## NOTICE

CERTAIN DATA CONTAINED IN THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE DIFFICULT TO READ IN MICROFICHE PRODUCTS.

DECLASSIFIED

HW--7-5243

DE93 009982

Pore: Wii-110 formerly OP-50 rev.



PROJECT 9536

10-22-46

Route List

1. W. A. MIIton

2. D. H. Leuner

RECORD CENTER ELL

5.



SUBJECT COLUMBIA RIVER TEMPERATURE

STUDY AT 100-F AREA

To File

FROM W. R. Lewis - R. A. Rohrbacher

COPY NO. 1 /-W. E. Milton

BEFORE READING THIS DOCUMENT, SIGN AND DATE BELOW:

D. H. Lauder Www.stt. 74524 dated 10-21-46 copy #1-

DH- 71-8-46 WHILL 11/25/46

### **DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DECLASSIFIED MAR 20 )

NOTIFICATION AND INVENTION AND INVENT

DISTRIBUTION RESTRICTED TO U.S. ONLY

- | -

7-5245

3



O

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

1. W.H.Milton - D.H.Laudor - 700

2. A.B. Greninger

3. W.P. Overbook

4. C.N.Gross

5. J.E.Maider - E.P.Leo -

J.H. Warren

6. C.W. J. Wonde

7. Area Engineer

8. Area Engineer

9. Area Engineer

10. H.H. Parker

11. W.K. Woods - W.R. Lewis

12. Pink Copy

13. Yellow Copy

14. Extra

15. Extra

THIS DOCUMENT CONSISTS OF - PAGES

OCTOBER 22, 1946



64 Authority at. 11 10-6-70 10 13-93 PM Eick 10-13-43

### COLUMBIA RIVER TEMPERATURE STUDY

### AT 100 F AREA

### Introduction

The upstriam effluent line has broken off 87 feet from the shoreline and the downstream effluent line has been bent and partly broken seventeen feet from shoreline and has swing downstream to a point about 50 feet from shoreline. This condition was discovered in August 1946.

### Objective

This study was made to determine how fast and in what fashion the temperature of the effluent water is dissipated in the Columbia River under the present conditions and to compare this to a previous survey made when the effluent lines were believed to be in their original position.

## Summary

A river temperature survey was made on October 15 downstream from the 100 F Area effluent pipes in the same manner as a previous



DECLASSIFIED



and when the control or and the control of the marginal in the control of the con

WRL

10-22-46

survey which has been made on April 19, 1946 while the effluent pipes were presumably in their original positions. The temporature profiles in Appendix A show under the present conditions that a warm water band extends from the southwest bank outward with the maximum temperature near the bank. Under conditions of the earlier survey, the center of the warm band was located 150 to 250 feet out from the bank with normal river water between the warm band and the bank.

### Discussion

O

0

0

The data are presented graphically in Appendix A as transverse temperature profiles of the river at six stations downstream from the effluent pipes. The temperature increases caused by the effluent water under the present conditions at comparable distances downstream are about four times those found during the original survey. This indicates a less rapid mixing of effluent and river water, which may be caused in part by the lower river flow of 60,000 cu. ft. per sec. on October 15 as compared to 110,000 cu. ft. per sec. on April 19. In comparing the time required for mixing the lower river velocity near the bank would be an important factor.

Differences in ambient river temperatures of the two surveys should have little effect on the temperature profiles as shown in Appendix A, because in each case approximately the same amount of heat was added to the river by the effluent water.

A general discussion of the methods used in making these temperature surveys, together with earlier temperature profiles at all three areas, is given in the following reports:

- (1) Preliminary Report: "Columbia River Temperature Survey at Hanford Engineer Works, March 1, 1946. Doc. No. 7-3684.
- (2) Final Report: "Columbia River Temperature Survey at Henford Engineer Works, August 14, 1946. Doc. No. 7-4674.

A description of the present condition of the effluent pipes at 100 F Area and suggested methods of repair are given in: "Recommendation Report No. 69. Cost Estimate - Effluent Line Repairs - 100 F.

WRL/lh 1 Att.

Ó

A. Rohrbacher 100 - Technical

DECLASSIFIED

# DATE FILMED 517193

a and the second and the second and the second district the second difference of the second diff