NOTICE
PORTIONS OF THIS REPORT ARE ILLEGIBLE.
It has been reproduced from the best
available copy to permit the broadest
possible availability.

DATE - 8/4/87 - 8
UCRL-91043
PREPRINT

CHANNELING-RADIATION MEASUREMENTS AT
LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY

B. L. Berman and B. A. Dahling
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
S. Datz
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
J. O. Kephart, R. K. Klein, R. H. Pantell,
and H. Park
Stanford University

MASTER

This paper was prepared for submittal to
8th Conference on the Application of
Accelerators in Research and Industry
Denton, TX
November 12-14, 1984

October 1984

Lawrence
Livermore
National
Laboratory

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government thereof, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

CHANNELING-RADIATION MEASUREMENTS AT LAWRENCE

LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY

JCLL--21043

DDF5 001534

B. L. Berman and B. A. Dahling
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
University of California
Livermore, CA 94550

S. Datz
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Oak Ridge, TN 37830

J. O. Kephart, R. K. Klein, R. H. Pantell, and H. Park
Department Electrical Engineering
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ABSTRACT

In the last few years, the amount and quality of channeling-radiation data have increased enormously, owing largely to much improved experimental capabilities. Current results include improved interplanar potentials for diamond, the description of the effect of platelets in diamond as an average thermal vibration, an improved determination of the Debye temperature of silicon, an improved determination of the thermal-vibration amplitude of LiD, and the demonstration that LiF crystal structures can survive intense electron bombardment.

1. Introduction

At the Sixth Conference on the Application of Accelerators in Research and Industry in 1980 we reported [1] the discovery of channeling radiation at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) [2-4], the measurement of some of its properties [2,4,5], our early attempts to fit the data with phenomenological theories [6,7] (see also [8-10]), and some prognostications as to its future applicability (see also [11-13]).

Since that time, we have greatly improved our experimental capabilities, and we have extended our channeling-radiation studies considerably, both with positrons and electrons from the LLNL Electron-Positron Linear Accelerator, on a variety of crystal species. These include, in addition to silicon, LiF [14-16], germanium [17], and diamond, both with and without platelets [18-22] (see also [23]). Most recently, we have studied channeling radiation from LiH and LiD [24], BeO [25], tungsten [26], and GaAs [27].

A recent description of our experimental apparatus and procedures can be found in [21]. Our theoretical analysis now is based upon the quantum-mechanical many-beam formalism (see also [21]), first utilized in this field by Anderson et al. [28,29]. Examples of a number of current topics are described briefly in this paper. A more extensive review of channeling-radiation experiments performed both at LLNL and elsewhere will appear shortly [30].

2. Diamond

Perhaps the best crystal with which to study channeling radiation is diamond, because of its high Debye temperature and its low Z . Indeed, the first measurement of channeling radiation from diamond [32] showed remarkably sharp structure.

Our recent results for the (110) channeling-radiation spectra at three incident electron-beam energies are shown in Fig. 1, together with the many-beam calculation of transition energies and strengths from the "standard" Hartree-Fock potential (see [21] for details). It can be seen that there is reasonable agreement between experiment and theory, although there is a slight tendency for the calculated transition energies to lie higher than the experimental spectral peaks. For the (111) plane, however, this tendency is much exaggerated, as shown in Fig. 2. Because a (111) potential obtained from x-ray-diffraction data and especially an empirical potential fitted to the data both are shallower than the standard potential and both fit the data better, we believe that the fact that significant charge in the diamond crystal is distributed along the $\langle 111 \rangle$ valence-bonding direction alters the (111) interplanar potential as shown in the figure, and in fact these data serve as a quantitative measure of this asymmetric charge distribution [21].

3. Platelets

Platelets in diamond [nitrogen mono- or di-layers precipitated along the (100) planes] influence the channeling radiation dramatically [19,20,22]. In particular, they cause an energy shift in the (100) spectral lines, as shown in Fig. 3. We have shown recently that these lineshifts can be accounted for by equating the lattice distortion caused by the platelets with a large average thermal-vibration amplitude perpendicular to the (100) planes and hence to the platelets. The (100) potential so altered also is shown in Fig. 3; the numerical results are given in [22]. These results also serve to show that channeling radiation can be used as a sensitive diagnostic probe of impurities and defects in crystals.

4. Debye Temperature

Although some results on the dependence of channeling-radiation transition energies upon temperature have been published previously [32-34], only recently have we been able to study the shifts in transition energies and linewidths for many planar transitions down to near-liquid-nitrogen temperature. Some of these results, namely those for the (100) and (110) spectra for 54.5-MeV electrons in silicon (taken from [35]), are shown in Fig. 4. The temperature dependence of the transition energies for the lowest-lying energy levels (the $1 \rightarrow 0$ transitions), shown in Fig. 5, is a sensitive measure of the Debye temperature. These data consistently yield a value for the Debye temperature of silicon of 495 ± 10 K, in sharp disagreement with the value of 543 K obtained from previous x-ray-diffraction studies [36]. They also serve to show that channeling-radiation data can be used to determine the Debye temperature for virtually any crystal species.

5. LiH and LiD

Our recent results for LiH and LiD [24] show two interesting effects for these lowest-Z crystals. One is the largest disagreement to date between measured and calculated transition energies, as shown, for example, in Fig. 6 for the case of 54.5-MeV positrons and electrons incident along the (100) and (110) planes of LiH. The other can be seen in Fig. 7, where (110) channeling-radiation spectra from LiH and LiD (both obtained at room temperature) are superposed. It is immediately evident that the value of the thermal-vibration amplitude for LiD is smaller than that for LiH, as one would expect from the fact that deuterons are heavier than protons, and so cause LiD to appear as a low-temperature version of LiH. This result, however, contradicts the previously accepted value for LiD which (incredibly) is larger than that for LiH [37]. This is the first identification of an isotopic effect in a crystal by channeling radiation.

6. Damaged LiF

Perhaps the most important potential application of channeling radiation is as an intense, easily tunable source of monochromatic, forward-directed, polarized photons. In order to test whether one could continue to produce channeling radiation from a crystal that had undergone extensive radiation (by the electron beam that produces the channeling radiation), we irradiated a number of identical LiF crystals with various large beam fluxes of 54.5-MeV electrons. Some of the results of this first exploration of this effect [38] are shown in Fig. 8. These (100) channeling-radiation spectra for 54.5-MeV positrons show a remarkable survivability of enough of the crystal structure of (easily-damaged) LiF to continue to produce channeling radiation even after very large radiation doses. We also may learn something about crystal damage mechanisms from such studies.

7. Conclusion and Perspective

Channeling-radiation studies have come of age. Not only are the properties of channeling radiation themselves of great interest, but channeling-radiation measurements have shown themselves to be sensitive diagnostic tools for the determination of the properties both of perfect and of damaged, defective, or impure crystals. Finally we are a step closer to the use of channeling radiation as a powerful and versatile photon source.

Acknowledgments

This work was performed at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract No. W7405-ENG-48.

The contributions of M. J. Alguard, W. Beezhold, R. W. Fearick, M. V. Hynes, and R. L. Swent are much appreciated and are most gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] R. H. Pantell, R. L. Swent, S. Datz, M. J. Alguard, B. L. Berman and S. D. Bloom, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-28 (1981) 1152.
- [2] M. J. Alguard, R. L. Swent, R. H. Pantell, B. L. Berman, S. D. Bloom and S. Datz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42 (1979) 1148.
- [3] M. J. Alguard, R. L. Swent, R. H. Pantell, B. L. Berman, S. D. Bloom and S. Datz, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-26 (1979) 3865.
- [4] R. L. Swent, R. H. Pantell, M. J. Alguard, B. L. Berman, S. D. Bloom and S. Datz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43 (1979) 1723.
- [5] B. L. Berman, S. D. Bloom, S. Datz, M. J. Alguard, R. L. Swent and R. H. Pantell, Phys. Lett. 82A (1981) 459.
- [6] R. H. Pantell and M. J. Alguard, J. Appl. Phys. 50 (1979) 598.
- [7] R. H. Pantell and R. L. Swent, Appl. Phys. Lett. 35 (1979) 910.
- [8] M. J. Alguard, R. L. Swent, R. H. Pantell, S. Datz, J. H. Barrett, B. L. Berman and S. D. Bloom, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 170 (1980) 7.
- [9] R. L. Swent, R. H. Pantell, S. Datz, M. J. Alguard, B. L. Berman, S. D. Bloom, R. Alvarez and D. C. Hamilton, in Physics of Electronic and Atomic Collisions, ed. S. Datz (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982), p. 861.
- [10] S. D. Bloom, B. L. Berman, D. C. Hamilton, M. J. Alguard, J. H. Barrett, S. Datz, R. H. Pantell and R. L. Swent, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 194 (1982) 229.
- [11] B. L. Berman, CEN Saclay Report No. DPhN/HE/81/2 (1981) 5.
- [12] B. L. Berman and S. D. Bloom, Energy Tech. Rev. 81-1 (1981) 1.
- [13] R. H. Pantell, R. L. Swent, S. Datz, M. J. Alguard, B. L. Berman and S. D. Bloom, SPIE 279 (1981) 84.

- [14] B. L. Berman, S. Datz, R. W. Fearick, J. O. Kephart, R. H. Pantell,
H. Park and R. L. Swent, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49 (1982) 474,
- [15] R. L. Swent, R. H. Pantell, H. Park, J. O. Kephart, R. K. Klein, S. Datz,
R. W. Fearick and B. L. Berman, Phys. Rev. B 29 (1984) 52.
- [16] B. L. Berman, S. Datz, R. W. Fearick, R. L. Swent, R. H. Pantell,
H. Park, J. O. Kephart and R. K. Klein, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B2
(1984) 90.
- [17] H. Park, R. L. Swent, J. O. Kephart, R. H. Pantell, B. L. Berman, S. Datz
and R. W. Fearick, Phys. Lett. 96A (1983) 45.
- [18] S. Datz, R. W. Fearick, H. Park, R. H. Pantell, R. L. Swent,
J. O. Kephart, R. K. Klein and B. L. Berman, Phys. Lett. 96A (1983)
314.
- [19] H. Park, R. H. Pantell, R. L. Swent, J. O. Kephart, B. L. Berman, S. Datz
and R. W. Fearick, J. Appl. Phys. 55 (1984) 358.
- [20] S. Datz, R. W. Fearick, H. Park, R. H. Pantell, R. L. Swent,
J. O. Kephart and B. L. Berman, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B2 (1984) 74.
- [21] R. K. Klein, J. O. Kephart, R. H. Pantell, H. Park, B. L. Berman,
R. L. Swent, S. Datz and R. W. Fearick, Phys. Rev. B (in press).
- [22] H. Park, J. O. Kephart, R. K. Klein, R. H. Pantell, B. L. Berman, S. Datz
and R. L. Swent, J. Appl. Phys. (in press).
- [23] R. H. Pantell, H. Park, R. L. Swent, J. O. Kephart, R. K. Klein, S. Datz
and B. L. Berman, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-30 (1983) 3150.
- [24] B. L. Berman, S. Datz, J. O. Kephart, R. K. Klein, R. H. Pantell,
H. Park, R. L. Swent, M. J. Alguard and M. V. Hynes, Bull. Am. Phys.
Soc. 28 (1983) 1322 and to be submitted for publication.
- [25] J. O. Kephart et al., to be submitted for publication.

- [26] W. Beezhold et al., to be submitted for publication.
- [27] H. Park et al., to be submitted for publication.
- [28] J. U. Andersen, K. R. Eriksen and E. Laegsgaard, *Physica Scripta* 24 (1981) 588.
- [29] J. U. Andersen, S. V. Andersen and W. M. Augustyniak, *Kgl. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd.* 39, No. 10 (1977).
- [30] B. L. Berman and S. Datz, in Coherent Radiation Sources, ed. H. Überall and A. W. Saenz (Springer, Heidelberg), in press.
- [31] M. Gouanère, D. Sillou, M. Spighele, N. Cue, M. J. Gaillard, R. G. Kirsch, J.-C. Poizat, J. Remillieux, B. L. Berman, P. Catillon, L. Roussel and G. M. Temmer, *Nucl. Instr. and Meth.* 194 (1982) 225.
- [32] R. L. Swent, R. H. Pantell, S. Datz and R. Alvarez, *Nucl. Instr. and Meth.* 194 (1982) 235.
- [33] J. U. Andersen, E. Laegsgaard and A. H. Sørensen, Univ. of Aarhus preprint (1983).
- [34] J. U. Andersen, E. Bonderup, E. Laegsgaard and A. H. Sørensen, *Physica Scripta* (in press).
- [35] H. Park, J. O. Kephart, R. K. Klein, R. H. Pantell, M. V. Hynes, B. L. Berman, B. A. Dahling, S. Datz, R. L. Swent and M. J. Alguard, submitted to *Appl. Phys. Lett.*
- [36] B. W. Batterman and D. R. Chipman, *Phys. Rev.* 127 (1962) 690.
- [37] International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, ed. N.F.M. Henry and K. Lonsdale (Kynoch, Birmingham, 1959).
- [38] S. Datz et al., to be submitted for publication.

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1 The (110) potential and eigenvalues [parts (a), (c), and (e)] and channeling-radiation spectra [parts (b), (d), and (f)] for 16.9-MeV, 30.5-MeV, and 54.5-MeV electrons, respectively, channeled in diamond. Note the increase with electron-beam energy of the line energies and linewidths.
- Fig. 2 (a) (111) potentials for diamond: the "standard" many-beam potential (dashed curve); a potential based upon x-ray-diffraction data (light solid curve); and an empirical potential based upon the best fit to the 30.5-MeV data (heavy solid curve), together with the corresponding eigenvalues. (b) The (111) spectrum for 30.5-MeV electrons, together with the calculated spectra obtained from the standard (dashed curve) and x-ray-diffraction (light solid curve) potentials. (c) The same spectrum, together with the spectra calculated from the x-ray-diffraction (light solid curve) and empirical (heavy solid curve) potentials.
- Fig. 3 (100) channeling-radiation spectra from both Type-Ia (with platelets; open data points) and Type-IIa (without platelets; closed data points) diamonds for (a) 54.5-MeV and (b) 30.5-MeV incident electrons. Note in particular the energy shift for the $1 \rightarrow 0$ transition. (c) (100) potentials for diamond: the one which uses the accepted value of 0.040 Å for the thermal-vibration amplitude, as is appropriate for the Type-IIa diamond (solid curve) and the one which uses the value of 0.055 Å, which arises from the best fit to the data for the Type-Ia diamond (dashed curve).

- Fig. 4 Superposed channeling-radiation spectra for 54.5-MeV electrons incident along the (a) (100) and (b) (110) planes of silicon for two different temperatures: (a) -190°C (heavy data points) and $+5^{\circ}\text{C}$ (light data points); (b) -180°C (heavy data points) and $+7^{\circ}\text{C}$ (light data points). Note the large energy shifts of the $1 + 0$ transitions.
- Fig. 5 Temperature dependence of the channeling-radiation transition energies for the $1 + 0$ transitions of 54.5-MeV electrons channeled along the (100) and (110) planes in silicon. The fits to these data (solid curves) yield a consistent value of 495 K for the Debye temperature of silicon.
- Fig. 6 Channeling-radiation spectra for LiH, both for 54.5-MeV positrons incident along the (a) (100) and (b) (110) planes and for 54.5-MeV electrons incident along the (c) (100) and (d) (110) planes, together with the calculated transition energies and strengths (vertical lines) obtained from the standard many-beam theoretical treatment. Note the very large discrepancies between experiment and theory.
- Fig. 7 Superposed channeling-radiation spectra for 54.5-MeV electrons incident along the (110) planes of LiH (triangles) and LiD (squares). Note that the LiD spectrum resembles a spectrum that one might expect to obtain from a cooled LiH crystal.
- Fig. 8 Channeling-radiation spectra from 54.5-MeV positrons incident along the (100) planes of LiF, for four initially identical crystals: (a) an undamaged crystal (no significant radiation dose); (b) a lightly damaged crystal [irradiated enough to displace (in theory) 1% of the ionic cores (the lattice nuclei)]; (c) a moderately

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8

damaged crystal [irradiated with 10 times the dose of (b)]; and (d) a heavily damaged crystal [irradiated with 100 times the dose of (b)]. Note that the character of the channeling-radiation spectrum is seriously degraded only for this heaviest dose.

0974v/23v