SUB-BARRIER REACTIONS MEASURED USING A
RECOIL MASS SEPARATOR

CONF-8806167--6

DE89 005803

R. R. Betts

Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, IL 60439, U.S.A.

Invited Paper
presented at
Symposium on

HEAVY ION INTERACTIONS AROUND THE
COULOMB BARRIER

Legnaro, Italy 1-4 June 88

To be published by Springer-Verlag in
"Lecture Notes in Physics"

The submitted manuscript has been authored
by a contractor of the U. S. Government
under contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38.
Accordingly, the U. S. Government retains a
nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish
or reproduce the published form of this
contribution, or allow others to do so, for
U. S. Government purposes.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of its employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

MASTER

SUB-BARRIER REACTIONS MEASURED USING A RECOIL MASS SEPARATOR

R. R. Betts

Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, IL 60439, U.S.A.

Much recent effort [1] has been directed to the study of the interaction of heavy nuclei at energies close to and below their mutual classical interaction barrier. This interest is motivated by the observation of characteristics of nuclear reactions at these energies which cannot be understood in terms of simple first order models. The most graphic example of this being, of course, the observed enhancement of the cross-section for fusion of heavy ions at sub-barrier energies. Our current understanding of this phenomenon involves the influence of the coupling between elastic, quasi-elastic and fusion channels which leads to an interplay of nuclear structure and dynamics resulting in the observed enhancements to the fusion channel. In principle, therefore, a framework exists for a self-consistent description of all features of nuclear interactions in the sub-barrier region. In addition, the understanding of transfer between heavy ions is important in its own right. The hope being that new nuclear structure information might be obtained from such reactions. The sub-barrier region, in which the number of competing reaction channels is minimal, should therefore be the first testing ground of this understanding.

Despite these strong motivations, few data exist in the sub-barrier region for reaction channels other than fusion. In particular, our experimental knowledge of quasi-elastic transfer reactions is sparse, despite the belief that this particular channel may be dominant in determining some features of the sub-barrier fusion enhancement. This deficiency is due in part to experimental difficulties. Transfer reactions are governed primarily by the closest approach of the colliding nuclei which, at low energies, results in a strong backward peaking of the angular distribution in the center-of-mass frame. For situations where the projectile has a significant fraction of the target mass, as is so in most cases of interest, the backscattered projectile-like fragment has such low energy that the usual techniques of measurement and identification become invalid. Here, we report on a solution to this problem which allows a systematic study of many aspects of transfer reactions in the energy regime of interest. We exploit the fact that associated with the low-energy backscattered projectile-like fragment is a complementary target-like fragment which recoils to forward angles with a large fraction of the incident beam energy. These target-like fragments were detected and identified using the Daresbury Recoil

Mass Separator (RMS) thus allowing the measurement of quasi-elastic transfer over a hitherto inaccessible energy range from the vicinity of the barrier to several tens of MeV below.

The Daresbury RMS has been described in the literature [2] and recent developments are discussed elsewhere in these proceedings. [3] Briefly, it consists of a velocity filter followed by momentum selection giving an image in the focal plane dispersed in A/q . The position of the transmitted ions in the focal plane is measured using a position sensitive channel plate detector and their specific energy loss and total energy are then measured using an ionization chamber. The experiments described here used ^{58}Ni beams of energies ranging from 180 to 260 MeV provided by the Daresbury Laboratory Nuclear Structure Facility tandem accelerator. Data on sub-barrier transfer for targets of $^{116,118,120,122,124}\text{Sn}$ [4,5] and $^{144,148,150,152,154}\text{Sm}$ were obtained.

A spectrum of energy loss versus total energy for recoils detected in the bombardment of ^{122}Sn with a 214 MeV beam of ^{58}Ni is shown in Fig. 1. The Sn-

Fig. 1. Two-dimensional spectrum of energy loss versus total energy for recoils from 214 MeV ^{58}Ni + ^{122}Sn .

like recoils are identified by their large energy loss compared to a tail of degraded beam particles produced by scattering from the electrostatic plates in the velocity

filter. The distribution of the Sn-like events as a function of energy and focal-plane position is shown in Fig. 2 together with the projections

Fig. 2. Two-dimensional spectrum of position versus total energy for Sn-like events. The projections on to the position and energy axes are also shown.

of these events onto the two axes. The better than unit mass resolution of the RMS is evident from the position spectrum which, in this case, shows peaks corresponding to masses 122, 121 and 120 all of charge-state 28^+ . The energy spectrum shows a broad peak which, nevertheless, falls well within the acceptance of the recoil separator as indicated on the spectrum. This observation is further confirmed by a scan of the yield as a function of the central velocity setting of the Wien filter which gives a distribution of width equal to the expected velocity acceptance ($\Delta v/v \approx 4\%$) consistent with the velocity spread of the detected fragments being narrower than the RMS acceptance.

On the basis of Q-values and the expected fall off of form factors at large radius, as well as the results of DWBA calculations, we expect that the observed yields correspond to neutron, rather than proton, transfer. This expectation is confirmed by the results of a measurement of coincident gamma rays in the case of

$^{58}\text{Ni} + ^{124}\text{Sn}$ at a bombarding energy of 220 MeV. In this case the one nucleon transfer channel is conclusively identified as neutron transfer. These results are discussed in more detail in another paper [6].

Cross sections were obtained by assuming that the total yield observed corresponds to the Rutherford scattering cross-section; the cross-sections for individual masses then being given by the ratio of their yields to the total. The validity of this procedure was verified in cases where the yields were measured for all the strong charge states and a cross-section calculated using the ratios of the solid angles of the RMS and a monitor detector fixed at 36.5° together with the measured yield in the monitor counter. The cross-sections obtained by the two methods agreed to within 15%.

Cross sections for $^{58}\text{Ni} + ^{116,118,120,122,124}\text{Sn}$ one-neutron pickup reactions are shown plotted as a function of center-of-mass bombarding energy in Fig. 3. The data for each isotope fall with decreasing bombarding energy with

Fig. 3. Cross-sections for one-nucleon pickup in $^{58}\text{Ni} + \text{Sn}$ reactions plotted versus center-of mass bombarding energy. The dashed curve shows the DWBA prediction for ^{124}Sn . The solid lines join the data points.

slopes which are independent of the target. The absolute magnitudes, however, depend strongly on target mass, varying by a factor of three from the heaviest to the lightest isotope. The general features of these data can be understood as a result of the monotonic change in the ground-state neutron binding energy across the isotopic sequence. This, coupled with the almost unchanging collective and single-particle structure of the Sn isotopes results in the rather simple behavior observed. Table I lists some of the relevant properties of the Sn isotopes studied.

Table I

Properties of the Even Sn Isotopes

A	B _n MeV	Q _n MeV	κ _n fm ⁻¹	β ₂ ^{a)}	β ₃ ^{a)}
116	9.57	-0.56	0.681	0.120	0.046
118	9.33	-0.33	0.672	0.110	0.035
120	9.10	-0.11	0.664	0.108	0.031
122	8.80	0.18	0.653	0.104	0.035
124	8.49	0.51	0.641	0.095	0.041

^{a)} Deformation associated with the collective inelastic excitation of the lowest 2⁺ and 3⁻ states

Within the framework of a simple semi-classical treatment of neutron transfer, we expect the dependence of the θ_{cm}=180° cross-section to be given by;

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \propto \frac{e^{-2\kappa_n d}}{\kappa_n} \quad \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \Big|_{\text{Rutherford}} \quad \text{where} \quad d = \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{E_{\text{cm}}},$$

the distance of closest approach for a head-on collision. The cross-sections calculated with this expression are shown in Fig. 4 together with the data. The

Fig. 4. Cross-sections for one-nucleon pickup in ⁵⁸Ni + Sn together with cross-sections calculated using the semi-classical expression given in the text. The curves are normalized to the highest energy point for ¹²⁴Sn.

calculated curves were normalized to the data at one point, that for ¹²⁴Sn at the highest energy. The overall agreement is quite remarkable. The near constancy of

the observed slopes can be seen from the form of the semi-classical expression which has a logarithmic slope which depends only linearly on κ_n - and remains constant within a few percent across the isotopic sequence. The magnitude of the cross-section is, however, exponentially dependent on the product of κ_n and d which leads to the observed strong dependence. It would not have been expected a priori that this simple treatment give such a good account of the variation of the absolute magnitudes of the cross-sections. This has the additional requirement that the dependence of the overall magnitude of the valence neutron wave function at the nuclear surface vary only weakly from isotope to isotope such that its magnitude at large distances is governed solely by κ_n the binding energy parameter.

A more detailed analysis of these data has been carried out in DWBA using spectroscopic information taken from light ion studies [7,8] in this mass region together with the code PTOLEMY. [9] The resultant energy dependence is in similarly good agreement with the data, as is the isotopic dependence which is shown together with the data and the semi-classical results in Fig. 5. The

Fig. 5. Cross-sections for one-nucleon pickup in $^{58}\text{Ni} + \text{Sn}$ at 208 MeV together with the predictions of the semi-classical model and the results of DWBA calculations.

conclusion is therefore that the sub-barrier neutron transfer of $^{58}\text{Ni} + \text{Sn}$ is well understood within the framework of simple semi-classical and quantum mechanical theories of the transfer process.

The influence of the transfer process on the sub-barrier fusion of these systems has been investigated using the model of Dasso et al., [10] which provides a transparent connection between the strengths of the quasi-elastic reaction channels

and fusion. The results of these calculations are shown in Fig. 6 which shows, successively from left to right, the cross-sections

Fig. 6. Calculated fusion cross-sections for Ni + Sn. Figures a)-c) show the results using the bare potential, bare + inelastic and bare + inelastic + transfer. Figures d)-f) show the same results corrected for geometric effects as discussed in the text.

calculated with no-coupling, inelastic coupling and, inelastic + transfer coupling. The relative strengths of the transfer coupling were taken from the present measured cross-sections. The lower portion of the figure shows the effect of removing geometric effects on the isotopic dependence through defining the appropriately scaled quantities

$$\sigma_{FUS}^{RED} = \sigma_{FUS} \left(\frac{R_o^{REF}}{R_o} \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad E_{C.M.}^{RED} = E_{C.M.} \left(\frac{V_o^{REF}}{V_o} \right)$$

where R_0 and V_0 are the s-wave barrier heights and radii respectively. It is evident from these curves, that the only non-trivial isotopic dependence of the sub-barrier fusion of these systems results from the coupling of the fusion channel to the strongly varying one-neutron transfer channel. These results are in fair agreement with the available data [11] for low-energy fusion of these systems although a more detailed experimental study of the sub-barrier fusion is now clearly merited.

The results for $^{58}\text{Ni} + \text{Sm}$ are less easily understood. Table II lists some of the properties of the isotopes studied which, in contrast to Sn, show a transition from spherical (^{144}Sm) to well-deformed (^{154}Sm). The cross-sections for one-neutron pickup are shown plotted versus center-of-mass bombarding energy in Fig. 7. As in the case of Sn there is a strong isotopic dependence of the absolute magnitudes but in

Fig. 7. Cross-sections for one-nucleon pickup in Ni + Sm reactions plotted versus center-of-mass bombarding energy.

Table II

Properties of the Even Sm Isotopes				
A	B_n MeV	Q_n MeV	κ_n fm^{-1}	β_2^a
144	10.55	-1.56	0.715	0.
148	8.14	0.86	0.628	0.11
150	7.99	1.01	0.622	0.19
152	8.27	0.73	0.633	0.25
154	7.98	1.02	0.622	0.27

a) Static ground-state deformation.

addition there now appears a dramatic variation in slope between the spherical ^{144}Sm target and the deformed targets. This variation in slope is in excess of that

expected on the basis of the change of 2.5 MeV in binding energy between ^{144}Sm and the deformed targets as is illustrated in Fig. 8 where the data are

Fig. 8. Comparison between measured cross-sections for $^{58}\text{Ni} + \text{Sm}$ transfer and cross-sections calculated using the semi-classical model. The calculations are normalized to the highest energy point for ^{144}Sm .

compared to the predictions of the semi-classical model. For ^{144}Sm the calculation is in good agreement with the observed slope but for the heavier targets the observed slopes are much less than the semi-classical prediction. In fact, to reproduce the observed slope for ^{154}Sm , an effective binding energy of 3.6 MeV is required - some 4.4 MeV less than the ground-state value. A similar behavior is

observed in the comparison between the data and the results of DWBA calculations shown in Fig. 9. As for Sn, the spectroscopic information

Fig. 9. Comparison between measured cross-sections for $^{58}\text{Ni} + \text{Sm}$ and the results of DWBA calculations.

was taken from light ion work. [12] The DWBA predictions are in good agreement with the observed magnitudes at the highest energies but fail to predict the fall in cross-section with decreasing energy for the deformed targets, the calculated cross-sections falling too steeply. We note that the ^{144}Sm data are well accounted for over the entire energy range studied.

Within the framework of the semi-classical model, it might be suspected that the observed changes in slope result simply from the additional quadrupole term in the Coulomb potential which arises from the deformed target charge distributions. This effect is readily included in the semi-classical formalism [13,14], but for randomly oriented target nuclei leads to an effect which is both small and in the wrong direction, the calculated curves now becoming slightly steeper than for a spherical target. The assumption of prolate target nuclei all aligned with their symmetry axis along the beam direction does produce a decrease in the slope over

the spherical case but, for reasonable deformations, is still somewhat smaller than that observed. [14]

It is interesting to note the similarity of the present observations to those of Wirth *et al.*, for $^{238}\text{U} + ^{238}\text{U}$ at sub-barrier energies. [15] The present data for ^{154}Sm are compared with the $\theta_{\text{cm}} = 180^\circ$ data points for $^{238}\text{U} + ^{238}\text{U}$ in Fig. 10. The two lines are, in each case, a line drawn through the data

Fig. 10. Comparison between transfer probabilities for $^{58}\text{Ni} + ^{154}\text{Sm}$ and $^{238}\text{U} + ^{238}\text{U}$ and the predictions of the semi-classical model using ground-state binding energies (solid curve). The dashed curve through the points is to guide the eye.

to guide the eye and the semi-classical expectation using ground state binding energies. In both cases the enhancement of the data over the semi-classical expectation is similar. The $^{238}\text{U} + ^{238}\text{U}$ data are of particular interest in that it has been found for angles corresponding to more glancing collisions the data were well accounted for by the semi-classical calculation which indicates that the enhancement of transfer is connected to head-on rather than larger impact parameter collisions. It appears therefore that the enhancement of transfer at large distances is a quite general effect associated with the interactions between heavy ions in which at least one of the reaction partners is deformed. It does not seem that the magnitude of the deformation has any significant effect as the enhancement appears similar between the more weakly deformed and strongly deformed Sm isotopes and between all of these and the well deformed-deformed $^{238}\text{U} + ^{238}\text{U}$ case.

Similar "slope anomalies" have been reported by Juutinen *et al.*, [16] for several different projectiles incident on Dy target nuclei all at bombarding energies above the barrier. In these cases, in which gamma ray energy and multiplicity information were also obtained, it was concluded that the occurrence of the slope anomaly was correlated with small angular momentum transfer. In the present data it is true that the low bombarding energy and detection angle also favor low angular momentum transfer but this evidence is only circumstantial. It seems

nevertheless that such anomalies maybe a general phenomenon and that further study will give us new insight into the interplay between structure and dynamics in heavy ion reactions.

This work was performed in collaboration with C.N. Pass, P.M. Evans, A.E. Smith (Oxford); J.S. Lilley, J. Simpson, J.R. Smith (Daresbury); A.N. James (Liverpool); and B.R. Fulton (Birmingham). Support from NATO Collaborative Research Grant 0076/87 is gratefully acknowledged. This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Nuclear Physics Division, under contract W-31-109-ENG-38.

References

- [1] Proceedings of the International Conference on Fusion Reactions below the Coulomb Barrier, Cambridge, Mass. June 1984 ed. S.G. Steadman (Springer Verlag, Berlin 1985).
- [2] A.N. James, et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A267, 144 (1988).
- [3] A.N. James, these proceedings.
- [4] R.R. Betts, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 978 (1987).
- [5] C.N. Pass et al., submitted to Nucl. Phys.
- [6] C.N. Pass et al.; these proceedings.
- [7] E.J. Schueid et al., Phys. Rev. 156, 1316 (1967).
- [8] P.E. Cavanagh et al., AERE Harwell Report No. AERE-R5901 (unpublished).
- [9] S.C. Pieper et al., ANL Report No. ANL-76-11 (unpublished).
- [10] C.H. Dasso, S. Landowne and A. Winther, Nucl. Phys. A407, 221 (1983).
- [11] K.T. Lesko et al., Phys. Rev. C34, 2155 (1983).
- [12] S. Gales et al.; Nucl. Phys. A398, 19 (1983).
- [13] R.K. Gupta et al., Phys. Rev. C37, 1502 (1988).
- [14] R.R. Betts et al., to be published.
- [15] G. Wirth et al., Phys. Lett. B177, 282 (1986).
- [16] S. Juutinen et al., Phys. Lett. B192, 307 (1987).