

Bulletin 572  
BUREAU OF MINES

---

# ANALYSES OF WASHINGTON COALS

Supplement to Technical Papers 491 and 618

By Joseph Daniels, H. F. Yancey, M. R. Geer, R. F. Abernethy  
S. J. Aresco, and F. E. Hartner



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fred A. Seaton, Secretary

BUREAU OF MINES

Marling J. Ankeny, Director

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U. S. Government Printing Office  
Washington 25, D. C. - - - Price 30 cents

## CONTENTS

	Page		Page
Washington coalfields, by Joseph Daniels and H. F. Yancey .....	1	Analyses of mine, tipple, and delivered samples, by R. F. Abernethy, S. J. Aresco, and F. E. Hartner .....	26
Coking coals .....	3	Explanation of table of analyses .....	26
Mechanization .....	4	Classification of coal by rank .....	26
Underground .....	4	Agglomerating index .....	26
Mechanical loading .....	4	Sources of information .....	27
Strip mining .....	4	Fusibility of ash .....	27
Coal washing and preparation, by M. R. Geer ..	7	Explanation of symbols used in table of analyses .....	28
Roslyn-Cle Elum field .....	7	Tables of analyses of mine, tipple, and delivered samples .....	29
Western Washington fields .....	8	Tables of analyses of borehole core samples ..	46
Production, distribution, and use, by Joseph Daniels .....	9	Description of mine samples, by Joseph Daniels ..	48
Production and value .....	9	King County .....	48
Production by rank .....	9	Kittitas County .....	57
Size of mines .....	9	Lewis County .....	58
Use .....	13	Pierce County .....	63
Consumption by consumer use .....	13	Thurston County .....	66
Consumption in coal market, 1950 .....	13	Whatcom County .....	69
Fuel briquets and packaged fuel .....	14	Description of borehole samples, by Joseph Daniels .....	70
Combustion .....	15	Lewis County .....	70
Coke .....	16	Thurston County .....	71
Gas manufacture .....	16	Description of tipple and delivered samples, by S. J. Aresco and F. E. Hartner .....	72
Export of coal from Washington .....	18	Explanation of table of description .....	72
Competition with coal from other fields and with other fuels .....	18	Tables of descriptions of tipple and delivered samples .....	72
Imports of Canadian coals into Washington .....	18	True specific gravities of Washington coals, by R. F. Abernethy and F. E. Hartner .....	80
Wood .....	18	Tables of true specific gravities of Washington coals .....	80
Gas .....	19	Sulfur forms in Washington coals, by R. F. Abernethy and F. E. Hartner .....	84
Fuel oil .....	19	Table of sulfur forms in Washington coals ..	85
Use of fuels for electric power production .....	21	Index .....	87
Relationship of mine samples to commercial shipments, by S. J. Aresco .....	24		
Method of collecting mine samples .....	24		
Method of sampling coal for shipment or delivery .....	24		
Interpretation of sampling results .....	25		

## ILLUSTRATION

	Page
1. Principal coal areas of Washington, showing rank of coal according to American Society for Testing Materials classification .....	2





# ANALYSES OF WASHINGTON COALS

Supplement to Technical Papers 491 and 618<sup>1</sup>

## WASHINGTON COALFIELDS

By Joseph Daniels<sup>2</sup> and H. F. Yancey<sup>3</sup>

THE FIVE principal coalfields of Washington are designated as King County; Kittitas County; Pierce County; southwestern Washington, which includes Thurston, Lewis, and Cowlitz Counties; and northwestern Washington, comprising Whatcom and Skagit Counties. The geographical distribution of the principal coal areas of Washington is shown in figure 1. Detailed information concerning these fields is given in Bureau of Mines Technical Paper 491.<sup>4</sup>

Recent additional information about the King County coalfield was made available by the Federal Geological Survey in 1945 with the issue of a geologic map and a brief description.<sup>5</sup> This map, on a scale of 1 inch = ½ mile, shows the mines in the county.

The Federal Geological Survey also has mapped parts of southern Thurston and western Lewis Counties and made geologic studies of the coal areas in this part of the southwestern Washington field. Results of the work were

published in 1951.<sup>6</sup> One sheet of the report contains an areal geologic map, composite stratigraphic section, structure sections, and a description of the geology, coal resources, and oil and gas possibilities. The other sheet includes an index map of drill holes, structure contour map of the base of Tono No. 1 bed in the vicinity of Tono, logs of boreholes, and tabulated data on fossil occurrences. It also gives sections and proximate analyses of the major coal beds, estimated total coal reserves, and data on mines in the eastern part of the Centralia-Chehalis district.

Another area in Lewis County east of Toledo, described by Toenges and others of the Bureau of Mines,<sup>7</sup> contains a lignite deposit that attracted considerable interest during World War II as a possible source of strip-mine coal.

Minor deposits occur outside the recognized fields. Some of these have had local interest and were the scene of active prospecting or mining operations on a limited scale. They occur in the following counties: Asotin, Chelan, Clallam, Clark, Columbia, Grays Harbor, Jefferson, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pend Oreille, San Juan, Skamania, Snohomish, Spo-

<sup>1</sup> Work on manuscript completed July 1955. Prepared according to the terms of a cooperative agreement between the Bureau of Mines, United States Department of the Interior, and the School of Mineral Engineering, University of Washington.

<sup>2</sup> Former consultant mining engineer, Fuels-Technology Division, Region II, Bureau of Mines, Seattle, Wash.

<sup>3</sup> Chief, Division of Solid Fuels Technology, Region I, Bureau of Mines, Seattle, Wash.

<sup>4</sup> Ash, S. H., Yancey, H. F., Kiessling, O. E., Daniels, Joseph, Snyder, N. H., Plein, L. N., Fieldner, A. C., Cooper, H. M., and Osgood, F. D., Analyses of Washington Coals: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 491, 1931, 203 pp.

<sup>5</sup> Warren, W. C., Norbistrath, H., Grivetti, R. M., and Brown, S. P., Preliminary Geologic Map and Brief Description of the Coal Fields of King County, Wash.: Geol. Survey, 1945.

<sup>6</sup> Snavely, Parke D., Jr., Roberts, A. E., Hoover, Linn, Jr., and Pease, M. H., Jr., Geology of the Eastern Part of the Centralia-Chehalis Coal District, Lewis and Thurston Counties, Wash.: Geol. Survey Coal Investigations, Map C8, 1951.

<sup>7</sup> Toenges, Albert L., Turnbull, Louis A., and Cole, Willard A., Exploration, Reserves, Bed Characteristics, and Strip-Mining Possibilities of a Lignite Deposit Near Toledo, Lewis County, Wash.: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 699, 1947, 55 pp.



FIGURE 1. Principal Coal Areas of Washington, Showing Rank of Coal According to American Society for Testing Materials Classification.

kane, Stevens, and Yakima. Although the major coal-producing areas occupy a belt in western Washington along the west flank of the Cascade Mountains from the Canadian boundary to the Columbia River and along the east flank of the Cascades in Kittitas County, central Washington, and are largely Eocene in age, the minor occurrences generally are associated with Miocene and Oligocene strata along the Pacific Ocean and the Straits of Juan de Fuca. The distribution of these formations is shown on a geologic map included in a report on the geology of Washington.<sup>8</sup>

Early descriptions of the ranks of coal do not conform with the classification used today; hence, the rank of the minor occurrences cannot always be determined. However, it appears that lignites are present in certain localities, that subbituminous rank predominates, and some anthracites have been observed. Until such time as modern methods of sampling and analysis are employed in evaluating these deposits, the early reports can be interpreted only broadly. A brown lignite occurs in glacial deposits near Auburn in King County, and another lignite is reported in the Grand Ronde River area of Asotin County. The bed at Reliance mine in Lewis County was definitely classified as lignite in 1938. The anthracites and semianthracites usually are found in highly tilted sedimentaries close to the Cascade Mountains in Whatcom, Lewis, and Yakima Counties; however, a semianthracite also occurs on Orcas Island in San Juan County. In the northeastern part of King County, away from major coalfields, an occurrence of carbonaceous lenses that have been altered to an impure graphitic material is reported.

Further information on the minor coal areas is given in two bulletins of the Washington Division of Mines and Geology.<sup>9 10</sup>

### COKING COALS

The deposits of coking coal in Washington are important because they are the only known occurrence on the Pacific Coast. These deposits are found in Whatcom, Skagit, King, Pierce, and Lewis Counties along the western flank of the Cascade Mountains and in western Kittitas County along the eastern flank. The deposits usually are associated with steeply dipping beds in areas of marked metamorphism.

Pierce County coals of the Wilkeson-Carbonade-Fairfax district and their extension into the Ashford district of northern Lewis County constitute the most important known coking-coal reserve on the Pacific slope. Although not so strongly coking as those of Pierce County, some of the coals of the western Roslyn field of Kittitas County are also suitable for blending with other coals lower in volatile-matter content. The reserves of the most productive bed—the Roslyn—are limited, and other beds are also now being mined.

Many analyses of coking coals are given in Technical Papers 491<sup>11</sup> and 618.<sup>12</sup> The Bureau of Mines and others have published reports covering field and laboratory studies of the coking coals, coking processes, and the properties of the cokes produced in laboratory tests and under operating conditions.<sup>13</sup>

The carbonizing properties of three Washington coals recently investigated by the Bureau of Mines are reported in Bulletin 510.<sup>14</sup> Two of the samples came from Nos. 2 and 3 beds, Wilkeson mine, Wilkeson, Pierce County, and one from No. 5 bed, Roslyn No. 3 mine, Roslyn, Kittitas County. Analyses, plastic properties, free-swelling indexes, and agglutinating values of the separate coals, together with data on yields of carbonization products and properties and analyses of cokes made singly and in blends, are given in detail. The investigation yielded considerable information about the

<sup>11</sup> Ash, S. H., Yancey, H. F., Kiessling, O. E., Daniels, Joseph, Snyder, N. H., Plein, L. N., Fieldner, A. C., Cooper, H. M., and Osgood, F. D., Analyses of Washington Coals: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 491, 1931, 203 pp.

<sup>12</sup> Yancey, H. F., Geer, M. R., Daniels, Joseph, Snyder, N. H., Swingle, R. J., Cooper, H. M., and Abernethy, R. F., Analyses of Washington Coals, Supplement to Tech. Paper 491: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 618, 1941, 81 pp.

<sup>13</sup> Belden, A. W., Delameter, R. G., Groves, J. W., and Way, K. M., Washing and Coking Tests of Coal at the Fuel-Testing Plant, Denver, Colo., July 1, 1908, to June 30, 1909: Bureau of Mines Bull. 5, 1910, 62 pp.

Marshall, S. M., and Bird, B. M., Agglutinating, Coking, and By-product Tests of Coals From Pierce County, Wash.: Bureau of Mines Bull. 336, 1931, 31 pp.

Bird, B. M., and Marshall, S. M., Jigging, Classification, Tabling, and Flotation Tests of Coals Presenting Difficult Washing Problems, With Particular Reference to Coals From Pierce County, Wash.: Bureau of Mines Bull. 337, 1931, 132 pp.

Yancey, H. F., Johnson, K. A., and Selvig, W. A., Friability, Slacking Characteristics, Low-Temperature Carbonization Assay, and Agglutinating Value of Washington and Other Coals: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 512, 1932, 94 pp.

Yancey, H. F., Zane, R. E., Fatzinger, R. W., and Key, J. A., Physical and Chemical Properties of Cokes Made or Used in Washington: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 597, 1939, 44 pp.

Davis, J. D., Reynolds, D. A., Sprunk, G. C., Holmes, C. R., and McCartney, J. T., Carbonizing Properties and Petrographic Composition of No. 2-Bed Coal From Bartoy Mine and No. 5-Bed Coal From Wilkeson-Miller Mine, Wilkeson, Pierce County, Wash.: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 640, 1942, 46 pp.

Reynolds, D. A., Davis, J. D., Brewer, R. E., Ode, W. H., Wolfson, D. E., and Birge, C. W., Carbonizing Properties of Western Coals: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 692, 1946, 79 pp.

Daniels, Joseph, Beehive and Byproduct Coking in Washington: Bureau of Mines Rept. of Investigations 3551, 1941, 77 pp.

Yancey, H. F., Daniels, Joseph, McMillan, E. R., and Geer, M. R., Byproduct Coké-Oven Tests of Washington Coals: Bureau of Mines Rept. of Investigations 3717, 1943, 46 pp.

Daniels, Joseph, The Coal Fields of Pierce County: Washington Geol. Survey Bull. 10, 1914, 146 pp.

Daniels, Joseph, The Coking Industry of the Pacific Northwest: Univ. Washington Eng. Exp. Sta., Bull. 9, 1920, 36 pp.

<sup>14</sup> Davis, J. D., Reynolds, D. A., Brewer, R. E., Nangle, B. W., Wolfson, D. E., Gibson, F. H., and Birge, C. W., Carbonizing Properties: British Columbia, Matanuska Valley (Alaska), and Washington Coals and Blends of Six of Them With Lower Sunnyside (Utah) Coals: Bureau of Mines Bull. 510, 1952, 42 pp.

<sup>8</sup> Culver, Harold E., The Geology of Washington. I. General Features of Washington Geology (to accompany the preliminary geologic map, 1936): Washington Div. of Geol., Bull. 32, 1936, 70 pp.

<sup>9</sup> Glover, Sheldon L., Nonmetallic Mineral Resources of Washington With Statistics for 1933: Washington Div. Geol., Bull. 33, 1936, pp. 29-30.

<sup>10</sup> Valentine, Grant M., Inventory of Washington Minerals. I. Non-metallic Minerals: Washington Div. Mines and Geol., Bull. 37, 1949, pp. 25-27.

TABLE 1.—*Summary of methods of mining coal underground in Washington, 1940-52*

Year	Cut by hand		Shot from the solid		Cut by machines				Hand loaded		
	Net tons	Total product, percent	Net tons	Total product, percent	Net tons	Number of coal-cutting machines in use	Average output per machine, net tons	Total product, percent	Net tons	Total underground mining, percent	Grand total of State, percent
1940.....	217,797	13.3	647,920	39.7	768,675	49	15,687	47.0	838,898	51.3	50.8
1941.....	244,548	13.4	626,537	34.2	958,074	52	18,425	52.4	810,767	44.3	44.0
1942.....	224,971	11.7	680,487	35.6	1,008,240	58	17,383	52.7	888,485	46.4	45.5
1943.....	144,041	9.6	641,997	42.8	714,372	57	12,533	47.6	649,691	43.3	42.5
1944.....	137,121	9.4	625,047	42.7	701,547	62	11,315	47.9	629,440	43.0	41.3
1945.....	94,444	7.8	537,137	44.6	573,852	58	8,894	47.6	502,803	41.7	37.0
1946.....	91,084	10.2	387,390	43.3	415,097	57	7,282	46.5	420,574	47.1	42.4
1947.....	125,690	13.6	363,348	39.5	432,035	53	8,152	46.9	397,993	43.2	35.6
1948.....	118,650	12.4	333,001	34.7	507,650	45	11,281	52.9	317,850	33.1	26.1
1949.....	101,850	13.1	254,679	32.8	420,784	46	9,147	54.1	249,079	32.0	27.7
1950.....	83,299	10.4	237,460	29.5	482,690	46	10,493	60.1	253,319	31.5	29.0
1951.....	56,074	7.2	220,242	28.1	506,759	41	12,360	64.7	199,215	25.4	23.2
1952.....	2,387	.3	199,870	27.3	531,182	38	13,978	72.4	119,962	16.4	14.2

potentialities of these Washington coals and their cokes for future utilization as blends with other western supplies.

## MECHANIZATION

### UNDERGROUND

The mining methods used in Washington were described in Bureau of Mines Technical Paper 491<sup>15</sup> and remain the same in general except as modified by changes in mechanization. Many of the beds mined lie on steep dips; consequently, the complete mechanization common in flat beds is difficult to achieve. Progress, however, continues in many places, particularly in Kittitas County, which is the leading producer of coal by mechanized methods. A continuous mining machine was installed in 1951, followed by 3 others, making a total of 4 in this field. A rotary boring machine developed by one operator for experimental use in driving chutes and crosscuts is described in *Coal Age*, May 1951, pages 101 to 105. Another machine of different design was installed at a King County mine to bore chutes in a vertical bed.

In 1952 a continuous mining machine was placed in operation in the Bellingham area of Whatcom County, making a total of five for the State. Mobile loading machines and shuttle cars also have been introduced in King County.

Summaries of the reports by operators on methods of recovery, mining practices, and loading have been prepared from Minerals Yearbooks of the Bureau of Mines. Table 1 gives data on coal mined and loaded by different methods.

Of the coal produced by underground methods, the quantity cut by hand has decreased from 13.3 percent in 1940 to 7.2 percent in 1951 and 0.3 percent in 1952; coal shot from

the solid has decreased from 39.7 percent in 1940 to 27.3 percent in 1952; coal cut by machines has increased from 47.0 percent of the production of underground mines in 1940 to 72.4 percent in 1952. Thus, the trend is away from hand mining and shooting from the solid toward machine cutting. A similar trend is shown in loading of coal. In 1940 the percentage of hand-loaded coal from underground mining was 51.3; in 1952 the figure had dropped to 16.4. In the Roslyn field, shearing machines and shortwall undercutters are commonly employed but in western Washington generally are not used.

### MECHANICAL LOADING

Details of mechanical loading underground are given in table 2. Since 1940 many changes have taken place in the types of equipment used. Eleven mines in 1952 reported use of machines for loading and moving coal; hand-loaded conveyors predominated. Only one mobile loading machine and no pit-car loaders were used; scrapers are gaining favor for driving counter-airways in steep beds.

Mines using both loading machines and conveyors contribute the largest proportion of coal moved, particularly since continuous-mining machines have been installed. Since annual production in the State has decreased during recent years, the tonnages moved do not reveal the percentage increase in the proportion of coal moved in the larger producing mines.

The usual method of underground haulage in Washington is by electric locomotives; 43 were in use in 1936. In 1952, 42 trolley and 5 storage-battery types were reported. Two cable-reel shuttle cars were in use.

### STRIP MINING

Strip mining in Washington is difficult owing to the dip of the coal beds, mountainous topography, dense forest growth, and heavy cover of

<sup>15</sup> Work cited in footnote 4, p. 1.

TABLE 2.—Mechanical loading underground in Washington coal mines, 1940-52

Year	Mines using loading machines only <sup>1</sup>	Mines using conveyors only <sup>2</sup>	Mines using both loading machines and conveyors	Total	Mobile loading machines	Continuous mining machines	Scrapers	Conveyors equipped with duckbills and other loading devices	Hand-loaded conveyors
1940	4	7		11	1		1	8	75
1941	4	7		11	1			8	82
1942	4	7		11	1		1	11	85
1943	4	6	1	11	2			14	86
1944	5	8	1	13	2		1	11	89
1945	3	5	4	12	1		4	8	92
1946	1	4	5	10	1		5	4	91
1947	5	2	2	9	1		6	8	89
1948	3	3	4	10			9	6	86
1949	3	2	4	9			7	6	85
1950	4	2	3	9	1		8	6	84
1951	4	2	3	9	1		8	9	84
1952	5	1	5	11	1	5	6	9	87

Year	Production mechanically loaded, net tons—			Total underground production at mines using mechanical loading devices, net tons			
	By machines <sup>1</sup>	By conveyors <sup>2</sup>	Total	Loading machines only <sup>1</sup>	Conveyors only <sup>2</sup>	Both loading machines and conveyors	Total
1940	62,000	733,494	795,494	321,436	767,610		1,089,046
1941	94,760	923,632	1,018,392	385,441	932,559		1,318,000
1942	86,698	938,515	1,025,213	358,593	959,662		1,318,255
1943	158,290	692,429	850,719	287,000	718,828	14,376	1,020,204
1944	134,926	699,349	834,275	240,118	720,107	39,744	999,969
1945	103,393	599,237	702,630	93,252	220,559	510,983	824,794
1946	59,415	413,582	472,997	19,415	122,485	369,920	511,820
1947	101,777	421,303	523,080	48,629	46,644	449,481	544,754
1948	139,189	502,262	641,451	81,662	62,230	527,932	671,824
1949	89,848	438,386	528,234	45,848	45,102	438,236	529,186
1950	101,690	448,440	550,130	45,289	71,461	449,543	566,293
1951	124,598	459,262	583,860	78,774	28,615	496,242	603,631
1952	251,903	361,574	613,477	63,329	6,205	551,291	620,825

<sup>1</sup> Includes those mines in which all the tonnage mechanically loaded was obtained with machines that substantially eliminated hand shoveling, that is, mobile loaders, scrapers, and conveyors equipped with duckbills and other self-loading heads. Some mines in this class also use conveyors in conjunction with mobile loaders to perform initial phase of transportation.

<sup>2</sup> Includes those mines in which all the tonnage mechanically loaded was obtained with hand-loaded conveyors. No pit-car loaders are used in Washington.

glacial material. The first important stripping operation was begun in 1939 on the Bagley bed at Newcastle, King County. Demands for cheaper coal to meet wartime needs stimulated interest and in 1943 led to the development of other open-pit operations in King and Kittitas Counties. These operations and the strip mines in Lewis and Thurston Counties continue to supply a significant part of the State output. As shown in table 3, they reached a maximum of 21.4 percent in 1948, when 260,602 tons was produced. Production of strip coal has aggregated 1,222,990 tons since 1941.

The strip mines necessarily are small operations. In King County most of the beds dip

35° or more. Stripping thus is restricted to outcrops. Moreover, the limited demand for coal does not permit the use of the large-scale equipment required in stripping economically to any great depth. In Kittitas County areas of outcrop coal and old pillars under shallow cover have been stripped in localities where the dip of the bed is about 10°. The quantity of such coal is limited, however.

Only in the southwestern Washington field are there sizable areas of relatively flat coal amenable to stripping on a large scale. One such deposit was explored by the Bureau of Mines.<sup>16</sup> Apparently, Washington will not supply a large ton-

<sup>16</sup> Work cited in footnote 7, p. 1.

nage of strip coal, but some of the subbituminous beds of low dip in Thurston and Lewis Counties and the Toledo lignite area in Lewis County are potential sources.

TABLE 3.—*Production from strip-mine operations in Washington, 1932-52*<sup>1</sup>

COUNTIES																
Year	Number of strip pits				Production, net tons				Average number of days mines were active				Average tons per man per day			
	King	Kittitas	Lewis	Thurston	King	Kittitas	Lewis	Thurston	King	Kittitas	Lewis	Thurston	King	Kittitas	Lewis	Thurston
1932			1													
1940	1				15,960											
1941	1				12,115											
1942	1				39,511											
1943	1	1			14,588	12,546			80	66			15.20	15.92		
1944	2	2	1		7,195	49,878	3,353		152	183		38	5.26	12.97	8.02	
1945	4	2			63,398	88,413			104	252			7.63	7.17		
1946	4	4			41,683	<sup>2</sup> 55,873			133	<sup>2</sup> 156			8.47	<sup>2</sup> 5.52		
1947	4	2		2	115,466	60,589		20,798	184	214		152	9.83	6.92		5.71
1948	4	2		1	152,041	86,571		21,990	229	207		165	9.63	8.71		8.91
1949	4	1		1	58,051	37,889		25,793	150	161		88	7.91	7.35		9.73
1950	2	1		1	11,449	37,819		21,272	231	213		104	6.19	8.44		8.91
1951	2	1		1	32,544	31,339		10,068	163	180		66	6.06	8.71		10.90
1952	2	2		1	43,185	64,496		3,077	157	176		21	8.88	10.45		10.47

  

STATE							
Year	Total number of strip pits	Average number of men working daily	Average number of days mines were active	Average tons per man per day	Average value per ton, f. o. b. mines	Production, net tons	Percent of total State production
1932	1					961	
1940	1					15,960	1.0
1941	1	6				12,115	.7
1942	1	5				39,511	2.0
1943	2	21	73	15.52		27,134	1.8
1944	5	33	137	10.73		60,426	4.0
1945	6	100	162	7.35	<sup>3</sup> \$4.98	151,811	11.2
1946	8	69	148	6.48	5.09	97,556	9.8
1947	8	97	187	8.15	5.12	196,853	17.6
1948	7	102	214	9.24	5.56	260,602	21.4
1949	6	111	136	8.04	6.14	121,733	13.5
1950	4	52	168	8.09	6.12	70,540	8.1
1951	4	67	148	7.48	7.19	73,951	8.6
1952	5	80	142	9.77	6.91	110,758	13.1

<sup>1</sup> Compiled from Bureau of Mines Minerals Yearbook.  
<sup>2</sup> Includes Thurston County.

<sup>3</sup> Power strip mines only. Value received or charged for coal, f. o. b. mine, including selling cost.

## COAL WASHING AND PREPARATION

By M. R. Geer <sup>1</sup>

Mechanical cleaning was introduced in Washington in 1886, and in 1952 the State still led all others in the percentage production cleaned mechanically. This percentage amounted to 97.3 in 1952, compared to the national average of 48.7.<sup>2</sup> The early adoption of mechanical cleaning and its wide application are attributed in part to the occurrence of interbedded impurities in many of the coals. A more cogent reason, however, is the inclination of many of the beds. In steeply pitching beds hand sorting at the face is impossible; consequently, the entire cleaning operation must take place on the surface. Thus, physical factors have always necessitated "full seam" mining, and this, whether required by physical factors or induced by mechanization, stimulates the trend toward mechanical cleaning.

The principal statistics on mechanical cleaning for the period 1940-52 are given in table 4. Average annual production for the 10-year period 1940-49 was 1,206,703 tons of clean coal from 20 plants; 16.6 percent of the raw coal was rejected as refuse. In the decade from 1943 to 1952 the annual production averaged

1,210,973 tons, a slight increase; the ratio of refuse to raw coal rose to 19.4 percent. Shipments of lump coal from mines having cleaning plants average less than 7 percent of their total sales. In fact, the market for lump sizes of Washington coal has been so reduced that some mines crush their entire production of lump coal. Even crushing of Egg and Nut sizes to supplement the natural production of smaller sizes is required at some operations.

The schedule of sizes produced in the State is not burdensome in comparison with that required by the market in many areas. With few exceptions, lump is prepared on a round-hole screen of 3- or 4-inch size. Egg ranges from this size to 1 $\frac{1}{2}$  or 1 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches and Nut from 1 $\frac{1}{2}$  or 1 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches to either 1 or  $\frac{3}{4}$  inch. Some mines screen no finer than  $\frac{3}{4}$  inch and market a slack coal of that top size, but generally the coal is screened at about  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch to give a stoker coal of  $\frac{3}{4}$  or 1 to  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch and a Buckwheat of  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch to 0. Washed slack coal of  $\frac{3}{4}$ , 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ , or 3 inches top size often is shipped.

### ROSLYN-CLE ELUM FIELD

Mechanical cleaning was not adopted in the Roslyn-Cle Elum field until 1935, when mining on steeper pitches and the introduction of

<sup>1</sup> Mining engineer, Division of Solid Fuels Technology, Region I, Bureau of Mines, Seattle, Wash.

<sup>2</sup> Young, W. H. Anderson, R. L., and Hall, E. M., Bituminous Coal and Lignite in 1952: Bureau of Mines Min. Market Rept. 2222, Oct. 13, 1953, p. 55.

TABLE 4.—Coal cleaned mechanically in Washington by wet methods, 1940-52, net tons

Year	Plants in operation	Raw coal moved to cleaning plants <sup>1</sup>	Coal obtained in cleaning process	Refuse resulting in cleaning process <sup>1</sup>	Ratio of refuse to raw coal	Production from mines that moved coal to cleaning plants <sup>2</sup>	State output cleaned mechanically, percent
1940	18	1, 599, 221	1, 362, 856	236, 365	14. 8	1, 491, 793	82. 6
1941	21	1, 874, 768	1, 632, 427	242, 341	12. 9	1, 728, 864	88. 7
1942	21	2, 003, 754	1, 726, 599	277, 155	13. 8	1, 875, 274	88. 4
1943	23	1, 569, 149	1, 347, 160	221, 989	14. 1	1, 461, 741	88. 2
1944	22	1, 505, 652	1, 254, 605	251, 047	16. 7	1, 341, 965	82. 3
1945	20	1, 363, 774	1, 114, 360	249, 414	18. 3	1, 207, 045	82. 1
1946	21	1, 032, 401	816, 465	215, 936	20. 9	893, 056	82. 4
1947	19	1, 209, 200	954, 734	254, 466	21. 0	1, 015, 285	85. 4
1948	20	1, 307, 423	1, 055, 749	251, 674	19. 2	1, 113, 343	86. 5
1949	19	1, 001, 507	802, 071	199, 436	19. 9	829, 786	89. 2
1950	17	983, 852	781, 346	202, 506	20. 6	799, 748	89. 4
1951	17	1, 034, 477	809, 619	224, 858	21. 7	833, 008	94. 5
1952	16	1, 102, 298	821, 788	280, 510	25. 4	824, 530	97. 3

<sup>1</sup> Exact figures on raw coal or refuse could not be furnished by many operators; in such instances estimates were made from available information at hand.

<sup>2</sup> Based upon shipping weights; includes some marketable coal that did not pass through cleaning plants.

mechanical loading in the flatter areas had progressed to a point where the quantities of impurity hoisted with the coal were excessive. In that year cleaning plants were built by the two principal operating companies. Production in this field comes from the Roslyn, Big, and No. 6 beds. The Roslyn bed, which is the principal one, is relatively clean, and the coal is only moderately difficult to wash. Both the No. 6 and the Big beds are characterized by higher inherent ash content and by more material of intermediate density. Thus, the coal is more difficult to wash to the ash content obtainable from the Roslyn bed.

Virtually no lump is produced in this field; coal coarser than 3 inches is crushed and cleaned with the natural slack. Jigs, supplemented by tables for the fine coal, are the principal cleaning units. Since freezing of shipments is a problem during the winter, both centrifugal and heat drying are practiced. One plant employs a refuse re-treatment circuit.

#### WESTERN WASHINGTON FIELDS

One of the principal beds that has been mined in King County for years has an inherent ash content of less than 3 percent and contains only a moderate amount of intermediate-density material. However, many of the other beds of western Washington, particularly the coking coals of Pierce County, are more difficult to wash to the ash content demanded by the market.

Except for one plant using the heavy-medium process and another employing a Baum-type jig, all of the coal washed in the western Washington fields is cleaned in piston jigs, and

the plants using these jigs have almost identical flowsheets. Lump is produced by screening at 3-inch size on shakers; the undersize goes to jigs. The oversize is handpicked, and the clean lump may either be loaded or crushed and added to the natural 3-inch slack. Washed coal is sized on a revolving screen into Egg, Nut, Stoker, and Buckwheat. Freezing of shipments is not a problem in the market areas available to these coals, and no driers are used.

The Northwest Experiment Station of the Bureau of Mines at Seattle has published considerable information on the preparation of Washington and other coals; in addition to the references listed here,<sup>3</sup> others are listed in Bureau of Mines Technical Papers 491<sup>4</sup> and 618.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>3</sup> Geer, M. R., Davis, Franklin, T., and Yancey, H. F., Occurrence of Phosphorus in Washington Coal and Its Removal: AIME Tech. Pub. 1586, 1943, 8 pp.; Trans. AIME, vol. 157, 1944, pp. 152-159.

Yancey, H. F., and Geer, M. R., Preparation Tests of Lignite From a Deposit Near Toledo, Lewis County, Wash.: Bureau of Mines Rept. of Investigations 3795, 1945, 14 pp.

Yancey, H. F., and Geer, M. R., Coal-Cleaning Performance: Comparison of Pneumatic Jig, Pneumatic Table, and Baum-Type Jig: AIME Tech. Pub. 188, 1945, 16 pp.; Trans. AIME, vol. 168, 1946, pp. 208-233.

Geer, M. R., and Yancey, H. F., Preliminary American Tests of a Cyclone Coal Washery Developed in the Netherlands: AIME Tech. Pub. 2136, 1947, 16 pp.; Trans. AIME, vol. 177, 1948, pp. 220-235.

Yancey, H. F., and Geer, M. R., The Cyclone as a Thickener of Coal Slurry: AIME Tech. Pub. 2351, 1948, 16 pp.; Trans. AIME, vol. 177, 1948, pp. 262-277.

Geer, M. R., and Yancey, H. F., Coal Washing in Washington, Oregon, and Alaska: Trans. AIME, vol. 184, 1949, pp. 200-204.

Geer, M. R., Yancey, H. F., Allyn, C. L., and Eckhouse, R. H., Laboratory Performance Tests of the Humphreys Spiral as a Cleaner of Fine Coal: Trans. AIME, vol. 187, 1950, pp. 1057-1067.

Yancey, H. F., Geer, M. R., and Price, J. D., An Investigation of the Abrasiveness of Coal and Its Associated Impurities: Min. Eng. vol. 3, No. 3, March 1951, pp. 262-264; Trans. AIME, vol. 190, 1951, pp. 262-268.

Geer, M. R., Olds, W. A., and Yancey, H. F., Cleaning Various Coals in a Drum-Type, Dense-Medium Pilot Plant: Trans. AIME, vol. 196, 1953, pp. 696-705.

<sup>4</sup> Ash, S. H., Yancey, H. F., Kiessling, O. E., Daniels, Joseph, Snyder, N. H., Plein, L. N., Fieldner, A. C., Cooper, H. M., and Osgood, F. D., Analyses of Washington Coals: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 491, 1931, 203 pp.

<sup>5</sup> Yancey, H. F., Geer, M. R., Daniels, Joseph, Snyder, N. H., Swingle, R. J., Cooper, H. M., and Abernethy, R. F., Analyses of Washington Coals, Supplement to Tech. Paper 491: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 618, 1941, 81 pp.



# PRODUCTION, DISTRIBUTION, AND USE

By Joseph Daniels<sup>1</sup>

Production of coal from the earliest record of mining operations in Washington to the close of 1952 was estimated at 145,024,000 tons. Technical Papers 491 and 618 present the statistical picture of production, distribution, and use to the end of 1939. Most of the figures and tabulations in the present report have been assembled from data published in Minerals Yearbooks and in Mineral Market Reports of the Bureau of Mines. Unless otherwise indicated, Minerals Yearbook is the source. Statistical data dealing with bituminous coal and lignite have been limited to mines producing more than 1,000 tons a year; consequently, information about many small mines in Washington is not included in the Minerals Yearbook data. In these instances, the annual reports of the State Inspector of Coal Mines may be consulted. However, Minerals Yearbooks for 1942, 1943, 1944, and 1945 contain summarized data dealing with the small mines.

## PRODUCTION AND VALUE

Annual production since 1939 has reflected the economic changes resulting from both domestic and international conditions. Table 5 gives production, value, employment, days active, man-days, and output per man per day in 1940-52, by counties. The trend in production during this period has been downward, the 844,197 tons mined in 1952 amounting to less than half of the production recorded for 1942. The value of the coal at the mine has increased steadily; it doubled during the period 1940-48 and reached a high of \$7.12 in 1952. Tons per man-day followed a varied pattern from 1940 to 1949, ranging between the limits of 3.20 and 4.13. Since 1949 the trend has been steadily upward, reaching a peak of 4.30 in 1952.

The average number of employees declined from 2,325 in 1940 to 888 in 1952. The average number of days that mines were active ranged from 188 in 1940 to a maximum of 293 in 1944, followed by declines to 211 in 1946 and 194 in 1949—years in which strikes occurred. The number of active days reported for 1950, 1951, and 1952 were 201, 211, and 221, respectively; corresponding figures for the number of man-

days worked were 590,508 in 1942, 201,771 in 1951, and 196,509 in 1952.

## PRODUCTION BY RANK

Every rank of coal from lignite to anthracite occurs in Washington, but current production is limited to bituminous and subbituminous. The major production is bituminous coal from King, Kittitas, Pierce, and Whatcom Counties. Production of subbituminous coal from King, Lewis, and Thurston Counties is secondary in amount. As shown in table 6, it ranged from 24 to 33 percent of the State production during the decade 1940-50 but by 1952 had decreased to 13 percent. The major production of subbituminous coal comes from King County and has constituted 37 to 76 percent of the entire county output. The entire production of Thurston County and nearly the entire production of Lewis County is subbituminous in rank, but these 2 counties contribute only 5 to 10 percent of the total State output.

## SIZE OF MINES

The shrinkage in production during the past decade is reflected in changes in number and size of mines. Mines open and close with fluctuating market conditions, but the trend in number of operating mines has been definitely downward. Every county in the State has registered losses in the number of mines, many of which had been important producers for years.

Table 7 summarizes the changes that have taken place in recent years in the number and size of mines. The trend toward fewer mines and the increase in percentage of small mines of low output were noted in previous publications. Technical Paper 618 reports 75 producing mines in 1939 under the jurisdiction of the State mine inspector; in 1952 the figure was 22. In 1940, 48 mines made reports to the Bureau of Mines; in 1952 the number had declined to 25. The larger mines have shifted positions from class 1B to class 2 or class 3, and the number of mines in the lower classifications—10,000 to 50,000 and under 10,000 tons—declined in 1952 to a total of 21. Information about production of individual mines can be obtained

<sup>1</sup> Former consultant mining engineer, Fuels-Technology Division, Region II, Bureau of Mines, Seattle, Wash.

ANALYSES OF WASHINGTON COALS

TABLE 5.—Production, value, employment, days active, man-days, and output per man per day at coal mines in Washington, 1940-52

County	Disposition of coal produced, net tons						Average number of employees					Average tons per man per day	
	Loaded for shipment by rail or water	Shipped by truck or wagon, excluding coal used by mine employees	Used by mine employees, taken by locomotive tenders at tipple, or otherwise used at mine	Used at mine for heat	Total quantity	Average value per ton	Underground	Surface		Total	Average number of days mines were active		Number of man-days worked
								In strip pits	All others				
<b>1940</b>													
King.....	320,875	265,295	5,379	489	592,008	\$3.41	667	5	190	862	214	184,493	3.21
Kittitas.....	690,779	23,482	36,889	9,885	760,985	2.84	788	.....	207	945	186	176,060	4.32
Lewis.....	9,765	27,988	86	371	38,219	2.87	39	.....	7	46	209	9,274	4.12
Pierce.....	23,107	13,028	381	70	38,586	3.40	37	.....	9	66	180	12,493	3.00
Other counties (Thurston and Whatcom).....	174,566	41,844	1,307	2,837	220,554	3.32	337	.....	69	406	134	54,210	4.07
Total.....	1,219,092	373,607	44,001	13,652	1,650,352	3.16	1,838	5	482	2,325	188	436,530	3.78
<b>1941</b>													
King.....	344,294	289,325	5,070	542	639,231	3.76	694	6	156	856	228	195,228	3.27
Kittitas.....	821,626	23,425	79,511	11,095	935,657	3.24	730	.....	209	939	241	226,228	4.14
Lewis.....	6,629	27,974	90	312	35,065	2.54	37	.....	6	44	197	6,514	5.37
Pierce.....	7,099	13,001	132	24	20,225	4.29	20	.....	4	34	220	5,503	3.68
Other counties (Thurston and Whatcom).....	159,853	46,982	1,161	3,209	211,245	3.31	241	.....	67	308	158	48,656	4.34
Total.....	1,339,501	400,717	85,964	15,092	1,841,274	3.52	1,712	6	442	2,160	223	482,129	3.82
<b>1942</b>													
King.....	251,271	374,227	3,847	436	629,781	4.41	647	5	159	811	270	219,043	2.88
Kittitas.....	854,274	36,061	49,071	11,844	951,055	3.57	739	.....	230	969	291	273,892	3.47
Lewis.....	6,459	42,323	106	301	51,689	4.54	54	.....	9	54	287	15,019	3.45
Pierce.....	6,866	20,334	65	27	27,159	4.56	28	.....	6	32	258	8,266	3.20
Other counties (Thurston and Whatcom).....	213,286	74,400	1,674	3,730	293,130	3.73	232	.....	56	288	258	74,288	3.65
Total.....	1,334,836	547,045	54,892	16,436	1,953,209	3.87	1,680	5	460	2,154	274	590,508	3.31
<b>1943</b>													
King.....	164,305	330,604	3,097	99	498,035	5.01	425	12	128	565	278	156,849	3.18
Kittitas.....	622,222	41,875	42,712	10,822	717,631	4.04	521	9	215	755	291	219,734	3.27
Lewis.....	6,897	14,260	163	240	21,560	3.81	49	.....	9	58	256	14,867	3.47
Pierce.....	16,286	44,287	206	79	61,058	4.63	67	.....	20	87	202	17,562	1.76
Thurston.....	36,361	27,100	501	.....	64,772	4.63	40	.....	11	51	289	14,760	4.39
Whatcom.....	121,905	37,939	1,132	3,662	164,668	4.34	114	.....	33	147	283	41,663	3.95
Total.....	967,836	496,875	47,801	14,982	1,527,544	4.41	1,226	21	416	1,663	280	465,455	3.28
<b>1944</b>													
King.....	160,917	294,671	2,913	5	457,906	5.41	408	9	114	531	293	155,431	2.95
Kittitas.....	657,957	30,497	38,764	12,664	730,185	4.57	504	16	103	713	300	214,147	3.45
Lewis.....	20,393	51,497	40	120	82,457	4.20	70	8	19	109	248	25,511	3.24
Pierce.....	38,396	9,352	169	40	48,057	5.27	82	.....	14	97	268	25,954	1.80
Thurston.....	33,445	14,080	422	.....	50,952	3.56	36	.....	11	47	302	14,186	4.23
Whatcom.....	96,159	32,981	732	3,676	135,588	5.02	105	.....	29	134	303	40,536	3.34
Total.....	1,031,077	433,257	43,302	16,305	1,524,141	4.63	1,212	33	380	1,625	293	475,765	3.20
<b>1945</b>													
King.....	179,852	256,481	2,671	155	439,159	5.66	356	65	109	530	260	137,956	3.18
Kittitas.....	593,415	20,851	20,020	10,687	655,982	4.91	384	35	162	581	286	166,350	3.94
Lewis.....	6,300	58,392	40	40	66,100	4.32	16	.....	11	76	282	21,451	3.22
Pierce.....	8,200	16,300	190	24	25,114	5.32	37	.....	2	48	236	2,244	3.84
Thurston.....	54,318	8,691	438	.....	63,375	3.44	37	.....	9	46	231	10,626	5.96
Whatcom.....	70,854	37,790	903	3,772	113,319	5.56	93	.....	31	124	285	35,318	3.21
Total.....	918,963	399,090	24,508	14,683	1,357,244	5.12	951	100	324	1,375	273	375,945	3.61

PRODUCTION, DISTRIBUTION, AND USE

Year	King	Kittitas	Lewis	Pierce	Thurston	Whatcom	Total	191	313,874	5,90	343	28	114	485	190	92,389	3.40
1946	148,945	398,077	7,231	7,898	42,834	63,840	2,029	9,200	442,876	5.24	61	32	199	603	223	174,340	3.30
	168,099	49,728	6,348	7,363	12,280	45,139	17,418	20	56,876	5.04	29	4	196	73	210	15,363	3.69
	299,508	1,987	1,987	1,987	1,987	1,987	749	3,157	50,607	3.72	104	9	17	21	213	4,466	3.22
	658,225	20,413	20,413	20,413	20,413	20,413	20,413	12,981	112,905	6.26	32	32	32	136	244	9,587	5.24
	185,376	460,331	11,558	14,175	44,246	135,395	851,061	24,867	991,127	5.47	926	69	378	1,373	211	289,418	3.42
1947	151,420	22,820	35,294	3,283	12,280	16,709	151,420	41,162	337,958	5.88	259	44	115	418	216	90,379	3.74
	18,080	17,474	47,681	3,321	1,987	14,742	18,080	19,080	602,270	6.12	382	33	166	581	257	149,339	3.36
	3,283	1,987	1,987	1,987	1,987	1,987	3,283	213	45,305	4.65	33	6	6	42	203	8,511	5.32
	14,175	44,246	135,395	135,395	135,395	135,395	14,175	58	19,468	6.46	25	20	20	25	212	6,298	3.37
	135,395	135,395	135,395	135,395	135,395	135,395	135,395	449	56,925	3.95	29	13	13	62	212	10,680	5.33
	851,061	20,413	20,413	20,413	20,413	20,413	851,061	3,806	156,000	6.85	118	33	33	151	263	39,760	3.92
	187,157	562,013	5,121	14,580	38,023	114,446	941,940	24,867	1,117,926	5.99	840	97	342	1,279	238	303,967	3.98
1948	164,619	18,414	47,681	3,321	1,987	14,742	164,619	41,492	353,268	6.29	251	48	85	384	223	85,620	4.13
	18,414	47,681	3,321	1,987	1,987	14,742	18,414	17,474	597,901	6.70	402	41	146	589	243	143,022	4.18
	3,321	1,987	1,987	1,987	1,987	1,987	3,321	223	53,325	4.95	54	4	4	64	192	12,263	4.35
	14,580	38,023	114,446	114,446	114,446	114,446	14,580	90	18,191	7.10	20	20	20	24	219	5,244	3.47
	114,446	114,446	114,446	114,446	114,446	114,446	114,446	358	60,978	4.54	34	13	10	57	157	8,948	6.81
	941,940	251,274	251,274	251,274	251,274	251,274	941,940	7,052	136,240	7.29	126	35	35	161	248	39,926	3.41
	76,213	427,377	5,755	42,702	107,447	659,554	76,213	26,689	1,219,903	6.47	887	102	290	1,279	231	295,024	4.13
1949	141,963	16,222	46,587	2,967	1,987	13,544	141,963	15,074	218,995	6.80	199	32	76	307	186	57,093	3.84
	16,222	46,587	2,967	1,987	1,987	13,544	16,222	17,474	458,673	6.74	397	28	156	581	213	124,004	3.70
	2,967	1,987	1,987	1,987	1,987	1,987	2,967	145	45,581	5.43	44	3	3	50	184	9,185	4.96
	13,544	38,023	114,446	114,446	114,446	114,446	13,544	131	43,635	4.83	32	26	26	17	147	2,485	3.42
	107,447	107,447	107,447	107,447	107,447	107,447	107,447	2,634	123,625	7.53	133	36	36	169	194	32,853	3.76
	659,554	220,689	220,689	220,689	220,689	220,689	659,554	18,803	899,046	6.71	819	86	286	1,191	194	231,351	3.89
1950	82,803	491,121	3,558	24,580	77,988	680,320	82,803	14,747	176,593	6.64	189	8	71	268	175	46,870	3.77
	491,121	491,121	491,121	491,121	491,121	491,121	491,121	485	520,509	6.65	403	19	149	571	229	130,824	3.98
	3,558	24,580	77,988	77,988	77,988	77,988	3,558	6,489	47,681	5.36	36	2	2	41	215	8,796	5.42
	24,580	77,988	77,988	77,988	77,988	77,988	24,580	98	25,972	6.91	10	20	8	12	142	1,707	3.80
	680,320	172,714	172,714	172,714	172,714	172,714	680,320	5,514	96,742	4.78	23	35	35	156	187	3,136	8.28
	106,205	512,606	1,404	4,597	8,976	55,344	106,205	20,955	873,989	6.67	782	47	270	1,099	201	220,440	3.96
1951	85,802	12,531	43,332	2,184	1,112	7,093	85,802	279	192,266	7.40	138	24	48	210	211	44,399	4.33
	12,531	43,332	2,184	1,112	7,093	7,093	12,531	12,631	538,098	7.08	452	17	89	558	241	134,629	4.00
	2,184	1,112	1,112	1,112	1,112	1,112	2,184	54	6,725	5.45	30	6	6	36	213	7,673	5.84
	7,093	7,093	7,093	7,093	7,093	7,093	7,093	2,566	10,068	4.44	97	12	2	9	65	1,594	4.25
	680,022	151,064	151,064	151,064	151,064	151,064	680,022	15,940	857,026	7.04	724	53	179	956	211	201,771	4.25
1952	101,220	10,748	33,968	2,307	600	2,929	101,220	1,949	189,516	7.33	128	25	41	194	203	36,358	4.82
	10,748	33,968	2,307	600	2,929	2,929	10,748	14,304	588,161	7.12	450	30	91	571	246	140,264	4.19
	2,307	600	600	600	600	600	2,307	3	3,410	6.32	29	5	5	34	179	6,079	5.59
	1,103	1,866	1,866	1,866	1,866	1,866	1,103	17	5,586	4.21	17	12	7	36	148	741	4.60
	18,045	18,045	18,045	18,045	18,045	18,045	18,045	2,612	23,586	6.38	26	22	22	48	194	747	7.44
	688,343	138,989	138,989	138,989	138,989	138,989	688,343	18,865	844,197	7.09	653	67	168	888	221	196,509	4.30

1 Includes coal loaded at mine directly into railroad cars or river barges, hauled by truck to railroad siding for shipments by rail, and hauled by truck to waterway for shipment by water.  
 2 Includes coal transported from mines to points of use by conveyor or tram.  
 3 Value received or charged for coal, f. o. b. mine, including selling cost (includes a value for coal not sold but used by producer, such as mine fuel and coal coked—not coke—as estimated by producer at average prices that might have been received if such coal had been sold commercially).  
 4 Includes coal used by mine employees, taken by locomotive tenders at tipples, and all other uses at power and heat, transported from mine to point of use by conveyor or tram, and all other uses at mine.

TABLE 6.—Subbituminous coal produced in Washington, 1940-52<sup>1</sup>

Year	King County			Lewis County		Thurston County		State	
	Tons	Percent of State output of coal	Percent of King County output	Tons	Percent of State output of coal	Tons	Percent of State output of coal	Total tons	Percent of State output of coal
1940-----	399,206	24	66	39,780	2	33,622	2	472,608	28
1941-----	428,007	23	66	37,374	2	39,576	2	504,957	27
1942-----	442,561	22	71	53,240	3	80,180	4	575,981	29
1943-----	280,960	18	56	52,676	4	66,815	4	<sup>2</sup> 400,783	26
1944-----	226,455	15	49	75,196	5	59,755	4	361,406	24
1945-----	268,602	19	41	79,733	6	64,347	5	412,682	30
1946-----	215,599	22	68	56,936	6	50,594	5	323,129	33
1947-----	260,306	23	76	44,360	4	57,213	5	316,879	32
1948-----	269,637	22	76	52,195	4	61,077	5	382,909	31
1949-----	139,132	15	64	45,655	5	43,295	5	228,082	25
1950-----	105,204	12	63	47,898	6	25,916	3	179,018	28
1951-----	66,027	8	35	41,457	5	11,018	1	118,502	14
1952-----	70,744	8	37	34,148	4	5,556	1	110,448	13

<sup>1</sup> Compiled from Annual Reports of Coal Mines, State of Washington, Department of Labor and Industries, Olympia, Wash.

<sup>2</sup> Includes a small production from Cowlitz County.

TABLE 7.—Number and production of coal mines in Washington, classified by size of output, 1940-52

[Exclusive of mines producing less than 1,000 tons]

Year	Class 2—200,000 to 500,000 tons				Class 3—100,000 to 200,000 tons				Class 4—50,000 to 100,000 tons			
	Mines		Production		Mines		Production		Mines		Production	
	Number	Percent	Net tons	Percent	Number	Percent	Net tons	Percent	Number	Percent	Net tons	Percent
1940-----	2		483,477		4		601,055		2		138,278	
1941-----	2	4.9	614,614	33.4	5	12.2	736,389	40.0	1	2.4	61,397	3.3
1942-----	3	7.0	802,517	41.1	4	9.3	531,620	27.2	4	9.3	295,346	15.1
1943-----	1	2.6	226,552	14.8	5	12.8	742,738	48.6	4	10.2	262,091	17.1
1944-----	1	2.0	231,882	15.2	4	8.2	565,563	37.1	4	8.2	292,240	19.2
1945-----					5	10.9	670,480	49.4	3	6.5	171,180	12.5
1946-----					3	6.5	336,939	34.0	2	4.4	164,967	15.6
1947-----					3	7.7	510,501	45.7	2	5.1	144,493	12.9
1948-----	1	2.6	227,628	18.7	3	7.7	420,783	34.5	2	5.1	174,645	14.3
1949-----					3	9.7	467,756	52.0	1	3.2	76,653	8.0
1950-----	1	3.9	228,864	26.2	1	3.8	189,818	21.7	2	7.7	160,750	18.4
1951-----	2	8.0	436,946	51.0					3	12.0	174,365	20.3
1952-----	2	8.0	449,038	53.2					2	8.0	120,196	14.2

  

Year	Class 5—10,000 to 50,000 tons				Class 6—less than 10,000 tons				Total		
	Mines		Production		Mines		Production		Mines	Production, net tons	
	Number	Percent	Net tons	Percent	Number	Percent	Net tons	Percent		Total	Average per mine
1940-----	15		341,579		25		85,960		48	1,650,352	
1941-----	15	36.6	362,140	19.7	18	43.9	66,734	3.6	41	1,841,274	44,909
1942-----	11	25.6	231,354	11.9	21	48.8	92,372	4.7	43	1,953,209	45,423
1943-----	12	30.8	230,816	15.2	17	43.6	65,347	4.3	39	1,527,544	39,168
1944-----	15	30.6	343,126	22.5	25	51.0	91,330	6.0	49	1,524,141	31,105
1945-----	18	39.1	425,134	31.3	20	43.5	90,450	6.7	46	1,357,244	29,505
1946-----	15	32.6	372,571	37.6	26	56.5	116,650	11.8	46	991,127	21,546
1947-----	17	43.6	388,313	34.7	17	43.6	74,619	8.7	39	1,117,926	28,365
1948-----	12	30.8	292,597	24.0	21	53.8	104,250	8.5	39	1,219,903	31,280
1949-----	14	45.2	304,985	33.9	13	41.9	49,652	5.5	31	899,046	29,001
1950-----	7	26.9	212,512	24.3	15	57.7	82,045	9.4	26	873,983	33,615
1951-----	11	44.0	208,678	24.4	9	36.0	37,037	4.3	25	857,026	34,281
1952-----	10	40.0	234,498	27.8	11	44.0	40,465	4.8	25	844,197	33,768

from the list of mines published annually by the State Inspector of Coal Mines.

USE

The coal trade and the markets for coal in Washington cannot be analyzed except in general terms owing to the lack of complete figures giving shipments into the State by origin, points of destination, and consumption data by users. Because of the rapidly changing conditions during the past 10 years, a yearly analysis or an average of several years is simply a record of past utilization and gives little more than a general index of trend. To the mining industry and to consumers interested in problems of future utilization, such figures may be useful and significant; information obtained in a canvas made by the Northwest Experiment Station of the Bureau of Mines is therefore given in this publication. Surveys by others were made in 1946, 1949, and 1950.<sup>2</sup>

The total quantity of coal consumed in any year is represented by the State production, together with that shipped into the State from the Rocky Mountain area and other States, plus a portion of the British Columbia and Alberta imports into both the Washington district and the Montana and Idaho customs district. Some coal from this latter district moves into Washington. From the total must be subtracted the quantity of Washington and out-of-State coal exported.

Based on the present canvas, the apparent total consumption of coal in Washington was approximately as follows during the years indicated:

*Consumption of coal in Washington, net tons*

1944.....	3,386,808
1945.....	3,293,967
1946.....	2,651,641
1947.....	2,785,336
1948.....	2,407,035

The 5-year total is 14,524,787 tons. The Bureau of Mines figures for Washington production—5,889,484 tons—would show 8,635,303 tons shipped into the State. The significant observation is that Washington production in each year cited was 50 percent or less of the apparent tonnage necessary to meet the coal requirements of the State.

<sup>2</sup> Lund, R. J., and Sullivan, John D., Survey Report on Washington Fuel Requirements and Supplies to Washington State Department of Conservation and Development: Battelle Memorial Inst., S-1097-2, Jan. 15, 1947, 369 pp.

Johnson, Maxine M., Fuel Trends in Washington: Washington State College, Bur. Econ. and Business Res. Bull. 11, November 1949, 52 pp.

Kolde, Endel J., Competitive Position of the Coal-Mining Industry in Washington State: Univ. Washington Library, unpublished thesis, May 17, 1951, 204 pp.

Western Power and Fuel Outlook: Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco: Monthly Rev. Suppl., November 1950, 48 pp.

CONSUMPTION BY CONSUMER USE

No adequate analyses of consumption of coal by consumer use have been made for Washington except for the years 1944, 1945, and 1946, when the Solid Fuel Administration for War, United States Department of Interior, collected statistics of distribution and use. The material collected has been tabulated by the Bureau of Mines in a series of publications.<sup>3</sup> (See table 8.) Railroad coal used came principally from Washington mines. Retail yards handled a small proportion of Washington coal in comparison with coal from the Rocky Mountain States. Coal used in manufactured-gas production was a negligible factor in the trade.

CONSUMPTION IN COAL MARKET, 1950

Approximate consumption in 1950 by type of plant or user, as revealed by a survey made by the Northwest Experiment Station of the Bureau of Mines, is indicated in the following summary:

*Consumption of coal in Washington by type of use, 1950, net tons*

Pulverized coal, cement plants and other..	457,800
Heating schools, hospitals, housing projects..	47,800
Public-utility heating.....	40,200
Sugar manufacture.....	41,900
Ceramic plants.....	18,400
Metallurgical establishments.....	19,300
Railroads.....	305,200
State institutions.....	95,800
Federal services and armed forces.....	162,900
Government projects.....	450,400
Retail and domestic.....	450,000
Total.....	2,089,700

Pulverized coal includes that used in cement mills, in magnesite and ferrosilicon plants, and in steam and power plants operated by Federal, State, and private users. Federal services and the Armed Forces consume coal in civil, army, and naval establishments; other government projects utilize coal for heating and for process purposes. State institutions and public-utility heating represent installations in which coal is burned in a form other than pulverized. Sugar, ceramic, and metallurgical plants indicate specific types of use; railroad consumption includes locomotive fuel, station, and other uses. All of the preceding classes of consumption have been canvassed individually.

Retail and domestic consumption has been difficult to determine accurately because of the many ramifications of this type of market; the figure given represents a conservative estimate

<sup>3</sup> Bureau of Mines Mineral Market Reports, M. M. S. 1289, 1384, 1388, 1444, 1497, 1500, 1505, and 1592.

TABLE 8.—Coal by use and source in Washington, 1944-46, net tons

Use	Wyoming	Utah	Montana	Source, all other States <sup>1</sup>	Total	Washing- ton	Grand total
<i>1944</i>							
Industrial.....	343, 135	220, 986	234, 087	2, 502	800, 710	358, 767	1, 159, 477
Retail yards.....	270, 407	547, 553	159, 696	20, 637	998, 293	91, 356	1, 089, 649
Byproduct and water gas.....						32, 958	32, 958
Railroad fuel.....						475, 066	475, 066
Shipped by truck <sup>2</sup> .....						322, 226	
Other <sup>3</sup> .....				2, 778	2, 778	92, 636	95, 414
Total.....	613, 542	768, 539	393, 783	25, 917	1, 801, 781	1, 373, 009	3, 174, 790
<i>1945</i>							
Industrial.....	389, 255	133, 066	244, 897	808	768, 026	338, 094	1, 106, 120
Retail yards.....	304, 323	607, 708	128, 518	38, 871	1, 079, 420	84, 001	1, 163, 421
Water gas.....						20, 204	20, 204
Railroad fuel.....						421, 483	421, 483
Shipped by truck <sup>2</sup> .....						281, 321	281, 321
Other <sup>3</sup> .....					2, 640	61, 076	63, 716
Total.....	693, 578	740, 774	373, 415	42, 319	1, 850, 086	1, 206, 179	3, 056, 265
<i>1946</i>							
Industrial.....	427, 966	144, 715	31, 969	3, 029	607, 679	307, 975	915, 654
Retail yards.....	226, 996	671, 936	76, 682	25, 327	1, 000, 941	69, 343	1, 070, 284
Water gas.....						11, 346	11, 346
Railroad fuel.....						241, 545	241, 545
Shipped by truck <sup>2</sup> .....						219, 723	219, 723
Other <sup>3</sup> .....				138	138	59, 073	59, 211
Total.....	654, 962	816, 651	108, 651	28, 494	1, 608, 758	909, 005	2, 517, 763

<sup>1</sup> Includes Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Colorado, and waterborne shipments via tidewater.

<sup>2</sup> Not classified as to use.

<sup>3</sup> Includes coal used at the mine, for private railways and conveyors, and for smithing and that for which use was not reported.

of coal delivered to retail yards for general domestic and other distribution not covered in the categories already presented. All uses totaled 2,089,700 tons, based on the estimated figure of 450,000 tons for retail and domestic.

The market analysis for 1950 indicates that the trend shown in the years 1944 to 1948 continued; namely, that consumption in the State greatly exceeds local production and that neighboring coal-producing areas having lower mining costs have expanded their markets to the disadvantage of the local industry.

#### FUEL BRIQUETS AND PACKAGED FUEL

Production figures for fuel briquets and packaged fuel made from coal in Washington since 1938 are not available. In that year 2 western Washington plants engaged in making coal briquets reported an output of 5,334 tons. One large briquetting plant near Seattle, whose annual output at one time exceeded 100,000 tons, was closed permanently in May 1939; a smaller plant was operated from 1934 to 1942. Utilization of screenings to make packaged fuel at a Spokane coal yard undertaken in 1936

ceased in 1942, and no State production has been reported since.

Briquets made of residual carbon obtained in the manufacture of oil gas are produced at Seattle and Portland; most of them are sold in Washington and Oregon, and some are exported to British Columbia and Alaska. A limited quantity is utilized in water-gas manufacture; the remainder is sold for heating and cooking, for use in steel and other metallurgical processes, and for other industrial applications. Exact figures of distribution and consumer use are not available. Table 9 shows production and sales of the Seattle product, including sales of Portland briquets reported by the Seattle distributor. In addition, Portland briquets are distributed in other market areas of Washington.

Production figures of briquets produced in Oregon and the quantity shipped into Washington from 1935 to 1952 are reported below. The figures for 1939 to 1950 are those reported to the Public Utilities Commission of Oregon; the figures for 1951 and 1952 represent gross sales but do not segregate the shipments into Washington.

TABLE 9.—Production and sales of residual-carbon briquets in Seattle, 1938–52, net tons<sup>1</sup>

Year	Total made at Seattle	Used in water-gas manufacture	Sales
1938	8,573	5,451	3,320
1939	17,510	9,296	6,707
1940	19,411	11,328	8,835
1941	15,661	10,666	6,522
1942	25,732	16,831	6,776
1943	26,067	18,423	7,963
1944	28,009	27,247	1,768
1945	20,530	19,607	932
1946	24,606	24,297	201
1947	31,129	5,826	22,470
1948	41,311	14,981	26,491
1949	48,429	10,125	34,519
1950	37,338	7,487	25,625
1951	42,033	3,592	37,683
1952	42,406	829	44,272

<sup>1</sup> Data from Seattle Gas Co.

Residual-carbon briquets produced in Oregon and shipped to Washington, 1939–52, net tons

Year	Total production	Shipped to Washington	Year	Total production	Shipped to Washington
1939	42,367	9,172	1946	102,410	6,722
1940	40,143	10,624	1947	73,767	5,611
1941	57,853	22,946	1948	178,842	16,625
1942	91,606	14,362	1949	95,962	10,009
1943	89,341	7,293	1950	292,462	11,242
1944	94,562	6,455	1951	101,376	-----
1945	96,678	6,777	1952	115,759	-----

<sup>1</sup> Includes 75,000 tons of lampblack for industrial use.  
<sup>2</sup> Gross sales.

COMBUSTION

In 1939, 10 Washington plants utilized pulverized coal. Since then 15 units have come into operation, but only 12 reported consumption figures for 1953. One minor user discontinued operations in 1943; 1 cement company in 1948 and 1 central heating plant in 1953 changed to oil. Four cement plants, 1 magnesite producer, 1 sugar refinery, 1 metallurgical establishment, and 4 other units producing steam for heat and power were in operation in 1953. One municipal plant is a standby unit used only for emergency purposes.

Table 10, compiled from figures obtained by a canvass of consumers, presents an annual summary of powdered-coal consumption during 1939–53. Use, in general, has shown a steady increase from 389,784 tons in 1939 to 498,006 tons in 1950, followed by declines in 1952 and 1953. Cement and magnesite plants represent the largest consumption, followed by seven installations supplying steam for general heating, power, and processing purposes.

No exact figures showing origin or distribution are available in published form. In

TABLE 10.—Summary of powdered-coal consumption, 1939–53, net tons<sup>1</sup>

Year	Cement mills and magnesite plant	Public plants for steam distribution	Other plants <sup>2</sup>	Total
1939	297,008	64,671	28,105	389,784
1940	249,399	52,800	34,958	337,157
1941	235,234	64,200	38,765	338,199
1942	299,930	73,675	47,371	420,976
1943	285,092	70,339	45,514	400,945
1944	257,004	63,700	41,572	362,276
1945	180,209	66,700	58,813	305,722
1946	224,794	55,654	65,102	345,550
1947	293,516	67,446	83,186	444,148
1948	292,982	93,263	76,059	462,304
1949	242,965	72,216	110,321	425,502
1950	282,005	50,921	124,859	457,785
1951	298,388	57,690	141,928	498,006
1952	265,717	37,251	150,705	453,673
1953	279,264	13,880	146,564	439,708
Total	3,983,507	904,406	1,193,822	6,081,735

<sup>1</sup> Figures compiled from canvass of plants in Washington.  
<sup>2</sup> Includes 7 installations for heat, power, metallurgical use, and sugar processing.

western Washington local coals are generally purchased, although some coal from Utah and Wyoming enters this market territory; in eastern Washington competition from out-of-State coal is keener, and many plants use coal from Utah and Wyoming as well as coal from the Crow's Nest Pass area of British Columbia. The author's estimate of consumption for 1939–53 indicates that, out of the total reported consumption of 6,081,735 tons, approximately 68.0 percent was Washington coal, 16.6 percent came from British Columbia, 9.1 percent from Utah, 4.7 percent from Wyoming, and 1.6 percent from undesignated sources.

Two papers of interest, one dealing with grindability<sup>4</sup> and the other with abrasiveness<sup>5</sup> of certain Washington coals, have been published.

Spreader-type stokers have proved increasingly popular for burning Washington coals. Their ability to handle a wide range of sizes, marked differences in ash content and ash fusibility, and variations in caking properties render them particularly suitable for use in this area where such a wide variety of coals compete. Burning of Washington coals in domestic stokers has been studied intensively by the Bureau of Mines.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>4</sup> Yancey, H. F., and Geer, M. R., Ball-Mill Grindability Indexes of Some American Coals: Bureau of Mines Rept. of Investigations 3409, 1938, 9 pp.

<sup>5</sup> Yancey, H. F., Geer, M. R., and Price, J. D., An Investigation of the Abrasiveness of Coal and Its Associated Impurities: Trans. AIME, vol. 190, 1951, pp. 262–268.

<sup>6</sup> Yancey, H. F., Johnson, K. A., and Cordiner, J. B., Jr., Burning Characteristics of Washington Coals on Domestic and Underfeed Stokers: ASME, November 1940, 4 pp.; Trans. ASME, vol. 63, 1941, pp. 293–296.

Yancey, H. F., and Johnson, K. A., Burning Bituminous and Sub-bituminous Coals on an Anthracite, Ash-Removal Type, Domestic Stoker: Bureau of Mines Rept. of Investigations 3849, 1945, 14 pp.

Yancey, H. F., Johnson, K. A., Cordiner, J. B., Jr., Lewis, A. A., and Lunde, K. E., Burning of Washington Coals on Different Types of Domestic Stokers in the Same Hot-Water Boiler; Comparison With Hand and Oil-Firing: Bureau of Mines Bull. 475, 1949, 96 pp.

## COKE

Coke production in Washington as a major industry ceased in 1937. No coke was manufactured thereafter until May 1943, when a new Curran-Knowles byproduct plant of 17 sole-flue ovens began operation at Tacoma on Wilkeson, Wash., coals and mixtures with Utah coals; it was active until December 1944 and produced 50,935 tons of coke. Only 16 percent of the product entered the industrial field; domestic heating absorbed 84 percent, of which 78 percent was taken by Government housing projects. A small amount of retort coke was produced at gasworks in the State until the end of 1947.

The coke demands of chemical, industrial, and metallurgical establishments have been supplied from outside sources. The principal shipments have come from the Crow's Nest Pass area of British Columbia, Canada, and a small tonnage has come from Alberta. The Michel, British Columbia, coke is made in Curran-Knowles ovens and the Coleman, Alberta, coke in beehive ovens. These cokes are used largely in smelters, industrial plants, and sugar refineries. For special purposes, as in some foundry and electrometallurgical applications, byproduct coke largely from eastern sources is used. Coke breeze, used mainly as a source of carbon, is shipped to some metallurgical establishments.

Accurate and complete figures are not available as to source or use of coke in Washington. Table 11 summarizes consumption of oven and beehive coke and breeze of domestic origin from 1940 to 1952. Statistics of coke imported

TABLE 11.—*Summary of domestic oven and beehive coke and breeze consumed in Washington, 1940-52, net tons*

Year	Foundry use	Other industrial use	Total	Coke breeze
1940	3,089	1,609	4,719	
1941	8,111	3,287	11,580	2,145
1942	8,260	4,186	12,615	
1943	18,342	12,098	44,816	9,944
1944 <sup>1</sup>	9,035	2,292	11,327	
1945	8,294	2,284	10,793	5,996
1946	8,190	417	8,607	3,365
1947	7,613	6,219	13,832	
1948	7,653	9,335	16,988	4,447
1949	7,246	5,538	12,784	9,095
1950 <sup>2</sup>	9,910	6,821	16,731	7,688
1951 <sup>3</sup>	10,159	13,357	23,516	14,057
1952 <sup>4</sup>	8,536	6,656	15,192	13,055

<sup>1</sup> Only in this year was domestic heating an important factor; it totaled 13,678 tons.

<sup>2</sup> DeCarlo, J. A., and Ryan, Emma E., Distribution of Oven and Beehive Coke in 1950: Bureau of Mines Min. Market Repts., M. M. S. 2034, 1951, 35 pp.

<sup>3</sup> DeCarlo, J. A., Scalf, F. C., and Ryan, Emma E., Distribution of Oven and Beehive Coke in 1951: Bureau of Mines Min. Market Repts., M. M. S. 2092, 1952, 40 pp.

<sup>4</sup> DeCarlo, J. A., and Ryan, Emma E., Distribution of Oven and Beehive Coke in 1952: Bureau of Mines Min. Market Repts., M. M. S. 2221, 1953, 40 pp.

TABLE 12.—*Coke imported from British Columbia and Alberta through Washington, Montana, and Idaho customs districts for consumption in the United States, 1937-50, net tons*

Year	Washington		Montana and Idaho	
	Net tons	Value	Net tons	Value
1937	17,900	\$97,798	28,833	\$157,051
1938	6,563	40,758	28,902	162,154
1939	6,499	39,188	26,688	148,183
1940	2,721	18,988	26,885	141,598
1941	3,485	19,873	37,339	201,793
1942	7,015	32,203	38,877	218,241
1943	13,150	63,816	31,798	192,626
1944	1,070	6,905	38,345	398,653
1945	1,514	11,396	30,614	236,808
1946	33	308	40,428	309,307
1947	35	398	61,993	544,695
1948	615	7,223	62,342	696,024
1949	592	3,275	69,157	774,573
1950	1,577	1,474	70,859	781,101

from British Columbia and Alberta do not reveal the quantities separately destined for delivery to Washington, Idaho, Montana, and other States; consequently, the exact tonnages of domestic and imported coke used in each State are not determinable except by consumer surveys, and these have not been made. The tonnage of western Canada coke imported into the United States is shown in table 12.

An analysis of production sources and distribution of oven coke and breeze consumed in Washington from 1948 to 1952, representing coke shipped by rail from United States sources, is given in table 13. Coke has been supplied from as far as Alabama, but major shipments of foundry coke have come from States such as Wisconsin and Minnesota that have more favorable freight rates. Utah coke predominates.

## GAS MANUFACTURE

The gas industry in Washington has undergone many changes in operating practice and in the use of raw materials since the publication of Bureau of Mines Technical Papers 491 and 618. In 1934, 216 retorts were used in making bench gas from coal; in 1936, 186; in 1937, 168 which continued service until 1946, when the number was reduced to 120. In 1948 use of these bench-gas units was discontinued. Oil gas was not manufactured in Washington until 1937, when the Seattle gas plant replaced a battery of Klönné byproduct ovens with oil-gas equipment. Water gas, using coke and coal as raw materials, continued to be made but with some replacement of coal and coke by residual-carbon briquets from oil-gas manufacture. Butane-air gas was introduced in the late 1930's and propane-air in 1945. Statistical data concerning the gas industry in the State from 1939 through 1952 are given later in this report.



TABLE 13.—Source and quantity of oven coke and breeze consumed in Washington, 1948-52, net tons

Source	<sup>1</sup> 1948				<sup>2</sup> 1949			
	Foundry	Other industrial use	Total		Foundry	Other industrial use	Total	
			Coke	Breeze			Coke	Breeze
Alabama						999		
California								254
Colorado								
Illinois					165		165	
Indiana and Kentucky	645		645		514		514	
Michigan and Wisconsin	33	37	70		863	40	903	
Minnesota	1,307	1,284	2,591		848	895	1,743	
Missouri	5,577		5,577		4,825		4,825	
Pennsylvania	<sup>3</sup> 91		<sup>3</sup> 91					
Tennessee					31	114	145	
Utah		8,014	8,014	4,447		3,490	3,390	8,841
Total	7,653	9,335	16,988	4,447	7,246	5,538	12,784	9,098

  

Source	<sup>4</sup> 1950				<sup>5</sup> 1951				<sup>6</sup> 1952			
	Foundry	Other industrial use	Total		Foundry	Other industrial use	Total		Foundry	Other industrial use	Total	
			Coke	Breeze			Coke	Breeze			Coke	Breeze
Alabama		421	421		167		167		228		228	
California								1,467				
Colorado	179		179		2,369	3,308	5,677	4,728		3,225	3,484	3,958
Illinois												
Indiana and Kentucky	556		556		387		387		196		196	
Michigan and Wisconsin	3,041	40	3,081		1,498		1,498		3,245		3,245	
Minnesota	2,374	289	2,663		3,290	66	3,356		2,553	47	2,600	
Missouri	3,760		3,760		2,448		2,448		2,055		2,055	
Pennsylvania												
Tennessee		321	321		160		160		457		457	
Utah		5,750	5,750	7,423		9,823	9,823	7,862		2,927	2,297	9,097
Total	9,910	6,821	16,731	7,688	10,159	13,357	23,516	14,057	8,536	6,656	15,192	13,055

<sup>1</sup> DeCarlo, J. A., and Otero, Maxine M., Distribution of Oven and Beehive Coke in 1948: Bureau of Mines Min. Market Repts., M. M. S. 1783, 1949, 34 pp.

<sup>2</sup> Otero, Maxine M., DeCarlo, J. A., and Corgan, J. A., Distribution of Oven and Beehive Coke in 1949: Bureau of Mines Min. Market Repts., M. M. S. 1912, 1950, 35 pp.

<sup>3</sup> Beehive coke.

<sup>4</sup> DeCarlo, J. A., and Ryan, Emma E., Distribution of Oven and Beehive Coke in 1950: Bureau of Mines Min. Market Repts., M. M. S. 2034, 1951, 35 pp.

<sup>5</sup> DeCarlo, J. A., Scaif, F. C., Ryan, Emma E., Distribution of Oven and Beehive Coke in 1951: Bureau of Mines Min. Market Repts., M. M. S. 2092, 1952, 40 pp.

<sup>6</sup> DeCarlo, J. A., and Ryan, Emma E., Distribution of Oven and Beehive Coke in 1952: Bureau of Mines Min. Market Repts., M. M. S. 2221, 1953, 40 pp.

Consumption of raw materials by the manufactured-gas industry of Washington from 1939 to 1949 is indicated by the approximate figures given below. For the one plant in the State (Tacoma) using coal to make water gas, the consumption of coal in the generator was 17,700 tons, 14,800, 13,900, and 13,100 in the years from 1950 through 1953, respectively.

*Average annual consumption of coal, coke, residual-carbon briquets, oil, tar, butane, and propane used in the manufacture of gas in Washington, 1939-49*

	Tons	Gallons
Coal for bench gas <sup>1</sup>	30,000	
Coal for water gas	17,145	
Coke for water gas <sup>1</sup>	5,074	
Carbon briquets for water gas	16,600	
Coal for boiler fuel	9,400	
Coke for bench fuel	3,003	
Oil for boiler fuel		3,746,000
Tar, etc., for boiler fuel		2,200,000
Oil for water gas		6,628,000
Oil for oil gas		19,232,000
Butane for butane-air gas		2,723,300
Propane for propane-air gas <sup>2</sup>		1,717,000

<sup>1</sup> 1939-47.

<sup>2</sup> 1946-49.

Coal for making bench or retort gas averaged 30,000 tons per year from 1939 to 1947, when this method of operation ceased. Coal consumption for water-gas manufacture averaged 17,145 tons per year from 1939 to 1949, while coke consumption for water gas was insignificant, averaging slightly over 5,000 tons per year during the period 1939 to 1947, when its use was discontinued. Residual-carbon briquets have been used steadily since 1939 and have averaged 16,600 tons per year.

Coal for boiler fuel, averaging 9,400 tons per year, has given place to oil, of which the average annual consumption from 1939 to 1949 was reported to be 3,746,000 gallons or approximately 90,000 barrels. A small quantity of coke, approximately 3,000 tons annually, was used at the coal-gas plants for bench fuel. In addition to the heavy oil purchased for boiler fuels, a large quantity of tar, over 2 million gallons obtained as a byproduct, has been utilized.

Oil used for carbureting in water-gas produc-

TABLE 14.—*Coal imported and exported through Washington ports, 1939-52, net tons*<sup>1</sup>

Year	Imports						Exports					
	Seattle	Bellingham	Anacortes	Port Angeles	Tacoma	Other Puget Sound ports <sup>2</sup>	Seattle cargo	Seattle bunker	Tacoma cargo	Grays Harbor bunker	Olympia bunker	Vancouver cargo
1939	42,198	1,227	311	400	200		1,089					
1940	28,856	890	286	396			618					
1941	17,912	1,214	245				183	22,238				
1942	40,568	5,250						40,568				
1943	10,404		56				15,969	84,040	2,136	1,751	2,868	
1944	21,118	18,167					2,722	28,000				
1945	22,362	14,175						34,264				
1946	22,708	10,134					123,108	2,300				
1947	9,658	421					296,743					3,900
1948	7,240	523				392	322,439		393			
1949	11,282	596				610	28,007					
1950	13,340	614	305				5					
1951	1,661						122,683					
1952	822						221,140					

<sup>1</sup> Figures from district engineer, Corps of Engineers, U. S. Army, Seattle district.

<sup>2</sup> Not reported before 1948.

tion averaged approximately 6,628,000 gallons or 157,800 barrels annually over the period 1939-49. Oil for making oil gas averaged approximately 19,232,000 gallons or 458,000 barrels annually, the greater part being heavy oil, although some light oils were used occasionally. One plant used heavy oil for residual operations. It is estimated that 8 million barrels of fuel oils were consumed by the gas industry from 1939 to 1949.

#### EXPORT OF COAL FROM WASHINGTON

Before World War II the movement of coal from ports in Washington was limited to a small tonnage shipped to Alaska and occasional small consignments to Hawaii. As shown in table 14, a demand for bunker coal developed during the war years, attaining a peak of 90,000 tons in 1943. In the same year exports reached nearly 16,000 tons. After the war the demand for coal in Japan increased exports greatly. In 1948 cargo coal moving through the port of Seattle aggregated 322,439 tons.

Washington coal comprised a substantial part of the export volume during 1951 and 1952 only, when 90,505 and 94,530 tons, respectively, were shipped to Japan. This coal originated largely in the Roslyn-Cle Elum field of Kittitas County.

#### COMPETITION WITH COAL FROM OTHER FIELDS AND WITH OTHER FUELS

##### IMPORTS OF CANADIAN COAL INTO WASHINGTON

Bituminous coal from Vancouver Island and the east Kootenay district of British Columbia and from western Alberta enters the Washington market. Some lower rank coal from Alberta moves into the United States, but very little is sold in Washington. In any analysis of coal-consumption figures for Washington, the Canadian imports are significant.

Table 15 shows the tonnages of coal from Canada entering the Washington, Montana, and Idaho customs districts from 1938 to 1952.

TABLE 15.—*Coal imported into the United States through Washington, Montana, and Idaho customs districts, 1938-52, net tons*

Year	Washington	Montana and Idaho	Total
1938	41,344	95,511	136,855
1939	38,709	103,408	142,117
1940	39,396	127,274	166,670
1941	41,392	140,796	182,188
1942	60,058	247,836	307,894
1943	106,183	498,072	604,255
1944	71,243	430,734	501,977
1945	83,643	276,055	359,698
1946	52,164	242,668	294,842
1947	18,069	213,313	231,382
1948	15,434	153,777	169,211
1949	12,068	143,926	155,994
1950	15,264	164,973	180,237
1951	1,594	157,500	159,094
1952	1,127	129,876	131,003

Exact figures showing the quantities of coal actually destined for sale and use in Washington alone are difficult to obtain.

#### WOOD

Wood continues to be an important fuel in the State for domestic, commercial, and industrial heating, as well as power production. Some hogged fuel is used in metallurgical operations. However, the shifting of lumbering operations farther from centers of population and the growing practice of debarking to provide wood fiber for process use are factors that limit the availability of wood for fuel. Reliable figures on

the current consumption of wood as fuel are not available.

A number of lumber mills in the Pacific Northwest make wood briquets, known as Presto-logs, from selected waste sawdust or shavings; these are approximately 4 inches in diameter and 12 inches in length and weigh 8 pounds. They first came on the market in the early 1930's and are used extensively for household range, fireplace, and furnace heating, as well as for cooking on railroads and in steamships and restaurants. Production has grown steadily; manufacture and sales are geared not to the demand but to the volume of wood waste available at plants where briquetting machines are installed. Some nearby out-of-State plants ship these briquets into Washington, and some Washington plants, in turn, ship into adjacent territory. Approximate production and sales in Washington are indicated in the following summary:

*Production of wood briquets, 1939-52, net tons*

Year	Manufactured at Washington plants	Sold in Washington from out-of-State plants	Total sold in Washington
1939-----	39, 618	17, 160	56, 778
1940-----	35, 196	17, 820	53, 016
1941-----	57, 411	27, 683	85, 094
1942-----	81, 280	32, 326	113, 606
1943-----	72, 034	35, 198	107, 232
1944-----	70, 066	34, 150	104, 216
1945-----	56, 770	35, 450	92, 220
1946-----	55, 245	30, 380	85, 625
1947-----	68, 386	29, 292	97, 678
1948-----	67, 793	35, 100	102, 893
1949-----	81, 516	36, 630	118, 146
1950-----	88, 477	39, 782	128, 259
1951-----	86, 615	29, 490	116, 105
1952-----	109, 301	28, 244	137, 545

**GAS**

Table 16, compiled from reports of the Washington Public Service Commission,<sup>7</sup> presents the salient features of the changes in the manufactured-gas industry of the State from 1939 to 1952. Data for 1950, 1951, and 1952 included the total operations of the Portland, Oreg., plant with those of the establishments in Washington because some gas made in Oregon is used in Washington. Production and sales have shown a steady increase from 1939 to 1950—the reported sale in 1939 was 3,575,587 M cubic feet and in 1950 the figure had risen to 6,266,672. No natural gas has been produced in the State since 1941; water-gas production has declined, and oil gas produced from heavy residual oils now leads in output. Propane-air and butane-air gases are distributed directly to many com-

munities, and propane and butane are used as enriching agents in mixed gas. In 1952, 15 companies—3 propane plants, 6 butane, 2 propane-butane, 1 water-propane-butane, 1 propane-butane-water gas-oil, 1 oil-propane-butane, and 1 water-gas—supplied gas to 40 cities and communities.

Production of water gas rose from 1,704,662 M cubic feet in 1939 to a maximum of 3,760,886 in 1946, a gain from 43 to 56 percent of the combined figures for in-State production and imports, but it declined steadily thereafter to 1,027,231 M cubic feet in 1952, 17 percent of the total.

Oil gas produced in the one Washington plant at Seattle in 1939 amounted to 1,578,350 M cubic feet, and sales from another plant in Portland, Oreg., to Washington customers contributed 84,614 M cubic feet; the 2 plants totaled 42.1 percent of the combined total of State production and sales. The Seattle oil-gas installation had been expanded until its output in 1950 reached 4,017,554 M cubic feet or 58.5 percent of the State production. Production declined in 1951 and 1952, but the output is well over 50 percent of all gas manufactured in Washington. The Portland installation, serving a limited market area in the vicinity of Vancouver, Wash., makes a steady contribution of oil gas to this area.

Production of butane-air gas in 1939 amounted to 66,055 M cubic feet or 1.67 percent; in 1952 the reported figure was 1,172,013 M cubic feet or 19.29 percent of the total. Propane-air gas was first produced in 1945, when 4,874 M cubic feet was reported (less than 0.10 percent of total gas); by 1950 production had increased to 410,670 M cubic feet but declined in 1951. However, a recovery to 403,665 M cubic feet was indicated for 1952. The figures published by the Washington Public Service Commission appear to include propane and butane used as enriched as well as for direct gas-air distribution.

The annual sales of liquefied petroleum gases in Washington were not reported for earlier years, but the figures for 1950 to 1952 are now available<sup>8</sup> and are shown in table 17 by principal uses.

**FUEL OIL**

Fuel oil sales in Washington from 1938 to 1952, excluding kerosine, are reported in table 18. The greatest part of the distillate and residual oils was shipped from California to tidewater points and distributed by trucks and tank cars. One refinery at Spokane contributed a small proportion and other sources south and southeast of Washington supply part of the

<sup>7</sup> Statistics of Washington gas companies, Department of Public Service, Olympia, Wash., 1939-43; Department of Public Utilities, 1944-47; Public Service Commission, 1948-52.

<sup>8</sup> Coumbe, A. T., and Avery, I. F., Bureau of Mines Min. Market Repts., M. M. S. 1909, 2060, 2184.

TABLE 16.—Production of gas in Washington, 1939-52, M cubic feet<sup>1</sup>

Type of gas	1939		1940		1941		1942		1943		1944		1945	
	Production	Percent	Production	Percent	Production	Percent	Production	Percent	Production	Percent	Production	Percent	Production	Percent
Natural.....	87,350	2.21	36,323	0.90	879	0.02	429,279	8.65	410,452	7.63	451,761	7.89	455,753	7.31
Coal.....	420,665	10.87	430,556	10.69	421,589	9.49	2,441,829	49.23	2,426,228	45.12	2,911,807	50.83	3,281,767	52.64
Water.....	1,704,662	43.15	1,794,875	44.58	1,879,106	42.29	1,749,220	35.25	2,015,320	37.47	1,617,502	28.24	1,942,082	31.15
Oil.....	1,578,350	39.96	1,560,013	38.75	1,892,016	42.60	1,749,220	35.25	2,015,320	37.47	1,617,502	28.24	1,942,082	31.15
Butane-air.....	60,055	1.67	103,013	2.56	120,016	2.70	160,521	3.24	307,828	5.72	520,701	9.09	289,475	4.64
Propane-air.....													4,874	.08
Total produced in State.....	3,868,083	97.86	3,924,780	97.48	4,314,505	97.10	4,780,848	96.38	5,159,828	95.94	5,501,771	96.05	5,973,951	95.82
Oil gas imported into State.....	84,615	2.14	3,101,524	2.52	138,591	2.90	179,752	3.62	218,537	4.06	225,971	3.95	280,899	4.18
Total gas produced and imported.....	3,952,698	100.00	4,026,304	100.00	4,453,096	100.00	4,960,600	100.00	5,378,365	100.00	5,727,742	100.00	6,254,850	100.00
Gas sold.....	3,575,587		3,691,815		4,022,233		4,544,451		4,896,740		5,213,992		5,759,197	
Number of operating companies.....	277		13		14		14		14		14		14	
Coal gas produced at Spokane.....	63,148		272,393		261,287		260,427		220,622		246,116		108,390	
Coal gas produced at Walla Walla.....	98,006		70,500		74,117		82,919		94,599		97,531		104,252	
Coal gas produced at Yakima.....	168		87,168		86,185		85,933		95,231		108,114		104,252	
Number of retorts in operation <sup>2</sup> .....														
Type of gas														
Natural.....	388,836	5.82	188,709	2.76	2,158,180	31.02	1,878,249	26.01	1,574,788	22.94	1,225,317	10.58	1,027,231	16.91
Coal.....	3,760,886	56.28	1,926,639	28.16	3,610,264	51.89	3,836,969	53.13	4,017,534	58.52	3,432,418	54.81	3,174,267	52.25
Water.....	1,792,436	26.82	3,728,520	54.50	660,063	9.48	968,165	13.40	3,544,227	7.83	1,010,677	16.11	3,172,013	19.29
Oil.....	442,388	6.62	538,605	8.53	215,528	3.10	234,462	3.25	410,670	5.95	297,851	4.76	463,665	6.64
Butane-air.....	38,676	.58	92,826	1.36										
Propane-air.....														
Total produced in State.....	6,423,221	96.12	6,520,299	95.31	6,644,035	95.49	6,917,745	95.79	6,547,239	95.79	5,966,763	94.71	5,777,176	94.91
Oil gas imported into State.....	259,479	3.88	321,204	4.69	313,922	4.51	303,749	4.21	318,136	4.63	294,737	4.71	288,177	4.91
Total gas produced and imported.....	6,682,700	100.00	6,841,503	100.00	6,957,957	100.00	7,221,494	100.00	6,865,375	100.00	6,261,500	100.00	6,065,353	100.00
Gas sold.....	5,984,929		6,078,478		6,200,631		6,043,891		6,266,672		5,321,986		5,543,520	
Number of operating companies.....	15		15		14		15		15		15		15	
Coal gas produced at Spokane.....	203,573		72,800											
Coal gas produced at Walla Walla.....	66,270													
Coal gas produced at Yakima.....	118,993		115,909											
Number of retorts in operation <sup>2</sup> .....	168		120											

<sup>1</sup> Compiled from statistics of Washington gas companies, Department of Public Service, Olympia, Wash., 1939-43. Department of Public Utilities, 1944-47. Public Service Commission, 1948-52.

<sup>2</sup> In 1934 the number of retorts in use was 216; in 1936, 186; in 1937, 168.

<sup>3</sup> Includes butane-air, propane-air, butane, and propane used as enrichers.

<sup>4</sup> Does not include hydrogen gas.

TABLE 17.—Sales of liquefied petroleum gases in Washington by principal uses and kind, 1950-52, M gallons

	1950	1951	1952
<b>Domestic:</b>			
Butane.....	120		53
Propane.....	7,320	10,836	13,204
Mixture.....	1,392	1,030	2,899
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>8,832</b>	<b>11,866</b>	<b>16,156</b>
<b>Gas manufacture:</b>			
Butane.....	1,893	2,986	2,140
Propane.....	2,864	3,434	3,381
Mixture.....	958	2,579	1,694
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>5,715</b>	<b>8,999</b>	<b>7,215</b>
<b>Industrial:</b>			
Butane.....	722	1,515	1,345
Propane.....	821	1,167	1,457
Mixture.....			
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>1,543</b>	<b>2,682</b>	<b>2,802</b>
<b>Internal combustion:</b>			
Butane.....			
Propane.....	139	18	161
Mixture.....			
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>All other:</b>			
Butane.....			
Propane.....		14	64
Mixture.....			
<b>Total.....</b>		<b>14</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>Total sales:</b>			
Butane.....	2,735	4,501	3,538
Propane.....	11,144	15,469	18,267
Mixture.....	2,350	3,609	4,593
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>16,229</b>	<b>23,579</b>	<b>26,398</b>

demand of eastern Washington. A pipeline from Salt Lake City to Pasco was extended to Spokane in October 1953, and a second is expected from a refinery at Billings, Mont. In the Puget Sound area two refineries were under construction at Anacortes and Ferndale in 1953, and a third is planned to receive crude oil by extension of a pipeline delivering Alberta oil to

Vancouver, British Columbia. These refineries are located so they may receive waterborne oil from other sources.

Changes in consumer use from year to year are shown in the figures of thousands of barrels sold and in the percentage of each used. Residual or heavy fuel oil comprised approximately half the sales in 1950-52. Heating oils make up the largest single use, followed by smelters, mines, and manufacturing industries. The total for gas and electric power plants has remained fairly steady but varies with weather conditions and fluctuations in hydroelectric water supply. Very little fuel oil is consumed normally by public-utility electric plants, but in periods of water shortage an increase occurs in consumption by both public and private producers of electrical energy.

USE OF FUELS FOR ELECTRIC POWER PRODUCTION

Table 19, compiled from figures reported annually by the Federal Power Commission, summarizes the production of power for public use in Washington by type of plants and gives information about fuel consumption for the period 1938-52. Figures of production by industrial plants are not included.

Production of power from all sources in 1938 totaled 3,400,683 M kw.-hr., of which 95.2 percent represented hydro, 4.7 percent steam, and 0.1 percent internal combustion. By 1951 the total production had risen to 19,914,303 M kw.-hr., of which 19,754,721 or 99.2 percent came from hydro and the remainder from fuel installations.

The question of steam plants for standby and for primary generation constantly receives much attention and is often discussed in the Pacific Northwest.<sup>9</sup> Increased demands for electric power, shortages in water supplies from time to time, and less activity in construction of dams and hydroelectric plants have indicated a need for fuel-burning installations. The future interplay of supplies and cost of competing fuels may lead to the use of Washington coal at strategic points for generating electric power.

<sup>9</sup> Robbins, Floyd D., Steam Plants and the Northwest Power Shortage. The Trend in Engineering at the University of Washington: Univ. of Washington Eng. Exp. Sta. Quart. Pub., vol. 3, No. 4, 1951, pp. 5-9.

ANALYSES OF WASHINGTON COALS

TABLE 18.—Sales of distillate and residual fuel oil in Washington, by uses and grades, 1938-52, in thousand barrels of 42 gallons<sup>1</sup>

Uses	1938	1939	1940	1941	1942	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952
Railroads.....	1,638	1,108	1,080	1,472	2,009	2,308	2,110	2,002	2,130	2,538	2,612	2,066	2,631	2,032	1,712
Vessels (including tankers).....	1,386	1,463	1,144	1,232	976	3,063	3,061	3,213	1,934	2,554	1,700	1,892	2,009	2,630	3,019
Gas and electric power plants.....	1,396	1,599	1,621	1,905	1,244	1,126	993	1,085	1,273	1,372	1,431	1,608	1,305	1,394	2,846
Smelters, mines, and manufacturing industries.....	1,971	2,076	2,150	2,877	3,898	4,110	4,574	4,753	5,006	5,558	5,878	5,381	5,841	6,279	6,812
Heating oils.....	2,929	3,236	3,728	4,363	4,888	5,176	5,584	6,144	8,042	9,659	10,751	10,514	12,780	13,630	14,152
Other fuel oil sold as range oil.....	190	31	6	150	175	192	226	248	283	219	255	255	280	288	293
Oil company fuel.....	110	146	148	150	175	192	226	248	283	219	255	255	280	288	293
U. S. Navy, Army, and Coast Guard.....	76	46	94	308	2,147	1,937	1,462	1,702	735	645	250	148	221	923	* 1,080
Miscellaneous.....	439	438	713	782	797	733	819	1,084	1,201	1,336	1,372	1,594	1,602	1,613	2,034
Grand total (excluding No. 1 fuel oil sold as range oil).....	9,241	9,193	9,688	12,199	16,029	18,645	18,829	20,201	20,551	23,751	24,309	23,258	26,669	28,789	* 31,948
Percent of total															
Railroads.....	17.6	12.1	11.2	12.1	12.5	12.4	11.2	10.0	10.4	10.7	10.8	8.9	9.9	7.1	5.4
Vessels (including tankers).....	14.5	15.2	11.6	10.1	6.1	16.4	16.3	15.9	9.4	10.8	7.3	7.3	7.5	9.1	9.4
Gas and electric power plants.....	6.4	6.5	6.4	7.4	7.7	6.0	5.3	5.1	6.2	5.8	5.9	6.9	4.9	4.8	8.9
Smelters, mines, and manufacturing industries.....	21.3	22.6	22.2	23.6	23.8	22.0	24.3	23.6	24.4	23.4	24.3	23.1	21.9	21.8	21.3
Heating oils.....	31.7	35.2	38.4	35.8	30.2	27.8	29.6	30.4	39.1	40.1	44.4	45.2	47.9	47.4	44.3
Oil company fuel.....	1.3	1.6	1.0	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0
U. S. Navy, Army, and Coast Guard.....	0.8	0.5	1.0	3.2	13.4	10.4	7.8	8.4	3.6	2.7	1.6	1.6	1.8	3.2	3.4
Miscellaneous.....	6.4	5.3	7.5	6.5	5.2	4.0	4.3	5.4	5.8	5.6	5.7	6.9	6.0	5.6	6.4
Total.....	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Grades of oil, in thousand barrels															
Gas oil and distillate <sup>3</sup> .....	1,690	1,865	2,258	2,690	3,373	4,071	4,231	4,568	5,964	7,372	8,506	8,489	10,235	10,932	11,320
Diesel fuel.....	778	789	840	1,027	1,225	1,883	1,702	2,018	1,731	2,230	2,499	2,726	2,719	3,213	3,584
Naval grade.....	20	1	6	6	6	6	198	352	135	135	135	135	135	135	135
Residual fuel.....	6,753	6,538	6,561	8,482	11,431	12,691	12,698	18,263	12,701	14,149	13,304	12,043	13,715	14,644	17,044
Crude oil.....	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Total.....	9,241	9,193	9,688	12,199	16,029	18,645	18,829	20,201	20,551	23,751	24,309	23,258	26,669	28,789	* 31,948

<sup>1</sup> Survey of fuel-oil sales in the Pacific Coast marketing areas made by E. T. Knudsen, Petroleum Economics Division, Bureau of Mines, San Francisco, Calif. Figures for 1950-52 taken from Mineral Market Reports, M. M. S. 2003, 2091, and 2188.  
<sup>2</sup> Grand total differs slightly from total of individual uses.  
<sup>3</sup> Includes jet fuel.  
<sup>4</sup> Includes grade No. 1 fuel oil sold as range oil.  
<sup>5</sup> Designation changed to distillate fuel in 1940.

TABLE 19.—*Production of electric power for public use in Washington and fuel consumption, 1938-52*<sup>1</sup>

Year	Number of plants	Capacity, kw.				Production by type of plant, thousand kw.-hr.				Production by type of fuel, thousand kw.-hr.			Fuel consumption	
		Total plants <sup>2</sup>	Hydro	Steam	Internal combustion	Total	Hydro	Steam	Internal combustion	Wood	Coal	Oil	Coal, net tons	Fuel oil, barrels
1938	66	1,012,108	794,025	216,470	1,613	3,400,683	3,238,965	160,373	1,345	101,189	226	42,051	50	116,378
1939	67	1,002,495	785,427	215,320	1,748	3,642,610	3,420,262	221,140	1,208	164,925	13,512	28,882	10,071	79,102
1940	65	1,018,016	800,270	215,856	1,890	3,944,184	3,682,506	260,246	1,432	206,588	-----	38,972	69	105,192
1941	62	1,127,430	908,180	217,500	1,750	4,521,251	4,151,144	368,124	1,983	235,435	1,322	117,125	3,699	336,057
1942	63	1,342,530	1,136,635	203,870	2,025	6,494,920	6,092,014	401,011	1,895	207,445	10,240	177,740	8,785	533,076
1943	63	1,718,305	1,512,410	203,870	2,025	8,926,679	8,634,389	290,146	2,144	136,299	1,796	144,893	3,919	448,349
1944	62	1,823,725	1,619,380	202,320	2,025	10,311,897	10,105,091	204,517	2,289	107,208	512	100,110	31	319,357
1945	62	1,813,625	1,609,280	202,320	2,025	9,689,862	9,526,146	161,057	2,659	70,268	493	93,941	35	306,398
1946	60	1,738,025	1,533,680	202,320	2,025	9,038,842	8,862,066	173,527	3,249	34,919	2,397	139,460	4,626	429,551
1947	58	1,846,855	1,642,212	202,320	2,323	11,226,271	10,997,201	224,755	4,315	69,991	2,710	156,369	4,047	530,104
1948	55	2,076,944	1,872,736	200,820	3,388	13,881,239	13,609,304	267,585	4,350	82,615	16,507	172,813	10,741	572,224
1949	54	2,441,838	2,240,576	197,820	3,442	15,079,298	14,753,477	321,383	4,438	85,009	25,253	215,559	16,568	670,880
1950	53	2,765,298	2,564,036	197,820	3,442	17,370,986	17,245,481	120,382	5,123	-----	2,246	61,745	1,521	239,744
1951	54	3,104,062	2,902,536	197,820	3,706	19,914,303	19,754,721	154,037	5,545	-----	-----	99,602	-----	307,690
1952 <sup>3</sup>	54	-----	-----	-----	-----	19,471,605	18,830,480	<sup>4</sup> 641,125	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1,679,207

<sup>1</sup> Compiled from electric-power statistics; production of electric energy and capacity of generating plants; consumption of fuel for production of electric energy: Federal Power Commission, Washington, D. C.

<sup>2</sup> Privately and publicly owned.

<sup>3</sup> Preliminary figures.

<sup>4</sup> Includes internal-combustion figures.

## RELATIONSHIP OF MINE SAMPLES TO COMMERCIAL SHIPMENTS

By S. J. Aresco<sup>1</sup>

The proper sampling of coal is of primary importance to both the producer and consumer. Analyses of coal have no value if the samples were improperly collected or the purpose for which the samples were collected is not understood.

There are two types of samples in ordinary use: (1) Mine or face samples and (2) tippie or delivered samples. The method of sampling employed depends on the purpose of the investigation. Mine or face samples generally represent the quality of the seam of coal with impurities removed. These samples are collected primarily for the use of the mine operator and form a permanent record of the coal seam at the point sampled. Tippie or delivered samples represent the quality of coal that was shipped at the time of sampling. Analyses of these samples are used to determine whether or not the coal delivered agrees with contract specifications and, in the case of guaranteed contracts, forms the basis of payment.

The methods of collecting the two types of samples are briefly described below.

### METHOD OF COLLECTING MINE SAMPLES

Mine samples are collected according to the standard method adopted by the Bureau of Mines and the Geological Survey.<sup>2</sup>

The location of each sample is tentatively determined from a mine map, if available, before sampling because some of the samples should indicate the character of the deposit. The number of samples varies with the uniformity of the coal bed and output. No less than 3 samples are collected in an operating mine that produces up to 300 tons daily, and the number increases proportionally with increase in daily output.

In taking the sample the face of the seam is cleared of dirt and loose coal for a width of about 5 feet. In the center of this cleared area, a space 1 foot wide and at least 1 inch deep is cut to square up the face and get perfectly clean coal. A cut 2 inches deep and 6 inches wide or 3 inches deep and 4 inches wide in the softer coals, is made from the roof to the floor down the center of the foot-wide cut. The channel must be very uniform in depth and width, and at least

6 pounds of coal should be taken for each foot in thickness of the seam. Partings more than  $\frac{3}{8}$  inch thick and sulfur concretions or other impurities more than 2 inches in maximum diameter or  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch thick are excluded from the sample. The sample thus taken is caught on a sampling cloth spread on the floor, crushed to  $\frac{3}{16}$  inch or finer, thoroughly mixed, and reduced to approximately  $3\frac{1}{2}$  pounds by cone and quartering or by using riffle buckets. It is then placed in an airtight container and forwarded to the laboratory for analysis.

Owing to the exclusion of the impurities in the seam from roof to floor, the mine sample tends to represent the best quality that can be produced by any given mine. To the experienced buyer who knows the impurities in the seam and to what extent they are eliminated in mining and preparation, the mine sample may serve as a reliable guide in the purchase of coal. However, to the average purchaser, dependence on mine samples may be misleading.

### METHOD OF SAMPLING COAL FOR SHIPMENT OR DELIVERY

In sampling coal for shipment or delivery the samples are collected and prepared in accordance with definite procedures adopted by the Bureau of Mines and used by all Government agencies in the sampling of delivered coals.<sup>3</sup> The coal is sampled as it is loaded into or unloaded from conveyances. "Top sampling" of railroad cars and stockpiles is generally unreliable. The methods briefly outlined here must be strictly followed whether the shipment of coal to be sampled consists of a few tons or several hundred tons.

For coal over three-fourth inch in size a 1,000-pound gross sample is collected. For minus-three-fourth-inch coal a gross sample of not less than 500 pounds is required. Increments of approximately equal weight are collected by cutting the stream of coal at regular intervals, so that the entire shipment sampled will be properly represented in the sample. The gross sample should contain the same proportion of lump, fines, and impurities as is contained in the consignment sampled. The sample is systematically crushed, mixed, and

<sup>1</sup> Chief, Fuel Inspection Section, Bureau of Mines, Region V, Pittsburgh, Pa.

<sup>2</sup> Holmes, J. A., *The Sampling of Coal in the Mine*: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 1, 1918, 17 pp.

<sup>3</sup> Snyder, N. H., *Handbook on Coal Sampling*: Bureau of Mines, 1950, 10 pp.



reduced to convenient size for transmittal to the laboratory. It is then reduced by mechanical means or by hand, but great care must be taken not to lose or add impurities.

Since coal is a heterogeneous material, the result of one sample is only representative of the lot sampled. The average quality of a particular coal can be determined only by averaging the analyses of a number of samples over a period of time.

#### INTERPRETATION OF SAMPLING RESULTS

Mine or face samples represent the coal in place, generally with the impurities excluded. They should not be used as a basis for purchase unless the buyer is thoroughly familiar with the seam of coal and knows to what extent the impurities are eliminated in mining and preparation. Also, it must be remembered that the mine or face sample represents the seam in its entirety and that the component parts of the seam may vary greatly owing to the manner in which those containing high or low ash may break down in mining and preparation.

The sample of coal as shipped or delivered is

more useful to the consumer for evaluating bids and grade of coal delivered. The results of these samples, however, are variable and may change over a period of time owing to changing mining practices, adoption of different methods of coal preparation, mining of new seams, and market conditions.

Table 22 (p. 29) gives analyses of samples collected as shipped or delivered and provides valuable data for use in evaluating future purchases. These analyses indicate the quality of coal that a purchaser is likely to receive. The amount of coal represented, the number of analyses, and the year in which the samples were collected are of primary importance to those using these records. Coal analyses may lose their essential value within a short period owing to changes in mining and/or preparation methods.

In summation, the mine samples represent the quality of coal that can be obtained when the impurities are readily separated from the coal; the delivered or tippable samples represent the quality of coal that can be expected from commercial shipments.

# ANALYSES OF MINE, TIPPLE, AND DELIVERED SAMPLES

By R. F. Abernethy,<sup>1</sup> S. J. Aresco,<sup>2</sup> and F. E. Hartner<sup>3</sup>

## EXPLANATION OF TABLE OF ANALYSES

The analyses in table 22 are arranged alphabetically with respect to counties, towns, and mines and are grouped as follows:

1. Proximate analysis—moisture, volatile matter, fixed carbon, and ash.
2. Ultimate analysis—sulfur, hydrogen, carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, and ash.
3. Fusibility of ash, when such determinations were made.
4. Agglomerating index, when such tests were made.
5. Mineral-matter-free basis:
  - (a) Dry fixed carbon and B. t. u.
  - (b) Moist B. t. u.

Ultimate analyses and B. t. u. for mine and tippie samples are given for three conditions, as follows: (1) As-received, (2) moisture-free, and (3) moisture- and ash-free. Proximate analyses and B. t. u. for tippie and delivered samples are given for two conditions—as-received and moisture-free.

The as-received condition represents the sample as received at the laboratory and for mine samples approximates closely the condition of the coal in the mine; the moisture-free condition represents the composition and heating value of the dry coal; the moisture- and ash-free condition approximates the composition and heating value of the dry combustible matter.

The analyses are given to the nearest 0.1 percent and the B. t. u. to the nearest 10, although the laboratory determinations are recorded to the nearest 0.01 percent and the nearest B. t. u.

## CLASSIFICATION OF COAL BY RANK

All mine samples of fresh coal have been classified by rank, that is, according to their degree of metamorphism or progressive alteration in the natural series from lignite to anthracite. This classification conforms to the Standard Specifications for Classification of Coals by Rank (D388-38) of the American Society for Testing Materials.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Chief, Analysis Section, Bureau of Mines, Region V, Pittsburgh, Pa.

<sup>2</sup> Chief, Fuel Inspection Section, Bureau of Mines, Region V, Pittsburgh, Pa.

<sup>3</sup> Clerk, Analysis Section, Bureau of Mines, Region V, Pittsburgh, Pa.

<sup>4</sup> American Society for Testing Materials, Standard Specifications for Classification of Coals by Rank (ASTM Designation: D388-38, ASA M20.1-1938): ASTM Standards, 1952, pt. 5, pp. 872-877.

A coal containing 69 percent or more dry, mineral-matter-free fixed carbon is classified according to fixed carbon, but one containing less than 69 percent is classified according to moist, mineral-matter-free B. t. u. Weathering and agglomerating properties are used to differentiate between certain adjacent groups Table 20 shows the various divisions.

The method of calculating to the mineral-matter-free basis follows:

Parr<sup>5</sup> formulas:

$$\text{Dry, mm-free FC} = \frac{\text{FC} - 0.15\text{S}}{100 - (\text{M} + 1.08\text{A} + 0.55\text{S})} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

Moist, mm-free B. t. u. =

$$\frac{\text{B. t. u.} - 50\text{S}}{100 - (1.08\text{A} + 0.55\text{S})} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

where:

Mm—mineral matter,  
B. t. u.—British thermal units,  
FC—percentage of fixed carbon,  
M—percentage of moisture,  
A—percentage of ash,  
S—percentage of sulfur.

Moist refers to coal containing its natural bed moisture but not including visible water on the surface of the coal.

The as-received analysis, or the analysis of the coal as it is in the coal bed, is used in these formulas.

An information circular<sup>6</sup> on the use of formulas and curves for convenient determination of the classification of coals has been issued by the Bureau of Mines.

## AGGLOMERATING INDEX

The agglomerating index is a rough indication of the caking properties of coal. It is determined by visual and physical examination of the residue from the standard volatile-matter determination. All coals analyzed since November 15, 1934 (laboratory No. B300), have been classified according to agglomerating properties in accordance with table 21.

Column 7 of table 22 gives the agglomerating index for tippie and mine samples.

<sup>5</sup> Parr, S. W., The Classification of Coal: Illinois Eng. Exp. Sta. Bull. 180, 1928, 62 pp.

<sup>6</sup> Barkley, J. F., and Burdick, L. R., Curves for the Classification of Coal: Bureau of Mines Inf. Circ. 6933, 1937, 6 pp.

TABLE 20.—*Classification of coals by rank*<sup>1</sup>

[Legend: FC—fixed carbon. VM—volatile matter. B. t. u.—British thermal units.]

Class	Group	Limits of fixed carbon or B. t. u., mineral-matter-free basis	Requisite physical properties
I. Anthracitic-----	1. Meta-anthracite-----	Dry FC, 98 percent or more (dry VM, 2 percent or less).	Nonagglomerating. <sup>2</sup>
	2. Anthracite-----	Dry FC, 92 percent or more and less than 98 percent (dry VM, 8 percent or less and more than 2 percent).	
	3. Semianthracite-----	Dry FC, 86 percent or more and less than 92 percent (dry VM, 14 percent or less and more than 8 percent).	
II. Bituminous <sup>3</sup> -----	1. Low-volatile bituminous coal.	Dry FC, 78 percent or more and less than 86 percent (dry VM, 22 percent or less and more than 14 percent).	Either agglomerating or nonweathering. <sup>6</sup> Both weathering and nonagglomerating.
	2. Medium-volatile bituminous coal.	Dry FC, 69 percent or more and less than 78 percent (dry VM, 31 percent or less and more than 22 percent).	
	3. High-volatile A bituminous coal.	Dry FC, less than 69 percent (dry VM, more than 31 percent); and moist <sup>4</sup> B. t. u., 14,000 <sup>5</sup> or more.	
	4. High-volatile B bituminous coal.	Moist <sup>4</sup> B. t. u., 13,000 or more and less than 14,000. <sup>5</sup>	
	5. High-volatile C bituminous coal.	Moist B. t. u., 11,000 or more and less than 13,000. <sup>5</sup>	
III. Subbituminous-----	1. Subbituminous A coal.	Moist B. t. u., 11,000 or more and less than 13,000. <sup>5</sup>	Consolidated. Unconsolidated.
	2. Subbituminous B coal.	Moist B. t. u., 9,500 or more and less than 11,000. <sup>5</sup>	
	3. Subbituminous C coal.	Moist B. t. u., 8,300 or more and less than 9,500. <sup>5</sup>	
IV. Lignitic-----	1. Lignite-----	Moist B. t. u., less than 8,300-----	Consolidated. Unconsolidated.
	2. Brown coal-----	Moist B. t. u., less than 8,300-----	

<sup>1</sup> This classification does not include a few coals that have unusual physical and chemical properties and that come within the limits of fixed carbon or B. t. u. of the high-volatile bituminous and subbituminous ranks. All of these coals either contain less than 48 percent dry, mineral-matter-free fixed carbon or have more than 15,500 moist, mineral-matter-free B. t. u.

<sup>2</sup> If agglomerating, the coal is classified in low-volatile group of bituminous class.

<sup>3</sup> It is recognized that there may be noncaking varieties in each group of the bituminous class.

<sup>4</sup> Moist B. t. u. refers to coal containing its natural bed moisture but not including visible water on the surface of the coal.

<sup>5</sup> Coals containing 69 percent or more fixed carbon on the dry, mineral-matter-free basis shall be classified according to fixed carbon, regardless of B. t. u.

<sup>6</sup> There are three varieties of coal in the high-volatile C bituminous-coal group, namely: (1) Agglomerating and nonweathering; (2) agglomerating and weathering; (3) nonagglomerating and nonweathering.

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

The analyses in tables 22 and 23 supplement those published in Bureau of Mines Technical Papers 491<sup>7</sup> and 618<sup>8</sup> and are published here for the first time. The mine and tippie samples were taken by engineers of the Bureau of Mines and geologists of the Federal Geological Survey. The delivered samples were collected systematically from deliveries at Government installations by representatives of the Government departments under direction supplied by the Bureau of Mines.

<sup>7</sup> Ash, S. H., Yancey, H. F., Kiessling, O. E., Daniels, Joseph, Snyder, N. H., Plein, L. N., Fieldner, A. C., Cooper, H. M., and Osgood, F. D., Analyses of Washington Coals: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 491, 1931, 203 pp.

<sup>8</sup> Yancey, H. F., Geer, M. R., Daniels, Joseph, Snyder, N. H., Swingle, R. J., Cooper, H. M., and Abernethy, R. F., Analyses of Washington Coals, Supplement to Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 491: Tech. Paper 618, 1941, 81 pp.

The analyses were made by the Bureau of Mines in accordance with procedures given in Bulletin 492.<sup>9</sup>

## FUSIBILITY OF ASH

Three critical temperatures are observed in the process of melting the test cone in the fusibility-of-ash determination. The first (initial deformation temperature) is defined as the temperature at which the apex of the cone begins to round or melt; it is lower than the second critical point (softening temperature). The softening temperature is that temperature at which the cone has fused down to a spherical lump; it is lower than the fluid temperature, the third critical point. The fluid temperature

<sup>9</sup> Fieldner, A. C., and Selvig, W. A., Methods of Analyzing Coal and Coke: Bureau of Mines Bull. 492, 1951, 51 pp.

TABLE 21.—*Agglomerating properties of coals based upon examination of residue incident to the volatile-matter determination*<sup>1</sup>

Designation		Appearance of residue from standard method for determination of volatile matter in coal
Class	Group	
Nonagglomerating <sup>2</sup> —button shows no swelling or cell structure and will not support a 500-gram weight without pulverizing.	NA (nonagglomerate)-----	{ N Aa—Noncoherent residue. N Ab—Coke button shows no swelling or cell structure and after careful removal from the crucible will pulverize under a weight of 500 grams carefully lowered on button.
Agglomerating <sup>2</sup> —button shows swelling or cell structure or will support a 500-gram weight without pulverizing.		
	C (caking)—button shows swelling or cell structure.	{ Cp (poor caking)—button shows slight swelling with small cells; has slight gray luster. Cf (fair caking)—button shows medium swelling and good cell structure; has characteristic metallic luster. Cg (good caking)—button shows strong swelling and pronounced cell structure, with numerous large cells and cavities; has characteristic metallic luster.

<sup>1</sup> Based upon Agglomerating and Agglutinating Tests for Classifying Weakly Caking Coals, by R. E. Gilmore, G. P. Connel, and J. H. H. Nicolls: Trans. AIME, Coal Div., vol. 108, 1934, pp. 255-265.

<sup>2</sup> Agglomerating index—coals which in the volatile-matter determination produce either an agglomerate button that will support a 500-gram weight without pulverizing or a button showing swelling or cell structure shall be classified as agglomerating.

is that temperature at which the molten mass spreads out into a flat layer over the refractory base holding the cone. These temperatures are determined by prescribed methods in a test furnace in which the cones are surrounded by a slightly reducing atmosphere.

The most significant of these three temperatures is the second, or softening temperature, and it is more easily reproduced.

The values obtained on individual samples are given in tables 22 and 23, columns 18, 19, and 20.

#### EXPLANATION OF SYMBOLS USED IN TABLE OF ANALYSES

Rank (column 3, table 22):

Lvb—low-volatile bituminous.  
 Mvb—medium-volatile bituminous.  
 Hvab—high-volatile A bituminous.  
 Hvbb—high-volatile B bituminous.  
 Hvcb—high-volatile C bituminous.  
 Suba—subbituminous A.

Subb—subbituminous B.  
 Subc—subbituminous C.  
 Lig—lignite.

Size or other description (column 4, table 22):

AC—air cleaned.  
 W—washed.

Agglomerating index (column 7, table 22):

N Aa—nonagglomerate, noncoherent residue.  
 N Ab—nonagglomerate, coherent residue.  
 Aw—weak agglomerate.  
 Af—firm agglomerate.  
 Cp—poor caking.  
 Cf—fair caking.  
 Cg—good caking.

Formulas for calculating dry, mineral-matter-free fixed carbon and moist, mineral-matter-free B. t. u. are given on p. 26. The formula for calculating dry, mineral-matter-free B. t. u. follows:

Dry, mineral-matter-free B. t. u. =

$$\frac{\text{B. t. u.} - 50 \text{ sulfur}}{100 - (\text{moisture} + 1.08 \text{ ash} + 0.55 \text{ sulfur})} \times 100$$

TABLE 22.—Analyses of mine, tippie, and delivered samples

County, town, and mine	Bed	Rank <sup>1</sup>	Size or other description <sup>1</sup>	Kind of sample <sup>2</sup>	Condition <sup>3</sup>	Agglomerating Index <sup>1</sup>	Proximate, percent			Ultimate, percent				Calorific value, B. t. u.	Fusibility of ash			Mineral-matter-free basis <sup>1</sup>			Laboratory No. or Index No.	Reference, page in this report			
							Moisture	Volatile matter	Fixed carbon	Ash	Sulfur	Hydrogen	Carbon		Nitrogen	Oxygen	Initial deformation temperature, °F.	Softening temperature, °F.	Fluid temperature, °F.	Fixed carbon, dry basis,			B. t. u., dry basis	Calorific value	B. t. u., moist
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
KING COUNTY																									
Black Diamond:																									
Franklin.....	McKay	Hvbb	.....	M	1	Cp	5.3	43.8	46.5	4.4	0.6	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,070	2,280	2,320	2,630	51.8	14,550	13,740	C21218	48	
Do.....	do	Hvbb	.....	M	1	Cp	6.3	41.1	44.1	8.5	0.5	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,210	2,440	2,500	2,860	52.2	14,460	13,440	C21219		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	.....	M	1	Cp	6.8	41.2	43.4	8.6	0.5	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,160	2,720	2,800	2,860	51.8	14,510	13,420	C21220		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	.....	M	1	Cp	6.3	41.6	44.9	7.2	0.6	5.9	98.9	1.9	15.5	12,470	2,500	2,800	2,860	52.3	14,530	13,540	C21221		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	Composite of C21218 to C21220.	M	2	Cp	44.4	47.9	7.7	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	3-inch lump.....	T	3	Cp	48.1	151.9	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	3-inch lump.....	T	2	Cp	5.3	42.6	47.9	4.2	0.5	6.1	72.8	1.9	14.5	13,090	2,190	2,260	2,520	53.2	14,540	13,730	B99032	72	
Do.....	do	Hvbb	3-inch lump.....	T	2	Cp	47.1	152.9	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	3-by 1-inch (W).....	T	1	Af	8.3	39.3	42.0	10.4	0.4	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,650	2,810	2,860	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	B99034		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	1-by 3/8-inch (W).....	T	1	Af	11.2	38.4	42.1	8.3	0.5	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,560	2,810	2,870	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	B99055		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	3-inch by 0.....	T	2	Af	43.3	47.3	9.4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,020	2,790	2,840	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	B99053		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	3-inch by 0.....	T	2	Af	7.1	36.4	39.7	16.8	0.6	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,750	2,690	2,750	2,910	.....	.....	.....	B63744		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	1-inch by 0.....	T	1	Af	11.2	37.6	39.8	11.4	0.7	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,970	2,690	2,790	2,910	.....	.....	.....	B99036		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	3/8-inch by 0.....	T	1	Af	28.8	29.5	34.0	7.7	0.5	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,360	2,760	2,820	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	B99036		
Franklin Gem.....	Gem	Hvcb	.....	M	1	Aw	41.5	47.7	10.8	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,750	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	C21380	48	
Do.....	do	Hvcb	.....	M	2	Aw	9.6	38.0	41.9	10.5	0.8	5.7	61.8	1.8	19.4	11,070	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	C21380		
Do.....	do	Hvcb	.....	M	1	Aw	42.0	46.4	11.6	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,240	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	C21380		
Do.....	do	Hvcb	.....	M	3	NAb	47.6	52.4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	13,850	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	B98791	72	
Do.....	do	Hvcb	1-inch lump.....	T	1	NAb	10.9	34.2	44.5	10.4	0.6	5.8	60.9	1.7	20.6	10,940	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	B98791		
Do.....	do	Hvcb	.....	T	2	NAb	38.4	49.9	11.7	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,084	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	B98791		
Do.....	do	Hvcb	.....	T	3	NAb	45.5	55.5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,774	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	B98792		
Do.....	do	Hvcb	1-inch by 0.....	T	2	NAb	12.4	33.0	43.6	11.0	0.7	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,530	2,860	2,890+	.....	.....	.....	.....	B98792		
Franklin No. 10.....	Franklin No. 10, upper bench.	Hvbb	.....	M	2	Cp	37.6	39.9	12.5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	12,020	2,760	2,860	2,890	54.3	14,620	13,300	D7808	49	
Do.....	do	Hvbb	.....	M	1	Cp	7.4	35.7	40.7	16.2	0.8	5.5	60.9	1.4	15.5	10,960	2,760	2,860	2,890	54.3	14,620	13,300	D7808		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	.....	M	2	Cp	38.5	44.0	17.5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,830	2,760	2,860	2,890	54.3	14,620	13,300	D7808		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	.....	M	3	Cp	46.7	53.3	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,714	2,620	2,680	2,780	54.8	14,630	13,620	D7809		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	.....	M	1	Cp	5.4	34.8	40.0	19.8	0.8	1.1	5.2	69.2	1.2	13.5	10,680	2,620	2,680	2,780	54.8	14,630	13,620	D7809	
Do.....	do	Hvbb	.....	M	2	Cp	36.8	42.3	20.9	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,280	2,690	2,780	2,880	54.8	14,630	13,620	D7809		
Gem No. 1.....	Gem No. 1	Hvcb or Suba	.....	M	3	NAa	46.5	53.5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,714	2,690	2,810	2,880	52.4	13,690	11,200	B62407	49	
Do.....	do	Hvcb or Suba	.....	M	2	NAa	14.8	33.2	34.9	17.1	0.3	6.5	61.8	1.6	23.6	9,130	2,690	2,810	2,880	52.4	13,690	11,200	B62407		
Do.....	do	Hvcb or Suba	.....	M	1	NAa	38.9	41.1	20.0	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,710	2,890	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	.....	C21382	49	
Do.....	do	Hvcb or Suba	.....	M	3	NAa	48.6	51.4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,400	2,890	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	.....	C21382		
Gem No. 2.....	Gem	Hvcb or Suba	.....	M	3	NAa	12.1	34.1	37.5	16.3	0.5	5.8	64.6	1.8	21.4	9,700	2,890	2,910+	.....	.....	.....	.....	C21382		
Do.....	do	Hvcb or Suba	.....	M	2	NAa	38.8	42.7	18.5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,120	2,490	2,540	2,730	52.0	14,540	13,550	C21223	50	
Do.....	do	Hvcb or Suba	.....	M	3	Cp	47.6	52.4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,030	2,490	2,540	2,730	52.0	14,540	13,550	C21223		
Hi Heat.....	Franklin No. 10, upper bench.	Hvbb	.....	M	2	Cp	5.5	37.9	38.4	17.2	0.5	5.0	65.3	1.6	9.4	11,670	2,490	2,540	2,730	52.0	14,540	13,550	C21223		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	.....	M	1	Cp	40.1	41.7	18.2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,410	2,490	2,540	2,730	52.0	14,540	13,550	C21223		
Do.....	do	Hvbb	.....	M	3	Cp	49.0	51.0	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	11,514	2,490	2,540	2,730	52.0	14,540	13,550	C21223		

<sup>1</sup> See Explanation of Symbols (p. 28).  
<sup>2</sup> M, mine sample; T, tippie sample; D, delivered coal.  
<sup>3</sup> The bold-faced figure indicates the number of deliveries averaged.

<sup>4</sup> 1. Sample as received; 2. dried at 105° C.; 3. moisture- and ash-free.  
<sup>5</sup> Volatile matter by modified method.

TABLE 22.—Analyses of mine, tippie, and delivered samples—Continued

County, town, and mine	Bed	Rank 1	Size or other description 1	Kind of sample 2	Condition 3	Agglomerating index 1	Proximate, percent			Ultimate, percent				Fusibility of ash			Mineral-matter-free basis 1			Laboratory No. or index No.	Reference, page in this report				
							Moisture	Volatle matter	Fixed carbon	Ash	Sulfur	Hydrogen	Carbon	Nitrogen	Oxygen	Calorific value, B. t. u.	Initial deformation temperature, °F.	Softening temperature, °F.	Fluid temperature, °F.			Fixed carbon, dry basis, percent	B. t. u., dry basis	B. t. u., moist basis	Calorific value
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
KING COUNTY—Con.																									
Black Diamond—Con.	Franklin No. 10, lower bench.	Hvbb		M	2	Cf	6.1	39.7	42.4	11.8	0.4	5.8	63.9	1.4	16.7	12,030	2,380	2,470	2,580	52.3	14,840	13,800	C21224	50	
Do	Franklin No. 10, upper and lower benches.	Hvbb	Composite of C21223 and C21224.	M	1	Cf	48.4	51.6	14.5	0.5	5.2	63.8	1.7	12.8	11,590	2,380	2,470	2,580	52.3	14,680	13,680	C21225			
J. & P.	Kummer No. 1	Hvbb or Suba		M	2	Naa	14.3	34.2	34.6	12.5	0.5	5.7	70.2	1.8	19.1	12,270	2,660	2,750	2,790	54.1	13,500	11,260	C21222	50	
Kummer	Kummer No. 4	Suba		M	2	Naa	18.7	32.7	32.9	15.7	0.4	5.8	49.0	1.8	17.0	13,310	2,370	2,450	2,680	51.2	13,300	10,360	C40881	50	
Landsburg			1½- by ¾-inch.	D	2		11.3	36.6	43.1	6.6	0.6	5.6	74.7	1.6	17.2	13,190	2,860	2,860	2,860				1	72	
Do			1½-inch by 0	D	7		11.0	36.8	43.0	6.8	0.6	5.6	74.7	1.6	17.2	13,190	2,860	2,860	2,860				2		
Do			.do	D	2		10.5	36.6	43.3	10.2	0.7	5.6	74.7	1.6	17.2	13,190	2,860	2,860	2,860				3		
Do			.do	D	2		10.0	37.1	42.5	10.4	0.7	5.6	74.7	1.6	17.2	13,190	2,860	2,860	2,860				4		
Palmer Nos. 10 and 12			4- by 1¼-inch (W)	L	2	At	5.8	39.7	41.7	12.8	0.6	5.6	74.7	1.6	17.2	13,190	2,860	2,860	2,860				D21490	72	
Do	.do		3½- by 1¼-inch	D	2		6.7	38.0	41.0	13.3	0.6	5.6	74.7	1.6	17.2	13,190	2,860	2,860	2,860				5		
Do	.do		1½- by ¾-inch (W)	L	2	At	7.6	38.0	42.6	11.8	0.7	5.6	74.7	1.6	17.2	13,190	2,860	2,860	2,860				D90362		
Do	.do		1½- by ¾-inch (W)	T	3		4.1	46.1	42.8	8.8	0.7	5.6	74.7	1.6	17.2	13,190	2,860	2,860	2,860				6		
Do	.do		1½- by ¾-inch (W)	T	2	Cp	7.1	37.9	43.9	11.1	0.6	5.6	74.7	1.6	17.2	13,190	2,860	2,860	2,860				D21491		
Cumberland: Durham	Durham No. 2	Hvab		M	2	Cg	3.4	31.4	47.8	17.4	0.9	5.0	65.4	1.0	10.3	11,500	2,560	2,620	2,730	61.7	14,930	14,300	C22079	51	
Hyde	McKay	Hvbb		M	3	Cf	32.4	49.5	18.1	1.9	4.7	67.6	1.1	7.6	11,990	2,910+	2,910+	2,910+	51.8	14,690	13,630	C21558	51		
National	No. 1		Run-of-mine	T	2	Cf	6.1	39.4	40.9	13.6	0.7	5.4	68.0	1.7	9.7	12,310	2,580	2,680	2,780	56.4	14,900	13,510	B99848	72	
Olson No. 1			1½-inch by 0	D	1		3.7	41.6	42.1	12.6	0.8	6.1	81.1	1.5	10.6	14,600	2,880	2,880	2,880				6	72	
Enumclaw: Green River	Kummer No. 4 (?)	Hvbb or Suba		M	2	Naa	14.6	30.0	37.1	18.3	0.3	5.3	51.4	1.8	23.9	8,980	2,380	2,530	2,760	56.7	13,690	11,200	C21381	52	







ANALYSES OF MINE, TIPPLE, AND DELIVERED SAMPLES

Sample No.	Sample Description	Sample Type	Weight (W)	Moisture (%)	Volatiles (%)	Fixed Carbon (%)	Calorific Value (Btu/lb)	Analysis No.	
Do.	do.	T	12.38	14.0	9.5	6.5	5,980.3	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	43.5	45.7	10.8	6.5	5,288.7	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	48.7	51.3	6.6	7.3	5,877.0	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	11.0	39.4	43.0	6.6	6,064.4	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	44.3	48.3	7.4	4.4	5,372.3	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	47.8	52.2	10.9	5.5	5,878.1	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	9.0	39.9	41.2	9.9	6,192.5	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	43.9	45.2	10.9	6.5	5,288.7	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	49.2	50.8	5.3	4.4	6,064.4	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	45.9	54.1	6.0	4.4	5,372.3	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	10.2	30.0	47.0	3.8	6,267.4	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	43.5	52.3	4.2	6.6	5,777.4	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	45.4	54.6	4.3	7.7	5,978.4	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	43.9	51.4	4.7	7.5	5,774.1	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	46.0	54.0	4.7	8.5	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	9.1	37.9	48.5	4.5	7.7	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	41.7	53.4	4.9	7.7	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	10.2	38.6	46.4	4.8	6.2	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	42.9	51.7	5.4	7.7	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	10.6	37.0	47.3	5.1	6.2	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	41.4	52.9	5.7	7.7	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	9.3	39.1	46.7	4.9	5.5	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	43.1	51.5	5.4	6.6	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	7.9	42.0	46.1	4.0	8.9	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	45.6	50.1	4.3	9.0	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	8.9	40.9	45.4	4.8	6.6	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	44.9	49.8	5.3	7.7	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	11.0	37.7	46.3	5.0	6.6	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	42.4	52.0	5.6	6.6	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	12.1	38.7	44.6	4.6	6.6	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	44.0	50.8	5.2	7.7	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	12.3	36.1	46.9	4.7	5.5	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	10.6	39.0	45.0	5.4	6.6	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	43.7	50.2	6.1	6.6	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	10.5	39.4	45.4	4.7	6.6	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	12.5	38.4	43.3	5.8	6.6	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	43.9	49.5	6.6	7.7	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	11.1	39.8	42.8	6.3	8.8	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	44.8	48.1	7.1	9.9	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	12.8	36.0	41.0	10.2	7.7	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	41.3	47.0	11.7	8.8	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	10.9	36.3	48.0	4.8	5.5	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	15.0	35.0	45.2	4.8	6.6	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	41.1	53.3	5.6	5.5	5,977.8	D90863	
Do.	do.	M	11.7	39.6	43.4	5.3	4.4	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	13.6	37.3	43.7	5.4	4.4	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	6.8	38.0	39.4	15.8	1.0	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	40.7	42.4	16.9	1.1	7.7	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	9.9	36.1	39.9	14.1	8.8	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	40.1	44.2	15.7	9.9	8.8	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	8.7	38.0	40.4	12.9	9.9	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	41.7	44.2	14.1	9.9	8.8	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	8.0	37.4	40.3	14.3	1.1	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	40.7	43.8	15.5	1.2	1.2	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	8.4	38.3	42.2	11.1	5.5	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	41.8	46.1	12.1	5.5	5.5	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	9.0	36.4	39.5	15.1	9.9	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	40.0	43.4	16.6	1.0	1.0	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	8.9	37.1	39.2	14.8	9.9	5,977.8	D90863
Do.	do.	M	40.7	43.1	16.2	1.0	1.0	5,977.8	D90863

1 Sample as received; 2 dried at 105° C.; 3, moisture- and ash-free.  
 4 Volatile matter by modified method.

1 See Explanation of Symbols (p. 28).  
 2 M, mine sample; T, tippile sample; D, delivered coal.  
 3 The bold-faced figure indicates the number of deliveries averaged.

TABLE 22.—Analyses of mine, tippie, and delivered samples—Continued

County, town, and mine	Bed	Rank 1	Size or other description 1	Kind of sample 2	Condition 4	Agglomerating Index 1	Proximate, percent			Ultimate, percent					Fusibility of ash			Mineral-matter-free basis 1			Laboratory No. or Index No.	Reference, page in this report			
							Moisture	Volatle matter	Ash	Sulfur	Hydrogen	Carbon	Nitrogen	Oxygen	Calorific value, B. t. u.	Initial deformation temperature, °F.	Softening temperature, °F.	Fluid temperature, °F.	Fixed carbon, dry basis,	B. t. u., dry basis			Calorific value	B. t. u., moist	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
KING COUNTY—Con.																									
Renton:																									
Coal Creek prospect	No. 3½	Hvcb or Suba		M	1	NAa	16.3	32.0	38.9	12.8	1.1	5.8	64.0	1.3	25.0	9,580	2,180	2,420	2,470	55.9	13,610	11,010	D65685	55	
Ivan Jones prospect		Hvcb or Suba		M	2	NAb	45.1	64.9	42.4	6.0	1.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
New Black Diamond	Jones	Suba	6- by 1-inch	D	3		48.9	61.1	47.7	6.7	8.0	3.7	73.0	2.1	11.7	13,840	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	3- by 1-inch	D	2		39.3	46.0	52.0	5.2	4.4	6.1	78.2	2.2	12.7	14,080	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾- by ¾-inch	M	1		43.4	60.8	50.8	5.8	4.4	6.1	78.2	2.2	12.7	14,080	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾- by ¾-inch	M	2		40.7	61.4	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Newcastle	do.	Suba	Run-of-mine	T	1	NAa	11.6	37.5	41.3	9.6	7.7	6.0	61.3	1.4	21.0	11,970	2,780	2,800	2,870	53.1	14,080	12,250	D18546	56	
Do	Bagley, 66% percent; May Creek, 33% percent	Suba	Run-of-mine	T	2	NAa	15.6	30.2	34.8	19.4	5.0	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	1	NAa	13.2	34.0	37.2	15.6	3.0	6.0	61.3	1.4	21.0	11,970	2,780	2,800	2,870	53.3	13,890	11,680	B96403	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	39.2	52.9	47.9	9.9	4.4	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	14.4	35.0	38.1	17.0	4.4	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	40.9	52.1	47.0	12.5	4.4	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	39.6	53.2	48.2	15.2	4.4	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	31.9	49.9	42.1	12.1	5.0	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	38.6	53.2	48.2	15.2	4.4	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	Bagley and May Creek	Suba	¾-inch lump	D	3		12.1	36.0	38.3	18.0	5.0	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	D	2		11.0	35.2	38.5	18.0	5.0	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	D	2		11.3	35.9	38.3	18.0	5.0	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	D	2		14.6	34.2	47.9	12.8	5.0	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	D	2		12.9	34.8	44.3	13.7	5.0	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	D	2		10.3	35.9	42.3	13.5	5.0	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	D	2		12.3	35.7	40.8	11.3	5.0	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Newcastle (Coal Creek).	Upper Bagley, 20 percent; Lower Bagley, 60 percent; Mardoon, 20 percent	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	1	NAa	40.7	61.4	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B61223	74	
Do	Upper Bagley, 50 percent; Lower Bagley, 30 percent; Mardoon, 20 percent	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	35.7	51.3	41.3	9.6	4.4	6.0	77.8	1.8	13.6	12,930	2,360	2,500	2,760	54.9	13,760	11,040	B96407	74	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372	56	
Do	do.	Suba	¾-inch lump	T	2	NAa	41.4	61.8	47.7	6.7	5.0	3.7	70.1	1.8	14.8	13,520	2,310	2,480	2,680	51.6	14,180	12,400	B78372		

Sample	Run-of-mine	Moisture	Volatiles	Fixed Carbon	Calorific Value	Analysis	Notes
Do. Bagley	Run-of-mine	8.840	10.130	82.030	11,500	8,840	11,500
Do. do.	3 1/2-inch lump	2.710	10.400	85.813	11,780	2,710	11,780
Do. do.	6- by 1-inch	2.790	10.400	85.813	11,780	2,790	11,780
Do. do.	3 1/2- by 1 1/4-inch	2.710	10.400	85.813	11,780	2,710	11,780
Do. do.	do.	2.790	10.400	85.813	11,780	2,790	11,780
Do. do.	3 1/2- by 1-inch (W)	2.910	11.480	86.520	11,980	2,910	11,980
Do. do.	1- by 1/2-inch (W)	2.850	11.700	86.113	11,900	2,850	11,900
Do. do.	1/4-inch by 0 (W)	2.740	11.680	86.112	11,700	2,740	11,700
Do. do.	5/8- by 3/8-inch	2.850	11.780	86.147	11,780	2,850	11,780
Do. do.	Run-of-mine	2.420	12.600	85.213	11,550	2,420	11,550
Do. do.	3-inch lump	2.730	9.710	88.213	11,470	2,730	11,470
Do. do.	3- by 1-inch (W)	2.520	11.430	86.462	11,430	2,520	11,430
Do. do.	1- by 1/2-inch (W)	2.730	11.617	86.477	11,450	2,730	11,450
Do. do.	1/8-inch by 0 (W)	2.480	13.390	85.775	11,390	2,480	11,390
Do. do.	do.	2.770	10.830	85.956	11,450	2,770	11,450
Do. do.	Springbrook, middle bench	2.640	10.150	88.213	11,960	2,640	11,960
Do. do.	Springbrook, upper bench	2.450	11.480	86.532	11,550	2,450	11,550
Do. do.	do.	2.800	10.230	88.146	11,450	2,800	11,450
Do. do.	do.	2.760	11.920	86.437	11,512	2,760	11,512
Do. do.	do.	2.470	11.910	85.541	11,590	2,470	11,590
Do. do.	do.	2.340	11.620	85.946	11,420	2,340	11,420
Do. do.	do.	2.720	11.620	85.946	11,420	2,720	11,420
Do. do.	do.	2.670	10.970	86.711	11,490	2,670	11,490
Do. do.	do.	2.910	10.830	86.182	11,950	2,910	11,950
Do. do.	do.	2.740	11.320	86.541	11,700	2,740	11,700
Do. do.	do.	2.910	11.990	86.443	11,840	2,910	11,840
Do. do.	do.	2.910	12.470	86.453	12,110	2,910	12,110
Do. do.	do.	2.850	12.510	86.453	12,110	2,850	12,110
Do. do.	do.	2.660	12.170	86.826	11,930	2,660	11,930
Do. do.	do.	2.430	12.900	85.748	11,650	2,430	11,650
Do. do.	do.	2.660	12.790	85.941	11,910	2,660	11,910
Do. do.	do.	2.440	11.280	85.842	11,280	2,440	11,280
Do. do.	do.	2.560	11.540	86.945	11,640	2,560	11,640
Do. do.	do.	2.420	11.880	86.945	11,640	2,420	11,640

1. Sample as received; 2. dried at 106° C.; 3. moisture- and ash-free.  
 \* Volatile matter by modified method.

1 See Explanation of Symbols (p. 28).  
 2 M, mine sample; T, tipples sample; D, delivered coal.  
 3 The bold-faced figure indicates the number of deliveries averaged.

KITTITAS COUNTY

Ronald: Jonesville No. 4.

Ronald No. 2.

Ronald No. 4.

TABLE 22.—Analyses of mine, tippie, and delivered samples—Continued

County, town, and mine	Bed	Rank 1	Size or other description 1	Kind of sample 2	Condition 4	Agglomerating Index 1	Proximate, percent				Ultimate, percent					Fusibility of ash			Mineral-matter-free basis 1			Reference, page in this report				
							Moisture	Volatile matter	Fixed carbon	Ash	Sulfur	Hydrogen	Carbon	Nitrogen	Oxygen	Calorific value, B. t. u.	Initial deformation temperature, °F.	Softening temperature, °F.	Fluid temperature, °F.	Fixed carbon, dry basis, percent	B. t. u., dry basis		Calorific value	B. t. u., moist basis		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
KITITAS COUNTY—Continued																										
Ronald—Continued	Ronald No. 4...																									
Do	Rosslyn No. 6...		3- by 1-inch (W)...	T	1	Cr	3.6	36.1	46.2	14.1	0.5					12,310	2,420	2,530	2,710				B63151	75		
Do	do		3- by 1-inch...	D	2		37.4	48.0	14.6	1.5	5					12,760							43			
Do	do		do	B	2		4.0	36.6	44.0	15.4	4					11,910							44			
Do	do		do	D	2		3.9	35.3	43.1	17.7	4					11,500							B97623			
Do	do		1½- by ¾-inch (W)	T	2	Cr	3.6	7.4	4.9	18.4	4					11,970	2,600	2,670	2,800							
Do	do		1½- by ¾-inch...	D	2		38.2	47.2	14.6	4	4					12,730		2,910+					45			
Do	do		¾- by ¼-inch...	T	2	Cr	2.6	36.0	45.9	15.5	5	5.7	68.1	1.5	11.8	12,340	2,600	2,690	2,860				B97624			
Do	do		do	D	2		37.0	47.1	15.9	5	5	3.4	71.9	1.6	7.5	13,010										
Do	do		do	T	2		38.4	48.5	13.1	5	6.2	82.8	1.8	8.7	14,980								46			
Do	do		do	D	1		44.3	55.7		4	4					11,890							47			
Do	do		do	D	2		7.0	36.2	46.3	10.5	4					12,790		2,780								
Do	do		do	D	1		38.9	49.8	11.3	4	5					11,810										
Do	do		do	D	1		4.8	34.9	45.0	15.3	5					12,410										
Do	do		4-inch by 0	D	2		36.7	47.2	16.1	4	4					11,770										
Do	do		do	D	1		6.0	35.5	46.1	12.7	4					12,410										
Do	do		1½-inch by 0	D	3		37.4	49.1	13.5	4	4					12,520		2,770								
Do	do		do	D	1		8.7	34.1	42.8	14.4	4					11,240										
Do	do		do	D	1		37.3	46.9	15.8	4	4					12,360		2,830								
Do	do		do	D	1		4.3	33.8	44.1	17.9	5					11,410		2,710								
Do	do		do	D	1		5.7	35.3	46.0	18.7	5					11,910		2,710								
Do	do		do	D	1		3.5	34.8	45.8	18.5	5					11,440		2,710								
Do	do		do	D	2		3.5	35.7	45.8	18.5	5					11,810										
Do	do		do	D	1		3.8	34.4	44.2	17.6	4					11,640										
Do	do		do	D	2		3.5	34.8	45.9	18.3	4					12,100		2,620	2,780				B97625			
Do	do		¾-inch by 0 (W and A.C.)	D	2	Cr	7.3	33.3	43.5	15.9	4					11,890	2,550	2,620	2,780							
Do	do		¾-inch by 0	D	1		4.7	35.9	47.0	17.1	4					12,270		2,720								
Do	do		do	D	2		4.7	35.9	47.0	17.1	4					11,740		2,720								
Do	do		do	D	1		5.1	35.5	45.5	14.1	4					12,570		2,780								
Do	do		do	D	1		3.7	37.1	47.4	15.5	4					12,470		2,660								
Do	do		do	D	8		3.9	34.2	44.6	17.9	5					11,800		2,660								
Do	do		do	D	1		2.3	35.4	46.1	18.9	5					12,470		2,660								
Do	do		do	D	1		2.3	35.8	45.2	16.8	5					11,890										
Do	do		do	D	1		2.3	35.8	45.2	16.8	5					12,020										
Do	do		do	D	1		2.3	36.0	46.2	17.2	5					12,340		2,270	2,310				B56460	57		
Do	do		do	D	1		2.3	37.8	48.9	10.5	5					13,010	2,200	2,270	2,310							
Do	do		do	D	2		3.8	39.0	50.3	10.8	4					13,890										
Do	do		do	D	3		3.8	39.0	50.3	10.8	4					13,890										
Do	do		do	D	1		3.7	33.0	45.4	22.3	5					16,020		2,440	2,440				B97306	75		
Do	do		do	D	2		3.6	34.0	46.3	23.1	5					11,890		2,260	2,260							
Do	do		do	D	2		2.6	39.2	44.8	13.4	4					12,540	2,180	2,260	2,430							
Do	do		do	D	2		4.0	34.3	45.9	13.8	4					12,870		2,260	2,430							





Sample No.	Location	Sample Description	Weight	Moisture	Volatiles	Fixed Carbon	Calorific Value	Analysis No.
Do.	do.	1½- by ¾-inch (W)	5.4	197.0	43.4	114.2	0.4	B97082
Do.	do.	¾- by ¼-inch (W)	7.2	27.2	47.9	115.0	4.4	B97083
Do.	do.	3-inch lump	45.6	57.4	112.0	111.1	4.4	B97086
Do.	do.	3-inch by 0	5.4	34.0	35.7	94.9	4.4	B97084
Do.	do.	1½-inch by 0 (W)	6.3	35.7	47.1	116.9	5.5	B97085
Do.	do.	¾-inch by 0 (AC)	6.3	38.1	43.0	118.0	3.3	B97198
Do.	do.	Run-of-mine (crushed to 3-inch)	4.7	34.6	37.1	93.6	3.3	B97192
Do.	do.	3- by 1½-inch (W)	3.4	38.6	45.1	119.9	4.4	B97183
Do.	do.	1½- by ¾-inch (W)	3.7	38.7	44.9	113.2	4.4	B97194
Do.	do.	¾- by ¼-inch (W)	4.8	37.7	44.3	113.2	4.4	B97195
Do.	do.	¾- by ¾-inch	6.5	37.7	45.3	110.5	4.4	D41274
Do.	do.	1½-inch by 0 (W and AC)	40.3	48.5	111.2	111.2	4.4	B97196
Do.	do.	1½-inch by 0 (W)	45.4	54.6	111.2	111.2	4.4	C97985
Do.	do.	¾-inch by 0 (AC)	45.7	54.3	111.2	111.2	4.4	B97197
Do.	do.	do.	6.6	35.5	41.6	115.3	4.4	
Do.	do.	do.	7.2	37.0	43.4	112.4	3.3	
Do.	do.	do.	39.9	46.8	113.3	113.3	4.4	
Do.	do.	do.	46.0	54.0	113.3	113.3	4.4	
Do.	do.	do.	5.3	34.7	40.5	119.5	4.4	
Do.	do.	do.	36.7	42.7	20.6	114.2	4.4	
Do.	do.	do.	21.8	33.8	34.5	9.9	0.4	
Do.	do.	do.	23.4	32.3	33.3	10.6	0.4	
Do.	do.	do.	43.4	43.3	43.2	10.2	0.4	
Do.	do.	do.	50.0	50.0	50.0	9.5	0.4	
Do.	do.	do.	48.7	48.4	44.4	11.8	0.4	
Do.	do.	do.	49.7	50.3	50.3	7.3	0.4	
Do.	do.	do.	44.2	46.1	46.1	9.7	0.4	
Do.	do.	do.	25.2	33.0	34.6	7.2	0.4	
Do.	do.	do.	21.7	31.1	33.8	13.4	1.2	
Do.	do.	do.	39.7	43.2	47.1	17.1	1.5	
Do.	do.	do.	30.5	33.5	33.5	10.1	1.9	
Do.	do.	do.	41.1	45.3	43.6	13.6	1.2	
Do.	do.	do.	47.6	52.4	52.4	11.2	1.3	
Do.	do.	do.	42.1	43.1	43.1	14.8	1.1	
Do.	do.	do.	49.5	50.5	50.5	5.6	1.3	
Do.	do.	do.	31.4	33.3	29.7	8.2	1.7	
Do.	do.	do.	48.6	47.1	47.1	6.7	1.0	
Do.	do.	do.	31.0	33.0	29.3	6.7	1.0	
Do.	do.	do.	31.2	32.5	28.7	7.6	0.9	
Do.	do.	do.	32.0	31.1	26.0	10.9	1.0	
Do.	do.	do.	45.7	38.2	16.1	16.1	1.5	
Do.	do.	do.	26.1	31.0	30.9	12.0	2.0	
Do.	do.	do.	49.1	41.9	16.2	16.2	2.4	
Do.	do.	do.	50.1	49.9	49.9	8.0	1.1	
Do.	do.	do.	26.0	32.5	33.5	8.0	1.1	
Do.	do.	do.	44.0	45.2	10.8	10.8	1.5	
Do.	do.	do.	49.3	50.7	50.7	11.7	1.7	

1. Sample as received; 2, dried at 105° C.; 3, moisture- and ash-free.  
 4. Volatile matter by modified method.

1 See Explanation of Symbols (p. 28).  
 2 M, mine sample; T, tippie sample; D, delivered coal.  
 3 The bold-faced figure indicates the number of deliveries averaged.

TABLE 22.—Analyses of mine, tippie, and delivered samples—Continued

County, town, and mine	Bed	Rank 1	Size or other description 1	Kind of sample 2	Condition 4	Agglomerating Index 1	Proximate, percent				Ultimate, percent				Fusibility of ash			Mineral-matter-free basis 1			Reference, page in this report					
							Moisture	Volatile matter	Fixed carbon	Ash	Sulfur	Hydrogen	Carbon	Nitrogen	Oxygen	Calorific value, B. t. u.	Initial deformation temperature, °F.	Softening temperature, °F.	Fluid temperature, °F.	Fixed carbon, dry basis, percent		B. t. u., dry basis	Calorific value	B. t. u., moist basis		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
LEWIS COUNTY—Con.																										
Centralia—Continued	Upper Thompson			M	1	NAA	25.1	30.2	31.2	13.5	1.0	6.0	42.6	6.98	3.7	370	2,620	2,700	2,780				D383783	60		
Larabee prospect				M	2	NAA	40.3	41.7	18.0	1.4	1.7	4.3	56.8	8.18	7.1	9,840										
Lincoln		Lig		M	1	NAA	32.5	31.6	28.6	7.6	1.7	6.9	42.8	8.41	2.7	440	2,060	2,180	2,460	48.1	12,510	8,100	C1419	60		
Martin	Tono No. 1 or Foron	Subc		M	3	NAA	46.6	42.2	11.2	1.1	1.1	4.9	63.2	1.1	18.5	10,970										
Monarch (new)	do.	Subc		M	2	NAA	52.5	47.5		8.1	1.9	6.6	45.6	8.98	0.7	910	1,970	2,210	2,310	49.6	12,680	8,670	D8255	61		
Do	do.	Subc		M	2	NAA	45.3	43.3	11.4	1.5	1.5	5.7	22.2	1.3	19.5	12,520										
Do	do.	Subc	Run-of-mine	T	3	NAA	24.3	34.1	32.3	8.8	1.5	6.4	47.5	1.1	18.3	10,990								D3090	61	
Do	do.	Subc	3-inch lump	T	2	NAA	51.4	48.6		11.7	1.0	5.6	71.6	1.3	20.5	12,450										
Do	do.	Subc	1½- by 1-inch (W)	T	2	NAA	28.0	33.2	27.1	11.7	1.5	10.7		1.5	10.7		2,100	2,280	2,310	45.7	12,850	8,710	B98105	77		
Do	do.	Subc	1- by ¾-inch (W)	T	2	NAA	46.1	37.7	16.2	1.5	8.8	45.3		8.8	8.7	8,890	2,040	2,090	2,160	49.1	12,710	8,580	B98105			
Do	do.	Subc	1- by ½-inch	T	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	¾- by ¾-inch	T	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	1- by ¾-inch	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	¾- by ¾-inch	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	1-inch by 0	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5	1.2	4.9	64.5	1.2	17.6	11,220										
Do	do.	Subc	do	D	2	NAA	46.1	33.0	10.6	7.5																



Sample No.	Location	Sample Description	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Morris prospect.		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Nonpariel	3 1/2-inch lump.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Do.	3 1/2 by 1 1/2-inch (W).	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Do.	1 1/2 by 3/4-inch (W).	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Do.	3/4-inch by 0 (W).	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Chelalis: Newaukum prospect.	Subb	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Morton: Atlas (Hi-Carbon)	Hvcb	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Val Myer prospect.	Lvcb	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Morton: Atlas (Hi-Carbon)	Lvg	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Toledo: Graham Bros. & Medley Prospect.		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	PIERCE COUNTY		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Carbonado:	Run-of-mine.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Do.	do.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	100
1	Do.	do.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84																

TABLE 22.—Analyses of mine, tippie, and delivered samples—Continued

County, town, and mine	Bed	Rank <sup>1</sup>	Size or other description <sup>1</sup>	Kind of sample <sup>2</sup>	Condition <sup>1</sup>	Agglomerating index <sup>1</sup>	Proximate, percent				Ultimate, percent				Fusibility of ash				Mineral-matter-free basis <sup>1</sup>			Laboratory No. or index No.	Reference, page in this report			
							Moisture	Volatile matter	Fixed carbon	Ash	Sulfur	Hydrogen	Carbon	Nitrogen	Oxygen	Calorific value, B. t. u.	Initial deformation temperature, °F.	Softening temperature, °F.	Fluid temperature, °F.	Fixed carbon, dry basis, percent	B. t. u., dry basis			Calorific value	B. t. u., moist	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
PIERCE COUNTY—Continued																										
Wilkeson—Continued	No. 4 (Wingate), east dlp.	Mvb	2-inch lump...	M	1	Cg	2.4	21.3	57.3	19.0	2.7	4.5	68.0	1.8	4.0	12.1	20.2	2,260	2,380	75.3	15,860	15,370	D26934	66		
Do	do.	Mvb		M	2	Cg	21.9	58.7	19.4	2.8	4.3	69.7	1.9	1.9	12.4	20.2	2,260	2,380	75.3	15,860	15,370	D26934	66			
Do	do.	Mvb		M	3	Cg	27.1	72.9	19.4	3.5	5.4	86.5	2.4	2.2	15.4	20.2	2,260	2,380	75.3	15,860	15,370	D26935	66			
Do	do.	Mvb		T	2	Cg	2.6	21.8	59.3	16.3	2.4	4.6	70.5	1.9	4.3	12.8	20.0	2,280	2,400	75.1	15,810	15,310	D26935	66		
Do	do.	Mvb		T	3	Cg	22.4	60.9	16.7	2.4	4.4	72.3	2.0	2.2	12.7	20.0	2,280	2,400	75.1	15,810	15,310	D26935	66			
Do	do.	Mvb		T	1	Cg	3.9	21.6	57.1	17.4	9.1	5.3	86.9	2.3	2.6	15.4	20.0	2,540	2,710	74.2	15,790	15,020	B93268	78		
Do	do.	Mvb		T	2	Cg	7.8	20.4	56.3	15.5	1.0	5.0	66.9	1.7	10.1	11.8	20.0	2,740	2,880	74.2	15,790	15,020	B93268	78		
Do	do.	Mvb		T	2	Cg	22.5	59.4	18.1	9.1	4.5	72.5	1.9	3.4	12.9	20.0	2,740	2,880	74.2	15,790	15,020	B93268	78			
Do	do.	Mvb		T	3	Cg	22.1	61.1	16.8	9.1	5.4	87.2	2.2	4.1	15.4	20.0	2,740	2,880	74.2	15,790	15,020	B93268	78			
Do	No. 4 (Wineate)	Mvb	1½-inch by 0	D	1	Cg	26.6	73.4	17.8	1.1	5.4	87.2	2.2	4.1	15.4	20.0	2,310	2,310	74.2	15,790	15,020	B93268	78			
Do	do.	Mvb	do	D	2	Cg	27.1	64.2	18.7	2.2	5.3	85.9	2.2	4.1	15.4	20.0	2,300	2,300	74.2	15,790	15,020	B93268	78			
Do	do.	Mvb	do	D	1	Cg	5.3	25.0	52.9	16.8	2.2	4.7	82.5	1.9	11.8	10.6	2,300	2,300	74.2	15,790	15,020	B93268	78			
Do	do.	Mvb	do	D	2	Cg	26.4	65.9	17.7	2.3	4.7	82.5	1.9	11.8	10.6	2,300	2,300	74.2	15,790	15,020	B93268	78				
Do	do.	Mvb	do	D	1	Cg	7.3	23.9	52.9	15.9	2.1	4.7	82.5	1.9	11.8	10.6	2,300	2,300	74.2	15,790	15,020	B93268	78			
Do	do.	Mvb	do	D	2	Cg	25.8	57.0	17.2	2.3	4.7	82.5	1.9	11.8	10.6	2,300	2,300	74.2	15,790	15,020	B93268	78				
Do	do.	Mvb	do	D	1	Cg	5.0	24.0	54.3	16.7	2.3	4.7	82.5	1.9	11.8	10.6	2,300	2,300	74.2	15,790	15,020	B93268	78			
Do	do.	Mvb	do	D	2	Cg	25.3	57.1	17.6	2.4	4.7	82.5	1.9	11.8	10.6	2,300	2,300	74.2	15,790	15,020	B93268	78				
THURSTON COUNTY																										
Bucoda—Boxer	Mendota	M	3-inch lump	M	1	NAa	22.7	32.5	52.0	12.8	2.8	6.1	45.3	8.3	2.2	8.0	1,900	2,090	2,310	51.1	13,700	10,990	D30865	66		
Do	do.	M	do	M	2	NAa	42.1	41.3	16.6	3.6	4.6	58.6	1.0	1.0	15.6	1,900	2,090	2,310	51.1	13,700	10,990	D30865	66			
Do	do.	M	do	M	3	NAa	15.3	34.6	53.7	16.4	4.3	5.7	70.3	1.2	18.7	12.8	2,140	2,320	51.1	13,700	10,990	D30865	66			
Do	do.	M	do	M	1	NAa	40.9	39.8	19.3	5.1	4.7	58.1	1.0	11.8	10.6	2,000	2,160	2,320	51.1	13,700	10,990	D30865	66			
Do	do.	M	do	M	2	NAa	14.0	30.7	59.3	18.6	6.3	5.8	72.1	1.2	14.6	13.7	2,160	2,340	49.8	13,620	11,320	C1243	78			
Do	do.	M	do	M	1	NAa	16.0	33.8	57.2	16.0	5.2	8.0	60.0	1.0	10.6	10.6	2,170	2,320	54.6	13,620	10,840	D30865	66			
Do	do.	M	do	M	2	NAa	14.9	32.5	51.5	20.5	4.3	10.3	60.0	1.0	10.6	10.6	2,160	2,320	51.1	13,700	10,990	D30865	66			
Do	do.	M	do	M	1	NAa	21.1	32.0	50.0	19.8	3.1	5.8	47.5	8.2	10.2	2,080	2,210	2,460	51.1	13,700	10,990	C1244	78			
Do	do.	M	do	M	2	NAa	17.5	30.8	52.2	21.0	3.1	5.8	47.5	8.2	10.2	2,150	2,270	2,370	51.1	13,700	10,990	D30865	66			
Do	do.	M	do	M	3	NAa	33.8	36.2	22.1	4.3	4.6	57.7	9.1	12.0	19.4	2,250	2,270	2,370	51.1	13,700	10,990	C1245	78			
Do	do.	M	do	M	2	NAa	18.1	31.0	51.5	3.3	4.3	59.7	5.0	13.1	13.2	2,100	2,250	2,500	51.1	13,700	10,990	D30865	66			
Do	do.	M	do	M	1	NAa	37.9	36.3	23.8	4.0	4.0	58.5	4.0	13.1	13.2	2,100	2,250	2,500	51.1	13,700	10,990	D30865	66			

D. & F. prospect	Upper Thompson	M	1 N Aa	20.7	29.6	36.8	12.9	1.6	6.1	148.3	730.4	8.750	2,050	2,420	2,550	5 C 96398
Gordon prospect	Penitentiary	M	1 N Aa	25.5	30.0	31.2	12.7	2.4	4.7	12.8	1,138.0	15,180	1,920	1,970	2,080	5 D 1965
Meeks' prospect	Big Dirty	M	1 N Aa	23.3	31.2	32.5	13.0	4.4	6.3	22.1	934.5	17,500	2,260	2,310	2,580	5 D 1994
Fenn-Bucoda	No. 4 (Bagley)	T	1 N Aa	21.2	33.3	32.2	12.8	6.1	7.7	36.6	1,108.3	12,500	2,310	2,360	2,570	5 C 80364
Fenn-Bucoda prospects	Big Dirty, upper bench	M	1 N Aa	23.1	34.9	34.0	13.0	6.8	8.6	37.1	1,218.7	15,800	2,310	2,370	2,580	5 C 26026
Do.	Big Dirty, middle bench	M	1 N Aa	23.8	33.2	32.9	13.1	7.1	8.4	38.7	1,218.7	15,800	2,260	2,330	2,510	5 C 26027
Do.	Big Dirty, lower bench	M	1 N Aa	21.5	34.9	31.3	12.5	6.4	7.3	36.1	1,218.7	15,800	2,240	2,310	2,520	5 C 26028
Do.	Little Dirty	M	1 N Aa	22.2	33.1	32.2	12.0	6.2	7.2	36.8	1,218.7	15,800	2,070	2,120	2,330	5 C 26029
Do.	Smith	M	1 N Aa	22.1	34.7	32.6	13.4	6.6	7.9	37.7	1,218.7	15,800	2,310	2,400	2,580	5 C 26030
Tono No. 1	Tono No. 1	T	1 N Aa	21.7	33.2	38.4	6.7	4.1	6.4	53.6	1,131.8	9,290	2,380	2,500	2,570	5 B 94620
Do.	do	T	1 N Aa	23.0	31.2	33.8	10.0	4.4	5.5	74.9	1,617.5	12,980	2,500	2,520	2,640	5 B 94621
Do.	do	T	1 N Aa	24.6	31.0	30.9	8.4	4.4	4.4	74.9	1,617.5	12,980	2,510	2,540	2,640	5 B 94622
Do.	do	D	1 N Aa	21.2	32.0	36.3	10.4	4.4	4.4	74.9	1,617.5	12,980	2,510	2,540	2,640	116
Do.	do	D	1 N Aa	21.2	33.6	33.4	10.4	4.4	4.4	74.9	1,617.5	12,980	2,510	2,540	2,640	117
Do.	do	T	1 N Aa	23.4	30.7	33.4	11.7	5.5	6.2	47.3	1,034.3	8,270	2,520	2,560	2,750	5 B 94623
Tono prospect	Tono No. 2	M	1 N Aa	24.4	32.1	33.9	9.3	1.9	4.7	62.5	1,316.7	10,940	2,030	2,030	2,310	5 D 30869
WHATCOM COUNTY	Bellingham No. 1	M	1 N Ab	7.6	36.3	39.7	16.4	3.0	3.0	71.4	1,419.1	12,480	2,330	2,470	2,570	D 6250
Do.	do	M	1 N Ab	6.6	36.1	39.3	18.0	3.0	3.0	71.4	1,419.1	12,480	2,380	2,420	2,600	D 8251
Do.	do	M	1 N Ab	6.8	36.1	40.0	17.1	3.0	3.0	71.4	1,419.1	12,480	2,470	2,500	2,620	D 8252
Do.	do	M	1 N Ab	6.5	36.9	40.0	16.6	3.0	3.0	71.4	1,419.1	12,480	2,310	2,390	2,500	D 8253
Do.	do	M	1 N Ab	7.2	36.0	40.0	16.9	3.0	3.0	71.4	1,419.1	12,480	2,340	2,390	2,500	D 8254
Do.	do	M	1 N Ab	5.7	36.1	42.5	15.7	3.0	3.0	71.4	1,419.1	12,480	2,310	2,390	2,500	118
Do.	do	D	1 N Ab	6.5	36.8	41.9	14.8	3.0	3.0	71.4	1,419.1	12,480	2,310	2,390	2,500	119

1 Sample as received; 2, dried at 105° C.; 3, moisture- and ash-free.  
 4 Volatile matter by modified method.

1 See Explanation of Symbols (p. 28).  
 2 M, mine sample; T, tipple sample; D, delivered coal.  
 3 The bold-faced figure indicates the number of deliveries averaged.





TABLE 23.—Analyses of borehole core samples 1

Location	Red	Core		Condition 2	Agglomerating index	Proximate, percent			Ultimate, percent					Calorific value, B. t. u.	Fusibility of ash			Laboratory No. or Index No.	Reference, page in this report			
		Designation	Depth			Moisture	Volatile matter	Fixed carbon	Ash	Sulfur	Hydrogen	Carbon	Nitrogen		Oxygen	Initial deformation temperature, F.	Softening temperature, F.			Fluid temperature, F.		
			From—																		To—	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	
LEWIS COUNTY																						
Centralia: 1 1/2 miles northeast, NE 1/4 sec. 30, T. 15 N., R. 1 E.	Upper Thompson.	G	271 9	282 9	1	NAA	16.4	31.8	32.9	18.9	1.9	5.6	47.2	0.7	25.7	8,440	2,160	2,250	2,550	4D4181	70	
1/2 mile north, SW 1/4 sec. 29, T. 15 N., R. 2 W.		DD	30 11	34 11	2	NAA	26.5	38.0	39.3	22.7	2.2	4.5	56.4	1.5	13.4	10,100	2,160	2,250	2,550	4D59349	70	
5 miles northeast, SW 1/4 sec. 30, T. 15 N., R. 1 W.	Black Bear	J	991 7	996 0	3	NAA	12.0	44.8	41.4	13.8	.0	5.7	52.0	9	20.7	12,630	2,180	2,260	2,500	4D40920	70	
10 miles east, SW 1/4 sec. 33, T. 15 N., R. 1 W.	Big Dirty	I	145 8	185 3	3	NAA	19.2	40.9	38.8	20.2	3.2	4.9	74.1	1.2	13.4	10,810	2,260	2,310	2,520	4D34603	70	
Do	Smith	I	288 2	295 7	3	NAA	18.2	47.4	45.6	17.7	.8	4.2	71.2	1.2	14.6	10,780	2,310	2,360	2,490	4D35247	70	
Do	Penitentiary	I	498 9	501 5	3	NAA	17.1	37.4	36.1	14.8	.4	3.6	69.9	1.2	18.9	13,770	2,080	2,130	2,420	4D33782	70	
Do	Mendota	I	625 6	635 4	2	NAA	17.8	47.0	46.1	14.0	1.6	4.9	81.9	1.2	13.6	9,430	2,080	2,230	2,280	4D35248	70	
5 miles southeast, SE 1/4 sec. 7, T. 14 N., R. 1 W.	Tono No. 1	K	110 5	119 5	2	NAA	25.0	33.8	30.8	10.4	1.7	5.8	74.6	1.9	12.9	13,360	2,060	2,150	2,340	4D41882	70	
Do	Upper Thompson	K	525 4	532 9 1/2	2	NAA	24.1	38.9	37.0	24.1	.8	4.7	62.1	1.5	18.3	12,550	2,310	2,390	2,470	4D44519	70	
Chehalis: 1 1/2 miles east, NE 1/4 sec. 33, T. 14 N., R. 2 W.		V	561 3	562 8	1	NAB	26.4	36.3	27.7	9.6	1.8	5.6	71.8	1.3	20.2	12,460	2,780	2,910+		4D55878	70	
Do		V	564 7	566 3	2	NAB	26.3	49.4	43.3	13.0	2.9	4.0	73.9	1.1	16.1	11,100	2,730	2,860	2,910+	4D55879	70	
5 1/2 miles northwest, SE 1/4 sec. 20, T. 14 N., R. 3 W.		CH-3	141 4 3/4	143 6	2	NAA	33.4	32.3	29.7	4.6	3.1	5.9	75.1	1.5	18.3	12,440	2,310	2,390	2,470	4D71167	70	
Do		CH-3	433 7 1/4	434 10	2	NAA	31.3	52.1	47.9	6.9	1.8	5.9	74.1	1.0	15.3	9,750	2,360	2,520	2,730	4D71168	71	
Toledo: 6.6 miles east, NW 1/4 sec. 20, T. 11 N., R. 1 E.		CH-5	113 3 1/2	115 5 1/2	2	NAA	28.6	21.1	12.3	38.0	1.2	5.6	73.9	1.7	17.2	3,600	2,780	2,910+		4D72816	71	
Do		CH-5	118 10 3/4	119 8 3/4	3	NAA	30.8	63.2	36.8	53.3	3.5	4.0	73.9	1.6	16.3	5,030	2,730	2,860	2,910+	4D72817	71	
Do		CH-5	128 0	130 1 1/4	2	NAA	27.4	57.0	43.0	46.0	4.3	4.6	73.9	1.9	13.7	4,060	2,780	2,910+		4D72818	71	
Do		CH-5	161 1 1/2	162 9 1/4	3	NAA	32.1	62.0	38.0	50.4	1.8	5.6	73.9	1.6	16.3	5,940	2,360	2,520	2,730	4D72819	71	
					3		53.5	34.1	23.6	36.3	.9	4.6	71.8	1.4	20.2	11,800	2,360	2,520	2,730	4D72819	71	



## DESCRIPTION OF MINE SAMPLES

Compiled by Joseph Daniels <sup>1</sup>

The brief descriptions that follow have been compiled from the notes and data submitted by the men who measured the sections and collected the samples. They give some idea of the methods used in mining and preparing the coals and supplement the information contained in table 23. The letters in parentheses following the sampler's name indicate the organization represented when the samples were taken, as follows: USBM, Bureau of Mines; USGS, Geological Survey.

Additional information about some of these mines is given in Bureau of Mines Technical Papers 491 <sup>2</sup> and 618. <sup>3</sup>

### KING COUNTY

#### BLACK DIAMOND. FRANKLIN MINE

Analyses C21218 to C21221 (p. 29). High-volatile B bituminous coal, King County field, Green River district, from Franklin mine, a slope (truck) mine 901 feet above sea level in SE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 7, T. 21 N., R. 7 E., 2 $\frac{1}{2}$  miles east of Black Diamond. Coal bed, McKay; Puget formation; dip, 35° W.; strike, N.-S.; cover at points sampled, 950 to 1,400 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at three points by M. R. Geer (USBM) May 17, 1944, as described below:

#### Sections of coal bed in Franklin mine

Laboratory No.-----	C21218	C21219	C21220
	Ft. In.	Ft. In.	Ft. In.
Roof, shale.....			
<b>Coal, bone, shale (not measured)</b> -----			
Shale, carbonaceous (immediate roof)-----			
<b>Coal</b> -----	1 1 $\frac{1}{2}$		
Shale, carbonaceous.....	1 2 $\frac{3}{4}$		
Shale, carbonaceous, soft.....		1 5 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Bony-----			1 4 $\frac{1}{2}$
<b>Coal</b> -----	3 $\frac{1}{4}$		
<b>Coal, partly bony</b> -----		10 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Shale, brown-----			1 $\frac{1}{4}$

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

<sup>1</sup> Former consultant mining engineer, Fuels-Technology Division, Region II, Bureau of Mines, Seattle, Wash.

<sup>2</sup> Ash, S. H., Yancey, H. F., Kiessling, O. E., Daniels, Joseph, Snyder, N. H., Plein, L. N., Fieldner, A. C., Cooper, H. M., and Osgood, F. D., Analyses of Washington Coals. Tech. Paper 491, 1931, 203 pp.

<sup>3</sup> Yancey, H. F., Geer, M. R., Daniels, Joseph, Snyder, N. H., Swingle, R. J., Cooper, H. M., and Abernethy, R. F., Analyses of Washington Coals, Supplement to Technical Paper 491: Tech. Paper 618, 1941, 81 pp.

#### Sections of coal bed in Franklin mine—Con.

Laboratory No.-----	C21218	C21219	C21220
	Ft. In.	Ft. In.	Ft. In.
<b>Coal, partly bony</b> -----			1 2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Bone and shale.....	1 7		
Shale, carbonaceous, soft.....		1 1	1 3 $\frac{1}{4}$
<b>Coal, bony</b> -----	1 8 $\frac{1}{2}$		
<b>Coal, partly bony</b> -----		1 4	9
Shale, hard.....	1 8 $\frac{1}{2}$		
Shale, carbonaceous, bony-----		1 11 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Shale, brown, soft.....			1 2
<b>Coal</b> -----	4 1 $\frac{1}{2}$		
<b>Coal, partly bony</b> -----		1 5	1 4 $\frac{1}{2}$
Bony-----	1 3		
Shale, hard.....		1 9	1 7
<b>Coal, bright, clean</b> -----		4 0	3 6 $\frac{1}{2}$
Bony-----		1 5	
Bone, hard.....			1 5 $\frac{1}{2}$
Floor, shale, hard, smooth.....			
Thickness of bed.....	7 11	10 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	8 6 $\frac{1}{2}$
Thickness in sample.....	5 5 $\frac{1}{4}$	7 7 $\frac{1}{2}$	6 10 $\frac{1}{2}$

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

Sample C21218 was taken in 41 chute, 90 feet above 4 south gangway, 2,300 feet inby auxiliary slope. Sample C21219 was taken on 5 south counter-gangway, 100 feet inby auxiliary slope. Sample C21220 was taken at face of 5 south counter-gangway, 2,400 feet inby auxiliary slope.

The ultimate analysis of a composite made by combining samples C21218 to C21220 is given under laboratory No. C21221.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the average daily output was 250 tons; the life of the mine was estimated to be 10 years. At the tippie plus-3-inch lump is removed by a shaker screen and picked. The minus-3-inch round-hole slack is trucked from the mine to a washing plant at Black Diamond, where it is washed by an Elmore jig and wet tables and sized on revolving screens. The sizes of washed coal produced are 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch round-hole to 1-inch square-hole, 1-inch square-hole to  $\frac{3}{2}$ -inch square-hole, and  $\frac{3}{2}$ -inch square-hole to 0.

#### BLACK DIAMOND. FRANKLIN GEM MINE

Analysis C21380 (p. 29). High-volatile C bituminous coal, King County field, Green River district, from Franklin Gem mine, a slope (truck) mine 1,280 feet above sea level in NE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 18, T. 21 N., R. 7 E., 2 miles east of Black Diamond. Coal bed, Gem; Puget formation; dip, 29° W.; strike, N. 24° W.; cover at point sampled, 200 feet. The bed was measured and sampled on 2 north gangway, 20 feet inby of 1 chute, by M. R. Geer (USBM) May 20, 1944.



*Section of coal bed in Franklin Gem mine*

Laboratory No.-----	C21380
	<i>Ft. In.</i>
Roof, shale.	
Bone (immediate roof)-----	<sup>1</sup> 4 0
<b>Coal</b> -----	<b>2 4½</b>
Bone, firm (immediate floor)-----	<sup>1</sup> 2 1
Floor, sandstone.	
Thickness of bed-----	8 5½
Thickness of sample-----	2 4½

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the daily output was 20 tons from advance workings. The coal is sized on a shaker screen having 1-inch round holes; 2 products are made, picked lump over 1 inch and minus-1-inch coal.

**BLACK DIAMOND. FRANKLIN NO. 10 MINE**

Analyses D7808 and D7809 (p. 29). High-volatile B bituminous coal, King County field, Green River district, from Franklin No. 10 mine, a drift (truck) mine 670 feet above sea level in sec. 18, T. 21 N., R. 7 E., 2 miles southeast of Black Diamond. Coal bed, Franklin No. 10; Puget formation; dip, 55° SW.; strike, N. 10° W.; cover at points sampled, approximately 200 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at the face of the gangway 2,700 feet north of the portal, by Joseph Daniels (USBM) December 20, 1948.

*Sections of coal bed (upper and lower benches in Franklin No. 10 mine)*

Section-----	Upper bench D7808	Lower bench D7809
	<i>Ft. In.</i>	<i>Ft. In.</i>
Roof, shale, hard.		
<b>Coal, bright, soft</b> -----	<b>1 0</b>	
Shale, brown, hard, variable-----	<sup>1</sup> 4	
<b>Coal, bright, soft</b> -----	<b>2 0</b>	
Shale, hard and soft bands, variable-----	<sup>1</sup> 7	
<b>Coal, bright, soft, thin shale partings</b> -----	<b>1 7</b>	
Shale, hard, brown, coaly streaks (mining band)-----	<sup>1</sup> 1 6½	
<b>Coal, bright</b> -----	<b>11</b>	
Sandy band, coal and shale-----	<sup>1</sup> 6	<sup>1</sup> 10
<b>Coal, bright, hard</b> -----	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>
Shale, brown, hard, variable-----	<sup>1</sup> 2	
<b>Coal, bright</b> -----	<b>9</b>	<b>1 0</b>
Shale, brown, hard-----	<sup>1</sup> 4	
<b>Coal, hard, bony bands</b> -----	<b>1 2</b>	<b>1 0</b>
Floor, shale, soft, parting.		
Floor, shale, hard-----		
Thickness of bed-----	11 4½	3 9
Thickness of sample-----	7 11	2 11

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

The bed at the points sampled is very irregular, and the shale bands vary in thickness. D7808 is an analysis of the section exposed and D7809 of the lower part of the bed, including a 1-foot band of coal and shale.

Sections of this bed and analyses also are given under Hi Heat mine at Black Diamond.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the mine was producing an average of 230 tons daily; the life of the mine was estimated to be 25 years. The coal is trucked 3½ miles to a washery at Black Diamond, where the entire output is washed. All coal is crushed to pass a 3-inch screen, washed in a McNally-Norton jig, and screened to the following sizes: Nut, through 3-inch and over 1¼-inch square-hole; Steam, through 1¼-inch square-hole; Buckwheat, through ¾-inch square-hole.

**BLACK DIAMOND. GEM NO. 1 MINE**

Analysis B62407 (p. 29). High-volatile C bituminous or subbituminous A coal, King County field, Green River district, from Gem No. 1 mine, a slope (truck) mine 200 feet above sea level in NW¼ sec. 27, T. 21 N., R. 6 E., 2¼ miles southwest of Black Diamond. Coal bed, unnamed; Puget formation; dip, 22° W.; strike, N.-S.; cover at point sampled, 140 feet. The bed was measured and sampled on 4 level, 160 feet north of the slope, 560 feet west and north of the portal, by M. R. Geer (USBM) April 29, 1941, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Gem No. 1 mine*

Laboratory No.-----	B62407
	<i>Ft. In.</i>
Roof, sandstone.	
Bone-----	<sup>1</sup> 1 1
<b>Coal</b> -----	<b>2</b>
Sandstone-----	<sup>1</sup> ½
<b>Coal</b> -----	<b>2 4</b>
<b>Coal, sandstone streaks</b> -----	<b>5</b>
<b>Coal</b> -----	<b>9½</b>
Sandstone-----	<sup>1</sup> 2
<b>Coal</b> -----	<b>1 0</b>
Bone-----	<sup>1</sup> 7
Floor, sandstone.	
Thickness of bed-----	6 7
Thickness of sample-----	4 8½

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the daily production was 10 tons from advance workings, and the lifetime of the mine was estimated to be 15 years. At the washery plus-2½-inch round-hole lump is removed by a shaker screen and picked; the remainder of the coal is washed in a Forrester jig, then sized over a revolving screen into 2½-inch round-hole to ⅞-inch square-hole, ⅞-inch square-hole to ¼-inch square-hole, and minus-¼-inch square-hole sizes.

**BLACK DIAMOND. GEM NO. 2 MINE**

Analysis C21382 (p. 29). High-volatile C bituminous or subbituminous A coal, King County field, Green River district, from Gem No. 2 mine, a slope (truck) mine, 230 feet above sea level in SE¼ sec. 27, T. 21 N., R. 6 E., 2¼ miles southwest of Black Diamond. Coal bed, Gem; Puget formation; dip, 27° W.; strike, N. 25° W.; cover at point sampled, 120 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at the face of 1 north gangway, 500 feet north of the portal, by M. R. Geer (USBM) May 19, 1944, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Gem No. 2 mine*

Laboratory No.....	C21382	
Roof, shale, brown.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Bone, hard.....	<sup>1</sup> 1	8
<b>Coal</b> .....	--	3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Shale, brown, hard.....	--	<sup>1</sup> 3 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
<b>Coal</b> .....	2	10 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Shale, brown.....	--	<sup>1</sup> 1
<b>Coal</b> .....	2	3
Bone, hard, smooth (immediate floor).....	1	--
Floor, shale, brown.		
Thickness of bed.....	8	2 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Thickness of sample.....	5	5

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the mine was producing 10 tons of coal daily from advance workings. The coal is sized on shaker and revolving screens having 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-inch round holes and <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>- and <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch square holes. Over 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-inch round-hole lump is picked; the undersize is washed in a Forrester jig, producing 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>- to <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch, <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>- to <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch, and minus-<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch coal.

**BLACK DIAMOND. HI HEAT MINE**

Analyses C21223 to C21225 (p. 29). High-volatile B bituminous coal, King County coal field, Green River district, from Hi Heat mine, a (truck) mine 670 feet above sea level in SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> sec. 18, T. 21 N., R. 7 E., 2 miles southeast of Black Diamond. Coal bed, Franklin No. 10; Puget formation; dip, 46° W.; strike, N.-S.; cover at points sampled, 50 feet. The bed was measured and sampled as 2 benches at 2 points, 1 in a gangway in the lower bench and 1 in a gangway in the upper bench, by M. R. Geer (USBM) May 19, 1944, as described below:

*Sections of coal bed (upper and lower benches) in Hi Heat mine*

Section.....	Upper bench C21223	Lower bench C21224
Laboratory No.....		
Roof, sandstone.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Shale, brown, hard (immediate roof).	<sup>1</sup> 1	0
Shale, carbonaceous, soft.....	--	<sup>1</sup> 4
Bony.....	--	<sup>1</sup> 3
<b>Coal</b> .....	--	5
Bone, hard.....	--	<sup>1</sup> 1
<b>Coal</b> .....	1	0
Shale, brown.....	--	<sup>1</sup> 1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
<b>Coal</b> .....	1	3
Bone, hard (immediate floor).....	--	<sup>1</sup> 11
Immediate roof.....	--	<sup>1</sup> 7
<b>Coal</b> .....	--	10
Shale, concretion.....	--	<sup>1</sup> 2
<b>Coal</b> .....	--	1
Bone, soft.....	--	<sup>1</sup> 4
<b>Coal</b> .....	--	10
Immediate floor, shale and bone, carbonaceous.....	--	7
Thickness of bed.....	5	4 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Thickness of sample.....	2	8

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

A drift was driven north 100 feet in the lower bench of bed, and the gangways were driven separately in lower and upper benches. Sample C21223 was taken at the face of upper-bench gangway 270 feet north of portal. Sample C21224 was taken at the face of lower-bench gangway 220 feet north of portal. The ultimate analysis of a composite made by combining samples C21223 and C21224 is given under laboratory No. C21225.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with stumping powder. The mine is new and at the time of sampling was producing 5 tons daily from advance workings. Coal was screened to produce plus-1-inch, through 1-inch and over <sup>3</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch, and through <sup>3</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch sizes.

**BLACK DIAMOND. J. & P. MINE**

Analysis C21222 (p. 30). High-volatile C bituminous or subbituminous A coal, King County field, Green River district, from J. & P. mine, a drift (truck) mine approximately 400 feet above sea level in SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> sec. 26, T. 21 N., R. 6 E., 2 miles south of Black Diamond. Coal bed, Kummer No. 1; Puget formation; dip, 48° E.; strike, N. 20° E.; cover at point sampled, 100 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at the face of the water-level gangway 300 feet south of the portal by M. R. Geer (USBM) May 18, 1944, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in J. & P. mine*

Laboratory No.....	C21222	
Roof, sandstone.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Bone (immediate roof).....	3	1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
<b>Coal</b> .....	--	<sup>1</sup> 1
Shale, brown, soft.....	--	5 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
<b>Coal</b> .....	--	<sup>1</sup> 1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Clay, soft.....	1	2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
<b>Coal</b> .....	--	<sup>1</sup> 8
Bone (immediate floor).....	--	8
Floor, shale, sandy.		
Thickness of bed.....	5	8
Thickness of sample.....	4	9 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>

<sup>1</sup>Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the mine was in the development state, and coal was screened on a stationary punched plate having 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch round-hole openings.

**BLACK DIAMOND. KUMMER MINE**

Analysis C40881 (p. 30). Subbituminous B coal, King County field, Green River district, from Kummer mine, a slope (truck) mine 600 feet above sea level in NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> sec. 26, T. 21 N., R. 6 E., 2 miles south of Black Diamond. Coal bed, Kummer No. 4; Puget formation; dip, 48° E.; strike, N.-S.; cover at point sampled, 150 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at 2 crosscuts, 50 feet north of the slope, by M. R. Geer (USBM) May 11, 1945, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Kummer mine*

Laboratory No.....	C40881	
	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Roof, shale, sandy.		
<b>Coal</b> .....	1	2
Shale, sandy.....		<sup>1</sup> 3/4
<b>Coal</b> .....		4 1/2
Sandstone.....		<sup>1</sup> 1 1/2
<b>Coal</b> .....	1	3
Sandstone.....		<sup>1</sup> 1 1/4
<b>Coal</b> .....		3 1/2
Shale, sandy.....		<sup>1</sup> 1 1/2
<b>Coal, bony streak</b> .....	1	0
Clay, soft, sandy.....		<sup>1</sup> 3
<b>Coal</b> .....		8
Shale.....		<sup>1</sup> 3/8
<b>Coal</b> .....		8 1/2
Floor, shale, underlain by 10 feet 6 inches of coal, bone, and shale and floor clay.		
Thickness of bench.....	5	10 7/8
Thickness of sample.....	5	5 1/2

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. When sampled, the mine was in the development stage, and the entire output came from advance workings. The coal was screened over 1-inch square-hole and through 1/2-inch square-hole screens.

This is not the Kummer mine described in Technical Paper 618, page 49.

**CUMBERLAND. DURHAM MINE**

Analysis C22079 (p. 30). High-volatile A bituminous coal, King County field, Green River district, from Durham mine, a water-level gangway mine 1,525 feet above sea level in NE 1/4 sec. 2, T. 21 N., R. 7 E., 4 1/2 miles northeast of Cumberland on the Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul & Pacific Railroad. Coal bed, Durham No. 2; Puget formation; dip, 32° E., strike, N. 15° W.; cover at point sampled, 150 feet. The bed was measured and sampled in the top countergangway, 30 feet in by 39 chute, 2,400 feet southeast of the portal, by M. R. Geer (USBM) June 2, 1944, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Durham mine*

Laboratory No.....	C22079	
	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Roof, shale.		
Bone, coal, shale.....	<sup>1</sup> 8	0
<b>Coal</b> .....		6 1/2
Bone and shale.....		<sup>1</sup> 4 1/2
<b>Coal</b> .....		11 3/4
Bone.....		<sup>1</sup> 2 1/2
<b>Coal, bony</b> .....		4
Bone.....		1 2
<b>Coal</b> .....		3 1/2
Shale, carbonaceous, soft.....		<sup>1</sup> 1 1/2
Bony.....		1 2
<b>Coal</b> .....		5 1/2
Bony.....		<sup>1</sup> 1 1/2
<b>Coal</b> .....		8 1/2
Bone.....		1 2

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

*Section of coal bed in Durham mine—Con.*

Laboratory No.....	C22079	
	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
<b>Coal</b> .....		10
Bony.....		<sup>1</sup> 5
<b>Coal</b> .....		4
Bony.....		<sup>1</sup> 1 1/2
<b>Coal</b> .....		4 1/2
Bony.....		<sup>1</sup> 7
Shale, gray (immediate floor).....		1 3
Floor, 7 feet of carbonaceous shale, underlain by main sandstone footwall.		
Thickness of bed.....	7	6 3/4
Thickness of sample.....	4	10 1/4

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the mine was producing 40 to 50 tons of coal daily from advance workings; its life was estimated to be 15 years. At the tipple all coal is crushed to pass a 3 1/2 inch screen, cleaned in a Forrester jig, and sold as washed 3 1/2-inch to 0 coal.

**CUMBERLAND. HYDE MINE**

Analysis C21558 (p. 30). High-volatile B bituminous coal, King County field, Green River district, from Hyde mine, a slope (truck) mine approximately 840 feet above sea level in SW 1/4 sec. 29, T. 21 N., R. 7 E., 1 mile southwest of Cumberland. Coal bed, McKay; Puget formation; dip, 42° S.; strike, E.-W.; cover at point sampled, 50 feet. The bed was measured and sampled on a slope at 1 crosscut, 75 feet south of the portal, by M. R. Geer (USBM) May 22, 1944, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Hyde mine*

Laboratory No.....	C21558	
	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Roof, shale, hard.		
Bone, coal streaks.....	<sup>1</sup> 1	9
<b>Coal, partly bony</b> .....		4 1/2
Shale.....		<sup>1</sup> 1 1/2
<b>Coal</b> .....		1 1/2
Shale.....		<sup>1</sup> 3/4
<b>Coal</b> .....		8 1/2
Shale, soft.....		<sup>1</sup> 1 1/4
Bone, coal streaks.....	<sup>1</sup> 1	6 1/2
Shale, brown, hard.....		<sup>1</sup> 2
<b>Coal</b> .....		2
<b>Coal, bony</b> .....		1 2
Floor, shale, hard, smooth.		
Thickness of bed.....	7	1 1/2
Thickness of sample.....	3	4 1/2

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with stumping powder. At the time of sampling the slope was being sunk, and the daily output of the mine was 10 tons. Only run-of-mine coal was being produced, but a preparation plant was contemplated.

## ENUMCLAW. GREEN RIVER MINE

Analysis C21381 (p. 30). High-volatile C bituminous or subbituminous A coal, King County field, Green River district, from Green River mine, a slope (truck) mine 730 feet above sea level in SE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 36, T. 21 N., R. 6 E., 3 $\frac{1}{2}$  miles north of Enumclaw. Coal bed, Kummer No. 4(?), Puget formation; dip, 27° W.; strike, N.-S.; cover at point sampled, 175 feet. The bed was measured and sampled on the slope at 2 cross-cut below 1 gangway, by M. R. Geer (USBM) May 20, 1944, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Green River mine*

Laboratory No.....	C21381	
Roof, not exposed.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Bone (immediate roof).....	---	---
Clay, bone streaks.....	1	7
Coal, bony.....	1	9
Coal, soft.....	1	5
Coal.....	1	10
Shale, soft.....	---	1/8
Coal.....	2	2
Clay, soft.....	1	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Coal, partly bony.....	---	3
Bone.....	1	1
Coal.....	---	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Clay.....	1	1
Coal.....	---	3
Clay, sandy.....	1	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Coal.....	---	7 $\frac{1}{2}$
Shale, soft.....	---	1/8
Coal.....	---	5
Shale.....	1	1 $\frac{1}{4}$
Coal, partly bony.....	---	4 $\frac{1}{2}$
Clay and bone streaks.....	1	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
Clay, soft.....	1	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Coal, partly bony.....	---	9
Clay, sandy.....	1	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Coal, partly bony.....	---	9
Clay, soft.....	---	1
Coal, bony.....	---	2
Bone (immediate floor).....	---	---
Floor, not exposed.	---	---
Thickness of exposed section.....	10	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Thickness of sample.....	6	7 $\frac{3}{4}$

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the mine was a new operation. Lump, over 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch hole, and washed coal, through 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch over 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch, through 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch over  $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch, and through  $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch sizes were produced. In 1946 a washery equipped with a Forrester jig and trommels yielded the following products: Picked lump over 3 $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch round-hole, and the following washed sizes—Egg, through 3 $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch round-hole over 1 $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch square-hole; stoker, through 1 $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch square-hole over  $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch square-hole; and Buckwheat, through  $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch square-hole.

## ISSAQUAH. HARRIS NO. 2 MINE

Analysis B75318 (p. 31). Subbituminous A or high-volatile C bituminous coal, King County field, Newcastle-Issaquah district, from Harris No. 2 mine, a drift (truck) mine 400 feet above sea level in NW $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 32, T. 24 N., R. 6 E., 3 miles west of Issaquah. Coal bed, Jones; Puget formation; dip, 30° N.; strike, S. 65° E.; cover at point sampled, 100 feet. The bed

was measured and sampled in 3 chute, 10 feet above the water-level gangway, by M. R. Geer (USBM) April 3, 1942, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Harris No. 2 mine*

Laboratory No.....	B75318	
Roof, not exposed.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Shale, light brown, soft (immediate roof).....	---	1 1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Coal, bright.....	2	1
Shale, dark, soft.....	---	1
Coal, bone, and shale streaks.....	1	3
Bony (immediate floor).....	1	1 3
Floor, not exposed.	---	---
Total thickness exposed.....	3	6 $\frac{1}{2}$
Thickness of sample.....	3	4

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with stumping powder. When sampled, the mine was in the development stage. This mine is a new operation in the Jones bed; the old Harris No. 1 mine was in the Dolly Varden bed.

## ISSAQUAH. LAHEE MINE

Analysis D19163 (p. 31). Subbituminous A or high-volatile C bituminous coal, King County field, Newcastle-Issaquah district, from Lahee mine, a drift (truck) mine approximately 250 feet above sea level in N $\frac{1}{2}$  sec. 32, T. 24 N., R. 6 E., 3 miles west of Issaquah. Coal bed, Dolly Varden; Puget formation; dip, 40° NE.; strike, N. 70° W.; cover at point sampled, approximately 600 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at the face of the water-level drift, 1,875 feet west of the portal, by Joseph Daniels (USBM) May 18, 1949, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Lahee mine*

Laboratory No.....	D19163	
Roof, shale, sandy, soft, rough.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Shale, soft, with coaly streaks.....	---	1 3
Coal, bright, with thin, hard shale partings 4 inches and 6 inches from top.....	1	2
Shale, brown, hard.....	---	1 3/4
Shale, gray, soft.....	---	1 3/4
Coal, bright, hard.....	1	1
Bone and hard shale.....	---	1 1
Shale, gray, soft.....	---	1 5 $\frac{1}{2}$
Coal, bright, hard.....	---	8
Bone.....	---	1
Bottom, shale, sandy <sup>2</sup> .....	1	2 8
Coal and bone, variable.....	1	1 0
Bone.....	---	1 2
Shale, gray, soft.....	---	---
Thickness of bed.....	7	9
Thickness of sample.....	2	11

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

<sup>2</sup> The upper 3 feet 11 inches is considered the workable section of the bed; below this is 3 feet 10 inches of formation that may or may not be a part of the Dolly Varden bed.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. The property is being reopened after several years of idleness. Run-of-mine coal is trucked to Renton for

preparation; over 3-inch round-hole lump is picked, and the 3-inch undersize is washed in a Forrester jig and shipped as Egg, stoker, and Buckwheat.

#### ISSAQUAH. QUEEN NO. 1 MINE

Analysis D18545 (p. 31). Subbituminous A coal, King County field, Newcastle-Issaquah district, from Queen No. 1 mine, a drift (truck) mine 320 feet above sea level in NE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 31, T. 24 N., R. 6 E., 3 miles west of Issaquah. Coal bed, Muldoon; Puget formation; dip, 45° NE.; strike, N. 70° W.; cover at point sampled, approximately 800 feet. The bed was measured and sampled in 57 chute, 50 feet above water level, 4,000 feet northeast of portal, by Joseph Daniels (USBM) May 12, 1949, as described below.

#### Section of coal bed in Queen No. 1 mine

Laboratory No. ....	D18545	
	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Roof, shale, sandy, hard.		
Shale, soft	12	
Coal, bright, hard	11	
Shale parting, gray		$\frac{1}{4}$
Coal and bone, mixed	3	
Coal, bright, hard	1	4
Shale, brown, hard	11	
Coal, hard	10	
Shale, brown	11	
Coal, bright, hard	9	
Coal, bone, shale	6	
Coal, bright, hard	1	5
Shales, black, hard, shelly	11	0
Floor, sandstone, hard, smooth, shaly.		
Thickness of bed	7	$4\frac{1}{4}$
Thickness of sample	6	$\frac{1}{4}$

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

The Muldoon underlies the May Creek bed. System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. The average daily output was 150 tons; the total production of the mine in 1948 was 34,052 tons. The lifetime of the mine was estimated to be 50 years. At the tippie the coal is sized on  $3\frac{1}{2}$ -inch round-hole, 1-inch square-hole, and  $\frac{3}{16}$ -inch square-hole screens. Over  $3\frac{1}{2}$  shaker-screen lump is picked; the undersize is washed in a single-cell Elmore jig and screened on vibrator screens to Egg-Nut, Pea, and Buckwheat sizes.

#### PALMER. ELK NO. 3 MINE

Analyses B61445 and D1342 to D1344 (p. 31). High-volatile B bituminous coal, King County field, Green River district, from Elk No. 3 mine, a drift mine (inside slope) 933 feet above sea level in SE  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 34, T. 22 N., R. 7 E., 2 miles north of Palmer on the Northern Pacific Railroad. Coal beds, Dutch and "A" or Victory; Puget formation; dip, 45° NE.; strike, N. 65° W.; cover at points sampled, Dutch bed 150 and 325 feet and "A" bed 225 and 285 feet. The beds were measured and sampled by M. R. Geer (USBM) March 7, 1941, and by Joseph Daniels (USBM) September 14, 1948, as described below:

#### Sections of coal beds in Elk No. 3 mine

Bed .....	Dutch			
	B61445		D1342	
Laboratory No. ....	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Roof, shale, hard.				
Bony (roof coal)	<sup>1</sup> 1	0		
Shale, soft		$1\frac{1}{4}$		19
Bone				13
Coal, bright	2	2		11
Coal, bony	1	1		5
Coal and bone			1	9
Bony		<sup>1</sup> 10		
Shale, hard		$1\frac{3}{4}$		12
Coal				12
Shale, brown, firm				$11\frac{1}{2}$
Bone		1		
Coal		$2\frac{1}{2}$	1	5
Shale		1	12	11
Coal, bright		6		
Coal, bony		2		
Shale, soft		$1\frac{1}{2}$		
Bony	<sup>1</sup> 1	4	<sup>1</sup> 1	2
Floor, shale, hard, smooth. <sup>2</sup>				
Thickness of bed <sup>3</sup>	7	7	10	$\frac{1}{2}$
Thickness of sample	4	$1\frac{1}{2}$	4	6

Bed .....	"A" or Victory			
	Upper D1344		Lower D1343	
Bench .....	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Laboratory No. ....				
Roof, shale, firm.				
Shale, bony		$13\frac{1}{2}$		
Bone and coal		4		
Clay, white, variable		15		
Coal, soft	1	0		
Clay, yellow, variable		13		
Coal	2	0		
Shale, brown, hard		16		
Coal, bony	1	6		
Shale, hard, brown <sup>4</sup>				16
Coal				16
Shale, hard, brown				13
Shale, hard, black				12
Shale, hard, brown				13
Coal, occasional shale partings			5	0
Coal and bone				3
Floor, shale, hard, smooth.				
Thickness of bench	6	$3\frac{1}{2}$	6	11
Thickness of sample	4	6	5	3

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

<sup>2</sup> Not exposed in B61445.

<sup>3</sup> Thickness exposed in B61445.

<sup>4</sup> Floor of upper bench and roof of lower bench.

Sample B61445 was taken in a rock tunnel driven from "A" or Victory bed 20 feet into the footwall of the Dutch bed, 700 feet from the portal on the water-

level gangway. Sample D1342 was taken in the Dutch bed, first-level sump of the inside slope. Sample D1343 was taken in the lower bench of the "A" or Victory bed in a chute 100 feet northeast of the slope and 25 feet above the slope-level gangway. Sample D1344 was taken higher in the same chute in the upper bench of the "A" bed.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. The coal beds being worked are the Dutch, Big Elk, Little Elk, and "A" or Victory; the Big Elk output is obtained from stripping operations. Over 3-inch round-hole lump is picked, and the undersize is washed on a Forrester jig.

**RAVENSDALE. DANVILLE NO. 1 MINE**

Analyses B51279 to B51281 (p. 32). Subbituminous A or high-volatile C bituminous coal, King County field, Green River district, from Danville No. 1 mine, a slope mine 690 feet above sea level in SW $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 24, T. 22 N., R. 6 E., 2 miles northwest of Ravensdale on the Pacific Coast Railroad. Coal bed, Frazier; Puget formation; dip, 85° NW.; strike, N. 40° E.; cover at points sampled, 470 and 250 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at two points by M. R. Geer (USBM) and J. H. Delaney (USBM) April 4, 1940, as described below:

*Sections of coal bed in Danville No. 1 mine*

Laboratory No. ....	B51279	B51280
Roof, sandstone, hard.	<i>Ft. In.</i>	<i>Ft. In.</i>
Coal, hard .....	2 4	---
Bone .....	---	1 3
Coal, soft .....	---	11 $\frac{1}{2}$
Shale and coal (mining) .....	1 2 $\frac{1}{2}$	1 3 $\frac{3}{4}$
Coal, hard .....	2 4 $\frac{1}{2}$	---
Coal, soft .....	---	1 1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Shale, carbonaceous .....	1 1 $\frac{1}{2}$	---
Shale and coal (mining) .....	---	1 1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Coal, hard .....	1 9	---
Coal .....	---	1 11
Shale .....	1	---
Shale and coal (mining) .....	---	1 3 $\frac{3}{4}$
Coal, hard .....	1 8	2 0
Bony .....	19	19
Floor, shale, carbonaceous, 14 feet to sandstone main foot-wall.	---	---
Total thickness of bed .....	9 3 $\frac{1}{2}$	8 1
Thickness of sample .....	8 1 $\frac{1}{2}$	5 11

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

Sample B51279 was taken at the face of 2 north gangway 700 feet from inside slope. Sample B51280 was taken at 1 counter-gangway at the hoist station of the inside slope.

The ultimate analysis of a composite made by combining samples B51279 and B51280 is given under laboratory No. B51281.

The mine is about 1,000 feet north of the old Danville mine described in Technical Paper 491 (pp. 127-128) and is still largely in the development stage.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. The

average daily output was 200 tons, all from advance workings; the life of the mine was estimated to be 20 years. At the tippie the coal was screened and picked over a 2 $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch bar screen to produce lump; the undersize was washed in a Forrester jig and screened over revolving screens into 2 $\frac{3}{4}$  to 1-inch, 1-inch to  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch,  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch to  $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch, and through  $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch sizes.

**RAVENSDALE. DANVILLE NO. 2 MINE**

Analyses C22080, D90541, D21493, D42878, D56374 (p. 32). Subbituminous A or high-volatile C bituminous coal, King County field, Green River district, from Danville No. 2 mine, a slope (truck) mine 530 feet above sea level in SE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 24, T. 22 N., R. 6 E., 2 miles northeast of Ravensdale on the Pacific Coast Railroad. This mine is newer than the Danville No. 1, which earlier operated on the Frazier bed. Coal beds, Eight-Foot, Landsburg No. 1, and Six-Foot; Puget formation; dips, vertical to 75° NW.; strike, N.-S. to S. 35° W.; cover at points sampled, 500 to 710 feet.

The Eight-Foot bed was measured and sampled by M. R. Geer (USBM) June 24, 1944, and August 23, 1952; the Landsburg No. 1 bed, by Joseph Daniels (USBM) June 24, 1949, by M. R. Geer (USBM), and C. L. Allyn (USBM) May 10, 1950; and the Six-Foot bed, by M. R. Geer (USBM) December 14, 1950, as described below:

*Sections of Eight-Foot bed in Danville No. 2 mine*

Laboratory No. ....	C22080	D90541
Roof, <sup>1</sup> shale, firm.	<i>Ft. In.</i>	<i>Ft. In.</i>
Bone, hard .....	2 4	2 2
Coal .....	3	1 9 $\frac{3}{4}$
Shale, soft .....	---	2 1
Shale, brown, hard .....	2 1 $\frac{1}{2}$	---
Coal .....	11	2 4 $\frac{1}{2}$
Shale, hard .....	---	2 1
Bone, hard .....	2 3 $\frac{3}{4}$	---
Coal .....	---	13 $\frac{3}{4}$
Do .....	3 0	---
Shale, carbonaceous, soft .....	---	2 5
Bone and shale .....	2 3	---
Coal .....	---	7 $\frac{1}{4}$ 0
Do .....	1 4	---
Shale, gray .....	---	2 1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Shale, brown, hard .....	2 1 $\frac{1}{8}$	---
Coal .....	---	2 4
Do .....	11	---
Shale, carbonaceous, soft .....	1 0	2 4 $\frac{3}{4}$
Coal, bony .....	---	10
Floor, shale, hard, smooth.	---	---
Thickness of bed .....	8 1 $\frac{3}{8}$	9 3 $\frac{1}{2}$
Thickness of sample .....	6 5	8 1 $\frac{1}{4}$

<sup>1</sup> Sample D90541: Roof, shale, sandy, hard.

<sup>2</sup> Not included in sample.

Sample C22080 was taken at the face of 1-level south gangway 50 feet in by 41 chute, 3,700 feet southwest of the slope bottom, in SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 25. Sample D90541 was taken at the face of 1-level south gangway, 35 feet north of rock tunnel, 245 feet on bearing S. 75° E. from 2-slope bottom, in NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 25, T. 22 N., R. 6 E., in new slope workings.

Sections of Landsburg No. 1 bed in Danville  
No. 2 mine

Bench	D21493		Lower bench, D42878	
Laboratory No.	D21493		D42878	
	Ft.	In.	Ft.	In.
Roof, shale, brown, soft.				
Coal	1	11		
Shale, brown		12		
Coal	1	11		
Shale, brown		11 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>		
Coal	1	7		
Coal, soft, foliated	1	2		
Coal, with shale bands	1	2		
Coal, blocky, bright	2	10		
Shale, carbonaceous (immediate roof)		12		12
Coal			1	0
Coal, bright, hard	3	2		
Shale, brown, coaly band		18		
Shale, carbonaceous, soft				18
Coal		10		
Coal, bony, and shale				13 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Shale, brown, coaly streak		16		
Shale and bone				11 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Coal with shale band	1	0		
Coal				3
Shale, gray, hard		13		
Shale, brown				1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Coal		11		9 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Shale, soft, banded		18		
Shale, brown				1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal	1	11		
Shale, brown, soft		11		
Coal	2	0	3	1
Shale, carbonaceous				15
Shale, black, soft		19		
Floor, shale, sandy, hard, smooth.				
Thickness of bench	12	11	6	9 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Thickness of sample	9	10	5	1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

Sample D21493 was taken at the face of 2-level gangway southwest of slope, 1,200 feet in by fault, in the lower section of the bed between a hard, sandy, shale footwall and a carbonaceous-shale parting, a total measured thickness of 12 feet 11 inches. Above this lower section of the bed the upper part is revealed in a doghole driven at right angles to the gangway and exposing a section 10 feet 10<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> inches thick.

Sample D42878 was taken at face of counter 65 feet in by 33 chute, 2 level, 4,000 feet southwest of portal, in SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> sec. 25, T. 22 N., R. 6 E.

Section of Six-Foot bed in Danville No. 2 mine

Laboratory No.	D56374	
	Ft.	In.
Roof, shale.		
Coal	2	9
Bone and shale		14
Coal	2	2
Bone		12
Shale		11
Coal (immediate floor) <sup>2</sup>		7
Thickness of bench	6	1
Thickness of sample	5	6

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

<sup>2</sup> This mining section is underlain by 21 feet of shale, bone and clay.

Sample D56374 was taken at face of a doghole 20 feet northeast of rock tunnel, 2 level, in SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> sec. 24, T. 22 N., R. 6 E.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives.

Formerly, the coal was passed over shaker and trommel screens and washed in a Forrester jig to produce Egg-Nut, Pea, and Buckwheat sizes. At present the raw coal is trucked to a washery at Black Diamond for preparation, where sizes smaller than 4-inch are washed in a Baum-type jig. Lump coarser than 4-inch is handpicked, crushed, and washed with 4-inch slack. Vibrating and revolving screens produce 4- to 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-inch, 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>- to <sup>3</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-inch, and <sup>3</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-inch to 0 primary sizes.

RAVENSDALE. MCKAY MINE

Analyses C95581 and C96786 (p. 33). High-volatile C bituminous or subbituminous A coal, King County coalfield, Green River district, from McKay mine, a slope mine 810 feet above sea level in sec. 1, T. 21 N., R. 6 E., <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile southeast of Ravensdale on the Northern Pacific Railroad. Coal bed, McKay; Puget formation; dip, 47° S. 57° W.; strike N. 33° W.; cover at points sampled, 1,300 feet. The bed was measured and sampled by H. F. Yancey (USBM) May 26, 1948, and M. R. Geer (USBM) June 14, 1948, as described below:

Sections of coal bed (upper and lower benches)  
in McKay mine

Section	A		B	
Bench	Upper		Lower	
Laboratory No.	1 C96786		2 C95581	
	Ft.	In.	Ft.	In.
Roof, shale.				
Coal, bony		36 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>		
Shale, brown		31		
Coal		34 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>		
Shale, carbonaceous		31		
Coal		2		
Shale, carbonaceous		32		
Coal	2	10		
Bone and shale	3	11		
Shale	1	0		
Roof, bone, coal and shale			3	8 0
Coal, bright (bottom bench)	3	4 9		4 9
Floor, shale, soft, smooth.				
Thickness of bed	11	11	4	9
Thickness of sample	3	0	4	9

<sup>1</sup> Upper bench. <sup>2</sup> Lower bench. <sup>3</sup> Not included in sample.

Sample C95581 was taken 300 feet north of bottom of inside slope along 5 level north, 11 chute, in by rib, 8 feet above gangway, of the lower bench. Sample C96786 was taken at the pump station 5 level north, 200 feet north of bottom of inside slope.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the washery lump coal over 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-inch round-hole size is picked, and the undersize is washed on an Elmore jig and Deister tables. The washed sizes produced are 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>- by 1-inch, 1- by <sup>3</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch, and <sup>3</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-inch by 0.

RENTON. COAL CREEK PROSPECT

Analysis D65685 (p. 34). High-volatile C bituminous or subbituminous A coal, King County field, New-castle-Issaquah district, from Coal Creek prospect, a slope (truck) mine 608 feet above sea level in SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> sec. 26, T. 24 N., R. 5 E., 10 miles northeast of Renton. Coal bed, No. 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>; Puget formation; dip, 38° N.; strike, N. 70° W. The bed was measured and sampled at face of water-level gangway, 800 feet west of portal,

by M. R. Geer (USBM) June 1, 1951, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Coal Creek prospect*

Laboratory No.....	D65685	
	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal.....	--	5 1/2
Clay.....	--	1 1/4
Coal.....	--	1 3/4
Clay.....	--	1 1/4
Coal.....	--	1
Clay.....	--	1/8
Coal.....	--	6 1/2
Clay.....	--	1 3/4
Coal.....	--	5 1/2
Clay.....	--	1 1 1/2
Bone.....	--	1 2 3/4
Clay.....	--	1 2
Coal.....	--	4 1/2
Clay.....	--	1 4
Coal.....	--	5
Clay.....	--	1 1/2
Coal.....	1	0
Shale.....	--	1
Coal.....	--	3
Thickness of bed.....	4	9 7/8
Thickness of sample.....	3	8 3/4

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. Coal is shot from the solid. The mine is new, and the daily production from advance workings is 30 tons. The coal is trucked to a washery at Newcastle.

**RENTON. IVAN JONES PROSPECT**

Analysis B78372 (p. 34). Subbituminous A or high-volatile C bituminous coal, King County field, Renton-Cedar Mountain district, from a prospect 500 feet above sea level in NW 1/4 sec. 25, T. 23 N., R. 5 E., 5 miles southeast of Renton. Coal bed, unnamed; Puget formation; dip, 30° S; strike, N. 75° E.; cover at point sampled, 200 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at face of a slope 25 feet south of the portal by M. R. Geer (USBM) May 29, 1942.

*Section of coal bed in Ivan Jones prospect*

Laboratory No.....	B78372	
	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Roof, shale, soft.....		
Bone, soft.....	1	1
Coal.....	--	8
Bone, soft.....	--	1 6
Coal, firm, bright.....	1	4
Bone, soft.....	--	1 6
Coal.....	--	7
Bone and shale.....	--	1 2
Coal.....	--	6
Floor, shale, hard.....		
Thickness of bed.....	5	3
Thickness of sample.....	3	1

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

At the time of sampling the property was in the prospect stage; it is 500 feet east of the old Cavanaugh mine, and the bed is probably No. 2 of the Cavanaugh mine.

**RENTON. NEWCASTLE MINE**

Analysis D18546 (p. 34). Subbituminous A coal, King County field, Newcastle-Issaquah district, from Newcastle mine, a slope (truck) mine 785 feet above sea level in SE 1/4 sec. 27, T. 24 N., R. 5 E., 9 miles northeast of Renton at old town of Newcastle. Coal bed, Jones; Puget formation; dip, 35° N.; strike, S. 70° E.; cover at point sampled, approximately 250 feet. The bed was measured and sampled 1,300 feet southeast of slope on 1 level, in chute 24 1/2, 110 feet above gangway, by Joseph Daniels (USBM) May 13, 1949, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Newcastle mine*

Laboratory No.....	D18546	
	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Roof, shale, sandy, firm.....		
Coal, bright, firm.....	--	11
Shale, brown, hard, with coal streaks.....	--	1 9
Shale, gray, hard, variable.....	--	1 2
Shale, black, with coal streaks.....	--	1 10
Shale, gray, soft.....	--	1 3
Coal, bright, soft.....	--	8
Shale, brown, soft.....	--	1 2
Coal, bright, soft.....	3	4
Shale, brown, hard.....	--	1 2
Floor, shale, sandy, hard, smooth.....		
Thickness of bed.....	7	3
Thickness of sample.....	4	11

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. The average daily production was 100 tons; the life of the mine was estimated to be 10 years. At the tippie the coal is passed over 4-inch round-hole, shaker screens and picked to produce lump; the undersize is washed in an Elmore jig and screened to produce 4- by 1-inch round-hole Egg-Nut, 1-inch round-hole by 1/4-inch square-hole stoker, and minus-1/4-inch.

**RENTON. TALBOT MINE**

Analysis C21559 (p. 35). Subbituminous A or high-volatile C bituminous coal, King County coalfield, Renton-Cedar Mountain district, from Talbot mine, a shaft (truck) mine 319 feet above sea level in SW 1/4 sec. 29, T. 23 N., R. 5 E., 2 1/2 miles south of Renton. Coal bed, Springbrook; Puget formation; dip, 55° S.; strike, E.-W.; cover at point sampled, 370 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at face of 1 east gangway, 15 feet inby chute, 1,250 feet east of shaft bottom, by M. R. Geer (USBM) on May 22, 1944, as described below:



## Section of middle bench of coal bed in Talbot mine

Laboratory No.-----	C21559	
	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Roof, not exposed.		
Shale (immediate roof)-----		
Bone-----		<sup>1</sup> 6
Coal, partly bony-----	1	3
Shale, brown-----		<sup>1</sup> 2
Coal-----	1	7
Shale, brown-----		<sup>1</sup> 1
Coal-----	1	4 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Clay, soft-----		<sup>1</sup> 3
Coal-----		11
Clay, brown, soft-----		<sup>1</sup> 1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Coal-----	4	7
Bone-----		<sup>1</sup> 4
Clay, soft-----		<sup>1</sup> 2
Shale (immediate floor)-----		
Floor, not exposed.		
Thickness of bed exposed-----	11	4
Thickness of sample-----	9	8 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

The section measured is the middle bench of the bed; the total bed is approximately 50 feet thick.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the tippie the coal is passed over shaker screens having 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-inch round holes, and the lump is picked; the undersize is washed in a Forrester jig and sized on revolving screens into through 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-inch round-hole and over 1-inch square-hole Egg; through 1-inch and over <sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch square-hole stoker; and through <sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch square-hole slack.

## KITITAS COUNTY

## RONALD. ROSLYN NO 3 MINE

Analysis B56460 (p. 36). High-volatile A bituminous coal, Roslyn-Cle Elum field, Roslyn district, from Roslyn No. 3 mine, a slope mine 2,570 feet above sea level in SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> sec. 7, T. 20 N., R. 15 E., at Ronald on the Northern Pacific Railroad. Coal bed, Roslyn (No. 5); Roslyn formation; cover at point sampled, 560 feet. The bed was sampled on the timber incline at the face of Pioneer entry at barrier along west line, sec. 19, T. 20 N., R. 15 E., by H. F. Yancey (USBM) September 26, 1940, as described below:

## Section of coal bed in Roslyn No. 3 mine

Laboratory No.-----	B56460	
	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Roof, shale, smooth.		
Shale (immediate roof)-----		<sup>1</sup> 7
Coal, bony-----		<sup>1</sup> 3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Coal-----		9 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Shale-----		<sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub>
Coal-----		8 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Shale, hard-----		<sup>1</sup> 1
Coal-----		7
Shale, hard-----		3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Coal-----		2 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Shale-----		<sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub>
Coal-----	1	6
Shale-----		<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Coal-----		2
Shale, coal streaks-----		<sup>1</sup> 2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Floor, shale, sandy, rough.		
Thickness of bed-----	4	11
Thickness of sample-----	4	3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, room-and-pillar. Some of the coal is undercut or sheared with machines, shot with permissible explosive, and loaded with shaking conveyors; recently some was mined with continuous miners. At the tippie virtually all of the coal is crushed to pass a 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-inch screen and shipped by rail to a central cleaning plant, where it is washed in jigs and tables. The smaller sizes are dried in both centrifugal and heat driers. Sizes prepared are: 3<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>- to 1<sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch, 1<sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub>- to <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch, <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>- to <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-inch to 0, and combinations.

## ROSLYN. ROSLYN NO. 5 MINE

Analysis B56536 (p. 38). High-volatile A bituminous coal, Roslyn-Cle Elum field, Roslyn district, from Roslyn No. 5 mine, a slope mine 2,176 feet above sea level in sec. 21, T. 20 N., R. 15 E., 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> miles southeast of Roslyn on the Northern Pacific Railroad. Coal bed, Roslyn (No. 5); Roslyn formation; cover at point sampled, 645 feet. The bed was measured and sampled on 1 south entry, 160 feet east of the west line, sec. 28, T. 20 N., R. 15 E., by H. F. Yancey (USBM) September 26, 1940, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Roslyn No. 5 mine*

Laboratory No.....	B56536	
Roof, shale, rough.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Shale (immediate roof).....	8	
Shale, coal streaks.....	1 <sup>1</sup> 2	
Coal.....	1	4
Shale.....		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal.....		5
Shale.....		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal.....		8 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Clay, coal streaks.....	1 <sup>1</sup> 4 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub>	
Coal.....	1	10 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Shale, coal streaks.....		2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Floor, shale, hard, rough.		
Thickness of bed.....	5	13 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Thickness of sample.....	4	7

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining and preparation same as that described for Roslyn No. 3 mine.

## LEWIS COUNTY

## CENTRALIA. BELLE SLOPE MINE

Analyses C23747 to C23749 (p. 39). Subbituminous B coal, Southwestern field, Centralia-Chehalis district, from the Belle Slope mine, a slope and drift (truck) mine 256 feet above sea level in SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> sec. 34, T. 15 N., R. 1 W., 9 miles northeast of Centralia. Coal bed, Smith; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 14° NE.; strike, S. 50° E.; cover at points sampled, 100 to 225 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at 2 points by H. F. Yancey (USBM) and M. R. Geer (USBM) July 7, 1944, as described below:

*Sections of coal bed in Belle Slope mine*

Laboratory No.....	C23747		C23748	
Roof, shale.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal, bony.....	1 <sup>1</sup> 3	6	1 <sup>1</sup> 3	6
Sandstone.....		1 <sup>1</sup> 8		1 <sup>1</sup> 8
Coal (immediate roof).....	1 <sup>1</sup> 2	6	1 <sup>1</sup> 2	6
Coal.....	3	0	2	2
Shale, brown.....		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal.....	1	5 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>		9
Shale, brown.....		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub>		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal.....		2		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Shale.....		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal.....		2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Shale.....		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal.....	1	6		2 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Shale.....				1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal.....				4
Shale.....				1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub>
Coal.....				5
Shale.....				1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub>
Coal.....				1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Shale.....				1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal.....				2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Shale.....				1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal.....				1
Shale.....				1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Coal.....			1	2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Floor, shale, sandy.				
Thickness of bed.....	13	7 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>8</sub>	12	9 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Thickness of sample.....	6	3 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub>	5	9 <sup>3</sup> / <sub>4</sub>

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

Sample C23747 was taken 100 feet south of the slope on rib of 1 south entry at a vertical depth from surface of 225 feet. Sample C23748 was taken 350 feet west of the portal on back entry of water-level drift at a vertical depth of 100 feet from the surface. Of the series now known and exposed on the property, this bed is the third from the top.

The ultimate analysis of a composite made by combining samples C23747 and C23748 is given under laboratory No. C23749.

This mine was opened in 1943 by driving a water-level gangway and a slope from the outcrop.

System of mining, room-and-pillar. The coal is undercut with shortwall machines and shot with black powder. Shaker screens with 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub>-inch openings have been installed at the tippie to size the coal.

## CENTRALIA. BLACK BADGER MINE

Analysis D30894 (p. 39). Weathered coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from Black Badger mine, a drift (truck) mine 175 feet above sea level in NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> sec. 6, T. 14 N., R. 1 W., 4<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> miles northeast of Centralia. Coal bed Tono No. 1 (?); Skookumchuck formation; dip, 10° SE. to SW. (variable); strike, NE. to NW. (variable); cover at point sampled, 15 feet. The bed was measured and sampled (weathered sample) 25 feet northeast of the portal in main entry by M. R. Geer (USBM) and W. A. Olds (USBM) November 15, 1949, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Black Badger mine*

Laboratory No.....	D30894	
Roof, sandstone:	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Clay (immediate roof).....		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal.....		4
Clay, sandy.....		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal.....		6
Bone.....		1 <sup>1</sup>
Coal.....		10
Bone.....		1 <sup>1</sup>
Coal.....	1	0
Shale and bone.....		1 <sup>1</sup>
Coal.....		7 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Bone and shale.....		1 <sup>1</sup>
Coal.....	1	1
Shale, coal streaks.....		10 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Coal.....		3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Shale.....		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Coal.....		4 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Shale.....		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Coal.....		11 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>
Bone.....		1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>7</sub>
Floor, sandstone:		
Thickness of bed.....	7	11 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub>
Thickness of sample.....	6	0

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

This mine has not reported production since 1940; the system of mining at that time was room-and-pillar. The coal was shot from the solid.

## CENTRALIA. COLUMBIA MINE

Analysis D3092 (p. 39). Subbituminous B coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from Columbia mine, a slope (truck) mine 300 feet above sea level in E<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>NW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, sec. 10, T. 14 N., R. 1 W., 8 miles east of Centralia. Coal bed, Mendota No. 3; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 3°-4° SW.; strike, N. N.

55° W.; cover at point sampled, approximately 200 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at face of 25 room, 1 level east, 1,000 feet southeast of the slope bottom, near boundary of property, by Joseph Daniels (USBM) October 10, 1948, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Columbia mine*

Laboratory No.....	D3092	
	Ft.	In.
Roof, sandstone, soft.		
Coal, bright.....	8	
Shale parting.....	1 1/2	
Coal, bright, hard.....	11	
Shale, hard.....	1 1/2	
Coal, firm.....	11	
Coal, banded.....	4	
Coal.....	1	2
Shale, hard.....	1 1/2	
Coal.....	1	0
Shale, hard.....	1 2 3/4	
Coal, thin shale bottom.....	2	
Coal, thin parting at bottom.....	1	0
Coal.....	1	3
Shale, hard.....	1 1/2	
Coal.....	1	0
Shale, hard.....	1 1/2	
Coal, variable.....	5	
Floor, not determined.		
Thickness of bed.....	9	3 1/4
Thickness of sample.....	8	10

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

At the place of sampling a roll is present in the bottom of the bed, and the true thickness and the nature of the floor could not be determined.

System of mining, room-and-pillar. The coal is undercut by machine and shot with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the daily production was 50 tons. At the tippie the coal is passed over a 3-inch round-hole shaker screen. The oversize is shipped as lump coal. The undersize is washed in a Forrester jig and sized on a trommel screen to produce the following sizes: Range, through 3-inch round-hole and over 1 1/2-inch square-hole; stoker, through 1 1/2-inch and over 3/4-inch square-hole; Buckwheat, through 3/4-inch and over 1/8-inch square-hole.

The bed is believed to be the same as that formerly mined at the Mendota mine, designated as No. 3, described in Technical Paper 491 (p. 170). The presence of cannel coal in this mine and at Mendota tends to confirm the correlation. The property also has been known as the Smith No. 3 mine.

**CENTRALIA. COLUMBIA (SMITH) MINE**

Analysis C1418 (p. 39). Subbituminous C coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from Columbia (Smith) mine, a drift 490 feet above sea level in sec. 10, T. 14 N., R. 1 W., approximately 8 miles east of Centralia. Coal bed, Big Dirty; Skookumchuck formation; dip 2° W., strike N.-S.; cover at point sampled, 45 feet. The bed was measured and sampled on rib of main entry, 150 feet northwest of the opening, by Herbert Fowler (USBM) May 27, 1943, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Columbia (Smith) mine*

Laboratory No.....	C1418	
	Ft.	In.
Roof, shale, sandy.		
Coal, roof.....	1	0
Coal.....		9 1/2
Clay.....		1 1/2
Coal.....	1	1 1/2
Shale, soft.....		1 1/2
Coal.....		7
Shale, soft.....		1 1/2
Coal.....	2	0
Floor, fire clay.		
Thickness of bed.....	5	7 1/2
Thickness of sample.....	4	6

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

The bed is 1 of 3 seams on the Columbia mine property, also known as the Smith mine, and is indicated on old maps as the Jumbo or Gumbo bed. Stratigraphically, the Jumbo bed lies 150 feet above the Smith bed, which in turn, lies 150 feet above the Mendota bed, the lowest bed worked at the Columbia mine.

**CENTRALIA. CRENO PROSPECT**

Analysis D30868 (p. 39). Subbituminous C coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from Creno prospect (upper bed) 340 feet above sea level in SE 1/4 NE 1/4, sec. 9, T. 14 N., R. 1 W., 7 1/4 miles east of Centralia. Coal bed, Little Dirty (?); Skookumchuck formation; dip, 5°-7° S. 70° E.; strike, N. 20° E.; cover at point sampled, 20 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at the face of a prospect drift, 50 feet southeast of the portal, by M. R. Geer (USBM) and W. A. Olds (USBM) November 11, 1944, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Creno prospect*

Laboratory No.....	D30868	
	Ft.	In.
Roof, sandstone.		
Coal.....		7 1/2
Shale, sandy.....	1	
Coal.....	1	0
Shale, sandy.....		1 1/2
Coal.....		3 1/2
Shale.....		1 1/4
Coal.....	1	1/2
Shale.....		1/8
Coal.....		3
Shale.....		1/8
Coal.....		10
Shale.....		1 1/4
Coal.....		5 1/2
Floor, clay, underlain by sandstone.		
Thickness of bed.....	4	8 1/4
Thickness of sample.....	4	6 1/4

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

The bed sampled lies about 40 feet above a second bed, which was not sampled, and 75 to 100 feet above the Mendota bed formerly mined at Kopiah.

## CENTRALIA. KOSTICK NO. 1 PROSPECT

Analysis D30866 (p. 39). Weathered coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from Kostick No. 1 prospect, a prospect 250 feet above sea level in NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 9, T. 14 N., R. 1 W., 6 $\frac{1}{2}$  miles east of Centralia. Coal bed, Lower Thompson; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 26° S. 30° W.; strike, S. 60° E. The bed was measured and sampled (weathered sample) in a prospect trench at the surface by M. R. Geer (USBM) and W. A. Olds (USBM) November 15, 1949, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Kostick No. 1 prospect*

Laboratory No.-----	D30866	
Roof, sandstone.		
Coal-----	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Sandstone-----	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Coal-----	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	
Shale, sandy-----	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Coal-----	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Shale-----	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	
Coal-----	1	
Shale-----	5 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Coal-----	1 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Shale-----	1	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Coal-----	2 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Bone-----	2 $\frac{1}{4}$	
Shale-----	2 $\frac{1}{4}$	
Coal-----	3 $\frac{1}{4}$	
Sandstone-----	6 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Coal-----	7	
Shale-----	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Coal-----	1 $\frac{3}{4}$	
Shale, sandy-----	1	0
Coal-----	1 $\frac{3}{4}$	
Bone and shale, carbonaceous-----	1	6
Floor, shale.		
Thickness of bed-----	8	7
Thickness of sample-----	4	10 $\frac{1}{2}$

## CENTRALIA. KOSTICK NO. 2 PROSPECT

Analysis D30867 (p. 39). Weathered coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from Kostick No. 2 prospect, a prospect 490 feet above sea level in NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 9, T. 14 N., R. 1 W., 6 $\frac{1}{2}$  miles east of Centralia. Coal bed, Smith; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 58° N. 35° E.; strike, S. 55° E. The bed was measured and sampled (weathered sample) in a prospect trench at the surface by M. R. Geer (USBM) and W. A. Olds (USBM), November 11, 1949, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Kostick No. 2 prospect*

Laboratory No.-----	D30867	
Roof, sandstone.		
Carbonaceous material. Thickness over-----	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Sandstone-----	1 $\frac{5}{8}$	10
Coal-----	1	9
Bone and shale-----	1	1
Coal-----	2	2
Shale-----	1 $\frac{1}{4}$	
Coal-----	9	
Shale and bone-----	1	2 $\frac{1}{2}$
Coal-----	11	
Floor, sandstone.		
Thickness of bed sampled-----	4	11 $\frac{3}{4}$
Thickness of sample-----	4	7

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

## CENTRALIA. LARABEE PROSPECT

Analysis D33783 (p. 40). Coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from Larabee prospect, approximately 600 feet above sea level in NW $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 32, T. 15 N., R. 1 E., 14 miles northeast of Centralia. Coal bed, Upper Thompson; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 7° SE.; strike, N. 70° E. The outcrop was measured and sampled at one point by P. D. Snavely, Jr. (USGS), December 29, 1949, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Larabee prospect*

Laboratory No.-----	D33783	
Roof, sandstone.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Bone-----	1	4 $\frac{1}{2}$
Clay-----	1	1 $\frac{3}{4}$
Bone-----	1	3
Coal-----	2	
Bone-----	1	4 $\frac{1}{2}$
Tuff, sandy-----	1	1 $\frac{1}{4}$
Coal, bright-----	6	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Siltstone-----	1	1 $\frac{3}{4}$
Coal-----	4	
Bone-----	1	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Coal, bright-----	10	
Sandstone-----	1	3 $\frac{3}{4}$
Coal-----	7	
Coal, bony-----	6	1 $\frac{1}{2}$
Siltstone-----	1	3 $\frac{1}{2}$
Coal, bony-----	1	0
Siltstone-----	1	1
Coal-----	1	0
Siltstone-----	1	3 $\frac{1}{2}$
Coal, bony-----	4	
Siltstone-----	1	2
Coal-----	5	
Coal, bony-----	3	
Bone-----	1	1
Siltstone-----	1	7
Coal-----	2	0
Base, not exposed.		
Thickness of bed-----	12	7
Thickness of sample-----	8	0

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

## CENTRALIA. LINCOLN MINE

Analysis C1419 (p. 40). Lignite, Lewis County field, Centralia-Chehalis district, from mine formerly called Lincoln, a slope (truck) mine in NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 20, T. 14 N., R. 2 W., 2 $\frac{1}{2}$  miles south of Centralia. Coal bed, unnamed; Skookumchuck formation; strike, NW.; cover at point sampled, 28 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at face of 1 room off slope entry, 110 feet north of entry opening, by Herbert Fowler (USBM) May 27, 1943, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Lincoln mine*

Laboratory No.-----	C1419	
Roof, shale, hard.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal, roof-----	1	0
Coal-----	6	0
Floor, fire clay, hard.		
Total thickness of bed-----	7	0
Thickness of sample-----	6	0

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

The sample was taken at the only available place in the abandoned mine, which was last operated by the Lincoln Coal Mine Co. The property appears to have been worked from 1933 to 1942, first under the name of Lincoln and in 1942 as Midway mine.

#### CENTRALIA. MARTIN MINE

Analysis D8255 (p. 40). Subbituminous C coal, Southwestern field, Centralia-Chehalis district, from Martin mine, a rock tunnel and slope (truck) mine 317 feet above sea level in SW $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 29, T. 15 N., R. 2 W., 2 miles north of Centralia. Coal bed, Tono No. 1 or Foron; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 20° SW. (variable); strike, N. 65° W.; cover at point sampled, 500 feet. The bed was measured and sampled on 2 level west, 850 feet west of slope, on high side of counter 50 feet above gangway, by Joseph Daniels (USBM) December 22, 1948, as described below:

##### Section of coal bed in Martin mine

Laboratory No.....	D8255
Roof, shale, soft.....	Ft. In.
Shale, brown, soft.....	1 6
Coal.....	1 5
Shale, soft.....	1 1/2
Coal.....	1 6
Shale, gray, soft.....	1 1
Coal, firm, hard.....	1 0
Shale, gray, soft.....	1 1
Coal, bright, soft.....	1 5
Clay, brown, soft.....	1 1
Coal, hard, firm.....	1 1
Shale, brown, soft.....	1 1/2
Coal, firm, hard.....	1 1 1/2
Shale, brown, soft.....	1 1/2
Coal, firm, hard.....	1 1 1/2
Shale, brown, soft.....	1 1/2
Coal, firm, hard.....	1 1 1/2
Floor, shale, sandy, hard.....	
Thickness of bed.....	8 9 1/2
Thickness of sample.....	5 11 1/2

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the daily output was 50 tons; the life of the mine is estimated to be 25 years. At the tippie the coal is picked on a chute and passed over a 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch round-hole shaker screen to produce lump and under-size; the under-size is washed in a Forrester jig and sized on vibrator screens. The washed coals produced are: Range, through 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch and over 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch round-hole; Nut-Pea, through 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch round-hole and over 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch square-hole; stoker, through 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch and over  $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch square-hole; Buckwheat, through  $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch square-hole.

Three seams are exposed in the rock tunnel to the slope on the Foron bed; in descending order they are the 30-Inch bed, the 38-Inch bed, and the Nonpareil or Big bed. The Foron bed at the Martin mine is the same as that formerly worked at the Ford's Prairie mine in NW $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 30, T. 15 N., R. 2 W., and in the Peoples Coal Co. mine in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 29, T. 15 N., R. 2 W., immediately adjacent to the Martin mine.

#### CENTRALIA. MONARCH MINE (NEW)

Analysis D3090 (p. 40). Subbituminous C coal, Southwestern field, Centralia-Chehalis district, from

416655°—58—5

Monarch mine (new), a slope mine 488 feet above sea level in sec. 30, T. 15 N., R. 2 W., 5 miles north of Centralia on the Northern Pacific Railroad, the Chicago, Milwaukee, & St. Paul Railway, and the Pacific Railroad. Coal bed, Tono No. 1 or Foron (local name, Monarch No. 1); Skookumchuck formation; dip, 18°–20° SW.; strike, N. 35°–40° W.; cover at point sampled 350 to 375 feet. The bed was measured and sampled on 3 level from 6 plane, 1,100 feet southeast of plane at face of gangway, approximately 250 feet from the east quarter corner of sec. 30, by Joseph Daniels (USBM) October 9, 1948, as described below:

##### Section of coal bed in Monarch mine (new)

Laboratory No.....	D3090
Roof, shale, soft.....	Ft. In.
Sandstone, soft, wet.....	
Shale, sandy.....	
Coal.....	1 2 4
Sand, soft.....	1 1 1/2
Coal, blocky, hard.....	1 1
Shale, sandy.....	1 1 1/2
Coal, blocky.....	1 5 1/2
Shale, brown, variable.....	1 1
Coal, blocky.....	1 10
Do.....	1 6 1/2
Shale, gray, variable.....	1 1
Coal, firm.....	1 5
Floor, shale, hard, smooth.....	
Thickness of bed.....	7 11
Thickness of sample.....	5 4

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

The top bench of coal, which is 2 feet 4 inches thick, is usually left in place and supported by timbers in driving gangways and chutes because the overlying sandstone disintegrates and falls into the mine workings. In some retreating operations this top coal is recovered.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the daily output was 150 tons, and the life of the mine was estimated to be 5 years. At the tippie the coal passes over a shaker screen having 3-inch and 1 $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch round holes. The oversize lump is picked on the screen; range size through 3-inch and over 1 $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch is shipped; and the under-size is sent to a Forrester jig. The washed product is sized on a trommel having 1 $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch and  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch square holes, which yields some range size, through 1 $\frac{3}{4}$  and over  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch stoker, and through  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch and over  $\frac{1}{8}$ -inch (square-hole vibrator screen) Buckwheat; the minus- $\frac{1}{8}$ -inch is rejected.

The mine is operating the property formerly known as Ford's Prairie mine, described in Technical Paper 491 (p. 158).

#### CENTRALIA. MONARCH PROSPECT

Analysis D3091 (p. 40). Subbituminous C coal, Southwestern field, Centralia-Chehalis district, from a prospect opening 380 feet above sea level in sec. 30, T. 15 N., R. 2 W., about  $\frac{3}{4}$  mile from the tippie of the Monarch mine on the road from Plant No. 6. Coal bed, Upper Thompson; Shookumchuck formation; dip, 20°–25° SW.; strike, N. 30°–35° W.; cover at point sampled, 100 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at the face of a prospect drift 150 feet west of the open-

ing by Joseph Daniels (USBM) October 9, 1948, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Monarch prospect*

Laboratory No.....	D3091	
Roof, not visible.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal.....	1	6
Sand, soft.....	1	1
Coal.....		2
Shale, sandy.....		1 1/2
Coal.....	1	5
Shale and coal, variable.....		2
Coal.....		10 1/2
Shale, sandy.....		2
Coal.....		10
Shale and coaly streak.....		3
Coal.....	1	10
Shale, hard, variable.....		1
Coal.....		5
Shale, hard.....		1
Coal.....		3
Shale, hard.....		1
Coal.....		9
Floor, shale, hard, smooth.		
Thickness of bed.....	9	0
Thickness of sample.....	8	1/2

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

This bed underlies the Tono No. 1 or Foran at approximately 170 feet stratigraphic interval and may be the equivalent of the locally named Nonpariel bed.

**CENTRALIA. MORRIS PROSPECT**

Analysis B93658 (p. 41). Coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from Morris prospect approximately 350 feet above sea level in N 1/2 sec. 2, T. 14 N., R. 1 W., northeast of Columbia mine, 8 miles east of Centralia. Coal bed, unnamed; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 5° (approximately); strike, SW.; cover at point sampled, 20 feet. The bed was measured at the face of a drift, 20 feet from the portal, by Herbert Fowler (USBM) January 15, 1943, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Morris prospect*

Laboratory No.....	B93658	
Roof, sandstone, hard.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal.....	3	2
Shale.....		1
Coal.....	1	0
Shale.....		1 1/2
Coal.....	1	8 1/2
Shale.....		1 3/4
Coal.....	2	1/2
Floor, sandstone, hard.		
Thickness of bed.....	8	1 1/4
Thickness of sample.....	7	11

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

**CHEHALIS. NEWAUKUM PROSPECT**

Analysis C64088 (p. 41). Subbituminous B coal, Southwestern field, Chehalis-Centralia district, from a prospect shaft in NW 1/4 NE 1/4 sec. 24, T. 14 N., R. 1 W., 11 miles east of Chehalis. Coal bed, unnamed;

Skookumchuck formation; dip, 0°-5° SW.; strike, SE.-NW. The bed was measured and sampled at the surface by M. R. Geer (USBM) October 16, 1946, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Newaukum prospect*

Laboratory No.....	C64088	
Roof, gravel.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal.....	1	1 1/2
Clay, brown.....		1/8
Coal.....	1	4
Clay, brown.....		1
Coal.....		5
Clay, brown.....		1
Coal.....	1	2
Clay, brown.....		1 1/2
Coal.....	1	8
Floor, fire clay.		
Thickness of bed.....	10	8 1/8
Thickness of sample.....	10	4 5/8

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

**CHEHALIS. VAL MYER PROSPECT**

Analysis B93644 (p. 41). Coal, Southwestern field, Cinnabar district, from a prospect approximately 900 feet above sea level in sec. 10, T. 13 N., R. 1 E., 15 miles southeast of Chehalis and 5 miles northeast of Onalaska on Alpha Prairie. Coal bed, unnamed; Puget formation; dip, 16°; strike, N. 58° W. The bed was measured and sampled at the surface by Herbert Fowler (USBM) January 13, 1943, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Val Myer prospect*

Laboratory No.....	B93644	
Roof, variable.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal, roof.....		15
Coal.....	1	9
Shale, soft.....		1 3/4
Coal.....		3 1/2
Smut or mud, soft.....		1 1 3/4
Coal.....	2	9
Shale, hard.....		1 1 5/8
Coal.....	1	1
Shale, hard.....		1 1 1/2
Coal.....		4 1/2
Floor, fire clay, soft.		
Thickness of bed.....	7	1 5/8
Thickness of sample.....	6	3

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

This bed is probably the one mentioned in Bulletin 19, Washington Geological Survey, The Coal Fields of Southwestern Washington, by H. E. Culver (pp. 105 and 106), as appearing in sec. 10, T. 13 N., R. 1 E., in 2 small creeks just above their junction in the SE 1/4 NE 1/4.

**MORTON. ATLAS (HI-CARBON) MINE**

Analysis C28183 (p. 41). High-volatile C bituminous coal, Southwestern field, Mineral Lake district, from Atlas (Hi-Carbon) mine, a drift (truck) mine 1,150 feet above sea level in sec. 12, T. 12 N., R. 4 E., 2 miles southeast of Morton. Coal bed, Hi-Carbon;

Puget formation; dip, 22° SW.; strike, N. 45° W.; cover at point sampled, 200 feet. The bed was measured and sampled in 1 right entry off old slope on high rib, 60 feet in by slope air course, by M. R. Geer (USBM) October 16, 1944, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Atlas mine*

Laboratory No.....	C28183	
Roof, sandstone, rough.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Bone (immediate roof)	1	8
Bone and coal	1	4
Coal	1	5
Shale, hard	1	2
Coal	1	11
Shale, carbonaceous, soft	1	5
Coal	1	0
Shale, carbonaceous, soft	1	5
Coal	1	5
Shale, hard	1	1 1/2
Coal	1	5
Shale, carbonaceous, soft	1	4
Floor, sandstone.		
Thickness of bed	8	6 1/2
Thickness of sample	5	2

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, room-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with nonpermissible explosives. At the time of sampling the mine was being reopened and produced 10 tons daily, all from advance workings. Only run-of-mine coal was being shipped. This mine was formerly known as Hi-Carbon mine.

**MORTON. SUNBURST MINE**

Analysis B96595 (p. 41). Low-volatile bituminous coal, Southwestern field, Mineral Lake district, from Sunburst mine, a drift (truck) mine approximately 1,100 feet above sea level in sec. 12, T. 14 N., R. 4 E., 3 miles west of Mineral and 13 miles north of Morton by road. Coal bed No. 2?; Puget formation; dip, 45°; strike, S.-W.; cover at point sampled, 125 feet. The bed was measured and sampled on rib of air course 300 feet southwest of the entry by Herbert Fowler (USBM) February 26, 1943, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Sunburst mine*

Laboratory No.....	B96595	
Roof, sandstone.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Shale	1	6
Tarlike residue, soft	1	1 1/4
Coal, bright	3	0
Floor, shale, hard.		
Thickness of section	3	1 1/4
Thickness of sample	3	0

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

**TOLEDO. GRAHAM BROS. & MEDLEY PROSPECT**

Analysis C7246 (p. 41). Lignite, Southwestern field, Kelso-Castle Rock district, from a prospect shaft 494 feet above sea level in SW 1/4 sec. 15, T. 11 N., R. 1 E., 9 miles east of Toledo. Coal bed, unnamed; Puget formation; dip, 7° W.; strike, N.-S.; cover at point sampled, 10 feet. The bed was measured and sampled

by H. F. Yancey (USBM) in a prospect shaft 3,500 feet west and 1,100 feet north of the southeast corner of sec. 15; September 10, 1943, as described below:

*Section of coal bed, Graham Bros. & Medley prospect*

Laboratory No.....	C7246	
Roof, clay, soft.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal	<sup>1</sup> 1	3 1/2
Do	4	2 1/2
Coal, soft		<sup>1</sup> 3
Coal	1	0
Shale, sandy		<sup>1</sup> 1
Coal		6 1/2
Clay, sandy		<sup>1</sup> 4 1/2
Coal		8
Clay, sandy		<sup>1</sup> 2 1/2
Coal		11 1/4
Shale, sandy		<sup>1</sup> 3/4
Coal	1	10 3/4
Do	2	8 1/2
Clay		<sup>1</sup> 1 1/2
Coal		1 1/2
Clay		<sup>1</sup> 1
Coal		7 3/4
Clay		<sup>1</sup> 1 1/4
Coal		1/2
Clay		<sup>1</sup> 1
Coal		6
Clay		<sup>1</sup> 2 1/2
Coal		7
Shale, sandy		<sup>1</sup> 1
Coal	2	6
Clay		<sup>1</sup> 2
Coal	1	11
Clay		<sup>1</sup> 3 1/4
Coal	4	0
Clay		<sup>1</sup> 1 1/4
Coal		10 3/4
Clay		<sup>1</sup> 1 1/2
Coal	1	2 1/2
Floor, clay, hard.		
Thickness of bed	97	9
Thickness of sample	24	4 1/2

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

Eight benches of the bed were sampled on the wall of the prospect shaft.

An additional sample weighing 9 tons was cut from the bed in the prospect shaft. This was screened through a 1 1/2-inch round-hole screen at the Seattle Station, and the undersize was washed in a jig. Analyses of coal from washing tests are reported in Report of Investigations 3795, Preparation Tests of Lignite From a Deposit Near Toledo, Lewis County, Wash., by H. F. Yancey and M. R. Geer, published in 1945.

More data are given in Technical Paper 699, Exploration, Reserves, Bed Characteristics, and Strip-Mining Possibilities of a Lignite Deposit near Toledo, Lewis County, Wash., by Albert L. Toenges, Louis A. Turnbull, and Willard A. Cole, published in 1947.

**PIERCE COUNTY**

**WILKESON. BARTOY MINE**

Analyses B53204 to B53207 (p. 41). High-volatile A bituminous coal, Pierce County field, Wilkeson-Carbonado district, from Bartoy mine, a drift mine 870 feet above sea level in SW 1/4 sec. 27, T. 19 N., R. 6 E., at

Wilkeson. Coal bed, No. 2, east dip; Puget formation; dip, 53° E.; strike, S. 31° E.; cover at point sampled, 100 to 140 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at three points by M. R. Geer (USBM) and A. Centenero (USBM) May 14 and 15, 1940, as described below:

*Sections of coal bed, east dip, in Bartoy mine*

Section..... Laboratory No.....	A B53204		B B53205		C B53206	
	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Roof, sandstone.						
Shale, carbonaceous, and bone.....	1	4	2	6	1	0
Coal, soft.....	11					
Shale, pyritic.....	1	1				
Coal, soft.....	2	6				
Coal, firm.....	1	8				
Shale, carbonaceous.....	1	2				
Coal.....			5		3	1/2
Bone.....			1	2	1	1 1/2
Coal.....			10	1/2	10	1/2
Shale.....			1	1	1	1
Coal, bright.....			3			
Shale.....			1	1		
Coal, bright.....			6		8	
Shale.....			1	1 1/2	1	1
Coal, bright.....			2	2	1	7
Shale, carbonaceous.....			1	2	1	2
Floor, shale, sandy, hard.						
Total thickness of bed exposed.....	5	8	7	4	4	10 1/2
Thickness of sample.....	5	1	4	2 1/2	3	5

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

Sample B53204 was taken in 7 chute, 15 feet above drift; sample B53205, in 5 chute, 1 crosscut above counter; and sample B53206, in 4 chute at counter.

The ultimate analysis of a composite made by combining samples B53204 to B53206 is given under laboratory No. B53207.

The bed is reported as disturbed by folding and faulting and varies considerably both in thickness and character. At the only point in the mine where the entire bed was exposed it measured 8 feet 7 1/2 inches between the main walls. An unmeasured thickness of carbonaceous shale and bone, the immediate roof, lies between all of the sections sampled and the main sandstone roof.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the mine was in the development stage and produced 15 tons daily; the life of the mine was estimated to be 10 years. The coal was screened on a 1 1/2-inch bar screen, the oversize was hand-picked, and the undersize was cleaned in a tub washer. A small amount of washed coal was coked in beehive ovens at Wilkeson.

A large sample for a carbonization test was collected near the point at which sample B53204 was taken, washed at the Seattle station, and shipped to Pitts-

burgh. Analysis of the delivered washed coal is given under laboratory No. 54199.

Carbonizing properties and petrographic composition of this coal are described in Technical Paper 649, Carbonizing Properties and Petrographic Composition of No. 2 Bed Coal From Bartoy Mine and No. 5 Bed Coal From Wilkeson-Miller Mine, Wilkeson, Pierce County, Wash., by J. D. Davis, D. A. Reynolds, G. C. Sprunk, C. R. Holmes, and J. T. McCartney, published in 1942.

**WILKESON. CHAMPION MINE**

Analysis C54014 (p. 41). High-volatile A bituminous coal, Pierce County field, Wilkeson-Carbonado district, from Champion mine, a slope (truck) mine 900 feet above sea level in SE 1/4 sec. 22, T. 19 N., R. 6 E., 2 miles northeast of Wilkeson. Coal bed, Champion; Puget formation; dip, 58° N. 70° E.; strike, N. 20° W.; cover at point sampled, 300 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at the return air course, 25 feet above 2 slope entry, by M. R. Geer (USBM), February 1, 1946, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Champion mine*

Laboratory No.....	C54014	
	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Roof, shale, brown.		
Coal, friable.....	1	4
Clay, bone streaks.....	1	10
Coal, bony.....		5
Clay, brown.....		12
Coal, friable.....	1	2
Clay, brown.....		12
Coal, firm.....	1	8
Clay, brown (immediate footwall).....	1	0
Floor, sandstone.		
Thickness of bed.....	6	9
Thickness of sample.....	4	7

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the mine was new, producing 10 tons per day from development work. The coal was screened on a 1 1/4-inch bar screen, the oversize was hand-picked, and the undersize was cleaned in a Robinson tub washer.

**WILKESON. SKOOKUM MINE**

Analysis C16487 (p. 41). High-volatile A bituminous coal, Pierce County field, Wilkeson-Carbonado district, from Skookum mine, a slope mine 860 feet above sea level in SW 1/4 sec. 27, T. 19 N., R. 6 E., at Wilkeson. Coal bed, No. 3, west dip; Puget formation; dip, 80° W.; strike, N.-S.; cover at point sampled, 900 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at the face of 3 south gangway, 130 feet in by 2 coal-angle chute, 1,400 feet in by slope bottom, by M. R. Geer (USBM) February 29, 1944, as described below:



*Section of coal bed, west dip, in Skookum mine*

Laboratory No.-----	C16487
Roof, shale, hard.	<i>Ft. In.</i>
Bone, coal streaks.....	1 8
<b>Coal, bright, friable</b> .....	1 1½
Shale, brown, hard.....	1 1½
<b>Coal, bright, friable</b> .....	1 4
Shale, brown, hard.....	1 5½
<b>Coal, bright, friable</b> .....	1 5
Bone.....	1 11½
<b>Coal, bright, friable</b> .....	1 4
Bone.....	1 1
<b>Coal, bright</b> .....	3
Bone.....	1 1
<b>Coal, bright, friable</b> .....	8
<b>Coal, bony, hard</b> .....	1 9
Shale, brown, hard.....	1 9
Shale, carbonaceous, bone, soft, slickensided.	9 9
Floor, sandstone, hard.	
Thickness of bed.....	19 10
Thickness of sample.....	6 10½

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is undercut with machines and shot with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the mine was in the development stage and produced 255 tons daily, all from advance workings. The entire output was passed over a Bradford breaker and vibrating screens and then washed on Vissac jigs and tables. Sizes of washed coal produced were 1- by ¼-inch and 1-inch by 0.

**WILKESON. SPARTON MINE**

Analysis D31102 (p. 41). High-volatile A bituminous coal, Pierce County field, Wilkeson-Carbonado district, from Sparton mine, a slope (truck) mine approximately 700 feet above sea level in SE¼ sec. 15, T. 19 N., R. 6 E., 3 miles north of Wilkeson. Coal bed, Champion; Puget formation; dip, 63° NE.; strike, S. 25° E.; cover at point sampled, 400 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at the face of 1-level gangway, 80 feet southeast of slope bottom, by M. R. Geer (USBM) November 21, 1949, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Sparton mine*

Laboratory No.-----	D31102
Roof, sandstone.	<i>Ft. In.</i>
Bone (immediate roof).....	1 6
<b>Coal</b> .....	1 1½
Bone.....	1 1½
Clay.....	1 3½
Bone.....	1 1½
Clay.....	1 1
<b>Coal</b> .....	5
Shale, brown.....	1 2
<b>Coal</b> .....	2 1½
Shale, sandy.....	1 ¾
<b>Coal</b> .....	1 3½
Bone (immediate floor).....	1 1½
Clay.....	1 5
<b>Coal</b> .....	1 5
Sandstone.....	1 2
Bone and shale, carbonaceous.....	1 7½
Floor, shale, carbonaceous.	
Thickness of bed.....	7 10¾
Thickness of sample.....	4 10½

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the mine was new, and only a small amount of coal from advance workings was being produced. The coal is passed over a 1½-inch bar screen and picked, and the undersize is washed in a Forrester jig.

**WILKESON. WILKESON-MILLER MINE**

Analyses B53925 to B53927 (p. 41). High-volatile A bituminous coal, Pierce County field, Wilkeson-Carbonado district, from Wilkeson-Miller mine, a drift mine 890 feet above sea level in SE¼, sec. 28, T. 19 N., R. 6 E., at Wilkeson on the Northern Pacific Railroad. Coal bed, No. 5 (Miller), west dip, Puget formation; dip, 65° W.; strike, S. 24° W.; cover at points sampled, 195 to 215 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at 2 points by M. R. Geer (USBM) and A. Centenero (USBM) June 17, 1940, as described below:

*Sections of coal bed, west dip, in Wilkeson-Miller mine*

Section.....	A B53925		B B53926	
Laboratory No.....				
Roof, sandstone, hard, roly.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal, firm.....	---	4	---	3
Shale, brown, soft.....	---	1 3/4	---	1 3/4
Coal, firm.....	3	6	4	2
Floor, bone, firm, 20 in. thick.				
Thickness of bed.....	3	10 3/4	4	5 3/4
Thickness of sample.....	3	10	4	5

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

Sample B53925 was taken at the face of lower drift, 450 feet south of portal. Sample B53926 was taken in 1 chute at counter, lower drift, 425 feet south of portal.

The ultimate analysis of a composite made by combining samples B53925 and B53926 is given under laboratory No. B53927.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the time of sampling the drift opening was under development. Coal was screened over a 1 1/4-inch bar screen and the oversize picked.

A large sample for a carbonization test was collected near the point at which sample B53925 was taken, washed at the Seattle Station, and shipped to Pittsburgh. Analysis of the delivered washed coal is given under laboratory No. B55065.

Carbonizing properties and petrographic composition of this coal are described in Technical Paper 649, Carbonizing Properties and Petrographic Composition of No. 2 Bed Coal From Bartoy Mine and No. 5 Bed Coal From Wilkeson-Miller Mine, Wilkeson, Pierce County, Wash., by J. D. Davis, D. A. Reynolds, G. C. Sprunk, C. R. Holmes, and J. T. McCartney, 1942.

#### WILKESON. WILKESON-WINGATE MINE

Analyses D26934 and D26935 (p. 42). Medium-volatile bituminous coal, Pierce County field, Wilkeson-Carbonado district, from Wilkeson-Wingate mine, a drift mine 850 feet above sea level in SW 1/4 sec. 27, T. 19 N., R. 6 E., at Wilkeson on the Northern Pacific Railroad. Coal bed, No. 4 (Wingate), east dip; Puget formation; dip, 59° NE.; strike, S. 25° E.; cover at point sampled, approximately 400 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at 2 points near the face of 2-level gangway, approximately 2,500 feet southeast

of slope bottom in SE 1/4 sec. 27, by Joseph Daniels (USBM) September 8, 1949, as described below:

*Sections of coal bed, east dip, in Wilkeson-Wingate mine*

Section.....	A D26934		B D26935	
Laboratory No.....				
Roof, sandstone.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal, hard.....	---	10 1/2	1	4 1/2
Shale, carbonaceous, soft.....	---	1 3	---	---
Shale, carbonaceous, soft, variable thickness.....				1 1/2 to 2
Coal, soft.....		7		
Shale, carbonaceous, soft.....		1 5		
Coal, soft.....	1	3		
Coal, hard.....			1	3
Floor, shale, sandy, hard.				
Thickness of bed.....	3	4 1/2	2	9 1/2
Thickness of sample.....	2	8 1/2	2	7 1/2

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

Sample D26934 was taken at face of the 2-level gangway, 2,500 feet southeast of slope bottom. Sample D26935 was taken in 4 1/2 chute, 25 feet above gangway, inside rib, approximately 2,400 feet southeast of slope bottom on 2 level.

System of mining, chute-and-pillar. The coal is shot from the solid with permissible explosives. At the tippie the coal is picked on a 1 1/2-inch bar screen as lump, and the coal passing the screen is washed in a Forrester jig and sold as steam coal. The coal is friable, and little lump is produced. Some lump coal is mixed with the washed 1 1/2-inch product of the jig and sold as run-of-mine.

## THURSTON COUNTY

### BUCODA. BOXER MINE

Analysis D30865 (p. 42). Weathered coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from Boxer mine, a slope (truck) mine 340 feet above sea level in NE 1/4 sec. 14, T. 15 N., R. 2 W., 1 1/2 miles southwest of Bucoda. Coal bed, Mendota; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 10° S.; 30° E.; strike, S. 60° W.; cover at point sampled, 10 feet. The bed was measured and sampled on the southeast rib of slope 15 feet in by the portal by M. R. Geer (USBM) and W. A. Olds (USBM) November 14, 1949, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Boxer mine*

Laboratory No.....	D30865	
Roof, shale.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal (immediate roof).....		
Coal.....	3	
Clay.....	1	3
Coal.....	4	
Clay.....	1	2½
Coal.....	2	6
Clay, blue.....	1	5
Coal.....	3	
Bone.....	1	1
Coal.....	11	
Clay.....	1	5½
Coal, bony.....	4	
Bone.....	1	5½
Coal.....	6	
Remainder of bed not measurable.....		
Floor, sandstone.		
Thickness of bed visible.....	6	11½
Thickness of sample.....	5	1

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

At the time of sampling the mine was inactive. Production had been reported from November 1939 to June 1943.

**BUCODA. D. & F. PROSPECT**

Analysis C96368 (p. 43). Coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from an outcrop approximately 250 feet above sea level in sec. 18, T. 15 N., R. 1 E., 8 miles east of Bucoda. Coal bed, Upper Thompson; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 30° W.; strike, N.-S. The bed was measured and coal sampled by M. R. Geer (USBM) June 8, 1949, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in D. & F. prospect*

Laboratory No.....	C96368	
Roof, sandstone.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal, soft.....	10½	
Clay, soft.....	1	1
Coal, hard.....	1	2½
Clay, soft.....	1	1¼
Coal, hard.....	6	
Shale, hard.....	1	¾
Coal, hard.....	3	0
Clay, soft.....	1	1½
Coal, hard.....	2	6
Clay, sandy.....	1	2
Coal.....	1	9
Clay.....	1	1
Coal.....	1	10½
Clay.....	1	4
Coal.....	2	1
Floor, sandstone.		
Thickness of bed.....	13	9
Thickness of sample.....	12	9½

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

The sample was taken on the surface outcrop of a bed underlying that worked in the D. & F. mine several hundred yards north of the prospect. Weathered coal was removed before the sample was cut; the coal face was wet from surface water.

**BUCODA. GORDON PROSPECT**

Analysis D1995 (p. 43). Subbituminous C coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from Gordon prospect, 325 feet above sea level in NW¼ sec. 13, T. 15 N., R. 2 W., ½ mile southwest of Bucoda. Coal bed, Penitentiary; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 7° SE., strike, N. 60° E. The outcrop was measured and sampled by E. Wolf (USGS) October 7, 1948, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Gordon prospect*

Laboratory No.....	D1995	
Roof, sandstone.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Bone (immediate roof).....	1	0
Shale, carbonaceous.....		14
Clay, silty.....		3
Bone.....		1
Coal, bony.....		2
Coal, tuffaceous, bony.....		2
Coal.....		10
Coal, bony.....		2
Bone.....		6
Coal.....		6
Bone.....		2
Shale, carbonaceous, bony.....	1	0
Thickness of bed.....	4	2
Thickness of sample.....	2	7

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

**BUCODA. MEEKS' PROSPECT**

Analysis D1994 (p. 43). Subbituminous C coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from Meek's prospect 375 feet above sea level in SW¼ sec. 18, T. 15 N., R. 1 W., ¼ miles southeast of Bucoda. Coal bed, Big Dirty; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 9° SE.; strike N. 50° E. The outcrop was measured and sampled by E. Wolf (USGS) September 7, 1948, as described below:

*Section of coal bed in Meeks' prospect*

Laboratory No.....	D1994	
Siltstone, carbonaceous.....	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal, bony and coal.....	15	9
Siltstone, carbonaceous, tuffaceous.....	1	3½
Coal.....	1	11
Siltstone.....	1	1½
Coal.....	9	½
Siltstone.....	1	1¼
Coal.....	2	3½
Siltstone.....	1	1½
Coal.....	8	
Siltstone.....	1	3½
Coal.....	6	½
Siltstone, tuffaceous.....	1	1½
Coal.....	1	1½
Siltstone.....	1	2
Coal.....	1	0
Siltstone, tuffaceous, carbonaceous.....	1	4½
Coal.....	8	
Siltstone.....	1	3+
Thickness of bed.....	13	1¼
Thickness of sample.....	9	8

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

## BUCODA. PENN-BUCODA PROSPECTS

Analyses C26026 to C26030 (p. 43). Subbituminous B and C coals, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from prospects at elevations of 350 to 400 feet above sea level in NW¼ sec. 18, T. 15 N., R. 1 W., 1 mile southeast of Bucoda. Coal beds, Big Dirty (upper, middle, and lower benches), Little Dirty, and Smith; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 5° E.; strike, N.-S. All samples were taken near the surface under cover of 0 to 25 feet. The beds were measured and sampled by M. R. Geer (USBM) August 29-30, 1944, as described below:

*Sections of Big Dirty bed, Penn-Bucoda prospects*

Bench..... Laboratory No.....	Upper C26026	
Roof, sandstone.....	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal, 3 shale partings.....	13	0
Coal, bright, hard.....	2	11
Shale.....	--	1 3/4
Coal.....	--	1 1/2
Shale.....	--	7 1/8
Coal.....	--	7
Coal and clay.....	--	15
Coal.....	--	1
Coal under this section reported to be 11 feet.....	--	--
Floor, not exposed.....		
Thickness of bed exposed.....	7	2 3/8
Thickness of sample.....	3	8 3/8

Bench..... Laboratory No.....	Middle C26027	
Roof, not exposed.....	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal and shale, weathered.....	13	0
Clay.....	--	1 4 1/2
Coal.....	1	5 1/2
Shale.....	--	1/8
Coal.....	--	1
Shale.....	--	1/8
Coal.....	--	8
Shale.....	--	1 1/2
Coal.....	--	9
Clay.....	--	13
Coal.....	1	1
Clay.....	--	13
Coal.....	2	0
Clay.....	--	11
Coal.....	--	6
Floor, not exposed.....		
Thickness of bed exposed.....	10	6 3/4
Thickness of sample.....	6	6 3/4

*Section of Big Dirty bed, Penn-Bucoda prospects—Continued*

Bench..... Laboratory No.....	Lower C26028	
Roof, not exposed.....	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Clay.....	1	4
Coal.....	--	11
Clay.....	--	12
Coal.....	1	2
Clay.....	--	19
Coal.....	4	4
Coal, bottom; 3 feet of coal reported to be below this section.....		
Floor, not exposed.....		
Thickness of bed exposed.....	8	8
Thickness of sample.....	6	5

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

*Section of Little Dirty bed, Penn-Bucoda prospects*

Laboratory No.....	C26029	
Roof, shale.....	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal.....	--	1 1/2
Shale.....	--	1 3/8
Coal.....	--	6
Bone.....	--	13
Coal.....	1	5
Shale, sandy.....	--	1
Coal.....	1	7 1/2
Clay.....	--	11
Coal.....	--	1
Clay.....	--	11
Coal.....	--	8
Floor, shale.....		
Thickness of bed.....	4	11 3/8
Thickness of sample.....	4	5

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

*Section of Smith bed, Penn-Bucoda prospects*

Laboratory No.....	D26030	
Roof, clay.....	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal, weathered.....	1	6
Clay, soft.....	--	16
Coal.....	5	5
Shale, hard.....	--	1/4
Coal.....	--	3
Shale, hard.....	--	1/4
Coal.....	--	3
Main floor said to be 1 foot lower.....		
Floor, not exposed.....		
Thickness of bed exposed.....	7	11 1/2
Thickness of sample.....	5	11 1/2

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

The following samples were taken in the Big Dirty bed: Sample C26026, upper bench, taken at the face of a 40-foot drift on the outcrop at an approximate elevation of 250 feet, under 20 feet of cover; sample C26027, middle bench, taken at the face of a 15-foot drift on the outcrop at an approximate elevation of 390 feet, under 10 feet of cover; sample C26028, lower bench, taken in a trench on the outcrop at an approximate elevation of 400 feet, under 6 feet of cover. Sample C26029, Little Dirty, was taken at the face of a 20-foot drift on the outcrop at an approximate elevation of 500 feet, under 25 feet of cover. Sample C26030, Smith bed, was taken at the outcrop exposed by trench at an approximate elevation of 470 feet. The entire thickness was not exposed. These prospects were later developed in 1946 by strip operations known as the Penn-Bucoda mine.

A tippie was installed to prepare this coal. It was equipped with a stationary bar screen set over a breaker, followed by a double-deck vibrator screen having  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -inch and  $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch square holes. The material picked on the bar screen was then crushed in the breaker to pass  $1\frac{1}{2}$ -inch by 0 size.

#### BUCODA. TONO PROSPECT

Analysis D30869 (p. 43). Weathered coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from an outcrop 320 feet above sea level in NE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 20, T. 15 N., R. 1 W.,  $2\frac{1}{2}$  miles southeast of Bucoda near the town of Tono. Coal bed, Tono No. 2; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 5°-10° E.; strike, N.-S. The bed was measured and sampled by M. R. Geer (USBM) and W. A. Olds (USBM) November 14, 1949, as described below:

#### Section of coal bed in Tono prospect

Laboratory No.....	D30869	
Roof, shale.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal.....	2	1
Bone.....		16
Coal.....	2	9
Floor, sandstone.		
Thickness of bed.....	5	4
Thickness of sample.....	4	10

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

This bed is 30 to 40 feet below Tono No. 1 seam and evidently had been mined at some time in the past from Tono No. 1 mine.

#### WHATCOM COUNTY

#### BELLINGHAM. BELLINGHAM MINE

Analyses D8250 to D8254 (p. 43). High-volatile C bituminous or subbituminous A coals, Northwestern

field, Bellingham district, from Bellingham mine, a slope mine 94 feet above sea level in sec. 13, T. 38 N., R. 2 E., in Bellingham on the Great Northern Railroad. Coal bed, Bellingham No. 1; Puget formation; dip, 10° to 8° SW.; strike, S. 28° E.; cover at points sampled, 910 to 1,100 feet. The bed was measured and sampled at four points by Joseph Daniels (USBM) December 27, 28, 1949, as described below:

#### Sections of coal bed in Bellingham mine

Section.....	A D8250		B D8251		C D8252		D D8253	
Laboratory No.....								
Roof, shale, soft.	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>	<i>Ft.</i>	<i>In.</i>
Coal and shale.....	1	10	1	10	1	10	1	10
Shale, brown, hard.....		13		14		16		14
Coal, bright, hard.....	5	4	1	0	5	8	5	3
Shale, brown, sandy.....				11½				
Coal, bright, hard.....			4	0				
Shale, brown, hard.....		12		12		13		13
Coal, bright, firm.....			1	7½	1	7	1	5
Coal, hard, bony bottom.....	1	9						
Shale, brown, hard.....		14		13		14		
Coal, bony.....	1	5	1	2	1	0		
Floor, shale, sandy, smooth.								
Thickness of bed.....	10	1	9	6	9	2	8	1
Thickness of sample.....	8	6	7	9½	8	3	6	8

<sup>1</sup> Not included in sample.

Sample D8250 was taken on 9 north level, 2 incline, 1 left entry, 4 room, 250 feet from entry, left rib, in a synclinal-fold area near the western boundary of the property. Sample D8251 was taken on 9 north level, 7 block, 8 room, 280 feet from level, right rib, also in the synclinal-fold area where the bed dips only 3° to 4°. Sample D8252 was taken on 10 south level, 2,500 feet southeast of slope, low side of rib. Sample D8253 was taken on 10 north level, 2,400 feet northwest of slope, high side of rib; this section does not include the lowest band of bony coal.

The ultimate analysis of a composite made by combining samples D8250 to D8253 is given under laboratory No. D8254.

System of mining, room-and-pillar. Since these samples were taken the mining operations have been mechanized, and a new washing plant has been installed. In one section of the mine the coal is undercut with machines, shot with permissible explosives, and loaded by shaker conveyors; in the other a continuous miner is used. The daily output of about 400 to 500 tons of washed coal is passed over shaker screens with 4-inch round holes to produce picked lump and under-size. The under-size is sent to the new washing plant, where the 4- to  $\frac{3}{16}$ -inch size is cleaned in a drum-type heavy-medium unit and the minus- $\frac{3}{16}$ -inch on tables. The following sizes of washed coal are produced: Egg, through 4- and over 2-inch round-hole; Nut, through 2- and over  $1\frac{1}{8}$ -inch round-hole; No. 1 Pea, through  $1\frac{1}{8}$ -inch round-hole and over  $\frac{5}{8}$ -inch square-hole; stoker, through  $\frac{5}{8}$ -inch square-hole and over  $\frac{1}{16}$ -inch square-hole; Buckwheat, through  $\frac{1}{16}$ -inch square-hole.

## DESCRIPTION OF BOREHOLE CORE SAMPLES

By Joseph Daniels<sup>1</sup>

The brief descriptions that follow have been compiled from information supplied by Parke D. Snavelly, Jr., of the Federal Geological Survey, who took the samples. They supplement the information in table 23.

### LEWIS COUNTY

#### CENTRALIA. USGS BOREHOLE G

Analysis D41581 (p. 46). Coal, Southwestern field, Centralia-Chehalis district, from a drill core hole in NE¼ sec. 30, T. 15 N., R. 1 E., 15 miles northeast of Centralia; collar elevation of hole, approximately 675 feet. Coal bed, Upper Thompson; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 7° to 8° NW.; strike, approximately N. 30° E. The core was logged from 272 feet 5 inches to 282 feet 9 inches; core received for analysis, 81 inches of which 55 inches of bone, siltstone, and claystone were rejected and 26½ inches of coal was included in the sample. The sample was collected by Parke D. Snavelly, Jr. (USGS) April 19, 1950.

#### CENTRALIA. USGS BOREHOLE DD

Analysis D59349 (p. 46). Coal, Southwestern field, Centralia-Chehalis district, from a drill core hole in SW¼ sec. 29, T. 15 N., R. 2 W., ½ mile north of Centralia; collar elevation of hole, approximately 305 feet. Coal bed, Little Dirty(?); Skookumchuck formation; dip, 36°; strike, approximately N. 60° W. Core amounting to 31½ inches was lost in the interval from 30 feet 11 inches to 34 feet 11 inches. The sample was collected by Parke D. Snavelly, Jr. (USGS) January 29, 1951.

#### CENTRALIA. USGS BOREHOLE J

Analysis D40920 (p. 46). Coal, Southwestern field, Centralia-Chehalis district, from a drill core hole, collar elevation approximately 230 feet, in SW¼ sec. 30, T. 15 N., R. 1 W., 5 miles northeast of Centralia. Coal bed, Black Bear; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 2° to 4° NE.; strike, approximately N. 70° W. The core was logged from 991 feet 7 inches to 996 feet 0 inch; core received for analysis, 34 inches of which 15 inches of sandstone and tuff were rejected and 19 inches of coal was included in the sample. The sample was collected by Parke D. Snavelly, Jr. (USGS) February 23, 1950.

#### CENTRALIA. USGS BOREHOLE I

Analysis D34603 (p. 46). Coal, Southwestern field, Centralia-Chehalis district, from a drill core hole in SW¼ sec. 33, T. 15 N., R. 1 W., 10 miles east of Centralia; collar elevation of hole, 303 feet. Coal bed, Big Dirty; Skookumchuck formation; dip, approximately 10° NE.; strike, N. 70° W. The core was logged from 145 feet to 185 feet 3 inches; core received for analysis, 36 feet 4 inches of which 12 feet 2 inches of

bone was rejected and 24 feet 2 inches of coal included in the sample.

Analysis D35247 (p. 46). Coal bed, Smith; dip, approximately 8° NE.; strike, N. 70° W. The core was logged from 288 feet 2 inches to 295 feet 7 inches; core received for analysis, 62 inches of which 2½ inches of sandstone was rejected and 59½ inches of coal included in the sample.

Analysis D33782 (p. 46). Coal bed, Penitentiary; dip, approximately 8° NE.; strike, N. 70° W. The core was logged from 498 feet 9 inches to 501 feet 5 inches; core received for analysis, 2 feet 8 inches of which 2¼ inches of siltstone was rejected and 2 feet 4½ inches of brecciated coal included in the sample.

Analysis D35248 (p. 46). Coal bed, Mendota; dip, approximately 8°; strike, approximately N. 70° W. The core was logged from 625 feet 6 inches to 635 feet 4 inches; core received for analysis, 92½ inches of which 41½ inches of bone, claystone, and shale were rejected and 51 inches of coal was included in the sample. The samples were collected by Parke D. Snavelly, Jr. (USGS) November and December 1949.

#### CENTRALIA. USGS BOREHOLE K

Analysis D41582 (p. 46). Coal, Southwestern field, Centralia-Chehalis district, from a drill core hole in SE¼ sec. 7, T. 14 N., R. 1 W., 5 miles southeast of Centralia; collar elevation of hole, approximately 300 feet. Coal bed, Tono No. 1; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 13° SW.; strike, approximately N. 45° W. The core was logged from 110 feet 5 inches to 119 feet 8 inches; core received for analysis, 82½ inches of which 18 inches of bone, siltstone, and claystone were rejected and 64½ inches of coal was included in the sample.

Analysis D44519 (p. 46). Coal bed, Upper Thompson, dip, 13° SW.; strike, approximately N. 45° W. The core was logged from 525 feet 4 inches to 539 feet 9½ inches; core received for analysis, 64 inches of which 20 inches of bone, shale, and siltstone were rejected and 44 inches of coal was included in the sample. The samples were collected by Parke D. Snavelly, Jr. (USGS) April and May 1950.

#### CHEHALIS. USGS BOREHOLE V

Analysis D55878 (p. 46). Coal, Southwestern field, Centralia-Chehalis district, from a drill core hole in NE¼ sec. 33, T. 14 N., R. 2 W., 1¼ miles east of Chehalis; collar elevation of hole, approximately 205 feet. Coal bed, unnamed; Skookumchuck formation. The core was logged from 561 feet 3 inches to 562 feet 8 inches; 17 inches of coal was included in the sample.

Analysis D55879 (p. 46). The core was logged from 564 feet 7 inches to 566 feet 3 inches of which 5 inches was lost in coring and 15 inches of coal was included in the sample. The samples were collected by Parke D. Snavelly, Jr. (USGS) August 2, 1950.

#### CHEHALIS. USGS BOREHOLE CH-3

Analysis D71167 (p. 46). Coal, Southwestern field, Centralia-Chehalis district, from a drill core hole

<sup>1</sup> Former consultant mining engineer, Fuels-Technology Division, Region II, Bureau of Mines, Seattle, Wash.

in SE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 20, T. 14 N., R. 3 W., 5 $\frac{1}{2}$  miles northwest of Chehalis; collar elevation of hole, approximately 755 feet. Coal bed, unnamed; Skookumchuck formation. The core was logged from 141 feet 4 $\frac{3}{4}$  inches to 143 feet 6 inches; 25 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches of coal was included in the sample.

Analysis D71168 (p. 46). Coal bed, unnamed. The core was logged from 443 feet 7 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches to 434 feet 10 inches; the sample contained 14 $\frac{3}{4}$  inches of coal. The samples were collected by Parke D. Snavely, Jr. (USGS) September 1951.

#### TOLEDO. USGS BOREHOLE CH-5

Analysis D72816 (p. 46). Coal, Southwestern field, Kelso-Castle Rock district, from a drill core hole in NW $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 20, T. 11 N., R. 1 E., 6.6 miles east of Toledo; collar elevation of hole, approximately 300 feet. Coal bed, unnamed; dip, 7° S.; strike, E.-W. The core was logged from 113 feet  $\frac{3}{8}$  inches to 115 feet 5 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches; the sample contained 19 $\frac{1}{8}$  inches of coal after 1 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches was rejected.

Analysis D72817 (p. 46). Coal bed, unnamed. The core was logged from 118 feet 10 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches to 119 feet 8 $\frac{3}{4}$  inches; the sample contained 10 inches of coal.

Analysis D72818 (p. 46). Coal bed, unnamed. The core was logged from 128 feet to 130 feet 1 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches; the sample contained 25 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches of coal.

Analysis D72819 (p. 46). Coal bed, unnamed. The core was logged from 161 feet 1 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches to 162 feet 9 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches; the sample contained 19 $\frac{3}{4}$  inches of coal. The samples were collected by Parke D. Snavely, Jr. (USGS) October 14, 1951.

### THURSTON COUNTY

#### BUCODA. USGS BOREHOLE B

Analysis D30146 (p. 47). Coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from a drill core hole in SE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 8, T. 15 N., R. 1 W., 2 miles east of Bucoda; collar elevation of hole, 284 feet. Coal bed, Little Dirty; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 5° SE.; strike, unknown. The core was logged from 69 feet 1 $\frac{1}{8}$  inches to 71 feet 3 inches; core received for analysis, 25 $\frac{7}{8}$  inches of which  $\frac{1}{8}$  inch of siltstone was rejected and 25 inches of coal included in sample.

Analysis D30147 (p. 47). Coal bed, Smith; dip, 5° to 10° SE. The core was logged from 128 feet 6 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches to 135 feet 2 $\frac{3}{4}$  inches; core received for analysis, 56 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches of which  $\frac{1}{4}$  inch of siltstone was rejected and 56 inches of coal included in sample.

Analysis D30148 (p. 47). Coal bed, Penitentiary; dip, 5° to 10°. The core was logged from 291 feet 5 inches to 295 feet 6 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches; core received for analysis,

47 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches of which 5 inches of siltstone and bone were rejected, and 42 $\frac{1}{4}$  inches of coal was included in sample.

Analysis D30575 (p. 47). Coal bed, Mendota. The core was logged from 443 feet 10 $\frac{3}{8}$  inches to 446 feet 9 $\frac{1}{8}$  inches; core received for analysis, 32 inches of which 5 inches of siltstone was rejected and 27 inches of coal included in the sample. All samples were collected by Parke D. Snavely, Jr. (USGS) during September and October 1949.

#### BUCODA. USGS BOREHOLE C-2

Analysis D36934 (p. 47). Coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from a drill core hole in NW $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 19, T. 15 N., R. 1 W., 2 miles south of Bucoda; collar elevation of hole, approximately 250 feet. Coal bed, Big Dirty; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 5° to 7° SE.; strike, approximately N. 45° E. The core was logged from 23 feet 4 inches to 40 feet 6 inches; core received for analysis, 30 inches of which 4 inches of shale and bone were rejected and 26 inches of coal was included in sample. The sample was collected by Parke D. Snavely, Jr. (USGS) January 11, 1950.

#### BUCODA. USGS BOREHOLE D

Analysis D28809 (p. 47). Coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from drill core hole in NE $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 15, T. 15 N., R. 1 W., 3 $\frac{1}{2}$  miles east of Bucoda; collar elevation of hole, 475 feet. Coal bed, Smith; Skookumchuck formation; dip, 10°. The core was logged from 349 feet 9 inches to 362 feet 10 inches; core received for analysis, 58 inches of which  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch of siltstone was rejected and 57 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches of coal included in sample.

Analysis D28810 (p. 47). Coal bed, Mendota. The core was logged from 537 feet 8 inches to 545 feet 10 inches; core received for analysis, 94 inches of which 21 inches of bone and tuff were rejected and 73 inches of coal was included in sample. The samples were collected by Parke D. Snavely, Jr. (USGS) August 1949.

#### BUCODA. USGS BOREHOLE E-2

Analysis D48109 (p. 47). Coal, Southwestern field, Tenino-Mendota district, from drill core hole in SW $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 24, T. 15 N., R. 1 W., 6 miles southeast of Bucoda; collar elevation of hole, 580 feet. Coal beds, D and F; Skookumchuck, formation; dip, 0° to 8°; strike, N. 40° W. The core was logged from 520 feet 8 inches to 526 feet 6 inches; core received for analysis, 56 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches of which 21 inches of bone, shale, and tuff were rejected and 25 $\frac{1}{2}$  inches of coal was included in sample. The sample was collected by Parke D. Snavely, Jr. (USGS) June 1950.

## DESCRIPTION OF TIPPLE AND DELIVERED SAMPLES

By S. J. Aresco<sup>1</sup> and F. E. Hartner<sup>2</sup>

### EXPLANATION OF TABLE OF DESCRIPTION

The data in table 24 were taken from notes made by the persons who took the samples and supplement the description given in table 22 (p. 29).

The tipple samples were taken by engineers of the Bureau of Mines at the mine tipple as the coal was loaded for shipment. These samples represent as nearly as possible the quality of the coal as shipped. They are designated in col-

umn 3 as tipple; individual analyses are given for them.

The delivered samples were collected systematically throughout all deliveries to Government installations by representatives of the Government departments under directions supplied by the Bureau. Yearly averages are given for these samples.

When a single year is given in the column under date of delivery, the Government fiscal year is meant. For example, 1951 refers to the fiscal year begun July 1, 1950, and ended June 30, 1951.

<sup>1</sup> Chief, Fuel Inspection Section, Branch of Bituminous Coal, Division of Solid Fuels Technology, Region V, Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa.  
<sup>2</sup> Clerk, Analysis Section, Branch of Bituminous Coal, Division of Solid Fuels Technology, Region V, Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa.

TABLE 24.—Description of tipple and delivered samples

County, town, and mine	Approximate tons delivered	Place of delivery	Date of delivery	Laboratory or index No.	Reference, page in this report
1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>KING COUNTY</b>					
<b>Black Diamond:</b>					
Franklin.....	30	Tipple.....	Apr. 6-7, 1943.....	B99032	29
Do.....	40	do.....	do.....	B99034	
Do.....	75	do.....	do.....	B99035	
Do.....	150	do.....	do.....	B99033	
Do.....	18	do.....	June 9, 1941.....	B63744	
Do.....	40	do.....	Apr. 6-7, 1943.....	B99036	
Franklin Gem.....	5	do.....	Mar. 31, 1943.....	B98791	29
Do.....	5	do.....	do.....	B98792	
Landsburg.....	2, 203	Fort Lewis, Wash.....	1953.....	1	29
Do.....	13, 295	McChord Air Force Base, Wash.....	1952.....	2	
Do.....	7, 063	do.....	1953.....	3	
Do.....	146	Air Force Base, Blaine, Wash.....	1954.....	4	
Palmer Nos. 10 and 12.....	125	Tipple.....	June 23, 1949.....	D21490	30
Do.....	5, 860	Fort Lawton and Fort Lewis, Wash.....	1950.....	5	
Do.....	225	Tipple.....	July 15-16, 1952.....	D90362	
Do.....	125	do.....	June 23, 1949.....	D21491	
<b>Cumberland:</b>					
National.....	8	do.....	Apr. 26, 1943.....	B99848	30
Olson No. 1.....	216	McChord Air Force Base, Wash.....	1948.....	6	30
Enumclaw: Green River.....	15	Tipple.....	July 18, 1946.....	C60717	31
<b>Issaquah:</b>					
Grand Ridge.....	8	War Department, Seattle, Wash.....	1944.....	7	31
Do.....	8	do.....	1944.....	8	
Issaquah.....	30	Tipple.....	Feb. 20, 1943.....	B96353	31
Queen No. 1.....	30	do.....	Apr. 17, 1941.....	B62575	31
Do.....	40	do.....	Mar. 1-2, 1943.....	B96671	
Do.....	100	do.....	do.....	B96672	
Do.....	33	Fort Lawton and Boeing Field, Wash.....	1944.....	9	
Do.....	168	do.....	1945.....	10	



TABLE 24.—Description of tipple and delivered samples—Continued

County, town, and mine	Approximate tons delivered	Place of delivery	Date of delivery	Laboratory or index No.	Reference, page in this report
1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>KING COUNTY—continued</b>					
<b>Issaquah—Continued</b>					
Queen No. 1		Tipple	Mar. 8, 1941	B61222	31
Do	100	do	Mar. 1-2, 1943	B96673	
Do	125	do	do	B96675	
Do	30	do	do	B96674	
<b>Palmer:</b>					
Elk No. 3	20	do	Feb. 15-16, 1943	B96020	31
Do	30	do	do	B96021	
Do	50	do	do	B96019	
Do	5	do	do	B96016	
Do	15	do	do	B96017	
Do	40	do	do	B96018	
Do		do	Mar. 7, 1941	B61370	
<b>Ravensdale:</b>					
Anderson No. 7	24	Auburn Holding and Reassignment Depot, Wash.	1946	11	32
Do	44	do	1945	12	
Do	60	do	1946	13	
Do	16	Boeing Field, Seattle, Wash.	1945	14	
Do	9	do	1946	15	
Danville Nos. 1 and 2	3	Tipple	Apr. 27, 1943	B99772	32
Do	40	do	do	B99773	
Do	25	do	Apr. 8, 1940	B51603	
Do	15	do	Apr. 27, 1943	B99774	
Do	15	do	do	B99775	
Do	50	do	Apr. 24-25, 1951	D65014	
Do	250	do	July 15-16, 1952	D90363	
McKay	15	do	Feb. 10-11, 1943	B95540	
Do	101	Fort Lawton, Wash.	1941	16	
Do	60	Tipple	Feb. 10-11, 1943	B95541	
Do	4,409	Fort Lewis and McChord Air Force Base, Wash.	1940	17	
Do	5,319	Fort Lewis, Wash.	1941	18	
Do	1,387	do	1941	19	
Do	6,627	Fort Lewis and Paine Field, Wash.	1942	20	
Do	5	Tipple	Feb. 10-11, 1943	B95542	
Do	3,950	McChord Air Force Base, Wash.	1941	21	
Do	14,976	Fort Lewis, Wash.	1942	22	
Do	200	Tipple	Feb. 10-11, 1943	B95543	
Do	582	Fort Lewis, Wash.	1944	23	
Do	538	do	1945	24	
Do	44	Fort Stevens, Oreg.	1941	25	
Do	270	Tipple	Feb. 10-11, 1943	B95545	
Do	2,499	Veterans' Administration, Roseburg, Oreg.	1940	26	
Do	50	Tipple	Feb. 10-11, 1943	B95544	
McKay strip	50	do	Sept. 8, 1950	D50537	33
Do	250	do	do	D50538	
Ravensdale strip	80	do	May 8, 1953	E14606	33
Do	12,401	Fort Lewis, Wash.	1949	27	
Do	170	Tipple	May 8, 1953	E14266	
Do	150	do	Mar. 26, 1953	E10304	
Ravensdale No. 4 strip	200	do	Dec. 30, 1952	E1657	31
Do	200	do	Feb. 11, 1954	E37813	
Do	50	do	do	E37814	

TABLE 24.—Description of tippie and delivered samples—Continued

County, town, and mine	Approximate tons delivered	Place of delivery	Date of delivery	Laboratory or index No.	Reference, page in this report
1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>KING COUNTY—continued</b>					
<b>Renton:</b>					
New Black Diamond	2, 825	Fort Lewis, Wash.	1942	28	34
Do	318	Fort Greely, Alaska	1942	29	
Do	2, 689	Tacoma Indian Sanatorium, Washington.	1940	30	
Newcastle	50	Tippie	Feb. 22-23, 1943	B96407	34
Do	20	do	do	B96403	
Do	40	do	do	B96404	
Do	30	do	do	B96405	
Do	25	do	do	B96406	
Do	382	Seattle Port of Embarkation, Wash.	1943	31	
Do	3, 493	do	1943	32	
Do	50	McChord Air Force Base, Wash.	1951	33	
Do	125	Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island, Wash.	1943	34	
Do	2, 675	Tacoma Indian Sanatorium and Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington.	1941	35	
Newcastle (Coal Creek)		Tippie	Mar. 7, 1941	B61223	34
Do		do	Mar. 17, 1941	B61684	35
Do	200	do	Feb. 24-25, 1943	B96402	
Do	30	do	do	B96398	
Do	9, 279	Fort Lewis, Wash.	1942	36	
Do	63	do	1944	37	
Do	147	Fort Lawton and Paine Field, Wash.	1945	38	
Do	250	Tippie	Feb. 24-25, 1943	B96399	
Do	275	do	do	B96400	
Do	100	do	do	B96401	
New Lake Young	1, 613	Tacoma Indian Sanatorium, Washington.	1942	39	35
Springbrook No. 3	50	Tippie	Feb. 18, 1943	B96087	
Do	10	do	do	B96083	35
Do	20	do	do	B96084	
Do	20	do	do	B96085	
Do	15	do	do	B96086	
Talbot	10	do	May 21, 1943	C1143	35
Do	10	do	do	C1144	
Do	10	do	do	C1145	
Do	15	do	do	C1146	
<b>KITTITAS COUNTY</b>					
<b>Ronald:</b>					
Jonesville No. 4	40	Tippie	Mar. 15, 1943	B97524	35
Ronald No. 2	500	do	Mar. 11, 1943	B97304	35
Do	25	do	do	B97299	
Do	125	do	do	B97300	
Do	160	do	do	B97301	
Do	475	do	do	B97302	
Do	190	do	do	B97303	
Ronald No. 4	263	Post-Office Department, Washington.	1945	40	
Do	25	Tippie	Mar. 12-13, 1943	B97622	35
Do	495	Post-Office Department, Washington.	1945	41	

TABLE 24.—Description of tipple and delivered samples—Continued

County, town, and mine	Approximate tons delivered	Place of delivery	Date of delivery	Laboratory or index No.	Reference, page in this report
1	2	3	4	5	6
KITITAS COUNTY—continued					
Ronald—Continued					
Ronald No. 4.....	152	Post-Office Departments, Oregon and Washington.	1946.....	42	35
Do.....	25	Tipple	May 16, 1941.....	B63151	36
Do.....	1, 182	War Department, Washington.	1945.....	43	
Do.....	155	do	1946.....	44	
Do.....	40	Tipple	Mar. 12-13, 1943.....	B97623	
Do.....	250	Naval Supply Depot, Tacoma, Wash.	1949.....	45	
Do.....	40	Tipple	Mar. 12-13, 1943.....	B97624	
Do.....	104	Fish and Wildlife Service, Leavenworth and North Bend, Wash.	1946.....	46	
Do.....	168	Post-Office Department, Washington, and Fish and Wildlife Service, Leavenworth, Wash.	1947.....	47	
Do.....	214	Post-Office Departments, Oregon and Washington.	1946.....	48	
Do.....	15	Army Air Field, Ellensburg, Wash.	1944.....	49	
Do.....	59	Spokane Air Force Base, Wash.	1947.....	50	
Do.....	226	Geiger Field, Wash.	1945.....	51	
Do.....	107	Department of Agriculture, Crewport, Wash.	1947.....	52	
Do.....	100	Tipple	Mar. 12-13, 1943.....	B97625	
Do.....	164	Spokane Air Force Base, Wash.	1944.....	53	
Do.....	887	do	1945.....	54	
Do.....	172	do	1946.....	55	
Do.....	1, 100	Naval Supply Depot, Spokane, Wash.	1947.....	56	
Roslyn No. 3.....	500	Tipple	Mar. 8-10, 1943.....	B97306	36
Do.....	20	do	do	B97305	
Do.....	75	do	do	B97307	37
Do.....	70	do	do	B97308	
Do.....	140	do	do	B97309	
Do.....	84	do	Apr. 10, 1950.....	D41275	
Do.....	370	do	Mar. 8-10, 1943.....	B97310	
Do.....	296	do	Apr. 10, 1950.....	D41273	
Do.....	300	do	July 15, 1948.....	C97934	
Do.....	160	do	Mar. 8-10, 1943.....	B97311	
Do.....	36	do	Nov. 27, 1941.....	B68861	
Do.....	151	do	Apr. 10, 1950.....	D41276	
Roslyn Cascade No. 1.....	124	Post-Office Departments, Idaho and Washington.	1941.....	57	37
Do.....	1, 105	Fort George Wright, Wash.	1941.....	58	
Do.....	4, 207	Fort Stevens, Oreg., and Fort George Wright, Wash.	1940.....	59	
Do.....	4, 898	do	1941.....	60	
Do.....	329	McChord Field, Wash.	1942.....	61	
Do.....	879	do	1940.....	62	
Do.....	3, 496	Fort Lewis, Wash.	1941.....	63	
Do.....	2, 712	Veterans' Administration, Roseburg, Oreg.	1941.....	64	
Do.....	2, 860	do	1942.....	65	
Wright.....	5	Tipple	Mar. 16, 1943.....	B97620	37
Do.....	10	do	do	B97621	

TABLE 24.—Description of tipple and delivered samples—Continued

County, town, and mine	Approximate tons delivered	Place of delivery	Date of delivery	Laboratory or index No.	Reference, page in this report
1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>KITTITAS COUNTY—continued</b>					
<b>Roslyn:</b>					
Roslyn Nos. 3 and 9	45	Post-Office Department, Washington.	1951	66	37
Do	50	Colville Indian Agency, Wash.	1951	67	
Do	19, 356	Fort Lewis, Wash.	1949	68	
Do	2, 577	Fort Lewis and Moses Lake Air Force Base, Wash.	1950	69	
Do	50	Fort Lewis, Wash.	1951	70	
Do	6, 302	Fort Lewis and Larson Air Force Base, Wash.	1951	71	
Do	17, 431	Air Force and War Department, Washington.	1952	72	
Do	3, 940	Fort Lewis and Fort Lawton, Wash.	1954	73	
Do	150	Moses Lake Air Force Base, Wash.	1948	74	
Do	99	Post-Office Department, Washington.	1950	75	
Do	36	Post-Office Department, Prosser, Wash.	1953	76	
Do	35	Post-Office Department, Washington.	1954	77	
Do	1, 998	Fort Lewis, Wash.	1949	78	38
Do	700	Larson Air Force Base, Wash.	1954	79	
Do	61	Post-Office Department, Ellensburg, Wash.	1954	80	
Do	50	do	1948	81	
Do	93	Post-Office Department, Washington.	1952	82	
Do	198	Fort Lewis and Post-Office Department, Wash.	1951	83	
Do	21, 681	Fort Lewis, McChord Field, and Post-Office Department, Wash.	1949	84	
Do	53	Post-Office Department, Anacortes, Wash.	1950	85	
Do	42	Post-Office Department, Ellensburg, Wash.	1953	86	
Do	139	Post-Office Department, Okanogan and Wenatchee, Wash.	1948	87	
Do	45	Post-Office Department, Wash.	1949	88	
Do	798	Naval Supply Depots, Seattle and Tacoma, Wash., and Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island, Wash.	1948	89	
Do	21, 026	Naval Ammunition Depot and Fort Lewis, Wash.	1949	90	
Do	6, 709	McChord Air Force Base, Wash., and Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island, Wash.	1950	91	
Do	10, 021	McChord Air Force Base, Wash.	1951	92	
Do	1, 945	Atomic Energy Commission, Wash.	1953	93	
Do	14, 772	McChord Air Force Base, Wash.	1954	94	
Do	58	Public Buildings Administration, Blaine, Wash.	1951	95	
Do	54	Post-Office Department, Yakima, Wash.	1954	96	

TABLE 24.—Description of tippie and delivered samples—Continued

County, town, and mine	Approximate tons delivered	Place of delivery	Date of delivery	Laboratory or index No.	Reference, page in this report
1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>KITTITAS COUNTY—continued</b>					
<b>Roslyn—Continued</b>					
Roslyn No. 5.....	25	Tippie.....	Mar. 5-6, 1943.....	B97090	38
Do.....	75	do.....	do.....	B97091	
Do.....	70	do.....	do.....	B97092	39
Do.....	110	do.....	do.....	B97093	
Do.....	450	do.....	do.....	B97096	
Do.....	340	do.....	do.....	B97094	
Do.....	150	do.....	do.....	B97095	
Roslyn No. 9.....	500	do.....	Mar. 4-9, 1943.....	B97198	39
Do.....	30	do.....	do.....	B97192	
Do.....	75	do.....	do.....	B97193	
Do.....	90	do.....	do.....	B97194	
Do.....	140	do.....	do.....	B97195	
Do.....	77	do.....	Apr. 10, 1950.....	D41274	
Do.....	400	do.....	Mar. 4-9, 1943.....	B97196	
Do.....	300	do.....	July 15, 1948.....	C97935	
Do.....	150	do.....	Mar. 4-9, 1943.....	B97197	
<b>LEWIS COUNTY</b>					
<b>Centralia:</b>					
Black Prince.....	3, 456	Veterans' Administration, American Lake, Wash.	1952.....	97	39
Do.....	1, 724	do.....	1953.....	98	
Golden Glow.....	3	Tippie.....	Jan. 18, 1943.....	B93899	39
Do.....	2	do.....	do.....	B93900	
Do.....	1	do.....	do.....	B93901	
Do.....	1	do.....	do.....	B93902	
Monarch (new).....	20	do.....	Jan. 7-8, 1943.....	B93106	40
Do.....	15	do.....	do.....	B93105	
Do.....	15	do.....	do.....	B93107	
Do.....	20	do.....	do.....	B93108	
Do.....	1, 839	Veterans' Administration, American Lake, Wash.	1954.....	99	
Do.....	6, 086	do.....	1940.....	100	
Do.....	7, 619	do.....	1943.....	101	
Do.....	7, 802	do.....	1944.....	102	
Do.....	3, 629	do.....	1945.....	103	
Do.....	4, 750	do.....	1948.....	104	
Do.....	3, 850	do.....	1949.....	105	
Do.....	1, 004	do.....	1950.....	106	
Do.....	1, 958	do.....	1951.....	107	
Do.....	6, 550	do.....	1941.....	108	
Do.....	10	Tippie.....	Jan. 7-8, 1943.....	B93109	
Nonpariel.....	10	do.....	Apr. 17-19, 1943.....	B99524	41
Do.....	20	do.....	do.....	B99525	
Do.....	50	do.....	do.....	B99526	
Do.....	10	do.....	do.....	B99527	
<b>PIERCE COUNTY</b>					
<b>Carbonado:</b>					
Carbonado.....	375	Post-Office Departments, Cal- ifornia, Oregon, and Wash- ington.	1940.....	109	41
Do.....	147	Post-Office Department, Oregon.	1941.....	110	
Do.....	95	Post-Office Department, Washington.	1949.....	111	

TABLE 24.—Description of tipple and delivered sample—Continued

County, town, and mine	Approximate tons delivered	Place of delivery	Date of delivery	Laboratory or index No.	Reference, page in this report
1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>PIERCE COUNTY—con.</b>					
Wilkeson:					
East Miller No. 5	3	Tipple	Feb. 5, 1943	B95270	41
Do	30	do	do	B95271	
Wilkeson-Wingate	5	do	Feb. 8, 1943	B95268	42
Do	55	do	do	B95269	
Do	1,066	McChord Field, Wash.	1945	112	
Do	1,757	do	1946	113	
Do	4,255	do	1947	114	
Do	500	Veterans' Administration, Vancouver, Wash.	1948	115	
<b>THURSTON COUNTY</b>					
Bucoda:					
D. & F. No. 1	10	Tipple	Jan. 11-12, 1942	B93558	42
Do	10	do	May 24, 1943	C1243	
Do	15	do	Jan. 11-12, 1942	B93559	
Do	10	do	May 24, 1943	C1244	
Do	10	do	Jan. 11-12, 1942	B93561	
Do	15	do	May 24, 1943	C1245	
Do	10	do	Jan. 11-12, 1942	B93560	
Penn-Bucoda	150	do	Aug. 15, 1947	C80364	43
Tono:					
Tono No. 1	60	do	Jan. 29-30, 1943	B94620	43
Do	75	do	do	B94621	
Do	70	do	do	B94622	
Do	250	Naval Air Station, Skelton, Wash.	1945	116	
Do	250	do	1945	117	
Do	70	Tipple	Jan. 29-30, 1943	B94623	
<b>WHATCOM COUNTY</b>					
Bellingham:					
Bellingham	49	Border Station, Blaine, Wash.	1949	118	43
Do	41	do	1943	119	
Do	125	Tipple	Mar. 17, 1943	B97697	44
Do	4,416	Forts Lawton and Worden, Wash., and Border Station, Blaine, Wash.	1940	120	
Do	3,341	Forts Casey, Flagler, Lawton, and Worden, Wash., and Treasury Department, Blaine, Wash.	1941	121	
Do	16,213	Border Station, Blaine, Wash.; Forts Casey, Lawton, and Worden, Wash.; and Seattle Q. M. Depot, Yakutat, Alaska.	1942	122	
Do	50	Border Station, Blaine, Wash.	1948	123	
Do	2,011	Fort Worden, Wash.	1951	124	
Do	45	Tipple	May 20, 1949	D19111	
Do	45	do	Mar. 17, 1943	B97698	
Do	100	do	Apr. 27, 1953	E13233	
Do	135	do	Mar. 17, 1943	B97703	
Do	4,047	Forts Greely and Raymond, Alaska.	1942	125	

TABLE 24—Description of tipple and delivered samples—Continued

County, town, and mine	Approximate tons delivered	Place of delivery	Date of delivery	Laboratory or index No.	Reference, page in this report
1	2	3	4	5	6
WHATCOM COUNTY—CON.					
Bellingham—Continued					
Bellingham.....	8,765	Forts Casey, Flagler, Lawton, and Worden, Wash.	1943.....	126	44
Do.....	80	Tipple	Mar. 17, 1943.....	B97699	
Do.....	65	do.....	do.....	B97700	
Do.....	135	do.....	do.....	B97704	
Do.....	65	do.....	do.....	B97701	
Do.....	200	do.....	Apr. 27, 1953.....	E13234	
Do.....	120	do.....	Mar. 17, 1943.....	B97702	
Glen Echo.....	10	do.....	Mar. 18, 1943.....	B97917	45
Do.....	26	Border Station, Blaine, Wash.	1944.....	127	
Do.....	50	do.....	1945.....	128	
Do.....	45	do.....	1946.....	129	
Do.....	5	Tipple	Mar. 18, 1943.....	B97918	
Do.....	5	do.....	do.....	B97919	
Do.....	10	do.....	do.....	B97920	

# TRUE SPECIFIC GRAVITIES OF WASHINGTON COALS

By R. F. Abernethy <sup>1</sup> and F. E. Hartner <sup>2</sup>

Table 25 gives the true specific gravities of a number of mine and tipple samples of Washington coals determined by the standard method.<sup>3</sup> The specific gravity is given for the dry coal, and for convenience of comparison the dry-ash value also is given. The proximate and ultimate analyses of these coals are given in table 22 (p. 29).

<sup>1</sup> Chief, Analysis Section, Branch of Bituminous Coal, Division of Solids Fuels Technology, Region V, Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa.  
<sup>2</sup> Clerk, Analysis Section, Branch of Bituminous Coal, Division of

Solid Fuels Technology, Region V, Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa.  
<sup>3</sup> Fieldner, A. C., and Selvig, W. A., Methods of Analyzing Coal and Coke: Bureau of Mines Bull. 492, 1951, 51 pp.

TABLE 25.—True specific gravities of Washington coals

County and town	Mine	Bed	Kind of sample <sup>1</sup>	Size of sample	Dry ash	Specific gravity	Laboratory No.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
<b>KING COUNTY</b>							
Black Diamond	Franklin	McKay	M		4.6	1.30	C21218
Do	do	do	M		9.1	1.34	C21219
Do	do	do	M		9.3	1.34	C21220
Do	do	do	T	3-inch lump	4.5	1.31	B99032
Do	do	do	T	3-inch by 0	18.1	1.43	B99033
Do	Franklin Gem	Gem	M		11.6	1.40	C21380
Do	do	do	T	1-inch lump	11.7	1.41	B98791
Do	do	do	T	1-inch by 0	12.5	1.43	B98792
Do	Gem No. 1	Gem	M		20.0	1.51	B62407
Do	Gem No. 2	Gem	M		18.5	1.43	C21382
Do	Hi Heat	Franklin No. 10, upper bench.	M		18.2	1.42	C21223
Do	do	Franklin No. 10, lower bench.	M		12.6	1.31	C21224
Do	J. & P.	Kummer No. 1	M		14.6	1.46	C21222
Do	Kummer	Kummer No. 4	M		19.3	1.53	C40881
Cumberland	Durham	Durham No. 2	M		18.1	1.41	C22079
Do	Hyde	McKay	M		14.5	1.37	C21558
Do	National	No. 1	T	Run-of-mine	19.0	1.42	B99848
Enumclaw	Green River	Kummer No. 4(?)	M		21.4	1.51	C21381
Issaquah	Harris No. 2	Jones	M		17.2	1.46	B75318
Do	Issaquah	Lower Bagley	T	Run-of-mine	20.5	1.52	B96353
Do	Lahee	Dolly Varden	M		16.2	1.44	D19163
Do	Queen No. 1	Muldoon	M		21.0	1.51	D18545
Do	do	Lower Bagley and May Creek.	T	3-inch lump	10.5	1.42	B62575
Do	do	Lower Bagley, Upper Bagley, and Mul- doon.	T	do	17.2	1.48	B96671
Do	do	do	T	3-inch by 0	23.2	1.53	B96675
Palmer	Elk No. 3	Dutch	M		33.9	1.56	D1342
Do	do	"A" or Victory, upper bench.	M		22.8	1.44	D1344
Do	do	"A" or Victory, lower bench.	M		20.3	1.43	D1343
Do	do	Big Elk	T	Run-of-mine	40.9	1.68	B96020
Do	do	Victory	T	do	29.9	1.55	B96021
Do	do	Victory and Big Elk.	T	do	36.5	1.63	B96019
Do	do	do	T	4-inch lump	15.8	1.40	B96016

M, mine sample; T, tipple sample.



TABLE 25.—*True specific gravities of Washington coals*—Continued

County and town	Mine	Bed	Kind of sample <sup>1</sup>	Size of sample	Dry ash	Specific gravity	Laboratory No.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
KING COUNTY—CON.							
Ravensdale	Danville No. 1	Frazier	M		10.6	1.45	B51279
Do	do	do	M		10.1	1.44	B51280
Do	do	do	T	3½-inch lump	16.0	1.46	B99772
Do	Danville No. 2	Eight-Foot	M		13.5	1.41	C22080
Do	do	Landsburg No. 1	M		15.2	1.43	D21493
Do	do	Landsburg No. 1, lower bench.	M		7.4	1.37	D42878
Do	McKay	McKay, upper bench.	M		6.0	1.37	C96786
Do	do	McKay	T	3½-inch lump	4.7	1.36	B95540
Do	do	do	T	3½-inch by 0	11.7	1.42	B95545
Renton	Ivan Jones prospect.		M		6.7	1.36	B78372
Do	Newcastle	Jones	M		10.9	1.39	D18546
Do	do	Bagley and May Creek.	T	Run-of-mine	23.0	1.53	B96407
Do	do	do	T	3½-inch lump	17.9	1.47	B96403
Do	Newcastle (Coal Creek).	Bagley	T	Run-of-mine	24.6	1.53	B96402
Do	do	do	T	3½-inch lump	11.8	1.44	B96398
Do	Springbrook No. 3	Springbrook No. 2, upper bench.	T	Run-of-mine	26.6	1.59	B96087
Do	do	do	T	3-inch lump	15.8	1.48	B96083
Do	Talbot	Springbrook, middle bench.	M		12.3	1.43	C21559
Do	do	Springbrook, upper bench.	T	3½-inch lump	15.4	1.47	C1143
KITITAS COUNTY							
Ronald	Jonesville No. 4	Wright (Roslyn No. 6).	T	Run-of-mine	23.4	1.46	B97524
Do	Ronald No. 2	Big (Roslyn No. 1)	T	Run-of-mine (crushed to 2½- inch).	22.4	1.46	B97304
Do	do	do	T	¼-inch by 0 (air cleaned).	16.3	1.40	B97303
Do	Roslyn No. 3	Roslyn (No. 5)	T	Run-of-mine (crushed to 3- inch).	23.1	1.46	B97306
Do	do	do	T	3-inch lump	13.8	1.37	B97305
Do	do	do	T	¼-inch by 0 (air cleaned).	18.6	1.42	B97311
Do	Wright	Roslyn No. 8	T	¾-inch lump	29.8	1.51	B97620
Do	do	do	T	¾-inch by 0	19.9	1.42	B97621
Roslyn	Roslyn No. 5	Roslyn	T	3-inch lump	12.6	1.38	B97090
Do	do	do	T	3-inch by 0	26.3	1.51	B97096
Do	do	do	T	¼-inch by 0 (air cleaned).	22.2	1.47	B97095
Do	Roslyn No. 9	do	T	Run-of-mine (crushed to 3- inch).	24.6	1.49	B97198
Do	do	do	T	¼-inch by 0 (air cleaned).	20.6	1.45	B97197

<sup>1</sup>M, mine sample; T, tippie sample.

TABLE 25.—*True specific gravities of Washington coals—Continued*

County and town	Mine	Bed	Kind of sample <sup>1</sup>	Size of sample	Dry ash	Specific gravity	Laboratory No.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
LEWIS COUNTY							
Centralia	Belle Slope	Smith	M		12.6	1.48	C23747
Do.	do.	do.	M		13.9	1.49	C23748
Do.	Black Badger	Tono No. 1 (?)	M		11.8	1.49	D30894
Do.	Columbia	Mendota No. 3	M		17.1	1.51	D3092
Do.	Columbia (Smith)	Big Dirty	M		13.6	1.50	C1418
Do.	Creno prospect	Little Dirty (?)	M		14.8	1.49	D30868
Do.	Golden Glow		T	3-inch lump	8.2	1.50	B93899
Do.	do.		T	3- by 1¼-inch	9.7	1.49	B93900
Do.	do.		T	1¼- by ¾-inch	11.0	1.51	B93901
Do.	do.		T	¾-inch by 0	16.1	1.56	B93902
Do.	Kostick No. 1 prospect.	Lower Thompson	M		16.2	1.52	D30866
Do.	Kostick No. 2 prospect.	Smith	M		10.8	1.47	D30867
Do.	Lincoln		M		11.2	1.50	C1419
Do.	Martin	Tono No. 1 or Foron.	M		11.4	1.48	D8255
Do.	Monarch (new)	do.	M		11.7	1.49	D3090
Do.	do.	do.	T	Run-of-mine	16.2	1.55	B93106
Do.	do.	do.	T	3-inch lump	10.6	1.51	B93105
Do.	Monarch prospect	Upper Thompson	M		12.3	1.49	D3091
Do.	Morris prospect		M		18.3	1.62	B93658
Do.	Nonpariel		T	3½-inch lump	9.8	1.49	B99524
Chehalis	Newaukum prospect		M		21.0	1.53	C64088
Do.	Val Myer prospect		M		18.8	1.54	B93644
Morton	Atlas (Hi-Carbon)	Hi-Carbon	M		22.1	1.49	C28183
Do.	Sunburst	No. 2 (?)	M		17.3	1.52	B96595
PIERCE COUNTY							
Wilkeson	Bartoy	No. 2, east dip.	M		15.2	1.39	B53204
Do.	do.	do.	M		12.3	1.35	B53205
Do.	do.	do.	M		14.9	1.38	B53206
Do.	Champion	Champion	M		22.0	1.44	C54014
Do.	East Miller No. 5	No. 5 (Miller), east dip.	T	1½-inch lump	14.4	1.40	B95270
Do.	do.	do.	T	1½-inch by 0	18.6	1.42	B95271
Do.	Skookum	No. 3, west dip	M		14.7	1.38	C16487
Do.	Sparton	Champion	M		14.6	1.38	D31102
Do.	Wilkeson-Miller	No. 5 (Miller), west dip.	M		6.4	1.29	B53925
Do.	do.	do.	M		7.1	1.31	B53926
Do.	Wilkeson-Wingate	No. 4 (Wingate), east dip.	T	2-inch lump	18.1	1.43	B95268
Do.	do.	do.	T	2-inch by 0	16.8	1.42	B95269

<sup>1</sup> M, mine sample; T, tippie sample.

TABLE 25.—*True specific gravities of Washington coals—Continued*

County and town	Mine	Bed	Kind of sample <sup>1</sup>	Size of sample	Dry ash	Specific gravity	Laboratory No.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
<b>THURSTON COUNTY</b>							
Bucoda	Boxer	Mendota	M		16.6	1.53	D30865
Do	D. & F. No. 1		T	3-inch lump	19.3	1.55	B93558
Do	do		T	do	21.6	1.55	C1243
Do	do		T	3- by 1½-inch	21.5	1.57	B93559
Do	do		T	3- by 1½-inch	24.4	1.59	C1244
Do	do		T	1½-inch by 0	23.8	1.60	B93560
Do	D. & F. prospect		M		16.2	1.51	C96368
Do	Gordon prospect	Penitentiary	M		17.1	1.59	D1995
Do	Meeks' prospect	Big Dirty	M		16.9	1.50	D1994
Do	Penn-Bucoda prospects.	Big Dirty, upper bench.	M		10.4	1.46	C26026
Do	do	Big Dirty, middle bench.	M		13.2	1.49	C26027
Do	do	Big Dirty, lower bench.	M		15.7	1.48	C26028
Do	do	Little Dirty	M		15.4	1.51	C26029
Do	do	Smith	M		13.6	1.48	C26030
Tono	Tono No. 1	Tono No. 1	T	3-inch lump	8.6	1.46	B94620
Do	do	do	T	3- by 1¼-inch	13.0	1.49	B94621
Do	do	do	T	1¼-inch by 0	15.3	1.52	B94623
Do	Tono prospect	Tono No. 2	M		12.4	1.48	D30869
<b>WHATCOM COUNTY</b>							
Bellingham	Bellingham	Bellingham No. 1	M		17.7	1.47	D8250
Do	do	do	M		19.3	1.48	D8251
Do	do	do	M		18.4	1.48	D8252
Do	do	do	M		17.8	1.47	D8253
Do	do	do	T	4-inch lump	19.6	1.49	B97697
Do	do	do	T	4- by 1¼-inch	25.8	1.56	B97703
Do	do	do	T	1¼- by ¾-inch	28.0	1.58	B97704
Do	do	do	T	¾-inch by 0	21.2	1.52	B97702
Do	Glen Echo	do	T	4-inch lump	12.1	1.47	B97917

<sup>1</sup> M, mine sample; T, tippie sample.

## SULFUR FORMS IN WASHINGTON COALS

By R. F. Abernethy<sup>1</sup> and F. E. Hartner<sup>2</sup>

The sulfur in coal has been found to exist in three principal forms: Sulfate, pyritic, and organic.<sup>3</sup> These forms will give the fuel technologist most of the desired information concerning the sulfur occurring in Washington coals. Proximate and ultimate analyses will be found in table 22 (p. 29).

Table 26 gives the values obtained by the standard method<sup>4</sup> for the sulfate, pyritic, organic, and total sulfur.

<sup>1</sup> Chief, Analysis Section, Branch of Bituminous Coal, Division of Solid Fuels Technology, Region V, Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa.

<sup>2</sup> Clerk, Analysis Section, Branch of Bituminous Coal, Division of Solid Fuels Technology, Region V, Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, Pa.

<sup>3</sup> Powell, A. R., The Analysis of Sulfur Forms in Coal: Bureau of Mines Tech. Paper 254, 1921, 21 pp.

<sup>4</sup> Fieldner, A. C., and Selvig, W. A., Methods of Analyzing Coal and Coke: Bureau of Mines Bull. 492, 1951, 51 pp.

TABLE 26.—Sulfur forms in Washington coals

County and town	Mine	Bed	Sulfur, as-received condition				Laboratory No.
			Sulfate	Pyritic	Organic	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
KING COUNTY							
Black Diamond	Franklin	McKay	0.00	0.09	0.38	0.47	B99032
Do	Franklin Gem	Gem	.00	.06	.50	.56	B98791
Cumberland	National	No. 1	.00	.06	.42	.48	B99848
Enumclaw	Green River	Kummer No. 4(?)	.01	.17	.04	.22	C60717
Issaquah	Issaquah	Lower Bagley	.00	.06	.30	.36	B96353
Do	Queen No. 1	Lower Bagley, Upper Bagley, and Muldoon.	.00	.18	.31	.49	B96674
Palmer	Elk No. 3	Victory and Big Elk	.00	.11	.49	.60	B96016
Ravensdale	Danville No. 1	Frazier	.00	.33	.57	.90	B99774
Do	McKay	McKay	.01	.28	.38	.67	B95540
Renton	Newcastle	Bagley and May Creek	.00	.06	.36	.42	B96406
Do	Newcastle (Coal Creek)	Bagley	.00	.07	.32	.39	B96398
Do	Springbrook No. 3	Springbrook No. 2, upper bench.	.00	.10	.31	.41	B96085
Do	Talbot	Springbrook, upper bench.	.01	.26	.43	.70	C1145
KITITITAS COUNTY							
Ronald	Jonesville No. 4	Wright (Roslyn No. 6)	.00	.09	.27	.36	B97524
Do	Ronald No. 2	Big (Roslyn No. 1)	.00	.06	.22	.28	B97301
Do	Ronald No. 4	Roslyn No. 6	.00	.08	.36	.44	B97624
Do	Roslyn No. 3	Roslyn (No. 5)	.00	.07	.27	.34	B97309
Do	do	do	.01	.04	.31	.36	D41273
Do	Wright	Roslyn No. 8	.00	.06	.34	.40	B97621
Roslyn	Roslyn No. 5	Roslyn	.00	.09	.30	.39	B97093
Do	Roslyn No. 9	do	.00	.07	.31	.38	B97195
LEWIS COUNTY							
Centralia	Golden Glow		.01	.14	.53	.68	B93899
Do	Monarch (new)	Tono No. 1 or Foron	.01	.12	.70	.83	B93105
Do	Nonpariel		.00	.08	.55	.63	B99524
PIERCE COUNTY							
Carbonado	East Miller No. 5	No. 5 (Miller), east dip	.00	1.00	.52	1.52	B95270
Do	Wilkeson-Wingate	No. 4 (Wingate), east dip	.00	.27	.57	.84	B95269
THURSTON COUNTY							
Bucoda	D. & F. No. 1		.05	1.79	2.48	4.32	B93558
Do	do		.04	2.07	1.01	3.12	C1245
Do	Penn-Bucoda	No. 4 (Bagley)	.01	.11	.28	.40	C80364
Tono	Tono No. 1	Tono No. 1	.00	.04	.33	.37	B94620
WHATCOM COUNTY							
Bellingham	Glen Echo	Bellingham No. 1	.00	.07	.33	.40	B97917



## INDEX

	Page	Page		
<b>A</b>				
"A" bed, coal, analyses.....	31, 32	Brewer, R. E., work cited.....	3	
specific gravity.....	80	Brown, S. P., work cited.....	1	
Abernethy, R. F., work cited.....	3, 8, 27, 48	Bucoda, borehole core samples, description.....	71	
Agglomerating properties, coal, determination.....	26	coal, analyses.....	42, 47	
table.....	28	mine samples, description.....	66-69	
Allyn, C. L., work cited.....	8	specific gravity.....	83	
American Society for Testing Materials, work cited.....	26	sulfur forms.....	85	
Anderson No. 7 mine, coal, analyses.....	32	tipple samples, description.....	78	
delivered samples, description.....	73	Burdick, L. R., work cited.....	26	
Ash, S. H., work cited.....	1, 3, 8, 27, 48	Butane-air gas, production.....	19, 20	
Atlas mine, coal, analyses.....	41	<b>C</b>		
specific gravity.....	82	Carbonado, coal, analyses.....	41	
coal bed, section.....	63	delivered samples, description.....	77	
Avery, I. F., work cited.....	19	sulfur forms.....	85	
<b>B</b>				
Bagley bed, coal, analyses.....	34, 35, 43	Carbonado mine. <i>See</i> Carbonado.		
specific gravity.....	81	Centralia, borehole core samples, description.....	70	
sulfur forms.....	85	coal, analyses.....	39-41, 46	
Barkley, J. F., work cited.....	26	mine samples, description.....	58-62	
Bartoy mine, coal, analyses.....	41	specific gravity.....	82	
specific gravity.....	82	sulfur forms.....	85	
coal bed, sections.....	64	tipple and delivered samples, description.....	77	
Beehive coke, consumption, table.....	16	Champion bed, coal, analyses.....	41	
Belden, A. W., work cited.....	3	specific gravity.....	82	
Belle Slope mine, coal, analyses.....	39	Champion mine, coal, analyses.....	41	
specific gravity.....	82	specific gravity.....	82	
coal bed, sections.....	58	coal bed, section.....	64	
Bellingham, coal, analyses.....	43-45	Chehalis, borehole core samples, description.....	70	
mine samples, description.....	69	coal, analyses.....	41, 46	
specific gravity.....	83	mine samples, description.....	62	
sulfur forms.....	85	specific gravity.....	82	
tipple and delivered samples, description.....	78-79	Coal, agglomerating properties, determination.....	26	
Bellingham mine, coal bed, sections.....	69	table.....	28	
<i>See also</i> Bellingham.		analyses, table.....	29, 46	
Bellingham No. 1 bed, coal, analyses.....	43-45	bituminous, production.....	9	
specific gravity.....	83	classification, by rank.....	26	
sulfur forms.....	85	table.....	27	
Big bed, coal, analyses.....	35	cleaned mechanically, by wet methods, table.....	7	
specific gravity.....	81	discussion.....	7	
sulfur forms.....	85	coking, reserves.....	3	
Big Dirty bed, coal, analyses.....	39, 43, 46, 47	competition, with other fuels.....	18	
specific gravity.....	82, 83	consumption.....	13	
sections.....	68	by source, table.....	14	
Big Elk bed, coal, analyses.....	31, 32	by uses.....	13	
specific gravity.....	80	table.....	14	
sulfur forms.....	85	in gas manufacture.....	16-17	
Bird, B. M., work cited.....	3	cut mechanically, table.....	4	
Birge, C. W., work cited.....	3	exports.....	18	
Bituminous coal. <i>See</i> Coal.		table.....	18	
Black Badger mine, coal, analyses.....	39	imports.....	18	
specific gravity.....	82	Canadian.....	18	
coal bed, section.....	58	table.....	18	
Black Bear bed, coal, analyses.....	46	table.....	18	
Black Diamond, coal, analyses.....	29-30	loaded mechanically, details, table.....	5	
mine samples, description.....	48-50	discussion.....	4	
specific gravity.....	80-81	mineral-matter-free, method of calculating.....	26	
sulfur forms.....	85	mining, underground, methods, summary, table.....	4	
tipple and delivered samples, description.....	72	powdered, consumption, table.....	15	
Black Prince mine, coal, analyses.....	39	use.....	15	
delivered samples, description.....	77	production, by rank.....	9	
Borehole core samples, description.....	70-71	details, tables.....	10-12	
table.....	46	history.....	9	
Boxer mine, coal, analyses.....	42	rank.....	3	
specific gravity.....	83	sampling, discussion.....	24	
coal bed, section.....	67	for shipment or delivery.....	24-25	
		sizes produced.....	7	





Fuel oil, production	Page
sales	19, 21
by uses and grades, table	22

G

Gas, from coal, discussion	16
liquefied petroleum, sales, table	21
production	19
by types, table	20
sales	19, 20
Geer, M. R., work cited	3, 8, 15, 27, 48
Gem bed, coal, analyses	29
specific gravity	80
sulfur forms	85
Gem No. 1 mine, coal, analyses	29
specific gravity	80
coal bed, section	49
Gem No. 2 mine, coal, analyses	28
specific gravity	80
coal bed, section	50
Gibson, F. H., work cited	3
Gilmore, R. E., work cited	28
Glen Echo mine, coal, analyses	45
specific gravity	83
sulfur forms	85
tipple and delivered samples, description	79
Glover, Sheldon L., work cited	3
Golden Glow mine, coal, analyses	39
specific gravity	82
sulfur forms	85
tipple samples, description	77
Gordon prospect, coal, analyses	43
specific gravity	83
coal bed, section	67
Graham Bros. & Medley prospect, coal, analyses	41
coal bed, section	63
Grand Ridge mine, coal, analyses	31
delivered samples, description	72
Green River mine, coal, analyses	30-31
specific gravity	80
sulfur forms	85
tipple sample, description	72
coal bed, section	52
Grivetti, R. M., work cited	1
Groves, J. W., work cited	3

H

Harris No. 2 mine, coal, analyses	31
specific gravity	80
coal bed, section	52
Hi-Carbon bed, coal, analyses	41
specific gravity	82
Hi-Carbon mine, coal, analyses	41
specific gravity	82
coal bed, section	63
Hi Heat mine, coal, analyses	29, 30
specific gravity	80
coal bed, sections	50
Holmes, C. R., work cited	3
Hoover, Linn, Jr., work cited	1
Hyde mine, coal, analyses	30
specific gravity	80
coal bed, section	51

I

Issaquah, coal, analyses	31
mine samples, description	52-53
specific gravity	80
sulfur forms	85
tipple and delivered samples, description	72-73
Issaquah mine. <i>See</i> Issaquah.	
Ivan Jones prospect, coal, analyses	34
specific gravity	81
coal bed, section	56

J. & P. mine, coal, analyses	Page
specific gravity	80
coal bed, section	50
Johnson, K. A., work cited	3, 15
Johnson, Maxine M., work cited	13
Jones bed, coal, analyses	31, 34
specific gravity	80, 81
Jonesville No. 4 mine, coal, analyses	35
specific gravity	81
sulfur forms	85
tipple sample, description	74

K

Key, J. A., work cited	3
Kiessling, O. E., work cited	1, 3, 8, 27, 48
King County, coal, analyses	29-35
mine samples, description	48-57
production, by strip mining	6
details, table	10-11
value	10-11
specific gravity	80-81
subbituminous, production, table	12
sulfur forms	85
tipple and delivered samples, description	72-74
Kittitas County, coal, analyses	35-39
mine samples, description	57
production, by strip mining	6
details, table	10-11
value	10-11
specific gravity	81
sulfur forms	85
tipple and delivered samples, description	74-77
Kolde, Endel J., work cited	13
Kostick No. 1 prospect, coal, analyses	39
specific gravity	82
coal bed, section	60
Kostick No. 2 prospect, coal, analyses	39
specific gravity	82
coal bed, section	60
Kummer mine, coal, analyses	30
specific gravity	80
coal bed, section	51
Kummer No. 1 bed, coal, analyses	30
specific gravity	80
Kummer No. 4 bed, coal, analyses	30-31
specific gravity	80
sulfur forms	85

L

Lahee mine, coal, analyses	31
specific gravity	80
coal bed, section	52
Landsburg mine, coal, analyses	30
delivered samples, description	72
Landsburg No. 1 bed, coal, analyses	32-33
specific gravity	81
sections	55
Larabee prospect, coal, analyses	40
coal bed, section	60
Lewis, A. A., work cited	15
Lewis County, borehole core samples, description	70-71
coal, analyses	39-41, 46
mine samples, description	58
production, by strip mining	6
details, table	10-11
value	10-11
specific gravity	82
subbituminous, production, table	12
sulfur forms	85
tipple and delivered samples, description	77
Lincoln mine, coal, analyses	40
specific gravity	82
coal bed, section	60

	Page		Page
Liquefied petroleum gas, production	19, 20	Morton, coal, analyses	41
sales, by principal uses, table	21	mine samples, description	63
Little Dirty bed, coal, analyses	39, 43, 47	specific gravity	82
specific gravity	82, 83	Muldoon bed, coal, analyses	31, 34
sections	68	specific gravity	80
Loading methods, description	4	sulfur forms	85
mechanical, details, table	5		
Lower Bagley bed, coal, analyses	31, 34	<b>N</b>	
specific gravity	80	National mine, coal, analyses	30
sulfur forms	85	specific gravity	80
Lower Thompson bed, coal, analyses	39	sulfur forms	85
specific gravity	82	tipple sample, description	72
Lund, R. J., work cited	13	Naugle, B. W., work cited	3
Lunde, K. E., work cited	15	New Black Diamond mine, coal, analyses	34
		delivered samples, description	74
<b>M</b>		New Lake Young mine, coal, analyses	35
Marshall, S. M., work cited	3	delivered sample, description	74
Martin mine, coal, analyses	40	Newaukum prospect, coal, analyses	41
specific gravity	82	specific gravity	82
coal bed, section	61	coal bed, section	62
May Creek bed, coal, analyses	31, 34	Newcastle mine, coal, analyses	34-35
specific gravity	80, 81	specific gravity	81
sulfur forms	85	sulfur forms	85
McCartney, J. T., work cited	3	tipple and delivered samples, description	74
McKay bed, coal, analyses	29, 30, 33	coal bed, section	56
specific gravity	80, 81	Nicolls, J. H. H., work cited	28
sulfur forms	85	Nonpariel mine, coal, analyses	41
McKay mine, coal, analyses	33	specific gravity	82
specific gravity	81	sulfur forms	85
sulfur forms	85	tipple samples, description	77
tipple and delivered samples, description	73	Norbisrath, H., work cited	1
coal bed, sections	55	No. 1 bed, coal, analyses	30
McKay strip mine, coal, analyses	33	specific gravity	80
tipple samples, description	73	sulfur forms	85
McMillan, E. R., work cited	3	No. 2 bed, coal, analyses	35, 41
Mechanical cleaning, coal, by wet methods, table	7	specific gravity	82
discussion	7	No. 3 bed, coal, analyses	33, 41
Mechanical loading, coal, details, table	5	specific gravity	82
discussion	4	No. 3½ bed, coal, analyses	33, 34
Mechanical loading machines, underground mines, types	4	No. 4 bed, coal, analyses	33, 42, 43
Mechanization, coal mines, discussion	4	specific gravity	82
progress	4	sulfur forms	85
Meeks' prospect, coal, analyses	43	No. 5 bed, coal, analyses	36-37, 41
specific gravity	83	specific gravity	81, 82
coal bed, section	67	sulfur forms	85
Mendota bed, coal, analyses	42, 46, 47		
specific gravity	83	<b>O</b>	
Mendota No. 3 bed, coal, analyses	39	Ode, W. H., work cited	3
specific gravity	82	Oil gas, production	19, 20
Miller bed, coal, analyses	41	Olds, W. A., work cited	8
specific gravity	82	Olson No. 1 mine, coal, analyses	30
sulfur forms	85	delivered sample, description	72
Mine samples, analyses	26	Osgood, F. D., work cited	1, 3, 8, 27, 48
table	29	Otero, Maxine M., work cited	17
description	48	Oven coke, consumption, table	16, 17
relationship to commercial shipments	24		
Mining methods, description	4-6	<b>P</b>	
strip, description	4-6	Packaged fuel. <i>See</i> Coal briquets.	
underground, mechanized, description	4	Palmer, coal, analyses	31-32
summary, table	4	mine samples, description	53
Monarch mine (new), coal, analyses	40	specific gravity	80
specific gravity	82	sulfur forms	85
sulfur forms	85	tipple samples, description	73
tipple and delivered samples, description	77	Palmer Nos. 10 and 12 mines, coal, analyses	30
coal bed, section	61	tipple and delivered samples, description	72
Monarch prospect, coal, analyses	40	Parr, S. W., work cited	26
specific gravity	82	Pease, M. H., Jr., work cited	1
coal bed, section	62	Penitentiary bed, coal, analyses	43, 46, 47
Morris prospect, coal, analyses	41	specific gravity	83
specific gravity	82	Penn-Bucoda mine, coal, analyses	43
coal bed, section	62	sulfur forms	85
		tipple sample, description	78

	Page		Page
Penn-Bucoda prospects, coal, analyses.....	43	Roslyn No. 9 mine. <i>See</i> Roslyn.	
specific gravity.....	83	Ryan, Emma E., work cited.....	16, 17
coal bed, sections.....	68		
Pierce County, coal, analyses.....	41-42	<b>S</b>	
mine samples, description.....	64-66	Samples, coal. <i>See</i> Delivered samples; Mine	
production, details, table.....	10-11	samples; Tipple samples.	
value.....	10-11	Sampling, coal, for shipment or delivery.....	24-25
specific gravity.....	82	Sampling methods, discussion.....	24
sulfur forms.....	85	interpretation.....	25
tipple and delivered samples, description.....	77-78	Scalf, F. C., work cited.....	16, 17
Plein, L. N., work cited.....	1, 3, 8, 27, 48	Selvig, W. A., work cited.....	3, 27, 80, 84
Powell, A. R., work cited.....	84	Six-Foot bed, coal, analyses.....	33
Power, electric. <i>See</i> Electric power.		sections.....	55
Price, J. D., work cited.....	8, 15	Skookum mine, coal, analyses.....	41
		specific gravity.....	82
<b>Q</b>		coal bed, section.....	65
Queen No. 1 mine, coal, analyses.....	31	Smith bed, coal, analyses.....	39, 43, 46, 47
specific gravity.....	80	specific gravity.....	82, 83
sulfur forms.....	85	section.....	68
tipple and delivered samples, description.....	72-73	Smith mine, coal, analyses.....	39
coal bed, section.....	53	specific gravity.....	82
		coal bed, section.....	59
<b>R</b>		Snavely, Parke D., Jr., work cited.....	1
Ravensdale, coal, analyses.....	32-33	Snyder, N. H., work cited.....	1, 3, 8, 27, 48
mine samples, description.....	54-55	Sparton mine, coal, analyses.....	41
specific gravity.....	81	specific gravity.....	82
sulfur forms.....	85	coal bed, section.....	65
tipple and delivered samples, description.....	73	Specific gravity, coals, table.....	80
Ravensdale No. 4 strip mine. <i>See</i> Ravensdale.		Springbrook bed, coal, analyses.....	35
Ravensdale strip mine. <i>See</i> Ravensdale.		specific gravity.....	81
Raw materials, consumption, in gas manu- facture.....	17	sulfur forms.....	85
Renton, coal, analyses.....	34-35	Springbrook No. 2 bed, coal, analyses.....	35
mine samples, description.....	55-57	specific gravity.....	81
specific gravity.....	81	sulfur forms.....	85
sulfur forms.....	85	Springbrook No. 3 mine, coal, analyses.....	35
tipple and delivered samples, description.....	74	specific gravity.....	81
Reynolds, D. A., work cited.....	3	sulfur forms.....	85
Robbins, Floyd D., work cited.....	21	tipple samples, description.....	74
Roberts, A. E., work cited.....	1	Sprunk, G. C., work cited.....	3
Ronald, coal, analyses.....	35-37	Strip mining, coal, discussion.....	4
mine samples, description.....	57	production, table.....	6
specific gravity.....	81	Subbituminous coal. <i>See</i> Coal.	
sulfur forms.....	85	Sulfur forms, in coal.....	84
tipple and delivered samples, description.....	74-75	table.....	85
Ronald No. 2 mine. <i>See</i> Ronald.		Sullivan, John D., work cited.....	13
Ronald No. 4 mine. <i>See</i> Ronald.		Sunburst mine, coal, analyses.....	41
Roslyn, coal, analyses.....	37-39	specific gravity.....	82
mine samples, description.....	57	coal bed, section.....	63
specific gravity.....	81	Swingle, R. J., work cited.....	3, 8, 27, 48
sulfur forms.....	85		
tipple and delivered samples, description.....	76-77	<b>T</b>	
Roslyn bed, coal, analyses.....	36-37, 37-39	Talbot mine, coal, analyses.....	35
specific gravity.....	81	specific gravity.....	81
sulfur forms.....	85	sulfur forms.....	85
Roslyn Cascade No. 1 mine, coal, analyses.....	37	tipple samples, description.....	74
delivered samples, description.....	75	coal bed, section.....	57
Roslyn-Cle Elum field, coal, preparation.....	7	Thurston County, coal, analyses.....	42-43, 47
Roslyn No. 1 bed, coal, analyses.....	35	mine samples, description.....	66-69
specific gravity.....	81	production, by strip mining.....	6
sulfur forms.....	85	details, table.....	10-11
Roslyn No. 3 mine, coal, analyses.....	36-37, 37-38	value.....	10-11
specific gravity.....	81	specific gravity.....	83
sulfur forms.....	85	subbituminous, production, table.....	12
tipple and delivered samples, description.....	75, 76	sulfur forms.....	85
coal bed, section.....	57	tipple and delivered samples, description.....	78
Roslyn No. 5 mine, coal bed, section.....	58	Tipple samples, analyses.....	26
<i>See also</i> Roslyn.		table.....	29
Roslyn No. 6 bed, coal, analyses.....	35-36	description, table.....	72-79
specific gravity.....	81	Toenges, Albert L., work cited.....	1
sulfur forms.....	85	Toledo, borehole core samples, description.....	71
Roslyn No. 8 bed, coal, analyses.....	37	coal, analyses.....	41, 46
specific gravity.....	81	mine samples, description.....	63
sulfur forms.....	85		

	Page		Page
Tono, coal, analyses.....	43	Whatcom County, coal, analyses.....	43-45
specific gravity.....	83	mine samples, description.....	69
sulfur forms.....	85	production, details, table.....	10-11
tipple and delivered samples, description.....	78	value.....	10-11
Tono No. 1 bed, coal, analyses.....	39, 40, 43, 46	specific gravity.....	83
specific gravity.....	82, 83	sulfur forms.....	85
sulfur forms.....	85	tipple and delivered samples, description.....	78-79
Tono No. 1 mine, coal. <i>See</i> Tono.		Wilkeson, coal, analyses.....	41-42
Tono No. 2 bed, coal, analyses.....	43	mine samples, description.....	64-66
specific gravity.....	83	specific gravity.....	82
Tono prospect, coal bed, section.....	69	tipple samples, description.....	78
<i>See also</i> Tono.		Wilkeson-Miller mine, coal, analyses.....	41
Turnbull, Louis A., work cited.....	1	specific gravity.....	82
		coal bed, section.....	66
<b>U</b>		Wilkeson-Wingate mine, coal, analyses.....	42
Upper Bagley bed, coal, analyses.....	31, 34	specific gravity.....	82
specific gravity.....	80	sulfur forms.....	85
sulfur forms.....	85	coal bed, sections.....	66
Upper Thompson bed, coal, analyses.....	40, 43, 46	Wingate bed, coal, analyses.....	42
specific gravity.....	82	specific gravity.....	82
		sulfur forms.....	85
<b>V</b>		Wolfson, D. E., work cited.....	3
Val Myer prospect, coal, analyses.....	41	Wood, as fuel, consumption.....	18
specific gravity.....	82	Wood briquets, production, table.....	19
coal bed, section.....	62	sales, table.....	19
Valentine, Grant M., work cited.....	3	use.....	19
Victory bed, coal, analyses.....	31	Wright bed, coal, analyses.....	35
specific gravity.....	80	specific gravity.....	81
sulfur forms.....	85	sulfur forms.....	85
		Wright mine, coal, analyses.....	37
<b>W</b>		specific gravity.....	81
Warren, W. C., work cited.....	1	sulfur forms.....	85
Water gas, production.....	19, 20	tipple samples, description.....	75
Way, K. M., work cited.....	3		
		<b>Y</b>	
		Yancey, H. F., work cited.....	1, 3, 8, 15, 27, 48
		<b>Z</b>	
		Zane, R. E., work cited.....	3