NRT&SC

NUCLEAR REACTOR TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTATIONS

WSRC-TR-93-301

KEY WORDS: IN-TANK PRECIPITATION
HUMAN RELIABILITY ANALYSIS
HUMAN FACTORS
PROBABILISTIC RISK ASSESSMENT
RETENTION: LIFETIME

**HUMAN RELIABILITY ANALYSIS FOR IN-TANK PRECIPITATION
ALIGNMENT AND STARTUP OF EMERGENCY PURGE
VENTILATION EQUIPMENT (U)**

By

LINDA M. OLSEN 4-5228

REVISION 1 ISSUED: AUGUST 1993

Authorized Derivative Classifier

MASTER

H. C. Benhardt

7/30/93
Date

Westinghouse Savannah River Company
Savannah River Site
Aiken, SC 29808

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY UNDER CONTRACT NO. DE-AC09-89SR18035

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DOCUMENT: WSRC-TR-93-301, REVISION 1
TITLE: HUMAN RELIABILITY ANALYSIS FOR IN-TANK
PRECIPITATION ALIGNMENT AND STARTUP OF
EMERGENCY PURGE VENTILATION EQUIPMENT (U)

APPROVALS

D.J. BAKER, MANAGER ST&RAG

DATE: 7/21/93

M.J. HITCHLER, MANAGER STS

DATE: 7/21/93

BETH VAIL, TECHNICAL REVIEWER

DATE: 7/20/93

J. D. MENNA, CUSTOMER

DATE: 8/3/93

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P. O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; prices available from (615) 576-8401.

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, U. S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161

REPORT REVISION LOG

REVISION	AFFECTED PAGES	DESCRIPTION OF REVISION
0	ALL	ORIGINAL ISSUE
1	1	PARAGRAPH 3, DEFINED $4.2E-6$ AS MEDIAN VALUE ON LOGNORMAL SCALE
1	2	PARAGRAPH 3, ADDED EXAMPLES OF OTHER EVENT INITIATORS
1	3-5	ASSUMPTIONS REVISED PER WASTE MANAGEMENT OPERATIONS' PROCEDURES
1	6	PARAGRAPH 3, LAST SENTENCE DELETED
1	7	PARAGRAPH 4, SENTENCE 3, REDEFINED SHORT METHOD
1	8	PARAGRAPH 1, OSR REQUIREMENT CHANGED TO 14 HOURS
1	8	PARAGRAPH 5, "PURGE FLOW METER" CHANGED TO "FLOW INDICATOR"
1	8	PARAGRAPH 6, "LEL" CHANGED TO "LFL"
1	9-12	STEPS "A" THROUGH "J" - REFERENCES TO ASSUMPTION NUMBERS CHANGED TO REFLECT RENUMBERING OF THE ASSUMPTIONS
1	9	STEP "A" - OSR REQUIREMENT CHANGED TO 14 HOURS
1	10	STEP "C" - NOTE ADDED TO EXPLAIN ERRORS NOT MODELED

REVISION	AFFECTED PAGES	DESCRIPTION OF REVISION
1	10-11	STEP "D", SENTENCE 5 - "DOWN COMER" DELETED. NOTE ADDED TO EXPLAIN ERRORS NOT MODELED
1	11	STEP "H" - "PURGE FLOW METER" CHANGED TO "FLOW INDICATOR"
1	12	STEP "J" - "LEL" CHANGED TO "LFL"
1	13	"CONCLUSIONS", ADDED SENTENCE "THIS HEP IS A MEDIAN VALUE ON THE LOGNORMAL SCALE."
1	15	ADDED REFERENCES 6, 10 & 11
1	17	ADDED "REVISED HRA TIME ESTIMATE" COLUMN TO TABLE
1	37	TIMELINE MODIFIED TO REFLECT CHANGE IN OSR REQUIREMENT FROM 12 HOURS TO 14 HOURS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REPORT REVISION LOG	v
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	2
DISCUSSION	2
Input Data	2
Assumptions	2
ANALYSIS METHODS AND CALCULATIONS	5
RESULTS	7
Summary of Operator Actions in Event Tree	7
HRA Event Tree Branch Definitions	10
Calculation of Failure Probabilities	13
CONCLUSIONS	13
Other Recommendations	13
REFERENCES	15
APPENDIX A: TIME ESTIMATES	17
APPENDIX B: TASK ANALYSES	18
APPENDIX C: TIMELINE	37

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. HRA Event Tree for Align and Start Emergency Purge Ventilation Equipment on In-Tank Precipitation Tank 48 or 49 After a Seismic Event__9

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report documents the methodology used for calculating the human error probability for establishing air based ventilation using emergency purge ventilation equipment on In-Tank Precipitation (ITP) processing tanks 48 and 49 after a failure of the nitrogen purge system following a seismic event. The analyses were performed according to THERP (Technique for Human Error Rate Prediction) as described in NUREG/CR-1278-F, "Handbook of Human Reliability Analysis with Emphasis on Nuclear Power Plant Applications". The calculated human error probabilities are provided as input to the Fault Tree Analysis for the ITP Nitrogen Purge System.

The analysis assumes a seismic event initiator leading to establishing air based ventilation on the ITP processing tanks 48 and 49. At the time of this analysis only the tanks and the emergency purge ventilation equipment are seismically qualified. Consequently, onsite and offsite power is assumed to be unavailable and all operator control actions are to be performed locally on the tank top. A task analysis was performed for this task and is documented in Appendix B. The task analysis was done for the Off Normal Procedure (ONP), "Loss of Ventilation" and the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP), "Tank 48 System". Only those sections directly applicable to the alignment and startup of the emergency purge ventilation equipment on tank 48 were analyzed. Due to the current startup mode of ITP operations several assumptions had to be made in order to perform this analysis. Assumptions regarding procedures, staffing, equipment locations, equipment tagging, equipment availability, and training were made and are documented in this report.

The human error probability for establishing air based ventilation using the emergency purge ventilation equipment on In-Tank Precipitation processing tanks 48 and 49 after a failure of the nitrogen purge system following a seismic event is $4.2\text{E-}6$ (median value on the lognormal scale). It is important to note that this result is predicated on the implementation of all of the assumptions listed in the "Assumptions" section of this report. This analysis was not based on the current conditions in ITP. The analysis is to be used as a tool to aid ITP operations personnel in achieving the training, procedural, and operational goals outlined in this document. If all of the assumptions are implemented as specified before startup, then the HEP of $4.2\text{E-}6$ is valid. If any of the assumptions are not implemented, then a reanalysis of the HEP must be performed.

HUMAN RELIABILITY ANALYSIS FOR IN-TANK PRECIPITATION ALIGNMENT AND STARTUP OF EMERGENCY PURGE VENTILATION EQUIPMENT (U)

By Linda M. Olsen

**Westinghouse Savannah River Company
Savannah River Technology Center
Aiken, SC 29808**

INTRODUCTION

This report documents the methodology used for calculating the human error probability for establishing air based ventilation using emergency purge ventilation equipment on In-Tank Precipitation (ITP) processing tanks 48 and 49 after a failure of the nitrogen purge system following a seismic event. The analyses were performed according to THERP (Technique for Human Error Rate Prediction) as described in NUREG/CR-1278-F, "Handbook of Human Reliability Analysis with Emphasis on Nuclear Power Plant Applications". The calculated human error probabilities are provided as input to the Fault Tree Analysis for the ITP Nitrogen Purge System.

DISCUSSION

Input Data

Operator error rates were taken from data tables (Tables 20-1 through 20-27 and the Nominal Diagnosis Model) in NUREG/CR-1278-F, "Handbook of Human Reliability Analysis with Emphasis on Nuclear Power Plant Applications," with some modifications for facility-specific circumstances.

Assumptions

These assumptions are based on an analysis of tank 48. However, since tank 49 is a mirror image of tank 48, the assumptions used on tank 48 are applicable to tank 49 also. This analysis assumes a seismic event initiator and is bounding for all other event initiators (e.g., high winds, loss of power, etc.) resulting in establishing air based ventilation on tanks 48 or 49 using the emergency purge ventilation equipment.

The following items that have been assumed in this analysis must be implemented in order to validate the HRA calculation:

- 1) Operations personnel have 3 days following the seismic event to establish air based ventilation using the emergency purge ventilation equipment before exceeding the LFL (Lower Flammability Limit).

Note: The amount of time available to recover from the seismic event is a critical factor in the calculation of the Human Error Probability (HEP). Since 3 days are available to establish air based ventilation, the HEP is not adjusted to factor in stress effects. Stress levels are assumed to subside to optimal levels at 24 to 48 hours after the seismic event. The effect on human performance of the passage of time following an initiating event is illustrated in figure 17-2, page 17-15 of NUREG/CR-1278-F.

The amount of time available to recover is also a key factor for this analysis to be bounding for severe earthquakes. Although this calculation assumes 3 days to be available for recovery, only negligible credit is taken for 2 shifts during a 24 hour period to recover from any errors in hookup of the emergency purge ventilation equipment. (See step "I" page 12 of this report.) Since negligible credit is taken for this recovery, one could assume that following a severe earthquake, 24 to 48 hours is available to recover from the immediate effects of the event on personnel health and safety. Consequently, even if no diagnosis of the need to establish air based ventilation occurs until 24 to 48 hours after an earthquake, the calculated HEP would remain unaffected.

- 2) An ITP Equipment Status Monitoring procedure must be developed which will provide a consistent method for evaluating ITP equipment and component status and selected process parameters during an accident condition.
- 3) A shiftly visual inspection of the tank exhaust fans must be performed per written procedure. Procedure shall require check off, signature, or data entry after visual inspection has been completed.
- 4) Assumed response times for diagnosis and placement of emergency purge ventilation equipment on the tank top are as follows: 10 hours to diagnose the event and determine that the emergency purge ventilation equipment needs to be installed and 4 hours to place the emergency purge ventilation equipment in position (not installed and operational) on the tank top.
 - a) The emergency purge ventilation equipment must be stored and maintained in a building that will survive a .2g earthquake or high wind event, along with all the peripheral equipment (including the installation procedures) necessary for their installation at tanks 48 and 49. (Configuration management practices and procedures must demonstrate that the installation procedures stored

with the equipment are maintained to the latest revision.) This includes any special tools, flexible duct and consumables (e.g., duct tape) required for proper installation.

b) The storage location must be selected based on Waste Management personnel's demonstrated ability to move the emergency purge ventilation equipment from its storage location to the tank top within 4 hours under the anticipated post accident conditions. Waste Management personnel should assess the potential travel routes for potential obstructions to ensure accessibility of at least one of the routes following a seismic/high wind event.

c) The emergency purge ventilation equipment must be sized so that the equipment can be hand carried from its storage location to the tank top.

d) Two maintenance persons trained (via simulations) in the installation of the emergency purge ventilation equipment must be available on all shifts to move and install the purge equipment. Under emergency conditions, the maintenance personnel may be obtained from the Operations Support Center (OSC) located at the bottom of "the hill". Portable radio communications with the OSC must be available.

d) For operating conditions not classified as an emergency event, the Waste Management Maintenance department will have an established priority system in place to respond immediately, upon notification from Waste Management Operations, to a loss of ventilation event for ITP tanks 48 and 49.

e) Maintenance and Operations personnel must be trained (via simulations) to know where the emergency purge ventilation equipment is stored, who is responsible for access control to the equipment, how to place the equipment in position on the tank top, and how to hookup the equipment to the tanks.

f) The tank system operating procedures must identify the storage location of the emergency purge ventilation equipment.

5) The Operational Safety Requirements (OSRs) for In-Tank Precipitation Process will specify the conditions under which the emergency purge ventilation equipment should be hooked up to the riser.

6) The "Tank 48 System" System Operating Procedure (SOP) must incorporate the following:

a) The procedure must use nomenclature for the emergency purge ventilation equipment that is consistent with the nomenclature used in the OSR.

- b) The risers must be labeled in accordance with the facility or division labeling procedure. The procedures and sketches must also match the labeling standards used.
 - c) The procedures' and sketches' references to valve numbers, etc., must match the equipment tags in the field. (Currently, two to three different labels are identified in the procedures and sketches for a single valve.)
 - d) Diagrams must be included in the tank system operating procedure. (Currently, the operators must obtain the approved sketches to be used with the procedure from a separate location.)
 - e) The procedure must specify any special tools, equipment, or consumables (e.g., duct tape) that may be required for installation and hookup of the emergency purge ventilation equipment.
- 7) In order to allow for a recovery action if the emergency purge ventilation equipment were hooked up incorrectly, a flow indicator must be installed as a part of the emergency purge ventilation equipment unit.
- 8) Skilled personnel are available to perform all tasks. Skilled personnel must have completed training qualification and have six months or more on the job experience.
- 9) A tank operator must be available to monitor the installation of the emergency purge ventilation equipment by the maintenance personnel.
- 10) The OSR requires HP or Industrial Hygiene personnel to obtain a LFL analyzer reading using a portable, battery-operated LFL analyzer [Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.3.1.c Action c.2.1]. The battery-operated portable LFL analyzer must be stored and maintained in a building that will survive a .2g earthquake or high wind event. The "OSR Linking Document In-Tank Precipitation Process" must include a reference to the SW16.1-SOP-WTE-1, "General Tank System Operations" procedure under LCO 3.3.1.c in order to establish manual hydrogen monitoring.

ANALYSIS METHOD AND CALCULATIONS

The analysis method was based on NUREG/CR-1278-F, "Handbook of Human Reliability Analysis with Emphasis on Nuclear Power Plant Applications".

A task analysis was performed of the off normal procedure and standard operating procedure which lead the operator to align and start the emergency purge ventilation equipment following a loss of the ventilation system. (See Appendices A and B). The task analysis process consisted of the following data collection:

1. Review of Safety Analysis Report
2. Review of draft emergency, off normal, and standard operating procedures
3. Review of system description documents
4. Review of On-the-Job Training and Student Study Guides
5. Review of Operational Safety Requirements for ITP
6. Interviews with subject matter experts (SMEs)
7. Walkdown of 241-82H control room
8. Walkdown of tank 48 equipment components required for portable fan operation

Following the analysis of each task, error probabilities and error factors (taken from data tables (Tables 20-1 through 20-27) in NUREG/CR-1278-F) were assigned to events that represented the operator responses.

To account for performance shaping factors, some human error probabilities (HEPs) were modified. For example, both onsite and offsite power are assumed to be unavailable following a seismic event. This means that no alarms (either from the Distributed Control System or the 7400B hardwired alarm panel) are available to aid the control room operators in diagnosis of the event. In order to adjust the HEP for reduced diagnostic aids, the upper bound value of the nominal diagnosis model (Figure 12-4 in NUREG/CR-1278-F) was used.

Recovery probabilities were determined by assessing task characteristics and crew behavior. The likelihood of recovery is typically improved by factors such as: task familiarity, alarms, and independent checking. For this analysis, the relationship among the Waste Management personnel (Maintenance, Operations, Health Protection, and Industrial Hygiene) is characterized by a model of dependence (NUREG/CR-1278-F, p.10-17). Tables in NUREG/CR-1278-F are presented in terms of positive dependence, which corresponds with a likelihood that an action will be followed by another action of similar accuracy. For tasks with high dependence a mistake is highly likely to be followed by another mistake. Actions with low dependence, on the other hand, result in opposite outcomes. For example, a mistake would be less likely to be followed by another mistake. Instead, a successful recovery would be more likely. Therefore, HEPs for recovery tasks were assigned using Table 20-21 of NUREG/CR-1278-F to approximate conditional HEPs for dependence levels given failure on the preceding task. In this analysis, dependence levels were assigned based on operator interactions. Examples of conditions for which low, moderate, and high dependence would be assessed in nuclear power plant control rooms are briefly described here with reference to the appropriate pages in NUREG/CR-1278-F.

1. Low dependence - assessed for interactions between two control room operators who are newly acquainted with one another. They have not yet developed confidence in one another and would consider the task requirements more

independently. Greater care in checking each other's task would be taken.
(page 10-17)

2. Moderate dependence - assessed between a shift supervisor and control room operators for tasks in which the supervisor is expected to interact with the operators. (page 10-17)
3. High dependence - usually assessed between two control room operators for tasks in which they are supposed to interact. Checking each other's work tends to be more casual. (page 10-18)

After error probabilities were assigned, event trees were constructed. (See Figure 1.) The lettering system represents the following:

1. Task "A" = the first task
2. Task "B" = the second task
3. a = probability of successful performance of task "A"
4. A = probability of unsuccessful performance of task "A" (Failure)

From each event tree, calculation formulas were derived. At the end of each path is a failure or a success probability. In this analysis, a given failure path probability (F_i , i =path number) was determined by multiplying together each failure probability along the given path.

The method of calculating the error probability from the multiplication of the error branches is a short method that is simpler than the long method and results in slightly more conservative numbers. The longer method includes each success branch and recovery events in the calculation. The long method is more complex, but does not result in numbers which are statistically significant from the short method as long as the failure probabilities are much less than unity. (See NUREG/CR-1278-F p. 5-15.) To calculate the total failure probability (F_T), all failure path probabilities were added together.

RESULTS

Summary of Operator Actions in Event Tree

The analysis assumes a seismic event initiator leading to establishing air based ventilation on the ITP processing tanks 48 and 49. At the time of this analysis only the tanks and the emergency purge ventilation equipment are seismically qualified. Consequently, onsite and offsite power is assumed to be unavailable and all operator control actions are to be performed locally on the tank top. A task analysis was performed for this task and is documented in Appendix B. The task analysis was done for the Off Normal Procedure (ONP), "Loss of Ventilation" and the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP), "Tank 48 System". Only those sections directly applicable to the alignment and startup of the emergency purge ventilation equipment on tank 48 were analyzed.

The first step is the diagnosis step (Task "A"). Due to the loss of all power, none of the alarms on the 7400B hardwired alarm panel in the 241-82H control room are available to aid the control room crew in their diagnosis of the event. The analysis assumes that the crew is cognizant that a seismic event has occurred due to ground movement and/or notification from the Emergency Operations Center. This combined with the loss of power will trigger the crew to perform walkdowns of the tanks to assess the tanks' conditions. Operational Safety Requirements (OSRs) state that the emergency purge ventilation equipment must be located (but not installed and operational) at the tanks within 14 hours after loss of the ventilation system. This results in the crew having approximately 10 hours to diagnose the event and determine the need to go to air based ventilation using the emergency purge equipment. A recovery for this initial failure to diagnose the need for the emergency purge ventilation equipment is a visual inspection of the tank exhaust fans that is required to be performed shiftly by procedure (Task "B").

The next step is to initiate the SOP, "Tank 48 System" as directed by the Off Normal Procedure "Loss of Ventilation" (Task "C"). Again, a recovery for failure to initiate this step is the shiftly visual inspection of the tank exhaust fans (Task "B"). After determining that the tank exhaust fans are inoperable, the tank operator must notify maintenance to attempt to establish emergency purge ventilation. Maintenance must move the emergency purge ventilation equipment into position at the tanks and hookup the equipment to the tank top riser (Task "D"). There are several recoveries for failure to hookup the emergency purge equipment correctly. The recoveries are as follows:

1. A second maintenance person is available who works with the first maintenance person on the installation of the emergency purge ventilation equipment (Task "E"). This serves as a type of check, however, it is not a strong one since they are working simultaneously on the hookup.
2. A tank operator is available who is responsible for verifying that the hookup procedures are performed correctly (Task "G").
3. A flow indicator on the emergency purge ventilation equipment is available to alert both the maintainers and the tank operator if the equipment is hooked up improperly (Task "H").
4. Written procedures require Health Protection or Industrial Hygiene personnel to analyze the Lower Flammability Limit (LFL) using portable self-powered LFL analyzers (Task "J"). The change in the percentage LFL is available to alert both the maintainers and the tank operator if the equipment is hooked up improperly.

Recoveries 3 and 4 would result in an additional step for operations and maintenance personnel to diagnose and correct the error made during hookup (Task "I").

Appendix C provides a timeline representation of the sequence of events for establishing air based ventilation using the emergency purge ventilation equipment.

Figure 1. HRA Event Tree for Align and Start Emergency Purge Ventilation Equipment on In-Tank Precipitation Tank 48 or 49 After a Seismic Event

ALIGN AND START EMERGENCY PURGE VENTILATION EQUIPMENT ON IN-TANK PRECIPITATION TANK 48 OR 49 AFTER A SEISMIC EVENT

HRA Event Tree Branch Definitions

"A " = Diagnosis

HEP = 6.0E-4 EF = 30

Figure 12-4, Nominal Diagnosis Model, Time T = 600 minutes, upper bound
Based on the Operational Safety Requirements (OSRs), the operators have 10 hours available for diagnosis of this event. The OSR requires the emergency purge ventilation equipment to be in place on the tank top within 14 hours following loss of ventilation. Time estimates from SMEs indicated 4 hours would be required for movement from storage and placement of the equipment on the tank top. (See Appendix A.) The upper bound is used due to loss of power and the unavailability of alarms to aid diagnosis.

Assumptions # 2 and 8

"B" = Visual Inspection Performed Shiftly, Recovery Factor

HEP = .001 EF = 3

Table 20-6, item 2

Stress modifiers from table 20-16 are not assigned due to the length of time available (3 days) for recovery from this event. The stress tables in NUREG/CR-1278-F are typically examining reactor control room operator mitigating actions that must be performed in a relatively short period of time (< 2 hours after the initiating event). (See NUREG/CR-1278-F, Figure 17-2, p. 17-15.)

Assumptions # 3 and 8

"C" = Initiate Standard Operating Procedure

HEP = .003 EF = 3

Table 20-7, item 2

Initiate procedure SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1, Tank 48 System as directed by procedure SW16.3-ONP-008. The error is failing to determine the need to go to the SOP because of omitting a step from the long list of tasks to be performed in the ONP.

Assumption # 8

Note: Failure to transport, to the tank top, all of the emergency purge ventilation equipment components and tools required for installation is not modeled as an error. Failure to transport is considered to be the same action as failing to initiate the corrective action, the standard operating procedure.

Failure to follow a procedure never previously implemented is not modeled as an error since an explicit requirement exists to train on and practice the written installation procedure via simulations on a periodic basis. The simulated

practice exercises coupled with periodic maintenance requirements to install portable exhausters requires no adjustment of the HEP value.

"D" = Maintainer #1 Hookup Emergency Purge Ventilation Equipment

HEP = .003 EF = 3

Table 20-13, item 2

Table 20-13, Selection Errors for Locally Operated Valves, used due to similarity with selection of riser connections. Proper labeling of riser connections is assumed. This part of the task analysis (see Appendix B) differs slightly from the proposed emergency purge ventilation equipment. The proposed equipment will be a portable unit capable of being hand carried from storage to the tank top by one person. The installation will consist of hooking up the unit directly to the riser. The unit will not be connected to the exhaust stack (as shown in the task analysis) but will exhaust the tank air directly to the atmosphere.

Assumptions # 4, 5, 6, and 8

Note: Failure to find all of the emergency purge ventilation equipment components and tools required for installation is not modeled as an error since an explicit requirement exists to store and maintain all of the required components and tools in the same location.

Failure to correctly install the emergency purge ventilation equipment was not modeled as an error since the simple installation required is assumed to be "skill-of-the-craft".

Failure to access a riser is not modeled since several risers are to be identified as acceptable locations for hookup of the emergency purge ventilation equipment. At least one riser is assumed to be accessible.

"E" = Maintainer #2 Hookup Emergency Purge, Recovery Factor

CHEP = .5 EF = 2

Table 20-21, item 4a (High Dependence)

High dependence is assigned due to the maintainers' interaction with one another while performing this task. The checking of each other's actions would tend to be more casual. (See NUREG/CR-1278-F, p. 10-18.)

Assumption # 8

"G" = Tank Operator Instructing/Verifying Hookup, Recovery Factor

CHEP = .05 Lower bound = .015 / Upper bound = .15

Table 20-21, item 2a (Low Dependence)

Low dependence is assigned based on interviews with SMEs and observations made during walk-throughs. Since the tank operators and maintenance personnel typically do not work closely together, the tank operator will be much

more vigilant while overseeing the maintainers' hookup of the emergency purge ventilation equipment. (See NUREG/CR-1278-F, p.10-17, 18-6, 18-7.)
Assumptions # 8 and 9

"H" = Read Flow Indicator, Recovery Factor

CHEP = .15 Lower bound = .04 / Upper bound = .5

Table 20-21, item 3a (Moderate Dependence)

Moderate dependence is assigned since the task is being performed by the maintainers/operator responsible for hookup of the equipment. Moderate dependence is assigned when there is an obvious relationship between performance on one task and on a subsequent task and the relationship is greater (i.e., the flow indicator is being read by the people responsible for hookup of the emergency purge ventilation equipment) than low dependence would imply. (See NUREG/CR-1278-F, p. 10-17.)

Assumption # 7

"I" = Diagnosis

HEP = 1.0E-5 EF = 30

Table 20-3, item 6

Diagnosis time of approximately 24 hours to locate error in hookup & repair. The first attempt to establish air based ventilation covers a time span of approximately 17 hours. The nominal diagnosis model addresses diagnosis HEPs for time periods up to and including 24 hours following an initiating event. Therefore, 24 hours is assumed since the operators have a total of 72 hours following the seismic event to establish air based ventilation.

Assumptions # 1 and 8

"J" = Analyze LFL Using Portable LFL Analyzer, Recovery Factor

CHEP = .05 Lower bound = .015 / Upper bound = .15

Table 20-21, item 2a (Low Dependence)

Low dependence is assigned since this task is performed by either HP or Industrial Hygiene (IH) personnel. HP and/or IH would consider their task requirements more independently from Operations and Maintenance. HP and/or IH operate separately from the maintainers/operator performing the hookup. (See NUREG/CR-1278-F, p. 10-17, 18-6, 18-7.) The assignment of a CHP of .05 is more conservative than the assignment used by Swain in table 20-22. This is actually a checking task involving a hands-on activity (e.g., using an instrument to obtain a measurement) and, per table 20-22, would be assigned a HEP of .01. Swain states that the performance level of the checker would approach that of the original operator (NUREG/CR-1278-F pp. 19-5 & 19-6). However, the more conservative estimate of .05 seemed more appropriate in this analysis due to the number of recovery factors being considered.

Assumption # 10

CALCULATION OF FAILURE PROBABILITIES:

$$F1 = AB = (6.0E-4)(.001) = 6.0E-7$$

$$F2 = CB = (.003)(.001) = 3.0E-6$$

$$F3 = DEGI = (.003)(.5)(.05)(1.0E-5) = 7.5E-10$$

$$F4 = DEGHJ = (.003)(.5)(.05)(.15)(.05) = 5.62E-7$$

$$F5 = DEGHI = (.003)(.5)(.05)(.15)(1.0E-5) = 1.125E-10$$

$$F_{Total} = F1 + F2 + F3 + F4 + F5 = 4.1628625E-6 = 4.2E-6$$

CONCLUSIONS

The human error probability for establishing air based ventilation using the emergency purge ventilation equipment on In-Tank Precipitation processing tanks 48 and 49 after a failure of the nitrogen purge system following a seismic event is 4.2E-6. This HEP is a median value on the lognormal scale. It is important to note that this result is predicated on the implementation of all of the assumptions listed in the "Assumptions" section of this report. This analysis was not based on the current conditions in ITP. The analysis is to be used as a tool to aid ITP operations personnel in achieving the training, procedural, and operational goals outlined in this document. If all of the assumptions are implemented as specified before startup, then the HEP of 4.2E-6 is valid. If any of the assumptions are not implemented, then a reanalysis of the HEP must be performed.

Other Recommendations

In the course of conducting this analysis, some miscellaneous human factors discrepancies were noted that should be addressed by ITP operations.

1. 7400B Hardwire Alarm Panel needs to be rearranged and prioritized. The operators need to be able to easily identify the highest priority and "first in" alarms received. Also, if the alarms are to continue to be indexed in the Alarm Response Procedures by physical grid location number, this number needs to be added to the alarm plate.
2. There are three gauges located on the side of the HEPA building on tank 48 that are read by ITP personnel. The gauges are the demister delta p, tank vacuum, and the purge filter delta p. The operator has to climb the platform stairs to get to the gauges. The demister delta p and tank vacuum can be read from a squatting position, but to get an accurate purge filter delta p reading, the

operator has to climb off of the platform and precariously straddle some I-beams to read the gauge. (I observed an operator doing this during a walkdown of the tanks.) Operations should consider relocating these gauges to improve operator access.

3. Valves, particularly valves that may require throttling actions, should have some type of "per cent open" indication for the operator. Existing procedures for adjusting purge flow after establishing air based ventilation require throttling of an emergency air purge inlet bypass valve and the primary purge emergency filter inlet isolation valve. The air purge inlet valve only has a rising stem indication. The emergency filter inlet isolation valve has a dial indicator with three unlabeled hash marks for indicating per cent open.
4. The ITP procedure system as a whole needs to be upgraded and is currently undergoing revision. NUREG/CR-1278-F offers a list of references of NUREGs by R.L. Brune and M. Weinstein that could be helpful in evaluating the procedures.
5. Ideally, some type of simulator would provide the optimal training skills to the operator. Currently, the operators have no "hands on" experience with the tank operating and control systems except under limited supervision with the real systems on the job. The opportunity to learn the systems "hands on" in a simulated environment would greatly enhance operator skills. At a minimum, a simulated DCS/PLC system and requisite training program should be implemented.

REFERENCES

Distributed Control System (DCS) System Description, NWIOG231.H0600, Revision 0, March 1993.

Gupta, M. K., T.E. Britt, Bonnie J. Shapiro, and Andrea Beyrer. *Accident Analysis for In-Tank Precipitation Process Nitrogen Purge System*, SWRC-TR-93-169, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, South Carolina, April 1, 1993.

High Level Waste Processing Cycle 7 Student Study Guide, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, South Carolina, March 1993.

High Level Waste Processing Cycle 8 Student Study Guide, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, South Carolina, April 1993.

Operational Safety Requirements In-Tank Precipitation Process Savannah River Site, WSRC-RP-90-1124, Revision 1, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, South Carolina, April 1993.

OSR Linking Document In-Tank Precipitation Process Savannah River Site, WSRC-RP-93-XXX, Revision 0 (DRAFT), Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, South Carolina, May 1993.

Safety Analysis Report, Liquid Radioactive Waste Handling Facilities: Addendum 1, Additional Analysis for DWPF Feed Preparation by In-Tank Processing, DPSTSA-200-10, SUP 18, DOE Approval Draft, July 1992.

Swain, Alan D. *Accident Sequence Evaluation Program Human Reliability Analysis Procedure*, NUREG/CR-4772, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, February, 1987.

Swain, Alan D. and Henry E. Guttmann. *Handbook of Human Reliability Analysis with Emphasis on Nuclear Power Plant Applications*, NUREG/CR-1278-F, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, August, 1983.

SW1X.X, Revision 0, "ITP Equipment Status Monitoring" DRAFT 7/8/93.

SW16.1-SOP-WTE-1, Revision 0, "General Tank System Operations" DRAFT 7/93.

SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1, Revision 0, "Tank 48 System" DRAFT 4/30/93.

SW16.3-ONP-008, Revision 0, "The Savannah River Site ITP Facility Operating Manual Off Normal Procedure, Loss of Ventilation" DRAFT 4/30/93.

SW16.4-EOP-003, Revision 0, "The Savannah River Site ITP Facility
Operating Manual Emergency Operating Procedure, Earthquake" DRAFT 4/30/93.

Waste Tank Purge and Ventilation System Description, NWIOG229.H0300,
Revision 0, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, South Carolina,
October 1992.

Waste Tank Systems Description, NWIOG229.H0100, Revision 0, Westinghouse
Savannah River Company, Aiken, South Carolina, October 1992.

7400B (Hardwire) Alarm Panel System Description, NWIOG231.H0800, Revision
0, Westinghouse Savannah River Company, Aiken, South Carolina, March 1993.

APPENDIX A: TIME ESTIMATES

(Note: This information was based on the original task analysis data from revision 0 of CALC-NOTE, SRT-HFE-93-9004. For revision 0, the emergency purge ventilation equipment was assumed to be fans mounted on skids that would require some type of transport and lifting equipment to set in place on the tank top.)

Since time to establish air based ventilation before exceeding the LFL is 3 days, the time to perform the individual tasks is not a critical parameter. The following time estimates for completion of large subsets of tasks associated with establishing air based ventilation were obtained from interviews with SMEs. The time estimates from the SMEs were doubled to be used in the HRA. (See NUREG/CR-4772, "Accident Sequence Evaluation Program Human Reliability Analysis Procedure", p. 8-4.)

TASK	SME TIME ESTIMATE	HRA TIME ESTIMATE	REVISED HRA TIME ESTIMATE (REV.1)
Retrieve fans from storage and place in position on skid	45 min. to 1 hr.	2 hrs.	4 hrs. *
Hookup and start skid-mounted fans	1 hr. to 1.5 hrs.	3 hrs.	
3 operators walk-down equipment following seismic event	.5 hr.	1.0 hr.	
Adjust purge flow after startup fans	1 hr.	2 hrs.	

* Note: This new time estimate was derived during the Engineering and Projects Division's design specifications phase for the emergency purge ventilation equipment.

APPENDIX B: TASK ANALYSES

(Note: This task analysis data is from revision 0 of CALC-NOTE, SRT-HFE-93-9004. For revision 0, the emergency purge ventilation equipment was assumed to be fans mounted on skids that would require some type of transport and lifting equipment to set in place on the tank top. Also, several valve alignments, both on the tank top and on the fan unit, were assumed to be required. For revision 1, the fans are portable (can be hand carried) and no valve alignments are required.)

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.3-ONP-008 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	ACTOR	EQUIPMENT	ACTION	HEP	ERROR FACTOR	TABLE ITEM
A		241-82H Control Room Operator	7400B alarm panel	Initiate SW16.3-ONP-008, Loss of Ventilation, Off Normal Procedure	0.0006	30	Figure 12- 4, upper bound
	2.4.1	241-82H Control Room Operator	7400B alarm panel	Verify Waste Tank Nitrogen Purge Ventilation is lost			
B	2.4.1.1	241-82H Surveillance Operator	Purge Exhaust Fan 7	Determine if power available to tank 48 primary purge fan 7 motor starter (attempt to start locally)	0.001	3	20-6, 2
B	2.4.1.1	241-82H Surveillance Operator	Purge Exhaust Fan 8	Determine if power available to tank 48 primary purge fan 8 motor starter (attempt to start locally)			
	2.4.1.1	241-82H Operator #1	All ITP Equipment	Inspect for failed or damaged equipment			
	2.4.1.1	241-82H Operator #2	All ITP Equipment	Inspect for failed or damaged equipment			
	2.4.1.1	241-82H Operator #3	All ITP Equipment	Inspect for failed or damaged equipment			
	2.4.1.1	241-82H Surveillance Operator	Ventilation dampers	Verify damper lineup permits operation			

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.3-ONP-008 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	INDICATION	LOCATION	TIME/ RESPONSE	ERRORS
A		TK48 PRIMARY PURGE NOT RUNNING	241-82H control room	600 min.	Omission - failure to correctly diagnose event
	2.4.1	TK48 LOW VACUUM, NITROGEN DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM LOW HEADER PRESSURE, NITROGEN STORAGE SYSTEM FIRST STANDBY ON LINE, NITROGEN STORAGE SYSTEM SECOND STANDBY ON LINE, NITROGEN STORAGE SYSTEM LOW TANK LEVEL	241-82H control room		
B	2.4.1.1	Fan noise if it starts up and procedure step checked off	Top of tank 48 at the exhaust stack		Omission - failure of administrative control
B	2.4.1.1	Fan noise if it starts up and procedure step checked off	Top of tank 48 at the exhaust stack		
	2.4.1.1	No fan noise, leakage due to line breaks, structural damage, gauges off-scale	ITP		
	2.4.1.1	No fan noise, leakage due to line breaks, structural damage, gauges off-scale	ITP		
	2.4.1.1	No fan noise, leakage due to line breaks, structural damage, gauges off-scale	ITP		
	2.4.1.1	Pertinent dampers are open	Top of tank 48 at the exhaust stack		

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.3-ONP-008 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	NOTES
A		Alarms are unavailable for seismic event initiator. Diagnosis is accomplished via equipment walkdowns. Based on OSR WSRC-RP-90-1124, Rev. 1, 3/4.2, Confinement Integrity, 3.2.1.D.2 - Total time = 12 hrs - 2 hrs to move fan into
	2.4.1	Alarms are unavailable for seismic event initiator. Verify alarms in list. This step is part of diagnosis.
B	2.4.1.1	Recovery factor. Procedures require visual inspection of tank exhaust fans to be performed shiftly.
B	2.4.1.1	Same as above.
	2.4.1.1	Not part of analysis
	2.4.1.1	Not part of analysis
	2.4.1.1	Not part of analysis
	2.4.1.1	Not part of analysis

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.3-ONP-008 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	ACTOR	EQUIPMENT	ACTION	HEP	ERROR FACTOR	TABLE ITEM
	24.1.3.a	241-82H Surveillance Operator	Nitrogen purge system	If N2 not available, request permission to establish air based ventilation			
C	24.1.3.b	241-82H Surveillance Operator		Initiate SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)- 1 to start portable purge exhaust unit	0.003	3	20-7, 2

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.3-ONP-008 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	INDICATION	LOCATION	TIME/ RESPONSE	ERRORS
	2.4.13/a	No nitrogen flow (pipes are not cold to the touch)	Top of tank 48		
C	2.4.13/b				Omission - failure to perform step in procedure

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.3-ONP-008 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	NOTES
	24.13.1	Not part of analysis
C	24.13.1	

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	ACTOR	EQUIPMENT	ACTION	HEP	ERROR FACTOR	TABLE ITEM
G	5.2.5.1.a	WM tank operator	Portable purge exhaust unit skid	Instruct WMM to move portable purge exhaust unit into position on skid	0.05		20-21, 2a
	5.2.5.1.b	WM tank operator	HEPA filter	Verify HEPA filter DOP tested			
	5.2.5.1.c	WM tank operator	241-82H control room logbook	Record DOP test results			
	5.2.5.1.d	WM maintenance # 1 & 2	H & V plug	Verify H & V plug installed in C1 Riser			
D	5.2.5.1.e	WM maintenance # 1	Blank flange	Remove blank flange	0.003	3	20-13, 2
E		WM maintenance # 2			0.5		20-21, 4a
D,E	5.2.5.1.e	WM maintenance # 1 & 2	Flexible duct line	Attach flexible duct line at fan outlet of portable purge exhaust unit			
D,E	5.2.5.1.e	WM maintenance # 1 & 2	Blank flange	Remove blank flange			
D,E	5.2.5.1.e	WM maintenance # 1 & 2	Flexible duct line	Attach other end of flexible duct line to permanent purge exhaust stack			
D,E	5.2.5.1.e	WM maintenance # 1 & 2	Blank flange	Remove blank flange			

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	INDICATION	LOCATION	T/R	ERRORS
G	522511a	Unit is positioned on skid at tank 48	Concrete slab skid adjacent to top of tank 48 next to tank purge enclosure	2 hrs.	
	522511b	DOP tag on filter is up-to-date	Portable purge exhaust unit skid		
	522511c	DOP test results written in logbook	214-82H control room		
	522511d	H & V plug in place in C1 riser	C1 riser on top of tank 48		No error
D	522511e	Flange is removed	Fan outlet on portable purge exhaust unit at connection D (sketch 138-8-H-WR-204)		Commission - failure to select correct flanges
E					
D,E	522511f	Duct line is connected	Fan outlet on portable purge exhaust unit at connection D (sketch 138-8-H-WR-204)		
D,E	522511g	Flange is removed	Connection E on permanent purge exhaust stack (sketch 138-8-H-WR-204)		
D,E	522511h	Duct line is connected	Connection E on permanent purge exhaust stack (sketch 138-8-H-WR-204)		
D,E	522511i	Flange is removed	Connection B (sketch 138-8-H-WR-204)		

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	NOTES
G	5245111	Low dependence with WMM, recovery factor. 1) Fans located in cold feeds or "on hill" 2) Forklift or lo-boy to move, also located with fans or fans capable of being hand carried 3) Operator qualified on each shift to operate forklift, if required
	5245112	Not part of analysis
	5245113	Not part of analysis. Radio communication with 241-82H control room operator
	5245114	Procedure clarification required at this step. Sketch 138-8-H-WR-204 needs to be referenced. A decision needs to be made about H & V plug and riser down comer. A riser down comer needs to be installed on C1 riser
D	5245115	No omission error - part of a manual process of moving and starting a fan.
E		High dependence with WM maintenance # 1 since they are working together on hookup, recovery factor
D,E	5245116	Completely dependent set of tasks for hookup of fan
D,E	5245117	Completely dependent set of tasks for hookup of fan
D,E	5245118	Completely dependent set of tasks for hookup of fan
D,E	5245119	Plastic polymer flexible duct has been suggested as a replacement for the steel duct. However, engineering feels that there is a problem with the ultraviolet ray exposure durability of the polymer.

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	ACTOR	EQUIPMENT	ACTION	HEP	ERROR FACTOR	TABLE ITEM
D,E	5.2.5.1.e	WM maintenance # 1 & 2	Flexible duct line & duct tape	Attach flexible duct line			
D,E	5.2.5.1.e	WM maintenance # 1 & 2	Blank flange	Remove blank flange			
D,E	5.2.5.1.e	WM maintenance # 1 & 2	Flexible duct line	Attach flexible duct line to riser down comer			
	5.2.5.2	WM tank operator		Initiate SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)- 1, Attachment 28, Subsection 8.28.1			
		WM tank operator # 2	Emergency air purge valves, portable fan valves	Independent Verification of valve alignments	0.05	5	20-22, 3
	8.28.1f1	WM tank operator	Valve TK 48 HV-23	Open tank 48 Riser Emerg. Air Purge Inlet Bypass Valve	0.003	3	20-7, 2
	8.28.1f1	WM tank operator	Valve TK 48 HV-24	Open tank 48 Riser Emerg. Air Purge Inlet PDI-2027 Isolation Valve			
	8.28.1f1	WM tank operator	Valve TK 48 HV-25	Open tank 48 Riser Emerg. Air Purge Inlet Bypass Valve			
	8.28.1f2	WM tank operator		Inform 241-82H control room operator procedure steps completed			

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	INDICATION	LOCATION	T/R	ERRORS
D,E	5025116	Duct line is connected	Connection B (sketch 138-8-H-WR-204)		
D,E	5025117	Flange is removed	Connection A (sketch 138-8-H-WR-204)		
D,E	5025118	Duct line is connected	Riser down comer		
	5025119				No error
		Valve stem rises or valve indicator is in open position and the procedure step is initialed	Nitrogen Purge Exhaust System at Emergency Conservation Vent on top of tank 48 and Portable Fan		Omission - failure to perform checking function
	8028111	Valve stem rises and the procedure step is initialed	Nitrogen Purge Exhaust System at Emergency Conservation Vent on top of tank 48		Omission - failure to perform step in procedure
	8028111	Valve stem rises and the procedure step is initialed	Nitrogen Purge Exhaust System at Emergency Conservation Vent on top of tank 48		
	8028111	Valve stem rises and the procedure step is initialed	Nitrogen Purge Exhaust System at Emergency Conservation Vent on top of tank 48		
	8028112	Procedure subsection is signed off			

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	NOTES
D,E	525-11	Duct tape must be used to prevent air inleakage and maintain vacuum. The air inleakage is a problem that has been identified with the use of the corrugated steel flexible duct. <u>Completely dependent set of tasks for hookup of fan.</u>
D,E	525-12	Completely dependent set of tasks for hookup of fan
D,E	525-13	Completely dependent set of tasks for hookup of fan
	525-14	Section applicable to startup of fan
		Recovery factor.
	828-11	Reference sketch 138-8-H-WR-185 TK48-HV-23 Note: Independent verification required. Valve number nomenclature must be consistent throughout procedures and sketches with field tags
	828-12	Not part of analysis. Reference sketch 138-8-H-WR-185 TK48-HV-24 Note: Independent verification required. Valve number nomenclature must be consistent throughout procedures and sketches with field tags.
	828-13	Not part of analysis. Reference sketch 138-8-H-WR-185 TK48-HV-25 Note: Independent verification required. Valve number nomenclature must be consistent throughout procedures and sketches with field tags.
	828-14	Not part of analysis. Radio communication with 241-82H control room operator

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	ACTOR	EQUIPMENT	ACTION	HEP	ERROR FACTOR	TABLE ITEM
	8.28.3.1	WM tank operator	Panel MCC SFA Emergency exhaust system 480V receptacles Tanks 48	Close circuit breaker 4J			
	8.28.3.2	WM tank operator	Valve HVPS #2	Verify open Tank 48 Primary Purge Emergency Filter Outlet Isolation valve	0.003	3	20-7, 2
	8.28.3.2	WM tank operator	Valve HVPS #3	Verify open Tank 48 Primary Purge Emergency Exhaust Fan Valve			
	8.28.3.3	WM tank operator	Valve HVPS #1	Open Tank 48 Primary Purge Emergency Filter Inlet Isolation Valve to approximately 10% open			
	8.28.3.4	WM tank operator	Green start button	Start Tank 48 Primary Purge Emergency Exhaust Fan			
	8.28.3.6.a	WM tank operator	Analog gauge	Adjust purge flow			
	8.28.3.6.b	WM tank operator	Analog gauge	Stop purge flow adjustments			
	8.28.3.6.d	WM tank operator	Analog gauge	Notify WM operations supervisor for instructions			

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	INDICATION	LOCATION	TR	ERRORS
	828301	Circuit breaker lever switch is in off position and the procedure step initialed	241-96H-ECR (Filter building)		
	828302	Dial indicator is in open position and the procedure step initialed	Between HEPA and Fan unit on skid		Omission - failure to perform step in procedure
	828302	Dial indicator is in open position and the procedure step initialed	Behind Fan exhaust on skid		
	828303	Dial indicator is in approximately 10% open position and procedure step initialed	In front of Prefilter unit on skid		
	828304	Green light on start button is lighted and fan noise is heard and procedure step initialed	Allen-Bradley electrical box on Primary Purge Emergency Exhaust Fan		No error
	828305	HEPA filter and Pre-filter delta p's	Top of HEPA filter unit on Primary Purge Emergency Exhaust Unit		
	828306	If filter delta p H2O level reaches 5.2 inches	Top of HEPA filter unit on Primary Purge Emergency Exhaust Unit		
	828307	If filter delta p H2O level reaches 5.2 inches and procedure step initialed	Top of HEPA filter unit on Primary Purge Emergency Exhaust Unit		

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	NOTES
	8028131	Not part of analysis. Fan will be a self-powered unit.
	8028132	Completely dependent set of tasks for fan valve alignment. Reference sketch SK-138-8-H-WR-204 HVPS2 Note: Independent verification required. Valve number nomenclature must be consistent throughout procedures and sketches with field tags.
	8028132	Completely dependent set of tasks for fan valve alignment. Reference sketch SK-138-8-H-WR-204 HVPS3 Note: Independent verification required. Valve number nomenclature must be consistent throughout procedures and sketches with field tags.
	8028133	Completely dependent set of tasks for fan valve alignment. Reference sketch SK-138-8-H-WR-204 HVPS1 Note: Independent verification required. Valve number nomenclature must be consistent throughout procedures and sketches with field tags.
	8028134	Dial indicator only has raised hash marks at approximately 25, 50, and 75% open position. There is no accurate way for the operator to determine the 10% open position.
	8028136a	Not part of analysis.
	8028136b	Not part of analysis. Clarify procedure - IF HEPA filter delta p reaches 5.2 inches... Pre-filter gauge does not go to 5.2. Gauges are filled with H2O condensate and are very difficult to read.
	8028136b	Not part of analysis. Start-up engineer, J. McCullough, expressed that the maximum HEPA filter delta p should be 5.0 inches versus 5.2.

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	ACTOR	EQUIPMENT	ACTION	HEP	ERROR FACTOR	TABLE ITEM
	822833.6.0	WM tank operator #1	Valve TK 48 HV-23	Throttle open Tank 48 Riser N Emergency Air Purge Inlet Bypass Valve			
	822833.6.1	WM tank operator #2	Valve HVSP #1	Throttle open Tank 48 Primary Purge Emergency Filter Inlet Isolation Valve			
H	822833.6.0	WM tank operator #1	Purge flow meter	Determine air flow	0.15		20-21, 3a
I		WM maintenance # 1 & 2 & tank operator	All portable fan connections & valve alignments	Diagnosis	0.00001		20-3, 6
J		Health Physics or Industrial Hygiene	Portable LEL analyzer	Measure % LEL	0.05		20-21, 2a
		NOTE: The remainder of Attachment 28 requires the tank operator to record a set of readings initially and every 2 hours while the emergency purge unit is in operation. There are approximately 7 gauges to be read and a calculation to be done as a part of this procedure. However, for the purpose of the Safety Analysis Report, these parameters are not critical and are not included in the probability calculations for determining the likelihood of exceeding the Lower Flammability Limit.					

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	INDICATION	LOCATION	T/R	ERRORS
	8:28:36:0	None	Nitrogen Purge Exhaust System at Emergency Conservation Vent on top of tank 48		
	8:28:36:0	Vacuum maintained between 5.0" H2O and 0.1" H2O (Third operator at tank pressure gauge.)	In front of Prefilter unit on skid		
H	8:28:36:0	CFM flow rate within specifications	Primary Purge Emergency Exhaust Fan Unit		
I		Incorrect hookup of ductwork &/or incorrect valve alignments	Primary Purge Emergency Exhaust Fan Unit and top of tank 48		Omission - failure to diagnose incorrect hookup
J		Audible alarm and red light if limits exceeded + analog gauge indicating %	Top of tank 48		

TASK ANALYSIS OF SW16.1-SOP-WTE(48)-1 OPERATOR ACTIONS FOR STARTUP OF SKID-MOUNTED FANS

EVENT TREE STEP	STEP	NOTES
	8.28.3.6.c	Not part of analysis. Reference sketch 138-8-H-WR-185 TK48-HV-23 Note: Steps 8.28.3.6.c and 8.28.3.6.d must be performed simultaneously. Radio communication established between the two tank operators and a third operator at the tank pressure gauge.
	8.28.3.6.d	Not part of analysis. Reference sketch SK-138-8-H-WR-204 HVSP1 Note: Steps 8.28.3.6.c and 8.28.3.6.d must be performed simultaneously. Radio communication established between the two tank operators and a third operator at the tank pressure gauge.
H	8.28.3.6.e	Medium dependence with WMM, recovery factor
I		Requires additional 24 hours to diagnose.
J		Low dependence with WMM & WMO

APPENDIX C: TIMELINE

WESTINGHOUSE SAVANNAH RIVER COMPANY
INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM

July, 16, 1993

TEMPORARY DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY

Delegating Manager:

D. J. Baker

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "D. J. Baker", is written over the printed name.

Department:

Safety Technology and Regulatory Analysis

Title:

Manager

I hereby delegate my signature authority to *Jeff Lietzow and Carl Sossman* during the period of 7/19/93 - 7/30/93 for the purpose of vacation.

/cjt

CC: H. C. Benhardt, 992W-1
F. Beranek, 773-A
J. L. Fishpaw, 992W-1
P. C. Gaillard, 992W-1
M. J. Hitchler, 992W-1
J. S. Lietzow, 992W-1
K. R. O'Kula, 992W-1
C. L. Sossman, 992W-1
L. A. Wooten, 992W-1
ST&RA Files

DATE

FILMED

5 / 20 / 94

END