1100 Wayne Avenue, Suite 1100
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
301/587-8202

MANUFACTURED TO AIIM STANDARDS
BY APPLIED IMAGE, INC.

1 of 1

SSCL-Preprint-352

May 1993

Distribution Category: 414

E. Tsyganov

R. Meinke

W. Nexsen

S. Kauffmann

A. Zinchenko

A. Taratin

RECEIVED

JUN 01 1993

OSTI

Electron Beam Emittance Monitor for the SSC

**Superconducting Super Collider
Laboratory**

Disclaimer Notice

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government or any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Superconducting Super Collider Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.

Electron Beam Emittance Monitor for the SSC*

E. Tsyganov, R. Meinke, W. Nexsen,
S. Kauffmann, A. Zinchenko, and A. Taratin

Superconducting Super Collider Laboratory†
2550 Beckleymeade Ave.
Dallas, TX 75237

May 1993

MASTER

*To be presented at the 1993 IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference on May 17-20, Washington, D.C.

†Operated by the Universities Research Association, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC35-89ER40486.

Electron Beam Emittance Monitor for the SSC

E. Tsyganov, R. Meinke, W. Nexsen, S. Kauffmann, A. Zinchenko, and A. Taratin
Superconducting Super Collider Laboratory*
2550 Beckleymeade Ave., Dallas, TX 75237 USA

Abstract

A nondestructive beam profile monitor for the Superconducting Super Collider (SSC) is presented using as a probe a low-energy electron beam interacting with the proton bunch charge. Results using a full Monte Carlo simulation code look promising for the transverse and longitudinal beam profile measurements.

Recently a low-energy electron beam was proposed [1] for nonperturbing diagnostics of high-energy electron beams, based on earlier works [2,3]. We studied a similar approach in connection with applications for the SSC collider and its transfer lines.

A diagram of the beam emittance monitor for the SSC using a low-energy probe electron beam is presented in Figure 1. A strobed electron gun directs the beam of 10-KeV electrons perpendicular to the proton beam. Deflected electrons are detected with a position sensitive detector. A silicon microstrip detector seems to be a perfect candidate for this. The monitor can use a well-focused electron beam sweeping through the proton beam, or a wide, parallel electron beam. Single bunch measurements are possible in the latter case, *i.e.*, when illuminating a bunch of high-energy particles by a wide parallel electron beam one can obtain a specific "shadow picture" of the proton bunch. The duration of the pulse of electrons in this case should be shorter than the duration of the proton bunch.

Figure 1. Electron beam profile monitor for the SSC collider.

We used the ZBEAM simulation code described elsewhere [4,5] to trace probe electrons in the vicinity of a 20-TeV beam. Electrons were traced from $Y = -1$ cm to $Y = 2$ cm, Y is being the direction of the electron beam. The Z -direction corresponds to the direction of a proton beam. Deflection angles of electrons in the X - Y plane were calculated versus the probe beam position along the X -direction.

The bunch structure of the 20-TeV beam was taken into account. The bunch was considered as a moving charge equivalent to the charge of 10^{10} protons with three-dimensional Gaussian distribution in space. A Gaussian distribution with sigma equal to 5 cm was used in the Z -direction. Four beam sizes were considered with $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y$, for sigma being equal to 50 μ m, 100 μ m, 200 μ m and 500 μ m. The electrons were exactly synchronized with the proton bunch. In our case the Z -component of the electrical field of the bunch is rather small, and we neglect it.

It was found that the electron deflection angle is quite sensitive to the position of the electron beam inside the proton bunch. Figure 2 presents the scattering angle of the electron versus its distance from the center of proton beam (impact parameter) for different beam sizes. By measuring the deflection of the electron beam, it is possible to obtain the rms size of the beam with good precision. The probing electron beam would be swept across the main beam to obtain a full deflection profile. Electrons should be focused in the plane of the proton beam in a spot less than 10 μ m in size in order to not introduce any significant broadening to the measured beam size. Figure 3 demonstrates the sensitivity of the method to the shape of the charge distribution inside the bunch. Scattering angles versus impact parameter are presented for Gaussian and uniform charge distributions in the X - Y plane with the same rms, 50 μ m. In the Z -direction both distributions are Gaussian with sigma of 5 cm. An obvious difference between the two curves is seen.

A notable, and not entirely intuitive, feature of Figures 2 and 3 is the leveling off of the deflection angle for values of the impact parameter which exceed the X -extent of the beam. If the impact parameter b is beyond the X -extent of the beam, but still very small compared to the Z -extent of the bunch, it is reasonable to model the force felt by the probe electron as that due to an infinite line charge,

$$\vec{F}(\vec{R}) = \frac{-2eq_L\vec{R}}{|\vec{R}|^2}, \quad (1)$$

where e is electron's charge, q_L is the linear charge density of the idealized line charge, and $\vec{R}$ is the two-dimensional vector (X , Y). Thus, after some calculations, we arrive to

*Operated by the Universities Research Association, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC35-89ER40486.

Figure 2. Deflection angles versus electron beam positions relative to the center of a proton bunch. Data for three-dimensional Gaussian distributions of the bunch charge with $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y$ equal to 50 μm , 100 μm , 200 μm and 500 μm . σ_Z is equal to 5 cm. Smooth curves represent a fit of data by error function. TIP-03567

Figure 3. Scattering angle vs. impact parameter for two charge distributions with the same rms, 50 μm . Upper curve—uniform distribution of charge within a 100 μm radius of beam, lower curve—Gaussian distribution with sigma 50 μm . A difference between the two curves is seen. TIP-03568

$$\frac{d\theta}{db} = \frac{-4\pi e}{m\gamma v^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dY \varrho_L(b, Y). \quad (2)$$

In other words, the derivative of the deflection angle as a function of impact parameter is proportional to the Y-integrated profile of the transverse beam charge distribution ϱ_L . This happens to be precisely the same information as one obtains from a probe wire ("flying wire") which is passed perpendicular to the beam in the Y-direction (that of the probe electron) through the X-point corresponding to that electron's impact parameter b . The deflection functions shown in Figure 2, then, simply proportional to the indefinite b -integrals of the very "beam profiles" which are measured by "flying wires"!

Figure 4 presents the dependence of the X-position of a deflected electron at $Y = 2$ cm versus its initial X-position at $Y = -1$ cm. Electrons are "switched" in the X-direction by the proton bunch charge around the center of the proton beam, and the shape of this switching is defined by the proton beam profile. Note, that the shape of the curve presented defines not only the transverse shape of the proton beam, but also the longitudinal charge density at the center of the bunch.

Figure 4. X-position of an electron at the plane $Y = 2$ cm versus its X-position at the plane $Y = -1$ cm. Solid line is for Gaussian beam with $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y = 50 \mu\text{m}$, dashed line—for 100 μm , dotted line—for 200 μm , dash-dotted line—for 500 μm . TIP-03538

Figure 5 presents the X-profiles of a 4-mm wide, parallel, uniformly distributed, electron beam at the $Y = 2$ cm plane for different proton beam sizes. In the Z-direction this beam could be as wide as 10 mm. 10^6 electrons were simulated for each distribution. It is easy to see that the shape of the electron beam profile resulting from interaction with the proton beam is very sensitive to the size of the proton beam. Similar data, but with a Gaussian angular divergence for the electron beam of 1 mrad, are presented in Figure 6. Sensitivity of the resulting electron beam profile to the size of the proton beam remains adequate.

In conclusion, the preliminary calculations presented here show that the emittance of a 20-TeV beam at the SSC can be effectively monitored with low energy electron beams in a

non-destructive technique. Further detailed studies of the process are needed, including construction of a prototype of the device and testing its performance on high energy beams.

Figure 5. Electron beam profiles at the plane $Y = 2$ cm for different proton beam sizes. Upper left—for sigma $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, upper right—for sigma $100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, lower left—for sigma $200\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, lower right—for sigma $500\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. Uniform distribution of the electron beam from -2 mm to $+2\text{ mm}$ with no angular divergence is assumed at the plane $Y = -1\text{ cm}$. 10^6 electrons are used.

Figure 6. The same, as in Figure 5, but a Gaussian divergence with sigma of 1 mrad is used for the primary electron beam.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Shestak et al., "An Electron Beam Probe for Ion Beam Diagnosis," TRIUMF Design Note, TRI-DN-87-36 Rev, (1987). J. Pasour and M. Ngo, "Nonperturbing Electron Beam Probe to Diagnose Charged-Particle Beams," *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 63 (1992) 3027.
- [2] P. D. Goldan, *Phys. Fluids*, 13 (1970) 1055.
- [3] D. A. Swanson, B. E. Cherrington, and J. T. Verdeyen, *Phys. Fluids*, 16 (1973) 1939.
- [4] A. Maschke, W. Nexsen, E. Tsyganov, A. Taratin, A. Zinchenko, "Angular Divergence of 10 KeV Ar^+ and CS^+ Ions Due to Ion-Bunch Interaction," Internal Note of the SSCL Collider Group.
- [5] R. Meinke, W. Nexsen, E. Tsyganov, A. Zinchenko, "Limitations of the Residual Gas Ionization Beam Profile Monitor for the SSC Collider," SSCL-602, November 1992.

**DATE
FILMED**

8 / 13 / 93

END