DOE/ER/01195--479

DE83 007419

ep Physics at the CBA

J. E. Wiss*
Physics Department
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Urbana, IL 61801

D. H. White and W. M. Morse
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, New York 11973

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

*Work supported in part by DOE Contract DE-AC02-76ER01195

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

EJB

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

eP PHYSICS AT THE CBA

In this report we explore the physics potential of a high energy electron proton colliding beam facility where 20 GeV electrons collide with 400 GeV protons at the CBA. Such a facility will dramatically extend lepton nucleon scattering up to momentum transfers where weak processes have strengths commensurate with electromagnetic processes ($Q^2 = M_W^2$). The study of lepton nucleon scattering in this particularly timely kinematic regime by fixed target experiments will have to await the advent of 20 TeV accelerator beams. In highlighting the physics potential of a high energy eP collider we have drawn heavily on the myriad of proposals intended for CERN,^{1,2} Fermilab,^{3,4} and BNL⁵. These proposals discuss a large number of important technical details concerning both the design of an eP collider and the extraction of the physics summarized here using realistic detectors.

As we shall show throughout this report, the physics explored by a high energy eP collider tends to be complementary to, rather than competitive with, the physics of either hadron-hadron or electron-positron colliders. Both hadron-hadron and electron-positron physics tends to concentrate on the s-channel production of new states; whereas eP scattering tend to concentrate on the t-channel study of particle interactions and particle structure. A high energy eP collider experiment will provide several crucial tests of the standard electroweak theory and QCD. Low energy lepton nucleon scattering experiments have conclusively demonstrated that the standard model correctly describes electro-weak phenomena at low Q^2 . These tests indicate that the standard model describes the coupling constants of electro-weak interactions,

however the predicted propagator Q^2 dependence is as of yet untested. In a high energy eP collider, charged current propagator effects will be sufficiently dramatic to offer a precision measurement of the W mass (± 5 GeV). The 10^{-4} level of scattering asymmetry observed in polarized eP scattering at SLAC will grow to about 25% at the momenta transfers accessible to a high energy eP collider before being damped by the Z propagator. Measurement of the Q^2 dependence of such weak-electromagnetic effects will provide a 10% estimate of the Z mass which can then be compared to the more accurate measurement of the Z mass through direct production in either e^+e^- annihilation or hadron-hadron collisions to provide a critical test of electroweak theory.

Modifications can be made to the standard model which match present data at low Q^2 but diverge from the standard model at the momentum transfers explorable with an eP collider. For example, the presence of multiple W's and Z's with masses below about 200 GeV would distort the expected single propagator Q^2 dependence of lepton nucleon scattering in a measurable way. The charged current process which is measured to be lefthanded at low Q^2 might become ambidextrous at high momentum transfers due to either the presence of new vector bosons or new heavy electrons. The ability to control the incident electron polarization in an eP collider provides a powerful tool in searching for righthanded charged current couplings. The effects of a new righthanded, charged current mediating vector boson with a mass of more than 200 GeV will be substantially suppressed relative to the standard W at presently explored momentum transfers, but will grow increasingly more important at higher Q^2 . In a moderate duration eP run, the effects of righthanded mediating W's of masses below 300 GeV will be detectable. An alternative ambidextrous model would involve a massive neutral heavy electron which couples to righthanded

electrons with the standard W propagator. Such righthanded electron coupling would be unobserved in present charged current data which is below threshold for production of this new heavy lepton. The experimental signature for such a heavy electron is striking and offers sensitivity to heavy electrons with masses up to 100 GeV in a modest duration eP run.

High energy electron proton scattering will provide several important tests of QCD. The expected QCD scale breaking of neutral current structure functions can be studied with reasonable statistics up to momentum transfers which are 30 times larger than the range accessible to fixed target accelerators of the near future. Because of the large center of mass energy present in an eP collider, scaling violations can be studied in a clean kinematic region free from the effects of heavy quark thresholds, higher twist corrections and the like. The study of scale breaking up to momentum transfers of 10^4 GeV^2 provides an important test of the present ideas of QCD corrections to the deep inelastic scattering process which contends that scale breaking should grow logarithmically in Q^2 . Observation of a power law scaling violation could suggest the onset of interesting new phenomena such as possible quark substructure or additional unanticipated interactions between quarks and leptons. Even if such dramatic phenomena did not occur, the measurement of quark and gluon structure functions at the large momenta transfers accessible to an eP collider will provide important information for the interpretation of parton scattering phenomena in hadron-hadron colliders.

In addition to providing high Q^2 tests of QCD, a high energy eP collider can test QCD through the high ν , low Q^2 virtual photoproduction process. A 20 GeV electron on 400 GeV proton eP collider produces a virtual photon spectrum in the proton rest frame which is identical to the spectrum produced by a 17 TeV electron fixed target bremsstrahlung beam. Both heavy flavor

photoproduction and the QCD Compton process provide potentially powerful tests of the detailed theory of QCD.

The physics discussed in this report is predicated on the existence of a 20 GeV on 400 GeV electron proton machine capable of delivering an average luminosity of $2-4 \times 10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$. Several independent reports have concluded that such a facility is technically feasible at the CBA.^{5,6} With advanced planning it may even prove possible to collide electrons on protons at two of the CBA intersection regions while simultaneously colliding protons on protons at the other intersection regions. Much of the physics summarized in this report requires a run with a net luminosity of $5 \times 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ which could be collected in a year of dedicated eP running. Some of the physics discussed here requires colliding positrons as well as electrons with protons; some of the physics requires incident leptons with longitudinal polarization. We assume that 80% polarization is possible in an eP collider supplemented with suitable magnetic spin rotator gymnastics.⁵

Because a great deal of the physics potential of an eP collider depends on the measurements of hadronic jets, we assume the existence of a general detector with large solid angle electromagnetic and hadronic calorimetry such as those detectors being built for other particle collider facilities. Many of the eP simulations presented here assume a ± 40 mrad resolution on jet angle and a $\pm .60\sqrt{E_{\text{jet}}}$ resolution on jet energy. These values are typical of resolutions achieved with present calorimeter technology.

The Neutral Current Process

In this section we discuss the physics results likely to follow from a study of the neutral current contributions to high energy eP collisions which are depicted in Figure 1a. In normal neutral current interactions, the

incident electron scatters elastically from a quark carrying a fraction x of the incident proton momentum (see Figure 1c). In high Q^2 collisions, it is believed that the final hadronic state will kinematically separate into a wide angle jet, the current jet (four momentum $xP+q$), and an essentially forward jet, the proton fragmentation jet (four momentum $(1-x)P$). The kinematic variables x ($x = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}$), and Q^2 ($Q^2 = -q^2$) can be fairly precisely measured by measuring the energy and polar angle of the scattered electron. The quantities x and Q^2 can be determined with lesser precision by measuring the current jet. In principle x could be independently measured by the proton fragmentation jet using an extremely forward calorimeter downstream of a magnet designed to deflect non-interacting protons. A useful, additional kinematic variable is $y = \frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot K}$, which equals the fraction of the incident electron energy which gets transferred to the proton when the collision is viewed in the proton rest frame. The variables x , y , and Q^2 are not independent but are related through the relation $Q^2 = s x y$, where s is the total center of mass energy ($s = 4 E_e E_p$, where $E_e = 20$ GeV, $E_p = 400$ GeV).

The major physics interest in studying the neutral current process in an eP collider lies in the areas of deep inelastic structure functions, weak-electromagnetic interference, and virtual photoproduction. With the exception of virtual photoproduction where one probes the low Q^2 , large y neutral current process, one is primarily interested in studying large Q^2 scattering. Figure 2 compares the high Q^2 neutral current yield obtainable in an eP collider to the yield obtainable in the Tevatron muon beam. Clearly a 20 on 400 GeV eP collider will dramatically extend the kinematic regime studied by foreseeable fixed target experiments.

Structure Functions

In this section we describe the x and Q^2 range where the neutral current structure function can be measured with reasonable statistical precision after a relatively long eP run. The cross section for the one photon contribution which dominates the neutral current process can be written in the form:

$$d\sigma = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{Q^2} \left[1 - \frac{Q^2}{sx} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{Q^2}{sx} \right)^2 \right] F_2(x, Q^2) \frac{dx}{x} \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2}$$

All s dependence in the above expression comes from the bracketed factor which only varies by a factor of two over the full kinematic range $0 < Q^2 < sx$. We thus reach the initially surprising conclusion that while increasing s increases the accessible Q^2 at a given x , increasing s does not appreciably increase the yield of events in a given accessible x and Q^2 bin.

In order to determine over what x and Q^2 we can profitably study $F_2(x, Q^2)$, we must set up criteria concerning bin size and the minimum number of events per bin. Because QCD scale breaking grows logarithmically in Q^2 , a natural Q^2 binning scheme is to bin in $d(\log Q^2) = dQ^2/Q^2$. A reasonable bin size is $dQ^2/Q^2 = .1$. Because $F_2(x, Q^2)$ changes most rapidly near $x = 0$, $dx/x = .1$ bins also appear to be reasonable. Using our rate expression we see that the event yield in a given $dQ^2/Q^2 = .1$ and $dx/x = .1$ bin is approximately:

$$\text{yield per bin} = \frac{2.6 \times 10^6}{Q^2} F_2(x, Q^2)$$

For a mature eP run of 10^{39} cm^{-2} integrated luminosity.

Figure 3 gives the $Q^2 - x$ contours where the yield per bin is greater than 100 for our long eP run of 10^{39} cm^{-2} . We see from Figure 3 that in only the low x region do we measure structure functions up to the kinematic

limit. In light of the fact that increasing s does not appreciably increase the yield in a given $x - Q^2$ bin, we conclude that incremental increases in luminosity outweigh incremental increases in s for the measurement of structure functions. For these reasons a full time 20 x 400 GeV eP facility at the CBA may do a better job with structure function measurement than a part time 10 x 1000 GeV eP facility at Fermilab.

QCD Scale Breaking

The central prediction of QCD, when applied to lepton-nucleon scattering, concerns the momentum transfer (Q^2) dependence of structure functions. QCD predicts that structure functions should vary logarithmically as a function of Q^2 for fixed x instead of being constant as the simple parton model predicts. The interaction of quarks and gluons causes a Q^2 evolution in the structure function which is predicted to enhance the structure function at small x and suppress the structure function at large x as Q^2 grows. This Q^2 variation reflects the increasingly important role of sea quarks in the neutral current process at increasing momentum transfer. Figure 4 shows simulated structure functions in the low x region which could be obtained in a modest run of a 20 x 400 GeV eP collider. The predicted rise in $\log(Q^2)$ in F_2 for low x data will be rather dramatic for this choice of scale breaking parameter. The value $\Lambda = .5 \text{ GeV}^2$ was used in the calculations of Figure 4, although recent data indicate that this value may be too large. We note that the kinematic limit for $x = .1$ neutral current scattering at the Tevatron is only $Q^2 = 200 \text{ GeV}^2$, whereas the limit for a 20 x 400 GeV eP collider is $Q^2 = 3200 \text{ GeV}^2$, about an order of magnitude higher. As Figure 4 demonstrates, the study of low x scale breaking at an eP collider will not be statistically limited. At the DPF Snowmass Workshop on Future Facilities⁷ it was estimated that an eP

run of 5×10^{38} integrated luminosity would determine Λ to $\pm 30\%$ if $\Lambda = 100$ MeV and $\pm 20\%$ if $\Lambda = 300$ MeV. A 30% measurement of Λ translates to a 6% measurement of α_s .

Non-QCD Scale Breaking

An eP collider offers the ability to measure the Q^2 dependence of structure functions over 3 orders of magnitude, thus providing a powerful tool for searching for possible power law contributions to scale breaking. Such anomalous scale breaking would signify the onset of exciting new phenomena in lepton nucleon scattering.

In the past, when lepton nucleon scattering experiments began to reach the energies of a few hundred MeV, nucleons no longer scattered like pointlike objects, but instead appeared to have a substructure described by a form factor. As electron energies were increased, a new simplicity emerged as the scaling of deep inelastic structure functions was explained as a manifestation of elastic electron-quark scattering. As the energy regime accessible with a high energy eP collider is reached, one may find that quarks themselves may have substructure. An early indication of such substructure would be the presence of a power law scale violation due to the effects of a multiplicative quark form factor of the type:

$$|F(q)|^2 = \left(\frac{M^2}{Q^2 + M^2} \right)^2$$

where M represents the reciprocal distance scale of the subquarks. Such a quark form factor would produce an x independent pattern of scaling violations as opposed to the x dependent scaling violations of QCD. Figure 5 shows how such a quark form factor affects the measurements of the neutral current

structure function F_2 . The error bars reflect the statistics appropriate to an eP run with $5 \times 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ integrated luminosity. The two curves correspond to x between .1 and .3 and x between .3 and .5. With this luminosity we will be sensitive to M values of up to 300 GeV.

The presence of non-logarithmic scale violations such as those illustrated in Figure 5 would be only one indication of quark substructure. Dramatic differences in the appearance of the hadronic final state should occur as one moves from the regime of electron quark scattering to electron subquark scattering. Early indications of such an effect would be a substantial broadening of the current jet at large Q^2 . QCD jet broadening is only expected to grow logarithmically in Q^2 . Such effects will be easily detectable at an eP facility since the current jet direction is predictable from measurements of the scattered electron energy and angle.

Quark substructure effects should also be observable at high energy e^+e^- colliders through variations in R , the ratio of hadronic to pointlike cross section as a function of E_{cm} . Of course, in e^+e^- annihilation the analysis of R is complicated by the possible s-channel production of new states. Hence the interpretation of a non-constant R in e^+e^- annihilation is inevitably cloudy.

Polarized Proton Structure Functions

As discussed extensively in Ref. 14, the ability to collide electrons and positrons with polarized protons at the CBA adds a new dimension to deep inelastic scattering. We list four of the many possible measurements.

- 1) The polarized structure function of the proton has been measured at low Q^2 in deep inelastic eP scattering. These measurements are sensitive to $A_u(x)$ -- the degree of polarization transfer from the

proton to the up quark. The results of these experiments were both interesting and unexpected. High Q^2 measurements would determine the scaling behavior of $A_u(x)$.

- 2) The proton polarization asymmetry in positron-proton charged current interactions is directly proportional to $A_d(x)$. This important quantity has never been measured. While $A_u(x)$ is predicted to be large and positive, $A_d(x)$ should be smaller and negative -- that is the polarization of the d quark should be opposite to the polarization of the proton.
- 3) The proton polarization asymmetry of longitudinally polarized electrons on transversely polarized protons is sensitive to $R = \sigma_L/\sigma_T$. This important parameter is quite difficult to measure by other means. The parton model predicts $R = 0$ for scattering from spin 1/2 constituents and $R = \infty$ for scattering from spin 0 constituents.
- 4) The current algebra Bjorken sum rule :

$$\int \frac{dx}{x} \left(\frac{A_p F_p(x)}{1 + R_p} - \frac{A_n F_n(x)}{1 + R_n} \right) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{|G_a|}{|G_v|} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} (Q^2) + \dots \right)$$

could be tested since the quantities on the lefthand side of the equation would be directly measured. This is a fundamental QCD test.

Weak-Electromagnetic Interference

The observation of asymmetries observed in low Q^2 electron proton scattering at SLAC gave the first compelling evidence for the existence of weak and electromagnetic interference in the neutral current process. Because the photon mediated neutral current continues to overwhelm the Z mediated

neutral current even at the Q^2 accessible to an eP collider, asymmetry measurement continues to be the best means to study the effects of the Z. The standard model predictions for A, the asymmetry between the electron and positron scattering cross section, is:

$$A = \frac{\sigma_{e^-} - \sigma_{e^+}}{\sigma_{e^-} + \sigma_{e^+}} \\ \equiv \frac{2\sqrt{2} G_F}{e^2} \frac{Q^2}{1 + Q^2/M^2} \frac{f^-}{f^+} \frac{x F_3^{\text{INT}}(x, Q^2)}{F_2^{\text{em}}(x, Q^2)}$$

where $f^\pm = \frac{1 \pm (1-y)^2}{2}$ and $\frac{x F_3^{\text{INT}}}{F_2^{\text{em}}}$ is the ratio of the usual structure functions and their interference term. Present data indicates that this structure function ratio should be constant to within 1% over the Q^2 range probed by a high energy eP collider. We see from the above equation that the asymmetry parameter should grow linearly with Q^2 until it is damped by the Z propagator at Q^2 comparable with the squared mass of the Z. Figure 6 shows the Q^2 dependence of the asymmetry parameter A with statistical error bars appropriate to a run with an integrated luminosity of $5 \times 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ evenly split between incident electron and positron running. The mass of the Z can be measured to $\pm 15 \text{ GeV}$ with a luminosity of 5×10^{38} . We feel that the major contribution of eP scattering in this area is not in the precision measurement of the Z mass, but rather as a test of the standard model in the extreme spacelike region. Deviations from the expected Q^2 dependence of the asymmetry parameter A could signify the presence of additional neutral vector bosons which are more massive than the standard Z.

Virtual Photoproduction

In the low Q^2 limit, an eP facility where 20 GeV electrons collide with 400 GeV protons can be viewed as rather intense, extremely high energy virtual photon beam. The virtual photon spectrum, when viewed in the rest frame of the incident protons, will strongly resemble the bremsstrahlung photon beam generated when 17 TeV incident electrons impinge on a thin radiator. Hence an eP collider will allow one to study photoproduction at the highest energies, possible before the existence of 20 TeV fixed target accelerator beams. In this section we discuss the virtual photoproduction of heavy quark states and study of the high energy QCD Compton process. Because particles produced by the high energy photoproduction process tend to be produced within 20 degrees of the incident proton direction, one might consider dedicating one interaction region of an eP facility to building a detector optimized to photoproduction studies. Such a detector might resemble the detectors built for fixed target photoproduction which typically consist of a magnetic spectrometer interspersed with particle identifiers and a downstream calorimeter.

The Williams-Weizsacker formula gives the effective luminosity of the eP virtual photon beam for a given eP luminosity in terms of Q^2 and y . The y variable is significant in the virtual photoproduction limit ($Q^2 \ll s$) since the $\hat{s}$, the total squared photon-proton center of mass energy, is given by $\hat{s} = s y$. The Williams-Weizsacker virtual photon luminosity is:

$$dL_Y = \frac{\alpha L_{eP}}{2\pi} (1 + (1-y)^2) \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} \frac{dy}{y}$$

where dL_Y represents the equivalent virtual photon-proton luminosity for a

given dy, dQ^2 bin, and L_{ep} represents the eP luminosity. When computing rates with this formula we integrate over Q^2 from a Q^2 min dictated by an assumed minimum scattered electron tagging angle of 3 degrees to a Q^2 max which we take as .1s.

Table I gives the total rates for heavy flavor production for a run with an eP luminosity of $5 \times 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. This table assumes that the virtual photon-heavy quark cross depends on Q^2 , and ν , the virtual photon energy in the proton rest frame according to:

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \left(\frac{M_v^2}{M_v^2 + Q^2} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\nu_{\text{THR}}}{\nu} \right)$$

where the Q^2 damping comes from an assumed vector meson dominance propagator (M_v is the vector meson mass), and the ν dependence cuts off the cross section smoothly near ν_{THR} . The asymptotic heavy flavor production cross sections σ_0 ($\approx 500 \text{ nb}$) are scaled from the measured charm photoproduction cross section according to $(Q_q/M_q)^2$ where Q_q and M_q are the charge and mass of the heavy quark. The underlying ideas of this simple VMD model such as $(Q_q/M_q)^2$ scaling, and our assumed ν dependence, are in agreement with features of vector meson photoproduction data from the ρ to the J/ψ .

TABLE I

Virtual Photoproduction of Heavy Quark States

	Expected Yield*
1) $\gamma^* \rightarrow D\bar{D}$	4.9 M
2) $\gamma^* \rightarrow B\bar{B}$	440 K
3) $\gamma^* \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ (20 GeV top)	79 K
4) $\gamma^* \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ (50 GeV top)	3.1 K
5) $\gamma^* \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ (60 GeV top)	1.1 K

*Yield for $5 \times 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ integrated luminosity

Particle spectroscopy and production model studies form the major physics motivations for investigating high energy photoproduction of heavy quark states. The large yield of beauty states shown in Table I will enable one to find new beautiful mesons and baryons and study their lifetimes and decay modes. The ability to measure the cross section for heavy quark production over an enormous range in ν will allow one to definitively test QCD motivated models of photoproduction such as the photon-gluon fusion model.

The semileptonic decay of heavy quark states provides a major signal for heavy quark photoproduction by creating events with anomalous leptons and large missing p_t . Although the heavy quark states will have momentum vectors which are nearly along the direction of the incident proton, simulations show the heavy quark state decay products emerge at reasonably large angles in the laboratory, and semileptonic decay leptons are generally well separated from

other tracks in the event. Vector mesons containing heavy quarks will be easily observed through the reconstruction of their mass through their dilepton decay products. The large mass Bethe-Heitler background should be very small. Unfortunately the yield for heavy quark vector meson production should be several orders of magnitude less than the yields presented in Table I. Lastly if the mass of the top quark is over one half the mass of the Z , a high energy eP collider may be the cleanest way to observe top states before LEP II.

The study of the high energy QCD Compton process depicted in Figure 6a provides another motivation for virtual photoproduction studies.⁸ This process, where a high energy photon scatters off a quark in the proton creating a wide angle gluon jet and a wide angle quark jet, is essentially the inverse of one of the mechanisms thought responsible for direct photon production in hadron-hadron collisions. In the kinematic regime where the final quark and gluon jet emerge with a large p_t (say $p_t > 15$ GeV), the cross section calculation should be fairly reliable, and hence measurements of the process will serve as powerful tests of QCD. The ratio of the QCD Compton cross section over the QED Compton cross section (which would have a high p_t photon against a quark jet) serves as a direct and independent measurement of α_s strong. Correlations are expected⁹ between the plane defined by the quark and gluon jet and the polarization of the virtual photon which serve to directly test the form of the the quark gluon coupling. For example, this angular correlation is expected to have the opposite sign as the analogous correlation predicted for the QED compton process.

The QCD Compton process being a low Q^2 process with two high p_t jets will produce events which can easily be experimentally distinguished from normal neutral current events which consist of a single large p_t jet and a large Q^2

scattered electron. The light quark photon-gluon fusion contribution of Figure 6b, however, produces a background with the same general topology as the QCD Compton process. It is possible to suppress the photon-gluon fusion process relative to the QCD Compton process by demanding that the parton within the incident proton which collides with the virtual photon has a large fraction of the incident proton momentum. A lower cut on parton energy fraction suppresses the gluon initiated process of Figure 6b relative to the quark initiated process of Figure 6a since gluons tend to carry a much smaller fraction of the proton momentum than the valence quarks. Energy balance can be used to find ξ , the fraction of momentum of the incident proton carried by the parton which collided with the virtual photon for a given two jet event.

$$\xi = (E_{j1} + E_{j2} + E_{e'} - E_e)/E_p,$$

where E_{j1} and E_{j2} are the lab energies of the two jets, E_e and $E_{e'}$ are the energy of the incident and scattered electron, and E_p is the energy of the incident proton.

We have computed the yield of high p_t dijet events due to both the QCD Compton and photon-gluon fusion process for an eP collider. The elementary cross sections of the processes depicted in Figure 6 are taken from the literature.^{10,11} In computing the photon-gluon fusion we use a gluon distribution of the form:

$$g(\xi) = \frac{n+1}{2} (1-\xi)^n$$

where $n=5$. The photon-gluon fusion calculation is summed over all 6 quark species. In computing the QCD Compton cross sections we use the Buras-Gaemer parameterization of the quark momentum fraction distribution. We compute the virtual photon flux using the Williams-Weizsacker formula.

Figure 7 shows the p_t distributions of either of the two jets created by the QCD Compton process (curves B and C) and the photon-gluon fusion process (curves A and D) for a 20 x 400 eP collider with a total integrated luminosity of $5 \times 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. All curves assume a minimum electron tagging angle of 3 degrees. Curves A and B have no cut on ξ ; while curves C and D have the cut $\xi > 0.6$. We see that the ξ uncut gluon fusion model dominates over the QCD Compton process except at the very largest p_t . However the cut $\xi > .6$ reduces the photon-gluon fusion background to about 10% while still leaving about 1300 relatively pure Compton events with $p_t > 15 \text{ GeV}$.

The Charged Current Process

In this section we discuss the physics results likely to follow from a study of the charged current process depicted in Figure 1b. The major physics goals of eP charged current physics include measurement of the W mass through the charged current propagator, and searches for the effects of righthanded charged currents.

In the normal charged current process an electron will scatter from a quark via W exchange leaving a neutrino and a wide angle current jet in the final state. Because the neutrino will escape detection, the event kinematic variables x and Q^2 (or alternatively x and y) must be determined through the measurement of the current jet energy and angle. Throughout this section, we assume that the jet angle can be measured to $\pm 40 \text{ mrad}$, and the jet energy can be measured to $\pm .60 \sqrt{E_{\text{jet}}}$. These current jet measurement errors are substantially larger than the errors associated with measuring the scattered electron to determine x and Q^2 of a neutral current process. Hence while resolution issues played only a small role in the neutral current physics presented earlier, this is not true of the charged current physics to follow.

In addition to resolution effects, any analysis of eP charged current data will be complicated by the possible contamination of the charged current data sample by the much more copious neutral current data sample. The entire charged current yield in a 5×10^{38} luminosity run of a 20 x 400 GeV eP collider is about 9000 events if that luminosity is split equally between electron and positron running and is unpolarized. The neutral current yield for this run is over 10 million events. Simulations⁵ have shown that possible contamination can be controlled by putting suitable cuts on Q^2 and the angle of the current jet. The primary signature for a charged current event is the absence of a final state electron. Because a realistic detector will have holes in its fiducial volume, one will measure the current jet to demonstrate that in a given "electronless" eP event the final state electron did not merely leave through a hole. These cuts guarantee that the final lepton direction can be predicted with high enough precision to substantially eliminate neutral current contamination of the charged current data sample.

The plots to follow in this section incorporate the effects of jet resolution and the contamination cuts, and are drawn with statistical error bars appropriate to a run of total integrated luminosity of $5 \times 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ which is divided into 4 equal subruns with left and righthanded polarized electrons, and left and righthanded polarized positrons. We note that these running conditions cost about a factor of 3 in total charged current yields over the yield obtained in a single $5 \times 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ run with lefthanded polarized electrons. We feel, however, that such varied run conditions would be necessary to properly exploit the physics potential in the neutral current as well as the charged current sector.

The W Propagator

Figure 8 shows the evolution of the charged current propagator with error

bars appropriate to our standard eP run. As Q^2 grows, the Q^2 resolution due to jet measurement error deteriorates, while the yield for a given Q^2 bin diminishes. In light of these effects we have cut off Figure 8 at a Q^2 of about 4500 GeV^2 since beyond this point the events in a given Q^2 bin are substantially contaminated by mismeasured low Q^2 events. The simulated data of Figure 8 could be used to determine the mass of the W to within $\pm 5 \text{ GeV}$ even with a 20% uncertainty in eP luminosity.

By fitting the shape of the W propagator, we will be sensitive to the possible effects of multiple W's. If several W's exist, the mass and coupling of the lightest W may be shortly determined from $p\bar{p}$ experiments. If the known mass of the light W is used as an input to a fit of the effective charged current propagator determined in an eP collider, the presence of a second W can be determined up to a second W mass of 200 GeV.

Righthanded Charged Currents

At presently explored momentum transfers, the charged current is known to couple only to lefthanded polarized fermions and righthanded antifermions. This fact is embodied in the standard model, and leads to a striking prediction for an eP collider -- the vanishing of "electronless" eP interactions for the collision of righthand polarized electrons on unpolarized protons. However, there are several possible scenarios which allow for an ambidextrous charged current interaction at sufficiently large Q^2 . The charged current interaction could ultimately be left-right symmetric at high Q^2 due to the presence of new, high mass vector bosons or neutral heavy electrons. We consider the possibility of a high mass, righthand charged current vector boson first.

If we assume that the righthanded mediating W couples to the righthanded leptons and righthanded quarks in a fashion analogous to the lefthanded

coupling of the standard W , the cross-section for righthanded couplings will be of the form:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx dy} = \frac{G_F^2 s}{\pi} \left(\frac{M_W^2}{M_{RHW}^2 + Q^2} \right)^2 [W_1 + (1-y)^2 W_2]$$

where M_{RHW} is the mass of the righthanded mediating W , M_W is the mass of the standard W , W_1 is a sum over the charge $2/3$ quark momentum distributions, and W_2 is a sum over the charge $1/3$ antiquark momentum distributions.

The same expression applies to the scattering of lefthanded positrons with W_1 being the sum over the charge $-2/3$ antiquark distributions and W_2 being the sum over charge $-1/3$ quark distributions. Alternatively, the new righthanded mediating W may couple to righthanded electrons and lefthanded quarks. In this case one can get the righthanded electron cross-section by exchanging W_1 for W_2 in the above expression.

We can search for the presence of a righthanded charged current by looking for a Q^2 dependence in R_p which we define as the ratio of the yield of charged current events from righthanded polarized electrons over the yield from lefthanded polarized electrons. For the case of perfectly polarized electrons, this ratio would be zero and stay zero in the absence of righthanded charged current couplings. If there were a massive righthand mediating W , the ratio would be very small at low Q^2 and grow to unity as Q^2 became much larger than the squared mass of the standard W as well as the new righthanded W . We believe that it is possible to achieve 80% longitudinal polarization in one eP intersection area through proper spin chromaticity matching. This will result in a righthanded polarized beam consisting of 90%

lefthanded righthanded electrons and 10% lefthanded electrons. Hence in our righthanded current model in these less than ideal conditions, R_p would grow from $R_p = .10/.90 = .11$ at low Q^2 to unity at very high Q^2 . This growth is shown in Figure 9, for the case of a righthanded lepton-righthanded quark coupling (Fig. 9a), and a righthanded lepton-lefthanded quark coupling (Fig. 9b). The error bars reflect the statistics appropriate to our standard eP run; contamination cuts and resolution effects have been included. Figure 9 indicates that we will be sensitive to a M_{RHW} mass of 300 GeV for righthanded lepton-righthanded quark couplings, and a mass of 350 GeV for righthanded lepton-lefthanded quark couplings.

An alternative mechanism for a righthanded charged current coupling to the electron requires the existence of a neutral righthanded partner of the electron. The presence of such a new heavy lepton would not be observable at low energies if the heavy lepton were sufficiently massive. Figure 10 shows the yield of such a righthanded heavy lepton as a function of M_L , the heavy lepton mass for our standard $5 \times 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ eP run. Figure 10 assumes that the electron-W-heavy lepton coupling is identical to the standard electron-W-neutrino coupling. Copious production of such heavy leptons will occur up to masses of 100 GeV.

Such a new heavy lepton could decay via the charged current interaction into $e^- \mu^+ \nu$, $e^- e^+ \nu$, or $e^- u \bar{d}$, or possibly via the neutral current into $\nu \mu^+ \mu^-$, or $\nu q \bar{q}$. The final states will typically have large missing p_t , anomalous leptons or anomalous jets. Even in the case of heavy lepton decaying into final states with missing neutrinos, the heavy lepton mass can be reconstructed from the observed lepton decay products and the current jet. Such a reconstruction is illustrated in Figure 11 for the case of a 40 GeV heavy lepton. Once such a heavy lepton is observed, its righthanded

character can be established by comparing the yield with righthanded polarized incident electrons to the yield with lefthanded polarized electrons.

Leptoquarks

Some models¹² which construct quarks and leptons from constituents predict the existence of narrow leptoquarks with masses in the vicinity of 100 GeV. Grand Unified Theory also predicts the existence of leptoquarks, but at stratospheric mass scales. Because leptoquarks or leptoquons will appear as s-channel resonances in an eP collider, experimental signatures are dramatic and unambiguous. The formation of an s-channel leptoquark and its subsequent decay into a quark jet, an electron, and wide-angle quark jet will produce events which are topologically indistinguishable from ordinary high Q^2 neutral current events. However, the leptoquark events will produce a peak in the measured x distribution at an $x = M_{LQ}^2/s$. This peak is illustrated in Figure 12 for the case of a 100 GeV leptoquon produced at an $s = 40,000 \text{ GeV}^2$ eP collider. The width of the peak is dominated by the gluon p_t distribution within the proton, and the area of the peak depends strongly on Λ , the reciprocal distance scale of quark-lepton compositeness. Figure 12 assumes a leptoquon yield of:

$$\sigma = 4\pi^2 \alpha_s \frac{M_{Lg}^2}{\Lambda^4} Z f_g(Z); \quad Z = \frac{M_{Lg}^2}{s}$$

where $f_g(Z)$ is the gluon distribution within the proton. A 20 x 400 GeV eP collider should be sensitive to vector leptoquarks and leptoquons with masses of up to about 150 GeV under these assumptions.

Summary

In this report we have tried to demonstrate how a 20 x 400 GeV eP facility at the CBA will complement the future physics of high energy e^+e^- and hadron-hadron colliders. By offering the first glimpse of the physics of 17 TeV muon and neutrino beams, an eP collider will extend tests of the standard model by about an order of magnitude in spacelike momentum transfer, and thus close the final kinematic gap of knowledge about electro-weak processes. It will be especially interesting to test whether the lefthanded nature of the charged current observed at low spacelike momentum transfers persists to large, spacelike momentum transfers. A high energy eP collider also enables unique tests of QCD such as a study of high Q^2 scale breaking and the high p_t QCD Compton process.

In addition to probing small distance behavior in kinematic regions orthogonal to other collider facilities, an eP facility will generate data useful to understanding the physics of e^+e^- and hadron-hadron collisions. The current jet produced in the high energy eP neutral current process is produced against a single electron which can be used to predict the momentum of the quark which gives rise to the jet. Hence the central problem in jet physics of deducing the kinematics of a quark by measurement of its hadronization jet can be studied under uniquely controlled circumstances. Finally the high Q^2 structure functions of the proton which are essential in understanding hard process in hadron-hadron scattering can only be cleanly measured in an eP collider.

References

1. CHEEP; an e-p facility in the SPS. CERN 78-02.
2. Proceedings of the Study of an ep Facility for Europe. U. Amaldi, Editor. DESY 79/48, August 1979.
3. CHEER; Canadian High Energy Electron Ring. A Feasibility Study for an Electron Ring at a High Energy Proton Accelerator, R. J. Hemingway, September 1980.
4. Electron-Proton Interaction Experiment. Fermilab Proposal 659, June 1981. Spokesmen: W. Lee, R. R. Wilson.
5. Electron-Proton Interaction Experiment. Brookhaven Proposal, November 1981. Spokesmen: W. Lee, R. R. Wilson.
6. Feasibility of an ep Facility as Phase 1 for a BNL Colliding Beam Accelerator, Working Group Report, K. Johnsen, Chairman, June 1982.
7. T. A. O'Halloran, Jr., Lepton-Hadron Colliders, DPF Snowmass Workshop on Future Facilities, July 1982, F. Paige, R. Gustafson, Editors.
8. H. Sticker, Electroproduction of Large Transverse Momentum Gluon Jets, Rockefeller University Report No. DOE/ER/40033-25.
9. A. Devoto, J. Pumplin, W. Repko, and G. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 1062 (1979).
10. H. Fritzsh and P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. 69B, 316 (1977).
11. L. M. Jones and H. W. Wyld, Phys. Rev. D 17, 759 (1978).
12. L. F. Abbott, E. Fahri, and S.-H. H. Tye, Alternatives to the Standard Model: Experimental Signatures. DPF Snowmass Workshop on Future Facilities, July 1982, F. Paige, R. Gustafson, Editors.
13. J. Wiss, Tests of Quark-Lepton Composite Structure Using a High Energy ep Collider. DPF Snowmass Workshop on Future Facilities, July 1982, F.

Paige, R. Gustafson, Editors.

14. J. D. Bjorken, Polarized $e^{\pm}$ -Polarized p Colliding Beams: Physics Issues,
5th International Symposium on High Energy Spin Physics, Brookhaven
National Laboratory, September 18, 1982.

Fig. 1

- (a) two contributions to the neutral process
- (b) the charged current process
- (c) the hadronic final state divides into two jets as shown

Fig. 2

Comparison of ep neutral current rate with
fixed target muon beam neutral current rate.

Fig. 3

Neutral current luminosity boundary. For a luminosity of 10^{39} cm^{-2} , the enclosed area has a rate of greater than 100 counts in a $dx/x = .1$, $dQ^2/Q^2 = .1$ bin.

Fig. 4

F_2 as a function of Q^2 for very low values of x corrected for acceptance, resolution, and binning effects using the method of Appendix III. The plots assume $\Lambda^2 = 0.2$. The low x scale breaking predicted by QCD will be experimentally evident.

Fig. 5

The Q^2 dependence of F_2 for events with $0.1 < x < 0.3$ and $0.3 < x < 0.5$ in the absence of quark substructure (unlabeled curves) and in the presence of quark substructure with characteristic mass scales of 100 and 300 GeV. We will be sensitive to up to mass scales of 300 GeV.

Fig. 6

Sources of high p_t dijet events

a) QCD Compton process

b) Photon-gluon fusion process

Fig. 7 High p_t jet yield for $5 \times 10^{38} \text{cm}^{-2}$ luminosity
 A and D are photon-gluon fusion
 B and C are QCD Compton
 C and D have $\xi > .6$ cut

Fig. 8 The Q^2 evolution of the charged current propagator for different W masses corrected for acceptance and binning effects. We can measure the W mass to ± 5 GeV.

Fig. 9 (a) The ratio of 80% polarized righthanded electron events to 80% polarized lefthanded electron events in a model with a new W of mass M which has a $V+A$ coupling to leptons and a $V+A$ coupling to quarks. We will be sensitive to these effects up to masses of 300 GeV. (b) The ratio of 80% polarized lefthanded positron events to 80% polarized righthanded positron events in a model with a new W of mass M which has a $V+A$ coupling to leptons and a $V-A$ coupling to quarks. We will be sensitive to these effects up to masses of 350 GeV.

Fig. 10

Expected yield of neutral heavy electrons for our assumed run as a function of heavy electron mass.

Fig. 11 Heavy electron mass resolution for 40 GeV heavy electrons decaying into final states with one missing neutrino.

- (a) $E^0 \rightarrow e^-e^+\nu$
- (b) $E^0 \rightarrow e^-\mu^+\nu$
- (c) $E^0 \rightarrow \nu\mu^+\mu^-$
- (d) $E^0 \rightarrow \nu q \bar{q}$

Fig. 12

The effects of a 100 GeV leptogluon on the yield of $Q^2 > 2500 \text{ GeV}^2$ neutral current events as a function of x . If the leptogluon compositeness scale is 1 TeV, about 10000 signal events will be produced in a run of $5 \times 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ integrated luminosity of an $S = 40000 \text{ GeV}^2$ eP collider. These signal events will form a peak at $x = .25$ in the neutral current yield which will lie over a smooth background of ordinary neutral current events.