Conf-931145--1

DOE/METC/C-94/7120

CO₂/Sand Fracturing in Devonian Shales

Authors:

Yost, A.B. II (DOE/METC)

Mazza, R.L. (Petroleum Consulting Services)

Gehr, J.B. (Natural Gas Resources Corp.)

Conference Title:

Society of Petroleum Engineers 1993 Eastern Regional Conference
and Exhibition

Conference Location:

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Conference Dates:

November 2-4, 1993

Conference Sponsor:

Society of Petroleum Engineers

MASTER

DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
EP

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; prices available at (615) 576-8401.

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161; phone orders accepted at (703) 487-4650.

SPE 26925 CO₂/Sand Fracturing in Devonian Shales

A.B. Yost II, U.S. DOE/METC; R.L. Mazza, Petroleum Consulting Services; and J.B. Gehr, Natural Gas Resources Corp.

SPE Members

This paper was prepared for presentation at the 1993 Eastern Regional Conference & Exhibition held in Pittsburgh, PA, U.S.A., 2-4 November 1993.

ABSTRACT

A total of five carbon dioxide (CO₂)/sand well stimulations were successfully executed with two Devonian shale operators in Perry and Pike Counties, Kentucky. This new stimulation method offers a minimum formation damage proppant stimulation approach for natural gas producers in the United States. Some operators have been concerned about the frac fluid formation damage associated with the water and chemicals used in conventional foam stimulations, whereas other operators have been concerned about the lack of proppant in straight nitrogen fracs used by service companies today. Two carefully screened geological areas of established Devonian shale production were selected based on active ongoing drilling and completion operations. One selected control area contained an existing set of wells with established production histories.

References and illustrations at end of paper

More specifically, one operator furnished three offset wells which were stimulated with the carbon dioxide/sand frac method. The quantity of proppant and fluids pumped during each well stimulation ranged from 23,000 to 43,000 pounds of proppant and from 120 to 160 tons of liquid carbon dioxide. Another operator furnished two offset wells which were each stimulated with approximately 47,000 pounds of proppant and 120 tons of carbon dioxide.

The logistics and field layout of a typical carbon dioxide/sand frac treatment has been described and highlighted. The importance and unique aspects of the closed system blender that is required for job execution is discussed. Five stimulation treatments have been reviewed, and stimulation and preliminary production data compared to offset wells stimulated with nitrogen, and explosives. Initial production results indicate more than a 50 percent increase in production rate compared to nitrogen fraced wells in the Pike County area. In addition, production is

also 4.8 times better than conventional shot wells in the same area. These results are encouraging enough to formally combine existing pumping equipment, a closed system blender, and liquid carbon dioxide supplies to develop a new fracturing service in the eastern U.S. A total of 22 additional jobs are planned in the eastern U.S. in low permeability gas formations over the next year.

BACKGROUND/HISTORY

From a historical perspective, discussion in the public literature concerning the application of sand fracturing with carbon dioxide first appeared in 1982¹. It was reported that over 40 liquid CO₂/sand treatments had been performed by American Frac Master in the U.S. by 1982. Early results were encouraging, but frac equipment was moved out of the U.S. shortly thereafter eliminating the opportunity for operators to continue to test the fracturing process in the U.S. Of those 40 treatments, 60 percent were successful in gas wells, 25 percent were successful in oil wells, and 15 percent were considered noncommercial. Concurrently, during the early 1980's, more than 40 frac treatments were performed in Canada using gelled liquid CO₂/sand fracs. Early test results indicated a 50 percent increase in production response². Laboratory research proceeded in 1983 toward evaluation of different proppant mesh sizes using a proprietary gelling agent that added viscosity to the liquid carbon dioxide³. Subsequently, the continued use of viscous chemicals was suspended in future jobs executed in Canada. Research continued on understanding the mechanics of the CO₂ fracturing process and development of a suitable way to improve the rheology

of liquid CO₂⁴. Hydrocarbon based gelling agents were tested that would yield over a 2 centipoise viscosity.

During 1985, numerical simulation models were developed for proppant transport that included flow turbulency and its effect on proppant settlement and pressures in the fracture⁵. These numerical simulation models for CO₂/sand fracturing are quite different from conventional stimulation models.

During 1987, additional efforts were focused on methods to create viscosity in the presence of liquid CO₂, which resulted in the testing of a blend of a high molecular weight fatty alcohol, a sorbitan fatty acid ester and diesel oil representing 2 percent by volume. This component was then combined with liquid CO₂ to create a viscous emulsion⁶. A selective number of stimulations were performed in Canada using this emulsion system with mixed results. Shortly thereafter, the use of viscous agents was abandoned in favor of injecting proppant into 100 percent liquid CO₂. The obvious benefit was the elimination of residue and formation compatibility associated with the hydrocarbon-based viscous agents. By late 1987, it was reported that more than 450 100-percent liquid CO₂/sand fracs had been performed primarily in Canada⁷. Over 95 percent of the wells were gas wells at depths less than 8200 feet with the largest sand volumes used at approximately 44 tons. Typical sand volumes pumped ranged from approximately 10 to 22 tons.

INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Morgantown Energy Technology Center is chartered to implement the

Department's resource and extraction natural gas activities related to gas supply development. As part of the drilling, completion, and stimulation product development activities within DOE's resource and extraction program, development of the appropriate stimulation technology for improving the recovery efficiency from conventional and nonconventional gas formations is of major concern. Review of the literature indicated that the technology was available to the U.S. operators for a short period of time in the early 1980's but has since remained outside the U.S. and not available as a commercial service inside the U.S. In an effort to re-introduce this technology to U.S. operators and test the effectiveness of this stimulation technique in various geologic settings, a contract was developed with Petroleum Consulting Services to stimulate and test up to 27 wells using the carbon dioxide/sand fracturing methods in the Appalachian Basin.

To date, a total of five single stage stimulations have been completed with 22 additional stimulations planned in the Appalachian Basin over the next year. As part of reintroducing the process to those unfamiliar with the stimulation method, considerable discussion will follow about the history of technology development primarily outside the U.S., discussion of field implementation of job execution, and preliminary results from the early stimulation tests.

The concept of transporting sand in a closed pressure vessel has been under development outside the U.S. since 1981. Although the concept of hydraulic fracturing underground gas formations is not new, the equipment requirements have changed

drastically over the years. The CO₂/sand fracturing process (Fig. 1) differs substantially from conventional treatments in that job execution requires a pressurized blender that can combine liquid CO₂ with proppants under pressure. The surface layout of the CO₂/sand fracturing process (Fig. 2) identifies the logistical position of the CO₂ storage, nitrogen pumper, blender, and pump truck during fracturing operations.

SELECTION CRITERIA

A candidate well selection methodology was developed to improve the confidence in comparing technology results in various geologic settings. As a minimum requirement, emphasis was placed on providing an established background of production data from control wells to which the production responses from the candidate wells would be compared and an assessment made.

The candidate well selection criteria includes--

1. That the wells are located in accepted areas of legitimate, cost-effective, gas production.
2. That sufficient nearby background production information is available to enable the results of the procedure to be evaluated.
3. That any sand be removed from the wellbore immediately following the stimulation.
4. That the wells be turned in line no later than 30 days after treatment, and that the merits of using this technology be measured from production responses into the pipeline rather than interrupting

operator plans for production by conducting an elaborate well testing effort and forecasting indirect indicators of response.

and for the CO₂ to change to a gaseous phase. Flowbacks required 2 to 3 days.

PROCEDURE - FIELD EQUIPMENT

Sand proppant is combined with liquid carbon dioxide (CO₂) in a pressurized blender (Fig. 3) to make a sand/liquid CO₂ slurry. The blender is operated at a pressure of approximately 300 psi, and, as presently configured, can store up to 47,000 pounds of sand. It can develop CO₂/sand slurries with densities of up to 5 pounds per gallon at outputs of 55 barrels per minute.

The slurry is discharged directly into the suction side of conventional pump trucks which increase the sand-laden CO₂ slurry to wellhead treating pressures and inject it into the wells.

The liquid CO₂ is stored in two 60-ton portable storage trailers which discharge directly into the blender. They are filled via 20-ton transport trailers prior to these treatments.

During the treatment, the CO₂ is displaced from the CO₂ storage vessels and into the blender with gaseous nitrogen, which allows a constant pressure to be maintained.

The sand concentration is monitored with a radioactive densimeter throughout the treatment and is adjusted to create the desired sand schedule. All five treatments were executed with the densimeter and resulted in the designed sand schedule being pumped.

Following the treatment, the well is flowed back on a choke. Care is exercised to allow the formation stresses to close on the sand pack

JOB EXECUTION

The first series of single stage treatments involved five wells located in Perry (Fig. 4) and Pike (Fig. 5) Counties, Kentucky. They were all completed in the Devonian shale over perforated intervals ranging from 238 to 366 feet, and were selected on the basis of the treatment diversity and quality of the offset production information. They were all treated in January 1993.

The mountainous terrain of eastern Kentucky was the location of the first five treatments, and with one exception, all were treated with equipment on the wellsite. The one well was treated from the base of a hill through 4-1/2-inch casing which had been pressure tested prior to job execution.

The wells were all treated with liquid CO₂ and sand. They were all found to have some sand in them, which was removed by various methods: Coiled tubing, with field gas and tubing, and two were sand pumped with exceptional care exercised to minimize water volumes. The sand removal had only a minor effect on the gas production rate, which may be a result of the liquid-free sand pack which remains following the CO₂ vaporization.

A summary of candidate well stimulation information is shown in Table 1. The first well (permit no. 83961) was stimulated with 22,700 pounds of 20/40 sand and 120 tons of CO₂. A conservative volume of sand was injected to evaluate the ease of introducing sand into the liquid CO₂

stream for subsequent injection downhole. The first treatment went very smoothly; therefore, subsequent jobs were executed with the blender loaded to its maximum capacity of 47,000 pounds. The second well (permit no. 83962) was stimulated with 40,200 pounds of 20/40 sand and 160 tons of CO₂ resulting in an effective increase from 1.9 to 2.6 pounds per gallon sand concentration. The remaining subsequent jobs were all executed with 120 tons of CO₂ and increasing sand volumes up to 46,000 pounds of 20/40 sand at an average concentration of 3.1 pound per gallon sand.

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

The preliminary results are encouraging, and although only a few months of production is available, the rate of gas production from the CO₂ treated candidate wells is greater than that from the control wells (Tables 2 and 3). The average monthly production for the CO₂/sand fraced wells in Perry County is shown in Table 2. The CO₂/sand fracs appear to be 56 percent better than the nitrogen fracs in Pike County as shown in Table 3. In addition, the CO₂/sand fracs are 4.8 times better than conventional shot wells in the Pike County study area. It should be recognized that these results are from a very limited data set and overall conclusions may change as more control wells are added to the analysis. From a stimulation process achievement viewpoint, the maximum amount of sand pumped is 46,000 pounds at an average concentration of 3.1 pound per gallon. It should be pointed out that additional foam and nitrogen stimulations have recently been performed by the operator in the Pike County area, and subsequent discussions in the future will

include additional control wells to the baseline data sets.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Preliminary monthly production rates of the wells stimulated with CO₂/sand are 56 percent better than nitrogen fraced wells in the Pike County study area.
2. Wells stimulated with CO₂/sand are 4.8 times more productive than shot wells in the early months of production for the Pike County study area.
3. The long term production from using CO₂/sand fracturing compared to nitrogen and foam conventional treatments needs additional investigation in other areas to verify the broad application of the technology in Devonian shale.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank J. W. Kinzer of Kinzer Drilling and K. L. Hall of CD&G Development Company for providing wells of opportunity to test the effectiveness of this stimulation technique. In addition, the authors wish to thank W. A. Schuller of EG&G Analytical Services for his support in selected graphics development.

REFERENCES

1. Lillies, A. T. and King, S. R.: "Sand Fracturing With Liquid Carbon Dioxide," Paper SPE 11341 Presented at the 1982 Production Technology Symposium, Hobbs, NM, November 8-9.

2. King, S. R.: "Liquid CO₂ for the Stimulation of Low-Permeability Reservoirs," Paper SPE 11616 presented at the 1983 SPE/DOE Symposium on Low Permeability, Denver, CO, March 14-16.
3. Lillies, A. T.: "Sand Fracturing with Liquid Carbon Dioxide," Paper No. 82-33-23 presented at the 33rd Annual Technical Meeting of the Petroleum Society of CIM held jointly with the 6th Symposium on Engineering Applications of Mechanics, June 6-9, 1982.
4. Greenhorn, R. C. and Li, E.: "Investigation of High Phase Volume Liquid CO₂ Fracturing Fluids," Paper No. 85-36-34 presented at the 36th Annual Technical Meeting of the Petroleum Society of CIM held jointly with the Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists in Edmonton, Alberta, June 2-5, 1985.
5. Settari, A., Bachman, R. C., and Morrison, D. C.: "Numerical Simulation of Hydraulic Fracturing Treatments with Low-Viscosity Fluids," JCPT (June 1985).
6. Lancaster, G. W., Barrientos, C., and Greenhorn, R. C.: "High Phase Volume Liquid CO₂ Fracturing Fluids," Paper presented at the 38th Annual Technical Meeting of the Petroleum Society of CIM held in Calgary, June 7-10, 1987.
7. Sinal, M. L. and Lancaster, G.: "Liquid CO₂ Fracturing: Advantages and Limitations," JCPT (Sept to Oct, 1987).

Table 1 - CANDIDATE WELL STIMULATION SUMMARY

PERMIT #:	83961	83962	83780	83706	83739
CO/ST:	Perry/KY	Perry/KY	Perry/KY	Pike/KY	Pike/KY
COMPLETED:	01/08/93	01/10/93	01/11/93	01/14/93	01/17/93
PERFS:	19	17	18	22	18
TOP:	2976	3412	3332	2984	3162
BOT:	3342	3748	3666	3248	3400
INTERVAL:	366	336	334	264	238
ACID (GAL):	0	0	500	300	300
CO ₂ (BBLs):	115	131	96	95	95
(TONS):	(120)	(160)	(120)	(120)	(120)
PAD (BBLs):	240	255	106	121	135
SL (BBLs):	338	463	435	419	408
FLUSH (BBLs):	70	38	27	22	21
PMP (BBLs):	648	756	568	562	564
SAND (SXS):	245	425	460	440	470
IN WELL:	18	23	31	10	10
NET (SXS):	227	402	429	430	460
MESH:	20/40	20/40	20/40	20/40	20/40
N ₂ (MCF):	67	100	84	88	72
RATE (BPM)					
AVG:	42.3	44.3	35.2	43.4	33.2
PRESS (PSI)					
AVG:	2064	2804	1171	2195	3187
SND CONC (PPG)					
AVG:	1.9	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.1
HORSEPOWER					
AVG:	2140	3045	1010	2335	2593

TABLE 2 - PERRY COUNTY PRODUCTION SUMMARY

CO₂/Sand Stimulated Wells

PERMIT	STIM	(TONS)	CO ₂ (SXS)	SAND MCF/MO	MO
83961	CO ₂ /sand	120	227	1078	4.1
83962	CO ₂ /sand	160	402	2118	4.1
83780	CO ₂ /sand	120	429	2279	4.1

TABLE 3 - PIKE COUNTY PRODUCTION SUMMARY

CONTROL WELLS					CANDIDATE WELLS				
PERMIT	STIM	WATER BBLs	SAND (SXS)	5 MO MCF/MO	PERMIT	STIM	CO ₂ (TONS)	SAND (SXS)	MCF/MO MO
50774	Shot	0	0	518	83706	CO ₂ /sand	120	430	939 5.3
52228	Shot	0	0	821	83739	CO ₂ /sand	120	460	5551 4.6
80701	N ₂ Gas	0	0	2082					

Figure 1 - CO₂/Sand Fracture Process

Figure 2 - Liquid CO₂/Sand Stimulation Surface Layout

Figure 3 - Liquid CO₂ Blender

Figure 4 -- Location of Candidate Wells, Perry County, Kentucky

Figure 5 -- Location of Control/Candidate Wells, Pike Co., Kentucky

END

DATE

FILMED

3/29/94