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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Order 5400.1 requires the establishment of a ground water protection management program to ensure compliance with DOE requirements and applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations. The Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) Project Office has prepared this *Ground Water Protection Management Program Plan* (ground water protection plan) whose scope and detail reflect the program’s significance and address the seven activities required in DOE Order 5400.1, Chapter III, for special program planning.

This ground water protection plan highlights the methods designed to preserve, protect, and monitor ground water resources at UMTRA Project processing and disposal sites. The plan includes an overview of the remedial action status at the 24 designated processing sites and identifies technical guidance documents and site-specific documents for the UMTRA Project ground water protection management program. In addition, the plan addresses the general information required to develop a water resources protection strategy at the permanent disposal sites. Finally, the plan describes ongoing activities that are in various stages of development at UMTRA Project sites (long-term care at disposal sites and ground water remediation at processing sites). This plan will be reviewed annually and updated every 3 years in accordance with DOE Order 5400.1.

Information concerning UMTRA Project activities to preserve, protect, and monitor ground water resources, along with other characterization activities, is summarized in the *UMTRA Project Environmental Protection Implementation Plan* and the *UMTRA Project Environmental Monitoring Plan*. Additional information is available from UMTRA Project guidance documents and site-specific documents.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Order 5400.1 requires the establishment of a ground water protection management program to ensure compliance with all DOE requirements and applicable environmental protection laws and regulations. A ground water protection management program has been developed for the Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) Project and has been implemented by the UMTRA Project Technical Assistance Contractor.

This ground water protection plan addresses, as appropriate, the following seven activities in DOE Order 5400.1, Chapter III: 1) documentation of the ground water regime with respect to quantity and quality, 2) design and implementation of a ground water monitoring program to support resource management and comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations, 3) a management program for ground water protection and remediation, 4) identification of areas that may be contaminated with hazardous substances, 5) strategies for controlling sources of those hazardous constituents, 6) development of remedial action plans (RAP) that are consistent with the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act of 1978 (UMTRCA), 42 U.S.C. §7901 et seq., 7) decontamination and decommissioning, and other remedial programs contained in DOE directives.

This ground water protection plan is a component of the UMTRA Project environmental protection program as detailed in the UMTRA Project Environmental Protection Implementation Plan (DOE, 1993a). Other characterization activities are addressed in the UMTRA Project Environmental Monitoring Plan (DOE, 1992a).

1.1 PROJECT OVERVIEW

During the 1970s, Congress recognized that residual radioactive materials (RRM) at uranium mill tailings sites may pose a potentially significant radiation health hazard to the public. In 1978, Congress passed the UMTRCA to reduce future potential health hazards to the public and to ensure the future protection of public health and the environment. RRM is defined as radioactive wastes in the form of tailings resulting from the processing of ores for the extraction of uranium and other valuable constituents of the ores, and other radioactive wastes present at or near a processing site that relates to such processing. The UMTRCA authorizes the DOE to perform remedial actions at 24 inactive uranium mill tailings sites and, upon completion of the remedial actions, to care for the permanent disposal sites under a general license issued by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) (Figure 1.1). The DOE established the UMTRA Project to carry out this mission.

The Atomic Energy Act of 1954, 42 U.S.C. §2011 et seq., as amended by the UMTRCA, authorizes the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to promulgate standards for remedial action and ground water protection at inactive uranium processing sites. The regulations, 40 CFR 192, took effect on March 7, 1983. However, on January 5, 1985, the ground water protection standards (40 CFR 192.02(a)(2)-(3)) were remanded by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit. New ground water protection standards for 40 CFR 192 were proposed on
September 24, 1987 (52 FR 36000). The EPA-proposed ground water protection standards establish requirements for the control of contaminants from the RRM into the ground water at disposal sites and for the cleanup of existing ground water contamination at designated processing sites and vicinity property sites. In lieu of final standards, remedial actions with regard to ground water protection are being conducted in accordance with the proposed standards as directed by the UMTRCA.

The UMTRCA allows the DOE to extend without limitation the time frame needed to complete ground water remediation activities required by 40 CFR 192 at the processing sites. However, the DOE must complete all surface remedial action activities, including compliance with the proposed ground water protection standards for the disposal sites, by 1996. After the NRC concurs in the DOE certification that the surface remedial actions are complete, custodial responsibility of the permanent disposal sites will be transferred to the federal government to be cared for under the general license by the DOE or any other federal agency designated by the President.

The standards proposed by the EPA require the DOE to monitor for ground water protection at the permanent disposal sites after the surface remedial actions are completed. This regulatory requirement will be carried out under the long-term surveillance program established in accordance with the NRC regulations for licensing and long-term care (10 CFR 40.27).

The UMTRA Project objective is to stabilize the RRM in permanent disposal facilities in a safe and environmentally responsible manner. In accordance with the UMTRCA, the remedial actions, including ground water protection, undertaken by the UMTRA Project are performed as follows:

- In compliance with the remedial action standards for UMTRA Project sites, issued by the EPA in 40 CFR 192.

- In compliance with the proposed ground water protection standards for UMTRA Project sites (until these standards become final).

- In compliance with the standards for the general license for custody and long-term care of RRM disposal sites, issued by the NRC in 10 CFR 40.27.

- With the concurrence of the NRC and the full participation and concurrence of the affected states and Indian tribes.

A primary concern of the UMTRA Project is the protection of ground water resources from remedial action activities at processing and disposal sites. In addition, ground water resources need to be protected from residual contamination after the remedial action is completed. Ground water protection is considered in evaluating remedial action alternatives, disposal site selection, and general site activities. Before remedial actions are initiated, the UMTRA Project completes the environmental investigations, documentation, and public reviews required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. §4321 et seq. Assessing the
potential impacts of UMTRA Project activities on ground water resources is an
important part of the Project’s NEPA review process.

Recently, the DOE initiated the ground water remediation phase of the UMTRA
Project. Current activity focuses on developing management and technical
documents for the orderly and systematic planning of remedial action activities. At
this time, no active ground water remediation has taken place at UMTRA Project
sites, although the need to perform ground water remediation is being assessed at
all processing sites.

For further details on the UMTRA Project objective, technical approach for
accomplishing the goals, participants’ roles and responsibilities, and planning and
managerial controls to be used in performing the site work, consult the UMTRA

1.2 OVERVIEW OF REMEDIAL ACTION STATUS

The UMTRA Project began in 1983 and has completed surface remedial action
activities and stabilized in permanent disposal facilities the tailings and related RRM
at 10 of the 24 designated sites. The completed sites are Canonsburg,
Pennsylvania; Durango, Colorado; Green River, Utah; Lakeview, Oregon; Lowman,
Idaho; Riverton, Wyoming; Salt Lake City, Utah; Spook, Wyoming; Shiprock, New
Mexico; and Tuba City, Arizona.

Currently, surface remedial actions are under way at Ambrosia Lake, New Mexico;
Falls City, Texas; Grand Junction, Colorado; Gunnison, Colorado; Mexican Hat,
Utah; Monument Valley, Arizona; and two sites in Rifle, Colorado. Characterization,
conceptual design, and final design are far advanced at Belfield, North Dakota;
Bowman, North Dakota; Maybell, Colorado; Naturita, Colorado; and two sites in
Slick Rock, Colorado. Completion of all surface remedial action is currently required
by 1996.

In accordance with the UMTRCA and 40 CFR 192, RAPs are developed for all sites
for the disposal and cleanup of RRM. All RAPs require the concurrence of the NRC.

1.3 APPLICABLE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION LAWS AND REGULATIONS

The preservation and protection of ground water resources at UMTRA Project sites
is guided primarily by the following laws, regulations, and internal DOE policies:

- UMTRCA, 42 U.S.C. §7901 et seq.
- NEPA, 42 U.S.C. §4321 et seq.
- 52 FR 36000, proposed ground water protection standards.
- 10 CFR 40.27, general license requirements for RRM disposal sites.
- 10 CFR 1021, DOE NEPA procedures.
- DOE Order 5400.1, general DOE environmental protection program requirements.
- DOE Order 5440.1E, DOE NEPA compliance program.
- Applicable state and local laws and regulations.

1.4 TECHNICAL PLANNING DOCUMENTS

The technical documents related to ground water protection at UMTRA Project sites are identified below. The documents are available through the UMTRA Project Office. Also listed are the types of site-specific documents produced for each site. Complete references for the technical documents and current versions of site-specific documents are included in Section 4.0, References.

**Technical documents**

- *UMTRA Project Environmental Protection Implementation Plan* (DOE, 1993a). Describes the UMTRA Project environmental protection program for the implementation of DOE Order 5400.1.

- *UMTRA Project Environmental Monitoring Plan* (DOE, 1992a). Describes UMTRA Project environmental monitoring programs and includes a detailed plan for ground water monitoring.

- *Plan for Implementing EPA Standards for UMTRA Project Sites* (DOE, 1984). Presents the UMTRA Project procedures, testing, and evaluation criteria that shall be followed in planning for the implementation of the EPA standards.

- *UMTRA Project Site Management Manual* (DOE, 1990a). Documents the organizational and technical approach used to manage the activities required to stabilize and control the UMTRA Project sites.

- *UMTRA Project Office Quality Assurance Program Plan (QAPP)* (DOE, 1993b). Establishes the guidelines for UMTRA Project participants' quality assurance programs.

- *UMTRA Project Environmental, Safety, and Health Plan* (DOE, 1992b). Identifies the basic federal environmental, safety, and health standards and DOE requirements applicable to the UMTRA Project.
• Guidance for Implementing the UMTRA Project Long-term Surveillance Program (DOE, 1992c). Establishes the procedures to be used to develop long-term surveillance plans, including ground water monitoring, for disposal sites after completion of remedial action.

• Alternate Site Selection Process for UMTRA Project Sites (DOE, 1988a). Describes the procedures to be used by the UMTRA Project Office in conjunction with the affected state or Indian tribe to select and agree mutually on an alternate site for off-site disposal.

• Technical Approach Document (TAD) (DOE, 1989a). Describes the general technical approaches for site characterization, disposal cell design, disposal cell performance assessments, and development of water resources protection strategies for demonstrating compliance with the EPA ground water protection standards.

• Regulatory Alternatives for Ground Water Compliance for the U.S. Department of Energy’s UMTRA Project (DOE, 1989b). Defines, describes, and provides guidance for compliance with proposed ground water standards.

• Requirements for Quality Control of Analytical Data (DOE, 1990b). Defines quality control requirements and data validation guidelines for analytical data.

• Quality Assurance Implementation Plan (working draft). Identifies the organizations involved with ground water restoration and describes their operational, field, laboratory, and quality assurance responsibilities. Its purpose is to ensure that information collected and used for environmental restoration is of the quality needed to achieve the project goal of ground water restoration.

• Technical Approach to Groundwater Restoration (TAGR) (DOE, 1993c). Describes the technical approach for ground water restoration and discusses conceptual modeling of the site, evaluation of applicable ground water restoration technologies, selection of the preferred alternative, remedial design, and remedial action.

• Guidance Document for Preparing Water Sampling and Analysis Plans for UMTRA Sites, (DOE, 1993d). Provides a consistent technical approach for water sampling and analysis activities at UMTRA Project sites.

• Albuquerque Operations Manual. Jacobs Engineering Group Inc. Specifies or describes how activities are to be performed. Includes procedures on methods to be employed, equipment or materials to be used, and the sequence of operations. The procedures create traceability and consistency in data acquisition, analysis, and support activities.
Site-specific documents

- **Comparative analysis of disposal site alternatives reports.** Provide the basis for agreement with the affected state or Indian tribe on a preferred alternative for site remedial action and with the NRC that the preferred alternative will meet the EPA standards.

- **Site NEPA compliance documents.** Include environmental assessments or environmental impact statements, findings of no significant impact, records of decision, and other documents required to comply with the NEPA.

- **Remedial action selection reports.** Summarize the detailed site characterization, design, and water resources protection information that is provided in the RAPs.

- **Remedial action plans (RAP).** Incorporate site characterization data, identify the series of site activities and the design required to implement the long-term stabilization and control of the RRM for a site, and document the disposal cell design and ground water protection strategy required to demonstrate compliance with the EPA standards.

- **Water sampling and analysis plans (WSAP).** Provide the annual basis for ground water and surface water sampling at UMTRA Project processing and disposal sites by identifying and justifying the sampling locations, analytical parameters, detection limits, and sampling frequencies.

- **Long-term surveillance plans (LTSP).** Describe the surveillance and monitoring requirements for the disposal site after remedial actions have been completed and provide the basis for obtaining the site license.

- **Special study reports.** Present the findings, conclusions, and recommendations on selected topics identified by the UMTRA Project manager to assist in the performance of site planning and remedial actions.

- **Baseline risk assessments.** Indicate the degree of potential site-related risk to human health and the environment and will be used in part to determine the need for and extent of ground water remediation required at each processing site.
2.0 WATER RESOURCES PROTECTION

This section summarizes the DOE strategy for complying with the proposed ground water protection standards (52 FR 36000). It describes the general technical approach for site characterization, methods for developing the ground water compliance strategy, and methods for evaluating whether the proposed remedial action will meet the proposed standards. The TAD (DOE, 1989a), TAGR (DOE, 1993c), and site-specific RAPs contain further details on the DOE strategy for complying with the EPA standards.

2.1 DISPOSAL CELL SITE SELECTION AND DESIGN

RRM from UMTRA Project sites are stabilized either on the site, in place, or at an alternate site. The site is selected in accordance with procedures described in the Alternate Site Selection Process document (DOE, 1988a). Evaluation criteria include identification of site-specific hazards, risk assessment, technical feasibility, and cost-effectiveness. Disposal cell design is a complex process and is based on consideration of many factors. To ensure that all aspects of a design are considered, a remedial action plan checklist is completed (Table 2.2, DOE, 1989a). Items for consideration related to hydrogeologic conditions at each site include background ground water quality, presence and movement of contaminant plumes and discharge to surface water, prediction of effects of remedial action on ground water, and impacts on beneficial use of ground water. Disposal cell design features are incorporated to minimize infiltration into the contaminated materials and to optimize ground water protection compliance strategies. Details are site-specific and are available in the RAP for each site.

2.2 HYDROGEOLOGIC CHARACTERIZATION

The UMTRA Project conducts investigations at designated uranium processing sites and proposed disposal sites to identify hydrogeologic units, determine hydraulic and transport properties, and identify geochemical conditions. Sufficient data are collected to provide a conceptual hydrogeologic model.

The hydrogeology of both the processing and disposal sites is described. Information on all potentially affected aquifers and confining units is provided, including the geometry, lateral extent, thickness, recharge and discharge zones, and flow characteristics. Unsaturated hydrogeologic units that may convey hazardous constituents released from RRM are also identified.

The hydraulic and transport properties of all potentially affected hydrogeologic units at both the disposal and processing sites are determined based on the hydrogeologic characterization. Hydraulic and transport properties include hydraulic conductivities, storage characteristics, effective porosities, and dispersivities. In addition, the hydraulic gradients, ground water flow directions, and average linear ground water velocities for each potentially affected hydrogeologic unit are described. These descriptions consider the spatial and temporal distribution and isotropy of these properties as appropriate to support compliance demonstrations.
The material and hydraulic properties of the tailings, contaminated site materials, disposal cell subsoils, and disposal cell cover are determined to evaluate long-term saturation, infiltration, and transient drainage. The information is used to assess whether the remedial action will result in compliance with the proposed ground water protection standards.

The geochemical environment within each potentially affected aquifer at the processing and disposal sites is characterized. Hazardous constituents in tailings and RRM are identified, along with the geochemistry and distribution of hazardous constituents in each potentially affected aquifer at the processing sites. Aquifer geochemical characteristics related to contaminant migration at the disposal site are quantified in addition to the geochemical properties of the disposal cell subsoils. Also the distribution of nonradiological hazardous constituents in subsoils, tailings, and RRM is addressed.

Background and baseline ground water quality of potentially affected aquifers at both the processing and disposal sites is determined. Background ground water quality is established by analyzing samples from one or more monitor wells that are either upgradient or in adjacent areas that have not been affected by uranium processing activities. Baseline ground water quality is determined by analyzing samples from monitor wells located and installed to provide representative characterization of ground water in areas potentially affected by uranium processing activities. Initially, the tailings pore fluids and ground water samples are screened for inorganic and organic hazardous constituents (Table 8.1 of DOE, 1989a). Hazardous constituents may be omitted from further analyses if they meet certain criteria (DOE, 1989a). Ground water monitoring should be conducted for at least 1 year (preferably four sampling periods to note seasonal variations) prior to construction activities. Trends in background and baseline ground water quality for each monitor well are established in the interim between remedial action and the implementation of ground water remediation. Ground water quality data are validated according to DOE level C validation criteria (DOE, 1990b). The TAD provides information on the statistical analyses used to calculate background and baseline concentrations of each hazardous constituent (DOE, 1989a).

A ground water monitoring program starts at each site during the characterization phase and continues through surface remediation and ground water restoration. The ground water monitoring program may phase directly into formal, long-term surveillance once construction is complete. The frequency of ground water sampling is determined based on site-specific factors such as the rate of ground water flow, the possibility of seasonal variations in water quality, and the potential risk to human health and the environment. The results of ground water monitoring are reported in an annual site environmental report as required by DOE Order 5400.1.

The UMTTRA Project requires that existing and potential water sources and uses in the vicinity of disposal and processing sites be identified. Information on the present value of water is established through contact with state agencies, local water companies, and field surveys. In addition, the future value and predicted use
of regional water resources is determined. Finally, the availability of alternative water supplies is evaluated, including currently used supplies, available but unused supplies, and supplies not currently available but that could be developed.

2.3 DISPOSAL AND CONTROL OF RESIDUAL RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS

2.3.1 Ground water protection strategy

The DOE will propose a compliance strategy for ground water protection at each UMTRA Project disposal site. The EPA ground water protection standards consist of three components: 1) a list of hazardous constituents, 2) a corresponding list of proposed concentration limits for the constituents, and 3) a point of compliance (POC).

Hazardous constituents should satisfy the following two criteria: 1) they must be reasonably expected to be in or derived from the RRM to be stabilized at the site, and 2) they must be constituents listed in the proposed ground water protection standards. The list of hazardous constituents will be based on characterization of the composition of the RRM, ground water quality data, description of the processes and chemicals used in processing uranium, and assessment of whether constituents are reasonably expected to be in or derived from the RRM.

The DOE will propose a concentration limit for each identified hazardous constituent. The concentration limit will be the background concentration for the constituent, the maximum concentration limit, an alternate concentration limit, or supplemental standards.

Where applicable, a POC will be proposed for the disposal site. The POC is a vertical surface that extends downward through the uppermost aquifer along the hydraulically downgradient limit of the disposal cell. Monitor wells will be installed as close to the disposal cell as practical to provide access for monitoring ground water quality without disturbing engineered components of the disposal cell. POC monitoring should provide an early warning of the release of hazardous constituents into the uppermost aquifer.

2.3.2 Performance assessment

The DOE will demonstrate to the NRC that the performance of the disposal cells will comply with the ground water protection standard for disposal. For each disposal cell, the DOE will use quantitative analyses of infiltration, leaching, and transport to show that the estimated concentration of each hazardous constituent in ground water in the uppermost aquifer is less than or equal to the proposed concentration limit for that constituent.

2.3.3 Closure performance assessment

The DOE will demonstrate compliance with the closure performance standard for each disposal cell. It will show that the need for further maintenance of the
disposal cell has been minimized and that the disposal cell controls, minimizes, or eliminates releases of hazardous constituents to the ground water to the extent necessary to comply with the ground water protection standard for disposal.

2.3.4 Ground water monitoring and corrective action planning

The DOE will describe an integrated ground water monitoring and corrective action program for each disposal site. Ground water (and surface water when applicable) monitoring will be implemented as necessary during and after remediation activities to evaluate the effectiveness of the remedial action, to demonstrate compliance with the EPA ground water protection standards, and to ensure protection of human health and the environment. The ground water monitoring program will consist of baseline, detection, and compliance monitoring. Because ground water contamination problems and remedial action scenarios are site-specific (based on hydrogeologic complexity, magnitude of contamination, and potential impact on human health and the environment), the number and location of monitor wells, analytes evaluated, and sampling frequency will be contingent on site conditions and the selected remedial action. A description of the ground water monitoring program will be presented in the LTSP for each disposal site. The program is reviewed annually, and details of the ground water monitoring to be conducted are identified in site-specific WSAPs.

The ground water monitoring program will also describe statistical procedures and criteria for determining aberrant performances that would trigger analysis and implementation of corrective actions. The DOE will evaluate alternative corrective actions that could be implemented if the disposal monitoring program indicates that a disposal unit is not performing acceptably. The evaluation will demonstrate that corrective actions could be implemented within 18 months to correct reasonable failure scenarios of the disposal cell.

2.4 GROUND WATER CLEANUP

The DOE is required to demonstrate that proposed ground water cleanup actions at the UMTRA Project processing sites will bring ground water quality into compliance with the proposed ground water cleanup standards (52 FR 36000). The need for ground water restoration at selected UMTRA Project processing sites is determined based on the EPA regulatory requirements for protection of human health and the environment. A programmatic environmental impact statement, currently being developed in accordance with DOE NEPA procedures, will be used as a planning and decision-making document to determine the program-wide ground water compliance strategy.

To ensure that technically and financially sound ground water restoration activities are selected, the "observational approach" is proposed. The observational approach uses existing site data to develop a conceptual model of site conditions and applicable compliance strategies. This information is used to develop a ground water restoration program based on "most probable" site conditions. The most likely alternative scenarios are also postulated during the development of the initial
ground water restoration action plan. Contingency plans are developed to deal with deviations from reasonably anticipated conditions. The observational approach links a cost-effective remediation option with an effective contingency plan that will result in full regulatory compliance and protection of human health and the environment without the burden of excessive site characterization and conservatism.
3.0 ONGOING ACTIVITIES

To ensure that the integrity of the permanent disposal cell is maintained and that the cell continues to function as designed, a long-term surveillance program is required by the UMTRCA for each site. This section summarizes the DOE’s responsibilities for preparing the site-specific LTSPs, required by the NRC as a condition for licensing under 10 CFR 40.27, and for conducting the long-term surveillance program at disposal sites. Cleanup of contaminated ground water at processing sites is required under the proposed ground water standards (52 FR 36000). This section also summarizes the technical approach to ground water remediation at processing sites.

3.1 LONG-TERM SURVEILLANCE PLANS

The DOE prepares detailed site-specific LTSPs in accordance with the NRC licensing regulations in 10 CFR 40.27. The LTSPs identify and describe the surveillance and monitoring activities required to carry out the long-term surveillance program at the disposal sites. This ensures that the disposal cell continues to function as designed and that the integrity of the disposal site is maintained. The surveillance and monitoring activities specified in each LTSP become licensing conditions for the disposal site.

The following information will be included in each LTSP:

- A legal description of the disposal site, including documentation of land ownership.
- A detailed description of final site conditions, including existing ground water conditions. The description can be in the form of a reference to an existing document such as a RAP.
- A description of the long-term surveillance program, including the proposed frequency of inspections; the extent of the ground water monitoring network; the frequency of ground water sampling; the appropriate constituents and concentration limits; the inspection procedures and inspection personnel qualifications; the criteria for record keeping and reporting; and procedures for quality assurance.
- The criteria for follow-up inspections in response to observations from routine inspections or to reports of extreme natural events.
- The criteria for instituting maintenance, repair, or corrective action measures.

The ground water performance monitoring is included in the site-specific LTSP in accordance with NRC regulations for the licensing of the disposal sites. The ground water performance monitoring section of an LTSP describes site characterization activities and the water resources protection strategy. In addition, a discussion is provided in the section concerning data validation and quality control, excursion
criteria, corrective action, additional monitoring requirements, and reporting
requirements.

For additional details on the procedures for carrying out the long-term surveillance
of ground water at disposal sites, consult the UMTRA Project long-term surveillance
program guidance document (DOE, 1992c), LTSPs for each site, and 10 CFR
40.27.

3.2 GROUND WATER REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES

The UMTRA Project has initiated planning for ground water remediation activities
(DOE, 1993c). Ground water remediation is to be performed at processing sites
where it is deemed necessary to protect human health and the environment. The
observational approach is to be applied to ensure that adequate, cost-effective, and
technically feasible measures are taken to clean up ground water at the site.

The ground water remediation implementation strategy consists of the following:

- Development of a site observational work plan.
- Site characterization.
- Risk assessment evaluation.
- Interim actions (if required).
- Treatability investigations (if required).
- Selection of ground water remediation method.
- Development of ground water remediation activities.
- Remedial design and implementation.
- Ground water monitoring (as required).
- Demonstration of regulatory compliance.

For further details concerning ground water protection at processing sites, consult
the UMTRA Project TAGR (DOE, 1993c).
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