Hanford Dose Overview Program:

Comparison of AIRDOS-EPA and Hanford Site Dose Codes

R. L. Aaberg B. A. Napier

-

5

2

1

November 1985

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Pacific Northwest Laboratory Operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute



DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST LABORATORY operated by BATTELLE for the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Printed in the United States of America Available from National Technical Information Service United States Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, Virginia 22161

NTIS Price Codes Microfiche A01

Printed Copy

	• Price
Pages	Codes
001-025	A02
026-050	A03
051-075	A04
076-100	A05
101-125	A06
126-150	A07
151-175	A08
176-200	A09
201-225	A010
226-250	A011
251-275	A012
276-300	A013

HANFORD DOSE OVERVIEW PROGRAM:

COMPARISON OF AIRDOS-EPA AND HANFORD SITE DOSE CODES

R. L. Aaberg B. A. Napier

November 1985

•

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-76RL0 1830

Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, Washington 99352

SUMMARY

Radiation dose commitments for persons in the Hanford environs calculated using AIRDOS-EPA were compared with those calculated using a suite of Hanford codes: FOOD, PABLM, DACRIN, and KRONIC. Dose commitments to the population and to the maximally exposed individual (MI) based on annual releases of eight radionuclides from the N-Reactor, were calculated by these codes. Dose commitments from each pathway to the total body, lung, thyroid, and lower large intestine (LLI) are given as ratios for comparison of codes in Tables S.1 and S.2 for the population and MI, respectively.

The selection of the MI location by AIRDOS limits the applicability of calculations concerning the MI at the Hanford Site. AIRDOS selected a location for the MI that is uninhabited. The location of choice for the MI for the entire Hanford Site is at a defined distance and direction.

		Orga	n	
Pathway	Total Body	Lung	Thyroid	
Ingestion	3.5	0.4	5.4	1.3
Inhalation	1.0	4.5	2.2	0.6
External (from ground)	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.6
Air Submersion	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5

<u>TABLE S.1</u>. Comparison of Dose Commitments to the Population (Ratio Hanford/AIRDOS)

TABLE S.2. Comparison of Dose Commitments to the Maximally Exposed Individual (Ratio Hanford/AIRDOS)

		Orgai	n	
Pathway	Total Body	Lung	Thyroid	LLI
Ingestion	5.1	1.3	1.2	3.1
Inhalation	1.0	3.6	0.8	0.1
External (from ground)	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.5
Air Submersion	1.2	1.6	1.5	1.4

Dose commitments from the ingestion pathway to the MI are similar. Total body dose commitments differ, possibly because organ weightings are different. For dose to the thyroid for population exposures, the codes FOOD and PABLM project that the dose from 131 I dominates. The difference in the magnitude of the doses computed by AIRDOS and the Hanford codes results from plume depletion, which is not considered in the Hanford codes, making them more conservative for dose assessment purposes.

Inhalation doses computed by DACRIN show good agreement with AIRDOS. Dose to the lung is higher, and dose to the LLI is lower due to differences in dose factors. DACRIN projects higher population doses because plume depletion is not addressed.

External doses calculated by AIRDOS and the Hanford codes show good agreement. External doses from ground deposition calculated by FOOD and PABLM are generally about one-half of that estimated by AIRDOS. This is due to a factor of D.5 correction for surface roughness included in the Hanford codes. Population doses calculated by the Hanford codes are greater than one-half the AIRDOS values because extra deposition from a non-depleting plume partially compensates for the surface-roughness correction.

Air submersion doses computed by KRONIC are higher for the MI than estimates by AIRDOS because KRONIC uses a finite cloud model and AIRDOS uses a semi-infinite cloud model.

In general, the Hanford codes produce results that are equivalent to or more conservative than AIRDOS.

i٧

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge those who helped with this study. Many thanks to D. L. Strenge and J. K. Soldat for reviewing the document and providing valuable suggestions; to V. L. Brouns for editing support; and to N. C. Van Houten, C. A. Davey, and A. Jewell for providing word processing support.

This research was supported by the Hanford Dose Overview Committee, R. L. Kathren, Chair.

۲ • . 4

CONTENTS

SUMM	ARY	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iii
ACKN	OWLED	GMEN	TS.	•	-	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	۷
1.0	INTR	ODUC	TION			•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	1
2.0	COMP	ARIS	ON OF	MET	EOROL	OGIC	AL C	ALCU	LATI	ONS		•	•	•			3
3.0	COMP	ARIS	ON OF	DOS	E CAL	CULA	TION	s.	•		•	•	•		•	•	6
	3.1	ING	ESTIC	N DOS	SES:	AIR	DOS	VS.	F0 0D		•	•			•	•	7
	3.2	EXT	ERNAL	GRO	JND D	OSES	: A	IRDO	s vs	. FO	OD		•				10
	3.3	INH	ALATI	ON DO	DSES:	AI	RDOS	۷S.	DAC	RIN	•	•	•	•	•		11
	3.4	AIR	SUBM	IERSI	ON DO	SES:	AI	RDOS	۷S.	KRO	NIC						13
4.D	CONC	LUSI	ONS .	•		•			•		•		•	•		•	16
	4.1	MAX	IMALL	Y EX	POSED	IND	IVID	UAL	•	•			•	•			16
	4.2	ING	ESTIC	N DO	SES	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•		16
	4.3	INH	ALATI	ON D	DSES	•	•		٠	•			•	•			16
	4.4	EXT	ERNAL	GRO	JND D	OSES		•		•			•	•		•	17
	4.5	AIR	SUBM	ERSI	ON DO	SES	•		•	•		•	•		•	•	17
REFE	RENCE	S		•		•	•		•			•	•			•	18
APPE	NDIX	A -	DOSE	FACT	ORS A	ND I	NPUT	PAR	AMET	ERS		•		•		•	A.1
APPE	NDIX	8 -	DOSE	COMM	TMEN	TS F	ROM	N-RE	АСТО	R GA	SEOUS	EF.	FLUEN	ITS			_
			AS CA	ALCUL/	ATED	BY A	IRDO	S-EP	A AN	d ha	NFORD	CO	DES	•	•	•	B.1

1

•

۰.

TABLES

S.1	Comparison of Dose Commitments to the Population	•	•	٠	٠	iii
S.2	Comparison of Dose Commitments to the Maximally Expo	osed •	l •		•	iii
1	Comparison of Annual Average Dispersion Factors With Without Radiological Decay and Plume Depletion .	h an •	d •		•	5
2	Population-Weighted Dispersion Factor, PM, Based on Hanford Meteorological Data	•			•	5
3	Radionuclides Released with Gaseous Effluents from t N-Reactor during CY 1983	the	•	•		6
4	Dose to the Population from Ingestion	•				9
5	Dose to the Maximally Exposed Individual from Ingest at 4 km E	tion	•			9
6	Fifty-Year Dose Commitment to the Population from Inhalation	•	•			11
7	Fifty-Year Dose Commitment to the Maximally Exposed Individual from Inhalation .	•				11
8	Dose to the Population from External Exposure to Ground	•				12
9	Dose to the Maximally Exposed Individual from External Exposure to Ground	•				12
10	Dose to the Population from Air Submersion	•	•	•	•	14
11	Dose to the Maximally Exposed Individual from Air Submersion	•			•	14
A.1	Deposition Velocities Recommended by AIRDDS and FOOM	D	•	•	•	A.1
A.2	Transfer Coefficients Used in AIRDOS and FOOD .			•	•	A.2
A.3	Consumption Parameters Used in this Comparison .	•		•	•	A.2
A.4	Representative Ingestion Dose Factors Used in AIRDOS and FOOD	S •		•		A.3
A.5	Inhalation Dose Factors from AIRDOS and DACRIN .	•				A.4
A.6	Dose Factors for External Exposure from FOOD and AIRDOS	•				A.4

Tables (contd)

B.1	Fifty-Year Ingestion	Dose	Commi •	tments •	to •	the •	Por •	oulati •	on •	from				•	B.2
B.2	Fifty-Year	Dose	Commi	tments	to	the	MI	from	Ing	estic	n	•	•		B.3
B.3	Fifty-Year Inhalation	Dose	Commi	tments •	to	the •	Por •	oulati •	ion •	from					B. 4
B.4	Fifty-Year	Dose	Commi	tments	to	the	MI	from	Inh	alati	ion		•	•	8.5
B.5	Fifty-Year External Ex	Dose (posu)	Commi re to	tments Ground	to I	the •	Pop •	oulati •	ion •	from -				•	B.6
B.6	Fifty-Year Exposure to	Dose Grou	Commi und .	tments -	to	the •	MI •	from •	Ext •	ernal •			•		B. 7
B.7	Fifty-Year Submersion	Dose •	Commi	tments	to	the	Por	oulati	ion •	from •	Air •		•	•	B.8
B.8	Fifty-Year Submersion	Dose	Commi	tments	to	the	MI •	from	Air •						B.9

.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) gives National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and Standards for Radionuclides in 40 CFR 61. These standards limit radionuclide emissions from DOE facilities to an amount that results in a dose equivalent rate of 25 mrem/yr to the total body or 75 mrem/yr to the critical organ for any member of the public. (The standard excludes 220 Rn and 222 Rn and their respective decay products.) To determine compliance with the standard, radionuclide emission shall be determined and dose equivalents to members of the public are to be calculated using EPA-approved sampling procedures and EPA model AIRDOS-EPA, or other procedures EPA has determined to be suitable (40 CFR 61.93). The purpose of this study is to show that the codes used at the Hanford Site are functionally equivalent to AIRDOS-EPA.

This study compares AIRDOS-EPA to the exposure assessment used on the Hanford Site, as designated by the Hanford Dose Overview Program (McCormack, Ramsdell and Napier 1984). The Hanford Dose Overview Program, administered by Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL) for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), was established at the request of the DOE Richland Operations Office (DOE-RL) to provide a method of ensuring internal consistency of Hanford- Site-related environmental dose assessments.

Hanford environmental monitoring information from the N-Reactor at the Hanford Site 100 Area, located about 40 km north-northwest of Richland, Washington, is used as a basis for the comparison. Meteorological data and airborne emissions of radionuclides were input into the Hanford codes and AIRDOS to calculate environmental concentrations of radionuclides and doses resulting from various modes of exposure. Pathways considered include ingestion, inhalation, external exposure to deposited radionuclides, inhalation, and air-submersion. Items compared in this report include results of meteorological calculations, dose to the maximally exposed individual (MI), and dose to the population.

1

The Hanford environmental monitoring report of 1984 (Price et al. 1985) compares measured and calculated radionuclide concentrations for 1984 PUREX Plant operation. Measured concentrations of radionuclides in air and water and those calculated by the Hanford dose models were in reasonable agreement.

2.0 COMPARISON OF METEOROLOGICAL CALCULATIONS

Calculation of atmospheric dispersion is a very important part of calculation of doses from airborne releases. Dispersion determines the concentration of airborne material and, when combined with deposition velocity, determines ground concentrations of the material.

Meteorological calculations are performed differently in AIRDOS than in the Hanford codes. Most of the Hanford codes use output from other meteorological codes to determine dispersion characteristics at the site, while AIRDOS determines these dispersion parameters internally from extensive meteorological data.

The primary meteorological parameter used to calculate air concentrations is the normalized atmospheric dispersion factor, \bar{x}/Q' , with units of Ci/m³ per Ci/sec. The value of \bar{x}/Q' is predicted by atmospheric dispersion models that use site measurements of the occurrence frequency for wind speed, wind direction, and atmospheric stability (joint frequency data). The dispersion factor is multiplied by the release rate of material to the atmosphere to yield the air concentration of the material.

Data on wind speed and direction are collected at each operating area and combined with atmospheric stability data collected at the Hanford Meteorology Station to provide input for the sector-averaged Gaussian model used to calculate dispersion factors. The 100 Area (N-Reactor) elevated release addressed in this report is based on an 89-m effective stack height (61-m stack plus plume rise).

All of the Hanford dose codes may use pre-calculated dispersion factors. The dispersion factors may represent the location of the MI or the distribution population within the study area. Alternately, the inhalation (DACRIN) and air submersion (KRONIC) codes may calculate dispersion factors.

AIRDOS includes atmospheric dispersion models that calculate values $\bar{\chi}/Q'$ given a large quantity of input data. Meteorological data required to run AIRDOS include: wind-direction frequency (16 directions), and reciprocal-

3

averaged wind speeds, true-average wind speeds, and frequencies for Pasquill stability categories, each for seven Pasquill categories in 16 directions (Moore et al. 1979).

AIRDOS computes a table value of $\bar{\chi}/Q'$ for each radionuclide, corrected for decay and deposition during dispersion, whereas KRONIC and DACRIN consider decay in-transit, but not depletion. FOOD and PABLM use $\bar{\chi}/Q'$ for a non-decaying plume.

Table 1 gives a comparison of dispersion factors for a non-depleting plume used by Hanford codes with dispersion factors calculated by AIRDOS for ³H and ¹³¹I. The values of $\bar{\chi}/Q'$ for the non-depleting plume are comparable with $\bar{\chi}/Q'$ values for ³H, which has a long half-life and little deposition. Non-depleting dispersion factors are about 1.1 times higher at 4 km, and are closer to the ³H values at greater distances. In contrast, dispersion factors for radionuclides such as ¹³¹I, which have a shorter half-life and greater deposition velocity, are much different for depleting and non-depleting plumes. Non-depleting plume $\bar{\chi}/Q'$ values at 72 km are three to six times the values for $\bar{\chi}/Q'$ for ¹³¹I given by AIRDOS.

The population-weighted dispersion factor, PM, with units of personsec/m³, is used to compute population dose within the 80-km assessment area. The 1983 PM determined for Hanford 10D-Area elevated releases is 1.43×10^{-3} person-sec/m³. This compares favorably with a value of 1.33×10^{-3} computed using ³H dispersion factors from AIRDOS. Population-weighted factors based on other isotopes may be considerably different. Table 2 gives a comparison of PM between Hanford and AIRDOS for the radionuclides in emissions from 100N.

In AIRDOS, dry deposition, scavenging by rain, and radioactive decay deplete the airborne plume as it is blown downwind. Depletion is accounted for by substituting a reduced release rate Q" for the original release rate Q'. The source depletion models maintain material balance as a plume is blown downwind from a source. The Hanford codes do not subtract for deposition, resulting in a higher estimate of doses. They also do not include resuspension, which tends to compensate for depletion.

4

	Dispersion Factor						
Code/Radionuclide	<u>4 km</u>	<u>12 km</u>	56 km	72 km			
Hanford:							
ATI	8.06×10^{-8}	2.52 x 10 ⁻⁸	4.32 x 10 ⁻⁹	3.19 x 10 ⁻⁹			
AIRDOS-EPA:							
з _н	7.21 x 10 ⁻⁸	2.38×10^{-8}	4.D2 x 10 ⁻⁹	3.03×10^{-9}			
131 _I	6.61×10^{-8}	1.75 x 10 ⁻⁸	1.11 × 10 ⁻⁸	5.89 x 10 ⁻¹⁰			

TABLE 1. Comparison of Annual Average Dispersion Factors With and Without Radiological Decay and Plume Depletion^(a) (sec/m³)

(a) North sector used as an example.

TABLE 2. Population-Weighted Dispersion Factor, PM, Based on Hanford Meteorological Data

Code	Radionuclide	PM (sec/m ³)	Fraction of <u>Non-Depleting</u>
Hanford	A11	1.43×10^{-3}	1.0
AIRDOS	з _Н	1.33×10^{-3}	0.93
	⁹⁰ Sr	1.20×10^{-3}	0.84
	¹³¹ I	4.74×10^{-4}	0.33

Differences in PM result from a combination of radiological decay and plume depletion. AIRDOS was run with and without deposition (i.e., 3 H and 131 I) and with and without decay (i.e., 3 H and 131 I) and the results led to these conclusions. In FOOD, radiological decay is not considered until the material is deposited on the ground.

3.0 COMPARISON OF DOSE CALCULATIONS

This section compares 50-year dose commitments calculated by AIRDOS to those calculated by the standard Hanford dose codes.^(a) The gaseous emissions from the Hanford Site N-Reactor stack during 1983, which are given in Table 3, are used as the source term for the dose calculations.

In this section, each dose pathway considered by AIRDOS is compared with its Hanford counterpart. Assumptions, methods, and dose factors from AIRDOS and the Hanford codes are discussed.

Pathways considered by AIRDOS include ingestion, inhalation, ground surface (external), air submersion, and swimming. The Hanford codes include the same pathways, with the exception of swimming in water contaminated from an airborne source (which has a negligible contribution to dose). FOOD and PABLM are used to compute doses from ingestion pathways and external exposure to contaminated ground.

TABLE 3.	from the N-Reactor During CY 1983 (Price et al. 1984)						
	Radionuclide	Emission (Ci)					
	з _Н	9.9 × 10 ^D					
	⁴¹ Ar	1.2×10^{5}					
	⁶⁰ Co	6.3×10^{-3}					
	⁸⁷ Kr	4.3×10^2					
	⁹⁰ sr	7.D x 1D ⁻⁴					
	131 ₁	3.4×10^{-1}					
	133 ₁	7.2×10^{-1}					
	138	5.4×10^2					

⁽a) Dose commitment from ingestion and inhalation is specified in AIRDOS-EPA documentation, but the output titles say "Annual Dose" rather than "Dose Commitment."

Doses from the swimming pathway, as well as from ingestion of drinking water and irrigated crops, are computed in FOOO for waterborne contamination. Airborne deposition onto surface water is not considered in this comparison. DACRIN computes internal doses from inhalation, and KRONIC computes external doses from air submersion.

3.1 INGESTION DOSES: AIRDOS VS. FOOD

Ingestion doses from airborne releases are estimated from the deposition pattern, transfer factors, local food production and consumption, and ingestion dose factors. FOOD and PABLM are both used for dose assessments, but the calculations are somewhat different. FOOD computes a dose from one year of uptake following a specified deposition period and a variable dose commitment period. PABLM uses uptake from one year of operation, plus uptake from residual contamination for a variable commitment period (50 years is used in the Hanford Annual Reports). Dose factors used in AIRDOS are for a commitment period of 50 years. The optional build-up time in AIRDOS for residual deposition is for comparison with PABLM or FOOD.

In estimating potential ingestion doses, the ground deposition rate of airborne materials is calculated from the air concentration and a deposition velocity. For this analysis, where AIRDOS and FOOD recommend the use of different deposition velocities (see Appendix A), the deposition velocities from the Hanford codes have been used.

A major difference between AIRDOS and FOOD is that AIRDOS considers plume depletion and FOOD does not. Depletion results in lower air concentrations and, hence, less deposition at long distances from the source of the plume for AIRDOS than for FOOD.

Translocation factors are used to determine what happens to the material after it is deposited onto soil and plant surfaces. In the Hanford codes 25% of the direct deposition is presumed to be retained on foliage; the same value was used in AIRDOS for this comparison. Both AIRDOS and FOOD use translocation factors to calculate the fraction of deposited activity that transfers from plant surfaces and the ground to the edible portion of the

7

crop. For animal products such as milk and meat, consumption rates by animals and additional translocation factors are included. The recommended transfer coefficients for each are given in Appendix A. A value of 14 days is used for the weathering half-life for both AIRDOS and the Hanford codes.

A comparison of the transfer coefficients indicates that the ingestionto-milk transfer for iodine factor, perhaps the most important value for this comparison, is the same (1.0 x 10^{-2} d/L) for both AIRDOS and FOOD. Most of the factors used in AIRDOS are within a factor of two or three of those in the Hanford transfer-factor library.

Local production and consumption rates for each foodstuff are used to estimate the intake of radionuclides. AIRDOS input parameters were adjusted to fit Hanford agriculture and ingestion patterns to correspond with calculation done for the Dose Overview Committee (McCormack, Ramsdell and Napier 1984). Consumption parameters used to calculate ingestion doses for MI and population (average) are given in Appendix A. There are two major differences between the diet presumed for Hanford residents and that recommended in the AIRDOS documentation. The Hanford dietary parameters include a higher average per capita milk consumption and the diet of the MI contains a larger quantity of locally produced fruit.

Oose conversion factors relate radionuclide intake to radiation dose. A table of dose conversion factors is not available in PABLM and FOOD; values are computed within the code. Dose factors used by the Hanford codes for a 50-year commitment from one year of uptake are, however, represented by Hoenes and Soldat (1977), which uses the same internal dosimetry model as does FOOD. These values are compared in Appendix A with the factors from Dunning et al. (1981) used in AIRDOS. Differences in dose commitment factors for the total body may be partially attributable to different definitions of "total body."

The treatment of 3 H is similar in AIRDOS and FOOD. For both AIRDOS and FOOD, concentrations in environmental media are assumed to be proportional to the 3 H in air.

8

Results--Ingestion Dose

Values of organ dose commitments to the population and to the MI are compared in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. The 50-year committed population dose to the total body calculated by FOOD and PABLM is about four times that calculated by AIRDOS. Higher dose commitments for 131 I (17 times higher) and 90 Sr (nine times higher) calculated with FOOD are from a combination of higher dose factors and deposition from a non-depleting plume.

Doses from iodine show the most difference among the codes. This difference is illustrated with thyroid dose from 131 I. FOOD indicates that the dominant dose commitment is the milk pathway, which is 3.2 out of 3.7 person-rem. The total dose commitment calculated with AIRDOS is 0.746 person-rem. The major difference is caused by plume depletion in AIRDOS from the high deposition velocity of iodine. Neglecting plume depletion in FOOD makes the population dose (average resident about 56 km from 100N) five times larger than the AIRDOS estimate, when the MI dose (4 km distance) is only 1.2 times larger.

TABLE 4. Oose to the Population from Ingestion (person-rem)

		Orga	en	
Code	Total Body	Lung	Thyroid	LLI
AIRDOS	4.0×10^{-3}	3.0×10^{-3}	6.9×10^{-1}	4.2×10^{-3}
F000	1.4×10^{-2}	1.3×10^{-3}	3.7×10^{0}	5.4×10^{-3}
PABLM	1.5 x 10 ⁻²	1.3×10^{-3}	3.6 x 10 ⁰	5.4×10^{-3}

<u>TABLE 5</u>. Dose to the Maximally Exposed Individual from Ingestion, at 4 km E (rem)

		Orga	n	_
<u>Code</u>	Total Body	Lung	Thyroid	LLI
AIRDOS	6.5×10^{-7}	2.1×10^{-7}	7.0×10^{-4}	4.2×10^{-7}
FOOD	3.3×10^{-6}	2.8×10^{-7}	8.2×10^{-4}	1.3×10^{-6}
PABLM	3.6 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.8×10^{-7}	8.2×10^{-4}	1.4×10^{-6}

Because AIRDOS does not allow for the user to input the actual location of the MI, the location normally input to the Hanford codes could not be used. The Hanford Site, with an area of almost 500 km^2 , has many uninhabited sectors. AIRDOS chose a location for the MI in one such sector, 4 km east of the N-Reactor. This location was input to the FOOD and PABLM codes. The actual location of the MI, according to McCormack et al. (1984), is 53 km SSE of the N-Reactor. At this latter location the ambient concentrations from N-Area emissions are less than 2% of those indicated by the 4-km-distant MI.

3.2 INHALATION DOSES: AIRDOS VS. DACRIN

Downwind transport and diffusion of airborne effluents are calculated from data collected during long-term onsite measurement of wind speed, wind direction and atmospheric stability. Release rates of radionuclides to the atmosphere are used with the atmospheric dispersion model to calculate air concentrations at specified locations in the environment. Radiation doses resulting from inhalation are calculated directly from these air concentrations on the basis of an inhalation rate and duration of occupancy.

DACRIN computes dose internally, using the model of the respiratory tract adopted by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) Task Group on Lung Dynamics (ICRP 1966). Information from various standard Hanford data libraries are used in these calculations. Inhalation dose factors may be obtained from DACRIN by inputting unit quantities of radioisotopes.

AIRDOS requires dose factors as input to calculate doses. AIRDOS uses all data in the form of input rather than in the form of data libraries, as with the Hanford codes.

Dose commitment in DACRIN is computed internally, but the dose commitment factors in both codes are based on the same model as the factors from Dunning et al. (1981). A comparison of dose factors from DACRIN and AIRDOS is included in Appendix A. In general, the inhalation dose factors for the two codes are within a factor of two of each other.

10

DACRIN accounts for deposition and decay in-transit; an average windspeed of 4.1 m/sec is used; in AIRDOS, a spectrum of windspeeds is generated to simulate an actual spectrum of windspeeds for travel time, decay, and deposition.

Results--Inhalation Dose

Dose commitments to the population and to the MI are given in Tables 6 and 7. Population doses to the total body are nearly identical, although contributions from various radionuclides differ (Appendix B). Other organ doses are generally within a factor of two.

3.3 EXTERNAL DOSES: AIRDOS VS. FOOD

External dose from direct exposure is calculated from the surface concentration of radionuclides deposited on the ground, and the duration of the exposure. In both the Hanford codes and AIRDOS, doses from gamma photons emitted from radionuclides on the ground are estimated using dose conversion factors derived for exposure at 1 meter above an infinite plane source.

TABLE 6.	Fifty-Year Dose	Commitment to	the	Population
	from Inhalation	(person-rem)		

Code	Total Body	Lung	<u>Thyroid</u>		
AIRDOS	6.5×10^{-4}	3.1×10^{-3}	6.0×10^{-2}	4.9×10^{-4}	
OACRIN	6.8×10^{-4}	1.4×10^{-2}	1.3×10^{-1}	2.8×10^{-4}	

<u>TABLE 7</u>. Fifty-Year Oose Commitment to the Maximally Exposed Individual from Inhalation (rem)

Code	<u>Total Body</u>	Lung	Thyroid	LLI
AIRDOS	8.0×10^{-8}	4.4×10^{-7}	1.9×10^{-5}	4.8×10^{-7}
DACRIN	7.9 x 10 ⁻⁸	1.6×10^{-6}	1.5 x 10 ⁻⁵	3.2×10^{-8}

AIRDOS uses external dose correction factors (from input) to convert surface doses to doses for total body and reference organs. FOOD uses dose factors that are the same for total body and organs. External dose factors used in FOOD and PABLM include a factor of 0.5 for surface roughness. External dose factors (Appendix A) from FOOD vary from 0.2 to 1.3 times the corresponding dose factors from AIRDOS.

Results--External Dose

Ooses to the population and to the MI from external exposure are summarized in Tables 8 and 9. The dose to the population estimated by AIRDOS is 0.018 person-rem, mostly from 60 Co. Doses from FOOD and PABLM are 0.009 and 0.008 person-rem, respectively. Because the dose factors used in FOOD and PABLM include a correction for surface roughness, external doses estimated with FOOD are roughly one-half those from AIRDOS. This ratio may be different with different radionuclide source terms because dose factors for individual radionuclides vary.

	Organ				
Code	Total Body	Lung	Thyroid		
AIRDOS	1.8×10^{-2}	1.3×10^{-2}	1.3×10^{-2}	1.5×10^{-2}	
FOOD	9.1×10^{-3}	9.1×10^{-3}	9.1×10^{-3}	9.1×10^{-3}	
PABLM	7.8 x 10 ⁻³	7.8 x 10 ⁻³	7.8 x 10 ⁻³	8.4×10^{-3}	

TABLE 8. Dose to the Population from External Exposure to Ground (person-rem)

TABLE 9. Dose to the Maximally Exposed Individual from External Exposure to Ground (rem)

Code	Total Body	Lung	Thyroid		
AIRDOS	3.4×10^{-6}	2.5×10^{-6}	2.5×10^{-6}	2.9×10^{-6}	
F00D	1.5×10^{-6}	1.5×10^{-6}	1.5×10^{-6}	1.5×10^{-6}	
PABLM	1.3×10^{-6}	1.3 x 10 ⁻⁶	1.3 x 10 ⁻⁶	1.3×10^{-6}	

Immersion in a shallow body of water (i.e., swimming pool) is considered in AIRDOS. Since the only source of contamination is deposition onto the surface of the water, the additional external dose from that pathway is negligible. The Hanford codes are capable of computing doses for swimming and shoreline exposure, but only for waterborne effluents.

3.4 AIR SUBMERSION DOSES: AIRDOS VS. KRONIC

KRONIC is the Hanford code used to calculate air submersion doses from long-term releases to the atmosphere. The gamma dose is calculated with a finite cloud model. In KRONIC the photon emissions from radionuclides are divided into energy groups. The dose is computed for each group separately then combined into a total dose. (To determine the contribution from individual nuclides, separate cases must be run.)

AIRDOS lists the contribution by radionuclide. AIRDOS estimates external doses from submersion in air containing gamma-emitting radionuclides with a dose conversion factor calculated for an infinite cloud (rem-cm³/ μ Ci-hr). The code receives a skin-dose conversion factor as input data for each radionuclide for infinite cloud exposure. This skin-dose conversion factor is multiplied in the code by an external dose correction factor for total body and each reference organ, also supplied as input data for each radionuclide.

Ranges of dose factors expressed for AIRDOS cover the range of dose factors to various organs; lungs are usually on the low end of the range, and kidneys on the high end. The doses from KRONIC are based on a tissue depth of 5 cm.

AIRDOS was run with a "lid" height (maximum atmospheric mixing height) of 2000 m to compare with KRONIC, which has a maximum $\sigma_{\tau}^{(a)}$ of 2000 m.

Results--Air Submersion Oose

Doses to the population and to the MI are given in Tables 10 and 11. Organ doses from KRONIC are presumed to be the same as the total body dose,

(a) σ_{r} is vertical crosswind standard deviation.

TABLE 10. Dose to the Population from Air Submersion (person-rem)

		Organ		
Code	Total Body	Lung	Thyroid	
AIRDOS	6.1	4.7	4.8	5.1
KRONIC	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5

<u>TABLE 11</u>. Dose to the Maximally Exposed Individual from Air Submersion (rem)

Code	<u>Total Body</u>	Lung	Lung Thyroid	
AIRDOS	3.5×10^{-3}	2.7×10^{-3}	2.8×10^{-3}	3.0×10^{-3}
KRONIC	4.3×10^{-3}	4.3×10^{-3}	4.3×10^{-3}	4.3×10^{-3}

i.e., equivalent to the dose at a 5-cm-tissue depth. The external dose from air submersion is primarily from 41 Ar, which dominates doses from N-Reactor gaseous effluents.

Air submersion dose to the population for the total body is estimated in AIROOS to be 6.1 person-rem, compared with 2.5 person-rem in KRONIC. Contributions from individual nuclides are generally within a factor of two of the AIRDOS population doses. Doses from iodine calculated by KRONIC are more than two times greater, probably because plume depletion is not addressed in KRONIC as it is in AIRDOS. Oifferences in finite vs. semi-infinite cloud are not important for population dose, where the population-weighted average distance is about 56 km.

The air submersion doses computed by KRONIC are higher for the MI than those estimated by AIRDOS because KRONIC uses a finite cloud model that accounts for the gamma dose from an overhead plume; AIRDOS uses a semi-infinite cloud model that uses ground-level concentration to calculate submersion doses. Differences for individual nuclides are generally within a factor of two.

14

Comparisons between dose factors for air submersion for AIRDOS and KRONIC would not be meaningful because the cloud models used are different. Far from the source of the plume (as in population dose), a semi-infinite cloud is a good model. Differences in contributions of individual nuclides to population dose for nuclides with a small deposition velocity may be attributed to differences in dose factors (Appendix B).

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

Comparison of doses calculated by AIRDOS and the Hanford codes for gaseous effluents released from the 100-N Area in 1983 (Price et al. 1984) shows that, for dose assessment purposes, the codes produce results that are comparable for dose assessment purposes. The Hanford codes are generally more conservative because plume depletion is not modeled.

3

4.1 MAXIMALLY EXPOSED INDIVIDUAL

A major difference in the MI doses calculated by the codes stems from the location of the MI in AIRDOS, which is 4 km east of 100N as opposed to the 53 km SSE selected by the Dose Overview Committee (McCormack, Ramsdell and Napier 1984). This comparison has been conducted on the assumption of an MI residing 4 km east of the site, although this is not the actual case.

4.2 INGESTION DOSES

Fifty-year dose commitments to comparable organs of the MI from ingestion calculated by the two codes were generally within a factor of three. Iotal body doses differ by a greater amount, possibly because of different organ weightings. Population doses estimated by the Hanford codes are generally higher because plume depletion makes a big difference at the greater distances where most of the population resides.

A combination of dose commitment factors and plume depletion accounts for most of the differences.

4.3 INHALATION DOSES

Inhalation doses from AIRDOS and DACRIN are, in most cases, within a factor of two.

16

4.4 EXTERNAL GROUND DOSES

Ratios of external doses calculated by AIRDOS and those produced by FOOD and PABLM vary from 0.4 to 0.7. The differences are partly explained by the surface roughness factor of 0.5; population doses from the Hanford codes are higher because plume depletion is ignored.

4.5 AIR SUBMERSION DOSES

Air submersion doses calculated by AIRDOS and KRONIC generally agree within a factor of two. Doses to the MI calculated by KRONIC are higher than those from AIRDOS because KRONIC uses a finite plume model.

REFERENCES

- Dunning, D. E., G. G. Killough, S. R. Bernard, J. C. Pleasant and P. J. Walsh. 1981. <u>Estimates of Internal Dose Equivalent to 22 Target Organs for</u> <u>Radionuclides Occurring in Routine Releases from Nuclear Fuel-cycle</u> <u>Facilities</u>. Vol. III. NUREG/CR-0150, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Hoenes, G. R., and J. K. Soldat. 1977. <u>Age-Specific Radiation Dose</u> <u>Commitment Factors for a One-Year Chronic Intake</u>. NUREG-0172, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Houston, J. R., D. L. Strenge and E. C. Watson. 1976. <u>DACRIN A Computer</u> <u>Program for Calculating Organ Dose from Acute or Chronic Radionuclide</u> <u>Inhalation</u>. BNWL-B-389 Rev. 1, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) Task Group for Committee II. 1966. "Task Group on Lung Dynamics for Committee II of the ICRP." Health Phys. 12:173.
- McCormack, W. D., J. V. Ramsdell and B. A. Napier. 1984. <u>Hanford Dose</u> <u>Overview Program: Standardized Methods and Data for Hanford Environmental</u> <u>Dose Calculations</u>. PNL-3777 Rev. 1, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Moore, R. E., C. F. Baes, III, L. M. McDowell-Boyer, A. P. Watson, F. O. Hoffman, J. C. Pleasant and C. W. Miller. 1979. <u>AIRDOS-EPA: A</u> <u>Computerized Methodology for Estimating Environmental Concentrations and</u> <u>Dose to Man from Airborne Releases of Radionuclides</u>. ORNL-5532, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Radiation Programs, Washington, D.C.
- Napier, B. A., R. L. Roswell, W. E. Kennedy, Jr. and D. L. Strenge. 1980. <u>ARRRG and FOOD - Computer Programs for Calculating Radiation Dose to Man</u> <u>From Radionuclides in the Environment</u>. PNL-3180, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Napier, B. A., W. E. Kennedy, Jr. and J. K. Soldat. 1980. <u>PABLM A Computer</u> <u>Program to Calculate Accumulated Radiation Doses From Radionuclides in the</u> <u>Environment</u>. PNL-3209, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Price, K. R., J. M. V. Carlile, R. L. Dirkes and M. S. Trevethan. 1984. <u>Environmental Surveillance at Hanford for CY 1983</u>. PNL-5038, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Price, K. R., J. M. V. Carlile, R. L. Dirles, R. E. Jaquish, M. S. Trevathan and R. K. Woodruff. 1985. <u>Environmental Monitoring at Hanford for 1984</u>. PNL-5407, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Strenge, D. L., and E. C. Watson. 1973. <u>KRONIC - A Computer Program for</u> <u>Calculating Annual Average External Doses from Chronic Atmospheric Releases</u> <u>of Radionuclides</u>. BNWL-B-264, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

÷ • ٠ ٠

APPENDIX A

•

٠

.

DOSE FACTORS AND INPUT PARAMETERS

APPENDIX A

DOSE FACTORS AND INPUT PARAMETERS

This Appendix documents and compares parameters used during the comparison of the AIRDOS-EPA and Hanford codes. Quantities documented include consumption parameters, transfer coefficients, and dose factors. Dose factors used by AIRDOS are compared with those in the Hanford External Dose Factor Library (GRNDFLIB) and those generated within the codes, based on the Hanford Radionuclide Master Data Library (RMDLIB) and Organ Data Library (ORGLIB).

A.1 INGESTION FACTORS: AIRDOS VS. FOOD

Information used in calculating doses from ingestion of contaminated foods are given in the following tables. Table A.1 gives deposition velocities recommended by AIRDOS and by FOOD. For this comparison, FOOD values have been used as input for both codes.

Transfer coefficients for plants, milk, and beef for both AIRDOS and the Hanford transfer factor library are given in Table A.2. Differences of a factor of three or greater are noted.

Consumption rates and holdup times used are given in Table A.3.

Table A.4 shows organ dose factors for ingestion used in AIRDOS and in FOOD. Differences of a factor of three or more are noted. Dose conversion factors for ingestion resulting from an annual intake of a radionuclide are

	Materia]					
Code	reactive gases	small particles	unreactive gases			
AIRDOS	3.5	0.18	0.018			
FOOD	1.0	0.1				

TABLE A.1.	Deposition	Velocities	Recommended	by
	AIRDOS and	FOOD (cm/se	ec)	•

(a) For example, ²I, ²Br.

(b) For example, noble gases.

	Element				
Food Item/Code	Co	Sr	<u> </u>	Cs	
Plants: Soil AIRDOS FOOD	9.4 × 10 ⁻³	2.9 x 10 ⁻¹ 2.0 x 10 ⁻¹	5.5×10^{-2} 2.0 x 10 ⁻²	9.3×10^{-3} *2.0 x 10 ⁻³	
Milk: Feed AIRDOS FOOD	5.0×10^{-4}	2.4×10^{-3} 1.5×10^{-3}	1.0×10^{-2} 1.0×10^{-2}	5.6×10^{-3} 5.0 x 10 ⁻³	
Beef: Feed AIRDOS FOOD	1.0 × 10 ⁻³	3.0×10^{-4} 3.0×10^{-4}	7.0×10^{-3} 2.0 × 10^{-2}	1.4×10^{-2} 3.0 x 10 ⁻²	

TABLE A.2. Transfer Coefficients Used in AIRDOS and FOOD

* Denotes that transfer coefficients differ by a factor of three or more.

TABLE A.3.	Consumption	Parameters	Used	in	this	Comparison
------------	-------------	------------	------	----	------	------------

Food Item	<u>Consumption</u> Maximum	Rate (kg/yr) Average	<u>Holdup Ti</u> <u>Maximum</u>	me (days) Average
Leafy vegetables	30	15	1	14
Vegetables/grain	300	212	$1 - 10^{(a)}$	14
Fruit	335	64	1-10 ^(a)	14
Meat	98	78	15	34
Milk	274 (L/yr)	230 (L/yr)	1	4

(a) Range based on different vegetable types given in FOOD--longer end of range for grain, shorter for vegetables.

provided as input to AIRDOS. Dose conversion factors include contributions by both the radionuclides and its daughters growing in after intake. To designate this, +D has been used from data listings from the Hanford codes.

TABLE A.4. Representative Ingestion Dose Factors Used in AIRDOS and FOOD (mrem/50-yr per pCi ingested in first year)

	Radionuclide					
Organ/Code	3 _H	⁶⁰ Co	90 _{Sr+D}	¹³¹ I+D	133 ₁₊₀	¹³⁸ Cs
Total Body AIRDOS FOOD	8.3 × 10 ⁻⁸ 5.99 × 10 ⁻⁸	4.37 x 10 ⁻⁶ 4.92 x 10 ⁻⁶	9.45 x 10 ⁻⁵ 1.75 x 10 ⁻⁴	9.08 x 10 ⁻⁷ *3.41 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.65 x 10 ⁻⁷ 7.53 x 10 ⁻⁷	0. *5.4 x 10 ⁻⁸
Thyroid AlRDOS FOOD	8.28 x 10 ⁻⁸ 5.99 x 10 ⁻⁸	3.10 x 10 ⁻⁶ *0.	5.99 x 10 ⁻⁶ *0.	1.80×10^{-3} 1.95×10^{-3}	4.50 x 10 ⁻⁴ 3.63 x 10 ⁻⁴	0. 0.
LLI AIRDOS FOOD	1.43 x 10 ⁻⁷ 5.99 x 10 ⁻⁸	4.02×10^{-5} 4.02×10^{-5}	7.78 x 10 ⁻⁵ *2.97 x 10 ⁻⁴	5.32 x 10 ⁻⁸ *1.57 x 10 ⁻⁶	3.98×10^{-8} =2.22 x 10^{-6}	0. 4.65 x 10 ⁻¹³

* Denotes that dose factors differ by more than a factor of three.

A.2 INHALATION DOSES: AIRDOS VS. DACRIN

Table A.5 compares inhalation dose factors computed with DACRIN to those contained in the AIRDOS documentation.

A.3 EXTERNAL EXPOSURE: AIRDOS VS. FOOD

External exposure to contaminated ground is based on dose factors given in Table A.6. The two sets of factors have two primary differences: FOOD has a factor of 0.5 built in to account for surface roughness, and dose factors for FOOD for all organs are assumed to be the same as those for the total body calculated at a 5-cm tissue depth.

A.3

	Radionuclide							
<u>Organ/Code</u>	60 _{Co}	90 _{Sr}	131_I	133 ₁	¹³⁸ Cs			
Total Body: AIRDOS OACRIN	8.2×10^{-3} 3.0 x 10^{-3}	2.4 × 10 ⁻¹ *1.7	6.1×10^{-4} *2.0 x 10 ⁻³	2.0×10^{-4} 3.8×10^{-4}	*1.4 × 10 ⁻⁵			
Lung: AIRDOS DACRIN	1.3×10^{0} 3.0 × 10 ⁰	9.9×10^{-3} 1.1×10^{-2}	2.4×10^{-3} 2.4 × 10^{-3}	3.2×10^{-3} 3.6×10^{-3}	*6.0 × 10 ⁻⁴			
Thyroid: AIRDOS DACRIN	6.0 x 10 ⁻²	1.5 x 10 ⁻²	1.1×10^{0} 1.1×10^{0}	2.4×10^{-1} 1.9 × 10 ⁻¹				
LLI: AIRDOS DACRIN	2.8×10^{-2} 2.4 x 10 ⁻²	1.4×10^{-2} 1.1×10^{-2}	3.4×10^{-5} *2.6 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.3×10^{-5} *3.6 x 10 ⁻⁴	4.9 x 10 ⁻¹¹			

TABLE A.5.	Inhalation [Dose Factors	from	AIRDOS	and
	DACRIN (rem/	/µCi)			

* Denotes that dose factors differ by more than a factor of three.

 $\frac{\text{TABLE A.6}}{\text{FOOD and AIRDOS (rem/hr per μCi/cm2)}}$

	Total	body	Lungs	LLI	
<u>Radionuclide</u>	Food	AIRDOS	AIRDOS	AIRDOS	
60 _{Co}	1.7×10^{-1}	3.2×10^{-1}	2.4×10^{-1}	2.7×10^{-1}	
⁹⁰ Sr	0.0	9.0 × 10^{-7}	9.0 x 10^{-7}	9.0×10^{-7}	
¹³¹ I	2.8×10^{-2}	2.2×10^{-2}	1.5×10^{-2}	1.8×10^{-2}	
¹³³ I	3.7×10^{-2}	7.7×10^{-2}	5.5×10^{-2}	6.6×10^{-2}	
¹³⁸ Cs	2.1×10^{-1}	2.7 x 10 ⁻¹	2.1×10^{-1}	2.3×10^{-1}	

APPENDIX B

DOSE COMMITMENTS FROM N-REACTOR GASEOUS EFFLUENTS AS CALCULATED BY AIRDOS-EPA AND HANFORD CODES

APPENDIX B

DOSE COMMITMENTS FROM N-REACTOR GASEOUS EFFLUENTS AS CALCULATED BY AIRDOS-EPA AND HANFORD CODES

This Appendix contains the dose commitments calculated for gaseous releases from N-Reactor operations during 1983 as calculated by AIRDOS-EPA and Hanford codes. The dose commitments are presented in table form for the population and the MI, by pathway. Tables B.1 and B.2 are for ingestion; Tables B.3 and B.4 are for inhalation; Tables B.5 and B.6 are for external exposure; Tables B.7 and B.8 are for air submersion.

				Organ/Co	de			
	Total	Body	L	ung	Thyr	oid		
Radionuclide	AIRDOS	FOOD	AIRDOS	FOOD	AIRDOS	FOOD	AIRDOS	FOOD
з _н	2.6×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-3}	2.6×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-3}	2.6×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-3}	2.6×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-3}
41 _{Ar}	(a)							
60 _{Co}	7.5×10^{-5}	2.3×10^{-5}	1.5×10^{-4}		5.3 x 10 ⁻⁵		6.9 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.2×10^{-4}
⁸⁷ Kr								
⁹⁰ Sr	1.0×10^{-3}	6.7×10^{-3}	6.6×10^{-5}		6.6×10^{-5}		8.5×10^{-4}	5.0×10^{-4}
131	3.5×10^{-4}	6.4×10^{-3}	1.5×10^{-4}		6.9×10^{-1}	3.7×10^{0}	2.0×10^{-5}	3.3×10^{-3}
¹³³ I	9.5 x 10 ⁻⁸	2.5×10^{-5}	8.0×10^{-8}		1.6×10^{-4}	1.2×10^{-2}	1.4×10^{-8}	8.2×10^{-5}
138 _{Cs}								
TOTAL	4.0×10^{-3}	1.4×10^{-2}	3.0 × 10 ⁻³	1.3×10^{-3}	6.9 x 10 ⁻¹	3.7 x 10 ⁰	4.2×10^{-3}	5.4 × 10^{-3}

. .

TABLE B.1. Fifty-Year Dose Commitments to the Population from Ingestion (person-rem)

(a) Dash (-) indicates not applicable to pathway or value insignificant (less than 10^{-10}).

· ·

	Organ/Code										
	Total	Body	Lu	ng	Thy	roid					
Radionuclide	ATRDOS	FOOD	AIRDOS	FOOD	AIRDOS	F00D	ATRDOS	FOOD			
³ н	2.8 x 10^{-7}	2.8 x 10^{-7}	2.8×10^{-7}	2.8 x 10 ⁻⁷	2.8×10^{-7}	2.8×10^{-7}	2.8 x 10^{-7}	2.8 x 10^{-7}			
⁴¹ Аг	(a)										
60 _{Co}	2.0 × 10 ⁻⁸	5.3 x 10 ⁻⁹	3.9×10^{-8}		1.4×10^{-8}		1.8×10^{-7}	5.0 x 10 ⁻⁸			
87 _{Kr}											
90 ₅₁	2.7 x 10^{-7}	1.6 x 10 ⁻⁶	1.7×10^{-8}	- 	1.7×10^{-8}		2.2 x 10^{-7}	1.2×10^{-7}			
131	3.5×10^{-7}	1.4×10^{-6}	1.5×10^{-7}		7.0×10^{-4}	7.9×10^{-4}	2.1×10^{-8}	7.1 x 10 ⁻⁷			
¹³³ I	1.4×10^{-9}	4.7 x 10 ⁻⁸	1.2×10^{-9}		2.4×10^{-6}	2.2×10^{-5}	2.2×10^{-10}	1.5×10^{-7}			
138 _{Cs}											
TOTAL	9.3 × 10 ⁻⁷	3.3×10^{-6}	4.9 x 10^{-7}	2.8 x 10^{-7}	7.1 × 10^{-4}	8.2×10^{-4}	7.1 x 10^{-7}	1.3 × 10 ⁻⁶			

<u>TABLE B.2</u>. Fifty-Year Dose Commitments to the MI from Ingestion (rem)

.

۰.

.

.

(a) Dash (-) indicates not applicable to pathway or value insignificant (less than 10^{-10}).

.

	Organ/Code										
	Total	Body	Lur	ng in the second se	Thyroid						
Radionuclide	AIRDOS	DACRIN	AIRDOS	DACRIN	ATRDOS	DACRIN	AIRDOS	DACRIN			
^З н	4.1×10^{-4}	2.5×10^{-4}	4.1 \times 10 ⁻⁴	2.5×10^{-4}	4.1×10^{-4}	2.4×10^{-4}	4.4×10^{-4}	(a)			
⁴¹ Аг											
60 _{Co}	1.5×10^{-4}	6.5×10^{-6}	2.4 × 10^{-3}	6.5×10^{-3}	1.1 x 10 ⁻⁴		5.3 x 10 ⁻⁵	5.2×10^{-5}			
87 _{Kr}											
90 _{Sr}	4.9×10^{-5}	1.7×10^{-4}	2.0×10^{-6}	1.6×10^{-3}	3.0×10^{-6}		2.9×10^{-6}	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁵			
131	2.4×10^{-5}	1.8×10^{-4}	9.5×10^{-5}	2.7×10^{-3}	4.3×10^{-2}	1.0×10^{-1}	1.3×10^{-6}	7.8 x 10 ⁻⁵			
133	1.3×10^{-5}	5.4×10^{-5}	2.1 \times 10 ⁻⁴	2.1×10^{-3}	1.6 x 10 ⁻²	2.7 x 10^{-2}	1.5×10^{-6}	1.4×10^{-4}			
¹³⁸ Cs		1.7×10^{-5}		7.0×10^{-4}				<u></u>			
TOTAL	6.5×10^{-4}	6.8×10^{-4}	3.1×10^{-3}	1.4×10^{-2}	6.0×10^{-2}	1.3 x 10 ⁻¹	4.9 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.8 x 10^{-4}			

• • •

TABLE B.3. Fifty-Year Dose Commitments to the Population from Inhalation (person-rem)

(a) Dash (-) indicates not applicable to pathway or value insignificant (less than 10^{-10}).

• •

				Organ/(Code			
	Total	Body	Lung		Thyr	oid		
Radionuclide	AIRDOS	DACRTN	AIRDOS	DACRIN	AIRDOS	DACRIN	ATRDOS	DACRIN
^З Н	4.4×10^{-8}	2.9×10^{-8}	4.4×10^{-8}	2.9×10^{-8}	4.4×10^{-8}	2.9×10^{-8}	4.7×10^{-7}	(a)
41 _{Ar}								
⁶⁰ Co	1.8 x 10 ⁻⁸	7.4×10^{-10}	2.9 x 10 ⁻⁷	7.4 x 10 ⁻⁷	1.3×10^{-8}		6.4×10^{-9}	5.7×10^{-9}
⁸⁷ Кг								
90 ₅₁	6.0×10^{-9}	2.0×10^{-8}	2.5 x 10^{-10}	1.8 x 10 ⁻⁷	3.7×10^{-10}		3.5×10^{-10}	1.2×10^{-9}
131	7.1×10^{-9}	2.0 x 10 ⁻⁸	2.8×10^{-8}	3.1×10^{-7}	1.3×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-5}	4.0×10^{-10}	9.1 \times 10 ⁻⁹
¹³³ I	5.0 x 10 ⁻⁹	6.2×10^{-9}	7.8 x 10^{-8}	2.4 x 10^{-7}	5.9×10^{-6}	3.1×10^{-6}	5.6 x 10 ⁻¹⁰	1,6 x 10 ⁻⁸
¹³⁸ Cs		2.5×10^{-9}	• 	1.1×10^{-7}				
TOTAL	8.0×10^{-8}	7.9×10^{-8}	4.4×10^{-7}	1.6 x 10 ⁻⁶	1.9×10^{-5}	1.5 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.8×10^{-7}	3.2×10^{-8}

TABLE B.4. Fifty-Year Dose Commitments to the MI from Inhalation (rem)

Т.

(a) Dash (-) indicates not applicable to pathway or value insignificant (less than 10^{-10}).

.

	Organ/Code									
	Total	Body	Lu	ng	Thy	Thyroid		LLI		
Radionuclide	ATROOS	FOOD	AIRDOS	FOOD	AIRDOS	FOOD	ATROOS	FOOD		
^З н	(a)									
41 _{Ar}										
60 _{Co}	1.6 x 10 ⁻²	3.3 x 10 ⁻³	1.2×10^{-2}	3.3×10^{-3}	1.2×10^{-2}	3.3×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-2}	3.3×10^{-3}		
87Kr										
90 _{Sr}	2.2×10^{-8}		2.2×10^{-8}		2.2×10^{-8}		2.2×10^{-8}			
¹³¹	9.9×10^{-4}	1.3×10^{-3}	6.6×10^{-4}	1.3×10^{-3}	4.2×10^{-4}	1.3×10^{-3}	8.2×10^{-4}	1.3×10^{-3}		
¹³³ I	5.9 x 10^{-4}	3.8×10^{-4}	4.3×10^{-4}	3.8×10^{-4}	2.6×10^{-4}	3.8×10^{-4}	5.1 \times 10 ⁻⁴	3.8×10^{-4}		
¹³⁸ Cs	3.6×10^{-4}	4.2×10^{-3}	2.8×10^{-4}	4.2×10^{-3}	2.9×10^{-4}	4.2×10^{-3}	3.0×10^{-4}	4.2×10^{-3}		
TOTAL	1.8 x 10 ⁻²	9.2×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-2}	9.1 x 10 ⁻³	1.3 x 10 ⁻²	9.1 x 10 ⁻³	1.5×10^{-2}	9.1 x 10 ⁻³		

- - 1

TABLE B.5. Fifty-Year Dose Commitments to the Population from External Exposure to Ground (person-rem)

(a) Dash (-) indicates not applicable to pathway or value insignificant (less than 10^{-10}).

•

	Organ/Code										
	Total	Body	Lu	ung	Thy	roid					
<u>Radionuclide</u>	AIRDOS	FOOD	AIRDOS	FOOD	AIRDOS	FOOD	AIRDOS	FOOD			
3H 41	(a)										
60 ^{Ar} 87Co 87Kr	1.9×10^{-6}	5.6 \times 10 ⁻⁷	1.4×10^{-6}	5.6 x 10 ⁻⁷	1.5 x 10 ⁻⁶	5.6 x 10 ⁻⁷	1.6×10^{-6}	5.6 x 10 ⁻⁷			
90Sr 1311 1331 138Cs	2.9 x 10-7 2.2 x 10-7 9.9 x 10	2.1 x 10 ⁻⁷ 6.4 x 10 ⁻⁸ 6.9 x 10 ⁻⁷	1.9×10^{-7} 1.6×10^{-7} 7.8×10^{-7}	2.1 x 10 ⁻⁷ 6.4 x 10 ⁻⁸ 6.9 x 10 ⁻⁷	1.2 × 10 ⁻⁷ 9.6 × 10 ⁻⁸ 8.3 × 10 ⁻⁷	2.1 × 10 ⁻⁷ 6.4 × 10 ⁻⁸ 6.9 × 10 ⁻⁷	2.4 x 10 ⁻⁷ 1.9 x 10 ⁻⁷ 8.5 x 10 ⁻⁷	2.1×10^{-7} 6.4×10^{-8} 6.9×10^{-7}			
TOTAL	3.4×10^{-6}	1.5×10^{-6}	2.5×10^{-6}	1.5 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.5×10^{-6}	1.5 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.9 x 10 ⁻⁶	1.5×10^{-6}			

<u>TABLE B.6</u>. Fifty-Year Dose Commitments to the MI from External Exposure to Ground (rem)

÷

--

(a) Dash (-) indicates not applicable to pathway or value insignificant (less than 10^{-10}).

.

•

				Organ/Code	3			
	Total	Body	Lung		Thyre	Thyroid		
Radionuclide	ATRDOS	RRONIC	ATRDOS	KRONIC	AIRDOS	KRONIC	AIRDOS	KRONIC
³ н	(a)							
⁴¹ Ar	6.1×10^{0}	2.5×10^{0}	4.7 × 10 ⁰	2.5×10^{0}	4.8×10^{0}	2.5×10^{0}	5.1×10^{0}	2.5×10^{0}
60 _{Co}	3.7×10^{-6}	4.5×10^{-6}	2.8×10^{-6}	4.5×10^{-6}	2.9×10^{-6}	4.5×10^{-6}	3.1×10^{-6}	4.5×10^{-6}
87 _{Kr}	9.2×10^{-3}	5.6×10^{-3}	7.2×10^{-3}	5.6 x 10^{-3}	7.6 x 10 ⁻³	5.6×10^{-3}	8.1×10^{-3}	5.6 x 10^{-3}
90 _{Sr}								
131 ₁	1.1×10^{-5}	3.6 x 10 ⁻⁵	7.0 x 10 ⁻⁶	3.6×10^{-5}	4.5×10^{-6}	3.6×10^{-5}	8.7×10^{-6}	3.6×10^{-5}
133	2.8 × 10 ⁻⁵	6.6×10^{-5}	2.0×10^{-5}	6.6×10^{-5}	1.3×10^{-5}	6.6×10^{-5}	2.4×10^{-5}	6.6×10^{-5}
¹³⁸ Cs	7.4×10^{-3}	2.2×10^{-3}	5.9×10^{-3}	2.2×10^{-3}	6.3×10^{-3}	2.2×10^{-3}	6.4×10^{-3}	2.2×10^{-3}
TOTAL	6.1 × 10 ⁰	2.5 × 10 ⁰	4.7 x 10 ⁰	2.5 × 10 ⁰	4.8 × 10 ⁰	2.5 × 10 ⁰	5.1 × 10 ⁰	2.5 x 10 ⁰

. . . .

(a) Dash (-) indicates not applicable to pathway or value insignificant (less than 10^{-10}).

.

		Organ/Code										
	Total	Body	Lur	g	Thyr	oid						
Radionuclide	AIRDOS	KRONIC ^(a)	AIRDOS	KRONIC	AIRDOS	KRONIC	AIRDOS	KRONIC				
з _н	(Ь)											
41 _{Ar}	3.5×10^{-3}	4.3×10^{-3}	2.7×10^{-3}	4.3×10^{-3}	2.8×10^{-3}	4.3×10^{-3}	3.0×10^{-3}	4.3×10^{-3}				
م ⁶⁰	4.5×10^{-10}	5.9×10^{-10}	3.4×10^{-10}	5.9×10^{-10}	3.5×10^{-10}	5.9×10^{-10}	3.8×10^{-10}	5.9 x 10 ⁻¹⁰				
⁸⁷ Кг	7.3×10^{-6}	1.5×10^{-5}	5.7×10^{-6}	1.5×10^{-5}	6.0×10^{-6}	1.5×10^{-5}	6.4×10^{-6}	1.5 x 10-5				
⁹⁰ 5r												
¹³¹ I	3.1×10^{-9}	5.1 \times 10 ⁻⁹	2.1×10^{-9}	5.1 \times 10 ⁻⁹	1.3×10^{-9}	5,1 x 10 ⁻⁹	2.6×10^{-9}	5.1×10^{-9}				
¹³³ 1	1.0×10^{-8}	1.3×10^{-8}	7.5 x 10 ⁻⁹	1.3×10^{-8}	4.6×10^{-9}	1.3×10^{-8}	8.9×10^{-9}	1.3×10^{-8}				
138 _{Cs}	2.1×10^{-5}	1.7×10^{-5}	<u>1.7 x 10⁻⁵</u>	1.7×10^{-5}	1.8×10^{-5}	1.7×10^{-5}	1.8×10^{-5}	1.7×10^{-5}				
TOTAL	3.5×10^{-3}	4.3×10^{-3}	2.7 × 10^{-3}	4.3×10^{-3}	2.8 × 10^{-3}	4.3×10^{-3}	3.0×10^{-3}	4.3×10^{-3}				

TABLE B.8. Fifty-Year Dose Commitments to the MI from Air Submersion (rem)

-

٠

•

 ⁽a) Dose from KRONIC is for 5-cm tissue depth.
(b) Dash (-) indicates not applicable to pathway or value insignificant (less than 10⁻¹⁰).

, **1**

DISTRIBUTION

No. of Copies

OFFSITE

ONSITE

No. of

Copies

30 DOE Technical Information Center

ONSITE

- 11 DOE Richland Operations Office
 - R. E. Austin
 - P. K. Clark
 - D. R. Elle
 - E. A. Erichsen
 - T. R. Fitzsimmons
 - R. E. Gerton
 - M. J. Lawrence
 - M. J. Plahuta
 - M. W. Tiernan DOE Public Document Reading Room (2)
- 2 Rockwell Hanford Operations
 - G. F. Boothe
 - P. D. Rittmann

UNC Nuclear Industries

J. J. Dorian

Westinghouse Hanford Company

- J. J. Davis
- 62 Pacific Northwest Laboratory
 - R. L. Aaberg (10)
 - P. E. Bramson
 - V. L. Brouns
 - J. B. Brown, Jr.
 - J. P. Corley
 - J. T. Denovan
 - D. W. Dragnich
 - C. E. Elderkin

W. A. Haerer J. M. Hales K. A. Hawley P. C. Hays G. R. Hoenes W. E. Kennedy, Jr. R. E. Jaquish R. L. Kathren (1D) W. D. McCormack B. A. Napier (10) K. R. Price J. V. Ramsdell R. G. Schreckhise J. K. Soldat J. A. Stottlemyre D. L. Strenge M. J. Sula C. M. Unruh E. C. Watson R. E. Wildung Technical Information (5) Publishing Coordination (2) ι ۲