

PROJECT

562-007252-88

REPORT NO. ER 3911  
THE SNAP II POWER CONVERSION SYSTEM  
TOPICAL REPORT NO. 3  
DYNAMIC ANALYSIS

PREPARED BY:

David L. Deibel

David L. Deibel  
Research Engineer

Gene L. Mraza

Gene L. Mraza  
Research Engineer

Kurt Seldner

Kurt Seldner  
Research Engineer

CHECKED BY:

William J. Leovic

William J. Leovic  
Project Engineer

Donald H. Louthan

Project Manager

APPROVED BY:

W. R. Chapman

W. R. Chapman  
Manager - Engineering

J. Ed Taylor

J. Ed Taylor  
Manager

New Devices Laboratories

DATE

January 15, 1960

DEPARTMENT

8868

**Thompson Ramo Wooldridge Inc.**

CLEVELAND, OHIO, U. S. A.

**ABSTRACT**

SNAP II is the designation for a nuclear auxiliary power unit, designed primarily for utilization in the WS117L satellite vehicle. The SNAP II system consists of a reactor heat source, a mercury Rankine engine, and an alternator. Dynamic analysis of the power conversion system was conducted utilizing a comprehensive analog computer simulation. Feasibility of a parasitic load control for numerous system disturbances was demonstrated. This analysis was performed under a subcontract to Atomics International as part of the Atomic Energy Commission Contract No. AT (11-1)-GEN-8.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. SUMMARY . . . . .	1
II. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
III. COMPONENT DESCRIPTION . . . . .	4
A. Heat Source . . . . .	4
B. Heat Exchanger . . . . .	6
C. Turbine . . . . .	13
D. Condenser-Radiator . . . . .	13
E. Intercooler-Radiator . . . . .	28
F. Subcooler . . . . .	28
G. Mercury Pump . . . . .	41
H. Bearings . . . . .	41
I. Sodium Pump . . . . .	41
J. Alternator . . . . .	41
K. Parasitic Load Control . . . . .	41
L. Rotor Dynamics . . . . .	52
IV. DYNAMIC ANALYSIS . . . . .	52
A. Reactor Temperature Transients . . . . .	57
B. Reactor Power Transients . . . . .	57
C. Pump Flow Transients . . . . .	58
D. Bearing Flow Transients . . . . .	59



	<u>Page</u>
E. Sun-Shade Operation . . . . .	59
V. CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	59
TABLE 1, SYMBOLS - VARIABLES . . . . .	83
TABLE 2, SYMBOLS - CONSTANTS . . . . .	86



## DYNAMIC ANALYSIS OF THE SNAP II SYSTEM

### I. SUMMARY

The mathematical model for an analog computer simulation of the SNAP II system is developed. Available data is combined with characteristics performance equations to provide a mathematical description of each component. The interrelations between variables are indicated by information flow diagrams for the individual elements. The computer diagrams are also given for each individual component.

From this computer study, the feasibility of a parasitic load control was established for all transients anticipated in an orbiting satellite.

### II. INTRODUCTION

The function of the SNAP II system is to convert the heat energy available from a nuclear reactor into electrical energy to be delivered to a load. The method of conversion utilized is a Rankine cycle employing mercury as the working fluid. The Rankine engine is composed of a mercury boiler heated by a sodium heat transfer loop, an axial flow impulse turbine which extracts energy from the superheated mercury vapor, a condenser which returns the vapor to a liquid state and a mercury pump which returns the condensate to the boiler. The turbine directly drives the alternator, the mercury pump, and a permanent magnet induction sodium pump which is designed to circulate the sodium between the mercury boiler and the reactor.


Development of the mathematical model proceeded from analysis of the individual components such as the boiler, turbine, alternator, etc., and included consideration of additional effects such as transport delay in pipe lines, incident power radiation on the vehicle, etc. An overall information flow diagram is shown in Figure 1.

The photograph on the following page shows the computer installation at the Systems Analysis Laboratory of Thompson Ramo Wooldridge Incorporated. Five consoles of Electronics Associates equipment were available. For the SNAP II simulation approximately three of these consoles were used. Total equipment utilized was:

1. 140 operational amplifiers
2. 12 diode function generators
3. 12 servo multipliers
4. 20 electronic multipliers

The scale factors used throughout the simulation were as follows:

INFORMATION FLOW DIAGRAM - SNAP II SYSTEM





ANALOG COMPUTER

TAPCO GROUP  Thompson Ramo Wooldridge Inc.



$$1 \text{ Volt} = 1^{\circ}\text{F}$$

$$1 \text{ Volt} = 1 \text{ psi}$$

$$1 \text{ Volt} = 1 \text{ rpm}$$

For example, if the output voltage equals 50.00 volts and its represents 0.05T, then the actual temperature is computed as shown below:

$$.05 T = 50.00 \text{ Volts}$$

$$.05 T = \frac{50.00 \text{ Volts}}{1 \text{ Volt}/^{\circ}\text{F}}$$

$$T = \frac{50.00}{.05} \text{ } ^{\circ}\text{F}$$

$$T = 1000^{\circ}\text{F}$$

### iii. COMPONENT DESCRIPTION

#### A. Heat Source

The heat source is a nuclear reactor from which heat energy is transferred into the sodium loop. The kinetic equation of the reactor describes the power output level as a function of changes in reactivity and effects introduced by delay neutrons groups. Changes in reactivity occur with variations in the temperature of the reactor vessel. The kinetic equations for a simplified version of the nuclear reactor are used to represent the power source; a negative temperature coefficient controls power output. The significant equations (1) and (2) and a block diagram are shown in Figure 2.

The thermodynamics of the reactor are specified in terms of the reactor power output and sodium flow. The effective heat capacities of the device are contributed by the fuel and enclosed sodium. If an unbalance exists between the transferred heat energy and that taken from the reactor by the sodium flow, a change in average temperature of the enclosed sodium will occur.

The heat transfer equations are of partial derivative form with independent time and space variables. The computer can accommodate only one independent variable (time) so that changes with respect to the geometrical variables must be expressed as difference equations. The geometry of the sodium flow through the reactor is such





### REACTOR EQUATIONS

$$(1) \quad \dot{P}_r = \left[ \frac{\delta k - \beta}{l^*} + \frac{\beta}{l^*} \frac{1}{1 + s/\lambda} \right] P_r$$

$$(2) \quad \delta k = \alpha (T_f - T_{fo})$$

$$(3) \quad W_f C_f \frac{dT_f}{dt} = P_r - A_{fs} U_{fs} (T_f - T_{sr})$$

$$(4) \quad W_{sr} C_s \frac{dT_{sr}}{dt} = A_{fs} U_{fs} (T_f - T_{sr}) + w_s C_s (T_{slr} - T_{sor})$$

$$(5) \quad T_{sor} = 2 T_{sr} - T_{slr}$$




FIG. 2 BLOCK DIAGRAM - REACTOR KINETICS



that only one distance variable need be considered. The total length will be considered as a single cell. This concept may be modified if additional information shows that the difference interval selected leads to errors larger than anticipated. Equations (3), (4), and (5) describe the heat transfer in the reactor and Figure 3 shows the block diagram. The overall computer diagram for reactor kinetics and thermodynamics is shown in Figure 4.

### B. Heat Exchanger

A change in mercury phase is accomplished in a sodium-to-mercury heat exchanger constructed of concentric tubes with mercury flowing in the inner tube and sodium in the annulus. The effective heat transfer source is considered to be the sodium and associated walls since the sodium-to-wall heat transfer resistance is much lower than the wall-to-mercury or wall-to-insulation resistances. The heat exchanger can be divided into three regions: preheat, boiling, and super heat. The heat transfer characteristics in all regions are expressed by partial differential equations. The assumption of flow in a single direction allows reduction to ordinary differential equations with the distribution of section lengths accounted for in difference equations.

The heat transfer coefficient is considered constant along the length of the preheater section. The net heat flow from the sodium to the preheater results in a change in average temperature of the sodium. The heat transferred to the mercury is proportional to the difference in average temperature of the two fluids. Excess heat absorbed by the mercury results in a rise in the average mercury temperature in this section or a change in preheater length required to bring the mercury to boiling temperature.

Equations (6) through (14) describe the heat transfer in the preheater. The block diagram is shown in Figure 5 and the computer diagram in Figure 6. Figure 7 shows the preheater exit temperature as a function of pressure as used in the simulation.

The center section of the heat exchanger, in which mercury is changed from liquid to vapor, may be divided into several sections if there exists a wide variance in heat transfer coefficient. Since steady state calculations show that a single boiling section representation closely approximates the heat transfer effects of a multiple section consideration, a single section is herein presented for simulation.

The heat transfer between the sodium and the mercury is based on the difference between the average sodium temperature of the boiling section and the mercury temperature at the superheater end of the same section. The heat transfer coefficient is also a function of this temperature difference. The length of the section is established by the heat transfer coefficient and temperature difference between sodium and mercury.

The effect of the boiler walls is accounted for by combining the sodium and walls to form an equivalent heat capacitance. Heat energy transferred into the mercury section results in a state change or a time rate of change in the temperature of enclosed

BLOCK DIAGRAM - REACTOR THERMODYNAMICS





FIGURE 3

COMPUTER DIAGRAM - REACTOR





## PREHEATER EQUATIONS

$$(6) \quad w_s C_s T_{s32} - w_s C_s T_{sob} = A_2 U_2 (T_{s2} - T_{m2}) + W_{s2} C_{s2} \frac{dT_{s2}}{dt}$$

$$(7) \quad W_{s2} C_{s2} = \frac{V_{s2}}{V_s} W_w C_w + W_s C_s$$

$$(8) \quad T_{s2} = \frac{T_{s32} + T_{sob}}{2}$$

$$(9) \quad V_{s2} = A_2 \frac{V_s}{A_1}$$

$$(10) \quad w_2 C_m T_{m23} - w_o C_m T_{mlb} = A_2 U_2 (T_{s2} - T_{m2}) - W_{m2} C_m \frac{dT_{m2}}{dt}$$

$$(11) \quad W_{m2} = \frac{w_a - w_2}{S}$$

$$(12) \quad A_2 = \frac{W_{m2} A_1}{\rho_m V_{mt}}$$

$$(13) \quad T_{m23} = \int P_{m23}$$

$$(14) \quad T_{m2} = \frac{w_2 T_{m23} + w_o T_{mlb}}{w_2 + w_o}$$



BLOCK DIAGRAM - PREHEATER





FIGURE 5



COMPUTER DIAGRAM-REHEATER

FIG. 7 PREHEATER DFG 1-D  
 PRESSURE - TEMPERATURE RELATIONSHIP FOR  
 SATURATED MERCURY LIQUID




FIGURE 7





mercury. Since the mercury is boiling, the differential of temperature will be proportional to the time rate of change of pressure. The remainder of the heat input results in the state change from liquid to vapor.

Equations (15) to (25) describe the boiling section. Block diagram and computer diagram are shown in Figures 8 and 9. The simulation curves, describing the heat transfer coefficient and pressure-temperature relationship are presented in Figures 10 and 11.

The superheater consists of that section of the boiler not associated with preheating or boiling. Superheated vapor flows into the turbine at a rate determined by the pressure and temperature at the exit of the heat exchanger. A very small pressure drop is associated with flow in the region where quality is 100 percent, so vapor pressure within the superheater is considered uniform. The heat balance equation considers the input from the last section of the boiler and that from the associated sodium loop. Weight flow through the superheater is that taken by the turbine. The equations (26) through (37) are listed and the block diagram and computer diagram are shown in Figures 12 and 13.

### C. Turbine

A two stage axial flow impulse turbine is used to convert the available energy of the mercury vapor into rotating mechanical energy. The entrance conditions to the turbine are dictated by the performance of the heat exchanger section. It is assumed that no pressure drop occurs between the superheater exit and the turbine entrance.

For simulation purposes, turbine performance may be considered as governed by entrance conditions and speed-efficiency characteristics. Mathematically, this results in turbine discharge conditions being dependent variables relative to the turbine but does not alter the true inter-dependency of variables in the overall system. Equations (38) through (43) and Figures 14 through 19 describe the turbine.

### D. Condenser-Radiator

Mercury vapor flows from the turbine into the condenser, where it is reduced to a liquid state. The condenser is defined as that portion of the radiator assembly which contains mercury in the vapor state as opposed to the intercooler-subcooler which comprises that portion of the radiator containing mercury in the liquid state. Heat input to the condenser is determined by the turbine weight flow and its exit enthalpy. Heat of condensation is rejected, through the walls and fins by radiation at a rate proportional to the effective condenser area and the Stefan-Boltzmann temperature relationship. Heat also leaves the condenser in the form of saturated liquid into the intercooler.

The volume of the condenser plus the volume of the intercooler is a constant. However, unbalanced heat flow encountered during transient operation may cause the respective volumes, and, therefore, inventories to vary. Rate of condensation and



### BOILING SECTION EQUATIONS

$$(15) \quad w_s C_s T_{s43} - w_s C_s T_{s32} = A_3 U_3 (T_{s3} - T_{h34}) + W_{s3} C_{s3} \frac{d T_{s3}}{dt} + P_1$$

$$(16) \quad W_{s3} C_{s3} = \frac{V_{s3}}{V_s} [W_w C_w + W_s C_s]$$

$$(17) \quad T_{s3} = \frac{T_{s43} + T_{s32}}{2}$$

$$(18) \quad V_{s3} = \frac{V_s}{A_f} A_3$$

$$(19) \quad U_3 = f(T_{s3} - T_{m34})$$

$$(20) \quad w_3 h_g - w_2 C_m T_{m23} = A_3 U_3 (T_{s3} - T_{m34}) - W_{m3} \frac{d T_{m34}}{dt} - h_{fg} (w_2 - w_3)$$

$$(21) \quad W_{m3} = \frac{w_2 - w_3}{s}$$

$$(22) \quad T_{m34} = f(P_{m34})$$

$$(23) \quad h_g = \text{constant}$$

$$(24) \quad l_3 = \frac{A_3}{\pi d}$$

$$(25) \quad P_{m23} - P_{m34} = \left( \frac{w_2 + w_3}{2 A_{bc}} \right)^2 \frac{1}{g} \left[ v_{fg} + K_v l_3 (v_f + v_{fg}) \right]$$



BLOCK DIAGRAM - BOILING SECTION






FIGURE 8



COMPUTER DIAGRAM - BOILING SECTION

FIG. 10 BOILING SECTION DFG 3D  
HEAT TRANSFER - TEMPERATURE RELATIONSHIP IN BOILING SECTION




17



PRESSURE - TEMPERATURE RELATIONSHIP FOR SATURATED MERCURY VAPOR

FIG. 11 BOILING SECTION DFG 4D





## SUPERHEATER EQUATIONS

$$(26) \quad w_s C_s T_{slr} - w_s C_s T_{s43} = A_4 U_4 (T_{s4} - T_{m4}) + W_{s4} C_{s4} \frac{dT_{s4}}{dt}$$

$$(27) \quad W_{s4} C_{s4} = \frac{V_{s4}}{V_s} [W_w C_w + W_s C_s]$$

$$(28) \quad T_{s4} = \frac{T_{slb} + T_{s43}}{2}$$

$$(29) \quad V_{s4} = A_4 \frac{V_s}{A_1}$$

$$(30) \quad A_4 = A_1 - A_2 - A_3$$

$$(31) \quad w_4 C_{m4} T_{m4t} - w_3 C_{m4} T_{m34} = A_4 U_4 (T_{s4} - T_{m4}) - W_{m4} C_{m4} \frac{dT_{m4}}{dt}$$

$$(32) \quad W_{m4} = \frac{V_m \rho_{m4}}{A_1} A_4$$

$$(33) \quad w_3 = \frac{dW_{m4}}{dt} + w_4$$

$$(34) \quad P_{m4} = \rho_{m4} R (T_{m4} + 460)$$


$$(35) \quad P_{m4} = P_{m34}$$

$$(36) \quad w_4 = w_t$$

$$(37) \quad T_{m4} = \frac{w_4 T_{m4t} + w_3 T_{m34}}{w_3 + w_4}$$



BLOCK DIAGRAM - SUPERHEATER







TURBINE EQUATIONS

(38)  $P_t = K_{t3} w_t \approx \Delta h_1$

(39)  $\eta \Delta h_1 = f(N, R)$

(40)  $\eta = f(V)$

(41)  $V = \frac{K_{t1} N}{\sqrt{R \Delta h_1}}$

(42)  $h_1 = f(T_{mbt})$

(43)  $h_t = h_1 - V \Delta h_1$





FIG. 14 BLOCK DIAGRAM - TURBINE



TURBINE DFG 2E  
 TURBINE SPEED RATIO-EFFICIENCY RELATIONSHIP





FIGURE 16





FIG. 17 TURBINE DFG'S 4C and 5C  
TURBINE EFFICIENCY - IDEAL ENTHALPY RELATIONSHIP  
WITH LINES OF CONSTANT SPEED





INFORMATION PLOTTED ON DFG 4-C  
TURBINE EFFICIENCY-IDEAL ENTHALPY CORRECTION CURVE




FIGURE 1B



INFORMATION PLOTTED ON DFG 5-C  
 SPEED CORRECTION CURVE FOR FIGURE 17




FIGURE 19



condensing temperature are the two variables that change to account for the difference within the condenser portion.

Equations (44) through (52) describe the performance of the condenser. The block diagram and computer diagram are shown in Figures 20 and 21. The temperature and specific weight relationships used in the condenser simulation are shown in Figures 22 and 23.

#### E. Intercooler-Radiator

The intercooler consists of that portion of the radiator which is occupied with liquid mercury. The rate of mercury entering the intercooler is determined by the vapor condensation in the condenser and the moisture flow from the turbine. Due to continued radiation, the mercury traveling through the intercooler will exit as a subcooled liquid. The rate of which this mercury is drawn from the intercooler is that amount which when added to the bearing flow will be the quantity needed by the pump in order to satisfy system requirements.

During system transient performance, the mercury inventory and hence the area of the intercooler will fluctuate, thus accounting for changes in the average intercooler temperature. This change in inventory will also change the effective heat capacitance of the intercooler. The equations describing intercooler action are (53) through (61). Figures 24 and 25 show the block diagram and computer diagram. Figure 26 shows the temperature relationship while 27 shows the effect of changing inventory on the area and heat capacitance.

At design conditions the system will be operating at the break point on this plot. This is caused by the physical design of the condenser and intercooler. The condenser is made up of vertical tubes placed around the circumference of the vehicle while the intercooler is an annular tube completely filled with liquid mercury at design conditions. As the mercury inventory in the intercooler changes, either rising up the vertical tubes or lowering in the annular ring, the weight to area relationships will change as shown in Figure 27.

#### F. Subcooler

Subcooler inlet flow is a mixture of the subcooled liquid from the intercooler plus bearing flow. Heat energy is radiated through the vehicle surface and temperature of the combined mass flow is reduced. The mercury and subcooler walls are assumed to be at the same temperature; the heat capacity of the subcooler is an effective value considering these two masses. Equations (62) through (65) describe the actions of the subcooler. The block diagram and computer diagram are shown in Figures 28 and 29.





### CONDENSER EQUATIONS

$$(44) \quad T_c = T_{mc}$$

$$(45) \quad h_t w_t - w_2 C_m T_c - A_c E_{ff} \cdot \left[ \sigma (T_c + 460)^4 - P_1 \right] = W_c C_c \frac{dT_s}{dt} + h_{fg} (w_t - w_c)$$

$$(46) \quad W_c C_c = V_c \left[ \frac{W_{w2} C_{w2} + W_f C_f}{V_t} \right] + W_{mc} C_m$$

$$(47) \quad A_c = A_{tr} - A_i$$

$$(48) \quad W_{mc} = V_c \rho_{mc}$$

$$(49) \quad \rho_{mc} = f(T_{mc})$$

$$(50) \quad w_t - w_c = S W_{mc}$$

$$(51) \quad P_c = \rho_{mc} R (T_c + 460)$$

$$(52) \quad V_c = A_c \frac{V_c}{A_c}$$

BLOCK DIAGRAM - CONDENSER





FIGURE 20

8

COMPUTER DIAGRAM - CONTINUED





## STEFAN-BOLTZMANN-TEMPERATURE RELATIONSHIP

CONDENSER DFG 1C




FIGURE 22

SPECIFIC WEIGHT-TEMPERATURE RELATIONSHIP FOR SATURATED MERCURY VAPOR

CONDENSER DFG 2C




FIGURE 23

33





### INTERCOOLER EQUATIONS

$$(53) \quad T_{mi} = T_i$$

$$(54) \quad W_{mi} = \frac{w_c - w_i}{s}$$

$$(55) \quad V_i = \frac{W_{mi}}{\rho_m}$$

$$(56) \quad A_i = f(W_{mi})$$

$$(57) \quad w_c C_m T_{mc} - w_i C_m T_{mis} - A_i E_{ff} \left[ \sigma (T_{mi} + 460)^4 - p_i \right] = W_i C_i \frac{dT_i}{dt}$$


$$(58) \quad W_i C_i = V_i \left[ \frac{W_{w2} C_{w2} + W_f C_f + W_{mi} C_m}{V_t} \right]$$

$$(59) \quad V_i \left[ \frac{W_{w2} C_{w2} + W_f C_f + W_{mi} C_m}{V_t} \right] = f(A_i)$$

$$(60) \quad T_{mis} = T_{mi} + (T_{mi} - T_{mc})$$

$$(61) \quad w_i = w_a$$

BLOCK DIAGRAM - INTERCOOLER



$$A_i E_{ff}^e \left[ \sigma (T_{m3} + 460)^4 - P_1 \right]$$

35

FIGURE 24






INFORMATION PLOTTED ON DFG 3-C  
STEFAN-BOLTZMANN TEMPERATURE RELATIONSHIP

FIGURE 26

INTERCOOLER DFG 5E  
 INTERCOOLER INVENTORY-AREA-HEAT CAPACITANCE RELATIONSHIP




FIGURE 27





### SUBCOOLER EQUATIONS

$$(62) \quad T_{ms} = T_s$$

$$(63) \quad w_l C_m T_{mis} + w_b C_m T_{mbs} - A_s E_{ff} \left[ \sigma (T_{ms} + 460)^4 - P_l \right] - w_p C_m T_{msp} - W_{su} C_{su} \frac{dT_{ms}}{dt}$$

$$(64) \quad W_{su} C_{su} = W_{ms} C_m + W_{ws} C_{ws} + W_{fs} C_{fs}$$

$$(65) \quad T_{msp} = T_{ms} + \left( T_{ms} - \frac{w_b}{w_p} T_{mbs} - \frac{w_l}{w_p} T_{mis} \right)$$





FIG. 28 BLOCK DIAGRAM - SUBCOOLER

COMPUTER DIAGRAM - SUBCOOLER



40

FIGURE 29



### G. Mercury Pump

A centrifugal type pump is used in the mercury system. The useful power loss increases the pump outlet temperature. Any difference between the system pressure requirements and the head developed provides an acceleration force on the fluid stream. Equations (66) through (74) describe the pump performance while the block diagram and computer diagram are shown in Figures 30 and 31. The pump characteristics defining head pressure as a function of rotor speed and weight flow are shown in Figure 32.

### H. Bearings

Two journal bearings are used to support the rotating mass. Mercury serves as the lubricating medium and absorbs the heat energy generated by friction losses. Mercury enters the bearings at pump outlet pressure and is assumed to exit at condenser pressure since no pressure drop other than the bearing losses occur in this fluid branch. Equations (75), (76) and (77) describe the fluid action in the bearings. Figures 33 and 34 give the block and computer diagrams.

### I. Sodium Pump

The sodium pump is an electromagnetic device which has performance characteristics similar to those of a centrifugal type pump. The shaft power taken by the pump is accounted for in the external system flow losses, internal pump losses, and fluid stream acceleration. A difference in pressure head and load pressure drop provides an accelerating force on the fluid stream. The pump head pressure is assumed to be a function of only rotor speed. The pump performance is given by equation (78) through (82). Block and computer diagrams are shown in Figures 35 and 36.

### J. Alternator

The alternator converts mechanical shaft power to electrical load power. The power losses are proportional to the square of the generated frequency. The power losses in the alternator, the actual load power, and the parasitic power constitute the total electrical load on the shaft. Equations (83) through (86) define the alternator characteristics. The block and computer diagrams are shown in Figures 37 and 38.

### K. Parasitic Load Control

Figure 38 presents the parasitic load control. The load control is a proportional type of control that senses the speed error and varies the electrical load to maintain rotor speed at 40,000 RPM  $\pm$  1%.



### MERCURY PUMP EQUATIONS

$$(66) \quad P_{mpt} = N_3 \left[ K_{mp1} w_p + K_{mp2} \right]$$

$$(67) \quad P_{mph} = w_p v_m P_{mh}$$

$$(68) \quad P_{ma} = P_{mh} - P_{ms}$$

$$(69) \quad \frac{d w_p}{dt} = K_{ma} P_{ma}$$

$$(70) \quad T_{mib} = T_{msp} + \frac{P_{mpt} - P_{mph}}{J C_m w_p}$$


$$(71) \quad P_{mh} = f(N, w_p)$$

$$(72) \quad P_{ms} = P_2 - P_c$$

$$(73) \quad P_{mip} = P_c - \frac{K_2}{K_{1t} K_2} P_{ma}$$

$$(74) \quad P_{mop} = P_2 + \frac{K_1}{K_1 + K_2} P_{ma}$$


BLOCK DIAGRAM - MERCURY PUMP



43

FIGURE 30

COMPUTER DIAGRAM - MERCURY PUMP





### MERCURY PUMP CHARACTERISTICS




FIGURE 32



### MERCURY BEARING EQUATIONS

$$(75) \quad w_b = K_b (P_{mop} - P_c)$$

$$(76) \quad P_b = K_c N^2$$

$$(77) \quad T_{mbs} = T_{mlb} + \frac{P_b}{w_b C_m J}$$




FIG. 33 BLOCK DIAGRAM - MERCURY BEARINGS

COMPUTER DIAGRAM-MERCURY BEARINGS




FIGURE 24

47



### SODIUM PUMP EQUATIONS

$$(78) \quad P_{spt} = \frac{w_s v_s P_{sm}}{e_s}$$

$$(79) \quad P_{so} = P_{sm} - P_{ss}$$

$$(80) \quad \frac{dw_s}{dt} = K_{so} P_{so}$$

$$(81) \quad P_{sh} = K_{sh} N^2$$

$$(82) \quad P_{ss} = K_{ss} w_s^2$$





FIG. 35 BLOCK DIAGRAM - SODIUM PUMP

COMPUTER DIAGRAM - SODIUM PUMP



49

FIGURE 36



### ALTERNATOR EQUATIONS

$$(83) \quad P_{\text{al}} = E I_1 \cos \Theta = \frac{E^2}{R_p}$$

$$(84) \quad E = \int^t (N)$$

$$(85) \quad P_c = K_1 N^2$$

$$(86) \quad P_a = P_p + P_c + P_{\text{al}}$$





FIG. 37 BLOCK DIAGRAM - ALTERNATOR

COMPUTER DIAGRAM - ALTERNATOR AND CONTROL



51

FIGURE 38



### L. Rotor Dynamics

The rotor dynamics are described by a power balance between the turbine output and associated loads. Changes in this power balance exert an accelerating torque upon the rotor.

The components affecting the shaft power are the turbine, pumps, bearings, and electrical load. The power balance is shown in equation (87). The block and computer diagrams are presented in Figures 39 and 40.

### IV. DYNAMIC ANALYSIS

In order to establish the dynamic performance of the power conversion system, a suitable control for the system was required, since the system is inherently unstable. For example, an increased power demand on the shaft of the combined rotating unit will cause rotor speed to decrease. The speed decrease lowers the mercury pump discharge pressure and consequently the turbine inlet pressure. The drop in turbine inlet pressure decreases turbine power which results in an even greater power unbalance. This action will continue until the rotor speed decays to zero. Conversely, with a decrease in load, the rotor will increase in speed until a destructive critical speed is reached. A suitable control is therefore mandatory to satisfactorily operate the system.

The objectives of the control were as follows:

1. The control shall provide complete stability for the system under all operating conditions.
2. The control shall provide maximum reliability of system operation.
3. The control shall maintain the system output within the specified tolerances of  $\pm 1\%$  on frequency or speed.
4. The control shall maintain reactor power relatively constant to avoid temperature cycling of the reactor and heat exchanger.

A large number of conceivable control parameters were evaluated for each component. The following table illustrates the possible controls considered:





### ROTOR DYNAMICS EQUATIONS

$$(87) \quad P_t - P_a - P_b - P_{spt} - P_{mpt} = K_r N I \frac{dN}{dt}$$




FIG. 39 BLOCK DIAGRAM - ROTOR DYNAMICS



COMPUTER DIAGRAM—ROTOR DYNAMICS




FIGURE 40



	<u>Input Variables</u>	<u>Controlled Variables</u>
1. Boiler	Reactor power Mercury inlet temperature Mercury Inlet pressure Mercury weight flow	Pressure out of boiler Temperature out of boiler Temperature out of boiler Temperature out of boiler
2. Turbine	Inlet pressure Inlet temperature Exhaust pressure	Turbine power Turbine power Shaft speed
3. Shaft Mechanical Load	Turbine power Bearing power Pump power	Alternator shaft power Alternator shaft power Alternator shaft power
4. Load	System load power Parasitic power	Alternator electrical load Alternator electrical load

Analysis of the possible control parameters reduces the number of control possibilities. For the boiler, reactor power variations are undesirable because of the attendant temperature cycling. Variations in inlet mercury temperature produce little effect on boiler operation. Variation in boiler inlet pressure by means of control valve is a conceivable control method which is employed in the SNAP I mercury power conversion system. Because of the larger SNAP II boiler, however, a control of boiler inlet pressure would have a poor response characteristic in controlling boiler outlet conditions. Mercury weight flow through the boiler could be controlled by a turbine bypass valve. A high temperature, high response mercury vapor valve with suitable reliability, weight and seal characteristics is a difficult development effort, however.

For the turbine, control of inlet pressure by means of a throttle valve is a possible means of controlling turbine power output. The combination of a bypass valve and a turbine throttle valve could be utilized to maintain constant boiler conditions while varying turbine inlet pressure. This control technique is commonly used in commercial power plant systems. The valve development disadvantage, however, remains. Variation of turbine inlet temperature does not vary the turbine power sufficiently to serve as a good control parameter. Variation of turbine discharge pressure only affects the last stage of the turbine performance and consequently is also a poor control variable. A relatively large control valve would be required for turbine discharge pressure control with the added disadvantage of considerable weight.



A practical control for variation of shaft mechanical power would exclude pump and bearing power variations because of their small magnitude. A parasitic alternator has been utilized for control of shaft mechanical power, but such a device would complicate the design of the combined rotating unit in the SNAP II system.

The only remaining independent control parameter is a parasitic load device which can control the output of the alternator. This proportional type of control is comprised of a frequency sensing device, a magnetic amplifier, and a resistive load. This type of control was selected because of its simplicity, low weight, and ability to meet the control objectives.

Detailed parasitic load control studies were performed with a constant heat input to the conversion system. Since the output power from the turbine is greater than the power required from the various components, the rotor would accelerate unless parasitic load power is carried by the system. For the large power transients shown in Figures 41 and 42, it was assumed that sufficient parasitic load was carried by the system to account for the changing demands. For the remainder of the system analysis, the parasitic load was assumed to be the power developed by the turbine in excess of that demanded by the pumps, bearings, and alternator. This amounted to approximately 300 watts which proved sufficient for all transients.

The use of a proportional control system necessitates a steady state speed error. The optimum control gain was chosen as 4.65 watts/RPM with a dynamic response of approximately 0.2 seconds. The maximum speed error obtained with a 50% electrical load disturbance is within the  $\pm 1\%$  speed limits. In this case, sufficient parasitic power must be available, as previously mentioned. Subsequent studies were performed with a control loop gain of 4.65 watts/RPM.

Figure 41 shows the control performance with a 50% step increase in electrical load requirement. The steady state speed decrease 0.75%, and system stability is obtained within 0.2 seconds. Since the control performance is fast as compared to the system, the remainder of the system is not subjected to transients. However, due to the decrease in steady state speed, the system operates slightly off design, consequently the turbine inlet temperature increases by 30°F. This is due to the fact that the mercury pump flow decreases, thus requiring less heat to maintain the 1150°F turbine inlet temperature level. Since the heat input to the boiler remained constant, the outlet temperature must increase. Figure 42 shows a corresponding step decrease of 50% in electrical load requirement. The steady state speed increases by 0.75% and a decrease of 30°F is obtained for turbine inlet temperature.

Figures 43 and 44 show the chosen control performance for 10% changes in electrical load. The recordings indicate a speed change of approximately 0.15% off design speed or 60 RPM. It is assumed that for normal operating conditions, the power con-



version system will not experience load variations in excess of  $\pm 10\%$ . The recovery time is within 0.2 seconds. Figure 45 shows the control performance for a 10% electrical load change with increased control loop gain. The steady state error is reduced to less than 0.1% of design speed. However, a slight overshoot in rotational speed is obtained.

On the following pages the effects of component variations over a wide operating range are individually discussed. With the parasitic load control, the rotational speed was maintained with the limits of 40,000 RPM for all disturbances applied to the system. Some of the traces that follow exhibit random high frequency variations of small amplitude. This is noise due to the operation of the servo-multipliers and does not reflect system performance.

#### A. Reactor Temperature Transients

In order to determine the performance of the reactor, it was initially analyzed separately from the thermodynamic system. While operating the reactor open loop, the sodium flow,  $w_s$ , was held constant at 45.2 lbs/min. Since the reactor has a negative temperature coefficient, affording inherent control, the average temperature of the sodium within the reactor will tend to remain constant. Therefore if the temperature input,  $T_{sob}$ , to the reactor is increased, the temperature outlet,  $T_{sib}$ , will decrease with an associated drop in power level. Conversely, the reverse must hold true for a decrease in  $T_{sob}$ .

With the reactor operating at steady state conditions,  $T_{sob}$  was increased from 999°F to 1024°F. The results shown in Figure 46 indicate the action of the negative temperature coefficient. After the reactor had steadied out at this new off-design level,  $T_{sob}$  was decreased and the system brought back to design, Figure 47. This procedure was then reversed to obtain similar off-design data in the opposite direction. These runs are shown in Figures 48 and 49. An important characteristic of the reactor is its extremely slow response. It is seen from these traces that for a step in  $T_{sob}$  of 25°F it takes approximately sixty five seconds for the heat source to change  $T_{sib}$  to its new operating level. This slowness indicates that hunting may occur when the reactor is coupled to the thermodynamic system.

#### B. Reactor Power Transients

It was desired to determine the system stability for increases in reactor power. With the complete system operating at steady state conditions, a ramp increase in reactivity was applied to the reactor bringing the power up at a rate of 0.5 KW/sec to approximately 130% of rated output. The additional heat output of the reactor was used to raise the average fuel temperature which in turn raised the sodium temperature levels. The mercury system will only accept essentially design power since the parasitic load by holding nearly constant speed, maintains mercury flow very near design point. At this flow and regardless of pressure, the heat of vaporization of mercury remains constant. This heat, along with the heat necessary to preheat the mercury, which remains con-



stant since the entering temperature to the heat exchanger remains essentially the same, constitute over 95% of the heat accepted by the boiler.

Any change in superheat temperature caused by sodium temperature variations will reflect only as small variations in total power absorption by the boiler. In fact, for mercury leaving the superheater at the physical maximum of 1200°F, the total power accepted by the boiler will increase less than 1%. Therefore, the boiler forces the reactor, which is a load following device, to return to design power level. As Figures 50a, 50b, and 50c show, the inherent control feature of the reactor along with the time lag associated with sodium temperature and reactor power cause the system to converge on the new values very slowly. In fact, five to ten minutes pass before the system fluctuations subside to a reasonable value. This approaches the hunting effect that was mentioned earlier. These traces also point out the sensitivity of mercury turbine inlet temperature,  $T_{mbt}$ , to a change in temperature of the sodium entering the heat exchanger,  $T_{sib}$ . This suggests that a reactor control based on temperature would be helpful in maintaining system performance constant.

Controlling on either sodium temperature at the heat exchanger inlet,  $T_{sib}$ , or mercury temperature at the turbine inlet,  $T_{mbt}$ , would seem logical in order to maintain constant system conditions. Controlling on  $T_{mbt}$  has the advantage that this temperature is the most sensitive in the system. Since relatively large  $T_{mbt}$  variations on the order of plus or minus fifty or seventy-five degrees, can be tolerated, an on-off type of control might be utilized. However, one large disadvantage is the corrosive action of mercury. If a thermocouple were installed in the mercury line, a sheath would be required. The sheath would slow down the thermocouple response, offsetting the advantage of using  $T_{mbt}$  as a signal. Since  $T_{sib}$  is a major factor in causing  $T_{mbt}$  to vary, it would seem an ideal control parameter. A control based on this temperature would have to be much more sensitive since this signal does not vary nearly as much as  $T_{mbt}$ . Small perturbations within the mercury loop, however, would not affect the system to any great extent. The sodium temperature sensor therefore appears to be the more practical control approach.

### C. Pump Flow Transients

In the case where mercury pump flow was varied, not only was the system performance important, but also of special interest was the effect the increased flow demand would have on the pump inlet pressure. In order to satisfy the system demand for a step increase in flow, the pump inlet pressure must decrease rapidly, thus providing the force necessary to accelerate the mercury. Conversely, the reverse must hold true for a decrease in  $w_p$ . Figures 51a and 51b show that for an increase in pump flow of 4%, the pump inlet pressure must drop to 3.8 psi in order to supply the system demand. This indicates that larger step increases should be avoided in order to prevent pump cavitation problems. Also  $T_{mbt}$  decreases as expected because of the additional mercury flowing through the heat exchanger. From studying the traces it is apparent that the heat exchanger is very sensitive to small disturbances in  $w_p$ . However, the system responds very rapidly



to these disturbances, the time being in the neighborhood of one quarter to one half second. Figures 52a and 52b show system dynamics for a decrease in  $w_p$ . Again it is seen that rotor speed and parasitic load are unaffected.

#### D. Bearing Flow Transients

Figures 53a and 53b show the effect of an increase in mercury bearing flow. Since a greater portion of the pump flow is now used in the bearings, the flow through the heat exchanger must decrease. This in turn causes the turbine inlet temperature,  $T_{mbtr}$  to increase to some new steady state value. Shown in Figures 54a and 54b is the system response for a decrease in  $w_b$ . Here the trends reverse themselves as expected. The increased  $w_a$  causes  $T_{mbtr}$  to decrease. Rotational speed and hence parasitic load indicate no apparent change in the power balance of the system.

#### E. Sun-Shade Operation

Figures 55a and 55b show the changes occurring in the system when the vehicle is traveling in the shade. Since shade operation enables the condensing portion to radiate at an increased rate, it follows that the subcooled mercury temperature must decrease. This is evident in  $T_{mb}$ . Even though this temperature decreases, the action of the parasitic load control, keeping the speed constant, tends to maintain design power level from the turbine. The recordings indicate that system performance will be virtually unaffected by the sun-shade transient.

### V. CONCLUSIONS

As a result of the dynamic simulation of the SNAP II power conversion system, the following conclusions may be drawn:

- A. The parasitic load control can maintain the speed of the combined rotating unit within the 40,000 RPM  $\pm$  1% specification. As a result of this speed control the mercury system demands essentially constant power from the reactor.
- B. The system can withstand large power transients due to the action of the parasitic load control. The control will force the system to remain at essentially constant power and temperature levels.
- C. A reactor temperature control based on the sodium temperature at the heat exchanger inlet is recommended.
- D. System performance is not effected by 4% step increases in pump flow. Larger step increases could cause mercury pump cavitation. Pump pressure vs flow characteristics currently attainable are satisfactory for system performance.



- E. Changes in bearing flow rate of  $\pm 2$  pounds do not adversely effect system performance.
- F. Sun-shade transients are insignificant to the system performance.
- G. Operation of a mercury power conversion system with a fixed mercury inventory does not adversely effect performance.





FIG. 41 CONTROL ANALYSIS

$$\frac{P_{in}}{N \cdot \dot{V}} = \frac{4.65}{(11.023)^3} \frac{\text{WATTS}}{\text{RPM}}$$











FIG 42 CONTROL ANALYSIS

$$\frac{P_{in}}{N_{in}} = \frac{4.65}{(1+0.025)^2} \frac{\text{WATTS}}{\text{RPM}}$$











FIG 43 CENTRAL ANALYSIS

$$\frac{P_{in}}{N_{in}} = \frac{4.55}{(11.028)^2} \frac{\text{WATTS}}{\text{RPM}}$$











FIG. 44 CONTROL ANALYSIS

$$\frac{\beta_{AV}}{N(1)} = \frac{4.65 \text{ WATT}}{(1 + 0.022)^2 \text{ RPM}}$$











FIG 45 CONTROL ANALYSIS  $\frac{P_{in}}{N_{in}} = \frac{10}{(17.023)^2} = \frac{WATTS}{KPH}$










FIG 46

REACTOR RUNS  
VARY  $T_{COB}$ ;  $W_0 = \text{CONSTANT}$











FIG 47

REACTOR RUNS  
VARY  $T_{sob}$ ;  $w_s = \text{CONSTANT}$












Fig 4B

REACTOR RUNS  
VALVE T80B : W<sub>2</sub> = CONSTANT



10 SEC





FIG 49

REACTOR ENDS  
VARY  $T_{20}$ ;  $\dot{W}_2 = \text{CONSTANT}$











FIGURE 50a POWER TRANSIENTS  
RAMP IN  $P_1$




70

FIGURE 506 POWER TRANSIENTS



71

FIGURE 50c POWER TRANSIENTS  
RAMP IN P<sub>r</sub>



72





FIG 51 - PUMP FLOW TRANSIENTS






FIG 516 PUMP FLOW TRANSIENTS











FIG 52a PUMP FLOW TRANSIENTS











FIG 526 PUMP FLOW TRANSIENTS













FIG 59a - Spring Flow Transients



77

Fig 506 - SPRING FLOW TRANSIENTS



78

TAPCO GROUP



Thompson Ramo Woolridge Inc.



FIG 5A. BEARING FLOW TRANSIENTS











FIG 546 BEARING FLOW TRANSIENTS










FIGURE 55 a SUN-SHADE OPERATION



81



FIGURE 55B SUN-SHADE OPERATION




TABLE 1 - SYMBOLS-VARIABLES

$A_c$	-	Area, condenser
$A_1$	-	Area, Intercooler
$A_2$	-	Area, preheater
$A_3$	-	Area, boiling section
$A_4$	-	Area, superheater
$C$	-	Spouting velocity, turbine
$E$	-	Output of alternator
$h_i$	-	Enthalpy, inlet to turbine
$h_f$	-	Enthalpy, mercury leaving turbine
$\Delta h_i$	-	Enthalpy drop across turbine, Ideal
$I_l$	-	Current, load
$l_3$	-	Length, boiling section
$N$	-	Speed, rotating unit
$P_a$	-	Power, input to alternator
$P_{al}$	-	Power, useful load
$P_b$	-	Power, input to bearings
$P_c$	-	Power, losses in alternator
$P_{mph}$	-	Power, output of mercury pump
$P_{mpt}$	-	Power, input to mercury pump
$P_p$	-	Power, parasitic load
$P_r$	-	Power, reactor output



$P_{spt}$	-	Power, Input to sodium pump
$P_t$	-	Power, output of turbine
$P_c$	-	Pressure, condenser
$P_{ma}$	-	Pressure, acceleration of mercury loop
$P_{mh}$	-	Pressure, mercury pump head
$P_{mip}$	-	Pressure, mercury into pump
$P_{mop}$	-	Pressure, mercury out of pump
$P_{ms}$	-	Pressure, mercury loop
$P_{m23}$	-	Pressure, mercury entering boiling system
$P_{m24}$	-	Pressure, mercury entering superheater
$P_{m4}$	-	Pressure, mercury in superheater
$P_{sa}$	-	Pressure, acceleration of sodium loop
$P_{sh}$	-	Pressure, sodium pump head
$P_{ss}$	-	Pressure, sodium loop
$P_2$	-	Pressure, mercury in preheater
$T_f$	-	Temperature, reactor fuel mean
$T_c$	-	Temperature, condenser
$T_i$	-	Temperature, intercooler
$T_{mbs}$	-	Temperature, mercury bearings to subcooler
$T_{mc}$	-	Temperature, mercury in condenser
$T_{mi}$	-	Temperature, mercury in intercooler
$T_{mib}$	-	Temperature, mercury into heat exchanger





$T_{m1s}$	-	Temperature, mercury Intercooler to subcooler
$T_{mbt}$	-	Temperature, mercury heat exchanger to turbine
$T_{ms}$	-	Temperature, mercury In subcooler
$T_{msp}$	-	Temperature, mercury subcooler to pump
$T_{m2}$	-	Temperature, mercury In preheater
$T_{m23}$	-	Temperature, mercury preheater to boiling section
$T_{m34}$	-	Temperature, mercury boiling section to superheater
$T_{m4}$	-	Temperature, mercury In superheater
$T_s$	-	Temperature, subcooler
$T_{slb}$	-	Temperature, sodium Into heat exchanger
$T_{slr}$	-	Temperature, sodium Into reactor
$T_{sob}$	-	Temperature, sodium out of heat exchanger
$T_{sor}$	-	Temperature, sodium out of reactor
$T_{sr}$	-	Temperature, sodium average, reactor
$T_{s2}$	-	Temperature, sodium in preheater
$T_{s32}$	-	Temperature, sodium boiling section to preheater
$T_{s3}$	-	Temperature, sodium In boiling section
$T_{s43}$	-	Temperature, sodium superheater to boiling section
$T_{s4}$	-	Temperature, sodium In superheater
$U_3$	-	Heat transfer coefficient, boiling section
$u$	-	Pitch velocity, turbine wheel



$V_c$	-	Volume, condenser
$V_i$	-	Volume, intercooler
$V_{m2}$	-	Volume, mercury in preheater
$V_{m3}$	-	Volume, mercury in boiling section
$V_{m4}$	-	Volume, mercury in superheater
$V_{s2}$	-	Volume, sodium in preheater
$V_{s3}$	-	Volume, sodium in boiling section
$V_{s4}$	-	Volume, sodium in superheater
$W_{mc}$	-	Weight, mercury in condenser
$W_{mi}$	-	Weight, mercury in intercooler
$W_{m2}$	-	Weight, mercury in preheater
$W_{m3}$	-	Weight, mercury in boiling section
$W_{m4}$	-	Weight, mercury in superheater
$W_c C_c$	-	Heat capacity, condenser
$W_i C_i$	-	Heat capacity, intercooler
$W_{s2} C_{s2}$	-	Heat capacity, preheater
$W_{s3} C_{s3}$	-	Heat capacity, boiling section
$W_{s4} C_{s4}$	-	Heat capacity, superheater
$\delta_k$	-	Reactivity
$\eta$	-	Efficiency, turbine
$\rho_{mc}$	-	Specific weight, mercury in condenser
$w_o$	-	Flow, mercury to heat exchanger



- $w_b$  - Flow, mercury to bearings
- $w_c$  - Flow, mercury out of condenser
- $w_i$  - Flow, mercury out of intercooler
- $w_p$  - Flow, mercury through pump
- $w_s$  - Flow, sodium
- $w_t$  - Flow, mercury through turbine
- $w_2$  - Flow, mercury into boiling section
- $w_3$  - Flow, mercury into superheater
- $w_4$  - Flow, mercury out of superheater
- $\gamma$  - Speed ratio, turbine

TABLE 2 SYMBOLS-CONSTANTS

$A_{bc}$	-	Area, boiler cross-section - $0.875 \times 10^{-3} \text{ ft}^2$
$^{\dagger}A_{fs}$	-	Area, fuel to sodium heat transfer - $\text{ft}^2$
$A_s$	-	Area, subcooler - $2.97 \text{ ft}^2$
$A_{tr}$	-	Area, condenser plus Intercooler - $75.5 \text{ ft}^2$
$A_f$	-	Area, heat exchanger heat transfer - $5.235 \text{ ft}^2$
$^{\dagger}C_f$	-	Specific heat, fin of Intercooler plus condenser - $0.226 \text{ btu/lb-}^{\circ}\text{F}$
$C_{fs}$	-	Specific heat, fin of subcooler - $0.226 \text{ btu/lb-}^{\circ}\text{F}$
$C_m$	-	Specific heat, liquid mercury - $0.0326 \text{ btu/lb-}^{\circ}\text{F}$
$C_{m4}$	-	Specific heat, gaseous mercury - $0.0251 \text{ btu/lb-}^{\circ}\text{F}$
$C_s$	-	Specific heat, sodium - $0.301 \text{ btu/lb-}^{\circ}\text{F}$
$C_w$	-	Specific heat, walls of heat exchanger - $0.118 \text{ btu/lb-}^{\circ}\text{F}$
$C_{ws}$	-	Specific heat, wall of subcooler - $0.118 \text{ btu/lb-}^{\circ}\text{F}$
$C_{w2}$	-	Specific heat, walls of Intercooler plus condenser - $0.118 \text{ btu/lb-}^{\circ}\text{F}$
$C_f$	-	Specific heat, fuel - $\text{btu/lb-}^{\circ}\text{F}$
$d$	-	Diameter, inside of mercury tube in heat exchanger - $0.033 \text{ ft}$
$E_{ff}$	-	Fin efficiency - $93.8\%$
$e$	-	Emissivity - $0.9$
$e_s$	-	Efficiency, sodium pump - $5.5\%$
$g$	-	Gravitational constant - $116 \times 10^3 \text{ ft/mIn}^2$
$h_{fg}$	-	Heat of vaporization, mercury - $123.3 \text{ btu/lb}$
$h_g$	-	Enthalpy, saturated mercury vapor - $153.3 \text{ btu/lb}$



I	-	Moment of Inertia, rotating parts - 2.06495 lb-in <sup>2</sup>
J	-	Joules equivalent - 778 ft lbs/btu
<sup>†</sup> K <sub>a</sub>	-	Constant, alternator proportionality - volts <sup>2</sup> /rpm <sup>2</sup> - lines
K <sub>b</sub>	-	Constant, bearing line flow - 0.151 in <sup>2</sup> /min
K <sub>c</sub>	-	Constant, bearing power - 0.375 x 10 <sup>-9</sup> kw/rpm <sup>2</sup>
K <sub>l</sub>	-	Constant, alternator loss - 0.4875 x 10 <sup>-9</sup> kw/rpm <sup>2</sup>
K <sub>ma</sub>	-	Constant, acceleration of mercury loop - 0.0555 in <sup>2</sup> /sec <sup>2</sup>
K <sub>mp1</sub>	-	Constant, mercury pump power - 0.0924 x 10 <sup>-12</sup> ft/rpm <sup>3</sup>
K <sub>mp2</sub>	-	Constant, mercury pump power - 103.5 x 10 <sup>-12</sup> ft lbs/ min-rpm <sup>3</sup>
K <sub>r</sub>	-	Constant, rotor power - 2.84 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> /rpm <sup>2</sup> - in
K <sub>sa</sub>	-	Constant, acceleration of sodium loop - 0.753 in <sup>2</sup> /sec <sup>2</sup>
K <sub>ss</sub>	-	Constant, pressure loss of sodium loop - 5.29 sec <sup>2</sup> /in <sup>2</sup> -lb
K <sub>sh</sub>	-	Constant, sodium pump head pressure - 0.1875 x 10 <sup>-8</sup> lb/in <sup>2</sup> -rpm <sup>2</sup>
K <sub>t1</sub>	-	Constant, turbine speed ratio - 0.435 x 10 <sup>-2</sup> sec ( $\frac{\text{btu}}{\text{lb}}$ ) <sup>1/2</sup>
K <sub>t2</sub>	-	Constant, turbine design - 6.5 in <sup>2</sup> °R <sup>1/2</sup> /min
K <sub>t3</sub>	-	Constant, turbine power - 0.0175 min-kw/btu
K	-	Control loop setting - 4.65 watts/rpm
K <sub>v</sub>	-	Constant, boiler dimensions 33.6 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> /ft
K <sub>1</sub>	-	Constant, low pressure acceleration, mercury loop - 0.0583 in <sup>2</sup> /sec <sup>2</sup>
K <sub>2</sub>	-	Constant, high pressure acceleration, mercury loop - 1.14 in <sup>2</sup> /sec <sup>2</sup>
I <sup>*</sup>	-	Mean effective lifetime - 10 <sup>-5</sup> sec
N <sub>r</sub>	-	Speed reference - 40,000 rpm



$P_i$	-	Power loss, incident - 2.72 btu/min - ft <sup>2</sup>
$P_l$	-	Power loss, heat exchanger - 284 btu/min
$R$	-	Gas constant, mercury - 7.7 ft-lb/lb-°R
$T_{fo}$	-	Temperature, reactor fuel reference - 1136.8 °F
$\dagger U_{fs}$	-	Heat transfer coefficient, fuel to sodium - btu/°F-ft <sup>2</sup> -min
$U_2$	-	Heat transfer coefficient, preheater - 4.32 btu/°F-ft <sup>2</sup> -min
$U_4$	-	Heat transfer coefficient, superheater - 1.075 btu/°F-ft <sup>2</sup> -min
$V_{mt}$	-	Volume, mercury in heat exchanger - 0.035 ft <sup>3</sup>
$V_s$	-	Volume, sodium in heat exchanger 0.3 ft <sup>3</sup>
$V_t$	-	Volume, intercooler plus condenser - 0.276 ft <sup>3</sup>
$v_f$	-	Specific volume, mercury saturated liquid - $1.18 \times 10^{-3}$ ft <sup>3</sup> /lb
$v_{fg}$	-	Specific volume, difference ( $v_g - v_f$ ) - 0.764 ft <sup>3</sup> /lb
$v_g$	-	Specific volume, mercury, saturated vapor - 0.764 ft <sup>3</sup> /lb
$v_m$	-	Specific volume, liquid mercury - $1.18 \times 10^{-3}$ ft <sup>3</sup> /lb
$v_s$	-	Specific volume, sodium - 0.0189 ft <sup>3</sup> /lb
$W_f$	-	Weight, fins of intercooler and condenser - 106 lb
$W_{fs}$	-	Weight, fin of subcooler - 4.17 lb
$W_{ms}$	-	Weight, mercury in subcooler - 3.22 lb
$W_s$	-	Weight, sodium in heat exchanger - 16 lb
$\dagger W_{sr}$	-	Weight, sodium in reactor - lbs
$W_w$	-	Weight, walls of heat exchanger - 11 lb
$W_{ws}$	-	Weight, wall of subcooler - 0.78 lb



- $W_{w2}$  - Weight, walls of Intercooler and condenser - 25.5 lb  
 $\dagger W_f$  - Weight, fuel in reactor - lbs  
 $W_{su} C_{su}$  - Heat capacity, subcooler - 1.14 btu/°F  
 $\alpha$  - Temperature coefficient -  $5 \times 10^{-5}/°F$   
 $\beta$  - Neutron ratio - 0.0084  
 $\lambda$  - Decay constant of effective neutron - 0.1/sec  
 $\sigma$  - Stefan-Boltzmann constant -  $0.284 \times 10^{-10}$  btu/°R-ft<sup>2</sup>-min  
 $\rho_m$  - Specific weight, liquid mercury - 845 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>  
 $\rho_{m4}$  - Specific weight, gaseous mercury - 1.31 lb/ft<sup>3</sup>  
 $\cos \theta$  - Power Factor - 0.8  
 $\dagger \phi$  - Flux - lines  
 $R_p$  - Load resistance - 4.65  $\Omega$

† Lumped Constants

Reactor:

$$\frac{A_{fs} U_{fs}}{W_{sr} C_s} = 168/\text{min}$$

$$W_f C_f = 12.6 \text{ btu}/°F$$

Alternator:

$$K_a \phi = 9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ volts}^2/\text{rpm}^2$$

**END**