DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF THE
ELECTROCHEMICAL ETCHING TECHNIQUE

Annual Progress Report
(Contract No. DE-AS05-76EV04814)

August, 1980

MASTER

School of Nuclear Engineering
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, Georgia 30332

DISCLAIMER

This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1. Summary.	1
2. Investigation of High-Energy Medical Therapy Accelerators. . . .	4
3. Neutron Generation in a Heterogeneous Human Phantom.	27
4. Two Track-Diameter Phenomenon.	33
5. Intermediate Energy Neutron Studies.	42
6. Boron Neutron Capture Therapy.	50
7. Thermal Neutron Studies.	52
References	54
Biosketches.	56

1. SUMMARY

This annual progress report documents further advances in the development and application of electrochemical etching of polycarbonate foils (ECEPF) for fast, intermediate, and thermal neutron dosimetry as well as alpha particle dosimetry. The fast (> 1.1 MeV) and thermal neutron dosimetry techniques were applied to a thorough investigation of the neutron contamination inherent in and about the primary x-ray beam of several medical therapy electron accelerators. Because of the small size of ECEPF dosimeters in comparison to other neutron meters, they have an unusually low perturbation of the radiation field under measurement. Due to this small size and the increased sensitivity of the ECEPF dosimeter over current techniques of measuring neutrons in a high photon field, the fast neutron contamination in the primary x-ray beam of all the investigated accelerators was measured with precision and found to be greater than that suggested by the other, more common, neutron dosimetry methods. This fast neutron dose component ranged from $\sim 0.2\%$ (neutron dose equivalent per x-ray rad using $RBE_n = 10$) for the Clinac-20, 18 MV x-ray beam, to $\sim 1.3\%$ of the x-ray dose in the BBC-45 betatron primary beam. We also found that fast neutron generation continued to increase between threshold and 45 MV rather than plateauing in the 20-25 MV region as other techniques have suggested. The thermal neutron dose measured by the ECEPF dosimeter was found to be approximately the same as that measured by other current techniques. During the course of our neutron investigations we also discovered a heretofore unreported (in medical therapy accelerators) heavy charged particle fluence in the primary x-ray beams generated by ≥ 25 MeV electron bombardment. This charged particle component is, in most cases,

15-20% of the fast neutron track density and has an average energy at the dosimeter (assuming certain conditions) of ~ 4 MeV in the 25 MeV betatron x-ray beam. Our data suggests this charged particle component may be due to deuterons liberated by (γ, d) and (γ, np) interactions in the high Z accelerator components touched by the primary beam.

In a tangent field to the medical therapy accelerator research we have initiated an investigation into what may be a more important, albeit less controllable, area of photoneutron generation in high-energy x-ray therapy situations, viz. the human body as a neutron generator. Using a heterogeneous human phantom, our preliminary results at the bone-tissue interface show a fast neutron dose component which exceeds that found on the surface due to accelerator-produced photoneutron contamination. These fast neutron dose measurements range from $\sim 1.5\%$ (neutron dose-equivalent per x-ray rad) in the 25 MeV beam treatment volume to $\sim 4\%$ of the x-ray dose in the 45 MeV betatron treatment volume. Many more tests are called for in this area with emphasis on the neutron dose at different tumor depths, bone-tissue interfaces, and measurements both inside and outside the primary beam treatment volume for different beam cross sections.

Further advances were made concerning the phenomenon of two groups of tracks--one large and the other small--reported by Stillwagon (1978)⁽¹⁾ and questioned by Su (1979).⁽²⁾ The resolution of this question is ultimately important to the health physicist because it concerns the mechanism of energy transfer or LET at the microscopic level. Our research has confirmed the existence of two distinct groupings of different diameter tracks. One set of tracks varies about a mean diameter of ~ 50 microns and the other set about a mean diameter of ~ 90 microns. Our experimental technique eliminated many of the scattering problems inherent, to varying

degrees, in both previous investigator's methods. These results were obtained using our standard electrochemical etching method consisting of an equal volume solution of 45% KOH and C_2H_5OH and etching at 1000 V, 2kHz, at room temperature for ninety minutes. Further tests are being planned which will utilize our casting technique, described in this report, to incorporate different amounts of C and O molecules into the polycarbonate to help finally resolve questions of energy transfer mode.

Our attempt to use the ECEPF dosimeter in conjunction with tablet radiators, albedo techniques and various filtering processes to measure the intermediate neutron energy dose has not been very satisfactory; i.e., while given improved results, it has not allowed us the accuracy we require in relation to known intermediate neutron fluences. In order to resolve this impass, our current direction of research in this area involves utilizing our polycarbonate casting method to incorporate the radiator (either 6Li or ^{10}B) into the polymer. We are also investigating the use of plastics other than polycarbonate that, while not having some of the benefits of polycarbonate, have lower energy thresholds for track formation and can thus be used in conjunction with the ECEPF to help measure dose in the entire neutron energy range.

An adaptation of our thermal neutron dosimetry technique is being utilized to determine the ^{10}B content of blood examined after the injection of a ^{10}B -loaded compound into the patient. This research is related to the Boron Neutron Capture Therapy (BNCT) procedure under investigation at the Georgia Tech Research Reactor. At present, a calibration curve of ^{10}B concentration vs track density is being generated so that ^{10}B concentrations in blood and tissue samples can be determined in future clinical trials.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

2. INVESTIGATION OF HIGH-ENERGY MEDICAL THERAPY ACCELERATORS

A primary tool in current cancer radiation therapy techniques is the high-energy x-ray beam generated in the betatron and linear accelerator. The bremsstrahlung x-rays from these medical accelerators are produced by impinging high-energy electrons upon metal targets. Fig. I gives a simplified illustration of the major components found in the primary beam of a typical accelerator. The components shown above the dashed line are found in the linac, and those located below the dashed line are common to both the linac and betatron.⁽³⁾ These components are used either for the production of x-rays or shaping of the x-ray beam cross-sectional profile and are typically made of such elements as lead, tungsten, platinum, aluminum, copper, or gold, usually in combinations. Table I shows the composition of the accelerator components evaluated in this research.

At high x-ray energies (> 6 MV) the threshold for photonuclear particle production is exceeded in most high Z elements as shown in Table II. Consequently, all of the components located in the primary beam shown in Fig. I must be considered as photoneutron, and to some extent charged particle generators. The dose delivered to the patient and operating personnel by the resultant particle fields, especially the neutron, is of concern to both the radiation therapist and the health physicist.

The majority of photoneutrons produced by photons in the energy range between neutron production thresholds and ~ 30 MeV are a result of the "giant photonuclear resonance" cross section. Fig. II illustrates an example of this cross section. For high Z materials the photon energy for

SIMPLIFIED DIAGRAM ELECTRON ACCELERATOR

FIGURE-I SIMPLIFIED DIAGRAM ILLUSTRATING THE ACCELERATOR COMPONENTS EXPOSED TO THE PRIMARY BEAM. THOSE COMPONENTS LOCATED ABOVE THE DASHED LINE ARE FOUND IN THE LINAC AND THOSE LOCATED BELOW THE DASHED LINE ARE COMMON TO BOTH THE LINAC AND BETATRON.(3)

TABLE I

High Z Elements Found in Accelerators Investigated in this Research⁽³⁾

ACCELERATOR	ENERGY	COLLIMATOR	BEAM FILTER	TARGET	TARGET THICKNESS (mm)
Allis-Chalmers 25 MeV Betatron	25	Pb	Al	Pt	1.6
Brown-Boveri 45 MeV Betatron	45	W & Pb	Pb	Pt	2.0
Clinac-20	18				

TABLE II

High Z Elements Commonly Used in Accelerator
Components and Some Parameters for Neutron⁽⁴⁾
Production by Giant Photonuclear Resonance

ELEMENT	ATOMIC WEIGHT	ABUNDANCE (%)	(γ, n) THRESHOLD ENERGY (MeV)	σ max (mb)	ENERGY AT σ max (MeV)
Al	27	100.00	13.03	14	22.00
Cu	63	69.17	10.84	70	16.00
Cu	65	30.83	9.91	75	16.70
Cu	Nat.	-	9.91	70	17.00
W	186	28.40	5.75	400	14.00
W	Nat.	-	6.20	400	14.00
Pt	Nat.	-	6.10	500	13.90
Au	197	100.00	8.07	540	13.80
Pb	206	25.10	8.12	514	13.59
Pb	207	21.70	6.73	481	13.56
Pb	208	52.30	7.38	491	13.46
Pb	Nat.	-	6.73	-	-

FIGURE-II. TYPICAL GIANT PHOTONUCLEAR RESONANCE CROSS-SECTION AS A FUNCTION OF END POINT BREMSSTRAHLUNG ENERGY

the maximum giant resonance cross section is given approximately by $k_0 = 80A^{-1/3}$ MeV and varies between 13-18 MeV depending on the material.⁽⁴⁾ The maximum cross section, $\sigma_{\max}$, is found from 3-6 MeV beyond the photoneutron production threshold and the peak full width at half maximum for heavy nuclei varies between 3-5 MeV. As can be seen in Fig. II, at higher photon energies beyond $E_{\max}$ corresponding to $\sigma_{\max}$ (γ , multiple n) interactions begin to predominate. The neutron energy spectra generated in the giant photonuclear resonance region results from neutrons produced by two distinct interaction processes: the "evaporation spectrum" and the "direct-emission spectrum".⁽⁵⁾ The relationship of this giant resonance production to other neutron generation cross sections is shown as a function of photon energy for lead, up to and including the photopion cross section, in Fig. III. Fig. IV illustrates a typical photoneutron energy spectrum generated when the high Z target Ta is bombarded with high energy electrons. The neutrons in the Maxwellian shaped portion of the curve are generated by being evaporated from the compound nucleus formed as the energy from the photon is transferred by its electric field to the nucleus; thus causing an oscillation induction where the protons as a group move oppositely to the neutrons as a group.⁽⁶⁾ This distribution of neutron energies, which resembles a fission-spectrum and has an approximately isotropic angular distribution of emission, accounts for 85-90% of the photoneutrons found in the primary x-ray beam. The average energies of the neutron spectra range from ~ 1.3 MeV for the 18 MV x-ray beam of the Clinac-20 to ~ 3 MeV for the BBC-45. As mentioned, this portion of the spectrum is adequately described by a Maxwellian distribution and can be given by:⁽⁷⁾

$$\frac{dN}{dE_n} = \frac{E_n}{2T} \cdot \exp(-E_n/T) \quad (\text{normalized to unit area})$$

Figure-III Qualitative Comparison of Neutron-Producing Mechanism Cross-Sections as a Function of End-Point Bremsstrahlung Energy. From IAEA (4)

Figure-IV Accelerator Contamination Neutron Energy Spectrum Generated by Ta Target with Peak Bremsstrahlung Energies of 20 and 30 MeV, Compared to a Fission Spectrum. From NBS-97 (26)

where, T = the nuclear temperature (in units of MeV), characteristic of the target nucleus and its excitation energy. From this we see that the spectrum will peak at a most probable energy of $\hat{E}_n = T$ and that the average energy is $\bar{E}_n = 2T$.⁽⁷⁾ The remaining 10-15% of photoneutrons, responsible for the "bumps" in the spectral curve shown in Fig. IV, are found in the high-energy tail attached to the fission-like spectrum. These neutrons are produced by the direct-emission or "photoionization" process in which a neutron (or n-p pair) in the nucleus receives all of an interacting photon's energy and is ejected at that energy minus the binding energy of the neutron to its nucleus. These directly emitted photoneutrons exhibit an angular distribution that is slightly peaked at 90° to the direction of the incident photon. Theoretically, this portion of the spectra could contain neutrons with an energy only a few MeV less than the end point maximum of the bremsstrahlung therapy beam. In practice, however, we do not expect any significant contribution from the neutrons above ~ 20 MeV even in the 45 MeV betatron beam.

The dosimeter used in this study is based on electrochemical etching of particle tracks in low background (~ 2 tracks/cm²) polycarbonate foils. The fast (> 1.1 MeV) neutron dose is a function of the number of recoil particle tracks produced primarily by recoil oxygen and carbon nuclei and alpha particles from (n, α) reactions with atomic constituents of the polycarbonate. The thermal neutron dose component is measured by using specially designed ^6LiF radiator tablets in conjunction with the polycarbonate foils and measuring the reaction particle track density as a result of alphas and tritons from the $^6\text{Li}(n, \alpha)^3\text{H}$ thermal neutron reaction. The charged particle dose is determined from the direct density of damage tracks formed in the polycarbonate.

As the recoil reaction or direct charged particle traverses the polycarbonate, damage regions are left behind around their trajectories. These regions are preferentially etched by the electrochemical etching sufficiently to be seen by the unaided eye and evaluated with low ($\sim 100\times$) magnification. The electrochemical etching of polycarbonate foil, ECEPF, dosimeter has been shown to have a dose-equivalent or rem response (as seen in Fig. V) over a wide neutron energy range once the threshold energy for track formation has been exceeded.^(1,2,23)

In this study, multi-layer packets of 250-micron thick Transilwrap polycarbonate foil layers were exposed to 500-2000 rads of high-energy x-rays, measured at the maximum dose point and typical TSD's, in the center of a 10 cm x 10 cm primary beam of a Varian Clinac-20 (18 MV x-ray beam), an Allis-Chalmers 25 MeV betatron and a Brown-Boveri 45 MeV betatron in both the 45 and 33 MV x-ray modes. The ECEPF dosimeter was irradiated in each accelerator beam with care taken to insure no significant neutron reflection was apparent from the treatment table, floor, etc. After exposure, the polycarbonate foils were electrochemically etched in an equal volume solution of C_2H_5OH and 45% KOH at 1000 V and 2 kHz for ninety minutes at room temperature.

Charged Particle Measurement

Fig. VI exhibits the polycarbonate dosimeter response as a function of the individual 250-micron thick foil layers in the multi-layer stacks exposed to the primary x-ray beam in each of the investigated accelerators. As can be seen, the response with increasing layer depth is relatively uniform with the 18 MV linear accelerator, suggesting only the fast neutron dose is being measured. Notice, however, the dosimeter response in its initial layers when exposed to the 25 MV x-ray beam and the even more

Figure-V Neutron Sensitivity (tracks/n) as a Function of Neutron Energy. Obtained by Sohrabi Using Electrochemically Etched 250 micron Polycarbonate Foils. These Data are Superimposed on Conventional Etching Data and Compared to the ICRP Rem Curve. From Sohrabi(23), Jozefowicz(24), and Becker(25).

FIGURE-VI POLYCARBONATE FOIL RESPONSE AS A FUNCTION OF 250 MICRON LAYERS WHEN EXPOSED TO THE PRIMARY X-RAY BEAM OF SEVERAL MEDICAL THERAPY ACCELERATORS.

pronounced effect in the initial layers in the 33 MV and 45 MV beams. Here the data exhibits a track density of, in some cases, 15-20% higher in the first layers before leveling off and remaining relatively stable throughout successive layers (up to fourteen 250-micron thick layers were evaluated in the BBC-45 MV studies). The results of a subsequent series of tests evaluating the effect of different thicknesses of thin (40 micron) polyethelene absorbers on this fluence can be seen in Fig. VII.

If we assume this measured phenomenon is due to a charged particle component, the most likely candidates are protons, alphas, or deuterons. While we know that protons are liberated from the high Z nucleus in much the same fashion as neutrons⁽⁵⁾ (although at lower fluences due to the more rigorous escape ordeal), tests to date have failed to show that the polycarbonate dosimeter can measure the proton track unless specially treated with U.V. light.⁽⁸⁾ Both theoretical and experimental evaluations of the alpha particle generation in the energy range of medical therapy accelerators suggest that any alpha track densities (tracks/cm²) produced will have less than one thousandth the track density of the photoneutrons.^(4,5,6) During the high energy (> 25 MeV) direct-emission process there is the possibility of not only measurable neutron and proton generation but also the possibility of deuteron (or n-p pair) production. Since the separation energy for deuteron emission from the high Z nucleus is in most cases quite high (e.g., 15 MeV for Cu) and the penetrability of the Coulombic barrier quite rigorous, deuteron emission is often considered insignificant at the medical therapy beam energies in question. However, scant empirical measurements have suggested this deuteron component to be in some cases as much as $\sim 10\%$ of the measured neutron fluence in x-ray beams produced by high-energy electron bombardment of metal

Figure-VII Range Determination of Charged Particle Found in 25 MeV Betatron X-ray Beam. Track Density in Polycarbonate Foil as a Function of Absorber Thickness in Air (Calculated from Thin Polyethylene Absorbers).

targets.^(9,10,11) A possible explanation for this higher than predicted yield of photodeuterons is that the directly emitted photoneutron or photoproton may pick up another nucleon while escaping from the nucleus.⁽⁵⁾ With this "pick-up" process in mind, statistical models have been generated which afford an order of magnitude agreement with experimental results.⁽¹²⁾

With the above in mind and comparing the range curves for alphas, protons and deuterons as illustrated in Fig. VIII with our experimental determinations of the range of the observed charged particle fluence from Fig. VII, we see that the most likely composition of this fluence incident on the dosimeter is ~ 4 MeV deuterons. However, since no evidence has been uncovered that documents the ability of the ECEPF technique to measure deuterons, further testing utilizing "clean" deuteron beams of various energies is called for.

Thermal Neutron Measurements

Fig. IX shows a comparison between the thermal neutron dose measurements made using the ECEPF dosimeter and one of the more prevalent techniques currently used in medical therapy accelerators, indium foil activation using a water moderator. The figures are in thermal neutron dose per x-ray rad and exhibit relatively close agreement for both the 25 and 45 MeV betatron, considering the very small doses measured ($\sim 1\frac{1}{2}$ mrem with the ECEPF dosimeter).

Thermal neutron dose measurements were also conducted with the ECEPF technique at various locations inside the patient treatment room, at the operator console, and at other adjacent work areas during a typical patient treatment use of the 25 MeV accelerator at Emory University Winslip Clinic, Atlanta, Georgia. Fig. X gives both a sketch of the measured area and the

Figure-VIII Mean Range (mg/cm²) in Air of Protons, Deuterons, and Alpha Particles as a Function of Energy. Adapted from Lapp and Andrews (31) and Morgan and Turner (32).

ACCELERATOR	SLOW NEUTRON DCSE PER RAD X-RAY	
	IN + H ₂ O MOD.	⁶ Li-ECEOPF —
A-C 25	4.3×10^{-6}	1.4×10^{-6}
BBC-45	2.9×10^{-6}	1.4×10^{-6}

FIGURE-IX THE ELECTROCHEMICAL ETCHING OF POLYCARBONATE FOIL (ECEPF) MEASUREMENT OF THE THERMAL NEUTRON DOSE EQUIVALENT PER X-RAY (RAD) FOR TWO HIGH ENERGY MEDICAL ACCELERATORS, COMPARED TO THE MOST COMMON TECHNIQUE NOW IN USE FOR MEASUREMENT OF THERMAL NEUTRONS IN HIGH PHOTON FIELDS.

FIGURE-X THERMAL NEUTRON AREA DOSIMETRY OF THE 25 MEV ALLIS-CHALMERS TREATMENT ROOM AT EMORY UNIVERSITY WINSLIP CLINIC, ATLANTA GEORGIA. THE ECEPF+LiF THERMAL NEUTRON DOSIMETER WAS USED FOR THESE MEASUREMENTS. (These measurements were taken for a time = ~20 min.)

thermal neutron doses recorded at particular locations. As one would expect, a fairly uniform thermal neutron dose existed in the treatment room due to the thermalizing properties of the concrete floor and walls. The measurements at the operator console were negligible.

Fast Neutron Measurements

Fig. XI exhibits a comparison between the fast neutron dose equivalent per x-ray rad determined by the ECEPF dosimeter and a cross section of other current methods of measuring fast neutron contamination in medical therapy x-ray beams. As can be seen the ECEPF technique suggests that the fast neutron dose is considerably larger than previous, less sensitive measurement techniques have exhibited. Fig. XII shows graphically a similar comparison to Fig. XI. Here we note that conventional methods of measuring the fast neutron contamination in x-ray beams exhibit a plateau, or at least considerably smaller values, in the 20-25 MV range; this would be consistent with expected fast neutron yields only if we were bombarding a thin (i.e., ≤ 1 radiation length thick) target with high-energy electrons. This can be understood from Fig. XIII, which shows the increasing photoneutron generation as a function of increasing target thickness and of bombarding primary electron energy (which, of course, is directly related to the maximum x-ray energy). As the thickness of the target under electron bombardment increases to greater than one radiation length (X_0 in the graph) the photoneutron generation no longer plateaus around the 20-25 MeV range, as it tends to do at one radiation length or less target thickness. Instead it continues to increase as the primary electron energy increases. As shown earlier in Fig. I, we must consider not only the target but all else in the primary x-ray beam as a photoneutron generator. The ECEPF dosimeter data suggests that if we consider the target, main

C

THERAPY MACHINE	MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE			
	IN + H ₂ O MODERATOR (a)	IN + PARAFIN MODERATOR (a)	P ₂ O ₅ ACT. (a)	ECEOPF (a)
CLINAC-20	—	—	—	0.2
AC-25	0.51	0.45	0.28	0.76
BBC-33	—	—	—	1.04
BBC-45	0.54	0.39	0.73	1.18

(a) = % N_F - DOSE EQUIVALENT PER X-RAY DOSE - RAD

FIGURE-XI THE FAST NEUTRON PERCENT DOSE EQUIVALENT PER X-RAY (RAD) AS MEASURED IN THE PRIMARY BEAM BY THE ELECTROCHEMICAL ETCHING OF POLYCARBONATE FOIL TECHNIQUE, COMPARED TO OTHER COMMON MEASUREMENT METHODS.(3)

FIGURE-XII GRAPHICAL COMPARISON OF FIG.-XI. THE ECEPF DOSIMETER RESPONSE TO FAST NEUTRONS IN THE PRIMARY BEAM OF SEVERAL HIGH ENERGY MEDICAL THERAPY ACCELERATORS, COMPARED TO OTHER NEUTRON MEASUREMENT METHODS.

FIGURE-XIII NEUTRON YIELDS FROM ELECTRONS INCIDENT ON A LEAD TARGET OF VARIOUS THICKNESSES. ADAPTED FROM (4)

collimator, and flattening filter as significant neutron generators (as the literature suggests,^(3,7) and our further testing has confirmed), we do indeed approach a "thick" target situation and find the photoneutron production to increase between threshold and 45 MeV rather than plateauing in the 20-25 MeV range as data from other less sensitive techniques have suggested.

To determine the ECEPF dosimeter response to the photoneutrons generated by the various accelerator components (as illustrated in Fig. I) and at the same time determine the individual contribution of each component, the following test was performed. The dosimeter was exposed to 1000 rads of x-rays in the standard testing configuration (the same as our other fast neutron evaluations) except in each test one of the accelerator components was either physically removed from the primary beam (as with the flattening filter) or adjusted to give an indication of contribution (e.g., the lower collimation jaws were evaluated at a closed position, 10 x 10 cm, and 30 x 30 cm while using a 10 x 10 cm dosimeter foil). From this data the main photoneutron generators in a typical patient treatment set-up were the target plus main collimator (78%) and the flattening filter (20%). This study was performed on the BBC-45 MeV betatron only, and the findings are consistent with those in the literature.^(3,7)

3. NEUTRON GENERATION IN A HETEROGENEOUS HUMAN PHANTOM

The photoneutrons generated inside the human body during high-energy radiotherapy treatments differ significantly from those produced by the accelerator components. While the magnitude of accelerator produced photoneutrons is generally controllable (e.g., use of different Z materials, neutron shielding, etc.) the neutrons produced by high-energy photon interactions with the body are an unavoidable situation in accelerator radiotherapy. Also, unlike the accelerator produced neutrons which are predominantly found within the primary x-ray beam, the neutrons produced by the human body are found to varying extents, depending on the treatment field size, both inside and outside the treatment volume. Thus, for these reasons and since neutrons have a much different depth dose dropoff compared to x-rays as illustrated in Fig. XIV and Fig. XV, it is important that the medical physicist knows the extent and magnitude of these parameters for various treatment situations so that the optimum effective treatment plan can be formulated.

Our research in this area utilizes a heterogeneous human phantom consisting of a 30 cm diameter x 45 cm high cylinder (0.5 cm thick polyethelene wall) filled with Goodman's tissue-equivalent liquid⁽¹³⁾ in which was placed a 4 cm diameter x 33 cm high cylinder (0.3 cm thick polyethelene wall) containing a bone-equivalent liquid.⁽¹⁴⁾ The elemental composition of these equivalents compared to those of reference man can be seen in Table III. Also shown in Table III are the thresholds for neutron generation and maximum cross sections for each element which appreciably contributes to the photoneutron fluence. In this study to date, neutron dose data has been measured at the bone-tissue interface, both anterior and

Figure-XIV Absorbed Dose Per Unit Surface Dose (rad) as a Function of Depth in Water, Calculated for Different Photon Energies. From Becker (27) After Joffre (28)

Figure-XV Calculated Dose Equivalent (rem) as a Function of Depth in a Phantom for Different Energies. From Becker (27) After Auxier (29)

TABLE III

Elemental Composition (% by weight) of Heterogeneous Phantom in this Research
Compared to Reference Man (33), also a Listing of the Photoneutron Thresholds
and Maximum Cross Sections for the Major Contributing Elements ⁽⁴⁾

ELEMENT	REFERENCE MAN		BONE EQUIVALENT LIQUID (14)	TISSUE EQUIVALENT LIQUID (13)	PHOTONEUTRON	
	SKELETON	TISSUE*			THRESHOLD (MeV)	$\sigma_{\max}$ (mb)
H	7.0	10.2	6.5	10.2	-	-
C	22.7	12.3	19.1	12.0	18.72	7
N	3.9	3.5	3.9	3.6	10.55	15
O	48.6	72.9	53.0	74.2	15.67	8
Na	0.3		0.2		~ 13	~ 8
Mg	0.1		0.2		~ 16.5	~ 9
P	7.0		6.8		~ 13	21
Ca	9.9		10.3		15.63	17
S	0.2		-		~ 15	11
Cl	0.1		-		~ 10.5	28
K	0.2		-		~ 13.5	24

* S + K + P + Na + Mg = 1.1%

posterior to the primary x-ray beam, for a typical radiotherapy treatment set-up in the 25 MeV betatron beam and the 33 and 45 MV beam modes of the 45 MeV betatron. Fig. XVI exhibits the neutron dose-equivalent per x-ray rad (as determined at the dosimeter depth) increase as a function of maximum x-ray energy of the primary beam. For this preliminary test, the treatment depth (to the bone-tissue interface) was 10 cm and the delivered x-ray dose was ~ 1000 rad for each accelerator. As can be seen in Fig. XVI, the neutron dose increases appreciably between the 25 and 33 MV beams (1.5% to 3.21%) and then increases at a somewhat slower rate between the 33 and 45 MV beams (3.2% to 4%). While the magnitude of neutron dose is hard to compare with theory (because of the wide variation depending on treatment set-up, depth dose, phantom composition, etc.), this is fairly consistent with the literature which predicts a large neutron fluence increase between 20 and 30 MeV with a more gradual increase above 30 MeV. (15)

Current tests are underway to evaluate the neutron dose at various depths in the phantom for tissue only and at all positions on the bone-tissue interface. A myriad of tests are planned for this study in the future, some of which include the use of polymers with lower thresholds for track formation (e.g., CR-39) which will enable us to measure recoil protons from intermediate neutron energy interactions that may be of primary importance in the unwanted dose delivered outside the treatment area.

Figure-XVI Change in Neutron Dose Equivalent Per X-ray Dose (rad), from Neutrons Generated in a Heterogeneous Human Phantom as a Function of End Point Bremsstrahlung Energy. Taken at the Bone-Tissue Interface on Bone Surface Anterior and Posterior to Primary X-ray Beam.

4. TWO TRACK-DIAMETER PHENOMENON

This study was initiated due to the somewhat different conclusions two of our previous investigators (Stillwagon and Su) arrived at as to whether the two track-diameter phenomenon exists or not and how the latent tracks are formed in the polycarbonate during alpha particle irradiation.

Stillwagon (1978)⁽¹⁾ first reported that our ECEPF dosimeter was recording two distinct groupings of different diameter tracks during irradiation studies with ^{239}Pu , ^{241}Am , and ^{244}Cm alpha sources. These two sets of tracks varied about a mean diameter of 3.5-4.0 microns for the smaller and 10.0-10.5 microns for the larger as shown in the histogram of Fig. XVII. Stillwagon's data was generated using a source to dosimeter geometry configuration that was enclosed in a vacuum-sealed cylinder in which the air pressure could be varied to control the change in alpha particle energy (due to air absorption) incident on the polycarbonate dosimeter. The source to dosimeter distance was held constant but no collimation was used due to the weak alpha sources used. Consequently, this wide-angle geometry could have produced scatter tracks of various diameters at the detector surface. Stillwagon theorized that these two distinct groupings of tracks were caused (1) by alpha particles with energies near the Bragg peak (as shown in Fig. XVIII) accounting for the small diameter tracks and (2) recoil carbon and oxygen nuclei (from Rutherford scattering with high-energy alphas) accounting for the larger diameter tracks.

In the studies reported by Su (1979)⁽²⁾ a 2.0 microcurie ^{239}Pu source was used, but the alpha energy incident on the polycarbonate dosimeter was varied by changing the source to dosimeter distance in air at STP. The

Figure-XVII Relative Frequency of Track Diameters after Alpha Particle Exposure for a Polycarbonate Foil Etched One Hour at 800 V, 2 kHz, 24°C, in 45% KOH.
From the Research of Stillwagon (1).

Figure-XVIII Efficiency of Track Production as a Function of Absorber Thickness.³ Polycarbonate Absorber Thickness was Calculated from g/cm^3 of Air. Count Rate was $1.6516 \times 10^7/24$ hours (from Stillwagon (1))

source to dosimeter distance was collimated; however, the collimator control was somewhat questionable and by definition this arrangement assured a variation of angles at which the alpha particle strikes the foil as the energy distribution was changed with distance. Su's conclusion was, basically, that his research had failed to confirm the existence of the two-track phenomenon. He went on to explain the variation in track diameter seen in his research and exhibited in the histogram of Fig. XIX as a function of the incident alpha energy and the depth in the polycarbonate foil at which the alpha reached its maximum, or Bragg peak, ionization point.

Our research into this question has exhibited that two distinct groups of different diameter tracks do exist in the ECEPF dosimeter foil when used to record alpha particles with energies near the Bragg peak. The experimental apparatus used in this study consisted of a ^{239}Pu source (2.0 microcurie) and dosimeter geometry held constant with a machined 0.5 inch ID collimator; this configuration was enclosed in a vacuum-sealed cylinder in which the air pressure could be varied. To insure that we would be irradiating with alphas near the Bragg peak using this geometry a track production efficiency vs alpha energy (or absorber thickness) curve was generated (see Fig. XX). An Ortec Surface barrier detector connected to a Model 8100 Canberra multichannel analyzer was used as the detector in the geometry described above to determine the total alpha counts and peak energies at various air densities. The Ortec detector was replaced by a 250-micron thick polycarbonate foil in the same geometry position and 24-hour irradiations were taken at the same air densities as used with the Ortec. From a comparison of the polycarbonate data with that of the surface barrier data, an efficiency of track formation as a function of

Figure-XIX Relative Track Diameter Distributions of 5.05 MeV Alpha Particles for Different Etching Times under the Standard Etching Conditions as Used by Su (2).

Figure-XX Track Production Efficiency as a Function of Absorber Thickness. Polycarbonate Effective Thickness was Calculated from g/cm^2 Air. Effective Attenuated Alpha Energy Determined by Bethe Curve (30)

equivalent polycarbonate thickness (calculated from the different air densities) was determined. From this curve an air density corresponding to a polycarbonate absorber thickness of ~ 26 microns (and an incident alpha energy of ~ 2.2 MeV) was chosen as the point of maximum efficiency. Five different 24-hour irradiation measurements were taken using 250-micron thick Transilwrap polycarbonate foil dosimeters. The track diameters in each of the foils were measured using a light microscope with 430X magnification and a Filar micrometer. The result of this investigation, as can be seen in Fig. XXI, clearly shows a frequency of smaller tracks varying about a mean diameter of ~ 50 microns and a frequency of larger tracks varying about a mean diameter of ~ 90 microns. The difference in absolute track diameters in this research as compared to that of Stillwagon shown in Fig. XVII is due to the increased etch rate and track amplification found using our ECE parameters of an equal volume solution of 45% KOH and C_2H_5OH etched at 1000 V and 2 kHz for ninety minutes at room temperature.

Thus, as stated previously, this research confirms the existence of the two track phenomenon. The next step in this matter is to determine why we are seeing the two track diameters. This is an important consideration since the linear energy transferred (and subsequent dose delivered) on a cellular level would be quite different for a 4 MeV carbon or oxygen recoil (the maximum energy transferred in Rutherford scattering with a 5.1 MeV alpha) as compared with an equivalent energy alpha linear energy transfer. With this type of energy transfer we could have a direct mechanism for chromosome breakage and irreparable damage could be caused in a DNA chain by the constituent atoms simply being knocked out of the chain. Of course, this damage mechanism would be going on in addition to the radial distribution of local dose deposited by secondary electrons as described by

FIGURE-XXI. DISTRIBUTION OF TRACK DIAMETERS FOUND IN A POLYCARBONATE FOIL AFTER IRRADIATION BY Pu-239 ALPHAS ATTENUATED TO 2.2 MEV THRU 26 MICRONS OF EFFECTIVE POLYCARBONATE AND ETCHED IN AN EQUAL VOLUME SOLUTION OF 45% KOH AND C_2H_5OH AT 1000 V, 2 KHZ, AT ROOM TEMPERATURE FOR 90 MINUTES.

Katz.⁽¹⁶⁾ Our current studies in this matter are concerned with adapting our polycarbonate casting method (as described later in this report) so as to incorporate various percentage amounts of carbon and oxygen molecules into the original $C_{16}H_{14}O_3$. In this way we will be able to determine the effective importance of recoil nuclei from Rutherford type scattering when the dosimeter is exposed to alpha irradiation.

5. INTERMEDIATE ENERGY NEUTRON STUDIES

The techniques employing electrochemical etching of polycarbonate foils for the measurement of fast and thermal neutron doses have been shown to be both accurate and reliable. Unfortunately, the intermediate neutron energy region is an extremely difficult one in which to evaluate absorbed dose to tissue; and as a result, such dosimetry in the past has been largely neglected. However, we feel this region of neutron energies is important in the field of health physics, especially in our studies of medical high voltage therapy x-ray sources operating at energies <15 MeV.

Our research in this area of intermediate neutron energy so far has been only a continuation of our previous attempts utilizing thermal albedo techniques in conjunction with ^6LiF and ^{10}B thin-tablet radiators and polycarbonate foils.

As we know, the human body is an efficient moderator of neutrons in that a flux of thermal neutrons is produced in and near the body surface when it is irradiated by a fast and intermediate neutron flux. At the point of measurement we must be concerned with two types of thermal albedo neutrons: (1) the component composed of both incident and reflected neutrons initially at thermal energies, the purely thermal albedo, and (2) the albedo component composed of the initially incident neutrons of intermediate and fast energies moderated and reflected by the body to thermal energies.⁽¹⁷⁾

Utilizing a phantom consisting of a water-filled elliptical cylinder, 20 cm x 30 cm in diameter and 40 cm high (holding ~ 28 liters of water) and having 0.6 cm thick polyethelene walls,⁽¹⁸⁾ we constructed the dosimeter arrangement as exhibited in Fig. XXII. Each of the dosimeter systems

Figure-XXII Albedo Neutron Dosimeter Arrangement for Measurement of the Intermediate Neutron Dose.

consisted of the following arrangement: (A) a bare polycarbonate foil (not shown), in the same plane, adjacent to but not in contact with the phantom (for fast neutron measurement); (B) a bare polycarbonate foil in contact with the phantom surface (fast and reflected fast neutron measurement); (C) an unshielded polycarbonate foil-tablet radiator sandwich, with the radiator in contact with the phantom (measuring, the incident fast and thermal and the albedo fast, thermal, and intermediate components); (D) a 0.08 cm thick cadmium shield--polycarbonate foil--tablet radiator sandwich with the radiator side in contact with the phantom (measuring, the incident fast and albedo fast, thermal, and intermediate components). The maximum number of interactions (due to both incident and albedo neutrons) which will form tracks in the polymer are shown in Fig. XXIII. From Fig. XXII we can see that the difference in track density behind "B" and "D" is due to thermal albedo neutrons from incident thermal, intermediate, and fast neutrons (i.e., (2), (5), and (6) in Fig. XXIII). Thus, the intermediate dose component can be determined by subtracting out the contributions of factors (2) and (6) and obtaining the following equation:⁽²⁾

$$H_{int} = \left\{ (N_D - N_B) - \frac{A_T}{\Theta_T} (N_C - N_D) - N_B \left(\frac{A_F n}{(\Theta_F + \gamma)K} \right) \right\} \frac{C_{int}}{nA_{int}} \quad (1)$$

where,

A_T, A_{int}, A_F = thermal albedo factors for thermal, intermediate, and fast neutrons, respectively, ⁽¹⁹⁾

n = thermal neutron track registration efficiency, ⁽²⁾
 $(7.91 \pm 0.82) \times 10^{-4}$

K = fast neutron track registration efficiency, track/neut.

C_{int} = conversion factor for intermediate neutrons ⁽²⁰⁾

$1.678 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mrem/n} \cdot \text{cm}^2,$

1. $n_t^i \text{Li-6} \rightarrow \text{H-3} + \alpha$
2. $n_t^i \text{body} \rightarrow n_t^a \text{Li-6} \rightarrow \text{H-3} + \alpha$
3. $n_f^i \text{recoil} \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{C}$
4. $n_f^i \text{body} \rightarrow n_f^a \text{recoil} \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{C}$
5. $n_e^i \text{body} \rightarrow n_t^a \text{Li-6} \rightarrow \text{H-3} + \alpha$
6. $n_f^i \text{body} \rightarrow n_t^a \text{Li-6} \rightarrow \text{H-3} + \alpha$

Figure-XXIII Reactions Which Produce Track Densities Behind "C" as shown in the Polycarbonate Dosimeter Configuration of Fig.-XXII. (n_t =thermal neutrons, n_f =fast neutrons, n_e =intermediate neutrons)

θ_T, θ_F = directional coefficient of thermal and fast neutrons
(incident direction to albedo direction) Sohrabi, 1975, ⁽²³⁾

γ = fast albedo factor for fast neutrons (number of albedo
fast neutrons/number of incident fast neutrons).

A dosimeter system as described here was taped in place on the phantom surface and irradiated at the Health Physics Research Reactor, Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Three different runs were recorded as shown in Table IV with indications of the energy spectrum for no shield, steel shielded and lucite shielded irradiations. The individual polycarbonate foils were electrochemically etched in our standard solution and evaluated. As can be seen in Table V, the intermediate neutron dose-equivalents measured by this technique exhibit unacceptable deviation from the dose-equivalent values calculated based upon the neutron spectra shown in Table IV and the dose-equivalent conversion factors in Fig. XXIV.

While we will continue to consider the ECEPF dosimeter in conjunction with albedo techniques and various filtering methods, our current direction of research to hopefully solve the elusive intermediate neutron dose-equivalent measurement problem involves the use of polymers other than polycarbonate which may exhibit lower energy thresholds for track formation. One of these, allyl diglycol carbonate, exhibits a reported proton energy range of track formation from <500 KeV to 1 MeV.⁽²¹⁾ Using a polymer such as this we can possibly take advantage of the dominant interaction found with intermediate energy neutrons (i.e., elastic recoils with hydrogen nuclei) using either a proton radiator foil with the polymer or perhaps the polymer alone.

TABLE IV
Calculation of HPRR Spectrum

Group	Upper Energy (eV)	Mid Energy (eV)	N(E) E(*)		
			Run 1 (no shield)	Run 3 (steel shield)	Run 5 (lucite shield)
1	1.49 E7	1.22 E7	2.67 E4	3.77 E4	8.35 E4
2	1.0 E7	8.19 E6	3.31 E5	4.19 E4	9.32 E5
3	6.7 E6	5.77 E6	9.81 E5	1.06 E6	1.11 E6
4	4.97 E6	3.87 E6	4.03 E6	4.38 E6	6.65 E6
5	3.01 E6	2.12 E6	1.05 E7	2.22 E7	1.43 E7
6	1.5 E6	1.16 E6	8.82 E6	3.38 E7	8.22 E6
7	9.07 E5	6.08 E5	1.29 E7	9.36 E7	9.50 E6
8	4.08 E5	2.13 E5	9.48 E6	1.40 E8	7.93 E6
9	1.11 E5	9.80 E4	7.26 E5	5.95 E6	1.07 E6
10	8.65 E4	7.64 E4	5.60 E5	8.11 E6	9.85 E5
11	6.74 E4	5.95 E4	4.20 E5	3.94 E6	8.97 E5
12	5.25 E4	4.63 E4	3.39 E5	3.49 E6	8.35 E5
13	4.09 E4	3.61 E4	2.72 E5	1.57 E6	7.85 E5
14	3.18 E4	2.81 E4	2.35 E5	1.85 E6	7.68 E5
15	2.48 E4	2.19 E4	2.06 E5	6.99 E5	7.11 E5
16	1.93 E4	1.7 E4	1.79 E5	2.82 E5	6.85 E5
17	1.50 E4	1.03 E4	4.43 E5	3.18 E5	1.96 E6
18	7.10 E3	4.88 E3	3.90 E5	2.85 E5	1.87 E6
19	3.35 E3	2.03 E3	4.54 E5	3.24 E6	2.45 E6
20	1.23 E3	8.48 E2	2.92 E5	1.17 E6	1.79 E6
21	5.83 E2	3.54 E2	3.47 E5	1.25 E6	2.38 E6
22	2.14 E2	1.47 E2	2.37 E5	8.77 E5	2.30 E6
23	1.01 E2	6.96 E1	2.18 E5	8.04 E5	1.78 E6
24	4.79 E1	3.73 E1	1.32 E5	4.72 E5	1.18 #6
25	2.90 E1	2.26 E1	1.28 E5	4.67 E5	1.19 E6
26	1.76 E1	1.37 E1	1.22 E5	4.50 E5	1.19 E6
27	1.07 E1	7.34	1.71 E5	5.89 E5	1.79 E6
28	5.04	3.93	1.07 E5	3.58 E5	1.18 E6
29	3.06	2.18	1.35 E5	4.78 E5	1.58 E6
30	1.56	1.25	8.50 E4	3.13 E5	9.76 E5
31	1.0	0.086	7.84 E4	2.56 E5	8.80 E5
32	0.65	0.541	6.80 E4	2.19 E5	7.37 E5
33	0.45	0.212	4.99 E5	1.58 E6	6.86 E6
34	0.1	0.0224	9.41 E5	3.05 E6	5.02 E7
	5.0 E3				

(*) This number is the area of the histogram for each energy interval.

Figure-XXIV Conversion Factors for Neutrons. Unidirectional Broad Beam Normal Incidence. The Curves Indicate the Values Recommended by the ICRP (22)

TABLE V

ECEPF/ALBEDO Measurement of the Intermediate Neutron Dose Equivalent
for Different Shielded Runs of the Health Physics Research Reactor
at ORNL, Compared to the Calculated Values of Dose Equivalent

RUN	TOTAL FISSION	NET TRACK DENSITY (tracks/cm ²)			MEASURED "H" (mrem)	CALCULATED "H" (mrem)
		N _C	N _B	N _D		
(1) Bare HPRR	1.9×10^{13}	10,017±729	85±67	9,911±725	410±50	484
(2) Steel Shielded HPRR	9.3×10^{13}	28,726±1,234	81±65	28,037±1,219	1,157±620	3,964
(3) Lucite Shielded HPRR	1.9×10^{14}	90,895±2,195	61±57	78,970±2,046	2,273±1,824	660

6. BORON NEUTRON CAPTURE THERAPY (BNCT)

The Boron Neutron Capture Therapy (BNCT) studies at the Georgia Tech Research Reactor are steadily progressing toward clinical trials. Though the therapy is potentially applicable against many types of tumors, current emphasis is being directed toward the treatment of the malignant brain tumor, glioblastoma multiformae. This particular grade of tumor is characterized by a central necrotic tumor mass from which project numerous fingerlets into adjacent healthy brain tissue, making it particularly difficult to successfully treat with surgery or gamma radiation.

BNCT has been proposed as an alternative therapy whereby a ^{10}B -loaded compound injected into the patient is preferentially taken up by the tumor, but is prevented from infiltrating the healthy brain tissue by the blood brain barrier. The head is then irradiated with an epithermal neutron beam, which is thermalized by the time it reaches the tumor. The reaction particles produced from the $^{10}\text{B}(\text{n}, \alpha)^7\text{Li}$ interaction within the tumor thus irradiates the cancerous tissue in a very localized manner, keeping the dose to the surrounding healthy tissue to a minimum.

An epithermal beam port has been designed and constructed over the past year and is in place at the Georgia Tech Research Reactor (GTRR). The beam remains to be characterized as to its γ -contamination as well as its neutron energy spectrum. Our developments in the fast and thermal capabilities of the ECEPF dosimeter are presently being used to help in this task. At the same time a biological dosimeter is being developed to determine the RBE of the combined radiations in the beam.

At present, polycarbonate foils are being used to calibrate a system to determine the level of ^{10}B in the patient's blood at the time of

epithermal neutron irradiation so that the neutron fluence for effective therapy can be determined. Small polycarbonate foils are placed in plastic vials containing various concentrations of boric acid. The vials are then irradiated at a thermal neutron port with a known fluence, etched, and inspected for alpha tracks from the $^{10}\text{B}(\text{n}, \alpha)^7\text{Li}$ reaction. From this data, a calibration curve of ^{10}B concentration vs track density will be generated, from which ^{10}B blood levels can be determined during future clinical trials.

A more complex problem is to determine the ^{10}B distribution in the tumor mass. Studies must be conducted on test animals to determine where and to what degree the compound concentrates, as well as the uniformity of the distribution within the central tumor mass as compared to the finger-lets. Our group currently has a sample of the most promising ^{10}B compound which will be injected into rats and beagles with induced tumors. The animals will then be sacrificed and tissue sections from the tumors will be placed on sections of polycarbonate foils and irradiated at the thermal neutron port. The etched foils' reaction alpha tracks will serve as indicators of the ^{10}B .

Studies are also underway to evaluate the depth dose of fast, intermediate, and thermal neutrons in several tissue-equivalent phantoms to determine the actual neutron fluence as well as contributions present at various distances into the brain.

7. Thermal Neutron Dosimetry

The ^6LiF radiator tablet--polycarbonate foil--thermal neutron dosimeter developed earlier in our research has provided an extremely sensitive and reliable method to measure the thermal neutron dose-equivalent. Unfortunately, the use of ^6LiF (or ^{10}B) tablet radiators has several inherent problems; namely, the hygroscopicity and fragility of the thin tablets and the poor spatial response of the dosimeter configuration. To help eliminate these unwanted characteristics and at the same time keep the desirable aspects of the dosimeter (i.e., sensitivity < 1 mrem) we are perfecting a polycarbonate casting method which will allow us to incorporate the charged particle radiator compound into the foil itself. Our procedure for this technique is as follows.

A smooth pane of plate glass (30 cm x 30 cm) is cleaned with toluene and coated with a thin uniform film of glycerin (we use a dip bath of dissolved cherry jell-o). The glycerin coat is allowed to dry. A section of standard 250-micron thick polycarbonate is dissolved in a suitable solvent (e.g., toluene or acetone) under a laboratory hood. The desired "loading solution" is then mixed with the dissolved polycarbonate. In order to achieve a uniform distribution of "loadant", the compound should be soluble in the same solvent as the polycarbonate. A puddle of the loaded polycarbonate solution is placed on the glycerin-coated glass plate and spread to the desired uniform thickness by using a "doctor blade". The glass plate and polycarbonate film coating is covered, to protect from dust collection, and allowed to dry. We then cut the dry "loaded" polycarbonate film into the desired dosimeter sizes, immerse the entire plate in a warm water bath and the individual sections of polycarbonate float to the water surface as the glycerin layer dissolves.

Perfecting our casting and loading technique has, necessarily, been a learning process. However, we are progressing steadily towards our initial thermal irradiation evaluations. This technique affords our reserach much freedom (from suppliers minimum order requirements) and is applicable to questions involved in several areas of our research; such as, thermal neutron dosimetry, intermediate neutron dosimetry, and recoil nuclei studies.

REFERENCES

1. G. B. Stillwagon, "The Microdosimetry of Pu-239 in Bone Using Electrochemical Etching and Polycarbonate Foils," Ph.D. Thesis, Georgia Inst. of Tech. (1978)
2. S-J. Su, "Neutron Dosimetry Using Electrochemical Etching," Ph.D. Thesis, Georgia Inst. of Tech., (1979)
3. P. H. McGinley and M. Sohrabi, "Neutron Contamination in the Primary Beam," NBS SB 554 (1979) 99-107
4. W. P. Swanson, "Radiological Safety Aspects of the Operation of Electron Linear Accelerators," IAEA TRS #188 (1979)
5. J. S. Levinger, Nuclear Photo-Disintegration, Oxford Univ. Press (1960)
6. E. Haywood, "Photonuclear Reactions," NBS Monograph 118, Washington, D.C. (1970)
7. R. C. McCall and W. P. Swanson, "Neutron Sources and Their Characteristics," NBS SP 554 (1979) 75-86
8. R. A. Stern and P. B. Price, "Charge and Energy Information from Heavy Ion Tracks in Lexon," Nature Phys. Sci. 240 (1972) 82-83
9. P. R. Byerly and W. E. Stephens, Physics Review 83 (1951) 54
10. B. Forkman, Ark. Fys. 11 (1956) 265
11. H. A. Medicus, J. J. Grant, P. Semears, Bul. Amer. Phys. Society Series II, 3 (1958) 382
12. J. Sawicki and W. Czyz, Nuclear Physics 4 (1957) 248, 695
13. L. J. Goodman, Health Phys. 16 (1969) 763
14. S. M. Garry, P. S. Stansbury, and J. W. Poston, USAEC Rep. ORNL-TM-4411 (1974)
15. J. S. Laughlin, A. Reid, L. Zeitz, and J. Ding, "Unwanted Neutron Contribution to Megavoltage X-ray and Electron Therapy," NBS SP 554 (1979) 1-14
16. R. Katz, "Track Structure Theory in Radiobiology and Radiation Detection," Nucl. Track Det. Vol. 2, 1 (1978) 1-28
17. W. E. Selph, "Neutron and Gamma Albedo," ORNL-RS1C-21, Oak Ridge National Lab. (1968)
18. J. W. Poston and F. F. Haywood, "1972 Intercomparison of Nuclear Accident Dosimetry Systems at ORNL," ORNL-TM-4387 (1972)
19. J. B. Brown, F. M. Gayton, J. A. Hall, J. R. Harvey, and G. A. Webb, "Recommendations Concerning the Use of the Personnel Neutron Albedo Dosimeter," RD/BR-828, Berkeley Nuclear Lab. (1967)
20. C. M. Unruh, W. V. Baumgartner, and R. F. Kocher, "Personnel Neutron Dosimetry Developments," SM-76/17, Proc. of Symp. on Neut. Mon. for Radiological Prot., IAEA (1967)
21. S. A. R. Al-Najjar, R. K. Bull, and S. A. Durrani, "Electrochemical Etching of CR-39 Plastic: Applications to Radiation Dosimetry," Nucl. Tracks Vol. 3, 4 (1979) 169-183
22. ICRP, Data for Protection Against Ionizing Radiation from External Sources, Report No. 21, ICRP (1973)
23. M. Sohrabi, "Electrochemical Etching Amplification of Low LET Particle Tracks in Polymer for Fast Neutron Dosimetry," Ph.D. Thesis, Georgia Inst. of Tech. (1975)

24. K. Jozefowicz, "Energy Dependence of the Efficiency of Neutron Detection in Polycarbonate by Recording Atom Recoil Tracks," Paper SM-164/36, Proc. Symp. Neutron Monit. Rad. Prot. Purposes, IAEA, Vienna (1973)
25. K. Becker, "Neutron Personnel Dosimetry by Non-photographic Nuclear Track Registration," Proc. ENEA Symp. Rad. Dose Measurements, Stockholm (1967)
26. National Bureau of Standards, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, "Shielding for High-Energy Accelerator Installations," NBS Handbook No. 97 and NCRP Report No. 31 (1964)
27. K. Becker, Solid State Dosimetry, CRC Press, Cleveland, Ohio (1973)
28. H. Jaffre, "Personnel Dosimetry Technique for External Radiations," Proc. of Madrid Symp., ENEA, Paris (1963)
29. J. Auxier, in F. H. Attix and W. C. Roesch, Eds. Radiation Dosimetry Vol. 1 Fundamentals, Academic Press, New York (1968)
30. H. A. Bethe, "The Range-Energy Relationships for Slow Alpha-Particles and Protons in Air," Revs. Mod. Phys. 22, (1950) 213
31. R. E. Lapp and H. L. Andrews, Nuclear Radiation Physics, 4th Edition, Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey (1972)
32. K. Z. Morgan and J. E. Turner, "Health Physics," in American Institute of Physics Handbook, McGraw-Hill Co., New York, (1972) 8-291-8-308
33. National Bureau of Standards Handbook 85, "Physical Aspects of Irradiation"

PROJECT PERSONNEL

MORGAN, KARL Z.

Professor at Georgia Institute of Technology

Karl Ziegler Morgan

received the A.B. and M.A. degrees from the University of North Carolina in 1929 and 1930, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in physics from Duke University in 1934. From 1934 to 1943 he was chairman of the Physics Department of Lenoir Rhyne College, and during this period did research in cooperation with Duke University in the field of cosmic ray showers, the meson lifetime, etc., in Linville Caverns, on Mt. Mitchell, Beech Mountain, Mt. Evans, etc.

He joined the Metallurgical Laboratory staff at the University of Chicago in the spring of 1943. Here he was one of a group of six persons who developed and established the new science and profession of health physics. During the fall of 1943, he transferred to Oak Ridge National Laboratory where, until the latter part of 1972, he was Director of the Health Physics Division which was engaged in research, engineering, and applied problems. Upon leaving Oak Ridge National Laboratory, he joined the faculty of the Georgia Institute of Technology as Neely Professor in the School of Nuclear Engineering.

In 1956, he was the first president of the Health Physics Society, and from 1966 to 1970 was the first president of the International Radiation Protection Association which has about 10,000 members in some 65 countries. He now is President Emeritus of IRPA, an Emeritus member of the Main Commission of ICRP and of NCRP. For twenty years he was chairman of the committees of ICRP and NCRP dealing with maximum permissible internal dose of radioisotopes. He has published over 300 papers dealing with subjects of cosmic rays, radiation protection, instrumentation, internal dose, effects of low-level radiation exposure and general health physics. He is author of chapters in some twenty text books. He is past editor of the journal HEALTH PHYSICS.

In 1962, K. Z. Morgan and W. Binks (England) were awarded the first gold medals for meritorious work in the field of radiation protection by the Royal Academy of Science of Sweden.

Dr. Morgan received the Distinguished Alumni Award and the honorary Doctor of Science Degree from Lenoir Rhyne College, honorary membership in Sigma Pi Sigma from Berea College, and the First Distinguished Service Award of the Western Chapter of the Health Physics Society. He is a member of the Health Physics Society, the International Radiation Protection Association, the American Public Health Association, the American Association for the Advancement of Science, the American Industrial Hygiene Association, the Research Society of America, the Radiation Research Society, an Associate Fellow for the American College of Radiology, a Fellow of the American Physical Society and of the American Nuclear Society.

A Selection of Recent Reports and Publications

Over 300 papers and publications have been written. A sampling of these over the past few years is as follows:

- "Common Sources of Human Exposure to Ionizing Radiation in the United States," American Engineer, July 1968.
- "Ionizing Radiation: Benefits Versus Risks," Annual Meeting of the Health Physics Society, June 16-20, 1968, Denver, Colorado; and published in Health Physics, Vol. 17, No. 4.
- "Assumptions Made by the Internal Dose Committee of the International Commission on Radiological Protection," Sixth Annual Meeting of the Gesellschaft fur Nuclearmedizin, Wiesbaden, Germany, September 26-28, 1968; published in Proceedings, 1969.
- "Redirecting Health Physics Studies to Areas of Greatest Interest," First European Congress of the International Radiation Protection Association, Menton, France, October 9-11, 1968; Published in Proceedings, 1968.
- "Development of Health Physics as a Profession," Proceedings of First International Congress of Radiation Protection, Rome, Italy, Vol. 1, 3, Pergamon Press, 1968.
- "The Need for Standardization Procedures in the Application of Ionizing Radiation to Medical and Dental Patients," Seminar sponsored by the National Center for Radiological Health, Rockville, Maryland, November 15, 1968, Seminar Paper 003.
- "The Proper Working Level of Radon and Its Daughter Products in the Uranium Mines of the United States," Hearing on Radiation Standards for Mines, Washington, D.C., November 20, 1968; Congressional Record, 1968.
- "Supplemental Statement on the Proper Working Level of Radon and Its Daughter Products in the Uranium Mines of the USA," Supplement to Testimony presented on November 20, 1968, Washington, D.C.; Congressional Record, 1968.
- "Future Opportunities in Health Physics," Health Physics Society Midyear Topical Symposium, Los Angeles, California, January 29-31, 1969.
- "Risks from Diagnostic X-Rays," Yale Scientific, Vol. XLII, No. 5, February, 1969; Reprinted from Yale Scientific in the Journal of the American Radiography Technologists, Vol. XIV, No. 4, Winter 1969.
- "Radiation Standards for Reactor Siting," Testimony presented before the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy at its Hearings on Environmental Effects of Producing Electrical Power, Phase 2, January 1970; Congressional Record.
- "Energy Pollution of the Environment," Midyear Symposium of the Health Physics Society, Louisville, Kentucky, January 28, 1970; Proceedings published in USPHS-BRH Series, BRH/DEP-70-26, Oct., 1970.
- "A Time of Challenge to the Health Physicist," Presidential Address presented before the Second International Congress on Radiation Protection, May 8, 1970, Brighton, England; Health Physics, Vol. 20, May, 1971, pp. 491-498.
- "Standards for the Control of Effluents," published in Environmental Aspects of Nuclear Stations, IAEA, Vienna, 1971
- "My Opinion--You Can Drastically Cut X-Ray Exposure Below Today's Levels," Consultant, March/April, 1970.

- "Exposure in the United States," and "Mogliche Folgen einer Uebermassigen Medizinischen Strahlenbelastung in der Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika," Rontgen-Blatter, 27, 127 (March 1974).
- "Reducing Medical Exposure to Ionizing Radiation," American Industrial Hygiene Journal (May 1975).
- Two chapters in text, Environmental Problems in Medicine titled "Exposure to Non-Ionizing Radiation" and "Ionizing Radiation Exposure," W. D. McKee, Editor; Chas. C. Thomas Publisher, 1974.
- "Types of Environmental Health Physics Data That Should be Collected and Evaluated in a Nuclear Power Program," in Environmental Impact Statements for Nuclear Power Plants, 1975, Pergamon Press, Chapters by K. Z. Morgan in text, Environmental Impact of Nuclear Power Plants, by R. A. Karam and K. Z. Morgan, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY SERIES IN NUCLEAR ENGINEERING, Pergamon Press 1975.
- "The Bases for Standards and Regulations" in Environmental Impact Statements for Nuclear Power Plants, 1975 Pergamon Press, Chapters by K. Z. Morgan in text, Environmental Impact of Nuclear Power Plants, by R. A. Karam and K. Z. Morgan, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY SERIES IN NUCLEAR ENGINEERING, Pergamon Press 1975.
- "Release of Radioactive Materials from Reactors" and "Ways of Reducing Radiation Exposure in a Future Nuclear Power Economy," in Nuclear Power Safety, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY IN NUCLEAR ENERGY, Pergamon Press.
- "Transportation of Radioactive Material by Passenger Aircraft," Report to Joint Committee of Congress on Atomic Energy, Report No. 1 - Sept. 17, 1974, U.S. Government Printing Office.
- "Health Physics - Past, Present, and Future," presented at First Asian Regional Congress of the International Radiation Protection Assn. in Bombay, India, Dec. 1974; published in Proceedings.
- "Suggested Reduction of Permissible Exposure to Plutonium and Other Transuranium Elements," J. Am. Ind. Hygiene 36, (8), 567 (Aug. 1975).
- "Effects of Radiation on Man - Now and in the Future," in Energy and the Environment -- Cost-Benefit Analysis; Pergamon Press, 1976, Chapters by K. Z. Morgan in text, Energy and the Environment, Cost Benefit Analysis, by R. A. Karam and K. Z. Morgan, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY SERIES IN NUCLEAR ENGINEERING, Pergamon Press 1976.
- "Programs Needed for Education and Training of Health Physicists," Proc. Am. Phys. Soc. Meeting, December 1974.
- "Recent Developments in Fast Neutron Personnel Dosimetry Using Track Etch Methods," presented at Congress of the International Radiation Protection Assn., Holland, May 1975; published in Proceedings.
- "Medical Radiation Protection," presented at Health Physics Meeting, Buffalo, New York, July 15, 1975.
- "Ways of Reducing Exposure in a Future Nuclear Power Economy," presented at American Public Health Association Annual Meeting, Chicago, Illinois, November 18, 1975.
- "A Course on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection for State and Local Health Officers," Proceedings of Health Physics Society, Denver, Colorado, February, 1976.
- "The Particle Problem," Third International Summer School on Radiation Protection, Herceg Novi, Yugoslavia, published in Boris Kidric Institute Series, August-September 1976.
- "The Linear vs. The Threshold Hypothesis," Third International Summer School on Radiation Protection, Herceg Novi, Yugoslavia, published in Boris Kidric Institute Series, August-September, 1976.

- "History of the Health Physics Society," published as part of the RSNA Symposium on the Critical History of American Radiology (Nov. 1970)
- "Standard Man-Standard Patient," Medical Radioisotopes: Radiation Dose and Effects, AEC Series 20, p. 87, June 1970.
- "History of the International Radiation Protection Association," published in Proceedings of the RSNA Symposium on the Critical History American Radiology, November 1970.
- "Criteria for the Control of Radioactive Effluents," IAEA Symposium on Environmental Aspects of Nuclear Power Stations, UN Building, New York, August 1970, Proceedings published, this paper is IAEA-SM-146/10; synopsis published also in Environmental Studies, 1971.
- "Maximum Permissible Levels of Exposure to Ionizing Radiation," International Summer School on Radiation Protection, Boris Kidric Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Cavtat, Yugoslavia, September 20-30, 1970; Proceedings published in 1971 under title of "Radiation Dosimetry."
- "President's Report on the General Assembly of IRPA," Brighton, England, May 1970, Health Physics, Vol. 20, No. 5, 1971.
- "History of Radiation Protection," Symposium Commemorating the 75th Anniversary of the Discovery of X-Rays, Milwaukee, November 13-14, 1970; Materials Evaluation, Vol. XXIX, No. 3, March 1971.
- "Why the 1968 Act for Radiation Control for Health and Safety Is Required," Radiology, Vol. 99, No. 3, pp. 569-588, June 1971.
- "Excessive Medical Diagnostic Exposure," Third Annual National Conf. on Radiation Control, Scottsdale, Arizona, May 3, 1971; published in Proceedings.
- "Health Physics and the Environment," International Symposium on Rapid Methods for Measurement of Radioactivity in the Environment, Neuherberg, Federal Republic of Germany, IAEA-STI/PUB/289, Vienna, 1971.
- "Adequacy of Present Radiation Standards," presented at the Environmental and Ecological Forum, Silver Spring, Maryland, January 20, 1971; Proceedings of Forum published in 1972, USAEC-TIC-25857.
- "Proper Use of Information on Organ and Body Burdens of Radioactive Material," presented at the IAEA/WHO Symposium on the Assessment of Radioactive Organ and Body Burdens, Stockholm, Sweden, November 22-26, 1971; IAEA/SM/150-50; Proceedings of Symposium published by IAEA.
- "Health Physics Measures to Implement New USAEC Regulations Relating to Radiation Exposure of the General Public," Budapest, May 1971; Proceedings published by Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, Hungary.
- "The Need to Reduce Medical Exposure in the United States," outline of testimony presented before the Health and Welfare Subcommittee of the Senate Committee on Labor and Public Welfare on Senate Bill S.3327, May 15, 1972, Washington, D.C.; published in Congressional Record, 1972.
- "Comparison of Radiation Exposure of the Population from Medical Diagnosis and the Nuclear Energy Industry," Transactions ANS, 15:1, 64 (June 1972).
- "Environmental Impact of Natural and Man-Made Ionizing and Non-Ionizing Radiations," Second International Summer School on Radiation Protection, Herceg Novi, Yugoslavia, Aug. 1973; Proceedings, 1973.
- "The Need for Radiation Protection," Radiologic Technology, 44, 6, p. 385 (1973).

- "Current Problems and concepts of the Health Physicist," Third International Summer School on Radiation Protection, Herceg Novi, Yugoslavia, published in Boris Kidric Institute Series, August-September 1976.
- "Use of Recycle Plutonium in Mixed Oxide Fuel in Light Water Cooled Reactors," testimony presented at public hearings on MOX fuel, Washington, D.C., Nov. 1976.
- "Keeping Dose Commitments ALAP," Proc. ANS National Topical Meeting, 71, Tucson, Arizona, October 6-8, 1975.
- "Radiation-Induced Health Effects," Science 195, 157, 344 (January 28, 1977).
- "The Dilemma of Present Nuclear Power Programs," Proc. of Hearings Before the Energy Resources Conservation and Development Comm., Sacramento, Cal., February 1, 1977.
- "Comments on Operation of the Kerr-McGee Cimarron Facility and the Karen Silkwood Case," before the Congressional Small Business Comm., April 26, 1976.
- "Data Interpretation," Proceedings of Workshop on the Utilization and Interpretation of Environmental Radiation Data, Orlando, Fla., March 1-3, 1976.
- "Rolf M. Sievert: The Pioneer in the Field of Radiation Protection," Health Phys. 31, 263-264 Sept. 1976.
- "Health Hazards from Diagnostic and Therapeutic X-Ray," Proceedings of Conference on Diagnostic Imaging, Chicago, Ill., Sept. 27, 1976.
- "Yes is the Answer to Question of R. H. Thomas and D. D. Busick, 'Is It Really Necessary to Reduce Patient Exposure?'" J. Am. Ind. Hygiene 37, 665-667, Nov. 1976.
- "The Linear Hypothesis of Radiation Damage Appears to Be Non-Conservative in Many Cases," Proceedings of Fourth International Congress of the International Radiation Protection Association, Paris, France, April 25-29, 1977.
- "Possible Consequences of Excessive Medical Exposure in the United States," Given before the Fachverband fur Strahlenschutz at the Bern, Switzerland, meeting, March 21, 1973 and published in the Fachverband Proceedings of, 1973.
- "Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation," published in Proceedings of Short Course, September 9, 1974.
- "Appropriateness of Regulations for Air Shipment of Radioactive Materials," Miami Beach, Florida, September 23, 1974, International Conference on Shipping of Radioactive Material; and published in Proceedings, 1975
- "Recent Developments in Fast Neutron Personnel Dosimetry Using Track Etch Methods," Proc. 3rd European Congress IRPA, Paper 14, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, May, 1975, with Mehdi Sohrabi
- "What is the Misunderstanding All About?" Bulletin of Atomic Scientists 57, 56-58 (February 1979).
- "Neutron Dosimetry in High Energy X-Ray Beams of Medical Accelerator," Phys. Med. Biol. 24, No. 4, 756 (1979), M. Sohrabi and K. Z. Morgan.
- "Alpha Particle Track Production in Polycarbonate Foils Amplified by Electrochemical Etching," Nucl. Tracks 3, 185 (1980), G. B. Stillwagon and K. Z. Morgan.

- "Energy Dependence of Fast Neutron Dosimetry Using Electrochemical Etching," Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc. Student Conference, Gainesville, Fla., 1978, S. J. Su and K. Z. Morgan.
- "Should Permissible Limits for Occupational Exposure be Reduced?" Proc. of Annual Meeting of the Health Physics Soc., Minneapolis, Minn., June 19, 1978. Published in proceedings of Fourth International Summer School on Radiation Protection, Boris Kendric Institute, Belgrade, Yug., Sept., 1979.
- "A New Polycarbonate Fast Neutron Personnel Dosimeter," Amer. Ind. Hygiene Assn. J. 39, 438-447 (June 1978) K. Z. Morgan and M. Sohrabi.
- "Purpose of Radiation Protection Monitoring," IAEA Symposium on Advances in Radiation Protection Monitoring, Stockholm, Sweden, IAEA SM-226/139, pp. 3-20, June 26-30, 1978.
- "Should Radiation Exposure from Operation of Nuclear Power Plants be Reduced?" Bossey, Switzerland, Pub. Bulletin of the World Council of Churches, May 2-7, 1978.
- "Cancer and Low-Level Ionizing Radiation," The Bulletin of Atomic Scientists, 30-41, (September 1978).
- "The Effect of Field Strength and Frequency in the Electrochemical Etching of Recoil Particle Tracks in Polycarbonate," Health Physics 35, 894 (Dec. 1978), M. Sohrabi and K. Z. Morgan.
- "Risk of Cancer from Low Exposure to Ionizing Radiation," Symp. of the AAAS, Washington, D. C., February 17, 1978.
- "Are the Current Standards and Guidelines for Low-Level Radiation Adequate to Protect Public Health?" "What are the Current Standards?", Comments made by KZM acting as moderator in Senate Conference, Washington, D.C., Senate Office Bldg., February 10, 1978. Published in Congressional Record and Reprinted by the Environmental Policy Institute.
- "Significance of Human Exposure to Low-Level Radiation," Presented before Congressional Hearing, Washington, D. C., January 24, 1978, published in Congressional Record.
- "Walter S. Snyder," Health Physics 34, 1-2 (January 1978).
- "A Chirper Checker - Adjunct to the Use of Personal Radiation Monitors," Health Physics 35, 693-697 (November 1978), P. S. Stansbury and
- "Evaluating the Bulk Etching Rate for Polycarbonate Foils During Electrochemical Etching," Health Physics 34, 735 (1978), G. B. Stillwagon, K. Z. Morgan, and S. J. Su.
- "How Dangerous is Low Level Radiation," New Scientist, April 5, 1979.
- "Two Sizes of Alpha Tracks in Polycarbonate Foils," Health Physics 36, 741, G. B. Stillwagon and K. Z. Morgan.
- "Decommissioning of the Gorleben Facility," published in Gorleben Proceedings, 1979.
- "The Non-Threshold Dose/Effect Relationship," published in Sym. Proceedings of Nat. Academy Sc., Sept. 27, 1979.
- "Radiation-Induced Cancer in Man," presented to Senate Subcommittee on Energy, Nuclear Proliferation and Fed. Services, Sen. John Glenn, Chairman, March 6, 1979.
- "Education and Training of Health Physicists," Proc. of Midyear Sym. of Health Phys. Soc., Honolulu, Hawaii, Oct., 1979.
- "Hazards of Low-Level Radiation," 216-289, 1980 Ed. of Encyclopaedia Britannica.
- "Appreciation of Risks of Low-Level Radiation vs. Nuclear Energy," pub. in Comments on Molecular and Cellular Biophysics, 1980.

Georgia Institute of Technology

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

SANDERS, MICHEAL E. -- Ph.D. Candidate, School of Nuclear Engineering

Education

A.B., Radiation-Nuclear Technology, Oklahoma State	1967
A.B., Journalism, Ohio State University	1973
B.S., Health Physics, Oklahoma State	1978
M.S., Applied Nuclear Science, Georgia Institute of Technology	1979

Employment History

Industrial Nucleonics, Columbus, Ohio, Applied Physics	1967-73
A. G. Edwards and Sons, Tulsa, Oklahoma, Stockbroker	1973-76
Oklahoma State, Stillwater, Oklahoma, Lab Asst.	1976-78
Georgia Inst. of Technology, Atlanta, Ga., Grad Res. Asst.	1978-present

Experience Summary: While working for Industrial Nucleonics, Columbus, Ohio, was involved in applied research and design of process measurement and control systems utilizing beta, gamma, and x-ray sources. He was also responsible for the health physics practices of the Applied Physics laboratory. Since coming to Georgia Tech, has been a graduate research assistant concerned with development and application of the electrochemical etching of polycarbonate foils. He is a member of the Health Physics Society and the American Association of Physicists in Medicine.

Major Reports and Publications

1. "Thermal Neutron Dosimetry Using Electrochemical Etching," Paper P/11 presented at 24th Annual Meeting of Health Physics Society, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1979 (Best Paper Award).
2. "Neutron Contamination in Medical Therapy Accelerators," a paper presented at 46th Meeting of Southeastern Section of the American Physical Society, Chattanooga, Tennessee, 1979.
3. "Photoneutron Contribution from the Thermal Neutron Shield in a Typical Activation Foil Neutron Detector Exposed to a 33 MV X-ray Therapy Beam," to be published in Medical Physics Journal (1980).
4. "Measurement of Neutron and Charged Particle Contamination in High-Energy Medical Therapy X-ray Beams Using Recoil Particle Track Formation in Polycarbonate Foils," paper presented at 25th Annual Meeting of Health Physics Society, Seattle, Washington (1980)

Georgia Institute of Technology

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

NOONAN, DENISE JANE -- Ph.D. Candidate, School of Nuclear Engineering

Education

B.S., Physical Sciences, Colorado State	1976
M.S., Health Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology	1979

Employment History

College of Veterinary Medicine, Colorado State, Research Asst.	1974-76
School of Nuclear Engineering, Georgia Insti. of Tech., Research Asst.	1979-

Experience: While working at Colorado State, was involved in reserach dealing with bone remodeling in uremic dogs; uremia induced by prenatal irradiation of fetus. Since becoming a GRA at Georgia Tech, has been concerned with boron neutron capture therapy and epithermal neutron measurement. Over the past year, has been working on chromosome breaks in tradescantia microspores as a biological dosimeter. Has also worked as GTA, teaching the Radiation Detection laboratory for undergraduates at GIT. Is a member of the Phi Beta Kappa Honor Society and was the recipient of the CSU Faculty Honors Award, President's Fellowship (CSU), and President's Fellowship (GIT), and is also a member of the Health Physics Society.