PROTOCOLS FOR CONDUCTING ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENTS OF DOE ORGANIZATIONS

Prepared by:

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Environmental Audit
Washington DC, 20585

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED *eb*

Printed with soy ink on recycled paper

PROTOCOLS FOR CONDUCTING ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENTS OF DOE ORGANIZATIONS

Prepared by:
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Environmental Audit
Washington DC, 20585

MASTER

eb
DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Printed with soy ink on recycled paper

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; prices available from (615) 576-8401.

Available to the public from the U.S. Department of Commerce, Technology Administration, National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161, (703) 487-4650.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction to Environmental Management Assessments	
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Purpose	2
1.3	Scope	2
1.4	Approach	3
II.	Protocol Disciplines for Environmental Management	
EM.1	Organizational Structure	5
EM.2	Environmental Commitment	11
EM.3	Environmental Protection Programs	17
EM.4	Formality of Environmental Program	23
EM.5	Internal and External Communication	31
EM.6	Staff Resources, Training, and Development	37
EM.7	Program Evaluation, Reporting and Corrective Action	45
EM.8	Environmental Planning and Risk Management	51
III.	Appendix - Selecting Documents to Review and Individuals to Interview for Environmental Management Assessments	57

I. Introduction to Environmental Management Audit

1.1 Introduction

The Department of Energy is committed to effective environmental management. This commitment to strong environmental programs throughout the organization is implicit in the policy defined in DOE 5400.1 which states that "... it is DOE policy to conduct the Department's operations in compliance with the letter and spirit of applicable environmental statutes, regulations, and standards. In addition, DOE is committed to good environmental management of all its programs and at all its facilities to correct existing environmental problems, to minimize risks to the environment or public health, and to anticipate and address potential environmental problems before they pose a threat to the quality of the environment or the public welfare. Finally, it is DOE's policy that efforts to meet environmental obligations be carried out consistently across all operations and among all field organizations and programs."

Strong environmental programs need to be supported by management systems to ensure the effectiveness of their development and implementation. Management systems typically involve the following general activities:

- *Planning* - providing the framework for establishing organization goals and policies, developing strategies for their achievement, and allocating resources to carry out those strategies. Planning results in establishing overall direction.
- *Organization* - providing structure and delineating roles, responsibilities, authorities, and accountabilities for accomplishing tasks. Organization is necessary to effectively direct and coordinate available resources.
- *Implementation* - providing the initiating mechanisms such as processes and procedures to produce the work effort. Implementation ensures that programs are carried out in a manner which results in a specific level performance.
- *Control* - providing the framework for measuring, evaluating, and correcting performance. Control systems keep the organization/program on track so that goals are met and continuous improvement opportunities are sought.

To assess the effectiveness of DOE's environmental programs, the Office of Environmental Audit conducts Environmental Management Assessments of DOE programs and facilities. These assessments take a broad programmatic view of environmental systems which may cover multiple sites. The focus of the assessment is on the infrastructure, systems, programs, and tools to manage environmental issues, *not* on the compliance issues themselves.

To assist in the conduct of Environmental Management Assessments, the following protocols have been developed. The protocols are based on and serve as implementing guidelines for the Environmental Management Section of "Performance Objectives and Criteria for Conducting DOE Environmental Audits" (DOE/EH-022). They are intended to provide guidance to the Assessment Team in conducting these reviews.

The protocols also serve another purpose of providing guidance and insight on environmental management systems which can be utilized by the DOE program and field offices in conducting their own internal evaluations. The protocols can also be used by line organizations as a guide for establishing and enhancing environmental management systems in their own organizations. Recognizing the differences among DOE facilities and programs, the protocols do not attempt to be comprehensive for every site and situation, but rather serve as a general guideline for evaluating comprehensive environmental management systems. The protocols are constructed with generic language to provide flexibility in their application to various types of DOE and DOE contractor programs, offices, facilities, and activities.

1.2 Purpose

The primary purpose of a DOE Environmental Management Audit is to provide the Secretary of Energy, through the Assistant Secretary for Environment, Safety and Health, concise information pertaining to:

- Strengths and weaknesses of environmental management systems and programs within DOE organizations;
- Adherence with Best Management Practices pertaining to environmental management systems and programs;
- Compliance with DOE Orders and DOE environmental policies which address environmental management systems and programs;
- Identification of underlying causal factors contributing to the occurrence of observed management deficiencies; and
- Noteworthy environmental management practices.

These assessments are also intended to provide DOE organizations and contractors with feedback on the effectiveness of their environmental management systems and to identify areas for improvement.

1.3 Scope and Format

The scope of an Environmental Management Audit includes eight disciplines which are based on key characteristics and elements of effective environmental management systems. These eight disciplines are the following:

- Organizational Structure
- Environmental Commitment
- Environmental Protection Programs
- Formality of Environmental Programs
- Internal and External Communication
- Staff Resources, Training, and Development
- Program Evaluation, Reporting, and Corrective Action
- Environmental Planning and Risk Management

Each discipline is organized as follows:

- *Performance Objective:* This is a general statement of the overall objective to be met in each discipline. Specific DOE Orders that relate to the discipline and its performance objective are noted and briefly described.
- *Key Evaluative Concerns:* This section provides information on the major elements that will be evaluated in each discipline.
- *Criteria:* These are specific criteria that should be satisfied in order to meet the overall performance objective for each discipline. Each criteria is identified by a capital letter. The criteria within a discipline have been grouped and organized along the lines of the major elements identified in Key Evaluative Concerns.

The bullets under each criterion are intended to provide guidance to the auditor in evaluating that criterion; they are not intended to be subcriteria. Additional bullets or lines of inquiry may be appropriate depending on the specific organization being reviewed.

1.4 Approach

In an assessment of environmental management systems, the responsibility of the environmental management specialist is to assess these systems to determine whether they effectively meet the performance objectives and whether they have sufficient structure and formality to assure that activities are conducted in a manner that is consistent with environmental regulations and DOE policy.

The assessment is based on a combination of staff interviews and document reviews. Interviews are exceptionally important in conducting an Environmental Management Assessment. They provide the primary means of understanding the organizational relationships, roles and responsibilities, policies, and systems that form the framework for the management of environmental matters. More importantly, they often reveal differences in the actual versus the documented practices. Document review is important to verify the formality of the system and confirm interview information. Suggestions for the type of staff to interview and documents to review are provided in the appendix.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

EM.1 Organizational Structure

Performance Objective

The structure of the organization being assessed should be such that environmental management functions are congruent and effectively integrated with other functions and processes. Roles, responsibilities, and accountabilities should be well defined and clearly communicated to effectively manage environmental issues. Authorities should be delegated to organizational levels that can ensure the effective implementation of environmental programs.

Key Evaluative Concerns

In this audit discipline, the organization of the environmental management organization will be reviewed and evaluated. Important characteristics of an effective organizational structure include well defined roles and responsibilities, sufficient authorities, appropriate layers of management, effective reporting relationships, and congruence of the environmental management organization with the larger DOE organization.

Criteria

I. Management Organization

A. The organizational structure of the environmental management function is characterized by clear lines of authority and responsibility.

- Review organizational charts, mission statements, and any other documentation of organizational design for the environmental management function.
- Determine whether departmental missions and responsibilities related to environmental management are clearly defined and understood. Note any overlaps or conflicts of interest.
- Determine which offices and individual(s) have authority and responsibility/accountability for various environmental management functions.
- Compare actual lines of environmental management authority and responsibility with those identified in the organization's Environmental Protection Implementation Plan (EPIP).

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.1 Organizational Structure (continued)

- B. The environmental management function is organized in such a way that managers can be leveraged effectively, without being spread too thinly.
- Determine the breadth and depth of responsibility of key environmental managers.
 - Determine whether environmental managers have too much responsibility to effectively carry out their jobs.
 - Determine whether environmental managers have too little responsibility to be cost effective to the organization.
- C. Reporting relationships within the environmental management function are well defined, clearly communicated, and effectively integrated into the overall organizational structure.
- Interview managers and environmental staff to understand these reporting relationships.
 - Determine where those relationships are defined and how they are communicated.
 - Evaluate whether actual reporting arrangements for environmental management (as determined through interviews) match those shown on existing organizational charts or on distribution lists. Note any differences.
 - Evaluate how well these reporting relationships and the environmental management organization fits in with the overall organization, e.g.,
 - centralization versus decentralization
 - line versus matrix organizational structure
- D. Environmental managers are positioned high enough in the organization and have sufficient organizational stature, independence, and authority to effectively implement environmental programs and to make decisions related to environmental protection.
- Understand the amount of authority given to environmental managers at different levels and determine if it is sufficient to carry out their responsibilities.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.1 Organizational Structure (continued)

	Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Understand the approval process and the level of approval necessary for specific actions or projects.• Identify who has stop work authority and how quickly they can affect a necessary response.• Note how many reporting levels separate the organization's most senior manager and the environmental professional having the most comprehensive knowledge of relevant environmental conditions prevailing within the organization.• Determine whether there are too many layers of management between these two positions.• Determine the effectiveness of communication between these two positions. (Linkage with EM.5). <p>E. The integrity and effectiveness of the organizational structure is periodically reviewed and revisions are made when warranted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Note how often it is reviewed and by whom.• Understand the criteria used to evaluate organizational structure.• Determine whether the organizational structure of the environmental management function has changed as a result of these reviews.		
II. Roles and Responsibilities (Linkage with EM.6)		
<p>A. Environmental roles and responsibilities are well defined, clearly communicated, and understood by all personnel whose activities may impact environmental performance.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Identify where and how these roles and responsibilities are defined, such as in program manuals or job descriptions.• Verify through interviews that individual jobs and responsibilities for environmental management match those in program plans and job descriptions.		

EM.1 Organizational Structure (continued)

- Determine whether specific roles as required by DOE Orders or Federal and state regulations have been assigned (e.g., NEPA Compliance Officer, Radiation Safety Officer)
 - Determine whether these roles and responsibilities are formally implemented.
 - Determine whether functional relationships between the environmental support group and the line units are formally defined and understood.
- B. Roles, responsibilities, and accountabilities are supported by management systems and documentation such as job descriptions, performance standards and performance appraisals.**
- Review job descriptions and performance standards for a sample of line management and operations staff to determine if appropriate environmental responsibilities are included.
 - Review performance standards for select personnel (line managers and operations staff) to verify that environmental performance is a written criterion.
 - Determine, through interviews, whether performance appraisals appropriately measure environmental performance for both environmental staff and non-environmental staff.
- C. Personnel responsible for environmental management are held accountable for their performance and the performance of those they manage.**
- Determine if this accountability exists for both environmental and non-environmental staff, e.g., line managers for environmental performance of their operations.
 - Determine whether there have been any instances of rewards for outstanding environmental performance or reprimands for failure to carry out environmental responsibilities.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.1 Organizational Structure (continued)

D. A group independent of line management with responsibility for policy and standards development and oversight and technical support has been established. This group has the authority and management support to implement their responsibilities.

- Determine whether responsibilities of these support groups are clearly defined.
- Identify who
 - establishes organization-wide environmental policy and standards;
 - provides environmental oversight of line organizations;
 - provides technical support for line organizations.
- Determine how these environmental support groups fit into the overall organizational structure. Note the organizational placement of environmental support groups and whether they are independent of line management.
- Determine whether these groups have appropriate levels of authority.
- Determine if environmental managers have been successful in implementing past initiatives.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

EM.2 Environmental Commitment

Performance Objective

The organization should exhibit a commitment to environmental excellence demonstrated by top management support, line management accountability for environmental performance, and formal environmental policy.

Key Evaluative Concerns

The focus of this audit discipline is the commitment to environmental excellence exhibited throughout the organization -- from top management down through line management and staff. Top management support is critical to ensure environmental excellence and is necessary to emphasize the importance of and commitment to the organization's environmental goals. Top management support is evaluated based on demonstration of commitment to environmental programs and performance.

The existence of overall and issue-specific environmental policies is essential to establish both a framework for and a direction to the organization's environmental expectations. The organization's environmental policy will be evaluated in terms of comprehensiveness, compliance with environmental requirements, and provisions for environmental excellence that go beyond regulatory requirements.

Finally, to achieve environmental excellence, all personnel must take personal responsibility for environmental performance. Line management's commitment is evaluated based on the sense of responsibility for environmental protection shown by managers and operating personnel at all levels and in all functions.

Criteria

I. Top Management Support

- A. Top management clearly communicates its commitment to environmental protection through the issuance of formal statements and policies that explicitly state environmental goals and expectations, with full compliance as a minimum goal.
- Determine whether top management's commitment to environmental protection has been stated in mission statements, annual reports, general environmental policy or other broadly disseminated materials.
 - Determine whether top management includes environmental protection in internal or external speeches.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.2 Environmental Commitment (continued)

- B. Top management demonstrates its commitment to environmental excellence through personal and managerial actions.
- Determine whether routine senior management meetings include discussion of environmental issues/programs.
 - Determine if top management has supported environmental programs through sufficient allocation of resources (financial, technical) (Linkage with EM.6 & EM.8).
 - Identify personal actions that provide evidence of top management support, for example:
 - initiation of environmental programs/projects;
 - participation in professional associations;
 - work with local community organizations; and
 - participation in self-assessment reviews.
 - Determine whether senior management has a clear set of goals and expectations regarding environmental performance and what they are (e.g., environmental compliance as a minimum expectation, goals that go beyond compliance, emissions reductions)
 - Compare explicit goals to apparent implicit goals and identify any conflicting messages.
 - Identify how senior management communicates its environmental goals and expectations to employees and, typically, how frequently the goals are communicated.
- C. Top management's commitment is demonstrated through required routine reporting regarding environmental performance and the status of environmental initiatives. (Linkage with EM.5)
- Determine what formal reports are routinely prepared for top management and to what extent they address the organization's environmental status or performance.
 - For these reports, identify to whom they are sent, the type of information conveyed, and the level of detail provided.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.2 Environmental Commitment (continued)

- If environmental information is not included in routine management reports, investigate whether top management utilizes or relies upon any informal means for determining the organization's environmental status or performance. If yes, identify the means and how it works.
 - Check for any written requests from top management for information on environmental status or performance.
- D. Senior managers have a basic understanding of and appreciation for environmental requirements relevant to the scope of the operations for which they are responsible.
- Through interviews, determine if senior managers understand general regulatory requirements, and have knowledge of internal environmental programs and responsibilities.
 - Determine what training or background these managers have related to environmental management.
- E. Top management encourages openness and is receptive to input on environmental issues from all employees, as well as from the public at large. (Linkage with EM.5)
- Identify mechanisms by which employee input has been encouraged and identify examples.
 - Seek evidence that employee input is considered in environmental decisionmaking.
 - Identify mechanisms by which public input has been encouraged and identify examples.
 - Determine whether public input is considered in environmental decisionmaking.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.2 Environmental Commitment (continued)

- F. Top management has created a culture of compliance, awareness, teamwork, and line responsibility for environmental management.
- Based on input from other members of the Assessment Team, determine if such a culture exists in the organization and how this culture was established.
 - Determine top management's role in encouraging or discouraging such a culture.
- II. Environmental Policy**
- A. A formal environmental policy statement that has been issued from a high enough level of authority within the organization to communicate its importance.
- Determine the existence of and review the organization's formal written statement of environmental policy.
 - Identify the individual and level within the organization from which the policy statement was issued.
- B. Environmental policy places priority for environmental protection above production. Environmental compliance is formally established as the minimum acceptable standard.
- Review the environmental policy statement, and identify its principal environmental goals and objectives.
 - Determine whether the policy statement satisfies DOE's goal of achieving environmental excellence.
 - Note whether implementation guidance or other supplemental, subsidiary statements clarify how the organization intends to meet its policy objectives.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.2 Environmental Commitment (continued)

- C. The organization has established issue-specific policies for the major environmental issues consistent with the scope of its operations.
- Determine whether the organization has issued any additional, issue-specific policies addressing more focused environmental concerns, e.g., underground storage tanks, PCBs, groundwater protection, hazardous waste, air emissions, NEPA.
 - Assess whether issue-specific policies are consistent with the overall environmental policy.
- D. Environmental policies are widely distributed, easily accessible, and understood throughout the organization.
- Identify how environmental policies are communicated.
 - Based on interviews, determine the level of awareness and understanding of environmental policies.

III. Line Management Support

- A. Individuals throughout the organization recognize the environmental aspects of their job responsibilities, and take personal responsibility for and demonstrate a sense of "ownership" of environmental protection.
- Determine line management's sense of personal responsibility for environmental performance.
 - Determine whether line operating personnel and functional personnel understand how their individual jobs affect the organization's environmental performance and whether they make any specific connections between the two.
 - Note specific instances which reveal management or staff attitudes or beliefs regarding the importance of their contribution to good environmental performance.
 - Determine whether attitudes and behavior of management reinforce the message that line operating personnel are primarily responsible for ensuring good environmental performance.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.2 Environmental Commitment (continued)

	Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Determine the organization's sense of the relative importance of the roles of operating personnel and environmental staff in determining environmental performance. <p>B. Managers at all levels have formally stated and demonstrated their commitment to environmental excellence.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Identify and review managers' statements of this commitment, for example,<ul style="list-style-type: none">- memoranda;- records of formal meetings; or- bulletin board postings.• Identify actions that provide evidence of environmental commitment. <p>C. Managers at all levels and in all functions whose activities may impact environmental performance take responsibility and interest in limiting the environmental impacts of their operations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Identify activities in which line managers are involved for example:<ul style="list-style-type: none">- they routinely observe field level compliance activities;- participate in audits and self-assessments;- write and review procedures; or- serve on environmental advisory committees.• Determine what kind of environmental information line managers solicit and receive and how they obtain this information.• Review internal memos relevant to environmental management activities and manager meeting minutes to assess their level of involvement.• Determine what actions have been taken by line management in response to environmental accidents and occurrences. <p>D. Management and staff cooperate fully and openly with internal and external oversight groups. (Linkage with EM.1 and EM.5)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Based on interviews with internal environmental staff and external oversight organizations, determine whether the relationship between the two is cooperative or adversarial.		

EM.3 Environmental Protection Programs

Performance Objective

Programs should be in place to ensure compliance with applicable Federal, state, and local environmental protection laws and regulations, and internal DOE policies and Orders that are designed to protect the environment and public health and welfare.

DOE 5400.1, General Environmental Protection Program, establishes environmental protection program requirements, authorities, and responsibilities for assuring compliance with applicable environmental protection laws and regulations, Executive Orders, and internal Department policies. The Order states "it is DOE policy to conduct the Department's operations in compliance with the letter and spirit of applicable environmental statutes, regulations, and standards. In addition, DOE is committed to good environmental management of all its programs..." Criteria are provided below that address programs to ensure compliance with all applicable environmental laws, regulations and DOE policies.

Key Evaluative Concerns

The purpose of this audit discipline is to evaluate the extent to which the organization has developed and implemented specific environmental protection programs and plans which, if properly managed, should help maintain compliance and ensure movement towards environmental excellence. This category will be evaluated based on the existence, quality, and effectiveness of specific programs, including all necessary program elements.

Whereas the other protocol areas evaluate specific characteristics and elements of environmental management systems, this discipline will evaluate the implementation of these systems for specific environmental programs. It will verify that the necessary systems are in place for these issue-specific programs.

Criteria

I. Specific Environmental Protection Programs

- For each of the following programs identified in A-I below determine whether a program is necessary and whether existing programs are sufficient to identify, quantify, and control DOE risks.
- Determine whether applicable environmental programs include the following program elements:
 - formal policies and plans;
 - identification and characterization of sources;
 - understanding of applicable regulatory requirements;
 - responsibilities;
 - implementation procedures;
 - recordkeeping and reporting systems;
 - training; and
 - program evaluation and oversight.
- Evaluate the effectiveness of the organization's environmental programs

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.3 Environmental Protection Programs
(continued)**

- A. Effective environmental protection programs are in place to identify, control, and monitor air emissions.
- B. The organization has a program for the protection of surface waters, including:
 - identification of discharge points and sources;
 - applicable discharge permits, monitoring program, an effective Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures Plan; and
 - reporting and recordkeeping systems.
- C. The organization has a program for the protection of potable water supplies (including backflow prevention systems).
- D. The organization has determined and documented the need for site specific groundwater protection programs. When necessary, a groundwater monitoring program has been established to address the needs of specific sites.
- E. Programs are in place for the proper management and control of toxic and chemical materials to prevent or minimize their release into the environment, including programs for:
 - procurement, handling, and storage of toxic and chemical materials;
 - management and control of polychlorinated biphenyls;
 - management and control of pesticides;
 - management and control of petroleum, petroleum products, and chemicals in aboveground or underground storage tanks; and
 - containment or removal of asbestos.
- F. The organization has a program for the management of solid, hazardous, and radioactive waste, including:
 - waste source identification;
 - waste characterization;
 - waste acceptance criteria, where appropriate;
 - treatment, storage and disposal practices;
 - contingency plans;
 - recordkeeping systems;
 - training; and
 - waste minimization.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.3 Environmental Protection Programs
(continued)**

- G. Programs are in effect that provide for environmental radiation protection through incorporation of guidelines contained in the DOE General Design Criteria and adherence to ALARA principals. Additional programs are in place that require:
- radiological environmental surveillance;
 - evaluation of unplanned releases of radioactive materials; and
 - evaluation of radiation exposure to the public.
- H. The organization has a program to identify, remove, and/or routinely monitor underground storage tanks.
- I. The organization has a program for compliance with DOE requirements for implementing NEPA, including screening/review and determination of the appropriate level of NEPA documentation for each proposed action.
- Determine whether the program is consistent with DOE 5440.1D.
 - Identify the system to assess the acceptability of contractors preparing NEPA documentation for DOE.
 - Determine whether environmental evaluations or checklists are used to initially screen every proposed action.
 - Identify the criteria and process used to recommend the level of NEPA documentation to the appropriate DOE authority for determination.
- II. Specific Program Plans**
- A. The responsible field organization has prepared, and updated an Environmental Protection Implementation Plan (EPIP).
- Determine whether a plan has been prepared as required under DOE 5400.1.
 - Determine whether the plan has actually been implemented throughout the organization.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.3 Environmental Protection Programs
(continued)**

- B. The responsible field organization has prepared and routinely updated formal program plans for the following:
- Groundwater Protection Management;
 - Waste Minimization; and
 - Pollution Prevention Awareness.
- Determine whether special program planning documents have been developed and updated every 3 years and submitted to the PSO and EH-1 for ground water protection management, waste minimization and pollution prevention awareness programs.
- C. The organization has developed an environmental monitoring and surveillance plan as specified in DOE 5400.1.
- Determine whether the organization has a monitoring plan and whether it addresses all environmental monitoring needs and requirements relevant to the organization.

III. Other Programs Related to Environmental Protection

- A. A program is in place to plan and effectively implement all actions required to manage responses to releases of hazardous substances to the environment from inactive waste sites or to releases of reportable quantities of hazardous materials.
- Determine whether the organization has formal written emergency response plans, such as a Contingency Plan, Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures (SPCC) Plan, etc. as required.
 - Assess whether these plans are clear, complete, and current as to who has the Emergency Coordinator responsibility, what emergency response equipment is available and where, and whether the emergency response procedures are site-specific.
 - Determine whether staff have received appropriate training in planned emergency response procedures. Does the organization hold periodic drills or other readiness exercises.
 - Review emergency response plan documents,

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.3 Environmental Protection Programs
(continued)**

- internal records of emergency response drills,
other readiness exercises conducted.
- B. The organization has developed and implemented preventive maintenance programs to ensure proper operation of pollution control equipment. (Linkage with EM.8)
- Determine what has been the operating experience of this organization over the past year with respect to pollution control equipment outage, needed repairs.
 - Assess whether the organization has preventive maintenance programs in place and functioning for any critical operating and pollution control equipment.
 - Determine whether preventive maintenance schedules are automated or how responsible personnel know when a particular planned maintenance activity is to be performed.
- C. The organization has emergency preparedness plans such as contingency plans, Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures plans, and a general emergency plan that addresses any potential hazard including natural disasters, fire, explosions, etc.
- Verify that emergency response plans and programs include all applicable elements, including roles and responsibilities, procedures, training, and equipment.
 - Determine whether crisis management responsibilities are defined at all organizational levels.
 - Review responsibilities in the emergency response plan against organizational charts and general responsibilities.
- D. A Quality Assurance Program (QAP) and organization is in place to assure that environmental programs provide adequate protection to the environment and to public health, and that environmental data are representative and defensible. The QAP shall include the minimum criteria noted in DOE 5700.6C.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.3 Environmental Protection Programs
(continued)**

- Refer to the requirements for a QAP in DOE 5400.1 and verify that the organization's QAP fulfills those requirements.
- Determine whether environmental measurement activities are conducted following EPA-approved methods and procedures.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.4 Formality of Environmental Program

Performance Objective

Formal systems and procedures should be in place to manage day-to-day environmental compliance. This includes systems to track and understand regulatory requirements, procedures for implementation of policies and programs, routine inspections, and systems for recordkeeping and reporting.

DOE 5480.19, Conduct of Operations Requirements for DOE Facilities, provides requirements and guidelines for the development of directives, plans, and procedures relating to the conduct of operations. This Order states that it is the policy of DOE that the conduct of operations at DOE facilities be managed with a consistent and auditable set of requirements, standards, and responsibilities. The policy statement also addresses the use of procedures to control conduct of operations, review of programs, and assessment of program effectiveness.

Key Evaluative Concerns

In this audit discipline, the formality of environmental programs and supporting management systems for ensuring compliance will be evaluated. This discipline complements the Environmental Protection Programs discipline by focusing on the formal systems and controls that are in place to assure compliance of day-to-day operations. The assessment team will assess the existence and effectiveness of a formal system for tracking, interpreting, and distributing relevant regulatory requirements. The organization will also be evaluated on its implementation of environmental programs through specific guidance procedures and standards. The organization's system for the conduct of routine inspections to identify and prevent problems will be evaluated. Finally, systems for the maintenance and retention of records as well as assurance of necessary reporting will be assessed.

Criteria

I. Regulatory Tracking and Translation

- A. A formal system is in place to routinely track and interpret new and/or changes to Federal, state, and local regulations and DOE Orders and policies for the organization.
- Determine how the organization stays current with new and emerging environmental regulations and trends.
 - Identify who within the organization is responsible for regulatory tracking.
 - Determine how new regulations are interpreted as to their applicability to the organization and by whom. Determine the role of the legal department in this task.
 - Determine whether the organization has a formal system for ensuring that new requirements are incorporated into existing programs, policies, and procedures.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.4 Formality of Environmental Program
(continued)**

- Note the availability of regulatory reference material (compilations such as BNA, automated access via software, etc.), technical books, and other reference materials.
- B.** There is a process to ensure that guidance on new regulatory requirements is incorporated into organization or site-specific standard operating procedures, as appropriate.
- Determine if there is a formal system in place to update environmental programs and procedures to reflect changes in regulatory requirements.
- C.** Relevant regulatory information is routinely distributed to field organizations in a timely manner.
- Determine how and in what form regulatory information is transmitted to the field.
 - Determine whether the right people learn of the developments with sufficient lead time to take appropriate action.
- D.** Field organizations are provided sufficient guidance for compliance with new regulations or policies in the form of guidance documents, sample plans and procedures.
- Determine how this guidance is provided and by whom, such as through guidance manuals, training, memorandum, etc.
 - Determine the level of guidance provided to the field along with regulatory distribution, and assess the adequacy of this guidance.
 - Interview field personnel and obtain their opinion of the adequacy of guidance.
- II. Procedures**
- A.** The organization has a formal, controlled process for reviewing, creating, updating, and approving new procedures.
- Develop an understanding of this process, including types of approval, responsibilities, etc.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.4 Formalty of Environmental Program
(continued)**

- Test the system by identifying a sample of procedures to determine if they have been reviewed and updated. Test also by identifying a new regulatory requirement and determining whether a procedure has been created and approved.
- B. Procedures and standards are issued from an organizational level with the authority to mandate implementation. (Linkage with EM.1)
- Identify who issues environmental standards and their level of authority within the organization.
 - Assess whether the level of procedure issuance is sufficient to ensure implementation.
- C. Formal standards and procedures have been developed for the implementation of specific environmental protection programs.
- Determine whether the organization has written procedures for environmental activities associated with specific environmental programs, for example, inspections, reporting, emergency response, NEPA.
 - Review a sample of program specific procedures to assess the quality and adequacy of instruction.
 - Evaluate the process to review the technical content and adequacy of NEPA documentation.
- D. There are procedures to ensure that any activities that might impact the environment are reviewed for environmental protection considerations.
- Determine whether standard operating procedures include environmental protection standards.
 - Determine whether the organization has a system to ensure that all procedures are reviewed and revised to include environmental protection considerations (Linkage with EM.8).
- E. Procedures are part of a formal, auditable document control system designed to ensure that personnel have ready access to current versions of procedures containing environmental requirements.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.4 Formality of Environmental Program
(continued)**

- Develop an understanding of how procedures are organized and controlled, for example, whether procedures are centrally located or at each individual operating site, and whether they are controlled using a manual or computerized system.
 - Determine which environmental procedures are routinely accessible at the facility level.
 - Verify accessibility by requesting a sample of specific procedures.
- F. The organization has implemented a system to periodically review and update environmental procedures.
- Determine how often procedures are reviewed and updated and by whom.
 - Determine how revised or updated procedures are communicated/distributed to the rest of the organization.
 - Determine whether there is a requirement for periodic review of procedures by users.

**III. Routine Facility Inspections
(Linkage with EM.3 and EM.7)**

- A. The organization has a program for routine site and equipment inspections and compliance checks, including appropriate documentation.
- Determine whether environmental or other staff conduct occasional or routine inspections to determine compliance with specific environmental legal and regulatory requirements, and check the frequency of these inspections.
 - Determine whether regular tests and inspections are performed on critical operating and pollution control equipment, (e.g., electrostatic precipitators, scrubbers, air monitors, or environmental measurement devices).
 - Determine whether these inspections follow a formal written protocol or checklist.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.4 Formality of Environmental Program
(continued)**

- Determine whether results of inspections are documented and retained. Review documentation of a sample of routine inspections.
- B. The organization has a formal system for follow-up of exceptions noted in inspections, which is supported by management review.**
- Develop an understanding of the follow-up system and responsibilities.
 - Determine if there is a process for reporting exceptions to management.
 - Determine whether management reviews inspection documentation and corrective actions.
 - Determine if there is a tracking process to ensure the corrective actions or repairs are taken in a timely manner.
 - Determine whether the organization has a system in place to minimize repeated exceptions as noted in inspections (e.g., through root cause analysis).

**IV. Recordkeeping and Reporting
(Linkage with EM.3 and EM.1)**

- A. Systems are in place for the appropriate documentation and recordkeeping of environmental performance.**
- Develop an understanding of all systems that are in place for recordkeeping and document control.
 - Tracking of key regulatory schedules (e.g., permit renewals, report submissions, required training.).
 - Maintenance of compliance records (e.g., inspection logs, source and/or ambient measurement data.).
 - Preparation and submission of required regulatory reports (e.g., RCRA generator report, hazardous material inventory and release reports, PCB inventory and disposal report.).

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.4 Formality of Environmental Program
(continued)**

- Determine whether the organization maintains appropriate documentation and records of environmental inventories, permits, and environmental performance for the following programs:
 - Water pollution control;
 - Air pollution control;
 - Hazardous waste management;
 - Reportable spill incidents;
 - PCB inventory and disposal;
 - Toxic Substance Control Act Section 8(c) and 8(e) files;
 - Training; and
 - NEPA.
 - In general, assess the state of the organization's files and recordkeeping practice regarding these environmental records. Determine whether the files are complete, current, and readily accessible.
 - Determine the extent to which environmental information management is automated or manual.
 - Determine whether recordkeeping practices are formal and systematic.
- B. The organization has a document control system and record retention policy.**
- Determine whether the organization has a formal records retention policy which covers environmental compliance and other related environmental information. In lieu of a formal policy, are guidelines provided to staff regarding environmental records retention.
 - Assess whether individuals are knowledgeable of the record retention policy.
 - Where applicable, verify that the organization retains environmental records for the retention period specified by regulation.
 - Determine whether the system has the capability to track the status of NEPA compliance for planning, funding, approval, design, and construction phases of all proposed actions.
 - Determine whether the system accounts for classified documentation, if necessary.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.4 Formality of Environmental Program
(continued)**

- C. There are systems in place to ensure that environmental reports required by Federal and state regulations and DOE are routinely prepared and submitted on a timely basis.
- Determine how the organization ensures that environmental reports required by Federal or state regulation are routinely prepared and submitted to the appropriate regulatory agencies in a timely manner.
 - Assess the effectiveness of the system by checking some reporting requirements such as the following:
 - The organization provides notifications and reports regarding environmental occurrences via the requirements of DOE 5484.1, 5000.3, and 5500 Series (emergency management).
 - An "Annual Site Environmental Report" summarizing environmental occurrences has been submitted to EH-1 by June 1, of each year (per Chapter II guidance). Note whether the report format meets requirements.
 - The Radiological Effluent and On-site Discharge Data Report has been submitted to the appropriate organization (currently EG&G Idaho, Inc.) by April 1, for the previous calendar year.
 - Annual hazardous waste generator reports have been submitted to appropriate state or federal EPA.
 - Mitigation Action Plan annual report is submitted as required.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.4 Formality of Environmental Program
(continued)**

- D. Environmental status reports with the appropriate level of detail are routinely prepared for internal management purposes and for reporting environmental concerns to higher levels of management in a timely manner. (Linkage with EM.1 and EM.2)
- Identify what kind of reports are prepared, and determine the content and frequency of these reports.
 - Determine whether these reports include the full range of environmental issues/activities.
 - Determine whether other systems for conveying environmental information are in place (regular meetings, reports, self audits, etc.).
 - Note whether environmental status information includes an appropriate level of detail to sufficiently inform senior management.
 - Note whether environmental status reports compare accomplishments to goals.
- E. There are formal mechanisms to investigate, report, correct, track, and monitor trends in environmental problems and "incidents." The types and magnitudes of the problems that should be reported are well defined. (Linkage with EM.7)
- Determine whether the organization has a formal written procedure for environmental incident investigation and reporting.
 - Review files of investigation reports to determine whether root causes of problems and incidents are identified and trended and whether there have been recurring problems.
 - Determine whether corrective actions have been planned and implemented for these incidents.
 - Compare the systems for occurrence reporting information processing with the requirements in DOE 5000.3A, 5484.1, and 5500.2A.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.5 Internal and External Communication

Performance Objective

Formal and informal channels of communication should be utilized to facilitate implementation of all environmental management systems and programs; to emphasize management commitment to environmental protection; to generate a sense of environmental awareness throughout the organization; and to manage relationships with external oversight organizations and others who are likely to be concerned with the success of the organization's environmental protection efforts.

Key Evaluative Concerns

The focus of this audit discipline is an evaluation of internal and external communication systems. The effectiveness of internal communication systems will be determined through an evaluation of the understanding of roles and responsibilities and the awareness of environmental policies, procedures, and programs throughout the organization. The extent and effectiveness of external communications will be assessed based on consistency of the external dialogue, monitoring of external concerns, and external recognition of the organization's environmental commitment.

I. Internal Communications

- A. Environmental information is effectively communicated through formal or informal means throughout the organization (top-down, bottom-up, and lateral).
- Determine whether these formal channels exist and in what form (reports, meetings, memoranda, etc.).
 - Determine whether there are regular line management and environmental staff meetings that adequately cover environmental issues.
 - Evaluate the flow of communication between line management and operating staff, as well as between various functional areas.
- B. There is a formal system in place to allow personnel to anonymously communicate (without retribution) environmental concerns to upper levels of management for resolution.
- Determine whether such a system exists, how it works, to whom concerns are reported, and what type of action is taken.
 - Determine whether personnel at various levels in the organization are aware of its existence.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.5 Internal and External Communication
(continued)**

- Note any evidence that the system is used, and whether it is considered useful.
 - Evaluate demonstrated management support for the system.
- C. Informal channels of internal communication are encouraged as a means of developing cooperation and commitment to environmental protection.
- Identify the informal modes of communication used in the organization and assess their effectiveness.
 - Determine whether environmental staff across programs, facilities, and units share information and assist each other on environmental problems.
- D. Environmental awareness is continually reinforced throughout the organization via the use of newsletters, bulletin boards, videotapes, office-wide programs, or other means. (Linkage with EM.2)
- Identify communication modes used to promote environmental awareness.
 - Note observations of environmental awareness promotion throughout the facility.
 - Compare environmental awareness to health and safety awareness for perspective.
- E. Formal communication of environmental protection directives is timely, and effectively reaches all responsible elements of the organization. (Linkage with EM.1 and EM.4)
- Determine how quickly the following types of environmental information is communicated to management:
 - routine environmental status information;
 - incident or major issue information; and
 - controversial issues requiring NEPA.
 - Determine how quickly new environmental requirements, programs or other information is communicated to the field.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.5 Internal and External Communication
(continued)**

- F. Employee environmental concerns are solicited and addressed, and both the concerns and responses are documented.
- Identify employee environmental concerns that have and have not been addressed.
 - For those that were addressed, note the organization's response, and whether the concerns were documented.
 - For those that were not addressed, identify reasons why.
 - Note whether well-founded concerns expressed in one facility or group are shared with other facilities or groups that might have similar problems.
- G. The effectiveness of communication is demonstrated by a widespread awareness and acceptance of the organizational commitment to environmental protection. (Linkage with EM.2)
- Through interviews, assess the general understanding and appreciation for environmental issues exhibited by organization employees.
- H. Effective working relationships exist between headquarters and field environmental staff as well as between staff and line personnel whose functional responsibilities impact environmental performance.
- Check for close working relationships between environmental staff, line management and other key functional specialists within the organization, (e.g., engineering, legal, purchasing).
 - Note any examples where networking by environmental staff with these other professionals has resulted in decisions or actions which have increased the effectiveness of the environmental management function.
 - Note any evidence of lack of cooperation between line and oversight groups.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.5 Internal and External Communication
(continued)**

III. External Communication

A. The organization has a good working relationship and cooperates fully and openly with external oversight organizations.

- Determine whether the organization has frequent, proactive interaction with regulatory agencies and keeps them informed of the environmental status of the organization.
- Determine whether the relationship between environmental staff and external oversight organizations appears cooperative or adversarial, based on interviews with representatives of both.
- If appropriate, interview regulatory representatives to obtain their perspective of the working relationship.

B. The organization has defined who will be the internal points of contact with external parties, and has identified the points of contact within appropriate external parties.

- Identify the key internal points of contact for interaction with external organizations
- Determine whether the organization has identified points of contact in external organizations.

C. A program exists for communicating with external parties such as regulatory agencies, environmental groups, and the local community to provide them with information the opportunity to be involved in key decisions related to environmental protection.

- Determine what kinds of communication programs the organization has with the local community. (e.g., education, visitation of facilities, public reading rooms, etc.)
- Based on interviews with DOE staff, assess how the organization interacts with environmental groups.
- Identify any complaints from neighbors and determine how the organization handles them.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.5 Internal and External Communication
(continued)

- Identify recent key decisions by the organization that have related to environmental protection. Determine whether external agencies, organizations, or individuals were provided the opportunity to be involved.
 - Based on interviews with regulatory agencies, environmental groups, and representatives of the local community, determine whether external organizations perceive that they have had such opportunities.
- D. Formal communication of environmental risks and protection efforts occurs frequently, is timely, and effectively reaches external organizations, including regulatory agencies, environmental groups, and representatives of the local community.
- Evaluate whether environmental risks and protection efforts are communicated to such contacts routinely or only on an infrequent basis. Note whether the information is timely.
 - Based on outside interviews, determine whether the information is received and is perceived to be comprehensible. Determine whether recipients believe they are being kept up to date on the organization's activities that may impact environmental performance.
- E. The environmental concerns of external parties are addressed, and both the concerns and responses are documented.
- Review files to determine whether external concerns have been documented and addressed.
 - For those that were addressed, note the organization's response, and whether the concerns were documented and trended.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.5 Internal and External Communication
(continued)**

- F. The effectiveness of communication is demonstrated by a widespread external recognition of the organization's commitment to environmental protection.
- To the extent possible, determine the recognition of this commitment among regulatory agencies, environmental groups, and representatives of the local community.
- G. The organization periodically assesses the effectiveness of external communications, makes changes as necessary, and documents the results of the evaluations and changes made.
- Determine how the organization assesses effectiveness and what changes have resulted from such an evaluation.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.6 Staff Resources, Training, and Development

Performance Objective

Programs should be in place to ensure that staff resources are sufficient to effectively develop and implement the organization's environmental protection programs. The organization should have a formal program in place to ensure that all personnel have received environmental protection training appropriate for their job responsibilities. The organization should also provide staff development and career advancement opportunities for environmental staff.

Key Evaluative Concerns

In this assessment discipline, the Assessment Team will determine whether environmental staffing resources are sufficient from a quantitative and qualitative perspective to properly address the organization's environmental risks. Job responsibilities and performance appraisal processes will be reviewed for evidence of the importance of individual environmental performance. The degree of formality, completeness, and appropriateness of the organization's systems for identifying and satisfying environmental skills training needs and for providing opportunities for career development will also be assessed.

Criteria

I. Environmental Staffing

- A. Environmental staffing levels are sufficient to achieve environmental performance goals. This includes dedicated environmental support staff and others with collateral duties (e.g., line managers with other support functions).

- Determine how the organization assesses environmental staffing needs.
- Determine whether staffing is in line with identified needs.
- Determine whether requests for additional environmental staff have been approved or denied and why.
- Identify environmental programs or projects that have not been undertaken or completed because of insufficient environmental staff.
- Note any other evidence of insufficient environmental staff to assure compliance, e.g.,
 - compliance deficiencies whose root causes are inadequate resources;
 - excessive overtime; or
 - excessive use of contractors.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.6 Staff Resources, Training,
and Development (continued)**

- B. Personnel with environmental responsibilities have the relevant background and training to carry out their responsibilities.**
- Determine what qualifications are necessary for environmental staffing and other positions with environmental responsibilities.
 - Review a sample of resumes or "171" forms for selected environmental staff and note the following:
 - educational training in environmental management;
 - diplomas and certifications of environmental training (internal and external); and
 - relevant work experience in environmental management.
 - Environmental support staff demonstrate sufficient knowledge and familiarity with the organization's operations, environmental issues, and programs and procedures to effectively carry out their respective environmental protection responsibilities.
- C. Staffing for environmental protection activities is provided in a timely manner.**
- Determine whether additional staff with environmental responsibilities are added as the need arises or whether there is a significant delay.
 - Determine whether environmental activities requiring immediate attention (e.g., a spill or a determination of environmental non-compliance) are responded to in a timely manner.
- D. A system is in place to identify both short-term and long-term environmental staffing requirements, both within the environmental support group and within line units with environmental responsibilities.**
- Determine how short- and long-term environmental staffing requirements are determined.
 - Determine whether this staffing assessment includes both the environmental support staff and line management needs.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.6 Staff Resources, Training,
and Development (continued)**

**II. Job Descriptions and Performance Evaluations
(Linkage with EM.1, II.B.)**

- A. Appropriate job descriptions are established and maintained for environmental positions.
- Review formal written job descriptions for environmental staff to determine whether they are current, complete, and reflective of existing duties.
 - Identify other positions in each department that include environmental responsibilities. Review the formal written job descriptions for these other key line management and operating personnel and assess whether these job descriptions incorporate any statements regarding their environmental-related duties and responsibilities.
- B. Performance standards used in the performance appraisal process include the environmental aspects of individual job responsibilities, including line management responsibilities for environmental performance. Environmental factors are given comparable emphasis to safety and productivity factors.
- Determine whether explicit measures of performance have been identified for specific jobs, including both environmental staff and line management personnel.
 - Determine whether environmental criteria receive substantially less emphasis than other criteria such as productivity and safety.
 - Determine whether periodic staff performance reviews include explicit measures of environment-related job performance. Note examples of environmental performance criteria used.
- C. Good environmental performance is rewarded in practice, and poor performance is penalized.
- Identify reward, incentive or bonus systems (financial or nonfinancial) for environmental staff and other personnel with environmental responsibilities.
 - Determine what actions are taken for poor environmental performance.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.6 Staff Resources, Training,
and Development (continued)**

- Identify other methods used for praise or corrective action such as verbal feedback, memorandums, internal announcements, etc.

III. Environmental Training Programs

- A. Environmental training programs are defined in controlled documents such as a training program manual.
- Understand how environmental training requirements are determined and where they are explicitly identified, e.g., training plans.
 - Determine if a training manual or other documents describe environmental training programs.
- B. There is a process in place to identify and evaluate environmental training needs for all personnel. These needs are incorporated into individual professional development plans.
- Determine whether the organization has assessed its environmental training needs including type of training and staff requiring it.
 - Determine whether environmental skill training requirements (e.g., regulatory hazardous materials handling, emergency and spill response) have been identified for all job classifications where employees' work activities can affect environmental performance.
 - Determine whether environmental training is included in job descriptions and/or individual professional development plans.
 - Determine how the organization ensures that employees receive the necessary training at appropriate intervals.
- C. The environmental training program is supported by appropriate training materials and qualified trainers.
- Review training materials and determine whether the materials (e.g., written, audiovisual) are appropriate and adequate to the purpose.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.6 Staff Resources, Training,
and Development (continued)**

- Review resumes of trainers and determine whether the trainers have the appropriate educational background and experience for the particular training they deliver.
- D. There is a formal process to ensure that training courses are developed at an appropriate depth and provide adequate coverage of DOE Orders, Federal and state regulations, and internal policies and procedures.
 - Develop an understanding of the process for ensuring that all relevant regulatory requirements are covered in training courses.
- E. All levels of personnel -- from operators to lower, middle, and upper management -- undergo some level of environmental awareness training. (Linkage with EM.2)
 - Determine who receives environmental awareness training.
 - Determine whether environmental awareness training is given to all line personnel whose activities may impact environmental management and compliance, as well as to their supervisors.
 - Determine what environmental training and awareness activities, if any, the organization specifically directs toward middle and upper level management.
 - Determine whether contractors are included in awareness training.
- F. Environmental protection training is included in new employee and contractor orientation training, and environmental protection training requirements have been established for temporary employees and visitors.
 - Determine whether the organization routinely conducts a formal orientation program for all new employees and contractors, and assess the adequacy of the training content.
 - Review training records to determine if all new employees and contractors have attended orientation training.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.6 Staff Resources, Training,
and Development (continued)**

- G. Training activities are documented and the training recordkeeping system is auditable, complete, and current.
- Determine how the organization maintains employee environmental training records and who is responsible for this activity.
 - Identify certain training required by regulations and Orders (e.g., hazardous waste training, NEPA) and determine whether all individuals performing these tasks have had the necessary training.
 - Select a sample of environmental and non-environmental employees and review training records to determine if they are accurate, complete, and current.
 - For these same individuals, compare actual training completed with training needs identified for their position or in their individual staff development plan.
 - Assess whether the training recordkeeping system is easily accessible, complete and current.
- H. There is a formal documented process for the periodic evaluation of the effectiveness of training programs.
- Determine whether and how evaluations of the training program are conducted.
 - Review the results of the latest evaluation and check changes made in response to the evaluation.
 - Interview selected personnel regarding their training and determine whether training was absorbed.
 - Determine whether training feedback mechanisms (e.g., tests, course feedback forms) are provided to evaluate effectiveness of training.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.6 Staff Resources, Training,
and Development (continued)**

IV. Staff Development Opportunities

- A. The organization provides career opportunities and advancement for environmental staff within the program, where possible, or in other DOE programs/facilities.
- Determine whether well-established career paths exist within the environmental management function. Identify these paths, and determine whether they can lead to top management positions or whether they eventually reach "dead-ends."
 - Identify line positions that are of the same government grade (or that are shown in the organization's hierarchy to be at the same organizational level) as the various environmental support positions.
 - Determine whether environmental support personnel and these "line peers" are considered equally eligible for lateral job changes that provide breadth of experience, and for advancement up the organization's management ladder. To gather evidence, ask senior management, line management, supervisors of environmental support personnel, line personnel, and environmental support personnel.
 - Identify any middle or senior management staff that have environmental support experience.
- B. In staff development efforts, environmental support staff are encouraged to acquire management and professional skills in order to build their supervisory and management potential.
- Through interviews with environmental support staff, determine whether they are encouraged and given opportunities to acquire management skills.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

**EM.6 Staff Resources, Training,
and Development (continued)**

- Compare training records of environmental support personnel and "line peers" to see whether training in skills necessary for promotion up the management ladder are offered equally to both groups. Examples of such training include managerial skills, supervisory competencies, presentation techniques, media relations, policy/program development, and negotiation skills.
- C. Cross-functional training is available and encouraged to maintain and expand staff capabilities.
- Determine what kinds of programs exist to perform cross-functional tasks or to move from one department to another in an effort to expand staff skill base.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.7 Program Evaluation, Reporting and Corrective Action

Performance Objective

The organization should have self-assessment and oversight programs in place to effectively evaluate environmental protection activities, anticipate and report environmental concerns, and implement corrective actions. Numerous DOE Orders, including DOE 5480.19, Conduct of Operations Requirements for DOE Facilities; DOE 5480.1B, Environment, Safety, and Health Program for Department of Energy Operations; and DOE 5482.1B, Environment, Safety, and Health Appraisal Program, establish requirements for self-assessment and appraisal programs at all levels of the organization.

The December 1992 ES&H Self-Assessment Guidance document provides guidance on establishing effective ES&H self-assessment functions within DOE and contract organizations. The major objective of self-assessment programs is to establish accountability and excellence at the "grassroots" level, thereby involving people who are the most familiar with the operations and their management. Self-assessment is a continual line management activity that acquires, assimilates, documents, and reports through all levels of an organization on the effectiveness, adequacy, efficiency, and economy of its activities. Self-assessment should establish a culture of accountability and continuous improvement as well as foster excellence in all program activities.

Key Evaluative Concerns

The purpose of this audit discipline is to evaluate programs that assess the design adequacy and implementation effectiveness of environmental protection systems as well as the reporting and follow up activities associated with these appraisals. Program evaluation includes a review of all major audits; appraisals; and self-assessments. It does not include routine inspections, which are addressed in the Formality of Programs section of this protocol.

Programs will be evaluated on the basis of its design and implementation to ensure adequate breadth and depth of coverage. Reporting and follow-up will be assessed for adequacy of formal systems to clearly communicate in a timely fashion the results of the reviews to appropriate levels of management. Finally, the system for periodic trends analysis of all findings to identify underlying programmatic and management deficiencies will be evaluated.

Criteria

I. Self-Assessment and Appraisal Programs

(Note: The December 1992 ES&H Self-assessment Guidance Document states that line or assessment managers should use a graded approach that considers risk in deciding the extent and frequency of assessment activities. The depth of detail required and the magnitude of resources expended for self-assessment should be commensurate with the element's relative importance to environmental compliance and/or other facility-specific requirements.

ES&H Self-Assessment programs should build upon existing programs and activities including those specifically required by DOE line management, as well as internal appraisals conducted by contractors or DOE operating level staff. Self-assessment

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.7 Program Evaluation, Reporting and Corrective Action (continued)

should incorporate both internal self-assessments and independent technical and management appraisals.

A. Facilities and departments have implemented on-going formal, written programs which include both internal assessments and independent oversight appraisals.

- Determine whether these programs include appropriate elements/components, such as:
 - formal program charter;
 - comprehensive scope;
 - defined schedules;
 - standard operating procedures for self-assessment;
 - formal reporting system;
 - root cause analysis;
 - formal corrective action system;
 - formal process to identify trends;
 - formal mechanisms to communicate root causes, trends, and lessons learned throughout the organization;
 - formal self-assessment training program;
 - full cooperation with external oversight or assessment organizations; and
 - line management-fostered atmosphere of continual self-evaluation and quality improvement.
- Determine whether the organization has a formal, documented environmental self-assessment program.
- Determine whether the program covers compliance with internal policies and procedures, applicable governmental laws and regulations, and best management practices.
- Determine whether subordinate offices and facilities have a self-assessment program.
- Identify the environmental programs (e.g., air, surface water, drinking water, groundwater, hazardous and solid waste, etc.) that are evaluated in self-assessments.
- Determine responsibilities, frequency, and process for conducting self-assessments.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.7 Program Evaluation, Reporting and Corrective Action (continued)

- Determine whether self-assessment activities are integrated both within the line organization and across staff functions to ensure a comprehensive self-assessment process.
- B. Responsibilities and authorities for self-assessment activities are clearly defined. (Linkage with EM.1))
 - Determine who is responsible for implementing the self assessment and appraisal programs.
 - Determine if these individuals are sufficiently independent and have enough authority to effectively perform this responsibility.
 - Determine whether staff are specifically dedicated to environmental appraisals or if not, how the organization staffs appraisals.
- C. Frequency of self-assessments and appraisals is congruent with the program's goals and inherent risks.
 - Determine how frequently self-assessments and appraisals are conducted and how the organization determines frequency schedule.
 - Assess whether this frequency is sufficient to meet program goals.
- D. Focused functional appraisals are conducted on specific issues to reduce the organization's long-term environmental liabilities. (Linkage with EM.8).
 - Determine whether the organization has a system to identify problem areas that require focused assessments.
- E. Audits/appraisals are conducted by professionals who are trained and qualified.
 - Determine how assessors are selected and what qualifications or criteria are used in the selection process.
 - Determine whether assessors have taken auditing training courses.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.7 Program Evaluation, Reporting and Corrective Action (continued)

- F. Audits/appraisals are conducted using formal, written guidance documents and are documented.
- Determine whether guidance documents, audit protocols, checklists, and other tools are used in the preparation and conduct of the self-assessments and appraisals.
 - Determine whether notes are taken during the audits and retained for future reference.
- G. Self-assessment program implementation is addressed in budget planning and budget requests (Linkage with E.M.8).
- Determine whether environmental self-assessments have been factored into strategic planning in terms of resource and budgetary requirements.
- H. The systems used for environmental program evaluations are periodically critiqued and modifications are made as necessary.
- Determine what system is in place to review environmental program evaluations.
 - Determine how often these programs are evaluated.
 - Identify changes made to the assessment program as a result of program review.
- I. Audits are conducted to verify the effectiveness of the environmental protection quality assurance activities and adherence to the quality assurance program.
- Determine whether QA audits are conducted or whether QA is part of an overall environmental audit program.
- II. Reporting and Follow-up**
- A. Assessment results are documented in formal reports distributed in a timely manner to appropriate levels of management.
- Identify all self-assessments and appraisals that have been conducted over the last few years and determine if reports were prepared.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.7 Program Evaluation, Reporting and Corrective Action (continued)

	Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review past self-assessment and appraisal reports. Identify level of reporting detail and individuals on distribution list. Assess whether reports reach high enough levels of management and wide distribution to related functions (e.g., legal, engineering). Assess whether reports provide adequate detail. • Determine whether Heads of Field Organizations send management and functional appraisal reports to the appropriate PSO and to EH-1 for each facility at least once every three years as required by No. 8e(3) of DOE 5482.1B. • Determine whether an annual report denoting the management and functional appraisals planned for the following fiscal year has been submitted to the cognizant PSO and EH-1 by August 1 of each year, as required by No. 8e(7) of the DOE 5482.1B. • Determine whether the Head of Field Organization has submitted, in accordance with requirement No. 8e(8) of DOE 5482.1B, a list of contractors (under their purview) and dates that they received management and functional appraisals, to the PSO and EH-1 by November for the previous fiscal year. • Determine whether management appraisals reports are submitted within 45 days to the appraised organization, and technical/functional appraisal reports are submitted within 30 days to the appraised organization. 		
<p>B. Corrective actions to address root cause of findings are developed and implemented by line management.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determine how corrective actions are prioritized. • Corrective action plans are approved by both the oversight group and senior management prior to implementation. • For each self-assessment/appraisal that has been conducted, determine if a corrective action plan was developed by the appropriate department, approved by management, and implemented. 		

**EM.7 Program Evaluation, Reporting and
Corrective Action (continued)**

- C. Corrective actions are independently tracked to ensure their completion, objectively verified at completion, and formally closed out.
 - Determine whether the organization and field offices have a system to track progress of corrective actions.
 - Assess the adequacy of progress on existing corrective action plans.
- D. "Lessons learned" programs are implemented to seek out improvement opportunities for environmental performance.
 - Determine whether the organization has developed a program or system for learning from past problems, and sharing this information across the organization.
- E. Trending analysis of findings is conducted on a periodic basis to identify underlying programmatic or management root causes.
 - Determine if any trending analysis is conducted and how frequently.
 - Review the results of these analyses and inquire about actions taken to correct root causes.
- F. Performance indicators for environmental protection have been defined and are tracked and analyzed for trends.
 - Determine what performance indicators have been established.
 - Determine how performance indicators are tracked and analyzed for trends.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

Performance Objective

The organization should plan for environmental management activities to ensure that environmental resources needs are adequately addressed and the organization's environmental goals can be met. Planning for environmental protection should be integrated with planning for other organizational functions. In the planning and budget allocation process, environmental protection should receive equal priority and weight as production activities.

The organization should have a formal system to identify environmental hazards, assess the resulting environmental risks of those hazards, and mitigate risks.

Key Evaluative Concerns

This assessment discipline focuses on the environmental planning and risk management process. It evaluates the extent to which technical and financial planning related to environmental management is conducted and integrated with overall organizational planning. In addition, this discipline addresses the organization's system for identifying, assessing, and addressing potential environmental risks, including risk management program design and approach, issues identification, and management involvement.

I. Environmental Planning and Budgeting

A. Environmental planning is conducted with comparable formality to planning for other organizational functions and includes both short- and long-term planning.

- Determine the extent to which environmental planning decisions result from a formal, organized planning process.
- Determine the frequency of formal environmental planning and the planning horizon (e.g., 1 year, 5 years, 10 years)
- Compare how staffing and budgetary requirements for the environmental management function and for other organizational functions are determined.
- Determine whether environmental planning is viewed as a strategic element in the organization's long-term success.
- Determine whether the facility has a system to identify projects planned in the next year or two that will need NEPA review.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.8 Environmental Planning and Risk Management (continued)

- B. Environmental protection considerations are adequately included in planning for other organizational functions.
- Review strategic and/or organizational plans, annual budget documents, proposed major capital projects, and property acquisitions and determine whether they include environmental considerations.
 - Identify any recent instances where environmental concerns raised through a program/project planning process have influenced proposed operating plans, financial plans, or other factors.
 - Review and evaluate the organization's process to assess and integrate NEPA requirements with those of CERCLA and RCRA.
- C. Environmental issues are represented by qualified personnel in key strategic and operations planning meetings/committees.
- Review minutes of planning meetings to determine if environmental personnel were involved.
 - Determine whether environmental issues were considered in planning meetings or activities.
- D. In the planning process, the organization has a system for establishing priorities and weighing competing factors, with environmental protection receiving equal weight to production.
- Assess whether priority setting (i.e., choosing projects to spend money on) reflects environmental excellence goals.
 - Investigate environmental projects that have been delayed or canceled. Determine if these projects received equal consideration to other projects.
 - Determine whether plans for environmental management take into account and adequately reflect the implications of proposed operating and financial plans and initiatives.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.8 Environmental Planning and Risk Management (continued)

- E. Commitment of funds for environmental-related activities is satisfactory to serve the organization's environmental performance goals, through both capital and maintenance projects.
- Compare capital budget requests and annual budget allocations for environmental programs/projects to determine whether adequate funds have been committed to environmental protection.
 - Determine whether all environmental items, including staff and technical resources, have been identified in budget requests.
- F. Environmental protection is an integral part of the budget and planning process.
- Review budget requests and allocations for line operations and other functional areas to determine if they include environmental costs.
 - Determine whether environmental concerns are taken into consideration in budget decisions.
- G. The organization has assessed its needs for pollution control technologies and other technical equipment to achieve its performance goals.
- Determine how the organization assesses its technical equipment needs to maintain compliance and reduce risks.
 - Identify programs or systems to keep updated on the latest pollution control technology and ensure that best available technology is used for maintaining compliance and reducing risks.
 - Determine whether environmental excursions and noncompliances are analyzed to identify whether technology can be improved to eliminate or reduce similar episodes in the future.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.8 Environmental Planning and Risk Management (continued)

H. The organization has a system in place for the control and oversight of purchased materials, equipment, and services supporting environmental protection activities to ensure that they meet environmental specifications .

- Determine how the organization ensures that new chemicals, equipment or contractors meet regulatory requirements and comply with organizational policies on environmental protection.
- Determine whether the system ensures that the gathering, analysis, and coordination requirements of the DOE NEPA Guidelines are carried out when conducting competitive procurements.

II. Risk Management

A. A formal environmental risk management program has been established and is operational. This program includes objectives, approach, procedures and risk evaluation criteria.

- Identify the elements of the organization's risk management program and determine if the program is complete.
- Review internal guidelines or criteria, quantitative or qualitative, used to determine whether a particular environmental risk arising out of operations would be deemed "acceptable" or "unacceptable".

B. A formal, systematic review of the organization's operations/activities is periodically conducted to identify and manage environmental risks.

- Develop an understanding of and assess the process used to evaluate risks.
- Determine how often these reviews are conducted, and by whom.
- Determine what actions have been taken to mitigate or manage identified risks.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

EM.8 Environmental Planning and Risk Management (continued)

- C. The organization has developed programs or standards to manage environmental risks not covered by regulatory requirements. (Linkage to EM.3)
- Identify examples of how risk assessment has led to the development or enhancement of environmental protection programs.
- D. All new projects, programs, or activities that may impact the environment are carefully reviewed to identify and address environmental risks as early as possible. A formal project/program review and approval process, which includes environmental considerations, has been established. (Linkage with EM.8. I.B.)
- Besides NEPA, identify what types of projects have environmental reviews performed, e.g., capital projects, R&D projects, facility-level maintenance modifications.
 - Determine whether these reviews are performed only under certain circumstances or routinely for all projects.
 - Determine the focus of the reviews and whether the project may raise any significant environmental compliance issues, or lead to any potentially significant environmental risks.
 - Determine whether project environmental reviews typically follow a standard approach and whether there is any formal guidance on the approach.
 - Identify the criteria used for assessing the impacts of a project (e.g., dollar value, project type, etc.)
 - Review records or files of environmental reviews that have been performed.

Auditor(s)/ Comments	Working Paper Reference

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Appendix- Selecting Documents to Review and Individuals to Interview for Environmental Management Assessments

The following discussion provides suggestions, by protocol discipline, for the most useful types of documents to review and general types of individuals to interview in the process of performing an Environmental Management Assessment.

Organizational Structure

The following types of individuals should be interviewed for this protocol area:

- *Representatives from the DOE site office* as well as *subcontractors* to determine roles, responsibilities, reporting relationships, authorities, and level of coordination;
- *EHS staff and top management* at the facility to determine the reporting "distance" between the person with primary responsibility for environmental support and the overall management of the organization and to assess the appropriateness of layers of management and span of control; and
- *EHS and line staff* to understand the functional relationship of EHS to other parts of the organization and to determine the organizational stature of the EHS office within the organization.

The following types of documents should be reviewed:

- Documents that define organizational responsibility, authority, or accountability for environmental programs
- Organization charts
- Position or job descriptions for line and staff personnel
- Environmental planning documents
- Formal measures used in assessing job performance

Environmental Commitment

The following types of individuals should be interviewed for this protocol area:

- *Top management* to determine level of knowledge, personal involvement in environmental affairs, and inclusion of environmental issues in routine senior management meetings;
- *Management and line staff at all levels and across all functional areas* to determine level of environmental commitment, sense of "ownership" of environmental protection, degree to which environmental policies are distributed and understood, and allocation of human, financial, and technical resources; and
- *Staff in non-environmental specialty areas* to determine the level of general environmental awareness.

The following types of documents should be reviewed:

- Environmental planning documents
- General environmental policy statements
- Issue-specific policies addressing focused environmental concerns
- Environmental program descriptions and implementation plans
- Senior management statement of support for environmental programs, including reports, speeches, and newsletters
- Accounts of employee or organization involvement in or work with environmental task forces, environmental professional associations, or local community organizations
- Samples of routine environmental reports to upper management
- Minutes of senior management meetings

Environmental Protection Programs

The following types of individuals should be interviewed for this protocol area:

- *EHS staff and line management as well as subcontractors* to determine level of development or implementation of environmental programs or plans

The following types of documents should be reviewed:

- Environmental program plans required by DOE 5400.1
- Environmental monitoring and surveillance plans
- Source and emission inventories for air and water pollution control
- NESHAPs agreement
- Toxic and chemical materials management plan
- Emergency response and remedial action plan
- Environmental incident reporting procedures
- Preventative maintenance and inspection procedures
- Reports to management or regulatory agencies

Formality of Environmental Programs

The following types of individuals should be interviewed for this protocol area:

- *Personnel responsible for tracking DOE orders and relevant environmental regulations* to determine if the organization is up-to-date on new, proposed, and emerging regulatory issues;
- *Personnel responsible for the development and implementation of procedures and standards* to determine the level of congruence with DOE's conduct of operations policy;
- *Personnel responsible for conducting routine site walk-through inspections and following-up on inspection findings* to determine adequacy of the system; and
- *Personnel responsible for record-keeping and document control* to assess the document control system

The following types of documents should be reviewed:

- Policies and procedures relating to project and field office implementation of environmental requirements
- Environmental protection plans
- Standard operating procedures for the site
- Regulatory tracking protocols and procedures
- Inspection checklists and logs
- Examples of a variety of environmental records and reports, including incident and environmental performance reports

Internal and External Communication

The following types of individuals should be interviewed for this protocol area:

- *Personnel responsible for communication of environmental information* (e.g., goals, performance, policies, and procedures) to understand how formal and informal communication channels are used
- *Management and line staff* to determine extent to which environmental information is distributed within the organization
- *Important external stakeholders*, such as environmental groups, state and Federal environmental regulators, and DOE management to determine extent of communication with and knowledge of the facility

The following types of documents should be reviewed:

- Samples of the scope of environmental management reports
- Staff meeting minutes
- Internal newsletters which contain environmental information
- Forms and guidelines for internal anonymous reporting of environmental issues
- Documentation of information provided to and awareness programs for external stakeholders
- Press releases relating to environmental issues

Staff Resources, Training, and Development

The following types of individuals should be interviewed for this protocol area:

- *Personnel responsible for securing an adequate level of environmental staffing* to understand to assess the system to identify short- and long-term environmental staffing and resource requirements;
- A sample of *management and line staff at all levels* of the organization to determine if there is an adequate level of staffing for environmental functions and to determine the level of environmental training throughout the organization;
- *Training office personnel* to assess the training program and the process to evaluate and establish the organization's training needs; and
- *Career development office personnel* to identify career opportunities for environmental staff.

The following types of documents should be reviewed:

- Documented requests and justifications for additional staff with environmental responsibilities
- Hiring plans
- A sample of resumes for environmental and non-environmental staff who have environmental responsibilities
- Training program manuals
- Training records for a range of individuals within the organization, including environmental support personnel and their "line peers"
- Job descriptions and performance criteria for line management and operating personnel
- Individual professional development plans

Program Evaluation, Reporting, and Corrective Action

The following types of individuals should be interviewed for this protocol area:

- *Personnel responsible for conducting and/or managing the self-appraisal process* to assess the design of the process
- *Top management* to determine how self-appraisal information is used within the organization
- *A sample of managers and line staff responsible* for implementing corrective actions

The following types of documents should be reviewed:

- Formal descriptions of the oversight program or process, including responsibilities of key staff
- Self-appraisal program budget allocation
- Audit and appraisal reports
- Corrective action plans
- Documentation of follow-up activities for corrective actions
- Trend analysis and performance indicator reports

Environmental Planning and Risk Management

The following types of individuals should be interviewed for this protocol area:

- *Environmental planning personnel* to evaluate budgeting, priority-setting and allocation of resources activities
- *Staff with the budgeting office* to determine if environmental planning is integrated with other organizational planning functions (e.g., development of operating and capital budgets)
- *Risk management personnel* to evaluate the adequacy of systems to identify and minimize environmental hazards

The following types of documents should be reviewed:

- Short- and long-term business plans and strategic plans
- Formal risk management documents, such as readiness review plans or risk assessments
- Environmental risk tracking and trending reports