VED

DEC 20 1993

DOE/CE/23810-22B

OSTI

**SOLUBILITY, VISCOSITY AND DENSITY
OF REFRIGERANT/LUBRICANT MIXTURES**

Quarterly Technical Progress Report

1 July 1993 - 30 September 1993

David R. Henderson

Spauschus Associates, Inc.
300 Corporate Center Court
Eagle's Landing
Stockbridge, GA 30281

October 1993

Prepared for
The Air-Conditioning and Refrigeration Technology Institute
Under
ARTI MCLR Project Number 655-51200

This project is supported, in part, by U. S. Department of Energy (Office of Building Technology) grant number DE-FG02-91CE23810: Materials Compatibility and Lubricants Research (MCLR) on CFC-Refrigerant Substitutes. Federal funding supporting this project constitutes 93.67% of allowable costs. Funding from non-government sources supporting this project consists of direct cost sharing of 6.33% of allowable costs, and in-kind contributions from the air-conditioning and refrigeration industry.

MASTER

als
DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

The U. S. Department of Energy's and the air-conditioning industry's support for the Materials Compatibility and Lubricants Research (MCLR) program does not constitute an endorsement by the U. S. Department of Energy, nor by the air-conditioning and refrigeration industry, of the views expressed herein.

NOTICE

This report was prepared on account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States Government, nor the Department of Energy, nor the Air-Conditioning and Refrigeration Technology Institute, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed or represents that its use would not infringe privately-owned rights.

COPYRIGHT NOTICE

(for journal publication submission)

By acceptance of this article, the publisher and/or recipient acknowledges the right of the U. S. Government and the Air-Conditioning and Refrigeration Technology Institute, Inc. (ARTI) to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license in and to any copyrights covering this paper.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Topic</u>	<u>Page</u>
Abstract	1
Scope	1
Significant Results	3
CFC-12/ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil	5
CFC-12/ISO 100 Naphthenic Mineral Oil	7
HCFC-22/ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil	9
HFC-134a/ISO 68 Polyalkylene Glycol	11
HFC-134a/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1	13
HFC-134a/ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1	15
HFC-134a/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1	17
HFC-134a/ISO 100 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid	19
HFC-134a/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid	21
HFC-134a/ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2	23
HFC-134a/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid	25
HCFC-123/ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil	27
HCFC-123/ISO 100 Naphthenic Mineral Oil	29
HCFC-123/150 SUS Alkylbenzene	31
HCFC-123/300 SUS Alkylbenzene	33
HFC-32/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2	35
HFC-32/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2	37
HFC-125/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1	39
HFC-125/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2	41
HFC-125/ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid	43
HCFC-124/150 SUS Alkylbenzene	45
HCFC-124/300 SUS Alkylbenzene	47
HCFC-142b/150 SUS Alkylbenzene	49
Compliance With Agreement	51
Appendix A - Corrections for Vapor Space Volume in Test Apparatus	52
Appendix B - Variations in Viscosity/Concentration Measurements Among Phase II ARTI Contractors	56
Appendix C - Lubricant Purity	61
Appendix D - Commercial Identification	62

SOLUBILITY, VISCOSITY AND DENSITY OF REFRIGERANT/LUBRICANT MIXTURES

ABSTRACT

This report presents the results of experimental measurements on low refrigerant concentration mixtures (0, 10, 20 and 30 weight percent) of CFC-12, HCFC's 22, 123 and 124, HFC's 134a, 32 and 125 with mineral oil, alkylbenzene and polyolester lubricants. Viscosity, solubility (vapor pressure) and density data are reported for twenty three working fluids composed of combinations of these refrigerants and companion lubricants.

These data have been reduced to engineering form and are presented in the form of a Daniel Chart¹ and a plot of density versus temperature and composition. Extensive numerical analysis has been performed in order to derive equations which allow two independent variables (temperature and composition) and to provide for corrections in composition due to vapor space volume in the test apparatus; details of these calculations are provided in Appendix A. This report supersedes all previous reports.

SCOPE

The broad scope of this research is to measure the solubility (pressure), viscosity and density of the thirty-five refrigerant/lubricant mixtures over composition and temperature ranges as given in Table 1. The experimental data for the low refrigerant concentrations are to be graphically reported in the Daniel Chart format, and mathematical relationships are to be derived.

¹G. Daniel, M. J. Anderson, W. Schmid and M. Tokumitsu, "Performance of Selected Synthetic Lubricants in Industrial Heat Pumps," Heat Recovery Systems, Vol. 2, No. 4, 1982. pp. 359-368.

Table 1: Refrigerant/Lubricant Mixtures Under Study

	<u>Refrigerant/Lubricant</u>	<u>Low Refrigerant Concentrations¹ Temp. Range, C</u>	<u>High Refrigerant Concentrations² Temp. Range, C</u>
1.	12/ISO 32 MO ³	0 to 100	-40 to +40
2.	12/ISO 100 MO	0 to 100	-40 to +40
3.	22/ISO 32 MO	0 to 100	-40 to +40
4.	134a/ISO 68 PAG ⁴	0 to 100	-40 to +40
5.	134a/ISO 22 POE-MA #1 ⁵	0 to 100	-40 to +40
6.	134a/ISO 32 POE-MA #1	0 to 100	-40 to +40
7.	134a/ISO 68 POE-MA #1	0 to 100	-40 to +40
8.	134a/ISO 100 POE-MA	0 to 100	-40 to +40
9.	134a/ISO 22 POE-BA #2 ⁶	0 to 100	-40 to +40
10.	134a/ISO 32 POE-MA#2	0 to 100	-40 to +40
11.	134a/ISO 68 POE-BA	0 to 100	-40 to +40
12.	134a/ISO 100 POE-BA	0 to 100	-40 to +40
13.	123/ISO 32 MO	0 to 100	-20 to +40
14.	123/ISO 100 MO	0 to 100	-20 to +40
15.	123/150 SUS AB ⁷	0 to 100	-20 to +40
16.	123/300 SUS AB	0 to 100	-20 to +40
17.	32/ISO 22 POE-MA #2	0 to 75	-50 to +40
18.	32/ISO 68 POE-MA #2	0 to 75	-50 to +40
19.	32/ISO 32 POE-BA	0 to 75	-50 to +40
20.	32/ISO 100 POE-BA	0 to 75	-50 to +40
21.	125/ISO 22 POE-MA #1	0 to 65	-40 to +40
22.	125/ISO 68 POE-MA #2	0 to 65	-40 to +40
23.	125/ISO 32 POE-BA	0 to 65	-40 to +40
24.	125/ISO 100 POE-BA	0 to 65	-40 to +40
25.	152a/150 SUS AB	0 to 100	-40 to +40
26.	152a/300 SUS AB	0 to 100	-40 to +40
27.	152a/ISO 22 POE-MA	0 to 100	-40 to +40
28.	152a/ISO 68 POE-MA	0 to 100	-40 to +40
29.	143a/ISO 22 POE-MA	0 to 70	-45 to +40
30.	143a/ISO 68 POE-MA	0 to 70	-45 to +40
31.	143a/ISO 32 POE-BA	0 to 70	-45 to +40
32.	143a/ISO 100 POE-BA	0 to 70	-45 to +40
33.	124/150 SUS AB	0 to 100	-40 to +40
34.	124/300 SUS AB	0 to 100	-40 to +40
35.	142b/150 SUS AB	0 to 100	-40 to +40

¹Low Refrigerant Concentrations are 0, 10, 20 and 30 weight percent refrigerant.

²High Refrigerant Concentrations are 80, 90 and 100 weight percent refrigerant.

³Mineral Oil

⁴Polyalkylene Glycol (butyl monoether)

⁵Polyolester (Pentaerythritol) - Mixed Acid

⁶Polyolester (Pentaerythritol) - Branched Acid

⁷Alkylbenzene

SIGNIFICANT RESULTS

Experimental data are presented in the form of mathematical models and two charts, the first giving the density, the second giving the viscosity and solubility (pressure) as functions of temperature and composition (Daniel Chart). On the upper portion of the Daniel Chart are isobaric viscosity curves which have been algebraically generated from the measured data.

In order to generate these isobaric curves, the assumption has been made that interpolation between measured composition curves is valid over the temperature range for which data has been obtained. It is also assumed that these fluids are two component mixtures having one liquid phase and one vapor phase; application of the Gibbs Phase Rule then gives two degrees of freedom.

The charts follow the numbering system given in Table 1 and are thus not consecutively numbered in this Quarterly Report due to fluid availability and internal scheduling of experimental runs. Accompanying each set of charts is a table of regression constants and correlation coefficients which is explained below.

Functions of two independent variables are chosen to represent the experimental data; generally, the simplest form which represents the data accurately has been employed. The relationship chosen to represent the viscosity data is a modified form of the Walther equation:

$$\log\{\log(\eta + 0.7)\} = \{a_1 + a_2 \log(T)\} + \omega \{a_3 + a_4 \log(T)\} + \omega^2 \{a_5 + a_6 \log(T)\} \quad (1)$$

where

η = absolute viscosity, centipoise
 T = Temperature, Kelvin
 ω = mass fraction refrigerant
 $\log$ = logarithm to the base 10
 a_1 through a_6 = constants.

Vapor pressure is given by

$$P = \{a_1 + a_2 T + a_3 T^2\} + \omega \{a_4 + a_5 T + a_6 T^2\} \quad (2)$$

where

P = pressure, kilopascals
 T = Temperature, Kelvin
 ω = mass fraction refrigerant
 a_1 through a_6 = constants (different from equation 1).

Density is given by

$$\rho = \{a_1 + a_2 T\} + \omega \{a_3 + a_4 T\} + \omega^2 \{a_5 + a_6 T\} \quad (3)$$

where

ρ = density, g/cc
 T = Temperature, Kelvin
 ω = mass fraction refrigerant
 a_1 through a_6 = constants (different from equations 1, 2).

Kinematic viscosity is given by

$$\log\{\log(v + 0.7)\} = \{a_1 + a_2 \log(T)\} + \omega \{a_3 + a_4 \log(T)\} + \omega^2 \{a_5 + a_6 \log(T)\} \quad (4)$$

where

v = kinematic viscosity, centistokes

T = Temperature, Kelvin

ω = mass fraction refrigerant

$\log$ = logarithm to the base 10

a_1 through a_6 = constants (different from equations 1, 2, 3).

Multivariate correlation coefficients are given as a measure of how well the regression equations fit the data. These coefficients, denoted by σ , are the square root of the explained variation divided by the total variation. Mathematically, we have

$$\text{Unexplained variation} = \Sigma(y_i - y_c)^2$$

$$\text{Total variation} = \Sigma(y_i - y_{av})^2$$

$$\text{Explained variation} = \text{Total variation} - \text{Unexplained variation}$$

where

y_i = experimental data point

y_c = calculated data point

y_{av} = average of experimental data points

giving

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(y_i - y_{av})^2 - \Sigma(y_i - y_c)^2}{\Sigma(y_i - y_{av})^2}}$$

Results to date begin on the next page and constitute the body of this report.

As detailed in Appendix A, the computer program REFPROP issued by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, has been used to determine the amount of refrigerant gas in the free volume above the liquid in the test apparatus. For some of the refrigerants reported here, most notably HFC-32, HFC-125 and HCFC-142b, the CSD equation of state used to give refrigerant properties is based on limited data, or in the case of HFC-32, is non-existent. It is anticipated that later versions of REFPROP will contain improved representations, and these will be incorporated into future reports as they become available. In that sense, data reported here must be considered preliminary.

**Table 1-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
CFC-12/ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	1.128863E+1	-2.226863E+3	1.091976	1.072288E+1
a_2	-4.459166	1.386308E+1	-6.306535E-4	-4.226366
a_3	4.425742E-1	-2.114996E-2	4.040375E-1	1.127096
a_4	-5.434154E-1	2.802944E+4	-2.762705E-4	-8.203340E-1
a_5	-2.189490E+1	-2.141093E+2	6.946489E-1	-2.014214E+1
a_6	8.513484	4.187154E-1	-1.681253E-3	7.745600
σ	0.9993	0.9970	0.9997	0.9991

Figure 1-1: Density of CFC-12/ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil

Figure 1-2: Viscosity and Solubility of CFC-12/ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil

**Table 2-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
CFC-12/ISO 100 Naphthenic Mineral Oil**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	1.104244E+1	-3.372559E+3	1.094413	1.055208E+1
a_2	-4.308084	2.082366E+1	-6.153593E-4	-4.107731
a_3	-4.793902E-1	-3.144160E-2	5.388011E-1	-5.047290E-2
a_4	-2.210834E-1	3.271269E+4	-7.570955E-4	-3.874498E-1
a_5	-6.383261	-2.500250E+2	1.869725E-1	-4.621944
a_6	2.392385	4.876217E-1	8.880958E-5	1.618327
σ	0.9998	0.9924	0.9997	0.9998

Figure 2-1: Density of CFC-12/ISO 100 Naphthenic Mineral Oil

Figure 2-2: Viscosity and Solubility of CFC-12/ISO 100 Naphthenic Mineral Oil

**Table 3-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HCFC-22/ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	1.144957E+1	-3.435225E+3	1.091957	1.087016E+1
a_2	-4.523308	2.124994E+1	-6.323417E-4	-4.285019
a_3	-8.316656	-3.198259E-2	5.955585E-1	-7.602685
a_4	2.770585	4.652129E+4	-1.031991E-3	2.488802
a_5	7.646033	-3.657045E+2	-2.339745E-1	8.483115
a_6	-2.981429	7.362473E-1	9.920486E-4	-3.382609
σ	0.9994	0.9965	0.9999	0.9992

Figure 3-1: Density of HCFC-22/ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil

Figure 3-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-22/ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil

**Table 4-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-134a/ISO 68 Polyalkylene Glycol**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	7.901340	1.479461E+3	1.207606	7.684495
a_2	-3.065856	-9.912349	-7.494177E-4	-2.977465
a_3	-3.490147	1.683561E-2	6.876199E-1	-4.069479
a_4	1.097075	3.482097E+4	-1.335007E-3	1.319873
a_5	1.696362E+1	-2.826854E-2	-6.482719E-1	1.912585E+1
a_6	-6.871983	5.746805E-1	1.952428E-3	-7.757413
σ	0.9996	0.9958	0.9997	0.9995

Figure 4-1: Density of HFC-134a/ISO 68 Polyalkylene Glycol

Figure 4-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-134a/ISO 68 Polyalkylene Glycol

**Table 5-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-134a/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	9.653926	1.470761E+3	1.210694	9.348190
a_2	-3.815837	-9.660980	-7.453571E-4	-3.691665
a_3	-7.712563	1.616140E-2	4.387843E-1	-7.489899
a_4	2.752815	3.222871E+4	-7.157755E-4	2.656155
a_5	2.182599E+1	-2.646436E+2	3.688504E-1	2.240309E+1
a_6	-8.939830	5.445102E-1	-1.212673E-3	-9.206934
σ	0.9997	0.9959	0.9998	0.9997

Figure 5-1: Density of HFC-134a/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1

Figure 5-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-134a/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1

**Table 6-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-134a/ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	9.928664	-6.015270E+2	1.209498	9.646453
a_2	-3.911169	3.333164	-7.458734E-4	-3.796489
a_3	-1.100090E+1	-4.133328E-3	6.738057E-1	-1.148366E+1
a_4	4.007297	4.419037E+4	-1.512800E-3	4.194896
a_5	3.371210E+1	-3.356229E+2	-7.288073E-1	3.533470E+1
a_6	-1.363471E+1	6.465144E-1	2.760360E-3	-1.432533
σ	0.9997	0.9965	0.9988	0.9997

Figure 6-1: Density of HFC-134a/ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1

Figure 6-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-134a/ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1

**Table 7-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-134a/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	1.026622E+1	-4.711721E+2	1.172826	9.926922
a_2	-4.008826	2.419223	-7.042171E-4	-3.870717
a_3	-2.183025	-2.547792E-3	4.685648E-1	-2.033556
a_4	3.281768E-1	4.563651E+4	-6.414542E-4	2.670297E-1
a_5	-7.161060	-3.464107E+2	2.882264E-1	-6.262914
a_6	3.147337	6.673004E-1	-1.088702E-3	2.744884
σ	0.9995	0.9962	0.9998	0.9995

Figure 7-1: Density of HFC-134a/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1

Figure 7-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-134a/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1

**Table 8-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-134a/ISO 100 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	9.011855	-2.746753E+3	1.174532	8.727968
a_2	-3.494464	1.658969E+1	-6.917701E-4	-3.379039
a_3	7.903044	-2.438650E-2	6.085326E-1	7.516009
a_4	-3.610207	5.815944E+4	-1.214404E-3	-3.453443
a_5	-2.089983E+1	-4.254682E+2	-3.789143E-1	-1.848345
a_6	8.268137	7.914398E-1	1.381617E-3	7.251276
σ	0.9993	0.9963	0.9997	0.9994

Figure 8-1: Density of HFC-134a/ISO 100 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid

Figure 8-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-134a/ISO 100 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid

**Table 9-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-134a/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	1.034273E+1	-1.475546E+3	1.258051	1.012080E+1
a_2	-4.091829	8.782960	-7.902789E-4	-4.003032
a_3	-8.956812	-1.264941E-2	3.618213E-3	-8.390071
a_4	3.269691	4.557689E+4	-4.478708E-4	3.029324
a_5	2.262863E+1	-3.402765E+2	1.133230E-1	2.131665
a_6	-9.382313	6.460831E-1	-3.220470E-4	-8.885795
σ	0.9995	0.9979	0.9995	0.9996

Figure 9-1: Density of HFC-134a/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid

Figure 9-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-134a/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid

**Table 10-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-134a/ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	9.249874	-4.068101E+3	1.217296	8.982900
a_2	-3.638505	2.546544E+1	-7.504065E-4	-3.530265
a_3	7.427879	-3.944581E-2	6.014204E-1	7.052171
a_4	-3.254920	5.191589E+4	-1.223477E-3	-3.109581
a_5	-3.175478E+1	-3.860092E+2	-4.574697E-1	-2.872141E+1
a_6	1.226973E+1	7.284821E-1	1.599145E-3	1.100634E+1
σ	0.9996	0.9955	0.9997	0.9995

Figure 10-1: Density of HFC-134a/ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2

Figure 10-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-134a/ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2

**Table11-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-134a/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	8.905681	9.643675E+2	1.274035	8.764489
a_2	-3.465013	-6.111860	-7.760070E-4	-3.409609
a_3	3.428826	9.818004E-3	3.885916E-1	3.306494
a_4	-1.694897	3.826466E+4	-5.849724E-4	-1.652790
a_5	-1.253969E+1	-3.003782E+2	2.904875E-1	-1.128472E+1
a_6	4.712935	5.945945E-1	-1.057665E-3	4.175228
σ	0.9996	0.9974	0.9995	0.9996

Figure 11-1: Density of HFC-134a/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid

Figure 11-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-134a/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid

**Table 13-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HCFC-123/ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	1.143884E+1	1.645131E+1	1.091381	1.086147E+1
a_2	-4.519219	-1.805161E-1	-6.333717E-4	-4.281662
a_3	-1.294323	4.648786E-4	7.350596E-1	-1.499504E-1
a_4	2.134015E-1	1.091676E+4	-8.552796E-4	-2.615068E-1
a_5	-5.988494	-7.757201E+1	-4.946925E-1	-6.104743
a_6	2.336175	1.399709E-1	3.170175E-4	2.372308
σ	0.9994	0.9965	0.9984	0.9992

Figure 13-1: Density of HCFC-123/ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil

Figure 13-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HCFC-123/ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil

**Table 14-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HCFC-123/ISO 100 Naphthenic Mineral Oil**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	1.107333E+1	-2.437128E+2	1.097063	1.060366E+1
a_2	-4.319983	1.329632	-6.249877E-4	-4.127783
a_3	4.689200	-1.674724E-3	5.919157E-1	4.365817
a_4	-2.189975	1.305223E+4	-8.171586E-4	-2.064418
a_5	-2.852759E+1	-9.146282E+1	2.315816E-1	-2.460633E+1
a_6	1.130683E+1	1.623400E-1	-3.002284E-4	9.697289
σ	0.9993	0.9941	0.9996	0.9993

Figure 14-1: Density of HCFC-123/ISO 100 Naphthenic Mineral Oil

Figure 14-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HCFC-123/ISO 100 Naphthenic Mineral Oil

**Table 15-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HCFC-123/150 SUS Alkylbenzene**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	1.159926E+1	-2.751188E+2	1.059407	1.085811E+1
a_2	-4.590598	1.644120	-6.522680E-4	-4.284822
a_3	-8.912714	-2.359617E-3	5.309700E-1	-7.213424
a_4	3.274382	1.054293E+4	-5.543972E-4	2.585382
a_5	3.228156E+1	-7.440249	3.925389E-1	3.088392E+1
a_6	-1.309060E+1	1.326417E-1	-7.718499E-4	-1.257611
σ	0.9987	0.9951	0.9999	0.9987

Figure 15-1: Density of HCFC-123/150 SUS Alkylbenzene

Figure 15-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HCFC-123/150 SUS Alkylbenzene

**Table 16-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-123/300 SUS Alkylbenzene**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	1.180490E+1	1.365282E+2	1.055710	1.108248E+1
a_2	-4.638970	-9.185995E-1	-6.409276E-4	-4.342491
a_3	-5.535132	1.584146E-3	5.646018E-1	-4.365170
a_4	1.850363	8.638015E+3	-7.023060E-4	1.385396
a_5	1.866748E+1	-6.294055	2.771386E-1	1.881090E+1
a_6	-7.533978	1.157114E-1	-3.084357E-4	-7.656960
σ	0.9993	0.9969	0.9999	0.9993

Figure 16-1: Density of HFC-123/300 SUS Alkylbenzene

Figure 16-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-123/300 SUS Alkylbenzene

**Table 17-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-32/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	8.528316	-7.604413E+3	1.220510	8.392302
a_2	-3.367537	4.922059E+1	-7.547947E-4	-3.312334
a_3	1.391230E+1	-7.822858E-2	1.831271E-1	1.160020E+1
a_4	-6.225215	1.30473E+5	-6.523408E-4	-5.282432
a_5	-2.817979E+1	-9.785063E+2	1.037838E-1	-2.060078E+1
a_6	1.113627E+1	1.870418	6.486061E-4	8.013813
σ	0.9998	0.9929	0.9854	0.9999

Figure 17-1: Density of HFC-32/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2

Figure 17-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-32/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2

**Table 18-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-32/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	9.304222	1.076346E+4	1.177387	9.072970
a_2	-3.620265	-7.295830E+1	-6.962547E-4	-3.525579
a_3	-1.002500E+1	1.251806E-1	3.431410E-1	-1.232419E+1
a_4	3.314121	1.396626E+3	-8.143777E-4	4.238959
a_5	4.263673E+1	-1.099463E+2	2.775770E-1	4.676958
a_6	-1.688520E+1	4.085809E-1	-1.143099E-3	-1.854352
σ	0.9997	0.9537	0.9997	0.9997

Figure 18-1: Density of HFC-32/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2

Figure 18-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-32/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2

**Table 21-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-125/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	9.722473	-1.994927E+3	1.209283	9.515933
a_2	-3.843238	1.281365E+1	-7.421535E-4	-3.759083
a_3	-1.044812E+1	-2.011631E-2	5.575332E-1	-1.063388E+1
a_4	3.928608	5.321782E+4	-1.005747E-3	3.996005
a_5	3.759303E+1	-4.277833E+2	-5.408523E-1	4.027322
a_6	-1.545623E+1	8.691020E-1	2.588719E-3	-1.659352
σ	0.9991	0.9963	0.9996	0.9992

Figure 21-1: Density of HFC-125/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1

Figure 21-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-125/ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1

**Table 22-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-125/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	9.274287	-2.827649E+3	1.177451	9.028410
a_2	-3.608945	1.822706E+1	-6.951494E-4	-3.508438
a_3	-7.568418	-2.879509E-2	6.639796E-1	-7.324241
a_4	2.808151	1.069213E+4	-1.328270E-3	2.704076
a_5	3.611488E+1	-7.890255E+2	-6.379017E-1	3.763028E+1
a_6	-1.500577E+1	1.477524	2.939804E-3	-1.565893E+1
σ	0.9983	0.9955	0.9996	0.9982

Figure 22-1: Density of HCFC-125/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2

Figure 22-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-125/ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2

**Table 23-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HFC-125/ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	9.881766	4.547428E+3	1.261437	9.757099
a_2	-3.888715	-3.007694E+1	-7.77580E-4	-3.839433
a_3	-1.648974E+1	4.989415E-2	6.781996E-1	-1.648974E+1
a_4	6.340031	2.242650E+4	-1.341904E-3	6.340031
a_5	6.409950E+1	-2.172883E+2	-9.578153E-1	6.409950E+1
a_6	-2.634439E+1	5.056968E-1	3.428893E-3	-2.634439E+1
σ	0.9991	0.9956	0.9989	0.9992

Figure 23-1: Density of HFC-125/ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid

Figure 23-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-125/ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid

**Table 33-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HCFC-124/150 SUS Alkylbenzene**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	1.132993E+1	-6.119767E+2	1.059466	1.061419E+1
a_2	-4.483880	3.420573	-6.526093E-4	-4.188201
a_3	6.780560	-4.372064E-3	5.729553E-1	7.263231
a_4	-3.239716	2.395885E+4	-8.018147E-4	-3.433118
a_5	-2.662448E+1	-1.821409E+2	2.623949E-1	-2.469937E+1
a_6	1.121756E+1	3.515806E-1	-3.868409E-4	1.038483E+1
σ	0.9993	0.9943	0.9999	0.9992

Figure 33-1: Density of HCFC-124/150 SUS Alkylbenzene

Figure 33-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HCFC-124/150 SUS Alkylbenzene

**Table 34-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HCFC-124/300 SUS Alkylbenzene**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	1.142759E+1	-3.099792E+2	1.056001	1.073280E+1
a_2	-4.489254	9.052230E-1	-6.431664E-4	-4.203714
a_3	7.218323	7.295525E-4	5.379445E-1	7.675287
a_4	-3.351415	1.756804E+4	-7.103896E-4	-3.525403
a_5	-2.441501E+1	-1.319659E+2	3.630888E-1	-2.236997
a_6	9.781200	2.547101E-1	-6.169655E-4	8.886788
σ	0.9997	0.9578	0.9999	0.9998

Figure 34-1: Density of HCFC-124/300 SUS Alkylbenzene

Figure 34-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-124/300 SUS Alkylbenzene

**Table 35-1: Viscosity, Solubility and Density Parameters
HCFC-142b/150 SUS Alkylbenzene**

	Dynamic Viscosity (eq. 1)	Vapor Pressure (eq. 2)	Density (eq. 3)	Kinematic Viscosity (eq. 4)
a_1	1.155077E+1	-1.149845E+3	1.059449	1.080841E+1
a_2	-4.572097	6.842702	-6.520205E-4	-4.265830
a_3	-2.625808	-9.805753E-3	3.958966E-1	-1.759903
a_4	5.871680E-1	2.654503E+4	-6.622619E-4	2.498474E-1
a_5	1.219192E+1	-1.940075E+2	3.140657E-1	1.099693E+1
a_6	-5.066246	3.609548E-1	-6.217016E-4	-4.627057
σ	0.9998	0.9946	0.9999	0.9997

Figure 35-1: Density of HCFC-142b/150 SUS Alkylbenzene

Figure 35-2: Viscosity and Solubility of HFC-142b/150 SUS Alkylbenzene

COMPLIANCE WITH AGREEMENT

No significant modifications or deviations from the technical performance of work as described in the contract agreement have been necessary during this reporting period.

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR EFFORT

During this reporting period, Mr. David R. Henderson directed and/or participated in the following activities:

- Project management and laboratory supervision
- Data reduction/mathematical modeling
- Reporting

APPENDIX A

Corrections for Vapor Space Volume in Test Apparatus

The theoretical basis for the following development lies in application of the Gibbs Phase Rule, which will show that the two component fluid systems under study here, for all practical purposes, have two degrees of freedom. Thus, if temperature and density in one vessel is known, composition is also known; likewise, if temperature and pressure in another vessel is known, composition in that vessel is known as well. Although it may not be readily apparent what these compositions are, the point to be made is that they are fixed and can be found to any desired degree of accuracy.

In the method employed here, viscosity, vapor pressure and density are measured in three separate vessels. Fluids for viscosity and vapor pressure are housed in identical 300 ml stainless steel bombs; fluids for density measurements are charged into a glass bulb with a long neck which is equipped with a scribe mark for the purpose of measuring the volume occupied by the known mass of liquid in the bulb. These vessels are depicted conceptually in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Density Bulb and Viscosity/Pressure Vessels

Density is measured by determining the volume occupied by the liquid as given by readings of meniscus height (w.r.t. scribe mark). Pressure is measured by a variable capacitance transducer, and viscosity is measured by an electromagnetic device; both of these are described in detail in the proposal for this project (and elsewhere), and will not be repeated here.

Since there exists a free volume above the liquid, a portion of the refrigerant charge will occupy this free volume, the amount of which depends on the gas density. The composition of the liquid consequently changes as the temperature is varied by thermally cycling the vessels. Care is taken in charging these vessels to minimize the free volume consistent with safety requirements so that shifts in composition from the "as charged" condition are small.

After charging the vessels, pressure, density and viscosity are experimentally determined as a function of temperature. The "as charged" composition, which is determined to within 0.0015 mass fraction, serves as a boundary condition for an iterative computer program. This program is used to find the composition of the liquid phase over the entire experimental measurement range; the algorithm and program are described below.

Factors which cause shifts in composition to be larger are higher liquid density and higher vapor pressure at lower solution temperatures. As a specific example, HCFC-22 with ISO 32 naphthenic mineral will be examined in detail.

"As charged" composition of the density bulbs and pressure bombs are given below in Table A-1. Oil and refrigerant amounts are given in grams.

n	Density bulbs			Pressure bombs		
	m _o	m _r	ω	m _o	m _r	ω
0.3	10.078	4.319	0.3000	141.2	60.6	0.3003
0.2	11.455	2.864	0.2000	160.3	40.0	0.1997
0.1	12.679	1.409	0.1000	180.1	20.0	0.09995

Table A-1: "As Charged" Compositions

Results of the calculations are given in Table A-2, which shows the refined initial estimate, and Table A-3 which gives the compositions after completion of iterations.

Temp, C	Density Bulb Compositions			Pressure Bomb Compositions		
	n=0.3	n=0.2	n=0.1	n=0.3	n=0.2	n=0.1
0	0.2972	0.1976	0.09902	0.2922	0.1929	0.09581
20	0.2955	0.1960	0.09849	0.2871	0.1890	0.09359
40	0.2944	0.1951	0.09840	0.2797	0.1837	0.09085
60	0.2935	0.1944	0.09868	0.2704	0.1778	0.08755
80	0.2938	0.1948	0.09935	0.2603	0.1724	0.08498
100	0.2943	0.1955	0.09985	0.2534	0.1693	0.08393

**Table A-2: Density Bulb and Pressure Bomb Compositions
After Algorithm Steps 1 and 2**

Temp, C	Density Bulb Compositions			Pressure Bomb Compositions		
	n=0.3	n=0.2	n=0.1	n=0.3	n=0.2	n=0.1
0	0.2970	0.1974	0.09905	0.2922	0.1929	0.09581
20	0.2954	0.1958	0.09847	0.2871	0.1890	0.09359
40	0.2942	0.1948	0.09830	0.2798	0.1838	0.09089
60	0.2931	0.1938	0.09856	0.2709	0.1780	0.08756
80	0.2931	0.1941	0.09927	0.2612	0.1727	0.08509
100	0.2936	0.1956	0.09981	0.2544	0.1697	0.08405

**Table A-3: Density Bulb and Pressure Bomb Compositions
After Completion of the Iterations**

It can be seen that larger composition shifts are observed in the pressure bombs than in the density bulbs; this is due to the fact that the liquid phase occupies the long, narrow neck of the density bulb, and changes in the height of the liquid phase as the temperature is elevated are large. This causes smaller vapor space volume, which tends to counter the effects of increased pressure; in fact, at the higher temperatures the effect of decreasing vapor space dominates.

Comparison of Tables A-2 and A-3 show that the iteration quickly converges. After the first two steps of the algorithm, the composition is within 0.1 mass fraction of the true composition, and the convergence criteria is satisfied in two iterations of algorithm steps 3 through 7.

The value used for the specific volume of the refrigerant gas in the vapor space is obviously important, and subroutine VIT from REFPROP has been extracted and used for this purpose. The limitations of REFPROP in representing refrigerant properties near the critical point are recognized, and for the "worst case" composition shift in this data set, the value 8.523 cc/g was obtained for HCFC-22 at the measured thermodynamic condition of 100°C and 3,113 kPa. This compares very favorably with pressure-enthalpy diagrams published by ASHRAE and DuPont.

Having at this point an excellent representation of the pressure-volume-temperature behavior, calculations for the viscosity bomb proceed in a similar iterative fashion, also reaching convergence in two iterations for this fluid. The free volume of the viscosity bomb (as compared to the pressure bomb) is generally lower, which results in smaller composition shifts, as illustrated in Table A-4 (compare with Table A-3).

Temp, C	Viscosity Bomb Compositions		
	n=0.3	n=0.2	n=0.1
0	0.2961	0.1968	0.1040
20	0.2927	0.1946	0.1031
40	0.2890	0.1925	0.1022
60	0.2849	0.1902	0.1012
80	0.2809	0.1880	0.1004
100	0.2773	0.1862	0.09968

**Table A-4: Viscosity Bomb Compositions
After Completion of the Iterations**

Two data files consisting of the ordered triples temperature, absolute viscosity, composition and temperature, kinematic viscosity, composition are now constructed and are used to find these viscosities as a function of temperature and composition. Regression constants and statistical measures of goodness of fit are calculated, and curves of constant composition are plotted from these equations.

Isobaric viscosity curves are generated algebraically, using the assumptions that interpolation between measured curves is valid, the fluid has two degrees of freedom and the vapor pressure of the neat oil is identically zero over the temperature range of interest. These curves are plotted on the upper portion of the Daniel Chart.

APPENDIX B

Variations in Viscosity/Concentration Measurements Among Phase II ARTI Contractors

During this reporting period, discrepancies were brought to light between the data given in this report, data reported by Iowa State University in a previous study, and data taken with a fourth generation apparatus by Imagination Resources, Inc. (IRI). The series of measurements performed to assist in resolving these discrepancies, along with ARTI communications, is summarized here.

Please provide complete step-by-step details as to how concentration determinations are made, identify the variables involved, and provide error-band analysis for each of these variables.

1. Measure the density of the lubricant at room temperature. Using the computer program, determine the mass of refrigerant and lubricant to be charged (this program calculates the amounts to be charged, consistent with minimization of vapor space and safety requirements).

2. Beginning with a scrupulously clean vessel, pour lubricant to the nearest 1.0 gram given by part 1. Using a disposable syringe, add/remove drops until within 0.1 gram. Replace vessel cover and evacuate at 20 microns for 30 minutes while stirring magnetically.

3. Cool vessel slightly by immersion in water bath, and condense approximate amount of refrigerant into the vessel. Weigh vessel and repeat until target refrigerant weight is condensed to the nearest 0.1 gram (experience with this procedure allows one to become very efficient).

Possible errors in this procedure are errors in weight only; the balance used determines weight to +/- 0.1 grams. Table B-1 below shows maximum error for the case under discussion, R-22/Suniso 3GS. It can be seen that excellent precision with small error is obtained with this technique.

<u>Target Composition</u> <u>mass fraction</u>	<u>Actual Composition</u> <u>mass fraction, pressure</u>	<u>Actual Composition</u> <u>mass fraction, viscosity</u>
0.3	0.2991 +/- 0.0012	0.2999 +/- 0.0014
0.2	0.1997 +/- 0.0013	0.1991 +/- 0.0015
0.1	0.0999 +/- 0.0014	0.1056 +/- 0.0015

Table B-1: Pressure and Viscosity Bomb Charges, R-22/Suniso 3GS

Fluids for density determinations are charged in a similar fashion, with the exception that the refrigerant is charged through a calibrated gas manifold and the lubricant is weighed on a more accurate balance due to the smaller amounts of fluids used. The lubricant mass is known to 0.001 gram and the refrigerant mass to 0.004 gram; values for the R-22/Suniso 3GS mixture are given in Table B-2.

<u>Target Composition</u> <u>mass fraction</u>	<u>Actual Composition</u> <u>mass fraction</u>
0.3	0.3000 +/- 0.00032
0.2	0.2000 +/- 0.00035
0.1	0.1000 +/- 0.00042

Table B-2: Density Bulb Charges, R-22/Suniso 3GS

Please reverify the purity of the Suniso 3GS lubricant that was used in the tests.

Purity of the sample was reverified, with the results given below. Variations from previously reported values are insignificant.

Moisture:	25 ppm
Total Acid Number:	0.02 mg KOH/g
Iron Content:	< 1 ppm
Copper Content:	< 1 ppm

Please provide viscosity measurements of the pure Suniso 3GS lubricant (e.g., without refrigerant) -- over a range of 0 to 100°C -- that were obtained utilizing your individual procedures for determining viscosities of lubricant-refrigerant mixtures.

The dynamic viscosity measurements taken for pure Suniso 3GS are given in table B-3. In order to provide kinematic viscosity data for comparison, it is necessary to divide the dynamic viscosity by density; since the temperatures at which viscosity measurements were taken do not exactly coincide with the temperatures at which density measurements were obtained, the best fit linear equation was used to calculate density at each temperature for which a viscosity measurement exists. Table B-4 includes the density points and the equation; Table B-5 gives the kinematic viscosity data.

T, C	Viscosity cps	T, C	Viscosity cps	T, C	Viscosity cps	T, C	Viscosity cst	T, C	Viscosity cst	T, C	Viscosity cst
1.51	441.1	34.44	35.3	67.47	8.93	1.51	481.0	34.44	39.4	67.47	10.2
2.55	404.8	35.48	33.6	68.48	8.65	2.55	441.8	35.48	37.5	68.48	9.89
3.76	356.6	36.48	31.9	69.56	8.42	3.76	389.5	36.48	35.6	69.56	9.63
4.57	325.3	37.51	30.2	70.56	8.17	4.57	355.4	37.51	33.8	70.56	9.35
5.54	299.2	38.52	28.6	71.51	7.91	5.54	327.2	38.52	32.1	71.51	9.06
6.68	263.1	39.46	27.2	72.67	7.57	6.68	288.0	39.46	30.5	72.67	8.68
7.75	240.8	40.50	25.8	73.64	7.40	7.75	263.7	40.50	29.0	73.64	8.48
8.62	220.8	41.30	24.5	74.48	7.16	8.62	242.0	41.30	27.6	74.48	8.22
9.37	205.4	42.55	23.4	75.36	7.00	9.37	225.2	42.55	26.3	75.36	8.04
10.44	188.8	43.54	22.3	76.42	6.83	10.44	207.2	43.54	25.1	76.42	7.85
11.30	175.7	44.69	21.1	77.54	6.53	11.30	192.9	44.69	23.7	77.54	7.52
12.47	160.2	45.48	20.3	78.52	6.41	12.47	176.1	45.48	22.9	78.52	7.38
13.63	144.0	46.50	19.4	79.51	6.22	13.63	158.4	46.50	21.9	79.51	7.16
14.45	135.1	47.54	18.5	80.54	6.06	14.45	148.6	47.54	20.9	80.54	6.99
15.44	125.1	48.54	17.7	81.37	5.96	15.44	137.7	48.54	20.0	81.37	6.88
16.46	115.3	49.46	17.1	82.44	5.86	16.46	127.0	49.46	19.3	82.44	6.77
17.57	105.6	50.51	16.4	83.54	5.71	17.57	116.5	50.51	18.6	83.54	6.60
18.53	98.4	51.54	15.8	84.44	5.49	18.53	108.6	51.54	17.9	84.44	6.36
19.48	91.5	52.54	15.1	85.38	5.29	19.48	101.0	52.54	17.1	85.38	6.12
20.43	85.6	53.46	14.7	86.30	5.32	20.43	94.6	53.46	16.6	86.30	6.16
21.49	79.3	54.47	14.1	87.49	5.11	21.49	87.6	54.47	16.0	87.49	5.92
22.52	73.8	55.50	13.5	88.68	4.97	22.52	81.6	55.50	15.3	88.68	5.77
23.48	69.4	56.50	13.0	89.42	4.86	23.48	76.5	56.50	14.8	89.42	5.64
24.49	64.5	57.49	12.5	90.65	4.73	24.49	71.5	57.49	14.2	90.65	5.65
25.57	60.2	58.53	12.1	91.63	4.60	25.57	66.7	58.53	13.7	91.63	5.36
26.55	56.5	59.49	11.7	92.60	4.58	26.55	62.7	59.49	1.33	92.60	5.35
27.52	52.9	60.42	11.3	93.55	4.44	27.52	58.8	60.42	12.8	93.55	5.18
28.55	49.7	61.47	10.8	94.50	4.39	28.55	55.3	61.47	12.3	94.50	5.12
29.55	46.6	62.52	10.5	95.41	4.27	29.55	51.9	62.52	11.9	95.41	4.98
30.52	43.9	63.53	10.1	96.62	4.16	30.52	48.9	63.53	11.5	96.62	4.86
31.52	41.3	64.47	9.88	97.80	4.17	31.52	46.1	64.47	11.3	97.80	4.87
32.49	39.2	65.56	9.49	98.55	4.17	32.49	43.7	65.56	10.8	98.55	4.87
33.46	37.1	66.56	9.18	99.62	4.04	33.46	41.4	66.56	10.5	99.62	4.72

Table B-3: Dynamic Viscosity

Table B-5: Kinematic Viscosity

T, C	0.5	10.8	18.9	24.4	30.0	40.0	50.0	60.0	70.0	80.0	90.0
density g/cc	0.9174	0.9105	0.9048	0.9015	0.8978	0.8917	0.8851	0.8973	0.8728	0.8663	0.8598

Best fit line: density = 0.9180 - 0.00063T, with density in g/cc, T in Celsius.

Table B-4: Density

Most importantly, individual attention to the following identified items are also required: although it was acknowledged that your method should result in accurate results, especially if the vapor head space is minimized, it was noted that physical measurements are hard to beat. Accordingly, please determine viscosity and refrigerant concentration values for a R-22/mineral oil mixture at 40°C and 100°C (near suggested pressures noted below) using your standard procedure and by taking physical weight measurements of the refrigerant-rich lubricant. It was opined that weighing physical samples should be relatively easy to accomplish if you can invert the flask (i.e., use the vapor port as a liquid port) or add an extra tap.

In order to address this question, we have completed the full treatment of the data set as given in our proposal and as detailed in this report. The viscosity data is combined into one master file consisting of temperature, viscosity, composition ordered triples, as is the pressure data and the density data, and least squares regression is performed on these files in order to find viscosity, pressure and density as a function of the variables temperature and composition.

These equations have been used to interpolate between measured curves so that data may be provided at the requested temperatures and pressures, which are given in Tables B-6 and B-7 below. The entries "w > 0.3" and "> 0.3" mean that the refrigerant mass fraction at the temperature and pressure indicated is greater than 0.3, which is outside the measured range.

Pressure, psia	Viscosity, cst at 40 C	Viscosity, cst at 100 C
50	16.3	3.16
75	10.6	2.97
100	7.21	2.79
150	3.85	2.47
200	w > 0.3	2.19
225	w > 0.3	2.08
325	w > 0.3	1.68
400	w > 0.3	1.46

**Table B-6: Kinematic Viscosity of HCFC-22/Suniso 3GS
at Selected Temperatures and Pressures**

Pressure, psia	Refrigerant Mass Fraction at 40 C	Refrigerant Mass Fraction at 100 C
50	0.036	0.014
75	0.085	0.029
100	0.134	0.044
150	0.231	0.075
200	> 0.3	0.106
225	> 0.3	0.121
325	> 0.3	0.183
400	> 0.3	0.229

**Table B-7: Refrigerant Concentrations of HCFC-22/Suniso 3GS
at Selected Temperatures and Pressures**

Subsequent ARTI Requests and Measurements

Following the above measurements, it was requested that SAI verify, with experimental data, the calculations performed to correct for the vapor space volume. To accomplish this, a 300 ml bomb identical to the ones used to measure viscosity and pressure was charged with Suniso 3GS and R-22, at various concentrations, and allowed to reach thermal equilibrium at room temperature, 40 and 100°C. A sample (about 80 grams) was then withdrawn from the liquid phase of the bomb, and the amounts of refrigerant and lubricant in this sample were determined by weight measurements. In order to remove the R-22 from the oil, the sample was heated for four hours at 100°C and then vacuumed at 20 microns for 30 minutes.

The calculation procedure employs REFPROP to give the specific volume of the refrigerant gas at the measured conditions. As mentioned above, the limitations of this program in representing refrigerant properties near the critical point are recognized; for the conditions at hand, the specific volumes calculated by REFPROP have been checked against publications by ASHRAE and DuPont, and have been found to agree quite well with these sources.

Table B-8 gives the temperature and pressure of the solutions in columns 1 and 2. Column 3, "Composition as Charged," simply reflects the weights of refrigerant and lubricant introduced. Column 4, "Corrected Composition," is the composition as calculated by the correction procedure - these are the values used in the numerical analysis reported here. The fifth column, "Measured Composition," is the composition of the sample as determined by weight measurements.

Temp, C	Pressure, psia	Composition as Charged	Corrected Composition	Measured Composition
23.9	115.0	0.2754	0.2680	0.2668
40	47.8	0.0362	0.0320	0.0309
40	141.3	0.2307	0.2140	0.2117
100	46.0	0.0141	0.0112	0.0088
100	164.3	0.1059	0.0839	0.0849
100	326.9	0.2286	0.1838	0.1826

Table B-8: Compositions in Refrigerant Mass Fraction at Selected Temperatures and Pressures

Comparison of column 3 with column 5 reveals that significant differences exist between the composition as charged and the true composition; these differences become more pronounced as the temperature and pressure are elevated.

Comparison of the fourth and fifth columns will show that the calculation procedure is accurate to within 0.0024 mass fraction (or 0.24 mass percent). If, assuming the worst case, this is additive to the uncertainty in weight measurements and the fifth column above is exactly correct, the total uncertainty in composition is +/- 0.004 mass fraction (or 0.4 weight percent).

Following this exercise, further measurements were requested by ARTI, which SAI declined to perform for the following reasons: (1) it was requested that an experimental technique be implemented which has been investigated by us in the past with poor results, (2) the calculation procedures which correct for composition shifts were adequately verified by the above and (3) further measurements, as was the work reported in this Appendix, is beyond the scope of the contract and would not in our opinion bring this issue closer to a resolution.

At this time, in order to take a fresh look, Table B-9 was prepared, which compares pressure versus temperature and composition data reported by SAI, Iowa State University (ISU)¹ and Imigations Resources, Inc. (IRI)².

Temp, Celsius	SAI			ISU			IRI		
	Refrigerant Mass Fraction								
	.1	.2	.3	.1	.2	.3	0.1	0.2	0.3
0	25.9	42.9	59.8	---	---	---	45.5	62.9	69.9
20	52.8	83.0	113.1	---	---	---	73.4	111.9	125.9
40	82.7	134.1	185.4	86.1	147.7	183.7	107.0	167.0	201.0
60	115.7	196.2	276.7	123.3	209.7	259.6	152.1	251.8	311.2
80	151.6	269.2	386.9	163.1	284.4	363.9	206.2	370.6	461.5
100	190.6	353.3	516.1	206.2	371.8	496.6	271.6	519.0	687.0

Table B-9: Pressure (psia) at Selected Temperatures and Compositions

It can be seen from the above that the measurements by ISU and SAI agree within 5% on the average; these data also compare favorably with data published by Witco Chemical Co¹, and that the IRI pressure data is dramatically higher, particularly at elevated temperatures. After review of the supporting data submitted by both laboratories (SAI and IRI), the belief was expressed by ARTI that the differences were attributable to the error bands of the two vastly different measuring techniques; it was also recognized that measurement of refrigerant concentration values near the critical point is a difficult task.

¹Van Gaalen, N. A., Zoz, S. C. and Pate, M. B., "The Solubility and Viscosity of Solutions of HCFC-22 in Naphthenic Oil and in Alkylbenzene at High Pressures and Temperatures," ASHRAE Transactions 1991, Vol. 97, Pt. 1.

²Cavestri, R. C., "Measurement of Viscosity, Density and Gas Solubility of Refrigerant Blends in Selected Synthetic Lubricants," Quarterly Report March 1 to June 30, 1993 for The Air-Conditioning and Refrigerations Technology Institute, Inc., July 1993.

³Witco Chemical Co., Sonneborn Division, "Witco SUNISO Refrigeration Oils," Technical Bulletin Number 8846.

APPENDIX C

Lubricant Purity

Moisture, total acid number, iron content and copper content have been measured for the lubricants reported in this study. Table B-1 below gives these results. The intent of these measurements is to verify purity of the lubricants prior to study of the viscosity, solubility and density characteristics when mixed with various refrigerants; impurities of the order shown below have negligible effects on these properties.

Lubricant	Moisture, ppm	Total Acid Number, mg KOH/g oil	Fe, ppm	Cu, ppm
ISO 32 Naphthenic Mineral Oil	31	0.02	< 1	< 1
ISO 100 Naphthenic Mineral Oil	42	0.03	< 1	< 1
ISO 68 Polyalkylene Glycol	230	< 0.01	< 1	< 1
ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1	35	< 0.01	< 1	< 1
ISO 22 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2	86	0.08	< 1	< 1
ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1	35	< 0.01	< 1	< 1
ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2	64	0.04	< 1	< 1
ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #1	77	0.04	< 1	< 1
ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid #2	96	< 0.01	< 1	< 1
ISO 100 Pentaerythritol Ester Mixed Acid	80	0.06	< 1	< 1
ISO 32 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid	51	< 0.01	< 1	< 1
ISO 68 Pentaerythritol Ester Branched Acid	45	< 0.01	< 1	< 1
ISO SUS Alkylbenzene	29	0.01	< 1	< 1
300 SUS Alkylbenzene	29	0.04	4	< 1

Table B-1: Lubricant Purity

APPENDIX D

Commercial Identification

Lubricants tested are commercially available and are:

ISO 32 naphthenic mineral oil	Witco Suniso 3GS
ISO 100 naphthenic mineral oil	Witco Suniso 5GS
ISO 68 polyalkylene glycol	ICI Emkarox DGLP 103
ISO 22 pentaerythritol ester mixed acid #1	Mobil Arctic EAL 22
ISO 22 pentaerythritol ester mixed acid #2	Castrol Icematic SW 22
ISO 32 pentaerythritol ester mixed acid #1	Mobil Arctic EAL 32
ISO 32 pentaerythritol ester mixed acid #2	Castrol Icematic SW 32
ISO 68 pentaerythritol ester mixed acid #1	Castrol Icematic SW 68
ISO 68 pentaerythritol ester mixed acid #2	ICI Emkarate RL-375
ISO 100 pentaerythritol ester mixed acid	Castrol Icematic SW 100
ISO 32 pentaerythritol ester branched acid	Henkel, Emery Group 2968A
ISO 68 pentaerythritol ester branched acid	Henkel, Emery Group 2942A
150 SUS alkylbenzene	Shrieve Chemical Zerol 150
300 SUS alkylbenzene	Shrieve Chemical Zerol 300

DATE

FILMED

2 / 7 / 94

END