176
12-8-80
[Signature]

①

MASTER
MASTER

[Handwritten initials]

NOVEMBER 1980

PPPL-1702r

OC-289

THE MAXWELL-VLASOV EQUATIONS AS A CONTINUOUS HAMILTONIAN SYSTEM

BY

P. J. MORRISON

PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY

R409

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

THE MAXWELL-VLASOV EQUATIONS AS
A CONTINUOUS HAMILTONIAN SYSTEM

by

P. J. MORRISON

PPPL-1702R

November 1980

Due to an error involved with our recent cross-over to Word Processor manuscript preparation, many symbols in the first issue of this report were omitted. We regret any inconveniences caused by this.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as part of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Accepted for publication in PHYSICS LETTERS A,
20 October 1980.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT 1

2
Jey

The Maxwell-Vlasov Equations as
a Continuous Hamiltonian System

by

Philip J. Morrison

Princeton University, Plasma Physics Laboratory

Princeton, New Jersey 08544

Abstract

The well-known Maxwell-Vlasov equations that describe a collisionless plasma are cast into Hamiltonian form. The dynamical variables are the physical although noncanonical variables $\underline{E}$, $\underline{B}$ and f . We present a Poisson bracket which acts on these variables and the energy functional to produce the equations of motion.

Systems of partial differential equations that possess Hamiltonian structure are of great importance in physics. Historically, the first step to quantization has been the recognition of classical Hamiltonian form. More recently, the underlying Hamiltonian structure of equations such as those of Korteweg and deVries,¹ Benjamin and Ono,² and Benney³ have been discovered. For these equations the useful variables are noncanonical. In addition the use of noncanonical variables in discrete systems has been of practical advantage.⁴ Recently, the equations of Eulerian hydrodynamics and ideal MHD have been shown to be Hamiltonian in terms of the physical although noncanonical variables.⁵ The great difficulty encountered when attempting to cast the Maxwell-Vlasov system into Hamiltonian form has been in the search for a set of canonical variables. This difficulty is compounded by the functional coupling between functions of field variables and functions of phase space variables. We have circumvented this problem by producing a Poisson bracket in terms of the noncanonical variables, $f_\alpha(\underline{x}, \underline{v}, t)$, $\underline{E}(\underline{x}, t)$ and $\underline{B}(\underline{x}, t)$. Here f_α is the distribution function of species α , $\underline{E}$ is the electric field and $\underline{B}$ is the magnetic field.

In the following we informally define the mathematics underlying what is meant by a continuous Hamiltonian system; the mathematics is tailored to the system at hand. The important result is essentially the Poisson bracket defined by Eq. (9). This is the bracket for the full Maxwell-Vlasov system. A reduced form of this bracket is then shown to produce the Poisson-Vlasov system. Finally a formulation of this reduced system with the distribution function as the sole variable is presented.

We consider the following equations:

$$\underline{B}_t(\underline{x}, t) = - \underline{v} \times \underline{E}(\underline{x}, t) , \quad (1)$$

$$\underline{E}_t(\underline{x}, t) = \underline{v} \times \underline{B}(\underline{x}, t) - \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \int_{R_1} \underline{v} f_{\alpha}(z, t) P(z|\underline{x}) dz , \quad (2)$$

$$f_{\alpha t}(z, t) = - \underline{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial \underline{r}}(z, t) - \frac{e_{\alpha}}{m_{\alpha}} \int_{R_2} (\underline{E}(\underline{x}, t) + \underline{v} \times \underline{B}(\underline{x}, t)) \cdot \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial \underline{v}}(z, t) P(z|\underline{x}) d\underline{x} . \quad (3)$$

Equation (1) is Faraday's law; Eq. (2) is Ampère's law with the inclusion of displacement current. (We use rationalized Gaussian units with the speed of light set to unity.) The remaining two Maxwell equations will take their usual role as initial conditions. Equation (3) is the Vlasov equation where e_{α} and m_{α} are the signed charge and mass respectively of species α . Formally, we treat f_{α} as a function of the phase space variable $z \equiv (\underline{r}, \underline{v})$ while $\underline{E}$ and $\underline{B}$ are functions of the field variable $\underline{x}$; hence, we have used the operator $P(z|\underline{x}) \equiv \delta(\underline{x} - \underline{r})$, where $\delta(\underline{x})$ is the Dirac delta function, in the coupling terms of Eqs. (2) and (3). The region of integration $R_1 \equiv A \times \mathcal{R}^3$ where $\mathcal{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ and $A \subset \mathcal{R}^3$. $R_2 \equiv A$.

We seek to represent these equations in the form

$$\frac{\partial \chi^i}{\partial t} = [\chi^i, \hat{H}] \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 6, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\chi^i \equiv \begin{cases} f_{\alpha} & \text{for } i = 0 \\ \underline{E} & \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \underline{B} & \text{for } i = 4, 5, 6, \end{cases} ;$$

the Hamiltonian functional

$$\hat{H}[X^i] \equiv \sum_{\alpha} \int_{R_1} \frac{1}{2} m_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}^2 f_{\alpha} dz + \int_R \frac{1}{2} (E^2 + B^2) d\underline{x} ;$$

and the operator $[,]$ is the Poisson bracket (which is complicated since the X^i 's are noncanonical).

Before presenting the bracket we now lay some groundwork. Suppose that the solutions of Eqs. (1), (2) and (3) are contained in a vector space ω $= \omega_1 \times \omega_2$ (over $\mathbb{R}$) which is the direct product of subspace ω_1 whose elements are functions of z , and ω_2 whose elements are functions of $\underline{x}$. Then observe that the operator $P(z|\underline{x})$ is used in Eqs. (2) and (3) to map elements of one subspace to the other. Now suppose that ω is equipped with the following inner product

$$\langle g|h \rangle = \int_{R_1} g_1 h_1 dz + \int_{R_2} g_2 h_2 d\underline{x} \quad (5)$$

where all $g \in \omega$ have the form $g \equiv (g_1, g_2)$ such that $g_1 \in \omega_1$ and $g_2 \in \omega_2$ (Clearly Eq. (5) satisfies the necessary algebraic properties for an inner product).

We define our Poisson bracket in terms of this inner product and a skew-symmetric matrix operator on ω as follows:

$$[\hat{F}, \hat{G}] = \sum_{i,j} \left\langle \frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta X^i} \mid O^{ij} \frac{\delta \hat{G}}{\delta X^j} \right\rangle \quad (6)$$

The quantities $\hat{F}$ and $\hat{G}$ are elements of Ω , a vector space (over $\mathbb{R}$) of Frechet differentiable functionals of the functions f_{α} , $\underline{E}$ and $\underline{B}$ (differentiable with respect to the L^2 norm defined by Eq. (5)).

For example Ω contains elements of the form

$$\hat{F}\{\chi^i\} = \hat{F}_1\{\chi^0\} + \hat{F}_2\{\chi^i\} \quad , \quad (7)$$

$i \neq 0$

where

$$\hat{F}_\beta\{\chi^i\} = \int_{R_\beta} F_\beta(x_\beta, \chi_k^{i\beta}) dx_\beta \quad \text{for } \beta = 1, 2$$

with $x_1 \equiv z$, $x_2 \equiv \underline{x}$, $i_1 = 0$ and $i_2 = 1, 2, 3$. The subscript k on $\chi_k^{i\beta}$ means that F_β is in general a function of all partial derivatives of $\chi_k^{i\beta}$ of degree k . Clearly the Hamiltonian functional $\hat{H}\{\chi^i\}$ is of the form of Eq. (7) with $k = 0$. Ω also contains arbitrary C^∞ functions of χ_k^i for all i . In Eq. (6) the quantity $\delta F / \delta \chi^i$ is the functional derivative of $\hat{F}$ with respect to χ^i ; it is defined by

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \hat{F}\{\chi^0(z) + \varepsilon w(z)\} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \equiv \langle \frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta \chi^0} | w \rangle \quad ,$$

and similarly for $i \neq 0$. Observe $w(z)$, $\delta \hat{F} / \delta \chi^0 \in \omega_1$ and for $i \neq 0$ $\delta \hat{F} / \delta \chi^i \in \omega_2$. [Note $\delta \chi^0(z) / \delta \chi^0(z') = \delta(z-z')$ and $\delta \chi^i(\underline{x}) / \delta \chi^i(\underline{x}') = \delta(\underline{x}-\underline{x}')$; since for the Hamiltonian $k = 0$, functional differentiation reduces to ordinary partial differentiation of its integrands: $\delta H / \delta f_\alpha = 1/2 m_\alpha v_\alpha^2$, $\delta H / \delta \underline{E} = \underline{E}$ and $\delta H / \delta \underline{B} = \underline{B}$.] The bracket defined by Eq. (6) is a bilinear function which maps $\Omega \times \Omega$ to Ω . In addition we require that the operator O^{ij} endow our bracket with the following properties:

(i) $[\hat{F}, \hat{F}] = 0$ for every $\hat{F} \in \Omega$. Since Ω is defined over $\mathcal{R}$ this is equivalent to $[\hat{F}, \hat{G}] = -[\hat{G}, \hat{F}]$ for $\hat{F}, \hat{G} \in \Omega$,

(ii) the Jacobi identity $[\hat{E}, [\hat{F}, \hat{G}]] + [\hat{F}, [\hat{G}, \hat{E}]] + [\hat{G}, [\hat{E}, \hat{F}]] = 0$ for every $\hat{E}, \hat{F}, \hat{G} \in \Omega$.

Clearly these are the usual properties possessed by a Poisson bracket. A vector space together with a bracket which has these properties defines a Lie algebra.⁶

In summary, by a Hamiltonian system we mean a system of partial differential equations which possess an integral invariant and a bracket with properties (i) and (ii), such that the system is amenable to the form of Eq. (4).

We now introduce the following operator (co-symplectic form):

$$(O^{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} -\{f_\alpha, \cdot\} & -P_E^{2+1} & -P_B^{2+1} \\ \hline P_E^{1+2} & O_3 & D \\ \hline P_B^{1+2} & -D & O_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

This is a 7×7 matrix operator which maps ω into ω . O_3 is a 3×3 array of zeros and $D = [\epsilon_{ijk}(\partial/\partial x_j)]$ where ϵ_{ijk} is the Levi-Civita tensor. The braces in the upper left hand entry are used to indicate the usual Poisson bracket $\{g, h\} = \partial g/\partial \underline{x} \cdot \partial h/\partial \underline{y} - \partial g/\partial \underline{y} \cdot \partial h/\partial \underline{x}$. This entry maps ω_1 into ω_1 . The lower right hand block (delineated by the double hash marks) maps ω_2 into ω_2 . The off-diagonal elements

$$P_E^{2+1} \equiv \frac{e}{m} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \int_{R_2} (\cdot) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \underline{v}} P \, d\underline{x}$$

and

$$P_B^{2+1} \equiv \frac{e}{m} \int_{R_2} (\cdot) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \underline{v}} \times \underline{v} \right) P \, d\underline{x}$$

send elements of ω_2 into ω_1 . The off-diagonal elements

$$P_E^{1+2} \equiv \frac{e}{m} \int_{R_1} (\cdot) \frac{\partial f}{\partial \underline{v}} P \, dz$$

and

$$P_B^{1+2} \equiv \frac{e}{m} \int_{R_1} (\cdot) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \underline{v}} \times \underline{v} \right) P \, dz$$

send elements of ω_1 into ω_2 .

Since O^{ij} is skew-symmetric, property (i) is satisfied. We have proved the Jacobi identity for the first and last terms of Eq. (9) below. For the cross terms we have done so for a class of restricted functionals of the form of Eq. (7) with $k = 0$. [These properties depend upon integrations by parts and subsequent neglect of surface terms. We require $F_1(z, \chi_k^0)$ to vanish as $|v| \rightarrow \infty$ for all elements of Ω . The surface terms obtained by spatial integrations by parts can also be assumed to vanish.]⁷ Writing out Eq. (6) we obtain

$$\{\hat{F}, \hat{G}\} = \{\hat{F}, \hat{G}\}_1 + \{\hat{F}, \hat{G}\}_2 \quad (9)$$

where

$$\{\hat{F}, \hat{G}\}_1 \equiv \int_{R_1} \left\{ \int_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta f_{\alpha}}, \frac{\delta \hat{G}}{\delta f_{\alpha}} \right\} dz - \int_{R_1} \frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta f_{\alpha}} P_E^{2+1} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta \hat{G}}{\delta \underline{v}} \right) dz - \int_{R_1} \frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta f_{\alpha}} P_B^{2+1} \cdot \left(\frac{\delta \hat{G}}{\delta \underline{v}} \right) dz \right\} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\hat{F}, \hat{G}]_2 \equiv \sum_{\alpha} \left\{ \int_{R_2} \frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta \underline{E}} \cdot \underline{P}_E^{1+2} \left(\frac{\delta \hat{G}}{\delta \underline{f}_{\alpha}} \right) d\underline{x} + \int_{R_2} \frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta \underline{B}} \cdot \underline{P}_B^{1+2} \left(\frac{\delta \hat{G}}{\delta \underline{f}_{\alpha}} \right) d\underline{x} \right\} \\
 + \int_{R_2} \left(\frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta \underline{E}} \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \frac{\delta \hat{G}}{\delta \underline{B}} - \frac{\delta \hat{G}}{\delta \underline{B}} \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta \underline{E}} \right) d\underline{x} .
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Substituting the χ^i and $\hat{H}$ into Eq. (9) renders Eqs. (1), (2), and (3) in the form of Eq. (4). Observe that the first term of Eq. (10) yields the Vlasov equation without the coupling term; the last term of Eq. (11) yields the Maxwell equations in vacuum. The remaining terms yield the coupling terms.

Neglecting all the terms which contain $\underline{B}$ in Eq. (9) and $\hat{H}$ produces a bracket for the Vlasov-Poisson equations [i.e., Eqs (2) and (3) with $\underline{B} = 0$. The constraint $\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{E} = 0$ is required of functionals of Ω]. This system can be further reduced by eliminating $\underline{E}$ in the Vlasov equation in terms of f_{α} .[‡] The bracket thus obtained is

$$[\hat{F}, \hat{G}] = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{R_1} f_{\alpha}(z) \left\{ \frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta f_{\alpha}} , \frac{\delta \hat{G}}{\delta f_{\alpha}} \right\} dz . \tag{12}$$

From Eq. (12) we obtain the Vlasov equation in the form

$$\frac{\delta f_{\alpha}}{\delta t} = \left[\frac{\delta f_{\alpha}}{\delta f_{\alpha}} , \frac{\delta \hat{H}_E}{\delta f_{\alpha}} \right] = - \left[f_{\alpha} , H_P^{\alpha} \right] ,$$

where

[‡] This reduced form was derived by Allan N. Kaufman in collaboration with the author while both were guests at the Aspen Center for Physics. It has been brought to our attention that J. Gibbons has independently obtained this result.

$$\hat{H}_E\{f_\alpha\} = \int_\alpha \left(\int_{R_1} H_1^\alpha(z) f_\alpha(z) dz + \frac{1}{2} \int_{R_1} \int_{R_1} f_\alpha(z) f_\alpha(z') H_2^\alpha(z|z') dz dz' \right),$$

$H_1^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} m_\alpha v^2$ and $H_2^\alpha = e_\alpha^2 / (|\underline{r} - \underline{r}'|)$. H_P^α is the particle Hamiltonian which we observe is $H_P^\alpha = \delta \hat{H}_E / \delta f_\alpha$.

Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge the assistance of J. M. Greene and A. N. Kaufman. I have benefited greatly from many conversations with them. In addition I would like to acknowledge helpful conversations with R. M. Kulsrud, C. Oberman, G. Reiter, and L. Caldwell Morrison.

This work was supported by the United States Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-76-CH03073.

References

1. C. S. Gardner, J. Math. Phys., 12 (1975) 1548; V. E. Zakharov and L. Faddeev, Func. Anal. Applic. 5 (1972) 280; P. Lax, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975) 141.
2. K. Case, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40 (1979) 351.
3. B. Kupershmidt and Y. Manin, Funkts. Analiz Prilozhen. 11 (1977) 31.
4. K. Littlejohn, J. Math. Phys. 20 (1979) 2445.
5. P. Morrison and J. Greene, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 (1980) 790.
6. V. Arnold, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics, (Springer Verlag, N.Y. 1978) p. 208; R. Bishop and R. Crittendon, Geometry of Manifolds, (Academic Press, N.Y. 1964) p. 26.
7. J. Leech, Classical Mechanics (J. Wiley and Sons, N.Y. 1958) p. 97.
8. J. Gibbons, Dublin Inst. for Advanced Studies, Report No. DIAS-STD-80-22 (1980).

ALL CATEGORIES

R. Askew, Auburn University, Alabama
 S. T. Wu, Univ. of Alabama
 Geophysical Institute, Univ. of Alaska
 G. L. Johnston, Sonoma State Univ., California
 H. H. Kuehl, Univ. of S. California
 Institute for Energy Studies, Stanford University
 H. D. Campbell, University of Florida
 N. L. Oleson, University of South Florida
 W. M. Stacey, Georgia Institute of Technology
 Benjamin M., Iowa State University
 Magne Kristiansen, Texas Tech. University
 W. L. Wiese, Nat'l Bureau of Standards, Wash., D.C.
 Australian National University, Canberra
 C. N. Watson-Munro, Univ. of Sydney, Australia
 F. Cap. Inst. for Theo. Physics, Austria
 Dr. M. Heindler, Institute for Theoretical Physics
 Technical University of Graz
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Bruxelles, Belgium
 D. Palumbo, C. European Comm. B-1049-Brussels
 P. H. Sakana, Instituto de Fisica, Campinas, Brazil
 M. P. Bachynski, MPB Tech., Ste. Anne de Bellevue,
 Quebec, Canada
 C. R. James, University of Alberta, Canada
 T. W. Johnston, INRS-Energie, Vancouver, Quebec
 H. M. Skarsgard, Univ. of Saskatchewan, Canada
 Inst. of Physics, Academia Sinica, Peking,
 People's Republic of China
 Inst. of Plasma Physics, Hefei
 Anhwei Province, People's Republic of China
 Library, Tsing Hua Univ., Peking, People's
 Republic of China
 Zhengwu Li, Southwestern Inst. of Phys., Leshan,
 Sichuan Province, People's Republic of China
 Librarian, Culham Laboratory, Abingdon, England (2)
 A. M. Dupas Library, C.E.N.-G., Grenoble, France
 Central Res. Inst. for Physics, Hungary
 S. R. Sharma, Univ. of Rajasthan, JAIPUR-4, India
 R. Singal, Meerut College, India
 A. K. Sundaram, Phys. Res. Lab., India
 Biblioteca, Frascati, Italy
 Biblioteca, Milano, Italy
 G. Rostagni, Univ. Di Padova, Padova, Italy
 Preprint Library, Inst. de Fisica, Pisa, Italy
 Library, Plasma Physics Lab., Gokasho, Jpn., Japan
 S. Mori, Japan Atomic Energy Res. Inst., Tokai-Mura
 Research Information Center, Nagoya Univ., Japan
 S. Shoda, Tokyo Inst. of Tech., Japan
 Inst. of Space & Aero. Sci., Univ. of Tokyo
 T. Uchida, Univ. of Tokyo, Japan
 H. Yamato, Toshiba R. & D. Center, Japan
 M. Yoshikawa, JAERI, Tokai Res. Est., Japan
 Dr. Tsuneo Nakakita, Toshiba Corporation,
 Kawasaki-Ku Kawasaki, 210 Japan
 N. Yajima, Kyushu Univ., Japan
 R. England, Univ. Nacional Auto-noma de Mexico
 B. S. Liley, Univ. of Waikato, New Zealand
 S. A. Moss, Saab Univas Norge, Norway
 J. A. C. Cabral, Univ. de Lisboa, Portugal
 O. Petrus, A.L.I. CUZA Univ., Romania
 J. de Villiers, Atomic Energy Bd., South Africa
 A. Maurech, Comisaria De La Energy y Recursos
 Minerales, Spain
 Library, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden
 Cen. de Res. En Physdes Plasmas, Switzerland
 Librarian, Font-Instituut Voor Plasma-Fysica,
 The Netherlands

Bibliothek, Stuttgart, West Germany
 R. D. Buhler, Univ. of Stuttgart,
 West Germany
 Max-Planck-Inst. fur Plasmaphysik,
 W. Germany
 Nucl. Res. Estab., Julich, West Germany
 K. Schindler, Inst. Fur Theo. Physik,
 W. Germany

EXPERIMENTAL
THEORETICAL

M. H. Brennan, Flinders Univ. Australia
 H. Barnard, Univ. of British Columbia, Canada
 S. Screenivasan, Univ. of Calgary, Canada
 J. Radet, C.E.N.-B.P., Fontenay-aux-Roses,
 France
 Prof. Schatzman, Observatoire de Nice,
 France
 S. C. Sharma, Univ. of Cape Coast, Ghana
 R. N. Ayer, Laser Section, India
 B. Buti, Physical Res. Lab., India
 L. K. Chavda, S. Gujarat Univ., India
 I. M. Las Das, Banaras Hindu Univ., India
 S. Cuperman, Tel Aviv Univ., Israel
 E. Greenspan, Nur. Res. Center, Israel
 P. Rosenau, Israel Inst. of Tech., Israel
 Int'l. Center for Theo. Physics, Trieste, Italy
 I. Kawakami, Nihon University, Japan
 T. Nakayama, Ritsumeikan Univ., Japan
 S. Nagao, Tohoku Univ., Japan
 J. I. Sakai, Toyama Univ., Japan
 S. Tjøtta, Univ. I Bergen, Norway
 M. A. Hellberg, Univ. of Natal, South Africa
 H. Wilhelmsson, Chalmers Univ. of Tech.,
 Sweden
 Astro. Inst., Sonnenborgh Obs.,
 The Netherlands
 T. J. Boyd, Univ. College of North Wales
 K. Hubner, Univ. Heidelberg, W. Germany
 H. J. Kaeppeler, Univ. of Stuttgart,
 West Germany
 K. H. Spatschek, Univ. Essen, West Germany

EXPERIMENTAL
ENGINEERING

B. Grek, Univ. du Quebec, Canada
 P. Lukar, Komenského Univ., Czechoslovakia
 G. Horikoshi, Nat'l Lab for High Energy Physics,
 Tsukuba-Gun, Japan

EXPERIMENTAL

F. J. Paoloni, Univ. of Wollongong, Australia
 J. Kistemaker, Font Inst. for Atomic
 & Molec. Physics, The Netherlands

THEORETICAL

F. Verheest, Inst. Vor Theo. Merha, Belgium
 J. Teichmann, Univ. of Montreal, Canada
 T. Kahan, Univ. Paris VII, France
 R. K. Chhajlani, India
 S. K. Trehan, Panjab Univ., India
 T. Namioka, Osaka City Univ., Japan
 H. Narumi, Univ. of Hiroshima, Japan
 Korea Atomic Energy Res. Inst., Korea
 E. T. Karlson, Uppsala Univ., Sweden
 L. Stenflo, Univ. of UMEA, Sweden
 J. R. Saraf, New Univ., United Kingdom