ORNL/TM-12852

**OAK RIDGE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY**

MARTIN MARIETTA

**A Note on the Total Least Squares
Problem for Coplanar Points**

Steven L. Lee

MANAGED BY
MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
FOR THE UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; prices available from (615) 576-8401, FTS 626-8401.

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Engineering Physics and Mathematics Division

**A NOTE ON THE TOTAL LEAST SQUARES PROBLEM
FOR COPLANAR POINTS**

Steven L. Lee

Mathematical Sciences Section
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P.O. Box 2008, Bldg. 6012
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6367
slee@msr.epm.ornl.gov

Date Published: September 1994

Research was supported by the Applied Mathematical
Sciences Research Program of the Office of Energy Re-
search, U.S. Department of Energy.

Prepared by the
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831
managed by
Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.
for the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under Contract No. DE-AC05-84OR21400

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Main results	1
3	References	6

A NOTE ON THE TOTAL LEAST SQUARES PROBLEM FOR COPLANAR POINTS

Steven L. Lee

Abstract

The Total Least Squares (TLS) fit to the points (x_k, y_k) , $k = 1, \dots, n$, minimizes the sum of the squares of the *perpendicular* distances from the points to the line. This sum is the TLS error, and minimizing its magnitude is appropriate if x_k and y_k are uncertain. A priori formulas for the TLS fit and TLS error to coplanar points were originally derived by Pearson in [*Phil. Mag.*, 2 (1901), pp. 559-572], and they are expressed in terms of the mean, standard deviation and correlation coefficient of the data. In this note, these TLS formulas are derived in a more elementary fashion. The TLS fit is obtained via the ordinary least squares problem and the algebraic properties of complex numbers. The TLS error is formulated in terms of the triangle inequality for complex numbers.

1. Introduction

Given the set of points (x_k, y_k) , $k = 1, \dots, n$, it is often desirable to find the straight line

$$y = \alpha x + \beta \quad (1)$$

that minimizes the sum of the squares of the *perpendicular* distances from the points to the line. Properly speaking, the above is a total least squares (TLS) problem. The desired line is the TLS fit to the points (x_k, y_k) , and the TLS error is the sum to be minimized. Such a fitting is appropriate if x_k and y_k are subject to error. For some additional discussion of this topic, see the recent paper by Nievergelt [4], and the references therein. An analysis of this problem, and more general TLS problems, is also given by Golub and Van Loan in [1] and by Van Huffel and Vanderwalle in [2].

2. Main results

In the least squares (LS) problem, we are interested in finding the straight line $y = ax + b$ that minimizes the sum of the squares of the vertical distances from the points (x_k, y_k) to the line. It is well known that the solution to the LS problem can be obtained by solving the following system of "normal equations":

$$\begin{bmatrix} n & \sum x_k \\ \sum x_k & \sum x_k^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum y_k \\ \sum x_k y_k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

The solution is unique, unless $x_1 = \dots = x_n$ (i.e., the points are vertically aligned).

We can learn a great deal about the TLS problem by first transforming it into a LS problem and then applying the normal equations (2). A few observations are needed before pursuing this approach. In particular, for the mean values

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_k \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum y_k, \quad (3)$$

let

$$\tilde{x}_k = x_k - \bar{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{y}_k = y_k - \bar{y} \quad (4)$$

so that the TLS fit to the points $(\tilde{x}_k, \tilde{y}_k)$ passes through the origin [4, pg. 259].

The TLS slope α and TLS error are unaffected by this translation. We now proceed to work in terms of $(\tilde{x}_k, \tilde{y}_k)$ to determine the slope and angle at which the TLS fit crosses the origin. For notational convenience, let's denote these centered points as the complex numbers

$$\tilde{z}_k = \tilde{x}_k + i \tilde{y}_k, \quad (5)$$

and let

$$0 \leq \tau < \pi \quad (6)$$

denote the angle (in the counterclockwise direction) that the TLS fit makes with the positive real axis. Thus, we have the trivial relations that slope $\alpha = \tan(\tau)$ and $\tau = \tan^{-1}(\alpha)$. The analysis that follows is simpler if we work in terms of τ .

In principle, we can transform the TLS problem into a LS problem by rotating the points $\tilde{z}_k$ by $-\tau$ so that the TLS fit to the points

$$w_k = e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k \quad (7)$$

lies along the real axis. The TLS error to the points $\tilde{z}_k$ is unaffected by this rotation, and the perpendicular distances from the points w_k to the TLS fit lie strictly in the vertical direction. In this way, we establish the real axis as the solution to a TLS and LS problem.

Although the τ in (7) is unknown, we can determine some of its basic properties by applying the normal equations (2) to the points w_k ; namely,

$$\begin{bmatrix} n & \sum \operatorname{Re}(w_k) \\ \sum \operatorname{Re}(w_k) & \sum \operatorname{Re}^2(w_k) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum \operatorname{Im}(w_k) \\ \sum \operatorname{Re}(w_k) \operatorname{Im}(w_k) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) has the solution $a = 0$, $b = 0$ since the real axis is the LS fit to the points (7). Based on this unique LS solution, the right-hand side of (8) must also be zero, and we find that the unknown τ satisfies

$$\sum \operatorname{Im}(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k) = 0 \quad (9)$$

and

$$\sum \operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k) \operatorname{Im}(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k) = 0. \quad (10)$$

The first condition (9) says that the sum of the perpendiculars, d_k , is zero. The

second condition (10) is also valuable since the summation of cross terms suggests a strategy for explicitly determining τ . Later, we show that we can obtain the total least squares error,

$$\text{TLS error} \equiv \sum |d_k|^2 = \sum \text{Im}^2(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k), \quad (11)$$

via a formula that does not involve τ .

To deduce the TLS angle τ , we begin by expanding the term

$$\rho = \sum (e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k)^2 \quad (12)$$

$$= \sum [\text{Re}(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k) + i \text{Im}(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k)]^2 \quad (13)$$

$$= \sum \text{Re}^2(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k) - \sum \text{Im}^2(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k) + 2i \left\{ \sum \text{Re}(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k) \text{Im}(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k) \right\}. \quad (14)$$

Notice that the summation of cross terms, in curly brackets, is zero due to condition (10). Thus, the third important property of the unknown τ is that ρ is a real number.

To proceed further, we now compute the complex number

$$s = \sum \tilde{z}_k^2 \quad (15)$$

and simplify the term

$$\rho = \sum (e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k)^2 = e^{-2i\tau} \sum \tilde{z}_k^2 = e^{-2i\tau} s \quad (16)$$

to get the exponential form

$$s = \rho e^{2i\tau}. \quad (17)$$

We can establish ρ as a positive real number by denoting $0 \leq 2\tau < 2\pi$ as the angle (in the counterclockwise direction) that s makes with the positive real axis. By working with the complex form of s , we can exhibit the real and imaginary parts via

$$s = \sum \tilde{z}_k^2 = \sum (\tilde{x}_k + i \tilde{y}_k)^2 = \sum \tilde{x}_k^2 - \sum \tilde{y}_k^2 + 2i \sum \tilde{x}_k \tilde{y}_k \quad (18)$$

and, for the angle in the exponential form, determine that

$$\tan(2\tau) = \frac{\text{Im}(s)}{\text{Re}(s)} = \frac{2 \sum \tilde{x}_k \tilde{y}_k}{\sum \tilde{x}_k^2 - \sum \tilde{y}_k^2}. \quad (19)$$

In general, there are two values of τ that satisfy (19); these two values will differ by $\pi/2$. The TLS angle is the one that also satisfies (9). Other approaches to deriving (19) appear in [5] and [3, Chapter 13, Section 4]. If $\tan(\tau)$ is defined, we then have the TLS slope $\alpha = \tan(\tau)$. The point-slope form

$$y - \bar{y} = \alpha(x - \bar{x}) \quad (20)$$

can be used to obtain the β term of the TLS fit (1).

An a priori formula for the TLS error comes from

$$\sum |e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k|^2 = \sum |\tilde{z}_k|^2 \quad (21)$$

and the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For the total least squares angle τ to the points $\tilde{z}_k$,*

$$\sum (e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k)^2 = \left| \sum \tilde{z}_k^2 \right|. \quad (22)$$

Proof: From (12), we have

$$\sum (e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k)^2 = \rho. \quad (23)$$

From the exponential form of s in (17), we know that ρ equals the magnitude of s . Thus, we finish with

$$\rho = |s| = \left| \sum \tilde{z}_k^2 \right|. \quad (24)$$

■

For the TLS angle τ , we can also show that

$$\sum |e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k|^2 = \sum \operatorname{Re}^2(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k) + \sum \operatorname{Im}^2(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k) \quad (25)$$

and, from (12)–(14),

$$\sum (e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k)^2 = \sum \operatorname{Re}^2(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k) - \sum \operatorname{Im}^2(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k). \quad (26)$$

At this stage, notice that (25) and (26) differ by

$$2 \sum \text{Im}^2(e^{-i\tau} \tilde{z}_k), \quad (27)$$

which is precisely twice the TLS error for the problem; see (11). Moreover, (21) and (22) show that this difference is a readily computable quantity; namely,

$$2 \text{ (TLS error)} = \sum |\tilde{z}_k|^2 - \left| \sum \tilde{z}_k^2 \right|. \quad (28)$$

Theorem 2.2. *Given the points (x_k, y_k) , $k = 1, \dots, n$, let*

$$z_k = x_k + i y_k \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{z} = \frac{1}{n} \sum z_k \quad (29)$$

so that

$$\tilde{z}_k = z_k - \bar{z}. \quad (30)$$

The error for the total least squares fit is

$$\sum |d_k|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum |\tilde{z}_k|^2 - \left| \sum \tilde{z}_k^2 \right| \right), \quad (31)$$

where $|d_k|$ is the perpendicular distance from (x_k, y_k) to the fit.

Proof: The theorem follows from (28). ■

The TLS error formula in (31) is a concise version of Pearson's formula [5, pg. 566]. For comparison, note that the latter result reduces to

$$\sum |d_k|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum \tilde{x}_k^2 + \sum \tilde{y}_k^2 - \left[\left(\sum \tilde{x}_k^2 - \sum \tilde{y}_k^2 \right)^2 + 4 \left(\sum \tilde{x}_k \tilde{y}_k \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right). \quad (32)$$

Moreover, by arranging the formula (31) as

$$\left| \sum \tilde{z}_k^2 \right| + 2 \sum |d_k|^2 = \sum |\tilde{z}_k|^2, \quad (33)$$

we can drop the term $2 \sum |d_k|^2$ and thereby obtain the triangle inequality

$$\left| \sum \tilde{z}_k^2 \right| \leq \sum |\tilde{z}_k|^2 = \sum |\tilde{z}_k|^2 \quad (34)$$

as a special case. Thus, (33) establishes an important relation between the TLS error to z_k and the triangle inequality for $\tilde{z}_k^2$. In particular, we find that the error

in the TLS fit equals half the "error" in the triangle inequality for the square of the centered points, $\tilde{z}_k^2$ (see Theorem 2.2).

Unfortunately, this new derivation for the TLS fit and TLS error does not generalize to higher dimensions. Algorithms for fitting a hyperplane to higher-dimensional data are described in [1,4].

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Gene Golub for helpful pointers to literature concerning the total least squares problem.

3. References

- [1] Gene H. Golub and Charles F. Van Loan. An analysis of the total least squares problem. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 17:883–893, 1980.
- [2] Sabine Van Huffel and Joos Vanderwalle. *The Total Least Squares Problem: Computational Aspects and Analysis*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, 1991.
- [3] I. Linnik. *Method of Least Squares and Principles of the Theory of Observations*. Pergamon Press, New York, 1961.
- [4] Yves Nievergelt. Total least squares: State-of-the-art regression in numerical analysis. *SIAM Review*, 36(2):258–264, June 1994.
- [5] Karl Pearson. On lines and planes of closest fit to points in space. *Phil. Mag.*, 2:559–572, 1901.

ORNL/TM-12852

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1. B.R. Appleton	21-25. S.A. Raby
2. T.S. Darland	26. C.H. Romine
3. E.F. D'Azevedo	27. T.H. Rowan
4. J.M. Donato	28. B.D. Semeraro
5. J.J. Dongarra	29-33. R.F. Sincovec
6. J.B. Drake	34-38. R.C. Ward
7. G.A. Geist	39. Central Research Library
8-12. M.R. Leuze	40. ORNL Patent Office
13. N.M. Nachtigal	41. K-25 Appl Tech Library
14-18. E.G. Ng	42. Y-12 Technical Library
19. C.E. Oliver	43. Lab Records Dept - RC
20. P.M. Papadopoulos	44-45. Laboratory Records Dept

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

46. Loyce Adams, Dept. of Applied Mathematics, FS-20, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195
47. Fernando Alvarado, University of Wisconsin, 1425 Johnson Drive, Madison, WI 53706-1607
48. Greg Ammar, Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL 60115
49. Mario Arioli, IAN-CNR, c/o Dipartimento di Matematica, 209, via Abbiategrosso, 27100 Pavia, Italy
50. Steven Ashby, Lawrence Livermore National Lab, P.O. Box 808, L-316, Livermore, CA 94551-0808
51. Donald M. Austin, 1100 Washington Ave. South, Room 101, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455
52. Owe Axelsson, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Nijmegen, NL-6525 ED Nijmegen The Netherlands
53. Zhaojun Bai, Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506
54. Jesse Barlow, Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, 220 Pond Laboratory, University Park, PA 16802-6106
55. Edward H. Barsis, Sandia National Laboratories, Computer Science and Mathematics, P.O. Box 5800, Albuquerque, NM 87185
56. Michael Berry, Department of Computer Science, 114 Ayres Hall, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996-1301

57. Rob Bisseling, University of Utrecht, Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 80010, NL-3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands
58. Ake Bjorck, Dept. of Mathematics, Linkoping University, S-58183 Linkoping, Sweden
59. Petter Bjorstad, Institutt for Informatikk, Hoyteknologisenteret, N-5020 Bergen, Norway
60. Thierry Braconnier, 42 Ave G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse Cedex, France
61. Randall Bramley, Department of Computer Science, 215 Lindley Hall, Indiana University, IN 47405
62. Roger W. Brockett, Harvard University, 29 Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138-2901
63. Peter Brown, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, L-316, Livermore, CA 94551
64. Richard Brualdi, Dept. of Mathematics, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison, WI 53706
65. Ralph Byers, 405 Snow Hall, Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045
66. Tony Chan, Dept. of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555
67. Françoise Chaitin-Chatelin, 18 Rue Pierre Arnoux, 92190 Meudon, France
68. Paul Concus, Mathematics and Computing, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, 50A/2129, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720
69. Jane Cullum, IBM T.J. Watson Research Center, P.O. Box 218, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598
70. Biswa Datta, Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL 60115
71. Tim Davis, E301 CSE, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611-2024
72. James Demmel, Dept. of Computer Science, University of California, 571 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720
73. John Dorning, Dept. of Nuclear Engineering Physics, Nuclear Reactor Facility, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22903-2442
74. Milo Dorr, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, L-316, Livermore, CA 94551
75. Craig Douglas, 8 South Street, Cos Cob, CT 06807-1618
76. Tony Drummond, 42 Ave G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse Cedex, France
77. Donald J. Dudziak, Dept. of Nuclear Engineering, 110B Burlington Engineering Labs, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-7909
78. Iain Duff, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, Oxon OX110QX, England
79. Patricia Eberlein, Department of Computer Science, State University of New York, 226 Bell Hall, Buffalo, NY 14260

80. Michael Eiermann, Institut für Angewandte Mathematik II, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, D-09596 Freiberg, Federal Republic of Germany
81. Stanley C. Eisenstat, Department of Computer Science, Yale University, P.O. Box 2158, New Haven, CT 06520
82. Tommy Elfving, University of Linköping, MAI, 58183 Linköping, Sweden
83. Howard C. Elman, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742
84. Richard E. Ewing, Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843
85. Robert Falgout, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, L-419, Livermore, CA 94551
86. Bernd Fischer, Universität Hamburg, Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Bundesstrasse 55, D-2000 Hamburg 13, Federal Republic of Germany
87. Geoffrey C. Fox, Northeast Parallel Architectures Center, 111 College Place, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244-4100
88. Valérie Frayssé, CERFACS, 42 Ave G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse Cedex, France
89. Roland Freund, AT&T Bell Labs, Room 2C-420, 600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill, NJ 07974-0636
90. Robert Funderlic, Computer Science Department, Box 8206, 228 Withers Hall, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8206
91. Walter Gander, Institut für Wissenschaftliches Rechnen, ETH-Zentrum, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland
92. C. William Gear, NEC Research Institute, 4 Independence Way, Princeton, NJ 08540-6621
93. J. Alan George, Vice President, Academic and Provost, Needles Hall, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1
94. John Gilbert, Xerox Palo Alto Research Center, 3333 Coyote Hill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304
95. Luc Giraud, 42 Ave G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse Cedex, France
96. Gene H. Golub, Dept. of Computer Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305
97. Nick Gould, Central Computing Department, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Oxfordshire, OX110QX, England
98. Serge Gratton, 42 Ave G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse Cedex, France
99. Joe Grcar, Sandia National Laboratories, Livermore, CA 94551-0969
100. Anne Greenbaum, Courant Institute, New York University, 251 Mercer Street, New York, NY 10012-1185
101. John Gustafson, Ames Laboratory, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011
102. Martin Gutknecht, IPS Supercomputing, ETH-Zentrum, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland

103. Christian Halloy, Assistant Director of JICS, 104 South College, Joint Institute for Computational Science, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996-1301
104. Sven. J. Hammarling, The Numerical Algorithms Group, Ltd., Wilkinson House, Jordan Road, Oxford OX2 8DR, United Kingdom
105. Michael T. Heath, National Center for Supercomputing Applications, 4157 Beckman Institute, University of Illinois, 405 N. Mathews Avenue, Urbana IL 61801-2300
106. Bruce Hendrickson, Sandia National Laboratories, Division 1422, Albuquerque, NM 87185
107. Nicholas Higham, Dept. of Mathematics, University of Manchester, 90 Half Edge Lane, Eccles, Manchester, M13 9PL, England
108. Alan Hindmarsh, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, L-316, Livermore, CA 94551
109. Michael J. Holst, California Institute of Technology, Applied Mathematics 217-50, Pasadena, CA 91125
110. Fred Howes, Office of Scientific Computing, ER-7, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Office of Energy Research, Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585
111. James M. Hyman, Los Alamos National Laboratory, MS B284, Group T-7, Los Alamos, NM 87545
112. Ilse Ipsen, Dept. of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8205
113. Erxiong Jiang, Department of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China
114. Gary Johnson, Office of Scientific Computing, ER-7, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Office of Energy Research, Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585
115. Bo Kågström, Institute of Information Processing, University of Umeå, S-901, 87 Umeå, Sweden
116. Malvyn H. Kalos, Cornell Theory Center, Engineering and Theory Center Bldg., Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-3901
117. Hans Kaper, Mathematics and Computer Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Bldg. 221, Argonne, IL 60439
118. Kenneth Kennedy, Dept. of Computer Science, Rice University, P.O. Box 1892, Houston, TX 77001
119. David Keyes, NASA Langley Research Center, ICASE, M/S L23C, Hampton, VA 23681-0001
120. David Kincaid, Center for Numerical Analysis, RLM Hall 13.150, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78713-8510
121. Jacko Koster, 42 Ave G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse Cedex, France
122. Alan Laub, ECE Department, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9560
123. Richard Lehoucq, Dept. of Computational and Applied Mathematics, P.O. Box 1892, Rice University, Houston, TX 77251-1892

124. James E. Leiss, Rt. 2, Box 142C, Broadway, VA 22815
125. Steven J. Leon, Department of Mathematics, University of Massachusetts, Old Westport Road, North Dartmouth, MA 02747
126. John G. Lewis, Boeing Computer Services, P.O. Box 24346, M/S 7L-21, Seattle, WA 98124-0346
127. Thomas A. Manteuffel, Program in Applied Mathematics, Campus Box 526, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0526
128. Osni Marques, 42 Ave G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse Cedex, France
129. Pontus Matstoms, University of Linkoping, MAI, 58183 Linkoping, Sweden
130. James McGraw, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, M/S L-306 Livermore, CA 94550
131. Gerard Meurant, Centre d'Etudes de Limeil, Department MA, 94195 Villeneuve St. Georges, France
132. Juan Meza, Sandia National Laboratories, Division 8211, P.O. Box 969, Livermore, CA 94551-0969
133. Cleve Moler, The Mathworks, 24 Prime Park Way, Natick, MA 01760
134. Neville Moray, Dept. of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Illinois, 1206 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801
135. David Nelson, Director of Scientific Computing, ER-7, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Office of Energy Research, U.S. Dept. of Energy, Washington, DC 20585
136. Martin Ojangruen, 42 Ave G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse Cedex, France
137. Dianne P. O'Leary, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742
138. Beth Ong, University of California at San Diego, Department of Mathematics, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0112
139. James M. Ortega, Dept. of Applied Mathematics, Thornton Hall, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22901
140. Michael Overton, Courant Institute, New York University, 251 Mercer Street, New York, NY 10012-1185
141. Chris Paige, McGill University, School of Computer Science, McConnell Engineering Building, 3480 University Street, Montreal, Quebec Canada H3A 2A7
142. Beresford N. Parlett, Dept. of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720
143. Joao Patricio, 42 Ave G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse Cedex, France
144. Linda R. Petzold, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Minnesota, 4-192 EE/CS Bldg, 200 Union Street S.E., Minneapolis, MN 55455-0159
145. Robert J. Plemmons, Dept. of Mathematics and Computer Science, Box 7311, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC 27109
146. Claude Pommerell, AT&T Bell Laboratories, 2C-548A, Murray Hill, NJ 07974-0636

147. James C. T. Pool, Deputy Director, Caltech Concurrent Supercomputing Facility, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125
148. Alex Pothen, Old Dominion University, Computer Science Department, Norfolk, VA 23529-0162
149. Chiara Puglisi, 42 Ave G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse Cedex, France
150. Bill Pulleyblank, IBM Research Division, P.O. Box 218, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598-0218
151. Padma Raghavan, Department of Computer Science, 657B Buehler Hall, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996-1301
152. Lothar Reichel, Dept. of Mathematics, Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242
153. John Reid, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Oxon OX110QX, England
154. Werner C. Rheinboldt, Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260
155. Axel Ruhe, Department of Computer Science, Chalmers, S-41296 Göteborg, Sweden
156. Youcef Saad, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Minnesota, 4-192 EE/CS Bldg, 200 Union Street S.E., Minneapolis, MN 55455-0159
157. Faisal Saied, Department of Computer Science, 1304 W. Springfield Ave., University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801
158. Ahmed H. Sameh, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Minnesota, 4-192 EE/CS Bldg, 200 Union Street S.E., Minneapolis, MN 55455-0159
159. Michael Saunders, Systems Optimization Laboratory, Operations Research Dept., Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305
160. Paul Saylor, Department of Computer Science, 1304 W. Springfield Ave., University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801
161. Hans Schneider, University of Wisconsin, Mathematics/Van Vleck Hall, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison, WI 53706-1388
162. Robert Schreiber, RIACS, Mail Stop 230-5, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035
163. Martin Schultz, Department of Computer Science, Yale University, P.O. Box 2158, Yale Station, New Haven, CT 06520
164. David S. Scott, Intel Scientific Computers, 15201 N.W. Greenbrier Parkway, Beaverton, OR 97006
165. Jeff Scroggs, Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Box 8205, Raleigh, NC 27695
166. John Shadid, Sandia National Laboratories, Parallel Computer Science Division, Division 1421, Albuquerque, NM 87185
167. Bob Skeel, Department of Computer Science, 1304 W. Springfield Ave., University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801
168. Danny Sorensen, Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, Rice University, P.O. Box 1892, Houston, TX 77251

169. Gerhard Starke, Universität Karlsruhe, Institut für Praktische Mathematik, Englerstrasse 2, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Federal Republic of Germany
170. G.W. Stewart, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742
171. Zdenek Strakos, Czech Academy of Sciences, Pod vod. vezi 2, 182 07 Praha 8, Czech Republic
172. Gilbert Strang, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Room 2-240, Cambridge, MA 02319-4557
173. Ji-guang Sun, Institute for Information Processing, University of Umeå, S-901, 87 Umeå, Sweden
174. Xiobai Sun, Argonne National Laboratory, Bldg. 221, MCS Division, 9700 South Cass Ave., Argonne, IL 60439
175. Toshio Suzuki, Department of Mathematics, Yamanashi University, Kofu 400, Japan
176. Paul N. Swartztrauber, National Center for Atmospheric Research, P.O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307
177. Daniel Szyld, Dept. of Mathematics, Temple University, TU038-16, Philadelphia, PA 19122-2585
178. Philippe Toint, Department of Mathematics, Rue de Bruxelles 61, B-5000 Namur, Belgium
179. Vincent Toumazou, 42 Ave G. Coriolis, 31057 Toulouse Cedex, France
180. Anne Trefethen, Dept. of Computer Science, Cornell University, Upson Hall, Ithaca, NY 14853
181. Nick Trefethen, Dept. of Computer Science, Cornell University, Upson Hall, Ithaca, NY 14853
182. Raymond S. Tuminaro, Sandia National Laboratories, Mail Stop 1110, P.O. Box 5800, Albuquerque, NM 87185
183. Henk van der Vorst, Dept. of Mathematics, Universiteit Utrecht, P.O. Box 80010, 3508 TA, Utrecht, The Netherlands
184. Paul Van Dooren, Universite Catholique de Louvain, Centre for Systems Engineering and Applied Mechanics (CESAME), Batiment Euler (Rm A.119), 4, avenue Georges Lemaitre, B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
185. Sabine Van Huffel, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, ESAT Laboratory, Kardinaal Mercierlaan 94 3001 Heverlee, Belgium
186. Charles Van Loan, Dept. of Computer Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853
187. Jim Varah, Centre for Integrated Computer Systems Research, University of British Columbia, Office 2053-2324 Main Mall, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1W5, Canada
188. Richard S. Varga, Department of Mathematics, Kent State University, Kent, OH 44242

189. Andy Wathen, School of Mathematics, University Walk, Bristol University, Bristol BS8 1TW, United Kingdom
190. Mary F. Wheeler, Rice University, Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, P.O. Box 1892, Houston, TX 77251
191. Andrew B. White, Computing Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, P.O. Box 1663, MS-265, Los Alamos, NM 87545
192. David Young, University of Texas, Center for Numerical Analysis, RLM 13.150, Austin, TX 78731-8510
193. Hongyuan Zha, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, 220 Pond Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802-6106
194. Zahari Zlatev, Hagens Alle 28, DK-2900 Hellerup, Copenhagen, Denmark
195. Office of Assistant Manager for Energy Research and Development, U.S. Dept. of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office, P.O. Box 2001 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8600
- 196-197. Office of Scientific & Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37830