Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

**FERMILAB-TM-1745
SSCL-N-765**

SSC 50mm Collider Dipole Cryostat Single Tube Support Post Conceptual Design and Analysis

T. Nicol

*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510*

August 1991

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

SSC 50mm Collider Dipole Cryostat Single Tube Support Post Conceptual Design and Analysis

Thomas H. Nicol
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
P.O. Box 500
Batavia, IL 60510

July 9, 1991

Introduction

Superconducting Super Collider (SSC) dipole magnet cold masses are connected to the cryostat vacuum vessel at five places equally spaced along their length. Five supports limit sag of the cold assembly due to its own weight to a level consistent with the final magnet alignment specifications.¹ The supports currently used in the 50mm dipoles being built at Fermilab and Brookhaven are adaptations of the design developed during the 40mm design program at Fermilab. The design essentially consists of two composite tubes nested within each other as a means of maximizing the thermal path length. In addition it provides an ideal way to utilize materials best suited for the temperature range over which they must operate. Filament wound S-glass is used between 300K and 80K. Filament wound graphite fiber is used between 80K and 20K and between 20K and 4.5K. S-glass is a better thermal performer above approximately 40K. Graphite composites are ideally suited for operation below 40K. The designs for both the 40mm and 50mm reentrant supports are well documented in the literature.²⁻⁵ Complete coverage is outside the scope of this report. Figure 1 illustrates a cross section through the current design for 50mm collider dipoles at one of the five supports. Figure 2 illustrates an isometric cutaway of the support post itself.

The current design of the reentrant support has two major drawbacks. First, it requires very tight dimensional control on all components; composite tubes and metal attachment parts. Second, it is expensive, with cost being driven by both the tolerance constraints and by a complex assembly procedure. It seems clear that production magnets will require a support structure which is considerably less expensive than that which is currently used. The unit cost for support posts for 50mm prototype magnets is on the order of \$2500 in quantities sufficient for 20 magnets (100 supports).

Work at Brookhaven National Laboratory and the SSC Laboratory over the past two years on RHIC cryostat support structures led to the development of a support post fabricated using Ultem-2100, an injection molded, chopped glass fiber, composite material.⁶ Due to its apparent cost advantage, the injection molding concept has found strong support among industrial contractors working on the design of production collider dipoles and quadrupoles. It may not, however, be the panacea that it is perceived to be for SSC dipoles. Space is limited in collider dipole cryostats so an injection molded assembly which provides sufficient strength and low heat load may be difficult to incorporate in the design. In addition, the SSC design requires an intercept at 20K which RHIC magnets do not utilize, further complicating the assembly. Finally, injection molded composite materials don't exhibit the combination of stiffness, strength, and low thermal conductivity required in the SSC collider dipole design.

It seems clear that a design alternate for reentrant support posts will be required for production dipoles primarily due to their cost. It seems less clear that injection molded composite materials are the ideal choice.

This report describes the conceptual design for a support post whose function is identical to that of the current reentrant design, which requires very few modifications to surrounding cryostat components, is at least structurally equivalent to the current 50mm support post, and is nearly equivalent thermally. It is not intended to present a generic design for a single tube support. Rather its intent is to focus on a single tube support which is aimed specifically at application in SSC 50mm collider dipoles.

Design Overview

The reentrant supports used in 40mm and 50mm SSC collider dipoles have shown themselves to be very capable of meeting their design requirements structurally and thermally, both in prototype magnets and in individual component tests. Filament wound tubes are superior to tubes fabricated from cloth layups or preregs due to the ability, inherent in the filament winding process, to tailor the material properties in each of three principal directions. They are superior to injection molded tubes in strength and stiffness by virtue of their continuous fibers. Injection molded components either use chopped fibers randomly oriented in a resin matrix or a resin alone.

The method of joining the composite tubes to their metal end attachments in current reentrant supports has also proven very reliable over the broad temperature range to which the assemblies are subjected. Shrink fitting is used to capture the composite tubes between inner discs and outer flanges.²⁻⁵ Epoxy joints and other forms of mechanical bonding are less reliable when subjected to temperature extremes which range from 300K to 4.5K.

This is the starting point for the subject design. It utilizes filament wound composite tubes connected to metal end fittings and thermal intercepts via shrink fitting. The difference is that it no longer utilizes reentrant tubes and so contains fewer parts and is easier to assemble.

Design Analysis

The design analysis for this or any other suspension system component consists of two parts; estimation of both structural and thermal performance. In this case each is accomplished by separate finite element models which are parameterized to allow many different design options to be considered. Listings 1 and 2 contain the ANSYS input files for these two models as well as illustrations of their respective mesh plots. The structural model allows the deflections and stresses in the composite tubes to be estimated and compared with the design requirements and with the composite material properties. The thermal model allows heat loads to 80K, 20K, and 4.5K to be estimated and compared with the the heat load budget.

The first step in the design process was to determine something about the available physical envelope into which the new assembly must fit. The intent was not to launch into a completely new cryostat design, but rather to develop a replacement which required as few modifications to other components as possible. Using figure 1 as a reference, it is clear that there are real physical constraints on the design. A support which is too tall would require repositioning of the cold mass. One which is too large in diameter would require that the bottom shield segments and associated piping be repositioned or redesigned. However, taller, in and of itself, is desirable due to longer thermal path lengths. Larger in diameter typically yields tubes of greater stiffness. So it is important to maximize each and to estimate the resulting structural and thermal performance. The table below shows the approximate maximum assembly heights for each of four tube diameters which could readily be incorporated into the current cryostat design.

Table 1 - Support tube diameter vs. height

<u>Tube OD (in)</u>	<u>Allowable Height (in)</u>
7	8.50
8	8.25
9	8.00
10	7.75

Figures 3 and 4 illustrate typical heat loads to 80K, 20K, and 4.5K for each of these tube diameters assuming assemblies with equivalent maximum tube stresses. In each of these cases, the proportions of the thermal path to each intercept to the total available thermal length were kept constant.

Using these results it is clear that the tube diameter should be maximized. An assembly which utilizes a ten inch diameter tube, or greater, would likely require extensive redesign of the cold mass cradle base and 80K shield. A tube nine inches in diameter provides a good compromise between adequate thermal performance and minimum overall design modifications. Therefore, a single tube support assembly which utilizes a nine inch diameter tube will be the focus of the remaining analysis. The choice of even inch sizes serves only to limit the size of the design envelope. The final tube and assembly dimensions may be further optimized during the final design process.

The structural and thermal performance of this and all other superconducting magnet support systems are generally at odds with one another. Load and stiffness specifications imply materials with high strength. Low allowable heat loads imply good insulators which are typically not good structural materials. The goal of this or any such design is to strike a reasonable balance between the two.

After choosing a physical envelope, the tube wall thicknesses were determined. A single tube can be machined such that the wall thickness is optimized between thermal intercepts. Optimized in this case means that the actual stress in each section is nearly equal to the allowable strength of the material. For the two most likely candidate materials; S-glass in an epoxy matrix and graphite fiber in an epoxy matrix, these values are assumed to be 20000 psi and 30000 psi respectively. Given these and the design loads of 1.5g lateral and 1.0g vertical applied at the cold mass centerline, the thicknesses of the nine inch tube between each intercept were determined by iteratively solving the structural problem with each tube section length sized by rough estimates of their final lengths. The results of this process are shown in table 2.

Table 2 - Wall thickness for each tube section

<u>Tube section</u>	<u>Wall thickness (in)</u>
300K to 80K	0.110
80K to 20K	0.090
20K to 4.5K	0.080

Of the eight inch overall height available in the nine inch diameter tube case (see table 1), 5.5 inches are available for thermal paths. The remainder of the tube length is used by the top and bottom flanges (0.75 inch each) and by the 80K and 20K intercept rings (0.5 inch each). Using the above thicknesses, the optimum location of each intercept needs to be chosen to yield an assembly whose heat load is as close to the budget as possible.

Starting with the tube thicknesses in table 2, the assembly heights from table 1, and the available thermal path length, the next step is to proportion the total thermal path among the three operating temperature

ranges; 300K to 80K, 80K to 20K, and 20K to 4.5K. It was clear during the development of the 40mm dipole reentrant supports that fiberglass reinforced composite (FRP) was the material of choice between 300K and 80K and that graphite reinforced composite (GRP) was ideally suited for operation between 20K and 4.5K. It was less clear which was preferred for use between 80K and 20K. In the reentrant tube design, if GRP was used between 20K and 4.5K, it was also required between 80K and 20K because the center tube is one continuous piece. For the sake of this exercise, we assume that even though the design utilizes a single tube, it is conceivable for it to contain sections with different fibers. Exactly how that is done is still under development.

Several iterations of the thermal analysis model (see listing 2) were performed in an attempt to determine the proper split of the total thermal path between each of the different tube sections. The results from these iterations are shown in table 3. Plots of these same data are shown in figures 5 through 7.

Table 3 - Thermal analysis iteration results

				<u>FRP/FRP/GRP</u>			<u>FRP/FRP/FRP</u>			<u>FRP/GRP/GRP</u>		
<u>L4</u>	<u>L20</u>	<u>L80</u>	<u>LTot</u>	<u>Q4.5</u>	<u>Q20</u>	<u>Q80</u>	<u>Q4.5</u>	<u>Q20</u>	<u>Q80</u>	<u>Q4.5</u>	<u>Q20</u>	<u>Q80</u>
0.6	2.2	2.7	5.5	0.058	0.444	2.961	0.079	0.423	2.961	0.060	0.620	2.786
0.8	2.1	2.6	5.5	0.049	0.479	3.066	0.070	0.458	3.066	0.051	0.665	2.882
1.0	2.0	2.5	5.5	0.042	0.514	3.179	0.063	0.493	3.179	0.044	0.711	2.984
1.2	1.9	2.4	5.5	0.038	0.550	3.301	0.057	0.530	3.300	0.039	0.760	3.093
1.4	1.8	2.3	5.5	0.034	0.589	3.431	0.053	0.570	3.431	0.035	0.812	3.211
1.6	1.7	2.2	5.5	0.031	0.631	3.572	0.049	0.613	3.572	0.032	0.870	3.338
1.8	1.6	2.1	5.5	0.029	0.678	3.725	0.046	0.661	3.725	0.030	0.935	3.473

L4: 20K to 4.5K thermal path length

L20: 80K to 20K thermal path length

L80: 300K to 80K thermal path length

LTot: Total thermal path length

FRP/FRP/GRP: FRP between 300K and 80K, FRP between 80K and 20K, GRP between 20K and 4.5K.

FRP/FRP/FRP: FRP between 300K and 80K, FRP between 80K and 20K, FRP between 20K and 4.5K.

FRP/GRP/GRP: FRP between 300K and 80K, GRP between 80K and 20K, GRP between 20K and 4.5K.

(All lengths are in inches)

(All heat loads are in watts)

For comparison, table 4 lists the current 50mm dipole heat load budget and two calculated heat loads for the current 50mm reentrant support. The first set of calculated values (Case 1) ignores the thermal resistance of the intercept straps and assumes that each of the 80K and 20K intercepts are perfectly heat sunk to their respective shields. The second

(Case 2) includes the effect of thermal impedance in the intercept connections.

Table 4 - Current heat load budget and reentrant support predictions

	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Case 1</u>	<u>Case 2</u>
Heat load to 4.5K:	0.032W	0.033W	0.037W
Heat load to 20K:	0.480W	0.492W	0.609W
Heat load to 80K:	3.160W	3.133W	2.953W

Two things are clear from figures 5 through 7. First, in order to get close to the 4.5K heat load budget, GRP must be used for the tube section between 20K and 4.5K. Second, GRP in the 80K to 20K tube section does not allow the 20K heat load to be met. FRP is required for that section. A few fine tuning iterations yield the following proportions for the three tube sections.

Table 5 - Final thermal path proportions

300K to 80K:	2.50 in
80K to 20K:	1.75 in
20K to 4.5K:	1.25 in

This proportioning yields the heat loads to each thermal stations shown in table 6 which include the thermal impedances of the cold mass cradle and intercept straps. Note that there is remarkably good agreement between these values and those in column three of table 4 (Case 2), i.e. this single tube support and the current reentrant support have almost identical predicted thermal performance. Table 6 also includes the heat load to each thermal station for an all FRP tube (FRP/FRP/FRP) for reference in the event that a means of fabricating a tube with S-glass and graphite fibers into the same structure can not be found.

Table 6 - Final heat loads for nine inch diameter single tube support

	<u>FRP/FRP/GRP</u>	<u>FRP/FRP/FRP</u>
Heat load to 4.5K:	0.037W	0.056W
Heat load to 20K:	0.596W	0.576W
Heat load to 80K:	3.104W	3.104W

(All heat loads are per support)

The structural analysis model using these final section lengths confirms that the stress constraints are still near their optimal values for the tube thicknesses shown in table 2. The stresses are shown in table 7 for the FRP/FRP/GRP assembly.

Table 7 - Tube stresses in the final assembly configuration

	<u>σ(max compr)</u>	<u>σ(max tens)</u>	<u>τ(max)</u>
300K to 80K section:	-22815	19310	4836
80K to 20K section:	-25619	20999	6028
20K to 4.5K section:	-30599	25929	6707

(All stresses are in psi)

Recall that the 20K to 4.5K section is GRP which has an allowable tensile strength of 30000 psi vs. 20000 for FRP.

One thing remains troublesome and is indicated by the discrepancy between the calculated 20K heat loads shown in the second and third columns of table 4. The discrepancy is caused by the thermal impedances in the 80K and 20K straps which increase the temperature at which each post intercept operates and drives the 20K heat load upward. The thermal analysis model may equally well be used to investigate this effect. The results from several analyses aimed at studying the sensitivity of heat load to strap cross section are shown in table 8. It assumes the final assembly geometry listed above. The current 80K and 20K straps connecting the intercepts to the shields are 0.1111 cm²/cm and 0.0444 cm²/cm respectively (cross section/length).

Table 8 - Sensitivity of heat load to thermal intercept impedance

80K and 20K strap A/l (% of current)	<u>4.5K</u>	<u>20K</u>	<u>80K</u>
10	0.135W	0.913W	2.220W
50	0.042W	0.651W	2.984W
100	0.037W	0.596W	3.104W
200	0.035W	0.567W	3.166W
400	0.034W	0.552W	3.197W
1000	0.033W	0.537W	3.228W

Clearly the heat loads to each intercept are affected by the size of the intercept straps. This serves not only to justify their existence, but also to stress the importance of good thermal connections between the straps, intercepts, and shields.

Final Dimensional and Performance Parameters

Table 9 lists some of the dimensional and performance parameters for the single tube support described in this report as well as comparable values for the current 50mm support post design. Figure 8 illustrates a cross section through the proposed support.

Table 9 - Final dimensional and performance parameters

	<u>Single tube support</u>	<u>Reentrant support</u>
300K to 80K		
OD:	9.0 in	9.5 in
thickness:	0.110 in	0.085 in
thermal path length:	2.5 in	2.5 in
material:	FRP	FRP
max tensile stress:	19310 psi	18900 psi
80K to 20K		
OD:	9.0 in	7.0 in
thickness:	0.090 in	0.125 in
thermal path length:	1.75 in	2.125 in
material:	FRP	GRP
max tensile stress:	20999 psi	22350 psi
20K to 4.5K		
OD:	9.0 in	7.0 in
thickness:	0.080 in	0.125 in
thermal path length:	1.25 in	1.5 in
material:	GRP	GRP
max tensile stress:	25929 psi	22350 psi
Overall assembly height:	8.0 in	7.0 in
Cold mass deflection:	0.126 in	0.163 in
Heat load to 4.5K:	0.037W	0.037W
Heat load to 20K:	0.596W	0.609W
Heat load to 80K:	3.104W	2.953W

As mentioned previously, there is some flexibility in the design envelope for the single tube support. More detailed design analysis may yield parameters somewhat different than those listed above. These, however, serve as a good starting reference.

One final note about machining tolerances is warranted here. As noted in the introduction to this report, one of the factors driving the cost of the reentrant supports is the close tolerancing required to effect the shrink fit joints. Figure 9 contains the results from an analysis program which is used to predict the required interference in these joints. These results are for the 300K joint of the proposed single tube support, i.e. that with the highest overturning moment. The first section of this table indicates that the total radial interference required to just meet the structural requirement for this joint is 0.0068 inch. The second section shows the same joint with 0.0108 inch interference, i.e. the results for a 0.004 inch wide radial tolerance band. This listing illustrates the joint sensitivity to

the effective interference. It must be sufficient to meet the mechanical specifications, but not so high as to overstress the metal parts.

Close tolerancing will still be required in the single tube design. It is hoped that by machining the composite tubes with lands at which close tolerances are required rather than requiring that the entire length be tightly controlled will reduce the difficulties encountered by composite tube suppliers thus far in the 40mm and 50mm development programs. Also, in large quantities, parts matching techniques may enable tolerances to be loosened up on both the metal and composite parts.

Summary and Conclusions

The above analysis indicates that a support post fabricated from a single composite tube can meet the structural and thermal specifications proposed for the SSC 50mm collider dipole suspension system. Figure 10 illustrates the same 50mm dipole cross section shown in figure 1, but which utilizes the single tube support described above.

Initial estimates from one vendor familiar with assembly of reentrant supports indicate that the total cost of a single tube support in quantities like those procured to date in the 50mm prototype program would be on the order of \$1700, compared with \$2500 for the reentrant supports.

A test program has yet to be initiated which confirms the predicted performance of this assembly. Also, development work needs to be done to determine whether or not it is feasible to fabricate a single tube with both S-glass and graphite fibers in the same structure. Filament winding or resin transfer molding may both be viable fabrication techniques.

It is possible that development work at the SSCL or at one of the industrial contractors will yield a support structure which successfully utilizes injection molded materials. The above analysis is one more alternative and one which is based firmly on proven design concepts.

References

1. Superconducting Super Collider Laboratory, "15 Meter Collider Dipole Magnet Prime Development Specification," March 6, 1990.
2. Nicol, T.H., et al., "A Suspension System for Superconducting Super Collider Magnets," Proceedings of the Eleventh International Cryogenic Engineering Conference, Vol. 11, Butterworths, Surrey, UK, 1986, pp. 533-536.
3. Nicol, T.H., et al., "SSC Magnet Cryostat Suspension System Design," Advances in Cryogenic Engineering, Vol. 33, Plenum Press, New York, 1987 pp. 227-234.
4. Nicol, T.H., J.D. Gonczy and R.C. Niemann, "Design and Analysis of the SSC Dipole Magnet Suspension System," Super Collider 1, Vol. 1, Plenum Press, New York, 1989, pp. 637-649.
5. Nicol, T.H., "SSC 50mm Collider Dipole Cryostat Design," presented at the 1991 CEC/ICMC Conference, Huntsville, AL, June 11-14, 1991.
6. Wolf, L.J. and J. Sondericker, "Alternative Concepts for Structurally Supporting the Cold Mass of Superconducting Accelerator Magnets", presented at the 1991 IISSC Conference, Atlanta, GA, March 13-15, 1991.

SSC 50mm Collider Dipole Cryostat Cross Section

SSC 50mm Collider Dipole Cryostat Support Post

**SSC 50mm Single Tube Support
4.5K Heat Load vs. Tube Diameter**

Figure 3

**SSC 50mm Single Tube Support
20K and 80K Heat Load vs. Tube Diameter**

Figure 4

**SSC 50mm Single Tube Support
4.5K Heat Load vs. Thermal Path Length**

Figure 5

**SSC 50mm Single Tube Support
20K Heat Load vs. Thermal Path Length**

Figure 6

SSC 50mm Single Tube Support 80K Heat Load vs. Thermal Path Length

Figure 7

SSC 50mm Single Tube Support Conceptual Design

Figure 8

 ***** **Shrink Fit Analysis...Input** *****

Note.....: 50mm single tube support - 300K joint
 Dimension, A.....(in): .7500
 B.....(in): 4.3900
 C.....(in): 4.5625
 D.....(in): 5.5625
 T.....(in): .7500
 Material property, E1.....(psi): .28E+08
 V1.....: .3330
 E2.....(psi): .10E+07
 V2.....: .2000
 E3.....(psi): .28E+08
 V3.....: .3330
 Friction coefficient, Mu.....: .3000
 Force to slip, FSlip.....(lb): .0
 Resisting Moment, MRes...(in-lb): 104666.0

 ***** **Shrink Fit Analysis...Results** *****

Interference reqd at inner interface...(in): .0325
 outer interface...(in): -.0256

 Total radial interference reqd.....(in): .0068

 Contact pressure at inner interface...(psi): 6034.4
 outer interface...(psi): 5586.7

 Actual force to slip.....(lb): 37450.8
 resisting moment.....(in-lb): 104666.0*

	Ri =====	Rm =====	Ro =====
Inner disc...SigR...(psi):	.0	-5686.5	-6034.4
SigC...(psi):	-12431.6	-6745.2	-6397.2
Tube.....SigR...(psi):	-6034.4	-5804.1	-5586.7
SigC...(psi):	6034.4	5804.1	5586.7
Outer ring...SigR...(psi):	-5586.7	-2380.9	.0
SigC...(psi):	28558.7	25352.8	22972.0

*: Resisting moment = (14.125 in x 7410 lbf)

Figure 9

 ***** **Shrink Fit Analysis...Input** *****

Note.....: 50mm single tube support - 300K joint
 Dimension, A.....(in): .7500
 B.....(in): 4.3900
 C.....(in): 4.5625
 D.....(in): 5.5625
 T.....(in): .7500
 Material property, E1.....(psi): .28E+08
 V1.....: .3330
 E2.....(psi): .10E+07
 V2.....: .2000
 E3.....(psi): .28E+08
 V3.....: .3330
 Friction coefficient, Mu.....: .3000
 Force to slip, FSlip.....(lb): .0
 Resisting Moment, MRes...(in-lb): 165000.0

 ***** **Shrink Fit Analysis...Results** *****

Interference reqd at inner interface...(in): .0512
 outer interface...(in): -.0404
 Total radial interference reqd.....(in): .0108
 Contact pressure at inner interface...(psi): 9512.9
 outer interface...(psi): 8807.2
 Actual force to slip.....(lb): 59039.0
 resisting moment.....(in-lb): 165000.0*

	Ri	Rm	Ro
	=====	=====	=====
Inner disc...SigR...(psi):	.0	-8964.4	-9512.9
SigC...(psi):	-19597.8	-10633.4	-10084.9
Tube.....SigR...(psi):	-9512.9	-9149.8	-8807.2
SigC...(psi):	9512.9	9149.8	8807.2
Outer ring...SigR...(psi):	-8807.2	-3753.3	.0
SigC...(psi):	45021.1	39967.3	36214.0

*: Resisting moment sized to yield nominal interference + 0.004 in

Figure 9 (cont'd)

SSC 50mm Collider Dipole Cryostat Cross Section (Single Tube Support)

```

/PREP7
/TITLE,SSC 50mm single tube support - structural model - July 1991
/NOPR
C***
C*****
C*** T. Nicol - Fermilab ****
C*** July 1991 ****
C*****
C***
C*** Filename: 50mm_single_tube_structural.026
C***
C*** This is a structural model for an SSC style support post which utilizes
C*** a single support tube as opposed to two reentrant tubes like those from
C*** the 40mm and 50mm development programs. I can accommodate different
C*** wall thicknesses, and materials for each tube section, i.e. 300K to 80K,
C*** 80K to 20K, and 20K to 4.5K.
C***
C*** Refer to log book #10, pages 225-231 for model development ****
C***
/SHOW,X11
/VIEW,1,3.0,4.0,5.0
TD8C,1
RDBC,1
FBC,1
C***
C*** Define variable parameters ****
C***
*SET,L,8.0 * Overall height...
*SET,F80,43.75 * Fraction of total length from 300K to 80K...
*SET,F20,28.125 * Fraction of total length from 80K to 20K...
*SET,F4,(100.0-F80-F20) * Fraction of total length from 20K to 4K...
*SET,OD,9.0 * Tube OD...
*SET,T1,0.110 * Tube thickness 1 (300K to 80K)...
*SET,T2,0.090 * Tube thickness 2 (80K to 20K)...
*SET,T3,0.080 * Tube thickness 3 (20K to 4K)...
*SET,HCG,14.125 * Height of cold mass cg...
*SET,R1,1.0 * Disc inner radius...
*SET,R2,(OD/2.0) * Tube radius...
*SET,L1,(L*(F80/100.0)) * Length from 300K to 80K...
*SET,L2,(L*(F20/100.0)) * Length from 80K to 20K...
*SET,L3,(L*(F4/100.0)) * Length from 20K to 4K...
*SET,FG,7410.0 * Lateral g-load...
*SET,FW,4940.0 * Vertical weight load...
*SET,FQ,0.0 * Lateral quench load...
*SET,FT1,0.75 * Flange thickness 1 (300K)...
*SET,FT2,0.5 * Flange thickness 2 (80K)...
*SET,FT3,0.5 * Flange thickness 3 (20K)...
*SET,FT4,0.75 * Flange thickness 4 (4K)...
*SET,FW1,1.0 * Flange width 1 (300K)...
*SET,FW2,1.0 * Flange width 2 (80K)...
*SET,FW3,1.0 * Flange width 3 (20K)...
*SET,FW4,1.0 * Flange width 4 (4K)...
C***
C*** Define analysis type ****
C***
KAN,0
C***
C*** Define element types ****
C***
ET,1,63 * 3-d quadrilateral shells...

```



```

ET,2,45 * 3-d isoparametric solids...
ET,3,4 * 3-d beams...
C***
C*** Define material properties ****
C***
EX,1,4.0E6 * 300K to 80K tube...
NUXY,1,0.2
GXY,1,0.380E6
EX,2,4.0E6 * 80K to 20K tube...
NUXY,2,0.2
GXY,2,0.380E6
EX,3,8.0E6 * 20K to 4K tube...
NUXY,3,0.2
GXY,3,0.380E6
EX,4,28.0E6 * Stainless steel...
NUXY,4,0.3
EX,5,10.0E6 * Aluminum...
NUXY,5,0.3
EX,6,30.0E6 * Steel...
NUXY,6,0.3
C***
C*** Define real constants ****
C***
R,1,T1 * 300K to 80K tube...
R,2,T2 * 80K to 20K tube...
R,3,T3 * 20K to 4K tube...
R,4,0.0 * Discs and rings...
R,5,10.0,1000.0,1000.0,10.0,10.0 * Beams (arbitrarily stiff)...
C***
C*** Define nodes ****
C***
C*** Tube ****
C***
CSYS,0
N,1,R2,0.0
N,3,R2,FT1
N,23,R2,(L1-FT2/2)
N,25,R2,(L1+FT2/2)
N,45,R2,(L1+L2-FT3/2)
N,47,R2,(L1+L2+FT3/2)
N,57,R2,(L-FT4)
N,59,R2,L
FILL,1,3
FILL,3,23
FILL,23,25
FILL,25,45
FILL,45,47
FILL,47,57
FILL,57,59
C***
C*** Discs and flanges ****
C***
C*** 300K ****
C***
N,101,R1,0.0
N,103,R1,FT1
N,113,R2,0.0
N,115,R2,FT1
FILL,101,103
FILL,113,115

```

```

FILL,101,113,3
RP3,1,1,0
N,151,R2,0.0
N,153,R2,FT1
N,157,(R2+FW1),0.0
N,159,(R2+FW1),FT1
FILL,151,153
FILL,157,159
FILL,151,157,1
RP3,1,1,0
C***
C*** 80K ****
C***
N,201,R1,(L1-FT2/2)
N,203,R1,(L1+FT2/2)
N,213,R2,(L1-FT2/2)
N,215,R2,(L1+FT2/2)
FILL,201,203
FILL,213,215
FILL,201,213,3
RP3,1,1,0
N,251,R2,(L1-FT2/2)
N,253,R2,(L1+FT2/2)
N,257,(R2+FW2),(L1-FT2/2)
N,259,(R2+FW2),(L1+FT2/2)
FILL,251,253
FILL,257,259
FILL,251,257,1
RP3,1,1,0
C***
C*** 20K ****
C***
N,301,R1,(L1+L2-FT3/2)
N,303,R1,(L1+L2+FT3/2)
N,313,R2,(L1+L2-FT3/2)
N,315,R2,(L1+L2+FT3/2)
FILL,301,303
FILL,313,315
FILL,301,313,3
RP3,1,1,0
N,351,R2,(L1+L2-FT3/2)
N,353,R2,(L1+L2+FT3/2)
N,357,(R2+FW3),(L1+L2-FT3/2)
N,359,(R2+FW3),(L1+L2+FT3/2)
FILL,351,353
FILL,357,359
FILL,351,357,1
RP3,1,1,0
C***
C*** 4K ****
C***
N,401,R1,(L-FT4)
N,403,R1,L
N,413,R2,(L-FT4)
N,415,R2,L
FILL,401,403
FILL,413,415
FILL,401,413,3
RP3,1,1,0
N,451,R2,(L-FT4)

```

```

N,453,R2,L
N,457,(R2+FW4),(L-FT4)
N,459,(R2+FW4),L
FILL,451,453
FILL,457,459
FILL,451,457,1
RP3,1,1,0
C***
C*** Define nodes for half cylinder ****
C***
LOCAL,11,1,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,90.0
CSCIR,11,1,0
NGEN,17,500,1,499,1,0.0,-11.250,0.0
CSYS,0
C***
C*** Nodes for beam elements ****
C***
N,500,0.0,HCG
N,498,(R2+FW4),HCG
N,499,-(R2+FW4),HCG
C***
C*** Define elements ****
C***
C*** 300K to 80K tube ****
C***
TYPE,1
MAT,1
REAL,1
E,1,501,502,2
EGEN,23,1,-1
C***
C*** 80K to 20K tube ****
C***
MAT,2
REAL,2
E,24,524,525,25
EGEN,22,1,-1
C***
C*** 20K to 4K tube ****
C***
MAT,3
REAL,3
E,46,546,547,47
EGEN,13,1,-1
C***
C*** 300K disc and ring ****
C***
TYPE,2
MAT,4
REAL,4
E,101,104,105,102,601,604,605,602
EGEN,4,3,-1
EGEN,2,1,-4
E,151,154,155,152,651,654,655,652
EGEN,2,3,-1
EGEN,2,1,-2
C***
C*** 80K disc and ring ****
C***
MAT,5

```

```

REAL,4
E,201,204,205,202,701,704,705,702
EGEN,4,3,-1
EGEN,2,1,-4
E,251,254,255,252,751,754,755,752
EGEN,2,3,-1
EGEN,2,1,-2
C***
C*** 20K disc and ring ****
C***
MAT,5
REAL,4
E,301,304,305,302,801,804,805,802
EGEN,4,3,-1
EGEN,2,1,-4
E,351,354,355,352,851,854,855,852
EGEN,2,3,-1
EGEN,2,1,-2
C***
C*** 4K disc and ring ****
C***
MAT,4
REAL,4
E,401,404,405,402,901,904,905,902
EGEN,4,3,-1
EGEN,2,1,-4
E,451,454,455,452,951,954,955,952
EGEN,2,3,-1
EGEN,2,1,-2
C***
C*** Generate elements around half-cylinder ****
C***
EGEN,16,500,-999
C***
C*** Beams to simulate cradle assy ****
C***
TYPE,3
MAT,6
REAL,5
E,459,498
E,498,500
E,500,499
E,499,8459
C***
C*** Merge nodes and reorder wavefront ****
C***
NUMMRG,NODE,0.001
WSORT,Y,0
C***
C*** Define boundary conditions ****
C***
SYMB,0,3 * Symmetry at xy-plane...
D,104,ALL,0.0,,8104,500 * Fixed base...
D,107,ALL,0.0,,8107,500
D,110,ALL,0.0,,8110,500
C***
C*** Define forces ****
C***
F,500,FX,(FG/2.0) * Lateral g-load...
F,459,FY,(-FW/2.0/17.0),,8459,500 * Vertical weight load...

```

```
F,459,FX,(FQ/2.0/17.0),,8459,500 * Lateral quench load...
C***
C*** Define load step info ****
C***
KRF,1
ITER,1,1,1
/GQPR
AFWRITE
FINISH
/INPUT,27
FINISH
```

1

ANSYS 4.4A
JUL 8 1991
16:37:16
PREP7 ELEMENTS
TYPE NUM
FORC

XV =3
YV =4
ZV =5
DIST=9.634
YF =7.062
ZF =-2.75
PRECISE HIDDEN

SSC 50mm single tube support - structural model - July 1991

```

/PREP7
/TITLE,50mm single tube support - thermal model - July 1991
/NOPR
C***
C*****
C*** T. Nicol - Fermilab ****
C*** July 1991 ****
C*****
C***
C*** Filename: 50mm_single_tube_thermal.026
C***
C*** This is a thermal model for an SSC style support post. I can accommodate
C*** different tube diameters, wall thicknesses, and materials for each tube
C*** section, i.e. 300K to 80K, 80K to 20K, and 20K to 4.5K. It also includes
C*** the effect of intercept strap and cold mass cradle thermal impedences.
C***
C*** Refer to log book #10, pages 159-174 for model development ****
C***
/SHOW,X11
NTBC,1
KAN,-1
ET,1,32 * 2-d heat conducting bar...
C***
C*** Define variable parameters ****
C***
*SET,OD1,9.0 * tube OD (300K to 80K) (in)...
*SET,OD2,9.0 * tube OD (80K to 20K) (in)...
*SET,OD3,9.0 * tube OD (20K to 4K) (in)...
*SET,T1,0.110 * tube thickness (300K to 80K)...
*SET,T2,0.090 * tube thickness (80K to 20K)...
*SET,T3,0.080 * tube thickness (20K to 4K)...
*SET,L80,2.500 * 300K to 80K tube length (in)...
*SET,L20,1.750 * 80K to 20K tube length (in)...
*SET,L4,1.250 * 20K to 4K tube length (in)...
*SET,LCDL,1.000 * cradle length (in)...
*SET,TFL,0.75 * top and bottom flange thicknesses (in)...
*SET,TINT,0.500 * intercept thicknesses (in)...
*SET,PI,3.141593 * pi...
*SET,ATB1,(PI*(OD1*T1-T1*T1)) * tube cross section (300K to 80K) (in2)...
*SET,ATB2,(PI*(OD2*T2-T2*T2)) * tube cross section (80K to 20K) (in2)...
*SET,ATB3,(PI*(OD3*T3-T3*T3)) * tube cross section (300K to 80K) (in2)...
*SET,ATB1,(ATB1*6.4516) * tube cross section (300K to 80K) (cm2)...
*SET,ATB2,(ATB2*6.4516) * tube cross section (80K to 20K) (cm2)...
*SET,ATB3,(ATB3*6.4516) * tube cross section (20K to 4K) (cm2)...
*SET,AFL,202.68 * flange cross sectional areas (cm2)...
*SET,ALCR,0.838 * cradle A/L (cm)...
*SET,AL80,0.1111 * 80K strap A/L (cm)...
*SET,AL20,0.0444 * 20K strap A/L (cm)...
*SET,H1,TFL * heights (in)...
*SET,H2,(H1+L80)
*SET,H3,(H2+TINT)
*SET,H4,(H3+L20)
*SET,H5,(H4+TINT)
*SET,H6,(H5+L4)
*SET,H7,(H6+TFL)
*SET,H8,(H7+LCDL)
C***
C*** Define material properties ****
C***
C*** =====

```

```

C*** = KXX VS. TEMPERATURE FOR 304 STN STL (MAT 1) =
C*** =          UNITS: W/CM-K          =
C*** =====
MPTEMP,, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0
MPTEMP,, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0, 60.0, 70.0, 80.0
MPTEMP,, 100.0, 120.0, 140.0, 160.0, 180.0, 200.0
MPTEMP,, 250.0, 300.0
MPDATA,KXX,1,,0.0024,0.0039,0.0057,0.0077,0.0132,0.0195
MPDATA,KXX,1,,0.0330,0.0470,0.0580,0.0680,0.0760,0.0830
MPDATA,KXX,1,,0.0950,0.1030,0.1100,0.1200,0.1230,0.1300
MPDATA,KXX,1,,0.1400,0.1500
MPTEMP
C***
C*** =====
C*** = KXX VS. TEMPERATURE FOR G-11 (WARP) (MAT 2) =
C*** =          UNITS: W/CM-K          =
C*** =====
MPTEMP,, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0
MPTEMP,, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0, 60.0, 70.0, 80.0
MPTEMP,, 100.0, 120.0, 140.0, 160.0, 180.0, 200.0
MPTEMP,, 240.0, 300.0
MPDATA,KXX,2,,0.00072,0.00099,0.00120,0.00136,0.00163,0.00182
MPDATA,KXX,2,,0.00211,0.00236,0.00260,0.00284,0.00308,0.00331
MPDATA,KXX,2,,0.00378,0.00423,0.00466,0.00507,0.00546,0.00582
MPDATA,KXX,2,,0.00649,0.00735
MPTEMP
C***
C*** =====
C*** = KXX VS. TEMPERATURE FOR 6063 ALUMNM (MAT 3) =
C*** =          UNITS: W/CM-K          =
C*** =====
MPTEMP,, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0
MPTEMP,, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0, 60.0, 70.0, 80.0
MPTEMP,, 100.0, 120.0, 140.0, 160.0, 180.0, 200.0
MPTEMP,, 250.0, 300.0
MPDATA,KXX,3,,0.3400,0.5100,0.6900,0.8600,1.3000,1.7000
MPDATA,KXX,3,,2.3000,2.7000,2.8000,2.7000,2.5000,2.3000
MPDATA,KXX,3,,2.1000,2.0500,2.0000,2.0000,2.0000,2.0000
MPDATA,KXX,3,,2.0000,2.0000
MPTEMP
C***
C*** =====
C*** = KXX VS. TEMPERATURE FOR OFHC COPPER (MAT 4) =
C*** =          UNITS: W/CM-K          =
C*** =====
MPTEMP,, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10.0, 15.0, 20.0
MPTEMP,, 30.0, 40.0, 50.0, 60.0, 70.0, 80.0
MPTEMP,, 100.0, 120.0, 140.0, 160.0, 180.0, 200.0
MPTEMP,, 250.0, 300.0
MPDATA,KXX,4,,2.4000,3.7000,4.7000,6.0000,8.5000,11.000
MPDATA,KXX,4,,12.000,10.000,7.7000,6.2000,5.5000,4.9000
MPDATA,KXX,4,,4.5000,4.3000,4.2000,4.1000,4.0000,4.0000
MPDATA,KXX,4,,4.0000,4.0000
MPTEMP
C***
C*** =====
C*** = KXX VS. TEMPERATURE FOR UNIAXIAL GRP (MAT 5) =
C*** =          UNITS: W/CM-K          =
C*** =====
MPTEMP,, 4.0, 5.0, 7.0, 9.0, 12.0, 15.0
MPTEMP,, 20.0, 25.0, 30.0, 60.0, 80.0, 100.0
MPTEMP,, 150.0, 200.0, 250.0, 300.0
MPDATA,KXX,5,,0.00052,0.00055,0.00060,0.00068,0.00070,0.00080

```



```

MPDATA,KXX,5,,0.00100,0.00120,0.00180,0.00400,0.00610,0.00820
MPDATA,KXX,5,,0.01500,0.02000,0.02800,0.03200
MPTMP
C***
C*** Define real constants ****
C***
R, 1,AFL          * bottom flange...
R, 2,ATB1         * 300K to 80K composite tube...
R, 3,AFL          * 80K intercept...
R, 4,ATB2         * 80K to 20K composite tube...
R, 5,AFL          * 20K intercept...
R, 6,ATB3         * 20K to 4K composite tube...
R, 7,AFL          * top ring...
R, 8,(ALCR*2.54)  * cradle...
R, 9,(AL80*2.54) * 80K intercept strap...
R,10,(AL20*2.54) * 20K intercept strap...
C***
C*** Define nodes ****
C***
CSYS,0
N, 1,0.0,0.0
N, 5,0.0,H1
N, 9,0.0,H2
N, 13,0.0,H3
N, 17,0.0,H4
N, 21,0.0,H5
N, 25,0.0,H6
N, 29,0.0,H7
N, 33,0.0,H8
FILL,1,5
FILL,5,9
FILL,9,13
FILL,13,17
FILL,17,21
FILL,21,25
FILL,25,29
FILL,29,33
NGEN,2,90,11,,,0.0,0.0 * node 101 coincident with 11...
NGEN,2,87,19,,,0.0,0.0 * node 106 coincident with 19...
N,105,1.0,(H2+H3)/2.0
N,110,1.0,(H4+H5)/2.0
FILL,101,105
FILL,106,110
C***
C*** Convert inches to cm ****
C***
NSCALE,0,ALL,,,2.54,2.54
C***
C*** Define elements ****
C***
TYPE,1
C***
C*** Bottom flange ****
C***
MAT,1
REAL,1
E,1,2
EGEN,4,1,-1
C***
C*** 300K to 80K composite tube ****

```

```
C***
MAT,2
REAL,2
E,5,6
EGEN,4,1,-1
C***
C*** 80K intercept ****
C***
MAT,3
REAL,3
E,9,10
EGEN,4,1,-1
C***
C*** 80K to 20K composite tube ****
C***
MAT,2 * glass...
C*** MAT,5 * graphite...
REAL,4
E,13,14
EGEN,4,1,-1
C***
C*** 20K intercept ****
C***
MAT,3
REAL,5
E,17,18
EGEN,4,1,-1
C***
C*** 20K to 4K composite tube ****
C***
C*** MAT,2 * glass...
MAT,5 * graphite...
REAL,6
E,21,22
EGEN,4,1,-1
C***
C*** Top flange ****
C***
MAT,1
REAL,7
E,25,26
EGEN,4,1,-1
C***
C*** Cradle ****
C***
MAT,1
REAL,8
E,29,30
EGEN,4,1,-1
C***
C*** 80K intercept strap ****
C***
MAT,4
REAL,9
E,101,102
EGEN,4,1,-1
C***
C*** 20K intercept strap ****
C***
MAT,4
```

```
REAL,10
E,106,107
EGEN,4,1,-1
C***
C*** Merge coincident nodes and reorder wavefront ****
C***
NUMMRG,NODE,0.01
WSORT,Y,0
C***
C*** Define temperature boundary conditions ****
C***
NT, 1,TEMP,300.0
NT,105,TEMP,80.0
NT,110,TEMP,20.0
NT, 33,TEMP,4.5
C***
C*** Define load step ****
C***
TUNIF,300.0
KBC,1
CNVR,0.1
KRF,1
ITER,-100,100,100
/GOPR
AFWRITE
FINISH
/INPUT,27
FINISH
```

1

50mm single tube support - thermal model - July 1991

ANSYS 4.4A
 JUL 9 1991
 9:15:58
 PREP7 ELEMENTS
 MAT NUM

ZV =1
 DIST=12.573
 XF =1.27
 YF =11.43