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In this study, six speeches delivered by Stokely Carmichael, during and immediately following his role as chairman of the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee, have been analyzed to determine if, and in what ways, Carmichael used the elements of agitational rhetoric. Criteria for examining the speeches were adapted from Charles W. Lomas, *The Agitator in American Society*; Arthur Lee Smith Jr., *Samuel Adams' Agitational Rhetoric of Revolution,* Diss. UCLA 1968; Leo Lowenthal and Norbert Guterman, *Prophets of Deceit: A Study of the Techniques of the American Agitator,* Mary G. McEwan, *Agitative Rhetoric: Its Nature and Effect,* Richard Hofstadter, *The Paranoid Style in American Politics,* and Kenneth E. Boulding's essay, *Toward a Theory of Protest.* For this study, Lomas' definition of agitation has been used. He defined agitation as "a persistent and uncompromising statement and restatement of grievances through all available communication channels, with the aim of creating public opinion favorable to a change in some condition."

For an agitation to occur, certain political and social preconditions usually exist: (1) the prevailing mood is one of discontentment and turbulence; (2) a group of people are victims
of injustice, or, perceive themselves to be; (3) society shows a massive resistance to change; (4) accessible communication channels exist between an agitator and the victims of the injustice. Historical research revealed that each of these preconditions existed in the American society of the mid-sixties, the time when Stokely Carmichael rose to national prominence as a speaker for the black mood.

It is the finding of this thesis that Carmichael's speaking reflected the characteristics of the agitative speaker. Typical of agitative spokesmen, Carmichael exposed his perception of black grievances and offered his interpretation of solutions to the black problems. The grievances voiced by Carmichael related to the cultural, economic, political, and media distortion grievances of the black masses—grievances typically expounded by agitators. Likewise, by proposing solutions which could only be achieved by long-range agitation and/or revolutionary agitation, Carmichael's solutions typified those frequently used by agitative spokesmen.

Carmichael also utilized the agitational style in the development of his ideas. Carmichael used concrete diction filled with unpleasant connotations, abrasive words and derogatory metaphors, as well as devil terms to lash out at national leaders and American society. "Black Power" and the word "black" were used as god terms to portray the spiritual uplifting of black people if these concepts were embraced. He also used the language of objectification to unite his black auditors against the American system and the language
of justification to rationalize the concept of Black Power and to defend ghetto "rebellions" as self-defensive tactics. Using the paranoid style, Carmichael depicted American society and Western civilization as deliberately conspiring to rob non-whites of their cultural integrity.

This analysis has revealed that Carmichael utilized extensively the tools of agitative rhetoric. Furthermore, the analysis of an agitator's speeches has revealed much about how and why he felt he had to infuriate and irritate the general public. It was in this way that he could capture their attention and present his case. The analysis of Stokely Carmichael's speeches in relation to agitational characteristics suggest that other leaders of contemporary protest movements might merit a similar study.
AN ANALYSIS OF AGITATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS
IN THE SPEECHES OF STOKELY CARMICHAEL

THESIS

Presented to the Graduate Council of the
North Texas State University in Partial
Fulfillment of the Requirements

For the Degree of

MASTER OF ARTS

By

Judy Wilson Bloomquist, B. S.
Denton, Texas
December, 1971
TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Man</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Survey of the Literature</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement of Purpose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method and Procedure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. PRECONDITIONS FOR AN AGITATIONAL MOVEMENT</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injustice and Inequality as a Precondition for Agitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society's Resistance to Change as a Precondition for Agitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agitative Spokesmen Must Have Access to Communication Channels</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. DESPAIR AND ATONEMENT: CARMICHAEL'S EXPOSITION OF BLACK GRIEVANCES AND SOLUTIONS</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Grievances Voiced by Carmichael</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmichael's Solutions to the Black Problem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. STOKELY'S AGITATIVE STYLE</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmichael's Use of Extreme Language</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carmichael's Use of Simple Sentences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language of Objectification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language of Justification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Paranoid Style</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Image of Carmichael</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIBLIOGRAPHY</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

On February 1, 1960, four black college students entered a Greensboro, North Carolina, Woolworth store, and, after making several purchases, sat down at the lunch counter. They remained seated until arrested. This marked the beginning of a new era in the chronicles of black protest. Although the "sit-in technique" had been used before, it was in 1960 that the sit-in, as a form of protest, flourished. Sit-in demonstrations spread swiftly throughout the South and even into much of the North, causing one Southern journalist to describe them as the "South's new time bomb." When criticized for the new form of protest, black youths placed full-page advertisements in newspapers such as the Atlanta Constitution proclaiming: "We do not intend to wait placidly for those rights which are already legally and morally ours to be meted out to us one at a time."3

As young blacks became increasingly disenchanted with the slow process of American justice, they devised new methods of expressing their disillusionment with society. By the mid-1960's, many black leaders believed that the tactics of the Civil Rights Movement were no longer viable. The federal government and white liberals had failed to
meet black expectations, and, consequently, frustrated blacks in the ghettos began to riot. Broken dreams and promises led blacks not only to newer forms of physical protest but also to more militant forms of vocal expression. A study of the rhetoric which evolved during this tumultuous period should provide not only a more profound understanding of the new protest movement, but also, perhaps more important, expose the underlying feelings and frustrations of a people as voiced by their leaders. Stokely Carmichael was one of the emerging black leaders to give expression to the new black mood. A brief background of the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee (SNCC or Snick) must first be considered in order to understand Carmichael's role in the Black Protest Movement of the 1960's.

Because sit-in demonstrations had gained in popularity, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC), advanced $800 to a group of students to promote and organize further sit-ins. With this money, Snick was born. Primarily, Snick was a Southern organization which decided, "as a matter of strategy, to violate all the rules of logic and war... (by sending its members) to fight a powerful, heavily entrenched enemy in his strongest positions: the Black Belt counties of the South." In addition to promoting sit-ins and Freedom Rides, Snick decided to concentrate its efforts on voter registration in the deep
South. Their primary goal was to force the federal government to send voter representatives and poll watchers to states such as Mississippi and Alabama.7

By mid-1964, Snick employed approximately 150 full-time workers, nearly eighty per cent of whom were black.8 Although student workers came from all parts of the country, the major portion of the new Snick workers came from the rural South.

Being a dedicated Snick worker not only meant "knocking on doors for food, scrounging around for a pair of shoes, riding a mule along a country road because the car donated by some sympathizer has broken down,"9 but it also meant living with the poor and sharing their food and their troubles. The Snick field worker left his station in life behind and lived with the people to "lift them where they were."10 This meant Southern black men and women were encouraged to face white men proudly and to share fully in the political and economic life from which they had been excluded.

With this objective, Snick began to organize a political party in the South which would truly represent the poor black masses. Often, however, attempts to organize Southern Negroes into a political voice were met with white terrorist tactics. In Alabama, two Snick staffers were shot to death and others were beaten by mobs of whites.11 Negroes not voting the regular Democratic ticket were threatened with
loss of their jobs,\textsuperscript{12} and merely registering to vote often resulted in Negroes being evicted from their homes.\textsuperscript{13}

In spite of setbacks, Snick youths forged ahead with their programs. Historian Howard Zinn wrote:

\begin{quote}
It would be easy to romanticize them, but they are too young, too vulnerable, too humanly frail to fit the stereotype of heroes. They don't match the storybook martyrs who face death with silent stoicism; the young fellows sometimes cry out when they are beaten; the girls may weep when abused in prison. Most often, however, they sing... there has never been a singing movement like this one.\textsuperscript{14}
\end{quote}

In June 1966, in the midst of all this activity, James Meredith, who had begun his Freedom March in Mississippi, was shot and wounded by a white man. As news of the attempted assassination of Meredith flashed across the country, black leaders from the Congress of Racial Equality (CORE), Snick, and SCLC gathered at Meredith's bedside to make plans for the continuance of the pilgrimage. The next day the march resumed from the desolate spot on Highway 51 where Meredith had been shot. It climaxed ten days later on June 16, in Greenwood, Mississippi, when Stokely Carmichael, the newly elected chairman of the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee, mounted a speaker's platform and aroused the audience with his cries for "Black Power!"

"... We been sayin' 'freedom' for years and we ain't got nothin'. What we gonna start sayin' now is 'black power'!"

"Black Power!" the crowd echoed.

"... And from now on, when they ask you what you want, you know what to tell them."

"Black Power!" the crowd roared. "Black power! Black power! Black power!"\textsuperscript{15}
Almost overnight Carmichael's image was embedded on the national conscience, and his cry for Black Power became the symbol of a "new militancy" in the civil rights struggle. Thus, a new, more aggressive, dimension was added to the Black Protest Movement.

The Man
In order to understand Stokely Carmichael as a leader and as a man, it is necessary to look briefly at his background, especially his introductions to American society.

Stokely Carmichael was born in Port of Spain, Trinidad, on June 21, 1941. Approximately ninety-six per cent of Trinidad was Negro, and, although the real power rested in the hands of a few whites, all immediate authority—police, teachers, merchants—which a growing youth might emulate, wore black. Thus, "the question of exploitation of the black by the white had not occurred to the boy [Carmichael]." In 1952, when Carmichael was eleven, his family moved to the United States and settled in Harlem. Although Harlem was a Negro community, whites living outside the area held the power and "flaunted it on every corner."

Carmichael's father, Adolphus, had made the decision to move his family to the United States because he believed that any man, through honesty and labor, could succeed in America. Adolphus and Mabel Carmichael strove to become middle-class Americans, seeking to escape ghetto life by
moving to a better neighborhood—which meant, to them, a white one. The family succeeded in the goal and moved to an old Italian neighborhood in the East Bronx where they were the only Negroes. Stokely, who was then in his early teens, quickly made friends with the youths in his new neighborhood, and, consequently, learned how to steal cars, break into stores, and smoke marijuana.

Although Carmichael's family believed that white people were incapable of accepting blacks as equals, they sought to emulate their white neighbors. Carmichael's father, being exceedingly ambitious, held, in addition to his regular job as carpenter, various side jobs as taxi driver, seaman, or whatever was available. Being extremely honest, Adolphus refused to bribe union officials for admittance into the predominantly white labor union even though it was a widespread practice. Thus, when his father died at forty-two, a bitter Stokely Carmichael said he had worked himself to death, "trying to prove that a honest, hard-working black man could make it in white America."

In 1956 Carmichael was admitted to the elite Bronx High School of Science, whose pupils were selected from the state of New York on the basis of merit. Here Carmichael realized his intellectual deficiencies and sought to remedy them. He observed:

"My parents never finished school, we had no intellectual background. All these students' fathers had been Harvard, Yale, doctors, dentists, Ph.D.'s. They had what I didn't have, but I tried to develop my own—just beginning to read as quickly as I could, anything that anybody mentioned."
Of the 2000 students at the Bronx High School of Science, there were approximately fifty blacks. Here Carmichael became keenly aware of a white stereotype of the Negro. Although he had many friends and was always included in the social activities, his white associates constantly bent over backward to accept him. "It was a continual thing," said Carmichael, "Oh, you dance so well --when I can't dance. Everyone telling me how well I could sing when I can't carry a tune." Carmichael remembered particularly the Park Avenue party he attended where his host's mother bragged to her friends after meeting Carmichael, "Oh yes, we let Jimmy hang around with Negroes."  

While a senior at Bronx Science, Carmichael saw the sit-in era take hold. Although at first he was repulsed, he later became actively involved in sit-in activities. It was partly because of his experience in the South, where he had participated in the sit-in movement, that he turned down several scholarships to white universities in order to go to Howard University in Washington, D.C. He felt that he would have a better chance of keeping in touch with the Black Movement at Howard. He also became active in the Nonviolent Action Group, a local civil rights organization.

After graduation in 1964, Carmichael joined SNCC as a full-time field worker. He was instrumental in
establishing the Lowndes County Freedom Organization (LCFO), in Lowndes County, Alabama. He also played a major role in the 1964 Mississippi Summer Project which aimed at increasing voter registration in that state. The LCFO, with the black panther as its symbol, ran various candidates for local office. The party was established as a means of by-passing the Republican and Democratic parties which Carmichael felt did not truly "represent the needs of the black community." By 1964, Carmichael, because of his participation in various forms of protest, had been arrested twenty-seven times and spent forty-nine days in the Mississippi State Penitentiary.

In May 1966, Carmichael assumed John Lewis' post as chairman of SNICK. A month later, he thrust himself on the national scene in a way that few other black leaders had with his cry of "Black Power." Although the idea of Black Power had appeared earlier in the writings of W. E. B. DuBois, Frederick Douglass, and others, it was Stokely Carmichael who gave it vivid expression. As Carmichael himself said of the slogan, "The feeling was always there in the black community . . . but people were afraid to speak to it."27

As the slogan, "Black Power," became a popular form of expression for the new black mood in the sixties, and because Carmichael assumed a dominant role in the Black Protest Movement, it is worthwhile to examine his rhetorical strategies in relation to the goals he sought for the
movement. Because of the political climate in America during the sixties, and because most of the media had characterized Carmichael as "'radical,' 'racist,' 'hard-core,' 'black nationalist,'" and a "wild sort of Mau Mau man," it is felt that the best approach to the problem would be to examine Carmichael as an agitator—to see if Carmichael did, indeed, utilize the rhetorical methods most often employed by agitators and how he used them.

A Survey of the Literature

A number of writers have dealt with the nature of agitation, agitative rhetoric, and the agitator and his role in society. Perhaps the most significant text examined was Charles W. Lomas' *The Agitator in American Society*, which defined agitation and the role of the agitator in society. More important, perhaps, he discussed the type of social and political environment necessary for the breeding of agitators as well as the characteristics of agitation itself. Arthur Lee Smith, Jr., combined the findings of Lomas, Mary G. McEdwards, and Henry Jephson in analyzing the agitative rhetoric of Samuel Adams.

Leo Lowenthal and Norbert Guterman's, *Prophets of Deceit: A Study of the Techniques of the American Agitator*, characterized the agitator and the tools of persuasion he utilized in order to gain popular support.

Finally, Richard Hofstadter in "The Paranoid Style in American Politics," indicated that many leaders of protest movements see a conspiratorial world directed against an entire culture, the one they, as leaders, have chosen to represent. His analysis of this type of political paranoia is particularly rhetorical and suggests guidelines for an analysis of style.

More specifically, Patricia Jefferson of Indiana University has completed a Master's thesis on Stokely Carmichael. This thesis took a different approach from the present study and concerned itself mainly with Carmichael's life, his ethos, emotional appeals, and speech style. Jefferson made no attempt to analyze Carmichael in light of agitative characteristics. Since no study has been attempted in this specific area, it is felt a thesis on Carmichael as an agitator would be a valuable contribution to the field of speech.

Statement of Purpose

This study will analyze the speeches of Stokely Carmichael in order to determine if, and in what ways, he used the elements of agitational rhetoric. The specific questions to be answered by this thesis are as follows:

1. How did Carmichael, as the leader of SNCC, expound the black man's grievances?

2. What were Carmichael's basic rhetorical premises?

3. What goals were sought by Carmichael to meet the black man's grievances?
4. What rhetorical strategies were used by Carmichael in relation to the goals he sought?

5. Were his strategies agitative in nature?

Before discussing the methods to be utilized in the preparation of this thesis, the nature of agitation must first be defined.

Lomas defined agitation as "a persistent and uncompromising statement and restatement of grievances through all available communication channels, with the aim of creating public opinion favorable to a change in some condition." Further, Lomas stated that agitations may be rhetorical, relying on the spoken or written forms, or activist, relying on deeds to communicate a message.

Important also are the preconditions to agitation. The climate which gives birth to an agitator is a disturbed one, with society divided and discontented over major issues confronting it. The ruling class shows a reluctance to change and thus the injustices, or perceived injustices, multiply in the minds of those who consider themselves to be the oppressed. Lomas classified agitations in terms of their desired goals. Short-range agitations seek immediate objectives which are attainable without any major changes in society. Long-range agitations are aimed at established conditions which are undesirable to the agitator and his auditors and are incapable of quick change. Revolutionary agitations are directed at the existing social
order and seek, ultimately, to shift the balance of power from one group to another.

McEdwards placed strong emphasis on the style of the agitator, saying that he uses language to "evoke an immediate emotional response in the listener" and chooses a vocabulary which "upsets the listener's expectation of the speaker and of the occasion." McEdwards analyzed the agitator's use of extreme language by relating the types of stylistic devices most often employed. She found that concrete diction, "heavy with unpleasant connotations," tropes and schemes that carry high descriptive appeal, abrasive words, derogatory metaphors, and simple sentences, marked the language of an agitator.

Method and Procedure

After searching the literature extensively, six speeches of Carmichael's have been located. They cover a variety of speaking situations and range from July 1966, to August 1967, the period during and immediately following Carmichael's role as SNCC's chairman. The speeches to be studied are as follows:


4. "Toward Black Liberation," speech delivered at "Impact '67" at Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, on April 8, 1967. (A variation of this speech was delivered at Wisconsin State University, Whitewater, on February 6, 1967).


Since Carmichael used his immediate audiences to reach a broader public, both black and white, predominately via the news media, his speeches are best examined in relation to the larger audience of the American public.

The following criteria for analyzing the speeches of Carmichael were adapted from the works of Lowas, McEdwards, Smith, Lowenthal and Guterman, Hofstadter, and Kenneth E. Boulding's essay, "Toward a Theory of Protest," in The Age of Protest, by Walt Anderson.

I. Four preconditions are necessary for agitative rhetoric to take place.
   A. Society is divided and discontented over present conditions.
   B. There is evidence of injustice or apparent injustice.
C. The established ruling group shows massive resistance to change.
D. The agitator has available channels of communication with the afflicted.

II. The agitator is a man of the people.
A. He understands those to whom he speaks, that is, he associates himself directly with the common man.
B. He identifies their grievances and sufferings with his.
C. He shows his concern for the problems of his auditors.
D. He is charismatic in the eyes of his supporters.

III. The agitator attempts to motivate his audience away from the status quo by exposing injustices in the current social structure.
A. He seeks to expose the grievances of his listeners.
   1. He may develop the grievance in detail or merely assert it.
   2. He may state new ideas or recall to his listener's minds beliefs already held.
   3. He may cite specifics or general instances as evidence of the injustice he is asserting.
B. The types of grievances which may be exploited by the agitator are:
   1. Economic: grievances in which the afflicted are depicted as suffering from economic exploitation.
   2. Political: grievances which reveal the afflicted without power and at the mercy of the powerful enemy.
   3. Cultural: grievances which depict the oppressed as suffering because of their race or national origins.
   4. Media Distortion: grievances which reveal the public is misinformed via the media which attempts to further castigate the afflicted.
C. Grievances may represent long standing beliefs of the afflicted or they may expose newly created problems.

IV. The agitator proposes solutions for remedying injustices.
A. According to the speaker, the problem may be capable of solution in the existing social order.
   1. Short range agitations are those where the agitator seeks immediate and attainable goals.
2. Long range agitations are directed against entrenched conditions and are incapable of quick solution.

B. The solutions may demand revolutionary changes in the existing power structure.

V. A special style marks the agitator.

A. Language is extreme because of the unexpected word usage.
   1. Language is concrete and filled with unpleasant connotations.
   2. The abrasive word is used instead of the bland — the derogatory metaphor is used in place of the complimentary.
   3. Language is used as a weapon: it jolts and combats.
   4. The jagged, insulting, snarling word is used.

B. Language is emotional, carrying high sensory appeals.

C. Sentences are short, simple, and jabbing.

D. Language of Objectification, that is, it directs the grievances and frustrations of one group toward an ill-defined out-group.

E. Language of Justification, that is, the agitator rationalizes the means by which to achieve his goals.

F. Ultimate terms are likely to be used.

G. The language used may exemplify the Paranoid Style in the following ways:
   1. A vast, yet subtle, conspiracy is seen directed against the agitator and his supporters.
   2. History appears to be a conspiracy against the agitator and the afflicted.
   3. The time for action is now — time is running out.
   4. The enemy is vilified.
      a. he is seen as having no redeeming qualities.
      b. he is seen as sinister because he chooses to be so.
   5. The agitator may use contrasts to sharpen the idea being advanced, that is, the struggle is between absolute good and absolute evil.
   6. Terms to define absolute victory, without compromise, are used.
   7. The agitator sees himself and those he represents as the Elect, perfect in every way. Even though they are unmercifully persecuted they will ultimately triumph.
Summary of Design

Chapter Two of this thesis will examine the social and political conditions in the 1960's to determine if the prevailing atmosphere was conducive to the development and growth of an agitational movement. Chapter Three analyzes black grievances as espoused by Stokely Carmichael and the solutions he proposed for them, and examines how Carmichael sought to identify his personal grievances with those of the black masses. Chapter Four analyzes Carmichael's speaking style in relation to the characteristics of an agitator and determines by what means Carmichael utilized language to identify himself with the oppressed blacks he sought to represent. Chapter Five contains a summary of the entire thesis and relates the conclusions concerning the nature of agitation, Stokely Carmichael's use of agitational devices, and the black rhetoric of the sixties.
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40 McEdwards, p. 37.

CHAPTER XI

PRECONDITIONS FOR AN AGITATIONAL MOVEMENT

Introduction

For an agitation to occur, certain political and social preconditions usually exist. A certain mixture of circumstances can not only lead to the development of an activist campaign, but can also accelerate its maturation. Four preconditioning elements are conducive to the development of an agitational movement in a society. First, the prevailing mood is one of discontentment and turbulence, and the people are divided over the major issues which affect them. Those who are discontented are also undecided as to which direction should guide their course. Second, and more specifically, a group of people are victims of injustice or perceive themselves to be. Third, the power structure shows a massive resistance to change—a reluctance to do anything meaningful to help those who feel they are the victims of injustice. Fourth, and perhaps most important, accessible communication channels must exist between an agitator and the victims of the injustice.

Before examining Stokely Carmichael's rhetoric, it is first necessary to determine if American society in the early sixties contained elements favorable to the birth of an activist spokesman for the black agitation. The
social and political climate of America during this era have been examined to determine to what extent such conditions did prevail, and specifically, the conditions relating to the American Negro have been analyzed.

Injustice and Inequality as a Precondition for Agitation

For three centuries the black man has suffered the indignities and injustice of white rule in America. Freed from the shackles of slavery over a century ago, blacks have since faced bondage of another kind in education, job, and housing discrimination. Under leaders like Martin Luther King, Jr., the black man sought to express through nonviolence the desolation of his existence. When deaf ears were turned to his pleas for justice and equality, the blacks increasingly moved toward more militant forms of expression. The frequent result was violence and rioting.

On July 29, 1967, President Lyndon B. Johnson appointed the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders to study the causes of urban riots. The basic conclusion of the Commission's report released in 1968 was: "Our nation is moving toward two societies, one black, one white—separate and unequal." Moreover, the Kerner Report validated many complaints long made by blacks concerning racial discrimination and injustice.

One of the major grievances expressed by blacks pertained to police practices in ghetto communities. In 1964,
an attitude study revealed that forty-three percent of Harlem Negroes believed police brutality existed; a national Gallup Poll taken in 1965 reported that thirty-five percent of black males felt police brutality was present in their neighborhoods, as contrasted to seven percent of whites who felt that way. Actual physical abuse was only one type of police exacerbation reported by blacks. Most of the complaints concerned harassment of interracial couples, indiscriminate personal searches, and dispersal of street gatherings of a purely social nature. These abuses, added to contemptuous verbal assaults, had great impact on poor ghetto residents and aided in the generation of hostilities toward policemen.

Charles E. Silberman, in Crisis in Black and White, related an example of police maltreatment of blacks. In New York City in 1962, a prominent Negro engineer, returning home from a neighborhood baseball game, was stopped by four white policemen, beaten, searched, and then told to get off the street. The victim, Marshal Whitehead, wrote the police car number on a slip of paper and went to the station-house to complain. The detective interviewing Whitehead destroyed the slip containing the license information. As Whitehead was about to leave the station, he spotted the four policemen who had abused him earlier and identified them. The officers reportedly said: "If you want something to complain about, then we'll give you something to complain
about" and forced him to the station's basement, where Whitehead was brutally assaulted and arrested for disorderly conduct and resisting arrest. Had this been an isolated incident, it would have been bad enough, but other related research indicates that the occurrence of such phenomena in Negro communities was far from rare.

Instances of police brutality were reported repeatedly, especially in cities torn by riots. The Kerner Report related an account of a Detroit resident beaten so severely by police that two weeks later bruises were still visible. Another seventeen-year-old Detroit youth who received a cracked skull at police hands was removed to a hospital only after inmates reported that he was bleeding to death. The Kerner Report also validated many accounts of physical abuse by police in Detroit when black prisoners were brought in uninjured and later had to receive hospital treatment.

The most publicized instances of police brutality involved the killing of blacks by white policemen. According to Gunnar Myrdal, the majority of these killings must be considered wanton when measured in terms of a decent standard of policemanship. Moreover, many of the victims were innocent. What appears to have accounted for many killings of blacks by white policemen was the latter's fear of the "bad nigger," which inclined him to keep a heavy finger on the trigger of his gun. Indiscriminate shooting on the part of police accounted for many victims. During the
Detroit riots, the uncritical machine-gunning of an apartment building resulted in the death of four-year-old Tonya Blanding.8

Concerning police racial predilection in the South, Myrdal wrote:

Probably no group of whites in America have a lower opinion of the Negro people and are more fixed in their views than Southern policemen. To most of them no Negro woman knows what virtue is . . . and practically every Negro man is a potential criminal. They usually hold, in extreme form, all other derogatory beliefs about Negroes; and they are convinced that the traits are "racial." This holds true of the higher ranks in the police departments as well as of the lower ranks.9

But police hatred of blacks is not confined to the South. The Skolnicker Report to the National Commission on the Causes and Prevention of Violence related an account by San Francisco journalist Charles McCabe, who told of returning to his childhood neighborhood and meeting a friend who had become a policeman.

We went to a corner saloon, together with a couple of buddies and we talked -- mostly about cops. . . . On the subject of hippies and black militants they were not really human.

Their language was violent. "If I had my way," said one, "I'd like to take a few days off and go off somewhere in the country where these bastards might be hanging out, and I'd like to hunt a couple of them down with a rifle." The other cops nodded concurrence.10

Regarding the use of force by policemen, Robert M. Fogelson in Political Science Quarterly of June 1968, wrote:

In practice, then, the police regard excessive force as a special, but not uncommon, weapon in the battle against crime. They employ it to punish suspects who are seemingly guilty yet unlikely to be convicted, and to secure respect in the communities where patrolmen are resented, if not openly detested. And they justify
it on the grounds that any civilian, especially any Negro, who arouses their suspicion or withholds due respect loses his claim to the privileges of law abiding citizens.11

In 1962, Dante Andreotti, a twenty-one-year veteran of the San Francisco police force, was appointed head of the newly created Community Relations Unit. The purpose of the Unit was to go into minority communities and discuss problems facing the constituents living there. It was hoped this process would encourage respect for the local law agencies. Andreotti's main difficulty came from the police department as indicated by some of the taunts he received from fellow officers: "How do you like working in the commie-relations department? ... Have you got your N. A. A. C. P. card? You're the biggest nigger-lover in the police department." Andreotti recalled seeing John Birch literature on some of the precincts' bulletin boards. One bulletin board had a large picture of the Ku Klux Klan's Imperial Wizard with a headline reading "Our Hero."12

The Kerner Report reinforces Andreotti's view of the racist policeman:

To many Negroes police have come to symbolize white power, white racism, and white repression. And the fact is that many police do reflect and express these white attitudes. The atmosphere of hostility and cynicism is reinforced by widespread perception among Negroes of the existence of police brutality and corruption, and of a "double standard" of justice and protection -- one for Negroes and one for whites.13

As these attitudes appeared to be prevalent among police officers, it was little wonder that ghetto residents harbored such resentment and antipathy toward law enforcement
agencies. To the black ghetto resident, the white policeman was representative of the white power structure and symbolic of all that had gone wrong in black lives. A white policeman served as a reminder that blacks were dependent on, and, of necessity, submissive to the white man's laws and sense of justice. The fact that police departments employed few blacks also contributed to the problem. The Kerner Commission's investigation of twenty-eight police departments revealed that the percentage of sworn black personnel ranged from less than one percent to twenty-one percent. In no instance was the percentage of black policemen on a force equal to black representation in the population. One black ghetto resident expressed her feeling this way: "This is more or less a colored neighborhood—why do we have so many white cops? As if we got to have somebody white standing over us. . . . I'm not going to see a lot of colored cops in no white neighborhood, . . . I get sick and tired of seeing so many white cops." Of course, not all white policemen were guilty of harassing blacks. However, the instances of unethical police practices in black neighborhoods were sufficient to lend credibility to the black man's claim of racial prejudice on the part of white police officers. Not only do blacks believe that they are likely to be arrested by white policemen, but upon appearing in court they believe they usually
receive more stringent punishments than whites. The Kerner Report stated:

The belief is pervasive among ghetto residents that lower courts in our urban communities dispense "assembly-line" justice; that from arrest to sentencing, the poor and uneducated are denied equal justice with the affluent, that procedures such as bail and fines have been perverted to perpetuate class inequities. We have found that the apparatus of justice in some areas has itself become a focus for distrust and hostility. Too often the courts have operated to aggravate rather than relieve the tensions that ignite and fire disorders.17

In the South, particularly, racial bias was a determinant in the punishment meted out to Negroes. For example, a black man brought in for insobriety was likely to receive a ten- or fifteen-dollar fine as compared to a three-dollar fine for a white man convicted of the same crime.18 In 1959, in a Monroe, North Carolina courtroom, two white men were acquitted of brutal assaults on two Negro women while a mentally retarded black was imprisoned for arguing with a white woman.19 Negroes were also more often arrested for minor offenses than were whites. The Kerner Commission related that bail for blacks was often excessively high and cited as evidence that bond for curfew violations during the Detroit riots was rarely less than $10,000 and frequently as high as $15,000 to $25,000.20

Another source of frustration for blacks concerned unemployment and underemployment. Black males were more than three times as likely to hold low-paying, unskilled jobs than whites. One study, conducted by the Kerner Commission, found that unemployment or underemployment
for low-income black neighborhoods was approximately 33 percent or 8.8 times greater than the overall unemployment rate for the United States as a whole.\(^\text{21}\)

Perhaps more revealing is the difference in incomes between blacks and whites possessing similar educational backgrounds. In 1960, black men between the ages of eighteen and sixty-four who had acquired eight years or less of education could hope to make only about sixty-one percent as much as white males of similar age and education.\(^\text{22}\)

Negro males needed one to three years of college to obtain an income equal to whites with less than an eighth grade education. With a master's degree, the black man counted on making the same salary as a white possessing only a high school diploma.\(^\text{23}\) Thus, even higher education provided no guarantee of equality. And education to many blacks was an insurmountable obstacle. Ghetto schools were overcrowded and equipment was scarce. Textbooks were written for white middle-class students and contained little relevance to black children who had not seen much of the world beyond the ghetto where they lived. Jonathan Kozol, a former teacher for the Boston Public School system described the text he used in a predominantly Negro ghetto school this way:

Out of a total of 140 biographies of famous men and women, there was one having to do with a Negro. That one was George Washington Carver. The geography book ... was about eighteen years old in substance, ... In this book ... a traditional American cross-country journey was traced. During this journey there wasn't one mention, hint, whisper or
glimmer of a dark-skinned face. Reading it without any outside source of information, you would have no reason to suspect either the past history or present existence of a Negro race.24

Further, Kozol related that the text described black Africans as "savage and uncivilized."25 In many schools blacks experienced this sort of ego deflation and hence were doomed to frustration, bitterness, and self-hatred. Furthermore, they were likely to project this image given them by white America on to the society they considered responsible for their plight.

Also, money allocated to black schools fell far short of that allocated to white schools. The Kerner Commission concluded that less money was spent on educating ghetto children than their suburban counterparts, and, the Civil Rights Commission study of twelve metropolitan areas found that in 1964, seven of the twelve average suburbs spent more per pupil than the central cities.26 This was a cause of discontentment to the white urban dwellers as well as to the blacks.

The Superintendent of the predominantly Negro Cleveland schools, testifying before the Kerner Commission, related the plight of many young Negro students:

If this child of despair is a young adult, there is better than a 50 percent chance that he is a high school dropout. He is not only unemployed, but unemployable, without a salable skill. . . . Preschool or nursery school was out of the question when he was four, and when he was five he was placed on a kindergarten waiting list.

. . . At six he entered school, but could only attend for half a day because of the big enrollment.
.... During his six years in elementary school, he attended four different schools.... When he got to high school he wanted vocational training, but none was available.

Of his few friends who were graduated from high school none had found jobs and they couldn't afford to go to college.

Here he is now, discouraged and without hope--economically incompetent at a time in life when, traditionally, young Americans have entered the economic mainstream as job holders.27

Further frustrations which probably contributed to discontentment related to blacks in white environments.

Black students escaping ghetto schools often met with frustration and failure in integrated white schools. Scholastic examinations and I. Q. tests were designed for middle-class white students making no allowances for blacks coming from different socio-economic and cultural backgrounds. Regarding standardized testing and black students, sociologist Andrew Billingsley has written:

They standardize these tests on other white people like themselves; then they administer them to Negroes without regard to cultural diversity and basic life conditions. Through interpretation of these tests, they make major decisions affecting the lives of Negro people.... Negro people, whatever their social class status, do not generally do as well as whites. If Negroes were free to make the decisions about which values and life styles to reward, white people certainly would not demonstrate the consistent superiority on these test performances they currently do.28

One of the arguments frequently given to placate black discontent regarding education and employment is that Negroes are consistently making gains in these areas. A University of Texas sociologist, analyzing the rate of Negro progress in relation to whites, determined that at
the 1950-60 rate of progress it would take blacks 60 years in education, 93 years in occupation, 219 years in personal income, and 805 years in family income to reach full equality with whites. The Moynihan Report, published in 1965, likewise established that black America had fallen behind in the race for equality and concluded that by 1964, black unemployment was twice as high as that for whites; from 1952 to 1963, the median income for black families dropped from fifty-seven to fifty-three percent in comparison with whites; since 1947, poverty for nonwhite families has decreased three percent, as compared to a twenty-seven percent decrease for whites.

Another common grievance expressed by blacks which probably contributed to feelings of frustration concerned substandard housing. The Kerner Commission's investigation of such complaints revealed that two-thirds of the fifty-six percent of the nation's nonwhites who lived in the central cities resided in substandard housing; by 1960, twenty-five percent of nonwhites in central cities occupied substandard facilities as compared to eight percent of whites, and twenty-five percent of all housing in metropolitan areas occupied by nonwhites were overcrowded (by the standard of 1.01 persons per room), as compared to only eight percent of all white residences. Furthermore, Negroes in large cities often were forced to pay the same or higher rents as whites while receiving poorer accommodations.
Overcrowdedness, as the Kerner Report revealed, was one of the major problems of slum life. Michael Harrington, in *The Other America*, related that if the densities of some of Harlem's blocks were representative of the country, the entire population of the United States could be contained in three of New York's boroughs. Perhaps the largest problem of poor blacks was being trapped in ghetto slums, and, subsequently, subjected to discriminating price controls and other forms of exploitation. Concerning Chicago slums, in particular, Martin Luther King Jr., wrote:

Consumer items range from five to twelve cents higher in the ghetto stores than in the suburban stores, both run by the same supermarket chains; and numerous stores in the ghetto have been the subject of community protests against the sale of spoiled meats and vegetables. This exploitation is possible because so many of the residents of the ghetto have no personal means of transportation. It is a vicious circle. You can't get a job because you are poorly educated, and you must depend on welfare to feed your children; but if you receive public aid in Chicago, you cannot own property, not even an automobile, so you are condemned to the jobs and shops which are closest to your home. Once confined to this isolated community, one no longer participates in a free economy, but is subject to price-fixing and wholesale robbery by many of the merchants of the area.

Moreover, ghetto residents believed they were exploited by local merchants. This claim was substantiated by the Kerner Commission which revealed that merchandise in ghetto stores was higher priced than the same goods sold in other areas. Additionally, efforts on the part of black families to move to more advantaged areas were frequently thwarted. Blacks were often refused the purchase of rental or housing in nonslum areas, particularly the suburbs.
Studies conducted on racial discrimination and injustice factually document the plight of the Negro masses. However, they cannot portray the depth of emotional feelings held by black individuals living in white American society. The words of these individuals reveal some of the pain and frustration of their experiences.

Remembering her first encounter with racial bias as a child trying to make a purchase in a meat market, a black woman told this story:

When I entered the market, there were several white adults waiting to be served. When the butcher had finished with them, I gave him my order. More white adults entered. The butcher turned from me and took their orders. . . . While he was waiting on the adults, a little white girl came in and we talked while we waited.

The butcher finished with the adults, looked down at us and asked, "What do you want, little girl?" I smiled and said, "I told you before, a pound of centercut pork chops." He snarled, "I'm not talking to you," and again asked the white girl what she wanted.

... "Please may I have my meat?" I said as the little girl left. The butcher took my dollar from the counter, reached into the showcase, got a handful of fat chops and wrapped them up. Thrusting the package at me, he said, "Niggers have to wait 'til I wait on the white people. Now take your meat and get out of here!" I ran all the way home crying.

Angelo Herndon, black journalist, who was arrested in Atlanta in 1932 at age nineteen for leading a demonstration of unemployed Negro and white workers demanding relief, revealed his first encounter with the term "Nigger":

One day I saw some white children at a game of marbles. Innocent of any evil thought or expectations, I joined their game. As my misfortune would have it, I shot all their marbles out of the ring. A white boy, livid with rage, called me a "nigger." "Nigger?" I repeated, not understanding. "What does 'nigger' mean?"
boys burst out laughing and I laughed with them good-naturedly. "Do you want to know what 'nigger' means?" asked the white boy spitefully. "Let me show you."

Together with the other boys he began to pelt me with stones and I fled for dear life. After a while, tiring of their sport, they let me alone. With feelings smarting more than did the bruises on my body, I ran to my mother, weeping.

Elizabeth Eckford, one of the first black students to integrate Little Rock's Central High School in 1957, revealed that on her first day at school she was spat on, called a "nigger bitch," and a mob of whites chanted, "Lynch her! Lynch her!"

And, Bill Russell, a professional basketball athlete, related having hotel reservations cancelled when the management learned that he was a Negro.

Although the personal revelations cited may be termed "isolated cases," they were examples of what countless black individuals faced daily in their struggle to live in American society. Indeed, as books have been filled with accounts concerning discrimination against black individuals, one can only discern that such "isolated cases" were in actuality, far from rare.

The major complaints of blacks centered around police practices in ghetto communities, discrimination in jobs and housing, and poor educational facilities. Yet, perhaps most frustrating to the individual Negro was the mental and physical anguish he suffered as a result of having been born black. The Kerner Commission's findings and the Skolnick and Moynihan Reports lent credibility to the black
man's claim of racial injustice. Hence, the precondition of a grievance felt by the blacks in general has been established, and the first stage was set for the development of an agitative campaign aimed at exposing the black man's grievances.

Society's Resistance to Change as a Precondition for Agitation

In the sixties, blacks began to organize and mobilize in an effort to obtain a redress of their grievances. Freedom rides, sit-ins, boycotts, and marches, particularly in the South, constituted the most popular forms of protest. But if the blacks were committed to nonviolent protest, the white resistance they met was not. Churches in the rural South where black protest meetings were held were burned and Negro homes bombed. On May 10, 1963, the A. D. Gaston motel, demonstration headquarters for Birmingham, was dynamited as was the home of protest leader, Rev. A. D. King. On June 12, 1963, Medgar Evers, Mississippi field secretary for the N. A. A. C. P., was murdered on the front lawn of his home. The accused assassin of Evers, Byron de la Beckwith, later acquitted, was visited in jail by Mississippi Governor Ross Barnett.42 One autumn Sunday in Birmingham, four young Negro girls, attending Sunday School at the 16th Street Baptist Church, were killed when a bomb ripped through the church building. In the summer of 1963, three civil rights workers were murdered in Neshoba County,
Mississippi. In the summer of 1964, Snick Mississippi workers witnessed thirty-five shootings, eight beatings, and six murders. In Tuskegee, Alabama, on January 4, 1966, Sammy Younge Jr., was murdered while attempting to use a white restroom at a gas station. Additionally, "Freedom Riders were beaten by mobs," and "demonstrators were hosed, clubbed and cattle-prodded in Birmingham and Selma." These were only a few of the many atrocities perpetrated against individuals, black and white alike, who sought to protest racial discrimination and injustice in the rural South. Perhaps violent retaliation was expected, but government inaction was not. Hundreds of affidavits asking the Department of Justice for a redress of grievances were ignored. F. B. I. representatives took no action against police who gave beatings to citizens who broke no law, and watched impassively while state patrolmen administered electrical shocks to freedom walkers who had committed no crime. During the Alabama Freedom Rides of 1965, two Snick workers called the Justice Department and asked for government protection. In both cases, the Justice Department refused their request, saying they could not protect anyone, "but if something happened, they would investigate." The Civil Rights Act of 1866 of the U. S. Code reads:

That all persons born in the United States . . . of every race and color . . . shall have the same right, in every State and Territory in the United States . . . to full and equal benefits of all laws and proceedings for the security of person and property
as is enjoyed by white citizens . . . That any person who, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, shall subject, or cause to be subjected, any inhabitant of any State or Territory to the deprivation of any rights secured or protected by this act . . . shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and, on conviction, shall be punished by fine not exceeding one thousand dollars, or imprisonment not exceeding one year, or both.  

Additionally, Section 3052, Title 18, of the United States Administrative Code, grants F. B. I. agents the power to make arrests, without warrants, "for any offense against the United States committed in their presence." In effect, these two statutes gave the federal government, and F. B. I. agents, the authority to arrest and prosecute anyone violating the Constitutional rights of any citizen. Yet the first Amendment guarantee of "the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances," was flagrantly violated by Southern policemen who went unpunished. Often the civil rights of protestors were violated openly by Southern police, in full view of federal officials who did nothing. Furthermore, many innocent individuals were jailed throughout the South for trying to "accomplish by themselves what the national government had failed to do for them: to establish the rule of the Constitution in the Deep South." The marches, demonstrations, and other forms of protests utilized by the blacks during the summer of 1963-64, in the South, came to be viewed by the black masses as a "series of rebuffs, disappointments, even failures."
Yet, some progress was made through new legislation. The Civil Rights Law of 1964 ordered the termination of racial discrimination in voting, public facilities and accommodations, and some areas of employment. It was supplemented by the Voting Rights Act of 1965 which essentially was an effort at enforcing the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution. These acts, however, did not prevent retaliatory action against blacks who sought to enjoy their new freedoms, as, for example, white land owners eviction of black tenants exercising their right to vote.  

John Lewis, chairman of SNCC in 1965-66, felt that blacks could not support the civil rights bill for it was "too little, and too late." He further explained that there was nothing in the bill to protect blacks against police brutality, or to shield citizens who exercised their right to vote from harassment; neither would it "protect young children and old women from police dogs and fire hoses for engaging in peaceful demonstrations;" nor would it aid the hundreds of citizens "arrested on trumped-up charges."  

Sociologist Robert Allen, in *Black Awakening in Capitalist America*, wrote that the catch in the 1964 Civil Rights Bill was in its enforcement. Blacks reporting violations of their civil rights had to go through "lengthy and elaborate procedures to secure redress." Response to their requests were "slow, sporadic, and largely ineffective." The black masses came to realize that without effective enforcement,
legislation meant nothing. And, the National Committee of Negro Churchmen declared:

We submit that to pass a Civil Rights Bill as this nation did in 1875 and then refuse to enforce it; to pass another Civil Rights Bill (weaker this time) in 1964 and then refuse to enforce it; to declare desegregation in our schools unconstitutional as the Supreme Court did in 1954, and then refuse to end it forthwith; to set up guidelines for desegregating hospitals and then refuse to appropriate moneys for the enforcement of these guidelines; to insist on civil rights legislation aimed at the south and then to defeat the first piece of such legislation relevant to areas outside the south; to preach "law and order" into the anguish of Negro slums in full view of the contributions of policemen to that anguish and then to insist that policemen be their own judges; to hear the suburban politicians declaim against open occupancy in one breath and in the very next breath insist that they are not racists: These are the ironies which stare us in the face and make it all but impossible to talk about how much "progress" has been made.54

What must have appeared totally sanctimonious to blacks was that had Constitutional guarantees and earlier civil rights laws been enforced, there would have been no need for the civil rights legislation produced in the sixties. This, possibly, was one reason why the black masses appeared so skeptical of the new legislation. Concerning Americans typical responses to reform movements, historian C. Vann Woodward wrote, in The Strange Career of Jim Crow, that Americans, sufficiently pressured, will enact laws that embody "reputable and moral values," as "an appeasement of moralists and reformers." Having accomplished this, they regard it as "rather tedious of the reformers to insist upon literal enforcement." The result is that most new laws of this nature become a source of pious gratification, "more honored in the breach than in the observance."55
What the civil rights legislation did do, however, was to renew black hopes that they could at last receive some measure of justice in their native country. The Kerner Commission established that the new legislation led to "frustration, hostility, and cynicism in the face of the persistent gap between promise and fulfillment." Further, the struggle for equality in the South, made Northern blacks aware of the economic inequalities of their lives.56

The subsequent result of the culminating frustration in black communities was expressed by violence and rioting. In view of the recent passage of civil rights legislation, white America was horrified and could not comprehend what caused blacks to so express themselves. The blame frequently was placed on militants, communists, and outside agitators. White America could not seem to understand, in light of all the "progress" blacks had made, why they would resort to violence. Commenting on the typical reactions to riots, psychiatrists William H. Grief and Price M. Cobbs wrote, in Black Rage, that the first response was usually to find scapegoats. Biracial committees established to determine the causes of racial violence failed to recognize substandard housing and job discrimination as the problem, and reported instead that "outside agitators and wily Communists" were the major causes of racial disorders. Grief and Cobbs concluded that after centuries of suppression, "the black man is still thought to need a provocateur to inflame him!"57
Immediately following the riots, governmental committees were appointed to review the causes and to establish programs to prevent the outbreak of future violence. However, after the furor died, little remained changed for the masses of Negroes still huddled in deprivation and squalor in city ghettos. The Kerner Report noted that actions to eliminate black grievances were "limited and sporadic," and did not significantly reduce tensions. Further, the principle response to riots in many cities was to "train and equip the police with more sophisticated weapons." The result was increasing polarization which further enhanced the breakdown of inter-racial communication. The Kerner Report further concluded that despite governmental and private efforts, little change had taken place in the conditions underlying the disorders, and that rather quickly the former status of black existence had been resumed.

Likewise, the Skolnick Report contended that the programs recommended by the Kerner Commission, to aid in the termination of racism, had not materialized and indications that it would in the near future, were few.

John Lewis, reflecting the black mood of the mid-1960's, wrote:

The black masses are on the march for jobs and freedom, and we must say to the politicians that there won't be a "cooling-off period."

We won't stop now. All of the forces of Eastland, Barnett and Wallace won't stop this revolution. The next time we march, we won't march on Washington, but we will march through the South, through the Heart of Dixie, the way Sherman did. We will make the action of the past few months look petty. And I say to you, WAKE UP AMERICA!
Blacks marched and demonstrated throughout the South in the mid-sixties in an effort to express their frustrations and to petition their government for a redress of grievances. The U. S. Congress reacted by passing new civil rights legislation in 1964-65. The enforcement of the legislation, however, was slow and sporadic. In many instances black reporting civil rights violations to the Justice Department saw their petitions ignored. Furthermore, Southern policemen openly and flagrantly violated the civil rights of protestors in full view of federal officials who did nothing. When riots broke out in Northern communities, biracial committees were established to determine the causes of the disorders and to suggest guidelines for the prevention of future violence. Additionally, the Kerner Commission was appointed to study the causes and offer solutions to the urban disturbances. However, few programs suggested for the reduction of black tensions materialized and, after the furor died, few blacks saw any improvement in their condition. This failure on the part of the national government to enforce its legislation and to act on the recommendations of its own committees resulted in black frustration and cynicism and reduced the hopes of the black masses for ever achieving full equality in their native land. Thus, the precondition of society's resistance to change has been established, and the second stage was set for the development of an agitational movement.
Agitator Spokesmen Must Have Access to Communication Channels

From the time of his national exposure in the summer of 1966 and during the time he served as chairman of SNCC, Carmichael received constant attention from the media. Numerous articles, interviews, and feature stories on Carmichael and black power appeared in every major magazine and newspaper in the country. Although some of the reportings of his activities were less than favorable, they gave him national exposure. Carmichael also published a book, co-authored with Charles V. Hamilton and entitled Black Power: The Politics of Liberation in America, and wrote several articles which appeared in The New York Times and the Massachusetts Review.

Carmichael spoke before poor ghetto blacks in the streets, before college audiences, black organizations, theological seminaries, and various protest organizations. Excerpts from his speeches frequently appeared in newspaper accounts concerning his activities. Sometimes he received live television coverage when he spoke. Wherever he went, Carmichael made news and secured attention, and this was necessary in order to reach the masses of poor blacks for whom he purported to speak. It was also essential if he hoped to expose to white America the new mood and tone of black feelings. Hence, accessible communication channels existed between Stokely Carmichael and the masses of blacks he represented, as well as to the broader American public who became exposed to the new black mood.
Conclusion

The mood of American society in the mid-sixties was one of discontentment and turbulence—a precondition which was conducive to the birth and development of an agitative campaign. This mood was enhanced by several factors at work in society. Americans were disturbed over the racial issue and divided in their opinion about the new form black protest had taken. Most Southerners like many other Americans felt that the new black movement was infiltrated by communists and outside agitators. Moreover, there was discussion about what the government should do to end violent retaliations against Negroes and peaceful protestors in the South. To add to this, several accused killers were acquitted, and most of the violent crimes committed against Southern protestors went unpunished. More important, blacks believed they were victims of an unjust system which perpetrated a double standard in its dealings with people—one standard for whites, one for blacks. These complaints were verified by the Kerner Commission, and, although the government passed legislation to end such discrimination, federal inaction to enforce it lent credibility to black complaints that white society was not interested in "true" reform. At a time when all this was going on, the media lent extensive coverage to black speakers, especially the more militant ones. This exposure put the new rhetoric in constant view of millions of Americans, especially the downtrodden masses of blacks whom the new leaders claimed to represent.
In the mid-sixties, many blacks began to tire of peaceful protests in the face of overt white violence. Blacks sought new forms and new expressions to expose their feelings. Hence, the time was ripe for spokesmen who could eloquently reflect the black mood. Carmichael was one such man and he acquired channels of communication to speak his message. Although his slogan of Black Power came to mean different things to different blacks and whites, it nonetheless became a popular form of expression in black communities. James Forman, Executive Secretary for SNCC from 1961 to 1967, speaking of the protest triggered by the slaying in 1966 of Sammy Younge, Jr., wrote:

We had no form, which was beautiful. We had no pattern which was beautiful. People were just filling the streets, and they weren’t singing no freedom song. They were mad. People would try and strike up a freedom song, but it wouldn’t work. All of a sudden you heard this, “Black Power, Black Power.” People felt what was going on. They were tired of this whole nonviolent bit. They were tired of this organized demonstration-type thing. They were going to do something.

To many blacks the slogan, "Black Power," came to symbolize a new black consciousness—a pride in being black, an awareness and identity with their African ancestry, and more importantly, it seemed to signify a new approach to old problems. Power was seen as the key to gaining equality and justice. To some degree Italian power, Jewish power, and Irish power had helped those minorities acquire equality in American life, and the blacks felt that perhaps Black Power could accomplish the same for the Negro.
Carmichael's use of Black Power in his speeches will be examined in Chapter III which specifically analyzes Carmichael's ideas concerning black grievances, goals, and solutions.
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CHAPTER III

DESPAIR AND ATONEMENT: CARMICHAEL'S EXPOSITION OF BLACK GRIEVANCES AND SOLUTIONS

Introduction

The rhetoric of agitation has been used throughout history by various groups and their spokesmen for the purpose of motivating their listeners away from the status quo. Certain methods have been employed by agitators involved in various movements to express their concern about the plight of a particular group of people. One tactic frequently used by agitators is to expose injustices in the social fabric by reactivating the existing beliefs of an audience and by stating new grievances.\(^1\) By reviving previously held grievances, an agitative speaker seeks to intensify the existing feelings of his listeners on a particular issue and to heighten the emotional atmosphere. Increasing the emotionality of a particular situation helps a spokesman to reduce the logical discrimination of his listeners, and, hence, prepares them psychologically for a ready acceptance of his prepositions. Furthermore, by revealing new complaints, an agitator exposes certain injustices of which he feels his auditors are unaware. Living in the midst of certain conditions and accepting
such circumstances as a part of their daily lives, the audience of an agitative spokesman might not have realized that such conditions were unjust and therefore merited complaint.

An agitator may also develop arguments in support of the injustice he is proclaiming, or he may merely assert the grievance and offer no proof of its validity. An agitative speaker who makes a bold assertion without offering his audience any proofs to support his allegations probably feels that his auditors accept such statements because of the credibility of the speaker or because such contentions are consistent with the values and beliefs of the audience. Sometimes, however, an agitator seeks to prove his statements by citing evidence concerning the grievance which he is exposing. Regardless of his strategy, the aim of the agitative spokesman is to make those whom he represents, or seeks to represent, more aware of their oppressed condition by speaking out against social evils and the source of the evils as well.

Four types of grievances are frequently used by agitators to express their discontentment with society and the power structure. These grievances are related to the cultural, economic, and political plight of the oppressed as well as to the mass media's denouncement of the downtrodden. Cultural Grievances depict a group of people suffering discrimination and oppression because of their race or national origins. Economic Grievances describe
the suppressed as victims of economic manipulation and exploitation. **Political Grievances** represent the down-trodden at the mercy of a ruthless and powerful enemy who victimizes them for political gain. Finally, **Media Distortion Grievances** reveal the oppressed as misrepresented by the media which disseminates negatively biased news copy against the agitator and his followers in an attempt to further castigate them and discredit their cause. The agitator may also combine grievances. He may, for example, relate the economic exploitation of his followers and claim that such contrivances are a result of political maneuvers which occur because of the cultural discrimination against his people. Additionally, an agitator may express any of these grievances as personal ones thus seeking to gain audience sympathy and empathy by identifying their grievances with those of his own. The ends of these specific strategies utilized by a particular agitative spokesman are to unite the audience in a concerted effort to improve their condition and to put them in a more powerful position to challenge the vestiges of society responsible for their misery.

After indicating which grievances the audience may suffer, the agitator might propose solutions for ending such abuses. When the agitator sees the injustices as capable of solution within the existing social order, he may seek immediate and attainable ends or he may speak against entrenched conditions in a society which are
incapable of quick redress and therefore require long-range goals.\(^2\) On the other hand, an agitator may propose solutions which demand revolutionary changes in the power structure—a shift in the balance of power from one group to another or, perhaps, the creation of a new society founded on a socio-economic-political philosophy which is in complete contradistinction to the established norms of the present society. Whatever his goals, the agitative spokesman offers his interpretation of solutions as the most viable answer to the problems of his followers.

Using the criteria for an analysis of grievances and solutions within agitative rhetoric,\(^3\) the speeches of Stokely Carmichael, during and immediately following his role as chairman of SNCC, have been examined in order to determine how he voiced the grievances of the black masses and what solutions he proposed to remedy the injustices.


Black Grievances Voiced by Carmichael

In 1966, twenty-five-year-old Stokely Carmichael began to expound his interpretations of the black man's grievances against white society. The black masses were becoming increasingly frustrated over what they perceived as the persistent gap between white liberal promises of equality and the actual fulfillment of such promises.

As a speaker and activist for the new black mood, Stokely Carmichael undoubtedly mirrored some of the sentiments and feelings of the poor masses of blacks whom he represented. In the six speeches analyzed for this study, Carmichael introduced and reiterated several grievances.

Carmichael's Cultural Grievances

In developing his exposition of black Cultural Grievances, Carmichael used five principle themes:

1. American racism
2. Integration
3. Western oppression of non-white cultures
4. Group oppression
5. White liberals in black communities

American racism. --Whether speaking before a black or white audience, Carmichael repeatedly reminded his auditors of the racism that existed in American society. To a black audience in Detroit he heralded the beginning of a new era for the black man when he affirmed that blacks would
now stand up to the challenges of racism: "It's 1966
... our eyes are open wide. And we [sic] seeing you
clear through, and you're nothing but a racist country
... and we're standing up today." Carmichael usually
developed the thesis of American racism in greater detail
by offering his listeners specific examples to verify his
allegations. At Berkeley, Carmichael reiterated the theme
of American racism and proclaimed that American institutions
were "built upon racism," and, although at this particular
point he cited no evidence to back his contentions, through-
out the text of his speeches his ideas on racism were clarified
by numerous examples in which the audience was expected to
see the proof of racism in America.

The theme of American racism was repeated throughout
many of Carmichael's speeches. In London and Cuba, the
concept of racism in the United States was extended and
related to the capitalistic system, "capitalism and racism
so hand in hand." Carmichael offered examples of American
racism in the Cuba and London speeches, but he gave no
examples to specifically connect capitalism with racism.
Thus, it appeared that Carmichael's auditors were expected
to agree that capitalism and racism were synomyous; there-
fore, America as the epitome of capitalism was necessarily
racist. Believing that America was racist, a listener
was apparently expected to have proof enough of his own
that capitalism and racism were intertwined. No doubt the
Cubans could relate these two negative ideologies.
Integration. — One of the themes persistently emphasized by Carmichael in his speeches dealt with his belief that integration and white superiority were synonymous, that is, integration perpetuated the idea that whites were racially superior because it was always voiced in terms of blacks assimilating into the white community. Integration weakened the black community because only the exemplary blacks, according to Carmichael, were selected for presentation into the white community. Hence, the black neighborhood was impaired by the loss of its better citizens to the integrated one. In his Vanderbilt speech, Carmichael stressed that "integration has to be based on the assumption that there was nothing of value in the Negro community and that little of value could be created... so the thing to do was to siphon off the 'acceptable' Negroes into the surrounding middle-class white community."\(^7\)

In Detroit, Carmichael contended that if whites really wanted to integrate they should move into black communities and send their "lily-white children from the suburbs into our crowded schools."\(^8\) And, in London, Carmichael further stated, "integration is absolutely absurd unless you can talk about it on a two-way street, where black people sit down and decide about integration."\(^9\) Carmichael intimated that blacks were assimilated into white communities because it was felt this process would make Negroes more like whites and hence make them more acceptable human beings. Carmichael
viewed integration, perhaps, as a white concept perpetrated for the appeasement of the white liberal conscience—a way for liberals to convince themselves that they were concerned about the problems of the poor blacks because they allowed blacks to assimilate into their communities. At any rate, the white man had nearly always determined what was best for the black man, and Carmichael felt that it was now time for blacks to make the decisions which affected their lives.

In Cuba, at the OLAS Conference, Carmichael expounded on the idea that many blacks had believed integration would alleviate their condition. This attitude, Carmichael contended, was "characteristic of the 'Civil Rights movement' . . . [and the] bourgeois character of that 'movement.'"10 Here, Carmichael implied that the Civil Rights Movement was led by middle-class blacks, the "bourgeois," who were seeking to better their own condition and who, perhaps either out of credulity or indifference, did not realize that the program of integration was irrelevant to the poor black masses. Carmichael reasoned that only the elite were in a position to be concerned about public accommodations. At Berkeley, he stated, "we cannot afford to be concerned about 6 per cent. . . . We are going to be concerned about . . . [the other] 94 per cent."11 Since it was obvious that the poor masses of black Americans did not have jobs, decent housing, or the money to enjoy such luxuries as hotels
and restaurants, credibility was lent to Carmichael's contention that integration did not speak to the needs of the poor black masses.

Carmichael's verbal vilification of integration appeared contradictory to his actions in the South where he participated in protest demonstrations, some of which were aimed at the integration of restaurants and bus stations. But Carmichael's position was not hypocritical. Although Carmichael felt that integration would not remedy the problems of the black masses, he believed that any man should have the freedom, for example, to eat anywhere he wished. Carmichael participated in Southern protest demonstrations, not to be able to sit next to a white man, but to be able to sit, eat, sleep, ride wherever he chose. At Berkeley, Carmichael explained, "we were never fighting for the right to integrate; we were fighting against white supremacy." 12

In the six speeches examined, Carmichael spoke at length on the topic of integration and appeared to develop this theme in greater detail than any other single idea. He did this, perhaps, because he felt that integration was endorsed by both blacks and whites as an acceptable goal for the black masses; hence, the true implications that the program had for the average black man were not realized.

Western oppression of non-white cultures. --Carmichael repeatedly voiced, especially in his Cuban and London speeches,
the grievance that Western colonization subjugated the non-white peoples of the world and subsequently destroyed their native culture. Developing this theme quite extensively when he spoke before the OLAS in Havana, Cuba, Carmichael identified the black man's oppression in the United States with that of Latin-Americans and other non-white people and linked this oppression to a common enemy—"Western civilization." Attempting to show that Latin-Americans shared a common grievance with black people and other non-whites, Carmichael gave specific examples of degrading names which were attached to all non-white races in an attempt to dehumanize them: "We are called 'niggers,' Spanish-speaking people are called 'spics,' the Chinese 'chinks,' the Vietnamese 'gooks.'"¹³ One of the major problems facing all non-white peoples of the world was that Western society, operating from a position of white superiority, attempted to make native cultures believe in their own inferiority by classifying non-whites in almost subhuman terms. Thus, Carmichael not only voiced the black man's grievances, but, at the same time, linked the problems of his people with the problems of his Cuban auditors and all non-whites throughout the world. Here, Carmichael obviously attempted to agitate his non-white Cuban auditors by showing how they suffered from white exploitation.

Carmichael related the ideas of the Algerian psychiatrist-philosopher Frantz Fanon, who wrote in his book, The Wretched of the Earth,¹⁴ that the progress of Western civilization
entailed the forced destruction of the culture of a conquered people and the institution of Western values in their place. Carmichael supported the thesis of the West forcing its culture on a people when, in his speech in London, he castigated the English for the role they played in African colonization: "If a few settlers left England to go to Zimbabwe, there was no reason for them to rename that country Rhodesia... if they'd had respect for the cultures of other people, they would have spoken the language of those people." Carmichael repeatedly condemned the white West for its exploitation of non-white peoples, but never once mentioned the rape of the South American continent by the Spanish-speaking people who were just as ruthless in their treatment of the natives as the American and English. It was almost as if Carmichael could not recognize the crimes committed by the Spanish, whom he considered as his non-white allies, as they plundered, raped, and murdered in the name of the King of Spain and the Holy Catholic Church. Perhaps the reason he omitted any reference to the Spanish conquest of Latin America was that, as he was trying to unite all non-whites into a collective body to fight white oppression, he did not want to alienate his Latin auditors.

Carmichael contended that the West had propagandized its culture and defined beauty to the extent that people around the world were clamoring to imitate Western styles. As specific examples to support this contention, Carmichael
related the case of Japanese women who had eye operations to round their eyes and black women who hot-combed their hair to straighten it. Carmichael proclaimed in London: "To hell with the West and its culture. Let it keep it. We want ours." Carmichael appeared to believe that because the West possessed the power to conquer everything in its path, the non-whites around the world were psychologically conditioned to believe that Western values were superior and hence to be emulated. Perhaps if the black man could defiantly assert his right to his own culture, he might rid himself of the myth of white Western superiority.

**Group oppression.** --Developing Cultural Grievances

further, Carmichael explained that it was "nonsensical and a downright lie" to speak of individual suppression among blacks because blacks were oppressed as a group. Carmichael developed this theme in greater detail and exemplified the types of stereotyped characteristics which were often attributed to blacks: for example, in his speech at Berkeley, Carmichael related, "we are oppressed as a group because we are black, not because we are lazy, not because we are apathetic, not because we're stupid, . . . smell, . . . eat watermelon and have good rhythm. We are oppressed because we are black." Carmichael attempted to convince blacks that their oppression was due only to their skin color and not because of individual blight. Thus, the stereotypes imposed on the black man were perhaps calculated by white
society to appease the white guilt for suppressing black people by convincing both blacks and whites that the Negro was indeed inferior. Carmichael laid the blame for the collective black oppression squarely on the shoulder of white power.

White liberals in black communities. —Another facet of the Cultural Grievances voiced by Carmichael concerned the migration of white liberals into the ghetto community for the purpose of advising blacks on how to improve their condition. Using an analogy, Carmichael in his Berkeley speech compared the liberals with African missionaries. The African missionary came to civilize the heathens and to instill in them Western values and culture. The "modern-day missionary," the white liberal, came into the black ghetto to "Head Start, Upward Lift, Bootstrap, and Upward Bound . . . [blacks] into white society." Furthermore, Carmichael felt that the positions of authority occupied by whites who had come to work in the black community contributed to the black man's feelings of inferiority. It was the white liberal who assumed a position of power in ghetto programs, and it was the white liberal who advised Negroes on how to solve the black problem. Carmichael believed that black men should occupy the "positions of power, doing and articulating for themselves." White liberals needed to go into their own communities and help eliminate the deeply embedded racist attitudes there. Expounding this theme in more detail, Carmichael stated
that there could be no coalition between blacks and whites. Whites were economically secure, and, since blacks were not, there could be no viable coalition because white and black goals were not the same. In Detroit, Carmichael clarified his ideas on this theme by a specific reference to the college students involved in the Student Protest Movement: "that college kid is fighting for the right to wear a beard and smoke pot, and we're fighting for our lives."^20

Carmichael additionally criticized white liberals for attempting to "whiten" the black man. Carmichael appeared to believe that the white liberal had to make a black man white in order to be able to accept that black man as an equal. Perhaps Carmichael felt that the liberal was incapable of recognizing that a black man was different from the white, both in cultural and in environmental orientation. In his desire to purge his conscience of any guilt feelings concerning the black problem, the white liberal decided that all men, regardless of race, were alike. Thus, the liberal had made the black man into his own image and was now capable of accepting him as an equal. If this exemplified Carmichael's perception of the true nature of the white liberal, it was another reason for Carmichael's belief that there could be no viable coalition between whites and blacks because the black man could no longer tolerate the emasculation of his self-identity by crusading liberals.
Carmichael's Economic Grievances

Carmichael cited three major reasons for the economic dispensation of the black masses:

1. Low income
2. Institutionalized racism
3. Exploitation of black communities

Low income. --When Carmichael spoke of the Economic Grievances of the black man, he emphasized that the black plight was due to meager salaries and not to laziness. He stressed the point that the menial jobs, hard labor, and low wages always fell to blacks. Carmichael, in his Berkeley speech, ridiculed the American myth regarding the positive correlation between hard work and economic success and stated that in the United States it was assumed that if someone were poor, they were poor because of individual faultiness as "they had too many children... [and] didn't care about school." Carmichael felt these ideas were a lot of nonsense and that poverty in America was calculated. He said further in his speech at Berkeley: "The reason why the poverty program won't work is because the calculators of poverty are administering it." Perhaps Carmichael suggested that poverty would not be eliminated because it provided monetary rewards for the administrators of poverty programs. If this is what Carmichael implied, then perhaps some credibility was lent to his allegation by sociologist Herbert J. Gans of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, who supported the theory that poverty exists because it
performs a useful function to society. Gans believed that one of the major roles of the poor is to provide jobs for social workers, government health workers, journalists, and poverty program administrators. Gans implied that many individuals who are ostensibly combating poverty are profiting from it.

Carmichael contended in his Berkeley Speech that one of the major forces hampering the economic development of the black man was white society's refusal to adequately compensate the black man for the services he performed. If money were contingent with the amount of work accomplished, then Carmichael felt the black man would be economically secure.

_Institutionalized racism._--Carmichael further voiced the Economic Grievances of the black man when in his Vanderbilt and London addresses he gave examples of institutionalized racism as evidence of the economic inequality of blacks. He described institutionalized racism as that which "keeps the black people locked in dilapidated slums, tenements, ... subject to the prey of exploitative slum landlords, merchants, loan sharks, and the restrictive practices of real-estate agents." 23 As a specific example of institutionalized racism, Carmichael revealed the economic plight of the masses of blacks in Birmingham: "Five hundred Negro babies die each year because of lack of proper food, shelter, and medical facilities;
and thousands more are destroyed and maimed physically, emotionally, and intellectually because of conditions of poverty and deprivation in the ghetto.\(^{24}\) Throughout many of his speeches, Carmichael repeated the idea that individualized racism, terrorist attacks on individual blacks, was unacceptable to the majority of society, yet institutionalized racism, which was more destructive to the black masses, was either ignored or society was incapable of remedying it. Carmichael appeared to feel that since institutionalized racism was more subtle, it was, therefore, more tragic for it went virtually unnoticed by white society. If a church were bombed and five children killed, society was appalled, yet if hundreds of black children died or were "maimed physically and emotionally" from malnutrition, disease and other conditions of poverty, no one seemed to care. Carmichael's attitude was that institutionalized racism produced greater malevolent consequences for the black masses than individualized racism, and, hence, it was much more destructive.

Exploitation of black communities. --Another facet of Economic Grievances exposed by Carmichael related to the dependent status of black communities which Carmichael defined as "colonies" because their cheap labor and capital were exploited by those living outside the black neighborhood. In Cuba, Carmichael developed this theme by collating the economic problems of black Americans to those of the Latins: "Our people are a colony within the
United States; you are colonies outside the United States." Carmichael related that black colonies in America were "victims of white imperialism and colonial exploitation, . . . [and this was] in practical economic and political terms true."26 Thus, Carmichael sought to identify the black problem with the problem which his Latin auditors believed they also possessed when he implied that they were both exploited economically by the white imperialistic United States. Seeking further to clarify his contention that black communities were colonies, and simultaneously, to lend validity to his claim, Carmichael argued at Vanderbilt that although American cities were populated by the non-white people of the Third World, the non-whites in those areas did not control the resources in their communities—"the physical ownership of the land, houses, and stores lie outside that community."27 Carmichael implied that white merchants in the ghettos charged exorbitant prices for the commodities they sold to blacks and, upon return to white areas, took their bounty with them and deposited it in white banks. Thus, as whites living outside the area controlled black neighborhoods economically, black people never profited from the money they put into their communities; rather, the tools necessary to improve the black ghetto rested in the hands of whites who expressed little concern for the amelioration of the black man's condition.
Carmichael's Political Grievances

Carmichael based his exposition of the black man's political grievances on four major factors:

1. The Vietnam War
2. Black powerlessness
3. White power
4. Irresponsible Negro leaders

The Vietnam War. —One of the topics around which Carmichael based political grievances concerned the war in Vietnam. Carmichael realized that many Negroes believed that the army provided the best chance for security to black youths. Carmichael's response to this idea was expressed in his Detroit speech: "Do you mean to tell me for me to have a decent life I've got to become a hired killer and fight it out in Vietnam? Baby, it's time we stayed here and fight it out here." Carmichael lashed out vehemently against the Vietnam War and implied that it was but another example of Western imperialism seeking to subjugate a non-white people. Furthermore, it was ridiculous for a black man to fight for freedom around the world when he did not enjoy freedom at home. Carmichael believed, for example, that it was ridiculous for a black man to fight to give free elections to Vietnam when he could not exercise that right in the South of his homeland. On the same theme, Carmichael reminded his Detroit auditors of another reason why the black youth should not sacrifice his life for his country: a black youth "killed fighting for his country;
... [is brought home] and they won't bury him in his land." Carmichael's statement obviously referred to the fact that many Southern cemeteries, including those for veterans, excluded blacks from interment in them. Thus, a black man who fought in Vietnam was fighting a political war waged against a non-white culture—a war based, supposedly, on all the American platitudes of freedom, liberty, and equality. Carmichael appeared to believe that not only was it nonsensical, but hypocritical for the country to expect a black man to fight for the freedom of others when he did not enjoy these same freedoms in his native land.

Black powerlessness. —Carmichael's exposition of Political Grievances centered mainly on the black man's lack of power. Carmichael frequently reiterated the theme that blacks had no effective political voice with which to combat violent white power which was epitomized by the making and enforcement of laws with "guns and nightsticks." In the coming decade, Carmichael contended, the inner city ghettos would be populated by Puerto Ricans, Mexican-Americans, and American Indians, as well as blacks. Carmichael expressed at Vanderbilt that the main problem these people would face would be a lack of "power to control their lives ... and effective political institutions through which to relate to the total society." Carmichael felt that should blacks and other non-whites not be given
the power to combat white oppression, then the future of the inner cities would be one of violence. Thus, black powerlessness was deemed responsible for the condition of the black communities and for the depressed situation of the black masses. Carmichael frequently repeated that black Americans lived in deprivation only because they were "black and powerless"--powerless to effectively confront the machinery of white power.

White power. --Carmichael complained that violent, destructive white power was responsible for the misery of the ghettos, and yet white society had the audacity to condemn black rebellion and rhetorical vehemence as violent. To support this contention, Carmichael related in his speech at Berkeley, "Lyndon Baines Johnson is busy bombing the hell out of Vietnam--don't nobody talk about nonviolence. White people beat up black people every day--don't nobody talk about nonviolence. But as soon as black people start to move, the double standard comes into being." At Vanderbilt, Carmichael tied black racial violence to a specific cause--white power--and related that "As long as people in the ghettos... feel that they are the victims of the misuse of white power without any way to have their needs represented... we will continue to have riots." Carmichael preferred the term "rebellion" to describe the racial disturbances in the ghettos and to his black Detroit audience stated, "don't you ever call
those things riots, because they are rebellions."**

Carmichael apparently delineated ghetto violence as "rebellion" because it entailed nothing more than an attempt by the black man to state his grievances against white American society. The misuse of white political power, Carmichael implied, was responsible for the impotence and subjugation of black communities, and if white power continued to keep the black community in a state of oppression, then violence would continue to occur. Thus, Carmichael appeared to believe that ghetto "rebellions" occurred only as a result of the culminating frustration of the black masses whose cries for political justice were ignored.

Another complaint voiced by Carmichael at Vanderbilt concerned the gerrymandering of political districts by the white power structure to impair the collective voting strength of the black masses. Carmichael established that blacks were strategically located, constituting a heavy majority in the cities, and, hence, black should manifest some degree of political power by bloc voting. Yet, white political machinery had gerrymandered black neighborhoods to the extent that the true voting strength of the Negro community was never realized. Thus, even though blacks constituted a majority in many areas, their pleas for social justice were dismissed because they did not possess the political strength necessary to make their requests heard. Carmichael appeared to believe that the white power structure's
suppression of the black man's political voice placed blacks in a position of submissiveness to white-controlled political machinery which expressed little concern for the blighted condition of the black masses.

Irresponsible Negro leaders. — Another theme Carmichael developed in his exposition of political grievances was that Negro leaders were not responsible to the black masses, but rather demonstrated allegiance to the white political machinery that had helped elect them. Carmichael appeared to believe that Negro leaders were so occupied with trying to win the approval of the white community and power structure that they spent most of their time talking with whites instead of working in the black communities where they were most needed. Carmichael's complaint had some validity. When the slogan "Black Power" exploded on the American scene, most black leaders, instead of going to the black community and seeking to find some workable and creative uses for Black Power, clamored instead to either disclaim or defend the concept to white America. In a further attempt to show how black leaders were bought by white society, Carmichael, in his speech at Vanderbilt, referred to the Voting Rights Act of 1965 and lashed out at President Johnson and the Democratic Party for attempting to "register Democrats, not Negroes." Carmichael related that when black leaders went to Washington to discuss the problems of Negroes in the South, they were told "Go
home and organize your people into the local Democratic Party—then we'll see about poverty money and appointments." If Carmichael's assertions were valid, then he had another reason to suspect that Negro leaders, bribed to serve as political chattels in the interests of the Democratic Party, could never be responsible to the black masses.

Carmichael's Media Distortion Grievances

A recurring theme of Carmichael's was the role the press played in helping to maintain the status quo in a racist society. In developing Media Distortion Grievances, Carmichael cited four crimes of which he claimed the communication media were guilty:

1. Unconscious racism
2. Media misrepresentation
3. Guilt projection
4. White superiority propaganda

Unconscious racism. --One of the themes Carmichael developed when reciting Media Distortion Grievances was that the press was so subconsciously indoctrinated with the racist norms of Western society that they were incapable of objectively reporting the news. At Vanderbilt, Carmichael observed: "Our experience with the national press has been that, where they have managed to escape a meretricious special interest in 'Git Whitey' sensationalism and race-warmongering, individual reporters and commentators have been conditioned by the enveloping racism of the society to the point where they are incapable even of objective
observation and reporting of racial incidents, much less the analysis of ideas." The idea Carmichael appeared to advance was that the press could not objectively report events because they were victims of unconscious racism. He felt that the media selectively perceived certain happenings and recorded them inadequately not, perhaps, due to any conscious effort to distort the news but rather because the norms of a racist society were unconsciously ingrained in their minds. Carmichael also related in his Vanderbilt speech, "I can see very clearly the discrepancies between what happened and the versions that are finding their way into general acceptance as a kind of popular mythology. Thus the victimization of the Negro takes place in two phases—first it occurs in fact and deed; then . . . in the official recording of those facts." Thus, according to Carmichael, Negroes were tyrannized, first, by the suppressive restrictions placed on their freedoms by white society, and secondly, by the reportings of the news media which reproved any attempt on the part of the black masses to remedy their plight.

Media misrepresentation. —Carmichael cited specific examples of media misrepresentation to lend validity to his claims that the media sought to discredit the black cause. He revealed that in 1964 the National Democratic Party had damned the actions of the black population of Mississippi to obtain some facsimile of political representation
and stipulated that "whenever the events of that convention are recalled by the press, one sees only that version fabricated by the press agents of the Democratic Party." Carmichael referred here to the actions taken by the Mississippi Freedom Democratic Party (MFDP) to be recognized at the 1964 Democratic Convention as the official party for the state of Mississippi. The MFDP stressed that they excluded no one on the basis of race or color, and that they supported the Democratic platform. Despite the fact that the Mississippi Democratic Party had rejected the Democratic platform and passed a resolution condemning the Civil Rights Act of 1964, its delegation to the national convention was seated, while the MFDP delegation was excluded. The second example Carmichael gave referred to the MFDP's contestation of the election of five white congressmen from Mississippi. The MFDP gathered data to substantiate their claim that the election was illegal and fraudulent, and that the congressmen had "been elected through a process which methodically and systematically excluded over 450,000 voting-age Negroes, almost one-half of the total electorate of the State." Carmichael further claimed at Vanderbilt that when this event was related by the press, it was mentioned "in terms which leave one with the rather curious impression that somehow the oppressed Negro people of Mississippi are at fault for confronting the Congress with a situation in which they had
no alternative but to endorse Mississippi's racist political practices.\textsuperscript{40} Carmichael's recitation of these two specific examples of media misrepresentation indicated his belief that, first, the press did not delve deeply enough into certain issues and were content to accept the ideas of others, in this case the press agents of the Democratic Party, as factual. The press was also depicted as catering to special interests because it reported only the white power structure's version of the news. In the second example related, Carmichael intimated that when a situation involving blacks occurred which reflected negatively on the white establishment, the news was slanted to convey the erroneous impression that Negroes were at fault.

\textit{Guilt projection} --Expounding Media Distortion

Grievances further, Carmichael, at Morgan State, admonished his listeners to ignore the presence of the press because "they will not be able to understand what we are talking about." Carrying the theme further, Carmichael referred to the criticism the Black Movement had received from the press and stated: "I was reading George Bernard Shaw the other night ... He said that all criticism is in fact autobiography. So the press ought to dig them some Mr. Shaw."\textsuperscript{41} Carmichael inferred that the deficiencies spotted by the press in the Black Movement were the same characteristics possessed by the press members. Perhaps one reason the press noticed these blemishes was because they possessed
these weaknesses themselves, and, hence were more prone to perceive them in others. Carmichael not only condemned the American press, but when he appeared in London, he defamed the British media as well. Carmichael told his British auditors that he planned to expound on the plans of black Americans and proclaimed, "This is your only chance to hear it clear, because you'll be hearing it from the BBC next time." Thus, he felt that white reporters, whether they were English or American, projected their own inadequacies onto the Black Movement when they disseminated a negative picture of Black Power.

White superiority propaganda. --Carmichael discussed his complaints against the media further by contending that the press had brainwashed American society, white and black, to the point where anything white was considered superior. In Cuba, Carmichael explained: "Black children in North America grow up aspiring only to enter white society—not only because white society eats better, is housed and clothed better ... but also because they have been bombarded by the white-controlled communications media and educated by black teachers with white minds ... that white is better." Hence, Carmichael saw the press as a guilty factor in the "whitening" of the black community and the destruction of black culture. The media created an inferiority complex in the black youth, according to Carmichael, by making them want to trade their culture for that of the
In Detroit, Carmichael recited a specific grievance that he personally had experienced with the press: "Now these guys--those guys over there. They're called the press. I got up one morning and read a story. They were talking about a cat named Stokely Carmichael. I say he must be a ba-a-a-a-d nigger. For he's raising a whole lot of sand. I had to get up and look in the mirror and make sure it was me." Carmichael felt that the press had distorted his own image to the point that he was unrecognizable even to himself. Carmichael perhaps implied that the reason the press distorted his image was that his style was not in keeping with the idea that the white press had of Negro leaders.

Was Carmichael's complaint legitimate?--Did the press, in fact, distort the concept of Black Power? Did the press distort the image of Stokely Carmichael? There is evidence to support Carmichael's contentions. George Knowter of radio station WGWC in Selma, Alabama, who, although he disagreed with the philosophy of SNCC, admitted, "If I hadn't seen some of the events myself, I wouldn't even recognize the copy as it comes through here. You know, there are ways to report the facts and still distort the news." Paul Good stipulated that the Philadelphia Bulletin of August 13, 1966 carried a lead story with the headline: "80 ARMED POLICE RAID FOUR SNCC OFFICES HERE, SEIZE DYNAMITE, ARREST 4." The story quoted Philadelphia
Police Commissioner Frank Rizzo-as-saying that Snick offices were "becoming store-houses of arms, ammunition and dynamite . . . powerful enough to remove Independence Hall." Good continued:

Virtually everything in the headlines, the story and Rizzo's quotations were untrue or misleading. One of the four places raided was a SNCC office. One of the persons arrested belonged to SNCC. Two and a half sticks of dynamite were allegedly found in a private apartment not connected with SNCC. No other arms were found.\(^46\)

A newsman of Nashville, Tennessee said, "I've heard his \([\text{Carmichael's}]\) speeches in their entirety. They're different from what you hear on the news."\(^47\) Wayne Brockriede and Robert Scott wrote, in *The Rhetoric of Black Power*, that when "Lyndon Johnson, Hubert Humphrey and Roy Wilkins repudiated Black Power, they got front-page coverage, . . . [while] reports of support for the concept were buried in the back pages."\(^48\) Paul Good reported that "While the controversy over Black Power raged on front pages, the social, economic and political proofs of white power were scattered over the inside pages."\(^49\) Robert Lewis Shayon wrote in the *Saturday Review* that Carmichael was given the "monster treatment" on major network newscasts and that readings in the press reinforced such an image by describing Carmichael as "'radical,' 'hard-core,' 'racist,' 'black nationalist.' . . . a wild sort of Mau Mau man."\(^50\) And, *Time* magazine dubbed Carmichael a "fiery Negro demagogue."\(^51\)

When Carmichael spoke at Vanderbilt, he received a special treatment from the media. Prior to Carmichael's
arrival in Nashville, the front-page editorial of the Nashville Banner proclaimed: "Hate Speeling Carmichael Unwelcome in This City." After Carmichael's speech, most of the audience was impressed and found him to be decidedly different from what they had expected. University of Alabama President, Frank A. Rose, who followed Carmichael on the platform, omitted the part of his prepared speech which attacked Carmichael for his "passionate intensity," because the speech was not as he expected it to be. Hours after Carmichael's speech, a riot broke out in the black section of Nashville. National television featured live coverage and emphasized that Carmichael had spoken in the city that day, and "flashed the story from coast to coast." The probable result was that the American public concluded that Carmichael had been responsible for inciting a riot. Thus, evidence exists to suggest that Carmichael's contentions were valid—that the press did, in fact, distort the reportings of events surrounding the black community, the Black Movement, and Stokely Carmichael.

Carmichael's Solutions to the Black Problem

In the six speeches examined, the one basic solution that Carmichael offered to remedy all black grievances was "Black Power," for power would enable the black man to set his own goals, to work out his own problems, and to define himself and his relationship to society. If the black man had power, Carmichael believed that he could overcome his
inferiority complex and develop self-confidence enough to be able to make valuable contributions to society as a whole. At Morgan State, Carmichael related that "the first need of a free people is to be able to define their own terms and have those terms recognized by their oppressors. . . . We must define what we are and move from our definitions and tell them to recognize what we say we are." Thus, Carmichael felt that blacks were going to have to stop accepting the white man's definition of what was best for black lives. It was up to the black man to determine what was to his best advantage and to act accordingly to make his goals a reality. Carmichael, who was influenced greatly by Albert Camus' *The Rebel*, related Camus' idea that "when a slave stops accepting definitions imposed upon him by his master . . . he begins to move and create a life." Thus, for a black man to be truly free, Carmichael felt he must define his own terms and defend them even if his master, white American society, disagreed with those terms. And freedom would come when blacks were able to be themselves in a society tolerant enough to allow blacks the liberty to develop their culture in their own way. Thus, blacks would be accepted as equals in society, not because they imitated white values, but because their culture, although it was different, would be considered worthwhile by the total society.

Carmichael felt that true liberation would come for
the black masses when they had organized enough political and economic power to be able to control their own communities. In many of his speeches, Carmichael stressed that blacks must organize black power in their communities to end abuses and economic exploitation and develop a structure capable of expressing the needs of the black community. Carmichael revealed in his speech at Vanderbilt:

"It is because we are powerless that we are oppressed, and it is only with power that we can make the decisions governing our lives and our communities. . . . Without power we have to beg for what is rightfully ours." 57 Carmichael referred to Black Power as the collective power of the black masses—not to individual power. Only through a collective strength could the black man force a change in the conditions which threatened to engulf him.

Carmichael proposed a revolutionary solution when he stated his belief in London that blacks needed to gain control over the basic institutions in American society, to destroy them, and rebuild new ones. Carmichael felt that a capitalistic society could not possibly "create structures free from exploitation." 58 Carmichael, however, never stipulated exactly how the new institutions would be built, what they would consist of, nor how they would function. He merely voiced, in very general terms, that new institutions would be built. Additionally, Carmichael revealed that the struggle was "to overthrow this system which feeds itself and expands itself through the economic and cultural
exploitation of non-white, non-Western peoples—the Third World. This solution was obviously revolutionary for it stated a goal often voiced by other revolutionaries. Carmichael believed that a change in the power base of the world would end the exploitation of peoples of the Third World by foreign plunderers who sought to impose their culture and values on a conquered civilization. Again, however, Carmichael never stated how these goals were to be accomplished; he simply asserted that his proposals would end the misery of Third World peoples. Since Carmichael's solutions required a revolutionary change in the socio-economic philosophy of the United States, his proposals were not workable within the American society of the mid-sixties.

Another solution to the black man's problem, as Carmichael viewed it, lay in leaders who would be responsible to the needs of the black community. At Vanderbilt, Carmichael expressed that blacks needed a "leadership which is truly 'responsible'—not to the white press and power structure, but to the community." Carmichael felt that concerned leaders would recognize that blacks must have power, and that this power was to be gained by the "unified and collective strength of . . . a community." With such community strength, the white leadership would be forced to engage in meaningful dialogue with the representatives of a black community "in terms of real power." In Cuba,
Carmichael related that blacks did not want leaders who merely took over the positions now held by the white elite, because blacks "do not seek to create communities where, in place of white rulers, black rulers control the lives of the black masses and where black money goes into a few black pockets." Carmichael felt that money from the black community must go into the "communal pocket" and that the society black people sought to build was not an "oppressive capitalistic society." Thus, Carmichael believed that blacks needed to organize themselves into a collective power and to elect representatives that would be truly responsible to the needs of the black community. Black leaders would not merely take over the functions of white leaders who had stripped black communities of their resources. But Carmichael felt that, because capitalism was necessarily exploitative, new institutions must be built in which black leaders could function. Thus, the economic resources of black neighborhoods would be controlled by the black community as a collective unit, and black leaders could then effect a meaningful dialogue, from a position of power, with the white establishment.

The key to solving the black problem, according to Carmichael, rested in power—the collective Black Power of the Negro community which would enable the black man to define himself in his own terms, elect truly representative leaders, and create institutions capable of meeting the needs of the people.
Conclusions

Stokely Carmichael appeared before the American public and the black masses in the mid-1960's to express his perception of black grievances and to offer his interpretation of viable solutions to the black problem through Black Power. Carmichael developed themes surrounding the four types of grievances common to agitators: Cultural, Economic, Political, and Media Distortion Grievances.

Carmichael's solutions focused on the elimination of cultural and economic grievances and the political disfranchisement of the black masses through the development of black power. Carmichael envisioned Black Power as the collective strength of the black masses which would enable them to form a political force and elect leaders to represent the legitimate needs of the black community. Carmichael saw in Black Power an economic and physical bloc that would force black leaders to speak to the problems of the black community, instead of appeasing the white establishment with an ineffective dialogue which did not express black sentiments. He believed that integration should not be a goal for the black masses because integration spoke only to the needs of a few blacks who would assimilate into the white community. Additionally, integration was an attempt to destroy the cultural integrity of the black community by forcing blacks to imitate white values in order to be accepted by the white community. With effective power,
the black masses would be able to maintain their cultural identity and enhance their self-esteem by solving their own problems. Carmichael saw the black man oppressed primarily because of his skin color. Because he was black, the Negro was powerless, and because of this political impotence, the black man did not possess the tools necessary to force a change in his economic condition. Since blacks were oppressed as a group because they were black and powerless, Carmichael felt that by developing a sense of racial pride and a collective unit of power in the black community, blacks could answer their needs for a cultural identity and economic dependence through political power.

Carmichael believed that the black man must be able to define himself and his relationship to society. If blacks allowed white society to define the black man and his goals, then blacks could never move to create a new life suited to the temperament of the black masses. The black culture, Carmichael contended, must be accepted as worthwhile by the total society, even though its values might differ from that expounded by the white community. Carmichael stressed that if blacks controlled the economic and political power in their communities, they would possess the capability of determining their own destiny and of making valuable contributions to the total society. If blacks were not allowed to control the resources and political machinery of their own communities, Carmichael suggested that the inner cities would erupt in violence.
Carmichael was a skilled master at audience adaptation in terms of national characteristics. When speaking to an American audience, Carmichael proposed solutions which appeared to be capable of terminating black grievances within the framework of American society. These solutions appealed mainly for a power base in the black community and for the election of black leaders who would truly represent the needs of the black masses. Although these solutions were not necessarily immediately capable of being assimilated within the American society of the mid-sixties, they proposed no revolutionary changes in the power structure. The change Carmichael mainly desired was for the American public to develop a new perspective in relationship to the black culture and its role in society. When speaking in London and Cuba, however, Carmichael proposed revolutionary changes in society and called for the destruction of capitalistic institutions because capitalism was, by nature, exploitative, and, hence, black grievances could never be redressed within such a system. Carmichael's solutions in London and Cuba called for the redistribution of power, wealth, and land in the United States—solutions that were in complete contradiction to the established values of the American system. These goals were typical of revolutionary agitations because they advocated changes which could only be brought about by a revolution, and perhaps a violent one, in the United States.
In developing his exposition of black grievances and solutions, Carmichael utilized quite extensively the characteristics of agitational rhetoric in voicing grievances and in proposing solutions, some of which required long-term agitation, others revolutionary changes in the socio-economic fabric of American society. He tended to propose goals typical of spokesmen involved in agitative movements. Thus, Carmichael's proposals of grievances and solutions definitely fit the criteria for agitational rhetoric.

In Chapter IV, Carmichael's speeches have been examined to determine if Carmichael employed the stylistic devices common to agitators.
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CHAPTER IV

STOKELY'S AGITATIVE STYLE

Introduction

Speakers involved in the rhetoric of agitation have frequently utilized a distinctive style. Because they are seeking to motivate their auditors away from the status quo and to heighten the awareness of their audiences concerning the oppressed plight of a particular group of people, agitative spokesmen have found that they usually succeed when using language that is extreme—extreme because of the unexpected word usage. Language becomes extreme when it is used as a weapon to jolt an audience out of an apathetic state and when concrete diction filled with unpleasant connotations, abrasive words, and derogatory metaphors are used in place of more euphemistic descriptions. The agitator may also seek to increase the tension of his listeners by using emotive language which carries vivid and sensory accounts of an injustice and by employing short, simple, jabbing sentences to emphasize a point. Ultimate terms are likely to be utilized by an agitative spokesman: devil terms are used to vilify the enemy perceived as responsible for the plight of the downtrodden, and god terms are used to project the saintliness of the agitator, his supporters, and their cause. Additionally,
an agitator may use the language of **Objectification** to direct the grievances and frustrations of his auditors toward an ill-defined out-group, such as a political party, a nation, or a system of government which is depicted as responsible for the plight of the oppressed. Language of **Justification** is used by an agitative spokesman to rationalize the means by which he proposes to reach his established goals.

An agitative spokesman may further exemplify the Paranoid Style through which he presents his opposition as constructing a vast, yet subtle, conspiracy against himself and his followers in an attempt to defame and reprove their cause. History itself may be viewed as an insidious plot. The agitator vilifies his enemy, represents him as sinister, and points out his lack of any meritorious qualities. On the other extreme, the agitator may envisage himself and those he professes to represent as virtuous in all respects, and, although they are unmercifully persecuted by an enemy, they will ultimately triumph because of the probity of their cause. Hence, the agitator may visualize the struggle as between absolute good and absolute evil. In seeking to awaken his auditors to the need for overt action to ameliorate unjust conditions, an agitator warns that time is running short and that immediate action is required. The agitator uses terms to conceptualize that only absolute victory, without compromise, should be the goal of an oppressed people seeking to improve their condition.
Finally, an agitator, if he is to be truly effective, must be charismatic in the eyes of his followers. The speaker may enhance his charisma by choosing language which associates him directly with the common man. Or, he may at times use an eloquent style in an attempt to impress his followers with his ability to speak to different segments of a society.

Carmichael's Use of Extreme Language

Stokely Carmichael traveled across the United States, to London, and to Cuba, to articulate the black man's grievances. Wherever he went he was castigated for using a caustic and inflammatory rhetoric, and, in some cases, was accused of provoking violence and inciting to riot. An analysis of the stylistic devices used by Carmichael in his speeches may help to clarify why he was categorized as a vehement and militant rhetorician.

Carmichael frequently used concrete diction filled with unpleasant connotations to expound his ideas. In London, Carmichael revealed the West's definition of civilization as "exploitation for luxury." He referred to the British government's enjoyment of "Parliament House nonsense about constitutionality, [while] she was suppressing all of Africa." Carmichael used concrete diction and an unpleasant metaphor to reveal that as a young student in Trinidad, he was forced to learn "all
"while she [England] was raping us left and right."

Using concrete diction, Carmichael additionally related that he was required to read about the "beauty of London, and how peacefully everybody lived, and how nice life was—at my expense." Carmichael further maintained, "And I used to say, 'I sure would like to get to London and burn it to the ground.' But that's violence."

In this instance, Carmichael used verbal irony to contrast the ideas of violence as he perceived the white West viewed it, to his definition of violence as epitomized by that which the British perpetrated on his native Trinidad.

Carmichael used explicit language in his London speech to make some unpleasant innuendos concerning British author and poet Rudyard Kipling, who implied, in "The White Man's Burden," that Western society had a responsibility to the black man because the black was inferior and incapable of independence. Carmichael maintained that "It was very white of him [Kipling]" to express such concern for the black man. Carmichael developed the theme further in his speech at Morgan State and employed humor and verbal irony to imply that when the West moved into Africa, the white missionary attempted to project white sexual hang-ups onto black people: "[white society] got all these nice, white people of good will who wanted to do well. They got in their little black robes and they went to Africa and they saw these little black savages, man, running around
with no shirts on, 'Why, you dirty man, cover up yourself. You are getting me excited.'

In his London speech, Carmichael again coupled verbal irony with humor in the recitation of his version of the West's discovery of new lands, 'Who discovered America?' 'Christopher Columbus.' 'Who discovered China?' 'Marco Polo.' I used to be told in the West Indies that I was not discovered until Sir Walter Raleigh needed supplies for his ship, and then he came along and found me and said 'Whup! I have discovered you!' and my history began.'

In this exposition, Carmichael used dialogue to hypothesize his ideas, as he frequently did when seeking to clarify his thoughts on a particular issue. At Morgan State, Carmichael used dialogue and hip language to relate the probable conversation that occurred between black and white leaders on integration: "these black leaders say, 'We want to integrate.' The white man would say, 'You want to marry my daughter.' They would say, 'No, I don't want to marry your daughter.'

'We want to live next door . . . we don't want to live in your bedroom.' By the time this cat finished reacting to a definition by a white man, he was out the window.'

Utilizing concrete language heavy with negative connotations, Carmichael contended in his speech at Morgan State that the United States would "kill for freedom, democracy, and peace." He employed verbal irony and wry humor to lash out caustically at the Vietnamese war,
Those little Chinese, Vietnamese yellow people ain't got sense enough to know they want their democracy; we are going to fight for them, give it to them because Santa Claus is still alive. At Berkeley, Carmichael maliciously attacked President Lyndon Johnson's statements concerning the war as "lies" and proclaimed that even if America were sincere about "fighting to give democracy to the people in Vietnam, as a black man living in this country, I wouldn't fight to give this to anybody." And, in an unexpected choice of words, Carmichael questioned why reporters, who referred to the Lowndes County Freedom Organization as the Black Panther Party, did not call the Alabama Democratic Party, whose emblem was a white rooster, "the White Cock Party?" Then, apparently seeking to deflate the white-ego, Carmichael explained that this phenomena could be attributed to "America's problem with sex and color."

Further attempting to jolt his auditors through the use of unexpected language, Carmichael, at Morgan State, utilized humor to build black racial pride and destroy the myth of white superiority. Relating the types of advice that blacks received, Carmichael mimiced, "if your lips are thick, bite them in. Hold your nose; don't drink coffee because you are black." He castigated black co-eds for attempting to imitate such vestiges of white society as the debutante ball, "Your fathers slaved for one year to save $500 so that you can walk up in some white dress for one night talking about virginity. . . . Black co-eds
should not be concerned with the imitation of the white woman because she is not the Virgin Mary, she can be made like any other woman."

Carmichael frequently used derogatory metaphors and devil terms to describe national leaders. President Lyndon Johnson was a "buffoon," "the racist President," and an "immoral man;" Secretary of Defense McNamara was a "racist;" Secretary of State Rusk, a "fool;" Vice-President Hubert Humphrey, a "political chameleon;" former President Dwight Eisenhower was a "white Joe Louis," and "not too smart;" and America's founders were "the white fathers of American racism." Additionally, McNamara's plans to draft blacks was "urban removal."

Carmichael used humor to describe the white liberal as a member of the "Pepsi generation who comes alive in the black community." Abrasive language and devil terms characterized white people as "sick," reporters as "advertisers" for white supremacy, policemen as "savage" "racist" and "gestapo troops," and a black man fighting in Vietnam or on the American police force as a "black mercenary." Carmichael referred to America as a "nation of thieves," that bordered on becoming a "nation of murderers;" a "filthy country that's racist," which was "founded on racism and lies." Using abrasive language high in sensory appeal, Carmichael described the Berkeley campus as the "white intellectual ghetto of the West," and stated
that blacks would not be trapped into the "intellectual masturbation of the question of black power." Carmichael caustically attacked integration as "a thalidomide drug," and "an insidious subterfuge for the maintenance of white supremacy." 29

Carmichael frequently used combative and jolting language to describe the United States, Western society, and the ways in which blacks would secure their freedom. To his black audience in Detroit, Carmichael delineated Western civilization as believing they were "masters of the world; they think [sic] they God. . . . [Henceforth, black people would tell white society] Baby, you ain't God, we've just let you play for a couple of hundred years. And now it's time out for play. We've got to bring them [white society] to their knees." 30 Carmichael explained to his British auditors that the system responsible for the perpetuation of capitalism and "international white supremacy" would be destroyed—the black masses would "smash that system. People who see themselves as part of that system are going to be smashed with it." 31 Using derogatory metaphors, Carmichael, in his Berkeley speech, said that black communities needed viable political institutions through which to function, not "policemen with submachine guns," not "Gestapo troops, because this is not 1942, and if you play like Nazis, we're playing back with you this time around. Give ears to that." 33 And, in Cuba, he said that black youths, who were the "real revolutionary
proletariat," were "ready to fight by any means necessary for the liberation of our people." Che Guevara was quoted, "hatred is an element of the struggle ... relentless hatred of the enemy ... that transforms us into an effective, violent, selected and cold killing machine."\(^3\)

Carmichael, likewise, used combative and jolting language to agitate his London audience when he characterized the West as a plunderer who went to "Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the United States, and raped" those countries, and while they did this, "they used beautiful terms: ... 'We're civilizing you, and we're taming the West. And if you won't be civilized, we'll kill you.'" The white West committed "genocide ... stole the land, and put the Indians on reservations, and they said that they had civilized the country."\(^3\) Furthermore, at Berkeley, he said the United States used this same justification for the war in Vietnam, "If the Vietnamese don't want democracy, well then, we'll just wipe them to hell out, because they don't deserve to live if they won't have our way of life;" and if the draft board calls say, "Hell, no, we ain't going."\(^3\) Carmichael's auditors quickly picked up on this slogan, which became a popular chant in the Anti-War Movement, and modified it to "Hell, no, we won't go."

Carmichael jolted his British listeners with the unexpected when he related that the people of Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the American Indians did not cede to the West because "they liked Jesus Christ or because they
liked white folks." "Power" made Third World peoples submissive to the West. It was now time for black men to "stop begging and take what belongs to them," and if white America would not get out of the black man's way then blacks would "move them out of the way." Carmichael combatively told white society, "Move over, or we're going to move over you."

Carmichael appealed to the emotions of his auditors by giving vivid and highly descriptive accounts of the injustice he was exposing. One example was at Morgan State where Carmichael coupled verbal irony with humor to develop an antagonism in his listeners against Western civilization:

Now you watch cowboy movies all the time. We all do. And you know there would be a fight and there would be Indians and they would be coming from the hills and Chief Crazy Horse would have a million Indians and they would be yelling, "wha, wha, wha," and they would be killing the good white women. And at last here comes the cavalry. They would come riding in and they would get out their guns and shoot up everybody--men, forward march, forward shoot. "Look out, that one on the right." "Boom, we have got him," he's dead. They would come back and they would say, "We had a victory today. We killed the Indians." Now the next time, the Indians would beat the hell out of the white man and they would say, "Those dirty Indians--they massacred us." ... In a victory, you shoot people and you kill them that way, but in a massacre you kill them with a knife and everybody knows that's foul.

Carmichael's point here was that the West had always been able to define terms--to decide what was fair play and what was foul. Hence, the West exonerated itself of evil actions, while, at the same time, projecting guilt onto other cultures for acts which produced no greater malevolent effects.
Using emotive language as well as the antithesis form, Carmichael, in Cuba, described the status of black education in American schools:

Black children are not taught of the glory of African civilization in the history of mankind; they are instead taught about Africa, the dark continent inhabited by man-eating savages. They are not taught of the thousands of black martyrs who died resisting the white slave masters. They are not taught of the numerous uprisings and revolts where hundreds of brave Africans refused to submit to slavery. Instead, their history books read of "happy slaves singing in their fields . . . content with their new lives."41

One of Carmichael's favorite devices was the rhetorical question. He coupled this with emotive language at Morgan State when he stressed the obligations that black college students had to the ghettos: "What is your responsibility to your fellow black brothers? Why are you here? So that you can become a social worker so that you can kick down a door in the middle of the night to look for a pair of shoes? So that you can keep the kid in the ghetto school, so that you can ride up in a big Bonneville with AKA sign stuck on the back?" Carmichael blamed black students for the rebellions in the black community and emphasized that it was their duty to "be back in the ghetto helping out black people who are looking, who are acting, begging, and thinking a way to solve their problems."42 Furthermore, making use of the emotional appeal of the rhetorical question, Carmichael intoned, "Do you not know that your black mothers scrubbed floors so you can get here—and the minute you get out, you turn you backs on them? What is your responsibility, black students? What is it?"43
Carmichael used language high in sensory appeal in his Berkeley speech to describe how "thousands of African-Americans were jailed, intimidated, beaten, and some murdered, for agitating for those rights guaranteed by the Constitution, but only available to whites." Carmichael related further that civil rights bills were passed for whites, not for blacks, because the black man knew he could vote, it was just that when he tried he was "shot, killed or jailed, beaten or economically deprived. So somebody had to write a bill to tell white people, 'When a black man comes to vote, don't bother him.'"\textsuperscript{44}

Carmichael used emotive language and parallelism to describe the reasons why his generation was not "involved in mankind." Carmichael explained at Morgan State, "When we began to crawl, they sent six million people to an oven and we blinked our eyes. And when we walked, they sent our uncles to Korea. And we grew up in a cold war to continue their head-wrecking period so that we are immune to humanity."\textsuperscript{45}

Carmichael frequently used devil terms in describing America, the white West, and their activities. To his Cuban auditors, Carmichael characterized America as "uncivilized," and guilty of "white imperialism and colonial exploitation."\textsuperscript{46}

In his Berkeley speech, he proclaimed that the "American pie" consisted of the "raping of South Africa, beating Vietnam, beating South America, raping the Philippines, raping every country" where the United States had been.\textsuperscript{47}
At Vanderbilt, Carmichael described the Southern Democratic Party as "overtly racist," and the Northern faction as controlled by "the equally corrupt big city machines;" the American South was controlled by "racist state parties," and the War on Poverty was run by "local Democratic ward heelers" and "outspoken racists."\(^48\) Carmichael's London auditors were told that "capitalism," "racism," and "exploitation,"\(^49\) were synonymous; and, in Cuba he revealed that the worst crimes in the history of the world were committed by the white West and "consisted of the pathological tearing apart of [man's] functions and the crumbling away of his unity."\(^50\) At Berkeley, the white West was termed "un-civ-i-lized" because wherever it went, it was guilty of "stealing, plundering, and raping everybody in its path."\(^51\) And, in Cuba, western civilization was described as a "system which feeds itself and expands itself through the economic and cultural exploitation of non-white, non-Western peoples—the THIRD WORLD."\(^52\)

God terms were used by Carmichael to describe the black people and the Black Power Movement. "Black" became a god term to Carmichael's supporters because it signified a new approach to beauty. Broad noses, thick lips, nappy hair were no longer ugly: "your nose is boss; your lips are thick, you are black, and you are beautiful."\(^53\) That this idea was readily acceptable to the black masses was evidenced by the popularity of such slogans as "Say it Loud,
Black and Proud," and "Black is Beautiful." "Black Power," likewise, became a god term to the black masses because it promised the fulfillment of a dream by attacking racism and exploitation and by providing a vision of full equality for the black masses who would share in the shaping of their own destinies.

Carmichael's Use of Simple Sentence Structures

When he spoke before a black audience, Carmichael frequently used short and simple sentences, full of repetition, to emphasize his ideas. Concerning the new black rhetoric, Carmichael stated in Detroit, "This is 1966. It's time out for beautiful words. It's time out for singing 'We Shall Overcome.' It's time to get some Black Power. It's time to get some Black Power." On integration, "Now they take our kids out of our community, and they pick the best. You got to be the best to get next to them; they pick the best." Carmichael felt that black lawyers should move back into the ghettos to help with the problems of the black community, and he again used repetition and simple sentences to emphasize this point:

Yes, sir, we've got to get our black lawyers. We've got to bring them in here. We got to tell them that we understand they moving [sic] to give us some protection after we got beat up by some white power . . . And we've got to say to those lawyers, we want you to be aggressive. We want you to get back the districts that they gerrymandered and took away from us. Get them back now. We want you to be aggressive.54

When speaking to a white audience, however, Carmichael often used complex sentences and contrasted them with short,
jabbing ones. At Berkeley, for example, Carmichael varied the length of his sentences and used the rhetorical question to emphasize the economic insecurity of the black masses:

We are the hardest workers and the lowest paid. It is nonsensical for people to talk about human relationships until they are willing to build new institutions. Black people are economically insecure. White liberals are economically secure. Can you begin to build an economic coalition? Are the liberals willing to share their salaries with the economically insecure black people they so much love? Then if you're not, are you willing to provide economic security for black people? That's the question we want to deal with.

In the other speeches examined, Carmichael, likewise, used short, jabbing sentences coupled with complex ones to relate his ideas. However, when emphasizing a black grievance, when asking rhetorical questions, and when apparently attempting to excite his listeners emotionally, Carmichael, more often used simple sentences. Long, complex sentences were used by Carmichael, however, to justify or explain a solution. In Carmichael's later speeches, his sentence structure became, in many cases, shorter and simpler perhaps because he felt this produced a more desirable effect on his listeners. However, in the speeches which were delivered to a white audience, Carmichael frequently used long, complex, and complicated sentence structures.

Language of Objectification

Using the language of Objectification, Carmichael sought to direct the frustrations of his auditors against white society in the United States and against the West.
for the roles they had played in the oppression of non-white people. Seeking to direct the animosities of his audience against white American society, Carmichael in his Detroit speech related that the United States was a "racist country" which lived on the "sweat and blood of . . . black skins." In Cuba, America was deemed racist because from its inception it was "built upon the subjugation of coloured people." In his London address, Carmichael declared that it was the duty of the Black Movement in America to expose "the extent of racism and exploitation that permeates all institutions in the country." Lest anyone be fooled, America knew what black power was because, as Carmichael pointed out at Berkeley, she had "deprived black people of it for over four hundred years." 

Carmichael at Morgan State emphasized that the black problem centered on property rights versus human rights -- "a problem the country is not capable of facing up to." Carmichael further related to his Morgan auditors, "If we get robbed, you can call the policemen till you turn white. He ain't coming . . . But just hit a grocery store . . . and see how quick they come in." Carmichael sought to direct his audience's aggressions against the government, which was controlled and maintained by propertied people at the expense of the poor class. Carmichael related that the United States Constitution was based on property rights, "People who didn't own property could not vote when this
responsible only to propertied people, the African-American, who was without property, should not, Carmichael intimated, support a system which was operating against black interests.

Further seeking to direct the already hostile feelings of his auditors against the United States, Carmichael related at Berkeley that blacks must "not only condemn the country for what it has done internally, but . . . for what it does externally. We see this country trying to rule the world." Furthermore, black people would have to decide whether or not they wanted to be a part of a country that continued to be "the wealthiest country in the world at the price of raping everybody else."62 And, in his Cuban address, Carmichael revealed that it was the responsibility of African-Americans "to oppose, and if not to oppose, certainly to neutralize white America's efforts" at world domination.63

When speaking in London and Cuba, Carmichael used Objectification to direct his attack against white Western civilization of which the United States was a part. In Cuba, Carmichael specifically named the antagonists responsible for the blight of the Third World: "Our enemy is white Western imperialist society . . . which feeds itself and expands itself through the economic and cultural exploitation of non-white, non-Western peoples."64 In London, Western society was revealed to have "plundered the cultures and enslaved the minds of the people of
colour until their resistance is paralized by self-hate."

Also, in Cuba, Carmichael stated that Western society had been almost successful in their bid to keep the Third World peoples diffused and fragmented, and in detaining those oppressed "from realizing our common plight." Carmichael revealed that if people of the Third World would heed the call of Che Guevara for "a continental struggle against a common enemy" the result would produce a unification of non-whites who could then "resist Western imperialism."  

In addressing an American audience Carmichael used the language of Objectification to unite his audience against the white racist American society, whereas, to his London and Cuba auditors Carmichael mainly attacked Western imperialism. The groups Carmichael castigated were im-perspicious in the sense that they were not a definite body which his auditors could directly assail. Thus, using the language of Objectification, Carmichael sought to direct the frustrations of his auditors on to an ill-defined body --Western civilization. Therefore, Carmichael did use the language of Objectification in his speeches, and in the manner that Arthur L. Smith, who developed the criteria for Objectification, proscribed that an agitator would.

Language of Justification

Carmichael used the language of Justification to vindicate black violence in the nation's cities, and to rationalize both the rhetorical choices and the goals
pursued by the Black Movement. Concerning the use of militant language by the Black Power Movement, Carmichael in his London speech established that "Black people in the United States have no time to play nice polite games... the lives of our children are at stake." Carmichael's position was that "It was far better to speak forcefully and truthfully. Only when one's true self is exposed can society proceed to deal with the problem from a position of clarity, and not from one of misunderstanding." Carmichael believed that blacks could no longer accept the meaningless language of the Civil Rights Movement because the rhetoric was not "relevant or useful." Carmichael quoted Frederick Douglass: "Power concedes nothing without demands—it never did and it never will." To his Cuba auditors, Carmichael delineated that "Black Power" was the "cry of the rebellions." And Black Power was necessary because "it is only with power that we can make the decisions governing our lives and our communities. Without power we have to beg for what is rightfully ours. With power we will take our birthright because it was with power that our birthright was taken from us." And, at Morgan State he revealed that the "power to define is the most important power that we have. It is he who is master who can define." Carmichael reiterated this position further, "If we allow white people to define us by calling us Negroes, which means apathetic, lazy, stupid, ... then we accept those definitions." Carmichael implied that
if blacks defined themselves, they might escape the pattern of the self-fulfilling prophecy inherent in the stereotype of the term "Negro." In a further use of Justification concerning the need for blacks to define their own terms, Carmichael, in several speeches, quoted Lewis Carroll's Alice in Wonderland:

"When I use a word," Humpty Dumpty said, in a rather scornful tone, "it means just what I choose it to mean. Neither more nor less."

"The question is," said Alice, "whether you can make the words mean so many different things."

"The question is," said Humpty Dumpty, "who is to be master. That is all." 73

Carmichael's implications here may have been two-pronged. In addition to justifying the black need for self-definition, Carmichael may also have implied that if blacks were accepted on their own terms, they might become the masters of American society.

Carmichael used the language of Justification to answer the criticism that the Black Power Program propagated black nationalism by disengaging itself from white support. At Vanderbilt, Carmichael contended that if the Black Power Program was developed it would have the opposite effect from isolating the black community: "when the Negro community is able to control local offices, and negotiate with other groups from a position of organized strength, the possibility of meaningful political alliances on specific issues will be increased. That is a rule of politics and there is no reason why it should not operate here." 74

Carmichael, in his Berkeley speech, justified, additionally,
why white people were excluded from participation in the black community. The reason for white exclusion was on "psychological grounds . . . [because] all black people question whether or not they are equal to whites, since everytime they start to do something, white people are around showing them how to do it. If we are going to eliminate that for the generation that comes after us, then black people must be in positions of power, doing and articulating for themselves."75

Additionally, Carmichael used **Justification** to exonerate black violence in the ghettos. In his Vanderbilt speech, Carmichael stated that violence occurred in black communities because blacks did not have "the power to control their lives and their communities— . . . [nor] effective political institutions through which to relate to the total society."76 And, to his London auditors Carmichael related that in suppressive societies there existed an "executioner/victim relationship . . . and the executioner uses force to keep his victim down. But the victim gets tired of that, and what happens is that when the victim moves either to a position of equality or to try to conquer the executioner, he uses the force and the means and the methods that his oppressor used to keep him down." Carmichael quoted Sartre for support:

What then did you expect when you unbound the gag that had muted those black mouths? That they would chant your praises? Did you think that when those heads that our fathers had forcefully bowed down to the ground were raised again, you would find adoration in their eyes??
Furthermore, in his London address, Carmichael used *Justification* and derogatory metaphors to relate that most violence on the part of blacks was in self-defense. Carmichael rephrased the unflattering Nazi-Jew metaphor, "I'm afraid that blacks in America cannot afford to march to the gallows the way the Jews did. If white America decides to play Nazi, we're going to let them know the black people are not Jews, we're going to fight back to the death.

Carmichael quoted a poem, written by black Jamaican Claude McKay, which epitomized the black stance on violence for self-defense:

> If we must die, let it not be like hogs
> Hunted and penned in an inglorious spot,
> While round us bark the mad and hungry dogs,
> Making their mock at our accursed lot.
> If we must die, O let us nobly die,
> So that our precious blood may not be shed
> In vain; then even the monsters we defy
> Shall be constrained to honor us though dead!
> O kinsmen! We must meet the common foe!
> Though far outnumbered let us show us brave,
> And for their thousand blows deal one deathblow!
> What though before us lies the open grave?
> Like men we'll face the murderous, cowardly pack,
> Pressed to the wall, dying, but fighting back!

The main theme of Carmichael's language of *Justification* concerned a rationalization of black violence, black rhetoric, and the goals of the Black Protest Movement. When speaking to black audiences, Carmichael used very little, if any, *Justification* perhaps because he felt that as he was expressing the sentiments of oppressed blacks, there was no need to justify or exculpate the black man for his reaction to American society.
The Paranoid Style

Carmichael exemplified the Paranoid Style in his speeches by attributing the black misery to Western society and white America who were characterized as deliberately conspiring to enslave all non-white cultures. In London, Carmichael expressed that Western civilization presumed "a basic assumption of superiority" which allowed the West to justify its crimes "with lies about civilizing the heathens, the savages from Africa, whom they portrayed as being 'better off' in the Americas than ... in their homeland." Thus, Carmichael believed that by rationalizing its aggression, the West provided a base for the continuation of racism and for the prolongation of the myth of white Western sublimity.

Vilifying the United States, Carmichael further declared in London that the country was founded on the premise of white eminence because the "glorious Constitution's guarantees of 'Life, liberty, the pursuit of happiness,' and all that other garbage, were guarantees for white men only." Because the Constitution had delineated the black as three-fifths of a man, credibility was lent to Carmichael's contention that the conceptualization of black inferiority was an ineradicable part of the American system of government. Carmichael appeared to feel that as the Federal Government had embraced the philosophy of the racial inadequacy of the Negro, it was only logical that this attitude should be transferred to the American populace.
Implying that history was a perfidious scheme against the black man, Carmichael related in his Cuba speech, "Our historical analysis . . . views the United States as being conceived in racism." The theme was further developed when Carmichael explained that the European settlers in America rebelled to voice their exacerbation against English colonialism for "taxation without representation," but the white European settlers could not extend their lofty theories of democracy to the Indians, whom they systematically exterminated as they expanded into the interior of the country." Thus, Carmichael compared the plight of the American Indian to the black condition, and, additionally, he pointed out the destruction of non-white cultures by the conquering Europeans who were themselves fighting for liberation. Carmichael implied that this hypocritical stance on the part of Europeans was imbred in the American culture because the oppressed white American settlers did not have the capability of realizing that they were guilty of propagating the very injustice they were fighting against; or, more simply, American settlers were the victims of unconscious racism.

Further developing the thesis of a historical conspiracy against the black race, Carmichael revealed at Vanderbilt that "The history of every institution in this [American] society indicated that a major concern in the ordering and structuring of the society has been the maintaining of the
Negro community in its condition of dependence and oppression."^84 Carmichael felt this oppression was not so much related to individual white action against individual blacks, but rather "as total acts by the white community against the Negro community."^85 Hence, institutionalized racism, not individualized racism, was the major force behind the continuation of racial injustice in American society. Carmichael additionally related that "racist assumption of white superiority have been so deeply ingrained in the structure of society that they infuse its entire functioning, and they are so much a part of the national subconscious that they are taken for granted and are frequently not even recognized."^86 As American society was founded on the postulate of black racial subordination, and, as the institutions of the culture functioned daily on the premise of black inferiority, Carmichael felt the American psyche was therefore conditioned by society's racist propaganda to the point of being victims of unconscious racism.

Carmichael frequently vilified America and the West for propagating racism and for the establishment of institutions which were against the black race. Carmichael expressed in London that the Western and American cultures resisted remedying the black plight because they enjoyed "luxury from institutionalized racism,"^87 and should America and the West decide to terminate racism, they would destroy themselves. Carmichael intimated that as the entire
Western culture was founded on the subjugation and enslavement of non-whites. Western social and political institutions had evolved around the philosophy of black inadequacy and should racism be ended forthwith the basic institutions of Western society would be destroyed, and, hence, Western governments would fall.

Using the Paranoid Style further at Vanderbilt, Carmichael related his belief that blacks could never trust the white man to remedy the social ills plaguing the black community:

The political and social rights of Negroes have been and always will be negotable and expendable the moment they conflict with the interests of our 'allies.' If we do not learn from history, we are doomed to repeat it, and that is precisely the lesson of Reconstruction. Black people were allowed to register, vote, and participate in politics because it was to the advantage of powerful white allies to promote this. But this was the result of white decision, and it was ended by other white men's decisions before any political base powerful enough to challenge that decision could be established in the southern Negro community.

The white man's interest would always be served first and if that white interest could best be realized by granting the black man a few crumbs of social justice, then Carmichael believed that justice would be granted; however, when reform was no longer expedient to white society, the Negro would find himself in his previous condition of servitude. Furthermore, Carmichael, in his Vanderbilt speech, reasoned that the reluctance to offer viable solutions to the ghetto problem stemmed from the fact that "the ghetto is itself
a product of a combination of forces and special interests in the white community." Carmichael charged that those who had "access to the resources and power to change that situation benefit, politically and economically, from the existence of that ghetto." Thus, white America would not remedy the plight of the black masses because white society benefited from such conditions. Viewing the condition of the Negro community as a conspiracy against blacks, Carmichael revealed his belief that the problems of the ghetto were due to subconscious rather than overt actions on the part of early planners.

I do not suppose that at any point the men who control the power and resources of this country ever sat down and designed these black enclaves and formally articulated the terms of their colonial and dependent status, as was done, for example, by the apartheid government of South Africa. Yet, one cannot distinguish between one ghetto and another. As one moves from city to city it is as though some malignant racist planning unit had done precisely this—designed each one from the same master blueprint... if these ghettos were the result of design and conspiracy, one could understand their similarity as being artificial and consciously imposed, rather than the result of identical patterns of white racism which repeat themselves in cities as distant as Boston and Birmingham.

Hence, Carmichael saw a historical conspiracy which, although subconscious, was nonetheless responsible for the deprivation of the inner city ghettos. In his Cuba speech, Carmichael took a position which appeared in contradiction to his earlier contentions, and, drawing an analogy between the system of apartheid and American society, he related that the American ghetto had been produced by deliberate forces.
"The capitalist system gave birth to these black enclaves and formally articulated the terms of their colonial and dependent status, as was done, for example, by the apartheid government of Azania (South Africa)."91

In London, Carmichael used the Paranoid Style to vilify America, and, at the same time, to praise the black man for his efforts at peaceful coexistence with white society:

For the past four hundred years the African-American has tried to coexist peacefully inside the United States. It has been to no avail. We have never lynched a white man, we have never burned their churches, we have never bombed their houses, we have never beaten them in the streets. I wish we could say the same for white people around the world. Our history demonstrates that the reward for trying to coexist in peace has been the physical and psychological murder of our peoples.92

Thus, the black man was portrayed as repeatedly attempting, against insurmountable odds, to live at peace with his white neighbors. The black man's effort to live peacefully with whites, however, was thwarted by the forces in American society which persistently vexed black essays at peace.

Carmichael in his Vanderbilt address also vilified the Negro "leader" and the white system that helped to elect him: 

Just as often the effective political organization in Negro communities is absorbed by tokenism and patronage—the time-honored practice of "giving" certain offices to selected Negroes. The machine thus creates a "little machine" which is subordinate and responsible to it in the Negro community. These Negro political "leaders" are really vote deliverers, more responsible to the white machine and the white power structure than to the community they allegedly represent. Thus the white community is able to substitute patronage control of audacious black power in the Negro community.93
Carmichael viewed the white power structure as choosing Negro leaders who would voice the sentiments of the black man in terms acceptable to white society. Additionally, allowing Negroes to be elected to public office was never an attempt to promote viable representation for the black masses, but Carmichael beheld it rather as a means of getting black support for white programs.

Again vilifying the United States, Carmichael in his Cuba speech accused his country of attempting to produce discord among the non-white peoples living in the States: "In the state of California, Mexican-Americans and Spanish-speaking people comprise almost 50 per cent of the population, yet the two view each other with suspicion, and sometimes outright hostility. We recognize this as the old trick of 'divide and conquer' and we are working to see that it does not succeed this time." Thus, Carmichael saw America as deliberately conspiring to promote antagonism between various minority factions in the United States to keep them from uniting, perhaps, into a strong political voice. Additionally, the United States, anticipating the growing discontentment within the ranks of American workers and realizing the implications of Karl Marx, avoided the "inevitable class struggle within the country by expanding into the Third World and exploiting the resources and slave labour of people of colour." Hence, instead of diminishing
"expanded internationally and threw the bones of their profits to the American working class." Thus, the white American workers were viewed by Carmichael as enjoying the good-life at the expense of the black man.

In a further display of vilification, Carmichael's Morgan State speech attacked America for trying to "kill the free speech of the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee." And, in Cuba, he defamed Western society by describing it as the "bourgeoisie," or the oppressors, and referred to his people, those of the Third World, as the "proletariat"—those who live only by their labor and not at the expense of others. Black Power was lauded for its fight against racism and exploitation and as a champion of justice and equality for the poor black man. Carmichael viewed the black struggle as the battle of an oppressed people seeking to overcome their plight but thwarted by an oppressive, sinister, evil, white society which showed little concern for the problems of the black masses. Additionally, black people were depicted as seeking nothing more than justice.

Although Carmichael never directly stated that immediate action should be taken to remedy the black crisis, the urgency of his message, and the context of his ideas, can only imply that he believed the black man had to begin forthwith to solve the question of racial injustice and inequality. Carmichael did express, however, an awareness
that to remedy the black torment in a meaningful way would take time. Carmichael frequently related the idea, in absolute terms, that power, and only power, could save the black masses; and, in his Cuba speech he explained, "there is only one course open to us. We must change North America so that the economy and politics of the country will be in the hands of the people." In this case, Carmichael allowed for no compromise--his solution was the only alternative for the oppressed people of North America.

Carmichael utilized the Paranoid Style to exemplify that white Western culture, including the United States, had conspired throughout history to rob blacks of their culture and equality. Carmichael vilified his enemy, Western and American society, and lauded his followers and the Black Power Movement for seeking to combat the destructive forces of white Western colonialism.

The Image of Carmichael

Carmichael possessed the rare ability to secure the unfledging loyalty of the poor blacks he claimed to represent--the magic gift of charisma. That Carmichael was charismatic has been inferred by many observers of the contemporary Black Movement.

Six-foot-two, black, slim, Stokely Carmichael, as the twenty-five-year-old Leader of SNICK, gave the distinct impression that he could "stride cool and smiling through Hell, philosophizing all the way." Tall and energetic,
theorist and activist... outspoken if not always precise,“100 Carmichael developed a rhetorical style that electrified his listeners and became "legendary among the faithful."101 Utilizing a lingo that was "cool and very hip,”102 Stokely’s words flowed melodiously, building to a crescendo, as he enraptured his audience with the spell-binding performance of a "psychedelic showman.”103 Stokely’s style was distinctively his own; it was "No Martin Luther King We Shall Overcome oratory. No preacher harangue, ... [on the contrary, Carmichael spoke] one tone above a whisper, but a very taunt, suppressed whisper.”104

Whether speaking to a white or black audience, Carmichael identified stylistically with the poor black masses. When addressing a black audience in Detroit, however, Carmichael repeatedly reminded his auditors, "I'm no Negro leader," and "I'm just a poor old black boy."105 Black people were his "brothers and sisters," and white people were an ominous "they." By the use of the pronouns "we" and "our," Carmichael further depicted himself as a member of the poor black masses. Assuring his black followers that he suffered, as they did, from the abuses of American society, Carmichael intoned, "We're black and we're poor.”106 Thus, he not only identified himself with the common black man, but also emphasized that he understood their plight for he shared it with them. Additionally, when speaking in the ghettos, Carmichael typified the language apparently common to his black listeners by the frequent use of
double negatives and subject-verb relationships which did not agree in number.

In his Detroit speech, Carmichael repeatedly announced, "I'm going to speak the truth," thereby letting his auditors know he intended to "tell-it-like-it-was," sparing no white feelings. His audience responded by periodically interrupting his speech with excited shouts, laughter, and applause.107 Usually when speaking before a black audience, Carmichael evoked enthusiastic responses of "Preach, boy, preach;" "Tell, 'em Stokely."108 And, at the phrasing of a slogan, Carmichael could send an audience, black or white, wildly chanting, "Hell no we won't go."109

To the poor blacks of Lowndes County, Alabama, Stokely was a special hero, a man whose daring challenge of white supremacy won the respect and admiration of the poor blacks. "To them, Carmichael represented a new American Negro—not a 'Tom' . . . but a proud man who out-stared the 'Miss Ann's' and 'Mr. Charlie's' . . . with unflinching directness . . . and they delighted when 'their boy, Stokely' gave the white folk 'hell.'"110 Lowndes County became a second home for Carmichael, for it was there that he first became involved in organizing blacks around the question of political power. When Carmichael returned to Lowndes County, after having been away for a time, black women and children lined the porches of their small shacks, "screaming and shouting,"111 at the arrival of their beloved native.
As Carmichael drove into Lowndes County's black districts, "On the left side and on the right side, as far as the eye could see the porches were filled with the young and old who were glad to see Stokely Carmichael home." 112

Carmichael's ability as an effective spokesman was greatly enhanced by his charisma. Carmichael possessed the rare ability to be lionized as a saint, and, simultaneously, to be one of the people. He had the common touch which kept him in tune with the poor black masses, and, yet, the cool, suave manner of a great leader. A positive image of Carmichael was heightened in the eyes of the poor blacks because he professed a sincere love for the black masses, an understanding of their misery, and a determination to help ease their oppressive situation. Unlike many leaders, Carmichael spoke to the black man, not to the white, and in a language they could understand. For once, the black people had a leader who reflected truthfully the feelings and frustrations of the black masses. Carmichael spoke harshly but in the only manner he could if he were to honestly express black impatience at the slow progress of racial justice.

Summary and Conclusions

Stokely Carmichael emerged on the American scene with a controversial impact that saw few Americans attempting to understand his ideas and most reacting to his rhetorical
style. Utilizing the style of the agitator, Carmichael vowed to speak the truth, and, he revealed his perception of the truth in a way that was most disturbing to the American society unused to hearing such rhetorical vehemence from a black man. Using concrete terms loaded with unpleasant connotations, abrasive words, and derogatory metaphors, Carmichael vilified the enemy, white American society, in a manner that few black leaders at that time had. In so doing, he set the stage for a new freedom of expression in the Black Protest Movement, and, at the same time, jolted white Americans to the extent that they came back many times, perhaps out of curiosity, perhaps out of anger, to view the man who had so upset their apathetic lives. Prior to Carmichael's appearance, the black man's role in American society had been, for the most part, one of appeasement and patience—a picture of the black man "hat in hand, asking the great white father to confer new benefits."

Carmichael's style was different. He did not beg, he did not ask; he demanded, and, he demanded not gifts, but solutions. Unlike other Negro leaders on the scene, Carmichael's rhetorical style was such that few would accuse him of the "rhetoric of appeasement."

Unsettling white America further, Carmichael used devil terms to describe national leaders, and to defame white capitalistic society for the innumerable injustices deliberately perpetrated on the black man. Carmichael also
viewed the entire Western culture as one vast conspiracy against the black man which was begun over four hundred years ago when the West first staked its claim to the continent of Africa, thus he also used the Paranoid Style. Seeking to unite his listeners against the oppression inherent in the American and Western cultures, Carmichael used the language of Objectification to vilify his opponents, and to unequivocally state that the non-white peoples of the world would join together to stop the destructive, imperialistic aggression of the West. Using Justification, Carmichael exonerated black violence in American ghettos and rationalized both the rhetorical choices and the goals pursued by the Black Power Movement. Carmichael believed the time had long passed for pleasant words and bland descriptions; if the true feelings of the black masses were to be accurately represented, they must be in language which reflected their resentment and frustrations. Because of his language, Carmichael was often accused of advocating violence, however, if Carmichael’s ideas are examined carefully, it becomes obvious that he never expounded violence for the sake of violence but only as a defensive tactic.

The effect of the Black Power Movement was greatly enhanced by the charisma of its leader, Stokely Carmichael. The black masses loved him, and, although they came to view him as a sort of black God, they never felt that he
was out of their reach. Thus, Stokely Carmichael became the first black leader, perhaps, to which the black masses, particularly the young and poor, could truly relate because he expressed what they really felt.
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CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In May 1966, Stokely Carmichael became the chairman of the Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee. A month later he thrust himself on the national scene in a way that few black leaders had with his cry of "Black Power"—a slogan that was itself agitative in nature. As "Black Power" became a rallying cry for the new black mood, and because Carmichael assumed a dominant role in the Black Protest Movement, his rhetorical strategies have been examined in relation to the goals he sought for the movement. Because the white press and American society had characterized Carmichael as a militant and vehement rhetorician, his speeches have been examined to determine if, and in what ways, Carmichael used the elements of agitational rhetoric. Six speeches of Carmichael's were selected for study. These speeches covered a variety of speaking situations and were delivered between July 1966 and August 1967, the period during and immediately following Carmichael's role as SNCC's chairman. The speeches analyzed were:


For this particular study, Lomas' definition of agitation has been used. He defined agitation as "a persistent and uncompromising statement and restatement of grievances through all available communication channels, with the aim of creating public opinion favorable to a change in some condition." For an agitation to occur,
certain political and social preconditions usually exist. Four preconditioning elements are conducive to the development of an agitational movement in a society. First, the prevailing mood is one of discontentment and turbulence. Second, a group of people are victims of injustice, or, perceive themselves to be. Third, the power structure shows a massive resistance to change—a reluctance to help those who feel they are the victims of injustice. Fourth, accessible communication channels must exist between an agitator and the victims of the injustice.

Historical research revealed that each of these preconditions existed.

1. The mood of American society in the mid-sixties was one of discontentment and turbulence, a mood which was enhanced by several factors at work in American society. Many Americans were frightened by the new tone of black rhetoric and felt that the black movement was infiltrated by communists and outside agitators. Moreover, many Americans were concerned about what steps the government should take to end violent retaliations against black and white civil rights workers in the South where several accused killers were acquitted, and most of the violent crimes committed against Southern protestors went unpunished.

2. More important, blacks believed they were victims of an unjust system which perpetrated a double standard in its dealings with people— one standard for whites, one
for blacks. These complaints were verified by the Kerner Commission and the Skolnick and Moynihan Reports which concluded that black Americans were deprived of adequate jobs, housing, educational facilities, and were often subjected to brutal harassment by white policemen.

3. Although the government passed legislation to end such discrimination, federal inaction to enforce it lent credibility to black complaints that white society was not interested in true reform. Because of the rising tide of black discontentment, blacks and whites joined hands to participate in peaceful forms of protest aimed at exposing the black condition and to confront American society with demands for change.

4. As protest demonstrations spread throughout the South and into much of the North, the media lent extensive coverage to black speakers, especially the seemingly more militant ones. This exposure put the new rhetoric in constant view of millions of Americans, especially the downtrodden masses of blacks whom the new leaders claimed to represent. As many blacks began to tire of peaceful protests in the face of overt white violence, they sought new forms and new expressions to expose their feelings. Hence, the time was ripe for agitational spokesmen who could reflect the black mood of impatience at the slow progress of racial justice in American society. Carmichael was one such spokesman. Armed with the philosophy of Black
Power, Carmichael strove to move American society away from the status quo by challenging the system which had subjected blacks to an inferior status.

Four types of grievances are frequently used by agitators to express their discontentment with society. Cultural Grievances depict a group of people suffering injustices because of their race or national origins. Economic Grievances describe the suppressed as victims of economic exploitation. Political Grievances reveal the oppressed to be at the mercy of a ruthless and powerful enemy who victimizes them for political gain. Finally, Media Distortion Grievances depict the oppressed as misrepresented by the media which disseminates negatively biased newscopy against the agitator and his followers.

Carmichael appeared before the American public and the black masses in the mid-sixties to expose his perception of black grievances, and, in so doing, utilized quite extensively the tools of agitational rhetoric. Carmichael exposed black complaints by relating the Cultural, Economic, Political, and Media Distortion Grievances of the black masses.

1. In explaining black Cultural Grievances, Carmichael's basic rhetorical premise centered on the topic of integration—a theme he developed in all of the speeches examined. Carmichael felt that integration did not speak to the needs of the black masses because only the black
elite were in a position to enjoy the luxuries of public accommodations. As the black masses had no money with which to dine in restaurants or stay in hotels, integration was meaningless to them. Moreover, Carmichael felt it was ludicrous to speak of individual black suppression since blacks were oppressed as a group only because they were black.

2. When Carmichael expounded the Economic Grievances of the black man, he related general and specific instances of the economic injustices faced by American blacks. Carmichael affirmed in several of his speeches that the hardest work was produced by the black man who was given the least salary in return. Poverty in America was calculated, according to Carmichael, and the administrators of poverty programs were responsible for the perpetuation of poverty among black Americans. He repeatedly indicted institutionalized racism as a major force behind the economic subjugation of the black man and offered specific examples to lend validity to his allegations.

3. Carmichael's major premise in his exposition of the black man's Political Grievances focused on the powerlessness of the black masses to effect any meaningful change in the oppressed status of black communities. Carmichael asserted in all his speeches that the white power structure's suppression of the black man's political voice placed blacks in a position of subservience to the white-controlled political machinery which expressed little concern for the
blighted condition of the nation's ghettos. Furthermore, as Negro leaders owed their allegiance to the white political machinery that had helped elect them, Carmichael contended that these black leaders could not truly represent the needs of the black community.

4. Carmichael's exposition of Media Distortion Grievances focused on the incapability of the white press to objectively report news concerning the black masses and the Black Protest Movement. Carmichael felt that since the press had been ingrained with the norms of a prejudiced white Western society, they did not possess the competency to objectively perceive the black situation, much less report it factually. Carmichael felt, moreover, that the press did not delve deeply enough into issues and relied instead on the opinions of officials of the white power structure for news concerning blacks. The press was viewed as serving the interests of the establishment, and, hence, when blacks challenged a part of the system which was unjust, the media slanted the news to give the misleading impression that blacks were at fault. Carmichael additionally related that his image had been distorted by the media to the point where he was unrecognizable even to himself.² Perhaps, Carmichael realized the importance of the press in conveying the message of Black Power to the national audience and expounded a vehement rhetoric which would assure his exposure to the black masses he professed to
represent. Whatever his strategies, the evidence suggests that Carmichael's contentions were valid—that the press did, in fact, distort the reportings of events surrounding the black community, the Black Movement, and Stokely Carmichael.

Carmichael expressed very vague and general solutions to the black problem. He usually stated only the changes which were needed and offered no concrete method for bringing about such changes. As an example, Carmichael related that new institutions must be created in American society, yet he never stipulated how these institutions would be built, what they would consist of, or how they would function. He merely voiced, in very general terms, that new institutions must be built. The major solution Carmichael proposed to end all black grievances rested in Black Power—the collective power of the Negro community. Carmichael felt that Black Power would answer black needs in two main ways:

1. He said that blacks needed to organize themselves into a collective power and to elect representatives that would be truly responsible to the needs of the black community. Also, he wanted the black leaders to not merely take over the functions of white leaders who had stripped black communities of their economic resources, but rather to build new institutions in which black leaders could function effectively. The economic resources of black
neighborhoods would be controlled collectively by the black community, and black leaders would then be able to affect a meaningful dialogue from a position of power along with the white establishment.

2. With effective power, the black masses would be able to maintain their cultural identity and enhance their self-esteem by solving their own problems. By developing a sense of racial pride and a collective unit of power in the black community, Carmichael felt that blacks could answer their needs for a cultural identity and economic independence through political power.

Carmichael also showed himself to be a master of adapting to national audiences. When speaking to an American audience, Carmichael proposed solutions which appeared to be capable of terminating black grievances within the framework of American society. Although these solutions were incapable of being quickly recognized in the America of the 1960's, they advocated no revolutionary changes in the power structure. When speaking in London or Cuba, however, Carmichael proposed revolutionary changes in society and called for the destruction of capitalistic institutions and the redistribution of power, wealth, and land in the United States. These solutions were in complete contradiction to the established values of the American government and could only be brought about by a political revolution, and perhaps a violent one. Thus, the solutions
Carmichael proposed to his foreign auditors were radical in comparison to those he proposed to American audiences.

As Carmichael progressed as a speaker, his rhetoric became more militant. Perhaps, the more radical tone of Carmichael's London and Cuba speeches may be attributed to the fact that they were delivered later than the other speeches examined. Perhaps, too, Carmichael believed, initially, that black grievances could be answered within the existing framework of American society. However, when his efforts, for example, to form the blacks of Lowndes County, Alabama, into an effective political voice were thwarted by the National Democratic Party, and when America reacted so negatively to the concept of Black Power, Carmichael perhaps began to believe that black needs could never be answered within the American system. Hence, he began to propose more revolutionary solutions. Additionally, perhaps the reason Carmichael stated his solutions in very vague and general terms is that a true revolutionary would not disclose his precise plans for the overthrow of a particular system of government. Had Carmichael laid down precise guidelines for the revolutionary solutions he proposed in London and Cuba, this would have been comparable to a general giving the enemy his battle plans before the battle began. When Carmichael spoke to American audiences, he may have merely sought to create more black discontentment with American society, rather than to offer precise solutions.
to the black problem, so that when the time was ripe blacks would be more willing to join him in his efforts to overthrow the power structure. Still, another reason for Carmichael's vague solutions may have been that Carmichael had no specific strategies with which to achieve his goals. Whatever his strategy, however, Carmichael proposed solutions either in terms of long-range agitations or revolutionary agitations--solutions which typified those frequently used by agitative spokesmen.

Carmichael likewise utilized the agitational style in the development of his ideas.

1. Carmichael used concrete diction filled with unpleasant connotations, abrasive words and derogatory metaphors, and devil terms to lash out vehemently at national leaders and to defame the racist American society that he considered responsible for black oppression. Black Power and the word "black" became god terms to Carmichael's supporters because they were used to portray the spiritual uplift of black people which would result if these concepts were embraced.

2. Using the language of Objectification, Carmichael sought to discredit white American society and to unite his black auditors against the system he perceived as responsible for the black plight.

3. Carmichael used the language of Justification to
rationalize the concept of Black Power and to defend ghetto "rebellions" as self-defensive tactics.

4. The Paranoid Style was exemplified by Carmichael when he depicted American society and Western civilization as deliberately conspiring to rob non-whites of their cultural integrity and economic independence.

Because of the style of his rhetoric, Carmichael was vilified by some sections of the black and white community. The controversy surrounding Carmichael developed because he did not always clothe his ideas in terms that were comfortable to the ears of white American society. Perhaps Carmichael did this to jolt and shock his American auditors out of their complacency. Whatever his reasons, Carmichael's selection of the slogan, "Black Power," created a disturbance in American society which saw few people attempting to understand the new rhetoric of black protest. Paul Good wrote in The Nation that in this country a psychological prohibition existed concerning the use of the word "'power' in conjunction with the word 'black.'" Likewise, Carmichael observed that, "If we had said, 'Negro power' nobody would get scared. . . . If we said power for colored people everybody'd be for that. . . . it is the word black that bothers people." 4

Had American society taken the time to contemplate the possible meanings of Black Power they might have seen, in place of a violent reverse racism, some creative possibilities for the termination of the blighted condition of
the nation's poor black masses. Perhaps, Carmichael might be accused of an unfortunate choice of words in the selection of a slogan for the new Black Protest Movement. But had the rhetoric been tamer would as much have been accomplished? The 1964 Civil Rights Act and the 1965 Voting Rights Act, although regarded by many blacks as too little and too late, may have resulted from white fear of black militant protest. If the rhetoric of Carmichael and other black leaders had been milder, would white society have been sufficiently pressured to pass the civil rights legislation of the sixties? Would they have been as aware of black sentiments and frustrations? Thus, perhaps, agitation constitutes a creative force in society. McEdwards believes that to suppress and silence an agitator is to stifle the chance to approach a more ideal society.\(^5\) Moreover, McEdwards supported the use of agitative rhetoric by quoting Wendell Phillips who said that "the rude mass of men is not to be caught by balanced periods—they are caught by men whose words are half battles. From Luther down, the charge against ever reformer has been that his language is too rough... Rough instruments are used for rough work."\(^6\) Unfortunately, leaders speaking in gentle and polite tones often go unheard, their pleas for reform ignored. Those who make the atmosphere uncomfortable gain attention, and this is the necessary first step to reform. Frederick Douglass noted the importance
of agitation to social progress when he said, "Those who profess to favor freedom and yet deprecate agitation are men who want crops without plowing up the ground, they want rain without thunder and lightning. They want the ocean without the awful roar of its many waters." 

A jolting style is the special tool of the agitator with which he seeks to disturb the status quo and hopefully to bring about changes in a society. Carmichael utilized this style when he expounded the rhetoric of Black Power. Perhaps it was because of black leaders like Carmichael that the bitter resentment felt by the black masses toward white society finally surfaced, and the American public became increasingly aware of the true depth of black discontentment.

The atmosphere of American society in the sixties proved fertile for the birth and growth of many, varied protest movements. The analysis of Stokely Carmichael's speeches in relation to agitational characteristics suggest that other leaders of contemporary protest movements might merit a similar study. Speakers for the various factions of the Women's Liberation Movement, the Anti-War Protest Movement, and the Chicano Movement are but a few examples of types of studies which might contribute valuable information to the study of contemporary agitation and the modern protest movements.
FOOTNOTES

1 Lomas, p. 2.


4 Berkeley Speech.

5 McEdwards, p. 43.

6 McEdwards, p. 38.
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