AIM

Association for Information and Image Management

1100 Wayne Avenue, Suite 1100
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
301/587-8202

Centimeter

Inches

MANUFACTURED TO AIM STANDARDS
BY APPLIED IMAGE, INC.

1 of 1

Title: MEASUREMENT OF THE THERMAL DIFFUSIVITY
AND SPEED OF SOUND OF HYDROTHERMAL
SOLUTIONS VIA THE LASER-INDUCED GRATING
TECHNIQUE

Author(s): Thomas J. Butenhoff, CST-4

Submitted to: Presentation at the Twelfth Symposium on
Thermophysical Properties, June 19-24
Boulder, CO

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

**Measurement of the Thermal Diffusivity and Speed of Sound of Hydrothermal
Solutions via the Laser-induced Grating Technique¹**

Thomas J. Butenhoff
Los Alamos National Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545 U.S.A.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

¹ Paper presented at the Twelfth Symposium on Thermophysical Properties, June 19-24, 1994, Boulder, Colorado, U.S.A.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

122
MASTER

ABSTRACT

We use the laser-induced grating technique to measure the thermal diffusivity and speed of sound of hydrothermal solutions. In this non-invasive optical technique, a transient grating is produced in the hydrothermal solution by optical absorption from two crossed time-coincident nanosecond laser pulses. The grating is probed by measuring the diffraction efficiency of a third laser beam. The grating relaxes via thermal diffusion, and the thermal diffusivity can be determined by measuring the decay of the grating diffraction efficiency as a function of the pump-probe delay time. In addition, intense pump pulses produce counterpropagating acoustic waves that appear as large undulations in the transient grating decay spectrum. The speed of sound in the sample is simply the grating fringe spacing divided by the undulation period. The cell is made from a commercial high pressure fitting and is equipped with two diamond windows for optical access. Results are presented for dilute dye/water solutions with $T = 400^{\circ}\text{C}$ and pressures between 20 and 70 MPa.

KEY WORDS: hydrothermal; laser-induced grating; speed of sound; thermal diffusivity; transient grating.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hydrothermal processing is being developed as a method for organic destruction for the Department of Energy site in Hanford, Washington. Hydrothermal processing refers to the redox reactions of chemical compounds in supercritical or near-critical aqueous solutions. The Hanford wastes were generated by decades of radionuclide processing; they contain radioactive components and include large concentrations of nitrates as well as organics. In order to design reactors for the hydrothermal treatment of such complicated mixtures, engineers need to know the thermophysical properties of the solutions under hydrothermal conditions. This paper demonstrates that a laser-induced grating technique is able to measure the thermal diffusivity of hydrothermal solutions. In addition, the same apparatus is used to measure the speed of sound in hydrothermal solutions.

The laser-induced grating experiment (also called transient grating or forced Rayleigh scattering experiment) can be described as follows [1]: Two heating laser pulses of the same wavelength λ_h are crossed at an angle θ in the sample; the interference of the two beams causes a sinusoidally modulated intensity in the overlap region with a fringe spacing Λ given by

$$\Lambda = \lambda_h / [2 \sin(\theta/2)]. \quad (1)$$

When the sample absorbs the pump light, the excited molecules quickly relax and deposit their energy to the bath molecules, which produces a spatial modulation in the temperature of the sample. This temperature modulation results in a spatial modulation of the index of refraction in the sample; this is a volume diffraction grating. The thermal grating is probed by Bragg diffraction of a third laser beam with a non absorbed wavelength λ_p which produces a coherent signal beam also with wavelength λ_p . Thermal diffusion causes the temperature grating to relax with time and the thermal diffusivity can be inferred from a measurement of the diffracted signal intensity as a function of the heating pulse-probe delay time. If (i) Λ is small compared to the sample absorption length, (ii) Λ is small compared to the sample

thickness, and (iii) Λ is small compared to the diameter of the heated area, then the assumption of one-dimensional heat flow is valid. Under these conditions, the diffracted signal intensity as a function of the heating pulse-probe delay time is described by [2,3]

$$S(t) = C \exp[-8\pi^2 D_{th} t / \Lambda^2], \quad (2)$$

where $S(t)$ is the time dependent diffracted signal, C is the maximum intensity, and D_{th} is the thermal diffusivity ($D_{th} = \lambda \rho^{-1} C_p^{-1}$, where λ is the thermal conductivity, ρ is the density and C_p is the isobaric heat capacity). In addition, if the pump pulses are short compared to the ratio of Λ to the speed of sound and intense enough, they can produce counterpropagating acoustic waves [4,5]. These acoustic waves appear as large undulations in the transient grating decay spectrum and the speed of sound in the sample can be determined from these signal undulations: $\omega_s = \Lambda/T$, where ω_s is the speed of sound and T is the signal oscillation period.

The advantages to using the laser-induced grating technique to measure thermal diffusivities and sonic velocities in hydrothermal solutions are [3] (i) it is a non-invasive contact free method, (ii) the temperature jump in the sample is small (< 0.1 K), (iii) the distance scale of the experiment is short (5 - 30 μm) so wall effects are minimal, and (iv) the influence of natural convection is negligible because of the short time frame of the experiment. (≤ 1 ms).

2. EXPERIMENTAL

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus. The optical cell can withstand pressures to 130 MPa and temperatures to 500°C. This cell is constructed from a commercial 316 stainless steel high-pressure "cross" fitting, machined to accept two diamond windows. The Type II-A diamonds are anvil-type windows with flat optical faces providing an aperture of 1 mm on the inside and 3 mm on the outside. The high pressure seal is aided by a gold gasket between the diamond and the cell wall and a spring washer is used to keep a

constant pressure between the diamond and the cell as the apparatus is temperature cycled. The two windows are used to pass the laser beams through the cell and have a 4 mm pathlength between the entrance and exit faces.

A 1.2×10^{-3} molal p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde (DMAB) in water solution was used in these experiments. DMAB was chosen as the dye because it dissolves in room temperature water, absorbs light at a convenient wavelength (355 nm), does not absorb at the probe wavelength (633 nm), and is stable under hydrothermal conditions. The sample solution is introduced into the optical cell with a standard HPLC solvent pump. High pressure fittings rated to 400 MPa at room temperature are used at all of the heated plumbing ports. Heat is supplied to the cell by four 175 W cartridge heaters embedded in a brass shell surrounding the stainless steel cell. A 10 cm section of both the inlet and outlet tubes to the cell are also heated. The heated section of the cell has dimensions of 0.2 cm id and 25 cm length, yielding a volume of 0.8 cm^3 . The fluid temperature is measured with a K-type thermocouple that has a stainless steel sheath. The thermocouple is in direct contact with the fluid about 0.2 cm above the optically viewed region and measures the temperature to $\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$. The temperature of the brass block is controlled and maintained with an Omega CN9000A temperature controller. Pressure is measured with a calibrated transducer.

The heating pulses ($\lambda_h = 354.7 \text{ nm}$, $\approx 100 \text{ } \mu\text{J/pulse}$, 6 ns pulse width, $\approx 0.6 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ bandwidth, 10 Hz) are produced from the third harmonic of a Nd:YAG laser. The beam is spatially filtered and split into two beams of equal energy, which are focused and overlapped at a small crossing angle ($\theta = 0.74^\circ$) in the sample at their beam waists (e^{-2} intensity radius = $430 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$). The probe beam is produced by a cw 1 mW HeNe laser and is focused (e^{-2} intensity radius = $280 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$) into the interaction volume of the sample at the Bragg angle. The probe beam is tipped out of the plane of the grating beams by $\approx 1^\circ$, which helps spatially separate the diffracted signal beam from the heating beams. The diffracted signal beam is spatially and spectrally filtered to reduce scattered laser light, detected by a PMT, amplified,

and sent to a digital oscilloscope (LeCroy 9310, 300 MHz BW, 1×10^8 samples/s) where data averaging takes place. Typically, 50 to 300 waveforms are averaged per experiment. The averaged waveform is then dumped to a personal computer for storage and data analysis.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2 shows the thermal grating decay for the 1.2×10^{-3} molal DMAB solution at 400°C and three different pressures; the measured fringe spacing is $\Lambda = 27.2 \pm 0.5 \mu\text{m}$. The collected waveforms typically had 1000 points, but for clarity, only a tenth of the points are plotted in Fig. 2. The decays were least-squares fit to single exponential to obtain a lifetime τ and the thermal diffusivity D_{th} through the relation

$$D_{\text{th}} = \Lambda^2 / (8\pi^2 \tau) . \quad (3)$$

The fit lifetimes had a 2σ uncertainty of $\leq 1\%$. Figure 3 compares the measured D_{th} with the calculated D_{th} from the equation of state for pure water [6] for the $T = 400^\circ\text{C}$ isotherm and pressures from 20 to 70 MPa. The agreement is reasonably good. Note that the uncertainty in D_{th} from the uncertainty in the exponential fit is smaller than the data point size, but there is a 4% systematic uncertainty in the measurements due to the 2% uncertainty in the measurement of the grating fringe spacing. Measurements were made on the DMAB solution diluted by a factor of 4, to see if the addition of the DMAB dye to water significantly changed the value of the thermal diffusivity. There were no systematic differences in the measured D_{th} between the two solutions, implying that the addition of a small amount of dye to water does not significantly change the thermal diffusivity.

The measured thermal diffusivities have an average absolute deviation of 3% from the calculated thermal diffusivities, though the data for pressures between 20 and 40 MPa appear to be systematically low and the measurements above 40 MPa appear to be systematically high. A study of systematic errors inherent in thermal diffusivity measurements obtained via the transient grating method is performed in Ref. [3]. They include experimental deviations

from the ideal conditions of the basic theory such as departures from one-dimensional heat conduction, heat loss to the walls, and using pump and probe beams with Gaussian intensity distributions instead of beams with infinitely sized uniform intensity distributions. In their analysis, by far the largest systematic error in this experiment is due to the Gaussian laser beams and causes the measured thermal diffusivities to be lower than the true thermal diffusivities by $\approx 1.8\%$. This correction would make better agreement between the measured and calculated values of D_{th} for pressures between 20 and 40 MPa, but would cause a larger disagreement at higher pressures. Further work needs to be performed to determine the cause of the systematic deviations.

Figure 4 shows the oscillations in the diffracted signal due to the counterpropagating acoustic waves; the grating spacing is $\Lambda = 27.2 \pm 0.5 \mu\text{m}$. Note that the time scale in Fig. 4 is 1000 times smaller than in Fig. 2. The data points are 10 ns apart, which is limited by the sampling rate of the digital oscilloscope. The decay of the acoustic signals is caused by the finite trains of counterpropagating acoustic waves moving across each other and eventually ceasing to spatially overlap each other. The speed of sound is determined from $\omega_s = \Lambda/T$, where T is the period of the signal oscillations. The speed of sound for water near the critical region has previously been measured by an acoustical interferometric technique by Erokhin and Kal'yanov [7,8]. Figure 5 compares the $T = 400^\circ\text{C}$ isotherm speed of sound measurements made here with the previous measurements [8] and with the speed of sound calculated from the equation of state for water [6]. The agreement between the current measurements and both the previous measurements and the calculated speed of sound is good. The agreement is well within the 2% systematic uncertainty in the current measurements due to the uncertainty in the measured grating fringe spacing.

There is a caveat about comparing these measured speed of sounds to the thermodynamic quantity. The thermodynamic values for the speed of sound is for a zero frequency, whereas these measurements are for frequencies ranging from 15 to 33 MHz (the

acoustic wavelength is the grating fringe spacing). However, Erokhin and Kal'yanov [7] have shown that the dispersion of the velocity of ultrasound is only significant near the critical point ($|T-T_c| < 1.5^\circ\text{C}$ and $|P-P_c| < 0.05\text{ MPa}$). Therefore, we believe that these measurements of the speed of sound can be considered equivalent to the thermodynamic quantity.

In summary, the transient grating method is a viable technique for measuring the thermal diffusivity and the speed of sound of hydrothermal solutions. The random errors in the measured D_{th} and velocity of sound are on average about 3% and 0.5%, respectively, which are smaller than the systematic uncertainties caused by the measured grating fringe spacing uncertainty. An improvement to be made in this experiment is making a better measurement of the heating beam crossing angle. Ref. [3] describes a technique using a charge coupled device image sensor that measures the beam crossing angle to 0.5%. This would correspond to a 0.5% uncertainty in the grating spacing, a 1% uncertainty in D_{th} measurements, and a 0.5% uncertainty in the speed of sound measurements.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was sponsored by the Air Force Civil Engineering Support Agency and the Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) program of the U.S. Department of Energy EM-30, Hanford Program Office. TJB thanks Dr. D.J. Funk for the loan of the digital oscilloscope and Dr. G.K. Anderson for the design of the high pressure and temperature optical cell.

REFERENCES

1. H.J. Eichler, P. Günter, and D.W. Pohl, *Laser-Induced Dynamic Gratings* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986).
2. H. Eichler, G. Salje, and H. Stahl, *J. Appl. Phys.* **44**: 5383 (1973).
3. Y. Nagasaka, T. Hatakeyama, M. Okuda, and A. Nagashima, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **59**: 1156 (1988).
4. J.R. Salcedo and A.E. Siegman, *IEEE J. Quant. Elect.* **QE-15**: 250 (1979).
5. K.A. Nelson, R.J. D. Miller, D.R. Lutz and M.D. Fayer, *J. Appl. Phys.* **53**: 1144 (1982).
6. L. Haar, J.S. Gallagher, and G.S. Kell, *NBS/NRC Steam Tables* (Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, Washington, D.C., 1984).
7. N.F. Erokhin and B.I. Kal'yanov, *High Temperature* **17**: 245 (1979).
8. N.F. Erokhin and B.I. Kal'yanov, *Thermal Engineering* **27**: 634 (1980).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the laser-induced grating apparatus.

Fig. 2. Typical diffracted light signals for the 1.2×10^{-3} molal DMAB in water solution for $T = 400^\circ\text{C}$ at three different pressures. The open circles are the data (only one tenth of the acquired points are shown for clarity), the line is the exponential fit with lifetime τ , and the open squares are ten times the residual of the fit and are offset by one tick mark for clarity.

Fig. 3. Comparison of the measured thermal diffusivities with the calculation from the equation of state for water [6]; $T = 400^\circ\text{C}$. The open and closed circles represent data obtained on different days. The random uncertainties in the pressure and thermal diffusivity measurements are smaller than the data points.

Fig. 4. Typical diffracted light signals caused by the acoustic waves: $T = 400^\circ\text{C}$ and the oscillatory periods are given.

Fig. 5. The speed of sound of water at $T = 400^\circ\text{C}$ near the critical density. The open circles are this work (the random errors are smaller than the points), the filled squares are the data from Ref. [8] for $T = 400.08^\circ\text{C}$, and the line is the calculation from the equation of state for water [6].

Fig 1

FIG. 2

Fig 3

Fig 4

FIG 5

DATE

FILMED

8 / 12 / 94

END