

1988

LBL-24640

CONF-880404--18



# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA


## OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH DIVISION

To be presented at the Seventh Annual Congress of the International  
Radiation Protection Association, Sydney, Australia, April 10-17, 1988

### **Radiation Environment in the Tunnel of a High-Energy Proton Accelerator at Energies near 1 TeV**

J.B. McCaslin, R.-K.S. Sun, W.P. Swanson, J.D. Cossairt, A.J. Elwyn,  
W.S. Freeman, H. Jöstlein, C.D. Moore, P.M. Yurista, and D.E. Groom

December 1987



### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or The Regents of the University of California and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.

RADIATION ENVIRONMENT IN THE TUNNEL  
 OF A HIGH-ENERGY PROTON ACCELERATOR  
 AT ENERGIES NEAR 1 TeV

*Joseph B. McCaslin,\* Rai-Ko S. Sun,\* William P. Swanson,\*  
 J. Donald Cossairt,\*\* Alexander J. Elwyn,\*\* William S. Freeman,\*\*  
 Hans Jöstlein,\*\* Craig D. Moore,\*\* Peder M. Yurista,\*\*  
 and Donald E. Groom\*\*\**

\*Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory,

University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

\*\*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510, USA

\*\*\*SSC-CDG, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Neutron energy spectra, fluence distributions and rates in the FNAL Tevatron tunnel are summarized. This work has application to radiation damage to electronics and research equipment at high energy accelerators, as well as to radiological protection. Preliminary studies and related work are described elsewhere [1, 2, 3].

EXPERIMENTAL ARRANGEMENT

Figures 1 and 2 show the experimental arrangement in the A-17 region of the Tevatron tunnel. A room-temperature straight section (11.95 m) is shown which had a controlled N<sub>2</sub> leak in order to study neutron production as a function of beam-gas interaction rate during coasting beam conditions. Pressure was measured by a calibrated gauge near the leak and was controlled over the range 10<sup>-2</sup> to 10<sup>-5</sup> torr. The pressure in the adjoining cryogenic magnet chains, about 1×10<sup>-11</sup> torr or less, was negligible by comparison. A "triangular" N<sub>2</sub> pressure distribution was assumed, i.e., a linear decline in both directions from the leak, going to zero at the cryogenic interfaces. The interaction rate within the warm section was calculated to be 1.02×10<sup>-2</sup> interactions per g cm<sup>-2</sup> of N<sub>2</sub> and per passing proton, based on a total cross section for N of 238 mb.




Fig. 1. Plan view of experimental setup in the tunnel near A-17. (a) overall view; (b) spectrometer geometry.




Fig. 2. Tunnel cross section near A-17.

A Bonner multisphere neutron spectrometer [4] was used, consisting of eight  $\text{LiI}(\text{Eu})$  scintillation crystals (12.7 mm diam  $\times$  12.7 mm high), enriched to 99%  $^6\text{Li}$ , and surrounded by spherical polyethylene neutron moderators ranging in diameter from 0 to 45.72 cm. These detectors were coupled through photomultiplier tubes to an 8-input 4096-channel analyzer. For spectral unfolding, the response functions of Sanna were used [5] in the program LOUHI [6]. For some runs the eight detectors were fitted with identical 12.7-cm spheres to study the longitudinal distribution of neutron fluence.

The Tevatron and Main Ring (MR) share a common tunnel (Fig. 2) [7]. Because the MR produced elevated radiation backgrounds, detectors were gated off during MR operation which accelerated protons from 8 to 150 GeV. A standard current toroid served as beam monitor.

Preliminary measurements were made in 1985 at a different location (A-48; not shown here), a low-loss region (small  $\beta$ ) representing "quiet" coasting-beam conditions of accelerator operation. Here, the spectrometer was deployed 14.2 - 17.6 m downstream of a 4-m long warm section containing a nominal pressure of  $2 \times 10^{-8}$  torr. Measurements were made during Tevatron operation at 150 and 800 GeV, and during MR operation (only) at 150 GeV.

## NEUTRON FIELD IN THE TUNNEL

Fluence rates as functions of gas pressure were measured along the tunnel over the range  $-19 \leq z \leq 35$  m using identical 12.7-cm moderators surrounding each  $\text{LiI}$  scintillator. Figure 3 shows an example of a linear fit relating the counting rate to the gas pressure in the warm straight section for a 900-GeV proton beam. The slopes and intercepts from such fits for each location were converted to fluence rates per proton *passing* the measurement point and are plotted separately to show the distribution along  $z$  in Fig. 4. It is evident that the slope data (Figs. 3, 4a) are related to primary interactions within the warm section. There are variations (S. D.  $\approx 20\%$ ) which are not understood between the measurement sets shown (Fig. 4a). The longitudinal distribution of intercepts (Fig. 4b) was found to be very similar in shape to that of the slopes, but the variance is much larger.

Representative neutron spectra made using the Bonner spectrometer under five different conditions are shown in Fig. 5. The remarkable features of these spectra are: (a) the prominent peak in all distributions that lies in the few-hundred keV region; (b) the relatively few high-energy neutrons (about 10% and 1% of fluence above 2 and 50 MeV, respectively); and (c) the average quality factor in the range  $\bar{Q} = 6.9 - 7.6$ .

With the spectrometer deployed as in Fig. 1 during 900-GeV operation, the *slopes* from fluence-vs pressure plots (Fig. 3) were used as input data to LOUHI. This procedure gives the spectrum of neutrons *unambiguously* produced in cascades initiated by primary interactions of protons on  $\text{N}_2$  of the warm section. The prominent peak is centered at about 360 keV. The spectrum derived from *intercepts* of the same plots is related to primary interactions on materials in the vicinity other than introduced  $\text{N}_2$ . The peak is centered at about 75 keV and can be compared with spectra obtained downstream of A-48 (1985) at a gas pressure such that interactions in the warm section should not dominate. The spectra shown are for 150 and 800 GeV Tevatron operation and for MR-only operation at 150 GeV. The peaks are centered at 280, 135 and 240 keV, respec-




Fig. 3. Example of neutron fluence at 2m plotted vs  $N_2$  pressure in warm section A-17 for 900-GeV protons.




Fig. 4. Neutron fluence at 2m from beam line: (a) Per *passing* 900-GeV proton and unit  $N_2$  target thickness (left ordinate) or per *interacting* proton (right ordinate), as derived from slopes of fluence-pressure plots; (b) per *passing* proton, as derived from intercepts of fluence-pressure plots.




Fig. 5. Unfolded fluence spectrum at 2m from beam line for four types of Tevatron run and one Main-Ring run.

tively. We do not fully understand the differences between peaks but point out that the primary interactions that do not occur on  $N_2$  most likely occur either on  $H_2$  or He in cryogenic sections or with machine materials.

Monte-Carlo simulations performed by Gabriel et al. (not shown here) are in good agreement with the spectrum of Fig. 5 derived from slopes [3]. They furthermore indicate that about 80% of the neutrons at 200 cm from the beam line are albedo neutrons, i.e., scattered from tunnel walls. This was tested experimentally by repeating certain runs at 39 cm from the beam line (Position 2, Fig. 2). The result was consistent with the expected radial distribution for the direct fluence, assuming that the albedo fluence is uniform across the tunnel section.

Integration over the "slope"  $z$ -distribution of Fig. 4a and correcting for the albedo fluence gives a value 10 neutrons produced per 900-GeV proton passing A-17 and per  $g\text{ cm}^{-2}$  of  $N_2$  target. A similar calculation based on an integration over the intercept  $z$ -distribution (Fig. 4b) gives  $5 \times 10^{-9}$  neutrons produced per passing 900-GeV proton. We caution the reader that this latter value is not well understood, is subject to the vagueries of machine operation and will likely vary widely from place to place around a given accelerator ring.

The above observations suggest a common "filter" for the neutrons, regardless of the nature of the original interactions which produce the parent cascade. Prominent parts of the filter must be the iron magnet yokes as well as the concrete tunnel lining. The neutron field dominates the tunnel radiation field in terms of absorbed dose to tissue. Because of their capability of producing lattice defects and transmutations, neutrons of energy  $E_n \geq 150\text{ keV}$  are the most important potential cause of radiation damage to solid state electronic devices in accelerator tunnel environments similar to those studied [1].

This work was partially supported by the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098 with the University of California.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. B. McCaslin, R.-K. S. Sun, W. P. Swanson, A. J. Elwyn, W. S. Freeman and P. M. Yurista, SSC Central Design Group, Report SSC-58, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (1986).
- [2] W. S. Freeman, A. J. Elwyn, P. M. Yurista, J. B. McCaslin, R.-K. S. Sun and W. P. Swanson, Proc. 20th Midyear Topical Symposium of the Health Physics Society, Reno, NV, Feb. 8 - 12, 1987, Report No. CONF-8602106, p. 432 (1987).
- [3] T. A. Gabriel, F. S. Alsmiller, R. G. Alsmiller, Jr., B. L. Bishop, O. W. Hermann and D. E. Groom, SSC Central Design Group, Report SSC-110, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (1986).
- [4] R. L. Bramblett, R. L. Ewing and T. W. Bonner, Nucl. Instr. Meth. 9, 1 (1960).
- [5] R. S. Sanna, U. S. AEC, Health and Safety Laboratory, Report HASL-267 (1973).
- [6] J. T. Routti and J. V. Sandberg, Phys. Commun. 21, 119 (1980).
- [7] H. T. Edwards, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 35, 605 (Annual Reviews Inc., Palo Alto, CA, 1985).