1 of 1

12
12 21-9385(1)

UCRL-ID-115333

CO₂ Impulse Response Curves for GWP Calculations

A.K. Jain
D.J. Wuebbles

October 26, 1993

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately own rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This report has been reproduced
directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831
Prices available from (615) 576-8401, FTS 626-8401

Available to the public from the
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Rd.,
Springfield, VA 22161

CO₂ Impulse Response Curves For GWP Calculations

The primary purpose of Global Warming Potential (GWP) is to compare the effectiveness of emission strategies for various greenhouse gases to those for CO₂. GWPs are quite sensitive to the amount of CO₂. Unlike all other gases emitted in the atmosphere, CO₂ does not have a chemical or photochemical sink within the atmosphere. Removal of CO₂ is therefore dependent on exchanges with other carbon reservoirs, namely, ocean and terrestrial biosphere. The climatic-induced changes in ocean circulation or marine biological productivity could significantly alter the atmospheric CO₂ lifetime. Moreover, continuing forest destruction, nutrient limitations or temperature induced increases of respiration could also dramatically change the lifetime of CO₂ in the atmosphere. Determination of the current CO₂ sinks, and how these sinks are likely to change with increasing CO₂ emissions, is crucial to the calculations of GWPs.

Attached Figure shows the impulse response curves derived for the IPCC requested cases (Equilibrium and Perturbation cases). For both the cases the pulse is 10 Gt C as proposed by IPCC. However, the time of the pulse given is different for both the cases. In the 'equilibrium case' the pulse is instantaneously injected into the steady state atmosphere. In the 'perturbation case' the model was initialized to a 278 ppmv steady-state at year 1765. The model was then run from 1765 to 1990, with the specified total CO₂ emissions as obtained from the inverse calculations. From 1990 onwards, the model was run with the S650 emission scenario both with and without an additional 10 Gt C pulse injected into the 1995 atmosphere. In addition to the ocean, the living biomass and the soil will also absorb the carbon. Therefore, attached figure also shows the response to a pulse input with and without biospheric reservoirs.

It is interesting to note that the impulse response function is sensitive to the initial state of the ocean-atmosphere system into which CO₂ is emitted. This is due to the fact that in our model the CO₂ flux from the atmosphere to the mixed layer is a nonlinear function of ocean surface total carbon which appears in the buffer factor ξ . At the pre industrial time, short term CO₂ absorption capacity of ocean was higher. Therefore in the first fifty years the decay of the CO₂ was more rapid in the equilibrium case than in the perturbation case. When the industrial production continues to increase, ξ will rise with the partial pressure of CO₂ in the mixed layer. At the same time the short term capability of the oceans to absorb CO₂ from the atmosphere will decrease. Attached Figure also shows that the response functions for both cases (perturbation and equilibrium cases) are

similar when ξ remains constant with time. The response function for the equilibrium case is similar to that obtained by Siegenthaler and Oeschger (1987) using their box-diffusion model and was used by IPCC for the GWP calculations.

There is a quite large difference between the runs with and without biosphere, demonstrating the importance of the terrestrial biosphere for the CO₂ cycle. The biosphere absorbs additional CO₂ from the atmosphere, producing an enhanced short term decay which converges toward the no-biosphere case at longer time. Therefore, for the calculations of the impulse response curves, complete carbon cycle system of three main reservoirs, atmosphere-ocean-terrestrial biosphere, must be used.

DATE

FILMED

5 / 2 / 94
tb

END