ORNL/TM--11521

DE91 000519

Fusion Energy Division

**PHYSICS MODELS IN THE TOROIDAL
TRANSPORT CODE PROCTR**

H. C. Howe

DATE PUBLISHED — August 1990

Prepared for the
Office of Fusion Energy
Budget Activity No. AT 05

Prepared by the
OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831
operated by
MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
for the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400

MASTER

EB

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	vii
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 PLASMA TRANSPORT	2
2.1 TRANSPORT EQUATIONS	2
2.1.1 Hydrogen Ions	2
2.1.2 Helium Ions	2
2.1.3 Impurity Ions	3
2.1.4 Toroidal Rotation Velocity	4
2.1.5 Electron Temperature	5
2.1.6 Ion Temperature	5
2.1.7 Rotational Transform Profile	6
2.1.8 Boundary Conditions	7
2.1.9 Initial Conditions	7
2.2 PLASMA AND TRANSPORT PARAMETERS	9
2.2.1 Plasma Parameters	9
2.2.2 Hydrogen and Helium Ion Transport Coefficients	10
2.2.3 Impurity Ion Transport Coefficients	11
2.2.4 Perpendicular Viscosity	11
2.2.5 Electron Heat Conduction Coefficient	12
2.2.6 Ion Heat Conduction Coefficient	13
2.2.7 Helical Ripple Transport	14
2.2.8 Resistivity	14
2.2.9 Radiation	15
2.2.10 Radial Potential	16
3 NEUTRAL HYDROGEN TRANSPORT	17
3.1 MULTIGENERATION CHARGE-EXCHANGE TRANSPORT	17
3.2 EDGE SOURCES	18
3.2.1 Cold Wall Source	18
3.2.2 Cold Limiter Source	20
3.2.3 Reflected Source	20
3.3 PLASMA ION SOURCE (SLAB)	21
3.4 TOROIDAL MOMENTUM DRAG (SLAB)	22
3.5 PLASMA ENERGY LOSS (SLAB)	22
3.6 NEUTRAL FLUXES AT WALL	23
3.6.1 Particle Fluxes	23
3.6.2 Energy Fluxes	24
3.6.3 Sputtered Impurity Fluxes	24
3.6.4 Sputter Yield Coefficient	24
3.7 HYDROGEN DEPOSITION PROFILE IN WALL	24
3.8 NORMALIZED TOROIDAL PARAMETERS AND PROFILES	25

4	WALL AND LIMITER TRANSPORT	28
4.1	WALL TRAPPING AND DIFFUSION	28
4.2	MATERIAL COEFFICIENTS	28
4.3	SURFACE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	28
4.4	LIMITER TRANSPORT	29
5	PLASMA-WALL INTERACTION	30
5.1	COUPLED PLASMA AND WALL HYDROGEN SOURCES	30
5.1.1	Neutral Source Fluxes at Surfaces	30
5.1.2	Plasma, Wall, and Limiter Particle Sources	31
5.1.3	Plasma Toroidal Momentum Loss	32
5.1.4	Plasma Energy Loss	32
5.1.5	Neutral Density	32
5.1.6	Average Recycle and Pumping Coefficient	33
5.2	IMPURITY SOURCES	33
5.2.1	Wall Charge-Exchange Neutral Sputtering	33
5.2.2	Limiter Ion Sputtering	34
5.2.3	Wall and Limiter Recycling	34
5.2.4	External Source	35
5.3	PLASMA SCRAPE-OFF PARALLEL LOSS	35
5.3.1	Parallel Loss Time	35
5.3.2	Energy Loss Factor	36
6	EQUILIBRIUM	37
6.1	FLUX SURFACE EQUATIONS	37
6.1.1	Axisymmetric Surfaces	37
6.1.2	Model 3-D Surfaces	37
6.1.3	Vacuum 3-D Surfaces	38
6.1.4	Fixed-Boundary 3-D Equilibrium	38
6.1.5	Scrape-Off Surfaces	38
6.1.6	Equivolume Average Surfaces	39
6.2	MAGNETIC FIELDS AND FLUXES	40
6.3	PLASMA TRANSPORT METRICS	40
6.4	MAGNETIC DIFFUSION METRICS	41
6.5	AXISYMMETRIC, SHIFTED, ELLIPTICAL SURFACES	41
6.6	INTERNAL DISRUPTIONS	44
6.6.1	Transport Coefficient Enhancement	44
6.6.2	Sawtooth Model	45
7	NEUTRAL BEAM HEATING	46
7.1	PLASMA SOURCES	46
7.2	BEAM DEPOSITION	47
7.2.1	Diffuse-Beam Integration	47
7.2.2	Pencil-Beam Deposition	48
7.3	FAST-ION THERMALIZATION	49
7.3.1	Moments of Fokker-Planck Equation	49

7.3.2	Complete Fokker-Planck Equation	51
8	WAVE HEATING	53
8.1	ELECTRON CYCLOTRON RESONANCE	53
8.1.1	Resonance Layer Model	53
8.1.2	Multireflection Model	53
8.2	ION CYCLOTRON RESONANCE	55
9	FUSION	56
9.1	PLASMA FAST-ALPHA HEATING	56
9.2	THERMAL FUSION RATE	56
9.3	BEAM-PLASMA FUSION RATE	56
10	PELLET FUELING	58
10.1	ABLATION MODEL	58
10.2	DISCRETE PELLET FUELING	58
10.3	CONTINUOUS PELLET FUELING	59
11	FEEDBACK CONTROL	60
11.1	PLASMA DENSITY CONTROL BY GAS FEED RATE	60
11.2	LINE DENSITY CONTROL BY PARTICLE DIFFUSIVITY	60
11.3	LINE DENSITY CONTROL BY LIMITER RECYCLING	61
11.4	FUSION POWER CONTROL BY VARIABLE DENSITY	61
11.5	FUSION POWER CONTROL BY TOROIDAL FIELD RIPPLE	61
11.6	ION TEMPERATURE CONTROL BY ION CONDUCTIVITY	62
12	DIAGNOSTICS	63
12.1	DIAGNOSTIC VALUES FOR EXPERIMENTAL COMPARISON	63
12.1.1	Line-Average Density	63
12.1.2	Loop Voltage	63
12.1.3	Beta	63
12.1.4	Energy Confinement Time	64
12.1.5	Internal Inductance	65
12.1.6	Safety Factor	65
12.1.7	Neutron Rate	65
12.1.8	Radiated Power	65
12.1.9	Charge-Exchange Ion Temperature	65
12.1.10	Spectroscopic Lines	66
12.2	INTEGRATED BALANCES	66
	REFERENCES	69
	INDEX OF VARIABLES	72
	FIGURES	73

ABSTRACT

The physics models that are contained in the toroidal transport code PROCTR are described in detail. Time- and space-dependent models are included for the plasma hydrogenic-ion, helium, and impurity densities, the electron and ion temperatures, the toroidal rotation velocity, and the toroidal current profile. Time- and depth-dependent models for the trapped and mobile hydrogenic particle concentrations in the wall and a time-dependent point model for the number of particles in the limiter are also included. Time-independent models for neutral particle transport, neutral beam deposition and thermalization, fusion heating, impurity radiation, pellet injection, and the radial electric potential are included and recalculated periodically as the time-dependent models evolve. The plasma solution is obtained either in simple flux coordinates, where the radial shift of each elliptical, toroidal flux surface is included to maintain an approximate pressure equilibrium, or in general three-dimensional torsatron coordinates represented by a series of helical harmonics. The detailed coupling of the plasma, scrape-off layer, limiter, and wall models through the neutral transport model makes PROCTR especially suited for modeling of recycling and particle control in toroidal plasmas. The model may also be used in a steady-state profile analysis mode for studying energy and particle balances starting with measured plasma profiles.

1 INTRODUCTION

This report describes the physics models that are contained in the toroidal transport code PROCTR. For each model, the equations are given in full detail along with a terse physical description. There is no description of the programming aspects of the transport code; that is, this is a physics description and not a code description. However, the structure of the equations parallels the structure of the code in many places, making this report useful for understanding the coding.

The complete plasma model is made up of time- and space-dependent models for plasma and wall parameters. In addition, time-independent models are included for sources and sinks. Time-dependent spatial profiles are evolved for the plasma ion densities (one or two species), thermal-alpha density (for deuterium-tritium burning plasmas), electron and ion temperatures, toroidal rotation velocity, impurity ion densities (one or two species), and the toroidal current density. For the chamber wall, time-dependent depth profiles are evolved for particle concentrations (both mobile and trapped) corresponding to the plasma ion species.

Time-independent models are included for neutral hydrogen transport, neutral beam deposition and thermalization, fusion heating, impurity radiation, the radial electric potential, pellet ablation, and the plasma equilibrium. The plasma solution may be obtained in simplified flux surface coordinates, where each flux surface is assumed to be an elongated torus shifted radially with respect to adjacent surfaces to maintain an approximate pressure equilibrium. Alternatively, nonaxisymmetric three-dimensional (3-D) flux surfaces may be represented by a set of helical harmonics.

A time-dependent point model is included for the number of particles in the limiter. The models for plasma, limiter, and wall particle transport, along with the scrape-off layer and neutral transport models, compose a complete and detailed plasma recycle model.

The models are extensively cross-referenced. Italic numbers appearing in parentheses refer to sections within the report. For example, the model for the plasma electron density n_e (2.2.1) is given in Sect. 2.2.1. In addition, the index gives section references for all variables that are used in more than one section of the report, except for variables used in the neutral transport model description in Sect. 3.

The bibliography contains only the references used in constructing the models in the transport code. Thus, it is not a complete reference list for toroidal plasma transport modeling and does not contain many interesting papers that report modeling results without detailed model descriptions. The papers cited are of interest primarily for their complete physical descriptions of the models in the code.

PROCTR has been used extensively to model actual tokamak shots by using shot data where appropriate for boundary conditions and by attempting to predict diagnostic measurements for the same shot as a test of the physics models in the code. Definitions of the plasma parameters calculated in the code for comparison with diagnostic measurements are given in Sect. 12.

2 PLASMA TRANSPORT

2.1 TRANSPORT EQUATIONS

The plasma transport equations are flux surface averages of the general equations as described, for example, by Braginskii [1]. A review of plasma transport by Blum and Le Foll [2] describes the averaging method.

2.1.1 Hydrogen Ions

The density of hydrogenic plasma species j , n_{Hj} , is governed by

$$\frac{\partial n_{Hj}}{\partial t} = S_{Hj}(\rho) - S_{\text{fus}}(\rho) - \frac{1}{V'_\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (V'_\rho \Gamma_{Hj}) + S_{\text{inj}}(\rho) - \frac{n_{Hj}}{\tau_{\parallel Hj}}$$

$$\Gamma_{Hj} = -\langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi D_{Hj} \frac{\partial n_{Hj}}{\partial \rho} + \langle |\nabla \rho| \rangle_\psi n_{Hj} v_{\text{pnc}} h$$

where S_{Hj} is the neutral ionization source term (5.1.2), S_{fus} is the loss rate of plasma fuel due to thermal fusion (9.2), S_{inj} is the fueling rate from thermalized fast ions (7.1), and the $\tau_{\parallel}$ term accounts for parallel loss of plasma to the limiter or divertor in the scrape-off layer (5.3.1). In the radial particle flux (Γ_{Hj}), D_{Hj} is the diffusivity (2.2.2) and v_{pnc} is the radial convective velocity (2.2.2).

If the hydrogenic-ion equations are not solved, the hydrogenic-ion density profiles $n_{Hj}(\rho)$ may be either specified arbitrarily (2.1.9) or calculated from a specified $n_e(\rho)$ (for example, the measured laser profile) to satisfy charge neutrality

$$n_{H1} = \frac{1}{1 + f_n} \left(n_e - \sum_j n_{Ij} Z_j - n_b \right)$$

$$n_{H2} = f_n n_{H1}$$

where the ion density ratio f_n is specified arbitrarily. The hydrogenic-ion flux Γ_{Hj} is calculated, for constant ion density, by assuming that the ion flux across flux surface ρ balances the total rate of plasma creation within the volume contained by the surface. This is equivalent to setting Γ_{Hj} so that the ion density equation is $\partial n_{Hj} / \partial t = 0$. Thus, for constant ion density,

$$V'_\rho(\rho) \Gamma_{Hj}(\rho) = \int_0^\rho \left(S_{Hj}(\rho') + S_{\text{inj}}(\rho') - \frac{n_{Hj}(\rho')}{\tau_{\parallel Hj}(\rho')} \right) V'_\rho(\rho') d\rho'$$

where the source terms are defined above.

2.1.2 Helium Ions

The equation for ionized helium is only solved when modeling a burning plasma; that is, this is a helium ash equation. The density of the helium component of the plasma n_{He} is governed by

$$\frac{\partial n_{\text{He}}}{\partial t} = S_{\text{fus}}(\rho) - \frac{1}{V'_\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (V'_\rho \Gamma_{\text{He}}) - \frac{n_{\text{He}}}{\tau_{\parallel \text{He}}}$$

$$\Gamma_{\text{He}} = -\langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi D_{\text{He}} \frac{\partial n_{\text{He}}}{\partial \rho}$$

where S_{fus} is the source due to fusion (9.2). The $\tau_{\parallel}$ term accounts for parallel loss to the limiter or divertor in the scrape-off layer, including the reduction of the loss rate due to helium recycling (5.3.1). In the radial particle flux Γ_{He} , D_{He} is the diffusivity (2.2.2).

2.1.3 Impurity Ions

The density of impurity species j , n_{Ij} , may either be specified arbitrarily or evolve radially in time. The temporal evolution may be governed by the average-ion model, which treats each impurity species with a single equation for the total impurity density. Alternatively, the coupled set of equations for the density of each charge state of an impurity may be solved.

Arbitrary Density If the impurity density equations are not solved, the impurity densities may be either specified arbitrarily (2.1.9) or defined to give an arbitrary value of the plasma effective Z (Z_{eff}^s). The impurity densities that give $Z_{\text{eff}}(\rho) = Z_{\text{eff}}^s(\rho)$ are

$$n_{I1} = \frac{(Z_{\text{eff}}^s - 1)(n_e - n_b)}{Z_1(Z_1 - 1) + f_z Z_2(Z_2 - 1)}$$

$$n_{I2} = f_z n_{I1}$$

where the density ratio f_z is specified arbitrarily. The average charge state Z_j is given by the tables of Post et al. [3].

Average-Ion Model The density of impurity species j , n_{Ij} , is governed by

$$\frac{\partial n_{Ij}}{\partial t} = S_{Ij}(\rho) - \frac{1}{V_\rho'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (V_\rho' \Gamma_{Ij}) - \frac{n_{Ij}}{\tau_{\parallel Ij}}$$

$$\Gamma_{Ij} = \langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi n_{Ij} D_H \frac{\langle Z_j^2 \rangle}{Z_j} \left[K_j \left(\frac{1}{n_H} \frac{\partial n_H}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{Z_j n_{Ij}} \frac{\partial n_{Ij}}{\partial \rho} \right) + \frac{H_j}{T_i} \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \rho} \right]$$

$$- \langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi D_{AI} \frac{\partial n_{Ij}}{\partial \rho} + \langle |\nabla \rho| \rangle_\psi n_{Ij} v_{AI}$$

$$n_H = \sum_j n_{Hj}$$

$$n_I = \sum_j n_{Ij}$$

where S_{Ij} is the impurity source arising from ionization of wall- and limiter-evolved neutral impurities (5.2) and the $\tau_{\parallel}$ term accounts for parallel loss of impurities to the limiter or divertor in the scrape-off layer (5.3.1). The radial impurity flux Γ_{Ij} includes neoclassical fluxes driven by the total hydrogenic-ion density (n_H) gradient, the impurity density gradient, and the ion temperature gradient. The radial flux also includes anomalous spreading with diffusivity D_{AI} (2.2.3) and anomalous convection with velocity v_{AI} (2.2.3). The neoclassical transport coefficients (D_H , K_j , and H_j) are given below (2.2.3). The average charge state Z_j and $\langle Z_j^2 \rangle$ are the coronal values given by the tables of Post et al. [3].

Multi-Charge-State Model The density of charge state q of impurity species j , n_{Ij}^q , is governed by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial n_{Ij}^q}{\partial t} &= -\frac{1}{V_\rho'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left(V_\rho' \Gamma_{Ij}^q \right) - \frac{n_{Ij}^q}{\tau_{||Ij}} \\ &\quad + n_e n_{Ij}^{q-1} \langle \sigma v \rangle_i^{q-1} + n_e n_{Ij}^{q+1} \langle \sigma v \rangle_r^q - n_e n_{Ij}^q \langle \sigma v \rangle_i^q - n_e n_{Ij}^q \langle \sigma v \rangle_r^{q-1} \\ \Gamma_{Ij}^q &= -\langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi D_{AI} \frac{\partial n_{Ij}^q}{\partial \rho} + \langle |\nabla \rho| \rangle_\psi n_{Ij}^q v_{AI} \\ n_{Ij}^{q=0} &= \frac{S_{Ij}}{n_e \langle \sigma v \rangle_i^{q=0}} \\ n_{Ij} &= \sum_{q=1}^Z n_{Ij}^q \\ Z_j &= \frac{1}{n_{Ij}} \sum_{q=1}^Z q n_{Ij}^q \\ \langle Z_j^2 \rangle &= \frac{1}{n_{Ij}} \sum_{q=1}^Z q^2 n_{Ij}^q\end{aligned}$$

where $q = 1 \rightarrow Z$ for charge states of an impurity with total nuclear charge Z and $q = 0$ for the neutral impurity density. $\langle \sigma v \rangle_i^q$ is the rate of ionization of impurities in charge state q into charge state $q+1$. $\langle \sigma v \rangle_r^q$ is the rate of recombination of impurities in charge state $q+1$ into charge state q . S_{Ij} is the impurity source arising from ionization of wall- and limiter-evolved neutral impurities (5.2) and the $\tau_{||}$ term accounts for parallel loss of impurities to the limiter or divertor in the scrape-off layer (5.3.1). The radial flux includes anomalous spreading with diffusivity D_{AI} (2.2.3) and anomalous convection with velocity v_{AI} (2.2.3).

2.1.4 Toroidal Rotation Velocity

The toroidal momentum density of the plasma p_ϕ is governed by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial p_\phi}{\partial t} &= \dot{p}_\phi^T - \frac{1}{V_\rho'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left(V_\rho' p_\phi \frac{\Gamma_T}{n_H} \right) + \frac{1}{V_\rho'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left(V_\rho' \langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi \eta_\perp \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \frac{p_\phi}{\rho_m} \right) + \dot{p}_{cx} - \dot{p}_{||} \\ \rho_m &= \sum_j m_{Hj} n_{Hj} + \sum_j m_{Ij} n_{Ij} \\ \Gamma_T &= \sum_j \Gamma_{Hj} \\ v_\phi &= \frac{p_\phi}{\rho_m} \\ \dot{p}_{||} &= p_\phi \left(\frac{|v_\phi|}{2\pi R_0} + \sum_j \frac{1}{\tau_{||Hj}} \right)\end{aligned}$$

where $\dot{p}_\phi^T$ is the driving toroidal momentum source due to injected fast ions (7.1), $\dot{p}_{cx}$ is the toroidal drag exerted by charge-exchange loss of neutrals (5.1.3), and $\dot{p}_{||}$ is the drag exerted

by the limiter. v_ϕ is the toroidal rotation velocity, ρ_m is the total plasma mass density, Γ_T is the total radial ion flux, and $\eta_\perp$ is the perpendicular ion viscosity (2.2.4). The two radial transport terms represent momentum transport due to radial mass convection and viscosity, respectively.

2.1.5 Electron Temperature

The electron temperature T_e is governed by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2} n_e \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial t} &= q_{\text{inj}}^e(\rho) - \frac{1}{V_\rho'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (V_\rho' q_e) - 3 \frac{m_e}{m_p} \frac{n_e}{\tau_e} [Z](T_e - T_i) \\ &\quad + q_{\text{rad}}(\rho) + q_{\text{ion}}(\rho) + q_{\text{oh}}(\rho) + q_{\text{ec}}(\rho) + q_{\text{ic}}^e(\rho) + q_{\text{fus}}^e(\rho) \\ &\quad - 2\gamma T_e \dot{n}_\parallel - \frac{3}{2} T_e \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} \\ q_e &= -\langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi n_e \chi_e \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial \rho} + q_e^{na} + \frac{3}{2} T_e \Gamma_e \\ \Gamma_e &= \sum_j \Gamma_{Hj} + Z_{\text{He}} \Gamma_{\text{He}} + \sum_j Z_j \Gamma_{Ij} \\ \dot{n}_\parallel &= \sum_j \frac{n_{Hj}}{\tau_{\parallel Hj}} + \frac{n_{\text{He}}}{\tau_{\parallel \text{He}}} \end{aligned}$$

where the first three terms represent neutral beam heating (7.1), radial transport, and electron-ion rethermalization, respectively. Radial transport (q_e) includes heat conduction with the coefficient χ_e (2.2.5), conduction q_e^{na} due to helical ripple (2.2.7) and convection. Ambipolarity determines the radial electron flux Γ_e , which is the sum of the hydrogenic-ion flux Γ_{Hj} (2.1.1), the helium-ion flux Γ_{He} (2.1.2), and the impurity-ion flux Γ_{Ij} (2.1.3). The electron collision time is τ_e (2.2.1). Impurity and anomalous radiation losses are given by q_{rad} (2.2.2), q_{ion} is the ionization and radiation loss from neutrals (5.1.4), q_{oh} is the ohmic heating (2.1.7), and q_{fus}^e is heating due to fusion alpha particles (9.1). Electron cyclotron resonance heating is represented by q_{ec} (8.1) and electron heating by ion cyclotron resonance heating is given by q_{ic}^e (8.2). The $\dot{n}_\parallel$ term accounts for parallel energy loss to the limiter or divertor in the scrape-off (5.3.1), where γ is the sheath enhancement factor (5.3.2).

2.1.6 Ion Temperature

The temperature of plasma ions and impurities T_i is governed by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2} n_T \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial t} &= 3 \frac{m_e}{m_p} \frac{n_e}{\tau_e} [Z](T_e - T_i) - \frac{1}{V_\rho'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (V_\rho' q_i) \\ &\quad - q_{\text{cx}}(\rho) + q_{\text{inj}}^i(\rho) + q_{\text{ic}}^i(\rho) + q_{\text{fus}}^i(\rho) - 2T_i \dot{n}_\parallel - \frac{3}{2} T_i \frac{\partial n_T}{\partial t} \\ q_i &= \sum_j \left(-\langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi n_{Hj} \chi_{Hj} \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \rho} + q_{Hj}^{na} + \frac{3}{2} T_i \Gamma_{Hj} \right) \\ n_T &= n_H + n_{\text{He}} + n_I \end{aligned}$$

where the first two terms are electron-ion rethermalization and radial transport, respectively. Radial transport (q_i) includes heat conduction with the coefficient χ_{Hj} (2.2.6), conduction

q_{Hj}^a due to helical ripple (2.2.7) and convection due to ion particle flux Γ_{Hj} (2.1.1). Energy loss due to neutral charge exchange is given by q_{cx} (5.1.4), q_{inj}^i is ion neutral beam heating (7.1), q_{ic}^i is ion-cyclotron resonance heating (8.2), q_{fus}^i is ion heating due to fusion alpha particles (9.1), and parallel heat loss to the limiter or divertor is given by the term containing $\dot{n}_{||}$ (2.1.5). n_T is the total plasma ion density.

2.1.7 Rotational Transform Profile

The resistive diffusion of the total current $I(\rho, t)$ contained within each flux surface ρ is governed by

$$\frac{\partial I(\rho)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} c_\theta r_\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial r_\rho} \left(\frac{\eta_f}{c_\phi r_\rho} \frac{\partial I(\rho)}{\partial r_\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{\mu_0} 2\pi c_\theta r_\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial r_\rho} (\eta_f J_b(\rho))$$

where η_f is the parallel resistivity $\eta_{||}$ (2.2.8) modified inside the $q = 1$ surface to simulate the effect of sawteeth on the current profile (6.6.1). J_b is the shielded current perturbation driven by injected fast ions (7.1). The geometric constants c_ϕ and c_θ (6.4) are flux surface averages of the metric coefficients g_{ij} for the axisymmetric surfaces.

Instead of following the evolution of the toroidal current on the resistive time scale, the instantaneous steady-state current profile may be calculated. This current profile will evolve with time-dependent changes in the parallel resistivity profile η_f , the total plasma current $I_0(t)$, and the beam-driven current density J_b . The steady-state current profile is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I(\rho) &= I_p(\rho) + I_b(\rho) \\ I_b(\rho) &= \int_0^{\rho} 2\pi r'_\rho c_\phi J_b dr'_\rho \\ I_p(\rho) &= (I_0(t) - I_b(\rho = 1)) \left(\int_0^{\rho} \frac{1}{\eta_f} r'_\rho c_\phi dr'_\rho \right) / \left(\int_0^a \frac{1}{\eta_f} r'_\rho c_\phi dr'_\rho \right) \end{aligned}$$

where I_p is the current carried by the plasma and I_b is the current carried by the fast beam ions including shielding by the plasma electrons.

The average poloidal magnetic field due to plasma current B_θ^I , the toroidal current density J_z , and the toroidal electric field E_z are obtained from the total current profile $I(\rho, t)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} B_\theta^I &= \frac{\mu_0 I(\rho)}{2\pi c_\theta r_\rho} \\ J_z &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{1}{c_\phi r_\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_\rho} (c_\theta r_\rho B_\theta^I) \\ E_z &= \eta_{||} (J_z - J_b) \end{aligned}$$

The equivalent toroidal current density used in the ohmic heating term (q_{oh}) of the electron temperature equation (2.1.5) is

$$\begin{aligned} J_{oh} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{(2\pi)^2 R_0}{V'_\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (c_\theta r_\rho B_\theta^I) \\ q_{oh} &= E_z J_{oh} \end{aligned}$$

The average vacuum poloidal magnetic field B_θ^v is given in terms of the vacuum rotational transform ϵ_v by

$$B_\theta^v = \epsilon_v \frac{c_\phi r_\rho B_T}{R_0}$$

and the total average poloidal magnetic field is $B_\theta = B_\theta^v + B_\theta^I$. The total rotational transform ϵ is defined below (2.2.1).

2.1.8 Boundary Conditions

At the plasma center ($\rho = 0$), a zero-slope boundary condition is used for n_{Hj} , n_{He} , n_{Ij} , v_ϕ , T_e , and T_i . Thus, if the variable X is any of these plasma parameters, the central boundary condition is

$$\frac{\partial X(\rho, t)}{\partial \rho} = 0 \quad \text{for } \rho = 0$$

For the toroidal current equation (2.1.7), $I(\rho = 0, t) = 0$.

At the plasma edge ($\rho = a$), arbitrary, constant values are assigned to n_{Hj} , n_{Ij} , v_ϕ , T_e , and T_i . Thus, if X represents any of these variables,

$$X(\rho, t) = X_0 \quad \text{for } \rho = a$$

Helium ions are assumed to be perfectly reflected from the plasma outer boundary. These ions may leave the system only by limiter or divertor pumping (5.3.1). Thus, the helium ion edge boundary condition is

$$\frac{\partial n_{He}(\rho, t)}{\partial \rho} = 0 \quad \text{at } \rho = a$$

The toroidal current at the edge may be any specified time-dependent value $I(\rho = a, t) = I_0(t)$, where $I_0(t)$ is the total toroidal current. Alternatively, the loop voltage may be zero, in which case the toroidal current boundary condition is

$$\frac{\partial I(\rho, t)}{\partial \rho} = 0 \quad \text{at } \rho = a$$

2.1.9 Initial Conditions

At the initial time $t = t_0$, arbitrary magnitudes and profile shapes are specified for the electron temperature $T_e(\rho, t_0)$ (2.1.5), the ion temperature $T_i(\rho, t_0)$ (2.1.6), the impurity densities $n_{Ij}(\rho, t_0)$ (2.1.3), the helium-ion density $n_{He}(\rho, t_0)$ (2.1.2), and the wall concentrations of trapped hydrogen $c_{Tj}(y, t_0)$ (4.1). The initial toroidal momentum density $p_\phi(\rho, t_0) = 0$ (2.1.4).

The initial profile of a plasma parameter $X(\rho, t_0)$ (which may be T_e , T_i , n_e , n_{Hj} , n_{Ij} , or n_{He}) is given by one of two forms. The exponential form is

$$X(\rho) = \begin{cases} (X_b - X_o)[1 - (\rho/\rho_0)^\alpha]^\beta + X_o, & \rho < \rho_0 \\ X_o, & \rho > \rho_0 \end{cases}$$

where the profile is specified by the parameter set $(X_b, X_o, \alpha, \beta, \rho_0)$. The Gaussian form is

$$X(\rho) = \frac{1}{1 - f_0} \left[(X_b - X_o) e^{-(\rho/\Delta)^\alpha} + X_o - X_b f_0 \right]$$

$$f_0 = \exp [-(\rho_0/\Delta)^\alpha]$$

where the profile is specified by the parameter set $(X_b, X_o, \Delta, \alpha, \rho_0)$. For both profiles, X_b is the value at $\rho = 0$ and X_o is the value at $\rho = \rho_0$.

The initial profile shape of the mobile hydrogen concentration of species j in the wall $c_j(y, t_0)$ (4.1) is assumed to be given by the wall deposition profile $h(y, E_b)$ (3.7) for $E_b \equiv 10$ eV. Thus,

$$c_j(y, t_0) = \frac{N_{wj}}{A_w} \frac{h(y, E_b)}{\int_0^{y_{\max}} h(y, E_b) dy}$$

where A_w is the equivalent wall area (5.1) and N_{wj} is the initial total number of particles in the wall.

For a simulation that does not use actual data from a plasma shot, arbitrary magnitudes and profile shapes are assumed for the hydrogenic-ion densities $n_{Hj}(\rho, t_0)$ (2.1.1). For each hydrogenic species Hj , the total number of particles in the wall initially, N_{wj} , is assumed to be equal to the initial total number of ions in the plasma. Thus, initially,

$$N_{wj} = \int_0^a n_{Hj}(\rho, t_0) V'_\rho d\rho$$

The initial number in the limiter $N_{Lj}(t_0) = 0$ (4.4).

When a plasma shot is simulated, the measured gas feed rate is used to calculate the number of particles-equivalent in the prefill, N_{pf} . This total number is arbitrarily divided between the initial numbers in the plasma, wall, and limiter. The fraction of N_{pf} in the plasma is f_{pl} , the fraction in the wall is $f_{wl} f_{cx}^w$, and the fraction in the limiter is $f_{wl} f_{cx}^L$. Here, $f_{wl} = 1 - f_{pl}$ is the fraction of N_{pf} initially in the wall and limiter, and $f_{cx}^{w,L}$ are the fractions of the charge-exchange efflux from the plasma incident on the wall and limiter, respectively (5.1.2). For the hydrogenic species corresponding to the prefill gas, the initial profile shape of the plasma density is specified arbitrarily, while the profile of the wall concentration is given by the initial wall deposition profile as described above. Thus, the initial conditions for the prefill species are

$$\int_0^a n_{Hj}(\rho, t_0) V'_\rho d\rho = f_{pl} N_{pf}$$

$$N_{wj} = f_{wl} f_{cx}^w N_{pf}$$

$$N_{Lj}(t_0) = f_{wl} f_{cx}^L N_{pf}$$

For the other hydrogenic-ion species (not prefilled), the initial values are set as described in the previous paragraph for a stand-alone simulation. Normally, the initial level of the other species is assumed to be very small.

The initial toroidal current profile $I(\rho, t_0)$ (2.1.7) is determined by assuming that (1) the initial average toroidal electric field is flat ($\partial E_z(\rho, t_0)/\partial \rho = 0$) (2.1.7) and (2) the total toroidal current $I_0(t_0)$ is specified. With these assumptions, the initial current profile is

$$I(\rho, t_0) = I_0(t_0) \int_0^{\rho} \frac{1}{\eta_{||}} r'_\rho c_\phi dr'_\rho \bigg/ \int_0^a \frac{1}{\eta_{||}} r'_\rho c_\phi dr'_\rho$$

where the resistivity $\eta_{\parallel}$ (2.2.8) and magnetic metric coefficient c_{ϕ} (6.4) are defined below.

2.2 PLASMA AND TRANSPORT PARAMETERS

2.2.1 Plasma Parameters

The electron density n_e is obtained from charge neutrality by

$$n_e = n_H + n_{\text{He}} Z_{\text{He}} + \sum_j n_{Ij} Z_j + n_b$$

$$n_H = \sum_j n_{Hj}$$

where n_H is the total hydrogenic-ion density (2.1.3), n_{He} is the helium density (2.1.2), n_{Ij} is the impurity density (2.1.3), and n_b is the injected fast-ion density (7.1). The effective Z of the plasma, which appears, for example, in the plasma parallel resistivity, is

$$Z_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{n_e - n_b} \left(n_H + n_{\text{He}} Z_{\text{He}}^2 + \sum_j n_{Ij} \langle Z_j^2 \rangle \right)$$

where the average charge state Z_j is defined above (2.1.3). For the ion conductivity (2.2.6), a slightly different effective Z that accounts for the difference between like and unlike particle collision frequencies is required and is given by

$$Z_{\text{eff}}^i = \frac{1}{n_H} \left[n_H + \sqrt{2} \left(n_{\text{He}} Z_{\text{He}}^2 + \sum_j n_{Ij} \langle Z_j^2 \rangle \right) \right]$$

The mass-weighted Z which appears in energy equilibration rates is given in terms of the ion masses (m_{Hj} , m_{He} , m_{Ij}) and the proton mass m_p by

$$[Z] = \frac{1}{n_e - n_b} \left(\sum_j n_{Hj} \frac{m_p}{m_{Hj}} + n_{\text{He}} Z_{\text{He}}^2 \frac{m_p}{m_{\text{He}}} + \sum_j n_{Ij} \langle Z_j^2 \rangle \frac{m_p}{m_{Ij}} \right)$$

The basic electron collision time [1] is

$$\tau_e = \frac{3m_e^{1/2} T_e^{3/2}}{4(2\pi)^{1/2} e^4 n_e \ln \Lambda}$$

$$\ln \Lambda = 23 - \ln \frac{n_e^{1/2} (\text{cm}^{-3})}{T_e (\text{eV})}$$

where $\ln \Lambda$ is the Coulomb logarithm. The electron collision frequency including impurities is

$$\nu_e = Z_{\text{eff}} / \tau_e$$

The collision frequency of hydrogenic-ion species j is

$$\nu_{Hj} = \left(\frac{T_e}{T_i} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{m_e}{m_{Hj}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{n_H}{n_e} \right) \frac{Z_{\text{eff}}^i}{\sqrt{2} \tau_e}$$

The safety factor q and the rotational transform ι are given by

$$q = c_\phi \frac{r_\rho}{R_0} \frac{B_T}{B_\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \iota = \frac{1}{q}$$

in terms of the geometric factor c_ϕ (6.4), average poloidal magnetic field B_θ (2.1.7), and the toroidal magnetic field B_T at major radius R_0 .

The electron ($\alpha = e$) and ion species j ($\alpha = Hj$) values of the toroidal gyroradius ρ_α , thermal velocity v_α , and collisionality $\nu_{*\alpha}$ are

$$\rho_\alpha = \frac{m_\alpha v_\alpha}{e B_T}; \quad v_\alpha = \left(\frac{2T_\alpha}{m_\alpha} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\nu_{*\alpha} = \frac{\sqrt{2} R_0 q \nu_\alpha}{v_\alpha \epsilon^{3/2}}; \quad \epsilon = \frac{\rho r_s}{R_0}$$

2.2.2 Hydrogen and Helium Ion Transport Coefficients

The particle diffusivity used for most modeling is based on an anomalous, empirical diffusivity D_A ,

$$D_A(\text{cm}^2/\text{ms}) = \frac{2 \times 10^{13}}{\bar{n}_e(\text{cm}^{-3})} \left[2 + 20 \left(\frac{\rho}{a} \right)^2 \right] + 10 \exp [-(a_s - \rho)/0.1a_s]$$

where $\bar{n}_e$ is the model line-average electron density and $\rho = a_s$ is the contour separating the confined and scrape-off plasmas. The hydrogenic-ion and helium diffusivities are

$$D_{Hj} = C_D(t) D_A$$

$$D_{\text{He}} = D_A$$

where the multiplier $C_D(t)$ is either held constant or determined by feedback (11.2).

The neoclassical radial convective velocity v_{pnch} is given by

$$v_{\text{pnch}} = - \frac{1.65 \epsilon^{1/2}}{(1.0 + 0.791 \nu_{*e}^{1/2} + 0.56 \nu_{*e})(1.0 + 0.51 \nu_{*e} \epsilon^{3/2})} \frac{E_z}{B_\theta}$$

where ν_{*e} is the electron collisionality (2.2.1), E_z is the toroidal electric field (2.1.7), and B_θ is an average poloidal magnetic field (2.1.7). The form used here for v_{pnch} is given by Hinton and Hazeltine [4].

An anomalous inward convective velocity is required to model central peaking of the density profile. A given density profile shape $f(\rho)$ may be obtained by adjusting the inward convective velocity to give zero net radial particle flux, that is, to force Γ_{Hj} (2.1) to evolve in time to zero. The anomalous convective velocity is given by

$$v_{\text{pnch}} = \frac{\langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi}{\langle |\nabla \rho| \rangle_\psi} D_{Hj} \frac{1}{f(\rho)} \frac{df(\rho)}{d\rho}$$

This model will evolve the density profile shape to $f(\rho)$ on a time scale determined by the magnitude of D_{Hj} . The resulting n_{Hj} profile will be somewhat broader than $f(\rho)$ because of (1) the source of ionized neutrals at the edge and (2) the flattening of the n_{Hj} profile inside $\rho_{q=1}$ by enhancement of D_{Hj} in this region (6.6.1). The enhancement is added after the anomalous v_{pnch} is calculated.

2.2.3 Impurity Ion Transport Coefficients

The neoclassical impurity transport coefficients D_H , K_j , and H_j that appear in the neoclassical impurity flux (2.1.3) are

$$\begin{aligned} D_H &= q^2 \rho_H^2 \nu_H \\ \rho_H &= \frac{\bar{m} v_H}{e B_T}; \quad v_H = \left(\frac{2 T_i}{\bar{m}} \right)^{1/2}; \quad \bar{m} = \frac{1}{n_H} \sum_j n_{Hj} m_{Hj} \\ \nu_H &= \left(\frac{T_e}{T_i} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{m_e}{\bar{m}} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\tau_e} \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{m}$ is the average hydrogenic-ion mass, B_T is the toroidal magnetic field, and the other plasma parameters are defined above (2.2.1). In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} K_j &= C_{1j} \\ H_j &= C_{1j} - C_{2j} \\ C_{1j} &= 1.0 - \frac{0.52 \alpha_{Ij}}{0.59 + \alpha_{Ij} + 1.34 \beta^2} \\ C_{2j} &= 1.5 - \frac{0.29 + 1.20 \alpha_{Hj}}{0.59 + \alpha_{Ij} + 1.34 \beta^2} \\ \alpha_{Ij} &= \frac{n_{Ij} \langle Z_j^2 \rangle}{n_H} \\ \beta &= \frac{v_H}{\nu_H q R_0} \end{aligned}$$

The form of the neoclassical coefficients used here is given by Hirshman and Sigmar [5].

The anomalous impurity diffusivity D_{AI} (2.1.3) is usually taken to be a constant of approximately $5 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$. The anomalous convective velocity is chosen to force the total impurity density profile toward an arbitrarily chosen shape $f_I(\rho)$. This is the same model used to obtain centrally peaked ion density profiles (2.2.2). The convective velocity is given by

$$v_{AI} = \frac{\langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi}{\langle |\nabla \rho| \rangle_\psi} D_{AI} \frac{1}{f_I(\rho)} \frac{df_I(\rho)}{d\rho}$$

The impurity density profile will evolve toward $f_I(\rho)$ only if the anomalous impurity fluxes are much larger than the neoclassical fluxes.

2.2.4 Perpendicular Viscosity

The perpendicular ion viscosity is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\perp &= \sum_j m_{Hj} n_{Hj} f_j q^2 \rho_{Hj}^2 \nu_{Hj} \\ f_j &= \frac{0.2}{0.7 + (\nu_{*Hj} \epsilon^{3/2})^2} + \frac{2.3 \nu_{*Hj} \epsilon^{3/2}}{1 + \nu_{*Hj} \epsilon^{3/2}} + \epsilon^{-3/2} \end{aligned}$$

where the plasma parameters are defined above (2.2.1). The first term in f_j is the neo-classical value given by Tsang and Frieman [6], the second term is a collisional regime modification suggested by Hogan [7], and the third term, which is the dominant term, is an empirical enhancement required to predict measured toroidal rotation velocities using the toroidal momentum equation (2.1.4).

2.2.5 Electron Heat Conduction Coefficient

The empirical model used for ohmic tokamak discharges is

$$\chi_e(\text{cm}^2/\text{ms}) = \frac{2 \times 10^{13}}{\bar{n}_e(\text{cm}^{-3})} \left[2 + 20 \left(\frac{\rho}{a} \right)^2 \right]$$

which approximates Alcator scaling.

For neutral-beam-heated discharges, additional heat conduction loss due to pressure-driven ballooning modes [8] may be included:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_e &= 1.5 v_{Te} r_s \frac{q(\rho)}{S} \left(\frac{\beta_0 q^2(\rho) L_q}{\epsilon_0 L_p} \right)^{3/2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\omega_*}{\gamma} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/4} \\ v_{Te} &= \left(\frac{k T_e(\rho)}{m_e} \right)^{1/2} \\ S &= \frac{\tau_R}{\tau_{HP}} \\ \tau_R &= \frac{\mu_0 r_s^2}{\eta_{||}(\rho)} \\ \tau_{HP} &= \frac{R_0}{v_A} \\ v_A &= \frac{B_T}{\left(4\pi \sum_j m_{Hj} n_{Hj} \right)^{1/2}} \\ \beta_0 &= \frac{p(0)}{B_T^2 / 2\mu_0} \\ \epsilon_0 &= \frac{r_s}{R_0} \\ L_q &= \text{abs} \left[\frac{\rho}{q(\rho)} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \rho} \right]^{-1} \\ L_p &= \text{abs} \left[\frac{a_s}{p(0)} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho} \right]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where p is the plasma pressure, $\eta_{||}$ is the resistivity (2.2.8), and other plasma parameters are defined above (2.2.1).

The diamagnetic drift stabilization factor [9] in χ_e requires the growth rate γ , which is given by the solution of

$$\gamma(\gamma^2 + \omega_*^2) = \gamma_0^3$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_* &= \frac{\langle n \rangle q(\rho) m_e}{\rho/a_s} \frac{v_{Te}^2}{m_p a_s^2 \omega_{ci}} \frac{1}{L_n} \\ \gamma_0^3 &= \frac{1}{S} \left[\frac{\langle n \rangle q(\rho)}{\rho/a_s} \frac{\beta_0 q(\rho)}{\epsilon_0 L_p} \right]^2 \left(\frac{v_A}{R_0} \right)^3 \\ \langle n \rangle &\simeq 5 - 10 \\ \omega_{ci} &= \frac{e B_T}{m_p c} \\ L_n &= \text{abs} \left[\frac{a_s}{n_e(\rho)} \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial \rho} \right]^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

The ballooning mode transport model applies for $\rho_{q=1} < \rho < a_s$.

The diagonal part of the neoclassical electron heat conduction [4] is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_e &= (\epsilon^{-3/2} K_{22}) q^2 \rho_e^2 \nu_e \\ K_{22} &= 2.55 \left[\frac{0.45 \nu_{*e} (\epsilon^{3/2})^2}{1.0 + 0.43 \nu_{*e} \epsilon^{3/2}} + \frac{1.0}{1.0 + 0.45 \nu_{*e}^{1/2} + 0.43 \nu_{*e}} \right]\end{aligned}$$

where the plasma parameters are defined above (2.2.1).

2.2.6 Ion Heat Conduction Coefficient

The neoclassical coefficient is from Hinton and Hazeltine [4] and is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{Hj} &= K_{Hj} q^2 \rho_{Hj}^2 \nu_{Hj} \\ K_{Hj} &= 0.66 \left(\frac{1.77 \nu_{*Hj} \epsilon^{3/2}}{1.0 + 0.74 \nu_{*Hj} \epsilon^{3/2}} + \frac{K_2^* \epsilon^{-3/2}}{1.0 + 1.03 \nu_{*Hj}^{1/2} + 0.31 \nu_{*Hj}} \right)\end{aligned}$$

where the plasma parameters are defined above (2.2.1). The finite toroidicity correction factor K_2^* , which was unity in the original derivation, is a simplified form of the complete derivation of Chang and Hinton [10] and is

$$K_2^* = 1 + 2.85 \epsilon^{1/2} - 2.33 \epsilon$$

Ion heat transport due to ripple in the toroidal magnetic field is given by Uckan et al. [11] as

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_i^{\text{rip}} &= (0.1) 46.5 \delta_R^{3/2} \frac{G(\alpha)}{\nu_i} v_\perp^2 \\ v_\perp &= \frac{v_{Ti}^2}{\omega_{BT} R_0}; \quad \omega_{BT} = \frac{2\pi e B_T}{m_i} \\ G(\alpha) &= \begin{cases} 1 - 3\alpha + 2.8\alpha^2 & \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \\ 0.38 - 0.36\alpha & \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1 \\ 0.02/\alpha^2 & \alpha > 1 \end{cases} \\ \alpha &= \frac{\epsilon}{q N_c \delta_R}; \quad N_c = \text{number of coils}; \quad \delta_R = \left(\frac{B_{\max} - B_{\min}}{B_{\max} + B_{\min}} \right)\end{aligned}$$

The ripple δ_R is specified in the midplane between the plasma center and the outside (in major radius) plasma edge. The factor 0.1 that appears in χ_i^{rip} approximately accounts for the flux surface average of the ripple heat conduction loss.

2.2.7 Helical Ripple Transport

The nonambipolar fluxes of particles Γ_a^{na} and heat q_a^{na} of plasma species a due to the helical ripple are given by Hastings, Houlberg, and Shaing [12]:

$$\Gamma_a^{na} = -\epsilon_t^2 \sqrt{\epsilon_h} v_{da}^2 n_a \int_0^\infty dx x^{5/2} e^{-x} \frac{\tilde{\nu}_a(x) A_a(x)}{\omega_a^2(x)},$$

$$\left(q_a^{na} + \frac{5}{2} \Gamma_a^{na} T_a \right) = -\epsilon_t^2 \sqrt{\epsilon_h} v_{da}^2 n_a T_a \int_0^\infty dx x^{7/2} e^{-x} \frac{\tilde{\nu}_a(x) A_a(x)}{\omega_a^2(x)}$$

where

$$A_a(x) = \frac{1}{n_a} \frac{\partial n_a}{\partial \rho} + \frac{Z_a e}{T_a} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \rho} + \left(x - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{1}{T_a} \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial \rho}$$

$$\tilde{\nu}_a(x) = \frac{\nu_a}{\epsilon_h x^{3/2}}$$

$$x = \frac{\epsilon}{T_a}$$

$$\omega_a^2(x) = 3\tilde{\nu}_a^2(x) + (\epsilon_t/\epsilon_h) \left\{ 1.67 (\omega_E + \omega_{B_a})^2 \right.$$

$$\left. + \left(\frac{\epsilon_t}{\epsilon_h} \right)^{1/2} \left[\frac{(\omega_{B_a})^2}{4} + 0.6 |\omega_{B_a}| \tilde{\nu}_a \left(\frac{\epsilon_h}{\epsilon_t} \right)^{3/2} \right] \right\}$$

$$\omega_E = \frac{1}{r_s \rho B} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r_\rho}$$

$$\omega_{B_a} = -v_{da} \frac{\partial \epsilon_h}{\partial r_\rho} x$$

$$v_{da} = \frac{T_a}{Z_a e B \rho r_s}$$

The collision frequency ν_a of plasma species a is given above (2.2.1). The toroidal and helical modulations of the magnetic field are given by ϵ_t and ϵ_h , respectively (6.2). The radial potential $\Phi(\rho)$ is specified arbitrarily and does not automatically ensure that the neoclassical particle fluxes are ambipolar.

2.2.8 Resistivity

The parallel resistivity $\eta_{\parallel}$ [13] is given by

$$\eta_{\parallel} = \eta_0 \alpha_z \gamma T$$

where, in terms of $\bar{Z} = Z_{\text{eff}}$,

$$\eta_0 = \frac{m_e}{e^2 n_e \tau_e}$$

$$\alpha_z = \frac{\bar{Z}}{3.40} \left(\frac{2.67 + \bar{Z}}{1.13 + \bar{Z}} \right)$$

and the resistivity enhancement γ_T due to the fraction of trapped electrons f_T is

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_T^{-1} &= \left(1 - \frac{f_T}{1 + \xi \nu_{*e}/\bar{Z}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{c_R(\bar{Z})f_T}{1 + \xi \nu_{*e}/\bar{Z}}\right) \\ f_T &= 1 - \frac{(1 - \delta)^2(1 - \delta^2)^{1/2}}{1 + 1.46\delta^{1/2}}; \quad \delta = \frac{r_\rho}{R_0} \\ \xi &= 0.58 + 0.20\bar{Z} \\ c_R(\bar{Z}) &= \frac{0.56}{\bar{Z}} \left(\frac{3.0 - \bar{Z}}{3.0 + \bar{Z}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

The plasma parameters are defined above (2.2.1).

2.2.9 Radiation

The radiated power q_{rad} that appears in the electron temperature equation (2.1.5) is the sum of hydrogen bremsstrahlung radiation (q_{rad}^B), impurity radiation from each impurity species j (q_{rad}^{Ij}), and an arbitrary amount of additional radiation (q_{rad}^A). Thus,

$$q_{\text{rad}}(\rho) = q_{\text{rad}}^B(\rho) + \sum_j q_{\text{rad}}^{Ij}(\rho) + q_{\text{rad}}^A(\rho)$$

Radiation due to hydrogen bremsstrahlung is given by

$$q_{\text{rad}}^B(\text{W/cm}^3) = -1.6 \times 10^{-32} n_e(\text{cm}^{-3}) n_H(\text{cm}^{-3}) T_e(\text{eV})^{1/2}$$

If the impurity density n_{Ij} is specified arbitrarily or is governed by the average ion model, the radiation from impurity species j is given by

$$q_{\text{rad}}^{Ij}(\rho) = -n_e n_{Ij} L_z^j(T_e)$$

where the coronal radiation rates L_z are given by Post et al. [3].

When the impurity density n_{Ij} is governed by the multi-charge-state model, the radiation from impurity species j is given by

$$q_{\text{rad}}^{Ij}(\rho) = -n_e \sum_\ell n_{Ij}^{q\ell} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{ex}}^\ell - n_e \sum_{q=0}^{Z-1} X_R^q n_{Ij}^{q+1} \langle \sigma v \rangle_r^q - 1.6 \times 10^{-32} n_e T_e^{1/2} \sum_{q=1}^Z q^2 n_{Ij}^q$$

where the first term is due to line radiation summed over the strongest lines, the second term is recombination with the average radiated energy per recombination event given by X_R^q , and the third term is due to impurity bremsstrahlung.

The arbitrary radiation is given by

$$q_{\text{rad}}^A(\rho) = -\frac{P_{\text{rad}}^A}{V_p} h_{\text{rad}}(\rho)$$

where P_{rad}^A is the total power radiated in the arbitrary term and V_p is the plasma volume. The normalized radiation profile is

$$\begin{aligned}h_{\text{rad}}(\rho) &= \frac{f(\rho)}{\langle f(\rho) \rangle_V} \\ f(\rho) &= n_e T_e \exp[-(a - \rho)/\delta]\end{aligned}$$

where δ is an arbitrary width and the volume average $\langle \dots \rangle_V$ is defined below (3.8).

The total arbitrary radiation power P_{rad}^A is chosen in one of two ways. It may be specified as a fraction f_R of the total power input to electrons

$$P_{\text{rad}}^A = f_R \int_0^a \left(q_{\text{oh}}(\rho) + q_{\text{inj}}^e(\rho) + q_{\text{ic}}^e(\rho) + q_{\text{fus}}^e(\rho) \right) V_\rho' d\rho$$

where the terms in the integrand are described above (2.1.5).

When modeling data from a plasma shot, the measured total radiation power $P_{\text{rad}}^M(t)$ is sometimes used. In this case, the extra, arbitrary power at any time during the shot is adjusted so that the total power radiated by the q_{rad} term is P_{rad}^M . Thus,

$$P_{\text{rad}}^A = P_{\text{rad}}^M - P_{\text{rad}}^B - P_{\text{rad}}^I$$

where P_{rad}^B and P_{rad}^I are the model values of the total radiated powers from bremsstrahlung and line radiation as calculated above.

2.2.10 Radial Potential

In an axisymmetric, toroidally rotating plasma, the plasma radial potential may be derived from the radial force balance of the plasma hydrogenic ions. This potential is

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\rho) &= -r_s \int_\rho^a \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \rho} d\rho, \quad \Phi(a) \equiv 0 \\ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \rho} &= -\frac{1}{en_H r_s} \frac{\partial p_H}{\partial \rho} - \frac{1}{c} v_\phi B_\theta \\ n_H &= \sum_j n_{Hj} \\ p_H &= n_H T_i \end{aligned}$$

where p_H is the plasma pressure, B_θ the poloidal magnetic field (2.1.7), and v_ϕ the toroidal rotation velocity (2.1.4). This form for $\Phi(\rho)$ is only valid when the poloidal rotation is completely damped.

3 NEUTRAL HYDROGEN TRANSPORT

The transport of neutral hydrogen in the plasma is modeled with a two-species slab model. The model was developed by Audenaerde et al. [14], who describe the derivation of the governing equations and method of solution. The model has been expanded to allow two neutral species. Additional improvements include molecular sources (3.2.1), limiter sources (3.2.2), momentum transport (3.4), wall sputtering (3.6.3), and wall deposition (3.7). The entire slab model is described here, as is the method of solution used in conjunction with the multispecies plasma transport model (3.8). The coupling of the neutral profiles to the plasma and wall transport models is described below (5.1).

3.1 MULTIGENERATION CHARGE-EXCHANGE TRANSPORT

The rate of creation of neutrals of species j at position x due to charge exchange is given by the solution of the integral equation,

$$s_{\text{cx}}^j(x) = s_0^j(x) + \sum_k \int_0^a dx' \nu_{\text{cx}}^{jk}(x, E_T(x')) s_{\text{cx}}^k(x') \frac{1}{2} K_2^k(x, x', E_T(x'))$$

where $s_0^j(x)$ is the source rate at position x of neutrals of species j due to the first charge exchange of neutrals emitted from the wall or limiter. This source has several contributions, which are described below (3.2).

The attenuation at position x of a flux of neutrals of species j emitted isotropically from position x' with energy E is

$$\begin{aligned} K_n^j(x, x', E) &= -\frac{1}{\nu_j^j(x, E)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_n(\beta^j(x, x', E)) \\ &= \frac{1}{v_j(E)} E_{n-1}(\beta^j(x, x', E)) \end{aligned}$$

The ionization decrement between x' and x is

$$\beta^j(x, x', E) = \frac{1}{v_j(E)} \text{abs} \left[\int_{x'}^x dx'' \nu^j(x'', E) \right]$$

where the total ionization frequency $\nu^j(x', E)$ at position x of a neutral of species j with energy E is

$$\nu^j(x, E) = \nu_e(x) + \sum_k \nu_{\text{cx}}^{kj}(x, E) + \nu_i^j(x, E)$$

The frequency of charge exchange at position x of a neutral of species k and energy E with a plasma ion of species j (producing a neutral of species j) is

$$\nu_{\text{cx}}^{jk}(x, E) = n_{Hj}(x) \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{cx}}(v_j^+(x), v_k^0(E))$$

The ionization frequency at position x due to electron impact is

$$\nu_e(x) = n_e(x) \langle \sigma v \rangle_e(T_e(x))$$

The ionization frequency at position x due to ion impact of a neutral of species j and energy E by both plasma ion species is

$$\nu_i^j(x, E) = \sum_k n_{Hk}(x) \langle \sigma v \rangle_i (v_k^+(x), v_j^0(E))$$

The total impact ionization frequency at position x of a neutral of species j and energy E is

$$\nu_{\text{ion}}^j(x, E) = \nu_e(x) + \nu_i^j(x, E)$$

The velocity of an ion of species j and mass m_j at position x is assumed to be

$$v_j^+(x) = \left(\frac{2T_i(x)}{m_j} \right)^{1/2}$$

The velocity of a neutral particle of species j , energy E , and mass m_j is

$$v_j^0(E) = \left(\frac{2E}{m_j} \right)^{1/2}$$

The energy of an ion with temperature T_i is assumed to be

$$E_T = \frac{3}{2} T_i$$

The exponential integral that appears in the attenuation factor is

$$E_n(\alpha) = \int_1^\infty dt \frac{e^{-\alpha t}}{t^n}$$

where n is the order of the integral ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).

3.2 EDGE SOURCES

The rate of creation at position x of neutrals of species j due to the first charge exchange of neutrals emitted from the wall and limiter contains several contributions, which are described in this section. The total creation rate is

$$s_0^j(x) = s_{wA}^j(x) + s_{wm}^j(x) + s_L^j(x) + s_R^j(x)$$

where s_{wA}^j is due to atomic neutrals emitted from the wall (3.2.1), s_{wm}^j results from the ionization and dissociation of wall-emitted molecules (3.2.1), s_L^j is due to (atomic) neutrals emitted from the limiter (3.2.2), and s_R^j is due to the charge-exchange efflux from the plasma that is reflected from the wall (3.2.3).

3.2.1 Cold Wall Source

The initial neutral flux incident on the plasma from the wall may be either atomic or molecular.

Atomic flux The source at position x of first-charge-exchange neutrals of species j due to atomic neutrals emitted from the wall is

$$s_{wA}^j(x) = \sum_k \Gamma_{wA}^k \nu_{cx}^{jk}(x, E_{fc}) 2K_3^k(x, 0, E_{fc})$$

where Γ_{wA}^k is the flux of neutral species k emitted inward from the wall with energy E_{fc} per atom.

Molecular flux The effects on the plasma that result from introducing the wall flux as molecules rather than as atoms are described by Howe [15].

The source at position x of first-charge-exchange neutrals of species j due to atomic neutrals created by the ionization and dissociation of molecules emitted from the wall is

$$s_{wm}^j(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k \int_0^a dx' s_{fc}^k(x') \nu_{cx}^{jk}(x, E_{fc}) \left[K_2^k(x, x', E_{fc}) + 2r_{fc}^k E_2 \left(\beta^k(x, 0, E_{fc}) \right) K_3^k(0, x', E_{fc}) \right]$$

where $s_{fc}^k(x)$ is the rate of creation of neutrals with energy E_{fc} from molecules of species k at position x .

Atomic neutrals created from molecules at position x' may travel to position x either directly (first term in s_{wm}) or by first reflecting from the wall (second term in s_{wm}). The wall reflection coefficient for these neutrals is

$$r_{fc}^k = r_R^k(E_{fc})$$

where r_R is the wall reflection coefficient (3.2.3).

The creation rates of neutrals (s_{fc}) and hydrogenic ions (s_{m+}) from molecules are

$$s_{fc}^j(x) = \Gamma_{wm}^j \frac{\nu_m(x)}{v_j(E_m)} E_2 \left(\beta_m^j(x, E_m) \right) \left[\frac{2\nu_1}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} + \left(\frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} \right) \left(\frac{\nu_3 + 2\nu_4}{\nu_3 + \nu_4} \right) \right]$$

$$s_{m+}^j(x) = \Gamma_{wm}^j \frac{\nu_m(x)}{v_j(E_m)} E_2 \left(\beta_m^j(x, E_m) \right) \left(\frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} \right) \left(\frac{\nu_3}{\nu_3 + \nu_4} \right)$$

where Γ_{wm}^j is the flux of neutral particles of species j emitted in molecular form (with energy E_{H_2} per molecule) from the wall. The energy per neutral particle is $E_m = (1/2)E_{H_2}$.

The attenuation decrement of a molecule between the wall ($x = 0$) and position x is

$$\beta_m^j(x, E) = \frac{1}{v_j(E)} \text{abs} \left[\int_0^x dx' \nu_m(x') \right]$$

$$\nu_m(x) = \nu_1(x) + \nu_2(x)$$

where ν_m is the frequency of molecular loss due to dissociative excitation (ν_1) and ionization (ν_2).

The singly ionized molecules (H_2^+) created by molecular ionization are assumed to immediately dissociate either by excitation into an ion-neutral pair (ν_3) or by recombination into two neutrals (ν_4). The rates for these two dissociation processes are used to calculate the branching ratios in s_{fc} and s_{m+} above.

The molecular reactions corresponding to each rate coefficient are

$\langle\sigma v\rangle_1$	$\text{H}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow 2\text{H} + \text{e}$	Dissociative excitation
$\langle\sigma v\rangle_2$	$\text{H}_2 + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{H}_2^+ + 2\text{e}$	Ionization
$\langle\sigma v\rangle_3$	$\text{H}_2^+ + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{H} + \text{e}$	Dissociative excitation
$\langle\sigma v\rangle_4$	$\text{H}_2^+ + \text{e} \rightarrow 2\text{H}$	Dissociative recombination

where the reaction frequency is $\nu_k = n_e \langle\sigma v\rangle_k$ for $k = 1-4$. The rate coefficients are given by Freeman and Jones [16] and by Jones [17].

3.2.2 Cold Limiter Source

The source at position x of first-charge-exchange neutrals of species j due to atomic neutrals emitted from the limiter is

$$s_L^j(x) = \sum_k \Gamma_L^k \int_0^{x_L} dx' h_k^e(x') \nu_{\text{cx}}^{jk}(x, E_L) \left[f_L^i K_2^k(x, x', E_L) + 2f_L^o r_L^k E_2(\beta^k(x, 0, E_L)) K_3^k(0, x', E_L) \right]$$

where E_L is the energy of the emitted neutrals, which includes the energy gained from the limiter surface potential by the plasma ions (3.8).

Plasma ions incident on the limiter are assumed to be reemitted as atomic neutrals at the position of incidence. This neutral flux is introduced into the neutral transport model as a volume source with total flux for species k of Γ_L^k . A fraction f_L^i of the neutral source is emitted inward while the remaining fraction of the flux $f_L^o = 1 - f_L^i$ is emitted outward toward the wall. The normalized deposition profile $h_k^e(x')$ is derived from the profile of plasma ion flux to the limiter (3.8).

Neutrals emitted from the limiter at position x' may travel to position x either directly (first term in s_L) or by first reflecting from the wall (second term in s_L). The wall reflection coefficient for these neutrals is

$$r_L^k = r_R^k(E_L)$$

where r_R is the wall reflection coefficient (3.2.3).

3.2.3 Reflected Source

The source of first-charge-exchange neutrals of species j due to the flux of neutrals reflected from the wall is

$$s_R^j(x) = \sum_k \int_0^\infty dE \nu_{\text{cx}}^{jk}(x, E) 2K_3^k(x, 0, E) \frac{\partial \Gamma_R^k(E)}{\partial E}$$

where the integral is over the reflected-neutral energy spectrum.

The differential flux of reflected neutrals of species j at energy E is

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma_R^j(E)}{\partial E} = \int_0^a dx' \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma_R^j(x', E)}{\partial x' \partial E}$$

The differential flux of reflected neutrals of species j at energy E due to reflection of neutrals created by charge exchange at position x' in the plasma with energy E_T is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma_R^j(x', E)}{\partial x' \partial E} = \frac{1}{2} s_{cx}^j(x') \left\{ E_2 \left[\beta^j(0, x', E_T(x')) \right] - \left[1 - r_R^j(E_T(x')) \right] E_3 \left[\beta^j(0, x', E_T(x')) \right] \right\} \frac{1}{E_T(x')} f(E, E_T(x'))$$

Here, $r_R^j(E)$ is the particle reflection coefficient for normal incidence of a neutral at energy E as given by Oen and Robinson [18].

For a neutral particle flux incident on the wall at energy E' , $f(E, E')$ is the energy distribution of the reflected flux per unit incident flux, where E is the reflected energy. The reflected spectrum is normalized so that

$$\frac{1}{E'} \int_0^\infty f(E, E') dE = 1$$

for all E' . If we assume that the reflected flux is uniformly distributed in energy up to the incident energy, then

$$f(E, E') = \begin{cases} 1, & E \leq E' \\ 0, & E > E' \end{cases}$$

A more realistic model is obtained from a fit to the reflection histograms of Oen and Robinson [18] and to the reflected spectra measured by Eckstein and Verbeek [19]. The normalized spectrum for $E \leq E'$ is

$$f(E, E') = \frac{1}{\ln[1 + (E'/E_p)^2]} \frac{2E'E}{E_p^2 + E^2}$$

where $f(E, E') = 0$ for $E > E'$. The energy E_p depends on the wall material.

3.3 PLASMA ION SOURCE (SLAB)

The source of plasma ions of species j at position x due to impact ionization and charge exchange (s_+^j) is

$$\begin{aligned} s_+^j(x) + s_{cx}^j(x) = & \Gamma_{wA}^j \nu^j(x, E_{fc}) 2K_3^j(x, 0, E_{fc}) + s_{m+}^j(x) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a dx' s_{fc}^j(x') \nu^j(x, E_{fc}) \left[K_2^j(x, x', E_{fc}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2r_{fc}^j E_2 \left(\beta^j(x, 0, E_{fc}) \right) K_3^j(0, x', E_{fc}) \right] \\ & + \Gamma_L^j \int_0^{x_L} dx' h_j^i(x') \nu^j(x, E_L) \left[f_L^i K_2^j(x, x', E_L) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2f_L^o r_L^j E_2 \left(\beta^j(x, 0, E_L) \right) K_3^j(0, x', E_L) \right] \\ & + \int_0^a dx' \nu^j(x, E_T(x')) s_{cx}^j(x') \frac{1}{2} K_2^j(x, x', E_T(x')) \\ & + \int_0^\infty dE \nu^j(x, E) 2K_3^j(x, 0, E) \frac{\partial \Gamma_R^j(E)}{\partial E} \end{aligned}$$

where the terms are contributions due to atomic wall flux Γ_{wA} (3.2.1), ions (s_{m+}) and neutrals (s_{fc}) from molecules (3.2.1), flux Γ_L emitted from the limiter (3.2.2), energetic neutral transport, and reflected neutrals.

The plasma neutral density of species j is

$$\begin{aligned} n_0^j(x) = & \Gamma_{wA}^j 2K_3^j(x, 0, E_{fc}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a dx' s_{fc}^j(x') \left[K_2^j(x, x', E_{fc}) + 2r_{fc}^j E_2(\beta^j(x, 0, E_{fc})) K_3^j(0, x', E_{fc}) \right] \\ & + \Gamma_L^j \int_0^{x_L} dx' h_j^e(x') \left[f_L^j K_2^j(x, x', E_L) + 2f_L^j r_L^j E_2(\beta^j(x, 0, E_L)) K_3^j(0, x', E_L) \right] \\ & + \int_0^a dx' s_{cx}^j(x') \frac{1}{2} K_2^j(x, x', E_T(x')) \\ & + \int_0^\infty dE 2K_3^j(x, 0, E) \frac{\partial \Gamma_R^j(E)}{\partial E} \end{aligned}$$

where the terms contain contributions corresponding to those described above for the ion source s_+^j .

3.4 TOROIDAL MOMENTUM DRAG (SLAB)

The loss of momentum per unit volume due to neutral transport is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_{cx}(x) = & v_\phi(x) \sum_k \left[s_{wA}^k(x) + s_{wm}^k(x) + s_L^k(x) \right] \\ & + \int_0^a dx' [v_\phi(x) - v_\phi(x')] \sum_{j,k} \nu_{cx}^{jk}(x, E_T(x')) s_{cx}^k(x') \frac{1}{2} K_2^k(x, x', E_T(x')) \\ & - \int_0^a dx' v_\phi(x') \sum_j \nu_{ion}^j(x, E_T(x')) s_{cx}^j(x') \frac{1}{2} K_2^j(x, x', E_T(x')) \\ & + \int_0^\infty dE v_\phi(x) \sum_{j,k} \nu_{cx}^{jk}(x, E) 2K_3^k(x, 0, E) \frac{\partial \Gamma_R^k(E)}{\partial E} \end{aligned}$$

where the plasma velocity $v_\phi(x)$ is directed parallel to the wall.

3.5 PLASMA ENERGY LOSS (SLAB)

The energy loss from the plasma ions due to transport of charge-exchange neutrals is

$$\begin{aligned} q_{cx}(x) = & \left[\frac{3}{2} T_i(x) - E_{fc} \right] \sum_k \left[s_{wA}^k(x) + s_{wm}^k(x) \right] \\ & + \left[\frac{3}{2} T_i(x) - E_L \right] \sum_k s_L^k(x) \\ & + \int_0^a dx' \frac{3}{2} [T_i(x) - T_i(x')] \sum_{j,k} \nu_{cx}^{jk}(x, E_T(x')) s_{cx}^k(x') \frac{1}{2} K_2^k(x, x', E_T(x')) \\ & + \int_0^\infty dE \left[\frac{3}{2} T_i(x) - E \right] \sum_{j,k} \nu_{cx}^{jk}(x, E) 2K_3^k(x, 0, E) \frac{\partial \Gamma_R^k(E)}{\partial E} \end{aligned}$$

where each term is described after the ion source equation above (3.3).

The energy regained by the plasma ions due to ionization of neutrals is

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_i(x) &= \sum_k q_i^k(x) \\
 q_i^j(x) &= E_{fc} \Gamma_{wA}^j \nu_{ion}^j(x, E_{fc}) 2K_3^j(x, 0, E_{fc}) + E_{fc} s_{m+}^j(x) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^a dx' E_{fc} s_{fc}^j(x') \nu_{ion}^j(x, E_{fc}) \left[K_2^j(x, x', E_{fc}) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2r_{fc}^j E_2(\beta^j(x, 0, E_{fc})) K_3^j(0, x', E_{fc}) \right] \\
 &+ f_L^j \int_0^{x_L} dx' E_L h_j^\ell(x') \nu_{ion}^j(x, E_L) \left[f_L^j K_2^j(x, x', E_L) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 2f_L^o r_L^j E_2(\beta^j(x, 0, E_L)) K_3^j(0, x', E_L) \right] \\
 &+ \int_0^a dx' \frac{3}{2} T_i(x') \nu_{ion}^j(x, E_T(x')) s_{cx}^j(x') \frac{1}{2} K_2^j(x, x', E_T(x')) \\
 &+ \int_0^\infty dE E \nu_{ion}^j(x, E) 2K_3^j(x, 0, E) \frac{\partial \Gamma_R^j(E)}{\partial E}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the terms are described after the ion source equation above (3.3).

The total energy loss due to neutrals is the sum of q_{cx} and q_i .

3.6 NEUTRAL FLUXES AT WALL

3.6.1 Particle Fluxes

The flux of neutral particles of species j incident on the wall due to neutrals created from molecular ionization is

$$\Gamma_{fc}^j = \int_0^a dx' s_{fc}^j(x') \frac{1}{2} E_2(\beta^j(0, x', E_{fc}))$$

The wall flux due to neutrals emitted from the limiter is

$$\Gamma_{L0}^j = f_L^o \Gamma_L^j \int_0^a dx' h_j^\ell(x') E_2(\beta^j(0, x', E_L))$$

The flux due to neutrals created within the plasma by charge exchange is

$$\Gamma_H^j = \int_0^a dx' s_{cx}^j(x') \frac{1}{2} E_2[\beta^j(0, x', E_T(x'))]$$

The flux of reflected energetic neutrals is

$$\Gamma_R^j = \int_0^\infty dE \frac{\partial \Gamma_R^j(E)}{\partial E}$$

3.6.2 Energy Fluxes

The flux of energy carried into the plasma by the neutrals of species j emitted from the wall and limiter is

$$\Phi_w^j = E_{fc} \Gamma_{wA}^j + E_L \Gamma_L^j$$

The energy flux carried to the wall by energetic charge-exchange neutrals is

$$\Phi_H^j = \int_0^a dx' \frac{3}{2} T_i(x') s_{cx}^j(x') \frac{1}{2} E_2 \left[\beta^j(0, x', E_T(x')) \right]$$

The reflected energy flux carried into the plasma is

$$\Phi_R^j = \int_0^\infty dE E \frac{\partial \Gamma_R^j(E)}{\partial E}$$

3.6.3 Sputtered Impurity Fluxes

The flux of impurities sputtered from the wall by energetic charge-exchange neutrals created in the plasma is

$$\Gamma_s^I = \sum_k \int_0^a dx' s_{cx}^k(x') \frac{1}{2} E_1 \left[\beta^k(0, x', E_T(x')) \right] Y_s^I(E_T(x'), m_k)$$

where Y_s^I is the normal-incidence sputter coefficient defined below (3.6.4).

3.6.4 Sputter Yield Coefficient

The average number of impurity atoms sputtered from the wall for each neutral incident normally on the wall is given by Smith [20] as

$$Y_s^I(E, m_H) = \frac{20 Z_I^2 m_H}{E_b m_I} \frac{E'}{(E' + 50 Z_I)^2}$$

$$E' = E - E_t$$

$$E_t = \frac{(m_H + m_I)^2}{4 m_H m_I} E_b$$

where E is the energy of the neutral charge-exchange particle of mass m_H , m_I and Z_I are the mass and nuclear charge of the impurity (wall) atom, E_b is the binding energy, E_t is the sputtering threshold energy, and all energies are in electron volts. The sputter yield dependence on the angle of incidence θ_i of the neutral flux is assumed to be $Y = Y_s^I / \cos(\theta_i)$.

3.7 HYDROGEN DEPOSITION PROFILE IN WALL

The rate of deposition of nonreflected particles at depth y in the wall is

$$g_w^j(y) = (1 - r_{fc}^j) \Gamma_{fc}^j h(y, E_{fc}) + (1 - r_L^j) \Gamma_{L0}^j h(y, E_L)$$

$$+ \int_0^a dx' s_{cx}^j(x') \left[1 - r_R^j(E_T(x')) \right] \frac{1}{2} E_3 \left[\beta^j(0, x', E_T(x')) \right] h(y, E_T(x'))$$

The first term is due to neutrals created by molecular ionization and dissociation within the plasma (3.2.1) that are incident on the wall with flux Γ_{fc}^j (3.6.1) and energy E_{fc} . The second term represents neutrals emitted from the limiter (3.2.2) that contribute a flux Γ_{Lo}^j (3.6.1) to the wall at energy E_L . The wall reflection coefficients for these fluxes are r_{fc}^j (3.2.1) and r_L^j (3.2.2), respectively, and the corresponding sticking coefficients are therefore $1 - r_{fc}^j$ and $1 - r_L^j$. The last term in g_w is due to energetic neutrals created in the plasma by charge exchange that reach the wall and are not reflected. The integral in this term is essentially over the energy spectrum of the charge-exchange flux incident on the wall.

The normalized deposition profile in the wall of neutrals incident at energy E is given by $h(y, E)$. An approximate fit to the calculations of Oen and Robinson [21] for hydrogen on copper is [22]

$$h(y, E) = \frac{27}{2} \frac{y^2}{\bar{y}^3} e^{-3y/\bar{y}}$$

where $h(y, E)$ is normalized so that

$$\int_0^\infty h(y, E) dy = 1$$

for all E .

The average range $\bar{y}(E)$ for a particle incident at energy E is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y}(E) &= f(\epsilon) R_E \\ R_E &= c E^{1/2}, \quad c = 22.7 \text{ \AA}/(\text{eV})^{1/2} \\ \epsilon &= \frac{E}{E_\ell}, \quad E_\ell = 3.12 \text{ keV} \\ f(\epsilon) &= 0.12 + 1.625 \times 10^{-2} (3 + \log_{10} \epsilon)^2 \end{aligned}$$

3.8 NORMALIZED TOROIDAL PARAMETERS AND PROFILES

For simulation of a plasma with two hydrogenic-ion species, the neutral transport solution is obtained separately for each species. An arbitrary total neutral flux Γ_0 is assumed incident on the plasma slab from the wall and limiter for ion species 1, while the incident flux of ion species 2 is assumed to be zero. The resulting ionization and energy loss profiles and wall fluxes for both ion species are calculated. The same arbitrary flux is then introduced for ion species 2 (while the flux for ion species 1 is assumed to be zero), and the calculation is repeated. The total source profiles used in the plasma transport model are then formed at each time by a sum of the neutral profiles for each species. This procedure ensures particle conservation of each hydrogenic species in the plasma-wall transport model as the plasma and wall models evolve in the time between recalculation of the neutral transport.

Let the neutral flux incident on the plasma slab of ion species k ($k = 1$ or 2) be Γ_0 and assume that the incident flux of the other ion species is zero. The fractions of flux Γ_0 that are incident from the limiter (f_L) and from the wall (f_W) are determined from the neutral fluxes of species k that are recycled, injected, or desorbed from the limiter and wall in the plasma transport model at the time the neutral transport calculation is performed. These

fractions are

$$f_W = \frac{\dot{N}_k^W + \dot{N}_k^G + \dot{N}_k^D}{\dot{N}_k^C}$$

$$f_L = \frac{\dot{N}_k^L}{\dot{N}_k^C}$$

where the particle flow rates $\dot{N}$ are defined below (5.1.1).

The wall flux of neutral particles, emitted as either atoms or molecules, used in the neutral transport model above is

$$\Gamma_{wA}^k + \Gamma_{wm}^k = f_W \Gamma_0$$

where the wall flux is usually chosen to be either all atomic with energy E_{fc} or all molecular (3.2.1).

The flux of neutrals emitted from the limiter is

$$\Gamma_L^k = f_L \Gamma_0$$

$$E_L = E_{fc} + e\phi_L/2.0$$

where the energy of the limiter-emitted neutrals E_L includes the energy gained by the ions as they pass through the limiter potential ϕ_L (5.3.2).

The flux of neutrals from the limiter is included in the slab neutral transport model as a volume source. The source profile is determined by the profile of parallel ion flow to the limiter. The normalized profile is

$$h_k^\ell(x) = \frac{n_{Hk}(\rho(x))/\tau_{||Hk}}{\int_0^{x_L} (n_{Hk}/\tau_{||Hk}) dx'}$$

where $\tau_{||Hk}$ is the parallel ion loss time (5.3.1) and the normalization extends over the width of the scrape-off (x_L) in the slab.

The mapping between x and the plasma coordinate ρ is necessarily somewhat subjective because of the contorted shape of the plasma. The plasma temperature and density at a distance x into the plasma slab from the plasma edge are given by the corresponding value of the plasma parameter on the flux surface for which $x(\rho) = r_a - r_\rho$, where r_ρ (6.1.6) is the radius of the equivolume toroid for surface ρ .

The flux of species j deposited in the wall due to injection of flux Γ_0 of species k into the plasma (as described above) is

$$p_{jk} = \frac{(1 - r_{fc}^j)\Gamma_{fc}^j + (1 - r_L^j)\Gamma_{L0}^j + \Gamma_H^j - \Gamma_R^j}{\Gamma_T^k}$$

where $\Gamma_T^k = \Gamma_0$ and the wall-deposited flux is expressed as a fraction of Γ_0 . There are two values ($j = 1, 2$) of the wall pumping fraction (p_{jk}) for each value of k due to charge exchange between species in the plasma. The wall fluxes used to define p_{jk} are defined above (3.6.1), and r_{fc}^j (3.2.1) and r_L^j (3.2.2) are the reflection coefficients of cold neutrals.

The normalized source profile for plasma ions of species j due to an edge flux of species k is

$$h_{jk}^p(\rho) = \frac{s_+^j(x(\rho))}{\langle s_+^j(x(\rho)) \rangle_V}$$

where a neutral transport parameter on flux surface ρ is given by the corresponding value of the parameter at slab distance $x(\rho)$ from the plasma edge. $s_+^j(x)$ is the ion source profile derived above (3.3).

The volume average of any parameter $X(\rho)$ is

$$\langle X(\rho) \rangle_V = \frac{1}{V_p} \int_0^a X(\rho) V'_\rho d\rho$$

The total flux of impurities sputtered from the wall due to a flux Γ_0 of neutral ion species k incident on the plasma is

$$\bar{y}_{Ik} = \frac{\Gamma_s^I}{\Gamma_T^k}$$

where the impurity flux Γ_s^I (3.6.3) is normalized to the incident neutral flux.

The normalized deposition profile of neutral species j in the wall due to a flux Γ_0 of species k incident on the plasma is

$$h_{jk}^w(y) = \frac{g_w^j(y)}{\int_0^\infty g_w^j(y) dy}$$

where y is the distance into the wall and g_w^j is derived above (3.7).

The momentum loss term for both ion species due to a flux Γ_0 of neutral species k incident on the plasma edge is

$$\dot{p}_{cx,k}^s(\rho) = \dot{p}_{cx}(x(\rho))$$

where the slab value for the momentum loss $\dot{p}_{cx}(x)$ is derived from the slab neutral transport model (3.4).

The energy loss term for both ion species due to a flux Γ_0 of neutral species k incident on the plasma edge is

$$q_{cx,k}^s(\rho) = q_{cx}(x(\rho)) - q_i(x(\rho))$$

where the slab profiles for charge-exchange energy transport (q_{cx}) and reionization (q_i) are derived from the slab neutral transport model (3.5).

The total neutral density due to a flux Γ_0 of neutral species k incident on the plasma edge is

$$n_{0,k}^s(\rho) = \sum_j n_0^j(x(\rho))$$

where the neutral profile $n_0^j(x)$ is derived from the slab neutral transport model (3.3).

4 WALL AND LIMITER TRANSPORT

4.1 WALL TRAPPING AND DIFFUSION

The concentration of hydrogen in the wall evolves according to Fick's law including traps [23] and is governed by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial c_j(y, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(D_{wj} \frac{\partial c_j(y, t)}{\partial y} \right) + G_j(y, t) - \frac{\partial c_{Tj}(y, t)}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial c_{Tj}(y, t)}{\partial t} &= \frac{D_{wj}}{\lambda^2 c_w} c_j(y, t) c_{Te}(y, t) - \nu c_{Tj}(y, t) \\ c_{Te}(y, t) &= c_{To}(y) - \sum_{j'} c_{Tj'}(y, t)\end{aligned}$$

where y is the distance into the wall from the surface. For hydrogenic-atom species j , c_j is the mobile concentration, c_{Tj} is the trapped concentration, G_j is the volume source due to nonreflected neutrals incident on the wall from the plasma (5.1.2), and D_{wj} is the diffusivity (4.2). Also, c_{To} is the total concentration of traps, c_{Te} is the concentration of empty traps, c_w is the metal concentration, λ is the jump distance, and ν is the detrapping frequency (4.2).

4.2 MATERIAL COEFFICIENTS

The wall diffusivity of hydrogenic-atom species j is

$$D_{wj} = \frac{D_0}{\sqrt{m_j}} \exp(-Q_D/kT)$$

where D_0 is the diffusivity pre-exponential, Q_D is the migration energy, T is the wall temperature (assumed constant), and m_j is the atomic mass.

The detrapping frequency is

$$\begin{aligned}\nu &= \nu_0 \exp[-(Q_D + E_T)/kT] + \nu_I \\ \nu_I &= \frac{\sigma_I}{A_w} \sum_{j,k} \dot{N}_k^C p_{jk}\end{aligned}$$

where ν_0 is the pre-exponential and E_T is the trap binding energy. The empirical detrapping frequency ν_I is an empirical detrapping cross section σ_I times the total flux of neutrals incident on the wall (5.1.1).

4.3 SURFACE BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The flux emitted from the surface is given by a balance between recombinative desorption and outward diffusion from the bulk to the surface of the wall [24]. The surface boundary condition is

$$D_{wj} \frac{\partial c_j}{\partial y} \Big|_{y=0} = 2k_r c_j^s \sum_{j'} c_{j'}^s$$

where k_r is the recombination rate coefficient including surface roughness (Howe and Langley [25]) and $c_j^s = c_j(y=0)$ is the concentration at the surface. At the inner boundary,

$$\left. \frac{\partial c_j}{\partial y} \right|_{y=y_{\max}} = 0$$

4.4 LIMITER TRANSPORT

A simple point model is currently used to model the accumulation of plasma particles in the limiter. The number of particles of hydrogenic species j in the limiter N_{Lj} is governed by

$$\frac{dN_{Lj}}{dt} = (1 - r_L)\dot{N}_{Lj}^+ + \dot{N}_{Lj}^0 - \frac{N_{Lj}}{\tau_L}$$

where the source terms are due to ions and neutrals, and the loss term represents desorption at an arbitrary rate specified by the limiter confinement time τ_L .

The ion source term arises from the fraction of the ion flux incident on the limiter that is not directly reflected; r_L is the limiter reflection coefficient for ions. The total rate of incidence of hydrogenic ions of species j on the limiter or divertor due to parallel plasma loss in the scrape-off is

$$\dot{N}_{Lj}^+ = \int_{a_s}^{a_d} \frac{n_{Hj}}{\tau_{||Hj}} V_\rho' d\rho$$

The parallel loss time is $\tau_{||Hj}$ (5.3.1), and the integral extends over the scrape-off volume enclosed between the inner scrape-off edge ($\rho = a_s$) and the flux surface coinciding with the pump limiter duct leading edge ($\rho = a_d$). If there is no limiter pumping, $a_d = a$ and the ion flux to the entire limiter is included. When limiter pumping is included ($a_d < a$), ions incident on the limiter at radial distances greater than the leading edge of the pumping duct ($\rho > a_d$) are completely pumped.

The neutral source $\dot{N}_{Lj}^0$ arises from neutrals created in the plasma that are absorbed by the limiter. This source is given below (5.1.2).

5 PLASMA-WALL INTERACTION

5.1 COUPLED PLASMA AND WALL HYDROGEN SOURCES

The basic method for coupling the plasma, neutral, and wall particle transport models is described by Howe [22]. The complete method is described here.

5.1.1 Neutral Source Fluxes at Surfaces

The total rate of emission of neutral hydrogenic species j from all surfaces is

$$\dot{N}_j^G = \dot{N}_j^W + \dot{N}_j^L + \dot{N}_j^G + \dot{N}_j^D$$

The rate of emission from the wall due to recycling of plasma lost to the wall is

$$\dot{N}_j^W = -R_w \left(V_\rho' \Gamma_{Hj} \right) \Big|_{\rho=a}$$

where R_w is the fraction of the ion flux incident on the wall that is reemitted into the plasma as a neutral flux and Γ_{Hj} is defined above (2.1.1).

The rate of emission from the limiter or divertor is given by one of two models. If the absorption and release of plasma and neutrals by the limiter are not explicitly calculated, then the limiter emission rate is

$$\dot{N}_j^L = R_L \dot{N}_{Lj}^+$$

where $\dot{N}_{Lj}^+$ is the total ion flux of hydrogen species j incident on the limiter (4.4) and R_L is the fraction of ions flowing to the limiter that is reemitted into the plasma as a neutral flux. R_L may be a constant or may be controlled by feedback (11.3).

If the absorption and release of particles at the limiter are modeled as described above (4.4), then the emission rate of neutral hydrogenic species j from the limiter is

$$\dot{N}_j^L = r_L \dot{N}_{Lj}^+ + \frac{N_{Lj}}{\tau_L}$$

The first term represents ions that are directly reflected from the limiter as neutrals with reflection coefficient r_L . The second term gives the rate of desorption from the limiter.

External fueling with gas (S_{Gj}) or continuous pellet injection (S_{pj}) produces an additional wall neutral emission rate of

$$\dot{N}_j^G = S_{Gj} + S_{pj}$$

When the wall diffusion and trapping model (4.1, 4.2) is used, the rate of emission from the wall due to recombination is

$$\dot{N}_j^D = 2k_r A_w c_j^s \sum_{j'} c_{j'}^s$$

where k_r is the recombination rate coefficient, A_w is the wall area, and $c_j^s = c_j(y=0)$ is the particle concentration at the surface of the wall.

If the wall diffusion and trapping model is not used, complete desorption from the wall is obtained by letting the rate of desorption equal the rate of incidence of nonreflected neutrals on the wall from the plasma. For a plasma with two hydrogenic-ion species, let the two species be denoted by subscripts j and k . Then the desorbed emission rate of species j is

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{N}_j^D &= f_{\text{cx}}^w \frac{\dot{N}_j^P(1 - p_{kk} - d) + \dot{N}_k^P p_{jk}}{d} \\ \dot{N}_j^P &= \dot{N}_j^L + \dot{N}_j^W + \dot{N}_j^G \\ d &= (1 - p_{jj})(1 - p_{kk}) - p_{jk}p_{kj}\end{aligned}$$

where the wall pumping fraction p_{jk} is defined above (3.8) and f_{cx}^w is defined below (5.1.2). The complete-desorption model is usually used for long-pulse simulations where the wall is expected to be saturated.

The general recycling model described above does not apply for constant plasma density. Instead, the neutral source rate is determined from an arbitrarily set global particle confinement time τ_p^s . The total rate of emission of neutrals of species j from all surfaces for constant plasma density is given by $\dot{N}_j^C = \dot{N}_j^W$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{N}_j^W &= \frac{1}{\tau_p^s} \int_0^a n_{Hj}(\rho') V_\rho'(\rho') d\rho' \\ \dot{N}_j^L &= \dot{N}_j^G = \dot{N}_j^D = 0\end{aligned}$$

5.1.2 Plasma, Wall, and Limiter Particle Sources

The ion source term S_{Hj} that appears in the transport equation for hydrogenic ion density n_{Hj} (2.1.1) is

$$S_{Hj}(\rho) = \frac{1}{V_p} \left(\dot{N}_j^C h_{jj}^p(\rho) - \sum_k \dot{N}_k^C p_{jk} h_{jk}^p(\rho) \right)$$

where the total neutral emission rate ($\dot{N}_j^C$) from the walls and limiter due to recycling and external fueling is given above (5.1.1). The normalized deposition profiles of the neutrals in the plasma (h_{jk}^p) and the fractional pumping rates p_{jk} are derived from the neutral transport model (3.8).

The particle source term G_j , which appears in the transport equation for the hydrogenic particle concentration c_j in the wall (4.1), is

$$G_j(y) = \frac{f_{\text{cx}}^w}{A_w} \sum_k \dot{N}_k^C p_{jk} h_{jk}^w(y)$$

where A_w is the wall area and f_{cx}^w is the fraction of the neutral outflux from the plasma that is deposited in the wall. The normalized wall source deposition profile h_{jk}^w is derived from the neutral transport model (3.8).

The rate of deposition of neutral particles of hydrogenic species j on the limiter (4.4) is

$$\dot{N}_{l,j}^0 = f_{\text{cx}}^L \sum_k \dot{N}_k^C p_{jk}$$

where $f_{\text{cx}}^L = 1 - f_{\text{cx}}^w$ is the fraction of the neutral outflux from the plasma that is deposited in the limiter.

This form for S_{Hj} , G_j , and $\dot{N}_{Lj}^0$ ensures particle conservation, since each neutral emitted from the wall or limiter is deposited either in the plasma as an ion or in the wall or the limiter as a neutral particle.

For constant plasma density where the general recycle model is not applicable, the ionization source rate for hydrogenic-ion species Hj is

$$S_{Hj}(\rho) = \frac{1}{V_p} \dot{N}_j^C h_{jj}^p(\rho)$$

The wall pumping fractions p_{jk} are not included; this assumes that all charge-exchange particles that are not reflected from the wall are reemitted at the thermal energy.

5.1.3 Plasma Toroidal Momentum Loss

The toroidal momentum loss $\dot{p}_{\text{cx}}$ due to neutral charge exchange, which appears in the toroidal velocity equation (2.1.4), is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_{\text{cx}}(\rho) &= - \sum_k (\Gamma_k^p / \Gamma_0) \dot{p}_{\text{cx},k}^s(\rho) \\ \Gamma_k^p &= \dot{N}_k^C / A_{sp} \end{aligned}$$

where $\dot{N}_k^C$ is defined above (5.1.1) and $A_{sp} = (2\pi)^2 r_a R_0$ is approximately the plasma surface area. Γ_0 is the (arbitrary) edge neutral source flux used in the slab neutral transport model, and $\dot{p}_{\text{cx},k}^s$ (3.8) is the slab value for the charge-exchange momentum loss.

5.1.4 Plasma Energy Loss

The energy loss q_{cx} due to neutral charge exchange, which appears in the ion temperature equation (2.1.6), is

$$q_{\text{cx}}(\rho) = \sum_k (\Gamma_k^p / \Gamma_0) q_{\text{cx},k}^s(\rho)$$

where Γ_k^p and Γ_0 are defined above (5.1.3) and $q_{\text{cx},k}^s$ (3.8) is the slab value for charge-exchange energy transport, including reionization.

The energy loss q_{ion} due to neutral ionization and radiation, which appears in the electron temperature equation (2.1.5), is

$$q_{\text{ion}}(\rho) = - \frac{E_{\text{ion}}}{V_p} \sum_k \dot{N}_k^C h_{kk}^p(\rho)$$

where E_{ion} is the energy lost per neutral ionization.

5.1.5 Neutral Density

The neutral density $n_0(\rho)$ in the plasma is

$$n_0(\rho) = \sum_k (\Gamma_k^p / \Gamma_0) n_{0,k}^s(\rho)$$

where Γ_k^p and Γ_0 are defined above (5.1.3) and $n_{0,k}^s$ is the slab value for the neutral density (3.8).

5.1.6 Average Recycle and Pumping Coefficient

The global recycle coefficient is

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R} &= (1 - \bar{p}) + \bar{p}\gamma_d \\ \bar{p} &= \frac{\sum_{j,k} \dot{N}_k^C p_{jk}}{\sum_j \dot{N}_j^C} \\ \gamma_d &= \frac{\sum_j \dot{N}_j^P}{\sum_{j,k} \dot{N}_k^C p_{jk}}\end{aligned}$$

where $\dot{N}_j^C$, $\dot{N}_j^P$ (5.1.1), and p_{jk} (3.8) are defined above, $\bar{p}$ is the total fraction of the incident neutral flux that is deposited in the wall, and γ_d is the fraction of this flux reemitted from the wall surface back into the plasma by recombinative desorption.

5.2 IMPURITY SOURCES

The impurity source term S_{Ij} , which appears in the transport equations for impurity density j (n_{Ij}) (2.1.3), contains contributions due to charge-exchange neutral sputtering of the wall (5.2.1), ion sputtering of the limiter (5.2.2), impurity recycling from the wall and limiter (5.2.3), and external injection from the wall (5.2.4).

5.2.1 Wall Charge-Exchange Neutral Sputtering

The source of impurities due to sputtering of the wall by the energetic charge-exchange neutrals emitted from the plasma is

$$S_{Ij}(\rho) = \frac{1}{V_p} \sum_k \dot{N}_k^C y_{Ij,k} h_{Ij}^I(\rho)$$

where $\dot{N}_k^C$ is the cold neutral flux of hydrogenic species k incident on the plasma from the wall and limiter (5.1.1), $y_{Ij,k}$ is the sputtered flux of impurity species j divided by the cold neutral flux of hydrogenic species k (3.8), and V_p is the plasma volume. The normalized impurity deposition profile is

$$\begin{aligned}h_{Ij}^I(\rho) &= \frac{-\partial E_3(\beta^I(\rho, v_{Ij})) / \partial r_\rho}{\langle -\partial E_3(\beta^I(\rho, v_{Ij})) / \partial r_\rho \rangle_V} \\ \beta^I(\rho, v_{Ij}) &= \frac{1}{v_{Ij}} \int_{r_\rho}^{r_a} n_e(\rho') \langle \sigma v \rangle_I dr'_\rho \\ v_{Ij} &= \left(\frac{2v_{Ij}}{m_{Ij}} \right)^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

where E_{Ij} is the energy of the sputtered neutral impurity, m_{Ij} is the mass, and $\langle \sigma v \rangle_I$ is the neutral-impurity ionization rate coefficient. The exponential integral E_3 (3.1) and volume integral $\langle \dots \rangle_V$ (3.8) are defined above.

5.2.2 Limiter Ion Sputtering

The impurity source due to sputtering of the limiter by plasma ions flowing parallel to field lines in the scrape-off layer is

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{Ij}(\rho) &= \frac{1}{V_p} \dot{N}_{Ij}^s h_{Ij}^s(\rho) \\
 \dot{N}_{Ij}^s &= \int_{a_s}^{a_d} s_{Ij}(\rho) V_\rho' d\rho \\
 h_{Ij}^s(\rho) &= \frac{s_{Ij}(\rho)}{\langle s_{Ij}(\rho) \rangle_V} \\
 s_{Ij}(\rho) &= \sum_k \frac{n_{Hk}}{\tau_{||Hk}} Y_s^{Ij}(E, m_{Hk}), \quad a_s < \rho < a_d \\
 &= 0, \quad a_d < \rho < a \\
 E &= T_i(\rho) + 2T_e(\rho)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the sputter yield coefficient for a hydrogenic ion of energy E and mass m_H , $Y_s^I(E, m_H)$, is given above (3.6.4) and n_{Hk} and $\tau_{||Hk}$ are the density (2.1.1) and parallel loss time (5.3.1) of hydrogenic-ion species k . The sputtered impurities are assumed to be deposited (ionized) on the same flux surface ρ where they are sputtered. The separation of the source into the product of a normalized deposition profile $h_{Ij}^s(\rho)$ and the total rate of sputtered impurity emission $\dot{N}_{Ij}^s$ ensures impurity number conservation. Ions that enter the limiter pumping duct ($\rho > a_d$) (5.1.1) are assumed not to sputter impurities into the main plasma. V_p is the plasma volume and the volume integral $\langle \dots \rangle_V$ is defined above (3.8).

5.2.3 Wall and Limiter Recycling

The impurity source due to recycling from the wall of a fraction R_{lw} of the outflux of impurity species j is

$$S_{Ij}(\rho) = -\frac{R_{lw}}{V_p} \left(V_\rho' \Gamma_{Ij} \right)_{\rho=a} h_{Ij}^I(\rho)$$

where Γ_{Ij} (2.1.3) and the normalized deposition profile h_{Ij}^I (5.2.1) are defined above.

The impurity source due to complete recycling of impurity species j from the limiter is

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{Ij}(\rho) &= \frac{1}{V_p} \dot{N}_{Ij}^R h_{Ij}^R(\rho) \\
 \dot{N}_{Ij}^R &= \int_{a_s}^a s_{Ij}^R(\rho) V_\rho' d\rho \\
 h_{Ij}^R(\rho) &= \frac{s_{Ij}^R(\rho)}{\langle s_{Ij}^R(\rho) \rangle_V} \\
 s_{Ij}^R(\rho) &= \frac{n_{Ij}}{\tau_{||Ij}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the impurity parallel loss time $\tau_{||Ij}$ is defined below (5.3.1). The recycled impurities are assumed to be deposited (ionized) on the same flux surface ρ where they are incident.

on the limiter. The separation of the source into the product of a normalized deposition profile $h_{Ij}^R(\rho)$ and the total rate of recycled impurity emission $\dot{N}_{Ij}^R$ ensures impurity number conservation. V_p is the plasma volume (6.3) and the volume integral $\langle \dots \rangle_V$ is defined above (3.8).

5.2.4 External Source

The impurity source due to an external source such as impurity injection or laser blowoff is given by

$$S_{Ij}(\rho) = \frac{1}{V_p} \dot{N}_{Ij}^{\text{ext}} h_{Ij}^I(\rho)$$

where $\dot{N}_{Ij}^{\text{ext}}$ is the time-dependent external source rate and h_{Ij}^I is the normalized deposition profile (5.2.1).

5.3 PLASMA SCRAPE-OFF PARALLEL LOSS

5.3.1 Parallel Loss Time

The parallel loss time of plasma species μ ($\mu = Hj$, He, or Ij) in the scrape-off layer is based on the loss time given by Emmert et al. [26] and is

$$\tau_{||\mu} = \frac{\pi R_0}{2\alpha v_\mu} \frac{L}{2\pi R_0}; \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}$$

$$v_\mu = \left(\frac{2T_i}{m_\mu} \right)^{1/2}$$

where v_μ is the thermal velocity and m_μ is the mass. The average toroidal length L is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L}{2\pi R_0} &= 1 && \text{for a poloidal diaphragm limiter} \\ &= qE && \text{for a toroidal belt limiter} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} [2(\rho - a_s)/a_s]^{-1/2} && \text{for a vertical rail limiter} \\ &= \frac{L_B}{2\pi R_0} (1 + L_B/\lambda_{cx}) && \text{for a bundle divertor with mirror ratio } R_m \\ L_B &= 2\pi R_0 R_m q_D (a - a_s)/(\rho - a_s) \\ \lambda_{cx} &= \frac{1}{n_0(\rho) \sigma_{cx}(v_{Hj})} \end{aligned}$$

When modeling a tokamak with a given set of limiters, the parallel loss time is given by

$$\tau_{||\mu} = \frac{\pi^{3/2} R_0 r_s}{v_\mu s(\rho)}$$

where $s(\rho)$ is the poloidal arc length of flux surface ρ intersected by the limiters as shown in Fig. 1. $s(\rho)$ changes during the shot as the flux surfaces shift with respect to the fixed limiters.

Helium recycling is included in the model by assuming that the helium ions that flow to the limiter are reemitted and ionized at the position of incidence. This reduces the effective parallel loss rate in the scrape-off by increasing the loss time $\tau_{||\text{He}}$. If the parallel loss time calculated above is denoted by $\tau'_{||\text{He}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{||\text{He}} &= \frac{\tau'_{||\text{He}}}{1 - R_{\text{He}}}, & a_s < \rho < a_d \\ &= \tau'_{\text{He}}, & a_d < \rho < a\end{aligned}$$

where R_{He} is the limiter recycle coefficient of helium ions. Notice that all helium ions incident on the limiter at positions outside the leading edge of the pump duct ($\rho = a_d$) (5.1.1) are assumed to be pumped.

5.3.2 Energy Loss Factor

The enhancement to the parallel electron energy loss in the scrape-off due to the sheath potential at the limiter or collector plate is

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{1 - \sigma_s} + \frac{e\phi_L}{2kT_e}$$

where σ_s is the secondary electron emission coefficient of the limiter (described below) and ϕ_L is the limiter sheath potential. There are two models for ϕ_L .

If the electron and ion fluxes to the limiter are ambipolar at every point on the limiter surface, then ϕ_L varies across the limiter face and is given by [26]

$$\frac{e\phi_L}{kT_e} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{m_p}{m_e} \frac{T_e}{T_i} (1 - \sigma_s)^2 \right]$$

If, instead, currents can flow within the limiter, then the electron and ion fluxes are not necessarily locally ambipolar [27], and the sheath potential adjusts to ensure that the limiter is globally ambipolar; that is, the total flux to the limiter face is ambipolar, and locally nonambipolar fluxes are equilibrated by currents flowing in the limiter from regions of excessive electron flux to regions of excessive ion flux. If the sheath potential is assumed to be constant as a function of position on the limiter face, then ϕ_L is determined from the integral condition:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{a_s}^a \dot{n}_{H||} V'_\rho d\rho &= \int_{a_s}^a \frac{1}{2} (1 - \sigma_s) \frac{n_e (T_e/T_i)^{1/2}}{\sum_j n_{Hj} (m_e/m_{Hj})^{1/2}} \exp(e\phi_L/kT_e) \dot{n}_{H||} V'_\rho d\rho \\ \dot{n}_{H||} &= \sum_j \frac{n_{Hj}}{\tau_{||Hj}}\end{aligned}$$

The secondary electron emission coefficient is evaluated at $E = T_e$, where

$$\sigma_s(E) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\text{max}} \frac{\ln [20(E/E_{\text{max}}) + 1]}{[(E/E_{\text{max}}) + 1]^{3/4}}$$

and, for iron, $\sigma_{\text{max}} = 1.3$, $E_{\text{max}} = 400$ eV. The space-charge upper limit on σ_s is accounted for by imposing a limit of $\sigma_s(E) \equiv 0.85$ if $\sigma_s(E) > 0.85$.

6 EQUILIBRIUM

6.1 FLUX SURFACE EQUATIONS

A point on a flux surface is located by its Cartesian cylindrical coordinates $x_i = (R, \phi, Z)$, where R is the major radius, ϕ is the geometric toroidal angle and Z is the distance above the midplane. The corresponding flux coordinate is $\alpha_i = (\rho, \theta, \xi)$, where ρ is the flux surface label, θ is a poloidal angle, and ξ is a toroidal angle. Flux surfaces are defined by the inverse representation

$$R(\rho, \theta, \xi) = \sum_{m,n} R_{m,n}(\rho) \cos(m\theta - n\xi)$$

$$Z(\rho, \theta, \xi) = \sum_{m,n} Z_{m,n}(\rho) \sin(m\theta - n\xi)$$

$$\phi(\rho, \theta, \xi) = \xi$$

The set of coefficients $R_{m,n}$ and $Z_{m,n}$ determines the flux surface geometry. In addition, a third set of coefficients $\lambda_{m,n}$ (6.2) is required to define the magnetic fields. The models used for the $R_{m,n}$, $Z_{m,n}$ coefficients are described in this section.

6.1.1 Axisymmetric Surfaces

For axisymmetric plasmas (tokamaks), a shifted-ellipse representation is given by

$$R_{0,0} = R_0 + \Delta(\rho)$$

$$Z_{0,0} = 0$$

$$R_{1,0} = -r_\rho$$

$$Z_{1,0} = Er_\rho$$

This model is described in detail below (6.5) and is shown in Fig. 2. The metric coefficients used in the plasma transport equations may be derived in closed form for this representation; the resulting coefficients are also given below (6.5). The shifted-ellipse model was used in the tokamak version of the transport model [28]. Note, however, that the radial variable ρ is a normalized radius here, while ρ was the actual midplane minor radius in the tokamak model. The parameter ρ used previously has been replaced here by r_ρ .

6.1.2 Model 3-D Surfaces

A model 3-D flux surface representation is used for model development and for cases where detailed surfaces are not available. The flux surfaces are a set of concentric ellipses with elongation E_{3D} that rotate poloidally as the toroidal angle increases. The moment

coefficients for this model are

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{0,0} &= R_{3D} \\
 Z_{0,0} &= 0 \\
 R_{1,0} &= a_{3D}\rho \\
 Z_{1,0} &= a_{3D}\rho \\
 R_{1,n3D} &= -f_E a_{3D}\rho \\
 Z_{1,n3D} &= f_E a_{3D}\rho \\
 f_E &= (E_{3D} - 1)/(E_{3D} + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the major radius R_{3D} , average minor radius a_{3D} , and the number of field periods n_{3D} are specified arbitrarily. The radial variable ρ is a normalized radius, where $\rho = 1$ is the last closed surface. This representation is fixed in real space as the plasma evolves in time.

6.1.3 Vacuum 3-D Surfaces

For low-beta torsatron plasmas, the vacuum flux surfaces may be used. Starting with a filamentary model of the helical and poloidal coil currents, a fast field-line-following model [29] is used to map out a set of flux surfaces. The resulting surfaces are spectrally resolved into the inverse representation described above (6.1) using a method [30] that selects the poloidal angle θ to give the smallest number of $R_{m,n}$ and $Z_{m,n}$ coefficients. Typical vacuum surfaces for the Advanced Toroidal Facility calculated with this method are shown in Fig. 3.

The radial variable ρ is defined as

$$\rho = \left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_a} \right)^{1/2}$$

where $\Phi(\rho)$ is the vacuum toroidal magnetic flux enclosed by surface ρ and Φ_a is the toroidal flux enclosed by the outermost surface ($\rho = 1$). The toroidal fluxes are calculated by the field-line-following model.

6.1.4 Fixed-Boundary 3-D Equilibrium

For moderate to high beta, the plasma equilibrium may be calculated [31]. This calculation returns the $R_{m,n}$, $Z_{m,n}$, and $\lambda_{m,n}$ coefficients for a given plasma pressure profile. The boundary may be specified from the vacuum surface calculation (6.1.3).

6.1.5 Scrape-Off Surfaces

The last closed flux surface is $\rho = 1$ for all of the surface representations described above. The treatment of the scrape-off ($\rho > 1$) in the shifted-ellipse axisymmetric representation is described below (6.5). To include a scrape-off model in the 3-D representations, the surfaces outside the last closed surface are assumed to be linear extrapolations of the inner surfaces. The outermost surface modeled is labeled $\rho = a$; for the region $1 \leq \rho \leq a$, the

representation is

$$R_{m,n}(\rho) = R_{m,n}(\rho = 1) + \left. \frac{dR_{m,n}}{d\rho} \right|_{\rho=1} (\rho - 1)$$

$$Z_{m,n}(\rho) = Z_{m,n}(\rho = 1) + \left. \frac{dZ_{m,n}}{d\rho} \right|_{\rho=1} (\rho - 1)$$

The resulting flux surfaces for the model 3-D representation (6.1.2) are shown in Fig. 4.

6.1.6 Equivolume Average Surfaces

Several models (for example, magnetic diffusion (2.1.7) and neutral beam deposition (7.2)) have not yet been formulated for the 3-D flux surface representation. Average, axisymmetric surfaces are defined by

$$R(\rho, \theta) = R_0 + \Delta(\rho) - r_\rho \cos \theta$$

$$Z(\rho, \theta) = E r_\rho \sin \theta$$

where, for surface ρ , the average surface is defined to contain the same volume as the actual 3-D surface.

The real and average surfaces are aligned at the toroidal position $\phi = 0$ as follows. The major radii of the inner (R_{in}) and outer (R_{out}) intersections of surface ρ with the midplane are given approximately by

$$R_{\text{in}}(\rho) = \sum_{m,n} (-1)^m R_{m,n}(\rho)$$

$$R_{\text{out}}(\rho) = \sum_{m,n} R_{m,n}(\rho)$$

$$R_{\text{mid}}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} (R_{\text{in}}(\rho) + R_{\text{out}}(\rho))$$

where $R_{\text{mid}}(\rho)$ is the average major radius of each flux surface. The plasma major radius and flux surface shift for the average surfaces are

$$R_0 = R_{\text{mid}}(\rho = 1), \quad \Delta(\rho) = R_{\text{mid}}(\rho) - R_0$$

If $V(\rho)$ is the volume contained within surface ρ (as defined below (6.3)), then the midplane minor radius of the average surface is

$$r_\rho(\rho) = \left[\frac{V(\rho)}{2\pi^2 E (R_0 + \Delta(\rho))} \right]^{1/2}$$

A comparison between the real and average flux surfaces is shown in Fig. 5. The average radius of the last closed surface ($\rho = 1$) is r_s and of the outermost surface ($\rho = a$) is r_a .

If the axisymmetric shifted-ellipse representation (6.5) is used, the average and actual surfaces coincide and R_0 , r_ρ , and $\Delta(\rho)$ become the surface major radius, minor radius, and shift as defined below (6.5).

6.2 MAGNETIC FIELDS AND FLUXES

If the magnetic field magnitude on flux surface ρ is approximated by

$$B(\rho, \theta, \phi) = B_0(\rho) [1 + \epsilon_t(\rho) \cos \theta + \epsilon_h(\rho) \cos(m\theta - n\phi) + \dots]$$

where $m = 2$ and $n =$ number of field periods, then ϵ_t is the toroidal ripple and ϵ_h is the helical ripple. For the vacuum surfaces (6.1.3), B_0 , ϵ_t , and ϵ_h are derived from field-line following for each surface.

6.3 PLASMA TRANSPORT METRICS

The flux surface average of a variable $X(\rho, \theta, \xi)$ is

$$\langle X \rangle_\psi = \frac{1}{V'_\rho(\rho)} \int_0^{2\pi} d\xi \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \sqrt{g} X$$

The plasma volume between ρ and $\rho + d\rho$ is

$$V'_\rho(\rho) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\xi \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \sqrt{g}$$

and the volume contained within surface ρ is

$$V(\rho) = \int_0^\rho d\rho' V'_\rho(\rho')$$

where $V_p = V(\rho = a)$ is the total plasma volume including the scrape-off.

The differential plasma volume is

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{g} &= R \det(G_{ij}) \\ G_{ij} &= \partial x_i / \partial \alpha_j \end{aligned}$$

where, as defined above (6.1), $x_i = (R, \phi, Z)$ is the cylindrical coordinate and $\alpha_i = (\rho, \theta, \xi)$ is the corresponding point in flux coordinates. Since the magnetic toroidal angle ξ is defined to be the geometric toroidal angle ϕ , $\sqrt{g}$ is given as in the axisymmetric case by

$$\sqrt{g} = R \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\partial R}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \theta} \right)$$

The metric coefficients are

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_i} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_j} + R^2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \alpha_i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \alpha_j} + \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \alpha_i} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \alpha_j}$$

The transport metrics $\langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi$ and $\langle |\nabla \rho| \rangle_\psi$ are obtained by flux-surface averaging the local value

$$(\nabla \rho)^2 = \frac{1}{g} (g_{\theta\theta} g_{\xi\xi} - g_{\theta\xi}^2)$$

where the flux surface average operator is defined above. While this average is performed numerically for the general 3-D flux surface representation, an analytical form is obtainable for the axisymmetric shifted-ellipse surfaces (6.5).

6.4 MAGNETIC DIFFUSION METRICS

The poloidal averages of the metric coefficients required for the magnetic diffusion equation (2.1.7) are

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_\theta &= \frac{R_0 r'_\rho}{r_\rho} \langle g_{\theta\theta} / \sqrt{g} \rangle_\theta = \frac{R_0 r'_\rho}{r_\rho} \langle \sqrt{g} (\nabla \rho)^2 / R^2 \rangle_\theta \\
 &= \frac{R_0}{R_M} \frac{1}{E(\epsilon - \Delta_\rho)} \left[\left(\frac{\epsilon}{1 + \delta_\epsilon} \right) \left(1 + \frac{E^2}{\delta_\epsilon} \right) - \left(\frac{\Delta_\rho}{1 + \delta_{\Delta'}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{E^2}{\delta_{\Delta'}} \right) \right] \\
 c_\phi &= \frac{R_0}{r'_\rho r_\rho} \langle \sqrt{g} / g_{\phi\phi} \rangle_\theta = \frac{R_0}{r'_\rho r_\rho} \langle \sqrt{g} / R^2 \rangle_\theta \\
 &= \frac{R_0}{R_M} \frac{E}{\delta_\epsilon} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon \Delta_\rho}{1 + \delta_\epsilon} \right) \\
 \delta_\epsilon &= (1 - \epsilon^2)^{1/2}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{r_\rho}{R} = \frac{r_\rho}{R_0 + \Delta(\rho)} \\
 \delta_{\Delta'} &= (1 - \Delta_\rho^2)^{1/2}, \quad \Delta_\rho = \frac{d\Delta}{dr_\rho} = \frac{\Delta'}{r'_\rho} \\
 r'_\rho &= \frac{dr_\rho}{d\rho}, \quad \Delta' = \frac{d\Delta}{d\rho}
 \end{aligned}$$

6.5 AXISYMMETRIC, SHIFTED, ELLIPTICAL SURFACES

The plasma flux surfaces are assumed to be nested, elongated toroids. The equilibrium force balance is approximately satisfied by allowing each flux surface to shift in the major radius direction while assuming constant elongation for all surfaces. In cylindrical coordinates (R, Z, ϕ) , where R is the major radius, Z the vertical distance above the torus midplane, and ϕ the toroidal angle, the equation of each surface is

$$\begin{aligned}
 R &= R_M(\rho) - r_\rho \cos \theta \\
 Z &= E r_\rho \sin \theta \\
 R_M(\rho) &= R_0 + \Delta(\rho); \quad r_\rho = \rho r_s; \quad r'_\rho = r_s
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, r_ρ is the midplane minor radius of the elliptical surface, E is the elongation, θ is the poloidal angle, R_0 (r_s) is the major (minor midplane) radius of the surface ($\rho = a_s$) that separates the confined and scrape-off plasmas, and $\Delta(\rho)$ is the shift, which is derived from the Grad-Shafranov equation. The entire plasma, including the scrape-off layer, is enclosed by the outer flux surface $\rho = a$. The geometry is shown in Fig. 2.

The matrix of metric coefficients that defines the coordinate transformation from cylin-

drical to shifted-ellipse coordinates is

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{\rho\rho} &= \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial \rho}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \rho}\right)^2 = (\Delta' - r'_\rho \cos \theta)^2 + (Er'_\rho)^2 \sin^2 \theta \\
 g_{\rho\theta} &= \frac{\partial R}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \theta} = r'_\rho \sin \theta [\Delta' + (E^2 - 1)r'_\rho \cos \theta] \\
 g_{\theta\theta} &= \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial Z}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 = r'_\rho^2 (\sin^2 \theta + E^2 \cos^2 \theta) \\
 g_{\phi\phi} &= R^2 \\
 g_{\rho\phi} &= g_{\theta\phi} = 0 \quad \text{due to axisymmetry}
 \end{aligned}$$

The Jacobian is

$$\begin{aligned}
 g &= \det(g_{ij}) = g_{\phi\phi}(g_{\rho\rho}g_{\theta\theta} - g_{\rho\theta}^2) \\
 \sqrt{g} &= R \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\partial R}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \theta} \right) = ERr'_\rho(r'_\rho - \Delta' \cos \theta) \\
 (\nabla \rho)^2 &= \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial R}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial Z}\right)^2 = \frac{g_{\theta\theta}g_{\phi\phi}}{g} = \frac{\cos^2 \theta + (1/E^2) \sin^2 \theta}{(r'_\rho - \Delta' \cos \theta)^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients that appear in the transport equations are poloidal averages of the metric coefficients (g_{ij}). The poloidal average of a variable X is

$$\langle X \rangle_\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} X d\theta$$

and the corresponding flux surface average is

$$\langle X \rangle_\psi = \langle \sqrt{g} X \rangle_\theta / \langle \sqrt{g} \rangle_\theta$$

One virtue of the shifted-ellipse, constant-elongation coordinate system is that all required poloidal averages may be obtained in closed form. Thus, the differential volume of a flux surface is

$$V'_\rho = (2\pi)^2 \langle \sqrt{g} \rangle_\theta = (2\pi)^2 Er'_\rho r'_\rho \left(R_M + \frac{\rho \Delta'}{2} \right)$$

where $\Delta' = d\Delta(\rho)/d\rho$. The total volume enclosed by flux surface ρ is $V(\rho) = 2\pi^2 Er_\rho^2 R_M$ and the volume of the entire plasma is $V_p = V(\rho = a)$.

The flux surface average of the transport term in the density, rotation, and temperature equations involves the integral of $(\nabla \rho)^2$ over the flux surface volume. The resulting factor is

$$\begin{aligned}
 V'(\rho) \langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi &= (2\pi)^2 \langle \sqrt{g} (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\theta \\
 &= (2\pi)^2 E\rho \left(\frac{R_M}{E^2 \delta_{\Delta'}} \left[1 + \frac{E^2 - 1}{1 + \delta_{\Delta'}} \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{\rho \Delta'}{E^2 \delta_{\Delta'} (1 + \delta_{\Delta'})} \left\{ 1 + \frac{E^2 - 1}{4} \left[3 + \left(\frac{\Delta_\rho}{1 + \delta_{\Delta'}} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \right) \\
 \delta_{\Delta'} &= (1 - \Delta_\rho^2)^{1/2}, \quad \Delta_\rho = \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial r_\rho} = \frac{\Delta'}{r'_\rho} = \frac{1}{r_s} \frac{d\Delta}{d\rho}
 \end{aligned}$$

The integral of $\nabla\rho$ over a flux surface is approximated by

$$\langle |\nabla\rho| \rangle_\psi = r'_\rho \langle (\nabla\rho)^2 \rangle_\psi$$

The horizontal shift of the flux surfaces is derived from the Grad-Shafranov equation as described by Lao, Hirshman, and Wieland [32]. This representation is fairly accurate for small poloidal beta ($\beta_p \leq A/2$, where $A = R_0/r_s$ is the aspect ratio). The shift is defined relative to the surface ($\rho = a_s$) that first touches a material surface (or the separatrix for a divertor); thus, $\Delta(\rho = a_s) \equiv 0$. This means that the shift is positive (outward) in the plasma and negative (inward) in the scrape-off layer. The shift Δ of surface ρ is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\rho) = & \frac{r_s^2}{R_M(0)} \left(\frac{4E^2}{3E^2 + 1} \right) \int_\rho^{a_s} \rho' d\rho' \left(\frac{\bar{p}(\rho') - p(\rho')}{\tilde{B}_p^2/8\pi} \right) \\ & + \frac{r_s^2}{R_M(0)} \int_\rho^{a_s} \rho' d\rho' \left(\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\ell}_i(\rho') + \frac{1}{2} \frac{E^2 - 1}{3E^2 + 1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the average poloidal field is

$$\tilde{B}_p = \frac{2E}{E^2 + 1} \frac{I(\rho)}{2\pi\mu_0\rho r_s}$$

The pressure is

$$p = n_e T_e + n_T T_i + p_{b\perp}$$

where $p_{b\perp}$ is the perpendicular fast ion pressure (7.1).

The average pressure is

$$\bar{p}(\rho) = \frac{2}{\rho^2} \int_0^\rho \rho' d\rho' p(\rho')$$

the internal inductance is

$$\tilde{\ell}_i(\rho) = \frac{2(E^2 + 1)}{3E^2 + 1} \frac{1}{\tilde{B}_p^2(\rho)} \frac{2}{\rho^2} \int_0^\rho \rho' d\rho' \tilde{B}_p^2(\rho')$$

and the major radius of the magnetic axis is $R_M(0) = R_0 + \Delta(0)$.

The plasma equilibrium is recalculated whenever the plasma pressure or magnetic field changes sufficiently. Since the position of the confined-plasma edge ($R_M(\rho = a_s) = R_0$) is assumed to be constant, large changes in plasma energy content or toroidal current due to major-radius compression are not included in the model. Therefore, only the plasma density is renormalized after the shift profile is recalculated in order to maintain particle conservation. The small resulting errors in the plasma energy density and current profile are usually unimportant. If we denote plasma parameters before recalculation of the shift profile with superscript b , then the corresponding densities in the new equilibrium are

$$n_\mu(\rho) = \frac{V_\rho'^b(\rho)}{V_\rho'} n_\mu^b(\rho)$$

where the renormalization is applied to the densities of hydrogenic ions ($\mu = H, j$), helium ions ($\mu = \text{He}$), and impurity ions ($\mu = I, j$).

6.6 INTERNAL DISRUPTIONS

6.6.1 Transport Coefficient Enhancement

When the discrete sawtooth model (6.6.2) is not used, enhanced transport due to internal disruptions is simulated by increasing the plasma transport coefficients in the region where $q \leq 1$. The coefficients enhanced are D_{Hj} (2.2.2), D_{He} (2.2.2), $\eta_{\perp}$ (2.2.4), χ_e (2.2.5), and χ_{Hj} (2.2.6). If the value of a particular coefficient X before enhancement is X_0 , the enhanced value is

$$X = X_0 + X_{st} \left[1 + \exp \left(\frac{q(\rho) - 1}{0.05} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

where the enhancement is performed for all radii; $q(\rho)$ is the safety factor (2.2.1), and the enhancement X_{st} may be either a constant (usually $\approx 10^4$ cm²/s in present-day devices) or $0.01 D_B$, where $D_B = ckT_e/16eB_T$ is the Bohm diffusion coefficient.

Another model for transport enhancement is

$$D_{mhd} = \frac{2(r_s \rho_{q=1})^2}{\tau_{st}}$$

where τ_{st} is the sawtooth period and $\rho_{q=1}$ is the outermost flux surface for which $q = 1$. An expression for τ_{st} that agrees with experimental measurements from several ohmically heated tokamaks [33] is

$$\tau_{st} = 3 \left(\tau_R^3 \tau_A^2 \tau_H^2 \right)^{1/7}$$

where the resistive time is

$$\tau_R = \frac{\mu_0 (r_s \rho_{q=1})^2}{\eta_{\parallel}(0)}$$

the Alfvén time is

$$\tau_A = \frac{R_0}{v_A}, \quad v_A = \frac{B_T}{(4\pi \rho_H)^{1/2}}, \quad \rho_H = \sum_j m_{Hj} n_{Hj}$$

and the central heating time is

$$\tau_H = \frac{3}{2} \frac{n_e(0) T_e(0)}{E_Z(0) J_{oh}(0) + q_{inj}^e(0)}$$

The ohmic result for τ_{st} has been extended here with the inclusion of q_{inj}^e in τ_H . For this model, the enhancement of any coefficient X_0 is given by

$$X = X_0 + D_{mhd} \left[1 + \exp \left(\frac{\rho_{q=1} - \rho}{0.1 \rho_{q=1}} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

The flattening of the toroidal current profile is simulated by modifying the parallel resistivity $\eta_{\parallel}$ (2.2.8) inside the flux surface $\rho_{q=1}$ where $q = 1$. The modified resistivity η_f is used in the current evolution model (2.1.7) and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_f(\rho) &= \left(\frac{T_e(\rho_{q=1})}{T_e(\rho)} \right)^{3/2} \eta_{\parallel}(\rho_{q=1}), \quad \rho < \rho_{q=1} \\ &= \eta_{\parallel}(\rho), \quad \rho > \rho_{q=1} \end{aligned}$$

6.6.2 Sawtooth Model

Let variables with a superscript zero be parameters immediately before the sawtooth and variables with a superscript f be the corresponding parameters immediately following the sawtooth. A sawtooth is simulated by stopping the temporal evolution of the plasma parameters, flattening the plasma densities, temperatures, and current density profiles as shown below, and starting the temporal evolution using the flattened profiles as initial conditions.

Let $\rho_s = \sqrt{2}\rho_{q=1}$; then the volume enclosed by the singular surface is

$$V_s = \int_0^{\rho_s} V'_\rho d\rho$$

and the flattened values for $\rho \leq \rho_s$ are

$$n_{Hj}^f = \frac{1}{V_s} \int_0^{\rho_s} n_{Hj}^0 V'_\rho d\rho$$

$$n_{Ij}^f = \frac{1}{V_s} \int_0^{\rho_s} n_{Ij}^0 V'_\rho d\rho$$

$$n_e^f = \sum_j n_{Hj}^f + \sum_j Z_j n_{Ij}^f + n_b$$

$$T_e^f = \frac{1}{V_s n_e^f} \int_0^{\rho_s} T_e^0 n_e^0 V'_\rho d\rho$$

$$T_i^f = \frac{1}{V_s n_T^f} \int_0^{\rho_s} T_i^0 n_T^0 V'_\rho d\rho$$

$$n_T = \sum_j n_{Hj} + \sum_j n_{Ij}$$

$$I^f(\rho) = J_z^f 2\pi \int_0^\rho c_\phi \rho d\rho$$

$$J_z^f = \frac{I^0(\rho_s)}{2\pi \int_0^{\rho_s} c_\phi \rho d\rho}$$

7 NEUTRAL BEAM HEATING

7.1 PLASMA SOURCES

Plasma sources of energy, momentum, and particles due to neutral beam injection as well as fast-ion density and pressure are calculated for each beam energy component (full, one-half, and one-third energy) and for each injector fast-neutral source. For energy component j with energy $E_{j,k}$ ($= E_{0k}/j$, where E_{0k} is the full injection energy) and particle current $I_{j,k}$ (where k specifies the ion source), the flux-surface-averaged fast-ion source rate is

$$\dot{n}_{j,k} = \frac{I_{j,k}}{eV_p} H_{j,k}(\rho)$$

where V_p is the plasma volume (6.3) and $H_{j,k}(\rho)$ (7.2) is the normalized neutral beam deposition profile. Thermalization of the fast ions is modeled by the moments method (7.3.1), which gives the fractions of fast-ion energy, momentum, and particles deposited in the plasma electrons and ions. The total source terms are formed by summing the product of the fast-ion source rate and the appropriate moment over all beam components.

The heating source for electrons is

$$q_{\text{inj}}^e(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} \dot{n}_{j,k} E_{j,k} G_{e,j,k}$$

The heating source for ions is

$$q_{\text{inj}}^i(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} \dot{n}_{j,k} E_{j,k} G_{i,j,k} + T_i S_{\text{inj}}(\rho)$$

The toroidal current density perturbation (including the shielding response of plasma electrons) due to fast ions is

$$J_b(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} \dot{n}_{j,k} Z_f e v_{j,k} \xi_k \tau_s K_{e,j,k} (1 - Z_f/Z_{\text{eff}})$$

$$v_{j,k} = \left(\frac{2E_{j,k}}{m_f} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \xi_k = \frac{\Theta_k R_{c,k}}{R_0 + r_p}$$

where, for source k , ξ_k is the cosine of the initial pitch angle and $\Theta_k = 1$ (-1) for co-injection (counter-injection). The fast-ion density is

$$n_b(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} \dot{n}_{j,k} \tau_s N_{j,k}$$

The source of plasma ions due to thermalized fast ions is

$$S_{\text{inj}}(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} \dot{n}_{j,k} p_{\text{cx}}(v_{T_i})$$

The source of toroidal momentum for the plasma (electrons and all ions) is

$$\dot{p}_\phi^T(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} \dot{n}_{j,k} m_f v_{j,k} \xi_k (K_{e,j,k} + K_{i,j,k})$$

The source of toroidal momentum for the hydrogenic-ion plasma component is

$$\dot{p}_H(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} \dot{n}_{j,k} m_f v_{j,k} \xi_k K_{H,j,k}$$

The source of toroidal momentum for the plasma impurities is

$$\dot{p}_I(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} \dot{n}_{j,k} m_f v_{j,k} \xi_k K_{I,j,k}$$

The fast-ion perpendicular pressure is

$$p_{b\perp}(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} \dot{n}_{j,k} E_{j,k} \tau_s P_{\perp,j,k}$$

The fast-ion parallel pressure is

$$p_{b\parallel}(\rho) = \sum_{j,k} \dot{n}_{j,k} E_{j,k} \tau_s P_{\parallel,j,k}$$

7.2 BEAM DEPOSITION

The neutral beam ionization and initial deposition model is based on the original model described by Rome et al. [34]. The present model departs from the original model by (1) excluding first-orbit effects and (2) including shifted, elongated flux surfaces.

7.2.1 Diffuse-Beam Integration

The neutral beam shape within the plasma is assumed to be a cylinder of radius r_b . The tangency major radius of the beam centerline for ion source k is $R_c = R_{c,k}$. The normalized deposition profile for flux surface ρ is

$$H_{j,k}(\rho) = H^+(\rho) + H^-(\rho)$$

where the contribution from the outer (H^+) and inner (H^-) intersection of the beam with the flux surface is

$$H^\pm(\rho) = 2 \int_0^{z_u} dz_b \int_{R_L}^{R_u^\pm} dR_b J_b(\alpha) h^\pm(\rho, R_b, z_b)$$

The integration variables R_b and z_b are the major radius and distance from the midplane (in the beam tangency plane) of each differential beamlet. The integration in R_b and z_b extends over the beam cross section. The contribution of a beamlet at (R_b, z_b) is $h^\pm(\rho, R_b, z_b)$ and is given below (7.2.2). The integration limits

$$\begin{aligned} z_u &= \min [Er_\rho, r_b] \\ R_L &= R_c - (r_b^2 - z_b^2)^{1/2} \\ R_u^\pm &= \min \left[R_0 + \Delta(\rho) \pm (r_\rho^2 - (z_b/E)^2)^{1/2}, R_c + (r_b^2 - z_b^2)^{1/2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

ensure that only beamlets intersecting the given flux surface ρ contribute to $H(\rho)$. $H^\pm(\rho)$ is zero if $R_L > R_u^\pm$.

The current density distribution within the beam cross section is

$$\begin{aligned} J_b(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{\pi\sigma^2} \left[1 - \exp\left(-r_b^2/\sigma^2\right) \right]^{-1} \exp\left(-\alpha^2/\sigma^2\right), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq r_b \\ &= 0, \quad \alpha > r_b \\ \alpha &= \left[(R_b - R_c)^2 + z_b^2 \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where α is the perpendicular distance from the beam centerline and σ is the Gaussian half-width of the current density profile.

7.2.2 Pencil-Beam Deposition

The normalized deposition profile for flux surface ρ of a beamlet with tangency radius R_b and distance above the midplane z_b is

$$\begin{aligned} h^\pm(\rho, R_b, z_b) &= \frac{a^2}{2} \left[\frac{r_\rho \Delta'(\rho)}{2R_0} + \left(1 + \frac{\Delta(\rho)}{R_0} \right) \right]^{-1} \frac{R}{(R^2 - R_b^2)^{1/2}} \\ &\times \left\{ \left[r_\rho^2 - (z_b/E)^2 \right]^{-1/2} \pm \frac{\Delta'(\rho)}{\rho} \right\} \left\{ \exp(-D_0) + \gamma_d \exp[-(D_0 + 2D_1)] \right\} \frac{1}{\lambda(\rho)} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R &= R_0 + \Delta(\rho) \pm \left[\rho^2 - (z_b/E)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ D_0 &= D \left(R, R_0 + \left[a^2 - (z_b/E)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right) \\ D_1 &= D(R_b, R) \end{aligned}$$

The factor γ_d determines whether the second intersection of the beamlet with the flux surface is included. Thus, $\gamma_d = 1$ when

$$R_b > R_0 - \left[a^2 - (z_b/E)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

and the beam intersects the outside wall (tangential injection), and $\gamma_d = 0$ when

$$R_b < R_0 - \left[a^2 - (z_b/E)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

and the beam intersects the inside wall (perpendicular injection).

The beam decrement along the beamlet path between major radii R_1 and R_2 is

$$D(R_1, R_2) = \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \frac{R' dR'}{(R'^2 - R_b^2)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\lambda(\rho')}$$

where the mean free path λ is evaluated at the ρ' for which

$$r_\rho(\rho') = \left\{ \left[R' - (R_0 + \Delta(\rho')) \right]^2 + (z_b/E)^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

The local mean free path (λ) for ionization of the injected neutral is

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(\rho)} = \frac{n_e \langle \sigma_e v \rangle_{\text{ion}}}{v_f} + n_e \sigma_{\text{cx}}(v_f) + n_e \sigma_i(v_f)$$

where $v_f = (2E_{j,k}/m_f)^{1/2}$ is the fast neutral velocity. The terms give neutral ionization due to electron impact (σ_e), hydrogenic-ion charge exchange (σ_{cx}) and impact (σ_i), and impurity charge exchange and impact. The hydrogenic-ion and electron cross sections are given by Riviere [35]. The impurity cross sections are taken to be Z_k times the hydrogenic-ion cross sections [36] where Z_k is the charge state of impurity species k . Impact and charge-exchange ionization by helium ions and fast ions are also included in the above expression for $\lambda(\rho)$.

7.3 FAST-ION THERMALIZATION

Thermalization of injected fast ions is modeled using the moments solution of the fast-ion Fokker-Planck equation as described by Callen et al. [37].

7.3.1 Moments of Fokker-Planck Equation

The moments of the fast-ion velocity distribution are given for each energy component j of each ion source k . The fraction of the initial fast-ion energy deposited in the plasma electrons is

$$G_{e,j,k} = \frac{2}{v_f^2} \int_{v(t)}^{v_f} v dv \frac{v^3}{v^3 + v_e^3} p_{\text{cx}}(v)$$

The fraction of the initial fast-ion energy deposited in the plasma ions is

$$G_{i,j,k} = \frac{2}{v_f^2} \int_{v(t)}^{v_f} v dv \frac{v_e^3}{v^3 + v_e^3} p_{\text{cx}}(v)$$

The fraction of the initial fast-ion toroidal momentum deposited in the plasma electrons is

$$K_{e,j,k} = \frac{1}{v_f} \int_{v(t)}^{v_f} dv \frac{v^3}{v^3 + v_e^3} p_{\text{cx}}(v) b(v)$$

The fraction of the initial fast-ion toroidal momentum deposited in all plasma ions (hydrogenic and impurities) is

$$K_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{v_f} \int_{v(t)}^{v_f} dv \frac{v_e^3}{v^3 + v_e^3} \left(1 + \frac{m_p}{m_f} \frac{Z_{\text{eff}}}{[Z]} \right) p_{\text{cx}}(v) b(v)$$

The fractions of the initial fast-ion toroidal momentum deposited in the hydrogenic ions ($K_{Hj,k}$) and in the impurities ($K_{Ij,k}$) are

$$K_{Hj,k} = \frac{2n_H}{n_e(Z_{\text{eff}} + [Z])} K_{i,j,k}; \quad K_{Ij,k} = K_{i,j,k} - K_{Hj,k}$$

The pitch-angle scattering term that appears in odd moments is

$$b(v) = \left(\frac{v_f^3 + v_e^3}{v^3 + v_e^3} \frac{v^3}{v_f^3} \right)^{[(1/3)(m_p/m_f)(Z_{\text{eff}}/[Z])]}$$

The stacked fast-ion density moment is

$$N_{j,k} = \int_{v(t)}^{v_f} dv \frac{v^2}{v^3 + v_c^3} p_{cx}(v)$$

The deuterium-tritium beam-plasma fusion moment is

$$R_{bp,j,k} = \tau_s \int_{v(t)}^{v_f} dv \frac{v^3}{v^3 + v_c^3} p_{cx}(v) \sigma_{DT}(v)$$

The fast-ion perpendicular pressure moment is

$$P_{\perp,j,k} = \frac{2}{3v_f^2} \int_{v(t)}^{v_f} v dv \frac{v^3}{v^3 + v_c^3} p_{cx}(v) \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}(3\xi_0^2 - 1)b^3(v) \right]$$

The fast-ion parallel pressure moment is

$$P_{\parallel,j,k} = \frac{2}{3v_f^2} \int_{v(t)}^{v_f} v dv \frac{v^3}{v^3 + v_c^3} p_{cx}(v) \left[1 + (3\xi_0^2 - 1)b^3(v) \right]$$

The probability that a fast ion will slow down to velocity v without undergoing charge exchange on the background neutral density n_0 (5.1.5) is

$$p_{cx}(v) = \left(\frac{v_f^3 + v_c^3}{v^3 + v_c^3} \right)^{-\tau_s/3\tau_{cx}}$$

$$\tau_{cx} = \frac{1}{n_0 v_f \sigma_{cx}(v_f)}$$

where $\sigma_{cx}(v_f)$ is the charge-exchange cross section at the initial fast-ion velocity. The fast-ion velocity at time t after beam turn-on is

$$v(t) = \left[v_f^3 e^{-3t/\tau_s} - v_c^3 (1 - e^{-3t/\tau_s}) \right]^{1/3}, \quad t \leq \tau_f$$

$$= v_{T_i}, \quad t > \tau_f$$

where $v(t)$ is used only to approximate the finite initial stacking time after initial beam turn-on. The fast-ion lifetime is

$$\tau_f = \frac{\tau_s}{3} \ln \left[\frac{1 + (v_f/v_c)^3}{1 + (v_{T_i}/v_c)^3} \right]$$

The fast-ion slowing-down time (in seconds) is

$$\tau_s = 0.12 \frac{(T_e/1 \text{ keV})^{3/2} m_f}{(n_e/10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}) Z_f^2 m_p}$$

The initial and final fast-ion velocities are

$$v_f = \left(\frac{2E_{j,k}}{m_f} \right)^{1/2}; \quad v_{T_i} = \left(\frac{2T_i}{m_f} \right)^{1/2}$$

The critical velocity and energy are

$$v_c = \left(\frac{2E_c}{m_f} \right)^{1/2} ; \quad E_c = T_e \left(\frac{9\pi m_p}{16 m_e} \right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{m_f}{m_p} \right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{m_f[Z]}{m_p} \right)^{2/3}$$

The cosine of the initial pitch angle for source k is

$$\xi_0 = \frac{R_{c,k}}{R_0 + r_\rho}$$

7.3.2 Complete Fokker-Planck Equation

For most cases, the steady-state moments solution to the fast-ion Fokker-Planck equation (7.1, 7.3.1) is sufficiently accurate and gives essentially the same plasma source profiles as the time-dependent solution described here. When the time dependence of the fast-ion velocity distribution function is important, the solution described by Fowler, Smith, and Rome [38] (referred to in this section as FSR) is used. This solution assumes that fast ions slow down on their birth flux surfaces and solves the time-dependent Fokker-Planck equation in velocity space at each radius. The model and method of solution are described in detail by FSR, and only the method of interfacing their solution with the transport model is described here.

The fast-ion source rate due to beam-energy component j and injector source k on flux surface ρ is

$$\dot{n}_{f,j,k}^\pm = \frac{I_{j,k}}{eV_p} H_{j,k}^\pm(\rho)$$

$$\cos \theta_{j,k}^\pm = \frac{\Theta_k R_{c,k}}{R_0 \pm r_\rho}$$

where $I_{j,k}$ is the beam current, V_p is the plasma volume, and $H_{j,k}^\pm$ is the normalized deposition profile (7.2.1) for the outer (H^+) and inner (H^-) intersection of the beam with the flux surface ρ . The corresponding initial pitch angle for each source is $\theta_{j,k}^\pm$ where $\Theta_k = 1$ (-1) for co-injection (counter-injection). The source term and initial pitch angle appear in Eqs. (2.1) and (2.14) of FSR, respectively.

The resulting plasma source terms are given by appropriate moments of the calculated fast-ion distribution function. The following equations from FSR define the moments of the fast-ion distribution function on the right-hand side of each equation. Equation numbers from FSR are given in parentheses.

The electron heating source is

$$q_{\text{inj}}^e(\rho) = -Q_e - P_D^e \quad (4.13, 4.11)$$

The ion heating source is

$$q_{\text{inj}}^i(\rho) = -Q_i - P_D^i \quad (4.12, 4.10)$$

The fast-ion density is

$$n_b(\rho) = D_s \quad (4.2)$$

The source of plasma ions due to thermalized fast ions is

$$s_{\text{inj}}(\rho) = -D_{\text{drag}}^i - D_{\text{drag}}^e - D_E \quad (4.4, 4.5, 4.6)$$

The toroidal current density perturbation (including the shielding response of plasma electrons) due to fast ions is

$$J_b(\rho) = \tau_s Z_f \frac{e}{m_f} (1 - Z_f/Z_{\text{eff}}) (-R_{\text{drag}}^e) \quad (4.21)$$

The source of toroidal momentum for the plasma (electrons and all ions) is

$$\dot{p}_\phi^T(\rho) = -R_{\text{drag}}^i - R_{\text{drag}}^e - R_A \quad (4.20, 4.21, 4.22)$$

The fast-ion parallel ($p_{b\parallel}$) and perpendicular ($p_{b\perp}$) pressures are also calculated:

$$p_{b\perp}(\rho) = E_0 v_0^3 \int x^2 \cos^2 \theta f d^3x$$

$$p_{b\parallel}(\rho) = 2E_0 v_0^3 \int x^2 \sin^2 \theta f d^3x$$

where the notation follows that in Sect. 4 of FSR.

8 WAVE HEATING

8.1 ELECTRON CYCLOTRON RESONANCE

Two models for electron-cyclotron resonance heating are used. The resonance layer model is appropriate for axisymmetric devices, while the multireflection model is used for torsatron modeling.

8.1.1 Resonance Layer Model

A simple model for electron-cyclotron resonance heating is included by assuming that a specified amount of power P_{ec} at frequency ν_{ec} is absorbed on a resonance surface at major radius R_{ec} , where

$$R_{ec} = \frac{e}{m_e c} \frac{R_0 B_T}{2\pi \nu_{ec}}$$

The absorbed power is assumed to have a Gaussian distribution in major radius about R_{ec} with a half-width Δ_{ec} . Equal power is absorbed by each electron, and the deposition is therefore proportional to the local electron density. In addition, no power is absorbed inside the outermost flux surface (at $\rho = \rho_c$), where the wave frequency equals the electron plasma frequency. This occurs when the plasma electron density n_e equals the cutoff density n_c , where

$$n_c = \frac{m_e}{4\pi e^2} (2\pi \nu_{ec})^2$$

With these assumptions, the flux-surface-integrated heating profile for $\rho \geq \rho_c$ is proportional to $f(\rho)$, where

$$f(\rho) = n_e(\rho) \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left[-\left(R(\rho, \theta) - R_{ec} \right)^2 / 2\Delta_{ec}^2 \right] \sqrt{g} d\theta$$

and $f(\rho) = 0$ in the region $\rho < \rho_c$. The major radius $R(\rho, \theta)$ (6.1.6) and the volume element $\sqrt{g}$ (6.3) are defined above. The normalized heating profile is

$$h_{ec}(\rho) = f(\rho) / \left(\frac{1}{V_p} \int_0^a f(\rho') V_\rho'(\rho') d\rho' \right)$$

where V_p is the total plasma volume (6.3). This normalization ensures that the entire power P_{ec} is absorbed; that is, the power excluded from the resonance layer inside the cutoff region is added to the absorbed power outside this region. The resulting electron heating profile is

$$q_{ec}(\rho) = \frac{P_{ec}}{V_p} h_{ec}(\rho)$$

8.1.2 Multireflection Model

The heating profile q_{ec} is approximated by a linear-absorption, multireflection model for second harmonic heating [39]. The shape of the absorption profile is assumed to be proportional to the product $f(\rho)$ of the local electron density and temperature. No power is

absorbed inside the outermost flux surface (at $\rho = \rho_c$) where the wave frequency ν_{ec} equals the cutoff frequency for the extraordinary mode. This occurs for

$$\begin{aligned} n_e &= n_c/2 \\ n_c &= \frac{m_e}{4\pi e^2} (2\pi\nu_{ec})^2 \end{aligned}$$

where n_c is the density where the wave frequency equals the plasma frequency. The factor of $1/2$ results from assuming that the wave frequency is twice the electron cyclotron frequency.

The normalized heating profile is

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ec}(\rho) &= \begin{cases} f(\rho) / \left(\frac{1}{V_p} \int_0^a f(\rho') V_p'(\rho') d\rho' \right) & \rho > \rho_c \\ 0 & \rho < \rho_c \end{cases} \\ f(\rho) &= n_e(\rho) T_e(\rho) \\ \gamma_{abs} &= \frac{1}{V_p} \int_{\rho_c}^a h_{ec}(\rho') V_p'(\rho') d\rho' \end{aligned}$$

where γ_{abs} is the fraction of the normalized deposition that is not cut off (i.e., $\gamma_{abs} = 1$ if there is no cutoff).

The fraction of the incident power absorbed by the plasma f_{abs} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{abs} &= F_1 + \frac{F^p(1 - F^w)(1 - F_1)}{1 - (1 - F^w)(1 - F^p)} \\ F_1 &= 2 \times 10^{-4} (n_{e0}/10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}) T_{e0}(\text{eV}) \\ F^p &= 6.9 \times 10^{-5} \gamma_{abs}^2 (n_{e0}/10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}) T_{e0}(\text{eV}) \\ F^w &= 0.02 \end{aligned}$$

where F_1 is the fraction of the wave absorbed on the first pass through the plasma, F^p is the fraction absorbed on subsequent passes after reflection from the wall, and F^w is the fraction absorbed by the wall on each reflection. The fractions depend on the central ($\rho = 0$) values of the electron density n_{e0} and temperature T_{e0} .

The resulting heating profile is

$$q_{ec}(\rho) = \frac{P_{ec} f_{abs}}{V_p} h_{ec}(\rho)$$

Note that the total absorbed power is less than the fraction f_{abs} of the incident power when a cutoff is present ($\rho_c > 0$), since the power not absorbed inside the cutoff radius is not assumed to be absorbed outside the cutoff radius.

8.2 ION CYCLOTRON RESONANCE

Provision is made for an arbitrary heating profile to represent ion-cyclotron heating of plasma electrons and ions. The heating profiles are given by

$$\begin{aligned} q_{ic}^e(\rho) &= \frac{f_{ic}^e P_{ic}}{V_p} h_{ic}(\rho) \\ q_{ic}^i(\rho) &= \frac{f_{ic}^i P_{ic}}{V_p} h_{ic}(\rho) \\ h_{ic}(\rho) &= f(\rho) \left/ \left(\frac{1}{V_p} \int_0^a f(\rho') V'(\rho') d\rho' \right) \right. \\ f(\rho) &= \exp \left[-(\rho/\Delta_{ic})^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

where the total power P_{ic} , the profile width Δ_{ic} , and the fraction of the power absorbed by the electrons f_{ic}^e and ions f_{ic}^i (where $f_{ic}^e + f_{ic}^i = 1$) are specified arbitrarily.

9 FUSION

Heating of the plasma by the thermalization of the alpha particles produced by deuterium-tritium (D-T) fusion is included in the model. The alpha particles are assumed to slow down on their birth flux surface; the thermalized particle is introduced as a source term in the helium-ion particle transport equation (2.1.2).

9.1 PLASMA FAST-ALPHA HEATING

The heating source rate of plasma electrons (q_{fus}^e) and ions (q_{fus}^i) due to fast-alpha slowing-down is given by

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\text{fus}}^e(\rho) &= E_\alpha (S_{\text{fus}}(\rho) + S_{\text{bp}}(\rho)) G_{\text{fus}}^e \\ q_{\text{fus}}^i(\rho) &= E_\alpha (S_{\text{fus}}(\rho) + S_{\text{bp}}(\rho)) G_{\text{fus}}^i \end{aligned}$$

where E_α is the initial alpha energy, S_{fus} is the thermal D-T fusion rate (9.2), S_{bp} is the beam-plasma D-T fusion rate (9.3), and the fractions of the fast-alpha energy deposited in electrons (G_{fus}^e) and in ions (G_{fus}^i) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\text{fus}}^e &= \frac{2}{v_\alpha^2} \int_0^{v_\alpha} v dv \frac{v^3}{v^3 + v_{c\alpha}^3} \\ G_{\text{fus}}^i &= 1 - G_{\text{fus}}^e \\ v_\alpha &= (2E_\alpha/m_\alpha)^{1/2} \\ v_{c\alpha} &= (2E_{c\alpha}/m_\alpha)^{1/2}; \quad E_{c\alpha} = T_e \left(\frac{9\pi}{16} \frac{m_p}{m_e} \right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{m_\alpha}{m_p} \right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{m_\alpha[Z]}{m_p} \right)^{2/3} \end{aligned}$$

where the initial alpha velocity is v_α , the alpha critical energy is $E_{c\alpha}$, and the alpha particle mass is m_α .

9.2 THERMAL FUSION RATE

The rate of thermal D-T fusion S_{fus} is

$$S_{\text{fus}}(\rho) = n_{H1} n_{H2} \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{DT}}$$

where n_{H1} and n_{H2} are the hydrogenic-ion species (one of which is deuterium; the other, tritium) and $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{DT}}$ is the D-T reaction rate.

9.3 BEAM-PLASMA FUSION RATE

The rate of beam-plasma D-T fusion S_{bp} is

$$S_{\text{bp}}(\rho) = n_{Hp} \sum_{j,k} \frac{I_{j,k}}{eV_p} H_{j,k}(\rho) R_{\text{bp},j,k}(\rho)$$

where the sum is over the injector sources (k) and energy components (j). $I_{j,k}$ is the beam current of component j, k , V_p is the plasma volume, $H_{j,k}$ is the injected-neutral ionization

profile (7.2), and R_{bp} gives the fusion rate averaged over the slowing-down spectrum (7.3.1). n_{Hp} is the density of the plasma-ion species that is not the fast-ion species. Normally, deuterium is injected into a D-T mixture, so that n_{Hp} is the tritium density.

10 PELLET FUELING

Fueling of the plasma by pellet injection is included either by injection of discrete pellets or with a continuous fueling source that simulates injection of many pellets closely spaced in time.

10.1 ABLATION MODEL

Pellets are injected in the midplane of the torus and perpendicular to the magnetic axis (inward from the outside along a major radial chord). The pellet ablation model calculates the pellet radius $r_p(t)$ as a function of the time t since the pellet entered the plasma edge. The plasma profiles $T_e(\rho)$ and $n_e(\rho)$ are transformed to $T_e(t)$ and $n_e(t)$ with the transformations

$$t = \frac{s(\rho)}{v_p}$$

$$s(\rho) = \begin{cases} s^+(\rho), & t < s_0/v_p \\ s^-(\rho), & t > s_0/v_p \end{cases}$$

$$s_0 = s^\pm(\rho = 0)$$

where $s(\rho)$ is the distance the pellet has traveled within the plasma after time t , v_p is the pellet velocity, and $+$ ($-$) refers to the outer (inner) intersection of the pellet with flux surface ρ .

For the shifted-ellipse, axisymmetric geometry (6.5), the chord lengths are

$$s^\pm(\rho) = R_0 + a + \Delta(a) - r^\pm(\rho)$$

$$r^\pm(\rho) = R_0 \pm r_p(\rho) + \Delta(\rho)$$

while for the more general 3-D case, the chord lengths are determined by a chord-tracking algorithm [40].

The solution for $dr_p(t)/dt = f(T_e(t), n_e(t), \dots)$ is obtained from the shielded-pellet ablation model of Milora et al. [41] using the method described by Houlberg et al. [42]. The resulting increase in plasma ion density is

$$\Delta n_p(\rho) = \frac{2n_{\text{pell}}}{V'_\rho v_p} \sum_{\pm} \left[-4\pi r_p^2(t^\pm) \frac{dr_p(t^\pm)}{dt} \right] \frac{ds^\pm}{d\rho}$$

$$t^\pm = \frac{s^\pm(\rho)}{v_p}$$

where the sum is over the two intersections of the pellet with flux surface ρ (at times t^+ and t^-), $s^\pm(\rho)$ is defined above, and n_{pell} is the molecular density of the frozen pellet.

10.2 DISCRETE PELLET FUELING

At the time of injection of a discrete pellet, the transport evolution of the plasma is stopped, the pellet deposition profile (Δn_p) is calculated (10.1), the plasma densities and temperatures are incremented as shown below, and the transport evolution is restarted using the incremented plasma parameters as initial conditions. Let the plasma parameters

before (after) injection be unprimed (primed). The ion density of the pellet species j and the plasma electron density are incremented by the pellet deposition profile:

$$n'_{Hj} = n_{Hj} + \Delta n_p; \quad n'_e = n_e + \Delta n_p$$

and the plasma temperatures are decreased adiabatically,

$$T'_i = \frac{n_T T_i}{n'_T}; \quad T'_e = \frac{n_e T_e}{n'_e}$$

where n_T is the total ion density (2.1.6).

10.3 CONTINUOUS PELLET FUELING

If pellets are injected frequently enough, the fueling is considered to be continuous, and the pellet ablation profile Δn_p is used to calculate a normalized fueling profile,

$$h_{pj}(\rho) = \Delta n_p(\rho) / \left(\frac{1}{V_p} \int_0^a \Delta n_p(\rho') V'_\rho(\rho') d\rho' \right)$$

The plasma fueling profile that appears in the particle transport equation of the fueled hydrogenic-ion density (2.1.1) is

$$S_{Hj}(\rho) = \frac{1}{V_p} S_{pj} h_{pj}(\rho)$$

where $S_{pj}(t)$ is the rate of total particle input by pellets, which may be arbitrarily specified or controlled by feedback (11.1) to obtain a desired plasma density.

11 FEEDBACK CONTROL

Feedback control is used to allow a complicated transport model to evolve to a desired state without repeated trial-and-error attempts. Feedback is used in PROCTR (1) to obtain a desired plasma density, (2) to obtain a desired level of fusion power in a burning-plasma simulation, and (3) to match an experimental value for the charge-exchange ion temperature. The feedback equations used in PROCTR are all of the same basic type. If the variable to be controlled is X and the variable to be continually adjusted in the model is y , then the feedback equation used is

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = C \left(\frac{X - X_0}{\tau} + \beta \frac{dX}{dt} \right)$$

where X_0 is the desired value of X . The first term on the right causes y to change if X is different from X_0 , where the sign of the constant C is chosen to ensure that y changes in the correct sense to drive X toward X_0 . The second term is a damping term that prevents X from oscillating around X_0 . Choosing τ to be between $5\Delta t_M$ (Δt_M is the maximum timestep) and the expected rate of change of X , $\beta = 2$, and C to be about the expected $\delta y / \delta X$ usually is a good starting point for empirically determining a stable set of feedback parameters. The several places in the model where a feedback equation has proved useful are described in this section.

11.1 PLASMA DENSITY CONTROL BY GAS FEED RATE

To obtain a desired plasma density, the external gas feed rate of plasma species j , S_{Gj} , is controlled by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_{Gj}}{dt} &= -\frac{V_p}{\tau_G} \left(\frac{\langle n_{Hj} \rangle - n_{sj}}{\tau_G} + \beta_G \frac{d\langle n_{Hj} \rangle}{dt} \right) \\ \langle n_{Hj} \rangle &= \frac{1}{V_p} \int_0^a n_{Hj} V'_\rho d\rho \end{aligned}$$

where V_p is the plasma volume, n_{sj} is the desired volume-average density for species j , $\langle n_{Hj} \rangle$ is the model value of the volume-average density, $\beta_G = 2$, and τ_G is usually chosen to be $5\Delta t_M$ (where Δt_M is the maximum timestep). Separate control of the density of each plasma ion species is especially desirable in burning-plasma simulations. A similar equation is used to obtain a desired line-average electron density in a nonburning plasma. The fueling rate that results from this feedback equation may be used either as a gas rate S_{Gj} (5.1.1) or as an equivalent continuous pellet fueling rate S_{Pj} (10.3).

11.2 LINE DENSITY CONTROL BY PARTICLE DIFFUSIVITY

In simulating an actual plasma shot, the measured gas feed rate and the complete wall-recycle model are used. Therefore, the level of plasma diffusivity is continuously adjusted to obtain the measured time-dependent line density. The feedback equation for the diffusivity multiplier $C_D(t)$ is

$$\frac{dC_D}{dt} = \frac{\partial C_D}{\partial n} \left(\frac{n_e - n_e^s}{\tau_D} + \beta_D \frac{d(n_e - n_e^s)}{dt} \right)$$

where $n_e^s(t)$ is the measured line-average density and $n_e(t)$ is the modeled line-average density. Good results are obtained by choosing $\tau_D = 5\Delta t_M$ (where Δt_M is the maximum

timestep), $\beta_D = 2$, and the constant $\partial C_D / \partial n$ equal to the ratio of a change in diffusivity to the resulting change in line density. The resulting $C_D(t)$ shows the required temporal dependence for a realistic model of diffusivity. Testing of predictive models for plasma particle transport is reduced by this feedback equation to finding a model for particle diffusivity that gives $C_D(t) \simeq \text{constant}$.

11.3 LINE DENSITY CONTROL BY LIMITER RECYCLING

It is sometimes interesting to examine the time dependence of the limiter recycling coefficient R_L (5.1.1) necessary for a given particle transport model to reproduce the measured line-density evolution during a given plasma shot. The feedback equation for this case is

$$\frac{dR_L}{dt} = -\frac{\partial R_L}{\partial n} \left(\frac{\bar{n}_e - \bar{n}_e^s}{\tau_L} + \beta_L \frac{d(\bar{n}_e - \bar{n}_e^s)}{dt} \right)$$

where $\bar{n}_e$ and $\bar{n}_e^s$ are the modeled and measured line-average electron densities and the constants are chosen as described above.

11.4 FUSION POWER CONTROL BY VARIABLE DENSITY

The fusion power produced by a burning plasma simulation may be controlled by changing the fuel density. This control involves the use of two feedback equations. The desired plasma density of species j , n_{sj} , is governed by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dn_{sj}}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial n}{\partial P} \left(\frac{P_{\text{fus}} - P_{\text{set}}}{\tau_d} + \beta_d \frac{dP_{\text{fus}}}{dt} \right) \\ P_{\text{fus}} &= E_n \int_0^a (S_{\text{fus}}(\rho) + S_{\text{bp}}(\rho)) V_\rho' d\rho \end{aligned}$$

where P_{fus} is the modeled fusion power, which is the volume integral of the sum of the thermal fusion rate S_{fus} (9.2) and the beam-plasma fusion rate S_{bp} (9.3). P_{set} is the desired fusion power, assuming an energy E_n per fusion event. The resulting time-dependent n_{sj} is used as the desired value for the fuelling rate feedback equation (11.1).

11.5 FUSION POWER CONTROL BY TOROIDAL FIELD RIPPLE

The fusion power may also be controlled by changing the plasma temperature at a fixed plasma density. One way to change the temperature is to control the ion heat conductivity by imposing a time-variable toroidal magnetic field ripple. The feedback equation for the edge ripple δ_0 is

$$\frac{d\delta_0}{dt} = \frac{\partial \delta_0}{\partial P} \left(\frac{P_{\text{fus}} - P_{\text{set}}}{\tau_{\text{rip}}} + \beta_{\text{rip}} \frac{dP_{\text{fus}}}{dt} \right)$$

where P_{fus} and P_{set} are defined above (11.4). The resulting ripple is used in the ion heat conductivity χ_i^{rip} (2.2.6) by letting the average ripple on each flux surface δ_R be

$$\delta_R(\rho, t) = \delta_0(t) f_R(\rho)$$

where f_R is the spatial profile of the ripple.

11.6 ION TEMPERATURE CONTROL BY ION CONDUCTIVITY

The simulated charge-exchange ion temperature T_i^{ex} (12.1.9) may be made to equal a given value T_i^{app} by adjusting the level of ion heat conduction with the feedback equation

$$\frac{dC_\chi}{dt} = \frac{\partial C_\chi}{\partial T_i} \left(\frac{T_i^{\text{ex}} - T_i^{\text{app}}}{\tau_\chi} \right)$$

where C_χ is the χ_i multiplier and τ_χ is the feedback response time. The feedback technique works best for C_χ if the damping factor $\beta_\chi = 0$.

12 DIAGNOSTICS

12.1 DIAGNOSTIC VALUES FOR EXPERIMENTAL COMPARISON

For comparison of the model with experimental data, plasma parameters measured by experimental diagnostics are calculated. The definitions of common parameters used for comparison between the modeled and measured plasmas are given in this section. Model parameters are defined in the sections given in the index.

12.1.1 Line-Average Density

For shifted-ellipse, axisymmetric geometry (6.5), the line-average electron density measured along a vertical chord at major radius R_F is

$$\bar{n}_e^{\text{FIR}} = \frac{2}{L_c} \int_0^a d\rho n_e(\rho) \frac{dz}{d\rho}$$

$$z(\rho) = E \left[r_\rho^2 - \left(R_F - R_0 + \Delta(\rho) \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

where L_c is the total chord length used to define $\bar{n}_e$ experimentally.

The horizontal line-average electron density measured in the plasma midplane is

$$\bar{n}_e = \frac{2}{L_c} \int_0^a n_e(\rho) r'_\rho d\rho$$

For the more general 3-D geometry or for an arbitrary chord in the axisymmetric case, the line density for any chord is

$$\bar{n}_e = \frac{1}{L_c} \int n_e ds$$

where the chord mapping $s(\rho)$ is derived with a chord-tracking algorithm [40].

12.1.2 Loop Voltage

The loop voltage is defined as the average between the inner and the outer voltage drops around the plasma. Thus,

$$V_L = 2\pi R_0 E_z(\rho = a)$$

where $E_z(\rho = a)$ (2.1.7) is the average toroidal electric field at the plasma edge.

12.1.3 Beta

The average pressure, including the fast-ion component, is given by

$$\bar{p}_j = \frac{1}{V_s} \int_0^{a_s} p_j(\rho) V'_\rho d\rho$$

where V_s is the plasma volume enclosed within the confined plasma region excluding the scrape-off,

$$V_s = \int_0^{a_s} V'_\rho d\rho$$

The parallel ($\mu = \parallel$) and perpendicular ($\mu = \perp$) pressures are

$$p_\mu(\rho) = n_e T_e + n_T T_i + p_{b\mu}$$

where the fast-ion pressures $p_{b\mu}$ are defined above (7.1).

Toroidal (β_T) and poloidal (β_I) betas are defined by

$$\beta_T = \frac{(\bar{p}_\parallel + \bar{p}_\perp)/2}{B_T^2/2\mu_0}$$

$$\beta_I = \frac{E^2 + 1}{2} \frac{(\bar{p}_\parallel + \bar{p}_\perp)/2}{\tilde{B}_p^2/2\mu_0}$$

where β_I is often referred to as the “engineering beta” and

$$\tilde{B}_p = \frac{\mu_0 I_T}{2\pi r_s}$$

Perpendicular beta as measured by a diamagnetic loop is

$$\beta_\perp = \frac{E^2 + 1}{2} \frac{\bar{p}_\perp}{\tilde{B}_p^2/2\mu_0}$$

The actual value of poloidal beta (“MHD beta”) is

$$\beta_J = \frac{(\bar{p}_\parallel + \bar{p}_\perp)/2}{B_\theta^2/2\mu_0}$$

$$B_\theta^2 = (\mu_0 I_T/2\pi)^2 (\langle \sqrt{g} \rangle_\theta \langle g_{\theta\theta}/\sqrt{g} \rangle_\theta)^{-1}$$

where the metrics g are defined above (6.5).

12.1.4 Energy Confinement Time

The energy confinement time that is usually determined experimentally (“gross confinement time”) is the ratio of the stored energy (determined magnetically) to the total power incident on the plasma. This time is

$$\tau_E^* = \frac{W}{P_{oh} + P_{inj} - \dot{W}}$$

$$W = \frac{3}{4}(\bar{p}_\parallel + \bar{p}_\perp)$$

$$P_{oh} = \int_0^{a_s} E_z J_z V_\rho' d\rho$$

$$P_{inj} = \frac{1}{e} \sum_{j,k} I_{j,k} E_{j,k}$$

where W is the stored energy excluding the scrape-off, P_{oh} is ohmic heating power corrected for inductance, and P_{inj} is the total neutral beam heating power delivered to the torus.

12.1.5 Internal Inductance

The plasma internal inductance is

$$\ell_i = \frac{2\mu_0}{B_\theta^2(a_s)} \frac{1}{V_s} \int_0^{a_s} (B_\theta^2(\rho)/2\mu_0) V'_\rho d\rho$$

where B_θ^2 and V_s are defined above (12.1.3).

12.1.6 Safety Factor

A simplified definition of the safety factor ("engineering q ") is

$$q_I = \frac{E^2 + 1}{2} \frac{r_s B_T}{R_0 \tilde{B}_p}$$

where $\tilde{B}_p$ is defined above (12.1.3).

The complete safety factor is used in the transport model; thus

$$q_\psi = q(\rho = a_s)$$

where $q(\rho)$ is defined above (2.2.1).

12.1.7 Neutron Rate

The thermal neutron rate for a deuterium plasma is used to infer the ion temperature. The total emission rate of neutrons from the plasma is

$$R_{DD} = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^a n_D^2 \langle \sigma v \rangle_{DD} V'_\rho d\rho$$

where n_D is the density of deuterons in the plasma and $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{DD}$ is the total reaction rate with the branching ratio assumed to be 1/2.

12.1.8 Radiated Power

The total power radiated by impurities in the plasma is

$$P_{\text{rad}} = \int_0^a q_{\text{rad}}(\rho) V'_\rho d\rho$$

For comparison with bolometer measurements, the charge-exchange and radiation losses from hydrogenic neutrals (5.1.4) must also be considered.

12.1.9 Charge-Exchange Ion Temperature

The calculation of an apparent ion temperature from a measured charge-exchange spectrum is described by Davis, Mueller, and Keane [43]. The charge-exchange flux at energy

E for hydrogenic-ion species j is

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\text{cx}}(E) &= \int_0^{s_m} ds \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{cx}} n_0 n_{Hj} g_+ e^{-D(s)} \\ \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{cx}} &= \sigma_{\text{cx}}(v_E) v_E, \quad v_E = (2E/m_{Hj})^{1/2} \\ g_+(E) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{E^{1/2}}{T_i^{3/2}} e^{-E/T_i} \\ D(s) &= \int_0^s \frac{ds'}{\lambda(s')} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} &= \frac{n_e \langle \sigma v \rangle_e}{v_E} + n_T (\sigma_{\text{cx}}(v_E) + \sigma_i(v_E))\end{aligned}$$

where the values of the plasma parameters on surface ρ at a distance $s(\rho)$ along the viewing chord are calculated from the magnetic geometry with a chord-tracking algorithm [40].

The apparent ion temperature T_i^{cx} is given by a least-squares fit of the function

$$y(E) = y_0 - E/T_i^{\text{cx}}$$

to the calculated values

$$y_i = \ln \left(E_i^{-1/2} \Gamma_{\text{cx}}(E_i) \right)$$

where the spectrum is measured at the energies E_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, T_i^{cx} is given by

$$T_i^{\text{cx}} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_i E_i \right)^2 - \sum_i E_i^2 \right) / \left(\sum_i y_i E_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_i E_i \sum_i y_i \right)$$

12.1.10 Spectroscopic Lines

The line emission S_{obs}^ℓ observed by a spectrometer for a line emitted by charge state q_ℓ of impurity species j is given by

$$S_{\text{obs}}^\ell = \int_0^{s_m} ds n_e n_{Ij}^{q_\ell} b_r^\ell \langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{ex}}^\ell$$

where the values of the plasma parameters on surface ρ at a distance $s(\rho)$ along the viewing chord are calculated from the magnetic geometry with a chord-tracking algorithm [40]. b_r^ℓ is the branching ratio and $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{\text{ex}}^\ell$ is the excitation rate for the observed line.

12.2 INTEGRATED BALANCES

The volume integrals of the terms in the transport equations are computed for printing and plotting and for use in estimating confinement time and transport coefficients. In this section, the notation used for these balances is detailed and the derived confinement parameters are defined. The neoclassical fluxes appropriate for a nonaxisymmetric plasma, which are used for comparison with the experimentally inferred fluxes, are also given.

If $f(\rho)$ represents a term in a transport equation, then the corresponding volume-integrated value $F(\rho)$ is

$$F(\rho) = C_u \int_0^\rho f(\rho') V_\rho'(\rho') d\rho'$$

where C_u is a constant which properly converts the units.

The units used in the transport model are centimeters (distance), milliseconds (time), electron volts (energy and temperature), and amperes (current).

The integrated hydrogenic ion density equation (2.1.1) is in number per second and $C_u = 10^3$ ms/s. The terms for species j , in the order in which they appear in (2.1.1), are neutral ionization minus fusion loss $\dot{N}_{\text{ion}}^j$, diffusion $\dot{N}_{\text{conv}}^j$, convective flow $\dot{N}_{\text{pnch}}^j$, fueling due to thermalized fast ions $\dot{N}_{\text{beam}}^j$, and parallel loss in the scrape-off layer $\dot{N}_{\text{sol}}^j$.

When the ion density equation is not solved, the definition of Γ_{Hj} given at the end of Section (2.1.1) applies and

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{N}_{\text{conv}}^j &= -(\dot{N}_{\text{ion}}^j + \dot{N}_{\text{beam}}^j - \dot{N}_{\text{sol}}^j) \\ \dot{N}_{\text{pnch}}^j &= 0\end{aligned}$$

The integrated electron temperature equation (2.1.5) gives the electron power balance in kilowatts, and $C_u = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ joule/eV. The terms, in the order in which they appear in (2.1.5), are neutral beam heating of electrons P_{inj}^e , heat conduction P_{cond}^e , convection P_{conv}^e , electron-ion thermalization P_{ei}^e , impurity radiation P_{rad}^e , neutral ionization P_{ion}^e , ohmic heating P_{oh}^e , wave heating P_{ec}^e , fusion heating P_{fus}^e , and parallel loss in the scrape-off layer P_{sol}^e .

When the T_e equation is not solved, the difference between the volume heating sources and volume plus convective losses is attributed to heat conduction; thus

$$P_{\text{cond}}^e = P_{\text{inj}}^e + P_{\text{oh}}^e + P_{\text{ec}}^e + P_{\text{fus}}^e - P_{\text{conv}}^e - P_{\text{ei}}^e - P_{\text{rad}}^e - P_{\text{ion}}^e - P_{\text{sol}}^e$$

The integrated ion temperature equation (2.1.6) gives the ion power balance in kilowatts and $C_u = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ joule/eV. The terms, in the order in which they appear in (2.1.6), are ion-electron thermalization P_{ei}^i , heat conduction P_{cond}^i , convection P_{conv}^i , thermal charge-exchange loss including reionization P_{cx}^i , neutral-beam ion heating P_{inj}^i , fusion heating P_{fus}^i , and parallel loss in the scrape-off layer P_{sol}^i .

When the T_i equation is not solved, the difference between the volume heating sources and volume plus convective losses is attributed to heat conduction; thus

$$P_{\text{cond}}^i = P_{\text{ei}}^i + P_{\text{inj}}^i + P_{\text{fus}}^i - P_{\text{conv}}^i - P_{\text{cx}}^i - P_{\text{sol}}^i$$

The energy confinement times for the plasma inside flux surface ρ are

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_E(\rho) &= \frac{W_e(\rho) + W_i(\rho)}{P_h^e + P_{\text{inj}}^i - \dot{W}_e - \dot{W}_i} \\ \tau_{Ee}(\rho) &= \frac{W_e(\rho)}{P_h^e - P_{\text{ei}}^e - \dot{W}_e} \\ \tau_{Ei}(\rho) &= \frac{W_i(\rho)}{P_{\text{ei}}^i + P_{\text{inj}}^i - \dot{W}_i}\end{aligned}$$

where the stored energies are

$$\begin{aligned}W_e(\rho) &= \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\rho n_e(\rho') T_e(\rho') V_\rho'(\rho') d\rho' \\ W_i(\rho) &= \frac{3}{2} \int_0^\rho \left(\sum_j n_{Hj}(\rho') + \sum_j n_{Lj}(\rho') \right) T_i(\rho') V_\rho'(\rho') d\rho'\end{aligned}$$

the total electron heating power is

$$P_h^e = P_{inj}^e + P_{oh}^e + P_{ec}^e$$

and the $\dot{W}$ terms are given by the volume integrals of the sums of the $\partial n/\partial t$ and $\partial T/\partial t$ terms in the T_e (2.1.5) and T_i (2.1.6) equations. The $\dot{W}$ terms are zero in profile analysis mode.

The total particle confinement time for flux surface ρ is defined by

$$\tau_p(\rho) = \frac{\sum_j N_{Hj}}{\sum_j (\dot{N}_{ion}^j + \dot{N}_{beam}^j + \dot{N}_{Hj})}$$

$$N_{Hj}(\rho) = \int_0^\rho n_{Hj}(\rho') V_\rho'(\rho') d\rho'$$

where $N_{Hj}(\rho)$ is the total number of ion of species j contained within surface ρ and $\dot{N}_{Hj}$ is the volume integral of the $\partial n_{Hj}/\partial t$ term in the hydrogenic-ion density equation (2.1.1).

The total rates of collisional transport of species a across flux surface ρ of particles $\dot{N}_a^{nc}$ and heat P_a^{nc} are given by

$$\dot{N}_a^{nc} = V_\rho' \langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi (\Gamma_a^s + \Gamma_a^{na})$$

$$P_a^{nc} = V_\rho' \langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi (q_a^s + q_a^{na})$$

where the nonaxisymmetric helical ripple transport fluxes (Γ_a^{na} and q_a^{na}) are given above (2.2.7). The axisymmetric neoclassical transport is given approximately by

$$\Gamma_a^s = -D_a^s \frac{\partial n_a}{\partial \rho}$$

$$q_a^s = -n_a \chi_a^s \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial \rho}$$

The transport coefficients D_a^s , χ_a^s are described by Hinton and Hazeltine [4].

The T_e profile may be used to infer an electron heat conduction coefficient $\chi_e^{inv}(\rho)$ with the assumption that the entire electron heat conduction flux is proportional to only the electron temperature gradient. The coefficient inferred from this profile inversion is

$$\chi_e^{inv}(\rho) = \frac{P_{cond}^e(\rho)}{-V_\rho' \langle (\nabla \rho)^2 \rangle_\psi n_e (\partial T_e / \partial \rho)}$$

where $P_{cond}^e(\rho)$ is the power flow across surface ρ due to electron heat conduction as defined above. Note that, while this inversion is used primarily when $T_e(\rho)$ is the (constant) measured value, the inversion may also be performed when the T_e equation is solved.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. I. Braginskii, "Transport Processes in a Plasma," in *Reviews of Plasma Physics*, vol. 1, pp. 205-309, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1965.
- [2] J. Blum and J. L. Foll, "Plasma Equilibrium Evolution at the Resistive Diffusion Timescale," *Comput. Phys. Rep.* **1**, 465 (1984).
- [3] D. E. Post et al., "Steady-State Radiative Cooling Rates for Low Density, High Temperature Plasmas," *At. Data Nucl. Data Tables* **20**, 397 (1977).
- [4] F. L. Hinton and R. D. Hazeltine, "Theory of Plasma Transport in Toroidal Confinement Systems," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48**, 239 (1976).
- [5] S. P. Hirshman and D. J. Sigmar, "Neoclassical Transport of Impurities in Tokamak Plasmas," *Nucl. Fusion* **21**, 1079 (1981).
- [6] K. T. Tsang and E. A. Frieman, "Toroidal Plasma Rotation in Axisymmetric and Slightly Non-Axisymmetric Systems," *Phys. Fluids* **19**, 747 (1976).
- [7] J. T. Hogan, "Collisional Transport of Momentum in Axisymmetric Configurations," *Phys. Fluids* **27**, 2308 (1984).
- [8] B. A. Carreras et al., "Transport Effects Induced by Resistive Ballooning Modes and Comparison with High- β_p , ISX-B Tokamak Confinement," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 503 (1983).
- [9] P. H. Diamond et al., "Kinetic Theory of Resistive Ballooning Modes," *Phys. Fluids* **28**, 1116 (1985).
- [10] C. S. Chang and F. L. Hinton, "Effect of Finite Aspect Ratio on the Neoclassical Ion Thermal Conductivity in the Banana Regime," *Phys. Fluids* **25**, 1493 (1982).
- [11] N. A. Uckan, K. T. Tsang, and J. D. Callen, "Toroidal Field Ripple Effects in Large Tokamaks," in *Proceedings of the 6th Symposium on Engineering Problems of Fusion Research*, pp. 1105-1109, Institute of Electrical Electronics Engineers, New York, 1976.
- [12] D. E. Hastings, W. A. Houlberg, and K. C. Shaing, "The Ambipolar Electric Field in Stellarators," *Nucl. Fusion* **25**, 445 (1985).
- [13] S. P. Hirshman, R. J. Hawryluk, and B. Birge, "Neoclassical Conductivity of a Tokamak Plasma," *Nucl. Fusion* **17**, 611 (1977).
- [14] K. Audenaerde, G. Emmert, and M. Gordinier, "SPUDNUT: A Transport Code for Neutral Atoms in Plasma," *J. Comput. Phys.* **34**, 268 (1980).
- [15] H. C. Howe, "Dependence of Hydrogen Recycling on Molecular Effects in Tokamaks," *J. Nucl. Mater.* **111 & 112**, 424 (1982).

- [16] R. L. Freeman and E. M. Jones, *Atomic Collision Processes in Plasma Physics Experiments: Analytic Expressions for Selected Cross-Sections and Maxwellian Rate Coefficients*, Technical Report CLM-R 137, Culham Laboratory, Abingdon, England, 1974.
- [17] E. M. Jones, *Atomic Collision Processes in Plasma Physics Experiments: Analytic Expressions for Selected Cross-Sections and Maxwellian Rate Coefficients II*, Technical Report CLM-R 175, Culham Laboratory, Abingdon, England, 1977.
- [18] O. S. Oen and M. T. Robinson, "Computer Studies of the Reflection of Light Ions from Solids," *Nucl. Instrum. Methods* **132**, 647 (1976).
- [19] W. Eckstein and H. Verbeek, "Reflection of H, D, and He from C, Ti, Ni, Mo, W, and Au," *J. Nucl. Mater.* **76 & 77**, 365 (1978).
- [20] D. Smith, "Analytical Expressions: Physical Sputtering," in *Proc. of the Workshop on Sputtering*, Report CONF-790775, Argonne Natl. Lab., Argonne, Ill., 1980.
- [21] O. S. Oen and M. T. Robinson, "Computer Studies of the Penetration Depth of Low-Energy Light Ions in Solids," in *Applications of Ion Beams to Materials*, pp. 329-333, Institute of Physics, London, 1976.
- [22] H. C. Howe, "Hydrogen Recycle Modeling and Measurements in Tokamaks," *J. Nucl. Mater.* **93 & 94**, 17 (1980).
- [23] K. Wilson and M. Baskes, "Deuterium Trapping in Irradiated 316 Stainless Steel," *J. Nucl. Mater.* **76 & 77**, 291 (1978).
- [24] P. Wienhold et al., "Numerical Evaluation of Hydrogen Release from and Volume Distribution in First Wall Materials," *J. Nucl. Mater.* **85 & 86**, 1001 (1979).
- [25] H. C. Howe and R. A. Langley, "Measurement of the Recombination Rate Coefficient of a Tokamak Vacuum Vessel Wall," *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A* **1**, 1435 (1983).
- [26] G. A. Emmert et al., "Electric Sheath and Presheath in a Collisionless, Finite Ion Temperature Plasma," *Phys. Fluids* **23**, 803 (1980).
- [27] C. M. Strawitch and G. A. Emmert, "Non-Ambipolar Transport in a Magnetic Divertor," *Nucl. Fusion* **21**, 1291 (1981).
- [28] H. C. Howe, *Physics Models in the Tokamak Transport Code PROCTR*, Technical Report ORNL/TM-9537, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., 1985.
- [29] D. K. Lee, "Magnetic Field, Vector Potential and Their Derivatives due to Currents in Closed Polygons of Wire," *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **25**, 181 (1982).
- [30] S. P. Hirshman and D. K. Lee, "MOMCON: a Spectral Code for Obtaining Three-Dimensional Magnetohydrodynamic Equilibria," *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **39**, 161 (1983).

- [31] S. P. Hirshman and J. C. Whitson, "Steepest-Descent Moment Method for Three-Dimensional Magnetohydrodynamic Equilibria," *Phys. Fluids* **26**, 3553 (1983).
- [32] L. L. Lao, S. P. Hirshman, and R. M. Wieland, "Variational Moment Solution to the Grad-Shafranov Equation," *Phys. Fluids* **24**, 1431 (1981).
- [33] K. McGuire and D. C. Robinson, "Sawtooth Oscillations in a Small Tokamak," *Nucl. Fusion* **19**, 505 (1979).
- [34] J. A. Rome, J. D. Callen, and J. F. Clarke, "Neutral-Beam Injection into a Tokamak: Fast-Ion Spatial Distribution for Tangential Injection," *Nucl. Fusion* **14**, 141 (1974).
- [35] A. C. Riviere, "Penetration of Fast Hydrogen Atoms into a Fusion Reactor Plasma," *Nucl. Fusion* **11**, 363 (1971).
- [36] R. E. Olson et al., "Charge-State Dependence of Electron Loss from H by Collisions with Heavy, Highly Stripped Ions," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **41**, 163 (1978).
- [37] J. D. Callen et al., "Neutral Beam Injection into Tokamaks," in *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research 1974: Proceedings of the 5th International Conference*, pp. 645-658, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1975.
- [38] R. H. Fowler, J. Smith, and J. A. Rome, "FIFPC - A Fast Ion Fokker-Planck Code," *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **13**, 323 (1978).
- [39] R. C. Goldfinger and D. B. Batchelor, "Second Harmonic Electron Cyclotron Heating Calculations for the ATF Torsatron," in *Applications of Radio-Frequency Power to Plasmas*, AIP Conf. Proc. No. 159, pp. 65-68, American Institute of Physics, New York, 1987.
- [40] S. E. Attenberger, W. A. Houlberg, and S. P. Hirshman, "Some Practical Considerations Involving Spectral Representations of 3-D Plasma Equilibria," *J. Comput. Phys.* **72**, 435 (1987).
- [41] S. L. Milora and C. A. Foster, "A Revised Neutral Gas Shielding Model for Pellet-Plasma Interactions," *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **PS-6**, 578 (1978).
- [42] W. A. Houlberg et al., *PELLET - A Computer Routine for Modeling Pellet Fueling in Tokamak Plasmas*, Technical Report ORNL/TM-6549, Union Carbide Corp. Nuclear Div., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., 1979.
- [43] S. L. Davis, D. Mueller, and C. J. Keane, "Mass Resolved Charge-Exchange System on the Poloidal Divertor Experiment," *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **54**, 315 (1983).

INDEX OF VARIABLES

The number after each variable is the section in the text where the model for or definition of the variable is given.

a	6.1.5	J_b	7.1	q_{ion}	5.1.4
a_d	5.1.1	J_{oh}	2.1.7	q_{oh}	2.1.7
a_s	6.1.5	J_Z	2.1.7	q_{rad}	2.2.9
A_w	5.1.2	K_j	2.2.3	r_ρ	6.1.6
B_T	2.2.1	k_r	4.3	r_s	6.1.6
B_θ	2.1.7	m_e	2.2.1	r_a	6.1.6
C_D	11.2	m_{Hj}	2.2.1	R	6.1
c_j	4.1	m_{Ij}	2.2.1	R_L	5.1.1
c_{Tj}	4.1	m_p	2.2.1	R_0	6.1.1
c_θ	6.4	n_b	7.1	R_w	5.1.1
c_ϕ	6.4	n_e	2.2.1	ρ	6.1
χ_e	2.2.5	n_H	2.1.3	ρ_α	2.2.1
χ_{Hj}	2.2.6	n_{He}	2.1.2	S_{bp}	9.3
D_A	2.2.2	n_{Hj}	2.1.1	S_{fus}	9.2
D_H	2.2.3	n_l	2.1.3	S_{Gj}	5.1.1
D_{He}	2.2.2	n_{Ij}	2.1.3	S_{Hj}	5.1.2
D_{Hj}	2.2.2	$\dot{n}_{ }$	2.1.5	S_{inj}	7.1
D_{wj}	4.1	n_T	2.1.6	S_{pj}	10.3
Δ	6.5	n_0	5.1.5	σ_s	5.3.2
E	6.5	ν_e	2.2.1	T_e	2.1.5
E_Z	2.1.7	ν_{Hj}	2.2.1	T_i	2.1.6
η_f	6.6.1	$\nu_{* \alpha}$	2.2.1	τ_e	2.2.1
$\eta_{ }$	2.2.8	$p_{b }$	7.1	$\tau_{ \text{He}}$	5.3.1
$\eta_\perp$	2.2.4	$p_{b\perp}$	7.1	$\tau_{ Hj}$	5.3.1
Φ	2.2.10	$\dot{p}_{\text{cx}}$	5.1.3	$\tau_{ Ij}$	5.3.1
Φ_L	5.3.2	p_{jk}	3.8	V'_ρ	6.3
γ	5.3.2	p_ϕ	2.1.4	v_α	2.2.1
Γ_{He}	2.1.2	$\dot{p}_\phi^T$	7.1	v_ϕ	2.1.4
Γ_{Hj}	2.1.1	q	2.2.1	V_p	6.3
Γ_{Ij}	2.1.3	q_{cx}	5.1.4	v_{pnch}	2.2.2
$G_j(y)$	5.1.2	q_{ec}	8	Z_{eff}	2.2.1
H_j	2.2.3	q_{fus}^e	9.1	Z_{eff}^i	2.2.1
$H_{j,k}(\rho)$	7.2.1	q_{fus}^l	9.1	Z_{He}	2.1.5
$I(\rho)$	2.1.7	q_{inj}^e	7.1	Z_j	2.1.3
ϵ	2.2.1	q_{inj}^l	7.1	$\langle Z_j^2 \rangle$	2.1.3
				$[Z]$	2.2.1

List of Figures

1	Tokamak flux surfaces and limiters	74
2	Axisymmetric flux surface geometry	75
3	ATF flux surfaces	76
4	Scrape-off layer flux surfaces	77
5	Equivolume axisymmetric surfaces	78

Fig. 1: Intersection of scrape-off flux surface and limiters. For a scrape-off flux surface ρ , the arc length $s(\rho)$ used in the definition of the parallel loss time $\tau_{||}$ is the sum of the intersection lengths of the cross section of the flux surface with all limiters. Thus, for the middle scrape-off layer surface shown above, $s(\rho)$ is the sum of the shaded arc lengths that intersect the inner rail and outer mushroom limiters.

Fig. 2: Axisymmetric flux surface geometry. The cross section of each toroidal flux surface ρ is an ellipse with minor radius r_ρ ($= r_s \rho$) and elongation E centered at major radius $R_M(\rho) = R_0 + \Delta(\rho)$. The smallest flux surface that contacts a material surface is labeled $\rho = a_s$ ($a_s = 1$), has minor radius r_s , and is centered at major radius R_0 . The shift $\Delta(\rho)$ of surface ρ is with respect to the surface $\rho = a_s$; that is, $\Delta(\rho = a_s) = 0$. The outermost flux surface on which the plasma solution is obtained is at $\rho = a$. The scrape-off layer is the region $a_s < \rho < a$.

Fig. 3: Vacuum flux surfaces (6.1.3) calculated for ATF at equal ρ intervals. The toroidal angle of each cut is given at the top of the plot by the fraction of a field period f_p . The vacuum vessel cross section is also shown. The FIR array chords are shown at $f_p = 0$. The ratios of the poloidal coil currents to the helical coil current are -0.0981 (outer trim coil), $+0.1505$ (inner coil), and 0.0 (mid coil). For the outermost surface shown, $\epsilon = 1.0$.

Fig. 4: Vacuum flux surfaces for ATF showing extrapolated scrape-off layer surfaces. The last closed surface ($\rho = 1$) is dashed. Scrape-off surfaces outside $\rho = 1$ are linear extrapolations of the inner surfaces (6.1.5).

ORNL-DWG 90-2733 FED

Fig. 5: Superposition of 3-D surfaces and corresponding equivolume axisymmetric shifted circular surfaces (6.1.6).

END

DATE FILMED

11 / 14 / 90