1 of 2

MCNP: Multigroup/Adjoint Capabilities

John C. Wagner

Everett L. Redmond II

Scott P. Palmtag

John S. Hendricks

Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

8725

MCNP: MULTIGROUP/ADJOINT CAPABILITIES

by

**John C. Wagner, Everett L. Redmond II,
Scott P. Palmtag, and John S. Hendricks**

ABSTRACT

This report discusses various aspects related to the use and validity of the general purpose Monte Carlo code MCNP for multigroup/adjoint calculations. The increased desire to perform comparisons between Monte Carlo and deterministic codes, along with the ever-present desire to increase the efficiency of large MCNP calculations has produced a greater user demand for the multigroup/adjoint capabilities. To more fully utilize these capabilities, we review the applications of the Monte Carlo multigroup/adjoint method, describe how to generate multigroup cross sections for MCNP with the auxiliary CRSRD code, describe how to use the multigroup/adjoint capability in MCNP, and provide examples and results indicating the effectiveness and validity of the MCNP multigroup/adjoint treatment. This information should assist users in taking advantage of the MCNP multigroup/adjoint capabilities.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Multigroup MCNP

The multigroup option in MCNP^{1,2} is a powerful method for a number of important applications:

- (1) comparison of deterministic (S_N) transport codes to Monte Carlo in general, and MCNP in particular;
- (2) utilization of adjoint calculations in problems where it is more efficient than forward transport calculations, specifically problems where the detector region is relatively small and the source region relatively large;
- (3) generation of adjoint importance functions to enhance calculational efficiency in forward multigroup or continuous-energy Monte Carlo calculations;
- (4) cross-section sensitivity studies;
- (5) solution of problems with multigroup cross sections when continuous-energy cross sections are unavailable; and
- (6) charged particle transport using the Boltzmann-Fokker-Planck multigroup capability.

Effective use of the multigroup method requires the availability of appropriate multigroup cross sections. A standard multigroup set, MGXSNP, is available for MCNP for coupled neutron-photon transport. However, this available set is not suitable for all problems, and there is a need for users to be able to generate multigroup libraries tailored to their specific applications. Further, comparisons with deterministic codes and cross-section sensitivity studies require that the Monte Carlo code utilize the same data as deterministic codes. Thus, a translator is needed to generate MCNP multigroup cross sections from deterministic multigroup cross sections. For these purposes CRSRD (a computer code that processes deterministic multigroup cross-section libraries into a format suitable to MCNP) is available.

In the past, some discrepancies^{3,4} have been noted between MCNP multigroup results and continuous-energy results. It was concluded that the representation of the angular scattering distributions by the Carter and Forest equally probable step function method in CRSRD was most likely responsible for the poor agreement.⁵ Thus, a new method of treatment for angular scattering has been developed and implemented into CRSRD. It is believed that this new representation will significantly reduce the aforementioned discrepancies.

B. Motivation

The main purpose of this report is to enhance the utilization of the multigroup/adjoint capability in MCNP. To this end we describe the uses of the multigroup/adjoint method, how to generate multigroup libraries with CRSRD, and how to run MCNP in the multigroup/adjoint mode. We then provide examples and benchmarks demonstrating the usage, applicability, and validity of the multigroup/adjoint treatment in MCNP.

The second purpose of this report is to benchmark the MCNP multigroup/adjoint capability. Although MCNP has been thoroughly benchmarked in the continuous energy mode,^{6–9} it has not been adequately validated in the multigroup mode. Thus we present a number of MCNP multigroup neutron, photon, and criticality calculations to compare MCNP multigroup results to deterministic codes, continuous-energy MCNP, and experimental measurements.

C. Outline

This report is organized as follows: Section II describes applications for the MCNP multigroup/adjoint capabilities. Section III describes the treatment of multigroup cross sections in MCNP and presents the CRSRD computer code and instructions related to its use. A discussion on how to use multigroup data in MCNP for forward and adjoint calculations and for the generation of adjoint importance functions is provided in Section IV. Section IV also presents several examples of these capabilities. Section V investigates the validity of multigroup MCNP by comparing results from multigroup MCNP, continuous energy MCNP, deterministic codes (ONEDANT,¹⁰ TWODANT,¹¹ and THREEDANT,¹²) and experimental measurements for a relatively wide variety of problems. Section VI presents conclusions. Appendix A contains information related to the MCNP default multigroup cross-section library MGXSNP. MCNP input files are presented in Appendix B, providing an unambiguous description of the example problems of Section IV. Finally, Appendix C contains a description of the format and contents of a multigroup cross-section file that is readable by MCNP.

II. Applications of Multigroup/Adjoint Capabilities

The following is a collection of situations in which the various multigroup/adjoint capabilities can be useful.

A. Code Comparisons

It is often desirable to compare deterministic S_N results to Monte Carlo results to determine the adequacy of the deterministic model, and to identify potential problems associated with deterministic transport (i.e., transport through optically thick regions or voids and geometric approximations). However, to truly compare the two methods, one must use the same cross sections in both calculations. Thus, the S_N multigroup library must be processed into a format suitable for MCNP and subsequently used in a multigroup MCNP calculation. This processing can be done with the CRSRD code.

B. Computational Efficiency

One of the inherent difficulties associated with Monte Carlo calculations is the amount of computer time required to generate statistically converged results of sufficient *precision*. This difficulty is especially pronounced in problems containing optically thick materials and in problems that are geometrically large. There are many ways in which a user can improve the precision of a Monte Carlo calculation. These include: (1) properly choosing the tally size and type, (2) properly implementing the applicable variance reduction techniques, (3) running additional histories, (4) executing the problem in the appropriate mode (i.e., forward or adjoint), and (5) generating and utilizing either weight windows or an adjoint importance function, where applicable.

The choice of a forward versus an adjoint calculation depends upon the relative size of the source and detector regions. It is much easier to transport particles from a small region to a large region than it is to transport particles from a large region to a small region. Forward calculations transport particles from the source region to the detector regions and are therefore preferable when the detector regions are relatively large and the source region is relatively small. On the other hand, because adjoint calculations transport particles backward (from the detector region to the source region), adjoint calculations are preferable when the source region is large and detector region is small.

The adjoint option in MCNP can only be used in the multigroup mode. MCNP is presently not capable of continuous energy adjoint calculations. Additionally, MCNP is not presently capable of performing adjoint criticality calculations.

An accurate space-energy-dependent importance function can significantly increase the efficiency of a calculation. MCNP offers two methods of generating such importance functions; the forward weight window generator^{13,14} and the multigroup adjoint transport option. The weight window generator can be used with continuous energy MCNP and generates a space and energy dependent weight window importance function for up to 15 energy intervals. The multigroup adjoint option, on the other hand, generates a space-dependent adjoint importance function for each energy group in the multigroup library.

The principal problem encountered when using these generators is bad estimates of the importance function because of the statistical nature of the generator.¹⁴ Unless a phase space region is sampled adequately, there will be either no generator importance estimate or an unreliable one. Based on the discussion above concerning the use of forward and adjoint modes, one can determine which method of importance generation is best suited for a particular problem.

C. Cross-Section Sensitivity Studies

It is fairly well understood that multigroup cross sections are problem dependent and that their generation is as much an art as a science. Thus, over the years, a large number of multigroup libraries have been developed to meet the needs of different types of calculations. For example, SAILOR,¹⁵ BUGLE,¹⁶ and ELXSIR¹⁷ are all multigroup libraries that are applicable to pressure vessel neutron fluence calculations.¹⁸

It is an accepted practice to choose and utilize one of the many "off the shelf" multigroup cross-section libraries based on the group structure, the weighting or collapsing function used, and the intent of whoever generated the library. The majority of the "off the shelf" libraries have been thoroughly tested, and thus, this practice has, in general, produced good/acceptable results. However, one must choose the multigroup library for a particular application intelligently.

Often, due to poor documentation and/or oversights by the library creators or for reasons related to quality assurance, questions and uncertainties related to the applicability of particular libraries arise, and one would like to have the ability to

compare the performance of a multigroup library to that of continuous energy simulation and/or other multigroup libraries. This type of analysis can be performed with the aid of the continuous energy and multigroup options in MCNP.

D. Continuous Energy Cross-Sections Unavailable

MCNP offers an extensive selection of continuous energy cross-sections with respect to the number of available isotopes and with respect to the origin of the data. Nevertheless, situations arise occasionally in which users require cross-section data (typically for either uncommon isotopes or material homogenizations) that are not presently available within the many MCNP continuous energy libraries. For example, MCNP has only a limited fission product cross-section data set available and some important isotopes for some applications, such as germanium and iodine, are missing. To overcome this problem, the user must find an appropriate multigroup library that contains the desired cross-section data. It is currently not possible to use both continuous energy and multigroup cross-section data in a single MCNP calculation. A problem requiring cross-section data that is not available to MCNP in continuous energy form must be run using only multigroup data. Hence for this type of application, the multigroup option in MCNP is a necessity.

E. Charged Particle Capability

Although MCNP has charged particle transport for electrons, the physics is missing for other charged particles. However, charged particles can be run in MCNP provided the appropriate multigroup charged particle library is available. MCNP has a Boltzmann-Fokker-Planck treatment in its multigroup physics allowing for straight-ahead scattering and continuous slowing down in addition to Boltzmann scattering. Because all the physics of charged particle interactions is buried in the multigroup cross-section library, MCNP does the transport as if the particles were neutrons. All the printouts and summary tables appear exactly as they would for a neutron problem, and thus the charged particles are really masquerading as neutrons. To our knowledge, MCNP is the only major Monte Carlo code with an adjoint charged particle capability. The multigroup option is the only way to run charged particles other than electrons in MCNP. It is currently available as a research tool and will not be further described. Contact X-6 group for further information on this capability. The theory for this method is described in Ref. 29.

III. GENERATING MULTIGROUP LIBRARIES WITH CRSRD

The CRSRD computer code was developed to read multigroup cross-section files in a variety of formats and to reformat and translate the data into a form acceptable to MCNP. Currently, CRSRD is able to read and process card-image data libraries written in DTF, fixed field FIDO, and the binary AMPX Working Library formats as well as the Los Alamos specific MENDF format. The code, however, is quite a bit more lengthy than one might have expected for a simple conversion utility. The reason for this length is the generality with which it was written, providing the user with many options for input. CRSRD was also written in such a way that a new user should be able to easily modify it to read another format or modify it to manipulate the data for producing the MCNP Type 1 (ASCII) cross-section file. A more detailed description of CRSRD and its input structure will be provided later in this section. First, though, a description of multigroup cross sections and how they are used within MCNP will be provided as background for the user and as insight into CRSRD. This description will be followed by a brief description of the angular treatments available in CRSRD and MCNP for handling the Legendre expansion terms in the multigroup cross-section sets.

A. Multigroup Cross Sections

Multigroup cross sections, as they are used in deterministic codes, can be defined in many different ways. This is especially true of the absorption cross section which may or may not include fission and may or may not have $(n, 2n)$ and similar reactions subtracted from it. In order to help the user better understand the CRSRD program, a discussion on multigroup cross sections will be provided.

For the purposes of this discussion we will assume that a multigroup library is available that contains only the following cross sections:

- σ_T^g microscopic total cross section for group g
- $\nu * \sigma_F^g$ microscopic fission cross section for group g multiplied by ν for group g
- σ_A^g microscopic absorption cross section for group g
- $\sigma_S^{g \rightarrow g'}$ microscopic scattering cross section from group g to group g'

We will also assume that these cross sections were calculated from the following reaction cross sections in a manner to be described later.

- (**elastic**) microscopic elastic scattering cross section from group g to group g'
- ($\mathbf{n}, \gamma$) microscopic (n, γ) reaction cross section for group g ; also referred to as the capture cross section
- ($\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}'$) microscopic (n, n') reaction cross section for group g
- ($\mathbf{n}, 2\mathbf{n}$) microscopic ($n, 2n$) reaction cross section for group g
- ($\mathbf{n}, 3\mathbf{n}$) microscopic ($n, 3n$) reaction cross section for group g
- ($\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{f}$) microscopic fission reaction cross section for group g
- ($\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}'\mathbf{f}$) microscopic ($n, n'f$) reaction cross section for group g
- ($\mathbf{n}, 2\mathbf{n}\mathbf{f}$) microscopic ($n, 2nf$) reaction cross section for group g

The total, fission, and scattering cross sections are defined in the following manner using the reactions listed above.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_T^g = & (\text{elastic}) + (n, \gamma) + (n, n') + (n, 2n) + (n, 3n) + (n, f) \\ & + (n, n'f) + (n, 2nf) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\sigma_F^g = (n, f) + (n, n'f) + (n, 2nf) \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_S^{g \rightarrow g'} = & (\text{elastic}) + (n, n'f) + 2 * (n, 2nf) \\ & + (n, n') + 2 * (n, 2n) + 3 * (n, 3n) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

It is important to note that the scattering cross section includes the other modes of neutron production besides fission. This inclusion is done to combine the angular distribution data for elastic scattering with the angular distribution data for these other production processes into one cross section.

The absorption cross section can be defined in many different ways but the three most popular ways are as follows.

$$\sigma_A^g = (n, \gamma) \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma_A^g = (n, \gamma) - (n, n'f) - 2 * (n, 2nf) - (n, 2n) - 2 * (n, 3n) \quad (5)$$

$$\sigma_A^g = (n, \gamma) - (n, n'f) - 2 * (n, 2nf) - (n, 2n) - 2 * (n, 3n) + \sigma_F^g \quad (6)$$

The latter equation can be rewritten as

$$\sigma_A^g = (n, \gamma) - (n, 2n) - 2 * (n, 3n) + (n, f) - (n, 2nf) \quad (7)$$

Equation 4 is the capture cross section while Eq. 5 is used to preserve cross-section balance in the sense that

$$\sigma_T^g = \sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g + \sigma_S^{g-tot} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\sigma_S^{g-tot} = \sum_{g'=1}^G \sigma_S^{g \rightarrow g'}$$

Most deterministic codes and many cross-section sets only use $\nu * \sigma_F^g$. In this case the fission cross section is not available and Eq. 6, is used to preserve the balance. These different ways for defining the cross sections can create a problem for MCNP. For example, MCNP requires that ν and σ_F^g be separate. Therefore, in the development of CRSRD certain issues had to be addressed.

1. How are ν and σ_F^g calculated from $\nu * \sigma_F^g$ if neither is available ?
2. If the absorption cross section includes fission, is this a problem and how is it resolved ?
3. If the absorption cross section is negative (this can occur if absorption is defined according to Eqs. 5 or 6) is this a problem and how is it resolved ?

In order to answer these questions the use of multigroup cross sections within MCNP will be described so a user can better understand the mechanics of the Monte Carlo transport within MCNP. This discussion is primarily focused on forward neutron transport but is applicable to photon and possibly charged particle transport.

B. MCNP Forward Multigroup Treatment

The MCNP multigroup treatment uses only the absorption, fission, scattering, and total cross sections in the transport. In criticality calculations and when the user includes a NONU card, the fission event is treated strictly as a capture event and no new particles are produced. Capture, itself, can be handled in two fashions,

either analog or implicit. In analog capture the particle is actually terminated if a capture event occurs. With implicit capture, the particle's weight is simply reduced by an amount equal to the original weight times the ratio of the capture cross section to the total cross section, and the particle survives. (For a more detailed discussion of analog versus implicit capture refer to the MCNP manual.¹) The combination of implicit and analog capture and the option of treating fission as capture or real results in four possible combinations that will be discussed in further detail.

During this discussion, the weight of a particle should be loosely interpreted as the number of particles. The important point in the transport of particles is that, on average, the number of particles emerging from all possible reactions at a given energy be correct. In other words, the outgoing weight of the particle should reflect the net increase or decrease in particles due to all possible reactions at a given energy.

In MCNP, the following events occur in the sampling of a collision.

1. Distance to collision is calculated using the total cross section for a material (a combination of isotopes).
2. The particle is transported to the collision site.
3. The specific isotope within which the collision occurred is sampled. The sampling is based on the macroscopic total cross section for each individual isotope and the macroscopic total cross section for the material.
4. The capture event for the chosen isotope is sampled. If analog capture is in effect, the capture is sampled, and if chosen, the particle is terminated. If capture is not chosen, then no weight change occurs. When implicit capture is in effect, then the weight of the particle is automatically reduced by the appropriate amount and transport continues.
5. If a particle survives the capture event, fission is sampled if possible.
6. If fission is not possible or is not chosen, scattering is sampled.

Table I presents the various events that occur in MCNP when analog capture is used and fission is treated as capture, as well as the associated probabilities and weight adjustments.

TABLE I
A Description of the Events that Occur
when Analog Capture is Used
and Fission is Treated as Capture

Weight before capture	WGT_{orig}	
Probability of capture	$\frac{\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g}{\sigma_T^g}$	
Weight after capture if it survives	WGT_{orig}	
	Fission	Scatter
Probability	0	$1 - \frac{\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g}{\sigma_T^g}$
Num. particles emerging from event	0	$\frac{\sigma_S^g - tot}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g - \sigma_F^g}$
Weight adjustment (Prob.)*(Num. particles)	0	$\frac{\sigma_S^g - tot}{\sigma_T^g}$
Final weight	$WGT_{orig} * \frac{\sigma_S^g - tot}{\sigma_T^g}$	

The final weight, therefore, is equivalent to the original number of particles times the net number of particles emerging from a collision of a single particle with an isotope. Consulting Eq. 3 will help assure the user that the final weight listed in Table I is correct. It is important to note here that the absorption cross section does not play a direct role in the weight emerging from the collision. However, problems will arise in the transport of particles if $\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g < 0$. This situation can arise when the fission cross section is zero and absorption is defined according to Eq. 5 and $(n, 2n)$ or similar reactions have higher cross sections than (n, γ) . This interpretation is especially true in Deuterium and Beryllium at the higher energies.

In order to better understand the implications of Table I, the method used in MCNP to determine if a capture event has occurred is shown below.

$$1) \quad tmp = RN * \sigma_T^g$$

where RN is a random number between 0 and 1 and tmp is a dummy variable

$$2) \quad \text{if } \sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g > tmp$$

then a capture event has occurred

In this case one can see that if $\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g < 0$, then a capture event will never occur. This problem is not serious by itself. However, the next event to be sampled will be scattering, and the number of particles emerging from the scattering event will be one, according to Table I. This is because σ_A^g is defined according to Eq. 5 and the cross-sections balance. A single particle emerging from this scattering event is incorrect, and no adjustment is made elsewhere. The result is incorrect transport of particles with no resulting fatal error, simply incorrect answers. The solution to this problem is to set the negative absorption cross section to zero to assure that $\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g$ is never less than zero.

The exact manner in which MCNP handles the scattering is different from that represented in Table I. The final result after a collision occurs is basically as represented in the table except the actual mechanics of the scattering are different. The method MCNP uses for scattering and fission does not result in any weight increase to the particles. Rather a certain number of particles are all started with the same weight, and the net effect is as presented in the table. The exact method MCNP uses for scattering is:

$$1) \quad tmp = \sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g - \sigma_F^g$$

$$2) \quad num = int(RN + \frac{\sigma_S^{g-tot}}{tmp})$$

where num is the number of particles created from the scattering event all at the same weight WGT_{orig} and for fission it is:

$$1) \quad tmp = RN * (\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g)$$

2) $\text{if } \sigma_F^g > tmp$
then a fission event occurred

3) $num = int(RN + \nu)$

where num is the number of particles created from the fission event all at the same weight WGT_{orig}

Table II describes the processes that occur and their associated probabilities and weight adjustment for a problem run with implicit capture and fission treated as capture. In this particular case $\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g < 0$ does not create a serious problem; however, it is of significant enough interest to warrant further discussion.

TABLE II
A Description of the Events that Occur
when Implicit Capture is Used
and Fission is Treated as Capture

Weight before capture	WGT_{orig}	
Weight after implicit capture	$WGT_{new} = WGT_{orig} * (1 - \frac{\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g}{\sigma_T^g})$	
	Fission	Scatter
Probability	0	1
Num. particles emerging from event	0	$\frac{\sigma_S^{g-tot}}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g - \sigma_F^g}$
Weight adjustment (Prob.)*(Num. particles)	0	$\frac{\sigma_S^{g-tot}}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g - \sigma_F^g}$
Final weight	$WGT_{final} = WGT_{new} * (\frac{\sigma_S^{g-tot}}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g - \sigma_F^g})$ $= WGT_{orig} * (\frac{\sigma_S^{g-tot}}{\sigma_T^g})$	

In order to illustrate what can happen when $\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g < 0$ and implicit capture is performed, the following cross sections will be used.

- $\sigma_C^g = 0.5$ - capture as defined by Eq. 4
- $\sigma_F^g = 0.0$
- $\sigma_T^g = 10.0$
- $\sigma_S^{g-tot} = 400.0$

Three possible scenarios will be explored. The first is when $\sigma_A^g = \sigma_C^g$, the second has $\sigma_A^g = -390.0$, while the third scenario has $\sigma_A^g = 0.0$.

Case 1 $\sigma_A^g = 0.5$

$$WGT_{new} = WGT_{orig} * 0.99875$$

Number of particles emerging = 42.1, each with weight

$$WGT_{orig} * 0.99875$$

Case 2 $\sigma_A^g = -390.0$

$$WGT_{new} = WGT_{orig} * 40.0$$

Number of particles emerging = 1.0

Case 3 $\sigma_A^g = 0.0$

$$WGT_{new} = WGT_{orig}$$

Number of particles emerging = 40.0, each with weight WGT_{orig}

It is obvious that all three of these different cases will produce the same net weight. However, a different number of particles will be generated in each case. Cases 1 and 3 will both result in the “bank” filling up very quickly and overflowing to a backup file. This overflow will not affect the answers, but the efficiency of the calculation may drastically drop compared to the Case 2 scenario. However, the Case 2 scenario will result in a large weight increase which may adversely affect the weight game if variance reduction techniques are used. Therefore, the user has been provided with the option in CRSRD to either set negative absorption cross sections to zero or leave them unaltered. If they are left unaltered, the user must NOT use analog capture as this will result in incorrect answers, and NO warning messages will be given.

In Table II a serious problem can arise if $\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g > \sigma_T$. In this particular case WGT_{new} would become negative. This negative weight particle would then be terminated immediately in the weight cutoff game. The result is an incorrect representation of the scattering event because it would never occur. Again this sort

of error would not be evident in MCNP except that the answers would be incorrect. Usually this problem will not arise; however, if σ_A^g is defined according to Eq. 6 and σ_F^g is non negative or $\nu * \sigma_F^g$ is inadvertently used for σ_F^g , then this problem does manifest itself.

Tables III and IV present the interactions and the associated probabilities and weight corrections for the cases of analog and implicit capture being used when fission is treated as real. Analysis of these tables indicates that problems can arise if σ_A^g is less than zero for the analog case and if $\sigma_A^g > \sigma_T^g$ for the implicit case. The problems will manifest themselves in that the scattering interactions will not be sampled properly. These problems are basically identical to the ones discussed for Tables I and II.

TABLE III
A Description of the Events that Occur
when Analog Capture is Used
and Fission is Treated as Real

Weight before capture	WGT_{orig}	
Probability of capture	$\frac{\sigma_A^g}{\sigma_T^g}$	
Weight after capture if it survives	WGT_{orig}	
	Fission	Scatter
Probability	$(1 - \frac{\sigma_A^g}{\sigma_T^g}) * (\frac{\sigma_F^g}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g})$	$(1 - \frac{\sigma_A^g}{\sigma_T^g}) * (1 - \frac{\sigma_F^g}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g})$
Num. particles emerging from event	ν	$\frac{\sigma_S^{g-tot}}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g - \sigma_F^g}$
Weight adjustment (Prob.)*(Num. particles)	$\nu * (\frac{\sigma_F^g}{\sigma_T^g})$	$\frac{\sigma_S^{g-tot}}{\sigma_T^g}$
Final weight	$WGT_{orig} * (\frac{\nu * \sigma_F^g + \sigma_S^{g-tot}}{\sigma_T^g})$	

TABLE IV
A Description of the Events that Occur
When Implicit Capture is Used
and Fission is Treated as Real

Weight before capture	WGT_{orig}	
Weight after implicit capture	$WGT_{new} = WGT_{orig} * (1 - \frac{\sigma_A^g}{\sigma_T^g})$	
	Fission	Scatter
Probability	$\frac{\sigma_F^g}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g}$	$1 - \frac{\sigma_F^g}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g}$
Num. particles emerging from event	ν	$\frac{\sigma_S^{g-tot}}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g - \sigma_F^g}$
Weight adjustment (Prob.)*(Num. particles)	$\nu * (\frac{\sigma_F^g}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g})$	$\frac{\sigma_S^{g-tot}}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g}$
Final weight	$WGT_{final} = WGT_{new} * (\frac{\nu * \sigma_F^g + \sigma_S^{g-tot}}{\sigma_T^g - \sigma_A^g})$ $= WGT_{orig} * (\frac{\nu * \sigma_F^g + \sigma_S^{g-tot}}{\sigma_T^g})$	

The important point that can be derived from the tables presented is that $\nu * \sigma_F^g$, σ_S^{g-tot} , and σ_T^g are the only cross sections that are vitally important for the proper transport of particles, assuming certain conditions are met concerning σ_A^g .

C. How CRSRD Handles Cross Section Problems

Multigroup cross-section libraries differ significantly in both content and arrangement. CRSRD, must therefore, be able to properly handle the different ways in which it receives the data. An excellent example is that many libraries only provide $\nu * \sigma_F^g$, therefore this has to be split by CRSRD into its separate components. Also, CRSRD has to be able to determine if there are problems with the cross sections, and if so, how to correct them. As discussed earlier, problems can arise in the MCNP transport if any of the following conditions occur.

- $\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g > \sigma_T^g$ for fission being treated as capture
- $\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g < 0$ for fission being treated as capture and analog capture used
- $\sigma_A^g > \sigma_T^g$ for fission being treated as real
- $\sigma_A^g < 0$ for fission being treated as real and analog capture used

CRSRD has three different methods of determining ν and σ_F^g from $\nu * \sigma_F^g$ when the latter is the only reaction available. They are listed in the order in which they are used.

1. If σ_F^g is available as a reaction, it is used to calculate ν_{total} .
2. If ν_{total} or ν_{prompt} are provided by the user or listed as a reaction, then they are used to calculate σ_F^g (ν_{total} being used before ν_{prompt})
3. A value of 2.5 is used for ν_{total} and σ_F^g is then calculated.

CRSRD has been written to correct any of the problems mentioned above and to split $\nu * \sigma_F^g$ into its separate parts when required. Since other formats may be different in the information they contain, the user who wishes to add an additional capability of reading a different format to CRSRD must be aware of how that format is defined.

Table V illustrates how CRSRD handles the potential problems that can arise in processing multigroup cross sections.

TABLE V
A Description of How CRSRD Handles Potential
Cross Section Problems

Problem	Usually Occurs When	Solution
$\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g > \sigma_T^g$	σ_F^g is non zero and is included in σ_A^g	subtract σ_F^g from σ_A^g
$\sigma_A^g + \sigma_F^g < 0$	σ_F^g is zero and $(n, 2n) > (n, \gamma)$	user option to set σ_A^g to zero
$\sigma_A^g < 0$	$(n, 2n) > (n, \gamma)$	user option to set σ_A^g to zero

D. Legendre Series Representation in MCNP

The Legendre series expansion used by deterministic codes to represent the angular scattering information is not suitable for direct use in MCNP. This problem is because the scattering function, as represented with the Legendre expansion, is not everywhere positive over the range $-1 \leq \mu \leq 1$. If it were used directly, negative weight particles might be created which could adversely affect the stability of the answers. Therefore, the expansion must be converted into a form usable by MCNP. There are two methods of performing this conversion on the Legendre expansion.

The first method is to create discrete scattering angles based on a conservation of the moments. The second is to create equi-probable scattering bins also based on a conservation of moments. The discrete angle approach has been used in other Monte Carlo codes but can result in problems for certain scattering media due to “ray-effects.”¹⁹ Another disadvantage to discrete angles is that in some codes, MCNP included, point detectors do not work with this angular representation because the point detectors must be able to sample from an angular distribution. There are two algorithms for calculating the discrete angles in CRSRD. The first, and most widely used, was borrowed from MORSE and will be referred to as the MORSE method. The second algorithm is the RADAU treatment. This treatment is primarily used for heavily forward peaked scattering as can be found in charged particle transport. This treatment is only recommended for the user who is familiar with its proper use because the total cross section is adjusted when this treatment is used.

There are two methods available for calculating the equi-probable scattering bins. The first was developed by Carter and Forest and is based on conservation of the moments. The second method was developed by Baker and is referred to as the Maximum Entropy approach.⁵ This approach calculates a new scattering function which is everywhere positive and then uses this function to calculate 32 or less equi-probable bins.

Table VI lists the number of discrete angles or equi-probable bins that are produced from a Legendre expansion of order L using each of the methods discussed.

When only P0 cross sections are present, isotropic scattering in the lab system occurs. In this case, CRSRD will not execute any of the angular distribution routines since they are not appropriate. Rather, CRSRD will put the appropriate information on the Type 1 cross-section file indicating isotropic scattering.

TABLE VI
Number of Discrete Angles or Equi-Probable Bins
Produced by the Various Methods Available in
CRSRD as a Function of Legendre Order L

Method	Number of Angles or Bins Produced	Restrictions
MORSE	L for L odd $L + 1$ for L even	$L \geq 1$
RADAU	$L + 2$ for L odd $L + 3$ for L even	$L \geq 1$
Carter-Forest	$L - 1$	$L \geq 2$
Maximum Entropy	≤ 32	$L \geq 1$

A special situation arises when only P0 and P1 scattering cross sections are available. In this case, the MORSE treatment will produce one angle and that angle will be:

$$\bar{\mu} = \sigma_{S-P1}^{g-g'} / \sigma_{S-P0}^{g-g'}$$

The RADAU treatment will produce three angles, but these are only applicable for the charged particle treatment.

Even though Table VI says that the Carter and Forest treatment only works for $L \geq 2$, it will execute with a Legendre order of one. In this case this treatment also returns $\bar{\mu}$ as its value. However, there is a fundamental difference in what MCNP will do with the $\bar{\mu}$ calculated by the MORSE treatment and the one calculated by the Carter and Forest treatment. If the Carter and Forest treatment was used, the parameter **isang** (refer to Appendix C) in the MCNP cross-section file will be set to zero, indicating equi-probable bins are used. If the MORSE treatment was used, then **isang** will be equal to one, indicating that discrete angles are used for the scattering. MCNP will work fine if **isang** is equal to one and there is only one discrete angle present. However, the results may not be very reliable because of this crude approximation for the angular distribution from scattering. If **isang** is equal to zero and there is only one value present for the equi-probable bins ($\bar{\mu}$), then MCNP will use a method different from the equi-probable bins to calculate

the scattering angle. The angle will be calculated from equations which utilize a random number as described below.

if $\bar{\mu} \geq 0$ then

$$\mu = 1. - 2. * RN * (1. - \bar{\mu})$$

if $\bar{\mu} < 0$. then

$$\mu = 1. + 2. * RN * (1. + \bar{\mu})$$

The Monte Carlo code KENO uses similar expressions to handle the case of P1 scattering. These expressions can be easily incorporated into MCNP if a user wishes to match the KENO treatment. The changes should be made in "function scat" and it should be fairly straightforward to change the above expressions to the KENO expressions listed below.

if $|\bar{\mu}| > \frac{1}{3}$ then

$$\mu = RN * (1 - |\bar{\mu}|) + \bar{\mu} \tag{9}$$

if $\bar{\mu} \leq \frac{1}{3}$ then

$$\mu = (\sqrt{1 + 6 * RN * \bar{\mu} + (3 * \bar{\mu})^2} - 1) / (3 * \bar{\mu})$$

where RN is a random number between -1 and 1

In general it is recommended that the Maximum Entropy approach be used for doing the angular scattering conversion from the Legendre expansion to something usable by MCNP. More importantly, though, users should be aware of what angular representation they are using and the possible ramifications. When doing multigroup transport, it is vital that users examine the results closely to assure themselves that the results are reasonable and to determine the applicability of both the cross-section library they are using and the angular representation they choose.

E. CRSRD Input

CRSRD uses free field input with some simple restrictions. Integer values must be entered as integer; CRSRD will give a warning or fatal error if a non-integer is entered and an integer was expected. There are certain character items that must be entered and others that are optional. CRSRD will automatically convert all character items to lowercase before utilizing them. The following items, however, do not undergo a case conversion when read: file names, material identifiers, and the cfs directory name. CRSRD distinguishes one item from another by either a blank space, a comma, or an equal sign. Therefore, the latter two items cannot be used in a file name or material identification.

The input is broken into two or three sections depending on the cross-section file format being read. The first section contains the general information and command items. The second section (not used by all cross-section files) contains either the group boundaries or energy centers and widths. The third section contains the list of ZAIDs to be processed. The specific information for file "inp", as required by CRSRD, is discussed below.

At the end of this section there are a few examples of CRSRD input and output files. One of these is for a FIDO format coupled neutron-photon library, and another is for the Los Alamos specific MENDF format. It might be helpful to a user to refer to these examples while reading the description of the input format.

The input for CRSRD is of the form "keyword = value" with a few exceptions. Before processing of the data, CRSRD will check the consistency of the input and print any errors it finds. It may not catch all possible errors, but it should catch most. Table VII lists the data files CRSRD requires and creates. Actual file names are presented in quotes while the variable which holds the user-provided name is not quoted.

F. Input Cards

The various keywords used in the CRSRD input are listed along with the appropriate values, their meanings, and any default values. Following each keyword, in parentheses, is the type of value required (character, real, or integer) and the cross-section formats for which this card is valid.

TABLE VII
A List of the Files Required and Created
by CRSRD

File Name	User input or CRSRD created	Description
"inp"	User	Name of the input file which the user must provide
"out"	CRSRD	Name of the output file CRSRD creates - this file contains diagnostic information
"xsproc.out"	CRSRD	Name of another output file created by CRSRD - this file contains diagnostic information from the angular processing routines
"mgdir1"	CRSRD	Name of the new xsdir file created by CRSRD
xsinp	User	Name of the cross-section data file CRSRD will read - this item is provided by the user in "inp"
type1	CRSRD	Name of the Type 1 cross-section file CRSRD will create - this item is provided by the user in "inp"

1. Section 1 - DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX

This section of the input file contains the keywords and values for optional and required information.

The first line in the input file must contain the following information

Item 1, Item 2 (character, DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX)

Item 1 Name of the cross-section file to be read (10 char max)

Item 2 Format of the cross-section file

- DTF
- FIDO
- MENDF
- AMPX

After the first line, all of the input is of the form "keyword = value." The following is a list of keywords and associated values.

cfs = ?? (character (70 char max), DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX)

?? is the CFS directory name where the user will store the Type 1 output file (refer to the MCNP manual for more information)
default = 0

type1 = ?? (character (10 char max), DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX)

?? is the MCNP Type 1 output file name
default = "Type1"

xcon = ?? (real, DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX)

?? is the Maximum Entropy convergence criteria
default = 1.0E-03

ixprnt = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX)

?? = 0 - Error messages only from Maximum Entropy routines
?? = 1 - Error messages and corrected moments messages from Maximum Entropy routines
?? = 2 - All messages and bin boundary plot data from Maximum Entropy routines - the output for the bin boundaries will appear on a file called "maxbin"
default = 0

i2lp1 = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO, AMPX)

?? = 0 - the $2 * l + 1$ factor is NOT included in sigma scattering
?? = 1 - the $2 * l + 1$ factor IS included
default = 0

NOTE: The option should probably be used when processing AMPX and ANISN formats. ANISN formats can appear as fixed field fido.

item = ?? (integer, FIDO)

?? = 0 - terminator cards are present at the end of the cross-section data for each isotope - terminator cards are "t" or "T"

?? = 1 - terminator cards are NOT present at the end of the cross-section data for each isotope
default = 0

iskip = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO)

?? is the number of lines to skip in the cross-section file before reading the actual data
default = 0

ilen = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO)

?? is the number of cross-section data for a single group
default = none

itpos = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO)

?? is the position of the total cross section
default = none

ispos = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO)

?? is the position of the within-group scattering cross section
default = none

ititl = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO, AMPX)

?? = 0 - title card for the isotopes in the cross-section file is NOT present
?? = 1 - title card is present
?? = 2 - title cards are present but not used (further discussion under section 3)
default = 0

iengb = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO)

?? = 1 - energy group boundaries are provided
?? = 2 - energy group centers followed by energy group widths are provided
default = none

iincp = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX)

```

??  number of incident particles on cross-section file - maximum number is 2
    Do i=1, 2*iincp
    if(i.le.iincp) then enter the number of groups associated with particle i
    if(i.gt.iincp) then enter the particle type of particle i-iincp
        0 = other
        1 = neutron
        2 = photon
    default = none

```

For DTF and FIDO files, this card determines if a coupled library is to be split into separate libraries on the Type 1 file. Currently, only coupled neutron-photon libraries can be split. As an example, assume that the cross-section file is a coupled 42 group library (30 neutron groups and 12 photon groups). If the card looks like:

```
iincp=1 42 0
```

then the 42 groups will be treated as a single particle designated as other. If, however, the card looks like:

```
iincp=2 30 12 1 2
```

then CRSRD will interpret this to mean that there are 42 groups total on the library, the first 30 groups are neutron, and the remaining 12 groups are photon, and these cross sections will be split into separate neutron and photon libraries on the Type 1 file. (see further discussion below concerning atomic weight ratios)

When processing an AMPX file, **iincp** must equal the number of particles represented on the file, and the corresponding number of groups must be properly entered. If a user does not wish to have an AMPX file split into separate particles on the Type 1 file then the **icoup** card should be used.

This card has a slightly different meaning for the MENDF file format. If **iincp** is equal to one, then only the neutron file will be read, however, **iincp** is equal to 2, then the photon library will be read as well. The file name for the MENDF photon library is "mendf5g". This name can be changed in the CRSRD code if desired.

```
ipn = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO)
```

```

??  is the Legendre order L
    default = 0

```

```
iang = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX)
```

?? = -32 to 0 - the Maximum Entropy approach will be used to convert the Legendre expansion to equi-probable bins. If the value is less than 0 then the absolute value of that number will be the number of bins created. If the value is 0 then 32 bins will be created.

?? = 1 - MORSE discrete angle treatment

?? = 2 - RADAU discrete angle treatment

?? = 3 - Carter and Forest equi-probable bin treatment

default = 0

ibal1 = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX)

?? if this value is not equal to 0, that indicates that a cross-section balance is to be performed and the value entered is the number of different particles represented on the cross-section file - no maximum number

Do i=1, ibal1 enter the number of groups associated with each particle

default = 0

If a cross-section balance is desired, then the user must enter the number of groups belonging to the different particles on the cross-section file. Entering the number of groups does not affect whether coupled libraries are split into separate libraries for each particle. This data is needed so that CRSRD can exclude scattering cross sections from one particle to another that should not be considered in the cross-section balance. As an example, assume that the cross-section file is a coupled 42 group library (30 neutron groups and 12 photon groups). If the card looks like:

ibal1=1 42

Then the scattering cross sections from the neutron groups to the photon groups will be incorrectly included in the balance of the neutron cross sections. However, the following card would be correct.

ibal1=2 30 12

nabs = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX)

?? = 0 - negative absorption cross sections will be set to zero

?? = 1 - negative absorption cross sections will not be altered

default = 0

nall = ?? (integer, MENDF)

?? = 0 - process individual ZAIDs as listed in the input file
?? = 1 - process all ZAIDs on cross-section file/s - ZAIDs are not listed in the input file
default = 0

icoup = ?? (integer, MENDF, AMPX)

?? = 0 - if coupled neutron-photon MENDF or AMPX libraries are being processed, then the neutron and photon cross sections will be split into separate libraries on the Type 1 file
?? = 1 - if coupled neutron-photon MENDF or AMPX libraries are being processed, then the neutron and photon cross sections will NOT be split into separate libraries; rather, all data will be kept on a single library and the particle type will be denoted as neutron
default = 0

nchi = ?? (integer, MENDF)

?? = 0 - total chi values will be used from the MENDF file
?? = 1 - prompt chi values will be used from the MENDF file
default = 0

iproc = ?? (integer, DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX)

?? = 0 - cross sections that are unbalanced will be skipped
?? = 1 - cross sections that are unbalanced will be processed anyway
default = 0

2. Section 2 - DTF, FIDO

LINE 1

“energy”

The character string “energy” (without the quotes) marks the beginning of section 2.

FOLLOWING LINES

If **iengb = 1**, then the energy group boundaries are listed in free field input for particle 1. The number of entries is equal to the number of groups plus one and the values must be entered in MeV. If **iinep** is equal to two, then the energy group boundaries for the next particle begin on a new line immediately following the group boundaries for the previous particle.

If `iengb = 2`, then the energy centers followed by the energy widths are listed in free field input for particle 1. The number of entries for the energy centers and the number of entries for the energy widths are equal to the number of groups for particle 1. If `iincp` is equal to two, then the energy centers followed by the energy widths for the second particle begin on a new line immediately following the energy centers and widths for the previous particle.

3. Section 3 - DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX

This section contains the list of materials to be processed from the cross-section file. Various information is needed depending on the file format being read. If the format is DTF, FIDO, or AMPX then the ZAID, atomic weight, and material id (if title cards are present and being used) are entered on a line. CRSRD knows which item is which by its location within the line. Optional information can be added on this line and extra lines as described below.

The material id (if entered) is used to locate the appropriate isotope within the cross-section file. If material ids are not used then the first ZAID in the input file will automatically correspond to the first cross section on the cross-section file, the second ZAID to the second cross section, etc. When processing AMPX libraries, the material id should be the identifier of the set being processed (this is word 19 on the nuclide directory record).

If the file format is MENDF, then only ZAIDs need to be entered. A separate ZAID must be entered on each line. The optional information is not applicable either.

The following is a description of the information which can be entered for each material to be processed. The information is displayed according to lines of input and the variables which are filled by the values on that line. Items displayed in quotes are character items that are entered literally.

LINE 1

`"materials"`

`"materials"` this entry must be present in order to mark the beginning of Section 3.

LINE 2

`matid zaid atw "ipn="?? "patw="??`

`matid (DTF, FIDO, AMPX)`

Material id on the cross-section file being read. This item is present only if **ititl = 1**. **matid** can have a maximum of 15 characters and must not contain blankspace, commas, or equal signs as these are used as delimiters.

zaid (DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX)

User chosen ZAIID of the form **zzaaa.xx** - must not contain characters and must be a number greater than or equal to 1000. Refer to the MCNP manual¹ for a description of ZAIIDs.

atw (DTF, FIDO, AMPX)

Atomic weight for **zaid** - the atomic weight ratio will be calculated from this atomic weight and included in the atomic weight ratio table at the top of the XSDIR file. More information concerning the atomic weight ratios is provided later, and additional information can be found in the MCNP manual.¹

"ipn="?? (DTF, FIDO)

Legendre order of this particular isotope if different from previous isotope. The **ipn** value entered in Section 1 is used until this item is entered on a line. This **ipn** value then remains in effect until changed. This item is optional.

"patw="?? (DTF, FIDO, MENDF, AMPX)

Atomic weight to be used for the photon portion of this cross section if this is a coupled neutron-photon library which is going to be split. In most cases this atomic weight should correspond to the atomic weight of the naturally occurring isotope. Only one photon atomic weight needs to be entered for each atomic number. However, if only one photon atomic weight is entered per atomic number then it must be associated with the first occurrence of that atomic number. If a photon atomic weight is not entered for a particular atomic number, then neutron atomic weight associated with the first occurrence of that atomic number will be used incorrectly as the photon atomic weight. For more information refer to the discussion on atomic weights below. This item is optional.

NOTE: Items can not be split across lines.

LINE 3 - OPTIONAL for DTF and FIDO only

"edit" or **"chi"** or **"nutotal"** or **"nuprompt"**

"edit" if present, indicates that edit reaction MT identifiers are to follow on the next line. The first identifier in the list corresponds to the first edit position within the data file and the second identifier to the second position and so on. If fewer than $itpos-3$ values are entered, then the last entry should be "end". These edit numbers stay in effect until changed. The MT identifiers listed in Table VIII have special meaning to CRSRD and should be used to represent the reaction that CRSRD associates with that identifier.

"chi" if present, indicates that chi values are to follow on the next line. **igrps** chi values are then listed in free field input. It is assumed that these chi values correspond to the first particle in the library. If fewer than **igrps** values are entered, the last entry should be "end". The remaining values will default to zero. These chi values remain in effect until changed.

"nuprompt" if present, indicates that ν_{prompt} values are to follow on the next line. **igrps** ν_{prompt} values are then listed in free field input. It is assumed that these ν_{prompt} values correspond to the first particle in the library. If fewer than **igrps** values are entered, the last entry should be "end". The remaining values will default to zero. These ν_{prompt} values remain in effect until changed.

"nutotal" if present, indicates that ν_{total} values are to follow on the next line. **igrps** ν_{total} values are then listed in free field input. It is assumed that these ν_{total} values correspond to the first particle in the library. If fewer than **igrps** values are entered, the last entry should be "end". The remaining values will default to zero. These ν_{total} values remain in effect until changed.

LINE 3 and associated data may be repeated for a given ZAID. For example, there may be edit reaction MT identifiers, chi values, and ν values all listed for a single ZAID.

LINE 2 is repeated for as many isotopes as the user wishes. CRSRD will terminate when an end-of-file has been reached on the input file. If title cards are present, the order of the **matid** and associated data as listed in the input file does not have to correspond to the order in which the data appear in the cross-section file.

4. Compiling CRSRD

CRSRD has been written in Fortran 77 and should compile easily on most platforms. CRSRD is distributed in a form similar to MCNP and therefore must be processed with the utility code **PRPR** before compiling. Instructions concerning the use of **PRPR** can be found in the MCNP manual.

The **define* directives used by **PRPR** for CRSRD are listed below.

- lanl - necessary for processing MENDF formatted files - only applicable at Los Alamos National Laboratory on CRAY UNICOS
- cheap - for 32-bit machines
- ibm - for IBM RISC machines
- carter - if the Carter-Forest angular treatment is desired
- morse - if the MORSE and/or RADAU angular treatment is desired
- nos - for the NOS operating system
- nosve - for the NOSVE operating system
- cftlib - for the LANL Cray Fortran library
- fortlib - for the LLNL Fortran library
- vms - for the VMS operating system
- hpx - for the HPUX operating system
- dec - for the DIGITAL Unix/Ultrix operating system
- cos - for the COS operating system
- unicos - for the UNICOS operating system
- unix - for the UNIX operating system
- aix - for the AIX operating system
- pcdos - for IBM compatible Personal Computers
- cray - for CRAY computers

When compiling with the *lanl* option on the LANL CRAYs, the user must link with the *cftlib* library.

5. Discussion and Cautions

a. *Edit Reactions*

In order to tally reaction rates in MCNP using the **FM** card, the requested reaction cross section must be available on the cross-section file. MCNP does not automatically use the total cross section when an **MT** of 1 is placed on the **FM** card. Rather MCNP looks at the list of available reaction cross sections for that **MT** value and uses the associated data if it is available.

CRSRD has been written to store certain data automatically as edit reaction data on the Type 1 file. The list of MT values CSRSD uses for defining these default edit reactions are listed in Table VIII for DTF and FIDO formats. If any of these MT values appears in Section 3 of the input, then the default cross sections will not be used for that edit; rather the requested edit position within the cross-section file will be used.

Table IX lists the default edit MT values that are used for the MENDF format. These are the only edits available for the MENDF formats as CSRSD cannot currently read additional edit reaction data from another source.

TABLE VIII
A List of the Default Reaction MT Values that CSRSD
Uses When Processing DTF and FIDO Formatted Files

MT value	Cross sections or data used for this reaction
1	Total
18	Fission
901	Chi values
902	Prompt nu values
903	Total nu values
904	Total P0 including scatter to other particles
905	Absorption

TABLE IX
A List of the Default Reaction MT Values that CRSRD
Uses When Processing MENDF Formatted Files

MT value	Cross sections or data used for this reaction
1	Total
2	Elastic scattering
4	(n, n')
16	$(n, 2n)$
17	$(n, 3n)$
18	(n, F) sum of 19, 20, and 21
19	(n, f)
20	$(n, n'f)$
21	$(n, 2nf)$
102	(n, γ)
103	(n, p)
107	(n, α)
905	Absorption sum of 102, 103, and 107

b. Cross-Section Incompatibility

During setup and processing of the cross-section data, MCNP performs a check to verify that all of the requested multigroup cross-section tables of the same particle type are compatible. If they are not compatible, MCNP will terminate on a fatal error.

The following conditions must be met for cross-section tables to be compatible.

- Number of angular distribution variables must be equal
- Number of energy groups must be equal
- Number of upscatter groups must be equal
- Number of downscatter groups must be equal
- Difference in energy group centers and widths between two tables must be less than $1.0\text{E-}06$ times one of the values
- Number of groups for secondary particles listed in the production data must equal the number of groups on the cross-section tables for the secondary particle
- Difference in energy group centers and widths between those listed for the secondary particles in the production data and those listed on the cross-section tables of the secondary particle must be less than $1.0\text{E-}06$ times the latter value.

The first condition listed has consequences for a user who wishes to process a cross section file that contains different Legendre orders when using the Carter-Forest, Morse, or Radau treatments. CRSRD will process files containing different Legendre orders without a problem if the user properly specifies the *ipn* values. However, in MCNP, only cross sections of P0 and P1 order can be mixed in an input file if they were processed with the Carter-Forest or Morse treatments. For higher order cross sections processed with these treatments the, Legendre order must be identical for all of the isotopes used in an MCNP run. Cross sections processed with the RADAU treatment that have different Legendre orders can not be used simultaneously within MCNP. Likewise all cross sections used in MCNP which were processed with the Maximum Entropy treatment must have the same number of equal-probable bins. Further, all cross sections used in an MCNP run must have been processed with the same angular treatment.

c. Atomic Weight Ratios

MCNP uses the atomic weight ratios listed in the top of the XSDIR file to convert gram density to atomic density. The atomic weight ratios listed with each directory

entry of the XSDIR file are used for various portions of the transport. In general, these two atomic weight ratios should be equivalent.

The atomic weight which the user enters in the CRSRD input file is converted to an atomic weight ratio, and this value is used in both locations within the XSDIR file. There is one problem that may arise when CRSRD writes the MGDIR1 file. The problem is that the atomic weight ratio written on the directory entry and in the top portion of the MGDIR1 file for the photon cross sections (separated from a coupled neutron-photon library) may be incorrect.

The reason this problem arises is that MCNP can only use one photon cross section per element, even though multiple isotopes of an element may be used in the material definitions. In fact, when a user runs a coupled neutron-photon problem, the only ZAIDs specified on the material cards are neutron ZAIDs. MCNP automatically looks for the associated elemental photon cross sections. The photon ZAIDs, therefore, have the form of natural elements. For example, the associated photon ZAID for the neutron ZAID 92238 or 92236 would be 92000.

Due to the MCNP restrictions, CRSRD has been written to use only the first set of photon transport cross-section data for a particular atomic number. Any data for other isotopes having the same atomic number will not be used. This results in only one photon cross-section set per element. The ZAID for this photon cross-section set is derived from the ZAID for the isotope from which the cross-section data was taken. Because the photon ZAID has the form $xx000$ where xx is the atomic number, the value of the ZAID entered in the input file must be greater than 1000.

In the input file there can be two atomic weights associated with each ZAID, and that atomic weight is appropriate for that isotope. Since the photon ZAIDs are for the elemental form of an isotope, the appropriate atomic weight should be that of the naturally occurring form of the element. Since the photon ZAID is derived from the first isotope of an element, the photon atomic weight of that isotope is used for the atomic weight of the naturally occurring element. If the photon atomic weight was not entered, then the neutron atomic weight is used. The later is clearly incorrect, and therefore users should be aware of what they are doing.

The only time this would cause a problem is when a user wishes to run a photon-only problem using the photon cross-section libraries derived from a coupled neutron photon library. In this case, the user would have to manually alter the atomic weight ratios in the MGDIR1 file and on the Type 1 cross-section file if the neutron atomic weights were used.

Figure 1 is a portion of an MGDIR1 file that was created by CRSRD during the processing of a coupled neutron-photon library.

G. Examples

Figures 2, 3, and 4 are examples of input files to process DTF, FIDO, and MENDF formatted files, respectively. These are only examples, and additional or alternative options could be used. Figures 5 and 6 are examples of the DTF and the fixed-field FIDO formats for those users not familiar with these formats.

```

atomic weight ratios
  1001  0.999167  1002  1.996800  1003  2.990139  2003  2.990120
  2004  3.968218  3006  5.963450  3007  6.955734  1000  0.999242
  2000  3.968513  3000  6.881373
directory
09/27/93
1001.50m  0.999167 Type1 0 1      1  14767 0 0 0.0000E+00
1002.55m  1.996800 Type1 0 1     3705  9221 0 0 0.0000E+00
1003.50m  2.990139 Type1 0 1     6023  7545 0 0 0.0000E+00
2003.50m  2.990120 Type1 0 1     7922  5921 0 0 0.0000E+00
2004.50m  3.968218 Type1 0 1     9415  5567 0 0 0.0000E+00
3006.50m  5.963450 Type1 0 1    10819  8586 0 0 0.0000E+00
3007.55m  6.955734 Type1 0 1    12978  8687 0 0 0.0000E+00
1000.50g  0.999242 Type1 0 1   154082  2478 0 0 0.0000E+00  1001 +
0.999167 1002  1.996800 1003  2.990139
2000.50g  3.968513 Type1 0 1   154714  2478 0 0 0.0000E+00  2003 +
2.990120 2004  3.968218
3000.50g  6.881373 Type1 0 1   155346  2478 0 0 0.0000E+00  3006 +
5.963450 3007  6.955734

```

Fig. 1. This is a portion of an XSDIR file created from a coupled neutron-photon library.

```

xs118f dtf
ilen=10 itpos=4 ispos=5 ititl=1 type1=hr.dat
iengb=1 iincp=1 16 1
ibali=1 16 ipn=0 iang=0
energy
20.0 3.0 1.4 0.9 0.4 0.1 17e-3 3e-3 0.55e-3 100e-6 30e-6 10e-6 3e-6
1e-6 0.4e-6 0.1e-6 0.0
materials
AL      13100.05    26.98154
B       5100.05     10.81000

```

Fig. 2. This is an example of a CRSRD input file used to process a neutron cross-section file in DTF format.

```

bugle fido
ilen=70 itpos=3 ispos=4 ititl=0 i2lp1=1 iengb=1
ibali=2 47 20 nabs=0 iproc=1 iincp=2 47 20 1 2
type1=bgl.dat iang=0 ipn=3
energy
17.333  14.191  12.214  10.0   8.6071  7.4082  6.0653  4.9659
3.6788  3.0119  2.7253  2.4660  2.3653  2.3457  2.2313
1.9205  1.653   1.3534  1.0026  .82085
.74274  .6081   .49787  .36883  .2972  .18316  .11109  .067379
.049868 .031828 .026058 .024176 .021875 .015034
.0071018 .0033546 .0015846 .000454 .00021445 .0001013 .000037267 .000010677
.0000050435 .0000018554 .00000087642 .000000414 .0000001
.00000000001
14.0 10.0 8.0 7.0 6.0 5.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.8 0.7
0.6 0.4 0.2 0.1 0.06 0.03 0.02 0.01
materials
1001.25 1.0078
5010.25 10.0129
8016.25 15.9949

```

Fig. 3. This is an example of a CRSRD input file used to process a coupled neutron-photon cross-section file in FIDO format.


```

mendf5 MENDF
iincp=2 30 12 1 2 iang=0 nabs=1 nall=0 nchi=1
materials
1001.50 patw=1.0079
1002.55
1003.50
2003.50 patw=4.0029
2004.50
3006.50 patw=6.941
3007.55

```

Fig. 4. This is an example of a CRSRD input file used to process a coupled neutron-photon cross-section file in MENDF format.

2.8853E-05	0.0000E+00	6.4084E-01	3.7907E-02	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00
0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	3.0210E-05	0.0000E+00
7.3613E-01	4.9189E-02	8.1448E-02	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00	0.0000E+00

Fig. 5. This is a portion of a DTF formatted cross-section file that has title cards.

```

0 +28853- 9 0 +      0+ 0 0 +64084- 5 0 +37907- 666r+      0+ 0 0 +30210- 9
0 +      0+ 0 0 +73613- 5 0 +49189- 6 0 +81448- 6

```

Fig. 6. This is a portion of a FIDO formatted cross-section file that does not have title cards. The data shown here is identical to the data in the previous figure.

H. MCNP Cautions

In certain circumstances, MCNP can give fatal errors when photon cross sections that were processed with CRSRD are used.

One of these errors has to do with electron cross sections. By default, MCNP uses a thick target bremsstrahlung treatment in the continuous energy transport. When this treatment is used, MCNP must be able to find the electron cross sections through the XSDIR file. The XSDIR file (MGDIR1) created by CRSRD does not have these electron cross sections listed. Therefore, when a photon or coupled neutron-photon problem is run with MCNP using the multigroup cross sections and the XSDIR file generated with CRSRD, a fatal error will occur. The solution to this problem is to turn off the thick target bremsstrahlung by placing the following card in the input file.

PHYS:P J 1

It should be noted that even though the electron cross sections are loaded when this card is not present, MCNP does not perform a thick target bremsstrahlung treatment during multigroup transport. Therefore, the answers will be identical with or without the above mentioned card in the input file.

The second problem arises when a coupled neutron-photon problem is being run using photon cross sections generated with CRSRD that have more than 16 groups. In this situation, MCNP will print a fatal error about the *febl* array being too small. In order to solve this problem, a user will have to change the size of the *febl* and *ebf* arrays within MCNP and recompile. The following is a list of the locations within MCNP that must be changed.

vv4a.1, cm.62, cm4a.30, cm.173, bd.8, mg.12

These identifiers correspond to the ids listed in the MCNP source in columns 81-93 prior to running **PRPR**. For further information on the *febl* array a user can consult the MCNP manual.

IV. UTILIZING MULTIGROUP/ADJOINT CAPABILITIES

A. Forward Multigroup

1. Default Multigroup Cross-Sections for MCNP

MENDF5²⁰ is a 30-group neutron cross-section library available for use at Los Alamos National Laboratory. MENDF5G is a companion library containing

neutron-induced photon-production data and photon-interaction data. The photon cross sections are given in 12 groups. The group boundaries corresponding to the neutron and photon libraries are listed in Tables A.I and A.II,²¹ respectively, of Appendix A. Although multigroup cross sections are problem dependent, there is the belief that the requirement for a large set of problems may be adequately met by using cross sections collapsed by one well-conceived weight function into one well-conceived group structure. This contention was the motivation for the development of the MENDF5 libraries. Note, that all of these cross sections are infinitely dilute; no self-shielding has been incorporated, and no upscatter groups are provided for.

Table A.III,²² of Appendix A, lists all the materials that are available on MENDF5 and MENDF5G. The ZAID identifiers and evaluated data sources are given for all 99 isotopes. From Table A.III, one may determine the source of the data and whether photon-production data are included for each cross-section set.

These MENDF5 libraries have been processed by an older version of CRSRD using the Carter-Forest treatment, resulting in the default MCNP multigroup cross-section library MGXSNP. The evaluated data sources of this default library and the default continuous energy cross sections are identical for all isotopes. Additional edit reaction data were used to supplement the MENDF5 data, and prompt chi values were used in the processing of fissionable isotopes.

2. Using Multigroup Cross Sections

The use of the multigroup option in MCNP with the default multigroup cross sections is very straightforward. A continuous energy input deck can be converted into a forward multigroup input deck by merely performing two simple steps: (1) insert the **MGOPT** card followed by an *f* (for forward) and an integer representing the total number of groups for all the kinds of particles in the problem, and (2) remove any **MT** cards ($S(\alpha, \beta)$ thermal scattering treatment). When using the default multigroup cross-section library (MGXSNP), the following cards can be used for the conversion:

```

MGOPT F 12 $ MODE P
MGOPT F 30 $ MODE N
MGOPT F 42 $ MODE N P

```

Notice that when performing a coupled neutron-photon calculation, the number of neutron energy groups and the number of photon energy groups are summed and entered on the **MGOPT** card.

To use alternative multigroup cross-section libraries that have been processed by CRSRD, one must simply insert the **MGOPT** card as discussed above (recalling that your number of energy groups will most likely be different), remove any **MT** cards, bring the directory file **MGDIR1** into your local file space, and rename **MGDIR1** to **XSDIR** or set **XSDIR = MGDIR1** on the **MCNP** execution line. Also, verify that the desired cross-section library is located where **MGDIR1** claims it to be.

3. Energy Group Boundaries for Tally and Source Specification

Internally, **MCNP** uses the mid-point energy of each group, as defined by the group energy boundaries, as the energy value associated with the particles in each group. Therefore, in order to score particles in a given energy group within a given tally bin, the bin energy limits must bracket the median energy of the groups. As long as this condition is satisfied, the actual bin limits do not affect the tally score. However, the bin limits must not be so wide that they encompass the median energy of the adjacent energy group. Therefore, to avoid potential problems, the user is encouraged to define tally bin limits equal to the group boundaries so that a tally energy bin spans one or several energy groups, and source energy bin limits such that they correspond directly to the group boundaries.

4. Cautions

Multigroup libraries are problem dependent. Before using any multigroup library, one should determine its applicability to the intended problem. Applicability can be determined by examining the group structure, the weighting function used for collapsing, the intended use of the library, and any assumptions/approximations used to generate the library.

As mentioned, **MCNP** requires slightly different information than most deterministic transport codes. Hence, the information contained in many deterministic libraries must be translated into the information that **MCNP** requires. The translation is not always completely possible, and thus approximations are made in some of these translations. The approximations can translate into minor discrepancies between the data that **MCNP** expects and the data that **MCNP** receives. For example, the **MENDF5** library contains only prompt $\bar{\nu}$ information. Thus, when performing criticality calculations for the purpose of code comparisons with one

of the DANT codes (with the default multigroup library MGXSNP), it is necessary to place the following card in the input deck, **TOTNU NO.**²³ This card will cause prompt $\bar{\nu}$ to be used for all fissionable nuclides and therefore elicit the appropriate treatment in MCNP. There is currently no way to use $\bar{\nu}$ in ONEDANT, TWODANT, or THREEDANT runs when using data from MENDF5.

In addition, the $S(\alpha, \beta)$ thermal scattering treatment is inappropriate in the multigroup mode because thermal scattering is assumed to be fully treated in the multigroup library. This thermal scattering treatment has been shown to be quite effective in predicting accurate results in the continuous energy mode.⁸ Therefore, the user must be cautious when attempting to solve highly moderated problems with multigroup cross sections, especially when using the default multigroup cross sections, due to the coarse thermal group structure (see Table A.I in Appendix A).

B. Multigroup/Adjoint

MCNP adjoint calculations require external calculations to be performed by the user. Thus, they are not quite as straightforward as many of the other MCNP capabilities. For this reason, it is necessary to step through the methodology and procedure for performing an adjoint calculation.

The **MCAL** parameter on the **MGOPT** (Multigroup Adjoint Transport Option) card allows the user to specify either a forward or an adjoint calculation. However, specifying an adjoint calculation by entering an *a* for the **MCAL** parameter results only in an adjoint treatment of particle collisions. For a full adjoint treatment of the calculation, the user must redefine the source and tally regions accordingly. The tally characteristics in a forward run are described by the **SDEF** and associated cards in the adjoint run. Likewise, the source characteristics in the forward run are defined by tally cards in the adjoint run.

The source characteristics that a user may define with **SDEF** and associated cards are spatial, time, angular, and energy dependence. Likewise, the tally characteristics that a user may define with the **F** and associated tally cards are spatial, time, angular, and/or energy response. Hence, the problem is essentially turned around, resulting in an approximately *backward* Monte Carlo calculation with adjoint treatment of particle collisions. Constructing an opposite direction problem is not always possible, but the flexibility provided by MCNP in describing sources and tallies makes it possible for most problems.

Unfortunately, replacing the source with its corresponding tally and the tally with its corresponding source will not cause the opposite direction problem (adjoint or forward) to produce correctly normalized results. In general, the product of the source densities times the response functions in the opposite direction problem should be the same as the product of the source densities times the response functions in the original problem.²⁴ In order to satisfy this requirement, adjustments to the initial weight of the particles must be made to correct for the automatic normalization of the source densities by MCNP.

The typical user may not be familiar with the terms *source density* and *response function* since these functions are inherent characteristics of the various sources and tallies used by MCNP. For example, when a user defines a volume source with volume V_s , he/she is actually defining a uniform spatial density of $1/V_s$ source particles within volume V_s . Also, unless otherwise specified, MCNP assumes the angular density to be $1/4\pi$ particles per steradian. These inherent normalizations are referred to as source densities. On the other hand, if the user specifies an **F4** tally for a cell of volume V_t , the result is a spatial response of $1/V_t$ (1 per unit volume) and an angular response of 1 per steradian. Hence the term, *response function*.

The source densities are defined with parameters on the **SDEF** card such as **ERG=D1** and **RAD=D2**. Here **ERG=D1** describes the source energies defined on the **SI1** card and **RAD=D2** designates that the radial (spatial) density of the source particles is defined with the density given on the **SI2** and **SP2** cards. The source densities defined with the **SI n** and **SP n** cards are automatically normalized by MCNP, and the source densities that are not defined are defaulted. To represent the source densities properly as response functions in an opposite direction problem, the user must be aware of the automatic normalization and the source default values.

Tally spatial, angular, and energy responses are determined by the number n on the **F n** card. However, these responses may be modified with the addition of **EM**, **FM**, **CM**, **TM**, **SD**, etc. cards. Except for the division by area for an **F2** tally and the division by volume for the **F4**, **F6**, and **F7** tallies, MCNP does not normally adjust the response functions defined by the user.

Table X shows the source and tally cards and their parameters that should replace the corresponding tally and source cards and parameters in constructing an opposite direction problem.

TABLE X
Source and Tally Relationships for Opposite
Direction Problems

Source		Tally
SDEF (volume source) (CEL,POS,RAD,AXS,EXT)	$\longleftrightarrow$	F4
SDEF (surface source) (SUR,POS,RAD,AXS,EXT)	$\longleftrightarrow$	F1, F2
SDEF (point source) (POS,X,Y,Z)	$\longleftrightarrow$	F5
SDEF (energy) (ERG =Dn) SIn, SPn	$\longleftrightarrow$	FM, EM, DF
SDEF (angle) (DIR =Dn, VEC =a b c) SIn, SPn	$\longleftrightarrow$	CM (for F1 or F5 tally)

1. Using Multigroup Adjoint Transport Option

The procedure for setting up an adjoint problem, starting from a forward multigroup problem, will now be explained. Make a copy of the original (forward) problem input file, and redefine the source and tallies using the relationships given in Table X. Determine the source densities and the response functions for both the forward and adjoint problems. A form such as that provided in Table XI may be of assistance. The values filling the blanks depend on the characteristics of the sources and tallies that are defined in the forward and adjoint problems. After the table is complete, the product of the entries in the forward problem column is divided by the product of the entries in the adjoint problem column to obtain a normalization factor. The **WGT** parameter on the **SDEF** card, corresponding to the adjoint problem, is then set equal to this normalization factor. In addition, the **MCAL** parameter on the **MGOPT** card must be changed from *f* to *a*, and the energy cut-off parameter on the **CUT** card must be set equal to the highest energy value in the forward run. If the forward problem employs cell importances, these should be removed in the adjoint problem.

TABLE XI
Normalization Form for Adjoint Problems

	Forward Problem	Adjoint Problem
spatial density		
direction density		
time density		
energy density		
spatial response		
direction response		
time response		
energy response		

2. Examples

The following rather simple examples will illustrate the procedure for converting forward calculations into adjoint calculations.

Example 1

The first example involves photon transport through lead. The problem consists of a 20-cm-radius spherical volume source, centered at the origin, enclosed in a 5-cm-thick spherical shell of lead. The source spectrum extends over the energy range 0.50 to 20.0 MeV and has no defined functional description. A drawing of the configuration is given in Fig. 7. The tally consists of a single point detector located on the z-axis, 45 cm from the origin. This problem is intentionally quite simplistic. The forward input file for this problem can be found in Fig. B1 of Appendix B. Note the presence of the **FT**, **FU**, and **FQ** tally cards. The **FT** and **FU** cards are used to output the results as a function of the order of scatter. The **FQ** card is used to print the information in the desired format. Analysis of the results as a function of the order of scatter can be extremely helpful when trying to identify discrepancies between forward and adjoint calculations.

To perform this calculation in the adjoint mode, the user should copy the forward input file to another file for modification. The spherical volume source must be replaced by a cell-averaged flux (**F4**) tally, and the point detector is transformed into a point source. The source spectrum in the forward problem becomes the energy response function in the adjoint problem, and the source spectrum in the adjoint problem is just unity because the energy response function in the forward problem is unity. Simply stated, the **SP1** and **EM0** cards are interchanged for the adjoint calculation. The **SI1** and **E0** cards should also be interchanged; however,

it is not necessary in this problem as they are the same. Next, the energy cutoff in the adjoint input deck is set equal to the maximum source energy (i.e., 20.0 MeV), and the f on the **MGOPT** card is replaced by an a . These steps conclude the transformation process except for the normalization.

Fig. 7. Drawing of Configuration for Example #1.

Table XII shows a completed copy of the normalization form for this example. The values on the **SP1** card of the forward run sum to 14.60, the value used for ΣP_g in the normalization. The values on the **EM0** card of the forward run (energy response function) sum to 10.0, the value used for the $\Sigma R_{g'}$ in the normalization. As mentioned, the default direction density in MCNP is $1/4\pi$; therefore, because the angular density is not otherwise specified, $1/4\pi$ is entered in both columns. The volume of the source is entered for the spatial density in the forward problem and for the spatial response in the adjoint problem. The spatial contribution cancels out of the normalization. At this point, the product of the values in the column corresponding to the forward problem is divided by the product of the values in the

column corresponding to the adjoint problem, to produce the desired normalization factor. This normalization factor is subsequently entered with the **WGT** parameter on the **SDEF** card in the adjoint input file.

TABLE XII
Normalization Form for Example # 1

	Forward Problem	Adjoint Problem
spatial density	$3/4\pi(20)^3$	1
direction density	$1/4\pi$	$1/4\pi$
time density	1	1
energy density	$P_g/\Sigma P_g$	$R_{g'}/\Sigma R_{g'}$
spatial response	1	$3/4\pi(20)^3$
direction response	1	1
time response	1	1
energy response	$R_{g'}$	P_g

When performing an adjoint calculation, the scores for a particular tally are not binned according to which bin of a source distribution the source particle originated in. Hence in the above problem, the energy spectrum produced by the forward and adjoint calculations will differ. This difference in the way scores are binned can be eliminated with the assistance of the **SCX** option on the **FT** card. The use of this card is demonstrated in the adjoint input file for this problem, which is located in Fig. B2 of Appendix B.

In order to verify that the adjoint calculation has been constructed and executed properly, the results as a function of the order of scatter and the spectra should be compared. These results are shown in Tables XIII and XIV, respectively. All of the relative differences listed in Table XIII, and all but two (the third and the last) of the relative differences listed in Table XIV are shown to be within the 1σ statistical uncertainties. For example, the difference between adjoint and forward for the 10th order of scatter is 86%, but the relative errors are 0.845 and 0.477. Thus, the flux corresponding to the tenth order of scatter is within the 1σ statistical uncertainties. Further, this flux is five orders of magnitude lower than the uncollided, and therefore, the 86% difference is unimportant.

TABLE XIII
Results as a Function of Order of Scatter
for Example # 1

<i>Order of Scattering</i>	<i>Forward Problem</i>		<i>Adjoint Problem</i>		<i>%Difference</i>
	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Adjoint from Forward</i>
0	0.10761E-06	0.002	0.10721E-06	0.011	0.37
1	0.11720E-06	0.026	0.12012E-06	0.008	-2.48
2	0.74523E-07	0.034	0.73148E-07	0.010	1.85
3	0.34323E-07	0.045	0.33886E-07	0.017	1.27
4	0.12736E-07	0.070	0.13178E-07	0.029	-3.47
5	0.48983E-08	0.120	0.48038E-08	0.064	1.93
6	0.15568E-08	0.231	0.13572E-08	0.106	12.82
7	0.41750E-09	0.359	0.28970E-09	0.125	30.61
8	0.22504E-09	0.566	0.88059E-10	0.221	60.87
9	0.20841E-10	0.689	0.16083E-10	0.345	22.83
10	0.13899E-11	0.845	0.25841E-11	0.477	-85.92

TABLE XIV
Comparison of Spectra for Example #1

<i>Upper Energy Bounds (MeV)</i>	<i>Forward Problem</i>		<i>Adjoint Problem</i>		<i>%Difference</i>
	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Adjoint from Forward</i>
1.0	0.85335E-07	0.019	0.84441E-07	0.018	1.05
2.0	0.71296E-07	0.032	0.70345E-07	0.012	1.33
3.0	0.47757E-07	0.042	0.51037E-07	0.012	-6.87
4.0	0.37252E-07	0.048	0.36246E-07	0.015	2.70
5.0	0.25111E-07	0.059	0.25506E-07	0.018	-1.57
6.0	0.24441E-07	0.060	0.23006E-07	0.021	5.87
7.0	0.19595E-07	0.035	0.20592E-07	0.025	-5.09
8.0	0.18797E-07	0.050	0.17601E-07	0.029	6.37
9.0	0.14531E-07	0.026	0.15338E-07	0.031	-5.55
20.0	0.94019E-08	0.014	0.99852E-08	0.036	-6.20

Figure 8 lists the tally fluctuation charts for the two solutions and reveals that the Figure of Merit (FOM) corresponding to the adjoint solution is more than a factor

of sixteen greater than the FOM for the forward problem. Further, Fig. 9 verifies that the factor, by which the adjoint FOM is larger than the forward FOM for the above problem, is approximately doubled when the thickness of lead is doubled.

In this first example the forward problem could have been run more efficiently using an **F2** tally located on a 45-cm-radius spherical surface rather than using a point detector. However, the main purpose of the example is to demonstrate the procedure for setting up an adjoint problem. Also, the angular distribution of the point source in the adjoint problem could have been restricted to the solid angle subtended by the 25-cm-radius lead sphere, which may have slightly increased the efficiency of the adjoint problem. However, this restriction definitely would have required a different weighting factor since the adjoint source angular distribution would have been $1/\Delta\Omega$ rather than $1/4\pi$.

Example 2

The second example involves neutron transport from a 25-cm-radius disk source incident on a 10-cm-thick slab of water. The source has a cosine angular density and a continuous spectrum defined by the density $S(E) = \int_{E_g}^{E_{g'}} (10.0 - E) dE$, over the range from 0.01 to 10.0 MeV. The tally is a point detector 5 cm from the opposite side of the water slab with an energy response $R(E) = (E_{g'} - E_g)/2$ over the range from 0.01 to 10.0 MeV. A drawing of this configuration is provided in Fig. 10, and the forward input file for this problem is listed in Fig. B3.

To perform this calculation in the adjoint mode, the user must first change the disk source into a surface current (**F1**) tally with energy response $S(E)$ and change the point detector into a point source with a spectrum defined by $R(E)$. Due to the cosine angular density of the forward source, the disk source is replaced by a surface current tally, rather than by a surface flux tally. The spectrum and response function are taken care of by merely interchanging the **SP2** and **EM0** cards for the adjoint calculation. Also, the **SI2** and **E0** cards need to be interchanged; although for this problem they are the same. Next, the energy cutoff is set equal to the maximum source energy, and the *f* on the **MGOPT** card is replaced by an *a*. These steps conclude the transformation process except for the normalization.

(a). Adjoint Problem

		tally		4		
	nps	mean	error	vov	slope	fom
	512000	3.6083E-07	0.0269	0.0098	5.3	1397
	1024000	3.5152E-07	0.0192	0.0058	4.7	1376
	1536000	3.4594E-07	0.0155	0.0032	4.6	1408
	2048000	3.4808E-07	0.0136	0.0023	5.0	1385
	2560000	3.4574E-07	0.0120	0.0017	4.3	1413
	3072000	3.4681E-07	0.0110	0.0014	4.3	1415
	3584000	3.4574E-07	0.0101	0.0011	4.4	1430
	4096000	3.4760E-07	0.0095	0.0009	3.7	1428
	4608000	3.4771E-07	0.0089	0.0008	3.9	1431
	5120000	3.4920E-07	0.0086	0.0024	3.3	1374
	5632000	3.5041E-07	0.0083	0.0022	3.3	1365
	6144000	3.5080E-07	0.0079	0.0019	3.1	1374
	6656000	3.5045E-07	0.0076	0.0017	3.1	1379
	7168000	3.5182E-07	0.0073	0.0016	3.1	1363
	7680000	3.5247E-07	0.0071	0.0015	2.8	1357
	8192000	3.5326E-07	0.0068	0.0013	2.8	1362
	8704000	3.5341E-07	0.0066	0.0012	2.7	1365
	9216000	3.5336E-07	0.0065	0.0011	2.7	1360
	9728000	3.5388E-07	0.0063	0.0012	2.7	1349
	10000000	3.5410E-07	0.0062	0.0012	2.7	1342

(b). Forward Problem

nps	mean	tally		vov	slope	fom
		error	5			
512000	3.4510E-07	0.0529	0.0528	2.2		106
1024000	3.5349E-07	0.0352	0.0222	5.0		120
1536000	3.6362E-07	0.0336	0.0484	4.0		88
2048000	3.6088E-07	0.0285	0.0320	5.5		92
2560000	3.5909E-07	0.0267	0.0247	4.4		83
3072000	3.6514E-07	0.0260	0.0369	4.5		73
3584000	3.6588E-07	0.0237	0.0292	4.5		75
4096000	3.6171E-07	0.0219	0.0252	4.6		77
4608000	3.6306E-07	0.0207	0.0208	4.5		77
5120000	3.6283E-07	0.0193	0.0183	4.5		80
5632000	3.6230E-07	0.0184	0.0167	4.1		80
6144000	3.6387E-07	0.0179	0.0151	4.0		77
6656000	3.6058E-07	0.0170	0.0141	4.4		79
7168000	3.5870E-07	0.0163	0.0129	5.0		80
7680000	3.5746E-07	0.0156	0.0119	5.2		82
8192000	3.5598E-07	0.0151	0.0113	4.7		81
8704000	3.5589E-07	0.0147	0.0103	5.0		81
9216000	3.5628E-07	0.0142	0.0093	5.8		81
9728000	3.5477E-07	0.0138	0.0088	5.6		82
10000000	3.5352E-07	0.0136	0.0087	5.5		82

Fig. 8. Tally Fluctuation Charts for Example #1 (forward and adjoint).

(a). Adjoint Problem

nps	mean	tally		vov	slope	fom
		error	4			
512000	3.3461E-08	0.0659	0.0199	10.0		225
1024000	3.3075E-08	0.0478	0.0123	10.0		214
1536000	3.3338E-08	0.0380	0.0074	10.0		225
2048000	3.3753E-08	0.0336	0.0060	10.0		216
2560000	3.4334E-08	0.0299	0.0046	10.0		219
3072000	3.4342E-08	0.0274	0.0039	10.0		217
3584000	3.4579E-08	0.0251	0.0033	8.5		222
4096000	3.5033E-08	0.0236	0.0029	10.0		220
4608000	3.5218E-08	0.0222	0.0025	10.0		221
5120000	3.5220E-08	0.0211	0.0023	10.0		220
5632000	3.5207E-08	0.0200	0.0021	10.0		222
6144000	3.5140E-08	0.0192	0.0019	10.0		222
6656000	3.5196E-08	0.0184	0.0018	10.0		223
7000000	3.5363E-08	0.0180	0.0017	10.0		222

(b). Forward Problem

nps	mean	tally		vov	slope	fom
		error	5			
512000	3.5108E-08	0.1642	0.2212	1.7		11
1024000	4.1201E-08	0.1656	0.1987	1.9	5.4E+00	
1536000	4.0658E-08	0.1342	0.1436	2.0	5.5E+00	
2048000	4.0154E-08	0.1172	0.1186	2.0	5.4E+00	
2560000	3.8787E-08	0.1015	0.1010	2.1	5.8E+00	
3072000	3.7602E-08	0.0902	0.0897	2.2	6.1E+00	
3584000	3.7044E-08	0.0807	0.0806	2.2	6.5E+00	
4096000	3.6040E-08	0.0748	0.0732	2.2	6.7E+00	
4608000	3.5809E-08	0.0687	0.0663	2.3	7.0E+00	
5120000	3.5943E-08	0.0637	0.0588	2.4	7.3E+00	
5632000	3.6545E-08	0.0664	0.0777	2.3	6.1E+00	
6144000	3.6615E-08	0.0627	0.0691	2.4	6.3E+00	
6656000	3.6006E-08	0.0595	0.0662	2.7	6.5E+00	
7000000	3.5469E-08	0.0577	0.0650	2.8	6.5E+00	

Fig. 9. Tally Fluctuation Charts for Example #1 with Doubled Lead Thickness (forward and adjoint).

Fig. 10. Drawing of Configuration for Example #2.

Table XV shows a completed copy of the normalization form for this example. The values on the **SP2** card of the forward run sum to 49.9088, the value used for ΣP_g in the normalization. The values on the **EM0** card of the forward run sum to 32.6410, the value used for the ΣR_g in the normalization. The values used for the direction densities on the normalization form for the forward and adjoint problems are readily apparent. At this point, the product of the values in the column corresponding to the forward problem is divided by the product of the values in the column corresponding to the adjoint problem, to produce the desired normalization factor. This normalization factor is subsequently entered with the **WGT** parameter on the **SDEF** card in the adjoint input file. The adjoint input file is provided in Fig. B4.

TABLE XV
Normalization Form for Example # 2

	Forward Problem	Adjoint Problem
spatial density	$1/\pi(25)^2$	1
direction density	μ/π	$1/2\pi$
time density	1	1
energy density	$P_g/\Sigma P_g$	$R_{g'}/\Sigma R_{g'}$
spatial response	1	$1/\pi(25)^2$
direction response	1	μ
time response	1	1
energy response	$R_{g'}$	P_g

Results from the two input files are shown in Tables XVI and XVII. The relative differences in Table XVI are shown to be within the 1σ statistical uncertainties for all but one (the sixth) of the values listed. Similarly, all but one (the fifth) of the relative difference values in Table XVII are within the statistical uncertainties. Figure 11 presents the relevant tally fluctuation charts and reveals that the FOM corresponding to the adjoint solution is roughly a factor of three greater than the FOM for the forward problem. Thus, for this problem, the adjoint is capable of producing the same result as the forward three times more efficiently.

3. Cautions

Particles which downscatter in a forward problem, upscatter in an adjoint problem; and adjoint fission is more probable at high energies where the fission spectrum is high resulting in low energy particles being emitted where $\nu\sigma_f$ values are high. In the reversed world of adjoint particles, these particles originate in the detector, fly backwards in time, and upscatter in energy towards the physical source. In a sense, tracking adjoint particles is like backtracking forward particles. In fact, in one-group calculations, it is exactly equivalent to backtracking forward particles. However, in multigroup calculations, the analogy breaks down. This breakdown follows from the fact that, given an incident particle energy, the average number of particles emerging from an adjoint collision does not necessarily equal the average number of particles emerging from a forward collision. Furthermore, this multiplicity factor can vary greatly for adjoint collisions even when it is relatively constant for forward collisions. Since MCNP allows only one particle to emerge from each adjoint collision, a weight correction factor proportional to the multiplicity factor must be applied.

TABLE XVI
Results as a Function of Order of Scatter
for Example # 2

<i>Order of Scattering</i>	<i>Forward Problem</i>		<i>Adjoint Problem</i>		<i>%Difference</i>
	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Adjoint from Forward</i>
0	0.19661E-03	0.002	0.19642E-03	0.007	0.10
1	0.13463E-03	0.011	0.13606E-03	0.008	-1.07
2	0.64817E-04	0.016	0.64811E-04	0.010	0.01
3	0.27310E-04	0.025	0.27068E-04	0.012	0.89
4	0.11079E-04	0.034	0.10685E-04	0.015	3.56
5	0.42696E-05	0.039	0.45860E-05	0.019	-7.41
6	0.18434E-05	0.050	0.19335E-05	0.021	-4.89
7	0.97173E-06	0.074	0.88547E-06	0.030	8.88
8	0.38539E-06	0.060	0.41607E-06	0.030	-7.96
9	0.21909E-06	0.086	0.19639E-06	0.036	10.36
10	0.10049E-06	0.111	0.98838E-07	0.049	1.64
11	0.46844E-07	0.104	0.48058E-07	0.050	-2.59
12	0.21422E-07	0.112	0.23270E-07	0.067	-8.63
13	0.11433E-07	0.169	0.13100E-07	0.089	-14.58
14	0.52448E-08	0.212	0.62997E-08	0.100	-20.11
15	0.23269E-08	0.260	0.26568E-08	0.159	-14.18

This factor works well for many situations, but it can cause problems if ΔE is large (low Z materials), Σ_T varies significantly over small energy ranges (resonance regions), and/or the medium is fissionable and/or highly scattering. Since the weight correction factor is applied at each collision, the weight correction after n collisions is the product of the correction for each of the n collisions. The variance of this product increases as n increases. Therefore, once again, it is a good idea to compare forward and adjoint results as a function of the order of scatter and as a function of energy. Comparisons, similar to those for the example problems, will reveal any problems related to scattering. In general, adjoint calculations may not perform well for problems involving high-order scattering.

TABLE XVII
Comparison of Spectra for Example # 2

<i>Upper Energy Bounds (MeV)</i>	<i>Forward Problem</i>		<i>Adjoint Problem</i>		<i>%Difference</i>
	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Adjoint from Forward</i>
0.0248	0.70030E-07	0.034	0.67788E-07	0.015	3.20
0.0676	0.21753E-06	0.025	0.22136E-06	0.014	-1.76
0.1840	0.86455E-06	0.023	0.84808E-06	0.013	1.91
0.3030	0.12374E-05	0.030	0.12111E-05	0.013	2.13
0.5000	0.25254E-05	0.024	0.26348E-05	0.012	-4.33
0.8230	0.66881E-05	0.020	0.66800E-05	0.011	0.12
1.3530	0.13162E-04	0.019	0.12982E-04	0.011	1.37
1.7380	0.13493E-04	0.026	0.13518E-04	0.010	-0.18
2.2320	0.20419E-04	0.021	0.20973E-04	0.010	-2.71
2.8650	0.35713E-04	0.017	0.35925E-04	0.010	-0.59
3.6800	0.46419E-04	0.015	0.47357E-04	0.010	-2.02
6.0700	0.13520E-03	0.009	0.13628E-03	0.010	-0.81
7.7900	0.10485E-03	0.011	0.10270E-03	0.009	2.05
10.0000	0.61465E-04	0.012	0.61848E-04	0.010	-0.62

Based on the above information, it is easy to understand why the two example problems produced such good results. Example problem one transported photons through lead, which is optically thick and involves very little scattering, and is thus very well suited for an adjoint calculation. Example problem two simulates the transport of high energy neutrons through water. Due to the energy of the neutrons and the corresponding scattering cross section of water at these energies, high orders of scattering are not observed. Thus, based on geometric considerations more than any other, this problem was also well suited for adjoint application.

In order to determine applicability, the adjoint behavior in highly scattering media must be investigated. To this end, the input files for the first example problem have been modified to investigate neutron transport in two different materials. The modifications consist of doubling the thickness of material that must be transversed and enlarging the energy range to span the entire default 30-group neutron library. The two materials under investigation are water, which is highly scattering at lower energies, and iron, which has a large inelastic scattering cross section over a large portion of the energy range. Although the input files are very similar to those of example one, they are included in Appendix B (Figs. B5 through B8) for clarity.

(a). Adjoint Problem

nps	mean	tally		slope	fom
		error	vov		
64000	4.4042E-04	0.0166	0.0007	10.0	9704
128000	4.4156E-04	0.0117	0.0004	10.0	9762
192000	4.4473E-04	0.0096	0.0002	10.0	9760
256000	4.4213E-04	0.0083	0.0002	10.0	9695
320000	4.4239E-04	0.0074	0.0001	10.0	9741
384000	4.4223E-04	0.0068	0.0001	10.0	9738
448000	4.4380E-04	0.0063	0.0001	10.0	9745
512000	4.4412E-04	0.0059	0.0001	10.0	9738
576000	4.4337E-04	0.0055	0.0001	10.0	9728
640000	4.4187E-04	0.0053	0.0001	10.0	9698
704000	4.4278E-04	0.0050	0.0001	10.0	9742
768000	4.4284E-04	0.0048	0.0001	10.0	9740
832000	4.4319E-04	0.0046	0.0001	10.0	9734
896000	4.4323E-04	0.0044	0.0001	10.0	9744
960000	4.4273E-04	0.0043	0.0000	10.0	9741
1000000	4.4325E-04	0.0042	0.0000	10.0	9743

(b). Forward Problem

nps	mean	tally		slope	fom
		error	vov		
64000	4.3937E-04	0.0182	0.0290	4.1	3644
128000	4.4464E-04	0.0147	0.0426	3.4	2788
192000	4.4911E-04	0.0123	0.0249	2.9	2655
256000	4.4832E-04	0.0106	0.0172	3.0	2680
320000	4.4714E-04	0.0095	0.0128	3.5	2677
384000	4.4722E-04	0.0086	0.0100	3.9	2710
448000	4.4479E-04	0.0078	0.0083	4.3	2813
512000	4.4495E-04	0.0073	0.0071	4.3	2866
576000	4.4477E-04	0.0068	0.0061	4.6	2897
640000	4.4472E-04	0.0065	0.0057	4.1	2889
704000	4.4415E-04	0.0061	0.0051	4.5	2910
768000	4.4314E-04	0.0059	0.0047	3.9	2924
832000	4.4148E-04	0.0056	0.0043	3.9	2957
896000	4.4097E-04	0.0054	0.0039	4.1	3005
960000	4.4191E-04	0.0052	0.0036	4.0	2979
1000000	4.4232E-04	0.0051	0.0033	4.2	2981

Fig. 11. Tally Fluctuation Charts for Example #2 (forward and adjoint).

The results as a function of order of scatter and as a function of energy are given for both water and iron in Tables XVIII and XIX and Tables XX and XXI, respectively. These tables show that the adjoint results compare well to the forward results. It is interesting to note the behavior of the relative error in Tables XIX and XXI, and observe how the adjoint results converge in a somewhat smooth manner from high energies to lower energies whereas the relative errors for the forward results converge in a more jagged manner.

The input files for example problem #2 (the water cylinder of Fig. 10) have been used to compare the adjoint and forward results for a variety of different materials. Table XXII lists the materials used, the calculated total flux values, and the relative differences. The relative differences are shown to be within the 1σ statistical uncertainties for all materials except Boron and the fissionable materials with fission treated as capture. However, it is important to note that this table compares *total* fluxes, and that discrepancies in the spectra at low energies, where the magnitude of the flux is small with respect to the group fluxes at higher energies, will not be apparent in this type of comparison.

Table XXII demonstrates the ineffectiveness of the **NONU** card (an input card that forces fission to be treated as capture) in multigroup/adjoint MCNP. Therefore, problems involving a fixed source and a multiplying medium with the **NONU** card are not compatible with the multigroup/adjoint option. However, problems involving a fixed source in a multiplying medium in which the user would like to have fission treated properly are compatible with the multigroup/adjoint option (refer to the last eight rows of Table XXII). It is worth noting that the number of applications affected by this apparent bug are few and that manual cross-section adjustments can be performed to accommodate these applications.

The cautions listed in section IV.A.4 should be considered a subset of the cautions for adjoint MCNP, because all adjoint calculations must be performed in the multigroup mode. Therefore, when preparing to perform an adjoint calculation, consider the multigroup cautions as well as the adjoint cautions discussed above.

TABLE XVIII
Results as a Function of Order of Scatter
for Material Test with Water

<i>Order of Scattering</i>	<i>Forward Problem</i>		<i>Adjoint Problem</i>		<i>%Difference</i>
	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Adjoint from Forward</i>
0	0.86074E-06	0.003	0.89377E-06	0.020	-3.84
1	0.10268E-05	0.022	0.10073E-05	0.015	1.90
2	0.84072E-06	0.027	0.78891E-06	0.015	6.16
3	0.56110E-06	0.031	0.57124E-06	0.016	-1.81
4	0.38112E-06	0.036	0.38941E-06	0.018	-2.18
5	0.27526E-06	0.046	0.27098E-06	0.021	1.56
6	0.19785E-06	0.052	0.19018E-06	0.025	3.88
7	0.14142E-06	0.054	0.14258E-06	0.028	-0.82
8	0.12175E-06	0.070	0.11890E-06	0.030	2.34
9	0.91905E-07	0.073	0.96015E-07	0.034	-4.47
10	0.90956E-07	0.086	0.82585E-07	0.037	9.20
11	0.71044E-07	0.069	0.78456E-07	0.037	-10.43
12	0.75655E-07	0.073	0.71108E-07	0.039	6.01
13	0.65673E-07	0.079	0.69275E-07	0.038	-5.49
14	0.55080E-07	0.082	0.64530E-07	0.038	-17.16
15	0.66553E-07	0.093	0.62908E-07	0.042	5.48
16	0.54285E-07	0.081	0.61584E-07	0.039	-13.45
17	0.54238E-07	0.089	0.55294E-07	0.039	-1.95
18	0.63385E-07	0.090	0.54061E-07	0.040	14.71
19	0.44759E-07	0.081	0.52357E-07	0.044	-16.98
20	0.51802E-07	0.087	0.49688E-07	0.044	4.08
21	0.42700E-07	0.080	0.45033E-07	0.045	-5.46
22	0.47110E-07	0.086	0.45387E-07	0.044	3.66
23	0.41831E-07	0.086	0.40389E-07	0.045	3.45
24	0.39736E-07	0.082	0.42659E-07	0.048	-7.36
25	0.47454E-07	0.078	0.41650E-07	0.053	12.23

TABLE XIX
Comparison of Spectra for Material Test
with Water

<i>Upper Energy Bounds (MeV)</i>	<i>Forward Problem</i>		<i>Adjoint Problem</i>		<i>%Difference</i>
	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Adjoint from Forward</i>
0.1520E-06	0.48139E-05	0.009	0.42810E-05	0.184	11.07
0.4140E-06	0.67621E-07	0.098	0.65315E-07	0.054	3.41
0.1130E-05	0.72074E-07	0.085	0.65398E-07	0.054	9.26
0.3060E-05	0.66020E-07	0.086	0.55125E-07	0.054	16.50
0.8320E-05	0.61480E-07	0.090	0.67129E-07	0.053	-9.19
0.2260E-04	0.65381E-07	0.102	0.67746E-07	0.053	-3.62
0.6140E-04	0.72270E-07	0.086	0.67957E-07	0.053	5.97
0.1670E-03	0.53799E-07	0.082	0.63015E-07	0.053	-17.13
0.4540E-03	0.66644E-07	0.101	0.74737E-07	0.051	-12.14
0.1235E-02	0.75266E-07	0.123	0.70846E-07	0.055	5.87
0.3350E-02	0.79044E-07	0.089	0.74386E-07	0.051	5.89
0.9120E-02	0.80428E-07	0.086	0.82601E-07	0.050	-2.70
0.2480E-01	0.10545E-06	0.079	0.90227E-07	0.048	14.43
0.6760E-01	0.11602E-06	0.078	0.10310E-06	0.044	11.14
0.1840E+00	0.13845E-06	0.058	0.14028E-06	0.043	-1.32
0.3030E+00	0.10949E-06	0.080	0.11779E-06	0.042	-7.59
0.5000E+00	0.14008E-06	0.063	0.14295E-06	0.038	-2.05
0.8230E+00	0.22870E-06	0.056	0.22552E-06	0.034	1.39
0.1353E+01	0.29279E-06	0.043	0.28813E-06	0.033	1.59
0.1738E+01	0.21397E-06	0.057	0.20440E-06	0.032	4.47
0.2232E+01	0.26751E-06	0.050	0.26010E-06	0.029	2.77
0.2865E+01	0.34134E-06	0.040	0.32935E-06	0.029	3.51
0.3680E+01	0.35261E-06	0.039	0.33018E-06	0.029	6.36
0.6070E+01	0.63119E-06	0.023	0.66092E-06	0.028	-4.71
0.7790E+01	0.58429E-06	0.027	0.60830E-06	0.027	-4.11
0.1000E+02	0.51424E-06	0.025	0.52786E-06	0.029	-2.65
0.1200E+02	0.15804E-06	0.063	0.15644E-06	0.023	1.01
0.1350E+02	0.14661E-06	0.059	0.14027E-06	0.025	4.32
0.1500E+02	0.79089E-07	0.066	0.70903E-07	0.025	10.35
0.1700E+02	0.58405E-07	0.055	0.60652E-07	0.027	-3.85
total	0.10052E-04	0.008	0.94927E-05	0.083	5.57

TABLE XX
Results as a Function of Order of Scatter
for Material Test with Iron

<i>Order of Scattering</i>	<i>Forward Problem</i>		<i>Adjoint Problem</i>		<i>%Difference</i>
	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Adjoint from Forward</i>
0	0.12346E-04	0.001	0.12350E-04	0.006	-0.03
1	0.96587E-05	0.004	0.96774E-05	0.006	-0.19
2	0.57447E-05	0.004	0.57363E-05	0.007	0.14
3	0.32984E-05	0.005	0.33809E-05	0.009	-2.50
4	0.20779E-05	0.005	0.21075E-05	0.011	-1.43
5	0.14137E-05	0.005	0.14286E-05	0.012	-1.06
6	0.10353E-05	0.006	0.10463E-05	0.012	-1.07
7	0.79742E-06	0.006	0.79625E-06	0.013	0.15
8	0.63035E-06	0.007	0.64917E-06	0.014	-2.99
9	0.51046E-06	0.008	0.51776E-06	0.013	-1.43
10	0.42047E-06	0.008	0.41743E-06	0.014	0.72
11	0.34524E-06	0.009	0.34756E-06	0.016	-0.67
12	0.28953E-06	0.010	0.28736E-06	0.016	0.75
13	0.24342E-06	0.011	0.24736E-06	0.017	-1.62
14	0.20414E-06	0.012	0.20152E-06	0.019	1.28
15	0.17087E-06	0.013	0.17261E-06	0.020	-1.01
16	0.14378E-06	0.014	0.14380E-06	0.023	-0.01
17	0.12034E-06	0.015	0.11903E-06	0.024	1.09
18	0.10116E-06	0.016	0.10226E-06	0.025	-1.08
19	0.86432E-07	0.017	0.87147E-07	0.028	-0.83
20	0.71037E-07	0.019	0.71273E-07	0.030	-0.33
21	0.61215E-07	0.020	0.60150E-07	0.035	1.74
22	0.53035E-07	0.022	0.51479E-07	0.037	2.93
23	0.44052E-07	0.023	0.45363E-07	0.041	-2.98
24	0.37206E-07	0.026	0.36984E-07	0.043	0.60
25	0.32798E-07	0.028	0.31132E-07	0.048	5.08

TABLE XXI
Comparison of Spectra for Material Test
with Iron

<i>Upper Energy Bounds (MeV)</i>	<i>Forward Problem</i>		<i>Adjoint Problem</i>		<i>%Difference</i>
	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>Flux (n/cm²)</i>	<i>Relative Error</i>	
0.1520E-06	0.37751E-06	0.012	0.37251E-06	0.022	1.32
0.4140E-06	0.61394E-06	0.011	0.63359E-06	0.021	-3.20
0.1130E-05	0.73906E-06	0.011	0.73946E-06	0.021	-0.05
0.3060E-05	0.82546E-06	0.011	0.78568E-06	0.022	4.82
0.8320E-05	0.88115E-06	0.011	0.86002E-06	0.022	2.40
0.2260E-04	0.91545E-06	0.011	0.93417E-06	0.022	-2.04
0.6140E-04	0.93681E-06	0.011	0.95525E-06	0.022	-1.97
0.1670E-03	0.94588E-06	0.011	0.92731E-06	0.022	1.96
0.4540E-03	0.91530E-06	0.011	0.95130E-06	0.021	-3.93
0.1235E-02	0.85619E-06	0.011	0.85359E-06	0.020	0.30
0.3350E-02	0.93273E-06	0.010	0.95942E-06	0.019	-2.86
0.9120E-02	0.91407E-06	0.011	0.96758E-06	0.021	-5.85
0.2480E-01	0.92578E-06	0.007	0.89899E-06	0.017	2.89
0.6760E-01	0.10244E-05	0.012	0.10266E-05	0.023	-0.22
0.1840E+00	0.15556E-05	0.007	0.15674E-05	0.020	-0.76
0.3030E+00	0.12296E-05	0.007	0.12305E-05	0.018	-0.08
0.5000E+00	0.17610E-05	0.006	0.17507E-05	0.020	0.59
0.8230E+00	0.25809E-05	0.005	0.26040E-05	0.018	-0.89
0.1353E+01	0.29728E-05	0.004	0.29518E-05	0.019	0.71
0.1738E+01	0.20498E-05	0.006	0.21264E-05	0.018	-3.74
0.2232E+01	0.24770E-05	0.006	0.25019E-05	0.017	-1.01
0.2865E+01	0.22920E-05	0.006	0.22406E-05	0.017	2.24
0.3680E+01	0.20126E-05	0.007	0.19988E-05	0.017	0.69
0.6070E+01	0.28945E-05	0.007	0.29323E-05	0.016	-1.31
0.7790E+01	0.24897E-05	0.009	0.25511E-05	0.016	-2.47
0.1000E+02	0.24620E-05	0.010	0.24471E-05	0.016	0.61
0.1200E+02	0.50461E-06	0.021	0.51304E-06	0.016	-1.67
0.1350E+02	0.51417E-06	0.023	0.50739E-06	0.016	1.32
0.1500E+02	0.27664E-06	0.043	0.25533E-06	0.016	7.70
0.1700E+02	0.24298E-06	0.027	0.24470E-06	0.016	-0.71
total	0.40120E-04	0.002	0.40289E-04	0.004	-0.42

C. Adjoint Importance Functions

The main difficulty associated with using an importance generator (either the weight window generator or the adjoint importance function generator) comes from

the poor estimates of the importance function caused by the statistical nature of the generator. In other words, if a phase-space region is not properly sampled, either an unreliable importance estimate or no importance estimate will be generated. Also, both generators tend to require a crude approximation of the importance function in order to estimate a better one for subsequent calculations. Thus the use of either generator is an iterative process which ideally converges to an optimum importance function.

TABLE XXII
Comparison of Forward and Adjoint Results
for Different Materials

<i>Material</i>	Forward Problem			Adjoint Problem			%Difference <i>Forward from Adjoint</i>
	<i>Flux</i> (<i>n/cm²</i>)	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>FOM</i>	<i>Flux</i> (<i>n/cm²</i>)	<i>Relative Error</i>	<i>FOM</i>	
H ₂ O	0.25022E-05	0.057	55.0	0.25518E-05	0.021	1583.0	1.94
D ₂ O	0.36494E-05	0.038	93.0	0.38448E-05	0.020	1573.0	5.08
B	0.59416E-06	0.050	39.0	0.68849E-06	0.038	469.0	13.70
C	0.10682E-04	0.015	253.0	0.10875E-04	0.016	2121.0	1.77
Al	0.11978E-04	0.012	115.0	0.11780E-04	0.016	1903.0	-1.68
Fe	0.31449E-05	0.032	18.0	0.29966E-05	0.031	351.0	-4.95
Zr	0.64043E-05	0.024	32.0	0.66182E-05	0.020	850.0	3.23
Pb	0.10374E-04	0.017	93.0	0.10057E-04	0.023	581.0	-3.15
Th [†]	0.57365E-05	0.025	34.0	0.69178E-05	0.024	622.0	17.08
Th	0.70622E-05	0.025	31.0	0.69178E-05	0.024	628.0	-2.09
U ^{238†}	0.55269E-06	0.057	5.0	0.13962E-05	0.044	136.0	60.41
U ²³⁸	0.14086E-05	0.053	3.6	0.13962E-05	0.044	137.0	-0.89
U(2% [†]) [†]	0.69636E-06	0.129	1.0	0.14342E-05	0.056	36.0	51.45
U(2% [†])	0.14392E-05	0.059	2.1	0.14342E-05	0.056	36.0	-0.35
U(4% [†]) [†]	0.67353E-06	0.132	1.0	0.13728E-05	0.058	23.0	50.94
U(4% [†])	0.15087E-05	0.062	1.4	0.13728E-05	0.058	23.0	-9.90

†Results do not include fission (i.e., NONU card present in input file).

‡ U²³⁵ enrichment.

While the weight window generator and the adjoint importance generator both produce space-energy-dependent importance functions that are used for splitting and Russian roulette, they differ in several ways. The weight window generator is limited to generating space-dependent importance functions for a maximum of 15 energy intervals. In comparison, the adjoint importance generator is limited only

by the total number of groups in the multigroup library (typically greater than 15). However, the adjoint importance function generator does not allow the user the freedom to select the number of energy intervals desired. The user is forced to employ the energy intervals corresponding to the group structure of the multigroup library. For some applications, this can be a significant disadvantage. The weight window generator has the advantage of continuous energy simulation, whereas the adjoint generator can only be used in the multigroup mode. The adjoint importance function can be used in a forward multigroup run which can subsequently produce a new importance function to be used in the adjoint mode, and so on. The importance function from the weight window generator, on the other hand, can only be used in the forward mode. Weight window and adjoint importance functions can both be used either just like weight windows or as energy-dependent cell importances, when used with the multigroup option. Finally, the adjoint importance function generator utilizes a compression technique, which is presently not available to the weight window generator, to smooth out statistical fluctuations in the importance estimates.

1. Generating Multigroup/Adjoint Importance Functions

Generating importance functions with the **MGOPT** card is fairly straightforward. However, generating an optimum importance function with any generator requires patience and experience. As mentioned, the **MGOPT** card can be used to generate importance functions for subsequent forward and adjoint calculations.

The generation of an adjoint importance function, to be utilized in a later forward run, will now be discussed. The converse situation is completely analogous. Beginning with a properly constructed adjoint input file, an initial run should be performed to obtain enough information (analyzing the "tracks entering" column of print table 126) to manually select spatial importances for the **IMP** card. These importances, crude as they may be, can significantly reduce the number of iterations required to produce the optimum importance function. Next, the user must choose appropriate values for the following **MGOPT** parameters; **ICW**, **FNW**, and **RIM**. **ICW** identifies the reference cell (tally cell), **FNW** defines the normalization value for the generated weight windows (the value of the weight window lower bound in the most important energy group in cell **ICW** is set to **FNW**), and **RIM** sets the compression limit for smoothing the importance function. Before generated weight windows are printed, the weight windows in each group are separately checked to

see that the ratio of the highest to the lowest is less than RIM. If not, they are compressed.

MCNP will produce a table of space-energy-dependent weight windows (located under print table 198). The user should thoroughly analyze these numbers for consistency, and manually adjust spurious values accordingly. The information related to importance function quality, listed in print table 120 of the subsequent run, can be useful in this analysis. These weight window values should be removed from the output file and substituted into the forward input file. The leading ten spaces of each line and the extraneous space between **WWN** and the integer identifying the first nine weight windows must be removed. At this point, the value of the **IPLT** parameter on the **MGOPT** card should be set equal to either 1 or 2, depending on the desired treatment of the importance function.

The aforementioned steps may lead to iterations between adjoint and forward calculations in a quest for the optimum importance function. Some degree of experience and a knowledge of the physics of the problem may be helpful for this process.

In the course of using adjoint importance functions and investigating their usefulness and applicability, an error in the formulation used to calculate these functions (in MCNP version 4.2) has been discovered. The formulation in MCNP version 4.2 does not divide the importance values by the energy group width ΔE or the cell volume. Thus the adjoint importance function, as listed in print table 198, is incorrect. However, the adjoint importance function can be easily corrected by dividing the group importances by their associated ΔE and dividing the cell importances by the cell volume. The adjoint importance function formulation is correct in MCNP version 4A.

2. Examples

As mentioned, generating adjoint importance functions is fairly straightforward. However in an effort to be complete and to demonstrate the usefulness of the adjoint importance functions, an example will now be discussed.

This example problem is similar to example problem #2, with the following exception: the material to be transversed is 60 cm of lead as opposed to 10 cm of water. This problem simulates deep penetration and therefore is fairly computationally intensive. Thus, an accurate importance function would be advantageous for increasing the calculational efficiency of this problem.

After appropriately modifying the input files for example problem #2 to account for the aforementioned material and geometric size change, both problems (forward and adjoint) were executed to generate information for manually assigning geometric importances (this information is listed in the "tracks entering" column of print table 126). Once these relatively crude importance values were entered into the input files, and the tracks entering each cell were found to be fairly constant, the files were adjusted to facilitate the generation of importance functions (i.e., weight window generator in the forward problem and adjoint importance function generator in the adjoint problem). The adjustments for the adjoint problem involved entering the cell importances and assigning values to the last five parameters on the **MGOPT** card. The adjustment to the forward problem consisted merely of adding the **WWG** and **WWGE** cards, and their corresponding parameters. In order to compare the adjoint importance function and the weight window generator, the values listed on the **WWGE** card were set to the multigroup energy boundaries. The forward and adjoint input files used to generate the importance functions are listed in Figs. B9 and B10 of Appendix B.

At this point, both problems were used to calculate the desired importances. Due to a significant difference in the FOM values for the forward and adjoint problems (65 and 169, respectively), the computer time required to generate the adjoint importances was much less than the computer time required to generate the weight window importances. The weight window and adjoint importances, which are printed in the output in the exact same format, were then extracted from the output files, adjusted, and entered in identical, but separate, forward input files. The aforementioned adjustments consisted of deleting the leading ten spaces, deleting the space directly after the **WWN** for the first nine **WWN** cards, and minor smoothing of the weight window importances. These two input files, one using the weight window importances and the other using the adjoint importances, were then used to repeat the calculations. Both input files generated the same results (within the statistical uncertainties), however, the calculation utilizing the adjoint importance function required approximately one third the computer time required by the original forward run (FOM values are 65 and 222 for the results corresponding to the use of the manually calculated importances and the adjoint importances, respectively), whereas the efficiency of the forward run using the weight window importances did not improve. Note that the dramatic increase in efficiency associated with the adjoint importance function for this problem is most likely not representative of the increase in efficiency for all problems. At this point, the importance

function generated in the forward run that utilized the adjoint importances was appropriately modified and entered into the adjoint input file. The subsequent adjoint FOM was observed to increase from 169 to 341, thus doubling the original adjoint FOM.

Although the two methods used to generate importance functions are quite different, the importance functions that they generate are very similar. A typical plot of the normalized adjoint and weight window importance values for this problem, along with the tally fluxes (n/cm^2) from the forward run, is provided in Fig. 12. The importance values in Fig. 12 correspond to the geometric cells and to the energy group bounded by 1.738 and 2.232 MeV. The behavior shown is fairly representative of all energy groups. Figure 13 presents a plot of the normalized importances as a function of energy, along with the spectrum ($n/cm^2/MeV$) from the forward run, for cell number 10 (approximately one-half way through the lead) and is representative of the other cells in the problem. Together, Figs. 12 and 13 show the similarity in behavior between the adjoint and weight window importances.

Fig. 12. Normalized Importance Values as a Function of Problem Cells.

Fig. 13. Normalized Importance Values as a Function of Energy for Cell 10.

3. Cautions

The generation of the adjoint importance function is done in the multi-group/adjoint mode. Therefore, all previously mentioned cautions related to multi-group/adjoint calculations are applicable. However, these cautions are of less concern for this application, because a good approximation of the importance function is quite satisfactory to improve calculational efficiency and because the subsequent forward calculation can be performed in the continuous energy mode.

V. VALIDATION OF MULTIGROUP CAPABILITIES

A wide variety of problems has been run to validate the MCNP multigroup option by comparing MCNP multigroup results to MCNP continuous energy results, results generated by deterministic transport codes (ONEDANT, TWODANT, and THREEDANT) using the same multigroup cross-section library, and by comparison

to experimental measurements. All MCNP results were generated with version 4A, and the multigroup MCNP results utilized a multigroup library based on MENDF5 as processed by CRSRD.

A. Neutron Benchmark Problems

The problems in this section are a subset of the “MCNP: Neutron Benchmark Problems,” LA-12212 (Ref. 7). Table XXIII reproduces the information in Table 3 of the aforementioned report and compares multigroup MCNP results to continuous energy MCNP and experimental results for the Lawrence Livermore pulsed sphere problems.²⁶ Columns three through six list the ratios of MCNP results to the experimental results corresponding, approximately, to the energy ranges of 12-16 MeV and 2-16 MeV. The last two columns list the multigroup and continuous energy ratios. These columns demonstrate that multigroup MCNP can match the continuous energy results for the pulsed sphere problems reasonably well.

Table XXIV compares k_{eff} values from experiment, multigroup and continuous energy MCNP, and deterministic codes²⁷ for the nine criticality problems in LA-12212. The ninth problem was slightly modified by eliminating the presence of tin in two of the materials, because MGXSNP does not contain cross-section data for tin. This modification should not affect the calculated k_{eff} value since the amount of tin in the mixtures is less than 0.3%. Table XXIV demonstrates that multigroup MCNP can successfully predict k_{eff} values provided the appropriate cross-section libraries are used. The disagreement between continuous energy and multigroup MCNP for problems 7 and 8 can be attributed to the MENDF5 libraries’ inadequate thermal group structure for highly moderated systems (refer to Table A.I of Appendix A for MENDF5 neutron energy group structure) and failure to account for upscatter. Further, the deterministic results, which utilized the same multigroup cross-sections, agree with the multigroup MCNP results to within 0.5%. The relative differences between continuous energy and multigroup MCNP for the rest of the problems are within 1%.

TABLE XXIII
Ratio of Calculated to Experimental Values for the Number
of Neutrons Detected in Each Energy Range

<i>Material</i>	<i>MFP</i>	MCNP _{ce}		MCNP _{mg}		Ratio (mg/ce)	
		12 – 16 [*] (MeV)	2 – 16 [†] (MeV)	12 – 16 [*] (MeV)	2 – 16 [†] (MeV)	12 – 16 [*] (MeV)	2 – 16 [†] (MeV)
⁶ Li	0.5	1.035	0.986	1.013	0.973	0.979	0.987
	1.6	1.136	1.045	1.092	1.022	0.962	0.978
⁷ Li	0.5	1.052	0.994	1.030	0.977	0.979	0.983
	1.6	1.149	1.029	1.104	1.001	0.961	0.973
Al	0.9	1.018	0.979	1.020	0.975	1.002	0.996
	2.6	0.847	0.851	0.837	0.838	0.989	0.985
Be	0.8	0.977	1.000	0.949	0.980	0.972	0.980
C	0.5	1.019	1.006	1.040	1.009	1.020	1.003
	2.9	0.977	0.968	1.027	0.925	1.052	0.956
D ₂ O	1.2	0.917	0.918	0.887	0.905	0.968	0.986
	2.1	1.047	1.020	1.009	1.002	0.964	0.982
Fe	0.9	1.047	1.006	1.038	0.982	0.991	0.976
	4.8	0.989	0.945	0.997	0.911	1.008	0.963
H ₂ O	1.1	0.960	0.976	0.933	0.960	0.973	0.983
	1.9	1.516	1.325	1.453	1.291	0.958	0.974
Mg	0.7	1.097	1.042	1.095	1.041	0.998	0.999
	1.9	1.060	0.962	1.053	0.950	0.994	0.988
N	1.1	0.940	0.968	0.927	0.950	0.986	0.981
	3.1	0.889	0.987	0.882	0.946	0.992	0.958
O	0.7	0.975	0.999	0.983	0.997	1.009	0.998
Pb	1.4	0.928	0.841	0.871	0.786	0.938	0.934
Ti	1.2	1.118	0.990	1.069	0.937	0.956	0.947
	3.5	1.148	0.929	1.077	0.863	0.938	0.929
CH ₂	0.8	1.044	1.017	1.026	1.005	0.983	0.988
	3.5	1.029	1.009	0.994	0.935	0.966	0.927
CF ₂	0.9	1.050	1.012	1.053	1.008	1.003	0.996
	2.9	0.823	0.795	0.821	0.783	0.997	0.985

★ 12-16 MeV range is approximate and corresponds to time-of-flight range of 139.0-161.0 ns.

† 2-16 MeV range is approximate and corresponds to time-of-flight range of 139.0-391.0 ns.

TABLE XXIV
Comparison of k_{eff} Values for the Neutron Benchmark
Criticality Problems

<i>Problem Number</i>	MCNP [†] (ce)		MCNP [†] (mg)		Deterministic k_{eff}	%Difference		
	k_{ce}	<i>Relative Error</i>	k_{mg}	<i>Relative Error</i>		<i>mg from ce</i>	<i>mg from S_N</i>	<i>mg from exp.</i>
1	0.9973	0.0008	0.9918	0.0008	0.9931 [†]	-0.55	-0.13	-0.83
2	1.0021	0.0008	1.0013	0.0008	—	-0.08	—	0.13
3	1.0075	0.0008	1.0047	0.0008	—	-0.28	—	0.47
4	1.0006	0.0007	0.9909	0.0008	0.9892*	-0.99	0.18	-0.92
5	0.9985	0.0007	0.9927	0.0008	0.9898*	-0.58	0.29	-0.73
6	0.9885	0.0009	0.9854	0.0008	0.9835 [†]	-0.31	0.20	-1.48
7	0.9952	0.0010	1.0227	0.0010	1.0183 [†]	2.69	0.43	2.22
8	1.0215	0.0013	0.9941	0.0012	—	-2.76	—	-0.60
9	1.0022	0.0017	1.0001	0.0016	—	-0.21	—	-0.01

† Values reported are for the covariance-weighted combined estimator.

‡ Calculated by ONEDANT (One-Dimensional Discrete Ordinates Code).

★ Calculated by TWODANT (Two-Dimensional Discrete Ordinates Code).

B. Photon Benchmark Problems

The results listed in this section correspond to various problems discussed in the “MCNP: Photon Benchmarks,” LA-12196 (Ref. 6). The values listed in Tables 3.1 and 3.2 of the photon benchmark report have been calculated with both continuous energy and multigroup MCNP. These computed values are given in Tables XXV and XXVI. The energy buildup values associated with a 1 MeV source reveal that the lower energy group structure, in the default multigroup photon data, is inadequate for this particular application (refer to Table A.II of Appendix A for MENDF5 photon energy group structure). On the other hand, it is clear that the group structure is applicable to the problems with a 10 MeV source. These values are quite good up until the point where the lower energy group structure becomes important (i.e., 4 and 7 MFP values).

TABLE XXV
Energy Buildup (B_e) of Gamma Rays from a Point Source
in an Infinite Medium of Al at 1.0 and 10.0 MeV

Source Energy (MeV)	Mean Free Path (MFP)	Analytic	MCNP (ce)	MCNP (mg)	Ratio (mg/ce)
1	1	2.01	2.019±0.010	2.860±0.005	1.417
	2	3.29	3.290±0.018	6.113±0.005	1.858
	4	6.52	6.576±0.038	17.412±0.006	2.648
	7	12.95	13.554±0.077	62.356±0.006	4.601
10	1	1.22	1.268±0.006	1.230±0.005	0.970
	2	1.45	1.499±0.009	1.416±0.006	0.945
	4	1.91	1.975±0.015	1.781±0.007	0.902
	7	2.64	2.667±0.023	2.394±0.008	0.897

TABLE XXVI
Energy Buildup (B_e) of Gamma Rays from a Point Source
in an Infinite Medium of Pb at 1.0 and 10.0 MeV

Source Energy (MeV)	Mean Free Path (MFP)	Analytic	MCNP (ce)	MCNP (mg)	Ratio (mg/ce)
1	1	1.35	1.365±0.006	1.473±0.004	1.080
	2	1.66	1.652±0.010	2.056±0.006	1.244
	4	2.21	2.192±0.016	3.857±0.007	1.760
	7	2.95	2.829±0.024	9.538±0.008	3.371
10	1	1.09	1.132±0.006	1.138±0.005	1.005
	2	1.19	1.238±0.008	1.230±0.006	0.994
	4	1.46	1.528±0.012	1.472±0.008	0.963
	7	2.16	2.320±0.019	2.104±0.008	0.907

C. Criticality Benchmark Problems

Table XXVII compares continuous energy and multigroup MCNP, deterministic,²⁸ and experimental results for a subset of the 25 criticality safety problems cited in "MCNP: Criticality Safety Benchmark Problems," LA-12415 (Ref. 8) and Ref. 28. Comparisons are not made for all 25 problems, as some of the problems are identical. Results for those problems that are unique are listed. Table XXVII verifies that multigroup MCNP compares well (within 1%) with continuous energy MCNP for all problems that are not highly moderated. The discrepancies in the results for problems 3, 5, 15, and 21 can be largely attributed to the lack of an adequate number of thermal energy groups and upscatter in the MENDF5 library. The deterministic results for problems 15 and 21 support these conclusions and are within 0.35% of the multigroup MCNP results.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The MCNP multigroup/adjoint option is valuable for many reasons: comparisons with deterministic transport codes; running adjoint problems; generating adjoint importance functions; cross-section sensitivity studies; problems for which there are no continuous energy cross-sections available; and transport of charged particles.

An auxiliary code, CRSRD, is available to generate multigroup cross sections from several widely used deterministic multigroup library data formats. CRSRD is fairly easy to use as was described in Section III.

As described in Section IV, running multigroup problems in MCNP is simple and straightforward once the multigroup library is available. Adjoint problems, on the other hand, can be intimidating at first, although the adjoint capability is a powerful method. Adjoint importance functions can be of value in forward multigroup or continuous-energy MCNP calculations.

A wide variety of calculations has been made to compare multigroup MCNP to continuous-energy MCNP, the deterministic codes, and experimental measurements. Results of these calculations are presented in Section V. They indicate that the multigroup method is reliable and robust, provided an appropriate multigroup cross-section library is available in MCNP format.

TABLE XXVII
Comparison of k_{eff} Values for the Criticality Safety
Benchmark Problems

<i>Problem Number</i>	MCNP [†] (ce)		MCNP [†] (mg)		Deterministic k_{eff}	%Difference		
	k_{ce}	<i>Relative Error</i>	k_{mg}	<i>Relative Error</i>		<i>mg from ce</i>	<i>mg from S_N</i>	<i>mg from exp.</i>
1	0.9999	0.0009	0.9926	0.0008	—	-0.73	—	-0.74
2	0.9999	0.0009	0.9926	0.0008	—	-0.73	—	-0.74
3	0.9990	0.0011	1.0128	0.0011	—	1.37	—	1.28
5	0.9995	0.0027	1.0143	0.0028	—	1.46	—	1.43
6	0.7461	0.0010	0.7405	0.0008	0.7417*	-0.76	-0.16	*
7	0.9993	0.0009	0.9949	0.0008	—	-0.44	—	-0.51
8	0.9401	0.0009	0.9336	0.0009	—	-0.70	—	*
9	2.2935	0.0004	2.2800	0.0005	—	-0.59	—	*
12	0.9986	0.0012	0.9966	0.0013	0.9966°	-0.20	-0.01	-0.34
13	0.9942	0.0009	0.9923	0.0009	—	-0.18	—	-0.77
14	0.9991	0.0009	0.9946	0.0009	—	-0.46	—	-0.54
15	1.0025	0.0010	1.0243	0.0009	1.0208*	2.12	0.34	2.43
16	0.9887	0.0008	1.0075	0.0009	—	1.87	—	*
17	1.0029	0.0014	0.9809	0.0014	—	-2.24	—	*
18	1.0287	0.0013	1.0636	0.0012	—	3.28	—	*
20	0.9981	0.0014	0.9936	0.0014	0.9991°	-0.44	-0.56	-0.64
21	0.9948	0.0009	0.8299	0.0010	0.8290 [‡]	-19.86	0.11	-17.01

†Values reported are for the covariance-weighted combined estimator.

‡ Calculated by ONEDANT (One-Dimensional Discrete Ordinates Code).

* Calculated by TWODANT (Two-Dimensional Discrete Ordinates Code).

° Calculated by THREEDANT (Three-Dimensional Discrete Ordinates Code).

* Experimental values of k_{eff} could not be located for these problems.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

John Wagner would like to acknowledge the extensive assistance of Dave Collins in matters related to adjoint calculations; and D. Kent Parsons for providing the input files, as well as assistance, used to generate all the deterministic results.

REFERENCES

1. J. F. Briesmeister, Editor, "MCNP - A General Monte Carlo N-Particle Transport Code, Version 4A," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-12625 (1993).
2. J. F. Briesmeister, Editor, "MCNP - A General Monte Carlo Code for Neutron and Photon Transport, Version 3A," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-7396-M, Rev. 2 (1986).
3. G. P. Estes, R. E. Seamon, R. D. O'Dell, W. T. Urban, R. C. Little, R. A. Forster, "MCNP/ONEDANT Comparisons," Los Alamos National Laboratory internal memorandum X-6:GPE-88-416 (1988).
4. R. S. Baker, "MCNP/ONEDANT Comparisons," Los Alamos National Laboratory internal memorandum X-6:RSB-91-128 (1991).
5. R. S. Baker, "XREP Module," Los Alamos National Laboratory internal memorandum X-6:RSB-93-208 (Mar. 1993).
6. D. J. Whalen, D. E. Hollowell, and J. S. Hendricks, "MCNP: Photon Benchmark Problems," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-12196 (1991).
7. D. J. Whalen, D. A. Cardon, J. L. Uhle, and J. S. Hendricks, "MCNP: Neutron Benchmark Problems," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-12212 (1991).
8. J. C. Wagner, J. E. Sisolak, G. W. McKinney, "MCNP: Criticality Safety Benchmark Problems," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-12415 (1992).
9. S. Sitaraman, "MCNP: Light Water Reactor Critical Benchmarks," GE Nuclear Energy report NEDO-32028 (Mar. 1992).

10. R. D. O'Dell, F. W. Brinkley, Jr., D. R. Marr, R. E. Alcouffe, "Revised User's Manual for ONEDANT: A Code Package for One-Dimensional, Diffusion Accelerated, Neutral-Particle Transport," Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LA-9184-M, Rev. (Dec. 1989).
11. R. E. Alcouffe, F. W. Brinkley, Jr., D. R. Marr, R. D. O'Dell, "User's Guide to TWODANT: A Code Package for Two-Dimensional, Diffusion Accelerated, Neutral-Particle Transport," Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LA-10049-M, Rev. 1, (Oct. 1984).
12. R. D. O'Dell, F. W. Brinkley, Jr., D. R. Marr, R. E. Alcouffe, "Revised User's Manual for ONEDANT: A Code Package for One-Dimensional, Diffusion Accelerated, Neutral-Particle Transport," Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LA-9184-M, Rev. (Dec. 1989) (THREEDANT manual is forthcoming).
13. T. E. Booth, "A Sample Problem in Variance Reduction in MCNP," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-10363-MS (1985).
14. T. E. Booth, J. S. Hendricks, "Importance Estimation in Forward Monte Carlo Calculations," *Nucl. Tech./Fusion*, **5**, 90-100, (1984).
15. G. L. Simmons, R. Roussin, "SAILOR - A Coupled Cross-Section Library for Light Water Reactors," DLC-76, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Radiation Shielding Information Center (Mar. 1983).
16. "BUGLE-80, Coupled 47 Neutron, 20 Gamma, P_3 Cross-Section Library for LWR Shielding Calculations," DLC-75, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Radiation Shielding Information Center (1980).
17. R. E. Maerker, W. E. Ford, "The ELXSIR Cross Section Library for LWR Pressure Vessel Irradiation Studies: Part of the LEPRICON Computer Code System," EPRI NP3654, Electric Power Research Institute (Sept. 1984).
18. A. Haghighat R. Veerasingham, "Transport Analysis of Several Cross-Section Libraries Used for Reactor Pressure Vessel Fluence Calculations," *Nucl. Tech*, **101**, 237-243, (Feb. 1993).

19. E. E. Lewis, W. F. Miller, Computational Methods of Neutron Transport, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1984).
20. R. C. Little, R. E. Seamon, "New MENDF5 and MENDF5G," Los Alamos National Laboratory internal memorandum X-6:RCL-86-412 (Sept. 1986).
21. R. C. Little, "Neutron and Photon Multigroup Data Tables for MCNP3B," Los Alamos National Laboratory internal memorandum X-6:RCL-87-225 (Apr. 1987).
22. R. C. Little, "Replacement of Public Multigroup Libraries," Los Alamos National Laboratory internal memorandum X-6:RCL-87-642 (Dec. 1987).
23. R. E. Seamon, "Comparing Cross Sections for MCNP and ONEDANT," Los Alamos National Laboratory internal memorandum X-6:RES-88-445 (Oct. 1988).
24. D. G. Collins, "Normalization of Forward and Adjoint MCNP Runs," Los Alamos National Laboratory internal memorandum DGC-87-187 (Mar. 1987).
25. D. G. Collins, "Use of Multigroup Cross Section in MCNP," Los Alamos National Laboratory internal memorandum DGC-87-164 (Mar. 1987).
26. C. Wong, J. D. Anderson, P. Brown, L. F. Hansen, J. L. Kammerdiener, C. Logan, B. Pohl, "Lawrence Livermore Pulsed Sphere Program: Program Summary Through July 1971," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory report UCRL-51144, Rev. 1 (1972).
27. D. K. Parsons, R. E. Alcouffe, D. R. Marr, F. W. Brinkley, "Recent Developments in the TWODANT System of Codes for Criticality Safety," 1993 Topical Meeting of the American Nuclear Society, Nashville, TN, (Sept. 1993), to be published.
28. Gregg W. McKinney, John C. Wagner, James E. Sisolak, "MCNP/KENO Criticality Comparison," Proceedings of the Topical Meeting on Physics and Methods in Criticality Safety, Nashville, Tennessee, September 19-23, American Nuclear Society, Order 700186, p. 207 (September 1993)

29. J. E. Morel, W. M. Taylor, L. J. Lorence, Jr., J. W. Vandenburg, D. P. Sloan, "A Hybrid Multigroup/Continuous-Energy Monte Carlo Method for Solving the Boltzmann-Fokker-Planck Equation," to be submitted to *Nucl. Science and Engineering*.

APPENDIX A:
MULTIGROUP CROSS-SECTION DATA

TABLE A.I
MENDF5 Neutron Energy Group Boundaries

Group	Upper Energy Group Boundaries (MeV)	Group	Upper Energy Group Boundaries (MeV) [†]
1	17.000	16	0.1840
2	15.000	17	0.0676
3	13.500	18	0.0248
4	12.000	19	0.00912
5	10.000	20	0.00335
6	7.790	21	0.001235
7	6.070	22	4.54E-04
8	3.680	23	1.67E-04
9	2.865	24	6.14E-05
10	2.232	25	2.26E-05
11	1.738	26	8.32E-06
12	1.353	27	3.06E-06
13	0.823	28	1.13E-06
14	0.500	29	4.14E-07
15	0.303	30	1.52E-07

†Lower energy of group 30 is 1.39E-10 MeV.

TABLE A.II
MENDF5 Photon Energy Group Boundaries

Group	Upper Energy Group Boundaries (MeV) [†]
1	20.0
2	9.0
3	8.0
4	7.0
5	6.0
6	5.0
7	4.0
8	3.0
9	2.0
10	1.0
11	0.5
12	0.1

†Lower energy of group 12 is 0.01 MeV.

TABLE A.III
Materials Available on MENDF5 and MENDF5G

Isotope	ZAID	Source	Photon Production
H	1001.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
D	1002.55	Group T-2	Yes
T	1003.50	ENDF/B-V	No
He-3	2003.50	ENDF/B-V	No
He-4	2004.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Li-6	3006.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Li-7	3007.55	Group T-2	Yes
Be-7	4007.50	ENDL85	No
Be-9	4009.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
B-10	5010.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
B-11	5011.56	Group T-2	Yes
C	6000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
C-12	6012.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
N-14	7014.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
N-15	7015.55	Group T-2	Yes
O-16	8016.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
F-19	9019.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Na-23	11023.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Mg	12000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Al-27	13027.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Si	14000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
P-31	15031.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
S-32	16032.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Cl	17000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Ar	18000.35	ENDL85	Yes
K	19000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Ca	20000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Ti	22000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
V	23000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Cr	24000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes

TABLE A.III (continued)
Materials Available on MENDF5 and MENDF5G

Isotope	ZAID	Source	Photon Production
Mn-55	25055.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Fe	26000.55	Group T-2	Yes
Co-59	27059.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Ni	28000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Cu	29000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Ga	31000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
As-75	33075.35	ENDL85	Yes
Kr-78	36078.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Kr-80	36080.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Kr-82	36082.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Kr-83	36083.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Kr-84	36084.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Kr-86	36086.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Y-98	39089.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Zr	40000.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Nb-93	41093.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Mo	42000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Rh-103	45103.50	ENDF/B-V	No
U235 FP	45117.90	Group T-2	Yes
Pu239 FP	46119.90	Group T-2	Yes
Ag	47000.55	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Ag-107	47107.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Ag-109	47109.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Cd	48000.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Avg FP	.50120.35	ENDL85	Yes
FPP	50998.99	PERMFILE	No
FPA	50999.99	PERMFILE	No
Xe	54000.35	ENDL85	Yes
Ba-138	56138.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Eu	63000.35	ENDL85	Yes
Eu-151	63151.55	Group T-2	Yes
Eu-153	63153.55	Group T-2	Yes
Gd	64000.35	ENDL85	Yes
Ho-165	67165.55	Group T-2	Yes
Tm-169	67169.55	Group T-2	No

TABLE A.III (continued)
Materials Available on MENDF5 and MENDF5G

Isotope	ZAID	Source	Photon Production
Ta-181	73181.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
W	74000.55	Group T-2	Yes
W-182	74182.55	Group T-2	Yes
W-183	74183.55	Group T-2	Yes
W-184	74184.55	Group T-2	Yes
W-186	74186.55	Group T-2	Yes
Re-185	75185.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Re-187	75187.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Ir	77000.55	Group T-2	No
Pt	78000.35	ENDL85	Yes
Au-197	79197.56	Group T-2	Yes
Pb	82000.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Bi-209	83209.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Th-232	90232.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Pa-233	91233.50	ENDF/B-V	No
U-233	92233.50	ENDF/B-V	No
U-234	92234.50	ENDF/B-V	No
U-235	92235.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
U-236	92236.50	ENDF/B-V	No
U-237	92237.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
U-238	92238.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
U-239	92239.35	ENDL85	Yes
Np-237	93237.55	Group T-2	No
Pu-237	94237.35	ENDL86	Yes
Pu-238	94238.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Pu-239	94239.55	Group T-2	Yes
Pu-240	94240.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Pu-241	94241.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Pu-242	94242.50	ENDF/B-V	Yes
Am-241	95241.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Am-242m	95242.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Am-243	95243.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Cm-242	96242.50	ENDF/B-V	No
Cm-244	96244.50	ENDF/B-V	No

APPENDIX B:
MCNP INPUT FILES

```
message: outp=exif.out runtpe=exif.run xsdir=mgdir1
```

Example #1: Forward Problem for Spherical Source Incident on a Point Detector.

```
c      Volume source -> Point Detector
```

```
c      Photon Transport through Lead
```

```
c
```

```
c
```

```
1      1 -11.35 -1      imp:p=1
```

```
2      1 -11.35 1 -2      imp:p=1
```

```
3      0      2 -3      imp:p=1
```

```
4      0      3      imp:p 0
```

```
1      so 20.0
```

```
2      so 25.0
```

```
3      so 50.0
```

```
c
```

```
mode p
```

```
sdef pos=0.0 0.0 0.0 erg=d1 rad=d2 cel=1
```

```
si1 h 0.0 0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 20.0
```

```
sp1 d 0. 0.0 0.8 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.2 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.0 2.0
```

```
si2 0.0 19.9999
```

```
e0 0.5 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 20.0
```

```
em0 0.0 1 9r
```

```
f5:p 0.0 0.0 45.0 0.0
```

```
ft5 inc
```

```
fu5 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25  
100 t
```

```
fq5 e u
```

```
f15:p 0.0 0.0 45.0 0.0
```

```
m1 82000. 1.0
```

```
cut:p j 0.5
```

```
print
```

```
mgopt f 12
```

```
nps 10000000
```

Fig. B1. Forward Input File for Example 1

```
message: outp=ex1a.out runtpe=ex1a.run xsdir=mgdir1
```

Example #1: Adjoint Problem for Spherical Source Incident on a Point Detector.

```
c      Point source -> Volume Tally
c      Photon Transport through Lead
c
1      1  -11.35  -1          imp:p=1
2      1  -11.35  1  -2      imp:p=1
3      0          2  -3      imp:p=1
4      0          3          imp:p 0

1      so  20.0
2      so  25.0
3      so  50.0
c

mode  p
sdef  pos=0.0 0.0 45.0  erg=d1 wgt=.6849315
si1   h  0.0 0.5  1.0  2.0  3.0  4.0  5.0  6.0  7.0  8.0  9.0  20.0
sp1   d 0.  0.0  1  9r
e0     0.5  1.0  2.0  3.0  4.0  5.0  6.0  7.0  8.0  9.0  20.0
em0    0.      0.8  1.0  1.0  1.2  1.2  1.6  1.8  2.0  2.0  2.0
f4:p  1
ft4    inc
fu4    0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
      100 t
fq4     e u
sd4     33510.32
f14:p  1
ft14    scr  1
fq14    u e
sd14     33510.32
m1      82000  1.0
cut:p  j  20.0
print
mgopt  a 12
nps    10000000
```

Fig. B2. Adjoint Input File for Example 1


```

message: outp=ex2f.out runtpe=ex2f.run xsdir=mgdir1

Example #2: Forward Problem for Disk Surface Source Incident on a Water Slab.
c          Disk (Surface) Source => Point Detector
c          Neutron Transport through Water
c
c
1  0  1
2  1 -1 -1  2 -5
3  0 -1  2  5
4  0 -2  3
5  0 -3

c
1  pz  0.0
2  pz -10.0
3  pz -35.0
4  cz  25.0
5  cz  50.0

imp:n 0 1 0 1 0
sdef pos=0. 0. -0.001 vec=0. 0. -1. rad=d1 axs=0. 0. -1. erg=d2
    dir=d3
si1  0 25
sp1  -21 1
sb1  -21 -.25
c    source spectrum s(e)=10.0 - E
si2  h 0.00912 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5 0.823 1.353
      1.738 2.232 2.865 3.68 6.07 7.79 10.0
sp2  d 0.0 0.1565 0.4260 1.1494 1.1610 1.8909 3.0163 4.7234
      3.2550 3.9594 4.7168 5.4829 12.2488 5.2804 2.4421
si3  0 1
sp3  -21 1
e0   0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.500 0.823 1.353 1.738
      2.232 2.865 3.68 6.07 7.79 10.0
c    energy response function r(E) = E
em0   0.0170 0.0462 0.1258 0.2435 0.4015 0.6616 1.0880 1.5455
      1.9880 2.5515 3.2725 4.8750 6.9300 8.8950
f5:n 0 0 -15 0
ft5  inc
fu5  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
      100 t
fq5  e u
f15:n 0 0 -15 0
m1   1001.50c 2.0
      8016.50c 1.0
cut:n j 0.00912
print
mgopt f 30
nps  1000000

```

Fig. B3. Forward Input File for Example 2

message: outp=ex2a.out runtpe=ex2a.run xsdir=mgdir1

Example #2: Adjoint problem for Disk Surface Source Incident on Water Slab.

c Point Source => Disk (Surface) Tally

c Neutron Transport through Water

c

c

1 0 1

2 1 -1 -1 2 -5

3 0 -1 2 5

4 0 -2 3

5 0 -3

c

1 pz 0.0

2 pz -10.0

3 pz -35.0

4 cz 25.0

5 cz 50.0

imp:n 0 1 0 1 0

sdef pos=0. 0. -15. vec=0. 0. -1. cel=4 wgt=1.308025 dir=d1 erg=d2

si1 -1 0 1

sp1 0 1 0

si2 h 0.00912 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5 0.823 1.353

1.738 2.232 2.865 3.68 6.07 7.79 10.0

sp2 d 0.0 0.0170 0.0462 0.1258 0.2435 0.4015 0.6616 1.0880

1.5455 1.9880 2.5515 3.2725 4.8750 6.9300 8.8950

sb2 d 0 .1 13r

e0 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.500 0.823 1.353 1.738

2.232 2.865 3.68 6.07 7.79 10.0

em0 0.1565 0.4260 1.1494 1.1610 1.8909 3.0163 4.7234

3.2550 3.9594 4.7168 5.4829 12.2488 5.2804 2.4421

f1:n 1

fs1 -4

ft1 inc

fu1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

100 t

fq1 e u

tf1 3j 1

sd1 1963.495 5890.486

f11:n 1

fs11 -4

sd11 1963.495 5890.486

ft11 scx 2

fq11 u e

tf11 3j 1

m1 1001.50c 2.0

8016.50c 1.0

cut:n j 10.

print

mgopt a 30

nps 1000000

Fig. B4. Adjoint Input File for Example 2

```
message: outp=ex3f.out runtpe=ex3f.run xsdir=mgdir1
```

Example #3: Forward Problem for Spherical Source Incident on a Point Detector.

```
c      Volume source -> Point Detector
```

```
c      Neutron transport through Water
```

```
c
```

```
c
```

```
1      1  -1      -1      imp:n=1
```

```
2      1  -1      1 -2      imp:n=1
```

```
3      0      2 -3      imp:n=1
```

```
4      0      3      imp:n 0
```

```
1      so  20.0
```

```
2      so  30.0
```

```
3      so  50.0
```

```
c
```

```
mode n
```

```
sdef pos=0.0 0.0 0.0 erg=d1 rad=d2 cel=1
```

```
si1 h 1.39E-10 1.52E-7 4.14E-7 1.13E-6 3.06E-6 8.32E-6
```

```
2.26E-5 6.14E-5 1.67E-4 4.54E-4 1.235E-3 3.35E-3
```

```
9.12E-3 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5
```

```
0.823 1.353 1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680
```

```
6.070 7.79 10.0 12.0 13.500 15.00
```

```
17.00
```

```
sp1 d 0.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
```

```
0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.8 0.8 0.8 1.2 1.2 1.2
```

```
2.0 2.0 2.0 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2
```

```
si2 0.0 19.9999
```

```
e0 1.52E-7 4.14E-7 1.13E-6 3.06E-6 8.32E-6
```

```
2.26E-5 6.14E-5 1.67E-4 4.54E-4 1.235E-3 3.35E-3
```

```
9.12E-3 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5
```

```
0.823 1.353 1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680
```

```
6.070 7.79 10.0 12.0 13.500 15.00
```

```
17.00
```

```
em0 1 29r
```

```
f5:n 0.0 0.0 45.0 0.0
```

```
ft5 inc
```

```
fu5 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
```

```
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 100 t
```

```
fq5 e u
```

```
f15:n 0.0 0.0 45.0 0.0
```

```
m1 1001 2.0
```

```
8016 1.0
```

```
cut:n j 1.39E-10
```

```
print
```

```
mgopt f 30
```

```
nps 1500000
```

Fig. B5. Forward Input File for Material Test with Water

```
message: outp=ex3a.out  runtpe=ex3a.run xsdir=mgdir1
```

Example #3: Adjoint Problem for Spherical Source Incident on a Point Detector.

```
c      Point source -> Volume Tally
c      Neutron transport through Water
c
1      1  -1      -1      imp:n=1
2      1  -1      1 -2      imp:n=1
3      0      2 -3      imp:n=1
4      0      3      imp:n 0

1      so 20.0
2      so 30.0
3      so 50.0
c

mode n
sdef pos=0.0 0.0 45.0 erg=d1 wgt=1.3636364
si1 h 1.39E-10 1.52E-7 4.14E-7 1.13E-6 3.06E-6 8.32E-6
      2.26E-5 6.14E-5 1.67E-4 4.54E-4 1.235E-3 3.35E-3
      9.12E-3 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5
      0.823 1.353 1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680
      6.070 7.79 10.0 12.0 13.500 15.00
      17.00
sp1 d 0. 1 29r
e0 1.39E-10 1.52E-7 4.14E-7 1.13E-6 3.06E-6 8.32E-6
      2.26E-5 6.14E-5 1.67E-4 4.54E-4 1.235E-3 3.35E-3
      9.12E-3 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5
      0.823 1.353 1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680
      6.070 7.79 10.0 12.0 13.500 15.00
      17.00
em0 0.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
      0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.8 0.8 0.8 1.2 1.2 1.2
      2.0 2.0 2.0 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2

f4:n 1
ft4 inc
fu4 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
      16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 100 t
fq4 e u
sd4 33510.32
f14:n 1
ft14 scx 1
fq14 u e
sd14 33510.32
m1 1001 2.0
      8016 1.0
cut:n j 20.0
print
mgopt a 30
nps 1500000
```

Fig. B6. Adjoint Input File for Material Test with Water

```
message: outp=ex4f.out runtpe=ex4f.run xsdir=mgdir1
```

```
Example #4: Forward Problem for Spherical Source Incident on a Point Detector.
```

```
c          Volume source -> Point Detector
```

```
c          Neutron transport through Iron
```

```
c
```

```
c
```

```
1      1  -7.86      -1          imp:n=1
```

```
2      1  -7.86      1 -2          imp:n=1
```

```
3      0              2 -3          imp:n=1
```

```
4      0              3          imp:n 0
```

```
1      so 20.0
```

```
2      so 30.0
```

```
3      so 50.0
```

```
c
```

```
mode n
```

```
sdef pos=0.0 0.0 0.0 erg=d1 rad=d2 cel=1
```

```
si1 h 1.39E-10 1.52E-7 4.14E-7 1.13E-6 3.06E-6 8.32E-6
```

```
2.26E-5 6.14E-5 1.67E-4 4.54E-4 1.235E-3 3.35E-3
```

```
9.12E-3 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5
```

```
0.823 1.353 1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680
```

```
6.070 7.79 10.0 12.0 13.500 15.00
```

```
17.00
```

```
sp1 d 0.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
```

```
0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.8 0.8 0.8 1.2 1.2 1.2
```

```
2.0 2.0 2.0 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2
```

```
si2 0.0 19.9999
```

```
e0 1.52E-7 4.14E-7 1.13E-6 3.06E-6 8.32E-6
```

```
2.26E-5 6.14E-5 1.67E-4 4.54E-4 1.235E-3 3.35E-3
```

```
9.12E-3 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5
```

```
0.823 1.353 1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680
```

```
6.070 7.79 10.0 12.0 13.500 15.00
```

```
17.00
```

```
em0 1 29r
```

```
f5:n 0.0 0.0 45.0 0.0
```

```
ft5 inc
```

```
fu5 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
```

```
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 100 t
```

```
fq5 e u
```

```
f15:n 0.0 0.0 45.0 0.0
```

```
m1 26000. 1.0
```

```
cut:n j 1.39E-10
```

```
print
```

```
mgopt f 30
```

```
nps 2000000
```

Fig. B7. Forward Input File for Material Test with Iron

message: outp=ex4a.out runtp=ex4a.run xsdir=mgdir1

Example #4: Adjoint Problem for Spherical Source Incident on a Point Detector.

c Point source -> Volume Tally

c Neutron transport through Iron

c
1 1 -7.86 -1 imp:n=1
2 1 -7.86 1 -2 imp:n=1
3 0 2 -3 imp:n=1
4 0 3 imp:n 0

1 so 20.0
2 so 30.0
3 so 50.0
c

mode n

sdef pos=0.0 0.0 45.0 erg=d1 wgt=1.3636364

si1 h 1.39E-10 1.52E-7 4.14E-7 1.13E-6 3.06E-6 8.32E-6
2.26E-5 6.14E-5 1.67E-4 4.54E-4 1.235E-3 3.35E-3
9.12E-3 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5
0.823 1.353 1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680
6.070 7.79 10.0 12.0 13.500 15.00
17.00

sp1 d 0. 1 29r
e0 1.39E-10 1.52E-7 4.14E-7 1.13E-6 3.06E-6 8.32E-6
2.26E-5 6.14E-5 1.67E-4 4.54E-4 1.235E-3 3.35E-3
9.12E-3 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5
0.823 1.353 1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680
6.070 7.79 10.0 12.0 13.500 15.00
17.00

em0 0.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5
0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.8 0.8 0.8 1.2 1.2 1.2
2.0 2.0 2.0 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2

f4:n 1
ft4 inc
fu4 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 100 t

fq4 e u
sd4 33510.32

f14:n 1
ft14 scx 1
fq14 u e
sd14 33510.32

m1 26000. 1.0

cut:n j 20.0

print

mgopt a 30

nps 2000000

Fig. B8. Adjoint Input File for Material Test with Iron.

```
message: outp=ex5f.out runtpe=ex5f.run xsdir=mgdir1
```

Example #5: Forward Problem for Generating Weight Window Importance Functions.

c Neutron Transport through 60 cm of Lead

```
c
c
c
1 0 1 imp:n=0
2 1 -11.35 -1 2 -50 imp:n=1.0
3 1 -11.35 -2 3 -50 imp:n=1.01
4 1 -11.35 -3 4 -50 imp:n=1.03
5 1 -11.35 -4 5 -50 imp:n=1.06
6 1 -11.35 -5 6 -50 imp:n=1.120
7 1 -11.35 -6 7 -50 imp:n=1.210
8 1 -11.35 -7 8 -50 imp:n=1.330
9 1 -11.35 -8 9 -50 imp:n=1.470
10 1 -11.35 -9 10 -50 imp:n=1.690
11 1 -11.35 -10 11 -50 imp:n=1.990
12 1 -11.35 -11 12 -50 imp:n=2.17
13 1 -11.35 -12 13 -50 imp:n=2.67
14 1 -11.35 -13 14 -50 imp:n=3.21
15 1 -11.35 -14 15 -50 imp:n=3.84
16 1 -11.35 -15 16 -50 imp:n=4.94
17 1 -11.35 -16 17 -50 imp:n=5.58
18 1 -11.35 -17 18 -50 imp:n=6.99
19 1 -11.35 -18 19 -50 imp:n=9.15
20 1 -11.35 -19 20 -50 imp:n=16.16
29 0 -1 30 50 imp:n=0
30 0 -20 30 -50 imp:n=33.76
31 0 -30 imp:n=0
```

```
c
1 pz 0.0
2 pz -1.0
3 pz -2.0
4 pz -3.0
5 pz -6.0
6 pz -9.0
7 pz -12.0
8 pz -16.0
9 pz -18.0
10 pz -25.0
11 pz -26.0
12 pz -30.0
13 pz -36.0
14 pz -37.0
15 pz -44.0
16 pz -45.0
17 pz -48.0
18 pz -51.0
19 pz -54.0
20 pz -60.0
30 pz -70.0
40 cz 25.0
50 cz 50.0
```

Fig. B9. Forward Input File for Generating Weight Window Importance Functions.

```

mode n
sdef pos=0. 0. -0.001 vec=0. 0. -1. rad=d1 axs=0. 0. -1. erg=d2
dir=d3
si1 0 25
sp1 -21 1
sb1 -21 -.25
c source spectrum s(e)=20.0 - E
si2 h 0.00912 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5 0.823 1.353
1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680 6.070 7.790 10.00
sp2 d 0.00 0.1565 0.4260 1.1494 1.1610 1.8909 3.0163 4.7234
3.225 3.9594 4.7168 5.4829 12.2488 5.2804 2.4421
si3 0 1
sp3 -21 1
e0 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5 0.823 1.353
1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680 6.070 7.790 10.00
c energy response function r(E) = 10*(E'-E)
em0 0.0170 0.0462 0.1258 0.2435 0.4015 0.6616 1.088 1.5455
1.988 2.5515 3.2725 4.875 6.930 8.895
f5:n 0 0 -65 1
ft5 inc
fu5 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 100 t
fq5 e u
f15:n 0 0 -65 1
f4:n 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
m1 82000 1.0
cut:n j 0.00912
print
wsg 15 1 0 0.0 0.0 -45.0 1
wsg:n 0.00912 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5 0.823 1.353
1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680 6.070 7.790 10.00
ngopt f 30
nps 1000000

```

Fig. B9. Forward Input File for Generating Weight Window Importance Functions (continued).

message: outp=ex5a.out runtpe=ex5a.run xadir=agdir1

Example #5: Adjoint Problem for Generating Adjoint Importance Functions.

c Neutron Transport through 60cm of Lead

```
c
c
1 0 1 imp:n=0
2 1 -11.35 -1 2 -50 imp:n=131.60
3 1 -11.35 -2 3 -50 imp:n=111.20
4 1 -11.35 -3 4 -50 imp:n=95.11
5 1 -11.35 -4 5 -50 imp:n=67.21
6 1 -11.35 -5 6 -50 imp:n=49.20
7 1 -11.35 -6 7 -50 imp:n=37.32
8 1 -11.35 -7 8 -50 imp:n=26.54
9 1 -11.35 -8 9 -50 imp:n=23.13
10 1 -11.35 -9 10 -50 imp:n=13.53
11 1 -11.35 -10 11 -50 imp:n=13.47
12 1 -11.35 -11 12 -50 imp:n=9.91
13 1 -11.35 -12 13 -50 imp:n=6.43
14 1 -11.35 -13 14 -50 imp:n=6.33
15 1 -11.35 -14 15 -50 imp:n=3.68
16 1 -11.35 -15 16 -50 imp:n=3.63
17 1 -11.35 -16 17 -50 imp:n=2.88
18 1 -11.35 -17 18 -50 imp:n=2.32
19 1 -11.35 -18 19 -50 imp:n=1.870
20 1 -11.35 -19 20 -50 imp:n=1.10
29 0 -1 30 50 imp:n=0
30 0 -20 30 -50 imp:n=1
31 0 -30 imp:n=0
```

```
c
1 pz 0.0
2 pz -1.0
3 pz -2.0
4 pz -3.0
5 pz -6.0
6 pz -9.0
7 pz -12.0
8 pz -16.0
9 pz -18.0
10 pz -25.0
11 pz -26.0
12 pz -30.0
13 pz -36.0
14 pz -37.0
15 pz -44.0
16 pz -45.0
17 pz -48.0
18 pz -51.0
19 pz -54.0
20 pz -60.0
30 pz -70.0
40 cz 25.0
50 cz 50.0
```

Fig. B10. Adjoint Input File for Generating Adjoint Importance Functions.

2 of 2

```

mode n
sdef pos=0. 0. -65. vec=0. 0. -1. wgt=1.308025 dir=d1 erg=d2
si1 -1 0 1
sp1 0 1 0
si2 h 0.00912 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5 0.823 1.353
      1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680 6.070 7.790 10.00
sp2 d 0.0 0.0170 0.0462 0.1258 0.2435 0.4015 0.6616 1.088
      1.5455 1.988 2.5515 3.2725 4.875 6.930 8.895
e0 0.00912 0.0248 0.0676 0.184 0.303 0.5 0.823 1.353
      1.738 2.232 2.865 3.680 6.070 7.790 10.00
c energy response function r(E) = 10*(E'-E)
em0 0.0 0.1565 0.4260 1.1494 1.1610 1.8909 3.0163 4.7234
      3.225 3.9594 4.7168 5.4829 12.2488 5.2804 2.4421
f1:n 1
fs1 -40
ft1 inc
fu1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
      16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 100 t
fq1 e u
tf1 3j 1
sd1 1963.495 5890.486
f11:n 1
fs11 -40
sd11 1963.495 5890.486
ft11 scx 2
fq11 u e
tf11 3j 1
f21:n 1
fs21 -40
tf21 3j 1
sd21 1963.495 5890.486
m1 82000 1.0
cut:n j 10.
print
mgopt a 30 0 2 2 1 1000
nps 1000000

```

Fig. B10. Adjoint Input File for Generating Adjoint Importance Functions (continued).

APPENDIX C:
MCNP MULTIGROUP DATA FORMATS

TABLE C.I
NXS ARRAY

Parameter		Description
NXS(1)	LDB	Length of second block of data
NXS(2)	ZA	1000*Z+A
NXS(3)	NLEG	Number of angular distribution variables
NXS(4)	NEDIT	Number of edit reactions
NXS(5)	NGRP	Number of groups
NXS(6)	NUS	Number of upscatter groups
NXS(7)	NDS	Number of downscatter groups
NXS(8)	NSEC	Number of secondary particles
NXS(9)	ISANG	Angular distribution type ISANG=0 for equi-probable cosine bins ISANG=1 for discrete cosines
NXS(10)	NNUBAR	Number of nubars given
NXS(11)	IBFP	Boltzmann-Fokker-Planck indicator IBFP=0 for Boltzmann only IBFP=1 for Boltzmann-Fokker-Planck IBFP=2 for Fokker-Planck only
NXS(12)	IPT	Identifier for incident particle IPT=1 for neutrons IPT=2 for photons IPT=0 for other particles (temporary)

NXS(13)–NXS(16) are presently unused

All data in the NXS Array is appropriate for the incident particle only.

TABLE C.II

JXS ARRAY

Parameter		Description
JXS(1)	LERG	Location of incident particle group structure=1
JXS(2)	LTOT	Location of total cross sections
JXS(3)	LFISS	Location of fission cross sections
JXS(4)	LNU	Location of nubar data
JXS(5)	LCHI	Location of fission chi data
JXS(6)	LABS	Location of absorption cross sections
JXS(7)	LSTOP	Location of stopping powers
JXS(8)	LMOM	Location of momentum transfers
JXS(9)	LMTED	Location of edit reaction numbers
JXS(10)	LXSED	Location of edit cross sections
JXS(11)	LIPT	Location of secondary particle types
JXS(12)	LERG2L	Location of secondary group structure locators
JXS(13)	LPOL	Location of PO locators
JXS(14)	LSANG2	Location of secondary angular distribution types
JXS(15)	LNLEG2	Location of number of angular distribution variables for secondaries
JXS(16)	LXPNL	Location of XP_N locators
JXS(17)	LPNL	Location of P_N locators
JXS(18)	LSIGMA	Location of SIGMA Block locators
JXS(19)	LSIGSC	Location of cumulative P0 scattering cross sections
JXS(20)	LSIGSCS	Location of cumulative P0 scattering cross sections to secondary particle

Notes: JXS(18)–JXS(20) are calculated and used internally in MCNP.
 These parameters have a value of 0 on the cross-section file.
 JXS(21)–JXS(32) are presently unused.

TABLE C.III

ERG BLOCK

Location	Parameter	Description
JXS(1)	ECENT(1)	Center energy of group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(1)+NXS(5)-1	ECENT(NXS(5))	Center energy of Group NXS(5)
JXS(1)+NXS(5)	EWID(1)	Width of Group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(1)+2*NXS(5)-1	EWID(NXS(5))	Width of Group NXS(5)
JXS(1)+2*NXS(5)	GMASS(1)	Mass of Group-1 particle
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(1)+3*NXS(5)-1	GMASS(NXS(5))	Mass of Group-NXS(5) particle

Notes: Group masses are given only if NXS(12)=0.

All entries are in MeV.

Group energies are descending, unless NXS(12)=0, in which case there may be discontinuities.

Length: 2*NXS(5) if NXS(12)≠0; 3*NXS(5) if NXS(12)=0

Exists: Always

TABLE C.IV

TOT BLOCK

<u>Location</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Description</u>
JXS(2)	SIGTOT(1)	Total cross section in Group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(2)+NXS(5)-1	SIGTOT(NXS(5))	Total cross section in Group NXS(5)
Length: NXS(5)		
Exists: If JXS(2) $\neq$ 0		

TABLE C.V
FISS BLOCK

<u>Location</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Description</u>
JXS(3)	SIGFIS(1)	Fission cross section in Group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(3)+NXS(5)-1	SIGFIS(NXS(5))	Fission cross section in Group NXS(5)
Length: NXS(5)		
Exists: If JXS(3) $\neq$ 0		

TABLE C.VI

NU BLOCK

<u>Location</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Description</u>
JXS(4)	NUBAR(1)	See below
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(4)+NXS(10)*NXS(5)-1	NUBAR(NXS(10)*NXS(5))	See below

Note: If NXS(10)=1, then one set of nubars is given NUBAR(1)→ NUBAR(NXS(5)). The nubars may be either prompt or total. If NXS(10)=2, then both prompt and total nubars are given. In this case, NUBAR(1) → NUBAR(NXS(5)) are prompt nubars and NUBAR(NXS(5)+1)→ NUBAR(2*NXS(5)) are total nubars.

Length: NXS(5)*NXS(10)

Exists: If JXS(3)≠ 0

TABLE C.VII
CHI BLOCK

<u>Location</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Description</u>
JXS(5)	FISFR(1)	Group 1 fission fraction
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(5)+NXS(5)-1	FISFR(NXS(5))	Group NXS(5) fission fraction

Note: The fission fractions are normalized so that their sum is 1.0.

Length: NXS(5)

Exists: If JXS(3) ≠ 0

TABLE C.VIII
ABS BLOCK

Location	Parameter	Description
JXS(6)	SIGABS(1)	Absorption cross section in Group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(6)+NXS(5)-1	SIGABS(NXS(5))	Absorption cross section in Group NXS(5)
Length: NXS(5)		
Exists: If JXS(6)≠ 0		

TABLE C.IX
STOP BLOCK

<u>Location</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Description</u>
JXS(7)	SPOW(1)	Stopping power in Group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(7)+NXS(5)-1	SPOW(NXS(5))	Stopping power in Group NXS(5)
Length: NXS(5)		
Exists: If JXS(7) $\neq$ 0		

TABLE C.X
MOM BLOCK

<u>Location</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Description</u>
JXS(8)	MOMTR(1)	Momentum transfer in Group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(8)+NXS(5)-1	MOMTR(NXS(5))	Momentum transfer in Group NXS(5)
Length: NXS(5)		
Exists: If JXS(8) $\neq$ 0		

TABLE C.XI
MTED BLOCK

<u>Location</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Description</u>
JXS(9)	MT(1)	Identifier for edit reaction 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(9)+NXS(4)-1	MT(NXS(4))	Identifier for edit reaction NXS(4)
Length: NXS(4)		
Exists: If JXS(4) $\neq$ 0		

TABLE C.XII
XSED BLOCK

Location	Parameter	Description
JXS(10)	XS(1,1)	Edit cross section for reaction 1, Group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(10)+NXS(5)-1	XS(1,NXS(5))	Edit cross section for reaction 1, Group NXS(5)
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(10)+(NXS(4)-1)*(NXS(5))	XS(NXS(4),1)	Edit cross section for reaction NXS(4), Group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(10)+NXS(4)*NXS(5)-1	XS(NXS(4),NXS(5))	Edit cross section for reaction NXS(4), Group NXS(5)
Length: NXS(4)*NXS(5)		
Exists: If NXS(4) ≠ 0		

TABLE C.XIII
IPT BLOCK

<u>Location</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Description</u>
JXS(11)	IPT(1)	Identifier for secondary particle 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(11)+NXS(8)-1	IPT(NXS(8))	Identifier for secondary particle NXS(8)

Note: Present values of IPT are:
 IPT=1 for neutrons,
 IPT=2 for photons

Length: NXS(8)

Exists: If NXS(8) $\neq$ 0

TABLE C.XIV
ERG2L BLOCK

Location	Parameter	Description
JXS(12)	LERG2(1)	Location of ERG2 Block* for secondary particle 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(12)+NXS(8)-1	LERG2(NXS(8))	Location of ERG2 Block* for secondary particle NXS(8)
Length: NXS(8)		
Exists: If NXS(8) $\neq$ 0		

*The ERG2 Block for secondary particle i is of the form:

Location	Parameter	Description
LERG2(i)	NERG(i)	Number of energy groups for secondary particle i
LERG2(i)+1	ECENT2(1)	Center energy of Group 1 for secondary particle i
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
LERG2(i) + NERG(i)	ECENT2(NERG(i))	Center energy of Group NERG(i) for secondary particle i
LERG2(i) + NERG(i)+1	EWID2(1)	Width of Group 1 for secondary particle i
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
LERG2(i)+2*NERG(i)	EWID2(NERG(i))	Width of Group NERG(i) for secondary particle i

Note: Values of LERG2(i) are from ERG2L Block. Group energies are descending.

Length: 2*NERG(i)+1

Exists: If NXS(8) $\neq$ 0, then ERG2 Block is repeated NXS(8) times.

TABLE C.XV

POL BLOCK

Location	Parameter	Description
JXS(13)	LPO(1)	Location of PO Block* for incident particle
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(13)+NXS(8)	LPO(NXS(8)+1)	Location of PO Block* for secondary particle NXS(8)

Length: NXS(8)+1

Exists: If JXS(13) ≠ 0

***The PO Block for particle i is of the form:**

Location	Parameter	Description
LPO(i)	SIG(1 → 1)	P0 cross section for scattering from incident particle Group 1 to exiting particle Group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
LPO(i+L-1)	SIG(NXS(5)→K)	P0 cross section for scattering from incident particle group NXS(5) to exiting particle Group K

Note: See Table C.XXIII for a complete description of the ordering and length of the PO block.

Exists: If JXS(13) ≠ 0, then the PO Block is repeated NXS(8)+1 times.

TABLE C.XVI
SANG2 BLOCK

<u>Location</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Description</u>
JXS(14)	ISANG2(1)	Angular distribution type for secondary particle 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(14)+NXS(8)-1	ISANG2(NXS(8))	Angular distribution type for secondary particle NXS(8)

Note: ISANG2(i)=0 for equi-probable cosine bins: ISANG2(i)=1 for discrete cosines.

Length: NXS(8)

Exists: If NXS(8) $\neq$ 0

TABLE C.XVII
NLEG2 BLOCK

<u>Location</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Description</u>
JXS(15)	NLEG2(1)	Number of angular distribution variables for secondary particle 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(15)+NXS(8)-1	NLEG2(NXS(8))	Number of angular distribution variables for secondary particle NXS(8)

Length: NXS(8)

Exists: If NXS(8) $\neq$ 0

TABLE C.XVIII

XPNL BLOCK

Location	Parameter	Description
JXS(16)	LXPN(1)	Location of XPN Block* for incident particle
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(16)+NXS(8)	LXPN(NXS(8)+1)	Location of XPN Block* for secondary particle NXS(8)

Note: If LXPN(i)=0, then all possible scattering is isotropic and no XPN block exists.

Length: NXS(8)+1

Exists: If JXS(13) ≠ 0

*The XPN Block for particle i is of the form:

Location	Parameter	Description
LXPN(i)	LPND(1→1)	Location of PND Block [†] for scattering from incident particle Group 1 to exiting particle Group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
LXPN(i+L-1)	LPND(NXS(5)→K)	Location of PND Block [†] for scattering from incident particle Group NXS(5) to exiting particle Group K

[†]See Table C.XIX for a description of the PND Block

Note: See Table C.XXIII for a complete description of the ordering and length of the XPN Block. Also see the notes to the PN Block in Table C.XIX for more complete description of the meanings of the LPND parameters.

Exists: If JXS(13) ≠ 0, then the XPN Block is repeated NXS(8)+1 times.

TABLE C.XIX

PNL BLOCK

Location	Parameter	Description
JXS(17)	LPN(1)	Location of PN Block* for incident particle
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(17)+NXS(8)	LPN(NXS(8)+1)	Location of PN Block* for secondary particle NXS(8)

Note: If LPN(i)=0, then all possible scattering is isotropic and no PN Block exists.

Length: NXS(8)+1

Exists: If JXS(13) $\neq$ 0.

*The PN Block for particle i is of the form:

Location	Parameter	Description
LPN(i)+LPND(1→1)-1	PND(1→1,I) I=1,NLEG(i)	Angular distribution data for scattering from incident particle Group 1 to exiting particle Group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
LPN(i)+LPND(NXS(5) →K)-1	PND(NXS(5) →K,I), I=1, NLEG(i)	Angular distribution data for scattering from incident particle Group NXS(5) to exiting particle Group K

Note: Values of LPND are from the XPN Block (see Table XVIII). Values of LPN(i) are from the PNL Block. If LPND>0, then data exists in the PN Block as described above. If LPND=0, then scattering is isotropic in the laboratory system and no data exist in the PN Block. If LPND=-1, then scattering is impossible for the combination of incident and exiting groups; again no data exist in the PN Block. The appropriate value of NLEG is found in Table C.I or Table C.XVII. The value of ISANG (from Table C.I or Table C.XVI) determines what data are found in the PND array. If ISANG=0, then PND contains NLEG cosines, which are boundaries of NLEG-1 equally-probable cosine bins. If ISANG=1, then PND contains (NLEG-1)/2 cumulative probabilities followed by (NLEG+1)/2 discrete cosines. The cumulative probability corresponding to the final discrete cosine is defined to be 1.0.

Exists: If JXS(13) $\neq$ 0, then the PN Block is repeated NXS(8)+1 times.

TABLE C.XX
SIGMA BLOCK

Location	Parameter	Description
JXS(18)	SCAT _{gg} (1)	Location of within group scattering cross section for group 1 within the PO Block
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(18)+NXS(5)-1	SCAT _{gg} (NXS(5))	Location of within group scattering cross section for group NXS(5) within the PO Block

Note: This block is calculated and used internally within MCNP and does not actually appear on the cross-section file.

TABLE C.XXI
SIGSC BLOCK

Location	Parameter	Description
JXS(19)	SIGSC(1)	Total P0 scattering cross section for group 1 excluding scattering to secondary particle
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(19)+NXS(5)-1	SIGSC(NXS(5))	Total P0 scattering cross section for group NXS(5) excluding scattering to secondary particle

Note: This block is calculated and used internally within MCNP and does not actually appear on the cross-section file.

TABLE C.XXII
SIGSCS BLOCK

<u>Location</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Description</u>
JXS(20)	SIGSCS(1)	Total P0 scattering cross section to a secondary particle for group 1
.	.	.
.	.	.
.	.	.
JXS(20)+NXS(5)-1	SIGSCS(NXS(5))	Total P0 scattering cross section to a secondary particle for group NXS(5)

Note: This block is calculated and used internally within MCNP and does not actually appear on the cross-section file.

TABLE C.XXIII

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR PO AND XPN BLOCKS

1. Ordering

Entries in these blocks always start with data for scattering from the highest energy group of the incident particle to the highest energy group of the existing particle. The last entry is always data for scattering from the lowest energy group of the exiting particle. The remaining entries are ordered according to the following prescription:

$$X(1 \rightarrow J), J=I1(1), I2(1),$$

$$X(2 \rightarrow J), J=I1(2), I2(2),$$

.

.

.

$$X(NXS(5) \rightarrow J), J=I1(NXS(5)), I2(NXS(5)).$$

If the incident and exiting particles are the same:

$$I1(K)=MAX(1, K-NXS(6)),$$

$$I2(K)=MIN(NXS(5), K+NXS(7)).$$

If the incident and exiting particles are different:

$$I1(K)=1,$$

$$I2(K)=NERG(i) \text{ for the appropriate secondary particle from Table C.XIV.}$$

2. Length

If the incident and exiting particles are the same:

$$L = NX S(5) * (1 + NX S(7) + NX S(6)) - \frac{(NX S(7) * (NX S(7) + 1)) + (NX S(6) * (NX S(6) + 1))}{2}$$

If the incident and exiting particles are different:

$$L = NX S(5) * NERG(i), \text{ where } NERG(i) \text{ is for the appropriate secondary particle from Table C.XIV.}$$

DATE

FILMED

5/26/94

END