1723

176
12-20-80
HSC

DC 2100

OCTOBER 1980

P508

PPPL-1723

UC-20a,d,f

MASTER

QUASISTATIC FORMATION OF THE
SPHEROMAK PLASMA CONFIGURATION

BY

M. YAMADA, H. P. FURTH, W. HSU,
S. JARDIN, A. JANOS, M. OKABAYASHI,
J. SINNIS, T. H. STIX, AND K. YAMAZAKIPLASMA PHYSICS
LABORATORY

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy,
Contract No. DE-AC02-76-CH0 3075. Reproduction, translation,
publication, use and disposal, in whole or in part,
by or for the United States Government is permitted.

Quasistatic Formation of the Spheromak Plasma Configuration

M. Yamada, H. P. Furth, W. Hsu, S. Jardin, A. Janos,
M. Okabayashi, J. Sinnis, T. H. Stix, and K. Yamazaki

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08544

ABSTRACT

A novel method for creating the spheromak configuration has been proposed and verified experimentally. The scheme is based on a transfer of poloidal and toroidal magnetic fluxes into a plasma from a "flux core." In this paper we present the first experimental verification of this quasistatic ($\tau_{\text{Alf}} \ll \tau_{\text{form}} < \tau_{\text{diff}}$) formation scheme, which is suitable for future scale-up to fusion-reactor parameters.

The spheromak configuration is characterized by magnetic field lines that are closed, as in a tokamak, and by a coil-blanket topology that does not link the plasma, as in a mirror machine.¹⁻³ The magnetic field configuration of the spheromak includes both toroidal and poloidal components, but the toroidal component is maintained entirely by plasma currents, and therefore vanishes outside the plasma. There are no external toroidal-field coils. The outward pressure of the toroidal field and of the plasma is balanced by the inward pressure of the poloidal pinch field.

DISCLAIMER

This document contains information that is proprietary to the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory. It is to be used for informational purposes only and is not to be distributed outside the Laboratory without the express written permission of the Laboratory. The information contained herein is not to be used for commercial purposes without the express written permission of the Laboratory. The information contained herein is not to be used for commercial purposes without the express written permission of the Laboratory.

DISTRIBUTION BY

SECURITY

Quasistatic Formation of the Spheromak Plasma Configuration

M. Yamada, H. P. Furth, W. Hsu, S. Jardin, A. Janos,
M. Okabayashi, J. Sinis, T. H. Stix, and K. Yamazaki

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University
Princeton, New Jersey 08544

ABSTRACT

A novel method for creating the spheromak configuration has been proposed and verified experimentally. The scheme is based on a transfer of poloidal and toroidal magnetic fluxes into a plasma from a "flux core." In this paper we present the first experimental verification of this quasistatic ($\tau_{\text{Alf}} \ll \tau_{\text{form}} < \tau_{\text{diff}}$) formation scheme, which is suitable for future scale-up to fusion-reactor parameters.

The spheromak configuration is characterized by magnetic field lines that are closed, as in a tokamak, and by a coil-blanket topology that does not link the plasma, as in a mirror machine.¹⁻³ The magnetic field configuration of the spheromak includes both toroidal and poloidal components, but the toroidal component is maintained entirely by plasma currents, and therefore vanishes outside the plasma. There are no external toroidal-field coils. The outward pressure of the toroidal field and of the plasma is balanced by the inward pressure of the poloidal pinch field.

DISCLAIMER

14. The use and production of the T-1000 document by agencies of the United States Government, or by the United States Government or any of its employees, including any contractor, subcontractor or agent, in connection with any legal matter, is hereby disclaimed. The accuracy, completeness, and timeliness of the information appearing herein, in printed document or otherwise, that is not subject to copyright, patent rights, or otherwise, is not warranted. The use of the T-1000 document by the United States Government, or by any of its employees, subcontractors, or agents, in connection with any legal matter, is hereby disclaimed. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

The experimental realization of the spheromak configuration to date has followed two main lines of approach: the coaxial plasma-gun scheme³ and the field-reversed theta pinch with center-column discharge.⁴ These are both "dynamic" schemes, occurring on a time scale comparable to the Alfvén-wave transit time (τ_{Alf}), and involving the passage of large plasma currents through electrodes.

The attractiveness of the spheromak as a fusion reactor concept would be enhanced by a slow formation scheme in which the required forming power could be kept moderate even for reactor plasma parameters. The elimination of electrodes would also be a favorable development. The S-1 program⁵ is designed to demonstrate an appropriately slow (quasistatic) and electrodeless formation scheme. The present communication describes results from the Proto-S-1, the first experimental demonstration of this type of approach.

The S-1 scheme of Fig. 1 was developed in the course of extensive two-dimensional resistive MHD simulations.⁶⁻⁸ An initial poloidal field is generated by toroidal current inside a ring-shaped (toroidal) flux core, and is weakened on the small-major-radius side of the core by the superposition of an externally generated vertical field. The core also contains a toroidal solenoid, which generates a toroidal field on its interior. When the toroidal solenoid is energized, it induces a poloidal current in a

sleeve-shaped plasma surrounding the ring. The associated toroidal field distends the poloidal-field sleeve, stretching it towards the magnetic axis where the poloidal field is weakest. When the toroidal core current is reduced through zero and 'crowbarred' at a negative value, an increasingly large toroidal current is induced in the plasma. Magnetic reconnection of the poloidal field then occurs, on a time scale that is slow compared with the dynamic time τ_{Alf} , but rapid compared with the resistive diffusion time τ_{diff} , and a separated plasma toroid is created on the small-major-radius side of the flux core. This toroid, the desired spheromak configuration, is held in subsequent equilibrium by the externally generated steady-state field.

The machine configuration and the main components of the Proto S-1 device are scaled down (1/6) versions of the main S-1 device,⁵ which will be completed at the end of 1982. The flux core of 15 cm major radius and 3 cm minor radius contains the Poloidal Flux Coil (PF, 3-turn toroidal winding) and the Toroidal Flux Coil (TF, 40-turn poloidal winding). The core is covered by 3-mil-thick metallic liner (stainless steel), which provides a vacuum enclosure around the core and also tends to symmetrize the induced fields during the initial breakdown stage. The core is supported against induced forces and is powered by 2 sets of electrical leads. The external vacuum vessel is made of 3/8" stainless steel with no insulating breaks. The externally generated vertical field is of order 1 kG or less, and is steady-state. The PF and TF coils are driven by fast capacitor banks.

The timing of the coil currents in the flux core is shown in Fig. 2. Framing-camera observations show that a few microseconds after the initiation of the TF current a plasma sleeve is created around the core, which then expands on its small-major-radius side and finally transforms into a localized plasma in the intended spheromak equilibrium position. Many plasma discharges have been made in H_2 , He, and Ar gases with best results obtained by filling the vacuum vessel with 20~50 m Torr Helium gas.

In order to provide a conclusive demonstration of the formation of the spheromak field configuration, the time evolution of the magnetic fields has been measured directly by movable magnetic probes (2-mm-diam 20-turn loops). The success of this method is due to the excellent reproducibility of the field configuration (within 5% deviation). Figure 3 depicts the evolution of the toroidal and poloidal magnetic fields at the midplane. The spheromak equilibrium configuration with the poloidal field reversing as a function of major radius R and the toroidal field vanishing at the plasma edge, is established 12~14 μ sec after the start of the plasma discharge (TF-current start). This fully formed configuration remains intact for about 12 μ sec. Figure 4a shows the measured contours of the toroidal field in a poloidal plane at $t = 16 \mu$ sec.

Following the establishment of the desired configuration, the spheromak plasma shrinks gradually to $R \approx 5 \sim 6$ cm, while the poloidal and toroidal fluxes trapped in the plasma are decaying by resistive diffusion. At about $t = 26$ μ sec, the sudden appearance of a nonuniformity in the framing-camera pictures suggests the onset of a nonaxisymmetric instability.

The plasma density and temperature have been monitored by double Langmuir probes (voltage-swept) and CO_2 laser interferometry. For helium discharges, the central density, measured at $R = 5$ cm, $t = 16$ μ sec is $1.2 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and the central temperature reaches its highest value 25 ± 5 eV at $t = 15 \sim 18$ μ sec.

We have performed a computer simulation for the present spheromak formation experiment by a resistive two-dimensional MHD code.⁷ In an axisymmetric geometry, we look for solutions with slowly changing boundary conditions and small mass flow. The reduced MHD equations used are a finite-beta generalization of those described in detail in Ref. 7.

Magnetic boundary conditions require information about the poloidal flux function ψ and the toroidal field function $g = RB_\phi$ at the plasma boundary. These functions are related to the tangential electric field at the core by Faraday's law,

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = R^2 \nabla \phi \cdot \vec{E}, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = R^2 \nabla \cdot (\nabla \phi \times \vec{E}).$$

The tangential electric field is determined self-consistently

by the simultaneous solution of electric circuit equations, taking into account the presence of a resistive metallic liner.

Fig. 4 presents experimental and theoretical toroidal field contours at $t \approx 16 \mu\text{sec}$. The time evolution of the toroidal and poloidal magnetic fields was also simulated (Fig. 5). The initial plasma distribution was modeled to be uniform at $6 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and 3 eV. Impurity radiation was included, with a uniform mix of 1-5% oxygen. The peak temperature and density vary from 10 to 30 eV and from 1×10^{15} to $2 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ respectively depending on initial conditions. The maximum plasma beta is 60%. The qualitative agreement between the data and the simulation during the first 20 μsec is excellent. Some quantitative discrepancies are explainable in view of the uncertainties as to the initial density distribution and concentration of impurities, and the nonphysical assumption of the computer code that the flux core remains a constant flux surface. We observe some larger discrepancies after 20 μsec , evidently due to the development of non-axisymmetric motion in the experiment, which has no counterpart in the 2-D simulation.

In conclusion, the feasibility and effectiveness of the quasistatic S-1 spheromak formation scheme has been verified experimentally. The resultant spheromak configuration lasts about $15\text{--}20 \mu\text{sec}(100\tau_{\text{Alf}})$, which is significantly long since the classical magnetic diffusion time of the plasma ($T_e = 20 \text{ eV}$) is expected to be of the same order (50 μsec). Utilizing

about $1/4 \sim 1/3$ of the flux change from the core, the maximum toroidal and poloidal plasma currents are found to be roughly 20 kA and 50 kA respectively. Larger experiments in the S-1 program are expected to provide more detailed information on the MHD-stability and transport characteristics of the spheromak configuration.

We thank G. Wurden for his help in CO_2 laser interferometry and W. Heidbrink, W. Heim, P. Heitzenroeder, D. Herron, T. Holoman, R. Labaw, R. Longmuir, P. Larue, M. Pelovitz, and other PPPL personnel for their excellent technical assistance.

Supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. N. Bussac, H. P. Furth, M. Okabayashi, M. N. Rosenbluth, and A. M. M. Todd, in Plasma Phys. and Cont. Nucl. Fusion Res. (Proc. 7th Int. Conf., Innsbruck, 1978) 3, IAEA, Vienna, 1979, 249-264 and reference therein.
- [2] M. Okabayashi and A. M. M. Todd, Nucl. Fusion 20 (1980) 571.
- [3] H. Alfven et al., in Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. on Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy 31, 2 (1958); C. W. Hartman et al., Lawrence Livermore Lab., Quarterly Report #2 (1978); T. R. Jarboe et al., in Proc. of U.S.-Japan Symp. on Compact Toruses, Dec. (1979) P. 53.
- [4] G. Goldenbaum et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 393 (1980).
- [5] M. Yamada et al., Bull. of Am. Phys. Soc. 24 (1979) 1022; M. Yamada et al., Proc. US-Japan Symp. on Compact Toruses, Dec. 1979, P. 171.
- [6] W. Grossmann et al., Proc. of 8th Int. Conf. on Plasma Phys. and Conf. Nucl. Fusion Res. Brussels, 1980 IAEA-CN-38.
- [7] S. Jardin and W. Park, PPPL-1706, September 1980.
- [8] H. C. Lui et al., to be published.

QUASISTATIC FORMATION USING INDUCTION

(PPPL-806488)

Fig. 1(a,b,c). S-1 Spheromak Formation Scheme.

(PPPL-803975)
 Fig. 2. Time Evolution of Coil Currents. PF (Top) and TF (Bottom),
 Abscissa; 10 μ sec. Ordinate; PF 30 kA turn/div; TF, 400 kA turn/div.

Fig. 3. Time evolution of the magnetic field at the mid-plane; Toroidal field (left) and poloidal field (right). $t = 0$ corresponds to TF current start. TF and PF currents are crowbarred at $t = 10$ μ sec and $t = 12$ μ sec respectively.

TOROIDAL FIELD PLOT

(PPPL-806571)

Fig. 4(a). Measured toroidal field contours at $t = 16 \mu\text{sec}$. Symmetry w.r.t. major axis was checked to be satisfactory. (b) Result from the simulation at the same time.

Fig. 5. Simulated evolution of (a) toroidal field and (b) poloidal field, for an initial plasma density of $6 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ with 5% O.. (PPPL-806578)

ALL CATEGORIES

R. Askew, Auburn University, Alabama
 S. T. Wu, Univ. of Alabama
 Geophysical Institute, Univ. of Alaska
 G.L. Johnston, Sonoma State Univ., California
 H. H. Kuehl, Univ. of S. California
 Institute for Energy Studies, Stanford University
 H. D. Campbell, University of Florida
 N. L. Oleson, University of South Florida
 W. M. Stacey, Georgia Institute of Technology
 Benjamin Ma, Iowa State University
 Magne Kristiansen, Texas Tech. University
 W. L. Wiese, Nat'l Bureau of Standards, Wash., D.C.
 Australian National University, Canberra
 C.N. Watson-Munro, Univ. of Sydney, Australia
 F. Cap, Inst. for Theo. Physics, Austria
 Dr. M. Heindler, Institute for Theoretical Physics
 Technical University of Graz
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Bruxelles, Belgium
 D. Palumbo, C. European Comm. B-1049-Brussels
 P.H. Sakonaka, Instituto de Fisica, Campinas, Brazil
 M.P. Bachynski, MPB Tech., Ste. Anne de Bellevue,
 Quebec, Canada
 C. R. James, University of Alberta, Canada
 T.W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, Verceles, Quebec
 H. M. Skarsgard, Univ. of Saskatchewan, Canada
 Inst. of Physics, Academia Sinica, Peking,
 People's Republic of China
 Inst. of Plasma Physics, Hefei,
 Anhwei Province, People's Republic of China
 Library, Tsing Hua Univ., Peking, People's
 Republic of China
 Zhengwu Li, Southwestern Inst. of Phys., Leshan,
 Sichuan Province, People's Republic of China
 Librarian, Culham Laboratory, Abingdon, England (2)
 A.M. Dupas Library, C.E.N.-G, Grenoble, France
 Central Res. Inst. for Physics, Hungary
 S. R. Sharina, Univ. of Rajasthan, JAIPUR-4, India
 R. Shingal, Meerut College, India
 A.K. Sundaram, Phys. Res. Lab., India
 Biblioteca, Frascati, Italy
 Biblioteca, Milano, Italy
 G. Rostagni, Univ. Di Padova, Padova, Italy
 Preprint Library, Inst. de Fisica, Pisa, Italy
 Library, Plasma Physics Lab., Gokasho, Uji, Japan
 S. Mori, Japan Atomic Energy Res. Inst., Tokai-Mura
 Research Information Center, Nagoya Univ., Japan
 S. Shioda, Tokyo Inst. of Tech., Japan
 Inst. of Space & Aero. Sci., Univ. of Tokyo
 T. Uchida, Univ. of Tokyo, Japan
 H. Yamato, Toshiba R. & P. Center, Japan
 M. Yoshikawa, JAERI, Tokai Res. Est., Japan
 Dr. Tsuneo Nakakita, Toshiba Corporation,
 Kawasaki-Ku Kawasaki, 210 Japan
 N. Yajima, Kyushu Univ., Japan
 R. England, Univ. Nacional Auto-noma de Mexico
 B. S. Liley, Univ. of Waikato, New Zealand
 S. A. Moss, Saab Univas Norge, Norway
 J.A.C. Cabral, Univ. de Lisboa, Portugal
 O. Petrus, A.L.I. CUZA Univ., Romania
 J. de Villiers, Atomic Energy Bd., South Africa
 A. Maurech, Comisaria De La Energy y Recursos
 Minerales, Spain
 Library, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
 Cen. de Res. En Phys.Des Plasmas, Switzerland
 Librarian, Form-Instituut Voor Plasma-Fysica,
 The Netherlands

Bibliothek, Stuttgart, West Germany
 R.D. Bulter, Univ. of Stuttgart,
 West Germany
 Max-Planck-Inst. fur Plasmaphysik,
 W. Germany
 Nucl. Res. Estab., Jülich, West Germany
 K. Schindler, Inst. Fur Theor. Physik,
 W. Germany

EXPERIMENTAL
THEORETICAL

M. H. Brennan, Flinders Univ. Australia
 H. Barnard, Univ. of British Columbia, Canada
 S. Sreenivasan, Univ. of Calgary, Canada
 J. Rudet, C.E.N.-B.P., Fontenay-aux-Roses,
 France
 Prof. Schatzman, Observatoire de Nice,
 France
 S. C. Sharina, Univ. of Cape Coast, Ghana
 R. N. Aiyer, Laser Section, India
 B. Buti, Physical Res. Lab., India
 L. K. Chavda, S. Gujarat Univ., India
 I.M. Las Das, Banaras Hindu Univ., India
 S. Cuperman, Tel Aviv Univ., Israel
 E. Greenspan, Nucl. Res. Center, Israel
 P. Rosenau, Israel Inst. of Tech., Israel
 Int'l. Center for Theo. Physics, Trieste, Italy
 I. Kawakami, Nihon University, Japan
 T. Nakayama, Ritsumeikan Univ., Japan
 S. Nagao, Tohoku Univ., Japan
 J.I. Sakai, Toyama Univ., Japan
 S. Tjøtta, Univ. i Bergen, Norway
 M.A. Hellberg, Univ. of Natal, South Africa
 H. Wilhelmsson, Chalmers Univ. of Tech.,
 Sweden
 Astro. Inst., Sonnenborgh Obs.,
 The Netherlands
 T. J. Boyd, Univ. College of North Wales
 K. Hubner, Univ. Heidelberg, W.Germany
 H. J. Kaeppler, Univ. of Stuttgart,
 West Germany
 K. H. Spatschek, Univ. Essen, West Germany

EXPERIMENTAL
ENGINEERING

B. Grek, Univ. du Quebec, Canada
 P. Lukac, Komsenskeho Univ., Czechoslovakia
 G. Horikoshi, Nat'l Lab for High Energy Physics,
 Tsukuba-Gun, Japan

EXPERIMENTAL

F. J. Paoloni, Univ. of Wollongong, Australia
 J. Kistemaker, Form Inst. for Atomic
 & Molec. Physics, The Netherlands

THEORETICAL

F. Verheest, Inst. Vor Theo. Mech., Belgium
 J. Teichmann, Univ. of Montreal, Canada
 T. Kahan, Univ. Paris VII, France
 R. K. Chhajlani, India
 S. K. Trehan, Panjab Univ., India
 T. Namikawa, Osaka City Univ., Japan
 H. Narumi, Univ. of Hiroshima, Japan
 Korea Atomic Energy Res. Inst., Korea
 E. T. Karlson, Uppsala Univ., Sweden
 L. Stenflo, Univ. of UMEA, Sweden
 J. R. Saraf, New Univ., United Kingdom