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OBJECTIVES:

The ultimate objective of this three-year research project is to evaluate the performance of the hydrocarbon miscible solvent slug process and to assess the feasibility of this process for improving recovery of heavy oil from Schrader Bluff reservoir. This will be accomplished through measurement of PVT and fluid properties of Schrader Bluff oil, determination of phase behavior of Schrader Bluff oil solvent mixtures, asphaltene precipitation tests, slim tube dis-
placement tests, core flood experiments and reservoir simulation studies. The expected results from this project include: determination of optimum hydrocarbon solvent composition suitable for hydrocarbon miscible solvent slug displacement process, optimum slug sizes of solvent needed, solvent recovery factor, solvent requirements, extent and timing of solvent recycle, displacement and sweep efficiency to be achieved and oil recovery.

**SUMMARY OF TECHNICAL PROGRESS:**

During this quarter, calibration and testing of the miscible coreflood apparatus has been completed. Displacement experiments have been started using this apparatus. Displacement experiments in slim tube have been started to verify the previously conducted slim tube simulation results.

**Coreflood Experiments**

An unsteady state waterflood experiment was performed with live Schrader Bluff crude oil. In this experiment, the core holder was packed with Oklahoma No. 1 sand. The porosity and absolute permeability of the core were 33.8% and 5.1 darcy, respectively. The core was first saturated with water and an initial water saturation was established by displacing the water with live Schrader Bluff crude oil. The oil was then displaced by injecting water at a constant rate of 4 cc/min. Oil production, water production and pressure drop across the core were monitored. Figure 1 shows the oil recovery and water-oil ratio (WOR) as functions of pore volumes of water injected. The breakthrough oil recovery was about 30%, but oil production continued beyond breakthrough and the recovery was 61% at 1.8 pore volumes injection. Such behavior can be expected when water displaces a high viscosity oil.

**Slim Tube Experiments**

The slim tube is composed of a 12-meter section of 6.4 mm O.D. high pressure stainless steel tubing which is packed with Ottawa sand and coiled to a diameter of approximately one
foot. The porosity of slim tube is 35.2% and permeability was calculated to be 5.0 darcies, using pure toluene as the test fluid of known viscosity. Two runs have been completed during this quarter.

1. 100% Kuparuk-Schrader Bluff Gas at 1300 psia and 72°F

This was the first run conducted in slim-tube apparatus using pure Kuparuk-Schrader Bluff gas as solvent at 1300 psia and 72°F. This run resulted in recovery of 37.8% at 1.2 pore volume injection (Figure 2). This shows that, there is no development of miscibility. Also slight glass observations showed two separate phases. Figure 3 shows that solvent break occurred at around 57% pore volume injection.

2. 100% Prudhoe Bay Gas at 1300 psia and 72°F

This run was conducted using 100% Prudhoe Bay Gas as solvent at 1300 psia and 72°F. This run resulted in recovery of 45% at 1.2 pore volume injection (Figure 4). This clearly shows that there is no development of miscibility. Sight glass observations also showed presence of two separate phases. Figure 5 shows that solvent break occurred around 59% pore volume injection.

FUTURE WORK

Core flood experiments will continue using live Schrader Bluff oil and miscible solvents. With injection of miscible solvents, effects of slug size and WAG ratio will be examined. Slimtube experiments will also continue with solvents such as CO2 and natural gas with various enrichments with NGL's.
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Figure 1: Oil Recovery and Water-Oil Ratio for Unsteady State Waterflood Experiment.
Figure 2: Recovery Versus Pore Volume Injected.
(Solvent: 100% Kuparuk-Schrader Bluff Gas)
Figure 3: GOR Versus Pore Volume Injected.
(Solvent: 100% Kuyavian-Schade Bluff Gas)
Figure 4: Recovery Versus Pore Volume Injected.  
(Solvent: 100% Prudhoe Bay Gas)
Figure 5: GOR Versus Pore Volume Injected.
(Solvent: 100% Prudhoe Bay Gas)