of particle size effects concludes that the unexpected behavior is not simply because of the presence of gravel or stones in soil, but instead the presence of discrete heterogeneous layers of sand and gravel.

The ISV process involves heat transfer from an electrically heated molten region to a surrounding shell of unmelted particles. As a layer of these particles is incorporated into the melt, the melt can move to the next layer of particles. The melting rate is then the product of the frequency at which particles are incorporated into the melt and the distance moved at each step, which is the diameter of a particle. The frequency of incorporating particles is the reciprocal of the time required to incorporate a particle into the melt. Incorporating a particle into the melt is assumed to increase the particle's temperature to the point that it is fluid enough to allow convective motion to extend through it and to the next particle to be heated. Also, some particles become hot enough to undergo density changes, allowing such particles to be removed by floating to the surface.

A very crude idea of the effect of particle size can be developed by combining the effect of particle size on the time to melt (or float) particles with the effect on the melting rate for a given particle melting time. The time for the average temperature of a spherical particle to change from an initial value to some temperature that is imposed suddenly over its surface is on the order of R^2/α , where α is the thermal diffusivity of the particle and R is the particle radius. The thickness of soil added to the melt by melting a particle is on the order of $2R$. Hence, the melting rate expressed as the velocity of the advancing melting front is $(2R)/(R^2/\alpha)$, which is proportional

to $1/R$. Therefore, if the temperature applied at the surface of particle does not depend on the particle size, the melting rate should be roughly inversely proportional to the particle size.

This relationship illustrates that the particle size is expected to affect the melting rate for a given temperature in the melt. However, if the particle size is uniform over the boundary of the melt, ultimately the effect of a large particle size is to increase the temperature of the melt so that the melting rate balances the rate of generation of heat in the melt. In order to estimate the effect of particle size on the temperature of the melt, or to estimate the consequences of a melt contacting different particle sizes simultaneously, a more complete analysis is required.

The following model of the processes in the melt boundary is used to develop a relationship between the temperature at the boundary of the melt, T_i , and the melting rate expressed as the advancing velocity of the melt boundary, V . For the sake of simplicity, we ignore the heat of vaporization of water. We assume that molten glass at a temperature T_i contacts and envelops a layer of spherical particles, instantly raising the temperature at the surface of the particles to T_i , and begins to heat the particles by spherically symmetric conduction within the particles. The boundary of the melt receives heat from the mixed molten glass at temperature T_g and transfers it to the particles at a lower temperature T_i , with the rate of heat transfer being proportional to a heat transfer coefficient in the melt, h_g , and to the temperature difference $T_g - T_i$. Simultaneously, the melt heats the next layer of particles at a rate in proportion to a contact heat transfer coefficient, h_c , and with the temperature difference $T_i - T_c$, where T_c is the temperature of the particles just beyond those enveloped by the melt.

Particles beyond the plane of contact are assumed to be part of a homogeneous and continuous earth, ranging in temperature from T_a far from the melt to T_c at the plane of contact.

Finally, we assume that a particle becomes fluid suddenly when its average temperature becomes T_m , a melting temperature. We assume that at that point the volume of the particle mixes instantly with the melt enveloping it, and its temperature rises from T_m to T_i as the fluid particle at the interface

receives heat from the mixed molten glass. As heating occurs, the average temperature in the particles is described by

$$(T - T_0)/(T_i - T_0) = \phi,$$

where T_0 is the temperature of the particle at the moment it is enveloped by the melt, and ϕ is a function of time^a. Since it's assumed that prior to this moment the particle was at the plane of contact with the melt, its initial temperature is assumed to be T_c . Hence, $T_0 = T_c$. The function ϕ is of the form $\phi = \phi(y)$, where $y = \sqrt{(\alpha_p t/a^2)}$ and $\alpha_p = k_p/\rho C_p$, where α_p and k_p are the thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity, respectively, of the particle (which is assumed to have the same ρ and C_p as the melt), t is the time the particle is contacted by the melt, and a is the radius of the particle. The function ϕ is such that if $y \ll 1/K$, then $\phi = Ky$, where $K = 6/\sqrt{\pi}$, and if $y \gg 1/K$, then $\phi \approx 1$.

The time for the average temperature of the particle to reach T_m is the time required to incorporate the particle into the melt. If the melt is advancing at velocity V and the diameter of the particle is δ , then this time is δ/V . Therefore, since $a = \delta/2$, then $y = \sqrt{(2\alpha_p/V\delta)}$. The value of y causing the particle to become fluid is then found from

$$(T_m - T_c)/(T_i - T_c) = \phi(y).$$

The term y depends on V , and ϕ depends on y , so the temperatures T_c and T_i depend on V as well as on T_a and ρC_p . Conversely, V is a function of δ , T_i , T_m , T_a , ρC_p , h_c , and h_g . The temperature T_0 must adjust to cause the power consumed by the melting process to match the electrical power provided.

The effect of particle size can be estimated apart from any effect of natural convection heat transfer if one assumes no heat transfer resistance between the center and boundary of the melt. Then $T_0 = T_i$. The remaining heat transfer coefficient, h_c , can be made dimensionless in the form $h_c \delta/k_p$,

(a) See Equations A.14 - A.16, which follow under "Detailed Analysis of Particle Size Effect."

where k_p is the thermal conductivity of the particles of diameter δ ; this form is termed a "Biot number". It can be shown^(a) that the Biot number is on the order of 1, so it is treated as a constant. The heat flux at the melting interface, q_i , can be made dimensionless in the form $q' = q_i \delta / k_p (T_m - T_a)$, where δ is the diameter of the particles, k_p is the thermal conductivity of the particles, T_m is the melting temperature and T_a is the ambient temperature far from the melt. The melting rate can be made dimensionless in the form $V^* = V \delta / \alpha$, where V is the melting velocity and α is the thermal diffusivity of the particles. Finally, the interface temperature T_i can be made dimensionless in the form $\beta = (T_i - T_m) / (T_m - T_a)$.

If the heat flux is small enough that $q' \ll 1$, then from an asymptotic analysis^(b) one finds that $q' = V^* \approx \beta/2$. This means that as q' and V^* become small, then the interface temperature becomes the melting temperature. Since both q' and V^* are proportional to δ , this condition is approached as either the melting rate or the particle size decreases.

If the melting rate is large enough that $V^* \gg 72/\pi$, then $(1+\beta) \approx (q' / 2K^2)^{1/3}$ and $V^* \approx 2K^2(1+\beta)^2$. For this case the interface temperature is greater than the melting temperature and, since q' is proportional to δ , depends on the particle size.

A typical value for q' can be estimated given an estimate of $k_p(T_m - T_a)/\delta$, which we denote as q_m (i.e., $q' = q_i/q_m$). We assume the thermal conductivity and specific heat of the particles are the same as for the glass, 1 W/m°C and 1088 J/Kg°C, respectively, and that the melting temperature is $T_m \approx 1200^\circ\text{C}$. Then for 1-mm particles (sandy soil), $q_m = 1.2 \times 10^6$ W/m², and for 2.5-cm (1-in.) particles (stones), $q_m = 4.7 \times 10^4$ W/m².

Taking the characteristic power for a large-scale ISV application as 3.5 MW and the area of the boundary of the melt as five faces (four sides and bottom) of a cube with an edge the length of the electrode spacing, which is taken to be 3.5 m, then $q \approx 3.5 \times 10^6 / [5(3.5)^2] = 5.7 \times 10^4$ W/m². Hence, values of $q' = q_i/q_m$ are .05 for 1-mm particles and 1.2 for 2.5-cm particles. Hence, it appears that $q' \ll 1$ for "soil," while $q' \approx 1$ for "stones." Therefore, we

(a) See text following Equation A.25.

(b) See text accompanying Equation A.26.

expect that the interface temperature will be the melting temperature when melting only soil, but, if melting only stones, that the interface temperature will exceed the melting temperature and depend non-linearly on the flux and the size of the stones.

Now we can consider the case where the ISV melt simultaneously includes two boundaries with different values of the convective heat transfer coefficient, h_g , and the contact heat transfer coefficient, h_c . If the dimensionless heat flux q^* is small enough and the value of the contact heat transfer coefficient h_c is large enough at both boundaries, as would be expected for small particles, then the ratio of melting rate at boundaries "1" and "2" will be controlled by the h_g values: $V_2/V_1 \approx h_{g2}/h_{g1}$.

On the other hand, suppose that, as melting proceeds, boundary "2" involves large particles of size, δ_2 . Assume that δ_2 is large enough that the dimensionless heat flux q^* at boundary 2 depends on δ_2 . Suppose further that the ISV melt has just begun to contact the larger particles and that the melt is not particularly flattened. Then h_{g1} and h_{g2} should be commensurate, and for the sake of simplicity we assume they are both equal to a nominal value, h_g .

The ratio of melting rates is given by $V_2/V_1 = q_2/q_1$ which is estimated^(a) to be

$$V_2/V_1 \rightarrow q^{*2}/[q^{*2} + 1],$$

where q^* is a dimensionless term that is proportional to $\delta_2^{-1/2}$. As B_g becomes large, then asymptotically the ratio of melting rates becomes inversely proportional to δ_2 .

Using the rough estimate obtained from the "Dimensional Analysis of Convective Currents" section of this appendix, $h_g \approx 140 \text{ W/m}^2\text{°C}$, and noting that if $\delta = 1 \text{ in. (0.0254 m)}$, and using $k_p = 1 \text{ W/m°C}$, then $h_g \delta_2/k_p = B_g = 3.56$. This value is not large enough to justify use of an asymptotic analysis for large δ_2 . It does suggest, however, that this asymptotic analysis is more

(a) See text preceding Equation A.47.

accurate than assuming that δ_2 is very small. Therefore, it is instructive to draw inferences from the asymptotic analysis for large δ_2 . Also, as is discussed above, for $\delta = 1$ in, we find $q' \approx 1$. This also does not satisfy the condition $q' \gg 2K^2 = 72/\pi = 22.92$. However, since it is also not true that $q' \ll 1$, we can expect the asymptotic approximation of the effect of particle size on the ratio of melting rates to provide a rough idea of the behavior of the melt. To do this requires a computation of the term^(a)

$$q/q_g = (5.7 \times 10^4 \text{ W/m}^2) / [(140 \text{ W/m}^2\text{C})(1200^\circ\text{C})] = 0.34$$

where q is the average heat flux over the entire boundary (known from the rate of power generation), and $q_g \equiv h_{g2}(T_m - T_a)$ where h_{g2} is the heat transfer coefficient in the melt adjacent to boundary "2," which is in contact with the larger particles of diameter δ_2 . This computation is used to calculate a term $q^* \equiv (q/q_g)/\Gamma^{1/3}B_g$, where Γ is the fraction of the boundary area contacting small particles. The value of Γ is 4/5 if we consider the ISV melt to be a cube with the four sides contacting small particles, the bottom contacting large particles, and no melting occurring at its top surface. Using $B_g \approx 3.56$, we can estimate $q^* \approx 0.34\sqrt[3]{(3.56)(4/5)} = 0.6$. Finally, the ratio of melting rates at the two boundaries is estimated^(b) to be $V_2/V_1 = q^{*2}/[q^{*2} + 1] = 0.26$, or about 1/4. That is, the lateral melting rate would be four times the downward melting rate.

This approximation, although very rough, suggests that the effect of melting through sand and then contacting a bed of 2.5-cm gravel would be a substantial decrease in the downward melting rate, even if the convective heat transfer within the melt was uniform. The behavior observed during the 1987 large-scale radioactive test supports the results of this evaluation. Consequently, the presence of the artificial rock layer is thought to be the primary reason for the observed lateral growth.

(b) See text accompanying Equation A.48.

(a) See text following Equation A.48.

DETAILED ANALYSIS OF PARTICLE SIZE EFFECTS

An approximate heat transfer analysis of the behavior associated with melting at the advancing front of an ISV melt is presented. The analysis is developed first for melting into a region of particles of "earth" of uniform size, and then for melting simultaneously into two regions of substantially different particle size. Qualitative results and the formulation of a boundary condition for numerical analysis of convective heat transport are presented. Conclusions based on asymptotic results of the analysis and on limited comparison of theory with observations are presented at the end.

ISV Process Background

The ISV process involves heat transfer from an electrically heated molten region to a surrounding shell of unmelted particles. As a layer of these particles is incorporated into the melt, the melt can move to the next layer of particles. The melting rate is then the product of the frequency at which particles are incorporated into the melt and the distance moved at each step, which is the diameter of a particle. The frequency of incorporating particles is the reciprocal of the time required to incorporate a particle into the melt. Incorporating a particle into the melt amounts to increasing the particle temperature to the point that it is fluid enough to allow convective motion to extend through it and to the next particle to be heated.

The approximate analysis presented here addresses (1) the use of a pseudo-steady-state approximation to address temperature profiles near an advancing melting front, (2) spherically symmetric conduction of heat into particles in a uniform region of "earth" (soil, sand, rocks, etc.) followed by a particle becoming part of the melt when its average temperature reaches a "melting" temperature, (3) boundary conditions for describing melting that can be used in numerical models of convective heat transport in an ISV melt, (4) a partial comparison of theory with observations, including an estimate of an apparent natural convection heat transfer coefficient, and (5) melting occurring simultaneously into regions of vastly different particle size.

Pseudo-Steady-State Temperature Profiles

Once the ISV process is underway, pseudo-steady-state temperature profiles will exist in front of the advancing melt. Specifically, if we observe the temperatures within a coordinate system moving with the advancing

melt boundary, we see a heat flux outward and the earth moving inward. At constant pressure, the conservation of energy becomes

$$\rho V(dH/dx) = dq_x/dx \quad (A.1)$$

where ρ is the local density, V is the velocity of the advancing melt boundary, H is the local enthalpy per unit mass, x is the distance outward from the melt boundary, and q_x is the local outward heat flux. This applies to the porous earth as well as in the melt outside regions of significant electrical heat generation, such as the static shell imagined above to constitute heat transfer resistance near the boundary.

There is a direct relationship between the temperature rise from the ambient earth at the melt boundary, the heat flux from the melt to the boundary, and the velocity at which the boundary moves into the earth. For the sake of simplicity, we ignore the heat of vaporization of water. Setting $dH = C_p dT$, where C_p is the specific heat, we have

$$\rho C_p V(dT/dx) = dq_x/dx. \quad (A.2)$$

Integrating this from $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $T \rightarrow T_a$ and $q_x \rightarrow 0$, to x gives

$$\rho C_p V(T - T_a) = q_x. \quad (A.3)$$

Also for the sake of simplicity, we have assumed that ρ and C_p are constant with x . This is obviously not true for ρ , e.g. comparing the melt with the earth that is melted. However, the approximation will suffice for the purpose of this analysis.

If the heat flux to the boundary were constant over the boundary, it would be determined by the power P divided by the area A of the boundary. Denoting the temperature in the mixed molten glass as T_g , we would have

$$V = (P/A)/[\rho C_p(T_g - T_a)]. \quad (A.4)$$

We assume that the effect of T_g on V is strong enough that V becomes large enough to satisfy this relationship for some value of T_g .

In the earth near the melt, the temperature profile referred to the coordinate system moving with the advancing melt reaches a "pseudo-steady-state" profile, at which point the profile satisfies

$$-V(\partial T/\partial x) = \alpha(\partial^2 T/\partial x^2) \quad (A.5)$$

which has the solution $T = T_c \exp(-Vx/\alpha)$, where T_c is the temperature in the earth next to the melt. Here α is the thermal diffusivity in the earth. The ratio α/V is a characteristic length scale for the temperature profile. If we assume $P = 3.5 \times 10^6$ W, $A = 5(3.5^2)$ m² (5 sides of a cube whose edge is the electrode spacing), $\rho = 2238$ Kg/m³, $C_p = 1088$ J/Kg, and $(T_g - T_a) = 1600^\circ\text{C}$, then the velocity V would be 1.5×10^{-5} m/s. Also, $\alpha = k/\rho C_p = 4 \times 10^{-7}$, so the characteristic length for this situation would be 0.03 m, and 90% of the temperature change would occur within 0.07 m of the melt.

The time required to achieve the pseudo-steady-state temperature profile is found from the unsteady state heat balance:

$$\partial T/\partial t - V(\partial T/\partial x) = \alpha(\partial^2 T/\partial x^2). \quad (A.6)$$

A dimensional analysis shows that the characteristic time for the behavior described by this equation is α/V^2 . Using the value of V assumed above, this gives 0.5 hour. Therefore, for the nominal conditions assumed here, the temperature profile would be narrow compared to the size of the melt, and the time required to attain this profile would be small compared to the time required to generate the melt. This supports the use of a pseudo-steady-state approximation for the analyses presented below.

Analysis for Uniform Boundary Conditions

If the temperature at the interface between the melt and the melting

earth (soil, gravel, or other material) is T_i , and the heat flux across this interface is q_i , then we have

$$\rho C_p V (T_i - T_a) = q_i, \text{ or}$$

$$V = q_i / (\rho C_p (T_i - T_a)). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The heat flux q_i will depend on the difference between the temperature of the mixed molten glass, T_g , and T_i , and on the heat transfer coefficient in the molten glass at the interface, h_g . Since by definition of h_g we have also $q_i = h_g (T_g - T_i)$, then overall we must have

$$T_i = (h_g T_g + \rho C_p V T_a) / (h_g + \rho C_p V), \quad (\text{A.8})$$

so that T_i depends on V . Therefore, a relationship between V and T_i must be developed before a boundary condition is available for solving the convective heat transfer problem in the molten glass. Also, such a relationship is needed to estimate differences in melting that could occur when the melt encounters earth with different particle sizes simultaneously.

The following model of the processes in the melt boundary is used to develop a relationship between T_i and V . For the sake of simplicity, we have ignored the heat of vaporization of water. We assume that molten glass at temperature T_i contacts and envelops a layer of spherical particles, instantly raises the particle surface temperatures to T_i , and begins to heat the particles by spherically symmetric conduction within the particles. The melt accepts heat from the mixed molten glass at temperature T_g and passes it onto the particles at temperature T_i . Simultaneously, the melt heats the next layer of particles in accordance with a contact heat transfer coefficient h_c and with the temperature difference $(T_i - T_c)$, where T_c is the temperature of the particles just beyond those enveloped by the melt.

Particles beyond the plane of contact are assumed to be part of a homogeneous and continuous earth, ranging in temperature from T_a far from the melt to T_c at the plane of contact. The conservation of energy between these two locations becomes

$$q_c = \rho C_p V (T_c - T_a) \quad (A.9)$$

where q_c is the conductive heat flux across the plane of contact. By definition of h_c , this is also $q_c = h_c (T_i - T_c)$, so that overall we have

$$T_c = (h_c T_i + \rho C_p V T_a) / (h_c + \rho C_p V). \quad (A.10)$$

Since $h/\rho C_p$ has units of velocity, it is convenient to put $h_c = \rho C_p V_c$ and $h_g = \rho C_p V_g$, so that

$$T_i = (V_g T_g + V T_a) / (V_g + V) \quad (A.11)$$

$$T_c = (V_c T_i + V T_a) / (V_c + V). \quad (A.12)$$

Finally, we assume that a particle becomes fluid suddenly when its average temperature becomes T_m , a "melting" temperature. We assume that at that point the volume of the particle mixes instantly with the melt enveloping it, and its temperature rises from T_m to T_i as the fluid particle at the interface receives heat from the mixed molten glass, which is at temperature T_g . As heating occurs, the average temperature in the particles is described by

$$(T - T_0) / (T_i - T_0) = \phi, \quad (A.13)$$

where T_0 is the temperature of the particle at the moment it is enveloped by

the melt, and ϕ is a function^(a). Since prior to this moment the particle was at the plane of contact with the melt, its temperature was T_c . Therefore, we set $T_o = T_c$. The function ϕ can be approximated as

$$\phi(y) \approx 1 - \exp[-K(y + 1.32 y^2)], \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$y = \sqrt{(\alpha_p t/a^2)}, \quad K = 6/\sqrt{\pi} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$\alpha_p = k_p/\rho C_p, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

where α_p and k_p are the thermal diffusivity and thermal conductivity of the particle, which is assumed to have the same ρ and C_p as the melt, t is the time the particle is contacted by the melt, and a is the radius of the particle. If $y \ll 1/K$, then $\phi \approx Ky$; if $y \gg 1/K$, then $\phi \approx 1$. However, the above approximation cannot be used to estimate the value of $1-\phi$ for large y .

The time for the average temperature of the particle to reach T_m is the time required to incorporate the particle into the melt. If the melt is advancing at velocity V and the diameter of the particle is δ , then this time is δ/V . Therefore, since $a = \delta/2$, then $y = \sqrt{(2\alpha_p/V\delta)}$. Finally, setting $T = T_m$ gives

$$(T_m - T_c)/(T_i - T_c) = \phi(y). \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Hence, this ratio depends on V through the function ϕ , and also the temperatures T_c and T_i depend on V as well as on T_a , T_g , and ρC_p . Conversely, V is a function of δ , T_g , T_m , T_a , ρC_p , h_c , and h_g . The temperature T_g must adjust to cause the power consumed by the melting process to match the electrical power provided.

The effect of h_c and h_g can be most easily considered by introducing dimensionless variables and parameters. Using the relationships between T_i

(a) Carslaw and Jaeger (1959)

and T_c and T_g and T_a , and retaining the definitions of V_g and V_c , we can write the ratio $(T_m - T_c)/(T_i - T_c) = \phi$ as

$$\{[(T_m - T_a)/(T_i - T_a)](V_c + V) - V_c\}/V = \phi. \quad (A.18)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (V_c + V)/(V_c + \phi V) &= (T_i - T_a)/(T_m - T_a) \\ &= [V_g/(V_g + V)](T_g - T_a)/(T_m - T_a) \end{aligned} \quad (A.19)$$

We put

$$(T_g - T_a)/(T_m - T_a) = 1 + \beta, \quad \beta \equiv (T_g - T_m)/(T_m - T_a), \quad (A.20)$$

where β can be thought of as a dimensionless driving force for melting. Next, we make V dimensionless by putting $V^* = V\delta/\alpha_p = 2/y^2$. Finally, we similarly make V_g and V_c dimensionless, which leads to dimensionless groups known as Biot numbers: $B_g = V_g\delta/\alpha_p = h_g\delta/k_p$ and $B_c = V_c\delta/\alpha_p = h_c\delta/k_p$.

Substituting V^* , B_c , B_g , and β into the expression involving V , V_c , V_g , and $(T_g - T_m)/(T_m - T_a)$ gives

$$(B_c + V^*)(B_g + V^*) = B_g(B_c + \phi V^*)(1 + \beta). \quad (A.21)$$

Consider the case of no significant thermal resistance in the molten glass, such that $B_g \rightarrow \infty$, $T_i = T_g$, and hence $\beta = (T_i - T_m)/(T_m - T_a)$. Then we have

$$(B_c + V^*) = (B_c + \phi V^*)(1 + \beta). \quad (A.22)$$

Since from a heat balance from the interface, where $T = T_i = T_g$, to the ambient earth we have $q_i = \rho C_p V (T_g - T_a)$, and $T_g - T_a = (1+\beta)(T_m - T_a)$, and $V_* \equiv V \delta \rho C_p / k_p$ then

$$q_i = V_* [k_p (T_m - T_a) / \delta] (1+\beta). \quad (\text{A.23})$$

If we define $q_m \equiv k_p (T_m - T_a) / \delta$ and $q' \equiv q / q_m$, then

$$(1+\beta) = q' / V_* \quad (\text{A.24})$$

Therefore, substituting for $(1+\beta)$ gives

$$(B_c + V_*) = (B_c + \phi V_*) q' / V_*, \text{ or}$$

$$V_*^2 + V_* (B_c - q' \phi) - q' B_c = 0. \quad (\text{A.25})$$

The value of B_c is $V_c \delta / \alpha_p = h_c \delta / k_p$. The value of h_c will be the apparent thermal conductivity of the packed particles constituting the earth divided by the particle spacing δ . Therefore, $h_c = k_c / \delta$, and so $B_c = k_c / k_p$. Assuming the earth consists of particles with the same thermal conductivity as the particles being melted, then k_c is k_p modified to account for the porosity in the earth. At low temperatures, where radiation is not important, then based on correlations for packed beds of spheres we can expect $k_c \approx k_p / 2$. On the other hand, at high temperatures radiation will cause thermal equilibrium over the interior of interstices in the earth, making the earth behave as particles imbedded in a continuum of very high thermal conductivity. The thermal resistance of the earth then becomes that of solid particles with the conduction path length reduced by a factor $(1-E)$, where E is the porosity of the earth. This would give $k_c \approx k_p / (1-E)$. Considering both low and high temperature, we would expect $B_c = k_c / k_p$ to fall in the range 0.5 to perhaps 1.5, which is to say $B_c \approx 1$.

An asymptotic form is obtained if $q' \ll B_c/4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_* &= (B_c/2)[\sqrt{(1+4q'/B_c)} - 1] \\ &\approx q' - 2q'^2/B_c, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.26})$$

and $(1+\beta) = q'/V_* \approx 1 + 2q'/B_c$, or $q' \approx B_c\beta/2$. As $q' \rightarrow 0$, $\beta \rightarrow 0$, or $T_g \rightarrow T_m$ and, since we have assumed $B_g \rightarrow \infty$, $T_i = T_g$. That is, for sufficiently small heat flux the interface temperature becomes the melting temperature and is independent of the particle size δ .

As q' becomes large so does V_* . A second asymptotic form is obtained if V_* is large enough that $y \ll 1/K$, i.e. $V_* \gg 2K^2$. Then $\phi = Ky = K\sqrt{(2/V_*)}$, and

$$V_*^2 + V_*[B_c - q'K\sqrt{(2/V_*)}] - q'B_c = 0. \quad (\text{A.27})$$

Putting $x = \sqrt{V_*}$ and rearranging gives

$$x^3 = q'(K\sqrt{2} + B_c/x) - B_c x \quad (\text{A.28})$$

Since $V_* = x^2 \gg 2K^2$ and $B_c \approx 1$, then $B_c x \ll K\sqrt{2}$. If we assume $B_c x \ll q'K\sqrt{2}$, then $x^3 \approx q'K\sqrt{2}$. But then the assumption is $B_c x \ll x^3$, and this is already satisfied since we have already assumed $x^2 \gg 2K^2$. Solving for V_* gives $V_* = (q'K\sqrt{2})^{2/3}$, and hence $(1+\beta) = q'/V_* = (q'/2K^2)^{1/3}$. Finally, the condition that $V_* \gg 2K^2$ becomes $q' \gg 2K^2$. So, if $q' \gg 2K^2$, then $V_* = (q'K\sqrt{2})^{2/3}$, $q' = 2K^2(1+\beta)^3$, and $(1+\beta) = (q'/2K^2)^{1/3}$. Since q' is proportional to the particle size δ , then for this case the interface temperature is greater than the melting temperature and depends on the particle size.

Boundary Conditions for Numerical Analysis of Convective Heat Transport

A functional relationship between the interfacial heat flux, q_i , and the interfacial temperature, T_i , is needed to conduct numerical modeling studies of convection and heat transfer in the molten glass. To obtain this, we

continue to assume that $B_g \rightarrow \infty$ so that $T_i = T_g$ and $\beta = (T_i - T_m)/(T_m - T_a)$, or $T_i = T_m + (T_m - T_a)\beta$. Also, $q_i = q_m q' = q' k_p (T_m - T_a)/\delta$ and $q' = V_\star(1+\beta)$. Therefore, a functional relationship between $V_\star$ and β will provide a relationship between q_i and T_i . Since we have assumed $h_g \rightarrow \infty$ so that $T_i \rightarrow T_g$ the asymptotic expressions of the form $q' = F[\beta]$ provide boundary conditions of the form $q = F[T_i]$. The asymptotic boundary conditions become

$$q = h_c(T_i - T_m) = (k_c/\delta)(T_i - T_m), \text{ small } q' \quad (\text{A.29})$$

$$q = 2K^2(k_p/\delta)[(T_i - T_a)/(T_m - T_a)]^3(T_m - T_a), \text{ large } q'. \quad (\text{A.30})$$

For either asymptotic case the heat flux is predicted to depend inversely on the particle size for a given value of T_i .

A general relationship is available from the expression

$$(B_c + V_\star) = (B_c + \phi V_\star)(1 + \beta), \text{ with} \quad (\text{A.31})$$

$$\phi = \phi(V_\star). \quad (\text{A.32})$$

This is an explicit function of the form $\beta = f(V_\star)$. Unfortunately, since $V_\star = q'/(1+\beta)$ is a function of both q_i and T_i , the solution for either q_i or T_i is implicit. To implement the functional relationship as part of a numerical model will require either an explicit approximation of the implicit function, or inclusion of a solution algorithm in the numerical model.

Partial Comparison of Model with Observations

A typical value for $q' = q/q_m$ can be estimated from estimates of q_m . We assume the thermal conductivity and specific heat of the particles are the same as for the glass, 1 W/m°C and 1088 J/Kg°C, and that the melting

temperature is $T_m \approx 1200^\circ\text{C}$. Then for 1-mm particles (sandy soil),
 $q_m = 1.2 \times 10^6 \text{ W/m}^2$, and for 1-inch (0.0254 m) particles (stones),
 $q_m = 4.7 \times 10^4 \text{ W/m}^2$.

Taking the power as 3.5MW and the area of the boundary of the melt as five faces (four sides and bottom) of a cube with an edge the length of the electrode spacing, which is taken to be 3.5 m, then $q \approx 3.5 \times 10^6 / [5(3.5)^2] = 5.7 \times 10^4 \text{ W/m}^2$. Hence, values of q' are .05 for 1-mm particles and 1.2 for 1-in. particles. Hence, it appears that $q' \ll 1$ is satisfied for "soil," such that $\beta \rightarrow 0$, while $q' = 1$ for "stones." Therefore, we expect that the interface temperature will be the melting temperature when melting only soil, but if melting only stones the interface temperature at constant heat flux will depend nonlinearly on the flux and the size of the stones.

For the case of soil, since $q' \ll 1$, we expect $\beta \rightarrow 0$, so that $T_g \rightarrow T_m$. However, we observe $T_m \approx 1200^\circ\text{C}$ and $T_g \approx 1600^\circ\text{C}$. Evidently this difference is between T_g and T_i ; that is, B_g is small enough to have an effect. If we assume that $T_g - T_i \approx 400^\circ\text{C}$, and using $q_i \approx 5.7 \times 10^4 \text{ W/m}^2$, then we estimate $h_g = q_i / (T_g - T_i) \approx 140 \text{ W/m}^2\text{C}$. Converting this to a Nusselt number, we have $Nu = h_g L / k = (140 \text{ W/m}^2\text{C})(3.5 \text{ m}) / (1 \text{ W/mC}) = 40$.

For free convection from vertical plates, $Nu = 0.6(GrPr)^{1/4}$, where Gr and Pr are the Grashof and Prandtl numbers, respectively, so we would have $GrPr \approx 2 \times 10^7$. The Prandtl number is $Pr = \mu C_p / k = (10 \text{ Kg/m-sec})(1088 \text{ J/KgC}) / (1 \text{ W/mC}) \approx 10^4$, so then $Gr \approx 2000$. This is expected to be proportional to the Reynolds number when convection is driven only by buoyancy forces; the Reynolds number would be something like an order of magnitude smaller. Estimating $Re \approx Gr/10$, then the velocity in the glass would be $V \approx \mu Re / \rho L / 10 = (10 \text{ Kg/m-sec})(2000) / (2240 \text{ Kg/m}^3)(3.5 \text{ m}) / 10 = .26 \text{ m/s}$.

For free convection caused by a temperature difference imposed across a horizontal cell, where the width and length of the cell are the same, then $Nu = 0.075(GrPr)^{1/3}$. This gives $GrPr \approx 1.5 \times 10^8$, or $Gr \approx 1.5 \times 10^4$, and hence $V \approx 2 \text{ m/sec}$.

These estimates are about 2 and 3 orders of magnitude greater than velocities predicted to date by numerical modeling. One explanation is that heat transfer processes in the melt are more efficient based on the maximum velocity than is characteristic of natural convection with simple flow

patterns. However, the analysis presented here is too primitive to allow more than speculation.

One other important interpretation of the estimated value of h_g is obtained if we imagine that the heat transfer coefficient corresponds to a stagnant layer of glass surrounding a perfectly mixed region. Then in accordance with classical "film" theory for heat transfer we would have $h_g = k_p/\delta$, or $\delta = k_p/h_g = (1 \text{ W/m}^\circ\text{C})/(140 \text{ W/m}^2\text{ }^\circ\text{C}) = 0.007 \text{ m} = 1/4 \text{ inch}$.

Roughly, this means that for this situation heat transfer is predominately conductive within 1/4 inch of the boundary of the melt, or equivalently that substantial convection occurs as close as within 1/4 inch of the boundary. This means that if the particles being melted are much larger than 1/4 inch in size, then the region of predominately conductive heat transfer is significantly increased, since convection between the particles would be severely impeded and the heat transfer there must be predominately conductive. It shouldn't matter much whether the region surrounding the large particles is a void that must be filled by the melt or sand that must be melted, since in either case significant convection cannot occur there until the large particles are made fluid by melting them.

Analysis for Simultaneous Contact with Two Particle Sizes

Consider the case where the ISV melt simultaneously contacts two regions with different values of h_g and h_c . Suppose that $q' \ll 1$, as would be the case for soil. Then $q \approx (h_c/2)(T_i - T_m)$. Also, $q = h_g(T_g - T_i)$. Solving for the value of T_i that satisfies these relations simultaneously and substituting the value of T_i into either relation gives

$$q = (T_g - T_m)/(2/h_c + 1/h_g). \quad (\text{A.33})$$

Denoting the two regions of the boundary as "1" and "2" the total power transferred across the boundary is $P = A_1q_1 + A_2q_2$, where q_1 and q_2 are found from the above relation with the "1" and "2" values for h_g and h_c inserted.

The result is

$$P = (T_g - T_m)[A_1/(2/h_{c1} + 1/h_{g1}) + A_2/(2/h_{c2} + 1/h_{g2})]. \quad (A.34)$$

This expression determines the value of T_g , which must adjust such that the generated power P is exactly expended by the melting process (for simplicity, other heat losses, such as at the top of the melt, have been ignored). The local melting rate V is found from the local heat flux: $q = \rho C_p V (T_g - T_a)$. The ratio of melting rate at boundaries "1" and "2" is then the ratio of heat fluxes (in this case, where we have assumed $q' \ll 1$). Hence,

$$V_2/V_1 = q_2/q_1 = (2/h_{c1} + 1/h_{g1})/(2/h_{c2} + 1/h_{g2}), \quad (A.35)$$

and the ratio does not depend on T_g . If the particle size is small, $2/h_c = 2\delta/k_c$ will be small, and the ratio will be controlled by the h_g values: $V_2/V_1 \approx h_{g2}/h_{g1}$.

On the other hand, suppose that, as melting proceeds, boundary "2" encounters a large particle size, δ_2 . Assuming that, because δ_2 is large, $q' \gg 2K^2$, then

$$q_2 \approx 2K^2(k_p/\delta_2)[(T_i - T_a)/(T_m - T_a)]^3(T_m - T_a), \quad (A.36)$$

and, as before, $q_2 = h_{g2}(T_g - T_i)$. Combining these relations for q_2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} q_2/q_g &= (2K^2/B_{g2})[1 + \beta - q_2/q_g]^3, \text{ or} \\ 1 + \beta &= (q_2/q_g) + [(B_{g2}/2K^2)(q_2/q_g)]^{1/3}. \end{aligned} \quad (A.37)$$

where $q_g \equiv h_{g2}(T_m - T_a)$, $B_{g2} \equiv h_{g2}\delta_2/k_p$, and $\beta \equiv (T_g - T_m)/(T_m - T_a)$. Also, the power must satisfy the condition

$$P = A_1 h_{g1}(T_m - T_a)[1 + \beta] + A_2 h_{g2}(T_m - T_a)(2K^2/B_{g2})[1 + \beta - q_2/q_g]^3. \quad (A.38)$$

Substituting for $1 + \beta$ from above gives

$$P = A_1 h_{g1}(T_m - T_a) \{ (q_2/q_g) + [(B_{g2}/2K^2)(q_2/q_g)]^{1/3} \} + A_2 h_{g2}(T_m - T_a)(q_2/q_g) \quad (A.39)$$

Dividing P by the total area gives an average heat flux over the boundary, $\bar{q}$. Dividing this by $q_m \equiv k_p(T_m - T_a)/\delta_2$ gives $\bar{q}'$, an average value of q' for the boundary. Making P dimensionless in this way gives

$$\bar{q}' \equiv P/[(A_1 + A_2)q_m] = \Gamma B_{g1} \{ (q_2/q_g) + [(B_{g2}/2K^2)(q_2/q_g)]^{1/3} \} + (1 - \Gamma)B_g(q_2/q_g) \quad (A.40)$$

where $\Gamma \equiv A_1/(A_1 + A_2)$ and B_{g1} is now based on δ_2 : $B_{g1} \equiv h_{g1}\delta_2/k_p$. The value of q_2/q_g and β must adjust to satisfy this. Therefore, q/q_g is an implicit function of $\bar{q}'$, Γ , B_{g1} , and B_{g2} . If we imagine the ISV melt has just begun to contact the larger particles and that the melt is not particularly flattened, then h_{g1} and h_{g2} , and hence B_{g1} and B_{g2} , would be similar, and for the sake of simplicity we can assume they are both equal to a nominal value $B_g = h_g\delta_2/k_p$. This gives

$$\bar{q}' \equiv P/[(A_1 + A_2)q_m] \approx \Gamma B_g \{ (q_2/q_g) + [(B_g/2K^2)(q_2/q_g)]^{1/3} \} + (1 - \Gamma)B_g(q_2/q_g) \quad (A.41)$$

By putting $x \equiv q_2/q_g$ and $z \equiv \sqrt{(2K^2/B_g)}x$, the above equation can be simplified to give

$$\bar{q}'(2K^2/B_g^3)^{1/2} = z + \Gamma z^{1/3}. \quad (A.42)$$

Re-expanding the term $q'(2K^2/B_g^3)^{1/2}$ gives

$$[(P/A)/h_g(T_m - T_a)](k_p/\delta_2 h_g)^{1/2} \approx z + \Gamma z^{1/3}. \quad (A.43)$$

If B_g , which is proportional to δ_2 , is large, the left hand side of this expression, which is $2K^2/B_g^3$, will be small. Considering the asymptotic case of the left hand side decreasing to zero, then we must also have $z \rightarrow 0$, and hence the right hand side $\rightarrow \Gamma z^{1/3}$. Solving for z , expanding z in terms of q_2 , and rearranging gives

$$(q_2/q_g) \rightarrow (q/q_g)^3/\sqrt{(2K^2)\Gamma B_g}, \quad (A.44)$$

where $q \equiv P/A$. Substituting this into the approximate expression for $1+\beta$ gives

$$1+\beta \rightarrow (q/q_g)^3/\sqrt{(2K^2)\Gamma B_g} + (q/q_g)/[\Gamma^{1/3} \sqrt{(2K^2)}]. \quad (A.45)$$

Substituting this into the expression $q_1 = h_g(T_m - T_a)(1+\beta)$ gives

$$(q_1/q_g) \rightarrow (q/q_g)^3/\sqrt{(2K^2)\Gamma B_g} + (q/q_g)/[\Gamma^{1/3} \sqrt{(2K^2)}] \quad (A.46)$$

The ratio of melting rates is given by $V_2/V_1 = q_2/q_1$. Substituting for q_2 and q_1 gives

$$V_2/V_1 \rightarrow [(q/q_g)^3/\sqrt{(2K^2)\Gamma B_g}]/[(q/q_g)^3/\sqrt{(2K^2)\Gamma B_g} + (q/q_g)/\Gamma^{1/3} \sqrt{(2K^2)}], \text{ or}$$

$$V_2/V_1 \rightarrow q^{*2} / [q^{*2} + 1], \quad (A.47)$$

where $q^* \equiv (q/q_g)/\Gamma^{1/3}\sqrt{B_g}$. Therefore, as q^* becomes small, V_2/V_1 becomes proportional to q^{*2} . The value of q^* is proportional to $(B_g)^{-1/2}$, and hence to $\delta_2^{-1/2}$. Therefore, as δ_2 becomes large, q^* becomes small, and the ratio of

melting rates becomes inversely proportional to δ_2 . However, it is the size of δ_2 compared to k_p/h_g (i.e., the value of B_g), and not the ratio δ_2/δ_1 that is important. All of this is based on the assumptions made that both δ_1 is small enough that $\delta_1/k_c \ll 1/h_g$ at boundary "1" and δ_2 is large enough that $q' \gg 2K^2$ at boundary "2".

Using the rough estimate obtained above that $h_g \approx 140 \text{ W/m}^2\text{C}$, and noting that if $\delta = 1 \text{ in. (0.0254 m)}$, and using again $k_c = k_p = 1 \text{ W/m}^2\text{C}$, then $h_g\delta_2/k_p = B_g \approx 3.56$. Then $[(2K^2/B_g)^3]^{1/2} = 0.7$, which does not satisfy the condition $\ll 1$. However, it is less than unity, and so we can try to draw inferences from the asymptotic analysis.

As is discussed above, for $\delta = 1 \text{ in.}$ we find $q' \approx 1$. This does not satisfy the condition $q' \gg 2K^2 = 72/\pi = 22.92$. However, since it is also not true that $q' \ll 1$, we can expect our asymptotic analysis of the effect of particle size on the ratio of melting rates to describe the trend, if not the quantitative effect, for the system of interest. Thus proceeding, we can estimate

$$q/q_g \approx (5.7 \times 10^4 \text{ W/m}^2) / [(140 \text{ W/m}^2\text{C})(1200^\circ\text{C})] = 0.34. \quad (\text{A.48})$$

The value of Γ is $4/5$ if we consider the ISV melt to be a cube with the four sides contacting small particles, the bottom contacting large particles, and no melting occurring at its top surface. Using the above estimate of $B_g \approx 3.56$, we can estimate $q^* \approx 0.34\sqrt{(3.56)(4/5)}^{1/3} = 0.6$. This is small enough that the ratio of melting rates is substantially influenced by q^* , and hence by the 1-in. particle size. The asymptotic ratio would be given by $q^{*2}/[q^{*2} + 1] = 0.26$, or about $1/4$. That is, the lateral melting rate would be four times the downward melting rate.

This result, although fraught with the danger of over-interpreting what are approximate results derived for limiting conditions, suggests that the effect of melting through sand and contacting a bed of 1-in. gravel would be a substantial decrease in the downward melting rate.

COMPOSITIONAL DIFFERENCES

The findings associated with encountering layers of larger size rocks can also be applied to heterogeneous layers of varying soil compositions. Even if the particle size of the soil throughout the vitrified depth is uniform, encountering a layer of higher melting temperature soil after a melt has been established with a lower melting point soil will slow the downward vitrification rate. Just as with encountering a rock layer, the vitrification zone will then grow primarily outward.

Even though layers of varying soil or sludge types may affect the downward growth rate, this effect was not encountered during the 1987 large-scale radioactive test. Approximately 75% of the rocks encountered were basaltic, which have a composition and melting properties that are identical to that of the vitreous material produced from the soil above the rock layer. The remaining rocks are quartzite rocks, with silica concentrations up to 98 wt%. Although the melting point of the quartzite is 1600°C to 1700°C, the rocks undergo a change in density when exposed to temperatures of about 1400°C, changing from a specific gravity of 2.6 to 2.17. Since the specific gravity of glass is about 2.6, the rocks will float without being exposed to the higher melting temperature. Therefore, the compositional differences of the rocks are not thought to have contributed to the lateral growth of the vitrified mass; the lateral growth is due instead to a layer of larger particle sizes.

THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY DIFFERENCES

The rock layer is expected to have a lower thermal conductivity than the overlying sandy soil because it has a high void volume. Materials with a high void content generally have lower thermal conductivities. Even so, thermal conductivity is not thought to have a significant effect in downward melting rate. A lower thermal conductivity would result in less thermal stratification of the melt since the bottom would more closely approach adiabatic conditions. When the temperature is less stratified, an improved downward melting rate would be expected. Consequently the lower thermal conductivity of the rock layer would have acted to promote downward growth. Since the opposite effect was observed, the differences in thermal conductivity can be largely discounted as contributing to downward or lateral growth.

SCALE-UP EFFECTS

Two approaches were taken to determine whether the ISV process inherently tends to promote lateral growth as the process is scaled up. The first approach is a theoretical dimensional analysis of convection currents. The second approach involves conducting a series of fluid dynamic models at various geometric configurations. The fluid dynamic modeling was more conclusive than the theoretical dimensional analysis; its results revealed a correlation of enhanced lateral growth with larger scales. This scale-up effect must be incorporated into the existing ISV predictive model used to predict melt shapes and time and energy requirements. However, scale-up alone did not account for the lateral growth observed during the large-scale radioactive test. The depth was inhibited primarily by the presence of the rock layer. Nevertheless, until a larger hood is available to support the wider blocks achieved with the large-scale system, engineering techniques are recommended to enhance downward growth for applications in excess of 5 m.

Dimensional Analysis of Convective Currents

A dimensional analysis of the convection currents in the ISV melt shows that substantial convective heat transfer must occur. It also suggests that the apparent "film thickness" of stagnant glass outside the central convection zone in the ISV melt may be on the order of only 0.5 cm thick. However, the analysis is only approximate and cannot yet be validated against the results of numerical computation of convection in the melt. No definitive conclusions could be made as to the effects of scale-up on melt shape by this analysis. The dimensional analysis is developed in the following discussion.

No temperature difference is imposed in the ISV process; instead, power is imposed, which results in a temperature increase from the melt boundary to its core. If we imagine the melt is a sphere heated uniformly with total power P and where the melt is static, then the temperature rise would be

$$\Delta T = P / 8\pi k R$$

where R is the radius of the sphere and k is the thermal conductivity of the melt. If the melt is actually a cube inscribing the electrodes, its volume would be Le^3 , where Le is the electrode spacing. Using $Le = 3.5$ m, the radius

of a sphere containing the same volume is $R = 2.17$ m, and using $P = 3 \times 10^6$ W and $k = 1$ W/m°C, then $\Delta T = 5 \times 10^4$ °C. The observed temperature rise from the melting point to the melt temperature is about $1600^\circ\text{C} - 1200^\circ\text{C} = 400^\circ\text{C}$. This is smaller than the temperature difference predicted from conduction alone by 2 orders of magnitude, so convective heat transfer must be important.

Since the velocity is zero at the boundaries of the melt, heat must be removed by conduction across the boundaries. Convection near the boundary is not in the direction (i.e., normal to the boundary) of heat transport. The effect of convection is to decrease the temperature gradient within a mixed region in the cavity, thereby increasing the gradient near the boundaries and hence increasing the rate of removal of heat. If we now imagine that heat is conducted across a spherical shell of stagnant melt surrounding a mixed and electrically heated region, the relation between the power generated and the temperature drop across the shell is

$$\Delta T = (P/4\pi rk)(R_2 - R_1)/R_1 R_2$$

If we set $\Delta T = 400^\circ\text{C}$ and use $R_2 = 2.17$ m, we find the thickness of the conduction shell would be only 1 cm. In effect, the temperature of the melt must increase until the buoyancy driving force for natural convection and the fluidity of the melt are sufficient to extend convective heat transfer close enough (e.g., ≈ 1 cm) to the boundary that the conductive heat transfer step occurs as fast as heat is generated in the melt. However, if for half of the effective heat transfer area the effective conduction thickness were 0.5 cm, then no conduction at all would be required over the remaining area. Hence although the convective heat transfer coefficient must attain some minimum value, it need not be uniform over the boundary.

For the case of ISV in soil, typical values for the melting temperature of the soil and the temperature in the melt are $T_m \approx 1200^\circ\text{C}$ and $T_g \approx 1600^\circ\text{C}$, respectively. Assuming that the boundary of the melt is at the melting temperature, then the 400°C difference is associated with a convective heat transfer resistance in the melt near the boundary. If we assume $\Delta T = 400^\circ\text{C}$, and using a typical heat flux at the interface between the melt and the soil of $q_i = 5.7 \times 10^4$ W/m², then we estimate the convective heat transfer coefficient in the melt to be about 140 W/m²°C. Converting this to a

dimensionless form using the electrode spacing, the Nusselt number would be about 40.

If we imagine that the heat transfer coefficient corresponds to a stagnant layer of glass surrounding a perfectly mixed region, then in accordance with classical "film" theory for heat transfer we would estimate the thickness of a stagnant "film" of molten glass at the edge of the melt to be 0.5 cm. Hence, it would appear that for this situation heat transfer is predominately conductive within 0.5 cm of the boundary of the melt, or equivalently that substantial convection occurs as close as within 0.5 cm of the boundary. This means that if the particles being melted are much larger than 0.5 cm in size, then the region of predominately y conductive heat transfer is significantly increased, since convection between the particles would be severely impeded and the heat transfer there would have to be predominately conductive. It should not matter much whether the region surrounding the large particles is a void that must be filled by the melt, or sand that must be melted, since in either case significant convection cannot occur there until the large particles are made fluid by melting them.

If the apparent Nusselt number of 40 is compared with correlations for natural convective heat transfer at vertical plates or within a horizontal cavity, crude estimates of the corresponding velocity in the melt can be made. However, these estimates are about 2 and 3 orders of magnitude greater than velocities predicted by numerical modeling. Drawing conclusions from this simple analysis on the effects of scale with such a discrepancy from more sophisticated fluid dynamic modeling results is not recommended. Therefore, the results obtained from numerical modeling are used to determine the effects of scale-up on melt shape.

Fluid Dynamic Modeling Results

A series of computer simulations were performed to assess the thermo- and fluid-dynamic response to the imposed electric field for each of three scales of ISV (i.e., engineering-, pilot-, and large-scale units). The information obtained in these computer simulations serves to enhance our understanding of the processes at work in ISV. The computer simulations were performed using the EL7 version of the TEMPEST code (Trent et al. 1985).

Material Properties

The thermodynamic, transport, and electrical properties of the soil were obtained from Buelt et al. (1987). The data for Hanford soil was chosen for these studies. The electrodes used in these ISV facilities were composed of a molybdenum core encased in a graphite collar. The thermal and electrical properties associated with these materials were obtained from Touloukian and Ho (1970). The densities for the molybdenum and graphite are essentially constant over the temperature range of interest in these studies. A density of 10,230 kg/m³ was used for the molybdenum, and 1620 kg/m³ was used for the graphite.

Summary of Fluid Dynamic Modeling Results

The important parameters appear to be scale, power level, power-to-surface area ratio (governed by power level and/or electrode spacing), and, to a lesser degree, electrode diameter-to-spacing ratio. As the scale increases, the percentage of bottom heat transfer and relative downward growth decreases. Increasing the power supplied to the electrodes tends to produce a hotter melt region, larger flow speeds, promotes mixing, and consequently, enhances the percentage of total heat loss passing through the bottom surface of the vitreous zone.

Thus, higher power levels would enhance downward growth. Similarly, increasing the power-to-surface area ratio with a narrower electrode spacing produces a more uniform temperature for the core region, thereby enhancing heat transfer to the bottom surface of the melt. Also, as lateral growth is increased, the temperature profiles become less stratified, indicating a limit in lateral growth exists before downward melting becomes more prominent. The task force recommends that these results be accounted for in future updates of the ISV model used to predict melt shapes and time and energy requirements.

DIFFERENCES IN ELECTRODE TYPE

The current reference electrode design uses a 5-cm-dia. molybdenum core inside a 30-cm-dia. graphite collar^(a). Previous ISV experience was based on

(a) Advances in the ISV technology since the time of the Task Force's work have demonstrated the utility of using all-graphite electrodes. Hence, the pure graphite electrode is now the current reference electrode design.

pure molybdenum electrodes. The two electrode types have a significant difference in melting behavior. Use of pure molybdenum electrodes was abandoned because of extensive growth of a porous cold cap on the surface of the vitrified product. Graphite collars promote cold cap subsidence because their larger diameter transmits more heat to the surface, the molten glass does not wet the graphite surface, and graphite reduces the oxidation state of molten glass. All of these factors contribute to the release of gases generated during the process, which reduces or eliminates the porous cold cap on the surface of the melt. With the cold cap eliminated, the surface soil sloughs into the subsidence void and melts. The energy required to melt the surface soil that sloughed onto the melt surface reduces the amount of energy available to melt downward, thus slowing the downward melting rate. Large-scale test data with molybdenum and molybdenum/graphite electrodes demonstrate that processing efficiency is not affected, maintaining an energy-to-mass rate of about 0.7 kWh/kg. When predicting the energy and time to achieve a required depth, the electrode types and amount of soil sloughing from the surface must be considered.

A comparison of two pilot-scale tests using two different electrode types under otherwise similar conditions demonstrated the influence of graphite collared electrodes. Tests with graphite collared electrodes eliminated the cold cap and allowed sloughing of soil at the surface, a phenomenon that does not occur with pure molybdenum electrodes. The extra energy required to melt the sloughed surface material reduced the achieved depth to 2.4 m for the graphite collared electrodes, as compared to 3.6 m for pure molybdenum electrodes. No discernible difference in melt shape was observed. For a given processing time, the lower achieved depth for graphite electrodes is expected due to the fact that the energy and time required to melt the sloughed surface soil diminished that available for downward melting.

The influence of using graphite collared electrodes is also demonstrated through the predictions of the ISV mathematical model. The model had been upgraded to account for surface sloughing as recommended by this Task Force. Model runs demonstrated that for the same time and energy, the depth that would have been achieved with molybdenum electrodes without surface sloughing is 5.8 m, whereas with graphite collars and surface sloughing at a 45° angle of repose, the depth was 5 m. Melt shape was not appreciably affected.

Although the use of graphite electrodes impacts the amount of depth achieved in a given amount of time, it was clearly not responsible for the

amount of lateral growth observed from the 1987 large-scale radioactive ISV test. Negating the rock layer effects, the old version of the predictive model, which did not account for surface sloughing, estimated 360 MWh were needed to achieve the 7.1 m depth. The amount of energy necessary to achieve the 7.1 m depth at a 45° sloughing angle would have been 490 MWh. The amount of energy dissipated in the large-scale run was 460 MWh, far in excess of the predicted 240 MWh needed for the 5 m depth that was achieved. The upgraded model, that accounts for surface sloughing, should be used for predicting the ISV melt shape and energy requirements for future applications.

An important aspect of using graphite collars, which contribute to surface sloughing, is that they improve the overall effectiveness of ISV by eliminating unvittrified portions of soil near the surface. Molybdenum electrodes did not contribute to surface sloughing, leaving unvittrified portions near the surface between electrode settings.

EFFECT OF DISTURBED, NONCOMPACTED SOIL

The 1987 large-scale radioactive test was performed near the edge of a backfilled crib constructed in the 1950s. The effect on melt shape of vitrifying through a partially disturbed soil region was evaluated. The evaluation concluded that the undisturbed soil did not influence the melt shape of the vitrified block. Since the vitrification process was conducted near the edge of the crib, the lateral growth into the undisturbed region was compared with the growth rate into the disturbed soil. The effect of disturbed soil was discounted because probes inserted around the edges of the radioactive test block showed the block to be symmetrical. The process melted into the disturbed and undisturbed soil regions equally, with no discernible difference in melt shape.

MOISTURE EFFECTS

The Task Force considered the possibility that recharge of moisture through the rock layer slowed the downward melting rate. Recharge was considered from two sources: 1) free liquid flow toward the vitrified zone through the rock layer from other areas of the crib and 2) reflux of moisture due to an evaporation, condensation, and capillary recharge cycle within the rock layer.

Moisture effects were largely discounted in the evaluation. Moisture could not have accumulated in the rocks because the crib is designed to drain. The insertion and removal of electrode casings prior to the test would further promote draining. In addition, mass balances show that the soil moisture was completely recovered by the off-gas system. A water recharge layer would have resulted in a greater amount of water recovery. Reflux within the rock layer is highly unlikely because rock is a capillary barrier. Capillary action is the only known mechanism available to draw moisture back to the vitreous zone (Buelte 1988).

DOWNTIME EFFECTS

The large-scale radioactive test operated at 46% of total operating efficiency (46% of maximum production rate) (Buelte and Westsik 1988). The primary reason for the loss in operating efficiency was 68 hours of downtime for transformer repairs during the middle of the test. The Task Force evaluated the effects of this downtime on the shape of the melt and concluded that downtime was not the cause of the lateral growth.

When the power to the electrodes is shutdown or reduced, the equilibrium temperature in the melt begins to decrease. Growth in the outward and downward directions slows, and the thermal gradients outside the block become less pronounced. Observations of crystalline growth in the lower half of the pilot-scale vitrified blocks indicate more rapid cooling occurs in the upper half of the block. This observation is explained by the fact that the surface is exposed to air with only a thin layer of insulation, whereas the bottom and sides are surrounded by highly insulative soil. When power is restored, the current will be directed preferentially to the lower half of the molten soil. This will concentrate higher temperatures near the bottom, promoting downward growth until equilibrium temperatures are re-established in the molten soil. The effect appears to be insignificant since it did not overcome the lateral growth imposed by the rock layer. Therefore, in conclusion, the Task Force concluded that the downtime during operation has no discernible effect on the shape of the melt.

BROKEN ELECTRODES

Nonradioactive tests conducted prior to the 1987 large-scale radioactive test demonstrated the significance that broken electrodes have on melt shape.

Broken electrodes do not generally protrude to the bottom of the melt, which prevents power from being distributed near the bottom of the electrodes. The vitrified block formed during a large-scale test conducted in February 1986 exhibited lateral growth because of the broken electrode effect (Buel et al. 1987). The actual block shape was obtained from measurements after partial excavation of the vitrified block and illustrated a melt shape shallower and wider than predicted by the ISV model.

Fluid dynamic modeling explains this behavior. For the case of a broken electrode, the power generation curve is skewed away from the bottom of the melt. Consequently, the downward melting rate is expected to be inhibited for this case. Results from the melt shape observations from the 1986 large-scale test and fluid dynamic modeling illustrate the importance of extending the electrodes to the bottom of the melt at all times to avoid lateral growth. However, since all four electrodes remained intact during the 1987 large-scale radioactive test, broken electrodes were not the cause of lateral growth exhibited.

ENTRAINMENT OR SUSPENSION OF PARTICLES

One of the postulations examined by the Task Force was the ability of the convective currents within the molten glass to sweep soil particles from the sides of the molten soil preferentially to those from the bottom. The Task Force evaluated whether the convective currents would be strong enough to sweep soil particles into the melt without fully melting them. Fluid dynamic modeling results indicate that convective current velocities are greater on the sides, and that upward velocities would be insufficient to sweep particles from the bottom. Suspension of particles from the sides would have the effect of preferentially melting outward.

The Task Force examined numerous cross sections of vitrified blocks to determine if this behavior was occurring during the ISV process. Examination of the cross sections revealed that the grains of soil fuse together before coming in contact with the convective currents. The edge of the fused soil particles are also protected from being swept into the molten glass by a thin layer (≈ 1 cm) of highly viscous molten soil. No discernible difference was evident between the melting behavior downward and the melting in the lateral direction. No evidence of sweeping of soil particles in the lateral or downward directions in any of the cross sections could be found.

Consequently, the Task Force concluded that melting rates in the downward and outward directions are dependent on heat flux and that no physical entrainment of soil particles occurs. Thus, this effect was discounted.

DISTRIBUTION

<u>No. of Copies</u>		<u>No. of Copies</u>	
	<u>OFFSITE</u>		J. Haugen DOE Chicago Field Office 9800 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439
12	DOE/Office of Scientific and Technical Information		
21	DOE Office of Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Trevion II Building 12800 Middlebrook Road Germantown, MD 20874 ATTN: W. W. Alexander T. D. Anderson J. E. Baublitz D. L. Biancasino J. O. Boda J. Coleman S. P. Cowan J. J. Fiore C. W. Frank K. O. Hain J. M. Lankford J. C. Lehr S. C. Lein J. E. Lytle S. A. Mann S. M. Prestwich M. Shupe C. H. Sink L. H. Taylor H. F. Walter R. P. Whitfield		J. Hall DOE Nevada Field Office P.O. Box 98518 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8518 R. Tyler DOE Rocky Flats Office DOE Building 116 Golden, CO 80402-0928 S. Ketola DOE West Valley Project P.O. Box 191 West Valley, NY 14171 W. Fitch DOE Idaho Operations Office 785 DOE Place Idaho Falls, ID 83402 M. O'Rear DOE Savannah River Operations Office P.O. Box A Aiken, SC 29801
2	DOE Albuquerque Operations Office P.O. Box 5400 Albuquerque, NM 87115 ATTN: P. A. Saxman O. H. Bandy W. Holman DOE San Francisco Field Office 1333 Broadway Oakland, CA 94612	4	DOE Oak Ridge Office P.O. Box 2001 Oak Ridge, TN 37831 ATTN: J. Moore (2) J. Sweeney (2)
		3	Battelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue Columbus, OH 43201 ATTN: W. A. Carbeiner R. A. Nathan Technical Library

No. of
Copies

No. of
Copies

	Jesse L. Yow, Jr. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 7000 East Avenue Livermore, CA 94550	5	EG&G Idaho P.O. Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83415 ATTN: J. L. McAnnaly S. K. Merrill D. F. Nickelson R. L. Norland R. R. Stiger
	M.A.H. Reimus Los Alamos National Laboratory P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545		L. Rogers EG&G Energy Measurements, Inc. P.O. Box 1912, MS RSL-11 Las Vegas, NV 89125
	J. Koger Martin Marietta Energy Systems P.O. Box 2009 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8097		B. Haas Ames Laboratory 7 Spedding Hall Iowa State University Ames, IA 50011
	P. T. Owen Martin Marietta Energy Systems P.O. Box 2003 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-7256	6	Westinghouse Savannah River Company P.O. Box 616 Aiken, SC 29801 ATTN: J. S. Haselow C. M. Jantzen M. J. Plodinec J. F. Sproull J. L. Steele T. Walton
5	Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box Y Oak Ridge, TN 37830 ATTN: G. K. Jacobs (2) M. T. Naney B. P. Spalding (2)		J. M. Pope West Valley Nuclear Services Co. P.O. Box 191 West Valley, NY 14171
	K. Nuhfer Westinghouse Materials Co. of Ohio P.O. Box 398704 Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704		
5	Sandia Laboratories P.O. Box 5800 Albuquerque, NM 87185 ATTN: D. Berry R. Knowlton J. Phelan L. D. Tyler Technical Library		

No. of
Copies

4 Geosafe Corporation
Port of Benton Building
2950 George Washington Way
Richland, WA 99352
ATTN: C. L. Timmerman (2)
B. E. Campbell
J. E. Hanson

ONSITE

2 DOE Richland Field Office

E. A. Bracken, A6-95
D. E. Trader, A5-90

13 Westinghouse Hanford Company

W. C. Alaconis, L4-92
H. Babad, B3-68
J. D. Berger, L0-18
J. W. Cammann, H4-54
K. R. Fecht, H4-56
R. E. Lerch, B2-35
R. L. Gilchrist, L5-63
H. E. McGuire, B2-35
J. W. Shade, R4-03
D. A. Turner, R1-10
D. D. Wodrich, R1-48
R. D. Wojtasek, B2-15
B. A. Wolfe, L5-61

No. of
Copies

25 Pacific Northwest Laboratory

K. A. Borgeson, P7-46
J. L. Buel, P7-41
H. C. Burkholder, P7-41
W. L. Kuhn, P8-38
P. A. Lowery, K7-15
J. Luey, P7-34 (5)
J. L. McElroy, P7-46
M. E. Peterson, P7-41
T. D. Powell, P7-34
S. C. Slate, K1-25
S. L. Stein, K1-25
L. E. Thompson, P7-34
J. S. Tixier, P7-34
C. L. Widrig, P7-34
S. L. Woosley, P7-34
Publishing Coordination
Technical Report Files (5)