AJIM

Association for Information and Image Management

1100 Wayne Avenue, Suite 1100
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

301/587-8202

Centimeter

Inches

MANUFACTURED TO AJIM STANDARDS
BY APPLIED IMAGE, INC.

1 of 1

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES DIVISION

EFFECTS OF VARIOUS URANIUM LEACHING PROCEDURES ON SOIL:
SHORT-TERM VEGETATION GROWTH AND PHYSIOLOGY

Progress Report - April 1994

Nelson T. Edwards

Environmental Sciences Division
Publication No. 4289

Date Published - August 1994

Prepared by the
OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6285
managed by
MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
for the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under contract No. DE-AC05-84OR21400

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF FIGURES	v
SUMMARY	vii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. METHODS AND MATERIALS	1
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	4
3.1 BENCH-SCALE STUDIES	4
3.2 PILOT-SCALE STUDIES	12
4. CONCLUSIONS	16
5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	19
6. REFERENCES	19

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Germination percentages of radish and oat seeds in nonleached control soil and in washed and unwashed soil leached with sodium carbonate, citric acid, and ammonium carbonate	5
2. Net carbon dioxide gain in radish and oat seedlings growing in nonleached soil and in soils that had been leached and treated by using various techniques	6
3. Photosynthetic and transpiration rates in leaves of oat seedling growing in nonleached soil; washed and fertilized sodium carbonate-leached soil; and washed, limed and fertilized citric acid-leached soil. Water-use efficiency (photosynthesis/transpiration) is a ratio and may be read from either scale	8
4. Dry weights of 1-week-old radish and oat seedlings grown in nonleached soils and in soils treated by various leaching, washing, and fertilization regimes	9
5. Rooting depths and stem heights of 1-week-old radish and oat seedlings grown in nonleached soil and in soils that had been treated by various leaching, washing, and fertilization regimes	10
6. (a) Mean stem + leaf weights plotted against mean stem heights for oat seedlings grown in nonleached soil and leached soils. (b) Mean stem + leaf weight/stem height ratios for oats and radishes grown in nonleached soil and leached soils. (c) Mean root weight/root length ratios for radish and oat seedling in nonleached soil and leached soils	11
7. Pigment concentrations in leaves of radish and oat seedlings after 1 week of growth in nonleached soil and in fertilized sodium carbonate leached soil	13
8. Net carbon dioxide gain in 1-week-old oat and radish seedlings growing in nonleached soil and in storage pad soil having undergone three sodium carbonate leaching procedures at the pilot scale	14

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
9. Photosynthetic and transpiration rates in leaves of 1-week-old oat seedlings growing in nonleached soil and in storage pad soil having undergone three sodium carbonate leaching procedures at the pilot scale. Water-use efficiency (photosynthesis/transpiration) is a ratio and may be read from either scale	15
10. Dry weights of 1-week-old radish and oat seedlings grown in nonleached soil and in storage pad soil having undergone three sodium carbonate leaching procedures at the pilot scale	17
11. Rooting depths and stem heights of 1-week-old radish and oat seedlings growing in nonleached soil and in storage pad soil having undergone three sodium carbonate leaching procedures at the pilot scale	18

SUMMARY

This study examined the vegetation-support capacity of soils that were leached to remove uranium using various chemical leaching techniques. Short-term phytotoxicity tests were performed on 1-week-old oat and radish seedlings that were grown in nonleached soil and in soil leached with various chemicals. All soils tested were from the storage pad area at Fernald site in Ohio and were either bench-scale-leached at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) or pilot-scale-leached at Fernald. Bench-scale leachates included 0.5 molar total carbonate solutions of sodium carbonate and sodium bicarbonate, 3.1 molar citric acid, and 0.8 molar total carbonate solution of ammonium carbonate and ammonium bicarbonate. All bench-scale-leached soils were washed with solutions of 0.05 molar calcium chloride (CaCl_2) followed by two additional washings with distilled water. The CaCl_2 washing was necessary before seeds would germinate on the soil. Some of the soils from the bench-scale leachings were amended with lime and/or fertilizer before phytotoxicity tests were performed. All pilot-scale leached soils tested were leached with sodium carbonate, but various leaching procedures were used. All tests performed on the pilot-scale leached soils were performed on unamended soil, although the leaching procedures did include thorough rinsing with water following sodium carbonate leaching. Phytotoxicity tests included whole plant gas (H_2O and CO_2) exchange, photosynthesis, dark respiration, leaf pigment concentrations, root length, root weight, stem + leaf weight, and stem height. Physiological functions and the growth of seedlings grown in soil bench-scale leached with sodium carbonate or citric acid followed by CaCl_2 washing and fertilization (plus lime in the case of citric acid) were equal to or greater than that for seedlings grown in nonleached soil. Physiological functions and growth rates were also comparable in seedlings grown in pilot-scale-leached soils to those in seedlings grown in nonleached soils. However, dry weight to stem height or root-length ratios were generally greater in plants grown in nonleached soils than plants grown in leached soils. This indicates that the plants grown in the nonleached soils had larger diameter stems and larger leaves or that their tissues were more dense than those of plants grown in leached soils. It also indicates that the roots of plants grown in nonleached soil were more numerous, thicker, or that root tissues were more dense than those of plants grown in leached soils. These results may indicate that the plants grown in the leached soils were less vigorous than plants grown in the nonleached soil in spite of the fact that their net growth rates (in terms of biomass accumulation and net carbon gain) were equal to or in some cases greater than growth rates of plants grown in nonleached soil.

Longer term pot studies with soils from the most promising leaching procedures should determine whether this is a major problem. Caution is advised for all of the leaching procedures in terms of damage to the soil structure. These tests were performed under ideal moisture conditions for seed germination and seedling

establishment. In the field, soils with the structure of these leached soils would require careful mulching and watering regimes. If left to natural weather conditions, the soils will either be too dry and hard at the surface or too muddy for seed germination and seedling establishment to occur.

1. INTRODUCTION

Significant volumes of soil containing elevated levels of uranium exist in the eastern United States (predominately at the Fernald site, located about 18 miles northwest of Cincinnati, Ohio, and at the Oak Ridge Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee). The contamination resulted from the development of the nuclear industry in the United States requiring the mining, milling, and fabrication of a large variety of uranium products. The contaminated soil poses a collection and disposal problem of a magnitude that justifies the development of decontamination methods. Consequently, the Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Technology Development formed the Uranium in Soils Integrated Demonstration (USID) program to address the problem (ORNL-6762, 1993). The fundamental goal of the USID task group, with investigators at four DOE facilities [Argonne National Laboratory (ANL), Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), ORNL, and Savannah River Project (SRP)], has been the selective extraction/leaching or removal of uranium from soil faster, cheaper, and safer than what can be done using current conventional technologies. The objective is to selectively remove uranium from soil without seriously degrading the soil's physicochemical characteristics and without generating waste that is difficult to manage and/or dispose of. However, procedures developed for removing uranium from contaminated soil have involved relatively harsh chemical treatments that do affect the physicochemical properties of the soil. The questions are (1) are the changes in soil properties severe enough to destroy the soil's capacity to support and sustain vegetation growth and survival? and (2) if necessary, what amendments might be made to the leached soil to return it to a reasonable vegetation production capacity? This study examines the vegetation-support capacity of soil that had been chemically leached to remove uranium by means of a variety of chemical leaching techniques. The approach is to conduct short-term germination and phytotoxicity tests for evaluating soils after they are subjected to various leaching procedures followed by longer term (full life cycle) pot studies on successfully leached soils that show the greatest capacity to support plant growth. This report details the results from germination and short-term phytotoxicity testing of soils that underwent a variety of leaching procedures at the bench scale at ORNL and at the pilot plant at Fernald. All soils tested were from the storage pad area at Fernald.

2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

Leaching and soil preparation procedures: In the bench-scale tests at ORNL, 500-g soil samples were leached by attrition scrubbing for 5 min at 20°C with a 0.5 molar total carbonate solution of sodium carbonate/bicarbonate ($\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3/\text{NaHCO}_3$), a 0.8 molar total carbonate solution of ammonium carbonate ($(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3/\text{NH}_4\text{CO}_3$), or a 3.1 molar solution of citric acid. Soils were then either air dried and pulverized

or washed five times with 1.5 l of 0.05 molar CaCl_2 solution followed by two additional washings with distilled water before drying and pulverization. A complete description of bench-scale leaching procedures is discussed in ORNL-6762 (1993).

All of the soils were either tested with no further treatment after leaching or were fertilized with agricultural lime or fertilizer containing 10% nitrogen, 10% phosphorus, and 10% potassium. Lime was added at rates equivalent to 8 tons per acre and fertilizer was added at rates equivalent to 1000 lb per acre.

Three samples of storage pad soil were also tested after leaching at the Fernald pilot plant. All three samples were leached with sodium carbonate. Sample T4S50 was particle-size fractionated and attrition scrubbed with water. Sample T16S50 was not fractionated, and a polymer was used before attrition scrubbing with water. Sample T17S50 was particle-size fractionated and attrition scrubbed with water at 40°C. Additional tests are in progress.

Seed germination: Seed germination tests and a series of physiological and growth measurements were performed for each set of plants growing in leached soils and in a single nonleached control soil sample, as indicators of phytotoxicity. Oat and radish plants were used in all of the tests. All tests were performed in a growth chamber set to control temperature at 18°C during 10 h dark periods and at 24°C during 14 h light periods.

Maximum potential germination percentages were determined by placing 100 seeds of each species between single layers of moistened blotter paper within a small covered plastic dish. The germination dishes were left in the growth chamber but in a darkened container for 72 h. Germinated seeds were then counted. This procedure was repeated with each soil tested, except that a 5-mm moist layer of each treated soil replaced the bottom layer of blotter paper.

Physiological processes: Physiological measurements were performed on 1-week-old seedlings of each species. Plants were grown in small glass tubes (10 cm tall, 5 cm wide, and 0.5 cm thick). Each tube contained 20 g (dry weight) of soil. The glass tubes were open on each end. A 1-cm-thick layer of absorbent cotton placed in the bottom of each tube held the soil in place and also provided a wick to transport water from an external water reservoir into the soil. Eight glass tubes were used for each species per treatment. Germinated seeds of each species were planted slightly beneath the surface of the soil in each tube. Three radish or two oat seedlings were established in each tube. The seedlings were allowed to grow under the growth chamber conditions described above.

Physiological measurements included whole plant gas exchange (net CO_2 gain and dark respiration), photosynthesis and transpiration, and plant pigment concentrations. Gas exchange measurements were performed with a Li-Cor

infrared gas analyzer (Li-Cor, Lincoln, Nebraska). For whole plant gas exchange, a single glass tube with plants was placed inside a 1-l Li-Cor gas exchange chamber. To correct for soil respiration, a single glass tube containing soil but without plants was placed in the chamber. Photosynthetic and transpiration rates were measured by placing a measured area of intact leaf tissue inside the Li-Cor 1-l chamber. The photosynthesis and transpiration measurements were performed only on the oat seedlings. Plant pigment concentrations (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenes) were determined for plants grown in bench-scale sodium carbonate-leached soil with fertilizer added vs nonleached controls. Plant pigments were removed from the plant leaves by soaking overnight in ethyl alcohol. Absorbance values of the extracts were determined at wavelengths of 665, 649, and 470 nm, and the pigment concentrations were calculated (Lichtenthaler and Wellburn, 1983).

Growth measurements: Net growth was determined by measuring the root length and dry weight and the stem height and dry weight of each plant. At the end of a 1-week growth period, seedlings were removed from the soil; the soil was rinsed from the roots with water; and the stem height and root length of each seedling were measured. Roots and stems were separated, dried at 75°C, and weighed. For the bench-scale treatments, it was necessary to ash the roots for determining ash-free dry weights, because of the difficulty of removing soil from the roots. In the pilot-scale study, the roots were allowed to soak in water for 2 h before a final rinsing. In this way, all of the soil was removed from the roots, thus eliminating the necessity to ash them.

Treatment designations and data analyses: Treatment designations throughout the text and figures are defined below:

Washed - Leached soil was washed with CaCl_2 , as described above, with no lime or fertilizer.

Not washed or unwashed - Soil received no further treatment after leaching.

Limed - Lime was added to soil after leaching and washing.

Sodium carbonate (fertilized) - Fertilizer was added after CaCl_2 washing.

Citric acid (fertilized) - Fertilizer was added after CaCl_2 washing *and* liming.

Gas exchange data are expressed as means of eight measurements. Rooting depth, stem height, and weight data are expressed as means of 24 measurements for radish seedlings and 16 measurements for oat seedlings. All error bars in the figures represent 1 standard error.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 BENCH-SCALE STUDIES

Seed germination: Germination percentages averaged 80% and 60% for radish and oats, respectively, on nonleached soil. These rates were near the maximum rates that were determined with absorbent paper. No germination occurred on unwashed sodium carbonate-leached soil, presumably due to high sodium concentrations caused by the leaching process. After washing the sodium carbonate-leached soil with CaCl_2 , germination rates were comparable to rates in the nonleached control soil. Germination percentages in unwashed ammonium carbonate-leached soil were less than 20% for both radish and oats. Percentages in citric acid-leached soil after washing with CaCl_2 were 50% for radish and 40% for oats. Results from the germination tests are illustrated in Fig. 1. Note that the tests were conducted under ideal moisture conditions. Due to damage to the soil structure and the high salt concentrations that result from the various leaching processes, the surface soil dries rapidly and is resistant to re-wetting. The soil dries to a concrete-like crust at the surface; water added to the surface is not readily absorbed by the soil. Moisture movement from a water reservoir beneath the soil moves very slowly up through the soil by capillary action. Evaporative losses exceed this capillary movement, even in the relatively mild environmental conditions of a growth chamber. Therefore, establishment of vegetation in the field would require a very careful watering regime and/or heavy mulching. Also, in the field, rainfall would tend to runoff rather than soak into the soil after the soil dries.

Physiological processes: Both radishes and oats grown in sodium carbonate-leached soil that had been washed with CaCl_2 and fertilized exhibited a greater net carbon gain rate just prior to harvest than did plants grown in any of the other soils, including the nonleached control soil (Fig. 2). Radishes grown in citric acid-leached soil that had been washed, limed, and fertilized had a greater net carbon gain rate than oats grown in any of the other soils, except for those grown in washed and fertilized sodium carbonate-leached soil. The lowest net carbon gain rate was observed in plants grown in sodium carbonate soil that had only been washed and in citric acid-leached soil that was only washed or washed and limed. Net carbon gain could not be determined for any of the soils that were not washed, because no growth occurred. The growth data presented below supports the net carbon gain results, except that the growth data generally indicate better growing conditions in the nonfertilized and nonlimed soils than do the net carbon gain data. However, much of the growth of young seedlings comes from nourishment stored in the seeds and therefore may not be as indicative of longer-term growth as the gas exchange data. The gas exchange data were collected after depletion of most of the stored food supply.

Fig. 1. Germination percentages of radish and oat seeds in nonleached control soil and in washed and unwashed soil leached with sodium carbonate, citric acid, and ammonium carbonate.

Fig. 2. Net carbon dioxide gain in radish and oat seedlings growing in nonleached soil and soils that had been leached and treated using various techniques.

Photosynthetic rates in oats were greater in plants grown in washed and fertilized, sodium carbonate-leached soil than in either washed, limed, and fertilized citric acid-leached soil or in nonleached soil (Fig. 3). There were no differences in photosynthetic rates in oats grown in nonleached soil and citric acid-leached soil that had received lime and fertilizer. Transpiration rates were generally greater in the oats having the greatest photosynthetic rates. However, transpiration rates in oats grown in the limed and fertilized citric acid-leached soil were very low relative to photosynthesis rates resulting in a relatively high water-use efficiency (Fig. 3). This is a desirable trait in plants, especially those growing in areas where drought is a problem. However, these plants were grown in water-saturated soil, and any advantage in terms of water use efficiency would not have been manifested in terms of growth or survival.

Growth: Dry matter accumulation in both the oat and the radish seedlings grown in washed, limed, and fertilized citric acid-leached soil was significantly greater than that of seedlings grown in any of the other soils including the nonleached control soil (Fig. 4). Dry matter accumulation in radishes was poorest in sodium carbonate and citric acid-leached soils that were treated only by washing, with essentially no growth occurring in the washed, citric acid-leached soil. Similar results were recorded for oat seedlings except that the lowest dry matter accumulation occurred in the limed or washed, citric acid-leached soil. However, while dry matter accumulation in radish plants was near zero in washed, citric acid-leached soil, oat seedlings grown in washed citric acid-leached soil exhibited dry matter accumulation that was not significantly less than that of oat seedlings grown in limed, citric acid-leached soil.

Except for the radishes grown in washed citric acid-leached soil (where no growth occurred), stem heights and rooting depths were as great or greater in the leached soils than in the nonleached control soil (Fig. 5). In both radish and oat seedlings, stem height and rooting depth were greatest in the sodium carbonate-leached soil regardless of treatment, except that no growth occurred in the unwashed sodium carbonate soil. Rooting depth and stem height were less in plants grown in the nonleached control soil than in any of the leached soils, whereas the dry weights of the plants grown in nonleached soil were near equal to or in some cases greater than dry weights of the plants grown in leached soil. Figures 6 (a, b, and c) illustrate the relationships between stem height and weight or root length and weight for the various treatments including both bench-scale and pilot-scale treatments. Weight/stem height ratios were greater in both radish and oat plants grown in nonleached soil and fertilized, citric acid leached soil than in plants grown using any of the other treatments. The lowest (stem + leaf weight/stem height) ratios were observed in plants grown in pilot-scale leached soil. Root weight/root length ratios of plants grown in nonleached soil were equal to or greater than ratios for plants grown in any of the treated soils, except for oats grown in pilot-scale treated soils. Root weight/root length ratios of oats grown in pilot-scale leached soil were greater than for oats grown in any of the other soils

Fig. 3. Photosynthetic and transpiration rates in leaves of oat seedlings growing in nonleached soil; washed and fertilized sodium carbonate-leached soil; and washed, limed, and fertilized citric acid-leached soil. Water-use efficiency (photosynthesis/transpiration) is a ratio and may be read from either scale.

Fig. 4. Dry weights of 1-week-old radish and oat seedlings grown in nonleached soils and in soils treated by various leaching, washing, and fertilization regimes.

Fig. 5. Rooting depths and stem heights of 1-week-old radish and oat seedlings grown in nonleached soil and in soils that had been treated by various leaching, washing, and fertilization regimes.

Fig. 6. (a) Mean stem + leaf weights plotted against mean stem heights for oat seedlings grown in nonleached soil and in leached soils. (b) Mean stem + leaf weight/stem height ratios for oats and radishes grown in nonleached soil and leached soils. (c) Mean root weight/root length ratios for radish and oat seedling in nonleached soil and in leached soils.

including the nonleached soil. These data indicate a more branched rooting system and possibly larger (diameter) stems and leaves or higher density tissue in the plants from the nonleached soil and from the fertilized, citric acid-leached soil. Either or both conditions are indicative of vigorous vegetation with better survival capabilities than for plants with lower weight to stem height and root length ratios. For example, a more branched rooting system as opposed to a poorly branched rooting system would be an advantage for root exploration of the soil for moisture and nutrients.

Although no fertilizer tests were performed with the nonleached control soil, these results indicate the probability of a nutrient deficiency in that soil. This is supported by the pigment concentration data that showed lower chlorophyll a concentrations in leaves of radishes grown in nonleached soil than in leaves of radishes grown in fertilized, sodium carbonate-leached soil (Fig. 7). Such differences were not observed in oats, perhaps because oat seeds are larger than radish seeds with a potentially greater supply of nutrients, such as nitrogen, that are essential for chlorophyll production in plants. The fact that growth was greater in plants growing in some of the washed, leached soils without fertilizer additions (e.g., oats in washed, citric acid-leached soil and both oat and radish seedlings in washed, sodium carbonate and citric acid-leached soils) than in the nonleached control soil indicates that some previously unavailable nutrients may have been made available for plant uptake by the leaching and washing procedures.

3.2 PILOT-SCALE STUDIES

Seed germination: Radish seed germination was 85%, 84%, and 80% in the T4S50, T16S50, and T17S50 leached soils. These percentages were not different from the percentages in the nonleached control soil (82%). Oat seed germination averaged about 62% in an earlier test of nonleached control soil, as compared with a range of 44% to 53% in the three pilot-scale leached soils. However, the lower oat seed germination percentages in the leached soils were about equal to concurrently run germination tests with absorbent paper. Therefore, the lower germination percentages were due to decreased natural viability of the oat seeds over time. No nonleached soil was available at the time these tests were performed.

Physiological responses: Net CO₂ gain in nonleached soil and in the T17S50 soil was greater with both radish and oat plants than in the other pilot-scale leached soils tested (Fig. 8). The lowest whole plant CO₂ gain rates, for both radishes and oats, were in T4S50 soil. However, the greatest rate of photosynthesis was measured in oats grown in the T4S50 soil, indicating relatively high rates of respiration in the roots and stems (Fig. 9). Transpiration rates were greatest and water-use efficiencies were lowest in oat plants grown in nonleached and T17S50 soils. Water-use efficiencies were about twice as high in oats grown in T4S50 and

Fig. 7. Pigment concentrations in leaves of radish and oat seedlings after 1 week of growth in nonleached soil and in fertilized sodium carbonate-leached soil.

Fig. 8. Net carbon dioxide gain in 1-week-old oat and radish seedlings growing in nonleached soil and in storage pad soil having undergone three sodium carbonate leaching procedures at the pilot scale.

Fig. 9. Photosynthetic and transpiration rates in leaves of 1-week-old oat seedlings growing in nonleached soil and in storage pad soil having undergone three sodium carbonate leaching procedures at the pilot scale. Water-use efficiency (photosynthesis/transpiration) is a ratio and may be read from either scale.

T16S50 soils than water-use efficiencies of oats grown in any of the other soils including nonleached and bench-scale leached soils.

Growth: Net CO₂ gain results generally supported the dry matter accumulation data. However, contrary to indications of a low net CO₂ gain rate in plants grown in the T4S50 soil, the actual accumulated dry weight at harvest was greater in radishes grown in this soil than in any of the other pilot-scale leached soils (Fig. 10). Dry weight accumulation in oats was about equal among plants grown in nonleached soil and in the pilot-scale leached soils, including the T4S50 soil. These seemingly contradictory results with plants grown in the T4S50 soil could be explained if nighttime respiratory carbon losses were unusually low. However, we did not measure gas exchange at night; therefore, we have no evidence to support this theory.

Stem height and rooting depth were least in the nonleached soils, as observed in the bench-scale test (Fig. 11). Also, as in the bench-scale test, the rooting depths and stem heights were less than might be indicated by the comparison of biomass accumulation among the various tests.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Although all tests have not been completed (e.g., long-term growth studies are just beginning), several tentative conclusions can be drawn from the results presented: (1) seed germination results indicate that bench-scale leached soils require additional washing to bring germination percentages up to acceptable levels; (2) plants will grow in soil leached at the bench scale with sodium carbonate or citric acid only if they have been "washed," and fertilization is necessary for growth rates to approach rates in unleached soil; (3) plant growth rates (dry mass production) in pilot-scale leached soils that have been tested to date (T17S50, T16S50, and T4S50) are equal to or greater than plant growth rates in unleached soil; (4) stem and leaf tissue density measurements indicate that plants grown in unleached soil or fertilized, citric acid-leached soil are structurally stronger than plants grown in any of the other leached soils that were tested; and (5) all of the leaching procedures damage the soil structure to the extent that seedling establishment is extremely difficult, requiring heavy mulching and regular water misting.

Fig. 10. Dry weights of 1-week-old radish and oat seedlings grown in nonleached soil and in storage pad soil having undergone three sodium carbonate leaching procedures at the pilot scale.

Fig. 11. Rooting depths and stem heights of 1-week-old radish and oat seedlings growing in nonleached soil and in storage pad soil having undergone three sodium carbonate leaching procedures at the pilot scale.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Shaun Crooks and Steve Armes, of Roane State Community College's Student Assistance Program, for much of the data collection and data handling. Also, thanks to Mike Timpson, Mark Elless, and Chet Francis for coordinating the delivery of leached soils to me, for helpful suggestions on testing the soil, and for reviewing this report.

6. REFERENCES

- Soil Decon Task Group. 1993. Removal of Uranium from Uranium-Contaminated Soils. Phase I: Bench-Scale Testing. ORNL-6762. Oak Ridge National Laboratory.
- Lichtenthaler, H. K. and A. R. Wellburn. 1983. Determinations of total carotenoids and chlorophylls a and b of leaf extracts in different solvents. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 603:591-92.

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1. M. R. Ally
2. A. Q. Armstrong
3. L. D. Bates
4. B. A. Berven
5. J. H. Cushman
6. V. H. Dale
- 7-16. N. T. Edwards
17. M. P. Elless
18. D. E. Fowler
19. C. W. Francis
20. S. G. Hildebrand
21. P. Kanciruk
22. A. J. Kuhaida, Jr.
23. J. M. Loar
24. Lorie Oakes
25. M. E. Reeves
26. D. E. Reichle
27. Margo M. Roe
28. F. E. Sharples
29. D. S. Shriner
30. S. H. Stow
31. M. E. Timpson
32. J. R. Trabalka
- 33-34. Central Research Library
- 35-49. ESD Library
- 50-51. Laboratory Records Department
52. Laboratory Records, ORNL-RC
53. ORNL Patent Section
54. ORNL Y-12 Technical Library

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

55. Yalcin Acar, Electrokinetics Inc., Louisiana Business and Tec Center, South Stadium Drive, Suite 102, Baton Rouge, LA 70803-6100
56. Dale Alberts, Rio Algom Co., 401 East E. Street, Casper, WY 82601
57. William J. Almas, Energy Fuels Nuclear Inc., One Tabor Center, Suite 2500, 1200 Seventeenth Street, Denver, CO 80202
58. John A. Apps, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720
59. R. Bahorich, Scientific Ecology Group, 1501 Ardmore Blvd., Forest Hills, PA 15221
60. Robert Bailey, Alliance of Ohio Univeristies, 1080 Carmack Road, Columbus, OH 43210-1002
61. Duane L. Barney, 4970 Sentinel Drive, Bethesda, MD 29816-3514
62. M. Katherine Banks, Kansas State University, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Seaton, RM-160, Manhattan, KS 66506
63. G. S. Barney, Bldg. 234-5, 200 West Area, Westinghouse Hanford Operations, Richland, WA 99352
64. Seymour Baron, Associate Director, Applied Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973
65. C. A. Barrington, Westinghouse Hanford Company, P.O. Box 1970, Richland, WA 99352
66. Jim Barthel, Rust Remedial Services, 1597 Cole Blvd., Bldg. 15, Suite 350, Golden, CO 80401
67. J. E. Battles, Chemical Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
68. F. W. Benn, U.S. Bureau of Mines, P.O. Box 280, Rolla, MO 64501
69. Sally M. Benson, Earth Sciences Division, Bldg. 50E, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720
70. James Berger, Office of Technology Integration, Westinghouse Hanford Co., P.O. Box 1970, MS-LO-18, Richland, WA 99352
71. Wayne A. Bliss, Reynolds Electrical Eng. Co. Inc., P.O. Box 98521, MS 428, Las Vegas, NV 89193-8521
72. Doug A. Bliss, US Army Corps of Engineers, Seattle District, CENPS-EN-GT-GIN, P.O. Box 3755, Seattle, WA 98124-2255
73. Art Bomberger, FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
74. Bernie Bono, FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
75. D. L. Bowers, Chemical Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
76. Jim R. Brainard, LANL-INC-4, MS-C36, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545
77. Edward T. Bramlitt, Defense Nuclear Agency, Field Command, FCDNA/FCEH, 1680 Texas Street, SE, Kirtland AFB, NM 87117-5669

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION (continued)

78. Dave Brettschneider, FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
79. Mark Bricka, USAE WES, 3909 Halls Ferry Road, WESEE-R, Vicksburg, MS 39180
80. J. O. Brien, Westinghouse Remediation Services ATLANTA, 675 Park North Blvd., Building F, Suite 100, Clarkston, GA 30021-1962
81. Ken Brierly, British Nuclear Fuels plc, R220 Risley, Warrington, Cheshire, United Kingdom, WA3 6AS
82. Carol Bruton, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, L-219, Livermore, CA 94550
83. Edgar C. Buck, Argonne National Laboratory, Chemical Technology Division, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
84. Todd Burchett, SC&A, Inc., 1418 I-85 Parkway, Montgomery, AL 36106
85. John L. Burnett, ER-142, G-339/GTN, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy, 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, MD 20545
86. Jack Caldwell, Jacobs Engineering Group, 251 S. Lake Avenue, Pasadena, CA 91101
87. Vince Ceci, BDM Federal, Bellemeade 1, 20251 Century Boulevard, Germantown, MD 20874
88. Dave J. Chaiko, Argonne National Laboratory, Chemical Technology Division, 9700 South Cass Ave., Bldg 205, Argonne, IL 60439-4837
89. Peter Colomobo, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Bldg. 703-50 Rutherford, Upton, NY 11973
90. R. H. Condit, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, Livermore, CA 94550
91. Michelle Condon, ISOTRON Corporation, 13152 Chef Hwy., New Orleans, LA 70124
92. Sheila Conway, Colorado Center for Environmental Mgmt., 1536 Cole Blvd., Bldg. 4, Suite 180, Golden, CO 80401
93. T. D. Cooper, Westinghouse Hanford Company, P.O. Box 1970, Richland, WA 99352
94. James Corone, Ames Laboratory, 329 Wilhelm Hall, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011
95. Clint Cox, USEPA National Air & Radiation, Environmental Laboratory, 1504 Avenue A, Montgomery, AL 36115-2601
96. J. L. Cox, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, P.O. Box 999, Battelle Boulevard, Mail Stop P7-14, Richland, WA 99352
97. John Crockett, Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Energy, P.O. Box 117, Oak Ridge, TN 37831
98. J. C. Cunnane, Chemical Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION (continued)

99. Aldo D'Agostino, LLRWMO, 67 John Street, Suite 104, Port Hope, Ontario, Canada L1A 2Z4
100. A. Dietrich, Scientific Ecology Group, 1501 Ardmore Blvd., Forest Hills, PA 15221
101. David Dougherty, Halliburton NUS, 10200 Bellaire, Houston, TX 77072-5299
102. David L. Douglas, Consultant, 8058 Pennsylvania Road, South Bloomington, MN 55438
103. Holmer Dugger, Kaiser Engineers Hanford Company, Environmental Support Organization, Pacific Northwest Division, 4000 NE 41st Street, Seattle, WA 98105
104. Lee Dworjahyn, Westinghouse SRL, Savannah River Site, Bldg 779-2A, Aiken, SC 29808
105. David A. Dzombak, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890
106. Michael Eagle, U.S. EPA, 401 M Street SW, RSD-6603J, Washington, DC 20460
107. Jonathan Eckles, H&R Technical Associates, P.O. Box 4159, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-4159
108. Neal S. Egan, Prgrm. Development Division, MSE Inc., P.O. Box 3767, Butte, MT 59702
109. Dave Emilia, Strategic Planning Department, Chem-Nuclear Geotech, P.O. Box 14000, Grand Junction, CO 81502-2567
110. George E. Farnia, Tennessee Valley Authority, P.O. Box 1010, CEB 3A, Muscle Shoals, AL 35660
111. R. N. Farvolden, Professor, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1 Canada
112. Sharen Fauver, DOE-HQ, EM-424, Trevion II Bldg., Washington, DC 20585-0002
113. Don Foust, General Electric Co., Corporate R&D, P.O. Box 8, Bldg K-1, RM 5A24, Schenectady, NY 12301
114. A. J. Francis, PI Brookhaven National Laboratory, 1 South Technology St., Bldg 318, Upton, NY 11973
115. D. W. Freckman, Director, College of Natural Resources, 101 Natural Resources Building, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523
116. Shirley Frush, DOE-HQ, EM-424, Trevion II Bldg., Washington, 20585-0002
117. Harry N. Fugate, Jason Associates Corporation, 255 B. Street, Suite 200, Idaho Falls, ID 83402
118. Mark Fuhrmann, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Bldg. 830, Upton, NY 11973

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION (continued)

119. M. A. Gerber, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, P.O. Box 999, Battelle Boulevard, Mail Stop P7-14, Richland, WA 99352
120. Doug M. Gerrick, FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, MS 52-5, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
121. M. Ghosh, Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Tennessee, 219B Berkins Hall, Knoxville, TN 37996-2010
122. Dirk Gombert, Westinghouse Idaho Nuclear Company, Site Remediation, P.O. Box 4000, Idaho Falls, ID 83402-4000
123. Lou Goodroad, Clemson Research Park, 100 Technology Drive, Anderson, SC 29625
124. Yuri Gorby, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Battelle Blvd., MS K4-06, P.O. Box 999, Richland, WA 99352
125. D. C. Grant, Westinghouse Science and Technology Center, 1310 Beulah Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15235-5098
126. Richard A. Graves, ISOTRON Corporation, 13152 Chef, New Orleans, LA 70124
127. Erik Groenendijk, Alternative Remedial Tech. Inc., 14497 North Dale Mabry Hwy, Suite 140, Tampa, FL 33618
128. Bimleshwar Gupta, Manager, Waste Management Prgrms, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 1617 Cole Boulevard, Golden, CO 80401
129. Katie Hain, U.S. Department of Energy, EM-55, RM-422, Trevion II Blvd., Washington, DC 20585-0002
130. Mary Hale, IPD/Technical Information Services, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
131. Gary F. Hall, Lockheed Environmental Systems & Tech, 900 Grier Drive, Suite B, Las Vegas, NV 89119
132. Adrian Hanson, New Mexico State University, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Engineering Complex II, Box 3CE, Los, Cruces, NM 88003
133. J. E. Harmon, Chemical Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
134. Mike Harris, EG&G Rocky Flats, P.O. Box 464, Bldg 080, Golden, CO 80402-0464
135. R. C. Harriss, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, Science and Engineering Research Building, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824
136. Jim Hart, U.S. Department of Energy, FUSRAP, MS-EW93, P.O. Box 2001, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8723
137. Bill Hass, Ames Laboratory, 329 Wilhelm Hall, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011
138. T. Alan Hatton, David H. Koch School of Chemical Engineering Practice, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION (continued)

139. J. C. Haugen, U.S. Department of Energy, Chicago Operations Office, 9800 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
140. Scott Hay & Associates, S. Cohen & Associates, Inc., 1418 I-85 Parkway, Montgomery, AL 36016
141. J. E. Helt, Chemical Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
142. Don Herman, FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
143. Ann Cochran Heywood, P.O. Box 808, 7000 East Avenue, MS L-207, Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550
144. G. Duncan Hitchens, Lynntech, Inc., 610 Eastmark Drive, Suite 105, College Station, TX 77840
145. Dalibor Hodko, Lynntech Inc., 7610 Eastmark Drive, Suite 105, College Station, TX 77840
146. Steve L. Hoeffner, Clemson Research Park, 100 Technology Drive, Anderson, SC 29625
147. Kari Holder, Westinghouse Idaho Nuclear Co., Site Remediation, P.O. Box 4000, MS-1572, Idaho Falls, ID 83403
148. Ricky Q. Honaker, Dept. of Mining Engineering, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale, Carbondale, IL 62901-6603
149. Pat Hopper, Scientific Ecology Group, Inc., P.O. Box 2570, 1560 Bear Creek Road, Oak Ridge, TN 37831
150. C. Swede Hultman, BNFL Inc., 5655 South Yosemite, Suite 100, Englewood, CO 80111
151. Roger Jacobson, Desert Research Institute, P.O. Box 19040, Las Vegas, NV 89132-0040
152. Amy James, Hazen Research, Inc., 4601 Indiania Street, Golden, CO 80403
153. Noel Jarrett, Principal, Noel Jarrett Associates, 149 Jefferson Avenue, Lower Burrell, PA 15068
154. Nels R. Johnson, TMA/Eberline, 5635 Jefferson Street, NE, Albuquerque, NM 8719-3874
155. Larry W. Jones, University of Tennessee, Waste Management Center, Knoxville, TN 37996-1100
156. David L. Jordan, Allied Signal Aerospace, P.O. Box 419159, D/272, FV43, Kansas City, MO 64141-6159
157. G. Y. Jordy, Director, Office of Program Analysis, Office of Energy Research, ER-30, G-226, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20545
158. D. G. Karraker, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, P.O. Box 616, Aiken, SC 29802
159. Jack G. Kay, Professor of Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Drexel University, 32nd and Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION (continued)

160. D. L. Keairns, Westinghouse Science and Technology Center, 1310 Beulah Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15235-5098
161. Pat C. Keegan, Scientific Ecology Group, 1501 Ardmore Boulevard, Pittsburgh, PA 15221
162. Dennis Kelsh, Science Applications Intl. Corp., 555 Quince Orchard Road, Suite 500, Gaithersburg, MD 20878
163. Alex Klein, Rockwell International, 6633 Canoga Avenue, D/026, 055-T009, Canoga Park, CA 91303
164. Ken Koller, Waste Technology Development, EG&G Idaho, Inc., P.O. Box 1625, MS 3930, Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3940
165. T. R. Krause, Chemical Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
166. David Kremer, Mackay School of Mines, University of Nevada at Reno, Reno, NV 89557-0047
167. Michael A. Kristich, FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, MS 52-5, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
168. C. M. Kronvall, Westinghouse Hanford Operations, P.O. Box 800, Richland, WA 99352
169. Travis Kroodsma, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, 106 Brentway Circle, #104, Knoxville, TN 37909
170. Dean E. Kurath, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, P.O. Box 999, Battelle Boulevard, MS IN P7-43, Richland, WA 99352
171. Cheryl Kuske, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Life Sciences Division, P.O. Box 1663, MS-M880, Los Alamos, NM 87545
172. E. J. Lahoda, Westinghouse Electric Science & Tech. Center, 1310 Beulah Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15235-5098
173. Edward R. Landa, U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division, 431 National Center, MS-4432, Reston VA 22092
174. Scott Larson, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08543
175. Rick Lee, J. A. Jones Construction Services Company, 4th Floor, 6135 Park South Drive, Suite 104, Charlotte, NC 28210
176. Eric R. Lindgren, Sandia National Laboratory, P.O. Box 5800, Albuquerque, NM 87185-5800
177. Henry L. Lomasney, ISOTRON Corporation, 13152 Chef Hwy., New Orleans, LA 70129
178. Gregg J. Lumetta, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, P.O. Box 999, MS IN P7-25, Richland, WA 99352
179. Jagdish L. Malhotra, DOE/Morgantown Energy Technical Center, P.O. Box 880, Collins Ferry Road, Morgantown, WV 26507-0880
180. Mike Malone, U.S. Department of Energy, EM-551, Trevion II Blvd., Washington, DC 20585-0002

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION (continued)

181. Grady Maraman, Argonne National Laboratory, P.O. Box 6974, Oak Ridge, TN 37831
182. Caroline Mason, Los Alamos National Laboratory, P.O. Box 1663, MSJ-534, Los Alamos, NM 87545
183. John Mather, DOE-HQ, EM542, Trevion II Bldg., Washington, DC 20585-0002
184. Shas V. Mattigod, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Battelle Boulevard, Richland, WA 99352
185. Lloyd W. McClure, Westinghouse Idaho Nuclear Company, P.O. Box 4000, Idaho Falls, ID 83415-2202
186. J. S. McCormack, Westinghouse Hanford Company, P.O. Box 1970, Richland, WA 99352
187. Preston McDaniel, Bechtel Environmental Inc./FUSRAP, 151 Lafayette Drive, P.O. Box 350, Oak Ridge, TN 37831
188. W. Richard McDonald, Salt Lake City Research Center, U.S. Bureau of Mines, 729 Arapeen Drive, Salt Lake City, UT 84108-1282
189. Chester R. McKee, Chairman, In-Situ, Inc., 210 South 3rd Street, P.O. Box 1, Laramie, WY 82070
190. William McNeill, Sciences Applications Int. Corp., 301 Laboratory Road, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
191. R. K. Mensah-Biney, Chemical Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
192. C. J. Mertz, Chemical Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
193. Jeff Meyers, RUST, 101 N. Rutgers Ave., Suite 202, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
194. E. Milicic, Westinghouse Remediation Services ATLANTA, 675 Park North Blvd., Building F, Suite 100, Clarkston, GA 30021-1962
195. Forest L. Miller, Jr., Desert Research Institute, P.O. Box 19040, Las Vegas, NV 89132-0040
196. Vernon R. Miller, U.S. Bureau of Mines, Rolla Research Center, 1300 Bishop Ave., P.O. Box 280, Rolla, MO 65401
197. D. Monchilovich, Westinghouse Remediation Services ATLANTA, 675 Park North Blvd., Building F, Suite 100, Clarkston, GA 30021-1962
198. Les A. Moschuk, AECL Technologies, 9210 Corporate Blvd., Suite 410, Rockville, MD 20850
199. Keith Motyl, EG&G Rocky Flats, P.O. Box 464, Golden, CO 8042-0464
200. P. R. Mulik, Westinghouse Science and Technology Center, 1310 Beulah Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15235-5098
201. Thomas M. Murarik, AWC-Lockheed, P.O. Box 96118, Las Vegas, NV 89193
202. Hugh D. Murphy, ET-AET, Los Alamos, National Laboratory, MS-D446, Los Alamos, NM 87545

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION (continued)

203. James R. Murray, ASI Advanced Sciences, Inc., 4930 Golden Valley Trail, Castle Rock, CO 80104
204. A. C. Muscatello, Mail Stop E-501, Los Alamos National Laboratory, P.O. Box 1663, Los Alamos, NM 87545
205. K. M. Myles, Chemical Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
206. K. R. Nuhfer, IDC FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
207. Gary B. Olsen, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 601 E. 12th Street, EDGE, Kansas City, MO 64106
208. R. M. Orme, Westinghouse Hanford Company, P.O. Box 1970, Richland, WA 99352
209. M. Palmer, Los Alamos National Laboratory, P.O. Box 1663, Los Alamos, NM 87544
210. Cass D. Parker, Clark Atlanta University, 223 James P. Brawley Drive, Atlanta, GA 303314
211. Bhavesh R. Patel, DOE/HQ EM-452, Trevion II, 12-800 Middlebrook Road, Germantown, MD 20874
212. A. Patrinos, Director, Environmental Sciences Division, Office of Health and Environmental Research, ER-74, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585
213. Robert W. Peters, Environmental Systems Engineer, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Ave, Argonne, IL 60439
214. Mary Peterson, PNL, Battelle Pacific NW Laboratory, P.O. Box 999, MS-INP-41, Battelle Blvd, Richland, WA 99352
215. Jerry L. Peterson, EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc., Technology Development, P.O. Box 464, Golden, CO 80402-0464
216. Paul J. Pettit, FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
217. A. Dale Pflug, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S. Cass Ave., CID-900, Argonne, IL 60439-4832
218. Charles Phillips, Sanford Cohen and Associates, 1418 I-85 Parkway, Montgomery, AL 36106
219. W. M. Polansky, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, ER-132/GTN, U.S. Department of Energy, 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, MD 20585
220. Kurt T. Preston, Waterways Experiment Station, 3909 Halls Ferry Road, WESEE-D, Vicksburgh, MS 39180
221. John Randolph, Rocky Flats Plant, ORNL Environmental Restoration, P.O. Box 928, BLD., 116, Golden, CO 80402-4651
222. Robert Rippley, Morrison Knudsen, 7100 E. Belleview Ave., Suite 300, Englewood, CO 80111

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION (continued)

- 223. Bill Robinson, Sandia National Laboratory, P.O. Box 969, Dept. 8240, Livermore, CA 94550
- 224. R. D. Rogers, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL 60115
- 225. Leo Rogers, EG&G, Energy Measurements, Inc., P.O. Box 1912, MS-RSL-11, 316 East Atlas Circle, Las Vegas, NV 89030
- 226. P. Kurt Rossetti, Carnegie Mellon University, Department of Civil Engineering, PH 119, Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890
- 227. Pat Rusin, MBX, Inc., 325 S. Euclid Ave., Suite 123, Tucson, AZ 85719
- 228. Robert R. Ryan, EG&G Rocky Flats, P.O. Box 4013, T 130-A, LATO, Golden, CO 80401-0013
- 229. Tim Safford, Department of Energy, 785 DOE Place, MS-1219, Idaho Falls, ID 83402
- 230. Mike Salisbury, FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
- 231. B. Salley, Westinghouse Hanford Company, P.O. Box 1970, Richland, WA 99352
- 232. Don Sanning, U.S. EPA, 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive, RM-218, Cincinnati, OH 45219
- 233. Bruce M. Sass, Battelle, 505 King Avenue, Columbus, OH 43201-2693
- 234. G. S. Sayler, Director, Center for Environmental Biotechnology, The University of Tennessee, 10515 Research Drive, Suite 100, Knoxville, TN 37932-2567
- 235. M. J. Schliebe, Westinghouse Hanford Operations, P.O. Box 800, Richland, WA 99352
- 236. Otto J. Schwarz, University of Tennessee, Botany, Hesler Hall, Knoxville, TN 37996-1100
- 237. Jim Schwing, FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, MS 81-2, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
- 238. Bill Seay, U.S. Department Of Energy, P.O. Box 2001, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8723
- 239. A. E. Seep, Jr., Morrison Knudsen Corporation, 7100 E. Belleview, Suite 300, Englewood, CO 80111
- 240. R. Jeff Serne, Geochemistry Section, Geosciences Department, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory, P.O. Box 999, Richland, WA 990352
- 241. Stuart Shealy, IT Corporation, 304 Directors Drive, Knoxville, TN 37923
- 242. Wayne E. Sisk, U.S. Army Environmental Center, ENAEC-TS-D, Bldg. E4460, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, MD 21010-5401
- 243. Michael Skriba, Fluor Daniel Environmental Services, Inc, 3333 Michelson Drive, Irvine, CA 92730
- 244. Steven C. Slate, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, MSIN KI-19, Office of Environmental Technology, P.O. Box 999, Richland, WA 99352
- 245. Ralph F. Smiecinski, U.S. DOE/NV, 2765 S. Highland, Las Vegas, NV 89193-8518

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION (continued)

246. Roger Stead, FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, MS 81-2, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
247. John Steel, Waste Environmental Remediation Programs, Savannah River Site, Bldg. 773-A, A208, Aiken, SC 29802
248. Karen Stevenson, U.S. DOE, 376 Hudson Street, New York, NY 10014-3621
249. Dennis E. Stover, Director, In Situ Leach Technology, Rio Algom Mining Corp, 6305 Waterford Blvd., Suite 325, Oklahoma City, OK 73118
250. John Stringer, Director, Applied Research, Electric Power Research Institute, 3412 Hillview Avenue, P.O. Box 10412, Palo Alto, CA 94303
251. G. Subbaraman Rockendyne Division, Rockwell International/ETEC, Energy Technology Engineering, Center, P.O. Box 7930, Canoga Park, CA 91309-7930
252. J. R. Suitlas, Brown & Root Environmental, Foster Plaza 7, 661 Anderson Drive, Pittsburgh, PA 15220
253. Bala Suresh, Ecotek, Inc., 1219 Banner Hill Road, Erwin, TN 37650
254. Neil Swift, Bradtec - US, 1010 Huntcliff Trail, Suite 1350, Atlanta, GA 30350
255. D. W. Tedder, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332
256. Carlos L. Tellez, Lockheed, Manager, Projects, 900 Grier Drive, Suite B, Las Vegas, NV 89119
257. Melissa Thomas, FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, MS 52-5, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
258. Susan Thomas, Fluor Daniel, 3333 Michelson Drive, Irvine, CA 92730
259. Vincent Tidwell, Sandia National Laboratory, Department 6115, 1515 Eubank Blvd., SE, Albuquerque, NM 87185
260. C. E. Till, Chemical Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
261. Arpad E. Torma, EG&G Idaho, P.O. Box 1625, Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3940
262. Lisa Treichel, U.S. Department of Energy, EM-442, Trevion II, P.O. Box 23865, Washington, DC 20026
263. Michael Truex, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, MSIN KI-25, Office of Environmental Technology, P.O. Box 999, Richland, WA 99352
264. N. H. Ulerich, Westinghouse Science and Technology Center, 1310 Beulah Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15235-5098
265. Garet E. Van De Steeg, Kerr-McGee Corporation, Technology & Engineering Division, P.O. Box 25861, 123 Robert S. Kerr, Oklahoma City, OK 73125
266. James A. Van Vliet, Rust Federal Environmental Servcs, 1597 Cole Boulevard, Building 15, Suite 350, Golden CO, 80401

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION (continued)

- 267. E. H. Van Deventer, Chemical Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
- 268. V. Van Brunt, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208
- 269. R. E. Vandercook, Westinghouse Hanford Company, P.O. Box 1970, Richland, WA 99352
- 270. Shiv Vijayan, Atomic Energy of Canada Limited, Chalk River Laboratory, Chalk River, Ontario, Canada, K0J 1J0
- 271. James B. Wagner, Jr., Professor, Center for Solid State Science, Arizona State University, PSB-234, Tempe, AZ 85281
- 272. Edward E. Wannemacher, Rust-Clemson Technical Center, Clemson Research Park, 100 Technology Drive, Anderson, SC 29625,
- 273. Rod Warner, DOE-Fernald, MS-45, P.O. Box 398704, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
- 274. Stephen Warren, U.S. Department of Energy, EM-442, Washington, DC 20585-0002
- 275. John Wiencek, Dept. of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Rutgers University, P.O. Box 909, Piscataway, NJ 08855-0909
- 276. Michael L. Wilky, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Building 362, Argonne, IL 60439
- 277. F. J. Wobber, Environmental Sciences Division, Office of Health and Environmental Research, ER-74, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585
- 278. Laura Worl, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Nuclear Materials Technology Division, NMT-3, MS-E511, Los Alamos, NM 87545
- 279. Raymond G. Wymer, Consultant, 188A Outer Drive, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
- 280. F. Yachmanev, ISOTRON Corporation, 13152 Chef Hwy., New Orleans, LA 70124
- 281. Pete J. Yerace, U.S. Department of Energy, FERMCO, P.O. Box 398704, MS-45, Cincinnati, OH 45239-8704
- 282. Don A. York, Los Alamos National Laboratory, MEE-4, MS G787, P.O.Box 1663, Los Alamos, NM 87545
- 283. Office of Assistant Manager for Energy Research and Development, U.S. Department of Energy Oak Ridge Operations, P.O. Box 2001, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8600
- 284-285. Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831

**DATE
FILMED**

10/24/94

END