2

CONF-940449--6

UCRL-JC-115251
PREPRINT

Ground-Penetrating Radar for Buried Mine Detection

Paul D. Sargis, F. Dean Lee, E. Stephen Fulkerson,
Billy J. McKinley, William D. Aimonetti

This paper was prepared for submittal to the
Optical Engineering in Aerospace
Society of Photo-optical Instrumentation Engineers
Orlando, Florida
April 4-8, 1994

April 1994

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

RECEIVED

APR 21 1994

OSTI

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

878

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Ground-penetrating radar for buried mine detection

Paul D. Sargis, F. Dean Lee, E. Stephen Fulkerson, Billy J. McKinley, William D. Aimonetti

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, M/S L-54, Livermore, CA 94551

ABSTRACT

LLNL is developing an ultra-wideband, side-looking, ground-penetrating impulse radar system that can be mounted on an airborne platform for the purpose of locating buried mines. The radar system is presently mounted on an 18-meter boom. We have successfully imaged a minefield located at the Nevada Test Site. The minefield consists of real and surrogate mines of various materials and sizes placed in natural vegetation. Some areas have been cleared for non-cluttered studies. A technical description of the system will be presented, describing the wideband antennas, the video pulser, the receiver hardware, and the data acquisition system. The receiver and data acquisition hardware are off-the-shelf-components. The data was processed using LLNL-developed image reconstruction software, and has been registered against the ground truth data. Images showing clearly visible mines, surface reference markers, and ground clutter will be presented.

2. INTRODUCTION

Various types of synthetic-aperture radar (SAR) systems can be applied to the problem of locating buried mines and minefields. High-altitude airborne SAR systems have the advantage of being able to search large areas quickly, but they require high power transmitters to couple adequate energy into the ground. Ground-coupled systems can penetrate deeply into the soil, but they require travel over the surface of the site being surveyed and only provide data over a narrow swath. Our "standoff" ground-penetrating radar (GPR) system is mounted on an elevated mobile boom, simulating a slow, low-flying airborne platform. We can examine areas up to ten meters wide with a penetration depth that is between that of airborne and ground-coupled systems.

Instead of using a pulse-modulated swept-frequency technique, we chose to implement an impulse approach, because of its relative simplicity and because of our expertise in impulse generation, transient digital recording, and SAR processing. Our approach is based on some of the techniques developed by SRI International.^{1,2}

3. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Our system presently requires a caravan of two vehicles. Transmit and receive antennas are mounted on a boom truck having an altitude capability of 18 meters. The boom truck is followed by a motorhome which houses the instrumentation. As pictured in Figure 1, the two vehicles are joined by a bundle of cables. A simple block diagram of our GPR system is presented in

Figure 1. Illustration of GPR vehicle caravan

Figure 2. The trigger source for the system is a shaft encoder mounted on a bicycle wheel which follows the boom truck. A trigger pulse is issued whenever the wheel turns a selected fraction of a revolution. When prompted by the shaft encoder, the trigger generator distributes triggers to the pulse transmitter, a pulse counter, and a transient digitizer with appropriate delays to compensate for cable lengths.

Figure 2. Block diagram of GPR system

The pulse transmitter is a LLNL-designed unit which uses avalanche transistor technology to generate the high-voltage video pulse shown in Figure 3. We used pulse-shaping techniques to optimize the spectral content of the transmitter over the passband of interest. (See Figure 4.) Optimum power transfer occurs when the spectral content of the transmitter matches the response of the transmit antenna. If the transmitter generates significant energy outside the passband of the antenna, it will reflect back toward the transmitter. This energy may then reflect a second time from the transmitter output, causing interfering pulses to be transmitted from the antenna.

Figure 3. Pulse transmitter output waveform

Figure 4. Spectrum of pulse transmitter output

The transmit antenna, pictured in Figure 5, is a commercial double-ridged horn antenna and is designed to operate from 200 MHz to 2000 MHz. Although the 3-dB antenna beamwidth varies with frequency, it is on the order of 45 degrees in both the E-plane and the H-plane.

Figure 5. Double-ridged horn transmit antenna

Our receive antenna, shown in Figure 6, is a unique design. The monopole corner reflector uses a folded triangle element to permit operation from 400 MHz to 1500 MHz. This design has several advantages over a conventional resistive dipole corner reflector. It has considerably more gain than a resistive dipole, it is half the size, and it takes a simple coaxial feed with an autotransformer matching network. Its geometry minimizes crosstalk from the adjacent horn antenna. Like the horn antenna, the 3-dB beamwidth for this antenna varies with frequency. It is on the order of 40 degrees in both the E-plane and the H-plane.

Figure 6. Monopole corner reflector receive antenna

The frequency response of the pair of antennas is plotted in Figure 7. They are capable of efficiently transmitting a pulse having spectral content between 400 MHz and 1500 MHz. Because the monopole corner reflector has a narrower bandwidth than the horn, we use it on the receiver rather than on the transmitter because it rejects local VHF two-way radio signals

Figure 7. Normalized frequency response of antenna pair

The combined response of the transmitter, antennas, and coaxial cables is well behaved. (See Figure 8.) A frequency-domain representation of this response, shown in Figure 9, reveals that our GPR system performs well between 400 MHz and 1000 MHz. The narrower bandwidth of the monopole corner reflector acts as a filter for the horn antenna to produce this clean response. In our experience, the use of identical antennas for transmission and reception yields a response that rings.

Figure 8. Time-domain response of GPR system

Figure 9. Normalized frequency-domain response of GPR system

Our receiver is a Hewlett-Packard 54720D 4 gigasample per second transient digitizer. We use the digitizer's internal amplifier to take advantage of its full eight-bit resolution. When triggered, the 54720D captures a 256-point waveform. Each waveform is transferred to an Apple Macintosh IIfx and stored in RAM until the data run is complete. (The data acquisition and instrument control software is written in National Instruments LabVIEW, a high-level object-oriented software package.) Finally, the data is transferred to a Sun or HP workstation for SAR processing.

The antennas are mounted on a remotely-controlled pan-and-tilt mechanism, permitting adjustment of the antenna look angle. The transmitted pulse is optimally refracted into the ground when the antenna tilt angle matches the Brewster angle, as determined by the soil dielectric constant at the center of the spectrum. This is illustrated in Figure 10. Maximum penetration depth varies, depending on the conductivity of the soil.

Figure 10. Illustration of rf energy propagation for buried object detection

4. THE NTS MINE DETECTION FACILITY

LLNL operates the Buried Object and Mine Detection Facility at the Nevada Test Site (NTS), which is located in the high desert, approximately 100 km northwest of Las Vegas. This facility appears in the foreground of the photograph in Figure 11. Actual mines (without detonators) and surrogate mines, both metal and plastic, have been buried in natural vegetation. The soil in this area is made up of alluvium, consisting of Paleozoic fragments and tuff. Soil conductivity is on the order of five to eight millisiemens. The exact location of buried mines has been carefully documented. Figure 12 shows how the facility is laid out. An area has been cleared of vegetation and smoothed to permit evaluation of our GPR system with a minimum of ground clutter.

Figure 13 illustrates the typical layout of a minefield plot. Items marked “fid” are 10-cm square galvanized steel plates that we use as surface markers. The fids are attached to the ground by means of short metal skewers. At each end of a minefield, three 1-cm diameter rebars are driven approximately 30 cm into the ground, leaving 60 cm above ground. Mines and surrogates are buried with between one and ten centimeters of soil overburden. The actual location of objects varies from the square grid when bushes are present. Vegetation and burrows were left intact to maintain realistic conditions.

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We set up our GPR system at the Nevada Test Site in the configuration discussed in section 3, and positioned our antennas at an elevation of five meters. Both antennas were mounted for vertical polarization, and the antenna look angle was 34 degrees below horizontal to center the main antenna lobe over the middle row of objects. The angle from the antennas to the back row of objects was around 26 degrees. For an estimated dielectric constant of 4 at the center of the spectrum, the angle to the back row approximates the Brewster angle. Thus, Brewster’s law favors the back row of objects, the antenna pattern favors the middle row, and close range favors the front row.

Figure 11. Buried Object and Mine Detection Facility at the Nevada Test Site

Figure 12. Layout of NTS Mine Detection Facility

Figure 13. Typical layout of an NTS minefield plot

We collected data every five cm along the road adjacent to the minefield designated, "I-south." This minefield contains real metal mines and surrogates, all of which are approximately 30 cm in diameter. Figure 14 is a 796x256 composite image of data from subplot IS-4 with the average background subtracted. It is a side-by-side representation of the 796 time-domain data records. The three rows of objects clearly stand out above the clutter. Each object is defined by an arc. Prior to SAR processing, the image is preprocessed using average subtraction, range compensation, and pulse compression. Average subtraction takes the difference between the raw image and the mean of the raw image. Range compensation corrects for power losses due to the distance from the antennas to the objects. Pulse compression deconvolves the antenna-to-antenna system pulse response (Figure 8) from the result to reduce ringing and improve resolution.

Figure 14. Composite image of minefield IS-4 subplot

Figure 15 is the reconstructed SAR image of minefield I-south, subplot 4. Object positions in this image correspond to arc positions in Figure 14. With the addition of the exact location of objects in Figure 16, we find good correlation between the

Figure 15. Reconstructed SAR image of minefield subplot IS-4

Figure 16. Reconstructed SAR image of IS-4 with ground-truth registration

ground-truth registration and the GPR result. The three-dimensional representation of this data in Figure 17 shows that most of the clutter is well below the buried objects and surface markers. By making a visual analysis of the minefield, we were able to determine that the stronger returns from clutter were due to bushes and animal burrows. Overall, the signal-to-clutter ratio in this image is between 4:1 and 6:1.

Figure 17. Three-dimensional SAR image of minefield subplot IS-4

To test the penetration capability of our GPR system, we buried five 40-cm diameter metal disks in the smoothed test plot at depths ranging from 5 cm to 40 cm. Using the same experimental setup that we used for minefield I-south, we obtained the processed result shown in Figure 18. All five of the objects are clearly visible. The three-dimensional representation of this data is shown in Figure 19. The disk with 10 cm of soil overburden produced a stronger return than the one buried 5 cm deep. It could be that the latter object is tilted back slightly, resulting in a smaller radar cross-section. The signal-to-clutter ratio for these five objects ranges between 4:1 and 6:1, depending on depth of burial.

6. SUMMARY

We have demonstrated the capability of our standoff, wideband, side-looking GPR system to locate buried metal mines with a signal-to-clutter ratio of up to 6:1 at the Mine Detection Facility at the Nevada Test Site. Reconstructed two-dimensional images of the test area compare favorably with the ground truth data, and validate the capabilities of our system. We have also demonstrated that our system can detect metal objects buried as deep as 40 cm.

The performance and functionality of our GPR system can be improved in a number of ways. We can increase the penetration depth by reducing the lower cutoff frequency of our antenna system, and by increasing the output power from our pulse transmitter. We can improve our signal-to-noise ratio by averaging multiple waveforms in each position. Modifications to our

Figure 18. Reconstructed SAR image of buried metal disks

Figure 19. Three-dimensional SAR image of buried metal disks

data acquisition hardware will make it possible to place our system on a slow, low-flying airborne platform. Our intermediate goal is to eliminate the need for a boom truck by mounting our antennas on top of a motorhome. We will then be able to drive our GPR system to any test site.

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the support of David Fields, Michael Carter, Charles Anderson, Terry Rossow, Jeff Mast, and Erik Johansson of LLNL. We also thank our field support team from EG&G, North Las Vegas.

8. REFERENCES

1. D. R. Rutt, "Use of an ultra-wideband synthetic aperture radar technique for buried mine detection," SPIE Vol. 1875, Ultrahigh Resolution Radar 1993, pp. 68-76, 20 January 1993.
2. R. S. Vickers, V. H. Gonzalez, and R. W. Ficklin, "Results from a VHF impulse synthetic aperture radar," SPIE Vol. 1631, Ultrawideband Radar 1992, pp. 219-225, 22-23 January 1992.

Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-48

DATE

FILMED

6 / 1 / 94

END