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Abstract—Several model SSC collider dipole magnets (50 mm aperture, 1.5 m magnetic length) have been built and tested at Fermilab. These magnets are instrumented with strain gauges to measure stresses in the coil, the cold mass shell, and the coil end clamp assembly. Measurements are made of these quantities during assembly, cooldown, excitation, and warmup. Additional mechanical measurements are made on magnet sub-assemblies during manufacturing. Data from these measurements are presented and compared with expectations from the design calculations.

I. INTRODUCTION

This paper describes the mechanical behavior of several 1.5 m magnetic length 50 mm aperture SSC collider dipole magnets that have been built and tested at Fermilab, and compares the behavior with that expected from the mechanical design calculations[1,2]. The mechanical design of the 2D cross section is fully discussed in [1] and of the magnet end in [2]. The vertically split yoke contacts the collars near the horizontal mid-plane under all conditions to provide support against deflection under the IxB force. The yoke halves are clamped together by a 540 mm O.D., 4.95 mm thick stainless steel shell which is pretensioned at room temperature by weld shrinkage and whose pretension grows with cooldown. A collet assembly, consisting of a four piece G10 insulator and a stainless steel (DSA321 and 323) or aluminum (DSA324 and beyond) outer cylinder, is used to clamp the coil end. Axial force is transmitted from the coil to the shell through a 38 mm thick end plate which is prestressed against the end clamp by four set-screws.

Measurements of important sub-assembly dimensions are made during manufacturing. Strain gauge transducers[3] measure the coil azimuthal prestress and the force between the end clamp and end plate. On some magnets strain gauges have been placed on the shell and on the end clamp.

Data are presented from six model magnets denoted DSA321-325 and DSA327. DSA321 and 323 have been fully tested and DSA324 is currently under cold test. DSA322 and 327 have been used for assembly experiments and have not been cold tested. DSA325 developed a turn-to-turn short during assembly which cleared upon disassembly and could not be repaired. DSA326 is currently being assembled and is not discussed.

II. ASSEMBLY DATA

The inner and outer coil prestress at important points during assembly and testing are shown in Table I. The collars are designed to position the conductors as defined by the magnetic design[4] without the use of pole shims. This requires that the coils be molded to the correct size to achieve prestress in the desired ranges of 55-85 MPa and 40-70 MPa in the inner and outer coils respectively. However, pole shims were applied to several of these magnets by adding or removing a layer of Kapton ground insulation at the pole as shown in Table I. The measured relation between coil+shim size and prestress has been used to determine[5] the correct

Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pole</th>
<th>Shim (mm)</th>
<th>DSA321</th>
<th>322</th>
<th>323</th>
<th>324</th>
<th>325</th>
<th>327</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. COIL COOLDOWN DATA

The cool down and testing for coil DSA321 is given in Table II. These data are consistent with the expected values.

Table II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>4.2</th>
<th>2 &amp; 3</th>
<th>1 &amp; 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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molded coil size for the long 50 mm dipoles. All magnets except DSA323 have the inner prestress within the target window but the outer coil prestress tends to be systematically higher than is required. Stress relaxation occurs, especially for the higher prestress magnet DSA324, between collar keying and shell welding and between welding and cooldown.

Collar deflections with prestress are determined by comparing measured collared coil diameters with those on a collar pack assembled with keys but no coil. The relation between the vertical deflection and the inner-outer average prestress is plotted in Fig. 1 for four of the six magnets. (One was measured before adequate procedures for collar diameter measurements were instituted and is not plotted. Another was keyed by closing the collars only far enough to start the tapered keys and then driving them in with a force of 500 N/mm. This magnet cannot be compared with the others due to the possibility of additional inelastic deflections from the tapered key insertion.) The deflections are somewhat larger than predicted by finite element calculations[6] but comparable to those assumed in the design calculations[1].

Finite element calculations[6] predict a much smaller horizontal than vertical deflection with prestress. The measured horizontal radial deflections lie between -0.03 and +0.04 mm. This is a wider range than expected and there is no obvious relation between the deflection and prestress. Because the deflections are determined relative to one undeflected collar pack, this variation may represent the currently achieved tolerance on the assembly of the spot-welded pairs of collars[7]. This is currently under investigation.

When the shell is welded the magnet is placed in a press which compresses the yoke about the collared coil, causes the shell to conform to the yoke, and holds the entire assembly in a straight, twist-free state which is then maintained after the two shell halves are welded. Weld shrinkage causes an azimuthal stress to be developed in the shell which maintains the yoke-collar compression after the press is opened. Fig. 2 shows the DSA324 prestress as a function of yoking press load before shell welding. The dashed lines show the final prestresses after welding and removal from the press. The final prestress is the same as at a press load of 2.2 kN/mm, implying an azimuthal shell tension of about 220 MPa. This is comparable to the 175-200 MPa assumed in the mechanical design.

III. COLD TEST DATA

Tests at liquid helium temperature were performed in a vertical dewar in the Fermilab R&D test facility at Lab 2. The strain gauges measuring coil stress and end force were calibrated both at 293 K and 4 K and therefore measure absolute stress at 4 K. The zero-strain resistances of the gauges on the magnet shell and the end clamp were not calibrated at 4 K. Therefore the gauges measure only the changes in strain at 4 K.

Two magnets have been cold tested and a third is currently under test. The prestress losses with cooldown (Table I) are 19-33 (8-14) MPa in the inner (outer) coils, changes comparable to those in vertically-split yoke 40 mm model magnets and somewhat smaller than in horizontally-split models[8]. The set screws which transfer the axial load from the coil end to the end plate are preloaded at room temperature to 3-5 kN. The load changed with cooldown by -1 kN, 0 kN, and +1 kN in DSA321, 323, and 324 respectively.

The azimuthal coil stress at the pole is plotted as a function of magnet current squared (proportional

---

**Fig. 1.** Collar vertical radial deflection vs. prestress. The dashed and solid lines are the relations expected from finite element calculations and assumed in the mechanical design of the magnet respectively.

**Fig. 2.** DSA324 coil prestress vs. yoking press load before shell welding. The dashed lines show the final prestresses after the shell was welded and the press was opened.
to IxB) in Fig. 3. The SSC operating current corresponding to 20 TeV is 6.8 kA (6.7 T) or 44 kA². The pole stress remains positive up to well above 7 kA (7 T) in DSA321 and 324, but the DSA323 inner coil unloads near the operating current. The outer coil stress remains high through the full current range in all cases. We conclude, therefore, that the initial room temperature prestresses in the outer coils (see Table I) are considerably higher than is required, while the DSA323 inner prestress is marginally too low.

The force between the coil end clamp and the end plate is shown as a function of magnet excitation in Fig. 4. The 4-10 kN end-force change from 0 to the operating current is a small fraction of the total IxB force of 110 kN. Most of the axial force is, as in the 40 mm magnets[9], transferred to the shell via coil-collar-yoke-shell friction.

The slope with current squared was initially much smaller in DSA323 than DSA321. DSA323 was observed[10] to quench occasionally in the return end on the down-ramp at about 4 kA. It was conjectured that the down-ramp quenching and the small end-force slope might be related and the performance could be improved by increasing the end preload. A thermal cycle was performed to allow the end set screws to be tightened to a 10 kN load. The end force slope was then comparable to that in DSA321, but the down-ramp quenching remained. DSA323 is currently being disassembled to investigate the cause(s) of this behavior.

Strain gauges were mounted on the DSA323 shell to measure azimuthal stress at five azimuthal locations at the magnet center. Axial strain is also measured at one of the azimuthal locations. Under excitation, the IxB force in the body of the magnet induces a horizontal elliptical deflection, which can be observed by the changes in azimuthal stress in the shell: negative (compressive) near the pole (90°) and positive (extensive) near the mid-plane (0°). If the shell tension were insufficient to keep the vertical yoke parting-plane gap closed, the gauges near the pole would show a positive stress change. The axial IxB force is transferred from the coil to the shell via friction and the end loading set-screws. Therefore both axial and azimuthal stresses change with excitation and the measured strains must be corrected for the Poisson effect. This correction is done 8° from the mid-plane where there are gauges measuring strain in both directions. The correction is applied at the other angular positions by assuming the axial stress to be azimuthally uniform.

The data are plotted versus current squared in Fig. 5. The pattern with azimuth is qualitatively as expected: compressive near the pole (79°) and progressively more extensive nearer the mid-plane. It is not understood why the 0° stress is smaller than that at 29°. The stress nearest the yoke parting plane is negative over the whole range measured indicating that the yoke gap remains closed up to at least 7.7 kA (about 7.6 T).

The axial stress change to the operating current, 11 MPa, multiplied by the cross sectional area of the shell gives an axial force of 57 kN. Presumably the remainder of the IxB force is

---

**Fig. 3.** Coil stress vs. magnet current squared.

**Fig. 4.** End force vs. current squared.

**Fig. 5.** Azimuthal (A) and axial (z) stress change in the DSA323 cold mass shell vs. current squared. The numbers in parentheses are the distances in degrees from the x-axis.
balanced by stretching of the coil. Measurements[9] on long 40 mm magnets indicate that it takes about 1 m to fully transmit the axial force to the shell. Here the magnet center is only 0.56 m from the end of the yoke.

Strain gauges are also mounted on the DSA323 return end clamp outer cylinder 32 mm from the end of the coiled portion of the coil. This is roughly centered in the 76 mm of coil straight section inside the end clamp. The data with excitation are plotted in Fig. 6. As in the shell, these data are consistent with a horizontally elliptical deflection under excitation. If the deflection is modeled as a purely elliptical distortion of a 99 mm O.D. 17.5 mm wall cylinder the measured strains correspond to a roughly 0.05 mm increase in horizontal radius. This agrees within about a factor of two with finite element calculations[11].

The axial strains are much smaller than the azimuthal strains. At most a few microstrain are expected from the measured end force shown in Fig. 4. The apparent strains most likely reflect imperfect compensation of the magneto-resistance of the gauges.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Three 1.5 m model SSC collider dipoles have been built and tested at Fermilab. Mechanical measurements from these and several other partially assembled magnets agree well with design expectations. The important results include 1) The vertical deflection with prestress and the shell azimuthal tension agree quantitatively with design expectations, 2) Coil prestresses within the desired range have been achieved without pole shims, 3) Prestress loss with cooldown compares favorably with 40 mm models, 4) Most of the axial $IxB$ force is transmitted to the shell via collar-yoke-shell friction and not by compressive loading of the coil end, 5) The yoke parting plane gap remains closed to well above the operating point, and 6) end clamp deflections are $\leq 0.05$ mm under excitation. Issues still to be resolved include 1) The larger than expected spread in horizontal radius of the coiled coil, 2) The significant difference in end-force with excitation among the magnets tested, and 3) The possibility that long magnets may behave differently in some respects, particularly in their axial mechanics.
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