NOTICE

**CERTAIN DATA
CONTAINED IN THIS
DOCUMENT MAY BE
DIFFICULT TO READ
IN MICROFICHE
PRODUCTS.**

TORQUE RESOLVER DESIGN FOR TENDON-DRIVEN MANIPULATORS

Jyh-Jone Lee¹
Graduate Research Assistant

Lung-Wen Tsai
Professor
Fellow ASME

CONF-9209143--3

DE92 017395

Mechanical Engineering Department
and
Systems Research Center.
The University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742

ABSTRACT

Given a set of desired joint torques in an n -DOF tendon-driven manipulator with $n + 1$ control tendons, the determination of tendon forces is an indeterminate problem. Usually, the pseudo-inverse technique is used to solve for such a problem. In this paper, rather than using the pseudo-inverse technique, an efficient methodology for transforming joint torques (n elements) to motor torques ($n + 1$ elements) has been developed. This technique, called "torque resolver", utilizes two circuit-like operators to transform torques between the two different vector spaces. It can be easily programmed on a digital computer or implemented into an analog-circuit system. It is hoped that this technique will make real-time computed-torque control feasible. The technique has been demonstrated through the dynamic simulation of a three-DOF manipulator.

INTRODUCTION

Tendons have commonly been used as power transmission elements in the design of dextrous hands, for they allow actuators to be installed remotely from the joints they drive. The application of tendons for power transmission may reduce the inertia and size of the manipulating system. A few tendon-driven mechanical systems can be found in the literature (Jacobsen, et al, 1984; Morecki, et al., 1980; Okada, 1977; Pham and Heginbotham, 1986; Salisbury and Craig, 1982; and Salisbury, 1982).

A special characteristic associated with tendon-driven manipulators is that tendons can only exert tension. In other words, force must be transmitted from actuators to the joints in a unidirectional sense. This characteristic imposes certain constraints on tendon routing (Morecki, et al., 1980; and Lee and Tsai, 1991). As a result, it increases the complexity in the control of

such manipulating systems, e.g. the coupling of displacements and the redundancy in tendon forces. The problem can be further complicated by friction and compliance issues associated with tendons. Although the control of tendon-driven manipulators has been investigated by a few researchers, the problem is still relatively unexplored.

Salisbury and Craig (1982) designed a three-fingered hand known as the Stanford-JPL hand. Each finger in the Stanford-JPL hand consists of three articulation points and four tendons, and there exist three linear equations transforming the four tendon forces into three joint torques. Hence, given three desired joint torques, the determination of tendon forces is an indeterminate problem. In order to resolve the redundancy in tendon forces, Salisbury and Craig supplemented the problem with a fourth equation by expressing one of the bearing forces in terms of the four tendon tensions and by requiring the bearing force be positive at all times. With the added equation, the inverse transformation can be then solved off line. The resulting expression is similar to the pseudo-inverse technique. Although, they did not have to compute the pseudo-inverse on-line, a real-time comparison of the four possible bearing reaction forces is still needed to ensure positive tendon tensions. This inevitably increases the computation time.

Jacobsen et al (1989) designed a four-fingered anthropomorphic hand known as the Utah-MIT hand. Each finger in the Utah-MIT hand consists of four articulation points and eight tendons. To resolve the redundancy in tendon forces, Jacobsen et al introduced a "rectifier" concept. The concept uses circuit-like operators to convert joint torque signals to tendon tension signals. It provides a circuit-form-like solution for the determination of tendon tensions. This concept is very effective. However, it was developed only for the Utah/MIT hand.

The purpose of this investigation is to establish a systematic methodology for the resolution of redundancy in tendon

¹Currently with the Precision Machine Dept., Mechanical Industry Research Laboratory, Hsinchu, Taiwan.

This paper has been accepted for presentation at the 22nd ASME Biennial Mechanisms Conference, Scottsdale, Az., Sept. 1992.

MASTER

JMS

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

FG05-88ER139??

forces. Three different kinematic structures of tendon-driven manipulators will be used to illustrate the methodology. Using this concept, the control of a tendon-driven manipulator will be demonstrated via the dynamic simulation of a three-DOF (Degree-Of-Freedom) manipulator. First, a dynamic model and a simple control algorithm based on the computed torque method for an n -DOF tendon-driven manipulator having $(n+1)$ tendons will be reviewed. The model assumes that friction and compliance in tendons can be neglected. Then, the methodology for transforming joint torques to motor torques will be introduced. This procedure, called "torque resolver", uses two circuit-like operators to compute tendon forces in terms of joint torques. It can be easily programmed on a digital computer or implemented into an analog-circuit system.

DYNAMIC MODELLING

The dynamics of an n -DOF manipulator with $(n+1)$ tendons can be formulated from two subsystems: the open-loop chain and the rotors. The dynamic equations of an open-loop chain without gravity term can be expressed as (Paul, 1981):

$$M(\theta) \ddot{\mathbf{Q}} + \mathbf{h}(\theta, \dot{\theta}) = \boldsymbol{\tau} \quad (1)$$

where $M(\theta)$ is an $n \times n$ inertia matrix, $\mathbf{Q}$ an $n \times 1$ vector representing the joint angles, $\mathbf{h}(\theta, \dot{\theta})$ an $n \times 1$ vector representing the Centrifugal and Coriolis terms, and $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ an $n \times 1$ vector representing the resultant joint torques in the open-loop chain.

The motor rotor dynamics can be approximated by a second-order system. If the i th tendon is wound around the i th pulley of radius r_{m_i} , and the pulley is coupled to a gear reducer having a gear ratio of n_i ($n_i \geq 1$), then torque developed by the i th motor is equal to the sum of inertia torque, friction torque, and the torque reflected at the motor shaft due to tendon force, i.e.,

$$J_{m_i} \ddot{\theta}_{m_i} + c_{m_i} \dot{\theta}_{m_i} + \frac{r_{m_i}}{n_i} f_i = \xi_i \quad (2.a)$$

where J_{m_i} , c_{m_i} , θ_{m_i} , f_i , and ξ_i denote rotor inertia, viscous-friction coefficient, rotor angular displacement, tension in the i th tendon, and torque developed by the i th motor, respectively.

Since there are $n+1$ motors for an n -DOF tendon-driven manipulator, Eq. (2.a) can be written $n+1$ times, once for each motor. These $n+1$ dynamic equations can be compiled into a matrix form as:

$$J_m \ddot{\mathbf{Q}}_m + C_m \dot{\mathbf{Q}}_m + R_m \mathbf{F} = \boldsymbol{\xi} \quad (2.b)$$

where J_m , C_m , and R_m are $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ diagonal matrices whose diagonal elements are J_{m_i} , c_{m_i} , and r_{m_i}/n_i , respectively; and $\mathbf{Q}_m$ and $\mathbf{F}$ are $(n+1) \times 1$ vectors whose elements are the rotor angular displacements and motor torques, respectively.

The force and displacement relationship between the joint space and tendon space have been developed by Tsai and Lee (1989). The resultant joint torques, $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)^T$, is related to tendon forces, $\mathbf{F} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n+1})^T$, by the equation

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = R^T B^T \mathbf{F} \quad (3.a)$$

where it is assumed that all pulleys pivoted about one joint, axis are of the same radii, and the matrix B^T whose elements consist of -1, 0, and +1 is an $n \times (n+1)$ matrix, and the matrix R^T whose non zero elements are the radii of the pulleys is an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix.

The displacement of tendons, $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n+1})^T$, is related to the joint angles, $\mathbf{Q} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n)^T$, by the equation

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = B R \mathbf{Q} \quad (3.b)$$

Hence, rotor angular displacements can be related to the manipulator joint angles by $R_m \mathbf{Q}_m = B R \mathbf{Q}$. Eliminating $\mathbf{Q}_m$ between this equation and Eq. (2.b), one can solve tendon forces in terms of motor torques and joint angles as:

$$\mathbf{F} = R_m^{-1} [\boldsymbol{\xi} - J_m R_m^{-1} B R \dot{\mathbf{Q}} - C_m R_m^{-1} B R \dot{\mathbf{Q}}] \quad (4)$$

Substituting Eqs. (4) and (3.a) into (1), yields

$$(M + \tilde{M}) \ddot{\mathbf{Q}} + \tilde{C}_m \dot{\mathbf{Q}} + \mathbf{h}(\theta, \dot{\theta}) = R^T B^T R_m^{-1} \boldsymbol{\xi} \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{M} = R^T B^T R_m^{-1} J_m R_m^{-1} B R$ and $\tilde{C}_m = R^T B^T R_m^{-1} C_m R_m^{-1} B R$.

Equation (5) completely describes the dynamics of a tendon-driven manipulator. The term $\tilde{M}$ gives the effect of rotor inertia to the dynamics of the system and the term $\tilde{C}_m$ gives the effect of rotor damping. It should be noted that tendon tensions given by Eq. (4) must be positive at all times for the dynamic model to be valid. In Section 5, a heuristic will be developed to guarantee positive tension in tendons.

COMPUTED TORQUE CONTROLLER

The "computed torque" technique can be implemented for controlling the manipulator. The technique assumes that one can accurately compute the configuration dependent variables, $M(\theta)$ and $\mathbf{h}(\theta, \dot{\theta})$, in real time to minimize the nonlinear effect. It uses a proportional plus a derivative feedback to servo the motors.

Let the computed torque $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{cm}$ be related to the motor torques by

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{cm} = R^T B^T R_m^{-1} \boldsymbol{\xi} \quad (6.a)$$

and the value of $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{cm}$ be computed from joint feedback signals as

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{cm} = (M + \tilde{M})(\ddot{\mathbf{Q}}_d + K_v \dot{\mathbf{e}} + K_p \mathbf{e}) + \tilde{C}_m \dot{\mathbf{Q}} + \mathbf{h}(\theta, \dot{\theta}) \quad (6.b)$$

where K_v and K_p are respectively $n \times n$ derivative and position feedback gain matrices, $\mathbf{Q}_d$ is the desired joint angular displacement vector, and $\mathbf{e} \equiv \mathbf{Q}_d - \mathbf{Q}$ is the error vector. Substituting Eqs.(6.a) and (6.b) into (5) and after some simplification, yields

$$(M + \tilde{M})(\ddot{\mathbf{e}} + K_v \dot{\mathbf{e}} + K_p \mathbf{e}) = 0 \quad (7)$$

Since $(M + \tilde{M})$ is positive definite, one can choose K_v and K_p appropriately so that the characteristic roots of Eq. (7) have proper negative real parts and the tracking error $\mathbf{e}(t)$ approaches zero asymptotically.

Note that since the vector spaces of $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{cm}$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ do not have the same dimensions, the mapping from the joint torque space to

the actuator torque space is not unique. Given τ_{cm} , the solution of ξ can be obtained by the pseudo-inverse of Eq. (6.a). The result can be expressed as the summation of a particular solution and a homogeneous solution as:

$$\xi = (R^T B^T R_m^{-1})^+ \tau_{cm} + \lambda \xi_h \quad (8)$$

where $(\#)^+ = \{(\#)^T[(\#)(\#)^T]^{-1}\}$ represents the pseudo-inverse of $(\#)$ (Strang 1980), ξ_h lies in the null space of matrix $(R^T B^T R_m^{-1})$, and λ is an arbitrary constant. The components of ξ_h must be of the same sign, thus, by adjusting λ , motor torques can be increased unidirectionally to assure positive tension in all tendons.

The pseudo-inverse method is very inefficient in computation. In what follows, we will develop a more efficient method to resolve the motor torques.

TORQUE RESOLVER

As mentioned in the previous section, given desired joint torques, the determination of tendon forces (or motor torques) is an indeterminate problem. For an $n \times (n+1)$ system, the pseudo-inverse technique can be used to solve for tendon forces. The computation of the pseudo-inverse method is very time consuming. In addition, the constant λ in Eq. (8) must be chosen properly such that all the tendons are under tension. To achieve this, the largest ratio of all the negative tendon forces in the particular solution to their corresponding components in the homogeneous solution must be identified. This process will inevitably increase the computation time and reduce the possibility for real time control of the system.

Another method proposed by Jacobsen et al (1984, 1989) is to use the "rectifier" concept to determine appropriate tendon tensions. This method, without going through the pseudo-inverse formulation, uses circuit-like operators to convert joint torque signals to tendon tension signals. It provides a closed-form-like solution to determine the necessary tendon tensions and can be implemented by analog circuits. Nonetheless, the result developed by Jacobsen, et al. is solely applicable to the Utah/MIT hand in which the tendon forces are less coupled than that of the $n \times (n+1)$ systems. In what follows, this concept will be generalized for the $n \times (n+1)$ systems. It will be shown that this concept can be systematized.

Basic Principle

A one-DOF system is used to illustrate the basic principle. Figure 1 shows the schematic of a one-DOF manipulator controlled by two tendons. Defining the positive direction of rotation for the joint to be pointing out of the paper and τ_0 the resultant joint torque to be generated, the force equation for the system can be written as:

$$f_2 - f_1 = \tau_0 / r_0 \quad (9)$$

where r_0 is the pulley radius.

Fig. 1 A one-DOF tendon-driven manipulator

Equation (9) contains only two unknown variables, f_1 and f_2 , both of which must be positive at all times. If τ_0 is positive (counterclockwise), the minimum forces required will be τ_0/r_0 for f_2 and zero for f_1 . On the other hand, if τ_0 is negative (clockwise), the minimum forces will be $-\tau_0/r_0$ for f_1 and zero for f_2 . This simple relation can be written in a mathematical form as shown below:

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = \delta_0 \\ f_2 = \tau_0/r_0 + \delta_0, \end{cases} \quad \text{if } \tau_0 \geq 0 \quad (10.a)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = -\tau_0/r_0 + \delta_0 \\ f_2 = \delta_0, \end{cases} \quad \text{if } \tau_0 < 0 \quad (10.b)$$

where δ_0 is a positive bias force which has no net effect on the resultant joint torque, τ_0 .

Define the operators O^+ and O^- as

$$O^+(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \geq 0; \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (11.a)$$

and

$$O^-(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \geq 0; \\ -x, & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (11.b)$$

where x is a dummy variable. The characteristics of $O^+(x)$ and $O^-(x)$ can be described graphically as shown in Fig. 2. Mathematically, $O^+(x)$ and $O^-(x)$ can also be written as

$$O^+(x) = [x + |x|]/2 \quad (11.c)$$

and

$$O^-(x) = [-x + |x|]/2 \quad (11.d)$$

Note that $O^+(x) + O^-(x) = |x|$, and $O^+(x) - O^-(x) = x$. Expressing Eqs. (10.a) and (10.b) in terms of O^+ and O^- operators, yields

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = O^-(\tau_0/r_0) + \delta_0 \\ f_2 = O^+(\tau_0/r_0) + \delta_0 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Fig. 2 Characteristics of $O^+(x)$ and $O^-(x)$

We note that the O^+ operator goes with the variable with a positive sign and the O^- operator goes with the variable with a negative sign in Eq. (9). The physical meaning of Eq. (12) can be readily seen from Fig. 1. If the joint torque required is positive, then tendon f_2 must have a minimum pull of magnitude τ_0/r_0 while f_1 remains zero. On the other hand, if the joint torque required is negative, then tendon f_1 must have a pull of magnitude $|\tau_0/r_0|$ while f_2 remains zero. Adding a bias force δ_0 to both f_1 and f_2 has no influence on the equilibrium of τ_0 . The ratio of the bias forces in two tendons, 1:1 for this simple system, is proportional to the homogeneous solution to Eq. (9).

APPLICATION TO MUTLI-DOF SYSTEMS

The application of $O^+(x)$ and $O^-(x)$ operators to a general n -DOF system leads to a systematic approach for the determination of tendon forces without using the pseudo-inverse technique. In general, the system of equations shown in Eq. (3.a) will be reduced to such an extent that one of the equations contains only two unknown variables. Then, the solution for the two unknown forces can be obtained by applying the $O^+(x)$ and $O^-(x)$ operators. This process can be repeated until all the variables are solved. The following three examples are designed to illustrate the methodology.

Example 1. Structure Matrix in Pseudo-Triangular Form

Consider the planar representation (Tsai and Lee, 1989) of a spatial three-DOF manipulator shown in Fig. 3(a). The structure matrix B^T has a pseudo-triangular form (Lee and Tsai, 1991):

$$B^T = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The homogeneous solution is given by $[1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 4]^T$. Substituting the structure matrix into Eq. (3.a) and inverting the radius matrix R^T to the left-hand-side of the equation, yields

$$-f_1 + f_2 = \tau_3/r_3 \quad (13.a)$$

$$-f_1 - f_2 + f_3 = \tau_2/r_2 \quad (13.b)$$

$$-f_1 - f_2 - f_3 + f_4 = \tau_1/r_1 \quad (13.c)$$

Fig. 3 Free-body diagrams of a three-DOF tendon-driven manipulator

Since Eq. (13.a) contains only two unknowns, f_1 and f_2 , and they must be positive at all times, we can write f_1 and f_2 in terms of O^+ and O^- operators as

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = O^-(\tau_3/r_3) + \delta_1 \\ f_2 = O^+(\tau_3/r_3) + \delta_1 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

To determine f_3 , we substitute Eq. (14) into Eq. (13.b) and apply the relation $O^-(x) + O^+(x) = |x|$. This yields

$$-2\delta_1 + f_3 = \tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3| \quad (15)$$

Note that Eq. (15) contains two unknowns, δ_1 and f_3 . Following the same reasoning, one can conclude that if the value of $(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|)$ is positive, then the minimum force will be $(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|)$ for f_3 and zero for δ_1 . On the other hand, if $(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|)$ is negative, then the minimum forces will be zero for f_3 and $(-\tau_2/r_2 - |\tau_3/r_3|)$ for $2\delta_1$. This can be mathematically expressed as:

(a) if $(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|) \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{cases} 2\delta_1 = \delta_2 \\ f_3 = \tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3| + \delta_2, \end{cases} \quad (16.a)$$

(b) else,

$$\begin{cases} 2\delta_1 = -\tau_2/r_2 - |\tau_3/r_3| + \delta_2 \\ f_3 = \delta_2 \end{cases} \quad (16.b)$$

where δ_2 is a positive bias force which will result in no net joint torque about joint 2. Writing Eqs.(16.a) and (16.b) in terms of the O^+ and O^- operators, yields

$$\begin{cases} \delta_1 = O^-(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|)/2 + \delta_2/2 \\ f_3 = O^+(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|) + \delta_2 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Combining Eqs. (14) and (17), yields

$$f_1 = O^-(\tau_3/r_3) + O^-(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|)/2 + \delta_2/2 \quad (18.a)$$

$$f_2 = O^+(\tau_3/r_3) + O^-(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|)/2 + \delta_2/2 \quad (18.b)$$

$$f_3 = O^+(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|) + \delta_2 \quad (18.c)$$

The physical meaning of Eqs. (16.a) and (16.b) can also be explained from the tendon routing shown in Fig. 3(b). Both f_1 and f_2 pull to the right while f_3 pulls to the left of the pulleys at joint 2. The two tendons f_1 and f_2 always produce a net force of $|\tau_3/r_3|$ in addition to that from the bias force. Hence, to generate a desired torque of τ_2 at joint 2, the force difference between f_3 and that from the bias force $2\delta_1$ must be equal to $(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|)$. If it is positive, then the minimum forces will be $(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|)$ for f_3 and zero for δ_1 . If it is negative, then the minimum forces will be zero for f_3 and one-half of $(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|)$ for δ_1 . The bias force δ_2 is added to adjust torque about the first joint axis. Note that adding $\delta_2/2$, $\delta_2/2$, and δ_2 to f_1 , f_2 , and f_3 , respectively, has no effect on the net joint torques τ_3 and τ_2 .

Likewise, substituting Eqs. (18.a,b,c) into Eq. (13.c), yields

$$-2\delta_2 + f_4 = \tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|| + |\tau_3/r_3| \quad (19.a)$$

Following the same reasoning, one concludes that

$$\begin{cases} \delta_2 = O^-(\tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|| + |\tau_3/r_3|)/2 + \delta_3/2 \\ f_4 = O^+(\tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|| + |\tau_3/r_3|) + \delta_3 \end{cases} \quad (19.b)$$

where δ_3 is a positive bias force. Combining Eqs. (19.b) and (18), yields

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = O^-(\tau_3/r_3) + O^-(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|)/2 \\ \quad + O^-(\tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|| + |\tau_3/r_3|)/4 + \delta_3/4 \\ f_2 = O^+(\tau_3/r_3) + O^-(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|)/2 \\ \quad + O^-(\tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|| + |\tau_3/r_3|)/4 + \delta_3/4 \\ f_3 = O^+(\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|) \\ \quad + O^-(\tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|| + |\tau_3/r_3|)/2 + \delta_3/2 \\ f_4 = O^+(\tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_2/r_2 + |\tau_3/r_3|| + |\tau_3/r_3|) + \delta_3 \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Equation (20) provides an alternative method for the transformation of joint torques to tendon forces other than the pseudo-inverse formulation. The result guarantees that each tendon force is greater than or equal to zero. It can be seen that the computation is more straight forward than that of the pseudo-inverse technique. It should be noticed that the bias force δ_3 can be chosen arbitrarily beforehand and its effect on the joint torques is in accordance with that of the homogeneous solution.

Example 2. The Stanford/JPL Finger

Fig. 4 Kinematic Structure of the Stanford/JPL Finger

The planar representation of the kinematic structure of the Stanford/JPL finger is shown in Fig. 4. The corresponding structure matrix is given by

$$B^T = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The homogeneous solution is given by $[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]^T$. Substituting B^T into Eq. (3.a), yields the force equations

$$-f_1 + f_2 = \tau_3/r_3 \quad (21.a)$$

$$-f_1 + f_2 - f_3 + f_4 = \tau_2/r_2 \quad (21.b)$$

$$-f_1 - f_2 + f_3 + f_4 = \tau_1/r_1 \quad (21.c)$$

Since Eq. (21.a) contains only two unknowns, f_1 and f_2 can be written in terms of O^+ and O^- as,

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = O^-(\tau_3/r_3) + \delta_1 \\ f_2 = O^+(\tau_3/r_3) + \delta_1 \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

where δ_1 is a positive bias force which has no influence on joint torques τ_2 and τ_3 . Substituting Eq. (22) into (21.b), yields

$$-f_3 + f_4 = \tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3 \quad (23)$$

Hence, f_3 and f_4 can be written as

$$\begin{cases} f_3 = O^-(\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3) + \delta_2 \\ f_4 = O^+(\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3) + \delta_2 \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

where δ_2 is a positive bias force which has no influence on torque τ_2 . Substituting Eqs. (22) and (24) into (21.c), yields

$$-2\delta_1 + 2\delta_2 = \tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_3/r_3| - |\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3| \quad (25)$$

Hence, δ_1 and δ_2 can be written in terms of the O^+ and O^- operators as

$$\begin{cases} \delta_1 = O^-(\tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_3/r_3| - |\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3|)/2 + \delta_3 \\ \delta_2 = O^+(\tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_3/r_3| - |\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3|)/2 + \delta_3 \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

where δ_3 is a positive bias force which has no effect on joint torques τ_1 , τ_2 , and τ_3 . Substituting Eq. (26) into (22) and (24), yields

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = O^-(\tau_3/r_3) \\ \quad + O^-(\tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_3/r_3| - |\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3|)/2 + \delta_3 \\ f_2 = O^+(\tau_3/r_3) \\ \quad + O^-(\tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_3/r_3| - |\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3|)/2 + \delta_3 \\ f_3 = O^-(\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3) \\ \quad + O^+(\tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_3/r_3| - |\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3|)/2 + \delta_3 \\ f_4 = O^+(\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3) \\ \quad + O^+(\tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_3/r_3| - |\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3|)/2 + \delta_3 \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

Note that the value δ_3 can be used as a pretensioning force for the tendons.

Example 3. Fully Coupled Kinematic Structure

Fig. 5 A fully coupled kinematic structure

The kinematic structure shown in Fig. 5 is a fully coupled kinematic structure. The structure matrix is given by

$$B^T = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The homogeneous solution is given by $[1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]^T$. Substituting the structure matrix into Eq. (3.a), yields

$$-f_1 - f_2 + f_3 + f_4 = \tau_3/r_3 \quad (28.a)$$

$$f_1 - f_2 - f_3 + f_4 = \tau_2/r_2 \quad (28.b)$$

$$-f_1 + f_2 - f_3 + f_4 = \tau_1/r_1 \quad (28.c)$$

In this case, none of the f_1 , f_2 , f_3 , and f_4 can be determined by using just one of the above equations. Thus, some algebraic manipulations are necessary. Adding Eq. (28.a) to (28.b), yields

$$f_4 - f_2 = (\tau_3/r_3 + \tau_2/r_2)/2 \quad (29)$$

Therefore, f_2 and f_4 can be written in terms of the O^+ and O^- operators, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} f_2 = O^-(\tau_3/r_3 + \tau_2/r_2)/2 + \delta_1 \\ f_4 = O^+(\tau_3/r_3 + \tau_2/r_2)/2 + \delta_1 \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

where δ_1 is a positive bias force which produces no net torques about joints 2 and 3. Subtracting Eq. (28.a) from (28.b), yields

$$f_1 - f_3 = (\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3)/2 \quad (31)$$

Therefore, f_1 and f_3 can be obtained in terms of the O^+ and O^- operators as,

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = O^+(\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3)/2 + \delta_2 \\ f_3 = O^-(\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3)/2 + \delta_2 \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

where δ_2 is a positive bias force which produces no net torque about joints 2 and 3. Substituting Eqs. (30) and (32) into (28.c), yields

$$2\delta_1 - 2\delta_2 = b \quad (33)$$

where $b = \tau_1/r_1 + |\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3|/2 - |\tau_3/r_3 + \tau_2/r_2|/2$. Hence,

$$\begin{cases} \delta_1 = O^+(b)/2 + \delta_3 \\ \delta_2 = O^-(b)/2 + \delta_3 \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

where δ_3 is a bias force which has no effect on joint torques τ_1 , τ_2 , and τ_3 . Substituting δ_1 and δ_2 into Eqs. (30) and (32), yields

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = O^+(\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3)/2 + O^-(b)/2 + \delta_3 \\ f_2 = O^-(\tau_3/r_3 + \tau_2/r_2)/2 + O^+(b)/2 + \delta_3 \\ f_3 = O^-(\tau_2/r_2 - \tau_3/r_3)/2 + O^-(b)/2 + \delta_3 \\ f_4 = O^+(\tau_3/r_3 + \tau_2/r_2)/2 + O^+(b)/2 + \delta_3 \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

It can be seen that the above procedure is general and can be applied to any kind of $n \times (n+1)$ systems.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TORQUE RESOLVER

In this section, the design of the controller for a three-DOF tendon-driven manipulator using the above control algorithm is presented. The kinematic structure shown in Fig. 5 is used for illustration. Figure 6 shows the control block diagram for the system.

The controller is designed according to Eq. (7). Figure 7(a) shows the detailed diagram of the controller shown in Fig. 6, where k_p , k_v , and m_{ij} are the elements of matrices K_p , K_v , and $(M + \dot{M})$, respectively.

As mentioned in Section 2, it is necessary to keep tendon forces positive at all times in order for the dynamic modelling to be valid. The following heuristic has been implemented to ensure positive tendon forces. In view of Eq. (4), to compensate for the uncertainty due to rotor inertia and viscous friction torques, the computed joint torques, Eq. (6.b), are first rectified through a "torque resolver", then the maximum desirable joint acceleration and velocity, $\ddot{\theta}_{max}$ and $\dot{\theta}_{max}$, are used to estimate additional motor torques, $J_m(\ddot{\theta}_m)_{max}$ and $C_m(\dot{\theta}_m)_{max}$, needed for pretensioning the tendons. These added values can be thought as the bias force δ_3 shown in Eq. (34). Figure 7(b) shows the detailed design of the resolver in accordance with Eq. (34). It can be seen that the transformation from joint signals to motor signals has been replaced by a circuit-like procedure which can be easily programmed on a digital computer or implemented into an analog circuit.

A computer program has been developed for the simulation of the response of motor torques and tendon forces using the above-mentioned heuristic. Figure 8 shows the schematic of the three-DOF manipulator used for simulation. Applying simultaneous step inputs to the three joint angles, Figures 9 and 10 respectively depicts the response of motor torques and tendon forces for the routing shown in Fig. 5. Detailed numerical values of the manipulating system used for the simulation are given in Lee (1991). Note that an amount of pretensioning torque has been added to each motor to ensure positive tension in every tendon. The differences in motor torques and tendon forces responses are due to the inertia and viscous effect of the rotors. It can be concluded that without proper bias forces, negative tension may occur in tendons.

Fig. 6 Control block diagram of a three-DOF tendon-driven manipulator

Fig. 7(a) Design details of the controller shown in Fig. 6

Fig. 8 Schematic of a spatial three-DOF manipulator

Fig. 9 Motor torque response for the kinematic structure shown in Fig. 5, peak value = 7.64×10^4 dyne-cm

Fig. 7(b) Design details of the resolver shown in Fig. 6

Fig. 10 Tendon force response, $B = 1.67 \times 10^4$ dyne

SUMMARY

A systematic methodology for the resolution of joint torque signals to motor torque signals in tendon-driven manipulators has been developed. This technique uses circuit-like operators to transform torques from the joint space to the tendon (or motor) space. Three different kinematic structures have been used to illustrate the methodology.

The technique has also been demonstrated by the simulation of a three-DOF manipulating system. The technique can be easily programmed on a digital computer or implemented into an analog-circuit system. It is hoped that this technique will make real-time control using computed torque method feasible.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research has been supported by the Department of Energy, Grant No. DEFG05-88ER13977. Such support does not constitute an endorsement by the supporting agency of the views expressed in the article.

REFERENCES

- Jacobsen, S. C., Wood, J. E., Knutti, D. F., and Biggers, K. B., 1984, "The Utah/MIT Dextrous Hand: Work in Progress," *The Intl. Journal of Robotics Research*, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 21-50.
- Jacobsen, S. C., Ko, H., Iversen, E. K., and Davis, C. C., 1989, "Antagonistic Control of a Tendon Driven Manipulator," *Proc. of IEEE Intl. Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 1334-1339.
- Lee, J. J., 1991, "Tendon-Driven Manipulators: Analysis, Synthesis, and Control," Ph.D. Dissertation, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Maryland, College Park, MD.
- Lee, J. J., and Tsai, L. W., 1991, "On the Structural Synthesis of Tendon-Driven Manipulators Having Pseudo-Triangular Matrix," *The Intl. Journal of Robotics Research*, Vol. 10, No. 3, pp. 255-262.
- Morecki, A., Busko, Z., Gasztold, H., and Jaworek, K., 1980, "Synthesis and Control of the Anthropomorphic Two-Handed Manipulator," *Proc. of the 10th Intl. Symposium on Industrial Robots*, Milan, Italy, pp. 461-474.
- Okada, T., 1977, "On a Versatile Finger System," *Proc. of the 7th Intl. Symposium on Industrial Robots*, Tokyo, Japan, pp. 345-352.
- Paul, R. P., 1981, *Robot Manipulator: Mathematics, Programming and Control*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Pham, D. T., and Heginbotham, W. B., 1986, *Robot Grippers*, IFS (Publications) Ltd., UK., Springer-Verlag.
- Salisbury, J. K., 1982, "Kinematic and Force Analysis of Articulated Hands," Ph.D. Dissertation, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.
- Salisbury, J. K., and Craig, J. J., 1982, "Articulated Hands: Force Control and Kinematic Issues" *Intl. Journal of Robotics Research*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 4-17.
- Strang, G., 1980, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL.
- Tsai, L. W., and Lee, J. J., 1989, "Kinematic Analysis of Tendon-Driven Robotic Mechanisms Using Graph Theory," *ASME Journal of Mechanisms, Transmissions, and Automation in Design*, Vol. 111, No. 1, pp. 59-65.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

**DATE
FILMED**
8/3/92