2
Proc. Workshop on Glueballs, Hybrids and Exotic Hadrons, Brookhaven National Laboratory, August 29-September 1, 1988

BNL--41716

DE89 001106

A HIGH INTENSITY HADRON FACILITY, AGS II*

Y.Y. Lee and D.I. Lowenstein
AGS Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Associated Universities, Inc.
Upton, New York 11973

There is a large and growing community of particle and nuclear physicists around the world who are actively lobbying for the construction of an accelerator that could provide 1-2 orders of magnitude increase in proton intensity above that of the present AGS. There have been a series of proposals from Canada, Europe, Japan, and the U.S.A. They can all be characterized as machines varying in energy from 12-60 GeV and intensities of 30-100 μ A. The community of physicists using the AGS are in a unique position however. The AGS is the only machine available that can provide the beams to execute the physics program that this large international community is interested in. The BNL approach to the communities interests involves a stepwise intensity upgrade program. At present the AGS slow extracted beam current is 1 μ A. With the completion of the Booster in 1990 and the associated AGS modifications, the current will rise to 4-5 μ A. With the subsequent addition of the Stretcher which is under design, the current will rise to 8-10 μ A and approximately 100% duty factor. We are now examining the possibility of a further enhancement to a current level of 40-50 μ A CW.

Let us first examine the capabilities of each of the present AGS accelerators. The Linac is capable of running ten pulses a second of 30 mA H^- ions with a 500 μ sec pulse length. The Linac output current exceeds the input capabilities of the Booster. The Booster is capable of pulsing ten cycles a second (see Table I.) Because of the large power swing both in real and reactive power, the Booster is limited presently to operate at 7.5 Hz and an energy to 1.5 GeV. If the Booster were operated beyond these limits, the electrical line voltage fluctuation due to its pulsing would severely affect other parts of the Laboratory. One way to overcome this limitation would be to pulse, out-of-phase, an equivalent electrical device (another matched accelerator) as an analog to a flywheel so as to smooth the power swing.

*Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

MASTERDISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Table I
Booster (Upgraded) Parameters

Injection energy	200 MeV
Ejection energy	2.815 GeV
Circumference	201.78 m
Superperiods	6
# cells	24
Cell length	8.4 m
v_x/v_y	4.82/4.83
Phase advance	72.3 °
$\beta_{\max}/\beta_{\min}$	13.9/3.6 m
$x_{p\max}$	2.95 m
y_{tr}	4.9
# long straight section/length	12/3.7 m
Dipoles	
No.	36
Length	2.4 m
Field injection/ejection	0.1564/0.8816 T
Aperture	25.4/8.255 cm
Quadrupole	
No.	48
Length	0.5 m
Aperture	16.5 cm
Max. poletip field	0.6752 T

At present the AGS is capable of cycling every 1.2 seconds. The pulse rate is limited mainly by two factors. One is the limitations of the main magnet power supply and the second is the peak voltage of the present radio frequency acceleration system. Both of these can be improved. An important consideration that minimizes the scope of the improvements is that with the Stretcher used for slow extraction one no longer needs to operate the AGS with a magnetic flattop. The highest current that can be achieved is when one matches all the accelerators to the repetition rate of the Linac. Our scheme assumes that one does not replace either the Linac or the AGS.

The first stage in the intensity increase program is the introduction of the Stretcher (see Table II) ring. This machine, which is now under design, would take the 12 AGS bunches each pulse, allow them to debunch and then slow extract with ~ 100% duty factor. Since the AGS would not operate with a flattop cycle, the repetition rate and thus the delivered proton intensity would be

increased by a factor of two. The implementation of just the Stretcher would provide for a most powerful accelerator complex of 10 μ A CW current. The CW feature is the necessary aspect of any high intensity facility. Without this capability most experiments, except for neutrinos and muon g-2, would not be possible.

Table II
Stretcher Parameters

Energy		30 GeV
Circumference		874 m
Superperiods		2
# cells	arc	34
	insertion	4
Cell length	arc	22.33 m
	insertion	28.78
Phase advance	arc	60 °
	insertion	90°
v_x/v_y		6.67/7.75
γ_{tr}		5.87
β_{max} X/Y	arc	37/37 m
	insertion	66/50 m
X_{pmax}	arc	6 m
	insertion	0
Dipoles		
No.		120
Length		4.18 m
Field		1.252 T
Quadrupole		
No.		68
Length		1 m
Field		10.1 T/m max

We next propose to increase the Booster energy to 2.8 GeV and the repetition rate to 10 Hz. This is below the Booster transition energy and well within the capabilities of this machine. The Booster is already designed to operate at the increased dB/dt rate. The increased Booster energy is motivated by the energy swing solution described below.

We further propose to introduce a Post Booster accelerator (see Table III) after the Booster. This machine would operate at 10 Hz and accelerate protons to an energy above the AGS transition energy. This machine would be capable of accelerating the full Booster beam pulse to an energy above 9 GeV. The Post Booster power swing could be made to complement that of the Booster and thus overcome the Booster repetition rate limitations. The AGS main ring power supply cycling limitations would also be eased due

to the reduced AGS beam energy swing. By adjusting the Post Booster magnet aperture, magnetic field range and radius, the Post Booster would be designed to have the same magnetic energy difference swing as the Booster. These two machines would operate at the same repetition rate but 180° out of phase with each other. To reduce the construction costs by minimizing the number of tunnels, it would be desirable to install the Post Booster in the same tunnel as the Collector ring. The Collector ring that is introduced below requires a minimum circumference of three times that of the Booster ring. The Post Booster would thus have a circumference three times that of the Booster, 75% of the AGS. The spacing of the Booster pulses would be preserved in the Post Booster. Table IV shows the proposed parameters for the Booster, the Post Booster, Collector, and the AGS. We note that the space charge intensity limit for a given normalized emittance is proportional to $\beta\gamma^2$ of the proton. Thus once one is below the space charge tune shift limit in the Booster, the space charge problem is minimal for all subsequent accelerators in the chain.

Table III
Post Booster Parameters

Injection energy	2.815 GeV (3.634 GeV/c)
Ejection energy	9.26 GeV (10.2 GeV/c)
Circumference	605.25 m
Superperiods	6
# cells	54
Cell length	11.21 m
v_x/v_y	13.25/12.25
Phase advance/cell	88.3/81.7 °
$\beta_{\max}/\beta_{\min}$	19/3.5
$n_{\max}$	1.98
# long straight section/length	12/4.6 m
γ_{tr}	11.96
Dipoles	
No.	96
Length	3.4 m
Field injection/ejection	2.33/6.55 kG
Aperture	17.96/5.84 cm
Quadrupoles	
No.	108
Length	1 m
Aperture	13 cm
Max. pole tip field	5.8 kG

Table IV

	Input Energy	Input $\beta\gamma^2$	Output Energy	# Bunches	# rf Buckets
Booster	200 MeV	0.833	2.8 GeV	3	3
Post Booster	2.8 GeV	14.87	9.3 GeV	3	9
Collector	9.3 GeV	117.6	9.3 GeV	9	9
AGS	9.3 GeV	117.6	30 GeV	12	12

The next accelerator in the chain is the Collector ring (see Table V). The AGS cycling limitations require the introduction of an intermediate storage ring so as not to lose the advantages of the 1 Hz capabilities of the preinjectors. The Collector would be a short term (0.4 sec) intermediate storage ring. This machine would reside in the Post Booster tunnel. The function of this ring is to temporarily store three Post Booster pulses prior to injection into the AGS (the Post Booster accelerates with only one-third of its rf buckets filled). The AGS would accept the three Post Booster pulses (9 bunches) stored in the Collector and one additional pulse (3 bunches) directly from the Post Booster for a total of 12 bunches. The Post Booster and the Collector would inject into the AGS every 400 milliseconds. A proposed cycle for the Booster, Post Booster, Collector, AGS, and Stretcher is shown in Figure 1. Potential locations for the proposed Accelerators are shown in Figure 2. We show in Table VI the estimated proton currents at various implementation stages of the above-mentioned proposal. The delivered currents are for slow extracted beam operation.

Table V
Collector Ring Parameters

Energy	9.26 GeV (10.2 GeV/c)
Circumference	605.25 m
Superperiods	6
# cells	30
Cell length	20.175
v_x/v_y	7.25
Phase advance	87 °
$\beta_{\max}/\beta_{\min}$	34/6 m
$n_{\max}$	7 m
straight section	12/9.5 m
γ_{tr}	5.96
Dipoles	
No.	96
Length	3.4
B	6.55 kG
Aperture	14/5 cm

AGS II OPERATING CYCLE

Figure 1. Accelerator Cycles

Fig. 2. Accelerator Complex Layout

Table V - continued

Quadrupoles	
No.	60
Length	0.5 m
Aperture	11 cm
Poletip	5.3 kG

Table VI

Option	AGS Protons per pulse	AGS Cycle Time (sec)	Duty Factor (%)	Delivered Current (μ A)
AGS	1.5×10^{13}	2.5	35	1.0
+ Booster	6×10^{13}	2.5	35	4.0
+ Stretcher	6×10^{13}	1.2	100	8.0
+ Post Booster and Collector	2.5×10^{14}	0.4	100	40.0

The proposed scheme utilizes every Linac pulse and thus there is no advantage to accumulate polarized protons in the Booster. The higher ejection energy however requires the crossing of one intrinsic depolarizing resonance at 1.57 GeV in the Booster. We have not calculated the depolarizing effect of this resonance nor yet considered a resonance crossing scheme. The Post Booster and the Collector, would be designed to avoid serious depolarizing resonances. For heavy ion operations we would consider moving the final electron stripping foil from the Booster to the Post Booster extraction line. For the heaviest ions the beam intensity would increase due to the larger stripping efficiencies at higher energy.

We have presented one of several possibilities for the evolution of the AGS complex into a high intensity hadron facility. One could consider other alternatives, such as using the AGS as the Collector and constructing a new 9-30 GeV machine. We believe the most responsible scenario must minimize the cost and downtime to the ongoing physics program. With a stepwise approach, starting with the Booster, the physics program can evolve without a single major commitment in funds. At each step an evaluation of the funds versus physics merit can be made. As a final aside, each upgrade at the AGS and Booster is presently being implemented to support an interleaved operation of both protons and ions.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.