UNCLASSIFIED

Chemistry-General

ORNL-942

30327

Contract No. W-7405, eng. 26

Reactor Experimental Engineering Division

THE CORROSION OF HOT-PRESSED, EXTRUDED, AND VACUUM
CAST BERYLLIUM IN SIMULATED COOLING WATER
FOR THE MATERIALS TESTING REACTOR

by

James Reed

Price \$ 0.60

Available from the
Office of Technical Services
Department of Commerce
Washington 25, D. C.

Date Issued

JAN 9 1953

CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED

DATE MAR 2 1957

For The Atomic Energy Commission

H. B. Canale
Chief, Declassification Branch

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

Operated by

CARBIDE AND CARBON CHEMICALS COMPANY

A Division of Union Carbide and Carbon Corporation

Post Office Box P

Oak Ridge, Tennessee

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission

A. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission to the extent that such employee or contractor prepares, handles or distributes, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission.

UNCLASSIFIED

DECLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 3 -

CONFIDENTIAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	9
<u>ABSTRACT</u>	10
<u>PART I - THE CORROSION RESISTANCE OF PRODUCTION HOT-PRESSED AND VACUUM CAST EXTRUDED BERYLLIUM</u>	11
Test Materials and Treatment	11
Description of Corrosion Test Method	14
Data and Discussion of Results	16
The Relative Corrosion Resistance of Hot- pressed and Vacuum Cast Extruded Beryllium	16
The Effect of Surface Cold Work on the Corrosion Resistance of Beryllium	32
The Effect of Water Quality on the Corrosion Resistance of Beryllium	42
<u>PART II - THE EFFECT OF IMPURITIES ON THE CORROSION RESISTANCE OF BERYLLIUM</u>	49
Test Materials and Treatment	49
Description of Corrosion Test Method	50
Data and Discussion of Results	57
<u>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</u>	89

RESTRICTED DATA

This document contains restricted data as defined
in the Atomic Energy Act of 1946. It is prohibited
or the disclosure of its contents in any manner to
an unauthorized person is prohibited.

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

DECLASSIFIED

CONFIL

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 4 -

LIST OF TABLES

	<u>Page</u>
I Chemical Analyses of Hot-Pressed Beryllium	13
II Typical Analysis of Distilled Water Used in Corrosion Tests	15
III The Corrosion of QM-V Beryllium (Lot No. Y4478BP) Exposed to Distilled Water Containing 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide at 85°C	24
IV The Corrosion of QPT Beryllium (Lot No. Y4478BP) Exposed to Distilled Water Containing 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide at 85°C	26
V The Corrosion of QRM Beryllium (Lot No. Y4460BP) Exposed to Distilled Water Containing 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide at 85°C	28
VI The Corrosion of Vacuum Cast Extruded Beryllium (Heat No. 164) Exposed to Distilled Water Containing 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide at 85°C	30
VII The Corrosion of QM-V Beryllium (Lot No. Y4478BP) Machined and/or Ground by Different Ways Exposed to Distilled Water Containing 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide at 85°C	36
VIII The Corrosion of QPT Beryllium (Lot No. Y4483BP) Machined and/or Ground by Different Ways Exposed to Distilled Water Containing 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide at 85°C	38
IX The Corrosion of QRM Beryllium (Lot No. Y4460BP) Machined and/or Ground by Different Ways Exposed to Distilled Water Containing 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide at 85°C	40
X The Effect of Chloride Ions on the Static Corrosion of Hot-Pressed Beryllium Exposed for 153 Days to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	47
XI The Effect of Sulfate Ions on the Static Corrosion of Hot Pressed Beryllium Exposed for 182 Days to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	48

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

037229 030

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 5 -

CONFIDENTIAL

	<u>Page</u>
XII The Effect of Cupric Ions on the Static Corrosion of Hot-Pressed Beryllium Exposed for 75 Days to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	48
XIII Chemical Analyses of Cast Beryllium Containing no Added Impurities	51
XIV Chemical Analyses of Cast Beryllium Containing Additions of Aluminum	52
XV Chemical Analyses of Cast Beryllium Containing Additions of Aluminum	53
XVI Chemical Analyses of Cast Beryllium Containing Additions of Iron	54
XVII Chemical Analyses of Cast Beryllium Containing Additions of Iron	55
XVIII Chemical Analyses of Cast Beryllium Containing Additions of Silicon	56
XIX The Corrosion of Cast Beryllium (Casting No. B-15) Containing No Impurity Additions Exposed to Distilled Water at 85°C	64
XX The Corrosion of Cast Beryllium (Casting No. B-16) Containing no Impurity Additions Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	66
XXI The Corrosion of Cast Beryllium Containing 0.30% Aluminum Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	68
XXII The Corrosion of Cast Beryllium Containing 0.44% Aluminum Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	70
XXIII The Corrosion of Cast Beryllium Containing 0.73% Aluminum Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	72
XXIV The Corrosion of Cast Beryllium Containing 1.05% Aluminum Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	74

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

CONFIDENTIAL
DECLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

CONFIDENTIAL

- 6 -

	<u>Page</u>
XXV The Corrosion of Cast Beryllium Containing 0.23% Iron Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	76
XXVI The Corrosion of Cast Beryllium Containing 0.34% Iron Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	78
XXVII The Corrosion of Cast Beryllium Containing 0.40% Iron Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	80
XXVIII The Corrosion of Cast Beryllium Containing 0.18% Silicon Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	82
XXIX The Corrosion of Cast Beryllium Containing 0.201% Silicon Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	84
XXX The Corrosion of Hot Pressed Beryllium Containing 0.11% Be ₂ C Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	86
XXXI The Corrosion of Hot-Pressed Beryllium Containing 0.23% Be ₂ C Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	87
XXXII The Corrosion of Hot-Pressed Beryllium Containing 0.26% Be ₂ C Exposed to Distilled Water (0.005M H ₂ O ₂) at 85°C	88

~~SECRET~~

SECURITY INFORMATION

CONFIDENTIAL

0371224 1030

LIST OF FIGURES AND PHOTOGRAPHS

	<u>Page</u>
1. Weight Loss vs. Time Relationship for Vacuum Cast and Hot-Pressed Beryllium Exposed to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	18
2. Corrosion Rate vs. Time Relationship for Vacuum Cast and Hot-Pressed Beryllium Exposed to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	19
3. QM-V Beryllium Samples (Lot No. Y4478BP) After Exposure to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	20
4. QRM Beryllium Samples (Lot No. Y4478BP) After Exposure to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	21
5. QPT Beryllium Samples (Lot No. Y4483BP) After Exposure to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	22
6. Vacuum Cast Extruded Beryllium Samples (Billet No. 164) After Exposure to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	23
7. QPT Beryllium Samples (Lot No. Y4483BP) Machined by Different Procedures After 10 Months Exposure to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	33
8. QPT Beryllium Samples (Lot No. Y4483BP) Machined by Different Procedures After 10 Months Exposure to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	34
9. QPT Beryllium Samples (Lot No. Y4483BP) Machined by Different Procedures After 10 Months Exposure to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	35
10. Corrosion Product Formation on QRM Beryllium Samples (Lot No. Y4460BP) After 154 Days Exposure to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C Containing Additions of Chloride	44
11. Corrosion Product Formation on QRM Beryllium Samples (Lot No. Y4460BP) After 182 Days Exposure to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C Containing Additions of Sulfate	45

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 8 -

	<u>Page</u>
12. Corrosion Product Formation on QRM Beryllium Samples (Lot No. Y4460BP) After 75 Days Exposure to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C Containing Additions of Cupric Ions	46
13. Weight Loss vs. Time Relationship for Cast Beryllium Containing No Impurity Additions Exposed to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	59
14. Weight Loss vs. Time Relationship for Cast Beryllium Containing Additions of Aluminum Exposed to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	60
15. Weight Loss vs. Time Relationship for Cast Beryllium Containing Additions of Iron Exposed to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	61
16. Weight Loss vs. Time Relationship for Cast Beryllium Containing Additions of Silicon Exposed to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	62
17. Weight Loss vs. Time Relationship for Hot-Pressed Beryllium Containing Additions of Beryllium Carbide Exposed to 0.005M Hydrogen Peroxide Solution at 85°C	63

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

037122A 1030

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

CONFIDENTIAL

- 9 -

INTRODUCTION

This report is issued as Part I-D of a series of reports concerned with corrosion studies for the Materials Testing Reactor by the Corrosion Group of the Reactor Experimental Engineering Division at Oak Ridge National Laboratory.* Most of these data have been previously published in progress reports and memoranda. Another report, Part I-E, will be issued at a later date to cover the results of long-term tests made on representative samples of beryllium used in the construction of the Materials Testing Reactor.

The technology of hot-pressed beryllium production had advanced rapidly by the end of 1949. Additional corrosion information was needed to determine the type of beryllium metal best suited for reflector material. The effects of surface cold work, metal purity, and water quality on the corrosion resistance required further investigation. Consequently, the beryllium corrosion program was oriented to provide this information.

The test results given in this report have been divided into two parts. Part I is devoted to corrosion studies made on hot-pressed and vacuum cast extruded metal which was considered representative of production. Part II is concerned with the results of tests made on special cast and hot-pressed beryllium which contained added impurities.

* Other reports issued in this series include:

Part I: Interim Report on the Corrosion of Beryllium in Simulated Cooling Water for the Proposed Development Reactor, James L. English, ORNL-298, March 16, 1949.

Part I-A: Initial Corrosion Tests on Brush Process QM and QRM Beryllium, Arnold R. Olsen, ORNL-733, July 14, 1950.

Part I-B: The Corrosion of Beryllium in Simulated Cooling Water for the Materials Testing Reactor, James L. English, ORNL-772, January 23, 1951.

Part I-C: The Effect of Metallurgical Variables on the Corrosion of Extruded Beryllium, Arnold R. Olsen, ORNL-1146.

Part II: The Corrosion of 356 Aluminum in Simulated Cooling Water for the Proposed Materials Testing Reactor, James L. English, ORNL-681, July 20, 1950.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

CONFIDENTIAL

DECLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 10 -

ABSTRACT

The results of stagnant tests to determine the effects of production method, surface cold work, water quality, and metal purity on the corrosion resistance of beryllium to aqueous media are reported. Distilled water, with and without added ionic impurities, containing hydrogen peroxide (0.005M) at a temperature of 85°C was the corrosive medium.

The corrosion resistance of three types of hot-pressed beryllium and vacuum cast extruded beryllium was almost identical after 12 months of exposure. Corrosion rates of 0.007, 0.008, and 0.009 mils/mo. were obtained for QM-V, QPT, and QRM hot-pressed samples, respectively. A rate of 0.008 mils/mo. was obtained for the vacuum cast extruded metal. The most significant corrosion damage was caused by light pitting which was slightly more severe on the QRM metal. The maximum pit depths obtained for the hot-pressed metal were 15.7 mils for the QRM, 7.1 mils for the QM-V, and 3.1 mils for the QPT. The greatest pit depth obtained on the vacuum cast extruded metal was 7.4 mils. The greatest number of pits on the QRM metal was 6 pits/cm². Pit frequencies for the other three types were, in most instances, lower than this value.

The effect of surface cold work produced by grinding and milling on the corrosion resistance of hot-pressed beryllium was studied. Surfaces produced by grinding cuts of 0.005, 0.010, and 0.020" were equally corrosion resistant for a period of 10 months. Cold-worked surfaces produced by milling cuts 1/8" or less in depth exhibited about the same corrosion resistance as the ground surfaces. Cold work produced by deep milling cuts of 1/4 and 1/2" increased the initial corrosion, but the long-term effect was less pronounced. The pitting on deeply cold-worked metal (1/2" milling cut) was more localized after 10 months of exposure. Grinding improved the corrosion resistance of deeply cold-worked surfaces.

The susceptibility of beryllium to pitting was greatly accelerated by the presence of chloride, sulfate, and cupric ions in the corrosive medium. In order to avoid excessive pitting, the concentrations of these constituents should be maintained as low as economically feasible. Tolerable upper limits are 0.2 ppm chloride, 2.0 ppm sulfate, and 0.02 ppm cupric ions.

Tests were run to determine the corrosive effects of various impurities normally present in beryllium. Cast beryllium containing additions of aluminum, iron, and silicon exhibited no detrimental effects attributable to these constituents after 13 months of exposure. The impurity concentrations studied were as follows: 0.30, 0.44, 0.73, and 1.05% Al; 0.23, 0.34, and 0.40% Fe; and 0.186 and 0.201% Si. Hot-pressed metal containing 0.11, 0.23, and 0.26% Be₂C showed no significant difference in corrosion resistance after 7 months of exposure.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

CONFIDENTIAL

0170201030

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

CONFIDENTIAL

- 11. -

PART I

THE CORROSION RESISTANCE OF PRODUCTION
HOT-PRESSED AND VACUUM CAST EXTRUDED
BERYLLIUM

This part of the report covers corrosion studies made on hot-pressed and vacuum cast extruded beryllium samples which were considered comparable representatives of production during 1949. These studies were made to determine the effect of production method, machining technique, and water quality on the corrosion resistance of beryllium.

Test Materials and Treatment

The test samples of QM-V, QPT, and QRM hot-pressed beryllium metal were procured from The Brush Beryllium Company in the form of small slabs having the following dimensions: 1" x 1" x 1/4". A 3/16" diameter hole was drilled near one corner. Various machining methods were used on all three types so that the effect of surface cold work on the corrosion resistance could be studied. The reported chemical analyses of these materials are given in Table I.

A brief description of the chemical and metallurgical background of the three types of hot-pressed beryllium is as follows:

1. QPT beryllium refers to powder metallurgy shapes produced from less than 200 mesh powder which was made by crushing, rolling, and attritioning pressure furnace primary grade pebbles. Primary grade pebbles are produced by the pressure furnace reduction of beryllium fluoride with magnesium. This material generally assays 98.5% or better.
2. QRM beryllium refers to powder metallurgy shapes produced from less than 200 mesh powder which was made by crushing, rolling, and attritioning pressure furnace remelt beryllium pebbles. Remelt beryllium is produced in a pressure furnace by melting beryllium metal in the fluoride slag remaining from pressure furnace primary reduction runs. This metal assays 98.5% or better. Its magnesium and slag content is lower than in QPT metal.
3. QM-V beryllium refers to powder metallurgy shapes produced from less than 200 mesh powder made by chipping and attritioning vacuum cast billets.

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

CONFIDENTIAL

DECLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 12 -

The general procedure used by The Brush Beryllium Company for machining the test samples was as follows: After rough-machining the hot-pressed blocks, slabs 1" in thickness were cut on a Peerless saw. These slabs were cut into approximately 1" cross sections on a shaper and were then ground to size. The ground 1" cross-section bars were cut into slabs of various thickness on a Radiac. The two faces of these slabs produced by the Radiac cuts were then machined and/or ground by the various procedures given in the extreme left-hand columns of Tables VII, VIII, and IX.

All milling machine cuts were made with a 6" carbide-tipped end mill, HA grade, 135 rpm, and 1.180" feed per minute. Coolant used was synthetic turpentine. All grinding was done on a Brown and Sharpe surface grinder using a crystalline wheel, No. 32A60-H8YBE, 10" x 1/2" x 3" hole. Table feed was 20' per minute. The wheel rotated at approximately 2300 rpm. The Radiac cutting wheel used was type C-90, LRE-23, 10" diameter x 1/32" thick.

Test samples of extruded metal were machined from a bar which was produced by the extrusion of a vacuum cast billet. This billet was cast by the Beryllium Corporation from metal supplied by The Brush Beryllium Company. The billet was extruded at a temperature of 1800°F. The extrusion ratio of the bar was 4.1:1. A complete analysis of this material was not available. The reported analysis for a few of the elements is as follows:

<u>Element Determined</u>	<u>Chemical Analysis</u>
Fe	0.125%
Al	0.09%
Mg	25 ppm
Mn	235 ppm
Cr	180 ppm
Ni	195 ppm

The test samples, 1" diameter x 1/4" thick, from this bar were finish-machined by lathe cuts of approximately 0.005" in depth.

The samples were cleaned in cold, 25% nitric acid for 20 minutes, rinsed in water, acetone, and alcohol, dried in an oven at 110°C for 15 to 20 minutes, and weighed prior to exposure.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

03712201030

TABLE I

CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF HOT PRESSED BERYLLIUM

<u>Elements Determined</u>	<u>Lot No. Y4478BP</u>	<u>Lot No. Y4460BP</u>	<u>Lot No. Y4483BP</u>
	<u>QM-V</u>	<u>QRM</u>	<u>QPT</u>
	<u>In Wt. Per Cent</u>		
Ag	0.00005 a	0.00005 a	0.00005 a
Al	0.10	0.33	0.17
Cd	0.00002 nd	0.00002 nd	0.00002 nd
Co	0.0001 nd	0.0001 nd	0.0001 nd
Cu	0.007	0.015	0.018
Fe	0.10	0.26	0.28
Li	- 0.00003	- 0.00003	- 0.00003
Mg	0.013	0.042	0.02
Mn	0.008	0.013	0.012
Ni	0.023	0.02	0.012
Si	0.038	0.068	0.055
Zn	0.006 a	0.006 a	0.006 a

The analyses given above are reported by National Spectrographic Laboratory.

Assay	99.28	98.87	99.04
BeO	1.34	*	1.26
Slag	0.33	*	0.11
Be ₂ C	0.16	*	0.29
Fe	0.117	0.18	0.199
Al	0.15	0.20	0.18
Mg	*	0.10	0.02
Ni	*	0.05	*
Cr	*	0.009	*

The analyses given above are reported by The Brush Beryllium Company,
Cleveland, Laboratory.

- a means approximately
nd means not detected at sensitivity of designated per cent
* no analysis was reported for these elements

Description of Corrosion Test Method

Distilled water containing 0.005M hydrogen peroxide to simulate the effects of irradiation on the water was used as the test media. The temperature of the test media was maintained at 85°C; the pH within the range of 5.5 to 6.5. An analysis is given in Table II as representative of the water quality used during the course of the tests.

Test samples were suspended in either 5 or 16 liter capacity jars. The smaller jars, 5 liter 3-neck distilling flasks, were supported on a transite box containing a 375 watt infra-red bulb for heating. The temperature was controlled by a Merc-to-Merc thermoregulator-relay system. Reflux condensers prevented solution loss. The larger jars were maintained at 85°C in electrically heated water baths. Plastic-coated aluminum lids were used to minimize solution loss and contamination of the water. The pH of the water was adjusted three times weekly, if necessary, within the range of 5.5 to 6.5 by the use of either dilute nitric acid or sodium hydroxide. The hydrogen peroxide concentration was maintained approximately 0.005M by the addition of 4% hydrogen peroxide every 4 hours. Peroxide analyses were made three times each week. The test media were replaced weekly with fresh water.

A few tests were run to determine the effect of water quality on the corrosion resistance of beryllium. For these tests, additions of known troublesome impurities - chloride, sulfate, and cupric ions - were made to the test media. These impurities were added weekly as sodium chloride, sodium sulfate, and cupric sulfate. Tests were run which contained 0.5 and 1.0 ppm of chloride; 5, 10, and 15 ppm of sulfate; and 0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 ppm of cupric ions.

Samples were removed from each test periodically for corrosion damage evaluation. The samples were cleaned with a surgical brush, dried in an oven at 110°C for 15 to 20 minutes, and weighed. They were then defilmed in 25% nitric acid for 20 minutes, dried, and weighed again. The pits were counted and the maximum pit depth on each sample was determined with the aid of a microscope. The pit counts given in this report include only the pits which were readily observed with the naked eye. The penetration rates were based on the defilmed weight losses. A different set of samples was used for each periodic corrosion damage evaluation.

TABLE II
TYPICAL ANALYSIS OF DISTILLED WATER
USED IN CORROSION TESTS

Specific resistance, ohms/cm ³ at 25°C	3 x 10 ⁵
pH	5.5
Soap hardness (CaCO ₃)	5.0 ppm
Dissolved CO ₂	2.3 ppm
Dissolved solids	7.2 ppm
Non-volatile solids	5.9 ppm
SiO ₂	2.6 ppm
Fe	0.2 ppm
Al	0.01 ppm
Cu	0.02 ppm
Ni	0.05 ppm
Cr	0.05 ppm
Ca	0.25 ppm
Mg	0.21 ppm
Na	1.0 ppm
SO ₄	2.0 ppm
Cl	0.2 ppm
CO ₃	3.1 ppm
HCO ₃	4.3 ppm

Data and Discussion of Results

The data and discussion have been divided into three sections based on comparisons of the relative corrosion characteristics for different production methods, different machining techniques, and minor differences in water quality.

The Relative Corrosion Resistance of Hot-Pressed and Vacuum Cast Extruded Beryllium

Corrosion data are given in Tables III, IV, V, and VI. These results cover exposure periods of 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 12 months for samples of each of the three types of hot-pressed metal and the vacuum cast extruded metal.

The hot-pressed metal showed light corrosion damage throughout the course of the tests. The average corrosion rates based on 12 months of exposure for the QM-V, QPT, and QRM beryllium were 0.007, 0.008, and 0.009 mils/mo., respectively. Comparisons of the weight losses and corrosion rates with respect to exposure time are shown in Figures I and 2. The weight losses and corrosion rates shown on these plots are average values for the samples used for each exposure period. The QM-V metal exhibited an almost constant rate after the first month of exposure. The QPT material unexpectedly exhibited a minimum rate of 0.006 mils/mo. after 2 months. The rate increased to a maximum of 0.011 mils/mo. after 5 months then decreased gradually to an almost constant value of 0.008 mils/mo. at the conclusion of the test. The corrosion rate for QRM metal was erratic during the first 7 months of exposure, reaching a maximum value of 0.014 mils/mo. after 3 and 7 months. During the latter 5 months, the rate decreased gradually to 0.009 mils/mo.

The most noticeable corrosion damage on all three types of hot-pressed metal was the formation of a fairly uniform distribution of shallow pits. In general, the frequency and depth of the pits on the QRM metal were greater than those obtained on the QM-V and QPT material. The greatest pit depth obtained on the QRM metal was 15.7 mils after 7 months of exposure. The greatest number of pits observed was 6.0 pits/cm² on a sample exposed for 2 months. The maximum pit depths observed on the QM-V and QPT samples were 7.1 and 3.1 mils after 2 and 12 months of exposure, respectively. The pit frequencies for these materials, in most instances, were approximately one-half as great as those observed on the QRM metal. Numerous extremely small pits

037122A1030

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 17 -

occurred on some of the QM-V and QPT samples after 12 months. The non-homogeneity of the metal apparently caused the erratic behavior of the pitting attack. In many instances, the greatest number of pits, as well as pit depths, were not observed on samples exposed the entire 12 months. Photographs of samples after various periods of exposure are shown in Figures 3, 4, and 5.

The corrosion rates obtained for the vacuum cast extruded beryllium were low throughout the course of the test. The average rate after 12 months of exposure was 0.008 mils/mo. A maximum rate of 0.012 mils/mo. was obtained after 3 months of exposure followed by a decreasing rate for the last 9 months. The metal was susceptible to light pitting. The pit depths were erratic. A maximum pit depth of 7.4 mils was obtained on a sample after 7 months of exposure. Pit frequencies were comparable to those obtained for the QM-V and QPT metal. Photographs of samples after various periods of exposure are shown in Figure 6.

These studies show no appreciable difference in the corrosion resistance of hot-pressed and vacuum cast extruded beryllium after 1 year of exposure to distilled water (0.005M H₂O₂, pH 5.5-6.5, 85°C). The corrosion rates were low, varying between 0.007 and 0.009 mils/mo. The most significant corrosion was caused by shallow pitting which was slightly more severe on the QRM metal.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

DECLASSIFIED

DWG. 13634 - UNCLASSIFIED

-8-

WEIGHT LOSS VS. TIME RELATIONSHIP FOR VACUUM
CAST AND HOT-PRESSED BERYLLIUM EXPOSED TO
0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C

FIG. 1

DWG. 13 635 - UNCLASSIFIED

CORROSION RATE VS. TIME RELATIONSHIP FOR VACUUM
CAST AND HOT-PRESSED BERYLLIUM EXPOSED TO
0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C

FIG. 2

20

UNCLASSIFIED
PHOTO NO. 6-3157

1 MONTH

2 MONTHS

5 MONTHS

7 MONTHS

9 MONTHS

12 MONTHS

FIGURE 3. QM-V BERYLLIUM SAMPLES
(LOT NO. Y4478BP) AFTER EXPOSURE
TO 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE
SOLUTION AT 85°C (Mag.).

037122N1030

UNCLASSIFIED
PHOTO NO. 6-3158

1 MONTH

2 MONTHS

5 MONTHS

7 MONTHS

9 MONTHS

12 MONTHS

FIGURE 4. QRM BERYLLIUM SAMPLES
(LOT NO. Y4460BP) AFTER EXPOSURE
TO 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE
SOLUTION AT 85° C (Mag.)

DECLASSIFIED

1 MONTH

2 MONTHS

5 MONTHS

7 MONTHS

9 MONTHS

12 MONTHS

FIGURE 5. QPT BERYLLIUM SAMPLES
(LOT NO. Y4483BP) AFTER EXPOSURE
TO 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE
SOLUTION AT 85°C (Mag).

UNCLASSIFIED
PHOTO NO. 6-3160

1 MONTH

2 MONTHS

5 MONTHS

7 MONTHS

9 MONTHS

12 MONTHS

FIGURE 6. VACUUM CAST EXTRUDED BERYLLIUM SAMPLES (BILLET NO. 164) AFTER EXPOSURE TO 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C (Mag).

DECLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 24 -

TABLE III

THE CORROSION OF QM-V BERYLLIUM (LOT NO. Y4478BP) EXPOSED
TO DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
Neg.	-	2.7	0.006	1
"	-	4.5	0.010	"
"	-	3.6	0.008	"
"	-	3.6	0.008	"
"	-	4.5	0.010	"
"	-	3.2	0.007	"
0.8	0.7	3.8	0.008	2
2.0	3.2	3.8	0.008	"
0.9	2.1	4.0	0.009	"
0.5	1.3	6.4	0.014	"
0.3	3.5	2.9	0.006	"
0.5	2.1	2.4	0.005	"
2.9	2.4	3.8	0.008	"
1.3	0.9	4.6	0.010	"
2.4	2.0	4.3	0.009	"
3.7	0.9	2.9	0.006	"
0.6	7.1	3.2	0.007	"
1.7	1.0	5.1	0.011	"
1.1	0.9	3.8	0.008	3
1.2	1.5	4.6	0.010	"
0.9	2.1	4.3	0.009	"
0.7	1.3	4.3	0.009	"
2.2	0.7	2.9	0.006	"
1.7	0.9	3.2	0.007	"
1.0	1.3	2.9	0.006	5
1.1	0.9	3.3	0.007	"
0.8	1.5	3.4	0.007	"
2.4	1.4	3.6	0.008	"
1.3	1.1	3.9	0.008	"
1.7	2.1	4.3	0.009	"
1.0	2.3	2.6	0.005	7
1.6	0.8	3.2	0.007	"
1.2	2.8	3.5	0.007	"
0.8	1.3	3.2	0.007	"
0.9	0.9	2.9	0.006	"
0.7	1.5	3.7	0.008	"

~~SECRET~~~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

03712A.030

TABLE III (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF QM-V BERYLLIUM (LOT NO. Y4478BP) EXPOSED
TO DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

<u>Pit Count per cm²</u>	<u>Maximum Pit Depth, mils</u>	<u>Weight Loss, mg/dm²/mo*</u>	<u>Penetration Rate, mils/mo</u>	<u>Exposure Time, Months</u>
1.9	1.1	3.2	0.007	9
2.3	0.9	3.7	0.008	"
0.7	1.7	3.3	0.007	"
1.9	1.2	2.9	0.006	"
4.3	3.2	4.3	0.009	"
3.1	1.5	3.9	0.008	"
0.6	1.4	3.1	0.007	12
Num.	1.6	3.2	0.007	"
"	1.3	3.2	0.007	"
"	1.2	3.2	0.007	"
2.5	1.4	3.3	0.007	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

- 26 -

TABLE IV

THE CORROSION OF QPT BERYLLIUM (LOT NO. Y4483BP) EXPOSED TO
DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

<u>Pit Count</u> <u>per cm²</u>	<u>Maximum Pit</u> <u>Depth, mils</u>	<u>Weight Loss,</u> <u>mg/dm²/mo*</u>	<u>Penetration Rate,</u> <u>mils/mo</u>	<u>Exposure Time,</u> <u>Months</u>
Neg.	-	3.5	0.007	1
"	-	3.9	0.008	"
"	-	3.9	0.008	"
"	-	4.4	0.010	"
"	-	3.0	0.006	"
"	-	4.4	0.010	"
2.8	1.8	1.6	0.004	2
2.0	2.0	2.7	0.007	"
2.2	2.3	2.9	0.007	"
1.6	0.9	1.6	0.004	"
2.7	1.2	2.7	0.007	"
2.2	1.0	3.2	0.008	"
1.3	1.0	2.7	0.007	"
2.0	1.1	2.0	0.005	"
3.2	1.1	2.9	0.007	"
2.9	1.3	2.5	0.006	"
2.7	1.0	2.5	0.006	"
3.8	1.9	2.3	0.005	"
2.3	1.9	4.2	0.009	3
3.1	2.1	4.4	0.010	"
4.1	0.9	2.7	0.007	"
1.3	1.1	3.2	0.011	"
2.0	1.3	5.5	0.012	"
2.9	2.4	6.0	0.013	"
1.9	1.3	3.9	0.008	5
2.2	1.1	4.9	0.011	"
2.9	1.7	5.0	0.011	"
1.4	0.9	5.1	0.011	"
2.0	2.3	5.1	0.011	"
3.6	1.8	5.5	0.012	"
2.7	1.7	3.2	0.007	7
2.9	0.8	4.6	0.010	"
2.4	2.1	3.9	0.008	"
1.7	0.8	5.2	0.011	"
2.5	1.4	4.2	0.009	"
3.4	1.8	4.9	0.010	"

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

0371228 030

TABLE IV (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF QPT BERYLLIUM (LOT NO. Y4483BP) EXPOSED TO
DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

<u>Pit Count</u> <u>per cm²</u>	<u>Maximum Pit</u> <u>Depth, mils</u>	<u>Weight Loss,</u> <u>mg/dm²/mo*</u>	<u>Penetration Rate,</u> <u>mils/mo</u>	<u>Exposure Time,</u> <u>Months</u>
1.7	1.2	2.7	0.007	9
3.9	2.7	2.8	0.007	"
2.7	1.9	4.0	0.009	"
3.5	2.2	4.1	0.009	"
3.1	1.1	4.2	0.009	"
5.1	3.0	4.9	0.010	"
1.7	1.3	3.0	0.006	12
1.6	2.0	3.7	0.008	"
Num.	1.8	3.5	0.008	"
"	3.1	3.8	0.008	"
"	1.7	4.4	0.009	"
0.9	0.9	4.5	0.010	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 28 -

TABLE V

THE CORROSION OF QRM BERYLLIUM (LOT NO. Y446OBP) EXPOSED TO
DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
Neg.	-	4.1	0.009	1
"	-	4.1	0.009	"
"	-	4.5	0.010	"
"	-	4.1	0.009	"
"	-	3.6	0.008	"
"	-	3.6	0.008	"
3.9	9.9	4.7	0.010	2
3.7	12.2	6.2	0.013	"
4.9	4.7	6.4	0.014	"
3.2	6.3	7.2	0.015	"
6.0	4.7	6.4	0.014	"
4.5	2.8	6.0	0.013	"
4.7	2.8	6.4	0.014	"
6.7	3.9	9.3	0.020	"
5.7	4.7	4.1	0.009	"
3.1	3.5	5.7	0.012	"
3.9	10.6	6.5	0.014	"
4.9	2.8	4.7	0.010	"
3.2	2.9	4.1	0.009	3
4.1	5.1	6.4	0.014	"
6.1	6.7	6.0	0.013	"
5.1	12.0	9.3	0.020	"
1.7	3.5	7.3	0.015	"
1.9	4.9	6.4	0.014	"
1.3	4.1	5.1	0.011	5
1.9	5.1	5.3	0.011	"
2.3	3.2	5.3	0.011	"
5.2	6.6	5.5	0.012	"
4.1	2.0	5.6	0.012	"
3.9	2.5	6.2	0.013	"
2.5	15.7	6.5	0.014	7
2.7	4.7	7.1	0.015	"
1.9	7.9	6.4	0.014	"
2.4	7.9	5.5	0.012	"
1.4	7.1	7.3	0.016	"
1.2	7.9	6.7	0.014	"

~~SECRET~~~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

037720 1030

TABLE V (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF QRM BERYLLIUM (LOT NO. Y4460BP) EXPOSED TO
DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

<u>Pit Count</u> <u>per cm²</u>	<u>Maximum Pit</u> <u>Depth, mils</u>	<u>Weight Loss</u> <u>mg/dm²/mo*</u>	<u>Penetration Rate,</u> <u>mils/mo</u>	<u>Exposure Time,</u> <u>Months</u>
2.1	4.1	4.1	0.009	9
3.2	3.9	5.2	0.011	"
2.8	4.9	6.2	0.013	"
3.9	7.1	5.1	0.011	"
3.1	7.6	4.7	0.010	"
4.2	2.9	5.6	0.012	"
3.5	1.6	3.6	0.008	12
4.8	2.4	3.8	0.008	"
2.8	2.0	4.0	0.009	"
5.4	2.8	4.1	0.009	"
4.0	2.4	4.2	0.009	"
4.8	4.2	4.1	0.009	"

* mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

TABLE VI

THE CORROSION OF VACUUM CAST EXTRUDED BERYLLIUM (HEAT NO. 164)
EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

<u>Pit Count</u> <u>per cm²</u>	<u>Maximum Pit</u> <u>Depth, mils</u>	<u>Weight Loss,</u> <u>mg/dm²/mo*</u>	<u>Penetration Rate,</u> <u>mils/mo</u>	<u>Exposure Time,</u> <u>Months</u>
Neg.	-	3.9	0.008	1
"	-	5.2	0.011	"
"	-	4.5	0.010	"
"	-	4.5	0.010	"
"	-	3.9	0.008	"
"	-	2.6	0.006	"
Neg.	-	4.7	0.010	2
"	-	5.4	0.012	"
0.2	2.8	4.1	0.009	"
Neg.	-	4.1	0.009	"
0.5	2.4	4.4	0.009	"
0.3	0.8	5.4	0.012	"
1.1	3.2	7.1	0.015	"
0.4	2.4	4.1	0.009	"
0.3	1.4	5.4	0.012	"
Neg.	-	6.4	0.014	"
0.3	2.4	4.7	0.010	"
0.7	3.5	5.4	0.012	"
0.5	3.7	5.4	0.012	3
1.2	4.1	4.1	0.009	"
1.3	2.9	6.4	0.014	"
2.3	1.2	7.2	0.015	"
0.9	1.7	6.0	0.013	"
3.1	0.9	5.2	0.011	"
2.1	1.9	4.4	0.009	5
1.9	4.1	4.5	0.010	"
3.1	2.3	4.6	0.010	"
3.2	5.6	4.9	0.010	"
2.9	3.2	5.2	0.011	"
5.2	3.7	5.3	0.011	"
6.4	5.5	4.9	0.010	7
5.1	7.4	4.2	0.008	"
Num.	7.0	7.2	0.015	"
1.2	6.3	5.0	0.011	"
6.4	0.9	4.7	0.010	"
3.3	0.8	2.7	0.006	"

037220 1030

TABLE VI (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF VACUUM CAST EXTRUDED BERYLLIUM (HEAT NO. 164)
EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

<u>Pit Count</u> <u>per cm²</u>	<u>Maximum Pit</u> <u>Depth, mils</u>	<u>Weight Loss,</u> <u>mg/dm²/mo*</u>	<u>Penetration Rate,</u> <u>mils/mo</u>	<u>Exposure Time,</u> <u>Months</u>
3.2	2.7	5.0	0.011	9
1.9	1.9	4.4	0.009	"
4.6	4.2	3.8	0.008	"
2.7	5.5	4.2	0.009	"
5.5	3.2	4.4	0.009	"
Num.	7.0	5.3	0.011	"
Num.	1.6	3.1	0.007	12
2.0	5.5	3.8	0.008	"
0.1	7.2	3.8	0.008	"
6.9	1.9	3.4	0.007	"
2.1	5.5	4.6	0.010	"
0.9	3.1	4.1	0.009	"

* mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

DECLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 32 -

The Effect of Surface Cold Work on the
Corrosion Resistance of Beryllium

Corrosion data are given in Tables VII, VIII, and IX which show the effect of surface cold work on the corrosion resistance of hot-pressed beryllium. These results cover exposure periods of 1, 2, and 10 months for samples of QM-V, QPT, and QRM beryllium. The final machining operations listed in the above-mentioned tables were made on surfaces produced by a Radiac wheel. A complete summary of the machining techniques used is included in a preceding section, Test Materials and Treatment.

An examination of the data shows that the initial corrosion resistance is affected by surface cold work. In general, surfaces produced by grinding cuts of 0.005, 0.010, and 0.020" exhibited about the same corrosion resistance after 2 months of exposure. The high penetration rates obtained for the QRM samples are not believed to have been caused by machining technique. The numerous small pits on these samples were distributed uniformly over the entire surface. The sides of all the samples were machined by the same procedure, yet no comparable pitting was observed on the other samples. Surfaces produced by milling cuts of 1/8" or less in depth exhibited about the same corrosion resistance as the ground surfaces. Higher weight losses were obtained as the depth of milling cut was increased. The corrosion rates, based on 2 months of exposure, for surfaces produced by 1/2" milling cuts were 2 to 3 times higher than those obtained for ground surfaces. Grinding improved the corrosion resistance of these deeply, cold-worked surfaces. However, the improvements due to shallow grinding decreased as the depth of milling cut was increased. No severe damage occurred on any of the samples as evidenced by the low weight losses.

A comparison of the weight losses after 10 months of exposure shows no appreciable differences in the overall corrosion rates. The pitting was more localized on the surfaces produced by 1/2" milling cuts. This phenomenon was probably caused by the non-uniformity in the depth of surface cold work. Consequently, many of the pit diameters were larger although no significant difference in pit depths occurred. A more uniform distribution of pits occurred when these surfaces were finish-machined by light grinding. Photographs of QPT samples after 10 months of exposure are shown in Figures 7, 8, and 9.

It is concluded from the results of these tests that the corrosion resistance of beryllium is not appreciably influenced by cold work produced by grinding or shallow milling cuts. However, surfaces produced by milling cuts of 1/4" or greater in depth were more susceptible to corrosion during the initial 2 months of exposure. After 10 months of exposure, the overall corrosion was about the same for both milled and ground surfaces. The only long-term difference in corrosion behavior observed was the uneven distribution of pitting on surfaces produced by 1/2" milling cuts.

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

UNCLASSIFIED
PHOTO NO. 6-3161

0.005" GRINDING CUT

0.010" GRINDING CUT

0.020" GRINDING CUT

FIGURE 7. QPT BERYLLIUM SAMPLES (LOT NO. Y4483BP) MACHINED BY DIFFERENT PROCEDURES AFTER 10 MONTHS EXPOSURE TO 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C (Mag).

DECLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED
PHOTO NO. 6-3162

0.020" SINGLE MILLING
MACHINE CUT.

0.125" SINGLE MILLING MACHINE CUT
FOLLOWED BY LIGHT GRINDING CUT.

0.250" SINGLE MILLING
MACHINE CUT.

FIGURE 8. QPT BERYLLIUM SAMPLES
(LOT NO. Y4483BP) MACHINED BY DIFFERENT PROCEDURES AFTER 10 MONTHS
EXPOSURE TO 0.005M HYDROGEN PER-

0371 1030

UNCLASSIFIED
PHOTO NO. 6-3163

0.250" SINGLE MILLING
MACHINE CUT FOLLOWED
BY LIGHT GRINDING CUT.

0.500" SINGLE MILLING
MACHINE CUT.

0.500" SINGLE MILLING MACHINE CUT
FOLLOWED BY LIGHT GRINDING CUT.

FIGURE 9. QPT BERYLLIUM SAMPLES
(LOT NO. Y4483BP) MACHINED BY
DIFFERENT PROCEDURES AFTER 10
MONTHS EXPOSURE TO 0.005M HYDROGEN
PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C (Mag.).

DECLASSIFIED

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE VII

THE CORROSION OF QM-V BERYLLIUM (LOT NO. Y-4478BP) MACHINED AND/OR GROUND
BY DIFFERENT WAYS EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING
0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

Final Machining Operation	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
0.005" grinding cut	Neg.	-	5.1	0.011	1
	0.7	7.2	9.9	0.022	2
	1.7	4.7	4.7	0.010	2
	1	2.6	4.3	0.009	10
	0.9	4.3	4.1	0.009	10
0.010" grinding cut	Neg.	-	5.1	0.011	1
	0.5	5.1	7.0	0.015	2
	0.9	4.7	7.6	0.017	2
	0.2	4.3	4.7	0.010	10
	0.2	4.3	4.5	0.010	10
0.020" grinding cut	-	-	6.1	0.013	1
	3.7	5.0	9.1	0.020	2
	4.7	5.5	7.6	0.017	2
	7.7	2.8	5.9	0.013	10
	6.2	2.2	5.9	0.013	10
0.020" single milling machine cut	Neg.	-	8.7	0.019	1
	3.7	5.0	13.1	0.029	2
	5.3	7.1	12.0	0.026	2
	2.7	4.3	4.9	0.013	10
	2.4	3.5	4.4	0.011	10
0.125" single milling machine cut followed by light grinding cut	Neg.	-	3.6	0.008	1
	3.2	4.5	10.2	0.017	2
	3.2	5.1	5.6	0.012	2
	0.1	1.5	4.0	0.009	10
	0.2	2.8	3.9	0.008	10
0.250" single milling machine cut	Neg.	-	9.7	0.021	1
	4.4	4.9	18.9	0.041	2
	2.0	5.9	14.9	0.032	2
	1.7	3.9	3.6	0.008	10
	1.7	4.7	3.3	0.007	10

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

36

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE VII (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF QM-V BERYLLIUM (LOT NO. Y-4478BP) MACHINED AND/OR GROUND
BY DIFFERENT WAYS EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING
0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

Final Machining Operation	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
0.250" single milling machine cut followed by light grinding cut	Neg.	-	5.1	0.011	1
	2.0	5.0	8.2	0.018	2
	1.6	6.5	11.4	0.025	2
	0.2	1.9	3.7	0.008	10
	0.3	1.6	3.6	0.008	10
0.500" single milling machine cut	Neg.	-	8.7	0.019	1
	3.9	5.9	25.4	0.056	2
	3.7	4.7	20.1	0.044	2
	3.1	3.9	3.2	0.007	10
	1.4	3.5	3.7	0.008	10
0.500" single milling machine cut followed by light grinding cut	Neg.	-	7.6	0.016	1
	3.1	4.0	8.8	0.019	2
	0.8	4.8	7.6	0.017	2
	0.2	1.3	4.0	0.008	10
	1.0	2.8	4.4	0.009	10

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

- 37 -

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE VIII

THE CORROSION OF QPT BERYLLIUM (LOT NO. Y-4483BP) MACHINED AND/OR GROUND
BY DIFFERENT WAYS EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING
0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

Final Machining Operation	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
0.005" grinding cut	Neg.	-	7.1	0.015	1
	9.2	4.3	9.4	0.020	2
	9.9	5.9	8.2	0.018	2
	3.3	3.2	5.9	0.013	10
	2.2	2.4	6.1	0.013	10
0.010" grinding cut	Neg.	-	6.6	0.014	1
	5.2	4.2	11.9	0.025	2
	2.3	4.5	11.0	0.023	2
	3.7	2.0	5.6	0.012	10
	3.7	2.0	5.4	0.012	10
0.020" grinding cut	8.4	1.1	7.1	0.015	1
	1.3	5.1	8.9	0.019	2
	2.1	5.1	9.6	0.021	2
	1.6	3.1	5.3	0.011	10
	1.4	2.4	5.8	0.012	10
0.020" single milling machine cut	Neg.	-	8.7	0.019	1
	2.4	5.5	8.5	0.018	2
	2.4	4.3	8.9	0.019	2
	2.0	3.2	5.6	0.012	10
	3.4	4.5	5.7	0.012	10
0.125" single milling machine cut	Neg.	-	9.2	0.020	1
	4.9	4.9	6.6	0.014	2
	4.2	4.3	8.9	0.019	2
	2.0	2.6	4.4	0.009	10
	1.5	3.2	4.6	0.010	10
0.250" single milling machine cut	Neg.	-	8.7	0.019	1
	2.8	6.2	12.6	0.027	2
	2.7	4.7	11.9	0.025	2
	4.3	3.2	6.7	0.014	10
	3.6	6.7	6.2	0.013	10

- 38 -

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE VIII (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF QPT BERYLLIUM (LOT NO. Y-4483BP) MACHINED AND/OR GROUND
BY DIFFERENT WAYS EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING
0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

Final Machining Operation	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
0.250" single milling	Neg.	-	5.1	0.011	1
machine cut followed	3.4	4.7	8.9	0.019	2
by light grinding cut	1.6	3.7	8.7	0.019	2
	0.8	2.8	4.8	0.010	10
	1.7	4.7	4.9	0.011	10
0.500" single milling	Neg.	-	9.2	0.020	1
machine cut	2.9	4.3	12.4	0.026	2
	3.4	4.3	12.4	0.026	2
	4.4	2.8	5.7	0.012	10
	2.9	5.8	5.1	0.011	10
0.500" single milling	Neg.	-	6.1	0.013	1
machine cut	2.0	4.7	10.8	0.023	2
followed by light	0.2	7.3	7.1	0.015	2
grinding cut	3.1	3.2	5.4	0.012	10
	3.1	6.3	6.2	0.013	10

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE IX

THE CORROSION OF QRM BERYLLIUM (LOT NO. Y-4460BP) MACHINED AND/OR GROUND
BY DIFFERENT WAYS EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING
0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

Final Machining Operation	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
0.005" grinding cut	Neg.	-	7.6	0.016	1
	3.8	9.9	8.6	0.018	2
	2.1	4.1	6.2	0.013	2
	3.1	4.3	7.5	0.016	10
	1.3	6.3	7.5	0.016	10
0.010" grinding cut	Neg.	-	6.1	0.013	1
	Num.	8.3	16.9	0.036	2
	Num.	3.9	15.8	0.034	2
	1.3	4.0	7.7	0.016	10
	1.1	4.7	8.5	0.018	10
0.020" grinding cut	9.5	10.2	6.6	0.014	1
	4.0	2.9	7.0	0.015	2
	4.0	5.1	6.2	0.013	2
	2.4	6.3	5.8	0.012	10
	3.0	2.4	6.6	0.014	10
0.020" single milling machine cut followed by light grinding cut	Neg.	-	7.5	0.016	1
	4.5	9.4	8.6	0.018	2
	6.3	6.7	8.0	0.017	2
	3.5	7.1	6.2	0.013	10
	4.5	4.2	6.1	0.013	10
0.125" single milling machine cut followed by light grinding cut	Neg.	-	7.1	0.015	1
	5.7	13.8	6.7	0.014	2
	2.7	-	5.4	0.011	2
	3.7	5.5	5.9	0.013	10
	2.0	4.7	5.9	0.013	10
0.250" single milling machine cut followed by light grinding cut	Neg.	-	5.1	0.011	1
	3.8	5.1	11.2	0.024	2
	2.9	4.3	10.4	0.022	2
	15.5	5.9	7.8	0.017	10
	4.2	3.5	6.2	0.013	10

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE IX (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF QRM BERYLLIUM (LOT NO. Y-4460BP) MACHINED AND/OR GROUND
BY DIFFERENT WAYS EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER CONTAINING
0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE AT 85°C

<u>Final Machining</u> <u>Operation</u>	<u>Pit Count</u> <u>per cm²</u>	<u>Maximum Pit</u> <u>Depth, mils</u>	<u>Weight Loss,</u> <u>mg/dm²/mo*</u>	<u>Penetration Rate,</u> <u>mils/mo</u>	<u>Exposure Time,</u> <u>Months</u>
0.500" single milling machine cut followed by light grinding cut	1.0	3.5	8.1	0.017	1
	3.8	4.5	10.9	0.024	2
	3.7	7.1	9.6	0.021	2
	4.3	4.3	11.5	0.025	2
	5.1	5.5	11.2	0.024	2
	2.3	7.3	7.0	0.015	10
	2.8	3.3	7.2	0.015	10
	0.7	3.9	6.2	0.013	10
	1.3	3.2	6.3	0.013	10

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

- 41 -

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 42 -

The Effect of Water Quality on the
Corrosion Resistance of Beryllium

Previous studies have shown that the corrosion resistance of beryllium to aqueous media was greatly influenced by certain ionic constituents. The presence of the salts of heavy metals (especially copper), chlorides, and sulfates is generally detrimental. In order to study further the effects of these ions, samples of QRM beryllium were exposed to distilled water containing additions of these impurities.

Corrosion data for samples exposed for 153 days to distilled water containing 0.5 and 1.0 ppm chloride ions, added as sodium chloride, are given in Table X. The large weight losses obtained were caused by severe pitting. The pits on the samples exposed to 1.0 ppm chloride grew in diameter to encompass the entire exposed surfaces. The removal of the white corrosion products revealed deeply etched metal. The average corrosion rates obtained for the samples exposed to water containing 0.5 and 1.0 ppm chloride ions were 0.15 and 0.38 mils/mo., respectively. Photographs of exposed samples are shown in Figure 10.

The results obtained from tests containing sulfate ions, added as sodium sulfate, show that these ions accelerate pitting to a lesser extent than chloride ions. These data are given in Table XI. The average corrosion rates for samples exposed to water containing 5, 10, and 15 ppm sulfate ions were 0.031, 0.042, and 0.044 mils/mo., respectively. All of the samples were covered with numerous pits accompanied by voluminous corrosion products. The maximum pit depth observed was 5.8 mils after 182 days of exposure. Photographs of exposed samples are shown in Figure 11.

Corrosion data for samples exposed for 75 days to distilled water containing cupric ions, added as cupric sulfate, are given in Table XII. These results show that the presence of cupric ions in water greatly accelerates the pitting of beryllium. The average corrosion rates for samples exposed to water containing 0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 ppm cupric ions were 0.048, 0.053, and 0.110 mils/mo., respectively. Photographs of exposed samples are shown in Figure 12.

The corrosion data for similar QRM metal exposed to distilled water containing no added impurities are given in Table V. The water used for these tests contained approximately 0.2 ppm chloride, 2.0 ppm sulfate, and 0.02 ppm cupric ions. The pitting attack on these samples was greatly diminished by the use of high purity water.

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

037841030

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

- 43 -

Summarizing, the corrosion resistance of beryllium to oxygen-saturated water is greatly influenced by the presence of chloride, sulfate, and cupric ions. These ions accelerate corrosion, especially pitting. In order to avoid excessive pitting, the concentration of these ions should be maintained as low as economically feasible. Tolerable upper limits are 0.2 ppm chloride, 2.0 ppm sulfate, and 0.02 ppm cupric ions. The water in contact with beryllium metal is much more influential in controlling the rate of attack than minor changes in the composition of the metal.

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

DECLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED
PHOTO NO. 6-3164

0.5 PPM Cl^-

1.0 PPM Cl^-

FIGURE 10. CORROSION PRODUCT FORMATION ON QRM BERYLLIUM SAMPLES (LOT NO. Y4460BP) AFTER 154 DAYS EXPOSURE TO 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C CONTAINING ADDITIONS OF CHLORIDE.

037122A 1030

5 PPM SO_4^{2-} 10 PPM SO_4^{2-} 15 PPM SO_4^{2-}

FIGURE II. CORROSION PRODUCT FORMATION ON QRM BERYLLIUM SAMPLES (LOT NO. Y4460BP) AFTER 182 DAYS EXPOSURE TO 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C CONTAINING ADDITIONS OF SULFATE.

UNCLASSIFIED
PHOTO NO. 6-3166 0.1 PPM Cu^{++} 0.2 PPM Cu^{++} 0.5 PPM Cu^{++}

FIGURE 12. CORROSION PRODUCT FOR —
MATION ON QRM BERYLLIUM SAMPLES (LOT
NO. Y4460BP) AFTER 75 DAYS EXPOSURE
TO 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE SOLUTION
AT 85°C CONTAINING ADDITIONS OF CUPRIC
IONS

0371281030

TABLE X

THE EFFECT OF CHLORIDE IONS ON THE STATIC CORROSION
OF HOT-PRESSED BERYLLIUM EXPOSED FOR 153 DAYS TO
0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C

<u>Chloride Concentration,</u> <u>ppm</u>	<u>Pit Count</u> <u>per cm²</u>	<u>Maximum Pit</u> <u>Depth, mils</u>	<u>Weight Loss,</u> <u>mg/cm²/mo</u>	<u>Penetration Rate,</u> <u>mils/mo</u>
0.5	Numerous	3.9	49.4	0.10
0.5	Numerous	2.0	107.2	0.22
0.5	Numerous	3.0	77.0	0.16
0.5	Numerous	3.1	49.7	0.10
0.5	Numerous	4.7	78.2	0.16
0.5	Numerous	4.9	72.6	0.15
1.0	Numerous	*	186.4	0.38
1.0	Numerous	*	181.5	0.37
1.0	Numerous	*	196.0	0.40
1.0	Numerous	*	177.5	0.36
1.0	Numerous	*	175.8	0.36
1.0	Numerous	*	202.2	0.42

* Removal of thick deposit of corrosion products revealed an etched surface.

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 48 -

TABLE XI

THE EFFECT OF SULFATE IONS ON THE STATIC CORROSION
OF HOT-PRESSED BERYLLIUM EXPOSED FOR 182 DAYS TO
0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C

<u>Sulfate Concentration,</u> <u>ppm</u>	<u>Pit Count</u> <u>per cm²</u>	<u>Maximum Pit</u> <u>Depth, mils</u>	<u>Weight Loss,</u> <u>mg/cm²/mo</u>	<u>Penetration Rate,</u> <u>mils/mo</u>
5	Numerous	5.8	13.0	0.028
5	Numerous	3.4	15.7	0.034
10	Numerous	5.0	18.2	0.039
10	Numerous	3.9	20.9	0.045
15	Numerous	3.9	19.2	0.041
15	Numerous	3.4	21.2	0.046

TABLE XII

THE EFFECT OF CUPRIC IONS ON THE STATIC CORROSION
OF HOT-PRESSED BERYLLIUM EXPOSED FOR 75 DAYS TO
0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C

<u>Copper Concentration,</u> <u>ppm</u>	<u>Pit Count</u> <u>per cm²</u>	<u>Maximum Pit</u> <u>Depth, mils</u>	<u>Weight Loss,</u> <u>mg/cm²/mo</u>	<u>Penetration Rate,</u> <u>mils/mo</u>
0.1	7.3	7.5	43.2	0.049
0.1	11.1	6.1	41.2	0.046
0.2	Numerous	5.5	44.8	0.050
0.2	Numerous	10.4	49.6	0.056
0.5	Numerous	6.4	85.5	0.096
0.5	Numerous	5.5	108.8	0.122

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

037201030

PART II

THE EFFECT OF IMPURITIES ON THE CORROSION
RESISTANCE OF BERYLLIUM

Previous corrosion studies have shown that it is difficult to evaluate the corrosion effects of one of several different impurities normally present in production beryllium. For this reason, studies were made on special vacuum cast and hot-pressed samples prepared by the Brush Beryllium Company which contained various concentrations of added impurities considered most likely to influence the corrosion resistance. These impurities, consisting of aluminum, iron, silicon, and beryllium carbide, were added to portions of the same base material prior to casting or hot-pressing. The same matrix was used in an effort to minimize differences in chemical compositions due to impurities other than the added constituent.

Test Materials and Treatment

Test specimens used to determine the effect of aluminum, iron, and silicon were machined from a series of 11 vacuum cast billets. Control specimens were machined from 2 of these billets which contained no added impurities. The following castings were produced with impurity additions as shown:

<u>Casting No.</u>	<u>Impurity Added</u>	<u>Impurity Content of Casting</u>
B-15	None	-
B-16	None	-
B-17	0.2% Al	0.30% Al
B-18	0.4% Al	0.44% Al
B-19	0.6% Al	0.73% Al
B-20	0.8% Al	1.05% Al
B-21	0.1% Fe	0.23% Fe
B-22	0.2% Fe	0.34% Fe
B-23	0.4% Fe	0.40% Fe
B-28	0.1% Si	0.186% Si
B-29	0.2% Si	0.201% Si

The castings were rough-machined on a lathe and then cut into discs, 1 1/4" diameter x 1/4" thick, on a Radiac. These discs were polished on No. 80 grit followed by No. 180 grit emery cloth. Chemical and spectrographic analyses of these samples are given in Tables XIII through XVIII.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

DECLASSIFIED

Test specimens containing beryllium carbide additions were produced by the Q-Process. Hot-pressed discs were produced from less than 200 mesh powder which contained additions of beryllium carbide. The analysis of the base material determined by the Brush Beryllium Company is as follows:

<u>Constituent Determined</u>	<u>Chemical Analysis</u>
Assay	99.26%
BeO	0.58%
Be ₂ C	0.14%
Al	200 ppm
Fe	1100 ppm
Mn	76 ppm
Cr	168 ppm
Mg	100 ppm
Ni	275 ppm

Beryllium carbide determinations made on chips of hot-pressed rods are as follows:

<u>Be₂C Additions to Powder</u>	<u>Be₂C Content of Chips</u>
0.10%	0.11%
0.24%	0.23%
0.50%	0.26%

The hot-pressed shapes were machined in the form of discs, 1 1/4" diameter x 1/4" thick. These discs were polished on No. 80 grit followed by No. 180 grit emery cloth.

Description of Corrosion Test Method

The corrosion test method was the same as previously described in Part I of this report with the exception that the same samples were used throughout the course of the tests. The four samples of each impurity group were removed periodically from the test media, washed gently in distilled water, dried, weighed, and examined for corrosion damage. The samples were not defilmed since corrosion damage was light, resulting in no significant weight changes due to corrosion product accumulations.

~~SECRET~~~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 51 -

TABLE XIIICHEMICAL ANALYSES OF CAST BERYLLIUM
CONTAINING NO ADDED IMPURITIES

Elements Determined	Casting No. B-15		Casting No. B-16	
	C*	S**	C*	S**
Assay %	98.59		99.49	
BeO "	0.94		0.40	
Be ₂ C "	0.21		0.14	
Al ppm	1000	920	900	380
Fe ppm	1800	1900	1700	1500
Mn ppm		240		200
Si ppm	437	440	484	440
Ag ppm		- 1		- 1
Cd ppm		-0.02		-0.02
Co ppm		- 1 nd		- 1 nd
Cu ppm		80		70
Li ppm		-0.03		-0.03
Mg ppm		80 a		40 a
Ni ppm		100		60
Zn ppm		60 a		60 a

*C - Analysis determined by chemical methods

**S - Analysis determined by spectrographic methods

nd - means not detected at sensitivity of designated per cent

a - means approximately

~~SECRET~~~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

DECLASSIFIED

TABLE XIV
CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF CAST BERYLLIUM
CONTAINING ADDITIONS OF ALUMINUM

Elements Determined	Casting No. B-17 0.2% Al Addition		Casting No. B-18 0.4% Al Addition	
	C*	S**	C*	S**
Assay %	99.45		99.41	
BeO "	0.36		0.62	
Be ₂ C "	0.30		0.15	
Al ppm	3000	4600	4400	7300
Fe ppm	1700	1600	1900	1700
Mn ppm		240		240
Si ppm	513	370	520	400
Ag ppm		- 1		- 1
Cd ppm		-0.02		-0.02
Co ppm		- 1 nd		- 1 nd
Cu ppm		70		90
Li ppm		-0.03		-0.03
Mg ppm		20 a		10 a
Ni ppm		80		70
Zn ppm		60 a		60 a

*C - Analysis determined by chemical methods

**S - Analysis determined by spectrographic methods

nd - means not detected at sensitivity of designated per cent

a - means approximately

TABLE XV

CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF CAST BERYLLIUM
CONTAINING ADDITIONS OF ALUMINUM

Elements Determined	Casting No. B-19 0.6% Al Addition		Casting No. B-20 0.8% Al Addition	
	C*	S**	C*	S**
Assay %	99.45		99.06	
BeO "	0.33		0.55	
Be ₂ C "	0.20		0.14	
Al ppm	7300	15600	10,500	17,800
Fe ppm	2100	1900	1800	2100
Mn ppm		250		240
Si ppm	586	450	490	490
Ag ppm		- 1		- 1
Cd ppm		-0.02		-0.02
Co ppm		- 1 nd		- 1 nd
Cu ppm		90		130
Li ppm		-0.03		-0.03
Mg ppm		70 a		- 10
Ni ppm		50		50
Zn ppm		60 a		60 a

*C - Analysis determined by chemical methods

**S - Analysis determined by spectrographic methods

nd - means not detected at sensitivity of designated per cent

a - means approximately

~~SECRET~~~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

DECLASSIFIED

TABLE XVI

CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF CAST BERYLLIUM
CONTAINING ADDITIONS OF IRON

Elements Determined	Casting No. B-21 0.1% Fe Addition		Casting No. B-22 0.2% Fe Addition	
	C*	S**	C*	S**
Assay %	99.36		99.13	
BeO "	0.32		0.45	
Be ₂ C "	0.34		0.19	
Al ppm	430	430	900	460
Fe ppm	2300	2300	3400	2700
Mn ppm	216	200	192	190
Si ppm	360	370	737	350
Ag ppm		- 1		- 1
Cd ppm		-0.02		-0.02
Co ppm		- 1 nd		- 1 nd
Cu ppm		90		90
Li ppm		-0.03		-0.03
Mg ppm		50 a		30 a
Ni ppm		50		40
Zn ppm		60 a		60 a

*C - Analysis determined by chemical methods

**S - Analysis determined by spectrographic methods

nd - means not detected at sensitivity of designated per cent

a - means approximately

TABLE XVII

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING
ADDITIONS OF IRON

<u>Elements</u> <u>Determined</u>	<u>Casting No. B-23</u>	
	<u>0.4% Fe Addition</u>	
	<u>C*</u>	<u>S**</u>
Assay %	99.37	
BeO "	0.57	
Be ₂ C "	0.11	
Al ppm	1000	370
Fe ppm	4000	2600
Mn ppm	236	240
Si ppm	739	300
Ag ppm		1 a
Cd ppm		-0.02
Co ppm		- 1 nd
Cu ppm		70
Li ppm		-0.03
Mg ppm		40 a
Ni ppm		50
Zn ppm		60 a

*C - Analysis determined by chemical methods

**S - Analysis determined by spectrographic methods

nd - means not detected at sensitivity of designated per cent

a - means approximately

TABLE XVIII
CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF CAST BERYLLIUM
CONTAINING ADDITIONS OF SILICON

<u>Elements</u> <u>Determined</u>	<u>Casting No. B-28</u> <u>0.1% Si Addition</u>		<u>Casting No. B-29</u> <u>0.2% Si Addition</u>	
	<u>C*</u>	<u>S**</u>	<u>C*</u>	<u>S**</u>
Assay %	99.24		99.12	
BeO "	0.61		0.44	
Be ₂ C "	0.14		0.23	
Al ppm	800	500	900	450
Fe ppm	1900	2170	1900	1620
Mn ppm		220		190
Si ppm	1860	2500	2010	3150
Ag ppm		1 a		1a
Cd ppm		-0.02		-0.02
Co ppm				
Cu ppm		30		40
Li ppm				
Mg ppm		10		- 10
Ni ppm		60		40
Zn ppm		- 30		- 30

*C - Analysis determined by chemical methods

**S - Analysis determined by spectrographic methods

a - means approximately

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

- 57 -

Test Results and Discussion of Data

Corrosion data for the impurity samples are included in Tables XIX through XXII. These results cover an exposure period of 13 months for the samples containing additions of aluminum, iron, and silicon and the first 7 months of exposure for the samples containing beryllium carbide.

A comparison of the corrosion behavior of the various groups of cast beryllium reveals no detrimental effects attributable to any one of the impurity constituents. The average corrosion rates after 13 months of exposure for the four samples of each group containing additions of aluminum, iron, and silicon varied between 0.0058 and 0.0076 mils/mo. The average corrosion rates for the two groups of control samples were 0.0062 and 0.0064 mils/mo. The corrosion rates obtained during the first four months of exposure, in many instances, were erratic, but were low. During the latter 9 months of exposure, almost constant average rates were obtained which generally fell within the range of 0.0060 to 0.0070 mils/mo. These small differences in corrosion rates cannot be attributed to any single constituent. In many instances, the differences in corrosion rates for the four samples of the same group were greater than the differences in the average corrosion rates of the several groups after the same exposure period. Plots of weight losses versus exposure time are shown in Figures 13 through 16. The weight losses shown on these plots are average values for the four samples of each impurity variation.

The most significant corrosion damage observed on the cast material was the formation of shallow pits after several months of exposure. The pit densities for the control samples and those containing additions of iron and silicon averaged, in most instances, less than 3 pits/cm² after 13 months of exposure. The samples containing additions of aluminum, with the exception of the group containing 0.73% Al, exhibited a greater susceptibility to pitting. The highest pit densities were obtained on the group of samples containing 1.05% Al, averaging 7.8 pits/cm² after 13 months of exposure. The maximum pit depths obtained for all of the samples after 13 months of exposure were generally less than 2 mils.

A comparison of the corrosion data for the hot-pressed samples containing additions of beryllium carbide reveals no significant differences in corrosion behavior. The average corrosion rates after 7 months of exposure for the groups containing 0.11, 0.23, and 0.26% Be₂C were 0.0064, 0.0072, and 0.0074 mils/mo, respectively. The corrosion rates increased slightly with increasing carbide content. However, the differences are too small to be considered significant. These tests are still in progress and longer exposure periods may reveal marked changes in the corrosion behavior. A plot of weight loss versus exposure time is shown in Figure 17. Numerous small pits formed on all of the samples during the second month of exposure. The maximum pit depth obtained after 7 months of exposure was 2.4 mils. This pit occurred on a sample containing 0.11% Be₂C. The hot-pressed samples showed a greater

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

DECLASSIFIED

susceptibility to pitting than the cast samples. This phenomenon may be due to differences in metallurgical background rather than to variations in chemical compositions. Many of the cast samples contained impurities, including Be_2C , in greater concentrations than were present in the hot-pressed samples.

From the results of these tests, it is concluded that no single constituent can be identified as being detrimental to the corrosion resistance of beryllium. Although the same base material was used, all of the various groups of samples contained many common impurities present in variable concentrations. This makes it difficult to determine the corrosion effect of the added constituent. However, a comparison of the data with the chemical compositions of the cast samples shows no significant detrimental effects attributable to aluminum, iron, and silicon in concentrations below 1.05, 0.40, and 0.20%, respectively. The maximum concentrations of these elements in the two groups of control samples were as follows: Al 0.10%, Fe 0.18%, and Si 0.048%. Thus, the maximum impurity concentrations tested were tenfold greater for aluminum, twofold greater for iron, and fourfold greater for silicon.

The Be_2C content of the cast samples ranged from 0.11 to 0.34% while this constituent in the hot-pressed samples, intended as the group to study the effect of Be_2C , only ranged from 0.11 to 0.26%. This is unfortunate as the intended carbide contents of the latter material were 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6%. If Be_2C is detrimental to corrosion resistance, then the almost uniform pitting of both cast and hot-pressed beryllium may be caused by this constituent.

JR:gd

0372281030

DWG. 13636- UNCLASSIFIED

LEGEND

- - CASTING NO. B-15
- - CASTING NO. B-16

WEIGHT LOSS VS. TIME RELATIONSHIP FOR
CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING NO IMPURITY
ADDITIONS EXPOSED TO 0.005M HYDROGEN
PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C

FIG. 13

DWG. 13637- UNCLASSIFIED

WEIGHT LOSS VS. TIME RELATIONSHIP FOR
CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING ADDITIONS
OF ALUMINUM EXPOSED TO 0.005M HYDROGEN
PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C

FIG. 14

WEIGHT LOSS VS. TIME RELATIONSHIP FOR CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING ADDITIONS OF IRON EXPOSED TO 0.005 HYDROGEN PEROXIDE SOLUTION AT 85°C

FIG. 15

00000000

DWG. 13639 - UNCLASSIFIED

WEIGHT LOSS VS. TIME RELATIONSHIP FOR CAST
BERYLLIUM CONTAINING ADDITIONS OF SILICON
EXPOSED TO 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE
SOLUTION AT 85°C

FIG. 16

-62-

WEIGHT LOSS VS. TIME RELATIONSHIP FOR HOT-PRESSED
BERYLLIUM CONTAINING ADDITIONS OF BERYLLIUM
CARBIDE EXPOSED TO 0.005M HYDROGEN PEROXIDE
SOLUTION AT 85°C

FIG. 17

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XIX

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM (CASTING NO. B-15) CONTAINING NO IMPURITY
ADDITIONS EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	2.99	0.0064	1
B	-	-	6.97	0.0149	"
C	-	-	2.99	0.0064	"
D	-	-	4.98	0.0106	"
A	-	-	2.69	0.0058	2
B	-	-	3.43	0.0073	"
C	-	-	3.18	0.0068	"
D	-	-	2.94	0.0063	"
A	-	-	3.05	0.0065	3
B	-	-	3.53	0.0076	"
C	-	-	3.36	0.0072	"
D	-	-	3.21	0.0069	"
A	-	-	2.64	0.0057	4
B	-	-	3.70	0.0079	"
C	-	-	3.24	0.0069	"
D	-	-	3.24	0.0069	"
A	-	-	2.97	0.0064	5
B	-	-	3.49	0.0076	"
C	-	-	3.21	0.0069	"
D	-	-	3.12	0.0067	"
A	-	-	3.25	0.0070	6
B	-	-	3.42	0.0073	"
C	-	-	3.42	0.0073	"
D	-	-	3.26	0.0070	"

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE XIX (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM (CASTING NO. B-15) CONTAINING NO IMPURITY
ADDITIONS EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	3.33	0.0071	7
B	-	-	3.21	0.0069	"
C	-	-	3.14	0.0067	"
D	-	-	2.95	0.0063	"
A	1.7	1.0	3.33	0.0071	8
B	2.0	1.3	3.28	0.0070	"
C	1.5	0.9	3.21	0.0069	"
D	1.1	0.7	2.93	0.0063	"
A	1.7	1.0	3.26	0.0070	9
B	2.2	1.4	3.37	0.0072	"
C	2.1	0.9	3.26	0.0070	"
D	1.1	0.8	2.95	0.0063	"
A	1.7	1.0	3.15	0.0068	11
B	2.4	1.6	3.48	0.0074	"
C	3.2	1.0	3.23	0.0069	"
D	1.1	0.8	3.23	0.0069	"
A	2.1	1.0	2.98	0.0064	13
B	2.7	1.7	3.31	0.0071	"
C	3.3	1.0	3.06	0.0066	"
D	1.5	0.9	3.10	0.0066	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XX

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM (CASTING NO. B-16) CONTAINING NO IMPURITY
ADDITIONS EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	1.49	0.0032	1
B	-	-	1.99	0.0043	"
C	-	-	1.49	0.0032	"
D	-	-	1.00	0.0021	"
A	-	-	3.18	0.0068	2
B	-	-	2.44	0.0052	"
C	-	-	2.44	0.0052	"
D	-	-	0.98	0.0021	"
A	-	-	2.40	0.0051	3
B	-	-	2.88	0.0062	"
C	-	-	3.21	0.0069	"
D	-	-	1.92	0.0041	"
A	-	-	3.01	0.0064	4
B	-	-	3.00	0.0064	"
C	-	-	4.04	0.0086	"
D	-	-	2.89	0.0062	"
A	-	-	2.84	0.0061	5
B	-	-	2.74	0.0059	"
C	-	-	3.78	0.0081	"
D	-	-	2.83	0.0061	"
A	-	-	2.95	0.0063	6
B	-	-	2.95	0.0063	"
C	-	-	3.73	0.0080	"
D	-	-	2.88	0.0062	"

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE XX (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM (CASTING NO. B-16) CONTAINING NO IMPURITY
ADDITIONS EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	2.95	0.0063	7
B	-	-	2.62	0.0056	"
C	-	-	3.84	0.0082	"
D	-	-	2.76	0.0059	"
A	0.7	0.7	2.87	0.0061	8
B	0.9	0.6	2.58	0.0055	"
C	1.2	0.7	3.90	0.0084	"
D	2.5	1.0	2.70	0.0058	"
A	0.8	0.7	2.85	0.0061	9
B	0.9	0.7	2.69	0.0058	"
C	1.4	0.8	3.88	0.0083	"
D	2.5	0.9	2.75	0.0059	"
A	0.8	0.7	2.89	0.0062	11
B	0.9	0.8	2.68	0.0057	"
C	1.9	0.8	3.83	0.0082	"
D	2.7	0.9	2.93	0.0063	"
A	1.3	0.9	2.85	0.0061	13
B	1.1	0.8	2.57	0.0056	"
C	2.0	0.8	3.52	0.0076	"
D	3.4	0.9	2.85	0.0061	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE XXI

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.30%
ALUMINUM EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	6.48	0.0139	1
B	-	-	3.49	0.0075	"
C	-	-	5.48	0.0117	"
D	-	-	1.49	0.0032	"
A	-	-	3.67	0.0079	2
B	-	-	2.45	0.0052	"
C	-	-	3.42	0.0073	"
D	-	-	1.22	0.0026	"
A	-	-	3.37	0.0072	3
B	-	-	2.45	0.0052	"
C	-	-	3.69	0.0079	"
D	-	-	2.41	0.0052	"
A	-	-	3.29	0.0070	4
B	-	-	2.95	0.0063	"
C	-	-	2.72	0.0058	"
D	-	-	2.61	0.0056	"
A	-	-	4.28	0.0092	5
B	-	-	3.54	0.0076	"
C	-	-	3.44	0.0074	"
D	-	-	3.16	0.0068	"
A	-	-	3.01	0.0064	6
B	-	-	3.16	0.0068	"
C	-	-	3.08	0.0066	"
D	-	-	2.62	0.0056	"

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXI (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.30%
ALUMINUM EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	2.3	0.7	3.22	0.0069	7
B	1.9	0.7	3.02	0.0065	"
C	2.9	0.6	2.89	0.0062	"
D	0.9	0.9	2.70	0.0058	"
A	4.4	0.9	3.15	0.0067	8
B	4.7	1.2	2.87	0.0061	"
C	4.4	0.6	2.81	0.0060	"
D	1.9	0.8	2.70	0.0058	"
A	4.9	1.1	3.27	0.0070	9
B	5.2	1.2	3.02	0.0065	"
C	5.1	0.7	2.87	0.0061	"
D	2.1	0.9	2.77	0.0059	"
A	6.9	1.3	3.48	0.0075	11
B	7.5	1.3	3.32	0.0071	"
C	7.0	1.0	2.99	0.0064	"
D	2.4	1.1	3.07	0.0066	"
A	8.9	1.4	3.22	0.0069	13
B	7.6	1.3	3.07	0.0066	"
C	7.0	1.0	3.11	0.0067	"
D	2.8	1.4	2.96	0.0063	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXII

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.44%
ALUMINUM EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 35°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, months
A	-	-	1.99	0.0043	1
B	-	-	3.49	0.0075	"
C	-	-	4.48	0.0096	"
D	-	-	3.98	0.0085	"
A	-	-	4.41	0.0094	2
B	-	-	5.63	0.0121	"
C	-	-	5.14	0.0110	"
D	-	-	5.38	0.0115	"
A	-	-	4.82	0.0103	3
B	-	-	4.33	0.0093	"
C	-	-	4.97	0.0106	"
D	-	-	3.69	0.0079	"
A	-	-	2.72	0.0058	4
B	-	-	2.38	0.0051	"
C	-	-	3.63	0.0078	"
D	-	-	2.04	0.0044	"
A	-	-	3.72	0.0080	5
B	-	-	3.26	0.0070	"
C	-	-	3.91	0.0084	"
D	-	-	2.79	0.0060	"
A	-	-	3.47	0.0074	6
B	-	-	2.93	0.0063	"
C	-	-	3.70	0.0079	"
D	-	-	2.39	0.0051	"

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE XXII (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.44%
ALUMINUM EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	4.2	0.6	3.21	0.0069	7
B	1.7	0.6	3.08	0.0066	"
C	2.7	0.7	3.54	0.0076	"
D	2.0	0.6	2.64	0.0057	"
A	7.0	0.7	3.27	0.0070	8
B	2.9	0.8	2.98	0.0064	"
C	4.1	0.9	3.49	0.0075	"
D	2.5	0.7	2.70	0.0058	"
A	7.2	0.7	3.17	0.0068	9
B	3.7	0.9	2.87	0.0061	"
C	4.4	1.0	3.42	0.0073	"
D	2.7	0.8	2.77	0.0059	"
A	7.5	0.7	3.19	0.0068	11
B	5.5	1.1	3.03	0.0065	"
C	5.9	1.3	3.41	0.0073	"
D	3.1	1.1	2.91	0.0062	"
A	3.7	0.8	3.03	0.0065	13
B	5.5	1.1	2.96	0.0063	"
C	5.9	1.1	3.28	0.0070	"
D	3.3	1.3	2.89	0.0062	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE XXIII

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.73%
ALUMINUM EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	1.99	0.0043	1
B	-	-	1.99	0.0043	"
C	-	-	5.48	0.0117	"
D	-	-	4.47	0.0096	"
A	-	-	1.98	0.0042	2
B	-	-	2.69	0.0058	"
C	-	-	3.67	0.0079	"
D	-	-	3.18	0.0068	"
A	-	-	1.61	0.0034	3
B	-	-	2.57	0.0055	"
C	-	-	2.89	0.0062	"
D	-	-	3.69	0.0079	"
A	-	-	2.04	0.0044	4
B	-	-	2.93	0.0063	"
C	-	-	3.18	0.0068	"
D	-	-	3.29	0.0070	"
A	-	-	2.79	0.0060	5
B	-	-	3.16	0.0068	"
C	-	-	3.26	0.0070	"
D	-	-	3.72	0.0080	"
A	-	-	2.87	0.0061	6
B	-	-	2.87	0.0061	"
C	-	-	4.03	0.0086	"
D	-	-	3.56	0.0076	"

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXIII (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.73%
ALUMINUM EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	1.5	0.9	3.02	0.0065	7
B	-	-	2.83	0.0061	"
C	0.8	0.7	3.73	0.0080	"
D	2.2	0.8	3.73	0.0080	"
A	2.2	1.1	2.82	0.0060	8
B	0.8	0.6	2.93	0.0063	"
C	1.4	0.7	3.54	0.0076	"
D	2.3	0.7	3.54	0.0076	"
A	2.3	1.2	2.82	0.0060	9
B	1.1	0.8	2.97	0.0064	"
C	1.4	0.8	3.52	0.0075	"
D	2.3	0.8	3.42	0.0073	"
A	2.8	1.4	3.07	0.0066	11
B	1.4	1.0	2.86	0.0061	"
C	1.5	0.9	3.57	0.0076	"
D	2.3	1.0	3.65	0.0078	"
A	2.8	1.5	3.22	0.0069	13
B	1.4	1.2	3.00	0.0064	"
C	1.6	1.0	3.50	0.0075	"
D	3.1	1.0	3.50	0.0075	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE XXIV

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 1.05%
ALUMINUM EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	2.59	0.0055	1
B	-	-	3.49	0.0075	"
C	-	-	4.98	0.0106	"
D	-	-	3.59	0.0077	"
A	-	-	1.71	0.0037	2
B	-	-	2.45	0.0052	"
C	-	-	2.94	0.0063	"
D	-	-	2.45	0.0052	"
A	-	-	2.73	0.0058	3
B	-	-	2.41	0.0052	"
C	-	-	3.21	0.0069	"
D	-	-	1.77	0.0038	"
A	-	-	2.04	0.0044	4
B	-	-	1.93	0.0041	"
C	-	-	2.49	0.0053	"
D	-	-	1.13	0.0024	"
A	-	-	2.98	0.0064	5
B	-	-	2.78	0.0060	"
C	-	-	3.89	0.0083	"
D	-	-	1.39	0.0030	"
A	-	-	2.64	0.0056	6
B	-	-	2.64	0.0056	"
C	-	-	3.41	0.0073	"
D	-	-	1.32	0.0028	"

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE XXIV (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 1.05%
ALUMINUM EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	1.3	0.9	2.70	0.0058	7
B	2.7	0.9	2.57	0.0055	"
C	1.3	0.5	3.47	0.0074	"
D	3.1	0.4	2.38	0.0051	"
A	3.6	0.8	3.04	0.0065	8
B	3.9	1.1	2.81	0.0060	"
C	3.6	0.8	3.71	0.0079	"
D	5.7	0.6	2.76	0.0059	"
A	3.9	0.9	3.22	0.0069	9
B	4.2	1.2	2.97	0.0064	"
C	4.1	0.8	3.82	0.0082	"
D	6.3	0.7	2.76	0.0060	"
A	6.9	1.0	3.52	0.0075	11
B	7.4	1.4	3.32	0.0072	"
C	7.9	0.8	3.90	0.0083	"
D	8.4	0.8	3.18	0.0068	"
A	7.2	1.3	3.57	0.0076	13
B	7.8	1.1	3.25	0.0070	"
C	7.9	0.9	4.00	0.0086	"
D	8.4	1.0	3.36	0.0072	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXV

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.23%
IRON EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	2.99	0.0064	1
B	-	-	0.99	0.0021	"
C	-	-	1.98	0.0042	"
D	-	-	1.98	0.0042	"
A	-	-	1.47	0.0031	2
B	-	-	1.22	0.0026	"
C	-	-	2.94	0.0063	"
D	-	-	1.71	0.0037	"
A	-	-	1.93	0.0041	3
B	-	-	2.57	0.0055	"
C	-	-	2.24	0.0048	"
D	-	-	2.41	0.0052	"
A	-	-	1.63	0.0035	4
B	-	-	2.56	0.0055	"
C	-	-	2.79	0.0060	"
D	-	-	3.25	0.0070	"
A	-	-	2.25	0.0048	5
B	-	-	2.43	0.0052	"
C	-	-	3.37	0.0072	"
D	-	-	3.28	0.0070	"
A	-	-	2.36	0.0051	6
B	-	-	2.88	0.0062	"
C	-	-	3.29	0.0070	"
D	-	-	3.37	0.0072	"

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXV (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.23%
IRON EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	2.42	0.0052	7
B	-	-	2.88	0.0062	"
C	-	-	3.21	0.0069	"
D	-	-	3.47	0.0074	"
A	1.3	1.1	2.43	0.0052	8
B	1.6	0.9	2.89	0.0062	"
C	-	-	3.42	0.0073	"
D	0.7	0.8	3.65	0.0078	"
A	1.3	1.1	2.44	0.0052	9
B	1.6	0.9	2.91	0.0062	"
C	-	-	3.37	0.0072	"
D	0.7	0.8	3.58	0.0077	"
A	1.4	0.9	2.50	0.0053	11
B	1.6	0.9	2.98	0.0064	"
C	-	-	3.19	0.0068	"
D	0.7	0.8	3.32	0.0071	"
A	1.6	0.7	2.45	0.0052	13
B	1.6	0.9	2.91	0.0062	"
C	-	-	2.94	0.0063	"
D	0.8	0.8	3.01	0.0064	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXVI

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.34%
IRON EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	3.98	0.0085	1
B	-	-	2.49	0.0053	"
C	-	-	1.99	0.0043	"
D	-	-	3.49	0.0075	"
A	-	-	3.18	0.0068	2
B	-	-	1.96	0.0042	"
C	-	-	2.45	0.0052	"
D	-	-	3.67	0.0079	"
A	-	-	2.73	0.0048	3
B	-	-	2.08	0.0045	"
C	-	-	2.88	0.0062	"
D	-	-	4.48	0.0096	"
A	-	-	2.56	0.0055	4
B	-	-	2.09	0.0045	"
C	-	-	2.67	0.0057	"
D	-	-	3.84	0.0082	"
A	-	-	2.34	0.0050	5
B	-	-	2.06	0.0044	"
C	-	-	2.62	0.0056	"
D	-	-	3.28	0.0070	"
A	-	-	2.35	0.0050	6
B	-	-	2.27	0.0049	"
C	-	-	2.51	0.0054	"
D	-	-	4.00	0.0086	"

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXVI (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.34%
IRON EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	2.49	0.0053	7
B	-	-	2.29	0.0049	"
C	-	-	2.49	0.0053	"
D	-	-	3.34	0.0072	"
A	0.5	0.6	2.66	0.0053	8
B	2.0	0.7	2.78	0.0060	"
C	1.2	0.7	2.78	0.0060	"
D	0.6	0.8	3.24	0.0069	"
A	0.5	0.6	2.70	0.0058	9
B	2.0	0.8	2.80	0.0060	"
C	1.2	0.8	2.75	0.0059	"
D	0.6	0.8	3.22	0.0069	"
A	0.6	0.6	2.64	0.0057	11
B	2.0	1.1	2.76	0.0059	"
C	1.2	1.0	2.76	0.0059	"
D	0.6	0.8	3.19	0.0068	"
A	0.7	0.6	2.52	0.0054	13
B	2.1	1.1	2.52	0.0054	"
C	1.3	1.0	2.66	0.0057	"
D	0.6	0.8	3.05	0.0065	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXVII

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.40%
IRON EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	1.00	0.0021	1
B	-	-	2.97	0.0064	"
C	-	-	2.49	0.0053	"
D	-	-	3.99	0.0085	"
A	-	-	1.22	0.0026	2
B	-	-	2.08	0.0045	"
C	-	-	2.45	0.0052	"
D	-	-	2.69	0.0058	"
A	-	-	2.41	0.0052	3
B	-	-	2.73	0.0058	"
C	-	-	2.88	0.0062	"
D	-	-	3.21	0.0069	"
A	-	-	2.56	0.0055	4
B	-	-	2.79	0.0060	"
C	-	-	2.90	0.0062	"
D	-	-	3.37	0.0072	"
A	-	-	2.90	0.0062	5
B	-	-	3.18	0.0068	"
C	-	-	3.30	0.0071	"
D	-	-	3.18	0.0068	"
A	-	-	3.06	0.0065	6
B	-	-	3.29	0.0070	"
C	-	-	3.13	0.0067	"
D	-	-	3.61	0.0077	"

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXVII (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.40%
IRON EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	2.95	0.006	7
B	-	-	3.08	0.007	"
C	-	-	3.01	0.006	"
D	-	-	3.21	0.007	"
A	0.3	0.6	3.01	0.0064	8
B	0.9	1.5	3.13	0.0067	"
C	0.4	0.6	2.89	0.0062	"
D	1.1	0.8	3.13	0.0067	"
A	0.3	0.6	2.95	0.0063	9
B	0.9	1.5	3.11	0.0067	"
C	0.4	0.6	2.95	0.0063	"
D	1.1	0.8	3.16	0.0068	"
A	0.3	0.6	2.95	0.0063	11
B	0.9	1.5	3.15	0.0067	"
C	0.5	0.6	2.89	0.0062	"
D	1.1	0.8	3.15	0.0067	"
A	0.3	0.6	2.80	0.0060	13
B	1.3	1.3	2.98	0.0064	"
C	0.5	0.6	2.83	0.0060	"
D	1.1	0.8	2.91	0.0062	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXVIII

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.186%
SILICON EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85° C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	3.98	0.0085	1
B	-	-	3.98	0.0085	"
C	-	-	3.98	0.0085	"
D	-	-	4.48	0.0096	"
A	-	-	4.16	0.0089	2
B	-	-	3.91	0.0084	"
C	-	-	3.43	0.0073	"
D	-	-	3.43	0.0073	"
A	-	-	3.85	0.0082	3
B	-	-	3.20	0.0068	"
C	-	-	3.21	0.0069	"
D	-	-	2.41	0.0052	"
A	-	-	3.69	0.0079	4
B	-	-	2.80	0.0060	"
C	-	-	2.65	0.0057	"
D	0.2	1.1	1.97	0.0042	"
A	0.4	1.3	3.67	0.0079	5
B	0.3	1.3	3.13	0.0067	"
C	-	-	2.78	0.0060	"
D	0.2	2.6	2.86	0.0061	"
A	0.7	1.4	3.69	0.0079	6
B	0.4	1.1	3.47	0.0074	"
C	0.6	0.8	3.09	0.0066	"
D	0.5	1.9	2.94	0.0063	"

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXVIII (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.186%
SILICON EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	1.4	1.0	3.52	0.0075	7 1/2
B	0.8	1.1	3.27	0.0070	"
C	1.7	0.9	3.02	0.0065	"
D	1.1	1.1	2.96	0.0063	"
A	1.8	1.0	3.12	0.0067	10
B	0.8	1.7	3.12	0.0067	"
C	1.8	1.0	3.08	0.0066	"
D	1.2	1.7	2.90	0.0062	"
A	2.0	1.0	2.99	0.0064	12
B	3.3	1.7	2.98	0.0064	"
C	2.4	1.0	3.18	0.0068	"
D	1.8	1.7	3.03	0.0065	"
A	2.1	1.1	3.02	0.0065	13
B	3.3	1.7	3.16	0.0068	"
C	2.8	1.1	3.16	0.0068	"
D	2.1	1.9	3.06	0.0066	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXIX

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.201%
SILICON EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H_2O_2) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm^2	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, $mg/dm^2/mo^*$	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	4.98	0.0106	1
B	-	-	4.48	0.0096	"
C	-	-	2.99	0.0064	"
D	-	-	7.48	0.0160	"
A	-	-	2.94	0.0063	2
B	-	-	4.89	0.0104	"
C	-	-	4.65	0.0100	"
D	-	-	5.38	0.0115	"
A	-	-	2.41	0.0052	3
B	-	-	4.01	0.0086	"
C	-	-	4.02	0.0086	"
D	-	-	4.33	0.0093	"
A	-	-	1.87	0.0040	4
B	-	-	2.36	0.0051	"
C	0.2	1.0	3.00	0.0064	"
D	0.1	2.2	3.11	0.0067	"
A	-	-	2.39	0.0051	5
B	-	-	2.71	0.0058	"
C	0.3	2.0	2.95	0.0063	"
D	0.2	1.9	3.08	0.0066	"
A	0.7	0.6	2.49	0.0053	6
B	-	-	2.72	0.0058	"
C	0.5	1.7	3.16	0.0068	"
D	0.3	1.9	3.54	0.0076	"

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXIX (CONT'D)

THE CORROSION OF CAST BERYLLIUM CONTAINING 0.201%
SILICON EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	1.4	0.9	2.41	0.0052	7 1/2
B	0.6	0.9	2.72	0.0058	"
C	0.9	1.0	3.09	0.0066	"
D	0.6	1.7	3.39	0.0073	"
A	1.6	0.9	2.58	0.0056	10
B	0.7	0.9	2.83	0.0061	"
C	0.9	6.1	2.94	0.0063	"
D	0.6	1.7	3.03	0.0065	"
A	1.8	1.0	2.85	0.0061	12
B	0.7	0.8	2.96	0.0063	"
C	0.9	6.1	3.14	0.0067	"
D	0.6	1.7	2.99	0.0064	"
A	2.0	1.1	3.05	0.0065	13
B	0.9	0.9	3.16	0.0068	"
C	1.0	6.2	3.08	0.0066	"
D	0.7	1.9	3.19	0.0068	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXX

THE CORROSION OF HOT-PRESSED BERYLLIUM CONTAINING
0.11% Be₂C EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	4.49	0.0096	1
B	-	-	3.46	0.0074	"
C	-	-	4.15	0.0089	"
D	-	-	2.32	0.0050	"
A	Numerous	0.6	3.78	0.0081	2
B	"	0.5	2.83	0.0061	"
C	"	0.4	3.21	0.0069	"
D	"	0.4	2.17	0.0046	"
A	"	1.7	3.85	0.0082	3 3/4
B	"	0.9	3.21	0.0069	"
C	"	0.7	3.96	0.0085	"
D	"	0.6	3.48	0.0074	"
A	"	2.1	3.54	0.0076	4 1/2
B	"	0.9	3.64	0.0078	"
C	"	0.9	4.09	0.0087	"
D	"	0.6			"
A	"	2.1	3.42	0.0073	5 1/4
B	"	1.3	3.04	0.0065	"
C	"	0.9	3.57	0.0077	"
D	"	1.0	3.64	0.0078	"
A	"	2.4	3.18	0.0068	7
B	"	1.6	2.73	0.0058	"
C	"	1.0	3.06	0.0065	"
D	"	1.1	3.10	0.0066	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

TABLE XXXI

THE CORROSION OF HOT-PRESSED BERYLLIUM CONTAINING
0.23% Be₂C EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	4.86	0.0104	1
B	-	-	3.45	0.0074	"
C	-	-	3.97	0.0085	"
D	-	-	2.59	0.0055	"
A	Numerous	0.4	3.90	0.0084	2
B	"	0.5	3.21	0.0069	"
C	"	0.5	3.44	0.0074	"
D	"	0.4	2.48	0.0053	"
A	"	0.7	4.32	0.0092	3 3/4
B	"	0.9	3.85	0.0082	"
C	"	0.9	4.00	0.0086	"
D	"	0.9	3.42	0.0073	"
A	"	0.9	5.13	0.0109	4 1/2
B	"	1.1	4.27	0.0091	"
C	"	0.9	4.79	0.0102	"
D	"	0.9	4.53	0.0097	"
A	"	1.4	4.07	0.0087	5 1/4
B	"	1.3	3.73	0.0080	"
C	"	1.1	3.72	0.0080	"
D	"	1.1	3.86	0.0083	"
A	"	1.6	3.58	0.0077	7
B	"	1.3	3.06	0.0065	"
C	"	1.2	3.48	0.0075	"
D	"	1.3	3.26	0.0070	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

TABLE XXXII

THE CORROSION OF HOT-PRESSED BERYLLIUM CONTAINING
0.26% Be₂C EXPOSED TO DISTILLED WATER (0.005M H₂O₂) AT 85°C

Sample No.	Pit Count per cm ²	Maximum Pit Depth, mils	Weight Loss, mg/dm ² /mo*	Penetration Rate, mils/mo	Exposure Time, Months
A	-	-	3.64	0.0078	1
B	-	-	4.97	0.0106	"
C	-	-	3.97	0.0085	"
D	-	-	4.22	0.0090	"
A	Numerous	0.6	4.16	0.0089	2
B	"	0.5	4.52	0.0097	"
C	"	0.4	4.88	0.0104	"
D	"	0.4	4.26	0.0091	"
A	"	0.7	4.10	0.0088	3 3/4
B	"	0.8	4.10	0.0088	"
C	"	0.7	5.12	0.0109	"
D	"	0.7	4.63	0.0099	"
A	"	0.9	4.44	0.0095	4 1/2
B	"	1.3	4.70	0.0100	"
C	"	0.7	5.40	0.0115	"
D	"	0.9	4.96	0.0106	"
A	"	1.3	3.86	0.0083	5 1/4
B	"	1.4	4.07	0.0087	"
C	"	0.9	4.73	0.0101	"
D	"	1.0	4.14	0.0089	"
A	"	1.5	3.21	0.0069	7
B	"	1.6	3.42	0.0073	"
C	"	0.9	+160.5	----	"
D	"	0.9	3.79	0.0081	"

*mg/dm²/mo - Milligrams per square decimeter per month

~~SECRET~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

- 89 -

CONFIDENTIAL

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The writer wishes to express his sincere appreciation for the contribution of effort to this project by the following personnel:

Helen L. Barker of the Corrosion Group for her work in preparing the photographs of the test specimens and her assistance in the control of the test solutions.

S. H. Wheeler, J. W. Brown, L. L. Fairchild, and L. L. Allen of the Corrosion Group for their assistance in the examination of the test specimens and maintenance of the test solutions.

N. W. Bass of The Brush Beryllium Company for his cooperation in the production and preparation of the test specimens.

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

DECLASSIFIED

END