**SUMMARY OF WORKING GROUP SEVEN
PART II: LINAC PROTECTION
AND
COLLIMATION OF MEGAWATT MICRON SIZED
250-500 GeV ELECTRON BEAMS*^o**

J. Irwin

*Stanford Linear Accelerator Center,
Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94309*

Order of Presentation

1. Introduction to Horrors
2. Properties of Materials
3. Linac Protection
4. Collimation Requirements
5. Conventional Collimation System
6. Nonlinear Collimation Module
7. Tail Repopulation
8. Conclusions

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

*Presented at the ECFA workshop on e^+e^- Linear Colliders (LC92)
Garmisch, Partenkinchen, Germany, 25 July-2 August, 1992*

MASTER

*Work supported by Department of Energy contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

^oWork on collimation systems was presented in Working Group 7 by B. Holzer, Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron, D-2000 Hamburg 52, Germany, and J. Irwin (SLAC).

48

ABSTRACT

The average beam powers and beam size anticipated for next generation linear colliders makes them awesome tools of destruction. Systems for machine protection will be crucial. A scheme for linac structure protection by sacrificial collimators is presented in Section 3.

No matter what precautionary measures are taken, the tails of the beam will be populated by hard coulomb collisions along the linac. To remove these halos before reaching the final focus system optics, where particle showers can blind the detector, it will be necessary to collimate these beams. Section 5 discusses the equations governing the parameters of a conventional collimation system. Wakefields determine gap sizes and lattice functions. Materials properties dictate minimum beam sizes at collimators so they can withstand occasionally mis-steered beams. Spoiler scattering and edge scattering effects mandate that the final doublet phase be collimated twice, and depending on the results of further tracking studies, it may be necessary to collimate each phase two times. Section 6 describes a nonlinear collimation system that can collimate beams to smaller apertures than the conventional system. The tolerances for such systems resemble final focus tolerances. Section 7 addresses the problem of repopulation of the tails after the collimation system.

The main conclusions are that it appears possible to collimate the beams for these machines with conventional passively protected collimation systems. However the length of present designs, which collimate energy and both transverse planes and meet the requirements of complete tail scraping, exceed one kilometer per linac. A collimation system may also be desirable at the low energy end of the linac to minimize collimation of high energy particles.

1. Horrors

The beam powers being considered have enormous destructive capability. Several experiments (as well as accidents) at the SLC have demonstrated this, and confirmed methods for estimating and quantifying consequences. The entry-point temperature rise for beams from all of the present design parameters for a single pulse train or until turn-off, whichever is smaller, is shown in the Table on page 7.II.3 (these page numbers refer to numbers occurring on the accompanying transparencies—7.II. refers to Working Group 7, Part II.) A single mis-steered pulse train from any of these machines can destroy a substantial length of linac accelerating structure.

2. Properties of materials

We propose use of various materials in protection and collimation systems:

- Tungsten for sacrificial spoilers in the linac and clean-up collimators in the collimation system, because of short length per radiation length;
- Carbon (plated) for spoilers in the collimation system, because of their low entry-temperature and thermal ruggedness;
- Copper for the main absorbers in the collimation system, because of their good thermal conductivity.

The graph on page 7.II.2 shows temperature rise in carbon and titanium as a function of radiation length for a 10^{11} particle pulse with a $\sigma_x\sigma_y$ product of 2000 square microns.

3. Linac protection

The most likely failure scenarios involve elements, like feedback correctors, that are designed to change on a pulse-to-pulse basis. The worst-case scenario addressed here consists of:

- The between-pulse short of two legs of a single quadrupole,
- No immediate response by the magnet monitoring system, and
- No detection of beam trajectory change from downstream BPMs.

We assume machine turn-off in one pulse based on a signal from machine protection ionization monitors.

The linac may be protected from this failure mode by "sacrificial spoilers" at each quadrupole. Proposed spoilers are made of tungsten; have an aperture about one quarter of the quadrupole tip radius; are 5 cm long, and have an entrance and exit taper (to reduce wakefields) of about 5 cm each. The effect of the wakefields on beam emittance has been calculated and is negligible. Condition determining length is that temperature rise of downstream copper from residual photon beam should be less than 200°C. The spoiler will burst in one pulse, with resulting release of tungsten vapor. The impact on conventional and superconducting structures needs to be assessed.

4. Collimation requirements

On page 7.II.6 there is a diagram of a typical final focus doublet showing 10 σ trajectories in both horizontal and vertical plane. This particular doublet design allows for a σ_x two times smaller than that for $\sigma'_x = \sigma'_y$. Flexibility in σ_x is desirable because of strong dependence of beam-beam dynamics on σ_x . Doublet apertures are somewhat larger than those required based on consideration of resistive-wall and geometric wakes. They are a little larger yet in this design. Collimation for this doublet is required at about 5 σ_x and 30 σ_y to avoid Synchrotron Radiation (SR) photons from impinging on quadrupole bore.

5. Conventional collimation system

The typical system consists of a thin, thermally-rugged spoiler, followed by a thick, conductive absorber. These are shown together with exemplary lattice functions in the figure on page 7.II.9. The beam must be large enough at the spoilers so that the spoilers are not destroyed.

Wakefields also control conventional collimation system design. The $1/g^3$ behavior for resistive-wall wake and the $1/g^2$ behavior of taper section for taper length are chosen to minimize total kick from geometric and resistive wakes. Collimation system is a jitter amplifier, where the component produced by the system is perpendicular to incoming jitter. The resultant controlling equation is

$$2x^3 - 3ax^2 + 1 \leq 0$$

where definitions of terms are given on the bottom of page 7.II.8. Equations are more complex when there are several collimators of different length and material in each phase. For Next Linear Collider (NLC) parameters, in the horizontal plane, $n_{\min} = 3$ and in the vertical plane, $n_{\min} = 20$, for a 40% increase in jitter. The practical working point is about $n = 5$ in the horizontal and $n = 35$ in the vertical. Possibly, because of large final doublet aperture, a conventional system would be adequate for collimation.

Because of the large amount of scattering from the spoilers, three phases of collimation are certainly required, and perhaps four phases (Interaction Point (IP) phase, Final Doublet (FD) phase, IP phase, FD phase) will be necessary, so that the edge scattering from the last phase collimated is acceptably small. The goal is zero particles hitting the final doublet, assuming up to 1% of 10^{12} being collimated. About one tenth of the particles hitting within $.1 \mu$ from the edge of the final scrapers re-emerge at large angles in the beam. See pages 7.II.10 and 7.II.11.

Lattice functions for a three-phase system, followed by the big bend for muon protection, are shown on page 7.II.12.

6. Nonlinear collimation system

If a conventional system is not adequate, a nonlinear system can be used to scrape apertures that are smaller yet. The beam is first blown up by a sextupole, then collimated, then a second sextupole at $-I$ cancels out the effects of the first. Equations governing this system are shown on pages 7.II.13 and 7.II.14. Jitter at the sextupole causes jitter at the scraper, in addition to incoming beam jitter at scraper phase. The wakefield kick at the scraper can result in incomplete cancellation of the sextupoles.

Tolerances on sextupole stability (over time periods between lattice checks with diagnostic bumps) are quite small ($.4 \mu$ in one system investigated)—comparable, but still larger than, similar tolerance ($.1 \mu$) in the final focus system.

Possible lattice functions are shown on page 7.II.15. Lattice functions for a nonlinear system combined with a conventional system are shown on page 7.II.16.

7. Tail repopulation

Hard coulomb scattering can result in a repopulation of the tails as the beam travels from the collimation system to the IP. There is a maximum angle for this scattering, determined by the nuclear species and radius, with the result that the R_{12} from the scattering point to the final doublet must be greater than 200 m for a particle scattered there to hit the doublet. A very large R_{12} occurs in the collimation system when the IP phase is scraped, so it follows that the FD phase must be scraped last.

Page 7.II.18 shows that the integral of the ratio of $(R_{12}/200)^2$ over the beam line for which this ratio is greater than one, must be less than 280 m for a gas pressure of 10^{-8} Torr which indicates that a gas pressure of one quarter 10^{-8} Torr will be required in the big bend.

8. Conclusions

It looks possible to protect the linac from the worst credible failure (and others as well).

It looks possible to collimate the beam with conventional collimation for all designs now being considered. However, the system requires considerable length, and lengths have yet to be optimized. Ideas for length reduction are welcome!

Much design work remains to be done, particularly to combine an EGS code with particle tracking, to follow all particles produced at scrapers and other accelerator parts, and to determine placement of absorbers along with cooling and radiation protection requirements.

11. PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS

Temperature Limits ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)

		Stress Limit	Melting Point	Vaporization Temp.	
<u>THIN</u>	CARBON (graphite) (Pyrolytic)	<u>2500</u>	<u>3600</u>	4200	← but high f . ($\times 300$)
	Titanium	1000	1800	3260	
<u>THICK</u>	Copper	180	1080	3000	
		<u>↑</u>	<u>↑</u>		
		FAILURE MODE for Single Train	FAILURE for multiple TRAINS		

5at comes in
as $f^{1/2} = 1.6$

TEMP vs XOL

DR. MILL 6-17-92

7.II.2

V.C. D.F.P.H.

4

3

2

1

0

SURFACE ENTRY POINT TEMPERATURES.

END OF LINAC

	DLC	JLC	NLC	VLEPP	CLIC	TESLA
$(410)N(\text{tilt turn-off})$	(172)2.1	(72)2.1	(90).65	(1).20	(4).5	(80)5.1
$A(\mu^2)$ End of LINAC	30	8	10	18		600
$\frac{N}{A} (\frac{10^{10}}{\mu^2})$	12	19	6	1		.7
$\frac{N/A}{(N/A)_{GRAPH}}$	2400	3800	1200	200		140

$T_{Ti} / \text{SURFACE}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^6$	$1.9 \cdot 10^6$	$6 \cdot 10^5$	10^5	$7 \cdot 10^4$
---------------------------	------------------	------------------	----------------	--------	----------------

CONCLUSION: SINGLE "TRAIN" OF MIS-STEERED BEAM CAN DESTROY SUBSTANTIAL LENGTH OF ALL THREE LINAC.

III: LINAC PROTECTION

7.11.4

SUPPOSE QF₁ FAILS CATASTROPHICALLY -

Beam Gets DIOLE KICK AT QF

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{\text{kick}} &= \frac{B_D L_Q}{B_P} = \frac{B_T L_Q}{a_Q B_P} \cdot \left(\frac{B_D}{B_T}\right) \cdot a_Q \\ &= (k_Q a_Q) \cdot \left(\frac{B_D}{B_T}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Beam Position AT QD

$$\begin{aligned}r &= \theta_{\text{kick}} \cdot L_{FD} = (k_Q L_{FD}) \cdot \left(\frac{B_D}{B_T}\right) \cdot a_Q \\ &= \sqrt{2} \frac{B_D}{B_T} \cdot a_Q.\end{aligned}$$

if $\frac{B_D}{B_T} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
 $r < a_Q$!

Beam Position AT QF₂

(assume kick in x plane (defocussing))

$$x_{QF_2} = (2 + \sqrt{2}) x_{QD_1} = 4.8 \frac{B_D}{B_T} \cdot a_Q$$

FOR 290° FODO LATTICE

if $\frac{B_D}{B_T} \geq \frac{1}{5}$
 $x_{QF_2} > a_Q$

III. LINAC PROTECTION (cont'd)

7. II.5

"SACRIFICIAL" PROTECTION

must taper
~ 40 mrad

NEED

$$1) \quad (2 + \sqrt{2}) a_{sp} \leq a_s \Rightarrow \underline{a_{sp} \leq 1.3 \text{ mm}}$$

$$2) \quad \left(\frac{15 \sqrt{t}}{E_{(MeV)}} \right) \cdot L_{FD} \geq 2 \text{ mm} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{valid} \\ \text{for small} \\ t \text{ only} \end{array} \right.$$

$\frac{L_{FD}}{\theta_{rms}}$

Cu protection
@ 6.10" part.
(1 bunch train!)

$$L_{FD} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\gamma_0}} L_0 \quad \text{w.} \quad L_0 = 2.2 \text{ m.}$$

$$\gamma_0 mc^2 = 16 \text{ GeV}$$

$$E_{(MeV)} = \frac{2}{\gamma_0} \cdot 1.6 \cdot 10^4$$

$$\underline{t \geq .24 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_0} \right) \text{ RL.}}$$

Perhaps

$t \sim 5 \text{ r.l.}$

needs to be determined

AT 500 GeV.

$$\underline{t \geq 7.2 \text{ RADIATION LENGTHS}}$$

(but TE not valid)

IV. COLLIMATION REQUIREMENTS

7.II.6

FINAL DOUBLET

$E = 500 \text{ GeV}$

$L^* = 2 \text{ m}$

$$\frac{\beta_x}{\beta_y} = 25$$

x2 Luminosity Inc!

$e_{gev} = 500$ $\epsilon_{px} = 5.10^{-12}$ $\epsilon_{py} = 5.10^{-14}$
 $\text{betastar} = 0.0001$ $\text{betaxstar} = 0.0025$ $\text{betaRatio} = 25$

$l_{free} = 2.$ $d_{free} = 0.3$ $\text{anal} = \text{False}$
 $\text{btip} = 1.4$ $\text{aperture} = 0.005$ $\text{aperatio} = 1.3$ $\text{nsig} = 10$

chromaticities
getting
large

$L_{chromy} = 3.33652$ $L_{chromx} = 32.2961$
 $\psi_{iy} = 33365.2$ $\psi_{ix} = 12918.5$

$\text{sigayMax} = 0.0000705421$ $\text{betayMax} = 99523.8$ $\text{nsigyStayClear} =$

70.8796

$\text{sigaxMax} = 0.000632935$ $\text{betaxMax} = 80121.3$ $\text{nsigxStayClear} =$

10.2696

$\text{TenSigx}[\text{endOfQ1}]/\text{aper}[Q1] = 0.785447$

$\text{TenSigx}[\text{endOfQ2}]/\text{aper}[Q2] = 0.973746$

$R12y\text{EntryOverLchromy} = 0.580394$

$\text{delyRWOveryStar} = 0.0911243$

CHOOSE:

56_x & 156_y

AS PREFERRED COLL. σ 's.

N SR mask between Q1 & Q2. (!)

DESIGN EQUATIONS

7.11.7

for CONVENTIONAL COLLIMATOR

1) It scrapes, eg:

$$\boxed{n G_s = g}$$

(at scraper)

2) BEAM AREA $> A_{min}(t_{RL}, N_{train})$ (at spoiler)

For C, $V_{RL} = 0.25$

2 pulses, melt failure
no safety fac.

$$2 (.65 \cdot 10^{10}) \cdot 90 \\ = 11.7 \cdot 10^{11}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A_{min} = 2200 \mu^2$$

For 500 GeV Emittance $\neq A_{min} = 2000 \mu^2$

$$\boxed{\sqrt{\beta_x \beta_y} = 4000 \text{ m}}$$

(2000 m
@ 250 GeV)

3) • Res. Wake + Geom Wake INCREASE
BEAM SIZE BY $\leq 2\%$.

• SCRAPER IS TAPERED TO MINIMIZE
THIS SUM

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_{TAP} = 0.6 \left(\frac{\lambda_p G_s}{g^2} \right)^{1/4}$$

(λ_p is
skin
depth)

CONVENTIONAL COLLIMATOR EQUATIONS (cont'd)

NOTE: WE ARE USING HANFOLD EQUATIONS.

④

$$C_1 = 2.2 \quad \theta_{RW} = C_1 \cdot \frac{Nr_c}{\gamma \sigma_2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_p}{\sigma_2}} \cdot \frac{\Delta y \sigma_2 \cdot L_{scr}}{g^2}$$

We usually assume "t 6" beam after!

if scraper has slow taper to keep pipe r > r_g.

$$\theta_{RW} = C_1 \frac{Nr_c}{\gamma \sigma_2} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_p}{\sigma_2}} \cdot \frac{\Delta y \sigma_2}{g^2} \left(\frac{L_{scr}}{g} + \frac{1}{\theta_{RW}} \right)$$

$$C_1 = 1.5 \quad \theta_{beam} = C_1 \frac{Nr_c}{\gamma \sigma_2} \cdot \frac{\Delta y}{g} \cdot \left(\frac{6}{\pi} \theta_{RW} \right)$$

Using Eqn 1 & Eqn 3: we get:

$$2x^3 - 3ax^2 + 1 \leq 0$$

$$x \equiv \left(\frac{g}{g_{min}} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$a = \left(\frac{n}{n_{min}} \right)^2$$

33/350 (250)

5/50 (500)

$$n_{min}^2 = 76 + \frac{Nr_c}{\gamma \sigma_2} \cdot \frac{g_{min}}{\epsilon_2}$$

700μ

$$g_{min} = .84 L_{scr}^{2/3} (\lambda_p \sigma_2)^{1/6}$$

x = g at n = n_{min}.

$$n_{\text{e}} \cdot v \cdot \frac{h}{E} - n_{\text{e}} \cdot V \cdot \frac{h}{E}$$

(u) ~~AC~~ ~~pur~~ ~~AC~~

IIi/ EDGE SCATTERING RESULTS

EGS

R. NELSON.

$16 \sim 100 \mu$ $\frac{1}{100} = 10^{-3}$ 10^{-4}

IIii) EDGE SCATTERING

500 GeV INCIDENT PARTICLE @ 0.1 μ

ID=1E

SLICE -- ELECTRONS

RANDYAE VERIBDO BLAMES

10^6
 $\delta'_x \sim 35 \text{ nrad}$
 $\delta'_y \sim 3.5 \text{ nrad}$
 10^4

IF USE $\theta_{rms} = \frac{15 \sqrt{E}}{E_{(GeV)}} \approx 25 \mu r$

GET $t \approx 0.7 \text{ (RL)}$

35

CONVENTIONAL SYSTEM

500 GeV Conventional Collimator & Big Bend 920406

Big Bend!

VI. NON LINEAR COLLIMATION

THE IDEA:

$S = \text{SEXTUPLE} - \text{for } x \text{ kick} \quad \Delta x' = \pm k_s x^2 \quad \sigma_x \gg \sigma_y$

$SS = \text{SLOW SEXTUPLE} - \text{for } y \text{ kick} \quad \Delta y' = \pm k_{ss} y^2 \quad \sigma_y \gg \sigma_x$

SCRAPING EQUATIONS:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \boxed{\frac{1}{2} k_s n^2 \sigma_y / \beta_y R_{12}^4 = g}$$

For a beam of "width" σ_x mistored at S by $n \sigma_x$

$$\frac{y_{\text{scraper}}}{\beta_{yS}} = \frac{2g}{n}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \Rightarrow \text{BEAM AREA } (\sigma_x \sigma_y \text{ product}) \rightarrow \boxed{\sigma_x \cdot \frac{2g}{n} = \sigma_x' \sigma_y'}$$

Hoping we can get large $\frac{2g}{n}$!

note:

SEXT. TOLERANCE

$$\Delta y_s = \frac{1}{5 k_{ss} \beta_y} =$$

$$\frac{n^2 \epsilon_y R_{12}^4}{10 g} = \frac{n \epsilon_y R_{12}^4}{5 \sigma_y''}$$

EASIER AT LOWER ENERGY

for $R_{12} = 100$, $n = 15$
 $g = 300 \mu$

$(\Delta y)_s = 0.4 \mu \cdot 10500 \frac{1}{\sigma_y''}$

NON-LINEAR DESIGN EQUATIONS

3) WAKES: JITTER ^{AT SLAPPER} CAN COME FROM 2 SOURCES

1) NORMAL BEAM JITTER AT THIS PHASE

$$\pm \sigma_{x/c} = \pm R_{11} \sigma_{1/5} = \epsilon \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta}} \cdot R_{11}$$

2) BEAM JITTER AT SEXTUPOLE

$$\frac{1}{2} k (x^2)_{R_{12}} R_{12} = \frac{1}{2} k (x_0 + \Delta x)_{R_{12}}^2 \cdot R_{12}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{2} k \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} k (\Delta x)^2 \right] \cdot R_{12}$$

$$\textcircled{2} = \frac{1}{2} k \epsilon^2 \sigma^2 \cdot R_{12}$$

DEFINE

$$r = \frac{\textcircled{1}}{\textcircled{2}}$$

ASSUME $r \ll 1$, only $k_s \beta_s$ as product enters

CAN SOLVE FOR MIN $g \equiv g_{min}$

$$g_{min} = 30 \epsilon^2 \underbrace{\frac{N r_c}{2 \sigma_s} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{1 \sigma_s}}{2}}_{\epsilon_N} L_{acc} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{\eta^4}}_{30m}$$

IF "r" NOT SMALL:

$$x^5 - x^3 - r_1^2 \geq 0$$

$$x = \frac{g}{g_1}$$

$$r_1 = r(g_{min})$$

$$6\sigma \cdot \frac{\Delta y}{n} = 2000 \mu^2$$

per Beam 2

$$B_{r,acc} = 450 m \quad g = 30 \mu \quad \Delta y_{start} = 0.2 \mu$$

TLCCOL10 500 GeV Collimator: Nonlinear Insertion

NOT OPTIMIZED!

LENGTH FOR TAIL CLEAN-UP

TOTAL = 300 m

TLCCOL10 500 GeV Collimator 920602

IV TAIL REPOPULATION

FROM LARGE ANGLE COULOMB SCATTERING

Assume major composition of gas is N_2 & O_2

Take $Z=8$, $R_{Nuc} = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-15} \text{ m}$

$$P_{Nuc} = 2 \cdot P_{Coul} \text{ (TORR)} \quad 3 \cdot 10^{22}$$

MAXIMUM SCATTERING ANGLE

$$\theta_{max} = \frac{2 Z r_e}{\gamma R_N} \approx 20 \mu\text{r.}$$

MINIMUM R_{12} [S $\rightarrow$ FD]

$$R_{12}^{min} \cdot \theta_{max} = a_{FD} \approx 4 \text{ mm}$$

$$\Rightarrow R_{12}^{min} \approx 200 \text{ m.}$$

Such an R_{12} is unlikely in Final Focus.

It could occur (barely) in Big Bend

Will certainly occur in collimation of IP

phase ($R_{12} = \sqrt{\beta_{IP}} / \beta_{FD} \approx 12,000!$) & collimate FD phase last!

IV. TAIL REPOPULATION (cont'd)

$$\text{FRACTION SCATTERED} = \frac{\Delta N}{N} = P_{Nuc} \cdot \delta \cdot G$$

$$\delta \approx \frac{1}{2} \pi b^2$$

$$\Theta(b) \cdot R_{12}(s) = a^y$$

$$\Rightarrow b = \frac{2 \pm r_c}{\gamma a_y} \cdot R_{12}^y$$

$$\frac{\Delta N}{N} = \frac{\pi}{2} P_{Nuc} \left(\frac{2 \pm r_c}{\gamma a_y} \right)^2 \int_{R_{12} > 200} R_{12}^2(s) ds$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2} P_{Nuc} R_{Nuc}^2 \cdot \int \left(\frac{R_{12}(s)}{200} \right)^2 ds$$

$$= 6 \cdot 10^{-15} \cdot \int_{R_{12} > 100} \left(\frac{R_{12}}{200} \right)^2 ds \quad \leftarrow P_{Nuc} = 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2$$

FOR NUC

NEED

$$\frac{\Delta N}{N} \leq \frac{1}{6} \cdot 10^{-11}$$

$$\text{or } \int \left(\frac{R_{12}}{200} \right)^2 ds \leq 280 \text{ m.}$$

$$\Rightarrow p \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot 10^{-8}$$

in 312 BEUC

if $R_{12} > 400$ for 70 m. we're in trouble
(Lower Gas Press Can Help)

per Beam 2
 500 GeV Collimator, Big Bend and Final Focus 920714

VIII. Conclusions

- 1. It All Looks (barely) Possible with Conventional Collimation for 10^{12} Particles/Pulse**
- 2. Requires Considerable Length (1 to 1.5 km/linac)**
- 3. Nonlinear System Can Collimate Smaller Apertures if Necessary**
- 4. Need Better Estimate of Worst Probable Number of Particles to be Collimated**
- 5. Much Work Remains to be Done**
 - I) Follow all particles, primaries and secondaries, through optical system**
 - II) Simulate scattering and production from spoilers, absorbers, and beam pipe.**
 - III) Determine cooling requirements and radiation levels.**

Acknowledgements

(J. IRWIN)

1. Collaborators: *Dick Helm, Lia Merminga*
2. Materials Information: *Dieter Walz*
3. Scattering Data: *Ralph Nelson*
3. Previous System Design Strategies:
 - i) Spoilers followed by Absorbers
 - ii) Curved Collimator Surface *van Houten* *Unknown*
4. Previous System Experience:
 - i) Clean Up Edge Scattering Effects
 - ii) Correct Chromaticity Locally
 - iii) Collimate All Phases *P. Krejick, D. Burke*
5. Non-Linear Collimation Idea: *R. Ruth*
 with Elements at -I *K. Brown, K. Oide*
6. Importance of Resistive Wall Wakes: *K. Yokoya*
7. Geometric Wake for Tapered Scrapers:
K. Yokoya, K. Bane, B. Warnock
8. Helpful Discussions: *Many Others*

END

DATE
FILMED
319 193