MUC-ERR-211

This document consists of
4 pages and 6 figures.
No. 15 of 20 copies.
Series A.

DR-0759-5

DECLASSIFIED

Rev TID 1116, dated
5-15-56

UMSul
29 Sep 58

BIOCHEMICAL SURVEY SECTION

Edwin M. Russell

DOE/NBM--5003498

DE85 003498

REPORT FOR THE MONTH, JUNE, 1946

1. J.J.N.	7. A.H.D.	13. R.E.Z.
2. J.E.W.	8. S.L.W.	14. G.P.
3. K.Z.M.	9. K.S.C.	15. R.S.S.
4. A.M.B.	10. H.M.P.	16. P.S.H.
5. L.H.H.	11. J.E.R.	17. Chl.Tech.File
6. J.G.H.	12. L.O.J.	18. E.R.R.(2)
		19. Clin.Cen.File

Please sign and date below before reading this document.

MASTER

NAME	DATE	NAME	DATE

This document is
PUBLICLY RELEASABLE
Hugh Himes
Authorizing Official
Date: 6/7/08

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

NOTICE

REPRODUCTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED
EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

LEGIBILITY NOTICE

A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest possible dissemination of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state, and local governments. Non-DOE originated information is also disseminated by the Technical Information Center to support ongoing DOE programs.

Although large portions of this report are not reproducible, it is being made available only in paper copy form to facilitate the availability of those parts of the document which are legible. Copies may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service. Authorized recipients may obtain a copy directly from the Department of Energy's Technical Information Center.

[REDACTED]

June 20, 1946

TO: Dr. J. J. Nickson--Director, Health Division

FROM: E. R. Russell

Subject: Monthly Summary for Biochemical Survey Section

Plutonium Injection Studies: Plutonium appearing in the feces of individuals working with or in areas contaminated with the element may indicate (1) that the material is deposited in the lungs and is being coughed up and swallowed, (2) that the material has entered the intestines by way of the mouth, (3) that the material is deposited in the body and is being eliminated by the intestines, or (4) that the fecal elimination is a combination of any or all of the preceding factors. The experimental evidence on the rates of elimination of plutonium by way of the kidneys and the intestines is such that one could very easily establish the existence of plutonium incorporated in the body. Urinary plutonium excretion is from 2-4 fold greater than fecal plutonium excretion.

In a preliminary survey on a few persons of the Metallurgical Laboratory, single fecal specimens were found to contain as much as $200 \times c/m$. Some of these individuals were removed from contaminated areas for at least one week and a fecal analysis at the end of this period showed only a slight decrease. Even at the end of two weeks one individual having an initial count of $\sim 200 \times c/m$ in a single specimen decreased to only $30 \times c/m$. That the plutonium was not incorporated in the body was shown by simultaneous analysis of the urine which indicated a body content of less than 0.1 microgram.

The question as to whether the plutonium detected in the feces was coming from the lungs or if there was a slow elimination of injected material is one which could not be answered since no experiments had been conducted along this line. The animal studies by R. Abrams on the inhalation of plutonium aerosols showed a lung retention of 50% after 20-30 days and 10-20% retention after 100 days. This would indicate fairly slow lung elimination. In order that one might gain some idea as to the rate of intestinal elimination, it was thought advisable to conduct experiments with humans whereby approximately $400 \times c/m$ were injected and the elimination rate followed. Previous experiments with animals showed that less than 0.1% of injected plutonium is absorbed from the gut and therefore this amount of plutonium would not result in the absorption of above tolerance amounts. The details of the tests are given in the following section.

On May 13, 1946, six individuals drank a solution prepared as follows: 10 ml of a 0.01 M hydrochloric acid solution containing 39 α c/m of plutonium per ml was added to 100 ml of drinking water and without mixing the individual drank the solution. The solution was prepared in this manner to (1) minimize the adsorption on the glass container, and (2) to minimize the hydrolytic action.

During the first 24 hours after the injection, each individual collected the urine eliminated and this was assayed for plutonium. All of the specimens showed that less than 0.1% of the plutonium was absorbed. Each fecal specimen was collected during the following six days and the plutonium content determined. The analyses are based on a 90% recovery which is the efficiency of the bismuth phosphate-lanthanum fluoride method. The results are shown in Figures 1 through 6. There is an uncertainty for the first point obtained in Figure 3 due to the condition of the specimen when received. However, it is indicated from these results that the half life of plutonium in the intestines is sufficiently different from that in the lungs (on the basis of animal studies) for one to determine if plutonium is deposited in the lungs by an analysis of the stool specimens over at least a two week period. These tests will be repeated where a cathartic is given immediately after the injection of the plutonium.

I. Routine Stool Analysis: 249-MLH-3510 (Sellers-Monroe)

In a previous report (MUC-ERR-206) the results of the analysis of stool specimens obtained from two individuals were given. These individuals were known to have been exposed to an atmosphere having above tolerance amounts of plutonium. Since this time specimens have been analysed from individuals working in less contaminated areas. The results indicate that over 80% of the persons working in areas where as much as 100 micrograms of plutonium are handled, even though the air count is below tolerance, there is danger of accumulation in the lungs unless adequate precautions are taken. A few of the individuals (9) in the West Stands showed counts ranging from 1-30 α c/m in a single fecal specimen. Specimens analysed from other areas (only 4 in number) where little if any plutonium is handled showed less than 1 α c/m. Before any definite conclusions can be drawn it would be necessary to make a more thorough study of individuals in the various areas.

A. Fecal Specimens Received

Chicago	60
Other	1
Total	61

B. Specimens Analysed

Chicago	60
Other	1
Total	61

II. Routine Urine Survey: 249-MLH-3501 (Jackson-Sellers-Monroe)

A. Urine Specimens Received

Chicago59
 Other5
 Backlog20
 Total84

B. Specimens Analysed

Chicago76
 Other5
 Total81

C. Backlog 3

Special Urines: R. Lesko

A. Received 34

B. Analysed 32

The data in Table I is a continuation of the urinary plutonium excretion results of Table III, MUC-ERR-206. As is seen the daily plutonium excretion has remained at nearly 0.01% at the end of 160 days.

Table I
 Daily Plutonium Urinary Excretion
 (MX-200)

Days After Injection	24-hour Volume	% of Injected Dose Excreted
130	540 ml*	0.0091
131	810*	0.011
133	550*	0.0075
135	600*	0.0077
137	710*	0.0094
139	880*	0.010
142	950*	0.0089
145	460*	0.006
149	1140*	0.010
152	490*	0.011
155	430*	0.0056
156	560*	0.011
158	520*	0.012
159	285*	0.0037
160	335*	0.012
161	490*	0.0073
163	520*	0.0086

* Uncertain about complete 24 collection--Results on the basis of volume received.

III. Sputum Analysis 249-MLH-3520

A. Specimens Received and Analysed 2

IV. Experimental Tissue Analysis 365-MLH-3530 (Reed-Brown)

A. Specimens Received 100

B. Specimens Analysed 80

Plutonium Therapy Studies: Metal Displacement

(Schubert-Revinson-Tolmach)

A group of white female rats (~200 grams body weight) were given intravenous injections of 0.24 mgm of plutonium in the form of the +6 citrate. A few hours later they were subjected to metal therapy treatment--namely, interperitoneal injections of zirconium in sodium citrate solution. The amounts of zirconium given were previously found to be non-toxic.

The results of the excretion levels for the first week were extremely gratifying. Rats which received 500 mgms Zr per kg body weight (I.P. injection) a few hours following plutonium administration excreted in the urine 14.4 - 18.1% of the total injected plutonium in the first day while the controls excreted only 1.14 - 1.86% of the total injected plutonium. Part of the therapeutic effect was due to the sodium citrate solvent since those animals receiving identical doses of sodium citrate except for Zr excreted 6.27 - 6.42% of the plutonium.

On the second day the excretion level of the control rats dropped to .068 - .136%, but the rats which had received an additional 250 mgms of zirconium excreted 1.30 - 1.34%. On the second day the excretion level of the rats that had received 500 mgms of zirconium had dropped to .185 - .284%, the third day to .046 - .088%. On the third day, however, they were given an additional 500 mgms of zirconium and this caused the plutonium excretion to rise to 1.18 - 1.21% on the fourth day as compared to .038 - .123% for the controls.

Full details will be provided in a forthcoming report. The results reported here confirm earlier work. There is reason to believe that the excretion levels can be raised considerably higher. It appears that the fecal excretion is unaffected one way or the other by the treatment.