Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Materials & Molecular Research Division

Presented at the XVII International Conference on
the Physics of Semiconductors, San Francisco, CA,
August 6-10, 1984

**ELECTRONIC BANDSTRUCTURE OF AN
INCOMMENSURATE CRYSTAL**

Th. Rasing

June 1984

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-79SF00098

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

ELECTRONIC BANDSTRUCTURE OF AN INCOMMENSURATE CRYSTAL

Th. Rasing
Department of Physics, University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720 USA

LBL--13136

and

Materials and Molecular Research Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
Berkeley, CA 94720 USA

DE84 016891

The consequences of an incommensurate lattice modulation on the electronic energy levels have been studied by optical transmission experiments on Rb_2ZnBr_4 . The results are analyzed with a simple tight-binding model in which the superspace symmetry of the crystal is taken into account.

The lattice translational symmetry of crystalline matter leads to the well known concepts of the Brillouin zones, Bloch electrons, phonons and the like. In a crystal where the lattice is periodically distorted with a period that is incommensurate with the underlying lattice, this translational symmetry is broken. Nonetheless, incommensurate crystals are perfectly ordered and can be described by higher dimensional so-called superspace groups.¹ In this paper we will show how this superspace approach provides a natural framework to understand their electronic bandstructure as well.

Consider a crystal which is modulated along one crystallographic axis only, and therefore can be described by a one-dimensional model. In the tight-binding approximation, the Schrödinger equation for a sinusoidally modulated linear chain with states $|\psi_n\rangle$ localized on the n th atom can be written as:

$$W_{n+1}C_{n+1} + W_n C_{n-1} + (E_n - E)C_n = 0 \quad (1a)$$

where C_n are the coefficients of the eigenfunctions $|\psi\rangle = \sum_n C_n |\psi_n\rangle$, E_n are the atomic energy levels, W_n represent the nearest neighbor interaction terms, which as a consequence of the lattice modulation will become modulated as well.

$$W_n = W_0 (1 + \beta \cos(qna + \phi)) \quad (1b)$$

a is the lattice constant of the undistorted chain, q the modulation wave-vector and ϕ a phase factor. The parameter β will depend on the modulation amplitude and is zero in the normal (N-) phase. For an incommensurate modu-

MASTER

lation $2\pi/q$ is irrational with respect to a and Eq. (1) does not have lattice symmetry. However, W_n is invariant under the following set of discrete transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \ n &\rightarrow n + m & \phi &\rightarrow \phi - qma & \text{integer } m \\ 2) \ n &\rightarrow n & \phi &\rightarrow \phi + 2\pi z & \text{integer } z. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

These translations form a $(1+1)$ -dimensional lattice in the superspace that can be formed by the normal space and an additional defined internal space.^{1,2} This means that Eq. (1) does have a hidden 2-dimensional lattice symmetry, with all the coefficients depending on n and the phase ϕ : $W_n = W(n, \phi)$, etc. This also implies that the wavevectors k still form a valid representation for the electron wave functions.^{2,3} Therefore, we can again apply the Bloch theorem and hence the solutions are of the form

$$C(n, \phi) = e^{ikna} \sum_v u^v e^{iv(qna + \phi)}. \quad (3)$$

The Fourier expansion of Eq. (3) is a result of the periodicity in $(qna + \phi)$. By using Eq. (1) and (3) we can now calculate the new energy levels in the incommensurate (I-) phase. As a result of the modulation, each original level $E_n(k)$ splits up in a series of levels labelled by the index v : $E_n^v(k)$. Since to a good approximation the modulation is sinusoidal⁴ the main levels will be $v = 0$ and $v = \pm 1$. The effects of the modulation are illustrated in the schematic band picture of Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. Electronic bandstructure of an incommensurately modulated crystal (see text for parameters).

— normal phase
- - - incommensurate phase

These results hold for the valence (E_v) as well as the conduction band (E_c). We therefore expect absorption edges to appear at

$$E > E_c^v(k) - E_v^{v'}(k') \text{ for } v, v' = 0, \pm 1. \quad (4)$$

The values for k and k' are given by the extrema of the conduction and valence band and depend on the real band structure of the crystal. However, without knowing the latter in detail, this simple model can give a qualitative understanding of the experimental results.

The incommensurate phase of Rb_2ZnBr_4 appears below $T_i = 355$ K and is characterized by an orthorhombic basic structure with space group $Pcnn$

and a dispersive modulation with wavevector $\vec{q} = \vec{\gamma}c^* = 0.13c^*$.⁴ Single crystals were grown from an aqueous solution and transmission spectra in the bandgap region were recorded with a spectrometer.

Fig. 2. Transmission spectra of Rb_2ZnBr_4 in the region of the bandgap E_g (defined by extrapolating to zero transmission), showing the appearance of a new absorption edge (E'_g) in the I-phase ($T < T_i$). The horizontal axis refers to spectrum 1; spectra 2, 3, and 4 are shifted to have their E_g overlap.

Figure 2 shows the results for temperatures above and below T_i ; the spectra have been shifted with respect to each other to correct for the temperature dependence of the main gap at E_g .

In the N-phase, the only meaningful levels are the $v = v' = 0$ leading to the main absorption gap $E_g = E_c^0(k) = E_v^0(k')$ which causes the drop in transmission around 5 eV in Fig. 2. In the I-phase, additional absorption can occur involving $v, v' = \pm 1$, causing the onset of additional absorption at E'_g . The transition probability for these levels will be a measure of the modulation strength. Therefore, if we write for the absorption coefficient $\alpha^i = \alpha^n + \Delta\alpha$, where i and n refer to the I- and N-phases, respectively,

$\Delta\alpha$ should be proportional to δ . The experimental results for $\Delta\alpha$ can be fitted with $\Delta\alpha = a\sqrt{T_i - T}$ with $a = 0.087 \text{ cm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1/2}$ and $T_i = 357 \pm 1 \text{ K}$.

From our numerical results for $E_n^v(k)$ it follows that this new absorption edge involves $k = q$ and $k = K - q$, and that $E_g - E'_g$ is almost constant for $\delta < 0.3$ and is proportional to δ^2 for $\delta > 0.3$. Therefore, we expect $E_g - E'_g \sim (T_i - T)$ (for Rb_2ZnBr_4 the temperature dependence of q can be neglected in the region of interest). The temperature dependence of $E_g - E'_g$ is plotted in Fig. 3 and is in good agreement with these predictions.

In conclusion, we have shown how the superspace approach, introduced to describe the microscopic symmetries of incommensurate crystals, provides a natural framework to understand their electronic bandstructure as well. The recovery of lattice translational symmetry leads again to a Bloch formalism

Fig. 3. Temperature dependence of new absorption edge E'_g relative to that of E_g .

(though now in a higher dimensional space) which can be used to solve a simple tightbinding model. The resulting predictions of new energy levels and their dependence on the modulation parameters are confirmed by optical absorption spectra in the bandgap region of the modulated crystal Rb_2ZnBr_4 . The same approach can also be applied to charge density wave systems, where now the E_n instead of the W_n will be modulated (see Ref. 5).

Acknowledgments. An IBM postdoctoral fellowship is gratefully acknowledged. I would also like to thank W. v. d. Linden for growing the single crystals and Y. R. Shen for many critical and stimulating comments. This work was partially supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098.

References

1. P. M. de Wolff, *Acta Cryst. A* **33**, 493 (1977); A. Janner and T. Janssen, *Phys. Rev. B* **15**, 643 (1977); *Acta Cryst. A* **36**, 399 (1980).
2. Th. Rasing and A. Janner, *Ferroelec.* **53**, 301 (1984).
3. T. Janssen and A. Janner, in *Symmetries and Broken Symmetries in Condensed Matter Physics*, N. Boccara, ed. (IDSET, Paris, 1981) p.211; T. Janssen, *J. Phys. C* **12**, 5381 (1979).
4. Th. Rasing, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Nijmegen, 1982 (unpublished).
5. Th. Rasing, to be published.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.