WISCONSIN

UC-426

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN • MADISON, WISCONSIN

PLASMA PHYSICS

A simple, high performance Thomson scattering diagnostic
for high temperature plasma research

D.J. Den Hartog and M. Cekić

DOE/ER/53198-235

February 1994

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed in this report, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.

Printed in the United States of America
Available from
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

NTIS Price codes

Printed copy: A03

Microfiche copy: A01

A simple, high performance Thomson scattering diagnostic for high temperature plasma research

D. J. Den Hartog and M. Cekić

University of Wisconsin-Madison, Department of Physics, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

Short title: **Thomson scattering diagnostic**

PACS numbers: 5270, 4278, 0765E

ABSTRACT

This Thomson scattering diagnostic is used to measure the electron temperature and density of the plasma in the MST reversed-field pinch, a magnetic confinement fusion research device. This diagnostic system is unique for its type in that it combines high performance with simple design and low cost components. In the design of this instrument, careful attention was given to the suppression of stray laser line light with simple and effective beam dumps, viewing dumps, apertures, and a holographic edge filter. This allows the use of a single grating monochromator for dispersion of the Thomson scattered spectrum onto the microchannel plate detector. Alignment and calibration procedures for the laser beam delivery system, the scattered light collection system, and the spectrometer and detector are described. A sample Thomson scattered spectrum illustrates typical data.

MASTER

1. INTRODUCTION

The Thomson scattering diagnostic described in this paper is used to measure the temperature (T_e) and density (n_e) of the electrons in the high temperature plasma produced in the MST reversed-field pinch [1], a magnetic confinement fusion research device. A Thomson scattering diagnostic is simple in concept and widely used [2, 3], but the difficulty in application arises because the cross section for Thomson scattering is very small. A further complication on MST is the fact that only a small aperture in the vacuum vessel is available for collection of Thomson scattered photons. This combination of small collection étendue and low electron density made the implementation of a Thomson scattering diagnostic on MST a difficult technical challenge. In practice, a typical shot of this diagnostic results in the recording of about 10^2 to 10^3 Thomson scattered photons out of the 10^{19} fired into the plasma by the Q-switched ruby laser. Successful operation of this system meant implementing the complementary goals of suppressing the collection of stray laser light and maximizing the collection of Thomson scattered photons. We have accomplished this on a limited budget by combining carefully designed low-cost optical and dispersive systems with carefully applied sophisticated optical elements such as a holographic edge filter.

A short introduction to the physics on which a Thomson scattering diagnostic is based will provide helpful background. In this application, the process of Thomson scattering refers to the scattering of laser-produced monochromatic photons by free electrons in a plasma. When a photon is Thomson-scattered, the wavelength of the photon is Doppler-shifted by an amount proportional to the velocity of the electron. A Maxwellian distribution of electron velocities (usually a valid assumption in fusion research devices) yields a Gaussian distribution of scattered photon wavelengths. This Gaussian distribution is centered on the laser wavelength. The density and temperature of the electrons are then, respectively, proportional to the area and width squared of the Gaussian distribution. For the specific example of our Thomson scattering diagnostic, a pulsed ruby laser is fired into the plasma and the scattered photons are counted in five channels, each collecting over a particular wavelength band. A data analysis procedure is then used to find the parent Gaussian distribution that is most likely to have given rise to the measured set of counts. Typical parameters in MST are $T_e = 200$ eV and $n_e = 1 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$.

2. APPARATUS

Our Thomson scattering diagnostic was not developed in isolation. We have tried to learn from others who have built such systems. At the same time, we have implemented some unique features (described in this section) which maximize the overall performance of our system without adding undue complexity or cost. The following description of apparatus is organized such that light is followed through the optical train, beginning with the laser, through the scattered light collection, and into the spectrometer and detector. Refer to figure 1 for an overview of the system.

2.1 Thomson scattering system hardware

2.1.1 *Laser cart and detector platform*

Most of the Thomson scattering equipment is mounted on a specially constructed portable aluminum cart. Notice that the cart and detector platform are a single mechanical structure; the laser system and detection system are coupled by this rigid structure. Neither the cart nor the detector platforms are directly coupled to the vacuum vessel. The cart is rolled up to the side of the vacuum vessel and fixed in place with leveling jacks mounted on neoprene damping pads. This aspect of portability and adaptability has proved to be important as MST has evolved.

The laser and most of the laser beam input optics are mounted on the top shelf of the cart. The lower shelves and floor of the cart hold the laser power supply, cooling system, and control unit. Both the cart and detector platform are covered by sets of easily-removable and light-tight aluminum panels. These panels enhance the safety of personnel working in the range of a high-power laser and they lower the amount of radio-frequency noise reaching the detector electronics.

2.1.2 *Laser and focusing optics*

The Q-switched ruby laser system was manufactured by JK Lasers (now Lumonics). The rods are linear flashlamp pumped, a configuration we have found to be reliable and easy to maintain. The system contains an oscillator rod and one amplifier rod; the beam is multimode because it is not spatially filtered. Q-switching is done with a Pockels cell. The straight-through configuration of the laser head allowed a HeNe

alignment laser to be mounted such that its beam enters the optical train behind the rear mirror of the ruby laser, thus assuring coincidence with the ruby laser beam. The parameters of the ruby laser are listed in table 1.

Wavelength	694.3 nm
Maximum output energy	10 J
Output beam diameter	16 mm
Pulse duration (FWHM)	40 ns
Polarization	Horizontal
Beam divergence	90% within 1.2 mR

Table 1. Parameters of the ruby laser.

As can be seen in figure 1, the ruby laser beam enters the vacuum vessel 22° below the horizontal split of the toroid. Most data on MST is taken with the laser beam focused such that the waist (the point from which the scattered light is collected) is at the estimated position of the plasma current axis. Occasionally we have taken data at other radial positions [4].

The laser beam, immediately after exiting the laser, passes through a 16 mm diameter aperture. This aperture removes stray and rapidly diverging light that might otherwise inadvertently bounce into the collection optics. The beam is then folded back into the reversed Galilean collimator by a kinematically mounted mirror. The collimator produces a scattering volume that is matched onto the entrance slit of the spectrometer by the collection optics. The collimator lenses are mounted such that the plane of each lens is slightly off perpendicular to the optical axis. This prevents potentially damaging back reflection of the beam into the laser. The position of each lens is x, y, and z adjustable.

The laser beam, once through the collimator, passes into the vacuum vessel through an input window. The input window is mounted on a valve and is 10° off perpendicular to the laser beam. All of the optical elements in the laser beam delivery system are UV grade fused silica and "V-line" anti-reflection coated to minimize reflection at the ruby laser wavelength. The optical surfaces are checked for dust daily and are cleaned with a puff from an air bulb. In addition, HEPA filtered air flows into the laser and beam optics

enclosure which is kept at a slight positive pressure in order to prevent dust migration into it.

2.1.3 Beam dump and stray light reduction

Only a small fraction of the photons in the laser beam are Thomson scattered into the collection optics. The rest of the photons must be dumped or they will overwhelm the rejection of the spectrometer and bury the Thomson scattered signal.

The most important element of the stray light reduction system is the laser beam dump, shown in figure 2. This dump is an improvement to the type installed on the TNT-A tokamak [5]. The ruby laser beam coming into the dump is horizontally polarized. It strikes the first set of absorptive blue and green filter glasses at Brewster's angle. The small reflected beam is absorbed by the second piece of filter glass and the razor blade dump. This design is extremely compact and robust, having survived thousands of high power shots without visible damage.

As the laser beam exits the vacuum vessel it passes through an aperture beam dump (figure 1) that picks off the widely diverging rays of the beam that are created by imperfections in the input optics. This dump consists of an annular opening through which the laser beam passes. The annulus is surrounded by closely spaced V-grooves cut into the aluminum substrate. The peaks of the grooves are sharp edges separated by about 1.6 mm, depth between the grooves is 3.2 mm. The exposed aluminum surfaces of this dump and the main beam dump are coated with a layer of black graphite dust applied in an isopropyl alcohol suspension.

The other important stray light reduction component is the viewing dump mounted opposite the collection lens in the vacuum vessel. The overwhelming source of stray light is simply laser line light that has diverged from the main beam path and ends up bouncing around inside the vacuum vessel. However, most of the stray light enters the collection optics by bouncing into the collection solid angle off the wall exactly opposite the collection port. The viewing dump covers this area and absorbs most of the light that hits it. The viewing dump itself is simply a set of commercial integrated circuit cooling blocks mounted on the wall of the vacuum vessel. The blocks are black anodized aluminum and consist of 4 mm high vertical fins spaced 3 mm apart. This novel viewing dump is close enough to the wall that it doesn't interact with the plasma, yet is cheap and fairly efficient.

2.1.4 Collection optics

The system of collection optics is shown in figure 1. The biconvex collection lens has a focal length of 200 mm and is mounted in a flange which is bolted to the boxport on MST. It is BK-7 glass and is anti-reflection coated (as are all optical elements in the collection system). The lens forms the vacuum seal and looks at the scattering volume through a 50 mm diameter hole in the vacuum vessel. (This is the aperture that limits the étendue of the collection optics to a rather small $0.19 \text{ mm}^2\text{-sr.}$) The collection lens focuses the $1.0 \times 32 \text{ mm}$ approximately cylindrical scattering volume onto the $0.5 \times 16 \text{ mm}$ entrance slit of the spectrometer. The light rays are matched onto the collimating mirror of the spectrometer by a field lens mounted just in front of the entrance slit. This field lens is a cylindrical lens of 150 mm focal length; the axis of the lens is perpendicular to entrance slit.

As shown in figure 1, eight collection lenses are mounted in the boxport flange. These look through eight 50 mm holes in the vacuum vessel and may be used to obtain radial profiles of electron temperature and density. With the presently available equipment, such scans have to be done position by position. This requires full realignment of the optics at each position and is very tedious.

There are some other important elements in the collection system. The polarizer is Corning Polarcor, which has better transmission above 700 nm than the typical plastic dichroic sheet. It transmits the horizontally polarized Thomson scattered light and blocks half the randomly polarized plasma background light (which in MST is mostly bremsstrahlung radiation and usually is a small fraction of the Thomson scattered signal). The Schott RG-645 color filter passes wavelengths longer than 690 nm; only the long wavelength side of the Thomson scattered spectrum is recorded. The short wavelength side of the spectrum is rejected because it contains the hydrogen alpha line at 656 nm.

In addition to the color glass filter, which has a slowly changing transmission function, we have installed a holographic edge filter to reject the ruby laser line. These filters were developed initially by Physical Optics Corporation for use in Raman spectroscopy, an application which (like Thomson scattering) requires separation of laser line light from spectral features wavelength shifted only a few nanometers from the laser line. Our filter, as manufactured for perpendicularly incident light, features a blocking

optical density (OD) of 5 or better at ≤ 698 nm and a narrow transition region leading to $\geq 70\%$ transmission at ≥ 709 nm. We tilt this filter to move the transition region closer to the ruby laser wavelength of 694 nm. This filter in combination with our single grating spectrometer provides sufficient rejection of the ruby laser line wavelength that no such "background" photons are counted by the detector during normal operation of the diagnostic. Such an achievement normally requires the rejection of an expensive double or triple grating spectrometer.

2.1.5 Alignment of optical system

The key to a functional Thomson scattering diagnostic is successful alignment of the beam and collection optical systems. An overview of the procedure we use follows.

- a) Insure that the HeNe alignment laser beam follows the ruby laser beam. This is done by placing a piece of laser burn paper (such as made by Kentek) in the path of the laser beam, firing the ruby laser, and then adjusting the HeNe laser as necessary.
- b) Insert the exit alignment target (figure 1). This is a small block of aluminum cut at 45° to the laser beam. The alignment laser can be viewed on this target screen through a window (the entire beamline is under vacuum). The beam optics can now be adjusted to bring the beam through the vacuum vessel and into the beam dump.
- c) Now the collection optics may be aligned. The alignment target that is inserted is not shown in figure 1. It is normally retracted to a position just to the side of the laser entrance window. The target itself is simply a small block of aluminium. It is mounted on a rod that, when inserted into the vacuum vessel, travels nearly parallel to the path of the ruby laser beam. The face of the target, when inserted to the center scattering volume, is 45° off perpendicular to both the laser beam axis and the collection optics axis.
- d) Check that the alignment laser beam is correctly focused onto the target. Adjust the collection optics such that this spot is imaged onto the entrance slit of the spectrometer. Final adjustments can be made by firing the ruby laser into a plasma and maximizing the Thomson scattered signal that is collected.

Our Thomson scattering system has proven to be mechanically stable and will remain aligned for periods of about a month.

2.1.6 Spectrometer and detector

The spectrometer (figure 1) is a modified Jarrell-Ash MonoSpec 27 monochromator. It is of cross Czerny-Turner design and has a 275 mm focal length. The throughput is effectively $f/4.4$ in the wavelength range of the Thomson scattered light. This matches the $f/4.4$ of the collection optical system. This spectrometer has a triple grating turret which allows for quick grating changes. A 1200 g/mm classically ruled grating with a blaze wavelength of 500 nm is most often used on MST; it provides dispersion appropriate to measure electron temperatures from 50 to 500 eV. The other two gratings are 600 and 1800 g/mm for higher and lower temperatures.

The detector at the exit plane of the spectrometer is an ITT F4149 microchannel plate (MCP) photomultiplier tube (PMT) with an multi-alkali photocathode and a 10 x 10 pin anode array. (The Thomson scattering system on the Torus II tokamak used this same detector [6].) The photocathode of the MCP PMT is coincident with the exit plane of the spectrometer. (The perimeter of the photocathode window is carefully masked with a flat black aperture to increase rejection of the ruby laser line.) The photoelectrons from the photocathode cascade down the microchannel plates (this is where the electron gain occurs) and are collected at the output anode array. (We have examined this process in detail in the context of its impact upon the uncertainties in the derived quantities [7].) The 10 x 10 anode array on the MCP PMT is wired such that it consists of one vertical row of ten anode pins, three vertical rows of twenty anode pins each, and one vertical row of thirty anode pins. The Thomson scattered spectrum is recorded by these five bands of pins (each band is a wavelength channel). The narrow channel (one row of pins) is closest to the laser line, the wide channel (three rows of pins) is furthest from the laser line. Computer simulations of various band configurations [8] suggested that the one chosen leads to the most robust reconstruction of the Gaussian-shaped scattered spectrum. Each channel collects a roughly equivalent number of photons, insuring that each section of the spectrum is well defined. The measured instrument function of the spectrometer and a sample Thomson scattered spectrum will be shown and discussed in sections 2.2 and 2.4.

The MCP PMT is mounted in a brass electrostatic shield which is electrically isolated from the spectrometer. The MCP PMT is powered by a gateable power supply similar to the one used in the Thomson scattering system on the Tara tandem mirror [9]. This power supply performs a number of functions. It provides the high voltage for the MCP assembly. This high voltage is continuously on while the diagnostic is in operation. The photocathode is normally biased 33 volts positive with respect to the entrance of the microchannel plates; this rejects light from the plasma that would saturate the MCP. The MCP PMT is gated on by swinging the photocathode 133 volts negative with respect to the entrance of the MCP. The gateable power supply does this in less than 100 ns, although the MCP PMT requires a few microseconds to stabilize after being gated on. Finally, the power supply also provides the 100 volts necessary between the exit of the microchannel plates and anode pins. The anode pins are at a virtual ground through 50 ohms.

2.1.7 Data acquisition system

The equipment and interconnections in the data acquisition system are shown in figure 3. This is a complex system; a timeline detailing the progression of pulses and data through the system is shown in figure 4. The explanation below will follow this same chronological progression.

The main timing panel module provides the initial trigger pulse. This module is part of the sequence of pulsers that control all MST operations. The module delivers a pulse to the laser 1.25 ms before the laser actually fires. During this time interval the flashlamps pump the ruby rods. When the rods have reached a population inversion, the internal timing circuitry of the laser produces a pulse that opens the Pockels cell. This produces a Q-switched 40 ns FWHM pulse of light which proceeds to travel through the optical train. The laser timing circuitry also produces a Pockels cell "sync" pulse with a leading edge $\sim 4.9 \mu\text{s}$ before the laser light pulse. This leading edge triggers the MCP PMT gate section of the Thomson scattering "timing box." After a delay of $2.8 \mu\text{s}$, this box produces a $2.5 \mu\text{s}$ MCP PMT photocathode gate pulse. This gate pulse travels to the MCP gateable power supply which gates the MCP PMT on as described in the previous section. The gain of the MCP PMT is stable about $2 \mu\text{s}$ after it is gated on.

The above sequence of gate pulses happens on a relatively slow time scale. A much faster timing sequence is required to control the LeCroy 2250L digitizer that records both

the Thomson scattering data and the plasma background light. This sequence begins at the fast photodiode output of the ruby laser. The laser optical train contains a picosecond rise time photodiode that follows the shape of the laser light pulse. The photodiode pulse triggers the digitizer gate section of the Thomson scattering timing box. This produces a 100 ns wide digitizer gate that begins before the pulse of Thomson scattered data reaches the digitizer. Initial synchronization of these events was done empirically by cutting RG-58 coaxial cable to a length that provided the appropriate delay.

The data from a spectrometer wavelength channel reaches the digitizer in the following manner. The Thomson scattered photons which reach the MCP PMT produce a pulse of current which follows the timeshape of the laser pulse. This current pulse flows into the 50 ohm input of an amplifier. The amplifier drives the pulse through about 9 meters of coaxial cable to a 50 MHz low pass filter on the input of the time-delay box. This filter removes the 80 MHz Pockels cell switching noise that the MCP PMT picks up. (An MCP PMT is a very effective noise antenna.) For the moment, ignore the delay lines. The current pulse moves from the filter to the digitizer which integrates the current pulse and produces a number of counts proportional to the amount of charge that has flowed into its input. The gain of the MCP PMT and amplifiers has been adjusted so that one photon is approximately 2/3 of a digitizer count [7].

Return to the delay lines that follows the 50 MHz filter. The 125 ns delay line is a coil of 25 meters of RG-58 coaxial cable, the 250 ns delay twice that. The actual Thomson scattered signal passes through the 125 ns delay. The plasma background light which precedes and follows the pulse of Thomson scattered photons passes through the 250 ns delay and no delay respectively. This allows the average of the plasma background light before and after the Thomson scattered signal to be digitized concurrently with the Thomson scattered signal. The signal remaining after subtraction is the Thomson scattered light. Reduction of the raw Thomson scattering data for the derived quantities T_e and n_e and their uncertainties has been described elsewhere [7].

2.1.8 Sawtooth synchronization

One of the most interesting phenomena in MST plasmas are the sudden bursts of dynamo activity similar to sawtooth events in tokamaks. The period of these dynamo events is typically 2 ms and each event is similar. Measurement of the variation of T_e and n_e

over the period of the sawtooth event is crucial to the elucidation of the physical mechanism that governs these events. In order to perform this measurement with our single-shot Thomson scattering diagnostic, we developed a trigger and timing circuit which allowed precise control of laser firing time in relation to the phase of a dynamo event. A series of nominally identical plasma discharges is then all that is needed to map out the variation of T_e and n_e .

In normal operation, the laser firing time is controlled by the main timing panel (figure 3) which fires the laser at a preset time during the plasma discharge. Feedback control of the firing time is done by linking it to the sawtooth modulations of the current in the MST toroidal field windings. In this mode of operation the laser trigger originates in the pulse-shaping and delay network (figure 5) coupled by a Rogowski coil to the current in the toroidal field windings. The integrator gate is preset to block the fast-varying signal during the plasma formation phase. The time constants of the RC filter and the integrator are chosen such that the intermediate frequency and amplitude sawtooth events are separated from the high frequency, low amplitude noise. The actual laser firing time (and thus the phase of the dynamo event at which data is taken) is set by the variable delay unit.

2.2 Spectrometer calibration

Accurate Thomson scattering measurements require a calibrated spectrometer. Basic calibration includes measurement of the center and width of the wavelength channels and relative (channel-to-channel) sensitivity. In following section we describe a self-consistent set of calibration and data reduction procedures which have markedly evolved since we begin operation of this diagnostic over five years ago.

2.2.1 Instrument function

One of the most important characteristics of the spectrometer-detector system is the instrument function which is defined as the response (in digitizer counts) of each of five detector channels versus the wavelength (in nanometers) of the incident monochromatic light. The instrument function is characterized by the central wavelength λ_c and the full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) $\Delta\lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of each channel.

Calibration should be done under conditions as similar as possible to that of the actual experiment. Therefore, we measure the instrument function using a special LED pulser which approximates the intensity and pulse shape of the plasma-scattered laser light. A narrow 1.3 nm band, centered at 708.0 nm, is cut by an interference filter out of a 30 ns pulse of light produced by a column of Hewlett-Packard HEMT-6000 LED's. This light is focused through the color glass filter and the polarizer (figure 1) to fill the spectrometer entrance slit. The Raman edge filter is removed for this measurement and calibrated separately in a spectrophotometer. The LED pulser produces electronic synchronization pulses similar to those produced by the laser timing system described in section 2.1.7, thus insuring compatibility with the data acquisition system.

The instrument function shown in figure 6 was obtained by scanning the LED pulser light over the microchannel plate detector by rotating the spectrometer grating. The data points shown represent sixty-four 30 ns light pulses. The wavelength scale shown in the figure has been adjusted to correspond to the setup used during acquisition of actual Thomson scattering data. The response curve of each individual channel is roughly trapezoidal. To a good approximation the width of each channel is equal to the FWHM of each trapezoid and the channel central wavelength is the center of the trapezoid. The slight asymmetry in the shape of channels (most obvious on channel 5 between 740 and 750 nm) is probably caused by a minor misalignment between the exit focal plane and the photocathode of the MCP PMT. It is neglected in the above approximation, but explicitly accounted for in the absolute Raman calibration described below.

2.2.2 *Detection efficiency*

Measurement of the relative sensitivity of each detector channel is done with a calibrated quartz-halogen tungsten light source. This standard source is powered by a stable DC current supply and has a known spectral irradiance.

The efficiency of each detector channel i can be quantified in terms of conversion factors C_i defined by

$$P_i = C_i D_i \quad (1)$$

where P_i is the effective number of photoelectrons at the detector photocathode and D_i is the corresponding number of digitizer counts. Fortunately, it is not necessary to measure

P_i directly. Instead, we use the fact that repeated measurements of the intensity of a stable light source will be distributed in a Poisson statistical distribution [7, 10]. Conversion factors C_i are then simply given by

$$C_i = D_i / (\sigma_{n-1})^2 \quad (2)$$

where σ_{n-1} is the standard deviation of a set of D_i measurements. Actual calibration is done by patiently recording a set of ~ 8000 D_i measurements, illuminating the spectrometer-detector system exactly as it is configured in plasma experiments.

2.3 Absolute electron density measurements

In addition to the procedures described above, measurement of the plasma electron number density necessitates absolute calibration of the transmission efficiency of the entire scattered light collection and detection apparatus. This calibration is much more demanding than the prerequisite spectrometer calibration (section 2.2) and is accomplished via a Raman scattering procedure.

The electron number density n_e can be expressed in terms of normalized 90° Thomson scattering spectrum $S_T(\lambda_s)$ (the Gaussian fit of raw data)[2, 3, 11] by

$$n_e = \frac{\int_0^\infty S_T(\lambda_s) d\lambda_s}{N_I \sigma_T L \Delta\Omega \eta T} \quad (3)$$

where σ_T is the differential 90° Thomson scattering cross section, N_I is the number of incident photons, L is the length of the scattering volume, $\Delta\Omega$ is the observation solid angle, η is the quantum efficiency of the detector, and T is the transmission of the scattered light collection and detection system.

All parameters in equation (3) can, in principle, be measured or calculate *ab initio*. In practice, this is difficult to do with the required accuracy. The solution is to measure T via comparison with a different scattering process in which the number density of scatterers is known. A fundamental requirement is that these scattering measurements be compatible with the laser and detection system as optimized for plasma measurements. Rayleigh scattering of laser light from a gas of known pressure, frequently utilized for

absolute calibration [11, 12, 13], is an unacceptable choice for the MST Thomson diagnostic because of the holographic edge filter suppresses the unshifted 693.4 nm ruby laser light.

In contrast, a calibration technique based on rotational Raman scattering [11, 14, 15, 16] is not limited by such a difficulty. When a photon is Raman scattered, its wavelength is shifted by an amount proportional to the change in the energy of the rotational or vibrational quantum state of the scattering molecule. By choosing an appropriate gas (N_2 , H_2 , D_2 or SF_6) as a scattering medium one can achieve a partial overlap of the wavelength ranges of Thomson and Raman scattering. Then, for a single channel i of the spectrometer, the Raman analog of equation (3) is

$$n_{gas} = \frac{C_i S_{ri}}{N_i R_i K_i} \quad (4)$$

where n_{gas} is the number density of light-scattering gas molecules, C_i is the sensitivity constant, S_{ri} is the number of raw data counts, N_i number of incident photons (proportional to laser energy), R_i is a calculated factor [11, 17] corresponding to a scattering cross-section, and $K_i = L \Delta\Omega \eta T$ is the geometric calibration factor identical to one in equation (3). In principle, the calibration can be done in any wavelength channel. In practice, the small Raman scattering cross section and the small energy shift between rotational states usually favors the channel closest to the laser wavelength.

A problem arises during the above procedure because all molecular gases produce Rayleigh scattered light. Its contribution is strongly reduced by the rejection of the spectrometer and holographic edge filter. But, because the Rayleigh scattering cross section is approximately 10^4 time larger than the effective Raman cross section, the leakage of Rayleigh scattered light into the channel being used for Raman calibration has to be taken in the account. Ignoring this factor would lead to a systematic error in electron density measurement of about 20% for the MST diagnostic.

The amount of Rayleigh scattered light that "leaks through" the rejection of the spectrometer can be easily measured by scattering laser light from a known density of a monatomic gas (which does not Raman-scatter light). About 10^4 Pa of argon works well; at higher pressures the gas may break down at the focus of the laser where the electric field is large. Knowledge of the Rayleigh cross sections of argon and the gas selected for the

Raman calibration procedure allows the Rayleigh contribution to be subtracted from the counts recorded during Raman calibration.

2.4 Sample result

One example of MST Thomson scattering data is shown in figure 7. The raw data shown have been scaled by relative channel sensitivity and the digitizer pedestal and plasma background light have been subtracted. The Gaussian fit to the data is shown as a solid line (a description of the fitting algorithm we have developed is in reference [7]). This data is representative of a typical MST discharge; plasma current was 340 kA and chord-average electron density was $0.85 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The central electron density of $1.29 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ is as expected from the parabolic density profile typically seen in MST. The central electron temperature is consistent with soft x-ray spectrum measurements taken with a Si(Li) detector.

3. SUMMARY

The MST Thomson scattering diagnostic is operated routinely to make single-point measurement of electron temperature and density. The system was designed to be relatively simple, reliable, and low-cost. These goals were achieved by paying careful attention to the suppression of scattered laser line light which in turn allows for the successful use of a single grating spectrometer. The entire system is integrated into a compact rigid supporting structure which is easily accessible for maintenance and alignment. Finally, this diagnostic is operated and maintained by one person, an important advantage in a small research group such as that on MST.

4. FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

Preliminary design work is being done for a multi-point, multi-shot Thomson scattering diagnostic capable of radially resolving high frequency fluctuations in T_e and n_e . The heart of this system will be a multi-pulse Q-switched ruby laser capable of producing up to twenty 1 J pulses, each pulse separated by 5 to 50 μs . The low divergence laser beam will multi-passed through the plasma for 20 or more passes in order to increase the number of Thomson scattered photons [18]. The spectrometer we plan to use was custom built for the Thomson scattering system on the ZT-40M RFP. It is $f/2$ to match the fiber

optic input and has very large étendue combined with good rejection of the ruby laser line. This system should provide the capability to measure the radial evolution of T_e and n_e during a single sawtooth event to accuracies of a few percent.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have contributed to the design, construction, and operation of this diagnostic, including R. Dexter, S. Hokin, D. Holly, K. Melzacki, J. Sarff, M. Thomas, and the helpful craftsmen of the University of Wisconsin Physics Department Student and Instrument Shops.

This work is supported by the U. S. Department of Energy.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dexter R N, Kerst D W, Lovell T W, Prager S C and Sprott J C 1991 The Madison Symmetric Torus *Fusion Technology* **19** 131
- [2] Sheffield J 1975 *Plasma Scattering of Electromagnetic Radiation* (New York: Academic) p 46
- [3] Hutchinson I H 1987 *Principles of plasma diagnostics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University) p 239
- [4] Cekić M, Den Hartog D J, Fiksel G, Hokin S A, Holly D J, Prager S C and Watts C 1992 Energy Confinement Studies and Profile Investigations of the MST Plasmas *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **37** 1607 (abstract only)
- [5] Nagayama Y, Sakuma K and Toyama H 1982 Thomson Scattering Measurements in Non-Circular Tokamak TNT-A *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **21** 1056
- [6] Levinton F M and Navratil G A 1983 Multipoint Thomson scattering *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **54** 35
- [7] Den Hartog D J and Ruppert D E 1994 Photon counting spectroscopy as done with a Thomson scattering diagnostic, submitted to *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*
- [8] Den Hartog D J and Dexter R N 1987 Thomson scattering in the Poloidal Divertor RFP at Wisconsin *University of Wisconsin—Madison Plasma Physics* PLP 1023 (unpublished report)
- [9] Casey J A and Irby J H 1986 Thomson scattering in the Tara tandem mirror central cell *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **57** 1804

- [10] Hart G W, Levinton F M and McNeill D H 1986 Study of the effects of photoelectron statistics on Thomson scattering data *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **57** 2218

- [11] McCool S C 1982 Thomson scattering on the Pretext Tokamak, PhD thesis, University of Texas at Austin
 McCool S C, McCool I L, Bengtson R D and Phillips P E 1981 Calibration of Thomson scattering density measurements *University of Texas at Austin Fusion Research Center FRCR #234* (unpublished report)

- [12] Rudder R R and Bach D R 1968 Rayleigh Scattering of Ruby-Laser Light by Neutral Gases *J. Optical Soc. America* **58** 1260

- [13] DeSilva A W and Goldenbaum G C 1970 *Methods of Experimental Physics* Vol. 9A ed H R Griem and R H Lovberg (New York: Academic) p 61

- [14] Röhr H 1977 Raman scattering - a possibility of calibrating laser scattering devices *Phys. Lett. A* **60** 185
 Röhr H 1981 Rotational Raman scattering of hydrogen and deuterium for calibrating Thomson scattering devices *Phys. Lett. A* **81** 451

- [15] Howard J, James B W and Smith W I B 1979 Rotational Raman calibration of Thomson scattering *J. Phys. D* **12** 1435

- [16] Bogomolov G D and Letunov A A 1979 Amplitude calibration of system for laser plasma diagnostics by rotational Raman scattering in hydrogen and deuterium *Sov. J. Plasma Phys.* **5** 774

- [17] Penney C M, St. Peters R L and Lapp M 1974 Absolute rotational Raman cross section for N₂, O₂, and CO₂ *J. Optical Soc. America* **64** 712

- [18] Akatova T Yu, Bulyginsky D G, Goncharov S G, Gusev V K, Ilyin V S, Kantor M Yu, Razdobarin G T and Shilnikov A N 1985 The multipass Thomson scattering system for plasma diagnostics in the FT-1 tokamak *Physical-Technical Institute of the Academy of Science USSR (Ioffe Institute)* preprint 956 (English abstract, Russian text).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. A side view of the Thomson scattering system on the MST RFP. Lenses are not to scale.

Figure 2. The ruby laser beam dump. The arrows perpendicular to the laser beam indicate horizontal polarization.

Figure 3. The data acquisition system.

Figure 4. The sequence of events during a data acquisition shot.

Figure 5. Laser trigger circuit for sawtooth synchronization.

Figure 6. The instrument function of the spectrometer.

Figure 7. A spectrum from a typical shot of the MST Thomson scattering diagnostic.

Figure 1

A side view of the Thomson scattering system on the MST RFP.
Lenses are not to scale.

Figure 2

The ruby laser beam dump.
The arrows perpendicular to the laser beam indicate horizontal polarization.

Figure 3

The data acquisition system.

Figure 4

The sequence of events during a data acquisition shot.

Figure 5

Laser trigger circuit for sawtooth synchronization.

Figure 6

The instrument function of the spectrometer.

Figure 7

A spectrum from a typical shot of the MST Thomson scattering diagnostic.

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO UC-20

S.N. Rasband, Brigham Young University
T. Dolan, EG&G Idaho, Inc.
R.A. Moyer, General Atomics
J.B. Taylor, Institute for Fusion Studies, The University of Texas at Austin
E. Uchimoto, University of Montana
F.W. Perkins, PPPL
O. Ishihara, Texas Technical University
M.A. Abdou, University of California, Los Angeles
R.W. Conn, University of California, Los Angeles
P.E. Vandenplas, Association Euratom-Etat Belge, Belgium
Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Brazil
P. Sakanaka, Institute de Física-Unicamp, Brazil
Mme. Monique Bex, GANIL, France
J. Radet, CEN/CADARACHE, France
University of Ioannina, Greece
S. Ortolani, Istituto Gas Ionizzati, EURATOM-ENEA-CNR Association, Italy
R. Andreani, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA sulla Fusione, Italy
Plasma section, Energy Fundamentals Division Electrotechnical Laboratory, Japan
Y. Kondoh, Gunma University, Kiryu, Gunma, Japan
H. Toyama, University of Tokyo, Japan
Z. Ypsjoda, University of Tokyo, Japan
FOM-Instituut voor Plasmafysica "Rijnhuizen," The Netherlands
Z. Ning, Academia Sinica, Peoples Republic of China
P. Yang, Shandong University, Peoples Republic of China
S. Zhu, University of Science & Technology of China, People's Republic of China
I.N. Bogatu, Institute of Atomic Physics, Romania
M.J. Alport, University of Natal, Durban, South Africa
R. Storer, The Flinders University of South Australia, South Australia
B. Lehnert, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
Librarian, CRPP, Ecole Polytechnique Federale de Lausanne, Switzerland
B. Alper, Culham Laboratory, UK
A. Newton, UK

2 for Chicago Operations Office
5 for individuals in Washington Offices

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO UC-20
80 for local group and file

DATE

FILMED

5/12/94

END