MASTER

TECHNICAL PROGRESS REPORT

**"STRUCTURAL AND ELECTRONIC STUDIES OF DEFECTS
IN AMORPHOUS SILICON"**

Report No. 3

Contract No. XJ-0-9079-1

Report Period: September-November, 1980

Contractor: Xerox Corporation
Palo Alto Research Centers
3333 Coyote Hill Road
Palo Alto, California 94304

DISCLAIMER

This book was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Principal Investigator: R. A. Street

Copies: DOE (Washington) (2)
DOE (Oak Ridge) (1)
SERI (10)
Contractors

Date: December 22, 1980

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

OVERVIEW

The following research projects have been completed this quarter:

- (A) An extensive study of doped and compensated a-Si:H has been completed. Measurements included luminescence, ESR, optical absorption, conductivity and compositional analysis. It is found that doping with boron or phosphorus introduces defects of the dangling bond type. Compensation is observed to reduce the dangling bond density, from which it is concluded that an autocompensation mechanism is operating. In addition, compensation introduces hole traps above the valence band edge. These states cause the luminescence to shift strongly to low energy, and are also observed in ESR. The hole traps are attributed to boron-phosphorus during deposition and also indicates the formation of complexes (see Appendix I).
- (B) Measurements and analysis of transient and DC photoconductivity in undoped a-Si:H have been made. The form of the onset, decay and steady state photoconductivity, under the assumption of a time dependent carrier mobility of the form $\mu = \mu_0 t^{-(1-\alpha)}$ has been calculated. Data on a-Si:H shows that this model is applicable to material with a range of defect densities. The time dependent drift mobility is found to decrease from $\sim 1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V sec}$ at 10^{-6} sec to $\sim 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{V sec}$ at 1 sec with $\alpha \sim 0.4$. In addition the recombination time is found to be inversely proportional to the excitation intensity, leading to the unusual property of a carrier density almost independent of the excitation intensity. A partial explanation of this effect is observed from an analysis of bimolecular recombination with the assumption of a time dependent mobility (see Appendix II).

DEFECT STATES IN DOPED AND COMPENSATED a-Si:H

R. A. Street, D. K. Biegelsen

and J. C. Knights

Xerox Palo Alto Research Center

Palo Alto, California 94304

Abstract

Luminescence, ESR, optical absorption, conductivity and composition data are measured on doped and compensated hydrogenated amorphous silicon. In material singly doped with boron or phosphorus, a variety of experiments indicate the introduction of a large defect density (up to 10^{18} cm^{-3}) of the dangling bond type. Compensation increases the luminescence efficiency, but the luminescence peak shifts strongly to lower energy. Compensation reduces the ESR resonance at $g = 2.0055$, but a broad resonance characteristic of a hole trap remains. We deduce that compensation reduces the dangling bond density, but introduces a new band of localized states above the valence band edge. We associate these new states with boron-phosphorus complexes whose origin is a chemical interaction occurring during deposition. The changes in dangling bond density with doping and compensation lead us to propose an auto compensation mechanism of defect formation. Also reported is the first observation of a metastable light-induced ESR signal in a-Si:H.

PACS: 71.55.Fr, 78.55.Ds, 76.30.Da

1. Introduction

The photoluminescence of undoped a-Si:H is now comparatively well understood.¹⁻³ The dominant transition is between states near the band edges giving a broad luminescence peak at $\sim 1.4\text{eV}$, and having a moderate Stokes shift.⁴ The intensity of the luminescence is controlled predominately by dangling bond defects as observed in ESR.² When these are present in concentrations less than $\sim 10^{17}\text{ cm}^{-3}$, the luminescence efficiency at 10K approaches unity. At elevated temperatures the luminescence is quenched by a mechanism involving the separation of carrier pairs followed by capture at dangling bonds.^{5,6} The importance of defect states in the gap for nonradiative recombination is common in semiconductors, and much effort has gone into making low defect density a-Si:H.

Electron spin resonance (ESR) in a-Si:H, is also fairly well understood.^{7,8} The single, featureless, and slightly asymmetric line at $g = 2.0055$ arises from strongly localized neutral silicon dangling bonds randomly oriented relative to the external magnetic field. The energy level associated with the neutral state of this defect lies below the center of the band gap whereas the negative state (doubly occupied and diamagnetic) lies above midgap. The spin density in undoped material for which the Fermi energy is near midgap, thus equals the density of dangling bonds which can vary from $\leq 10^{20}\text{ cm}^{-3}$ down to below the level of detectability ($< 10^{15}\text{ cm}^{-3}$) depending on deposition conditions.² In a-Si:H samples deposited under conditions such that an observable spin signal exists in undoped material, it is known that when doped either p- or n-type (but keeping the deposition conditions otherwise the same) the dangling bond signal disappears as the Fermi level is moved towards the band edges.⁹ The decreasing ESR signal does not indicate a reduced defect density, but rather a decreasing fraction of singly occupied defects due to the shift of E_F past the appropriate energy level.¹⁰ As might be expected, for deposition conditions producing a low density of gap states in

undoped material, less doping is needed to quench the defect ESR than for conditions producing high gap state densities.

Light-induced electron spin resonance (LESR) has also been useful in elucidating the gap states.^{10,11} Like luminescence, LESR is a non-equilibrium process. It reveals states which are paramagnetic before recombination of the excited carriers occurs. In undoped material a relatively weak pair of lines is observed. From the correlation with luminescence the broad ($\Delta H_{pp} \sim 18$ G) line at $g \sim 2.013$ has been assigned to band tail holes and a narrow ($\Delta H_{pp} \sim 5$ G) line at $G \sim 2.004$ has been assigned to band tail electrons.¹⁰ The same lineshapes are also observed by equilibrium ESR in heavily doped a-Si:H (ref. 7, 9 and see section 3) which gives additional evidence for these assignments. The existence of these lines in undoped material demonstrates that the states are intrinsic to the silicon and not specifically associated with any dopant.

One result of a low defect density is that electronic doping of a-Si:H is possible.^{12,13} A doping level of typically 10^{-4} [PH₃] in SiH₄ is required to shift the Fermi energy up to the band tails.¹³ This corresponds to a doping concentration of about $5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ which is well in excess of what is required given the estimated density of states in the gap that have to be compensated by the doping.¹⁴ One reason for the excess dopant required is that most of the impurity atoms may bond in non-doping configurations (3-fold coordinated phosphorus for example). In the case of As doping there is direct evidence that only about 10% of the As atoms are 4-fold coordinated and electronically active.¹⁵ However, an additional reason is that the doping process might introduce defects into the material which therefore requires extra doping to move the Fermi energy. There is already some evidence that this is indeed the case. The luminescence efficiency of doped samples decreases as the doping level increases,^{16,17} and the luminescence decay is characteristic of material with defects.¹⁸ Another indication is the poor performance of doped material for photovoltaic devices. Part of

the purpose of this paper is to provide more detailed evidence of defect introduction by doping and to suggest reasons why this occurs. It is argued that studies of compensated samples can separate the various models and new data on material doped with different amounts of both boron and phosphorus are presented.

There have been several studies of luminescence in doped a-Si:H all of which agree on the basic result that luminescence is quenched by doping both p- and n-type.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ At doping levels of about 10^{-3} a peak near 0.9eV is observed in the luminescence spectrum^{16,17} which has been attributed to a transition through defect levels, specifically dangling bonds.¹⁹ The only report of luminescence from compensated a-Si:H finds a peak at ~1.0eV for nominal doping levels of $\sim 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ and associates this with the defect transition.¹⁷ In this paper we report measurements on both doped and compensated samples with doping levels in the range $0-10^{-2}$. Measurements are made of the luminescence spectra, intensity, temperature dependence, and time decay, as well as ESR and light induced ESR, optical absorption, conductivity and compositional analysis.

2. Sample Preparation and Characterization

The samples were prepared by plasma deposition as described elsewhere.² The deposition conditions used were those known to give undoped a-Si:H with very low defect density: substrate temperature 230°C, low RF power (2W) and SiH₄ with no carrier gas. The dopant gasses were PH₃ and B₂H₆ prediluted in SiH₄.

The dopant concentration of some samples was measured by SIMS, and the results are given in Table 1. The measurements were made using an O⁻ beam to avoid charging effects, since the samples are deposited on glass substrates. This reduced the sensitivity because the sputtering rate is low. Concentration profiles were measured in a few cases and variations with depth of typically 20-30% were found. This value is

therefore taken as the estimated uncertainty. For both boron and phosphorus single doping the concentration is above the molecular fraction of dopant gas in SiH_4 by a factor 3–5. Similar enhancement factors for boron have been reported previously by Zesch et al.²⁰ The results for compensated samples are very interesting. When the boron and phosphorus doping is at roughly equal levels, the enhancement is close to that found for single doping. However, when the doping levels are very different, the minority species tends to be incorporated in the film much more efficiently. The most striking example is with the 10^{-3} $[\text{PH}_3]$, 10^{-4} $[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$ sample in which the enhancement factor for boron is 23 compared to about 4 for the 10^{-3} compensated sample. A similar increase of phosphorus is found when PH_3 is the minority dopant. Evidently the deposition process has a tendency to equalize the two dopant concentrations in the films. It is therefore clear that there is some cooperative reaction during the deposition and the formation of a boron-phosphorus pair, or some similar complex, either as a gas-phase or surface reaction, is strongly indicated. As we shall see, this cooperative process is evident in the luminescence data. In all the data reported below the dopant levels are stated as the molecular fraction of PH_3 or B_2H_6 in SiH_4 in the reactor gas. We refer to this as the nominal doping level. The true concentrations in the material can be estimated from the enhancement factors given in Table 1.

Fig. 1 shows the deposition rate for a variety of doped and compensated samples. In agreement with other reports²¹ we find that boron doping substantially enhances the deposition rate such that at a nominal doping level of 4×10^{-3} $[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$ the increase is about 40%. On the other hand, phosphorus doping reduces the deposition rate. This can be seen in Fig. 1 for two compensated samples and by comparing with undoped samples which have a deposition rate of $\sim 100\text{Å}/\text{min}$. It is therefore clear that the dopant gases have an influence on the deposition process which far exceeds their relative concentration in the plasma. In addition the deposition rate increases particularly rapidly with the addition of small quantities of B_2H_6 to 10^{-3} $[\text{PH}_3]$ material.

6

We associate this effect to the large enhancement of the minority dopant concentration discussed above.

Measurements of the infrared spectrum of a 10^{-3} compensated sample showed no discernible change in the hydrogen bonding compared to an equivalent undoped sample.²² The Si-H stretching modes were predominately at 2000 cm^{-1} . Furthermore, the fracture surface of a compensated sample shows no sign of columnar growth.²³ We therefore conclude that doping and compensation do not substantially change the sample microstructure or hydrogen bonding.

To demonstrate that electrical compensation is occurring, measurements of dc conductivity and the sign of the thermopower were made. The temperature dependence of dc conductivity of some samples is shown in Fig. 2. For a series of samples with $10^{-3}[\text{PH}_3]$ and variable concentrations of B_2H_6 , electrical compensation occurs within a factor 2 of the nominal compensation level of $10^{-3}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$ in agreement with other data.²⁴ This particular composition was too resistive to determine the sign of the thermopower or to give reliable conductivity because of contact effects. However, all samples with more boron had positive thermopower, and all with less boron were negative. As seen in Fig. 2 the conductivity increases and the activation energy decreases as the boron concentration is reduced from the compensation value. The activation energy of 0.4eV found for $10^{-3}[\text{PH}_3]$ and $2 \times 10^{-4}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$ is roughly the same as that observed for $10^{-5}[\text{PH}_3]$. Even taking into account the different dopant incorporation rates, this indicates that in the compensated samples a much larger change in doping is required to move the Fermi energy than in lightly doped material.

3. Results

3.1 Luminescence

A. Singly Doped Samples

The luminescence peak position and intensity of phosphorus and boron doped samples is shown in Fig. 3 as a function of the nominal doping level. The results are almost identical for the two dopants. Both quench the luminescence, particularly at doping levels above 10^{-4} , and the luminescence becomes undetectable above 10^{-2} . At high doping levels the defect luminescence near 0.9eV^{19} is the dominant emission. There is a small range of doping at which both the 0.9eV band and the band edge transition are observed and an example of this is shown in Fig. 6. The emergence of the defect band is seen clearly by the abrupt decrease in the peak position by about 0.4eV seen in Fig. 3. Another feature evident from Fig. 3 is that the peak energy of either band is not constant, but decreases at doping levels above 10^{-3} . The decrease is more pronounced with boron doping than with phosphorus.

These observations are in qualitative agreement with those reported by other groups. In particular, similar data of Fischer et al.¹⁶ are shown in Fig. 3 for comparison. Although the trends are clearly the same, there are notable quantitative differences. One is that the defect luminescence is relatively more intense by about an order of magnitude. Secondly the defect luminescence dominates at doping levels which are an order of magnitude lower than ours and finally the peak energies are lower, particularly those of the band edge transition. Part of this shift may be due to the higher measurement temperature (80 K). However, neither of the other differences can be explained in this way. Instead we attribute them to the different deposition conditions for these samples and note that our earlier data¹⁷ using material deposited from 5% SiH_4 /95% Ar also differed from the present data quantitatively but not

qualitatively. At present we cannot determine whether the effects are due to different dopant concentrations in the films, different doping efficiencies or to some other mechanism. However, the comparison serves to indicate the magnitude of the variations that can be expected from samples prepared in different laboratories.

Our previous measurements of luminescence decay in doped samples indicated that the quenching of the band edge transition was due to a high defect density in the doped samples.¹⁷ We have also performed two other luminescence experiments that lead to the same conclusion. The first is the intensity dependence of the luminescence quantum efficiency at an elevated temperature, specifically 170 K. In undoped samples the quantum efficiency increases with intensity by an amount inversely dependent on the defect density.⁶ The reason is a bimolecular luminescence process which competes with non-radiative recombination through defects. Figure 4 shows the results of this experiment for two lightly phosphorous doped samples in which the enhancement observed is substantially less than in undoped samples. The quantum efficiency, $y(G)$, is expected to vary with excitation intensity, G , as

$$y(G) = y_0(1 + \gamma G/\beta^2 N_s^2) \quad (1)$$

where N_s is the defect density and the other parameters are described elsewhere.⁶ The data of Fig. 4 is fitted to this expression over the low intensity region and the value of G_1 obtained is the excitation intensity for which

$$\gamma G_1 = \beta^2 N_s^2 \quad (2)$$

Comparison with undoped samples indicates a defect density of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for $10^{-5}[\text{PH}_3]$ and $\sim 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for $10^{-4}[\text{PH}_3]$ compared with $\sim 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in the undoped material prepared under the same deposition conditions.

The second experiment is the temperature dependence of the luminescence intensity which is shown in Fig. 5. At this point we are interested in the comparison of the undoped sample and the sample doped $10^{-4}[\text{PH}_3]$. Although the doping reduces the low temperature efficiency about an order of magnitude, the two sets of data converge at about 200 K. Similar behavior is found in undoped material with differing defect densities for reasons described elsewhere.⁶ The equivalent results of the doped samples strongly suggests that the low efficiency is due to an increase in the defect density and that the nonradiative mechanism is essentially the same as in undoped samples. From this conclusion we can estimate the defect density in the doped samples from the known relation between the luminescence intensity and the spin density in undoped material. In this way we obtain a defect density $\sim 3 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at a doping level of about 10^{-4} in rough agreement with the results of Fig. 4. Further evidence for a high defect density in doped material is obtained from light-induced ESR measurements as described in 3.2.

B. Compensated Samples

One reason for studying compensated samples is that they allow one to vary the doping and the position of the Fermi level independently. Based on the conclusion that doping introduces defects, it is important to know whether the defects are a consequence of the Fermi energy position or solely of the chemistry of the deposition process. Our results concentrate on fairly heavy doping levels (above 10^{-4}) because these samples show the largest effects. Figure 6 shows examples of luminescence spectra of samples doped $10^{-3}[\text{PH}_3]$ and compensated with various amounts of boron. Figure 7 shows the peak position, intensity and linewidth for the complete set of samples in this series, and Fig. 8 shows equivalent data for samples with $10^{-3}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$ and variable phosphorus compensation. In both series compensation tends to increase the luminescence efficiency. For constant phosphorus (Fig. 7) the maximum luminescence

occurs for $2 \times 10^{-4}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$ and the efficiency increases by about an order of magnitude. In the other series the peak is at the nominal compensation level, and the increase is less, about a factor 3.

At the nominal compensation the luminescence spectrum peaks at 1.02eV in agreement with measurements by Austin et al.¹⁷ Inspection of Fig. 3 shows that the defect luminescence line is at about this energy in $10^{-3}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$ material although it is at 0.9eV in the equivalent phosphorus doped material. (Note that we do not consider these differences in energy of the defect peak to be significant because of the steady variation of energy with doping level shown in Fig. 3) Thus the natural interpretation is that the defect line is dominating in a compensated material. This turns out to be an incorrect deduction as is shown from the various observations described below.

In Figure 7 it is seen that peak energy of the compensated samples varies with the compensation level. Reducing the boron content increases the peak energy steadily, and the extrapolated value corresponds to the band edge transition, rather than the defect line. These trends are evident in the spectra of Fig. 6. Furthermore the linewidth of the spectrum decreases rapidly with compensation by boron (Fig. 7) to a value of 0.25eV. This is much less than the known width of the defect band ($\sim 0.35\text{eV}$)¹⁹ but similar to that of the band edge transition in undoped samples. The spectra of the lightly compensated samples are also asymmetric (see Fig. 6) which we interpret as indicating the remanent of the defect line whose relative intensity is decreasing rapidly with compensation. Our conclusion is that the peak in the compensated sample is derived from the band edge transition with a continuous evolution of this peak down in energy as the boron concentration increases.

The same conclusion holds for the series with constant boron and variable phosphorus, shown in Fig. 8. In this series the luminescence peak does not shift up in energy so much, but there is a distinct discontinuity between the $10^{-3}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$ sample and

the $10^{-3}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$, $10^{-4}[\text{PH}_3]$ material. The small shift is probably because the band edge peak is already unresolved from the defect line in the $10^{-3}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$ sample. However, the change in the character of the band is more noticeable in the linewidth which decreases rapidly with compensation, again reaching a value of 0.25eV. As before, we attribute the decrease to the disappearance of the defect transition.

There are various other pieces of experimental evidence that the luminescence in the compensated material has a different character from the 0.9eV defect peak and evolves continuously from the band edge transition. Figure 5 shows temperature dependence data. The thermal quenching of the compensated sample converges with that of undoped a-Si:H above 200 K, indicating that the mechanism of thermally activated ionization of electrons is essentially unchanged.⁶ On the other hand the quenching of the 0.9eV defect line occurs at a higher temperature and has been associated with an electron trapped at a deeper state.¹⁹

The inset in Fig. 8 shows the temperature dependence of the luminescence peak energy for the 10^{-3} compensated sample. The peak shifts to lower energy substantially faster than does the band gap energy. This is a characteristic of the band edge transition³ but is not observed in the defect luminescence.¹⁹ Thus again the compensated sample is found to have the character of the band edge transition.

In Fig. 9 we show the luminescence peak energy for nominally compensated samples as a function of the doping level. The continuous evolution of the peak from the value of ~1.4eV in undoped material is now clearly evident. Up to doping levels of 10^{-4} the shift is small but at the highest limit investigated (3×10^{-3}) the shift is almost 0.5eV. This large and continuous change is one of the most striking features of the compensated material. The same tendency is seen in the singly doped material, but the effect is much smaller, as can be seen by comparing Fig. 3 and Fig. 9. Figure 10 shows the enhancement of the total luminescence intensity for nominally compensated

samples compared to the equivalent doped samples. There is an increase of efficiency at all doping levels with the relative change getting larger as the doping level increases.

Finally in Fig. 11 is shown the luminescence decay of a 10^{-3} $[\text{PH}_3]$, $1.5 \times 10^{-4}[\text{BH}_3]$ compensated sample compared to the decay of the 0.9eV defect band in a $10^{-3}[\text{PH}_3]$ sample and to an undoped sample. The decay is measured at the peak of the luminescence spectrum using a cooled Ge detector with a minimum time constant of 300n sec. The arrows in Fig. 11 indicate the time at which the intensity decays as t^{-1} and is a rough measure of the peak in the distribution of decay times.³ In the compensated sample this time is about an order of magnitude lower than in the undoped sample. However, the decay is broader and the intensity does not drop sharply until ~ 1 m sec., so that the mean lifetime is in fact not much below that of the undoped samples. This result is confirmed by measurements of the decay after a long excitation pulse, also shown in Fig. 11, which is a more direct measurement of the mean decay time. The similar decay times and the broad distribution of the undoped and compensated sample suggests that the same mechanism operates, namely radiative tunnelling, and that the electron states are similar in the two materials. The 0.9eV defect peak also has a distributed decay, but a substantially smaller mean lifetime. A curious feature of the decay in the compensated sample is that despite the fairly low quantum efficiency (5% of the undoped sample), there is no sign of an initial fast non-radiative process. The most likely explanation seems to be that the non-radiative decay is sufficiently fast that it is not detectable given the time constant of the apparatus. This perhaps indicates a modified non-radiative mechanism compared to that operating in undoped samples, and requires further investigation.

3.2 Electron Spin Resonance

A. Singly Doped Samples

The samples used for the single doping sequence were deposited on 5 mm x 10 mm Corning 7059 substrates to a thickness $\sim 7 \mu\text{m}$. For reasons described below, no light was allowed to fall on the samples on cooling to, or initially measuring at, 30 K. For the deposition conditions used, no equilibrium ESR signal due to the sample is observed for doping less than $\sim 10^{-3}$. From a massive sample deposited on aluminum foil we know that the spin density $N_s \leq 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for the undoped sample of this sequence. At doping levels above $\sim 10^{-3}$, equilibrium ESR is observed in both p- and n-type samples, with a spin density which increases rapidly with doping. As shown in Fig. 12a, boron doping gives a broad line at $g = 2.013$ and phosphorus doping a narrow line near $g = 2.004$, and similar results are reported by others.^{7,9} Since at these doping levels, the Fermi energy is very near the band edge, the data confirm our previous identification of these ESR lines as band tail holes and electrons. Our identification of these lines is opposite to that previously suggested by Kaplan,²⁵ based on a comparison of the g-shifts in amorphous and crystalline Si.

Figure 12b shows a sequence of ESR experiments for two representative samples. The "dark" curves are ESR signals before any optical excitation. The "light" curves are obtained during 647.1 nm irradiation. Finally, the "residual" curve is a metastable signal that remains after the illumination is stopped, and is discussed further below. In Figure 13A we show a plot of the LESR spin densities for a constant excitation intensity of $\sim 150 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ at 647.1 nm. The data have simply been scaled by thickness. The absorption depth at 30 K for 647.1 nm is about 3μ , and we further neglect the variations which might arise from absorption edge shifts from sample to sample. The errors involved in these assumptions are reduced by the fact that the intensity dependence of LESR is strongly sub-linear.¹¹ In any case, because the LESR

spin densities depend on the lifetimes of the optically excited paramagnetic states, and so measure an undetermined fraction of the states, we can determine here only trends in the density of states associated with the non-equilibrium process. The measurements are carried out at 30 K because, (1) as shown in earlier work, 30 K is low enough that the lifetimes have become approximately temperature independent,²⁶ whereas (2) 30 K is high enough that the ESR signals are negligibly affected by saturation at the lowest usable microwave powers.⁸

Fig. 13A indicates that as doping increases, either p- or n-type, the narrow and broad spin densities increase over that of the undoped material. In general the p-type material contains both broad and narrow lines in roughly equal density, while in n-type material the broad line is absent at doping levels above $10^{-6}[\text{PH}_3]$. The g-values and widths are shown in Figs. 13B and C for different doping levels. In undoped samples the narrow line is below $g = 2.005$ with $\Delta H \sim 5\text{G}$ and is attributed to conduction band tail electrons.¹⁰ With increased doping both the g-value and ΔH get larger. We attribute this result to an increasing dangling bond contribution at $g \sim 2.0055$. Unfortunately the two lines are never resolved in the ESR spectra, and we believe that this is because their width is larger than their separation ($\sim 2\text{G}$). The interpretation of the data is further complicated by a continuing shift to larger g-value at high doping level. We presume that this shift of the dangling bond position is due to the high dopant concentration or to the high carrier density. This model of the change in character of the narrow line is supported by the increasing LESR spin density which contrasts with our expectation that the band tail resonance will decrease in density with doping because the luminescence lifetime decreases. Also previous results on $10^{-3}[\text{PH}_3]$ and $10^{-3}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$ demonstrate the dangling bond character of the narrow line through the temperature dependence of the LESR.¹⁰

B. Compensation

Figure 14 presents the results of LESR in compensated samples, none of which has any detectable equilibrium ESR at 30 K. In this figure, the spin densities are plotted on a linear scale for samples about $\sim 1.2 \mu\text{m}$ thick. The LESR generally consists of two signals, the dangling bond line ($g = 2.0055$, $\Delta H_{pp} \sim 7.5 \text{ G}$) and a broad line at higher g -values. An outstanding feature of the LESR variation with doping is the disappearance of the dangling bond line at the nominal compensation value. Since the defect signal is absent in both LESR and ESR, and E_F is near midgap, we can conclude that the dangling bond density is greatly reduced. In this sense the compensated material is similar to undoped material. Deviations in doping away from compensation seem to indicate that p-type material has a greater dangling bond density than n-type which is a similar result as the singly doped samples at the high doping levels (see Fig. 13A).

Although the broad line in the compensated material appears to be the same as in undoped and singly doped samples, in fact it has several different properties. Firstly, the spin density peaks near nominal compensation whereas the results in Fig. 13A show the broad line increasing as E_F moves towards the band edge. Secondly, the broad line in singly-doped samples is symmetric and stays symmetric in saturation. The broad line in compensated samples on the other hand, is asymmetric with a tail towards high g -values. Saturation enhances the asymmetry, with the high g -value components of the line saturating at lower power. A final observation about the broad LESR line is the larger g -values (above 2.015) with a distinct shift to lower g -values as E_F moves toward the conduction band (for both series of double doping). The maximum observed shift brings the line center to a g -value characteristic of the broad line in singly-doped samples. From these differences we infer that the states giving the broad line in compensated samples differ from the states in undoped or singly doped material.

However, because the changes are slight, we expect that their general description as hole traps is preserved.

In Fig. 14C we plot the results of LESR in the series of samples, all nominally compensated, with increasing total level of dopant. For dopant concentrations above 5×10^{-4} , the observations of the previous section are essentially repeated. The resonance consists of the broad line with g-value shifted above 2.015, and the defect line is absent. For dopant concentrations below 3×10^{-4} , the g-value and intensity of the broad line decrease rapidly. Simultaneously, the narrow defect line emerges. This behavior qualitatively resembles the rapid shift in luminescence peak energy discussed in Section 3.1.

C. Residual ESR

A new and interesting feature of the ESR data is that when the light is turned off, an appreciable signal remains. This residual signal can be seen with remarkably weak illumination because it is generated with approximately unity quantum efficiency initially but quickly saturates at a total number of spins which is proportional to the sample thickness indicating a bulk rather than surface effect. The signal anneals out in a few minutes at ~ 80 K. Fig. 15 shows the residual signals observed in the same set of samples as in Fig. 13. Equivalent residual signals are observed in the compensated samples. The variation of spin density with doping is qualitatively similar to the LESR. Again there is a doping-related increase of the defect signal over the undoped density. The spin densities are about a factor five smaller than and approximately proportional to the LESR signals. We note that both residual hole and electron centers are observed in p-type material, whereas only the hole line is ever observed in the annealed equilibrium signal.

At present we do not have a clear interpretation of the residual signal, and this effect deserves further study. The data demonstrate that carriers can be excited, whose lifetime is indefinitely long at low temperatures. This is a remarkable result in the case of the narrow electron-like resonance in the p-type material, because one would expect that the large density of holes would ensure that the minority carriers would recombine very rapidly. A possible explanation is that carrier diffusion tends to separate the electrons and holes by a reasonably large distance, either because of a surface space charge, or because of their very different mobilities. Microstructural inhomogeneities may also be involved. If the dielectric relaxation time at 30 K is sufficiently long, the non-equilibrium carriers may be metastable because no recombination channels are available.

3.3 Optical Absorption

In view of the large changes in the luminescence peak position, it is of interest to determine the shift of the optical gap in the doped and compensated samples. Fig. 16a shows examples of part of the absorption edge of a $10^{-3}[\text{PH}_3]$ sample and a $10^{-3}[\text{PH}_3]$, $4 \times 10^{-3}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$ sample. The addition of boron shifts the absorption to low energy and also broadens the edge. The energy E_{04} at which the absorption coefficient is 10^4 cm^{-1} is shown in Fig. 16b, for the complete compensation series. There is a systematic shift of the band gap by about 0.1 eV. As can be seen by comparing Fig. 16 with Fig. 7 the shift of E_{04} is much smaller than the corresponding shift of the luminescence peak but the boron dependence is of similar form. We find a similar result for the series of nominally compensated samples as shown in Fig. 9. Again the shift of E_{04} is proportional to the shift of the luminescence peak, but the shift of the luminescence is 4-5 times larger.

4. Discussion

4.1 Summary

The ESR data indicate that the dangling bond density increases with doping, but is reduced by compensation, and that compensation introduces new hole states. From the luminescence data we infer that doping introduces non-radiative defects. Compensation apparently reduces the defect density, but the luminescence energy is strongly shifted in energy, which indicates the presence of new localized states. In section 4.3 we argue that the new states are hole traps. Thus the results of the two experimental probes merge into a single consistent model with the following properties:

- (a) Doping introduces non-radiative defects of the dangling bond type, and compensation reduces their density.
- (b) Compensation results in new hole traps above the valence band from which radiative recombination can occur.

The remainder of this section describes the evidence for this model in more detail, and discusses the reason for the change in the localized state distribution and density.

4.2 Doped Samples and Mechanisms of Defect Incorporation

The data presented here coupled with previous results provide very strong evidence that doped samples have a much larger defect density than the corresponding undoped samples. The observation of a large decrease in the luminescence efficiency is in itself a clear indication of an increase of non-radiative states in the gap. The temperature dependence (Fig. 5), intensity dependence (Fig. 4) and decay data provide further evidence of additional states in the gap. In addition the presence of the 0.9eV defect luminescence, the observation of the $g = 2.0055$ LESR resonance and the

correlation of their temperature dependencies,¹⁰ all indicate that the states in the gap are predominately of the dangling bond type. Unlike the undoped samples, we do not have an accurate measure of the defect density in the doped samples from the dark ESR. However, we can make an estimate by assuming that the same relation between luminescence efficiency and dangling band density holds as in the undoped samples. Analyzing the data on this basis gives the results shown in Fig. 17. The LESR spin density depends on the light intensity and represents an unknown fraction of the defects. This measurement is therefore only a lower limit, and is also shown in Fig. 17 with consistent results. These data show that doping introduces a very large density of defects, of order 1% of the doping concentration. This conclusion is consistent with the general observation that doped a-Si:H has rather poor electrical properties.

There seem to be various plausible explanations for the increase in dangling bond density with doping. It is well known that for undoped samples the dangling bond density is very sensitive to the deposition conditions,² and densities ranging over three orders of magnitude can result. Thus it is possible that the presence of the dopant gases PH_3 and B_2H_6 may alter the plasma chemistry in such a way that films with a very large dangling bond density result. Some evidence for a large effect of the dopants on the plasma properties is the change in deposition rate observed for both dopant gases shown in Fig. 1. Also the results from various laboratories differ in the amount of dopant required to quench the luminescence (see Fig. 3). However, the infrared spectra give no evidence of a gross change in the bonding configurations of the compensated material, and columnar growth, usually associated with a high defect density, is not observed.

The second explanation of the defects is that they are an inherent consequence of the shift of the Fermi energy. This is the mechanism of autocompensation which is well known to occur in II-VI and III-V crystalline semiconductors. The defects are

incorporated because they tend to move the Fermi energy towards mid-gap thereby reducing the total electronic energy. For example, in the absence of defects, a phosphorus donor has an electron in the donor level, believed to be within the conduction band tail.^{14,24} On the other hand, if the donor is compensated by a dangling bond, the extra electron will move to the dangling bond level which is believed to be 0.2 - 0.4eV lower in energy.¹⁹ The energy gained makes the formation of dangling bonds more favorable.

A third possibility is that the defects are formed in direct association with the dopant as a defect-impurity complex. Such complexes are well known in crystalline semiconductors and are generally believed to be the origin of the autocompensation mechanism.²⁷ The vacancy-impurity complex is the prime example of such a defect, and its structure in crystalline silicon is shown in Fig. 18a.²⁸ It is of interest to the present case because the defect has the following properties:

- (1) It leaves the impurity in its favored valence configuration (i.e., 3-fold coordinated phosphorus). It is generally believed that a large fraction of dopant atoms in a-Si:H will be in this bonding configuration.
- (2) It results in a defect with dangling bond character because the two remaining dangling bonds reconstruct. Thus the defect will have the correct electronic properties.
- (3) It maintains the 4-fold coordination of the surrounding matrix. The defect therefore has the correct topology for the surrounding lattice.

In an amorphous network the structural constraints on the type of defect are reduced compared to the crystal. A similar defect can be obtained simply by substituting a phosphorus atom for a silicon atom, and disturbing the network slightly, as illustrated in Fig. 18b. In addition, for defects of the type shown in Fig. 18a, one

might expect hydrogenation, rather than reconstruction of the additional dangling bonds. A wide variety of related structures is clearly possible.

The different models of defect incorporation are not mutually exclusive. In particular we propose that the most likely mechanism is a combination of autocompensation and the formation of complexes. We assume that both tend to lower the energy for defect formation E_D which we can write as

$$E_D = E_D^\circ - \Delta E_1 - \Delta E_2$$

where E_D° is the formation energy in undoped material, ΔE_1 represents the electronic contribution from the difference between the donor level and the defect level, and ΔE_2 represents the lower energy for the formation of a complex. Of course, since the plasma deposition process is not in thermodynamic equilibrium, the defect energy is not the only factor determining the concentration. However, additional defects will certainly be favored if the energy is lowered.

One method of distinguishing between different mechanisms is by compensation. In this way the impurity density can be changed independently of the Fermi energy position. The discussion of the defects will therefore be continued after the compensated material has been discussed.

4.3 Compensated Material

The two important features of the luminescence data of compensated samples are the shift of the peak to low energy and the change of intensity. The shift of the luminescence is much larger than the shift of the optical gap as measured by E_{04} , and so the transition must involve some localized states of larger binding energy than in undoped samples. Despite this the temperature dependence of the luminescence intensity is the same as for an undoped sample of comparable quantum efficiency. Since the thermal quenching is interpreted as the thermally activated diffusion of

electrons in the band tails,^{3,5} the results indicate that the conduction band tail is not significantly broadened. We also find that the luminescence radiative decay in compensated samples is similar to the undoped samples. This result again suggests little change in the conduction band tail, because the decay times are believed to be determined by the electron wavefunction.³ Drift mobility measurements also find that the donor levels are located within the conduction band tails which is itself not greatly modified by doping.²⁴ If the conduction band tail is unchanged then we must conclude that the shift of the luminescence is due to the presence of additional states above the valence band. We suppose that a broad band of states are introduced as a result of compensation. As the density of these states increases, holes will be able to thermalize further into the gap, explaining the progressive shift to low energy of the luminescence peak. The model is shown schematically in Fig. 19. The extra states will give an extrinsic absorption band which readily accounts for the broadening and shift of the absorption edge.

The experimental results show that there is a similar, but smaller shift to low energy of the luminescence in singly doped material at doping levels above 10^{-3} (see Fig. 3). It is also known that there is a broad extrinsic tail to the absorption edge, particularly in the boron doped material.^{21,29} Photoemission also gives direct evidence of states above the valence band in material doped by PH_3 .³⁰ It seems therefore that states above the valence band may be a general consequence of heavy doping. However, it is clear that in the compensated samples the effect of the two dopants are not simply additive, but there is some cooperative process that leads to the much larger shift of the luminescence. We have already shown from the SIMS measurements of concentrations in Table 1, that there is a cooperative mechanism that tends to equalize the boron and phosphorus concentrations. We, therefore, suggest that the states above the valence band in the compensated material arise from boron-phosphorus pairs, or some other complex involving both impurities. Donor-acceptor nearest neighbor pairs

are strongly favored by the Coulomb interaction and are common in semiconductors (e.g. Cd-O in GaP).³¹ Such a complex in a-Si:H might reasonably be expected to act as a hole trap. However, in the absence of any microscopic structural data to identify the center, we have no further confirmation of this model. We also note that Reimer et al.³² have deduced from proton NMR that boron clustering occurs in B-doped a-Si:H. This is another indication that dopant incorporation is a complex process.

These states above the valence band replace the band tail as the hole states participating in the radiative transition and therefore explain the shift of the peak. The states are therefore not expected to also determine the non-radiative processes for which some other states, such as dangling bonds, are presumably responsible. One of our major results is that compensation increases the luminescence efficiency. The increase is by a factor of 10 and 3.5 for the series shown in Figs. 7 and 8 respectively. Based on the model that the non-radiative recombination occurs through states in the gap, we conclude that compensation decreases the density of these states. However, compensation is not fully effective in restoring the luminescence intensity and this indicates that even in the compensated samples some non-radiative centers remain.

In the compensation series the maximum luminescence intensity occurs at the nominal compensation level in the data of Fig. 8 (variable PH_3), but on the n-type side for the series shown in Fig. 7 (variable B_2H_6). These results can be understood qualitatively on the basis of two contracting mechanisms. These are that compensation tends to decrease the defect density, whilst the additional doping tends to increase it. We believe that boron is more effective at introducing defects than phosphorus at these high doping levels, and the evidence is that above 10^{-3} doping the luminescence decreases faster in boron doped material. Thus in the series with variable B_2H_6 the maximum luminescence intensity is shifted towards lower levels of boron.

The LESR data provides some specific information about the localized states in the samples and strongly supports the above model. We find that the dangling bond density decreases upon compensation and is in fact undetectable at nominal compensation. In the compensated series the LESR is dominated by the broad resonance near $g = 2.015$ which we have attributed to hole states of different origin from those observed in undoped samples. The model proposed above is that compensation introduces additional hole states above the valence band to which holes thermalize rapidly. We therefore associate the broad resonance with these radiative states since the maximum observed LESR spin density occurs near the compensation point where the maximum luminescence intensity also occurs. As described above, these states are believed to have a different microscopic origin than the hole states in undoped material and we suggest that the small change in ESR lineshape is an indication of the different character.

4.4 Origin of the Defect States

We now return to the question of the mechanism by which defects are incorporated in doped material. The compensated samples demonstrate that the dangling bond density decreases as the Fermi energy is moved towards mid-gap. This result rules out any model that relates the defect density solely to the presence of dopants. Instead we believe that the changes with doping and compensation provide good evidence for the autocompensation mechanism suggested in section 4.2. However, the properties of the material are complicated by the observation of extra localized states, believed to be hole traps, introduced by the presence of both dopants. Those states are in addition to any impurity-defect complexes, etc. that might occur in singly doped samples.

It is clear that as more components are added to the material, the number of possible defects greatly increases. Much more work needs to be done to confirm the identity of the dominant defect states. For technological uses it is obviously desirable to find some way of reducing the density of states in the gap in doped a-Si:H. Since our results suggest that the defect states are largely an intrinsic result of doping through the autocompensation mechanism, it may prove difficult to reduce their density.

5. Conclusions

Measurements are reported of the luminescence, ESR, optical absorption, conductivity and composition of a-Si:H doped and compensated with phosphorus and boron. From the data various properties are inferred.

- (1) Doping with either phosphorus or boron introduces dangling bond-type defects into the material. The density of defects is of order 1% of the dopant concentration, but this value may be sensitive to the deposition conditions.
- (2) Various mechanisms for the introduction of defects are discussed. The evidence of the compensated samples indicates that auto compensation is an important mechanism. We suggest that the dangling bond defects are likely to enter the structure as defect-impurity complexes, and some possible configurations are suggested.
- (3) Compensation reduced the dangling bond density. The reduction is seen directly by ESR and by inference from the increase in luminescence efficiency. Compensation also introduces new states above the valence band edge. We suggest that the microscopic origin of these states is a boron-phosphorus complex.
- (4) A metastable, residual ESR signal is discovered in doped and compensated a-Si:H after illumination at low temperatures. Charge separation by diffusion is a possible explanation.

- (5) The broadening of the optical absorption edge is attributed to extrinsic absorption from the hole states introduced by compensation.
- (6) The composition of compensated samples for specific dopant gas concentrations is not simply related to the equivalent singly doped material. Instead there is a cooperative interaction which tends to equalize the dopant concentrations.
- (7) The dopants change the deposition rate by an amount which greatly exceeds their relative concentration in the films. These last two results illustrate the complexities of the plasma chemistry during deposition.

6. Acknowledgements

The expert technical assistance of R. Lujan is gratefully acknowledged. This work was partially supported by the Solar Energy Research Institute under contract No. XJ-0-9079-1.

TABLE 1 Result of SIMS measurements of ^{11}B and ^{31}P concentrations. The enhancement factors E_P and E_B are the ratios of the measured to the nominal concentrations.

Nominal Concentrations		Enhancement	
P	B	E_P	E_B
10^{-3}	-	5.2	-
10^{-3}	10^{-4}	4.3	23
10^{-3}	10^{-3}	3.5	4.2
10^{-3}	4×10^{-3}	9.4	4.1
-	10^{-3}	-	3
3×10^{-4}	10^{-3}	9.3	7.1
3×10^{-3}	10^{-3}	4.8	13.3
10^{-4}	10^{-4}	8	4.5
3×10^{-4}	3×10^{-4}	5.8	6.2

REFERENCES

1. R. Fischer, Topics in Applied Physics (edited by M. H. Brodsky) vol. 36.
2. R. A. Street, J. C. Knights and D. K. Biegelsen, Phys. Rev. B18, 1880 (1978).
3. C. Tsang and R. A. Street, Phys. Rev. B19, 3027 (1979).
4. R. A. Street, Phil. Mag. B37, 35 (1978).
5. J. Noolandi, K. M. Hong and R. A. Street, Solid State Commun. 34, 45 (1980).
6. R. A. Street, Phys. Rev. B , in press.
7. J. Stuke, Proc. 7th Int. Conf. on Amorphous and Liquid Semiconductors (edited by W. E. Spear), p. 406 (1977).
8. D. K. Biegelsen, Solar Cells, 2, 421 (1980).
9. S. Hasegawa, T. Kasajima, and T. Shimizu, Philos. Mag. in press; J. Stuke to be published.
10. R. A. Street and D. K. Biegelsen, Solid State Commun. 33, 1159 (1980).
11. J. C. Knights, D. K. Biegelsen and I. Solomon, Solid State Commun. 22, 133 (1977).
12. W. E. Spear and P. G. Le Comber, Solid State Commun. 17, 1193 (1975).
13. P. G. Le Comber and W. E. Spear, AIP Conf. Proc. 31, 285 (1976).
14. A. Madan, P. G. Le Comber and W. E. Spear, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 20, 239 (1976).
15. J. C. Knights, T. M. Hayes and J. C. Mikkelsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39, 712 (1977).

16. R. Fischer, W. Rehm, J. Stuke and U. Voget-Grote, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 35 & 36, 687 (1980).
17. I. G. Austin, T. S. Nashashibi, T. M. Searle, P. G. Le Comber and W. E. Spear, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 32, 373 (1979).
18. C. Tsang and R. A. Street, Phil. Mag. B37, 601 (1978).
19. R. A. Street, Phys. Rev. B21, 5775 (1980).
20. J. C. Zesch, R. A. Lujan and V. R. Deline, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 35 and 36, 273 (1980).
21. J. C. Knights, AIP Conf. Proc. 31, 296 (1976).
22. G. Lucovsky, R. J. Nemanich and J. C. Knights, Phys. Rev. B19, 2064 (1979).
23. J. C. Knights and R. A. Lujan, Appl. Phys. Lett. 35, 244 (1979).
24. D. Allen, P. G. Le Comber and W. E. Spear, Proc. 7th Int. Conf. on Amorphous and Liquid Semiconductors (edited by W. E. Spear) p. 323 (1977).
25. D. Kaplan, 14th Int. Conf. on the Physics of Semiconductors (edited by B. L. H. Wilson) p. 1129 (1978).
26. D. K. Biegelsen and J. C. Knights, Proc. 7th Int. Conf. on Amorphous and Liquid Semiconductors (edited by W. E. Spear) p. 429 (1977).
27. Eg. E. W. Williams and H. B. Bebb, Semiconductors and Semimetals, vol. 8 (edited by R. K. Willardson and A. C. Beer) p. 321 (1972).
28. G. D. Watkins and J. W. Corbett, Phys. Rev. 134, A1359 (1964).
29. E. C. Freeman and W. Paul, Phys. Rev. B20, 716 (1979).

30. B. von Roedern and G. Moddel, Solid State Commun. 35, 467 (1980).
31. C. H. Henry, P. J. Dean and J. D. Cuthbert, Phys. Rev. 166, 754 (1968).
32. J. A. Reimer, R. W. Vaughan and J. C. Knights to be published.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig 1 Deposition rate for doped and compensated a-Si:H samples. The deposition time is approximately 2 hours for each sample. R is the slope of the linear region expressed as the fractional increase in the deposition rate divided by the estimated true boron concentration obtained using the correction factors from Table 1.
- Fig 2 DC conductivity versus inverse temperature for various doped and compensated n-type samples. The activation energies are indicated.
- Fig 3 (a) peak position and (b) intensity of the luminescence of doped samples. The dashed curves are equivalent data reported by Fischer et al. (ref. 16) at a measurement temperature of 77 K.
- Fig 4 The excitation intensity dependence of luminescence for two phosphorus doped samples. G_1 is the intensity at which the quantum efficiency y is doubled, based on a fit to the expression $y = 1 + \text{const.}G$, and is a measure of the defect density as described in ref. 6.
- Fig 5 Temperature dependence of luminescence intensity of various samples. The data are not corrected for the shift of the luminescence peak with temperature. Note that the intensities converge at high temperature except that of the defect luminescence.
- Fig 6 Examples of luminescence spectra of doped and compensated samples.
- Fig 7 Luminescence parameters of a compensation series with constant phosphorus (10^{-3} [PH₃]) and variable boron concentrations: (a) spectral width; (b) peak position; and (c) luminescence intensity normalized to the intensity (= unity) of undoped samples. Note the logarithmic doping scale.

- Fig 8 Luminescence parameters of a compensation series with constant boron (10^{-3} [B₂H₆]) and variable phosphorus concentrations: (a) spectral width; (b) peak position; (c) luminescence intensity normalized to the intensity (= unity) of undoped samples; and (d) temperature dependence of the peak energy for the nominally compensated samples compared to the undoped band edge transition and the 0.9eV defect peak.
- Fig 9 Luminescence peak position for nominally compensated samples of differing doping level (left-hand scale). Also shown in the optical gap E_{opt} defined as the energy at which $\alpha = 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the same samples (right-hand scale). Note that the luminescence peak shift is about five times larger than that of E_{opt} .
- Fig 10 Comparison of the luminescence intensity of doped samples and nominally compensated material.
- Fig 11 Luminescence decay of a compensated sample (b) and the 0.9eV defect peak (c) compared to the decay of undoped samples (a). The arrow indicates where the slope of the decay is -1 and is a rough measure of the average decay time. The insert shows the decay after a long (5 m sec) pulse for the same samples.
- Fig 12 (a) Equilibrium ESR data for heavily doped a-Si:H; (b) ESR spectra showing the absence of an equilibrium (dark) signal, the LESR line and the residual line.
- Fig 13 LESR data for singly doped a-Si:H showing (a) the spin densities; (b) the peak-to-peak widths; and (c) the g-values. The broad line is represented by squares and the narrow lines by circles. The closed datapoints in (a) are equilibrium ESR data on the only samples with detectable signals.

- Fig 14 LESR data in compensated samples. (a) g-values and spin densities of $10^{-3}[\text{B}_2\text{H}_6]$ and variable boron concentrations. (b) g-values and spin densities of $10^{-3}[\text{PH}_3]$ and variable boron concentrations. (c) g-values and spin densities of nominally compensated samples.
- Fig 15 (a) Spin densities, (b) peak-to-peak line widths, and (c) g-values of the residual ESR signal in singly doped samples.
- Fig 16 (a) Portion of the absorption edge of two doped and compensated samples. (b) Value of E_{04} (energy at which $\alpha = 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) for a compensation series.
- Fig 17 Estimated defect density obtained from the luminescence and ESR data as described in the text.
- Fig 18 Possible structures for impurity - defect complexes in doped a-Si:H.
- Fig 19 Schematic density of states diagram showing the suggested states above the valence band introduced by compensation. The arrows are intended to illustrate the mean energy to which holes thermalize. The shift of this energy would explain the change in the luminescence peak energy.

FIG 1

FIG 2

FIG 3

FIG 4

FIG 5

FIG 6

FIG 7

FIG. 8

FIG 9

FIG 10

FIG 11

FIG 12

FIG 13

FIG 14

FIG 15

FIG 16

FIG 17

(a)

(b)

FIG 18

FIG 19

PHOTOCONDUCTIVITY OF AMORPHOUS SEMICONDUCTORS

R. A. STREET

Xerox Palo Alto Research Center

Palo Alto, California 94304

ABSTRACT

An analysis of photoconductivity is presented for a material with a carrier mobility which decreases logarithmically with time. We calculate the steady state and transient response, and show that the model applies to hydrogenated amorphous silicon and other amorphous semiconductors. In a-Si:H the effective mobility is obtained from 10^{-6} to 1 sec. The recombination is of bimolecular type and the carrier density is almost independent of excitation intensity. A model for the time-dependent mobility is discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Amorphous semiconductors tend to be highly photosensitive materials and so the photoconductivity σ_{ph} has been widely investigated as a means of obtaining detailed information about transport and recombination mechanisms.¹ To study recombination, the excitation-intensity dependence and the temperature dependence of σ_{ph} are usually measured. The results are generally analyzed using the standard photoconductivity models which have been evaluated for a great many physical situations corresponding to various trap distributions and recombination mechanisms.^{2,3} A central feature of the standard analysis is the assumption of a time-independent value of the carrier mobility. However, drift mobility experiments on amorphous semiconductors generally show a dispersive response in which the effective mobility has a logarithmic decrease with time.⁴ A time dependence of the mobility will therefore invalidate the conventional analysis, which can in fact give entirely misleading results. In this paper we discuss the changes in the photoconductivity properties that result from dispersive transport, and describe transient photoconductivity experiments on undoped hydrogenated amorphous silicon which illustrate the effects. An example of some apparently anomalous effects of dispersion is the observation of an excess carrier density which is virtually independent of excitation intensity.

PHOTOCONDUCTIVITY WITH A TIME-DEPENDENT MOBILITY

Drift mobility experiments and theoretical analysis have introduced the notion of a carrier mobility $\mu(t)$ which decreases logarithmically with time in many amorphous materials.⁴ We therefore assume that over the time range of interest,

$$\mu(t) = \mu_0 t^{-(1-\alpha)} \quad (1)$$

The use of the power $1-\alpha$ leads to an equivalent definition of α as in drift mobility.⁴

The photoconductivity $\sigma_{ph}(t)$ is then given by

$$\sigma_{ph}(t) = Ne \mu_o t^{-(1-\alpha)} \quad (2)$$

where N is the carrier density and transport of a single type of carrier is assumed. From this expression it is straightforward to calculate the photoconductivity under different experimental situations - in particular, the transient response. For example the onset of photoconductivity corresponding to an excitation pulse of length T which is much shorter than the carrier recombination time τ , is obtained by integrating equation (2) over a pulse of length T .

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ph}^R(T) &= \int_0^T Ge \mu_o t^{-(1-\alpha)} dt \\ &= Ge \mu_o T^{\alpha/\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where G is the optical generation rate. The photoconductivity response at time t after the end of the pulse is

$$\sigma_{ph}^D(t) = \int_0^T Ge \mu_o (t + \theta)^{-(1-\alpha)} d\theta \quad (4)$$

again assuming $t \ll \tau$. Provided the pulse length is made short enough so that $t \geq 5T$ one obtains from Eq. 4,

$$\sigma_{ph}^D(t) = GTe \mu_o t^{-(1-\alpha)} \quad (5)$$

Finally, if one assumes a single recombination time τ for the photoexcited carriers, the steady state photoconductivity is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{ph}^{ss} &= \int_0^{\infty} G \mu_0 e \exp(-t/\tau) t^{-(1-\alpha)} dt \\
&= G \mu_0 e \tau^{\alpha/\alpha} \\
&= G \tau e \mu(\tau)/\alpha
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Eq. 6 shows that σ_{ph}^{ss} measures the value of μ corresponding to the decay time τ . These expressions reduce to the standard formulae when $\alpha = 1$ and the mobility is constant. In each case the time-dependence of μ introduces a time-dependence of the photoconductivity, which is not associated with changes in the carrier density.

Our experiments measure steady state and transient photoconductivity of undoped a-Si:H using a gap cell geometry. Similar measurements of the transient decay of σ_{ph} have been described recently on As_2Se_3 ⁵ and doped a-Si:H.⁶ The preparation and properties of the a-Si:H have been described elsewhere.⁷ The films are 1-2 μ thick and the gap is 1 mm x 1 cm. Illumination is at 1.83 eV, at which energy the absorption depth is 3-5 μ . Care is taken to fully illuminate the gap, and in consequence the excitation intensity is approximately uniform throughout the material. The applied field was 10^3 V/cm. Samples covering a range of ESR spin densities have been measured, and in each case qualitatively similar results are obtained.

Figures 1-3 show data that test the photoconductivity model. For example Eq. 3 predicts that the shape of the rise of photoconductivity after the start of excitation is independent of the time scale. This result is shown in Fig. 1 for two widely separated time scales, and a low excitation intensity. A fit to Eq. (3) yields $\alpha \sim 0.4$, and we find that until T is no longer less than τ , σ_{ph}^R is linear in G , in accordance with Eq. (3). Under these conditions, $\mu(t)$ can be evaluated directly as a function of time since G , α , T , and σ_{ph} are known, and the results are shown in Fig. 2. In sample a with the lowest defect density ($\sim 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$), $\mu(t)$ is $\sim 1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V sec}$ at

10^{-6} sec and decreases to $\sim 10^{-4}$ cm²/V sec at ~ 1 sec. From the slope of $\mu(t)$ we obtain $\alpha \sim 0.35$ which is in reasonable agreement with the value obtained from the shape of the rise of σ_{ph} . Figure 2 also shows the decay of photoconductivity under conditions of low excitation intensity in the same sample. The results are of the form described by Eq. (5) and the value of α is in satisfactory agreement with the other methods. In the decay experiment, σ_{ph} was not explicitly measured so that absolute values of $\mu(t)$ were not obtained.

At any particular excitation intensity, the photoconductivity eventually reaches a steady state value and an example of this is shown in Fig. 1. The time to reach σ_{ph}^{ss} decreases with increasing G as described below. The steady state implies that the recombination time has been reached, and we obtain an empirical value of τ from the time to reach $\sigma_{ph}^{ss}/2$. From Eq. (6) we can obtain $\mu(\tau)$ from a measurement of σ_{ph}^{ss} and τ . These data are also shown in Fig. 2 for sample a and are in complete agreement with the rise time data. Thus we have a set of data which demonstrate all of the properties predicted for a time-dependent mobility in Eqs. 3, 5 and 6, with consistent results. In this way a mobility $\mu(t)$ extending over six orders of magnitude of time is obtained. The value of ~ 1 cm²/V sec at 10^{-6} sec is in line with electron mobility data from time-of-flight measurements on similar samples, and is therefore consistent with the general assumption that photoconductivity is carried by electrons in a-Si:H. The continuity of the data to long times implies that electrons are observed over the whole time range.

At this point it must be stressed that an alternative explanation of the data is possible. If the mobility was constant ~ 1 cm²/V sec, but there existed a distribution of recombination times $f(t) \sim t^{-(2-\alpha)}$, then all of the above photoconductivity data could be explained. A consequence of this distribution is that 90% of the carriers recombine within at most 10^{-5} sec, but a decreasing fraction ($\sim 10^{-4}$ at 1 sec).

survive. We have various reasons for discarding this model. First it is known that the electron transport is often dispersive in a-Si:H.^{8,9} Second, the delayed-collection field Xerographic measurements demonstrate that the recombination time exceeds 10^{-3} sec.¹⁰ Third, we do not see any plausible physical origin for the distribution of lifetimes, given the assumed situation of an electron mobility ~ 1 cm²/V sec. Finally, according to this model, those carriers surviving for 1 sec have an effective range of 10^3 cm ($\mu\tau E$) which seems unreasonably long. Furthermore, in chalcogenides it is well established that the recombination time is very long, and that the photoconductivity response is governed by a time-dependent mobility.⁴

RECOMBINATION KINETICS

Figure 2 shows that the steady state photoconductivity is proportional to $G^{0.69}$. The standard analysis² relates this result directly to the intensity dependence of the carrier density which provides information about the recombination kinetics. We now demonstrate how the time-dependent mobility invalidates this result. Figure 3 shows the dependence of lifetime (defined as the time to reach half of the steady state value of σ_{ph}) versus excitation intensity G . A remarkable result is that τ is very nearly proportional to G^{-1} so that the carrier density $G\tau$ is in fact approximately independent of G over four decades of intensity. Substituting this result in Eq. (6), we obtain

$$\sigma_{ph}^{ss} \sim \tau^{-(1-\alpha)} \sim G^{1-\alpha} \sim G^{0.6} \quad (7)$$

Thus the intensity dependence of σ_{ph}^{ss} is determined by the intensity dependence of τ coupled with the time-dependent mobility, and is almost unrelated to the intensity dependence of the carrier density. The slightly higher power of G observed in Fig. 2 arises, we believe, because $G\tau$ is not exactly constant, but increases gradually with G .

A carrier density which is almost independent of the excitation intensity is, of course, an unusual photoconductivity property. We have not completely identified the origin of the effect, but it is informative to analyze the standard monomolecular and bimolecular recombination processes under the assumption of a time dependent mobility. The standard rate equation for the recombination of N_e electrons gives in steady state,

$$dN_e/dt = 0 = G - N_e S v N_x \quad (8)$$

where S is the cross-section, v the velocity and N_x the density of recombination centers. The time dependence of $\mu(t)$ enters Eq. (8) through the velocity. We define β such that

$$N_e \sim G^\beta \sim G\tau \quad (9)$$

β can be found by solving Eqs. (8) and (9) for the powers of G or τ . The monomolecular case applies when N_x is constant and we find $\beta = 1$, and τ is independent of G . This gives the same result as for a conventional photoconductivity model. Bimolecular recombination results if $N_x = N_e$ and in this case

$$\beta = \alpha/(1 + \alpha) \quad (10)$$

Thus if $\alpha = 0.4$, $\beta = 0.29$ compared to the standard value of 0.5 ($\alpha = 1$). Within this model the steady state photoconductivity depends on G to the power $(1 + \alpha)^{-1} = 1 - \beta$, which is 0.71 for the above values, compared to 0.5 in the standard analysis. It is now seen that the time-dependent mobility leads to a weaker G -dependence of the carrier concentration, but a stronger G -dependence of σ_{ph}^{ss} than the conventional bimolecular model. Thus the standard analysis gets progressively more misleading as α decreases.

The data reported here apply to our undoped amorphous silicon with ESR spin densities ranging from $\sim 3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ up to $\sim 6 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. As the spin density increases, the mobility decreases as shown in Fig. 2, but the parameter α is not strongly affected. The carrier concentration is approximately independent of G in each sample, but increases with the spin density as shown in Fig. 3. Our analysis shows that for a bimolecular process, the time-dependent $\mu(t)$ reduces the intensity dependence of N_e , and this effect provides a partial explanation of the constant value observed. A full interpretation clearly requires a sufficiently detailed knowledge of the recombination mechanism which is not presently available. We note that in view of the gap cell geometry of the samples, it is likely that surface band bending may play an important role. We also point out that others have reported an intensity dependence of σ_{ph}^{ss} of about $G^{0.7}$ in undoped a-Si:H,¹¹ indicating that this recombination mechanism is fairly widespread.

A MODEL FOR TIME-DEPENDENT MOBILITY

Recent calculations have shown that a time-dependent mobility can be derived from an exponential distribution of traps.^{5,12} The parameter α is obtained directly from the trap distribution, and a predicted linear dependence of α on temperature is observed in both chalcogenides and doped a-Si:H. The mobility is calculated assuming that there is a time-dependent energy $E(t)$ above which the carriers are thermalized, and can be therefore described by a Boltzman distribution.¹² It seems to us that this is not a good approximation because the time taken to thermalize is not considered. After a carrier is excited to the mobility edge, it will go through many trapping and release cycles before reaching the energy $E(t)$. Assuming the normalized trap distribution

$$F(W) = W_0^{-1} \exp(-W/W_0) \quad (11)$$

and random trapping, then a carrier will experience approximately $\exp(E(t)/W_0)$ trapping events before thermalization. Throughout this large number of events, the carrier contributes to the mobility in a way that cannot be described by the Boltzman distribution. The number of events of this type is time-dependent and can easily be of the same order as the total number of trapping events experienced by the carrier.

We now describe a different calculation which attempts to overcome this problem. We aim to calculate the time $t(N)$ taken for a carrier to be released from traps N times.

$$t(N) = \sum_{i=1}^N t_0 \exp(W_i/kT) \quad (12)$$

where t_0 is the prefactor for release to the mobility edge, and the sum is over the appropriate sequence of traps. To introduce the stochastic nature of the transport we assume that after a limited number of events N , the carrier will on average not have sampled states further from the mobility edge than some energy E , because their density is too small compared to the more shallow states. E is then given by

$$\int_E^{\infty} F(W) dW = N^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} F(W) dW \quad (13)$$

which gives

$$E = W_0 \ln(N) \quad (14)$$

for the assumed exponential distribution.

With this definition of E , we calculate $t(N)$ by converting the summation in Eq. (12) to an integral over the restricted portion of the distribution. The integral yields

$$t(N) = N t_0 kT [\exp(E/kT - E/W_0) - 1]/(W_0 - kT) \quad (15)$$

Substituting Eq. (14) gives

$$t(N) = N^2 t_0 kT W_1^{-1} \exp(W_1/kT) \quad (16)$$

where $W_1 = W_0 - kT$ and we assume $N \exp(W_1/kT) \gg 1$. We next assume that each time the carrier is released, it moves with mobility μ_f for a (short) time T_0 . Then

$$\mu(t) = N \mu_f T_0 / t(N) \quad (17)$$

and so substituting for N gives

$$\mu(t) = \mu_f (T_0^2 / t t_0)^{1/2} (W_1/kT)^{1/2} \exp(-W_1/2kT) \quad (18)$$

This result contains the essential features of the data having a time dependence of $t^{-1/2}$ compared to the actual $t^{-0.6}$. If we assume $W_1 \sim 5 kT$, $T_0 \sim 10^{-10}$ sec, and $t_0 \sim 10^{-13}$ sec, then a mobility of $1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V sec}$ at 10^{-6} sec implies a value of μ_f of $\sim 15 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V sec}$. Thus reasonable parameters can account for the observed magnitudes.

This formula for the mobility is very different from that given by Tiedje and Rose¹² both in the activation energy and the prefactor. Our calculation does not reproduce the correct value of α nor its temperature dependence. On the other hand we believe that for the calculation of the mobility, this model describes the sequence of trapping and release events more closely than that of refs. 5 and 12. Possibly the concepts of the two approaches can be combined into a complete description of the dispersive transport.

In summary, we find that the conventional analysis of photoconductivity is inapplicable to materials with dispersive transport. We have outlined the correct analysis for simple recombination models. The effects of a time-dependent mobility are illustrated with data on undoped amorphous silicon, and we expect that the model will apply to other amorphous semiconductors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to D. K. Biegelsen and M. J. Thompson for valuable discussions, and to R. Lujan for expert technical assistance. This work is supported by the Solar Energy Research Institute on Contract No. XJ-0-9079-0.

REFERENCES

1. For example: Photoconductivity and Related Phenomena edited by J. Mort and D. M. Pai (Elsevier, New York, 1976) p 213 and p 297.
2. A. Rose, Concepts in Photoconductivity and Allied Problems (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963).
3. J. G. Simmons and G. W. Taylor, J. Phys. C. 6, 3706 (1973).
4. G. Pfister and H. Scher, Adv. Phys. 27, 747 (1978).
5. J. Orenstein and M. Kastner to be published.
6. J. M. Hvam and M. H. Brodsky to be published.
7. R. A. Street, J. C. Knights and D. K. Biegelsen, Phys. Rev. B 18, 1880 (1978).
8. W. Fuhs, M. Milleville and J. Stuke, Phys. Stat. Solidi (b) 89, 495 (1978).
9. T. Tiedje, C. R. Wronski, B. Abeles and J. M. Cebulka. Solar Cell Materials.
10. J. Mort, I. Chen, A. Troup, M. Morgan, J. Knights and R. Lujan to be published.
11. P. J. Zanzucchi, C. R. Wronski and D. E. Carlson, J. Appl. Phys. 48, 5227 (1978); G. Moddel, D. A. Anderson and W. Paul, to be published; W. E. Spear, R. J. Loveland and A. Si-Sharbaty, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 15, 410 (1974).
12. T. Tiedje and A. Rose, Solid State Commun. to be published.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1 The onset of photoconductivity in a-Si:H over two different time scales. The upper curve shows that a steady state can be obtained by increasing the illumination intensity.

Fig. 2 Values of the time-dependent mobility obtained from the photoconductivity. Samples a, b, and c have spin densities $\sim 3 \times 10^{15}$, 3×10^{17} and $6 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ respectively. The squares are obtained from Eq. 6, and the other data from Eq. 3. The dashed line shows decay data (Eq. 5) for sample a. Inset is the intensity dependence of $\sigma_{\text{ph}}^{\text{ss}}$ for sample a.

Fig. 3 The average recombination time plotted versus illumination intensity for the same samples as shown in Fig. 2. The lines correspond to constant carrier densities as indicated.

FIG 1

FIG 2

FIG 3