205
1-24-81
JMP
Minus 1115
DOE/NASA/1062-7
NASA TM-81626

MASTER

Dr. 2234

R-1506

CONF-810614--1

High Power Densities from High-Temperature Material Interactions

James F. Morris
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Lewis Research Center

Work performed for
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Fossil Energy
Office of Coal Utilization

Prepared for
Sixteenth Thermophysics Conference, High
Temperature Material Session, sponsored by
American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics
Palo Alto, California, June 23-25, 1981

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

NOTICE

This report was prepared to document work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor its agent, the United States Department of Energy, nor any Federal employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

High Power Densities from High-Temperature Material Interactions

James F. Morris
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Work performed for
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Fossil Energy
Office of Coal Utilization
Washington, D.C. 20545
Under Interagency Agreement EC-77-A-31-1062

DISCLAIMER

This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof nor any of their employees makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Prepared for
Sixteenth Thermophysics Conference, High
Temperature Material Session, sponsored by
American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics
Palo Alto, California, June 23-25, 1981

HIGH POWER DENSITIES FROM HIGH-TEMPERATURE MATERIAL INTERACTIONS*

James F. Morris
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio

Abstract

Thermionic energy conversion (TEC) and metallic-fluid heat pipes (MFHPs) offer important and unique advantages in terrestrial and space energy processing. And they are well suited to serve together synergistically. TEC and MFHPs operate through working-fluid vaporization, condensation cycles that accept great thermal power densities at high temperatures. TEC and MFHPs have apparently simple, isolated performance mechanisms that are somewhat similar. And they also have obviously difficult, complicated material problems that again are somewhat similar. Intensive investigation reveals that aspects of their operating cycles and material problems tend to merge: "In short, high-temperature material effects determine the level and lifetime of ... performance." Simplified equations verify the preceding statement for TEC and MFHPs. Material properties and interactions exert primary influences on operational effectiveness. And thermophysicochemical stabilities dictate operating temperatures which regulate the thermoemissive currents of TEC and the vaporization flow rates of MFHPs. Major high-temperature material problems of TEC and MFHPs have been solved. These solutions lead to productive, cost-effective applications of current TEC and MFHPs -- and point to significant improvements with anticipated technological gains.

Energy Efficacy and High-Temperature Materials

"If there is a single general trend that applies to the various combinations of heat sources and conversion methods, it is the one toward higher source temperature and higher sink temperature -- and consequently lighter weight systems. For this reason, the workshop felt that high-temperature-materials data was of prime importance" This is a quotation from W. A. Ranken of the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, one of 150 experts who attended a recent symposium at NASA Lewis Research Center on "Future Orbital Power System Technology Requirements"¹. The inexorable evolution toward high space-system power levels is a movement to not only high temperatures but also high efficiencies and high power densities.

Similarly high-temperature, high-power-density topping promises higher efficiency, lower cost and less pollution per watt of electricity on earth²⁻²⁰. And very important in these trends are two direct energy devices that process great power densities effectively through high-temperature material interactions alone: The thermionic energy converter and the heat pipe operate on thermal inputs only and have no moving parts. Their working fluids cycle continuously through evaporation, condensation and return flow by a self-induced voltage or a capillary-pressure difference (Fig. 1). Specially selected materials

serve as interacting evaporators and condensers as well as containers for these working fluids. In such combinations thermionic-energy-conversion (TEC) and heat-pipe processes function at low temperatures. But their high-power-density capabilities prevail at high temperatures (Figs. 2 and 3). "In short, high-temperature material effects determine the level and lifetime of ... performance"²¹.

Temperatures for optimum TEC and for some important terrestrial topping applications appear in Fig. 2. Corresponding heat-pipe utilization could occur at temperatures near those for appropriate emitters and collectors. Possible heat-pipe service in projected space applications comprises the entries in Table 1^{1,22-25}. Metallic fluid heat pipes (MFHPs) and TEC are also a synergistic combination for efficient high-temperature, high-power-density production of weight-effective space power near and above the megawatt level^{26,27}. Aiming at that goal the USSR reported in 1976 on "the tests of three 'Topaz' reactors" ("thermionic nuclear power plants") that "demonstrated ... long-term stable and reliable operation with good reproducibility of parameters"²⁸.

TEC and MFHP Power Densities and Problems

TEC heat inputs can reach the order of 100 Wt/cm², as implied by Fig. 2. There TEC outputs range up to tens of W_e/cm² (P_{OL}) and tens of percent efficiency (η_{OL}):

$$P_{OL} = (\phi_E - \phi_C - V_D - V_A - V_L)(J_{ES} - J_R) \quad (1)$$

$$\eta_{OL} = (J_{ES} - J_{BE}) \left\{ \phi_E - \phi_C - V_D - V_A - 2 \left[2.45 \times 10^{-8} \eta_{EC} (T_E^2 - T_C^2) / (2 - \eta_{EC}) \right]^{1/2} \right\} / \left\{ J_{ES}(\phi_E + 2kT_E) - J_{BE}(\phi_C + 2kT_C) + 5.7 \times 10^{-12} \left[0.05 + 7.5 \times 10^{-5}(T_E - 1000) \right] (T_E^4 - T_C^4) \right\} \quad (2)$$

In these equations ϕ_E and ϕ_C are emitter and collector work functions, V_D is the interelectrode voltage drop, V_A is the equivalent auxiliary input voltage for enhancement, V_L is the voltage loss required for optimum leads (equal to the expression within the square brackets in the numerator of (2)), η_{EC} is the TEC electrode efficiency (equal to (2) with $2V_L$ deleted from the numerator), T_E and T_C are emitter and collector temperatures, the last term in the denominator of (2) approximates non-electronic thermal transport, J_R is reverse electronic flow (including reflections, backscattering, back emission J_{BE} , and other effects that diminish output current), and J_{ES} is the current density

*Work funded by the U.S. Department of Energy under Interagency Agreement EC-77-A-31-1062.

for emitter saturation:

$$J_{ES} = A(1 - R_E)T_E^2 \exp(-\phi_E/kT_E) \quad (3)$$

where A and k are Richardson and Boltzmann constants and R_E is the emitter reflection coefficient.

Equation (2) is a simplified, yet reasonable estimate applicable for low cesium concentrations, reduced enhanced-mode pressures, close electrode spacings, and small interelectrode losses. Under such conditions the back emission (J_{BE}) approximates^{21,26,29-31}

$$J_{BE} = A(1 - R_{BE})T_C^2 \exp[-(\phi_C + V_D)/kT_C] \quad (4)$$

where R_{BE} comprises R_C (collector reflection coefficient) and similar coefficients for all interelectrode mechanisms that return collector-emitted electrons to their source -- except those for noncollisional repulsion by the emitter sheath. With negligible interelectrode losses and reflections, back emission equals that for collector saturation:

$$J_{CS} = A(1 - R_C)T_C^2 \exp(-\phi_C/kT_C) \quad (5)$$

The preceding equations verify a previous assertion: High-temperature material effects (ϕ_E , R_E , T_E , J_{ES} , ϕ_C , R_C , T_C , J_{CS} ...) determine the level of TEC performance -- completely. This generalization includes enhanced-mode operation also because V_A represents a small fraction of TEC output recycled to increase efficiency. With this rather limited background a tabulation of TEC characteristics may now be apropos:

Thermionic-Energy-Conversion (TEC) Advantages

Electricity directly from heat
No moving parts or inherent mechanical stresses
High temperatures: high Carnot efficiencies
Great power densities -- with
Broad near-maximum-efficiency plateaus
Rapid responses to load or heat variations
(constant temperature)
Low weights
Small volumes
Modularity

Modularity In TEC Applied Research and Technology (ART)

TEC ART is essentially independent of other system components
Development and testing on the lab bench are effective
Converters are scalable
Module building blocks adapt to system size and shape
Repetitious rotational fabrication modes apply
Nearest-neighbor load sharing minimizes unit-failure effects
Modular designs allow TEC-unit replacements

Economy: research, development, fabrication, application
Adaptability
Reliability
Maintainability

Although TEC accepts great thermal power densities, MFHPs excel in this capability: They can receive and deliver thousands of W_t/cm^2 radially and tens of thousands axially. Such performance falls within an envelope of mechanistic limitations typified by the following sketch.

A simplified, yet informative expression for maximum heat-pipe thermal power Q_{max} results from reduction of a complicated quadratic equation by neglecting inertial and interphase effects³²⁻³⁴:

$$Q_{max} = \left(\frac{2A_w K_w}{r_p L} \right) \left(\frac{\sigma_l \rho_l \lambda_l}{\mu_l} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\rho_l g L r_p \sin \psi}{2\sigma_l} \right) \quad (6)$$

In this equation the first factor is the "wick number" (N_w), the second, the "liquid-transport factor" or "zero-g figure of merit" (N_l); and $2\sigma_l/g\rho_l$ is the "one-g wicking height" (N_p). The subscripts w, l, and p designate "wick," "liquid" and "pore." And A is area; g, gravity vector; K, permeability; L, length; r, radius; ψ , inclination angle from horizontal; λ , heat of vaporization; μ , viscosity; ρ , density; and σ , surface tension.

Equation (6) verifies that, aside from internal geometry, high-temperature-material properties (λ_l , μ_l , ρ_l and σ_l) and their effects determine the level of MFHP performance. Perhaps this context makes the general characteristics of heat pipes more meaningful:

The Heat Pipe

Is a thermal-energy transporter, transformer, and isothermalizer;
Is a compact, lightweight, self-contained, self-pumped system;
Operates with no mechanical or electrical inputs -- and no moving parts,
Allows diverse temperature ranges, high thermal-power densities, and low temperature gradients:

15,000 W/cm ² at 1500° C	°C/cm	W/cm ² °C
Lithium (Li) heat pipe	0.1	150,000
Molten copper (Cu)	4000	3.75

The preceding simplified algebraic expressions indeed indicate that properties and interactions of materials at high temperatures dictate TEC and MFHP performances at their maxima. But an introductory quotation states that "high-temperature

material effects determine the level and lifetime of ... performance." And because thermally exponential tendencies of degradation-rate constants can be crucial, the fact that "high-temperature material effects determine the ... lifetime" is often more important. In practice, thermo-physicochemical stability limits operating temperatures, hence TEC thermal emission and MFHP vaporization rates. Therefore, can high-performance TEC and MFHPs withstand thermally accelerated deterioration and live productively to economically old ages?

Answering this question requires first a diagnosis of some of the more destructive ravages possible during high-temperature TEC and MFHP operation: Both devices are subject to internal alkali-metal corrosion and solution accelerated by low concentrations of impurities like oxygen. In terrestrial service both must survive external attacks by hot corrosive gases. For space applications both must oppose sublimation of their exterior surfaces into the hard-vacuum ambiance. And the near-vacuum within TEC admits of vaporization, condensation complications that could cause work-function alterations and coat insulators. Also wherever interfaces of differing materials encounter high temperatures, reaction and diffusion loom as major concerns. Accentuated effects of the latter phenomenon occur when composition discontinuities promote void formations (Kirkendall) that diminish transport cross sections. Finally thermal creep, expansion coefficient mismatches, and solid-phase transitions demand attention in temperature cycling and gradients.

But as subsequent discussion reveals, solutions for these problems are available to make high-temperature TEC and MFHPs viable.

Successful Limitation of Alkali-Metal Corrosion

Since the 1960's TEC technologists have considered cesium (Cs) corrosion under control to the extent that it no longer poses problems. As reference 35 states "... the materials used are not attacked by Cs ..." In addition, utilization of ultra-pure Cs, strict cleanliness, effective getters and high-temperature vacuum bake-outs insure long lifetimes for TEC interiors.

The same general approach produces acceptable results for MFHPs, where Li usually provides the ultimate corrosion test. But in 1973, reference 36 asserted, "It has been concluded that W-26Re/Li (SiC) heat pipes promise a lifetime of many years at 1600° C."

This achievement is particularly noteworthy because the heat-pipe cycle concentrates corrosion-accelerating impurities at the evaporator surface. Therefore localized thermochemical attack intensifies continuously in the performance-affecting fine structure of the wick as indicated in Fig. 4³⁷.

Such alkali-metal-corrosion effects catalyzed by oxygen (O) dramatize the importance of oxide getters as metallic-fluid preloading processors, as in situ purifiers and as alloy constituents. Of course good getters release much enthalpy and undergo nearly as great negative free-energy changes upon combining with O -- like the metals in lower Fig. 5³⁸⁻⁴¹. A qualitative version of

some of these data simplifies their presentation somewhat in Fig. 6⁴². A great difference between free energies of oxide formation for two metals indicates a strong O-gettering proclivity for the one with the more-negative free-energy change. But this is a generalization based on equilibrium concepts. And degrees or rates of approach to equilibria are not estimable from free-energy values. In fact solid-state transport usually controls gettering rates after initial superficial reactions.

However Figs. 5 and 6 provide some interesting TEC and MFHP insights: One is the observation that TEC Cs can scavenge impurity oxygen, then surrender it to the Ta or Nb envelopes. This clean-up process might have caused early relatively uncontrolled TEC tests, which often began with high performances typical of O-additive enhancement, to taper off to lower efficiencies with continued operation.

Consensus places O solubility in Ta and Nb near one percent at several hundred degrees centigrade and greater than five percent above ~1500° (43-46). But dissolved oxygen embrittles these refractory metals. So popular Ta and Nb alloys incorporate small amounts of hafnium (Hf) and zirconium (Zr), respectively, to getter solid-solution O, fix it as distributed oxides, and reduce brittleness⁴⁷. But welding and other hot processing tend to segregate slag and other impurities at interfaces. Thus, because Li can attack Ta, Nb, Zr, and Hf oxides successfully, Li heat pipes of such alloys often succumb to intergranular and weld perforations. However, as previously stated, properly processed W alloys serve admirably as high-temperature Li heat pipes. This statement is also true for Mo and some Mo alloys.

Although Li can getter O from most oxides, it is subject to gettering by a few metals like those at the bottom of Fig. 5. One of these, lanthanum (La), is present in the order of a tenth percent in Haynes Alloy 188 (cobalt (Co) ~40 percent, nickel (Ni) ~22 percent, chromium (Cr) ~22 percent, tungsten (W) ~14 percent and iron (Fe) ~2 percent). It is noteworthy that a Haynes 188, lithium heat pipe has been and is running with an evaporator temperature of ~1250 K for over 19,000 hours (mid-November 1980) at the NASA Lewis Research Center. This heat pipe was part of a project to determine advantages of very high-temperature, hard-vacuum preloading bake-outs on Li and sodium (Na) compatibilities with several superalloys during heat-pipe operation. In practically all such preceding compatibility studies, access to corrosion-accelerating impurities had been assured. Unfortunately the project objective was thwarted at the outset because the supplier was forced to use metal-felt wicks, which are difficult to clean up, rather than the stipulated screen. As a result, bake-out achieved only in the order of 10⁻⁴ torr rather than the specified lower than 10⁻⁷ torr. Subsequently the other (non-HA188) superalloy, Li heat pipes failed early with destroyed wicks and severe internal wall attacks. Two Haynes 188, Li heat pipes developed leaks in stress cracks caused by welding after ~200 hours. But sectioning and microscopic examination revealed no Li effects on wicks or walls (unpublished results from W. B. Kaufman, W. E. Frey and J. F. Morris of NASA Lewis Research Center).

In this vein Ti-alloy, Li heat pipes should also be available soon for long-lived, weight-effective space applications ranging to over 1300 K³⁷. Such availability was unexpected for years because some authoritative heat-pipe publications state that the only alkali metal compatible with Ti is Cs. But a preponderance of non-heat-pipe literature indicates that Ti should serve well with any alkali metals as working fluids, including Li³⁷. Contract verification of this assertion is underway.

Such additional success in limiting alkali-metal corrosion will enhance TEC as well as MFHP technologies.

Protection Against External Hot Corrosive Gases

Advantageous terrestrial utilization of TEC and MFHPs demands operation with direct exposure to fossil-fuel combustion products at high temperatures. And such service requires efficacious protective coatings on heat-receiving surfaces. But subjection to high velocities and mechanical stresses is unnecessary because MFHPs can collect low thermal-power densities and transform them to appropriate, nearly isothermal TEC inputs.

Silicon-carbide (SiC) clads for TEC in topping of power plants (TOPP) arose as a promising solution to this hot-corrosion problem^{2,14-18,48-54} during pre-1970 Office of Coal Research contract studies. Reference 2 reports on the thermal-shock stability, thermal-expansion compatibility, molten-slag resistance and hot-corrosion protection of SiC-clad TEC. Recent EPRI-supported work on coal-fired recuperators and regenerators further supports SiC as a high-temperature heat-receiving surface.

Now Thermo Electron Corporation (TECO) is testing a series of SiC-clad TEC diodes in fossil-fuel combustion products. One with a 1730 K W emitter passed 3500 hours (early December 1980) and is continuing. Tests after over 5000 hours for another SiC-clad converter with a 1630 K W emitter yielded gratifying results⁵⁴.

"Electron microprobe analysis showed no evidence of any reaction between the interfaces of the tungsten, graphite, and silicon carbide. X-ray diffraction patterns of the silicon carbide were compared to those from unfired silicon carbide. The patterns were essentially identical and showed primarily silicon carbide. Knoop microhardness tests indicated there was no change in the hardness during the life test. The hardness at the dome was KHN 2600. The following impurities were found on the dome area of the hot shell: aluminum, magnesium, potassium, and iron. The first three probably originated from the furnace firebrick and the iron from the melted flue pipe. Significantly, no chemical reactions between these elements and the silicon carbide were indicated. Apparently, no change or degradation to the composite shell resulted from the 5000 hours of operation."

TECO also revealed that TEC fabrication based on chemical vapor deposition (CVD) with suitable

SiC cladding is more economical than conventional fabrication for lower-temperature superalloy protection. The laminar W, graphite (C), SiC dome (emitter, thermal-expansion adapter, protective coating) can also be manufactured on reusable mandrels. So directly-fired TEC appears cost-effective as well as feasible.

TECO has also demonstrated adaptability of their methods to produce SiC-clad MFHP envelopes.

Loping with External and Internal Vaporization

Some lower-temperature terrestrial applications of TEC and MFHPs anticipate external not-corrosion protection by superalloys as previously mentioned. Such materials often serve well considerably hotter than 1400 K in combustion products because of adherent protective-oxide formations (see numerous NASA LeRC publications on superalloys⁵⁵). Therefore it is not illogical to assume that the absence of corrosive attack in the chemically benign hard vacuum of space should allow satisfactory service by these superalloys at even higher temperatures. But of course this assumption fails to eventuate.

As Fig. 7 testifies the most important superalloy constituents (Co, Cr, Fe and Ni) vaporize separately at about a mil per year between ~1150 and ~1250 K. Of course escape rates from alloys differ from those of pure materials because of dilution, association, and diffusion effects. But Fig. 7 enables estimates of high-temperature vaporization into vacuum for non-associated surface components. And a mil per year is significant for lightweight space structures.

Much slower vaporization rates as well as higher melting points, great strengths and much lower densities make Ti alloys excellent candidates for MFHPs in space³⁷ (Fig. 8). Ti sublimes at only 0.1 mil/year near 1300 K. But such service temperatures for unprotected Ti envelopes on earth would be inconceivable. Here long-term use of unclad Ti generally occurs at temperatures below 870 K.

For satisfactory sublimation rates at temperatures above 1300 K, alloys of Mo and W or even of Nb and Ta, with proper precautions, can serve well for TEC and MFHPs (Fig. 7) — bare for space and other vacuum environs and suitably clad for usual terrestrial applications.

As previously described, MFHPs function through evaporation, condensation, wicking cycles for fluid metals: Internal pressures tend to center around one atmosphere, often between 0.1 and 10 atmospheres. But although metal vaporization prevails in MFHPs, wick and envelope materials must be thermally stable to maintain geometries essential to performance.

However vaporization, deposition problems demand special attention in TEC, where high temperatures and surface phenomena dictate performance. Line-of-sight or maze shielding can preclude insulator short-outs. But emitter-vapor deposition can be critical on the collector. Adsorption of only a fraction of an atomic layer, less than 10^{-7} cm, of a different material on an electrode can drastically alter its work function and electron reflectivity — hence its TEC per-

formance (Eqs. (1) to (5)). Thus emitter-vapor deposits on the collector are as important as they are unavoidable⁵⁶:

"The hot, close-up emitter practically covers the several-hundred-degrees-cooler collector. And the emitter vapor pressure is several orders of magnitude higher than that of an emitter-vapor deposit on the collector. So in low-pressure converters the arrival rate of emitter vapor on the collector is several orders of magnitude greater than the departure rate of its accumulated emitter-vapor deposit. This arrival-to-departure ratio approximates the actual emitter vapor pressure divided by its vapor pressure at the collector temperature with that quotient multiplied by the square root of the collector-to-emitter temperature ratio."

Accordingly in TEC, emitter-vapor deposits tend to build up on collectors. Therefore utilizing the material deposited on it by the emitter as the collector is a simple, general solution for this TEC vaporization, deposition problem. Other answers are possible but exceptional^{18,21}.

In any event coping with internal and external vaporization in TEC and MFHPs essentially reduces to selection of the proper materials, which are available and viable.

Controlling Interfacial Reactions and Diffusion

Aside from the previously discussed working-fluid influences, reaction and diffusion effects are really not problematic in standard MFHPs. Selection, electron-beam welding and high-temperature, hard-vacuum baking of identical wick and envelope materials, which have proven thermo-physicochemical stability, practically eliminate such problems to over 1600°C. And external hot-corrosion protection developed for TEC applies at least for small and intermediate heat pipes, which offer the advantage of near-isothermality.

In high-temperature fossil-fuel combustion products, the TECO SiC, C, W dome for TEC showed "no change or degradation ... from 5000 hours of operation" with a 1630 K emitter. In vacuum, a cylindric diode with a ~1973 K W emitter 0.23 mm from a ~1073 K Nb collector generated 8 W/cm² at 0.76 V and 14 percent electrode efficiency for over 5 years before a 1973 contract termination stopped it. So interfacial reactions and diffusion appear well under control in standard TEC also.

Introduction of new high-performance electrodes sometimes causes difficulties. For example NASA LeRC proposed a Cs diode with an emitter and a collector of La hexaboride (LaB₆) in the late 1960's and again during the reactivation of its TEC program in 1974⁵⁷. In 1977 NASA LeRC and USSR technologists both demonstrated high-performance TEC with nonoriented LaB₆ electrodes⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰. Controlled deposition of polycrystalline metal-hexaboride films⁶¹, with preferred or etch-relieved 100 or 210 orientations for LaB₆⁶²⁻⁶⁷, promise even better performance in practical TEC configurations (similar to CVD'd 110-W electrodes in cylindric

diodes). And gratifyingly the published consensus in 1974 indicated that brazing, diffusion and reactions between LaB₆ and its support were not problems. But today the inability to maintain a 1700 K LaB₆ emitter on a refractory-metal base for over 100 to 200 hours^{68,69} still frustrates practical applications. However history teaches that such diffusion and reaction problems usually yield to concentrated applied research.

In general the problem of "contact diffusion interaction of materials" causes major difficulties originating at high-temperature interfaces^{70,71}. Other pertinent examples are the previously mentioned solution effects of alkali metals and oxygen (particularly in niobium and tantalum) as well as the intermingling of fuel with its immediate container in nuclear power generators like the in-core thermionic-converter or heat-pipe configurations.

In the latter area reference 70 presents results obtained by a group of USSR scientists who contributed theoretically and experimentally to the understanding of fuel, clad interactions. In turn reference 71 corrects their simple diffusion equation, then derives more rigorous versions through Laplace transformation of the differential rate expression, "small-system" approximation, and finally complete inversion with subsequent simplification:

$$C(x,t) \approx C(0,0) \left[1 + \cos\left(\frac{kx}{D}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{k^2 t}{D}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{k^2 t}{D}\right) \quad (7)$$

where C is concentration of A in B varying over a short time t and very small distance x in accordance with a dominating diffusion coefficient D for A in B and a layer-growth constant k.

Diffusion is of course a critical influence as an entity at high-temperature interfaces. But more crucially it generally dictates rates of corrosion and other chemical reactions in practical systems -- after the initial superficial interactions deplete local compositions. To further elucidate the last observation, consider the simplistic but heuristic example of pure-metal oxidation controlled by migration in an ideal solution (after Evans⁷², from Hurlen⁷³). For this situation the absolute reaction-rate theory (Eyring, Laidler and Glasstone) yields an expression for one-dimensional net transport of a species (corrected from Ref. 72):

$$v = (\lambda kT/h) \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_0^\ddagger}{RT}\right) \left[c \exp(-\alpha \lambda z F d \phi / RT dx) - (c + \lambda dc/dx) \exp((1 - \alpha) \lambda z F d \phi / RT dx) \right] \quad (8)$$

The pre-bracket factor, specific rate for a unit concentration without fields, involves no net transport. The first term in the bracketed factor represents acceleration by the field. The second term in the brackets covers retardation. Also in (8) v is the net transport rate; λ, the equilibrium distance between migrating charged particles; k, Boltzmann constant; T, degrees Kelvin; h, Planck constant; ΔG₀[‡], standard chemical activation energy; R, gas constant; c, concentration of mi-

grating species; α , symmetry factor; z , migrating particle charge; F , Faraday equivalent; ϕ , inner potential; and x , distance in the transport direction.

Simplifying assumptions and transformations lead to an approximate expression for film thickness y related to an equivalent oxide volume V and to Δ values across the film:

$$dy/dt = Vv(y), \quad d\phi/y = d\phi/dx,$$

$$\Delta c/y = dc/dx, \quad \Delta G = F/\Delta\phi \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 1/2 \quad (9)$$

$$dy/dt = \sum_1 \pm K_1 c_{1,y} [\exp(-\lambda_1 z_1 \Delta G/2RTy) - (1 + \lambda_1 \Delta c_1 / y c_{1,y}) \exp(\lambda_1 z_1 \Delta G/2RTy)] \quad (10)$$

where t is time and $K = (V\lambda kT/h) \exp(-\Delta G_0^\ddagger/RT)$. From this simplified yet unwieldy equation Evans extracts some of the more common reduced forms used to correlate corrosion data.

For high temperatures and large film thicknesses the exponential of Eq. (10) submits to series expansion with small-term elimination:

$$dy/dt \approx \left[\sum_1 \mp K_1 \lambda_1 c_{1,y} (z_1 \Delta G/RT + \Delta c_1 / c_{1,y}) \right] / y \\ \rightarrow \left(\sum_1 \mp K_1 \lambda_1 \Delta c_1 \right) / y \quad \text{or} \quad y^2 \approx K_p t + \text{const.} \quad (11)$$

And the classic parabolic corrosion expression results.

In contrast for low temperatures and small film thicknesses a bracketed exponential term in Eq. (10) approaches negligibility:

$$dy/dt \approx \sum_1 K_1 c_{1,y} \exp(\mp \lambda_1 z_1 \Delta G/2RTy) \\ \text{or} \quad y^{-1} \approx \text{const.} - K_{IL} \log t \quad (12)$$

This is the inverse-logarithmic relationship for corrosion.

A cubic version derives from corrosion models invoking assumptions of semiconductor properties for the oxide film. The result is equivalent to assuming corrosion conditions validating $\exp(p) - \exp(-p)$ in Eq. (10):

$$dy/dt \approx a \left[\sum_1 K_1 c_{1,y} (\lambda_1 z_1 \Delta G/2RT)^2 \right] / y^2 \\ \text{or} \quad y^3 \approx K_c t + \text{const.} \quad (13)$$

Rather than semiconduction, catalysis assumed in corrosion modeling can lead to linear time dependency. And all these variations evolve from an admittedly simplistic, even unattainable system of a pure metal limited in corrosion by transport through an ideal solution. Complications of

alloys, nonideal multicomponent solutions, steep temperature gradients, inhomogeneities and myriad other realities are normal effects in actual interfacial diffusion and reactions. But this somewhat superficial description begins to indicate the problems and underscores the importance of life testing.

The preceding amplification began with a comment on new high-performance TEC electrodes like LaB₆. Gratifyingly, unoriented and CVD'd 110-W electrodes with negligible interelectrode losses can provide optimal TEC for applications requiring ~1000- to ~1100 K collectors¹⁸. Furthermore high performance W and Mo electrodes with stable or steady-state supplies of enhancing O are in the offing⁷⁴. And for such TEC materials "interfacial reactions and diffusion appear well under control."

Meeting Other Thermophysical Challenges: Expansion Matches, Creep...

One of the first considerations in anticipation of a laminar composite, particularly of unforgiving refractory materials like tungsten and silicon carbide, is the match of thermal-expansion coefficients. An excellent example of such an evaluation from the late 1960's appears in Ref. 2: Fig. 9(a) comprises prepublished data⁷⁵; Fig. 9(b), data obtained during the published study⁷⁶. Separately the sets of results reveal near-matches for W and SiC thermal expansions. Together they predict practical coincidence.

The significance of this comparison was impressive in the late 1960's, even as it is today⁷⁷:

"Six molybdenum tube samples, coated with various thicknesses of thick grain CVD silicon carbide have been received from Chemetal and subjected to a series of thermal shock tests, both in a vacuum furnace and in a natural gas flame. The objective was the evaluation of the coating adhesion. Temperature cycling in the vacuum furnace covered the range from approximately 400 to 1500 K. The samples were inspected after one, three, and six temperature cycles. Following these tests, the surviving samples were subjected to natural gas flame heating and ambient air cooling for a total of approximately 40 cycles. The conclusion reached in these preliminary tests is that when a thin intermediate layer of tungsten is used, the molybdenum substrate-CVD silicon carbide coating will withstand the thermal stresses over the temperature range of interest. No evidence of layer separation was disclosed in metallographic examination of tube samples."

The contribution of this thermal-expansion-match observation is critically important to MFHPs for terrestrial use as well as to TEC.

Incidentally, a reference-2 silicon carbide sample "temperature cycled over 7300 times" in hydrocarbon-combustion products "to about 2800° F in about one minute," followed by "a two-minute

cool-off to about 700° F. Coal ash was deposited on the surface of the test sample during the cool-down portion of the test cycle ... The only visible effect on the silicon carbide was an erosion of about 0.02 inch where the "pressurized flame impinged on the sample. "It was apparent that the temperature of this point was considerably higher than the measured temperature of the test sample As before, solidified coal ash was evident on the tube surface, but sectioning and metallographic examination ... showed no coal ash penetration of the silicon carbide. The solidified coal ash observed on the test sample was a result of the final cool-down. During the temperature cycling, good run-off of the coal ash was observed at the high temperatures, leading to the conclusion that the final air heater would indeed be self-cleaning."

Subsequent references on SiC service in fossil-fuel combustion products support and augment reference-2 findings^{48-54,77}. For example, TECO recently heated its SiC, C, W dome at 1875 K for over 70 hours, sprayed water on it at 1875 K 10 times (1000 K between the water-cooled spot and the rest of the dome), poured liquid nitrogen on it at 1875 K 10 times, then cycled it from 1875 K to <900 K over 150 times, then from 2025 K to <900 K over 100 times taking about one minute for each cycle -- all with no ill effects to the SiC, C, W dome.

Interestingly, TECO uses C to more carefully adapt silicon-carbide thermal-expansion to that of W. And Chemetal⁷⁷ utilizes W for thermal-expansion adaptation of SiC to Mo. The latter lamination has yet to undergo long-term high-temperature exposure to fossil-fuel combustion products, successfully experienced by the former. But results of both approaches are gratifying.

In addition to the thermal-expansion effects, refractory-material strength and creep at high temperatures are of course important in TEC and MFHP applications. In this vein, just subsequent to mentioning SiC and C, two referential observations are pertinent: First "it is interesting to note that sintered SiC exhibits an increase in strength with an increase in test temperature up to about 2800° F"...⁷⁸. And second "graphite possesses high thermal conductivity, a low modulus of elasticity, a low coefficient of thermal-expansion, and relatively satisfactory strength increasing with increase in temperature to 2700° C"⁷⁹. Conceivably such protective clads and thermal-expansion adapters might also serve as structural members at high operating temperatures.

High temperature structural members are subject to the thermal creep⁸⁰⁻⁸². This phenomenon is the time-dependent plastic deformation of a material under sustained loading at temperatures above about half its melting point value. Like many other thermophysical effects, creep is complex, even in pure polycrystalline metals. Here in general high-temperature creep resistance relates to high levels for the melting point, elastic modulus, stability of fine grain size, crystal-structure constant for self-diffusion, and valence state. Departing from pure metals introduces considerations of strengthening by solution, precipitation, dispersion and composite effects. In practical applications, permutations of complicating influences are myriad. For example,

reference 47 states that "the maximum O level in Na necessary to avoid embrittlement of Nb at 700° C has been estimated to be less than 10 ppm."

The preceding scare tactics are really intended only to indicate that published creep values for a given material can vary considerably with little or no apparent reason. But such information is particularly important for MFHPs and TEC in systems with ~1800 K emitters. And for these applications, satisfactory materials are few as the creep-strength curves of Fig. 10 illustrate⁸³.

In any event high-temperature TEC and MFHPs based on the creep resistance of W and W alloys have demonstrated in vacuum capabilities for many years of service. Ta, Nb and Mo alloys afford effective creep resistance for selected applications also. Figure 10 shows such alloys: T-111 (Ta, 8W, 2Hf), ASTAR-811C (Ta, 8W, 1Re, 0.7Hf, 0.35C), Nb, 1Zr, FS-85 (Nb, 28Ta, 10W, 1Zr), TZC (Mo, 1.2Ti, 0.25Zr, 0.15C), and TZM (Mo, 0.5Ti, 0.08Zr, 0.03C).

Weight-effectiveness in space and cost-effectiveness in general drive toward minimal wall thicknesses alluded to in Fig. 8. For such conditions the previously mentioned "stability of fine grain size" is very important. This state not only maintains creep resistance, but also avoids recrystallization grain dimensions and inter-granular paths approaching containment-wall thicknesses. The latter occurrence promotes fluid leaks as well as strength discontinuities.

Specially selected additives can increase creep resistance, retard recrystallization and control solid-phase transitions often accompanied by abrupt changes in properties like thermal expansion. Referring again to titanium may exemplify the last observation³⁷:

"Thermophysically, Ti undergoes a solid-phase alteration at about 1160 K. Here rising temperatures change the closely packed-hexagonal "alpha" structure to the body-centered-cubic "beta" configuration. However this transformation, like the α -to- γ transition for iron at 1180 K, causes no great difficulties⁸⁴. The Ti α -to- β phase-change temperature rises with Al additions and falls (even below room temperatures) with inclusions of Mo, Fe, Cr or V. Commercially available pure (99.6 percent) Ti and Ti, 5Al, 2.5Sn are alpha alloys. Ti, 8Mo, 8V, 2Fe, 3Al is a beta alloy, and the most widely used Ti, 6Al, 4V is an "alpha-beta" alloy.

Like Ti, refractory metals Zr and Hf, also in periodic group IVA, undergo solid-phase transitions^{43-46,84}. In contrast group VA Nb and Ta as well as group VIA Mo and W exhibit no solid-phase changes.

The considerations raised in this section represent some obvious difficulties that have been overcome on the path to successful applications of high-temperature TEC and MFHPs. Many other less-impressive thermophysical challenges have arisen, then fallen under the pressure of applied research.

High-Temperature TEC and MFHP's in Brief

In addition to the detailed similarities of TEC and MFHPs emphasized in the introductory sections, a generalized parallel can be drawn: The two operating cycles appear as invitingly simple and isolated as their material problems seem forebodingly difficult and complexed. The first observation is deceptive; the second, candid. Both areas required intense study and experimentation, which resulted in recognition of their singular relationship. "In short, high-temperature material effects determine the level and lifetime of ... performance."

Simplified equations verify material properties and interactions as primary influences on the operational effectiveness of both TEC and MFHPs. And being essentially evaporation, condensation cycles, TEC and MFHPs experience flow limitations in thermal emission and vaporization because of temperature restrictions redounding from thermophysicochemical-stability considerations. Thus attaining practical lifetimes generally implies limiting performances in exchange.

But as previous discussions reveal, major high-temperature material problems of TEC and MFHP have been solved. The solutions are workable and economical and lead directly to applications that are productive and cost-effective. In fact current performance and cost levels imply improved outputs, efficiencies, and economies for TEC topping of combustors, central-station power plants and other advanced conversion systems heated by high-temperature energy sources.

And anticipated technological gains point to even greater improvements for future TEC and MFHP applications by more fully utilizing high power densities from high-temperature material interactions.

References

1. "Future Orbital Power Systems Technology Requirements," NASA CP-2058, Sept. 1978.
2. Cassano, A. J., and Bedell, J. R., "Thermionic Topping Converter for a Coal-Fired Power Plant," Consolidated Controls Corp., CCC-60-b445-17, Bethel, Conn., 1970.
3. Huffman, F. N., Speidel, T. O. P., and Davis, J. P., "Topping Cycle Applications of Thermionic Conversion," Record of the Tenth Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference IEEE, New York, 1975, pp. 496-502.
4. Britt, E. J., Fitzpatrick, G. O., and Rasor, N. S., "Thermionic Topping of Electric Power Plants," Record of the Tenth Intersociety Energy Conversion Conference, IEEE, New York, 1975, pp. 503-512.
5. Merrill, Owen S., and Cuttica, John J., "ERDA's Bicentennial Thermionic Research and Technology Program," Eleventh Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Proceedings, Vol. 2, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New York, 1976, pp. 1635-1644.
6. Britt, E. J., and Fitzpatrick, G. O., "Thermionic Topping for Central Station Power Plants," Eleventh Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Proceedings, Vol. 2, American Institute of Chemical Engineering, New York, 1976, pp. 1040-1045.
7. Miskolczy, G., and Speidel, T. O. P., "Thermionic Topping of a Steam Power Plant," Eleventh Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Proceedings, Vol. 2, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New York, 1976, pp. 1050-1055.
8. Britt, E. J., and Fitzpatrick, G. O., "Increased Central Station Power Plant Efficiency with a Thermionic Topping System," Proceedings of the 12th Intersociety Energy Conversion Conference, Vol. 2, American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, Ill., 1977, pp. 1602-1609.
9. Miskolczy, G., and Huffman, F. N., "Evaluation of MHD-Thermionic-Steam Cycles," Proceedings of the 12th Intersociety Energy Conversion Conference, Vol. 2, American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, Ill., 1977, pp. 1610-1616.
10. Fitzpatrick, G. O., and Britt, E. J., "Thermionic Power Plant Design Point Selection: The Economic Impact," Proceedings of the 13th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Vol. 3, Society of Automotive Engineers, Warrendale, Pa., 1978, pp. 1887-1892.
11. Carnasciali, G., Fitzpatrick, G. O., and Britt, E. J., "Performance and Cost Evaluation for a Thermionic Topping Power Plant," ASME Paper 77-WA/ENER-7, Nov. 1977.
12. Huffman, F. N., and Miskolczy, G., "Thermionic Energy Conversion Topping System," ASME Paper 77-WA/ENER-6, Nov. 1977.
13. Morris, J. F., "Comments on TEC Trends," Conference Record-Abstracts, International Conference on Plasma Science, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Montreal, Canada, June 4-6, 1979, IEEE, New York, 1979, Abstract 6D10, p. 166. Also NASA TM-79317, 1979.
14. Morris, J. F., "Potentialities of TEC Topping: A Simplified View of Parametric Effects," IEEE Conference Record-Abstracts, International Conference on Plasma Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, Abstract IE8, p. 16. Also NASA TM-81468, 1980.
15. Merrill, O. S., "The Changing Emphasis of the DOE Thermionic Program," IEEE Conference Record-Abstracts, 1980 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, p. 14.
16. Miskolczy, G., and Huffman, F. N., "Terrestrial Applications Using a Thermionic Array Module (TAM) Combustor," IEEE Conference Record-Abstracts, 1980 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, pp. 15-16.
17. Dick, R. S., Britt, E. J., and Fitzpatrick, G. O., "Electric Utility and Cogeneration Systems Applications of Thermionic Energy Conversion," IEEE Conference Record-Abstracts, 1980 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, p. 16.
18. Morris, J. F., "Optimal Thermionic Energy Conversion with Established Electrodes for High-Temperature Topping and Process Heating," DOE/NASA/1062-6, NASA TM-81555, July 1980.
19. Miskolczy, G., Wang, C. C., Margulies, A. E., and Fussgini, L. J., "Thermionic Topping of Combined Cycle Powerplants and Cogeneration Applications," Energy to the 21st Century, Proceedings of the 15th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Seattle, Wash., Aug. 1980, Vol. 3, IEEE, New York, 1980, pp. 1783-1787.

20. Dick, R. S., Jr., Bunda, B. M., and Starr, J. W., "Design Study of a Coal-Fired Thermionic (THX) - Topped Power Plant," Energy to the 21st Century, Proceedings of the 15th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Seattle, Washington, Aug. 1980, Vol. 3, IEEE, New York, 1980, pp. 1775-1782.
21. Morris, J. F., "The NASA Thermionic-Conversion (TEC-ART) Program," IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science, Vol. PS-6, No. 2, June 1978, pp. 180-190.
22. "NASA Office of Aeronautics and Space Technology Summer Workshop," NASA TM X-73960, Aug. 1975.
23. Sadin, S. R., "OAST Space Theme Workshop 1976," NASA TM X-3486, Apr. 1976.
24. "A Forecast of Space Technology, 1980-2000," NASA SP-387, Jan. 1976.
25. "Conference on Advanced Technology for Future Space Systems, Hampton, Va., May 8-10, 1979, Technical Papers," American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, New York, 1979.
26. Morris, James F., "High-Temperature, High-Power-Density Thermionic Energy Conversion for Space," NASA TM X-73844, 1977.
27. Morris, James F., "Optimize Out-of-Core Thermionic Energy Conversion for Nuclear Electric Propulsion," IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, Monterey, California, May 15-18, 1978, IEEE, New York, 1978, Abstract IC6. Also NASA TM-73892, 1978.
28. Kuznetsov, V. A., "The State and Directions of Thermionic Converters Research in the USSR," Eleventh Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Stateline, Nevada, September 12th to 17th, 1976.
29. Hatsopoulos, G. N., and Huffman, F. N., "The Growth of Thermionic Energy Conversion," in Energy 10: Annual Intersociety Energy Conversion and Engineering Conference, IEEE, New York, 1975, pp. 342-350 (see Fig. 7).
30. Hatsopoulos, G. N., and Gyftopoulos, E. P., "Thermionic Energy Conversion, Volume I: Processes and Devices," MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1973.
31. Huffman, F. N., Sommer, A. H., Balestra, C. L., Briere, D. P., and Oettinger, P. E., "High Efficiency Thermionic Converter Studies," Thermo Electron Corp., Waltham, Mass., TE 4202-12-77, Nov. 1976. (NASA CR-135125, 1976.)
32. Delil, A. A. M., "Theory and Design of Conventional Heat Pipes for Space Applications," NLR TR-77001-U, National Aerospace Laboratory, The Netherlands, May 1977.
33. Chi, S. W., Heat Pipe Theory and Practice, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1976.
34. Dunn, P., and Reay, D. A., Heat Pipes, Pergamon Press, New York, 1976.
35. Rouklove, P., "Metallurgical Study of Thermionic Converters," IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, Vol. ED-16, No. 8, Aug. 1969, pp. 672-678.
36. Quataert, D., Busse, C. A., and Geiger, F., "Long Time Behavior of High Temperature Tungsten-Rhenium Heat Pipes with Lithium or Silver as Working Fluid," International Heat Pipe Conference 1973, Preprints, October 15 to 17, 1973, Stuttgart, Federal Republic of Germany, Institut für Kernenergetik, Universität Stuttgart, 1973, Paper 4-4.
37. Morris, J. F., "Titanium-Alloy, Metallic-Fluid Heat Pipes for Space Service," NASA TM-79132, Mar. 1979.
38. Cook, R. H., and Skelton, R. P., "Environment-Dependence of the Mechanical Properties of Metals at High Temperatures," Review 187, International Metallurgical Reviews, Vol. 19, 1974, pp. 199-222.
39. Huseby, I. E., and Klug, F. J., "Chemical Compatibility of Ceramics for Directionally Solidifying Ni-Base Eutectic Alloys," American Ceramic Society Bulletin, Vol. 58, No. 5, 527 and 528, 1979.
40. Perry, R. H., Chilton, C. H., and Kirkpatrick, S. D., eds., Chemical Engineers Handbook, 4th ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.
41. Hatterer, A., "Obtention des Metaux Alcalins Purs," The Alkali Metals, Symposium at Nottingham, England, July 19 to 22, 1966, The Chemical Society, London, 1967, pp. 317-369.
42. Jaffee, R. I., and Maykuth, D. J., "Refractory Materials," Battelle Memorial Institute Defense Metals Information Center, Columbus, O., DMIC Memo-44, Feb. 26, 1960. (PB-161194.)
43. Hansen, M., Constitution of Binary Alloys, 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1958.
44. Elliott, R. P., Constitution of Binary Alloys, First Supplement, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.
45. Shunk, F. A., Constitution of Binary Alloys, Second Supplement, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.
46. Moffatt, W. G., Binary Phase Diagrams Handbook, General Electric Co., Schenectady, N. Y., 1978.
47. Mausteller, J. W., Tepper, F., and Rodgers, S. J., Alkali Metal Handling and Systems Operating Techniques, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967.
48. "DOE/JPL Advanced Thermionic Technology Program," Thermo Electron Corp., Waltham, Mass., Progress Report No.'s 33 and Higher, 1978-1980.
49. "Development and Evaluation of Tubular SiC Recuperators," Heat Exchanger Technology Program Newsletter, Department of Energy, Office of Fossil Energy Technology, May 1978, pp. 9-10.
50. Freche, John C., and Ault, G. Mervin, "Progress in Advanced High Temperature Turbine Materials, Coatings, and Technology," High Temperature Problems in Gas Turbine Engines, AGARD-CP-229, 1978, pp. 3-1 to 3-31.
51. "Coal-Fired Prototype High-Temperature Continuous-Flow Heat Exchanger, AF-684 Research Proj. 545-1," Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, Calif., EPRI-AT-684, Feb. 1978.
52. Tennery, V. J., and Wei, G. C., "Recuperator Materials Technology Assessment," Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL/TM-6227, Feb. 1978.
53. Goodale, D. B., Lieb, D., Reagan, P., Miskolczy, G., and Huffman, F. N., "Characteristics of Flame-Heated Chemical Vapor deposited Thermionic Combustors," IEEE Conference Record-Abstracts, 1980 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, p. 17.
54. Goodale, D. B., Reagan, P., Miskolczy, G., Lie, D., and Huffman, F. N., "Combustion Performance of CVD Silicon Carbide Thermionic Diodes," Energy to the 21st Century, Proceedings of the 15th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Seattle, Washington, Aug. 1980, Vol. 3, IEEE, New York, 1980, pp. 2095-2097.

55. Weiss, V. and Sessler, J. G., eds., Aerospace Structural Metals Handbook, Vol. IIA, Syracuse University Press, Syracuse, N.Y., 1971.
56. Morris, J. F., and Lundholm, J. G., "NASA Thermionic-Conversion Program," Eleventh Inter-society Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, State Line, Nevada, September 12th to 17th, 1976, Proceedings, Vol. II, AIChE, New York, 1976, pp. 1652-1655. Also NASA TM X-73430, 1976.
57. Morris, J. F., "High-Efficiency, Low-Temperature Cesium Diodes with Lanthanum-Hexaboride Electrodes," NASA TM X-71549, 1974. See also IEEE 1974 International Conference on Plasma Science, Conference Record-Abstracts, IEEE, New York, 1974, Session 1A4, pp. 7-8.
58. Kroeger, E. W., Bair, V. L., and Morris, J. F., "Diminutive Thermionic Energy Conversion with Lanthanum-Hexaboride Electrodes," NASA TM-78887, 1978. Paper 1C8 presented at IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, Monterey, California, May 15-18, 1978.
59. Morris, J. F., "NASA, ERDA Thermionic-Conversion Tour of Europe and the USSR, 6/14 to 7/5/77, World Electrotechnical Conference, Moscow, 6/21 to 6/25/77 (a Chronological Trip Report)," NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, OH, 1977.
60. Menabde, N. E., Tskhakaya, V. K., and Yarygin, V. I., "Low-Temperature Thermionic Converter with a Lanthanum Hexaboride Collector," Zhurnal Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki, Vol. 48, pp. 770-772, Apr. 1978. (Soviet Physics-Technical Physics, vol. 23, Apr. 1978, pp. 454-455.)
61. Huggens, R. A., Elwell, D., Fergelson, R. S., and De Mattei, R. C., "(Long Range Materials Research) Development of Elevated-Temperature Electrocrystallization Techniques," Sanford University, Calif., CMR-78-4, Feb. 1978.
62. Swanson, L. W., Dickinson, J. T., and McNeely, D. R., "Fabrication and Characterization of Composite Refractory Compounds Suitable for Thermionic Converters," NASA CR-2668, Mar. 1978.
63. Swanson, L. W., "Single Crystal Work Function and Evaporation Measurements of LaB₆ and CeB₆," IEEE Conference Record-Abstracts, the 1976 International Conference on Plasma Science, Austin, Texas, May 24-26, 1976, IEEE, New York, 1976, p. 142.
64. Davis, P. R., Chambers S. A., and Swanson, L. W., "Adsorption of Cesium on Lanthanum Hexaboride Surfaces," IEEE Conference Record-Abstracts, 1980 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, p. 107.
65. Oshima, C., Aono, M., Tanaka, T., Nishitani, R., and Kawai, S., "Low Work Function and Surface Structure of the LaB₆(210) Surface Studied by Angle-Resolved X-Ray Spectroscopy, Ultraviolet Spectroscopy and Low-Energy Electron Diffraction," Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 51, No. 2, Feb. 1980, pp. 997-1000.
66. Oshima, C., Aono, M., Tanaka, T., Kawai, S., Shimizu, R., and Hagiwara, H., "Thermionic Emission from Single-Crystal LaB₆ Tips with [100], [110], [111], and [210] Orientations," Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 51, No. 2, Feb. 1980, pp. 1201-1206.
67. Davis, P. R., Chambers, S. A., and Swanson, L. W., "The Adsorption of Cesium on Lanthanum-Hexaboride Surface," Energy to the 21st Century, Proceedings of the 15th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference, Seattle, Wash., Aug. 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, pp. 2327-2330.
68. "DOE/JPL Advanced Thermionic Technology Program, Progress Report No. 40," Thermo Electron Corporation, Waltham, Mass., TE4237/4247-83-80, July-Aug.-Sept. 1979, p. 64.
69. Storms, E. K., "An Approach to Producing a Successful Bond Between LaB₆ and Ta," IEEE Conference Record-Abstracts, 1980 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, IEEE, New York, 1980, p. 107.
70. Babad-Zakhryapina, A. A., "Characteristics of Diffusion Processes on Condensates, Formed on a Hot Cladding," Redstone Scientific Information Center, Alabama, Translation, RSIC-676, May 1967, pp. 1-11. (NASA TM X-60158.)
71. Morris, J. F., "Comments on 'Contact Diffusion Interaction of Materials with Cladding'," NASA TM X-2423, Jan. 1972.
72. Evans, U. R., The Corrosion and Oxidation of Metals, Second Supplementary Volume, Edward Arnold Publishers, London, 1976.
73. Hurlen, T., "Oxidation of Metals. The General Oxidation Equation," Acta Chemica Scandinavica, Vol. 13, 1959, pp. 695-704.
74. DOE TEC Program (Executive Summary), IEEE Conference Record-Abstracts, 1980 IEEE International Conference on Plasma Science, University of Wisconsin, Madison, May 19-21, 1980, Sessions 1E, 2E, 3F, and 4E, IEEE, New York, 1980.
75. Goldsmith, A., Waterman, T. E., and Hirschhorn, H. J., Handbook of Thermophysical Properties of Solid Materials, Macmillan, New York, 1961, Vol. 1, pp. 423, 424; Vol. 3, pp. 685-686.
76. Dynatech Report to Consolidated Controls Corporation: The Linear Coefficients of Expansion of 10 Materials, CCS-1 (unpublished data), 1969 (Ref. 2).
77. Merrigan, M. A., Keddy, E. S., Dunwoody, W. E., and Lundberg, L., "Ceramic Heat Pipe Technology," in Reactor Technology, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, New Mexico, LA-8403-PR, June 1980.
78. Stephens, J. R., "Materials Technology Assessment for Stirling Engines," Highway Vehicle Systems, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C., CONF-771037, Mar. 1978, p. 273.
79. Samsonov, G. V., and Epik, A. P., Coatings of High-Temperature Materials, Part I, p. 87, Plenum Press, New York, 1966.
80. Tien, J. K., and Ansell, G. S., eds., Alloy and Microstructural Design, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
81. Sherby, O. D., and Burke, P. M., "Mechanical Behavior of Crystalline Solids at Elevated Temperature," Progress in Material Science, Vol. 13, No. 1, 1968.
82. Sherby, O. D., "Factors Affecting the High Temperature Strength of Polycrystalline Solids," Acta Metallurgica, Vol. 10, Feb. 1962, pp. 135-147.
83. Gluyas, R. E., and Watson, G. K., "Materials Technology for an Advanced Space Power Nuclear Reactor Concept: Program Summary," NASA TN D-7909, Mar. 1975.
84. Touloukian, Y. S., ed., Thermophysical Properties of High Temperature Solid Materials, MacMillan, New York, 1967.

TABLE I. - SOME SPACE APPLICATIONS FOR METALLIC-FLUID HEAT PIPES.

<u>SYSTEM ELEMENT OR PRIMARY FUNCTION</u>	<u>HEAT-PIPE SERVICE</u>	<u>TEMPERATURE, K</u>
ENERGY SOURCES		
<u>Solar Concentrators</u>		
Materials processing (MP) (furnaces, ovens...)	Isothermalize receivers and processors	To > 2000
Power generation (PG)(thermionic TEC, TEC topping TEC-T, high-temperature thermoelectric H-T-TE, current TE, H-T Brayton H-T-B, current B...)	Isothermalize receivers and transport thermal power (TTP)	To ~ 1850
<u>Nuclear Reactors</u>		
Ultimate space reactor (~MW _e 's) (TEC, TEC-T-B...)	Cool reactors, flatten temperature profiles, TTP, transform TP densities (TTPD), if required	To > 1850
JPL out-of-core TEC system (~0.5 MW _e) (TEC, TEC-T-B, H-T-TE, H-T-B...)		To ~ 1675
Midpower-range (MPR) reactor (10 to 250 kW _e) (TEC, TE, H-T-B, B...)		To ~ 1400
Minireactor (1 to 10's kW _e) (TE, B...)		To ~ 1300
<u>Radioisotopes</u> (To kW _e 's)(TEC, H-T-TE, TE...)	Cool and isothermalize radioisotopes, TTP (TTPD)	To ~ 1500
THERMAL-TO-ELECTRIC CONVERTERS		
<u>Thermal-Power Input</u>		
TEC emitters	TTP, TTPD, heat, isothermalize	To > 1800
TE hot shoes	TTP, heat, isothermalize	To ~ 1600
New materials		
Current materials		
Best possibility		~ 1300
Ready availability		~ 1000

TABLE I. - Continued. SOME SPACE APPLICATIONS FOR METALLIC-FLUID HEAT PIPES.

SYSTEM ELEMENT OR PRIMARY FUNCTION	HEAT-PIPE SERVICE	TEMPERATURE, K
B H-T heat exchanger (H-T-HE)	{ TTP, Act as H-T-HE }	To ~ 1400
New materials		To ~ 1150
Current materials	↓	To ~ 1050
Potassium Rankine		
<u>Thermal-Power Rejection</u>	{ Isothermalize, Cool, TTP }	~400 to ~1100
TEC collectors (depending on system power)		
TE cold shoes (depending on system power)	↓	To ~ 950
New materials		To ~ 800
Current materials	{ Act as HEC, TTP }	~400 to ~850
B Heat-exchanger cooler (HEC)(system power)		~350 to ~500
New materials	↓	~800
Current materials		
Potassium Rankine		
 ELECTROCHEMICAL CELLS		
<u>Fuel Cells (FC)</u>	{ Cool, isothermalize, TTP }	~350 to ~430
Noble-metal catalyst		>500
Apollo (Bacon) cell (high reject. temp. HRT)	↓	To > 920
Molten carbonate (high reject. temp. HRT)		To > 1270
Solid oxide (high reject. temp. HRT)	{ Heat; cool, isothermalize, TTP }	~300 to ~430
<u>Electrolysis (regen. FC:Energy storage)</u>		
Current practice	{ Cool, isothermalize, TTP }	~280
Thermal + electrolytic processing (HRT)		To > 420
<u>Batteries</u>	↓	To > 720
Current practice		
Alkali-metal, organic-electrolyte (HRT)		
Alkali-metal, solid-electrolyte (HRT)		
 PHOTOVOLTAIC CELLS		
Concentrated radiation (raise low efficiencies)	↓	To > 450
Thermally reformed radiation (raise low eff.)		To > 450
High-temperature environments		To > 450

TABLE I. - Concluded. SOME SPACE APPLICATIONS FOR METALLIC-FLUID HEAT PIPES.

<u>SYSTEM ELEMENT OR PRIMARY FUNCTION</u>	<u>HEAT-PIPE SERVICE</u>	<u>TEMPERATURE, K</u>
OTHER AEROSPACE APPLICATIONS		
Space radiators		To > 1100
Heat exchangers, recuperators, regenerators		To > 1850
Heat-pipe, phase-change-material		To > 1300
Thermal capacitors		
High-temperature structures (Ollendorf patent)		
High-power-density, high-voltage		To ~ 350
Electrical processing and electronics		
High-power density switching with plasma devices		T _E 's to > 2000 T _C 's to > 1000
Heat-pipe-cooled magnetics		
Leading-edge cooling for re-entry vehicles and hypersonic aircraft		To > 1100 To > 2000
Materials processing, testing, and fabrication in space		
Tritium production ($n + \text{Li}^6 \rightarrow \text{T} + \text{He}^4 + 4.6 \text{ MeV}$ and $n + \text{Li}^7 \rightarrow \text{He}^4 + \text{T} + n - 2.47 \text{ MeV}$) and recovery with Li heat pipes in blankets of thermonuclear reactors ($\text{D} + \text{T} \rightarrow \text{He}^4 (3.5 \text{ MeV}) + n (14.1 \text{ MeV})$)		> 1400

THERMIONIC-ENERGY-CONVERTER ELECTRONS

ESCAPE THE HEATED EMITTER, PASS THROUGH THE INTERELECTRODE GAP, ENTER THE COOLED COLLECTOR, DEVELOP VOLTAGE ACROSS THE ELECTRODES, FLOW BACK TO THE EMITTER EXTERNALLY, PERFORM ELECTRICAL WORK, AND RECYCLE CONTINUOUSLY.

THE HEAT-PIPE WORKING FLUID

VAPORIZES IN THE HEATED "EVAPORATOR," FLOWS AS A VAPOR THROUGH THE "ADIABATIC SECTION," GIVES UP ITS HEAT OF CONDENSATION IN THE COOLED "CONDENSER," FLOWS AS A LIQUID BACK TO THE EVAPORATOR THROUGH THE "WICK" ARTERIES, MOVES TO THE VAPORIZING SURFACE THROUGH THE WICK CAPILLARIES, AND RECYCLES CONTINUOUSLY.

Figure 1. - TEC and heat-pipe cycles.

Figure 2. - Performance and topping temperatures for thermionic energy conversion with 30 A/cm², 10% back emission and negligible interelectrode losses.

Figure 3. - Heat-pipe operating ranges.

REFLUX-CAPSULE TEST FLUIDS

- (1) VAPORIZE, SWEEP NONCONDENSIBLE CORROSION PRODUCTS TO CAPSULE TOPS, CONDENSE,
- (2) FORM, DISSOLVE, AND DRAIN NONVOLATILE CORROSION PRODUCTS TO CAPSULE BOTTOMS, DILUTING NONVOLATILE CORROSION PRODUCTS IN TEST-LIQUID POOLS.

HEAT-PIPE WORKING FLUIDS

- (3) IN CONTRAST, TRANSPORT DISSOLVED CORROSION PRODUCTS THROUGH WICK ARTERIES TO EVAPORATORS, MOVE TO EVAPORATING SURFACES THROUGH WICK CAPILLARIES, VAPORIZE, LEAVING CONTINUOUSLY CONCENTRATING NONVOLATILE CORROSION PRODUCTS IN EVAPORATOR WICKS,
- (4) THEN SWEEP NONCONDENSIBLE CORROSION PRODUCTS TO CONDENSER ENDS, LIQUEFY, AND RECYCLE.

CAPSULE, COUPON, OR ORDINARY-FLOW METHODS DO NOT APPROXIMATE HEAT-PIPE LIFE TESTING.

BUT A SUITABLE CYLINDRIC SCREEN CHANGES AN INEFFECTIVE CAPSULE INTO A HEAT-PIPE FOR EFFECTIVE, ECONOMICAL LIFE TESTING.

Figure 4. - Heat-pipe materials compatibility: life testing.

Fig. 5 Free-energy data for oxide formation (after Richardson and Jeffes, 1948) ¹²

1 kcal = 4 1868 kJ 2 303 R = 19 1546 J/mol degC;
 1 atm = 101 325 kN m⁻², 1 V equiv. = 96 606 kJ.

(Refs. 38 to 41.) (Courtesy of American Society for Metals.)

Figure 6. - Stability relationships of refractory oxides. Solid lines represent constant standard free energy of formation from the elements. The darkest area is the region of greatest stability (ref. 42).

Figure 7. - Vaporization of pure metals and lanthanum hexaboride.

RELATIVE WEIGHT PARAMETER
(BASED ON ASME PRESSURE VESSEL CODE)
FOR HIGH-PRESSURE HEAT-PIPE ENVELOPES

RELATIVE WEIGHT PARAMETER
FOR LOW-PRESSURE-HEAT-PIPE ENVELOPES AND
ALL WICK STRUCTURES

$$RWP = (\text{METAL DENSITY AT } T) / (304SS \text{ DENSITY AT } T)$$

APPLIES WHERE ASME PRESSURE-VESSEL CODE
YIELDS WALL THICKNESSES TOO THIN FOR
FABRICATION, JOINING, PROCESSING, HAND-
LING, AND/OR OPERATION.

EXAMPLE: 1" - DIAM. SPACE HP AT 10 ATM. WITH
A 40,000 psi (ULT' STR.) ENVELOPE.

HOOP-STRESS WALL THICKNESS (ASME P-V
CODE): 7 MILLS (THINNER WALLS RESULT FOR
LOWER OPERATING PRESSURES AND HIGHER
ENVELOPE STRENGTHS).

USUALLY PREFERRED WALL THICKNESS: TENS OF
MILLS

	294 K (70° F)	700 K (800° F)	1033 K (1400° F)
ALUMINUM	0.33	0.34	----
COPPER	1.11	1.11	----
TITANIUM	0.56	0.57	0.58
304SS	1.0	1.0	1.0

Figure 8. - Relative weight parameters.

Figure 9. - Linear thermal expansions for Mo, W, and SiC from ref. 2.

Figure 10. - Creep strength of some refractory metals and alloys for 1 percent creep in 10 000 hours.

1. Report No. NASA TM-81626		2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle HIGH POWER DENSITIES FROM HIGH-TEMPERATURE MATERIAL INTERACTIONS				5. Report Date	
				6. Performing Organization Code 778-14-10	
7. Author(s) James F. Morris				8. Performing Organization Report No. E-635	
				10. Work Unit No.	
9. Performing Organization Name and Address National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44135				11. Contract or Grant No.	
				13. Type of Report and Period Covered Technical Memorandum	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address U. S. Department of Energy Office of Coal Utilization Washington, D. C. 20545				14. Sponsoring Agency Code Report No. DOE/NASA/1062-7	
15. Supplementary Notes Prepared under Interagency Agreement EC-77-A-31-1062. Prepared for Sixteenth Thermo-physics Conference, High Temperature Material Session, sponsored by American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Palo Alto, California, June 23-25, 1981.					
16. Abstract Thermionic energy conversion (TEC) and metallic-fluid heat pipes (MFHPs) offer important and unique advantages in terrestrial and space energy processing. And they are well suited to serve together synergistically. TEC and MFHPs operate through working-fluid vaporization, condensation cycles that accept great thermal power densities at high temperatures. TEC and MFHPs have apparently simple, isolated performance mechanisms that are somewhat similar. And they also have obviously difficult, complexed material problems that again are somewhat similar. Intensive investigation reveals that aspects of their operating cycles and material problems tend to merge: "In short, high-temperature material effects determine the level and lifetime of ... performance." Simplified equations verify the preceding statement for TEC and MFHPs. Material properties and interactions exert primary influences on operational effectiveness. And thermophysicochemical stabilities dictate operating temperatures which regulate the thermoemissive currents of TEC and the vaporization flow rates of MFHPs. Major high-temperature material problems of TEC and MFHPs have been solved. These solutions lead to productive, cost-effective applications of current TEC and MFHPs -- and point to significant improvements with anticipated technological gains.					
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s)) Thermionic energy conversion (TEC); Metallic-fluid heat pipes; High temperatures; High power densities; High-temperature mate- rials; Terrestrial and space applications			18. Distribution Statement Unclassified - unlimited STAR Category 75 DOE Category UC-90f		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified		20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified		21. No. of Pages	
				22. Price*	