Environmental Restoration Division
ORNL Environmental Restoration Program

**Screening of Contaminants in Waste Area Grouping 2
at Oak Ridge National Laboratory,
Oak Ridge, Tennessee**

**B. G. Blaylock
M. L. Frank
F. O. Hoffman
L. A. Hook
G. W. Suter
J. A. Watts**

Manuscript Completed—September 1991

**First Issued—July 1992
Revision Issued—September 1992**

**Prepared by
Environmental Sciences Division
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
ESD Publication 3804**

**Prepared for
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Environmental Restoration and Waste Management
under budget and reporting code EW 20**

**OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6285
managed by
MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
for the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400**

MASTER

Author Affiliations

**B. G. Blaylock, M. L. Frank, F. O. Hoffman, L. A. Hook,
G. W. Suter, and J. A. Watts are members of the
Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National
Laboratory, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.**

CONTENTS

FIGURES	vii
TABLES	ix
ABBREVIATIONS	xi
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xiii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. DESCRIPTION OF AREA	2
2.1 White Oak Lake	2
2.2 Floodplain	5
2.3 White Oak Creek	5
2.4 Melton Branch	5
2.5 White Oak Creek Embayment	7
3. SOURCES OF INFORMATION	8
4. SCREENING RISK ASSESSMENT FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE	9
4.1 APPROACH	9
4.2 SCREENING INDICES	9
4.3 PATHWAYS	9
4.4 INTRUDER SCENARIO	10
4.5 DATA BASES	10
4.6 USAGE FACTORS	10
4.7 SCREENING CRITERIA	11
4.7.1 Carcinogen screening	12
4.7.2 Noncarcinogen screening	12
4.8 CONTAMINANT SCREENING RESULTS	12
4.8.1 Detectable contaminants	12
4.8.1.1 Nonconservative screening of detectable contaminants	15
4.8.1.2 Conservative screening of detectable contaminants	17
4.8.1.3 Intruder scenario	28
4.8.2 Nondetectable Contaminants	30
4.8.2.1 Nonconservative screening	30
4.8.2.2 Conservative screening	31
4.8.3 Nonclassified contaminants	32
4.8.4 Groundwater	32
4.8.4.1 Nonconservative screening of detectable contaminants in groundwater	32
4.8.4.2 Conservative screening of detectable contaminants in groundwater	38

4.8.5 Special Case - Lead	38
4.9 CONCLUSIONS FOR SCREENING RISK ASSESSMENT FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE	39
4.9.1 Reaches 1 through 3	39
4.9.2 Results for Screening Risk Assessment for Human Exposure for the WOCE	40
5. SCREENING ASSESSMENT OF ECOLOGICAL RISKS	41
5.1 ECOLOGICAL HAZARD DEFINITION	41
5.1.1 Sources and Routes of Exposure	41
5.1.2 Exposed Environment	41
5.1.3 Ecological Endpoints	42
5.2 EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT	42
5.2.1 Water	42
5.2.2 Sediment	45
5.2.3 Tissue Concentrations as Indicators of Effects on Fish	46
5.2.4 Tissue Concentrations and Piscivorous Wildlife	46
5.3 EFFECTS ASSESSMENT	47
5.3.1 Methods for Deriving Benchmarks	48
5.3.1.1 Water	48
5.3.1.2 Consumed fish flesh	55
5.3.2 Screening Criteria for Individual Chemicals	56
5.3.2.1 Water	56
5.3.2.2 Consumed fish flesh	60
5.3.2.3 Radionuclides	64
5.4 RISK CHARACTERIZATION	64
5.4.1 Exposure/Response Integration	64
5.4.1.1 Aqueous concentrations/aquatic life effects	64
5.4.1.2 Concentrations in sediment/aquatic life effects	65
5.4.1.3 Concentrations in fish/fish effects	65
5.4.1.4 Concentrations in fish/piscivore effects	65
5.4.2 Ambient Media Toxicity Tests	65
5.4.3 Biological Surveys	66
5.4.3.1 Fish	66
5.4.3.2 Benthic macroinvertebrates	67
5.4.3.3 Periphyton	67
5.4.3.4 Terrestrial	67
5.4.4 Weight of Evidence and Uncertainties	67
5.4.4.1 Water	67
5.4.4.2 Sediments	68
5.4.4.3 Fish and piscivores	68
5.4.4.4 Terrestrial communities	68
5.4.4.5 Summary of the ecological risk characterization	68
5.5 DATA NEEDS	69
5.5.1 Biota and Communities	69
5.5.2 Sources and Exposure	69

5.5.3 Toxicity Testing	69
6. REFERENCES	70
APPENDIX A NONCONSERVATIVE SCREENING OF THE DETECTABLE CONTAMINANTS DATA BASE FOR CARCINOGENS AND NONCARCINOGENS	A-1
APPENDIX B CONSERVATIVE SCREENING OF THE DETECTABLE CONTAMINANTS DATA BASE FOR CARCINOGENS AND NONCARCINOGENS	B-1
APPENDIX C SCREENING OF THE INTRUDER SCENARIO FOR DETECTED CARCINOGENS AND NONCARCINOGENS	C-1
APPENDIX D NONCONSERVATIVE SCREENING OF THE NONDETECTABLE CONTAMINANTS DATA BASE FOR CARCINOGENS AND NONCARCINOGENS	D-1
APPENDIX E CONSERVATIVE SCREENING OF THE NONDETECTABLE CONTAMINANTS DATA BASE FOR CARCINOGENS AND NONCARCINOGENS	E-1
APPENDIX F NONCONSERVATIVE AND CONSERVATIVE SCREENING OF DETECTABLE CARCINOGENS AND NONCARCINOGENS IN GROUNDWATER	F-1
APPENDIX G DATA FOR ECOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT	G-1

FIGURES

Fig.

2.1	Waste Area Grouping 2 in White Oak Creek watershed	3
2.2	Reaches in Waste Area Grouping 2	4
4.1	Screening criteria for carcinogens	13
4.2	Screening criteria for noncarcinogens	14

TABLES

Table

2.1	Estimated discharges of selected radionuclides from White Oak Creek to the Clinch River	6
4.1	Usage factors for conservative and nonconservative screening	11
4.2	Summary for nonconservative screening of detected carcinogens	16
4.3	Carcinogens assigned to different screening categories	13
4.4	Summary table for nonconservative screening of detected noncarcinogens	19
4.5	Noncarcinogens assigned to different screening categories	20
4.6	Summary table for conservative screening of detected carcinogens	21
4.7	Carcinogens assigned to different screening categories	23
4.8	Summary table for conservative screening of detected noncarcinogens	25
4.9	Noncarcinogens assigned to different screening categories	26
4.10	Summary table for intruder screening scenario for detected carcinogens	29
4.11	Summary table for intruder screening scenario for detected noncarcinogens	30
4.12	Contaminants that were reported in either the detectables or nondetectables	33
5.1	Federally and state listed threatened and/or endangered species	43
5.2	Summary of benchmarks for priority contaminants in freshwater	49

ABBREVIATIONS

ARAR	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement
BAF	bioaccumulation factors
BCF	bioconcentration factors
BMAP	Biological Monitoring and Abatement Program
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CRRFI	Clinch River RFI
DOE	Department of Energy
EC	effective concentration
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ER	environmental restoration
ESP	Environmental Surveillance Program
FFA	Federal Facility Agreement
HEAST	Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables
HFIR	High Flux Isotope Reactor
HRE	Homogeneous Reactor Experiment
HSWA	Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
LC	lethal concentrations
LD	lethal dose
NAWQC	National Ambient Water Quality Criteria
NOEC	no observed effects concentration
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPL	National Priorities List
ORNL	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
ORR	Oak Ridge Reservation
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
QSAR	quantitative structure-activity relationships
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976
RFD	reference dose
RFI	RCRA facility investigation
RI	remedial investigation
RI/FS	remedial investigation/feasibility study
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986
SI	screening index
TDEC	Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation
TVA	Tennessee Valley Authority
TWRA	Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency
UCL	upper confidence limit
WAG	waste area grouping
WOC	White Oak Creek
WOCE	White Oak Creek Embayment
WOL	White Oak Lake
WOD	White Oak Dam

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Waste Area Grouping 2 (WAG 2) of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) is located in the White Oak Creek Watershed and is composed of White Oak Creek Embayment, White Oak Lake and associated floodplain, and portions of White Oak Creek (WOC) and Melton Branch downstream of ORNL facilities. Contaminants leaving other ORNL WAGs in the WOC watershed pass through WAG 2 before entering the Clinch River. Health and ecological risk screening analyses were conducted on contaminants in WAG 2 to determine which contaminants were of concern and would require immediate consideration for remedial action and which contaminants could be assigned a low priority for further study.

For screening purposes, WAG 2 was divided into four geographic reaches: Reach 1, a portion of WOC; Reach 2, Melton Branch; Reach 3, White Oak Lake and the floodplain area to the weirs on WOC and Melton Branch; and Reach 4, the White Oak Creek Embayment, for which an independent screening analysis has been completed.

Screening analyses were conducted using data bases compiled from existing data on carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic contaminants, which included organics, inorganics, and radionuclides. Contaminants for which at least one sample had a concentration above the level of detection were placed in a detectable contaminants data base. Those contaminants for which all samples were below the level of detection were placed in a nondetectable contaminants data base.

Health Risk. Screening indices were used to evaluate the potential human health risk from contaminants found in WAG 2. The screening index for a carcinogen is an estimate of exposure (ingestion, inhalation, external) multiplied by an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)-approved or -suggested slope factor to indicate the potential lifetime risk of excess cancer. A risk $\geq 10^{-4}$ excess cancers for a lifetime exposure to carcinogens is considered an action level by the EPA. Risks between 10^{-4} and 10^{-6} excess cancers per lifetime is a range where risk levels are of concern and negotiation on remedial action alternatives occurs and additional investigation is probably justified. A risk below 10^{-6} excess cancers per lifetime indicates that a carcinogen is of little concern and can be assigned a low priority for further investigation.

Screening indices for noncarcinogens are an estimate of the daily ingestion or inhalation of the contaminant divided by a "reference dose (RfD) factor". The RfD is an EPA-approved daily noncarcinogenic contaminant exposure level below which adverse effects should not occur. For noncarcinogens a screening index ≥ 1.0 is considered an action level, an index between 0.1 and 1.0 requires further investigation before taking action, and an index ≤ 0.1 indicates a low priority for further action.

A conservative and nonconservative screening approach was used in the health risk analysis. Conservative screening is designed to not underestimate potential risk and was used to identify contaminants with a low priority for further consideration; nonconservative screening is designed to provide a more realistic estimate of potential risk and was used to identify contaminants that have a high priority and require immediate consideration for remedial action. In addition an intruder (illegal hunter/fisherman)

scenario was included, which represents a realistic, although highly improbable, situation that could occur under current conditions.

Because relatively high levels of ^{137}Cs are known to exist in the sediment and floodplain soils of White Oak Lake, the area will require remedial action before public access is allowed. However, WAG 2 is surrounded by a personnel exclusion fence that is patrolled on a regular schedule, and it is highly unlikely that institutional control will be lost in the near future. Therefore, only the following four hypothetical exposure pathways were considered: (1) external exposure to sediment or floodplain soils, (2) ingestion of fish, (3) ingestion of soil, and (4) ingestion of water. Analytical results were available for all media in these pathways.

Nonconservative screening of the carcinogens in the detectable contaminants data bases identified the following as high priority for remedial action: ^{60}Co in Reaches 1, 2, and 3 for external exposure, ^{137}Cs in Reaches 1 and 3 for external exposure, PCBs (Aroclor 1254) in Reach 1 and 3 for the fish ingestion, and arsenic in Reaches 2 and 3 for the drinking water pathway. Arsenic is a possible artifact because of the small number of samples with detectable concentrations.

Ten carcinogens (dichlorobromomethane, Aroclor-1254, Aroclor-1260, ^{137}Cs , ^{90}Sr , ^3H , ^{152}Eu , ^{134}Cs , ^{154}Eu , and ^{234}U) in the detectable contaminants data bases were classified as requiring further investigation in one or more reaches. Limited data were available for ^{134}Cs , ^{152}Eu , ^{154}Eu and ^{234}U for some of the reaches; therefore, additional analyses are needed. Although they were not classified as requiring further action, data for ^{241}Am , ^{244}Cm , ^{238}Pu , and ^{239}Pu were limited and additional data will be required.

Nonconservative screening of the detectable contaminants data bases for noncarcinogens identified only thallium in Reaches 1, 2, and 3 as a high priority contaminant. However, this is a possible artifact, because only one sample was analyzed in each reach; thus, additional data are needed. Mercury in Reach 1 in the fish ingestion pathway and arsenic in Reach 2 in the water ingestion pathway will also require further investigation because their screening indices were between 0.1 and 1.0.

Conservative screening of the detectable contaminants data bases identified the following as low priority contaminants for further consideration: 3 organics, 12 radionuclides, and most metals in one or more reaches of WAG 2. However, because of limited information on some of these contaminants, additional data will be needed.

For contaminants with no detectable concentrations, a screening was conducted using the nominal value of the detection limits. Results of the nondetectable contaminants data bases should be viewed with caution because of the uncertainty associated with the contaminant concentrations. Nonconservative screening identified as high priority contaminants one organic carcinogen (acenaphthylene) in the fish ingestion pathway and two (benzidine and n-nitrosodimethylamine) in the sediment ingestion pathway as high priority contaminants. However, better detection limits are needed or a review of source-term data is appropriate. None of the noncarcinogens in the nondetectable

contaminants data bases were identified by nonconservative screening as high priority contaminants in WAG 2.

Groundwater was screened as an independent pathway. Nonconservative screening of the detectable contaminants data base for groundwater indicated that none of the carcinogens or noncarcinogens could be assigned a high priority. However, because of the lack of verification of the limited data base, additional data will be required for groundwater. Lead was not included in the screening analysis because an EPA-approved RfD was not available, but an EPA Uptake/Biokinetic model predicted that it would be a problem in groundwater in Reaches 1 and 3.

Results of the hypothetical intruder scenario indicated that the potential lifetime risk of excess cancers was $>10^{-4}$ from the ingestion of fish in Reaches 1 and 3 and from external exposure in Reaches 1, 2, and 3. PCBs and ^{137}Cs in Reach 1 and PCBs in Reach 3 were the greatest contributors to risk in the fish ingestion pathway. Cobalt-60 and ^{137}Cs in Reaches 1 and 3 and ^{60}Co in Reach 2 had screening indices $>10^{-4}$ in the external exposure pathway.

Ecological Risk. A screening assessment of ecological effects in WAG 2 was conducted concurrently with the human health assessment. This assessment considered three lines of evidence concerning the risks to nonhuman organisms posed by contaminants in WAG 2: biological surveys, toxicity tests of ambient media, and exposure/response analysis for measured contaminant concentrations. The biological survey data indicate that aquatic effects are not severe because a diverse and productive aquatic community is found in WAG 2. However, comparison of the aquatic biota to those of reference streams indicates that the composition of the benthic invertebrate community may be modified and fish reproduction may be disrupted. Biological survey data are not available for terrestrial biota.

Recent toxicity tests of water from WAG 2 do not indicate toxicity to *Ceriodaphnia dubia* or to larval fathead minnows in 7-day exposures. No toxicity tests have been performed on sediments or soils.

Comparison of media concentrations to toxicological benchmarks produced ambiguous results because of the large number of chemicals that were not detected but had limits of detection higher than potentially toxic concentrations. Mercury and PCBs were found at potentially toxic concentrations in both water and sediments in all reaches. Aluminum, cadmium, chromium, copper, and lead exceeded national ambient water quality criteria and state standards, and twelve other metals exceeded potentially toxic concentrations. Of the chemicals that had been detected in sediments and for which available concentrations could be estimated, barium, cobalt, mercury, silver, zinc, benzene, di-n-butyl phthalate, methylene chloride, and PCBs are potentially toxic to benthic organisms. Selenium and possibly cadmium were found in fish flesh at concentrations indicative of toxic effects. Mercury and PCBs occurred in fish flesh at concentrations that are potentially toxic to piscivorous wildlife based on dietary toxicity data, and many other chemicals occurred at concentrations that would exceed the reference dose for human health effects when wildlife consumption rates were used. No analyses could be performed for toxic effects on terrestrial organisms other than piscivorous wildlife.

One can conclude from this evidence that ecotoxicological effects may be occurring in WAG 2, but they are not as severe as would be suggested by the exposure/response analysis using the reported chemical concentrations. This discrepancy is due in part to the conservatism of the screening criteria, but the authors believe that the principal factor is the inappropriateness of many of the analyses as estimators of bioavailable concentrations. Therefore, future activities should focus on estimation of actual exposure levels. In addition, chemical and biological data are needed from terrestrial portions of WAG 2. Future assessments will focus on improving the relevance of exposure/response estimates to conditions in WAG 2 and will continue to attempt to reconcile the three lines of evidence concerning ecological effects.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to present the results of a health risk and ecological risk screening analysis for Waste Area Grouping 2 (WAG 2) using available data to identify contaminants and environmental pathways that will require either further investigation or immediate consideration for remediation based on the screening indices. The screening analysis will also identify contaminants that can be assigned a low priority for further investigation and those that require additional data.

WAG 2 is located in the White Oak Creek (WOC) watershed and is the integrating WAG for the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) because it receives effluents from many upgradient WAGs. WAG 2 receives subsurface flow and surface drainage from the ORNL Main Plant area (WAG 1), from solid waste storage areas (WAGs 3, 4, 5, and 6), liquid waste seepage pits and trenches (WAG 7), and the experimental reactor facilities (WAGs 8 and 9). Any contaminated water from these WAGs flows through WAG 2 to the Clinch River. WAG 2 is surrounded by a personnel exclusion fence that is patrolled on a regular schedule.

A full-scale Remedial Investigation (RI) and an implementation of corrective measures are not planned for WAG 2 until remediation of upgradient WAGs is completed. However, there is an obvious need to have a quantitative understanding of contaminants entering WAG 2 and the fluxes of these contaminants to (1) protect the public and environment, (2) monitor contaminants released from ORNL WAGs, and (3) develop information and data for preparing a remedial action plan for WAG 2. The RI for WAG 2 (ORNL 1990) calls for characterizing the area, monitoring contaminant levels, performing a risk assessment, and identifying remedial action needs and alternatives.

The U. S. Department of Energy (DOE) Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR) was added to the National Priorities List (NPL) in December 1989. A Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) [under Section 120 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) as amended by the Superfund Amendment and Reauthorization Act (SARA) and Section 6001 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)] between DOE, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region IV, and the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC) has been signed. These parties intend to coordinate DOE's CERCLA/RCRA response obligation with the corrective measures required and conducted by DOE under its current permit under the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984 to RCRA of 1976, and they expect that the response actions under the FFA, together with corrective measures, will achieve comprehensive remediation of releases and potential releases of contaminants from ORNL. The current screening analysis is part of WAG 2's RI, which is being initiated in compliance with Section 3004(u) of the HSWA of RCRA.

2. DESCRIPTION OF AREA

WAG 2 is located in the WOC watershed, which has a drainage area of approximately 16.8 km² (6.5 sq miles) (Fig. 2.1). The creek originates on Chestnut Ridge and flows southward for approximately 2.5 km (1.6 miles) before entering the fenced area of ORNL. As the stream flows through ORNL, the flow rate is increased substantially by waste water entering the stream from ORNL facilities. Melton Branch, which has a drainage area of 3.8 km² (1.5 sq miles), enters WOC at km 2.5 (mile 1.56). White Oak Dam (WOD), a small earthen dam constructed in 1943, is located on WOC 1 km (0.6 miles) upstream from the Clinch River. White Oak Creek Embayment (WOCE) extends downstream from WOD to the Clinch River at km 33.5 (mile 20.8).

WAG 2 consists of the WOC drainage downstream of the ORNL discharge points and includes associated floodplain and subsurface environments. Because of the large number of waste sites and the hydrologic complexity at ORNL, hydrologic units that contain contiguous remedial action sites have been placed into WAGs. WAG 2 is made up of WOCE, White Oak Lake (WOL) and its former lake bed and current floodplain area, WOC and associated floodplain upstream to WOC km 3.45 at the 7500 Bridge on Melton Valley Drive, and Melton Branch and associated floodplain to Melton Branch km 1.5 just above the tributary entering Melton Branch from the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR). Detailed maps of the area are given in the WAG 2 RI (ORNL 1990). Because WAG 2 interfaces with the other WAGs, the boundaries between WAG 2 and these WAGs have not been clearly defined.

For screening purposes, WAG 2 was divided into 4 units identified as reaches (Fig. 2.2). Reach 1 extends from the weir on WOC at km 2.65 (mile 1.56) to the 7500 bridge on Melton Valley Drive at WOC km 3.54. Reach 2 extends from the weir on Melton Branch at km 0.16 upstream to km 1.5, which is just above the tributary from HFIR. Reach 3 extends upstream from WOD to the WOC and Melton Branch weirs. Reach 4 is WOCE, which is not included in the current screening analysis because an independent screening analysis was completed for the embayment (Blaylock et al. 1991). For a detailed description and history of these areas, see the RI Plan for WAG 2 (ORNL 1990) and the Interim Site Characterization and Contaminant Screening Report on the WOCE (Blaylock et al. 1991).

2.1 WHITE OAK LAKE

WOL was created in 1943 by placing interlocking steel pilings and a sluice gate upstream from a culvert and road fill that was constructed at km 1.0 on WOC by TVA in 1941. The lake is currently maintained at an elevation of 745 ft msl which results in a standing pond behind the dam of approximately 6.9 ha (17 acres); however, the size of the standing pond has changed many times during the past five decades from the original 17.9 ha (44.2 acres) to about one acre when the lake was drained in 1955 (ORNL 1990, Blaylock et al. 1991).

Fig. 2.1. Waste Area Grouping in White Oak Creek Watershed.

ORNL DWG 91M-13921

Fig 2.2. Reaches in Waste Area Grouping 2.

The lake has served as the final settling basin for low-level radioactive effluents from ORNL since 1943. Although low levels of radioactivity have been released over the dam since 1943, current levels are much lower than releases made in previous years (Table 2.1) (Blaylock et al. 1991). Radionuclides and other contaminants have accumulated in the bottom sediments of WOL (Lomenick and Gardiner 1965; Oakes et al. 1982; Sherwood and Loar 1987; and Loar ed. 1989). The most recent estimate of the radionuclide inventory of 434 Ci of different radionuclides in the sediment of WOL was made by Blaylock and Mohrbacher (Loar ed. 1989) in 1988.

2.2 FLOODPLAIN

The former lake bed and floodplain of WOL and WOC extend from the upper reaches of the standing pond at an elevation of 745 ft msl to WOC and Melton Branch weirs. In 1943, the floodplain area was cleared of trees but is now covered with secondary growth and is being reforested with sycamore, ash, and maple trees. Since WOL is maintained at a lower elevation than when it was first formed, a large portion of the former lake bed is now exposed (ORNL 1990). The former lake bed contains concentrations of radionuclides similar to those in the sediment of WOL.

2.3 WHITE OAK CREEK

Upstream from the lake, WOC is a small stream passing through a second growth forest. The mean annual flow of WOC at the weir (km 2.65) is approximately 230 L/s (Loar ed. 1988; 1991). The floodplain upstream from the weir is covered by forest and contains, at WOC km 3.2 and km 3.4, the former sites of two earthen dikes, which were washed out in 1944. The former ponds, which contained trace quantities of ^{238}Pu , $^{239,240}\text{Pu}$, ^{241}Am , and ^{244}Cm , are now a 3-ha contaminated floodplain covered by a second growth forest. This area is estimated to contain 0.5 Ci of $^{239,240}\text{Pu}$ in the top 20 cm of soil. Another weir and monitoring station is located just below the bridge on Melton Valley Drive at WOC km 3.4.

2.4 MELTON BRANCH

Melton Branch, which originates at the eastern end of Melton Valley and joins WOC at km 2.6 (Fig. 2.1), is the largest tributary of WOC. It is a small stream with an annual average flow of about 60 L/s at the Melton Branch Weir (km 0.16) (Loar ed. 1988; 1991). No flow has been recorded on several occasions during dry periods at the upper gaging station at km 1.93 (Loar ed. 1988). Stream flow in lower Melton Branch is augmented by periodic discharges from several process waste basins and by cooling tower blowdown from HFIR. Cobalt-60 and tritium (^3H) are the radionuclides that are found at the highest concentrations in Melton Branch.

Table 2.1. Estimated discharges of selected radionuclides from White Oak Creek to the Clinch River (curies)

Year	¹³⁷ Cs	¹⁰⁶ Ru	⁹⁰ Sr	¹³¹ I	⁶⁰ Co	³ H	TRU ^a
1949	77	110	150	77		NA ^b	0.04 ^c
1950	19	23	38	19			0.04
1951	20	18	29	18			0.08
1952	10	15	72	20			0.03
1953	6	26	130	2			0.08
1954	22	11	140	4	NA		0.07
1955	63	31	93	7	7		0.25
1956	170	29	100	4	46		0.28
1957	89	60	83	1	5		0.15
1958	55	42	150	8	9		0.08
1959	76	520	60	1	77		0.68
1960	31	1900	28	5	72		0.19
1961	15	2000	22	4	31		0.07
1962	6	1400	9	0.4	14		0.06
1963	4	430	8	0.4	14		0.17
1964	6	190	7	0.3	15	1,900	0.08
1965	2	69	3	0.2	12	1,200	0.50
1966	2	29	3	0.2	7	3,100	0.16
1967	3	7	5	0.9	3	13,300	1.03
1968	1	5	3	0.3	1	9,700	0.04
1969	1	2	3	0.5	1	12,200	0.20
1970	2	1	4	0.3	1	9,500	0.40
1971	1	0.5	3	0.2	1	8,900	0.05
1972	2	0.5	6	0.3	1	10,600	0.07
1973	2	0.7	7	0.5	1	15,000	0.08
1974	1	0.2	6	0.2	0.6	8,600	0.02
1975	0.6	0.3	7	0.3	0.5	11,000	0.02
1976	0.2	0.2	5	0.03	0.9	7,400	0.01
1977	0.2	0.2	3	0.03	0.4	6,200	0.03
1978	0.3	0.2	2	0.04	0.4	6,300	0.03
1979	0.2	0.1	2.4	0.04	0.4	7,700	0.03
1980	0.6	0	1.5	0.04	0.4	4,600	0.04
1981	0.2	0.1	1.5	0.04	0.7	2,900	0.04
1982	1.5	0.2	2.7	0.06	1.0	5,400	0.03
1983	1.2	0.2	2.1	0.004	0.3	5,600	0.05
1984	0.6	0.2	2.6	0.05	0.2	6,400	0.03
1985	0.4	0.007	3.0		0.6	3,700	0.008
1986	1.0	0	1.8		0.54	2,600	0.024
1987	0.6	0	1.2		0.12	2,500	0.006
1988	0.4	0	1.1		<0.07	1,700	
1989	1.2	0	2.9		0.13	4,100	
1990	1.1	0	3.1		0.12	3,100	
	696.3	6,931.6	1,204.9	175.33	325.26	175,200	5.248

^aTransuranics.^bNo analysis performed.^cEstimated from measurements made during the last quarter of 1949.

2.5 WHITE OAK CREEK EMBAYMENT

WOCE extends 1 km downstream from WOD and is the hydrologic link between WOD and the Clinch River. The surface area of the creek as it flows through the embayment is controlled by the summer and winter elevations of Watts Bar Reservoir. At the summer pool elevation of 741 ft msl at the mouth of the embayment, the creek covers approximately 8.5 acres; but, at the winter pool level, much of the embayment is a mud flat with a small stream meandering through it to the Clinch River.

A screening analysis has been completed for WOCE (Blaylock et al. 1991); therefore, the embayment will not be included in the current screening analysis of WAG 2. However, the results of the screening analysis of WOCE will be considered in the conclusions.

3. SOURCES OF INFORMATION

The data used in the screening analyses include a compilation of existing data from previous and current monitoring programs, surveys, and scientific studies. Monitoring activities and scientific studies have been conducted on WOL and the WAG 2 area for more than four decades; and, as a result, a large amount of data has accumulated. A systematic review of all available data was conducted to compile a data base suitable for a screening analysis. These data were summarized to obtain source terms for predicting the risk level to humans and biota exposed to the environmental contaminants. Data from many of the earlier radiological studies were not included in the data base because many of the radionuclides previously reported are no longer released and have relatively short half-lives; therefore, the environmental concentrations of these radionuclides are very low. For example, ^{106}Ru , which was one of the major dose contributors found in WOL in the early 1960s, is practically undetectable today because of its relatively short half-life (1 year) and because it was readily transported from the WAGs in the water. The current data bases represent measurements of contaminants in sediment, surface water, groundwater, and fish. Data used in the screening analyses are contained in the appendices.

4. SCREENING RISK ASSESSMENT FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

4.1 APPROACH

The approach used in the current screening analysis is similar to the one used for the preliminary screening analysis of the Clinch River off-site environment (Hoffman et al. 1990) and WOCE (Blaylock et al. 1991). Because of the relatively high levels of ^{137}Cs that are known to exist in the sediments and floodplain of WOL, the area will require remedial action. In addition, WAG 2 is surrounded by a personnel exclusion fence that is patrolled on a regular schedule, and it is highly unlikely that institutional control of this area will be lost; therefore, calculations based on a homesteader scenario and inclusion of dredging and irrigation scenarios were not considered in this screening analysis. Two screening procedures—a conservative and a nonconservative approach—were used in this analysis. The conservative approach is highly unlikely to underestimate potential maximum exposures to individuals who might use the WAG 2 environment, but may substantially overestimate the majority of the actual exposures to individuals. The nonconservative screening approach provides a more realistic estimate of exposure and should not substantially overestimate the maximum exposure to individuals in the area. However, under some circumstances, nonconservative screening could underestimate maximum exposures. Calculations were also made for an intruder (illegal entry) scenario similar to the one made for the WOCE (Blaylock et al. 1991).

4.2 SCREENING INDICES

The screening index for a carcinogen is an estimate of exposure to the contaminant via external exposure, ingestion, or inhalation multiplied by the EPA approved or suggested slope factor for radioactive and nonradioactive substances to indicate the potential lifetime risk of excess cancer (EPA 1990). The slope factor is based upon an estimate of the lifetime risk of additional cancer incidence per unit of exposure.

The screening index for noncarcinogens is an estimate of the daily ingestion or inhalation of the contaminant divided by a "reference dose (RfD) factor". The RfD is an EPA-approved, daily noncarcinogenic-contaminant exposure level below which adverse effects should not occur.

To estimate the potential risk from all contaminants in a particular exposure pathway, the screening indices are summed for all contaminants in the pathway. Summation is conducted separately for carcinogens and noncarcinogens. To estimate the potential risk from exposure to multiple pathways, the screening indices are summed across pathways.

4.3 PATHWAYS

Only four exposure pathways were considered: (1) external exposure from shoreline sediment and floodplain soils, (2) ingestion of sediment and floodplain soil, (3) ingestion

of fish, and (4) the ingestion of water, both surface and groundwater. The ingestion of surface water was included in the basic screening analysis, and groundwater was screened separately (Sect. 4.8.4). Other exposure pathways were not considered because WAG 2 is surrounded by a personnel exclusion fence and it is highly unlikely that institutional control of the area will be lost in the foreseeable future. Modeling concentrations for exposure pathways was unnecessary because measured concentrations of most contaminants were available for the environmental media of concern. All scenarios are hypothetical and were created for screening purposes only.

4.4 INTRUDER SCENARIO

In addition to the conservative and nonconservative screening analyses, calculations were made for an intruder scenario. Such a scenario represents a more realistic, although highly improbable, situation that could occur under current conditions. The scenario selected involves an individual who illegally enters WAG 2 to hunt and fish. For fishing, he enters the area 10 days per year for 25 years and remains in the area for 4 hours per trip. He catches an average of 10 kg of fish per year, of which 33% is edible. The same intruder is also a deer and waterfowl hunter who enters WAG 2 to hunt deer 10 days per year for 10 years and remains in the area for 6 hours each day. The hunter kills one deer per year, which weighs 54 kg, of which 33% is edible tissue. The intruder enters WAG 2 to hunt waterfowl 5 days per year for 10 years and remains in the area for 4 hours per day. He kills a total of 10 waterfowl per year, which weigh 1 kg each, of which 33% is edible tissue. The intruder is assumed to consume all the fish and game he poaches. Because of the short amount of time the intruder spends on WAG 2, the only pathway other than fish and game ingestion that was considered was external exposure from floodplain soil and shoreline sediment.

4.5 DATA BASES

The data were divided into two categories—detectable and nondetectable contaminants. Contaminants for which at least one measurement was above the level of detection were included in the detectable data bases. Contaminants for which all measurements were below the level of detection were included in the nondetectable data bases. For conservative screening, the upper 95% confidence limit of the arithmetic mean of the lognormally distributed data for both the detectable and nondetectable contaminant data sets was used to represent the concentration in the environmental medium. For nonconservative screening, the geometric mean of each contaminant in the detectable data set and the lowest detection limit in the nondetectable data set were used as the contaminant concentration in the environmental medium.

4.6 USAGE FACTORS

Usage factors for conservative and nonconservative screening are listed in Table 4.1. These factors are similar to the ones used by Hoffman et al. (1990) and Blaylock et al.

Table 4.1. Usage factors for conservative and nonconservative screening^a

Exposure route	Conservative screening	Nonconservative screening
<u>Ingestion</u>		
Fish		
Carcinogens ^b	33 g/d	3.3 g/d
Noncarcinogens ^c	65 g/d	6.5 g/d
Drinking water	2.0 L/d	0.2 L/d
Sediment	0.1 g/d	0.01 g/d
<u>External exposure</u>		
Radioactive sediment	1000 h/year	100 h/year

^aUsage factors for the intruder scenario are given in Sect. 4.4.

^bExposure duration 350 d/year for 30 years.

^cExposure duration 350 d/year for 1 year.

(1991). However, EPA has reconsidered the maximum exposure duration and now recommends a lifetime exposure period of 350 days per year for 30 years. The usage factor for carcinogens is then a 30-year intake divided by a 70-year lifespan (OSWER 1991).

Noncarcinogens, unlike carcinogens, have a threshold value; therefore, a maximum intake of a noncarcinogen over a short period, which would result in exceeding the threshold value, is more critical than a long-term, low-level ingestion of the contaminant. To obtain a realistic maximum exposure for conservative screening for noncarcinogenic contaminants, the usage factor for fish ingestion was assumed to be two 0.5-lb (230-g) fish meals per week or 65 g/day for an exposure duration of one year.

4.7 SCREENING CRITERIA

A risk $\geq 10^{-4}$ excess cancers for a lifetime exposure to carcinogens is considered an action level by EPA (Federal Register, March 8, 1990). Between 10^{-4} and 10^{-6} excess cancers per lifetime is a range where risk levels are of concern, negotiation on remedial action alternatives occurs, and additional investigation is probably justified. A risk below 10^{-6} excess cancers per lifetime indicates that a carcinogen is of little concern and can be assigned a low priority for further investigation. The conservative screening approach is

used to identify contaminants that have a low priority for further investigation. In contrast, the nonconservative screening approach is used to identify contaminants with a high priority that require either immediate consideration for remedial action or further study.

4.7.1 Carcinogen Screening

Screening criteria used in this report for carcinogens are summarized in Fig. 4.1. For conservative screening of carcinogens, substances having screening indices $\leq 10^{-6}$ are low priority for further consideration. Carcinogens with screening indices between 10^{-6} and 10^{-4} require further investigation before either taking action or designating these substances as low priority. Carcinogens with screening indices $\geq 10^{-4}$ require further investigation before taking action.

For nonconservative screening of carcinogens, substances having screening indices $\geq 10^{-4}$ are high priority substances which require immediate consideration for remedial action. Carcinogens with screening indices between 10^{-4} and 10^{-6} are substances requiring further investigation before taking action (i.e., re-examination of the data base, checking parameter values, recalculating screening indices, etc.). Because nonconservative screening employs parameter values that should not greatly overestimate maximum exposures to a contaminant, nonconservative screening is not used to identify contaminants with low priority for further consideration.

4.7.2 Noncarcinogen Screening

Figure 4.2 summarizes the screening criteria for noncarcinogens. For conservative screening of noncarcinogens, contaminants with screening indices (exposure divided by an RfD) ≤ 0.1 are low priority for further consideration, and contaminants with screening indices between 1.0 and 0.1 require further investigation before either taking action or designating the substance as a low priority substance. Noncarcinogens with conservative screening indices ≥ 1.0 require further investigation before taking action.

For nonconservative screening of noncarcinogens, contaminants with screening indices ≥ 1.0 are high priority substances requiring immediate consideration for remedial action, and contaminants with screening indices between 1.0 and 0.1 require further investigation before taking action. Screening indices < 0.1 are not used to designate low priority substances for reasons similar to those previously given for nonconservative screening of carcinogens (i.e., nonconservative screening employs parameter values that should not greatly overestimate maximum exposures to a contaminant).

4.8 CONTAMINANT SCREENING RESULTS

4.8.1 Detectable Contaminants

Results of nonconservative and conservative screening for individual contaminants for each of the three reaches that had at least one value above the detection limit are given

Screening Criteria for Carcinogens

Conservative Estimate of Exposure

Nonconservative Estimate of Exposure

Screening index (SI) = exposure multiplied by a lifetime cancer slope factor

Fig. 4.1. Criteria for conservative and nonconservative screening of carcinogens.

Screening Criteria for Noncarcinogens

Screening index (SI) = exposure divided by reference dose factor (RfD)

Fig. 4.2. Criteria for conservative and nonconservative screening of noncarcinogens.

in Appendix A and Appendix B. Results of the screening for the intruder scenario are given in Appendix C.

4.8.1.1 Nonconservative screening of detectable contaminants

Nonconservative screening is conducted to identify contaminants with a high priority for immediate consideration for remedial action. In the current screening analysis, individual carcinogens that have a screening index $\geq 10^{-4}$ and noncarcinogens that have a screening index ≥ 1.0 were classified as high priority contaminants (Figs. 4.1 and 4.2).

Carcinogens. A summary is given in Table 4.2 of nonconservative screening indices for the classes of contaminants (radionuclides, organics, and inorganics), exposure pathways, and individual reaches. Screening indices for individual carcinogens are given in Table A1 (Appendix A). Screening indices are summed for the classes of contaminants and for exposure pathways, which are then summed to obtain the total screening index for the individual reach.

All three reaches had screening indices $> 10^{-4}$, requiring immediate consideration for remediation for all three (Table 4.2). Reaches 1 and 3 had screening indices $\geq 10^{-3}$. In Reach 1, the exposure pathways that contribute the greatest risk are ingestion of fish and external exposure to sediment. These pathways had screening indices of 2×10^{-4} and 7×10^{-4} , respectively. Organic contaminants contribute the greatest risk in the fish ingestion pathway. Radionuclides in sediment, the only external exposure pathway, had an external exposure screening index of 7×10^{-4} . The ingestion of water, with a screening index of 7×10^{-5} , requires further investigation.

In Reach 2 external exposure to sediment and ingestion of water had screening indices $\geq 10^{-4}$, requiring immediate consideration for remedial action (Table 4.2). Inorganics in the water ingestion pathway and radionuclides in the external exposure pathway are the primary contributors to the screening indices.

In Reach 3 all pathways, except the ingestion of sediment, had screening indices $\geq 10^{-4}$, requiring immediate consideration for remedial action (Table 4.2). External exposure to radionuclides in sediment had the highest screening index of 1×10^{-3} . Organic contaminants in the fish ingestion pathway and inorganic contaminants in the water ingestion pathway were the major contributors to the screening indices.

Individual carcinogens designated as high priority by nonconservative screening (screening indices $> 10^{-4}$) and those requiring further investigation (screening indices 10^{-4} to 10^{-6}) are listed in Table A1 (Appendix A) according to contaminant type, reach, and exposure pathway. The following contaminants have screening indices $\geq 10^{-4}$ and are designated as high priority contaminants that require immediate consideration for remedial action: arsenic in water in Reaches 2 and 3; PCB (Aroclor 1254) in fish in Reaches 1 and 3; external exposure from ^{60}Co in sediment in Reaches 1, 2, and 3; and external exposure from ^{137}Cs in sediment in Reaches 1 and 3.

Table 4.2. Summary table for nonconservative screening of detected carcinogens

Reach	Media	Contaminant type	Sums of carcinogen screening indices
1	Fish	Organic	2E-04
1	Fish	Radionuclide	7E-05
1	Fish	All	2E-04
1	Sediment ingestion	Inorganic	1E-06
1	Sediment ingestion	Organic	5E-07
1	Sediment ingestion	Radionuclide	7E-07
1	Sediment ingestion	All	2E-06
1	External exposure	Radionuclide	7E-04
1	Sediment	All	7E-04
1	Water	Organic	5E-05
1	Water	Radionuclide	2E-05
1	Water	All	7E-05
1		Reach total	1E-03
2	Fish	Organic	3E-05
2	Fish	Radionuclide	2E-05
2	Fish	All	5E-05
2	Sediment ingestion	Inorganic	5E-07
2	Sediment ingestion	Organic	4E-07
2	Sediment ingestion	Radionuclide	2E-07
2	Sediment ingestion	All	1E-06
2	External exposure	Radionuclide	5E-04
2	Sediment	All	5E-04
2	Water	Inorganic	3E-04
2	Water	Organic	2E-05
2	Water	Radionuclide	1E-05
2	Water	All	3E-04
2		Reach total	9E-04
3	Fish	Organic	2E-04
3	Fish	Radionuclide	1E-05
3	Fish	All	2E-04
3	Sediment ingestion	Inorganic	1E-06
3	Sediment ingestion	Organic	3E-07
3	Sediment ingestion	Radionuclide	9E-07
3	Sediment ingestion	All	2E-06
3	External exposure	Radionuclide	1E-03
3	Sediment	All	1E-03
3	Water	Inorganic	2E-04
3	Water	Organic	2E-05
3	Water	Radionuclide	5E-05
3	Water	All	2E-04
3		Reach total	1E-03

Arsenic was detected in only 4 of 75 water samples analyzed for Reach 2 and in only 2 of 38 samples analyzed for Reach 3 (Table A1); therefore, it is questionable whether arsenic should be assigned to the category requiring immediate consideration for remedial action.

Contaminants requiring further investigation (screening indices of 10^{-4} to 10^{-6}) in different reaches and pathways are shown in Table 4.3. Dichlorobromomethane was detected in only 3 of 29 water samples in Reach 1 and may be an artifact of sample analysis (Table A1, Appendix A). Of the 7 radionuclides listed as requiring further investigation, limited data are available for ^{134}Cs , ^{152}Eu , ^{154}Eu , and ^{234}U for some of the reaches and pathways (Table A1); therefore, additional data are needed. For the same reasons, radionuclides such as ^{241}Am , ^{244}Cm , ^{238}Pu , and ^{239}Pu in sediment, which were not listed as requiring further consideration in Reach 1 because the screening was based on measurements made of only one sample, should be considered for further sampling and analysis.

Noncarcinogens. Nonconservative screening indices for reaches, classes of contaminants, and exposure pathways are summarized for the detected noncarcinogens in Table 4.4. The noncarcinogen analyses do not include an external exposure pathway because radionuclides that produce the external exposure are classified as carcinogens. Reaches 1, 2, and 3 had screening indices ≥ 1.0 and were classified as requiring immediate consideration for remediation. In all three reaches, inorganics in the water ingestion pathway was the only class of contaminant that had screening indices > 1.0 . Inorganics in the fish ingestion pathway in Reach 1 was the only class of contaminant that had a screening index between 0.1 and 1.0 and requires further investigation before taking action (Fig. 4.2). Table 4.5 shows that thallium in the water ingestion pathway was the only contaminant that had a screening index ≥ 1.0 . Based on the screening index, thallium would be classified as a contaminant requiring immediate consideration for remedial action; however, because only one water sample from each reach was analyzed for thallium (Table A2, Appendix A), additional data are required before classifying it.

Mercury in the fish ingestion pathway in Reach 1 and arsenic in water in Reach 2 were the only contaminants that had screening indices between 0.1 and 1.0, requiring further investigation before taking action. Arsenic was detected in only 4 of 75 water samples analyzed for Reach 2; therefore, it may be an artifact of sample analysis.

4.8.1.2 Conservative screening of detectable contaminants

Conservative screening is conducted to identify contaminants that can be assigned a low priority for further investigation. In the current screening analysis, low priority contaminants include individual carcinogens that have a screening index $\leq 10^{-6}$ and noncarcinogens that have a screening index ≤ 0.1 (Figs. 4.1 and 4.2).

Carcinogens. Screening indices for the individual contaminants are summed by classes of carcinogens (radionuclides, organics, and inorganics) for each exposure pathway. The sum for each pathway and each individual reach are given in Table 4.6. All pathways and reaches had screening indices $\geq 10^{-6}$; therefore, none of the pathways or reaches can be

Table 4.3. Carcinogens assigned to different screening categories by nonconservative screening of data base where at least one value for each contaminant was above detection limits

Contaminant type	Contaminant	Reach	Exposure pathway
<i>High priority—require immediate consideration for remedial action</i> <i>Screening indices $\geq 10^4$</i>			
Inorganic	Arsenic	2,3	water ingestion
Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	1,3	fish ingestion
Radionuclide	^{60}Co	1,2,3	external exposure
	^{137}Cs	1,3	external exposure
<i>Require further investigation before taking action</i> <i>Screening indices 10^4 to 10^6</i>			
Organic	Dichlorobromomemthane	1	water ingestion
	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	2	fish ingestion
	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	1	water ingestion
	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1260)	1,2,3	fish ingestion
	PCBs (total)	1,2,3	water ingestion
Radionuclide	^{137}Cs	1,2,3	fish ingestion
	^{137}Cs	2	external exposure
	^{137}Cs	1,3	water ingestion
	^{90}Sr	1,2,3	water ingestion
	^3H	1,2,3	water ingestion
	^{152}Eu	1,2,3	external exposure
	^{152}Eu	2	water ingestion
	^{134}Cs	1,2,3	external exposure
	^{154}Eu	1,2,3	external exposure
	^{154}Eu	2	water ingestion
	^{234}U	3	water ingestion

Table 4.4. Summary table for nonconservative screening of detected noncarcinogens

Reach	Media	Contaminant type	Sums of noncarcinogen screening indices
1	Fish	Inorganic	1E-01
1	Fish	All	1E-01
1	Water	Inorganic	4E+00
1	Water	Organic	4E-03
1	Water	All	4E+00
1		Reach total	4E+00
2	Fish	Inorganic	5E-02
2	Fish	All	5E-02
2	Sediment ingestion	Inorganic	3E-03
2	Sediment ingestion	Organic	8E-06
2	Sediment ingestion	All	3E-03
2	Water	Inorganic	4E+00
2	Water	Organic	3E-03
2	Water	All	4E+00
2		Reach total	4E+00
3	Fish	Inorganic	7E-02
3	Fish	All	7E-02
3	Sediment ingestion	Inorganic	4E-03
3	Sediment ingestion	Organic	1E-05
3	Sediment ingestion	All	4E-03
3	Water	Inorganic	4E-03
3	Water	Organic	1E-05
3	Water	All	4E-03
3		Reach total	3E+00

Table 4.5. Noncarcinogens assigned to different screening categories by nonconservative screening of data base where at least one value for each contaminant was above detection limits

Contaminant type	Contaminant	Reach	Exposure pathway
<i>High priority—require immediate consideration for remedial action</i> <i>Screening indices ≥ 1.0</i>			
Inorganic	Thallium	1,2,3	water ingestion
<i>Require further investigation before taking action</i> <i>Screening indices 0.1 to 1.0</i>			
Inorganic	Arsenic	2	water ingestion
	Mercury	1	fish ingestion

Table 4.6. Summary table for conservative screening of detected carcinogens

Reach	Media	Contaminant type	Sums of noncarcinogen screening indices
1	Fish	Organic	3E-03
1	Fish	Inorganic	1E-03
1	Fish	All	4E-03
1	Sediment ingestion	Inorganic	2E-05
1	Sediment ingestion	Organic	3E-05
1	Sediment ingestion	Radionuclide	5E-05
1	Sediment ingestion	All	1E-04
1	External exposure	Radionuclide	1E-01
1	Sediment	All	1E-01
1	Water	Organic	8E-04
1	Water	Radionuclide	4E-04
1	Water	All	1E-03
1	Reach total		1E-01
2	Fish	Organic	2E-03
2	Fish	Radionuclide	3E-04
2	Fish	All	2E-03
2	Sediment ingestion	Inorganic	8E-06
2	Sediment ingestion	Organic	2E-05
2	Sediment ingestion	Radionuclide	2E-05
2	Sediment ingestion	All	5E-05
2	External exposure	Radionuclide	5E-02
2	Sediment	All	5E-02
2	Water	Inorganic	3E-03
2	Water	Organic	4E-04
2	Water	Radionuclide	1E-03
2	Water	All	4E-03
2	Reach total		6E-03
3	Fish	Organic	3E-03
3	Fish	Radionuclide	3E-04
3	Fish	All	4E-03
3	Sediment ingestion	Inorganic	5E-05
3	Sediment ingestion	Organic	4E-05
3	Sediment ingestion	Radionuclide	6E-05
3	Sediment ingestion	All	5E-02
3	External exposure	Radionuclide	2E-01
3	Sediment	All	2E-01
3	Water	Inorganic	2E-03
3	Water	Organic	5E-04
3	Water	Radionuclide	6E-04
3	Water	All	3E-03
3	Reach total		2E-01

given low priority for further consideration (Fig 4.1). Reaches 1 and 3 had screening indices $\geq 10^{-1}$ and Reach 2 had a screening index of 6×10^{-3} . Exposure to the sediment pathway in all reaches was the major contributor to the total screening index for each reach. Fish ingestion in Reaches 1 and 2 and the ingestion of sediment in Reach 3 were the next most important pathways.

Table 4.7 lists the carcinogens with screening indices $< 10^{-6}$, which can be given a low priority for further consideration based on conservative screening. However, because of the small number of sediment samples analyzed for ^{241}Am , ^{244}Cm , ^{238}Pu , ^{239}Pu , ^{234}U , ^{235}U , and ^{238}U in Reaches 1, 2, and 3 (Table B1, Appendix B), these contaminants cannot be eliminated from consideration for the sediment pathways and require further investigation. Table 4.7 also lists contaminants (screening indices 10^{-6} to 10^{-4}) that require further investigation before either taking action or designating them as low priority and contaminants with screening indices $\geq 10^{-4}$ that require further investigation before taking action. The radionuclides already listed in this paragraph require further investigation or designation as a low priority for the water ingestion pathway. However, the data for the uranium isotopes (^{234}U , ^{235}U , and ^{238}U) are too limited to provide reliable screening results (Table B1).

Noncarcinogens. Summaries of the conservative screening indices for the noncarcinogens in the detectable data base are given in Table 4.8. All reaches had screening indices > 0.1 and therefore cannot be given a low priority for further consideration. The screening indices for all reaches were $\geq 3 \times 10^{-1}$; however, these indices are misleading because they result primarily from concentrations of thallium obtained from a single water sample taken from each reach. For this reason, the data are insufficient other than to conclude that thallium was detected and additional sampling is needed (Table B2, Appendix B). In addition, thallium has an RfD that is one to two orders of magnitude less than the RfDs for other inorganics so that concentrations near the detection limits can produce relatively high screening indices.

The sediment ingestion pathways in Reaches 1 and 2 have screening indices < 0.1 and can be assigned low priority for further consideration, as can organics in the water ingestion pathways for all reaches. The fish ingestion pathways in Reaches 1 and 3 have screening indices ≥ 1.0 and require further investigation before taking action (Fig. 4.2). All other sediment and fish pathways have screening indices between 0.1 and 1.0, thus requiring further investigation before assigning the pathway a low priority or taking action.

Noncarcinogens that can be assigned a low priority in the detectable data base by conservative screening (screening indices < 0.1) are listed by contaminant, reach, and exposure pathway in Table 4.9. Most inorganics for which data are available can be assigned a low priority for further study for sediment ingestion in all reaches. Barium, copper, mercury, nickel, and zinc can be assigned a low priority for further study in all reaches for the water and sediment ingestion pathways. All detectable noncarcinogenic organics in all reaches for which data are available can be assigned a low priority for further investigation (Table B2). Noncarcinogenic contaminants with screening indices of 0.1 to 1.0, which require further investigation before taking action or designating the

Table 4.7. Carcinogens assigned to different screening categories by conservative screening of data base where at least one value for each contaminant was above detection limits

Contaminant type	Contaminant	Reach	Exposure pathway
<i>Low priority for further consideration Screening indices $<10^{-6}$</i>			
Organic	Benzene	3	sediment ingestion
	Chloroform	3	sediment ingestion
	Methylene chloride	3	sediment ingestion
Radionuclide	^{241}Am	1,2,3	external exposure
	^{241}Am	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	^{244}Cm	1,2,3	external exposure
	^{244}Cm	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	^{60}Co	3	fish ingestion
	^{134}Cs	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	^{152}Eu	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	^{154}Eu	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	^{238}Pu	1,2,3	external exposure
	^{238}Pu	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	^{238}Pu	3	water ingestion
	^{239}Pu	1,2,3	external exposure
	^{239}Pu	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	^{239}Pu	3	water ingestion
	^{90}Sr	2,3	sediment ingestion
	^{234}U	1,2,3	external exposure
	^{234}U	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	^{235}U	1,2,3	external exposure
	^{235}U	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	^{238}U	1,2,3	external exposure
	^{238}U	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
<i>Require further investigation before taking action or designating as low priority Screening indices 10^{-6} to 10^{-4}</i>			
Inorganic	Arsenic	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Beryllium	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
Organic	Benzene	1	water ingestion
	Chloroform	1,2,3	water ingestion
	Dichlorobromomethane	1	water ingestion
	Methylene chloride	1,2,3	water ingestion
	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	1,2	sediment ingestion
	Tetrachloroethylene	1,3	water ingestion
	Trichloroethylene	1,2,3	water ingestion

Table 4.7 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant	Reach	Exposure pathway
Radionuclide	²⁴¹ Am	3	water ingestion
	²⁴⁴ Cm	3	water ingestion
	⁶⁰ Co	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	⁶⁰ Co	1,3	water ingestion
	¹³⁷ Cs	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	¹³⁷ Cs	2,3	water ingestion
	¹⁵⁴ Eu	1	external exposure
	¹⁵⁴ Eu	2	water ingestion
	⁹⁰ Sr	3	fish ingestion
	⁹⁰ Sr	1	sediment ingestion
	²³⁴ U	3	water ingestion
	²³⁵ U	3	water ingestion
	²³⁸ U	3	water ingestion
<i>Require further investigation before taking action</i>			
<i>Screening indices $\geq 10^{-4}$</i>			
Inorganic	Arsenic	2,3	water ingestion
Organic	PCBs (total)	1,2,3	water ingestion
	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	1,2,3	fish ingestion
	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	1	water ingestion
	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	1,2,3	water ingestion
Radionuclide	⁶⁰ Co	1,2,3	external exposure
	⁶⁰ Co	2	water ingestion
	¹³⁴ Cs	1,2,3	external exposure
	¹³⁷ Cs	1,2,3	fish ingestion
	¹³⁷ Cs	1,2,3	external exposure
	¹³⁷ Cs	1	water ingestion
	¹⁵² Eu	1,2,3	external exposure
	¹⁵² Eu	2	water ingestion
	¹⁵⁴ Eu	2,3	external exposure
	³ H	1,2,3	water ingestion
	⁹⁰ Sr	1,2,3	water ingestion

Table 4.8. Summary table for conservative screening of detected noncarcinogens

Reach	Media	Contaminant type	Sums of noncarcinogen screening indices
1	Fish	Inorganic	2E+00
1	Fish	All	2E-00
1	Sediment ingestion	Inorganic	9E-02
1	Sediment	All	9E-02
1	Water	Inorganic	4E+01
1	Water	Organic	6E-02
1	Water	All	4E+01
1		Reach total	4E+01
2	Fish	Inorganic	8E-01
2	Fish	All	8E-01
2	Sediment ingestion	Inorganic	4E-02
2	Sediment ingestion	Organic	2E-04
2	Sediment ingestion	All	4E-02
2	Water	Inorganic	4E+01
2	Water	Organic	5E-02
2	Water	All	4E+01
2		Reach total	4E+01
3	Fish	Inorganic	1E+00
3	Fish	All	1E-00
3	Sediment ingestion	Inorganic	2E-01
3	Sediment ingestion	Organic	3E-04
3	Sediment ingestion	All	2E-01
3	Water	Inorganic	3E+01
3	Water	Organic	6E-02
3	Water	All	3E+01
3		Reach total	3E+01

Table 4.9. Noncarcinogens assigned to different screening categories by conservative screening of data base where at least one value for each contaminant was above detection limits

Contaminant type	Contaminant	Reach	Exposure pathway
<i>Low priority for further consideration</i> <i>Screening indices <0.1</i>			
Inorganic	Antimony	1,2	sediment ingestion
	Arsenic	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Barium	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Barium	1,2,3	water ingestion
	Beryllium	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Boron	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Cadmium	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Chromium	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Chromium	1,2	water ingestion
	Copper	1,2,3	fish ingestion
	Copper	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Copper	1,2,3	water ingestion
	Mercury	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Mercury	1,2,3	water ingestion
	Molybdenum	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Nickel	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Nickel	1,2,3	water ingestion
	Selenium	3	fish ingestion
	Selenium	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Silver	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Tin	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Vanadium	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Vanadium	2	water ingestion
	Zinc	1,2,3	fish ingestion
	Zinc	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Zinc	1,2,3	water ingestion
Organic	1,1-Dichloroethane	2	water ingestion
	1,2-Dichloroethane (total)	2	water ingestion
	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	3	water ingestion
	Acetone	1,2,3	water ingestion
	Carbon disulfide	1,2,3	water ingestion
	Chloroform	3	sediment ingestion
	Chloroform	1,2,3	water ingestion
	Dichlorobromomethane	1	water ingestion
	Di-n-butyl phthalate	2,3	sediment ingestion
	Ethylbenzene	1	water ingestion
	Methylene chloride	3	sediment ingestion
	Methylene chloride	1,2,3	water ingestion
	Tetrachloroethylene	1,3	water ingestion
	Toluene	3	sediment ingestion
	Toluene	1,2,3	sediment ingestion
	Xylene	1,2,3	water ingestion
	Xylene	1,2,3	water ingestion

Table 4.9 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant	Reach	Exposure pathway
<i>Require further investigation before taking action or designating as low priority Screening indices 0.1 to 1.0</i>			
Inorganic	Antimony	3	sediment ingestion
	Cadmium	1,2,3	fish ingestion
	Cadmium	2	water ingestion
	Chromium	3	water ingestion
	Mercury	2,3	fish ingestion
	Selenium	1,2,3	fish ingestion
<i>Require further investigation before taking action Screening indices ≥ 1.0</i>			
Inorganic	Arsenic	2,3	water ingestion
	Mercury	1	fish ingestion
	Thallium	1,2,3	water ingestion

contaminant as low priority, and contaminants with screening indices ≥ 1.0 , which require further investigation before taking action, are also listed in Table 4.9.

4.8.1.3 Intruder scenario

The intruder scenario is a hypothetical situation that is intended to represent a maximally exposed individual who enters WAG 2 illegally to hunt and fish and consumes game and fish from the area. Only the detectable contaminants data bases were used in the intruder scenario. The assumptions are reasonable but would be applicable to very few individuals because of security and other safeguards that are intended to limit human access to the area. The ingestion rates used in the intruder scenario are less conservative than those used in the nonconservative screening because the intruder enters WAG 2 a limited number of times. Only the ingestion of fish, venison, and waterfowl and external exposure to radionuclides accumulated in the shore line sediment and floodplain soil were considered in this scenario. The ingestion of waterfowl was considered only for Reach 1 (Table 4.10) because most of the waterfowl are associated with WOL and the floodplain area. The ingestion of soil and the consumption of water were not considered because of the limited amount of time (4 to 6 hours per trip) the intruder would spend in the WAG 2 area.

Carcinogens. Calculations for the intruder scenario were made using only the detectable contaminants data base. Results of these calculations are summarized for the carcinogens in Table 4.10 for each class of contaminant (organics, inorganics, and radionuclides), for each exposure pathway, and for each reach. The screening indices for individual carcinogens are given in Table C1 (Appendix C) along with the geometric means of the data that were used as the concentration of each contaminant. All reaches had screening indices $> 10^{-4}$. The fish ingestion pathway contributed the greatest risk for all reaches; radionuclides in fish contributed the greatest risk in Reaches 1 and 2, and organic contaminants in fish the greatest in Reach 3.

The screening indices for the external exposure pathway for all reaches were $> 10^{-4}$, which was less than the values calculated for nonconservative screening. This result can be attributed to the relatively short time (maximum of 120 hours per year for 25 years or less) that the intruder spent in WAG 2. Individual radionuclides and their contribution to the external exposure screening indices are given in Table C2 (Appendix C).

Noncarcinogens. Results of the calculations for noncarcinogens in the intruder scenario are listed in Table 4.11, and the screening indices for individual contaminants are given in Table C3 (Appendix C), along with the geometric mean for the concentrations of individual contaminants. Only the ingestion pathways were considered for the noncarcinogens, and the sums of the screening indices for noncarcinogens for all reaches were < 1.0 . The noncarcinogen showing the highest screening index (1.5×10^{-1}) was mercury in fish in Reach 1.

**Table 4.10. Summary table for intruder screening scenario
for detected carcinogens**

Reach no.	Exposure pathway	Contaminant type	Carcinogen screening indices
1	Fish ingestion	Radionuclide	2E-04
1	Fish ingestion	Organic	2E-04
1	Fish total		3E-04
1	Venison ingestion	Radionuclide	1E-06
1	Total ingestion		3E-04
1	External Exposure	Radionuclide	4E-04
1	Reach total		8E-04
2	Fish ingestion	Radionuclide	4E-05
2	Fish ingestion	Organic	3E-05
2	Fish total		8E-05
2	Venison ingestion	Radionuclide	1E-06
	Total ingestion		8E-05
2	External Exposure	Radionuclide	3E-04
2	Reach total		4E-04
3	Fish ingestion	Radionuclide	3E-05
3	Fish ingestion	Organic	2E-04
3	Fish total		2E-04
3	Venison ingestion	Radionuclide	1E-06
3	Duck flesh ingestion	Radionuclide	4E-07
3	Game total		1E-06
3	Total ingestion		2E-04
3	External Exposure	Radionuclide	6E-04
3	Reach total		8E-04

**Table 4.11. Summary table for intruder screening scenario
for detected noncarcinogens**

Reach no.	Exposure pathway	Contaminant type	Noncarcinogen screening indices
1	Fish ingestion	Inorganic	2E-01
1	Reach total		2E-01
2	Fish ingestion	Inorganic	6E-02
2	Reach total		6E-02
3	Fish ingestion	Inorganic	9E-02
3	Duck flesh ingestion	Inorganic	7E-03
3	Reach total		1E-01

4.8.2 Nondetectable Contaminants

The nondetectable contaminant data base contained approximately three times as many contaminants as the detectable contaminant data base. Some contaminants are included in both data bases because the contaminant was present in detectable quantities in one medium and not in another. Screening would not be complete without including the nondetectable contaminants; however, caution should be exercised in interpreting the results because of the uncertainty associated with the contaminant concentrations.

4.8.2.1 Nonconservative screening

Nonconservative screening of the nondetectable contaminants is used to identify contaminants that have a high priority for action to lower detection limits and those for which source-term data are needed to determine whether, in the past, extremely small, if any, quantities of these contaminants have been released from ORNL. Results of the nonconservative screening for the nondetectable contaminant data bases are given in Tables D1 and D2 (Appendix D). Screening indices for individual contaminants are listed according to exposure pathway and reach, and concentrations are the minimum detection limits in the data base. Pathways for which values are not given are either in the detectable contaminant data base or data were not available. Screening indices were not summed for contaminant type, pathway, or reach because the uncertainty resulting from using detection limits would make the sums overly conservative.

Carcinogens. Only three organic carcinogens—acenaphtylene in the fish ingestion pathway and benzidine and n-nitrosodimethylamine in the sediment ingestion

pathway—had screening indices $>10^{-4}$ (Table D1, Appendix D). Normally, such screening indices would identify these carcinogens as high priority contaminants that require immediate consideration for remedial action; however, because the screening analysis was based on minimum detection limits, the indices indicate that better detection limits are needed and/or a review of source-term data is appropriate. Two inorganics and 57 organic contaminants had screening indices between 10^{-4} and 10^{-6} , which would require further investigation before taking action.

Noncarcinogens. All noncarcinogens in the nondetectable contaminant data base had screening indices <1.0 (Table D2, Appendix D); therefore, they would not be considered high priority contaminants requiring immediate consideration for remedial action. Antimony in the fish and water ingestion pathways, 4,6-dinitro-ortho-cresol in the fish ingestion pathway, and heptachlor epoxide in the sediment ingestion pathway had screening indices between 0.1 and 1.0 and would require further investigation before taking action.

4.8.2.2 Conservative screening

The purpose of conservative screening is to identify contaminants with a low priority for further consideration: contaminants that have screening indices $<10^{-6}$ for carcinogens and <0.1 for noncarcinogens (Figs. 4.1 and 4.2). Values for nondetectable contaminants are based on detection limits; therefore, contaminant concentrations that were used in the screening are greater than concentrations actually present in the samples. This bias can significantly increase the conservatism of the screening, so the number of contaminants identified as low priority will probably be small.

Carcinogens. Conservative screening of the nondetectable contaminants data base for carcinogens showed that 25 organic contaminants in the sediment ingestion pathway had screening indices $<10^{-6}$ and can be assigned low priority for further consideration (Table E1, Appendix E). Two inorganics, arsenic and beryllium, in the fish and water ingestion pathways and 46 organics in at least one pathway had screening indices $\geq 10^{-4}$ and would require further investigation before taking action. The remaining organic contaminants had screening indices between 10^{-4} and 10^{-6} , which would require further investigation before taking action or designating the contaminants as low priority.

Noncarcinogens. Inorganic noncarcinogens that had screening indices <0.1 and can be assigned low priority for further considerations include the following: chromium and nickel in the fish ingestion pathway; boron, vanadium, and tin in the water ingestion pathway; cyanide in the sediment ingestion pathway; and silver and beryllium in the fish and water ingestion pathways (Table E2, Appendix E). Thirteen organics in the fish ingestion pathway and two in the sediment ingestion pathways also had screening indices <0.1 and can be assigned low priority for further consideration.

Inorganic noncarcinogens that have screening indices >1.0 in one or more reaches and that would require further investigation before taking action are antimony in the fish ingestion and antimony and arsenic in the water ingestion pathways. Molybdenum, selenium, and cadmium in the water ingestion pathway, and thallium in the sediment

ingestion pathway have screening indices between 0.1 and 1.0. Eight organics contaminants in the fish ingestion pathway, including two in both the fish and sediment ingestion pathways, had screening indices >1.0 . The remaining organics listed in Table E2 (Appendix E) have screening indices between 0.1 and 1.0 and would require further consideration before taking action or designating the contaminants as low priority.

4.8.3 Nonclassified Contaminants

Contaminants in the detectable and nondetectable contaminants data bases for which RfDs or slope factors were not available are listed in Table 4.12. Dose conversion factors are available for the radionuclides listed in Table 4.12; however, the EPA has not provided slope factors for these radionuclides. Groundwater is not included in the nondetectable contaminant listing because the data are undergoing verification. Lead is the only contaminant that was detected in fish (Reaches 1 and 3) that did not have a screening factor, but it is doubtful that lead concentrations would present a problem in the fish ingestion pathway (Sect. 4.8.5).

4.8.4 Groundwater

Groundwater was screened independently of the other pathways for Reaches 1, 2, and 3. Either groundwater or surface water can be used for the water ingestion pathway, but because the consumption rate (2 L/d) is limited, only one source can be summed with the other pathways to obtain a screening index for a reach. Because the data base for groundwater is being revised and additional data are becoming available, the decision was made to use surface water in the screening analysis and perform independent calculations for the groundwater pathway. In addition, the data base for the nondetectable contaminants for groundwater has not been verified; therefore, conservative and nonconservative screening will be conducted only on the detectable data base.

4.8.4.1 Nonconservative screening of detectable contaminants in groundwater

Carcinogen. Screening indices for the nonconservative screening of carcinogens in the groundwater are given in Appendix F for each class of contaminant, exposure pathway, and reach. Reach 3 had a screening index for groundwater $\geq 10^{-4}$, indicating it as a high priority reach requiring immediate consideration for remedial action (Table F1, Appendix F). However, screening indices for individual contaminants in the groundwater in Reach 3 range from 2×10^{-5} to 8×10^{-9} , and only the contaminants that have screening indices between 10^{-4} and 10^{-6} require investigation before taking action. One of the major contributors to the screening index in Reach 3 was arsenic, but because it was detected in only 2 of 74 samples analyzed, it is a possible artifact of the analytical procedure. The other major contributors to the screening index in Reach 3 were the radionuclides ^{224}Ra , ^{234}Th , and ^{234}U . These data are questionable because of the small number of samples analyzed for these radionuclides; therefore, additional sampling is required. Reaches 1 and 2 had total screening indices 1×10^{-5} and 2×10^{-5} , respectively, indicating that further action is required before taking action. In Reach 1, the major contributor to the screening index was beryllium, with a screening index of 1×10^{-5} . In Reach 2, the major contributor was tritium, with a screening index of 1×10^{-5} . Additional data are needed because of the

Table 4.12. Contaminants that were reported in either the detectables or nondetectables data bases for which screening factors were not available

Detectable contaminants

Media name	Contaminant name	Reach	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric mean (mg/kg wet)	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/kg wet)
Fish	Lead	1	7/43	4.3E-02	1.7E-01
Fish	Lead	3	7/36	5.6E-02	2.1E-01
				(mg/L)	(mg/L)
Groundwater	1,2-Benzenedicarboxylic acid	3	1/5	7.9E-03	1.2E-02
Groundwater	2-Pentanone(4,4-dimethyl)	3	1/1	4.0E-03	4.0E-03
Groundwater	Aluminum	1	13/19	4.4E-01	9.0E+00
Groundwater	Aluminum	2	12/15	2.5E-01	4.7E+00
Groundwater	Aluminum	3	19/35	2.4E-01	4.7E+00
Groundwater	Benzenamine, n-phenyl	3	1/1	7.0E-03	7.0E-03
Groundwater	Benzene, methyl	3	1/5	4.2E-03	6.1E-03
Groundwater	Beta-BHC	3	2/7	6.3E-05	9.8E-05
Groundwater	Cobalt	1	3/19	7.0E-03	1.9E-02
Groundwater	Cobalt	2	2/15	6.3E-03	1.2E-02
Groundwater	Cobalt	3	9/36	1.2E-02	2.2E-02
Groundwater	Dichloromethane	3	4/5	8.9E-03	1.6E-02
Groundwater	Hexane,3,4-bis(1,1-dimethyl)	3	1/1	3.8E-02	3.8E-02
Groundwater	Lead	1	1/19	5.8E-02	1.7E-01
Groundwater	Lead	3	6/74	2.4E-02	6.6E-02
Groundwater	Lithium	1	1/18	2.2E+00	1.2E+01
Groundwater	Strontium	1	18/18	3.7E-01	1.4E+00
Groundwater	Strontium	2	11/11	1.9E-01	5.8E-01
Groundwater	Strontium	3	13/13	3.1E-01	7.4E-01
Groundwater	Titanium	1	3/18	2.1E-02	2.1E-02
Groundwater	Titanium	2	2/11	2.4E-02	5.0E-02
Groundwater	Titanium	3	5/13	2.6E-02	3.8E-02
Groundwater	Total Uranium	1	2/8	5.8E-04	7.8E-04
Groundwater	Total Uranium	2	1/9	5.1E-04	5.4E-04
Groundwater	Total Uranium	3	3/12	8.1E-04	7.4E-03
Groundwater	Trichloromethane	3	1/5	4.5E-03	5.7E-03

Table 4.12 (continued)

Detectable contaminants

Media name	Contaminant name	Reach	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric mean (mg/kg or Bq/kg dry)	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg or Bq/kg)
Sediment	Aluminum	1	31/31	1.3E+03	5.3E+03
Sediment	Aluminum	2	111/111	6.0E+02	2.0E+03
Sediment	Aluminum	3	58/58	1.5E+03	1.0E+04
Sediment	⁵⁷ Co	2	4/4	8.0E+01	1.6E+02
Sediment	⁵⁷ Co	3	2/2	2.7E+02	5.9E+02
Sediment	Cobalt	1	31/31	6.4E+00	8.8E+00
Sediment	Cobalt	2	111/111	1.0E+01	1.1E+01
Sediment	Cobalt	3	54/58	1.2E+01	1.9E+01
Sediment	¹⁵⁵ Eu	2	13/13	1.1E+02	2.4E+02
Sediment	¹⁵⁵ Eu	3	8/8	1.2E+02	2.2E+02
Sediment	Lead	1	15/31	1.1E+01	2.1E+01
Sediment	Lead	2	41/111	3.4E+00	5.6E+00
Sediment	Lead	3	34/62	8.0E+00	2.6E+01
Sediment	Lithium	2	4/111	5.6E+00	2.6E+02
Sediment	Lithium	3	2/54	1.5E+01	5.5E+02
Sediment	¹⁹¹ Os	2	1/1	2.9E+01	2.9E+01
Sediment	¹²⁵ Sb	1	2/2	7.4E+02	1.7E+03
Sediment	¹²⁵ Sb	3	4/4	2.5E+02	3.9E+02
Sediment	⁷⁵ Se	3	2/2	8.6E+01	4.2E+02
Sediment	Strontium	1	31/31	6.1E+00	9.1E+00
Sediment	Strontium	2	111/111	8.2E+00	8.8E+00
Sediment	Strontium	3	54/58	6.7E+00	9.5E+00
Sediment	Titanium	1	22/31	5.5E+00	5.1E+01
Sediment	Titanium	2	95/111	9.0E-01	2.2E+01
Sediment	Titanium	3	55/58	4.9E+00	7.3E+02
Sediment	Total Plutonium	3	4/4	4.6E+02	5.1E+02
Sediment	Zirconium	1	24/31	1.9E+00	2.9E+00
Sediment	Zirconium	2	106/111	1.2E+00	1.5E+00
Sediment	Zirconium	3	53/58	2.3E+00	4.0E+01
				(Mg or Bq/L)	(Mg or Bq/L)
Surface water	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	2	2/29	4.9E-03	6.9E-03
Surface water	Aluminum	1	27/32	3.0E-01	9.9E-01
Surface water	Aluminum	2	27/32	4.8E-01	2.7E+00
Surface water	Aluminum	3	28/32	5.7E-01	1.2E+00
Surface water	¹⁵⁵ Eu	2	6/6	8.4E+00	1.6E+01
Surface water	Lead	1	4/33	5.4E-03	1.3E-02
Surface water	Lead	2	5/76	1.4E-02	2.4E-02
Surface water	Lead	3	7/33	5.2E-03	1.3E-02
Surface water	¹⁹¹ Os	3	2/2	3.3E+00	3.3E+00
Surface water	Strontium	1	3/3	8.7E-02	1.1E-01
Surface water	Strontium	2	3/3	1.1E-01	1.7E-01
Surface water	Strontium	3	3/3	9.0E-02	9.6E-02
Surface water	Titanium	2	1/3	2.9E-02	9.4E-02

Table 4.12 (continued)

Nondetectable contaminants

Media type	Contaminant name	Reach	Total number of samples	Minimum detection limits (mg/kg wet)	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/kg wet)
Fish	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	12	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2	8	2.0E-01	1.9E+00
Fish	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	3	16	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	2-Methylnaphthalene	1	12	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	2-Methylnaphthalene	2	8	2.0E-01	1.9E+00
Fish	2-Methylnaphthalene	3	16	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	2-Methylphenol	1	12	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	2-Methylphenol	2	8	2.0E-01	1.9E+00
Fish	2-Methylphenol	3	16	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	2-Nitroaniline	1	12	1.0E+00	9.8E+00
Fish	2-Nitroaniline	2	8	1.0E+00	9.5E+00
Fish	2-Nitroaniline	3	16	1.0E+00	9.9E+00
Fish	2-Nitrophenol	1	24	2.0E-01	6.0E+00
Fish	2-Nitrophenol	2	16	2.0E-01	5.5E+00
Fish	2-Nitrophenol	3	32	2.0E-01	6.2E+00
Fish	3-Nitroaniline	1	12	1.0E+00	9.8E+00
Fish	3-Nitroaniline	2	8	1.0E+00	9.5E+00
Fish	3-Nitroaniline	3	16	1.0E+00	9.9E+00
Fish	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	1	12	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2	8	2.0E-01	1.9E+00
Fish	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	3	16	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	1	12	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2	8	2.0E-01	1.9E+00
Fish	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	3	16	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	4-Nitroaniline	1	12	1.0E+00	9.8E+00
Fish	4-Nitroaniline	2	8	1.0E+00	9.5E+00
Fish	4-Nitroaniline	3	16	1.0E+00	9.9E+00
Fish	Bis(2-chlorodisopropyl)ether	1	12	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	Bis(2-chlorodisopropyl)ether	2	8	2.0E-01	1.9E+00
Fish	Bis(2-chlorodisopropyl)ether	3	16	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	1	12	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	2	8	2.0E-01	1.9E+00
Fish	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	3	16	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	Delta BHC	1	12	5.0E-03	3.9E-02
Fish	Delta BHC	2	8	5.0E-03	3.8E-02
Fish	Delta BHC	3	16	5.0E-03	4.0E-02
Fish	Dibenzofuran	1	12	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	Dibenzofuran	2	8	2.0E-01	1.9E+00
Fish	Dibenzofuran	3	16	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	Endosulfan sulfate	1	12	9.0E-03	2.0E-01
Fish	Endosulfan sulfate	2	8	9.0E-03	1.9E-01
Fish	Endosulfan sulfate	3	16	9.0E-03	2.0E-01
Fish	Endrin ketone	1	12	9.0E-03	3.9E-02
Fish	Endrin ketone	2	8	9.0E-03	3.8E-02
Fish	Endrin ketone	3	16	9.0E-03	4.0E-02

Table 4.12 (continued)

Nondetectable contaminants

Media type	Contaminant name	Reach	Total number of samples	Minimum detection limits (mg/kg wet)	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/kg wet)
Fish	Lead	2	19	2.0E-02	3.4E-01
Fish	Parachlorometa cresol	1	12	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
Fish	Parachlorometa cresol	2	8	2.0E-01	1.9E+00
Fish	Parachlorometa cresol	3	16	2.0E-01	2.0E+00
				(mg/kg dry)	(mg/kg dry)
Sediment	1,2-Dichloropropene	3	2	1.0E-02	1.0E-02
Sediment	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1	2	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2	10	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	3	16	9.1E-01	1.1E+01
Sediment	2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	3	1	1.0E-02	1.0E-02
Sediment	2-Chlorovinyl ether	3	1	1.0E-02	1.0E-02
Sediment	2-Methylnaphthalene	1	2	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	2-Methylnaphthalene	2	10	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	2-Methylnaphthalene	3	14	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	2-Methylphenol	1	3	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	2-Methylphenol	2	10	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	2-Methylphenol	3	14	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	2-Nitroaniline	1	2	1.0E+01	2.8E+02
Sediment	2-Nitroaniline	2	10	1.0E+01	4.1E+01
Sediment	2-Nitroaniline	3	14	1.0E+01	2.8E+01
Sediment	2-Nitrophenol	1	3	1.0E+01	3.1E+01
Sediment	2-Nitrophenol	2	10	1.0E+01	2.0E+01
Sediment	2-Nitrophenol	3	14	1.0E+01	2.0E+01
Sediment	3-Nitroaniline	1	2	1.0E+01	2.8E+02
Sediment	3-Nitroaniline	2	10	1.0E+01	4.1E+01
Sediment	3-Nitroaniline	3	14	1.0E+01	2.8E+01
Sediment	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	1	2	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2	10	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	3	16	9.1E-01	1.1E+01
Sediment	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	1	2	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2	10	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	3	16	9.1E-01	1.1E+01
Sediment	4-Nitroaniline	1	2	1.0E+01	2.8E+02
Sediment	4-Nitroaniline	2	10	1.0E+01	4.1E+01
Sediment	4-Nitroaniline	3	14	1.0E+01	2.8E+01
Sediment	4-Nitrophenol	1	3	5.0E+01	5.0E+01
Sediment	4-Nitrophenol	2	10	5.0E+01	5.0E+01
Sediment	4-Nitrophenol	3	16	9.1E-01	5.3E+01
Sediment	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	1	2	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	2	10	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	3	16	9.1E-01	1.1E+01
Sediment	Delta BHC	1	2	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	Delta BHC	2	6	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	Delta BHC	3	13	9.1E-01	1.1E+01
Sediment	Dibenzofuran	1	3	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	Dibenzofuran	2	10	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	Dibenzofuran	3	14	1.0E+01	1.0E+01

Table 4.12 (continued)

Nondetectable contaminants

Media type	Contaminant name	Reach	Total number of samples	Minimum detection limits mg/kg dry	95% upper conf bound on mean mg/kg dry
Sediment	Endosulfan sulfate	1	1	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	Endosulfan sulfate	2	6	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	Endosulfan sulfate	3	13	9.1E-01	1.1E+01
Sediment	Endrin aldehyde	1	1	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	Endrin aldehyde	2	6	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	Endrin aldehyde	3	13	9.1E-01	1.1E+01
Sediment	Gallium	1	18	2.9E+00	1.5E+01
Sediment	Gallium	2	98	3.0E+00	6.2E+00
Sediment	Gallium	3	45	2.9E+00	2.4E+01
Sediment	Lithium	1	30	2.0E+00	1.0E+03
Sediment	Parachlorometa cresol	1	2	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	Parachlorometa cresol	2	10	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
Sediment	Parachlorometa cresol	3	16	9.1E-01	1.1E+01
Sediment	Silvex	3	5	1.0E+01	1.0E+01
				(Mg or Bq/L)	(Mg or Bq/L)
Surface water	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	1	29	5.0E-03	7.1E-03
Surface water	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	3	28	5.0E-03	7.2E-03
Surface water	2-Hexanone	1	29	1.0E-02	1.4E-02
Surface water	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	2	29	1.0E-02	1.4E-02
Surface water	2-Hexanone	3	28	1.0E-02	1.4E-02
Surface water	Cobalt	1	3	4.0E-03	4.0E-03
Surface water	Cobalt	2	3	4.0E-03	4.0E-03
Surface water	Cobalt	3	3	4.0E-03	4.0E-03
Surface water	¹²⁹ I	3	10	1.0E-02	1.0E-01
Surface water	Lithium	1	3	1.5E+01	1.5E+01
Surface water	Lithium	2	3	1.5E+01	1.5E+01
Surface water	Lithium	3	3	1.5E+01	1.5E+01
Surface water	Titanium	1	3	2.0E-02	2.0E-02
Surface water	Titanium	3	3	2.0E-02	2.0E-02
Surface water	Zirconium	1	3	2.0E-02	2.0E-02
Surface water	Zirconium	2	3	2.0E-02	2.0E-02
Surface water	Zirconium	3	3	2.0E-02	2.0E-02

limitations of the data bases used in the screening analyses of groundwater; however, the detectable contaminants in the data bases are useful to verify that a contaminant is present in groundwater.

Noncarcinogen. Screening indices for the nonconservative screening of detectable noncarcinogens are listed in Table F2, Appendix F. All reaches had screening indices <1.0 , indicating that noncarcinogens in groundwater in the three reaches are not high priority contaminants that would require immediate consideration for remedial action. Reaches 1 and 2 had screening indices of 6.5×10^{-1} and 1.0×10^{-1} , respectively, which would require further investigation before taking action. Antimony, with a screening index of 5.7×10^{-1} , is the only noncarcinogen that would require further investigation in Reach 1. However, this analysis is based on two detectable measurements out of a total of 20 analyzed, indicating that additional sampling is needed and that the analytical procedures should be reviewed. All other contaminants in Reach 1 have screening indices <0.1 . For Reach 3, the screening index for inorganics was 1.0×10^{-1} ; however, none of the individual contaminants had screening indices ≥ 0.1 .

4.8.4.2 Conservative screening of detectable contaminants in groundwater

Carcinogen. Conservative screening of carcinogens in groundwater did not eliminate from further investigation any of the contaminants in the detectable contaminants data base (Table F3, Appendix F). All reaches had screening indices $>10^{-3}$. Beryllium and tritium had screening indices $>10^{-4}$ in all three reaches. Strontium-90 in Reaches 1 and 2 and arsenic, ^{234}U , ^{60}Co , ^{224}Ra , ^{241}Am , and ^{234}Th in Reach 3 had screening indices $>10^{-4}$. However, the data for ^{234}U , ^{224}Ra , ^{241}Am , and ^{234}Th in Reach 3 is limited and should only be used to support additional data collection.

Noncarcinogen. Conservative screening of noncarcinogens in groundwater indicated that none of the reaches could be assigned low priority for further consideration (Table F4, Appendix F). Organics in Reach 3 was the only class of noncarcinogens that could be assigned a low priority for further investigation. Antimony in Reach 1 was the only carcinogen that had a screening index ≥ 1.0 . Four inorganics in Reach 1, six in Reach 2, and five in Reach 3 had screening indices <0.1 , but most of these cannot be eliminated from further consideration because of the limited data.

4.8.5 Special Case—Lead

Although an action level for lead of 0.015 mg/L has been adopted by EPA (EPA 1991), specific toxicity values are not available (Table 4.12); therefore, an EPA Uptake/Biokinetic model designed to a target population of children (0 to 6 years old) was used to evaluate the risk from lead in WAG 2. Results obtained using this model indicate that concentrations in groundwater in Reaches 1 and 3 would present a problem. This scenario is hypothetical because WAG 2 is a fenced area where public access is not allowed.

4.9 CONCLUSIONS FOR SCREENING RISK ASSESSMENT FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE

4.9.1 Reaches 1 through 3

Nonconservative screening of the detectable and nondetectable contaminants data bases for four exposure pathways was used to identify high priority contaminants for the three reaches of WAG 2. The carcinogens in the detectable contaminants data bases that were assigned high priority were ^{60}Co in Reaches 1, 2, and 3 and ^{137}Cs in Reaches 1 and 3 for the external exposure pathway; PCB (Aroclor 1254) in Reach 1 and 3 for the fish ingestion pathway; and arsenic in Reaches 2 and 3 for the drinking (surface) water pathway. Arsenic is a possible artifact because of the small number of samples in which the concentration was above the limits of detection.

Ten carcinogens (dichlorobromomethane, Aroclor 1254, Aroclor 1260, ^{137}Cs , ^{90}Sr , ^3H , ^{152}Eu , ^{134}Cs , ^{154}Eu , and ^{234}U) in the detectable contaminants data bases were classified as requiring further investigation in one or more reaches. Three of these contaminants were organics and seven were radionuclides. Limited data were available for ^{134}Cs , ^{152}Eu , ^{154}Eu and ^{234}U for some of the reaches; therefore, additional analyses are needed for these radionuclides. Limited data also were available for ^{241}Am , ^{244}Cm , ^{238}Pu , and ^{239}Pu ; thus, although they were not classified as requiring further action, additional data are required.

For noncarcinogens in the detectable contaminants data bases, only thallium was assigned high priority for immediate consideration for remedial action in Reaches 1, 2, and 3. However, because only one sample was analyzed in each reach, thallium may be an artifact and it requires additional data. Mercury in Reach 1 in the fish ingestion pathway and arsenic in Reach 2 in the water ingestion pathway were the only noncarcinogens in the detectable contaminants data bases that would require further investigation.

Conservative screening of the detectable contaminants data bases identified 3 organic and 12 radionuclides in one or more reaches of WAG 2 as low priority carcinogens for further consideration. However, because of limited information on some of these contaminants, additional data are needed. Most inorganic noncarcinogens in the sediment ingestion pathway in all reaches can be assigned a low priority. Low priority was assigned to barium, copper, mercury, nickel, and zinc in the sediment and water ingestion pathways in all reaches.

Results of the nondetectable contaminants data bases should be viewed with caution because of the uncertainty associated with the contaminant concentrations. Nonconservative screening identified as high-priority contaminants one organic carcinogen in the fish ingestion pathway and two in the sediment ingestion pathway. However, because the screening analysis was based on minimum detection limits, better detection limits are needed, and a review of source-term data is appropriate. None of the noncarcinogens were identified by nonconservative screening as high priority contaminants in WAG 2.

Conservative screening of the nondetectable data bases identified 25 organic carcinogens that can be assigned low priority for further consideration in the sediment ingestion pathway. Low priority can also be given to 29 organic noncarcinogens plus chromium and nickel in the fish ingestion pathway; boron, vanadium, and tin in the water ingestion pathway; cyanide in the sediment ingestion pathway; and silver and beryllium in the fish and water ingestion pathways.

Nonconservative screening of the detectable contaminants data base for groundwater indicated that no carcinogen or noncarcinogen could be assigned a high priority. However, because of the lack of verification of the limited data base, additional data will be required for groundwater. Lead was not included in the screening analysis, but an EPA Uptake/Biokinetic model predicted that it would be a problem in groundwater in Reaches 1 and 3.

Results of the intruder scenario indicated that the greatest risk in this hypothetical scenario was from the ingestion of fish in all reaches. In the fish ingestion pathway, radionuclides contributed the greatest risk in Reaches 1 and 2 and PCBs in Reach 3.

4.9.2 Results for Screening Risk Assessment for Human Exposure for WOCE

As the result of a CERCLA removal action in response to uncontrolled contaminated sediments in WOCE, the information available for the extent of contamination in WOCE was more thorough than that available for the remainder of WAG 2 (Blaylock et al. 1991). A screening analysis was conducted using methods similar to those described herein. The screening analysis for carcinogens identified several substances as definitely high priority and requiring immediate consideration: arsenic in water ingestion, PCBs in fish ingestion, and ^{60}Co and ^{137}Cs in the sediment external exposure pathway. Arsenic in water was a possible artifact, because arsenic was detected in only 2 of 24 samples analyzed. Two organic contaminants, six inorganic, and six radiological contaminants had screening indices that would require further investigation before taking action.

The screening for noncarcinogens did not identify any contaminant that could definitely be assigned high priority.

Screening of the WOCE nondetectable contaminants data base identified 16 organic carcinogens as definitely high priority. For these compounds it will be necessary to either improve the detection limits or to use source-term data to verify their presence.

5. SCREENING ASSESSMENT OF ECOLOGICAL RISKS

As discussed in Sect. 1, the purpose of the screening risk assessment is to identify hazards associated with wastes in WAG 2, prioritize them with respect to their potential risks, and identify data needs on the basis of that analysis. The ecological assessment is concerned with risks to populations and communities of nonhuman organisms that occur on the site or may occur there in the future. The assessment uses the format of a standard risk assessment, with a hazard definition phase followed by parallel exposure and effects assessments and ending with risk characterization.

5.1 ECOLOGICAL HAZARD DEFINITION

The hazard definition phase of a risk assessment defines the scope of the assessment, the sources being assessed, and the endpoints of the assessment. An ecological hazard consists of a source of potentially toxic exposure combined with a valued and potentially susceptible receptor (the endpoint organisms) in a particular environment.

5.1.1 Sources and Routes of Exposure

WAG 2 consists of two streams (WOC and Melton Branch) and their associated floodplains, which continue to receive seepage and runoff from waste sites as well as point source emissions. Therefore, the ultimate sources of contaminant exposure in WAG 2 are the aqueous point sources, which are permitted under NPDES and are not of concern in this assessment, and the releases of contaminants by waste sites, which are the subject of the ongoing environmental restoration process. The proximate sources of exposure are (1) contaminants in the stream sediments and floodplain soils, (2) water contamination (which results from current point and nonpoint input, desorption and resuspension from sediments, and erosion and leaching from floodplain soils), and (3) contaminants in the biota, which act as a source of exposure for their consumers (e.g., contaminated fish eaten by herons). These sources are characterized by chemical analyses. The sources and nature of these data and the procedure used to compile them are discussed in Sect. 3; however, the existing data do not constitute a complete description of the proximate sources. In particular, contamination of floodplain soils has not been characterized for chemicals other than radionuclides.

5.1.2 Exposed Environment

The spatial scope of the assessment (see Sect. 2 for a more detailed description) is WOL, WOC below the main ORNL plant (i.e., WAG 1), Melton Branch, and the floodplains of the creeks and lake. WOC is coded as Reach 1, Melton Branch is Reach 2, and WOL is Reach 3. The ecology of this area is described in Loar (1988-1991), Loar et al. (1981 and 1987), Boyle et al. (1982), Mann and Kitchings (1982), Oakes et al. (1982), and Sherwood and Loar (1987).

The aquatic communities of WAG 2 are well characterized due to five years of monitoring by the Biological Monitoring and Abatement Program (BMAP) (Loar et al.

1987, Loar 1988-1990). The BMAP has identified 14 species of fish in the watershed, including seven species of centrarchids (relatively large predatory species that could serve as game fish). Fish production is generally similar to similar streams in the region, although considerable variation occurs among reaches (Loar 1990).

The floodplains of WOC and Melton Branch are dominated by hardwood forests. The floodplain of WOL, particularly at the confluence of the creek, is dominated by shrubby vegetation, principally box elder and willows.

Although several federally listed threatened or endangered species occur in the region (Kroodsma 1987), none are known to occur on WAG 2. However, the endangered Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*) is suspected to occur on the lower East Fork Poplar Creek and may occur on WOC as well. Several state-listed species occur on the Oak Ridge Reservation and may occur on WAG 2 (Table 5.1).

Wetlands and floodplains are protected by Executive Orders 11990 and 11988, respectively. Although no official wetlands survey has been conducted for WAG 2, apparent wetlands occur in the floodplains of the creeks and the lake, and the floodplains clearly qualify as floodplains.

5.1.3 Ecological Endpoints

The endpoints for this assessment are those described and justified in the "Approach and Strategy for Performing Ecological Risk Assessments for the Oak Ridge Operations Environmental Restoration Program" (in press). In brief, they are (1) no reduction greater than 20% in the abundance or productivity of populations of fishes, birds, mammals, or vascular plants, (2) no injury of individuals of threatened or endangered species sufficient to impair survival or reproduction, and (3) no loss of wetland or floodplain communities. The 20% figure in the first endpoint is derived from an analysis of EPA regulatory criteria and is intended to approximate the agency's *de facto* but unstated level of protection (Suter et al. 1992). No particular species are chosen to represent the endpoints because most-sensitive species cannot be identified *a priori*, and in most cases the toxicity and exposure data do not permit discrimination among individual members of taxa or trophic groups.

5.2 EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

5.2.1 Water

Fish and invertebrates inhabiting the water column are assumed to be exposed to chemicals in the water primarily through respiratory uptake. This assumption is accurate for nearly all chemicals except for the few that bioaccumulate through food chains to a significant extent (i.e., PCBs, methyl mercury, and selenium). However, measured body burdens are more reliable measures of exposure (Sect. 5.2.3). In addition, the sample preparation and analysis techniques used to measure concentrations in water are intended to measure total contaminant concentrations rather than bioavailable concentrations.

**Table 5.1. Federally and state listed threatened and/or endangered species
and species designated In Need of Management by the State of
Tennessee known or expected to occur on the
Oak Ridge Reservation**

Common Name	Scientific Name	Administrative Status*
<u>Fish</u>		
Tennessee dace	<i>Phonixus oreas</i>	IMN
<u>Birds</u>		
Black-crowned night heron	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	INM
Black Vulture	<i>Coragyps atratus</i>	INM
Common barn owl	<i>Tyto alba</i>	INM
Cooper's hawk	<i>Accipiter cooperii</i>	ST
Grasshopper sparrow	<i>Ammodramus savannarum</i>	ST
Osprey	<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>	SE
Red-shouldered hawk	<i>Buteo lineatus</i>	ST
Sharp-shinned hawk	<i>Accipiter striatus</i>	ST
<u>Mammals</u>		
Indiana bat	<i>Myotis sodalis</i>	FE,SE
<u>Plants</u>		
Spreading false foxglove	<i>Aureolaria patula</i>	ST
Appalachian bugbane	<i>Cimicifuga rubifolia</i>	ST
Pink lady's slipper	<i>Cypripedium acaule</i>	SE
Tall larkspur	<i>Delphinium exaltatum</i>	SE
Northern bush honeysuckle	<i>Diervilla lonicera</i>	ST
Nuttall waterweed	<i>Elodea nuttallii</i>	SS
Mountain witch alder	<i>Fothergilla major</i>	ST
Golden seal	<i>Hydrastis canadensis</i>	ST
Butternut	<i>Juglans cinerea</i>	ST
Canada lily	<i>Lilium canadense</i>	ST

Table 5.1 (continued)

Common Name	Scientific Name	Administrative Status*
Michigan lily	<i>Lilium michiganense</i>	ST
Fen orchid	<i>Liparis loeselii</i>	SE
Ginseng	<i>Panax quinquefolium</i>	ST
Tubercled rein orchid	<i>Platanthera flava var herbiola</i>	ST
Purple fringeless orchid	<i>Platanthera peranoena</i>	ST
Carey saxifrage	<i>Saxifraga careyana</i>	SS
Lesser ladies' tresses	<i>Spiranthes ovalis</i>	SS

*Status Codes:

FE - Federally Endangered

FT - Federally Threatened

SE - State Endangered

ST - State Threatened

SS - State Special Concern

INM - In Need of Management according to the State of Tennessee

Therefore, the reported concentrations may be very conservative estimates of aqueous exposure.

5.2.2 Sediment

The sediment composition data available for this assessment are concentrations in dry sediment. However, effects of a particular dry sediment concentration on sediment-dwelling (benthic) organisms are highly inconsistent, because benthic organisms are exposed primarily to chemicals in the aqueous phase of sediments (Adams et al. 1985, OWRS 1989); therefore, it is necessary to estimate the concentration in pore (interstitial) water. In riffles, the substrate consists of stones and gravels that retain relatively low concentrations of sorbed contaminants, and exchange of water occurs between the substrate and overlying water. For those areas, the surface water analyses are the best estimators of the exposure of benthic organisms to contaminants. However, there is obviously a gradient from large gravels which are bathed in surface water to fine sediments with distinct pore water.

In WOL and in pools of the streams where finer sediments collect, pore-water concentrations must be estimated from sediment concentrations. Pore-water concentrations of neutral (non-ionic) organic chemicals can be calculated by assuming equilibrium partitioning between the pore water and the organic matter fraction of the sediment (OWRS 1989). The partitioning coefficient (K_p) is the product of the organic matter/water partitioning coefficient (K_{oc}) and the fractional organic matter content of the sediment (f_{oc}). Because sediment-dwelling organisms are approximately as sensitive to chemicals in water as the population of species that was used to derive the National Ambient Water Quality Criteria (NAWQC) (OWRS 1989), the same screening criteria can be used as for water but corrected for partitioning. The formula is:

$$C_s = K_p C_w, \text{ or}$$

$$C_s = K_{oc} f_{oc} C_w,$$

where C_s and C_w are equivalent concentrations in sediment and water, respectively. K_{oc} is seldom available, but it is accurately approximated by the octanol/water partitioning coefficient (K_{ow}) (DiToro 1985). This approach is being used by the EPA to derive sediment quality criteria (OWRS 1988).

Concentrations of ionic organic chemicals and inorganic chemicals in pore water could, in theory, also be calculated from an equilibrium partitioning model. However, these chemicals are sorbed to multiple sediment components so they would require several-phase partitioning models with a partitioning coefficient and concentration in sediment for each phase; such information is not available. We assume that ionic organics behave like neutral organics, only partitioning between water and sediment organic matter. This is a conservative assumption because partitioning to other phases would lower the aqueous-phase concentration, thereby lowering the toxicity of a given whole-sediment concentration. For metals in sediments, we use generic K_p values from the International Atomic Energy Agency (1982).

Another approach is to derive site-specific, sediment-water partitioning coefficients. Blaylock et al. (in review) have proposed a sediment-water partitioning coefficient for mercury in Watts Bar Reservoir of 10^5 . This value is derived from concentrations of mercury in suspended particulate matter and filtered water from Tennessee River Mile 545. It is probably a reasonable estimate of the mercury K_p , although suspended solids differ from bed sediments, and K_p varies with solids concentration and redox potential (OWRS 1989).

Besides the risks to benthic organisms, it is necessary to consider risks to water-column species from chemicals associated with resuspended sediments. We believe that the screening criteria for benthic organisms are sufficiently conservative for this purpose. That belief is based on the following arguments: (1) the screening criteria are based on an assumption of chronic exposure, but exposures to suspended sediments would be acute; (2) dilution would rapidly decrease the aqueous concentration outside the plume and also within the plume once the particulate concentration dropped sufficiently to prevent attainment of equilibrium; and (3) the biological component of the sediment criteria is applicable because it is based primarily on water-column species.

5.2.3 Tissue Concentrations as Indicators of Effects on Fish

Tissue concentrations can be used in two ways to derive screening criteria. First, for a few chemicals there are data on the tissue concentrations at which effects occurred. When they are available, these data will be used to derive criteria. When they are not available, it is necessary to use conventional aquatic toxicity data that are expressed as aqueous concentrations. In that case, bioaccumulation factors (BAFs) or bioconcentration factors (BCFs) are used to estimate the average water concentrations to which fish have been exposed (fish tissue concentration/BAF = water concentration). When available, field-derived BAFs are used. Otherwise, laboratory-derived BCFs or BCFs derived from models of laboratory data [i.e., quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSARs)] are used. The laboratory-derived factors are likely to overestimate the water concentrations for those chemicals that are accumulated through food chains, but these overestimates will tend to highlight those chemicals. The same upper and lower criteria that are used for water concentrations are then applied to the calculated water concentration to serve as a screen for the fish tissue concentrations.

5.2.4 Tissue Concentrations and Piscivorous Wildlife

The contamination in fish and aquatic invertebrate tissues represents a route of exposure for piscivorous animals. Doses (mg of chemical/kg of animal) must be converted to concentrations in food by dividing by consumption (kg of food/kg of animal). Local piscivorous birds range from kingfishers to great blue herons, ospreys, and bald eagles. The highest dose would be obtained by the smallest bird (because of higher metabolism), and a predatory bird the size of a kingfisher consumes food equivalent to approximately 8% of its body weight per day (Kenaga 1973). The principal piscivorous mammal in the area is the mink. We assume that mink consume food equal to 5% of their body weight per day and that the food consists entirely of fish. The 8% figure is used to calculate the screening criteria because it is more conservative, but we consider effects on mink in the discussion of results because of their sensitivity. For the conversion of acute dose to

concentration in diet, we assume that one day's consumption is equivalent to an acute dose.

Because the studies of chemical concentrations in fish that were conducted in the Oak Ridge area were designed for human health risk assessments, the reported concentrations refer to the "edible portion." Piscivores typically consume the entire fish, and the organs and bones contain higher levels of nearly all chemical contaminants than the muscles. Metals concentrations in whole fish (minus gut contents) tend to be approximately 1.5 to 2 times as high as in fillets or carcasses (Lemly and Smith n.d., Brumbaugh and Kane 1985). Hydrophobic organic chemicals like PCBs occur in whole fish at 2 to 3 times the concentration in fillets. Therefore, we apply a factor of 2 to metal concentrations in fish and a factor of 3 to organic chemical concentrations in fish when calculating lower screening criteria.

Another source of error is the moisture content of the food. The concentrations reported from dietary toxicity data are usually based on the weight of the food as consumed by the animal (i.e., fresh weight), but may be reported as dry weight. In addition, the water content of foods is variable and is often unreported in dietary toxicity studies. The concentrations in fish provided for this assessment are fresh weight. We assume, unless the source indicates otherwise, that the toxic concentrations are also fresh weight and that the water content of the diet and of fish fillets is approximately equal. This assumption could result in an error of approximately a factor of 5 in the results.

In addition to the uncertainties discussed above, this analysis of risks to piscivorous birds and mammals does not include other animals that feed on aquatic organisms. Reptiles and amphibians feed on aquatic invertebrates and fish, but few data exist on dietary toxicity for these taxa. Raccoons and diving ducks consume aquatic invertebrates, but tissue analyses are not available for invertebrates in the waters being assessed. We assume that, because they feed from a variety of sources other than aquatic invertebrates, these populations will be protected by the criteria for piscivores. Dabbling ducks consume aquatic macrophytes, but there are not enough data concerning contamination of these sources to perform an assessment. Dietary exposure of predatory fish to contaminated fish and invertebrates is not a significant route of exposure except for the most bioaccumulative chemicals, which are discussed along with the results on exposure to water.

5.3 EFFECTS ASSESSMENT

In a screening assessment the exposure/response relationship is reduced to a threshold concentration or dose below which exposures can be assumed to be safe (the lower screening criterion) and a concentration or dose above which severe effects are certain (the upper screening criterion). In this section both the approaches used to develop these benchmark values and the derivation of the individual values are explained.

5.3.1 Methods for Deriving Benchmarks

5.3.1.1 Water

The NAWQC for protection of freshwater aquatic life are ARARs; therefore, they provide the basis for the screening criteria for contaminants in water. The acute NAWQC are calculated by the EPA as the fifth percentile of the distribution of 48- to 96-hr LC_{50} values or equivalent effective concentration (EC_{50}) values for each criterion chemical (Stephan et al. 1985). Hence, the acute NAWQC correspond to concentrations that would cause 50% mortality in 5% of exposed populations in a few days. This is a reasonable upper screening criterion because this assessment is concerned with continuous exposures, rather than the episodic exposures to which the acute NAWQC is applied. The chronic NAWQC are based on the threshold for statistically significant effects in chronic or subchronic toxicity tests, adjusted to estimate the concentration that would equal that threshold in 5% of species (Stephan et al. 1985). It is intended to be protective in chronic exposures and is used in this assessment as one estimator of the lower screening criterion.

If NAWQC are not available for a chemical, they are estimated using the method of for calculating advisory values when there are insufficient data to calculate criteria. Advisory values are concentrations that would be expected to be higher than NAWQC in no more than 5% of cases. Therefore, they serve as conservative estimates of the ARARs.

For particular chemicals, the lower screening criterion could be lower than the chronic NAWQC for any one of the following five reasons. First, the chronic NAWQC are based on a threshold for statistical significance rather than biological significance. In some chronic tests, because of highly variable results, the statistical threshold corresponds to greater than 50% effect on a response parameter (Stephan and Rogers 1985, Suter et al. 1987). Second, not all important responses are included in the subchronic toxicity tests that are used to calculate many chronic NAWQC. In particular, effects on fecundity, which is the most sensitive response parameter on average in fish toxicity tests (Suter et al. 1987), are not included. Third, the chronic NAWQC are based on the most statistically sensitive of the measured response parameters in each chronic or subchronic test. Therefore, cumulative effects over the life cycle of fish and invertebrates are not considered. Fourth, the criteria are set at a level that protects "most species most of the time." A lower screening criterion should protect all species nearly all of the time. Finally, because many of the criteria have not been revised since 1980, they do not incorporate recent data. In addition, available data for most chemicals are insufficient to calculate water quality criteria.

For these reasons, we evaluate six alternative benchmarks, and, for the sake of this screening assessment, we use the lowest benchmark for each chemical as the lower screening criterion (Table 5.2). The first is the chronic NAWQC, which is discussed above (this section). The second and third are the lowest chronic values for fish and invertebrates reported in the literature. The fourth is the highest tested concentration causing less than 20% reduction in (1) the weight of young fish per initial female fish in a life cycle or partial life cycle test or (2) the weight of young per egg in an early life-stage test. The fifth is the highest tested concentration causing less than 20% reduction in the

Table 5.2. Summary of Benchmarks for Priority Contaminants in Freshwater (all values in $\mu\text{g/l}$)

Chemical name	NAWQ Criteria * approximated		Lowest Chronic Value for:		Lowest EC20 Value for:	
	Acute	Chronic	Fish	Daphnids	Fish	Daphnids 5%EC20
INORGANICS						
Aluminum	750	87	5800	1900	4700	540
Antimony	* 220	* 18	1600	5400	2310	1900
Arsenic III	360	190	2962	914.1	633	633
Arsenic V	* 17	* 0.47	891.6	-	1500	>932
Barium	* 4.4	* 0.11	-	-	-	-
Beryllium	* 40	* 0.44	-	5.3	-	3.8
Boron	-	-	-	-	-	7
Cadmium	3.9	1.1	1.7	0.15	1.8	0.75
Calcium	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chromium III	1700	210	68.83	<44	89	-
Chromium VI	16	11	73.18	6.132	51	0.5
Cobalt	* 24	* 0.29	290	5.1	810	<4.4
Copper	18	12	3.873	0.23	5	0.205
Cyanide	22	5.2	7.8	-	<5.7	1.1
Fluorine	* 2700	* 140	-	4400	-	<2.9
Iron	-	1000	-	158	-	3706
Lead	82	3.2	18.88	12.26	22	16
Lithium	-	-	-	-	-	-
Magnesium	* 6.5	* 0.16	-	-	-	-
Manganese	* 330	* 11	1770	<1100	1270	<1100
Mercury II	2.4	0.012	<0.23	0.96	0.87	0.87
Molybdenum	* 2200	* 30	-	880	-	360
Nickel	1400	160	<35	<5	35	<45
Niobium	-	-	-	-	-	-
Potassium	* 5.3	* 0.13	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2 (continued)

Chemical name	NAWQ Criteria		Lowest Chronic		Lowest EC20	
	Acute	* approximated Chronic	Fish	Daphnids	Fish	Daphnids
INORGANICS (continued)						
Potassium	* 5.3	* 0.13	-	-	-	-
Selenium	260	35	88.32	91.65	40	25
Silver	4.1	0.12	0.12	2.6	0.20	<0.56
Sodium	* 19,000	* 480	-	-	-	-
Strontium	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thallium	* 24	* 2.2	57	130	81	64
Thorium	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tin	-	-	-	-	-	-
Titanium	-	-	-	-	-	-
Uranium	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vanadium	* 36	* 9.4	80	1900	41	430
Zinc	120	110	36.41	46.73	47	-
Zirconium	-	-	-	-	-	7.5
ORGANICS						
Acenaphthene	* 29	* 2.2	413	-	<197	-
Acetone	* 25,000	* 640	-	-	-	-
Anthracene	* 0.00058	* 0.00001	-	<2.1	-	>8.2
Benzene	* 100	* 2.6	-	>98,000	21	-
Benzidene	* 1.5	* 0.037	-	-	-	-
Benzo(a)anthracene	* 0.11	* 0.0027	-	-	-	-
Benzo(a)pyrene	* 0.053	* 0.0013	-	-	>2.99	-
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	-	-	-	-	-	-
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2 (continued)

Chemical name	NAWQ Criteria * approximated		Lowest Chronic Value for:		Lowest EC20 Value for:	
	Acute	Chronic	Fish	Daphnids	Fish	Daphnids 5%EC20
ORGANICS (continued)						
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	-	-	-	-	-	-
Benzoic acid	* 18	* 0.45	-	-	-	-
Benzyl alcohol	* 1.0	* 0.025	-	-	-	-
BHC (lindane)	2.0	0.08	14.6	14.5	<1.1	0.066
BHC (other)	* 9.5	* 0.24	-	-	-	-
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	* 2.6	* 0.087	8.4	<3	>54	-
Butane, 1,1-oxybis (2,1-ethanedioxy)bis	-	-	-	-	-	-
2-Butanone	* 55,000	* 1400	-	-	-	-
4-chloro-4,4-difluoro- Carbon disulfide	* 36	* 0.91	-	-	-	-
Carbon tetrachloride	* 610	* 55	>3400	-	65	-
Chlordane	2.4	0.0043	1.6	16	<0.25	0.020
Chlorobenzene	* 340	* 8.4	-	-	-	-
Chloroethane	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chloroform	* 570	* 14	-	-	8400	-
Chrysene	-	-	-	-	-	-
DDD	-	-	-	-	-	-
p,p' other	* 0.0016	* 0.00004	-	-	-	-
DDT	-	-	-	-	-	-
Decane	1.1	0.001	0.74	-	0.35	0.016
Di-n-butyl phthalate	* 190	* 4.8	-	-	-	-
	* 32	* 3.1	717	551	270	500

Table 5.2 (continued)

Chemical name	NAWQ Criteria		Lowest Chronic Value for:		Lowest EC20 Value for:	
	Acute	Chronic	Fish	Daphnids	Fish	Daphnids
ORGANICS (continued)						
Dibenzofuran	* 80	* 2.0	-	-	-	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane	-	-	-	-	-	-
1,1-Dichloroethane	* 20	* 0.51	-	-	-	-
1,2-Dichloroethane	* 2000	* 130	20,000	15,200	29,000	<11,000
1,1-Dichloroethene	* 520	* 13	>2800	-	-	-
1,2-Dichloroethenes	* 14	* 0.34	-	-	-	-
1,3-Dichloropropene	-	-	-	-	-	-
trans-	-	-	-	-	-	-
total	* 100	* 2.6	-	-	-	-
Diethyl phthalate	* 1100	* 27	-	-	-	-
Di-n-octyl phthalate	-	-	5700	570	<100	310
Dimethyl naphthalene	-	-	-	-	-	-
4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ethenyl methyl benzene	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ethyl benzene	* 780	* 20	>440	-	-	-
Ethyl methyl benzene	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ethyl dimethyl benzene	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fluoranthene	* 69	* 1.7	-	-	-	-
Freon 113	-	-	-	-	-	-
Freon 123	-	-	-	-	-	-
Heptachlor	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hexane	0.52	0.0038	1.26	-	0.86	0.0089
2,5-Hexanedione	* 82	* 2.1	-	-	-	-
2-Hexanone	-	-	-	-	-	-
1-methylpentyl	* 43	* 1.1	-	-	-	-

Table 5.2 (continued)

Chemical name	NAWQ Criteria		Lowest Chronic Value for:		Lowest EC20 Value for:	
	Acute	* approximated Chronic	Fish	Daphnids	Fish	Daphnids 5%EC20
ORGANICS (continued)						
hydroperoxide	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	-	-	-	-	-	-
Methyl cyclopentane	-	-	-	-	-	-
1-Methyl naphthalene	* 0.90	* 0.023	-	-	-	-
2-Methyl naphthalene	-	-	-	-	-	-
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	* 51	* 2.3	75,000	-	-	-
2-Methyl phenol	* 160	* 4.1	-	-	-	-
Methyl propenyl benzene	-	-	-	-	-	-
Methyl mercury	* 0.0028	* 0.00005	0.52	<0.04	<0.03	0.87
Methylpropyl benzene	-	-	-	-	-	-
Methylene chloride	* 3800	* 190	108,000	-	410	-
Naphthalene	* 45	* 1.8	620	-	450	>600
Nitrophenols	-	-	-	-	-	-
4-	* 190	* 11	-	7100	-	5000
total	-	-	-	-	-	-
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	-	-	-	-	-	-
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	* 100	* 2.5	-	-	-	-
Nonane	-	-	-	-	-	-
3-Octanone	* 1400	* 34	-	-	-	-
9-Octadecenamide, (z)	-	-	-	-	-	-
PCB's	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aroclor® 1221	* 0.12	* 0.0029	-	-	-	-
Aroclor® 1232	* 0.23	* 0.0058	-	-	-	-
Aroclor® 1242	* 0.016	* 0.00097	9.0	-	<2.9	-
Aroclor® 1248	* 0.15	* 0.0062	0.2	4.3	0.4	2.5

Table 5.2 (continued)

Chemical name	NAWQ Criteria		Lowest Chronic Value for:		Lowest EC20 Value for:	
	Acute	Chronic	Fish	Daphnids	Fish	Daphnids
ORGANICS (continued)						
Aroclor ^o 1260	* 4.5	* 0.11	2.3	-	2.1	-
1-Pentanol	* 240	* 5.9	-	-	-	-
Phenanthrene	* 8.4	* 0.43	-	200	-	110
Phenol	* 260	* 12	1369	-	<230	-
2-Propanol	* 100	* 2.6	-	-	-	-
Pyrene	-	-	-	-	-	-
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	* 350	* 27	2400	9900	1400	<420
Tetrachloroethene	* 130	* 6.5	840	750	500	510
Toluene	* 300	* 7.5	-	-	<26	-
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	* 4.0	* 0.24	-	1770	-	1300
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	* 1400	* 92	9400	18,400	14,800	13,000
Trichloroethene	* 490	* 30	11,060	-	5758	-
Trichlorofluoromethane	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trimethyl benzene	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vinyl acetate	* 1.3	* 0.034	-	-	-	-
Vinyl chloride	* 38	* 0.95	-	-	-	-
Xylene	* 10	* 0.25	-	-	2680	-

product of growth, fecundity, and survivorship in a chronic test with a daphnid species. The sixth is the lowest of benchmarks two through five, adjusted to approximate the fifth percentile of the species sensitivity distribution for the chemical as in the chronic NAWQC (Stephan et al. 1985).

NAWQC for several metals are functions of water hardness; the criteria are lower for lower hardness levels. Individual hardness measurements in WAG 2 range from 51 to 800 mg/L with a mean of 150 mg/L. Therefore, we used 100 mg/L to calculate criteria that are conservative but not extreme for WAG 2.

5.3.1.2 Consumed fish flesh

As with the other analyses, the upper screening criteria for piscivores are based on acute lethality. Usually these are acute median lethal doses (LD_{50}), but lethal concentrations in food (LC_{50} or LC_x) are preferred.

The lower screening criteria for aquatic foodchain exposures are quite diverse, because test data for chronic oral exposures to birds and mammals are quite diverse. When data are available concerning the dietary toxicity of a chemical to wildlife, the lowest test concentration that reflects a potential effect on populations is used. If there are no wildlife data, any available data on domestic animals other than ruminants are used. In many cases, there are no useful wildlife or domestic animal data, and the same laboratory rodent data that are used for human health effects are used in the screening criteria. Toxicity data for ruminants are the least desirable because their peculiar digestive systems make their responses unrepresentative of nonruminants. Data from dietary toxicity tests are preferred to chronic oral dosing tests. The effects include systemic toxicity, reduced reproduction, severe histological damage, and terata. An alternative benchmark for the wildlife is the RfD developed by the EPA for assessment of risks of toxic effects other than cancer in humans. We do not assess cancer induction in nonhuman species, because the higher wildlife exposure is not sufficient to overcome the extremely low frequencies of cancer induction (i.e., 10^{-6}) used for the human health screening analysis. Although tumors have frequently been found in fish in other studies, effects of cancer on fish population size or productivity have not been demonstrated.

Unlike the screening criteria that are based on NAWQC, these criteria do not reflect the diversity of organisms that are exposed by this route. If chronic sublethal effects data are available for either mammals or birds but not both, a correction factor of 10 for differences in sensitivity is applied. This factor is based on limited studies that indicate that birds and mammals can differ in sensitivity by at least an order of magnitude, and either can be more sensitive (Sigal and Suter 1989). If there are data for both birds and mammals, but fewer than five species with fewer than two birds, a factor of 5 correction for differences in sensitivity is assumed. If there are data for five or more species including at least two avian species, we assume that the variance in sensitivity of the test species approximates the variance in all avian and mammalian species and apply no factor for differences among species. If the most sensitive test end point is death or another severe effect (e.g., reproductive failure), a factor of 5 is applied to estimate the threshold for effects on individuals that could result in population reductions (Tucker and Lietzke 1979). These factors are not applied to the upper criterion, which is intended to be a concentration at which effects are certain.

5.3.2 Screening Criteria for Individual Chemicals

5.3.2.1 Water

The alternative aquatic toxicity benchmarks for the contaminants that have been detected on the Oak Ridge Reservation are listed in Table 5.2. The lowest benchmark for each chemical is used as the lowest screening criterion for aquatic life, and the acute criteria or estimated acute criteria are used as the upper screening criterion. The sources of data for the approximated criteria are listed in Appendix G, and sources for the other benchmarks are discussed later in this section. For chemicals that are not listed in Table 5.2, NAWQC are used as screening criteria.

INORGANICS

Aluminum. The toxicity of aluminum has been shown to vary widely with water hardness and pH (among others are Ingersoll et al. 1990a and b; Woodward et al. 1989; and Sadler and Lynam 1988). The benchmarks were calculated using only tests in circumneutral water. Lowest chronic values for fish are from Kimball (Manuscript) and for daphnids from McCauley et al. (1986). Lowest EC20 values are from Kimball (Manuscript). The 5% EC20 is lower than the chronic criterion.

Antimony. Chronic and EC20 values for antimony are from Kimball (Manuscript).

Arsenic III. Official criteria are listed for arsenic III. The lowest chronic values for fish and daphnids are given by Call et al. (1983) and Lima et al. (1984). The EC20 values are from Lima et al. (1984) for fish and from Call et al. (1983) and Lima et al. (1984) for daphnids.

Arsenic V. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Defoe (1982), and the EC20 for daphnids is from Spehar et al. (1980).

Beryllium. The chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Kimball (Manuscript).

Boron. The EC20 value for daphnids is from Gerisch (1984).

Cadmium. There are official criteria listed for cadmium. The lowest chronic value is from Sauter et al. (1976) for fish and Chapman et al. (Manuscript) for daphnids. The EC20 values are from Carlson et al. (1982) for fish and Elnabarawy et al. (1986) for daphnids. The latter report, which post dates the latest NAWQ report (EPA 1980g), needs comment. The EC20 value is not particularly low, but the acute-EC20 ratio is unusually high. For this reason, the 5% EC20 value varies significantly from the final chronic value.

Chromium III. Official criteria are listed for chromium III. The lowest chronic value is from Stevens and Chapman (1984) for fish and from Chapman et al. (Manuscript) for daphnids. Stevens and Chapman (1984) also provided data for the EC20 value for fish. The 5% EC20 value is notably lower than the chronic criterion.

Chromium VI. There are official criteria for chromium VI. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Sauter et al. (1976). For daphnids, the chronic value is EPA (1985b) and EC20 from Elnabarawy et al. (1986). As with cadmium, this latter report provided an unusually large acute-EC20 ratio for Chromium VI, thus explaining the orders of magnitude difference between the 5% EC20 and the chronic criterion.

Cobalt. The chronic and EC20 values for Cobalt are from Kimball (Manuscript).

Copper. Official criteria are listed for copper. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Sauter et al. (1976). The chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Dave (1984a). The 5% EC20 level is considerably lower than the chronic criterion. Dave (1984a), which was not considered in the most recent NAWQC support document (EPA 1985c), contributes unusually low EC20 and chronic values.

Cyanide. There are official criteria for cyanide. The chronic and EC20 values for fish were both from Koenst et al. (1977).

Fluoride ion. Chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Dave (1984b).

Iron. The data for the effects of iron on daphnids was from Dave (1984c).

Lead. There are official criteria listed for lead. The lowest chronic value for fish is from Goettl et al. (1972), Davies and Everhart (1973), and Davies et al. (1976) and for daphnids from Chapman et al. (Manuscript). The EC20 value for fish is from Sauter et al. (1976). The 5% EC20 level is lower than the chronic criterion, apparently due to a high acute value in the latter report. The acute-EC20 ratio from which the 5% EC20 was calculated had to be obtained using a species mean acute value for *Salmo gairdneri* (EPA 1985d), since no acute value was reported by Sauter et al.

Manganese. All chronic and EC20 values for manganese are from Kimball (Manuscript).

Mercury II. Mercury has official criteria. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Call et al. (1983) and those for daphnids are from Biesinger and Christensen (1972). The 5% EC20 is higher than the chronic criterion. The acute-EC20 ratio used to calculate this value had to be derived using a species mean acute value (EPA 1985e), as no acute value was reported in Biesinger and Christensen. The EC20 derived from Call et al. was equivalent to that in Biesinger et al., but the acute-EC20 ratio for *Daphnia magna* (the species used in the latter report), 3.629, is lower than that of *Pimephales promelas* (used by Call et al.), 172.4. As a conservative measure, the lower acute-EC20 ratio was used in the calculation.

Molybdenum. The chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Kimball (Manuscript).

Nickel. There are official criteria for nickel. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Nebeker et al. (1985). For daphnids, the chronic value was from Lazareva (1985), and the EC20 was from Münzinger (1990). The EC20 value from Nebeker et al. is lower than the chronic criterion, and the acute value is higher than the

NAWQC acute value; thus, the acute-EC20 ratio is high and the 5% EC20 is significantly lower than the chronic criterion.

Selenium. Official criteria are listed for selenium. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Goettl and Davies (1976). The chronic value for daphnids is from Kimball (Manuscript), and the EC20 is from Johnston (1987). The 5% EC20 is lower than the chronic criterion. The acute-EC20 ratio from which the latter value was calculated had to be derived using a species mean acute value for *Daphnia magna* (EPA 1987a), because no acute value was reported by Johnston.

Silver. There is an official acute criterion for silver. The chronic value for fish is from Davies et al. (1978). The chronic value for daphnids and the EC20 for fish are from Nebeker et al. (1983). The EC20 for daphnids is from Elnabarawy et al. (1986). The 5% EC20 level is higher than the approximated chronic criterion, though this is expected since approximations lean heavily to the conservative side.

Thallium. All chronic and EC20 values are from Kimball (Manuscript).

Vanadium. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Holdway and Sprague (1979) and for daphnids from Kimball (Manuscript).

Zinc. There are official criteria for zinc. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Spehar (1976), and the chronic value for daphnids is from Chapman et al. (Manuscript). The acute LC50/chronic EC20 ratio calculated from Spehar's data is high, because of a relatively large acute value; in fact, the acute-chronic ratio based on a chronic value from Spehar is the highest reported by the EPA (1987b). The 5% EC20 level, then, is considerably lower than the chronic national criterion.

ORGANICS

Acenaphthene. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Cairns and Nebeker (1982).

Anthracene. The chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Holst and Giesy (1989).

Benzene. The lowest chronic value for daphnids is given by EPA (1978) and the EC20 value for fish is from Black and Birge (1982). Black and Birge conducted a series of screening tests for a large number of chemicals on several freshwater organisms. Survival was only recorded to four days post-hatch, and no statistical analysis was done on the results. These tests, then, are not representative of most of the other chronic tests cited in this report.

Benzo(a)pyrene. The EC20 for fish is derived from Hannah et al. (1982).

BHC (lindane). There are official criteria listed for lindane. All chronic and EC20 values are from Macek et al. (1976a). The 5% EC20 level is comparable to the chronic criterion. The acute-EC20 ratio from which the 5% EC20 was calculated was derived

using a species mean acute value for *Salvelinus fontinalis* (EPA 1980s) since no acute data was reported by Macek et al.

Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Mehrle and Mayer (1976). The chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Mayer and Sanders (1973).

Carbon tetrachloride. The chronic value for fish is from EPA (1978), and the EC20 value is from Black and Birge (1982).

Chlordane. Official criteria are listed for chlordane. The chronic values for fish and daphnids and the EC20 value for fish are from Cardwell et al. (1977). The EC20 value for daphnids is from Cardwell et al. (1977). The 5% EC20 level is higher than the chronic criterion.

Chloroform. The EC20 value for fish is from Black and Birge (1982).

DDT. Official criteria are listed for DDT. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Jarvinen et al. (1977). The 5% EC20 level is higher than the chronic criterion.

Di-n-butyl phthalate. All chronic and EC20 values are from McCarthy and Whitmore (1985).

1,2-Dichloroethane. The chronic value for fish is from Ahmed et al. (1984), and the EC20 value for fish is from Benoit et al. (1982). The chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Richter et al. (1983).

1,1-Dichloroethene. The chronic value for fish is from EPA (1978).

Di-n-octyl phthalate. All chronic and EC20 values are from McCarthy and Whitmore (1985).

Ethyl benzene. The chronic value for fish is from EPA (1978).

Heptachlor. There are official criteria listed for heptachlor. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Macek et al. (1976b). The 5% EC20 value was calculated using an acute-EC20 ratio that was derived from a species mean acute value for *Pimephales promelas* (EPA 1980r) because no acute data is available from Macek et al.

4-Methyl-2-pentanone. The chronic value for fish is from Veith et al. (1983).

Methyl mercury. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from McKim et al. (1976). The chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Biesinger and Christensen. (1982).

Methylene chloride. The chronic value for fish is from Dill et al. (1987), and the EC20 value is from Black and Birge (1982).

Napthalene. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from DeGraeve et al. (1982), and the EC20 value for daphnids is from Geiger and Buikema (1982).

4-Nitrophenol. The chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Francis et al. (1986).

PCBs: Aroclor® 1242. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Nebeker and Puglisi (1974).

PCBs: Aroclor® 1248. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from DeFoe et al. (1978), and the chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Nebeker and Puglisi (1974).

PCBs: Aroclor® 1254. The chronic value for fish is from Mauck et al. (1978), and the EC20 value is from Nebeker and Puglisi (1974). The chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Nebeker and Puglisi (1974).

PCBs: Aroclor® 1260. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from DeFoe et al. (1978).

Phenanthrene. The chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Geiger and Buikema (1982).

Phenol. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from DeGraeve et al. (1980).

1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Ahmed et al. (1984), and the values for daphnids are from Richter et al. (1983).

Tetrachloroethene. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Ahmed et al. (1984). The chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Richter et al. (1983).

Toluene. The EC20 value for fish is from Black and Birge (1982).

1,1,1-Trichloroethane. The chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Thompson and Carmichael (1989).

1,1,2-Trichloroethane. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Ahmed et al. (1984), and the chronic and EC20 values for daphnids are from Richter et al. (1983).

Trichloroethene. The chronic and EC20 values for fish are from Smith et al. (1991).

Xylene. The EC20 value for fish is from Black and Birge (1982).

5.3.2.2 Consumed fish flesh

Alternative lower screening criteria are provided by the RfD values for human health assessment (from IRIS and HEAST) and the values developed from dietary toxicity data using the criteria presented in Sect. 5.3.1.2. The latter are discussed next in this section, along with upper screening criteria.

INORGANICS

Antimony. Antimony causes liver damage in rabbits at 5.5 mg/kg in diet (National Research Council 1980). Application of a factor of 10 for variance in sensitivity and 2 for the whole-fish conversion yields a lower criterion of 0.3 mg/kg for piscivores.

Arsenic. The toxicity and bioaccumulation of arsenic are highly dependent on the form. The most toxic and bioaccumulative form is inorganic trivalent arsenic (arsenite salts). Median lethal dietary concentrations for wildlife range from 99.8 mg/kg in cowbirds to >5000 mg/kg in mallards depending on the arsenical species as well as the biological test species (National Research Council 1977, NIOSH 1988), so the upper criterion for piscivores is set at an approximate median of 1000 mg/kg. Reported sublethal effects of inorganic arsenic largely fall in this interval, but there is very little information on sublethal effects on birds. The most sensitive reported dietary effect in mammals or birds is the NOEC for growth of rats of 31 mg/kg (National Research Council 1977, EPA 1985a). Applying a factor of 5 for species sensitivities and 2 for whole fish results in a lower criterion of 3 mg/kg for effects on piscivores.

Beryllium. Beryllium is a serious respiratory toxin and carcinogen, but has not been noted to cause dietary toxicity. The oral LD₅₀ in rats is 9.7 mg/kg (EPA 1980r), giving a dietary upper screening criterion of 120 mg/kg. Weight loss occurred in rats fed a diet containing 500 mg/kg beryllium (EPA 1987c). Applying a factor of 10 for variance in sensitivity and 2 for the whole-fish conversion yields a lower screening criterion of 25 mg/kg for piscivores.

Cadmium. The National Research Council (1980) set the maximum tolerable level for cadmium in animal feed at 0.5 mg/kg based on observed toxic effects in mammals on 1-mg/kg diets. This appears to be sufficient to protect birds because the lowest-reported-effects level in birds is 4 mg/kg (Heinz et al. 1983). The factor of 2 for whole-fish concentrations gives a lower screening criterion of 0.25 mg/kg for piscivores.

Chromium. Chromium is most toxic to aquatic organisms in the hexavalent form, the form used in cooling towers, and the form that we assume for the aqueous chromium concentrations used in this assessment. However, it is likely that much of the chromium has been reduced to the trivalent form in fish tissues. There are little appropriate data for estimating chromium effects on wildlife, but young black ducks experienced reduced growth and survival at 10 mg/kg chromium (III) in diet (Eisler 1986). We apply a factor of 5 for variance in sensitivity and 2 for whole fish to derive a lower screening criterion of 1 mg/kg for piscivores.

Copper. Copper is a well-regulated essential nutrient that is seldom toxic in terrestrial vertebrates. The National Research Council (1980) set the maximum tolerable level for the most sensitive mammal (sheep) at 25 mg/kg, for nonruminant mammals at 100 to 800 mg/kg, and for chickens and turkeys at 300 mg/kg. Applying a factor of 2 to the lowest nonruminant level yields a lower screening criterion of 50 mg/kg for piscivores.

Lead. The National Research Council (1980) recommended that lead in livestock food be limited to 30 mg/kg, which appears to be protective of other species (EPA 1984a,

Eisler 1988). We apply a factor of 2 for whole fish to derive a lower screening criterion of 15 mg/kg for piscivores.

Mercury. A U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service report has recommended that mercury in wildlife food be limited to 0.1 mg/kg, because this concentration of methylmercury caused reduced reproduction in ducks (Eisler 1987). Applying a factor of 5 for threshold effects and 2 for whole fish yields a lower criterion of 0.01 mg/kg for piscivores. Lethal dietary concentrations of methylmercury range from 1 mg/kg for mink to 250 mg/kg for chickens (National Research Council 1980, Sheffy and St. Amant 1982, Wren et al. 1987, Eisler 1987). We use 30 mg/kg, the approximate median LC_{50} , as an upper criterion for piscivores. Inorganic mercury is not relevant to this endpoint, because the mercury in local fish is almost entirely methylmercury.

Nickel. The National Research Council (1980) set the maximum tolerable level of nickel at 50 mg/kg, based on weight loss of cattle at 100 mg/kg in diet, but no observed effects at 50 mg/kg. Chickens, the only tested bird, showed a statistically significant decrease in growth at 500 mg/kg in diet, but not at 300 mg/kg (National Research Council 1980). Application of factors of 5 for variance in sensitivity and 2 for whole-fish concentration yields a lower chronic criterion of 50 mg/kg for piscivores. The oral LD_{50} in rats is 350 mg/kg (National Research Council 1980). Conversion to dietary concentration yields an upper criterion of 4400 mg/kg, which is consistent with the sublethal dietary toxicity data.

Selenium. Dietary selenium effects are difficult to assess because the toxic levels are near the deficiency levels, uptake is highly variable among species, and uptake is highly dependent on the form of the selenium and how it is incorporated into food. Rats experience histological damage and reduced longevity in lifetime exposures to 3 mg/kg in naturally contaminated feed, but the same effects occurred at 0.75 mg/kg in spiked feed (Eisler 1985). Five mg/kg was a no-observed-effects level in feeding studies of mallards, and 6 to 9 mg/kg in feed reduced the hatchability of chicken eggs (Eisler 1985). Mortality and severe reproductive effects in birds occurred in an area with concentrations of 22 to 175 mg/kg in food items (Ohlendorf et al. 1986). Based on these data, the lowest observed effects level is 1 mg/kg, which is just above recommended concentrations in diet to prevent selenium deficiency of 0.1 to 0.05 mg/kg (Eisler 1985). That threshold value is divided by 2 for the whole-fish conversion for a lower screening criterion of 0.5 mg/kg in fish for piscivores. The upper criterion is set at 100 mg/kg, the lethal dietary concentration in mallards (Eisler 1985).

Silver. The National Research Council (1980) set the maximum tolerable level for silver in animal food at 100 mg/kg based on studies of rats, chickens, and turkeys. Application of factors of 5 for variance in sensitivity and 2 for the whole-fish conversion yields a lower screening criterion of 10 mg/kg for piscivores.

Thallium. Thallium is highly toxic to mammals, and thallium salts have been used as rodenticides (Venugopal and Luckey 1978). It is also highly toxic to birds with an acute lethal threshold in diet of 12 mg/kg in bobwhite quail (EPA 1980a). The no-observed-effect level for thallium in the diet of rats is 5 mg/kg (EPA 1980a). Applying factors of 5 for variance in sensitivity and 2 for the whole-fish conversion yields a lower

screening criterion of 0.5 mg/kg for piscivores. The upper criterion is set at the dietary LC_{50} of 30 mg/kg in rats (EPA 1980a).

Zinc. The National Research Council (1980) set the maximum tolerable level for zinc in sheep feed at 300 mg/kg and in swine and poultry feed at 1000 mg/kg, but adverse effects on young Japanese quail fed laboratory diets occurred at 125 mg/kg (Hamilton et al. 1979). Using the Japanese quail datum as the lowest-observed-effect level and applying a factor of 2 for the whole-fish conversion yields a lower screening criterion of 60 mg/kg for piscivores.

ORGANICS

Hexachlorobenzene (HCB). The acute lethal dose for HCB is 1000 mg/kg or greater (EPA 1980i, EPA 1984b, NIOSH 1988), so the upper criterion for concentrations in fish is 12,500 mg/kg. HCB causes liver damage in Japanese quail at 5 mg/kg in diet (EPA 1980i) and causes immunosuppression in mink and ferrets (by different criteria) at 25 and 1 mg/kg in diet (Bleavins et al. 1983). Using the 1 mg/kg level as the lowest-observed-effects level and applying a factor of 3 for whole-fish basis yield a lower screening criterion of 0.3 mg/kg for piscivores.

DDT and metabolites. DDT and its metabolites DDD and DDE are notorious for their effects on piscivorous birds. Birds experience reduced survival or reproduction at 3 to 0.15 mg/kg in diet (Lincer 1975, Anderson et al. 1975). Applying a factor of 5 for an effects threshold and 3 for the whole-fish conversion yields a lower criterion of 0.01 mg/kg for piscivores. The acute oral LD_{50} for birds is approximately 1000 mg/kg (Matsumura 1985), which is equivalent to a dietary concentration of approximately 12,500 mg/kg in kingfishers, the upper criterion for piscivores. Fish themselves experience effects at 3 to 11 mg/kg body burden (EPA 1980m). Because 3 mg/kg is lethal to cutthroat trout fry, we apply the factors of 5 for an effects threshold and 3 for whole-fish basis to obtain a lower criterion of 0.2 mg/kg for protection of fish.

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). PCBs are persistent, bioaccumulative, and highly toxic. Mink are the most sensitive species to PCBs, experiencing reproductive failure at 0.64 mg/kg in diet (Ringer 1983, Fuller and Hobson 1986). Birds experience reproductive and immunotoxic effects at 10 to 40 mg/kg in diet (Peakall 1986). Because these levels induce catastrophic reproductive effects, the International Joint Commission (1988) recommends a concentration of 0.1 mg/kg in fish to protect piscivores. Using the mink-effects level and applying the factor of 5 for effects thresholds approximately yield this criterion. Applying the factor of 3 for organics in whole fish yields a lower criterion of 0.04 mg/kg for piscivores. The acute dietary LC_{50} in birds is 747 to 12,000 mg/kg (Peakall 1986). Using 1000 mg/kg and dividing by 3 for the whole-fish conversion yields an upper criterion of 300 mg/kg.

Other organics. All of the other organic chemicals that were analyzed in fish tissues were not detected. Except for the pesticides, which are not generated or used in large amounts by DOE operations, there are very few dietary toxicity data or avian toxicity data of any sort for these chemicals. If available, RfDs were used as screening criteria for these chemicals.

5.3.2.3 Radionuclides

Effects of radionuclides on nonhuman biota are not analyzed in this assessment for three reasons. First, previous studies of radionuclide exposure and effects in WOL found no evidence of effects or of exposures sufficient to cause effects in controlled exposures (Blaylock and Trabalka 1978). Second, the mode of action of radionuclides is the same in nonhuman organisms as in humans, so the ranking of radionuclides produced by the human health assessment, which includes bioaccumulation by fish and ingestion of fish by humans, would be applicable to ecological effects. Third, a screening assessment of radionuclide effects on nonhuman populations would have no consequences, because an extensive off-site radionuclide monitoring program will be conducted for human health assessment regardless of potential ecological effects. The results of those studies, including body burden data for fish, birds, and mammals, will be analyzed for evidence of ecological risks in future assessments.

5.4 RISK CHARACTERIZATION

Risk characterization is based on three independent lines of evidence. First, the estimates of exposure (Sect. 5.2) are compared to the toxicological benchmarks (Sect. 5.3) to determine for which chemicals the benchmarks are exceeded. Second, toxicity tests have been conducted with water collected from WOC and Melton Branch. These tests can be used to determine whether the waters have been toxic to standard test organisms. Third, biological surveys have been conducted in WAG 2 that can be used to estimate the actual levels of effects experienced by the receiving community.

5.4.1 Exposure/Response Integration

Exposure/response integration for this screening assessment is performed by calculating the quotients of chemical concentrations divided by screening criteria. For each detected chemical two exposure concentrations are used, the geometric mean and the arithmetic upper 95% confidence limit (UCL). If the calculated UCL exceeded the maximum observed concentration, the maximum value was used. These values were chosen to be consistent with the human health risk assessment (Sect. 4.5) because they are reasonable estimates of the chronic and episodic exposure levels, respectively. The concentrations, screening criteria, and quotients are presented in Tables G.2 to G.9, located in Appendix G.

5.4.1.1 Aqueous concentrations/aquatic life effects

The reported mean concentrations of most of the 17 metals and 6 out of 15 organic chemicals detected in water exceeded the lower screening criteria (Table G.2). Aluminum, cadmium, chromium, copper (UCL only), lead, and PCB concentrations exceed their chronic criteria. The largest quotients were for mercury and PCBs. In all reaches mean total mercury concentrations exceeded the lower screening criterion, which is the approximated chronic criterion for methyl mercury. (The actual chronic criterion for mercury is not used because it is based on mammalian toxicity rather than toxicity to aquatic life.) The mean and UCL total mercury concentration also exceed the lowest chronic value for daphnids and the lowest EC20 value for fish, but are well below all of

the benchmarks for divalent mercury. The Arochlor® 1254 detected in WOC (Reach 1) and the total PCB concentrations in all reaches greatly exceeded the approximated acute and chronic criteria for all of the Arochlor® mixtures and barely exceeded chronic values and EC20s for fish. Based on these results, the water in all reaches should be causing toxic effects in aquatic populations.

Most of the detection limits for chemicals that were not detected in water exceeded lower screening criteria (Table G.3). In addition, arsenic, cadmium, selenium, silver, and PCB detection limits exceed chronic NAWQC.

5.4.1.2 Concentrations in sediment/aquatic life effects

Sediment K_d values could be found for only six of the metals detected in WAG 2 sediments (Table G.4). All of these (barium, chromium, cobalt, mercury, silver, and zinc), have estimated pore-water values that exceed the lower screening criteria for aquatic life. In addition, mercury, silver, and zinc are estimated to exceed their chronic NAWQC in pore-water. Of the few organic chemicals detected in WAG 2 sediments, estimated pore-water concentrations exceeded lower screening criteria for benzene, di-n-butyl phthalate, methylene chloride, and PCBs. Pore-water concentrations estimated to occur at the detection limits of most of the chemicals not detected in WAG 2 exceeded lower screening criteria for aquatic life (Table G.5).

5.4.1.3 Concentrations in fish/fish effects

Only seven chemicals were detected in fish flesh (Table G.6). Of these, only selenium in Reach 1 occurred at mean concentrations that suggested that the fish may have been exposed to toxic aqueous concentrations. Upper confidence limits on the distributions of concentrations of cadmium and selenium in all reaches were barely high enough to suggest that toxic aqueous concentrations were exceeded. Seven metals and many organic compounds were not detected in fish flesh but had detection limits that exceeded concentrations that imply toxic aqueous concentrations (Table G.7).

5.4.1.4 Concentrations in fish/piscivore effects

Of the seven chemicals detected in fish flesh, only mercury and PCBs occurred at concentrations that could be toxic to piscivorous wildlife by the lower screening criteria for wildlife (Table G.8). However, cadmium, selenium, and zinc concentrations were sufficient to exceed the RfD for an organism consuming fish equal to 8% of its body weight per day (e.g., a kingfisher). Many undetected chemicals had detection limits in fish flesh that exceeded either lower screening criteria for wildlife or RfDs for kingfishers (Table G.9).

5.4.2 Ambient Media Toxicity Tests

The toxicity of surface waters in WOC and Melton Branch have been tested on a regular basis since 1986. Because of the major changes that have occurred in effluent input and toxicity in that interval, this discussion will emphasize the most recent results (Loar 1991). The tests employed are the standard 7-d static renewal tests measuring the growth and survival of fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) larvae and the survival and

growth of the daphnid cladoceran *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (Horning and Weber 1985). During 1990, one or both of these tests were performed monthly with water taken from WOC just above the confluence of Melton Branch and from Melton Branch just above its mouth. In all cases, survival and growth of *C. dubia* were high. Fathead minnow survival was less than 70% for the Melton Branch samples in four months and for the WOC samples in one month. However, in each case of low survival, minnow growth was good and variance in survival among replicates was high. Hence, the observations of low minnow survival were attributed to disease rather than toxicity by the authors (A. J. Stewart and L. A. Kszos in Loar 1991). Results in 1989 were similar but with a higher frequency of tests with low minnow survival (Loar 1990).

5.4.3 Biological Surveys

The following brief discussion of biological survey results is based on the results of studies done by the Biological Monitoring and Abatement Program at ORNL (Loar et al. 1987, Loar 1988-1991).

5.4.3.1 Fish

Redbreast sunfish (*Lepomis auritus*) have been collected from WOC in WAG 2 (WCK 2.2 — 2.7) and from three reference streams for studies of the biomarkers of contaminant exposure, indicators of the health of individual fish, and population parameters. The fish from WAG 2 differed from those of the reference sites in the following ways:

1. liver detoxification enzymes were elevated;
2. creatinine levels were elevated, a possible sign of kidney damage;
3. condition indices were slightly lower; and
4. fish were larger.

The redbreast sunfish in WAG 2 appear to be exposed to organic contaminants to the extent of elevating enzymes that may affect hormone levels; they are in slightly poorer condition on average but are growing well; and they are producing abundant viable eggs, but are reproducing earlier in the season than at reference sites. The early reproduction, which may be due to elevated water temperatures, may be the cause of the larger fish. Adams and Greeley (in Loar 1991) suggest that poor early life-stage survival may also be involved, but no direct evidence currently exists for this hypothesis.

Surveys of the fish community have also been conducted by electrofishing. Thirteen of the fourteen species occurring in the WOC watershed were found in WAG 2 in 1990. The density is relatively stable at approximately 0.5 fish/m². Growth rates of redbreast sunfish are indistinguishable from those at a reference stream (Brushy Fork) and bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*) growth rates are higher.

A fish/amphibian kill was observed in Melton Branch in 1990, apparently due to high temperatures associated with the operation of the HFIR reactor. Other kills that have been reported in the WOC watershed have also been associated with point-source effluents rather than wastes.

5.4.3.2 Benthic macroinvertebrates

Surveys of benthic macroinvertebrates in WAG 2 are available only through 1987. Those surveys found low taxonomic richness and relatively high frequencies of "pollution tolerant species" (Loar 1991). However, the community characteristics are improved relative to upstream areas that are more directly exposed to point-source effluents. The cause of the apparently degraded condition of the benthic macroinvertebrate community is unclear. Possible causes include upstream point-source emissions, siltation, temperature, and natural factors as well as toxicant sources within WAG 2. Interpretation is particularly inhibited by the lack of data from reference streams; all comparisons were to reference sites that were upstream in WOC and Melton Branch.

5.4.3.3 Periphyton

Periphyton, along with allochthonous material, form the base of the food web in streams. Periphyton downstream of ORNL, including Melton Branch and WOC in WAG 2, are more productive than those in uncontaminated reference sites. This result is attributed to nutrient input and indicates that the base of the food web is unimpaired. "Chlorophyll-adjusted photosynthetic rates" of periphyton in WAG 2 (WCK 3.4, WCK 2.9, and MEK 0.6) have been low during some measurement periods, but it is not clear whether this is due to natural factors or upstream effluents. In any case, this effect has no apparent ecosystem-level consequences.

5.4.3.4 Terrestrial

The terrestrial studies conducted in WAG 2 have addressed bioaccumulation and transfer of contaminants rather than effects of contaminants. Species used in bioaccumulation studies have included turtles, small mammals, waterfowl, and vascular plants. The abundant vegetation of WAG 2 suggests that severe phytotoxic effects are not occurring. Avian and mammalian wildlife appear to be abundant on WAG 2. However, given the mobility of these species, one cannot infer from this that wildlife on WAG 2 are unaffected. Even high mortality rates or very low reproductive rates could be replaced by immigrants from surrounding habitats.

5.4.4 Weight of Evidence and Uncertainties

5.4.4.1 Water

The analysis of chemical concentrations in water indicates that toxic effects on aquatic organisms cannot be precluded from consideration but are not likely to be severe. The toxicological benchmarks that were exceeded are intended to be conservative, and the concentrations that were reported may overestimate bioavailable concentrations, so the quotients are expected to be conservative estimators of the potential for effects. The possibility that exposure is overestimated is supported by the relatively low concentrations in fish flesh, which are suggestive of lower water concentrations than are reported in the analyses. However, this analysis is based on concentrations in fillets, which are likely to be lower than whole fish concentrations that are the basis for the bioconcentration factors. The results of recent toxicity tests with WAG 2 water do not indicate toxicity, but they include only two species and one life stage of the fish. The most recent aquatic biological

survey results indicate that sources in WAG 2 are not causing severe toxic effects. However, fish reproduction may be disturbed in WAG 2, and the benthic invertebrate community may be affected by an unidentified source of toxic or physical stress.

5.4.4.2 Sediments

Estimated sediment pore-water concentrations of several chemicals are sufficient to cause toxic effects. However, these estimates are uncertain because they are not based on site-specific partitioning data. The apparent effects on the benthic invertebrate community are consistent with mild toxic effects, but, because riffle areas were sampled, the surface water may be the primary source of exposure. No sediment toxicity tests have been conducted with WAG 2 sediments.

5.4.4.3 Fish and piscivores

Mercury and PCB concentrations in fish flesh are high enough to indicate a hazard to piscivorous wildlife. There are no biosurvey data for piscivorous wildlife in WAG 2, but elevated PCB and mercury concentrations have been found in eggs at a great blue heron rookery at K-25. Great blue herons that forage in WAG 2 are believed to be from this rookery.

5.4.4.4 Terrestrial communities

Nothing is known about the nonradiological contamination of the floodplain habitats in WAG 2. There is no apparent ecological damage to the floodplain community, but no studies of potential toxic effects have been conducted.

5.4.4.5 Summary of the ecological risk characterization

Because there is no complete inventory of the chemicals that have been disposed of in the White Oak Creek watershed, it is not possible to identify a list of potential contaminants of concern *a priori*. Instead, it is necessary to either establish that no significant toxic effects are occurring in WAG 2 or perform a survey of watershed contaminants that is sufficiently sensitive and reliable for a complete screening to be performed. This assessment was not able to complete either of these strategies. Although severe effects are not occurring in the aquatic habitats of WAG 2, there is some evidence of effects on fish reproduction and on benthic invertebrate community composition. Toxicity tests of surface water have not found toxicity, but tests have not been conducted for sediment or soil. Some chemicals in all media occurred at concentrations that are potentially toxic and many chemicals that were not detected had detection limits that were higher than both toxic and regulatory thresholds. Therefore, although it is clear that severe toxic effects are not occurring, there are still significant uncertainties concerning the ecological risks posed by WAG 2. These uncertainties are reflected in the following list of data needs.

5.5 DATA NEEDS

5.5.1 Biota and Communities

A survey of threatened and endangered species should be conducted.

Wetland communities and seeps where contaminants may accumulate should be identified.

Benthic invertebrate communities in fine sediments should be surveyed in WAG 2 and reference sites.

5.5.2 Sources and Exposure

Species of arsenic, chromium, and mercury should be determined in each medium.

Sediment pore-water should be extracted and analyzed at a set of sites that are representative of the range of sediments (other than gravels) found in WAG 2. These samples will be used to derive site-specific K_d values. Percent organic matter and acid-volatile sulfides should be determined for those sediments.

Whole fish should be analyzed, including some small fish representative of the fish consumed by kingfishers. For the larger fish that are currently filleted, the non-fillet remainder of fish should be analyzed to establish fillet-to-whole-fish ratios. Whole-fish analysis is the best measure of piscivore dietary exposure and is the most generally useful measure of fish internal exposure.

Soil should be analyzed for nonradiological chemicals in areas where contaminants are likely to have accumulated. Aqueous extracts as well as the conventional acid and organic extracts should be analyzed.

Water should be analyzed for dissolved chemical concentrations.

5.5.3 Toxicity Testing

If pore-water analyses indicate potential sediment toxicity, sediment toxicity tests should be performed.

If soil analyses indicate potential soil toxicity, soil toxicity tests should be performed.

Tests of effects of WAG 2 waters on fish reproduction should be continued to resolve the nature and cause of apparent effects.

6. REFERENCES

- Adams, W. J., P. S. Ziegenfuss, W. J. Renaudette, and R. G. Mosher. 1985. Comparison of laboratory and field methods for testing the toxicity of chemicals sorbed to sediments. pp. 494-513. T. M. Posten and P. E. Purdy (eds.), Aquatic Toxicology and Environmental Fate: Ninth Volume, ASTM STP 921. American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia.
- Ahmed, N., D. Benoit, L. Brook, D. Call, A. Carlson, D. DeFoe, H. Huot, A. Moriarity, J. Richter, P. Shubat, G. Veith, and C. Wallbridge. 1984. Aquatic toxicity tests to characterize the hazard of volatile organic chemicals in water: A toxicity data summary—Parts I and II, EPA-600/3-84-009. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Anderson, D. W., J. R. Jehl Jr., R. W. Riesbrough, L. A. Wood Jr., L. R. Deweese, and W. G. Edgecomb. 1975. Brown pelicans: Improved reproduction off the Southern California coast. *Science* 190:806-808.
- Benoit, D. A., F. A. Puglisi, and D. L. Olson. 1982. A fathead minnow *Pimephales promelas* early life stage toxicity test method evaluation and exposure to four organic chemicals. *Environ. Pollut. (Ser. A)* 28:189-197.
- Beusen, J. M., and B. Neven. 1987. Toxicity of vanadium to different freshwater organisms. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 39:194-201.
- Biesinger, K. E., and Christensen, G. M. 1972. Effects of various metals on survival, growth, reproduction, and metabolism of *Daphnia magna*. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 29:1691-1700.
- Black, J. A., and W. J. Birge. 1982. The aquatic toxicity of organic compounds to embryolarval stages of fish and amphibians. University of Kentucky, Water Resources Research Institute, Lexington, Kentucky.
- Blaylock, B. G., and J. R. Trabalka. 1978. Evaluating the effects of ionizing radiation on aquatic organisms. *Adv. Radiat. Bio.* 7:103-152.
- Blaylock, B. G., M. L. Frank, L. A. Hook, and F. O. Hoffman. 1991. Interim Site Characterization and Contaminant Screening Report on the White Oak Creek Embayment. ORNL/ER-81, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Bleavins, M. R., R. J. Aulerich, and R. K. Ringer. 1983. Hexachlorobenzene-induced effects on the lymphocyte blastogenic response to concanavalin A in the mink and European ferret. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 2:411-418.

- Boyle, J. W. and 12 others. 1982. Environmental analysis of the operation of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (X-10 Site). ORNL-5870. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Brumbaugh, W. G., and D. A. Kane. 1985. Variability of aluminum concentrations in organs and whole bodies of smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*). Environ. Sci. Technol. 19:328-331.
- Cairns, M. A., and A. V. Nebeker. 1982. Toxicity of acenaphthene and isophorone to early life stages of fathead minnows. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 11:703-707.
- Call, D. J., L. T. Brook, N. Ahmed, and J. E. Richter. 1983. Toxicity and metabolism studies with EPA priority pollutants and related chemicals in freshwater organisms, EPA-600/3-83-095. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Cardwell, R. D., D. G. Foreman, T. R. Payne, and D. J. Wilbur. 1977. Acute and chronic toxicity of chlordane to fish and invertebrates, EPA-600/3-77-019. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Carlson, A. R., J. A. Tucker, V. R. Mattson, G. L. Phipps, P. M. Cook, G. F. Olson, and F. A. Puglisi. 1982. Cadmium and endrin toxicity to fish in waters containing mineral fibers, EPA-600/3-82-053. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Chapman, G. A., S. Ota, F. Recht. Manuscript. Effects of water hardness on the toxicity of metals to *Daphnia magna*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis, Oregon.
- Cleveland, L., E. E. Little, S. J. Hamilton, D. R. Buckler, and J. B. Hunn. 1978. Interactive toxicity of aluminum and acidity to early life stages of brook trout. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 115:610-620.
- Suter, Glenn W. II, Andy Red Fearn, Robin K. White, and Renee A. Shaw. 1992. Approach and strategy for performing ecological risk assessments for the DOE Oak Ridge Field Office Environmental Restoration Program, ES/ER/TM-33. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Dave, G. 1984a. Effects of copper on growth, reproduction, survival and haemoglobin in *Daphnia magna*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 78C:439-443.
- Dave, G. 1984b. Effects of fluoride on growth, reproduction, and survival in *Daphnia magna*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 78C:425-431.
- Dave, G. 1984c. Effects of waterborne iron on growth, reproduction, survival and haemoglobin in *Daphnia magna*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 78C:433-438.
- Davies, P. H., J. P. Goettl, Jr., J. R. Sinley. 1978. Toxicity of silver to rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). Water Res. 12:113-117.
- Davies, P. H., J. P. Goettl, Jr., J. R. Sinley and Smith. 1976. Acute and chronic toxicity of lead to rainbow trout *Salmo gairdneri*, in hard and soft water. Water Res. 10:199-206.

- Davies, P. H., and W. E. Everhart. 1973. Effects of chemical variations in aquatic environments: lead toxicity to rainbow trout and testing application factor concept. EPA-R3-73-011C. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va.
- DeFoe, D. L. 1982. Memorandum to Robert L. Spehar. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn. July 9.
- DeFoe, D. L., G. D. Veith, and R. L. Carlson. 1978. Effects of Aroclor 1248 and 1260 on the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 7:997-1002.
- DeGraeve, G. M., R. G. Elder, D. C. Woods, and H. L. Bergman. 1982. Effects of naphthalene and benzene on fathead minnows and rainbow trout. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 11:478-490.
- DeGraeve, G. M., D. L. Geiger, J. S. Meyer, and H. L. Bergman. 1980. Acute and embryo-larval toxicity of phenolic compounds to aquatic biota. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 9:557-568.
- Dill, D. C., P. G. Murphy, and M. A. Mayes. 1987. Toxicity of methylene chloride to life stages of the fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* Rafinesque. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 39:869-876.
- DiToro, D. M. 1985. A particle interaction model of reversible organic chemical sorption. Chemosphere 14:1503-1538.
- Eisler, R. 1985. Selenium hazards to fish, wildlife, and invertebrates: A synoptic review. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 85/1.5. Laurel, Maryland.
- Eisler, R. 1986. Chromium hazards to fish, wildlife, and invertebrates; A synoptic review. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 85/1.6. Laurel, Maryland.
- Eisler, R. 1987. Mercury hazards to fish, wildlife, and invertebrates: A synoptic review. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 85/1.10. Laurel, Maryland.
- Eisler, R. 1988. Lead hazards to fish, wildlife, and invertebrates; A synoptic review. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report 85/1.14. Laurel, Maryland.
- Elnabarawy, M. T., A. N. Welter, and R. R. Robideau. 1986. Relative sensitivity of three daphnid species to selected organic and inorganic chemicals. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 5:393-398.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1978. In-depth studies on health and environmental impacts of selected water pollutants. Contract No. 68-01-4646. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980a. Ambient water quality criteria for thallium. EPA 440/5-80-074. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980b. Ambient water quality criteria for chlorinated benzenes. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980c. Ambient water quality criteria for beryllium. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980a. Ambient water quality criteria for acenaphthene. EPA 440/5-80-015. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980b. Ambient water quality criteria for antimony. EPA 440/5-80-020. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980c. Ambient water quality criteria for benzidine. EPA 440/5-80-023. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980d. Ambient water quality criteria for benzene. EPA 440/5-80-018. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980e. Ambient water quality criteria for benzidine. EPA 440/5-80-023. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980f. Ambient water quality criteria for beryllium. EPA 440/5-80-024. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980g. Ambient water quality criteria for cadmium. EPA 440/5-80-025. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980h. Ambient water quality criteria for carbon tetrachloride. EPA 440/5-80-026. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980i. Ambient water quality criteria for chlordane. EPA 440/5-80-027. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980j. Ambient water quality criteria for chlorinated benzenes. EPA 440/5-80-029. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980k. Ambient water quality criteria for chlorinated ethanes. EPA 440/5-80-029. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980l. Ambient water quality criteria for chloroform. EPA 440/5-80-033. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980m. Ambient water quality criteria for DDT. EPA 440/5-80-038. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980n. Ambient water quality criteria for dichloroethylenes. EPA 440/5-80-041. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980o. Ambient water quality criteria for dichloropropane and dichloropropene. EPA 440/5-80-043. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980p. Ambient water quality criteria for ethylbenzene. EPA 440/5-80-048. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980q. Ambient water quality criteria for fluoranthene. EPA 440/5-80-049. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980r. Ambient water quality criteria for heptachlor. EPA 440/5-80-052. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980s. Ambient water quality criteria for hexachloro-cyclohexane. EPA 440/5-80-054. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980t. Ambient water quality criteria for naphthalene. EPA 440/5-80-059. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980u. Ambient water quality criteria for nitrophenols. EPA 440/5-80-063. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980v. Ambient water quality criteria for phenol. EPA 440/5-80-066. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980w. Ambient water quality criteria for phthalate esters. EPA 440/5-80-067. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980x. Ambient water quality criteria for polychlorinated biphenyls. EPA 440/5-80-068. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980y. Ambient water quality criteria for silver. EPA 440/5-80-071. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980z. Ambient water quality criteria for tetrachloroethylene. EPA 440/5-80-073. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980aa. Ambient water quality criteria for thallium. EPA 440/5-80-074. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980bb. Ambient water quality criteria for toluene. EPA 440/5-80-075. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1980cc. Ambient water quality criteria for trichloroethylene. EPA 440/5-80-077. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1984a. Health effects assessment for lead. EPA 540/1-86-055. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1984b. Health effects assessment for chlorinated benzenes. EPA 600/8-84-015F. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1985a. Ambient water quality criteria for arsenic—1984. EPA 440/5-84-033. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1985b. Ambient water quality criteria for chromium - 1984. EPA 440/5-84-029. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1985c. Ambient water quality criteria for copper—1984. EPA 440/5-84-031. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1985d. Ambient water quality criteria for lead—1984. EPA 440/5-84-027. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1985e. Ambient water quality criteria for mercury—1984. EPA 440/5-84-026. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1987. Health effects assessment for beryllium. EPA 660/8-84-026F. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1986. Ambient water quality criteria for nickel—1986. EPA 440/5-86-004. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1987a. Ambient water quality criteria for selenium, 1987. EPA 440/5-87-006. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1987b. Ambient water quality criteria for zinc, 1987. EPA 440/5-87-003. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1987c. Health effects assessment for beryllium. EPA 660/8-84-026F. NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1988. Ambient water quality criteria for aluminum—1988. EPA 440/5-86-008. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1990. Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables. NTIS No. PB90-921104.

EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1991. Drinking Water Regulations: Maximum Contaminant Level Goals and National Primary Drinking Water Regulations for Lead and Copper. Federal Register, Vol. 55, No. 110, June 7, 1991, pp. 26460-26564.

Ernst, W. R., and E. T. Garside. 1987. Lethal effects of vanadium to two life stages of brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis* (Mitchill). Can. J. Zool. 65:628-634.

ES/ER (Environmental Sciences Division/Environmental Restoration Division). 1990. Remedial Investigation Plan for Waste Area Grouping 2 at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. ES/ER-14&D1, pp 354.

Fieser, A. H., J. L. Sykora, M. S. Kostalos, Y. C. Wu, and D. W. Weyel. 1986. Effect of fluorides on survival and reproduction of *Daphnia magna*. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 58(1):82-86.

- Francis, P. C., D. W. Grothe, and J. C. Scheuring. 1986. Chronic toxicity of 4-nitrophenol to *Daphnia magna* Straus under static-renewal and flow-through conditions. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 36:730-737.
- Fuller, G. B., and W. C. Hobson. 1986. Effects of PCBs on reproduction in mammals. pp. 101-122. IN J. S. Waide (ed.), PCBs and the Environment, Vol. II. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Geiger, J. G., and A. L. Buikema Jr. 1982. Hydrocarbons depress growth and reproduction of *Daphnia pulex* (Clodocera). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 39:830-836.
- Gerisch, F. M. 1984. Evaluation of static renewal chronic toxicity test method for *Daphnia magna* Straus using boric acid. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 3:89-94.
- Goettl, J. P., J. R. Sinley, and P. H. Davies. 1972. Laboratory water pollution studies. Colorado Fisheries Review 7:36-44.
- Goettl, J. P., Jr., and P. H. Davies. 1976. Water pollution studies. Federal Aid Project F-33-R-11. Department of Natural Resources, Colorado Division of Wildlife.
- Hamilton, R. P., M. R. S. Fox, B. E. Fry, A. O. L. Jones, and R. M. Jacobs. 1979. Zinc interference with copper, iron, and manganese in young Japanese quail. J. Food Sci. 44:738.
- Hannah, J. B., J. E. Hose, M. L. Landolt, B. S. Miller, S. P. Felton, and W. T. Iwaoko. 1982. Benzo(a)pyrene-induced morphologic and developmental abnormalities in rainbow trout. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 11:727-734.
- Heinz, G. H., S. D. Hazeltine, and L. Sileo. 1983. Altered avoidance behavior of young black ducks fed cadmium. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2:319-421.
- Hoffman, F. O., B. G. Blaylock, M. L. Frank, L. Hook, E. L. Etnier, and S. S. Talmage. 1990. Screening of contaminants in the off-site environment. ORNL/ER-9. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Holdway, D. A., and J. B. Sprague. 1979. Chronic toxicity of vanadium to flagfish. Water Res. 13:905-910.
- Holst, L. L., and J. P. Giesy. 1989. Chronic effects of the photoenhanced toxicity of anthracene on *Daphnia magna* reproduction. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 8:933-942.
- Horning, W. B., Jr. and C. I. Weber (eds.). 1985. Short-term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater organisms. EPA/600/4-85/014. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- Ingersoll, C. G., D. D. Gulley, D. R. Mount, M. E. Mueller, J. D. Fernandez, J. R. Hockett, and H. L. Bergman. 1990a. Aluminum and acid toxicity to two strains of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 47:1641-1648.

- Ingersoll, C. G., D. R. Mount, D. D. Gulley, T. W. La Point, and H. L. Bergman. 1990b. Effects of pH, aluminum, and calcium on survival and growth of eggs and fry of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 47:1580-1592.
- International Atomic Energy Agency. 1982. Generic models and parameters for assessing the environmental transfer of radionuclides from routine releases. Safety Series No. 57. Vienna.
- International Joint Commission of the United States and Canada. 1988. Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement. Amended by protocol signed November 18, 1987. Ottawa, Canada.
- Jarvinen, A. W., M. J. Hoffman, and T. W. Thorslund. 1977. Long-term toxic effects of DDT food and water exposure on fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*). J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 34:2089-2103.
- Johnston, P. A. 1987. Acute toxicity of inorganic selenium to *Daphnia magna* (Straus) and the effect of sub-acute exposure upon growth and reproduction. Aquat. Toxicol. 10:335-352.
- Kenaga, E. E. 1973. Factors to be considered in the evaluation of the toxicity of pesticides to birds in the environment. Environ. Qual. Saf. 2:166-181.
- Kimball, G. Manuscript. The effects of lesser known metals and one organic to fathead minnows [*Pimephales promelas*] and *Daphnia magna*.
- Knowles, C. O., M. J. McKee, and D. U. Palawski. 1987. Chronic effects of di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate on biochemical composition, survival and reproduction of *Daphnia magna*. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 6:201-208.
- Koenst, W. M., L. L. Smith, Jr., and S. J. Broderius. 1977. Effect of chronic exposure of brook trout to sublethal concentrations of hydrogen cyanide. Environmental Science and Technology 11:883-886.
- Kroodsma, R. L. 1987. Resource Management Plan for the Oak Ridge Reservation. Volume 24: Threatened and endangered animal species. ORNL/ESH-1/V24. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Lazareva, L. P. 1985. Changes in biological characteristics of *Daphnia magna* from chronic action of copper and nickel at low concentrations. Hydrobiol. J. 21(5):59-62.
- Lemly, A. D., and G. J. Smith. n.d. Aquatic cycling of selenium: Implications for fish and wildlife. Fish and Wildlife Leaflet 12:1-10.
- Lima, A. R., C. Curtis, D. E. Hammermeister, T. P. Markee, C. E. Northcott, and L. T. Brooke. 1984. Acute and chronic toxicities of arsenic(III) to fathead minnows, flagfish, daphnids, and an amphipod. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 13:595-601.

- Lincer, J. L. 1975. DOE-induced eggshell-thinning in the American kestrel: A comparison of the field situation and laboratory results. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 12:781-793.
- Lind, D., K. Alto, and S. Chatterton. 1978. Regional copper-nickel study; aquatic toxicology study. Minnesota Environmental Quality Board.
- Loar, J. M., J. A. Solomon, and G. F. Cada. 1981. Technical background information for the ORNL Environmental and Safety Report, Vol. 2: A description of the aquatic ecology of the White Oak Creek watershed and Clinch River below Melton Hill Dam. ORNL/TM-7509/V2. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Loar, J. M. and 15 others. 1987. First annual report of the ORNL Biological Monitoring and Abatement Program. Draft ORNL/TM-10399. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Loar, J. M. (ed.). 1988. Second annual report of the ORNL Biological Monitoring and Abatement Program. Draft ORNL/TM-10804. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Loar, J. M. (ed.). 1989. Third annual report of the ORNL Biological Monitoring and Abatement Program. Draft ORNL/TM-11358. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Loar, J. M. (ed.). 1990. Fourth annual report of the ORNL Biological Monitoring and Abatement Program. Draft ORNL/TM-11544. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Loar, J. M. (ed.). 1991. Fifth annual report of the ORNL Biological Monitoring and Abatement Program. Draft ORNL/TM-1485. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Lomenick, T. F., and D. A. Gardiner. 1965. The Occurrence and Retention of Radionuclides in Sediments of White Oak Lake. *Health Phys.* 11:567-577.
- Macek, K. J., K. S. Buxton, S. K. Derr, J. W. Dean, and S. Sauter. 1976a. Chronic toxicity of lindane to selected aquatic invertebrates and fish. EPA-600/3-76-046. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Macek, K. J., M. A. Lindberg, S. Sauter, K. Buxton, and P. A. Costa. 1976b. Toxicity of four pesticides to water fleas and fathead minnows. EPA-600/3-76-099. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.
- Mann, L. K. and J. T. Kitchings. 1982. Resource data inventory for the Oak Ridge area. ORNL/TM-7941. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Matsumura, F. 1985. *Toxicology of Pesticides*, 2nd ed. Plenum Press, New York.

- Mauck, W. L., P. M. Mehrle, and F. L. Mayer. 1978. Effects of the polychlorinated biphenyl Aroclor® 1254 on growth, survival, and bone development in brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*). J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 35:1084-1088.
- Mayer, F. L., Jr., and H. O. Sanders. 1973. Toxicology of phthalic acid esters in aquatic organisms. Environmental Health Perspectives 5:153-157.
- McCarthy, J. F., and D. K. Whitmore. 1985. Chronic toxicity of di-n-butyl and di-n-octyl phthalate to *Daphnia magna* and the fathead minnow. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 4:167-179.
- McCauley, D. J., L. T. Brooke, D. J. Call, and C. A. Lindberg. 1986. Acute and chronic toxicity of aluminum to *Ceriodaphnia dubia* at various pH's. Center for Lake Superior Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin-Superior, Superior, Wis.
- McKim, J. M., G. F. Olson, G. W. Holcombe, and E. P. Hunt. 1976. Long-term effects of methylmercuric chloride on three generations of brook trout (*Salvelinus fontinalis*): toxicity, accumulation, distribution, and elimination. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 33:2726-2739.
- Mehrle, P. M., and F. L. Mayer. 1976. Di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate: residue dynamics and biological effects in rainbow trout and fathead minnows. Trace Subst. Env. Health 10:519-524.
- Münzinger, A. 1990. Effects of nickel on *Daphnia magna* during chronic exposure and alterations in the toxicity to generations pre-exposed to nickel. Wat. Res. 24:845-852.
- National Research Council. 1977. Arsenic. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- National Research Council. 1980. Mineral Tolerance of Domestic Animals. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.
- Nebeker, A. V., C. K. McAuliffe, R. Mshar, and D. G. Stevens. 1983. Toxicity of silver to steelhead and rainbow trout, fathead minnows, and *Daphnia magna*. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2:95-104.
- Nebeker, A. V., C. Savonen, and D. G. Stevens. 1985. Sensitivity of rainbow trout early life stages to nickel chloride. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 4:233-239.
- Nebeker, A. V., and F. A. Puglisi. 1974. Effect of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCBs) on survival and reproduction of *Daphnia*, *Gammarus*, and *Tanytarsus*. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 103:722-728.
- Nebeker, A. V., F. A. Puglisi, and D. L. DeFoe. 1974. Effect of polychlorinated biphenyl compounds on survival and reproduction of the fathead minnow and flagfish. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 103:562-568.

- NIOSH (National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health). 1988. Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances (RTECS). Washington, D.C.
- Oakes, T. W., B. A. Kelly, W. O. Ohnesorge, J. S. Eldridge, J. C. Bird, K. E. Shanks, and F. S. Tsakeres. 1982. Technical background information for the ORNL Environmental and Safety Report, Vol. 4: White Oak Lake and Dam. ORNL/TM-7509/V4. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- ORNL (Oak Ridge National Laboratory). 1990. Remedial Investigation Plan for Waste Area Grouping 2 at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ES/ER-14&D1. Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- OSWER (Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response). 1991. OSWER Directive 9285.6-03, March 25, 1991. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response Toxics Integration Branch, U.S. EPA, Washington, D.C. 20460.
- OWRS (Office of Water Regulations and Standards). 1988. Interim sediment criteria values for nonpolar hydrophobic organic contaminants. SCD #17. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- OWRS (Office of Water Regulations and Standards). 1989. Briefing report to the EPA Science Advisory Board on the equilibrium partitioning approach to generating sediment quality criteria. EPA 440/5-89-002. Washington, D.C.
- Ohlendorf, H. M., D. J. Hoffman, M. K. Saiki, and T. W. Aldrich. 1986. Embryonic mortality and abnormalities of aquatic birds: Apparent impacts of selenium from irrigation drainwater. *Sci. Total Environ.* 52:49-63.
- Peakall, D. B. 1986. Accumulation and effects on birds. pp. 31-47. J. S. Waide (ed.), *PCBs and the Environment*, Vol. II, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Richter, J.E., S. F. Peterson, and C. F. Kleiner. 1983. Acute and chronic toxicity of some chlorinated benzenes, chlorinated ethanes, and tetrachloroethylene to *Daphnia magna*. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 12:679-684.
- Ringer, R. K. 1983. Toxicology of PCBs in mink and ferrets. pp. 227-240. F. M. Itri and M. A. Kamrin. *PCBs: Human and Environmental Hazards*. Butterworth Publishers, Boston.
- Sadler, K., and S. Lynam. 1988. The influence of calcium on aluminum-induced changes in the growth rate and mortality of brown trout, *Salmo trutta* L. *J. Fish Biol.* 33:171-179.
- Sauter, S., K. S. Buxton, K. J. Macek, and S. R. Petrocelli. 1976. Effects exposure to heavy metals on selected freshwater fish. EPA-600/3-76-105. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Duluth, Minn.

- Sheffy, T. B., and J. R. St. Amant. 1982. Mercury burdens in furbearers in Wisconsin. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 46:1117-1120.
- Sherwood, C. B. and J. M. Loar. 1987. Environmental data for the White Oak Creek/White Oak Lake watershed. ORNL/TM-4662. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Sigal, L. L., and G. W. Suter II. 1989. Potential effects of chemical agents on terrestrial resources. *Environ. Prof.* 11:376-384.
- Smith, A. D., A. Bharath, C. Mallard, D. Orr, K. Smith, J. A. Sutton, J. Vukmanich, L. S. McCarty, and G. W. Ozburn. 1991. The acute and chronic toxicity of ten chlorinated organic compounds to the American Flagfish (*Jordanella floridae*). *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 20:94-102.
- Smith, L. R., T. M. Holsen, N. C. Ibay, R. M. Block, and A. B. de Leon. 1985. Studies on the acute toxicity of fluoride ion to stickleback, fathead minnow, and rainbow trout. *Chemosphere* 14(9):1383-1389.
- Spehar, R. L. 1976. Cadmium and zinc toxicity to flagfish, *Jordanella floridae*. *J. Fish. Res. Board Can.* 33:1939-1945.
- Spehar, R. L., J. T. Fiandt, R. L. Anderson, and D. L. DeFoe. 1980. Comparative toxicity of arsenic compounds and their accumulation in invertebrates and fish. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 9:53-63.
- Stephan, C. E., D. I. Mount, D. J. Hansen, J. H. Gentile, G. A. Chapman, and W. A. Brungs. 1985. Guidelines for deriving numerical national water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic organisms and their uses. PB85-227049. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va.
- Stephan, C. E., and J. R. Rogers. 1985. Advantages of using regression analysis to calculate results of chronic toxicity tests. pp. 328-339. IN R. C. Bahner and D. J. Hansen (eds.), *Aquatic Toxicology and Hazard Assessment: Eighth Symposium*, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia.
- Stevens, D. G., and G. A. Chapman. 1984. Toxicity of trivalent chromium to early life stages of steelhead trout. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 3:125-133.
- Suter, G. W., II, A. E. Rosen, E. Linder, and D. F. Parkhurst. 1987. End points for responses of fish to chronic toxic exposures. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 6:793-809.
- Thompson, R. S., and N. G. Carmichael. 1989. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane: medium-term toxicity to carp, daphnids, and higher plants. *Ecotox. Environ. Safety* 17:172-182.

- Tucker, R. K., and J. S. Lietzke. 1979. Comparative toxicity of insecticides for vertebrate wildlife and fish. *Pharmac. Ther.* 6:167-220.
- Veith, G. D., D. J. Call, and L. T. Brooke. 1983. Structure-toxicity relationships for the fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas*: Narcotic industrial chemicals. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 40:743-748.
- Venugopal, B., and T. D. Luckey. 1978. *Metal Toxicity in Mammals-2*. Plenum Press, New York.
- Woodward, D. F., A. F. Farag, M. E. Mueller, E. E. Little, F. A. Vertucci. 1989. Sensitivity of endemic Snake River cutthroat trout to acidity and elevated aluminum. *Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc.* 118:630-643.
- Wren, C. D., D. B. Hunter, J. F. Leatherland, and P. M. Stokes. 1987. The effects of polychlorinated biphenyls and methylmercury, singly and in combination, on mink. I: Uptake and toxic responses. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 16:441-447.

APPENDIX A

**NONCONSERVATIVE SCREENING OF THE DETECTABLE
CONTAMINANTS DATA BASE FOR CARCINOGENS
AND NONCARCINOGENS**

WHITE OAK CREEK WATERSHED REFERENCES

The following references were reviewed for pertinent data in the development of the Risk Assessment data base.

- Abee, H. H. 1953. *Radioactivity in the Mud of White Oak Lake*. ORNL-1580. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. 24 pp.
- Auerbach, S. I., et al. 1957. Ecological research. pp. 10-39. IN Health Physics Division Annual Progress Report, July 31, 1957. ORNL-2384. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Auerbach, S. I., et al. 1958. Ecological research. pp. 27-52. IN Health Physics Division Annual Progress Report, July 21, 1958. ORNL-2590. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Auerbach, S. I., et al. 1959. Ecological research. pp. 18-54. IN Health Physics Division Annual Progress Report, July 31, 1959. ORNL-2806. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Auerbach, S. I., et al. 1960. Ecological research. pp. 147-166. IN Health Physics Division Annual Progress Report, July 31, 1960. ORNL-2994. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Auerbach, S. I., et al. 1961. White Oak Lake bed studies. pp. 81-105. IN Health Physics Division Annual Progress Report, July 31, 1961. ORNL-3189. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Auerbach, S. I., et al. 1962. Radiation ecology. pp. 47-72. IN Health Physics Division Annual Progress Report, July 31, 1962. ORNL-3347. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Auerbach, S. I., et al. 1963. Radiation ecology. pp. 81-115. IN Health Physics Division Annual Progress Report. June 30, 1963. ORNL-3492. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Auerbach, S. I., et al. 1975. Tritium concentration in a forest ecosystem contaminated by seepage. pp. 86-87. IN Environmental Sciences Division Annual Progress Report, for period ending Sept. 30, 1974. ORNL-5016. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Auerbach, S. I. et al. 1976. Tritium-contaminated floodplain. pp. 106-109. IN Environmental Sciences Division Annual Progress Report for Period Ending September 30, 1975. ORNL-5193. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Auerbach, S. I., and D. A. Crossley, Jr. 1958. Strontium-90 and cesium-137 uptake by vegetation under natural conditions. pp. 494-499. IN Proc., Second Int. Conf. on Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, Vol. 18, Geneva. Pergamon Press, London.

- Auerbach, S. I., and J. S. Olson. 1963. Biological and environmental behavior of ruthenium and rhodium. pp. 509-519. IN V. Schultz and A. W. Klement, Jr., Eds. Proc., First National Symposium on Radioecology. Reinhold Publishing Co., New York, and AIBS, Washington, DC.
- Blaylock, B. G. 1965. "Chromosomal aberrations in natural population of Chironomus tentans exposed to chronic low-level radiation." *Evolution* 19(3):421-429.
- Blaylock, B. G. 1966. "Chromosomal polymorphism in irradiated natural populations of Chironomus." *Genetics* 53(1):131-136.
- Blaylock, B. G. 1966. "Cytogenetic study of a natural population of Chironomus inhabiting an area contaminated by radioactive waste." pp. 835-846. IN Disposal of Radioactive Wastes into Seas, Oceans, and Surface Waters, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna.
- Blaylock, B. G. 1969. "The fecundity of a Gambusia affinis population exposed to chronic environmental radiation." *Radiat. Res.* 37:108-117.
- Blaylock, B. G., and T. J. Mitchell. 1969. "The effect of temperature on the dose response of Gambusia affinis affinis from two natural populations." *Radiat. Res.* 40(3):503-511.
- Bondietti, E. A., S. A. Reynolds, and M. H. Shanks. 1976. Interaction of plutonium with complexing substances in soils and natural waters. pp. 273-287. IN Transuranium Nuclides in the Environment. IAEA, Vienna.
- Bondietti, E. A., and C. T. Garten. (1986). Speciation of Tc-99 and Co-60: Correlation of laboratory and field observations. pp. 79-92. IN Speciation of Fission and Activation Products in the Environment, University of Oxford, April, 1985.
- Bondietti, E. A., and F. H. Sweeton. 1977. Transuranic speciation in the environment. pp. 449-476. IN M. G. White and P. B. Dunaway, Eds. Transuranics in Natural Environments. NVO-178. NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.
- Bondietti, E. A., and T. Tamura. 1980. Physiochemical associations of plutonium and other actinides in soils. pp. 145-164. IN W. C. Hanson, Ed. Transuranic Elements in the Environment. DOE/TIC-22800. NTIS, Springfield, Virginia.
- Bondietti, E. A., J. R. Trabalka, C. T. Garten, and G. G. Killough. 1979. Biogeochemistry of actinides: a nuclear fuel cycle perspective. pp. 241-265. IN S. Fried, Ed. Radioactive Waste in Geologic Storage, ACS Symposium Series 100. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
- Boyle, J. W., R. Blumberg, S. J. Cotter, G. S. Hill, C. R. Kerley, R. H. Kettelle, R. L. Kroodsmas, D. W. Lee, R. C. Martin, R. D. Roop, D. N. Secora, W. P. Staub, and R. E. Thoma. 1982. Environmental Analysis of the Operation of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (X-10 Site). ORNL-5870. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. 185 pp. and appendices.

- Cerling, T. E., and B. P. Spalding. 1981. *Areal distribution of Co-60, Cs-137, and Sr-90 in streambed gravels of White Oak Creek Watershed, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.* ORNL/TM-7318. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Cerling, T. E. and B. P. Spalding. 1982. "Distribution and relationship of radionuclides to streambed gravels in a small watershed." *Environ. Geol.* 4:99-116.
- Childs, H. E., and G. E. Cosgrove. 1966. "A study of pathological conditions in wild rodents in radioactive areas." *Amer. Midl. Nat.* 76:309-324.
- Cooley, J. L. 1973. "Effects of chronic environmental radiation on a natural population of the aquatic snail Physa heterostropha." *Radiat. Res.* 54(1):130-140.
- Dahlman, R. C., and P. Van Voris. 1976. Cycling of Cs-137 in soil and vegetation of a flood plain 30 years after initial contamination. pp. 291-298. IN C. E. Cushing, Jr., Ed. *Radioecology and Energy Resources.* Dowden, Hutchinson, and Ross, Inc., Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania.
- Delaney, M. S., R. C. Dahlman, and R. B. Craig. 1979. *An investigation of plutonium concentration and distribution in burrowing crayfish from the White Oak Creek floodplain.* ORNL/TM-6702. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- DeSelm, H. R., and R. E. Shanks. 1967. "Vegetation and floristic changes on a portion of White Oak Lake bed." *Ecology* 48:419-425.
- Elwood, J. W. 1973. Tritium behavior in fish from a chronically contaminated lake. pp. 435-439. IN D. J. Nelson, Ed. *Radionuclides in Ecosystems. Proc., Third National Symposium on Radioecology.* CONF-710501. 1268 pp.
- Kaye, S. V., and P. B. Dunaway. 1962. "Bioaccumulation of radioactive isotopes by herbivorous small mammals." *Health Phys.* 7:205-217.
- Kolehmainen, S. E. 1972. "The balances of Cs-137, stable cesium and potassium of bluegill (Lepomis macrochirus Raf.) and other fish in White Oak Lake." *Health Phys.* 23:301-315.
- Kolehmainen, S. E. and D. J. Nelson. 1969. *The balances of 137cesium, stable cesium, and the feeding rates of bluegill (Lepomis macrochirus Raf.) in White Oak Lake.* ORNL-4445. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Kornegay, F. C., D. C. West, S. T. Goodpasture, C. W. Kimbrough, M. F. Tardiff, V. A. Jacobs (ed.), and A. R. Wilson (ed.). 1990. *Oak Ridge Reservation Environmental Report for 1989. Volume 2: Data Presentation.* ES/ESH-13/V2. Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

- Loar, J. M., F. A. Burkhart, G. F. Cada, J. W. Huckabee, J. T. Kitchings, K. D. Kumar, A. M. Sasson, J. A. Solomon, and J. D. Story. 1981. *Ecological studies in the vicinity of the Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant*. ORNL/TM-6714. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. 329 pp.
- Lomenick, T. F., H. J. Wyrick, R. M. Richardson, W. M. McMasters, and T. W. Hodge, Jr. 1962. Study of the White Oak Creek drainage basin. pp. 27-34. IN Health Physics Division Annual Progress Report for period ending July 31, 1962. ORNL-3347. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. 1984. *Environmental Monitoring Report, United States Department of Energy Oak Ridge Facilities, Calendar Year 1983*. Y/UB-19. Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Environment, Safety, and Health Division, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. 123 pp.
- Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. 1985. *Environmental Monitoring Report, United States Department of Energy Oak Ridge Facilities, Calendar Year 1984*. ORNL-6209. Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Environment, Safety, and Health Division, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. 143 pp.
- Martin, R. E., S. I. Auerbach, and D. J. Nelson. 1964. *Growth and movement of smallmouth buffalo, Ictobus bubalus, in Watts Bar reservoir, Tennessee*. ORNL 3530. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Oak Ridge, Tennessee. 100 pp.
- Neal, E. C., B. C. Patten, and E. E. DePoe. 1967. "Periphyton growth on artificial substrates in a radioactively contaminated lake." *Ecology* 48(6):918-924.
- Nelson, D. J., and B. G. Blaylock. 1963. The preliminary investigation of salivary gland chromosomes of Chironomus tentans Fabr. from the Clinch River. pp. 367-372. IN V. Schultz and A. W. Klement, Eds. Proc., First National Symposium on Radioecology. Reinhold Publishing Co., New York and AIBS, Washington, DC.
- Oakes, T. W., B. A. Kelly, W. F. Ohnesorge, J. S. Eldridge, J. C. Bird, K. E. Shank, and F. S. Tsakeres. 1982. *Technical Background Information for the Environmental and Safety Report, Vol. 4: White Oak Lake and Dam*. ORNL-5681. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory. 1985. *Environmental Monitoring Report, United States Department of Energy Oak Ridge Facilities Calendar Year 1984*. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. ORNL-6209. Oak Ridge, Tennessee. 143 pp.
- Ohnesorge, W. F. 1986. *Historical releases of radioactivity to the environment from ORNL*. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. ORNL/M-135. Oak Ridge, Tennessee. 25 pp. and appendix.
- Reed, J. L. 1971. "Uptake and excretion of Co-60 by black bullheads Ictalurus melas (Rafinesque)." *Health Phys.* 21:835-844.

- Rosenthal, G. M., Jr., D. J. Nelson, and D. A. Gardiner. 1965. "Deposition of strontium and calcium in snail shell." *Nature* 207(4992):51-54.
- Tamura, T. 1976. Physical and chemical characteristics of plutonium in existing contaminated soils and sediments. pp. 213-222. IN *Transuranium Nuclides in the Environment*. IAEA, Vienna.
- Trabalka, J. R., and C. P. Allen. 1977. "Aspects of fitness of a mosquitofish Gambusia affinis population exposed to chronic low-level environmental radiation." *Radiat. Res.* 70:198-211.
- Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA). 1985a. Instream contaminant study, Task 2: sediment characterization Volume I. Report to United States Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office. Tennessee Valley Authority, Office of Natural Resources and Economic Development. Knoxville, Tennessee. 82 pp.
- Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA). 1985b. Instream contaminant study, Task 2: sediment characterization Volume II-Appendices report to United States Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office. Tennessee Valley Authority, Office of Natural Resources and Economic Development. Knoxville, Tennessee. 450 pp.
- Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA). 1985c. Instream contaminant study, Task 4: fish sampling and analysis. Report to United States Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office. Tennessee Valley Authority, Office of Natural Resources and Economic Development, Knoxville, Tennessee.
- Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA). 1985d. Instream contaminant study, Task I: water sampling and analysis. Report to United States Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office. Tennessee Valley Authority. Knoxville, Tennessee.
- Willard, W. K. 1960. "Avain uptake of fission products from an area contaminated by low-level atomic wastes." *Science* 132:148-150.

Table A1. Results of nonconservative screening for carcinogens where at least one value was above detection limits

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric means (mg/kg wet)	Cancer slope factor	Daily intake (mg/kg/d)	Carcinogen screening indices
1	Fish	Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	11097-69-1	79/79	3.2E-01	7.7E+00	1.5E-05	1E-04
1	Fish	Organic	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	11096-82-5	79/79	1.2E-01	7.7E+00	5.6E-06	4E-05
			Total						2E-04
1	Fish	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	42/42	(Bq/kg wet)	(1/Bq)	(Bq/lifetime)	7E-05
1	Fish	All	Total			2.6E+03	7.6E-10	9.1E+04	2E-04
1	Sed ingestion	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	13/31	(mg/kg dry)	1/(mg/kg/d)	(mg/kg/d)	1E-06
1	Sed ingestion	Inorganic	Beryllium	7440-41-7	19/27	2.4E-01	4.3E+00	5.4E-07	2E-07
			Total					3.4E-08	1E-06
1	Sed ingestion	Organic	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	11096-82-5	7/13	2.8E-01	7.7E+00	4.0E-08	3E-07
1	Sed ingestion	Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	11097-69-1	13/13	1.3E-01	7.7E+00	1.8E-08	1E-07
			Total						5E-07
1	Sed ingestion	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	17/18	(Bq/kg dry)	(1/Bq)	(Bq/lifetime)	4E-07
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	33/33	5.5E+03	7.6E-10	5.8E+02	2E-07
			Co-60	10198-40-0	18/18	2.0E+03	8.9E-10	2.1E+02	6E-08
			Am-241	14596-10-2	1/1	1.3E+03	4.1E-10	1.4E+02	1E-08
			Cm-244	13981-15-2	1/1	1.4E+01	8.4E-09	1.5E+00	1E-08
			Cs-134	13981-70-9	8/8	1.8E+01	5.4E-09	1.9E+00	9E-09
			Pu-238	13981-16-3	1/1	7.8E+01	1.1E-09	8.2E+00	2E-09
			U-234	13966-29-5	1/1	2.0E+00	7.6E-09	2.1E-01	2E-09
			Eu-152	14683-23-9	4/4	3.8E+00	3.8E-09	4.0E-01	1E-09
			Pu-239	15117-48-3	1/1	2.3E+02	5.7E-11	2.4E+01	9E-10
			U-238	7440-61-1	1/1	1.0E+01	8.4E-10	1.1E+00	6E-10
			Eu-154	15585-10-1	1/1	1.6E+00	3.5E-09	1.7E-01	4E-10
			U-235	15117-96-1	1/1	4.3E+01	8.1E-11	4.5E+00	2E-10
			Total			4.2E-01	3.5E-09	4.4E-02	7E-07
1	Sed ingestion	Radionuclide	Total						2E-06
1	Sed ingestion	All	Total						

Table A1 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric means (Bq/kg dry)	Cancer slope factor (1/Bq)	Daily Intake (Bq/lifetime)	Carcinogen screening indices
1	Sed ext exp	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	17/18	5.5E+03	9.2E-10	3.8E+05	4E-04
1			Co-60	10198-40-0	18/18	1.3E+03	3.5E-09	8.8E+04	3E-04
1			Eu-152	14683-23-9	4/4	2.3E+02	1.7E-09	1.5E+04	3E-05
1			Cs-134	13967-70-9	8/8	7.8E+01	2.4E-09	5.4E+03	1E-05
1			Eu-154	15585-10-1	1/1	4.3E+01	1.8E-09	2.9E+03	5E-06
1			Am-241	14596-10-2	1/1	1.4E+01	4.3E-11	9.6E+02	4E-08
1			U-235	15117-96-1	1/1	4.2E-01	2.6E-10	2.9E+01	8E-09
1			Cm-244	13981-15-2	1/1	1.8E+01	1.6E-12	1.2E+03	2E-09
1			Pu-239	15117-48-3	1/1	1.0E+01	7.0E-13	6.8E+02	5E-10
1			U-234	13966-29-5	1/1	3.8E+00	1.5E-12	2.6E+02	4E-10
1			Pu-238	13981-16-3	1/1	2.0E+00	1.6E-12	1.4E+02	2E-10
1			U-238	7440-61-1	1/1	1.6E+00	1.2E-12	1.1E+02	1E-10
1	Sed ext exp	Radionuclide	Total						7E-04
1	Sediment	All	Total						7E-04
1	Water	Organic	PCBs total	1336-36-3	2/30	1.1E-03	1/(mg/kg/d)	(mg/kg/d)	2E-05
			PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	11097-69-1	1/28	1.0E-03	7.7E+00	3.0E-06	2E-05
			Dichlorobromomethane	75-27-4	3/29	4.3E-03	1.3E-01	2.9E-06	2E-06
			Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1/29	5.1E-03	5.1E-02	1.2E-05	8E-07
			Benzene	71-43-2	1/28	4.8E-03	2.9E-02	1.4E-05	4E-07
			Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	4/46	4.4E-03	1.1E-02	1.3E-05	1E-07
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	15/29	3.7E-03	7.5E-03	1.1E-05	8E-08
			Chloroform	67-66-3	18/30	3.6E-03	6.1E-03	1.0E-05	6E-08
1	Water	Organic	Total						5E-05
1	Water	Radionuclide	Sr-90	10098-97-2	34/34	5.1E+00	8.9E-10	1.1E+04	1E-05
			H-3	10028-17-8	34/34	2.4E+03	1.5E-12	5.1E+06	8E-06
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	29/34	2.7E+00	7.6E-10	5.7E+03	4E-06
			Co-60	10198-40-0	3/34	1.2E-02	4.1E-10	2.5E+01	1E-08
1	Water	Radionuclide	Total						2E-05
1	Water	All	Total						7E-05
1			Reach total						1E-03

Table A1 (continued)

Reech no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric means (mg/kg wet)	Cancer slope factor 1/(mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Carcinogen screening indices
2	Fish	Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	11097-69-1	24/24	4.7E-02	7.7E+00	2.2E-06	2E-05
2	Fish	Organic	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	11096-82-5	24/24	4.0E-02	7.7E+00	1.9E-06	2E-05
			Total						3E-05
2	Fish	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	10/10	7.0E+02	7.6E-10	2.4E+04	2E-05
2	Fish	All	Total						5E-05
2	Sed ingestion	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	4/111	(mg/kg dry) 1.5E+00	1/(mg/kg/d) 1.8E+00	(mg/kg/d) 2.2E-07	4E-07
2	Sed ingestion	Inorganic	Beryllium	7440-41-7	81/99	1.0E-01	4.3E+00	1.4E-08	6E-08
			Total						5E-07
2	Sed ingestion	Organic	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	11096-82-5	3/13	3.2E-01	7.7E+00	4.6E-08	4E-07
2	Sed ingestion	Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	11097-69-1	11/13	5.9E-02	7.7E+00	8.4E-09	7E-08
			Total						4E-07
2	Sed ingestion	Radionuclide	Co-60	10198-40-0	121/134	(Bq/kg dry) 1.4E+03	(1/Bq) 4.1E-10	(Bq/lifetime) 1.5E+02	6E-08
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	130/134	6.3E+02	7.6E-10	6.7E+01	5E-08
			Cs-134	13967-70-9	10/10	1.4E+02	1.1E-09	1.5E+01	2E-08
			Eu-152	14683-23-9	11/11	8.2E+02	5.7E-11	8.6E+01	5E-09
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	91/95	4.5E+01	8.9E-10	4.7E+00	4E-09
			U-234	13966-29-5	4/4	9.1E+00	3.8E-09	9.5E-01	4E-09
			Eu-154	15585-10-1	14/14	3.5E+02	8.1E-11	3.7E+01	3E-09
			Cm-244	13981-15-2	4/4	4.1E+00	5.4E-09	4.3E-01	2E-09
			U-238	7440-61-1	4/4	4.1E+00	3.5E-09	4.3E-01	2E-09
			Am-241	14596-10-2	4/4	1.2E+00	8.4E-09	1.2E-01	1E-09
			Pu-238	13981-16-3	4/4	3.8E-01	7.6E-09	3.9E-02	3E-10
			U-235	15117-96-1	4/4	6.6E-01	3.5E-09	6.9E-02	2E-10
			Pu-239	15117-48-3	4/4	8.5E-02	8.4E-10	8.9E-03	8E-12
2	Sed ingestion	Radionuclide	Total						2E-07
2	Sed Ingestion	All	Total						1E-06

Table A1 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric means (Bq/kg dry)	Cancer slope factor (1/Bq)	Daily Intake (Bq/lifetime)	Carcinogen screening indices
2	Sed ext exp	Radionuclide	Co-60	10198-40-0	121/134	1.4E+03	3.5E-09	9.6E+04	3E-04
2			Eu-152	14683-23-9	11/11	8.2E+02	1.7E-09	5.6E+04	1E-04
2			Eu-154	15585-10-1	14/14	3.5E+02	1.8E-09	2.4E+04	4E-05
2			Cs-137	10045-97-3	130/134	6.3E+02	9.2E-10	4.3E+04	4E-05
2			Cs-134	13967-70-9	10/10	1.4E+02	2.4E-09	9.8E+03	2E-05
2			U-235	15117-96-1	4/4	6.6E-01	2.6E-10	4.5E+01	1E-08
2			Am-241	14596-10-2	4/4	1.2E+00	4.3E-11	7.9E+01	3E-09
2			U-234	13966-29-5	4/4	9.1E+00	1.5E-12	6.2E+02	9E-10
2			Cm-244	13981-15-2	4/4	4.1E+00	1.6E-12	2.8E+02	5E-10
2			U-238	7440-61-1	4/4	4.1E+00	1.2E-12	2.8E+02	3E-10
2			Pu-238	13981-16-3	4/4	3.8E-01	1.6E-12	2.6E+01	4E-11
2			Pu-239	15117-48-3	4/4	8.5E-02	7.0E-13	5.8E+00	4E-12
2	Sed ext exp	Radionuclide	Total						5E-04
2	Sediment	All	Total						5E-04
2	Water	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	4/75	(mg/L) 5.1E-02	1/(mg/kg/d) 1.8E+00	(mg/kg/d) 1.5E-04	3E-04
2	Water	Organic	PCBs total	1336-36-3	2/30	1.0E-03	7.7E+00	3.0E-06	2E-05
			Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	10/46	3.6E-03	1.1E-02	1.0E-05	1E-07
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	15/29	3.2E-03	7.5E-03	9.1E-06	7E-08
2	Water	Organic	Chloroform	67-66-3	6/30	3.5E-03	6.1E-03	1.0E-05	6E-08
			Total						2E-05
2	Water	Radionuclide	H-3	10028-17-8	63/64	(Bq/L) 1.9E+03	(1/Bq) 1.5E-12	(Bq/lifetime) 4.0E+06	6E-06
			Eu-154	15585-10-1	5/5	1.9E+01	8.1E-11	4.0E+04	3E-06
			Eu-152	14683-23-9	2/2	1.8E+01	5.7E-11	3.8E+04	2E-06
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	43/66	8.4E-01	8.9E-10	1.8E+03	2E-06
			Co-60	10198-40-0	27/74	1.2E-01	4.1E-10	2.5E+02	1E-07
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	15/74	1.1E-02	7.6E-10	2.2E+01	2E-08
2	Water	Radionuclide	Total						1E-05
2	Water	All	Total						3E-04
2			Reach total						9E-04

Table A1 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric means (mg/kg wet)	Cancer slope factor 1/(mg/kg/d)	Daily intake (mg/kg/d)	Carcinogen screening indices
3	Fish	Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	11097-69-1	79/79	2.9E-01	7.7E+00	1.4E-05	1E-04
3	Fish	Organic	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	11096-82-5	79/79	1.7E-01	7.7E+00	7.8E-06	6E-05
			Total						2E-04
3	Fish	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	106/106	(Bq/kg wet)	(1/Bq)	(Bq/lifetime)	1E-05
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	13/13	5.2E+02	7.6E-10	1.8E+04	8E-07
			Co-60	10198-40-0	9/70	2.5E+01	8.9E-10	8.6E+02	8E-13
3	Fish	Radionuclide	Total			5.5E-05	4.1E-10	1.9E-03	1E-05
3	Fish	All	Total						2E-04
3	Sed ingestion	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	6/60	(mg/kg dry)	1/(mg/kg/d)	(mg/kg/d)	8E-07
3	Sed ingestion	Inorganic	Beryllium	7440-41-7	40/54	2.4E-01	4.3E+00	4.6E-07	2E-07
			Total					3.5E-08	1E-06
3	Sed ingestion	Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	11097-69-1	4/15	2.8E-01	7.7E+00	4.0E-08	3E-07
			Benzene	71-43-2	1/7	1.8E-02	2.9E-02	2.6E-09	8E-11
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	2/7	2.4E-02	7.5E-03	3.4E-09	3E-11
			Chloroform	67-66-3	2/7	8.7E-03	6.1E-03	1.2E-09	8E-12
3	Sed ingestion	Organic	Total						3E-07
3	Sed ingestion	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	223/223	(Bq/kg dry)	(1/Bq)	(Bq/lifetime)	8E-07
			Co-60	10198-40-0	204/208	1.0E+04	7.6E-10	1.0E+03	5E-08
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	60/60	1.1E+03	4.1E-10	1.1E+02	2E-08
			Cs-134	13967-70-9	7/7	2.0E+02	8.9E-10	2.1E+01	1E-08
			Eu-152	14683-23-9	2/2	1.1E+02	1.1E-09	1.1E+01	2E-09
			U-234	13966-29-5	1/1	3.5E+02	5.7E-11	3.7E+01	2E-09
			Eu-154	15585-10-1	9/9	4.2E+00	3.8E-09	4.4E-01	2E-09
			U-235	15117-96-1	1/1	1.8E+02	8.1E-11	1.8E+01	1E-09
			U-238	15117-96-1	1/1	3.2E+00	3.5E-09	3.4E-01	1E-09
			Cm-244	7440-61-1	1/1	3.0E+00	3.5E-09	3.2E-01	1E-09
			Am-241	13981-15-2	1/1	1.5E-01	5.4E-09	1.6E-02	9E-11
			Pu-238	14596-10-2	1/1	6.3E-02	8.4E-09	6.6E-03	6E-11
			Pu-239	13981-16-3	1/1	5.8E-02	7.6E-09	6.1E-03	5E-11
			Total	15117-48-3	1/1	8.7E-02	8.4E-10	9.1E-03	8E-12
3	Sed ingestion	Radionuclide	Total						9E-07
3	Sed ingestion	All	Total						2E-06

Table A1 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric means (Bq/kg dry)	Cancer slope factor (1/Bq)	Daily intake (Bq/lifetime)	Carcinogen screening indices
3	Sed ext exp	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	223/223	1.0E+04	9.2E-10	6.8E+05	6E-04
3			Co-60	10198-40-0	204/208	1.1E+03	3.5E-09	7.4E+04	3E-04
3			Eu-152	14683-23-9	2/2	3.5E+02	1.7E-09	2.4E+04	4E-05
3			Eu-154	15585-10-1	9/9	1.8E+02	1.8E-09	1.2E+04	2E-05
3			Cs-134	13967-70-9	7/7	1.1E+02	2.4E-09	7.5E+03	2E-05
3			U-235	15117-96-1	1/1	3.2E+00	2.6E-10	2.2E+02	6E-08
3			U-234	13966-29-5	1/1	4.2E+00	1.5E-12	2.9E+02	4E-10
3			U-238	7440-61-1	1/1	3.0E+00	1.2E-12	2.1E+02	3E-10
3			Am-241	14596-10-2	1/1	6.3E-02	4.3E-11	4.3E+00	2E-10
3			Cm-244	13981-15-2	1/1	1.5E-01	1.6E-12	1.0E+01	2E-11
3			Pu-238	13981-16-3	1/1	5.8E-02	1.6E-12	4.0E+00	6E-12
3			Pu-239	15117-48-3	1/1	8.7E-02	7.0E-13	6.0E+00	4E-12
3	Sed ext exp	Radionuclide	Total						1E-03
3	Sediment	All	Total						1E-03
3	Water	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	2/38	(mg/L) 3.1E-02	1/(mg/kg/d) 1.8E+00	(mg/kg/d) 8.8E-05	2E-04
3	Water	Organic	PCBs total	1336-36-3	2/30	1.0E-03	7.7E+00	2.9E-06	2E-05
			Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1/28	5.1E-03	5.1E-02	1.5E-05	8E-07
			Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	1/44	5.0E-03	1.1E-02	1.4E-05	2E-07
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	16/28	3.1E-03	7.5E-03	8.8E-06	7E-08
3	Water	Organic	Chloroform	67-66-3	12/29	3.1E-03	6.1E-03	9.0E-06	6E-08
			Total						2E-05
3	Water	Radionuclide	H-3	10028-17-8	49/49	(Bq/L) 8.5E+03	(1/Bq) 1.5E-12	(Bq/lifetime) 1.8E+07	3E-05
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	51/51	6.7E+00	8.9E-10	1.4E+04	1E-05
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	131/135	1.7E+00	7.6E-10	3.5E+03	3E-06
			U-234	13966-29-5	1/1	1.9E-01	3.8E-09	4.0E+02	2E-06
			U-235	15117-96-1	1/1	6.4E-02	3.5E-09	1.3E+02	5E-07
			U-238	7440-61-1	1/1	3.4E-02	3.5E-09	7.1E+01	3E-07
			Co-60	10198-40-0	103/135	1.7E-01	4.1E-10	3.5E+02	2E-07
			Am-241	14596-10-2	14/19	8.2E-03	8.4E-09	1.7E+01	1E-07
			Cm-244	13981-15-2	8/10	1.0E-02	5.4E-09	2.2E+01	1E-07
			Pu-238	13981-16-3	5/20	8.3E-04	7.6E-09	1.7E+00	1E-08
			Pu-239	15117-48-3	11/20	1.2E-03	8.4E-10	2.5E+00	2E-09
3	Water	Radionuclide	Total						5E-05
3	Water	All	Total						2E-04
3			Reach total						1.4E-03

Table A2. Results of nonconservative screening for noncarcinogens where at least one value was above detection limits

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric mean (mg/kg wet)	Oral RfD (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening indices
1	Fish	Inorganic	Mercury	7439-97-6	87/87	3.6E-01	3.0E-04	3.3E-05	1E-01
			Selenium	7782-49-2	35/35	3.7E-01	3.0E-03	3.5E-05	1E-02
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	35/43	1.3E-02	5.0E-04	1.2E-06	2E-03
			Copper	7440-50-8	22/35	3.0E-01	3.7E-02	2.8E-05	8E-04
			Zinc	7440-66-6	35/35	1.5E+00	2.0E-01	1.4E-04	7E-04
1	Fish	Inorganic	Total						1E-01
1	Fish	All	Total						1E-01
1	Sediment	Inorganic	Antimony	7440-36-0	11/27	5.9E+00	4.0E-04	8.2E-07	2E-03
			Arsenic	7440-38-2	13/31	3.8E+00	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	5E-04
			Selenium	7782-49-2	2/31	5.8E+00	3.0E-03	8.2E-07	3E-04
			Chromium	7440-47-3	23/31	8.3E+00	5.0E-03	1.2E-06	2E-04
			Mercury	7439-97-6	19/19	3.2E-01	3.0E-04	4.6E-08	2E-04
			Barium	7440-39-3	31/31	7.3E+01	7.0E-02	1.0E-05	2E-04
			Nickel	7440-02-0	27/31	1.7E+01	2.0E-02	2.4E-06	1E-04
			Silver	7440-22-4	13/31	2.5E+00	3.0E-03	3.5E-07	1E-04
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	20/22	4.9E+00	7.0E-03	7.0E-07	1E-04
			Molybdenum	7439-98-7	5/27	2.6E+00	4.0E-03	3.7E-07	9E-05
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	16/31	2.2E-01	5.0E-04	3.2E-08	6E-05
			Zinc	7440-66-6	31/31	5.2E+01	2.0E-01	7.4E-06	4E-05
			Copper	7440-50-8	27/31	6.2E+00	3.7E-02	8.9E-07	2E-05
			Beryllium	7440-41-7	19/27	2.4E-01	5.0E-03	3.4E-08	7E-06
			Boron	7440-42-8	9/30	4.2E+00	9.0E-02	6.0E-07	7E-06
			Tin	7440-31-5	9/20	4.7E+00	6.0E-01	6.7E-07	1E-06
1	Sediment	Inorganic	Total						4E-03
1	Sediment	All	Total						4E-03

Table A2 (continued)

Resch nc.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric mean (mg/L)	Oral RfD (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening Indices
1	Water	Inorganic	Thallium	7440-28-0	1/1	8.6E-02	7.0E-05	2.5E-04	4E+00
			Chromium	7440-47-3	25/32	7.6E-03	5.0E-03	2.2E-05	4E-03
			Barium	7440-39-3	3/3	3.3E-02	7.0E-02	9.4E-05	1E-03
			Nickel	7440-02-0	4/32	6.0E-03	2.0E-02	1.7E-05	9E-04
			Copper	7440-50-8	11/32	9.0E-03	3.7E-02	2.6E-05	7E-04
			Mercury	7439-97-6	9/32	6.0E-05	3.0E-04	1.7E-07	6E-04
			Zinc	7440-66-6	31/32	2.9E-02	2.0E-01	8.4E-05	4E-04
1	Water	Inorganic	Total						4E+00
1	Water	Organic	Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1/29	5.1E-03	1.0E-02	1.5E-05	2E-03
			Chloroform	67-66-3	18/30	3.6E-03	1.0E-02	1.0E-05	1E-03
			Dichlorobromomethane	75-27-4	3/29	4.3E-03	2.0E-02	1.2E-05	6E-04
			Acetone	67-64-1	14/58	1.0E-02	1.0E-01	2.9E-05	3E-04
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	15/29	3.7E-03	6.0E-02	1.1E-05	2E-04
			Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	1/29	5.1E-03	1.0E-01	1.5E-05	2E-04
			Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	2/29	5.1E-03	1.0E-01	1.5E-05	2E-04
			Toluene	108-88-3	4/28	4.3E-03	2.0E-01	1.2E-05	6E-05
			Xylene	1330-20-7	1/29	5.1E-03	2.0E+00	1.5E-05	7E-06
1	Water	Organic	Total						4E-03
1	Water	All	Total						4E+00
1			Resch total						4E+00

Table A2 (continued)

Resch no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric mean (mg/kg wet)	Oral RfD (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening Indices
2	Fish	Inorganic	Mercury	7439-97-6	33/33	9.1E-02	3.0E-04	8.4E-06	3E-02
			Selenium	7782-49-2	11/11	2.7E-01	3.0E-03	2.5E-05	8E-03
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	11/19	3.9E-02	5.0E-04	3.6E-06	7E-03
			Zinc	7440-66-6	11/11	1.3E+00	2.0E-01	1.2E-04	6E-04
			Copper	7440-50-8	7/11	1.8E-01	3.7E-02	1.7E-05	5E-04
2	Fish	Inorganic	Total						5E-02
2	Fish	All	Total						5E-02
2	Sediment	Inorganic	Antimony	7440-36-0	73/99	4.9E+00	4.0E-04	7.1E-07	2E-03
			Barium	7440-39-3	111/111	1.5E+02	7.0E-02	2.1E-05	3E-04
			Arsenic	7440-38-2	4/111	1.5E+00	1.0E-03	2.2E-07	2E-04
			Chromium	7440-47-3	95/111	6.1E+00	5.0E-03	8.8E-07	2E-04
			Selenium	7782-49-2	14/111	3.1E+00	3.0E-03	4.4E-07	2E-04
			Molybdenum	7439-98-7	65/99	2.1E+00	4.0E-03	3.1E-07	8E-05
			Nickel	7440-02-0	106/111	5.0E+00	2.0E-02	7.1E-07	4E-05
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	89/91	1.7E+00	7.0E-03	2.5E-07	4E-05
			Silver	7440-22-4	13/111	7.1E-01	3.0E-03	1.0E-07	3E-05
			Zinc	7440-66-6	98/98	4.8E+01	2.0E-01	6.8E-06	3E-05
			Mercury	7439-97-6	25/25	5.2E-02	3.0E-04	7.4E-09	3E-05
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	6/111	7.6E-02	5.0E-04	1.1E-08	2E-05
			Copper	7440-50-8	101/111	2.6E+00	3.7E-02	3.7E-07	1E-05
			Boron	7440-42-8	78/111	2.7E+00	9.0E-02	3.8E-07	4E-06
			Beryllium	7440-41-7	81/99	1.0E-01	5.0E-03	1.4E-08	3E-06
			Tin	7440-31-5	10/29	3.2E+00	6.0E-01	4.6E-07	8E-07
2	Sediment	Inorganic	Total						3E-03
2	Sediment	Organic	Di-n-butyl phthalate	84-74-2	3/10	5.6E+00	1.0E-01	8.1E-07	8E-06
2	Sediment	All	Total						3E-03

Table A2 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric mean (mg/L)	Oral RfD (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening indices
2	Water	Inorganic	Thallium	7440-28-0	1/1	9.4E-02	7.0E-05	2.7E-04	4E+00
			Arsenic	7440-38-2	4/75	5.1E-02	1.0E-03	1.5E-04	2E-01
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	6/76	2.8E-03	5.0E-04	7.9E-06	2E-02
			Chromium	7440-47-3	54/75	7.6E-03	5.0E-03	2.2E-05	4E-03
			Barium	7440-39-3	3/3	7.3E-02	7.0E-02	2.1E-04	3E-03
			Copper	7440-50-8	53/75	3.1E-02	3.7E-02	9.0E-05	2E-03
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	1/3	2.9E-03	7.0E-03	8.4E-06	1E-03
			Nickel	7440-02-0	8/75	7.6E-03	2.0E-02	2.2E-05	1E-03
			Zinc	7440-66-6	66/75	4.5E-02	2.0E-01	1.3E-04	7E-04
			Mercury	7439-97-6	5/32	5.3E-05	3.0E-04	1.5E-07	5E-04
			Total						4E+00
2	Water	Inorganic							
2	Water	Organic	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	156-59-2	2/29	4.9E-03	1.0E-02	1.4E-05	1E-03
			Chloroform	67-66-3	6/30	3.5E-03	1.0E-02	1.0E-05	1E-03
			Acetone	67-64-1	11/58	8.9E-03	1.0E-01	2.5E-05	3E-04
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	15/29	3.2E-03	6.0E-02	9.1E-06	2E-04
			Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	2/29	5.1E-03	1.0E-01	1.5E-05	2E-04
			1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	2/29	4.7E-03	1.0E-01	1.4E-05	1E-04
			Toluene	108-88-3	1/28	5.0E-03	2.0E-01	1.4E-05	7E-05
			Xylene	1330-20-7	1/29	5.0E-03	2.0E+00	1.4E-05	7E-06
			Total						3E-03
2	Water	Organic							4E+00
2	Water	All	Total						4E+00
2	Water		Reach total						4E+00

Table A2 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric mean (mg/kg wet)	Oral RfD (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening Indices
3	Fish	Inorganic	Mercury	7439-97-6	88/88	1.8E-01	3.0E-04	1.6E-05	6E-02
			Selenium	7782-49-2	27/28	2.4E-01	3.0E-03	2.3E-05	8E-03
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	28/36	2.0E-02	5.0E-04	1.8E-06	4E-03
			Zinc	7440-66-6	26/26	1.7E+00	2.0E-01	1.6E-04	8E-04
			Copper	7440-50-8	14/28	2.2E-01	3.7E-02	2.1E-05	6E-04
3	Fish	Inorganic	Total						7E-02
3	Fish	All	Total						7E-02
3	Sediment	Inorganic	Antimony	7440-36-0	24/54	5.5E+00	4.0E-04	7.8E-07	2E-03
			Arsenic	7440-38-2	6/60	3.2E+00	1.0E-03	4.6E-07	5E-04
			Selenium	7782-49-2	1/62	5.7E+00	3.0E-03	8.1E-07	3E-04
			Barium	7440-39-3	58/58	1.2E+02	7.0E-02	1.7E-05	2E-04
			Chromium	7440-47-3	44/62	5.3E+00	5.0E-03	7.6E-07	2E-04
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	48/51	3.8E+00	7.0E-03	5.4E-07	8E-05
			Silver	7440-22-4	19/61	1.4E+00	3.0E-03	2.0E-07	7E-05
			Molybdenum	7439-98-7	11/52	1.7E+00	4.0E-03	2.5E-07	6E-05
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	7/60	1.8E-01	5.0E-04	2.6E-08	5E-05
			Nickel	7440-02-0	53/60	6.7E+00	2.0E-02	9.5E-07	5E-05
			Mercury	7439-97-6	21/21	6.6E-02	3.0E-04	9.4E-09	3E-05
			Zinc	7440-66-6	57/60	1.9E+01	2.0E-01	2.7E-06	1E-05
			Copper	7440-50-8	49/60	3.1E+00	3.7E-02	4.5E-07	1E-05
			Beryllium	7440-41-7	40/54	2.4E-01	5.0E-03	3.5E-08	7E-06
			Boron	7440-42-8	25/54	2.6E+00	9.0E-02	3.8E-07	4E-06
			Tin	7440-31-5	12/29	4.9E+00	6.0E-01	7.0E-07	1E-06
			Total						4E-03
		Organic	Di-n-butyl phthalate	84-74-2	3/16	6.6E+00	1.0E-01	9.5E-07	1E-05
			Chloroform	67-66-3	2/7	8.7E-03	1.0E-02	1.2E-09	1E-07
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	2/7	2.4E-02	6.0E-02	3.4E-09	6E-08
3	Sediment	Organic	Toluene	108-88-3	1/7	1.7E-02	2.0E-01	2.4E-09	1E-08
3	Sediment	Organic	Total						1E-05
3	Sediment	All	Total						4E-03

Table A2 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric mean (mg/L)	Oral RfD (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening indices
3	Water	Inorganic	Thallium	7440-28-0	1/1	7.0E-02	7.0E-05	2.0E-04	3E+00
			Arsenic	7440-38-2	2/38	3.1E-02	1.0E-03	8.8E-05	9E-02
			Chromium	7440-47-3	28/32	1.4E-02	5.0E-03	3.9E-05	8E-03
			Selenium	7782-49-2	2/14	7.8E-03	3.0E-03	2.2E-05	7E-03
			Barium	7440-39-3	3/3	3.7E-02	7.0E-02	1.1E-04	2E-03
			Nickel	7440-02-0	3/32	6.1E-03	2.0E-02	1.7E-05	9E-04
			Copper	7440-50-8	14/32	8.7E-03	3.7E-02	2.5E-05	7E-04
			Mercury	7439-97-6	9/32	5.5E-05	3.0E-04	1.6E-07	5E-04
			Zinc	7440-66-6	30/32	2.1E-02	2.0E-01	6.0E-05	3E-04
			Total						3E+00
3	Water	Organic	Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1/28	5.1E-03	1.0E-02	1.5E-05	2E-03
			Chloroform	67-66-3	12/29	3.1E-03	1.0E-02	9.0E-06	9E-04
			4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	1/28	9.6E-03	5.0E-02	2.8E-05	6E-04
			Acetone	67-64-1	13/56	9.6E-03	1.0E-01	2.7E-05	3E-04
			Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	1/28	5.3E-03	1.0E-01	1.5E-05	2E-04
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	16/28	3.1E-03	6.0E-02	8.8E-06	2E-04
			Toluene	108-88-3	4/27	4.3E-03	2.0E-01	1.2E-05	6E-05
			Xylene	1330-20-7	1/28	5.1E-03	2.0E+00	1.5E-05	7E-06
			Total						4E-03
			Total						3E+00
3	Water	All							
			Reach total						3E+00

APPENDIX B

CONSERVATIVE SCREENING OF THE DETECTABLE CONTAMINANTS DATA BASE FOR CARCINOGENS

Table B1. Results of conservative screening for carcinogens where
at least one value was above detection limits

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/kg wet)	Cancer slope factor 1/(mg/kg/d)	Dail Intake (mg/kg/d)	Carcinogen screening Indices
1	Fish	Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	11097-69-1	79/79	5.8E-01	7.7E+00	2.7E-04	2E-03
1	Fish	Organic	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260) Total	11096-82-5	79/79	3.3E-01	7.7E+00	1.6E-04	1E-03 3E-03
1	Fish	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	42/42	3.8E+03	7.6E-10	(Bq/lifetime)	1E-03
1	Fish	All	Total					1.3E+06	4E-03
1	Sed ingestion	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	13/31	7.5E+00	1.8E+00	1.1E-05	2E-05
1	Sed ingestion	Inorganic	Beryllium Total	7440-41-7	19/27	4.3E-01	4.3E+00	6.2E-07	3E-06 2E-05
1	Sed ingestion	Organic	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	11096-82-5	7/13	2.6E+00	7.7E+00	3.7E-06	3E-05
1	Sed ingestion	Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254) Total	11097-69-1	13/13	5.4E-01	7.7E+00	7.8E-07	6E-06 3E-05
1	Sed ingestion	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	17/18	2.4E+04	7.6E-10	2.5E-04	2E-05
			Co-60	10198-40-0	18/18	4.4E+04	4.1E-10	4.6E-04	2E-05
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	33/33	9.2E+03	8.9E-10	9.6E-03	9E-06
			Cs-134	13967-70-9	8/8	1.1E+02	1.1E-09	1.2E+02	1E-07
			Am-241	14596-10-2	1/1	1.4E+01	8.4E-09	1.5E+01	1E-07
			Cm-244	13981-15-2	1/1	1.8E+01	5.4E-09	1.9E+01	1E-07
			Eu-152	14683-23-9	4/4	2.8E+02	5.7E-11	2.9E+02	2E-08
			Pu-238	13981-16-3	1/1	2.0E+00	7.6E-09	2.1E+00	2E-08
			U-234	13966-29-5	1/1	3.8E+00	3.8E-09	4.0E+00	2E-08
			Pu-239	15117-48-3	1/1	1.0E+01	8.4E-10	1.1E+01	9E-09
			U-238	7440-61-1	1/1	1.6E+00	3.5E-09	1.7E+00	6E-09
			Eu-154	15585-10-1	1/1	4.3E+01	8.1E-11	4.5E+01	4E-09
			U-235	15117-96-1	1/1	4.2E-01	3.5E-09	4.4E-01	2E-09
1	Sed ingestion	Radionuclide	Total						5E-05
1	Sed ingestion	All	Total						1E-04

Table B1 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (Bq/kg dry)	Cancer slope factor (1/Bq)	Daily intake (Bq/lifetime)	Carcinogen screening indices
1	Sed ext exp	Radionuclide	Co-60	10198-40-0	18/18	4.4E+04	3.5E-09	3.0E+07	1.0E-01
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	17/18	2.4E+04	9.2E-10	1.6E+07	1.5E-02
			Eu-152	14683-23-9	4/4	2.8E+02	1.7E-09	1.9E+05	3.3E-04
			Cs-134	13967-70-9	8/8	1.1E+02	2.4E-09	7.7E+04	1.8E-04
			Eu-154	15585-10-1	1/1	4.3E+01	1.8E-09	2.9E+04	5.3E-05
			Am-241	14596-10-2	1/1	1.4E+01	4.3E-11	9.6E+03	4.1E-07
			U-235	15117-96-1	1/1	4.2E-01	2.6E-10	2.9E+02	7.5E-08
			Cm-244	13981-15-2	1/1	1.8E+01	1.6E-12	1.2E+04	2.0E-08
			Pu-239	15117-48-3	1/1	1.0E+01	7.0E-13	6.8E+03	4.8E-09
			U-234	13966-29-5	1/1	3.8E+00	1.5E-12	2.6E+03	3.9E-09
			Pu-238	13981-16-3	1/1	2.0E+00	1.6E-12	1.4E+03	2.2E-09
			U-238	7440-61-1	1/1	1.6E+00	1.2E-12	1.1E+03	1.3E-09
1	Sed ext exp	Radionuclide	Total						1.2E-01
1	Sediment	All	Total						1E-01
1	Water	Organic	PCBs total	1336-36-3	2/30	2.5E-03	7.7E+00	7.0E-05	5E-04
			PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	11097-69-1	1/28	1.0E-03	7.7E+00	3.0E-05	2E-04
			Dichlorobromomethane	75-27-4	3/29	6.8E-03	1.3E-01	1.9E-04	3E-05
			Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1/29	7.0E-03	5.1E-02	2.0E-04	1E-05
			Benzene	71-43-2	1/28	7.1E-03	2.9E-02	2.0E-04	6E-06
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	15/29	1.3E-02	7.5E-03	3.8E-04	3E-06
			Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	4/46	6.0E-03	1.1E-02	1.7E-04	2E-06
			Chloroform	67-66-3	18/30	6.0E-03	6.1E-03	1.7E-04	1E-06
1	Water	Organic	Total						8E-04
1	Water	Radionuclide	Sr-90	10098-97-2	34/34	6.3E+00	8.9E-10	1.3E+05	1E-04
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	29/34	7.3E+00	7.6E-10	1.5E+05	1E-04
			H-3	10028-17-8	34/34	3.4E+03	1.5E-12	7.1E+07	1E-04
			Co-60	10198-40-0	3/34	6.5E-01	4.1E-10	1.4E+04	6E-06
1	Water	Radionuclide	Total						4E-04
1	Water	All	Reach total						1E-03
1			Reach total						1E-01

Table B1 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean	Cancer slope factor	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Carcinogen screening indices
2	Fish	Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	11097-69-1	24/24	2.8E-01	7.7E+00	1.3E-04	1E-03
2	Fish	Organic	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260) Total	11096-82-5	24/24	1.3E-01	7.7E+00	6.3E-05	5E-04 2E-03
2	Fish	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	10/10	(Bq/kg wet)	(1/Bq)	(Bq/lifetime)	3E-04
2	Fish	All	Total			1.0E+03	7.6E-10	3.6E+05	2E-03
2	Sed ingestion	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	4/111	2.5E+00	1.8E+00	3.6E-06	6E-06
2	Sed ingestion	Inorganic	Beryllium Total	7440-41-7	81/99	1.8E-01	4.3E+00	2.6E-07	1E-06 8E-06
2	Sed ingestion	Organic	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	11096-82-5	3/13	1.6E+00	7.7E+00	2.3E-06	2E-05
2	Sed ingestion	Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254) Total	11097-69-1	11/13	1.3E-01	7.7E+00	1.9E-07	2E-06 2E-05
2	Sed ingestion	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	130/134	1.5E+04	7.6E-10	1.6E-04	1E-05
			Co-60	10198-40-0	121/134	1.5E+04	4.1E-10	1.6E-04	6E-06
			U-234	13966-29-5	4/4	1.3E+02	3.8E-09	1.3E+02	5E-07
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	91/95	4.4E+02	8.9E-10	4.6E+02	4E-07
			Cs-134	13967-70-9	10/10	2.6E+02	1.1E-09	2.8E+02	3E-07
			Cm-244	13981-15-2	4/4	5.1E+01	5.4E-09	5.3E+01	3E-07
			U-238	7440-61-1	4/4	3.9E+01	3.5E-09	4.1E+01	1E-07
			Eu-152	14683-23-9	11/11	1.9E+03	5.7E-11	2.0E+03	1E-07
			Eu-154	15595-10-1	14/14	6.8E+02	8.1E-11	7.1E+02	6E-08
			Pu-238	13981-16-3	4/4	6.5E+00	7.6E-09	6.8E+00	5E-08
			Am-241	14596-10-2	4/4	4.6E+00	8.4E-09	4.8E+00	4E-08
			U-235	15117-96-1	4/4	3.5E+00	3.5E-09	3.7E+00	1E-08
			Pu-239	15117-48-3	4/4	6.3E-01	8.4E-10	6.6E-01	6E-10
2	Sed ingestion	Radionuclide	Total						2E-05
2	Sed ingestion	All	Total						5E-05

Table B1 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper bound on mean (Bq/kg dry)	Cancer slope factor (1/Bq)	Daily Intake (Bq/lifetime)	Carcinogen screening indices
2	Sed ext exp	Radionuclide	Co-60	10198-40-0	121/134	1.5E+04	3.5E-09	1.0E+07	3.6E-02
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	130/134	1.5E+04	9.2E-10	1.0E+07	9.4E-03
			Eu-152	14683-23-9	11/11	1.9E+03	1.7E-09	1.3E+06	2.2E-03
			Eu-154	15585-10-1	14/14	6.8E+02	1.8E-09	4.6E+05	8.4E-04
			Cs-134	13967-70-9	10/10	2.6E+02	2.4E-09	1.8E+05	4.3E-04
			U-235	15117-96-1	4/4	3.5E+00	2.6E-10	2.4E+03	6.2E-07
			Am-241	14596-10-2	4/4	4.6E+00	4.3E-11	3.2E+03	1.4E-07
			U-234	13966-29-5	4/4	1.3E+02	1.5E-12	8.6E+04	1.3E-07
			Cm-244	13981-15-2	4/4	5.1E+01	1.6E-12	3.5E+04	5.6E-08
			U-238	7440-61-1	4/4	3.9E+01	1.2E-12	2.6E+04	3.2E-08
			Pu-238	13981-16-3	4/4	6.5E+00	1.6E-12	4.4E+03	7.1E-09
			Pu-239	15117-48-3	4/4	6.3E-01	7.0E-13	4.3E+02	3.0E-10
2	Sed ext exp	Radionuclide	Total						4.8E-02
2	Sediment	All	Total						5E-02
2	Water	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	4/75	(mg/L) 5.2E-02	1/(mg/kg/d) 1.8E+00	(mg/kg/d) 1.5E-03	3E-03
2	Water	Organic	PCBs total	1336-36-3	2/30	1.8E-03	7.7E+00	5.3E-05	4E-04
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	15/29	1.3E-02	7.5E-03	3.6E-04	3E-06
			Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	10/46	5.6E-03	1.1E-02	1.6E-04	2E-06
2	Water	Organic	Chloroform	67-66-3	6/30	6.4E-03	6.1E-03	1.8E-04	1E-06
			Total						4E-04
2	Water	Radionuclide	H-3	10028-17-8	63/64	(Bq/L) 2.6E+04	(1/Bq) 1.5E-12	(Bq/lifetime) 5.4E+08	8E-04
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	43/66	1.0E+01	8.9E-10	2.2E+05	2E-04
			Co-60	10198-40-0	27/74	1.3E+01	4.1E-10	2.8E+05	1E-04
			Eu-152	14683-23-9	2/2	9.5E+01	5.7E-11	2.0E+06	1E-04
			Eu-154	15585-10-1	5/5	3.3E+01	8.1E-11	6.9E+05	6E-05
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	15/74	1.2E+00	7.6E-10	2.4E+04	2E-05
2	Water	Radionuclide	Total						1E-03
2	Water	All	Total						4E-03
2			Reach total						6E-03

Table B1 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/kg wet)	Cancer slope factor 1/(mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Carcinogen screening indices
3	Fish	Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	11097-69-1	79/79	5.4E-01	7.7E+00	2.6E-04	2E-03
3	Fish	Organic	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260) Total	11096-82-5	79/79	3.8E-01	7.7E+00	1.8E-04	1E-03 3E-03
3	Fish	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	106/106	9.5E+02	7.6E-10	(Bq/lifetime)	3E-04
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	13/13	7.3E+01	8.9E-10	2.5E+04	2E-05
3	Fish	Radionuclide	Co-60	10198-40-0	9/70	1.8E+00	4.1E-10	6.2E+02	3E-07
3	Fish	All	Total						3E-04 4E-03
3	Sed ingestion	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	6/60	1.7E+01	1.8E+00	2.4E-05	4E-05
3	Sed ingestion	Inorganic	Beryllium	7440-41-7	40/54	1.2E+00	4.3E+00	1.7E-06	7E-06 5E-05
3	Sed ingestion	Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254) Methylene chloride	11097-69-1	4/15	3.2E+00	7.7E+00	4.6E-06	4E-05
			Benzene	75-09-2	2/7	6.0E-01	7.5E-03	8.6E-07	7E-09
			Chloroform	71-43-2	1/7	4.5E-02	2.9E-02	6.5E-08	2E-09
3	Sed ingestion	Organic	Total	67-66-3	2/7	1.1E-02	6.1E-03	1.6E-08	1E-10 4E-05
3	Sed ingestion	Radionuclide	Cs-137	10045-97-3	223/223	4.8E+04	7.6E-10	(Bq/lifetime)	4E-05
			Co-60	10198-40-0	204/208	5.0E+04	4.1E-10	5.2E+04	2E-05
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	60/60	5.5E+02	8.9E-10	5.8E+02	5E-07
			Cs-134	13967-70-9	7/7	1.7E+02	1.1E-09	1.7E+02	2E-07
			Eu-152	14683-23-9	2/2	1.2E+03	5.7E-11	1.2E+03	7E-08
			Eu-154	15585-10-1	9/9	3.5E+02	8.1E-11	3.7E+02	3E-08
			U-234	13966-29-5	1/1	4.2E+00	3.8E-09	4.4E+00	2E-08
			U-235	15117-96-1	1/1	3.2E+00	3.5E-09	3.4E+00	1E-08
			U-238	7440-61-1	1/1	3.0E+00	3.5E-09	3.2E+00	1E-08
			Cm-244	13981-15-2	1/1	1.5E-01	5.4E-09	1.6E-01	9E-10
			Am-241	14596-10-2	1/1	6.3E-02	8.4E-09	6.6E-02	6E-10
			Pu-238	13981-16-3	1/1	5.8E-02	7.6E-09	6.1E-02	5E-10
			Pu-239	15117-48-3	1/1	8.7E-02	8.4E-10	9.1E-02	8E-11 6E-05 1E-04
3	Sed ingestion	Radionuclide	Total						
3	Sed ingestion	All	Total						

Table B1 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (Bq/kg dry)	Cancer slope factor (1/Bq)	Daily intake (Bq/lifetime)	Carcinogen screening indices
3	Sed ext exp	Radionuclide	Co-60	10198-40-0	204/208	5.0E+04	3.5E-09	3.4E+07	1.2E-01
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	223/223	4.8E+04	9.2E-10	3.3E+07	3.0E-02
			Eu-152	14683-23-9	2/2	1.2E+03	1.7E-09	8.0E+05	1.4E-03
			Eu-154	15585-10-1	9/9	3.5E+02	1.8E-09	2.4E+05	4.4E-04
			Cs-134	13967-70-9	7/7	1.7E+02	2.4E-09	1.1E+05	2.7E-04
			U-235	15117-96-1	1/1	3.2E+00	2.6E-10	2.2E+03	5.7E-07
			U-234	13966-29-5	1/1	4.2E+00	1.5E-12	2.9E+03	4.3E-09
			U-238	7440-61-1	1/1	3.0E+00	1.2E-12	2.1E+03	2.5E-09
			Am-241	14596-10-2	1/1	6.3E-02	4.3E-11	4.3E+01	1.9E-09
			Cm-244	13981-15-2	1/1	1.5E-01	1.6E-12	1.0E+02	1.6E-10
			Pu-238	13981-16-3	1/1	5.8E-02	1.6E-12	4.0E+01	6.4E-11
			Pu-239	15117-48-3	1/1	8.7E-02	7.0E-13	6.0E+01	4.2E-11
3	Sed ext exp	Radionuclide	Total						1.5E-01
3	Sediment	All	Total						2E-01
3	Water	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	2/38	4.7E-02	1.8E+00	1.3E-03	2E-03
3	Water	Organic	PCBs total		2/30	2.0E-03	7.7E+00	5.7E-05	4E-04
			Tetrachloroethylene		1/28	7.1E-03	5.1E-02	2.0E-04	1E-05
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	16/28	1.3E-02	7.5E-03	3.8E-04	3E-06
			Trichloroethylene		1/44	6.3E-03	1.1E-02	1.8E-04	2E-06
			Chloroform	67-66-3	12/29	5.9E-03	6.1E-03	1.7E-04	1E-06
3	Water	Organic	Total						5E-04
3	Water	Radionuclide	H-3	10028-17-8	49/49	1.0E+04	1.5E-12	2.1E+08	3E-04
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	51/51	7.5E+00	8.9E-10	1.6E+05	1E-04
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	131/135	3.7E+00	7.6E-10	7.8E+04	6E-05
			U-234	13966-29-5	1/1	1.9E-01	3.8E-09	4.0E+03	2E-05
			U-235	15117-96-1	1/1	6.4E-02	3.5E-09	1.3E+03	5E-06
			Cm-244	13981-15-2	8/10	3.7E-02	5.4E-09	7.7E+02	4E-06
			Am-241	14596-10-2	14/19	2.2E-02	8.4E-09	4.6E+02	4E-06
			Co-60	10198-40-0	103/135	3.8E-01	4.1E-10	8.0E+03	3E-06
			U-238	7440-61-1	1/1	3.4E-07	3.5E-09	7.1E+02	3E-06
			Pu-238	13981-16-3	5/20	5.1E-03	7.6E-09	1.1E+02	8E-07
			Pu-239	15117-48-3	11/20	8.4E-03	8.4E-10	1.8E+02	2E-07
3	Water	Radionuclide	Total						6E-04
3	Water	All	Total						3E-03
3			Reach total						2E-01

**Table B2. Results of conservative screening for noncarcinogens where
at least one value was above detection limits**

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/kg wet)	Oral RfD (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening Indices
1	Fish	Inorganic	Mercury	7439-97-6	87/87	4.1E-01	3.0E-04	3.8E-04	1E+00
			Selenium	7782-49-2	35/35	4.5E-01	3.0E-03	4.2E-04	1E-01
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	35/43	6.9E-02	5.0E-04	6.5E-05	1E-01
			Zinc	7440-66-6	35/35	4.3E+00	2.0E-01	3.9E-03	2E-02
			Copper	7440-50-8	22/35	4.0E-01	3.7E-02	3.7E-04	1E-02
1	Fish	Inorganic	Total						2E+00
1	Fish	All	Total						2E+00
1	Sediment	Inorganic	Antimony	7440-36-0	11/27	8.9E+00	4.0E-04	1.3E-05	3E-02
			Nickel	7440-02-0	27/31	1.8E+02	2.0E-02	2.5E-04	1E-02
			Arsenic	7440-38-2	13/31	7.5E+00	1.0E-03	1.1E-05	1E-02
			Mercury	7439-97-6	19/19	1.8E+00	3.0E-04	2.5E-06	9E-03
			Chromium	7440-47-3	23/31	1.8E+01	5.0E-03	2.6E-05	5E-03
			Selenium	7782-49-2	2/31	9.5E+00	3.0E-03	1.4E-05	5E-03
			Barium	7440-39-3	31/31	1.8E+02	7.0E-02	2.5E-04	4E-03
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	20/22	1.3E+01	7.0E-03	1.9E-05	3E-03
			Silver	7440-22-4	13/31	5.7E+00	3.0E-03	8.2E-06	3E-03
			Molybdenum	7439-98-7	5/27	4.7E+00	4.0E-03	6.7E-06	2E-03
			Zinc	7440-66-6	31/31	2.1E+02	2.0E-01	3.0E-04	2E-03
			Copper	7440-50-8	27/31	3.5E+01	3.7E-02	5.0E-05	1E-03
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	16/31	3.3E-01	5.0E-04	4.7E-07	9E-04
			Beryllium	7440-41-7	19/27	4.3E-01	5.0E-03	6.2E-07	1E-04
			Boron	7440-42-8	9/30	7.1E+00	9.0E-02	1.0E-05	1E-04
			Tin	7440-31-5	9/20	7.0E+00	6.0E-01	1.0E-05	2E-05
1	Sediment	Inorganic	Total						9E-02
1	Sediment	All	Total						9E-02

Table B2 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/L)	Oral RfD (mg/kg/d)	Daily intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening indices
1	Water	Inorganic	Thallium	7440-28-0	1/1	8.6E-02	7.0E-05	2.5E-03	4E+01
			Chromium	7440-47-3	25/32	1.1E-02	5.0E-03	3.2E-04	6E-02
			Copper	7440-50-8	11/32	2.3E-02	3.7E-02	6.5E-04	2E-02
			Barium	7440-39-3	3/3	3.7E-02	7.0E-02	1.1E-03	2E-02
			Nickel	7440-02-0	4/32	8.4E-03	2.0E-02	2.4E-04	1E-02
			Mercury	7439-97-6	9/32	7.8E-05	3.0E-04	2.2E-06	7E-03
			Zinc	7440-66-6	31/32	4.3E-02	2.0E-01	1.2E-03	6E-03
			Total						4E+01
1	Water	Inorganic							
1	Water	Organic	Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1/29	7.0E-03	1.0E-02	2.0E-04	2E-02
			Chloroform	67-66-3	18/30	6.0E-03	1.0E-02	1.7E-04	2E-02
			Dichlorobromomethane	75-27-4	3/29	6.8E-03	2.0E-02	1.9E-04	1E-02
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	15/29	1.3E-02	6.0E-02	3.8E-04	6E-03
			Acetone	67-64-1	14/58	1.4E-02	1.0E-01	4.1E-04	4E-03
			Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	1/29	7.0E-03	1.0E-01	2.0E-04	2E-03
			Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	2/29	7.0E-03	1.0E-01	2.0E-04	2E-03
			Toluene	108-88-3	4/28	7.0E-03	2.0E-01	2.0E-04	1E-03
			Xylene	1330-20-7	1/29	7.0E-03	2.0E+00	2.0E-04	1E-04
1	Water	Organic	Total						6E-02
1	Water	All	Total						4E+01
1			Reach Total						4E+01

Table B2 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/kg wet)	Oral RID (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening indices
2	Fish	Inorganic	Mercury	7439-97-6	33/33	1.3E-01	3.0E-04	1.2E-04	4E-01
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	11/19	1.4E-01	5.0E-04	1.3E-04	3E-01
			Selenium	7782-49-2	11/11	3.4E-01	3.0E-03	3.1E-04	1E-01
			Zinc	7440-66-6	11/11	5.3E+00	2.0E-01	4.9E-03	2E-02
			Copper	7440-50-8	7/11	3.6E-01	3.7E-02	3.3E-04	9E-03
2	Fish	Inorganic	Total						8E-01
2	Fish	All	Total						8E-01
2	Sediment	Inorganic	Antimony	7440-36-0	73/99	6.0E+00	4.0E-04	8.6E-06	2E-02
			Arsenic	7440-38-2	4/111	2.5E+00	1.0E-03	3.6E-06	4E-03
			Chromium	7440-47-3	95/111	1.2E+01	5.0E-03	1.7E-05	4E-03
			Barium	7440-39-3	111/111	1.6E+02	7.0E-02	2.3E-04	3E-03
			Selenium	7782-49-2	14/111	4.8E+00	3.0E-03	6.8E-06	2E-03
			Mercury	7439-97-6	25/25	4.6E-01	3.0E-04	6.6E-07	2E-03
			Molybdenum	7439-98-7	65/99	3.1E+00	4.0E-03	4.4E-06	1E-03
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	89/91	4.4E+00	7.0E-03	6.3E-06	9E-04
			Zinc	7440-66-6	98/98	1.2E+02	2.0E-01	1.8E-04	9E-04
			Silver	7440-22-4	13/111	1.1E+00	3.0E-03	1.6E-06	5E-04
			Nickel	7440-02-0	106/111	6.2E+00	2.0E-02	8.9E-06	4E-04
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	6/111	1.2E-01	5.0E-04	1.7E-07	3E-04
			Copper	7440-50-8	101/111	6.2E+00	3.7E-02	8.9E-06	2E-04
			Boron	7440-42-8	78/111	3.7E+00	9.0E-02	5.3E-06	6E-05
			Beryllium	7440-41-7	81/99	1.8E-01	5.0E-03	2.6E-07	5E-05
			Tin	7440-31-5	10/29	4.7E+00	6.0E-01	6.7E-06	1E-05
2	Sediment	Inorganic	Total						4E-02
2	Sediment	Organic	Di-n-butyl phthalate	84-74-2	3/10	1.0E+01	1.0E-01	1.5E-05	2E-04
2	Sediment	All	Total						4E-02

Table B2 (continued)

Reech no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/L)	Oral RfD (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening Indices
2	Water	Inorganic	Thallium	7440-28-0	1/1	9.4E-02	7.0E-05	2.7E-03	4E+01
			Arsenic	7440-38-2	4/75	5.2E-02	1.0E-03	1.5E-03	2E+00
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	6/76	4.0E-03	5.0E-04	1.1E-04	2E-01
			Chromium	7440-47-3	54/75	1.1E-02	5.0E-03	3.3E-04	7E-02
			Copper	7440-50-8	53/75	7.3E-02	3.7E-02	2.1E-03	6E-02
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	1/3	8.6E-03	7.0E-03	2.7E-04	4E-02
			Barium	7440-39-3	3/3	8.6E-02	7.0E-02	2.5E-03	4E-02
			Nickel	7440-02-0	8/75	1.0E-02	2.0E-02	2.9E-04	1E-02
			Zinc	7440-66-6	66/75	9.1E-02	2.0E-01	2.6E-03	1E-02
2	Water	Inorganic	Mercury	7439-97-6	5/32	5.9E-05	3.0E-04	1.7E-06	6E-03
			Total						4E+01
2	Water	Organic	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	156-59-2	2/29	6.9E-03	1.0E-02	2.0E-04	2E-02
			Chloroform	67-66-3	6/30	6.4E-03	1.0E-02	1.8E-04	2E-02
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	15/29	1.3E-02	6.0E-02	3.6E-04	6E-03
			Acetone	67-64-1	11/58	1.2E-02	1.0E-01	3.5E-04	4E-03
			Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	2/29	7.0E-03	1.0E-01	2.0E-04	2E-03
			1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	2/29	6.9E-03	1.0E-01	2.0E-04	2E-03
			Toluene	108-88-3	1/28	7.1E-03	2.0E-01	2.0E-04	1E-03
			Xylene	1330-20-7	1/29	7.0E-03	2.0E+00	2.0E-04	1E-04
2	Water	Organic	Total						5E-02
2	Water	All	Total						4E+01
2			Reech total						4E+01

Table B2 (continued)

Reech no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/kg wet)	Oral RfD (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening Indices
3	Fish	Inorganic	Mercury	7439-97-6	88/88	2.6E-01	3.0E-04	2.4E-04	8E-01
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	28/36	8.4E-02	5.0E-04	7.8E-05	2E-01
			Selenium	7782-49-2	27/28	3.1E-01	3.0E-03	2.8E-04	1E-01
			Zinc	7440-66-6	28/26	5.2E+00	2.0E-01	4.8E-03	2E-02
			Copper	7440-50-8	14/28	3.4E-01	3.7E-02	3.2E-04	9E-03
3	Fish	Inorganic	Total						1E+00
3	Fish	All	Total						1E+00
3	Sediment	Inorganic	Antimony	7440-36-0	24/54	3.4E+01	4.0E-04	4.8E-05	1E-01
			Selenium	7782-49-2	1/62	6.6E+01	3.0E-03	9.4E-05	3E-02
			Arsenic	7440-38-2	6/60	1.7E+01	1.0E-03	2.4E-05	2E-02
			Chromium	7440-47-3	44/62	7.7E+01	5.0E-03	1.1E-04	2E-02
			Mercury	7439-97-6	21/21	1.2E+00	3.0E-04	1.7E-06	6E-03
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	48/51	1.8E+01	7.0E-03	2.5E-05	4E-03
			Barium	7440-39-3	58/58	1.6E+02	7.0E-02	2.3E-04	3E-03
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	7/60	1.0E+00	5.0E-04	1.4E-06	3E-03
			Silver	7440-22-4	19/61	5.4E+00	3.0E-03	7.7E-06	3E-03
			Molybdenum	7439-98-7	11/52	6.1E+00	4.0E-03	8.6E-06	2E-03
			Nickel	7440-02-0	53/60	1.5E+01	2.0E-02	2.2E-05	1E-03
			Zinc	7440-66-6	57/60	1.5E+02	2.0E-01	2.1E-04	1E-03
			Copper	7440-50-8	49/60	2.6E+01	3.7E-02	3.8E-05	1E-03
			Beryllium	7440-41-7	40/54	1.2E+00	5.0E-03	1.7E-06	3E-04
			Boron	7440-42-8	25/54	4.7E+00	9.0E-02	6.8E-06	8E-05
			Tin	7440-31-5	12/29	1.4E+01	6.0E-01	2.1E-05	3E-05
3	Sediment	Inorganic	Total						2E-01
3	Sediment	Organic	Di-n-butyl phthalate	84-74-2	3/16	1.8E+01	1.0E-01	2.6E-05	3E-04
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	2/7	6.0E-01	6.0E-02	8.6E-07	1E-05
			Chloroform	67-66-3	2/7	1.1E-02	1.0E-02	1.6E-08	2E-06
			Toluene	108-88-3	1/7	2.3E-02	2.0E-01	3.3E-08	2E-07
3	Sediment	Organic	Total						3E-04
3	Sediment	All	Total						2E-01

Table B2 (continued)

Reech no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/L)	Oral RfD (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening Indices
3	Water	Inorganic	Thallium	7440-28-0	1/1	7.0E-02	7.0E-05	2.0E-03	3E+01
			Arsenic	7440-38-2	2/38	4.7E-02	1.0E-03	1.3E-03	1E+00
			Selenium	7782-49-2	2/14	2.6E-02	3.0E-03	7.3E-04	2E-01
			Chromium	7440-47-3	28/32	2.0E-02	5.0E-03	5.6E-04	1E-01
			Barium	7440-39-3	3/3	4.9E-02	7.0E-02	1.4E-03	2E-02
			Copper	7440-50-8	14/32	2.0E-02	3.7E-02	5.7E-04	2E-02
			Nickel	7440-02-0	3/32	8.6E-03	2.0E-02	2.5E-04	1E-02
			Mercury	7439-97-6	9/32	6.2E-05	3.0E-04	1.8E-06	6E-03
			Zinc	7440-66-6	30/32	3.1E-02	2.0E-01	8.8E-04	4E-03
3	Water	Inorganic	Total						3E+01
3	Water	Organic	Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	1/28	7.1E-03	1.0E-02	2.0E-04	2E-02
			Chloroform	67-66-3	12/29	5.9E-03	1.0E-02	1.7E-04	2E-02
			4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	1/28	1.4E-02	5.0E-02	4.0E-04	8E-03
			Methylene chloride	75-09-2	16/28	1.3E-02	6.0E-02	3.8E-04	6E-03
			Acetone	67-64-1	13/56	1.4E-02	1.0E-01	4.1E-04	4E-03
			Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	1/28	7.2E-03	1.0E-01	2.1E-04	2E-03
			Toluene	108-88-3	4/27	6.9E-03	2.0E-01	2.0E-04	1E-03
			Xylene	1330-20-7	1/28	7.1E-03	2.0E+00	2.0E-04	1E-04
3	Water	Organic	Total						6E-02
3	Water	All	Total						3E+01
3			Reech total						3E+01

APPENDIX C

SCREENING OF THE INTRUDER SCENARIO FOR DETECTED CARCINOGENS AND NONCARCINOGENS

Table C1. Screening of detected carcinogens in fish and game for intermittent intruder scenario

Reach no.	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	Ratio of detected to total fish samples	Geom mean of concentration in fish flesh (mg or Bq/kg)	Fish ingest carcinogen screening indices	Ratio of detected to total venison samples	Geom mean of concentration in venison* (mg or Bq/kg)	Venison ingest carcinogen screening indices	Arith mean of concentration in duck flesh (mg or Bq/kg)	Duck ingest carcinogen screening indices	Sums of carcinogen screening indices
1	Organics	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	79/79	3.25E-01	1.2E-04						1E-04
1	Organics	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	79/79	1.20E-01	4.5E-05						4E-05
1	Organics	Totals			1.7E-04						2E-04
1	Radionuclides	Cs-137	42/42	2.62E+03	1.7E-04	319/396	6.06E-02	8.6E-09			2E-04
1	Radionuclides	Sr-90**				33/33	6.26E+00	1.0E-06			1E-06
1	Radionuclides	Totals			1.7E-04			1.0E-06			2E-04
1	Radionuclides	Reach totals			3.3E-04			1.0E-06			3E-04
2	Organics	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	24/24	4.72E-02	1.8E-05						2E-05
2	Organics	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	24/24	4.01E-02	1.5E-05						1E-05
2	Organics	Totals			3.3E-05						3E-05
2	Radionuclides	Cs-137	10/10	7.05E+02	4.5E-05	319/396	6.06E-02	8.6E-09			4E-05
2	Radionuclides	Sr-90**				33/33	6.26E+00	1.0E-06			1E-06
2	Radionuclides	Totals			4.5E-05			1.0E-06			5E-05
2	Radionuclides	Reach totals			7.7E-05			1.0E-06			8E-05
3	Organics	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	79/79	2.89E-01	1.1E-04						1E-04
3	Organics	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	79/79	1.66E-01	6.2E-05						6E-05
3	Organics	Totals			1.7E-04						2E-04
3	Radionuclides	Cs-137	106/106	5.20E+02	3.3E-05	319/396	6.06E-02	8.6E-09	1.41E+01	3.6E-07	3E-05
3	Radionuclides	Sr-90**	13/13	2.47E+01	1.8E-06	33/33	6.26E+00	1.0E-06			3E-06
3	Radionuclides	Co-60	9/70	5.50E-05	1.9E-12						2E-12
3	Radionuclides	Totals			3.5E-05			1.0E-06		3.6E-07	4E-05
3	Radionuclides	Reach totals			2.1E-04			1.0E-06		3.6E-07	2E-04

* Data for venison were applied to all reaches

** Values for Sr-90 in venison are 1/100 the concentration measured in bone

**Table C2. Screening indices for external exposure to
intermittent intruder from gamma radiation
in surface sediments**

Reach no.	Contaminant type	Radionuclide	Ratio of detected to total sed samples	Geom mean of concentration in sediment (Bq/kg)	Screening indices for exposure exposure to sediment
1	Radionuclide	Cs-137	17/18	5.52E+03	2.1E-04
1	Radionuclide	Co-60	18/18	1.29E+03	1.9E-04
1	Radionuclide	Eu-152	4/4	2.26E+02	1.6E-05
1	Radionuclide	Cs-134	8/8	7.83E+01	7.7E-06
1	Radionuclide	Eu-154	1/1	4.30E+01	3.2E-06
1	Radionuclide	Am-241	1/1	1.40E+01	2.5E-08
1	Radionuclide	U-235	1/1	4.20E-01	4.5E-09
1	Radionuclide	Cm-244	1/1	1.80E+01	1.2E-09
1	Radionuclide	Pu-239	1/1	1.00E+01	2.9E-10
1	Radionuclide	U-234	1/1	3.80E+00	2.3E-10
1	Radionuclide	Pu-238	1/1	2.00E+00	1.3E-10
1	Radionuclide	U-238	1/1	1.60E+00	7.9E-11
1	Radionuclide	Reach total			4.2E-04
2	Radionuclide	Co-60	121/134	1.40E+03	2.0E-04
2	Radionuclide	Eu-152	11/11	8.22E+02	5.7E-05
2	Radionuclide	Eu-154	14/14	3.51E+02	2.6E-05
2	Radionuclide	Cs-137	130/134	6.34E+02	2.4E-05
2	Radionuclide	Cs-134	10/10	1.43E+02	1.4E-05
2	Radionuclide	Mn-54	7/7	2.37E+02	1.3E-05
2	Radionuclide	U-235	4/4	6.59E-01	7.0E-09
2	Radionuclide	Am-241	4/4	1.16E+00	2.0E-09
2	Radionuclide	U-234	4/4	9.07E+00	5.6E-10
2	Radionuclide	Cm-244	4/4	4.11E+00	2.7E-10
2	Radionuclide	U-238	4/4	4.12E+00	2.0E-10
2	Radionuclide	Pu-238	4/4	3.76E-01	2.5E-11
2	Radionuclide	Pu-239	4/4	8.52E-02	2.5E-12
2	Radionuclide	Reach total			3.4E-04
3	Radionuclide	Cs-137	223/223	9.97E+03	3.8E-04
3	Radionuclide	Co-60	204/208	1.07E+03	1.5E-04
3	Radionuclide	Eu-152	2/2	3.48E+02	2.4E-05
3	Radionuclide	Eu-154	9/9	1.75E+02	1.3E-05
3	Radionuclide	Cs-134	7/7	1.09E+02	1.1E-05
3	Radionuclide	U-235	1/1	3.20E+00	3.4E-08
3	Radionuclide	Total Pu*	4/4	4.56E+02	1.3E-08
3	Radionuclide	U-234	1/1	4.20E+00	2.6E-10
3	Radionuclide	U-238	1/1	3.00E+00	1.5E-10
3	Radionuclide	Am-241	1/1	6.30E-02	1.1E-10
3	Radionuclide	Cm-244	1/1	1.50E-01	9.9E-12
3	Radionuclide	Pu-238	1/1	5.80E-02	3.8E-12
3	Radionuclide	Pu-239	1/1	8.70E-02	2.5E-12
3	Radionuclide	Reach total			5.8E-04

* Used risk factors for Pu-239

Table C3. Screening of detected noncarcinogens for intermittent intruder scenario

Reach no.	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	Ratio of detected to total fish samples	Geom mean of concentration in fish flesh (mg/kg wet)	Fish ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	Arith mean of concentration in duck flesh (mg/kg wet)	Duck ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	Sums of noncarcinogen screening indices
1	Inorganic	Mercury	87/87	3.60E-01	1.5E-01			2E-01
1	Inorganic	Selenium	35/35	3.74E-01	1.6E-02			2E-02
1	Inorganic	Cadmium	35/43	1.29E-02	3.3E-03			3E-03
1	Inorganic	Copper	22/35	3.02E-01	1.0E-03			1E-03
1	Inorganic	Zinc	35/35	1.52E+00	9.8E-04			1E-03
1	Inorganic	Reach totals			1.8E-01			2E-01
2	Inorganic	Mercury	33/33	9.10E-02	3.9E-02			4E-02
2	Inorganic	Selenium	11/11	2.71E-01	1.2E-02			1E-02
2	Inorganic	Cadmium	11/19	3.85E-02	9.9E-03			1E-02
2	Inorganic	Zinc	11/11	1.28E+00	8.2E-04			8E-04
2	Inorganic	Copper	7/11	1.83E-01	6.4E-04			6E-04
2	Inorganic	Reach totals			6.2E-02			6E-02
3	Inorganic	Mercury	88/88	1.77E-01	7.6E-02	2.00E-02	1.2E-03	8E-02
3	Inorganic	Selenium	27/28	2.43E-01	1.0E-02	7.00E-01	4.3E-03	1E-02
3	Inorganic	Cadmium	28/36	1.98E-02	5.1E-03	3.20E-02	1.2E-03	6E-03
3	Inorganic	Zinc	26/26	1.69E+00	1.1E-03			1E-03
3	Inorganic	Copper	14/28	2.25E-01	7.8E-04			8E-04
3	Inorganic	Reach totals			9.3E-02		6.8E-03	1E-01

APPENDIX D

**NONCONSERVATIVE SCREENING OF THE NONDETECTABLE
CONTAMINANTS DATA BASE FOR CARCINOGENS
AND NONCARCINOGENS**

Table D1. Results of nonconservative screening of carcinogens where no concentrations were above detectable limits (Concentrations are minimum detection limits)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water ingest carcinogen screening indices
Inorganic	Arsenic	1	104	5.0E-01	4.1E-05				33	1.0E-02	5.0E-05
	Arsenic	2	45	5.0E-01	4.1E-05						
	Arsenic	3	109	5.0E-01	4.1E-05						
	Beryllium	1	104	1.0E-01	2.0E-05				3	2.0E-04	2.5E-06
	Beryllium	2	45	1.0E-01	2.0E-05				3	2.0E-04	2.5E-06
	Beryllium	3	109	1.0E-01	2.0E-05				3	2.0E-04	2.5E-06
Organic	Benzidine	1				2	5.0E+01	1.6E-03			
	Benzidine	2				6	5.0E+01	1.6E-03			
	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	1				1	5.0E+01	3.6E-04			
	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	2				6	5.0E+01	3.6E-04			
	Benzidine	3				14	9.1E+00	3.0E-04			
	Acenaphthylene	1	12	2.0E-01	1.1E-04	3	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Acenaphthylene	2	8	2.0E-01	1.1E-04	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Acenaphthylene	3	16	2.0E-01	1.1E-04	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	1	12	2.0E-01	6.6E-05	2	1.0E+01	1.0E-05			
	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2	8	2.0E-01	6.6E-05	10	1.0E+01	1.0E-05			
	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	3	16	2.0E-01	6.6E-05	16	9.1E-01	9.1E-07	29	1.0E-02	5.4E-05
	Vinyl chloride	1							29	1.0E-02	5.4E-05
	Vinyl chloride	2							28	1.0E-02	5.4E-05
	Vinyl chloride	3				2	1.0E-02	2.7E-09			
	Bis(chloromethyl)ether	3				2	9.1E-01	2.9E-05			
	Aldrin	1	12	5.0E-03	4.0E-06	2	1.0E+01	2.4E-05			
	Aldrin	2	8	5.0E-03	4.0E-06	6	1.0E+01	2.4E-05			
	Dieldrin	1	12	9.0E-03	6.8E-06	1	1.0E+01	2.3E-05			
	Dieldrin	2	8	9.0E-03	6.8E-06	6	1.0E+01	2.3E-05			
	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	2							28	1.0E-03	2.2E-05
	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	3							28	1.0E-03	2.2E-05
	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	1							28	1.0E-03	2.2E-05
	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	2							28	1.0E-03	2.2E-05
	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	3				15	1.8E-01	2.0E-07	28	1.0E-03	2.2E-05
	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	1	12	1.0E+00	2.1E-05	2	2.0E+01	1.3E-06			
	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2	8	1.0E+00	2.1E-05	10	1.0E+01	6.4E-07			
	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	3	16	1.0E+00	2.1E-05	16	9.1E-01	5.9E-08			
	Acenaphthene	1	12	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	3	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Acenaphthene	2	8	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			

Table D1 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water ingest carcinogen screening indices
Organic	Anthracene	1	12	2.0E-04	1.1E-07	3	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Anthracene	2	8	2.0E-04	1.1E-07	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Benzo(a)pyrene	1	12	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	3	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Benzo(a)pyrene	2	8	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	12	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	3	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	2	8	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Benzo(ghi)perylene	1	12	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Benzo(ghi)perylene	2	8	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1	12	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	2	8	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Chrysene	1	12	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Chrysene	2	8	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1	12	2.0E-03	1.1E-06	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	2	8	2.0E-03	1.1E-06	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Fluoranthene	1	12	2.0E-02	1.1E-05	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Fluoranthene	2	8	2.0E-02	1.1E-05	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Fluorene	1	12	2.0E-03	1.1E-06	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Fluorene	2	8	2.0E-03	1.1E-06	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1	12	8.0E-03	4.3E-06	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2	8	8.0E-03	4.3E-06	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Pyrene	1	12	3.0E-03	1.6E-06	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Pyrene	2	8	3.0E-03	1.6E-06	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Benzo(a)anthracene	1	12	2.0E-04	1.1E-07	3	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Benzo(a)anthracene	2	8	2.0E-04	1.1E-07	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Phenanthrene	1	12	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Phenanthrene	2	8	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Hexachlorobenzene	1	12	2.0E-01	1.5E-05	2	1.0E+01	2.3E-06			
	Hexachlorobenzene	2	8	2.0E-01	1.5E-05	10	1.0E+01	2.3E-06			
	Hexachlorobenzene	3	16	2.0E-01	1.5E-05	16	9.1E-01	2.1E-07			
	Heptachlor epoxide	1	12	5.0E-03	2.1E-06	1	1.0E+01	1.3E-05			
	Heptachlor epoxide	2	8	5.0E-03	2.1E-06	6	1.0E+01	1.3E-05			
	Fluoranthene	3	16	2.0E-02	1.1E-05	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	1	12	2.0E-01	1.0E-05	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-06			
	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	2	8	2.0E-01	1.0E-05	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-06			
	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	3	16	2.0E-01	1.0E-05	16	9.1E-01	1.4E-07			
	Alpha BHC	1	12	5.0E-03	1.5E-06	2	1.0E+01	9.0E-06			
	Alpha BHC	2	8	5.0E-03	1.5E-06	6	1.0E+01	9.0E-06			

Table D1 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Resch no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish Ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed Ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water Ingest carcinogen screening indices
Organic	1,1-Dichloroethylene	1							28	5.0E-03	8.6E-06
	1,1-Dichloroethylene	2							28	5.0E-03	8.6E-06
	1,1-Dichloroethylene	3							27	5.0E-03	8.6E-06
	Dieldrin	3	16	9.0E-03	6.8E-06	2	1.0E-02	8.6E-10			
	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	3				13	9.1E-01	2.1E-06			
	Heptachlor	1	12	5.0E-03	1.1E-06	14	9.1E-01	6.6E-06			
	Heptachlor	2	8	5.0E-03	1.1E-06	1	1.0E+01	6.4E-06			
	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1	12	2.0E-01	6.4E-06	6	1.0E+01	6.4E-06			
	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	2	8	2.0E-01	6.4E-06	2	1.0E+01	9.7E-07			
	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	3	16	2.0E-01	6.4E-06	10	1.0E+01	9.7E-07			
	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1	12	2.0E-01	6.4E-06	16	9.1E-01	8.8E-08			
	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	2	8	2.0E-01	6.4E-06	2	1.0E+01	9.7E-07			
	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	3	16	2.0E-01	6.4E-06	10	1.0E+01	9.7E-07			
	Pentachlorophenol	1	12	1.0E+00	5.7E-06	16	9.1E-01	8.6E-07			
	Pentachlorophenol	2	8	1.0E+00	5.7E-06	10	5.0E+01	8.6E-07			
	Pentachlorophenol	3	16	1.0E+00	5.7E-06	16	9.1E-01	1.6E-08			
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	3	16	8.0E-03	4.3E-06	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Aldrin	3	16	5.0E-03	4.0E-06	13	9.1E-01	2.2E-06			
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1							29	5.0E-03	2.9E-06
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	2							29	5.0E-03	2.9E-06
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	3							28	5.0E-03	2.9E-06
	Beta BHC	1	12	5.0E-03	4.2E-07	7	1.0E-02	2.9E-10			
	Beta BHC	2	8	5.0E-03	4.2E-07	2	1.0E+01	2.6E-06			
	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	1	12	5.0E-03	4.2E-07	6	1.0E+01	2.6E-06			
	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	2	8	5.0E-03	4.2E-07	2	1.0E+01	2.6E-06			
	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	1				6	1.0E+01	2.6E-06			
	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	2							29	5.0E-03	2.6E-06
	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	3							29	5.0E-03	2.6E-06
	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	1							29	5.0E-03	2.6E-06
	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	2							29	5.0E-03	2.6E-06
	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	3							28	5.0E-03	2.6E-06
	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	1				1	2.0E+01	2.3E-06			
	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	2				6	2.0E+01	2.3E-06			
	Heptachlor epoxide	3	16	5.0E-03	2.1E-06	13	9.1E-01	1.2E-06			
	Chlordane	1				2	1.0E+01	1.9E-06			
	Chlordane	2				6	1.0E+01	1.9E-06			

Table D1 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish Ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed Ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water Ingest carcinogen screening indices
Organic	Carbon tetrachloride	1							29	5.0E-03	1.9E-06
	Carbon tetrachloride	2							29	5.0E-03	1.9E-06
	Carbon tetrachloride	3				2	1.0E-02	1.9E-10	28	5.0E-03	1.9E-06
	Dichlorobromomethane	2							29	5.0E-03	1.9E-06
	Dichlorobromomethane	3							28	5.0E-03	1.9E-06
	Pyrene	3				2	1.0E-02	1.9E-10			
	Acenaphthene	3	16	3.0E-03	1.6E-06	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Anthracene	3	16	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Benzo(a)pyrene	3	16	2.0E-04	1.1E-07	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	3	16	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	3	16	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	3	16	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Chrysene	3	16	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	3	16	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Fluorene	3	16	2.0E-03	1.1E-06	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Benzo(a)anthracene	3	16	2.0E-04	1.1E-07	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Phenanthrene	3	16	1.0E-03	5.4E-07	16	9.1E-01	1.5E-06			
	Alpha BHC	3	16	5.0E-03	1.5E-06	13	9.1E-01	8.2E-07			
	Toxaphene	3	16	1.0E-02	5.2E-07	2	9.1E+00	1.4E-06			
	1,2-Dichloroethane	1							29	5.0E-03	1.3E-06
	1,2-Dichloroethane	2							29	5.0E-03	1.3E-06
	1,2-Dichloroethane	3				2	1.0E-02	1.3E-10	28	5.0E-03	1.3E-06
	Chlorodibromomethane	1							29	5.0E-03	1.2E-06
	Chlorodibromomethane	2							29	5.0E-03	1.2E-06
	Chlorodibromomethane	3				2	1.0E-02	1.2E-10	28	5.0E-03	1.2E-06
	Heptachlor	3				13	9.1E-01	5.9E-07			
	1,2-Dichloropropane	1							29	5.0E-03	9.7E-07
	1,2-Dichloropropane	2							29	5.0E-03	9.7E-07
	1,2-Dichloropropane	3				2	1.0E-02	9.7E-11	28	5.0E-03	9.7E-07
	Chlordane	3				13	4.6E+00	8.5E-07			
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1							29	5.0E-03	8.1E-07
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	2							29	5.0E-03	8.1E-07
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	3				2	1.0E-02	8.1E-11	28	5.0E-03	8.1E-07
	Hexachlorobutadiene	1	12	2.0E-01	7.4E-07	2	1.0E+01	1.1E-07			
	Hexachlorobutadiene	2	8	2.0E-01	7.4E-07	10	1.0E+01	1.1E-07			
	Hexachlorobutadiene	3	16	2.0E-01	7.4E-07	15	9.1E-01	1.0E-08			
	Tetrachloroethylene	2							29	5.0E-03	7.3E-07

Table D1 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish Ingest carcinogen screening Indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed Ingest carcinogen screening Indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water Ingest carcinogen screening Indices
Organic	Toxaphene	1	12	1.0E-02	5.2E-07						
	Toxaphene	2	8	1.0E-02	5.2E-07						
	4,4'DDE	1	12	9.0E-03	1.4E-07	1	1.0E+01	4.9E-07			
	4,4'DDE	2	8	9.0E-03	1.4E-07	6	1.0E+01	4.9E-07			
	4,4'DDT	1	12	9.0E-03	1.4E-07	1	1.0E+01	4.9E-07			
	4,4'DDT	2	8	9.0E-03	1.4E-07	6	1.0E+01	4.9E-07			
	Styrene	1							29	5.0E-03	4.3E-07
	Styrene	2							29	5.0E-03	4.3E-07
	Styrene	3							28	5.0E-03	4.3E-07
	Beta BHC	3	16	5.0E-03	4.2E-07	13	9.1E-01	2.3E-07			
	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	3	16	5.0E-03	4.2E-07	13	9.1E-01	2.3E-07			
	Benzene	2									
	Benzene	3							28	5.0E-03	4.1E-07
	Methyl chloride	1							27	5.0E-03	4.1E-07
	Methyl chloride	2							29	1.0E-02	3.7E-07
	Methyl chloride	3							29	1.0E-02	3.7E-07
	4,4'DDD	1	12	9.0E-03	1.0E-07	2	1.0E-02	1.9E-11	28	1.0E-02	3.7E-07
	4,4'DDD	2	8	9.0E-03	1.0E-07	1	1.0E+01	3.4E-07			
	4-Chloroaniline	1	12	2.0E-01	3.3E-07	6	1.0E+01	3.4E-07			
	4-Chloroaniline	2	8	2.0E-01	3.3E-07	2	1.0E+01	5.0E-08			
	4-Chloroaniline	3	16	2.0E-01	3.3E-07	10	1.0E+01	5.0E-08			
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	12	2.0E-01	2.3E-07	14	1.0E+01	5.0E-08			
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2	8	2.0E-01	2.3E-07	2	1.0E+01	3.4E-08			
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3	16	2.0E-01	2.3E-07	10	1.0E+01	3.4E-08			
	4,4'DCE	3	16	9.0E-03	1.4E-07	16	9.1E-01	3.1E-09			
	4,4'DDT	3	16	9.0E-03	1.4E-07	13	9.1E-01	4.4E-08			
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1	12	2.0E-01	1.3E-07	13	9.1E-01	4.4E-08			
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2	8	2.0E-01	1.3E-07	2	1.0E+01	2.0E-08			
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	3	16	2.0E-01	1.3E-07	10	1.0E+01	2.0E-08			
	Hexachloroethane	1	12	2.0E-01	1.3E-07	16	9.1E-01	1.8E-09			
	Hexachloroethane	2	8	2.0E-01	1.3E-07	2	1.0E+01	2.0E-08			
	Hexachloroethane	3	16	2.0E-01	1.3E-07	10	1.0E+01	2.0E-08			
	Bromoform	1				16	9.1E-01	1.8E-09	29	5.0E-03	1.1E-07
	Bromoform	2							29	5.0E-03	1.1E-07
	Bromoform	3							28	5.0E-03	1.1E-07
	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	3				2	1.0E-02	1.1E-11			
						14	9.1E-01	1.0E-07			

Table D1 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water ingest carcinogen screening indices
Organic	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	1	12	2.0E-01	1.0E-07	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-08			
	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	2	8	2.0E-01	1.0E-07	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-08			
	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	3	16	2.0E-01	1.0E-07	16	9.1E-01	1.4E-09			
	4,4'DDD	3	16	9.0E-03	1.0E-07	13	9.1E-01	3.1E-08			
	Acrylonitrile	3				2	9.1E-01	7.0E-08			
	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	1	12	2.0E-01	4.6E-08	2	1.0E+01	7.0E-09			
	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	2	8	2.0E-01	4.6E-08	10	1.0E+01	7.0E-09			
	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	3	16	2.0E-01	4.6E-08	16	9.1E-01	6.4E-10			
	Isophorone	1	12	2.0E-01	3.9E-08	2	1.0E+01	5.9E-09			
	Isophorone	2	8	2.0E-01	3.9E-08	10	1.0E+01	5.9E-09			
	Isophorone	3	16	2.0E-01	3.9E-08	16	9.1E-01	5.3E-10			
	Aniline	1				2	1.0E+01	8.1E-09			
	Aniline	2				6	1.0E+01	8.1E-09			
	Aniline	3				11	1.0E+01	8.1E-09			
	Tetrachloroethylene	3				7	1.0E-02	7.3E-11			
	Trichloroethylene	3				2	1.0E-02	1.6E-11			
Radionuclide	H-3	3				4	5.0E+02	7.9E-11			

*Contaminants are ranked in descending order by sum of screening indices

Table D2. Results of nonconservative screening of noncarcinogens where no concentrations were above detectable limits (Concentrations are the minimum detection limits)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Resch no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish Ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed Ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water Ingest noncarcinogen screening indices
Inorganic	Antimony	1	104	5.0E-01	1.2E-01				3	5.0E-02	3.6E-01
	Antimony	2	45	5.0E-01	1.2E-01				3	5.0E-02	3.6E-01
	Antimony	3	109	5.0E-01	1.2E-01				3	5.0E-02	3.6E-01
	Selenium	2							3	5.0E-02	4.8E-02
	Arsenic	1	104	5.0E-01	4.6E-02				33	1.0E-02	2.9E-02
	Arsenic	2	45	5.0E-01	4.6E-02						
	Arsenic	3	109	5.0E-01	4.6E-02						
	Molybdenum	1							3	4.0E-02	2.9E-02
	Molybdenum	2							3	4.0E-02	2.9E-02
	Molybdenum	3							3	4.0E-02	2.9E-02
	Cadmium	1							33	2.0E-03	1.1E-02
	Cadmium	3				2	5.0E+00	1.0E-02	33	2.0E-03	1.1E-02
	Thallium	3									
	Chromium	1	104	5.0E-01	9.3E-03						
	Chromium	2	45	5.0E-01	9.3E-03						
	Chromium	3	109	5.0E-01	9.3E-03						
	Silver	1	104	2.0E-01	6.2E-03				33	5.0E-03	4.8E-03
	Silver	2	45	2.0E-01	6.2E-03				33	5.0E-04	4.8E-04
	Silver	3	109	2.0E-01	6.2E-03				33	5.0E-03	4.8E-03
	Selenium	1							4	5.0E-03	4.8E-03
	Boron	1							3	8.0E-02	2.5E-03
	Boron	2							4	8.0E-02	2.5E-03
	Boron	3							3	8.0E-02	2.5E-03
	Nickel	1	104	5.0E-01	2.3E-03						
	Nickel	2	45	5.0E-01	2.3E-03						
	Nickel	3	109	5.0E-01	2.3E-03						
	Beryllium	1	104	1.0E-01	1.9E-03				3	2.0E-04	1.1E-04
	Beryllium	2	45	1.0E-01	1.9E-03				3	2.0E-04	1.1E-04
	Beryllium	3	109	1.0E-01	1.9E-03				3	2.0E-03	8.2E-04
	Vanadium	1							3	2.0E-03	8.2E-04
	Vanadium	3							3	5.0E-02	2.4E-04
	Tin	1							3	5.0E-02	2.4E-04
	Tin	2							3	5.0E-02	2.4E-04
	Tin	3							3	5.0E-02	2.4E-04
	Cyanide	3				2	5.0E-01	3.6E-06			

Table D2 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish Ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed Ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water Ingest noncarcinogen screening indices
Organic	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	1	12	1.0E+00	9.3E-01	3	2.0E+01	2.9E-02			
	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	2	8	1.0E+00	9.3E-01	10	2.0E+01	2.9E-02			
	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	3	16	1.0E+00	9.3E-01	16	4.6E+00	6.6E-03			
	Heptachlor epoxide	1	12	5.0E-03	3.6E-02	1	1.0E+01	1.1E-01			
	Heptachlor epoxide	2	8	5.0E-03	3.6E-02	6	1.0E+01	1.1E-01			
	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	1							29	5.0E-03	4.8E-02
	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	2							29	5.0E-03	4.8E-02
	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	3							28	5.0E-03	4.8E-02
	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	1							29	5.0E-03	4.8E-02
	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	2							29	5.0E-03	4.8E-02
	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	3							28	5.0E-03	4.8E-02
	Aldrin	1	12	5.0E-03	1.5E-02	2	1.0E+01	4.8E-02			
	Aldrin	2	8	5.0E-03	1.5E-02	6	1.0E+01	4.8E-02			
	Nitrobenzene	1	12	2.0E-01	3.7E-02	2	1.0E+01	2.9E-03			
	Nitrobenzene	2	8	2.0E-01	3.7E-02	10	1.0E+01	2.9E-03			
	Nitrobenzene	3	16	2.0E-01	3.7E-02	16	9.1E-01	2.6E-04			
	Heptachlor epoxide	3	16	5.0E-03	3.6E-02	13	9.1E-01	1.0E-02			
	Dieldrin	1	12	9.0E-03	1.7E-02	1	1.0E+01	2.9E-02			
	Dieldrin	2	8	9.0E-03	1.7E-02	6	1.0E+01	2.9E-02			
	Endosulfan I	1	12	5.0E-03	9.3E-03	1	1.0E+01	2.9E-02			
	Endosulfan I	2	8	5.0E-03	9.3E-03	6	1.0E+01	2.9E-02			
	Endosulfan II	1	12	9.0E-03	1.7E-02	1	1.0E+01	2.9E-02			
	Endosulfan II	2	8	9.0E-03	1.7E-02	6	1.0E+01	2.9E-02			
	Chlordane	1				2	1.0E+01	2.4E-02			
	Chlordane	2				6	1.0E+01	2.4E-02			
	Hexachlorobenzene	1	12	2.0E-01	2.3E-02	2	1.0E+01	1.8E-03			
	Hexachlorobenzene	2	8	2.0E-01	2.3E-02	10	1.0E+01	1.8E-03			
	Hexachlorobenzene	3	16	2.0E-01	2.3E-02	16	9.1E-01	1.6E-04			
	Methyl bromide	1									
	Methyl bromide	2									
	Methyl bromide	3				2	1.0E-02	1.0E-06			
	Carbon tetrachloride	1							29	1.0E-02	2.0E-02
	Carbon tetrachloride	2							29	1.0E-02	2.0E-02
	Carbon tetrachloride	3							28	1.0E-02	2.0E-02
	Hexachloroethane	1	12	2.0E-01	1.9E-02	2	1.0E-02	2.0E-06			
	Hexachloroethane	2	8	2.0E-01	1.9E-02	2	1.0E+01	1.4E-03			
	Hexachloroethane	3	16	2.0E-01	1.9E-02	10	1.0E+01	1.4E-03			
	Hexachloroethane					16	9.1E-01	1.3E-04			
	Hexachloroethane								29	1.0E-02	2.0E-02
	Hexachloroethane								29	1.0E-02	2.0E-02
	Hexachloroethane								28	1.0E-02	2.0E-02
	Hexachloroethane								29	5.0E-03	2.0E-02
	Hexachloroethane								29	5.0E-03	2.0E-02
	Hexachloroethane								28	5.0E-03	2.0E-02

Table D2 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish Ingest noncarcinogen screening Indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed Ingest noncarcinogen screening Indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water Ingest noncarcinogen screening Indices
Organic	Dieldrin	3	16	9.0E-03	1.7E-02	13	9.1E-01	2.6E-03			
	Endosulfan II	3	16	9.0E-03	1.7E-02	13	9.1E-01	2.6E-03			
	Aldrin	3	16	5.0E-03	1.5E-02	13	9.1E-01	4.3E-03			
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1	12	2.0E-01	1.4E-02	2	1.0E+01	1.1E-03			
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	2	8	2.0E-01	1.4E-02	10	1.0E+01	1.1E-03			
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	3	16	2.0E-01	1.4E-02	16	9.1E-01	9.9E-05			
	Chlordane	3				13	4.6E+00	1.1E-02			
	Hexachlorobutadiene	1	12	2.0E-01	9.3E-03	2	1.0E+01	7.1E-04			
	Hexachlorobutadiene	2	8	2.0E-01	9.3E-03	10	1.0E+01	7.1E-04			
	Hexachlorobutadiene	3	16	2.0E-01	9.3E-03	15	9.1E-01	6.5E-05			
	Endosulfan I	3	16	5.0E-03	9.3E-03	13	9.1E-01	2.6E-03			
	2,4-Dichlorophenol	1	12	2.0E-01	6.2E-03	3	1.0E+01	4.8E-04			
	2,4-Dichlorophenol	2	8	2.0E-01	6.2E-03	10	1.0E+01	4.8E-04			
	2,4-Dichlorophenol	3	16	2.0E-01	6.2E-03	16	9.1E-01	4.3E-05			
	Endrin	1	12	9.0E-03	2.8E-03	1	1.0E+01	4.8E-03			
	Endrin	2	8	9.0E-03	2.8E-03	6	1.0E+01	4.8E-03			
	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	1	12	5.0E-03	1.5E-03	2	1.0E+01	4.8E-03			
	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	2	8	5.0E-03	1.5E-03	6	1.0E+01	4.8E-03			
	4-Chloroaniline	1	12	2.0E-01	4.6E-03	2	1.0E+01	3.6E-04			
	4-Chloroaniline	2	8	2.0E-01	4.6E-03	10	1.0E+01	3.6E-04			
	4-Chloroaniline	3	16	2.0E-01	4.6E-03	14	1.0E+01	3.6E-04			
	2-Chlorophenol	1	12	2.0E-01	3.7E-03	3	1.0E+01	2.9E-04			
	2-Chlorophenol	2	8	2.0E-01	3.7E-03	10	1.0E+01	2.9E-04			
	2-Chlorophenol	3	16	2.0E-01	3.7E-03	16	9.1E-01	2.6E-05			
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1							29	5.0E-03	3.6E-03
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	2							29	5.0E-03	3.6E-03
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	3							28	5.0E-03	3.6E-03
	Pentachlorophenol	1	12	1.0E+00	3.1E-03	2	1.0E-02	3.6E-07			
	Pentachlorophenol	2	8	1.0E+00	3.1E-03	10	5.0E+01	2.4E-04			
	Pentachlorophenol	3	16	1.0E+00	3.1E-03	16	9.1E-01	4.3E-06			
	Heptachlor	1	12	5.0E-03	9.3E-04	1	1.0E+01	2.9E-03			
	Heptachlor	2	8	5.0E-03	9.3E-04	6	1.0E+01	2.9E-03			
	4,4'DDT	1	12	9.0E-03	1.7E-03	1	1.0E+01	2.9E-03			
	4,4'DDT	2	8	9.0E-03	1.7E-03	6	1.0E+01	2.9E-03			
	Endrin	3	16	9.0E-03	2.8E-03	13	9.1E-01	4.3E-04			
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	1	12	2.0E-01	2.7E-03	2	1.0E+01	2.0E-04			
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	2	8	2.0E-01	2.7E-03	10	1.0E+01	2.0E-04			
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	3	16	2.0E-01	2.7E-03	16	9.1E-01	1.9E-05			

Table D2 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Resch no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish noncarcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed noncarcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water noncarcinogen screening indices
Organic	Benzidine	1				2	5.0E+01	2.4E-03			
	Benzidine	2				6	5.0E+01	2.4E-03			
	4,4'DDT	3	16	9.0E-03	1.7E-03	13	9.1E-01	2.6E-04			
	1,1-Dichloroethylene	1							28	5.0E-03	1.6E-03
	1,1-Dichloroethylene	2							28	5.0E-03	1.6E-03
	1,1-Dichloroethylene	3							27	5.0E-03	1.6E-03
	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	3	16	5.0E-03	1.5E-03	2	1.0E-02	1.6E-07			
	Tetrachloroethylene	2				13	9.1E-01	4.3E-04			
	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	1							29	5.0E-03	1.4E-03
	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	3							29	5.0E-03	1.4E-03
	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	1	12	1.0E+00	9.3E-04	2	1.0E+01	1.4E-05			
	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	2	8	1.0E+00	9.3E-04	10	1.0E+01	1.4E-05			
	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	3	16	1.0E+00	9.3E-04	9	1.0E+01	1.4E-05			
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1	12	2.0E-01	9.3E-04	2	1.0E+01	7.1E-05			
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2	8	2.0E-01	9.3E-04	10	1.0E+01	7.1E-05			
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	3	16	2.0E-01	9.3E-04	16	9.1E-01	6.5E-06			
	Di-n-octyl phthalate	1	12	2.0E-01	9.3E-04	2	1.0E+01	7.1E-05			
	Di-n-octyl phthalate	2	8	2.0E-01	9.3E-04	10	1.0E+01	7.1E-05			
	Di-n-octyl phthalate	3	16	2.0E-01	9.3E-04	16	9.1E-01	6.5E-06			
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	1	24	2.0E-01	9.3E-04	6	1.0E+01	7.1E-05			
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	2	16	2.0E-01	9.3E-04	20	1.0E+01	7.1E-05			
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3	32	2.0E-01	9.3E-04	32	9.1E-01	6.5E-06			
	Heptachlor	3	16	5.0E-03	9.3E-04	13	9.1E-01	2.6E-04			
	Bromoform	1							29	5.0E-03	7.1E-04
	Bromoform	2							29	5.0E-03	7.1E-04
	Bromoform	3				2	1.0E-02	7.1E-08			
	Chlorobenzene	1							28	5.0E-03	7.1E-04
	Chlorobenzene	2							28	5.0E-03	7.1E-04
	Chlorobenzene	3				2	1.0E-02	7.1E-08			
	Chlorodibromomethane	1							29	5.0E-03	7.1E-04
	Chlorodibromomethane	2							29	5.0E-03	7.1E-04
	Chlorodibromomethane	3				2	1.0E-02	7.1E-08			
	Dichlorobromomethane	2							28	5.0E-03	7.1E-04
	Dichlorobromomethane	3				2	1.0E-02	7.1E-08			
	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	1							28	5.0E-03	7.1E-04
	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	2							29	1.0E-02	5.7E-04
	Benzidine	3				14	9.1E+00	4.3E-04			

Table D2 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish noncarcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed noncarcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water noncarcinogen screening indices
Organic	4-Methylphenol	1	12	2.0E-01	3.7E-04	3	1.0E+01	2.9E-05			
	4-Methylphenol	2	8	2.0E-01	3.7E-04	10	1.0E+01	2.9E-05			
	4-Methylphenol	3	16	2.0E-01	3.7E-04	14	1.0E+01	2.9E-05			
	Naphthalene	1	12	3.0E-03	7.0E-05	2	1.0E+01	3.6E-04			
	Naphthalene	2	8	3.0E-03	7.0E-05	10	1.0E+01	3.6E-04			
	2-Chloronaphthalene	1	12	2.0E-01	2.3E-04	2	1.0E+01	1.8E-05			
	2-Chloronaphthalene	2	8	2.0E-01	2.3E-04	10	1.0E+01	1.8E-05			
	2-Chloronaphthalene	3	16	2.0E-01	2.3E-04	16	9.1E-01	1.6E-06			
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	12	2.0E-01	2.1E-04	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	2	8	2.0E-01	2.1E-04	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	3	16	2.0E-01	2.1E-04	16	9.1E-01	1.4E-06			
	Di-n-butyl phthalate	1	12	2.0E-01	1.9E-04	2	1.0E+01	1.4E-05			
	Di-n-butyl phthalate	2	8	2.0E-01	1.9E-04						
	Di-n-butyl phthalate	3	16	2.0E-01	1.9E-04						
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1							29	5.0E-03	1.6E-04
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2							29	5.0E-03	1.6E-04
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	3							28	5.0E-03	1.6E-04
	Ethylbenzene	2							29	5.0E-03	1.4E-04
	Ethylbenzene	3							28	5.0E-03	1.4E-04
	1,1-Dichloroethane	1							29	5.0E-03	1.6E-04
	1,1-Dichloroethane	3							28	5.0E-03	1.4E-04
	Butylbenzylphthalate	1	12	2.0E-01	9.3E-05	2	1.0E-02	1.4E-08			
	Butylbenzylphthalate	2	8	2.0E-01	9.3E-05	2	1.0E-02	7.1E-06			
	Butylbenzylphthalate	3	16	2.0E-01	9.3E-05	10	1.0E+01	7.1E-06			
	Butylbenzylphthalate	1	12	2.0E-01	9.3E-05	16	9.1E-01	6.5E-07			
	Isophorone	1	12	2.0E-01	9.3E-05	2	1.0E+01	7.1E-06			
	Isophorone	2	8	2.0E-01	9.3E-05	10	1.0E+01	7.1E-06			
	Isophorone	3	16	2.0E-01	9.3E-05	16	9.1E-01	6.5E-07			
	Methoxychlor	1	12	5.0E-03	9.3E-05						
	Methoxychlor	2	8	5.0E-03	9.3E-05						
	Methoxychlor	3	16	5.0E-03	9.3E-05						
	Styrene	1							29	5.0E-03	7.1E-05
	Styrene	2							29	5.0E-03	7.1E-05
	Styrene	3							28	5.0E-03	7.1E-05
	Naphthalene	3	16	3.0E-03	7.0E-05	16	9.1E-01	3.3E-05			
	2,4-Dinitrophenol	3				2	9.1E-01	6.5E-05			
	Benzyl alcohol	1	12	2.0E-01	6.2E-05	3	1.0E+01	4.8E-06			
	Benzyl alcohol	2	8	2.0E-01	6.2E-05	10	1.0E+01	4.8E-06			
	Benzyl alcohol	3	16	2.0E-01	6.2E-05	14	1.0E+01	4.8E-06			

Table D2 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water ingest noncarcinogen screening indices
Organic	Pyrene	1	12	3.0E-03	9.3E-06	2	1.0E+01	4.8E-05			
	Pyrene	2	8	3.0E-03	9.3E-06	10	1.0E+01	4.8E-05			
	Fluoranthene	1	12	2.0E-02	4.6E-05	2	1.0E+01	3.6E-05			
	Fluoranthene	2	8	2.0E-02	4.6E-05	10	1.0E+01	3.6E-05			
	Fluoranthene	3	16	2.0E-02	4.6E-05	16	9.1E-01	3.3E-06			
	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	1				2	1.0E+01	3.6E-05			
	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	2				10	1.0E+01	3.6E-05			
	Fluorene	1	12	2.0E-03	4.6E-06	2	1.0E+01	3.6E-05			
	Fluorene	2	8	2.0E-03	4.6E-06	10	1.0E+01	3.6E-05			
	Phend	1	12	2.0E-01	3.1E-05	2	1.0E+01	2.4E-06			
	Phend	2	8	2.0E-01	3.1E-05	10	1.0E+01	2.4E-06			
	Phend	3	16	2.0E-01	3.1E-05	16	9.1E-01	2.2E-07	29	1.0E-02	2.9E-05
	Vinyl acetate	1							29	1.0E-02	2.9E-05
	Vinyl acetate	2							29	1.0E-02	2.9E-05
	Vinyl acetate	3							28	1.0E-02	2.9E-05
	Acenaphthene	1	12	1.0E-03	1.5E-06	3	1.0E+01	2.4E-05			
	Acenaphthene	2	8	1.0E-03	1.5E-06	10	1.0E+01	2.4E-05			
	Acenaphthene	1	12	1.0E+00	2.3E-05	3	5.0E+01	1.8E-06			
	Benzoic acid	2	8	1.0E+00	2.3E-05	10	5.0E+01	1.8E-06			
	Benzoic acid	3	16	1.0E+00	2.3E-05	14	5.0E+01	1.8E-06			
	Diethyl phthalate	1	12	2.0E-01	2.3E-05	2	1.0E+01	1.8E-06			
	Diethyl phthalate	2	8	2.0E-01	2.3E-05	10	1.0E+01	1.8E-06			
	Diethyl phthalate	3	16	2.0E-01	2.3E-05	16	4.6E+00	8.2E-07			
	Dimethyl phthalate	1	12	2.0E-01	1.9E-05	2	1.0E+01	1.4E-06			
	Dimethyl phthalate	2	8	2.0E-01	1.9E-05	10	1.0E+01	1.4E-06			
	Dimethyl phthalate	3	16	2.0E-01	1.9E-05	16	9.1E-01	1.3E-07			
	Pyrene	3	16	3.0E-03	9.3E-06	16	9.1E-01	4.3E-06			
	Anthracene	1	12	2.0E-04	6.2E-08	3	1.0E+01	4.8E-06			
	Anthracene	2	8	2.0E-04	6.2E-08	10	1.0E+01	4.8E-06			
	Fluorene	3	16	2.0E-03	4.6E-06	16	9.1E-01	3.3E-06			
	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	3	16	1.0E-03	1.5E-06	16	9.1E-01	2.2E-06			
	Acenaphthene	3	16	2.0E-04	6.2E-08	16	9.1E-01	4.3E-07			
	Anthracene	3				7	1.0E-02	1.4E-07			
	Tetrachloroethylene	3				7	6.4E-03	4.6E-08			
	Trans-1,2-dichloroethene	3				2	1.0E-02	7.1E-09			
	Dichlorodifluoromethane	3				2	1.0E-02	4.8E-09			
	Trichlorofluoromethane	3				2	1.0E-02	4.8E-09			

*Contaminants are ranked in descending order by sum of screening indices

APPENDIX E

CONSERVATIVE SCREENING OF THE DETECTABLE CONTAMINANTS DATA BASE FOR CARCINOGENS AND NONCARCINOGENS

Table E1. Results of conservative screening of carcinogens where no concentrations were above detection limits
(Concentrations are the upper 95% confidence bound of the arithmetic mean of the detection limits)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sediment ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water ingest carcinogen screening indices
Inorganic	Arsenic	1	104	5.0E-01	4.1E-04				33	5.2E-02	2.6E-03
	Arsenic	2	45	5.0E-01	4.1E-04						
	Arsenic	3	109	5.0E-01	4.1E-04						
	Beryllium	2	45	1.0E-01	2.0E-04				3	3.8E-04	4.6E-05
	Beryllium	1	104	1.0E-01	2.0E-04				3	3.8E-04	4.6E-05
	Beryllium	3	109	1.0E-01	2.0E-04				3	3.8E-04	4.6E-05
Organic	Benzidine	3				14	5.3E+01	1.7E-02			
	Benzidine	1				2	5.0E+01	1.6E-02			
	Benzidine	2				6	5.0E+01	1.6E-02			
	Acenaphthylene	3	16	2.0E+00	1.1E-02	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04			
	Fluorene	3	16	2.0E+00	1.1E-02	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04			
	Acenaphthylene	1	12	2.0E+00	1.1E-02	3	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Fluorene	1	12	2.0E+00	1.1E-02	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Acenaphthylene	2	8	1.9E+00	1.0E-02	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Fluorene	2	8	1.9E+00	1.0E-02	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	3	16	2.0E+00	6.5E-03	16	1.9E+01	1.9E-04			
	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	1	12	2.0E+00	6.5E-03	2	7.9E+01	7.9E-04			
	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2	8	1.9E+00	6.3E-03	10	2.0E+01	2.0E-04			
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	3	16	9.9E-01	5.4E-03	16	1.9E+01	3.2E-04			
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1	12	9.8E-01	5.3E-03	2	7.9E+01	1.3E-03			
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2	8	9.5E-01	5.1E-03	10	2.0E+01	3.2E-04			
	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	3				14	5.3E+01	3.9E-03			
	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	1				1	5.0E+01	3.6E-03			
	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	2				6	5.0E+01	3.6E-03			
	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	3	16	9.9E+00	2.1E-03	16	4.8E+01	3.1E-05			
	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	1	12	9.8E+00	2.1E-03	2	2.3E+02	1.5E-04			
	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2	8	9.5E+00	2.0E-03	10	4.9E+01	3.2E-05			
	Benzo(a)anthracene	1	12	9.8E-04	5.3E-06	3	9.4E+01	1.5E-03			
	Hexachlorobenzene	3	16	2.0E+00	1.5E-03	16	1.1E+01	2.4E-05			
	Hexachlorobenzene	1	12	2.0E+00	1.5E-03	2	1.0E+01	2.3E-05			
	Hexachlorobenzene	2	8	1.9E+00	1.4E-03	10	1.0E+01	2.3E-05			
	Benzo(ghi)perylene	1	12	4.9E-03	2.7E-05	2	7.9E+01	1.3E-03			
	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1	12	2.0E-03	1.1E-05	2	7.9E+01	1.3E-03			
	Fluoranthene	3	16	2.0E-01	1.1E-03	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04			
	Fluoranthene	1	12	2.0E-01	1.1E-03	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Fluoranthene	2	8	1.9E-01	1.0E-03	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			

Table E1 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Resch no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish Ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed Ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water Ingest carcinogen screening indices
Organic	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	3	16	2.0E+00	1.0E-03	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-05			
	Toxaphene	3	16	2.0E+00	1.0E-03	2	1.2E+01	1.9E-05			
	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	1	12	2.0E+00	1.0E-03	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Toxaphene	1	12	2.0E+00	1.0E-03						
	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	2	8	1.9E+00	9.9E-04	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-05			
	Toxaphene	2	8	1.9E+00	9.8E-04						
	Benzo(a)anthracene	2	8	9.6E-04	5.2E-06	10	4.9E+01	8.0E-04			
	Benzo(a)anthracene	3	16	9.9E-04	5.4E-06	16	4.8E+01	7.8E-04			
	Vinyl chloride	3				2	1.0E-02	2.7E-08	28	1.4E-02	7.8E-04
	Vinyl chloride	1							29	1.4E-02	7.7E-04
	Vinyl chloride	2							29	1.4E-02	7.7E-04
	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	3	16	2.0E+00	6.3E-04	16	1.1E+01	1.0E-05			
	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	3	16	2.0E+00	6.3E-04	16	1.1E+01	1.0E-05			
	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1	12	2.0E+00	6.3E-04	2	1.0E+01	9.7E-06			
	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1	12	2.0E+00	6.3E-04	2	1.0E+01	9.7E-06			
	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	2	8	1.9E+00	6.1E-04	10	1.0E+01	9.7E-06			
	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	2	8	1.9E+00	6.1E-04	10	1.0E+01	9.7E-06			
	Pentachlorophenol	3	16	9.9E+00	5.6E-04	16	5.3E+01	9.1E-06			
	Pentachlorophenol	1	12	9.8E+00	5.6E-04	2	5.0E+01	8.6E-06			
	Pentachlorophenol	2	8	9.5E+00	5.4E-04	10	5.0E+01	8.6E-06			
	Anthracene	3	16	9.9E-02	5.4E-04	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04			
	Anthracene	1	12	9.8E-02	5.3E-04	3	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Anthracene	2	8	9.5E-02	5.1E-04	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Bis(chloromethyl)ether	3				2	1.2E+00	3.7E-04			
	Benzo(ghi)perylene	2	8	4.8E-03	2.6E-05	10	2.0E+01	3.2E-04			
	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	2	8	2.0E-03	1.1E-05	10	2.0E+01	3.2E-04			
	Benzo(ghi)perylene	3	16	5.0E-03	2.7E-05	16	1.9E+01	3.2E-04			
	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	3	16	2.0E-03	1.1E-05	16	1.9E+01	3.2E-04			
	Aldrin	3	16	4.0E-02	3.2E-04	13	1.1E+01	2.6E-04			
	Aldrin	1	12	3.9E-02	3.1E-04	2	1.0E+01	2.4E-04			
	Aldrin	2	8	3.8E-02	3.1E-04	6	1.0E+01	2.4E-04			
	Dieldrin	3	16	4.0E-02	3.0E-04	13	1.1E+01	2.4E-04			
	Dieldrin	1	12	3.9E-02	3.0E-04	1	1.0E+01	2.3E-04			
	Dieldrin	2	8	3.8E-02	2.9E-04	6	1.0E+01	2.3E-04			

Table E1 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water ingest carcinogen screening indices
Organic	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	3							28	1.1E-03	2.3E-04
	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	3				15	3.2E+00	3.6E-05	28	1.1E-03	2.3E-04
	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	2							28	1.0E-03	2.3E-04
	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	1							28	1.0E-03	2.3E-04
	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	2							28	1.0E-03	2.3E-04
	Pyrene	2				10	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Acenaphthene	3	8	3.8E-02	2.1E-04	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04			
	Benzo(a)pyrene	3	16	3.0E-02	1.6E-04	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04			
	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	3	16	4.0E-03	2.2E-05	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04			
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	3	16	3.0E-02	1.6E-04	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04			
	Chrysene	3	16	2.0E-02	1.1E-04	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04			
	Pyrene	3	16	3.0E-03	1.6E-05	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04			
	Phenanthrene	3	16	2.1E-02	1.1E-04	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04			
	Heptachlor epoxide	3	16	9.9E-03	5.4E-05	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04			
	Heptachlor epoxide	1	12	4.0E-02	1.7E-04	13	1.1E+01	1.4E-04			
	Acenaphthene	1	12	3.9E-02	1.7E-04	1	1.0E+01	1.3E-04			
	Acenaphthene	2	8	2.9E-02	1.6E-04	3	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Benzo(a)pyrene	1	12	2.8E-02	1.5E-04	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Benzo(a)pyrene	2	8	3.9E-03	2.1E-05	3	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	12	3.8E-03	2.1E-05	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	2	8	2.9E-02	1.6E-04	3	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	2	8	2.8E-02	1.5E-04	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1	12	2.0E-02	1.1E-04	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Chrysene	2	8	1.9E-02	1.0E-04	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Chrysene	1	12	3.0E-03	1.6E-05	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Pyrene	2	8	2.9E-03	1.6E-05	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Phenanthrene	1	12	2.7E-02	1.5E-04	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Phenanthrene	1	12	9.8E-03	5.3E-05	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Heptachlor epoxide	2	8	9.5E-03	5.2E-05	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	1,1-Dichloroethylene	2	8	3.8E-02	1.6E-04	6	1.0E+01	1.3E-04	27	7.3E-03	1.2E-04
	1,1-Dichloroethylene	3				2	1.0E-02	8.6E-09	28	7.2E-03	1.2E-04
	1,1-Dichloroethylene	1							28	7.2E-03	1.2E-04
	Alpha BHC	2									
	Alpha BHC	3	16	2.0E-02	5.9E-05	13	1.1E+01	9.6E-05			
	Alpha BHC	1	12	2.0E-02	5.8E-05	2	1.0E+01	9.0E-05			
	Alpha BHC	2	8	1.9E-02	5.7E-05	6	1.0E+01	9.0E-05			
	Heptachlor	3	16	4.0E-02	8.4E-05	13	1.1E+01	6.9E-05			
	Heptachlor	1	12	3.9E-02	8.3E-05	1	1.0E+01	6.4E-05			
	Heptachlor	2	8	3.8E-02	8.1E-05	6	1.0E+01	6.4E-05			

Table E1 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Resch no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish Ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed Ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water Ingest carcinogen screening indices
Organic	Hexachlorobutadiene	3	16	2.0E+00	7.3E-05	15	1.1E+01	1.2E-06			
	Hexachlorobutadiene	1	12	2.0E+00	7.2E-05	2	1.0E+01	1.1E-06			
	Hexachlorobutadiene	2	8	1.9E+00	7.0E-05	10	1.0E+01	1.1E-06			
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	3				7	3.1E-02	8.7E-09	28	7.2E-03	4.1E-05
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1							29	7.1E-03	4.1E-05
	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	2							29	7.1E-03	4.1E-05
	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	3							28	7.2E-03	3.7E-05
	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	3							28	7.2E-03	3.7E-05
	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	1							29	7.1E-03	3.7E-05
	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	2							29	7.1E-03	3.7E-05
	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	1							29	7.1E-03	3.7E-05
	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	2							29	7.1E-03	3.7E-05
	Beta BHC	3	16	4.0E-02	3.4E-05	13	1.1E+01	2.7E-05			
	Beta BHC	1	12	3.9E-02	3.3E-05	2	1.0E+01	2.6E-05			
	4-Chloroaniline	3	16	2.0E+00	3.3E-05	14	1.0E+01	5.0E-07			
	4-Chloroaniline	1	12	2.0E+00	3.2E-05	2	1.0E+01	5.0E-07			
	Beta BHC	2	8	3.8E-02	3.2E-05	6	1.0E+01	2.6E-05			
	4-Chloroaniline	2	8	1.9E+00	3.1E-05	10	1.0E+01	5.0E-07			
	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	3	16	2.0E-02	1.7E-05	13	1.1E+01	2.7E-05			
	Carbon tetrachloride	3				2	1.0E-02	1.9E-09	28	7.2E-03	2.7E-05
	Dichlorobromomethane	3				2	1.0E-02	1.9E-09	28	7.2E-03	2.7E-05
	Carbon tetrachloride	1							29	7.1E-03	2.6E-05
	Carbon tetrachloride	2							29	7.1E-03	2.6E-05
	Dichlorobromomethane	2							29	7.1E-03	2.6E-05
	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	1	12	2.0E-02	1.7E-05	2	1.0E+01	2.6E-05			
	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	2	8	1.9E-02	1.6E-05	6	1.0E+01	2.6E-05			
	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	3				14	2.1E+01	2.4E-05			
	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	1				1	2.0E+01	2.3E-05			
	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	2				6	2.0E+01	2.3E-05			
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3	16	2.0E+00	2.2E-05	16	1.1E+01	3.6E-07			
	4,4'DDD	3	16	2.0E-01	2.2E-05	13	1.1E+01	3.7E-06			
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	12	2.0E+00	2.2E-05	2	1.0E+01	3.4E-07			
	4,4'DDD	1	12	2.0E-01	2.2E-05	1	1.0E+01	3.4E-06			
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2	8	1.9E+00	2.2E-05	10	1.0E+01	3.4E-07			
	4,4'DDD	2	8	1.9E-01	2.1E-05	6	1.0E+01	3.4E-06			

Table E1 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed ingest carcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water ingest carcinogen screening indices
Organic	Chlordane	3				13	1.0E+01	1.9E-05	28	7.2E-03	1.9E-05
	1,2-Dichloroethane	3				2	1.0E-02	1.3E-09			
	Chlordane	1				2	1.0E+01	1.9E-05			
	Chlordane	2				6	1.0E+01	1.9E-05			
	1,2-Dichloroethane	1							29	7.1E-03	1.8E-05
	1,2-Dichloroethane	2							29	7.1E-03	1.8E-05
	Chlorodibromomethane	3				2	1.0E-02	1.2E-09	28	7.2E-03	1.7E-05
	Chlorodibromomethane	1							29	7.1E-03	1.7E-05
	Chlorodibromomethane	2							29	7.1E-03	1.7E-05
	1,2-Dichloropropane	3				2	1.0E-02	9.7E-10	28	7.2E-03	1.4E-05
	1,2-Dichloropropane	1							29	7.1E-03	1.4E-05
	1,2-Dichloropropane	2							29	7.1E-03	1.4E-05
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	3	16	2.0E+00	1.3E-05	16	1.1E+01	2.1E-07			
	Hexachloroethane	3	16	2.0E+00	1.3E-05	16	1.1E+01	2.1E-07			
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	1	12	2.0E+00	1.3E-05	2	1.0E+01	2.0E-07			
	Hexachloroethane	1	12	2.0E+00	1.3E-05	2	1.0E+01	2.0E-07			
	4,4'DDT	3	16	7.9E-02	1.3E-05	13	1.1E+01	5.2E-06			
	4,4'DDT	1	12	7.9E-02	1.3E-05	1	1.0E+01	4.9E-06			
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	2	8	1.9E+00	1.3E-05	10	1.0E+01	2.0E-07			
	Hexachloroethane	2	8	1.9E+00	1.3E-05	10	1.0E+01	2.0E-07			
	4,4'DDT	2	8	7.6E-02	1.2E-05	6	1.0E+01	4.9E-06			
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	3				2	1.0E-02	8.1E-10	28	7.2E-03	1.2E-05
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1							29	7.1E-03	1.2E-05
	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	2							29	7.1E-03	1.2E-05
	Tetrachloroethylene	2							29	7.1E-03	1.0E-05
	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	3	16	2.0E+00	1.0E-05	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-07			
	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	1	12	2.0E+00	1.0E-05	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-07			
	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	2	8	1.9E+00	9.9E-06	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-07			
	4,4'DDE	3	16	4.0E-02	6.4E-06	13	1.1E+01	5.2E-06			
	4,4'DDE	1	12	3.9E-02	6.3E-06	1	1.0E+01	4.9E-06			
	Styrene	3							28	7.2E-03	6.2E-06
	4,4'DDE	2	8	3.8E-02	6.1E-06	6	1.0E+01	4.9E-06			
	Styrene	1							29	7.1E-03	6.1E-06
	Styrene	2							29	7.1E-03	6.1E-06
	Benzene	3							27	7.3E-03	6.0E-06
	Benzene	2							28	7.2E-03	5.9E-06

Table E1 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	Fish		Fish Ingest carcinogen screening indices	Sediment		Sed Ingest carcinogen screening indices	Water Ingest		
			No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt		No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt		No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	
Organic	Methyl chloride	3				2	1.0E-02	1.9E-10	28	1.4E-02	5.3E-06
	Methyl chloride	1							29	1.4E-02	5.3E-06
	Methyl chloride	2							29	1.4E-02	5.3E-06
	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	3	16	2.0E+00	4.6E-06	16	1.9E+01	1.4E-07			
	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	1	12	2.0E+00	4.5E-06	2	7.9E+01	5.5E-07			
	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	2	8	1.9E+00	4.4E-06	10	2.0E+01	1.4E-07			
	Isophorone	3	16	2.0E+00	3.8E-06	16	1.1E+01	6.2E-08			
	Isophorone	1	12	2.0E+00	3.8E-06	2	1.0E+01	5.9E-08			
	Isophorone	2	8	1.9E+00	3.7E-06	10	1.0E+01	5.9E-08			
	Bromoform	3				2	1.0E-02	1.1E-10	28	7.2E-03	1.6E-06
	Bromoform	1							29	7.1E-03	1.6E-06
	Bromoform	2							29	7.1E-03	1.6E-06
	Acrylonitrile	3				2	1.2E+00	9.1E-07			
	Aniline	1				2	1.0E+01	8.1E-08			
	Aniline	2				6	1.0E+01	8.1E-08			
	Aniline	3				11	1.0E+01	8.1E-08			
	Tetrachloroethylene	3				7	1.7E-02	1.3E-09			
Trichloroethylene	3				2	1.0E-02	1.6E-10				
						(Bq/kg wet)				(Bq/L)	
Radionuclide	H-3	3				4	8.1E+02	1.3E-09			

*Contaminants are ranked in descending order by sum of screening indices

Table E2. Results of conservative screening of noncarcinogens where no concentrations were above detection limits
(Concentrations are the upper 95% confidence bound of the arithmetic mean of the detection limits)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water ingest noncarcinogen screening indices
Inorganic	Antimony	1	104	5.0E-01	1.2E+00				3	5.0E-02	3.6E+00
	Antimony	2	45	5.0E-01	1.2E+00				3	5.0E-02	3.6E+00
	Antimony	3	109	5.0E-01	1.2E+00				3	5.0E-02	3.6E+00
	Arsenic	1	104	5.0E-01	4.6E-01				33	5.2E-02	1.5E+00
	Selenium	1							4	7.5E-02	7.1E-01
	Selenium	2							3	5.0E-02	4.8E-01
	Arsenic	2	45	5.0E-01	4.6E-01						
	Arsenic	3	109	5.0E-01	4.6E-01						
	Molybdenum	1							3	4.0E-02	2.9E-01
	Molybdenum	2							3	4.0E-02	2.9E-01
	Molybdenum	3							3	4.0E-02	2.9E-01
	Cadmium	1							33	2.6E-03	1.5E-01
	Cadmium	3				2	5.0E+00	1.0E-01	33	2.6E-03	1.5E-01
	Thallium	3									
	Chromium	1	104	5.0E-01	9.3E-02						
	Chromium	2	45	5.0E-01	9.3E-02						
	Chromium	3	109	5.0E-01	9.3E-02				33	5.1E-03	4.9E-02
	Silver	2	45	2.0E-01	6.2E-02				33	5.0E-03	4.8E-02
	Silver	1	104	2.0E-01	6.2E-02				33	5.0E-03	4.8E-02
	Silver	3	109	2.0E-01	6.2E-02				3	8.0E-02	2.5E-02
	Boron	1							4	8.0E-02	2.5E-02
	Boron	2							3	8.0E-02	2.5E-02
	Boron	3									
	Nickel	1	104	5.0E-01	2.3E-02						
	Nickel	2	45	5.0E-01	2.3E-02						
	Nickel	3	109	5.0E-01	2.3E-02						
	Beryllium	2	45	1.0E-01	1.9E-02				3	3.8E-04	2.2E-03
	Beryllium	1	104	1.0E-01	1.9E-02				3	3.8E-04	2.2E-03
	Beryllium	3	109	1.0E-01	1.9E-02				3	3.8E-04	2.2E-03
	Vanadium	1							3	2.0E-03	8.2E-03
	Vanadium	3							3	2.0E-03	8.2E-03
	Tin	1							3	5.0E-02	2.4E-03
	Tin	2							3	5.0E-02	2.4E-03
	Tin	3							3	5.0E-02	2.4E-03
	Cyanide	3				2	2.6E+00	1.8E-04			

Table E2 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water ingest noncarcinogen screening indices
Organic	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	3	16	9.9E+00	9.2E+01	16	3.1E+01	4.5E-01			
	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	1	12	9.8E+00	9.1E+01	3	7.3E+01	1.0E+00			
	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	2	8	9.5E+00	8.8E+01	10	4.3E+01	6.2E-01			
	Nitrobenzene	3	16	2.0E+00	3.7E+00	16	1.1E+01	3.0E-02			
	Nitrobenzene	1	12	2.0E+00	3.6E+00	2	1.0E+01	2.9E-02			
	Nitrobenzene	2	8	1.9E+00	3.5E+00	10	1.0E+01	2.9E-02			
	Heptachlor epoxide	3	16	4.0E-02	2.8E+00	13	1.1E+01	1.2E+00			
	Heptachlor epoxide	1	12	3.9E-02	2.8E+00	1	1.0E+01	1.1E+00			
	Heptachlor epoxide	2	8	3.8E-02	2.7E+00	6	1.0E+01	1.1E+00			
	Hexachlorobenzene	3	16	2.0E+00	2.3E+00	16	1.1E+01	1.9E-02			
	Hexachlorobenzene	1	12	2.0E+00	2.3E+00	2	1.0E+01	1.8E-02			
	Hexachlorobenzene	2	8	1.9E+00	2.2E+00	10	1.0E+01	1.8E-02			
	Hexachloroethane	3	16	2.0E+00	1.8E+00	16	1.1E+01	1.5E-02			
	Hexachloroethane	1	12	2.0E+00	1.8E+00	2	1.0E+01	1.4E-02			
	Hexachloroethane	2	8	1.9E+00	1.8E+00	10	1.0E+01	1.4E-02			
	Endosulfan II	3	16	7.9E-02	1.5E+00	13	1.1E+01	3.0E-01			
	Endosulfan II	1	12	7.9E-02	1.5E+00	1	1.0E+01	2.9E-01			
	Endosulfan II	2	8	7.6E-02	1.4E+00	6	1.0E+01	2.9E-01			
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	3	16	2.0E+00	1.4E+00	16	1.1E+01	1.1E-02			
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	1	12	2.0E+00	1.4E+00	2	1.0E+01	1.1E-02			
	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	2	8	1.9E+00	1.3E+00	10	1.0E+01	1.1E-02			
	Aldrin	3	16	4.0E-02	1.2E+00	13	1.1E+01	5.1E-01			
	Aldrin	1	12	3.9E-02	1.2E+00	2	1.0E+01	4.8E-01			
	Aldrin	2	8	3.8E-02	1.2E+00	6	1.0E+01	4.8E-01			
	Hexachlorobutadiene	3	16	2.0E+00	9.2E-01	15	1.1E+01	7.5E-03			
	Hexachlorobutadiene	1	12	2.0E+00	9.1E-01	2	1.0E+01	7.1E-03			
	Hexachlorobutadiene	2	8	1.9E+00	8.8E-01	10	1.0E+01	7.1E-03			
	Dieldrin	3	16	4.0E-02	7.4E-01	13	1.1E+01	3.0E-01			
	Endosulfan I	3	16	4.0E-02	7.4E-01	13	1.1E+01	3.0E-01			
	Dieldrin	1	12	3.9E-02	7.3E-01	1	1.0E+01	2.9E-01			
	Endosulfan I	1	12	3.9E-02	7.3E-01	1	1.0E+01	2.9E-01			
	Dieldrin	2	8	3.8E-02	7.1E-01	6	1.0E+01	2.9E-01			
	Endosulfan I	2	8	3.8E-02	7.1E-01	6	1.0E+01	2.9E-01			
	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	3							28	7.2E-03	6.8E-01
	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	3							28	7.2E-03	6.8E-01
	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	1							29	7.1E-03	6.8E-01
	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	2							29	7.1E-03	6.8E-01

Table E2 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Resch no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish Ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed Ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water Ingest noncarcinogen screening indices
Organic	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	1							29	7.1E-03	6.8E-01
	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	2							29	7.1E-03	6.8E-01
	2,4-Dichlorophenol	3	16	2.0E+00	6.1E-01	16	1.1E+01	5.0E-03			
	Endrin	3	16	2.0E-01	6.1E-01	13	1.1E+01	5.1E-02			
	2,4-Dichlorophenol	1	12	2.0E+00	6.1E-01	3	1.0E+01	4.8E-03			
	Endrin	1	12	2.0E-01	6.1E-01	1	1.0E+01	4.8E-02			
	2,4-Dichlorophenol	2	8	1.9E+00	5.9E-01	10	1.0E+01	4.8E-03			
	Endrin	2	8	1.9E-01	5.9E-01	6	1.0E+01	4.8E-02			
	4-Chloroaniline	3	16	2.0E+00	4.6E-01	14	1.0E+01	3.6E-03			
	4-Chloroaniline	1	12	2.0E+00	4.6E-01	2	1.0E+01	3.6E-03			
	4-Chloroaniline	2	8	1.9E+00	4.4E-01	10	1.0E+01	3.6E-03			
	2-Chlorophenol	3	16	2.0E+00	3.7E-01	16	1.1E+01	3.0E-03			
	2-Chlorophenol	1	12	2.0E+00	3.6E-01	3	1.0E+01	2.9E-03			
	2-Chlorophenol	2	8	1.9E+00	3.5E-01	10	1.0E+01	2.9E-03			
	Pentachlorophenol	3	16	9.9E+00	3.1E-01	16	5.3E+01	2.5E-03			
	Pentachlorophenol	1	12	9.8E+00	3.0E-01	2	5.0E+01	2.4E-03			
	Pentachlorophenol	2	8	9.5E+00	2.9E-01	10	5.0E+01	2.4E-03			
	Methyl bromide	3							28	1.4E-02	2.9E-01
	Carbon tetrachloride	3							28	7.2E-03	2.9E-01
	Methyl bromide	1							29	1.4E-02	2.9E-01
	Methyl bromide	2							29	1.4E-02	2.9E-01
	Carbon tetrachloride	1							29	7.1E-03	2.9E-01
	Carbon tetrachloride	2							29	7.1E-03	2.9E-01
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	3	32	6.2E+00	2.9E-01	32	3.4E+01	2.5E-03			
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	1	24	6.0E+00	2.8E-01	6	5.3E+01	3.8E-03			
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	3	16	2.0E+00	2.6E-01	16	1.1E+01	2.1E-03			
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	1	12	2.0E+00	2.6E-01	2	1.0E+01	2.0E-03			
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	2	16	5.5E+00	2.5E-01	20	4.0E+01	2.8E-03			
	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	2	8	1.9E+00	2.5E-01	10	1.0E+01	2.0E-03			
	Chlordane	3				13	1.0E+01	2.5E-01			
	Chlordane	1				2	1.0E+01	2.4E-01			
	Chlordane	2				6	1.0E+01	2.4E-01			
	4,4'DDT	3	16	7.9E-02	1.5E-01	13	1.1E+01	3.0E-02			
	4,4'DDT	1	12	7.9E-02	1.5E-01	1	1.0E+01	2.9E-02			
	4,4'DDT	2	8	7.6E-02	1.4E-01	6	1.0E+01	2.9E-02			
	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	3	16	2.0E+00	9.2E-02	16	1.1E+01	7.5E-04			
	Di-n-octyl phthalate	3	16	2.0E+00	9.2E-02	16	1.1E+01	7.5E-04			

E-12

[illegible]

Table E2 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish Ingest noncarcinogen screening Indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sed Ingest noncarcinogen screening Indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water Ingest noncarcinogen screening Indices
Organic	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	3	16	2.0E+00	2.0E-02	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04	28	7.2E-03	2.1E-02
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	3	16	2.0E+00	2.0E-02	16	1.1E+01	1.7E-04	29	7.1E-03	2.0E-02
	Tetrachloroethylene	2							29	7.1E-03	2.0E-02
	1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	1	12	2.0E+00	2.0E-02	2	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	2	8	1.9E+00	2.0E-02	10	1.0E+01	1.6E-04			
	Di-n-butyl phthalate	3	16	2.0E+00	1.8E-02						
	Di-n-butyl phthalate	1	12	2.0E+00	1.8E-02	2	1.0E+01	1.4E-04			
	Di-n-butyl phthalate	2	8	1.9E+00	1.8E-02						
	Chlorobenzene	3				2	1.0E-02	7.1E-07	27	7.3E-03	1.0E-02
	Bromoform	3				2	1.0E-02	7.1E-07	28	7.2E-03	1.0E-02
	Chlorobenzene	1							28	7.2E-03	1.0E-02
	Chlorobenzene	2							28	7.2E-03	1.0E-02
	Chlorodibromomethane	3				2	1.0E-02	7.1E-07	28	7.2E-03	1.0E-02
	Dichlorobromomethane	3				2	1.0E-02	7.1E-07	28	7.2E-03	1.0E-02
	Bromoform	1							29	7.1E-03	1.0E-02
	Bromoform	2							29	7.1E-03	1.0E-02
	Chlorodibromomethane	1							29	7.1E-03	1.0E-02
	Chlorodibromomethane	2							29	7.1E-03	1.0E-02
	Dichlorobromomethane	2							29	7.1E-03	1.0E-02
	Butylbenzylphthalate	3	16	2.0E+00	9.2E-03	16	1.1E+01	7.5E-05	29	7.1E-03	1.0E-02
	Isophorone	3	16	2.0E+00	9.2E-03	16	1.1E+01	7.5E-05	29	7.1E-03	1.0E-02
	Butylbenzylphthalate	1	12	2.0E+00	9.1E-03	2	1.0E+01	7.1E-05	29	7.1E-03	1.0E-02
	Isophorone	1	12	2.0E+00	9.1E-03	2	1.0E+01	7.1E-05	29	7.1E-03	1.0E-02
	Butylbenzylphthalate	2	8	1.9E+00	8.8E-03	10	1.0E+01	7.1E-05	29	7.1E-03	1.0E-02
	Isophorone	2	8	1.9E+00	8.8E-03	10	1.0E+01	7.1E-05	29	7.1E-03	1.0E-02
	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	1							29	1.4E-02	8.1E-03
	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	2							29	1.4E-02	8.1E-03
	Benzyl alcohol	3	16	2.0E+00	6.1E-03	14	1.0E+01	4.8E-05			
	Benzyl alcohol	1	12	2.0E+00	6.1E-03	3	1.0E+01	4.8E-05			
	Benzyl alcohol	2	8	1.9E+00	5.9E-03	10	1.0E+01	4.8E-05			
	Fluoranthene	3	16	2.0E-01	4.6E-03	16	1.1E+01	3.8E-04			
	Fluoranthene	1	12	2.0E-01	4.6E-03	2	1.0E+01	3.6E-04			
	Fluoranthene	2	8	1.9E-01	4.4E-03	10	1.0E+01	3.6E-04			
	Phenol	3	16	2.0E+00	3.1E-03	16	1.1E+01	2.5E-05			
	Phenol	1	12	2.0E+00	3.0E-03	2	1.0E+01	2.4E-05			
	Phenol	2	8	1.9E+00	2.9E-03	10	1.0E+01	2.4E-05			
	Benzoic acid	3	16	9.9E+00	2.3E-03	14	5.0E+01	1.8E-05			

Table E2 (continued)

Contaminant type	Contaminant name*	Reach no.	No. fish samples	Fish conc (mg/kg) wet wt	Fish ingest noncarcinogen screening indices	No. sediment samples	Sediment conc (mg/kg) dry wt	Sediment noncarcinogen screening indices	No. water samples	Water conc (mg/L)	Water ingest noncarcinogen screening indices
Organic	Diethyl phthalate	3	16	2.0E+00	2.3E-03	16	1.0E+01	1.8E-05	28	7.2E-03	2.3E-03
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	3				2	1.0E-02	1.6E-07			
	Benzoic acid	1	12	9.8E+00	2.3E-03	3	5.0E+01	1.8E-05			
	Diethyl phthalate	1	12	2.0E+00	2.3E-03	2	1.0E+01	1.8E-05			
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1							29	7.1E-03	2.3E-03
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2							29	7.1E-03	2.3E-03
	Benzoic acid	2	8	9.5E+00	2.2E-03	10	5.0E+01	1.8E-05			
	Diethyl phthalate	2	8	1.9E+00	2.2E-03	10	1.0E+01	1.8E-05			
	Ethylbenzene	3				2	1.0E-02	1.4E-07	28	7.2E-03	2.1E-03
	1,1-Dichloroethane	3				2	1.0E-02	1.4E-07	28	7.2E-03	2.1E-03
	Ethylbenzene	2							29	7.1E-03	2.0E-03
	1,1-Dichloroethane	1	16	2.0E+00	1.8E-03	16	1.1E+01	1.5E-05	29	7.1E-03	2.0E-03
	Dimethyl phthalate	3	12	2.0E+00	1.8E-03	2	1.0E+01	1.4E-05			
	Dimethyl phthalate	1	8	1.9E+00	1.8E-03	10	1.0E+01	1.4E-05			
	Dimethyl phthalate	2	8	3.8E-02	1.2E-03	10	1.0E+01	4.8E-04			
	Pyrene	2							28	7.2E-03	1.0E-03
	Styrene	3							29	7.1E-03	1.0E-03
	Styrene	1							29	7.1E-03	1.0E-03
	Styrene	2									
	2,4-Dinitrophenol	3				2	1.2E+00	8.5E-04			
	Pyrene	1	12	2.7E-02	8.3E-04	2	1.0E+01	4.8E-04			
	Pyrene	3	16	2.1E-02	6.5E-04	16	1.1E+01	5.0E-04			
	Acenaphthene	3	16	3.0E-02	4.6E-04	16	1.1E+01	2.5E-04			
	Acenaphthene	1	12	2.9E-02	4.6E-04	3	1.0E+01	2.4E-04			
	Acenaphthene	2	8	2.8E-02	4.4E-04	10	1.0E+01	2.4E-04			
	Vinyl acetate	3							28	1.4E-02	4.1E-04
	Vinyl acetate	1							29	1.4E-02	4.1E-04
	Vinyl acetate	2							29	1.4E-02	4.1E-04
	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	3				16	1.1E+01	3.8E-04			
	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	1				2	1.0E+01	3.6E-04			
	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	2				10	1.0E+01	3.6E-04			
	Anthracene	3	16	9.9E-02	3.1E-04	16	1.1E+01	5.0E-05			
	Anthracene	1	12	9.8E-02	3.0E-04	3	1.0E+01	4.8E-05			
	Anthracene	2	8	9.5E-02	2.9E-04	10	1.0E+01	4.8E-05			
	Tetrachloroethylene	3				7	1.7E-02	2.5E-06			
	Trans-1,2-dichloroethene	3				7	9.1E-03	6.5E-07			
	Dichlorodifluoromethane	3				2	1.0E-02	7.1E-08			
	Trichlorofluoromethane	3				2	1.0E-02	4.8E-08			

*Contaminants are ranked in descending order by sum of screening indices

APPENDIX F

NONCONSERVATIVE AND CONSERVATIVE SCREENING OF DETECTABLE CARCINOGENS AND NONCARCINOGENS IN GROUNDWATER

Table F1. Results of nonconservative screening of groundwater for carcinogens where at least one value was above detection limits

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric mean (mg/L)	Cancer slope factor 1/(mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Carcinogen screening indices
1	Groundwater	Inorganic	Beryllium	7440-41-7	2/19	9.1E-04	4.3E+00	2.6E-06	1E-05
1	Groundwater	Radionuclide	H-3	10028-17-8	7/9	(Bq/L) 1.8E+02	(1/Bq) 1.5E-12	(Bq/lifetime) 3.7E+05	6E-07
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	9/17	6.0E-02	8.9E-10	1.3E+02	1E-07
			Co-60	10198-40-0	2/17	2.9E-02	4.1E-10	6.1E+01	3E-08
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	3/17	1.4E-02	7.6E-10	2.8E+01	2E-08
1	Groundwater	Radionuclide	Total						7E-07
1	Groundwater	All	Reach total						1E-05
2	Groundwater	Inorganic	Beryllium	7440-41-7	1/15	(mg/L) 6.4E-04	1/(mg/kg/d) 4.3E+00	(mg/kg/d) 1.8E-06	8E-06
2	Groundwater	Radionuclide	H-3	10028-17-8	6/6	(Bq/L) 3.9E+03	(1/Bq) 1.5E-12	(Bq/lifetime) 8.1E+06	1E-05
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	12/15	2.7E-01	8.9E-10	5.7E+02	5E-07
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	3/15	1.5E-02	7.6E-10	3.2E+01	2E-08
2	Groundwater	Radionuclide	Total						1E-05
2	Groundwater	All	Reach total						2E-05

Table F1 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric mean (mg/L)	Cancer slope factor 1/(mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Carcinogen screening indices
3	Groundwater	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	2/74	1.2E-02	1.8E+00	3.4E-05	6E-05
3	Groundwater	Inorganic	Beryllium	7440-41-7	2/36	7.7E-04	4.3E+00	2.2E-06	9E-06
			Total						7E-05
3	Groundwater	Radionuclide	Ra-224	13233-32-4	1/4	(Bq/L)	(1/Bq)	(Bq/lifetime)	2E-05
			Th-234	15065-10-8	1/1	6.9E+01	1.1E-10	1.5E+05	2E-05
			U-234	13966-29-5	3/4	1.8E+00	3.8E-09	3.7E+03	1E-05
			Am-241	14596-10-2	1/4	4.6E-01	8.4E-09	9.7E+02	8E-06
			U-238	7440-61-1	1/4	4.1E-01	3.5E-09	8.7E+02	3E-06
			Ra-226	13982-63-3	1/4	4.1E-01	3.2E-09	8.6E+02	3E-06
			Th-230	14269-63-7	3/5	9.0E-01	6.5E-10	1.9E+03	1E-06
			H-3	10028-17-8	23/28	1.5E+02	1.5E-12	3.1E+05	5E-07
			Th-228	14274-82-9	1/5	4.6E-01	4.1E-10	9.7E+02	4E-07
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	26/49	4.6E-02	8.9E-10	9.7E+01	9E-08
			Co-60	10198-40-0	19/46	3.3E-02	4.1E-10	7.0E+01	3E-08
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	16/49	1.3E-02	7.6E-10	2.7E+01	2E-08
			Tc-99	14133-76-7	6/12	1.1E-01	3.5E-11	2.3E+02	8E-09
3	Groundwater	Radionuclide	Total						7E-05
3	Groundwater	All	Reach total						1E-04

Table F2. Results of nonconservative screening of groundwater for noncarcinogens where at least one value was above detection limits

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric mean of conc (mg/L)	Reference dose factor (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening Indices
1	Groundwater	Inorganic	Antimony	7440-36-0	2/20	8.0E-02	4.0E-04	2.3E-04	5.7E-01
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	3/19	3.6E-03	5.0E-04	1.0E-05	2.1E-02
			Barium	7440-39-3	11/19	4.6E-01	7.0E-02	1.3E-03	1.9E-02
			Chromium	7440-47-3	6/19	3.2E-02	5.0E-03	9.2E-05	1.8E-02
			Silver	7440-22-4	2/19	1.5E-02	3.0E-03	4.2E-05	1.4E-02
			Boron	7440-42-8	4/18	1.2E-01	9.0E-02	3.3E-04	3.7E-03
			Nickel	7440-02-0	6/19	1.6E-02	2.0E-02	4.5E-05	2.3E-03
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	5/19	5.5E-03	7.0E-03	1.6E-05	2.3E-03
			Mercury	7439-97-6	1/11	1.1E-04	3.0E-04	3.0E-07	1.0E-03
			Copper	7440-50-8	1/19	1.2E-02	3.7E-02	3.5E-05	9.5E-04
			Beryllium	7440-41-7	2/19	9.1E-04	5.0E-03	2.6E-06	5.2E-04
			Zinc	7440-66-6	2/19	1.1E-02	2.0E-01	3.0E-05	1.5E-04
1	Groundwater	Inorganic	Total						6.5E-01
1	Groundwater	All	Reach total						6.5E-01
2	Groundwater	Inorganic	Barium	7440-39-3	6/39	7.9E-01	7.0E-02	2.3E-03	3.2E-02
			Chromium	7440-47-3	2/39	2.3E-02	5.0E-03	6.4E-05	1.3E-02
			Boron	7440-42-8	4/11	1.1E-01	9.0E-02	3.2E-04	3.6E-03
			Nickel	7440-02-0	6/15	1.8E-02	2.0E-02	5.1E-05	2.5E-03
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	1/15	4.2E-03	7.0E-03	1.2E-05	1.7E-03
			Copper	7440-50-8	2/15	1.3E-02	3.7E-02	3.7E-05	1.0E-03
			Beryllium	7440-41-7	1/15	6.4E-04	5.0E-03	1.8E-06	3.7E-04
			Zinc	7440-66-6	3/15	1.1E-02	2.0E-01	3.2E-05	1.6E-04
2	Groundwater	Inorganic	Total						5.4E-02
2	Groundwater	All	Reach total						5.4E-02

Table F2 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	Geometric mean of conc (mg/L)	Reference dose factor (mg/kg/d)	Daily intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening indices
3	Groundwater	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	2/74	1.2E-02	1.0E-03	3.4E-05	3.4E-02
			Barium	7440-39-3	24/74	5.1E-01	7.0E-02	1.4E-03	2.1E-02
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	1/74	2.7E-03	5.0E-04	7.7E-06	1.5E-02
			Chromium	7440-47-3	7/74	2.2E-02	5.0E-03	6.3E-05	1.3E-02
			Silver	7440-22-4	4/74	7.7E-03	3.0E-03	2.2E-05	7.3E-03
			Nickel	7440-02-0	13/36	2.8E-02	2.0E-02	8.1E-05	4.1E-03
			Boron	7440-42-8	3/13	9.8E-02	9.0E-02	2.8E-04	3.1E-03
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	3/36	6.6E-03	7.0E-03	1.9E-05	2.7E-03
			Copper	7440-50-8	11/36	1.3E-02	3.7E-02	3.8E-05	1.0E-03
			Beryllium	7440-41-7	2/36	7.7E-04	5.0E-03	2.2E-06	4.4E-04
			Zinc	7440-66-6	17/36	2.0E-02	2.0E-01	5.8E-05	2.9E-04
			Total						1.0E-01
3	Groundwater	Inorganic							
3	Groundwater	Organic	Methyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3	2/3	1.0E-02	5.0E-02	2.9E-05	5.7E-04
			Acetone	67-64-1	4/5	1.3E-02	1.0E-01	3.6E-05	3.6E-04
			Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	2/5	5.9E-03	1.0E-01	1.7E-05	1.7E-04
3	Groundwater	Organic	Total						1.1E-03
3	Groundwater	All	Reach total						1.0E-01

**Table F3. Results of conservative screening of groundwater for carcinogens where
at least one value was above detection limits**

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/L)	Cancer slope factor 1/(mg/kg/d)	Daily intake (mg/kg/d)	Carcinogen screening indices
1	Groundwater	Inorganic	Beryllium	7440-41-7	2/19	6.1E-03	4.3E+00	1.7E-04	8E-04
1	Groundwater	Radionuclide	Sr-90	10098-97-2	9/17	(Bq/L) 3.0E+01	(1/Bq) 8.9E-10	(Bq/lifetime) 6.3E+05	6E-04
			H-3	10028-17-8	7/9	6.1E+03	1.5E-12	1.3E+08	2E-04
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	3/17	2.5E-01	7.6E-10	5.2E+03	4E-06
			Co-60	10198-40-0	2/17	3.7E-01	4.1E-10	7.8E+03	3E-06
1	Groundwater	Radionuclide	Total						8E-04
1	Groundwater	All	Reach total						2E-03
2	Groundwater	Inorganic	Beryllium	7440-41-7	1/15	(mg/L) 1.5E-03	1/(mg/kg/d) 4.3E+00	(mg/kg/d) 4.2E-05	2E-04
2	Groundwater	Radionuclide	H-3	10028-17-8	6/6	(Bq/L) 1.5E+05	(1/Bq) 1.5E-12	(Bq/lifetime) 3.2E+09	5E-03
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	12/15	5.9E+00	8.9E-10	1.2E+05	1E-04
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	3/15	2.9E-01	7.6E-10	6.2E+03	5E-06
2	Groundwater	Radionuclide	Total						5E-03
2	Groundwater	All	Reach total						5E-03

Table F3 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper bound on mean (mg/L)	Cancer slope factor 1/(mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Carcinogen screening Indices
3	Groundwater	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	2/74	3.3E-02	1.8E+00	9.4E-04	2E-03
3	Groundwater	Inorganic	Beryllium	7440-41-7	2/36	1.4E-03	4.3E+00	4.1E-05	2E-04
3	Groundwater	Radionuclide	Total						2E-03
			H-3	10028-17-8	23/28	(Bq/L)	(1/Bq)	(Bq/lifetime)	1E-03
			U-234	13966-29-5	3/4	4.3E+04	1.5E-12	9.1E+08	7E-04
			Co-60	10198-40-0	19/46	8.3E+00	3.8E-09	1.7E+05	4E-04
			Ra-224	13233-32-4	1/4	4.7E+01	4.1E-10	9.8E+05	3E-04
			Am-241	14596-10-2	1/4	1.0E+01	1.3E-09	2.2E+05	2E-04
			Th-234	15065-10-8	1/1	9.1E-01	8.4E-09	1.9E+04	2E-04
			Tc-99	14133-76-7	6/12	6.9E+01	1.1E-10	1.5E+06	8E-05
			Th-230	14269-63-7	3/5	1.1E+02	3.5E-11	2.3E+06	6E-05
			U-238	7440-61-1	1/4	4.0E+00	6.5E-10	8.5E+04	4E-05
			Ra-226	13982-63-3	1/4	5.9E-01	3.5E-09	1.2E+04	4E-05
			Sr-90	10098-97-2	26/49	5.8E-01	3.2E-09	1.2E+04	3E-05
			Cs-137	10045-97-3	16/49	1.8E+00	8.9E-10	3.7E+04	3E-05
			Th-228	14274-82-9	1/5	1.9E+00	7.6E-10	3.9E+04	6E-06
3	Groundwater	Radionuclide	Total			7.5E-01	4.1E-10	1.6E+04	3E-03
3	Groundwater	All	Reach total						5E-03

Table F4. Results of conservative screening of groundwater for noncarcinogens where at least one value was above detection limits

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/L)	Reference dose factor (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening Indices
1	Groundwater	Inorganic	Antimony	7440-36-0	2/20	1.4E-01	4.0E-04	4.1E-03	1.0E+01
			Chromium	7440-47-3	6/19	1.3E-01	5.0E-03	3.6E-03	7.2E-01
			Barium	7440-39-3	11/19	9.4E-01	7.0E-02	2.7E-02	3.8E-01
			Silver	7440-22-4	2/19	3.5E-02	3.0E-03	1.0E-03	3.4E-01
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	3/19	5.4E-03	5.0E-04	1.5E-04	3.1E-01
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	5/19	3.4E-02	7.0E-03	9.6E-04	1.4E-01
			Boron	7440-42-8	4/18	3.6E-01	9.0E-02	1.0E-02	1.1E-01
			Nickel	7440-02-0	6/19	7.0E-02	2.0E-02	2.0E-03	1.0E-01
			Beryllium	7440-41-7	2/19	6.1E-03	5.0E-03	1.7E-04	3.5E-02
			Copper	7440-50-8	1/19	3.8E-02	3.7E-02	1.1E-03	2.9E-02
			Mercury	7439-97-6	1/11	1.3E-04	3.0E-04	3.7E-06	1.2E-02
			Zinc	7440-66-6	2/19	5.5E-02	2.0E-01	1.6E-03	7.9E-03
1	Groundwater	Inorganic	Total						1.2E+01
1	Groundwater	All	Reach total						1.2E+01
2	Groundwater	Inorganic	Barium	7440-39-3	6/39	9.8E-01	7.0E-02	2.8E-02	4.0E-01
			Chromium	7440-47-3	2/39	2.6E-02	5.0E-03	7.5E-04	1.5E-01
			Boron	7440-42-8	4/11	2.0E-01	9.0E-02	5.8E-03	6.5E-02
			Nickel	7440-02-0	6/15	4.5E-02	2.0E-02	1.3E-03	6.4E-02
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	1/15	1.3E-02	7.0E-03	3.8E-04	5.4E-02
			Copper	7440-50-8	2/15	6.6E-02	3.7E-02	1.9E-03	5.1E-02
			Beryllium	7440-41-7	1/15	1.5E-03	5.0E-03	4.2E-05	8.5E-03
			Zinc	7440-66-6	3/15	4.2E-02	2.0E-01	1.2E-03	6.0E-03
2	Groundwater	Inorganic	Total						8.0E-01
2	Groundwater	All	Reach total						8.0E-01

Table F4 (continued)

Reach no.	Media type	Contaminant type	Contaminant name	CAS no.	Ratio of detected to total samples	95% upper conf bound on mean (mg/L)	Reference dose factor (mg/kg/d)	Daily Intake (mg/kg/d)	Noncarcinogen screening Indices
3	Groundwater	Inorganic	Arsenic	7440-38-2	2/74	3.3E-02	1.0E-03	9.4E-04	9.4E-01
			Barium	7440-39-3	24/74	8.3E-01	7.0E-02	2.4E-02	3.4E-01
			Cadmium	7440-43-9	1/74	3.3E-03	5.0E-04	9.5E-05	1.9E-01
			Chromium	7440-47-3	7/74	3.1E-02	5.0E-03	9.0E-04	1.8E-01
			Silver	7440-22-4	4/74	1.7E-02	3.0E-03	4.9E-04	1.6E-01
			Nickel	7440-02-0	13/36	7.4E-02	2.0E-02	2.1E-03	1.1E-01
			Vanadium	7440-62-2	3/36	1.5E-02	7.0E-03	4.4E-04	6.2E-02
			Boron	7440-42-8	3/13	1.7E-01	9.0E-02	4.9E-03	5.5E-02
			Copper	7440-50-8	11/36	2.9E-02	3.7E-02	8.2E-04	2.2E-02
			Zinc	7440-66-6	17/36	8.3E-02	2.0E-01	2.4E-03	1.2E-02
			Beryllium	7440-41-7	2/36	1.4E-03	5.0E-03	4.1E-05	8.2E-03
			Total						2.1E+00
			Acetone	67-64-1	4/5	2.6E-02	1.0E-01	7.4E-04	7.4E-03
3	Groundwater	Organic	Methyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3	2/3	1.0E-02	5.0E-02	2.9E-04	5.7E-03
			Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	2/5	9.5E-03	1.0E-01	2.7E-04	2.7E-03
			Total						1.6E-02
3	Groundwater	All	Reach total						2.1E+00

APPENDIX G
DATA FOR ECOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT

Table G1. Data Used for National Ambient Water Quality Criteria Approximations (all values in $\mu\text{g/l}$)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
INORGANICS			
Antimony			
Acute values:	9000 18,800 21,900	<i>Daphnia magna</i> <i>Daphnia magna</i> Fathead minnow	EPA 1980b <i>ibid.</i> <i>ibid.</i>
Pesticide Acute Value:	220		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	3,481 13.69	<i>Daphnia magna</i> Fathead minnow	Kimball, Manuscript <i>ibid.</i>
Pesticide A-C ratio:	12.4		
PAV / PACR:	18		
Arsenic V			
Acute values:	<8100 7400 3600 49,600 850 10,800 25,600 49,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i> <i>Daphnia magna</i> <i>Daphnia pulex</i> <i>Daphnia pulex</i> <i>Bosmina longirostris</i> Rainbow trout Fathead minnow Mosquitofish	EPA 1985a <i>ibid.</i> <i>ibid.</i> <i>ibid.</i> <i>ibid.</i> <i>ibid.</i> <i>ibid.</i> <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	17		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	28.7	Fathead minnow	DeFoe, 1982
PACR:	35.8		
PAV / PACR:	0.47		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
INORGANICS (continued)			
Barium			
Acute values	410,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	(AQUIRE)
PAV:	4.4		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR	0.11		
Beryllium			
Acute values:			EPA 1980f
	7900	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	2500	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	4800	Goldfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	3250	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	200	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	150	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	150	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	11,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	20,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	15,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	18,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	4400	Flagfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	3530	Flagfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	3530	Flagfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	32,000	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	28,000	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	32,000	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	24,000	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	160	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	19,000	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
INORGANICS (continued)			
Acute values (cont.):			
	450	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	130	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	200	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	20,000	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	13,700	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	6100	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	160	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	12,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	1300	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	40		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	472	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Kimball, Manuscript
PACR:	91.1		
PAV / PACR:	0.44		
Cobalt			
Acute values:			
	5990	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Kimball, Manuscript
	3610	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	531	Fathead minnow	Lind et al., 1978
PAV:	24		
Acute-Chronic ratios:			
	1175	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Kimball, Manuscript
	290	Fathead minnow	Kimball, Manuscript
PACR:	83.6		
PAV / PACR:	0.29		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
INORGANICS (continued)			
Fluoride ion			
Acute values:			
	98,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Dave, 1984b
	251,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Fieser et al., 1986
	390,247	Stickleback	Smith et al., 1985
	200,000	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	245,987	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	125,000	Brown trout	(AQUIRE)
PAV:	2700		
Acute-Chronic ratios:			
	8.33	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Fieser et al., 1986
	22.27	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Dave, 1984
PACR:	19.5		
PAV / PACR:	140		
Magnesium			
Acute values:			
	64,700	Scud	(AQUIRE)
PAV:	6.5		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.16		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
INORGANICS (continued)			
Manganese			
Acute values:	19,400 33,800	<i>Daphnia magna</i> Fathead minnow	Kimball, Manuscript <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	330		
Acute-Chronic ratios	19.10	Fathead minnow	Kimball, Manuscript
PACR:	31.3		
PAV / PACR:	11		
Molybdenum			
Acute values:	206,800	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Kimball, Manuscript
PAV:	2200		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	235	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Kimball, Manuscript
PACR	72.2		
PAV / PACR:	30		
Potassium			
Acute values:	53,200	Scud	(AQUIRE)
PAV:	5.3		
PACR:	40		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
INORGANICS (continued)			
Sodium			
PAV / PACR:	0.13		
Acute values:	1,820,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	(AQUIRE)
PAV:	19,000		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	480		
Silver			
Final Acute Value:	4.1		EPA 1980y
Acute-Chronic ratios:	2.0		EPA 1980y
	54		<i>ibid.</i>
PACR:	65.1		
FAV / PACR:	0.063		
Thallium			
Acute values:	2180	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	EPA 1980bb
	910	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	1800	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	132,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	121,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	24		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
INORGANICS (continued)			
Acute-Chronic ratios:	7.0 31.58	<i>Daphnia magna</i> Fathead minnow	Kimball, Manuscript <i>ibid.</i>
PACR:	10.8		
PAV / PACR:	2.2		
Vanadium			
Acute values:	1520 1850 7000 11,200 4060	<i>Daphnia magna</i> Fathead minnow Brook trout Flagfish <i>Daphnia magna</i>	Kimball, Manuscript <i>ibid.</i> Ernst and Garside, 1986 Holdway and Sprague, 1979 Beusen and Neven, 1987
PAV:	36		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	10.88 140 2.137	Fathead minnow Flagfish <i>Daphnia magna</i>	Kimball, Manuscript Holdway and Sprague, 1979 Beusen and Neven, 1987
Final A-C ratio:	14.8		
PAV / FACR:	9.4		
ORGANICS			
Acenaphthene			
Acute values:	41,200 1700	<i>Daphnia magna</i> Bluegill	EPA 1980a <i>ibid.</i>

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PAV:	29		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	1.472	Fathead minnow	Cairns and Nebeker, 1982
PACR:	13.3		
PAV / PACR:	2.2		
Acetone			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	8,300,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	8,300,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	1,300,000	Mosquitofish	<i>ibid.</i>
	8,140,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	7,310,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	5,540,000	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	13,500,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	12,600,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	13,300,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	12,100,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	8,800,000	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	7,460,000	<i>Daphnia cucullata</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	7,810,000	<i>Daphnia cucullata</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	25,000		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	640		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Anthracene			
Acute values:	11.92	Sunfish	(AQUIRE)
	2.78	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	0.00058		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.000014		
Benzene			
Acute values:	203,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	EPA 1980d
	400,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	620,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	412,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	412,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	356,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	356,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	345,000	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	265,000	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	5300	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	34,420	Goldfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	33,470	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	32,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	36,600	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	386,000	Mosquitofish	<i>ibid.</i>
	22,490	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	100		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	2.6		
Benzidene			
Acute values:			EPA 1980c
	7400	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	4350	Lake trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	2500	Red shiner	<i>ibid.</i>
	16,200	Flagfish	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	1.5		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.037		
Benzo(a)anthracene			
Acute values:	10*	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	(AQUIRE)
PAV:	0.11		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.0027		
Benzo(a)pyrene			
Acute values:	5*	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	(AQUIRE)
PAV:	0.053		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.0013		
Benzoic acid			
Acute values:	180,000	Mosquitofish	(AQUIRE)
PAV:	18		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.45		
Benzyl alcohol			
Acute values:	10,000 460,000	Bluegill Fathead minnow	(AQUIRE) <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	1.0		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.025		
BHC (other)			
Acute values:	1000 800	<i>Daphnia magna</i> <i>Daphnia magna</i>	(AQUIRE) <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	9.5		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.24		
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	11,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	133	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	690	Channel catfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	6180	Goldfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	42,100	Largemouth bass	<i>ibid.</i>
	32,900	Largemouth bass	<i>ibid.</i>
	139,500	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	149,200	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	2.6		
Acute-Chronic ratios:			Knowles et al., 1987**
	38.73	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Mehrle and Mayer, 1976**
	17,175	Rainbow trout	
PACR:	299		
PAV / PACR:	0.0087		
2-Butanone			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	5,600,000	Mosquitofish	<i>ibid.</i>
	3,200,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	5,091,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	
PAV:	55,000		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	1400		
Carbon disulfide			
Acute values:	2100 135,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i> Mosquitofish	(AQUIRE) <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	36		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.91		
Carbon tetrachloride			
Acute values:	35,200 43,100 125,000 27,300	<i>Daphnia magna</i> Fathead minnow Bluegill Bluegill	EPA 1980h <i>ibid.</i> <i>ibid.</i> <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	610		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	0.8273	Fathead minnow	Kimball, Manuscript
PACR:	11.0		
PAV / PACR:	55		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Chlorobenzene			
Acute values:			EPA 1980j
	86,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	51,620	Goldfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	33,930	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	29,120	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	33,930	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	45,530	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	24,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	15,900	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	340		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	8.4		
Chloroform			
Acute values:			EPA 1980
	28,900	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	66,800	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	43,800	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	115,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	100,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	570		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	14		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PP'DDDD			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	2.5	Striped bass	<i>ibid.</i>
	380	Stonefly	<i>ibid.</i>
	380	Stonefly	<i>ibid.</i>
	0.60	Scud	<i>ibid.</i>
	0.64	Scud	<i>ibid.</i>
	0.60	Scud	<i>ibid.</i>
	0.86	Scud	<i>ibid.</i>
	0.68	Grass shrimp	<i>ibid.</i>
	2.4	Grass shrimp	<i>ibid.</i>
	10	Aquatic sowbug	<i>ibid.</i>
	16	Aquatic sowbug	<i>ibid.</i>
	140	Fowler's toad	<i>ibid.</i>
	740	Turbellarian	<i>ibid.</i>
	70	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	4400	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	1500	Channel catfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	42	Largemouth bass	<i>ibid.</i>
	14	Walleye	<i>ibid.</i>
	42	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	0.0016		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.000040		
Decane			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	48,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PAV:	190		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	4.8		
Di-n-butyl phthalate			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	1200	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	731	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	2100	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	760	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	700	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	1300	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	1300	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	2020	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	2910	Channel catfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	2910	Channel catfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	2900	Channel catfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	6470	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	6470	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	2600	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	2100	Scud	<i>ibid.</i>
	10,000	Crayfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	3700	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	5200	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	32		
Acute-Chronic ratios:			
	2.817	Fathead minnow	McCarthy and Whitmore, 1985
	9.437	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PACR:	10.2		
PAV / PACR:	3.1		
Dibenzofuran			
Acute values:	7500*	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	(AQUIRE)
PAV:	80		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	2.0		
1,1-Dichloroethane			
Acute values:	202,000	Guppy	(AQUIRE)
PAV:	20		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.51		
1,2-Dichloroethane			
Acute values:	270,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Richter et al., 1983
	218,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	EPA 1980k
	118,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	550,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	431,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PAV:	2000		
Acute-Chronic ratios:			
	5.9	Fathead minnow	Ahmed et al., 1984
	17.76	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Richter et al., 1983
PACR:	16.1		
PAV / PACR:	130		
1,1-Dichloroethene			
Acute values:			
	11,600	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	EPA 1980n
	79,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	169,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	108,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	73,900	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	520		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	13		
1,2-Dichloroethene			
Acute values:			
	135,000	Bluegill	EPA 1980n
PAV:	14		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.34		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
1,3-Dichloropropene (total)			
Acute values:	6150	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	EPA 1980o
	6060	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	100		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	2.6		
Diethyl phthalate			
Acute values:	120,000*	Bluegill	(AQUIRE)
	52,000*	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	75,000*	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	1100		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	27		
Ethyl benzene			
Acute values:	75,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	EPA 1980p
	94,440	Goldfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	48,510	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	42,330	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	97,100	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	32,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	155,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Fluoranthene			
PAV:	780		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	20		
Acute values:	325,000 3980	<i>Daphnia magna</i> Bluegill	EPA 1980q <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	69		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	1.7		
Hexane			
Acute values:	150,000*** 4,480,000***	Golden orfe Golden orfe	(AQUIRE) <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	82		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	2.1		
2-Hexanone			
Acute values:	428,000***	Fathead minnow	(AQUIRE)

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PAV:	43		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR	1.1		
1-Methylnaphthalene			
Acute values:	9000	Fathead minnow	(AQUIRE)
PAV:	0.90		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.023		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone			
Acute values:	509,000	Fathead minnow	(AQUIRE)
PAV:	51		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	608	Fathead minnow	Veith et al., 1983
PACR:	22.2		
PAV / PACR:	2.3		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
2-Methylphenol			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	12,550	Fathead minnow	ibid.
	13,420	Fathead minnow	ibid.
	18,200	Fathead minnow	ibid.
	20,780	Bluegill	ibid.
	23,250	Goldfish	ibid.
	8400	Rainbow trout	ibid.
	17,400	<i>Daphnia cucullata</i>	ibid.
	15,500	<i>Daphnia cucullata</i>	ibid.
	9800	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	ibid.
	8600	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	ibid.
	23,800	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	ibid.
	23,100	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	ibid.
	15,100	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	ibid.
	15,800	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	ibid.
	15,800	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	ibid.
	5000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	ibid.
	14,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	ibid.
	10,800	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	ibid.
	8500	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	ibid.
PAV:	160		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	4.1		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Methyl mercury			
Acute values:			EPA 1985e
	25	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	24	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	42	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	24	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	84	Brook trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	65	Brook trout	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	0.0028		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	142.3	Brook trout	EPA 1985e
PACR:	61.1		
PAV / PACR:	0.000046		
Methylene chloride			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	220,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	193,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	502,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	220,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	3800		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	4.65	Fathead minnow	Dill et al., 1987
PACR:	19.5		
PAV / PACR:	190		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Napthalene			
Acute values:			EPA 1980t
	8570	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	2300	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	4900	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	8900	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	150,000	Mosquitofish	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	45		
Acute-Chronic ratios:			DeGraeve et al., 1982
	10.65	Fathead minnow	
PACR:	25.7		
PAV / PACR:	1.8		
4-Nitrophenol			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	8300	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	59,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	62,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	41,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	7900	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	15,000	Channel catfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	22,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	8400	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	20,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	8400	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	7680	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	13,200	River snail	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	190		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Acute-Chronic ratios:	2.83	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Francis et al., 1986**
PACR:	16.5		
PAV / PACR:	11		
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine			
Acute values:	5800 7800	Bluegill <i>Daphnia magna</i>	(AQUIRE) <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	100		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	2.5		
3-Octanone			
Acute values:	80,000* 517,000*	Goldfish <i>Daphnia magna</i>	(AQUIRE) <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	1400		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	34		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PCBs: Aroclor® 1221			
Acute values:			
	1200	Cutthroat trout	(AQUIRE)
	1170	Cutthroat trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	1170	Cutthroat trout	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	0.12		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.0029		
PCBs: Aroclor® 1232			
Acute values:			
	2500	Cutthroat trout	(AQUIRE)
	2500	Cutthroat trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	2500	Cutthroat trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	1900	Cutthroat trout	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	0.23		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.0058		
PCBs: Aroclor® 1242			
Acute values:			
	73	Scud	EPA 1980x
	10	Scud	<i>ibid.</i>
	400	Damselfly	<i>ibid.</i>
	15	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	300	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PAV:	0.016		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	14.90	Scud	EPA 1980x
	7.454	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
PACR:	16.4		
PAV / PACR:	0.00097		
PCB's Aroclor® 1248			
Acute values:	52	Scud	EPA 1980x
	29	Scud	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	0.15		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	8.788	Scud	EPA 1980x
PACR:	24.1		
PAV / PACR:	0.0062		
PCB's Aroclor® 1254			
Acute values:	2400	Scud	EPA 1980x
	200	Damselfly	<i>ibid.</i>
	7.7	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	0.0045		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	2.655	Fathead minnow	EPA 1980x

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
PACR:	16.20		
PAV / PACR:	0.00028		
PCB's Aroclor® 1260			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE) <i>ibid.</i> <i>ibid.</i>
	60,900	Cutthroat trout	
	61,000	Cutthroat trout	
	25,000	Cutthroat trout	
PAV:	4.5		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.11		
1-Pentanol			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE) <i>ibid.</i>
	650,000	Bluegill	
	400,000	Rainbow trout	
PAV:	240		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	5.9		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Phenanthrene			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	960	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	490	Midge	<i>ibid.</i>
	700	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	843	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	734	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	8.4		
Acute-Chronic ratios:	4.8	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	Geiger and Buikema, 1982
PACR:	19.8		
PAV / PACR:	0.43		
Phenol			
Acute values: ¹			EPA 1980 ^v
	248,000	Rotifer	<i>ibid.</i>
	94,000	Snail	<i>ibid.</i>
	14,000	<i>Daphnia longispina</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	36,400	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	58,100	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	57,000	<i>Polyphemus pediculus</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	122,000	<i>Cyclops vernalis</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	108,000	<i>Mesocyclops leukarti</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	8032	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	44,500	Goldfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	35,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>

¹Because the list of acute values for phenol is so long, the species mean acute values have been substituted here.

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Acute values (cont.):			
	16,700	Channel catfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	36,300	Flagfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	26,000	Mosquitofish	<i>ibid.</i>
	34,900	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	16,400	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	19,000	Mozambique mouthbrooder	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	260		
Acute-Chronic ratios:			EPA 1980v**
	14.06	Fathead minnow	DeGraeve et al., 1980
	18.19	Fathead minnow	
PACR:	21.7		
PAV / PACR:	12		
2-Propenol			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	11,130,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	10,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	9,610,000	Fathead minnow	
PAV:	100		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	2.6		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	21,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	20,300	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	20,400	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	62,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	EPA 1980k
	9320	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	20,300	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	21,300	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	350		
Acute-Chronic ratios:			Ahmed et al., 1984
	8.46	Fathead minnow	Richter et al., 1983
	6.289	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	
PACR:	12.9		
PAV / PACR:	27		
Tetrachloroethene			
Acute values:			Richter et al., 1983
	8500	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	EPA 1980aa
	17,700	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	30,840	<i>Tanytarsus dissimilis</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	4800	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	5800	Rainbow trout	<i>ibid.</i>
	13,460	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	18,400	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	21,400	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	12,900	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	130		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Acute-Chronic ratios:			
	16.02	Fathead minnow	Ahmed et al., 1984
	11.35	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Richter et al., 1983
PACR:	19.4		
PAV / PACR:	6.5		
Toluene			
Acute values:			
	60,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	EPA 1980cc
	313,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	22,800	Goldfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	57,680	Goldfish	<i>ibid.</i>
	34,270	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	42,330	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	59,300	Guppy	<i>ibid.</i>
	24,000	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	17,500	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	300		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	7.5		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane			
Acute values:			
	40,000	Bluegill	(AQUIRE)
	52,800	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	105,000	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	4.0		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Acute-Chronic ratios:	3.057 ²	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Thompson & Carmichael, 1989
PACR:	17.0		
PAV / PACR:	0.24		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane			
Acute values:			(AQUIRE)
	81,700	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	18,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	43,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	190,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	170,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	170,000	Great pond snail	<i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	1400		
Acute-Chronic ratios:			
	8.691	Fathead minnow	Ahmed et al., 1984
	9.776	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Richter et al., 1983
PACR:	15.0		
PAV / PACR:	92		

²based on a 17-day LC50 (standard is 48-h.)

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Trichloroethene			
Acute values:			EPA 1980dd
	85,200	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	100,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	94,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	41,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	43,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	55,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	56,000	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	51,000	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	39,000	<i>Daphnia pulex</i>	<i>ibid.</i>
	40,700	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	66,800	Fathead minnow	<i>ibid.</i>
	44,700	Bluegill	<i>ibid.</i>
	28,280	Flagfish	Smith et al., 1991
PAV:	490		
Acute-Chronic ratios:			
	2,558	Flagfish	Smith et al., 1991
PACR:	16.0		
PAV / PACR:	30		

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Vinyl acetate			
Acute values:	13,465 ³ 18,000 42,330 31,080	Fathead minnow Bluegill Goldfish Guppy	(AQUIRE) <i>ibid.</i> <i>ibid.</i> <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	1.3		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.034		
Vinyl chloride			
Acute values:	356,000*** 406,000***	Golden orfe Golden orfe	(AQUIRE) <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	38		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.95		

³Water hardness was varied in this test; a logarithmic regression was performed according to the National Guidelines, and 13,465 µg/l is the intercept value.

Table G1 (continued)

Chemical name / Data type	Value	Species	Source
ORGANICS (continued)			
Xylene			
Acute values:	780,000 99,500	Common carp Calanoid copepod	(AQUIRE) <i>ibid.</i>
PAV:	10		
PACR:	40		
PAV / PACR:	0.25		

* These acute tests were of a non-standard duration. Standard durations are 48-h. for daphnids and midges, and 96-h. for all other aquatic animals.

** These reports contained no acute value to accompany the chronic values, so a species mean acute value derived from other available studies was used to calculate the ratio.

*** These test results are unreliable in that either a test duration was not specified or the experimental methods were not usual or not consistent, but they are the best data available.

Table G.2. Concentrations in water, toxicological benchmarks, and quotients aquatic life
(Concentrations units = $\mu\text{g/L}$)

Location	Chemical	Mean Water Conc.	UCL Water Conc.	Lower Screening Benchmark	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
MB1	Aluminum	480.7302	2744.382	11	43.70274	249.4893
WOC	Aluminum	295.0286	993.2007	11	26.82079	90.29098
WOD	Aluminum	573.7584	1206.228	11	52.15986	109.6571
WOD	Arsenic	30.84095	46.65495	53	0.581905	0.880282
MB1	Arsenic	51.13653	52.58023	53	0.96484	0.99208
MB3	Arsenic	50.70378	52.29861	53	0.956675	0.986766
WOD	Barium	37.15252	48.53493	0.11	337.7502	441.2266
WOC	Barium	32.97028	37.30265	0.11	299.7298	339.115
MB1	Barium	73.21548	86.08094	0.11	665.5953	782.554
MB3	Cadmium	3.34644	5.1806	0.016	209.1525	323.7875
WOD	Calcium	45330.89	46767.55		ERR	ERR
WOC	Calcium	44513.27	56140.41		ERR	ERR
MB1	Calcium	52395.41	82444.77		ERR	ERR
MB3	Chromium	6.91333	10.68076	0.066	104.7474	161.8297
WOD	Chromium	13.73	19.50569	0.066	208.0303	295.5408
WOC	Chromium	7.60903	11.23912	0.066	115.2883	170.2897
MB1	Chromium	8.62821	14.21965	0.066	130.7305	215.4492
MB3	Copper	77.48023	107.8914	0.2	387.4012	539.4569

Table G-2 (continued)

Location	Chemical	Mean Water Conc.	UCL Water Conc.	Lower Screening Benchmark	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
WOC	Copper	8.95207	22.85277	0.2	44.76035	114.2639
MB1	Copper	9.38697	30.57163	0.2	46.93485	152.8582
WOD	Copper	8.66239	19.9761	0.2	43.31195	99.8805
MB1	Iron	534.2416	3239.905	16	33.3901	202.4941
WOD	Iron	614.8067	1167.141	16	38.42542	72.9463
WOC	Iron	326.9472	945.8128	16	20.4342	59.1133
WOD	Lead	5.24585	13.13791	0.74	7.088986	17.75393
MB3	Lead	30.26412	30.82484	0.74	40.89746	41.65519
WOC	Lead	5.41542	13.37858	0.74	7.318135	18.07916
MB1	Lead	5.31982	13.25927	0.74	7.188946	17.91793
MB1	Manganese	117.4468	459.455	11	10.67698	41.76863
WOC	Manganese	41.30534	74.18733	11	3.755031	6.744303
WOD	Manganese	79.50008	138.1399	11	7.22728	12.55818
MB1	Mercury	0.05307	0.05863	0.00005	1061.4	1172.6
WOC	Mercury	0.05997	0.07752	0.00005	1199.4	1550.4
WOD	Mercury	0.05549	0.06217	0.00005	1109.8	1243.4
MB3	Nickel	8.64351	11.8515	5.2	1.662213	2.279135
MB1	Nickel	6.30338	8.9693	5.2	1.212188	1.728712

Location	Chemical	Mean Water Conc.	UCL Water Conc.	Lower Screening Benchmark	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
WOD	Nickel	6.07333	8.60459	5.2	1.167948	1.654729
WOC	Nickel	5.97679	8.354	5.2	1.149383	1.606538
WOD	Selenium	7.77118	25.61945	7.6	1.022524	3.37098
MB1	Strontium	108.9936	172.5044		ERR	ERR
WOC	Strontium	87.42323	107.5915		ERR	ERR
WOD	Strontium	90.31384	96.0702		ERR	ERR
WOC	Thallium	86	86	2.2	39.09091	39.09091
WOD	Thallium	70	70	2.2	31.81818	31.81818
MB1	Thallium	94	94	2.2	42.72727	42.72727
MB1	Titanium	29.16199	94.23714		ERR	ERR
MB1	Vanadium	2.93179	9.60047	9.4	0.311893	1.021327
MB1	Zinc	14.73206	39.14045	3.8	3.876858	10.30012
WOC	Zinc	29.27814	43.29646	3.8	7.704774	11.39381
WOD	Zinc	21.08933	30.83814	3.8	5.549824	8.1153
MB3	Zinc	105.1076	129.4347	3.8	27.6599	34.06176
MB1	1,1-Dichloroethane	4.73007	6.89928	0.51	9.274647	13.528
MB1	1,2-Dichloroethene	4.9127	6.94406	13	0.3779	0.534158
WOD	4-Methyl-2-pentanone	9.63201	14.13028	2.3	4.18783	6.1436
WOC	Acetone	9.71013	16.90828	640	0.015172	0.026419
WOD	Acetone	8.6795	16.44107	640	0.013562	0.025689

Location	Chemical	Mean Water Conc.	UCL Water Conc.	Lower Screening Benchmark	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
MB1	Acetone	7.43003	12.46953	640	0.011609	0.019484
WOC	Benzene	4.83902	7.06598	2.6	1.861162	2.717685
WOC	Carbon disulfide	5.0817	6.99153	0.91	5.584286	7.683
WOD	Carbon disulfide	5.29582	7.17988	0.91	5.819582	7.889978
MB1	Carbon disulfide	5.0817	6.99153	0.91	5.584286	7.683
MB1	Chloroform	3.52871	6.41407	14	0.252051	0.458148
WOD	Chloroform	2.58774	5.72741	14	0.184839	0.409101
WOC	Chloroform	3.62127	5.98572	14	0.258662	0.427551
WOC	Dichlorobromomethane	4.31262	6.77605		ERR	ERR
WOC	Ethylbenzene	5.12095	7.02217	20	0.256048	0.351109
WOC	Methylene chloride	3.70599	13.23131	190	0.019505	0.069638
MB1	Methylene chloride	3.17033	12.61541	190	0.016686	0.066397
WOD	Methylene chloride	3.09247	13.24797	190	0.016276	0.069726
WOC	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	1.0056	1.04625	0.00028	3591.429	3736.607
WOD	PCBs total	1.02455	2.0016	0.00028	3659.107	7148.571
WOC	PCBs total	1.05141	2.4593	0.00028	3755.036	8783.214
MB1	PCBs total	1.03912	1.84389	0.00028	3711.143	6585.321
WOC	Tetrachloroethylene	5.12095	7.02217	6.5	0.787838	1.080334
WOD	Tetrachloroethylene	5.12532	7.09716	6.5	0.788511	1.091871
WOC	Toluene	4.3317	7.00754	7.5	0.57756	0.934339

Location	Chemical	Mean Water Conc.	UCL Water Conc.	Lower Screening Benchmark	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
WOD	Toluene	4.31905	6.89155	7.5	0.575873	0.918873
MB1	Toluene	5	7.07665	7.5	0.666667	0.943553
WOC	Trichloroethene	4.54835	5.26351	30	0.151612	0.17545
MB1	Trichloroethene	4.30969	5.19274	30	0.143656	0.173091
WOD	Trichloroethene	3.98621	6.87621	30	0.132874	0.229207
WOC	Xylene (total)	5.12095	7.02217	0.25	20.4838	28.08868
WOD	Xylene (total)	5.12532	7.09716	0.25	20.50128	28.38864
MB1	Xylene (total)	5	7.00226	0.25	20	28.00904
WOC	BOD	5329.915	7943.722		ERR	
WOD	BOD	5329.915	7943.722		ERR	
MB1	COD	11000	11000		ERR	
MB1	COND	669.7529	1280.801		ERR	
WOC	COND	747.745	1153.694		ERR	
WOD	COND	855.5837	1255.059		ERR	
MB1	DO	9212.045	9855.184		ERR	
WOC	DO	9359.703	9976.542		ERR	
WOD	DO	8645.984	9376.359		ERR	
MB3	DSPH	7770.052	7913.894		ERR	
MB1	F	1022.929	1246.27		ERR	
WOC	F	960.9174	1015.098		ERR	

Location	Chemical	Mean Water Conc.	UCL Water Conc.	Lower Screening Benchmark	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
WOD	F	942.2735	1008.262		ERR	
WOC	K	1788.854	4341.241		ERR	
WOD	K	1843.909	3755.931		ERR	
MB1	K	1843.909	3755.931		ERR	
MB1	MG	9154.732	13614.5		ERR	
WOC	MG	8236.831	11322.08		ERR	
WOD	MG	8229.204	10614.58		ERR	
WOC	NA	9813.199	21279.47		ERR	
MB1	NA	6602.265	9634.409		ERR	
WOD	NA	11164.47	21596.1		ERR	
MB1	NH4-N	32.50681	41.5769		ERR	
WOD	NH4-N	51.43048	85.28654		ERR	
WOC	NH4-N	42.68638	110.9482		ERR	
WOD	NO3	3528.714	4894.784		ERR	
MB1	NO3	3401.828	4894.54		ERR	
MB3	NO3	4759.141	5651.053		ERR	
WOC	NO3	3800.192	4908.67		ERR	
MB1	OIL & GREASE, RECHECK	41000	41000		ERR	
MB3	O&G	2076.276	2283.625		ERR	
MB1	O&G	3070.723	12510.42		ERR	

Location	Chemical	Mean Water Conc.	UCL Water Conc.	Lower Screening Benchmark	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
WOC	O&G	2498.337	4478.754		ERR	
WOD	O&G	3706.141	15619.07		ERR	
WOC	P	270.8009	414.4114		ERR	
MB1	P	271.5783	505.8245		ERR	
WOD	P	307.1264	767.4399		ERR	
WOD	PH	7750.471	7979.432		ERR	
MB1	PH	7668.827	7836.404		ERR	
WOC	PH	7788.407	7981.87		ERR	
MB3	PH	7731.086	7973.697		ERR	
WOC	PHENOLS	1.04729	1.30452		ERR	
MB1	PHENOLS	1.11612	1.42787		ERR	
MB1	SI	4767.723	7902.333		ERR	
WOD	SI	1860.014	4769.646		ERR	
WOC	SI	2427.236	2950.448		ERR	
MB3	SO4	36435.66	56454.19		ERR	
MB1	SO4	34115.16	232137.9		ERR	
WOC	SO4	33323.59	40092.21		ERR	
WOD	SO4	33153.96	39489.1		ERR	
MB1	TDS	217587	270957.4		ERR	
WOD	TDS	194329.2	209464.8		ERR	

Location	Chemical	Mean Water Conc.	UCL Water Conc.	Lower Screening Benchmark	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
WOC	TDS	195355.6	216717.8		ERR	
MB3	TEMP	21530.68	24240.13		ERR	
WOC	TEMP	15647.81	17340.96		ERR	
WOD	TEMP	15333.27	17823.63		ERR	
MB1	TEMP	13853.7	16288.7		ERR	
WOD	TOC	2946.874	3713.444		ERR	
MB1	TOC	2715.457	3197.328		ERR	
MB3	TOC	2594.478	3400.22		ERR	
WOC	TOC	2216.445	2845.943		ERR	
WOC	TSS	9974.273	34999.37		ERR	
WOD	TSS	14134.41	29528.47		ERR	
MB3	TSS	6033.885	10740.51		ERR	
MB1	TSS	12688.38	129291.8		ERR	
WOD	TURB	50675.89	100629.1		ERR	
MB1	TURB	47803.74	87390.04		ERR	
WOC	TURB	37516	99412.95		ERR	

Table G.3. Minimum and upper 95% confidence level (UCL) detection limits ($\mu\text{g/L}$) in water, lower screening criteria ($\mu\text{g/L}$), and quotients for aquatic life

Location	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	UCL Detection Limit	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	UCL Quotient
MB1	Antimony	50	50	18	2.77778	2.77778
WOC	Antimony	50	50	18	2.77778	2.77778
WOD	Antimony	50	50	18	2.77778	2.77778
WOC	Arsenic	10	52.04435	0.47	21.2766	110.7327
MB1	Beryllium	0.2	0.37676	0.44	0.454545	0.856273
WOC	Beryllium	0.2	0.37676	0.44	0.454545	0.856273
WOD	Beryllium	0.2	0.37676	0.44	0.454545	0.856273
MB1	Boron	80	80	7	11.42857	11.42857
WOC	Boron	80	80	7	11.42857	11.42857
WOD	Boron	80	80	7	11.42857	11.42857
MB1	Cadmium	2	2.58328	0.016	125	161.455
WOC	Cadmium	2	2.58328	0.016	125	161.455
WOD	Cadmium	2	2.58328	0.016	125	161.455
MB1	Cobalt	4	4	0.29	13.7931	13.7931
WOC	Cobalt	4	4	0.29	13.7931	13.7931
WOD	Cobalt	4	4	0.29	13.7931	13.7931
MB1	Lithium	15000	15000		ERR	ERR
WOC	Lithium	15000	15000		ERR	ERR

Table G-3 (continued)

Location	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	UCL Detection Limit	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	UCL Quotient
WOD	Lithium	15000	15000		ERR	ERR
MB1	Molybdenum	40	40	30	1.333333	1.333333
WOC	Molybdenum	40	40	30	1.333333	1.333333
WOD	Molybdenum	40	40	30	1.333333	1.333333
MB1	Selenium	50	50	7.6	6.578947	6.578947
WOC	Selenium	5	74.55252	7.6	0.657895	9.809542
MB1	Silver	0.5	5.1414	0.063	7.936508	81.60952
WOC	Silver	5	5	0.063	79.36508	79.36508
WOD	Silver	5	5	0.063	79.36508	79.36508
MB1	Tin	50	50		ERR	ERR
WOC	Tin	50	50		ERR	ERR
WOD	Tin	50	50		ERR	ERR
WOC	Titanium	20	20		ERR	ERR
WOD	Titanium	20	20		ERR	ERR
WOC	Vanadium	2	2	9.4	0.212766	0.212766
WOD	Vanadium	2	2	9.4	0.212766	0.212766
MB1	Zirconium	20	20		ERR	ERR
WOC	Zirconium	20	20		ERR	ERR

Table G.3 (continued)

Location	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	UCL Detection Limit	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	UCL Quotient
WOD	Zirconium	20	20		ERR	ERR
MBI	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	7.10235	0.24	20.83333	29.59313
WOC	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	7.10235	0.24	20.83333	29.59313
WOD	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	5	7.17988	0.24	20.83333	29.91617
MBI	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	7.10235	27	0.185185	0.26305
WOC	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	7.10235	27	0.185185	0.26305
WOD	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	5	7.17988	27	0.185185	0.265921
MBI	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	7.10235	92	0.054348	0.077199
WOC	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	7.10235	92	0.054348	0.077199
WOD	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5	7.17988	92	0.054348	0.078042
WOC	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	7.10235	0.51	9.803922	13.92618
WOD	1,1-Dichloroethane	5	7.17988	0.51	9.803922	14.0782
MBI	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	5	7.17988	13	0.384615	0.552298
WOC	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	5	7.17988	13	0.384615	0.552298
WOD	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	5	7.26336	13	0.384615	0.55872
MBI	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	7.10235	130	0.038462	0.054633
WOC	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	7.10235	130	0.038462	0.054633
WOD	1,2-Dichloroethane	5	7.17988	130	0.038462	0.05523

Table G.3 (continued)

Location	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	UCL Detection Limit	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	UCL Quotient
WOC	1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE (TOTAL)	5	7.10235	0.34	14.70588	20.88926
WOD	1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE (TOTAL)	5	7.17988	0.34	14.70588	21.11729
MB1	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	7.10235	5700	0.000877	0.001246
WOC	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	7.10235	5700	0.000877	0.001246
WOD	1,2-Dichloropropane	5	7.17988	5700	0.000877	0.00126
MB1	2-BUTANONE	10	14.2047	1400	0.007143	0.010146
WOC	2-BUTANONE	10	14.2047	1400	0.007143	0.010146
WOD	2-BUTANONE	10	14.35976	1400	0.007143	0.010257
MB1	2-HEXANONE	10	14.2047	1.1	9.090909	12.91336
WOC	2-HEXANONE	10	14.2047	1.1	9.090909	12.91336
WOD	2-HEXANONE	10	14.35976	1.1	9.090909	13.05433
MB1	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE	10	14.2047	2.3	4.347826	6.175957
WOC	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE	10	14.2047	2.3	4.347826	6.175957
MB1	Benzene	5	7.17988	2.6	1.923077	2.761492
WOD	Benzene	5	7.26336	2.6	1.923077	2.7936
MB1	Bromoform	5	7.10235		ERR	ERR
WOC	Bromoform	5	7.10235		ERR	ERR
WOD	Bromoform	5	7.17988		ERR	ERR

Table G.3 (continued)

Location	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	UCL Detection Limit	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	UCL Quotient
MB1	Bromomethane	10	14.2047		ERR	ERR
WOC	Bromomethane	10	14.2047		ERR	ERR
WOD	Bromomethane	10	14.35976		ERR	ERR
MB1	Carbon tetrachloride	5	7.10235	55	0.090909	0.129134
WOC	Carbon tetrachloride	5	7.10235	55	0.090909	0.129134
WOD	Carbon tetrachloride	5	7.17988	55	0.090909	0.130543
MB1	Chlorobenzene	5	7.17988	8.4	0.595238	0.854748
WOC	Chlorobenzene	5	7.17988	8.4	0.595238	0.854748
WOD	Chlorobenzene	5	7.26336	8.4	0.595238	0.864686
MB1	Chloroethane	10	14.2047		ERR	ERR
WOC	Chloroethane	10	14.2047		ERR	ERR
WOD	Chloroethane	10	14.35976		ERR	ERR
MB1	Chloromethane	10	14.2047		ERR	ERR
WOC	Chloromethane	10	14.2047		ERR	ERR
WOD	Chloromethane	10	14.35976		ERR	ERR
MB1	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	5	7.10235	2.6	1.923077	2.731673
WOC	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	5	7.10235	2.6	1.923077	2.731673
WOD	Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	5	7.17988	2.6	1.923077	2.761492

Table G.3 (continued)

Location	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	UCL Detection Limit	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	UCL Quotient
MB1	Dibromochloromethane	5	7.10235		ERR	ERR
WOC	Dibromochloromethane	5	7.10235		ERR	ERR
WOD	Dibromochloromethane	5	7.17988		ERR	ERR
MB1	Dichlorobromomethane	5	7.10235		ERR	ERR
WOD	Dichlorobromomethane	5	7.17988		ERR	ERR
MB1	Ethylbenzene	5	7.10235	20	0.25	0.355118
WOD	Ethylbenzene	5	7.17988	20	0.25	0.358994
MB1	PCB (AROCOLOR-1221)	0.5	0.5421	0.0029	172.4138	186.931
WOC	PCB (AROCOLOR-1221)	0.5	0.53763	0.0029	172.4138	185.3897
WOD	PCB (AROCOLOR-1221)	0.5	0.5421	0.0029	172.4138	186.931
MB1	PCB (AROCOLOR-1232)	0.5	0.5421	0.0058	86.2069	93.46552
WOC	PCB (AROCOLOR-1232)	0.5	0.53763	0.0058	86.2069	92.69483
WOD	PCB (AROCOLOR-1232)	0.5	0.5421	0.0058	86.2069	93.46552
MB1	PCB (AROCOLOR-1242)	0.5	0.5421	0.00097	515.4639	558.866
WOC	PCB (AROCOLOR-1242)	0.5	0.53763	0.00097	515.4639	554.2577
WOD	PCB (AROCOLOR-1242)	0.5	0.5421	0.00097	515.4639	558.866
MB1	PCB (AROCOLOR-1248)	0.5	0.5421	0.0062	80.64516	87.43548
WOC	PCB (AROCOLOR-1248)	0.5	0.53763	0.0062	80.64516	86.71452

Table G-3 (continued)

Location	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	UCL Detection Limit	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	UCL Quotient
WOD	PCB (AROCOR-1248)	0.5	0.5421	0.0062	80.64516	87.43548
MB1	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	1	1.0421	0.00028	3571.429	3721.786
WOD	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	1	1.05587	0.00028	3571.429	3770.964
MB1	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	1	1.0421	0.11	9.090909	9.473636
WOC	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	1	1.0421	0.11	9.090909	9.473636
WOD	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	1	1.05587	0.11	9.090909	9.598818
MB1	Styrene	5	7.10235		ERR	ERR
WOC	Styrene	5	7.10235		ERR	ERR
WOD	Styrene	5	7.17988		ERR	ERR
MB1	Tetrachloroethylene	5	7.10235	6.5	0.769231	1.092669
MB1	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	5	7.10235		ERR	ERR
WOC	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	5	7.10235		ERR	ERR
WOD	Trans-1,3-dichloropropene	5	7.17988		ERR	ERR
WOD	Trichloroethene	5	5	30	0.166667	0.166667
MB1	Vinyl acetate	10	14.2047	0.034	294.1176	417.7853
WOC	Vinyl acetate	10	14.2047	0.034	294.1176	417.7853
WOD	Vinyl acetate	10	14.35976	0.034	294.1176	422.3459
MB1	Vinyl chloride	10	14.2047	0.95	10.52632	14.95232

Table G.3 (continued)

Location	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	UCL Detection Limit	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	UCL Quotient
WOC	Vinyl chloride	10	14.2047	0.95	10.52632	14.95232
WOD	Vinyl chloride	10	14.35976	0.95	10.52632	15.11554
MB1	BOD	5000	5000		ERR	ERR
WOC	CL	10	10		ERR	ERR
WOD	CL	10	10		ERR	ERR
MB1	CL	10	10		ERR	ERR
WOC	COD	5000	5000		ERR	ERR
WOD	COD	5000	5000		ERR	ERR

Table G.4. Sediment concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$), pore water concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$), and toxicity quotients for detected contaminants

Reach	Name	Kd or log(Kow)	Mean Sediment Conc.	Mean Pore Water Conc.	UCL Sediment Conc.	UCL Pore Water Conc.	Lower Screening Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
1	Aluminum		1302684		5349368				
2	Aluminum		597302.3		2049831				
3	Aluminum		1474758		10160631				
1	Antimony		5757.81		8854.753				
2	Antimony		4940.429		6041.952				
3	Antimony		5466.54		33556.8				
1	Arsenic		3787.394		7488.599				
2	Arsenic		1541.625		2544.417				
3	Arsenic		3242.541		16978.05				
1	Barium	2800	72761	25.98607	178470.6	63.73949	0.11	236.237	579.4799
2	Barium	2800	146614.3	52.36227	160742.9	57.40818	0.11	476.0206	521.8925
3	Barium	2800	118308.5	42.25305	164228.5	58.65304	0.11	384.1186	533.2095
1	Beryllium		239.6349		432.9715				
2	Beryllium		99.87297		184.6889				
3	Beryllium		243.3674		1179.297				
1	Boron		4203.781		7057.911				
2	Boron		2675.944		3728.28				
3	Boron		2638.602		4732.62				
1	Cadmium		223.2779		330.07				

Table G.4 (continued)

Reach	Name	Kd or log(Kow)	Mean Sediment Conc.	Mean Pore Water Conc.	UCL Sediment Conc.	UCL Pore Water Conc.	Lower Screening Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
2	Cadmium		76.05203		119.705				
3	Cadmium		180.2335		1002.833				
1	Calcium		2305182		4677149				
1	Chromium	20000	8281.511	0.414076	18003.85	0.900192	0.066	6.273872	13.63928
2	Chromium	20000	6140.428	0.307021	12097.36	0.604868	0.066	4.651839	9.16467
3	Chromium	20000	5313.449	0.265672	76954.49	3.847725	0.066	4.02534	58.29886
1	Cobalt	30000	6363.088	0.212103	8796.381	0.293213	0.29	0.731389	1.011078
2	Cobalt	30000	10189.49	0.33965	11312.18	0.377073	0.29	1.171206	1.30025
3	Cobalt	30000	11973.38	0.399113	18950.55	0.631685	0.29	1.37625	2.178224
1	Copper		6203.408		34979.93				
2	Copper		2609.334		6200.304				
3	Copper		3117.478		26493.18				
1	Iron		3391037		9212694				
2	Iron		2164799		4107687				
3	Iron		3272606		10052791				
1	Lead		10820.61		21319.1				
2	Lead		3431.455		5620.353				
3	Lead		7951.372		26045.08				
2	Lithium		5552.231		264100.2				

Table G.4 (continued)

Reach	Name	K _d or log(K _{ow})	Mean Sediment Conc.	Mean Pore Water Conc.	UCL Sediment Conc.	UCL Pore Water Conc.	Lower Screening Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
3	Lithium		1533.25		553827.6				
1	Magnesium		572356		2905692				
2	Magnesium		428499.7		840023.3				
3	Magnesium		650717.3		1841421				
1	Manganese		880188.5		3438272				
2	Manganese		1843862		2279375				
3	Manganese		1363273		2071061				
1	Mercury	100000	320.2493	0.003202	1777.652	0.017777	0.00005	64.04986	355.5305
2	Mercury	100000	52.07865	0.000521	464.4843	0.004645	0.00005	10.41573	92.89686
3	Mercury	100000	65.62617	0.000656	1218.056	0.012181	0.00005	13.12523	243.6111
1	Molybdenum		2595.207		4701.045				
2	Molybdenum		2148.277		3111.613				
3	Molybdenum		1719.305		6052.607				
1	Nickel		16869.95		175699.9				
2	Nickel		4973.999		6209.439				
3	Nickel		6654.074		15060.5				
1	Potassium		113058.4		161389.3				
2	Potassium		116725.5		121652.1				
3	Potassium		115937.1		134910.8				

Table G.4 (continued)

Reach	Name	Kd or log(Kow)	Mean Sediment Conc.	Mean Pore Water Conc.	UCL Sediment Conc.	UCL Pore Water Conc.	Lower Screening Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
1	Selenium		5754.482		9542.6				
2	Selenium		3051.864		4768.213				
3	Selenium		5657.278		65646.58				
1	Silicon		234981.2		810035.9				
2	Silicon		77663.96		305724.1				
3	Silicon		93154.9		37858653				
1	Silver	200	2451.187	12.25593	5738.182	28.69091	0.063	194.5386	455.4113
2	Silver	200	714.9001	3.574001	1087.237	5.436187	0.063	56.73017	86.28868
3	Silver	200	1412.576	7.062879	5418.196	27.09098	0.063	112.1092	430.0155
1	Sodium		46742.43		134757				
2	Sodium		18352.41		31175.82				
3	Sodium		67881.65		384680.3				
1	Strontium		6113.103		9105.777				
2	Strontium		8163.61		8785.163				
3	Strontium		6747.477		9545.565				
1	Tin		4720.501		6971.699				
2	Tin		3248.306		4718.754				
3	Tin		4870.845		14455.95				
1	Titanium		5547.642		50728.75				

Table G.4 (continued)

Reach	Name	Kd or log(Kow)	Mean Sediment Conc.	Mean Pore Water Conc.	UCL Sediment Conc.	UCL Pore Water Conc.	Lower Screening Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
2	Titanium		902.1806		22272.49				
3	Titanium		4873.746		728941.4				
1	Vanadium		4917.347		13477.84				
2	Vanadium		1726.565		4405.474				
3	Vanadium		3774.96		17775.31				
1	Zinc	1000	51743.02	51.74302	212155.1	212.1551	3.8	13.61658	55.83028
2	Zinc	1000	47518.7	47.5187	122583.7	122.5837	3.8	12.50492	32.25886
3	Zinc	1000	19175.53	19.17553	146113.6	146.1136	3.8	5.046192	38.45094
1	Zirconium	60000	1892.213	0.031537	2901.512	0.048359			
2	Zirconium	60000	1185.656	0.019761	1506.674	0.025111			
3	Zirconium	60000	2346.857	0.039114	40003.52	0.666725			
3	Benzene	2.15	18.4554	13.06542	45.3274	21.08251	2.6	5.025163	8.108658
3	Chloroform	1.94	8.70077	9.989821	11.42469	13.1173	14	0.713559	0.93695
2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	5.2	5643.316	3.560692	10390.38	6.555889	3.1	1.14861	2.114803
3	Di-n-butyl phthalate	5.2	6635.029	4.18642	18214.28	11.49243	3.1	1.350458	3.707236
3	Methylene chloride	1.25	23.60764	132.7555	603.3984	3393.159	190	0.698713	17.85873
1	PCB-1254	6.03	128.7561	0.012016	543.5803	0.05073	0.00028	42.91506	181.1781
2	PCB-1254	6.03	58.76982	0.005485	134.317	0.012535	0.00028	19.58828	44.76855
3	PCB-1254	6.03	281.5971	0.02628	3188.23	0.297543	0.00028	93.85776	1062.653

Table G.4 (continued)

Reach	Name	K _d or log(K _{ow})	Mean Sediment Conc.	Mean Pore Water Conc.	UCL Sediment Conc.	UCL Pore Water Conc.	Lower Screening Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
1	PCB-1260	7.14	278.647	0.002019	2573.309	0.018642	0.11	0.018351	0.169472
2	PCB-1260	7.14	322.5255	0.002336	1590.091	0.011519	0.11	0.021241	0.10472
3	Toluene	2.58	16.83965	4.429279	22.77183	5.989602	7.5	0.590571	0.798614

Table G.5. Sediment detection limits ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$), estimated pore water concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$), and toxicity quotients for undetected chemicals

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
1	Gallium		7806.23		15185.73			ERR	ERR
2	Gallium		4055.78		6242.262			ERR	ERR
3	Gallium		7471.945		23515.6			ERR	ERR
1	Lithium		5009.02		10109.22			ERR	ERR
3	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	2.48	10	3.311311	10	3.311311	240	0.013797	0.013797
3	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	2.39	20.65071	8.412692	30.51393	12.43077		ERR	ERR
3	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	2.48	10	3.311311	10	3.311311	58	0.057092	0.057092
3	1,1-Dichloroethane	1.79	10	16.2181	10	16.2181	0.51	31.8002	31.8002
3	1,1-Dichloroethylene	1.48	10	33.11311	10	33.11311	13	2.547162	2.547162
1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	4.23	10000	58.88437	10000	58.88437	50	1.177687	1.177687
2	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	4.23	10000	58.88437	10000	58.88437	50	1.177687	1.177687
3	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	4.23	7431.009	43.75703	10517.06	61.92904	50	0.875141	1.238581
1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	3.39	10000	407.3803	10000	407.3803	50	8.147606	8.147606
2	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	3.39	10000	407.3803	10000	407.3803	50	8.147606	8.147606
3	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	3.39	7431.009	302.7247	10517.06	428.4443	50	6.054493	8.568885
3	1,2-Dichloroethane	1.47	10	33.88442	10	33.88442	130	0.260649	0.260649
3	1,2-Dichloropropane	2.28	10	5.248075	10	5.248075	5700	0.000921	0.000921
3	1,2-Dichloropropene		10	1000	10	1000	244	4.098361	4.098361
1	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	2.94	20000	2296.307	20000	2296.307		ERR	ERR
2	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	2.94	20000	2296.307	20000	2296.307		ERR	ERR

Table G.5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
3	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	2.94	12901.84	1481.33	21274.1	2442.594		ERR	ERR
1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	3.41	10000	389.0451	10000	389.0451	50	7.780903	7.780903
2	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	3.41	10000	389.0451	10000	389.0451	50	7.780903	7.780903
3	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	3.41	7431.009	289.0998	10517.06	409.1611	50	5.781996	8.183222
1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3.38	10000	416.8694	10000	416.8694	50	8.337388	8.337388
2	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3.38	10000	416.8694	10000	416.8694	50	8.337388	8.337388
3	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3.38	7431.009	309.776	10517.06	438.424	50	6.195521	8.76848
1	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	3.72	22360.68	426.074	284124.1	5413.873	970	0.439252	5.581312
2	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	3.72	19036.54	362.7338	40776.35	776.9774	970	0.373952	0.801008
3	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	3.72	17099.76	325.8292	38706.7	737.5409	970	0.335906	0.760351
1	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	3.69	10000	204.1738	10000	204.1738	970	0.210488	0.210488
2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	3.69	10000	204.1738	10000	204.1738	970	0.210488	0.210488
3	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	3.69	7431.009	151.7217	10517.06	214.7308	970	0.156414	0.221372
1	2,4-Dichlorophenol	3.06	10000	870.9636	10000	870.9636	365	2.386202	2.386202
2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	3.06	10000	870.9636	10000	870.9636	365	2.386202	2.386202
3	2,4-Dichlorophenol	3.06	7431.009	647.2139	10517.06	915.9976	365	1.773189	2.509582
1	2,4-Dimethylphenol	2.36	22360.68	9760.791	52991.98	23131.84		ERR	ERR
2	2,4-Dimethylphenol	2.36	22360.68	9760.791	39603.45	17287.53		ERR	ERR
3	2,4-Dimethylphenol	2.36	17328.6	7564.206	34387.19	15010.55		ERR	ERR
3	2,4-Dinitrophenol	1.54	929.7849	2681.529	1184.124	3415.051	150	17.87686	22.76701

Table G.5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
1	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.98	10000	10471.29	10000	10471.29	230	45.52733	45.52733
2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.98	10000	10471.29	10000	10471.29	230	45.52733	45.52733
3	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.98	7431.009	7781.222	10517.06	11012.71	230	33.8314	47.88136
1	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.98	10000	10471.29	10000	10471.29	230	45.52733	45.52733
2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.98	10000	10471.29	10000	10471.29	230	45.52733	45.52733
3	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.98	7431.009	7781.222	10517.06	11012.71	230	33.8314	47.88136
3	2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	0.99	10	102.3293	10	102.3293		ERR	ERR
1	2-Chloronaphthalene	4.12	10000	75.85776	10000	75.85776		ERR	ERR
2	2-Chloronaphthalene	4.12	10000	75.85776	10000	75.85776		ERR	ERR
3	2-Chloronaphthalene	4.12	7431.009	56.36997	10517.06	79.78006		ERR	ERR
1	2-Chlorophenol	2.15	10000	7079.458	10000	7079.458	2000	3.539729	3.539729
2	2-Chlorophenol	2.15	10000	7079.458	10000	7079.458	2000	3.539729	3.539729
3	2-Chlorophenol	2.15	7431.009	5260.752	10517.06	7445.508	2000	2.630376	3.722754
3	2-Chlorovinyl ether		10	1000	10	1000		ERR	ERR
1	2-Methylnaphthalene		10000	1000000	10000	1000000		ERR	ERR
2	2-Methylnaphthalene		10000	1000000	10000	1000000		ERR	ERR
3	2-Methylnaphthalene		10000	1000000	10000	1000000		ERR	ERR
1	2-Methylphenol	1.95	10000	11220.18	10000	11220.18	4.1	2736.63	2736.63
2	2-Methylphenol	1.95	10000	11220.18	10000	11220.18	4.1	2736.63	2736.63
3	2-Methylphenol	1.95	10000	11220.18	10000	11220.18	4.1	2736.63	2736.63

Table G.5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
1	2-Nitroaniline	1.79	22360.68	36264.78	284124.1	460795.3		ERR	ERR
2	2-Nitroaniline	1.79	19036.54	30873.65	40776.35	66131.5		ERR	ERR
3	2-Nitroaniline	1.79	14118.2	22897.04	28405.77	46068.76		ERR	ERR
1	2-Nitrophenol	1.79	15874.01	25744.63	31008.84	50290.45	150	171.6309	335.2697
2	2-Nitrophenol	1.79	15157.17	24582.04	19694.09	31940.07	150	163.8803	212.9338
3	2-Nitrophenol	1.79	17239.46	27959.12	20315.73	32948.25	150	186.3942	219.655
1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	3.02	31622.78	3019.952	225593.1	21543.97		ERR	ERR
2	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	3.02	28150.43	2688.345	49005.9	4680.027		ERR	ERR
3	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	3.02	25587.79	2443.615	47835.74	4568.278		ERR	ERR
1	3-Nitroaniline	1.37	22360.68	95386.08	284124.1	1212015		ERR	ERR
2	3-Nitroaniline	1.37	19036.54	81205.98	40776.35	173943.6		ERR	ERR
3	3-Nitroaniline	1.37	14118.2	60225.34	28405.77	121173.2		ERR	ERR
1	4,4'DDD	6.02	10000	0.954993	10000	0.954993	0.00004	23874.81	23874.81
2	4,4'DDD	6.02	10000	0.954993	10000	0.954993	0.00004	23874.81	23874.81
3	4,4'DDD	6.02	6938.884	0.662658	10662.9	1.018299	0.00004	16566.46	25457.48
1	4,4'DDB	5.83	10000	1.479108	10000	1.479108		ERR	ERR
2	4,4'DDB	5.83	10000	1.479108	10000	1.479108		ERR	ERR
3	4,4'DDB	5.83	6938.884	1.026336	10662.9	1.577159		ERR	ERR
1	4,4'DDT	5.69	10000	2.041738	10000	2.041738	0.001	2041.738	2041.738
2	4,4'DDT	5.69	10000	2.041738	10000	2.041738	0.001	2041.738	2041.738

Table G.5 (continued)

Resch	Chemical	log (Kow)	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
3	4,4'DDT	5.69	6938.884	1.416738	10662.9	2.177085	0.001	1416.738	2177.085
1	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	3.5	27144.18	858.3742	73026.53	2309.302		ERR	ERR
2	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	3.5	28854	912.4435	43082.26	1362.381		ERR	ERR
3	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	3.5	19815.95	626.6354	31182.31	986.0712		ERR	ERR
1	4-Bromophenyl phenylether	5.24	10000	5.754399	10000	5.754399		ERR	ERR
2	4-Bromophenyl phenylether	5.24	10000	5.754399	10000	5.754399		ERR	ERR
3	4-Bromophenyl phenylether	5.24	10000	5.754399	10000	5.754399		ERR	ERR
3	4-Bromophenyl phenylether	5.24	929.7849	0.535035	1184.124	0.681392		ERR	ERR
1	4-Chloroaniline	1.83	10000	14791.08	10000	14791.08		ERR	ERR
2	4-Chloroaniline	1.83	10000	14791.08	10000	14791.08		ERR	ERR
3	4-Chloroaniline	1.83	10000	14791.08	10000	14791.08		ERR	ERR
1	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	4.08	10000	83.17638	10000	83.17638		ERR	ERR
2	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	4.08	10000	83.17638	10000	83.17638		ERR	ERR
3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	4.08	7431.009	61.80844	10517.06	87.47709		ERR	ERR
1	4-Methylphenol	1.94	10000	11481.54	10000	11481.54		ERR	ERR
2	4-Methylphenol	1.94	10000	11481.54	10000	11481.54		ERR	ERR
3	4-Methylphenol	1.94	10000	11481.54	10000	11481.54		ERR	ERR
1	4-Nitroaniline	1.39	22360.68	91093	284124.1	1157466		ERR	ERR
2	4-Nitroaniline	1.39	19036.54	77551.11	40776.35	166114.8		ERR	ERR
3	4-Nitroaniline	1.39	14118.2	57514.76	28405.77	115719.5		ERR	ERR

Table G-5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
1	4-Nitrophenol	1.91	50000	61513.44	50000	61513.44	11	5592.131	5592.131
2	4-Nitrophenol	1.91	50000	61513.44	50000	61513.44	11	5592.131	5592.131
3	4-Nitrophenol	1.91	30384.11	37380.63	52797.34	64954.92	11	3398.239	5904.993
1	Acenaphthene	3.92	10000	120.2264	10000	120.2264	2.2	54.64838	54.64838
2	Acenaphthene	3.92	10000	120.2264	10000	120.2264	2.2	54.64838	54.64838
3	Acenaphthene	3.92	7431.009	89.34038	10517.06	126.4429	2.2	40.60927	57.47403
1	Acenaphthylene	4.07	10000	85.1138	10000	85.1138		ERR	ERR
2	Acenaphthylene	4.07	10000	85.1138	10000	85.1138		ERR	ERR
3	Acenaphthylene	4.07	7431.009	63.24815	10517.06	89.5147		ERR	ERR
3	Acrolein	0.09	929.7849	75575.76	1184.124	96249.22	21	3598.846	4583.296
3	Acrylonitrile	0.25	929.7849	52285.65	1184.124	66588.19	2600	20.10986	25.61084
1	Aldrin	3.01	10000	977.2372	10000	977.2372		ERR	ERR
2	Aldrin	3.01	10000	977.2372	10000	977.2372		ERR	ERR
3	Aldrin	3.01	6938.884	678.0936	10662.9	1042.018		ERR	ERR
1	Alpha BHC	3.8	10000	158.4893	10000	158.4893	0.24	660.3722	660.3722
2	Alpha BHC	3.8	10000	158.4893	10000	158.4893	0.24	660.3722	660.3722
3	Alpha BHC	3.8	6938.884	109.9739	10662.9	168.9956	0.24	458.2246	704.1484
1	Aniline	0.9	10000	125892.5	10000	125892.5		ERR	ERR
2	Aniline	0.9	10000	125892.5	10000	125892.5		ERR	ERR
3	Aniline	0.9	10000	125892.5	10000	125892.5		ERR	ERR

Table G.5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
1	Anthracene	4.45	10000	35.48134	10000	35.48134	0.00001	35.48134	35.48134
2	Anthracene	4.45	10000	35.48134	10000	35.48134	0.00001	35.48134	35.48134
3	Anthracene	4.45	7431.009	26.36622	10517.06	37.31594	0.00001	26.36622	37.31594
1	Benzo(a)pyrene	1.34	50000	228544.1	50000	228544.1	0.037	6176867	6176867
2	Benzo(a)pyrene	1.34	50000	228544.1	50000	228544.1	0.037	6176867	6176867
3	Benzo(a)pyrene	1.34	39318.81	179721.6	52719.36	240973.9	0.037	4857341	6512809
1	Benzo(a)pyrene	1.87	50000	67448.14	50000	67448.14	0.45	149884.8	149884.8
2	Benzo(a)pyrene	1.87	50000	67448.14	50000	67448.14	0.45	149884.8	149884.8
3	Benzo(a)pyrene	1.87	50000	67448.14	50000	67448.14	0.45	149884.8	149884.8
1	Benzo(a)pyrene	5.61	29240.18	7.177612	94035.37	23.08295	0.0027	2658.375	8549.239
2	Benzo(a)pyrene	5.61	26265.28	6.447361	48776.35	11.97317	0.0027	2387.912	4434.509
3	Benzo(a)pyrene	5.61	22469.31	5.515561	47578.89	11.67923	0.0027	2042.8	4325.642
1	Benzo(a)pyrene	6.04	10000	0.912011	10000	0.912011	0.0013	701.5468	701.5468
2	Benzo(a)pyrene	6.04	10000	0.912011	10000	0.912011	0.0013	701.5468	701.5468
3	Benzo(a)pyrene	6.04	7431.009	0.677716	10517.06	0.959167	0.0013	521.3201	737.821
1	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	6.84	10000	0.144544	10000	0.144544		ERR	ERR
2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	6.84	10000	0.144544	10000	0.144544		ERR	ERR
3	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	6.84	7431.009	0.107411	10517.06	0.152018		ERR	ERR
1	Benzo(ghi)perylene	6.58	14142.14	0.371976	78531.02	2.065576		ERR	ERR
2	Benzo(ghi)perylene	6.58	15157.17	0.398674	19694.09	0.518007		ERR	ERR

Table G.5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
3	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	6.58	11967.57	0.314779	19476.71	0.51229		ERR	ERR
1	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	6.84	10000	0.144544	10000	0.144544		ERR	ERR
2	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	6.84	10000	0.144544	10000	0.144544		ERR	ERR
3	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	6.84	7431.009	0.107411	10517.06	0.152018		ERR	ERR
1	Benzyl alcohol	1.1	10000	79432.82	10000	79432.82	0.025	3177313	3177313
2	Benzyl alcohol	1.1	10000	79432.82	10000	79432.82	0.025	3177313	3177313
3	Benzyl alcohol	1.1	10000	79432.82	10000	79432.82	0.025	3177313	3177313
1	Beta BHC	3.78	10000	165.9587	10000	165.9587	0.24	691.4945	691.4945
2	Beta BHC	3.78	10000	165.9587	10000	165.9587	0.24	691.4945	691.4945
3	Beta BHC	3.78	6938.884	115.1568	10662.9	176.9601	0.24	479.82	737.3339
1	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.75	10000	177827.9	10000	177827.9		ERR	ERR
2	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.75	10000	177827.9	10000	177827.9		ERR	ERR
3	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.75	7431.009	132144.1	10517.06	187022.7		ERR	ERR
1	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	1.58	10000	26302.68	10000	26302.68		ERR	ERR
2	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	1.58	10000	26302.68	10000	26302.68		ERR	ERR
3	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	1.58	7431.009	19545.55	10517.06	27662.69		ERR	ERR
1	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	2.58	10000	2630.268	10000	2630.268		ERR	ERR
2	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	2.58	10000	2630.268	10000	2630.268		ERR	ERR
3	Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	2.58	7431.009	1954.555	10517.06	2766.269		ERR	ERR
1	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	4.89	10000	12.8825	10000	12.8825	3	4.294165	4.294165

Table G.5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
2	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	4.89	10000	12.8825	10000	12.8825	3	4.294165	4.294165
3	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	4.89	7431.009	9.572995	10517.06	13.5486	3	3.190998	4.516199
3	Bis(chloromethyl)ether	-0.38	929.7849	223039.9	1184.124	284051.6		ERR	ERR
3	Bromoform	2.38	10	4.168694	10	4.168694		ERR	ERR
1	Butylbenzylphthalate	4.77	10000	16.98244	10000	16.98244	3	5.660812	5.660812
2	Butylbenzylphthalate	4.77	10000	16.98244	10000	16.98244	3	5.660812	5.660812
3	Butylbenzylphthalate	4.77	7431.009	12.61966	10517.06	17.86053	3	4.206555	5.95351
3	Carbon tetrachloride	2.83	10	1.479108	10	1.479108	55	0.0726893	0.0726893
1	Chlordane	2.78	10000	1659.587	10000	1659.587	0.0043	385950.4	385950.4
2	Chlordane	2.78	10000	1659.587	10000	1659.587	0.0043	385950.4	385950.4
3	Chlordane	2.78	8903.041	1477.537	10387.61	1723.915	0.0043	343613.3	400910.4
3	Chlorobenzene	2.84	10	1.44544	10	1.44544	8.4	0.172076	0.172076
3	Chlorodibromomethane	2.09	10	8.128305	10	8.128305		ERR	ERR
3	Chloroethane	1.43	10	37.15352	10	37.15352		ERR	ERR
1	Chrysene	5.91	10000	1.230269	10000	1.230269		ERR	ERR
2	Chrysene	5.91	10000	1.230269	10000	1.230269		ERR	ERR
3	Chrysene	5.91	7431.009	0.914214	10517.06	1.293881		ERR	ERR
1	Delta BHC	4.14	10000	72.4436	10000	72.4436	0.24	301.8483	301.8483
2	Delta BHC	4.14	10000	72.4436	10000	72.4436	0.24	301.8483	301.8483
3	Delta BHC	4.14	6938.884	50.26777	10662.9	77.2459	0.24	209.449	321.8579

Table G.5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
1	Dibenzofuran	4.12	10000	75.85776	10000	75.85776	2	37.92888	37.92888
2	Dibenzofuran	4.12	10000	75.85776	10000	75.85776	2	37.92888	37.92888
3	Dibenzofuran	4.12	10000	75.85776	10000	75.85776	2	37.92888	37.92888
1	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	6.5	14142.14	0.447214	78531.02	2.483369		ERR	ERR
2	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	6.5	15157.17	0.479312	19694.09	0.622782		ERR	ERR
3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	6.5	11967.57	0.378448	19476.71	0.615908		ERR	ERR
3	Dichlorobromomethane	2.09	10	8.128305	10	8.128305		ERR	ERR
3	Dichlorodifluoromethane	2.16	10	6.91831	10	6.91831		ERR	ERR
1	Dieldrin	3.87	10000	134.8963	10000	134.8963	0.0019	70998.05	70998.05
2	Dieldrin	3.87	10000	134.8963	10000	134.8963	0.0019	70998.05	70998.05
3	Dieldrin	3.87	6938.884	93.60297	10662.9	143.8386	0.0019	49264.72	75704.52
1	Diethyl phthalate	2.47	10000	3388.442	10000	3388.442	27	125.4978	125.4978
2	Diethyl phthalate	2.47	10000	3388.442	10000	3388.442	27	125.4978	125.4978
3	Diethyl phthalate	2.47	9099.132	3083.188	10302.33	3490.886	27	114.1921	129.2921
1	Dimethyl phthalate	2.12	10000	7585.776	10000	7585.776	3	2528.592	2528.592
2	Dimethyl phthalate	2.12	10000	7585.776	10000	7585.776	3	2528.592	2528.592
3	Dimethyl phthalate	2.12	7431.009	5636.997	10517.06	7978.006	3	1878.999	2659.335
1	Di-n-butyl phthalate	4.9	10000	12.58925	10000	12.58925	3	4.196418	4.196418
1	Di-n-octyl phthalate	5.55	10000	2.818383	10000	2.818383	100	0.028184	0.028184
2	Di-n-octyl phthalate	5.55	10000	2.818383	10000	2.818383	100	0.028184	0.028184

Table G.5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
3	Di-n-octyl phthalate	5.55	7431.009	2.094343	10517.06	2.96411	100	0.020943	0.029641
1	Endosulfan I	3.83	10000	147.9108	10000	147.9108	0.56	264.1265	264.1265
2	Endosulfan I	3.83	10000	147.9108	10000	147.9108	0.56	264.1265	264.1265
3	Endosulfan I	3.83	6938.884	102.6336	10662.9	157.7159	0.56	183.2743	281.6355
1	Endosulfan II	3.62	10000	239.8833	10000	239.8833	0.56	428.363	428.363
2	Endosulfan II	3.62	10000	239.8833	10000	239.8833	0.56	428.363	428.363
3	Endosulfan II	3.62	6938.884	166.4522	10662.9	255.7852	0.56	297.2361	456.7593
1	Endosulfan sulfate	3.66	10000	218.7762	10000	218.7762	0.56	390.6717	390.6717
2	Endosulfan sulfate	3.66	10000	218.7762	10000	218.7762	0.56	390.6717	390.6717
3	Endosulfan sulfate	3.66	6938.884	151.8062	10662.9	233.2789	0.56	271.0826	416.5694
1	Endrin	5.6	10000	2.511886	10000	2.511886	0.0023	1092.125	1092.125
2	Endrin	5.6	10000	2.511886	10000	2.511886	0.0023	1092.125	1092.125
3	Endrin	5.6	6938.884	1.742969	10662.9	2.6784	0.0023	757.8125	1164.522
1	Endrin aldehyde	5.6	10000	2.511886	10000	2.511886	0.0023	1092.125	1092.125
2	Endrin aldehyde	5.6	10000	2.511886	10000	2.511886	0.0023	1092.125	1092.125
3	Endrin aldehyde	5.6	6938.884	1.742969	10662.9	2.6784	0.0023	757.8125	1164.522
3	Ethylbenzene	3.15	10	0.707946	10	0.707946	20	0.035397	0.035397
1	Fluoranthene	4.9	10000	12.58925	10000	12.58925	1.7	7.405444	7.405444
2	Fluoranthene	4.9	10000	12.58925	10000	12.58925	1.7	7.405444	7.405444
3	Fluoranthene	4.9	7431.009	9.355087	10517.06	13.24019	1.7	5.502992	7.788349

Table G.5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
1	Fluorene	4.18	10000	66.06934	10000	66.06934		ERR	ERR
2	Fluorene	4.18	10000	66.06934	10000	66.06934		ERR	ERR
3	Fluorene	4.18	7431.009	49.09619	10517.06	69.48552		ERR	ERR
1	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	3.72	10000	190.5461	10000	190.5461	0.033	5774.123	5774.123
2	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	3.72	10000	190.5461	10000	190.5461	0.033	5774.123	5774.123
3	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	3.72	6938.884	132.2177	10662.9	203.1774	0.033	4006.597	6156.891
1	Heptachlor	5.44	10000	3.630781	10000	3.630781	0.0038	955.4686	955.4686
2	Heptachlor	5.44	10000	3.630781	10000	3.630781	0.0038	955.4686	955.4686
3	Heptachlor	5.44	6938.884	2.519356	10662.9	3.871466	0.0038	662.9885	1018.807
1	Heptachlor epoxide	4.6	10000	25.11886	10000	25.11886		ERR	ERR
2	Heptachlor epoxide	4.6	10000	25.11886	10000	25.11886		ERR	ERR
3	Heptachlor epoxide	4.6	6938.884	17.42969	10662.9	26.784		ERR	ERR
1	Hexachlorobenzene	5.31	10000	4.897788	10000	4.897788	50	0.097956	0.097956
2	Hexachlorobenzene	5.31	10000	4.897788	10000	4.897788	50	0.097956	0.097956
3	Hexachlorobenzene	5.31	7431.009	3.639551	10517.06	5.151033	50	0.072791	0.103021
1	Hexachlorobutadiene	4.9	10000	12.58925	10000	12.58925	9.3	1.353683	1.353683
2	Hexachlorobutadiene	4.9	10000	12.58925	10000	12.58925	9.3	1.353683	1.353683
3	Hexachlorobutadiene	4.9	7285.36	9.171724	10558.02	13.29176	9.3	0.986207	1.429222
1	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	3.99	10000	102.3293	10000	102.3293	5.2	19.67871	19.67871
2	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	3.99	10000	102.3293	10000	102.3293	5.2	19.67871	19.67871

Table G.5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
3	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	3.99	7431.009	76.041	10517.06	107.6203	5.2	14.62327	20.69622
1	Hexachloroethane	3.34	10000	457.0882	10000	457.0882	540	0.84646	0.84646
2	Hexachloroethane	3.34	10000	457.0882	10000	457.0882	540	0.84646	0.84646
3	Hexachloroethane	3.34	7431.009	339.6627	10517.06	480.7224	540	0.629005	0.890227
1	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	6.58	14142.14	0.371976	78531.02	2.065576		ERR	ERR
2	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	6.58	15157.17	0.398674	19694.09	0.518007		ERR	ERR
3	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	6.58	11967.57	0.314779	19476.71	0.51229		ERR	ERR
1	Isophorone	1.7	10000	19952.62	10000	19952.62		ERR	ERR
2	Isophorone	1.7	10000	19952.62	10000	19952.62		ERR	ERR
3	Isophorone	1.7	7431.009	14826.81	10517.06	20984.29		ERR	ERR
3	Methyl bromide	1.19	10	64.56542	10	64.56542		ERR	ERR
3	Methyl chloride	0.91	10	123.0269	10	123.0269		ERR	ERR
1	Naphthalene	3.59	10000	257.0396	10000	257.0396	1.8	142.7998	142.7998
2	Naphthalene	3.59	10000	257.0396	10000	257.0396	1.8	142.7998	142.7998
3	Naphthalene	3.59	7431.009	191.0064	10517.06	270.3301	1.8	106.1146	150.1834
1	Nitrobenzene	1.85	10000	14125.38	10000	14125.38		ERR	ERR
2	Nitrobenzene	1.85	10000	14125.38	10000	14125.38		ERR	ERR
3	Nitrobenzene	1.85	7431.009	10496.58	10517.06	14855.74		ERR	ERR
1	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	-0.57	50000	18576761	50000	18576761		ERR	ERR
2	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	-0.57	50000	18576761	50000	18576761		ERR	ERR

Table G.5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (K _{ow})	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
3	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	-0.57	28297.18	10513401	53278.45	19794821		ERR	ERR
1	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	3.13	14142.14	1048371	78531.02	5821585	2.5	419.3484	2328.634
2	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	3.13	15157.17	1123.616	19694.09	1459.943	2.5	449.4465	583.9772
3	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	3.13	11967.57	887.1681	19476.71	1443.829	2.5	354.8673	577.5314
1	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	1.36	14142.14	61732.66	78531.02	342800.4		ERR	ERR
2	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	1.36	15157.17	66163.43	19694.09	85967.81		ERR	ERR
3	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	1.36	11967.57	52240.33	19476.71	85018.93		ERR	ERR
1	Parachlorometa cresol	3.1	10000	794.3282	10000	794.3282		ERR	ERR
2	Parachlorometa cresol	3.1	10000	794.3282	10000	794.3282		ERR	ERR
3	Parachlorometa cresol	3.1	7431.009	590.2661	10517.06	835.3998		ERR	ERR
3	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	7.14	9297.849	0.067357	11841.24	0.085782	2.1	0.032075	0.040849
1	Pentachlorophenol	5.12	50000	37.92888	50000	37.92888	13	2.917606	2.917606
2	Pentachlorophenol	5.12	50000	37.92888	50000	37.92888	13	2.917606	2.917606
3	Pentachlorophenol	5.12	30384.11	23.04871	52797.34	40.05088	13	1.772977	3.080637
1	Phenanthrene	4.57	10000	26.91535	10000	26.91535	0.21	128.1683	128.1683
2	Phenanthrene	4.57	10000	26.91535	10000	26.91535	0.21	128.1683	128.1683
3	Phenanthrene	4.57	7431.009	20.00082	10517.06	28.30703	0.21	95.242	134.7954
1	Phenol	1.46	10000	34673.69	10000	34673.69	15	2311.579	2311.579
2	Phenol	1.46	10000	34673.69	10000	34673.69	15	2311.579	2311.579
3	Phenol	1.46	7431.009	25766.05	10517.06	36466.52	15	1717.737	2431.101

Table G.5 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	log (Kow)	Sediment Conc. Mean	Pore Water Mean	Sediment Conc. UCL	Pore Water UCL	Lower Criteria	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
1	Pyrene	4.88	10000	13.18257	10000	13.18257		ERR	ERR
2	Pyrene	4.88	10000	13.18257	10000	13.18257		ERR	ERR
3	Pyrene	4.88	7431.009	9.795978	10517.06	13.86418		ERR	ERR
3	Silver		10000		10000			ERR	ERR
3	Tetrachloroethylene	3.4	14.23836	0.566839	17.45961	0.69508	6.5	0.087206	0.106935
3	Toxaphene	3.3	9297.849	465.9963	11841.24	593.4679	0.0002	2329982	2967339
3	Trans-1,2-dichloroethene	1.48	7.27038	24.07449	9.05317	29.97786	0.34	70.80733	88.17019
3	Trichloroethylene	2.29	10	5.128614	10	5.128614	44	0.116559	0.116559
3	Trichlorofluoromethane	2.53	10	2.951209	10	2.951209		ERR	ERR
3	Vinyl chloride	1.48	10	33.11311	10	33.11311	0.25	132.4524	132.4524

Table G.6. Concentrations of chemicals detected in fish ($\mu\text{g/kg}$), bioconcentration factors, estimated exposure concentrations in water ($\mu\text{g/L}$), and quotients for exposure to that water concentration

Reach	Chemical	Mean Fish Conc.	UCL Fish Conc.	BCF	Mean Estimated Water Conc.	UCL Estimated Water Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
1	Cadmium	12.91986	69.48258	50	0.2583972	1.3896516	1.1	0.234907	1.26332
2	Cadmium	38.53537	140.0356	50	0.7707074	2.8007114	1.1	0.700643	2.546101
3	Cadmium	19.82494	83.82793	50	0.3964988	1.6765586	1.1	0.360453	1.524144
1	Copper	302.114	396.2546	210	1.43863790476	1.88692661905	12	0.119886	0.157244
2	Copper	183.1775	359.912	210	0.87227361905	1.71386647619	12	0.072689	0.142822
3	Copper	224.8019	339.3624	210	1.070485	1.6160112381	12	0.089207	0.134668
1	Lead	42.79836	174.0202	300	0.1426612	0.5800672	3.2	0.044582	0.181271
3	Lead	56.03622	206.4343	300	0.1867874	0.6881144	3.2	0.058371	0.215036
1	Mercury	360.1617	411.3806	63000	0.00571685238	0.00652985143	0.07	0.081669	0.093284
2	Mercury	90.96105	127.2238	63000	0.00144382619	0.00201942556	0.07	0.020626	0.028849
3	Mercury	177.2588	263.7787	63000	0.00281363222	0.00418696286	0.07	0.040195	0.059814
1	Selenium	374.2154	447.7001	8	46.776925	55.9625175	35	1.336484	1.598929
2	Selenium	270.7861	338.3315	8	33.84825875	42.2914425	35	0.967093	1.208327
3	Selenium	242.9395	305.4762	8	30.36743625	38.18452125	35	0.867641	1.090986
1	Zinc	1518.267	4252.786	1000	1.51826664	4.25278627	110	0.013802	0.038662
2	Zinc	1276.191	5272.048	1000	1.27619118	5.27204837	110	0.011602	0.047928
3	Zinc	715.802	4901.007	1000	0.71580198	4.90100691	110	0.006507	0.044555
1	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	324.9637	582.2098	10000000	0.00003249637	0.00005822098	0.2	0.000162	0.000291

Table G.6 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Mean Fish Conc.	UCL Fish Conc.	BCF	Mean Estimated Water Conc.	UCL Estimated Water Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Mean Quotient	UCL Quotient
2	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	47.23417	278.9684	10000000	0.00000472342	0.0002789684	0.2	0.000024	0.000139
3	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	289.4566	542.3311	10000000	0.0002894566	0.0005423311	0.2	0.000145	0.000271
1	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	119.5127	329.4543	10000000	0.0001195127	0.0003294543	0.2	0.00006	0.000165
2	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	40.08909	134.0441	10000000	0.0000400891	0.0001340441	0.2	0.00002	0.000067
3	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	166.0592	377.5468	10000000	0.0001660592	0.0003775468	0.2	0.000083	0.000189

Table G.7. Detection limits for undetected contaminants in fish ($\mu\text{g/kg}$), bioconcentration factors, estimated exposure concentrations in water ($\mu\text{g/L}$) corresponding to the detection limits, lower screening criteria for water, and quotients for the estimated exposure concentrations

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
1	Antimony	500	500	1	500	500	18	27.7778	27.7778
2	Antimony	500	500	1	500	500	18	27.7778	27.7778
3	Antimony	500	500	1	500	500	18	27.7778	27.7778
1	Arsenic	500	500	2.44	204.918	204.918	0.47	435.9958	435.9958
2	Arsenic	500	500	2.44	204.918	204.918	0.47	435.9958	435.9958
3	Arsenic	500	500	2.44	204.918	204.918	0.47	435.9958	435.9958
1	Beryllium	100	100	2	50	50	0.44	113.6364	113.6364
2	Beryllium	100	100	2	50	50	0.44	113.6364	113.6364
3	Beryllium	100	100	2	50	50	0.44	113.6364	113.6364
1	Chromium	500	500	200	2.5	2.5	0.066	37.87879	37.87879
2	Chromium	500	500	200	2.5	2.5	0.066	37.87879	37.87879
3	Chromium	500	500	200	2.5	2.5	0.066	37.87879	37.87879
2	Lead	20	500	300	0.066667	1.666667	0.74	0.09009	2.252252
1	Nickel	500	500	100	5	5	5.2	0.961538	0.961538
2	Nickel	500	500	100	5	5	5.2	0.961538	0.961538
3	Nickel	500	500	100	5	5	5.2	0.961538	0.961538
1	Silver	200	200	2	100	100	0.063	1587.302	1587.302
2	Silver	200	200	2	100	100	0.063	1587.302	1587.302

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
3	Silver	200	200	2	100	100	0.063	1587.302	1587.302
1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	200	2000	1200	0.166667	1.666667	50	0.0033333	0.0333333
2	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	200	2000	1200	0.166667	1.666667	50	0.0033333	0.0333333
3	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	200	2000	1200	0.166667	1.666667	50	0.0033333	0.0333333
1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	200	2000	560	0.357143	3.571429	50	0.007143	0.071429
2	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	200	2000	560	0.357143	3.571429	50	0.007143	0.071429
3	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	200	2000	560	0.357143	3.571429	50	0.007143	0.071429
1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	200	2000	740	0.27027	2.702703	50	0.005405	0.054054
2	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	200	2000	740	0.27027	2.702703	50	0.005405	0.054054
3	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	200	2000	740	0.27027	2.702703	50	0.005405	0.054054
1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	200	2000	690	0.289855	2.898551	50	0.005797	0.057971
2	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	200	2000	690	0.289855	2.898551	50	0.005797	0.057971
3	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	200	2000	690	0.289855	2.898551	50	0.005797	0.057971
1	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	1000	10000		ERR	ERR	970	ERR	ERR
2	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	1000	10000		ERR	ERR	970	ERR	ERR
3	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	1000	10000		ERR	ERR	970	ERR	ERR
1	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	970	ERR	ERR
2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	970	ERR	ERR
3	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	970	ERR	ERR

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
1	2,4-Dichlorophenol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	970	ERR	ERR
2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	970	ERR	ERR
3	2,4-Dichlorophenol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	970	ERR	ERR
1	2,4-Dimethylphenol	200	10000	150	1.333333	66.66667		ERR	ERR
2	2,4-Dimethylphenol	200	10000	150	1.333333	66.66667		ERR	ERR
3	2,4-Dimethylphenol	200	10000	150	1.333333	66.66667		ERR	ERR
1	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	200	2000	230	0.869565	8.695652	230	0.003781	0.037807
2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	200	2000	230	0.869565	8.695652	230	0.003781	0.037807
3	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	200	2000	230	0.869565	8.695652	230	0.003781	0.037807
1	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	200	2000	230	0.869565	8.695652	230	0.003781	0.037807
2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	200	2000	230	0.869565	8.695652	230	0.003781	0.037807
3	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	200	2000	230	0.869565	8.695652	230	0.003781	0.037807
1	2-Chloronaphthalene	200	2000	440	0.454545	4.545455		ERR	ERR
2	2-Chloronaphthalene	200	2000	440	0.454545	4.545455		ERR	ERR
3	2-Chloronaphthalene	200	2000	440	0.454545	4.545455		ERR	ERR
1	2-Chlorophenol	200	2000	2000	0.1	1	2000	0.00005	0.0005
2	2-Chlorophenol	200	2000	2000	0.1	1	2000	0.00005	0.0005
3	2-Chlorophenol	200	2000	2000	0.1	1	2000	0.00005	0.0005
1	2-Methylnaphthalene	200	2000		ERR	ERR	0.023	ERR	ERR

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
2	2-Methylnaphthalene	200	2000		ERR	ERR	0.023	ERR	ERR
3	2-Methylnaphthalene	200	2000		ERR	ERR	0.023	ERR	ERR
1	2-Methylphenol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	4.1	ERR	ERR
2	2-Methylphenol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	4.1	ERR	ERR
3	2-Methylphenol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	4.1	ERR	ERR
1	2-Nitroaniline	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	2-Nitroaniline	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	2-Nitroaniline	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	2-Nitrophenol	200	10000	150	1.333333	66.66667	11	0.121212	6.060606
2	2-Nitrophenol	200	10000	150	1.333333	66.66667	11	0.121212	6.060606
3	2-Nitrophenol	200	10000	150	1.333333	66.66667	11	0.121212	6.060606
1	3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	3,3-Dichlorobenzidine	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	3-Nitroaniline	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	3-Nitroaniline	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	3-Nitroaniline	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	4,4'DDD	9	200	170000	0.000053	0.001176	0.00004	1.323529	29.41176
2	4,4'DDD	9	200	170000	0.000053	0.001176	0.00004	1.323529	29.41176

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
3	4,4'DDD	9	200	170000	0.000053	0.001176	0.00004	1.323529	29.41176
1	4,4'DDB	9	40	18000000	5.00e-07	0.000002		ERR	ERR
2	4,4'DDB	9	40	18000000	5.00e-07	0.000002		ERR	ERR
3	4,4'DDB	9	40	18000000	5.00e-07	0.000002		ERR	ERR
1	4,4'DDT	9	80	34000	0.000265	0.002353	0.001	0.264706	2.352941
2	4,4'DDT	9	80	34000	0.000265	0.002353	0.001	0.264706	2.352941
3	4,4'DDT	9	80	34000	0.000265	0.002353	0.001	0.264706	2.352941
1	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	4-Bromophenyl phenylether	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	4-Bromophenyl phenylether	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	4-Bromophenyl phenylether	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	4-Chloroaniline	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	4-Chloroaniline	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	4-Chloroaniline	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
1	4-Methylphenol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	4.1	ERR	ERR
2	4-Methylphenol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	4.1	ERR	ERR
3	4-Methylphenol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	4.1	ERR	ERR
1	4-Nitroaniline	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	4-Nitroaniline	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	4-Nitroaniline	1000	10000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Acenaphthene	1	30	390	0.002564	0.076923	2.2	0.001166	0.034965
2	Acenaphthene	1	30	390	0.002564	0.076923	2.2	0.001166	0.034965
3	Acenaphthene	1	30	390	0.002564	0.076923	2.2	0.001166	0.034965
1	Acenaphthylene	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Acenaphthylene	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	Acenaphthylene	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Aldrin	5	40	11000	0.000455	0.003636		ERR	ERR
2	Aldrin	5	40	11000	0.000455	0.003636		ERR	ERR
3	Aldrin	5	40	11000	0.000455	0.003636		ERR	ERR
1	Alpha BHC	5	20		ERR	ERR	0.24	ERR	ERR
2	Alpha BHC	5	20		ERR	ERR	0.24	ERR	ERR
3	Alpha BHC	5	20		ERR	ERR	0.24	ERR	ERR
1	Anthracene	0.2	100	485	0.000412	0.206186	0.00001	41.23711	20618.56

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
2	Anthracene	0.2	100	485	0.000412	0.206186	0.00001	41.23711	20618.56
3	Anthracene	0.2	100	485	0.000412	0.206186	0.00001	41.23711	20618.56
1	Benzoic acid	1000	10000		ERR	ERR	0.45	ERR	ERR
2	Benzoic acid	1000	10000		ERR	ERR	0.45	ERR	ERR
3	Benzoic acid	1000	10000		ERR	ERR	0.45	ERR	ERR
1	Benzo(a)anthracene	0.2	1	10109	0.000002	0.000099	0.0027	0.007328	0.036638
2	Benzo(a)anthracene	0.2	1	10109	0.000002	0.000099	0.0027	0.007328	0.036638
3	Benzo(a)anthracene	0.2	1	10109	0.000002	0.000099	0.0027	0.007328	0.036638
1	Benzo(a)pyrene	1	4	30	0.033333	0.133333	0.0013	25.64103	102.5641
2	Benzo(a)pyrene	1	4	30	0.033333	0.133333	0.0013	25.64103	102.5641
3	Benzo(a)pyrene	1	4	30	0.033333	0.133333	0.0013	25.64103	102.5641
1	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	30		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	30		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1	30		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Benzo(ghi)perylene	1	5		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Benzo(ghi)perylene	1	5		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	Benzo(ghi)perylene	1	5		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1	20		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1	20		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
3	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1	20		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Benzyl alcohol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	0.025	ERR	ERR
2	Benzyl alcohol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	0.025	ERR	ERR
3	Benzyl alcohol	200	2000		ERR	ERR	0.025	ERR	ERR
1	Beta BHC	5	40		ERR	ERR	0.24	ERR	ERR
2	Beta BHC	5	40		ERR	ERR	0.24	ERR	ERR
3	Beta BHC	5	40		ERR	ERR	0.24	ERR	ERR
1	Bis(2-Chlorodiisopropyl)ether	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Bis(2-Chlorodiisopropyl)ether	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	Bis(2-Chlorodiisopropyl)ether	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	200	2000	11	18.18182	181.8182		ERR	ERR
2	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	200	2000	11	18.18182	181.8182		ERR	ERR
3	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	200	2000	11	18.18182	181.8182		ERR	ERR
1	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	200	2000	310	0.645161	6.451613	0.087	7.415647	74.15647
2	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	200	2000	310	0.645161	6.451613	0.087	7.415647	74.15647
3	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	200	2000	310	0.645161	6.451613	0.087	7.415647	74.15647

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criteria	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
1	Butylbenzylphthalate	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Butylbenzylphthalate	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	Butylbenzylphthalate	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Chrysene	1	3	300	0.003333	0.01		ERR	ERR
2	Chrysene	1	3	300	0.003333	0.01		ERR	ERR
3	Chrysene	1	3	300	0.003333	0.01		ERR	ERR
1	Delta BHC	5	40		ERR	ERR	0.24	ERR	ERR
2	Delta BHC	5	40		ERR	ERR	0.24	ERR	ERR
3	Delta BHC	5	40		ERR	ERR	0.24	ERR	ERR
1	Dibenzofuran	200	2000		ERR	ERR	2	ERR	ERR
2	Dibenzofuran	200	2000		ERR	ERR	2	ERR	ERR
3	Dibenzofuran	200	2000		ERR	ERR	2	ERR	ERR
1	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	2	2		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	2	2		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	2	2		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Dieldrin	9	40	14000	0.000643	0.002857	0.019	0.033835	0.150376
2	Dieldrin	9	40	14000	0.000643	0.002857	0.019	0.033835	0.150376
3	Dieldrin	9	40	14000	0.000643	0.002857	0.019	0.033835	0.150376
1	Diethyl phthalate	200	2000	120	1.666667	16.66667	27	0.061728	0.617284

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
2	Diethyl phthalate	200	2000	120	1.666667	16.66667	27	0.061728	0.617284
3	Diethyl phthalate	200	2000	120	1.666667	16.66667	27	0.061728	0.617284
1	Dimethyl phthalate	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Dimethyl phthalate	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	Dimethyl phthalate	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Di-n-butyl phthalate	200	2000	89	2.247191	22.47191		ERR	ERR
2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	200	2000	89	2.247191	22.47191		ERR	ERR
3	Di-n-butyl phthalate	200	2000	89	2.247191	22.47191		ERR	ERR
1	Di-n-octyl phthalate	200	2000	9300	0.021505	0.215054	100	0.000215	0.002151
2	Di-n-octyl phthalate	200	2000	9300	0.021505	0.215054	100	0.000215	0.002151
3	Di-n-octyl phthalate	200	2000	9300	0.021505	0.215054	100	0.000215	0.002151
1	Endosulfan I	5	40		ERR	ERR	0.056	ERR	ERR
2	Endosulfan I	5	40		ERR	ERR	0.056	ERR	ERR
3	Endosulfan I	5	40		ERR	ERR	0.056	ERR	ERR
1	Endosulfan II	9	80		ERR	ERR	0.056	ERR	ERR
2	Endosulfan II	9	80		ERR	ERR	0.056	ERR	ERR
3	Endosulfan II	9	80		ERR	ERR	0.056	ERR	ERR
1	Endosulfan sulfate	9	200		ERR	ERR	0.056	ERR	ERR
2	Endosulfan sulfate	9	200		ERR	ERR	0.056	ERR	ERR

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
3	Endosulfan sulfate	9	200		ERR	ERR	0.056	ERR	ERR
1	Endrin	9	200	2600	0.003462	0.076923	0.0023	1.505017	33.44482
2	Endrin	9	200	2600	0.003462	0.076923	0.0023	1.505017	33.44482
3	Endrin	9	200	2600	0.003462	0.076923	0.0023	1.505017	33.44482
1	Endrin ketone	9	40		ERR	ERR	0.0023	ERR	ERR
2	Endrin ketone	9	40		ERR	ERR	0.0023	ERR	ERR
3	Endrin ketone	9	40		ERR	ERR	0.0023	ERR	ERR
1	Fluoranthene	20	200	379	0.05277	0.527704	1.7	0.031041	0.310414
2	Fluoranthene	20	200	379	0.05277	0.527704	1.7	0.031041	0.310414
3	Fluoranthene	20	200	379	0.05277	0.527704	1.7	0.031041	0.310414
1	Fluorene	2	2000	600	0.003333	3.333333		ERR	ERR
2	Fluorene	2	2000	600	0.003333	3.333333		ERR	ERR
3	Fluorene	2	2000	600	0.003333	3.333333		ERR	ERR
1	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	5	20		ERR	ERR	0.033	ERR	ERR
2	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	5	20		ERR	ERR	0.033	ERR	ERR
3	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	5	20		ERR	ERR	0.033	ERR	ERR
1	Heptachlor	5	40	14000	0.000357	0.002857	0.0038	0.093985	0.75188
2	Heptachlor	5	40	14000	0.000357	0.002857	0.0038	0.093985	0.75188
3	Heptachlor	5	40	14000	0.000357	0.002857	0.0038	0.093985	0.75188

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
1	Heptachlor epoxide	5	40		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Heptachlor epoxide	5	40		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	Heptachlor epoxide	5	40		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Hexachlorobenzene	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Hexachlorobenzene	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	Hexachlorobenzene	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Hexachlorobutadiene	200	2000		ERR	ERR	9.3	ERR	ERR
2	Hexachlorobutadiene	200	2000		ERR	ERR	9.3	ERR	ERR
3	Hexachlorobutadiene	200	2000		ERR	ERR	9.3	ERR	ERR
1	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	200	2000	30	6.666667	66.66667	5.2	1.282051	12.82051
2	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	200	2000	30	6.666667	66.66667	5.2	1.282051	12.82051
3	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	200	2000	30	6.666667	66.66667	5.2	1.282051	12.82051
1	Hexachloroethane	200	2000	140	1.428571	14.28571	540	0.002646	0.026455
2	Hexachloroethane	200	2000	140	1.428571	14.28571	540	0.002646	0.026455
3	Hexachloroethane	200	2000	140	1.428571	14.28571	540	0.002646	0.026455
1	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	8	1000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	8	1000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	8	1000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Isophorone	200	2000	7	28.57143	285.7143		ERR	ERR

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criteria	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
2	Isophorone	200	2000	7	28.57143	285.7143		ERR	ERR
3	Isophorone	200	2000	7	28.57143	285.7143		ERR	ERR
1	Methoxychlor	5	200		ERR	ERR	0.03	ERR	ERR
2	Methoxychlor	5	200		ERR	ERR	0.03	ERR	ERR
3	Methoxychlor	5	200		ERR	ERR	0.03	ERR	ERR
1	Naphthalene	3	120	430	0.006977	0.27907	1.8	0.003876	0.155039
2	Naphthalene	3	120	430	0.006977	0.27907	1.8	0.003876	0.155039
3	Naphthalene	3	120	430	0.006977	0.27907	1.8	0.003876	0.155039
1	Nitrobenzene	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Nitrobenzene	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	Nitrobenzene	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	200	2000	91	2.197802	21.97802	2.5	0.879121	8.791209
2	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	200	2000	91	2.197802	21.97802	2.5	0.879121	8.791209
3	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	200	2000	91	2.197802	21.97802	2.5	0.879121	8.791209
1	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
3	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Parachlorometa cresol	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
2	Parachlorometa cresol	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR

Table G.7 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	Maximum Detection Limit	BCF	Minimum Exposure Conc.	Maximum Exposure Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Quotient	Maximum Quotient
3	Parachlorometa cresol	200	2000		ERR	ERR		ERR	ERR
1	Pentachlorophenol	1000	10000	780	1.282051	12.82051	13	0.098619	0.986193
2	Pentachlorophenol	1000	10000	780	1.282051	12.82051	13	0.098619	0.986193
3	Pentachlorophenol	1000	10000	780	1.282051	12.82051	13	0.098619	0.986193
1	Phenanthrene	1	10	325	0.003077	0.030769	0.43	0.007156	0.071556
2	Phenanthrene	1	10	325	0.003077	0.030769	0.43	0.007156	0.071556
3	Phenanthrene	1	10	325	0.003077	0.030769	0.43	0.007156	0.071556
1	Phenol	200	2000	780	0.25641	2.564103	12	0.021368	0.213675
2	Phenol	200	2000	780	0.25641	2.564103	12	0.021368	0.213675
3	Phenol	200	2000	780	0.25641	2.564103	12	0.021368	0.213675
1	Pyrene	3	40	69	0.043478	0.57971		ERR	ERR
2	Pyrene	3	40	69	0.043478	0.57971		ERR	ERR
3	Pyrene	3	40	69	0.043478	0.57971		ERR	ERR
1	Toxaphene	10	2000		ERR	ERR	0.0002	ERR	ERR
2	Toxaphene	10	2000		ERR	ERR	0.0002	ERR	ERR
3	Toxaphene	10	2000		ERR	ERR	0.0002	ERR	ERR

Table G.8. Mean and upper confidence limit (UCL) contaminant concentrations in fish flesh with screening criteria and quotients for piscivorous wildlife
Units are mg/kg except for RfDs which are mg/kg/day

Reach	Chemical	Mean Fish Conc.	UCL Fish Conc.	Lower Piscivore Criterion	Mean Piscivore Quotient	UCL Piscivore Quotient	RfD	RfD Dietary Conc.	Mean RfD Piscivore Quotient	UCL RfD Piscivore Quotient
1	Cadmium	0.01292	0.069483	0.25	0.0516794	0.27793	0.0005	0.00625	2.0671776	11.11721
2	Cadmium	0.038535	0.140036	0.25	0.1541415	0.560142	0.0005	0.00625	6.1656592	22.40569
3	Cadmium	0.019825	0.083828	0.25	0.0792998	0.335312	0.0005	0.00625	3.1719904	13.41247
1	Copper	0.302114	0.396255	50	0.0060423	0.007925	1.3	16.25	0.0185916	0.024385
2	Copper	0.183177	0.359912	50	0.0036635	0.007198	1.3	16.25	0.0112725	0.022148
3	Copper	0.224802	0.339362	50	0.004496	0.006787	1.3	16.25	0.013834	0.020884
1	Lead	0.042798	0.17402	15	0.0028532	0.011601		0	ERR	ERR
3	Lead	0.056036	0.206434	15	0.0037357	0.013762		0	ERR	ERR
1	Mercury	0.360162	0.411381	0.01	36.01617	41.13806	0.0003	0.00375	96.04312	109.7015
2	Mercury	0.090961	0.127224	0.01	9.096105	12.72238	0.0003	0.00375	24.25628	33.92635
3	Mercury	0.177259	0.263779	0.01	17.725883	26.37787	0.0003	0.00375	47.269021	70.34098
1	Selenium	0.374215	0.4477	0.5	0.7484308	0.8954	0.003	0.0375	9.9790773	11.93867
2	Selenium	0.270786	0.338332	0.5	0.5415721	0.676663	0.003	0.0375	7.2209619	9.022174
3	Selenium	0.242939	0.305476	0.5	0.485879	0.610952	0.003	0.0375	6.4783864	8.146031
1	Zinc	1.518267	4.252786	60	0.0253044	0.07088	0.2	2.5	0.6073067	1.701115
2	Zinc	1.276191	5.272048	60	0.0212699	0.087867	0.2	2.5	0.5104765	2.108819
3	Zinc	0.715802	4.901007	60	0.01193	0.081683	0.2	2.5	0.2863208	1.960403
1	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	0.324964	0.58221	0.04	8.124093	14.55525				

Table G-8 (continued)

Reach	Chemical	Mean Fish Conc.	UCL Fish Conc.	Lower Piscivore Criterion	Mean Piscivore Quotient	UCL Piscivore Quotient	R/D	R/D Dietary Conc.	Mean R/D Piscivore Quotient	UCL R/D Piscivore Quotient
2	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	0.047234	0.278968	0.04	1.1808543	6.974211				
3	PCB-1254 (Aroclor 1254)	0.289457	0.542331	0.04	7.236414	13.55828				
1	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	0.119513	0.329454	0.04	2.9878173	8.236358				
2	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	0.040089	0.134044	0.04	1.0022273	3.351102				
3	PCB-1260 (Aroclor 1260)	0.166059	0.377547	0.04	4.1514795	9.438671				

Table G.9. Detection limits for concentrations of chemicals in fish flesh, reference doses, dietary concentrations equivalent to reference doses for kingfishers, lower screening criteria based on dietary concentrations, the minimum of the two dietary concentrations, and quotients for the minimum dietary criterion
Concentration units = mg/kg; dose units = mg/kg/day

Reach	Chemical	Minimum Detection Limit	UCL Detection Limit	RfD	RfD Dietary Conc.	Lower Screening Criterion	Minimum Criterion	Minimum Detection Limit Quotient	UCL Detection Limit Quotient
1	Antimony	0.5	0.5	0.0004	0.005	0.3	0.005	100	100
2	Antimony	0.5	0.5	0.0004	0.005	0.3	0.005	100	100
3	Antimony	0.5	0.5	0.0004	0.005	0.3	0.005	100	100
1	Arsenic	0.5	0.5	0.001	0.0125	3	0.0125	40	40
2	Arsenic	0.5	0.5	0.001	0.0125	3	0.0125	40	40
3	Arsenic	0.5	0.5	0.001	0.0125	3	0.0125	40	40
1	Beryllium	0.1	0.1	0.005	0.0625	25	0.0625	1.6	1.6
2	Beryllium	0.1	0.1	0.005	0.0625	25	0.0625	1.6	1.6
3	Beryllium	0.1	0.1	0.005	0.0625	25	0.0625	1.6	1.6
1	Chromium	0.5	0.5			1	1	0.5	0.5
2	Chromium	0.5	0.5			1	1	0.5	0.5
3	Chromium	0.5	0.5			1	1	0.5	0.5
2	Lead	0.02	0.342562			15	15	0.0013333	0.022837
1	Nickel	0.5	0.5	0.02	0.25	50	0.25	2	2
2	Nickel	0.5	0.5	0.02	0.25	50	0.25	2	2
3	Nickel	0.5	0.5	0.02	0.25	50	0.25	2	2
1	Silver	0.2	0.2	0.003	0.0375	10	0.0375	5.3333333	5.333333

Table G.9 (continued)

2	Silver	0.2	0.2	0.003	0.0375	10	0.0375	5.333333	5.33333
3	Silver	0.2	0.2	0.003	0.0375	10	0.0375	5.333333	5.33333
1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.2	1.963102	0.00131	0.016375		0.016375	12.21374	119.8841
2	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.2	1.90437	0.00131	0.016375		0.016375	12.21374	116.2974
3	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	0.2	1.978946	0.00131	0.016375		0.016375	12.21374	120.8517
1	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.2	1.963102	0.09	1.125		1.125	0.177778	1.74498
2	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.2	1.90437	0.09	1.125		1.125	0.177778	1.692773
3	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.2	1.978946	0.09	1.125		1.125	0.177778	1.759063
1	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.2	1.90437				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.2	1.978946				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.2	1.90437				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.2	1.978946				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	1	9.81551	0.1	1.25		1.25	0.8	7.852408
2	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	1	9.521849	0.1	1.25		1.25	0.8	7.61748
3	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	1	9.89473	0.1	1.25		1.25	0.8	7.915784
1	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.2	1.90437				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.2	1.978946				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.2	1.963102	0.003	0.0375		0.0375	5.333333	52.34939

Table G.9 (continued)

2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.2	1.90437	0.003	0.0375		0.0375	5.3333333	50.7632
3	2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.2	1.978946	0.003	0.0375		0.0375	5.3333333	52.77189
1	2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.2	5.988912	0.02	0.25		0.25	0.8	23.95565
2	2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.2	5.457578	0.02	0.25		0.25	0.8	21.83031
3	2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.2	6.182702	0.02	0.25		0.25	0.8	24.73081
1	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.2	1.90437				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.2	1.978946				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.2	1.90437				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.2	1.978946				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	2-Chloronaphthalene	0.2	1.963102	0.08	1		1	0.2	1.963102
2	2-Chloronaphthalene	0.2	1.90437	0.08	1		1	0.2	1.90437
3	2-Chloronaphthalene	0.2	1.978946	0.08	1		1	0.2	1.978946
1	2-Chlorophenol	0.2	1.963102	0.005	0.0625		0.0625	3.2	31.40963
2	2-Chlorophenol	0.2	1.90437	0.005	0.0625		0.0625	3.2	30.46992
3	2-Chlorophenol	0.2	1.978946	0.005	0.0625		0.0625	3.2	31.66314
1	2-Methylnaphthalene	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	2-Methylnaphthalene	0.2	1.90437				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	2-Methylnaphthalene	0.2	1.978946				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	2-Methylphenol	0.2	1.963102	0.05	0.625		0.625	0.32	3.140963

Table G.9 (continued)

2	2-Methylphenol	0.2	1.90437	0.05	0.625		0.625	0.32	3.046992
3	2-Methylphenol	0.2	1.978946	0.05	0.625		0.625	0.32	3.166314
1	2-Nitroaniline	1	9.81551				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	2-Nitroaniline	1	9.521849				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	2-Nitroaniline	1	9.89473				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	2-Nitrophenol	0.2	5.988912				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	2-Nitrophenol	0.2	5.457578				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	2-Nitrophenol	0.2	6.182702				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	3-Nitroaniline	1	9.81551				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	3-Nitroaniline	1	9.521849				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	3-Nitroaniline	1	9.89473				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	4,4'DDD	0.009	0.196085			0.01	0.01	0.9	19.60847
2	4,4'DDD	0.009	0.189853			0.01	0.01	0.9	18.98526
3	4,4'DDD	0.009	0.197766			0.01	0.01	0.9	19.77659
1	4,4'DDE	0.009	0.039365			0.01	0.01	0.9	3.936453
2	4,4'DDE	0.009	0.038353			0.01	0.01	0.9	3.835304
3	4,4'DDE	0.009	0.039637			0.01	0.01	0.9	3.96374
1	4,4'DDT	0.009	0.078545	0.0005	0.00625	0.01	0.00625	1.44	12.56713
2	4,4'DDT	0.009	0.076228	0.0005	0.00625	0.01	0.00625	1.44	12.19647
3	4,4'DDT	0.009	0.07917	0.0005	0.00625	0.01	0.00625	1.44	12.66713
1	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	1	9.81551	0.0001	0.00125		0.00125	800	7852.408

Table G.9 (continued)

2	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	1	9.521849	0.0001	0.00125		0.00125	800	7617.48
3	4,6-Dinitro-ortho-cresol	1	9.89473	0.0001	0.00125		0.00125	800	7915.784
1	4-Bromophenyl phenylether	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	4-Bromophenyl phenylether	0.2	1.90437				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	4-Bromophenyl phenylether	0.2	1.978946				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	4-Chloroaniline	0.2	1.963102	0.004	0.05		0.05	4	39.26204
2	4-Chloroaniline	0.2	1.90437	0.004	0.05		0.05	4	38.0874
3	4-Chloroaniline	0.2	1.978946	0.004	0.05		0.05	4	39.57892
1	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	0.2	1.90437				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	0.2	1.978946				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	4-Methylphenol	0.2	1.963102	0.05	0.625		0.625	0.32	3.140963
2	4-Methylphenol	0.2	1.90437	0.05	0.625		0.625	0.32	3.046992
3	4-Methylphenol	0.2	1.978946	0.05	0.625		0.625	0.32	3.166314
1	4-Nitroaniline	1	9.81551	0.00131	0.016375		0.016375	61.068702	599.4205
2	4-Nitroaniline	1	9.521849	0.00131	0.016375		0.016375	61.068702	581.487
3	4-Nitroaniline	1	9.89473	0.00131	0.016375		0.016375	61.068702	604.2583
1	Acenaphthene	0.001	0.029406	0.06	0.75		0.75	0.0013333	0.039207
2	Acenaphthene	0.001	0.028459	0.06	0.75		0.75	0.0013333	0.037946
3	Acenaphthene	0.001	0.029661	0.06	0.75		0.75	0.0013333	0.039548
1	Acenaphthylene	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR

Table G.9 (continued)

2	Acenaphthylene	0.2	1.90437						ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Acenaphthylene	0.2	1.978946						ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Aldrin	0.005	0.039283	0.00003	0.000375				0.000375	13.333333	104.7534
2	Aldrin	0.005	0.038141	0.00003	0.000375				0.000375	13.333333	101.7081
3	Aldrin	0.005	0.039591	0.00003	0.000375				0.000375	13.333333	105.575
1	Alpha BHC	0.005	0.019693						ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Alpha BHC	0.005	0.019203						ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Alpha BHC	0.005	0.019825						ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Anthracene	0.0002	0.097954	0.3	3.75				3.75	0.0000533	0.026121
2	Anthracene	0.0002	0.094698	0.3	3.75				3.75	0.0000533	0.025253
3	Anthracene	0.0002	0.098833	9.3	3.75				3.75	0.0000533	0.026355
1	Benzoic acid	1	9.81551	4	50				50	0.02	0.19631
2	Benzoic acid	1	9.521849	4	50				50	0.02	0.190437
3	Benzoic acid	1	9.89473	4	50				50	0.02	0.197895
1	Benzo(a)anthracene	0.0002	0.000984						ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Benzo(a)anthracene	0.0002	0.000958						ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Benzo(a)anthracene	0.0002	0.000991						ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Benzo(a)pyrene	0.001	0.003939						ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Benzo(a)pyrene	0.001	0.003841						ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Benzo(a)pyrene	0.001	0.003965						ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.001	0.029406						ERR	ERR	ERR

Table G.9 (continued)

2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.001	0.028459					ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.001	0.029661					ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.001	0.004918					ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.001	0.004787					ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Benzo(ghi)perylene	0.001	0.004953					ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.001	0.019611					ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.001	0.018991					ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.001	0.019778					ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Benzyl alcohol	0.2	1.963102	0.09	1.125			1.125	0.1777778	1.7498
2	Benzyl alcohol	0.2	1.90437	0.09	1.125			1.125	0.1777778	1.692773
3	Benzyl alcohol	0.2	1.978946	0.09	1.125			1.125	0.1777778	1.759063
1	Beta BHC	0.005	0.039283					ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Beta BHC	0.005	0.038141					ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Beta BHC	0.005	0.039591					ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.2	1.963102					ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.2	1.90437					ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.2	1.978946					ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.2	1.963102					ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.2	1.90437					ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.2	1.978946					ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.2	1.963102					ERR	ERR	ERR

Table G.9 (continued)

2	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.2	1.90437					ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.2	1.978946					ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Butylbenzylphthalate	0.2	1.963102	0.2	2.5			2.5	0.08	0.785241
2	Butylbenzylphthalate	0.2	1.90437	0.2	2.5			2.5	0.08	0.761748
3	Butylbenzylphthalate	0.2	1.978946	0.2	2.5			2.5	0.08	0.791578
1	Chrysene	0.001	0.002959					ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Chrysene	0.001	0.002894					ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Chrysene	0.001	0.002977					ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Delta BHC	0.005	0.039283					ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Delta BHC	0.005	0.038141					ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Delta BHC	0.005	0.039591					ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Dibenzofuran	0.2	1.963102					ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Dibenzofuran	0.2	1.90437					ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Dibenzofuran	0.2	1.978946					ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.002	0.002					ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.002	0.002					ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.002	0.002					ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Dieldrin	0.009	0.039365	0.00005	0.000625			0.000625	14.4	62.98325
2	Dieldrin	0.009	0.038353	0.00005	0.000625			0.000625	14.4	61.36486
3	Dieldrin	0.009	0.039637	0.00005	0.000625			0.000625	14.4	63.41984
1	Diethyl phthalate	0.2	1.963102	0.8	10			10	0.02	0.19631

Table G.9 (continued)

2	Diethyl phthalate	0.2	1.90437	0.8	10		10	0.02	0.190437
3	Diethyl phthalate	0.2	1.978946	0.8	10		10	0.02	0.197895
1	Dimethyl phthalate	0.2	1.963102	1	12.5		12.5	0.016	0.157048
2	Dimethyl phthalate	0.2	1.90437	1	12.5		12.5	0.016	0.15235
3	Dimethyl phthalate	0.2	1.978946	1	12.5		12.5	0.016	0.158316
1	Di-n-butyl phthalate	0.2	1.963102	0.1	12.5		12.5	0.16	1.570482
2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	0.2	1.90437	0.1	12.5		12.5	0.16	1.523496
3	Di-n-butyl phthalate	0.2	1.978946	0.1	12.5		12.5	0.16	1.583157
1	Di-n-octyl phthalate	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Di-n-octyl phthalate	0.2	1.90437				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Di-n-octyl phthalate	0.2	1.978946				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Endosulfan I	0.005	0.039283				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Endosulfan I	0.005	0.038141				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Endosulfan I	0.005	0.039591				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Endosulfan II	0.009	0.078545				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Endosulfan II	0.009	0.076228				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Endosulfan II	0.009	0.07917				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Endosulfan sulfate	0.009	0.196085				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Endosulfan sulfate	0.009	0.189853				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Endosulfan sulfate	0.009	0.197766				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Endrin	0.009	0.196085	0.0003	0.00375		0.00375	2.4	52.28926

Table G.9 (continued)

2	Endrin	0.009	0.189853	0.0003	0.00375		0.00375	2.4	50.62735
3	Endrin	0.009	0.197766	0.0003	0.00375		0.00375	2.4	52.73758
1	Endrin ketone	0.009	0.039365				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Endrin ketone	0.009	0.038353				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Endrin ketone	0.009	0.039637				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Fluoranthene	0.02	0.19631	0.04	0.5		0.5	0.04	0.39262
2	Fluoranthene	0.02	0.190437	0.04	0.5		0.5	0.04	0.380874
3	Fluoranthene	0.02	0.197895	0.04	0.5		0.5	0.04	0.395789
1	Fluorene	0.002	1.959043	0.04	0.5		0.5	0.004	3.918086
2	Fluorene	0.002	1.893851	0.04	0.5		0.5	0.004	3.787701
3	Fluorene	0.002	1.97663	0.04	0.5		0.5	0.004	3.95326
1	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.005	0.019693	0.0003	0.00375		0.00375	1.3333333	5.251339
2	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.005	0.019203	0.0003	0.00375		0.00375	1.3333333	5.120821
3	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.005	0.019825	0.0003	0.00375		0.00375	1.3333333	5.286547
1	Heptachlor	0.005	0.039283	0.0005	0.00625		0.00625	0.8	6.285206
2	Heptachlor	0.005	0.038141	0.0005	0.00625		0.00625	0.8	6.102485
3	Heptachlor	0.005	0.039591	0.0005	0.00625		0.00625	0.8	6.334499
1	Heptachlor epoxide	0.005	0.039283	0.000013	0.000163		0.0001625	30.769231	241.7387
2	Heptachlor epoxide	0.005	0.038141	0.000013	0.000163		0.0001625	30.769231	234.711
3	Heptachlor epoxide	0.005	0.039591	0.000013	0.000163		0.0001625	30.769231	243.6346
1	Hexachlorobenzene	0.2	1.963102	0.0008	0.01		0.01	20	196.3102

Table G.9 (continued)

2	Hexachlorobenzene	0.2	1.90437	0.0008	0.01		0.01	20	190.437
3	Hexachlorobenzene	0.2	1.978946	0.0008	0.01		0.01	20	197.8946
1	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.2	1.963102	0.002	0.025		0.025	8	78.52408
2	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.2	1.90437	0.002	0.025		0.025	8	76.1748
3	Hexachlorobutadiene	0.2	1.978946	0.002	0.025		0.025	8	79.15784
1	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.2	1.963102	0.007	0.0875		0.0875	2.2857143	22.43545
2	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.2	1.90437	0.007	0.0875		0.0875	2.2857143	21.76423
3	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.2	1.978946	0.007	0.0875		0.0875	2.2857143	22.61653
1	Hexachloroethane	0.2	1.963102	0.001	0.0125		0.0125	16	157.0482
2	Hexachloroethane	0.2	1.90437	0.001	0.0125		0.0125	16	152.3496
3	Hexachloroethane	0.2	1.978946	0.001	0.0125		0.0125	16	158.3157
1	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.008	0.979665				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.008	0.947297				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.008	0.988397				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Isophorone	0.2	1.963102	0.2	2.5		2.5	0.08	0.785241
2	Isophorone	0.2	1.90437	0.2	2.5		2.5	0.08	0.761748
3	Isophorone	0.2	1.978946	0.2	2.5		2.5	0.08	0.791578
1	Methoxychlor	0.005	0.196003	0.005	0.0625		0.0625	0.08	3.136044
2	Methoxychlor	0.005	0.18964	0.005	0.0625		0.0625	0.08	3.034241
3	Methoxychlor	0.005	0.197719	0.005	0.0625		0.0625	0.08	3.163506
1	Naphthalene	0.003	0.117602	0.004	0.05		0.05	0.06	2.352033

Table G.9 (continued)

2	Naphthalene	0.003	0.113784	0.004	0.05		0.05	0.06	2.275681
3	Naphthalene	0.003	0.118631	0.004	0.05		0.05	0.06	2.37263
1	Nitrobenzene	0.2	1.963102	0.0005	0.00625		0.00625	32	314.0963
2	Nitrobenzene	0.2	1.90437	0.0005	0.00625		0.00625	32	304.6992
3	Nitrobenzene	0.2	1.978946	0.0005	0.00625		0.00625	32	316.6314
1	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.2	1.90437				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.2	1.978946				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.2	1.90437				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.2	1.978946				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Parachlorometa cresol	0.2	1.963102				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Parachlorometa cresol	0.2	1.90437				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Parachlorometa cresol	0.2	1.978946				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Pentachlorophenol	1	9.81551	0.03	0.375		0.375	2.6666667	26.17469
2	Pentachlorophenol	1	9.521849	0.03	0.375		0.375	2.6666667	25.3916
3	Pentachlorophenol	1	9.89473	0.03	0.375		0.375	2.6666667	26.38595
1	Phenanthrene	0.001	0.009816				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Phenanthrene	0.001	0.009522				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Phenanthrene	0.001	0.009895				ERR	ERR	ERR
1	Phenol	0.2	1.963102	0.6	7.5		7.5	0.02666667	0.261747

Table G.9 (continued)

2	Phenol	0.2	1.90437	0.6	7.5		7.5	0.0266667	0.253916
3	Phenol	0.2	1.978946	0.6	7.5		7.5	0.0266667	0.263859
1	Pyrene	0.003	0.026908	0.03	0.375		0.375	0.008	0.071755
2	Pyrene	0.003	0.038034	0.03	0.375		0.375	0.008	0.101425
3	Pyrene	0.003	0.021067	0.03	0.375		0.375	0.008	0.056179
1	Toxaphene	0.01	1.959207				ERR	ERR	ERR
2	Toxaphene	0.01	1.894276				ERR	ERR	ERR
3	Toxaphene	0.01	1.976724				ERR	ERR	ERR

DISTRIBUTION

1. T. L. Ashwood
2. L. D. Bates
3. B. G. Blaylock
- 4-23. H. L. Boston
24. J. B. Cannon
25. J. H. Cushman
26. M. F. P. DeLozier
27. D. E. Fowler
28. M. L. Frank
29. S. B. Garland II
30. C. W. Gehrs
31. C. D. Goins
32. P. J. Halsey
33. S. G. Hildebrand
34. F. O. Hoffman
35. L. A. Hook
36. P. Kanciruk
37. B. L. Kimmel
38. A. J. Kuhaida, Jr.
39. V. Legg
- 40-42. D. M. Matteo
43. D. E. Miller
- 44-45. P. T. Owen
46. D. E. Reichle
47. G. E. Rymer
48. P. A. Schrandt
49. F. E. Sharples
50. D. S. Shriner
51. S. H. Stow
52. G. W. Suter
53. D. W. Swindle
54. R. I. Van Hook
55. D. R. Watkins
56. J. A. Watts
57. R. K. White
- 58-62. ER Document Management Center
64. Central Research Library
- 64-68. ESD Library
69. ORNL Y-12 Technical Library
- 70-71. Laboratory Records Dept.
72. ORNL Patent Section
73. Office of Assistant Manager for Energy Research and Development, DOE Oak Ridge Field Office, P.O. Box 2001, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8600
74. P. H. Edmonds, Radian Corporation, 120 South Jefferson Circle, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
75. J. F. Franklin, Bloedel Professor of Ecosystem Analysis, College of Forest Resources, University of Washington, Anderson Hall (AR-10), Seattle, WA 98195
76. R. C. Harriss, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, Science and Engineering Research Building, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824
77. G. Y. Jordy, Director, Office of Program Analysis, Office of Energy Research, ER-30, G-226, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20545
- 78-79. J. R. Kannard, Program Manager, Bechtel National, Inc., P.O. Box 350, Oak Ridge Corporate Center, 151 Lafayette Drive, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
- 80-81. R. L. Nace, Branch Chief, Nonenrichment Facilities, Oak Ridge Program Division, Office of Eastern Area Programs, Office of Environmental Restoration, EM-423, Trevion 2, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585
82. R. H. Oisen, Professor, Microbiology and Immunology Department, University of Michigan, Medical Sciences II, #5605, 1301 East Catherine Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-0620
83. A. Patrinos, Acting Director, Environmental Sciences Division, Office of Health and Environmental Research, ER-74, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585
- 84-85. R. C. Sleeman, DOE Oak Ridge Field Office, P.O. Box 2001, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8541
- 86-87. J. T. Sweeney, DOE Oak Ridge Field Office, P.O. Box 2001, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8540
- 88-89. H. M. Thron, Chief, Enrichment Facilities, Oak Ridge Program Division, Office of Eastern Area Programs, Office of Environmental Restoration, EM-423, Trevion 2, U. S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585.
90. T. Wheeler, Radian Corporation, 120 S. Jefferson Circle, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
91. F. J. Wobber, Environmental Sciences Division, Office of Health and Environmental Research, Office of Energy Research, ER-74, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20584
- 92-93. Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831

END

**DATE
FILMED**

1 / 15 / 93