Accelerator Physics of the Stanford Linear Collider and

SLC Accelerator Experiments Towards the Next Linear Collider*

JOHN T. SEEMAN

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309 USA

ABSTRACT

The Stanford Linear Collider (SLC) was built to collide single bunches of electrons and positrons head-on at a single interaction point with single beam energies up to 50 GeV. The small beam sizes and high currents required for high luminosity operation have significantly pushed traditional beam quality limits. The Polarized Electron Source produces about 8×10^{10} electrons in each of two bunches with up to 28% polarization. The Damping Rings provide coupled invariant emittances of 1.8×10^{-5} cm with 1.5×10^{10} particles per bunch. The 50 GeV Linac has successfully accelerated over 3×10^{10} particles with design invariant emittances of 3×10^{-5} cm. Both longitudinal and transverse wakefields affect strongly the trajectory and emittance corrections used for operations. The Arc systems routinely transport decoupled and betatron matched beams. In the Final Focus, the beams are chromatically corrected and demagnified producing spot sizes of 2 to 3 μ m at the focal point. Spot sizes below 2 μ m have been made during special tests. Instrumentation and feedback systems are well advanced, providing continuous beam monitoring and pulse-by-pulse control. A luminosity of 1.6×10^{30} cm⁻²sec⁻¹ has been produced.

Several experimental tests for a Next Linear Collider (NLC) are being planned or constructed using the SLC accelerator as a test facility. The Final Focus Test Beam will demagnify a flat 50 GeV electron beam to dimensions near 60 nm vertically and 900 nm horizontally. A potential Emittance Dynamics Test Area has the capability to test the acceleration and transport of very low emittance beams, the compression of bunch lengths to 50 μ m, the acceleration and control of multiple bunches, and the properties of wakefields in the very short bunch length regime. Finally, a test area for measuring the long range transverse and longitudinal wakefields in damped NLC RF structures is to be located near the linac entrance downstream of the damping rings.

* Work supported by the Department of Energy, contract DE-AC03-76SF00515

Invited contribution to *Advances of Accelerator Physics and Technologies*,
H. Schopper, ed., World Scientific Publishing Co., (1992).

1. Introduction

The SLC^{1,2}, the first linear electron-positron collider, was built at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center (SLAC) to study the leptonic and hadronic decays of the Z⁰ boson near a center of mass energy of 91 GeV. This collider was built inexpensively by using as a basis the existing two mile accelerating structure, albeit with many modifications and additions from beginning to end. During the design and commissioning of the SLC numerous advances in accelerator physics and beam diagnostics of high energy, high brightness beams have been made. These advances have applications not only for the next generation of linear collider but also for synchrotron radiation sources, free electron lasers, high brightness beam sources, and ultra-low emittance storage rings. Some of the issues being explored include very small emittance bunches, beams of extremely high power densities, multiple bunch beams, beam-beam interaction, positron target design, polarized electron gun design, low emittance damping rings, wakefield compensation, chromatic correction, halo collimation, reliability, instrumentation, and controls.

The usefulness of a collider is gauged by the time integrated luminosity with conditions acceptable for the physics detector at the interaction point (IP). The luminosity L of the SLC can be calculated from the parameters of the beams

$$L = N^+ N^- f / (4 \pi \sigma_x \sigma_y) \quad (1)$$

where N^+ is the average number of positrons per bunch, N^- is the corresponding number of electrons, f is the collision rate, and σ_x and σ_y are the horizontal and vertical transverse beam sizes at the IP, respectively. The bunch size is determined by the emittance ϵ of the beam and the betatron function β . $\sigma = [\epsilon \beta]^{1/2}$. The emittances throughout the SLC are usually stated in energy invariant units (normalized) given by $\gamma\epsilon$, where γ is the relativistic energy factor E/mc^2 .

The normal collision cycle for the SLC is illustrated with Fig. 1. A positron bunch and two electron bunches are extracted from their respective damping rings. The positron bunch and the first electron bunch are accelerated to 47 GeV/c² in the SLAC linac with a gradient of about 18 MeV/m. After they pass through the two arcs and are reduced to a small size by the final focus system, they are collided at the IP. The spent beams are discarded after a single collision. On the same acceleration cycle, the second electron bunch after being accelerated to about 30 GeV in the linac (2 km) is extracted and made to strike a water cooled tungsten target. Positrons emerge from the target with energies near 1 MeV/c. The positrons are carefully collected while being accelerated to 200 MeV/c². They are then transported to the beginning of the linac in a quadrupole lattice (2 km). Upon the arrival of the positron bunch, the first section of the linac (100 m) is pulsed with radio-frequency (RF) power and used to accelerate the positron and two new electron bunches, which are made by either a thermionic or a polarized electron gun. These bunches are injected into the two 1.15 GeV damping rings, where radiation damping reduces the emittances to values required for small beam sizes at the final focus. Throughout this cycle a second positron bunch has remained in the positron damping ring, where two damping cycles are required to reduce its naturally large initial emittance. This complete cycle is repeated at 120 Hz.

MASTER

4p

The inherent instabilities of linacs in general have been compensated in the SLC by the use of slow (one minute) and fast (every pulse) feedback systems, all of which are computer driven. Nearly 100 measured beam parameters are actively controlled. In the spring of 1991 the SLD detector³ was installed in the interaction region.

Selected design parameters of the SLC are listed in Table 1. Also included in Table 1 are the best individually achieved parameter values (while not maintaining the others), the simultaneously achieved values during initial SLD data collection, and the goals for the 1992 run⁴. The scales of beam parameters over the project is quite large. Here are two examples. Milli-electron-volts are discussed for issues within the photo-cathode of the polarized electron source as compared to 50 giga-electron-volt particles in the final focus. Beam diameters of a few microns are important in the final focus as contrasted with 10 centimeter diameters in the positron return line.

Fig. 1 A schematic overview of the Stanford Linear Collider (SLC). The length is 4 km.

Table 1 SLC Accelerator Parameters in Spring 1992

Accelerator Parameter	Units	1984 Design	Best Independently Achieved	Simultaneous during early 1992 SLD Collisions	Goal for 1992 SLD Collisions
Beam energy	GeV	50	53	46.6	46.6
Repetition rate	Hz	180	120	120	120
Energy spectrum	%	0.25	0.2	0.33	0.3
N ⁻ at IP	10 ¹⁰	7.2	3.5	2.4	4.0
N ⁺ at IP	10 ¹⁰	7.2	3.2	2.8	4.0
N ⁻ in Linac	10 ¹⁰	7.2	4.8	2.6	4.5
N ⁺ in Linac	10 ¹⁰	7.2	3.8	3.0	4.5
N ⁻ (210 MeV)	10 ¹⁰	8.0	7.0	5.0	8.0
N ⁺ (210 MeV)	10 ¹⁰	14.0	10.0	8.0	10.0
e ⁺ yield	e ⁺ /e ⁻	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.1
γe ⁺ x(y) in Linac	10 ⁻⁵ x-m	3.0 (3.0)	2.0 (2.0)	3.4 (3.3)	3.0 (3.0)
γe ⁻ x(y) in Linac	10 ⁻⁵ x-m	3.0 (3.0)	2.1 (2.0)	5.2 (4.5)	3.0 (3.0)
IP beam divergence μrad		300	400	300	300
IP beam size σ for x μm		2.07	1.7	2.5	1.8
IP beam size σ for y μm		1.65	1.4	2.2	1.8
Bunch length (σ _z) mm		0.5-1.5	0.5-12	1.0	1.2
Pinch enhancement		2.2	1.0	1.0	1.1
Peak luminosity 10 ²⁹ /cm ² sec		60.	1.6	1.2	4.5
Peak luminosity (Z _{eff} /hour)		625	16.5	12.	47.
Peak integrated luminosity (Z ⁰ /day)		15000	213	120	500
Efficiency (accelerator) %		100	90	50	50
Electron polarization (SP) %		39	26	22	34
Cathode Quantum Efficiency %		4	8	7	4

2. Polarized Electron Source

A polarized electron source^{5,6} with a bulk GaAs photo-cathode was installed in spring 1992 to provide longitudinally polarized electrons at the IP. A schematic diagram of this gun is shown in Fig. 2. All components of the gun system were commissioned and produced good results for the initial polarized SLD physics run⁷. A bunch charge of 8×10^{10} e⁻ per pulse in each of the two bunches was achieved. A maximum polarization of about 28% was observed.

Downstream of the polarized gun, the two electron bunches are handled exactly the same as unpolarized bunches with the exception of injection and extraction from the electron damping ring. The transport line from the linac to the damping ring is designed to have the proper energy and spin precession angle so that a superconducting solenoid (6.4 Tesla meter) located in that line rotates the longitudinal spin from the gun into the vertical direction for injection into the ring. The vertical spin remains polarized during the damping cycle if care is taken to avoid depolarizing resonances. On extraction, the bunches pass through two similar solenoids, one in the ring-to-linac transport line and the other in the early linac. These solenoids are used to align the spin in the precise orientation to make longitudinally polarized electrons at the IP after many precession cycles, both horizontally and vertically, in the SLC Arcs. Measurements have shown that with present SLC parameters both solenoids are needed to make longitudinally polarized electrons at the IP. The number of vertical spin rotations in the Arcs is strongly dependent on the vertical trajectory. Thus, the trajectory in the Arcs must be controlled with a feedback system. Typical longitudinal polarization values at the IP are 20-25%.

Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of the polarized electron gun at the SLC

The polarized gun is activated by a heat treatment followed by the application of an atomic layer of cesium. This treatment must be repeated periodically to restore the quantum efficiency. A computer recorded history of these treatments over the first running period April 18 to May 21, 1992, is shown in Fig. 3 illustrating the quantum efficiency as a function of time⁷. A re-cosmation occurred at each large upward step. A heat treatment of the cathode was done on May 6. Note that the rate of decay of quantum efficiency increases with each re-cosmation but that a heat treatment restores the initial rate. Also, the maximum quantum efficiency grows with time. Furthermore, during tests of the polarized gun in the fall of 1991, an unexpected charge limit with laser light intensity relating to the quantum efficiency was discovered⁷. This effect reduces the charge obtainable in both bunches. The relevant surface physics effects which describe these phenomena are under active debate.

Apart from the polarized gun, the entire electron injector (gun, buncher, and 1 GeV linac) was developed to transport high charge, multiple electron bunches from the gun to the damping ring while maintaining reasonable emittances, good energy spreads, and equal energies. Most of these goals have been achieved but parameter stability from second-to-second and from day-to-day has been troublesome. The stability of the injector has been significantly improved in design reproducible accelerator parameter configurations which remain appropriate for weeks. One particular problem has been the emittance of the high charge electron beam at the entrance to the damping ring. Often it is too large, causing particle loss and larger than expected beam emittance on extraction from the ring. This enlarged emittance has been traced to transverse wakefields in the early accelerating structures of the injector (30 to 200 MeV) and to injection mismatches. The wakefield effect has been mostly ameliorated by the addition of a betatron oscillation to the beam at the appropriate betatron phase over the later two thirds of the injector (80 m). This oscillation produces an additional wakefield beam tail which cancels the unknown wakefield effects. More information on these canceling oscillations is given in the Linac section. With these corrections, 5.6×10^{10} e⁻ in one bunch and 4.7×10^{10} e⁻ in each of two bunches (4×10^{10} is routine) have been extracted from the damping ring.

Fig. 3 Measured quantum efficiency of the polarized electron source for the first month

3. Damping Rings

The damping rings of the SLC have been designed to reduce rapidly the transverse emittance of two bunches spaced nearly equally around the 35-m circumference. In order to make the damping time short compared to the beam cycle time, the horizontal betatron tune ($\nu = 8.3$) was made very high compared with a normal storage ring of that size. The ring is operated on the coupling resonance to make the horizontal and vertical emittances equal. The bunches are injected on axis into the ring using a kicker with fast rise and fall times (60 nsec). The extraction kicker removes the bunches in a single pass and must have amplitude stability at the 0.05% level to avoid transverse wakefield effects in the beams along the linac. Both rings have been operated successfully and routinely. Several problems appear at high bunch charges. Three phenomena stand out: 1) a longitudinal π mode instability develops above about 3×10^{10} particles per bunch, (2) a bunch length instability (relaxation oscillation) appears above about 2.8×10^{10} , and 3) the horizontal emittance does not damp to the desired value for 120 Hz operation.

The observed longitudinal π mode instability causes phase oscillations in the two bunches in the ring⁴. These phase oscillations result in unwanted random trajectory changes upon extraction where there is finite dispersion leading to unwanted emittance growth downstream. The instability depends upon the RF cavity temperature and tuner positions. At high currents the π mode instability is very sensitive to these parameters and is difficult to control. An idling feedback cavity has been installed to reduce this unwanted effect and has been shown to work effectively⁵. In addition, longitudinal feedback for the π mode has been tried using signals from the two bunches separately. The initial results are promising but further work is needed.

A spontaneous bunch length oscillation (single bunch) appears above about 2.8×10^{10} particles per bunch. After injection the bunch length damps naturally due to synchrotron radiation. At a certain reduced bunch length, the instability is triggered and the length increases rapidly (10%) over a few synchrotron oscillation cycles. The growth then stops and again synchrotron radiation starts to reduce the length. This relaxation repeats several times over the 8.3 msec storage time. For long storage times the oscillations eventually stop as an equilibrium is reached at a longer length. These oscillations affect downstream beam quality where the bunch length changes drive wakefield effects. Many studies have been performed to find a cure. A partial cure has been to ramp the RF amplitude down after the beam has been injected and then to ramp back to full value immediately before extraction, thus increasing the average bunch length. This ramp complicates the bunch length compression downstream and causes other longitudinal problems (e.g. Robinson's instability) during the period of low RF voltage.

At long storage times (1/60 second) of the bunches in the ring, the extracted emittances have been measured to be equal to the design equilibrium values if the ring is correctly aligned. With small misalignments of the ring girders so that the equilibrium orbit is displaced horizontally (1 mm or so) in the ring quadrupoles, the damping partition numbers (J_x and J_z) can change about 10%. Thus, the equilibrium emittance and the damping times can change on that order. At shorter storage times (namely 1/120 second needed for 120 Hz operation), these partition number changes lead to enlarged extracted

emittances. The electrons are more sensitive than the positrons as the positron bunches are stored for two damping cycles each. A measurement of the beam emittance as a function of the storage time in the ring is shown in Fig. 4. The measured damping time is 3.9 msec, as compared to the design time of 3.6 msec. The equilibrium emittances are about 1.8×10^{-5} m, also about 10% high. The alignment of each ring is checked periodically as the tunnel floor creeps with time. A related ring parameter which has been shown (unexpectedly) to affect the damping is the horizontal tune, which is illustrated in Fig. 5. A new operating point with a horizontal tune of 8.28, increased from the nominal 8.17, has successfully reduced the extracted emittances⁹. It is also important to maintain small injected emittances.

Fig. 4 Measured extracted emittance versus storage time in the electron damping ring.

Fig. 5 Measured extracted emittance versus the horizontal betatron tune at 5.6 msec.

4. Bunch Length Compressor

In the transport line between the damping ring and the linac, the bunch length is shortened from 5-9 mm to 1 mm. This shortening is done by introducing a head-tail energy difference along the bunch and passing the beam through a non-isochronous bend. $\Delta z = R_{56} \Delta E/E$. This process is schematically shown in Fig. 6. Several emittance enlargement effects may occur in this region, mostly are related to the large energy spread¹⁰.

Betatron mismatches¹¹ occur when the injected beam has a phase-space orientation (β, α) that does not match the linac lattice. This mismatch is illustrated in Fig. 7. Because of the nature of the chromatic linac lattice, particles with different energies have different betatron oscillation frequencies. Thus, they rotate in phase space at different rates, and soon the bunch undergoes filamentation. Given beam Twiss parameters β_b and α_b that are mismatched from the lattice design values β_l and α_l , the emittance enlargement after filamentation is given by a parameter B_{mag} .

$$(\gamma\epsilon)_{final} = B_{mag} \cdot (\gamma\epsilon)_{initial} \quad (2)$$

with

$$B_{mag} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\beta_l}{\beta_b} + \frac{\beta_b}{\beta_l} + \beta_b \beta_l \left(\frac{\alpha_b}{\beta_b} - \frac{\alpha_l}{\beta_l} \right)^2 \right] \quad (3)$$

To avoid emittance increases of order 10%, β_b must be matched to about 30%. The α_b match has a similar constraint. These matches are relatively straight forward in practice.

Fig. 6 An overview of the ring-to-linac transport line showing bunch length compression.

Fig. 7 Filamentation of a betatron mismatch of the beam. The mismatch is quantified by half the sum of squares of the major and minor axes¹¹.

Any anomalous dispersion η (η') present in the beam produces a transverse position-energy correlation which adds to the apparent size and emittance of the beam: $\sigma^2 = \epsilon\beta + \eta^2\delta^2$. If β_l and α_l are the lattice Twiss parameters, then the measured effective emittance ϵ_{eff} in the presence of beam dispersion and energy spread δ is given by

$$\epsilon_{eff}^2 = \epsilon_b^2 + \frac{\epsilon_b^2}{\beta_l} [\eta^2 + (\beta_l \eta' + \alpha_l \eta)^2] (\delta^2) \quad (4)$$

With filamentation along the linac the emittance increases further leading to a final value of

$$\epsilon_{eff} = \epsilon_b + \frac{1}{2\beta_l} [\eta^2 + (\beta_l \eta' + \alpha_l \eta)^2] (\delta^2). \quad (5)$$

To make the increase in the emittance from dispersion negligible, dispersion errors at injection must be controlled to a few millimeters in the SLC. Minimization of the measured emittance early in the linac is performed by adjusting quadrupoles in the ring-to-linac transport line to adjust the dispersive transport elements (R_{16} and R_{26}).

The large energy spread in the beam ($\sigma_E/E = 1\%$) also allows errors in the second- and third-order transport to affect the emittance and betatron match¹¹. The introduction of independent sextupole power supplies has provided a nearly complete set of second order orthogonal dispersive controls (T_{166} and T_{266}) and betatron chromaticity adjustments (T_{116} , T_{126} , and T_{226}). The installation of two octupoles (10 cm length, 6.5 cm bore, and a pole tip field of 40 gauss) allows the correction of the third-order dispersive terms (U_{1666} and U_{2666}). For example, the optimization of emittance early in the linac as a function of the U_{1666} corrector strength is shown in Fig. 8. The optimization of the dispersion adjustments requires several hours to complete but remains stable for weeks. Nevertheless, after all these corrections have been made, a 30% increase in emittance at the beginning of the linac still occurs while increasing the bunch charge to 4×10^{10} particles. The increased emittance is definitely energy spread related due to the longer bunch lengths with increased currents. The expected errors are likely to be second-order chromatic terms, such as U_{1266} .

Fig. 8 Measured emittance at 1.5 GeV as a function of the U1666 control octupoles

5. Linear Accelerator

The main linear accelerator (linac) at Stanford has a length of 2946 m and is powered by 230 klystrons each producing 67MW. The accelerating gradient after RF pulse compression is about 20 MeV/m. A strong focusing lattice of 282 quadrupoles is used to maintain the transverse beam size. A pair of x-y correction dipoles and a synchrotron position monitor ($\Delta x_{\text{resolution}} = 20 \mu\text{m}$) are associated with each quadrupole. Beam profile ($\Delta \theta_{\text{resolution}} = 3 \mu\text{m}$) and emittance measurements are made at the beginning, middle, and end of the linac.

The primary goal of the linac is to transform the six dimensional phase space volume of a low emittance, low energy bunch to high energy without significant phase-space enlargement. Acceleration by itself reduces the absolute emittance of the bunches by increasing the longitudinal velocities of the particles while leaving the transverse velocities constant. However, deleterious effects such as transverse wakefields, RF deflections, chromatic filamentation, and injection errors can increase the emittance, if allowed.

5.1 Longitudinal effects

The energy E of particles accelerated in the linac after n klystrons is given by

$$E(z) = E_{inj} + \sum_{i=1}^n [\Delta E_i \cos(\phi_i + \phi(z)) + \Delta s_i \int_z^\infty W_1(z' - z) \rho(z') dz'] \quad (6)$$

where E_{inj} is the injection energy, ϕ_i are the klystron phases (which are free parameters), $\phi(z) = 2\pi z/\lambda_{RF}$, λ_{RF} is the RF wavelength, z is the longitudinal position along the bunch, ΔE_i is the maximum energy gain in the distance Δs_i (one klystron), $\rho(z)$ is the longitudinal density distribution, and the last term on the right-hand side is the longitudinal wakefield

contribution to the particle energy. The longitudinal wakefield contribution W_1 arises from all particles in the bunch preceding the one of interest. The longitudinal wakefield depends on the shapes of the RF cavities and surrounding vacuum hardware. For the SLC $\Delta E_i = 20$ MeV/m, $\lambda_{RF} = 10$ cm, and $\Delta s_i = 12$ m. $\rho(z)$ is a Gaussian distribution at low currents with $\sigma_z = 1$ mm. At 5×10^{10} particles the longitudinal wakefield decelerates the tail by 2 GeV over the 3 km linac. Thus, in order to minimize the energy spread, the mean phase of the bunch is moved forward of the RF crest. The energy distribution in the bunch develops a complicated "double horned" shape due to the nonlinear wakefield, particle density distribution, and RF sine wave. The shift in the optimum linac phase for the minimum energy spread as a function of current can be up to 15 degrees. Furthermore, at high currents the longitudinal bunch distributions are not true Gaussians and a careful integral is required to extract the energy spectra.

The SLC linac accelerates three bunches¹². The long range longitudinal loading affects the energy differences between the bunches, as trailing bunches see a lower accelerating field. The energy extracted from the RF cavities by the beam must be replenished by the RF power source but there is a finite filling time. The method to equalize the bunch energies is to increase the klystron pulse amplitude with time using the pulsed SLED system.

5.2 Transverse Effects

A static launch error of the beam injected into the linac generates a betatron oscillation. Standard computer controlled trajectory correction is used to restore the proper launch. There are eight position angle feedback systems distributed along the accelerator to keep the trajectory stable. However, if the launch of the beam fluctuates more rapidly than feedback can correct it, other methods of control are needed¹³. The transport equation of motion for particles in the bunch can be used to study oscillations along the linac.

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} x(z, s) + k^2(z, s) x(z, s) = \frac{r_e}{\gamma(z, s)} \int_{-s}^{\infty} dz' \rho(z') W_1(z - z') x(z', s), \quad (7)$$

where s is the distance along the accelerator, z is the distance internally along the bunch, k is the quadrupole focusing term (which varies along the linac and along the bunch because of energy changes), ρ is the line density, W_1 is the transverse wakefield due to the accelerating structure, and r_e is the classical electron radius. The left hand side of Eq. 7 represents a betatron oscillation including (slow) acceleration. The right hand side introduces the forces from transverse wakefields on each particle generated by position errors in the RF structure of all the preceding particles in the bunch. The transverse wakefields for the SLC structure increase in strength with the distance behind the leading particle. Thus, the particles at the back of the bunch, in general, see the largest forces. The displacements grow exponentially with distance along the linac. Several photographs of beam transverse enlargement at the end of the linac from betatron oscillations are shown in Fig. 9, indicating the strength of the effect after 30 betatron wavelengths.

Fig. 9 Profiles (x-y) of a 2×10^{10} e⁻ bunch at 47 GeV with a 0.2 mm oscillation (left), with a 0.5 mm oscillation (center), and with a 1 mm oscillation (right) in both planes. The initial core sizes in both planes are about 120 μ m.

This strongly forced oscillation of the tail by the head (seen in Eq. 7) can be ameliorated by changing the energy spectrum along the bunch so that the head is higher in energy than the tail; this can be accomplished with RF phase adjustments. This technique to control the resonant growth is called BNS damping (named after the inventors: V. Balakin, A. Novokhatsky, and V. Smirnov)¹⁴. BNS damping lowers the energy of the back of the bunch by accelerating the bunch behind the crest of the RF early in the linac and then ahead of the RF crest downstream to restore the energy spread at the end. With the back of the bunch low in energy, the wakefield forces in Eq. 7, which act to defocus particles, are counterbalanced by the increased quadrupole focusing of the back of the bunch because of its low energy. The resonant excitation is then reduced if not arrested. It is not possible to perfectly cancel the forces over the whole bunch so some enlargement is expected. BNS damping has been studied at the SLC and has been shown to be so effective that all SLC linac operations now use it. The BNS settings used for SLC operations at 3×10^{10} particles have the first 56 klystrons phased at -20 degrees and the remaining klystrons phased at +15 degrees¹⁵. In all cases the overall linac phase is adjusted to make the energy spectrum small (about 0.3%) at the end of the accelerator. An observation of the effect of BNS damping is shown in Fig. 10. The effect of BNS damping on beam oscillations can be studied as a function of bunch charge. In Fig. 11 several bunch oscillations with identical initial conditions are shown along the linac as a function of charge. At low currents the bunch filaments rapidly with a large emittance increase. At about 1.5×10^{10} particles the bunch oscillation nearly follows that of a single adiabatically damped particle with the same oscillation. At higher charge the oscillation starts to grow at the end of the linac due to transverse wakefields. These growing oscillations indicate that the longitudinal tail of the beam is being driven farther and farther off axis as can be seen in the above beam profile measurements¹⁶.

Misalignments of the quadrupoles, position monitors, and accelerating structures in the linac cause each beam (after correction) to have a trajectory that is neither straight nor centered in the accelerating structure. These offsets generate dispersion and wakefield emittance growth as described above. There are several methods to deal with these errors. (a) They can be found mechanically and fixed, although the required accuracy is well

Fig. 10

Measured oscillations in the SLC linac with and without transverse wakefield damping (BNS). The bunch charges are 2×10^{10} e⁻. The upper plot shows the exponential growth of the oscillation without BNS damping and the lower plot shows little growth with BNS damping. A factor of ten improvement is observed.

Fig. 11

Observed single bunch oscillations along the SLC linac versus charge for identical dipole changes. Standard BNS conditions for 3×10^{10} e⁻ are used in all cases with the overall linac phase adjusted to make a small energy spectrum at 47 GeV.

below 100 μm . (b) Calculations using knowledge of the beam trajectory as a function of the quadrupole lattice strength can determine the relative quadrupole and position monitor errors to about 75 to 100 μm . The misalignments can then be mechanically corrected. (c) A dispersion reducing trajectory correction may be tried. (d) Mechanical movers of the RF structure in the tunnel can be used in a betatron harmonic correction scheme to reduce the final emittance. (e) Betatron oscillations can be added to the beam at various locations along the linac to cancel effects of the existing absolute trajectory and thus minimize the final emittance. (f) Finally, harmonic changes can be added to the quadrupole lattice to cancel random and systematic errors in the quadrupole field strengths. The best combination of the available solutions depends on the particular errors involved. All have been tried on the SLC linac with various degrees of success¹⁷. Method (e) has been very successful.

At high currents after the input parameters are optimized and the trajectory nominally corrected, the primary emittance growth during acceleration arises from beam displacements in the accelerator components. These offsets result from the trajectory being steered through misaligned quadrupoles and accelerator structures onto beam position monitors with finite residual offset errors. Consequently, transverse wakefields excite the beam. Methods to reduce these effects have been theoretically studied¹⁵. It has been shown that the addition of appropriate injection errors (Δx , $\Delta x'$, Δy , and $\Delta y'$) can cancel most of the emittance enlargement. Since the advent of BNS damping, a more global scheme of distributing short range oscillations along the accelerator has been shown to be advantageous¹⁰. Examples of these oscillations are shown in Fig. 12. The emittance at full energy was measured as a function of the oscillation amplitude. The results are shown in Fig. 13. The η_e and $\eta_e \times B_{\text{mag}}$ measurements for the oscillation in Fig. 12a are shown in the left plot in Fig. 13. Both data curves track each other very well, indicating a completely filamented beam ($B_{\text{mag}}=1$). Furthermore, a decrease in the transverse emittance (25%) is observed with a finite oscillation added to the beam. The errors which caused the original emittance enlargement are thus likely to be near the beginning of the linac. For the oscillation of Fig. 12b, the invariant emittance (Fig. 13 right) at the end of the linac shows no reduction with oscillation amplitude. In addition, a large betatron mismatch has developed signaled by the separation of the curves for η_e and $\eta_e \times B_{\text{mag}}$. A tail has grown.

Thus, the proper choice of the amplitude of a short range oscillation in the appropriate location can significantly reduce the emittance enlargement and preserve the betatron match^{13,18}. In practice about ten short-range (200 m) oscillations in the SLC are applied to the two beams during collisions, reducing the emittances to near the design values at 3×10^{10} e⁻. The trajectory offsets are about 200 μm and remain stable for days.

Feedback systems that work pulse-by-pulse are essential for keeping the beam parameters within acceptable limits given the many possible sources of transverse jitter: varying power supplies of dipoles and off axis quadrupoles, vibrating quadrupoles, klystron phase and amplitude jitter, unstable kicker magnets, and changes in the beam intensity. In the SLC, over 100 beam parameters (beam positions, angles, and energies) are controlled by feedback routinely with many corrections each second. Not all parameters need rapid feedback. For example, the energy spectrum feedback has proven not to need pulse-by-pulse control. Modern control theory is used to provide cascaded control of position and angle loops from the beginning to the end of the accelerator that minimally

Fig. 12 Two typical induced oscillations in the SLC accelerator used to cancel accumulated wakefields and dispersion errors in the linac (see Fig. 13).

Fig. 13 Invariant emittance changes at the end of the linac (47 GeV) as a function of the amplitude of the oscillations shown in Fig. 12. The left data is for Fig. 12a, and the right data for Fig. 12b.

interfere with each other and provide maximum control¹⁹. A reduction of oscillation amplitudes with frequencies up to one sixth of the accelerator pulse rate can be expected.

One mechanism for substantial beam jitter with frequencies beyond the capabilities of feedback is the mechanical vibration of the quadrupoles. Studies have been made in the SLAC tunnel of the transverse vibration of the linac quadrupoles showing vibration frequencies of order 8 to 10 Hz, which is beyond the feedback frequency range. The amplitude of the observed oscillation depends on which of the two support schemes is used. The quadrupoles at the ends of the support girders with direct rigid supports to the tunnel floor show vibrations at the 0.05- μ m level, which is adequate for the SLC. However, quadrupoles at the center of the long girders (12 m) show vibration levels up to 5 μ m. The first 300 m region of the SLC linac has quadrupoles in the center of the girders as well as at the ends. Beam jitter resulting from these vibrating quadrupoles has been observed, as shown in Fig. 14. To fix this problem, mechanical struts to the wall and floor centered on these girders have been installed with a clamping arrangement compatible with normal mechanical alignment. These vibrations have thus been reduced to acceptable levels.

In a global view of the various regions of the SLC, many emittance enlargement effects have been eliminated. However, some have resisted complete cures and some are inherent in the design. A pictorial summary of the measured emittances along the SLC for the horizontal plane of the electron beam (the worst plane and beam) is shown in Fig. 15, indicating the sources of the emittance contributions. Lines have been added to separate the regions. These results are the culmination of work over several years. A summary of the emittance reduction work over that period is shown in Fig. 16. Significant progress has occurred. Present operation uses three bunches (e^+ , e^- , e^- scavenger) with charges up to 3×10^{10} particles per bunch. Emittance reduction techniques for higher currents are now under active study as intensities near 4.5×10^{10} are needed for collisions in the near future.

Fig. 14 Observed motion in the positron beam with time from vibrating quadrupoles. The amplitude change is from several beating frequencies. $\beta = 20$ m. $E = 3$ GeV.

Fig. 15 Measured γ_{ex} versus e^+ charge showing enlargement effects from the damping ring to the final focus. D represents measurements at the ring exit, R = linac entrance, L = linac exit, and F = final focus. The lines separate the various effects. The final focus measurements suggest that an additional ϵ growth effect is present.

Fig. 16 Time evolution of the SLC beam emittances and intensities.

6. Arcs

The arcs must transport two high energy bunches from the linac to the final focus without significant emittance dilution. The arc system is comprised of a short matching region at the end of the linac leading into a very strong focusing FODO array of combined function magnets including dipole, quadrupole, and sextupole fields. Each lattice cell has a betatron phase advance of 108 degrees and a length of 5 m. Each 47 GeV particle loses about 1 GeV to synchrotron radiation in a single pass through the system. Ten pairs of magnets form a second-order achromat that can safely transport beams with an energy spectrum of 0.5%. There are 23 achromats in the north Arc, and 22 in the south. At the end of each F(D) magnet within an achromat a beam position monitor is attached to sense the x [y] beam position with an accuracy of about 25 μ m. The combined function magnets are moved by motorized jacks for beam steering.

Achromat units (20 magnets) as groups are rotated around the beam axis up to 10 degrees to provide the needed vertical bending for terrain following. Initial matching problems and slight phase advance errors over the length of the achromats caused unwanted coupling of vertical and horizontal beam motion at the roll boundaries. Also, magnet misalignments contribute to unwanted emittance enlargements. A collection of several cures has now produced acceptable solutions. Tapered rolls at the achromat boundaries, betatron phase corrections using backleg coils on the magnets, trajectory steering by magnet movers, harmonic corrections at certain spatial frequencies, and 3π trajectory bumps for skew correction are all applied where needed along the arcs. These corrections are made after exhaustive oscillation data are taken throughout the arcs starting in the linac. A measure of these corrections is given by a parameter DetC which is the determinate of the measured off-diagonal transport matrix²⁰. DetC is determined from the measured first-order transport matrix R_{ij} . A DetC measurement along the north arc is shown in Fig. 17 before and after corrections have been made.

Fig. 17 Measured DetC before and after correction. The dashed line is the design.

7. Final Focus

The final focus system of the SLC must focus the two opposing beams to small sizes at the collision point and must steer the two beams into head on collision. A schematic view of the final focus²¹ is shown in Fig. 18. The beam entering the final focus first encounters a correction region to remove dispersion entering from upstream. Then the beam passes through the first demagnifying telescope where the x and y planes have demagnifications of 8.5 and 3.1, respectively. Betatron mismatches and x-y coupling arriving from upstream are also corrected in this region. Next, the chromatic correction section (CCS), which contains gentle bends and sextupoles, is used to correct the trajectories of different energy particles so that they focus at the same longitudinal position at the interaction point (IP). The final telescope provides the last demagnification to make the smallest spots possible and to make the vertical and horizontal spots have equal size. After passing through the IP, each beam traverses through the opposing beam's transport line and is deflected into an extraction line to a high power dump. New superconducting quadrupoles have been installed as the final triplet along with the SLD detector. These quadrupoles are closer to the IP than the old conventional quadrupoles, allowing a reduced β^* and a doubling of the potential luminosity.

The minimum spot sizes at the IP depend on the incoming beam emittances, the maximum allowed divergence angles at the IP, chromatic corrections, and beam errors such as dispersion. The design values for the incoming beam emittances (ϵ) are 4.5×10^{-5} r-m horizontally and 3.5×10^{-5} r-m vertically. However, during current operation the actual emittances are about 15% higher. The angular divergence is limited by backgrounds from synchrotron radiation in the strong focusing quadrupoles near the IP. Masking near the detector improves significantly the effects of this radiation. The angular divergence is limited to about 300 μ rad for the SLD. Finally, the chromatic and geometric corrections are made by adjusting the sextupole strengths and installing offset trajectories in the sextupoles, thus minimizing the unwanted first-, second-, and third-order transport

Fig. 18 Schematic view of the SLC Final Focus System indicating tuning regions.

elements. A plot of the expected spot sizes versus angular divergence²² is shown in Fig. 19. From linear optics $\sigma^*y = \epsilon / \theta^*$. Therefore, the increase in spot size at low θ^* follows linear optics; but the rise at large θ^* is from higher order terms. Note that the spot size minima for both planes can be reached with $\theta^* = 300 \mu\text{rad}$.

The beams are very dense at the collision point and can exert large transverse forces on each other, referred to as beam-beam deflections²³. As the two beams are steered through each other, the beam-beam deflection first adds and then subtracts from the bending angle. Several examples of measured beam-beam deflections are shown in Fig. 20. Beam-beam deflections can be measured in the horizontal, vertical, and skew planes. From the observed deflections, many beam properties can be derived. The beam centroid offsets are determined from the place where the deflection crosses zero. This offset is removed by using nearby dipole magnets to bring the beams into head on collision. The shape of the deflection curve indicates the size of the combined two-beam system and is a good indicator when upstream components have changed the beam parameters. Non-Gaussian transverse profiles of the beams can affect the beam-beam scans as can be seen in Fig. 20. Efforts are underway to maximize the information extracted from the beam-beam deflections²⁴. Finally, jitter in the deflection measurements often indicates pulse-by-pulse position changes. Present jitter in the SLC is about one third of the beam size at the IP and results in only a small loss in average luminosity. A pulse-by-pulse feedback system using these signals keeps the beams in collision.

Fig. 19 Beam sizes at the interaction point as a function of beam angular divergence.

Fig. 20 Observed beam-beam deflections at the SLC Final Focus for about 2.5×10^{10} particles per bunch. The left data are for symmetrical beam profiles and the right data are for beams with non-Gaussian transverse tails.

The particles bent in the beam-beam interaction radiate a form of synchrotron radiation called "beamstrahlung". This radiation travels forward and is detected using a gas Cerenkov detector about 40 m downstream. The radiation from one beam is most intense where the particle density of the other beam is changing most rapidly, at about one transverse beam sigma. The integrated signal over the bunch can be measured as the beams are steered through each other. The signal shape can have a single peak or two peaks, depending on the initial sizes of the two beams²⁵. The beamstrahlung signal can be displayed in real time and is a good indicator of instantaneous luminosity.

Recently, most of the studies in the final focus have been directed towards developing tuning algorithms for making small beam sizes and for tracking accelerator changes. In practice, the art of spot size minimization presents a challenge because of limited beam provided knowledge. Information from the beam-beam deflections represents integrals over both transverse beam distributions. Unraveling which beam and which beam parameter are the sources of the error requires subtle deconvolutions²⁴.

Additional information can be obtained with single beam studies. Single beams can be focused onto 4 μm diameter wires in profile scanners 20 cm from the IP. These studies can only be performed at low currents ($< 1 \times 10^{10}$) because of wire breakage on single pulses. Extrapolating the results 20 cm to the IP and raising the bunch charge to running values bring new accelerator physics effects into play. This inability to measure the size of a single beam at high currents slows the tuning procedure for making small spots. For example, the match of the betatron function at the IP using beam-beam deflections is very hard if the incoming betatron mismatch is large. Also, to complicate matters, non-linear dispersion has been observed on occasion in the positron beam as it enters the final focus appearing as a horseshoe shaped x-y distribution, causing indecipherable beam-beam scans. Furthermore, the correction of this nonlinear dispersion effect must be made not only in the final focus but also upstream in the arcs.

8. Detector Backgrounds

The backgrounds in the SLD detector come from lost beam particles, synchrotron radiation of the beam in the strong IP quadrupoles near the detector, and beam-gas scattering²⁵. The lost particles produce both muons emanating from upstream collimators and energetic electro-magnetic debris for strikes near the IP. The synchrotron X-rays often speckle the detector drift chamber displays with single hits. A good IP vacuum eliminates most beam-gas scatters.

Several arrays of collimators for background control are placed in the SLC as shown in Fig. 21. Eight x-y collimator pairs are placed at the end of the linac. The first four provide primary betatron cuts for particles greater than about 3 transverse beam sigma. About 10% of the total beam particles are lost in this cut. The second four collimator pairs at the end of the linac provide secondary betatron collimation and eliminates the particles scattering from the edges of the upstream collimators. A few percent of the beam particles are lost here. After the deflection of the beams into the Arcs, primary and secondary energy collimation is performed, with a few percent loss in particles. Collimation in the final focus removes off-energy and off-axis particles, but only about 0.1% or less of the beam is removed. Finally, the synchrotron radiation is controlled by keeping the beam angular divergences below about 300 μ rad and using an elaborate set of masks in the beam vacuum chamber at both ends of the detector. Of course, collimation of both beams is needed and in practice the backgrounds for the two beams often have significantly different behaviors.

Fig. 21 Schematic view of the electron collimation system in the SLC.

9. SLC Results

The luminosity of the SLC has grown steadily during commissioning. The increase in luminosity follows approximately an exponential curve with an e-folding time of about two to three months and is highly correlated with accelerator physics studies and new hardware. The daily integration of luminosity has likewise increased. The instantaneous luminosity recorded over a period of one day is shown in Fig. 22. The integrated results of the Spring 1992 run without e^- polarization are shown in Fig. 23. During initial collisions in May 1992 with polarized electrons, 120 Z^0 equivalents per day have been delivered.

The number of active components in the SLC, including power supplies, klystrons, kickers, vacuum pumps, computers, controls, and instrumentation, is nearly an order of magnitude larger than that of recently built circular electron colliders. Since a very large fraction of these components must be operational in order for the accelerator to function properly, the reliability of each component must be quite high. Much effort has been spent at SLAC to provide and maintain reliable active components²⁶. The reliability of SLC operations has increased to levels around 60%.

Fig. 22 Instantaneous luminosity in the SLC for a 24 hour period on April 5, 1992.

Fig. 23 Delivered Z^0 equivalents per day by the SLC to the SLD detector from February 1 to April 06, 1992. Over 200 Z^0 per day have been delivered.

10. Studies of the Next Linear Collider at the SLC

The next linear collider (NLC) is likely to be built to operate in the energy range 0.5 to 1.0 TeV in the center-of-mass. A luminosity on the order of 10^{33} to 10^{34} cm⁻² sec⁻¹ is required to provide the event rate satisfactory to study the desired particle physics. Just as the SLC parameters exceeded the accelerator limits of its day, the NLC accelerator must achieve parameters beyond many of the present parameters of the SLC. Much of the theoretical work to achieve these goals is well underway. However, the development and experimental tests of these techniques remain mostly to be done. Several experimental tests have been started around the world. At SLAC three test areas using the SLC are being constructed or are in the planning stage. Brief descriptions of these areas are given here. The overall goal is that in a few years the experimental results from around the world combined with theoretical and design work will lead to the construction of a high energy linear collider starting in the late 1990s.

10.1 Final Focus Test Beam (FFTB)

The requirement of the NLC to make an extremely small beam size is one of the great challenges. The required vertical size is up to a thousand times smaller than that obtained in the SLC. The magnetic transport elements of the final focus play a major role in reducing the spot size and maintaining the beams in collision. To maintain tightly focused beams and to keep them in collision require careful control and stability of the magnetic elements and accurate measurements of beam properties. A prototype magnetic system to produce beam sizes comparable to those needed for the NLC is the FFTB^{27,28}, shown in

Fig. 24 The Final Focus Test Beam is located at the end of the SLAC 50 GeV linac.

Fig. 24. An international collaboration of physicists and engineers from Russia, Germany, France, Japan, and the United States is sharing the design and construction of the FFTB.

The FFTB system will occupy about 185 meters in the straight ahead beam line at the end of the SLC linac extending onto the concrete surface of the SLAC Research Yard. The general parameters of the FFTB are listed in Table 2. The incoming beam is produced by uncoupling the electron damping ring and extracting single electron bunches up to 10 Hz. The SLC damping ring can produce a vertical invariant emittance of about $\gamma\epsilon_y = 7 \times 10^{-7}$ r-m when operated with uncoupled horizontal and vertical tunes. Some growth of the emittance is expected during acceleration, leading to an invariant vertical emittance of about 3×10^{-6} r-m at the end of the linac.

The FFTB has six optical sections. (1) The first is located just downstream of the linac to provide a betatron match from the linac to the FFTB and to provide position and angle launch control. (2) The horizontal chromatic correction is then performed. (3) A second betatron matching section connects the two chromatic correction regions. (4) The vertical chromatic correction section follows. (5) The "final transformer" provides the last needed control of the overall demagnification of the system. (6) Finally, an energy measurement system and beam dump follow. The two chromatic correction sections allow the chromaticities of the two planes to be tuned separately, permitting the sextupoles to be placed at their optimum locations. The demagnification factor of the vertical beam size at the FFTB is somewhat larger than that needed for an NLC. The basic principles used here for making small beam sizes have been used at the SLC with success but the FFTB requires an order of magnitude higher correction to produce the 60 nm size.

Two remaining contributions to the beam size after the above corrections have been made come from a pair of third-order aberrations: (1) the finite length of the sextupole magnets and (2) the geometrical effect of the beam size changing inside the sextupoles. These effects increase the spot size by about 4%. Finally, synchrotron radiation in the final quadrupole lenses causes an additional 7% spot size increase. The resulting expected vertical spot sizes as a function of vertical β^* are shown in Fig. 25. A similar plot for the horizontal plane indicates that the required corrections are analogous but not as difficult.

Table 2 Parameters of the Final Focus Test Beam at the SLC

Parameter	NLC	SLC	FFTB
Beam energy: (GeV)	0.25 to 0.75	0.05	0.05
Particles per bunch: ($\times 10^{10}$)	0.6-2.0	3.0-5.0	1.0 to 2.0
Vertical emittance $\gamma\epsilon_y$ (rad-m)	3×10^{-8}	3×10^{-5}	3×10^{-6}
Horizontal emittance $\gamma\epsilon_x$ (rad-m)	3×10^{-6}	3×10^{-5}	3×10^{-5}
Vertical β^* : (μ m)	100	7000	100
Demagnification:	300	30	380
Beam height: σ_y (nm)	3 to 5	2000	60
Aspect ratio:	100 to 200	1	15
Bunch length: σ_z (μ m)	50 to 100	500 to 1000	500
Energy spread: $\delta p/p$ (%)	+/- 0.3	+/- 0.3	+/- 0.3

The FFTB places new demands on beam instrumentation. (1) The beam position monitors will use 14 bit ADCs (12-bit for the SLC) in the electronics with a signal-to-noise ratio of 4000:1. A resolution of about 1 to 3 μm is expected. (2) The beam position pointers will be mounted inside the quadrupoles. The pairs will be measured in the laboratory together. (3) Stretched wires will be used to mechanically align the quadrupoles to a few microns and to monitor long term magnet movement. (4) Each quadrupole is to be mounted on a movable support with motion resolution of order 1 μm . (5) The beam sizes away from the focal point will be measured with a combination of wire scanners and fluorescent screen profile monitors as used in the SLC. (6) Finally, the very small beam sizes at the focal point will be measured using one or more of the following newly designed size monitors: velocity spectra of helium nuclei accelerated by the beam, Compton scattering of the electron beam on interfering laser beams, or beam bremsstrahlung from sub-micron liquid wires. New ideas for instrumentation are being discussed daily.

On-line tuning procedures for correcting the enlargements of the spot sizes have been devised including errors in dispersion, chromaticity, waist motion, coupling, skew, steering, and betatron mismatches. Efficient (computer) strategies have emerged to provide the required alignment and tuning in real time²⁹.

The FFTB is presently under construction with a completion date in late 1992. Full beam tests will start in 1993.

Fig. 25 The change in the vertical beam height at the focal point of the FFTB as a function of β_{y^*} . The dotted line is for a linear mono-chromatic system. The dashed line is for an uncorrected chromatic system. The solid line is the expected size for a chromatically corrected transport system.

10.2 Emittance Dynamics Test Area (EDTA) at the SLC

The lion's share of the increase in luminosity of the NLC will come from a very substantial reduction in the beam emittances. Experimental verification of the new techniques needed to produce and control these emittances must be made. Several tests are being conducted around the world, but tests related to acceleration in a long linac are naturally suited for the SLAC linac. The EDTA³⁰ at the SLC has the potential to test the generation of very low emittance multiple bunch beams, pre-acceleration to 5-10 GeV, a second bunch length compression to 0.05 mm, the energy and energy spread control of multiple bunches during acceleration to 50 GeV, and the test of wakefields in the ultra-short bunch length regime. A special acceleration and alignment area could be accommodated.

The EDTA would use the electron portion of the SLC operated under special conditions with the addition of a second bunch length compressor near the 1 km region (17 GeV) of the SLC. The electron gun would inject 1 to 40 bunches spaced 0.4 m apart into the electron damping ring. The ring would be operated uncoupled at about 600 MeV to provide low-emittance horizontally-flat bunches. These bunches would be length compressed to 0.5 mm and accelerated to the 1 km region in the SLC. At this point the bunches would be compressed a second time to 0.05 mm in a new magnetic compression section using a correlated energy spread in each bunch produced by offset phases of the klystrons upstream. The tolerances for this second compression are expected to be tight for the NLC. These bunches would then be either reinjected into the linac where acceleration and strong wakefields would be studied or alternatively directed to an alignment or acceleration test section where up to 80 m of x-band structure could be tested. A simple overview is shown in Fig. 26. Thus, the EDTA resembles closely the injector of the NLC.

Fig. 26 Schematic layout of the Emittance-Dynamics Test Area at the 1 km location in the SLC. The second bunch length compressor and a test area for wakefield, alignment, RF, and bunch emittance parameters are shown.

10.3 Acceleration Structure Setup (ASSET) in the SLC

The next linear collider will likely accelerate multiple bunches to increase the luminosity. The limitations for operating with multiple bunches come primarily from dealing with long range longitudinal and transverse wakefields. The longitudinal beam loading is compensated by staggering the timing of the various RF pulses along the accelerator. The long range transverse wakefields will be controlled (1) by damping of the higher order modes (HOM), (2) by decohering the HOMs by stagger tuning the HOM frequencies (keeping the fundamental accelerating frequency fixed), or (3) by spacing the bunches far apart. These methods to control transverse wakefields must be tested experimentally before full scale accelerator construction can be started. At present, tests of transverse wakefields are limited to bunch separations of order 2 nsec. ASSET³¹ has the potential to study transverse wakefields to the percent level and for arbitrary time displacements (0-200 nsec or so).

The layout of ASSET is shown in Fig. 27. The RF structure under test is mounted in the linac downstream of the damping rings, but upstream of any SLC accelerating structures. A positron bunch is launched first through the structure with a known transverse offset which generates transverse wakefields. The positrons are then stopped in a dump after the first dipole of a magnetic chicane downstream. An electron bunch is launched immediately after the positrons with an arbitrary time delay and gets deflected by the remaining positron wakefields in the structure. The wakefields are analyzed through betatron oscillations of the electrons along the linac after passing through the chicane. The positrons are re-emitted on a subsequent RF pulse when only an electron bunch is accelerated to the positron target. An analysis of betatron oscillations in the linac can detect a bunch-to-bunch wakefield coupling well below $1.0 \text{ MeV/m}^2/10^{10}e$ for an X-band test structure of length 1.8 m and a drive bunch of 3×10^{10} positrons.

Fig. 27 Schematic layout of the Acceleration Structure Setup using the SLC.

11. Acknowledgments

The numerous advances in accelerator and particle physics as a result of the SLC are the work of many people at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center and from other laboratories worldwide.

12. References

1. R. Erickson, ed., "SLC Design Handbook", Stanford (1984).
2. J. Seeman, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, 41, p. 389-428 (1991).
3. M. Breidenbach, et al., SLAC-PUB-3798, Stanford (1985).
4. M. Breidenbach, et al., "SLC Performance in 1991", SLAC Report, Stanford (1990).
5. J. Clendenin, et al., *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* 28, p. 2452 (1981).
6. J. Clendenin, et al., SLAC-PUB-5368, Stanford (1991).
7. C. Prescott, L. Klaisner, and J. Clendenin, private communication (1992).
8. P. Corredoura, I. Jenkins, and T. Limberg, private communication (1991).
9. F.-J. Decker, et al., SLAC-PUB-5747, Stanford (1992), also EPAC 1992.
10. J. Seeman, SLAC-PUB-5717, Stanford (1991) and 5th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop, Corpus Christi, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 255, p. 19 (1991).
11. C. Adolphsen, et al., *Proc. of IEEE USAPAC*, 91CH3038-7, p. 503 (1991).
12. J. Seeman, et al., *Proc. of IEEE USAPAC*, 91CH3038-7, p. 3210 (1991).
13. J. Seeman, SLAC-PUB-5716, Stanford (1991) and 5th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop, Corpus Christi, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 255, p. 339 (1991).
14. V. Balakin, et al., *12th Int. Conf. on High Energy Accel.*, FNAL, p. 119 (1983).
15. K. Bane, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 153, p. 971 (1987).
16. M. Ross, et al., *Proc. of IEEE USAPAC*, 91CH3038-7, p. 1201 (1991).
17. J. Seeman, et al., *Proc. of IEEE USAPAC*, 91CH3038-7, p. 2064 (1991).
18. J. Seeman, et al., SLAC-PUB-5705, Stanford (1992).
19. T. Himel, et al., SLAC-PUB-5470, Stanford (1991).
20. T. Barklow, et al., SLAC-PUB-5695, Stanford (1991) and 5th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop, Corpus Christi, *AIP Conf. Proc.* 255, p. 347 (1991).
21. N. Walker, "Relevant Experience with the SLC Final Focus", *Proc. of the Final Focus Interaction Region Workshop*, SLAC (1992).
22. K. Brown, SLAC-PUB-4811, Stanford (1988).
23. P. Bambede, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 62:2949 (1989).
24. V. Ziemann, SLAC-PUB-5595, Stanford (1991).
25. R. Jacobsen, et al., SLAC-PUB-5205, Stanford (1990).
26. R. Humphrey, SLAC-PUB-5708, Stanford (1992).
27. FFTB Design Report, SLAC-376, Stanford (1991).
28. D. Burke, *Proc. of IEEE USAPAC*, 91CH3038-7, p. 2055 (1991).
29. J. Irwin, et al., *Proc. of IEEE USAPAC*, 91CH3038-7, p. 2058 (1991).
30. J. Seeman, SLAC-PUB-5654, Stanford (1991).
31. C. Adolphsen, ASSET proposal, SLAC document, Stanford (1992).

END

DATE
FILMED
8 / 18 / 92

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527
 528
 529
 530
 531
 532
 533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
 545
 546
 547
 548
 549
 550
 551
 552
 553
 554
 555
 556
 557
 558
 559
 560
 561
 562
 563
 564
 565
 566
 567
 568
 569
 570
 571
 572
 573
 574
 575
 576
 577
 578
 579
 580
 581
 582
 583
 584
 585
 586
 587
 588
 589
 590
 591
 592
 593
 594
 595
 596
 597
 598
 599
 600
 601
 602
 603
 604
 605
 606
 607
 608
 609
 610
 611
 612
 613
 614
 615
 616
 617
 618
 619
 620
 621
 622
 623
 624
 625
 626
 627
 628
 629
 630
 631
 632
 633
 634
 635
 636
 637
 638
 639
 640
 641
 642
 643
 644
 645
 646
 647
 648
 649
 650
 651
 652
 653
 654
 655
 656
 657
 658
 659
 660
 661
 662
 663
 664
 665
 666
 667
 668
 669
 670
 671
 672
 673
 674
 675
 676
 677
 678
 679
 680
 681
 682
 683
 684
 685
 686
 687
 688
 689
 690
 691
 692
 693
 694
 695
 696
 697
 698
 699
 700
 701
 702
 703
 704
 705
 706
 707
 708
 709
 710
 711
 712
 713
 714
 715
 716
 717
 718
 719
 720
 721
 722
 723
 724
 725
 726
 727
 728
 729
 730
 731
 732
 733
 734
 735
 736
 737
 738
 739
 740
 741
 742
 743
 744
 745
 746
 747
 748
 749
 750
 751
 752
 753
 754
 755
 756
 757
 758
 759
 760
 761
 762
 763
 764
 765
 766
 767
 768
 769
 770
 771
 772
 773
 774
 775
 776
 777
 778
 779
 780
 781
 782
 783
 784
 785
 786
 787
 788
 789
 790
 791
 792
 793
 794
 795
 796
 797
 798
 799
 800
 801
 802
 803
 804
 805
 806
 807
 808
 809
 810
 811
 812
 813
 814
 815
 816
 817
 818
 819
 820
 821
 822
 823
 824
 825
 826
 827
 828
 829
 830
 831
 832
 833
 834
 835
 836
 837
 838
 839
 840
 841
 842
 843
 844
 845
 846
 847
 848
 849
 850
 851
 852
 853
 854
 855
 856
 857
 858
 859
 860
 861
 862
 863
 864
 865
 866
 867
 868
 869
 870
 871
 872
 873
 874
 875
 876
 877
 878
 879
 880
 881
 882
 883
 884
 885
 886
 887
 888
 889
 890
 891
 892
 893
 894
 895
 896
 897
 898
 899
 900
 901
 902
 903
 904
 905
 906
 907
 908
 909
 910
 911
 912
 913
 914
 915
 916
 917
 918
 919
 920
 921
 922
 923
 924
 925
 926
 927
 928
 929
 930
 931
 932
 933
 934
 935
 936
 937
 938
 939
 940
 941
 942
 943
 944
 945
 946
 947
 948
 949
 950
 951
 952
 953
 954
 955
 956
 957
 958
 959
 960
 961
 962
 963
 964
 965
 966
 967
 968
 969
 970
 971
 972
 973
 974
 975
 976
 977
 978
 979
 980
 981
 982
 983
 984
 985
 986
 987
 988
 989
 990
 991
 992
 993
 994
 995
 996
 997
 998
 999
 1000
 1001
 1002
 1003
 1004
 1005
 1006
 1007
 1008
 1009
 1010
 1011
 1012
 1013
 1014
 1015
 1016
 1017
 1018
 1019
 1020
 1021
 1022
 1023
 1024
 1025
 1026
 1027
 1028
 1029
 1030
 1031
 1032
 1033
 1034
 1035
 1036
 1037
 1038
 1039
 1040
 1041
 1042
 1043
 1044
 1045
 1046
 1047
 1048
 1049
 1050
 1051
 1052
 1053
 1054
 1055
 1056
 1057
 1058
 1059
 1060
 1061
 1062
 1063
 1064
 1065
 1066
 1067
 1068
 1069
 1070
 1071
 1072
 1073
 1074
 1075
 1076
 1077
 1078
 1079
 1080
 1081
 1082
 1083
 1084
 1085
 1086
 1087
 1088
 1089
 1090
 1091
 1092
 1093
 1094
 1095
 1096
 1097
 1098
 1099
 1100
 1101
 1102
 1103
 1104
 1105
 1106
 1107
 1108
 1109
 1110
 1111
 1112
 1113
 1114
 1115
 1116
 1117
 1118
 1119
 1120
 1121
 1122
 1123
 1124
 1125
 1126
 1127
 1128
 1129
 1130
 1131
 1132
 1133
 1134
 1135
 1136
 1137
 1138
 1139
 1140
 1141
 1142
 1143
 1144
 1145
 1146
 1147
 1148
 1149
 1150
 1151
 1152
 1153
 1154
 1155
 1156
 1157
 1158
 1159
 1160
 1161
 1162
 1163
 1164
 1165
 1166
 1167
 1168
 1169
 1170
 1171
 1172
 1173
 1174
 1175
 1176
 1177
 1178
 1179
 1180
 1181
 1182
 1183
 1184
 1185
 1186
 1187
 1188
 1189
 1190
 1191
 1192
 1193
 1194
 1195
 1196
 1197
 1198
 1199
 1200
 1201
 1202
 1203
 1204
 1205
 1206
 1207
 1208
 1209
 1210
 1211
 1212
 1213
 1214
 1215
 1216
 1217
 1218
 1219
 1220
 1221
 1222
 1223
 1224
 1225
 1226
 1227
 1228
 1229
 1230
 1231
 1232
 1233
 1234
 1235
 1236
 1237
 1238
 1239
 1240
 1241
 1242
 1243
 1244
 1245
 1246
 1247
 1248
 1249
 1250
 1251
 1252
 1253
 1254
 1255
 1256
 1257
 1258
 1259
 1260
 1261
 1262
 1263
 1264
 1265
 1266
 1267
 1268
 1269
 1270
 1271
 1272
 1273
 1274
 1275
 1276
 1277
 1278
 1279
 1280
 1281
 1282
 1283
 1284
 1285
 1286
 1287
 1288
 1289
 1290
 1291
 1292
 1293
 1294
 1295
 1296
 1297
 1298
 1299
 1300
 1301
 1302
 1303
 1304
 1305
 1306
 1307
 1308
 1309
 1310
 1311
 1312
 1313
 1314
 1315
 1316
 1317
 1318
 1319
 1320
 1321
 1322
 1323
 1324
 1325
 1326
 1327
 1328
 1329
 1330
 1331
 1332
 1333
 1334
 1335
 1336
 1337
 1338
 1339
 1340
 1341
 1342
 1343
 1344
 1345
 1346
 1347
 1348
 1349
 1350
 1351
 1352
 1353
 1354
 1355
 1356
 1357
 1358
 1359
 1360
 1361
 1362
 1363
 1364
 1365
 1366
 1367
 1368
 1369
 1370
 1371
 1372
 1373
 1374
 1375
 1376
 1377
 1378
 1379
 1380
 1381
 1382
 1383
 1384
 1385
 1386
 1387
 1388
 1389
 1390
 1391
 1392
 1393
 1394
 1395
 1396
 1397
 1398
 1399
 1400
 1401
 1402
 1403
 1404
 1405
 1406
 1407
 1408
 1409
 1410
 1411
 1412
 1413
 1414
 1415
 1416
 1417
 1418
 1419
 1420
 1421
 1422
 1423
 1424
 1425
 1426
 1427
 1428
 1429
 1430
 1431
 1432
 1433
 1434
 1435
 1436
 1437
 1438
 1439
 1440
 1441
 1442
 1443
 1444
 1445
 1446
 1447
 1448
 1449
 1450
 1451
 1452
 1453
 1454
 1455
 1456
 1457
 1458
 1459
 1460
 1461
 1462
 1463
 1464
 1465
 1466
 1467
 1468
 1469
 1470
 1471
 1472
 1473
 1474
 1475
 1476
 1477
 1478
 1479
 1480
 1481
 1482
 1483
 1484
 1485
 1486
 1487
 1488
 1489
 1490
 1491
 1492
 14