Quality Assurance Project Plan for Radioactive Airborne Emissions Data Compilation and Reporting

S. A. Burris
S. P. Thomas

Date Published
February 1994

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Environmental Restoration and
Waste Management

**Westinghouse
Hanford Company**

P.O. Box 1970
Richland, Washington 99352

Hanford Operations and Engineering Contractor for the
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-87RL10930

Approved for Public Release

MASTER

ds
DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Date Received: <u>1/18/94</u>	INFORMATION RELEASE REQUEST	Reference: WHC-CM-3-4
Complete for all Types of Release		
Purpose ☐ Speech or Presentation ☐ Full Paper (Check only one suffix) ☐ Summary ☐ Abstract ☐ Visual Aid ☐ Speakers Bureau ☐ Poster Session ☐ Videotape		☐ Reference ☐ Technical Report ☐ Thesis or Dissertation ☒ Manual ☐ Brochure/Flier ☐ Software/Database ☐ Controlled Document ☐ Other
		ID Number (include revision, volume, etc.) WHC-EP-0528-1 List attachments. Date Release Required 1/31/94
Title Quality Assurance Project Plan for Radioactive Airborne Emissions Data Compilation and Reporting		Unclassified Category Impact Level
New or novel (patentable) subject matter? ☒ No ☐ Yes If "Yes", has disclosure been submitted by WHC or other company? ☐ No ☐ Yes Disclosure No(s).		Information received from others in confidence, such as proprietary data, trade secrets, and/or inventions? ☒ No ☐ Yes (Identify)
Copyrights? ☒ No ☐ Yes If "Yes", has written permission been granted? ☐ No ☐ Yes (Attach Permission)		Trademarks? ☒ No ☐ Yes (Identify)
Complete for Speech or Presentation		
Title of Conference or Meeting		Group or Society Sponsoring
Date(s) of Conference or Meeting	City/State	Will proceedings be published? ☐ Yes ☐ No Will material be handed out? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Title of Journal		
CHECKLIST FOR SIGNATORIES		
Review Required per WHC-CM-3-4	Yes No	Reviewer - Signature Indicates Approval
		Name (printed) Signature Date
Classification/Unclassified Controlled	☐ ☒	
Nuclear Information	☐ ☒	Per OGC memo dated 2-4-93
Patent - General Counsel	☒ ☐	Per OGC memo dated 2-4-93
Legal - General Counsel	☒ ☐	
Applied Technology/Export Controlled	☐ ☒	
Information or International Program	☐ ☒	
WHC Program/Project	☒ ☐	L. P. Diediker <i>[Signature]</i> 1-17-94
Communications	☐ ☒	
RL Program/Project	☒ ☐	S. D. Stites <i>[Signature]</i> 1-25-94
Publication Services	☒ ☐	Km. Liikala <i>[Signature]</i> 2-7-94
Other Program/Project	☐ ☒	
Information conforms to all applicable requirements. The above information is certified to be correct.		
References Available to Intended Audience ☒ ☐ Transmit to DOE-HQ/Office of Scientific and Technical Information ☒ ☐ Author/Requestor (Printed/Signature) Date S. A. Burris <i>[Signature]</i> 1/17/94		INFORMATION RELEASE ADMINISTRATION APPROVAL STAMP Stamp is required before release. Release is contingent upon resolution of mandatory comments.
Intended Audience ☒ Internal ☐ Sponsor ☒ External Responsible Manager (Printed/Signature) Date L. P. Diediker <i>[Signature]</i> 1-17-94		Date Cancelled Date Disapproved

TITLE EFFLUENT EMISSION MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN FOR
RADIOACTIVE AIRBORNE EMISSIONS DATA

Prepared by: 1-13-94
S. P. Thomas
Advanced Engineer Date

Peer Review by: 1-13-94
S. A. Burris
Engineer Date

QA Review by: 1/13/94
D. D. Volkman, Manager
Environmental Management Systems Integration Date

Approved by: 1-13-94
L. P. Diediker, Manager
Effluent Emission Monitoring Date

Approved by: 1-13-94
B. G. Erlandson, Manager
Regulatory Field Support Date

**EFFLUENT EMISSION MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN
FOR RADIOACTIVE AIRBORNE EMISSIONS DATA**

ABSTRACT

This Quality Assurance Project Plan addresses the quality assurance requirements for compiling data from radioactive airborne emissions. These data will be reported to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Department of Energy, and the Washington State Department of Health. Hanford Site radioactive airborne emissions are reported to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in compliance with Title 40, Protection of the Environment, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61, "National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants," Subpart H, "National Emissions Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides Other Than Radon From Department of Energy Facilities" (EPA 1989a). Reporting to U.S. Department of Energy is performed in compliance with requirements of U.S. Department of Energy Order 5400.1, General Environmental Protection Program (DOE 1988a).

Effluent Emission Monitoring performs compliance assessments on radioactive airborne sampling and monitoring systems in accordance with the Environmental Compliance Manual, WHC-CM-7-5, (WHC 1993d). This Quality Assurance Project Plan is prepared in compliance with the Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans, QAMS-005/80 (EPA 1983), and "Quality Assurance Methods," 40 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61, Appendix B, Method 114 (EPA 1989a).

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
2.1	GENERAL OBJECTIVES	2-1
2.2	EFFLUENT EMISSION MONITORING RADIOACTIVE AIRBORNE EMISSIONS ACTIVITIES	2-2
2.3	APPLICABILITY TO WESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	2-2
2.4	MINIMUM QUALITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	2-3
3.0	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES	3-1
3.1	PROJECT MANAGEMENT	3-1
3.1.1	Regulatory Field Support/Effluent Emission Monitoring	3-1
3.1.2	Effluent Emission Monitoring Data Management.	3-1
3.1.3	Effluent Emission Monitoring Reporting	3-2
3.2	SUPPORTING ORGANIZATIONS	3-2
3.2.1	Westinghouse Hanford Health Physics Personnel	3-2
3.2.2	Westinghouse Hanford Environmental Management Systems Integration	3-3
3.2.3	Westinghouse Hanford Environmental Compliance Assurance	3-3
3.2.4	Westinghouse Hanford Ventilation and Balance	3-3
3.2.5	Westinghouse Hanford Facility Cognizant Engineers	3-3
3.2.6	Westinghouse Hanford Process Analytical Laboratory	3-3
3.2.7	Pacific Northwest Laboratory Health Physics	3-4
3.2.8	Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Laboratory Safety Department, Environmental Compliance Section	3-4
4.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE OBJECTIVES FOR MEASUREMENTS	4-1
4.1	ANALYTES OF INTEREST AND ANALYTICAL METHOD SELECTION	4-1
4.2	REPRESENTATIVENESS, COMPLETENESS, AND COMPARABILITY	4-2
5.0	SAMPLING PROCEDURES	5-1
5.1	SAMPLING PROCEDURES	5-1
5.2	SUPPORTING PROCEDURES	5-1
5.3	PROCEDURE APPROVALS AND CONTROL	5-1
5.4	PROCEDURE ADDITIONS AND CHANGES	5-1
5.5	SAMPLING SCHEDULE	5-1
5.6	SAMPLING SYSTEM DESIGN	5-2
6.0	SAMPLE CUSTODY	6-1
7.0	CALIBRATION PROCEDURES	7-1
8.0	ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	8-1

CONTENTS (continued)

9.0	MONITORING AND REPORTING CRITERIA	9-1
10.0	DATA REDUCTION, VERIFICATION AND REPORTING	10-1
10.1	DATA REDUCTION	10-1
10.2	DATA VERIFICATION	10-2
10.3	DATA REPORTING	10-2
	10.3.1 Effluent Information System/Onsite Discharge Information System Report	10-3
	10.3.2 Hanford Site Environmental Report	10-3
	10.3.3 Air Emissions Report	10-3
	10.3.4 Environmental Release Report	10-3
11.0	INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL	11-1
12.0	PERFORMANCE AND SYSTEM AUDITS	12-1
13.0	DATA ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES	13-1
14.0	CORRECTIVE ACTION	14-1
15.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORTS	15-1
16.0	GLOSSARY	16-1
17.0	REFERENCES	17-1

LIST OF TABLES

3-1 Organizational Responsibilities for Radioactive Airborne Emissions Data Compilation and Reporting	3-5
4-1 Radionuclides Requiring Routine Analyses	4-4
5-1 Supporting Procedures for Radioactive Airborne Emissions Sample Collection, Data Compilation, Calculation and Reporting	5-3

LIST OF TERMS

ABCASH	Automated Bar Coding of Air Samplers at Hanford
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
DCG	derived concentration guide
DME	data management engineer
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
ECA	Environmental Compliance Assurance
EDE	effective dose equivalent
EDP	electronic data processing
EEM	Effluent Emission Monitoring
EIS/ODIS	Effluent Information System/Onsite Discharge Information System
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERS	Environmental Release Summary
ESQA	Environmental Services Quality Assurance
HPT	Health Physics Technician
MEI	maximally exposed individual
MRP	Management Requirements and Procedures
NESHAP	"National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants"
PISCES	Plant Instrument Surveillance, Calibration, and Evaluation System
PNL	Pacific Northwest Laboratory
QA	Quality Assurance
QAPjP	Quality Assurance Project Plan
QAPP	Quality Assurance Program Plan
QC	Quality Control
QI	Quality Instruction
QR	quality requirement
RE	report engineer
V/B	Ventilation and Balance
WHC	Westinghouse Hanford Westinghouse Hanford Company

This page intentionally left blank.

EFFLUENT EMISSION MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN FOR RADIOACTIVE AIRBORNE EMISSIONS DATA

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Title 40, *Protection of the Environment*, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 61, "National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants" (NESHAP), Subpart H, "National Emissions Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides Other Than Radon From Department of Energy Facilities," Section 61.94(a) requires that owners or operators of U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) facilities provide annual monitoring reports and dose calculation results for radioactive airborne emissions from the previous year to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Headquarters and regional offices by June 30 of each calendar year (CY). At the Hanford Site, activities associated with this report can be further categorized as follows:

- Identification of point sources emitting airborne radionuclides.
- Collection of representative stack and vent samples for analyses are performed by operating and waste management organizations responsible for routine operation of reactor facilities, chemical separations facilities, laboratories, tank farms, and their associated support buildings.
- Measurement of stack and vent air flows.
- Analyses of air samples collected from airborne streams are performed by DOE-approved laboratories onsite in the 200 and 300 Areas and offsite using established methods for radioanalyses.
- Data collection, verification, compilation, calculation, and reporting of radioactive airborne emissions are performed by the Effluent Emission Monitoring (EEM) function within Westinghouse Hanford Company (WHC).
- Dose calculations are performed by Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL) using EPA-approved codes. Dose calculations are reviewed by the Hanford Site Dose Overview Panel.

This Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPjP) addresses the quality assurance activities associated with the data collection and reporting activities. Other quality assurance plans (i.e., QAPjPs, facility effluent monitoring plans (FEMP) are the responsibilities of the facilities to support the other five activities listed above, except for the dose calculations performed by PNL. The 222-S Laboratory has a Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP) to address conduct of analyses, including record sample analyses performed in the 200 Area.

This page intentionally left blank.

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL OBJECTIVES

The general objectives of the radioactive airborne emissions data collection, verification, compilation, and reporting activity are as follows:

- Report radioactive airborne activity from Hanford Site discharge points to the DOE Effluent Information System/Onsite Discharge Information System (EIS/ODIS) located at Idaho Falls, Idaho, in accordance with DOE Order 5400.1, *General Environmental Protection Program* (DOE 1988a)
- Provide summary emissions release data from all WHC facilities for incorporation in the *Hanford Site Environmental Report* (PNL 1982) prepared by PNL and for use by PNL in modeling the dose to the public from Hanford Site operations as required in DOE Order 5400.1 (DOE 1988a)
- Report releases of airborne radioactivity from the Hanford Site, and the subsequent effective dose equivalent (EDE) to the hypothetical maximally exposed individual (MEI), to the EPA in compliance with 40 CFR 61, Subpart H (EPA 1989a) annual reporting requirements
- Report radioactive airborne emissions in the annual environmental release report prepared by WHC for those facilities co-operated by the contractor, per requirements of DOE Order 5400.1 (DOE 1988a)
- Provide facility management with quantified data on releases from ongoing facility operations through the use of a structured data collection and trend reporting.

The collection, verification, compilation, and calculation of radioactive airborne emissions data is the final step in a formal process in which samples are collected from selected stacks and vents on the Hanford Site, and analyzed in onsite and offsite laboratories for radioactive materials present. The sample data are verified, and compiled for reporting to EPA and DOE. These activities began before 40 CFR 61, Subpart H (EPA 1989a) was established to satisfy reporting requirements contained in DOE orders and is mandated by the *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (Ecology et al. 1992) to continue until all sources of airborne radioactivity on the Hanford Site are eliminated.

A quality assurance program plan (QAPP) was prepared by the 222-S Laboratory to support compliance with Subpart H. A QAPP is in place at International Technology Analytical Services and the PNL 325 Laboratory. Substantial information on the conduct of analyses at these laboratories is provided in WHC-EP-0536-1, Appendix H (WHC 1993a).

2.2 EFFLUENT EMISSION MONITORING RADIOACTIVE AIRBORNE EMISSIONS ACTIVITIES

The general categories of activities specific to the radioactive airborne emissions data activity are as follows:

- Collection of laboratory analyses performed to detect the presence of radioactive materials on particulate filter media, charcoal cartridge filters, silver zeolite cartridges, sodium hydroxide media, and silica gel cartridges
- Compilation of laboratory analyses with measured stack flow data or maximum stack flow rates to derive releases of radioactivity (i.e., curies) and average concentrations of radioactivity in sampled emissions
- Calculation of quantities of radionuclides released and average concentrations for a calendar year, for a specific discharge point, or a specific area within the Hanford Site
- Preparation of the reports identified in Section 2.1 for publication and release to the appropriate agencies and to the public.

The items listed above are outlined in succeeding sections of this document.

2.3 APPLICABILITY TO WESTINGHOUSE HANFORD COMPANY QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

This QAPjP is specific to those activities performed within the Effluent Emission Monitoring (EEM) group to collect and verify sample analysis data received, to combine these data with facility operations data, and to report the combined data. Where the analytical results are below minimum detectable concentrations, emissions are reported as non-detectable (ND) curies.

This QAPjP is prepared in compliance with the guidance in *Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans*, QAMS-005/80 (EPA 1983) and "Quality Assurance Methods" 40 CFR 61, Appendix B, Method 114 (EPA 1989a). The QAPjP describes the means selected to implement the overall QA program requirements defined by the *Quality Assurance Manual*, WHC-CM-4-2 (WHC 1989b). The implementing procedures, plans, and instructions are appropriate for the control of radioactive airborne emissions data required by Method 114 and applicable DOE orders.

Distribution and control of this plan shall be in compliance with Quality Requirement (QR) 6.0, "Document Control," from WHC-CM-4-2 (WHC 1989). The QAPjP distribution shall routinely include all review/approval personnel indicated on the title page of the document and other individuals designated by EEM. This QAPjP shall be reviewed and updated at least annually or whenever changes are made to the program.

2.4 MINIMUM QUALITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

The following quality assurance elements must be addressed as a minimum:

- Establishment of a sample tracking system for positive identification of samples and data through all the phases of the sample collection, analysis, and reporting system. [CFR 61, APP B, Method 114, QA Methods 4.6 (EPA 1989a)]
- Assignment of a unique sampling-point identification by electronic data processing (EDP) code by EEM for use in analysis of Site samples.
- Establishment of required sample analyses and minimum detectable concentrations for specific radionuclides for radioactive airborne emissions and sample scheduling [QA Methods 4.3.4 (EPA 1989a)]
- Data Quality Objectives for the laboratory shall be identified in the statement of work or contractual agreement [QA Methods 4.3.5 (EPA 1989a)]
- Definition of reporting requirements for laboratories performing analyses
- Definition of data assessment procedures for evaluation of sample analyses and operations data
- Definition of requirements for reporting to the EPA and the DOE [QA Methods 4.9 (EPA 1989a)].

This page intentionally left blank.

3.0 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES

3.1 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Within WHC, the EEM group has primary responsibility for preparing all reports of radioactive airborne releases from record stacks and vents for submission to EPA and DOE. The EEM function has responsibility for approving implementation of requirements listed in WHC-CM-7-5, Environmental Compliance Manual (WHC 1993d) for radioactive airborne emissions monitoring and sampling systems. Additional responsibilities include providing information on analytes of interest and required minimum detectable quantities and conducting compliance assessments of radioactive airborne emissions sampling and monitoring equipment and records within the facilities co-operated by WHC.

Responsibilities assigned for sampling, analyses, data compilation, reporting, and oversight are described below and shown in the organization matrix in Table 3-1 [QA Methods 4.1 (EPA 1989a)].

3.1.1 Regulatory Field Support/Effluent Emission Monitoring

Individuals designated by management within EEM have responsibility for compiling and verifying sample analyses, compiling stack flow rates, operations data; for performing final calculations, and reporting this information to DOE and EPA. In addition, EEM engineers cognizant of specific facilities are responsible for reviewing and approving any record sampling systems planned for installation on stacks in facilities under their oversight, for performing compliance assessments of radioactive airborne emissions sampling and monitoring systems, and for investigating any increases in radionuclide emissions indicated by sample analysis results. The EEM group reviews/approves sample schedules, sampling points, and provides technical requirements of radioactive air sample analysis including the list of specific radionuclides to be analyzed, and lower limits of detection for the laboratory. These activities are carried out by following the requirements set forth in the *Environmental Compliance Manual* (WHC-CM-7-5) (WHC 1993d), *Effluent Monitoring Program-100 Area* (WHC-IP-0856) (WHC 1993b), *Effluent Monitoring Program-200/600 Areas* (WHC-IP-0697-1) (WHC 1992t), and *Effluent Monitoring Program-300, 400 and 1100 Areas* (WHC-IP-0704) (WHC 1990b).

3.1.2 Effluent Emission Monitoring Data Management

EEM is responsible for compiling radioactive air sampling data and flow rates to prepare regulatory reports. Additional responsibilities include verification of sample analysis parameters received from the laboratory and providing sampling schedules. EEM assigns EDP codes for onsite laboratory analysis of stack/vent samples and establishes the analyses to be performed by that laboratory. In addition, the EEM group provides the data quality objectives for the sampling analysis activities and compares sample analysis results with previous release information to establish trends in releases and identify required investigations of any anomalous data. These activities are carried out by following the requirements set forth by the procedures documented in the preceding paragraph.

3.1.3 Effluent Emission Monitoring Reporting

EEM is assigned the task of compiling radioactive airborne emissions release data into summary information for inclusion in the reports listed in Section 2.1.

3.2 SUPPORTING ORGANIZATIONS

Technical and administrative support for compiling radioactive airborne emissions data is provided by the organizations described in the following paragraphs.

3.2.1 Westinghouse Hanford Operational Health Physics Personnel

Health Physics provides the sampling effort for the radionuclide air emissions under the technical direction of the facility cognizant engineer. The Automated Bar Coding of Air Samples at Hanford (ABCASH) system provides automated data acquisition and tracking of air filter sample information. The sampling collection, tracking, and handling procedures for the effluent samples are contained in WHC-IP-0718 (WHC 1993e). The Policies and Procedures group prepares the procedures for sample collection and the sample tracking system that are used by the Health Physics organization.

The sampling activities should be done in accordance with the stack monitoring and sampling requirements of 40 CFR 61, Appendix B, Method 114 (EPA 1991). The QA activities that are included in Method 114 and performed by this group are described below.

- Section 4.3.4 describes the sample collection requirements.
- Section 4.6 covers the sample tracking system for positive identification of samples from sample collection to delivery to the laboratory, as well as sample handling and preservation procedures to maintain the integrity of samples during collection and storage.

3.2.2 Westinghouse Hanford Environmental Management Systems Integration

The Environmental Management Systems Integration (EMSI) organization performs surveillances to verify activities described in Section 2.2. These include the review and approval of laboratory analytical procedures for quality assurance (QA)/quality control (QC) requirements, surveillance of EEM and laboratory activities associated with radionuclide emissions stack monitoring and participating in laboratory reviews and audits under the direction of Quality Compliance Assurance.

3.2.3 Westinghouse Hanford Environmental Compliance Assurance

The Environmental Compliance Assurance (ECA) personnel perform internal audits and appraisals of radioactive airborne emissions sample collection, analysis, and reporting for WHC facilities. This group is committed to performing at least one annual audit/appraisal on air emissions activities.

3.2.4 Westinghouse Hanford Ventilation and Balance

These personnel perform measurements of 200, 300, and 400 Area average stack flows on a periodic schedule established by the facilities and provide measurement results to the facility cognizant engineers.

3.2.5 Westinghouse Hanford Facility Cognizant Engineers

These personnel receive, review, and transmit the average stack flow data from measurements performed by WHC Ventilation and Balance (V/B) personnel to EEM. The facility cognizant engineers are responsible for monitoring emissions from stacks at their facilities and reviewing the analytical requirement letter of instruction to the laboratory produced by EEM. The cognizant engineers are responsible for staying abreast of changes in emissions where the addition or deletion of radionuclides may be necessary for laboratory analysis (e.g., keeping the facility effluent monitoring plans current).

3.2.6 Westinghouse Hanford Process Analytical Laboratory

These personnel perform radiochemical analyses on radioactive airborne emissions sample media collected from stacks at WHC facilities. The specific radionuclides to be analyzed for are determined by EEM with the assistance of the facility cognizant engineer. Laboratory responsibilities include the incorporation of their analytical data into the existing laboratory data files for timely electronic transmission to EEM.

The laboratory shall have a QA plan and analytical procedures that meet the requirements of 40 CFR 61, Appendix B, Method 114 (EPA). The QA activities identified in Method 114 and described in WHC-EP-0536-1, Section 6.2.2, that must be addressed in laboratory QA plans are as follows:

- Calibration activities specified by the Radionuclide Analysis Method in Method 114
- Section 4.3.5, the calibration procedures and frequency of calibration required for the analytical procedures used by the laboratory
- Section 4.5, a quality control program to evaluate and track the quality of emissions measurement data
- Section 4.6, a sample tracking system to provide for positive identification of samples and data through all phases of sample receipt, analysis, and reporting
- Section 4.6, a sample control system to maintain the integrity of samples during storage and analysis.

3.2.7 Pacific Northwest Laboratory Health Physics

This organization is designated by DOE to perform dose modeling for the Hanford Site, including dose modeling for stacks co-operated by WHC. PNL derive the EDE by using EPA-approved dose models [CAP-88 (Beres 1990)], in combination with the Hanford Site model [GENII (Napier et al. 1988)] used to calculate the contribution of long-lived daughter ingrowth. Data supplied by the PNL Health Physics organization are included in the annual report of airborne emissions prepared for EPA and in the PNL environmental surveillance report prepared for DOE.

3.2.8 Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Laboratory Safety Department, Environmental Compliance Section

These personnel are responsible for transmitting radioactive airborne emissions release data for PNL stacks to EEM for inclusion in the EIS/ODIS report and the annual air emissions report. The data transfer is made electronically to the data base used by EEM for compiling all Hanford Site releases. These PNL personnel are also responsible for reviewing and updating annual emissions report narrative descriptions of all PNL stacks discharging radioactive emissions.

Pacific Northwest Laboratory Chemistry and Analysis. These personnel are located in the 300 Area laboratory co-operated for DOE by PNL. They analyze radioactive airborne emission samples taken from WHC co-operated facilities in the 300 and 400 Areas. They receive stack flow measurement data from the 300/400 Area EEM cognizant engineer and determine the concentrations using data computation software. Then they transmit the radiochemical analyses in written form to EEM. Procedures used for analyzing stack samples are prepared within this organization and controlled by PNL internal controls.

Pacific Northwest Laboratory Nuclear Chemistry. These personnel provide liaison between the 100 Area EEM cognizant engineer and the offsite laboratory designated by DOE to perform radiochemical analyses at the Hanford Site. Included in their duties is the negotiation of contracts with the designated laboratory, oversight of the laboratory's performance, and transmittal of data to the EEM.

Table 3-1. Organizational Responsibilities for Radioactive Airborne Emissions Data Compilation and Reporting [QA methods 4.1 (EPA 1989a)].
(2 sheets)

	TASK	APPROVAL	PERFORMED BY	REVIEW	VALIDATION
1	Provide analytical criteria, and detection limits for radioanalyses to onsite and offsite laboratories		EEM		
2	Sample Schedules, Statement of Work		EEM	EMSI	
3	Collect samples of radioactive airborne emissions from stacks and record information on sample envelope data		WHC HP		EEM
4	Analyze samples	EEM	LAB PNL CA		
5	Audit laboratory QA/QC		ECA EMSI PNL NC		
6	Prepare radioactive airborne emissions data compilation and reporting procedures		EEM	EMSI	
7	Verify measured stack flow data and transmit to EEM				WHC OPS
8	Verify sample analyses and stack flow rate data from Vent and Balance/Facility Cognizant Engineers				EEM
9	Prepare annual environmental release report for the Hanford Site		PNL LS	EEM	
10	Compile sampling results, flow data, and data on duration of operation into annual releases in curie quantities and annual average concentrations		EEM PNL LS		

Table 3-1. Organizational Responsibilities for Radioactive Airborne Emissions Data Compilation and Reporting [QA methods 4.1 (EPA 1989a)].
(2 sheets)

	TASK	APPROVAL	PERFORMED BY	REVIEW	VALIDATION
11	Prepare EIS/ODIS report, annual air emissions report and annual effluent release report for Westinghouse Hanford		EEM	WHC OPS** PNL LS	
12	Compute annual effective dose equivalent to maximally exposed individual for air pathway from Hanford Site operations		PNL HP*		
13	Conduct programmatic audits of emissions data handling		ECA EMSI		
14	Conduct compliance assessments on radiation airborne sampling and monitoring systems		EEM		

Legend

ECA: Environmental Compliance Assurance
 EMM: Effluent and Emission Monitoring
 Lab: Onsite or offsite lab
 PNL CA: Pacific Northwest Laboratory Chemistry and Analysis
 PNL HP: Pacific Northwest Laboratory Health Physics
 PNL LS: Pacific Northwest Laboratory Safety
 PNL NC: Pacific Northwest Laboratory Nuclear Chemistry
 QA/QC: Quality Assurance/Quality Control
 WHC HP: Westinghouse Hanford Health Physics
 WHC OPS: Westinghouse Hanford Operations
 EMSI: Westinghouse Hanford Environmental Management Systems Integration

* Review and approval by the Hanford Environmental Dose Overview Panel.
 ** Westinghouse Hanford Operations reviews only the effluent report.

4.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE OBJECTIVES FOR MEASUREMENTS

The QA objectives within the QAPjP for the measurements and controls applicable to radioactive airborne emissions data collection, verification, compilation, and reporting, are related to the following:

- Defining analytes of interest for the analytical laboratories from emission characterizations provided by the facility [QA Methods 4.3.1 (EPA 1989a)]
- Prescribe analytical detection limits and require precision and accuracy appropriate to the radioactive airborne emissions sample analyses for WHC at the Hanford Site [QA Methods 4.4 (EPA 1989a)]
- Defining data representativeness, completeness, and comparability [QA Methods 4.4 (EPA 1989a)].

Completeness objectives for contractually and procedurally established requirements (i.e., precision, accuracy, timeliness, etc.) shall be met for 90% of the requested determinations. Corrective action measures using specified procedures shall be initiated by EEM, EMSI, facility cognizant engineers, laboratories, or ECA as appropriate. All laboratory QA/QC requirements shall be identified in a contractual agreement (WHC-EP-0689)(WHC 1993c).

4.1 ANALYTES OF INTEREST AND ANALYTICAL METHOD SELECTION

A letter of instruction to the 222-S Laboratory, listing the required radioactive analytes from record sampling systems reported in calendar year air emission reports and the EIS/ODIS, is sent out on a fiscal year basis using the following minimum requirements (WHC 1988):

- Sampling systems shall be provided for all airborne effluents that have the potential to exceed 10% of the Derived Concentration Guide (DCG)-Public Value on an annual average, as these values are given in Figure III-1 of DOE Order 5400.5, *Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment* (DOE 1990).
- Specific radionuclide analysis shall be performed on quarterly composites of record samples for stacks with average flow rates greater than 280 m³/min (10,000 ft³/min) when the total alpha or total beta concentration exceeds 10% of the DCG value for the most restrictive radionuclide not known to be absent from the process.
- DOE facilities shall not cause an EDE greater than 10 mrem/yr to any member of the public and doses from ^{220,222}Ra, Rn, and their respective decay products are excluded from these limits.
- Provide a quality record of emissions from any point of release that, if unfiltered, could present a maximum offsite exposure of 0.1 mrem/yr EDE.
- Specific radionuclide analysis shall be performed on quarterly composites of record samples for stacks with average flow rates of less than 280 m³/min when the total alpha or total beta concentration exceeds 50% of the DCG value for the most restrictive radionuclide not known to be absent from the process.
- Where the ratio of radioisotopes present in the emissions is unknown or changing, the isotope present with the most restrictive DCG shall be assumed for gross beta/gamma and gross alpha activity.
- Additional specific radionuclide analysis shall be performed on certain stacks/vents (daily, weekly, biweekly, or monthly) as deemed appropriate by EEM, based on historical data.

4.2 REPRESENTATIVENESS, COMPLETENESS, AND COMPARABILITY

Goals for data representativeness, completeness, and comparability are addressed qualitatively by specification of discharge points and sampling intervals per WHC-CM-7-5. The total measurement error for analytical data, excluding errors caused by facility stream volume measurement, shall be computed at the 95% confidence level. The limit of detection by the laboratory shall be equal to or less than 10% of the DCG, WHC-CM-7-5 (WHC 1993d). Proposed technical solutions shall be provided to EEM by the laboratory for any radionuclide measurement that does not meet the required limit of detection. Goals for data representativeness, completeness, and comparability, specific to the laboratory performing the sample analyses, are addressed within WHC-EP-0689 (WHC 1993c) prepared by EEM for the 222-S laboratory.

Failure to meet criteria listed in WHC-CM-7-5, (WHC 1993d), and the contractual documentation shall be documented in data summary reports and shall be considered during the data verification. Corrective action measures shall be initiated, documented, and reported by the laboratories, as appropriate [QA Methods 4.3.2 (EPA 1989a)].

Table 4-1. Radionuclides Requiring Routine Analyses.

Radioisotope	100 Area Facilities	200 Area Facilities	300/400 Area Facilities
Gross alpha	X	X	X
Gross beta	X	X	X
^3H	X	X	X
^{14}C		X	
^{54}Mn	X		
^{60}Co	X		
^{90}Sr	X	X	
^{106}Ru		X	
^{113}Sn		X	
^{125}Sb		X	
^{129}I		X	
^{137}Cs	X	X	
^{147}Pm		X	
^{154}Eu	X		
^{212}Pb		X	
^{234}U		X	X
^{235}U		X	X
^{236}U		X	
^{238}U		X	X
^{238}Pu	X	X	
$^{239,240}\text{Pu}$	X	X	
$^{241}\text{Pu}^*$	X	X	
^{241}Am	X	X	

*Values calculated based on activity ratios and weight percents of $^{239,240}\text{Pu}$ measurements.

**Values calculated based on rate of Ar production related to power levels at the Fast Flux Test Facility.

5.0 SAMPLING PROCEDURES

5.1 SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Radioactive airborne emission sample collection activities are conducted by Health Physics technicians performing sampling of WHC co-operated facilities according per WHC-IP-0718, *Health Physics Procedures* (WHC 1993e). Facility operations personnel are responsible for procuring, installing, and maintaining sampling systems, per the requirements of WHC-CM-7-5 (WHC 1993d), and for preparing and approving work control documentation provided to Ventilation and Balance personnel measuring average stack flow rates of 200, 300, and 400 Areas stacks/vents. Administrative controls to ensure prompt response in the event that emission levels increase because of unplanned operations are contained in WHC-CM-7-5, *Environmental Compliance Manual* (WHC 1993d) [QA Methods 4.2 (EPA 1989a)].

5.2 SUPPORTING PROCEDURES

Procedures in place for performance of radioactive airborne emissions sample handling, data collection, verification, compilation, calculation, and reporting by EEM, are included in WHC-IP-0697-1, WHC-IP-0856, and WHC-IP-0704. Procedures specific to analysis performed by the 325 Laboratory are contained in PNL-MA-597, Vol. 6 and 7, *Analytical Chemistry Laboratory Manual* (PNL 1991).

5.3 PROCEDURE APPROVALS AND CONTROL

The latest approved versions of the procedures listed shall apply in all cases. All procedures are available for regulatory review on request.

5.4 PROCEDURE ADDITIONS AND CHANGES

New procedures or revisions to existing procedures shall be developed in accordance with *Management Requirements and Procedures*, (MRP) 2.16, WHC-CM-1-3 (WHC 1989c), and QR 5.0, and 6.0, WHC-CM-4-2 (WHC 1989b).

5.5 SAMPLING SCHEDULE

Record sampling is used as the basis for reporting radioactive airborne emissions to DOE and EPA. As used in this QAPjP, a record sample is a representative sample collected in a sample system for laboratory analysis. Record sampling schedules are reviewed and approved by EEM for all WHC facilities. Any changes in radioactive gaseous emissions record sampling schedules, including temporary or one-time deviations, shall be preapproved by EEM, as specified in WHC-CM-7-5, (WHC 1993d).

Facility-specific sampling schedules are included in the procedures created for emissions data management and reporting. In the 200/600 Areas, sampling schedules and locations are shown in WHC-IP-0697-1, *Effluent Monitoring Program - 200/600 Areas*, Table 1, "Schedule of Weekly Record Sampling for Total Alpha and Total Beta Analysis of Emissions from Stacks or Vents that Emit or Potentially Emit Radionuclides (WHC 1992m). In the 300/400 Areas, sampling schedules and locations are shown in WHC-IP-0704, *Effluent Monitoring Program - 300, 400, and 1100 Areas*, Table 6.1-1, "Gaseous Effluent Record Sampling Schedule" (WHC 1990b). Sampling schedules and locations for the 100 Areas are shown in WHC-IP-0856, *Effluent Monitoring Program - 100 Area*, Table 6.1.2, "N Reactor Airborne Effluent Sampling Schedule," and Table 6.1.3, "100-K Area Airborne and Liquid Effluent Sampling Schedule" (WHC 1993b). Changes in sampling schedules or locations are incorporated into these documents during periodic reviews of the procedures under internal schedules established by EEM management.

5.6 SAMPLING SYSTEM DESIGN

Record sampling system designs are reviewed and approved by EEM and EMSI in accordance with the requirements found in WHC-CM-7-5, (WHC 1993a) and 40 CFR 61, Subpart H (EPA 1989a).

Table 5-1. Supporting Procedures for Radioactive Airborne Emissions
Sample Collection, Data Compilation, Calculation, and Reporting. (3 sheets)

Procedure	Procedure Number	Title	Facility
Sample collection	WHC-IP-0718 (WHC 1993e) Section 3.3.1	Air Sampling	All Westinghouse Hanford stacks (100,200,300, 400 Areas)
	Section 3.3.2	Gaseous Effluent Monitoring System Inspection and Sample Exchange	
	Section 3.3.3	Automated Bar Coding of Air Samples at Hanford (ABCASH)	
	Occupational Health and Safety Scheduled Radiation Survey And Task Description T-W-01 (WHC 1992a)	Scheduled Radiation Survey and Task Description for T Plant	200 Area, T Plant
	Occupational Health and Safety Scheduled Radiation Survey and Task Description Task No. J-W005 Survey No. 911562 (Attachment A)	Task Description for 340 Waste Handling	300 Area, 340 Waste Handling Facility
Sampling System Operability Checks	WHC-IP-0718 Section 4.5C	Operation of the 400 Area Effluent Monitoring System	All 400 Area gaseous emission sampling systems
	4.6C	Operation of Tri-Carb Liquid Scintillation Counter	All Westinghouse Hanford Stacks (100, 200, 300, 400 Areas)

Table 5-1. Supporting Procedures for Radioactive Airborne Emissions
Sample Collection, Data Compilation, Calculation, and Reporting. (3 sheets)

Procedure	Procedure Number	Title	Facility
Sampling System Operability Checks	T-W-01	Scheduled Radiation Survey and Task Description for T Plant	T Plant
Sample Shipment	WHC-IP-0718 Section 3.2.1	Radioactive Material Shipment and Receipt Surveys	All radioactive airborne samples
	WHC-IP-0718 Section 3.1.1	Material Release Surveys	
Sample Analysis	LA-508-002 (WHC 1992c)	Detection Levels for Radio-isotopic Counting	222-S Laboratory
	LA-508-110 (WHC 1992d)	Operation of the Tennelec LB-5500 Alpha/Beta Counting System	
	LA-508-052 (WHC 1992e)	Gamma Energy Analysis on the Canberra Jupiter System	
	LA-288-101 (WHC 1992f)	Gamma Analysis of Silver Zeolite Cartridges in the 222-S Counting Room	
	LA-549-112 (WHC 1992g)	Dissolution of Versapor Type Filters	
	LA-943-123 (WHC 1992h)	Separation of Pu and Am by Ion Exchange	
	LA-220-103 (WHC 1992i)	Sr 89, 90 and/or Sr 89, 90 from Leachates of Soil, Vegetation, Air Filters, and Other Solid Samples	
	LA-613-111 (WHC 1992j)	Determination of Promethium-147 in Effluent Wastes Samples by Solvent Extraction and Liquid Scintillation Counting	
	LA-348-101 (WHC 1992k)	Carbon-14 by Distilling and Liquid Scintillation Counting	
	LA-542-101 (WHC 1992l)	Electrodeposition of Actinides	

Table 5-1. Supporting Procedures for Radioactive Airborne Emissions
Sample Collection, Data Compilation, Calculation, and Reporting. (3 sheets)

Procedure	Procedure Number	Title	Facility
Sample Analysis	LA-218-112 (WHC 1992m)	Tritium Analysis on Silica Gel by Liquid Scintillation Counting	222-S Laboratory
	LA-508-051 (WHC 1992n)	Alpha Energy Analysis using the Canberra Jupiter Systems	
	LA-508-111 (WHC 1992o)	Operation of the Tennelec LB-1000 Alpha/Beta Detectors (9, 10, 11, and 12)	
	LA-548-111 (WHC 1992p)	Preparation of Mounts for Liquid Scintillation Counting	
	LA-508-121 (WHC 1992q)	Operation of the Beckman Liquid Scintillation Counters	
	LO-150-002 (WHC 1992r)	Responses to Off-Standard Condition Reports and Precision Reports	
	LO-150-113 (WHC 1992s)	Environmental Laboratory Operating Procedure	
	LQ-150-001 (Attachment C)	Laboratory Measurement Quality Control	
Data Handling and Reporting	WHC-CM-5-4 (WHC 1991)	Analytical Chemistry Services Laboratories - Operating Instructions	
	WHC-IP-0697-1 (WHC 1992t)	Effluent Monitoring Program - 200/600 Areas	All 200 and 600 Areas WHC facilities
	WHC-IP-0704 (WHC 1990b)	Effluent Monitoring Program - 300, 400, and 1100 Areas	All 300, 400, and 1100 Areas facilities
	WHC-IP-0856 (WHC 1993b)	Effluent Monitoring Program - 100 Area	100 Area

This page intentionally left blank.

6.0 SAMPLE CUSTODY

During the collection process, all radioactive airborne emissions samples are subject to the controls identified in WHC-IP-0718, *Health Physics Procedures* (WHC 1993e), the facility chain-of-custody procedure, and those procedures listed in Table 5-1. Sample labels or envelopes are used to record information on sample location, time on and off, flow rate, rotameter, vacuum, EDP code, and signature of sample taker, as described in WHC-IP-697-1 (WHC 1992t). For those samples sent to the 200 Area process analytical laboratory, radioactive emissions sample receipt, storage, analyses, and disposal are governed by procedures in place at the laboratory described in the laboratory's quality assurance program documentation. For those samples sent to 300 Area and offsite laboratories for radioanalyses, sample chain-of-custody procedures are required by contractual documentation.

Tracking of radioactive airborne emissions sample handling and analyses is controlled by EEM through assignment of unique EDP codes for each sample location. These unique EDP codes are used by the analytical laboratories to report their results to EEM (see Section 3.1). For 300 and 400 Area samples, tracking is controlled through the use of EIS/ODIS alpha-numeric identifiers that are assigned to sampling locations and by which analyses are reported. For the 100 Area, samples are tracked through a chain-of-custody log book maintained by facility operations. Each radioactive effluent sample is assigned a unique sample identification number [QA Methods 4.6 (EPA 1989a)]. A unique sample number is also assigned to composite air samples and the individual samples making up the composite are identified.

This page intentionally left blank.

7.0 CALIBRATION PROCEDURES

Calibration of all WHC measuring and test equipment, whether in place or purchased for radioactive airborne emissions sampling and monitoring, shall be controlled as required by QR 12.0, "Control of Measuring and QI 12.2, "Measuring and Test Equipment Calibration by User" (WHC 1989b). The sampling and monitoring equipment used in the radioactive airborne emissions activities is controlled by the Component Based Recall System (CBRS). Calibration and maintenance are controlling factors in this system.

Calibration procedures for instrumentation used in sampling and monitoring are included in the program plan [WHC-EP-0536-1 (WHC 1993a)] and facility-specific operating procedures. Activities conducted in support of radioactive airborne emissions data compilation and reporting do not require equipment calibration [QA Methods 4.3.6 (EPA 1989a)].

This page intentionally left blank.

8.0 ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Analytical procedures performed by laboratories used for airborne radioactive emissions analyses are outside the scope of this QAPjP. Per the regulations, analytical methods or procedures based on reference methods identified in 40 CFR 61, Appendix B, Method 114 (EPA 1989a), shall be used or alternate methods developed and approved before use for all analyses required for NESHAP compliance.

This page intentionally left blank.

9.0 MONITORING AND REPORTING CRITERIA

Criteria for radioactive airborne emissions sampling and monitoring are identified in the *Environmental Compliance Manual*, WHC-CM-7-5 (WHC 1993a). Annual emissions are reported to EPA in compliance with 40 CFR 61, Subpart H, Section 61.94, "Reporting" (EPA 1989a). Additional requirements are identified in WHC-CM-7-5, such as determining radionuclide emissions; describing radioactive materials used at the Hanford Site; listing stacks, vents, or other points where radioactive materials are released to the ambient air; supplying all user-supplied input parameters for the computer dose calculation models and the source of these data, and reporting compliance with the standard that emissions from the Hanford Site not exceed those amounts that would cause any member of the public to receive in any year an effective dose equivalent (EDE) of 10 mrem/yr.

Annual reports showing the Hanford Site contribution to the EIS/ODIS report are prepared in compliance with DOE Order 5400.1, Chapter II, "Notification and Reports," Item 5, "Reports on Radioactive Effluent/Onsite Discharge/Unplanned Releases" (DOE 1988a). Information is sent to the EIS/ODIS data base by direct electronic data transfer from the 200 Area Effluent Emission Monitoring data manager's personal computer data base.

Information compiled for the EIS/ODIS report of radioactive airborne emissions is then included in the annual environmental release report for the Hanford Site prepared annually by PNL in compliance with DOE Order 5400.1, Chapter II, "Notification and Reports," Item 4, "Annual Site Environmental Report" (DOE 1988a). This report, which is prepared for transmittal to DOE Headquarters, includes data on emissions from discharge points on the Hanford Site and the resultant EDE.

Annual summaries of radioactive airborne emissions, organized by area and discharge point, are also included in the annual report of environmental emissions prepared for WHC facilities. This report is prepared per the reporting requirements in DOE Order 5400.1 (DOE 1988a). WHC publishes the report to provide the DOE Richland Operations Office (RL) with detailed information on the types of releases to the environment that occur from operation of specific Hanford Site facilities. EEM has been delegated the responsibility for compiling all of the reports described above.

This page intentionally left blank.

10.0 DATA REDUCTION, VERIFICATION AND REPORTING

Within this QAPjP, data reduction, verification, and reporting of radioactive airborne emissions is performed by the EEM as described in Section 3.0.

10.1 DATA REDUCTION

To compile radioactive airborne emissions for inclusion in the annual report prepared in compliance with 40 CFR 61, Subpart H (EPA 1989a), EEM receives sample analyses from a laboratory designated to perform analyses. The analyses are combined with measured average stack flow rates and sample and stack operation data to determine average annual concentrations and activities (i.e., curies) of radionuclides released. This is accomplished by the Environmental Release Summary (ERS) data base system owned and operated by EEM.

Sample analysis data are received in various forms. The 100 Area EEM cognizant engineer receives a written report and a computer disk or diskette containing the report from the offsite laboratory designated to perform the analyses. Sample analysis results are usually received as a concentration, e.g., picocuries (pCi) per sample. In the 200 Area, EEM receives total alpha and total beta sample analyses via electronic transmission and/or written report from the 200 Area laboratory in units of microcuries per sample ($\mu\text{Ci/sample}$), ^{212}Pb analyses in μCi per milliliter, volatile isotopes in pCi/sample, uranium in grams per sample, and other specific radionuclide analyses in pCi/sample. The 300/400 Area EEM cognizant engineer receives total alpha and beta and radioiodine concentrations from the 300 Area laboratory in μCi per cubic centimeter ($\mu\text{Ci/cm}^3$) and total releases in Ci per run and enters that data manually on a particulate filter sample summary logsheet for use in computing annual releases, per instructions given in WHC-IP-0704 (WHC 1990b).

EEM incorporates stack flow rates, stack and sample operation data, and sample analyses into the ERS system to derive average annual concentrations (stack volumes) and total releases. In the 100 Area, vent/stack flow data are derived from technical specifications and/or safety analysis reports. The formulas and methods for compiling data are included in WHC-IP-0856, *Effluent Monitoring Program - 100 Area*, Section 6.3, "Data Handling" (WHC 1993b). In the 200 Area, the formulas and methods for compiling data are included in WHC-IP-0697-1, *Effluent Monitoring Program*, Subsection F.6., "General Procedures for Data Handling" and Appendix A, "Radioactive Air Emission Quantification and Data Handling" (WHC 1992t).

10.2 DATA VERIFICATION

Data compilation within EEM includes only those activities necessary to verify that sample analyses, stack flow rates, and sampling and operation times are accurate against contractual agreements, EPA regulations, and DOE orders. EEM is responsible for reviewing information received from contributing organizations and for resolving all anomalous data before reporting.

In the 100 Area, the results received from the contract lab or facility operations are reviewed by the cognizant EEM person. The completeness of the data and compliance to the statement of work (if applicable) will be reviewed. The sample location and WHC sample number reported by the contract lab will be verified with the sample tracking database entries. Any discrepancies between the data base and laboratory report will be investigated by comparison with the sample logbook, chain-of-custody report, and Radioactive Shipment Record (RSR) per WHC-IP-0856, Subsection 6.3.2, "Data Validation/Verification" (WHC 1993b). If the discrepancy cannot be resolved and the location or sample number positively identified, the results can be discarded as long as a record is kept of the reason for not verifying the results.

In the 200 Area, the cognizant EEM person is responsible for reviewing the completed laboratory analyses. If any anomalous data appears, a more extensive review is made. This review would then include the comparison of sample envelope parameters versus the laboratory input of the sample and the comparison of present measured stack flows with past flow measurements. Instructions are given in WHC-IP-0697-1, Appendix A, "Radionuclide Air Emission Quantification and Data Handling" (WHC 1992m) to resolve anomalous conditions. When stack flow measurement data are received from the facility cognizant engineer, the data are incorporated into the computerized data handling system. Any significant changes are investigated in conjunction with the facility cognizant engineer. Anomalies in laboratory sample results that indicate increased emissions in the absence of process changes are investigated by verbal and written requests made to the laboratory and to the cognizant facility personnel for an operations review. Sample analysis data verified as missing because of sample loss or collection failure is interpolated by the electronic data base or EEM by averaging the results of the sample analyses for the week previous and the week following the lost sample.

Data anomalies identified by PNL Chemistry and Analysis in the 300/400 Area Stack Monitoring Analytical Report are resolved per the instructions given in WHC-IP-0704, Subsection 6.1.2.2, "Missing or Anomalous Data" (WHC 1990b). If the data are missing, Health Physics is contacted to provide the missing data. If the TIME ON does not agree with the TIME OFF of the preceding week's sample, the preceding weeks sample TIME OFF is used. Discrepancies in sample flow rate are resolved by contacting Health Physics to verify data. In all cases, the PNL Stack Monitoring Analytical Report reflects the corrected data.

10.3 DATA REPORTING

EEM is responsible for preparing the reports shown in Section 2.1. Preparation of the reports is described in the following paragraphs.

10.3.1 Effluent Information System/Onsite Discharge Information System Report

For the EIS/ODIS report, EEM compiles the total curies released and the total emissions volume for every discharge stack or vent included in the EIS/ODIS for the Hanford Site and transmits the information via direct electronic file transfer to the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), Idaho Falls, Idaho. These data, sent electronically by April 1st each year, represent releases from the previous calendar year. They are downloaded into the Effluent Information System/On-Site Discharge Information System (EIS/ODIS) data base, managed by INEL for DOE.

10.3.2 Hanford Site Environmental Report

For the Hanford Site Environmental Report prepared by PNL, EEM combines the EIS/ODIS emissions data for Westinghouse Hanford stacks and vents by area and specific radionuclide. The emissions data is incorporated into the text of the portion of the report prepared by WHC and the text and data is then transmitted to PNL. The PNL Health Physics organization combines the emissions data with the PNL stack data to calculate offsite dose to the Maximally Exposed Individual (MEI) for inclusion in the report.

10.3.3 Air Emissions Report

For the annual air emissions report required by 40 CFR 61, Subpart H (EPA 1989a), EEM compiles radioactive airborne emissions by area, radionuclide, and stack/vent. The offsite dose is provided by PNL Health Physics for the air pathway. This information is included in the report, which is compiled in conformance with directions given in 40 CFR 61, Subpart H, Section 61.94.

10.3.4 Environmental Release Report

For the annual environmental release report, EEM compiles average annual concentrations, total curies released, and total stack volumes for all WHC stacks and vents. This information is incorporated, along with information about nonradioactive airborne emissions, into the section of the environmental release report that addresses the airborne releases.

This page intentionally left blank.

11.0 INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL

EEM prepares statements of work for onsite laboratories. For radioactive airborne emissions samples analyzed by the onsite laboratories, the following requirements are stipulated by contract:

- The laboratory shall ensure the integrity and validity of analytical test results through implementation of an internal QC program that includes written procedures and a corrective action system for discrepant results. This internal QC program should address data reduction, verification, and reporting at the laboratory. Any QA procedures for software used in data analysis should also be addressed by this program.
- The laboratory shall prepare and analyze spiked, blank, and replicate samples to verify the accuracy and precision of all analytical results.
- Precision and accuracy data shall be obtained from analysis of quality control samples to demonstrate conformity with QC requirements.
- The contract laboratory shall provide reports on precision and accuracy. The contract laboratory shall also provide reports on participation in the EPA Environmental Radioactivity Laboratory Intercomparison Studies Program and the DOE Quality Assessment Program laboratory intercomparisons.

Quality control (QC) test results and laboratory intercomparison scores shall be provided quarterly. The QC tests shall be for accuracy, precision, completeness, and background. Test results should be presented in bar-line graph style. All tests shall be in accordance with applicable requirements and procedures contained in the references listed in Section 1.0 of WHC-EP-0689 (WHC 1993c). Precision and accuracy are also described in Appendix G of WHC-EP-0536-1 (WHC 1993a). Some program requirements for the 325 Laboratory are described in Appendix H of WHC-EP-0536-1 (WHC 1993a).

Regulatory Support does duplicate effluent and environmental samples for analysis. The 222-S Laboratory does not know the identity of these samples when it analyzes them.

The minimum detectable levels or concentrations for the radionuclides analyzed shall be identified in the laboratory contractual agreement for each facility [QA Methods 4.5 (EPA 1989a)].

This page intentionally left blank.

12.0 PERFORMANCE AND SYSTEM AUDITS

The EEM group does not perform any internal or external audits. Compliance assessments of radioactive airborne emissions systems, per WHC-CM-7-5, (WHC 1993d), are not classified as audits. Internal audits within WHC Regulatory Support (RS) are conducted by the Environmental Compliance Assurance Group. The audit program administration within QC shall perform audits on the overall program activities identified in the QAPP. The Environmental Management System Integration is responsible for surveillance of activities associated with control of laboratory analysis (WHC-EP-0536-1) (WHC 1993a). This includes surveillance of the following activities:

- Reviewing and approving laboratory analytical procedures for QA/Quality control (QC) requirements
- Surveillance of laboratory activities associated with the radionuclide emissions stack monitoring
- Participating as a QA/QC specialist in laboratory reviews and audits.

This page intentionally left blank.

13.0 DATA ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES

Data assessment procedures used for radioactive airborne emissions sample analyses are under the control of the laboratory performing the analyses. EEM reviews assessment information for trends, anomalies, etc., to verify contractual requirements. For 100 Areas analyses, the PNL technical liaison reviews the data assessment documentation provided by the offsite laboratory (see Section 4.2).

This page intentionally left blank.

14.0 CORRECTIVE ACTION

Corrective action requests required as a result of surveillance or audit activity shall be documented and dispositioned as required by WHC-CM-4-2, QI 10.4, "Surveillance;" QR 15.0, "Control of Nonconforming Items;" QI 15.1, "Nonconforming Item Reporting;" and/or QR 16.0 "Corrective Action" (WHC 1988).

This page intentionally left blank.

15.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORTS

Radionuclide effluent monitoring shall be regularly assessed by compliance assessments, surveillance, and auditing processes. Surveillance, nonconformance, audit, and corrective action documentation shall be considered QA records and shall be documented and dispositioned as stated in WHC-EP-0536-1, Section 4.0, "Document Control and Records." Compliance assessments (CAs) of radioactive emissions sampling and monitoring systems are performed for WHC facilities by EEM. CAs are performed on a scheduled basis based on past history, need, staffing levels, and new regulatory requirements. Performance against the schedule may be affected by staffing levels. CA checklists are not considered QA records.

This page intentionally left blank.

16.0 GLOSSARY

DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

Accuracy. Accuracy may be interpreted as the measure of bias in a system. Sampling accuracy is normally assessed by evaluating matrix spiked samples and reference samples.

Activity. The nuclear transformations that occur as a radioactive material decays.

Audit. Audits are considered to be systematic checks to verify the quality of operation of one or more elements of the total measurement system. In this sense, audits may be of two types: (1) performance audits, in which quantitative data are independently obtained for comparison with data routinely obtained in a measurement system or (2) system audits, involving a qualitative onsite evaluation of laboratories or other organizational elements of the measurement system for compliance with established QA program and procedure requirements. For effluent monitoring at the Hanford Site, performance audit requirements are fulfilled by periodic submittal of blind samples to the primary laboratory, or the analysis of split samples by an independent laboratory. System audit requirements are implemented through the use of standard surveillance procedures.

Background. The normal or natural condition in an environmental parameter that is used to compare results from analyses of environmental samples.

Batch Sample. A single sample removed from a stream prior to release to the environment.

Comparability. Comparability is an expression of the relative confidence with which one data set may be compared to another.

Completeness. Completeness is a qualitative parameter expressing the percentage of measurements judged to be valid.

Compliance Assessment. A documented examination of a facility or an activity to verify compliance with requirements.

Compliance Assessment Checklist. A series of close-ended questions designed to determine whether the assessed activity is in compliance with the applicable requirements.

Contamination. The presence of an unwanted substance in an area.

Contractual Quantitation Limit. The contractual quantitation limit (CQL) represents the lowest level of quantitation agreed on by the analytical laboratory and formally established in applicable contracts or work orders that the laboratory attests can be reliably achieved within contractually (or work order) established limits of precision and accuracy under routine laboratory operating conditions. The CQL is based on analytical experience and the data needs of individual projects; it represents the minimum acceptable standard against which analytical data will be judged.

Curie. A unit of activity representing 3.7E+10 nuclear transformations per second.

Detection Limit. The smallest concentration of radioactive material in a sample that will yield a net count (above system background) that will be detected with a 95% confidence level.

$$DL = [((4.6)(S_b)) + (2.72/T)] / [(E)(V)(2.22 \text{ E+06})(Y)(e^{-(k)(dt)})]$$

where

DL = The detection limit as defined above (μCi per unit mass or volume)

S_b = The standard deviation of the background counting rate (counts per minute)

T = The sample count time in minutes

E = The counting efficiency (counts per disintegration)

V = The sample size (in units of mass or volume)

2.22 E+06 = Number of disintegrations per minute per microcurie

Y = The fractional radiochemical yield (when applicable)

e = The base of natural logs (approximately 2.718)

k = The radioactive decay constant for the particular radionuclide

dt = The elapsed time between sample collection (or end of sample collection period) and the time of counting.

Deviation. Deviation refers to a planned departure from established criteria that may be required as a result of unforeseen field situations or that may be required to correct ambiguities in procedures that may arise in practical applications.

Effective Dose Equivalent (H_e or EDE). The summation of the products of the dose equivalent received by specified tissues of the body and a tissue-specific weighing factor. This sum is a risk-equivalent value and can be used to estimate the health-effects risk of the exposed individual. The tissue-specific weighing factor represents the fraction of the total health risk resulting from uniform whole-body radiation that would be contributed by that particular tissue. The EDE includes the committed EDE from internal deposition of radionuclides and the EDE caused by penetrating radiation from sources external to the body; it is expressed in units of rem (or sievert).

Effluent. Any treated or untreated air emission or liquid discharge at a DOE site or from a DOE facility. The term includes onsite discharge to the atmosphere, lagoons, ponds, cribs, injection wells, French drains, or ditches. The term does not include solid wastes stored or removed for disposal or wastes contained in retention basins or tanks before treatment and/or disposal.

Effluent Monitoring. The collection and analysis of samples or measurements of liquid and gaseous effluents for the purpose of characterizing and quantifying contaminants, assessing radiation exposures to members of the public, providing a means to control effluents at or near the point of discharge, and demonstrating compliance with applicable standards and permit requirements.

Emission. See effluent.

Environmental Monitoring. Environmental monitoring is the collection and analysis of samples or direct measurements (i.e., continuous monitoring) of environmental media. Environmental monitoring consists of two major activities: effluent monitoring and environmental surveillance.

Environmental Surveillance. The collection and analysis of samples or direct measurements of air, water, soil, foodstuff, biota, and other media from DOE sites and their environs for the purpose of determining compliance with applicable standards and permit requirements, assessing radiation exposures of members of the public and assessing the effects, if any, on the local environment.

Exhaust Stack. A building stack that vents air or gaseous material to the atmosphere.

Facility. A processing plant, tank farm, shop, laboratory, powerhouse, or laundry on the Hanford Site.

Flow Totalization. The cumulative measurement over time of the total quantity of a material in terms of mass or volume.

Gaseous Effluent. A gaseous or vapor substance discharged from a facility into the environment.

Gaseous Radioactive Effluents. Radioactive particles, mists, vapors, fumes, and/or gases contained or entrained in airborne effluents.

HEPA. High efficiency particulate air filter. To qualify as a HEPA, a filter must achieve an efficiency of 99.97% under laboratory conditions and 99.95% after installation in the removal of airborne particulates of greater than $3E-5$ cm (0.3 microns) in size.

Liquid Effluent. A discharge of liquid waste from a facility into the environment.

Nonconformance. A nonconformance is a deficiency in characteristic, documentation, or procedure that renders the quality of material, equipment, services, or activities unacceptable or indeterminate. When the deficiency is minor, does not effect a permanent or significant change in quality if it is not corrected, and can be brought into conformance with immediate corrective action, it shall not be categorized as a nonconformance. However, if the nature of the condition is such that it cannot be immediately and satisfactorily corrected, it shall be documented in compliance with approved procedures and brought to the attention of management for disposition and appropriate corrective action.

Precision. Precision is a measure of the repeatability or reproducibility of specific measurements under a given set of conditions. Specifically, it is a quantitative measure of the variability of a group of measurements compared to their average value. Precision is normally expressed in terms of standard deviation, but may also be expressed as the coefficient of variation (i.e., relative standard deviation) and range (i.e., maximum value minus minimum value). Precision is assessed by means of duplicate/replicate sample analysis.

Proportional Sampling or Monitoring. For continuous airborne effluents, the ratio of the sample flow rate (e.g., the flow through the filter) to the discharge flow rate is constant within acceptable limits. For continuous liquid effluents, the ratio of the sample volume to the discharge volume is constant. For batch releases, the ratio of the sample volume to batch volume is constant from batch to batch (this applies only to the final volumetric composition of the record sample as analyzed by the laboratory).

Quality Assurance. The QA refers to the total integrated quality planning, QC, quality assessment, and corrective action activities that collectively ensure that data from monitoring and analysis meets all end user requirements and/or the intended end use of the data.

Quality Assurance Project Plan. The QAPjP is an orderly assembly of management policies, project objectives, methods, and procedures that defines how data of known quality will be produced for a particular project, investigation, or monitoring program.

Quality Control. For the purposes of effluent monitoring, QC refers to the routine application of procedures and defined methods to the performance of sampling, measurement, and analytical processes.

Radioactive Liquid Effluent. A liquid effluent that has a reasonable potential for containing radioactive materials in quantities such that the annual average concentration is equal to or greater than the MCL.

Record Sample. A representative sample collected in a sampling system for laboratory analysis used as a basis for reporting the amount and

Reference Samples. Reference samples are a type of laboratory QC sample prepared from an independent, traceable standard at a concentration other than that used for analytical equipment calibration, but within the calibration range. Such reference samples are required for every analytical batch or every 20 samples, whichever is greater.

Representativeness. Representativeness may be interpreted as the degree to which data accurately and precisely represent a characteristic of a population parameter, variations at a sampling point, or an environmental condition. Representativeness is a qualitative parameter that is most concerned with the proper design of a sampling program.

Sample. A physical specimen of air or water.

Sampling Schedule. A description of routine sampling necessary to support the effluent monitoring program. Sampling schedules specify the effluent, location, size, type, and frequency of samples to be collected.

Sampling System. Instrumentation and equipment that remove a part of a liquid or airborne waste stream for subsequent quantitative determination of a stream parameter. The system generally employs such devices as filters, other sample collection media, or effluent traps of some kind. A continuous sampling system removes a part of the stream continuously except during sample change, maintenance, repair, or other necessary outages. A grab sampling system removes an instantaneous part of the stream or removes a part of the stream over a time period.

Validation. Validation refers to a systematic process of reviewing a body of data against a set of criteria to ensure that the data are acceptable for their intended use. Validation methods may include review of verification activities (i.e., QC program), editing, screening, cross checking, historical trending, and technical review.

Verification. Verification refers to the process of determining whether procedures, processes, data, or documentation conform to specified requirements. Verification activities may include inspections, audits, surveillances, or technical review.

This page intentionally left blank.

17.0 REFERENCES

- Beres, D. A., 1990, *The Clean Air Act Assessment Package-1988 (CAP-88), A Dose and Risk Assessment Methodology for Radionuclide Emissions to Air*, Vols 1-3, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- DOE, 1988a, General Environmental Protection Program, DOE Order 5400.1, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.
- DOE, 1988b, Record Disposition, DOE Order 1324.2A, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington D.C.
- DOE, 1990, *Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment*, DOE Order 5400.5, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.
- Ecology, EPA, and DOE, 1992, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, as amended, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington.
- EPA, 1983, *Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans*, QAMS-005/80, EPA-600/4-83/004, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1989a, Protection of the Environment, "National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants," Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 61, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C.
- Napier, B. A., R. A. Peloquin, J. V. Ramsdell, and D. L. Strenge, 1988, *Hanford Environmental Dosimetry Upgrade Project: GENII - The Hanford Environmental Radiation Dosimetry Software System*, PNL-6584, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- PNL, 1990, *Hanford Site Environmental Report For Calendar year 1989*, PNL-7346, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- PNL, 1991, *Analytical Chemistry Laboratory Manual*, PNL-MA-597, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1988, *Document Control and Records Management*, WHC-CM-3-5, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1989b, *Quality Assurance Manual*, WHC-CM-4-2, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1989c, *Management Requirements and Procedures*, WHC-CM-1-3, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1990b, *Effluent Monitoring Program - 300, 400, and 1100 Areas*, WHC-IP-0704, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1991b, *Health Physics Procedures*, WHC-IP-0692, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

- WHC, 1991c, *Environmental Compliance Verification Program Manual*, WHC-CM-7-6, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1991d, *Analytical Chemistry Services Laboratories - Operating Instructions*, WHC-CM-5-4, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992a, *Scheduled Radiation Survey and Task Description for T Plant*, T-W-01, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992b, *Task Description for 340 Waste Handling*, Occupational Health and Safety Scheduled Radiation Survey and Task Description, Task No. J-W005, Survey No. 911562, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992c, *Detection Levels for Radioisotopic Counting*, LA-508-002, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992d, *Operation of the Tennelec LB-5500 Alpha/Beta Counting System*, LA-508-110, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992e, *Gamma Energy Analysis on the Canberra Jupiter System*, LA-508-052, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992f, *Gamma Analysis of Silver Zeolite Cartridges in the 222-S Counting Room*, LA-288-101, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992g, *Dissolution of Versapor Type Filters*, LA-549-112, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992h, *Separation of Pu and Am by Ion Exchange*, LA-943-123, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992i, *Strontium-89, 90 and/or Strontium-89 and 90 from Leachates of Soil, Vegetation, Air Filters, and Other Solid Samples*, LA-220-103, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992j, *Determination of Promethium-147 in Effluent Water Samples by Solvent Extraction and Liquid Scintillation Counting*, LA-613-111, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992k, *Carbon-14 by Distilling and Liquid Scintillation Counting*, LA-348-101, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992l, *Electrodeposition of Actinides*, LA-542-101, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992m, *Tritium Analysis on Silica Gel by Liquid Scintillation Counting*, LA-218-112, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992n, *Alpha Energy Analysis on Silica Gel by Liquid Scintillation Counting*, LA-508-051, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992o, *Operation of the Tennelec LB-1000 Alpha/Beta Detectors (9, 10, 11, and 12)*, LA-508-111, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

- WHC, 1992p, *Preparation of Mounts for Liquid Scintillation Counting*, LA-548-111, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992q, *Operation of the Beckman Liquid Scintillation Counters*, LA-508-002, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992r, *Responses to Off-Standard Condition Reports and Precision Reports*, LO-150-002, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992s, *Environmental Laboratory Operating Procedure*, LO-150-113, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1992t, *Effluent Monitoring Program - 200/600 Area*, WHC-IP-0697-1, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1993a, *Quality Assurance Program Plan for Radionuclide Airborne Emissions Monitoring Program*, WHC-EP-0536-1, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1993b, *Effluent Monitoring Program - 100 Area*, WHC-IP-0856, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1993c, *Services Provided by the 222-S Laboratory for Regulatory Support*, WHC-EP-0689, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1993d, *Environmental Compliance Manual*, WHC-CM-7-5, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1993e, *Health Physics Procedures*, WHC-IP-0718, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

This page intentionally left blank.

DISTRIBUTION

Number of copies

ONSITE

1 U.S. Department of Energy.
Field Office Richland

S. D. Stites

A5-19

32 Westinghouse Hanford Company

J. M. Barnett	T1-30
J. A. Bates	B2-19
R. J. Boom	T1-30
S. A. Burris	T1-30
S. N. Cory	H6-20
B. L. Curn	T1-30
W. E. Davis	H6-20
L. P. Diediker (2)	T1-30
J. J. Dorian	B2-16
B. G. Erlandson	B2-19
D. G. Farwick	H4-16
T. P. Frazier	T1-30
K. A. Gano	X0-21
E. M. Greager	L6-60
R. E. Johnson	T1-30
J. G. Kristofzski	T6-06
R. P. Marshall	T6-14
P. J. Martell	T1-30
J. M. Nickels	T1-30
J. K. Perry	H6-20
J. L. Pittman	T1-30
D. G. Ranade	B2-16
E. F. Riedel	T6-50
S. T. Smith	H4-25
S. P. Thomas	T1-30
L. W. Vance	H4-16
D. D. Volkman	H4-25
Central Files	L8-04
Document Processing & Dist (2)	L8-15
Information Release Admin.	R1-08

DATE

FILMED

5 / 2 / 94

END